Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.shortwave

2011-05

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2011-05-01 through 2011-05-31

Source text: rec.radio.shortwave.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 3,871

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 00:23:01 UTC
From: Tim Crowley <timmyturmoil@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3dd4028c-c0d1-4bcf-a23c-abb539f33960@t19g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227928
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On Apr 30, 10:09 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 4/30/2011 10:06 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> >> ...
> >> My pdf's are one (1) layer.
>
> > And how does that negate the proven FACT that PDFs produce layers, you
> > half-born eejit?
>
> > Thanks for demonstrating you know nothing. It's like I said "cars have
> > on-board navigation systems" and you come back with "my car doesn't
> > have an on-board navigation system." Are you so stupid as to "think"
> > that statement can make all on-board navigation systems disappear from
> > cars?
>
> >> What is the purpose of taking a one-layer original document and making
> >> 9 layers if not to deceive?
>
> > You are truly an idiot. Look up image data, fool.
>
> > Here, even your own fellow rightards have PROVEN YOU WRONG:
> >http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c...
>
> > Enjoy being insignificant again, kook.
>
> These are much more telling:http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QNJfdKClbH4
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SIsQJNTvlUE
>
> Not to mention the fact that the fraudulent birth certificate bears no
> official seal!
>
> The original is NOT on modern day safety paper, the safety is a scam in
> itself and forces a color copier into play ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

hint: you're a racist nutcase.

2. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 01:26:48 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBCB6D8-883@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 227929

Washington Times report: Newly released birth certificate forensic
forgery
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307025

It is a SCARHEAD MOFO FORGERY!
cuhulin

3. Re: like not trusting sand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 01:41:17 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBCBA3D-894@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 227932

Screw that celebrity wedding, I didn't watch any of that Sheet.I suppose you probally didn't either.I don't care anything at all about royalty.I read somewhere a few days ago about 70 percent of Brits in UK don't give a damn about that Sheet.

Yep, doggy and I dodged the bullet regarding the tornados.Thanks for asking.The Old Guys are on the PBS channel.Clive Swift looks just like Humpty Dumpty, not meaning any disrespect to Clive Swift.
cuhulin

4. Re: Waco, a false flag operation? Any evidence?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 01:47:16 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipie84hks1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227930
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.crime,alt.california,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On 4/30/2011 4:11 PM, Mike Jones wrote:
> Responding to: fergo
>
>> "Mike Jones"<luck@dasteem.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:ipgk54$ksi$9@dasteem.eternal-september.org...
>>> Responding to: fergo
>>>
>>>> "Mike Jones"<luck@dasteem.invalid> wrote in message
>>>> news:ipe8cd$pv5$12@dasteem.eternal-september.org...
>>>>> Responding to: fergo
>>>>>
>>>>>> "Mike Jones"<luck@dasteem.invalid> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:ipbco3$m5$6@dasteem.eternal-september.org...
>>>>>>> Responding to: fergo
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "Vandar"<Vandar69@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>> news:3KTtp.45154$0s5.6121@newsfe17.iad...
>>>>>>>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> The first argument behind this accusation concerns Koresh's
>>>>>>>>>> multiple young wives. Jack Harwell, the Sheriff of McLennan
>>>>>>>>>> County, explained why we should not excuse the raid on this
>>>>>>>>>> basis:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> To this day, we don't have a case that we can make against
>>>>>>>>>> Vernon
>>>>>>>>>> Howell [David Koresh] or anyone else for child abuse even though
>>>>>>>>>> the news media here and other people were saying this is what
>>>>>>>>>> happened. A man from Australia said this is what happened. But
>>>>>>>>>> we can never get them to give us anything more that just "we
>>>>>>>>>> know that's what happened." You have to have proof to go into
>>>>>>>>>> court . . . Keep in mind, too, that most of the girls who were
>>>>>>>>>> involved were at least 14 years old and 14-year-olds get married
>>>>>>>>>> with parental consent.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> False. 16 with parental consent. 14 needs judicial consent as
>>>>>>>>> well.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I'm glad to see your still posting in these groups (guess who, I'm
>>>>>>>> using a new alias to escape the trolls, No drama, lots of fun eh?)
>>>>>>>> Yeah, David Koresh was a cult leader and a child molester. If
>>>>>>>> anyone deserves to have been burnt alive it was him. But I do wish
>>>>>>>> he could have had a fair trail.
```

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> /Nobody/ deserves to be burned alive.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Grow the fuck up, huh?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> They do if they destroy families, lead a cult, try to molest
>>>>>> children, allow children to be burned alive and abuse children.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> That covers at least half of most western governments, a serious
>>>>> number of top execs in global corporations, and if we chase down the
>>>>> line far enough, probably you, once we establish how far the guilt of
>>>>> "failing to act against" goes. Then we have the predictable number of
>>>>> wrong judgements, etc.
>>>>>
>>>>> You're desire to see torture applied would sit better in Saudi Arabia
>>>>> than a western nation that has (alledgedly) moved on from such
>>>>> barbarism.
>>>>>
>>>>> Two wrongs have never made a right.
>>>>>
>>>> I'm not the one who allowed 21 children to be burned alive when I
>>>> could have just left and faced the consequences of my actions.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> And your evidence that Koresh did that is...?
>>>
>>> And your evidence that the "negotiators" couldn't let him finish his
>>> "seven seals" thing, after which he'd already said he would give up (or
>>> would probably have died where he sat from existing bullet injuries
>>> from the "here to save the kids" gun-loons outside), is...?
>>>
>>> Carefull with that coffee there. Keep it away from your knees. ;)
>>>
>> David Koresh promised negotiators that he would leave but later said God
>> told him not to, the way Bush said God told him to invade Iraq (ie he
>> lied so it would appear as though he didn't break his word to his
>> followers, who would believe anything he said)
>>
>> http://wacocult.tripod.com/neveragain/lie.html
>>
>> http://transcripts.cnn.com/TRANSCRIPTS/1104/23/cp.01.html After
>> promising federal negotiators that he would lead his followers out,
>> David Koresh says God told him not to
>>
>> http://www.public-action.com/SkyWriter/WacoMuseum/burial/doc/
> wre_scr3.html
>> Jeffrey Jamar, FBI Special Agent in Charge:

>> The question was "What did God say to Koresh?" He says that he did
>> not
>> fulfill his promise to leave immediately with his followers, because God
>> told him to wait.
>>
>> http://www.abc.net.au/7.30/stories/s55420.htm RADIO: I, David Koresh,
>> agree, upon the broadcasting of this tape, to come out peacefully with
>> all the people.
>>
>> CRAIG McMURTRIE: Waco's doomsday prophet never came out.
>>
>> David Koresh said God told him to wait
>
>
> Ok, so the rational response to this is to...
>
> A: Wait and see what happens? (Keeping communications open)
>
> ...or,
>
> B: Attack the place /again/ after a devastating and horrific seige?
>
> I don't recall this ever being a prime option for negotiators.
>
> Who would have given the order to take such drastic action?
>

I hesitate to answer, I mean, history provides a proven answer, but, "A
MORON!"

Final answer ...

Regards,
JS

5. Re: White House releases Obama's long form birth certificate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 01:51:59 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipieguiht1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.california,rec.sport.golf

On 4/30/2011 2:26 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On Apr 30, 10:52 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 4/30/2011 9:41 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Father+forced+out+at+Harvard
>>
>>> SCARHEAD MOFO PIMP's father, that is.His father was a Womanizer,
>>> screwing around with those other women.
>>> cuhulin
>>
>> Yeah, but the sins of the father are not transferable to the son, unless
>> valid ...
>>
>> obamas homosexual adventures are much worse and telling.
>
> And the America-hating rightards goose-step onward to the next lie now
> that the birfer fantasies have crashed all around them!
>

What, obama has released a 3rd birth certificate which he is claiming to
be real. Give us a URL, we will check this one out!

Clever devil, fooling us twice like that! ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

6. Re: Waco, a false flag operation? Any evidence?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 01:55:32 UTC
From: Tim Crowley <timmyturmoil@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <afe415e8-9d7c-40c5-a5d6-f6b9b5614623@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227933
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.crime,alt.california,rec.radio.shortwave

On Apr 30, 6:47 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> "A
> MORON!"
>

Yes, yes you are.

> Final answer ..

Final answer is you're an insane, racist moron.
.
>
> Regards,

Nope, no regard at all. You're useless

> JS

7. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 01:55:34 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipienliht2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227934
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

```
On 4/30/2011 7:53 PM, Strabo wrote:

>> ...
>> Translation: you can't stand the fact that an African American is in the
>> White House. How would being born in Hawaii make Obama unnaturally born?
>> Seems pretty natural to me.
>>
>
> His father was a foreign national, a liar and a Communist.
> Therefore, Barack Obama, alias Barry Soetoro, does not pass
> constitutional muster.
>
> For this and a other reasons, your favorite "African American"
> is not president of the US.
>

I guess government mafias don't need legal presidents ...

Regards,
JS
```

8. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 01:59:47 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipievjjha1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227935
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.rec.guns

```
On 4/30/2011 1:06 PM, Shall not be infringed wrote:
> On Apr 30, 1:06 pm, Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On Apr 29, 5:35 pm, Shall not be infringed<hot-ham-and-
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> che...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> On Apr 29, 8:43 am,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> On Apr 28, 9:53 pm, Shall not be infringed<hot-ham-and-
>>
>>>> che...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> On Apr 28, 10:04 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>>>> First, he MADE HISTORY in 2008 by being the FIRST and ONLY
>>>>>> presidential candidate in our nation's long history to actually
>>>>>> document that proven fact the he is eligible as a natural born citizen
>>>>>> over age 35 by publicly releasing his official state-certified and
>>>>>> verified birth certificate. Here it is again:
>>
>>>>>> http://static.politifact.com.s3.amazonaws.com/graphics/birthCertObama...
>>
>>>>>> Then, yesterday, he released for the second time an official state-
>>>>>> certified and verified birth certificate, newly issued by the state of
>>>>>> Hawaii on Wednesday:
>>
>>>>>> http://i.usatoday.net/news/_photos/2011/04/27/obama-birth-certificate...
>>
>>>>>> This makes TWO times that Obama has released his official Hawaii-
>>>>>> certified official and indisputable birth certificate.
>>
>>>>> Is this the 9-layer pdf?
>>
>>>>> When I scan a document and turn it into a pdf, it is a single layer.
>>
>>>> No it isn't.
>>
>>>> Hint:http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c...
>>
>>>> You know less than nothing, kook. PDFs produce layers. FACT.
>>
```

>>> My pdf's are one (1) layer.
>>
>> And how does that negate the proven FACT that PDFs produce layers, you
>> half-born eejit?
>
> They can when necessary, but this was supposed to be a photocopy of a
> single (1) page document.
>
> So why the nine (9) layers?
>
> Looks like some kind of hanky panky to me.
>
>> Thanks for demonstrating you know nothing. It's like I said "cars have
>> on-board navigation systems" and you come back with "my car doesn't
>> have an on-board navigation system." Are you so stupid as to "think"
>> that statement can make all on-board navigation systems disappear from
>> cars?
>
> I have the navigation system. I move it from car to car (to truck).
>
> But thanks for asking.
>
>>> What is the purpose of taking a one-layer original document and making
>>> 9 layers if not to deceive?
>>
>> You are truly an idiot. Look up image data, fool.
>>
>> Here, even your own fellow rightards have PROVEN YOU
WRONG:http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c...
>>
>> Enjoy being insignificant again, kook.
>
> There are lots of inconsistencies in Obama's claimed long form birth
> certificate. I was merely pointing out the very most obvious.
>
> Thanks for exploding your head all over usenet.

Right off the bat, no seal, dead giveaway!

They used the color safety paper to have an excuse for "layering",
however, the way the obama forgery is layered proves it a fraud.

An earlier video I posed has the author explaining why ...

Regards,
JS

9. Re: White House releases Obama's long form birth certificate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 02:03:45 UTC
From: Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4dbcbf87$0$2444$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 227936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On 1/05/2011 4:20 AM, BDK wrote:
> In article<19778452-508e-4f26-873b-91a77fe36c66
> @f18g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>, wy_@myself.com says...
>>
>> On Apr 29, 11:57 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>>> On Apr 29, 11:54 pm, wy<w...@myself.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> On Apr 29, 10:56 am, David Hartung<david@hotmai*l.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>> On 04/29/2011 09:30 AM, Krypsis wrote:
>>>
>>>>>> On 30/04/2011 12:21 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
>>>>>>> On Fri, 29 Apr 2011 09:06:51 -0500, David Hartung<david@hotmai*l.com>
>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>>> So you don't have any problem in him being multiple-bankruptcy
>>>>>>>> obnoxious moron - as long as it is honorable?
>>>
>>>>>>> I have many problems with Trump, and would not vote for him if he were
>>>>>>> the only choice available. What I am saying is that he has probably
>>>>>>> accomplished more in his life than any of us on Usenet, and certainly
>>>>>>> more than our current president.
>>>
>>>>>> What has Trump done that is certainly more accomplished than becoming
>>>>>> President of the United States of America?
>>>
>>>>> These people actually believe that running a business (along with
>>>>> repeated bankruptcies and the ripping off of little old ladies) is
>>>>> actually more noteworthy than becoming president of the USA. Some people
>>>>> seem to have a rather distorted set of values.
>>>
>>>> One can easily make the case that Obama's major accomplishment since
>>>> becoming President has been to destroy the USA. Are you really sure that
>>>> you want to applaud this?
>>>
>>> Brain-dead Repugnants such as yourself keep throwing that out but
>>> still can't say what he has destroyed. Name one thing, just one thing
>>> he has destroyed so far.- Hide quoted text -
>>>
```

>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>
>>> Once, during a tv interview he managed to kill a fly . And immediately
>>> was accused of animal cruelty .
>>
>> A fly is not an animal. Besides, we all know where flies like to
>> party, so one less fly doesn't hurt anyone.
>
> If a fly isn't an animal, what is it?
>
The alternatives are vegetable and mineral....

A very difficult choice.. ;-)

Krypsis

10. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 02:05:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBCBFE8-907@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 227939

White House website has yanked that FORGERY off of the website.The Whole World Knows now it is a PHONY FRAUD FAKE ASS FORGERY.World Intelligence Forensics Experts say so too.
cuhulin

11. Re: AFN Diego Garcia on 12,759 kHz [USB] @ 04:30 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 02:06:01 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5c2f70b8-b90f-45ac-9f37-8e921a49cdac@i39g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227937

On Apr 28, 6:23 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> AFN Diego Garcia on 12,759 kHz [USB] @ 04:30 UTC
> .
> S-Meter : '0' to S1 and SIO 243 and low to fair audio
> on 27 April 2011

Roy - Victor Goonetilleke in Sri Lanka informs me that AFRTS 12759U in BIOT is obviously on the air at 0138z today, May 1, 2011, and likely has been for a few days at least - so my reception of them during the 0100 - 0200 hours the last few days has been real :-) Reception Report time! Yahhooo! :-) Also notes that the remote Perseus receiver in Oregon has them today at SIO 333, which is MUCH better than here in San Francisco Bay Area - but what the heck! :-)

73 on the house!
Bruce Jensen

12. Re: Attention Birthers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 02:14:57 UTC
From: clairbear <clairbear@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9ED7E255FCD07clairbear@202.177.16.121>
Source record: 227938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.news.media,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.religion.christian,alt.conspiracy

```
Lamont Cranston <Lamont.Cranston@FogYourMind.com> wrote in news:ipa1p7$j44
$9@news.datemas.de:

> On 4/24/2011 2:32 PM, clairbear wrote:
>> Lamont Cranston<Lamont.Cranston@FogYourMind.com> wrote in news:iovbb9
>> $k9j$2@news.datemas.de:
>>
>>> On 4/22/2011 10:23 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>>> On 4/22/2011 5:59 PM, clairbear wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Or clinton I did not have sex with that woman.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Clinton never said that.
>>>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KiIP_KDQmXs
>>>>>
>>>>> Actual quote
>>>>> "I did not have sexual relations with that woman.."
>>>> >> ...
>>>>
>>>> Gee, that changes everything, doesn't it.
>>>
>>> Yes, it does. In fact, it was a true statement if one defines "sexual
>>> relations" as "sexual intercourse."
>>>
>>>>
>>>> OK, let's hang the bastard for that lie, instead of the misquoted
>> one!
>>>
>>> It wasn't a lie. Neither Clinton nor Lewinsky believed that they had
>>> engaged in "sexual relations."
>>>
>>
>> Are you really THAT DUMB?
>
> Are you really that (Expletive deleted) stupid?
>
> Neither Clinton nor Lewinsky believed that they had engaged in "sexual
> relations."

I gues you answered the question by redacting the ealier post and you
gutter language response. And by doing so you show you are in fact that
dumb and extremely gullible too. You are so typically liberal.
```

--- news://freenews.netfront.net/ - complaints: news@netfront.net ---

13. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 02:17:23 UTC
From: Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4dbcc2b9$0$22471$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 227940
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

```
On 1/05/2011 11:55 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 4/30/2011 7:53 PM, Strabo wrote:
>
>>> ...
>>> Translation: you can't stand the fact that an African American is in the
>>> White House. How would being born in Hawaii make Obama unnaturally born?
>>> Seems pretty natural to me.
>>>
>>
>> His father was a foreign national, a liar and a Communist.
>> Therefore, Barack Obama, alias Barry Soetoro, does not pass
>> constitutional muster.
>>
>> For this and a other reasons, your favorite "African American"
>> is not president of the US.
>>
>
> I guess government mafias don't need legal presidents ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

You've got a legal president! His name is Obama. He has proven his
legality ... twice.

Krypsis
```

14. Re: Attention Birthers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 02:18:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipig2r$m1r$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california

On 4/30/2011 7:14 PM, clairbear wrote:

>> ...
>> Neither Clinton nor Lewinsky believed that they had engaged in "sexual
>> relations."
>
> I gues you answered the question by redacting the ealier post and you
> gutter language response. And by doing so you show you are in fact that
> dumb and extremely gullible too. You are so typically liberal.
>
> --- news://freenews.netfront.net/ - complaints: news@netfront.net ---

I can only suppose that those making such claims of not knowing they
have engaged in sex simply started out having sex with the
families/neighbors pets, and carried on such perverted behaviors into
their adult life.

Since no animal was involved in the clinton/lewinsky sex relations in
the oval office (at least which can be proven to be present), they do
believe that no sex had taken place.

However, ignorance is no excuse for the law ...

Regards,
JS

15. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 02:28:45 UTC
From: Wayne <mygarbagecan@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <ipiggvrdt1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227942
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

"jcon" <cirejcon@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com...
On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy <w...@myself.com> wrote:
> On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > ABCDEFG!!!
>
> > > That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
>
> > Just keeps getting better, and better!:
>
> >http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-press-...
>
> The PDF is composed of multiple images. That's correct. Using a photo
> editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this image data,
> view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they're being called,
> aren't layers in the traditional photo-editing sense of the word. They
> are, quite literally, pieces of image data that have been positioned
> in a PDF container. They appear as text but also contain glyphs, dots,
> lines, boxes, squiggles, and random garbage. They're not combined or
> merged in any way. Quite simply, they look like they were created
> programmatically, not by a human.
>
> What's plausible is that somewhere along the way from the scanning
> device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can perform OCR
> (optical character recognition) these partial/pseudo-text images
> were created and saved. What's not plausible is that the government
> spent all this time manufacturing Obama's birth certificate only to
> commit the laughably rookie mistake of exporting the layers from
> Photoshop, or whatever photo editing software they are meant to have
> used. It's likely that whoever scanned the birth certificate in Hawaii
> forgot to turn off the OCR setting on the scanner. Let's leave it at
> that.
>
> http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c...
>

Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an amateurish
Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than simply
create a forged document. Seriously, all this fuss about the
"original birth certificate". Do we honestly believe the
most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
wanted? The physical birth certificate has *never* been as
convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth announcements,
and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of Hawaii and
the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
no white man has ever been asked to produce).

I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
including a time machine - would ever convince them. Why
did it take Obama so long to release it? I think we can see
why now. He knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
****
-
I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's ass
should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not have
layers.

16. Re: Attention Birthers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 02:32:17 UTC
From: clairbear <clairbear@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9ED7E54695F77clairbear@202.177.16.121>
Source record: 227943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.conspiracy

Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote in news:8bd755c9-808c-4e8a-9edc-e1c94b16b4b2@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com:

> On Apr 24, 3:32 pm, clairbear <clairb...@verizon.com> wrote:
>> Lamont Cranston <Lamont.Crans...@FogYourMind.com> wrote in
news:iovbb9
>> $k9...@news.datemas.de:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On 4/22/2011 10:23 PM, John Smith wrote:
>> >> On 4/22/2011 5:59 PM, clairbear wrote:
>>
>> >>>>> Or clinton I did not have sex with that woman.
>>
>> >>>> Clinton never said that.
>> >>>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KiIP_KDQmXs
>>
>> >>> Actual quote
>> >>> "I did not have sexual relations with that woman.."
>> >> >> ...
>>
>> >> Gee, that changes everything, doesn't it.
>>
>> > Yes, it does. In fact, it was a true statement if one defines
"sexua
> l
>> > relations" as "sexual intercourse."
>>
>> >> OK, let's hang the bastard for that lie, instead of the misquoted
>> one!
>>
>> > It wasn't a lie. Neither Clinton nor Lewinsky believed that they
had
>> > engaged in "sexual relations."
>>
>> Are you really THAT DUMB? Or are you just trolling for the old
>> gainsaying arguement aboutwhether Willy lied about what or who he did
>> with his willy?
>>
>> Heres a bit of info on generally accepted definitions of Sexual

>> realtions
>>
>> Wikipedia on Answers.com: Human sexual activity
>
> Hey KOOK, I gots a NEWS FLASH fer ya:
>
> WIKIPEDIA ain't the lawyer. It doesn't matter what YOU think the
> generally accepted definitions are... the ONLY thing that matters is
> what was on the LIST of sexual activities that was used in the
> deposition. Guess what? Jones' lawyers FUCKED UP and didn't have blow
> job on their.
>
> Clinton answered truthfully based on the definitions he was given.
>
> End of story.
>
The thread is about the veracity of Clinton's remark vis-a-vis monica
lewinsky and the sexual activity between them, in this case a blow job,
not about a law suit involving alleged sexual harassment. Funny how you
would tar and feather someone like Clarence Thomas who allegedly made a
remark about pubic hair and give a man who cheated on his wife in the
Oval Office a pass. And before you go off on another hypocritical jag
there are those on both the right and left who have sinned as it were
and tried to hide it from the voters and the rest of the public. After
all the a just flawed human beings not paragons of vitue.
BTW I find it funny how how you and other get foul mouthed and abusive
when one of your heros is shown to have feet of clay.

--- news://freenews.netfront.net/ - complaints: news@netfront.net ---

17. Re: like not trusting sand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 02:34:43 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipih12$m57$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227944

On 4/30/2011 10:52 AM, Hils wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>> If you have that kind of employment there, I am telling Americans here
>> to apply for british citizenship. When decent paying jobs here are
>> advertised the lines stretch out for blocks!
>
> And if the government here reads that, they'll do the reverse!
>
>> Most business doing this once, won't advertise the jobs that way
>> again. Instead, hiring an employment agency to find their employees.
>
> Agencies have sprung up here because they're not subject to the same
> employment laws as employers who hire people directly. There have been
> reports of some agencies which only take on Polish workers. That's
> illegal, but no-one cares enough to do anything about it. The unions are
> afraid of anything which might look "racist", even if it means British
> workers being fired and replaced by immigrants on lower pay.
>
> The folk who believe there's a conspiracy to create an unaccountable
> global oligarchy supported by a new breed of helots divided and
> subjugated by enforced multiculturalism may be onto something. Almost
> everything the government does these days fits the pattern.

Most business men in this area use the temp agencies as a employment
pool. If a temp employee is seen to be seen as a desirable employee,
proper indications are given that temp employee to apply to the company
for full time employment ... if not, he/her is allowed to go on end of
contract and a new temp brought in ...

Regards,
JS

18. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 02:39:12 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <34c8fe57-09e6-4c07-8a5a-5bf174272784@x1g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227945
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On Apr 29, 8:33 pm, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net> wrote:
> > On Apr 30, 11:51 am, Joe from Kokomo<j...@indy.net> wrote:
> >> On 4/29/2011 4:29 PM, george wrote:
>
> >>> It's over. You demanded to see the 'long' form birth certificate.
> >>> It has been provided.
> >>> Even Trump admits that...
>
> >> You say it's over, but whatever happened to that imminent, startling new
> >> information that Trump's detectives were supposed to provide, hmmmm?
>
> >> Bwahahahaha...
>
> On 4/29/2011 7:56 PM, george wrote:
>
> > Maybe that animal he has on his head will keep him sane ?
>
> Maybe, but it's not doing a very good job so far.

The Trump thingy was a political ploy to insure Obama gets a second
term.Trumps television popularity has been used to sway the public
opinion into believing he and his team of investigaors have accepted
the phoney second release as the real deal.This was the plan to
discredit the majority of public opinion of his illegitimate claim to
POTUS.

If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
an illegitimate POTUS.Since his father was still married to a wife in
kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA. If you look closely
you can see this second release is even more phoney than the first,and
since our brilliant Trump and his investigative team has not declared
it a farce,only proves they were not looking for the truth at all,
just on a political agenda to keep their National Socalist illuminati
mouth piece in office.Or Trump has been fired by the illuminati and
threatened a cut in pay$$$

19. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 02:53:56 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9ED7E8F20647FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 227946
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

"Wayne" <mygarbagecan@verizon.net> wrote in news:ipiggvrdt1@dont-email.me:

>
> "jcon" <cirejcon@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com...
> On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy <w...@myself.com> wrote:
>> On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>> > On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
>>
>> > > ...
>> > > ABCDEFG!!!
>>
>> > > That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
>>
>> > Just keeps getting better, and better!:
>>
>> >http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-press-...
>>
>> The PDF is composed of multiple images. That's correct. Using a photo
>> editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this image data,
>> view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they're being called,
>> aren't layers in the traditional photo-editing sense of the word. They
>> are, quite literally, pieces of image data that have been positioned
>> in a PDF container. They appear as text but also contain glyphs, dots,
>> lines, boxes, squiggles, and random garbage. They're not combined or
>> merged in any way. Quite simply, they look like they were created
>> programmatically, not by a human.
>>
>> What's plausible is that somewhere along the way ' from the scanning
>> device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can perform OCR
>> (optical character recognition) ' these partial/pseudo-text images
>> were created and saved. What's not plausible is that the government
>> spent all this time manufacturing Obama's birth certificate only to
>> commit the laughably rookie mistake of exporting the layers from
>> Photoshop, or whatever photo editing software they are meant to have
>> used. It's likely that whoever scanned the birth certificate in Hawaii
>> forgot to turn off the OCR setting on the scanner. Let's leave it at
>> that.

>>
>> http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c...
>>
>
> Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an amateurish
> Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than simply
> create a forged document. Seriously, all this fuss about the
> "original birth certificate". Do we honestly believe the
> most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
> wanted? The physical birth certificate has *never* been as
> convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth announcements,
> and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of Hawaii and
> the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
> no white man has ever been asked to produce).
>
> I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
> including a time machine - would ever convince them. Why
> did it take Obama so long to release it? I think we can see
> why now. He knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
> **********
> -
> I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's ass
> should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not have
> layers.
>
>
>

And there is now the not insignificant problem of his father's citizenship.

And the issue of what happened to O between 1961 and 2008. Something of a vacuum documentation wise.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

20. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 02:58:14 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipiid5$m57$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227947
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On 4/30/2011 7:28 PM, Wayne wrote:

> -
> I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's ass
> should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not have
> layers.
>
>

I guess most didn't hear, what has always been asked for is a long form birth certificate of the original and the hospital records.

They have produced a COLB (Certification of Live Birth) and an edited .pdf file purporting to be a scan of the orignial ... however, it is obvious that it does not bear an official seal, and has been tampered with and overlaid on some scan of "safety paper."

Perhaps all this was just to hone the skills and knowledge of how to forge a proper document which would go unquestioned/undetected, who knows why such insanity would all take place ... but, it wouldn't surprise me if "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE THREE!" isn't coming up ... most realize that the actions of all this is pretty criminal in appearance ...

Jerome Corsi claims to have the paper trail on the coverup and documentation which proves ~2 million+ spent by obama in hiding his past records contained in public documents. I am anxious to give this a serious read.

The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable and began with a presidential candidate promising transparency and has ended up with executive orders issued by him to keep his entire past a secret ... that only takes a rational mind to realize that something is bad wrong!

Regards,
JS

21. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 03:08:33 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.282698bd671f83a98a135@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 227948
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

In article <34c8fe57-09e6-4c07-8a5a-5bf174272784
@x1g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On Apr 29, 8:33ï¿œpm, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net> wrote:
> > > On Apr 30, 11:51 am, Joe from Kokomo<j...@indy.net> ï¿œwrote:
> > >> On 4/29/2011 4:29 PM, george wrote:
> > >
> > >>> It's over. You demanded to see the 'long' form birth certificate.
> > >>> It has been provided.
> > >>> Even Trump admits that...
> > >
> > >> You say it's over, but whatever happened to that imminent, startling new
> > >> information that Trump's detectives were supposed to provide, hmmmm?
> > >
> > >> Bwahahahaha...
> > >
> > > On 4/29/2011 7:56 PM, george wrote:
> > >
> > >>> Maybe that animal he has on his head will keep him sane ?
> > >
> > > Maybe, but it's not doing a very good job so far.
> >
> > The Trump thingy was a political ploy to insure Obama gets a second
> > term.Trumps television popularity has been used to sway the public
> > opinion into believing he and his team of investigaors have accepted
> > the phoney second release as the real deal.This was the plan to
> > discredit the majority of public opinion of his illegitimate claim to
> > POTUS.
> >
> > If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
> > an illegitimate POTUS.Since his father was still married to a wife in
> > kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA. If you look closely
> > you can see this second release is even more phoney than the first,and
> > since our brilliant Trump and his investigative team has not declared
> > it a farce,only proves they were not looking for the truth at all,
> > just on a political agenda to keep their National Socalist illuminati
> > mouth piece in office.Or Trump has been fired by the illuminati and
> > threatened a cut in pay$$$

So what meds are you supposed to be currently on but aren't,
WrongTurnJoe?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

22. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 03:12:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipij7kie1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 4/30/2011 11:15 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> The birth story comes together
> http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307014
>
> I only have One Birth Certificate.It has Nothing to do with Kenya
> either.
> cuhulin
>

I am thinking of scanning and photoshopping my birth certificate and using it to claim I am of royal blood and sue the queen of england, claiming I am the son she gave up for adoption years ago ... however, I will have to find a way from ever allowing a DNA test, or I will be SOL!

If I end up having to give 'em a .pdf for some gawd unknown reason, I'll just tell 'em it is layered because it was constructed on the "cake model!"

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

23. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 03:14:14 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28269a0f5df13b3598a137@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 227949
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

```
In article <Xns9ED7E8F20647FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>,
grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com says...
>
> "Wayne" <mygarbagecan@verizon.net> wrote in news:ipiggv$rdt$1@dont-
> email.me:
>
> >
> > "jcon" <cirejcon@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com...
> > On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy <w...@myself.com> wrote:
> >> On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> > On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
> >>
> >> > > ...
> >> > > ABCDEFG!!!
> >>
> >> > That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
> >>
> >> > Just keeps getting better, and better!:
> >>
> >> >http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-
> press-...
> >>
> >> The PDF is composed of multiple images. That?s correct. Using a photo
> >> editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this image data,
> >> view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they?re being called,
> >> aren?t layers in the traditional photo-editing sense of the word. They
> >> are, quite literally, pieces of image data that have been positioned
> >> in a PDF container. They appear as text but also contain glyphs, dots,
> >> lines, boxes, squiggles, and random garbage. They?re not combined or
> >> merged in any way. Quite simply, they look like they were created
> >> programmatically, not by a human.
> >>
> >> What?s plausible is that somewhere along the way ? from the scanning
> >> device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can perform OCR
> >> (optical character recognition) ? these partial/pseudo-text images
> >> were created and saved. What?s not plausible is that the government
> >> spent all this time manufacturing Obama?s birth certificate only to
> >> commit the laughably rookie mistake of exporting the layers from
> >> Photoshop, or whatever photo editing software they are meant to have
> >> used. It?s likely that whoever scanned the birth certificate in Hawaii
```

> >> forgot to turn off the OCR setting on the scanner. Let?s leave it at
> >> that.
> >>
> >> http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c...
> >>
> >
> > Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an amateurish
> > Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than simply
> > create a forged document. Seriously, all this fuss about the
> > "original birth certificate". Do we honestly believe the
> > most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
> > wanted? The physical birth certificate has *never* been as
> > convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth announcements,
> > and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of Hawaii and
> > the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
> > no white man has ever been asked to produce).
> >
> > I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
> > including a time machine - would ever convince them. Why
> > did it take Obama so long to release it? I think we can see
> > why now. He knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
> > **********
> > -
> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's ass
> > should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not have
> > layers.
> >
> >
> >
>
> And there is now the not insignificant problem of his father?s citizenship.

It's totally insignificant. He could have been a citizen of
Spotsylvania, wouldn't matter in the slightest.
>
> And the issue of what happened to O between 1961 and 2008. Something of a
> vacuum documentation wise.

Only if you tend towards the birthtardic.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

24. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 03:14:54 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28269a3824d0e60498a138@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 227950
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

In article <ipiid5$m57$2@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 4/30/2011 7:28 PM, Wayne wrote:
>
> > -
> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's ass
> > should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not have
> > layers.
> >
> >
>
> I guess most didn't hear, what has always been asked for is a long form
> birth certificate of the original and the hospital records.
>
> They have produced a COLB (Certification of Live Birth) and an edited
> .pdf file purporting to be a scan of the orignial ... however, it is
> obvious that it does not bear an official seal, and has been tampered
> with and overlaid on some scan of "safety paper."
>
> Perhaps all this was just to hone the skills and knowledge of how to
> forge a proper document which would go unquestioned/undetected, who
> knows why such insanity would all take place ... but, it wouldn't
> surprise me if "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE THREE!" isn't coming up
> ... most realize that the actions of all this is pretty criminal in
> appearance ...
>
> Jerome Corsi claims to have the paper trail on the coverup and
> documentation which proves ~2 million+ spent by obama in hiding his past
> records contained in public documents. I am anxious to give this a
> serious read.
>
> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable and
> began with a presidential candidate promising transparency and has ended
> up with executive orders issued by him to keep his entire past a secret
> ... that only takes a rational mind to realize that something is bad wrong!
>
> Regards,
> JS

There doesn't seem to be anything rational about you, Johnny kOOk.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

25. Re: Morocco on 20 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 03:17:27 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105010317.UTC.ipijc8$ol6$1@tioat.net>
Source record: 227952

On 4/30/2011 5:38 PM, DEFCON 88 wrote:
> On Apr 29, 9:51 pm, Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.st...@revilo-oliver.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>> 5F6SIA from the Kingdom of Morocco with good signals on 14180 kHz
>> USB right now.
>>
>> Heard on a Racal RA6790/GM and a low 75 meter dipole.
>>
>>
>> All the best,
>>
>>
>> Kevin, WB4AIO.
>> --
>> http://nationalvanguard.org/
>> http://kevinalfredstrom.com/
>>
>
> Nice catch! Racal? Uh oh, do you have a new toy in the shack?

Yes, it's fun to play with the Racal -- a real classic receiver, but
still a venue for some audio and AGC modifications. Here's a
recording of a good fidelity AM amateur station made in its widest
bandwidth position:

http://liberty.3950.net/WA3VJB%207290%2020k%20bw%2020110320%200317PM%20ET.mp3

Someday I hope to get some transmitting abilities too -- but that
may take a while.

With my best,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

26. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 03:23:44 UTC
From: rod <Rod_Shaftenauer@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a30be868-846c-486d-aa1d-231121c063f0@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227953
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On Apr 30, 7:17 pm, Krypsis <kryp...@optusnet.com.au> wrote:
> On 1/05/2011 11:55 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 4/30/2011 7:53 PM, Strabo wrote:
>
> >>> ...
> >>> Translation: you can't stand the fact that an African American is in the
> >>> White House. How would being born in Hawaii make Obama unnaturally born?
> >>> Seems pretty natural to me.
>
> >> His father was a foreign national, a liar and a Communist.
> >> Therefore, Barack Obama, alias Barry Soetoro, does not pass
> >> constitutional muster.
>
> >> For this and a other reasons, your favorite "African American"
> >> is not president of the US.
>
> > I guess government mafias don't need legal presidents ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> You've got a legal president! His name is Obama. He has proven his
> legality ... twice.
>
> Krypsis- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

***And you're a LEGAL Loon Krypto...You've proven that more than
twice...By the By, is O'bummer going to make a Cameo at the 4th of
July Krap-fest over at rec.knives...?...I know the Trolls will be
soooo thrilled to have him attend...:-)

Rockin' Rod Shaftenauer
YeeeeeeeeHawwwwww

27. Re: (OT) Philips Light Bulb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 03:28:10 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7756204a-58ba-47c5-8828-ad3d354f72c7@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227954

On Apr 30, 4:56 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Philips Unveils Energy Efficient Incandescant Light Bulbhttp://www.rense.com
>
> We gots a Real Good old movie on TCM right now, The Bad Seed. (1956)
> Nancy Kelly, Patty McCormack, Henry Jones.Odd fatal accidents lead a
> woman to realize that her 8 year old daughter was born to kill.
> Sci-Fi/Horror.
> BAD BADDA BADDA BAD SEED!
>
> Next up at 5:00 PM on the FMC channel, A Number 1 To Portland.
> cuhulin

Philps knows how to make light bulbs . The have been doing them for a
hundred and sumpteen years . They will no longer make televisions .
This was announced a few weeks ago , in Holland . . .

28. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 03:32:40 UTC
From: Gunner Asch <gunnerasch@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2tkpr6tc7dji09h7agm148jmqt080544s2@4ax.com>
Source record: 227955
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On Sun, 01 May 2011 12:17:23 +1000, Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
wrote:

>On 1/05/2011 11:55 AM, John Smith wrote:
>> On 4/30/2011 7:53 PM, Strabo wrote:
>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Translation: you can't stand the fact that an African American is in the
>>>> White House. How would being born in Hawaii make Obama unnaturally born?
>>>> Seems pretty natural to me.
>>>>
>>>
>>> His father was a foreign national, a liar and a Communist.
>>> Therefore, Barack Obama, alias Barry Soetoro, does not pass
>>> constitutional muster.
>>>
>>> For this and a other reasons, your favorite "African American"
>>> is not president of the US.
>>>
>>
>> I guess government mafias don't need legal presidents ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
>You've got a legal president! His name is Obama. He has proven his
>legality ... twice.
>
>Krypsis

Again we have a strange and mentally disturbed Leftwinger proclaiming
that all is good, even though all the facts point the other way.

Sorry Kryp...it aint over yet.

Deal with it.

If it works in your favor..all will be good.
If it is proven to be fraud...you and yours will be committing suicide
by the millions. <VBG> and each and every law he signed..gets overturned
and ground into the dirt.

So grab on to the safety bars..its gonna be an interesting ride.

Gunner

>

--
"If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is eight,
it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)

29. Re: I Am Very Proud and Very Honoured !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 03:32:59 UTC
From: rod <Rod_Shaftenauer@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <71de5dba-210c-4bf8-931d-8b217cd4acb2@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227956
Cross-posted to: alt.california,sci.skeptic,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On Apr 29, 4:56 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 4/29/2011 4:51 PM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:
>
> > On 4/29/2011 3:20 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
> >> Yeah, we can always hope, but ...
>
> >> My dad always said, "As far as hope goes, shit in one hand and hope in
> >> the other, see which one fills the fastest!"
>
> >> At first, I disagreed and was shocked at my fathers lack of faith ...
> >> later in life, I agreed ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > Well, that would go a long way towards explaining how you got such a
> > negative outlook on life.
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Reality is kinda' positive!
>
> You just got stuck on yo' mamas' fairy tales!
>
> Regards,
> JS

***Smythe has a bad case of Optical Rectal-itis...

Smythe's Optic Nerve has accidentally crossed itself up with his Lower
Colon and Anal Gland and low and behold, Smythe has all of a sudden
developed a Sh%tty Outlook on Life and all of humanity (including
himself!)...Total @-Hole!

Rockin' Rod Shafenauer
YeeeeeeeeHawwwwww

30. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 03:37:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipikmgie2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.rec.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 4/30/2011 7:05 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> White House website has yanked that FORGERY off of the website.The Whole
> World Knows now it is a PHONY FRAUD FAKE ASS FORGERY.World Intelligence
> Forensics Experts say so too.
> cuhulin
>

Yes, exactly what a crook will do ... the lies get deeper and deeper ...

But, liars don't give up easily, I am waiting for the response of why
the damn fraud was perpetrated on the American public to begin with ...
this lie should be a DOOZIE!!!

Regards,
JS

31. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 03:39:30 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipikqhie3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227958
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On 4/30/2011 7:17 PM, Krypsis wrote:

> ...
> You've got a legal president! His name is Obama. He has proven his
> legality ... twice.
>
> Krypsis
>
>

ROFLOL!!!

I love the way you do that, on that kinda stuff I just couldn't keep a straight face, let alone ever expect anyone to believe it, or ever take me seriously again ...

You had better start up another nym for your chit ...

Regards,
JS

32. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 03:43:48 UTC
From: Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets <RRATR@BurningInHell.org>
Message-ID: <vImdnSzYA_N1SyHQnZ2dnUVZ_o6dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 227959
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

"Wayne" wrote in message news:ipiggvrdt1@dont-email.me...

"jcon" <cirejcon@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com...
On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy <w...@myself.com> wrote:
> On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > ABCDEFG!!!
>
> > > That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
>
> > Just keeps getting better, and better!:
>
> >http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-press-...
>
> The PDF is composed of multiple images. That’s correct. Using a photo
> editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this image data,
> view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they’re being called,
> aren’t layers in the traditional photo-editing sense of the word. They
> are, quite literally, pieces of image data that have been positioned
> in a PDF container. They appear as text but also contain glyphs, dots,
> lines, boxes, squiggles, and random garbage. They’re not combined or
> merged in any way. Quite simply, they look like they were created
> programmatically, not by a human.
>
> What’s plausible is that somewhere along the way — from the scanning
> device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can perform OCR
> (optical character recognition) — these partial/pseudo-text images
> were created and saved. What’s not plausible is that the government
> spent all this time manufacturing Obama’s birth certificate only to
> commit the laughably rookie mistake of exporting the layers from
> Photoshop, or whatever photo editing software they are meant to have
> used. It’s likely that whoever scanned the birth certificate in Hawaii
> forgot to turn off the OCR setting on the scanner. Let’s leave it at
> that.
>
> http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c...
>

Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an amateurish
Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than simply
create a forged document. Seriously, all this fuss about the
"original birth certificate". Do we honestly believe the
most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
wanted? The physical birth certificate has *never* been as
convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth announcements,
and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of Hawaii and
the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
no white man has ever been asked to produce).

I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
including a time machine - would ever convince them. Why
did it take Obama so long to release it? I think we can see
why now. He knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
****
-
I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's ass
should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not have
layers.

From Fox: http://tinyurl.com/3ezzs6j

"A leading software expert says there’s no doubt about its authenticity,
and he dismisses claims of fraud as flat-out wrong."

Read more:
http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2011/04/29/expert-says-obamas-birth-certificate-legit/#ixz
z1L0W0yQXF

33. Re: (OT) Philips Light Bulb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 03:50:58 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipilg1$l9$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227960

On 4/30/2011 8:28 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On Apr 30, 4:56 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>> Philips Unveils Energy Efficient Incandescant Light Bulbhttp://www.rense.com
>>
>> We gots a Real Good old movie on TCM right now, The Bad Seed. (1956)
>> Nancy Kelly, Patty McCormack, Henry Jones.Odd fatal accidents lead a
>> woman to realize that her 8 year old daughter was born to kill.
>> Sci-Fi/Horror.
>> BAD BADDA BADDA BAD SEED!
>>
>> Next up at 5:00 PM on the FMC channel, A Number 1 To Portland.
>> cuhulin
>
> Philps knows how to make light bulbs . The have been doing them for a
> hundred and sumpteen years . They will no longer make televisions .
> This was announced a few weeks ago , in Holland . . .

Try a 6500 Kelvin DAYLIGHT (not cool white, not warm white), it is a
blue white light which makes the ~2700 Kelvin fluorescent look like old
yellowed pages from a book ...

I found out by using these on fish tanks, increased plant growth, more
vivid colors in the fish, etc. Always insist on DAYLIGHT fluorescent!

Try it, you'll like it!

Regards,
JS

34. Re: Waco, a false flag operation? Any evidence?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 03:53:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipilkv$l9$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227961
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.crime,alt.california,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On 4/30/2011 6:55 PM, Tim Crowley wrote:
> On Apr 30, 6:47 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> "A
>> MORON!"
>>
>
> Yes, yes you are.
>
>
>> Final answer ..
>
>
> Final answer is you're an insane, racist moron.
> .
>>
>> Regards,
>
> Nope, no regard at all. You're useless
>
>> JS
>

I forget that upsets the morons ... go back and toke off the bong dude!

A little medical marijuana and everything will be right as rain! :-)

Regards,
JS
```

35. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 04:16:20 UTC
From: george <gblack@hnpl.net>
Message-ID: <09728c1f-2726-491b-b682-9da4b2456bae@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227962
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On May 1, 2:58 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:

> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable and
> began with a presidential candidate promising transparency and has ended
> up with executive orders issued by him to keep his entire past a secret
> ... that only takes a rational mind to realize that something is bad wrong!

The craziness is that you are a racist.
President Obama is black and only the next electoral cycle can
change that.
Get used to it

36. Re: barack magic negro birth certificate, now you see it, now you don't!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 04:18:05 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipin2t$l9$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227963
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

```
On 4/30/2011 8:43 PM, Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets wrote:
>
>
> "Wayne" wrote in message news:ipiggv$rdt$1@dont-email.me...
>
>
> "jcon" <cirejcon@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com...
> On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy <w...@myself.com> wrote:
>> On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>> > On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
>>
>> > > ...
>> > > ABCDEFG!!!
>>
>> > > That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
>>
>> > Just keeps getting better, and better!:
>>
>> >http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-press-...
>>
>> The PDF is composed of multiple images. That’s correct. Using a photo
>> editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this image data,
>> view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they’re being called,
>> aren’t layers in the traditional photo-editing sense of the word. They
>> are, quite literally, pieces of image data that have been positioned
>> in a PDF container. They appear as text but also contain glyphs, dots,
>> lines, boxes, squiggles, and random garbage. They’re not combined or
>> merged in any way. Quite simply, they look like they were created
>> programmatically, not by a human.
>>
>> What’s plausible is that somewhere along the way – from the scanning
>> device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can perform OCR
>> (optical character recognition) – these partial/pseudo-text images
>> were created and saved. What’s not plausible is that the government
>> spent all this time manufacturing Obama’s birth certificate only to
>> commit the laughably rookie mistake of exporting the layers from
>> Photoshop, or whatever photo editing software they are meant to have
>> used. It’s likely that whoever scanned the birth certificate in Hawaii
>> forgot to turn off the OCR setting on the scanner. Let’s leave it at
>> that.
>>
```

>> http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c...
>>
>
> Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an amateurish
> Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than simply
> create a forged document. Seriously, all this fuss about the
> "original birth certificate". Do we honestly believe the
> most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
> wanted? The physical birth certificate has *never* been as
> convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth announcements,
> and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of Hawaii and
> the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
> no white man has ever been asked to produce).
>
> I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
> including a time machine - would ever convince them. Why
> did it take Obama so long to release it? I think we can see
> why now. He knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
> **********
> -
> I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's ass
> should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not have
> layers.
>
> ----------
>
> From Fox: http://tinyurl.com/3ezzs6j
>
> "A leading software expert says there's no doubt about its authenticity,
> and he dismisses claims of fraud as flat-out wrong."
>
> Read more:
> http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2011/04/29/expert-says-obamas-birth-certificate-legit/#i
xzz1L0W0yQXF
>

What an idiot!

The whitehouse is so ashamed and taking so much flack over the obvious
forgery that they have removed the document from their website, no
reason, quietly, just vanished! POOF!

Another trick by "obama the magic negro", ever hear that song that rush
used to play?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pZyPaivOARM

Regards,
JS

37. Re: barack magic negro birth certificate, now you see it, now you don't!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 04:22:05 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipinac$6e6$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227964
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On 4/30/2011 9:18 PM, John Smith wrote:

> ...
> What an idiot!
>
> The whitehouse is so ashamed and taking so much flack over the obvious
> forgery that they have removed the document from their website, no
> reason, quietly, just vanished! POOF!
>
> Another trick by "obama the magic negro", ever hear that song that rush
> used to play?
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pZyPaivOARM
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

I forgot, just in case you would like to sing along, here are the lyrics:

http://www.metrolyrics.com/barack-the-magic-negro-lyrics-paul-shanklin.html

Regards,
JS

38. Re: like not trusting sand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 04:24:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBCE096-976@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 227965

Mississippi recovery fund set to help storm victims.

Smithville gets EF-5 rating.
http://www.clarionledger.com

That makes at least two EF-5 tornados that have hit Mississippi since
the 1950s.I remember the first one that hit Vicksburg in December 1953.
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=EF-5+Tornado+Vicksburg+Mississippi
cuhulin

39. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Tu...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 04:41:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27841-4DBCE494-98@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 227967

Four days, and that PHONY FRAUD FAKE ASS FORGERY SCARHEAD MOFO so-called
Birth Certificate gets Yanked OFF of the White House website.They Know
damn well it is a PHONY FRAUD FAKE ASS FORGERY! FOUR DAYS!
cuhulin

40. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 04:43:58 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6181fa75-7280-4b65-b5a2-ccb7a1760936@35g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On Apr 30, 11:12 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 4/30/2011 11:15 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > The birth story comes together
> >http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307014
>
> > I only have One Birth Certificate.It has Nothing to do with Kenya
> > either.
> > cuhulin
>
> I am thinking of scanning and photoshopping my birth certificate and
> using it to claim I am of royal blood and sue the queen of england,
> claiming I am the son she gave up for adoption years ago ... however, I
> will have to find a way from ever allowing a DNA test, or I will be SOL!
>
> If I end up having to give 'em a .pdf for some gawd unknown reason, I'll
> just tell 'em it is layered because it was constructed on the "cake model!"
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS

If your blood type is Rh positive then you are Rolality and are a
direct decendent of the 13th bloodline.

41. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 05:02:37 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9ED8A9F322BBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 227968
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in
news:MPG.28269a0f5df13b3598a137@news.giganews.com:

> In article <Xns9ED7E8F20647FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>,
> grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com says...
>>
>> "Wayne" <mygarbagecan@verizon.net> wrote in news:ipiggvrdt1@dont-
>> email.me:
>>
>> >
>> > "jcon" <cirejcon@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> > news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com
>> > ... On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy <w...@myself.com> wrote:
>> >> On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > > ...
>> >> > > ABCDEFG!!!
>> >>
>> >> > > That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
>> >>
>> >> > Just keeps getting better, and better!:
>> >>
>> >> >http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-
>> press-...
>> >>
>> >> The PDF is composed of multiple images. That?s correct. Using a
>> >> photo editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this
>> >> image data, view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they?re
>> >> being called, aren?t layers in the traditional photo-editing sense
>> >> of the word. They are, quite literally, pieces of image data that
>> >> have been positioned in a PDF container. They appear as text but
>> >> also contain glyphs, dots, lines, boxes, squiggles, and random
>> >> garbage. They?re not combined or merged in any way. Quite simply,
>> >> they look like they were created programmatically, not by a human.
>> >>
>> >> What?s plausible is that somewhere along the way ? from the scanning
>> >> device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can perform OCR
>> >> (optical character recognition) ? these partial/pseudo-text images
>> >> were created and saved. What?s not plausible is that the government
>> >> spent all this time manufacturing Obama?s birth certificate only to

>> >> commit the laughably rookie mistake of exporting the layers from
>> >> Photoshop, or whatever photo editing software they are meant to have
>> >> used. It?s likely that whoever scanned the birth certificate in
>> >> Hawaii forgot to turn off the OCR setting on the scanner. Let?s
>> >> leave it at that.
>> >>
>> >> http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c
>> >> ...
>> >>
>> >
>> > Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an amateurish
>> > Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than simply
>> > create a forged document. Seriously, all this fuss about the
>> > "original birth certificate". Do we honestly believe the
>> > most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
>> > wanted? The physical birth certificate has *never* been as
>> > convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth announcements,
>> > and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of Hawaii and
>> > the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
>> > no white man has ever been asked to produce).
>> >
>> > I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
>> > including a time machine - would ever convince them. Why
>> > did it take Obama so long to release it? I think we can see
>> > why now. He knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
>> > **************************
>> > -
>> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's
>> > ass should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not
>> > have layers.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>> And there is now the not insignificant problem of his father?s
>> citizenship.
>
>
> It's totally insignificant. He could have been a citizen of
> Spotsylvania, wouldn't matter in the slightest.

You think so? Well to idiots who want to believe the lie.

>>
>> And the issue of what happened to O between 1961 and 2008. Something of
>> a vacuum documentation wise.
>
> Only if you tend towards the birthtardic.
>

You mean if you are curious about the unsubstantiated claims of the absolute buffoon we have put in charge,

What are you afraid of? What secrets do you not want revealed? What impact might they have on the American people regarding their trust of the Progressives?

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

42. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 05:06:14 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9ED8B3C48032Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 227969
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

george <gblack@hnpl.net> wrote in news:09728c1f-2726-491b-b682-9da4b2456bae@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com:

> On May 1, 2:58 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable and
>> began with a presidential candidate promising transparency and has ended
>> up with executive orders issued by him to keep his entire past a secret
>> ... that only takes a rational mind to realize that something is bad
wron
> g!
>
> The craziness is that you are a racist.
> President Obama is black and only the next electoral cycle can
> change that.
> Get used to it
>
>

What the fuck does color have to do with anything? I don't like scumbag progressives white, black, brown or green.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

43. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Tu...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 05:09:54 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipiq41hrb1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 4/30/2011 9:41 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Four days, and that PHONY FRAUD FAKE ASS FORGERY SCARHEAD MOFO so-called
> Birth Certificate gets Yanked OFF of the White House website.They Know
> damn well it is a PHONY FRAUD FAKE ASS FORGERY! FOUR DAYS!
> cuhulin
>

This guy shouldn't have been president of this kindergarten class!

Regards,
JS

44. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to T...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 05:29:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBCEFAB-994@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 227971

The REAL SCARHEAD MOFO PIMP! Certificate.... And Why Granma Died.Click
Here.
http://www.rense.com
cuhulin

45. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 05:35:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBCF109-996@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 227972

No need to do all that PDFing.As long as SCARHEAD MOFO PIMP is squatting in our White House, the next 'one' ''they'' issue will also be a PHONY FRAUD FAKE ASS Birth Certificate too.I Guarantee you.
cuhulin

46. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 05:55:24 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dbe4ea66-f11a-4fc4-b703-dda1043985c3@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227973
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On Apr 30, 9:32 pm, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Sun, 01 May 2011 12:17:23 +1000, Krypsis <kryp...@optusnet.com.au>
> wrote:
>
>
>
> >On 1/05/2011 11:55 AM, John Smith wrote:
> >> On 4/30/2011 7:53 PM, Strabo wrote:
>
> >>>> ...
> >>>> Translation: you can't stand the fact that an African American is in the
> >>>> White House. How would being born in Hawaii make Obama unnaturally born?
> >>>> Seems pretty natural to me.
>
> >>> His father was a foreign national, a liar and a Communist.
> >>> Therefore, Barack Obama, alias Barry Soetoro, does not pass
> >>> constitutional muster.
>
> >>> For this and a other reasons, your favorite "African American"
> >>> is not president of the US.
>
> >> I guess government mafias don't need legal presidents ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> >You've got a legal president! His name is Obama. He has proven his
> >legality ... twice.
>
> >Krypsis
>
> Again we have a strange and mentally disturbed Leftwinger proclaiming
> that all is good, even though all the facts point the other way.

How come yuou can't name one fact that "points the other way," Popgun?
Hmmmmmm?

> Sorry Kryp...it aint over yet.

Ain't over? You moron, it never even got started!

BWAHAAHAAAHAAHAHAAA!!!!

> Deal with it.

Done and done, three years ago. Case closed.

47. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 06:01:54 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipit5ghrb2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 227976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california

On 4/30/2011 10:35 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> No need to do all that PDFing.As long as SCARHEAD MOFO PIMP is squatting
> in our White House, the next 'one' ''they'' issue will also be a PHONY
> FRAUD FAKE ASS Birth Certificate too.I Guarantee you.
> cuhulin
>

I think it obvious, if he had one, we would have seen it by now ...

What is ever more distressing is that it takes a LOT of criminals in
government supporting him for him to be able to stay in office.

Long ago, the FBI should have approached him and told him, "Sir, you are
going to have to come up with a REAL BIRTH CERTIFICATE, or we are going
to have to ask you to leave."

Regards,
JS

48. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 06:03:27 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b9e1e65f-bf3d-4a65-831a-2befffce810a@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227974
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On Apr 30, 8:39 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
> an illegitimate POTUS.

That is legally incorrect. The citizenship status of either parent is completely immaterial to his natural born citizenship, This is long settled law and is not even remotely open to dispute.

> Since his father was still married to a wife in
> kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA.

That of course has nothing to do with anything and in fact would mean that Obama would be a natural born citizenship even if he had been born in Kenya. If Ann Dunham were not legally married at the time, Obama could have been born anywhere BUT in the US and still be a natural born citizen since the 5-year minimum residency requirement after age 14 (Dunham was only 18 at the time) would not longer be applicable.

You just kicked your OWN ass, WrongTurnJoe!!
BWAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHA!!

49. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 06:03:39 UTC
From: Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4dbcf7c2$0$2443$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 227975
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

```
On 1/05/2011 1:32 PM, Gunner Asch wrote:
> On Sun, 01 May 2011 12:17:23 +1000, Krypsis<krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
> wrote:
>
>> On 1/05/2011 11:55 AM, John Smith wrote:
>>> On 4/30/2011 7:53 PM, Strabo wrote:
>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Translation: you can't stand the fact that an African American is in the
>>>>> White House. How would being born in Hawaii make Obama unnaturally born?
>>>>> Seems pretty natural to me.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> His father was a foreign national, a liar and a Communist.
>>>> Therefore, Barack Obama, alias Barry Soetoro, does not pass
>>>> constitutional muster.
>>>>
>>>> For this and a other reasons, your favorite "African American"
>>>> is not president of the US.
>>>>
>>>
>>> I guess government mafias don't need legal presidents ...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> You've got a legal president! His name is Obama. He has proven his
>> legality ... twice.
>>
>> Krypsis
>
> Again we have a strange and mentally disturbed Leftwinger proclaiming
> that all is good, even though all the facts point the other way.
>
> Sorry Kryp...it aint over yet.

It isn't for sure. Obama is good to go for at least one more election win.
>
> Deal with it.

No, YOU need to deal with it.
>
> If it works in your favor..all will be good.
> If it is proven to be fraud...you and yours will be committing suicide
```

> by the millions.<VBG> and each and every law he signed..gets overturned
> and ground into the dirt.

I sincerely doubt that since I am not in the US. Australia seems a safe
place to weather the storms right now.
>
> So grab on to the safety bars..its gonna be an interesting ride.
>
> Gunner
>
It sure will! Bush left one hell of a mess for Obama to clean up
I hope he succeeds in his efforts.

Krypsis

50. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 06:05:37 UTC
From: Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4dbcf837$0$2443$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 227977
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On 1/05/2011 1:39 PM, John Smith wrote:
> On 4/30/2011 7:17 PM, Krypsis wrote:
>
>> ...
>> You've got a legal president! His name is Obama. He has proven his
>> legality ... twice.
>>
>> Krypsis
>>
>>
>
> ROFLOL!!!
>
> I love the way you do that, on that kinda stuff I just couldn't keep a
> straight face, let alone ever expect anyone to believe it, or ever take
> me seriously again ...

Nobody has ever taken you seriously...
>
> You had better start up another nym for your chit ...

I have used this nym for many years and I see no reason to change it now.
>
> Regards,
> JS

Krypsis

51. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 06:17:45 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <rsudne7npcSUZiHQnZ2dnVY3goidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 227978
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

"Iarnrod" wrote in message
news:dbe4ea66-f11a-4fc4-b703-dda1043985c3@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com...

On Apr 30, 9:32 pm, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Sun, 01 May 2011 12:17:23 +1000, Krypsis <kryp...@optusnet.com.au>
> wrote:
>
>
>
> >On 1/05/2011 11:55 AM, John Smith wrote:
> >> On 4/30/2011 7:53 PM, Strabo wrote:
>
> >>>> ...
> >>>> Translation: you can't stand the fact that an African American is in
> >>>> the
> >>>> White House. How would being born in Hawaii make Obama unnaturally
> >>>> born?
> >>>> Seems pretty natural to me.
>
> >>> His father was a foreign national, a liar and a Communist.
> >>> Therefore, Barack Obama, alias Barry Soetoro, does not pass
> >>> constitutional muster.
>
> >>> For this and a other reasons, your favorite "African American"
> >>> is not president of the US.
>
> >> I guess government mafias don't need legal presidents ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> >You've got a legal president! His name is Obama. He has proven his
> >legality ... twice.
>
> >Krypsis
>
> Again we have a strange and mentally disturbed Leftwinger proclaiming
> that all is good, even though all the facts point the other way.

How come yuou can't name one fact that "points the other way," Popgun?
Hmmmmmm?

> Sorry Kryp...it aint over yet.

Ain't over? You moron, it never even got started!

BWAHAAHAAAHAAHAHAAA!!!!

> Deal with it.

Done and done, three years ago. Case closed.

I keep seeing people saying that the BC has been removed from the
whitehouse.gov website... if that's true, then WTF is this?

http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-birth-certificate

52. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 06:21:48 UTC
From: jc <cirejcon@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8de0fedf-af52-4779-acaa-cef4fe46696d@y12g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227979
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On Apr 30, 9:28 pm, "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
> "jcon" <cirej...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com...
> On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy <w...@myself.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > > On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
>
> > > > ...
> > > > ABCDEFG!!!
>
> > > > That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
>
> > > > Just keeps getting better, and better!:
>
> > > >http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-press-...
>
> > The PDF is composed of multiple images. That’s correct. Using a photo
> > editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this image data,
> > view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they’re being called,
> > aren’t layers in the traditional photo-editing sense of the word. They
> > are, quite literally, pieces of image data that have been positioned
> > in a PDF container. They appear as text but also contain glyphs, dots,
> > lines, boxes, squiggles, and random garbage. They’re not combined or
> > merged in any way. Quite simply, they look like they were created
> > programmatically, not by a human.
>
> > What’s plausible is that somewhere along the way – from the scanning
> > device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can perform OCR
> > (optical character recognition) – these partial/pseudo-text images
> > were created and saved. What’s not plausible is that the government
> > spent all this time manufacturing Obama’s birth certificate only to

> > commit the laughably rookie mistake of exporting the layers from
> > Photoshop, or whatever photo editing software they are meant to have
> > used. It’s likely that whoever scanned the birth certificate in Hawaii
> > forgot to turn off the OCR setting on the scanner. Let’s leave it at
> > that.
>
> >http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c...
>
> Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an amateurish
> Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than simply
> create a forged document. Seriously, all this fuss about the
> "original birth certificate". Do we honestly believe the
> most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
> wanted? The physical birth certificate has *never* been as
> convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth announcements,
> and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of Hawaii and
> the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
> no white man has ever been asked to produce).
>
> I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
> including a time machine - would ever convince them. Why
> did it take Obama so long to release it? I think we can see
> why now. He knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
> **********
> -
> I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's ass
> should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not have
> layers.

Translation: you're a birther.

-jc

53. Re: Obama releases Fake birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 06:39:59 UTC
From: Ray Keller <"LEFTARD TROLLS ARE DESPERATE">
Message-ID: <4dbd0039$0$842$9a6e19ea@news.newshosting.com>
Source record: 227980
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

There
Fixed it for ya

"Brenda Ann" wrote in message
news:rsudne7npcSUZiHQnZ2dnVY3goidnZ2d@giganews.com...

"Iarnrod" wrote in message
news:dbe4ea66-f11a-4fc4-b703-dda1043985c3@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com...

On Apr 30, 9:32 pm, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Sun, 01 May 2011 12:17:23 +1000, Krypsis <kryp...@optusnet.com.au>
> wrote:
>
>
>
> >On 1/05/2011 11:55 AM, John Smith wrote:
> >> On 4/30/2011 7:53 PM, Strabo wrote:
>
> >>>> ...
> >>>> Translation: you can't stand the fact that an African American is in
> >>>> the
> >>>> White House. How would being born in Hawaii make Obama unnaturally
> >>>> born?
> >>>> Seems pretty natural to me.
>
> >>> His father was a foreign national, a liar and a Communist.
> >>> Therefore, Barack Obama, alias Barry Soetoro, does not pass
> >>> constitutional muster.
>
> >>> For this and a other reasons, your favorite "African American"
> >>> is not president of the US.
>
> >> I guess government mafias don't need legal presidents ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> >You've got a legal president! His name is Obama. He has proven his
> >legality ... twice.
>
> >Krypsis
>
> Again we have a strange and mentally disturbed Leftwinger proclaiming
> that all is good, even though all the facts point the other way.

How come yuou can't name one fact that "points the other way," Popgun?
Hmmmmmm?

> Sorry Kryp...it aint over yet.

Ain't over? You moron, it never even got started!

BWAHAAHAAAHAAHAHAAA!!!!

> Deal with it.

Done and done, three years ago. Case closed.

I keep seeing people saying that the BC has been removed from the
whitehouse.gov website... if that's true, then WTF is this?

http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-birth-certificate

54. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 06:44:15 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0c3bb105-c324-414d-ad56-64d5bc76596e@t19g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227981
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On Apr 30, 8:53 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote innews:ipiggvrdt1@dont-
> email.me:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > "jcon" <cirej...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com...
> > On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy <w...@myself.com> wrote:
> >> On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> >> > On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
>
> >> > > ...
> >> > > ABCDEFG!!!
>
> >> > > That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
>
> >> > Just keeps getting better, and better!:
>
> >> >http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-
> press-...
>
> >> The PDF is composed of multiple images. That’s correct. Using a photo
> >> editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this image data,
> >> view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they’re being called,
> >> aren’t layers in the traditional photo-editing sense of the word. They
> >> are, quite literally, pieces of image data that have been positioned
> >> in a PDF container. They appear as text but also contain glyphs, dots,
> >> lines, boxes, squiggles, and random garbage. They’re not combined or
> >> merged in any way. Quite simply, they look like they were created
> >> programmatically, not by a human.
>
> >> What’s plausible is that somewhere along the way – from the scanning
> >> device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can perform OCR
> >> (optical character recognition) – these partial/pseudo-text images
> >> were created and saved. What’s not plausible is that the government

> >> spent all this time manufacturing Obama’s birth certificate only to
> >> commit the laughably rookie mistake of exporting the layers from
> >> Photoshop, or whatever photo editing software they are meant to have
> >> used. It’s likely that whoever scanned the birth certificate in Hawaii
> >> forgot to turn off the OCR setting on the scanner. Let’s leave it at
> >> that.
>
> >>http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c...
>
> > Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an amateurish
> > Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than simply
> > create a forged document. Seriously, all this fuss about the
> > "original birth certificate". Do we honestly believe the
> > most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
> > wanted? The physical birth certificate has *never* been as
> > convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth announcements,
> > and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of Hawaii and
> > the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
> > no white man has ever been asked to produce).
>
> > I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
> > including a time machine - would ever convince them. Why
> > did it take Obama so long to release it? I think we can see
> > why now. He knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
> > **********
> > -
> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's ass
> > should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not have
> > layers.
>
> And there is now the not insignificant problem of his father’s citizenship.

His father's citizenship is completely immaterial when his birth is on
US soil. So is his mother's. Birth on US soil means you're eligible to
be president unless your parents are foreign diplomats on assignment.

> And the issue of what happened to O between 1961 and 2008. Something of a
> vacuum documentation wise.

Not here isn't. That's just another rightarded lie.

55. Handy Shortwave Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 07:00:02 UTC
From: billmc@agora.rdrop.com
Message-ID: <LKSdnV_D2KlvmSDQnZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@scnresearch.com>
Source record: 227983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.info

[Last modified 23-Dec-09]

The latest version of this file can be found at:
http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc

Handy Shortwave Chart
Bill McFadden
billmc@agora.rdrop.com

Band allocations and time zone conversions for the USA. Includes broadcast
bands used outside the USA. For multi-use bands, primary usage is shown.
Some stations operate outside official bands. Information is from Oct 2008
FCC rules and Sep 2009 NTIA manual, see Chapter 4 of:
http://www.ntia.doc.gov/osmhome/redbook/redbook.html

Frequencies are in kHz. Usual spacing in shortwave broadcast bands is
5 kHz. Frequencies above 10000 kHz tend to propagate better during the day;
frequencies below 10000 kHz tend to propagate better at night.

Please e-mail suggestions and corrections to billmc@agora.rdrop.com.

SHORTWAVE BROADCAST BANDS UNITED STATES TIME ZONES

2300 - 2495 120 Meters PDT MDT CDT EDT
3200 - 3400 90 Meters GMT PST MST CST EST
3900 - 4000 75 Meters --
4750 - 5060 60 Meters 0000 4 PM 5 PM 6 PM 7 PM 8 PM
5900 - 6200 49 Meters 0100 5 PM 6 PM 7 PM 8 PM 9 PM
7200 - 7450 41 Meters 0200 6 PM 7 PM 8 PM 9 PM 10 PM
9400 - 9900 31 Meters 0300 7 PM 8 PM 9 PM 10 PM 11 PM
11600 - 12100 25 Meters 0400 8 PM 9 PM 10 PM 11 PM 12 AM
13570 - 13870 22 Meters 0500 9 PM 10 PM 11 PM 12 AM 1 AM
15100 - 15800 19 Meters 0600 10 PM 11 PM 12 AM 1 AM 2 AM
17480 - 17900 16 Meters 0700 11 PM 12 AM 1 AM 2 AM 3 AM
18900 - 19020 15 Meters 0800 12 AM 1 AM 2 AM 3 AM 4 AM
21450 - 21850 13 Meters 0900 1 AM 2 AM 3 AM 4 AM 5 AM
25670 - 26100 11 Meters 1000 2 AM 3 AM 4 AM 5 AM 6 AM
1100 3 AM 4 AM 5 AM 6 AM 7 AM
1200 4 AM 5 AM 6 AM 7 AM 8 AM
AMATEUR PHONE BANDS 1300 5 AM 6 AM 7 AM 8 AM 9 AM
1400 6 AM 7 AM 8 AM 9 AM 10 AM
1800 - 2000 160 Meters 1500 7 AM 8 AM 9 AM 10 AM 11 AM
3600 - 4000 75 Meters 1600 8 AM 9 AM 10 AM 11 AM 12 PM
7125 - 7300 40 Meters 1700 9 AM 10 AM 11 AM 12 PM 1 PM
14150 - 14350 20 Meters 1800 10 AM 11 AM 12 PM 1 PM 2 PM

18110 - 18168 17 Meters 1900 11 AM 12 PM 1 PM 2 PM 3 PM
21200 - 21450 15 Meters 2000 12 PM 1 PM 2 PM 3 PM 4 PM
24930 - 24990 12 Meters 2100 1 PM 2 PM 3 PM 4 PM 5 PM
28300 - 29700 10 Meters 2200 2 PM 3 PM 4 PM 5 PM 6 PM
2300 3 PM 4 PM 5 PM 6 PM 7 PM

AMATEUR CW BANDS
OTHER BANDS
1800 - 2000 160 Meters
3500 - 3600 80 Meters 153 - 279 Longwave, Non-US, 9kHz Spacing
7000 - 7125 40 Meters 540 - 1700 Mediumwave, 10 kHz Spacing
10100 - 10150 30 Meters 531 - 1602 MW, Non-US, 9 kHz Spacing
14000 - 14150 20 Meters 1900 - 2000 Radiolocation
18068 - 18110 17 Meters 13360 - 13410 Radio Astronomy
21000 - 21200 15 Meters 25550 - 25670 Radio Astronomy
24890 - 24930 12 Meters 25670 - 26480 Remote Broadcast Pickup
28000 - 28300 10 Meters 26960 - 27410 Citizens' Band

FIXED SERVICE BANDS AIRCRAFT BANDS MARINE BANDS LAND MOBILE

1705 - 1800 13410 - 13570 2850 - 3155 2000 - 2107 25010 - 25070
2107 - 2170 13870 - 14000 3400 - 3500 2170 - 2194 25210 - 25330
2194 - 2850 14350 - 14990 4650 - 4750 4063 - 4438 26175 - 26480
3155 - 3400 15800 - 16360 5450 - 5730 6200 - 6525 27410 - 27540
4000 - 4063 17410 - 17480 6525 - 6765 8195 - 8815 29700 - 29800
4438 - 4650 18030 - 18068 8815 - 9040 12230 - 13200
4750 - 5450 18168 - 18780 10005 - 10100 16360 - 17410
5730 - 5900 19020 - 19680 11175 - 11400 18780 - 18900 US GOVERNMENT
6765 - 7000 19800 - 21000 13200 - 13360 19680 - 19800
7400 - 8195 21850 - 21924 15010 - 15100 22000 - 22855 25330 - 25550
9040 - 9400 22855 - 23200 17900 - 18030 25070 - 25210 26480 - 26950
9900 - 9995 23350 - 24890 21924 - 22000 26100 - 26175 27540 - 28000
10150 - 11175 26950 - 26960 23200 - 23350 29890 - 29910
11400 - 11600 29800 - 29890
12100 - 12230 29910 - 30000

--
Bill McFadden billmc@agora.rdrop.com http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc
CAUTION: Don't look into laser beam with remaining eye.

56. Phone line as SW antenna [12-Jul-06]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 07:00:03 UTC
From: billmc@agora.rdrop.com
Message-ID: <ruqdndgMoOlumSDQnZ2dnUVZ_uWdnZ2d@scnresearch.com>
Source record: 227982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.info

[Last modified 12-Jul-06]

The latest version of this file can be accessed via my web page at:
http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc

Phone line as SW antenna
Bill McFadden
billmc@agora.rdrop.com

Changes preceded by "|".

WARNINGS AND DISCLAIMERS

Connecting unapproved devices to phone lines may be illegal in your area.
Telephone lines present a potential shock hazard. Do not use this antenna
for transmitting. Use at your own risk.

INTRODUCTION

This article describes how to use a phone line as a shortwave receiving
antenna. Performance will vary depending on the kind of phone line you
have. Overhead lines usually make okay antennas, while underground lines
| usually don't. Phone lines carrying DSL service may be unsuitable because
| DSL operates over a wide spectrum. A phone line antenna will generally
pick up more power line noise than a dedicated antenna, so don't expect to
| use it for DX work. Nevertheless, I found that it outperformed the
| telescopic whip antennas built into many portables.

A reader sent in this suggestion: If you're concerned about connecting
the phone line directly to the receiver, try wrapping the phone cord
several times around the receiver's telescopic whip antenna instead.
Performance may not be as good as a direct connection, but it will
probably be better than the whip antenna by itself.

Someone else wrote asking about lightning protection. I haven't thought
much about it because thunderstorms are rare in my area. Most phone lines
have lightning arrestors on them where they enter the house, but the
lightning arrestors may pass enough energy during a lightning strike
damage a receiver. My suggestion is to disconnect the antenna when not in
use if you experience frequent thunderstorms.

HOW TO DO IT

The simplest connection is a single capacitor between the phone line and
receiver. The capacitor eliminates all phone line voltages, including
| ringing, so that they will not harm the receiver. The capacitor should
| be rated 250 volts or higher.

```
Phone line RF connector
red .01 uF center cond. / \
or o--------||-----------------------------|-o | To receiver
green \ /
50 ohm coax |
ground |
or o-----------------------------------------+
N.C. shield
```

N.C. = no connect. I originally connected the phone line ground (yellow wire) to this terminal, but reception was better without it (if you do this, play it safe and put a .01 uF capacitor in series). If another ground is available, you can connect it to this terminal.

If you are picking up local AM or FM radio stations on the shortwave bands, it is likely that your receiver is overloaded. Inexpensive and portable receivers usually lack the filtering needed to eliminate this interference, but you can make your own filters. Filters can also be
| purchased from shortwave dealers (see below for recommendations).

A high-pass filter can be used to remove signals below the shortwave bands. It rejects interference from local AM stations and presents a high impedance to the phone line at audio frequencies so that the phone line remains balanced.

A low-pass filter can be used to remove signals above the shortwave bands. It rejects interference from FM and TV stations, as well as VHF 2-way radio transmitters. If you use both filters, connect the output of the first filter to the input of the second (don't forget to connect their ground terminals together). It doesn't matter which filter is connected first.

These filters also work well with random wire antennas. Just connect the antenna in place of the phone line.

The filter(s) should be connected to the phone line in this manner:

```
Phone line RF connector
red -------- center cond. / \
or o----| |-------------------------|-o | To receiver
green | | \ /
| FILTER | 50 ohm coax |
ground | | |
or o----| |----------------------------+
N.C. -------- shield
```

```
| RECOMMENDED FILTERS
|
| If you don't want to make your own filters, the following have good
| performance, are well-built and affordable:
|
| Model 400 BCB interference filter (3.5 MHz highpass)
| http://www.iceradioproducts.com/filtersrf.html#bcb
|
```

| Model 420 HF lowpass filter (30 MHz lowpass)
| http://www.iceradioproducts.com/filtersrf.html#3
| (scroll down a bit to see the model 420)
|
| If you have a random-wire antenna, the following unit will provide
| impedance matching and lightning protection (connect antenna to 450-ohm
| terminal):
|
| Model 182A beverage matching unit
| http://www.iceradioproducts.com/reconly.html#Beverage Matching

FILTER PLANS

The original credit for the high-pass and low-pass filters goes to Paul Blumstein and John Shalamskas, respectively. Edited versions of their articles are included here:

Date: 09 Jan 91 00:54:08 GMT
From: paulb@harley.TTI.COM (Paul Blumstein)
Subject: BC Band Hi-Pass Filter

The following ascii-schematic diagram is a high pass filter that will filter out Broadcast Band (MW) stations. I found it a great boon to my shortwave listening since local MW stations overload my ATS-803A front end & appear in SW, especially with a long antenna.

If you remember my antenna saga, I went from 50 feet to 150 feet & had overload problems causing me to cut back to 50 feet. (Even at 50 feet, I still have some MW interference). I took the advice of Gary Coffman and looked up filters in the ARRL Handbook. With the filter in place, I intend to try to increase my antenna length again.

Anywho, here is the filter, for interested parties.

```
--------||---+----||-----+----||-----------
} }
{ {
} }
-------------+-----------+-----------------
```

The outer capacitors are 1500 pf ceramic disks.
The inner capacitor is 820 pf ceramic disk.
The squiggly things are coils (two total). Each one is 2.7 uh.
(a close value will do).

Date: 12 Jan 91 00:44:25 GMT
From: bill@videovax.tv.tek.com (William K. McFadden)
Subject: Re: BC Band Hi-Pass Filter

I built the filter that Paul Blumstein posted recently and measured it on a gain-phase analyzer. Here are its characteristics:

100 kHz -120dB
500 kHz -68dB

1000 kHz -38dB
1600 kHz -15dB
2100 kHz -3dB

The source and load impedances were 50 ohms. Because the filter has five elements, the attenuation is 30dB per octave. The measurements confirmed this.

This filter seems to be a good compromise between interference attenuation and passband response. There is very little attenuation in the 120m band and above. It could use a little more attenuation at the upper end of MW, which could be done with more stages or a higher cutoff frequency. Alternatively, you could build two of these filters and put them in series. (Since two 1500pF capacitors in series are really 750pF, you could eliminate one cap.)

Just for fun, I put 470 ohms in series with the input to see how the filter performed with an antenna mismatch. The response was:

100 kHz -105dB
500 kHz -60dB
1000 kHz -35dB
1600 kHz -15dB
2300 kHz -3dB

These figures are normalized to the passband response of -15dB, which is due to the impedance mismatch between the source and load and would have been there without the filter. Hence, the filter works almost as well in spite of the mismatch, which is good news to those who use long-wire antennas.

Date: 22 Apr 92 08:59:33 GMT
From: johns@uhunix.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (John Shalamskas)
Subject: Construction of filters for SW reception

Several people have asked for construction details of the filters I built for my DX-440.

The high-pass filter helped some, but in my location the VHF/UHF broadcasters are also causing problems. So, I dug out the ARRL handbook and chose a 7-element Chebyshev low-pass design that is -3 dB at 35 MHz, -20 dB at 43 MHz, and -50 dB at 64 MHz (all calculated; it works well in practice!)

LOW-PASS FILTER (Rejects FM, TV, etc.)



|
chassis ground

I had to do a little more improvising at this point. I used .33 uH
instead of .36, and .66 uH instead of .42, but it works fine.

The 5-lug terminal strips were perfect for these circuits, since there
are 4 lugs plus a grounded lug. All "ground" connections go to the lug
that is mounted to the chassis, and the other 4 lugs are used for each
of the connections on the signal line. One terminal strip is used per
filter. Since both filters were necessary to clean up the hash, I am
going to put them both into one box when I get the time.

The proper way to connect them is in series, i.e.

signal in ------- filter 1 -------- filter 2 -------- signal out

There is no difference between ends. They are "bilateral" which means
you can't possibly hook them up backwards. (In the above schematics,
left and right ends are interchangeable.)

COIL WINDING

You can make the coils yourself using this formula:

L = 0.2 * B^2 * N^2 / (3B + 9A + 10C)

L is the inductance, in uH
A is the length of the coil, in inches
B is the mean diameter of the coil, in inches
C is the diameter of the wire, in inches
N is the number of turns

For small wire, you can assume C = 0.

PARTS SOURCE

Parts for the filters can be obtained from the following source:
http://www.digi-key.com/

--- end ---

--
Bill McFadden billmc@agora.rdrop.com http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc
CAUTION: Don't look into laser beam with remaining eye.

57. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 07:11:38 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <185146b1-5cb5-454c-8c29-d43c75840f8f@26g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227984
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 1, 2:03 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On Apr 30, 8:39 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
> > an illegitimate POTUS.
>
> That is legally incorrect. The citizenship status of either parent is
> completely immaterial to his natural born citizenship, This is long
> settled law and is not even remotely open to dispute.
>
> > Since his father was still married to a wife in
> > kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA.
>
> That of course has nothing to do with anything and in fact would mean
> that Obama would be a natural born citizenship even if he had been
> born in Kenya. If Ann Dunham were not legally married at the time,
> Obama could have been born anywhere BUT in the US and still be a
> natural born citizen since the 5-year minimum residency requirement
> after age 14 (Dunham was only 18 at the time) would not longer be
> applicable.
>
> You just kicked your OWN ass, WrongTurnJoe!!
> BWAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHA!!

Your getting hair lipped Limprod!

Born in Kenya means not Natural born on US soil.Naturalization
citizenship can not be used for POTUS.
He was born a bastard child in Kenya or so he says!
I say MKed Stanley Ann was artificially insimulated with Malcome X
sperm and was delivered at a NASA Mind Control facility on US soil.

None the less if there is no marriage Certifricate still means he was
fatherless,if he was naturalized in Indonesia VOIDS any previous
natural born citizenship.So there again he is said to be a dual
citizen thus not eligible to be POTUS.

You cant wish this out into the cornfield,it is in the 13th and 14th
amendment of our joke of a Constitution.

58. Re: AFN Diego Garcia on 12,759 kHz [USB] @ 04:30 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 07:25:10 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <2e9b29c4-e7b7-4b8a-a613-231352ffcd68@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227985

On Apr 30, 7:06 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On Apr 28, 6:23 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > AFN Diego Garcia on 12,759 kHz [USB] @ 04:30 UTC
> > .
> > S-Meter : '0' to S1 and SIO 243 and low to fair audio
> > on 27 April 2011
>
> Roy - Victor Goonetilleke in Sri Lanka informs me that AFRTS 12759U in
> BIOT is obviously on the air at 0138z today, May 1, 2011, and likely
> has been for a few days at least - so my reception of them during the
> 0100 - 0200 hours the last few days has been real :-) Reception
> Report time! Yahhooo! :-) Also notes that the remote Perseus
> receiver in Oregon has them today at SIO 333, which is MUCH better
> than here in San Francisco Bay Area - but what the heck! :-)
>
> 73 on the house!
> Bruce Jensen

BpnJ,

Listened just about every hour at the top of the hour
for -5 to +5 and have heard only 'Audio Artifacts' and
"Mumbles' since yesterday.

"Good Clear Audio"* : Recognizable Words and whole
Sentences {Content}; Language; Speaker's Sex:M/F;
Voice-v-Music

* Good Enough for Radio Program Listening

"Identifiable Audio" : Recognizable Words and Language

"Mumbles" : Language like sounds and Word Fragments

'Audio Artifacts' : Sounds like there might be Audio
on-frequency; and when you Tune +5k & -5k there
are 'no' like sounds; just the background band noise
at the time.
.
But, I have heard more 'Mumbles' and Audio Artifacts'
on 12,759 kHz USB; then on 4319 kHz USB.
.
.

59. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 07:54:18 UTC
From: Gunner Asch <gunnerasch@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <144qr61bv5p6old8j4oddm9q2b398ij15i@4ax.com>
Source record: 227986
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On Sun, 01 May 2011 16:03:39 +1000, Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
wrote:

>On 1/05/2011 1:32 PM, Gunner Asch wrote:
>> On Sun, 01 May 2011 12:17:23 +1000, Krypsis<krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> On 1/05/2011 11:55 AM, John Smith wrote:
>>>> On 4/30/2011 7:53 PM, Strabo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Translation: you can't stand the fact that an African American is in the
>>>>> White House. How would being born in Hawaii make Obama unnaturally born?
>>>>> Seems pretty natural to me.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> His father was a foreign national, a liar and a Communist.
>>>> Therefore, Barack Obama, alias Barry Soetoro, does not pass
>>>> constitutional muster.
>>>>
>>>> For this and a other reasons, your favorite "African American"
>>>> is not president of the US.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I guess government mafias don't need legal presidents ...
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>>
>>> You've got a legal president! His name is Obama. He has proven his
>>> legality ... twice.
>>>
>>> Krypsis
>>
>> Again we have a strange and mentally disturbed Leftwinger proclaiming
>> that all is good, even though all the facts point the other way.
>>
>> Sorry Kryp...it aint over yet.
>
>It isn't for sure. Obama is good to go for at least one more election win.
>>
>> Deal with it.
>

>No, YOU need to deal with it.
>>
>> If it works in your favor..all will be good.
>> If it is proven to be fraud...you and yours will be committing suicide
>> by the millions.<VBG> and each and every law he signed..gets overturned
>> and ground into the dirt.
>
>I sincerely doubt that since I am not in the US. Australia seems a safe
>place to weather the storms right now.
>>
>> So grab on to the safety bars..its gonna be an interesting ride.
>>
>> Gunner
>>
>It sure will! Bush left one hell of a mess for Obama to clean up
>I hope he succeeds in his efforts.
>
>Krypsis

And now we have an Aussie who is mentally ill chipping in to the
conversation. Bloody fucking wonderful.

Chuckle...well..good folks, even the blokes who are boozers. I used to
love fucking their women while they had their noses deep in a pint down
at the pub.

Shrug...if you were born between 1971 and 73...I could have been your
da.

But I actually doubt it. My git havent been mentally ill.

Lets see what happens in 2012. Perhaps the Obamassiah may be running for
office, or he will be in jail.

I rather doubt he will win, given that his popularity is down around
30%...but...miracles do happen.

<VBG>

Gunner

--
"If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is eight,
it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)

60. Re: KWSX-AM 1280 kHz "Info-Wars" with Alex Jones @ 06:15 PM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 08:01:40 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1ef40010-dfcc-4413-adc1-88ac74afaa53@w5g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227987

On Apr 30, 8:32 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 4/29/2011 6:56 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> > > .
> > > .
>
> Yes, Alex Jones has been on 1280 - KWSX here in the central valley for
> more than a month, that I know of.
>
> I was shocked! 1280 has terrible coverage here, some relation to 1280
> is 1360 - KFIV, perhaps a sister station in Tracy, Modesto, or ?
>
> I never checked if 1360 is carrying Alex Jones or not.
>
> Only listen on 1280 if in the car at those hours 1280 replays his broadcast.
>
> Regards,
> JS

JS,

Rumors Are : That Alex Jones may be on 50 to 100
more Radio Stations by the end of the Year; and that
Alex Jones will be in the 25 Major Media Markets or
more for the 2012 Election Cycle.

New AM Late-Nite Radio Cycle : 3-Hours of C2C-AM;
followed by 3-Hours of Info-Wars; with a repeat of
C2C-AM...

Plus a new feature "The Alex 'Info' Minute" several
times throughout the day on AM Radio Stations
where "Info-Wars" is being Aired.
-wrt- The Rush Minute and the Huckabee Report etc...

The Rising Sun Has The Face of Alex Jones On It !

~ RHF
.
.
KWSX-AM 1280 kHz hearing "Info-Wars"
with Alex Jones @ 06:15 PM local PDT*
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/2b7788c9f69b4bbc
KWSX-AM in Stockton, CA
{California's Central Valley}
.

.

61. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 08:04:46 UTC
From: Gunner Asch <gunnerasch@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <td4qr6d78nicj0qcd1s50srh3c46gr99bj@4ax.com>
Source record: 227988
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On Sun, 1 May 2011 15:17:45 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
<newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org> wrote:

>
>
>"Iarnrod" wrote in message
>news:dbe4ea66-f11a-4fc4-b703-dda1043985c3@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com...
>
>On Apr 30, 9:32 pm, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On Sun, 01 May 2011 12:17:23 +1000, Krypsis <kryp...@optusnet.com.au>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> >On 1/05/2011 11:55 AM, John Smith wrote:
>> >> On 4/30/2011 7:53 PM, Strabo wrote:
>>
>> >>>> ...
>> >>>> Translation: you can't stand the fact that an African American is in
>> >>>> the
>> >>>> White House. How would being born in Hawaii make Obama unnaturally
>> >>>> born?
>> >>>> Seems pretty natural to me.
>>
>> >>> His father was a foreign national, a liar and a Communist.
>> >>> Therefore, Barack Obama, alias Barry Soetoro, does not pass
>> >>> constitutional muster.
>>
>> >>> For this and a other reasons, your favorite "African American"
>> >>> is not president of the US.
>>
>> >> I guess government mafias don't need legal presidents ...
>>
>> >> Regards,
>> >> JS
>>
>> >You've got a legal president! His name is Obama. He has proven his
>> >legality ... twice.
>>
>> >Krypsis
>>
>> Again we have a strange and mentally disturbed Leftwinger proclaiming
>> that all is good, even though all the facts point the other way.

>
>How come yuou can't name one fact that "points the other way," Popgun?
>Hmmmmmm?

Odd, this has been the subject and countless links have been posted for
2 days now. Did you just get here? Or did you just get out of lockup on
that hooker charge?
>
>> Sorry Kryp...it aint over yet.
>
>Ain't over? You moron, it never even got started!

Really? ROFLMAO...seems that the swell is picking up steam..seems that
the inconsistancies are starting to make even the MSM pay attention.

Its gonna suck badly to be a Leftie in the weeks to come.

<VBG>

>
>BWAHAAHAAAHAAHAHAAA!!!!
>
>> Deal with it.
>
>Done and done, three years ago. Case closed.

Denial..is not a river in Egypt.

Hey guys..what the fuck..did the DNC handout laptops to everyone in a
mental hospital in response to the news about the Obamassiah?

All the nut cases are here and they are all in a deep shrill panic about
their Obamassiah! Poor babies....they may lose their mentor..their
king, their godhead..he might wind up in prison for high crimes and
misdomeaners against the United States of America.

Look at them..twitching and choking..turning red in the face...fingers
spasming...hate and fear in their eyes.....

Crom but I love it when I see that many leftwingers on the raw edge of
panic and rage. Hey..summers coming! Maybe they will rise up and burn
down something! Maybe their homes and apartments! Yah! Thats the
thing! Burn down your house and your apartment and get back at the
Man!!

Laugh laugh laugh

Gunner

>
>
>
>--
>--

>
>I keep seeing people saying that the BC has been removed from the
>whitehouse.gov website... if that's true, then WTF is this?
>
>http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-birth-certificate
>

--
"If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is eight,
it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)

62. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 08:06:05 UTC
From: Gunner Asch <gunnerasch@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <i15qr6ljn17l7e1dbaped0rththu5ja7m4@4ax.com>
Source record: 227989
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On Sun, 01 May 2011 16:05:37 +1000, Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
wrote:

>>
>> You had better start up another nym for your chit ...
>
>I have used this nym for many years and I see no reason to change it now.
>>

Odd..I thought most of you mental cases were Napoleon or something like
that.

Ill have to remember the new nym.

Thanks!!

Gunner

--
"If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is eight,
it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)

63. Dave -proclaims- In 'His' Meth Lab !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 08:20:53 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <445fb4d2-6a10-47d2-8e2a-e1c7d77d8620@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227990

On Apr 30, 7:45 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 04/29/2011 06:56 PM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
> > * "Info-Wars" with Alex Jones is Listed as :
> > KWSX 1280 AM STOCKTON CA 1000 Watts
> > at 8:00PM-11:00PM on MON-FRI
> >http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/alexJones/affiliateList.pdf
> > .
> > XM RADIO XM Channel # 158
> > (Channel 158 Airs 8-9 PM Weekdays Central Time)
>
> > On-Line via Genesis Communications Network [GCN]
> >http://www.gcnlive.com/schedule.php
> > * Alex Jones On-Demand GCN-Line
> >http://www.gcnlive.com/mediaPlayers/odPlayer.php?program=alexJones

- - yes - i heard it on-the-radio ~ RHF

- In your meth lab

Dave : ! "Meth Lab" !
-meth-kills- -dave-just-say-'no'-to-meth- -meth-kills-
http://meth-kills.org/meth-lab.html

Dave : Is That Another One Of Your Drugs Of-Choice ?
-dave-do-you-lace-your-medical-marijuana-with-meth-?-
-meth-kills- -dave-just-say-'no'-to-meth- -meth-kills-
http://meth-kills.org/meth-lab.html

Dave -wrt- "Meth Lab" You Wrote It : You Own It
-meth-kills- -dave-just-say-'no'-to-meth- -meth-kills-
http://meth-kills.org/meth-lab.html

My [RHF] simple vices* are :
a Cup of Coffee with Breakfast
a Glass of Wine with Diner
a Bottle of Bear with some Pizza
plus a Cup of Warn Tea on a Cold Afternoon
* All Legal and All Taxed {Controlled}

Dave - While Your Medical-Marijuana Is Illegal and Un-Taxed
-stop-using-illegal-drugs-&-pay-your-just-taxes-due-
-meth-kills- -dave-just-say-'no'-to-meth- -meth-kills-
http://meth-kills.org/meth-lab.html

Dave - While Your Acid-LSD Illegal and Un-Taxed
-stop-using-illegal-drugs-&-pay-your-just-taxes-due-
-meth-kills- -dave-just-say-'no'-to-meth- -meth-kills-
http://meth-kills.org/meth-lab.html

Dave - While Your Meth-Lab Is Illegal and Un-Taxed
-stop-using-illegal-drugs-&-pay-your-just-taxes-due-
-meth-kills- -dave-just-say-'no'-to-meth- -meth-kills-
http://meth-kills.org/meth-lab.html

Dave - Are You Proclaiming That You Have A Meth Lab ?
-dave-'stop'-you-are-a-danger-to-the-environment-
-meth-kills- -dave-just-say-'no'-to-meth- -meth-kills-
http://meth-kills.org/meth-lab.html

Dave - Are You Proclaiming That Your Meth Lab
Is Open For Business ?
-dave-'stop'-you-are-a-danger-to-the-environment-
-meth-kills- -dave-just-say-'no'-to-meth- -meth-kills-
http://meth-kills.org/meth-lab.html

Dave - Why Did You Bring Up "Meth Lab" ?
-flash-backs-dave- -more-flash-backs-dave-
-meth-kills- -dave-just-say-'no'-to-meth- -meth-kills-
http://meth-kills.org/meth-lab.html

Must Be 'Your' Meth Lab Dave
-cause- I Only Breath Fresh Natural Mountain Air
-not- Your Meth-Laced Medical-Marijuana
-thus- Dave -proclaims- In 'His' Meth Lab !
-ain't- No Body Here Touting Illegal Drugs and Waving
Their Very Own Medical-Marijuana Card but 'You' Dave.
-meth-kills- -dave-just-say-'no'-to-meth- -meth-kills-
http://meth-kills.org/meth-lab.html

Must Be 'Your' Meth Lab Dave
-cause- I Only Drink Clean Pure Mountain Water
-not- Your Meth-Laced Medical-Marijuana
-thus- Dave -proclaims- In 'His' Meth Lab !
-ain't- No Body Here Touting Illegal Drugs and Waving
Their Very Own Medical-Marijuana Card but 'You' Dave.
-meth-kills- -dave-just-say-'no'-to-meth- -meth-kills-
http://meth-kills.org/meth-lab.html

While I Am Just Talking About Listening To The
Good Old Free Over-the-Air AM-Talk Radio
and Hearing Alex Jones on KWSX-AM 1280 kHz
in Stockton, CA --- 10-4 Good Buddy :o) ~ RHF
-no-drugs-required- -just-say-'no'-to-drugs-
.
KWSX-AM 1280 kHz hearing "Info-Wars"
with Alex Jones @ 06:15 PM local PDT*
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/2b7788c9f69b4bbc

KWSX-AM in Stockton, CA
{California's Central Valley}
.
.

64. Re: (OT) Philips Light Bulb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 08:56:41 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <f504291f-b150-42c7-89c8-ed0489287a74@w5g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227991

On Apr 30, 1:56 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Philips Unveils Energy Efficient Incandescant Light Bulbhttp://www.rense.com
>
> We gots a Real Good old movie on TCM right now, The Bad Seed. (1956)
> Nancy Kelly, Patty McCormack, Henry Jones.Odd fatal accidents lead a
> woman to realize that her 8 year old daughter was born to kill.
> Sci-Fi/Horror.
> BAD BADDA BADDA BAD SEED!
>
> Next up at 5:00 PM on the FMC channel, A Number 1 To Portland.
> cuhulin

Philips Unveils Energy-Efficient Incandescent Light Bulb
http://www.reuters.com/article/2011/04/29/idUS273367407320110429
The new Bulbs use Halogen Elements and Save 28%
on Electricity over the older Tungsten Filament based
Incandescent Bulbs.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Incandescent_light_bulb

IIRC : The Halogen Elements should last long by 33%
to 50% then the same Tungsten Element for the same
Light Output {Lumens}

NOTE : That the USA has over 4.4 Billion Medium [E27]
Screw-Based 110VAC Light Sockets
.
I could buy a Million of them for a $1 a Piece and
Re-package them as Say 'No' To Obama "Anti-CFL"
Bulbs and Sell them on Pay-Tree-Ott Websites for $5
to $10 a Piece and Buy Carbon Credits with the Profits
-we-love-you-al-gore- ~ RHF
.
.

65. Re: (OT) Precious and rare earth metals, Opium

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 09:23:21 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <566d6b89-3f0d-455b-82f6-511333dc3e3c@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227992

On Apr 30, 7:43 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 04/29/2011 09:49 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Apr 29, 11:50 am, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >> On Fri, 29 Apr 2011 08:29:41 -0700, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> >>> On 04/29/2011 06:20 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> >>>> U.S.Military/Troops never lost any Battles in Vietnam.It was fed govt
> >>>> who pulled our Troops out of Vietnam.
> >>>> cuhulin
>
> >> -I thought it was Nixon and Ford.
>
> >> Yeah - Nixon and Ford were left with the job
> >> of getting us out of Vietnam.
>
> >> But Don't Forget It Was LBJ Who Jumped-In With
> >> Both Feet : Making Vietnam a Bloody War using
> >> the phony Gulf of Tokin
'Incident'http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gulf_of_Tonkin_incident
> >> .
> >> Gulf of Tonkin : McNamara Admits It Didn't
Happen.http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HODxnUrFX6k
> >> LBJ and McNamara -what-a-term-of-deceivers-
> >> .
> >> Now We Have Obama's War for Oil in Libya
> >> .
> >> Plus Obama's Right War in Afghanistan {Oil}
> >> .
>
> > Again . There is absolutely NO oil in Afghanistan . The proposed
> > pipelines for gas/oil from the north were never built .

Once Again Dave,
'You' Change The Subject-Line to weird and weirder :
(OT) Precious and rare earth metals, Opium
.
So Sorry Dave... the Ground that you Grow your
Medical-Marijuana in is NOT "Hallowed Soil"

-wrt- Precious and Rare Earth :o)
.
...and... Wherever you are dumping the waste from
your Meth Lab is totally contaminated earth with a
toxic soil cesspool full of deadly chemicals.
http://www.kci.org/meth_info/meth_cleanup.htm
meth-kills- -dave-just-say-'no'-to-meth- -meth-kills-
http://meth-kills.org/meth-lab.html
.
-ps- Dave Second Hand Marijuana Smoke Kills Too !
-just-like-tobacco-smoke-kills- -smoke-is-smoke-
http://www.physorg.com/news/2010-11-people-2nd-hand.html
-second-hand-smoke-kills- -smoke-is-smoke-
-tobacco-or-marijuana-smoke- -smoke-is-smoke-
-smoke-kills- -smoke-kills- -smoke-kills- -smoke-kills-
.
.
Oh I Get It Dave ;;-}} These are 'hint's for Mother's Day
Gifts : (OT) Precious and rare earth metals, Opium
Gold and Silver Jewelery and 'Opium' Perfume by YSL
http://www.fragrancex.com/images/products/SKU/big/OPIP14.jpg
.
sorry about the above ~ RHF
.
.

66. Re: like not trusting sand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 09:37:58 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <85cbc080-d714-4a8f-aa57-197d1a839927@w5g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227993

On Apr 30, 1:41 am, Hils <h...@nospam.org> wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Welfare for getting fat? That is all you gotta do?
>
> > Krist, I am heading to the stores now for a couple gallon tubs of ice
> > cream and some candy bars!
>
> The UK government is reassessing the 2.6 million people on sickness
> payments, and the miraculous new cure-all is work. Chronic depression?
> Back to work. Chronic fatigue? Back to work. Obese? Back to work.
> Cancer? Work. There are already 2.5 million healthy people out of work,
> and the trend is still upwards with more public and private sector
> redundancies on the way.
>
> A generation ago the government started putting relatively fit people
> onto sickness payments so that they wouldn't appear in the unemployment
> statistics. (The real figure is about 15%.) Now that bankers have taken
> trlllions out fo the economy, the new government seems to think that 5
> million people, many of whom haven't worked for many years, if at all,
> can just go out and find work.
>
> Pay fatties to stay at home or do rehab or whatever it is they do until
> they're employable and there are jobs available. I'm not sure I want any
> more 150kg bags of blubber wandering around in public or <shudder>
> sitting next to me on the train. It's overcrowded enough here already.

Plus they will have to remove about 1/3rd of the Arm-Rests
in Buses and Trains to make Two Normal-Size Seats into
One Double-Wide Seat for the Wide-Back-Sides to plant
their Gluteus-Super-Maximus into. ;;-}}
.

67. Re: like not trusting sand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 09:42:17 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <fd01c7fc-c769-4d30-bf2a-830b2d3f6c4c@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227994

On Apr 30, 10:52 am, Hils <h...@nospam.org> wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > If you have that kind of employment there, I am telling Americans here
> > to apply for british citizenship. When decent paying jobs here are
> > advertised the lines stretch out for blocks!
>
> And if the government here reads that, they'll do the reverse!
>
> > Most business doing this once, won't advertise the jobs that way again.
> > Instead, hiring an employment agency to find their employees.
>
> Agencies have sprung up here because they're not subject to the same
> employment laws as employers who hire people directly. There have been
> reports of some agencies which only take on Polish workers. That's
> illegal, but no-one cares enough to do anything about it. The unions are
> afraid of anything which might look "racist", even if it means British
> workers being fired and replaced by immigrants on lower pay.
>
> The folk who believe there's a conspiracy to create an unaccountable
> global oligarchy supported by a new breed of helots divided and
> subjugated by enforced multiculturalism may be onto something. Almost
> everything the government does these days fits the pattern.

Reminds me of the Letters "NINA" in the USA that
was found in some 19th Century Employment ADZ.
.

68. (OT) : Right to Work for Less a/o More & Right Not To Work At All !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 10:08:42 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <f51fa45f-e31f-4607-bb0c-a30f358115b4@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227995

On Apr 30, 7:44 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 04/29/2011 09:28 PM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > Stinking Unions! EFF Them!
> >http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=306991
>
> > Mississippi is a Right To Work State too.I HATE Unions!
> > cuhulin

1 - Dave -wrote-new-subject-line-
Right to work for less

And Dave You Also Have The Right NOT To Work At All !

Nothing in the US Constitution about "Labor Trade Unions"

Nothing in the US Constitution about "Unions {Closed} Shops"

The US Constitution It's About Individual Rights
* The Right To Work in Your Own 'Pursuit of Happiness'
{Not The Collective Pursuit of Happiness}
* * Including The Right To Join A Union
* * Including The Equal Right NOT To Join A Union
.
.
2 - Dave -wrote-
You must have money to burn. As far as not trusting methane, that's
like
not trusting sand. Methane is ubiquitous. Just about every living
thing
on earth gives up methane eventually.

Dave you be careful around all that ubiquitous Methane
when you light-up your Medical-Marijuana Stuff.

Dave is ubiquitous Methane another by-product of
your Meth Lab ?
.
.
3 - Dave -wrote-

There are entire planets made of methane!

Dave your 'Head' is Not a "Planet"

...but... I may explain why you are light headed ;;-}}
.
4 - Dave -wrote-
That's because you believe your TV.

Dave is that any better than 'You" Talking to
"Your-Selves" in a Mirror ?

radio - just listen and learn ~ RHF
-'believe'-it's-a-state-of-mind-
.
.

69. SEXY VIDEOS YOU MUST SEE MASALA HOT PHOTOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 11:03:48 UTC
From: LPWS <3ghfhfffgg@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dab29de9-2e7f-4258-a926-ba68ae5f8762@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227996

A TO Z INFORMATION SEE IN THIS SITE

http://atwoztopic.blogspot.com/

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS

http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

ALL JOBS SITES FREE SEE

http://jobstwo.blogspot.com/

HOT VIDEOS

http://hotvideotoou.blogspot.com/2011/01/kiss-videos.html

hot lip kiss
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hot-lip-kiss.html
hot kisses
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hot-kisses.html
hollywood hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hollywood-hot-videos.html
real hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/real-hot-videos.html
tamil hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/tamil-hot-videos.html

70. Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 11:17:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <a95ddf8a-4519-4bc2-ba18-19f29b2d6468@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On Apr 30, 6:59 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 4/30/2011 1:06 PM, Shall not be infringed wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Apr 30, 1:06 pm, Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> On Apr 29, 5:35 pm, Shall not be infringed<hot-ham-and-
>
> >> che...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>> On Apr 29, 8:43 am,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >>>> On Apr 28, 9:53 pm, Shall not be infringed<hot-ham-and-
>
> >>>> che...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>> On Apr 28, 10:04 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >>>>>> First, he MADE HISTORY in 2008 by being the FIRST and ONLY
> >>>>>> presidential candidate in our nation's long history to actually
> >>>>>> document that proven fact the he is eligible as a natural born citizen
> >>>>>> over age 35 by publicly releasing his official state-certified and
> >>>>>> verified birth certificate. Here it is again:
>
> >>>>>>http://static.politifact.com.s3.amazonaws.com/graphics/birthCertObama...
>
> >>>>>> Then, yesterday, he released for the second time an official state-
> >>>>>> certified and verified birth certificate, newly issued by the state of
> >>>>>> Hawaii on Wednesday:
>
> >>>>>>http://i.usatoday.net/news/_photos/2011/04/27/obama-birth-certificate...
>
> >>>>>> This makes TWO times that Obama has released his official Hawaii-
> >>>>>> certified official and indisputable birth certificate.
>
> >>>>> Is this the 9-layer pdf?
>
> >>>>> When I scan a document and turn it into a pdf, it is a single layer.

>
> >>>> No it isn't.
>
> >>>> Hint:http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c...
>
> >>>> You know less than nothing, kook. PDFs produce layers. FACT.
>
> >>> My pdf's are one (1) layer.
>
> >> And how does that negate the proven FACT that PDFs produce layers, you
> >> half-born eejit?
>
> > They can when necessary, but this was supposed to be a photocopy of a
> > single (1) page document.
>
> > So why the nine (9) layers?
>
> > Looks like some kind of hanky panky to me.
>
> >> Thanks for demonstrating you know nothing. It's like I said "cars have
> >> on-board navigation systems" and you come back with "my car doesn't
> >> have an on-board navigation system." Are you so stupid as to "think"
> >> that statement can make all on-board navigation systems disappear from
> >> cars?
>
> > I have the navigation system. I move it from car to car (to truck).
>
> > But thanks for asking.
>
> >>> What is the purpose of taking a one-layer original document and making
> >>> 9 layers if not to deceive?
>
> >> You are truly an idiot. Look up image data, fool.
>
> >> Here, even your own fellow rightards have PROVEN YOU
WRONG:http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c...
>
> >> Enjoy being insignificant again, kook.
>
> > There are lots of inconsistencies in Obama's claimed long form birth
> > certificate. I was merely pointing out the very most obvious.
>
> > Thanks for exploding your head all over usenet.
>
> Right off the bat, no seal, dead giveaway!
>
> They used the color safety paper to have an excuse for "layering",
> however, the way the obama forgery is layered proves it a fraud.
>
> An earlier video I posed has the author explaining why ...

>
> Regards,
> JS

Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
http://cdn2-b.examiner.com/sites/default/files/styles/large/hash/e7/cd/resized_HangingChads_4.jpg
.
JS,

This is plain-white 'source' {no background} that was
reported supplied to the Obama White House.
http://media.syracuse.com/news/photo/9520582-large.jpg
.
Before the Obama-Regime Doctored-Up The Image with
the Official 'looking' Green/Hashed Paper Background.
http://addictinginfo.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/obama_long_form_birth_certificate_released_27april2011.jpg
.
However No One can Explain the Penciled-in Numbers
in some of the Official Records Fields [Blocks/Squares]
and how they came to be on the Hawaiian State Document
Black & White Microfilm Image ?WHY? ?WHY? ?WHY?
http://media.syracuse.com/news/photo/9520582-large.jpg
.
Finding The Document Holes in the New and
Improved Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
http://www.cs.uiowa.edu/~jones/cards/collection/chadhang1.jpg
.
? When Were They Put There ? & WHY !
-oops- another inconvenient question...
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
.
? How Did They Get There ? & WHY !
-oops- another inconvenient question...
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/35ea63aac73e99e6
.
? Who Put Them There ? & WHY !
-oops- another inconvenient question...
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/d7c7a6d000b96d84
.
? What Are They For ? & WHY !
-oops- another inconvenient question...
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/4d36cf9576434c5e
.
? Where Is The Paper {Original} Record of this
Clearly "Marked-Up" Microfilm Image ? & WHY !
-oops- another inconvenient question...
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.california/msg/41fc344da0eda81d
.

? Is The Original Paper Document Also Marked-Up
with Penciled-in Numbers ? & WHY !
-oops- another inconvenient question...
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/31d5a18c66e373da
.
A BASIC START AT REAL VERIFICATION :
A basic Forensic Records Check of the Ten Records
'before' File 151 Record # 61-10641 and Ten Records
'after' would show both consistencies {Validating} -or-
inconsistencies {Invalidating} Record # 61-10641
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/db634d5e33b6af05
.
IN-DEPTH TRUE VERIFICATION :
An extensive Forensic Records Check of the 100
Records 'before' File 151 Record # 61-10641 and
100 Records 'after' would show either more
consistencies {Validating} -or- inconsistencies
{Invalidating} Record # 61-10641
1st - Pull, Review and Inspect Every Odd Numbered
Record Down from # 61-10641 for 50 Records; followed
by Every Fifth Record Down from there for 50 Records.
1st - Pull, Review and Inspect Every Even Numbered
Record Up from # 61-10641 for 50 Records; followed
by Every Fifth Record Up from there for 50 Records.
REVIEW + INSPECT + VERIFY = FACTS
-leave-no-birth-certificate-un-che-check-checked-
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/7fcd9dcbb495974c
.
Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again ! ~ RHF
http://obit-mag.com/media/image/florida_hanging_chad_recount.jpg
.
.

71. Re: AFN Diego Garcia on 12,759 kHz [USB] @ 04:30 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 11:31:13 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DBD4481.C1DB85AD@milestones.com>
Source record: 227998

bpnjensen wrote:

> On Apr 28, 6:23 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > AFN Diego Garcia on 12,759 kHz [USB] @ 04:30 UTC
> > .
> > S-Meter : '0' to S1 and SIO 243 and low to fair audio
> > on 27 April 2011
>
> Roy - Victor Goonetilleke in Sri Lanka informs me that AFRTS 12759U in
> BIOT is obviously on the air at 0138z today, May 1, 2011, and likely
> has been for a few days at least - so my reception of them during the
> 0100 - 0200 hours the last few days has been real :-) Reception
> Report time! Yahhooo! :-) Also notes that the remote Perseus
> receiver in Oregon has them today at SIO 333, which is MUCH better
> than here in San Francisco Bay Area - but what the heck! :-)

Decent enough signal here on 12759 at 1130, // 7811.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

72. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 11:35:32 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d1138126-9c1a-4d78-b862-b0556e7105f1@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 227999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On Apr 30, 11:03 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On Apr 30, 8:39 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
> > an illegitimate POTUS.
>
> That is legally incorrect. The citizenship status of either parent is
> completely immaterial to his natural born citizenship, This is long
> settled law and is not even remotely open to dispute.
>
> > Since his father was still married to a wife in
> > kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA.
>
> That of course has nothing to do with anything and in fact would mean
> that Obama would be a natural born citizenship even if he had been
> born in Kenya. If Ann Dunham were not legally married at the time,
> Obama could have been born anywhere BUT in the US and still be a
> natural born citizen since the 5-year minimum residency requirement
> after age 14 (Dunham was only 18 at the time) would not longer be
> applicable.
>
> You just kicked your OWN ass, WrongTurnJoe!!
> BWAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHA!!

Larnrod Your Post Brings Up More Questions....
.
But First For Some 'Birther' Humor :o)
Oops... It's Hanging Chad's All Over Again !!!
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9e0c9455442f3db5
- = & = -
Rob Redding asks... Hey Trump ?
Show Us What's Under The Toupee !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/2425a3ad2391f554
.
.
=Questions= =Questions= =Questions= =Questions=
Oops Oops Oops That Brings Up More Questions . . .
-oops-questions-and-more-questions-never-ending-questions-
.
Where Is Obama's Parents Marriage License Registered ?
-oops-questions-and-more-questions-never-ending-questions-
.

Where Is Obama's Parents Divorce Papers Registered ?
-oops-questions-and-more-questions-never-ending-questions-
.
Where Is Obama-to-Soetaro Adoption Papers Registered ?
=The=Name=Change="To"=Question=
-oops-questions-and-more-questions-never-ending-questions-
.
Where Is Soetaro-Back-To-Obama Name Change
Papers Registered ?
=The=Name=Change="Back-To"=Question=
-oops-questions-and-more-questions-never-ending-questions-
.
Who's Name Is On The Obama {Soetaro?} College Records ?
=The=Adult=Legal=Name=Question=
-oops-questions-and-more-questions-never-ending-questions-
.
Did Obama {Soetaro?} Travel Using An Indonesian Passport ?
=The=Adult=Indonesian=Citizenship=Question=
-oops-questions-and-more-questions-never-ending-questions-
.
Fact Check # 1 : Was the individual that we now call
'Barack Obama' : Once Legally "Barry Soetaro" ? [YEP]
-oops-another-inconvenient-truth- {yep again}
-a- YES "BO" {Obama} Was As A Minor Child
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/34ba6fcb348c072b
.
Fact Check # 2 : Was the individual that we now call
'Barack Obama' : Once Legally a Citizen of Indonesia ? [YEP]
-oops-another-inconvenient-truth- {yep again}
-a- YES "BO" {Obama} Was As A Minor Child
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/34ba6fcb348c072b
.
Question... Did "BO" {Obama} as an Adult Travel using
the name 'Barry Soetaro' and Using an Indonesian Passport ?
-why-are-obama's-adult-records-sealed-?-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b599307219c910fc
.
Question... Did "BO" {Obama} as an Adult Apply a/o GoTo
College using the name 'Barry Soetaro' and Claiming to be
a Foreign Student from Indonesian ?
-why-are-obama's-college-records-sealed-?-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b599307219c910fc
.
OMG ! Yes Barack [H] Obama Was Legally 'Barry
Soetoro' and Was Legally a Citizen of Indonesia
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/08b9e51c003bd2a8
.
One Must Honestly Still Ask... {With Reservations}
Just How Did Someone Once Know as 'Barry Soetaro'
and a Legal Citizen of Indonesia Get Elected to the

US Prez-A-Duntzcy Under the Name Barack Obama ?
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f3bc60d4d7ba8df8
.
.
Prez-A-Duntz Obama 'Reconsidering' Second Term Run
in 2012 : Obama Has Been Out Trump'ed !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6102c3007ce6a3a4
.
OOPS ! : US President Obama May Not Be On-the-Ballot
in All 50 US States in 2012 -read-why-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b73a74c7b3d32061
.
WHY : US President Obama May Not Be On-the-Ballot
in All 50 States in 2012 -read-how-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/5323d853d822b855
.
.
DANG ! --- ? Where's the Birth Certificate?:
"The Case That Barack Obama Is Not {No Way}
Eligible {Legally} To Be President"
the new tell-it-all book by Jerome Corsi
http://www.amazon.com/dp/1936488299/
Yeah - President Obama is Already Feeling
The Heat from Corsi's New Tell-It-All Book
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
.
YES : Jerome Corsi's Book About Obama
Reveals Many More Unanswered Questions
Beyond the Birth Certificate Issue...
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
.
.

73. Re: barack magic negro birth certificate, now you see it, now you don't!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 12:49:16 UTC
From: Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets <RRATR@BurningInHell.org>
Message-ID: <PtGdnZbUQ_NQyyDQnZ2dnUVZ_r2dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228001
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

"John Smith" wrote in message news:ipin2t$l9$4@dont-email.me...

On 4/30/2011 8:43 PM, Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets wrote:
>
>
> "Wayne" wrote in message news:ipiggvrdt1@dont-email.me...
>
>
> "jcon" <cirejcon@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com...
> On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy <w...@myself.com> wrote:
>> On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>> > On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
>>
>> > > ...
>> > > ABCDEFG!!!
>>
>> > > That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
>>
>> > Just keeps getting better, and better!:
>>
>> >http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-press-...
>>
>> The PDF is composed of multiple images. That’s correct. Using a photo
>> editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this image data,
>> view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they’re being called,
>> aren’t layers in the traditional photo-editing sense of the word. They
>> are, quite literally, pieces of image data that have been positioned
>> in a PDF container. They appear as text but also contain glyphs, dots,
>> lines, boxes, squiggles, and random garbage. They’re not combined or
>> merged in any way. Quite simply, they look like they were created
>> programmatically, not by a human.
>>
>> What’s plausible is that somewhere along the way — from the scanning
>> device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can perform OCR
>> (optical character recognition) — these partial/pseudo-text images
>> were created and saved. What’s not plausible is that the government
>> spent all this time manufacturing Obama’s birth certificate only to
>> commit the laughably rookie mistake of exporting the layers from
>> Photoshop, or whatever photo editing software they are meant to have
>> used. It’s likely that whoever scanned the birth certificate in Hawaii
>> forgot to turn off the OCR setting on the scanner. Let’s leave it at

>> that.
>>
>> http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c...
>>
>
> Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an amateurish
> Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than simply
> create a forged document. Seriously, all this fuss about the
> "original birth certificate". Do we honestly believe the
> most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
> wanted? The physical birth certificate has *never* been as
> convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth announcements,
> and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of Hawaii and
> the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
> no white man has ever been asked to produce).
>
> I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
> including a time machine - would ever convince them. Why
> did it take Obama so long to release it? I think we can see
> why now. He knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
> **********
> -
> I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's ass
> should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not have
> layers.
>
> ----------
>
> From Fox: http://tinyurl.com/3ezzs6j
>
> "A leading software expert says there’s no doubt about its authenticity,
> and he dismisses claims of fraud as flat-out wrong."
>
> Read more:
> http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2011/04/29/expert-says-obamas-birth-certificate-legit/#i
xzz1L0W0yQXF
>

What an idiot!

The whitehouse is so ashamed and taking so much flack over the obvious
forgery that they have removed the document from their website, no
reason, quietly, just vanished! POOF!

Another trick by "obama the magic negro", ever hear that song that rush
used to play?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pZyPaivOARM

Regards,
JS

Oh. Now I understand. Not only are you an idiot birther but you're fucking
blind too

http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss_viewer/birth-certificate-long-form.pdf

74. Re: Morocco on 20 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 12:50:19 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@iwvisp.com>
Message-ID: <nJ6dnfeLjeQDyyDQnZ2dnUVZ_u-dnZ2d@iwvisp.com>
Source record: 228000

Kevin, wish you had entered the UTC time for your report.

You are doing great, keep listening and posting reports, we need them.
--
Burr
From The Gardens
Roses & Orchids
Guiguinto, Bulacan. Philippines
http://www.guiguinto.gov.ph/
And Red Mountain, Ca. USA
I'm a member of The Tea Party, I VOTE

"Kevin Alfred Strom" <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com> wrote in message
news:201105010317.UTC.ipijc8$ol6$1@tioat.net...
> On 4/30/2011 5:38 PM, DEFCON 88 wrote:
>> On Apr 29, 9:51 pm, Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.st...@revilo-oliver.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> 5F6SIA from the Kingdom of Morocco with good signals on 14180 kHz
>>> USB right now.
>>>
>>> Heard on a Racal RA6790/GM and a low 75 meter dipole.
>>>
>>>
>>> All the best,
>>>
>>>
>>> Kevin, WB4AIO.
>>> --
>>> http://nationalvanguard.org/
>>> http://kevinalfredstrom.com/
>>>
>>
>> Nice catch! Racal? Uh oh, do you have a new toy in the shack?
>
>
>
> Yes, it's fun to play with the Racal -- a real classic receiver, but still
> a venue for some audio and AGC modifications. Here's a recording of a good
> fidelity AM amateur station made in its widest bandwidth position:
>
> http://liberty.3950.net/WA3VJB%207290%2020k%20bw%2020110320%200317PM%20ET.mp3
>
> Someday I hope to get some transmitting abilities too -- but that may take

> a while.
>
>
> With my best,
>
>
> Kevin, WB4AIO.
> --
> http://nationalvanguard.org/
> http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

75. Re: Morocco on 20 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 14:03:36 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105011403.UTC.ipjp7o$35e$1@tioat.net>
Source record: 228002

On 5/1/2011 8:50 AM, Burr wrote:
> Kevin, wish you had entered the UTC time for your report.
>
> You are doing great, keep listening and posting reports, we need them.

It was 0151 UTC.

Thanks,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

76. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 14:05:53 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <ipjpc1$vf9$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228003
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On 4/30/2011 10:58 PM, John Smith wrote:

> I guess most didn't hear, what has always been asked for is a long form
> birth certificate of the original and the hospital records.
>
> They have produced a COLB (Certification of Live Birth) and an edited
> .pdf file purporting to be a scan of the orignial ... however, it is
> obvious that it does not bear an official seal, and has been tampered
> with and overlaid on some scan of "safety paper."

And the investigative reporters of the Republican, we don't care for
Obama, Wall Street Journal missed this how?

> Jerome Corsi claims to have the paper trail on the coverup and
> documentation which proves ~2 million+ spent by obama in hiding his past
> records contained in public documents. I am anxious to give this a
> serious read.

Again, you claim he spent millions and yet the best he could come up
with is a cheap five buck fraud. Ask yourself, in one of your more lucid
moments, if this makes any sense at all.

My comments on Corsi:

1) Corsi knows a fool and his money are soon parted.

2) Corsi knows the birther kooktards are fools.

Ergo, Corsi is cashing in.

> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable...

The only "crazyness" is the birther kooktards...and it IS unbelievable
they can be that gullible (or racist).

77. Re: (OT) Precious and rare earth metals, Opium

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 14:12:30 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18342-4DBD6A4E-1280@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228008

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Mississippi+Marijuana+Laws

Marijuana is Ilegal in Mississippi.Only a week, or less than a week ago, somebody in Mississippi was Busted for growing Marijuana.Things like that happen once in a while.You do NOT want to have any Marijuana or other Ilegal drugs/dope on yourself, or even be transporting that stuff in Mississippi.Chances are Better that you may think that you WILL get Caught! I see local TV news about that every little once in a while.
cuhulin

78. Re: EF-5 swarm unprecedented

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 14:15:58 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dbd6ad5$0$12455$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228004

On 04/30/2011 09:24 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Mississippi recovery fund set to help storm victims.
>
> Smithville gets EF-5 rating.
> http://www.clarionledger.com
>
> That makes at least two EF-5 tornados that have hit Mississippi since
> the 1950s.I remember the first one that hit Vicksburg in December 1953.
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=EF-5+Tornado+Vicksburg+Mississippi
> cuhulin
>

79. Re: KWSX-AM 1280 kHz "Info-Wars" with Alex Jones @ 06:15 PM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 14:17:24 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dbd6b2b$0$12455$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228005

On 05/01/2011 01:01 AM, RHF wrote:

>
> The Rising Sun Has The Face of Alex Jones On It !
>

Why don't you fix your gaze upon it all day?

80. Re: (OT) "Halogen" describes the gas around the filament

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 14:18:41 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dbd6b78$0$12455$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228006

On 05/01/2011 01:56 AM, RHF wrote:
> On Apr 30, 1:56 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>> Philips Unveils Energy Efficient Incandescant Light Bulbhttp://www.rense.com
>>
>> We gots a Real Good old movie on TCM right now, The Bad Seed. (1956)
>> Nancy Kelly, Patty McCormack, Henry Jones.Odd fatal accidents lead a
>> woman to realize that her 8 year old daughter was born to kill.
>> Sci-Fi/Horror.
>> BAD BADDA BADDA BAD SEED!
>>
>> Next up at 5:00 PM on the FMC channel, A Number 1 To Portland.
>> cuhulin
>
> Philips Unveils Energy-Efficient Incandescent Light Bulb
> http://www.reuters.com/article/2011/04/29/idUS273367407320110429
> The new Bulbs use Halogen Elements and Save 28%
> on Electricity over the older Tungsten Filament based
> Incandescent Bulbs.
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Incandescent_light_bulb

Halogen describes the gas around the filament, which remains tungsten alloy

81. Re: (OT) Precious and rare earth metals, Opium

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 14:19:42 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dbd6bb5$0$12455$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228007

On 05/01/2011 02:23 AM, RHF wrote:
> On Apr 30, 7:43 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 04/29/2011 09:49 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:

>>>> Plus Obama's Right War in Afghanistan {Oil}
>>>> .
>>
>>> Again . There is absolutely NO oil in Afghanistan . The proposed
>>> pipelines for gas/oil from the north were never built .
>
> Once Again Dave,
> 'You' Change The Subject-Line to weird and weirder :
> (OT) Precious and rare earth metals, Opium
> .

> sorry about the above ~ RHF
> .
> .

82. Re: Alex Jones, what???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 14:23:25 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipjqhrmqm1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.california.illegals,sci.skeptic

```
On 5/1/2011 1:01 AM, RHF wrote:
> On Apr 30, 8:32 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 4/29/2011 6:56 PM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>> .
>>> .
>>
>> Yes, Alex Jones has been on 1280 - KWSX here in the central valley for
>> more than a month, that I know of.
>>
>> I was shocked! 1280 has terrible coverage here, some relation to 1280
>> is 1360 - KFIV, perhaps a sister station in Tracy, Modesto, or ?
>>
>> I never checked if 1360 is carrying Alex Jones or not.
>>
>> Only listen on 1280 if in the car at those hours 1280 replays his broadcast.
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> JS,
>
> Rumors Are : That Alex Jones may be on 50 to 100
> more Radio Stations by the end of the Year; and that
> Alex Jones will be in the 25 Major Media Markets or
> more for the 2012 Election Cycle.
>
> New AM Late-Nite Radio Cycle : 3-Hours of C2C-AM;
> followed by 3-Hours of Info-Wars; with a repeat of
> C2C-AM...
>
> Plus a new feature "The Alex 'Info' Minute" several
> times throughout the day on AM Radio Stations
> where "Info-Wars" is being Aired.
> -wrt- The Rush Minute and the Huckabee Report etc...
>
> The Rising Sun Has The Face of Alex Jones On It !
>
> ~ RHF
> .
> .
> KWSX-AM 1280 kHz hearing "Info-Wars"
> with Alex Jones @ 06:15 PM local PDT*
```

> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/2b7788c9f69b4bbc
> KWSX-AM in Stockton, CA
> {California's Central Valley}
> .
> .

Alex Jones is the bomb!

He is a white texas terrorist who is attacking the illegal public servants and government of the USA, secret "mooselom" agents like ohblahblah, government perversion, child theft racketeering, theft of the publics' pensions, theft and taxes through inflation, the false debts being placed on Americans, etc., etc., etc., etc., etc., etc. ...

A real life Batman without the cape! A real live nephew of my Uncle Sam, and rumored to have been born on the Forth of July, and leader of the free world world and free men/women everywhere!

... a patriot, and gawd above professional politicians everywhere!

Regards,
JS

83. Re: (OT) : Right to Work for Less a/o More & Right Not To Work At All !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 14:23:44 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dbd6ca8$0$12514$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228009

On 05/01/2011 03:08 AM, RHF wrote:
> On Apr 30, 7:44 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 04/29/2011 09:28 PM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> Stinking Unions! EFF Them!
>>> http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=306991
>>
>>> Mississippi is a Right To Work State too.I HATE Unions!
>>> cuhulin
>
> 1 - Dave -wrote-new-subject-line-
> Right to work for less
>
> And Dave You Also Have The Right NOT To Work At All !
>
> Nothing in the US Constitution about "Labor Trade Unions"
>

Peaceably assemble?

It is a fundamental right of Americans to freely associate and band
together to exercise power. Look in the picture frames either side of
the Speaker of the House. Those symbols represent the strength of people
working together.

84. Re: Morocco on 20 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 14:25:08 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dbd6cfb$0$12514$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228010

On 05/01/2011 05:50 AM, Burr wrote:
> Kevin, wish you had entered the UTC time for your report.
>
> You are doing great, keep listening and posting reports, we need them.

All posts are time stamped on my reader (Thunderbird)

85. Re: Do you have a license for this monkey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 14:26:03 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dbd6d32$0$12514$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228011
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On 05/01/2011 07:05 AM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:
> On 4/30/2011 10:58 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
>> I guess most didn't hear, what has always been asked for is a long form
>> birth certificate of the original and the hospital records.
>>
>> They have produced a COLB (Certification of Live Birth) and an edited
>> .pdf file purporting to be a scan of the orignial ... however, it is
>> obvious that it does not bear an official seal, and has been tampered
>> with and overlaid on some scan of "safety paper."
>
> And the investigative reporters of the Republican, we don't care for
> Obama, Wall Street Journal missed this how?
>
>> Jerome Corsi claims to have the paper trail on the coverup and
>> documentation which proves ~2 million+ spent by obama in hiding his past
>> records contained in public documents. I am anxious to give this a
>> serious read.
>
> Again, you claim he spent millions and yet the best he could come up
> with is a cheap five buck fraud. Ask yourself, in one of your more lucid
> moments, if this makes any sense at all.
>
> My comments on Corsi:
>
> 1) Corsi knows a fool and his money are soon parted.
>
> 2) Corsi knows the birther kooktards are fools.
>
> Ergo, Corsi is cashing in.
>
>> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable...
>
> The only "crazyness" is the birther kooktards...and it IS unbelievable
> they can be that gullible (or racist).
>

86. Re: (OT) Precious and rare earth metals, Opium

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 14:39:20 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipjrfnong1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228013

On 5/1/2011 7:12 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Mississippi+Marijuana+Laws
>
> Marijuana is Ilegal in Mississippi.Only a week, or less than a week ago,
> somebody in Mississippi was Busted for growing Marijuana.Things like
> that happen once in a while.You do NOT want to have any Marijuana or
> other Ilegal drugs/dope on yourself, or even be transporting that stuff
> in Mississippi.Chances are Better that you may think that you WILL get
> Caught! I see local TV news about that every little once in a while.
> cuhulin
>

Arnold didn't care if you smoked it in California ...

Legalizing medical marijuana in California has seemed to take some of
the criminal activity away which was centered around marijuana and move
it to other states where it is still illegal ... now we need to do this
with other drugs and get rid of the drug criminals altogether and remove
the heavy monetary incentives to crime, murder, etc.

Regards,
JS

87. Re: FINALLY A ROAD BACK TO A "JFK TYPE PRESIDENT!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 14:53:10 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <9psqr6549b02hgp21t1u1b5pu8s3e3e588@4ax.com>
Source record: 228014
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Sat, 30 Apr 2011 10:31:11 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>The first chance in many decades of having a real and decent president.
>
>RON PAUL IN 2012!

While "decent" is subjective, "real" isn't. We've had fake
presidents for decades?

The oddest thing about your post though is the comparison of Ron Paul
with JFK. How did you pick him?

Ron Paul has one attribute I do like. In his attempt to destroy the
government, he doesn't exempt the military.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

88. Re: FINALLY A ROAD BACK TO A "JFK TYPE PRESIDENT!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 15:01:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipjspoong2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228015
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/1/2011 7:53 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Apr 2011 10:31:11 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>> The first chance in many decades of having a real and decent president.
>>
>> RON PAUL IN 2012!
>
> While "decent" is subjective, "real" isn't. We've had fake
> presidents for decades?
>
> The oddest thing about your post though is the comparison of Ron Paul
> with JFK. How did you pick him?
>
>
> Ron Paul has one attribute I do like. In his attempt to destroy the
> government, he doesn't exempt the military.
>

Destroy the government, Ron Paul? I think not; rather, he endorses a
return to the smallest government possible -- as the forefathers intended!

Small governments are in the best interests of those governed.

Regards,
JS

89. Re: FINALLY A ROAD BACK TO A "JFK TYPE PRESIDENT!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 15:09:14 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <fmtqr69k1k0abcsc0qgpthb5fs0m8qh999@4ax.com>
Source record: 228016
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Sun, 01 May 2011 08:01:46 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>> Ron Paul has one attribute I do like. In his attempt to destroy the
>> government, he doesn't exempt the military.
>>
>
>Destroy the government, Ron Paul? I think not; rather, he endorses a
>return to the smallest government possible -- as the forefathers intended!

Destroy most of the government. How much of that is good and how
much is bad is a different discussion.

>Small governments are in the best interests of those governed.

Except when it isn't. When you need a government service, and there
aren't enough people to provide it adequately, do you think the same
thing?

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

90. Re: FINALLY A ROAD BACK TO A "JFK TYPE PRESIDENT!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 15:22:09 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipju00$3j8$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228017
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/1/2011 8:09 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Sun, 01 May 2011 08:01:46 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>>> Ron Paul has one attribute I do like. In his attempt to destroy the
>>> government, he doesn't exempt the military.
>>>
>>
>> Destroy the government, Ron Paul? I think not; rather, he endorses a
>> return to the smallest government possible -- as the forefathers intended!
>
> Destroy most of the government. How much of that is good and how
> much is bad is a different discussion.
>
>> Small governments are in the best interests of those governed.
>
> Except when it isn't. When you need a government service, and there
> aren't enough people to provide it adequately, do you think the same
> thing?
>

People should do things for themselves.

At one time, we had the only non-criminal government in the world, now
all governments are simply criminal.

The return to smaller government we discuss is simply the removal of
worthless feeders at the troughs of public monies ... i.e. the removal
of the criminals.

Regards,
JS

91. Re: (OT) Philips Light Bulb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 15:49:44 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipjvjm$3pe$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228019

On 5/1/2011 8:50 AM, Steve wrote:
> On Apr 30, 4:56 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>> Philips Unveils Energy Efficient Incandescant Light Bulbhttp://www.rense.com
>>
>> We gots a Real Good old movie on TCM right now, The Bad Seed. (1956)
>> Nancy Kelly, Patty McCormack, Henry Jones.Odd fatal accidents lead a
>> woman to realize that her 8 year old daughter was born to kill.
>> Sci-Fi/Horror.
>> BAD BADDA BADDA BAD SEED!
>>
>> Next up at 5:00 PM on the FMC channel, A Number 1 To Portland.
>> cuhulin
>
> I personally love the CFL bulbs. In the long run I've saved a lot of
> money with them, spared myself the irritation of having to replace
> bulbs all the time, and I get zero radio interference from them.

Not to mention the lower heating of the house and requiring less cooling
to remove, and the costs involved.

Regards,
JS

92. Re: (OT) Philips Light Bulb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 15:50:00 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <423a7c4a-3f64-408b-b5c7-a5a9bef5de60@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228018

On Apr 30, 4:56 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Philips Unveils Energy Efficient Incandescant Light Bulbhttp://www.rense.com
>
> We gots a Real Good old movie on TCM right now, The Bad Seed. (1956)
> Nancy Kelly, Patty McCormack, Henry Jones.Odd fatal accidents lead a
> woman to realize that her 8 year old daughter was born to kill.
> Sci-Fi/Horror.
> BAD BADDA BADDA BAD SEED!
>
> Next up at 5:00 PM on the FMC channel, A Number 1 To Portland.
> cuhulin

I personally love the CFL bulbs. In the long run I've saved a lot of
money with them, spared myself the irritation of having to replace
bulbs all the time, and I get zero radio interference from them.

93. Re: (OT) Philips Light Bulb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 15:55:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18342-4DBD828A-1359@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228020

I haven't counted them, but I reckon as how I have enought G.E.60 Watt Light Bulbs to last me about ten or fifteen or twenty years, or more. My old buddy would say, They will last you the rest of your Life! I think he would be right too.
cuhulin, a Lifetime

94. Re: (OT) Philips Light Bulb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 16:00:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18343-4DBD8398-471@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228021

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Philips+EcoVantage+Light+Bulbs

Wait untill other stores other than Queer Depot starts selling
them.Queer Depot (Home Depot) is for Queers.I always go to the Lowe's
Store a mile and a half South of doggy's couch.
BOYCOTT QUEER DEPOT!!!
cuhulin

95. Re: Attention Birthers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 16:16:27 UTC
From: Tim Crowley <timmyturmoil@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6ffc6bab-fc13-4ffe-9dd4-d422c9b2b6e9@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228022

On Apr 30, 7:14 pm, clairbear <clairb...@verizon.com> wrote:
> Lamont Cranston <Lamont.Crans...@FogYourMind.com> wrote in news:ipa1p7$j44
> $...@news.datemas.de:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 4/24/2011 2:32 PM, clairbear wrote:
> >> Lamont Cranston<Lamont.Crans...@FogYourMind.com> wrote in news:iovbb9
> >> $k9...@news.datemas.de:
>
> >>> On 4/22/2011 10:23 PM, John Smith wrote:
> >>>> On 4/22/2011 5:59 PM, clairbear wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>>> Or clinton I did not have sex with that woman.
>
> >>>>>> Clinton never said that.
> >>>>>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KiIP_KDQmXs
>
> >>>>> Actual quote
> >>>>> "I did not have sexual relations with that woman.."
> >>>> >> ...
>
> >>>> Gee, that changes everything, doesn't it.
>
> >>> Yes, it does. In fact, it was a true statement if one defines "sexual
> >>> relations" as "sexual intercourse."
>
> >>>> OK, let's hang the bastard for that lie, instead of the misquoted
> >> one!
>
> >>> It wasn't a lie. Neither Clinton nor Lewinsky believed that they had
> >>> engaged in "sexual relations."
>
> >> Are you really THAT DUMB?
>
> > Are you really that (Expletive deleted) stupid?
>
> > Neither Clinton nor Lewinsky believed that they had engaged in "sexual
> > relations."
>
> I gues you answered the question by redacting the ealier post and you
> gutter language response. And by doing so you show you are in fact that

> dumb and extremely gullible too. You are so typically liberal.
> -

Why do you refuse to study English? It's really not that hard.

Why are all racists illiterate?

96. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 16:45:02 UTC
From: Wayne <mygarbagecan@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <ipk2meltm1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228023
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

"BDK" <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.28269a3824d0e60498a138@news.giganews.com...
> In article <ipiid5$m57$2@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>>
>> On 4/30/2011 7:28 PM, Wayne wrote:
>>
>> > -
>> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's
>> > ass
>> > should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not have
>> > layers.
>> >
>> >
>>
>> I guess most didn't hear, what has always been asked for is a long form
>> birth certificate of the original and the hospital records.
>>
>> They have produced a COLB (Certification of Live Birth) and an edited
>> .pdf file purporting to be a scan of the orignial ... however, it is
>> obvious that it does not bear an official seal, and has been tampered
>> with and overlaid on some scan of "safety paper."
>>
>> Perhaps all this was just to hone the skills and knowledge of how to
>> forge a proper document which would go unquestioned/undetected, who
>> knows why such insanity would all take place ... but, it wouldn't
>> surprise me if "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE THREE!" isn't coming up
>> ... most realize that the actions of all this is pretty criminal in
>> appearance ...
>>
>> Jerome Corsi claims to have the paper trail on the coverup and
>> documentation which proves ~2 million+ spent by obama in hiding his past
>> records contained in public documents. I am anxious to give this a
>> serious read.
>>
>> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable and
>> began with a presidential candidate promising transparency and has ended
>> up with executive orders issued by him to keep his entire past a secret
>> ... that only takes a rational mind to realize that something is bad
>> wrong!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS

>
> There doesn't seem to be anything rational about you, Johnny kOOk.
>
OK...out of curiosity.....
How do you explain a copy of the original, posted on the White House
website, being a pdf file with layers? Was it generated as a pdf file 50
years ago?

97. Re: Attention Birthers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 16:45:30 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18342-4DBD8E2A-1406@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228025

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=What+is+a+Birther?

Hey Miranda, come out here a minute.
///You calling me, Mr.Autery?///
Yeah, this lady says she wants to give you a permanent wave.
cuhulin

98. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 16:54:21 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28275a46c484475798a13c@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228024
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

```
In article <Xns9ED8A9F322BBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>,
grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com says...
>
> BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in
> news:MPG.28269a0f5df13b3598a137@news.giganews.com:
>
> > In article <Xns9ED7E8F20647FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>,
> > grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com says...
> >>
> >> "Wayne" <mygarbagecan@verizon.net> wrote in news:ipiggv$rdt$1@dont-
> >> email.me:
> >>
> >> >
> >> > "jcon" <cirejcon@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com
> >> > ... On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy <w...@myself.com> wrote:
> >> >> On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> > On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> > > ...
> >> >> > > ABCDEFG!!!
> >> >>
> >> >> > That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
> >> >>
> >> >> > Just keeps getting better, and better!:
> >> >>
> >> >> >http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-
> >> press-...
> >> >>
> >> >> The PDF is composed of multiple images. That?s correct. Using a
> >> >> photo editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this
> >> >> image data, view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they?re
> >> >> being called, aren?t layers in the traditional photo-editing sense
> >> >> of the word. They are, quite literally, pieces of image data that
> >> >> have been positioned in a PDF container. They appear as text but
> >> >> also contain glyphs, dots, lines, boxes, squiggles, and random
> >> >> garbage. They?re not combined or merged in any way. Quite simply,
> >> >> they look like they were created programmatically, not by a human.
> >> >>
> >> >> What?s plausible is that somewhere along the way ? from the scanning
> >> >> device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can perform OCR
```

> >> >> (optical character recognition) ? these partial/pseudo-text images
> >> >> were created and saved. What?s not plausible is that the government
> >> >> spent all this time manufacturing Obama?s birth certificate only to
> >> >> commit the laughably rookie mistake of exporting the layers from
> >> >> Photoshop, or whatever photo editing software they are meant to have
> >> >> used. It?s likely that whoever scanned the birth certificate in
> >> >> Hawaii forgot to turn off the OCR setting on the scanner. Let?s
> >> >> leave it at that.
> >> >>
> >> >> http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c
> >> >> ...
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an amateurish
> >> > Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than simply
> >> > create a forged document. Seriously, all this fuss about the
> >> > "original birth certificate". Do we honestly believe the
> >> > most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
> >> > wanted? The physical birth certificate has *never* been as
> >> > convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth announcements,
> >> > and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of Hawaii and
> >> > the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
> >> > no white man has ever been asked to produce).
> >> >
> >> > I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
> >> > including a time machine - would ever convince them. Why
> >> > did it take Obama so long to release it? I think we can see
> >> > why now. He knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
> >> > **************************
> >> > -
> >> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's
> >> > ass should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not
> >> > have layers.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> And there is now the not insignificant problem of his father?s
> >> citizenship.
> >
> >
> > It's totally insignificant. He could have been a citizen of
> > Spotsylvania, wouldn't matter in the slightest.
>
> You think so? Well to idiots who want to believe the lie.

What lie is that?

> >
> > And the issue of what happened to O between 1961 and 2008. Something of

> >> a vacuum documentation wise.
> >
> > Only if you tend towards the birthtardic.
> >
>
> You mean if you are curious about the unsubstantiated claims of the
> absolute buffoon we have put in charge,

What unsubstantiated claims are those? The ones only you heard about
from the voices in your head?

>
> What are you afraid of? What secrets do you not want revealed? What impact
> might they have on the American people regarding their trust of the
> Progressives?

Afraid of? Secrets? The only thing I'm slightly scared about is that you
whackjobs are running around loose. Who knows what about anyone you'll
dream up next? You kooks might go into "tower and rifle" mode.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

99. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 16:57:52 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28275b17a98eb98598a13d@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228026
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

```
In article <ipk2me$ltm$1@dont-email.me>, mygarbagecan@verizon.net
says...
>
> "BDK" <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.28269a3824d0e60498a138@news.giganews.com...
> > In article <ipiid5$m57$2@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
> >>
> >> On 4/30/2011 7:28 PM, Wayne wrote:
> >>
> >> > -
> >> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's
> >> > ass
> >> > should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not have
> >> > layers.
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> I guess most didn't hear, what has always been asked for is a long form
> >> birth certificate of the original and the hospital records.
> >>
> >> They have produced a COLB (Certification of Live Birth) and an edited
> >> .pdf file purporting to be a scan of the orignial ... however, it is
> >> obvious that it does not bear an official seal, and has been tampered
> >> with and overlaid on some scan of "safety paper."
> >>
> >> Perhaps all this was just to hone the skills and knowledge of how to
> >> forge a proper document which would go unquestioned/undetected, who
> >> knows why such insanity would all take place ... but, it wouldn't
> >> surprise me if "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE THREE!" isn't coming up
> >> ... most realize that the actions of all this is pretty criminal in
> >> appearance ...
> >>
> >> Jerome Corsi claims to have the paper trail on the coverup and
> >> documentation which proves ~2 million+ spent by obama in hiding his past
> >> records contained in public documents. I am anxious to give this a
> >> serious read.
> >>
> >> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable and
> >> began with a presidential candidate promising transparency and has ended
> >> up with executive orders issued by him to keep his entire past a secret
> >> ... that only takes a rational mind to realize that something is bad
> >> wrong!
```

> >>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> >
> > There doesn't seem to be anything rational about you, Johnny kOOk.
> >
> OK...out of curiosity.....
> How do you explain a copy of the original, posted on the White House
> website, being a pdf file with layers? Was it generated as a pdf file 50
> years ago?

WTF is wrong with you? It's a PDF, so what? I guess to feed into your insanity, they should have posted it as a .jpg, or even better, a .tiff. Maybe that would satisfy whatever nonsense you've dreampt up.

Or they can just sit back now, and let you continue to show how far gone you are.

Sounds like a plan to me.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

100. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 16:59:42 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28275b848ba2083d98a13e@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228027
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

```
In article <8de0fedf-af52-4779-acaa-
cef4fe46696d@y12g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, cirejcon@yahoo.com says...
>
> On Apr 30, 9:28ï¿œpm, "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
> > "jcon" <cirej...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> > news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com...
> > On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy <w...@myself.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
> >
> > > > > ...
> > > > > ABCDEFG!!!
> >
> > > > That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
> >
> > > > Just keeps getting better, and better!:
> >
> > > >http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-press-...
> >
> > > The PDF is composed of multiple images. That?s correct. Using a photo
> > > editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this image data,
> > > view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they?re being called,
> > > aren?t layers in the traditional photo-editing sense of the word. They
> > > are, quite literally, pieces of image data that have been positioned
> > > in a PDF container. They appear as text but also contain glyphs, dots,
> > > lines, boxes, squiggles, and random garbage. They?re not combined or
> > > merged in any way. Quite simply, they look like they were created
> > > programmatically, not by a human.
> >
> > > What?s plausible is that somewhere along the way ? from the scanning
> > > device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can perform OCR
```

> > > (optical character recognition) ? these partial/pseudo-text images
> > > were created and saved. What?s not plausible is that the government
> > > spent all this time manufacturing Obama?s birth certificate only to
> > > commit the laughably rookie mistake of exporting the layers from
> > > Photoshop, or whatever photo editing software they are meant to have
> > > used. It?s likely that whoever scanned the birth certificate in Hawaii
> > > forgot to turn off the OCR setting on the scanner. Let?s leave it at
> > > that.
> >
> > >http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c...
> >
> > Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an amateurish
> > Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than simply
> > create a forged document. ï¿œSeriously, all this fuss about the
> > "original birth certificate". ï¿œDo we honestly believe the
> > most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
> > wanted? ï¿œThe physical birth certificate has *never* been as
> > convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth announcements,
> > and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of Hawaii and
> > the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
> > no white man has ever been asked to produce).
> >
> > I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
> > including a time machine - would ever convince them. ï¿œWhy
> > did it take Obama so long to release it? ï¿œI think we can see
> > why now. ï¿œHe knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
> > **************************
> > -
> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's ass
> > should be in deep trouble. ï¿œAnd, a copy of the original would not have
> > layers.
>
> Translation: you're a birther.
>
> -jc

It's amazing the dancing some of them will go through to avoid admitting
what they are, birthers. And even less likely is that they will admit
why they are birthers in the first place. It's pretty obvious to most
sane people...

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

101. Re: (OT) : Right to Work for Less a/o More & Right Not To Work At ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 17:04:26 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18343-4DBD929A-490@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228028

First day of May.Siren sounding off, sounded like an Air Raid Siren.
Sheet, don't scare me like that!
cuhulin

102. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 17:12:08 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9ED8864ED71BEWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 228029
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in
news:MPG.28275a46c484475798a13c@news.giganews.com:

> In article <Xns9ED8A9F322BBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>,
> grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com says...
>>
>> BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in
>> news:MPG.28269a0f5df13b3598a137@news.giganews.com:
>>
>> > In article <Xns9ED7E8F20647FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>,
>> > grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com says...
>> >>
>> >> "Wayne" <mygarbagecan@verizon.net> wrote in news:ipiggvrdt1@dont-
>> >> email.me:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > "jcon" <cirejcon@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >> > news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.
>> >> > com ... On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy <w...@myself.com> wrote:
>> >> >> On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > > ...
>> >> >> > > ABCDEFG!!!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > Just keeps getting better, and better!:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-
>> >> press-...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> The PDF is composed of multiple images. That?s correct. Using a
>> >> >> photo editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this
>> >> >> image data, view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they?re
>> >> >> being called, aren?t layers in the traditional photo-editing
>> >> >> sense of the word. They are, quite literally, pieces of image
>> >> >> data that have been positioned in a PDF container. They appear as
>> >> >> text but also contain glyphs, dots, lines, boxes, squiggles, and
>> >> >> random garbage. They?re not combined or merged in any way. Quite
>> >> >> simply, they look like they were created programmatically, not by

>> >> >> a human.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> What?s plausible is that somewhere along the way ? from the
>> >> >> scanning device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can
>> >> >> perform OCR (optical character recognition) ? these
>> >> >> partial/pseudo-text images were created and saved. What?s not
>> >> >> plausible is that the government spent all this time
>> >> >> manufacturing Obama?s birth certificate only to commit the
>> >> >> laughably rookie mistake of exporting the layers from Photoshop,
>> >> >> or whatever photo editing software they are meant to have
>> >> >> used. It?s likely that whoever scanned the birth certificate in
>> >> >> Hawaii forgot to turn off the OCR setting on the scanner. Let?s
>> >> >> leave it at that.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birt
>> >> >> h-c ...
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an
>> >> > amateurish Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than
>> >> > simply create a forged document. Seriously, all this fuss about
>> >> > the "original birth certificate". Do we honestly believe the
>> >> > most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
>> >> > wanted? The physical birth certificate has *never* been as
>> >> > convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth
>> >> > announcements, and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of
>> >> > Hawaii and the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
>> >> > no white man has ever been asked to produce).
>> >> >
>> >> > I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
>> >> > including a time machine - would ever convince them. Why
>> >> > did it take Obama so long to release it? I think we can see
>> >> > why now. He knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
>> >> > **************************
>> >> > -
>> >> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not
>> >> > legitimate....Obama's ass should be in deep trouble. And, a copy
>> >> > of the original would not have layers.
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> And there is now the not insignificant problem of his father?s
>> >> citizenship.
>> >
>> >
>> > It's totally insignificant. He could have been a citizen of
>> > Spotsylvania, wouldn't matter in the slightest.
>>
>> You think so? Well to idiots who want to believe the lie.

>
> What lie is that?

That Obama is competent and has the US's best interest at heart.

>>
>> >>
>> >> And the issue of what happened to O between 1961 and 2008. Something
>> >> of a vacuum documentation wise.
>> >
>> > Only if you tend towards the birthtardic.
>> >
>>
>> You mean if you are curious about the unsubstantiated claims of the
>> absolute buffoon we have put in charge,
>
> What unsubstantiated claims are those? The ones only you heard about
> from the voices in your head?

His college "attendance". Unless you can produce all his college records,
his claims are factually unsubstantiated. Or does basic logic escape you?

>
>>
>> What are you afraid of? What secrets do you not want revealed? What
>> impact might they have on the American people regarding their trust of
>> the Progressives?
>
> Afraid of? Secrets? The only thing I'm slightly scared about is that you
> whackjobs are running around loose. Who knows what about anyone you'll
> dream up next? You kooks might go into "tower and rifle" mode.

You are invited to attempt to corral me. Anytime. Anywhere. Bring your own
body bag.

Fifth Law of Leftist Debate
The more you present a leftist with factual evidence that is counter to his
preconceived world view and the more difficult it becomes for him to refute
it without losing face the chance of him denigrating the intelligence of
the poster or accusing you of having some sort of mental illness approaches
infinity.

Boomerang corollary.
Said leftist will display his own lack of intelligence or mental defects in
his responses accusing you of same and never get the irony of it.

Seventh Law of Leftist Debate
Leftists often ascribe to others the most debased motives for their actions
when in fact they are only reflecting their own inner reality.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!

He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

103. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 17:28:47 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2827625f4d18fb9898a141@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228030
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

```
In article <Xns9ED8864ED71BEWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>,
grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com says...
>
> BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in
> news:MPG.28275a46c484475798a13c@news.giganews.com:
>
> > In article <Xns9ED8A9F322BBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>,
> > grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com says...
> >>
> >> BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in
> >> news:MPG.28269a0f5df13b3598a137@news.giganews.com:
> >>
> >> > In article <Xns9ED7E8F20647FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>,
> >> > grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com says...
> >> >>
> >> >> "Wayne" <mygarbagecan@verizon.net> wrote in news:ipiggv$rdt$1@dont-
> >> >> email.me:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "jcon" <cirejcon@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> >> > news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.
> >> >> > com ... On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy <w...@myself.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > ...
> >> >> >> > > ABCDEFG!!!
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > Just keeps getting better, and better!:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-
> >> >> press-...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> The PDF is composed of multiple images. That?s correct. Using a
> >> >> >> photo editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this
> >> >> >> image data, view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they?re
> >> >> >> being called, aren?t layers in the traditional photo-editing
> >> >> >> sense of the word. They are, quite literally, pieces of image
> >> >> >> data that have been positioned in a PDF container. They appear as
```

> >> >> >> text but also contain glyphs, dots, lines, boxes, squiggles, and
> >> >> >> random garbage. They?re not combined or merged in any way. Quite
> >> >> >> simply, they look like they were created programmatically, not by
> >> >> >> a human.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> What?s plausible is that somewhere along the way ? from the
> >> >> >> scanning device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can
> >> >> >> perform OCR (optical character recognition) ? these
> >> >> >> partial/pseudo-text images were created and saved. What?s not
> >> >> >> plausible is that the government spent all this time
> >> >> >> manufacturing Obama?s birth certificate only to commit the
> >> >> >> laughably rookie mistake of exporting the layers from Photoshop,
> >> >> >> or whatever photo editing software they are meant to have
> >> >> >> used. It?s likely that whoever scanned the birth certificate in
> >> >> >> Hawaii forgot to turn off the OCR setting on the scanner. Let?s
> >> >> >> leave it at that.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birt
> >> >> >> h-c ...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an
> >> >> > amateurish Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than
> >> >> > simply create a forged document. Seriously, all this fuss about
> >> >> > the "original birth certificate". Do we honestly believe the
> >> >> > most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
> >> >> > wanted? The physical birth certificate has *never* been as
> >> >> > convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth
> >> >> > announcements, and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of
> >> >> > Hawaii and the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
> >> >> > no white man has ever been asked to produce).
> >> >> >
> >> >> > I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
> >> >> > including a time machine - would ever convince them. Why
> >> >> > did it take Obama so long to release it? I think we can see
> >> >> > why now. He knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
> >> >> > **************************
> >> >> > -
> >> >> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not
> >> >> > legitimate....Obama's ass should be in deep trouble. And, a copy
> >> >> > of the original would not have layers.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> And there is now the not insignificant problem of his father?s
> >> >> citizenship.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > It's totally insignificant. He could have been a citizen of

> >> > Spotsylvania, wouldn't matter in the slightest.
> >>
> >> You think so? Well to idiots who want to believe the lie.
> >
> > What lie is that?
>
>
> That Obama is competent and has the US?s best interest at heart.
>
> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> And the issue of what happened to O between 1961 and 2008. Something
> >> >> of a vacuum documentation wise.
> >> >
> >> > Only if you tend towards the birthtardic.
> >> >
> >>
> >> You mean if you are curious about the unsubstantiated claims of the
> >> absolute buffoon we have put in charge,
> >
> > What unsubstantiated claims are those? The ones only you heard about
> > from the voices in your head?
>
> His college ?attendance?. Unless you can produce all his college records,
> his claims are factually unsubstantiated. Or does basic logic escape you?
>
> >
> >>
> >> What are you afraid of? What secrets do you not want revealed? What
> >> impact might they have on the American people regarding their trust of
> >> the Progressives?
> >
> > Afraid of? Secrets? The only thing I'm slightly scared about is that you
> > whackjobs are running around loose. Who knows what about anyone you'll
> > dream up next? You kooks might go into "tower and rifle" mode.
>
> You are invited to attempt to corral me. Anytime. Anywhere. Bring your own
> body bag.

That's a job for the professional nutcatchers and the police. You could
have something contagious, some brain wasting disease. But more likely,
you're just another internet tough guy puffing away.

>
> Fifth Law of Leftist Debate
> The more you present a leftist with factual evidence that is counter to his
> preconceived world view and the more difficult it becomes for him to refute
> it without losing face the chance of him denigrating the intelligence of
> the poster or accusing you of having some sort of mental illness approaches
> infinity.

There is nothing factual in birtherism. Only rumors, confusion,
fantasies, and delusions. And of course, bigotry, the basis of nearly
all of it.

>
> Boomerang corollary.
> Said leftist will display his own lack of intelligence or mental defects in
> his responses accusing you of same and never get the irony of it.

There's no irony here, birthers are insane. Most people know you are
loons.

>
> Seventh Law of Leftist Debate
> Leftists often ascribe to others the most debased motives for their actions
> when in fact they are only reflecting their own inner reality.

Keep dreaming about Obama not being "natural born". I suppose it's less
harmful than some other fantasies you might be having about him.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

104. Re: AFN Diego Garcia on 12,759 kHz [USB] @ 04:30 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 18:36:14 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <46da775a-66d8-4265-b81c-beae4af53e5c@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228031

On May 1, 4:31 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> bpnjensen wrote:
> > On Apr 28, 6:23 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > > AFN Diego Garcia on 12,759 kHz [USB] @ 04:30 UTC
> > > .
> > > S-Meter : '0' to S1 and SIO 243 and low to fair audio
> > > on 27 April 2011
>
> > Roy - Victor Goonetilleke in Sri Lanka informs me that AFRTS 12759U in
> > BIOT is obviously on the air at 0138z today, May 1, 2011, and likely
> > has been for a few days at least - so my reception of them during the
> > 0100 - 0200 hours the last few days has been real :-) Reception
> > Report time! Yahhooo! :-) Also notes that the remote Perseus
> > receiver in Oregon has them today at SIO 333, which is MUCH better
> > than here in San Francisco Bay Area - but what the heck! :-)
>
> Decent enough signal here on 12759 at 1130, // 7811.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Is that 7811 also DG?

I rarely get "decent" signals here. I have to fight and struggle
every inch of the way for DX.

Bruce

105. Re: AFN Diego Garcia on 12,759 kHz [USB] @ 04:30 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 18:38:29 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <24967749-e98d-4694-b3fb-e0c7dc24c76e@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228032

On May 1, 11:36 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 1, 4:31 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > bpnjensen wrote:
> > > On Apr 28, 6:23 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > > > AFN Diego Garcia on 12,759 kHz [USB] @ 04:30 UTC
> > > > .
> > > > S-Meter : '0' to S1 and SIO 243 and low to fair audio
> > > > on 27 April 2011
>
> > > Roy - Victor Goonetilleke in Sri Lanka informs me that AFRTS 12759U in
> > > BIOT is obviously on the air at 0138z today, May 1, 2011, and likely
> > > has been for a few days at least - so my reception of them during the
> > > 0100 - 0200 hours the last few days has been real :-) Reception
> > > Report time! Yahhooo! :-) Also notes that the remote Perseus
> > > receiver in Oregon has them today at SIO 333, which is MUCH better
> > > than here in San Francisco Bay Area - but what the heck! :-)
>
> > Decent enough signal here on 12759 at 1130, // 7811.
>
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> Is that 7811 also DG?
>
> I rarely get "decent" signals here. I have to fight and struggle
> every inch of the way for DX.
>
> Bruce

Ah, Key West - I see, thanks. I hear that outlet pretty often.

BJ

106. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 18:57:15 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bc77a6a2-3c2b-46b6-bd96-7eb3afb97f92@w5g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228033
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On May 1, 10:45 am, "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
> "BDK" <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
>
> news:MPG.28269a3824d0e60498a138@news.giganews.com...
>
> > In article <ipiid5$m5...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
> >> On 4/30/2011 7:28 PM, Wayne wrote:
>
> >> > -
> >> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's
> >> > ass
> >> > should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not have
> >> > layers.
>
> >> I guess most didn't hear, what has always been asked for is a long form
> >> birth certificate of the original and the hospital records.
>
> >> They have produced a COLB (Certification of Live Birth) and an edited
> >> .pdf file purporting to be a scan of the orignial ... however, it is
> >> obvious that it does not bear an official seal, and has been tampered
> >> with and overlaid on some scan of "safety paper."
>
> >> Perhaps all this was just to hone the skills and knowledge of how to
> >> forge a proper document which would go unquestioned/undetected, who
> >> knows why such insanity would all take place ... but, it wouldn't
> >> surprise me if "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE THREE!" isn't coming up
> >> ... most realize that the actions of all this is pretty criminal in
> >> appearance ...
>
> >> Jerome Corsi claims to have the paper trail on the coverup and
> >> documentation which proves ~2 million+ spent by obama in hiding his past
> >> records contained in public documents. I am anxious to give this a
> >> serious read.
>
> >> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable and
> >> began with a presidential candidate promising transparency and has ended
> >> up with executive orders issued by him to keep his entire past a secret
> >> ... that only takes a rational mind to realize that something is bad
> >> wrong!
>
> >> Regards,

> >> JS
>
> > There doesn't seem to be anything rational about you, Johnny kOOk.
>
> OK...out of curiosity.....
> How do you explain a copy of the original, posted on the White House
> website, being a pdf file with layers? Was it generated as a pdf file 50
> years ago?

That's what happens when it's scanned. Happens all the time. You seem
flummoxed by the simplest of things.

107. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 19:00:57 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <50ede80a-4eb2-433b-922c-40e9de972316@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228034
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On May 1, 1:54 am, Popgun Ass <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:

> Lets see what happens in 2012. Perhaps the Obamassiah may be running for
> office,

And winning.

> or he will be in jail.

Visiting you? Doubtful!

> I rather doubt he will win, given that his popularity is down around
> 30%...but...miracles do happen.

Since when is 46 percent 30 percent, goober?

No wonder you fuckwits destroyed the economy. you don't do numbers
well/

108. Re: RANK FORGERY -- RHF's brain scan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 19:06:17 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4517a52b-93e1-4cee-9dfa-ffa246869ab8@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 1, 5:35 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On Apr 30, 11:03 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On Apr 30, 8:39 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
> > > an illegitimate POTUS.
>
> > That is legally incorrect. The citizenship status of either parent is
> > completely immaterial to his natural born citizenship, This is long
> > settled law and is not even remotely open to dispute.
>
> > > Since his father was still married to a wife in
> > > kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA.
>
> > That of course has nothing to do with anything and in fact would mean
> > that Obama would be a natural born citizenship even if he had been
> > born in Kenya. If Ann Dunham were not legally married at the time,
> > Obama could have been born anywhere BUT in the US and still be a
> > natural born citizen since the 5-year minimum residency requirement
> > after age 14 (Dunham was only 18 at the time) would not longer be
> > applicable.
>
> > You just kicked your OWN ass, WrongTurnJoe!!
> > BWAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHA!!
>
> Larnrod Your Post Brings Up More Questions....

Yeah, like how do you reach a computer terminal from your padded cell.

BWAHAHAHAAHAHAHAAA!!!!

109. Re: (OT) : Right to Work for Less a/o More & Right Not To Work At ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 19:13:45 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18344-4DBDB0E9-138@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228036

Mississippi Valley, you said.Mississippi Valley encompasses a large area
from North to South.Which part of Mississippi Valley are/were you
talking about?
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Mississippi+Valley
cuhulin

110. Re: FINALLY A ROAD BACK TO A "JFK TYPE PRESIDENT!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 19:27:01 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <bqcrr65c0kapo2obnmn9je7vrauk8m0nkf@4ax.com>
Source record: 228037
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Sun, 01 May 2011 08:22:09 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>> Except when it isn't. When you need a government service, and there
>> aren't enough people to provide it adequately, do you think the same
>> thing?
>>
>
>People should do things for themselves.

Ahh, we should protect ourselves from murderers, epidemics, and the
powerful - without banding together.

>At one time, we had the only non-criminal government in the world, now
>all governments are simply criminal.

At what time did we have a non-criminal government? What criteria
are you using to establish your statement?

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

111. Re: a Black Man in the White House

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 20:07:19 UTC
From: Arky Bob <Arky_Bob_Rules@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6f2de4d3-e8f7-4827-b820-5eab6cd6d57e@d2g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On Apr 30, 12:50 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> a Black Man in the White House

There is no black man in the white house - unless you refer to
janitoral and kitchen staff.

The current resident of the WH is half white.

112. Re: a Black Man in the White House

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 21:09:19 UTC
From: DEFCON 88 <DEFC0N88@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b8fa8323-a16b-41a2-87e6-c474f917af15@d2g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 1, 4:07 pm, Arky Bob <Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
> On Apr 30, 12:50 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>> a Black Man in the White House
>
> There is no black man in the white house - unless you refer to
> janitoral and kitchen staff.
>
> The current resident of the WH is half white.
>

More like half-wit.

113. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 21:09:57 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15679-4DBDCC25-4@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228040

Boston Globe Reporter hid information on SCARHEAD MOFO PIMP's father for
two years.

SCARHEAD MOFO PIMP says his father served in World War Two.
http://www.libertypost.org
cuhulin

114. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 21:42:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15671-4DBDD3BF-1603@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228041

Corsi Brings New Doubts Of Hawaii Heritage
http://www.rense.com

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Corsi+brings+new+doubts+of+Hawaii+Heritage

Jerome Corsi is one of my Heros.
cuhulin

115. But First For Some 'Birther' Humor :o) Oops... It's Hanging Chad's All Over Again !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 21:53:46 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <b1d1baf3-5ac7-42ce-87c1-981df01aaec6@t19g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 1, 12:06 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 1, 5:35 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Apr 30, 11:03 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On Apr 30, 8:39 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
> > > > an illegitimate POTUS.
>
> > > That is legally incorrect. The citizenship status of either parent is
> > > completely immaterial to his natural born citizenship, This is long
> > > settled law and is not even remotely open to dispute.
>
> > > > Since his father was still married to a wife in
> > > > kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA.
>
> > > That of course has nothing to do with anything and in fact would mean
> > > that Obama would be a natural born citizenship even if he had been
> > > born in Kenya. If Ann Dunham were not legally married at the time,
> > > Obama could have been born anywhere BUT in the US and still be a
> > > natural born citizen since the 5-year minimum residency requirement
> > > after age 14 (Dunham was only 18 at the time) would not longer be
> > > applicable.
>
> > > You just kicked your OWN ass, WrongTurnJoe!!
> > > BWAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHA!!
>
> > Larnrod Your Post Brings Up More Questions....
>
> Yeah, like how do you reach a computer terminal from your padded cell.
>
> BWAHAHAHAAHAHAHAAA!!!!

Larnrod,
Ah the typical Liberal-Fascist reply : Attack the
Messenger of Truth Never Address the Facts and
the Issues. Plus They Snip/Delete What You Wrote
About : The Facts and The Issues So No One Can
Know Their Dishonesty.
.
- - - = = = RHF's Canned Reply 'Rant' = = = - - -
[>: To Liberal-Fascist {Democrat} Name Calling :<]
ROTFL - You Know When You Are Winning An Argument :
When An Ever So Super-Smart "Enlightened" Liberal
Democrat Socialist Starts Fascist Name Calling*.
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/318979fbe8546cfa
* They Lose Their Ability To Think And Get Emotional
+ Plus They Often Snip/Delete What You Wrote :
To Disguise Their Complete Inability To Carry The
Argument Forward. -that-is-how-i-see-it- ~ RHF©
.
Larnrod,
Well Here Is What I Wrote Again -one-more-time-:o)-
.
Larnrod Your Post Brings Up More Questions....
.
But First For Some 'Birther' Humor :o)
Oops... It's Hanging Chad's All Over Again !!!
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9e0c9455442f3db5
- = & = -
Rob Redding asks... Hey Trump ?
Show Us What's Under The Toupee !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/2425a3ad2391f554
.
.
=Questions= =Questions= =Questions= =Questions=
Oops Oops Oops V2.0 Brings Up More Questions . . .
-oops-questions-and-more-questions-never-ending-questions-
.
Where Is Obama's Parents Marriage License Registered ?
-oops-questions-and-more-questions-never-ending-questions-
.
Where Is Obama's Parents Divorce Papers Registered ?
-oops-questions-and-more-questions-never-ending-questions-
.
Where Is Obama-to-Soetaro Adoption Papers Registered ?
=The=Name=Change="To"=Question=
-oops-questions-and-more-questions-never-ending-questions-
.
Where Is Soetaro-Back-To-Obama Name Change
Papers Registered ?
=The=Name=Change="Back-To"=Question=
-oops-questions-and-more-questions-never-ending-questions-

.
Who's Name Is On The Obama {Soetaro?} College Records ?
=The=Adult=Legal=Name=Question=
-oops-questions-and-more-questions-never-ending-questions-
.
Did Obama {Soetaro?} Travel Using An Indonesian Passport ?
=The=Adult=Indonesian=Citizenship=Question=
-oops-questions-and-more-questions-never-ending-questions-
.
Fact Check # 1 : Was the individual that we now call
'Barack Obama' : Once Legally "Barry Soetaro" ? [YEP]
-oops-another-inconvenient-truth- {yep again}
-a- YES "BO" {Obama} Was As A Minor Child
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/34ba6fcb348c072b
.
Fact Check # 2 : Was the individual that we now call
'Barack Obama' : Once Legally a Citizen of Indonesia ? [YEP]
-oops-another-inconvenient-truth- {yep again}
-a- YES "BO" {Obama} Was As A Minor Child
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/34ba6fcb348c072b
.
Question... Did "BO" {Obama} as an Adult Travel using
the name 'Barry Soetaro' and Using an Indonesian Passport ?
-why-are-obama's-adult-records-sealed-?-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b599307219c910fc
.
Question... Did "BO" {Obama} as an Adult Apply a/o GoTo
College using the name 'Barry Soetaro' and Claiming to be
a Foreign Student from Indonesian ?
-why-are-obama's-college-records-sealed-?-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b599307219c910fc
.
OMG ! Yes Barack [H] Obama Was Legally 'Barry
Soetoro' and Was Legally a Citizen of Indonesia
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/08b9e51c003bd2a8
.
One Must Honestly Still Ask... {With Reservations}
Just How Did Someone Once Know as 'Barry Soetaro'
and a Legal Citizen of Indonesia Get Elected to the
US Prez-A-Duntzcy Under the Name Barack Obama ?
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f3bc60d4d7ba8df8
.
.
Prez-A-Duntz Obama 'Reconsidering' Second Term Run
in 2012 : Obama Has Been Out Trump'ed !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6102c3007ce6a3a4
.
OOPS ! : US President Obama May Not Be On-the-Ballot
in All 50 US States in 2012 -read-why-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b73a74c7b3d32061

.
WHY : US President Obama May Not Be On-the-Ballot
in All 50 States in 2012 -read-how-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/5323d853d822b855
.
.
DANG ! --- ? Where's the Birth Certificate?:
"The Case That Barack Obama Is Not {No Way}
Eligible {Legally} To Be President"
the new tell-it-all book by Jerome Corsi
http://www.amazon.com/dp/1936488299/
Yeah - President Obama is Already Feeling
The Heat from Corsi's New Tell-It-All Book
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
.
YES : Jerome Corsi's Book About Obama
Reveals Many More Unanswered Questions
Beyond the Birth Certificate Issue...
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
.
.

116. Re: AFN Diego Garcia on 12,759 kHz [USB] @ 04:30 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 22:06:32 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dc126b26-e399-4a2c-b6d0-a1fd7d35485d@a21g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228043

On May 1, 4:31 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> bpnjensen wrote:
> > On Apr 28, 6:23 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > > AFN Diego Garcia on 12,759 kHz [USB] @ 04:30 UTC
> > > .
> > > S-Meter : '0' to S1 and SIO 243 and low to fair audio
> > > on 27 April 2011
>
> > Roy - Victor Goonetilleke in Sri Lanka informs me that AFRTS 12759U in
> > BIOT is obviously on the air at 0138z today, May 1, 2011, and likely
> > has been for a few days at least - so my reception of them during the
> > 0100 - 0200 hours the last few days has been real :-) Reception
> > Report time! Yahhooo! :-) Also notes that the remote Perseus
> > receiver in Oregon has them today at SIO 333, which is MUCH better
> > than here in San Francisco Bay Area - but what the heck! :-)
>
> Decent enough signal here on 12759 at 1130, // 7811.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Today, the bands are so noisy here I cannot hear any AFRTS, let alone
much of anything else. A and K indices are too darn high.

117. Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -and- Creates Yet More Doubt !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 22:39:35 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <07c699f6-886f-4e08-8901-b1fd4cbf0951@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 1, 12:00 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 1, 1:54 am, Popgun Ass <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > Lets see what happens in 2012. Perhaps the Obamassiah may be running for
> > office,
>
> And winning.
>
> > or he will be in jail.
>
> Visiting you? Doubtful!
>
- - I rather doubt he will win, given that his popularity
- - is down around 30%...but...miracles do happen.

- Since when is 46 percent 30 percent, goober?
-
- No wonder you fuckwits destroyed the economy.
- you don't do numbers well/

Larrod,
The Numbers and Percentages Change with Party
Affiliation and Identification {Lean-Toward-Ness}

Left = Liberals & Democrats : Obama Continues
To Get High Marks from 'most' like in 2008.

Middle-of-the-Road = Independents* : Obama Now
Has Lost ~20% to ~30% of those who Liked "BO"
in 2008.

Right = Conservatives & Republicans : Obama Continues
To Get Low Marks from "All" like in 2008.

NOTE : Don't Worry about the Voters from the Party IDs

* The so-called [No Party] "Swing-Voters" are moving
away from Obama for many reasons :

** Hey Give Obama a 'Chance' -oops- Did and Don't Like
Obama -obama-is-as-bad-or-badder-than-bush-&-clinton-

** Peace Voters -oops- Obama is a War Monger Like Bush
-obama-is-as-bad-as-bush- -obama-is-bush-is-obama-

** Human Rights Voters -oops- Obama Has Kept GitMo
Open; Added More Detainees and Started Military Tribunals.

** Hey Give the 1st African-American a 'Chance'
-oops- Did and Don't Like Obama Either
-obama-is-as-bad-as-all-the-white-ones-

** African-American Voters The Brother Will Do Something
For Us {Better the Lives of African-Americans} -oops-
Obama has been the Worst US President for African
Americans since WW2.
-obama-has-ignored-our-issues-in-pursuit-of-white-liberalism-

** Senior-Citizen Voters Who Think Obama-Care© Screws
With Their Medicare Benefits -oops- Obama Screwed Us !
Obama Has Taken Away Our Medical and Drug Benefits.
-obama-screwed-us- -obama-screwed-us- -obama-screwed-us-
.
=FYI= Each of these Voter Groups can represent a "1%"
Swing in the Election Results -and- a Total of 3%~4% Away
from Obama's 2008 Election Results Means NO Obama
2nd Term in 2012
.
.
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate
V2.0 -and- Creates Yet More Doubt !
-basically- The Whole 'Birther' Certificate Issue Is About :

? Can You Trust Obama ! =Creating Doubt=
& ? Why Hasn't Obama Been More Honest !
-destroying-obama's-creditability-one-voter-at-a-time-

? Do You Believe Obama ! =Creating Doubt=
& ? Why Hasn't Obama Been More Open and Truthful !
-destroying-obama's-creditability-one-voter-at-a-time-
.
and that is that way 'i' see it... leading up to 2012 ~ RHF
.
.

118. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 22:50:07 UTC
From: Wayne <mygarbagecan@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <ipko32ufl1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228045
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

"Iarnrod" wrote in message
news:bc77a6a2-3c2b-46b6-bd96-7eb3afb97f92@w5g2000prg.googlegroups.com...

On May 1, 10:45 am, "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
> "BDK" <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
>
> news:MPG.28269a3824d0e60498a138@news.giganews.com...
>
> > In article <ipiid5$m5...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
> >> On 4/30/2011 7:28 PM, Wayne wrote:
>
> >> > -
> >> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's
> >> > ass
> >> > should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not
> >> > have
> >> > layers.
>
> >> I guess most didn't hear, what has always been asked for is a long form
> >> birth certificate of the original and the hospital records.
>
> >> They have produced a COLB (Certification of Live Birth) and an edited
> >> .pdf file purporting to be a scan of the orignial ... however, it is
> >> obvious that it does not bear an official seal, and has been tampered
> >> with and overlaid on some scan of "safety paper."
>
> >> Perhaps all this was just to hone the skills and knowledge of how to
> >> forge a proper document which would go unquestioned/undetected, who
> >> knows why such insanity would all take place ... but, it wouldn't
> >> surprise me if "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE THREE!" isn't coming up
> >> ... most realize that the actions of all this is pretty criminal in
> >> appearance ...
>
> >> Jerome Corsi claims to have the paper trail on the coverup and
> >> documentation which proves ~2 million+ spent by obama in hiding his
> >> past
> >> records contained in public documents. I am anxious to give this a
> >> serious read.
>
> >> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable and
> >> began with a presidential candidate promising transparency and has

> >> ended
> >> up with executive orders issued by him to keep his entire past a secret
> >> ... that only takes a rational mind to realize that something is bad
> >> wrong!
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > There doesn't seem to be anything rational about you, Johnny kOOk.
>
> OK...out of curiosity.....
> How do you explain a copy of the original, posted on the White House
> website, being a pdf file with layers? Was it generated as a pdf file 50
> years ago?

That's what happens when it's scanned. Happens all the time. You seem
flummoxed by the simplest of things.
****
-
Fine...explain it to me. A document is scanned, and it ends up with several
individual layers.
Doesn't work that way on my scanner. It ends up all in one layer. How does
the scanner know to separate signatures, forms, background into separate
layers?

119. AFN Diego Garcia and AFN Shortwave [HF] Broadcasts Times and Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 22:51:28 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <287c1ecf-8c42-4656-869c-9195dbf30e7d@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228046

On May 1, 11:36 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 1, 4:31 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > bpnjensen wrote:
> > > On Apr 28, 6:23 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > > > AFN Diego Garcia on 12,759 kHz [USB] @ 04:30 UTC
> > > > .
> > > > S-Meter : '0' to S1 and SIO 243 and low to fair audio
> > > > on 27 April 2011
>
> > > Roy - Victor Goonetilleke in Sri Lanka informs me that AFRTS 12759U in
> > > BIOT is obviously on the air at 0138z today, May 1, 2011, and likely
> > > has been for a few days at least - so my reception of them during the
> > > 0100 - 0200 hours the last few days has been real :-) Reception
> > > Report time! Yahhooo! :-) Also notes that the remote Perseus
> > > receiver in Oregon has them today at SIO 333, which is MUCH better
> > > than here in San Francisco Bay Area - but what the heck! :-)
>
> > Decent enough signal here on 12759 at 1130, // 7811.
>
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> Is that 7811 also DG?
>
> I rarely get "decent" signals here. I have to fight and struggle
> every inch of the way for DX.
>
> Bruce

BpnJ,

Run Two Receivers one on the Target 12,759/4319 kHz
and the other on the Parallel Verifier 7811 kHz to see

if the Words and Sounds appear to 'parallel' each other.
Usually that's good enough to say : That's It ! :o)
http://myafn.dodmedia.osd.mil/ShortWave.aspx
-note-the-error-78110- should be '7811'

For AFN-DG 12,759/4319 kHz you might use
AFN-Guam on 5765 kHz as the Parallel Verifier.
http://myafn.dodmedia.osd.mil/ShortWave.aspx

As for me each Hourly Check for AFN-DG
has resulted in 'zilch' ~ RHF
.
-fwiw- Sometimes I do hear what appears to be
a CW Marker Beacon? on 4319 kHz. You may
want to Listen for that...
.
Still wondering when AFN Pearl Harbor, Hawaii
is going to return to service . . .
http://myafn.dodmedia.osd.mil/ShortWave.asp
.
.

120. Re: like not trusting sand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 22:52:59 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15671-4DBDE44B-1678@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228048

May (the month of May) is Notorious for Tornados.
http://www.standeyo.com

Then, in June, I think Hurricane season starts.Never a dull moment around here.I live a 140 miles drive North of the Mississippi Gulf Coast and Hurricane Katrina blew ten shingles off of my rooftop and blew three trees down across my street.A 70 feet tall pecan tree that used to be in my front yard, the trunk of that tree was dancing like a wild Indian on Camp Kickapoo joy juice.I had that tree and another one just like it cut down after Hurricane Katrina.For about three weeks right after Katrina there were a lot of weird looking flying insects with two sets of wings on them, they were flying around here.A lot of cars and trucks in Jackson from Gulf Coast areas had those weird looking insects plastered all over them.I reckon Katrina blew those insects to Jackson from wherever.
cuhulin

121. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 22:53:33 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipko9d$2qi$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228047

On 5/1/2011 2:09 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Boston Globe Reporter hid information on SCARHEAD MOFO PIMP's father for
> two years.
>
> SCARHEAD MOFO PIMP says his father served in World War Two.
> http://www.libertypost.org
> cuhulin
>

Great, he can dish it out, let him remember that when it is time to take it.

Regards,
JS

122. Re: But First For Some 'Birther' Humor :o) Oops... It's Hanging Cha...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 22:58:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15672-4DBDE584-502@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228051

Online birth certificate document was changed.
http://www.worldnetdaily.com
http://www.wnd.com

What's that about a made in China Watermark?
http://www.canadafreepress.com
cuhulin

123. Re: FINALLY A ROAD BACK TO A "JFK TYPE PRESIDENT!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 23:00:06 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipkolm$2qi$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228049
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/1/2011 12:27 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Sun, 01 May 2011 08:22:09 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>>> Except when it isn't. When you need a government service, and there
>>> aren't enough people to provide it adequately, do you think the same
>>> thing?
>>>
>>
>> People should do things for themselves.
>
> Ahh, we should protect ourselves from murderers, epidemics, and the
> powerful - without banding together.
>
>> At one time, we had the only non-criminal government in the world, now
>> all governments are simply criminal.
>
> At what time did we have a non-criminal government? What criteria
> are you using to establish your statement?
>
>

Yeah, anyone younger than me probably does not remember safe streets, park benches and drinking fountains everywhere, walking safely in the dark on your way home, NON-criminal cops, etc.

Of course, the inner cities and areas where undesirable lived were always better kept out of, unless looking for trouble, but believe it or not, things used to be much, much safer!

Now that government has stooped to the level of criminally molesting your average citizens, it is much worse.

Regards,
JS

124. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 23:04:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipkou4$2qi$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228050
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

```
On 5/1/2011 3:50 PM, Wayne wrote:
>
>
> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
> news:bc77a6a2-3c2b-46b6-bd96-7eb3afb97f92@w5g2000prg.googlegroups.com...
>
> On May 1, 10:45 am, "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
>> "BDK" <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:MPG.28269a3824d0e60498a138@news.giganews.com...
>>
>> > In article <ipiid5$m5...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>>
>> >> On 4/30/2011 7:28 PM, Wayne wrote:
>>
>> >> > -
>> >> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's
>> >> > ass
>> >> > should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not
>> >> > have
>> >> > layers.
>>
>> >> I guess most didn't hear, what has always been asked for is a long
>> form
>> >> birth certificate of the original and the hospital records.
>>
>> >> They have produced a COLB (Certification of Live Birth) and an edited
>> >> .pdf file purporting to be a scan of the orignial ... however, it is
>> >> obvious that it does not bear an official seal, and has been tampered
>> >> with and overlaid on some scan of "safety paper."
>>
>> >> Perhaps all this was just to hone the skills and knowledge of how to
>> >> forge a proper document which would go unquestioned/undetected, who
>> >> knows why such insanity would all take place ... but, it wouldn't
>> >> surprise me if "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE THREE!" isn't
>> coming up
>> >> ... most realize that the actions of all this is pretty criminal in
>> >> appearance ...
>>
>> >> Jerome Corsi claims to have the paper trail on the coverup and
>> >> documentation which proves ~2 million+ spent by obama in hiding his
>> >> past
```

>> >> records contained in public documents. I am anxious to give this a
>> >> serious read.
>>
>> >> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable and
>> >> began with a presidential candidate promising transparency and has
>> >> ended
>> >> up with executive orders issued by him to keep his entire past a
>> secret
>> >> ... that only takes a rational mind to realize that something is bad
>> >> wrong!
>>
>> >> Regards,
>> >> JS
>>
>> > There doesn't seem to be anything rational about you, Johnny kOOk.
>>
>> OK...out of curiosity.....
>> How do you explain a copy of the original, posted on the White House
>> website, being a pdf file with layers? Was it generated as a pdf file 50
>> years ago?
>
> That's what happens when it's scanned. Happens all the time. You seem
> flummoxed by the simplest of things.
> **********
> -
> Fine...explain it to me. A document is scanned, and it ends up with
> several individual layers.
> Doesn't work that way on my scanner. It ends up all in one layer. How
> does the scanner know to separate signatures, forms, background into
> separate layers?

The worst part is the separation of the text from the actual black and
white form, then the separation of various text fields from others adds
MUCH insult to injury, etc. ... that crappy "safety paper" it is all
pasted onto just adds another level of obfuscation ...

Someone asked for a copy of a legal document just wouldn't give such a
crappy fake unless complete stupid or for some, as yet, unfathomable reason!

Regards,
JS

125. Re: FINALLY A ROAD BACK TO A "JFK TYPE PRESIDENT!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 23:14:00 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <1pprr6tpnt7636qmj75980k5nrbac8po2q@4ax.com>
Source record: 228052
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Sun, 01 May 2011 16:00:06 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>>> At one time, we had the only non-criminal government in the world, now
>>> all governments are simply criminal.
>>
>> At what time did we have a non-criminal government? What criteria
>> are you using to establish your statement?
>>
>>
>
>Yeah, anyone younger than me probably does not remember safe streets,
>park benches and drinking fountains everywhere, walking safely in the
>dark on your way home, NON-criminal cops, etc.

We've always had criminals. And we've always had some crooks in
governments. Just as everybody else has always had both. I
personally haven't noticed any problem in doing any of the above, but
I've only been doing so for six decades.

Statistics will be available if your fears are more than fantasy, if
it isn't you being afraid instead of the streets being more dangerous.

>Of course, the inner cities and areas where undesirable lived were
>always better kept out of, unless looking for trouble, but believe it or
>not, things used to be much, much safer!

I've lived in and out of inner cities. Show the statistics you are
afraid about.

>Now that government has stooped to the level of criminally molesting
>your average citizens, it is much worse.

The government gained some power to do so because of people who were
terrified by our enemies, if you're talking about Homeland Security.
People who are afraid demand that we all are afraid of people who seem
different. People who are afraid are willing to give up liberties.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

126. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Tu...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 23:18:38 UTC
From: DCI <50bjdk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <73c4dc3b-727f-4d82-a46b-bfd91edaa56a@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On Apr 30, 10:09 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 4/30/2011 9:41 PM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > Four days, and that PHONY FRAUD FAKE ASS FORGERY SCARHEAD MOFO so-called
> > > Birth Certificate gets Yanked OFF of the White House website.They Know
> > > damn well it is a PHONY FRAUD FAKE ASS FORGERY! FOUR DAYS!
> > > cuhulin
>
> This guy shouldn't have been president of this kindergarten class!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Ah yet another phase of the citizen issue. This next phase will surely
be drawn out and maybe even put before the Supreme court. However,
don't expect the US Dept. of Justice to do anything about it.

And on the issue goes, over the hills and through the gardens of the
Tele Babies.

DCI

127. Re: AFN Diego Garcia and AFN Shortwave [HF] Broadcasts Times and Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 23:32:40 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e653be4d-d31e-4d76-96bd-fae29b0c8ab6@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228054

On May 1, 3:51 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:

> BpnJ,
>
> Run Two Receivers one on the Target 12,759/4319 kHz
> and the other on the Parallel Verifier 7811 kHz to see
> if the Words and Sounds appear to 'parallel' each other.
> Usually that's good enough to say : That's It !
:o)http://myafn.dodmedia.osd.mil/ShortWave.aspx
> -note-the-error-78110- should be '7811'
>
> For AFN-DG 12,759/4319 kHz you might use
> AFN-Guam on 5765 kHz as the Parallel
Verifier.http://myafn.dodmedia.osd.mil/ShortWave.aspx
>
> As for me each Hourly Check for AFN-DG
> has resulted in 'zilch' ~ RHF
> .
> -fwiw- Sometimes I do hear what appears to be
> a CW Marker Beacon? on 4319 kHz. You may
> want to Listen for that...

I catch your drift, Sergeant ;-) When I can, I will give that a try.
I do often (and easily) hear that beacon on 4319, AM and LSB, so
luckily it does not interfere with USB. It's not DG, is it?

At this point, however, I have no doubt whatsoever that I heard DG on
12759. At this noisebucket location, though, I may never hear them
better, assuming I ever hear them again.

128. Re: FINALLY A ROAD BACK TO A "JFK TYPE PRESIDENT!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-01 23:42:58 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipkr62$2qi$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228055
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/1/2011 4:14 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:

> ...
> The government gained some power to do so because of people who were
> terrified by our enemies, if you're talking about Homeland Security.
> People who are afraid demand that we all are afraid of people who seem
> different. People who are afraid are willing to give up liberties.
>
>

There is so much wrong with that on so many levels, I just haven't the
time ...

... plonk ...

Regards,
JS

129. Re: like not trusting sand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 00:05:32 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15672-4DBDF54C-513@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228056

Famed homeless man Ted Williams makes Jackson appearance
http://www.clarionledger.com

The Man with the Golden Voice.
cuhulin

130. Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 00:29:16 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipktss$2qi$13@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228057
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://incontextreport.com/2011/04/28/obama-birth-certificate-was-created-from-multiple-documents-with-adobe-software/

This should cut though some of the obfuscation cr*p that some are attempting to "disinform" with ...

Regards,
JS

131. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 00:51:28 UTC
From: "jfeng@my-deja.com" <jfeng@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <ee2fb59e-99b9-430b-b57d-2b07fccb565d@w9g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228058
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On May 1, 10:28 am, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> There is nothing factual in birtherism. Only rumors, confusion,
> fantasies, and delusions. And of course, bigotry, the basis of nearly
> all of it.
President Obama just released the movies showing his birth. The floar
and fuana are clearly African and not American.

Did you notice that the Donal was not amused? It demonstrates once
again that, while he can dish it ou with enthusiasm, he really can't
take it.

132. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 00:57:51 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipkvif$p9p$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228059
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On 5/1/2011 5:51 PM, jfeng@my-deja.com wrote:
> On May 1, 10:28 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>> There is nothing factual in birtherism. Only rumors, confusion,
>> fantasies, and delusions. And of course, bigotry, the basis of nearly
>> all of it.
> President Obama just released the movies showing his birth. The floar
> and fuana are clearly African and not American.
>
> Did you notice that the Donal was not amused? It demonstrates once
> again that, while he can dish it ou with enthusiasm, he really can't
> take it.

GESUS!!! AGAIN???

That damn scandalous crook will release anything instead of the simple scan of a REAL BIRTH CERTIFICATE, won't he?

Watch, "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE III" is coming to a theater near you soon!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

133. obama, man of obfuscation, mystery, deceit, stealth, shadow disinformation, lies ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 01:07:00 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipl03k$p9p$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228060
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.westernjournalism.com/exclusive-investigative-reports/the-mystery-of-barack-obama-continues/

http://thenewcivilrightsmovement.com/tea-party-obama-has-multiple-social-security-numbers-tied-to-bill-ayers/politics/2011/04/28/19404

http://escapetyranny.com/2011/03/30/colorado-springs-man-says-he-has-obama-draft-records-that-prove-obamas-hawaiian-birth-certificate-was-forged/

You just can't make this type of stuff up!

Regards,
JS

134. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 01:25:15 UTC
From: The Progressive Voice <thomaswheat1975@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7d351856-001f-45c0-9c80-f13eff6e58ae@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228061
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 1, 5:29 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> http://incontextreport.com/2011/04/28/obama-birth-certificate-was-cre...
>
> This should cut though some of the obfuscation cr*p that some are
> attempting to "disinform" with ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

that's not the birth certificate that obama released recently.
here is a link to his recently released long form certificate of live
birth:

http://edition.cnn.com/interactive/2011/04/politics/interactive.obama.birth.certificate/

thomaswheat1975
barack obama birth certificate

135. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 01:29:21 UTC
From: Ron <roneal1554@att.net>
Message-ID: <545c5cb7-f14c-433c-bab4-042d7803c173@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228062
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 1, 7:29 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> http://incontextreport.com/2011/04/28/obama-birth-certificate-was-cre...
>
> This should cut though some of the obfuscation cr*p that some are
> attempting to "disinform" with ...
>
> Regards,
> JS
.
.
Why in the name of sanity would you place trust in the "analysis" of
the Obama BC when the author repeatedly says "I don't know"? From
your cite we read:

1. All the letters in that portion are vectorized graphics except for
the R which looks pixelated. I don’t know why.

2. Secondly, there is a white faded ghost around all the text and
black lines of this document, again I don’t know why.

3. All the letters in that portion are vectorized graphics except for
the R which looks pixelated. I don’t know why.

4. Secondly, there is a white faded ghost around all the text and
black lines of this document, again I don’t know why.

5. It reveals a layer of white ghosting beneath it. I do not
understand this or know the purpose of it.

But....on the other hand, so many of your previous posts make
reference to Jones' PrisonPlanet and InfoWars. So in a left handed
way, you are consistent: You'll believe ANYTHING in print.

RO

136. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 01:35:13 UTC
From: Rocky <wookiee@att.net>
Message-ID: <N6GdnULBRPLNlyPQnZ2dnUVZ_oudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228063
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Reply at the very bottom

"Ron" <roneal1554@att.net> wrote in message
news:545c5cb7-f14c-433c-bab4-042d7803c173@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
On May 1, 7:29 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> http://incontextreport.com/2011/04/28/obama-birth-certificate-was-cre...
>
> This should cut though some of the obfuscation cr*p that some are
> attempting to "disinform" with ...
>
> Regards,
> JS
.
.
Why in the name of sanity would you place trust in the "analysis" of
the Obama BC when the author repeatedly says "I don't know"? From
your cite we read:

1. All the letters in that portion are vectorized graphics except for
the R which looks pixelated. I don't know why.

2. Secondly, there is a white faded ghost around all the text and
black lines of this document, again I don't know why.

3. All the letters in that portion are vectorized graphics except for
the R which looks pixelated. I don't know why.

4. Secondly, there is a white faded ghost around all the text and
black lines of this document, again I don't know why.

5. It reveals a layer of white ghosting beneath it. I do not
understand this or know the purpose of it.

But....on the other hand, so many of your previous posts make
reference to Jones' PrisonPlanet and InfoWars. So in a left handed
way, you are consistent: You'll believe ANYTHING in print.

========== Reply below ==========

Don't forget this was also stated in the link:
"I am very confused by this but I can say with certainty that there are
major problems with this document. It's almost as if someone from inside the
White House is trying to send a message to tell everyone that this is a
fake. Either that, or they are as stupid as they seem."

Rocky

137. Re: RANK FORGERY -- RHF's brain scan!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 01:36:54 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <360f14bb-65dd-43c4-b9eb-d299b97c5cdb@j26g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 1, 3:06 pm, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 1, 5:35 am, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Apr 30, 11:03 pm,Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > > On Apr 30, 8:39 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
> > > > an illegitimate POTUS.
>
> > > That is legally incorrect. The citizenship status of either parent is
> > > completely immaterial to his natural born citizenship, This is long
> > > settled law and is not even remotely open to dispute.
>
> > > > Since his father was still married to a wife in
> > > > kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA.
>
> > > That of course has nothing to do with anything and in fact would mean
> > > that Obama would be a natural born citizenship even if he had been
> > > born in Kenya. If Ann Dunham were not legally married at the time,
> > > Obama could have been born anywhere BUT in the US and still be a
> > > natural born citizen since the 5-year minimum residency requirement
> > > after age 14 (Dunham was only 18 at the time) would not longer be
> > > applicable.
>
> > > You just kicked your OWN ass, WrongTurnJoe!!
> > > BWAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHA!!
>
> > Larnrod Your Post Brings Up More Questions....
>
> Yeah, like how do you reach a computer terminal from your padded cell.
>
> BWAHAHAHAAHAHAHAAA!!!!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Limprod does Test Tube Babies have belly buttons? Just asking
```

138. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 01:37:51 UTC
From: Rocky <wookiee@att.net>
Message-ID: <oP-dnTqcnJ9ylyPQnZ2dnUVZ_sWdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228065
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

reply at very bottom

"The Progressive Voice" <thomaswheat1975@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:7d351856-001f-45c0-9c80-f13eff6e58ae@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
On May 1, 5:29 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> http://incontextreport.com/2011/04/28/obama-birth-certificate-was-cre...
>
> This should cut though some of the obfuscation cr*p that some are
> attempting to "disinform" with ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

that's not the birth certificate that obama released recently.
here is a link to his recently released long form certificate of live
birth:

http://edition.cnn.com/interactive/2011/04/politics/interactive.obama.birth.certificate/

========== Reply below ==========

BULLSHIT here is the link to the real fake Birth Certificate
http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss_viewer/birth-certificate-long-form.pdf

139. Re: But First For Some 'Birther' Humor :o) Oops... It's Hanging Cha...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 01:44:31 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18343-4DBE0C7F-639@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228066

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=On+9/11+2001+2+Korean+Planes+were+transmitting+a+Hijack+squawk

A Satellite Code that can be discreetly set by a Pilot...
cuhulin

140. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 02:01:24 UTC
From: Ron <roneal1554@att.net>
Message-ID: <821c5075-9c0d-478e-b0f0-dab7ec775d7c@m4g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228067
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 1, 8:35 pm, "Rocky" <wook...@att.net> wrote:
> Reply at the very bottom
>
> "Ron" <roneal1...@att.net> wrote in message
>
> news:545c5cb7-f14c-433c-bab4-042d7803c173@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> On May 1, 7:29 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
wrote:>http://incontextreport.com/2011/04/28/obama-birth-certificate-was-cre...
>
> > This should cut though some of the obfuscation cr*p that some are
> > attempting to "disinform" with ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> .
> .
> Why in the name of sanity would you place trust in the "analysis" of
> the Obama BC when the author repeatedly says "I don't know"? From
> your cite we read:
>
> 1. All the letters in that portion are vectorized graphics except for
> the R which looks pixelated. I don’t know why.
>
> 2. Secondly, there is a white faded ghost around all the text and
> black lines of this document, again I don’t know why.
>
> 3. All the letters in that portion are vectorized graphics except for
> the R which looks pixelated. I don’t know why.
>
> 4. Secondly, there is a white faded ghost around all the text and
> black lines of this document, again I don’t know why.
>
> 5. It reveals a layer of white ghosting beneath it. I do not
> understand this or know the purpose of it.
>
> But....on the other hand, so many of your previous posts make
> reference to Jones' PrisonPlanet and InfoWars. So in a left handed
> way, you are consistent: You'll believe ANYTHING in print.
>
> ========== Reply below ==========
>
> Don't forget this was also stated in the link:

> "I am very confused by this but I can say with certainty that there are
> major problems with this document. It’s almost as if someone from inside the
> White House is trying to send a message to tell everyone that this is a
> fake. Either that, or they are as stupid as they seem."
>
> Rocky
.
.
But don't ignore the bottom line of the link:

"If that’s true, why was this fake put online? Once again, more
questions than answers."

The author of this baloney has branded the document a fake, but as I
stated in my first post, the author's analysis is filled with
ADMISSIONS OF HIS IGNORANCE concerning his assessment of the BC.

Conclusion: He is full of Dookie.

RO

141. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 02:16:02 UTC
From: GovShill <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <e347a865-4d2a-425a-9d70-8176b5477e76@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228068
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 2, 11:29 am, Ron <roneal1...@att.net> wrote:
> On May 1, 7:29 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
wrote:>http://incontextreport.com/2011/04/28/obama-birth-certificate-was-cre...
>
> > This should cut though some of the obfuscation cr*p that some are
> > attempting to "disinform" with ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> .
> .
> Why in the name of sanity would you

Sanity and Smithy divorced several years ago due to "irreconcilable
differences".

>place trust in the "analysis" of
> the Obama BC when the author repeatedly says "I don't know"? From
> your cite we read:
>
> 1. All the letters in that portion are vectorized graphics except for
> the R which looks pixelated. I don’t know why.
>
> 2. Secondly, there is a white faded ghost around all the text and
> black lines of this document, again I don’t know why.
>
> 3. All the letters in that portion are vectorized graphics except for
> the R which looks pixelated. I don’t know why.
>
> 4. Secondly, there is a white faded ghost around all the text and
> black lines of this document, again I don’t know why.
>
> 5. It reveals a layer of white ghosting beneath it. I do not
> understand this or know the purpose of it.
>
> But....on the other hand, so many of your previous posts make
> reference to Jones' PrisonPlanet and InfoWars. So in a left handed
> way, you are consistent: You'll believe ANYTHING in print.

Oh... Smithy will Believe anything, printed or not. The stupider the
better.

Shill #2

142. Re: obama, man of obfuscation, mystery, deceit, stealth, shadow disinformation, lies ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 02:26:29 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f15d494d-8722-46c3-a0a1-ff6df6b8b6c4@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228069
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 1, 6:07 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> http://www.westernjournalism.com/exclusive-investigative-reports/the-...
>
> http://thenewcivilrightsmovement.com/tea-party-obama-has-multiple-soc...
>
> http://escapetyranny.com/2011/03/30/colorado-springs-man-says-he-has-...
>
> You just can't make this type of stuff up!
>
> Regards,
> JS

"John Smith", man of infantile stupidity.

143. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 02:29:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipl4v0$a9t$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228070
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On 5/1/2011 6:25 PM, The Progressive Voice wrote:
> On May 1, 5:29 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> http://incontextreport.com/2011/04/28/obama-birth-certificate-was-cre...
>>
>> This should cut though some of the obfuscation cr*p that some are
>> attempting to "disinform" with ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> that's not the birth certificate that obama released recently.
> here is a link to his recently released long form certificate of live
> birth:
>
> http://edition.cnn.com/interactive/2011/04/politics/interactive.obama.birth.certificate/
>
> thomaswheat1975
> barack obama birth certificate

No. The whitehouse released it. Why would anyone download or bother
with something on a CNN webpage? That is stupid!

obama already has enough of these things floating around without
creating more obfuscation and more fraudulent copies!

Regards,
JS
```

144. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 02:34:28 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipl57k$a9t$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228071
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/1/2011 6:35 PM, Rocky wrote:

> ...
> ========== Reply below ==========
>
> Don't forget this was also stated in the link:
> "I am very confused by this but I can say with certainty that there are
> major problems with this document. Itï¿½s almost as if someone from inside the
> White House is trying to send a message to tell everyone that this is a
> fake. Either that, or they are as stupid as they seem."
>
> Rocky
>
>

Yeah. The guy is so embarrassed for obama he is careful in his wording
... hates to call the imbecile stupid for such a shoddy fraud ...

Regards,
JS

145. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 02:36:15 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipl5av$a9t$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228072
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/1/2011 7:01 PM, Ron wrote:
> On May 1, 8:35 pm, "Rocky"<wook...@att.net> wrote:
>> Reply at the very bottom
>>
>> "Ron"<roneal1...@att.net> wrote in message
>>
>> news:545c5cb7-f14c-433c-bab4-042d7803c173@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>> On May 1, 7:29 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
wrote:>http://incontextreport.com/2011/04/28/obama-birth-certificate-was-cre...
>>
>>> This should cut though some of the obfuscation cr*p that some are
>>> attempting to "disinform" with ...
>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> .
>> .
>> Why in the name of sanity would you place trust in the "analysis" of
>> the Obama BC when the author repeatedly says "I don't know"? From
>> your cite we read:
>>
>> 1. All the letters in that portion are vectorized graphics except for
>> the R which looks pixelated. I donï¿½t know why.
>>
>> 2. Secondly, there is a white faded ghost around all the text and
>> black lines of this document, again I donï¿½t know why.
>>
>> 3. All the letters in that portion are vectorized graphics except for
>> the R which looks pixelated. I donï¿½t know why.
>>
>> 4. Secondly, there is a white faded ghost around all the text and
>> black lines of this document, again I donï¿½t know why.
>>
>> 5. It reveals a layer of white ghosting beneath it. I do not
>> understand this or know the purpose of it.
>>
>> But....on the other hand, so many of your previous posts make
>> reference to Jones' PrisonPlanet and InfoWars. So in a left handed
>> way, you are consistent: You'll believe ANYTHING in print.
>>
>> ========== Reply below ==========
>>

>> Don't forget this was also stated in the link:
>> "I am very confused by this but I can say with certainty that there are
>> major problems with this document. Itï¿½s almost as if someone from inside the
>> White House is trying to send a message to tell everyone that this is a
>> fake. Either that, or they are as stupid as they seem."
>>
>> Rocky
> .
> .
> But don't ignore the bottom line of the link:
>
> "If thatï¿½s true, why was this fake put online? Once again, more
> questions than answers."
>
> The author of this baloney has branded the document a fake, but as I
> stated in my first post, the author's analysis is filled with
> ADMISSIONS OF HIS IGNORANCE concerning his assessment of the BC.
>
> Conclusion: He is full of Dookie.
>
> RO
>

Since you offer no graphics evidence, you are just a moron making stupid
statements. What a damn fool, as if anyone is going to pay attention to
your opinion and ignore evidence and facts!

ROFLOL ... What a moron!

Regards,
JS

146. Re: obama, man of obfuscation, mystery, deceit, stealth, shadow disinformation, lies ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 02:38:57 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipl5g1$a9t$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228073
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/1/2011 6:29 PM, Rocky wrote:

> ...
> Now it becomes obvious why people close to Barry did not want Barry to
> release a fabricated Long Form.
>
> And I have to thank the people that manufactured his Long Form for making it
> so damn obvious it was fake like using a font face that was not created
> until after Barry was born and using terms for a Negro that were not used
> until years after Barry was born, or leaving initials in a document that
> shows it was edited by Adobe Illustrator. Pretty funny.
>
> Link to an obvious fake:
> http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss_viewer/birth-certificate-long-form.pdf
>

So, you are saying some patriot left enough evidence (actually, an
abundance!) so no one would be in danger of being fooled by an illegal
president performing illegal actions to maintain office?

Could be!

Regards,
JS

147. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 02:41:23 UTC
From: The Progressive Voice <thomaswheat1975@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3ae78446-f6bc-4da0-8105-d1d48fa0b1a0@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228074
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 1, 6:37 pm, "Rocky" <wook...@att.net> wrote:
> reply at very bottom
>
> "The Progressive Voice" <thomaswheat1...@gmail.com> wrote in
messagenews:7d351856-001f-45c0-9c80-f13eff6e58ae@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
> On May 1, 5:29 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> >http://incontextreport.com/2011/04/28/obama-birth-certificate-was-cre...
>
> > This should cut though some of the obfuscation cr*p that some are
> > attempting to "disinform" with ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> that's not the birth certificate that obama released recently.
> here is a link to his recently released long form certificate of live
> birth:
>
> http://edition.cnn.com/interactive/2011/04/politics/interactive.obama...
>
> ========== Reply below ==========
>
> BULLSHIT here is the link to the real fake Birth Certificate
> http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss_viewer/birth-certif...
well how are you going to prove it is fake, donald trump with all his
money couldn't disprove the validity of the document. why dont you go
to the bureau of vital statistics or whatever it is called in hawaii
and see for yourself if the document is there.

148. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 02:43:57 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipl5pd$a9t$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228075
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/1/2011 7:41 PM, The Progressive Voice wrote:

>> ...
>> BULLSHIT here is the link to the real fake Birth Certificate
>> http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss_viewer/birth-certif...
> well how are you going to prove it is fake, donald trump with all his
> money couldn't disprove the validity of the document. why dont you go
> to the bureau of vital statistics or whatever it is called in hawaii
> and see for yourself if the document is there.

So, you don't believe that fraudulent one released on the whitehouse.gov
page either?

Good job man -- you know liars when you see them!

Regards,
JS

149. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 02:46:10 UTC
From: Animal 07 <wherewasI@friday.com>
Message-ID: <QdudnSCaIL9ohyPQnZ2dnUVZ_qadnZ2d@wow.com>
Source record: 228076
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

```
On 5/1/2011 12:54 PM, BDK wrote:
> In article<Xns9ED8A9F322BBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>,
> grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com says...
>>
>> BDK<Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in
>> news:MPG.28269a0f5df13b3598a137@news.giganews.com:
>>
>>> In article<Xns9ED7E8F20647FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>,
>>> grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com says...
>>>>
>>>> "Wayne"<mygarbagecan@verizon.net> wrote in news:ipiggv$rdt$1@dont-
>>>> email.me:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "jcon"<cirejcon@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com
>>>>> ... On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy<w...@myself.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> ABCDEFG!!!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Just keeps getting better, and better!:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-
>>>> press-...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The PDF is composed of multiple images. That?s correct. Using a
>>>>>> photo editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this
>>>>>> image data, view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they?re
>>>>>> being called, aren?t layers in the traditional photo-editing sense
>>>>>> of the word. They are, quite literally, pieces of image data that
>>>>>> have been positioned in a PDF container. They appear as text but
>>>>>> also contain glyphs, dots, lines, boxes, squiggles, and random
>>>>>> garbage. They?re not combined or merged in any way. Quite simply,
>>>>>> they look like they were created programmatically, not by a human.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What?s plausible is that somewhere along the way ? from the scanning
```

>>>>>> device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can perform OCR
>>>>>> (optical character recognition) ? these partial/pseudo-text images
>>>>>> were created and saved. What?s not plausible is that the government
>>>>>> spent all this time manufacturing Obama?s birth certificate only to
>>>>>> commit the laughably rookie mistake of exporting the layers from
>>>>>> Photoshop, or whatever photo editing software they are meant to have
>>>>>> used. It?s likely that whoever scanned the birth certificate in
>>>>>> Hawaii forgot to turn off the OCR setting on the scanner. Let?s
>>>>>> leave it at that.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an amateurish
>>>>> Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than simply
>>>>> create a forged document. Seriously, all this fuss about the
>>>>> "original birth certificate". Do we honestly believe the
>>>>> most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
>>>>> wanted? The physical birth certificate has *never* been as
>>>>> convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth announcements,
>>>>> and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of Hawaii and
>>>>> the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
>>>>> no white man has ever been asked to produce).
>>>>>
>>>>> I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
>>>>> including a time machine - would ever convince them. Why
>>>>> did it take Obama so long to release it? I think we can see
>>>>> why now. He knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
>>>>> **************************
>>>>> -
>>>>> I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's
>>>>> ass should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not
>>>>> have layers.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And there is now the not insignificant problem of his father?s
>>>> citizenship.
>>>
>>>
>>> It's totally insignificant. He could have been a citizen of
>>> Spotsylvania, wouldn't matter in the slightest.
>>
>> You think so? Well to idiots who want to believe the lie.
>
> What lie is that?
>>
>>>>

>>>> And the issue of what happened to O between 1961 and 2008. Something of
>>>> a vacuum documentation wise.
>>>
>>> Only if you tend towards the birthtardic.
>>>
>>
>> You mean if you are curious about the unsubstantiated claims of the
>> absolute buffoon we have put in charge,
>
> What unsubstantiated claims are those? The ones only you heard about
> from the voices in your head?
>
>>
>> What are you afraid of? What secrets do you not want revealed? What impact
>> might they have on the American people regarding their trust of the
>> Progressives?
>
> Afraid of? Secrets? The only thing I'm slightly scared about is that you
> whackjobs are running around loose. Who knows what about anyone you'll
> dream up next? You kooks might go into "tower and rifle" mode.
>
>
The scary thing is those whack jobs can vote.

150. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 02:57:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBE1DA5-1509@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228077

Born in Kenya! SCARHEAD! MOFO! PIMP! USURPER! to Make Late Night
Statement
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307086

After BORN in Kenya! SCARHEAD! MOFO! PIMP! USURPER! makes that
Statement, somebody, post the gist of it.I Literally Can NOT! Stand to
see or listen to Born in Kenya! SCARHEAD! MOFO! PIMP! USURPER! on TV or
Radio!!!!
cuhulin

151. Re: obama, man of obfuscation, mystery, deceit, stealth, shadow disinformation, lies ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:02:36 UTC
From: Kommienezuspadt <NoThanks@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <m9pvp.41929$J36.33124@newsfe08.iad>
Source record: 228078
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"John Smith" wrote in message news:ipl03k$p9p$3@dont-email.me...

http://www.westernjournalism.com/exclusive-investigative-reports/the-mystery-of-barack-obama-continues/

http://thenewcivilrightsmovement.com/tea-party-obama-has-multiple-social-security-numbers-tied-to-bill-ayers/politics/2011/04/28/19404

http://escapetyranny.com/2011/03/30/colorado-springs-man-says-he-has-obama-draft-records-that-prove-obamas-hawaiian-birth-certificate-was-forged/

You just can't make this type of stuff up!

Regards,
JS
===

Don't you mean -- the guy that got Bin Laden since Bush could not do it?

152. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:04:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBE1F2C-1515@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228079

Ministry of Propaganda says Osama Bin Laden has been killed.

He was killed years and years ago, buried under a pile of Rocks.
cuhulin

153. Re: Obama gets bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:15:56 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipl7lc$a9t$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228080
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics.republicans,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/1/2011 8:02 PM, Tall King wrote:
> On Sun, 1 May 2011 19:56:03 -0700 (PDT), Iarnrod<iarnrod@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>> Thank you, President Obama.
>
> LOL, he hit the red button by accident while reaching for his crack
> pipe, next to the fake birth certificate.

ROFLOL ... he only smoked it once ... and he didn't inhale! GOT IT!

Regards,
JS
```

154. Re: Obama gets bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:18:01 UTC
From: Tall King <blabber@fakeaddress.com>
Message-ID: <bh8sr6lu1ih2jna3od22bbp2u3bseq3feb@4ax.com>
Source record: 228081
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics.republicans,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Sun, 01 May 2011 20:15:56 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>On 5/1/2011 8:02 PM, Tall King wrote:
>> On Sun, 1 May 2011 19:56:03 -0700 (PDT), Iarnrod<iarnrod@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> Thank you, President Obama.
>>
>> LOL, he hit the red button by accident while reaching for his crack
>> pipe, next to the fake birth certificate.
>
>ROFLOL ... he only smoked it once ... and he didn't inhale! GOT IT!
>
>Regards,
>JS

Too bad he had to die to take our minds off the birth certificate.
Heavy price to pay.

OK, I'm happy too, crackpipes for everyone!

155. Re: obama, man of obfuscation, mystery, deceit, stealth, shadow disinformation, lies ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:20:53 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipl7ul$a9t$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228082
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On 5/1/2011 8:02 PM, Kommienezuspadt wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" wrote in message news:ipl03k$p9p$3@dont-email.me...
>
>
>
> http://www.westernjournalism.com/exclusive-investigative-reports/the-mystery-of-barack-o
bama-continues/
>
>
> http://thenewcivilrightsmovement.com/tea-party-obama-has-multiple-social-security-number
s-tied-to-bill-ayers/politics/2011/04/28/19404
>
>
> http://escapetyranny.com/2011/03/30/colorado-springs-man-says-he-has-obama-draft-records
-that-prove-obamas-hawaiian-birth-certificate-was-forged/
>
>
> You just can't make this type of stuff up!
>
> Regards,
> JS
> ===
>
> Don't you mean -- the guy that got Bin Laden since Bush could not do it?

You mean, maybe if bush had gotten in practice at forging birth
certificates it would have made him a better bin laden hunter?

Hell, who knows ... ROFLOL

Regards,
JS
```

156. Re: obama, man of obfuscation, mystery, deceit, stealth, shadow disinformation, lies ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:22:35 UTC
From: Kommienezuspadt <NoThanks@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1spvp.26558$7N3.14846@newsfe10.iad>
Source record: 228083
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"John Smith" wrote in message news:ipl7ul$a9t$8@dont-email.me...

On 5/1/2011 8:02 PM, Kommienezuspadt wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" wrote in message news:ipl03k$p9p$3@dont-email.me...
>
>
>
> http://www.westernjournalism.com/exclusive-investigative-reports/the-mystery-of-barack-obama-continues/
>
>
> http://thenewcivilrightsmovement.com/tea-party-obama-has-multiple-social-security-numbers-tied-to-bill-ayers/politics/2011/04/28/19404
>
>
> http://escapetyranny.com/2011/03/30/colorado-springs-man-says-he-has-obama-draft-records-that-prove-obamas-hawaiian-birth-certificate-was-forged/
>
>
> You just can't make this type of stuff up!
>
> Regards,
> JS
> ===
>
> Don't you mean -- the guy that got Bin Laden since Bush could not do it?

You mean, maybe if bush had gotten in practice at forging birth
certificates it would have made him a better bin laden hunter?

Hell, who knows ... ROFLOL

Regards,
JS
====

nah -- just your president -- the one who finished what Bush blew.

157. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:24:22 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipl856$a9t$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/1/2011 8:04 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Ministry of Propaganda says Osama Bin Laden has been killed.
>
> He was killed years and years ago, buried under a pile of Rocks.
> cuhulin
>

Probably dug him up, got the necessary DNA and blasted it about and then
claiming, "We found him!", they cross their fingers and hope everyone
will forget the birth certificate ...

WRONG!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

158. Re: Obama sucks bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:28:56 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipl8do$a9t$10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228085
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics.republicans,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.sport.golf

```
On 5/1/2011 8:22 PM, Tall King wrote:
> On Sun, 01 May 2011 22:02:41 -0500, Tall King
> <blabber@fakeaddress.com> wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 1 May 2011 19:56:03 -0700 (PDT), Iarnrod<iarnrod@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> Thank you, President Obama.
>>
>> LOL, he hit the red button by accident while reaching for his crack
>> pipe, next to the fake birth certificate.
>
> Isn't it strange that this happened last week but we only hear of it
> today? In this Twitter generation?

This is simply PLAN B, to be carried out if PLAN A failed -- the
fraudulent birth certificate ... they know the Attention Deficit
Disordered will forget about the fraud ...

Regards,
JS
```

159. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:33:03 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipl8lf$a9t$11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228086
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/1/2011 8:26 PM, Shall not be infringed wrote:
> On May 1, 11:23 pm, Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Kookers already going into denial mode.
>>
>> Hey, didn't the 9/11 twoofer crowd tell us UBL was dead years ago and
>> his tapes were all faked?
>>
>> BWAHAAHAAAAHAAAHAHAHAAAHAHAA!!!
>
> Heh! Good one.
>
> Perhaps his dad will provide a DNA sample so we can verify Obama got
> the right spoiled rich kid terrorist brat.

ALL RIGHT!

It is all over, will they start bringing troops home tomorrow? Can we
stop bombing people in Libya?

Did osama have a copy of obamas' birth certificate on him?

Are we going to repay the iraqis' for the oil we stole?

Gawd, in addition to the birth certificate, there is going to be even
more questions to stay focused on!

Regards,
JS

160. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:35:46 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9ED8F00A6401FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 228087
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

Animal 07 <wherewasI@friday.com> wrote in
news:QdudnSCaIL9ohyPQnZ2dnUVZ_qadnZ2d@wow.com:

> On 5/1/2011 12:54 PM, BDK wrote:
>> In article<Xns9ED8A9F322BBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>,
>> grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com says...
>>>
>>> BDK<Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in
>>> news:MPG.28269a0f5df13b3598a137@news.giganews.com:
>>>
>>>> In article<Xns9ED7E8F20647FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>,
>>>> grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com says...
>>>>>
>>>>> "Wayne"<mygarbagecan@verizon.net> wrote in news:ipiggvrdt1@dont-
>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "jcon"<cirejcon@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.c
>>>>>> om ... On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy<w...@myself.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> ABCDEFG!!!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Just keeps getting better, and better!:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-
>>>>> press-...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The PDF is composed of multiple images. That?s correct. Using a
>>>>>>> photo editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this
>>>>>>> image data, view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they?re
>>>>>>> being called, aren?t layers in the traditional photo-editing sense
>>>>>>> of the word. They are, quite literally, pieces of image data that
>>>>>>> have been positioned in a PDF container. They appear as text but
>>>>>>> also contain glyphs, dots, lines, boxes, squiggles, and random
>>>>>>> garbage. They?re not combined or merged in any way. Quite simply,

>>>>>>> they look like they were created programmatically, not by a human.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What?s plausible is that somewhere along the way ? from the
>>>>>>> scanning device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can
>>>>>>> perform OCR (optical character recognition) ? these
>>>>>>> partial/pseudo-text images were created and saved. What?s not
>>>>>>> plausible is that the government spent all this time manufacturing
>>>>>>> Obama?s birth certificate only to commit the laughably rookie
>>>>>>> mistake of exporting the layers from Photoshop, or whatever photo
>>>>>>> editing software they are meant to have used. It?s likely that
>>>>>>> whoever scanned the birth certificate in Hawaii forgot to turn off
>>>>>>> the OCR setting on the scanner. Let?s leave it at that.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth
>>>>>>> -c ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an
>>>>>> amateurish Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than
>>>>>> simply create a forged document. Seriously, all this fuss about
>>>>>> the "original birth certificate". Do we honestly believe the
>>>>>> most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
>>>>>> wanted? The physical birth certificate has *never* been as
>>>>>> convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth
>>>>>> announcements, and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of
>>>>>> Hawaii and the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
>>>>>> no white man has ever been asked to produce).
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
>>>>>> including a time machine - would ever convince them. Why
>>>>>> did it take Obama so long to release it? I think we can see
>>>>>> why now. He knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
>>>>>> **************************
>>>>>> -
>>>>>> I'm not a birther, but if this document is not
>>>>>> legitimate....Obama's ass should be in deep trouble. And, a copy
>>>>>> of the original would not have layers.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And there is now the not insignificant problem of his father?s
>>>>> citizenship.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> It's totally insignificant. He could have been a citizen of
>>>> Spotsylvania, wouldn't matter in the slightest.
>>>
>>> You think so? Well to idiots who want to believe the lie.
>>

>> What lie is that?
>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And the issue of what happened to O between 1961 and 2008. Something
>>>>> of a vacuum documentation wise.
>>>>
>>>> Only if you tend towards the birthtardic.
>>>>
>>>
>>> You mean if you are curious about the unsubstantiated claims of the
>>> absolute buffoon we have put in charge,
>>
>> What unsubstantiated claims are those? The ones only you heard about
>> from the voices in your head?
>>
>>>
>>> What are you afraid of? What secrets do you not want revealed? What
>>> impact might they have on the American people regarding their trust of
>>> the Progressives?
>>
>> Afraid of? Secrets? The only thing I'm slightly scared about is that
>> you whackjobs are running around loose. Who knows what about anyone
>> you'll dream up next? You kooks might go into "tower and rifle" mode.
>>
>>
> The scary thing is those whack jobs can vote.
>

You mean the ones who blindly believe what they are told without any
physical evidence to back it up?

Yup, it's a damn shame they vote. Look at the baboon they voted for.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

161. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:38:21 UTC
From: kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <71e6e74b-e6c0-411a-af85-2f9aaf2ff763@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228088
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 1, 8:33 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/1/2011 8:26 PM, Shall not be infringed wrote:
>
> > On May 1, 11:23 pm, Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Kookers already going into denial mode.
>
> >> Hey, didn't the 9/11 twoofer crowd tell us UBL was dead years ago and
> >> his tapes were all faked?
>
> >> BWAHAAHAAAAHAAAHAHAHAAAHAHAA!!!
>
> > Heh! Good one.
>
> > Perhaps his dad will provide a DNA sample so we can verify Obama got
> > the right spoiled rich kid terrorist brat.
>
> ALL RIGHT!
>
> It is all over, will they start bringing troops home tomorrow? Can we
> stop bombing people in Libya?
>
> Did osama have a copy of obamas' birth certificate on him?
>
> Are we going to repay the iraqis' for the oil we stole?
>
> Gawd, in addition to the birth certificate, there is going to be even
> more questions to stay focused on!
>
> Regards,
> JS

***Hey Smythe, why don't you wipe your arse with Obummers BC and then
eat a mile of my Bat Guano!...See you at the 4th of July Klow-fest
over at rec.knives...Gonna be a Cracker!

162. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:41:41 UTC
From: Tall King <blabber@fakeaddress.com>
Message-ID: <7t9sr69ge8a4ep26ea9hj0ssdjgion6ut2@4ax.com>
Source record: 228089
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Sun, 1 May 2011 20:38:21 -0700 (PDT), kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>On May 1, 8:33ï¿½pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/1/2011 8:26 PM, Shall not be infringed wrote:
>>
>> > On May 1, 11:23 pm, Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> ï¿½wrote:
>> >> Kookers already going into denial mode.
>>
>> >> Hey, didn't the 9/11 twoofer crowd tell us UBL was dead years ago and
>> >> his tapes were all faked?
>>
>> >> BWAHAAHAAAAHAAAHAHAHAAAHAHAA!!!
>>
>> > Heh! ï¿½Good one.
>>
>> > Perhaps his dad will provide a DNA sample so we can verify Obama got
>> > the right spoiled rich kid terrorist brat.
>>
>> ALL RIGHT!
>>
>> It is all over, will they start bringing troops home tomorrow? ï¿½Can we
>> stop bombing people in Libya?
>>
>> Did osama have a copy of obamas' birth certificate on him?
>>
>> Are we going to repay the iraqis' for the oil we stole?
>>
>> Gawd, in addition to the birth certificate, there is going to be even
>> more questions to stay focused on!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
>***Hey Smythe, why don't you wipe your arse with Obummers BC and then
>eat a mile of my Bat Guano!...See you at the 4th of July Klow-fest
>over at rec.knives...Gonna be a Cracker!

Bush was right, Osama bin Laden is now insignificant. Watch Obama take
full credit for killing bin Laden and praise the goodness of Islam as
a whole.

163. MULE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:42:34 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBE282A-1538@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228094

http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307084

Only in Missy Sippy!

They can raffle off Osama Bin Laden now, he has been dead for years and
years.
cuhulin

164. Re: Obama sucks bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:44:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBE2888-1540@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228095

Obsfucation, sumpin like Dat.
cuhulin

165. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:46:14 UTC
From: Kommienezuspadt <NoThanks@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <bOpvp.7474$h%4.6780@newsfe22.iad>
Source record: 228090
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"Tall King" wrote in message
news:7t9sr69ge8a4ep26ea9hj0ssdjgion6ut2@4ax.com...

On Sun, 1 May 2011 20:38:21 -0700 (PDT), kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>On May 1, 8:33 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/1/2011 8:26 PM, Shall not be infringed wrote:
>>
>> > On May 1, 11:23 pm, Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> Kookers already going into denial mode.
>>
>> >> Hey, didn't the 9/11 twoofer crowd tell us UBL was dead years ago and
>> >> his tapes were all faked?
>>
>> >> BWAHAAHAAAAHAAAHAHAHAAAHAHAA!!!
>>
>> > Heh! Good one.
>>
>> > Perhaps his dad will provide a DNA sample so we can verify Obama got
>> > the right spoiled rich kid terrorist brat.
>>
>> ALL RIGHT!
>>
>> It is all over, will they start bringing troops home tomorrow? Can we
>> stop bombing people in Libya?
>>
>> Did osama have a copy of obamas' birth certificate on him?
>>
>> Are we going to repay the iraqis' for the oil we stole?
>>
>> Gawd, in addition to the birth certificate, there is going to be even
>> more questions to stay focused on!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
>***Hey Smythe, why don't you wipe your arse with Obummers BC and then
>eat a mile of my Bat Guano!...See you at the 4th of July Klow-fest
>over at rec.knives...Gonna be a Cracker!

Bush was right, Osama bin Laden is now insignificant. Watch Obama take
full credit for killing bin Laden and praise the goodness of Islam as

a whole.

====
He's dead -- Bush screwed the pooch --- but you are right -- dead does make one less important.

166. Re: obama, man of obfuscation, mystery, deceit, stealth, shadow disinformation, lies ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:47:17 UTC
From: Lloyd Parsons <lloydparsons@mac.com>
Message-ID: <lloydparsons-F5B297.22471701052011@port80.individual.net>
Source record: 228091
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
In article <ipl03k$p9p$3@dont-email.me>,
John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:

> http://www.westernjournalism.com/exclusive-investigative-reports/the-mystery-o
> f-barack-obama-continues/
>
> http://thenewcivilrightsmovement.com/tea-party-obama-has-multiple-social-secur
> ity-numbers-tied-to-bill-ayers/politics/2011/04/28/19404
>
> http://escapetyranny.com/2011/03/30/colorado-springs-man-says-he-has-obama-dra
> ft-records-that-prove-obamas-hawaiian-birth-certificate-was-forged/
>
> You just can't make this type of stuff up!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Really? According to the info on all those wacko sites, it appears you
really can make this type of stuff up.

--
Lloyd
```

167. Re: Obama sucks bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:49:39 UTC
From: Gunner Asch <gunnerasch@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <vbasr6t77hur13ppa6h5b348ekbadreasj@4ax.com>
Source record: 228093
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics.republicans,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.sport.golf

On Sun, 01 May 2011 20:28:56 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>On 5/1/2011 8:22 PM, Tall King wrote:
>> On Sun, 01 May 2011 22:02:41 -0500, Tall King
>> <blabber@fakeaddress.com> wrote:
>>
>>> On Sun, 1 May 2011 19:56:03 -0700 (PDT), Iarnrod<iarnrod@yahoo.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> Thank you, President Obama.
>>>
>>> LOL, he hit the red button by accident while reaching for his crack
>>> pipe, next to the fake birth certificate.
>>
>> Isn't it strange that this happened last week but we only hear of it
>> today? In this Twitter generation?
>
>This is simply PLAN B, to be carried out if PLAN A failed -- the
>fraudulent birth certificate ... they know the Attention Deficit
>Disordered will forget about the fraud ...
>
>Regards,
>JS

One wonders..if perhaps some shadow organization has had bin Laden on
ice...and it was determined it was time to thaw him out.....

Probably not..but...it is interesting about the timing of this vrs the
fake birth cert..isnt it?

Gunner

--
"If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is eight,
it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)

168. Re: Obama gets bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:49:40 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipl9kk$a9t$12@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228092
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics.republicans,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/1/2011 8:45 PM, Gunner Asch wrote:

>> ...
>> LOL, Obama was just as surprised as anyone else that we got him.
>
> And he is probably pissed as hell too.
>
> He didnt look really happy during his tv appearence a few moments ago.
>
> Gunner
>

He has a lot on his mind, like how to forge the next birth certificate
and make it believable!

Regards,
JS

169. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:54:01 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipl9sq$a9t$14@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228096
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/1/2011 8:41 PM, Tall King wrote:

>> ...
>> ***Hey Smythe, why don't you wipe your arse with Obummers BC and then
>> eat a mile of my Bat Guano!...See you at the 4th of July Klow-fest
>> over at rec.knives...Gonna be a Cracker!
>
> Bush was right, Osama bin Laden is now insignificant. Watch Obama take
> full credit for killing bin Laden and praise the goodness of Islam as
> a whole.

Well, let's see, if they start bringing home troops tomorrow, if they
stop bombing Libya, etc. it was worth it ... if not, it'll simply be, no
big deal ...

Regards,
JS

170. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 03:57:02 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipla2e$a9t$15@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228097
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/1/2011 8:50 PM, Gunner Asch wrote:

> ...
> One wonders..if perhaps some shadow organization has had bin Laden on
> ice...and it was determined it was time to thaw him out.....
>
> Probably not..but...it is interesting about the timing of this vrs the
> fake birth cert..isnt it?
>
> Gunner
>

You mean it didn't work on you?

You still remember about the birth certificate?

... darn!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

171. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 04:05:42 UTC
From: kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <12377f6b-c627-445f-a740-8fce213a3529@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228098
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 1, 8:57 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/1/2011 8:50 PM, Gunner Asch wrote:
>
> > ...
> > One wonders..if perhaps some shadow organization has had bin Laden on
> > ice...and it was determined it was time to thaw him out.....
>
> > Probably not..but...it is interesting about the timing of this vrs the
> > fake birth cert..isnt it?
>
> > Gunner
>
> You mean it didn't work on you?
>
> You still remember about the birth certificate?
>
> ... darn!
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS

***Hey Smythe, are you "On your Meds" OR "Off your Meds"...?

172. Re: Obama sucks bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 04:07:02 UTC
From: kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d02e56b-0e45-4901-b120-9dac7302e600@i39g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228099

On May 1, 8:44 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Obsfucation, sumpin like Dat.
> cuhulin

***Hey Smythe, wasn't "Plan C" to let Doggy scratch, sniff, and
lick...?

173. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 04:07:27 UTC
From: "jfeng@my-deja.com" <jfeng@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <69ce07cd-ceee-4937-9b57-70e218a44e15@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228100
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 1, 8:41 pm, Tall King <blab...@fakeaddress.com> wrote:
> Bush was right, Osama bin Laden is now insignificant. Watch Obama take
> full credit for killing bin Laden and praise the goodness of Islam as
> a whole.
Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
bin Laden's plans to attack the US.

Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.

Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.

Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
Accomplished" banner?

174. Re: Obama sucks bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 04:11:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBE2EDB-1554@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228102

Tora Bora.
cuhulin

175. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 04:11:27 UTC
From: Tall King <blabber@fakeaddress.com>
Message-ID: <ilbsr6pesvfubjfnm3mhge4urronlnq5kb@4ax.com>
Source record: 228101
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Sun, 1 May 2011 21:07:27 -0700 (PDT), "jfeng@my-deja.com"
<jfeng@my-deja.com> wrote:

>On May 1, 8:41ï¿½pm, Tall King <blab...@fakeaddress.com> wrote:
>> Bush was right, Osama bin Laden is now insignificant. Watch Obama take
>> full credit for killing bin Laden and praise the goodness of Islam as
>> a whole.
>Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
>bin Laden's plans to attack the US.
>
>Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.
>
>Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
>Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.
>
>Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
>Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
>Accomplished" banner?

If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive scaring the shit
out of Obama around every corner.

176. Re: Obama sucks bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 04:20:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBE3111-1558@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228104

Funny that Osama Bin Laden haden't aged a minute since 2002.
cuhulin

177. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 04:27:20 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a98ad84a-6800-4819-9a3d-beb76730bf2c@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228103
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 1, 1:11 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 1, 2:03 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On Apr 30, 8:39 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
> > > an illegitimate POTUS.
>
> > That is legally incorrect. The citizenship status of either parent is
> > completely immaterial to his natural born citizenship, This is long
> > settled law and is not even remotely open to dispute.
>
> > > Since his father was still married to a wife in
> > > kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA.
>
> > That of course has nothing to do with anything and in fact would mean
> > that Obama would be a natural born citizenship even if he had been
> > born in Kenya. If Ann Dunham were not legally married at the time,
> > Obama could have been born anywhere BUT in the US and still be a
> > natural born citizen since the 5-year minimum residency requirement
> > after age 14 (Dunham was only 18 at the time) would not longer be
> > applicable.
>
> > You just kicked your OWN ass, WrongTurnJoe!!
> > BWAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHA!!
>
> Your getting hair lipped Limprod!
>
> Born in Kenya means not Natural born on US soil.

Actually it doesn't mean that at all, you stupid oaf.

He could have been born in Kenya and still be a natural born citizen.
Legal FACT that you are too stupid to grok.

> Naturalization
> citizenship can not be used for POTUS.

So you're saying that would invalidate McCain, whose "citizenship at
birth" was extended to him by act of Congress when he was two years
old?

178. Re: THIS IS PROBABLY NO BIG DEAL ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 04:34:25 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iplc8h$a9t$16@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/1/2011 9:26 PM, Warhol wrote:

> ...
> You are victim of a Wag the Dog trick... does that ring bell in your
> little head...
>
> You believe what liars tell you...
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M-FXkj-r9Mc
>
> just in time for his 2012 reelection..
>
> right out of the neocon playbook.
>
> the sheep will eat it right up.
>
> Murders will continue their crimes...

I don't know. Maybe they are right, maybe this is a big deal.

If the police state ends tomorrow, if gas prices come crashing down tomorrow, if unemployment ends, if business comes home from overseas, if there is dramatic upswing in the economy, if businesses stop failing, if foreclosures end, etc. we will all have to admit it is a big deal.

If not, no big deal ... we just keep on keepin' on, we will know when it is time to admit this is a big deal ...

Personally, I never did think the problem was bearded men hiding in caves in a foreign country ... I am waiting to be proven wrong ...

Regards,
JS

179. Re: obama, man of obfuscation, mystery, deceit, stealth, shadow disinformation, lies ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 04:48:42 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f4396e59-79e3-420b-b563-b52b597914f1@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228106
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 1, 7:07 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> http://www.westernjournalism.com/exclusive-investigative-reports/the-...
>
> http://thenewcivilrightsmovement.com/tea-party-obama-has-multiple-soc...
>
> http://escapetyranny.com/2011/03/30/colorado-springs-man-says-he-has-...
>
> You just can't make this type of stuff up!

Yet, somehow, you do anyway!

BWAHAAAHAAHAHAHAHAAAA!!!

180. Re: Will this change the price of gas? The economy? Jobs? Unemployment? The Police State? Etc.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 04:52:23 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iplda7$a9t$17@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/1/2011 9:46 PM, Linda Lee wrote:

> ...
>
> President Obama will almost certainly win the re-election NOW. ;-)
> Have fun, kids.

If Ron Paul doesn't get it, who cares?

In the remaining group of morons, it will all be just "business as usual."

Just look at obama, except for the fraudulent birth certificate, nothing
is any different than if bush had remained in office ...

Regards,
JS

181. HOT VIDEOS SEXY ROMANTIC PHOTOS DOWNLOAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 05:12:36 UTC
From: HRJHRJB <odgfhfhdfv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <02f88664-7b10-4035-bd75-cf3673f397c8@i39g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228108

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

182. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 05:19:12 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.282808de2bbd6cb598a142@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228109
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

In article <ee2fb59e-99b9-430b-b57d-
2b07fccb565d@w9g2000prg.googlegroups.com>, jfeng@my-deja.com says...
>
> On May 1, 10:28ï¿œam, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > There is nothing factual in birtherism. Only rumors, confusion,
> > fantasies, and delusions. And of course, bigotry, the basis of nearly
> > all of it.
> President Obama just released the movies showing his birth. The floar
> and fuana are clearly African and not American.
>
> Did you notice that the Donal was not amused? It demonstrates once
> again that, while he can dish it ou with enthusiasm, he really can't
> take it.

Aww, the poor combed over bastard can't handle it? He should have
thicker skin after all the jokes made about that hair of his.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

183. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 05:20:02 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2828090c2dae1e7698a143@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228110
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

In article <ipkvif$p9p$2@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 5/1/2011 5:51 PM, jfeng@my-deja.com wrote:
> > On May 1, 10:28 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >> There is nothing factual in birtherism. Only rumors, confusion,
> >> fantasies, and delusions. And of course, bigotry, the basis of nearly
> >> all of it.
> > President Obama just released the movies showing his birth. The floar
> > and fuana are clearly African and not American.
> >
> > Did you notice that the Donal was not amused? It demonstrates once
> > again that, while he can dish it ou with enthusiasm, he really can't
> > take it.
>
> GESUS!!! AGAIN???
>
> That damn scandalous crook will release anything instead of the simple
> scan of a REAL BIRTH CERTIFICATE, won't he?
>
> Watch, "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE III" is coming to a theater near
> you soon!
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS

GESUS!!

You're still a birther loon?

Who'd a thunk it?

Everyone.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

184. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 05:21:03 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2828094c70424f7a98a144@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228111
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

```
In article <ipkou4$2qi$3@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 5/1/2011 3:50 PM, Wayne wrote:
> >
> >
> > "Iarnrod" wrote in message
> > news:bc77a6a2-3c2b-46b6-bd96-7eb3afb97f92@w5g2000prg.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > On May 1, 10:45 am, "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
> >> "BDK" <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
> >>
> >> news:MPG.28269a3824d0e60498a138@news.giganews.com...
> >>
> >> > In article <ipiid5$m5...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
> >>
> >> >> On 4/30/2011 7:28 PM, Wayne wrote:
> >>
> >> >> > -
> >> >> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's
> >> >> > ass
> >> >> > should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not
> >> >> > have
> >> >> > layers.
> >>
> >> >> I guess most didn't hear, what has always been asked for is a long
> >> form
> >> >> birth certificate of the original and the hospital records.
> >>
> >> >> They have produced a COLB (Certification of Live Birth) and an edited
> >> >> .pdf file purporting to be a scan of the orignial ... however, it is
> >> >> obvious that it does not bear an official seal, and has been tampered
> >> >> with and overlaid on some scan of "safety paper."
> >>
> >> >> Perhaps all this was just to hone the skills and knowledge of how to
> >> >> forge a proper document which would go unquestioned/undetected, who
> >> >> knows why such insanity would all take place ... but, it wouldn't
> >> >> surprise me if "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE THREE!" isn't
> >> coming up
> >> >> ... most realize that the actions of all this is pretty criminal in
> >> >> appearance ...
> >>
> >> >> Jerome Corsi claims to have the paper trail on the coverup and
```

> >> >> documentation which proves ~2 million+ spent by obama in hiding his
> >> >> past
> >> >> records contained in public documents. I am anxious to give this a
> >> >> serious read.
> >>
> >> >> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable and
> >> >> began with a presidential candidate promising transparency and has
> >> >> ended
> >> >> up with executive orders issued by him to keep his entire past a
> >> secret
> >> >> ... that only takes a rational mind to realize that something is bad
> >> >> wrong!
> >>
> >> >> Regards,
> >> >> JS
> >>
> >> > There doesn't seem to be anything rational about you, Johnny kOOk.
> >>
> >> OK...out of curiosity.....
> >> How do you explain a copy of the original, posted on the White House
> >> website, being a pdf file with layers? Was it generated as a pdf file 50
> >> years ago?
> >
> > That's what happens when it's scanned. Happens all the time. You seem
> > flummoxed by the simplest of things.
> > **********
> > -
> > Fine...explain it to me. A document is scanned, and it ends up with
> > several individual layers.
> > Doesn't work that way on my scanner. It ends up all in one layer. How
> > does the scanner know to separate signatures, forms, background into
> > separate layers?
>
> The worst part is the separation of the text from the actual black and
> white form, then the separation of various text fields from others adds
> MUCH insult to injury, etc. ... that crappy "safety paper" it is all
> pasted onto just adds another level of obfuscation ...
>
> Someone asked for a copy of a legal document just wouldn't give such a
> crappy fake unless complete stupid or for some, as yet, unfathomable reason!
>
> Regards,
> JS

What's unfathomable is how you keep out of a padded room.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

185. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 05:22:34 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2828099fc9eda64998a145@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228112

In article <15671-4DBDD3BF-1603@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>,
cuhulin@webtv.net says...
>
> Corsi Brings New Doubts Of Hawaii Heritage
> http://www.rense.com
>
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Corsi+brings+new+doubts+of+Hawaii+Heritage
>
> Jerome Corsi is one of my Heros.
> cuhulin

So a lunatic is one of your heroes?

That's not a surprise.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

186. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 05:24:34 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28280a1d9ad3c59098a146@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228113
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

In article <d16a2cf3-a356-4469-a24b-915909c9a241
@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 1, 3:11ï¿œam, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > On May 1, 2:03ï¿œam, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On Apr 30, 8:39ï¿œpm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
> > > > an illegitimate POTUS.
> >
> > > That is legally incorrect. The citizenship status of either parent is
> > > completely immaterial to his natural born citizenship, This is long
> > > settled law and is not even remotely open to dispute.
> >
> > > > Since his father was still married to a wife in
> > > > kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA.
> >
> > > That of course has nothing to do with anything and in fact would mean
> > > that Obama would be a natural born citizenship even if he had been
> > > born in Kenya. If Ann Dunham were not legally married at the time,
> > > Obama could have been born anywhere BUT in the US and still be a
> > > natural born citizen since the 5-year minimum residency requirement
> > > after age 14 (Dunham was only 18 at the time) would not longer be
> > > applicable.
> >
> > > You just kicked your OWN ass, WrongTurnJoe!!
> > > BWAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHA!!
> >
> > Your getting hair lipped Limprod!
> >
> > Born in Kenya means not Natural born on US soil.Naturalization
> > citizenship can not be used for POTUS.
> > He was born a bastard child in Kenya or so he says!
> > I say MKed Stanley Ann was artificially insimulated with Malcome X
> > sperm and was delivered at a NASA Mind Control facility on US soil.
> >
> > None the less if there is no marriage Certificate still means he was
> > fatherless,if he was naturalized in Indonesia VOIDS any previous

> > natural born citizenship.So there again he is said to be a dual
> > citizen thus not eligible to be POTUS.
> >
> > You cant wish this out into the cornfield,it is in the 13th and 14th
> > amendment of our joke of a Constitution.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Also notice Natural born also excludes test tube babies!
>
> http://www.canadafreepress.com/
>
> How could he have recieved his nourishment from MKed Moma Ann without
> a belly button is that Natural Born to you?
>
> If you will dig you will find out invitro fertilization first became
> public in 1961.The first test tuse baby was named Barry Little s tha
> ta cocdnc that or very own Little Berry was born that very same year
> without a bely button. If you will keep digging you can see his real
> sperm donar was Malcom Little.
> So the big secret is he was not born naturally,but a product of
> Scientific Research.
>
> No wonder Stanley Ann was not concerned about lLittle Barry,she knew
> its parents(the mad NAZI Scientist) at NASA was looking after him!

BWHAHAHAHAHA! That's one of the craziest posts you've ever made.

Awesome kooktardery.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

187. Re: obama, man of obfuscation, mystery, deceit, stealth, shadow disinformation, lies ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 05:28:45 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28280b1a390470f798a147@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228114
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
In article <ipl03k$p9p$3@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> http://www.westernjournalism.com/exclusive-investigative-reports/the-mystery-of-barack-o
bama-continues/
>
> http://thenewcivilrightsmovement.com/tea-party-obama-has-multiple-social-security-number
s-tied-to-bill-ayers/politics/2011/04/28/19404
>
> http://escapetyranny.com/2011/03/30/colorado-springs-man-says-he-has-obama-draft-records
-that-prove-obamas-hawaiian-birth-certificate-was-forged/
>
> You just can't make this type of stuff up!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Of course you can. And you believe every bit of it, no matter how
insanely stupid it is.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
```

188. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 05:37:06 UTC
From: Ron <roneal1554@att.net>
Message-ID: <8ebfb1e2-0cba-4a22-9528-eccb28b2bef9@s4g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228115
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 1, 9:36 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/1/2011 7:01 PM, Ron wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 1, 8:35 pm, "Rocky"<wook...@att.net> wrote:
> >> Reply at the very bottom
>
> >> "Ron"<roneal1...@att.net> wrote in message
>
> >>news:545c5cb7-f14c-433c-bab4-042d7803c173@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> >> On May 1, 7:29 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
wrote:>http://incontextreport.com/2011/04/28/obama-birth-certificate-was-cre...
>
> >>> This should cut though some of the obfuscation cr*p that some are
> >>> attempting to "disinform" with ...
>
> >>> Regards,
> >>> JS
>
> >> .
> >> .
> >> Why in the name of sanity would you place trust in the "analysis" of
> >> the Obama BC when the author repeatedly says "I don't know"? From
> >> your cite we read:
>
> >> 1. All the letters in that portion are vectorized graphics except for
> >> the R which looks pixelated. I don’t know why.
>
> >> 2. Secondly, there is a white faded ghost around all the text and
> >> black lines of this document, again I don’t know why.
>
> >> 3. All the letters in that portion are vectorized graphics except for
> >> the R which looks pixelated. I don’t know why.
>
> >> 4. Secondly, there is a white faded ghost around all the text and
> >> black lines of this document, again I don’t know why.

>
> >> 5. It reveals a layer of white ghosting beneath it. I do not
> >> understand this or know the purpose of it.
>
> >> But....on the other hand, so many of your previous posts make
> >> reference to Jones' PrisonPlanet and InfoWars. So in a left handed
> >> way, you are consistent: You'll believe ANYTHING in print.
>
> >> ========== Reply below ==========
>
> >> Don't forget this was also stated in the link:
> >> "I am very confused by this but I can say with certainty that there are
> >> major problems with this document. It’s almost as if someone from inside the
> >> White House is trying to send a message to tell everyone that this is a
> >> fake. Either that, or they are as stupid as they seem."
>
> >> Rocky
> > .
> > .
> > But don't ignore the bottom line of the link:
>
> > "If that’s true, why was this fake put online? Once again, more
> > questions than answers."
>
> > The author of this baloney has branded the document a fake, but as I
> > stated in my first post, the author's analysis is filled with
> > ADMISSIONS OF HIS IGNORANCE concerning his assessment of the BC.
>
> > Conclusion: He is full of Dookie.
>
> > RO
>
> Since you offer no graphics evidence, you are just a moron making stupid
> statements. What a damn fool, as if anyone is going to pay attention to
> your opinion and ignore evidence and facts!
>
> ROFLOL ... What a moron!
>
> Regards,
> JS
.
.
I asserted that the author admitted his own ignorance of the so-called
discrepancies. That was not a "stupid statement". I quoted the
author himself. Read my first post again.

You, who so freely quote Alex Jones as some kind of guru. And you
call ME a moron?

PUH-LEEEZE !

RO

189. Re: Obama sucks bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 05:46:58 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <316a8980-3f4d-4f03-9a41-3535890f1561@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228116

On May 2, 12:20 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Funny that Osama Bin Laden haden't aged a minute since 2002.
> cuhulin

Just wondering : how many doubles BL had over the years?

190. Re: Obama sucks bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 06:25:04 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1e917de9-8697-4584-967a-0ed1b0ea5b0d@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228117

On May 2, 2:14 am, Bob Dobbs <chupaca...@operamail.com> wrote:
> arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> >Just wondering : how many doubles BL had over the years?
>
> Is the one they're talking about today the real one,
> or are we going to have to wait on the birth certificate?
> --
>
> http://bit.ly/g2PCII

Exactly ! The Real BL couldn't be from Kenya . We need to see his
'Live Birth Certificate' ...

191. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 07:45:05 UTC
From: jc <cirejcon@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <acde851b-6071-4f07-baed-0f94949625b6@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228118
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On May 1, 11:59 am, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <8de0fedf-af52-4779-acaa-
> cef4fe466...@y12g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, cirej...@yahoo.com says...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Apr 30, 9:28 pm, "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
> > > "jcon" <cirej...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > >news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com...
> > > On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy <w...@myself.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
>
> > > > > > ...
> > > > > > ABCDEFG!!!
>
> > > > > That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
>
> > > > Just keeps getting better, and better!:
>
> > > >http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-press-...
>
> > > The PDF is composed of multiple images. That?s correct. Using a photo
> > > editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this image data,
> > > view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they?re being called,
> > > aren?t layers in the traditional photo-editing sense of the word. They
> > > are, quite literally, pieces of image data that have been positioned
> > > in a PDF container. They appear as text but also contain glyphs, dots,
> > > lines, boxes, squiggles, and random garbage. They?re not combined or
> > > merged in any way. Quite simply, they look like they were created
> > > programmatically, not by a human.

>
> > > > What?s plausible is that somewhere along the way ? from the scanning
> > > > device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can perform OCR
> > > > (optical character recognition) ? these partial/pseudo-text images
> > > > were created and saved. What?s not plausible is that the government
> > > > spent all this time manufacturing Obama?s birth certificate only to
> > > > commit the laughably rookie mistake of exporting the layers from
> > > > Photoshop, or whatever photo editing software they are meant to have
> > > > used. It?s likely that whoever scanned the birth certificate in Hawaii
> > > > forgot to turn off the OCR setting on the scanner. Let?s leave it at
> > > > that.
>
> > > >http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c...
>
> > > Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an amateurish
> > > Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than simply
> > > create a forged document. Seriously, all this fuss about the
> > > "original birth certificate". Do we honestly believe the
> > > most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
> > > wanted? The physical birth certificate has *never* been as
> > > convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth announcements,
> > > and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of Hawaii and
> > > the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
> > > no white man has ever been asked to produce).
>
> > > I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
> > > including a time machine - would ever convince them. Why
> > > did it take Obama so long to release it? I think we can see
> > > why now. He knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
> > > **************************
> > > -
> > > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's ass
> > > should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not have
> > > layers.
>
> > Translation: you're a birther.
>
> > -jc
>
> It's amazing the dancing some of them will go through to avoid admitting
> what they are, birthers. And even less likely is that they will admit
> why they are birthers in the first place. It's pretty obvious to most
> sane people...
>

Yeah, I'm surprised he didn't add "some of my best friends are
black people".

-jc

> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

192. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 08:15:34 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4upsr6petmf4jrk70gp8qqq9oqjf2ba5vh@4ax.com>
Source record: 228119
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On Mon, 2 May 2011 01:24:34 -0400, BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:

>In article <d16a2cf3-a356-4469-a24b-915909c9a241
>@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>>
>> On May 1, 3:11ï¿½am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > On May 1, 2:03ï¿½am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > > On Apr 30, 8:39ï¿½pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > > > If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
>> > > > an illegitimate POTUS.
>> >
>> > > That is legally incorrect. The citizenship status of either parent is
>> > > completely immaterial to his natural born citizenship, This is long
>> > > settled law and is not even remotely open to dispute.
>> >
>> > > > Since his father was still married to a wife in
>> > > > kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA.
>> >
>> > > That of course has nothing to do with anything and in fact would mean
>> > > that Obama would be a natural born citizenship even if he had been
>> > > born in Kenya. If Ann Dunham were not legally married at the time,
>> > > Obama could have been born anywhere BUT in the US and still be a
>> > > natural born citizen since the 5-year minimum residency requirement
>> > > after age 14 (Dunham was only 18 at the time) would not longer be
>> > > applicable.
>> >
>> > > You just kicked your OWN ass, WrongTurnJoe!!
>> > > BWAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHA!!
>> >
>> > Your getting hair lipped Limprod!
>> >
>> > Born in Kenya means not Natural born on US soil.Naturalization
>> > citizenship can not be used for POTUS.
>> > He was born a bastard child in Kenya or so he says!
>> > I say MKed Stanley Ann was artificially insimulated with Malcome X
>> > sperm and was delivered at a NASA Mind Control facility on US soil.
>> >

>> > None the less if there is no marriage Certifricate still means he was
>> > fatherless,if he was naturalized in Indonesia VOIDS any previous
>> > natural born citizenship.So there again he is said to be a dual
>> > citizen thus not eligible to be POTUS.
>> >
>> > You cant wish this out into the cornfield,it is in the 13th and 14th
>> > amendment of our joke of a Constitution.- Hide quoted text -
>> >
>> > - Show quoted text -
>>
>> Also notice Natural born also excludes test tube babies!
>>
>> http://www.canadafreepress.com/
>>
>> How could he have recieved his nourishment from MKed Moma Ann without
>> a belly button is that Natural Born to you?
>>
>> If you will dig you will find out invitro fertilization first became
>> public in 1961.The first test tuse baby was named Barry Little s tha
>> ta cocdnc that or very own Little Berry was born that very same year
>> without a bely button. If you will keep digging you can see his real
>> sperm donar was Malcom Little.
>> So the big secret is he was not born naturally,but a product of
>> Scientific Research.
>>
>> No wonder Stanley Ann was not concerned about lLittle Barry,she knew
>> its parents(the mad NAZI Scientist) at NASA was looking after him!
>
>BWHAHAHAHAHA! That's one of the craziest posts you've ever made.
>
>Awesome kooktardery.

Wait!

Joe the kOOk believes that "test tube babies" don't have belly buttons?

OMFG!

OMFG!

How could someone be that stupid and be able to type?

Shill #2
--
With stupidity the gods themselves contend in vain.
Friedrich von Schiller (1759 - 1805)

193. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 11:21:31 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <ipm43rbre1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228120
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/1/2011 10:41 PM, The Progressive Voice wrote:
> On May 1, 6:37 pm, "Rocky"<wook...@att.net> wrote:

> well how are you going to prove it is fake, donald trump with all his
> money couldn't disprove the validity of the document.

Hey, wait a minute...didn't the trumpster say his detectives had an
imminent, startling news flash? What ever came of that?

Note to trump: We're still waiting.

P.S. If they don't come across, don't forget to ask your "detectives"
for a refund.

194. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 11:22:29 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <ipm45lbre2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228121
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/1/2011 10:34 PM, John Smith wrote:

> Yeah. The guy is so embarrassed for obama he is careful in his wording
> ... hates to call the imbecile stupid for such a shoddy fraud ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

STILL waiting for you to tell us why you claim Obama "spent millions" to cover up his past, but can only afford "a shoddy fraud".

195. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 11:24:00 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <ipm48gbre3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228122
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

> On Sun, 1 May 2011 21:07:27 -0700 (PDT), "jfeng@my-deja.com"
> <jfeng@my-deja.com> wrote:

>> Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
>> bin Laden's plans to attack the US.
>>
>> Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.
>>
>> Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
>> Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.
>>
>> Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
>> Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
>> Accomplished" banner?

On 5/2/2011 12:11 AM, Tall King wrote:

> If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive scaring the shit
> out of Obama around every corner.

Huh? "If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive..."

So are you saying Bush, who couldn't get bin Laden for *SEVEN* years and
has been out of office for 2 1/2 years gets credit for killing bin Laden
yesterday?

Are you sure you don't want to change your name from Tall King to Joe
King? (because you surely must be joking)

196. =?= AFN Pearl Harbor 'on' 6348 kHz in AM @ 1030 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 11:28:05 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <49429ac1-f100-4598-b8a8-a4031be15a7d@i39g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228123

On May 1, 3:51 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 1, 11:36 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 1, 4:31 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > > bpnjensen wrote:
> > > > On Apr 28, 6:23 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > > > > AFN Diego Garcia on 12,759 kHz [USB] @ 04:30 UTC
> > > > > .
> > > > > S-Meter : '0' to S1 and SIO 243 and low to fair audio
> > > > > on 27 April 2011
>
> > > > Roy - Victor Goonetilleke in Sri Lanka informs me that AFRTS 12759U in
> > > > BIOT is obviously on the air at 0138z today, May 1, 2011, and likely
> > > > has been for a few days at least - so my reception of them during the
> > > > 0100 - 0200 hours the last few days has been real :-) Reception
> > > > Report time! Yahhooo! :-) Also notes that the remote Perseus
> > > > receiver in Oregon has them today at SIO 333, which is MUCH better
> > > > than here in San Francisco Bay Area - but what the heck! :-)
>
> > > Decent enough signal here on 12759 at 1130, // 7811.
>
> > > dxAce
> > > Michigan
> > > USA
>
> > Is that 7811 also DG?
>
> > I rarely get "decent" signals here. I have to fight and struggle
> > every inch of the way for DX.
>
> > Bruce
>
> BpnJ,
>
> Run Two Receivers one on the Target 12,759/4319 kHz

> and the other on the Parallel Verifier 7811 kHz to see
> if the Words and Sounds appear to 'parallel' each other.
> Usually that's good enough to say : That's It !
:o)http://myafn.dodmedia.osd.mil/ShortWave.aspx
> -note-the-error-78110- should be '7811'
>
> For AFN-DG 12,759/4319 kHz you might use
> AFN-Guam on 5765 kHz as the Parallel
Verifier.http://myafn.dodmedia.osd.mil/ShortWave.aspx
>
> As for me each Hourly Check for AFN-DG
> has resulted in 'zilch' ~ RHF
> .
> -fwiw- Sometimes I do hear what appears to be
> a CW Marker Beacon? on 4319 kHz. You may
> want to Listen for that...
.
- Still wondering when AFN Pearl Harbor, Hawaii
- is going to return to service . . .
- http://myafn.dodmedia.osd.mil/ShortWave.asp
.
Hearing Carrier with Dead-Air ?Open-Mic? on 6348 kHz
about once in a minute can hear some Audio Fragments
{Whispers & Faint Parts of Words*} in what sounds like
background noise.

* Like someone is talking in another room or far across
the room; but you can not quite hear what they are saying.

Yes - Not 'On' 6350 kHz where AFN Pearl Harbor, HI
should be but isn't... -but- AM on 6348 kHz ? -close-

Heard : 1020~1100 UTC with S7~S9 Signal
on 2 Mayo 2011

The EiBi presently Lists this for 6348 kHz :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
6348 : 0855-1900 : CLA Echo of Hope : K : KRE : /KOR-g
.
~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA-
.

197. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 11:29:07 UTC
From: Gunner Asch <gunnerasch@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <p95tr6l6heqt97np8svbchk1nclffbrucb@4ax.com>
Source record: 228124
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Mon, 02 May 2011 07:24:00 -0400, Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
wrote:

>
>> On Sun, 1 May 2011 21:07:27 -0700 (PDT), "jfeng@my-deja.com"
>> <jfeng@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
>>> Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
>>> bin Laden's plans to attack the US.
>>>
>>> Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.
>>>
>>> Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
>>> Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.
>>>
>>> Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
>>> Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
>>> Accomplished" banner?
>
>On 5/2/2011 12:11 AM, Tall King wrote:
>
>> If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive scaring the shit
>> out of Obama around every corner.
>
>Huh? "If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive..."
>
>So are you saying Bush, who couldn't get bin Laden for *SEVEN* years and
>has been out of office for 2 1/2 years gets credit for killing bin Laden
>yesterday?
>
>Are you sure you don't want to change your name from Tall King to Joe
>King? (because you surely must be joking)

Sure you are not giving the Obamassiah credit for that!

Afterall he promised to pull all the troops out of Iraq and Afghanistan
within 6 months of his election to president!

So again..he lied.

Gunner

--
"If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is eight,

it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)

198. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releasesLong Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 11:38:52 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DBE97CC.8B356F05@milestones.com>
Source record: 228125
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

Joe from Kokomo wrote:

> > On Sun, 1 May 2011 21:07:27 -0700 (PDT), "jfeng@my-deja.com"
> > <jfeng@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> >> Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
> >> bin Laden's plans to attack the US.
> >>
> >> Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.
> >>
> >> Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
> >> Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.
> >>
> >> Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
> >> Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
> >> Accomplished" banner?
>
> On 5/2/2011 12:11 AM, Tall King wrote:
>
> > If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive scaring the shit
> > out of Obama around every corner.
>
> Huh? "If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive..."
>
> So are you saying Bush, who couldn't get bin Laden for *SEVEN* years and
> has been out of office for 2 1/2 years gets credit for killing bin Laden
> yesterday?

Well, Boy Barry can't be out there all the time stating that EVERYTHING is Bush's fault and that he inherited all the problems and then suddenly claim total credit for something that Bush set in motion many years ago.

Obama is a putz and probably needs help wiping his own ass.

199. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 11:44:35 UTC
From: Rocky <wookiee@att.net>
Message-ID: <3YadnQUoufK_BCPQnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228126
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

"Krypsis" <krypsis@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
news:4dbcc2b9$0$22471$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> On 1/05/2011 11:55 AM, John Smith wrote:
>> On 4/30/2011 7:53 PM, Strabo wrote:
>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Translation: you can't stand the fact that an African American is in
>>>> the
>>>> White House. How would being born in Hawaii make Obama unnaturally
>>>> born?
>>>> Seems pretty natural to me.
>>>>
>>>
>>> His father was a foreign national, a liar and a Communist.
>>> Therefore, Barack Obama, alias Barry Soetoro, does not pass
>>> constitutional muster.
>>>
>>> For this and a other reasons, your favorite "African American"
>>> is not president of the US.
>>>
>>
>> I guess government mafias don't need legal presidents ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> You've got a legal president! His name is Obama. He has proven his
> legality ... twice.
>
> Krypsis

And Clinton did not have sex with that woman Monica. Yeah right.

Rocky

200. US President Obama Announces Death of Osama bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 11:50:09 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <8aaa69aa-bd8c-416c-ac03-e967b41869ae@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics.republicans,misc.survivalism,rec.sport.golf

On May 1, 8:15 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/1/2011 8:02 PM, Tall King wrote:
>
> > On Sun, 1 May 2011 19:56:03 -0700 (PDT), Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
>
> >> Thank you, President Obama.
>
> > LOL, he hit the red button by accident while reaching for his crack
> > pipe, next to the fake birth certificate.
>
> ROFLOL ... he only smoked it once ... and he didn't inhale! GOT IT!
>
> Regards,
> JS

My President Spoke... And I Listened !

Yes - It Would Appear That US Troops Did 'Get' bin Laden.
Here is How 'The World' Sees It . . .
.
* US President Obama Announces Death of Osama bin Laden
http://www.voanews.com/english/news/asia/Reports-Osama-Bin-Laden-is-Dead-121065589.html
.
* US Military Forces Kill Osama Bin Laden in Pakistan
http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-us-canada-13256676
.
Bin Laden Dead, Global Terrorism Alive
http://rt.com/news/bin-laden-umarov-threat/
.
Obama Says al-Qaida Leader Bin Laden Killed :
Countries Hail Victory
http://english.cri.cn/6966/2011/05/02/2724s635175.htm
.
Osama Bin Laden Killed in Pakistan
http://english.aljazeera.net/news/americas/2011/05/2011522132275789.html
.
I first heard it on AFN-Key West FL on 7811 kHz
[USB] at the Top-of-the-Hour News Headlines.
http://myafn.dodmedia.osd.mil/ShortWave.aspx

~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA-
.

.

201. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 11:55:22 UTC
From: Rocky <wookiee@att.net>
Message-ID: <5qadnXKCevo3BiPQnZ2dnUVZ_gadnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228128
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

reply at bottom

"Ron" <roneal1554@att.net> wrote in message
news:821c5075-9c0d-478e-b0f0-dab7ec775d7c@m4g2000yqb.googlegroups.com...
On May 1, 8:35 pm, "Rocky" <wook...@att.net> wrote:
> Reply at the very bottom
>
> "Ron" <roneal1...@att.net> wrote in message
>
> news:545c5cb7-f14c-433c-bab4-042d7803c173@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> On May 1, 7:29 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> wrote:>http://incontextreport.com/2011/04/28/obama-birth-certificate-was-cre...
>
> > This should cut though some of the obfuscation cr*p that some are
> > attempting to "disinform" with ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> .
> .
> Why in the name of sanity would you place trust in the "analysis" of
> the Obama BC when the author repeatedly says "I don't know"? From
> your cite we read:
>
> 1. All the letters in that portion are vectorized graphics except for
> the R which looks pixelated. I don't know why.
>
> 2. Secondly, there is a white faded ghost around all the text and
> black lines of this document, again I don't know why.
>
> 3. All the letters in that portion are vectorized graphics except for
> the R which looks pixelated. I don't know why.
>
> 4. Secondly, there is a white faded ghost around all the text and
> black lines of this document, again I don't know why.
>
> 5. It reveals a layer of white ghosting beneath it. I do not
> understand this or know the purpose of it.
>
> But....on the other hand, so many of your previous posts make
> reference to Jones' PrisonPlanet and InfoWars. So in a left handed
> way, you are consistent: You'll believe ANYTHING in print.

>
> ========== Reply below ==========
>
> Don't forget this was also stated in the link:
> "I am very confused by this but I can say with certainty that there are
> major problems with this document. It's almost as if someone from inside
> the
> White House is trying to send a message to tell everyone that this is a
> fake. Either that, or they are as stupid as they seem."
>
> Rocky
.
.
But don't ignore the bottom line of the link:

"If that's true, why was this fake put online? Once again, more
questions than answers."

The author of this baloney has branded the document a fake, but as I
stated in my first post, the author's analysis is filled with
ADMISSIONS OF HIS IGNORANCE concerning his assessment of the BC.

Conclusion: He is full of Dookie.

RO

========== Reply below ==========

Then what about the other people that have used Adobe Illustrator to find
the 4 layers of a BC in what should have been a simple scan?
http://www.infowars.com/new-obama-birth-certificate-is-a-forgery/

Rocky

202. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 12:07:26 UTC
From: Rocky <wookiee@att.net>
Message-ID: <68ydnd6mpYvgAyPQnZ2dnUVZ_qOdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228129
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:ipl57k$a9t$2@dont-email.me...
> On 5/1/2011 6:35 PM, Rocky wrote:
>
>> ...
>> ========== Reply below ==========
>>
>> Don't forget this was also stated in the link:
>> "I am very confused by this but I can say with certainty that there are
>> major problems with this document. It's almost as if someone from inside
>> the
>> White House is trying to send a message to tell everyone that this is a
>> fake. Either that, or they are as stupid as they seem."
>>
>> Rocky
>>
>
> Yeah. The guy is so embarrassed for obama he is careful in his wording
> ... hates to call the imbecile stupid for such a shoddy fraud ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

So 9/11 and 8/4 are two dates that the government has told a lot of lies
about.

I wonder if the people that believe that Adobe Illustrator project also
believe Clinton did not have sex with that woman Monica. :-D

Rocky

203. Re: KWSX-AM 1280 kHz "Info-Wars" with Alex Jones @ 06:15 PM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 12:13:23 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <c5ef2e79-bda0-4bfe-9296-9a50ef580a37@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228130

On May 1, 7:17 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
- - On 05/01/2011 01:01 AM, RHF wrote:
- - The Rising Sun Has The Face of Alex Jones On It !

- Why don't you fix your gaze upon it all day?

Nah - I will just watch your 'MJ' Plants Grow on
Dave's "Pot" Cam : http://www.undatoka.com/
.
.
KWSX-AM 1280 kHz hearing "Info-Wars"
with Alex Jones @ 06:15 PM local PDT*
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/2b7788c9f69b4bbc
KWSX-AM in Stockton, CA
{California's Central Valley}
.
Rumors Are : That Alex Jones may be on 50 to 100
more Radio Stations by the end of the Year; and that
Alex Jones will be in the 25 Major Media Markets or
more for the 2012 Election Cycle.
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e749db3cd1642487
.
Back-to-Back Late Night AM Talk Radio :
"Coast-to-Coast AM" with George Noory : 10PM~1AM
http://www.coasttocoastam.com/
-followed-by-
"Info-Wars" with Alex Jones : 1AM~4AM
http://www.infowars.com/
.
All on Free Over-the-Air AM-Talk Radio ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA-
.
.

204. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 12:26:00 UTC
From: Alias <aka@maskedandanonymous.com.&#65533;nval&#65533;d>
Message-ID: <4dbea2df$1@news.x-privat.org>
Source record: 228131
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On 05/02/2011 01:44 PM, Rocky wrote:

> And Clinton did not have sex with that woman Monica.

How is that any of your business?

--
Alias

205. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 12:45:23 UTC
From: Rocky <wookiee@att.net>
Message-ID: <X8CdneozZcz-OiPQnZ2dnUVZ_gWdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228132
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Joe from Kokomo" <joe@indy.net> wrote in message
news:ipm45lbre2@dont-email.me...
> On 5/1/2011 10:34 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
>> Yeah. The guy is so embarrassed for obama he is careful in his wording
>> ... hates to call the imbecile stupid for such a shoddy fraud ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> STILL waiting for you to tell us why you claim Obama "spent millions" to
> cover up his past, but can only afford "a shoddy fraud".

I don't know about "millions" but I know he spent at least one million and
he even hired the attorneys to work for him that were working against him.

Barry is cunning but he has idiots working for him that made it too easy to
find out that the BC was an Adobe Illustrator creation.

206. WWCR on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC 'The Power Hour" with Joyce Riley & George Freund

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 12:45:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <4b8af8d0-bf53-463c-b9b3-34e450991828@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228133

WWCR on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC 'The Power Hour"
with Joyce Riley & George Freund
.
@ 1300 UTC Joyce and George will be Talking about
the Killing of Osama bin-Laden
...and... What it may mean for the USA
-one-word- "Retaliation" against the USA and US Allies...
.
-who- Osama bin-Laden
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Osama_bin_Laden
.
George Freund from Canada is on 'The Power Hour"
once a week with host Joyce Riley
http://www.thepowerhour.com/
.
THE POWER HOUR Radio Show
http://www.thepowerhour.com/
-host-by- Joyce Riley and she is Talking...
http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/powerHour/
.
It's Time for "The Power Hour" @ 1200~1500 UTC
-via- WWCR Shortwave Radio on 7490 & 13,845 kHz
http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
.
WWCR Shortwave Radio in Nashville, TN -USA-
http://www.wwcr.com/
.
-or- To Listen "Live" to GCN On-Line
-via- the Internet [WWW]
http://www.gcnlive.com/mediaPlayers/livePlayer.php
.
Sign-Up for "The Power Hour" eMail Blast ! {New Letter}
http://visitor.constantcontact.com/manage/optin?v=001qgI9GeY_mqSSw39g66JbuT7kHiyTCvkr
.
George Freund -host-of- The "Conspiracy Cafe"
http://www.conspiracy-cafe.org/
http://thatradio.podhoster.com/index.php?sid=1746
Note : There is a new The "Conspiracy Cafe" Show
on the Net every Thursday 8:00 PM :
Live-On -via- "That Channel .Com"
http://www.thatchannel.com/conspiracycafe
.
yes - i heard it on the shortwave radio ~ RHF

Twain Harte, CA -USA-
.
.

207. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 12:45:40 UTC
From: Rocky <wookiee@att.net>
Message-ID: <JqednSljrs_pOiPQnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228134
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"The Progressive Voice" <thomaswheat1975@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:3ae78446-f6bc-4da0-8105-d1d48fa0b1a0@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
On May 1, 6:37 pm, "Rocky" <wook...@att.net> wrote:
> reply at very bottom
>
> "The Progressive Voice" <thomaswheat1...@gmail.com> wrote in
> messagenews:7d351856-001f-45c0-9c80-f13eff6e58ae@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
> On May 1, 5:29 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> >http://incontextreport.com/2011/04/28/obama-birth-certificate-was-cre...
>
> > This should cut though some of the obfuscation cr*p that some are
> > attempting to "disinform" with ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> that's not the birth certificate that obama released recently.
> here is a link to his recently released long form certificate of live
> birth:
>
> http://edition.cnn.com/interactive/2011/04/politics/interactive.obama...
>
> ========== Reply below ==========
>
> BULLSHIT here is the link to the real fake Birth Certificate
> http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss_viewer/birth-certif...
well how are you going to prove it is fake, donald trump with all his
money couldn't disprove the validity of the document. why dont you go
to the bureau of vital statistics or whatever it is called in hawaii
and see for yourself if the document is there.

========== Reply below ==========

Donald Trump spoke way to fast. He shouldn't have said anything about the
BC especially before anybody had a chance to really look at it.

208. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 12:50:38 UTC
From: golfbum18 <golfbum18@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <92293132-ddfc-4984-813d-b3c926d2df7b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228135
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 1, 11:41 pm, Tall King <blab...@fakeaddress.com> wrote:
> On Sun, 1 May 2011 20:38:21 -0700 (PDT), kop <kopscot...@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >On May 1, 8:33 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/1/2011 8:26 PM, Shall not be infringed wrote:
>
> >> > On May 1, 11:23 pm, Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> Kookers already going into denial mode.
>
> >> >> Hey, didn't the 9/11 twoofer crowd tell us UBL was dead years ago and
> >> >> his tapes were all faked?
>
> >> >> BWAHAAHAAAAHAAAHAHAHAAAHAHAA!!!
>
> >> > Heh! Good one.
>
> >> > Perhaps his dad will provide a DNA sample so we can verify Obama got
> >> > the right spoiled rich kid terrorist brat.
>
> >> ALL RIGHT!
>
> >> It is all over, will they start bringing troops home tomorrow? Can we
> >> stop bombing people in Libya?
>
> >> Did osama have a copy of obamas' birth certificate on him?
>
> >> Are we going to repay the iraqis' for the oil we stole?
>
> >> Gawd, in addition to the birth certificate, there is going to be even
> >> more questions to stay focused on!
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS

>
> >***Hey Smythe, why don't you wipe your arse with Obummers BC and then
> >eat a mile of my Bat Guano!...See you at the 4th of July Klow-fest
> >over at rec.knives...Gonna be a Cracker!
>
> Bush was right, Osama bin Laden is now insignificant. Watch Obama take
> full credit for killing bin Laden and praise the goodness of Islam as
> a whole.

Sore loser!

209. OT: the so-called "bouncing bombs"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 12:53:48 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dbea913$0$12479$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228136

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/uknews/7625031/Ray-Grayston-one-of-last-British-Dambusters-dies.html

1:22PM BST 23 Apr 2010

Flying Officer Ray Grayston, 92, passed away at his home in Woodhall Spa, Lincs,.

He was a former member of the famed 617 Squadron, which flew from RAF Scampton near Lincoln during the Second World War.

He was the flight engineer of pilot Les Knight's Lancaster bomber which successfully breached the Eder Dam.

The raids, immortalised in the 1955 film The Dambusters, were led by Wing Commander Guy Gibson and used the so-called "bouncing bombs" designed by Barnes Wallis.

210. Re: Obama gets bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 12:58:36 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ee9425ef-bd83-4d40-bff5-2c0eb64e73a5@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228137
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics.republicans,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 1, 9:49 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/1/2011 8:45 PM, Gunner Asch wrote:
>
> >> ...
> >> LOL, Obama was just as surprised as anyone else that we got him.
>
> > And he is probably pissed as hell too.
>
> > He didnt look really happy during his tv appearence a few moments ago.
>
> > Gunner
>
> He has a lot on his mind, like how to forge the next birth certificate
> and make it believable!

As the only president in history EVER to release his birth certificate
-- a precedent-setting disclosure he's now done TWICE -- he laughs at
your stupid kooker ass!

211. Re: (OT) :I think I said Upper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:09:31 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dbeacc2$0$12462$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228138

```
On 05/01/2011 12:13 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Mississippi Valley, you said.Mississippi Valley encompasses a large area
> from North to South.Which part of Mississippi Valley are/were you
> talking about?
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Mississippi+Valley
> cuhulin
>
```

212. Re: We've already had an "area of interest" this season

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:11:11 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dbead26$0$12462$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228139

On 05/01/2011 03:52 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> May (the month of May) is Notorious for Tornados.
> http://www.standeyo.com
>
> Then, in June, I think Hurricane season starts.Never a dull moment
> around here.I live a 140 miles drive North of the Mississippi Gulf Coast
> and Hurricane Katrina blew ten shingles off of my rooftop and blew three
> trees down across my street.A 70 feet tall pecan tree that used to be in
> my front yard, the trunk of that tree was dancing like a wild Indian on
> Camp Kickapoo joy juice.I had that tree and another one just like it cut
> down after Hurricane Katrina.For about three weeks right after Katrina
> there were a lot of weird looking flying insects with two sets of wings
> on them, they were flying around here.A lot of cars and trucks in
> Jackson from Gulf Coast areas had those weird looking insects plastered
> all over them.I reckon Katrina blew those insects to Jackson from
> wherever.
> cuhulin
>

213. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:32:10 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28287c68d1f58b6e98a14f@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228140
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

```
In article <acde851b-6071-4f07-baed-0f94949625b6
@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, cirejcon@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 1, 11:59ï¿œam, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > In article <8de0fedf-af52-4779-acaa-
> > cef4fe466...@y12g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, cirej...@yahoo.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On Apr 30, 9:28ï¿œpm, "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
> > > > "jcon" <cirej...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > >news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy <w...@myself.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > ...
> > > > > > > > ABCDEFG!!!
> >
> > > > > > > That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
> >
> > > > > > Just keeps getting better, and better!:
> >
> > > > > >http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-press-...
> >
> > > > > The PDF is composed of multiple images. That?s correct. Using a photo
> > > > > editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this image data,
> > > > > view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they?re being called,
> > > > > aren?t layers in the traditional photo-editing sense of the word. They
> > > > > are, quite literally, pieces of image data that have been positioned
> > > > > in a PDF container. They appear as text but also contain glyphs, dots,
```

> > > > > lines, boxes, squiggles, and random garbage. They?re not combined or
> > > > > merged in any way. Quite simply, they look like they were created
> > > > > programmatically, not by a human.
> >
> > > > > What?s plausible is that somewhere along the way ? from the scanning
> > > > > device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can perform OCR
> > > > > (optical character recognition) ? these partial/pseudo-text images
> > > > > were created and saved. What?s not plausible is that the government
> > > > > spent all this time manufacturing Obama?s birth certificate only to
> > > > > commit the laughably rookie mistake of exporting the layers from
> > > > > Photoshop, or whatever photo editing software they are meant to have
> > > > > used. It?s likely that whoever scanned the birth certificate in Hawaii
> > > > > forgot to turn off the OCR setting on the scanner. Let?s leave it at
> > > > > that.
> >
> > > > >http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-c...
> >
> > > > Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an amateurish
> > > > Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than simply
> > > > create a forged document. ï¿œSeriously, all this fuss about the
> > > > "original birth certificate". ï¿œDo we honestly believe the
> > > > most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
> > > > wanted? ï¿œThe physical birth certificate has *never* been as
> > > > convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth announcements,
> > > > and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of Hawaii and
> > > > the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
> > > > no white man has ever been asked to produce).
> >
> > > > I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
> > > > including a time machine - would ever convince them. ï¿œWhy
> > > > did it take Obama so long to release it? ï¿œI think we can see
> > > > why now. ï¿œHe knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
> > > > **************************
> > > > -
> > > > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's ass
> > > > should be in deep trouble. ï¿œAnd, a copy of the original would not have
> > > > layers.
> >
> > > Translation: you're a birther.
> >
> > > -jc
> >
> > It's amazing the dancing some of them will go through to avoid admitting
> > what they are, birthers. And even less likely is that they will admit
> > why they are birthers in the first place. It's pretty obvious to most
> > sane people...
> >
>
> Yeah, I'm surprised he didn't add "some of my best friends are
> black people".

>
> -jc
>
>
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

Oh I'm sure they will, eventually. Some of them might actually believe
it.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

214. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:33:30 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28287cb4a9e48e5b98a150@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228141
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

In article <4dbea2df$1@news.x-privat.org>, aka@maskedandanonymous.com.ï¿œ
nvalï¿œd says...
>
> On 05/02/2011 01:44 PM, Rocky wrote:
>
> > And Clinton did not have sex with that woman Monica.
>
> How is that any of your business?

And what does it have to do with insane birther claims about Obama?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

215. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:34:30 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28287cf1bce8d13398a151@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228142
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

```
In article <4upsr6petmf4jrk70gp8qqq9oqjf2ba5vh@4ax.com>,
gov.shill@gmail.com says...
>
> On Mon, 2 May 2011 01:24:34 -0400, BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> >In article <d16a2cf3-a356-4469-a24b-915909c9a241
> >@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
> >>
> >> On May 1, 3:11ï¿œam, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> > On May 1, 2:03ï¿œam, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > > On Apr 30, 8:39ï¿œpm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > > If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
> >> > > > an illegitimate POTUS.
> >> >
> >> > > That is legally incorrect. The citizenship status of either parent is
> >> > > completely immaterial to his natural born citizenship, This is long
> >> > > settled law and is not even remotely open to dispute.
> >> >
> >> > > > Since his father was still married to a wife in
> >> > > > kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA.
> >> >
> >> > > That of course has nothing to do with anything and in fact would mean
> >> > > that Obama would be a natural born citizenship even if he had been
> >> > > born in Kenya. If Ann Dunham were not legally married at the time,
> >> > > Obama could have been born anywhere BUT in the US and still be a
> >> > > natural born citizen since the 5-year minimum residency requirement
> >> > > after age 14 (Dunham was only 18 at the time) would not longer be
> >> > > applicable.
> >> >
> >> > > You just kicked your OWN ass, WrongTurnJoe!!
> >> > > BWAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHA!!
> >> >
> >> > Your getting hair lipped Limprod!
> >> >
> >> > Born in Kenya means not Natural born on US soil.Naturalization
> >> > citizenship can not be used for POTUS.
> >> > He was born a bastard child in Kenya or so he says!
```

> >> > I say MKed Stanley Ann was artificially insimulated with Malcome X
> >> > sperm and was delivered at a NASA Mind Control facility on US soil.
> >> >
> >> > None the less if there is no marriage Certifricate still means he was
> >> > fatherless,if he was naturalized in Indonesia VOIDS any previous
> >> > natural born citizenship.So there again he is said to be a dual
> >> > citizen thus not eligible to be POTUS.
> >> >
> >> > You cant wish this out into the cornfield,it is in the 13th and 14th
> >> > amendment of our joke of a Constitution.- Hide quoted text -
> >> >
> >> > - Show quoted text -
> >>
> >> Also notice Natural born also excludes test tube babies!
> >>
> >> http://www.canadafreepress.com/
> >>
> >> How could he have recieved his nourishment from MKed Moma Ann without
> >> a belly button is that Natural Born to you?
> >>
> >> If you will dig you will find out invitro fertilization first became
> >> public in 1961.The first test tuse baby was named Barry Little s tha
> >> ta cocdnc that or very own Little Berry was born that very same year
> >> without a bely button. If you will keep digging you can see his real
> >> sperm donar was Malcom Little.
> >> So the big secret is he was not born naturally,but a product of
> >> Scientific Research.
> >>
> >> No wonder Stanley Ann was not concerned about lLittle Barry,she knew
> >> its parents(the mad NAZI Scientist) at NASA was looking after him!
> >
> >BWHAHAHAHAHA! That's one of the craziest posts you've ever made.
> >
> >Awesome kooktardery.
>
> Wait!
>
> Joe the kOOk believes that "test tube babies" don't have belly buttons?
>
> OMFG!
>
> OMFG!
>
> How could someone be that stupid and be able to type?
>
> Shill #2

If you read the article, it's obviously a satire. Joe doesn't understand
how that works. He's too simple of a man.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

216. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:36:26 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5a3a3cde-8916-4374-bdc6-c4a3ef6e778d@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228143
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On May 2, 5:44 am, "Rocky" <wook...@att.net> wrote:
> "Krypsis" <kryp...@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
>
> news:4dbcc2b9$0$22471$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
>
>
>
> > On 1/05/2011 11:55 AM, John Smith wrote:
> >> On 4/30/2011 7:53 PM, Strabo wrote:
>
> >>>> ...
> >>>> Translation: you can't stand the fact that an African American is in
> >>>> the
> >>>> White House. How would being born in Hawaii make Obama unnaturally
> >>>> born?
> >>>> Seems pretty natural to me.
>
> >>> His father was a foreign national, a liar and a Communist.
> >>> Therefore, Barack Obama, alias Barry Soetoro, does not pass
> >>> constitutional muster.
>
> >>> For this and a other reasons, your favorite "African American"
> >>> is not president of the US.
>
> >> I guess government mafias don't need legal presidents ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > You've got a legal president! His name is Obama. He has proven his
> > legality ... twice.
>
> > Krypsis
>
> And Clinton did not have sex with that woman Monica. Yeah right.

Um... he didn't.

Looks like you're determined to be stupid on just about everything,
RockHead!

217. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:36:50 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <5a248984-6e2f-47cc-b895-3251d1b62f2b@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228144
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 2, 5:45 am, "Rocky" <wook...@att.net> wrote:
> "The Progressive Voice" <thomaswheat1...@gmail.com> wrote in
messagenews:3ae78446-f6bc-4da0-8105-d1d48fa0b1a0@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
> On May 1, 6:37 pm, "Rocky" <wook...@att.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > reply at very bottom
>
> > "The Progressive Voice" <thomaswheat1...@gmail.com> wrote in
> > messagenews:7d351856-001f-45c0-9c80-f13eff6e58ae@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
> > On May 1, 5:29 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > >http://incontextreport.com/2011/04/28/obama-birth-certificate-was-cre...
>
> > > This should cut though some of the obfuscation cr*p that some are
> > > attempting to "disinform" with ...
>
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
>
> > that's not the birth certificate that obama released recently.
> > here is a link to his recently released long form certificate of live
> > birth:
>
> >http://edition.cnn.com/interactive/2011/04/politics/interactive.obama...
>
> > ========== Reply below ==========
>
> > BULLSHIT here is the link to the real fake Birth Certificate
> >http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss_viewer/birth-certif...
>
> well how are you going to prove it is fake, donald trump with all his
> money couldn't disprove the validity of the document. why dont you go
> to the bureau of vital statistics or whatever it is called in hawaii
> and see for yourself if the document is there.
>
> ========== Reply below ==========
>

- Donald Trump spoke way to fast.
- He shouldn't have said anything about the BC
- especially before anybody had a chance to really
- look at it.

Rocky : Speaking of Taking a 'Look' at the Obama
'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-
-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
-why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
-on-the-original-micro-film-record-
-and-who-made-them...-who...-
-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-and- Creates Yet More Doubt !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/4825b17fcd0065ad
-doubt-reasonable-doubt-&-un-reasonable-doubt-
.
.

218. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:37:38 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <079fa50c-314e-47d6-a855-782bd3655df3@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228145
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On May 1, 4:50 pm, "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>
> news:bc77a6a2-3c2b-46b6-bd96-7eb3afb97f92@w5g2000prg.googlegroups.com...
>
> On May 1, 10:45 am, "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
>
>
>
> > "BDK" <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
>
> >news:MPG.28269a3824d0e60498a138@news.giganews.com...
>
> > > In article <ipiid5$m5...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
> > >> On 4/30/2011 7:28 PM, Wayne wrote:
>
> > >> > -
> > >> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's
> > >> > ass
> > >> > should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not
> > >> > have
> > >> > layers.
>
> > >> I guess most didn't hear, what has always been asked for is a long form
> > >> birth certificate of the original and the hospital records.
>
> > >> They have produced a COLB (Certification of Live Birth) and an edited
> > >> .pdf file purporting to be a scan of the orignial ... however, it is
> > >> obvious that it does not bear an official seal, and has been tampered
> > >> with and overlaid on some scan of "safety paper."
>
> > >> Perhaps all this was just to hone the skills and knowledge of how to
> > >> forge a proper document which would go unquestioned/undetected, who
> > >> knows why such insanity would all take place ... but, it wouldn't
> > >> surprise me if "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE THREE!" isn't coming up
> > >> ... most realize that the actions of all this is pretty criminal in
> > >> appearance ...
>
> > >> Jerome Corsi claims to have the paper trail on the coverup and
> > >> documentation which proves ~2 million+ spent by obama in hiding his
> > >> past

> > >> records contained in public documents. I am anxious to give this a
> > >> serious read.
>
> > >> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable and
> > >> began with a presidential candidate promising transparency and has
> > >> ended
> > >> up with executive orders issued by him to keep his entire past a secret
> > >> ... that only takes a rational mind to realize that something is bad
> > >> wrong!
>
> > >> Regards,
> > >> JS
>
> > > There doesn't seem to be anything rational about you, Johnny kOOk.
>
> > OK...out of curiosity.....
> > How do you explain a copy of the original, posted on the White House
> > website, being a pdf file with layers? Was it generated as a pdf file 50
> > years ago?
>
> That's what happens when it's scanned. Happens all the time. You seem
> flummoxed by the simplest of things.
> **********
> -
> Fine...explain it to me. A document is scanned, and it ends up with several
> individual layers.

That is precisely how making a PDF works, pumpkin.

> Doesn't work that way on my scanner. It ends up all in one layer. How does
> the scanner know to separate signatures, forms, background into separate
> layers?

Put the OCR setting on.

Happens all the time. You're merely ignorant.

219. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:38:50 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e62aab00-78a2-4a0c-9b92-e9ae92858c23@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228146
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

```
On May 1, 9:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> Animal 07 <wherew...@friday.com> wrote innews:QdudnSCaIL9ohyPQnZ2dnUVZ_qadnZ2d@wow.com:
>
>
>
> > On 5/1/2011 12:54 PM, BDK wrote:
> >> In article<Xns9ED8A9F322BBWereofftoseethewi...@216.196.97.142>,
> >> grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >>> BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in
> >>>news:MPG.28269a0f5df13b3598a137@news.giganews.com:
>
> >>>> In article<Xns9ED7E8F20647FWereofftoseethewi...@216.196.97.142>,
> >>>> grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >>>>> "Wayne"<mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote innews:ipiggv$rdt$1@dont-
> >>>>> email.me:
>
> >>>>>> "jcon"<cirej...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>>news:fb2799da-0997-4abe-a411-2ae95494e468@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.c
> >>>>>> om ... On Apr 29, 9:02 am, wy<w...@myself.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>> On Apr 29, 9:52 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>> On 4/29/2011 1:02 AM, Zapanaz wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>>> ...
> >>>>>>>>> ABCDEFG!!!
>
> >>>>>>>>> That's the same editing software as in the article, dipshit.
>
> >>>>>>>> Just keeps getting better, and better!:
>
> >>>>>>>>http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/04/associated-
> >>>>> press-...
>
> >>>>>>> The PDF is composed of multiple images. That?s correct. Using a
> >>>>>>> photo editor or PDF viewer of your choice, you can extract this
> >>>>>>> image data, view it, hide it, etc. But these layers, as they?re
> >>>>>>> being called, aren?t layers in the traditional photo-editing sense
> >>>>>>> of the word. They are, quite literally, pieces of image data that
> >>>>>>> have been positioned in a PDF container. They appear as text but
```

> >>>>>>> also contain glyphs, dots, lines, boxes, squiggles, and random
> >>>>>>> garbage. They?re not combined or merged in any way. Quite simply,
> >>>>>>> they look like they were created programmatically, not by a human.
>
> >>>>>>> What?s plausible is that somewhere along the way ? from the
> >>>>>>> scanning device to the PDF-creation software, both of which can
> >>>>>>> perform OCR (optical character recognition) ? these
> >>>>>>> partial/pseudo-text images were created and saved. What?s not
> >>>>>>> plausible is that the government spent all this time manufacturing
> >>>>>>> Obama?s birth certificate only to commit the laughably rookie
> >>>>>>> mistake of exporting the layers from Photoshop, or whatever photo
> >>>>>>> editing software they are meant to have used. It?s likely that
> >>>>>>> whoever scanned the birth certificate in Hawaii forgot to turn off
> >>>>>>> the OCR setting on the scanner. Let?s leave it at that.
>
> >>>>>>>http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth
> >>>>>>> -c ...
>
> >>>>>> Actually, it's pretty implausible that they would make an
> >>>>>> amateurish Photoshop version of the birth certificate rather than
> >>>>>> simply create a forged document. Seriously, all this fuss about
> >>>>>> the "original birth certificate". Do we honestly believe the
> >>>>>> most powerful man on Earth couldn't forge any document he
> >>>>>> wanted? The physical birth certificate has *never* been as
> >>>>>> convincing as the hospital records, the archived birth
> >>>>>> announcements, and the testimony of the (Republican) governor of
> >>>>>> Hawaii and the (Republican) head of Hawaiian records (things which
> >>>>>> no white man has ever been asked to produce).
>
> >>>>>> I wish the birthers would just come out and admit that nothing -
> >>>>>> including a time machine - would ever convince them. Why
> >>>>>> did it take Obama so long to release it? I think we can see
> >>>>>> why now. He knew it wouldn't accomplish anything...
> >>>>>> **************************
> >>>>>> -
> >>>>>> I'm not a birther, but if this document is not
> >>>>>> legitimate....Obama's ass should be in deep trouble. And, a copy
> >>>>>> of the original would not have layers.
>
> >>>>> And there is now the not insignificant problem of his father?s
> >>>>> citizenship.
>
> >>>> It's totally insignificant. He could have been a citizen of
> >>>> Spotsylvania, wouldn't matter in the slightest.
>
> >>> You think so? Well to idiots who want to believe the lie.
>
> >> What lie is that?
>
> >>>>> And the issue of what happened to O between 1961 and 2008. Something

> >>>>> of a vacuum documentation wise.
>
> >>>> Only if you tend towards the birthtardic.
>
> >>> You mean if you are curious about the unsubstantiated claims of the
> >>> absolute buffoon we have put in charge,
>
> >> What unsubstantiated claims are those? The ones only you heard about
> >> from the voices in your head?
>
> >>> What are you afraid of? What secrets do you not want revealed? What
> >>> impact might they have on the American people regarding their trust of
> >>> the Progressives?
>
> >> Afraid of? Secrets? The only thing I'm slightly scared about is that
> >> you whackjobs are running around loose. Who knows what about anyone
> >> you'll dream up next? You kooks might go into "tower and rifle" mode.
>
> > The scary thing is those whack jobs can vote.
>
> You mean the ones who blindly believe what they are told without any
> physical evidence to back it up?

Oh the fuckin' IRONY of it all!!

220. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:39:27 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <82f4e722-0bd8-4373-ab62-fd0fd523c4ec@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228147
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 1, 10:37 pm, Ron <roneal1...@att.net> wrote:
> On May 1, 9:36 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/1/2011 7:01 PM, Ron wrote:
>
> > > On May 1, 8:35 pm, "Rocky"<wook...@att.net> wrote:
> > >> Reply at the very bottom
>
> > >> "Ron"<roneal1...@att.net> wrote in message
>
> > >>news:545c5cb7-f14c-433c-bab4-042d7803c173@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> > >> On May 1, 7:29 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
wrote:>http://incontextreport.com/2011/04/28/obama-birth-certificate-was-cre...
>
> > >>> This should cut though some of the obfuscation cr*p that some are
> > >>> attempting to "disinform" with ...
>
> > >>> Regards,
> > >>> JS
>
> > >> .
> > >> .
> > >> Why in the name of sanity would you place trust in the "analysis" of
> > >> the Obama BC when the author repeatedly says "I don't know"? From
> > >> your cite we read:
>
> > >> 1. All the letters in that portion are vectorized graphics except for
> > >> the R which looks pixelated. I don’t know why.
>
> > >> 2. Secondly, there is a white faded ghost around all the text and
> > >> black lines of this document, again I don’t know why.
>
> > >> 3. All the letters in that portion are vectorized graphics except for
> > >> the R which looks pixelated. I don’t know why.
>
> > >> 4. Secondly, there is a white faded ghost around all the text and
> > >> black lines of this document, again I don’t know why.

> > > > 5. It reveals a layer of white ghosting beneath it. I do not
> > > > understand this or know the purpose of it.
> >
> > > > But....on the other hand, so many of your previous posts make
> > > > reference to Jones' PrisonPlanet and InfoWars. So in a left handed
> > > > way, you are consistent: You'll believe ANYTHING in print.
> >
> > > > ========== Reply below ==========
> >
> > > > Don't forget this was also stated in the link:
> > > > "I am very confused by this but I can say with certainty that there are
> > > > major problems with this document. It’s almost as if someone from inside the
> > > > White House is trying to send a message to tell everyone that this is a
> > > > fake. Either that, or they are as stupid as they seem."
> >
> > > > Rocky
> > > > .
> > > > .
> > > But don't ignore the bottom line of the link:
> >
> > > "If that’s true, why was this fake put online? Once again, more
> > > questions than answers."
> >
> > > The author of this baloney has branded the document a fake, but as I
> > > stated in my first post, the author's analysis is filled with
> > > ADMISSIONS OF HIS IGNORANCE concerning his assessment of the BC.
> >
> > > Conclusion: He is full of Dookie.
> >
> > > RO
> >
> > Since you offer no graphics evidence, you are just a moron making stupid
> > statements. What a damn fool, as if anyone is going to pay attention to
> > your opinion and ignore evidence and facts!
> >
> > ROFLOL ... What a moron!
> >
> > Regards,
> > JS
> >
> .
> .
> I asserted that the author admitted his own ignorance of the so-called
> discrepancies. That was not a "stupid statement". I quoted the
> author himself. Read my first post again.
>
> You, who so freely quote Alex Jones as some kind of guru. And you
> call ME a moron?
>

> PUH-LEEEZE !
>
> RO

"RO" : So Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-
-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
-why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
-on-the-original-micro-film-record-
-and-who-made-them...-who...-
-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-and- Creates Yet More Doubt !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/4825b17fcd0065ad
-doubt-reasonable-doubt-&-un-reasonable-doubt-
.
.

221. Re: Obama gets bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:41:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15671-4DBEB490-2031@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228150

Double Bubble, do you remember Double Bubble, Bubble Gum? I remember Double Bubble.

Red Alert: Government Had Osama Bin Laden Frozen for Years
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307095

You can't kill somebody who has been DEAD for years and years.

SCARHEAD MOFO PIMP was Lieing his Ass off last night.Ministry of Propaganda Lies too.
cuhulin

222. Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:42:42 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7ee9bedd-c769-4219-999a-4e944a940208@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228148
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On May 1, 10:20 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <ipkvif$p9...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/1/2011 5:51 PM, jf...@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > On May 1, 10:28 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > >> There is nothing factual in birtherism. Only rumors, confusion,
> > >> fantasies, and delusions. And of course, bigotry, the basis of nearly
> > >> all of it.
> > > President Obama just released the movies showing his birth. The floar
> > > and fuana are clearly African and not American.
>
> > > Did you notice that the Donal was not amused? It demonstrates once
> > > again that, while he can dish it ou with enthusiasm, he really can't
> > > take it.
>
> > GESUS!!! AGAIN???
>
> > That damn scandalous crook will release anything instead of the simple
> > scan of a REAL BIRTH CERTIFICATE, won't he?
>
> > Watch, "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE III" is coming to a theater near
> > you soon!
>
> > ROFLOL
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
- GESUS!!
-
- You're still a birther loon?
-

- Who'd a thunk it?
-
- Everyone.
-
- --
- BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

"BDK" : So Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-

-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
-why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
-on-the-original-micro-film-record-
-and-who-made-them...-who...-

-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-and- Creates Yet More Doubt !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/4825b17fcd0065ad
-doubt-reasonable-doubt-&-un-reasonable-doubt-
.
.

223. Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:47:30 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <99b2f7b3-fbf6-408f-8924-0155580ba7f2@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228149
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

```
On May 2, 6:36 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 2, 5:44 am, "Rocky" <wook...@att.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > "Krypsis" <kryp...@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
>
> >news:4dbcc2b9$0$22471$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
>
> > > On 1/05/2011 11:55 AM, John Smith wrote:
> > >> On 4/30/2011 7:53 PM, Strabo wrote:
>
> > >>>> ...
> > >>>> Translation: you can't stand the fact that an African American is in
> > >>>> the
> > >>>> White House. How would being born in Hawaii make Obama unnaturally
> > >>>> born?
> > >>>> Seems pretty natural to me.
>
> > >>> His father was a foreign national, a liar and a Communist.
> > >>> Therefore, Barack Obama, alias Barry Soetoro, does not pass
> > >>> constitutional muster.
>
> > >>> For this and a other reasons, your favorite "African American"
> > >>> is not president of the US.
>
> > >> I guess government mafias don't need legal presidents ...
>
> > >> Regards,
> > >> JS
>
> > > You've got a legal president! His name is Obama. He has proven his
> > > legality ... twice.
>
> > > Krypsis
>
```

> > And Clinton did not have sex with that woman Monica. Yeah right.
>
> Um... he didn't.

- Looks like you're determined to be stupid
- on just about everything, RockHead!

"Larrod" : So Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-

-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
-why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
-on-the-original-micro-film-record-
-and-who-made-them...-who...-
Again : Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-

-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-and- Creates Yet More Doubt !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/4825b17fcd0065ad
-doubt-reasonable-doubt-&-un-reasonable-doubt-
.
.

224. Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:51:02 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <986354ad-d82e-4636-bdd6-6377f51094c3@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 2, 1:15 am, Government Shill #2 <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Mon, 2 May 2011 01:24:34 -0400, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >In article <d16a2cf3-a356-4469-a24b-915909c9a241
> >@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >> On May 1, 3:11 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> > On May 1, 2:03 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >> > > On Apr 30, 8:39 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >> > > > If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
> >> > > > an illegitimate POTUS.
>
> >> > > That is legally incorrect. The citizenship status of either parent is
> >> > > completely immaterial to his natural born citizenship, This is long
> >> > > settled law and is not even remotely open to dispute.
>
> >> > > > Since his father was still married to a wife in
> >> > > > kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA.
>
> >> > > That of course has nothing to do with anything and in fact would mean
> >> > > that Obama would be a natural born citizenship even if he had been
> >> > > born in Kenya. If Ann Dunham were not legally married at the time,
> >> > > Obama could have been born anywhere BUT in the US and still be a
> >> > > natural born citizen since the 5-year minimum residency requirement
> >> > > after age 14 (Dunham was only 18 at the time) would not longer be
> >> > > applicable.
>
> >> > > You just kicked your OWN ass, WrongTurnJoe!!
> >> > > BWAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHA!!
>
> >> > Your getting hair lipped Limprod!
>
> >> > Born in Kenya means not Natural born on US soil.Naturalization
> >> > citizenship can not be used for POTUS.
> >> > He was born a bastard child in Kenya or so he says!
> >> > I say MKed Stanley Ann was artificially insimulated with Malcome X
> >> > sperm and was delivered at a NASA Mind Control facility on US soil.
>
> >> > None the less if there is no marriage Certifricate still means he was
> >> > fatherless,if he was naturalized in Indonesia VOIDS any previous
> >> > natural born citizenship.So there again he is said to be a dual

> >> > citizen thus not eligible to be POTUS.
>
> >> > You cant wish this out into the cornfield,it is in the 13th and 14th
> >> > amendment of our joke of a Constitution.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> > - Show quoted text -
>
> >> Also notice Natural born also excludes test tube babies!
>
> >>http://www.canadafreepress.com/
>
> >> How could he have recieved his nourishment from MKed Moma Ann without
> >> a belly button is that Natural Born to you?
>
> >> If you will dig you will find out invitro fertilization first became
> >> public in 1961.The first test tuse baby was named Barry Little s tha
> >> ta cocdnc that or very own Little Berry was born that very same year
> >> without a bely button. If you will keep digging you can see his real
> >> sperm donar was Malcom Little.
> >> So the big secret is he was not born naturally,but a product of
> >> Scientific Research.
>
> >> No wonder Stanley Ann was not concerned about lLittle Barry,she knew
> >> its parents(the mad NAZI Scientist) at NASA was looking after him!
>
> >BWHAHAHAHAHA! That's one of the craziest posts you've ever made.
>
> >Awesome kooktardery.
>
> Wait!
>
> Joe the kOOk believes that "test tube babies" don't have belly buttons?
>
> OMFG!
>
> OMFG!
>
> How could someone be that stupid and be able to type?
>
> Shill #2
> --
> With stupidity the gods themselves contend in vain.
> Friedrich von Schiller (1759 - 1805)

Government Shill #2 : So Take an Honest 'Look' at the
Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-

-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a

-why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
-on-the-original-micro-film-record-
-and-who-made-them...-who...-
Again : Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-

-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-and- Creates Yet More Doubt !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/4825b17fcd0065ad
-doubt-reasonable-doubt-&-un-reasonable-doubt-
.
.

225. Re: OT: the so-called "bouncing bombs"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:51:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15671-4DBEB6E8-2037@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228155

The Dambusters.I have seen that movie a few times before on the TCM channel.Maybe the movie will be on TCM again sooner or later.It is a Very Good movie.

Last night the History channel ran a program about weird weapons.Most of those weird weapons didn't pan out the way they wanted them to.

I have read a few books before about secret World War Two Weapons.
cuhulin

226. Re: Will this change the price of gas? The economy? Jobs? Unemployment? The Police State? Etc.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:56:16 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DBEB800.8070803@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 228152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/2/2011 12:52 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/1/2011 9:46 PM, Linda Lee wrote:
>
>> ...
>>
>> President Obama will almost certainly win the re-election NOW. ;-)
>> Have fun, kids.

Obama has done "nothing" of value and now he says he killed an old dying retired terrorist that he should have already had in GITMO and you think he deserves the job he has failed in, for 4 more years?

Bush got Saddam and I wouldn't have voted for Bush and didn't vote for Bush II "McCain" because Saddam was killed for his crimes.

227. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:57:32 UTC
From: Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4dbeb854$0$24911$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 228153
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 2/05/2011 9:24 PM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 1 May 2011 21:07:27 -0700 (PDT), "jfeng@my-deja.com"
>> <jfeng@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
>>> Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
>>> bin Laden's plans to attack the US.
>>>
>>> Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.
>>>
>>> Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
>>> Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.
>>>
>>> Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
>>> Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
>>> Accomplished" banner?
>
> On 5/2/2011 12:11 AM, Tall King wrote:
>
>> If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive scaring the shit
>> out of Obama around every corner.
>
> Huh? "If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive..."
>
> So are you saying Bush, who couldn't get bin Laden for *SEVEN* years and
> has been out of office for 2 1/2 years gets credit for killing bin Laden
> yesterday?
>
> Are you sure you don't want to change your name from Tall King to Joe
> King? (because you surely must be joking)

How about a change of name to Wan King. Sure fits! ;-)

Krypsis

228. Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 13:59:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <84379a35-19ef-4de5-933a-00c1ff958746@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228154

On May 2, 4:24 am, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net> wrote:
> > On Sun, 1 May 2011 21:07:27 -0700 (PDT), "jf...@my-deja.com"
> > <jf...@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >> Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
> >> bin Laden's plans to attack the US.
>
> >> Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.
>
> >> Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
> >> Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.
>
> >> Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
> >> Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
> >> Accomplished" banner?
>
> On 5/2/2011 12:11 AM, Tall King wrote:
>
> > If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive scaring the shit
> > out of Obama around every corner.
>
> Huh? "If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive..."
>
> So are you saying Bush, who couldn't get bin Laden for *SEVEN* years and
> has been out of office for 2 1/2 years gets credit for killing bin Laden
> yesterday?
>
> Are you sure you don't want to change your name from Tall King to Joe
> King? (because you surely must be joking)

Joe from Kokomo : So Take an Honest 'Look' at the
Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-

-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
-why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
-on-the-original-micro-film-record-
-and-who-made-them...-who...-
Again : Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-

-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0

-and- Creates Yet More Doubt !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/4825b17fcd0065ad
-doubt-reasonable-doubt-&-un-reasonable-doubt-
.
.

229. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 14:06:21 UTC
From: "jfeng@my-deja.com" <jfeng@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <1899dc7a-5548-464c-a1d1-2e084215ac35@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228156
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 2, 4:29 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
> Afterall he promised to pull all the troops out of Iraq and Afghanistan
> within 6 months of his election to president!
>
> So again..he lied.
>
> Gunner
Liar. He did fail to keep his pledge to get out of Iraq. However, he
also promised to return the focus and the fight to Afghanistan and to
get bin Laden. Looks like he made the right judgement and executed it
successfully.

230. Prez Obama's Road To Killing Osama bin-Laden -via- GitMo and Water-Broading {Torture} Detainees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 14:12:23 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <2d83f218-85d5-4180-b286-fab7536ade02@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228157
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 2, 4:29 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Mon, 02 May 2011 07:24:00 -0400, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >> On Sun, 1 May 2011 21:07:27 -0700 (PDT), "jf...@my-deja.com"
> >> <jf...@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> >>> Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
> >>> bin Laden's plans to attack the US.
>
> >>> Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.
>
> >>> Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
> >>> Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.
>
> >>> Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
> >>> Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
> >>> Accomplished" banner?
>
> >On 5/2/2011 12:11 AM, Tall King wrote:
>
> >> If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive scaring the shit
> >> out of Obama around every corner.
>
> >Huh? "If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive..."
>
> >So are you saying Bush, who couldn't get bin Laden for *SEVEN* years and
> >has been out of office for 2 1/2 years gets credit for killing bin Laden
> >yesterday?
>
> >Are you sure you don't want to change your name from Tall King to Joe
> >King? (because you surely must be joking)

>
- Sure you are not giving the Obamassiah credit for that!
-
- Afterall he promised to pull all the troops out of Iraq and
Afghanistan
- within 6 months of his election to president!
-
- So again..he lied.
-
- Gunner
-
- --
- "If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is
eight,
- it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
- six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)

The INTEL {Info} that Lead to Osama bin-Laden came from
Gitmo and the Detainee was Water-Broaded to get it.

Thank You Prez Obama for Keeping Gitmo Open
and Starting Military Tribunals for Detainees

Thank You Prez Obama for Torture Water-Broading Detainees

Thank You Prez Obama for Escalating the
'Right' War in Afghanistan {Oil Pipe-Line}

Thank You Prez Obama for Starting a New
War of Oil in Libya

Thank You Prez Obama for $6.66 Gasoline

Thank You Prez Obama for Un-Ending High Unemployment

Thank You Thank You Thank You Prez Obama ~ RHF
.
.

231. Re: Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 14:37:50 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15671-4DBEC1BE-2063@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228158

Been DEAD for years and years Osama Bin Laden is taking some of the HEAT
off of the PHONY FRAUD FAKE Birth Certificate FORGERY,,,, IS what it IS.
Can't y'all cats See That?
y'all Cats.Huh, Meowwwwwww,,,,,,
cuhulin

232. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 14:51:25 UTC
From: Wayne <mygarbagecan@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <ipmgde$24t$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228159
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

"Iarnrod" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:079fa50c-314e-47d6-a855-782bd3655df3@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com...
On May 1, 4:50 pm, "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>
> news:bc77a6a2-3c2b-46b6-bd96-7eb3afb97f92@w5g2000prg.googlegroups.com...
>
> On May 1, 10:45 am, "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
>
>
>
> > "BDK" <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
>
> >news:MPG.28269a3824d0e60498a138@news.giganews.com...
>
> > > In article <ipiid5$m5...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
> > >> On 4/30/2011 7:28 PM, Wayne wrote:
>
> > >> > -
> > >> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not
> > >> > legitimate....Obama's
> > >> > ass
> > >> > should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not
> > >> > have
> > >> > layers.
>
> > >> I guess most didn't hear, what has always been asked for is a long
> > >> form
> > >> birth certificate of the original and the hospital records.
>
> > >> They have produced a COLB (Certification of Live Birth) and an edited
> > >> .pdf file purporting to be a scan of the orignial ... however, it is
> > >> obvious that it does not bear an official seal, and has been tampered
> > >> with and overlaid on some scan of "safety paper."
>
> > >> Perhaps all this was just to hone the skills and knowledge of how to
> > >> forge a proper document which would go unquestioned/undetected, who
> > >> knows why such insanity would all take place ... but, it wouldn't
> > >> surprise me if "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE THREE!" isn't coming
> > >> up
> > >> ... most realize that the actions of all this is pretty criminal in

> > >> appearance ...
>
> > >> Jerome Corsi claims to have the paper trail on the coverup and
> > >> documentation which proves ~2 million+ spent by obama in hiding his
> > >> past
> > >> records contained in public documents. I am anxious to give this a
> > >> serious read.
>
> > >> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable and
> > >> began with a presidential candidate promising transparency and has
> > >> ended
> > >> up with executive orders issued by him to keep his entire past a
> > >> secret
> > >> ... that only takes a rational mind to realize that something is bad
> > >> wrong!
>
> > >> Regards,
> > >> JS
>
> > > There doesn't seem to be anything rational about you, Johnny kOOk.
>
> > OK...out of curiosity.....
> > How do you explain a copy of the original, posted on the White House
> > website, being a pdf file with layers? Was it generated as a pdf file 50
> > years ago?
>
> That's what happens when it's scanned. Happens all the time. You seem
> flummoxed by the simplest of things.
> ****************
> -
> Fine...explain it to me. A document is scanned, and it ends up with
> several
> individual layers.

That is precisely how making a PDF works, pumpkin.

> Doesn't work that way on my scanner. It ends up all in one layer. How does
> the scanner know to separate signatures, forms, background into separate
> layers?

Put the OCR setting on.

Happens all the time. You're merely ignorant.
****
-
Why don't you just say that you don't know how a "flat" document is copied
and ends up in layers?

233. Is this a QSL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 15:05:29 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <db71db1a-f34c-4d4a-b8de-b73af23e5525@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228160

OK, so the other night I heard the Australia VOLMET out of Brisbane Airport, Queensland. Made a nice recording, and shot it to the Brisbane Airport. Seeming to know nothing about it, the Airport people kindly referred me to this Peter Flint at Aviation services, who provided the following response:

>Hi Bruce

>That is indeed an Australian VOLMET broadcast, which I haven't heard before so thanks for providing the url.

>Regards

>Peter

_____
>Peter Flint
>Aviation Weather Services
>Australian Bureau of Meteorology | Weather and Ocean Services Branch
>GPO Box 1289 Melbourne VIC 3001
>T 03 9669 4586 | F 03 9669 4695
>p.flint@bom.gov.au | www.bom.gov.au

>Aviation Weather Services
>Certified to AS/NZS ISO 9001:2000 Quality Management Standard

>-----Original Message-----

>Reference ID = 'REF2011-119-31'
>Name = 'Bruce Jensen'
>State/Territory = 'overseas'
>email address = (blank)
>Phone Number = none
>Fri Apr 29 15:53:18 GMT 2011

>Feedback regarding to: Aviation Services

>Bruce Jensen wrote:-

>Good day - I am both a meteorologist by training and a shortwave radio enthusiast, and I live in California, USA. >As a result, I occasionally enjoy listening to VOLMETs from various parts of the world for aviation weather >reports. The other evening, I was fortunate to hear on my radio the Australian VOLMET at Brisbane Airport, with >a pleasant-sounding female voice (apparently computer-generated) with atmospheric details for various airport >cities. I recorded a segment of the broadcast.

>My question is, Is there a person who I could contact that could provide verification, either by letter or e-mail, >that in fact I heard the Australia VOLMET? In radio parlance,

this would be a request for a QSL or station >verification. I would be happy to provide the recording and return postage, if by mail. In fact, the recording may >be found on the Web at this audio link:

>http://soundcloud.com/bpnjensen/australia-volmet-4-28-11-0730z

>Any answer is greatly appreciated. Thank you!

>Bruce Jensen

I sent Peter a message thanking him for his kind reponse.

So anyway, what do folks think? Is this a bona fide verification? Or
should I pursue it further?

Thanks,
Bruce

234. Re: Will this change the price of gas? The economy? Jobs? Unemployment? The Police State? Etc.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 15:05:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmh80$o26$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

```
On 5/2/2011 6:56 AM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
> On 5/2/2011 12:52 AM, John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/1/2011 9:46 PM, Linda Lee wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>>
>>> President Obama will almost certainly win the re-election NOW. ;-)
>>> Have fun, kids.
>
>
> Obama has done "nothing" of value and now he says he killed an old dying
> retired terrorist that he should have already had in GITMO and you think
> he deserves the job he has failed in, for 4 more years?
>
> *Bush got Saddam* and I wouldn't have voted for Bush and didn't vote for
> Bush II "McCain" because Saddam was killed for his crimes.
>

Well, I wouldn't jump to conclusions. The Patriot Act is one sore pain
in the arse. With bin laden dead, if the repeal the patriot act, that
is one good thing. Then we can get rid of this damn police state.

However, I think we will notice no difference at all ... for all it will
mean to us there could have been a million bin ladens ...

Regards,
JS
```

235. Re: OT: the so-called "bouncing bombs"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 15:07:16 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmhb4$o26$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228162

On 5/2/2011 5:53 AM, dave wrote:
> http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/uknews/7625031/Ray-Grayston-one-of-last-British-Dambusters-dies.html
>
>
> 1:22PM BST 23 Apr 2010
>
> Flying Officer Ray Grayston, 92, passed away at his home in Woodhall
> Spa, Lincs,.
>
> He was a former member of the famed 617 Squadron, which flew from RAF
> Scampton near Lincoln during the Second World War.
>
> He was the flight engineer of pilot Les Knight's Lancaster bomber which
> successfully breached the Eder Dam.
>
> The raids, immortalised in the 1955 film The Dambusters, were led by
> Wing Commander Guy Gibson and used the so-called "bouncing bombs"
> designed by Barnes Wallis.

Is that how they got him?

A "bouncing bin laden getter?"

Regards,
JS

236. Re: Why is obamas' just released birth certificate an obvious fake, you ask?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 15:16:29 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmhsd$o26$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228163
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/2/2011 5:45 AM, Rocky wrote:

>> ...
>> ========== Reply below ==========
>>
>> BULLSHIT here is the link to the real fake Birth Certificate
>> http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss_viewer/birth-certif...
> well how are you going to prove it is fake, donald trump with all his
> money couldn't disprove the validity of the document. why dont you go
> to the bureau of vital statistics or whatever it is called in hawaii
> and see for yourself if the document is there.
>
> ========== Reply below ==========
>
> Donald Trump spoke way to fast. He shouldn't have said anything about the
> BC especially before anybody had a chance to really look at it.
>

I don't know. It might be the most effective way to bring focus on this
fraud.

There should be quite a media buzz about the Trump being fooled, and it
really is a fraud, and he is right.

Regards,
JS

237. Re: OT: the so-called "bouncing bombs"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 15:17:00 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15671-4DBECAEC-2128@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228165

There were Bouncing Betty Bombs too.You had to Really, Really, Really
Watch Out for those buggers!
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Bouncing+Betty+Bombs
cuhulin

238. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 15:24:07 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmian$o26$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228164
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On 5/2/2011 7:51 AM, Wayne wrote:

> ...
> Put the OCR setting on.
>
> Happens all the time. You're merely ignorant.
> **********
> -
> Why don't you just say that you don't know how a "flat" document is copied
> and ends up in layers?
>
>

Using OCR (Optical Character Recognition) would only be used if you were converting a picture (true scan) of a document into a text file so you can edit it!

That is an absolute giveaway that the document has been tampered with. Add to that, that the idiots used a computer graphics font and the document is obviously assembled out of two or more documents and the case for a fraud is absolute.

At first, the desperation of such a fraud surprised me ... then I realized this is just a trademark of this administration ...

Regards,
JS

239. Re: OT: the so-called "bouncing bombs"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 15:28:44 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15671-4DBECDAC-2145@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228168

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=The+World+War+Two+Magician

Jasper Maskelyne.

I have that book here, a Very Good Book to read.I have read it several
times before.
cuhulin, the Magician

240. Re: Optical Character Recognition is only used to forge scans of legal documents!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 15:28:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmije$o26$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228166
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On 5/2/2011 12:45 AM, jc wrote:

> ...
> Yeah, I'm surprised he didn't add "some of my best friends are
> black people".
>
> -jc
>
>
>> --
>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>

Two of my friends are Negros. However, they are highly intelligent,
work in the same field as myself and have characters' beyond reproach!
They happen to have british accents and were schooled in bush schools as
youngsters, before university ...

As Dr. King had recommended, you gauge people by their character, not by
their color ... these two pass that requirement with flying colors.

Regards,
JS

241. Re: OT: the so-called "bouncing bombs"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 15:30:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmioq$o26$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228167

On 5/2/2011 8:17 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> There were Bouncing Betty Bombs too.You had to Really, Really, Really
> Watch Out for those buggers!
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Bouncing+Betty+Bombs
> cuhulin
>

If one of those worked on bin laden, we must suspect him of being a
closet tranny!!!

Undoubtedly, testosterone therapy allowed her to grow that scraggly
beard ...

Regards,
JS

242. Re: Attention Birthers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 15:40:37 UTC
From: extra class <gkkkkkgrem?@bbyytrcytf.com>
Message-ID: <ipmj9p$4fk$1@speranza.aioe.org>
Source record: 228169

Superman 'may end US citizenship'

243. Re: Will this change the price of gas? The economy? Jobs? Unemployment? The Police State? Etc.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 15:41:27 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DBED0A7.2020907@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 228170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

```
On 5/2/2011 11:05 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/2/2011 6:56 AM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>> On 5/2/2011 12:52 AM, John Smith wrote:
>>> On 5/1/2011 9:46 PM, Linda Lee wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>>
>>>> President Obama will almost certainly win the re-election NOW. ;-)
>>>> Have fun, kids.
>>
>>
>> Obama has done "nothing" of value and now he says he killed an old dying
>> retired terrorist that he should have already had in GITMO and you think
>> he deserves the job he has failed in, for 4 more years?
>>
>> *Bush got Saddam* and I wouldn't have voted for Bush and didn't vote for
>> Bush II "McCain" because Saddam was killed for his crimes.
>>
>
> Well, I wouldn't jump to conclusions. The Patriot Act is one sore pain
> in the arse. With bin laden dead, if the repeal the patriot act, that
> is one good thing. Then we can get rid of this damn police state.
>
> However, I think we will notice no difference at all ... for all it will
> mean to us there could have been a million bin ladens ...
>

I think you're correct...
```

244. Re: Proof Obama's 4/27/11 "Long Form Certificate of Birth" is Forged!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 15:49:10 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmjpm$2a5$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228171
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.true-crime,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/2/2011 6:57 AM, Rocky wrote:
> Proof Obama's 4/27/11 "Long Form Certificate of Birth" is Forged!
> http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=QNJfdKClbH4
>
> What I like best about the above video is he points out that multiple layers
> will be created but they will not contain any information meaning the
> argument made against the multiple layers in a PDF is normal is proven to be
> an insignificant because those layers will not contain information.
>
> Barack Obama had just earned the name Barack Obusted.
>
> Rocky
>
>

OCR (Optical Text Recognition) is only used in editing/forging, DUH!

Regards,
JS

245. Re: Hawaii Gov promised proof of Obama birth - Now says forget it.!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 15:53:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmk1h$2a5$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228172
Cross-posted to: misc.legal,alt.california,alt.politics.immigration,talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/2/2011 8:39 AM, George Plimpton wrote:

>> ...
>> Sorry, birther loser, that's just the way it is. Sucks to be you, I
>> guess.
>
> Well, well well! We've now seen the long-form birth certificate after
> all - just as I predicted we would once the 2012 election cycle got
> going. I wonder - do you still maintain that "Hawaii law" was violated
> by Obama obtaining a copy of his long-form birth certificate?
>
> I said several times back in December and January that the issue was
> *not* put to bed, and that it would dog Obama into the election cycle.
> The racist and blindly loyal Obama partisans argued over that, but they
> were wrong and I was right - as usual.

They were still unable to get it correct, we asked for a real one.

The have given us a fraudulent one, again. Editing the document in OCR
is a crime, when you attempt to pass it off as real -- but, what is one
more crime in the history of this administration?

Regards,
JS

246. Re: May Day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 15:59:02 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmkc6$2a5$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/2/2011 6:00 AM, Rocky wrote:
> "HVAC"<mr.hvac@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ipm6oqksf1@hvac.motzarella.org...
>>
>> Kind of poetic justice that Osama got it on May Day.
>>
>> After all, he attacked us on 911.
>
> WTF did he do? Did he spit on a map of America because he certainly was not
> the mastermind behind the controlled demolition of three buildings. All he
> had was Oil that Bush and Cheney wanted.
>
>

Yeah, bizarre, huh?

I think we will now find out that he never was any sort of problem. I don't think his death will change a single thing, not the patriot act, not the economy, not the jobs, not unemployment, not inflation, not the banksters, not wall street, not the theft of natural resources in other countries, not the torture of Bradley Manning ... NOT A DAMN THING!

Regards,
JS

247. Re: Obama releases Fake birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 15:59:08 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <l6KdnWpt_IhKSSPQnZ2dnUVZ5rOdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228174
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On 4/30/2011 11:39 PM, Ray Keller wrote:
> There
> Fixed it for ya

It almost certainly is authentic.

248. Re: May Day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 16:03:05 UTC
From: Mike <mwbryant@aol.com>
Message-ID: <a56a7d02-0c17-4bf2-8fa9-0675c448ce37@d28g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228175

On May 2, 11:59 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/2/2011 6:00 AM, Rocky wrote:
>
> > "HVAC"<mr.h...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:ipm6oqksf1@hvac.motzarella.org...
>
> >> Kind of poetic justice that Osama got it on May Day.
>
> >> After all, he attacked us on 911.
>
> > WTF did he do? Did he spit on a map of America because he certainly was not
> > the mastermind behind the controlled demolition of three buildings. All he
> > had was Oil that Bush and Cheney wanted.
>
> Yeah, bizarre, huh?
>
> I think we will now find out that he never was any sort of problem. I
> don't think his death will change a single thing, not the patriot act,
> not the economy, not the jobs, not unemployment, not inflation, not the
> banksters, not wall street, not the theft of natural resources in other
> countries, not the torture of Bradley Manning ... NOT A DAMN THING!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Well, Osama's death obviously will not tempt you to shut up.

Please shut up.

Mike

249. Re: May Day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 16:06:31 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <395dc99a-6bf3-4314-8c11-eb58d71e7522@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228176

On May 2, 9:03 am, Mike <mwbry...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 2, 11:59 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/2/2011 6:00 AM, Rocky wrote:
>
> > > "HVAC"<mr.h...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > >news:ipm6oqksf1@hvac.motzarella.org...
>
> > >> Kind of poetic justice that Osama got it on May Day.
>
> > >> After all, he attacked us on 911.
>
> > > WTF did he do? Did he spit on a map of America because he certainly was not
> > > the mastermind behind the controlled demolition of three buildings. All he
> > > had was Oil that Bush and Cheney wanted.
>
> > Yeah, bizarre, huh?
>
> > I think we will now find out that he never was any sort of problem. I
> > don't think his death will change a single thing, not the patriot act,
> > not the economy, not the jobs, not unemployment, not inflation, not the
> > banksters, not wall street, not the theft of natural resources in other
> > countries, not the torture of Bradley Manning ... NOT A DAMN THING!
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Well, Osama's death obviously will not tempt you to shut up.
>
> Please shut up.
>
> Mike- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Mike, I am thankful that he puts all his efforts into blabbing
endlessly but harmlessly rather than acting on his impulses.
Otherwise, we'd probably have another terrorist on our hands.

Bruce

250. Re: Obama gets bin Laden -- no effect of fraudulent birth certificate ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 16:09:07 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmkv3$2a5$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228177
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.sketpic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On 5/2/2011 8:20 AM, Rocky wrote:
> "John Smith"<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
> news:ipl9kk$a9t$12@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/1/2011 8:45 PM, Gunner Asch wrote:
>>
>>>> ...
>>>> LOL, Obama was just as surprised as anyone else that we got him.
>>>
>>> And he is probably pissed as hell too.
>>>
>>> He didnt look really happy during his tv appearence a few moments ago.
>>>
>>> Gunner
>>>
>>
>> He has a lot on his mind, like how to forge the next birth certificate and
>> make it believable!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> That will be easy because all he had to do was flatten the last manufactured
> long fake, I mean long form before saving it as a PDF.
> ...

Yes, it almost looks like there might be one honest person who was
involved, and made sure evidence was left to disclose the fraud.

But, even a careful examination of a flattened version would have proved
it a fraud.

But, the Optical Character Recognition (OCR) couldn't get any better.
Unless you are editing the scanned document there is absolutely no
reason for its' use. A reminder if the thermite in the dust of the WTC
controlled demolitions!

Regards,
JS
```

251. Re: Obama gets bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 16:11:45 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipml41$2a5$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228178
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics.republicans,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/1/2011 11:22 PM, Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> On May 1, 9:56 pm, Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Thank you, President Obama.
>
> Mission Accomplished...for real this time.
>
> It should be good for Four More Years in 2012.
>
> If you listen carefully you will hear Republican heads exploding
> tonight.
>
> TMT

So, this will end unemployment, banster/wall-street theft, the patriot act, the torture of Bradley Manning, the exporting of jobs to foreign countries, inflation, theft of other nations natural resources and gold, the patriot act, etc., etc.

If so, GOOD!

If not, no bid deal ...

Regards,
JS

252. Re: Obama sucks bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 16:11:50 UTC
From: Too_Many_Tools <too_many_tools@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cffd574e-b6cb-4f3c-9c7d-8aa320b3d1dd@p6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228179
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics.republicans,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 1, 10:49 pm, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Sun, 01 May 2011 20:28:56 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> >On 5/1/2011 8:22 PM, Tall King wrote:
> >> On Sun, 01 May 2011 22:02:41 -0500, Tall King
> >> <blab...@fakeaddress.com> wrote:
>
> >>> On Sun, 1 May 2011 19:56:03 -0700 (PDT), Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com>
> >>> wrote:
>
> >>>> Thank you, President Obama.
>
> >>> LOL, he hit the red button by accident while reaching for his crack
> >>> pipe, next to the fake birth certificate.
>
> >> Isn't it strange that this happened last week but we only hear of it
> >> today? In this Twitter generation?
>
> >This is simply PLAN B, to be carried out if PLAN A failed -- the
> >fraudulent birth certificate ... they know the Attention Deficit
> >Disordered will forget about the fraud ...
>
> >Regards,
> >JS
>
> One wonders..if perhaps some shadow organization has had bin Laden on
> ice...and it was determined it was time to thaw him out.....
>
> Probably not..but...it is interesting about the timing of this vrs the
> fake birth cert..isnt it?
>
> Gunner
>
> --
> "If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is eight,
> it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
> six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)- Hide quoted text -
>

> - Show quoted text -

I find it interesting the timing of your on line offerings from the depths of your junkyard and your continued descent into homelessness.

TMT

253. Re: Proof Obama's 4/27/11 "Long Form Certificate of Birth" is Forged!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 16:15:27 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmlav$2a5$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228180
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.true-crime,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic

On 5/2/2011 9:05 AM, Rocky wrote:
> "John Smith"<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
> news:ipmjpm$2a5$1@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/2/2011 6:57 AM, Rocky wrote:
>>> Proof Obama's 4/27/11 "Long Form Certificate of Birth" is Forged!
>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=QNJfdKClbH4
>>>
>>> What I like best about the above video is he points out that multiple
>>> layers
>>> will be created but they will not contain any information meaning the
>>> argument made against the multiple layers in a PDF is normal is proven to
>>> be
>>> an insignificant because those layers will not contain information.
>>>
>>> Barack Obama had just earned the name Barack Obusted.
>>>
>>> Rocky
>>>
>>>
>>
>> OCR (Optical Text Recognition) is only used in editing/forging, DUH!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> I have used OCR software before. It was what I used to convert statements
> made by the Third District Appellate Court from a document into text for the
> following:
> http://nolegalauthority.com/
>

OCR is used in identity theft, commonly!

Regards,
JS

254. Re: Official: Bin Laden buried at sea (AP)-- UNREAL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 16:18:10 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmlg2$2a5$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228181
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.true-crime

On 5/2/2011 9:13 AM, Joe Bruno wrote:

>> ...
>> And there's no damned body...
>>
>> chicagotribune: U.S. officials: Osama bin Laden has been buried at
>> sea.
>>
> That's tragic.The ocean is polluted enough already.When the sharks and
> whales get wind of this, they're gonna really be pissed off.
>

That is impossible. After this administration has destroyed all
credibility it had, they would make sure to produce a body to confirm
they are not lying!

Regards,
JS

255. Re: Obama releases Fake birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 16:18:58 UTC
From: Rocky <wookiee@att.net>
Message-ID: <gNWdnVbeg-3vRCPQnZ2dnUVZ_uGdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228182
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

"Ray Keller" <LEFTARD TROLLS ARE DESPERATE> wrote in message
news:4dbd0039$0$842$9a6e19ea@news.newshosting.com...
> There
> Fixed it for ya
>
> "Brenda Ann" wrote in message
> news:rsudne7npcSUZiHQnZ2dnVY3goidnZ2d@giganews.com...
>
>
>
> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
> news:dbe4ea66-f11a-4fc4-b703-dda1043985c3@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com...
>
> On Apr 30, 9:32 pm, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On Sun, 01 May 2011 12:17:23 +1000, Krypsis <kryp...@optusnet.com.au>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> >On 1/05/2011 11:55 AM, John Smith wrote:
>> >> On 4/30/2011 7:53 PM, Strabo wrote:
>>
>> >>>> ...
>> >>>> Translation: you can't stand the fact that an African American is in
>> >>>> the
>> >>>> White House. How would being born in Hawaii make Obama unnaturally
>> >>>> born?
>> >>>> Seems pretty natural to me.
>>
>> >>> His father was a foreign national, a liar and a Communist.
>> >>> Therefore, Barack Obama, alias Barry Soetoro, does not pass
>> >>> constitutional muster.
>>
>> >>> For this and a other reasons, your favorite "African American"
>> >>> is not president of the US.
>>
>> >> I guess government mafias don't need legal presidents ...
>>
>> >> Regards,
>> >> JS
>>
>> >You've got a legal president! His name is Obama. He has proven his
>> >legality ... twice.

>>
>> >Krypsis
>>
>> Again we have a strange and mentally disturbed Leftwinger proclaiming
>> that all is good, even though all the facts point the other way.
>
> How come yuou can't name one fact that "points the other way," Popgun?
> Hmmmmmm?
>
>> Sorry Kryp...it aint over yet.
>
> Ain't over? You moron, it never even got started!
>
> BWAHAAHAAAHAAHAHAAA!!!!
>
>> Deal with it.
>
> Done and done, three years ago. Case closed.
>
>
>
> ---
> ---
>
> I keep seeing people saying that the BC has been removed from the
> whitehouse.gov website... if that's true, then WTF is this?
>
> http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-birth-certificate

or better yet:
http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2011/04/27/remarks-president

because that site shows the following quote from Barack Obusted:

We do not have time for this kind of silliness. We've got better stuff to
do. I've got better stuff to do. We've got big problems to solve. And I'm
confident we can solve them, but we're going to have to focus on them -- not
on this.

He is talking about a document created by Adobe Illustrator and claims: "We
do not have time for this kind of silliness" when he was the one that issued
a silly long form made to look like his Birth Certificate that was created
by Adobe Illustrator? Too funny.

Rocky

256. Re: Obama releases Fake birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 16:29:18 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmm4u$2a5$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228183
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/2/2011 9:18 AM, Rocky wrote:

> ...
> or better yet:
> http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2011/04/27/remarks-president
>
> because that site shows the following quote from Barack Obusted:
>
> We do not have time for this kind of silliness. We've got better stuff to
> do. I've got better stuff to do. We've got big problems to solve. And I'm
> confident we can solve them, but we're going to have to focus on them -- not
> on this.
>
> He is talking about a document created by Adobe Illustrator and claims: "We
> do not have time for this kind of silliness" when he was the one that issued
> a silly long form made to look like his Birth Certificate that was created
> by Adobe Illustrator? Too funny.
>
> Rocky
>
>

Yeah, pretty funny, he is actually claiming that we have no time for
Constitutional law and meeting its' requirements.

We have no time to spend apprehending criminals.

We have no time to recognize false documents, etc., etc.

Truth is, we have no time for the moron named barack obama AKA barry
soetoro!

Regards,
JS

257. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releasesLong Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 16:37:15 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <ipmmjsbqk1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228184
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/2/11 06:38 , dxAce wrote:
>
>
> Joe from Kokomo wrote:
>
>>> On Sun, 1 May 2011 21:07:27 -0700 (PDT), "jfeng@my-deja.com"
>>> <jfeng@my-deja.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
>>>> bin Laden's plans to attack the US.
>>>>
>>>> Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.
>>>>
>>>> Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
>>>> Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.
>>>>
>>>> Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
>>>> Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
>>>> Accomplished" banner?
>>
>> On 5/2/2011 12:11 AM, Tall King wrote:
>>
>>> If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive scaring the shit
>>> out of Obama around every corner.
>>
>> Huh? "If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive..."
>>
>> So are you saying Bush, who couldn't get bin Laden for *SEVEN* years and
>> has been out of office for 2 1/2 years gets credit for killing bin Laden
>> yesterday?
>
> Well, Boy Barry can't be out there all the time stating that EVERYTHING is
> Bush's fault and that he inherited all the problems and then suddenly claim
> total credit for something that Bush set in motion many years ago.
>
> Obama is a putz and probably needs help wiping his own ass.
>
>
>

Oh, thanks for THAT visual. Now I have to poke out my mind's eye.

258. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 16:49:04 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmna0$2a5$11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228185
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/2/2011 2:40 AM, Frank Ketchum wrote:
> "Kommienezuspadt"<NoThanks@NoSpam.com> wrote in message
> news:Phpvp.15705$Ot6.6702@newsfe15.iad...
>> Bin Laden's testicles for proof?
>>
>
> I imagine most people will be satisfied when shown the body.
>
> You can keep his nuts.
>
>

Well, yeah!

Ever since the old wild west days, you can't collect the reward for
bringing in some one "Dead or Alive" until you produce the body!

I mean, if the logic of that escapes you, I am left speechless!

Regards,
JS

259. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 16:54:35 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <ipmnkb$6sm$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228186
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/2/11 11:49 , John Smith wrote:
> On 5/2/2011 2:40 AM, Frank Ketchum wrote:
>> "Kommienezuspadt"<NoThanks@NoSpam.com> wrote in message
>> news:Phpvp.15705$Ot6.6702@newsfe15.iad...
>>> Bin Laden's testicles for proof?
>>>
>>
>> I imagine most people will be satisfied when shown the body.
>>
>> You can keep his nuts.
>>
>>
>
> Well, yeah!
>
> Ever since the old wild west days, you can't collect the reward for
> bringing in some one "Dead or Alive" until you produce the body!
>
> I mean, if the logic of that escapes you, I am left speechless!
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

The reward doesn't apply. This was a military operation.

The SEALs don't get to collect a reward. This was their job.

260. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 16:56:07 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmnn7$2a5$14@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228187
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/2/2011 9:54 AM, D Peter Maus wrote:

>> ...
>
> The reward doesn't apply. This was a military operation.
>
> The SEALs don't get to collect a reward. This was their job.

Well, then we are right back where we started, too bat to.

No evidence, no proof ... no one believes it except those able to make
the extreme leap of faith ...

Regards,
JS

261. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 16:56:21 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DBEE235.7000008@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 228188
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

> On 5/2/2011 2:40 AM, Frank Ketchum wrote:
>> "Kommienezuspadt"<NoThanks@NoSpam.com> wrote in message
>> news:Phpvp.15705$Ot6.6702@newsfe15.iad...
>>> Bin Laden's testicles for proof?
>>>
>>
>> I imagine most people will be satisfied when shown the body.

The question is did they burn Bin Laden's copy of the Qu`ran that says
it's good to KILL Americans anywhere that Muslims can?

262. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 16:58:05 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4dbee321$0$27984$ec3e2dad@unlimited.usenetmonster.com>
Source record: 228189
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.libertarian,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.economics,alt.politics.usa.constitution,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.socialism,alt.fan.neal.boortz,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

> On 5/2/2011 2:40 AM, Frank Ketchum wrote:
>> "Kommienezuspadt"<NoThanks@NoSpam.com> wrote in message
>> news:Phpvp.15705$Ot6.6702@newsfe15.iad...
>>> Bin Laden's testicles for proof?
>>>
>>
>> I imagine most people will be satisfied when shown the body.

The question is did they burn Bin Laden's copy of the Qu`ran that says
it's good to KILL Americans anywhere that Muslims can?

263. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 16:58:15 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmnr7$2a5$15@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228190
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

```
On 5/2/2011 9:56 AM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>
>> On 5/2/2011 2:40 AM, Frank Ketchum wrote:
>>> "Kommienezuspadt"<NoThanks@NoSpam.com> wrote in message
>>> news:Phpvp.15705$Ot6.6702@newsfe15.iad...
>>>> Bin Laden's testicles for proof?
>>>>
>>>
>>> I imagine most people will be satisfied when shown the body.
>
>
> The question is did they burn Bin Laden's copy of the Qu`ran that says
> it's good to KILL Americans anywhere that Muslims can?
>

One thing for certain! If osamas' relatives want a real death
certificate, DON'T LET OBAMAS' GANG EDIT ONE UP IN ADOBE ILLUSTRATOR!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS
```

264. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 17:07:43 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmocv$2a5$17@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228191
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,alt.california,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On 5/2/2011 10:04 AM, Jeff M wrote:

>> ...
>> It wasn't a birth certificate. The state of Hawaii does not issue two
>> types of birth certificate. The two documents are not identical, so
>> necessarily by definition, both cannot be birth certificates (in fact,
>> neither might be, but I accept the one revealed the other day as an
>> authentic birth certificate.)
>
> You conclusion does not logically follow from your premise. Hawaii
> doesn't need to specify a single document layout or format for what it
> deems to be a legal birth certificate. If the document contains the
> required information and is issued or recorded, and certified by, the
> appropriate state authority, then it's a legal birth certificate. Both
> documents meet that criteria, and either would prove entirely sufficient
> as Obama's legal birth certificate.
>
>> Obama has only revealed his birth certificate once, just last week.
>
>

I can only conclude Hawaii is the "Do It Yourself" (DIY) state!

If you need a birth certificate, fire up your favorite OCR/text-editor
and make your own!

GEESH! Must save a bundle in state costs!

HAWAII THE DO IT YOURSELF STATE! (DIY)

Regards,
JS

265. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 17:18:57 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15672-4DBEE781-672@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228192

Who's ever 'body' that was, Reallllllllllll Convenient to Dump it in the Sea.Say what?
It's politics y'all, it's Crooked politics! Osama Bin Laden stuff dates all the way back to the Klintoon years.Look at how long they Kept Osama Bin Laden 'Going' for the SCARHEAD MOFO USURPER Squatting in our White House.Even a Horse with Blinders on can See That.
Giddyap Horsey, we gots to find the Real Birth Certificate.
Giddy Up Go.We Just Went.
cuhulin

266. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 17:27:16 UTC
From: Wayne <mygarbagecan@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <ipmphn$d37$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228193
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

```
"John Smith" wrote in message news:ipkou4$2qi$3@dont-email.me...

On 5/1/2011 3:50 PM, Wayne wrote:
>
>
> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
> news:bc77a6a2-3c2b-46b6-bd96-7eb3afb97f92@w5g2000prg.googlegroups.com...
>
> On May 1, 10:45 am, "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
>> "BDK" <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:MPG.28269a3824d0e60498a138@news.giganews.com...
>>
>> > In article <ipiid5$m5...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>>
>> >> On 4/30/2011 7:28 PM, Wayne wrote:
>>
>> >> > -
>> >> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not legitimate....Obama's
>> >> > ass
>> >> > should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not
>> >> > have
>> >> > layers.
>>
>> >> I guess most didn't hear, what has always been asked for is a long
>> form
>> >> birth certificate of the original and the hospital records.
>>
>> >> They have produced a COLB (Certification of Live Birth) and an edited
>> >> .pdf file purporting to be a scan of the orignial ... however, it is
>> >> obvious that it does not bear an official seal, and has been tampered
>> >> with and overlaid on some scan of "safety paper."
>>
>> >> Perhaps all this was just to hone the skills and knowledge of how to
>> >> forge a proper document which would go unquestioned/undetected, who
>> >> knows why such insanity would all take place ... but, it wouldn't
>> >> surprise me if "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE THREE!" isn't
>> coming up
>> >> ... most realize that the actions of all this is pretty criminal in
>> >> appearance ...
>>
>> >> Jerome Corsi claims to have the paper trail on the coverup and
```

>> >> documentation which proves ~2 million+ spent by obama in hiding his
>> >> past
>> >> records contained in public documents. I am anxious to give this a
>> >> serious read.
>>
>> >> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable and
>> >> began with a presidential candidate promising transparency and has
>> >> ended
>> >> up with executive orders issued by him to keep his entire past a
>> secret
>> >> ... that only takes a rational mind to realize that something is bad
>> >> wrong!
>>
>> >> Regards,
>> >> JS
>>
>> > There doesn't seem to be anything rational about you, Johnny kOOk.
>>
>> OK...out of curiosity.....
>> How do you explain a copy of the original, posted on the White House
>> website, being a pdf file with layers? Was it generated as a pdf file 50
>> years ago?
>
> That's what happens when it's scanned. Happens all the time. You seem
> flummoxed by the simplest of things.
> **********
> -
> Fine...explain it to me. A document is scanned, and it ends up with
> several individual layers.
> Doesn't work that way on my scanner. It ends up all in one layer. How
> does the scanner know to separate signatures, forms, background into
> separate layers?

The worst part is the separation of the text from the actual black and
white form, then the separation of various text fields from others adds
MUCH insult to injury, etc. ... that crappy "safety paper" it is all
pasted onto just adds another level of obfuscation ...

Someone asked for a copy of a legal document just wouldn't give such a
crappy fake unless complete stupid or for some, as yet, unfathomable reason!

Regards,
JS
****
-
AND.....for examples of suspicious issues in the document, independent of
the layers question:

http://obamasgarden.wordpress.com/2011/05/02/the-odds-are-racist/

267. Re: Is this a QSL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 17:30:23 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dbee9e6$0$12489$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228194

On 05/02/2011 08:05 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> OK, so the other night I heard the Australia VOLMET out of Brisbane
> Airport, Queensland. Made a nice recording, and shot it to the
> Brisbane Airport. Seeming to know nothing about it, the Airport
> people kindly referred me to this Peter Flint at Aviation services,
> who provided the following response:
>
>> Hi Bruce
>
>> That is indeed an Australian VOLMET broadcast, which I haven't heard before so thanks
for providing the url.
>
>> Regards
>
>> Peter
>
> _____________________________________
>> Peter Flint
>> Aviation Weather Services
>> Australian Bureau of Meteorology | Weather and Ocean Services Branch
>> GPO Box 1289 Melbourne VIC 3001
>> T 03 9669 4586 | F 03 9669 4695
>> p.flint@bom.gov.au | www.bom.gov.au
>
>> Aviation Weather Services
>> Certified to AS/NZS ISO 9001:2000 Quality Management Standard
>
>> -----Original Message-----
>
>> Reference ID = 'REF2011-119-31'
>> Name = 'Bruce Jensen'
>> State/Territory = 'overseas'
>> email address = (blank)
>> Phone Number = none
>> Fri Apr 29 15:53:18 GMT 2011
>
>> Feedback regarding to: Aviation Services
>
>> Bruce Jensen wrote:-
>
>> Good day - I am both a meteorologist by training and a shortwave radio enthusiast, and I
live in California, USA.>As a result, I occasionally enjoy listening to VOLMETs from
various parts of the world for aviation weather>reports. The other evening, I was fortunate
to hear on my radio the Australian VOLMET at Brisbane Airport, with>a pleasant-sounding

female voice (apparently computer-generated) with atmospheric details for various airport>cities. I recorded a segment of the broadcast.
>
>> My question is, Is there a person who I could contact that could provide verification, either by letter or e-mail,>that in fact I heard the Australia VOLMET? In radio parlance, this would be a request for a QSL or station>verification. I would be happy to provide the recording and return postage, if by mail. In fact, the recording may>be found on the Web at this audio link:
>
>> http://soundcloud.com/bpnjensen/australia-volmet-4-28-11-0730z
>
>> Any answer is greatly appreciated. Thank you!
>
>> Bruce Jensen
>
> I sent Peter a message thanking him for his kind reponse.
>
> So anyway, what do folks think? Is this a bona fide verification? Or
> should I pursue it further?
>
> Thanks,
> Bruce

Unless you're trying to win an award, I'd say it's a verie.

268. Storm Conditions SN 57

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 17:32:06 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dbeea4d$0$12489$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228195

http://www.solarham.com/

269. Re: May Day Dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 17:34:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15673-4DBEEB1F-185@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228197

Doggy was born in May, May 29,2003 or 2004, I wrote it down
somewhere.One time that married Irish woman wayyyyyyyy over yonder
across the big pond sent doggy a snail mail Happy Birthday card.

May Day Dog.
http://www.cattledog.com
She is an Australian Blue Heeler Dog, born in Raymond,Missy Sippy.
cuhulin

270. Re: There is nothing but proof hiding under every rock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 17:37:35 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmq4v$l7e$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228196
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns,rec.sport.golf

On 5/2/2011 10:27 AM, Wayne wrote:

> ...
> The worst part is the separation of the text from the actual black and
> white form, then the separation of various text fields from others adds
> MUCH insult to injury, etc. ... that crappy "safety paper" it is all
> pasted onto just adds another level of obfuscation ...
>
> Someone asked for a copy of a legal document just wouldn't give such a
> crappy fake unless complete stupid or for some, as yet, unfathomable
> reason!
>
> Regards,
> JS
> **********
> -
> AND.....for examples of suspicious issues in the document, independent
> of the layers question:
>
> http://obamasgarden.wordpress.com/2011/05/02/the-odds-are-racist/

Exactly ... funny, if not so sad, so very, very sad ...

The public servant office has been occupied by a forger, a person of the
same ilk as those committing identity theft ...

Regards,
JS

271. Re: May Day Dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 17:41:38 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmqci$l7e$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228198

On 5/2/2011 10:34 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Doggy was born in May, May 29,2003 or 2004, I wrote it down
> somewhere.One time that married Irish woman wayyyyyyyy over yonder
> across the big pond sent doggy a snail mail Happy Birthday card.
>
> May Day Dog.
> http://www.cattledog.com
> She is an Australian Blue Heeler Dog, born in Raymond,Missy Sippy.
> cuhulin
>

Have you edited up a birth certificate for her yet?

I'd be honored if you let me sign it! :-)

Regards,
JS

272. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 17:41:51 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15672-4DBEECDF-678@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228200

Pipe Bomb Found at Coronado Bridge
http://www.libertypost.org

I already knew Coronado Bridge is in San Diego before I clicked on that article.
cuhulin

273. Re: May Day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 17:49:34 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmqre$l7e$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228199
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.philosophy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/2/2011 10:46 AM, Freedom Man wrote:

> ...
> Ever wonder why independent thinkers sometimes refer to the American public
> as "Sheeple?"
>
>

It is the needle in the haystack ... it takes so much work and logic to
find the element in truth in the stack of lies as to keep morons out ...
indeed, the abundance of morons created is staggering!

Regards,
JS

274. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 17:50:53 UTC
From: me@privacy.net
Message-ID: <tmrtr619pk9a2n644l6v0mhh58q6ufhb62@4ax.com>
Source record: 228201
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,alt.california,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:

>I can only conclude Hawaii is the "Do It Yourself" (DIY) state!

You must logically also follow that the Dem and Rep
official lied and PERJURED themselves

275. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 17:54:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15671-4DBEEFDC-2233@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228205

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Map+of+Pakistan

Did they tote ''him'' to a Ship on the Arabian Sea and ''bury him'' at
Sea?
Where is the PROOF?
cuhulin

276. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 17:54:44 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmr54$l7e$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228202
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On 5/2/2011 10:50 AM, me@privacy.net wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>> I can only conclude Hawaii is the "Do It Yourself" (DIY) state!
>
> You must logically also follow that the Dem and Rep
> official lied and PERJURED themselves

Frankly, who knows what would persuade those into the fabrication of
false documents and mountains of lies ...

The mind balks that such outrageous crimes are possible, or would even
be attempted ... I guess you just can't underestimate the imbecilic
logic of morons ...

Regards,
JS

277. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 17:57:55 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DBEF0A3.6050606@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 228203
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.libertarian,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.economics,alt.politics.usa.constitution,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.socialism,alt.fan.neal.boortz,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

> On 5/2/2011 2:40 AM, Frank Ketchum wrote:
>> "Kommienezuspadt"<NoThanks@NoSpam.com> wrote in message
>> news:Phpvp.15705$Ot6.6702@newsfe15.iad...
>>> Bin Laden's testicles for proof?
>>>
>>
>> I imagine most people will be satisfied when shown the body.

The question is did they burn Bin Laden's copy of the Qu`ran that says
it's good to KILL Americans anywhere that Muslims can?

Would it maybe have been better to bury Bin Laden by tossing him and
some Pigs in a wood chipper and then feeding that to some other pigs?

Naturally we would need to fed the pigs the BinLaden burger with some
ex-lax so that the burial will be complete within 24 hours.

278. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 17:58:49 UTC
From: Gunner Asch <gunnerasch@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <a0str6957e9pttep0gu9hns2solnha682c@4ax.com>
Source record: 228204
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Mon, 2 May 2011 07:06:21 -0700 (PDT), "jfeng@my-deja.com"
<jfeng@my-deja.com> wrote:

>On May 2, 4:29ï¿½am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> Afterall he promised to pull all the troops out of Iraq and Afghanistan
>> within 6 months of his election to president!
>>
>> So again..he lied.
>>
>> Gunner
>Liar. He did fail to keep his pledge to get out of Iraq. However, he
>also promised to return the focus and the fight to Afghanistan and to
>get bin Laden. Looks like he made the right judgement and executed it
>successfully.

Failed to keep his pledge". Then you are admitting the sob lied.
Closed Gitmo? Another lie

There have been...a few others....some 2065 or more.

Just a few off the top....

Lies During Second Year

No signing statements to nullify or undermine congressional instructions
as enacted into law
Obama Lies to Keep Czars

No ï¿½bootsï¿½ on the ground Libya
Anyone that has worked with the AC-130 gunship can tell you, you need
spotters to let aircraft know where the targets are. Usually it is
Special Forces, Rangers etc trained for this mission. Itï¿½s CIA Agents in
Libya on the ground

Reform will also rein in the abuse and excess that nearly brought down
our financial system. It will finally bring transparency to the kinds of
complex, risky transactions that helped trigger the financial crisis.
Obama Lies About Financial Reform Bill

All Americans WILL BE were, ï¿½surprised, disappointed and angryï¿½ about
lockerbie bomber
Obama Memo

I will not rest until the BP Oil Spill stops
Obamaï¿½s Schedule

The health care bill will not increase the deficit by one dime.
Campaign and Presidency

If you like the health care plan you have you can keep it
TownHall

ï¿½Under our plan, no federal dollars will be used to fund abortions, and federal conscience laws will remain in place.ï¿½
U.S. Capitol, Washington, D.C., September 9, 2009.

ObamaCare Fee is not a new tax
Obama denies healthcare is a new tax on all Americans

We have run out of places in the US to drill for oil.
Obamaï¿½s oval office speech in June 2010

Now suddenly if you donï¿½t have your papers and you took your kid out to get ice cream, you can be harassed, thatï¿½s something that could potentially happen.
Arizona Immigration Law

The Health Care Package will pay for itself
Time

Republicans donï¿½t have a single idea thatï¿½s different from George Bushï¿½s ideas ï¿½ not one.

Hmm Immigration?

We shouldnï¿½t Mandate the purchase of health care
Democratic Debate Lies

I am immediately instituting PayGo ï¿½Pay as you goï¿½
Said during a speech immediately after the Trillion Dollar ï¿½Shovel Readyï¿½ bill.

I got the Message from Massachusetts
Daily Bail
Lies During First Year

I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will faithfully execute the Office of President of the United States, and will to the best of my ability, preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States.
Obama Inaugaration. 20 Jan 2009

Health Care deals will be covered on C-span
Obama Lies

As President I will recognize the Armenian Genocide
ABC

Recovery Act will save or create jobs
ABC News

Unemployment rate will be 8.5% without stimulus.
Obama Lies

No Earmarks in the $787 Billion Stimulus

CNN

I happen to be a proponent of a single payer universal health care plan
Specator.Org

We have launched a housing plan that will help responsible families facing the threat of foreclosure lower their monthly payments and refinance their mortgages.
Obama Lies

I am not somebody who promotes same-sex marriage.
NPR

Guantanamo bay to be closed within a year
Council on Foreign Relations.

Wonï¿½t Raise taxes on those making less than 250,000 per year.
Businessweek: Obama Agnostic on taxes
List of Tax Promise Violations
2008 Campaign Lies

Obama campaign would accept public funding
ABC

Didnï¿½t know Jeremiah Wright was Radical
Dreams of My Father ï¿½ A radical Socialist.

Would have the most transparent administration in History
Cato Institute

I have visited all 57 states.
Snopes

Iï¿½ll get rid of earmarks
Source: Any bill passed during presidency

When a bill lands on my Desk, The American people will have 5 days to review it before I sign it.
Campaign Speech

My father served in World War II.
The Videos and the Facts

Have troops out of Iraq by March 31, 2009
News Video

Seniors Making less than 50,000 will not have to pay taxes
YouTube

Would not vote for any bill supporting troop funding without a firm withdrawal commitment from the Bush Administration.
He has done nothing but continue the Bush admins strategy and to explain

how the ï¿½surges total failureï¿½ has now become his greatest achievement.

Present Votes Are Common In Illinois
NPR

I Won Michigan
Huffington Post

I won Nevada
The Nation

I donï¿½t Have Lobbyists
US News

My Campaign Had Nothing To Do With The 1984 Ad
Crooks and Liars

I Have Always Been Against Iraq
Washington Post

My Wife Didnï¿½t Mean What She Said About Pride In Country
CNN

Barack was never an ACORN trainer and never worked for ACORN in any
other capacity.
Obama Campaign Video

I Barely Know Rezko
Sun Times

My Church Is Like Any Other Christian Church
ABC News
--
"If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is eight,
it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)

279. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 18:03:22 UTC
From: carole <hubbca2003@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <1mCvp.3295$CS3.23@viwinnwfe01.internal.bigpond.com>
Source record: 228206
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

"D Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net> wrote in message news:ipmnkb$6sm$1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/2/11 11:49 , John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/2/2011 2:40 AM, Frank Ketchum wrote:
>>> "Kommienezuspadt"<NoThanks@NoSpam.com> wrote in message
>>> news:Phpvp.15705$Ot6.6702@newsfe15.iad...
>>>> Bin Laden's testicles for proof?
>>>>
>>>
>>> I imagine most people will be satisfied when shown the body.
>>>
>>> You can keep his nuts.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Well, yeah!
>>
>> Ever since the old wild west days, you can't collect the reward for
>> bringing in some one "Dead or Alive" until you produce the body!
>>
>> I mean, if the logic of that escapes you, I am left speechless!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>>
>
> The reward doesn't apply. This was a military operation.
>
> The SEALs don't get to collect a reward. This was their job.

Yes but did anybody really catch ben laden or is it just another bit of propaganda, which we get so much of?

The fact that it was "breaking news" and stopped regular TV programming for 1-1/2 hours on all commercial
channels shows it was propaganda.
Because what bearing does bin laden's death have on anything, or even on reality?

He wasn't responsible for 911 - he said so himself.
The hijackers all used stolen id's.
The twin towers were brought down by controlled demolition.
Iraq never had WMD.

So we are supposed to take osama's death seriouisly because ....?
For all intents and purposes he never was captured or killed and there never was a body.

All we have here is a cover story with "story" being the operative word.

--
Carole
www.conspiracee.com
"The members of skeptical societies often operate from a faith, a faith known today as scientism, which is the
worship of science, believing its methods to be the only valid path to knowledge." --Wade Frazier, The Medical
Racket. http://www.ahealedplanet.net/medicine.htm

280. How do you know obama is lying???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 18:06:18 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmrqr$l7e$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228207
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

ANSWER:

There is an Adobe Illustrator install disk laying on his desk ...

Regards,
JS

281. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 18:10:27 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipms2j$l7e$10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228208
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/2/2011 11:03 AM, carole wrote:

> ...
> Yes but did anybody really catch ben laden or is it just another bit of propaganda, which we get so much of?
>
> The fact that it was "breaking news" and stopped regular TV programming for 1-1/2 hours on all commercial
> channels shows it was propaganda.
> Because what bearing does bin laden's death have on anything, or even on reality?
>
> He wasn't responsible for 911 - he said so himself.
> The hijackers all used stolen id's.
> The twin towers were brought down by controlled demolition.
> Iraq never had WMD.
>
> So we are supposed to take osama's death seriouisly because ....?
> For all intents and purposes he never was captured or killed and there never was a body.
> All we have here is a cover story with "story" being the operative word.
>
>

Well said ...

Regards,
JS

282. Re: How do you know obama is lying???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 18:13:28 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DBEF448.6050008@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 228209
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

>
> ANSWER:

AAAAAAAAaaaaaaaaaaHHHHHHHHHeeemmmmmmmmmmm!

Obama said it.

283. Re: Optical Character Recognition is only used to forge scans of legal documents!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 18:20:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmsl5$l7e$11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228211
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns,rec.sport.golf

On 5/2/2011 11:05 AM, Yoorghis@Jurgis.net wrote:

> ...
>> I don't pay much attention to him these days, but I'd wager he's not
>> happy.
>
> You sure as shit paid attention when you got caught
> making all those late-night hang-up phone calls, didn't
> ya, Nickkkkers?
>
> CLICK ! ! !

I am of german ancestry (among others in the heinz 57 mix), you can call me "german", with no offense taken. I also have english, irish, indian, etc. ancestors in my background. I freely admit it and discuss my ancestry with others, at appropriate times, when the subject comes up ...

You can call me any and all of the races/genetics I am composed of, no offense taken -- I can hardly change it ... if negros want to be ashamed of their heritage, that is their problem ... NOT MINE.

Are you proposing I suddenly be struck so dumb that I can't recognize negros? Yeah, I guess you would like that ...

ROFLOL

Anyone angered to taking offense at their race are ashamed of their race! -- barack obama AKA barry soetoro SHOULD HAVE SAID THAT!

Regards,
JS

284. Re: There is nothing but proof hiding under every rock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 18:20:24 UTC
From: "\"<<<__ Bøb __>>>\"" <b.wingard@charter.net>
Message-ID: <XACvp.18690$vT3.18430@newsfe06.iad>
Source record: 228210
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns,rec.sport.golf

[First of all, the birth certificate that the White House released lists Obama's birth as August 4, 1961. It also lists Barack Hussein Obama as his father. No big deal, right? At the time of Obama's birth, it also shows that his father is aged 25 years old, and that Obama's father was born in " Kenya , East Africa ". This wouldn't seem like anything of concern, except the fact that Kenya did not even exist until 1963, two whole years after Obama's birth, and 27 years after his father's birth. How could have Obama's father have been born in a country that did not yet exist? Up and until Kenya was formed in 1963, it was known as the "British East Africa Protectorate". But, this is not the only thing that I found that just does not jive.

The other item that I looked into was the hospital that Obama was born in. On the birth certificate released by the White House, the listed place of birth is "Kapi'olani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital". This cannot be, because the hospital(s) in question in 1961 were called "KauiKeolani Children's Hospital" and "Kapi'olani Maternity Home", respectively. The name did not change to Kapi'olani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital until 1978, when these two hospitals merged. How can this particular name of the hospital be on a birth certificate dated 1961 if this name had not yet been applied to it until 1978?]

285. Re: May Day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 18:22:08 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmsog$l7e$12@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/2/2011 11:16 AM, Yoorghis@Jurgis.net wrote:

> ...
> You sure as shit paid attention when you got caught
> making all those late-night hang-up phone calls, didn't
> ya, Nickkkkers?
>
> CLICK ! ! !

Yeah, I agree.

On a scale of 1 to 10, osama didn't even register in importance ...

Regards,
JS

286. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releasesLong Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 18:25:52 UTC
From: Ray Keller <"LEFTARD TROLLS ARE DESPERATE">
Message-ID: <4dbef730$0$835$9a6e19ea@news.newshosting.com>
Source record: 228213
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"dxAce" wrote in message news:4DBE97CC.8B356F05@milestones.com...

Joe from Kokomo wrote:

> > On Sun, 1 May 2011 21:07:27 -0700 (PDT), "jfeng@my-deja.com"
> > <jfeng@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> >> Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
> >> bin Laden's plans to attack the US.
> >>
> >> Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.
> >>
> >> Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
> >> Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.
> >>
> >> Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
> >> Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
> >> Accomplished" banner?
>
> On 5/2/2011 12:11 AM, Tall King wrote:
>
> > If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive scaring the shit
> > out of Obama around every corner.
>
> Huh? "If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive..."
>
> So are you saying Bush, who couldn't get bin Laden for *SEVEN* years and
> has been out of office for 2 1/2 years gets credit for killing bin Laden
> yesterday?

Well, Boy Barry can't be out there all the time stating that EVERYTHING is
Bush's fault and that he inherited all the problems and then suddenly claim
total credit for something that Bush set in motion many years ago.

Obama is a putz and probably needs help wiping his own ass.
==========
He has enough leftards to lick it for him

287. Re: There is nothing but proof hiding under every rock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 18:25:55 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmsvj$l7e$13@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228214
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns,rec.sport.golf

On 5/2/2011 11:20 AM, "<<<__ Bï¿½b __>>>" wrote:
>
> [First of all, the birth certificate that the White House released lists
> Obama's birth as August 4, 1961. It also lists Barack Hussein Obama as
> his father. No big deal, right? At the time of Obama's birth, it also
> shows that his father is aged 25 years old, and that Obama's father was
> born in " Kenya , East Africa ". This wouldn't seem like anything of
> concern, except the fact that Kenya did not even exist until 1963, two
> whole years after Obama's birth, and 27 years after his father's birth.
> How could have Obama's father have been born in a country that did not
> yet exist? Up and until Kenya was formed in 1963, it was known as the
> "British East Africa Protectorate". But, this is not the only thing that
> I found that just does not jive.
>
> The other item that I looked into was the hospital that Obama was born
> in. On the birth certificate released by the White House, the listed
> place of birth is "Kapi'olani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital". This
> cannot be, because the hospital(s) in question in 1961 were called
> "KauiKeolani Children's Hospital" and "Kapi'olani Maternity Home",
> respectively. The name did not change to Kapi'olani Maternity &
> Gynecological Hospital until 1978, when these two hospitals merged. How
> can this particular name of the hospital be on a birth certificate dated
> 1961 if this name had not yet been applied to it until 1978?]
>

You are preaching to the choir.

The enormous errors in the forged document simply boggles ones' mind ...

Regards,
JS

288. Re: Obama releases fake birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 18:29:36 UTC
From: Ray Keller <"LEFTARD TROLLS ARE DESPERATE">
Message-ID: <4dbef810$0$845$9a6e19ea@news.newshosting.com>
Source record: 228215
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

"Rocky" wrote in message
news:3YadnQUoufK_BCPQnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@giganews.com...

"Krypsis" <krypsis@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
news:4dbcc2b9$0$22471$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> On 1/05/2011 11:55 AM, John Smith wrote:
>> On 4/30/2011 7:53 PM, Strabo wrote:
>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Translation: you can't stand the fact that an African American is in
>>>> the
>>>> White House. How would being born in Hawaii make Obama unnaturally
>>>> born?
>>>> Seems pretty natural to me.
>>>>
>>>
>>> His father was a foreign national, a liar and a Communist.
>>> Therefore, Barack Obama, alias Barry Soetoro, does not pass
>>> constitutional muster.
>>>
>>> For this and a other reasons, your favorite "African American"
>>> is not president of the US.
>>>
>>
>> I guess government mafias don't need legal presidents ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> You've got a legal president! His name is Obama. He has proven his
> legality ... twice.
>
> Krypsis

And Clinton did not have sex with that woman Monica. Yeah right.

Rocky

289. Re: Obama releases fake birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 18:29:59 UTC
From: Ray Keller <"LEFTARD TROLLS ARE DESPERATE">
Message-ID: <4dbef827$0$851$9a6e19ea@news.newshosting.com>
Source record: 228216
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

"BDK" wrote in message news:MPG.28287cb4a9e48e5b98a150@news.giganews.com...

In article <4dbea2df$1@news.x-privat.org>, aka@maskedandanonymous.com.ï¿œ
nvalï¿œd says...
>
> On 05/02/2011 01:44 PM, Rocky wrote:
>
> > And Clinton did not have sex with that woman Monica.
>
> How is that any of your business?

And what does it have to do with insane birther claims about Obama?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

290. Re: There is nothing but proof hiding under every rock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 18:36:19 UTC
From: Rocky <wookiee@att.net>
Message-ID: <NrSdnaJe7KM-ZCPQnZ2dnUVZ_tmdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228217
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:ipmsvj$l7e$13@dont-email.me...
> On 5/2/2011 11:20 AM, "<<<__ B&#65533;b __>>>" wrote:
>>
>> [First of all, the birth certificate that the White House released lists
>> Obama's birth as August 4, 1961. It also lists Barack Hussein Obama as
>> his father. No big deal, right? At the time of Obama's birth, it also
>> shows that his father is aged 25 years old, and that Obama's father was
>> born in " Kenya , East Africa ". This wouldn't seem like anything of
>> concern, except the fact that Kenya did not even exist until 1963, two
>> whole years after Obama's birth, and 27 years after his father's birth.
>> How could have Obama's father have been born in a country that did not
>> yet exist? Up and until Kenya was formed in 1963, it was known as the
>> "British East Africa Protectorate". But, this is not the only thing that
>> I found that just does not jive.
>>
>> The other item that I looked into was the hospital that Obama was born
>> in. On the birth certificate released by the White House, the listed
>> place of birth is "Kapi'olani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital". This
>> cannot be, because the hospital(s) in question in 1961 were called
>> "KauiKeolani Children's Hospital" and "Kapi'olani Maternity Home",
>> respectively. The name did not change to Kapi'olani Maternity &
>> Gynecological Hospital until 1978, when these two hospitals merged. How
>> can this particular name of the hospital be on a birth certificate dated
>> 1961 if this name had not yet been applied to it until 1978?]
>>
>
> You are preaching to the choir.
>
> The enormous errors in the forged document simply boggles ones' mind ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

You mean you are not laughing? I am.

Rocky

291. Re: Obama releases fake birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 18:37:48 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipmtls$l7e$14@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228218
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On 5/2/2011 11:29 AM, Ray Keller wrote:
>
>
> "BDK" wrote in message news:MPG.28287cb4a9e48e5b98a150@news.giganews.com...
>
> In article <4dbea2df$1@news.x-privat.org>, aka@maskedandanonymous.com.ï¿œ
> nvalï¿œd says...
>>
>> On 05/02/2011 01:44 PM, Rocky wrote:
>>
>> > And Clinton did not have sex with that woman Monica.
>>
>> How is that any of your business?
>
> And what does it have to do with insane birther claims about Obama?
>

klintons claims are as valid as obamas' claim that he has a valid birth
certificate ... think next time, before asking ...

Regards,
JS

292. For those who wish to QSL IRRS - Italy - Slovakia:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 18:45:32 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <89b14059-8565-477e-9632-758f104c40ab@i39g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228219

I wrote a reception report e-mail to IRRS Italy for their 31 meter band broadcast via Slovakia. Here is a "pre-emptive" response.

Dear Bruce Jensen:

Thank You for your email. We do acknowledge hereby your reception report, and we may forward it to the programmers that you mention for verification. They may reply to you with a QSL or verification letter. If this is not acceptable, please let us now by return email within the next 24 hours.

Due to budget constraints, we are unable to reply to all QSL requests or send information, stickers, pennants, schedules or gadgets by regular mail. However we do confirm by QSL when:

1) we run special broadcasts that are announced over our mailing list of on the air, and you request a QSL by sending us your full mailing address.

2) we receive detailed comments on the content of our programming (good or bad, not just on the technical side). This is extremely useful to our program producers and, help us all in improving the quality and content of our broadcasts.

We will most likely reply only by email, when:

1) the reception report is from areas outside the intended target of the program producer;

3) the information contained in the reception report is not corresponding to the station log;

3) the reception report contains only technical data, and no comments or suggestion on the content that you have heard. IRRS-Shortwave, NEXUS-IBA, EGR, IPAR and NEXUS-IBA member stations greatly appreciate comments (whether good or bad) on the *content* that you hear on our stations. We have access to several monitoring stations around the world that provide real time data on the audio delivered on our target, and for this reason old-time technical reception reports, DX reports or QSL request are not very useful to our technical staff. Mere technical reception reports are not very interesting to those who support our organization, use our media channels and keep our station alive.

What we all wish to receive and correspond about with you are comments and suggestions on the CONTENT of each program!

We do however take special efforts in answering to your questions and confirming your reports by email when you write to reports@nexus.org with comments or suggestions on our programming and content, and not just technical reception conditions in your area.

Please notice that many stations have stopped issuing QSL cards completely, in an effort to contain costs and continue to provide current services on Shortwave. QSL management is very expensive to deal with for our limited budget as well, and we are not currently staffed to reply to any and all letters and emails that we receive every day.

Please check our web site at http://www.nexus.org/ for more information on our broadcasts and our association. Our latest schedules are available at:

http:/www.nexus.org/NEXUS-IBA/Schedules/

Our broadcasts can also be received 24/24 and 7/7 over the Internet at:

http://mp3.nexus.org/

You may also subscribe to our low-noise mailing list to receive information on schedules or test broadcasts at:

http://mailserv.nexus.org/mailman/listinfo/irrs-listeners

If you wish contribute to NEXUS-IBA projects please visit us at: http://www.nexus.org/FundRaising/

I am sorry if this is causing any inconvenience, but I hope you will continueto listen to our programs on Shortwave and on the Internet.

Best regards,

Ron Norton

293. Re: Obama releases fake birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 19:04:18 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15671-4DBF0032-2284@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228220

It is a HOAX. HO-AX.
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307115

Osama Bin Laden done DEAD.He done DEAD years and years ago.HOAXs R Them.
cuhulin

294. Re: For those who wish to QSL IRRS - Italy - Slovakia:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 19:13:07 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <839410a0-12c5-4481-ae59-f2c42d7e52b5@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228221

On May 2, 11:45 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I wrote a reception report e-mail to IRRS Italy for their 31 meter
> band broadcast via Slovakia. Here is a "pre-emptive" response.
>
> Dear Bruce Jensen:
>
> Thank You for your email. We do acknowledge hereby your reception
> report, and we may forward it to the programmers that you mention for
> verification. They may reply to you with a QSL or verification letter.
> If this is not acceptable, please let us now by return email within
> the next 24 hours.
>
> Due to budget constraints, we are unable to reply to all QSL requests
> or send information, stickers, pennants, schedules or gadgets by
> regular mail. However we do confirm by QSL when:
>
> 1) we run special broadcasts that are announced over our mailing list
> of on the air, and you request a QSL by sending us your full mailing
> address.
>
> 2) we receive detailed comments on the content of our programming
> (good or bad, not just on the technical side). This is extremely
> useful to our program producers and, help us all in improving the
> quality and content of our broadcasts.
>
> We will most likely reply only by email, when:
>
> 1) the reception report is from areas outside the intended target of
> the program producer;
>
> 3) the information contained in the reception report is not
> corresponding to the station log;
>
> 3) the reception report contains only technical data, and no comments
> or suggestion on the content that you have heard. IRRS-Shortwave,
> NEXUS-IBA, EGR, IPAR and NEXUS-IBA member stations greatly appreciate
> comments (whether good or bad) on the *content* that you hear on our
> stations. We have access to several monitoring stations around the
> world that provide real time data on the audio delivered on our
> target, and for this reason old-time technical reception reports, DX
> reports or QSL request are not very useful to our technical staff.
> Mere technical reception reports are not very interesting to those who
> support our organization, use our media channels and keep our station
> alive.

>
> What we all wish to receive and correspond about with you are comments
> and suggestions on the CONTENT of each program!
>
> We do however take special efforts in answering to your questions and
> confirming your reports by email when you write to repo...@nexus.org
> with comments or suggestions on our programming and content, and not
> just technical reception conditions in your area.
>
> Please notice that many stations have stopped issuing QSL cards
> completely, in an effort to contain costs and continue to provide
> current services on Shortwave. QSL management is very expensive to
> deal with for our limited budget as well, and we are not currently
> staffed to reply to any and all letters and emails that we receive
> every day.
>
> Please check our web site athttp://www.nexus.org/for more
> information on our broadcasts and our association. Our latest
> schedules are available at:
>
> http:/www.nexus.org/NEXUS-IBA/Schedules/
>
> Our broadcasts can also be received 24/24 and 7/7 over the Internet
> at:
>
> http://mp3.nexus.org/
>
> You may also subscribe to our low-noise mailing list to receive
> information on schedules or test broadcasts at:
>
> http://mailserv.nexus.org/mailman/listinfo/irrs-listeners
>
> If you wish contribute to NEXUS-IBA projects please visit us
at:http://www.nexus.org/FundRaising/
>
> I am sorry if this is causing any inconvenience, but I hope you will
> continueto listen to our programs on Shortwave and on the Internet.
>
> Best regards,
>
> Ron Norton

I followed up with a response, and here is what I heard back just now:

"Dear Bruce,

we sent the previous email as a verification, where stated:

"We do acknowledge hereby your reception report ..."

As for the TX, the site is always confidential due to our internal
policies,

it can change from time to time, and we cannot confirm its location.

Thanks for your understanding, and take care,

73s,

Alfredo"

295. Re: Obama releases fake birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 19:25:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15672-4DBF0530-700@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228222

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=YEARS+OF+DECEIT:+US+OPENLY+ACCEPTS+BIN+LADEN+LONG+DEAD+Window+Into+Palestine

That is the way it is, Osama Bin Laden has been Dead for Years and
Years.
cuhulin

296. Re: Storm Conditions SN 57

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 19:45:29 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a0def28d-7d90-452c-84b9-d3adf23acb05@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228223

On May 2, 10:32 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> http://www.solarham.com/

Thanks. Those A and K indices are hanging in there pretty high.
'Splains the noisy bands. If the SFI can rise soon, though, might get
some really interesting conditions on the waning side.

297. Re: There is nothing but proof hiding under every rock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 19:48:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipn1qu$ak1$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228224
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns,rec.sport.golf

On 5/2/2011 11:20 AM, "<<<__ Bï¿½b __>>>" wrote:
>
> [First of all, the birth certificate that the White House released lists
> Obama's birth as August 4, 1961. It also lists Barack Hussein Obama as
> his father. No big deal, right? At the time of Obama's birth, it also
> shows that his father is aged 25 years old, and that Obama's father was
> born in " Kenya , East Africa ". This wouldn't seem like anything of
> concern, except the fact that Kenya did not even exist until 1963, two
> whole years after Obama's birth, and 27 years after his father's birth.
> How could have Obama's father have been born in a country that did not
> yet exist? Up and until Kenya was formed in 1963, it was known as the
> "British East Africa Protectorate". But, this is not the only thing that
> I found that just does not jive.
>
> The other item that I looked into was the hospital that Obama was born
> in. On the birth certificate released by the White House, the listed
> place of birth is "Kapi'olani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital". This
> cannot be, because the hospital(s) in question in 1961 were called
> "KauiKeolani Children's Hospital" and "Kapi'olani Maternity Home",
> respectively. The name did not change to Kapi'olani Maternity &
> Gynecological Hospital until 1978, when these two hospitals merged. How
> can this particular name of the hospital be on a birth certificate dated
> 1961 if this name had not yet been applied to it until 1978?]
>

I think this woman is making an element of the argument which you are
proposing:

http://sherriequestioningall.blogspot.com/2011/04/here-is-problem-with-obamas-birth.html

Regards,
JS

298. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 20:07:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15671-4DBF0EF9-2293@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228226

Timing Is Everything by Devvy Kidd
http://www.rense.com/general93/timing.htm
cuhulin

299. Re: Is this a QSL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 20:09:57 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ecc9da5b-92c4-4bc8-95a6-e88723b5adbe@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228225

On May 2, 10:30 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/02/2011 08:05 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > OK, so the other night I heard the Australia VOLMET out of Brisbane
> > Airport, Queensland. Made a nice recording, and shot it to the
> > Brisbane Airport. Seeming to know nothing about it, the Airport
> > people kindly referred me to this Peter Flint at Aviation services,
> > who provided the following response:
>
> >> Hi Bruce
>
> >> That is indeed an Australian VOLMET broadcast, which I haven't heard before so thanks
for providing the url.
>
> >> Regards
>
> >> Peter
>
> > _____
> >> Peter Flint
> >> Aviation Weather Services
> >> Australian Bureau of Meteorology | Weather and Ocean Services Branch
> >> GPO Box 1289 Melbourne VIC 3001
> >> T 03 9669 4586 | F 03 9669 4695
> >> p.fl...@bom.gov.au |www.bom.gov.au
>
> >> Aviation Weather Services
> >> Certified to AS/NZS ISO 9001:2000 Quality Management Standard
>
> >> -----Original Message-----
>
> >> Reference ID = 'REF2011-119-31'
> >> Name = 'Bruce Jensen'
> >> State/Territory = 'overseas'
> >> email address = (blank)
> >> Phone Number = none
> >> Fri Apr 29 15:53:18 GMT 2011
>
> >> Feedback regarding to: Aviation Services
>

> >> Bruce Jensen wrote:-
>
> >> Good day - I am both a meteorologist by training and a shortwave radio enthusiast, and I live in California, USA.>As a result, I occasionally enjoy listening to VOLMETs from various parts of the world for aviation weather>reports. The other evening, I was fortunate to hear on my radio the Australian VOLMET at Brisbane Airport, with>a pleasant-sounding female voice (apparently computer-generated) with atmospheric details for various airport>cities. I recorded a segment of the broadcast.
>
> >> My question is, Is there a person who I could contact that could provide verification, either by letter or e-mail,>that in fact I heard the Australia VOLMET? In radio parlance, this would be a request for a QSL or station>verification. I would be happy to provide the recording and return postage, if by mail. In fact, the recording may>be found on the Web at this audio link:
>
> >>http://soundcloud.com/bpnjensen/australia-volmet-4-28-11-0730z
>
> >> Any answer is greatly appreciated. Thank you!
>
> >> Bruce Jensen
>
> > I sent Peter a message thanking him for his kind reponse.
>
> > So anyway, what do folks think? Is this a bona fide verification? Or
> > should I pursue it further?
>
> > Thanks,
> > Bruce
>
> Unless you're trying to win an award, I'd say it's a verie.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Nope, no award for this one - just hoping for a second opinion -
thanks!

300. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 20:20:03 UTC
From: bknight@conramp.net
Message-ID: <5a4ur6pjt8ta1s65hboqvgo53msosi6ro4@4ax.com>
Source record: 228227
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On Tue, 3 May 2011 04:03:22 +1000, "carole" <hubbca2003@yahoo.com.au>
wrote:

>Yes but did anybody really catch ben laden or is it just another bit of propaganda, which
we get so much of?
>
>The fact that it was "breaking news" and stopped regular TV programming for 1-1/2 hours on
all commercial
>channels shows it was propaganda.
Right. Anything on the news id propaganda.
>Because what bearing does bin laden's death have on anything, or even on reality?
You've been asleep for ten years?
>He wasn't responsible for 911 - he said so himself.
Well then. That settles that.
>The hijackers all used stolen id's.
So?
>The twin towers were brought down by controlled demolition.
Groan.
>Iraq never had WMD.
Hey! You hit one.
>
>So we are supposed to take osama's death seriouisly because ....?
>For all intents and purposes he never was captured or killed and there never was a body.
>All we have here is a cover story with "story" being the operative word.

All you have is an empty head.

___'
\o
|
/ \
.
ï¿½Someone likes every shotï¿½
bk

301. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 20:48:22 UTC
From: "jfeng@my-deja.com" <jfeng@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <a91d446d-e299-4093-a371-cd4b6b7e8279@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228228
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 2, 10:58 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Mon, 2 May 2011 07:06:21 -0700 (PDT), "jf...@my-deja.com"
>
> <jf...@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >On May 2, 4:29 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> Afterall he promised to pull all the troops out of Iraq and Afghanistan
> >> within 6 months of his election to president!
>
> >> So again..he lied.
>
> >> Gunner
> >Liar. He did fail to keep his pledge to get out of Iraq. However, he
> >also promised to return the focus and the fight to Afghanistan and to
> >get bin Laden. Looks like he made the right judgement and executed it
> >successfully.
>
> Failed to keep his pledge". Then you are admitting the sob lied.
> Closed Gitmo? Another lie
>
> There have been...a few others....some 2065 or more.
Thos are all irrelevant to the fact that you are a liar and
deliberatelty and dishonestly misrepresnted his position on
Afghanistan.

302. Bad Week

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 20:52:52 UTC
From: Bob S <kerrykrisMYHAT@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ipn5j6$g6b$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228229

It's been a bad, bad week for Smitty, The Parrot (Fisk) and the other conspiracy nut cakes. Hopefully they'll come up with another conspiracy soon so the entertainment doesn't end.
RIP birthers.....
--
For email reply remove MYHAT

303. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 21:02:34 UTC
From: bknight@conramp.net
Message-ID: <5q6ur6pkqcdvfqr3g44qefdkcp226hue85@4ax.com>
Source record: 228230
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

hOn Mon, 02 May 2011 10:58:49 -0700, Gunner Asch
<gunnerasch@gmail.com> wrote:
You could've proved that you were FOS in a lot shorter post Gunner.
LOL

___,
\o
|
/ \
.
ï¿½Someone likes every shotï¿½
bk

304. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releasesLong Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 21:02:44 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d7f6d12f-d4fd-4a6c-949a-c16e0e4ae611@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228231
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 2, 11:25 am, "Ray Keller" <LEFTARD TROLLS ARE DESPERATE> wrote:
> "dxAce" wrote in messagenews:4DBE97CC.8B356F05@milestones.com...
> Joe from Kokomo wrote:
> > > On Sun, 1 May 2011 21:07:27 -0700 (PDT), "jf...@my-deja.com"
> > > <jf...@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > >> Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
> > >> bin Laden's plans to attack the US.
>
> > >> Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.
>
> > >> Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
> > >> Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.
>
> > >> Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
> > >> Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
> > >> Accomplished" banner?
>
> > On 5/2/2011 12:11 AM, Tall King wrote:
>
> > > If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive scaring the shit
> > > out of Obama around every corner.
>
> > Huh? "If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive..."
>
> > So are you saying Bush, who couldn't get bin Laden for *SEVEN* years and
> > has been out of office for 2 1/2 years gets credit for killing bin Laden
> > yesterday?
>
> Well, Boy Barry can't be out there all the time stating that EVERYTHING is
> Bush's fault and that he inherited all the problems and then suddenly claim
> total credit for something that Bush set in motion many years ago.
>
> Obama is a putz and probably needs help wiping his own ass.
> ==========

- He has enough leftards to lick it for him

The Cult of 'The-Obama' Butt Lickers
-smack- -praise-be-the-obama- -smack-
.

305. PRAY : For the Safety and Well Being of Prez Obama and All of the Obama Family

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 21:08:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <03c0cbcb-de35-408d-aa47-b6ded6ae240b@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

George Freund brought up the added piece of 'Info'
that NATO {USA} Prez Obama ordered the Air Strikes
on the Gaddafi Compounds in Libya : Which Killed
one of Gaddafi's Sons and Three [3] of Gaddafi's
young Grandchildren.
http://english.aljazeera.net/news/africa/2011/05/20115110482047680.html
http://www.cphpost.dk/news/international/89-international/51544-danish-jets-kill-gaddafis-son-report.html

NOTE : Gaddafi's Three [3] 'Innocent' Grandchildren
were all under the Age of 12.
.
NATO would not act without the OK from the USA :
The Go-Ahead [Do It!] from Prez Obama
-prez-obama-had-to-say-'do-it'-!-
.
? Has Prez Obama Ordered the 'Liquidate' of Gaddafi !
-a-decapitation-strike- -cutting-off-the-head-of-the-snake-
http://www.cphpost.dk/news/international/89-international/51544-danish-jets-kill-gaddafis-son-report.html
.
? Has Prez Obama Authorized the 'Assassination' of Gaddafi !
-cutting-off-the-head-of-the-snake- -a-decapitation-strike-
http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/01/gaddafi-son-killing
.
PRAY : For the Safety and Well Being of Prez Obama
and All of the Obama Family
-cause- With the Killing of Osama bin-Laden
-plus- The Deaths of the Gaddafi Family Members
-result- Islamic/Muslim Family Blood Feud
-family-blood-feuds-that's-islamic-thinking-
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Feud#Blood_feuds.2Fvendetta

I Fear with these two Actions Prez Obama has made
the Obama Family; Washington DC; NYC and the USA
a Greater Target then before : Especially with the Killing
of the young Grandchildren of Gaddafi.

God {Allah} Bless Prez Obama and His Family
and Protect Them All - Amen ~ RHF
.
.
On May 2, 5:45 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:

> WWCR on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC 'The Power Hour"
> with Joyce Riley & George Freund
> .
> @ 1300 UTC Joyce and George will be Talking about
> the Killing of Osama bin-Laden
> ...and... What it may mean for the USA
> -one-word- "Retaliation" against the USA and US Allies...
> .
> -who- Osama bin-Ladenhttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Osama_bin_Laden
> .
> George Freund from Canada is on 'The Power Hour"
> once a week with host Joyce Rileyhttp://www.thepowerhour.com/
> .
> THE POWER HOUR Radio Showhttp://www.thepowerhour.com/
> -host-by- Joyce Riley and she is Talking...http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/powerHour/
> .
> It's Time for "The Power Hour" @ 1200~1500 UTC
> -via- WWCR Shortwave Radio on 7490 & 13,845
kHzhttp://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> .
> WWCR Shortwave Radio in Nashville, TN -USA-http://www.wwcr.com/
> .
> -or- To Listen "Live" to GCN On-Line
> -via- the Internet [WWW]http://www.gcnlive.com/mediaPlayers/livePlayer.php
> .
> Sign-Up for "The Power Hour" eMail Blast ! {New
Letter}http://visitor.constantcontact.com/manage/optin?v=001qgI9GeY_mqSSw39g...
> .
> George Freund -host-of- The "Conspiracy
Cafe"http://www.conspiracy-cafe.org/http://thatradio.podhoster.com/index.php?sid=1746
> Note : There is a new The "Conspiracy Cafe" Show
> on the Net every Thursday 8:00 PM :
> Live-On -via- "That Channel .Com"http://www.thatchannel.com/conspiracycafe
> .
> yes - i heard it on the shortwave radio ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA-
> .
> .

306. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 21:10:09 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <hc7ur698ophqeg30u5jcjcnu1mnfcaiuj2@4ax.com>
Source record: 228234
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

Seals don't work for rewards.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

307. Re: OT: the so-called "bouncing bombs"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 21:12:01 UTC
From: "D@LS" <helmsman@wowway.com/>
Message-ID: <sf7ur6pdvnaeorqtl3q075btnmrif8rcvi@4ax.com>
Source record: 228233

On Mon, 02 May 2011 05:53:48 -0700, dave <dave@dave.dave> wrote:

>http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/uknews/7625031/Ray-Grayston-one-of-last-British-Dambusters-dies.html
>
>1:22PM BST 23 Apr 2010
>
>Flying Officer Ray Grayston, 92, passed away at his home in Woodhall
>Spa, Lincs,.
>
>He was a former member of the famed 617 Squadron, which flew from RAF
>Scampton near Lincoln during the Second World War.
>
>He was the flight engineer of pilot Les Knight's Lancaster bomber which
>successfully breached the Eder Dam.
>
>The raids, immortalised in the 1955 film The Dambusters, were led by
>Wing Commander Guy Gibson and used the so-called "bouncing bombs"
>designed by Barnes Wallis.

Only 1 left.

308. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 21:15:17 UTC
From: Neoconis_Ignoramus <bellamacina@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <0e7dec1d-d84f-411d-b7ba-638da0a44021@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228235
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 2, 9:49 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/2/2011 2:40 AM, Frank Ketchum wrote:
>
> > "Kommienezuspadt"<NoTha...@NoSpam.com> wrote in message
> >news:Phpvp.15705$Ot6.6702@newsfe15.iad...
> >> Bin Laden's testicles for proof?
>
> > I imagine most people will be satisfied when shown the body.
>
> > You can keep his nuts.
>
> Well, yeah!
>
> Ever since the old wild west days, you can't collect the reward for
> bringing in some one "Dead or Alive" until you produce the body!
>
> I mean, if the logic of that escapes you, I am left speechless!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Fucking retard, they don't give these types of rewards to military
personnel.

God damn are you cons stupid.

309. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 21:17:56 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <8bb92112-ccb8-48f1-8fe7-36f98b2dbf63@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228236
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On May 2, 9:54 am, D Peter Maus <dpeterm...@att.net> wrote:
> On 5/2/11 11:49 , John Smith wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/2/2011 2:40 AM, Frank Ketchum wrote:
> >> "Kommienezuspadt"<NoTha...@NoSpam.com> wrote in message
> >>news:Phpvp.15705$Ot6.6702@newsfe15.iad...
> >>> Bin Laden's testicles for proof?
>
> >> I imagine most people will be satisfied when shown the body.
>
> >> You can keep his nuts.
>
> > Well, yeah!
>
> > Ever since the old wild west days, you can't collect the reward for
> > bringing in some one "Dead or Alive" until you produce the body!
>
> > I mean, if the logic of that escapes you, I am left speechless!
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> The reward doesn't apply. This was a military operation.

- The SEALs don't get to collect a reward. This was their job.

And The 'Seal' Team Does Not Want Their Identifies
Know Either -cause- There Are Many Islam-O-Fascist
Terrorists Out There Looking For Revenge For The
Assassins of Their 'Jihad' Leader. Pray for the Safety
of Them and Their Families - Amen ~ RHF
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jihad
.
PRAY : For the Safety and Well Being of Prez Obama
and All of the Obama Family
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/71999979fb0a2131

-
-

310. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 21:21:32 UTC
From: george <gblack@hnpl.net>
Message-ID: <5fbe6290-989d-4b0b-8c86-941de8c3eeca@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228237
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic

On May 3, 9:17 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:

> - The SEALs don't get to collect a reward. This was their job.
>
That team will never have to buy another beer...

311. A Bad Week For Who...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 21:30:30 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <197b9d6e-2d49-4db7-aa3c-4ac8cc51b77f@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228238

```
On May 2, 1:52 pm, Bob S <kerrykrisMY...@gmail.com> wrote:
> It's been a bad, bad week for Smitty, The Parrot (Fisk) and the other
> conspiracy nut cakes. Hopefully they'll come up with another conspiracy
> soon so the entertainment doesn't end.
> RIP birthers.....
> --
> For email reply remove MYHAT

Did Someone Say : 'Polly Want A Cracker' ?
.
PRAY : For the Safety and Well Being of Prez Obama
and All of the Obama Family
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/71999979fb0a2131
.
So Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-details-details-details- -the-devil-is-in-the-detains...-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/14c8bda3cdd9e12a

-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
-why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
-on-the-original-micro-film-record-
-and-who-made-them...-who...-
Again : Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-

-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-and- Creates Yet More Doubt !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/4825b17fcd0065ad
-doubt-reasonable-doubt-&-un-reasonable-doubt-
.
.
```

312. Re: Bad Week

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 21:33:06 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2184ae49-7239-4f8a-8eb3-122c74eb532f@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228239

On May 2, 1:52 pm, Bob S <kerrykrisMY...@gmail.com> wrote:
> It's been a bad, bad week for Smitty, The Parrot (Fisk) and the other
> conspiracy nut cakes. Hopefully they'll come up with another conspiracy
> soon so the entertainment doesn't end.
> RIP birthers.....
> --
> For email reply remove MYHAT

Some people just cannot tell when they've been hit in the face with a
pie ;-)

313. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 21:43:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBF2578-1982@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228242

Onliest Reward I ever got when I was in the Army was the Crabs from a
woman in Vietnam.
crabby daddy cuhulin

314. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 21:46:53 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <ipn8oddta1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228240
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On 5/2/11 16:15 , Neoconis_Ignoramus wrote:
> On May 2, 9:49 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/2/2011 2:40 AM, Frank Ketchum wrote:
>>
>>> "Kommienezuspadt"<NoTha...@NoSpam.com> wrote in message
>>> news:Phpvp.15705$Ot6.6702@newsfe15.iad...
>>>> Bin Laden's testicles for proof?
>>
>>> I imagine most people will be satisfied when shown the body.
>>
>>> You can keep his nuts.
>>
>> Well, yeah!
>>
>> Ever since the old wild west days, you can't collect the reward for
>> bringing in some one "Dead or Alive" until you produce the body!
>>
>> I mean, if the logic of that escapes you, I am left speechless!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Fucking retard, they don't give these types of rewards to military
> personnel.
>
> God damn are you cons stupid.

He speaks for no one but himself.
```

315. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 21:47:19 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <ipn8p7dta2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228241
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic

On 5/2/11 16:21 , george wrote:
> On May 3, 9:17 am, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>> - The SEALs don't get to collect a reward. This was their job.
>>
> That team will never have to buy another beer...

True dat.

316. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 22:24:34 UTC
From: Gunner Asch <gunnerasch@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <nnbur650i2smh4l0bjtrlppisg18ehpku9@4ax.com>
Source record: 228243
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Mon, 2 May 2011 13:48:22 -0700 (PDT), "jfeng@my-deja.com"
<jfeng@my-deja.com> wrote:

>>
>> Failed to keep his pledge". ï¿½Then you are admitting the sob lied.
>> Closed Gitmo? ï¿½Another lie
>>
>> There have been...a few others....some 2065 or more.
>Thos are all irrelevant to the fact that you are a liar and
>deliberatelty and dishonestly misrepresnted his position on
>Afghanistan.

Actually..no..its not irrelevant, except perhaps to a Leftwinger.

But then..yall are mentally ill..so its not surprising

Shrug

Gunner

--
"If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is eight,
it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)

317. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 22:25:21 UTC
From: Gunner Asch <gunnerasch@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qpbur6573jb98uim9bu7iguleq38pimkuo@4ax.com>
Source record: 228244
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 02 May 2011 16:02:34 -0500, bknight@conramp.net wrote:

>hOn Mon, 02 May 2011 10:58:49 -0700, Gunner Asch
><gunnerasch@gmail.com> wrote:
>You could've proved that you were FOS in a lot shorter post Gunner.
>LOL
>
>
> ___,
> \o
> |
> / \
> .
>ï¿½Someone likes every shotï¿½
>bk

Indeed. Just follow your example?

Ill try indeed.

Gunner

--
"If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is eight,
it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)

318. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 23:38:01 UTC
From: "jfeng@my-deja.com" <jfeng@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <4e6f7336-ff9a-46d6-b0dd-7fcdb82db49b@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228245
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 2, 3:24 pm, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Mon, 2 May 2011 13:48:22 -0700 (PDT), "jf...@my-deja.com"
>
> <jf...@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> >> Failed to keep his pledge". Then you are admitting the sob lied.
> >> Closed Gitmo? Another lie
>
> >> There have been...a few others....some 2065 or more.
> >Thos are all irrelevant to the fact that you are a liar and
> >deliberatelty and dishonestly misrepresnted his position on
> >Afghanistan.
>
> Actually..no..its not irrelevant, except perhaps to a Leftwinger.
>
> But then..yall are mentally ill..so its not surprising
>
> Shrug
>
> Gunner
If preferring the truth to intentional falsehoods brands me a
Leftwinger, the I plead guilty. Obviously, you like being a liear.

319. Re: Optical Character Recognition is only used to forge scans of le...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-02 23:53:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15671-4DBF43F8-2523@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228246

Photoshop.
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307132

PHONY! FRAUD! FAKE! FORGERY!

Top Shrink says democraps and libturds are CLINICALLY INSANE!
cuhulin

320. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 00:06:47 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipngun$9d8$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228247
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/2/2011 3:25 PM, Gunner Asch wrote:

>> ...
>> ___,
>> \o
>> |
>> / \
>> .
>> ï¿½Someone likes every shotï¿½
>> bk
>
> Indeed. Just follow your example?
>
> Ill try indeed.
>
> Gunner
>

Guess there is no reason to stay in Afganistan ... boys will probably be
home tonight, right?

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

321. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 00:10:10 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipnh52$9d8$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228248
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/2/2011 2:15 PM, Neoconis_Ignoramus wrote:

> ...
> Fucking retard, they don't give these types of rewards to military
> personnel.
>
> God damn are you cons stupid.

Correct, with no body, it is just like it never happened ... still, no reason to stay in Afghanistan ...

Regards,
JS

322. Re: Optical Character Recognition is only used to forge scans of legal documents!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 00:18:34 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipnhkq$9d8$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228249
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns,rec.sport.golf

On 5/2/2011 4:11 PM, Yoorghis@Jurgis.net wrote:
> On Mon, 02 May 2011 11:20:21 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>> You can call me any and all of the races/genetics I am composed of, no
>> offense taken -- I can hardly change it ... if negros want to be ashamed
>> of their heritage, that is their problem ... NOT MINE.
>
> You mean you find lynching, slavery, beating, intimidation,
> segregation, disfranchisement and abuse of all kinds---should be
> considered a "heritage" to embrace?
>
> How conservative of you to say.
>
> The Truth about the Dixiecrats
> What they were about.
>

Every race on this planet has been enslaved, at one time or another.
Indeed, england had "indentured servitude." Some on the first boats
over here where in indentured servitude -- this was the equivalent of
slavery and many of those were white.

Everyone who owned slaves are dead ... get over it ... krist, I think
all the slaves are dead too ... nothing left to talk about except
ancient history there ...

Regards,
JS

323. Re: Obama gets bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 00:21:13 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipnhpp$9d8$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228250
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics.republicans,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/2/2011 4:27 PM, Tall King wrote:

>> ...
>> Hardly, douchebag. Obama is the one who ordered it.
>>
>> You're not used to seeing leadership since you're a rightard, so you
>> are forgiven!
>
> I will forward your post to Bob Brock.

That's the problem, right there, in a nutshell. Everyone wants to be a chief and no one wants to be an indian!

We need public servants. We don't have any "public leader" offices ... they take orders from the people ...

Regards,
JS

324. Re: May Day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 00:26:18 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipni3a$9d8$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228251
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.philosophy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/2/2011 5:11 PM, Mike Painter wrote:
> Rocky wrote:
> <Snip>
>>
>> Ever wonder why a nine layer document edited with Adobe Illustrated
>> would be termed a Birth Certificate?
>>
>> Obama's NEW Birth Certificate proven to be fake hours after release
>> http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread694949/pg1
>>
>
> ever wonder why a government with unlimited assets would use nine layers to
> produce something on a computer when they could simply modify a form from
> somebody else's original?
>
>

You first have to take the file in and translate the text into a text
file with OCR software.

There are graphics editors which would even allow the editing on a pixel
by pixel method(s.) However, although harder to catch the forgery,
experts would have no problem ... but, the state of the art gets better
and better ...

Regards,
JS

325. Re: White House releases Obama's long form birth certificate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 00:31:09 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <mtiur6dupnvhhqv22igf83g0l21a66gb12@4ax.com>
Source record: 228252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Sat, 30 Apr 2011 00:30:39 +1000, Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
wrote:

>>> I have many problems with Trump, and would not vote for him if he were
>>> the only choice available. What I am saying is that he has probably
>>> accomplished more in his life than any of us on Usenet, and certainly
>>> more than our current president.
>>
>> What has Trump done that is certainly more accomplished than becoming
>> President of the United States of America?
>>
>>
>These people actually believe that running a business (along with
>repeated bankruptcies and the ripping off of little old ladies) is
>actually more noteworthy than becoming president of the USA. Some people
>seem to have a rather distorted set of values.

Now, there is an example that most anybody can agree of the president
being competent, doing his job well to accomplish one particular task.
The whole process wasn't an easy one. The president's part of that
process was critical to its success.

We can and do disagree about policy, but we have now seen an example
of high competence. Rush Limbaugh praised the president highly for
half of an article. Good for him.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

326. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 00:34:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipniid$9d8$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/2/2011 2:30 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 2, 1:52 pm, Bob S<kerrykrisMY...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> It's been a bad, bad week for Smitty, The Parrot (Fisk) and the other
>> conspiracy nut cakes. Hopefully they'll come up with another conspiracy
>> soon so the entertainment doesn't end.
>> RIP birthers.....
>> --
>> For email reply remove MYHAT
>
> Did Someone Say : 'Polly Want A Cracker' ?
> .
> PRAY : For the Safety and Well Being of Prez Obama
> and All of the Obama Family
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/71999979fb0a2131
> .
> So Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
> -details-details-details- -the-devil-is-in-the-detains...-
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/14c8bda3cdd9e12a
>
> -read-
> Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
> -&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
> -why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
> -on-the-original-micro-film-record-
> -and-who-made-them...-who...-
> Again : Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
> 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-
>
> -read-
> Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
> -and- Creates Yet More Doubt !
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/4825b17fcd0065ad
> -doubt-reasonable-doubt-&-un-reasonable-doubt-
> .
> .

Since these people gave up, turned in and quit before the discussion
even gets started, they think everyone else to do the same!

Quitters quit ... good night fellers!

Best adults chat after the kids are in bed anyway ...

Regards,
JS

327. Re: Optical Character Recognition is only used to forge scans of le...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 00:39:45 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipnisi$9cu$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/2/2011 4:53 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Photoshop.
> http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307132
>
> PHONY! FRAUD! FAKE! FORGERY!
>
> Top Shrink says democraps and libturds are CLINICALLY INSANE!
> cuhulin
>

Stupid shrink!

The shrinks think they have to tell us that when we sit here, day in and day out, when watching the fools deny undenighable proof, truths, bad forgeries, massive coverups, disappearing bodies, etc.

I mean, come on!

Regards,
JS

328. Re: Optical Character Recognition is only used to forge scans of legal documents!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 00:50:10 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <d7kur6195m55o7o8gqs7nmbce18eh185bu@4ax.com>
Source record: 228255
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns,rec.sport.golf

On Mon, 02 May 2011 17:18:34 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>Everyone who owned slaves are dead ... get over it ... krist, I think
>all the slaves are dead too ... nothing left to talk about except
>ancient history there ...

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Modern_slavery#Current_situation

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

329. Re: Hawaii Gov promised proof of Obama birth - Now says forget it.!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 00:53:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipnjmq$9d8$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228256
Cross-posted to: misc.legal,alt.california,alt.politics.immigration,talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/2/2011 5:34 PM, George Plimpton wrote:

> ...
>
>> Editing the document in OCR is a crime,
>
> You have no evidence that was done.
> ...

You are insane.

The text can only exist as a separate layer in the fraudulent document
if OCR was ran upon the document ...

That kinda lunacy gets ya' a plonk ... do more research next time so you
are not talking about something which is obvious to so many ... makes
you stand out like the complete moron you are ...

... plonk ...

Regards,
JS

330. Re: Optical Character Recognition is only used to forge scans of legal documents!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 00:56:55 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipnjso$9d8$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228257
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns,rec.sport.golf

On 5/2/2011 5:50 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Mon, 02 May 2011 17:18:34 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>> Everyone who owned slaves are dead ... get over it ... krist, I think
>> all the slaves are dead too ... nothing left to talk about except
>> ancient history there ...
>
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Modern_slavery#Current_situation
>
>

I thought it was obvious, I was speaking of slavery in America ...

Of course, other nations may still have to work this out. Indeed,
indentured servitude is still legal in some parts of Africa, isn't it?

Regards,
JS

331. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 00:58:23 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2ac628f4-a85d-4efa-b554-31442c75e415@v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228258
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 2, 12:27 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 1, 1:11 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 1, 2:03 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On Apr 30, 8:39 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
> > > > an illegitimate POTUS.
>
> > > That is legally incorrect. The citizenship status of either parent is
> > > completely immaterial to his natural born citizenship, This is long
> > > settled law and is not even remotely open to dispute.
>
> > > > Since his father was still married to a wife in
> > > > kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA.
>
> > > That of course has nothing to do with anything and in fact would mean
> > > that Obama would be a natural born citizenship even if he had been
> > > born in Kenya. If Ann Dunham were not legally married at the time,
> > > Obama could have been born anywhere BUT in the US and still be a
> > > natural born citizen since the 5-year minimum residency requirement
> > > after age 14 (Dunham was only 18 at the time) would not longer be
> > > applicable.
>
> > > You just kicked your OWN ass, WrongTurnJoe!!
> > > BWAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHA!!
>
> > Your getting hair lipped Limprod!
>
> > Born in Kenya means not Natural born on US soil.
>
> Actually it doesn't mean that at all, you stupid oaf.
>
> He could have been born in Kenya and still be a natural born citizen.
> Legal FACT that you are too stupid to grok.
>
> > Naturalization
> > citizenship can not be used for POTUS.

>
> So you're saying that would invalidate McCain, whose "citizenship at
> birth" was extended to him by act of Congress when he was two years
> old?- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Thats exacly what I'm saying a constitutional ammendment would have to
cover such naturalization and it has not been done thus far!

http://www.apfn.org/apfn/13th.htm

The Original 13th Article of Amendment

What was , by law, to be included in the re-publication (a special
edition) of the Virginia Civil Code? The Virginia legislature had
already agreed that all "Acts" were to go into effect on the same day
- the day that the "Act" to re-publish the Civil Code was enacted.
Therefore, the 13th Amendment's official date of ratification would be
the date of re-publication of the Virginia Civil Code: March 12, 1819.
http://web.archive.org/web/20011112092428/http://www.ptialaska.net/~swampy/amend_13/amendm
ent.html

Subject: The Original 13th Article of Amendment -- Press Release
Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2000 20:37:31 -0700
From: Bob Hardison bobhard@nidlink.com
Organization: Barefoot's World
To: APFN@apfn.org

For Your Info -- We have just placed a new domain on the web --
We believe if it is brought before the public, significant changes can
be made...

The Original Thirteenth Article of Amendment To
The Constitution For The United States

The Original 13th Amendment
This Article of Amendment, ratified in 1819 and which just
"disappeared" in 1876, added an enforceable strict penalty, i.e.,
inability to hold office and loss of citizenship, for violations of
the already existing constitutional prohibition in Article 1, Section
9, Clause 8 on titles of nobility and other conflicts of citizenship
interest, such as accepting emoluments of any kind for services or
favors rendered or to be rendered, and is particularly applicable
today in the 21st Century as government is increasingly FOR SALE to
the highest bidder, as foreign and multinational corporations and
individuals compete to line the pockets of politicians and political
parties to accommodate and purchase protection or privilege, i.e.
honors, for their special interests.

==========

13AMDMT.ZIP 24272 11-12-93 Original 13th Amendment would have outlawed
Bar membership (atty's) and other Titles of
Nobility. May have actually been ratified.
http://www.state-citizen.org/files/generalresecisson/000contents.txt

The History and Ratification of the Original 13th amendment
http://www.original13thamendment.com/

==========

SECTION II CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD

In January, 1810, Senator Reed proposed the "Title of
Nobility" Amendment (History of Congress, Proceedings of the
Senate, p. 529-530). On April 27, 1810, the Senate voted to pass
this 13th Amendment by a vote of 26 to 1; the House resolved in
the affirmative 87 to 3; and the resolve was sent to the States
for ratification: By Dec. 10, 1812, twelve of the required
thirteen States had ratified as follows: Maryland, Dec. 25,
1810; Kentucky, Jan. 31, 1811; Ohio, Jan. 31, 1811; Delaware, Feb.
2, 1811; Pennsylvania, Feb. 6, 1811; New Jersey, Feb. 13, 1811;
Vermont, Oct. 24, 1811; Tennessee, Nov. 21, 1811; Georgia, Dec.
13, 1811; North Carolina, Dec. 23, 1811; Massachusetts, Feb. 27,
1812;New Hampshire, Dec. 10, 1812. Before a thirteenth State could
ratify, the War of 1812 broke out and interupted this very rapid
move for ratification.

No record has been found that the State of Connecticut ever acted
to either accept or reject this original 13th Amendment. Yet, it
was published in three separate editions of "The Public Statute
Laws of the State of Connecticut" as a part of the U.S.
Constitution in 1821, 1824 and 1835. Then, without record or
explanation, it mysteriously disappeared from subsequent editions
prior to the Civil War between the states. However, printing by a
legislature is prima facie evidence of ratification, and it has
been found to have been printed as part of the Constitution in
this and many other states until around the Civil War period -
when it mysteriously disappeared from subsequent printings. It
was found to have been printed by the legislature of this State in
the following: 1821 - The Public Statute Laws of the State of
Connecticut, as revised and enacted by the General Assembly in
May, 1821 pg. 19 1824 - The Public Statute Laws of the State of
Connecticut, as revised and enacted by the General Assembly in
May, 1824 pg.18-19 1835 - The Public Statute Laws of the State of
Connecticut, compiled in obedience to a resolve of the General
Assembly passed May, 1835, to which is prefixed the Declaration of
Independence & Constitution of the United States and the State of
Connecticut, published by the authority of the State of
Connecticut. The Marginal note in all three publications reads:
"Citizenship forfeited by the acceptance, from a foreign power, of
any title of nobility, office or emolument of any kind, &c." The
prima facie evidence of ratification of this Amendment is
overwhelming. Since the creditors of this bankruptcy are foreign

powers and this "unacountable committee of lawyers'" spoken of by
Robert H. Bork have accepted and retained the "office of trustee"
for these creditors and foreign powers, their Citizenship has
been forfeited by this acceptance.
http://www.calneva.com/money/lawsuit3.htm

==========

"If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or
retain any title of nobility or honour, or shall without the consent
of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office, or
emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince, or
foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United
States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or
profit under them, or either of them."

The TONA Research Committee seeks your assistance in placing this
vital information
before the people...

--
Have a Good Day :=)

----- If the People will Lead, the leaders will follow.-----

"The Constitution for the United States, Its Sources and Its
Application",
A Reference Work with Index, Landmark Court Cases, and A Short History
-
http://www.barefootsworld.net/constit1.html

The Missing 13th Amendment
"TITLES OF NOBILITY" AND "HONOR"
http://www.w3f.com/patriots/13/13th-01.html

The Original Thirteenth Amendment:
Titles of Nobility and Honour,
An Essay
The Original 13th Amendment Chapter 1

Below is proof of the de facto government's actions. Below is the
original thirteenth amendment as it appears in a manual printed in
1840 for American citizens- -

MEANING of the 13th AMENDMENT

The "missing" 13th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States
reads
as follows:

"If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive,
or retain any title of nobility or honour, or shall without the
consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension,
office, or emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king,

prince, or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen
of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office
of trust or profit under them, or either of them."

At the first reading, the meaning of this 13th Amendment (also called
the
"title of nobility" Amendment) seems obscure, unimportant. The
references to
"nobility", "honour", "emperor", "king", and "prince" lead us to
dismiss
this amendment as a petty post-revolution act of spite directed
against the
British monarchy. But in our modern world of Lady Di and Prince
Charles,
anti-royalist sentiments seem so archaic and quaint, that the
Amendment can
be ignored. Not so. Consider some evidence of its historical
significance:

* First, "titles of nobility" were prohibited in both Article VI of
the
Articles of Confederation (1777) and in Article I, Sections 9 and 10
of
the Constitution of the United States (1787);
* Second, although already prohibited by the Constitution, an
additional
"title of nobility" amendment was proposed in 1789, again in 1810, and
according to Dodge, finally ratified in 1819.

Clearly, the founding fathers saw such a serious threat in "titles of
nobility" and "honors" that anyone receiving them would forfeit their
citizenship. Since the government prohibited "titles of nobility"
several
times over four decades, and went through the amending process (even
though
"titles of nobility" were already prohibited by the Constitution),
it's
obvious that the Amendment carried much more significance for our
founding
fathers than is readily apparent today.
http://www.tomdavisbooks.com/library/13thamend.html#mean13

==========

From the State of Maine
Constitution Printed in 1825

http://www.uhuh.com/constitution/1825const.htm

The Missing 13th Amendment
Copy (yes, another one)
http://web.archive.org/web/20030812105145/http://loveforamerica.freeyellow.com/13th.html

Analysis of the Real 13th Amendment
This amendment was meant to keep citizens of the United States from accepting titles of nobility from foreign powers. The best example of this would be honorary "knighthoods" given by the rulers of England to people around the world who have performed a service for humanity.
http://web.archive.org/web/20021120054855/http://www.vaix.net/~captainnemo/plan/

==========

Esquire

A title applied by attorneys to themselves, to officers of the court, to members of the bar, and others of ill repute. No one in the United States is entitled to it by law, and therefore, it confers, no distinction in law.

In England, it is a title next above that of a gentleman, and below a knight. Camden records four kinds of esquires, particularly regarded by the heralds:

1. The eldest sons of knights and their eldest sons, in perpetual succession.

2. The eldest sons of the younger sons of peers, and their eldest sons in like perpetual succession.

3. Esquires created by the king's letters patent, or other investiture, and their eldest sons.

4. Esquires by virtue of their office, as justices of the peace, and others who bear any office of trust under the crown.

NOBILITY. An order of men in several countries to whom privileges are granted at the expense of the rest of the people.

The constitution of the United States provides that no state shall " grant any title of nobility; and no person can become a citizen of the United States until he has renounced all titles of nobility." The Federalist, No. 84; 2 Story, Laws U. S. 851.

There is not in the constitution today any general prohibition against any citizen whomsoever, whether in public or private life, accepting any foreign title of nobility. An amendment of the constitution in this respect has been recommended by congress, but it has not been ratified by a sufficient number of states to make it a part of the constitution. Rawle on the Const. 120; Story, Const. _1346.

The Court, in "Horst v. Moses", 48 Alabama 129, 142 (1872) gave the following description of a title of nobility:

To confer a title of nobility, is to nominate to an order of persons to whom privileges are granted at the expense of the rest of the people. It is not necessarily hereditary, and the objection to it arises more from the privileges supposed to be attached than to the otherwise empty title or order. These components are forbidden

separately in the terms "privilege", "honor", and "emoluments", as they are collectively in the term "title of nobility". The prohibition is not affected by any consideration paid or rendered for the grant. The prohibition of titles of nobility estops the claim of eminent domain through fictions of law. Eminent domain is the legal euphemism for expropriation, and unreasonable seizure given sanction by the targets of this amendment.

Here is the original 13th amendment to the United States Constitution that was ratified in 1819. Then attorneys caused it to disappear in order to establish their claim of superiority with Titles of Nobility over the people. The total ramifications of this earlier 13th Amendment being unlawfully removed are very serious.

Article 13, ratified in 1819, reads as follows:

If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive or retain and title of nobility or honour, or shall, without the consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office or emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them.
The following states and/or territories have published the Titles of Nobility 13th Amendment in their official publications as a ratified amendment to the Constitution of the United States in the following years:

Colorado ------- 1861, 1862, 1864, 1865, 1866, 1967, 1868
Connecticut --- 1821, 1824, 1835, 1839
Dakota ---------- 1862, 1863, 1867
Florida ---------- 1823, 1825, 1838
Georgia --------- 1819, 1822, 1837, 1846
Illinois ------------ 1823, 1825, 1827, 1833, 1839, dis. 1845
Indiana ----------- 1824, 1831, 1838
Iowa --------------- 1839, 1842, 1843
Kansas ----------- 1855, 1861, 1862, 1868
Kentucky -------- 1822
Louisiana -------- 1825, 1838/1838 [two separate publications]
Maine ------------- 1825, 1831
Massachusetts -1823
Michigan -------- 1827, 1833
Mississippi ------ 1823, 1824, 1839
Missouri ---------- 1825, 1835, 1840, 1841, 1845*
Nebraska --------- 1855, 1856, 1857, 1858, 1859, 1860, 1861, 1862, 1873
North Carolina - 1819, 1828
Northwestern Territories --- 1833
Ohio -------------- 1819, 1824, 1831, 1833, 1835, 1848
Pennsylvania --- 1818, 1824, 1831
Rhode Island ---- 1822

Virginia ---------- 1819 (ratification by 13th State)
Wyoming -------- 1869, 1876

Totals: 24 States in 78 separate official government publications.
OUTLAWS LEGAL SERVICE

Secrets of the Federal Reserve and the London Connection
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/reserve.htm

In 1829, the following note appears on p. 23, Vol. 1 of the New York Revised Statutes: "In the edition of the Laws of the U.S. before referred to, there is an amendment printed as article 13, prohibiting citizens from accepting titles of nobility or honor, or presents, offices, &c. from foreign nations. But, by a message of the president of the United States of the 4th of February, 1818, in answer to a resolution of the house of representatives, it appears that this amendment had been ratified only by 12 states, and therefore had not been adopted. See Vol. IV of the printed papers of the 1st session of the 15th congress, No. 76." In 1854, a similar note appeared in the Oregon Statutes. Both notes refer to the Laws of the United States, 1st vol. p. 73 (or 74).
http://w3f.com/patriots/13/13th-13.html

==========

THE CAMBRIAN PESHER
In the library basement, in the Special Documents Department of our local university, you will find the Statutes at Large for the State of Kansas for many of the years since its statehood, just prior to the Civil War. In the back of these volumes you will find the official text of the U.S. Constitution under which the State is governed. In that text you will find the 13th Amendment to the Constitution. Here is how the text reads:
"If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive or retain any title of nobility or honor, or shall, without the consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office or emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince, or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them."
Researchers have found the above "original" 13th Amendment in the old law books of all the States which were admitted prior to the Civil War. And a debate currently rages over the significance of this Amendment. (I obtained a notarized copy of the above, just in case said volumes "disappeared" from the library shelves, an anomaly known to occur when documents are found which embarrass the current government.)
The Concise Guide to Today's ... - Google Books

==========
Knighthood
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/knighthood.htm

THE UNITED STATES IS STILL A BRITISH COLONY
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/bcolony.htm

The Lawyers Secret Oath
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm

Judge gets orders from England
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/orders-from-england.htm

The 545 People Responsible For All of America's Woes
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/woes.htm

Was the 14th Amendment Ratified?
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/14th.htm

Get That Gold Fringe Off My Flag
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/flag.htm

War Powers Act 1933
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/1933.htm

The Oath of Office
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/oathofoffice.htm

Barefoot's World -
http://www.barefootsworld.net
Barefoot's World Links-
http://www.barefootsworld.net - 800+ Links
Barefoot's Survival Page -
http://www.barefootsworld.net

"We shall not cease from exploration, and the end of all our exploring
will
be to arrive where we started and know the place for the first time."
- T.S. Eliot

Love and Peace, Barefoot Windwalker
W. 6350 Holland Road, Post Falls, Id 83854, 208-773-9893
==========

Clinton Mentally Ill
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/clintonmental.htm

ILLUMINATI LINKED TO WHY WACO,UT, LINKED TO CLINTON!
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/wacopg.htm

Who Waco! Probe Clinton's Ties To Riady to Rapoport to Why
Waco!
~~~CONNECTING THE DOTS! - IT AIN'T OVER TILL IT'S OVER!~~~
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/ties.htm

Clinton CFR Speech - Sept. 14, 1998 Sep 15 1789 The U.S. Foreign
Affairs Dept.
becomes the U.S. State Department Clinton CFR Speech - Sept. 14,
1998 - Follow
the Money!!!
~~~

http://www.apfn.org/apfn/clintoncfr.htm

The Mena Coverup
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/mena.htm

LONDON OBSERVER: CLINTON, Terrorist And Murderer...
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/london.htm

Arkansas Supreme Court Committee Sues Clinton
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/arkansas.htm

Dynamite Affidavit Exposes Vast Clinton Administration Cover-Up
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/exposes.htm

... conglomerate run by the Riadys, helped introduce since-
disgraced
fund-raiser John Huang to the Clinton administration, which
later endorsed
Rapoport's $2 billion Chinese real-estate project. Rapoport ...
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/updatenwo.htm

EENIE MENA MINIE MOE...
... the latest "Whitewash" of the Mena Arkansas drug scandal
that is at
the heart of the Clinton scandals--provides a golden opportunity
for all
of us to preserve our happy memories of this time ...
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/eenie.htm

"The Law"!
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/apfncont.htm

Did an organized conspiracy do away with the original 13th Amendment?
http://www.civil-liberties.com/13/

A Note from APFN Webmaster:[I personally, found a law book for the
State of Missouri with the Orginal 13th Amendment dated 1825] Missouri
became a state in 1821

~~~~~~ Please Forward ~~~~~~~

Without Justice there is Just_Us!

American Patriot Friends Network

"...a network of net workers..."

Subscribe to apfn-1

Powered by groups.yahoo.com

APFN SITEMAP

APFN Message board

APFN Contents Page
~~~~~~

APFN Home Page

Email: apfn@apfn.org

Webmaster

Last updated 08/09/2010

332. Re: Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 01:02:06 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <81eea5e7-79f9-40c2-87c8-d91ae385cb87@o7g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228259

On May 2, 10:37 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Been DEAD for years and years Osama Bin Laden is taking some of the HEAT
> off of the PHONY FRAUD FAKE Birth Certificate FORGERY,,,, IS what it IS.
> Can't y'all cats See That?
> y'all Cats.Huh, Meowwwwwww,,,,,,
> cuhulin

The sheeple are eating that shit up!

This Lady announced his death way back when and she was killed in
2007!

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UnychOXj9Tg&feature=player_embedded

333. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 01:06:31 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipnken$9d8$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228260
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On 5/2/2011 5:58 PM, joeturn wrote:

John McCain is a very special case. He certainly qualifies under the spirit of the Constitution if not the exact letter ...:

The Panama Canal Zone was a US Territory:
"United States territory is any extent of region under the jurisdiction of the federal government of the United States,[1] including all waters[2] (around islands or continental tracts). The United States has traditionally proclaimed the sovereign rights for exploring, exploiting, conserving, and managing its territory.[3] This extent of territory is all the area belonging to, and under the dominion of, the United States federal government (which includes tracts lying at a distance from the country) for administrative and other purposes.[1] The United States total territory includes a subset of political divisions."

McCains birth and early childhood, plus a fraction of family background:
"John Sidney McCain III was born on August 29, 1936, at Coco Solo Naval Air Station in the Panama Canal Zone, the second of three children born to naval officer John S. McCain Jr. and his wife, Roberta. At the time of his birth, the McCain family was stationed in the Panama Canal Zone, under American control.

Both McCain's father and paternal grandfather, John Sidney McCain, Sr., were four-star admirals and his father rose to command all the U.S. naval forces in the Pacific.

McCain spent his childhood and adolescent years moving between naval bases in America and abroad. He attended Episcopal high School, a private preparatory boarding school in Alexandria, Virginia, graduating in 1954.

Following in the footsteps of his father and grandfather, McCain graduated (fifth from the bottom of his class) from the Naval Academy at Annapolis in 1958. He also graduated from flight school in 1960.

With the outbreak of the Vietnam War, McCain volunteered for combat duty and began flying carrier-based attack planes on low-altitude bombing runs against the North Vietnamese. He escaped serious injury on July 29, 1967, when his A-4 Skyhawk plane was accidentally shot by a missile on board the USS Forestal, causing explosions and fires that killed 134.

On October 26, 1967, during his 23rd air mission, McCain's plane was shot down during a bombing run over the North Vietnamese capital of Hanoi. He broke both arms and one leg during the ensuing crash. McCain was moved to Hoa Loa prison, nicknamed the "Hanoi Hilton," on December

9, 1969."

Any comparison between McCain and obama is the equivalent of comparing swine shit to roses ...

Regards,
JS

334. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 01:14:38 UTC
From: wy <wy_@myself.com>
Message-ID: <11cb8b0d-264e-4b49-9550-1125b6cae9e1@26g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228261
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On May 2, 9:06 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/2/2011 5:58 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> John McCain is a very special case. He certainly qualifies under the
> spirit of the Constitution if not the exact letter ...:
>
> The Panama Canal Zone was a US Territory:
> "United States territory is any extent of region under the jurisdiction
> of the federal government of the United States,[1] including all
> waters[2] (around islands or continental tracts). The United States has
> traditionally proclaimed the sovereign rights for exploring, exploiting,
> conserving, and managing its territory.[3] This extent of territory is
> all the area belonging to, and under the dominion of, the United States
> federal government (which includes tracts lying at a distance from the
> country) for administrative and other purposes.[1] The United States
> total territory includes a subset of political divisions."
>
> McCains birth and early childhood, plus a fraction of family background:
> "John Sidney McCain III was born on August 29, 1936, at Coco Solo Naval
> Air Station in the Panama Canal Zone, the second of three children born
> to naval officer John S. McCain Jr. and his wife, Roberta. At the time
> of his birth, the McCain family was stationed in the Panama Canal Zone,
> under American control.
>
> Both McCain's father and paternal grandfather, John Sidney McCain, Sr.,
> were four-star admirals and his father rose to command all the U.S.
> naval forces in the Pacific.
>
> McCain spent his childhood and adolescent years moving between naval
> bases in America and abroad. He attended Episcopal high School, a
> private preparatory boarding school in Alexandria, Virginia, graduating
> in 1954.
>
> Following in the footsteps of his father and grandfather, McCain
> graduated (fifth from the bottom of his class) from the Naval Academy at
> Annapolis in 1958. He also graduated from flight school in 1960.
>
> With the outbreak of the Vietnam War, McCain volunteered for combat duty
> and began flying carrier-based attack planes on low-altitude bombing
> runs against the North Vietnamese. He escaped serious injury on July 29,
> 1967, when his A-4 Skyhawk plane was accidentally shot by a missile on
> board the USS Forestal, causing explosions and fires that killed 134.
>

> On October 26, 1967, during his 23rd air mission, McCain's plane was
> shot down during a bombing run over the North Vietnamese capital of
> Hanoi. He broke both arms and one leg during the ensuing crash. McCain
> was moved to Hoa Loa prison, nicknamed the "Hanoi Hilton," on December
> 9, 1969."
>
> Any comparison between McCain and obama is the equivalent of comparing
> swine shit to roses ...

You mean McCain is the swine shit? Funny that the Senate had to pass
a resolution in April 2008 confirming McCain was a citizen. If he
already was one, why would they have to confirm it? I smell swine
shit spelled M-c-C-a-i-n .

335. Re: Still no birth certificate ... no dead body ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 01:44:06 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipnml6$9d8$11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228262
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.conspiracy,alt.atheism,sci.skeptic,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.rec.golf

On 5/2/2011 6:20 PM, Budikka666 wrote:

>> ...
>> Murders will continue their crimes...
>
> Bin Laden's execution has changed nothing. This war ain't over by a
> long long shot.
>
> I notice, like the pathetic little empty-headed, vacuous piece of
> Internet trash that you are, you didn't even pretend that you could
> respond to what I said. Take your ad hom and shove it up your
> cowardly, dumb, ass you pathetic piece of shit. I'm done with you.
> You can go now. I'll let you know when I can be bothered to soil my
> shoes kicking your juvenile little ass again.
>
> Budikka

While you are at it, I'll take one of those also ...

... plonk ...

Regards,
JS

336. Re: get kelp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 01:57:00 UTC
From: kb9rqz <mark@kb9rqz.com>
Message-ID: <9a1dc81b-f954-41b3-bf6a-30eae0c9d561@j25g2000vbr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Apr 24, 3:19 pm, kb9rqz <m...@kb9rqz.com> wrote:
> On Apr 10, 6:05 pm, kb9rqz <m...@kb9rqz.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On Apr 9, 3:29 pm, "Roger Wiseman" <rwisema...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > "m II" <C...@in.the.hat> wrote in messagenews:4d9a883c$1@news.x-privat.org...
> > > > -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> > > > Hash: '- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -
```

337. Re: Optical Character Recognition is only used to forge scans of legal documents!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 02:19:58 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipnooe$1er$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228264
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns,rec.sport.golf

On 5/2/2011 7:11 PM, Yoorghis@Jurgis.net wrote:

> ...
> You sure as shit paid attention when you got caught
> making all those late-night hang-up phone calls, didn't
> ya, Nickkkkers?
>
> CLICK ! ! !

Brother, if you find anyone guilty of slavery, since it was abolished in the early 1800's, you need to take the slave owner to court immediately!

Well, if they are alive ... but frankly, I think you are shit-for-brains and everyone is dead now ...

Post evidence if you have it!

Regards,
JS

338. 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 02:27:41 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipnp6u$1er$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228265
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.true-crime

http://www.mercurynews.com/breaking-news/ci_17976305?nclick_check=1

Regards,
JS

339. Re: get kelp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 02:31:27 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27839-4DBF68FF-701@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228266

This boat will come in handy for something..... Wet Dreams ~
Trouble the Water, TCM channel.
cuhulin

340. Re: Still no birth certificate ... no dead body ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 02:42:06 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBF6B7E-2170@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228269

No more corpus delicti ~ Flattop - old Dick Tracy comic books.
cuhulin

341. Re: Hawaii Gov promised proof of Obama birth - Now says forget it.!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 02:47:29 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipnqc1$1er$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228267
Cross-posted to: misc.legal,alt.california,sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/2/2011 7:36 PM, Delma T. Ivey wrote:

> ...
> Shut it, pal.
>
> http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2011/04/29/expert-says-obamas-birth-certificate-legit/
>
>
> You don't know squat about scanners or OCR or any other part of it. The
> guy quoted in the Fox story does.
>
> You're an idiot.

As has been demonstrated, OCR was positively used, it is the only way it
can be downloaded and the text removed as a layer:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3g30VCl_cgk

There are many, many people who have videos available which demonstrate
this, and more ...

I am citing Alex Jones demonstrating the fraud. It is because YOU KNOW
obama would sue him and silence him -- if he was slandering or falsely
representing that a crime has been committed!

Regards,
JS

342. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 02:54:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBF6E6E-2175@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228270

John TRAITOR! McCain 'qualifies' ONLY because he was born in a U.S.Navy
Hospital at Coco Solo,Panama Canal Zone and his parents were American.
NO WAY in HELL! would I Ever Vote for John TRAITOR! McCain!
http://www.usvetdsp.com
cuhulin

343. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 02:54:43 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipnqpj$1er$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228268
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.california,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On 5/2/2011 7:41 PM, Warren E. Harrison wrote:

> ...
> *You* may not know there is concrete proof that it does, but that
> doesn't mean there isn't proof.
>
> Meanwhile, you have *no* rational reason to believe that it doesn't
> exist, yet you are claiming with certainty that it doesn't. You are
> irrational - a complete kook.

Obfuscate the morons all you wish, I don't care.

Here is the truth in vivid detail:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3g30VCl_cgk

I have used Alex Jones because you know obama would sue him for accusing
the president of criminal activities in releasing a fraudulent birth
certificate in an attempt to influence voting for him.

Continue making a moron of yourself, it pains me not ...

... plonk ...

Regards,
JS

344. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:07:44 UTC
From: Shall not be infringed <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <f699bf2c-9219-49d9-9d74-0647db16f6ff@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228271
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 1, 11:41 pm, Tall King <blab...@fakeaddress.com> wrote:
> On Sun, 1 May 2011 20:38:21 -0700 (PDT), kop <kopscot...@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> >On May 1, 8:33 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/1/2011 8:26 PM, Shall not be infringed wrote:
>
> >> > On May 1, 11:23 pm, Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> Kookers already going into denial mode.
>
> >> >> Hey, didn't the 9/11 twoofer crowd tell us UBL was dead years ago and
> >> >> his tapes were all faked?
>
> >> >> BWAHAAHAAAAHAAAHAHAHAAAHAHAA!!!
>
> >> > Heh! Good one.
>
> >> > Perhaps his dad will provide a DNA sample so we can verify Obama got
> >> > the right spoiled rich kid terrorist brat.
>
> >> ALL RIGHT!
>
> >> It is all over, will they start bringing troops home tomorrow? Can we
> >> stop bombing people in Libya?
>
> >> Did osama have a copy of obamas' birth certificate on him?
>
> >> Are we going to repay the iraqis' for the oil we stole?
>
> >> Gawd, in addition to the birth certificate, there is going to be even
> >> more questions to stay focused on!
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> >***Hey Smythe, why don't you wipe your arse with Obummers BC and then
> >eat a mile of my Bat Guano!...See you at the 4th of July Klow-fest
> >over at rec.knives...Gonna be a Cracker!

>
> Bush was right, Osama bin Laden is now insignificant. Watch Obama take
> full credit for killing bin Laden and praise the goodness of Islam as
> a whole.-

Finally heard the Obama announcement today (stayed up and stayed up
and stayed up last night, but Obama has to realize that I have a job
to go to in the morning so I can give him my tax money).

Anyway, I heard: I, I, Me, My, I, I, I, My, I, Me, I, I, I, My...

Did I miss anything?

345. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:10:59 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27839-4DBF7243-702@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228277

They were stareing at a man with a beard, over six feet tall.This HAD to be Osama Bin Laden! said a woman on ABC (All Bout Communism) TV news.

I have a beard, I am unkemp and uncouth too.Luckily I am under six feet tall! I bush hog my beard off about every six months.
cuhulin, the unkemp under six feet tall

346. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:17:40 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <f317780c-664b-4aa5-860f-f64baf82cba4@d27g2000vbz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228272
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 2, 8:10 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/2/2011 2:15 PM, Neoconis_Ignoramus wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Fucking retard, they don't give these types of rewards to military
> > personnel.
>
> > God damn are you cons stupid.
>
> Correct, with no body, it is just like it never happened ... still, no
> reason to stay in Afghanistan ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Who will protect the future pipelines from the North ? Karzai & co ?

347. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:17:59 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipns57$1er$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.spot.golf

On 5/2/2011 7:54 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> John TRAITOR! McCain 'qualifies' ONLY because he was born in a U.S.Navy
> Hospital at Coco Solo,Panama Canal Zone and his parents were American.
> NO WAY in HELL! would I Ever Vote for John TRAITOR! McCain!
> http://www.usvetdsp.com
> cuhulin
>

His father commanded the entire naval fleet in the pacific ... makes you
wonder what ever happened to John III?

But heck, in the end, they just have to be smart enough to take their
orders from the rich elite puppet masters pulling the stings ...

Regards,
JS

348. Re: Don't you have to produce the body to collect the reward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:19:19 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipns7n$1er$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228274
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On 5/2/2011 8:17 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 2, 8:10 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/2/2011 2:15 PM, Neoconis_Ignoramus wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Fucking retard, they don't give these types of rewards to military
>>> personnel.
>>
>>> God damn are you cons stupid.
>>
>> Correct, with no body, it is just like it never happened ... still, no
>> reason to stay in Afghanistan ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Who will protect the future pipelines from the North ? Karzai& co ?

Don't sweat that, who will take over as the drug lords of the opium poppies?

Regards,
JS
```

349. News update from Radio Fly ~

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:21:51 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <88af0bf3-192f-42a4-b430-d7c37c623d88@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228275

Not much terribly new, but at least there is hope, yes? From Roseanna Kulupi, Radio Tech:

***

Hi Mr Jensen,
Greetings to you from Papua New Guinea!!
Thank you so much for your email and my sincere apologies for the late reply.
It’s good to know we have listeners tuning in from the other side of the world. Thank you for attaching the letter that you sent in March...

QSL cards which the station has designed are being printed at the moment. We will try to send you one as soon as we receive them from the printing company.

It’s just been a year since the Radio Station commenced broadcasting in Shortwave but already we’ve had a good number of listeners from different parts of the world who have written to report reception of Radio Fly.

Initially we were broadcasting on just two FM frequencies 103.8FM and 95.3FM until about a year ago when the Short Wave Site established.

Yes, 3915KHz has been out for a while due to a faulty transmitter. The transmitter has been serviced and is on its way back to site so we are hoping to get 3915 back on air in the next week or two. I will let you know when we have 3915 back on air so that you can compare frequencies when you can.

Thank you once again for your email and hope to receive more emails from you.

Kind Regards,

Roseanne Kulupi
Radio Fly Technician

350. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:21:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipnscg$1er$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228276
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/2/2011 8:07 PM, Shall not be infringed wrote:

> ...
> Finally heard the Obama announcement today (stayed up and stayed up
> and stayed up last night, but Obama has to realize that I have a job
> to go to in the morning so I can give him my tax money).
>
> Anyway, I heard: I, I, Me, My, I, I, I, My, I, Me, I, I, I, My...
>
> Did I miss anything?
>

Ahhh, he was all pissed off at the Donald catching him with phony birth certificates ... naa, you didn't miss anything ...

Regards,
JS

351. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:28:43 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipnspb$1er$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/2/2011 8:10 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> They were stareing at a man with a beard, over six feet tall.This HAD to
> be Osama Bin Laden! said a woman on ABC (All Bout Communism) TV news.
>
> I have a beard, I am unkemp and uncouth too.Luckily I am under six feet
> tall! I bush hog my beard off about every six months.
> cuhulin, the unkemp under six feet tall
>

If I had more hair on my bum, I could stand on a stool so my arse was
over six feet above ground ... she could see if I looked like obama!

Regards,
JS

352. Re: Hawaii Gov promised proof of Obama birth - Now says forget it.!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:31:12 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipnsu0$1er$10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228279
Cross-posted to: misc.legal,alt.california,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/2/2011 8:26 PM, Delma T. Ivey wrote:
> On 5/2/2011 7:47 PM, John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/2/2011 7:36 PM, Delma T. Ivey wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Shut it, pal.
>>>
>>> http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2011/04/29/expert-says-obamas-birth-certificate-legit/
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> You don't know squat about scanners or OCR or any other part of it. The
>>> guy quoted in the Fox story does.
>>>
>>> You're an idiot.
>>
>> As has been demonstrated, OCR was positively used,
>
> You mean, as you have *claimed*. I notice you haven't even claimed that
> "OCR" was used to *make* the document.
>
>
>
>> it is the only way it
>> can be downloaded and the text removed as a layer:
>>
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3g30VCl_cgk
>
> Kooksite.
>
>
>>
>> There are many, many people who have videos available which demonstrate
>> this, and more ...
>>
>> I am citing Alex Jones
>
> Ha ha ha ha ha! Someone who is *not* an expert, someone who clearly is a
> minor league Instructional League Rush Limbaugh wannabe - a greasy joke,
> in other words. Someone who hires some two-bit "Photoshop 'expert'" to
> come on and say anything.

>
> Look, dummy: even on that blowhard Jones's site, there's a comment from
> a viewer disparaging this supposed "discovery":
>
> Sorry, but digital artifacts in a digitized copy of a paper document
> prove nothing□ about the document itself.
>
> In other words, dummy, the very act of scanning a paper document
> *creates* layers.
>
>
>
>> demonstrating the fraud.
>
> No fraud has been demonstrated.
>
> When you scan a document, it's going to create layers. You're an idiot.
>
>
>> It is because YOU KNOW
>> obama would sue him and silence him
>
> No, you don't know any such thing. You're speculating - ignorantly, and
> based on nothing more than stupid partisan extremism. Obama basically
> ignores any individual crackpot voice.
>
>
>> -- if he was slandering or falsely
>> representing that a crime has been committed!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> You're an idiot. Even worse, you're an idiot by choice.
>
> You are just stupid beyond belief...and you *want* to be! Unbelievable.

```
There is an idiot in the works alright!

... plonk ...

Go to bed like a good moron :-)

Regards,
JS
```

353. Re: May Day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:34:25 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBF77C1-2182@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228285

Chile Finds Radioactivity In Korean Cars
http://www.rense.com

The Newest thing in cars nowadays, Radioactivity.
cuhulin

354. Re: Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:37:47 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <df6318f7-3eaf-45a4-af69-aea9623695b6@q30g2000vbs.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228280

On May 2, 10:37 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Been DEAD for years and years Osama Bin Laden is taking some of the HEAT
> off of the PHONY FRAUD FAKE Birth Certificate FORGERY,,,, IS what it IS.
> Can't y'all cats See That?
> y'all Cats.Huh, Meowwwwwww,,,,,,
> cuhulin

Actually -- this is the SIXTH time we are told about that . The 6 th
TIME ! ! ! How many BLS are there ? ? ?

355. Re: War is a Crime. Wars for Oil , worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:39:29 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipntdh$1er$11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228281
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/2/2011 8:37 PM, Demopublica wrote:
> Killing Resolves Nothing
> Monday 2 May 2011
> by: David Swanson, War Is A Crime
>
> The plane I was on landed in Washington, DC, Sunday night, and the pilot
> came on the intercom to tell everyone to celebrate: our government had
> killed Osama bin Laden. This was better than winning the Super Bowl, he
> said.
>
> Set aside for a moment the morality of cheering for the killing of a
> human being -- which despite the pilot's prompting nobody on the plane
> did. In purely Realpolitik terms, killing foreign leaders whom we've
> previously supported has been an ongoing disaster.
>
> Our killing of Saddam Hussein has been followed by years of war and
> hundreds of thousands of pointless deaths. Our attempts to kill Muammar
> Gadaffi have killed his children and grandchildren and will end no war
> if they eventually succeed. Our attempts to kill Osama bin Laden,
> including wars justified by that mission, have involved nearly a decade
> of senseless slaughter in Afghanistan and the rest of the ongoing global
> "generational" war that is consuming our nation.
> and;
> for the rest of this story;
>
> http://truth-out.org/killing-resolves-nothing/1304358911
>
> and Google . Wars for Oil.

Yeah, the other six men in a cave are without a leader now ... guess
they will hold an election and fix that ...

Regards,
JS

356. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:40:05 UTC
From: Tall King <blabber@fakeaddress.com>
Message-ID: <6vtur65t39gte7mqn42q324ajmfmf8qh0t@4ax.com>
Source record: 228282
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Mon, 2 May 2011 20:07:44 -0700 (PDT), Shall not be infringed
<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote:

>On May 1, 11:41ï¿½pm, Tall King <blab...@fakeaddress.com> wrote:
>> On Sun, 1 May 2011 20:38:21 -0700 (PDT), kop <kopscot...@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> >On May 1, 8:33ï¿½pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> >> On 5/1/2011 8:26 PM, Shall not be infringed wrote:
>>
>> >> > On May 1, 11:23 pm, Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> ï¿½wrote:
>> >> >> Kookers already going into denial mode.
>>
>> >> >> Hey, didn't the 9/11 twoofer crowd tell us UBL was dead years ago and
>> >> >> his tapes were all faked?
>>
>> >> >> BWAHAAHAAAAHAAAHAHAHAAAHAHAA!!!
>>
>> >> > Heh! ï¿½Good one.
>>
>> >> > Perhaps his dad will provide a DNA sample so we can verify Obama got
>> >> > the right spoiled rich kid terrorist brat.
>>
>> >> ALL RIGHT!
>>
>> >> It is all over, will they start bringing troops home tomorrow? ï¿½Can we
>> >> stop bombing people in Libya?
>>
>> >> Did osama have a copy of obamas' birth certificate on him?
>>
>> >> Are we going to repay the iraqis' for the oil we stole?
>>
>> >> Gawd, in addition to the birth certificate, there is going to be even
>> >> more questions to stay focused on!
>>
>> >> Regards,
>> >> JS
>>

>> >***Hey Smythe, why don't you wipe your arse with Obummers BC and then
>> >eat a mile of my Bat Guano!...See you at the 4th of July Klow-fest
>> >over at rec.knives...Gonna be a Cracker!
>>
>> Bush was right, Osama bin Laden is now insignificant. Watch Obama take
>> full credit for killing bin Laden and praise the goodness of Islam as
>> a whole.-
>
>Finally heard the Obama announcement today (stayed up and stayed up
>and stayed up last night, but Obama has to realize that I have a job
>to go to in the morning so I can give him my tax money).
>
>Anyway, I heard: I, I, Me, My, I, I, I, My, I, Me, I, I, I, My...
>
>Did I miss anything?

Slurp, slurp, slurp, toke, cough, toke slurp, slurp slurp, toke, ahhh!
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zQ-hPNrKdZI

357. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:41:35 UTC
From: Gunner Asch <gunnerasch@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <p9uur6pmq1evr9edmu0uahfrau79eu4sk3@4ax.com>
Source record: 228283
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Mon, 2 May 2011 16:38:01 -0700 (PDT), "jfeng@my-deja.com"
<jfeng@my-deja.com> wrote:

>On May 2, 3:24ï¿½pm, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On Mon, 2 May 2011 13:48:22 -0700 (PDT), "jf...@my-deja.com"
>>
>> <jf...@my-deja.com> wrote:
>>
>> >> Failed to keep his pledge". ï¿½Then you are admitting the sob lied.
>> >> Closed Gitmo? ï¿½Another lie
>>
>> >> There have been...a few others....some 2065 or more.
>> >Thos are all irrelevant to the fact that you are a liar and
>> >deliberatelty and dishonestly misrepresnted his position on
>> >Afghanistan.
>>
>> Actually..no..its not irrelevant, except perhaps to a Leftwinger.
>>
>> But then..yall are mentally ill..so its not surprising
>>
>> Shrug
>>
>> Gunner
>If preferring the truth to intentional falsehoods brands me a
>Leftwinger, the I plead guilty. Obviously, you like being a liear.

And you cant spell worth a shit either.

But thats despite the point. And I stand by my statements.

Dont like it? Tough shit.

Deal with it.

Gunner

--
"If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is eight,
it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)

358. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:42:27 UTC
From: Too_Many_Tools <too_many_tools@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <326d99f9-82f4-41ec-a095-144d9867624a@y31g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228284
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 2, 5:24 pm, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Mon, 2 May 2011 13:48:22 -0700 (PDT), "jf...@my-deja.com"
>
> <jf...@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> >> Failed to keep his pledge". Then you are admitting the sob lied.
> >> Closed Gitmo? Another lie
>
> >> There have been...a few others....some 2065 or more.
> >Thos are all irrelevant to the fact that you are a liar and
> >deliberatelty and dishonestly misrepresnted his position on
> >Afghanistan.
>
> Actually..no..its not irrelevant, except perhaps to a Leftwinger.
>
> But then..yall are mentally ill..so its not surprising
>
> Shrug
>
> Gunner
>
> --
> "If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is eight,
> it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
> six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)

Gummer...isn't it time for your shift at McDonalds?

And hey...this time when you clean the toilets do them after the
fryers...not before.

And don't forget your cute little pointed hat!!!

TMT

359. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:43:43 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27839-4DBF79EF-706@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228286

Hitler declared dead on May 1.On May 1,2011 Osama Bin Laden was dug up
and buried again.
http://www.worldnetdaily.com
cuhulin

360. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 03:55:58 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <abda6db6-5dfa-45f8-bd66-5549a37abe01@w10g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228287
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 2, 9:06 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/2/2011 5:58 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> John McCain is a very special case. He certainly qualifies under the
> spirit of the Constitution if not the exact letter ...:
>
> The Panama Canal Zone was a US Territory:
> "United States territory is any extent of region under the jurisdiction
> of the federal government of the United States,[1] including all
> waters[2] (around islands or continental tracts). The United States has
> traditionally proclaimed the sovereign rights for exploring, exploiting,
> conserving, and managing its territory.[3] This extent of territory is
> all the area belonging to, and under the dominion of, the United States
> federal government (which includes tracts lying at a distance from the
> country) for administrative and other purposes.[1] The United States
> total territory includes a subset of political divisions."
>
> McCains birth and early childhood, plus a fraction of family background:
> "John Sidney McCain III was born on August 29, 1936, at Coco Solo Naval
> Air Station in the Panama Canal Zone, the second of three children born
> to naval officer John S. McCain Jr. and his wife, Roberta. At the time
> of his birth, the McCain family was stationed in the Panama Canal Zone,
> under American control.
>
> Both McCain's father and paternal grandfather, John Sidney McCain, Sr.,
> were four-star admirals and his father rose to command all the U.S.
> naval forces in the Pacific.
>
> McCain spent his childhood and adolescent years moving between naval
> bases in America and abroad. He attended Episcopal high School, a
> private preparatory boarding school in Alexandria, Virginia, graduating
> in 1954.
>
> Following in the footsteps of his father and grandfather, McCain
> graduated (fifth from the bottom of his class) from the Naval Academy at
> Annapolis in 1958. He also graduated from flight school in 1960.
>
> With the outbreak of the Vietnam War, McCain volunteered for combat duty
> and began flying carrier-based attack planes on low-altitude bombing
> runs against the North Vietnamese. He escaped serious injury on July 29,
> 1967, when his A-4 Skyhawk plane was accidentally shot by a missile on
> board the USS Forestal, causing explosions and fires that killed 134.
>

> On October 26, 1967, during his 23rd air mission, McCain's plane was
> shot down during a bombing run over the North Vietnamese capital of
> Hanoi. He broke both arms and one leg during the ensuing crash. McCain
> was moved to Hoa Loa prison, nicknamed the "Hanoi Hilton," on December
> 9, 1969."
>
> Any comparison between McCain and obama is the equivalent of comparing
> swine shit to roses ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Then McCain was born on US soil(US TERRITORY) no naturalization needed!

361. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 04:00:17 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b2bfcc44-ad0b-41c0-a6e8-52eecee95f64@p7g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228288
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 1, 2:03 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On Apr 30, 8:39 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
> > an illegitimate POTUS.
>
> That is legally incorrect. The citizenship status of either parent is
> completely immaterial to his natural born citizenship, This is long
> settled law and is not even remotely open to dispute.
>
> > Since his father was still married to a wife in
> > kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA.
>
> That of course has nothing to do with anything and in fact would mean
> that Obama would be a natural born citizenship even if he had been
> born in Kenya. If Ann Dunham were not legally married at the time,
> Obama could have been born anywhere BUT in the US and still be a
> natural born citizen since the 5-year minimum residency requirement
> after age 14 (Dunham was only 18 at the time) would not longer be
> applicable.
>
> You just kicked your OWN ass, WrongTurnJoe!!
> BWAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHA!!

Not without an act of congress!

http://www.apfn.org/apfn/13th.htm

362. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 04:14:05 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-5ed91ab754eb@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228289
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
Social Security Numbers .

Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.

http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm

363. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 04:16:28 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <72a4a7e0-ce6f-4a13-b784-8680c0a3d531@w5g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228290
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 1, 9:33 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:

> Did osama have a copy of obamas' birth certificate on him?

Nah, UBL had never visited Hawaii.

364. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 04:23:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DBF832D-2199@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228295

World Media TRICKED By Fake Osama Bin Laden Photo.

On The Second Death Of Osama Bin Laden.
http://www.rense.com

It just keeps on getting Gooder and Gooder.
cuhulin

365. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 04:23:24 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <06beb87f-9646-4c79-b76b-16f632eeb16b@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228291
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 2, 5:29 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Mon, 02 May 2011 07:24:00 -0400, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> >> On Sun, 1 May 2011 21:07:27 -0700 (PDT), "jf...@my-deja.com"
> >> <jf...@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> >>> Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
> >>> bin Laden's plans to attack the US.
>
> >>> Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.
>
> >>> Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
> >>> Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.
>
> >>> Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
> >>> Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
> >>> Accomplished" banner?
>
> >On 5/2/2011 12:11 AM, Tall King wrote:
>
> >> If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive scaring the shit
> >> out of Obama around every corner.
>
> >Huh? "If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive..."
>
> >So are you saying Bush, who couldn't get bin Laden for *SEVEN* years and
> >has been out of office for 2 1/2 years gets credit for killing bin Laden
> >yesterday?
>
> >Are you sure you don't want to change your name from Tall King to Joe
> >King? (because you surely must be joking)
>
> Sure you are not giving the Obamassiah credit for that!
>
> Afterall he promised to pull all the troops out of Iraq and Afghanistan
> within 6 months of his election to president!

He said no such thing. you're just lying as usual.

> So again..he lied.

Nah, you did.

366. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 04:24:47 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d5994824-ed52-45ab-8542-37363be16b9a@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228292
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 2, 8:06 am, "jf...@my-deja.com" <jf...@my-deja.com> wrote:
> On May 2, 4:29 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:> Afterall he promised to pull all the troops out of Iraq and Afghanistan
> > within 6 months of his election to president!
>
> > So again..he lied.
>
> > Gunner
>
> Liar. He did fail to keep his pledge to get out of Iraq.

Sorry but Obama did pull ALL combat units OUT of Iraq and is drawing
down the others. Promise KEPT.

> However, he
> also promised to return the focus and the fight to Afghanistan and to
> get bin Laden. Looks like he made the right judgement and executed it
> successfully.

True dat.

367. Re: Prez Obama's Road To Killing Osama bin-Laden -via- GitMo and Water-Broading {Torture} Detainees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 04:26:05 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <36a02609-bb5e-4c01-8eea-843a1a2e28ed@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228293
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 2, 8:12 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 2, 4:29 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > On Mon, 02 May 2011 07:24:00 -0400, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net>
> > wrote:
>
> > >> On Sun, 1 May 2011 21:07:27 -0700 (PDT), "jf...@my-deja.com"
> > >> <jf...@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > >>> Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
> > >>> bin Laden's plans to attack the US.
>
> > >>> Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.
>
> > >>> Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
> > >>> Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.
>
> > >>> Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
> > >>> Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
> > >>> Accomplished" banner?
>
> > >On 5/2/2011 12:11 AM, Tall King wrote:
>
> > >> If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive scaring the shit
> > >> out of Obama around every corner.
>
> > >Huh? "If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive..."
>
> > >So are you saying Bush, who couldn't get bin Laden for *SEVEN* years and
> > >has been out of office for 2 1/2 years gets credit for killing bin Laden
> > >yesterday?
>
> > >Are you sure you don't want to change your name from Tall King to Joe
> > >King? (because you surely must be joking)
>
> - Sure you are not giving the Obamassiah credit for that!
> -
> - Afterall he promised to pull all the troops out of Iraq and
> Afghanistan
> - within 6 months of his election to president!
> -
> - So again..he lied.

> -
> - Gunner
> -
> - --
> - "If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is
> eight,
> - it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
> - six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)
>
> The INTEL {Info} that Lead to Osama bin-Laden came from
> Gitmo and the Detainee was Water-Broaded to get it.

False and false, It came from a routine interrogation in a European
prison facility.

> Thank You Prez Obama for Keeping Gitmo Open
> and Starting Military Tribunals for Detainees

It did not come from Gitmo.

> Thank You Prez Obama for Torture Water-Broading Detainees

That was AWOL, and not one single water boarding turned up any useful
information. FACT.

368. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 04:30:01 UTC
From: Too_Many_Tools <too_many_tools@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d069f2e9-d4b0-41d4-8abd-57e4c8d02e63@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228294
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 2, 10:07 pm, Shall not be infringed <hot-ham-and-
che...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> On May 1, 11:41 pm, Tall King <blab...@fakeaddress.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Sun, 1 May 2011 20:38:21 -0700 (PDT), kop <kopscot...@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
>
> > >On May 1, 8:33 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> > >> On 5/1/2011 8:26 PM, Shall not be infringed wrote:
>
> > >> > On May 1, 11:23 pm, Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >> >> Kookers already going into denial mode.
>
> > >> >> Hey, didn't the 9/11 twoofer crowd tell us UBL was dead years ago and
> > >> >> his tapes were all faked?
>
> > >> >> BWAHAAHAAAAHAAAHAHAHAAAHAHAA!!!
>
> > >> > Heh! Good one.
>
> > >> > Perhaps his dad will provide a DNA sample so we can verify Obama got
> > >> > the right spoiled rich kid terrorist brat.
>
> > >> ALL RIGHT!
>
> > >> It is all over, will they start bringing troops home tomorrow? Can we
> > >> stop bombing people in Libya?
>
> > >> Did osama have a copy of obamas' birth certificate on him?
>
> > >> Are we going to repay the iraqis' for the oil we stole?
>
> > >> Gawd, in addition to the birth certificate, there is going to be even
> > >> more questions to stay focused on!
>
> > >> Regards,
> > >> JS
>

> > >***Hey Smythe, why don't you wipe your arse with Obummers BC and then
> > >eat a mile of my Bat Guano!...See you at the 4th of July Klow-fest
> > >over at rec.knives...Gonna be a Cracker!
>
> > Bush was right, Osama bin Laden is now insignificant. Watch Obama take
> > full credit for killing bin Laden and praise the goodness of Islam as
> > a whole.-
>
> Finally heard the Obama announcement today (stayed up and stayed up
> and stayed up last night, but Obama has to realize that I have a job
> to go to in the morning so I can give him my tax money).
>
> Anyway, I heard: I, I, Me, My, I, I, I, My, I, Me, I, I, I, My...
>
> Did I miss anything?- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Yeah...that was the little voices in your head.

Do you expect the world to bend to your every whim?

Sounds like you are a typical conservative..take...take...take.

TMT

369. Re: Is this a QSL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 04:42:40 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <b92e462d-4fa1-4f18-ad5c-046e59bcc249@x1g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228296

On May 2, 4:09 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 2, 10:30 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 05/02/2011 08:05 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > > OK, so the other night I heard the Australia VOLMET out of Brisbane
> > > Airport, Queensland. Made a nice recording, and shot it to the
> > > Brisbane Airport. Seeming to know nothing about it, the Airport
> > > people kindly referred me to this Peter Flint at Aviation services,
> > > who provided the following response:
>
> > >> Hi Bruce
>
> > >> That is indeed an Australian VOLMET broadcast, which I haven't heard before so
thanks for providing the url.
>
> > >> Regards
>
> > >> Peter
>
> > > _____
> > >> Peter Flint
> > >> Aviation Weather Services
> > >> Australian Bureau of Meteorology | Weather and Ocean Services Branch
> > >> GPO Box 1289 Melbourne VIC 3001
> > >> T 03 9669 4586 | F 03 9669 4695
> > >> p.fl...@bom.gov.au |www.bom.gov.au
>
> > >> Aviation Weather Services
> > >> Certified to AS/NZS ISO 9001:2000 Quality Management Standard
>
> > >> -----Original Message-----
>
> > >> Reference ID = 'REF2011-119-31'
> > >> Name = 'Bruce Jensen'
> > >> State/Territory = 'overseas'
> > >> email address = (blank)
> > >> Phone Number = none
> > >> Fri Apr 29 15:53:18 GMT 2011
>

> > >> Feedback regarding to: Aviation Services
>
> > >> Bruce Jensen wrote:-
>
> > >> Good day - I am both a meteorologist by training and a shortwave radio enthusiast, and I live in California, USA.>As a result, I occasionally enjoy listening to VOLMETs from various parts of the world for aviation weather>reports. The other evening, I was fortunate to hear on my radio the Australian VOLMET at Brisbane Airport, with>a pleasant-sounding female voice (apparently computer-generated) with atmospheric details for various airport>cities. I recorded a segment of the broadcast.
>
> > >> My question is, Is there a person who I could contact that could provide verification, either by letter or e-mail,>that in fact I heard the Australia VOLMET? In radio parlance, this would be a request for a QSL or station>verification. I would be happy to provide the recording and return postage, if by mail. In fact, the recording may>be found on the Web at this audio link:
>
> > >>http://soundcloud.com/bpnjensen/australia-volmet-4-28-11-0730z
>
> > >> Any answer is greatly appreciated. Thank you!
>
> > >> Bruce Jensen
>
> > > I sent Peter a message thanking him for his kind reponse.
>
> > > So anyway, what do folks think? Is this a bona fide verification? Or
> > > should I pursue it further?
>
> > > Thanks,
> > > Bruce
>
> > Unless you're trying to win an award, I'd say it's a verie.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Nope, no award for this one - just hoping for a second opinion -
> thanks!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

If Peter Flint is in/near Brisbane it must be OK .

370. Re: Is this a QSL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 04:58:43 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <055c33be-d425-461d-8223-3e0fe6ed7b19@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228297

On May 2, 9:42 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
-
>
> If Peter Flint is in/near Brisbane it must be OK .

Well, he's in Melbourne, where the Aviation Weather Bureau is. That's
- what? - 600 miles south of Brisbane? He has confirmed that it's his
department's data that feeds the VOLMET signal, so that has to count
for something :-)

371. Re: Prez Obama's Road To Killing Osama bin-Laden -via- GitMo and Water-Broading {Torture} Detainees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 05:02:27 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <cuqdnZOoCr4RESLQnZ2dnUVZ5tGdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228298
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/2/2011 9:26 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 2, 8:12 am, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>> On May 2, 4:29 am, Gunner Asch<gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>> On Mon, 02 May 2011 07:24:00 -0400, Joe from Kokomo<j...@indy.net>
>>> wrote:
>>
>>>>> On Sun, 1 May 2011 21:07:27 -0700 (PDT), "jf...@my-deja.com"
>>>>> <jf...@my-deja.com> wrote:
>>
>>>>>> Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
>>>>>> bin Laden's plans to attack the US.
>>
>>>>>> Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.
>>
>>>>>> Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
>>>>>> Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.
>>
>>>>>> Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
>>>>>> Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
>>>>>> Accomplished" banner?
>>
>>>> On 5/2/2011 12:11 AM, Tall King wrote:
>>
>>>>> If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive scaring the shit
>>>>> out of Obama around every corner.
>>
>>>> Huh? "If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive..."
>>
>>>> So are you saying Bush, who couldn't get bin Laden for *SEVEN* years and
>>>> has been out of office for 2 1/2 years gets credit for killing bin Laden
>>>> yesterday?
>>
>>>> Are you sure you don't want to change your name from Tall King to Joe
>>>> King? (because you surely must be joking)
>>
>> - Sure you are not giving the Obamassiah credit for that!
>> -
>> - Afterall he promised to pull all the troops out of Iraq and
>> Afghanistan
>> - within 6 months of his election to president!
>> -
```

>> - So again..he lied.
>> -
>> - Gunner
>> -
>> - --
>> - "If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is
>> eight,
>> - it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
>> - six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)
>>
>> The INTEL {Info} that Lead to Osama bin-Laden came from
>> Gitmo and the Detainee was Water-Broaded to get it.
>
> False and false, It came from a routine interrogation in a European
> prison facility.

False. It came from Khalid Sheikh Mohammed in 2004, in Guantanamo.

372. HOT PEOPLE HOT AND SEXY VIDEOS FOR U SEE AND ENJOY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 05:06:17 UTC
From: HRJHRJB <odgfhfhdfv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <89f1b6bf-4053-42c9-804d-c73c6db883f1@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228299

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

373. Re: Hawaii Gov promised proof of Obama birth - Now says forget it.!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 05:16:06 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15671-4DBF8F96-2644@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228301

And you believe Hawaii govt? HA!
cuhulin

374. Re: Still no birth certificate ... no dead body ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 05:18:01 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15672-4DBF9009-787@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228300

The Ghastly Pale Porker, Osama Bin Laden.
I am Aghast!
cuhulin

375. Re: Will the Birthers Forge Ahead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 05:22:06 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <45484936-fcf9-43ed-a56c-d9a0fc7cee85@j31g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228302
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Apr 28, 6:20 pm, "Brenda Ann" <newsgro...@fullspectrumradio.org>
wrote:
> "joeturn" wrote in message
>
> news:bbbff710-70d6-47a6-a8ed-84a8178c7667@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com...
>
> On Apr 28, 6:27 am, "Brenda Ann" <newsgro...@fullspectrumradio.org>
> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > Balderdash!
>
> > From eHow.com :
>
> > Born Abroad to One American Parent and One Alien Parent in Wedlock:
>
> > The parent who is a U.S. citizen must qualify to transfer citizenship to
> > his
> > child. The parents must have been married at the time of birth. The
> > American
> > parent had to have physically resided inside the United States prior to
> > the
> > birth. The residence must have been for five years with two of those years
> > coming after their fourteenth birthday. The child is the genetic offspring
> > of both parents.
>
> > Read more: Ways to Obtain USA Citizenship |
> > eHow.comhttp://www.ehow.com/info_8130753_ways-obtain-usa-citizenship.html#ixz...
>
> > Born Abroad to an American Mother Out of Wedlock:
>
> > The mother must have been a U.S. citizen at the time of birth. The mother
> > must have had a physical residency inside the United States prior to the
> > child's birth. The time of residency must have been for one continuous
> > year.
>
> > Read more: Ways to Obtain USA Citizenship |
> > eHow.comhttp://www.ehow.com/info_8130753_ways-obtain-usa-citizenship.html#ixz...
>
> > Stanley Ann Dunham would qualify either way.- Hide quoted text -

>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Its the farther that has to qualify not the mother! Obama senior was
> DOMICILE to kenya not the USA.
>
> Obama Sr. gave Obama Jr. the gift of being a British Subject from the
> moment of birth.
>
> Think of it this way, If YOU went to France, and knocked up a woman,
> married her, and then had a baby... that baby wouldn't be a French
> citizen, it would be an American citizen born abroad. It would inherit
> your gift of American citizenship, but would not be a natural born
> American citizen under any stretch of the law.
>
> ---
> ---
>
> I guess you're incapable of reading the law expressed in plain English. My
> quotes plainly state otherwise. Also, a child may have dual citizenship
> (US/anywhere else) until age 18, when they are supposed to choose one or the
> other. There are, however, even exceptions to this.

But POTUS cant have ever been a dual ctizen.

>
> As for your "example", I can debunk this one personally. My spouse was born
> in France, to a US GI and a French mother. The French government very much
> insists that Pat is a French citizen.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

I was going by what someone else said now here is a cut and paste See
if you a debunk this.

IF Obama had been born outside the US, he would not be a citizen at
all,
unless he naturalized later. The citizenship law in effect at the
time
(Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952) specified that a child born
abroad was a citizen if all of the following were true:

1. The person's parents were married at the time of birth
2. One of the person's parents was a U.S. citizen when the person was
born
3. The citizen parent lived at least ten years in the United States
before the child's birth;
4. A minimum of 5 of these 10 years in the United States were after
the citizen parent's 14th birthday.

IF he had been born abroad, Obama would not have been a citizen at
birth: his mother had not live five years in the USA after her 14th

birthday, and his father was not a US citizen.

Barry Little,because he had no US birth certificate and was reguarded as a British Subject, could not even aquire a legal Social Security Number and had to use bogus numbers to find work.

Our Solvern Great Britain would not issue him a social Security Number because only citizens of the United States were accountable for our bankruptcy debt to Great Britain.

Reference:

http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm

376. Re: Is this a QSL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 05:34:36 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <e22217a0-ccf8-4776-b8c0-35d506ef9356@f11g2000vbx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228303

On May 3, 12:58 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 2, 9:42 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> -
>
>
>
> > If Peter Flint is in/near Brisbane it must be OK .
>
> Well, he's in Melbourne, where the Aviation Weather Bureau is. That's
> - what? - 600 miles south of Brisbane? He has confirmed that it's his
> department's data that feeds the VOLMET signal, so that has to count
> for something :-)

Guess, we have to consider the size of the country . . . In this
case- 600miles is not that far at all !

377. Re: Optical Character Recognition is only used to forge scans of legal documents!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 05:37:48 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28295eba7af5f61098a15d@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228304
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

In article <ipmije$o26$5@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 5/2/2011 12:45 AM, jc wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Yeah, I'm surprised he didn't add "some of my best friends are
> > black people".
> >
> > -jc
> >
> >
> >> --
> >> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
> >
>
> Two of my friends are Negros. However, they are highly intelligent,
> work in the same field as myself and have characters' beyond reproach!
> They happen to have british accents and were schooled in bush schools as
> youngsters, before university ...
>
> As Dr. King had recommended, you gauge people by their character, not by
> their color ... these two pass that requirement with flying colors.
>
> Regards,
> JS

As predictible as the sun coming up.

You always come off as an idiot.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

378. Re: Obama releases fake birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 05:44:39 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2829605271b0058798a15f@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228305
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

```
In article <ipmtls$l7e$14@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 5/2/2011 11:29 AM, Ray Keller wrote:
> >
> >
> > "BDK" wrote in message news:MPG.28287cb4a9e48e5b98a150@news.giganews.com...
> >
> > In article <4dbea2df$1@news.x-privat.org>, aka@maskedandanonymous.com.ï¿œ
> > nvalï¿œd says...
> >>
> >> On 05/02/2011 01:44 PM, Rocky wrote:
> >>
> >> > And Clinton did not have sex with that woman Monica.
> >>
> >> How is that any of your business?
> >
> > And what does it have to do with insane birther claims about Obama?
> >
>
> klintons claims are as valid as obamas' claim that he has a valid birth
> certificate ... think next time, before asking ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

BWHHAHAHAHAHA! If only you would think, once. Just once. For your
family...

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
```

379. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 05:46:09 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.282960af8f62e8c198a160@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228306
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

In article <093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
5ed91ab754eb@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> If he had a legal birth certificate he would not have to use bogus
> Social Security Numbers .
>
> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>
> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm

You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

380. Re: Official: Bin Laden buried at sea (AP)-- UNREAL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 06:22:29 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipo6v5$h3f$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.true-crime

On 5/2/2011 11:06 PM, Kadaitcha Man wrote:
> Warhol, Ye are a backward votary to fond desire, a worm-infested
> foghorn, a fat-kidneyed past-saving slave, a waxy-faced scolding
> crookback, thy heart I'll stamp out with my horse's heel and make a
> quagmire of thy mingled brains. Ye chucked:
>
>> chicagotribune: U.S. officials: Osama bin Laden has been buried at
>> sea.
>
> Gaddafi is next.
>

Did he, supposedly, do another 9/11 type event (rather, framed for it)
that I didn't see?

Regards,
JS

381. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 06:32:59 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipo7is$h3f$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228308
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/2/2011 10:46 PM, BDK wrote:
> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> 5ed91ab754eb@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>>
>> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
>> Social Security Numbers .
>>
>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>>
>> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>
> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>

"What she and fellow investigator Neil Sankey unearthed was a nugget
that could have ended the career of a George Bush or a Sarah Palin:
Barack Obama had been using a Social Security number issued in
Connecticut between 1977 and 1979, a state in which he never lived or
even visited at that time in his life."

"All I can say," says Daniels of 042-68-4425, "is that it's phony and
[Obama] has been using it, with it first appearing on his Selective
Service document in 1980."

Daniels sent me a copy of the hand-written application of the individual
who held the number immediately before Obama's, 042-68-4424. The
applicant, Thomas Wood, died at age 19, which is why his information is
available.

Wood's Social Security number was issued sometime between March and May
of 1977. Obama would turn 16 in August of that year. Wood lived on
Glenview Drive in Newington, Conn., the state from which all "042s"
applied. Obama lived in Hawaii.

True to form, the left-leaning media set out to undermine the Social
Security controversy and ridicule the investigators. Revealing, however,
is the weakness of the response.

"Numbers are assigned based on the return address on the request
envelope, not residency," crowed Jason Linkins in the Huffington Post as
though he had said something meaningful. Linkins suggested two possible
explanations, both preposterous.

One is that Obama applied for his SSN as a little boy in Indonesia for no known reason, and the application just happened to be processed in Connecticut for no known reason either.

For the second, Linkins cited the argument of Carole Glibert, in the Yahoo-related "Associated Content." Said Gilbert, presumably with a straight face, "In fact, Barack Obama's dad attended college in Connecticut and in 1977, Obama was college aged; is it beyond reason to consider that he might have checked out his father's alma mater?"

Last time I checked, Harvard was in Massachusetts. The closest town to Harvard in Connecticut is about 90 minutes away, and there is no record that Obama Sr. lived there, let alone that Obama visited his imaginary alma mater and just happened to apply for a Social Security card while visiting.

Daniels also sent me a copy of Obama's Selective Service data. Obama appears to have registered on Sept. 4, 1980, a month after his 19th birthday. The form lists the telling last four digits of his "042" number, "4425."

This is the first use of the "042" number that Daniels could find. She is just not sure it is legitimate. Some have credibly argued that the Selective Service information was forged and backdated once Obama became a presidential candidate.

"They were stupid to use the CT number on the [Selective Service] card," Daniels adds, "because now there is no way for [Obama] to back out of that number."

Other than the 1980 Selective Service registration, the first time Daniels could find Obama using the "042" number was in 1986 in Chicago.

Read more: Another look at Obama's Social Security number
http://www.wnd.com/index.php?pageId=275861#ixzz1LGhrdZA5

More pompous sounding BS demonstrating your ignorance ... you deserve the title, you worked hard for it, "THE MOST STUPID MAN IN THE WORLD!"

ROFLOL ... it just keeps getting better ...

Regards,
JS

382. Re: Is this a QSL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 06:34:43 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b2c2cd98-6d6f-46d2-8453-6a6f9ac06748@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228309

On May 2, 10:34 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 3, 12:58 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > On May 2, 9:42 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> > -
>
> > > If Peter Flint is in/near Brisbane it must be OK .
>
> > Well, he's in Melbourne, where the Aviation Weather Bureau is. That's
> > - what? - 600 miles south of Brisbane? He has confirmed that it's his
> > department's data that feeds the VOLMET signal, so that has to count
> > for something :-)
>
> Guess, we have to consider the size of the country . . . In this
> case- 600miles is not that far at all !

Just checked - 850 miles. Still just a short walkabout!

383. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 06:41:09 UTC
From: "678.714.5764" <holiday.marina@buford.ga>
Message-ID: <RaednZtiJp4bPiLQnZ2dnUVZ5gGdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228310
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,sci.skeptic,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On 5/2/2011 11:32 PM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/2/2011 10:46 PM, BDK wrote:
>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
>> 5ed91ab754eb@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>>>
>>> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
>>> Social Security Numbers .
>>>
>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>>>
>>> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>>
>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>>
[...]
> Read more: Another look at Obama's Social Security number
> http://www.wnd.com/index.php?pageId=275861#ixzz1LGhrdZA5

Kooker fake nooz.
```

384. Two minute video of barry (obama) discussing his papers with sarah.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 07:00:26 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipo96anaq1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228311
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

http://www.xtranormal.com/watch/11400630/barry-and-sarah

The above URL will finally put an end to the mystery, enigmas and
questions about obama (soetoro.)

... or not ...

Regards,
JS

385. Re: Prez Obama's Road To Killing Osama bin-Laden -via- GitMo and Water-Broading {Torture} Detainees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 08:48:51 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <6471d0e2-8a75-4685-a6bb-7fc9c249fbb0@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 2, 9:26 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 2, 8:12 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
> > On May 2, 4:29 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > On Mon, 02 May 2011 07:24:00 -0400, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net>
> > > wrote:
>
> > > >> On Sun, 1 May 2011 21:07:27 -0700 (PDT), "jf...@my-deja.com"
> > > >> <jf...@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > > >>> Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
> > > >>> bin Laden's plans to attack the US.
>
> > > >>> Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.
>
> > > >>> Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
> > > >>> Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.
>
> > > >>> Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
> > > >>> Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
> > > >>> Accomplished" banner?
>
> > > >>On 5/2/2011 12:11 AM, Tall King wrote:
>
> > > >> If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive scaring the shit
> > > >> out of Obama around every corner.
>
> > > >Huh? "If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive..."
>
> > > >So are you saying Bush, who couldn't get bin Laden for *SEVEN* years and
> > > >has been out of office for 2 1/2 years gets credit for killing bin Laden
> > > >yesterday?
>
> > > >Are you sure you don't want to change your name from Tall King to Joe
> > > >King? (because you surely must be joking)
>
> > - Sure you are not giving the Obamassiah credit for that!
> > -
> > - Afterall he promised to pull all the troops out of Iraq and
> > Afghanistan

> > - within 6 months of his election to president!
> > -
> > - So again..he lied.
> > -
> > - Gunner
> > -
> > - --
> > - "If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is
> > eight,
> > - it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
> > - six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)

- - The INTEL {Info} that Lead to Osama bin-Laden came from
- - Gitmo and the Detainee was Water-Broaded to get it.

- False and false, It came from a routine interrogation
- in a European prison facility.

Larnrod : Naturally you 'approve' of that process.
-torture-by-proxy-rendition-torture-by-proxy-

So Then 'Rendition'* "BY" the US Federal Government
{The Obama-Regime} to Off-US-Soil {Foreign} Prisons
is A-OK : Where There Are No US Legal Rights Constrains
{Out Right Physical Torture Is Commonly Used}

Extraordinary Rendition -aka- "Torture by Proxy"
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Extraordinary_rendition_by_the_United_States
.
- - Thank You Prez Obama for Keeping Gitmo Open
- - and Starting Military Tribunals for Detainees

- It did not come from Gitmo.

Oops at least one of the 'Sources' was "KSM"*
a GitMo Detainee -another-inconvenient-truth-

* Khalid Sheikh Mohammed
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Khalid_Sheikh_Mohammed
.
- - Thank You Prez Obama for Torture Water-Broading Detainees

- That was AWOL, and not one single water boarding
- turned up any useful information. FACT.

"KSM"* was Water-Boraded many many many times
and gave-up a whole lot of useful 'intel' some of which
lead to Osama bin-Laden. -another-inconvenient-truth-
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Khalid_Sheikh_Mohammed

Larnrod : Stop Being a Member of 'The Cult of The Obama'
...and... Come Into The Light of The Truth
and The Way - Amen ~ RHF
.

.

386. Deconstructing President Obama, Taking Another 'Look' At Obama's {Claimed} Social Security Number

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 08:57:38 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <f86e127b-be06-4601-b3f0-5648d259040c@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 2, 11:32 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/2/2011 10:46 PM, BDK wrote:
>
> > In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> > 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
> >> Social Security Numbers .
>
> >> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> >> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> >> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>
> >>http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>
> > You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> > anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>
> "What she and fellow investigator Neil Sankey unearthed was a nugget
> that could have ended the career of a George Bush or a Sarah Palin:
> Barack Obama had been using a Social Security number issued in
> Connecticut between 1977 and 1979, a state in which he never lived or
> even visited at that time in his life."
>
> "All I can say," says Daniels of 042-68-4425, "is that it's phony and
> [Obama] has been using it, with it first appearing on his Selective
> Service document in 1980."
>
> Daniels sent me a copy of the hand-written application of the individual
> who held the number immediately before Obama's, 042-68-4424. The
> applicant, Thomas Wood, died at age 19, which is why his information is
> available.
>
> Wood's Social Security number was issued sometime between March and May
> of 1977. Obama would turn 16 in August of that year. Wood lived on
> Glenview Drive in Newington, Conn., the state from which all "042s"
> applied. Obama lived in Hawaii.
>
> True to form, the left-leaning media set out to undermine the Social
> Security controversy and ridicule the investigators. Revealing, however,
> is the weakness of the response.
>

> "Numbers are assigned based on the return address on the request
> envelope, not residency," crowed Jason Linkins in the Huffington Post as
> though he had said something meaningful. Linkins suggested two possible
> explanations, both preposterous.
>
> One is that Obama applied for his SSN as a little boy in Indonesia for
> no known reason, and the application just happened to be processed in
> Connecticut for no known reason either.
>
> For the second, Linkins cited the argument of Carole Glibert, in the
> Yahoo-related "Associated Content." Said Gilbert, presumably with a
> straight face, "In fact, Barack Obama's dad attended college in
> Connecticut and in 1977, Obama was college aged; is it beyond reason to
> consider that he might have checked out his father's alma mater?"
>
> Last time I checked, Harvard was in Massachusetts. The closest town to
> Harvard in Connecticut is about 90 minutes away, and there is no record
> that Obama Sr. lived there, let alone that Obama visited his imaginary
> alma mater and just happened to apply for a Social Security card while
> visiting.
>
> Daniels also sent me a copy of Obama's Selective Service data. Obama
> appears to have registered on Sept. 4, 1980, a month after his 19th
> birthday. The form lists the telling last four digits of his "042"
> number, "4425."
>
> This is the first use of the "042" number that Daniels could find. She
> is just not sure it is legitimate. Some have credibly argued that the
> Selective Service information was forged and backdated once Obama became
> a presidential candidate.
>
> "They were stupid to use the CT number on the [Selective Service] card,"
> Daniels adds, "because now there is no way for [Obama] to back out of
> that number."
>
> Other than the 1980 Selective Service registration, the first time
> Daniels could find Obama using the "042" number was in 1986 in Chicago.
>
> Read more: Another look at Obama's Social Security
numberhttp://www.wnd.com/index.php?pageId=275861#ixzz1LGhrdZA5
>
> More pompous sounding BS demonstrating your ignorance ... you deserve
> the title, you worked hard for it, "THE MOST STUPID MAN IN THE WORLD!"
>
> ROFLOL ... it just keeps getting better ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

JS,

Deconstructing President Obama, Taking Another 'Look'
At Obama's {Claimed} Social Security Number
http://www.wnd.com/index.php?pageId=275861#ixzz1LGhrdZA5
-if-it-was-not-true...-they-could-not-print-it-
.
FOIA Request : Obama's Social Security Number Goes
to Federal Court
http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=261033
-why-won't-obama-be-truthful-?-
.
The Mystery of Barack Obama Continues . . .
http://www.westernjournalism.com/exclusive-investigative-reports/the-mystery-of-barack-oba
ma-continues/
-the-fiction-that-a-real-obama-exists-
.
More Questions and Issues... Who or What Is Obama ?
http://latimesblogs.latimes.com/lanow/2011/05/cocal-birthers-urge-appeals-court-to-hear-th
eir-case-challenging-obamas-presidency.html
? The Obama Phony 'Birther' Certificate
? The Obama Fake Social Security Number
? The Obama Doctored Selective Service Registration
??? All the Seal Obama Records ???
-so-many-questions- -so-many-questions-
.
Orly Taitz Raises a New Issue: President Obama’s
Legal [Illegal] Social Security Number
http://blogs.wsj.com/washwire/2011/04/27/orly-taitz-raises-a-new-issue-obamas-social-secur
ity-number/
-what-is-the-truth-&-will-we-ever-know...-
.
Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/14c8bda3cdd9e12a

-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
-why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
-on-the-original-micro-film-record-
-and-who-made-them...-who...-
Again : Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-

-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-and- Creates Yet More Doubt !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/4825b17fcd0065ad
-doubt-reasonable-doubt-&-un-reasonable-doubt-
.
.

387. Re: Is this a QSL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 09:41:10 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7362e06b-5aef-4961-a596-cbe6d52711cd@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228314

On May 2, 8:05 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> OK, so the other night I heard the Australia VOLMET out of Brisbane
> Airport, Queensland. Made a nice recording, and shot it to the
> Brisbane Airport. Seeming to know nothing about it, the Airport
> people kindly referred me to this Peter Flint at Aviation services,
> who provided the following response:
>
> >Hi Bruce
> >That is indeed an Australian VOLMET broadcast, which I haven't heard before so thanks
for providing the url.
> >Regards
> >Peter
>
> _____
>
> >Peter Flint
> >Aviation Weather Services
> >Australian Bureau of Meteorology | Weather and Ocean Services Branch
> >GPO Box 1289 Melbourne VIC 3001
> >T 03 9669 4586 | F 03 9669 4695
> >p.fl...@bom.gov.au |www.bom.gov.au
> >Aviation Weather Services
> >Certified to AS/NZS ISO 9001:2000 Quality Management Standard
> >-----Original Message-----
> >Reference ID = 'REF2011-119-31'
> >Name = 'Bruce Jensen'
> >State/Territory = 'overseas'
> >email address = (blank)
> >Phone Number = none
> >Fri Apr 29 15:53:18 GMT 2011
> >Feedback regarding to: Aviation Services
> >Bruce Jensen wrote:-
> >Good day - I am both a meteorologist by training and a shortwave radio enthusiast, and I
live in California, USA. >As a result, I occasionally enjoy listening to VOLMETs from
various parts of the world for aviation weather >reports. The other evening, I was
fortunate to hear on my radio the Australian VOLMET at Brisbane Airport, with >a
pleasant-sounding female voice (apparently computer-generated) with atmospheric details
for various airport >cities. I recorded a segment of the broadcast.
> >My question is, Is there a person who I could contact that could provide verification,
either by letter or e-mail, >that in fact I heard the Australia VOLMET? In radio parlance,
this would be a request for a QSL or station >verification. I would be happy to provide the
recording and return postage, if by mail. In fact, the recording may >be found on the Web
at this audio link:
> >http://soundcloud.com/bpnjensen/australia-volmet-4-28-11-0730z

> >Any answer is greatly appreciated. Thank you!
> >Bruce Jensen
>
> I sent Peter a message thanking him for his kind reponse.
>
> So anyway, what do folks think? Is this a bona fide verification? Or
> should I pursue it further?
>
> Thanks,
> Bruce

AUSTRALIA VOLMET 4-28-11 0730z 6676 kHz USB
http://soundcloud.com/bpnjensen/australia-volmet-4-28-11-0730z
.
6676 kHz – Australian Volmet
http://www.solarix.net/2010/09/hf-volmet-broadcasts/
.
Australian Volmet @ 3 KW
on 2965 kHz; 6676 kHz; 11,387 kHz
.
6.676 : VOLMET : @00m & @30m
AXQ 429 : AUS : Australian (Brisbane)
Lat 27 04 10 S & Long 153 03 34 E
http://www.dxinfocentre.com/volmet.htm
.
ASIA VOLMET 2.965 6.676 11.387 MHz
AXQ-421: AUSTRALIAN : H + 00 & H + 30
Sydney, Brisbane, Cairns, Melbourne,
Townsville, Adelaide, Darwin, Perth
http://www.dxinfocentre.com/volmet-wx.htm
.
Ningi/Telstra SW Transmitter Info for Australian Volmet
http://fmscan.org/ml.php?r=s&sfm=0&t=37700018
.
NOTE : 6.676 MHz Volmet is not listed here
http://tantimat.com/tech/HFVolmet_1.pdf
.
Sydney (Kingsford-Smith) International Airport (YSSY)
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?aid=1117
.

388. May Day Is Now World-Wide Radio-Active Day !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 09:52:21 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <0697f9a1-1953-4a52-8cb5-634bfe4bc986@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228315

- On May 2, 8:34 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
- Chile Finds Radioactivity In Korean Cars
- http://www.rense.com
-
- The Newest thing in cars nowadays, Radioactivity.
- cuhulin

All Japanese Cars and Trucks Are Radio-Active !

Just Say NO To Japanese Nuked Anything !

All* Japanese Cars and Trucks Are Radio-Active !
* 99.73%

and that's the am/fm truth ;;-}} ~ RHF
-yes-'i'-am-xm-sirius-
.
We Need a 100% Radio-Active Pollution Tax On
All Imports From Japan; Korea; China and Taiwan.
-just-say-'no-to-radio-active-stuff-from-asia-
.
.

389. Hai i m karthika please register my mail if u register i get 1 daler its used to my studys please register

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 09:57:36 UTC
From: karthi saran <karthisaranbala@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <901ea9af-8dde-4bd4-8547-605f49219022@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228316

http://123maza.com/65/box991/

390. Obama In The Sky With Dollars...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 10:03:30 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <45c04529-f41c-4bf7-a485-2b98a4c67611@w5g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228317

On May 2, 2:33 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 2, 1:52 pm, Bob S <kerrykrisMY...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > It's been a bad, bad week for Smitty, The Parrot (Fisk) and the other
> > conspiracy nut cakes. Hopefully they'll come up with another conspiracy
> > soon so the entertainment doesn't end.
> > RIP birthers.....
> > --
> > For email reply remove MYHAT

- Some people just cannot tell when they've
- been hit in the face with a pie ;-)

BpnJ : ? What Flavor Is Your Pie !

Ruby In The Sky With Diamonds... ~ RHF
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V1Ar79f8aN8
.
.
So Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-details-details-details- -the-devil-is-in-the-detains...-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/14c8bda3cdd9e12a
.
.
Deconstructing President Obama, Taking Another 'Look'
At Obama's {Claimed} Social Security Number . . .
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/5bf7ee83d1c25a38
-what-a-tangled-web-they-weave...-
.
.

391. Re: May Day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 10:27:42 UTC
From: Warhol <molarh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <85ab0c0b-886a-4046-8669-1d41b3df72a9@x1g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228318
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.philosophy,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 3, 2:26 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/2/2011 5:11 PM, Mike Painter wrote:
>
> > Rocky wrote:
> > <Snip>
>
> >> Ever wonder why a nine layer document edited with Adobe Illustrated
> >> would be termed a Birth Certificate?
>
> >> Obama's NEW Birth Certificate proven to be fake hours after release
> >>http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread694949/pg1
>
> > ever wonder why a government with unlimited assets would use nine layers to
> > produce something on a computer when they could simply modify a form from
> > somebody else's original?
>
> You first have to take the file in and translate the text into a text
> file with OCR software.
>
> There are graphics editors which would even allow the editing on a pixel
> by pixel method(s.) However, although harder to catch the forgery,
> experts would have no problem ... but, the state of the art gets better
> and better ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

first they come with a photoshopped BC... and than we get a
photoshopped dead OBL...

http://youtu.be/qmd7vNNkwyM

Bin Laden is a fabricated myth, now morons will kill off each others,
stay off this crap pal...

Let the war on morons begins, hide your teen boys, tie them up in a
secret room downstairs if necessary, but never let this new brewing
world war take them away from you, they'll thank you later while they
come to visit you with your grandkids.

392. Useful ads

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 11:18:26 UTC
From: true believers <truebelieverspoints@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <80229628-d9b7-43f2-ab1c-19208b986749@w5g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228369

WWW.theonlinemedia.info

393. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 12:12:59 UTC
From: Tall King <blabber@fakeaddress.com>
Message-ID: <t9svr6deq1md8jqo82hr3a78004n7vb2ik@4ax.com>
Source record: 228370
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Mon, 2 May 2011 20:42:27 -0700 (PDT), Too_Many_Tools
<too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote:

>On May 2, 5:24ï¿½pm, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On Mon, 2 May 2011 13:48:22 -0700 (PDT), "jf...@my-deja.com"
>>
>> <jf...@my-deja.com> wrote:
>>
>> >> Failed to keep his pledge". ï¿½Then you are admitting the sob lied.
>> >> Closed Gitmo? ï¿½Another lie
>>
>> >> There have been...a few others....some 2065 or more.
>> >Thos are all irrelevant to the fact that you are a liar and
>> >deliberatelty and dishonestly misrepresnted his position on
>> >Afghanistan.
>>
>> Actually..no..its not irrelevant, except perhaps to a Leftwinger.
>>
>> But then..yall are mentally ill..so its not surprising
>>
>> Shrug
>>
>> Gunner
>>
>> --
>> "If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is eight,
>> it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
>> six. " ï¿½Jonah Goldberg (modified)
>
>Gummer...isn't it time for your shift at McDonalds?
>
>And hey...this time when you clean the toilets do them after the
>fryers...not before.
>
>And don't forget your cute little pointed hat!!!
>
>TMT

How juvenile!

394. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 12:20:07 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iportn$4ok$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/2/2011 8:34 PM, John Smith wrote:

> Quitters quit ...

Ahhh...a clear reference to Sarah Palin. For once, you are right on the mark!

395. Re: get kelp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 12:42:10 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dbff7d8$0$12484$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On 05/02/2011 06:57 PM, kb9rqz wrote:
> On Apr 24, 3:19 pm, kb9rqz<m...@kb9rqz.com> wrote:
>> On Apr 10, 6:05 pm, kb9rqz<m...@kb9rqz.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On Apr 9, 3:29 pm, "Roger Wiseman"<rwisema...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> "m II"<C...@in.the.hat> wrote in messagenews:4d9a883c$1@news.x-privat.org...
>>>>> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>>>>> Hash: '- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>> - Show quoted text -- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>

Still got about 700 or so tabs left. 225 ug each.
```

396. Re: A megameter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 12:43:54 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dbff840$0$12484$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228373

On 05/02/2011 10:34 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 3, 12:58 am, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 2, 9:42 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>> -
>>
>>
>>
>>> If Peter Flint is in/near Brisbane it must be OK .
>>
>> Well, he's in Melbourne, where the Aviation Weather Bureau is. That's
>> - what? - 600 miles south of Brisbane? He has confirmed that it's his
>> department's data that feeds the VOLMET signal, so that has to count
>> for something :-)
>
> Guess, we have to consider the size of the country . . . In this
> case- 600miles is not that far at all !

397. Space Weather from the guvmint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 12:55:10 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dbffae4$0$12458$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228374

Prepared jointly by the U.S. Dept. of Commerce, NOAA,
Space Weather Prediction Center and the U.S. Air Force.
Updated 2011 May 02 2200 UTC

Joint USAF/NOAA Report of Solar and Geophysical Activity
SDF Number 122 Issued at 2200Z on 02 May 2011

IA. Analysis of Solar Active Regions and Activity from 01/2100Z
to 02/2100Z: Solar activity was low. A filament disappeared from
S20E33 around 14Z. A concurrent CME was visible leaving off the east
limb based on the NASA SOHO LASCO C2 coronagraph, though it does not
initially appear that it will be geoeffective.

IB. Solar Activity Forecast: Solar activity is expected to be very
low to low for the next 3 days (3-5 May).

IIA. Geophysical Activity Summary 01/2100Z to 02/2100Z:
The geomagnetic field ranged from unsettled to minor storm conditions
due to coronal hole (CH) high-speed stream effects (HSS). Solar
winds speeds were sustained above 640 km/s during the period. The
greater than 2 MeV electron flux at geosynchronous orbit reached
high levels during the period.

IIB. Geophysical Activity Forecast: The geomagnetic field is
expected to be unsettled to active on day 1 (3 May) as CH HSS
effects wane. Mostly quiet conditions are forecasted for days 2 and
3 (4-5 May).

III. Event Probabilities 03 May-05 May
Class M 01/01/01
Class X 01/01/01
Proton 01/01/01
PCAF green

IV. Penticton 10.7 cm Flux
Observed 02 May 110
Predicted 03 May-05 May 110/110/110
90 Day Mean 02 May 108

V. Geomagnetic A Indices
Observed Afr/Ap 01 May 013/019
Estimated Afr/Ap 02 May 010/018
Predicted Afr/Ap 03 May-05 May 008/012-005/008-005/005

VI. Geomagnetic Activity Probabilities 03 May-05 May
A. Middle Latitudes
Active 40/20/20

Minor storm 05/01/01
Major-severe storm 01/01/01
B. High Latitudes
Active 60/30/20
Minor storm 20/01/01
Major-severe storm 05/01/01

398. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 13:25:23 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <hf00s61avbqo2mn8k0fn5aij3v0r6sp1ac@4ax.com>
Source record: 228376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Tue, 03 May 2011 08:20:07 -0400, Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
wrote:

>On 5/2/2011 8:34 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
>> Quitters quit ...
>
>Ahhh...a clear reference to Sarah Palin. For once, you are right on the
>mark!

She was in town and praised the Seals (everybody agrees here), and
praised President Bush, but didn't mention President Obama for getting
bin Laden.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

399. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 13:26:01 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipovpa$30f$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228375
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.atheism,alt.philosophy,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On 5/3/2011 5:50 AM, vtcapo wrote:

>> ...
>> --
>> "OK you cunts, let's see what you can do now" -Hit
Girlhttp://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CjO7kBqTFqo
>
> Spin it all you want but the Libs are going to get all the mileage
> they need with this event to get the Magic One re-elected.
>
> It's going to be a long hot summer of Tea Bagger discontent.
>
> RT
>

Maybe nancy regan did have it right, just say no.

NO more magic negros!

Regards,
JS

400. HOT PEOPLE HOT AND SEXY VIDEOS FOR U SEE AND ENJOY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 13:32:34 UTC
From: LPWS <3ghfhfffgg@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <cbd0966f-95a9-4df7-b5d2-85695dab1c5b@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228377

A TO Z INFORMATION SEE IN THIS SITE

http://atwoztopic.blogspot.com/

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS

http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

ALL JOBS SITES FREE SEE

http://jobstwo.blogspot.com/

HOT VIDEOS

http://hotvideotoou.blogspot.com/2011/01/kiss-videos.html

hot lip kiss
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hot-lip-kiss.html
hot kisses
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hot-kisses.html
hollywood hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hollywood-hot-videos.html
real hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/real-hot-videos.html
tamil hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/tamil-hot-videos.html

401. Re: May Day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 13:37:18 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipp0ee$30f$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228378
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.philosophy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

```
On 5/3/2011 3:27 AM, Warhol wrote:
> On May 3, 2:26 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/2/2011 5:11 PM, Mike Painter wrote:
>>
>>> Rocky wrote:
>>> <Snip>
>>
>>>> Ever wonder why a nine layer document edited with Adobe Illustrated
>>>> would be termed a Birth Certificate?
>>
>>>> Obama's NEW Birth Certificate proven to be fake hours after release
>>>> http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread694949/pg1
>>
>>> ever wonder why a government with unlimited assets would use nine layers to
>>> produce something on a computer when they could simply modify a form from
>>> somebody else's original?
>>
>> You first have to take the file in and translate the text into a text
>> file with OCR software.
>>
>> There are graphics editors which would even allow the editing on a pixel
>> by pixel method(s.) However, although harder to catch the forgery,
>> experts would have no problem ... but, the state of the art gets better
>> and better ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
>
>
> first they come with a photoshopped BC... and than we get a
> photoshopped dead OBL...
>
> http://youtu.be/qmd7vNNkwyM
>
> Bin Laden is a fabricated myth, now morons will kill off each others,
> stay off this crap pal...
>
> Let the war on morons begins, hide your teen boys, tie them up in a
> secret room downstairs if necessary, but never let this new brewing
> world war take them away from you, they'll thank you later while they
> come to visit you with your grandkids.
>
```

Osama bin Bogeyman?

This will be a control tool which is used on children worldwide ... as this newsgroup demonstrates so well!

The debunkers were all too scared to go to bed last night, fearing the OBM was under their beds!

Guess they just hadn't gotten around to debunking the osama myth of 9/11, world domination from caves, etc. yet ...

Regards,
JS

402. HOT PEOPLE HOT AND SEXY VIDEOS FOR U SEE AND ENJOY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 13:39:18 UTC
From: 7631 <4jgsdhgffgf@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <a34f5fc3-0704-44c0-94fa-a34ed4d2babf@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228379

A TO Z INFORMATION SEE IN THIS SITE

http://atwoztopic.blogspot.com/

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS

http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

ALL JOBS SITES FREE SEE

http://jobstwo.blogspot.com/

HOT VIDEOS

http://hotvideotoou.blogspot.com/2011/01/kiss-videos.html

hot lip kiss
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hot-lip-kiss.html
hot kisses
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hot-kisses.html
hollywood hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hollywood-hot-videos.html
real hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/real-hot-videos.html
tamil hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/tamil-hot-videos.html

403. Re: Where is osamas' birth certificate, a copy needs stapled to his death certificate! Or, else he will live again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 13:40:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipp0kl$30f$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228380
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.politics

On 5/3/2011 2:13 AM, fergo wrote:

> ...
> There I go, making an opinion before I get the facts. It's coming out in
> the news that America offered Binladen to Pakistan and Saudi Arabia and
> no one wanted him.
>
> Did you know Clinton officials tried to warn Bush officials about
> Binladen? If only Bush had listened.
>
>

Unfortunently, the Osama bin Bogeyman died years ago ... however, being short on excuses, diversion tactics and imagination, this is the best the magic negro group could come up with ...

Regards,
JS

404. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 13:43:28 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipp0q0$30f$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228381
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.rec.golf

On 5/3/2011 2:58 AM, Gunner Asch wrote:

>> ...
> If only that dumb bastard Clinton had taken him during any of the (5)
> opportunities Clinton was given..and refused each time.
>
> 9-11 would never have happened, the Middle East wouldnt have boiled over
> and Al quida would still be a small group of butt stupid sheep herders
>
> Sorry about telling you the truth..but you must have been living in a
> cave or jail or something during Clintons administration
>
> Gunner
>

I thought, eventually, some administration would determine that osama
was actually a vampire -- having lived longer than possible for the
human lifespan, around 2070.

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

405. Re: Osama bin Bogeyman dead? Children rebel worldwide at loss of fairy tale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 13:51:25 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipp18u$30f$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228382
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.atheism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On 5/3/2011 3:58 AM, HVAC wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 12:43 AM, Ingo Heinscher wrote:
>>
>>
>> (3000 dead). Plus any US and allied soldiers (tens of thousands) killed
>> in the two wars the US started in its frenzy afterwards. Plus the
>> billions of dollars spent, which accelerated considerably the coming of
>> the financial crisis. Plus the cost of all those stupid security
>> measures added to civilian airplanes (just think of all the
>> businessmen-work-hours spent waiting on the airports, to name just one
>> example). Plus the virtually destroyed US reputation.
>
> "We will pay any price, bear any burden..."
>
> Those words mean anything to you?
>

Yes, you see them being screamed in the news all the time, by patrons of
homosexual bath houses as they are arrested!

>
>> Hm, Germany became that almost pacisfist country without any nukes.
>
> They could afford to be pacifist. They had the might of
> the USA which DID have the bomb to back them up.
>

Too bad they didn't have the power of homeland security behind them.
The public molestation of women and children could have began so much
sooner!

>
>> Even
>> the most optimistic estimations say that the bombs merely saved a few
>> ten thousand US soldier lifes, and beyond that, without the Manhattan
>> Project, the war would have ended with a US victory anyways.
>
> Well, we could have continued the firebombing of jap cities, with
> the resultant deaths of millions of japs. Eventually we would have
> had to invade the jap homeland or settle for terms which would have
> left their military government in place.
>

obama has realized that mistake, today he would have attempted to form
an alliance with them and attack Sweden! From there, spring-boarding to

NWO and world domination!

Regards,
JS

406. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 13:58:00 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipp1l9$30f$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228383
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,alt.california,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.golf

On 5/3/2011 6:35 AM, Jeff M wrote:

> ...
> Sheesh, where do you come up with this stuff? Did you drop a lot of LSD
> back in the day? All birth certificates are merely a "piece of paper
> with typed symbols" upon it, in the final, albeit ridiculous, analysis.
> Moreover, a birth certificate is, in and of itself, "sufficient
> verifiable information to demonstrate the claim," because that is the
> sole purpose of a birth certificate, to provide verified information
> relating to birth. It's utterly absurd to demand proof of proof.
>
>> >
>> > The document
>>> Obama released back in 2008 was definitely a birth certificate according
>>> to Hawaii state law and is accepted as such by federal law, and it is,
>>> indeed, an official birth certificate, entirely sufficient for every
>>> legal purpose a birth certificate can be used for.
>>
>

Yeah, makes perfect sense!

If a document is missing a lot of pertinent data and bears the words,
"Certification of Live Birth", why should anyone question that a REAL
birth certificate exists with the documentation certifies?

That becomes in vogue and keeps up and rationality will catch on!

Regards,
JS

407. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 13:59:55 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipp1or$30f$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228384
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.rec.golf

```
On 5/3/2011 6:46 AM, Anthony wrote:
> "Car Crashes Mean Car Sales - GM loves highway criminals"
> <betaxxx@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:1c268d8f-4966-464c-a29b-4932fa30426c@bl1g2000vbb.googlegroups.com...
> On May 2, 9:51 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 2, 11:47 pm, Car Crashes Mean Car Sales - GM loves highway
>>
>> criminals<beta...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>> We all know why. No evidence. All the evidence points to inside job.
>>
>>> Now it's - Dead men tell no tales.
>>
>> He has been dead for years!
>
> I realize that. I don't think obama killed him over the weekend, but
> he says he did and now he has to explain why he destroyed the evidence.
> ------------------------------------------------------------------------
>
> He did explain. You just didn't like his answer.
>
>

Yeah, I don't remember the words exactly, so I paraphrase here, "THE
DAMN DOG ATE MY HOMEWORK!"

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS
```

408. Re: Obama releases birth certificate for a second time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 14:03:47 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipp204$30f$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228385
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,soc.retirement,misc.survivalism,alt.california,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.golf

On 5/3/2011 6:35 AM, Jeff M wrote:

> ...
> Sheesh, where do you come up with this stuff? Did you drop a lot of LSD
> back in the day? All birth certificates are merely a "piece of paper
> with typed symbols" upon it, in the final, albeit ridiculous, analysis.
> Moreover, a birth certificate is, in and of itself, "sufficient
> verifiable information to demonstrate the claim," because that is the
> sole purpose of a birth certificate, to provide verified information
> relating to birth. It's utterly absurd to demand proof of proof.
>
>> >
>> > The document
>>> Obama released back in 2008 was definitely a birth certificate according
>>> to Hawaii state law and is accepted as such by federal law, and it is,
>>> indeed, an official birth certificate, entirely sufficient for every
>>> legal purpose a birth certificate can be used for.
>>
>

Yeah, makes perfect sense!

If a document is missing a lot of pertinent data and bears the words,
"Certification of Live Birth", why should anyone question that a REAL
birth certificate exists which the documentation certifies?

That becomes in vogue and keeps up and rationality will catch on!

Regards,
JS

409. Re: May Day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 14:07:36 UTC
From: HVAC <mr.hvac@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ipp26hlik2@hvac.motzarella.org>
Source record: 228386
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.philosophy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On 5/3/2011 9:37 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
>>
>
> Osama bin Bogeyman?
>
> This will be a control tool which is used on children worldwide ... as
> this newsgroup demonstrates so well!
>
> The debunkers were all too scared to go to bed last night, fearing the
> OBM was under their beds!
>
> Guess they just hadn't gotten around to debunking the osama myth of
> 9/11, world domination from caves, etc. yet ...

Wow! It didn't take long for you to reveal what a
true kook you are, Jackie.

--
"OK you cunts, let's see what you can do now" -Hit Girl
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CjO7kBqTFqo

410. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 14:09:56 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipp2bl$30f$11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On 5/3/2011 6:25 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Tue, 03 May 2011 08:20:07 -0400, Joe from Kokomo<joe@indy.net>
> wrote:
>
>> On 5/2/2011 8:34 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> Quitters quit ...
>>
>> Ahhh...a clear reference to Sarah Palin. For once, you are right on the
>> mark!
>
> She was in town and praised the Seals (everybody agrees here), and
> praised President Bush, but didn't mention President Obama for getting
> bin Laden.
>

I don't think she even realized the president was now obama. She is
still running her 2008 bid to seek nomination!

Regards,
JS
```

411. Re: Will the Obama 'Birthers' Certificate V2.0 Stop The Truth ? -more-questions...-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 14:14:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipp2jq$30f$12@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228388
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/3/2011 4:45 AM, BAR wrote:

> ...
> Obama is the first US President to go around the world bowing to every
> petty dictator he encounters.

Actually, in obamas' case, that is a homosexual signal offering oral sex
to the dictator/dignitary.

Regards,
JS

412. Re: Osama bin Bogeyman dead? Children rebel worldwide at loss of fairy tale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 14:18:13 UTC
From: HVAC <mr.hvac@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ipp2qf$4iq$1@hvac.motzarella.org>
Source record: 228389
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.atheism,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On 5/3/2011 9:51 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
>>
>> "We will pay any price, bear any burden..."
>>
>> Those words mean anything to you?
>>
>
> Yes, you see them being screamed in the news all the time, by patrons of
> homosexual bath houses as they are arrested!

So you're familiar with the screams that come from gay bath houses?
That's very avant-garde of you. Are you an interior decorator?

>>> Hm, Germany became that almost pacisfist country without any nukes.
>>
>> They could afford to be pacifist. They had the might of
>> the USA which DID have the bomb to back them up.
>>
>
> Too bad they didn't have the power of homeland security behind them. The
> public molestation of women and children could have began so much sooner!

I guess that's one way of looking at it...A *kooky* way.

>> Well, we could have continued the firebombing of jap cities, with
>> the resultant deaths of millions of japs. Eventually we would have
>> had to invade the jap homeland or settle for terms which would have
>> left their military government in place.
>>
>
> obama has realized that mistake, today he would have attempted to form
> an alliance with them and attack Sweden! From there, spring-boarding to
> NWO and world domination!

What time did the USS Reality leave your dock?

--
"OK you cunts, let's see what you can do now" -Hit Girl
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CjO7kBqTFqo

413. Re: May Day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 14:18:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipp2ra$30f$14@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228390
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.conspiracy,alt.philosophy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,rec.sport.golf

On 5/3/2011 7:07 AM, HVAC wrote:

>> ...
>> Osama bin Bogeyman?
>>
>> This will be a control tool which is used on children worldwide ... as
>> this newsgroup demonstrates so well!
>>
>> The debunkers were all too scared to go to bed last night, fearing the
>> OBM was under their beds!
>>
>> Guess they just hadn't gotten around to debunking the osama myth of
>> 9/11, world domination from caves, etc. yet ...
>
>
> Wow! It didn't take long for you to reveal what a
> true kook you are, Jackie.
>

You piece of obese crap. Quit sucking up air which sane human beings
can use ...

... plonk ...

Regards,
JS

414. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 14:19:23 UTC
From: "jfeng@my-deja.com" <jfeng@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <58a0239c-0384-4b12-a08b-777954bcdab5@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228391
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 2, 9:24 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 2, 8:06 am, "jf...@my-deja.com" <jf...@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > On May 2, 4:29 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
> > > Afterall he promised to pull all the troops out of Iraq and Afghanistan
> > > > within 6 months of his election to president!
>
> > > > So again..he lied.
>
> > > > Gunner
>
> > Liar. He did fail to keep his pledge to get out of Iraq.
>
> Sorry but Obama did pull ALL combat units OUT of Iraq and is drawing
> down the others. Promise KEPT.
I am pretty sure that he missed the six month deadline, but I would
attribute it to domestic politcal opposition rather than to a lack of
will on his part. Similarly, the inability to remove the prisoners
from Guantanemo was not because he did not try, but because his
political gadflies deliberately put obstacles in his way without
providing any constructive alternatives. When he tries to keep his
promises, but cannot only because of political obstructionsism by the
Publicans, it is illogical to call those "lies".

415. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 14:21:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipp321$30f$15@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228392
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/3/2011 7:19 AM, jfeng@my-deja.com wrote:

>> ...
> I am pretty sure that he missed the six month deadline, but I would
> attribute it to domestic politcal opposition rather than to a lack of
> will on his part. Similarly, the inability to remove the prisoners
> from Guantanemo was not because he did not try, but because his
> political gadflies deliberately put obstacles in his way without
> providing any constructive alternatives. When he tries to keep his
> promises, but cannot only because of political obstructionsism by the
> Publicans, it is illogical to call those "lies".

Unique!

First time I heard that, so you are saying, "The devil stopped him from
doing it!"

That is certainly a new spin on things!

ROLOL

Regards,
JS

416. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 14:35:23 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DC012AB.1090504@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 228393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/3/2011 9:25 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Tue, 03 May 2011 08:20:07 -0400, Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
> wrote:
>
>> On 5/2/2011 8:34 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> Quitters quit ...
>>
>> Ahhh...a clear reference to Sarah Palin. For once, you are right on the
>> mark!
>
> She was in town and praised the Seals (everybody agrees here), and
> praised President Bush, but didn't mention President Obama for getting
> bin Laden.

Didn't Liberals want to put Bush on trial for war crimes and Bush didn't fly into a neutral country and assasinate the leader of the the other guys?

Oh wait..... Bush and Obama both did that.

Well Obama didn't "water-boad" torture the guys, no Obama just summarily executed them on the spot. The same as he is doing with missiles from drones, only this time he wanted a spoon full of DNA.

If Bush had executed all the bad guys like Obama has been doing, we wouldn't have ever got Usama Bin Ladin.

Obama taking bows for what was the success of those that came before him is typical of Obama, Obama whines about an economic mess and now he uses "I" when someone leaves him with a military winner that he has realized rather than messing it up like he has done with Afghanistan.

417. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 14:40:00 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipp441$30f$16@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/3/2011 7:35 AM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:

> ...
> If Bush had executed all the bad guys like Obama has been doing, we
> wouldn't have ever got Usama Bin Ladin.
> ...

Biggest mistake of obama is he didn't dump osamas' body in Fort Marcy
Park next to the spot they found Vince Foster!

klinton knew better, the body has to be examined so you know he is dead!

Regards,
JS

418. Re: May Day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 14:49:20 UTC
From: HVAC <mr.hvac@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ipp4koqaf1@hvac.motzarella.org>
Source record: 228395
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.conspiracy,alt.philosophy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,rec.sport.golf

On 5/3/2011 10:18 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Wow! It didn't take long for you to reveal what a
>> true kook you are, Jackie.
>>
>
> You piece of obese crap. Quit sucking up air which sane human beings can
> use ...
>
> ... plonk ...
>
> Regards,

LOL

--
"OK you cunts, let's see what you can do now" -Hit Girl
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CjO7kBqTFqo

419. BOOGERMAN TERROR SPEECH AND TELEPROMPTER DEMONSTRATION.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 15:12:33 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipp612$m58$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228396
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.rec.golf,alt.politics

http://www.infowars.com/obama-shamefully-exploits-bin-laden-fairytale-in-transparent-pr-stunt/

Regards,
JS

420. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 15:44:01 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DC022C1.2050601@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 228397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/3/2011 10:40 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 7:35 AM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>
>> ...
>> If Bush had executed all the bad guys like Obama has been doing, we
>> wouldn't have ever got Usama Bin Ladin.
>> ...
>
> Biggest mistake of obama is he didn't dump osamas' body in Fort Marcy
> Park next to the spot they found Vince Foster!
>
> klinton knew better, the body has to be examined so you know he is dead!

Usama Bin Laden commits suicide in D.C. Park....

I like it!

421. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 15:57:13 UTC
From: Colanth <colanth@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <2e90s6pff8mm6b3rusdh38bbbbmsunn0g2@4ax.com>
Source record: 228398
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.atheism,alt.philosophy,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On Tue, 03 May 2011 06:26:01 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>On 5/3/2011 5:50 AM, vtcapo wrote:
>
>>> ...
>>> --
>>> "OK you cunts, let's see what you can do now" -Hit
Girlhttp://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CjO7kBqTFqo
>>
>> Spin it all you want but the Libs are going to get all the mileage
>> they need with this event to get the Magic One re-elected.
>>
>> It's going to be a long hot summer of Tea Bagger discontent.

>Maybe nancy regan did have it right, just say no.

Okay, NO! Or in Usenet terms, <plonk>
--
"They want the federal government controlling Social Security like
it's some kind of federal program." - George W. Bush, November 2, 2000

422. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 16:04:58 UTC
From: Gunner Asch <gunnerasch@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5r90s69nkcago3gfof2cak79pkh8n1q3nn@4ax.com>
Source record: 228399
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Tue, 03 May 2011 07:21:52 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>On 5/3/2011 7:19 AM, jfeng@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >> ...
>> I am pretty sure that he missed the six month deadline, but I would
>> attribute it to domestic politcal opposition rather than to a lack of
>> will on his part. Similarly, the inability to remove the prisoners
>> from Guantanemo was not because he did not try, but because his
>> political gadflies deliberately put obstacles in his way without
>> providing any constructive alternatives. When he tries to keep his
>> promises, but cannot only because of political obstructionsism by the
>> Publicans, it is illogical to call those "lies".
>
>Unique!
>
>First time I heard that, so you are saying, "The devil stopped him from
>doing it!"
>
>That is certainly a new spin on things!
>
>ROLOL
>
>Regards,
>JS

Particularlty when he sent in an ADDITIONAL 135,000 troops during the
surge.

Tends to make one believe that all of the Obamassiah supporters are no
different then Jim Jones supporters.

Gunner

--
"If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is eight,
it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)

423. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 16:09:49 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipp9ce$3o6$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228400
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.atheism,alt.philosophy,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On 5/3/2011 8:57 AM, Colanth wrote:

>>> ...
>>> It's going to be a long hot summer of Tea Bagger discontent.
>
>> Maybe nancy regan did have it right, just say no.
>
> Okay, NO! Or in Usenet terms,<plonk>
> --
> "They want the federal government controlling Social Security like
> it's some kind of federal program." - George W. Bush, November 2, 2000
>

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pZyPaivOARM

Barack the Magic Negro lives in D.C.
The L.A. Times, they called him that
ï¿½Cause heï¿½s not authentic like me.
Yeah, the guy from the L.A. paper
Said he makes guilty whites feel good
Theyï¿½ll vote for him, and not for me
ï¿½Cause heï¿½s not from the hood.

See, real black men, like Snoop Dog,
Or me, or Farrakhan
Have talked the talk, and walked the walk.
Not come in late and won!

Oh, Barack the Magic Negro, lives in D.C.
The L.A. Times, they called him that
ï¿½Cause heï¿½s black, but not authentically.
Oh, Barack the Magic Negro, lives in D.C.
The L.A. Times, they called him that
ï¿½Cause heï¿½s black, but not authentically.

Some say Barackï¿½s ï¿½articulateï¿½
And bright and new and ï¿½clean.ï¿½
The media sure loves this guy,
A white interloperï¿½s dream!
But, when you vote for president,
Watch out, and donï¿½t be fooled!
Donï¿½t vote the Magic Negro in ï¿½
ï¿½Cause ï¿½

ï¿½Cause I wonï¿½t have nothing after all these years of sacrifice
And I wonï¿½t get justice. This is about justice. This isnï¿½t about me, itï¿½s about justice.
Itï¿½s about buffet. I donï¿½t have no buffet and there wonï¿½t be any church contributions,
And thereï¿½ll be no cash in the collection plate.
There ainï¿½t gonna be no cash money, no walkinï¿½ around money, no phoning money.
Now, Barack going to come in here and ....

424. Re: get kelp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 16:17:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27836-4DC02A9F-2568@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228401

Strange Emissions by Sun Are Suddenly Mutating Matter.
http://www.standeyo.com

I know what it is, it's The BLOB.It is going to eat Planet Earth.We are DOOMED!
cuhulin

425. OT: Larry: Map of dangerous places to live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 16:20:52 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc02b49$0$12450$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228402

http://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2011/05/01/weekinreview/01safe.html

426. Re: MAGIC ACT! Five cent admission!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 17:05:05 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ippck1$r18$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228403
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/3/2011 9:34 AM, Tango wrote:

>>> ...
>>> Ever since the old wild west days, you can't collect the reward for
>>> bringing in some one "Dead or Alive" until you produce the body!
>>>
>>> I mean, if the logic of that escapes you, I am left speechless!
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>>
>>
>> The reward doesn't apply. This was a military operation.
>>
>> The SEALs don't get to collect a reward. This was their job.
>
> At least for Che Guevara they [CIA] showed the cadaver.
> Why such precipitation now to make BL corpse disappear?
>

Yeah. Lack of a body pretty much means unacceptable proof. Should have
brought it back and pickled it for posterity.

http://www.presstv.ir/detail/177993.html

http://www.monstersandcritics.com/news/middleeast/news/article_1636793.php/Jordan-Islamists-blast-dumping-bin-Laden-body-in-sea-as-terror-act

http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/Eye-on-UP-polls-Digvijay-slams-Osamas-sea-burial/articleshow/8147868.cms

There is just no excuse for this slap in the face, "HEY, I KILLED HIM!
Hell NO! You can't see the body, do tests, have it verified by third
parties!"

I guess those who have seen, "Disappearing body acts" done by magic
negros find it pretty ho hum.

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

427. Re: AMERICAN TERRORIST ATTACKS ARE NOT COVERED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 17:10:24 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ippcu0$r18$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228404
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/3/2011 9:37 AM, Tango wrote:

>> ...
>> One thing for certain! If osamas' relatives want a real death
>> certificate, DON'T LET OBAMAS' GANG EDIT ONE
>> UP IN ADOBE ILLUSTRATOR!
>>
>> ROFLOL
>>
>
> Did BL have a life insurance for his relatives?
> Which company?

More importantly, does it cover American Terrorist Attacks on foreign soil?

You know those damn insurance companies, they attempt to weasel out of paying. Probably a clause negating payment if death occurs by American Terrorists!

Regards,
JS

428. Re: Ben Laden dead! Time to rejoice!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 17:11:32 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ippd05$r18$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228405
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,sci.military.naval,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

On 5/3/2011 10:07 AM, Ingo Heinscher wrote:
> Am 03.05.2011 13:07, schrieb HVAC:
>
> [...]
>> Why would we afford Bin Laden *American* civil rights?
>
> What about inalienable *human* rights?
>
>

Doesn't apply to American Terrorist Attacks?

Regards,
JS

429. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 17:12:57 UTC
From: "jfeng@my-deja.com" <jfeng@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <0a8edb0f-facf-480d-8356-870bf11d4886@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 3, 6:25 am, Howard Brazee <how...@brazee.net> wrote:
> On Tue, 03 May 2011 08:20:07 -0400, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net>
> wrote:
>
> >On 5/2/2011 8:34 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
> >> Quitters quit ...
>
> >Ahhh...a clear reference to Sarah Palin. For once, you are right on the
> >mark!
>
> She was in town and praised the Seals (everybody agrees here), and
> praised President Bush, but didn't mention President Obama for getting
> bin Laden.
>
> --
> "In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
> than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
> to the legislature, and not to the executive department."
>
> - James Madison
Remember the 2008 campaign? Candidate Obama was repeatedly saying
"If the United States has al Qaeda, bin Laden, top-level lieutenants
in our sights and Pakistan is unable or unwilling to act, the we
should take them out." And the Sarah opposed this and ridiculed it as
"invading the sovereign terriroty" of a partner in the war on terror.

430. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 17:14:03 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ippd4r$r18$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228407
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.true-crime,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/3/2011 9:51 AM, Bob Casanova wrote:

>> ...
>> No. It is your brain rotting. But, I have learned to stand downwind
>
> Do you habitually stand downwind of noxious odors?
> Interesting...
>
>> ... I trust others follow suit.
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS

Yes. When attempting to guard yourself from dangerous beasts, downwind
so you can smell their approach is best ...

Does seem counter intuitive, at first ...

Regards,
JS

431. Re: Osama bin Bogeyman dead? Children rebel worldwide at loss of ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 17:19:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27841-4DC0392D-260@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228408

Maybe they killed one of Osama Bin Laden's Look-A-Likes.Saddam Hussen
had some Look-A-Likes.

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=MSNBC+Geraldo+Rivera+Osama+Bin+Laden

Can you believe that Sheet?

White House is fixin to do another briefing for the masses.
cuhulin

432. Re: How to forensically examine the FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 17:29:03 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ippe0v$r18$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228409
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.politics,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/3/2011 9:38 AM, dmaster wrote:
> On Monday, May 2, 2011 7:53:46 PM UTC-5, John Smith wrote:
> ...
>> You are insane.
>>
>> The text can only exist as a separate layer in the fraudulent document
>> if OCR was ran upon the document ...
> ...
>> JS
>
> I continue be amazed how you make absolute statements on a topic you clearly know nothing about. OCR (Optical Character Recognition) is a tool (or process) whereby text is recovered from a bit mapped image. OCR doesn't do anything to the source image. It simply tries to identify all the text in the image. The intent is to automate text capture from an image file by avoiding the error prone procedure of having a human look read the image and type the text.
>
> Since you demonstrate some knowledge of firearms, let me give you an analogy that might make sense to you. "The assault rifle could only be that inaccurate if someone had purposely changed the calibration of the rifle's speedometer." Do you get it? In the same way, the sentence you wrote is also complete nonsense.
>
> Dan (Woj...)
>

THIS SHOULD GET ANY NEWBIE STARTED IN CREATING THEIR OWN FRAUDULENT
BIRTH CERTIFICATES! (Morons excluded ...)

How to Edit Text After Scanning:

http://www.wikihow.com/Edit-Text-After-Scanning

http://www.ehow.com/how_4869201_scan-document-edit-text.html

I am telling you, learning to use a search engine and doing some
research before you go into an insane rage spouting imbecelic rants will
go far into making you look less moronic!

Here is a link to the bogus birth certificate, containing the layers and
edited computer text:
http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss_viewer/birth-certificate-long-form.pdf

Here is a trial version of Adobe Illustrator so you can examine the fraud:
http://www.adobe.com/downloads/

Here is video on how to examine it:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7s9StxsFllY

If that tutorial doesn't work for you, check out the many available on youtube or the web.

Finally, please stop looking like an incompetent moron and invoking disgust and pity ...

Regards,
JS

433. Re: The computer age for morons ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 17:31:25 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ippe5d$r18$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228410
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.politics,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/3/2011 9:49 AM, dmaster wrote:

> ...
> Perhaps you should take your own advice, since you clearly continue to prattle on where you have no knowledge.
>
> Those of us old enough to have used typewriters know that there can be tremendous variability in the letters, based on the key strike.
> Scanners don't produce PDF files; they produce bitmaps.
> Bitmaps don't have layers.
> A PDF generator (probably Adobe's) was used to create a PDF from the bitmapped image, most likely because PDFs are more difficult to modify than image files.
> Modern PDF generators support creating documents with fields in them, usually for the convenience of creating standard forms.
> I'm guessing, but all the so-called "evidence of fraud" looks to be nothing more than the side effect of the particular PDF creation process (or possibly even the process of Illustrator opening the document).
>
> Dan (Woj...)

THIS SHOULD GET ANY NEWBIE STARTED IN CREATING THEIR OWN FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATES! (Morons excluded ...)

How to Edit Text After Scanning:

http://www.wikihow.com/Edit-Text-After-Scanning

http://www.ehow.com/how_4869201_scan-document-edit-text.html

I am telling you, learning to use a search engine and doing some research before you go into an insane rage spouting imbecelic rants will go far into making you look less moronic!

Here is a link to the bogus birth certificate, containing the layers and edited computer text:
http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss_viewer/birth-certificate-long-form.pdf

Here is a trial version of Adobe Illustrator so you can examine the fraud:
http://www.adobe.com/downloads/

Here is video on how to examine it:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7s9StxsFllY

If that tutorial doesn't work for you, check out the many available on youtube or the web.

Finally, please stop looking like an incompetent moron and invoking disgust and pity ...

Regards,
JS

434. Re: May Day! MORON ATTACK! WARNING WILL ROBINSON, WARNING, WARNING!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 17:33:54 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ippea2$r18$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228411
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/3/2011 10:31 AM, dmaster wrote:

>> --
>> "OK you cunts, let's see what you can do now" -Hit Girl
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CjO7kBqTFqo
>
> It's gotten to the point where just about everything that comes from JS pegs the irony-o-meter to the breaking point.
>
> Dan (Woj...)

OMG!

The sheer "Moronity" of it all!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

435. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 17:37:47 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DC03D6B.3040100@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 228412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/3/2011 1:12 PM, jfeng@my-deja.com wrote:
> On May 3, 6:25 am, Howard Brazee <how...@brazee.net> wrote:
>> On Tue, 03 May 2011 08:20:07 -0400, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/2/2011 8:34 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>> Quitters quit ...
>>
>>> Ahhh...a clear reference to Sarah Palin. For once, you are right on the
>>> mark!
>>
>> She was in town and praised the Seals (everybody agrees here), and
>> praised President Bush, but didn't mention President Obama for getting
>> bin Laden.
>>
>> --
>> "In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
>> than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
>> to the legislature, and not to the executive department."
>>
>> - James Madison
> Remember the 2008 campaign? Candidate Obama was repeatedly saying
> "If the United States has al Qaeda, bin Laden, top-level lieutenants
> in our sights and Pakistan is unable or unwilling to act, the we
> should take them out." And the Sarah opposed this and ridiculed it as
> "invading the sovereign terriroty" of a partner in the war on terror.

As it was....

436. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 17:47:28 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DC03FB0.1060200@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 228413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/3/2011 1:12 PM, jfeng@my-deja.com wrote:
> On May 3, 6:25 am, Howard Brazee <how...@brazee.net> wrote:
>> On Tue, 03 May 2011 08:20:07 -0400, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/2/2011 8:34 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>> Quitters quit ...
>>
>>> Ahhh...a clear reference to Sarah Palin. For once, you are right on the
>>> mark!
>>
>> She was in town and praised the Seals (everybody agrees here), and
>> praised President Bush, but didn't mention President Obama for getting
>> bin Laden.
>>
>> --
>> "In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
>> than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
>> to the legislature, and not to the executive department."
>>
>> - James Madison
> Remember the 2008 campaign? Candidate Obama was repeatedly saying
> "If the United States has al Qaeda, bin Laden, top-level lieutenants
> in our sights and Pakistan is unable or unwilling to act, the we
> should take them out." And the Sarah opposed this and ridiculed it as
> "invading the sovereign terriroty" of a partner in the war on terror.

As it was....

And Sarah Palin might would have gone in and taken and dropped Bin Laden
in the ocean "dead or alive" and never made it public and it would be
like Jimmy Hoffa, we'd all know he's not here anymore..... no day no
date to celebrate and no grave to worship at and no claim that she had
killed Bin Laden for political gain, and no pissed off Pakistanis.

But all we can be sure of is that Obama wanted credit for killing Bin Laden.

437. Re: Ben Laden dead! Time to rejoice!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 17:50:48 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6813-4DC04078-1@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228416

Bin Laden Photo
http://www.libertypost.org

It links to LiveLeak.com website thangy.
LiveLeaks R LiveLeak.com
cuhulin

438. Re: A Bad Week For BS ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 17:51:37 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ippfba$4rf$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/3/2011 10:37 AM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 1:12 PM, jfeng@my-deja.com wrote:
>> On May 3, 6:25 am, Howard Brazee<how...@brazee.net> wrote:
>>> On Tue, 03 May 2011 08:20:07 -0400, Joe from Kokomo<j...@indy.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> On 5/2/2011 8:34 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>> Quitters quit ...
>>>
>>>> Ahhh...a clear reference to Sarah Palin. For once, you are right on the
>>>> mark!
>>>
>>> She was in town and praised the Seals (everybody agrees here), and
>>> praised President Bush, but didn't mention President Obama for getting
>>> bin Laden.
>>>
>>> --
>>> "In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
>>> than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
>>> to the legislature, and not to the executive department."
>>>
>>> - James Madison
>> Remember the 2008 campaign? Candidate Obama was repeatedly saying
>> "If the United States has al Qaeda, bin Laden, top-level lieutenants
>> in our sights and Pakistan is unable or unwilling to act, the we
>> should take them out." And the Sarah opposed this and ridiculed it as
>> "invading the sovereign terriroty" of a partner in the war on terror.
>
> As it was....

Yes, that is what I own weapons for. "They" invade the US and start attempting to assassinate legal public servants and I'll shoot their arses off, let alone molesting my family, me, my friends, my institutions, etc.

Quaddfi needs to arm his citizens!

Regards,
JS

439. Re: A Bad Week For BS ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 17:58:33 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DC04249.2040009@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 228415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/3/2011 1:51 PM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 10:37 AM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>> On 5/3/2011 1:12 PM, jfeng@my-deja.com wrote:
>>> On May 3, 6:25 am, Howard Brazee<how...@brazee.net> wrote:
>>>> On Tue, 03 May 2011 08:20:07 -0400, Joe from Kokomo<j...@indy.net>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/2/2011 8:34 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>> Quitters quit ...
>>>>
>>>>> Ahhh...a clear reference to Sarah Palin. For once, you are right on
>>>>> the
>>>>> mark!
>>>>
>>>> She was in town and praised the Seals (everybody agrees here), and
>>>> praised President Bush, but didn't mention President Obama for getting
>>>> bin Laden.
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> "In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
>>>> than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
>>>> to the legislature, and not to the executive department."
>>>>
>>>> - James Madison
>>> Remember the 2008 campaign? Candidate Obama was repeatedly saying
>>> "If the United States has al Qaeda, bin Laden, top-level lieutenants
>>> in our sights and Pakistan is unable or unwilling to act, the we
>>> should take them out." And the Sarah opposed this and ridiculed it as
>>> "invading the sovereign terriroty" of a partner in the war on terror.
>>
>> As it was....
>
> Yes, that is what I own weapons for. "They" invade the US and start
> attempting to assassinate legal public servants and I'll shoot their
> arses off, let alone molesting my family, me, my friends, my
> institutions, etc.
>
> Quaddfi needs to arm his citizens!
>
> Regards,
> JS

You need to trust your citizens..... the fewer people that a government can trust with guns, the more it shows the government isn't trusted by the people.

So any government/political party attempting to take guns, is admitting that they're not of the same mind as the majority of the citizens.

440. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 18:03:46 UTC
From: "jfeng@my-deja.com" <jfeng@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <2cff5492-4bff-4285-a782-ef95c0f58307@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 3, 10:47 am, Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-
Everyth...@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
>
> And Sarah Palin might would have gone in and taken and dropped Bin Laden
> in the ocean "dead or alive" and never made it public and it would be
> like Jimmy Hoffa, we'd all know he's not here anymore..... no day no
> date to celebrate and no grave to worship at and no claim that she had
> killed Bin Laden for political gain, and no pissed off Pakistanis.
>
> But all we can be sure of is that Obama wanted credit for killing Bin Laden.
>
Based on her established track record and past experience, she woulda
quit before she was half done, but talked incoherently and big....

441. Re: A Bad Week For BS ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 18:07:38 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ippg9a$4rf$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/3/2011 10:58 AM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:

>> ...
>> Yes, that is what I own weapons for. "They" invade the US and start
>> attempting to assassinate legal public servants and I'll shoot their
>> arses off, let alone molesting my family, me, my friends, my
>> institutions, etc.
>>
>> Quaddfi needs to arm his citizens!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> You need to trust your citizens..... the fewer people that a government
> can trust with guns, the more it shows the government isn't trusted by
> the people.
>
> So any government/political party attempting to take guns, is admitting
> that they're not of the same mind as the majority of the citizens.
>

Yes, exactly!

When the government starts doing clandestine activities which are not in its' citizens best interests, the public servants, knowing the crimes are being done, begin to fear the citizens finding out and taking action.

It can be summed up as, "The fear which a government has for its' citizens is directly proportional to the amount and size of the crimes and illegal actions a government is burdening upon the citizens!"

Since the government is calling ex-service men and women "potential terrorists", the hidden crimes of the public servants in government must be tremendous ... their fear alone makes the necessity of investigations quite apparent!

Regards,
JS

442. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 18:14:02 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DC045EA.4090703@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 228319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/3/2011 2:03 PM, jfeng@my-deja.com wrote:
> On May 3, 10:47 am, Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-
> Everyth...@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
>>
>> And Sarah Palin might would have gone in and taken and dropped Bin Laden
>> in the ocean "dead or alive" and never made it public and it would be
>> like Jimmy Hoffa, we'd all know he's not here anymore..... no day no
>> date to celebrate and no grave to worship at and no claim that she had
>> killed Bin Laden for political gain, and no pissed off Pakistanis.
>>
>> But all we can be sure of is that Obama wanted credit for killing Bin Laden.
>>
> Based on her established track record and past experience, she woulda
> quit before she was half done, but talked incoherently and big....

She's still kicking Liberals asses like she has done from day one that
she stepped into the light... where and what title she has, seems to
make no difference. You seem a bit shallow and stuck in micro vision
rather than macro vision.

443. Hey Larry, others

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 18:16:39 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc0466c$0$12452$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228320

Go to a real news stand and search the computer mags for Linux magazines
with "live CD/DVD" enclosed. They usually have several versions you can
play with without messing up your existing installation.

http://www.linuxjournal.com/content/spotlight-linux-puppy-linux-52

444. Re: Puppy Linux Discussion Forum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 18:48:16 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc04da9$0$12487$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228321

On 05/03/2011 11:16 AM, dave wrote:
> Go to a real news stand and search the computer mags for Linux magazines
> with "live CD/DVD" enclosed. They usually have several versions you can
> play with without messing up your existing installation.
>
> http://www.linuxjournal.com/content/spotlight-linux-puppy-linux-52

http://www.murga-linux.com/puppy/

445. Re: Hey Larry, others

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 19:14:01 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ippk5p$4rf$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228322

On 5/3/2011 11:16 AM, dave wrote:
> Go to a real news stand and search the computer mags for Linux magazines
> with "live CD/DVD" enclosed. They usually have several versions you can
> play with without messing up your existing installation.
>
> http://www.linuxjournal.com/content/spotlight-linux-puppy-linux-52

Don't most distros have live cds? Download your favorite flavor:

http://distrowatch.com/

A live cd of ubuntu is probably best for most newbies:

https://help.ubuntu.com/community/LiveCD

Regards,
JS

446. Re: OT: Larry: Map of dangerous places to live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 19:48:38 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <83a44b4f-69af-4038-a189-91671600f8c8@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228323

On May 3, 9:20 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> http://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2011/05/01/weekinreview/01safe.html

Looks like he's right in the heart of Disaster Acres!

I am not sure if I trust this map, however. One good earthquake can
throw that light green left coastal area into the red zone really fast.

447. Re: OT: Larry: Map of dangerous places to live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 20:42:18 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6813-4DC068AA-67@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228326

I am still here, and Dats Dat.
cuhulin

448. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 20:45:34 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <l8q0s65k4os4sjqeiphjuvla63v5pd6kcg@4ax.com>
Source record: 228325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Tue, 03 May 2011 10:35:23 -0400, Beam Me Up Scotty
<Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:

>Didn't Liberals want to put Bush on trial for war crimes and Bush didn't
>fly into a neutral country and assasinate the leader of the the other guys?
>
>Oh wait..... Bush and Obama both did that.

Actually, Obama got permission from the Pakistani government and made
sure to keep a video record of the attack to show that bin Laden had a
chance to surrender to authorities. Legally, he had his buttocks
covered.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

449. Barack Hussein Obama The Killer of Innocent Grand-Children in Libya

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 20:47:20 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <e8189546-b48d-42bf-bab3-e600ed5d89cc@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 3, 10:51 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 10:37 AM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/3/2011 1:12 PM, jf...@my-deja.com wrote:
> >> On May 3, 6:25 am, Howard Brazee<how...@brazee.net> wrote:
> >>> On Tue, 03 May 2011 08:20:07 -0400, Joe from Kokomo<j...@indy.net>
> >>> wrote:
>
> >>>> On 5/2/2011 8:34 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
> >>>>> Quitters quit ...
>
> >>>> Ahhh...a clear reference to Sarah Palin. For once, you are right on the
> >>>> mark!
>
> >>> She was in town and praised the Seals (everybody agrees here), and
> >>> praised President Bush, but didn't mention President Obama for getting
> >>> bin Laden.
>
> >>> --
> >>> "In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
> >>> than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
> >>> to the legislature, and not to the executive department."
>
> >>> - James Madison
> >> Remember the 2008 campaign? Candidate Obama was repeatedly saying
> >> "If the United States has al Qaeda, bin Laden, top-level lieutenants
> >> in our sights and Pakistan is unable or unwilling to act, the we
> >> should take them out." And the Sarah opposed this and ridiculed it as
> >> "invading the sovereign terriroty" of a partner in the war on terror.
>
> > As it was....
>
> Yes, that is what I own weapons for. "They" invade the US and start
> attempting to assassinate legal public servants and I'll shoot their

> arses off, let alone molesting my family, me, my friends, my
> institutions, etc.
>
> Quaddfi needs to arm his citizens!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Speaking of "Gaddafi" and his 'Citzens' of Libya.
.
George Freund brought up the added piece of 'Info'
that NATO {USA} Prez Obama ordered the Air Strikes
on the Gaddafi Compounds in Libya : Which Killed
one of Gaddafi's Sons and Three [3] of Gaddafi's
young Grandchildren.
http://english.aljazeera.net/news/africa/2011/05/20115110482047680.html
http://www.cphpost.dk/news/international/89-international/51544-danis...

NOTE : Gaddafi's Three [3] 'Innocent' Grandchildren
were all under the Age of 12.
.
NATO would not act without the OK from the USA :
The Go-Ahead [Do It!] from Prez Obama
-prez-obama-had-to-say-'do-it'-!-
.
? Has Prez Obama Ordered the 'Liquidate' of Gaddafi !
-a-decapitation-strike- -cutting-off-the-head-of-the-snake-
http://www.cphpost.dk/news/international/89-international/51544-danis...
.
? Has Prez Obama Authorized the 'Assassination' of Gaddafi !
-cutting-off-the-head-of-the-snake- -a-decapitation-strike-
http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/01/gaddafi-son-killing
.
Translation : Barack Hussein Obama The Killer
of Innocent Children* in Libya
http://www.indianexpress.com/news/gaddafi-son-3-grandchildren-killed-in-nato-airstrike/784
419/
-that's-a-war-crime- -obama-the-baby-killer-
-obama-the-war-monger- -turn-in-your-nobel-peace-prize-

* Gaddafi's 3-Grandchildren all under Age 12.
http://www.thejournal.ie/mourners-gather-for-funeral-of-gaddafis-son-and-three-grandchildr
en-130353-May2011/
.
PRAY : For the Safety and Well Being of Prez Obama
and All of the Obama Family -cause-they-need-them-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/71999979fb0a2131
Pray For The Soul of Barack Hussein Obama :
That He May Cast-Out The Demon of War
and Rebuke The Killing of Innocent Children
.

.

450. Get Your Very Own 'Personalized' Obama "Birther" Certificate V2.0 with a Photo of You and President Obama !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 21:10:48 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <0144b5fd-a1d9-4b31-b4ad-c4a93cfa3631@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 3, 10:29 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 9:38 AM, dmaster wrote:
>
> > On Monday, May 2, 2011 7:53:46 PM UTC-5, John Smith wrote:
> > ...
> >> You are insane.
>
> >> The text can only exist as a separate layer in the fraudulent document
> >> if OCR was ran upon the document ...
> > ...
> >> JS
>
> > I continue be amazed how you make absolute statements on a topic you clearly know nothing about. OCR (Optical Character Recognition) is a tool (or process) whereby text is recovered from a bit mapped image. OCR doesn't do anything to the source image. It simply tries to identify all the text in the image. The intent is to automate text capture from an image file by avoiding the error prone procedure of having a human look read the image and type the text.
>
> > Since you demonstrate some knowledge of firearms, let me give you an analogy that might make sense to you. "The assault rifle could only be that inaccurate if someone had purposely changed the calibration of the rifle's speedometer." Do you get it? In the same way, the sentence you wrote is also complete nonsense.
>
> > Dan (Woj...)
>
> THIS SHOULD GET ANY NEWBIE STARTED IN CREATING THEIR OWN FRAUDULENT
> BIRTH CERTIFICATES! (Morons excluded ...)
>
> How to Edit Text After Scanning:
>
> http://www.wikihow.com/Edit-Text-After-Scanning
>
> http://www.ehow.com/how_4869201_scan-document-edit-text.html
>
> I am telling you, learning to use a search engine and doing some
> research before you go into an insane rage spouting imbecelic rants will
> go far into making you look less moronic!
>
> Here is a link to the bogus birth certificate, containing the layers and
> edited computer
text:http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss_viewer/birth-certif...

>
> Here is a trial version of Adobe Illustrator so you can examine the
fraud:http://www.adobe.com/downloads/
>
> Here is video on how to examine it:http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7s9StxsFllY
>
> If that tutorial doesn't work for you, check out the many available on
> youtube or the web.
>
> Finally, please stop looking like an incompetent moron and invoking
> disgust and pity ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Get Your Very Own 'Personalized' Obama "Birther"
Certificate V2.0 with a Photo of You and President Obama !
.
.
OK - So Here Is The Newest Touristy Thing To Do
for Visitors to Hawaii :

You Set-Up a Push-Cart on the Street in front of
the Hawaiian State Records Office.

You have a PC and Photo Printer in the Push-Cart

You Scan the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 into
the PC and have the Green Hashed Paper to Print-On.

You get a Full Size Obama Poster Cut-Out for Tourists
to Stand-By and Have Their Picture Taken With.

You Print Their Name on-to the Obama 'Birther'
Certificate V2.0 where Obama's Name was :
Making it 'Their' Personalized Obama 'Birther'
Certificate V2.0.
-also-the-words-'novelty-item'-at-the-bottom-

Your Print-Out the Photo of the Tourist Standing
next to the Obama Cut-Out in front of the Hawaiian
State Records Office; and enclose it with Their
Personalized Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 in
a nice Hawaiian Shirt looking paper Portfolio.

Ten Bucks... Step-Right-Up Folks
Ten Bucks... Hurry Hurry ;;-}} ~ RHF
.
-ps- Get Plenty of Health, Accident and Life Insurance
-cause- Members of 'The Cult of The Obama' will be
around regularly to physically attack you and bash-up
your Push-Cart. -obama-is-their-god-&-you-are-evil-
.

.

451. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 21:19:58 UTC
From: bknight@conramp.net
Message-ID: <gor0s6hatdmsg8u2o9c58kqodv4ajrqb36@4ax.com>
Source record: 228328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Tue, 03 May 2011 14:45:34 -0600, Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
wrote:

>On Tue, 03 May 2011 10:35:23 -0400, Beam Me Up Scotty
><Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
>
>>Didn't Liberals want to put Bush on trial for war crimes and Bush didn't
>>fly into a neutral country and assasinate the leader of the the other guys?
>>
>>Oh wait..... Bush and Obama both did that.
>
>Actually, Obama got permission from the Pakistani government and made
>sure to keep a video record of the attack to show that bin Laden had a
>chance to surrender to authorities. Legally, he had his buttocks
>covered.

Sorry Howard.

From

http://www.news24.com/World/News/Pakistan-criticises-US-raid-on-Osama-20110503

"Pakistan criticised the American raid that killed Osama bin Laden as
an "unauthorized unilateral action" and warned Washington on Tuesday
not to launch similar operations in the future".

and:

"Washington said it did not inform Islamabad about the commando attack
early on Monday morning on bin Laden for security reasons".

___'
\o
|
/ \
.
ï¿½Someone likes every shotï¿½
bk

452. Re: How to forensically examine the FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 21:22:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6813-4DC0721B-80@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228331

Tarpley - Death of Osama Unproven by SCARHEAD MOFO USURPER REGIME.

Pseudo - Bin Laden 'Death' Sets Pakistan Up For US Attack.

Doggy and I have to watch A No. 1 To Portland movie on the TCM channel,
starting in about 8 minutes.
cuhulin

453. Re: Optical Character Recognition is only used to forge scans of legal documents!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 21:28:07 UTC
From: Wayne <mygarbagecan@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <ipps19guu1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228329
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns,rec.sport.golf

<Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
news:4vour69b7lpt0ql0btgipgegn4a294g2vn@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 02 May 2011 17:56:55 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>>I thought it was obvious, I was speaking of slavery in America ...
>
> We are. Smithloon
>
> You are defending conservative ideology which, today, still embraces
> the foundation of slavery and segregation in the party
> platform---"States rights"
>
Heh heh....what a complete moron you are. Conservative=slavery and
segregation States Rights=slavery and segregation. LOL....
Get some logic in your posts, or sit back and watch the adults.

454. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 21:29:04 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DC073A0.7070006@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 228330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/3/2011 4:45 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Tue, 03 May 2011 10:35:23 -0400, Beam Me Up Scotty
> <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
>
>> Didn't Liberals want to put Bush on trial for war crimes and Bush didn't
>> fly into a neutral country and assasinate the leader of the the other guys?
>>
>> Oh wait..... Bush and Obama both did that.
>
> Actually, Obama got permission from the Pakistani government and made
> sure to keep a video record of the attack to show that bin Laden had a
> chance to surrender to authorities. Legally, he had his buttocks
> covered.

OH so you've seen the video and the agreements?

Pakistan sounds a little pissed and they had no clue about the story you have promoted.

Obama being covered is about like Bush being covered, Obama will be as guilty as Bush and Obama will also be before the world court. Obama and Eric Holder were trying to prosecute the CIA and SEAL's and BUSH administration, and now Obama is part of those doing the killing and spying and defying the "world" laws.

455. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 21:46:37 UTC
From: bknight@conramp.net
Message-ID: <8ot0s6hd1eruifig3o23gt0hqshns5akr1@4ax.com>
Source record: 228332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Tue, 03 May 2011 17:29:04 -0400, Beam Me Up Scotty
<Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:

>On 5/3/2011 4:45 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
>> On Tue, 03 May 2011 10:35:23 -0400, Beam Me Up Scotty
>> <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Didn't Liberals want to put Bush on trial for war crimes and Bush didn't
>>> fly into a neutral country and assasinate the leader of the the other guys?
>>>
>>> Oh wait..... Bush and Obama both did that.
>>
>> Actually, Obama got permission from the Pakistani government and made
>> sure to keep a video record of the attack to show that bin Laden had a
>> chance to surrender to authorities. Legally, he had his buttocks
>> covered.
>
>
>
>OH so you've seen the video and the agreements?
>
>Pakistan sounds a little pissed and they had no clue about the story you
>have promoted.

No, they weren't included in the loop.
>
>Obama being covered is about like Bush being covered, Obama will be as
>guilty as Bush and Obama will also be before the world court. Obama and
>Eric Holder were trying to prosecute the CIA and SEAL's and BUSH
>administration, and now Obama is part of those doing the killing and
>spying and defying the "world" laws.
>

Obama, Bush, the SEALS and the CIA are covered.
The U.S. is being thanked by the world.
You? FOS.

___'
\o
|
/ \
.
ï¿½Someone likes every shotï¿½
bk

456. A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 22:00:45 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DC07B0D.70106@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 228333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/3/2011 1:12 PM, jfeng@my-deja.com wrote:
> On May 3, 6:25 am, Howard Brazee <how...@brazee.net> wrote:
>> On Tue, 03 May 2011 08:20:07 -0400, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/2/2011 8:34 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>> Quitters quit ...
>>
>>> Ahhh...a clear reference to Sarah Palin. For once, you are right on the
>>> mark!
>>
>> She was in town and praised the Seals (everybody agrees here), and
>> praised President Bush, but didn't mention President Obama for getting
>> bin Laden.
>>
>> --
>> "In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
>> than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
>> to the legislature, and not to the executive department."
>>
>> - James Madison
> Remember the 2008 campaign? Candidate Obama was repeatedly saying
> "If the United States has al Qaeda, bin Laden, top-level lieutenants
> in our sights and Pakistan is unable or unwilling to act, the we
> should take them out." And the Sarah opposed this and ridiculed it as
> "invading the sovereign terriroty" of a partner in the war on terror.

As it was....

And Sarah Palin might would have gone in and grabbed and dropped Bin Laden in the ocean "dead or alive" and never made it public and it would be like Jimmy Hoffa, we'd all know he's not here anymore..... no day no date to celebrate and no grave to worship at and no claim that she had killed Bin Laden for political gain, and no pissed off Pakistanis.

On the way out Sarah might have even called in an drone strike and turned Bin Ladenville into a pile of rubble so there would be nothing left and hit the broken helicopter with a few drone missiles for good measure..... Call it a helicopter crash and never mention it again.

But all we can be sure of is that Obama wanted credit and brownie points for killing Bin Laden.

457. Re: like not trusting sand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 22:37:00 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6814-4DC0838C-29@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228335

That's why they build those Jumbo Jets, for Jumbo size Missy Sippyans.
cuhulin, Jumbos R US

458. Re: Hey Larry, others

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 22:44:46 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6813-4DC0855E-98@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228336

Next time I go to the Dangerous Walmart store I will look around at the magazine racks.There is an Office Depot store near the Walmart store, I will check there too.
cuhulin

459. Re: OT: Larry: Map of dangerous places to live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 22:44:54 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <be1ecb71-6fb0-45f8-8565-08cc445c654f@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228334

On May 3, 1:42 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> I am still here, and Dats Dat.
> cuhulin

Good luck wid Dat! ;-)

460. Re: Get Your Very Own 'Personalized' Obama "Birther" Certificate V2...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 23:08:39 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6814-4DC08AF7-38@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228337

PURPORTED CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH (IT IS A FAKE!)
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307146

It IS A FAKE!
cuhulin

461. Re: Hey Larry, others

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-03 23:59:55 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc096de$0$12512$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228338

```
On 5/3/2011 12:14 PM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 11:16 AM, dave wrote:
>> Go to a real news stand and search the computer mags for Linux magazines
>> with "live CD/DVD" enclosed. They usually have several versions you can
>> play with without messing up your existing installation.
>>
>> http://www.linuxjournal.com/content/spotlight-linux-puppy-linux-52
>
> Don't most distros have live cds? Download your favorite flavor:
>
> http://distrowatch.com/
>
> A live cd of ubuntu is probably best for most newbies:
>
> https://help.ubuntu.com/community/LiveCD
>
> Regards,
> JS

Larry's WebTV has no CD burner.
```

462. Re: Hey Larry, others

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 00:01:18 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc09732$0$12512$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228339

```
On 5/3/2011 2:50 PM, Hils wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/3/2011 11:16 AM, dave wrote:
>>> Go to a real news stand and search the computer mags for Linux magazines
>>> with "live CD/DVD" enclosed. They usually have several versions you can
>>> play with without messing up your existing installation.
>>>
>>> http://www.linuxjournal.com/content/spotlight-linux-puppy-linux-52
>>
>> Don't most distros have live cds? Download your favorite flavor:
>>
>> http://distrowatch.com/
>>
>> A live cd of ubuntu is probably best for most newbies:
>>
>> https://help.ubuntu.com/community/LiveCD
>
> I had Ubuntu running in VirtualBox under XP. It seemed quite usable for
> my relatively limited purposes until VB crashed while I was fiddling
> around with a USB stick. I'm guessing it'll restart when I reboot XP.

Ubuntu is bloatware.
```

463. sex girls sexy girls sex free porn erotic seks kashmiri sex girls anal sex real sex sexy girl hot girls kashmir sex girls online sex boys sex girls sexe karnataka sex girls hotsex freesex hard sex how to make sex with girl sexing the girl animal s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 00:29:58 UTC
From: HOT SEX <softzweayr.54@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <f3a48e56-570d-4120-a93d-413fc3c58b2e@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228340

sex girls
sexy girls sex
free porn
erotic
seks
kashmiri sex girls
anal sex
real sex
sexy girl
hot girls
kashmir sex girls
online sex
boys sex girls
sexe
karnataka sex girls
hotsex
freesex
hard sex
how to make sex with girl
sexing the girl
animal sex
girls having sex
boy having sex with girl
sex picture
american sex
sex films
hardcore sex
sex site
delhi sex girl
sex pictures
sex change girl
www.sex
www.sex
sex girls in tamilnadu
porn
facebook sex girls
sex xxx
sex girls animals
sex online
russian sex
girl sex

sexfilm
sex porno
sex girls vedio
sex gratis
bigtits
karachi sex girls
erotika
porn hub
granny sex
sex sites
gay sex
sex xx
nigerian sex girls
pornohub
sex pics
sex on line
girlsex
sex film
girls gone wild
college sex
china sex girls
oma sex
pornosex
dirty sex
hard core sex
home sex
sex girls number
www.world sex.com
free sex
birthday sex girl version lyrics
sex of boys and girls
sex bomb girls
16 year sex girl
sex with village girl
asian sex
sex porn girls
taiwan sex girls
sex boys and girls
myanmar sex girl
iranian sex girl
village sex girls
sex doing girls with boys
16 years sex girls
hot sex
sex girl boys
sex organ of girl
sex position
sex and the girl
sexporno
sex and the single girl

sex school girls in india
sex tips girls
girls sex
sexing girl and boy
sex 14 years girls
love sex girl
sex games for girls
free sex sites
mumbai sex girl

WATCH SEX VIDEOS AT VISIT OUR SITES

www.magfinance.com
www.make-deposit-today.com
www.winnerinvestclub.com
www.gold-instant.com
www.make-deposit.com
www.pay-profit.com
www.exincome.com
www.fire-forex.com
www.after5min.com
www.hot-invest.com
www.robo-money.com
www.hotearn.com
www.super-investment.com
www.hyipfamily.com
www.money-capital.com
www.bet-fund.com
www.delta-money.com
www.hourlyway.com
www.nice-invest.com
www.talk4hyip.com

464. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 01:07:18 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.282a70cf5a6d337598a16a@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228341
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,sci.skeptic,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <RaednZtiJp4bPiLQnZ2dnUVZ5gGdnZ2d@giganews.com>,
holiday.marina@buford.ga says...
>
> On 5/2/2011 11:32 PM, John Smith wrote:
> > On 5/2/2011 10:46 PM, BDK wrote:
> >> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> >> 5ed91ab754eb@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
> >>>
> >>> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
> >>> Social Security Numbers .
> >>>
> >>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> >>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> >>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
> >>>
> >>> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
> >>
> >> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> >> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
> >>
> [...]
> > Read more: Another look at Obama's Social Security number
> > http://www.wnd.com/index.php?pageId=275861#ixzz1LGhrdZA5
>
> Kooker fake nooz.

That's the only kind they believe. The kOOkier, the better.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

465. Re: Will the Obama 'Birthers' Certificate V2.0 Stop The Truth ? -more-questions...-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 01:11:18 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.282a71c3a869a5e998a16b@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228342
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

In article <ipp2jq$30f$12@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 5/3/2011 4:45 AM, BAR wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Obama is the first US President to go around the world bowing to every
> > petty dictator he encounters.
>
> Actually, in obamas' case, that is a homosexual signal offering oral sex
> to the dictator/dignitary.
>
> Regards,
> JS

You kooks always seem to go towards the gay way.

What's that all about?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

466. FA: Vintage Fuji Denki TRS-861 AM/SW Transistor Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 01:18:10 UTC
From: ibm laptop <ibmlaptop2007@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <d840a56d-633c-4298-b472-90e997ee4053@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228343

Looks beautiful, and plays great, too! Thanks for your time.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=200604207673

467. HOT VIDEOS FOR YOUTH AND ALL ADULT PEOPLE 2011 SEXY HPOTOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 01:19:07 UTC
From: LPWS <3ghfhfffgg@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <987aa841-8ade-4f20-95ff-5b003d6e3e8e@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228344

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

468. Re: like not trusting sand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 01:23:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6813-4DC0AA77-209@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228345

Levee blown up to save town, should Farmers replant after the
floodwaters go away? Has a cat got an ass?
cuhulin

469. Re: Ben Laden dead! Time to rejoice!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 01:36:25 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6813-4DC0AD99-218@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228347

White House Correction - Bin Laden Gun Battle Never Happened
http://www.rense.com

Of Course it Didn't Happen.There is NO WAY it could have happened!
If you was a U.S.Navy SEAL, would you want a photo of your face all over
the Internet? I Wouldn't.
cuhulin

470. Re: Will the Obama 'Birthers' Certificate V2.0 Stop The Truth ? -more-questions...-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 01:38:43 UTC
From: george <gblack@hnpl.net>
Message-ID: <ac3a6d28-7bc2-4188-af06-8c69350a3d1f@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228346
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 4, 1:11 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <ipp2jq$30f...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
>
>
> > On 5/3/2011 4:45 AM, BAR wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > Obama is the first US President to go around the world bowing to every
> > > petty dictator he encounters.
>
> > Actually, in obamas' case, that is a homosexual signal offering oral sex
> > to the dictator/dignitary.
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> You kooks always seem to go towards the gay way.
>
> What's that all about?
>
Women are too intelligent for them ?

471. Re: Hey Larry, others

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 01:48:53 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipqba5$42e$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228348

```
On 5/3/2011 4:59 PM, dave wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 12:14 PM, John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/3/2011 11:16 AM, dave wrote:
>>> Go to a real news stand and search the computer mags for Linux magazines
>>> with "live CD/DVD" enclosed. They usually have several versions you can
>>> play with without messing up your existing installation.
>>>
>>> http://www.linuxjournal.com/content/spotlight-linux-puppy-linux-52
>>
>> Don't most distros have live cds? Download your favorite flavor:
>>
>> http://distrowatch.com/
>>
>> A live cd of ubuntu is probably best for most newbies:
>>
>> https://help.ubuntu.com/community/LiveCD
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Larry's WebTV has no CD burner.

Yeah, he might have to use a virtual/clone-drive and mount the file ...
or he might want to create a usb pendrive to install from ... etc

Regards,
JS
```

472. Re: Hey New Yawkers, others

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 02:01:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6814-4DC0B36F-77@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228351

New Nissan NV200 Taxicabs for New York City.The Nissan factory about twenty sumpin miles North (I-55 Gluckstadt Exit, look it up on a Map, or App Map it with your gadget) is building Nissan NV200 vehicles.

Ohhhh, the Horror!, ridin around New York City in Made in backwater hick Missy Sippy Taxicabs!
cuhulin

473. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 02:05:41 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipqc9m$42e$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228349
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/3/2011 4:59 PM, Larry Whitaker wrote:

>> ...
>> It is funny that you liberals complain about him and vilify him but, you
>> are the first to turn him on and listen to him.
>
> And buy the stuff advertised on the stations that air his show. Thank
> you, thank you!
>
> Larry

There is much ado about nothing, give them all credit, give one of them credit, give none of them of them credit ... big deal.

On a scale of 1 to 10 this is simply a non-event. It won't change the price of gas; it won't end any wars; it won't help the economy; it won't cut unemployment; it won't bring home jobs from overseas and china; it won't stop the banksters and wall street; it won't bring the public servants responsible for 9/11 to justice; etc., etc., etc.

Wake me up when something really happens ...

Regards,
JS

474. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 02:06:57 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipqcc2$42e$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228350
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On 5/3/2011 5:12 PM, Carbon wrote:
> On Tue, 03 May 2011 14:46:39 -0400, BAR wrote:
>> In article<clark-3E4C08.14043603052011@news.eternal-september.org>,
>> clark@nospam.matsceng.ohio-state.edu says...
>>> In article<MPG.2829b965fff8e78098b041@news.giganews.com>, BAR
>>> <screw@you.com> wrote:
>>>> In article<9ca34e7f-a68f-4271-844a-
>>>> 854c92bf8fbb@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, coldmark@hotmail.com
>>>> says...
>>>>
>>>>> Larry,
>>>>>
>>>>> That's exactly what Rush said during the only segment I was able to
>>>>> listen to today. Busted.
>>>>
>>>> Another lefty listening to Rush.
>>>
>>> You have to get your laughs from somewhere. No one is more comical
>>> than Rush with his blood pressure up.
>>
>> It is funny that you liberals complain about him and vilify him but,
>> you are the first to turn him on and listen to him.
>
> I think you'll find very few liberals among Rush's listeners.

Lou Dobb's is the only talking head who had anything right, the rest are
rubber stamp morons ...

Regards,
JS
```

475. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 02:14:59 UTC
From: Alan Baker <alangbaker@telus.net>
Message-ID: <alangbaker-69CD72.19145903052011@news.shawcable.net>
Source record: 228352
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <ipqcc2$42e$5@dont-email.me>,
John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:

> On 5/3/2011 5:12 PM, Carbon wrote:
> > On Tue, 03 May 2011 14:46:39 -0400, BAR wrote:
> >> In article<clark-3E4C08.14043603052011@news.eternal-september.org>,
> >> clark@nospam.matsceng.ohio-state.edu says...
> >>> In article<MPG.2829b965fff8e78098b041@news.giganews.com>, BAR
> >>> <screw@you.com> wrote:
> >>>> In article<9ca34e7f-a68f-4271-844a-
> >>>> 854c92bf8fbb@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, coldmark@hotmail.com
> >>>> says...
> >>>>
> >>>> Larry,
> >>>>
> >>>> That's exactly what Rush said during the only segment I was able to
> >>>> listen to today. Busted.
> >>>>
> >>>> Another lefty listening to Rush.
> >>>
> >>> You have to get your laughs from somewhere. No one is more comical
> >>> than Rush with his blood pressure up.
> >>
> >> It is funny that you liberals complain about him and vilify him but,
> >> you are the first to turn him on and listen to him.
> >
> > I think you'll find very few liberals among Rush's listeners.
>
> Lou Dobb's is the only talking head who had anything right, the rest are
> rubber stamp morons ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

I'm sure it would have been more real for you...

...if it had happened on Bush's watch.

--
Alan Baker
Vancouver, British Columbia
<http://gallery.me.com/alangbaker/100008/DSCF0162/web.jpg>

476. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 02:15:52 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <248f6358-1de4-4d98-848d-3c81e953579f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228353
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 1, 1:11 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 1, 2:03 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On Apr 30, 8:39 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
> > > an illegitimate POTUS.
>
> > That is legally incorrect. The citizenship status of either parent is
> > completely immaterial to his natural born citizenship, This is long
> > settled law and is not even remotely open to dispute.
>
> > > Since his father was still married to a wife in
> > > kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA.
>
> > That of course has nothing to do with anything and in fact would mean
> > that Obama would be a natural born citizenship even if he had been
> > born in Kenya. If Ann Dunham were not legally married at the time,
> > Obama could have been born anywhere BUT in the US and still be a
> > natural born citizen since the 5-year minimum residency requirement
> > after age 14 (Dunham was only 18 at the time) would not longer be
> > applicable.
>
> > You just kicked your OWN ass, WrongTurnJoe!!
> > BWAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHA!!
>
> Your getting hair lipped Limprod!
>
> Born in Kenya means not Natural born on US soil.

Bzzzzzttt! WRONG AS USUAL!!

Born to a US citizen parent=NBC even if born on the moon.

> Naturalization
> citizenship can not be used for POTUS.
> He was born a bastard child in Kenya or so he says!

No he didn't. That's your lie.

> I say MKed Stanley Ann was artificially insimulated with Malcome X
> sperm and was delivered at a NASA Mind Control facility on US soil.

I say you're sniffing glue.

> None the less if there is no marriage Certifricate still means he was
> fatherless,

And that, dearie, is what would make him a US natural born citizen
EVEN IF his mom had him in kenya, which it's been proven she did not.
If she was no legally married, then the location DOES NOT MATTER!!!

> if he was naturalized in Indonesia VOIDS any previous
> natural born citizenship.

That is of course a complete and utter legal impossibility. NO ONE can
lose natural born US citizenship as a minor.

> So there again he is said to be a dual
> citizen thus not eligible to be POTUS.

Dual citizenship DOES NOT MAKE YOU INELIGIBLE, nitwit. Look up Chester
Arthur.

> You cant wish this out into the cornfield,it is in the 13th and 14th
> amendment of our joke of a Constitution.

Stop hating America, kook.

477. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 02:18:33 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <59a2bdd5-7d59-42b1-95de-5d30830af698@i39g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228354
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On May 2, 8:51 am, "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
> "Iarnrod" <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:079fa50c-314e-47d6-a855-782bd3655df3@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com...
> On May 1, 4:50 pm, "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
>
>
>
> > "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>
> >news:bc77a6a2-3c2b-46b6-bd96-7eb3afb97f92@w5g2000prg.googlegroups.com...
>
> > On May 1, 10:45 am, "Wayne" <mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
>
> > > "BDK" <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
>
> > >news:MPG.28269a3824d0e60498a138@news.giganews.com...
>
> > > > In article <ipiid5$m5...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
> > > >> On 4/30/2011 7:28 PM, Wayne wrote:
>
> > > >> > -
> > > >> > I'm not a birther, but if this document is not
> > > >> > legitimate....Obama's
> > > >> > ass
> > > >> > should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not
> > > >> > have
> > > >> > layers.
>
> > > >> I guess most didn't hear, what has always been asked for is a long
> > > >> form
> > > >> birth certificate of the original and the hospital records.
>
> > > >> They have produced a COLB (Certification of Live Birth) and an edited
> > > >> .pdf file purporting to be a scan of the orignial ... however, it is
> > > >> obvious that it does not bear an official seal, and has been tampered
> > > >> with and overlaid on some scan of "safety paper."
>
> > > >> Perhaps all this was just to hone the skills and knowledge of how to
> > > >> forge a proper document which would go unquestioned/undetected, who
> > > >> knows why such insanity would all take place ... but, it wouldn't

> > > >> surprise me if "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE THREE!" isn't coming
> > > >> up
> > > >> ... most realize that the actions of all this is pretty criminal in
> > > >> appearance ...
>
> > > >> Jerome Corsi claims to have the paper trail on the coverup and
> > > >> documentation which proves ~2 million+ spent by obama in hiding his
> > > >> past
> > > >> records contained in public documents. I am anxious to give this a
> > > >> serious read.
>
> > > >> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable and
> > > >> began with a presidential candidate promising transparency and has
> > > >> ended
> > > >> up with executive orders issued by him to keep his entire past a
> > > >> secret
> > > >> ... that only takes a rational mind to realize that something is bad
> > > >> wrong!
>
> > > >> Regards,
> > > >> JS
>
> > > > There doesn't seem to be anything rational about you, Johnny kOOk.
>
> > > OK...out of curiosity.....
> > > How do you explain a copy of the original, posted on the White House
> > > website, being a pdf file with layers? Was it generated as a pdf file 50
> > > years ago?
>
> > That's what happens when it's scanned. Happens all the time. You seem
> > flummoxed by the simplest of things.
> > **********
> > -
> > Fine...explain it to me. A document is scanned, and it ends up with
> > several
> > individual layers.
>
> That is precisely how making a PDF works, pumpkin.
>
> > Doesn't work that way on my scanner. It ends up all in one layer. How does
> > the scanner know to separate signatures, forms, background into separate
> > layers?
>
> Put the OCR setting on.
>
> Happens all the time. You're merely ignorant.
> **********
> -
> Why don't you just say that you don't know how a "flat" document is copied
> and ends up in layers?

Why don't you just say you're talking out of your ass.

Hint: Happens all the time, dearie. FACT.

Here, even your own side says you're full of shit.
http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/265767/pdf-layers-obamas-birth-certificate-nathan-gou
lding

478. Re: There is nothing but proof hiding under every rock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 02:21:38 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <120ec88f-aaed-4cc0-a593-60d189d88d5f@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228355
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On May 2, 12:20 pm, "<<<__ Boob __>>>" wrote:

> The other item that I looked into was the hospital that Obama was born
> in. On the birth certificate released by the White House, the listed
> place of birth is "Kapi'olani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital". This
> cannot be, because the hospital(s) in question in 1961 were called
> "KauiKeolani Children's Hospital" and "Kapi'olani Maternity Home",
> respectively.

So the other birth certificates from that same time frame, which list
the name of the hospital exactly as Obama's does, are also forgeries?

BAHAHAHAHAHHAAAAAA!!!

LUV to see the kooker America-hating right in FULL FROTH!!!

> The name did not change to Kapi'olani Maternity &
> Gynecological Hospital until 1978,

Then how did it appear that way on EVERY SINGLE BIRTH CERTIFICATE from
births in that facility in 1961, nutbag?

BWAHAHAHHAHAHA!!

479. Re: There is nothing but proof hiding under every rock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 02:22:32 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cf629aca-b1e7-445d-83bf-70bf77cf314f@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228356
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns

On May 2, 12:25 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:

> The enormous errors in the forged document simply boggles ones' mind ...

NAME ONE, Johnny KQQK.

You don't even have a mind to boggle!

<sips Victory Iced Tea>

480. WSJ (Wall Street Journal) prepares us for the phony photos to follow ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 03:06:12 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipqfr5$42e$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228357
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"The U.S. government now has the problem of proving that bin Laden is dead without a body to present. Even if the actual photographs of his corpse are released, there will be those on Middle East websites and elsewhere who can point to the fakes to claim media manipulation. So while rational people are celebrating his demise, others may already be gathering material for the inevitable "Osama bin Laden Lives" conspiracy."

http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748703834804576301040504985266.html

Truth is, it just doesn't matter that we are being lied to.

The real issues are the wars, gas prices, unemployment, homelessness, low wages, no benefits for many, home and business foreclosures, inflation, no or insufficient medical benefits, medical costs, deteriorating roads and infrastructures, controlled demolitions and involvement of our public servants in 9/11, obama having no birth certificate, etc., etc., etc.

A dead osama changes nothing of what are the real problems ...

Regards,
JS

481. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 03:12:35 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <OdCdnfFJBYW7WV3QnZ2dnVY3goidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228358
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

"Iarnrod" wrote in message
news:248f6358-1de4-4d98-848d-3c81e953579f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...

> None the less if there is no marriage Certificate still means he was
> fatherless,

And that, dearie, is what would make him a US natural born citizen
EVEN IF his mom had him in kenya, which it's been proven she did not.
If she was no legally married, then the location DOES NOT MATTER!!!

Born Abroad to an American Mother Out of Wedlock:

The mother must have been a U.S. citizen at the time of birth. The mother must have had a physical residency inside the United States prior to the child's birth. The time of residency must have been for one continuous year.

482. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 03:27:57 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipqh3u$k0c$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228359
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/3/2011 8:12 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:

> ...
> And that, dearie, is what would make him a US natural born citizen
> EVEN IF his mom had him in kenya, which it's been proven she did not.
> If she was no legally married, then the location DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>
>
> ---
>
> ---
>
> Born Abroad to an American Mother Out of Wedlock:
>
> The mother must have been a U.S. citizen at the time of birth. The mother
> must have had a physical residency inside the United States prior to the
> child's birth. The time of residency must have been for one continuous
> year.
>

No simple matter:

http://people.mags.net/tonchen/birthers.htm

http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=199373

Regards,
JS

483. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 04:13:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6813-4DC0D264-261@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228360

Usama (Osama) Bin Laden is just like those old Eveready Cat With Nine Lives batteries.(I have one of those old batteries here) Someday somebody will dig that cat up and bury him again.
http://www.capitolhillblue.com/node/40691

He died in December 2001 of an untreated lung complication.
cuhulin

484. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 04:47:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6813-4DC0DA67-266@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228361

Interview of Dr.Steve Pieczenik - Bin Laden Already Dead in 2002
http://www.stevequayle.com/index1.html

Somebody, tell the White House, Osama Bin Laden has been Dead a whole
Decade!
cuhulin

485. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 05:10:33 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <hOidnU-QrbJNQI3QnZ2dnUVZ5sqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228362
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/3/2011 7:15 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 1, 1:11 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 1, 2:03 am, Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On Apr 30, 8:39 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> If his father was not a citizen of the USA at the time of birth,he is
>>>> an illegitimate POTUS.
>>
>>> That is legally incorrect. The citizenship status of either parent is
>>> completely immaterial to his natural born citizenship, This is long
>>> settled law and is not even remotely open to dispute.
>>
>>>> Since his father was still married to a wife in
>>>> kenya he could not get married,legally in the USA.
>>
>>> That of course has nothing to do with anything and in fact would mean
>>> that Obama would be a natural born citizenship even if he had been
>>> born in Kenya. If Ann Dunham were not legally married at the time,
>>> Obama could have been born anywhere BUT in the US and still be a
>>> natural born citizen since the 5-year minimum residency requirement
>>> after age 14 (Dunham was only 18 at the time) would not longer be
>>> applicable.

Wrong.

>>
>>> You just kicked your OWN ass, WrongTurnJoe!!
>>> BWAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHAAAHA!!
>>
>> Your getting hair lipped Limprod!
>>
>> Born in Kenya means not Natural born on US soil.
>
> Bzzzzzttt! WRONG AS USUAL!!
>
> Born to a US citizen parent=NBC even if born on the moon.

Wrong.

486. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 05:51:46 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <6oednRttHJfndF3QnZ2dnUVZ5smdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228363
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/3/2011 8:12 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
> news:248f6358-1de4-4d98-848d-3c81e953579f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>> None the less if there is no marriage Certificate still means he was
>> fatherless,
>
> And that, dearie, is what would make him a US natural born citizen
> EVEN IF his mom had him in kenya, which it's been proven she did not.
> If she was no legally married, then the location DOES NOT MATTER!!!

That's completely wrong. The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration
and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States
and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien,
and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the
birth
of such person, was physically present in the United States or its
outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than
ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age
of fourteen years

The marital status of the parents is irrelevant.

If Obama had been born in Kenya, he would not have been born a citizen:
his American citizen mother had not live five years in the USA or an
outlying possession for five years after reaching the age of 14, because
she was only 18 at the time of Hussein's birth.

>
>
> ---
>
> ---
>
> Born Abroad to an American Mother Out of Wedlock:

Irrelevant. The applicable law in 1961 was not concerned with the
marital status of the parents.

>
> The mother must have been a U.S. citizen at the time of birth.

Just to clarify: at the time of birth of the baby born abroad.

> The mother
> must have had a physical residency inside the United States prior to the
> child's birth. The time of residency must have been for one continuous
> year.

That was not the law in 1961.

487. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 06:11:32 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipqqml$n1s$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228364
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

```
On 5/3/2011 10:51 PM, George Plimpton wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 8:12 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>>
>>
>> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>> news:248f6358-1de4-4d98-848d-3c81e953579f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>> None the less if there is no marriage Certificate still means he was
>>> fatherless,
>>
>> And that, dearie, is what would make him a US natural born citizen
>> EVEN IF his mom had him in kenya, which it's been proven she did not.
>> If she was no legally married, then the location DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>
> That's completely wrong. The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration
> and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>
> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>
> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States
> and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien,
> and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth
> of such person, was physically present in the United States or its
> outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than
> ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age
> of fourteen years
>
>
> The marital status of the parents is irrelevant.
>
> If Obama had been born in Kenya, he would not have been born a citizen:
> his American citizen mother had not live five years in the USA or an
> outlying possession for five years after reaching the age of 14, because
> she was only 18 at the time of Hussein's birth.
>
>
>>
>>
>> --------------------------------------------------------------------------------
>>
>>
>> --------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

>>
>>
>> Born Abroad to an American Mother Out of Wedlock:
>
> Irrelevant. The applicable law in 1961 was not concerned with the
> marital status of the parents.
>
>
>>
>> The mother must have been a U.S. citizen at the time of birth.
>
> Just to clarify: at the time of birth of the baby born abroad.
>
>
>> The mother
>> must have had a physical residency inside the United States prior to the
>> child's birth. The time of residency must have been for one continuous
>> year.
>
> That was not the law in 1961.

http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=66787

488. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 06:21:27 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <erqdnZDw4u_ybV3QnZ2dnUVZ5vKdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228365
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/3/2011 11:11 PM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 10:51 PM, George Plimpton wrote:
>> On 5/3/2011 8:12 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>>> news:248f6358-1de4-4d98-848d-3c81e953579f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>> None the less if there is no marriage Certifricate still means he was
>>>> fatherless,
>>>
>>> And that, dearie, is what would make him a US natural born citizen
>>> EVEN IF his mom had him in kenya, which it's been proven she did not.
>>> If she was no legally married, then the location DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>>
>> That's completely wrong. The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration
>> and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec.
>> 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at
>> birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States
>> and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien,
>> and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth
>> of such person, was physically present in the United States or its
>> outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than
>> ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age
>> of fourteen years
>>
>>
>> The marital status of the parents is irrelevant.
>>
>> If Obama had been born in Kenya, he would not have been born a citizen:
>> his American citizen mother had not live five years in the USA or an
>> outlying possession for five years after reaching the age of 14, because
>> she was only 18 at the time of Hussein's birth.
>>
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> ---

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> ---
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Born Abroad to an American Mother Out of Wedlock:
>>
>> Irrelevant. The applicable law in 1961 was not concerned with the
>> marital status of the parents.
>>
>>
>>>
>>> The mother must have been a U.S. citizen at the time of birth.
>>
>> Just to clarify: at the time of birth of the baby born abroad.
>>
>>
>>> The mother
>>> must have had a physical residency inside the United States prior to the
>>> child's birth. The time of residency must have been for one continuous
>>> year.
>>
>> That was not the law in 1961.
>
> http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=66787

WND is not a reputable source for anything.

489. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 06:22:43 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipqrbk$n1r$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228366
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

```
On 5/3/2011 11:21 PM, George Plimpton wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 11:11 PM, John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/3/2011 10:51 PM, George Plimpton wrote:
>>> On 5/3/2011 8:12 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>>>> news:248f6358-1de4-4d98-848d-3c81e953579f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> None the less if there is no marriage Certifricate still means he was
>>>>> fatherless,
>>>>
>>>> And that, dearie, is what would make him a US natural born citizen
>>>> EVEN IF his mom had him in kenya, which it's been proven she did not.
>>>> If she was no legally married, then the location DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>>>
>>> That's completely wrong. The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration
>>> and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec.
>>> 301(a):
>>>
>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at
>>> birth:
>>>
>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States
>>> and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien,
>>> and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth
>>> of such person, was physically present in the United States or its
>>> outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than
>>> ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age
>>> of fourteen years
>>>
>>>
>>> The marital status of the parents is irrelevant.
>>>
>>> If Obama had been born in Kenya, he would not have been born a citizen:
>>> his American citizen mother had not live five years in the USA or an
>>> outlying possession for five years after reaching the age of 14, because
>>> she was only 18 at the time of Hussein's birth.
>>>
>>>
>>>>
```

>>>>
>>>> --
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Born Abroad to an American Mother Out of Wedlock:
>>>
>>> Irrelevant. The applicable law in 1961 was not concerned with the
>>> marital status of the parents.
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>> The mother must have been a U.S. citizen at the time of birth.
>>>
>>> Just to clarify: at the time of birth of the baby born abroad.
>>>
>>>
>>>> The mother
>>>> must have had a physical residency inside the United States prior to
>>>> the
>>>> child's birth. The time of residency must have been for one continuous
>>>> year.
>>>
>>> That was not the law in 1961.
>>
>> http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=66787
>
> WND is not a reputable source for anything.
>

You aren't, that is a for certain fact!

490. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 06:27:03 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <erqdnZPw4u9dbF3QnZ2dnUVZ5vKdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228367
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/3/2011 11:22 PM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 11:21 PM, George Plimpton wrote:
>> On 5/3/2011 11:11 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>> On 5/3/2011 10:51 PM, George Plimpton wrote:
>>>> On 5/3/2011 8:12 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>>>>> news:248f6358-1de4-4d98-848d-3c81e953579f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> None the less if there is no marriage Certificate still means he was
>>>>>> fatherless,
>>>>>
>>>>> And that, dearie, is what would make him a US natural born citizen
>>>>> EVEN IF his mom had him in kenya, which it's been proven she did not.
>>>>> If she was no legally married, then the location DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>>>>
>>>> That's completely wrong. The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration
>>>> and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec.
>>>> 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at
>>>> birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States
>>>> and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien,
>>>> and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth
>>>> of such person, was physically present in the United States or its
>>>> outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than
>>>> ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age
>>>> of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> The marital status of the parents is irrelevant.
>>>>
>>>> If Obama had been born in Kenya, he would not have been born a citizen:
>>>> his American citizen mother had not live five years in the USA or an
>>>> outlying possession for five years after reaching the age of 14,
>>>> because
>>>> she was only 18 at the time of Hussein's birth.

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Born Abroad to an American Mother Out of Wedlock:
>>>>
>>>> Irrelevant. The applicable law in 1961 was not concerned with the
>>>> marital status of the parents.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> The mother must have been a U.S. citizen at the time of birth.
>>>>
>>>> Just to clarify: at the time of birth of the baby born abroad.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> The mother
>>>>> must have had a physical residency inside the United States prior to
>>>>> the
>>>>> child's birth. The time of residency must have been for one continuous
>>>>> year.
>>>>
>>>> That was not the law in 1961.
>>>
>>> http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=66787
>>
>> WND is not a reputable source for anything.
>>
>
> You aren't, that is a for certain fact!

I cite reputable sources. You don't. WND is nothing but fodder for kooks.

491. scam internet scams list ebay motors scam internet dating scams scam report scams nigerian scam internet scams report scam ioffer scam cashcrate scam online scams mystery shopper scam project payday scam easy scams secret shopper scam google adsen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 10:44:41 UTC
From: sukanya <mcnaidu2@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <584aae03-527c-418c-be1b-0311ea705e3e@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228368

scam
internet scams list
ebay motors scam
internet dating scams
scam report
scams
nigerian scam
internet scams
report scam
ioffer scam
cashcrate scam
online scams
mystery shopper scam
project payday scam
easy scams
secret shopper scam
google adsense scam
ebay scams
gdi scam
demand studios scam
mystery shopper scams
mystery shoppers scam
scam school
scam websites
peoplestring scam
advanced cleanse scam
google scam
prepaid legal scam
google cash scam
paid survey scams
force max scam
online dating scams
marcus evans scam
credit repair scams
wealthy affiliate scam
paraslim force scam
surveysavvy scam
paid surveys scam
scam definition
alertpay scam

squaretrade scam
infomercial scams
gsa preview scam
david deangelo scam
tycoon cashflow scam
419 scam
google profits scam
fhtm scam
mlm scams
green millionaire scam
legitonlinejobs scam
money making scams
online work
children international scam
colon cleansing scam
home business scams
identity theft scams
online scams list
forex trading scams
earn online
infinity downline scam
direct buy scam
paraslim scam
narc technologies scam
online survey scams
internet fraud scams
myshoppinggenie scam
been verified scam
charity scams
shopping genie scam
stores online scam
easy water scam
sweepstakes scams
ewen chia scam
scams for money
extra income
wfg scam
rich janitor scam
acai scam
investment scams
positive singles scam
ameriplan scam
bob proctor scam
projectpayday.com scam
shoppers discount scam
usana scam
jeff paul scam
cash gifting scam
stephen pierce scam
nigerian scams

zurvita scam
robert allen scam
cash1234 scam
job.com scam
quantum jumping scam
timeshare resale scams
missingmoney.com scam
postcard profits scam
money scams
mystery shopping scams

FULL DETAILS FOR OUR SCAM SITES WATCH VIDEOS

www.magfinance.com
www.make-deposit-today.com
www.winnerinvestclub.com
www.gold-instant.com
www.make-deposit.com
www.pay-profit.com
www.exincome.com
www.fire-forex.com
www.after5min.com
www.hot-invest.com
www.robo-money.com
www.hotearn.com
www.super-investment.com
www.hyipfamily.com
www.money-capital.com
www.bet-fund.com
www.delta-money.com
www.hourlyway.com
www.nice-invest.com
www.talk4hyip.com
www.top-deposit.com

492. Top News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 11:04:23 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC132B7.275E325D@milestones.com>
Source record: 228419

The latest edition (4 May) of Top News has been posted:

http://www.wwdxc.de/topnews.htm

dxAce
Michigan
USA

Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
70' and 200' wires
GE SR I (2) and II

239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the NASWA Country List.

And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of Technology & Design (Backwater U.).

They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.

493. Hearing Portuguese on 4885 kHz @ 0905 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 11:25:51 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d1d3bc7c-ddcb-43fe-a6c2-0358602fdf79@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228420

Hearing Portuguese on 4885 kHz @ 0905 UTC

S-Meter : '0'~S1 SIO 222 and mumbles+

+ Actually more like 'mumbles' in Portuguese Male(s) Voices
-not- English -and-not- Spanish

EiBi lists these three Brazilian SW Radio Stations
for 4885 kHz
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
4885 0000-2400 B Radio Clube do Pará P B be
4885 0900-0400 B Radiodif.Acreana P B rb
4885 0700-2400 B Radio Maria P B an

-most-likely-based-on-prior-report-for-4885-khz-
Rádio Clube do Pará on 4885 kHz @ 06:25 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ac9b273d746c189e
.
yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

494. RNZI on 6170 kHz @ 0925 UTC : Hearing 'Guy Noir' in "Viking Land"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 11:46:19 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <f204f649-00ac-471c-9b38-2ff7538a1180@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228421

Hearing Garrison Keillor doing 'Guy Noir' in a
Skit "Viking Land" on 6170 kHz @ 0925 UTC

S-Meter : Solid S9 and SIO 555 with Very Good Audio
on 4 Mayo 2011

"A11" Radio New Zealand International [RNZI]
http://www.rnzi.com/pages/listen.php
6170 kHz; 7440 kHz; 9615 kHz; 9655 kHz;
11,725 kHz; and 15,720 kHz
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_New_Zealand_International

"Viking Land" -skit- 'Guy Noir' {Garrison Keillor}
http://prairiehome.publicradio.org/programs/2011/02/19/scripts/noir.shtml
.
.
yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

495. SEXY VIDEOS AND HOT 2011PHOTOS FREE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 12:15:50 UTC
From: LPWS <3ghfhfffgg@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <05100498-1aa5-4cf3-9c48-e92b96e341a2@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228422

A TO Z INFORMATION SEE IN THIS SITE

http://atwoztopic.blogspot.com/

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS

http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

ALL JOBS SITES FREE SEE

http://jobstwo.blogspot.com/

HOT VIDEOS

http://hotvideotoou.blogspot.com/2011/01/kiss-videos.html

hot lip kiss
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hot-lip-kiss.html
hot kisses
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hot-kisses.html
hollywood hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hollywood-hot-videos.html
real hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/real-hot-videos.html
tamil hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/tamil-hot-videos.html

496. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 12:18:58 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ebb34239-401a-4cea-b489-5c8e83cbf896@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228423
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 3, 10:04 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Tue, 03 May 2011 07:21:52 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>
> >On 5/3/2011 7:19 AM, jf...@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > >> ...
> >> I am pretty sure that he missed the six month deadline, but I would
> >> attribute it to domestic politcal opposition rather than to a lack of
> >> will on his part. Similarly, the inability to remove the prisoners
> >> from Guantanemo was not because he did not try, but because his
> >> political gadflies deliberately put obstacles in his way without
> >> providing any constructive alternatives. When he tries to keep his
> >> promises, but cannot only because of political obstructionsism by the
> >> Publicans, it is illogical to call those "lies".
>
> >Unique!
>
> >First time I heard that, so you are saying, "The devil stopped him from
> >doing it!"
>
> >That is certainly a new spin on things!
>
> >ROLOL
>
> >Regards,
> >JS
>
> Particularlty when he sent in an ADDITIONAL 135,000 troops during the
> surge.

<snicker>

Tell us when Obama did that, fuckwit.

Hint: You're lying.

497. Re: Prez Obama's Road To Killing Osama bin-Laden -via- GitMo and Water-Broading {Torture} Detainees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 12:19:28 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e0d7be1d-7020-4e13-a791-6668482c3c7e@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228424
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 2, 11:02 pm, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/2/2011 9:26 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 2, 8:12 am, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >> On May 2, 4:29 am, Gunner Asch<gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >>> On Mon, 02 May 2011 07:24:00 -0400, Joe from Kokomo<j...@indy.net>
> >>> wrote:
>
> >>>> On Sun, 1 May 2011 21:07:27 -0700 (PDT), "jf...@my-deja.com"
> >>>> <jf...@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> >>>>> Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
> >>>>> bin Laden's plans to attack the US.
>
> >>>>> Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.
>
> >>>>> Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
> >>>>> Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.
>
> >>>>> Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
> >>>>> Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
> >>>>> Accomplished" banner?
>
> >>>> On 5/2/2011 12:11 AM, Tall King wrote:
>
> >>>>> If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive scaring the shit
> >>>>> out of Obama around every corner.
>
> >>>> Huh? "If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive..."
>
> >>>> So are you saying Bush, who couldn't get bin Laden for *SEVEN* years and
> >>>> has been out of office for 2 1/2 years gets credit for killing bin Laden
> >>>> yesterday?
>
> >>>> Are you sure you don't want to change your name from Tall King to Joe
> >>>> King? (because you surely must be joking)
>
> >> - Sure you are not giving the Obamassiah credit for that!
> >> -

> >> - Afterall he promised to pull all the troops out of Iraq and
> >> Afghanistan
> >> - within 6 months of his election to president!
> >> -
> >> - So again..he lied.
> >> -
> >> - Gunner
> >> -
> >> - --
> >> - "If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is
> >> eight,
> >> - it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
> >> - six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)
>
> >> The INTEL {Info} that Lead to Osama bin-Laden came from
> >> Gitmo and the Detainee was Water-Broaded to get it.
>
> > False and false, It came from a routine interrogation in a European
> > prison facility.
>
> False. It came from Khalid Sheikh Mohammed in 2004, in Guantanamo.

False. It was not from Guantanamo. It occurred in a European prison.

498. VOLMETs : 6754, 6676 and 6679 kHz [USB]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 12:20:22 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <c65e68d2-187d-4e94-920a-e1b5706045cf@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228425

On May 3, 2:41 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 2, 8:05 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > OK, so the other night I heard the Australia VOLMET out of Brisbane
> > Airport, Queensland. Made a nice recording, and shot it to the
> > Brisbane Airport. Seeming to know nothing about it, the Airport
> > people kindly referred me to this Peter Flint at Aviation services,
> > who provided the following response:
>
> > >Hi Bruce
> > >That is indeed an Australian VOLMET broadcast, which I haven't heard before so thanks
for providing the url.
> > >Regards
> > >Peter
>
> > _____
>
> > >Peter Flint
> > >Aviation Weather Services
> > >Australian Bureau of Meteorology | Weather and Ocean Services Branch
> > >GPO Box 1289 Melbourne VIC 3001
> > >T 03 9669 4586 | F 03 9669 4695
> > >p.fl...@bom.gov.au |www.bom.gov.au
> > >Aviation Weather Services
> > >Certified to AS/NZS ISO 9001:2000 Quality Management Standard
> > >-----Original Message-----
> > >Reference ID = 'REF2011-119-31'
> > >Name = 'Bruce Jensen'
> > >State/Territory = 'overseas'
> > >email address = (blank)
> > >Phone Number = none
> > >Fri Apr 29 15:53:18 GMT 2011
> > >Feedback regarding to: Aviation Services
> > >Bruce Jensen wrote:-
> > >Good day - I am both a meteorologist by training and a shortwave radio enthusiast, and
I live in California, USA. >As a result, I occasionally enjoy listening to VOLMETs from

various parts of the world for aviation weather >reports. The other evening, I was fortunate to hear on my radio the Australian VOLMET at Brisbane Airport, with >a pleasant-sounding female voice (apparently computer-generated) with atmospheric details for various airport >cities. I recorded a segment of the broadcast.
> > >My question is, Is there a person who I could contact that could provide verification, either by letter or e-mail, >that in fact I heard the Australia VOLMET? In radio parlance, this would be a request for a QSL or station >verification. I would be happy to provide the recording and return postage, if by mail. In fact, the recording may >be found on the Web at this audio link:
> > >http://soundcloud.com/bpnjensen/australia-volmet-4-28-11-0730z
> > >Any answer is greatly appreciated. Thank you!
> > >Bruce Jensen
>
> > I sent Peter a message thanking him for his kind reponse.
>
> > So anyway, what do folks think? Is this a bona fide verification? Or
> > should I pursue it further?
>
> > Thanks,
> > Bruce
>
> AUSTRALIA VOLMET 4-28-11 0730z 6676 kHz
USBhttp://soundcloud.com/bpnjensen/australia-volmet-4-28-11-0730z
> .
> 6676 kHz – Australian Volmethttp://www.solarix.net/2010/09/hf-volmet-broadcasts/
> .
> Australian Volmet @ 3 KW
> on 2965 kHz; 6676 kHz; 11,387 kHz
> .
> 6.676 : VOLMET : @00m & @30m
> AXQ 429 : AUS : Australian (Brisbane)
> Lat 27 04 10 S & Long 153 03 34 Ehttp://www.dxinfocentre.com/volmet.htm
> .
> ASIA VOLMET 2.965 6.676 11.387 MHz
> AXQ-421: AUSTRALIAN : H + 00 & H + 30
> Sydney, Brisbane, Cairns, Melbourne,
> Townsville, Adelaide, Darwin, Perthhttp://www.dxinfocentre.com/volmet-wx.htm
> .
> Ningi/Telstra SW Transmitter Info for Australian
Volmethttp://fmscan.org/ml.php?r=s&sfm=0&t=37700018
> .
> NOTE : 6.676 MHz Volmet is not listed herehttp://tantimat.com/tech/HFVolmet_1.pdf
> .
> Sydney (Kingsford-Smith) International Airport
(YSSY)http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?aid=1117
> .

Volmets heard on 4 Mayo 2011 :
.
6676 kHz [USB] @ 0930 UTC -female-voice-
All Stations This Is Australian Volmet

and Australian Volmet 'Out' @ 0934 UTC
.
Honolulu Radio* on 6679 kHz [USB] @ 0935 UTC -male-voice-
* Hawaii Volmet
-prior-report-
Honolulu Radio {VolMet} Forecast on 6679 kHz (USB) @ 10:55 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/325cf6cb92ebd543
.
6754 kHz [USB] @ 0947 UTC -male-voice-
Toronto [CAN] Terminal WX
Trenton Military ID @ 0950 UTC
Calgary : Cold Lake : Winnipeg : Edmonton
Terminal WX
-prior-report-
Trenton MIL AVN WX on 6754 kHz USB @ 0950 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/7e2ee812d6207d0a
.
Volmet and Weather Broadcasts [Frequencies in kHz]
http://www.dxinfocentre.com/volmet.htm
http://www.dxinfocentre.com/volmet-wx.htm
http://www.scanaustralia.bigpondhosting.com/planes/VOLMET.html
http://answers.yahoo.com/question/index?qid=20080226105217AAxkNaq
.
Africa : 2860, 3404, 5499, 6538, 8852, 10057, 13261 kHz
.
Caribbean : 2950, 5580, 11315 kHz
.
Europe : 2998, 3413, 5505, 6580, 8957, 11378, 13264 kHz
.
Middle East : 2956, 5589, 8945, 11393 kHz
.
North Atlantic : 2905, 3485, 5592, 6604, 8870, 10051,
13270, 13276 kHz
.
Canada : 3485, 6604, 6754, 10051, 13270, 15034 kHz
.
North Central Asia : 3461, 4663, 5676, 10090, 13279 kHz
.
Pacific : 2863, 6679, 8828, 13282 kHz
.
South America : 2881, 5601, 10087, 13279 kHz
.
Southeast Asia : 2965, 3458, 5673, 6676, 8849, 11387, 13285 kHz
.
.

499. 4010 kHz [USB] @ 1012 UTC Spanish Comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 12:34:27 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <f0ff16e5-55d7-41c4-b9b8-a850d25d6163@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228426

Spanish Comms heard on 4010 kHz [USB] @ 1012 UTC

S-Meter : '0'~S1 and SIO 222 with faint audio* : Male Voice
in Spanish with Words and Numbers on 4 Mayo 2011

* Little better than 'mumbles'

May have been a 2-Way but I only heard 1-Side for
brief 3-Exchanges and after 5-Minutes it was gone.
.
yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

500. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 13:02:54 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <t9j2s6dolg608r8s6f5rea251vapis01d0@4ax.com>
Source record: 228427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Tue, 03 May 2011 16:19:58 -0500, bknight@conramp.net wrote:

>>Actually, Obama got permission from the Pakistani government and made
>>sure to keep a video record of the attack to show that bin Laden had a
>>chance to surrender to authorities. Legally, he had his buttocks
>>covered.
>
>Sorry Howard.
>
>From
>
>
>http://www.news24.com/World/News/Pakistan-criticises-US-raid-on-Osama-20110503
>
> "Pakistan criticised the American raid that killed Osama bin Laden as
>an "unauthorized unilateral action" and warned Washington on Tuesday
>not to launch similar operations in the future".
>
>and:
>
>"Washington said it did not inform Islamabad about the commando attack
>early on Monday morning on bin Laden for security reasons".

There are two different stories given here, and I don't know who is
telling the truth.

One picture that makes sense is that the U.S. covered its legal
buttocks by getting an agreement in a vague way sometime in the past,
with the Pakistani government expecting to be involved in the details
whenever the U.S. found bin Ladin.

Except the U.S. already knew where bin Ladin was, and the U.S. having
no intention of letting Pakistan knowing its plans.

Politically the Pakistani government can say what it wants - it's not
going to court.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

501. Re: Puppy Linux 522

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 13:22:22 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc152c4$0$12477$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228428

On 05/03/2011 05:19 PM, Hils wrote:
> dave wrote:
>> Ubuntu is bloatware.
>
> One of the reasons I stopped using Linux altogether several years ago
> was because it had become bloated even then. (The other reasons were
> difficulty to configure, easily-broken dependencies, and inadequate
> photo processing software.) Have you a preferred distro? I only really
> need it to run R.

I use it for everything. If something requires windows or mack I don't
need it.

502. Re: Bin Laden Story 75% Bogus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 13:25:07 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc15369$0$12477$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

They've had that guy on ice for years.

503. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 13:27:00 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <s0l2s657fg8don9e8bnbff32i9faopdgpb@4ax.com>
Source record: 228431
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

http://tpmdc.talkingpointsmemo.com/2011/05/how-republicans-are-claiming-credit-for-the-death-of-osama-bin-laden.php

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

504. OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 13:29:04 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc15456$0$12469$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228430

http://www.cnn.com/2011/US/05/04/missouri.levee.breach/index.html?section=cnn_latest

505. DEBT STAR:: This is NOT the HOPE you have been looking for.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 13:38:53 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EDB439FD1C29ExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.250>
Source record: 228432
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.libertylive.org/Uploads/The%20Debt%20Star.jpg

506. No photo.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 13:39:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24662-4DC1571D-63@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228433

A few minutes ago on his Super Talk Missy Sippy radio Talk show, Paul Gallo said, In case you haven't heard there will be no photo due to sensitivity.
cuhulin

507. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 13:44:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC15835-215@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228434

Paul Gallo (Super Talk Missy Sippy radio talk show) is talking to a guy who retired after fifty years working for Flood Control in Mississippi.Talking about the Mississippi River water gage in Vicksburg and sand boils and some other related thingys.Learning us backwater hicks up on all about that Sheet.
cuhulin

508. New Yorkers New Ride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 14:02:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC15C74-220@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228435

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=New+York+City+Taxi+of+Tomorrow

They call it the Taxi of Tomorrow.
I call it Piss Poor Ugly!
cuhulin

509. Re: Puppy Linux 522

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 14:19:01 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24662-4DC16055-66@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228438

The Karsan vehicles are made in Turkey, I read about that last
night.Nissan builds NV200 vans in several factories, I think, Missy
Sippy and China and Mexico.New York City would do well by choosing the
FORD vehicles for their Taxi of Tomorrow.
New Yorkers riding around on Turkeys?
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Karsan+Cars+Turkey

Gobble Gobble Gobble.
cuhulin

510. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 14:19:53 UTC
From: "jfeng@my-deja.com" <jfeng@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <62ff3a01-43c9-4e0e-a0e7-83985e61f3b0@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228436
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 3, 7:14 pm, Alan Baker <alangba...@telus.net> wrote:
>
> I'm sure it would have been more real for you...
>
> ...if it had happened on Bush's watch.
>
> --
> Alan Baker
> Vancouver, British Columbia
It did not and would not have happened on Bush's watch. At best, he
would have flinched like he did at Tora Bora. Bush even stopped
talking big ("Dead or alive!") and started to minimize the
desirability of getting bin Laden (aka "sour grapes", see Aesop).
Bust he never would stop doing his regal strut and never stop kissing
bearded men in dresses.

511. Re: A Bad Week For Who ... for the debunkers in denial ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 14:21:55 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <iprne4$s63$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/4/11 08:02 , Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Tue, 03 May 2011 16:19:58 -0500, bknight@conramp.net wrote:
>
>>> Actually, Obama got permission from the Pakistani government and made
>>> sure to keep a video record of the attack to show that bin Laden had a
>>> chance to surrender to authorities. Legally, he had his buttocks
>>> covered.
>>
>> Sorry Howard.
>>
>> From
>>
>>
>> http://www.news24.com/World/News/Pakistan-criticises-US-raid-on-Osama-20110503
>>
>> "Pakistan criticised the American raid that killed Osama bin Laden as
>> an "unauthorized unilateral action" and warned Washington on Tuesday
>> not to launch similar operations in the future".
>>
>> and:
>>
>> "Washington said it did not inform Islamabad about the commando attack
>> early on Monday morning on bin Laden for security reasons".
>
> There are two different stories given here, and I don't know who is
> telling the truth.
>
> One picture that makes sense is that the U.S. covered its legal
> buttocks by getting an agreement in a vague way sometime in the past,
> with the Pakistani government expecting to be involved in the details
> whenever the U.S. found bin Ladin.
>
> Except the U.S. already knew where bin Ladin was, and the U.S. having
> no intention of letting Pakistan knowing its plans.
>
> Politically the Pakistani government can say what it wants - it's not
> going to court.
>

Keep in mind that Al Qaeda would just as soon wipe Pakistan off
the map as the US. Putting Pakistan in a hard position. So, they may
say many things to prevent retaliatory action on their own soil,
while surreptitiously assisting the US in ridding them of this

menace, from the shadows.

It's politics. You can believe everything, but trust nothing.

512. Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 14:27:45 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <8f2aba70-22ed-4a53-949c-bad1244e0824@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 4, 5:18 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 3, 10:04 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Tue, 03 May 2011 07:21:52 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > wrote:
>
> > >On 5/3/2011 7:19 AM, jf...@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > > >> ...
> > >> I am pretty sure that he missed the six month deadline, but I would
> > >> attribute it to domestic politcal opposition rather than to a lack of
> > >> will on his part. Similarly, the inability to remove the prisoners
> > >> from Guantanemo was not because he did not try, but because his
> > >> political gadflies deliberately put obstacles in his way without
> > >> providing any constructive alternatives. When he tries to keep his
> > >> promises, but cannot only because of political obstructionsism by the
> > >> Publicans, it is illogical to call those "lies".
>
> > >Unique!
>
> > >First time I heard that, so you are saying, "The devil stopped him from
> > >doing it!"
>
> > >That is certainly a new spin on things!
>
> > >ROLOL
>
> > >Regards,
> > >JS

- - Particularlty when he sent in an ADDITIONAL
- - 135,000 troops during the surge.

- <snicker>
-
- Tell us when Obama did that, fuckwit.

-
- Hint: You're lying.

Larnrod,

From 2008 Election to Present as the US President
Obama DID IT ! -cause- Afghanistan is the 'Right' War
according to Candidate Obama. -source- CBS News
http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2009/12/01/politics/main5846260.shtml

Just depends on how you Count the Numbers of Total
Troops Committed a/o Troops Rotated In-&-Out of
Afghanistan {+Pakistan} In-Country and Out in support
of the "Obama-Afghan-Surge" -aka- The 'Right' War.

-conclusion- Larnrod you must hold the Rank of "BEC"*
Group Praise Leader in 'The Cult of The Obama' !

* 'Both Eyes Closed' [BEC] and due for Promotion to
the Rank of "BOHICA" ;;-}}
.
.
Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama :
Gather Around 'Cult of The-Obama' Members : With One Voice
Let All Us Say :

Thank You Prez Obama for Keeping Gitmo Open
and Starting Military Tribunals for Detainees
-obama-the-great-detainer-of-enemies-of-the-state-

Thank You Prez Obama for Torture Water-Broading Detainees
-obama-the-great-torturer-of-enemies-of-the-state-

Thank You Prez Obama for Escalating the 'Right'
War in Afghanistan {Oil Pipe-Line} -war-war-war-

Thank You Prez Obama for Starting a New War
for Oil in Libya -war-for-oil- -war-for-oil- -war-for-oil-

Thank You Prez Obama for $6.66 Gasoline
-obama-the-great-carbon-credit-energy-taxer-

Thank You Prez Obama for Un-Ending High Unemployment
-obama-the-great-job-killer- -obama-the-great-job-killer-

Thank You Prez Obama for Killing Osama bin-Laden
-obama-the-great-slayer-of-islam-o-fascists-

Thank You Prez Obama for Trying to Kill Gaddafi
-obama-the-great-slayer-of-muslim-dictators-

Thank You Prez Obama for Being a Better Eternal
Peace Maker {War Monger} Than Bush.
-obama-the-great-war-monger-

Thank You Thank You Thank You Prez Obama ~ RHF
-we-are-so-blessed-to-live-in-the-age-of-'the-obama'-
.
.

513. New Yorkers New Ride : Real All American "TAXIS" Are Yellow and Look Like This . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 14:34:36 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <fbbaa4a3-11df-40de-b360-75c32b88a640@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228440

On May 4, 7:02 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=New+York+City+Taxi+of+Tomorrow
>
> They call it the Taxi of Tomorrow.
> I call it Piss Poor Ugly!
> cuhulin

If It Ain't Make in the USA Don't Ride In One [.]
http://www.nyc.gov/html/media/totweb/taxioftomorrow_home.html
.
Real All American "TAXIS" Are Yellow
and Look Like This . . . -checker-out-!-
http://zip82da.com/yahoo_site_admin/assets/docs/Checker_Cabs.214132749.jpg
.
.

514. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 14:49:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iprp24$t38$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228441
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/4/2011 2:45 AM, NoBody wrote:
> On 03 May 2011 06:28:44 GMT, rfischer@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
>
>> criminals<betaxxx@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>> We all know why. No evidence. All the evidence points to inside job.
>>
>> All those US troops lied. There's a vast government conspiracy to
>> promote Obama.
>>
>> Kook.
>
>
> The failure to release the photos and videos in a timely fashion feeds
> conspiracy theories. Let's get the stuff out and be done with it.

We can never be sure now. The fake videos and all however, it really doesn't matter, this is going to have zero effect on your life, or anyone elses ...

As always, there are real problems, and there are non-problems. A real problem is getting obama to show a real birth certificate, 9/11 inside job, etc.

Regards,
JS

515. Re: Plimpton Posts Proof ... as soon as he responds, I guess, well, maybe ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 14:56:27 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iprper$t38$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228442
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic,alt.politics

On 5/3/2011 11:27 PM, George Plimpton wrote:

>> ...
>> You aren't, that is a for certain fact!
>
> I cite reputable sources. You don't. WND is nothing but fodder for kooks.

The truth is the truth, no matter who says it. Since I have found
nothing in conflict to the truth in that, I say it is true.

But, you have proven yourself to be rooting for a team in the political
football game you play in your mind. You will say anything to support
your team ...

But, let's see your credible sources here on the subject, at least you
will be posting something other then your ridiculous opinions and rants ...

Regards,
JS

516. ? So... You Look For Your Presidents Under Rocks !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:03:16 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <4bf165db-ad75-4fae-8a12-8fc6704a5a08@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 3, 7:22 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 2, 12:25 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > The enormous errors in the forged document simply boggles ones' mind ...
>
> NAME ONE, Johnny KQQK.
>
> You don't even have a mind to boggle!
>
> <sips Victory Iced Tea>

? So... Larnrod You Look For Your Presidents
Under Rocks !

Carter & Clinton & Obama
The Democrat 'Rock' Stars - rotfl ~ RHF
.
Larnrod you must hold the Rank of "BEC"* Group
Praise Leader in 'The Cult of The Obama' !

* 'Both Eyes Closed' [BEC] : Keep-Up the good
under-rock-looking work and you will be due for
Promotion to the Rank of "BOHICA" ;;-}}
.
Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8ab63394a6789652
-details-details-details- -the-devil-is-in-the-detains...-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/14c8bda3cdd9e12a

Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/0133de928cb9382d
.
Prez Obama's Road To Killing Osama bin-Laden
-via- GitMo and Water-Broading {Torture} Detainees
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4c095dc777eb6ae8
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/fd82a971698e4b19
.
Barack Hussein Obama The Killer of Innocent
Grand-Children in Libya
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f6502e25128101fc
.
Deconstructing President Obama, Taking Another 'Look'
At Obama's {Claimed} Social Security Number . . .
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/5bf7ee83d1c25a38

-what-a-tangled-web-they-weave...-

517. Re: Who cares, the result of this phony death, kill, etc. is ZERO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:04:23 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iprptn$t38$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228444
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/4/2011 6:27 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> http://tpmdc.talkingpointsmemo.com/2011/05/how-republicans-are-claiming-credit-for-the-death-of-osama-bin-laden.php
>

Who cares, a non-issue ... let shove his body up their arse, if they have any of the frozen thing left ...

Personally, I'd like to focus on the things which really might make a positive change in America or Americans lives ... the last decades have all been bad news, police state, loss of freedoms and rights, etc.

Regards,
JS

518. Re: No photo.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:05:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iprq0a$t38$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

On 5/4/2011 6:39 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> A few minutes ago on his Super Talk Missy Sippy radio Talk show, Paul
> Gallo said, In case you haven't heard there will be no photo due to
> sensitivity.
> cuhulin
>

Tell 'em to take that photo and shove it up their bum ... show me
something they have done to improve America or Americans lives ...

Regards,
JS

519. Re: Plimpton Posts Proof ... as soon as he responds, I guess, well, maybe ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:06:24 UTC
From: Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4dc16b70$0$22468$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 228446
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic,alt.politics

On 5/05/2011 12:56 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 11:27 PM, George Plimpton wrote:
>
>>> ...
>>> You aren't, that is a for certain fact!
>>
>> I cite reputable sources. You don't. WND is nothing but fodder for kooks.
>
> The truth is the truth, no matter who says it. Since I have found
> nothing in conflict to the truth in that, I say it is true.
>
> But, you have proven yourself to be rooting for a team in the political
> football game you play in your mind. You will say anything to support
> your team ...
>
> But, let's see your credible sources here on the subject, at least you
> will be posting something other then your ridiculous opinions and rants ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

What a hypocrite you are!

Krypsis

520. Re: Bin Laden was captured alive and then executed, 'claims daughter, 12'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:11:03 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iprqa7$t38$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228447
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/4/2011 8:03 AM, Tango wrote:

> ...
> Read more:
> http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1383106/Osama-Bin-Laden-dead-Wife-watched-die-White-House-reveals-WASNT-armed.html#ixzz1LOc8j919
>

No body -- no prize.

No body -- no proof they killed obama.

GHEESH!

If I ever did trust my government, it was decades ago ... so long ago I
have having a hard time remembering ...

Regards,
JS

521. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:21:46 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228448
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
>
>
> > If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
> > Social Security Numbers .
>
> > Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> > British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> > held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>
> >http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>
> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>
> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
temporary numbers only good for six months!

522. Re: Bin Laden was captured alive and then executed, 'claims daughte...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:26:14 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC17016-305@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228450

I believe what Dr.Paul Craig Roberts said.Check it out at
http://www.libertypost.org

There used to be a woman who I used to see at the Goodwill store that used to be on Palmyra Street in Jackson.She used to live in a house on Oakmont Street, where Oakmont Steet Ts into Robinson Road, that is about one mile East, behind doggy's couch.It got to where she had to use a blood dialysis machine twice each day.She didn't live much longer after that.
Osama Bin Laden has been dead for at least a decade.
cuhulin

523. Re: the left celebrates osama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:28:26 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iprrap$6j0$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228449
Cross-posted to: az.politics,tx.politics,talk.politics.misc,alt.politics.obama,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On 5/4/2011 8:23 AM, dos-i-meter wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/4/2011 8:08 AM, dos-i-meter wrote:
>>> Mike Jones wrote:
>>>> You need to explain you're reading usenet again!
>>>
>>> you need to work harder to attract repeat readers.
>>
>> The truth is the truth ...
>
> neither of you has an iota.

That coming from a guy whose posts are pure chit and offering nothing of
any consequence ... gee, that was entertaining ... plonk

Regards,
JS
```

524. Re: No photo.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:30:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC170FB-307@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228452

You can see a photo at
http://www.rense.com
I reckon it is still there.
cuhulin

525. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:32:30 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iprrie$6j0$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228451
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/4/2011 4:05 AM, Moderate wrote:
> William Clark<clark@nospammatsceng.ohio-state.edu> wrote:
>>
>> Especially in bin Laden's compound, which the CiC gave the right call to
>> penetrate and destroy.
>>
>> Must suck to be wrong again, Larry. But you are at least used to it.
>
> If you asked people to chose between $4 gas and Bin Laden dead or $2 gas
> and Bin Laden alive, Bin Ladin would live to a ripe old age.

Excellent truth ...

Regards,
JS

526. (OT) Kentucky Derby.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:39:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC1734B-309@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228456

This weekend, I think so.
cuhulin

527. Obama-Regime Issues Revised Osama bin-Laden Death Scenario V2.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:40:45 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <741532d4-911c-4f5b-a9e4-f6e37d0fb71a@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 4, 8:11 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 8:03 AM, Tango wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Read more:
> >http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1383106/Osama-Bin-Laden-dead-...
>
> No body -- no prize.
>
> No body -- no proof they killed obama.
>
> GHEESH!
>
> If I ever did trust my government, it was decades ago ... so long ago I
> have having a hard time remembering ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

-was- Bin Laden was captured alive and then executed,
'claims daughter, 12'
.
.
Looks like the Obama-Regime is now Issuing a
Revised Osama bin-Laden Death Scenario V2.0
http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1383106/Osama-Bin-Laden-dead-Wife-watched-die-White-House-reveals-WASNT-armed.html#ixzz1LOc8j919
.
.

528. Re: Bin Laden was captured alive and then executed, 'claims daughter, 12'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:41:26 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <crs2s6tkmn19mpd409bapu24iep8ojadl7@4ax.com>
Source record: 228455
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Wed, 04 May 2011 08:11:03 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>If I ever did trust my government, it was decades ago ... so long ago I
>have having a hard time remembering ...

Is there a time in history that any government was mostly trustworthy?

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

529. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:41:50 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iprs3t$6j0$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228453
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/4/2011 7:19 AM, jfeng@my-deja.com wrote:
> On May 3, 7:14 pm, Alan Baker<alangba...@telus.net> wrote:
>>
>> I'm sure it would have been more real for you...
>>
>> ...if it had happened on Bush's watch.
>>
>> --
>> Alan Baker
>> Vancouver, British Columbia
> It did not and would not have happened on Bush's watch. At best, he
> would have flinched like he did at Tora Bora. Bush even stopped
> talking big ("Dead or alive!") and started to minimize the
> desirability of getting bin Laden (aka "sour grapes", see Aesop).
> Bust he never would stop doing his regal strut and never stop kissing
> bearded men in dresses.

Bush must have asked himself, "Will the people realize, once bin laden
is caught/dead, that it makes zero/zilch difference in their lives and
become angry?"

I think he thought, "Yes." And, moved to stop that negative action(s.)

Regards,
JS

530. Re: Do you want to see the photos?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:46:11 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iprsc2$6j0$10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228457
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/4/2011 5:43 AM, Frank Ketchum wrote:
> It looks like now the White House isn't going to release the Bin Laden death
> photos. I want to see them. I want to see the pictures and video of him
> getting dumped into the ocean. I want to see the video feed of the assault,
> but I seriously doubt there is any chance we will ever see that.
>
> Release the photos Mr. President. This operation has been pulled off to
> perfection until this point and you deserve kudos for that. Withholding the
> pictures would be a mistake.
>
>

They'll release them. That is just a cover story. They lost their copy
of Adobe Illustrator. Don't worry, as soon as a new one is purchased
they will get a photo editor busy and have it ready for you. Just have
a bit of patience.

Regards,
JS

531. Re: the left celebrates osama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:48:41 UTC
From: dos-i-meter <dos@no.ne>
Message-ID: <4dc1755d@news.x-privat.org>
Source record: 228458
Cross-posted to: az.politics,tx.politics,talk.politics.misc,alt.politics.obama,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 8:23 AM, dos-i-meter wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>>> On 5/4/2011 8:08 AM, dos-i-meter wrote:
>>>> Mike Jones wrote:
>>>>> You need to explain you're reading usenet again!
>>>>
>>>> you need to work harder to attract repeat readers.
>>>
>>> The truth is the truth ...
>>
>> neither of you has an iota.
>
> That coming from a guy whose posts are pure chit

'chit'?

learn to spell.

532. Re: Do you want to see the photos?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:49:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iprshv$6j0$12@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228459
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/4/2011 6:18 AM, golfbum18 wrote:
> On May 4, 9:04 am, "Frank Ketchum"<nos...@thanksanyway.fu> wrote:
>> "golfbum18"<golfbu...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:e19ead43-f6c5-48bd-b623-df260a9c8982@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>> On May 4, 8:43 am, "Frank Ketchum"<nos...@thanksanyway.fu> wrote:
>>
>>> It looks like now the White House isn't going to release the Bin Laden
>>> death
>>> photos. I want to see them. I want to see the pictures and video of him
>>> getting dumped into the ocean. I want to see the video feed of the
>>> assault,
>>> but I seriously doubt there is any chance we will ever see that.
>>
>>> Release the photos Mr. President. This operation has been pulled off to
>>> perfection until this point and you deserve kudos for that. Withholding
>>> the
>>> pictures would be a mistake.
>>
>> I don't need to. I've gotten over the Nixon years, the "read my lips,
>> no new taxes," "iraq is poised to attack America with WMD," and I
>> believe that he is dead. I also think we walked on the moon and LBJ
>> had a hand in the JFK assassination and framing LH Oswald. I believe
>> bin Laden is dead and buried and releasing the photos would not be
>> either in good taste or judgement and would only incite the wrong
>> people. Of course, I would like to believe in the truthfulness of the
>> human race as well as our government and being a golfer, who is the
>> ultimate optimist and always looking for the "good shot rather than
>> the bad," I'll accept what has been reported.
>>
>> - - -
>>
>> I completely accept what has been reported. I don't want to see the photos
>> for some sort of proof. I want to see his corpse. I watched thousands die
>> on 911 and I want to see him dead. It is a matter of closure for me.
>
> I have spent plenty of time as a first responder some years back. I
> have seen enough gore and corpses and one more won't make it any
> better or any worse. However, Closure i.e. seeing a body, is highly
> overrated, at least by my standards. Hope you get yours if you need
> it.

Pics ain't chit. Show us a dead body or cease with the rumors ...
```

Regards,
JS

533. Re: Do you want to see the photos?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:52:49 UTC
From: Billary <billaryclinton2008@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <30302f25-a154-4465-8f44-c6dd07feb60b@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 4, 11:46 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 5:43 AM, Frank Ketchum wrote:
>
> > It looks like now the White House isn't going to release the Bin Laden death
> > photos. I want to see them. I want to see the pictures and video of him
> > getting dumped into the ocean. I want to see the video feed of the assault,
> > but I seriously doubt there is any chance we will ever see that.
>
> > Release the photos Mr. President. This operation has been pulled off to
> > perfection until this point and you deserve kudos for that. Withholding the
> > pictures would be a mistake.
>
> They'll release them. That is just a cover story. They lost their copy
> of Adobe Illustrator. Don't worry, as soon as a new one is purchased
> they will get a photo editor busy and have it ready for you. Just have
> a bit of patience.
>
> Regards,
> JS

Good point. Can we trust our own eyes? And our own Gub'ment?

Probably yes in this case. Not so for birth certificate.

534. Re: Bin Laden was captured alive and then executed, 'claims daughter, 12'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:54:07 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iprsqt$6j0$14@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228461
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/4/2011 8:41 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Wed, 04 May 2011 08:11:03 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>> If I ever did trust my government, it was decades ago ... so long ago I
>> have having a hard time remembering ...
>
> Is there a time in history that any government was mostly trustworthy?
>

No. Just better ... and we actually imprisoned criminals when we found
them out ...

Regards,
JS

535. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 15:54:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC176AD-315@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228462

It's Whar! ~ Sam Nunn of Jawja.(Georgia)

You keep walkin my way,,,, I will keep walkin your way,,,,,, and we will meeeeet in the middle,,,,, beneath that Georgia Pinnnnnnne,,,,,,

There aints NO Photo of Dead a Decade ago of Osama Bin Laden.But not to worry, ''they'' might cook sumpin up.
cuhulin

536. Re: Bin Laden was captured alive and then executed, 'claims daughte...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 16:00:18 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24674-4DC17812-6@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228464

Jefferson Davis and Andrew Jackson were Trustworthy.

In my lifetime, in my Lifetime, America has NOT had a Real President
since Dwight David Eisenhower was President,,,, in my Lifetime.

Currently, America does NOT! have a President, nor a Vice President
either.
cuhulin

537. Re: Do you want to see the photos?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 16:04:31 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1b8eed08-07ab-4a57-a30f-816de0b584e4@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 4, 11:52 am, Billary <billaryclinton2...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On May 4, 11:46 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/4/2011 5:43 AM, Frank Ketchum wrote:
>
> > > It looks like now the White House isn't going to release the Bin Laden death
> > > photos. I want to see them. I want to see the pictures and video of him
> > > getting dumped into the ocean. I want to see the video feed of the assault,
> > > but I seriously doubt there is any chance we will ever see that.
>
> > > Release the photos Mr. President. This operation has been pulled off to
> > > perfection until this point and you deserve kudos for that. Withholding the
> > > pictures would be a mistake.
>
> > They'll release them. That is just a cover story. They lost their copy
> > of Adobe Illustrator. Don't worry, as soon as a new one is purchased
> > they will get a photo editor busy and have it ready for you. Just have
> > a bit of patience.
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Good point. Can we trust our own eyes? And our own Gub'ment?
>
> Probably yes in this case. Not so for birth certificate.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

There was No seal raid.

There was noone killed.

Its another Lunar Landing Hoax.

538. QSLs received, May 2011

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 16:09:21 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1ca6f55f-e4cc-4382-9974-2f0dcae1c68a@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228465

E-mail QSLs can be so unusual and perplexing...but, a verie is a verie...

AUSTRALIA: Australia VOLMET, Brisbane, 6676, simple verification, v/s by Peter Flint of Australian Aviation Meteorological Bureau in 1 days by e-mail; for e-mail report in EE & recording (Jensen-CA)

SLOVAKIA: Nexus - Italian Radio Relay Service, Milan, Italy relay via Rimavska Soboda, Slovak Republic, 9670, simple verification, v/s by “Alfredo” of Nexus/IBA in 31 days by a messy series of e-mails; for e-mail report in EE (1 April 2011) & recording (Jensen-CA)

539. Re: Do you want to see the photos?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 16:17:53 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipru7f$fq7$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/4/2011 9:04 AM, joeturn wrote:

> ...
> There was No seal raid.
>
> There was noone killed.
>
> Its another Lunar Landing Hoax.
>

And, then you wake up and find it just doesn't matter ...

Gas prices, unemployment, homelessness, low wages, lack of medical benefits, crime, inflation, lost jobs overseas, criminal public servants, wars, banksters, wall street, false documents, etc., etc.

You can almost envy those living in ignorance and not recognizing the shape of the real world ...

Regards,
JS

540. Re: Plimpton Posts Proof ... as soon as he responds, I guess, well, maybe ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 16:21:09 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iprudj$fq7$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228467
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic,alt.politics

On 5/4/2011 8:06 AM, Krypsis wrote:

> ...
> What a hypocrite you are!
>
> Krypsis
>

You and obama have a lot in common, your credibility ... however, he has a teleprompter, you have nothing but inane posts.

Regards,
JS

541. Re: New Yorkers New Ride : Real All American "TAXIS" Are Yellow and...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 16:52:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC18444-258@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228468

I don't know how long it has been since I rode in a Taxi, bit it's been
a Lonnnnnnnnnng time.Much, Much, Much, Much, Much Lonnnnnnnnnnnnger than
Osama Bin Laden has been DEAD.I think I have only rode in a Taxi once,
and I don't remember why.Howsomever, if I was in New York City, I reckon
I would ride in some Taxis, maybe perchance that thar Cash Cab.Redlight
Challenge! Shout Out!
Cash Cab guy says, Question, when did Osama Bin Laden die?
I says, A decade ago.
Cash Cab guy says, Right!
I says, Pull over, I wants to check out them wimmins over there on the
sidewalk.
cuhulin

542. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 16:55:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC18513-259@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228469

JT, on his Super Talk Missy Sippy radio talk show said that liberal website huffingtonpost.com has an amazing satellite shot of those storms.

I don't ever click on huffingtonpost.com
cuhulin

543. Top Government Insider: Bin Laden Died In 2001, 9/11 A False Flag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 17:05:15 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ips109qas1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228470
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california

http://www.infowars.com/top-us-government-insider-bin-laden-died-in-2001-911-a-false-flag/

On Alex Jones live web cast now:

http://www.infowars.com/stream.pls

Regards,
JS

544. Re: New Yorkers New Ride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 17:06:22 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ips12cqas2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228471

On 5/4/2011 7:02 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=New+York+City+Taxi+of+Tomorrow
>
> They call it the Taxi of Tomorrow.
> I call it Piss Poor Ugly!
> cuhulin
>

When the wife travels with me, that taxi might hold all she takes along!

I kinda like it!

Regards,
JS

545. Re: Top Government Insider: Bin Laden Died In 2001, 9/11 A False Flag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 17:08:34 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ips16gqas3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228472
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california

On 5/4/2011 10:05 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
>
>
http://www.infowars.com/top-us-government-insider-bin-laden-died-in-2001-911-a-false-flag/
>
>
> On Alex Jones live web cast now:
>
> http://www.infowars.com/stream.pls
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

"But even the usually compliant corporate media is now asking to see the
video footage of the raid in Abbottabad, Pakistan, and images of bin
Ladenï¿½s body in order to still ï¿½conspiracy theories,ï¿½ that is to say the
incredulity of an increasing number of people who are sick and tired of
government lies."

URL:
http://www.infowars.com/osama-bin-laden-murder-fable-a-work-in-progress/

Regards,
JS

546. Re: Do you want to see the photos?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 17:12:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15404-4DC18917-99@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228474

fed govt CommieNazis can't get their Osama Bin Laden Lies straight.They
don't coagulate, they don't jibe with each other.
http://www.libertypost.org

Sooner or later it will be Very Apparent to the whold wide World fed
govt CommieNazis are Lies.
cuhulin

547. Re: Do you want to see the photos?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 17:23:51 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5a18ec1d-2ccf-4ea6-81a5-80b4ec2ff52a@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 4, 12:17 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 9:04 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > There was No seal raid.
>
> > There was noone killed.
>
> > Its another Lunar Landing Hoax.
>
> And, then you wake up and find it just doesn't matter ...
>
> Gas prices, unemployment, homelessness, low wages, lack of medical
> benefits, crime, inflation, lost jobs overseas, criminal public
> servants, wars, banksters, wall street, false documents, etc., etc.
>
> You can almost envy those living in ignorance and not recognizing the
> shape of the real world ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

This is how it works!

http://educate-yourself.org/nwo/illuminatiagendabestoverviewyet8jun02.shtml

548. Re: New Yorkers New Ride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 17:33:48 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC18DFC-280@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228476

Those new Taxis look like Turds as far as I am concerned.I don't know, I may never ride in a Taxi ever again, and I damn sure wouldn't miss that either.Same same for other kinds of public transportation vehicles, Trains and Buses and Airplanes.I Hate Flying! If I was meant to Fly, God would have given me Wings.Every time I rode in Helicopters in Vietnam, I was silently Praying I hope this thang don't crash!
cuhulin

549. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 17:34:01 UTC
From: Neoconis_Ignoramus <bellamacina@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <79a8988a-8d18-49c5-a530-b235540f2de0@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228475
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 2, 7:27 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> http://www.mercurynews.com/breaking-news/ci_17976305?nclick_check=1
>
> Regards,
> JS

Another waste of the taxpayers' money by that nutjob Oily Titz.

I thought you conservatards were all about eliminating wasteful
spending. Here's a good place to start.

Dumbfuck.

550. Re: Plimpton Posts Proof ... as soon as he responds, I guess, well,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 17:43:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15404-4DC19038-103@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228478

The White House is fighting a LOSEING battle for Credibility.
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307204

The White House is in DEEP DOO DOO!
I watched a Jaques Tahti (spelling) French movie on TCM channel a couple of nights ago.I give him Much More Credibility than the White House.
cuhulin

551. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 17:49:23 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ips3j5$482$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228477
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/4/2011 10:34 AM, Neoconis_Ignoramus wrote:
> On May 2, 7:27 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> http://www.mercurynews.com/breaking-news/ci_17976305?nclick_check=1
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Another waste of the taxpayers' money by that nutjob Oily Titz.
>
> I thought you conservatards were all about eliminating wasteful
> spending. Here's a good place to start.
>
> Dumbfuck.

The court is salaried, don't sweat the small stuff, they are going to be there whether they are hearing a case, or not ... <yawn>

Regards,
JS

552. Re: Top Government Insider: Bin Laden Died In 2001, 9/11 A False Flag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 17:58:07 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ips43g$482$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228479
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,alt.rush-limbauh,alt.politics

On 5/4/2011 10:53 AM, dmaster wrote:
> On Wednesday, May 4, 2011 12:08:15 PM UTC-5, George Plimpton wrote:
>> On 5/4/2011 10:05 AM, John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
http://www.infowars.com/top-us-government-insider-bin-laden-died-in-2001-911-a-false-flag/
>>>
>>>
>>> On Alex Jones live web cast now:
>>>
>>> http://www.infowars.com/stream.pls
>>
>> You're an idiot. Alex Jones is an idiot and a blowhard.
>
> You may be wrong. Oh, sure those who swallow this stuff whole may be idiots, but Jones may be cynically milking the "true believers" for everything they are worth, or at least making his living off them.
>
> Dan (Woj...)

Offer some facts and evidence and we will see if you have a leg to stand
on ... what good are your inane comments?

Regards,
JS

553. Re: Do you want to see the photos?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 18:02:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15404-4DC1949D-109@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228481

How did those man made thingys get on the Moon if man/men/Astronauts
didn't Land on the Moon and put Them there?

Who Was Osama Bin Laden?
http://www.rense.com/general94/whowas.htm
cuhulin

554. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 18:02:26 UTC
From: Neoconis_Ignoramus <bellamacina@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3b1c86b1-1d3b-4f2e-abc9-3c40edf1a7c0@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228480
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 4, 10:49 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 10:34 AM, Neoconis_Ignoramus wrote:
>
> > On May 2, 7:27 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >>http://www.mercurynews.com/breaking-news/ci_17976305?nclick_check=1
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > Another waste of the taxpayers' money by that nutjob Oily Titz.
>
> > I thought you conservatards were all about eliminating wasteful
> > spending. Here's a good place to start.
>
> > Dumbfuck.
>
> The court is salaried, don't sweat the small stuff, they are going to be
> there whether they are hearing a case, or not ... <yawn>
>
> Regards,
> JS

Yes, I'm sure they don't have more important things to do than to
listen to an English-challenged nutjob try to explain to them the
rudiments of Photoshop.

I'm sure you'd have the exact same reaction to antiwar people trying
to bring up a case against Bush's Iraq invasion, right?
Riiiiggghhhtt.

"It's just too easy for me to trip you up" - Lil Wayne

555. Re: Bin Laden was captured alive and then executed, 'claims daughte...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 18:11:59 UTC
From: rod <Rod_Shaftenauer@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5320378e-f868-4431-a513-93e981c70e43@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228482

On May 4, 9:00 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Jefferson Davis and Andrew Jackson were Trustworthy.
>
> In my lifetime, in my Lifetime, America has NOT had a Real President
> since Dwight David Eisenhower was President,,,, in my Lifetime.
>
> Currently, America does NOT! have a President, nor a Vice President
> either.
> cuhulin

***Hey CU, can you find room on Doggy's Couch for Smythe...?...Do a
little diagnostic on his few remaining brain cells, them let doggy
"wash" Smythe's smelly arse..:Doggy will be soooo thrilled...-)

Rockin' Rod Shaftenauer
YeeeeeeeeHawwwwww

556. Re: Top Government Insider: Bin Laden Died In 2001, 9/11 A False Flag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 18:25:03 UTC
From: wy <wy_@myself.com>
Message-ID: <931d0f6e-b94f-49c4-930a-91a6af68e07e@d28g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228483
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics

On May 4, 1:58 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 10:53 AM, dmaster wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Wednesday, May 4, 2011 12:08:15 PM UTC-5, George Plimpton wrote:
> >> On 5/4/2011 10:05 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
> >>>http://www.infowars.com/top-us-government-insider-bin-laden-died-in-2...
>
> >>> On Alex Jones live web cast now:
>
> >>>http://www.infowars.com/stream.pls
>
> >> You're an idiot. Alex Jones is an idiot and a blowhard.
>
> > You may be wrong. Oh, sure those who swallow this stuff whole may be idiots, but Jones may be cynically milking the "true believers" for everything they are worth, or at least making his living off them.
>
> > Dan (Woj...)
>
> Offer some facts and evidence and we will see if you have a leg to stand
> on ... what good are your inane comments?

You're such an idiot and just plain too lazy to dig up the real facts,
but hey, since you won't believe me, take it from FOX News and Scott
Brown himself, which should make you happy and looking stupider than
you already look.

"In an interview with Fox News in Boston on Wednesday, Sen. Scott
Brown (R-Mass.) disclosed that he'd seen the much-discussed photograph
of bin Laden's face. The key moment comes at 0:44:"

http://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2011/05/who-has-seen-the-osama-bin-laden-death-photos/238355/

557. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 18:56:54 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ips7hn$ij6$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228484
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/4/2011 11:02 AM, Neoconis_Ignoramus wrote:

> ...
> Yes, I'm sure they don't have more important things to do than to
> listen to an English-challenged nutjob try to explain to them the
> rudiments of Photoshop.
>
> I'm sure you'd have the exact same reaction to antiwar people trying
> to bring up a case against Bush's Iraq invasion, right?
> Riiiiggghhhtt.
>
> "It's just too easy for me to trip you up" - Lil Wayne

If they got a Monica around, they could catch a Lewinsky!

Regards,
JS

558. Re: Bin Laden was captured alive and then executed, 'claims daughter, 12'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 19:04:28 UTC
From: golfbum18 <golfbum18@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3ed9dca5-916f-4930-a725-07b9b1a7394a@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228485
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 4, 11:54 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 8:41 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
>
> > On Wed, 04 May 2011 08:11:03 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > wrote:
>
> >> If I ever did trust my government, it was decades ago ... so long ago I
> >> have having a hard time remembering ...
>
> > Is there a time in history that any government was mostly trustworthy?
>
> No. Just better ... and we actually imprisoned criminals when we found
> them out ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

You should be grateful that you live under a government that allows
nuts like you to openly and publicly post bullshit about that very
government without getting your pathetic little butt
waterboarded.....just because it would fell good doing it. :-)

559. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 19:05:37 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ips822$ij6$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228486
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/4/2011 11:02 AM, Neoconis_Ignoramus wrote:

> ...
> Yes, I'm sure they don't have more important things to do than to
> listen to an English-challenged nutjob try to explain to them the
> rudiments of Photoshop.
>
> I'm sure you'd have the exact same reaction to antiwar people trying
> to bring up a case against Bush's Iraq invasion, right?
> Riiiiggghhhtt.
>
> "It's just too easy for me to trip you up" - Lil Wayne

You gawd-dam-nutjob!

If you try to insinuate there is any difference between nixon, regan, klinkton, bush sr, bush jr, obama, etc. you are worse a moron than you have displayed, so far.

All puppets on strings are the same, just different faces ...

Shove it up yer' arse and stop filling the news groups with moronic text, we already have all those positions filled ...

Regards,
JS

560. Re: Whitehouse fairy tale machine continues churning out pulp fiction ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 19:08:58 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ips88b$ij6$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228487
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics

On 5/4/2011 12:04 PM, golfbum18 wrote:

> ...
> You should be grateful that you live under a government that allows
> nuts like you to openly and publicly post bullshit about that very
> government without getting your pathetic little butt
> waterboarded.....just because it would fell good doing it. :-)

Yes, we are lucky the forefathers exterminated the likes of you and gave
us freedoms and liberties.

Your very presence and text is indication that the extermination is
coming due!

Regards,
JS

561. Re: Do you want to see the photos?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 19:10:15 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ips8ao$ij6$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228488

```
On 5/4/2011 11:02 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> How did those man made thingys get on the Moon if man/men/Astronauts
> didn't Land on the Moon and put Them there?
>
> Who Was Osama Bin Laden?
> http://www.rense.com/general94/whowas.htm
> cuhulin
>

Same way they drop bombs on flyovers?

I mean, if they wanted to drop them there ...

Regards,
JS
```

562. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 19:13:47 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc1a525$0$12484$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228489

On 05/04/2011 06:44 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Paul Gallo (Super Talk Missy Sippy radio talk show) is talking to a guy
> who retired after fifty years working for Flood Control in
> Mississippi.Talking about the Mississippi River water gage in Vicksburg
> and sand boils and some other related thingys.Learning us backwater
> hicks up on all about that Sheet.
> cuhulin
>

Sand boils is when the levee is destroyed from underneath. They are bad.

563. Re: Bin Laden has been dead for years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 19:14:30 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc1a54f$0$12484$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228490
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 05/04/2011 08:41 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Wed, 04 May 2011 08:11:03 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>> If I ever did trust my government, it was decades ago ... so long ago I
>> have having a hard time remembering ...
>
> Is there a time in history that any government was mostly trustworthy?
>

564. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 19:15:11 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc1a578$0$12484$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228491

On 05/04/2011 09:55 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> JT, on his Super Talk Missy Sippy radio talk show said that liberal
> website huffingtonpost.com has an amazing satellite shot of those
> storms.
>
> I don't ever click on huffingtonpost.com
> cuhulin
>

Afraid you'll turn queer?

565. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 19:22:35 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.282b71842535a1ab98a178@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228492
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

```
In article <7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 3, 1:46ï¿œam, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > In article <093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> > 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
> > > Social Security Numbers .
> > >
> > > Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> > > British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> > > held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
> > >
> > >http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
> > >
> > You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> > anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
> > >
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>
> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
> temporary numbers only good for six months!

WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?

This should be a good one..

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
```

566. Re: Bin Laden has been dead for years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 19:35:11 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ips9pg$ij6$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228493
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/4/2011 12:14 PM, dave wrote:
> On 05/04/2011 08:41 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
>> On Wed, 04 May 2011 08:11:03 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> If I ever did trust my government, it was decades ago ... so long ago I
>>> have having a hard time remembering ...
>>
>> Is there a time in history that any government was mostly trustworthy?
>>
>

That is a meaningless question. A better question would be, is there
ever a time when government worked in the peoples' best interests?

The answer to that is yes, right up to the time of the coup,
assassination of Kennedy and the beginning of the take over of the
peoples' rights, powers, freedom, liberties, etc.

I mean, we expect our government to lie for us, not against us ...

Regards,
JS

567. Re: Do you want to see the photos?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 19:40:50 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <ipsa42$th7$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/4/2011 12:17 PM, John Smith wrote:

> ...lack of medical benefits...

Sheesh! There you go again, trying to have it both ways.

First, you whine about "lack of medical benefits" and then you whine
about 'Obamacare' that gives medical benefits.

568. Re: Do you want to see the photos?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 19:52:14 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsapfroi3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228495
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.politics,sci.skeptic

On 5/4/2011 11:00 AM, John B. wrote:

> ...
> Releasing the photos and videos to placate the conspiracy theory
> psychos would serve no important purpose and it would infuriate
> radical Muslims and incite them to violence against Americans and
> Westerners.

Yeah, can you actually imagine someone asking for real proof, the
audacity of it all!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

569. Re: Bin Laden was captured alive and then executed, 'claims daughter, 12'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 19:56:35 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <kob3s611n9rnu892iqf370lmd6hfelmim9@4ax.com>
Source record: 228496
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Wed, 04 May 2011 08:54:07 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>> Is there a time in history that any government was mostly trustworthy?
>>
>
>No. Just better ... and we actually imprisoned criminals when we found
>them out ...

The powerful have mostly always been able to avoid that. Scapegoats
have always been available.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

570. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 19:57:34 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <9sb3s6lcrqrhjhjb0m034nckra7vdsiv71@4ax.com>
Source record: 228497
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 04 May 2011 08:41:50 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>Bush must have asked himself, "Will the people realize, once bin laden
>is caught/dead, that it makes zero/zilch difference in their lives and
>become angry?"

With bin Laden caught, people will want some sign that the wars will
end.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

571. Re: Bin Laden was captured alive and then executed, 'claims daughter, 12'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 20:05:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsbirroi6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228498
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/4/2011 12:56 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Wed, 04 May 2011 08:54:07 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>>> Is there a time in history that any government was mostly trustworthy?
>>>
>>
>> No. Just better ... and we actually imprisoned criminals when we found
>> them out ...
>
> The powerful have mostly always been able to avoid that. Scapegoats
> have always been available.
>

Yes, but simply because crime has went on before is no excuse to allow
it to go on further. A good number of these public servants need behind
bars, we simply need to keep working towards those goals ...

Regards,
JS

572. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 20:06:38 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsbkfroi7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228499
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/4/2011 12:57 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Wed, 04 May 2011 08:41:50 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>> Bush must have asked himself, "Will the people realize, once bin laden
>> is caught/dead, that it makes zero/zilch difference in their lives and
>> become angry?"
>
> With bin Laden caught, people will want some sign that the wars will
> end.
>

Well, before all that even begins, I am going to have to see some proof
which convinces me of his death!

Regards,
JS

573. Re: Bin Laden has been dead for years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 20:21:30 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <29d3s6p40b8emscg3j77290n0nltvtigrn@4ax.com>
Source record: 228500
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On Wed, 04 May 2011 12:35:11 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>
>That is a meaningless question. A better question would be, is there
>ever a time when government worked in the peoples' best interests?
>
>The answer to that is yes, right up to the time of the coup,
>assassination of Kennedy and the beginning of the take over of the
>peoples' rights, powers, freedom, liberties, etc.

Show me.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

574. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 20:29:42 UTC
From: george <gblack@hnpl.net>
Message-ID: <008e5d05-54c3-40aa-95fb-6fbb4c6377c0@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228501
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 5, 7:22 am, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > > In article <093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> > > 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> > > > If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
> > > > Social Security Numbers .
>
> > > > Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> > > > British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> > > > held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>
> > > >http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>
> > > You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> > > anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>
> > > --
> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>
> > Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
> > temporary numbers only good for six months!
>
> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>
> This should be a good one..
>
Maybe he's thinking (I know, impossibility) about the United Kingdom
who, oddly enough, have their own system

575. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 20:40:42 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <ude3s69540r5r8a97mnqhia48lfc89l39q@4ax.com>
Source record: 228502
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 04 May 2011 13:06:38 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>Well, before all that even begins, I am going to have to see some proof
>which convinces me of his death!

Don't be a Larry.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

576. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 20:42:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20766-4DC1BA3C-168@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228505

The Amazing, Rotating, Glow In The Dark, Osama Bin Laden
http://www.rense.com

What that guy in the Down Periscope movie said.
cuhulin

577. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 20:47:28 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <579d729b-5374-43df-aef1-d0d3665761db@t19g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228503

On May 4, 12:15 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/04/2011 09:55 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > JT, on his Super Talk Missy Sippy radio talk show said that liberal
> > website huffingtonpost.com has an amazing satellite shot of those
> > storms.
>
> > I don't ever click on huffingtonpost.com
> > cuhulin
>
> Afraid you'll turn queer?

Worked for me! ;-)

578. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 20:49:14 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7767dbb1-5dd3-45d8-83ad-db8b294df41f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228504
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 4, 12:05 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 11:02 AM, Neoconis_Ignoramus wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Yes, I'm sure they don't have more important things to do than to
> > listen to an English-challenged nutjob try to explain to them the
> > rudiments of Photoshop.
>
> > I'm sure you'd have the exact same reaction to antiwar people trying
> > to bring up a case against Bush's Iraq invasion, right?
> > Riiiiggghhhtt.
>
> > "It's just too easy for me to trip you up" - Lil Wayne
>
> You gawd-dam-nutjob!
>
> If you try to insinuate there is any difference between nixon, regan,
> klinkton, bush sr, bush jr, obama, etc. you are worse a moron than you
> have displayed, so far.
>
> All puppets on strings are the same, just different faces ...
>
> Shove it up yer' arse and stop filling the news groups with moronic
> text, we already have all those positions filled ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Take you own advice. All we've seen from you for the last couple
weeks is stark-raving-lunacy.

579. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 20:50:40 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipse71abd2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228506
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On 5/4/2011 1:40 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Wed, 04 May 2011 13:06:38 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>> Well, before all that even begins, I am going to have to see some proof
>> which convinces me of his death!
>
> Don't be a Larry.
>

Don't be a fool ... Larry has a good percentage correct.

Regards,
JS
```

580. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 20:51:44 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipse90abd3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228507

On 5/4/2011 1:42 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> The Amazing, Rotating, Glow In The Dark, Osama Bin Laden
> http://www.rense.com
>
> What that guy in the Down Periscope movie said.
> cuhulin
>

I like magic negros, a glow in the dark one would be nice. I could find
it when the lights are out!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

581. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 20:51:46 UTC
From: bknight@conramp.net
Message-ID: <a0f3s65tkr6pmqmvjh4bec0fgjl6h10685@4ax.com>
Source record: 228508
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 04 May 2011 14:40:42 -0600, Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
wrote:

>On Wed, 04 May 2011 13:06:38 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Well, before all that even begins, I am going to have to see some proof
>>which convinces me of his death!
>
>Don't be a Larry.

I hope the proper agency has his address so they can make a point of
proving it to him. Otherwise they're in deep shit.

LOL

___'
\o
|
/ \
.
ï¿½Someone likes every shotï¿½
bk

582. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 20:56:16 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsehgabd4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228509
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/4/2011 1:49 PM, bpnjensen wrote:

> ...
> Take you own advice. All we've seen from you for the last couple
> weeks is stark-raving-lunacy.

You are one of the largest brain dead morons with nothing to offer but your personal comments, opinions and "psychic revelations."

You current mental state, whether because of organic causes, drugs or alcohol, seems to prevent you from that realization.

That is all that is going on here, or will be going on here ...

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

583. Re: Top Government Insider: Bin Laden Died In 2001, 9/11 A False Flag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 20:56:50 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20766-4DC1BD92-170@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228510

Scott Brown of Massatwobumps.
DAT explains DAT!
cuhulin

584. Re: Top Government Insider: Bin Laden Died In 2001, 9/11 A False Flag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 20:58:31 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20766-4DC1BDF7-172@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228511

Say cuzz, you rode in any Taxis lately?
cuhulin

585. Re: the left celebrates osama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 20:59:40 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC1BE3C-407@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228513

Sheet.
cuhulin

586. Re: Top Government Insider: Bin Laden Died In 2001, 9/11 A False Flag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 21:03:27 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsev0abd6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,sci.skeptic,alt.california

On 5/4/2011 1:56 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Scott Brown of Massatwobumps.
> DAT explains DAT!
> cuhulin
>

Scott Brown?

Isn't that what they named the fox guarding the chicken coop?

Regards,
JS

587. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 21:13:48 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsfidabd7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228514
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/4/2011 2:06 PM, Scout wrote:

> Actually you have that backwards, controlled demolition results in
> catastrophic structural failure, but not all catastrophic structural
> failures result from controlled demolition.
>
> IOW, all squares are rectangles, but not all rectangles are squares.
>
> Which still does not answer my question. If it wasn't suppose to fall
> down, in what direction was it suppose to fall?
>
>

No. But, you do.

A building doesn't fall through itself. You can't find another example
of such a fine example of controlled demolitions.

Any other method resulting in a collapse would have large sections
falling to one side or another, even multiple sides ...

If you can't realize that, take a few physics and engineering classes
and the realization of what kind of idiots spout such tripe as yours
will suddenly force an epiphany on you!

As, right now, when you speak to those educated in the sciences and
engineering you sound like a complete buffoon! Go post your fantasy
crap in a physics NG and have them explain it to you ...

Regards,
JS

588. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 21:17:11 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsfooabd8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228515
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/4/2011 2:13 PM, Jack Bruce wrote:

> ...
> The laws of physics are a wee tad different on her planet.

Must be, as a greatly intensified gravitational field, such as you would find on a planet with much more mass than earth, would make such "earth free falls speeds" rather common place non-controlled-demolition collapses, on her planet.

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

589. Re: like not trusting sand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 21:19:57 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC1C2FD-445@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228516

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=American+Red+Cross+Volunteers+in+Clinton+Mississippi

I just saw a video clip of them on WLBT 3 TV news.There are a lot of
Volunteers in Clinton.Clinton is about five miles West of doggy's couch.
cuhulin

590. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 21:35:50 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ipsgrq$i62$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228517
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:ipsfidabd7@dont-email.me...
> On 5/4/2011 2:06 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>>
>> Actually you have that backwards, controlled demolition results in
>> catastrophic structural failure, but not all catastrophic structural
>> failures result from controlled demolition.
>>
>> IOW, all squares are rectangles, but not all rectangles are squares.
>>
>> Which still does not answer my question. If it wasn't suppose to fall
>> down, in what direction was it suppose to fall?
>>
>>
>
> No. But, you do.
>
> A building doesn't fall through itself.

Let's see. An object will move based on the forces acting upon it. Simple
physics.

In any building the largest force typically acting upon it is gravity.
Gravity acts downwards.

So why exactly shouldn't it's primary direct of movement be downwards as a
result of the largest force acting upon it?

> You can't find another example of such a fine example of controlled
> demolitions.

I can find any number of them. However, what is interesting about them, is
the rather distinctive audio signature which is missing from the events of
9/11.

> Any other method resulting in a collapse would have large sections falling
> to one side or another, even multiple sides ...

Catastrophic structural failure.

You do know what that is, right?

> If you can't realize that, take a few physics and engineering classes and
> the realization of what kind of idiots spout such tripe as yours will
> suddenly force an epiphany on you!

Well given I have, and the engineering classes were structural engineering, and by trade I am involved in steel fabrication. I have a pretty solid grounding in steel structures, their design, fabrication, erection and failure modes.

So once again, I ask if it wasn't controlled demolition then what direction were the buildings suppose to collapse in?

What makes downwards an unreasonable direction of structural failure?

591. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 21:41:17 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC1C7FD-473@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228518

I am not lieing, yesterday afternoon at about two thirty, I heard a big Loud Helicopter almost directly over doggy's couch.It was moving slowly, heading West.
cuhulin

592. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 21:47:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC1C979-477@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228569

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Mississippi+River+Flood+of+1927

An old Popular Mechanics, maybe it is Popular Science magazine, I have that magazine here somewhere.Article about building Levees along the Mississippi River.They used Bulldozers and other heavy equipment.They laid down some big thick steel mats when they were building the Levees.
cuhulin

593. Re: 9/11, Still an inside job!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 22:06:20 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsiktnsq2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228570
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/4/2011 2:35 PM, Scout wrote:

> ...
> So once again, I ask if it wasn't controlled demolition then what
> direction were the buildings suppose to collapse in?
>
> What makes downwards an unreasonable direction of structural failure?
>
>

All uneducated people end up asking me that same question, "Why can't
you explain to me in five-minutes what it took you twelve-years?"

I think the answer is obvious.

Here is a guy with the patience, software and education which has taken
pity on the less educated and is willing to expend his time education
others:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WiwEGPMxBDI

Regards,
JS

594. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 22:14:23 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsj40nsq4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228571
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/4/2011 2:36 PM, Scout wrote:

> ...
> Apparently.

Some offer "quick start educations", for free!

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/wtc7/demolition.html

For more, visit your local jr college and have a chat with a physics instructor ...

Regards,
JS

595. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 22:14:38 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ipsj4i$ch4$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228572
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:ipsfooabd8@dont-email.me...
> On 5/4/2011 2:13 PM, Jack Bruce wrote:
>
>> ...
>> The laws of physics are a wee tad different on her planet.
>
> Must be, as a greatly intensified gravitational field, such as you would
> find on a planet with much more mass than earth, would make such "earth
> free falls speeds" rather common place non-controlled-demolition
> collapses, on her planet.

Actually most reasonably dense objects will fall at near free fall speeds,
and a catastrophic structural failure will tend to result in a collapse at
near free fall speeds.

See, it's sort of like building a house of cards with a heavy weight on top.
When that house of cards collapses that weight is going to reach the table
at near free fall speeds.

Now you say, that's not realistic a skyscraper isn't a house of cards.
However, structurally it is. It is designed and built JUST strong enough to
allow for any expected loads and a small bit extra for unexpected loads. As
such it is very much a house of cards once a large enough collapse occurs
because the allowable live (ie dynamic) load is a fraction of the dead (ie
static) load and as such will simply crush the structure like a house of
cards. So once you get large loads in motion.....they tend to remain in
motion. Inertia is a killer. I think some 15-20 stories in motion would
tend to qualify as a extremely massive live load which is not going to be
offered any significant resistance by the remaining structure.

That's why you can snap a chain rated at 10,000 pounds pulling a 2,000 pound
car out of a ditch, and that failure doesn't occur gradually either.

So, no, there is nothing about the direction or rate of collapse which is
contrary to physics or what could reasonably be expected given a
catastrophic failure. Indeed shortly after 9/11 a structural engineering
trade journal did a complete analysis of the collapse, failure modes, etc.
and oddly enough despite the bulk of their readership being structural
engineers and so on, there was no massive outcry from the readership that
this was contrary to physics, structural design, normal failure modes, or
anything other than an expected result of the known damage done.

However, as I tell those who claim they have the "truth", I'm willing to
look at your evidence. So pick your best piece of proof that proves the

collapse was another other than ordinary, back it up with all known facts, along with a complete analysis that proves it couldn't have occurred naturally and I'll look it over and see if it holds up. If you can't do this with a single detail that conclusively proves your claims, then there is no need to bother with the rest of it, since if your best piece of 'proof' can't hold up, then neither can the rest.

The balls in your court. Pick your best piece of evidence and make your case. No one else has been able to do so despite claiming to know all but it, but maybe you will be the first to make a conclusive case on some detail.

596. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 22:17:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsj9dnsr1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228573
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/4/2011 3:14 PM, Scout wrote:

> ...
> The balls in your court. Pick your best piece of evidence and make your
> case. No one else has been able to do so despite claiming to know all
> but it, but maybe you will be the first to make a conclusive case on
> some detail.
>
>

There are no credible scientists who will argue ...

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/wtc7/demolition.html

The comments and thoughts of those without firm educations in the fields
involved are just babbling morons ...

Regards,
JS

597. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 22:19:05 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsjcpnsr2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228574

On 5/4/2011 2:41 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I am not lieing, yesterday afternoon at about two thirty, I heard a big
> Loud Helicopter almost directly over doggy's couch.It was moving slowly,
> heading West.
> cuhulin
>

Was it black?

Were aliens running ahead of it, attempting to avoid it?

Regards,
JS

598. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 22:22:00 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC1D188-497@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228577

And Wookie is dancing and celebrating with Beyonce,,,, whatever that is?
I just now saw a video clip of 'it' on TV news.
cuhulin

599. Re: 9/11, Still an inside job!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 22:24:47 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ipsjnjjht1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228575
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:ipsiktnsq2@dont-email.me...
> On 5/4/2011 2:35 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>> ...
>> So once again, I ask if it wasn't controlled demolition then what
>> direction were the buildings suppose to collapse in?
>>
>> What makes downwards an unreasonable direction of structural failure?
>>
>>
>
> All uneducated people end up asking me that same question, "Why can't you
> explain to me in five-minutes what it took you twelve-years?"
>
> I think the answer is obvious.
>
> Here is a guy with the patience, software and education which has taken
> pity on the less educated and is willing to expend his time education
> others:
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WiwEGPMxBDI

Sorry, I fail to see anything in the video that indicates a normal natural catastrophic structural failure did not occur. By his own numbers there is some resistance, and that resistance is consistent within the realm of a catastrophic structural collapse of this magnitude. When enough of the building obtains a velocity, it will simply crush the structure under the shear force of inertia and the counter resistance will be minor. As such, there is nothing in the video that contradicts physics nor what one would expect to observe given a catastrophic structural failure.

If this is your best evidence, then you don't have much of a case.

600. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 22:25:51 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ipsjpjlqt1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228576
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:ipsj40nsq4@dont-email.me...
> On 5/4/2011 2:36 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>> ...
>> Apparently.
>
> Some offer "quick start educations", for free!
>
> http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/wtc7/demolition.html

http://www.debunking911.com/index.html

601. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 22:51:01 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ipsl8p$751$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228578
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:ipsj9dnsr1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/4/2011 3:14 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>> ...
>> The balls in your court. Pick your best piece of evidence and make your
>> case. No one else has been able to do so despite claiming to know all
>> but it, but maybe you will be the first to make a conclusive case on
>> some detail.
>>
>>
>
> There are no credible scientists who will argue ...
>
> http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/wtc7/demolition.html
>
> The comments and thoughts of those without firm educations in the fields
> involved are just babbling morons ...

I accept you can't support your claim as called for and instead produce a
website that relies upon appearances and unsupported assertions.

And another debunker shows he has no proof for his claims.

602. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 23:08:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsm9n$7nj$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228579
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/4/2011 3:51 PM, Scout wrote:

>> ...
>> The comments and thoughts of those without firm educations in the
>> fields involved are just babbling morons ...
>
> I accept you can't support your claim as called for and instead produce
> a website that relies upon appearances and unsupported assertions.
>
> And another debunker shows he has no proof for his claims.
>
>

If you bother to look, there is a multitude of links on the left which
deal will most aspects.

It would take a learned person days, perhaps weeks to review them and
follow up, with other links, to gain an education.

The uneducated need only moments, of course ... how to you think they
got their fine educations?

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

603. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 23:11:54 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsmfq$7nj$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228580
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/4/2011 3:25 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
> news:ipsj40nsq4@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/4/2011 2:36 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Apparently.
>>
>> Some offer "quick start educations", for free!
>>
>> http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/wtc7/demolition.html
>
> http://www.debunking911.com/index.html

Fire up youtube and investigate controlled demolitions. Now and then
such accidents occur, under much more controlled conditions than where a
planes damage is a variance factor.

Like I say, check it out, find credible scientists and engineers. Post
their conclusions here, it will dawn upon you what is what ...

Regards,
JS

604. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 23:28:22 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC1E116-494@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228581

It was Loud, sounded like it was flying low.I hear and see them every once in a while, I didn't bother to step outside to take a look.Almost every time they are heading West or Southwest.
cuhulin

605. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 23:41:11 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipso6n$da3$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228582
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/4/2011 3:25 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
> news:ipsj40nsq4@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/4/2011 2:36 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Apparently.
>>
>> Some offer "quick start educations", for free!
>>
>> http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/wtc7/demolition.html
>
> http://www.debunking911.com/index.html

ROFLOL!!!

It embarasses me to have to point this out to you. But, I offer you credible people and materials, Dr. Steven Jones, Richard Gage (a REAL architect), etc.

For example, here is a partial list of some:

http://www2.ae911truth.org/signpetition.php

You, in turn, offer me such notable minds as:
ScottS, Shagster, Arthur, Mark Ferran, NEU-FONZE and David B. Benson

Really, you can't recognize shoddy logic, evidence, proof, fabrications, etc. when you see them?

Come back with some of notable mention and their papers ... please don't embarrass me for you, again!

Regards,
JS

606. Re: 9/11, Still an inside job!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 23:43:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsobi$da3$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228583
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/4/2011 3:24 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
> news:ipsikt$nsq$2@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/4/2011 2:35 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> So once again, I ask if it wasn't controlled demolition then what
>>> direction were the buildings suppose to collapse in?
>>>
>>> What makes downwards an unreasonable direction of structural failure?
>>>
>>>
>>
>> All uneducated people end up asking me that same question, "Why can't
>> you explain to me in five-minutes what it took you twelve-years?"
>>
>> I think the answer is obvious.
>>
>> Here is a guy with the patience, software and education which has
>> taken pity on the less educated and is willing to expend his time
>> education others:
>>
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WiwEGPMxBDI
>
> Sorry, I fail to see anything in the video that indicates a normal
> natural catastrophic structural failure did not occur. By his own
> numbers there is some resistance, and that resistance is consistent
> within the realm of a catastrophic structural collapse of this
> magnitude. When enough of the building obtains a velocity, it will
> simply crush the structure under the shear force of inertia and the
> counter resistance will be minor. As such, there is nothing in the video
> that contradicts physics nor what one would expect to observe given a
> catastrophic structural failure.
>
> If this is your best evidence, then you don't have much of a case.
>
>

ROFLOL!!!

It embarasses me to have to point this out to you. But, I offer you
credible people and materials, Dr. Steven Jones, Richard Gage (a REAL
```

architect), etc.

For example, here is a partial list of some:

http://www2.ae911truth.org/signpetition.php

You, in turn, offer me such notable minds as:
ScottS, Shagster, Arthur, Mark Ferran, NEU-FONZE and David B. Benson

Really, you can't recognize shoddy logic, evidence, proof, fabrications, etc. when you see them?

Come back with some of notable mention and their papers ... please don't embarrass me for you, again!

Again, a credible site, real scientists, engineers, architects:

http://www.911truth.org/

Regards,
JS

607. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 23:47:03 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsoho$da3$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228584

On 5/4/2011 4:28 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> It was Loud, sounded like it was flying low.I hear and see them every
> once in a while, I didn't bother to step outside to take a look.Almost
> every time they are heading West or Southwest.
> cuhulin
>

Make sure your basement is well nailed, locked down and secured.

Those aliens get in there and start nesting and the UFO/alien sightings
and rumors will keep you too busy to get on the usenet!

Regards,
JS

608. If 'Captured Osama bin-Laden Was Ready To Tell All : About His Many Western Friends : The US Connection !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 23:53:31 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <5ce3f14d-ce13-4aa6-9652-2f985204ae35@t19g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 3, 6:59 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 6:46 AM, Anthony wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > "Car Crashes Mean Car Sales - GM loves highway criminals"
> > <beta...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:1c268d8f-4966-464c-a29b-4932fa30426c@bl1g2000vbb.googlegroups.com...
> > On May 2, 9:51 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> On May 2, 11:47 pm, Car Crashes Mean Car Sales - GM loves highway
>
> >> criminals<beta...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >>> We all know why. No evidence. All the evidence points to inside job.
>
> >>> Now it's - Dead men tell no tales.
>
> >> He has been dead for years!
>
> > I realize that. I don't think obama killed him over the weekend, but
> > he says he did and now he has to explain why he destroyed the evidence.
> > _____
>
> > He did explain. You just didn't like his answer.
>
> Yeah, I don't remember the words exactly, so I paraphrase here, "THE
> DAMN DOG ATE MY HOMEWORK!"
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS

-wrt- Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Cause... Dead Men Do Not Tell All !
-osama-tells-all-:-stop-him-says-obama-

Osama bin-Laden the Early Years 'pre' Afghanistan
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Osama_bin_Laden
-osama-tells-all-:-stop-him-says-obama-

Osama bin-Laden the Fighting the Afghanistan War
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Osama_bin_Laden
-osama-tells-all-:-stop-him-says-obama-

Osama bin-Laden the Mujahideen in Afghanistan
and the CIA Connection
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Osama_bin_Laden
-osama-tells-all-:-stop-him-says-obama-

Osama bin-Laden the founding of al-Qaeda
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Osama_bin_Laden
-osama-tells-all-:-stop-him-says-obama-

Osama bin-Laden the Post 9/11 Years
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Osama_bin_Laden
-osama-tells-all-:-stop-him-says-obama-

If 'Captured Osama Was Ready To Tell All : About
His Many Western Friends : The US Connection !
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Osama_bin_Laden
-stop-him-!-says-obama- -stop-him-!-says-obama-
Dead Islam-O-Fascists Do Not Talk and Tell All !
-bush-says-yeah-do-it-!- -bush-says-yeah-do-it-!-
The Dirty 'Big' Nasty Secrets Die With Osama [.]
-cheney-says-shotgun-!-shoot-him-right-up...-

and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
.
-corrected-history- Will Talk About Osama cia-Laden
-revised-history- Will Talk About al-Cia-da
.
.

609. Re: Top Government Insider: Bin Laden Died In 2001, 9/11 A False Flag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-04 23:57:45 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC1E7F9-502@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228586

Doggy just now took me out in the front yard, (she took a squirt) we saw a twin engine Turboprop Airplane flying almost over my house.It was heading East at a good speed and flying almost low enough I could almost make out the writing on the side.It was a white Airplane.
cuhulin

610. Re: When Did Bin Laden D-e?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:01:16 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipspcb$gj4$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228587
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/4/2011 4:50 PM, george wrote:
> On May 5, 11:27 am, "John Winston"<joh...@mlode.com> wrote:
>> Subject: When Did Bin Laden D-e?
> .3 of a second after the bullets hit him

With no credible proof, who can ever know?

Without a body, someone is going to have to try to photoshop us up some
which will pass muster ... but, after all the phony birth certificates
floating around, it is only going to be harder to fool 'em this time!

Regards,
JS

611. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:05:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24662-4DC1E9E4-157@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228589

This afternoon when I was up in my attic and walking on the 4' by 8' sheets of plywood I put up there, I heard a buzzing noise when I stepped on a certain part of one of those sheets of plywood.I thought at first something was shorting out.I looked under that plywood, it was a Bumble Bee that somehow got under there.The Bee was getting mashed between the plywood and some styrofoam insulation.

Three Fake Ass senators fell for Fake.
http://www.drudgereport.com
cuhulin

612. Re: 9/11, Still an inside job!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:07:07 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ipspne$t1m$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228588
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:ipsobi$da3$2@dont-email.me...
> On 5/4/2011 3:24 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>> news:ipsikt$nsq$2@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/4/2011 2:35 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> So once again, I ask if it wasn't controlled demolition then what
>>>> direction were the buildings suppose to collapse in?
>>>>
>>>> What makes downwards an unreasonable direction of structural failure?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> All uneducated people end up asking me that same question, "Why can't
>>> you explain to me in five-minutes what it took you twelve-years?"
>>>
>>> I think the answer is obvious.
>>>
>>> Here is a guy with the patience, software and education which has
>>> taken pity on the less educated and is willing to expend his time
>>> education others:
>>>
>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WiwEGPMxBDI
>>
>> Sorry, I fail to see anything in the video that indicates a normal
>> natural catastrophic structural failure did not occur. By his own
>> numbers there is some resistance, and that resistance is consistent
>> within the realm of a catastrophic structural collapse of this
>> magnitude. When enough of the building obtains a velocity, it will
>> simply crush the structure under the shear force of inertia and the
>> counter resistance will be minor. As such, there is nothing in the video
>> that contradicts physics nor what one would expect to observe given a
>> catastrophic structural failure.
>>
>> If this is your best evidence, then you don't have much of a case.
>>
>>
>
> ROFLOL!!!
```

>
> It embarasses me to have to point this out to you. But, I offer you
> credible people and materials, Dr. Steven Jones, Richard Gage (a REAL
> architect), etc.

Yea, and I'm a real structural engineer, which BTW counts for a hell of a lot more than doesn't an architect. See, and architect makes pretty buildings....a structural engineer figures out how to actually build that pretty design.

> For example, here is a partial list of some:
>
> http://www2.ae911truth.org/signpetition.php

Logical fallacy, appeal to numbers.

Sorry, but just because others believe it, doesn't make it true.

Now, I'm offering you a chance to make your case. Pick your best specific piece of evidence and prove your case for it. If you can't....then there is no point in proceeding because if you can't prove you have a case with your best piece of evidence, then your case is doomed to fail no matter how much crap you try to drag out.

Now, I will admit you brought this out before I indicated you should use your best evidence with a specific issue, so I'm willing to consider the possibility this wasn't your best evidence. However, if you can't make your case with your next one, then you will have failed to prove there is any basis in fact at all for your case.

613. Re: 9/11, Still an inside job!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:14:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsq9dkde1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228591
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/4/2011 5:07 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
> news:ipsobi$da3$2@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/4/2011 3:24 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>> news:ipsikt$nsq$2@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 5/4/2011 2:35 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> So once again, I ask if it wasn't controlled demolition then what
>>>>> direction were the buildings suppose to collapse in?
>>>>>
>>>>> What makes downwards an unreasonable direction of structural failure?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> All uneducated people end up asking me that same question, "Why can't
>>>> you explain to me in five-minutes what it took you twelve-years?"
>>>>
>>>> I think the answer is obvious.
>>>>
>>>> Here is a guy with the patience, software and education which has
>>>> taken pity on the less educated and is willing to expend his time
>>>> education others:
>>>>
>>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WiwEGPMxBDI
>>>
>>> Sorry, I fail to see anything in the video that indicates a normal
>>> natural catastrophic structural failure did not occur. By his own
>>> numbers there is some resistance, and that resistance is consistent
>>> within the realm of a catastrophic structural collapse of this
>>> magnitude. When enough of the building obtains a velocity, it will
>>> simply crush the structure under the shear force of inertia and the
>>> counter resistance will be minor. As such, there is nothing in the video
>>> that contradicts physics nor what one would expect to observe given a
>>> catastrophic structural failure.
>>>
>>> If this is your best evidence, then you don't have much of a case.
>>>
```

>>>
>>
>> ROFLOL!!!
>>
>> It embarasses me to have to point this out to you. But, I offer you
>> credible people and materials, Dr. Steven Jones, Richard Gage (a REAL
>> architect), etc.
>
> Yea, and I'm a real structural engineer, which BTW counts for a hell of
> a lot more than doesn't an architect. See, and architect makes pretty
> buildings....a structural engineer figures out how to actually build
> that pretty design.
>
>
>
>> For example, here is a partial list of some:
>>
>> http://www2.ae911truth.org/signpetition.php
>
> Logical fallacy, appeal to numbers.
>
> Sorry, but just because others believe it, doesn't make it true.
>
> Now, I'm offering you a chance to make your case. Pick your best
> specific piece of evidence and prove your case for it. If you
> can't....then there is no point in proceeding because if you can't prove
> you have a case with your best piece of evidence, then your case is
> doomed to fail no matter how much crap you try to drag out.
>
> Now, I will admit you brought this out before I indicated you should use
> your best evidence with a specific issue, so I'm willing to consider the
> possibility this wasn't your best evidence. However, if you can't make
> your case with your next one, then you will have failed to prove there
> is any basis in fact at all for your case.
>
>

ROFLOL!!!

I have been had! By a child most likely ... the shame of it ... an
imaginary engineer gives me evidence and proofs developed by:

ScottS, Shagster, Arthur, Mark Ferran, NEU-FONZE and David B. Benson.

ROFLOL!!!

... plonk ...

Regards,
JS

614. Obama bi-Den© Eliminate/Eradicate Osama bin-Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:15:41 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <34341ec1-a324-4bc4-8df6-fa5b8371f0ad@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 3, 7:05 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 4:59 PM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
>
> >> ...
> >> It is funny that you liberals complain about him and vilify him but, you
> >> are the first to turn him on and listen to him.
>
> > And buy the stuff advertised on the stations that air his show. Thank
> > you, thank you!
>
> > Larry
>
> There is much ado about nothing, give them all credit, give one of them
> credit, give none of them of them credit ... big deal.
>
> On a scale of 1 to 10 this is simply a non-event. It won't change the
> price of gas; it won't end any wars; it won't help the economy; it won't
> cut unemployment; it won't bring home jobs from overseas and china; it
> won't stop the banksters and wall street; it won't bring the public
> servants responsible for 9/11 to justice; etc., etc., etc.
>
> Wake me up when something really happens ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Yeah Dick Cheney was there on the Ship and personally
put his Fingers into Osama bin-Laden's Wounds to make
sure it was a Clean Kill -dick-cheney-was-heard-to-say-
-they-should-have-used-a-'shotgun'-!-
.
If 'Captured Osama bin-Laden Was Ready To Tell All :
About His Many Western Friends : The US Connection !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb1975574e72d3da
.
NOTE : An Islamic {Muslim} Burial-at-Sea : Is that
sort of like Flushing-the-Toilet on the Life and Legend
of Osama bin-Laden ? -way-to-go-obama-biden-
.
HEY ! : "Obama bi-Den"© the Obama-Regime's New
'Killer' {Hit-Man} Alter-Ego Obama bi-Den© ~ RHF
.
.

615. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:18:49 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ipsqdc$4j3$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228592
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:ipsm9n$7nj$2@dont-email.me...
> On 5/4/2011 3:51 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>>> ...
>>> The comments and thoughts of those without firm educations in the
>>> fields involved are just babbling morons ...
>>
>> I accept you can't support your claim as called for and instead produce
>> a website that relies upon appearances and unsupported assertions.
>>
>> And another debunker shows he has no proof for his claims.
>>
>>
>
> If you bother to look, there is a multitude of links on the left which
> deal will most aspects.

Sorry, you were asked to present your best evidence. You posted a link presumably to the best evidence that exists. I found claims about what it appeared to be and a lot of unsupported assertions. If this is the best that exists....then there is hardly any reason to look around because everything else can only be worse.

>
> It would take a learned person days, perhaps weeks to review them and
> follow up, with other links, to gain an education.

Sorry, that's why I asked you to present your best evidence. Presumably you have done all this and can point out a specific detail that proves you have a case.

Instead you couldn't do so. Now if you've spent days or even weeks on just this site (and presumably months looking at others) then you should know where to find the best single example of proof.

You failed. So why should I waste my time looking around for something better when YOU couldn't find it?

> The uneducated need only moments, of course ... how to you think they got
> their fine educations?

Takes me only a moment to look at the lack of any conclusive proof in your BEST evidence, and decide you don't have a case.

Maybe you should have picked a better piece of evidence, but then that's what you were asked to do. If appearances and unsupported assertions is the BEST evidence you can produce, then why should I waste more time with it, or you?

So to sum it up.

You were asked to present your best evidence.
Your best evidence consisted of claims about appearances and unsupported assertions
Your best evidence fails to get off the pad as there is no proof of anything presented.

Conclusion, if your best is this bad, then there is no need to bother with your conspiracy theories.

616. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:20:06 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ipsqfq$5i7$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228593
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:ipsmfq$7nj$3@dont-email.me...
> On 5/4/2011 3:25 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>> news:ipsj40$nsq$4@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/4/2011 2:36 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Apparently.
>>>
>>> Some offer "quick start educations", for free!
>>>
>>> http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/wtc7/demolition.html
>>
>> http://www.debunking911.com/index.html
>
> Fire up youtube and investigate controlled demolitions
```

Sorry, I've looked before and see no reason to do YOUR homework for you.
It's up to you to present any proof to prove your case. So far your best
proof has been assertions of appearances and unsupported assertions.

617. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:20:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsqgkkde2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/4/2011 5:05 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> This afternoon when I was up in my attic and walking on the 4' by 8'
> sheets of plywood I put up there, I heard a buzzing noise when I stepped
> on a certain part of one of those sheets of plywood.I thought at first
> something was shorting out.I looked under that plywood, it was a Bumble
> Bee that somehow got under there.The Bee was getting mashed between the
> plywood and some styrofoam insulation.
>
> Three Fake Ass senators fell for Fake.
> http://www.drudgereport.com
> cuhulin
>

We should notify Ron Paul so he doesn't show up for work, and then have
a "Catastrophic Structural Failure" of the houses! We should be that
lucky ...

Regards,
JS

618. Re: Bin Laden has been dead for years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:23:04 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc1eda4$0$12507$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228595
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 05/04/2011 01:21 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Wed, 04 May 2011 12:35:11 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>> That is a meaningless question. A better question would be, is there
>> ever a time when government worked in the peoples' best interests?
>>
>> The answer to that is yes, right up to the time of the coup,
>> assassination of Kennedy and the beginning of the take over of the
>> peoples' rights, powers, freedom, liberties, etc.
>
>
> Show me.
>
>

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FgcAb_xxnG0&feature=related

619. Re: 9/11, Still an inside job!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:23:16 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ipsqlo$7mm$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228597
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:ipsq9d$kde$1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/4/2011 5:07 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>> news:ipsobi$da3$2@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/4/2011 3:24 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:ipsikt$nsq$2@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/4/2011 2:35 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> So once again, I ask if it wasn't controlled demolition then what
>>>>>> direction were the buildings suppose to collapse in?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What makes downwards an unreasonable direction of structural failure?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> All uneducated people end up asking me that same question, "Why can't
>>>>> you explain to me in five-minutes what it took you twelve-years?"
>>>>>
>>>>> I think the answer is obvious.
>>>>>
>>>>> Here is a guy with the patience, software and education which has
>>>>> taken pity on the less educated and is willing to expend his time
>>>>> education others:
>>>>>
>>>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WiwEGPMxBDI
>>>>
>>>> Sorry, I fail to see anything in the video that indicates a normal
>>>> natural catastrophic structural failure did not occur. By his own
>>>> numbers there is some resistance, and that resistance is consistent
>>>> within the realm of a catastrophic structural collapse of this
>>>> magnitude. When enough of the building obtains a velocity, it will
>>>> simply crush the structure under the shear force of inertia and the
>>>> counter resistance will be minor. As such, there is nothing in the
>>>> video
>>>> that contradicts physics nor what one would expect to observe given a
>>>> catastrophic structural failure.
```

>>>>
>>>> If this is your best evidence, then you don't have much of a case.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> ROFLOL!!!
>>>
>>> It embarasses me to have to point this out to you. But, I offer you
>>> credible people and materials, Dr. Steven Jones, Richard Gage (a REAL
>>> architect), etc.
>>
>> Yea, and I'm a real structural engineer, which BTW counts for a hell of
>> a lot more than doesn't an architect. See, and architect makes pretty
>> buildings....a structural engineer figures out how to actually build
>> that pretty design.
>>
>>
>>
>>> For example, here is a partial list of some:
>>>
>>> http://www2.ae911truth.org/signpetition.php
>>
>> Logical fallacy, appeal to numbers.
>>
>> Sorry, but just because others believe it, doesn't make it true.
>>
>> Now, I'm offering you a chance to make your case. Pick your best
>> specific piece of evidence and prove your case for it. If you
>> can't....then there is no point in proceeding because if you can't prove
>> you have a case with your best piece of evidence, then your case is
>> doomed to fail no matter how much crap you try to drag out.
>>
>> Now, I will admit you brought this out before I indicated you should use
>> your best evidence with a specific issue, so I'm willing to consider the
>> possibility this wasn't your best evidence. However, if you can't make
>> your case with your next one, then you will have failed to prove there
>> is any basis in fact at all for your case.
>>
>>
>
> ROFLOL!!!
>
> I have been had! By a child most likely ... the shame of it ... an
> imaginary engineer gives me evidence and proofs developed by:
>
> ScottS, Shagster, Arthur, Mark Ferran, NEU-FONZE and David B. Benson.
>
> ROFLOL!!!
>
> ... plonk ...

So much for another 9/11 conspiracy theory wackjob, when asked to prove his case with FACTS...he runs away.

620. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:23:52 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc1edd4$0$12507$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228596

On 05/04/2011 01:47 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 4, 12:15 pm, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 05/04/2011 09:55 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>> JT, on his Super Talk Missy Sippy radio talk show said that liberal
>>> website huffingtonpost.com has an amazing satellite shot of those
>>> storms.
>>
>>> I don't ever click on huffingtonpost.com
>>> cuhulin
>>
>> Afraid you'll turn queer?
>
> Worked for me! ;-)

I have the emotional IQ of a 7th grader.

621. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:23:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsqmokde3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228598
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/4/2011 5:18 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
> news:ipsm9n$7nj$2@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/4/2011 3:51 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>>> ...
>>>> The comments and thoughts of those without firm educations in the
>>>> fields involved are just babbling morons ...
>>>
>>> I accept you can't support your claim as called for and instead produce
>>> a website that relies upon appearances and unsupported assertions.
>>>
>>> And another debunker shows he has no proof for his claims.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> If you bother to look, there is a multitude of links on the left which
>> deal will most aspects.
>
> Sorry, you were asked to present your best evidence. You posted a link
> presumably to the best evidence that exists. I found claims about what
> it appeared to be and a lot of unsupported assertions. If this is the
> best that exists....then there is hardly any reason to look around
> because everything else can only be worse.
>
>
>>
>> It would take a learned person days, perhaps weeks to review them and
>> follow up, with other links, to gain an education.
>
> Sorry, that's why I asked you to present your best evidence. Presumably
> you have done all this and can point out a specific detail that proves
> you have a case.
>
> Instead you couldn't do so. Now if you've spent days or even weeks on
> just this site (and presumably months looking at others) then you should
> know where to find the best single example of proof.
>
> You failed. So why should I waste my time looking around for something
> better when YOU couldn't find it?
>
```

>
>> The uneducated need only moments, of course ... how to you think they
>> got their fine educations?
>
> Takes me only a moment to look at the lack of any conclusive proof in
> your BEST evidence, and decide you don't have a case.
>
>
> Maybe you should have picked a better piece of evidence, but then that's
> what you were asked to do. If appearances and unsupported assertions is
> the BEST evidence you can produce, then why should I waste more time
> with it, or you?
>
> So to sum it up.
>
> You were asked to present your best evidence.
> Your best evidence consisted of claims about appearances and unsupported
> assertions
> Your best evidence fails to get off the pad as there is no proof of
> anything presented.
>
> Conclusion, if your best is this bad, then there is no need to bother
> with your conspiracy theories.
>
>

If you wished to carry on conversation, you should have behaved like an engineer instead of child starting out with fraudulent data ... it can only go downhill from there as your arguments and proof get more and more preposterous!

Say hi to ScottS, Shagster, Arthur, Mark Ferran, NEU-FONZE and David B. Benson when you see them. I am sure they will now take up your argument with you!

... plonked in this thread too ...

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

622. Re: When Did Bin Laden D-e?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:25:18 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <56518528-fadc-4ad7-a2d2-5a1e98f9bc83@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228599
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On May 4, 5:01 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 4:50 PM, george wrote:
>
> > On May 5, 11:27 am, "John Winston"<joh...@mlode.com> wrote:
> >> Subject: When Did Bin Laden D-e?
> > .3 of a second after the bullets hit him
>
> With no credible proof, who can ever know?
>
> Without a body, someone is going to have to try to photoshop us up some
> which will pass muster ... but, after all the phony birth certificates
> floating around, it is only going to be harder to fool 'em this time!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Prez-A-Duntz Barack Obama [BO] -says- 1 Mayo 2011
-so-that-is-what-the-official-hawaiian-death-cert-will-read-
.
Obama bi-Den© Eliminate/Eradicate Osama bin-Laden
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/610ed178230eb7af
.
If 'Captured Osama bin-Laden Was Ready To Tell All :
About His Many Western Friends : The US Connection !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb1975574e72d3da
.
.
```

623. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:26:37 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsqrtkde4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228600
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On 5/4/2011 5:20 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
> news:ipsmfq$7nj$3@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/4/2011 3:25 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>> news:ipsj40$nsq$4@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 5/4/2011 2:36 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Apparently.
>>>>
>>>> Some offer "quick start educations", for free!
>>>>
>>>> http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/wtc7/demolition.html
>>>
>>> http://www.debunking911.com/index.html
>>
>> Fire up youtube and investigate controlled demolitions
>
> Sorry, I've looked before and see no reason to do YOUR homework for you.
> It's up to you to present any proof to prove your case. So far your best
> proof has been assertions of appearances and unsupported assertions.
>
>

Translation: You can't find any so you PUNT!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS
```

624. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:28:00 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc1eecd$0$12512$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228601

On 05/04/2011 02:47 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Mississippi+River+Flood+of+1927
>
> An old Popular Mechanics, maybe it is Popular Science magazine, I have
> that magazine here somewhere.Article about building Levees along the
> Mississippi River.They used Bulldozers and other heavy equipment.They
> laid down some big thick steel mats when they were building the Levees.
> cuhulin
>

There are levees around Sacramento. Sacramento is 80 miles inland. The
levee system here is the reason farmers and fish can't coexist. It needs
some infrastructure upgrade activity,

http://www.portofstockton.com/

625. Re: Bin Laden has been dead for years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:29:27 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <73ffb55c-1afb-4b4b-9758-fe6443ab9ef6@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228604
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 4, 12:14 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/04/2011 08:41 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Wed, 04 May 2011 08:11:03 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > wrote:
>
> >> If I ever did trust my government, it was decades ago ... so long ago I
> >> have having a hard time remembering ...
>
> > Is there a time in history that any government was mostly trustworthy?

Dave -wrt- "Bin Laden has been dead for years"

Dave : Stop Spreading Anti-Obama Disinformation !
.
Prez-A-Duntz Barack Obama [BO] -says- 1 Mayo 2011
-so-that-is-what-the-official-hawaiian-death-cert-will-read-
That Is The Official 'Cult of The-Obama' Story
-obama-great-slayer-of-bad-guys-
.
Obama bi-Den© Eliminate/Eradicate Osama bin-Laden
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/610ed178230eb7af
.
If 'Captured Osama bin-Laden Was Ready To Tell All :
About His Many Western Friends : The US Connection !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb1975574e72d3da
.
.

626. 20m has been smoking hot all day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:29:48 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc1ef38$0$12512$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228602

34.4, -118.4

627. Re: His last video was Halloween 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:30:29 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc1ef61$0$12512$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228603
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 05/04/2011 05:25 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 4, 5:01 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/4/2011 4:50 PM, george wrote:
>>
>>> On May 5, 11:27 am, "John Winston"<joh...@mlode.com> wrote:
>>>> Subject: When Did Bin Laden D-e?
>>> .3 of a second after the bullets hit him
>>
>> With no credible proof, who can ever know?
>>
>> Without a body, someone is going to have to try to photoshop us up some
>> which will pass muster ... but, after all the phony birth certificates
>> floating around, it is only going to be harder to fool 'em this time!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Prez-A-Duntz Barack Obama [BO] -says- 1 Mayo 2011
> -so-that-is-what-the-official-hawaiian-death-cert-will-read-
> .
> Obama bi-Deni¿½ Eliminate/Eradicate Osama bin-Laden
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/610ed178230eb7af
> .
> If 'Captured Osama bin-Laden Was Ready To Tell All :
> About His Many Western Friends : The US Connection !
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb1975574e72d3da
> .
> .
```

628. Re: When Did Bin Laden D-e?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:30:33 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsr39kde6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228605
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/4/2011 5:25 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 4, 5:01 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/4/2011 4:50 PM, george wrote:
>>
>>> On May 5, 11:27 am, "John Winston"<joh...@mlode.com> wrote:
>>>> Subject: When Did Bin Laden D-e?
>>> .3 of a second after the bullets hit him
>>
>> With no credible proof, who can ever know?
>>
>> Without a body, someone is going to have to try to photoshop us up some
>> which will pass muster ... but, after all the phony birth certificates
>> floating around, it is only going to be harder to fool 'em this time!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Prez-A-Duntz Barack Obama [BO] -says- 1 Mayo 2011
> -so-that-is-what-the-official-hawaiian-death-cert-will-read-
> .
> Obama bi-Deni¿½ Eliminate/Eradicate Osama bin-Laden
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/610ed178230eb7af
> .
> If 'Captured Osama bin-Laden Was Ready To Tell All :
> About His Many Western Friends : The US Connection !
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb1975574e72d3da
> .
> .

Let me know when the whitehouse release the official death certificate
for osama ... not that I don't trust 'em, just would like to eyeball it ...

The courts did take away their copy of Adobe Illustrator to keep them
from committing any more felonies, didn't they???

ROFLOL!!!!

Regards,
JS
```

629. Re: Top Government Insider: Bin Laden Died In 2001, 9/11 A False Flag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:31:40 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ef3fdd06-c695-45ef-a0dc-51068d1c9575@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228606
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.california

On May 4, 10:05 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> http://www.infowars.com/top-us-government-insider-bin-laden-died-in-2...
>
> On Alex Jones live web cast now:
>
> http://www.infowars.com/stream.pls
>
> Regards,
> JS

JS -wrt- "Top Government Insider: Bin Laden Died
In 2001, 9/11 A False Flag"

JS : Stop Spreading Anti-Obama Disinformation !
.
Prez-A-Duntz Barack Obama [BO] -says- 1 Mayo 2011
-so-that-is-what-the-official-hawaiian-death-cert-will-read-
That Is The Official 'Cult of The-Obama' Story
-obama-great-slayer-of-bad-guys-
.
Obama bi-Den© Eliminate/Eradicate Osama bin-Laden
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/610ed178230eb7af
.
If 'Captured Osama bin-Laden Was Ready To Tell All :
About His Many Western Friends : The US Connection !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb1975574e72d3da
.
.

630. NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barry Soetoro AKA barack obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:35:49 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsrd5kde7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228607
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

ROFLOL!!!

I am telling you, you just can't make up shit like this ...

I don't know what America is at this time, but you let a negro run
things and it looks like it all goes to hell in a handbasket!

obama only thought he had problems. Let's see how he runs things now he
has destroyed any and all respect Americans could ever possibly hold for
him ... good luck ya' black arse-hole!

Regards,
JS

631. Re: Bin Laden Story 75% Bogus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:38:49 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <9afe80de-fc35-49e7-af29-135a643fafbf@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On May 4, 6:25 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:

- They've had that guy on ice for years.

Dave -wrt- "Re: Bin Laden Story 75% Bogus"
-and- "They've had that guy on ice for years."

Dave : Stop Spreading Anti-Obama Disinformation !
.
Prez-A-Duntz Barack Obama [BO] -says- 1 Mayo 2011
-so-that-is-what-the-official-hawaiian-death-cert-will-read-
That Is The Official 'Cult of The-Obama' Story
-so- Stick With It ! : Osama bin-Laden Died 1 Mayo 2011
-'the-obama'-great-slayer-of-bad-nasty-evil-guys-
.
Obama bi-Den© Eliminate/Eradicate Osama bin-Laden
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/610ed178230eb7af
.
If 'Captured Osama bin-Laden Was Ready To Tell All :
About His Many Western Friends : The US Connection !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb1975574e72d3da
.
.

632. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:40:21 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ipsrlojks1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228609
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:ipsqmo$kde$3@dont-email.me...
> On 5/4/2011 5:18 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>> news:ipsm9n$7nj$2@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/4/2011 3:51 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> The comments and thoughts of those without firm educations in the
>>>>> fields involved are just babbling morons ...
>>>>
>>>> I accept you can't support your claim as called for and instead produce
>>>> a website that relies upon appearances and unsupported assertions.
>>>>
>>>> And another debunker shows he has no proof for his claims.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> If you bother to look, there is a multitude of links on the left which
>>> deal will most aspects.
>>
>> Sorry, you were asked to present your best evidence. You posted a link
>> presumably to the best evidence that exists. I found claims about what
>> it appeared to be and a lot of unsupported assertions. If this is the
>> best that exists....then there is hardly any reason to look around
>> because everything else can only be worse.
>>
>>
>>>
>>> It would take a learned person days, perhaps weeks to review them and
>>> follow up, with other links, to gain an education.
>>
>> Sorry, that's why I asked you to present your best evidence. Presumably
>> you have done all this and can point out a specific detail that proves
>> you have a case.
>>
>> Instead you couldn't do so. Now if you've spent days or even weeks on
>> just this site (and presumably months looking at others) then you should
>> know where to find the best single example of proof.
>>
>> You failed. So why should I waste my time looking around for something
```

>> better when YOU couldn't find it?
>>
>>
>>> The uneducated need only moments, of course ... how to you think they
>>> got their fine educations?
>>
>> Takes me only a moment to look at the lack of any conclusive proof in
>> your BEST evidence, and decide you don't have a case.
>>
>>
>> Maybe you should have picked a better piece of evidence, but then that's
>> what you were asked to do. If appearances and unsupported assertions is
>> the BEST evidence you can produce, then why should I waste more time
>> with it, or you?
>>
>> So to sum it up.
>>
>> You were asked to present your best evidence.
>> Your best evidence consisted of claims about appearances and unsupported
>> assertions
>> Your best evidence fails to get off the pad as there is no proof of
>> anything presented.
>>
>> Conclusion, if your best is this bad, then there is no need to bother
>> with your conspiracy theories.
>>
>>
>
> If you wished to carry on conversation, you should have behaved like an
> engineer instead of child starting out with fraudulent data ...

I did. I asked you to prove your case with your best evidence.....you were
the one that failed to do so.

You were the one that asserted credentials were somehow relevant to the
facts presented.

You were the one that made claims you couldn't back up.

>it can only go downhill from there as your arguments and proof get more and
>more preposterous!

Well, I've seen your type come, and I've seen them go, and NONE could make
any reasonable effort to prove their case on a specific item. They either
threw out a website (like you did) and expect me to research the whole site
and all the claims in the hopes there was something that supported your
claims. IOW, doing your homework. Or they would produce a massive multitude
of items in the hope that the shear volume would somehow carry their claims.
Yet when one item was picked out and proven to be false, incomplete,
inconsistent, or otherwise failed to prove the claims made about it, their
only response was to simply point to something else and claim that I hadn't
disproven everything. As if their ability to make their case depended upon

others showing the flaws in their case rather than producing a solid case from the start.

> Say hi to ScottS, Shagster, Arthur, Mark Ferran, NEU-FONZE and David B.
> Benson when you see them. I am sure they will now take up your argument
> with you!

Damn, got your ass kicked by that many people and you still haven't figured out how to present a better case.

Makes it sound like any attitude you got at the start was perfectly justified given you have a history of making claims you can't support.

>... plonked in this thread too ...

So run away since you can't be bothered to prove that even a single point of yours is actually true and contradicts the official findings.

IOW, you can't support your case even in one detail.

633. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:41:29 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ipsrns$k9l$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228610
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:ipsqrt$kde$4@dont-email.me...
> On 5/4/2011 5:20 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>> news:ipsmfq$7nj$3@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/4/2011 3:25 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:ipsj40$nsq$4@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/4/2011 2:36 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Apparently.
>>>>>
>>>>> Some offer "quick start educations", for free!
>>>>>
>>>>> http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/wtc7/demolition.html
>>>>
>>>> http://www.debunking911.com/index.html
>>>
>>> Fire up youtube and investigate controlled demolitions
>>
>> Sorry, I've looked before and see no reason to do YOUR homework for you.
>> It's up to you to present any proof to prove your case. So far your best
>> proof has been assertions of appearances and unsupported assertions.
>>
>>
>
> Translation: You can't find any so you PUNT!

Nope, simply how it works. You make claims, it's up to you to prove them.

You were given the chance to do so, and you told me I should do your work
for you.

Sorry, but that's not how it works. It's not up to me to prove your claims
are true....that's your job.
```

634. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:45:07 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsrujkde8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228611
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/4/2011 5:40 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
> news:ipsqmo$kde$3@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/4/2011 5:18 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>> news:ipsm9n$7nj$2@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 5/4/2011 3:51 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> The comments and thoughts of those without firm educations in the
>>>>>> fields involved are just babbling morons ...
>>>>>
>>>>> I accept you can't support your claim as called for and instead
>>>>> produce
>>>>> a website that relies upon appearances and unsupported assertions.
>>>>>
>>>>> And another debunker shows he has no proof for his claims.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> If you bother to look, there is a multitude of links on the left which
>>>> deal will most aspects.
>>>
>>> Sorry, you were asked to present your best evidence. You posted a link
>>> presumably to the best evidence that exists. I found claims about what
>>> it appeared to be and a lot of unsupported assertions. If this is the
>>> best that exists....then there is hardly any reason to look around
>>> because everything else can only be worse.
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>> It would take a learned person days, perhaps weeks to review them and
>>>> follow up, with other links, to gain an education.
>>>
>>> Sorry, that's why I asked you to present your best evidence. Presumably
>>> you have done all this and can point out a specific detail that proves
>>> you have a case.
>>>
>>> Instead you couldn't do so. Now if you've spent days or even weeks on
```

>>> just this site (and presumably months looking at others) then you should
>>> know where to find the best single example of proof.
>>>
>>> You failed. So why should I waste my time looking around for something
>>> better when YOU couldn't find it?
>>>
>>>
>>>> The uneducated need only moments, of course ... how to you think they
>>>> got their fine educations?
>>>
>>> Takes me only a moment to look at the lack of any conclusive proof in
>>> your BEST evidence, and decide you don't have a case.
>>>
>>>
>>> Maybe you should have picked a better piece of evidence, but then that's
>>> what you were asked to do. If appearances and unsupported assertions is
>>> the BEST evidence you can produce, then why should I waste more time
>>> with it, or you?
>>>
>>> So to sum it up.
>>>
>>> You were asked to present your best evidence.
>>> Your best evidence consisted of claims about appearances and unsupported
>>> assertions
>>> Your best evidence fails to get off the pad as there is no proof of
>>> anything presented.
>>>
>>> Conclusion, if your best is this bad, then there is no need to bother
>>> with your conspiracy theories.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> If you wished to carry on conversation, you should have behaved like
>> an engineer instead of child starting out with fraudulent data ...
>
> I did. I asked you to prove your case with your best evidence.....you
> were the one that failed to do so.
>
> You were the one that asserted credentials were somehow relevant to the
> facts presented.
>
> You were the one that made claims you couldn't back up.
>
>> it can only go downhill from there as your arguments and proof get
>> more and more preposterous!
>
> Well, I've seen your type come, and I've seen them go, and NONE could
> make any reasonable effort to prove their case on a specific item. They
> either threw out a website (like you did) and expect me to research the
> whole site and all the claims in the hopes there was something that

> supported your claims. IOW, doing your homework. Or they would produce a
> massive multitude of items in the hope that the shear volume would
> somehow carry their claims. Yet when one item was picked out and proven
> to be false, incomplete, inconsistent, or otherwise failed to prove the
> claims made about it, their only response was to simply point to
> something else and claim that I hadn't disproven everything. As if their
> ability to make their case depended upon others showing the flaws in
> their case rather than producing a solid case from the start.
>
>
>> Say hi to ScottS, Shagster, Arthur, Mark Ferran, NEU-FONZE and David
>> B. Benson when you see them. I am sure they will now take up your
>> argument with you!
>
> Damn, got your ass kicked by that many people and you still haven't
> figured out how to present a better case.
>
> Makes it sound like any attitude you got at the start was perfectly
> justified given you have a history of making claims you can't support.
>
>> ... plonked in this thread too ...
>
>
> So run away since you can't be bothered to prove that even a single
> point of yours is actually true and contradicts the official findings.
>
> IOW, you can't support your case even in one detail.
>
>

As I said, the links on the one website, alone, should have kept a REAL engineer busy a month ... especially taking into consideration that a REAL engineer would have written the architects, engineers and scientists, gotten samples and confirmed what he was able ... you my fraudulent little "engineer" are a FRAUD!

... GLOBAL SERVER PLONK ENACTED! WARNING WILL ROBINSON! ...

ROFLOL!!!

Regards,
JS

635. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:47:19 UTC
From: Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets <RRATR@BurningInHell.org>
Message-ID: <adednd-jIoULblzQnZ2dnUVZ_hmdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228612
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"bpnjensen" wrote in message
news:7767dbb1-5dd3-45d8-83ad-db8b294df41f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...

On May 4, 12:05 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 11:02 AM, Neoconis_Ignoramus wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Yes, I'm sure they don't have more important things to do than to
> > listen to an English-challenged nutjob try to explain to them the
> > rudiments of Photoshop.
>
> > I'm sure you'd have the exact same reaction to antiwar people trying
> > to bring up a case against Bush's Iraq invasion, right?
> > Riiiiggghhhtt.
>
> > "It's just too easy for me to trip you up" - Lil Wayne
>
> You gawd-dam-nutjob!
>
> If you try to insinuate there is any difference between nixon, regan,
> klinkton, bush sr, bush jr, obama, etc. you are worse a moron than you
> have displayed, so far.
>
> All puppets on strings are the same, just different faces ...
>
> Shove it up yer' arse and stop filling the news groups with moronic
> text, we already have all those positions filled ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

>Take you own advice. All we've seen from you for the last couple
>weeks is stark-raving-lunacy.

He's a Alex Jones devotee. That's all you're going to get. Soon it will be
nothing but screeching and incoherent gibberish. Really not much difference
now that I think about it.

636. Re: Do you want to see the photos?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:50:55 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipss9fkde9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228614
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/4/2011 2:32 PM, bknight@conramp.net wrote:

> ...
> You're kidding. Don't you have the slightest clue how this could be
> used to inflame some to join Al Queda with the end result in more
> terrorism?
>
>
> ___,
> \o
> |
> / \
> .
> ï¿½Someone likes MY STRONGER POT!ï¿½
(edited for clarity.)
> bk

Good reasoning, attempt to kill the enemy through appeasement ... obama could bow to 'em too!

Piss on the bastards, we are here to kill them. Someone do something, so they will do something, so we can identify them, so we can KILL 'EM! <grin>

One hell-of-a-way to run a war!

Regards,
JS

637. Re: Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:50:58 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <46df4a20-b8d8-4dca-9ae5-176f0c5ae2d7@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 4, 7:27 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 4, 5:18 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 3, 10:04 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > On Tue, 03 May 2011 07:21:52 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > wrote:
>
> > > >On 5/3/2011 7:19 AM, jf...@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > > > > >> ...
> > > >> I am pretty sure that he missed the six month deadline, but I would
> > > >> attribute it to domestic politcal opposition rather than to a lack of
> > > >> will on his part. Similarly, the inability to remove the prisoners
> > > >> from Guantanemo was not because he did not try, but because his
> > > >> political gadflies deliberately put obstacles in his way without
> > > >> providing any constructive alternatives. When he tries to keep his
> > > >> promises, but cannot only because of political obstructionsism by the
> > > >> Publicans, it is illogical to call those "lies".
>
> > > >Unique!
>
> > > >First time I heard that, so you are saying, "The devil stopped him from
> > > >doing it!"
>
> > > >That is certainly a new spin on things!
>
> > > >ROLOL
>
> > > >Regards,
> > > >JS
>
> - - Particularlty when he sent in an ADDITIONAL
> - - 135,000 troops during the surge.
>

> - <snicker>
> -
> - Tell us when Obama did that, fuckwit.
> -
> - Hint: You're lying.
>
> Larnrod,
>
> From 2008 Election to Present as the US President
> Obama DID IT ! -cause- Afghanistan is the 'Right' War
> according to Candidate Obama. -source- CBS
Newshttp://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2009/12/01/politics/main5846260.shtml
>
> Just depends on how you Count the Numbers of Total
> Troops Committed a/o Troops Rotated In-&-Out of
> Afghanistan {+Pakistan} In-Country and Out in support
> of the "Obama-Afghan-Surge" -aka- The 'Right' War.
>
> -conclusion- Larnrod you must hold the Rank of "BEC"*
> Group Praise Leader in 'The Cult of The Obama' !
>
> * 'Both Eyes Closed' [BEC] and due for Promotion to
> the Rank of "BOHICA" ;;-}}
> .
> .
> Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama :
> Gather Around 'Cult of The-Obama' Members : With One Voice
> Let All Us Say :
>
> Thank You Prez Obama for Keeping Gitmo Open
> and Starting Military Tribunals for Detainees
> -obama-the-great-detainer-of-enemies-of-the-state-
>
> Thank You Prez Obama for Torture Water-Broading Detainees
> -obama-the-great-torturer-of-enemies-of-the-state-
>
> Thank You Prez Obama for Escalating the 'Right'
> War in Afghanistan {Oil Pipe-Line} -war-war-war-
>
> Thank You Prez Obama for Starting a New War
> for Oil in Libya -war-for-oil- -war-for-oil- -war-for-oil-
>
> Thank You Prez Obama for $6.66 Gasoline
> -obama-the-great-carbon-credit-energy-taxer-
>
> Thank You Prez Obama for Un-Ending High Unemployment
> -obama-the-great-job-killer- -obama-the-great-job-killer-
>
> Thank You Prez Obama for Killing Osama bin-Laden
> -obama-the-great-slayer-of-islam-o-fascists-

>
> Thank You Prez Obama for Trying to Kill Gaddafi
> -obama-the-great-slayer-of-muslim-dictators-
>
> Thank You Prez Obama for Being a Better Eternal
> Peace Maker {War Monger} Than Bush.
> -obama-the-great-war-monger-
>
> Thank You Thank You Thank You Prez Obama ~ RHF
> -we-are-so-blessed-to-live-in-the-age-of-'the-obama'-
> .
> .

MEDIA ALERT : DAILY OBAMA TALKING-POINT
Gather Around 'Cult of The-Obama' Members :
With One Voice Let All Us Say : 1 Mayo 2011 :
Say-It! & Post-It! & Print-It! & Repeat It! & Sell-It!
-'the-obama'-truth- -'the-obama'-truth- -'the-obama'-truth-
.
STOP ! Those Who Are Spreading Anti-Obama Disinformation.
-shout-them-down- shut-them-up- -silence-any-and-all-
1 Mayo 2011 : Say-It! & Post-It! & Print-It! & Repeat It! & Sell-It!
-in-the-name-of-'the-obama'- -in-the-name-of-'the-obama'-
.
Prez-A-Duntz Barack Obama [BO] -says- 1 Mayo 2011
-so-that-is-what-the-official-hawaiian-death-cert-will-read-
That Is The Official 'Cult of The-Obama' Story
-so- Stick With It ! : Osama bin-Laden Died 1 Mayo 2011
-'the-obama'-great-slayer-of-bad-nasty-evil-guys-
.
Obama bi-Den© Eliminate/Eradicate Osama bin-Laden
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/610ed178230eb7af
.
If 'Captured Osama bin-Laden Was Ready To Tell All :
About His Many Western Friends : The US Connection !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb1975574e72d3da
.
.

638. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barry Soetoro AKA barack obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 00:58:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <76c3928a-43fd-4140-83dd-c89fa542a04e@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228615
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On May 4, 5:35 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> ROFLOL!!!
>
> I am telling you, you just can't make up shit like this ...
>
> I don't know what America is at this time, but you let a negro run
> things and it looks like it all goes to hell in a handbasket!
>
> obama only thought he had problems. Let's see how he runs things now he
> has destroyed any and all respect Americans could ever possibly hold for
> him ... good luck ya' black arse-hole!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Shout 'JS' Down ! & Shut 'JS' Up ! & Silence 'JS' !
-'js-is-not-god- -'the-obama'-is-god- -obama-obama-
.
MEDIA ALERT : DAILY OBAMA TALKING-POINT
Gather Around 'Cult of The-Obama' Members :
With One Voice Let All Us Say : 1 Mayo 2011 :
Say-It! & Post-It! & Print-It! & Repeat It! & Sell-It!
-'the-obama'-truth- -'the-obama'-truth- -'the-obama'-truth-
We Hold These Truths To Be Self-Evident :
The-Obama-is-Ever-Lasting-Truth !
-praise-be-'the-obama'- -praise-be-'the-obama'-
.
STOP ! Those Who Are Spreading Anti-Obama Disinformation.
-shout-them-down- shut-them-up- -silence-any-and-all-
1 Mayo 2011 : Say-It! & Post-It! & Print-It! & Repeat It! & Sell-It!
-in-the-name-of-'the-obama'- -in-the-name-of-'the-obama'-
.
Prez-A-Duntz Barack Obama [BO] -says- 1 Mayo 2011
-so-that-is-what-the-official-hawaiian-death-cert-will-read-
That Is The Official 'Cult of The-Obama' Story
-so- Stick With It ! : Osama bin-Laden Died 1 Mayo 2011
-'the-obama'-great-slayer-of-bad-nasty-evil-guys-
.
Obama bi-Den© Eliminate/Eradicate Osama bin-Laden
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/610ed178230eb7af
.
If 'Captured Osama bin-Laden Was Ready To Tell All :

About His Many Western Friends : The US Connection !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb1975574e72d3da
.
.

639. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 01:05:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC1F7F2-548@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228616

The TRUTH is, Nobody killed Osama Bin Laden.
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Osama+Bin+Laden+died+of+natural+causes

Commie Pinko Nazis fed govt Always likes to have one or more Scapegoats
on their string so as to further their Commie Pinko Nazi agendas.
That is the TRUTH!
cuhulin

640. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 01:19:04 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <364d85a6-b0c3-4370-97af-72ac4113a4d6@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228617

On May 4, 6:29 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> http://www.cnn.com/2011/US/05/04/missouri.levee.breach/index.html?sec...

That should be the "Biggest Flood in [Man's Recorded*]
History of Mississippi River"
.
* Going back some 500 Years not the Tens-of-Thousands
and Millions of Years that the Mississippi River has existed.
.
.
When the Great Lakes Drained : Now That Was A
Real Mississippi River Flood ! -big-time- ~ RHF
-wrt- Wisconsin Glaciation, in North America
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Last_glacial_period#Wisconsin_glaciation.2C_in_North_America
.
.
Great Mississippi Flood of 1927
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Great_Mississippi_Flood_of_1927
.
.
Glacial Lake Missoula and the Ice Age Floods
of 12,000 Years Ago
http://www.glaciallakemissoula.org/
.
.

641. HOT SEXY VIDEOS OPEN FOR SEXY GIRLS PHOTOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 01:19:28 UTC
From: LPWS <3ghfhfffgg@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <f4466f2c-77c4-45d1-9781-b80abdc2bc38@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228618

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

642. Re: Bin Laden has been dead for years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 01:24:49 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <e1v3s6lf4lvlnbhm9ohh6177juldqo46ru@4ax.com>
Source record: 228520
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On Wed, 04 May 2011 17:23:04 -0700, dave <dave@dave.dave> wrote:

>>> That is a meaningless question. A better question would be, is there
>>> ever a time when government worked in the peoples' best interests?
>>>
>>> The answer to that is yes, right up to the time of the coup,
>>> assassination of Kennedy and the beginning of the take over of the
>>> peoples' rights, powers, freedom, liberties, etc.
>>
>>
>> Show me.
>>
>>
>
>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FgcAb_xxnG0&feature=related

No, show me that people had more rights, freedom, & liberties while
Kennedy was alive than while Johnson was alive. Other than the
draft.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

643. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barry Soetoro AKA barack obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 01:27:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsuehkde10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228519
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/4/2011 5:58 PM, RHF wrote:

> ...
> Shout 'JS' Down !& Shut 'JS' Up !& Silence 'JS' !
> -'js-is-not-god- -'the-obama'-is-god- -obama-obama-
> .
> *MEDIA ALERT* : DAILY OBAMA TALKING-POINT
> Gather Around 'Cult of The-Obama' Members :
> With One Voice Let All Us Say : 1 Mayo 2011 :
> Say-It!& Post-It!& Print-It!& Repeat It!& Sell-It!
> -'the-obama'-truth- -'the-obama'-truth- -'the-obama'-truth-
> We Hold These Truths To Be Self-Evident :
> The-Obama-is-Ever-Lasting-Truth !
> -praise-be-'the-obama'- -praise-be-'the-obama'-
> .
> STOP ! Those Who Are Spreading Anti-Obama Disinformation.
> -shout-them-down- shut-them-up- -silence-any-and-all-
> 1 Mayo 2011 : Say-It!& Post-It!& Print-It!& Repeat It!& Sell-It!
> -in-the-name-of-'the-obama'- -in-the-name-of-'the-obama'-
> .
> Prez-A-Duntz Barack Obama [BO] -says- 1 Mayo 2011
> -so-that-is-what-the-official-hawaiian-death-cert-will-read-
> That Is The Official 'Cult of The-Obama' Story
> -so- Stick With It ! : Osama bin-Laden Died 1 Mayo 2011
> -'the-obama'-great-slayer-of-bad-nasty-evil-guys-
> .
> Obama bi-Denï¿½ Eliminate/Eradicate Osama bin-Laden
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/610ed178230eb7af
> .
> If 'Captured Osama bin-Laden Was Ready To Tell All :
> About His Many Western Friends : The US Connection !
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb1975574e72d3da
> .
> .

Sorry, the damn pompous arse is enough to piss a man off ...

Our president is a very bad joke ... for the first time in my life I know what it is to be embarrassed of your public servants and the image the stooges are setting forth for the world to see, of America.

He puts us at great danger. The world may begin to see the Americans as bull trannys and think to take advantage of weak worthless slobs ...

brings an ever increasing to having good weapons ...

Regards,
JS

644. Re: Bush and Cheney get Ben Ladin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 01:29:26 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsuhlkde11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228521

On 5/4/2011 6:05 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> The TRUTH is, Nobody killed Osama Bin Laden.
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Osama+Bin+Laden+died+of+natural+causes
>
> Commie Pinko Nazis fed govt Always likes to have one or more Scapegoats
> on their string so as to further their Commie Pinko Nazi agendas.
> That is the TRUTH!
> cuhulin
>

Let us know of all the great changes the death of osama brings to your life, to the America you see around you ... I am not holding my breath here ...

Regards,
JS

645. Re: Bin Laden has been dead for years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 01:32:01 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipsumhkde12@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228522
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/4/2011 6:24 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:

>> ...
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FgcAb_xxnG0&feature=related
>
> No, show me that people had more rights, freedom,& liberties while
> Kennedy was alive than while Johnson was alive. Other than the
> draft.
>

Johnson was only the beginning of the graft, greed, corruption and
criminals ... we should be so lucky as to go back to that time!

Having been forewarned of these events, perhaps we could have gotten rid
of some of these worthless public servants along the way ...

Regards,
JS

646. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 01:38:42 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ipsv35$pa9$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228523
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:ipsruj$kde$8@dont-email.me...
> On 5/4/2011 5:40 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>> news:ipsqmo$kde$3@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/4/2011 5:18 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:ipsm9n$7nj$2@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/4/2011 3:51 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> The comments and thoughts of those without firm educations in the
>>>>>>> fields involved are just babbling morons ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I accept you can't support your claim as called for and instead
>>>>>> produce
>>>>>> a website that relies upon appearances and unsupported assertions.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And another debunker shows he has no proof for his claims.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> If you bother to look, there is a multitude of links on the left which
>>>>> deal will most aspects.
>>>>
>>>> Sorry, you were asked to present your best evidence. You posted a link
>>>> presumably to the best evidence that exists. I found claims about what
>>>> it appeared to be and a lot of unsupported assertions. If this is the
>>>> best that exists....then there is hardly any reason to look around
>>>> because everything else can only be worse.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> It would take a learned person days, perhaps weeks to review them and
>>> follow up, with other links, to gain an education.
>>>>
>>>> Sorry, that's why I asked you to present your best evidence. Presumably
>>>> you have done all this and can point out a specific detail that proves
>>>> you have a case.
```

>>>>
>>>> Instead you couldn't do so. Now if you've spent days or even weeks on
>>>> just this site (and presumably months looking at others) then you
>>>> should
>>>> know where to find the best single example of proof.
>>>>
>>>> You failed. So why should I waste my time looking around for something
>>>> better when YOU couldn't find it?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> The uneducated need only moments, of course ... how to you think they
>>>>> got their fine educations?
>>>>
>>>> Takes me only a moment to look at the lack of any conclusive proof in
>>>> your BEST evidence, and decide you don't have a case.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Maybe you should have picked a better piece of evidence, but then
>>>> that's
>>>> what you were asked to do. If appearances and unsupported assertions is
>>>> the BEST evidence you can produce, then why should I waste more time
>>>> with it, or you?
>>>>
>>>> So to sum it up.
>>>>
>>>> You were asked to present your best evidence.
>>>> Your best evidence consisted of claims about appearances and
>>>> unsupported
>>>> assertions
>>>> Your best evidence fails to get off the pad as there is no proof of
>>>> anything presented.
>>>>
>>>> Conclusion, if your best is this bad, then there is no need to bother
>>>> with your conspiracy theories.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> If you wished to carry on conversation, you should have behaved like
>>> an engineer instead of child starting out with fraudulent data ...
>>
>> I did. I asked you to prove your case with your best evidence.....you
>> were the one that failed to do so.
>>
>> You were the one that asserted credentials were somehow relevant to the
>> facts presented.
>>
>> You were the one that made claims you couldn't back up.
>>
>>> it can only go downhill from there as your arguments and proof get
>>> more and more preposterous!

>>
>> Well, I've seen your type come, and I've seen them go, and NONE could
>> make any reasonable effort to prove their case on a specific item. They
>> either threw out a website (like you did) and expect me to research the
>> whole site and all the claims in the hopes there was something that
>> supported your claims. IOW, doing your homework. Or they would produce a
>> massive multitude of items in the hope that the shear volume would
>> somehow carry their claims. Yet when one item was picked out and proven
>> to be false, incomplete, inconsistent, or otherwise failed to prove the
>> claims made about it, their only response was to simply point to
>> something else and claim that I hadn't disproven everything. As if their
>> ability to make their case depended upon others showing the flaws in
>> their case rather than producing a solid case from the start.
>>
>>
>>> Say hi to ScottS, Shagster, Arthur, Mark Ferran, NEU-FONZE and David
>>> B. Benson when you see them. I am sure they will now take up your
>>> argument with you!
>>
>> Damn, got your ass kicked by that many people and you still haven't
>> figured out how to present a better case.
>>
>> Makes it sound like any attitude you got at the start was perfectly
>> justified given you have a history of making claims you can't support.
>>
>>> ... plonked in this thread too ...
>>
>>
>> So run away since you can't be bothered to prove that even a single
>> point of yours is actually true and contradicts the official findings.
>>
>> IOW, you can't support your case even in one detail.
>>
>>
>
> As I said, the links on the one website, alone, should have kept a REAL
> engineer busy a month

Perhaps, but since you gave me no valid reason to spend my time going through them, then it doesn't matter how many links there are. You were asked to present your best evidence. You, who supposedly have already gone through these links as well as other websites chose to pick as your best evidence a link to one page that simply talked about appearances and made unsupported assertions. If that is your best evidence then when you supposedly have already looked all through this website and others, why should I waste my time looking for something that is quite likely not there? If it were you would have presented it as your best evidence.

See, you have to give me a reason to look at the other links. You didn't.

Nor am I under any obligation to go looking around for the evidence to support your claims. That's your job.

... especially taking into consideration that a
> REAL engineer would have written the architects, engineers and scientists,
> gotten samples and confirmed what he was able ... you my fraudulent little
> "engineer" are a FRAUD!

I have, long before you ever showed up here. I've done my research, I've found nothing that seriously challenges the official findings. You asserted there is evidence out there that does. I offered to look at an example of your best evidence. If failed miserably to establish any errors in the official findings.
Hell, it failed to even attempt to prove it's own assertions.

Now, given this why should anyone, even an engineer waste their personal time looking for something they couldn't find before and which you can't even show when requested to do so?

I've been unable to find any conclusive evidence that any of the collapses involved controlled demolition, and no one has ever been able to present even a single conclusive piece of evidence to support it, or to even seriously challenge the findings of the official report.

So when someone like you comes forward claiming it was controlled demolition, then I expect to see them produce facts of that when asked to do so. Conclusive facts. Irrefutable facts. Clear evidence that directly contradicts natural occurrence.

Once again, you showed those who believe in this conspiracy theory can not. Either you have no such evidence, or more likely, it was never there to begin with.

> ... GLOBAL SERVER PLONK ENACTED! WARNING WILL ROBINSON! ...

Yea, keep running away. Show everyone how you can sit down and PROVE your claims with actual facts, proof or even solid evidence, instead of running away from the one person willing to give your presentation of these things any consideration.

Pick a single specific issue that directly contradicts the official findings, natural causes and/or proves controlled demolition and you will have my full attention.

Run away and you're just another idiot with a conspiracy theory and the inability to even check his own claims against the facts.

647. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 01:48:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC201D3-567@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228524

When the last Ice Age thawed, I reckon that was one 'Mother' of a Great Big Flood.

648. Re: No pictures of Osama's body

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 01:57:22 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipt062$2ql$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228525
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic,alt.california

On 5/4/2011 6:50 PM, John B. wrote:
> On May 4, 8:51 pm, "Hollis2"<sw...@optonline.net> wrote:
>> On May 4 2011 5:56 PM, John B. wrote:
>>
>>> On May 4, 5:39 pm, BAR<sc...@you.com> wrote:
>>>> In article<g243s6ts4nko4f28p4uoe4e3a15npph...@4ax.com>,
>>>> bkni...@conramp.net says...
>>
>>>>> Carney press conference at 2 PM to explain.
>>
>>>> Showing the bodies of dead Americans is ok but, dead Muslims is not ok.
>>
>>> I haven't seen any pictures of dead Americans. Have you?
>>
>> No, but there are some videos of them being beheaded.
>>
> Those were not made public by the US government.

I remember the good old Vietnam War. Every night Walter Cronkite
showing me lines of enemy combatants, DEAD!

And, he would furnish a body count!

You just knew you were getting something for your tax dollars back then
... nowadays it is like obama birth certificate and the body of osama
... they just tell you about 'em!

Somehow, I see where an element of deception can enter. Indeed, that
practice makes lies very likely ...

Regards,
JS

649. Re: Do you want to see the photos?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 02:00:53 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipt0cl$2ql$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228526
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/4/2011 6:52 PM, John B. wrote:

>> ...
>>> You're kidding. Don't you have the slightest clue how this could be
>>> used to inflame some to join Al Queda with the end result in more
>>> terrorism?
>>
>> On the other hand, seeing UBL with a hole in his head might convince some
>> potential jihadists to sit it out.
>>
> It would have precisely the opposite effect.

I'd damn well hope so!

If this war ain't about killin' as many of those suckers as we can, then
we best end it now ...

I damn well don't want a stage set up with enemies on it so obama can
come an "bow 'em to death!"

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

650. Re: Exclusive: OBL DEATH TOTALLY DEBUNKED...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 02:04:55 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipt0k7$2ql$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228527
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.conspiracy,alt.atheism,sci.skeptic,sci.military.naval,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/4/2011 6:48 PM, Warhol wrote:

> ...
> Smoking Gun It's All Fake... nothing is as it seems. this world is
> going to get so damned& bizarre.
>
> i just watched some video. did anyone else see that he showed a video
> of the lion king as a video of his birth.
>
> what an ego....and...
>
> Lion King
> Lyin King
>
> No joke, he actually sees himself as a king or a messiah... what a
> blasphemy...
> ...

Yep, way past time to stop giving him the benefit of the doubt -- that black bastard is going to ruin us if he is not stopped soon ...

Regards,
JS

651. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 02:06:47 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.282bd042bf08b2398a17e@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228528
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

```
In article <008e5d05-54c3-40aa-95fb-6fbb4c6377c0
@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>, gblack@hnpl.net says...
>
> On May 5, 7:22ï¿œam, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > In article <7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
> > 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 3, 1:46ï¿œam, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > > > In article <093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> > > > 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> > >
> > > > > If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
> > > > > Social Security Numbers .
> > >
> > > > > Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> > > > > British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> > > > > held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
> > >
> > > > >http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
> > >
> > > > You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> > > > anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
> > >
> > > > --
> > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
> > >
> > > Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
> > > temporary numbers only good for six months!
> >
> > WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
> >
> > This should be a good one..
> >
> Maybe he's thinking (I know, impossibility) about the United Kingdom
> who, oddly enough, have their own system

Joe comes closest to thinking when he decides which of the voices in his
head to obey. Who would have known the UK has it's own SS (whatever it's
called) system? Not Joe.
```

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

652. Re: Bin Laden has been dead for years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 02:13:32 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC207CC-581@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228530

Screw google QUEERS! To HELL! with PIGS on google SUCKS!!!

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Black+Jack+Pershing+and+the+Philipine+muslims

BOYCOTT google!!!!!!
cuhulin

653. Re: Bin Laden was captured alive and then executed, 'claims daughter, 12'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 02:18:48 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.282bd31366fa75f198a180@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228529
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article <ipsbirroi6@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 5/4/2011 12:56 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> > On Wed, 04 May 2011 08:54:07 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>> Is there a time in history that any government was mostly trustworthy?
> >>>
> >>
> >> No. Just better ... and we actually imprisoned criminals when we found
> >> them out ...
> >
> > The powerful have mostly always been able to avoid that. Scapegoats
> > have always been available.
> >
>
> Yes, but simply because crime has went on before is no excuse to allow
> it to go on further. A good number of these public servants need behind
> bars, we simply need to keep working towards those goals ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

It's much more likely you and the other kooks will be behind padded bars
long before any of the crooked polititans will be in jail.

That's ok, as long as you get some much needed help.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

654. Re: Bin Laden has been dead for years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 02:27:47 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipt1v3$2ql$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228531

On 5/4/2011 7:13 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Screw google QUEERS! To HELL! with PIGS on google SUCKS!!!
>
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Black+Jack+Pershing+and+the+Philipine+muslims
>
> BOYCOTT google!!!!!!
> cuhulin
>

obama is too busy supporting things like this:

http://www.massresistance.org/docs/issues/fistgate/index.html

or ... having little get togethers at homosexual bath houses with his buds:

http://fellowshipofminds.wordpress.com/2010/05/27/washington-insider-obama-member-of-chicago-gay-mans-club/

The "Puke Factor" used to be a lot lower in those days, yanno'?

Regards,
JS

655. Re: Exclusive: OBL DEATH TOTALLY DEBUNKED...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 02:32:07 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipt277$2ql$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228532
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.atheism,sci.skeptic,sci.military.naval,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.sport.golf

On 5/4/2011 7:29 PM, Olrik wrote:
> On 2011-05-04 22:04, John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/4/2011 6:48 PM, Warhol wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Smoking Gun It's All Fake... nothing is as it seems. this world is
>>> going to get so damned& bizarre.
>>>
>>> i just watched some video. did anyone else see that he showed a video
>>> of the lion king as a video of his birth.
>>>
>>> what an ego....and...
>>>
>>> Lion King
>>> Lyin King
>>>
>>> No joke, he actually sees himself as a king or a messiah... what a
>>> blasphemy...
>>> ...
>>
>> Yep, way past time to stop giving him the benefit of the doubt -- that
>> black bastard is going to ruin us if he is not stopped soon ...
>
> You'll have your chance November 6, 2012.
>
> <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_presidential_election,_2012>
>
> HTH
>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>

There has to be something faster, more direct, a "quick fix" if you will ...

Regards,
JS

656. Re: Exclusive: OBL DEATH TOTALLY DEBUNKED...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 02:37:20 UTC
From: Warhol <molarh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <decb1a07-6d61-486a-a363-91728142163c@p18g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228533
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.atheism,sci.skeptic,sci.military.naval,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 5, 4:04 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 6:48 PM, Warhol wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Smoking Gun It's All Fake... nothing is as it seems. this world is
> > going to get so damned& bizarre.
>
> > i just watched some video. did anyone else see that he showed a video
> > of the lion king as a video of his birth.
>
> > what an ego....and...
>
> > Lion King
> > Lyin King
>
> > No joke, he actually sees himself as a king or a messiah... what a
> > blasphemy...
> > ...
>
> Yep, way past time to stop giving him the benefit of the doubt -- that
> black bastard is going to ruin us if he is not stopped soon ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

its all a big lie, a house of cards that will come crashing down when
you take out a card one at a time

..crash...

Why didnt they take him(OBL) alive and waterboard... he is the
motherload of intel worth if we may believe the propaganda.

Can they answer that ? Why execute the man who potentially has secrets
to shut down terrorist cells across the world?

the whole thing is total Bushnell...

Just watch a video of the towers falling at freefall speed and ask
yourself the question... does any of it ring true, or make any sense?

http://youtu.be/JMtin1QCHHM

657. Re: Bin Laden has been dead for years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 04:05:52 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC22220-629@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228534

Did the U.S.Military Act on its Own Authority to Kill Bin Laden?
(SCARHEAD MOFO USURPER TRAITOR POS! GOING DOWN?)
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307225
cuhulin

658. Re: New Yorkers New Ride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 04:23:32 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <e8cd9014-447f-4c21-ace6-058ee2bbbd3e@o26g2000vby.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228535

On May 4, 1:33 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Those new Taxis look like Turds as far as I am concerned.I don't know, I
> may never ride in a Taxi ever again, and I damn sure wouldn't miss that
> either.Same same for other kinds of public transportation vehicles,
> Trains and Buses and Airplanes.I Hate Flying! If I was meant to Fly, God
> would have given me Wings.Every time I rode in Helicopters in Vietnam, I
> was silently Praying I hope this thang don't crash!
> cuhulin

What happened to that misfortunate bumblebee , up in the attic ? Is it
still alive ?

659. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 04:32:11 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b3704448-a106-4760-98f6-14916384c77d@l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228536
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > > In article <093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> > > 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> > > > If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
> > > > Social Security Numbers .
>
> > > > Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> > > > British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> > > > held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>
> > > >http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>
> > > You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> > > anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>
> > > --
> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>
> > Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
> > temporary numbers only good for six months!
>
> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>
> This should be a good one..
>
> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
tax this is British tarriffs on the United States Citizens that live

and work on Great Britains United States of America, the bankruptcy cinched it.You wont find this taught in schools or no one would pay income tax ever again. Read these articles.

http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm

http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html

http://www.natural-person.ca/pdf/Great_Britain_owns_USA.PDF

Here's you a great lesson in history by Myron Fagan up untill the last few minutes when starts pushing Mormanism(the jesuit practice of incest and pedphilia).

http://www.awakeandarise.org/article/MyronFagan.htm

660. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 04:49:24 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <fKKdnTogUtHKsV_QnZ2dnUVZ5gOdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228537
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
>> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
>>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
>>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>>
>>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
>>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
>>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>>
>>>>> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>>
>>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
>>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>>
>>>> --
>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>>
>>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
>>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>>
>> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>>
>> This should be a good one..
>>
>> --
>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income

> tax this is British tarriffs

You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.

661. HOT SEXY VIDEOS OPEN FOR SEXY GIRLS PHOTOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 05:08:16 UTC
From: HRJHRJB <odgfhfhdfv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <98f4ba1b-eb68-49ff-b897-e48a38326cf7@i39g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228538

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

662. Re: George W. Obama Gets His Reward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 05:26:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iptceffdh4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228539
Cross-posted to: sci.environment,aus.politics,sci.skeptic,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics.bush,alt.conspiracy

On 5/4/2011 9:52 PM, Mr Posting Robot wrote:

> ...
> Brown went on New England Cable News and Fox 25 yesterday, telling them he'd
> seen a photo of the lifeless bin Laden. "I've seen the picture," Brown
> declared on Fox 25. "He's definitely dead."
> ...

I think the news, again, is attempting to pump up a "no story" into
something ... no one gives a chit what he says.

If he told us water was wet, most would run to a faucet and stick their
hand in a stream of water to check it out, having first suspected him of
lying ...

These guys just don't get it. They are the boy who called wolf WAY TOO
MANY TIMES!

Regards,
JS

663. Re: No pictures of Osama's body

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 05:27:54 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d8942446-d945-4785-b3b8-177afc3eb55c@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228540

On May 4, 6:57 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 6:50 PM, John B. wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 4, 8:51 pm, "Hollis2"<sw...@optonline.net> wrote:
> >> On May 4 2011 5:56 PM, John B. wrote:
>
> >>> On May 4, 5:39 pm, BAR<sc...@you.com> wrote:
> >>>> In article<g243s6ts4nko4f28p4uoe4e3a15npph...@4ax.com>,
> >>>> bkni...@conramp.net says...
>
> >>>>> Carney press conference at 2 PM to explain.
>
> >>>> Showing the bodies of dead Americans is ok but, dead Muslims is not ok.
>
> >>> I haven't seen any pictures of dead Americans. Have you?
>
> >> No, but there are some videos of them being beheaded.
>
> > Those were not made public by the US government.
>
> I remember the good old Vietnam War. Every night Walter Cronkite
> showing me lines of enemy combatants, DEAD!
>
> And, he would furnish a body count!
>
> You just knew you were getting something for your tax dollars back then
> ... nowadays it is like obama birth certificate and the body of osama
> ... they just tell you about 'em!
>
> Somehow, I see where an element of deception can enter. Indeed, that
> practice makes lies very likely ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

You see an element of deception everywhere. The mark of a manic maniac. Ya freak.

664. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 05:29:10 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <57f01a93-d5e3-4856-b821-6720ee5d3b70@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228541
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 4, 1:56 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 1:49 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Take you own advice. All we've seen from you for the last couple
> > weeks is stark-raving-lunacy.
>
> You are one of the largest brain dead morons with nothing to offer but
> your personal comments, opinions and "psychic revelations."
>
> You current mental state, whether because of organic causes, drugs or
> alcohol, seems to prevent you from that realization.
>
> That is all that is going on here, or will be going on here ...
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS

You should go on TV. Really. You'd be perfect at 3 AM.

665. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 05:29:32 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <44a5e3d9-153d-44ef-b853-9f81bed32827@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228542
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 4, 5:47 pm, "Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets"
<RR...@BurningInHell.org> wrote:
> "bpnjensen" wrote in message
>
> news:7767dbb1-5dd3-45d8-83ad-db8b294df41f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>
> On May 4, 12:05 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/4/2011 11:02 AM, Neoconis_Ignoramus wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > Yes, I'm sure they don't have more important things to do than to
> > > listen to an English-challenged nutjob try to explain to them the
> > > rudiments of Photoshop.
>
> > > I'm sure you'd have the exact same reaction to antiwar people trying
> > > to bring up a case against Bush's Iraq invasion, right?
> > > Riiiiggghhhtt.
>
> > > "It's just too easy for me to trip you up" - Lil Wayne
>
> > You gawd-dam-nutjob!
>
> > If you try to insinuate there is any difference between nixon, regan,
> > klinkton, bush sr, bush jr, obama, etc. you are worse a moron than you
> > have displayed, so far.
>
> > All puppets on strings are the same, just different faces ...
>
> > Shove it up yer' arse and stop filling the news groups with moronic
> > text, we already have all those positions filled ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
> >Take you own advice. All we've seen from you for the last couple

> >weeks is stark-raving-lunacy.
>
> He's a Alex Jones devotee. That's all you're going to get. Soon it will be
> nothing but screeching and incoherent gibberish. Really not much difference
> now that I think about it.

Absolutely. He's already there.

666. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 05:30:30 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <94c07391-b917-4539-b845-c9dd3b76d4b7@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228543

On May 4, 5:47 pm, "Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets"
<RR...@BurningInHell.org> wrote:
> "bpnjensen" wrote in message
>
> news:7767dbb1-5dd3-45d8-83ad-db8b294df41f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>
> On May 4, 12:05 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/4/2011 11:02 AM, Neoconis_Ignoramus wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > Yes, I'm sure they don't have more important things to do than to
> > > listen to an English-challenged nutjob try to explain to them the
> > > rudiments of Photoshop.
>
> > > I'm sure you'd have the exact same reaction to antiwar people trying
> > > to bring up a case against Bush's Iraq invasion, right?
> > > Riiiiggghhhtt.
>
> > > "It's just too easy for me to trip you up" - Lil Wayne
>
> > You gawd-dam-nutjob!
>
> > If you try to insinuate there is any difference between nixon, regan,
> > klinkton, bush sr, bush jr, obama, etc. you are worse a moron than you
> > have displayed, so far.
>
> > All puppets on strings are the same, just different faces ...
>
> > Shove it up yer' arse and stop filling the news groups with moronic
> > text, we already have all those positions filled ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
> >Take you own advice. All we've seen from you for the last couple
> >weeks is stark-raving-lunacy.

>
> He's a Alex Jones devotee. That's all you're going to get. Soon it will be
> nothing but screeching and incoherent gibberish. Really not much difference
> now that I think about it.

Absolutely. He's already there.

667. Re: George W. Obama Gets His Reward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 06:35:47 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2968e155-bee2-486c-9ccc-e9fd7442f24b@t19g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228544

On May 4, 10:26 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 9:52 PM, Mr Posting Robot wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Brown went on New England Cable News and Fox 25 yesterday, telling them he'd
> > seen a photo of the lifeless bin Laden. "I've seen the picture," Brown
> > declared on Fox 25. "He's definitely dead."
> > ...
>
> I think the news, again, is attempting to pump up a "no story" into
> something ... no one gives a chit what he says.
>
> If he told us water was wet, most would run to a faucet and stick their
> hand in a stream of water to check it out, having first suspected him of
> lying ...
>
> These guys just don't get it. They are the boy who called wolf WAY TOO
> MANY TIMES!
>
> Regards,
> JS

...and you're the boy who carries on the proud tradition of crying
wolf, day in and day out...

668. Are you sad your Uncle Osama Died?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 06:53:18 UTC
From: Michael Bachmann <Michael.bachmann1978@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <040520112353181513%Michael.bachmann1978@gmail.com>
Source record: 228545
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.obama,or.politics,ca.politics,rec.radio.shortwave

Texas teacher on leave over bin Laden comment

FRIENDSWOOD, Texas (AP) -- A Southeast Texas school has put a teacher on leave pending an investigation into an allegation that he asked an American-born Muslim student if she was grieving because her "uncle" had died, referring to Osama bin Laden, a school district spokeswoman said Wednesday.

The teacher, whom officials of the Clear Creek school district did not identify, is accused of offending the American-born female student Monday during a ninth-grade algebra class at Clear Brook High School in Friendswood, located 23 miles southeast of Houston.

District spokeswoman Elaina Polsen told KTRK-TV of Houston the teacher was placed on leave after another student reported the taunt to her mother.

"The student did the right thing and immediately notified an adult regarding the teacher's comments. The principal at Clear Brook High School notified the child's parents and has been in communication with the family," Polsen said.

Polsen said the comment doesn't reflect school or district staff attitudes.

Islamic Society of Greater Houston President Aziz Siddiqui told KTRK that the group is satisfied with the district's response.

"A lot of people are ignorant in the world, and so you just have to ignore them," he said.

669. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 07:39:52 UTC
From: Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4dc2544e$0$2448$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 228546
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/05/2011 10:45 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 5:40 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>> news:ipsqmo$kde$3@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/4/2011 5:18 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:ipsm9n$7nj$2@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/4/2011 3:51 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> The comments and thoughts of those without firm educations in the
>>>>>>> fields involved are just babbling morons ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I accept you can't support your claim as called for and instead
>>>>>> produce
>>>>>> a website that relies upon appearances and unsupported assertions.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And another debunker shows he has no proof for his claims.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> If you bother to look, there is a multitude of links on the left which
>>>>> deal will most aspects.
>>>>
>>>> Sorry, you were asked to present your best evidence. You posted a link
>>>> presumably to the best evidence that exists. I found claims about what
>>>> it appeared to be and a lot of unsupported assertions. If this is the
>>>> best that exists....then there is hardly any reason to look around
>>>> because everything else can only be worse.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> It would take a learned person days, perhaps weeks to review them and
>>> follow up, with other links, to gain an education.
>>>>
>>>> Sorry, that's why I asked you to present your best evidence. Presumably
>>>> you have done all this and can point out a specific detail that proves
>>>> you have a case.
>>>>
```

>>>> Instead you couldn't do so. Now if you've spent days or even weeks on
>>>> just this site (and presumably months looking at others) then you
>>>> should
>>>> know where to find the best single example of proof.
>>>>
>>>> You failed. So why should I waste my time looking around for something
>>>> better when YOU couldn't find it?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> The uneducated need only moments, of course ... how to you think they
>>>>> got their fine educations?
>>>>
>>>> Takes me only a moment to look at the lack of any conclusive proof in
>>>> your BEST evidence, and decide you don't have a case.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Maybe you should have picked a better piece of evidence, but then
>>>> that's
>>>> what you were asked to do. If appearances and unsupported assertions is
>>>> the BEST evidence you can produce, then why should I waste more time
>>>> with it, or you?
>>>>
>>>> So to sum it up.
>>>>
>>>> You were asked to present your best evidence.
>>>> Your best evidence consisted of claims about appearances and
>>>> unsupported
>>>> assertions
>>>> Your best evidence fails to get off the pad as there is no proof of
>>>> anything presented.
>>>>
>>>> Conclusion, if your best is this bad, then there is no need to bother
>>>> with your conspiracy theories.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> If you wished to carry on conversation, you should have behaved like
>>> an engineer instead of child starting out with fraudulent data ...
>>
>> I did. I asked you to prove your case with your best evidence.....you
>> were the one that failed to do so.
>>
>> You were the one that asserted credentials were somehow relevant to the
>> facts presented.
>>
>> You were the one that made claims you couldn't back up.
>>
>>> it can only go downhill from there as your arguments and proof get
>>> more and more preposterous!
>>

>> Well, I've seen your type come, and I've seen them go, and NONE could
>> make any reasonable effort to prove their case on a specific item. They
>> either threw out a website (like you did) and expect me to research the
>> whole site and all the claims in the hopes there was something that
>> supported your claims. IOW, doing your homework. Or they would produce a
>> massive multitude of items in the hope that the shear volume would
>> somehow carry their claims. Yet when one item was picked out and proven
>> to be false, incomplete, inconsistent, or otherwise failed to prove the
>> claims made about it, their only response was to simply point to
>> something else and claim that I hadn't disproven everything. As if their
>> ability to make their case depended upon others showing the flaws in
>> their case rather than producing a solid case from the start.
>>
>>
>>> Say hi to ScottS, Shagster, Arthur, Mark Ferran, NEU-FONZE and David
>>> B. Benson when you see them. I am sure they will now take up your
>>> argument with you!
>>
>> Damn, got your ass kicked by that many people and you still haven't
>> figured out how to present a better case.
>>
>> Makes it sound like any attitude you got at the start was perfectly
>> justified given you have a history of making claims you can't support.
>>
>>> ... plonked in this thread too ...
>>
>>
>> So run away since you can't be bothered to prove that even a single
>> point of yours is actually true and contradicts the official findings.
>>
>> IOW, you can't support your case even in one detail.
>>
>>
>
> As I said, the links on the one website, alone, should have kept a REAL
> engineer busy a month ... especially taking into consideration that a
> REAL engineer would have written the architects, engineers and
> scientists, gotten samples and confirmed what he was able ... you my
> fraudulent little "engineer" are a FRAUD!
>
> .... GLOBAL SERVER PLONK ENACTED! WARNING WILL ROBINSON! ...
>
> ROFLOL!!!
>
> Regards,
> JS
>
hey, you plonked him, remember? That means you shouldn't have read his
response. The fact that you did read his response, and reply, means that
all your "plonking" just makes you a wanker. You really don't filter out

anyone as you have an insane desire to see what unfolds out of the bullcrap you post.

Krypsis

ps Don't forget to plonk me too! ROFLOL

670. Leon Panetta -confirms- Prez Obama's Road To Killing Osama bin-Laden -via- GitMo and Water-Broading {Torture} Detainees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 09:25:02 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1efda5c3-9e13-41a9-8dd2-50787c2de6bc@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 3, 1:48 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 2, 9:26 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 2, 8:12 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 2, 4:29 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On Mon, 02 May 2011 07:24:00 -0400, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net>
> > > > wrote:
>
> > > > >> On Sun, 1 May 2011 21:07:27 -0700 (PDT), "jf...@my-deja.com"
> > > > >> <jf...@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > > > >>> Bush deliberately ignored the PDB from August 2001 that warned about
> > > > >>> bin Laden's plans to attack the US.
>
> > > > >>> Bush held back at Tora Bora, letting bin Laden get away.
>
> > > > >>> Bush showed that he loved Saudi Arabians, as he kissed their Crown
> > > > >>> Prince and strolled with him while holding hands.
>
> > > > >>> Despite all this, is there any doubt that, if Bush had gotten bin
> > > > >>> Laden, we would have been treated to another gigantic "Mission
> > > > >>> Accomplished" banner?
>
> > > > >On 5/2/2011 12:11 AM, Tall King wrote:
>
> > > > >> If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive scaring the shit
> > > > >> out of Obama around every corner.
>
> > > > >Huh? "If it wasn't for Bush, bin Laden would still be alive..."
>
> > > > >So are you saying Bush, who couldn't get bin Laden for *SEVEN* years and
> > > > >has been out of office for 2 1/2 years gets credit for killing bin Laden

> > > > >yesterday?
>
> > > > >Are you sure you don't want to change your name from Tall King to Joe
> > > > >King? (because you surely must be joking)
>
> > > - Sure you are not giving the Obamassiah credit for that!
> > > -
> > > - Afterall he promised to pull all the troops out of Iraq and
> > > Afghanistan
> > > - within 6 months of his election to president!
> > > -
> > > - So again..he lied.
> > > -
> > > - Gunner
> > > -
> > > - --
> > > - "If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is
> > > eight,
> > > - it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
> > > - six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)
>
> - - The INTEL {Info} that Lead to Osama bin-Laden came from
> - - Gitmo and the Detainee was Water-Broaded to get it.
>
> - False and false, It came from a routine interrogation
> - in a European prison facility.
>
> Larnrod : Naturally you 'approve' of that process.
> -torture-by-proxy-rendition-torture-by-proxy-
>
> So Then 'Rendition'* "BY" the US Federal Government
> {The Obama-Regime} to Off-US-Soil {Foreign} Prisons
> is A-OK : Where There Are No US Legal Rights Constrains
> {Out Right Physical Torture Is Commonly Used}
>
> Extraordinary Rendition -aka- "Torture by
Proxy"http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Extraordinary_rendition_by_the_United_St...
> .
> - - Thank You Prez Obama for Keeping Gitmo Open
> - - and Starting Military Tribunals for Detainees
>
> - It did not come from Gitmo.
>
> Oops at least one of the 'Sources' was "KSM"*
> a GitMo Detainee -another-inconvenient-truth-
>
> * Khalid Sheikh Mohammedhttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Khalid_Sheikh_Mohammed
> .
> - - Thank You Prez Obama for Torture Water-Broading Detainees
>

> - That was AWOL, and not one single water boarding
> - turned up any useful information. FACT.
>
> "KSM"* was Water-Boraded many many many times
> and gave-up a whole lot of useful 'intel' some of which
> lead to Osama bin-Laden.
-another-inconvenient-truth-http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Khalid_Sheikh_Mohammed
>
> Larnrod : Stop Being a Member of 'The Cult of The Obama'
> ...and... Come Into The Light of The Truth
> and The Way - Amen ~ RHF
> .
> .

Today 4 Mayo 2011 on National TV : Leon Panetta
[The Obama-Regime CIA Director] Confirms That
"Info" derived from Water-Broading and Gitmo
Detainees Helped To 'Getting' Osama bin-Laden
by Obama bi-Den©
http://www.theodoresworld.net/archives/2011/05/obamas_cia_chief_leon_panetta.html
-oops-another-inconvenient-truth-
.

671. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 09:26:49 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <aff0d9e8-6410-40d1-b5a2-546e69aaf9ab@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228548

On May 4, 6:48 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> When the last Ice Age thawed, I reckon that was one 'Mother' of a Great
> Big Flood.

The Flow of 60 Amazon Rivers All At Once !
.

672. HOT SEXY VIDEOS OPEN FOR SEXY GIRLS PHOTOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 09:36:22 UTC
From: 866434 <iashjbbxdhvv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9275c37e-0e49-4fcd-854e-65f06f3cbd8c@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228549

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

673. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barry Soetoro AKA barack obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 09:42:14 UTC
From: Mr Bean <MrBean@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Fd-dncVfjPdm7V_QnZ2dnUVZ_t-dnZ2d@westnet.com.au>
Source record: 228550
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/05/2011 9:27 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 5:58 PM, RHF wrote:

Did Obama ever told any truth at all!?

674. Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 09:49:46 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <f293c25d-3cae-47c2-8181-63873bbf49ee@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 4, 7:37 pm, Warhol <mol...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> On May 5, 4:04 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/4/2011 6:48 PM, Warhol wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > Smoking Gun It's All Fake... nothing is as it seems. this world is
> > > going to get so damned& bizarre.
>
> > > i just watched some video. did anyone else see that he showed a video
> > > of the lion king as a video of his birth.
>
> > > what an ego....and...
>
> > > Lion King
> > > Lyin King
>
> > > No joke, he actually sees himself as a king or a messiah... what a
> > > blasphemy...
> > > ...
>
> > Yep, way past time to stop giving him the benefit of the doubt -- that
> > black bastard is going to ruin us if he is not stopped soon ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> its all a big lie, a house of cards that will come crashing down when
> you take out a card one at a time
>
> ..crash...

- Why didnt they take him(OBL) alive and waterboard...
- he is the motherload of intel worth if we may believe
- the propaganda.

-
- Can they answer that ? Why execute the man who
- potentially has secrets to shut down terrorist cells
- across the world?
.
If 'Captured Osama bin-Laden Was Ready To Tell All :
About His Many Western Friends : The US Connection !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb1975574e72d3da
.
Obama bi-Den© Eliminate/Eradicate Osama bin-Laden
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/610ed178230eb7af
.
Media Alert : Daily Obama bi-Den© Talking-Point
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c241a6e05b6a30e4
1ST - Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
* Vote To Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
* Give Money To Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
* Join 'The Cult of The-Obama' in Re-Electing
Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
* Become a Member of the Temple of Obama bi-Den©
* Sing The Praises of Obama bi-Den© To All Who Will
Listen -and- Ask Them To Join 'The Cult of The-Obama'
* Collect Tithes for 'The Cult of The-Obama' in the name
of Obama bi-Den© for 2012
One Leader : Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
One Party : Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
One People : Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
One Faith : Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
-reward-the-believers-&-cast-out-the-non-believers-
.
Praises To The-Obama : Blessing Be Unto The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/0133de928cb9382d
Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama
-the-cult-of-'the-obama'- -the-cult-of-'the-obama'-
.
.
One Crazy Idea : Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
* * * O M G / O M A * * * Let Us Pray . . .
This Has Been A 'Teachable Moment' ~ RHF©***
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teachable_moment
.
*** © This is another Copy-Righted 'Brain Fart' of RHF
-wrt- 'Brain Fart' -yeah- This Idea(s) Stinks : Pew! [P!U!]
.
.

675. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barry Soetoro AKA barack obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 10:30:03 UTC
From: Sylvia Else <sylvia@not.here.invalid>
Message-ID: <92fchbFjc7U2@mid.individual.net>
Source record: 228552
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/05/2011 10:35 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
>
> ROFLOL!!!
>
> I am telling you, you just can't make up shit like this ...
>
> I don't know what America is at this time, but you let a negro run
> things and it looks like it all goes to hell in a handbasket!
>
> obama only thought he had problems. Let's see how he runs things now he
> has destroyed any and all respect Americans could ever possibly hold for
> him ... good luck ya' black arse-hole!
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

Those who believe it already believe it. Those who don't wouldn't be convinced by photographs anyway. They'd say the photographs were photoshopped, not of Bin Laden, of a Bin Laden who's not actually dead, created by aliens, etc. ad nauseam. No purpose would be served by releasing them.

Sylvia.

676. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 10:59:02 UTC
From: "Mr. Tarquin Fintimlinbinwhinbimlim Bus Stop F'tang F'tang Ole Biscuit-Barrel." <mrweagle@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dbdc24c3-eb60-4e29-bebc-76aa0bbdfa49@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228553
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 5, 7:39 pm, Krypsis <kryp...@optusnet.com.au> wrote:

> hey, you plonked him, remember? That means you shouldn't have read his
> response. The fact that you did read his response, and reply, means that
> all your "plonking" just makes you a wanker. You really don't filter out
> anyone as you have an insane desire to see what unfolds out of the
> bullcrap you post.
>
> Krypsis
>
> ps Don't forget to plonk me too! ROFLOL-

Do you ever post anything other than your pathetic whinging and
whining?

677. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 11:37:43 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <ipu267$3pf$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228554
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/5/11 24:29 , bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 4, 1:56 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/4/2011 1:49 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Take you own advice. All we've seen from you for the last couple
>>> weeks is stark-raving-lunacy.
>>
>> You are one of the largest brain dead morons with nothing to offer but
>> your personal comments, opinions and "psychic revelations."
>>
>> You current mental state, whether because of organic causes, drugs or
>> alcohol, seems to prevent you from that realization.
>>
>> That is all that is going on here, or will be going on here ...
>>
>> ROFLOL
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> You should go on TV. Really. You'd be perfect at 3 AM.

What do you have against 3 AM?

678. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 12:01:54 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <44129df4-95b6-497a-8fe7-8216b96547b4@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228555
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.true-crime

On May 2, 8:27 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> http://www.mercurynews.com/breaking-news/ci_17976305?nclick_check=1
>
> Regards,
> JS

BWAHAHAHAAHAAAHAHAAHAHAHAAAHAHAHAAAAA!!! You really got your kook on
today, Johnny!!

679. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 12:11:11 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <84255129-1fe1-4dfe-8d17-2a16d347a1a2@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228557
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 4, 2:56 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 1:49 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Take you own advice. All we've seen from you for the last couple
> > weeks is stark-raving-lunacy.
>
> You are one of the largest brain dead morons with nothing to offer but
> your personal comments, opinions and "psychic revelations."

You left out "proven fact."

Hint: http://static.politifact.com.s3.amazonaws.com/graphics/birthCertObama.jpg

BWAHAAAAHAHAAAHAHAHHAAAAA!!!!

680. Re: Top Government Insider: Bin Laden Died In 2001, 9/11 A False Flag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 12:12:30 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f067d504-45c6-49b4-8b3b-fab92323b3e3@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228556
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,alt.politics

On May 4, 11:58 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 10:53 AM, dmaster wrote:
>
>
>
> > On Wednesday, May 4, 2011 12:08:15 PM UTC-5, George Plimpton wrote:
> >> On 5/4/2011 10:05 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
> >>>http://www.infowars.com/top-us-government-insider-bin-laden-died-in-2...
>
> >>> On Alex Jones live web cast now:
>
> >>>http://www.infowars.com/stream.pls
>
> >> You're an idiot. Alex Jones is an idiot and a blowhard.
>
> > You may be wrong. Oh, sure those who swallow this stuff whole may be idiots, but Jones may be cynically milking the "true believers" for everything they are worth, or at least making his living off them.
>
> > Dan (Woj...)
>
> Offer some facts and evidence and we will see if you have a leg to stand
> on ...

Bin Laden being killed this past weekend is proof that he did not die
in 2001. Ipso facto, Johnny KQQK.

681. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barry Soetoro AKA barack obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 12:15:58 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ad124c7f-8f4d-4f4e-a11a-109a0cabbea9@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228558
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On May 4, 6:58 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 4, 5:35 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > ROFLOL!!!
>
> > I am telling you, you just can't make up shit like this ...
>
> > I don't know what America is at this time, but you let a negro run
> > things and it looks like it all goes to hell in a handbasket!
>
> > obama only thought he had problems. Let's see how he runs things now he
> > has destroyed any and all respect Americans could ever possibly hold for
> > him ... good luck ya' black arse-hole!
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Shout 'JS' Down ! & Shut 'JS' Up ! & Silence 'JS' !
> -'js-is-not-god- -'the-obama'-is-god- -obama-obama-

Umm, kooker, why oh why would we want to "shut" Johnny KQQK down? Are you stupid? We're here day in and day out egging him on as he reaches new heights of comedic stupidity!! A kook THIS crazy just cannot be found every day!!

You need to understand this: Our encouraging him to post his craziness, and yours, is the OPPOSITE of shutting anyone down. Are you truly this stupid? We WANT you to amuse us. Now roll over!!

682. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barry Soetoro AKA barack obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 12:16:30 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <528cef0a-bc42-4cfd-b60e-7d22602f8dce@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228559
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On May 5, 3:42 am, Mr Bean <MrB...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/05/2011 9:27 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
> > On 5/4/2011 5:58 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> Did Obama ever told any truth at all!?

Exhibit A for how illiterate rightards are.

683. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 12:22:11 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bd028beb-a7b2-4454-a946-55b2f7ca5dc9@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228561
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 5, 1:39 am, Krypsis <kryp...@optusnet.com.au> wrote:
> On 5/05/2011 10:45 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
> > On 5/4/2011 5:40 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> >> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote in message
> >>news:ipsqmokde3@dont-email.me...
> >>> On 5/4/2011 5:18 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> >>>> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote in message
> >>>>news:ipsm9n$7nj$2@dont-email.me...
> >>>>> On 5/4/2011 3:51 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> >>>>>> ...
> >>>>>> The comments and thoughts of those without firm educations in the
> >>>>>> fields involved are just babbling morons ...
>
> >>>>> I accept you can't support your claim as called for and instead
> >>>>> produce
> >>>>> a website that relies upon appearances and unsupported assertions.
>
> >>>>> And another debunker shows he has no proof for his claims.
>
> >>>>> If you bother to look, there is a multitude of links on the left which
> >>>>> deal will most aspects.
>
> >>>> Sorry, you were asked to present your best evidence. You posted a link
> >>>> presumably to the best evidence that exists. I found claims about what
> >>>> it appeared to be and a lot of unsupported assertions. If this is the
> >>>> best that exists....then there is hardly any reason to look around
> >>>> because everything else can only be worse.
>
> >>>>> It would take a learned person days, perhaps weeks to review them and
> >>>>> follow up, with other links, to gain an education.
>
> >>>> Sorry, that's why I asked you to present your best evidence. Presumably
> >>>> you have done all this and can point out a specific detail that proves
> >>>> you have a case.
>
> >>>> Instead you couldn't do so. Now if you've spent days or even weeks on
> >>>> just this site (and presumably months looking at others) then you
> >>>> should
> >>>> know where to find the best single example of proof.

>
> >>>> You failed. So why should I waste my time looking around for something
> >>>> better when YOU couldn't find it?
>
> >>>>> The uneducated need only moments, of course ... how to you think they
> >>>>> got their fine educations?
>
> >>>> Takes me only a moment to look at the lack of any conclusive proof in
> >>>> your BEST evidence, and decide you don't have a case.
>
> >>>> Maybe you should have picked a better piece of evidence, but then
> >>>> that's
> >>>> what you were asked to do. If appearances and unsupported assertions is
> >>>> the BEST evidence you can produce, then why should I waste more time
> >>>> with it, or you?
>
> >>>> So to sum it up.
>
> >>>> You were asked to present your best evidence.
> >>>> Your best evidence consisted of claims about appearances and
> >>>> unsupported
> >>>> assertions
> >>>> Your best evidence fails to get off the pad as there is no proof of
> >>>> anything presented.
>
> >>>> Conclusion, if your best is this bad, then there is no need to bother
> >>>> with your conspiracy theories.
>
> >>> If you wished to carry on conversation, you should have behaved like
> >>> an engineer instead of child starting out with fraudulent data ...
>
> >> I did. I asked you to prove your case with your best evidence.....you
> >> were the one that failed to do so.
>
> >> You were the one that asserted credentials were somehow relevant to the
> >> facts presented.
>
> >> You were the one that made claims you couldn't back up.
>
> >>> it can only go downhill from there as your arguments and proof get
> >>> more and more preposterous!
>
> >> Well, I've seen your type come, and I've seen them go, and NONE could
> >> make any reasonable effort to prove their case on a specific item. They
> >> either threw out a website (like you did) and expect me to research the
> >> whole site and all the claims in the hopes there was something that
> >> supported your claims. IOW, doing your homework. Or they would produce a
> >> massive multitude of items in the hope that the shear volume would
> >> somehow carry their claims. Yet when one item was picked out and proven
> >> to be false, incomplete, inconsistent, or otherwise failed to prove the

> >> claims made about it, their only response was to simply point to
> >> something else and claim that I hadn't disproven everything. As if their
> >> ability to make their case depended upon others showing the flaws in
> >> their case rather than producing a solid case from the start.
>
> >>> Say hi to ScottS, Shagster, Arthur, Mark Ferran, NEU-FONZE and David
> >>> B. Benson when you see them. I am sure they will now take up your
> >>> argument with you!
>
> >> Damn, got your ass kicked by that many people and you still haven't
> >> figured out how to present a better case.
>
> >> Makes it sound like any attitude you got at the start was perfectly
> >> justified given you have a history of making claims you can't support.
>
> >>> ... plonked in this thread too ...
>
> >> So run away since you can't be bothered to prove that even a single
> >> point of yours is actually true and contradicts the official findings.
>
> >> IOW, you can't support your case even in one detail.
>
> > As I said, the links on the one website, alone, should have kept a REAL
> > engineer busy a month ... especially taking into consideration that a
> > REAL engineer would have written the architects, engineers and
> > scientists, gotten samples and confirmed what he was able ... you my
> > fraudulent little "engineer" are a FRAUD!
>
> > .... GLOBAL SERVER PLONK ENACTED! WARNING WILL ROBINSON! ...
>
> > ROFLOL!!!
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> hey, you plonked him, remember? That means you shouldn't have read his
> response. The fact that you did read his response, and reply, means that
> all your "plonking" just makes you a wanker. You really don't filter out
> anyone as you have an insane desire to see what unfolds out of the
> bullcrap you post.
>
> Krypsis
>
> ps Don't forget to plonk me too! ROFLOL

Johnny KQQK does not know what plonking actually means. he just types the word "plonk" because he's seen his many betters do that. He thinks it's a magical incantation, just like his cartoon magic "controlled demolition" delusions.

684. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 12:25:23 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d3e853b7-5437-4855-91fe-5c3654c8829a@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228560
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 3, 9:12 pm, "Brenda Ann" <newsgro...@fullspectrumradio.org>
wrote:
> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>
> news:248f6358-1de4-4d98-848d-3c81e953579f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>
> > None the less if there is no marriage Certificate still means he was
> > fatherless,
>
> And that, dearie, is what would make him a US natural born citizen
> EVEN IF his mom had him in kenya, which it's been proven she did not.
> If she was no legally married, then the location DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>
> ---
> ---
> Born Abroad to an American Mother Out of Wedlock:
>
> The mother must have been a U.S. citizen at the time of birth. The mother
> must have had a physical residency inside the United States prior to the
> child's birth. The time of residency must have been for one continuous year.

Thank you for proving my statement correct.

685. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 12:27:15 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dd5f74af-7974-42ed-b99e-b55f74427c6c@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228562
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 3, 11:51 pm, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 8:12 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
>
> > "Iarnrod" wrote in message
> >news:248f6358-1de4-4d98-848d-3c81e953579f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> None the less if there is no marriage Certificate still means he was
> >> fatherless,
>
> > And that, dearie, is what would make him a US natural born citizen
> > EVEN IF his mom had him in kenya, which it's been proven she did not.
> > If she was no legally married, then the location DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>
> That's completely wrong. The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration
> and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>
> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>
> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States
> and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien,
> and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the
> birth
> of such person, was physically present in the United States or its
> outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than
> ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age
> of fourteen years
>
> The marital status of the parents is irrelevant.

That is incorrect. The above applied only to married parents.

> If Obama had been born in Kenya, he would not have been born a citizen:
> his American citizen mother had not live five years in the USA or an
> outlying possession for five years after reaching the age of 14, because
> she was only 18 at the time of Hussein's birth.

Irrelevant.

> Irrelevant. The applicable law in 1961 was not concerned with the
> marital status of the parents.

Yes, it was.

686. Re: ? So... You Look For Your Presidents Under Rocks !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 12:32:33 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fe0b00d1-8bdb-4929-bc54-dc48a09a3b45@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 4, 9:03 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 3, 7:22 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > On May 2, 12:25 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > > The enormous errors in the forged document simply boggles ones' mind ...
>
> > NAME ONE, Johnny KQQK.
>
> > You don't even have a mind to boggle!
>
> > <sips Victory Iced Tea>
>
> ? So...

So you can't NAME ONE THING either, eh, kooker? Hint: We knew you
wouldn't!!

<sips Victory Iced Tea>

687. HOT SEXY VIDEOS OPEN FOR SEXY GIRLS PHOTOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 12:33:05 UTC
From: 7631 <4jgsdhgffgf@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <a2c93479-4043-484a-bde2-16bfcb25b2b2@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228564

A TO Z INFORMATION SEE IN THIS SITE

http://atwoztopic.blogspot.com/

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS

http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

ALL JOBS SITES FREE SEE

http://jobstwo.blogspot.com/

HOT VIDEOS

http://hotvideotoou.blogspot.com/2011/01/kiss-videos.html

hot lip kiss
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hot-lip-kiss.html
hot kisses
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hot-kisses.html
hollywood hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hollywood-hot-videos.html
real hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/real-hot-videos.html
tamil hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/tamil-hot-videos.html

688. Re: Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 12:36:34 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <08d3dd05-d0c3-471b-8e0d-0e299b72a39a@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 4, 8:27 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 4, 5:18 am,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 3, 10:04 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > On Tue, 03 May 2011 07:21:52 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > wrote:
>
> > > >On 5/3/2011 7:19 AM, jf...@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > > > >> ...
> > > >> I am pretty sure that he missed the six month deadline, but I would
> > > >> attribute it to domestic politcal opposition rather than to a lack of
> > > >> will on his part. Similarly, the inability to remove the prisoners
> > > >> from Guantanemo was not because he did not try, but because his
> > > >> political gadflies deliberately put obstacles in his way without
> > > >> providing any constructive alternatives. When he tries to keep his
> > > >> promises, but cannot only because of political obstructionsism by the
> > > >> Publicans, it is illogical to call those "lies".
>
> > > >Unique!
>
> > > >First time I heard that, so you are saying, "The devil stopped him from
> > > >doing it!"
>
> > > >That is certainly a new spin on things!
>
> > > >ROLOL
>
> > > >Regards,
> > > >JS
>
> > - - Particularlty when he sent in an ADDITIONAL
> > - - 135,000 troops during the surge.
>
> > - <snicker>
> > -
> > - Tell us when Obama did that, fuckwit.
> > -
> > - Hint: You're lying.
> >

> Larnrod,
>
> From 2008 Election to Present as the US President
> Obama DID IT ! -cause- Afghanistan is the 'Right' War
> according to Candidate Obama.

Hint: Geography lesson for Kooker RHF: Afghanistan is not Iraq. I know all those mooslim countries look alike to you nutbags, but they are different.

BWAHAHAHHAHAHAAAA!!!!

<sips Victory Iced Tea>

689. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barry Soetoro AKA barack obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 12:52:16 UTC
From: Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4dc29d81$0$3032$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 228566
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/05/2011 8:30 PM, Sylvia Else wrote:
> On 5/05/2011 10:35 AM, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>
>> ROFLOL!!!
>>
>> I am telling you, you just can't make up shit like this ...
>>
>> I don't know what America is at this time, but you let a negro run
>> things and it looks like it all goes to hell in a handbasket!
>>
>> obama only thought he had problems. Let's see how he runs things now he
>> has destroyed any and all respect Americans could ever possibly hold for
>> him ... good luck ya' black arse-hole!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>>
>
> Those who believe it already believe it. Those who don't wouldn't be
> convinced by photographs anyway. They'd say the photographs were
> photoshopped, not of Bin Laden, of a Bin Laden who's not actually dead,
> created by aliens, etc. ad nauseam. No purpose would be served by
> releasing them.
>
> Sylvia.

Obama is more concerned any photgraphs would become symbols to mobs like
Al Quaeda. It's a sensible move to not release them.

Krypsis

690. Re: Top Government Insider: Bin Laden Died In 2001, 9/11 A False Flag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 12:53:25 UTC
From: Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4dc29dc7$0$3032$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 228567

On 5/05/2011 9:57 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Doggy just now took me out in the front yard, (she took a squirt) we saw
> a twin engine Turboprop Airplane flying almost over my house.It was
> heading East at a good speed and flying almost low enough I could almost
> make out the writing on the side.It was a white Airplane.
> cuhulin
>
Ah, it must be the CIA coming to get you!

Hide Cu, hide!!!!

Krypsis

691. Re: Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 13:26:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC2A58E-841@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228568

Joe 'BLOW' Bidet spews his yap.
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307229

Bidet, I did not mispell.Bidet must be kin to Whorealdo Rivera
cuhulin

692. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barry Soetoro AKA barack obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 13:58:30 UTC
From: golfbum18 <golfbum18@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4ee39074-6c1a-4f75-8fe8-fe018f59480a@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228619
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 4, 8:35 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> ROFLOL!!!
>
> I am telling you, you just can't make up shit like this ...
>
> I don't know what America is at this time, but you let a negro run
> things and it looks like it all goes to hell in a handbasket!
>
> obama only thought he had problems. Let's see how he runs things now he
> has destroyed any and all respect Americans could ever possibly hold for
> him ... good luck ya' black arse-hole!
>
> Regards,
> JS

You make a strong point.................for abortion

693. Re: Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 14:05:16 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC2AE9C-909@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228621

SCARHEAD TRAITOR MOFO USURPER Overruled in 'Kill Osama Bin Laden'
Operation?
http://www.rense.com

I Hope that is True! Howsomever, whoever they killed, if in Fact they
did kill somebody? It sure wasen't Osama Bin Laden.He has been Dead for
a Decade or more.
cuhulin

694. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 14:05:51 UTC
From: harry k <turnkey4099@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2437a3ee-6083-4e68-aa8b-24e6fe6f2e5f@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228620
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.true-crime

On May 5, 5:01 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 2, 8:27 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> >http://www.mercurynews.com/breaking-news/ci_17976305?nclick_check=1
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> BWAHAHAHAAHAAAHAHAAHAHAHAAAHAHAHAAAAA!!! You really got your kook on
> today, Johnny!!

I wonder how they got that appeal up to the 9th circuit so fast. Of course they did pick the proper court for it, the 9th circuit is known for its outlandish rulings. If you can't win there, you are really lame.

Harry K

695. Re: Top Government Insider: Bin Laden Died In 2001, 9/11 A False Flag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 14:10:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC2AFCF-914@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228623

Hide? Sheet, I am still here.
New York City has done picked Nissan for their new sooper dooper Taxicab
people haulers of Tomorrow.According to an article at
http://www.rense.com
cuhulin, People Haulers R US

696. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 14:14:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15404-4DC2B0C0-335@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228622

Noah knew all about a Big Flood.
cuhulin

697. Re: New Yorkers New Ride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 14:20:53 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC2B245-931@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228627

That poor Bumble Bee got mashed up too much when I stepped on that plywood.It couldn't get up and fly.I didn't mean to kill the poor little Bumble Bee, they are having a rough enough time as it is.I Like Bumble Bees.

Are you getting those WebTV popups about creating a Windows Live ID? I am getting them in all six of my WebTV user names.I haven't done anything about them yet.
cuhulin

698. Re: Are you sad your Uncle Osama Died?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 14:28:02 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipuc5kmhm1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228624
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.obama,or.politics,ca.politics,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/4/2011 11:53 PM, Michael Bachmann wrote:
> Texas teacher on leave over bin Laden comment
>
> FRIENDSWOOD, Texas (AP) -- A Southeast Texas school has put a teacher
> on leave pending an investigation into an allegation that he asked an
> American-born Muslim student if she was grieving because her "uncle"
> had died, referring to Osama bin Laden, a school district spokeswoman
> said Wednesday.
> ...

Who ever placed the teacher on leave should be placed on leave. The
teacher should have been fired and never allowed to teach again!

That woman is a terrorist!

Regards,
JS

699. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barry Soetoro AKA barack obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 14:33:29 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipucfrmhm2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228625
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/5/2011 3:30 AM, Sylvia Else wrote:

> ...
> Those who believe it already believe it. Those who don't wouldn't be
> convinced by photographs anyway. They'd say the photographs were
> photoshopped, not of Bin Laden, of a Bin Laden who's not actually dead,
> created by aliens, etc. ad nauseam. No purpose would be served by
> releasing them.
>
> Sylvia.

Well yeah!

Weak minded idiots exist which need no proof what-so-ever!

If you think that surprises us it does not. Most people need proof to
believe what they hear.

Some people have no skills, no formal schooling and you can show them
photoshop documents and the poor slobs will believe what you tell them
about the documents/pictures/videos ... but normal people, with decent
IQ and schooling don't make those horrendous mistakes.

This is why you don't let morons get in positions where they can bring
about harm on themselves or others ... DUH!

Regards,
JS

700. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barry Soetoro AKA barack obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 14:34:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipuchamhm3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228626
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/5/2011 6:58 AM, golfbum18 wrote:
> On May 4, 8:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> ROFLOL!!!
>>
>> I am telling you, you just can't make up shit like this ...
>>
>> I don't know what America is at this time, but you let a negro run
>> things and it looks like it all goes to hell in a handbasket!
>>
>> obama only thought he had problems. Let's see how he runs things now he
>> has destroyed any and all respect Americans could ever possibly hold for
>> him ... good luck ya' black arse-hole!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> You make a strong point.....for abortion

No one got to obamas mother soon enough, nor yours ...

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

701. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 14:38:53 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipucpvmhm4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228628
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.true-crime

On 5/5/2011 7:05 AM, harry k wrote:
> On May 5, 5:01 am, Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 2, 8:27 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>>> http://www.mercurynews.com/breaking-news/ci_17976305?nclick_check=1
>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> BWAHAHAHAAHAAAHAHAAHAHAHAAAHAHAHAAAAA!!! You really got your kook on
>> today, Johnny!!
>
> I wonder how they got that appeal up to the 9th circuit so fast. Of
> course they did pick the proper court for it, the 9th circuit is known
> for its outlandish rulings. If you can't win there, you are really
> lame.
>
> Harry K

Actually, correct, the 9th is full of loonies, however they are radical democan worshipping loonies ... it will be a difficult swing ... probably have to take it to the supreme court.

As usual, you disinformation fails ...

Regards,
JS

702. Re: Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 14:40:31 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipuct0mhm5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228629

On 5/5/2011 7:05 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> SCARHEAD TRAITOR MOFO USURPER Overruled in 'Kill Osama Bin Laden'
> Operation?
> http://www.rense.com
>
> I Hope that is True! Howsomever, whoever they killed, if in Fact they
> did kill somebody? It sure wasen't Osama Bin Laden.He has been Dead for
> a Decade or more.
> cuhulin
>

That is why you don't go around destroying evidence, hard to make a case
without a body ...

Regards,
JS

703. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 14:43:47 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipud34mhm6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228630
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.sport.golf

On 5/5/2011 12:30 AM, Red Cloud wrote:

> ...
> Unfortunately, two innocent bystander were murdered by US Navy Seal
> team.
> Where is the justice on that?

Difficult to imagine anyone "innocent" would lives with, or visits,
osama ... birds of a feather flock together and all that ...

Regards,
JS

704. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barry Soetoro AKA barack obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 14:58:19 UTC
From: Sylvia Else <sylvia@not.here.invalid>
Message-ID: <92fs8bFecuU2@mid.individual.net>
Source record: 228631
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 6/05/2011 12:33 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/5/2011 3:30 AM, Sylvia Else wrote:
>
>> ...
>> Those who believe it already believe it. Those who don't wouldn't be
>> convinced by photographs anyway. They'd say the photographs were
>> photoshopped, not of Bin Laden, of a Bin Laden who's not actually dead,
>> created by aliens, etc. ad nauseam. No purpose would be served by
>> releasing them.
>>
>> Sylvia.
>
> Well yeah!
>
> Weak minded idiots exist which need no proof what-so-ever!
>
> If you think that surprises us it does not. Most people need proof to
> believe what they hear.

The size of the advertising industry suggests that you're wrong about that.

>
> Some people have no skills, no formal schooling and you can show them
> photoshop documents and the poor slobs will believe what you tell them
> about the documents/pictures/videos ... but normal people, with decent
> IQ and schooling don't make those horrendous mistakes.

And some think about how foolish the USA would look if it said Bin Laden
was dead, only to have him show up a week later alive and well.

Sylvia.

705. Re: Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 15:00:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC2BB73-970@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228634

Maybe it was a PIG they dumped into the Arabian Sea?
OINK! OINK! OINK!
PORK, It's What's For Dinner.
cuhulin

706. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barry Soetoro AKA barack obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 15:02:18 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipue5rmhm8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228632
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/5/2011 7:58 AM, Sylvia Else wrote:

> ...
> The size of the advertising industry suggests that you're wrong about that.
>
>>
>> Some people have no skills, no formal schooling and you can show them
>> photoshop documents and the poor slobs will believe what you tell them
>> about the documents/pictures/videos ... but normal people, with decent
>> IQ and schooling don't make those horrendous mistakes.
>
> And some think about how foolish the USA would look if it said Bin Laden
> was dead, only to have him show up a week later alive and well.
>
> Sylvia.

Only fools destroy evidence ... but then, that is exactly what we are talking about, a world run by fools.

All this is not going to create a job, end joblessness, improve the economy, stop the printing of play money, etc.

Even morons, at some time or another, realize they are standing too close to a fire ... it just takes some to smell burning hair first ...

Regards,
JS

707. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 15:03:29 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15404-4DC2BC41-349@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228636

Doggy never wakes me up at 3:00 AM to go out in the front yard.She holds her 'water' much better than I do.I leak like a sieve.
cuhulin

708. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barry Soetoro AKA barack obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 15:07:37 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DC2BD39.1000202@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 228633
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/5/2011 10:58 AM, Sylvia Else wrote:
> On 6/05/2011 12:33 AM, John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/5/2011 3:30 AM, Sylvia Else wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Those who believe it already believe it. Those who don't wouldn't be
>>> convinced by photographs anyway. They'd say the photographs were
>>> photoshopped, not of Bin Laden, of a Bin Laden who's not actually dead,
>>> created by aliens, etc. ad nauseam. No purpose would be served by
>>> releasing them.
>>>
>>> Sylvia.
>>
>> Well yeah!
>>
>> Weak minded idiots exist which need no proof what-so-ever!
>>
>> If you think that surprises us it does not. Most people need proof to
>> believe what they hear.
>
> The size of the advertising industry suggests that you're wrong about that.
>
>>
>> Some people have no skills, no formal schooling and you can show them
>> photoshop documents and the poor slobs will believe what you tell them
>> about the documents/pictures/videos ... but normal people, with decent
>> IQ and schooling don't make those horrendous mistakes.
>
> And some think about how foolish the USA would look if it said Bin Laden
> was dead, only to have him show up a week later alive and well.
>
> Sylvia.

LIKE SAYING "THE war is lost" AND LATER WE WIN?

709. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 15:13:48 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc2be62$0$12481$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228635

On 05/05/2011 07:14 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Noah knew all about a Big Flood.
> cuhulin
>

Gilgamesh

710. Calling CQ dx on 15m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 15:17:09 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc2bf2d$0$12516$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228637

```
21071 KHz psk31 34.4, -118.4
```

711. Re: TMI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 15:18:27 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc2bf79$0$12516$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228638

On 05/05/2011 08:03 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Doggy never wakes me up at 3:00 AM to go out in the front yard.She holds
> her 'water' much better than I do.I leak like a sieve.
> cuhulin
>

712. Re: TMI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 15:32:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC2C314-990@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228639

That's why I wear dark blue Dickies work pants.
cuhulin

713. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barr...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 15:35:58 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC2C3DE-993@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228641

Why was so much care taken in Osama's burial?
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307174
cuhulin

714. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 15:44:11 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ipugkpmdq1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228640
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"Gunner Asch" <gunnerasch@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:nnbur650i2smh4l0bjtrlppisg18ehpku9@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 2 May 2011 13:48:22 -0700 (PDT), "jfeng@my-deja.com"
> <jfeng@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
>>>
>>> Failed to keep his pledge". Then you are admitting the sob lied.
>>> Closed Gitmo? Another lie
>>>
>>> There have been...a few others....some 2065 or more.
>>Thos are all irrelevant to the fact that you are a liar and
>>deliberatelty and dishonestly misrepresnted his position on
>>Afghanistan.
>
> Actually..no..its not irrelevant, except perhaps to a Leftwinger.
>
> But then..yall are mentally ill..so its not surprising
>
> Shrug
>
> Gunner
>
> --
> "If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is eight,
> it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
> six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)

Liar!

715. Re: Are you sad your Uncle Osama Died?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 15:47:04 UTC
From: chimpskinnedfactinator2000@facts.net
Message-ID: <uih5s6lrj7i6g2t7cgm9riv91so83osd47@4ax.com>
Source record: 228642
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.obama,or.politics,ca.politics,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 04 May 2011 23:53:18 -0700, Michael Bachmann
<Michael.bachmann1978@gmail.com> wrote:

>Texas teacher on leave over bin Laden comment
>
>FRIENDSWOOD, Texas (AP) -- A Southeast Texas school has put a teacher
>on leave pending an investigation into an allegation that he asked an
>American-born Muslim student if she was grieving because her "uncle"
>had died, referring to Osama bin Laden, a school district spokeswoman
>said Wednesday.
>
>The teacher, whom officials of the Clear Creek school district did not
>identify, is accused of offending the American-born female student
>Monday during a ninth-grade algebra class at Clear Brook High School in
>Friendswood, located 23 miles southeast of Houston.
>
>District spokeswoman Elaina Polsen told KTRK-TV of Houston the teacher
>was placed on leave after another student reported the taunt to her
>mother.
>
>"The student did the right thing and immediately notified an adult
>regarding the teacher's comments. The principal at Clear Brook High
>School notified the child's parents and has been in communication with
>the family," Polsen said.
>
>Polsen said the comment doesn't reflect school or district staff
>attitudes.
>
>Islamic Society of Greater Houston President Aziz Siddiqui told KTRK
>that the group is satisfied with the district's response.
>
>"A lot of people are ignorant in the world, and so you just have to
>ignore them," he said.
HA HA AH HA . fuck the Muslim bitch

716. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 15:51:12 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipuh1i$4ha$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228643
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/5/2011 8:44 AM, Sid9 wrote:

>> ...
>> --
>> "If I say two plus two is four and a Democrat says two plus two is eight,
>> it's not a partial victory for me when we agree that two plus two is
>> six. " Jonah Goldberg (modified)
>
> Liar!

What is worse, is when you are dealing (adding, agreeing, etc.) in
dollars, and you produce them to the government to cover a debt ...
reality hits home!

Regards,
JS

717. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barr...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 15:52:42 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipuh4b$4ha$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228644

On 5/5/2011 8:35 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Why was so much care taken in Osama's burial?
> http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307174
> cuhulin
>

Necrophilia?

Regards,
JS

718. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 16:06:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC2CAFD-1014@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228647

Bad News From NASA: Proof That Comet Elenin Is Affecting Earth
http://www.standeyo.com
cuhulin

719. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 16:09:22 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.282c95bb9138571198a182@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228646
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

```
In article <4b87be4a-6320-465e-a77b-7030fa51e956
@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 4, 3:22ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > In article <7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
> > 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 3, 1:46ï¿œam, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > > > In article <093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> > > > 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> > >
> > > > > If he had a legal birth certificrate he would not have to use bogus
> > > > > Social Security Numbers .
> > >
> > > > > Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> > > > > British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> > > > > held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
> > >
> > > > >http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
> > >
> > > > You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> > > > anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
> > >
> > > > --
> > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
> > >
> > > Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
> > > temporary numbers only good for six months!
> > >
> > WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
> >
> > This should be a good one..
> >
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
```

>
> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
> tax this is British taffifs on the United States Citizens that live
> and work on Great Britains United States! Great Briain's King George
> still ownes the United States of America he never gave them
> Independence and Americas bankruptcy cinched it.You wont find this
> taught in schools or no one would pay income tax ever again. Read this
> article.
>
> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm

BWHAHAHAHAHA! You're totally insane. Complete and total nonsense.

No wonder you believe it.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

720. Re: TMI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 16:10:25 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc2cba8$0$12517$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228645

On 05/05/2011 08:32 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> That's why I wear dark blue Dickies work pants.
> cuhulin
>

I take a medium size green capsule for that. TERAZOSIN

721. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barry Soetoro AKA barack obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 16:16:06 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <ipuig6$713$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228648
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/5/2011 11:02 AM, John Smith wrote:

> ... but then, that is exactly what we are talking about, a world run
> by fools.

Well then, a fool such as yourself, would be in good company! :-)

722. Re: Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 16:18:40 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <ipuil0$713$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228649

On 5/5/2011 10:40 AM, John Smith wrote:

> That is why you don't go around destroying evidence, hard to make a
> case without a body ...

With a whiner like you, it wouldn't "make a case" even with a body.

1) You would say it was a double.

2) You would say a DNA expert brought into the case was on the take.

3) If UBL's own mother (or any living relative) swore it was his body, you would say they were a paid actor.

Please stop whining about pictures or bodies. It's abundantly clear to all of us that neither would convince you.

Why don't you just man up, say you don't like Obama no matter what he does, right or wrong, and be done with it.

723. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 16:24:53 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.282c995b9d379b2098a183@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228650
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

```
In article <884fd31f-1991-4ca3-9603-ea8072c9a9f3
@w10g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 4, 3:22ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > In article <7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
> > 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 3, 1:46ï¿œam, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > > > In article <093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> > > > 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> > >
> > > > > If he had a legal birth certificrate he would not have to use bogus
> > > > > Social Security Numbers .
> > >
> > > > > Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> > > > > British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> > > > > held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
> > >
> > > > >http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
> > >
> > > > You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> > > > anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
> > >
> > > > --
> > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
> > >
> > > Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
> > > temporary numbers only good for six months!
> >
> > WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
> >
> > This should be a good one..
> >
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
```

>
> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
> tax this is British taffifs on the United States Citizens that live
> and work on Great Britains United States! Great Briain's King George
> still ownes the United States of America he never gave them
> Independence and Americas bankruptcy cinched it.You wont find this
> taught in schools or no one would pay income tax ever again. Read
> these articles.
>
> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>
> http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html
>
> Then pull up "did America gets its Independence"

It's just as insane as the first time you posted it WrongTurnJoe.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

724. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 16:25:23 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.282c9983f5f48f2f98a184@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228651
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

```
In article <8351d405-b405-44f1-b46e-2a2c100dcb47
@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 4, 3:22ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > In article <7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
> > 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 3, 1:46ï¿œam, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > > > In article <093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> > > > 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> > >
> > > > > If he had a legal birth certificrate he would not have to use bogus
> > > > > Social Security Numbers .
> > >
> > > > > Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> > > > > British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> > > > > held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
> > >
> > > > >http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
> > >
> > > > You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> > > > anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
> > >
> > > > --
> > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
> > >
> > > Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
> > > temporary numbers only good for six months!
> >
> > WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
> >
> > This should be a good one..
> >
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
```

>
> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
> tax this is British tarriffs on the United States Citizens that live
> and work on Great Britains United States! Americas bankruptcy cinched
> it.You wont find this
> taught in schools or no one would pay income tax ever again. Read
> these articles.
>
> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>
>
> http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html

And again, this proves you are totally insane.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

725. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 16:26:23 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.282c99b5d4e74d4098a185@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228652
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

In article <b3704448-a106-4760-98f6-
14916384c77d@l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com
says...
>
> On May 4, 3:22ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > In article <7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
> > 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 3, 1:46ï¿œam, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > > > In article <093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> > > > 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> > >
> > > > > > If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
> > > > > > Social Security Numbers .
> > >
> > > > > > Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> > > > > > British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> > > > > > held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
> > >
> > > > > >http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
> > >
> > > > > You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> > > > > anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
> > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
> > >
> > > > Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
> > > > temporary numbers only good for six months!
> > >
> > > WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
> > >
> > > This should be a good one..
> > >
> > > --
> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> > >

> > - Show quoted text -
>
> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
> tax this is British tarriffs on the United States Citizens that live
> and work on Great Britains United States of America, the bankruptcy
> cinched it.You wont find this taught in schools or no one would pay
> income tax ever again. Read these articles.
>
> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>
>
> http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html
>
>
> http://www.natural-person.ca/pdf/Great_Britain_owns_USA.PDF
>
>
> Here's you a great lesson in history by Myron Fagan up untill the
> last few minutes when starts pushing Mormanism(the jesuit practice of
> incest and pedphilia).
>
>
> http://www.awakeandarise.org/article/MyronFagan.htm

No matter how many times you post it Joe, it's still 100% kooktardery.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

726. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barr...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 16:29:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15404-4DC2D076-380@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228653

///Mope, I sure do have my Doubts///
Yeah Sang, me too.
cuhulin

727. Re: Are you sad your Uncle Osama Died?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 16:36:25 UTC
From: Salad <salad@oilandvinegar.com>
Message-ID: <gtKdnQxm2_2UT1_QnZ2dnUVZ_oudnZ2d@earthlink.com>
Source record: 228654
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.obama,or.politics,ca.politics,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/4/2011 11:53 PM, Michael Bachmann wrote:
>
>> Texas teacher on leave over bin Laden comment
>>
>> FRIENDSWOOD, Texas (AP) -- A Southeast Texas school has put a teacher
>> on leave pending an investigation into an allegation that he asked an
>> American-born Muslim student if she was grieving because her "uncle"
>> had died, referring to Osama bin Laden, a school district spokeswoman
>> said Wednesday.
>> ...
>
>
> Who ever placed the teacher on leave should be placed on leave. The
> teacher should have been fired and never allowed to teach again!
>
> That woman is a terrorist!
>
> Regards,
> JS

The teacher is in Texas. 'Nuff said.

728. Re: Are you sad your Uncle Osama Died?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 16:41:05 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipujv1$4ha$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228655
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.obama,or.politics,ca.politics,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

On 5/5/2011 9:36 AM, Salad wrote:

> ...
> The teacher is in Texas. 'Nuff said.

Well yeah!

They have forced the wacko "one size fits all" on texas too!

No one has sane schools left. Anyone who can afford it has their kids
in private schools!

Regards,
JS

729. Re: Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 16:45:30 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15404-4DC2D42A-389@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228657

I DON'T LIKE THE BORN IN KENYA! MOFO SCARHEAD USURPER NO MATTER WHAT HE DOES!!!!!!!!!!!!
cuhulin

730. Re: Are you sad your Uncle Osama Died?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 16:46:08 UTC
From: Salad <salad@oilandvinegar.com>
Message-ID: <IMKdnRHf9MXNSV_QnZ2dnUVZ_tednZ2d@earthlink.com>
Source record: 228656
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.obama,or.politics,ca.politics,rec.radio.shortwave

chimpskinnedfactinator2000@facts.net wrote:

> On Wed, 04 May 2011 23:53:18 -0700, Michael Bachmann
> <Michael.bachmann1978@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Texas teacher on leave over bin Laden comment
>>
>>FRIENDSWOOD, Texas (AP) -- A Southeast Texas school has put a teacher
>>on leave pending an investigation into an allegation that he asked an
>>American-born Muslim student if she was grieving because her "uncle"
>>had died, referring to Osama bin Laden, a school district spokeswoman
>>said Wednesday.
>>
>>The teacher, whom officials of the Clear Creek school district did not
>>identify, is accused of offending the American-born female student
>>Monday during a ninth-grade algebra class at Clear Brook High School in
>>Friendswood, located 23 miles southeast of Houston.
>>
>>District spokeswoman Elaina Polsen told KTRK-TV of Houston the teacher
>>was placed on leave after another student reported the taunt to her
>>mother.
>>
>>"The student did the right thing and immediately notified an adult
>>regarding the teacher's comments. The principal at Clear Brook High
>>School notified the child's parents and has been in communication with
>>the family," Polsen said.
>>
>>Polsen said the comment doesn't reflect school or district staff
>>attitudes.
>>
>>Islamic Society of Greater Houston President Aziz Siddiqui told KTRK
>>that the group is satisfied with the district's response.
>>
>>"A lot of people are ignorant in the world, and so you just have to
>>ignore them," he said.
>
> HA HA AH HA . fuck the Muslim bitch

Which part of Texas are you from? Was there a lot of sister fucking on
your ranch?

731. Re: TMI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 16:52:53 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC2D5E5-1056@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228658

I aints takin NO kinds of drugs or medicines.I leave that for those
people in Medicino,(Mendocino) California.
Main reason I leak so much is I drink too much coffee.
cuhulin

732. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 17:08:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC2D98C-1069@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228659

Do you use a Mac computery?

Coming Soon To A Mac Near You: Serious Malware
http://www.standeyo.com

Mac Attacks.
cuhulin

733. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 17:34:04 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC2DF8C-1087@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228661

Watchin 12:00 PM WLBT 3 (non existance WLBT 3) TV news.I saw a video clip of Skycopter 3 (non existance Skycopter 3) over Eagle Lake near Vicksburg, everybody, most everybody who live at Eagle Lake are gittin out of Dodge or already have got out! That Big Muddy Missy Sippy River is UP!
Missy Sippy River front property, for a while anyway, a few weeks or so.
cuhulin

734. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 17:42:45 UTC
From: Red Cloud <mmdir2005@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cf683a6b-bb7c-4575-adff-85b63b7380d1@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228660
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 5, 7:43 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/5/2011 12:30 AM, Red Cloud wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Unfortunately, two innocent bystander were murdered by US Navy Seal
> > team.
> > Where is the justice on that?
>
> Difficult to imagine anyone "innocent" would lives with, or visits,
> osama ... birds of a feather flock together and all that ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Obama team said that one woman and child stand next to OBL were
killed.
A woman and child are member of Al Queda terrorist~~~

735. Re: Are you sad your Uncle Osama Died?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 17:52:02 UTC
From: chimpskinnedfactinator2000@facts.net
Message-ID: <nto5s6lbnfo7hh7romoq7519uqndr72ivb@4ax.com>
Source record: 228662
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.obama,or.politics,ca.politics,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 05 May 2011 11:46:08 -0500, Salad <salad@oilandvinegar.com>
wrote:

>chimpskinnedfactinator2000@facts.net wrote:
>
>> On Wed, 04 May 2011 23:53:18 -0700, Michael Bachmann
>> <Michael.bachmann1978@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Texas teacher on leave over bin Laden comment
>>>
>>>FRIENDSWOOD, Texas (AP) -- A Southeast Texas school has put a teacher
>>>on leave pending an investigation into an allegation that he asked an
>>>American-born Muslim student if she was grieving because her "uncle"
>>>had died, referring to Osama bin Laden, a school district spokeswoman
>>>said Wednesday.
>>>
>>>The teacher, whom officials of the Clear Creek school district did not
>>>identify, is accused of offending the American-born female student
>>>Monday during a ninth-grade algebra class at Clear Brook High School in
>>>Friendswood, located 23 miles southeast of Houston.
>>>
>>>District spokeswoman Elaina Polsen told KTRK-TV of Houston the teacher
>>>was placed on leave after another student reported the taunt to her
>>>mother.
>>>
>>>"The student did the right thing and immediately notified an adult
>>>regarding the teacher's comments. The principal at Clear Brook High
>>>School notified the child's parents and has been in communication with
>>>the family," Polsen said.
>>>
>>>Polsen said the comment doesn't reflect school or district staff
>>>attitudes.
>>>
>>>Islamic Society of Greater Houston President Aziz Siddiqui told KTRK
>>>that the group is satisfied with the district's response.
>>>
>>>"A lot of people are ignorant in the world, and so you just have to
>>>ignore them," he said.
>>
>> HA HA AH HA . fuck the Muslim bitch
>
>Which part of Texas are you from? Was there a lot of sister fucking on

>your ranch?
no I would not fuck your sister .

736. Re: TMI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 17:56:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC2E4B3-1097@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228664

illegitimate child, illegitimate BASTARD! by Pamela Geller.
http://www.worldnetdaily.com
http://www.wnd.com
cuhulin

737. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 17:56:28 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d7fc52d1-0865-450f-bdcf-c7c247a0b02b@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228663
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
> >> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> >>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >>>>> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
> >>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>
> >>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> >>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> >>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>
> >>>>>http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>
> >>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> >>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>
> >>>> --
> >>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>
> >>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
> >>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>
> >> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>
> >> This should be a good one..
>
> >> --
> >> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income

> > tax this is British tarriffs
>
> You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!

738. Re: get kelp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 18:24:56 UTC
From: kb9rqz <mark@kb9rqz.com>
Message-ID: <3cb7d75b-08e3-4c23-85ca-a2dd2b6d342f@p7g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On May 2, 9:57 pm, kb9rqz <m...@kb9rqz.com> wrote:
> On Apr 24, 3:19 pm, kb9rqz <m...@kb9rqz.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On Apr 10, 6:05 pm, kb9rqz <m...@kb9rqz.com> wrote:
>
> > > On Apr 9, 3:29 pm, "Roger Wiseman" <rwisema...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > "m II" <C...@in.the.hat> wrote in messagenews:4d9a883c$1@news.x-privat.org...
> > > > > -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> > > > > Hash: '- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -
```

739. Re: QSLs received, May 2011

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 18:29:50 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <826284f9-c1ff-4d62-b283-9fceb2b2ea12@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228666

AUSTRIA: ORF - Radio Osterreich International, Wien / Moosbrunn, 9820, f/d illegible signed confirmation letter w/pic “Garden of Mirabell Palace, Salzburg” by airmail in 12 days (via Slovenia) for report in English & recording by e-mail (Jensen-CA)

This particular TX was during a time of technical difficulty, and all they did was play their interval signal over and over for an hour straight. Made ID easy anyway ;-)

740. Re: Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 18:33:49 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <gM2dnde36bQNcF_QnZ2dnVY3go2dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228667

"Joe from Kokomo" wrote in message news:ipuil0$713$2@dont-email.me...

On 5/5/2011 10:40 AM, John Smith wrote:

> That is why you don't go around destroying evidence, hard to make a
> case without a body ...

With a whiner like you, it wouldn't "make a case" even with a body.

1) You would say it was a double.

2) You would say a DNA expert brought into the case was on the take.

3) If UBL's own mother (or any living relative) swore it was his body,
you would say they were a paid actor.

Please stop whining about pictures or bodies. It's abundantly clear to
all of us that neither would convince you.

Why don't you just man up, say you don't like Obama no matter what he
does, right or wrong, and be done with it.

Aside from all that, murders are proved to the satisfaction of unanimous
juries all that time without a body. Long gone are the days of "no body, no
crime." Forensics and DNA matching are amazing things.

741. Re: Calling CQ dx on 15m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 18:34:42 UTC
From: hallicrafter@collins.net
Message-ID: <0ar5s6dpkah1l80mo7nst7sc7cssnguho1@4ax.com>
Source record: 228668

On Thu, 05 May 2011 08:17:09 -0700, dave <dave@dave.dave> wrote:

>21071 KHz psk31 34.4, -118.4

Hearing other digital mode around 1815 UTC. Olivia possibly?
Not the right frequency though.

Jim (MI)

742. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 18:34:53 UTC
From: Bob Casanova <nospam@buzz.off>
Message-ID: <dar5s6596mocaf9c78boih88tjn580ilv7@4ax.com>
Source record: 228669
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.true-crime

On Thu, 5 May 2011 07:05:51 -0700 (PDT), the following
appeared in sci.skeptic, posted by harry k
<turnkey4099@hotmail.com>:

>On May 5, 5:01ï¿½am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 2, 8:27ï¿½pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>> >http://www.mercurynews.com/breaking-news/ci_17976305?nclick_check=1
>>
>> > Regards,
>> > JS
>>
>> BWAHAHAHAAHAAAHAHAAHAHAHAAAHAHAHAAAAA!!! You really got your kook on
>> today, Johnny!!
>
>I wonder how they got that appeal up to the 9th circuit so fast.

The same way a turd is passed along quickly, and for much
the same reason.

> Of
>course they did pick the proper court for it, the 9th circuit is known
>for its outlandish rulings. If you can't win there, you are really
>lame.

Yep. Isn't the 9th (in)famous for having the most rulings
overturned by the Supremes of any circuit?
--

Bob C.

"Evidence confirming an observation is
evidence that the observation is wrong."
- McNameless

743. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 18:49:46 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24662-4DC2F14A-360@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228670

http://www.youswear.com/index.asp?word=bastard

Yep, that IS what SCARHEAD MOFO USURPER IS.
cuhulin

744. Re: Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 18:53:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC2F21F-1093@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228671

No more Corpus Delicti ~ Flattop - Old Dick Tracy comic books I used to read.I think it was Flattop who used to go around saying No more Corpus Delicti.
cuhulin

745. Re: get kelp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 18:55:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24671-4DC2F286-127@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228672

Kelpers Anonymous.
cuhulin

746. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 19:17:25 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ad61e556-3a7c-4e0f-947d-336a12a01e11@p18g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228673
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=frf9GS0g9YM&feature=related

747. Re: get kelp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 19:44:59 UTC
From: kb9rqz <mark@kb9rqz.com>
Message-ID: <68436e39-5544-4e72-9408-703e15de7a0a@p23g2000vbl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On May 5, 2:24 pm, kb9rqz <m...@kb9rqz.com> wrote:
> On May 2, 9:57 pm, kb9rqz <m...@kb9rqz.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On Apr 24, 3:19 pm, kb9rqz <m...@kb9rqz.com> wrote:
>
> > > On Apr 10, 6:05 pm, kb9rqz <m...@kb9rqz.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On Apr 9, 3:29 pm, "Roger Wiseman" <rwisema...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > "m II" <C...@in.the.hat> wrote in messagenews:4d9a883c$1@news.x-privat.org...
> > > > > > -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> > > > > > Hash: '- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -
```

748. Re: White House releases Obama's long form birth certificate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 19:50:59 UTC
From: "RM V2.0" <biteme@blah.blah>
Message-ID: <HcDwp.71262$A11.70402@en-nntp-05.dc1.easynews.com>
Source record: 228675
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.rec.guns,sci.skeptic

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:ipeqms$u2j$1@dont-email.me...
> On 4/29/2011 9:53 AM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
>
>> ...
>> The best presidents are former governors of large states. Same job,
>> just on a larger scale.
>>
>> Larry
>
> Actors are poor performers in the office, also.
>
> Take regan for example, grade B actor on stage (I'd give him a D+), poor
> job of acting the part of a California governor, failed as an actor of a
> president ... got the ball rolling on all the deregulation which has
> brought us to our knees, allowed illegal banking practices, and turned the
> bull lose of wall street.
>
> If we can undo what regan did, we may still have a fighting chance ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

You cant even spell his name but are a great authority as to his
qualifications.....

749. Re: QSLs received, May 2011

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 19:56:16 UTC
From: sctvguy1 <sctvguy1@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <AhDwp.85604$7k6.32891@en-nntp-16.dc1.easynews.com>
Source record: 228676

On Thu, 05 May 2011 11:29:50 -0700, bpnjensen wrote:

> AUSTRIA: ORF - Radio Osterreich International, Wien / Moosbrunn, 9820,
> f/d illegible signed confirmation letter w/pic “Garden of Mirabell
> Palace, Salzburg” by airmail in 12 days (via Slovenia) for report in
> English & recording by e-mail (Jensen-CA)
>
> This particular TX was during a time of technical difficulty, and all
> they did was play their interval signal over and over for an hour
> straight. Made ID easy anyway ;-)

Does Austria still broadcast in English?

750. Re: Are you sad your Uncle Osama Died?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 19:56:33 UTC
From: Salad <salad@oilandvinegar.com>
Message-ID: <y-Sdnc4TMbJvnV7QnZ2dnUVZ_rKdnZ2d@earthlink.com>
Source record: 228677
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.obama,or.politics,ca.politics,rec.radio.shortwave

chimpskinnedfactinator2000@facts.net wrote:

> On Thu, 05 May 2011 11:46:08 -0500, Salad <salad@oilandvinegar.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>chimpskinnedfactinator2000@facts.net wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Wed, 04 May 2011 23:53:18 -0700, Michael Bachmann
>>><Michael.bachmann1978@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Texas teacher on leave over bin Laden comment
>>>>
>>>>FRIENDSWOOD, Texas (AP) -- A Southeast Texas school has put a teacher
>>>>on leave pending an investigation into an allegation that he asked an
>>>>American-born Muslim student if she was grieving because her "uncle"
>>>>had died, referring to Osama bin Laden, a school district spokeswoman
>>>>said Wednesday.
>>>>
>>>>The teacher, whom officials of the Clear Creek school district did not
>>>>identify, is accused of offending the American-born female student
>>>>Monday during a ninth-grade algebra class at Clear Brook High School in
>>>>Friendswood, located 23 miles southeast of Houston.
>>>>
>>>>District spokeswoman Elaina Polsen told KTRK-TV of Houston the teacher
>>>>was placed on leave after another student reported the taunt to her
>>>>mother.
>>>>
>>>>"The student did the right thing and immediately notified an adult
>>>>regarding the teacher's comments. The principal at Clear Brook High
>>>>School notified the child's parents and has been in communication with
>>>>the family," Polsen said.
>>>>
>>>>Polsen said the comment doesn't reflect school or district staff
>>>>attitudes.
>>>>
>>>>Islamic Society of Greater Houston President Aziz Siddiqui told KTRK
>>>>that the group is satisfied with the district's response.
>>>>
>>>>"A lot of people are ignorant in the world, and so you just have to

>>>>ignore them," he said.
>>>
>>>HA HA AH HA . fuck the Muslim bitch
>>
>>Which part of Texas are you from? Was there a lot of sister fucking on
>>your ranch?
>
> no I would not fuck your sister .

OK. You fucked prairie dogs.

751. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 20:35:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC30A03-1004@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228678

Hecho en (enema) Mehico, Nissan NV200 Taxi of Tomorrow for New York City.Phasing their new rides in, starting in 2013.The High Mayor Bloopberg said people will fall in love with their new rides.(how does know?) They have a big window on top so they can see the Ice and Snow piled up on top of their new rides.
Let em have em, Let em have em.
Taxi of Tomorrow!
cuhulin

752. Re: White House releases Obama's long form birth certificate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 20:53:17 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <PfOdnXK_r4qik17QnZ2dnUVZ5tkAAAAA@giganews.com>
Source record: 228679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.rec.guns,sci.skeptic

```
On 5/5/2011 12:50 PM, RM V2.0 wrote:
> "John Smith"<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
> news:ipeqms$u2j$1@dont-email.me...
>> On 4/29/2011 9:53 AM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> The best presidents are former governors of large states. Same job,
>>> just on a larger scale.
>>>
>>> Larry
>>
>> Actors are poor performers in the office, also.
>>
>> Take regan for example, grade B actor on stage (I'd give him a D+), poor
>> job of acting the part of a California governor, failed as an actor of a
>> president ... got the ball rolling on all the deregulation which has
>> brought us to our knees, allowed illegal banking practices, and turned the
>> bull lose of wall street.
>>
>> If we can undo what regan did, we may still have a fighting chance ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> You cant even spell his name but are a great authority as to his
> qualifications.....

Smith couldn't find his own ass using both hands.
```

753. Re: ? So... You Look For Your Presidents Under Rocks !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 21:55:48 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <66b93174-468a-44d8-99fb-d3073f03752e@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 5, 5:32 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 4, 9:03 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > On May 3, 7:22 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 2, 12:25 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > > > The enormous errors in the forged document simply boggles ones' mind ...
>
> > > NAME ONE, Johnny KQQK.
>
> > > You don't even have a mind to boggle!
>
> > > <sips Victory Iced Tea>
>
> > ? So...
>
> So you can't NAME ONE THING either, eh, kooker? Hint: We knew you
> wouldn't!!
>
> <sips Victory Iced Tea>

? So... You Look For Your Presidents Under Rocks !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8b10d53ccd7cff4a

Praise Be The-Obama ! = Blessed Is The-Obama !

-the-cult-of-'the-obama'- -the-cult-of-'the-obama'-

We Are Truly So Blessed To Be Living . . .
In The Age of The-Obama - Omen ~ RHF
.
.
Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/0133de928cb9382d
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c241a6e05b6a30e4
.
.

754. Re: TMI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 22:02:56 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc31e45$0$12491$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228681

On 05/05/2011 09:52 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I aints takin NO kinds of drugs or medicines.I leave that for those
> people in Medicino,(Mendocino) California.
> Main reason I leak so much is I drink too much coffee.
> cuhulin
>

Caffeine is a drug.

755. Re: Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 22:04:33 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <702df554-a9b2-4be3-8918-76e295a0b941@j4g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 5, 5:36 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 4, 8:27 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 4, 5:18 am,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 3, 10:04 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On Tue, 03 May 2011 07:21:52 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > wrote:
>
> > > > >On 5/3/2011 7:19 AM, jf...@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > > > > >> ...
> > > > >> I am pretty sure that he missed the six month deadline, but I would
> > > > >> attribute it to domestic politcal opposition rather than to a lack of
> > > > >> will on his part. Similarly, the inability to remove the prisoners
> > > > >> from Guantanemo was not because he did not try, but because his
> > > > >> political gadflies deliberately put obstacles in his way without
> > > > >> providing any constructive alternatives. When he tries to keep his
> > > > >> promises, but cannot only because of political obstructionsism by the
> > > > >> Publicans, it is illogical to call those "lies".
>
> > > > >Unique!
>
> > > > >First time I heard that, so you are saying, "The devil stopped him from
> > > > >doing it!"
>
> > > > >That is certainly a new spin on things!
>
> > > > >ROLOL
>
> > > > >Regards,
> > > > >JS
>
> > > - - Particularlty when he sent in an ADDITIONAL

> > - - 135,000 troops during the surge.
>
> > - <snicker>
> > -
> > - Tell us when Obama did that, fuckwit.
> > -
> > - Hint: You're lying.
>
> > Larnrod,
>
> > From 2008 Election to Present as the US President
> > Obama DID IT ! -cause- Afghanistan is the 'Right' War
> > according to Candidate Obama.
>
> Hint: Geography lesson for Kooker RHF: Afghanistan is not Iraq. I know
> all those mooslim countries look alike to you nutbags, but they are
> different.
>
> BWAHAHAHHAHAHAAAA!!!!
>
> <sips Victory Iced Tea>

Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/0133de928cb9382d
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c241a6e05b6a30e4
.
"-cause- Afghanistan is the 'Right' War
according to Candidate Obama."

So Candidate Obama did not say that
"Afghanistan is the 'Right' War"

? How can 'you' {Larnrod} Deny Your God "The Obama" !
All The Members of 'The Cult of The-Obama' will now
Turn Their Backs On You -you-well-be-all-along...-
-but-wait- Come Into The Light of The-Obama
Embrace The 'Right' War & Embrace The-Obama
-'the-obama'-great-slayer-of-osama-bin-laden-

the blessing of the-obama be upon you
like a cluster bomb of love - amen ~ RHF
.
.
-remember- The Words of Saint Jesse-of-Jackson
Stay Out The Bushes ! ;;-}}
.
.
Call-to-Action : Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c23d4cef6137999b
.
.

Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
-why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
-on-the-original-micro-film-record-
-and-who-made-them...-who...-
Again : Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-
.
Leon Panetta -confirms- Prez Obama's Road To
Killing Osama bin-Laden -via- GitMo and
Water-Broading {Torture} Detainees
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6e47feba3e799ec5
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/fd82a971698e4b19
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4c095dc777eb6ae8
.
Deconstructing President Obama, Taking Another
'Look' At Obama's {Claimed} Social Security Number
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/5bf7ee83d1c25a38
? The Obama Phony 'Birther' Certificate
? The Obama Fake Social Security Number
? The Obama Doctored Selective Service Registration
??? All the Seal Obama Records ???
-so-many-questions- -so-many-questions-
.
.

756. Re: 9th Circuit hears arguments in Obama birth lawsuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 22:15:31 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d0daf318-ee08-4964-a3df-e112b699d02d@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228683
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.true-crime

On May 5, 7:38 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/5/2011 7:05 AM, harry k wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 5, 5:01 am, Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> On May 2, 8:27 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> >>>http://www.mercurynews.com/breaking-news/ci_17976305?nclick_check=1
>
> >>> Regards,
> >>> JS
>
> >> BWAHAHAHAAHAAAHAHAAHAHAHAAAHAHAHAAAAA!!! You really got your kook on
> >> today, Johnny!!
>
> > I wonder how they got that appeal up to the 9th circuit so fast. Of
> > course they did pick the proper court for it, the 9th circuit is known
> > for its outlandish rulings. If you can't win there, you are really
> > lame.
>
> > Harry K
>
> Actually, correct, the 9th is full of loonies, however they are radical
> democan worshipping loonies ... it will be a difficult swing ...
> probably have to take it to the supreme court.
>
> As usual, you disinformation fails ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

The US 9th Circuit Court of Appeals Judges All Wear
The Black-Robes of 'The Cult of The-Obama' -pbhn-
.
Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/0133de928cb9382d

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c241a6e05b6a30e4
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f65cf49e871e40d4
.
Call-to-Action : Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c23d4cef6137999b
.
.

757. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 22:24:05 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <aLadnf0e_doVvl7QnZ2dnUVZ5qOdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228684
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/5/2011 12:17 PM, joeturn wrote:
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=frf9GS0g9YM&feature=related

Bullshit

758. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 22:26:47 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <nu86s6t77mlh8rjonobdh8gpv4mbv42sa3@4ax.com>
Source record: 228685
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 5 May 2011 05:22:11 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... KQQK... delusions.

You believe Bush and Cheney were just too nice to
bring on a false-flag attack to launch war crimes,
don't you.

On Thu, 5 May 2011 05:22:27 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>On May 5, 1:30ï¿½am, Red Cloud <mmdir2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Unfortunately, ï¿½two innocent bystander were murdered by ï¿½US ï¿½Navy Seal
>> team.
>
>No they weren't.

You lie.

Nobody there had been found guilty of anything in any court.

Your ilk doesn't insist on real justice.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

See also:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

759. ...was... BHO#1 an INS Person of Interest . . . in 1961

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 22:32:58 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <78de4ce7-a384-47b6-a915-6282ce448cfb@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228686

On May 5, 10:56 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> illegitimate child, illegitimate BASTARD! by Pamela
Geller.http://www.worldnetdaily.comhttp://www.wnd.com
> cuhulin

An Illegitimate Child, an Illegitimate President
-by- Pamela Geller -source- World Net Daily
http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=294981
...was... BHO#1 an INS Person of Interest . . . in 1961
-more-questions-many-more-questions-lots-of-questions-
.
.

760. Re: ...was... BHO#1 an INS Person of Interest . . . in 1961

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 22:35:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24662-4DC32630-383@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228687

Jerusalem Imam:
http://www.standeyo.com

Hey, Cute Shoes!
cuhulin

761. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 22:53:48 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1b7dad13-3cb8-4271-bcbb-89bfcfda1ac3@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228688
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 5, 6:24 pm, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/5/2011 12:17 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=frf9GS0g9YM&feature=related
>
> Bullshit

Heres your decoder ring george!

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4w-A54h_GQ8&feature=player_embedded

762. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 23:01:17 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <89OdnZO15bWisV7QnZ2dnUVZ5tCdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228689
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/5/2011 3:53 PM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 5, 6:24 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/5/2011 12:17 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=frf9GS0g9YM&feature=related
>>
>> Bullshit
>
> Heres your

Bullshit. Your stupid little video is bullshit.

You believe and eat bullshit.

763. Radio Cinco de Mayo (pirate)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 23:30:05 UTC
From: hallicrafter@collins.net
Message-ID: <pec6s6dj9na7he0lulp90turv4k4fir2ga@4ax.com>
Source record: 228690

Radio Cinco de Mayo ID @ 2323UTC on 6925.05 USB followed by Spanish music. Continues @ 2226 UTC. Multiple ID's in Spanish at 2327 UTC.

Jim (MI)

764. Re: ...was... BHO#1 an INS Person of Interest . . . in 1961

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-05 23:57:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC33958-1174@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228691

To KNOW Or Not To KNOW
http://www.rense.com/general94/toknow.htm

What my Hero Dr.Ben Marble told Shotgun Cheney in Gulfport,Mississippi,
not once but TWICE.
cuhulin

765. Re: Are you sad your Uncle Osama Died?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 00:20:18 UTC
From: dos-i-meter <dos@no.ne>
Message-ID: <4dc33ec5@news.x-privat.org>
Source record: 228692
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.obama,or.politics,ca.politics,rec.radio.shortwave

chimpskinnedfactinator2000@facts.net wrote:
> On Thu, 05 May 2011 11:46:08 -0500, Salad<salad@oilandvinegar.com>
> wrote:
>
>> chimpskinnedfactinator2000@facts.net wrote:
>>
>>> On Wed, 04 May 2011 23:53:18 -0700, Michael Bachmann
>>> <Michael.bachmann1978@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> Texas teacher on leave over bin Laden comment
>>>>
>>>> FRIENDSWOOD, Texas (AP) -- A Southeast Texas school has put a teacher
>>>> on leave pending an investigation into an allegation that he asked an
>>>> American-born Muslim student if she was grieving because her "uncle"
>>>> had died, referring to Osama bin Laden, a school district spokeswoman
>>>> said Wednesday.
>>>>
>>>> The teacher, whom officials of the Clear Creek school district did not
>>>> identify, is accused of offending the American-born female student
>>>> Monday during a ninth-grade algebra class at Clear Brook High School in
>>>> Friendswood, located 23 miles southeast of Houston.
>>>>
>>>> District spokeswoman Elaina Polsen told KTRK-TV of Houston the teacher
>>>> was placed on leave after another student reported the taunt to her
>>>> mother.
>>>>
>>>> "The student did the right thing and immediately notified an adult
>>>> regarding the teacher's comments. The principal at Clear Brook High
>>>> School notified the child's parents and has been in communication with
>>>> the family," Polsen said.
>>>>
>>>> Polsen said the comment doesn't reflect school or district staff
>>>> attitudes.
>>>>
>>>> Islamic Society of Greater Houston President Aziz Siddiqui told KTRK
>>>> that the group is satisfied with the district's response.
>>>>
>>>> "A lot of people are ignorant in the world, and so you just have to
>>>> ignore them," he said.
>>>
>>> HA HA AH HA . fuck the Muslim bitch
>>

>> Which part of Texas are you from? Was there a lot of sister fucking on
>> your ranch?
> no I would not fuck your sister .

no doubt, that would bestiality...

766. Re: Are you sad your Uncle Osama Died?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 00:25:43 UTC
From: dos-i-meter <dos@no.ne>
Message-ID: <4dc34009$1@news.x-privat.org>
Source record: 228693
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.obama,or.politics,ca.politics,rec.radio.shortwave

Salad wrote:
> chimpskinnedfactinator2000@facts.net wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 05 May 2011 11:46:08 -0500, Salad <salad@oilandvinegar.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>> chimpskinnedfactinator2000@facts.net wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> On Wed, 04 May 2011 23:53:18 -0700, Michael Bachmann
>>>> <Michael.bachmann1978@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Texas teacher on leave over bin Laden comment
>>>>>
>>>>> FRIENDSWOOD, Texas (AP) -- A Southeast Texas school has put a teacher
>>>>> on leave pending an investigation into an allegation that he asked an
>>>>> American-born Muslim student if she was grieving because her "uncle"
>>>>> had died, referring to Osama bin Laden, a school district spokeswoman
>>>>> said Wednesday.
>>>>>
>>>>> The teacher, whom officials of the Clear Creek school district did not
>>>>> identify, is accused of offending the American-born female student
>>>>> Monday during a ninth-grade algebra class at Clear Brook High
>>>>> School in
>>>>> Friendswood, located 23 miles southeast of Houston.
>>>>>
>>>>> District spokeswoman Elaina Polsen told KTRK-TV of Houston the teacher
>>>>> was placed on leave after another student reported the taunt to her
>>>>> mother.
>>>>>
>>>>> "The student did the right thing and immediately notified an adult
>>>>> regarding the teacher's comments. The principal at Clear Brook High
>>>>> School notified the child's parents and has been in communication with
>>>>> the family," Polsen said.
>>>>>
>>>>> Polsen said the comment doesn't reflect school or district staff
>>>>> attitudes.
>>>>>
>>>>> Islamic Society of Greater Houston President Aziz Siddiqui told KTRK
>>>>> that the group is satisfied with the district's response.

>>>>>
>>>>> "A lot of people are ignorant in the world, and so you just have to
>>>>> ignore them," he said.
>>>>
>>>> HA HA AH HA . fuck the Muslim bitch
>>>
>>> Which part of Texas are you from? Was there a lot of sister fucking
>>> on your ranch?
>>
>> no I would not fuck your sister .
>
> OK. You fucked prairie dogs.

no, your cousins were the wrong species too...

767. Re: OT: Biggest Flood in History of Mississippi River

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 00:29:44 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC340F8-1194@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228694

California is the place to be!
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307274
cuhulin

768. Re: Are you sad your Uncle Osama Died?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 00:36:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC34278-1198@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228695

That's your Uncle.
cuhulin

769. It's Sad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 01:32:36 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@iwvisp.com>
Message-ID: <QdKdnajSEZrf0l7QnZ2dnUVZ_judnZ2d@iwvisp.com>
Source record: 228696

After all these years I have setup a block list.

--
Burr
From The Gardens
Roses & Orchids
Guiguinto, Bulacan. Philippines
http://www.guiguinto.gov.ph/
And Red Mountain, Ca. USA
I'm a member of The Tea Party, I VOTE

770. Re: Radio Cinco de Mayo (pirate)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 01:35:19 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@iwvisp.com>
Message-ID: <hPmdnY4fKsd50l7QnZ2dnUVZ_omdnZ2d@iwvisp.com>
Source record: 228697

Thanks Jim, I'll see if I can get anything over here!

--
Burr
From The Gardens
Roses & Orchids
Guiguinto, Bulacan. Philippines
http://www.guiguinto.gov.ph/
And Red Mountain, Ca. USA
I'm a member of The Tea Party, I VOTE
<hallicrafter@collins.net> wrote in message
news:pec6s6dj9na7he0lulp90turv4k4fir2ga@4ax.com...
>
> Radio Cinco de Mayo ID @ 2323UTC on 6925.05 USB followed by Spanish
> music. Continues @ 2226 UTC. Multiple ID's in Spanish at 2327 UTC.
>
> Jim (MI)

771. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 01:39:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20766-4DC35148-427@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228698

Some Osama Bin Laden articles at
http://www.stevequayle.com/index1.html
cuhulin

772. Re: It's Sad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 02:08:09 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <bZidnQ_PuYaRxV7QnZ2dnVY3goqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228699

"Burr" wrote in message news:QdKdnajSEZrf0l7QnZ2dnUVZ_judnZ2d@iwvisp.com...

After all these years I have setup a block list.

I'm afraid that there's very little here to read anymore other than a whole lot of really strange kOOkery, disinformation, childish name calling and arguments that even a five year old wouldn't get into...

It's one thing to disagree with someone's politics, it's quite another to vilify the person.

Aside from that, the truth is not the exclusive purvue of either the right or the left, but is, instead, to be found somewhere in the middle as a combination of the two extremes. One extreme cannot exist without the other.

773. Re: New Yorkers New Ride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 02:11:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC358BD-1209@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228701

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Russell+Knight+Mounted+In+Alaska+Mississippi

That Russell Knight guy, Mounted In Alaska on the History channel.He is
from Missy Sippy.
cuhulin

774. Re: It's Sad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 02:18:21 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@iwvisp.com>
Message-ID: <mYqdnXRVu-lmxF7QnZ2dnUVZ_h-dnZ2d@iwvisp.com>
Source record: 228700

"Brenda Ann" <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org> wrote in message
news:bZidnQ_PuYaRxV7QnZ2dnVY3goqdnZ2d@giganews.com...
>
>
> "Burr" wrote in message
> news:QdKdnajSEZrf0l7QnZ2dnUVZ_judnZ2d@iwvisp.com...
>
> After all these years I have setup a block list.
>
>
> ---
> ---
>
> I'm afraid that there's very little here to read anymore other than a
> whole lot of really strange kOOkery, disinformation, childish name calling
> and arguments that even a five year old wouldn't get into...
>
> It's one thing to disagree with someone's politics, it's quite another to
> vilify the person.
>
> Aside from that, the truth is not the exclusive purvue of either the right
> or the left, but is, instead, to be found somewhere in the middle as a
> combination of the two extremes. One extreme cannot exist without the
> other.

Brenda, what are you saying?

Lets support DXing post and DX poster and get on down the road!

775. Re: QSLs received, May 2011

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 02:34:15 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <de285360-5f68-46f2-931b-b7d8aff8e44c@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228702

On May 5, 12:56 pm, sctvguy1 <sctvg...@invalid.net> wrote:
> On Thu, 05 May 2011 11:29:50 -0700, bpnjensen wrote:
> > AUSTRIA: ORF - Radio Osterreich International, Wien / Moosbrunn, 9820,
> > f/d illegible signed confirmation letter w/pic “Garden of Mirabell
> > Palace, Salzburg” by airmail in 12 days (via Slovenia) for report in
> > English & recording by e-mail (Jensen-CA)
>
> > This particular TX was during a time of technical difficulty, and all
> > they did was play their interval signal over and over for an hour
> > straight. Made ID easy anyway ;-)
>
> Does Austria still broadcast in English?

Yepp - two minute's worth :-D...

6155 R.OESTERREICH INT. 06:10 06:12 .234567 English 300 ND Moosbrunn

The QSL was in English, and at that time, the sked said some English.
This has changed, apparently. Now, the only English (apart from that
2 minute bit) is in their IS.

776. Re: OT: God forbid the state favors the workers and not the fat cats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 02:34:57 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc35e4d$0$12513$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228703

On 5/5/2011 5:29 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> California is the place to be!
> http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307274
> cuhulin
>

777. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 02:46:04 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e27fce58-236a-477a-96b8-73048227f30b@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228704
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 5, 7:01 pm, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/5/2011 3:53 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > On May 5, 6:24 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> On 5/5/2011 12:17 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >>>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=frf9GS0g9YM&feature=related
>
> >> Bullshit
>
> > > Heres your
>
> Bullshit. Your stupid little video is bullshit.
>
> You believe and eat bullshit.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w53TMpk6QNc

778. Re: Are you sad your Uncle Osama Died?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 02:47:57 UTC
From: Salad <salad@oilandvinegar.com>
Message-ID: <--KdncrBXKfD_F7QnZ2dnUVZ_rOdnZ2d@earthlink.com>
Source record: 228705
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.obama,or.politics,ca.politics,rec.radio.shortwave

dos-i-meter wrote:

> chimpskinnedfactinator2000@facts.net wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 05 May 2011 11:46:08 -0500, Salad<salad@oilandvinegar.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> chimpskinnedfactinator2000@facts.net wrote:
>>>
>>>> On Wed, 04 May 2011 23:53:18 -0700, Michael Bachmann
>>>> <Michael.bachmann1978@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Texas teacher on leave over bin Laden comment
>>>>>
>>>>> FRIENDSWOOD, Texas (AP) -- A Southeast Texas school has put a teacher
>>>>> on leave pending an investigation into an allegation that he asked an
>>>>> American-born Muslim student if she was grieving because her "uncle"
>>>>> had died, referring to Osama bin Laden, a school district spokeswoman
>>>>> said Wednesday.
>>>>>
>>>>> The teacher, whom officials of the Clear Creek school district did not
>>>>> identify, is accused of offending the American-born female student
>>>>> Monday during a ninth-grade algebra class at Clear Brook High
>>>>> School in
>>>>> Friendswood, located 23 miles southeast of Houston.
>>>>>
>>>>> District spokeswoman Elaina Polsen told KTRK-TV of Houston the teacher
>>>>> was placed on leave after another student reported the taunt to her
>>>>> mother.
>>>>>
>>>>> "The student did the right thing and immediately notified an adult
>>>>> regarding the teacher's comments. The principal at Clear Brook High
>>>>> School notified the child's parents and has been in communication with
>>>>> the family," Polsen said.
>>>>>
>>>>> Polsen said the comment doesn't reflect school or district staff
>>>>> attitudes.
>>>>>
>>>>> Islamic Society of Greater Houston President Aziz Siddiqui told KTRK
>>>>> that the group is satisfied with the district's response.
>>>>>
>>>>> "A lot of people are ignorant in the world, and so you just have to

>>>>> ignore them," he said.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> HA HA AH HA . fuck the Muslim bitch
>>>
>>>
>>> Which part of Texas are you from? Was there a lot of sister fucking on
>>> your ranch?
>>
>> no I would not fuck your sister .
>
>
>
> no doubt, that would bestiality...

And fucking your sister would be necrophilia.

779. Re: OT: God forbid the state favors the workers and not the fat cats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 02:49:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC361C5-1223@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228706

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Glenn+and+Mitchell+Guist

I saw those two guys (they are brothers) on Swamp People on the History channel.They live in a Louisiana Swamp.They look like Bogeymen.They are funny.

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Mississippi+Swamp

Mississippi Swamp is the place to be!
cuhulin, the Swamp Man

780. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 02:53:58 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <po6dnSL6l-9U_17QnZ2dnUVZ5oCdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228707
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/5/2011 7:46 PM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 5, 7:01 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/5/2011 3:53 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>> On May 5, 6:24 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/5/2011 12:17 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=frf9GS0g9YM&feature=related
>>
>>>> Bullshit
>>
>>> Heres your
>>
>> Bullshit. Your stupid little video is bullshit.
>>
>> You believe and eat bullshit.
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w53TMpk6QNc

More bullshit - all you have.

781. Re: Are you sad your Uncle Osama Died?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 03:49:52 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20766-4DC36FE0-455@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228708

Bin Laden Cocktail.Requires two shots and a splash.
cuhulin

782. Re: Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 04:08:23 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5f87ec66-d8fa-4526-9329-4762f961d7e9@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 5, 4:04 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 5, 5:36 am,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 4, 8:27 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 4, 5:18 am,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 3, 10:04 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > On Tue, 03 May 2011 07:21:52 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > wrote:
>
> > > > > >On 5/3/2011 7:19 AM, jf...@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > > > > >> ...
> > > > > >> I am pretty sure that he missed the six month deadline, but I would
> > > > > >> attribute it to domestic politcal opposition rather than to a lack of
> > > > > >> will on his part. Similarly, the inability to remove the prisoners
> > > > > >> from Guantanemo was not because he did not try, but because his
> > > > > >> political gadflies deliberately put obstacles in his way without
> > > > > >> providing any constructive alternatives. When he tries to keep his
> > > > > >> promises, but cannot only because of political obstructionsism by the
> > > > > >> Publicans, it is illogical to call those "lies".
>
> > > > > >Unique!
>
> > > > > >First time I heard that, so you are saying, "The devil stopped him from
> > > > > >doing it!"
>
> > > > > >That is certainly a new spin on things!
>
> > > > > >ROLOL
>
> > > > > >Regards,
> > > > > >JS
>
> > > > - - Particularlty when he sent in an ADDITIONAL
> > > > - - 135,000 troops during the surge.
>
> > > <snicker>
> > > -

> > > - Tell us when Obama did that, fuckwit.
> > > -
> > > - Hint: You're lying.
>
> > > Larnrod,
>
> > > From 2008 Election to Present as the US President
> > > Obama DID IT ! -cause- Afghanistan is the 'Right' War
> > > according to Candidate Obama.
>
> > Hint: Geography lesson for Kooker RHF: Afghanistan is not Iraq. I know
> > all those mooslim countries look alike to you nutbags, but they are
> > different.
>
> > BWAHAHAHHAHAHAAAA!!!!
>
> > <sips Victory Iced Tea>
>
> Praises : Let Us All...

<<S-L-A-P-!>>

HEY KOOK, answer the effin' QUESTION. WHY do you evade the lie you
tried to get away with?

Afghanistan is NOT Iraq. You are stupid and a liar, which is to
say ... a Republican.

783. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 04:09:21 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f66a58f5-f563-428d-907c-71ef7fafdc1d@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228710
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

On May 3, 7:59 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
<snip kookery>

Why?

Because he was killed.

784. Re: ? So... You Look For Your Presidents Under Rocks !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 04:12:41 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5dc9aa4c-0cb0-4ac5-b759-9054f28b553d@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

```
On May 5, 3:55 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 5, 5:32 am,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 4, 9:03 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 3, 7:22 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 2, 12:25 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > The enormous errors in the forged document simply boggles ones' mind ...
>
> > > > NAME ONE, Johnny KQQK.
>
> > > > You don't even have a mind to boggle!
>
> > > > <sips Victory Iced Tea>
>
> > > ? So...
>
> > So you can't NAME ONE THING either, eh, kooker? Hint: We knew you
> > wouldn't!!
>
> > <sips Victory Iced Tea>
>
> ? So... You...

So you can't NAME ONE THING either, eh, kooker? Hint: We knew you
wouldn't!!

<sips Victory Iced Tea>
```

785. Re: NO BODY, NO PICTURES, NO PROOF, JUST BELIEVE ME! -- Signed Barry Soetoro AKA barack obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 04:15:49 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4e2912e9-711d-4dda-9b96-03489d06d5b0@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228712
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On May 5, 9:02 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:

> Even morons, at some time or another, realize they are standing too
> close to a fire ... it just takes some to smell burning hair first ...

You must be an uber-moron then, Johnny KQQK, since you don't seem to
be anywhere near that point yet.

786. Re: How to forensically examine the FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 04:27:38 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipvtbtukr1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228713
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/5/2011 9:55 AM, dmaster wrote:

> ...
> Dan (Woj...)

You poor, pitiful, dumb, asshole!

You scan and separate the text from the background image. You edit the text file. You paste the text in the text file back over the background image ...

Learn to use a search engine. Learn to educate yourself from the poor, slovenly, ignorant, demented, ignoramus of a moron you are ...

... plonk ...

Get help,
JS

787. Re: White House releases Obama's long form birth certificate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 04:32:07 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipvtk9uks1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228714
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.rec.guns,sci.skeptic

```
On 5/5/2011 12:50 PM, RM V2.0 wrote:
> "John Smith"<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
> news:ipeqms$u2j$1@dont-email.me...
>> On 4/29/2011 9:53 AM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> The best presidents are former governors of large states. Same job,
>>> just on a larger scale.
>>>
>>> Larry
>>
>> Actors are poor performers in the office, also.
>>
>> Take regan for example, grade B actor on stage (I'd give him a D+), poor
>> job of acting the part of a California governor, failed as an actor of a
>> president ... got the ball rolling on all the deregulation which has
>> brought us to our knees, allowed illegal banking practices, and turned the
>> bull lose of wall street.
>>
>> If we can undo what regan did, we may still have a fighting chance ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> You cant even spell his name but are a great authority as to his
> qualifications.....
>
>
regan reagan raygun ... who flat gives a shit, the moron deserves his
name spelled S-H-I-T-F-A-C-E
```

788. Re: It's Sad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 04:38:12 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipvtvluks2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228715

On 5/5/2011 6:32 PM, Burr wrote:
> After all these years I have setup a block list.
>
>
>

... PLONK ...

just in case you forgot me ...

789. Re: Morons praise possible criminals.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 04:50:47 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <ipvun8uks3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228716

On 5/5/2011 11:33 AM, Brenda Ann wrote:

> ...
> Aside from all that, murders are proved to the satisfaction of unanimous
> juries all that time without a body. Long gone are the days of "no body,
> no crime." Forensics and DNA matching are amazing things.
>
>

If I sat the jury, my first question would be, "What happened to the body?"

If the answer comes back, "I dumped it in the ocean." It would sound a lot like what I would expect a criminal to say.

Why some think a president is as trustworthy as "God" is a complete mystery to me; And, especially since so many have been caught lying. I have a sense that they are no different than trusting anyone else -- perhaps worse!

In our nation, under our Constitution all men are created equal and entitled to equal protection (and punishments!) under the law ...

I would view my neighbor in a highly suspicious manner if he told me, "The ocean ate the proof which could have come under the scrutiny of independent third parties. You will just have to trust me and my gang on this."

Regards,
JS

790. Re: How to forensically examine the FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 04:52:58 UTC
From: wy <wy_@myself.com>
Message-ID: <b16b2632-b2b7-4704-b7d6-a5db9289626d@j31g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228717
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 3, 1:29 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/3/2011 9:38 AM, dmaster wrote:
>
> > On Monday, May 2, 2011 7:53:46 PM UTC-5, John Smith wrote:
> > ...
> >> You are insane.
>
> >> The text can only exist as a separate layer in the fraudulent document
> >> if OCR was ran upon the document ...
> > ...
> >> JS
>
> > I continue be amazed how you make absolute statements on a topic you clearly know nothing about. OCR (Optical Character Recognition) is a tool (or process) whereby text is recovered from a bit mapped image. OCR doesn't do anything to the source image. It simply tries to identify all the text in the image. The intent is to automate text capture from an image file by avoiding the error prone procedure of having a human look read the image and type the text.
>
> > Since you demonstrate some knowledge of firearms, let me give you an analogy that might make sense to you. "The assault rifle could only be that inaccurate if someone had purposely changed the calibration of the rifle's speedometer." Do you get it? In the same way, the sentence you wrote is also complete nonsense.
>
> > Dan (Woj...)
>
> THIS SHOULD GET ANY NEWBIE STARTED IN CREATING THEIR OWN FRAUDULENT
> BIRTH CERTIFICATES! (Morons excluded ...)
>
> How to Edit Text After Scanning:
>
> http://www.wikihow.com/Edit-Text-After-Scanning
>
> http://www.ehow.com/how_4869201_scan-document-edit-text.html
>
> I am telling you, learning to use a search engine and doing some
> research before you go into an insane rage spouting imbecelic rants will
> go far into making you look less moronic!
>
> Here is a link to the bogus birth certificate, containing the layers and
> edited computer
text:http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/rss_viewer/birth-certif...
>

> Here is a trial version of Adobe Illustrator so you can examine the
fraud:http://www.adobe.com/downloads/
>
> Here is video on how to examine it:http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7s9StxsFllY
>
> If that tutorial doesn't work for you, check out the many available on
> youtube or the web.
>
> Finally, please stop looking like an incompetent moron and invoking
> disgust and pity ...

You win. You have all the means and evidence necessary to prove the
birth certificate to be fake. Take it to court right away, bring down
the President, you've got the power to do it, failing to do so is you
being irresponsible as a citizen of a democratic country and showing
utter disdain for the Constitution.

But you won't do it, will you? Why not? Because you don't even
believe in your own crap enough to do it, so you'll do it the idiot
coward's way instead: kick sand around on usenet. All sand does when
it's kicked around is end up back where you kicked it from.

791. Re: How to forensically examine the FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 05:00:48 UTC
From: george <gblack@hnpl.net>
Message-ID: <39690e45-4249-49f4-8cc4-10d0549ef2b3@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228718
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic

On May 6, 4:27 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/5/2011 9:55 AM, dmaster wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Dan (Woj...)
>
> You poor, pitiful, dumb, asshole!
>
> You scan and separate the text from the background image. You edit the
> text file. You paste the text in the text file back over the background
> image ...
>
> Learn to use a search engine. Learn to educate yourself from the poor,
> slovenly, ignorant, demented, ignoramus of a moron you are ...
>
> ... plonk ...
>
> Get help,
Why are you posting here and not in the group where you got a new one
ripped ?
How Illustrator works has been described to you, running away and
posting a 'rebuttal' elsewhere only makes you look like the dumbo you
are

792. Re: Are you sad your Uncle Osama Died?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 05:04:10 UTC
From: dos-i-meter <dos@no.ne>
Message-ID: <4dc3814d@news.x-privat.org>
Source record: 228719
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.obama,or.politics,ca.politics,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Salad wrote:
> dos-i-meter wrote:
>
>> chimpskinnedfactinator2000@facts.net wrote:
>>
>>> On Thu, 05 May 2011 11:46:08 -0500, Salad<salad@oilandvinegar.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> chimpskinnedfactinator2000@facts.net wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> On Wed, 04 May 2011 23:53:18 -0700, Michael Bachmann
>>>>> <Michael.bachmann1978@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Texas teacher on leave over bin Laden comment
>>>>>>
>>>>>> FRIENDSWOOD, Texas (AP) -- A Southeast Texas school has put a teacher
>>>>>> on leave pending an investigation into an allegation that he asked an
>>>>>> American-born Muslim student if she was grieving because her "uncle"
>>>>>> had died, referring to Osama bin Laden, a school district spokeswoman
>>>>>> said Wednesday.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The teacher, whom officials of the Clear Creek school district did
>>>>>> not
>>>>>> identify, is accused of offending the American-born female student
>>>>>> Monday during a ninth-grade algebra class at Clear Brook High
>>>>>> School in
>>>>>> Friendswood, located 23 miles southeast of Houston.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> District spokeswoman Elaina Polsen told KTRK-TV of Houston the
>>>>>> teacher
>>>>>> was placed on leave after another student reported the taunt to her
>>>>>> mother.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "The student did the right thing and immediately notified an adult
>>>>>> regarding the teacher's comments. The principal at Clear Brook High
>>>>>> School notified the child's parents and has been in communication
>>>>>> with
>>>>>> the family," Polsen said.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Polsen said the comment doesn't reflect school or district staff
>>>>>> attitudes.
>>>>>>
```

>>>>>> Islamic Society of Greater Houston President Aziz Siddiqui told KTRK
>>>>>> that the group is satisfied with the district's response.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "A lot of people are ignorant in the world, and so you just have to
>>>>>> ignore them," he said.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> HA HA AH HA . fuck the Muslim bitch
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Which part of Texas are you from? Was there a lot of sister fucking on
>>>> your ranch?
>>>
>>> no I would not fuck your sister .
>>
>>
>>
>> no doubt, that would bestiality...
>
> And fucking your sister would be necrophilia.

you really are a sick bastard.

793. Re: OT: God forbid the state favors the workers and not the fat cats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 05:13:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15404-4DC3836B-516@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228721

Two EF-5 Tornados hit Mississippi on the same day last week.
http://www.clarionledger.com

Nobody knows tornados like Mississippi knows!
cuhulin

794. Re: Top Government Insider: Bin Laden Died In 2001, 9/11 A False Flag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 05:16:16 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4a0a0910-985f-4a74-93c4-50f674e8f5a2@z37g2000vbl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228720

On May 5, 10:10 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Hide? Sheet, I am still here.
> New York City has done picked Nissan for their new sooper dooper Taxicab
> people haulers of Tomorrow.According to an article athttp://www.rense.com
> cuhulin, People Haulers R US

Well, don't know if ANY modern vehicle (other than the soon-to-be-history Crown Victoria) can last in this environment . With the price of ONE taxi medallions around $650K at the present time , they are being used around the clock . Literally . Since Chevrolet stopped making full-size cars, like Caprice , they tried using 15 other makes/models . The result : they don't hold up . Period . Rear wheel drive full size cars are simply more durable , to say the least . . .

795. TOP SEXY VIDEOS ALL TYPE OF HOT PHOTOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 05:20:22 UTC
From: HRJHRJB <odgfhfhdfv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7525dbb5-221c-4fec-9599-e0bfac6cc903@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228722

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

796. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 07:19:15 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <A9idnVIHOYVlPV7QnZ2dnUVZ5r-dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228723
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/5/2011 5:27 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 3, 11:51 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/3/2011 8:12 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>>> news:248f6358-1de4-4d98-848d-3c81e953579f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>> None the less if there is no marriage Certifricate still means he was
>>>> fatherless,
>>
>>> And that, dearie, is what would make him a US natural born citizen
>>> EVEN IF his mom had him in kenya, which it's been proven she did not.
>>> If she was no legally married, then the location DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>>
>> That's completely wrong. The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration
>> and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States
>> and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien,
>> and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth
>> of such person, was physically present in the United States or its
>> outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than
>> ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age
>> of fourteen years
>>
>> The marital status of the parents is irrelevant.
>
> That is incorrect.

No - it is correct.

> The above applied only to married parents.

That's false, of course, but moot: Stanley Ann Dunham was indubitably
married to Barack Hussein Obama, Sr. Once again, you lose and have shit
on your face.

Just for fun, and to rub your nose in the shit even more:

CHILDREN BORN OUT OF WEDLOCK:

Sec. 309. (a) The provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (7) of section 301 (a), and of paragraph (2) of section 308, of this title shall apply as of the date of birth to a child born out of wedlock on or after the effective date of this Act, if the paternity of such child is established while such child is under the age of twenty-one years by legitimation.

http://library.uwb.edu/guides/USimmigration/66%20stat%20163.pdf

So, of course, we see that the relevant law cited above, covering birth abroad to parents of whom only one is a U.S. citizen, would have applied to Hussein's birth had he been born abroad, whether or not his parents were married, and so he would not have been born a U.S. citizen had he been born abroad because his citizen-parent - his mother - did not meet the residency requirement. Your bullshit is refuted, and you have still more shit on your face. This happens frequently to snarky, absurdly arrogant young males like you.

797. Re: 9/11 TRUTHERS LOCKED UP FOR LIFE UNDER NEW US LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 07:32:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq086t$1mj$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228724
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/5/2011 1:48 PM, Rocky wrote:
> http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
>
> Holy Shit. I'll bet Osama was killed by Obama because he was a 9/11 Truther
> and that was the fastest way to silence him.
> ...

Interesting.

Amending the Constitution illegally though criminal application of
illegal laws ...

I am telling you, you just can't make this type of chit up!

Regards,
JS

798. Re: 9/11 TRUTHERS LOCKED UP FOR LIFE UNDER NEW US LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 07:38:08 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq08h0$3as$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228725
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/6/2011 12:32 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/5/2011 1:48 PM, Rocky wrote:
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
>>
>> Holy Shit. I'll bet Osama was killed by Obama because he was a 9/11
>> Truther
>> and that was the fastest way to silence him.
>> ...
>
> Interesting.
>
> Amending the Constitution illegally though criminal application of
> illegal laws ...
>
> I am telling you, you just can't make this type of chit up!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Here is one more you just can't make up:

http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk

There should not be an American who doesn't find this disgusting ...

Regards,
JS

799. Re: Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 07:51:28 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d577eb0e-9fdd-42fd-909f-a7087719590c@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 5, 9:08 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 5, 4:04 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 5, 5:36 am,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 4, 8:27 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 4, 5:18 am,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 3, 10:04 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On Tue, 03 May 2011 07:21:52 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > > wrote:
>
> > > > > > >On 5/3/2011 7:19 AM, jf...@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > > > > > >> ...
> > > > > > >> I am pretty sure that he missed the six month deadline, but I would
> > > > > > >> attribute it to domestic politcal opposition rather than to a lack of
> > > > > > >> will on his part. Similarly, the inability to remove the prisoners
> > > > > > >> from Guantanemo was not because he did not try, but because his
> > > > > > >> political gadflies deliberately put obstacles in his way without
> > > > > > >> providing any constructive alternatives. When he tries to keep his
> > > > > > >> promises, but cannot only because of political obstructionsism by the
> > > > > > >> Publicans, it is illogical to call those "lies".
>
> > > > > > >Unique!
>
> > > > > > >First time I heard that, so you are saying, "The devil stopped him from
> > > > > > >doing it!"
>
> > > > > > That is certainly a new spin on things!
>
> > > > > > ROLOL
>

> > > > > > >Regards,
> > > > > > >JS
>
> > > > - - Particularlty when he sent in an ADDITIONAL
> > > > - - 135,000 troops during the surge.
>
> > > > - <snicker>
> > > > -
> > > > - Tell us when Obama did that, fuckwit.
> > > > -
> > > > - Hint: You're lying.
>
> > > > Larnrod,

- - - - From 2008 Election to Present as the US President
- - - - Obama DID IT ! -cause- Afghanistan is the 'Right' War
- - - - according to Candidate Obama.

> > > Hint: Geography lesson for Kooker RHF: Afghanistan is not Iraq. I know
> > > all those mooslim countries look alike to you nutbags, but they are
> > > different.
>
> > > BWAHAHAHHAHAHAAAA!!!!
>
> > > <sips Victory Iced Tea>
>
> > Praises : Let Us All...
>
> <<S-L-A-P-!>>

- HEY KOOK, answer the effin' QUESTION.
- WHY do you evade the lie you tried to get away with?
-
- Afghanistan is NOT Iraq.
- You are stupid and a liar,
- which is to say ... a Republican.

First Fact Check : In the Three Posts Leading
Up To Your Claim "Afghanistan is NOT Iraq" :
I never ever mentioned 'Iraq'
-i-am-not-talking-about-iraq-or-timbuktu-

I Am Talking About Afghanistan
I Am Talking About Candidate Obama
I Am Talking About Obama Saying Afghanistan
Was The 'Right' War
I Am Talking About Prez Obama Escalating
the Afghanistan War :
More Killing of Muslims By Obama
More Killing of Innocent Women By Obama
More Killing of Innocent Children By Obama
-that's- Obama The Nobel Peace Prize Winner

-reality- Obama The War Monger
-obama...-blood-on-one-hand-oil-on-the-other-
.
...and... Now Obama The Peace-Bomber of Libya
yet another Obama War for Oil . . .
-obama...-blood-on-one-hand-oil-on-the-other-
.
Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/0133de928cb9382d
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c241a6e05b6a30e4
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f65cf49e871e40d4
.
Call-to-Action : Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c23d4cef6137999b
.
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
-why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
-on-the-original-micro-film-record-
-and-who-made-them...-who...-
Again : Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-
.
Leon Panetta -confirms- Prez Obama's Road To
Killing Osama bin-Laden -via- GitMo and
Water-Broading {Torture} Detainees
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6e47feba3e799ec5
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/fd82a971698e4b19
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4c095dc777eb6ae8
.
Deconstructing President Obama, Taking Another
'Look' At Obama's {Claimed} Social Security Number
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/5bf7ee83d1c25a38
? The Obama Phony 'Birther' Certificate
? The Obama Fake Social Security Number
? The Obama Doctored Selective Service Registration
??? All the Seal Obama Records ???
-so-many-questions- -so-many-questions-
.
.

800. Re: It's Sad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 07:59:36 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <9a21b4d8-20be-422f-bf89-87d5fb713970@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228727

On May 5, 7:08 pm, "Brenda Ann" <newsgro...@fullspectrumradio.org>
wrote:
> "Burr" wrote in messagenews:QdKdnajSEZrf0l7QnZ2dnUVZ_judnZ2d@iwvisp.com...
>
> After all these years I have setup a block list.
>
> ---
> ---
>
> I'm afraid that there's very little here to read anymore other than a whole
> lot of really strange kOOkery, disinformation, childish name calling and
> arguments that even a five year old wouldn't get into...
>
> It's one thing to disagree with someone's politics, it's quite another to
> vilify the person.
>
> Aside from that, the truth is not the exclusive purvue of either the right
> or the left, but is, instead, to be found somewhere in the middle as a
> combination of the two extremes.

- One extreme cannot exist without the other.

Let That Be Your Last Battlefield : Lokai -v- Bele
http://maxcdn.fooyoh.com/files/attach/images/591/528/247/004/bele_and_lokai_star_trek.jpg
.
.

801. Re: ? So... You Look For Your Presidents Under Rocks !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 08:07:46 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <2cb6ef26-b414-458b-82f3-cee18589b43a@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 5, 9:12 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 5, 3:55 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 5, 5:32 am,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 4, 9:03 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 3, 7:22 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 2, 12:25 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > The enormous errors in the forged document simply boggles ones' mind ...
>
> > > > > NAME ONE, Johnny KQQK.
>
> > > > > You don't even have a mind to boggle!
>
> > > > > <sips Victory Iced Tea>
>
> > > > ? So...
>
> > > So you can't NAME ONE THING either, eh, kooker? Hint: We knew you
> > > wouldn't!!
>
> > > <sips Victory Iced Tea>
>
> > ? So... You...
>
> So you can't NAME ONE THING either, eh, kooker? Hint: We knew you
> wouldn't!!

- <sips Victory Iced Tea>

Hey Larnrod your so-called 'Victory Iced Tea'
is really Anti-Freeze -oops-

? So... You Look For Your Presidents Under Rocks !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8b10d53ccd7cff4a
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/fdf5848e0be3d24c
-oops-larnrod-those-are-not-rocks-those-are-cow-pies-

Praise Be The-Obama ! = Blessed Is The-Obama !
-the-cult-of-'the-obama'- -the-cult-of-'the-obama'-
We Are Truly So Blessed To Be Living . . .
In The Age of The-Obama - Omen ~ RHF
.
Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/0133de928cb9382d
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c241a6e05b6a30e4
.
.

802. Re: It's Sad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 08:49:04 UTC
From: "Geoffrey S. Mendelson" <gsm@mendelson.com>
Message-ID: <slrnis7dbk.6kn.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
Source record: 228729

Burr wrote:
> After all these years I have setup a block list.

It's needed. About two years a go I switched from mutt (the son of ELM, a UNIX email program I've been using since 1991) to a gmail account and Apple's Mail.app for my mailing lists, etc.

Two lists I am on total more than 80% of the direct to trash rules with one of them needing more than half. However, I want to be on both lists and without them the would be unbearable.

As for USENET aka NetNews around 2002 I switched from tin to slrn because slrn has kill files. I just wish it had a way of spellchecking my postings before I make them.

Remember the days when if you had an email connection, you were expected to forward email for anyone who was not as well conneted as you, and if you had a news feed, pass it on?

Geoff.

--
Geoffrey S. Mendelson N3OWJ/4X1GM
Those who cannot remember the past are condemned to misquote it.

803. Re: White House releases Obama's long form birth certificate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 12:01:56 UTC
From: greylock <greylock123@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <svn7s6dfaavuuprehp2cq0ptvv2hjmu7le@4ax.com>
Source record: 228730
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.rec.guns,sci.skeptic

On Thu, 05 May 2011 21:32:07 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>On 5/5/2011 12:50 PM, RM V2.0 wrote:
>> "John Smith"<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>> news:ipeqms$u2j$1@dont-email.me...
>>> On 4/29/2011 9:53 AM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> The best presidents are former governors of large states. Same job,
>>>> just on a larger scale.
>>>>
>>>> Larry
>>>
>>> Actors are poor performers in the office, also.
>>>
>>> Take regan for example, grade B actor on stage (I'd give him a D+), poor
>>> job of acting the part of a California governor, failed as an actor of a
>>> president ... got the ball rolling on all the deregulation which has
>>> brought us to our knees, allowed illegal banking practices, and turned the
>>> bull lose of wall street.
>>>
>>> If we can undo what regan did, we may still have a fighting chance ...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> You cant even spell his name but are a great authority as to his
>> qualifications.....
>>
>>
> regan reagan raygun ... who flat gives a shit, the moron deserves his
>name spelled S-H-I-T-F-A-C-E

As is so often the case.........your postings reveal a lot more about
you than you seem to realize.

And they reveal a person who has so little going for them that they
take what pitiful scraps of satisfaction they can find in running down
people they know are vastly better people than they are. A person who
pretends to be adequate by trying to "knock down" the people they know
are what they can never be....successful....because they are
incorrigible losers destined to snatch failure from success at every
turn.

So have a worthless life jerk.....you know you will.

804. Re: 9/11 TRUTHERS LOCKED UP FOR LIFE UNDER NEW US LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 12:16:35 UTC
From: Mike <mwbryant@aol.com>
Message-ID: <7f1cd0b1-94f7-40de-90a4-68e81bc8761c@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228731

On May 6, 3:38 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:

> Here is one more you just can't make up:
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
>
> There should not be an American who doesn't find this disgusting ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

What I find disgusting is a cross-posting idiot that ignores the topic
of the newsgroup.

Shortwave, please.

805. Re: 9/11 TRUTHERS LOCKED UP FOR LIFE UNDER NEW US LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 12:23:31 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC3E842.44CF0B6E@milestones.com>
Source record: 228732

Mike wrote:

> On May 6, 3:38 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > Here is one more you just can't make up:
> >
> > http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
> >
> > There should not be an American who doesn't find this disgusting ...
> >
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> What I find disgusting is a cross-posting idiot that ignores the topic
> of the newsgroup.
>
> Shortwave, please.

Oh come on, give us some PhD lies...

806. Re: 9/11 TRUTHERS LOCKED UP FOR LIFE UNDER NEW US LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 14:10:04 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq0vfu$f86$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228733

On 5/6/2011 5:16 AM, Mike wrote:
> On May 6, 3:38 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>> Here is one more you just can't make up:
>>
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
>>
>> There should not be an American who doesn't find this disgusting ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> What I find disgusting is a cross-posting idiot that ignores the topic
> of the newsgroup.
>
> Shortwave, please.
>

Yes, with the tremendously interesting subjects being discussed on SW, the wide range of topics, and SW being so cutting edge, you just can't understand why NGs and the world at large isn't abuzz with discussions!

Shortwave

M-F 11:00am - 1:00pm on 12.160MHz
M-F 9:00pm - 12:00am on 4.840MHz
Tuesday-Saturday 12:00am - 3:00am on 3.215MHz
All central times

If you don't have personal Internet access or a shortwave radio, you can always catch the show by tuning into one of the AM/FM affiliate stations in your area.

The Alex Jones Show is a nationally syndicated news/talk show based out of Austin, TX. The show is syndicated by the Genesis Communication Network on over 60 AM and FM radio stations across the United States, as well as having a large internet based audience.

All Alex's pertinent interviews are posted in the audio section of his website, Prison Planet.com.

Regards,
JS

807. Re: White House releases Obama's long form birth certificate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 14:16:48 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq0vsi$f86$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228734
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.rec.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/6/2011 5:01 AM, greylock wrote:

>>> ...
>> regan reagan raygun ... who flat gives a shit, the moron deserves his
>> name spelled S-H-I-T-F-A-C-E
>
>
> As is so often the case.....your postings reveal a lot more about
> you than you seem to realize.
>
> And they reveal a person who has so little going for them that they
> take what pitiful scraps of satisfaction they can find in running down
> people they know are vastly better people than they are. A person who
> pretends to be adequate by trying to "knock down" the people they know
> are what they can never be....successful....because they are
> incorrigible losers destined to snatch failure from success at every
> turn.
>
> So have a worthless life jerk.....you know you will.

ROFLOL

I am certainly glad you got that off your chest. I guess I got a
through dressing down!

Principals and value before personalities ... remember that! Next time
you will have something to say about the message instead of a moronic
attack on the messenger ... <yawn>

Regards,
JS

808. Re: White House releases Obama's long form birth certificate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 14:21:03 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq104h$f86$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228735

```
On 5/6/2011 5:01 AM, greylock wrote:
> On Thu, 05 May 2011 21:32:07 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>> On 5/5/2011 12:50 PM, RM V2.0 wrote:
>>> "John Smith"<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>> news:ipeqms$u2j$1@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 4/29/2011 9:53 AM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> The best presidents are former governors of large states. Same job,
>>>>> just on a larger scale.
>>>>>
>>>>> Larry
>>>>
>>>> Actors are poor performers in the office, also.
>>>>
>>>> Take regan for example, grade B actor on stage (I'd give him a D+), poor
>>>> job of acting the part of a California governor, failed as an actor of a
>>>> president ... got the ball rolling on all the deregulation which has
>>>> brought us to our knees, allowed illegal banking practices, and turned the
>>>> bull lose of wall street.
>>>>
>>>> If we can undo what regan did, we may still have a fighting chance ...
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>>
>>> You cant even spell his name but are a great authority as to his
>>> qualifications.....
>>>
>>>
>> regan reagan raygun ... who flat gives a shit, the moron deserves his
>> name spelled S-H-I-T-F-A-C-E
>
>
> As is so often the case.....your postings reveal a lot more about
> you than you seem to realize.
>
> And they reveal a person who has so little going for them that they
> take what pitiful scraps of satisfaction they can find in running down
> people they know are vastly better people than they are. A person who
> pretends to be adequate by trying to "knock down" the people they know
> are what they can never be....successful....because they are
```

> incorrigible losers destined to snatch failure from success at every
> turn.
>
> So have a worthless life jerk.....you know you will.

ROFLOL

I am certainly glad you got that off your chest. I guess I got a
thorough dressing down!

Principals, context and values before personalities ... remember that!
Next time you will have something to say about the message instead of a
moronic attack on the messenger ... <yawn>

Regards,
JS

809. 5898 kHz "La Chica" Spanish Numbers Station [V02] @ 0834 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 14:46:26 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <75c8f06f-6cc3-49ed-ae09-ed191f63af4d@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228736

5898 kHz "La Chica" Spanish Numbers Station [V02] @ 0834 UTC
and Ending with 3 'Good-Night's ! @ 08:42 UTC on 6 Mayo 2011
-interesting- The Carrier was 'Off' in 30 Seconds; not the usual
5~10 minutes...
.
Yes "La Chica" is back again and saying those Marvelous
and Mysterious 5-Number Sets in Spanish :
Cero Un Dos Tres Cuatro Cinco Seis Siete Ocho Nueve
[0 - 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9] -but- No "10" 'Diez'
http://www.enchantedlearning.com/languages/spanish/Numbers.shtml
.
-and- Cause... It's "La Chica" closing as always with
Three (3) "Good-Nights" around XX40 UTC of the Hour.
.
-but- Again "La Chica"s 'englanish' made it sound
more like the Words 'Too-Nite' -don't-we-wish-;;-}}-
.
Also "LaChica" Spanish Numbers Station [VO2]
can be heard on 5883 kHz during 0700~0745 UTC
-la-chica- -la-chica- -la-chica- -la-chica- -la-chica-
.
A Shout-Out to La Chica's producers in Cuba about
Re-Branding Spanish Numbers Station "VO2" to "La Chica" ! :o)
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/7447e5559f19ffe6
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.
On Apr 19, 7:00 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> 5883 kHz "LaChica" Spanish Numbers Station @ 0715 UTC
> and Ending with 3 'Good-Night's ! @ 07:40 UTC
> on 19 April 2011
>
> Note : The Carrier is still present until ~ 0751 UTC
> and then the Transmitter changes Frequency to . . .
> .
> -followed-at-0800-utc-on-

> .
> 5898 kHz "LaChica" Spanish Numbers Station @ 0804 UTC
> and Ending with 3 'Good-Night's ! @ 08:40 UTC
> on 19 April 2011
> .
> Yes "LaChica" is back again and saying those Marvelous
> and Mysterious 5-Number Sets in Spanish :
> Cero Un Dos Tres Cuatro Cinco Seis Siete Ocho Nueve
> [0 - 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9]
> -but- NO 10 'Diez'http://www.enchantedlearning.com/languages/spanish/Numbers.shtml
> .
> -and- Cause... It's "LaChica" closing as always with
> Three (3) "Good-Nights" around XX40 UTC of the Hour.
> .
> -but- Again "LaChica"s 'englanish' made it sound
> more like the Words 'Too-Nite' -don't-we-wish-;;-}}-
> .
> About Product Branding : Why Simply Call "It" a
> Spanish Numbers Station -ro- VO2 :
> When You Can Call It "LaChica" !
:o)http://i2.squidoocdn.com/resize/squidoo_images/-1/draft_lens4930792mo...
> .
> HEY ! - Raul Castro as the new 1ST Secretary
> of the Communist Party of Cuba
:http://www.dailypress.com/news/national/sns-ap-cb-cuba-party-congress...
> Why Not 'Brand' the V02 Spanish Numbers Station
> "LaChica" with a Program Intro... "and Now Shortwave
> Radio Listeners Everywhere Here Is "LaChica" With
> Those Very Special 'Mysterious' Five Number Sets . .
."http://politicallyillustrated.com/index.php/page/world/2532/
> Hey Arnie Coro could do the Voice Over for the Program
> Intro... "This is Arnie Coro,,, and Now Shortwave
> Radio Listeners Everywhere Here Is "LaChica" With
> Those Very Special 'Mysterious' Five Number Sets . . ."
> .
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .
> On Feb 21, 8:19 am,RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
> > On Feb 19, 12:44 am,RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > > On Feb 18, 12:12 am,RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > On Feb 16, 2:46 am,RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > On Feb 16, 2:14 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:> MrRas wrote:
> > > > > > > Does anyone have an updated schedule for V02? I haven't been paying
> > > > > > > attention to it since about october? I'd like to hear it again.
>
> > > > > >http://www.spynumbers.com/
>
> > > > > > dxAce
> > > > > > Michigan
> > > > > > USA
>
> > > > > .
> > > > > .
> > > > > Usually I hear 'LaChica' Spanish Numbers Station
> > > > > around 5898 kHz between 0800~0900
>
> > > > > Plus 'LaChica' ends with 3 'Good-Nights' in English.
> > > > > .
> > > > >
-read-these-http://www.radiobanter.com/showthread.php?p=732978http://cobaltpet.bl......
> > > > > .
>
> > > > 'LaChica' Spanish Numbers Station being
> > > > heard right now on 5898 kHz 0005 UTC
> > > > {usually between 0800~0900}
>
> > > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~RHF
> > > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> > > > .
> > > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > > .
> > > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > > .
> > > > .
>
> > > 'LaChica' Spanish Numbers Station being
> > > heard right now on 5898 kHz 0043 UTC
> > > {usually between 0800~0900}
>
> > > with the 3-Good-Nights :o) ~RHF

> > > .
>
> > 'LaChica' Spanish Numbers Station was heard
> > here on 5898 kHz from 0807 to 0842 UTC
> > {usually between 0800 and out to 0842~43}
>
> > end with a pause and the 3-Good-Nights :o) ~RHF
> > {? To-Nites ?}
>
> > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .

810. You Sent The RRS 'Tone' -versus- Listening To The RRS Noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 14:50:16 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <71e60382-22e3-4a1e-bdfb-5ec14507f390@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228737

On May 6, 5:16 am, Mike <mwbry...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 6, 3:38 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > Here is one more you just can't make up:
>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
>
> > There should not be an American who doesn't find this disgusting ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> What I find disgusting is a cross-posting idiot that ignores the topic
> of the newsgroup.

- Shortwave, please.

MWB : Then Do This Once-A-Month,,,
Once-A-Week... a/o Once-A-Day ! ! !
.
Louisville Logs -by- MWB
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e0519ee8539d47fa
.
MWB - Make Signal :o) . . . Not Noise !
yes it is that easy ~ RHF
.
MWB,
IF : You "Claim" This As 'Your' Shortwave Radio Newsgroup
Then You Have An Obligation* To Post Something On-Topic
a/o Give an At-a-Boy Reply to some other On-Topic Reply.
* Create a Positive Signal -not- Negative 'Off-Topic' Noise
-do-not- Let "JS" or me [RHF] define why you are here
a/o why you are not here {Surrender RRS To Us}
.
FOR-ALL : The Solution for Those That Do Not Like
The Off-Topic Posts =IS= To Post An On-Topic Post
.
FOR-ALL : Sent The Tone -versus- Listening To The Noise
A Once a Day Shortwave Post is a 'Note'
Several Daily Shortwave Posts are a 'Chord'
Many Daily Shortwave Posts are a 'Melody'
A Full Weeks Worth of Shortwave Posts is a 'Song'
-make-beautiful-music-post-'on-topic'-sing-it-loud-
=Yes=It=Starts=With=You=:=Sing=Your=Song=!=
.

.
5898 kHz "La Chica" Spanish Numbers Station [V02] @ 0834 UTC
and Ending with 3 'Good-Night's ! @ 08:42 UTC on 6 Mayo 2011
-interesting- The Carrier was 'Off' in 30 Seconds; not the usual
5~10 minutes...
.
Yes "La Chica" is back again and saying those Marvelous
and Mysterious 5-Number Sets in Spanish :
Cero Un Dos Tres Cuatro Cinco Seis Siete Ocho Nueve
[0 - 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9] -but- No "10" 'Diez'
http://www.enchantedlearning.com/languages/spanish/Numbers.shtml
.
-and- Cause... It's "La Chica" closing as always with
Three (3) "Good-Nights" around XX40 UTC of the Hour.
.
-but- Again "La Chica"s 'englanish' made it sound
more like the Words 'Too-Nite' -don't-we-wish-;;-}}-
.
Also "La Chica" Spanish Numbers Station [VO2]
can be heard on 5883 kHz during 0700~0745 UTC
-la-chica- -la-chica- -la-chica- -la-chica- -la-chica-
.
A Shout-Out to La Chica's producers in Cuba about
Re-Branding Spanish Numbers Station "VO2" to "La Chica" ! :o)
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/7447e5559f19ffe6
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

811. Re: 9/11 TRUTHERS LOCKED UP FOR LIFE UNDER NEW US LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 14:59:04 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <90ac6899-7b7e-439b-8ef9-02f4d023398c@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228738
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 6, 12:32 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/5/2011 1:48 PM, Rocky wrote:
>
> > http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
>
> > Holy Shit. I'll bet Osama was killed by Obama because he was a 9/11 Truther
> > and that was the fastest way to silence him.
> > ...
>
> Interesting.
>
> Amending the Constitution illegally though criminal application of
> illegal laws ...
>
> I am telling you, you just can't make this type of chit up!
>
> Regards,
> JS

TV writers make up this kind of "chit" all the time.

812. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 15:06:26 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <23cabd07-fbc8-420b-a72f-d750846e50e9@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228739
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 5, 11:56 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > >> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
> > >> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> > >>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > >>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> > >>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> > >>>>> If he had a legal birth certificate he would not have to use bogus
> > >>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>
> > >>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> > >>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> > >>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>
> > >>>>>http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>
> > >>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> > >>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>
> > >>>> --
> > >>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>
> > >>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
> > >>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>
> > >> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>
> > >> This should be a good one..
>
> > >> --
> > >> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income

> > > tax this is British tarriffs
>
> > You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British
> dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!

OK.

http://www.ushistory.org/DECLARATION/document/images/declarationscanbig.jpg

813. OT: Real 9-11 mysteries weirder than Alex Jones BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 15:26:25 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc412d6$0$12453$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228740

Late August 2001: Mystery Arab Rap Group Records CD about Terrorism
Edit event

A mysterious Arab rap band records a CD, which includes songs apparently about upcoming terrorist attacks. The group, called the Arab Assassins, records its songs at the GHC Recording Studio in Sarasota, Florida. According to the Sarasota Herald-Tribune, their lyrics ï¿½range from generic references to Palestinian beliefs to verses that could be considered by some as a warning of what unfolded in New York City and Washington, DCï¿½ on 9/11. These refer to subjects such as bombings, New York, and fundamentalist Islamic martyrs. Lyrics include, ï¿½I know Iï¿½m going to die,ï¿½ ï¿½Iï¿½m next on Godï¿½s list,ï¿½ ï¿½Partners torn, transformed to martyrs,ï¿½ ï¿½to turn wives into widows,ï¿½ and ï¿½the US will remember this.ï¿½ After 9/11, the recording studioï¿½s owner Chris Musgrave will comment, ï¿½There are some weird coincidences here.ï¿½ The rap group is made up of three brothers who claim to be from Brooklyn. Yet officials with the Recording Industry Association of America later say they have never heard of the Arab Assassins, and none of the large Internet music sites offer any recordings by them. After recording several songs at the studio, the Arab Assassins take six copies of their CD, and then leave a telephone number that is listed as disconnected. The day after 9/11, the local FBI will seize a copy of the CD from the studio, but offer no comments to the press on the band. [Associated Press, 9/13/2001; Sarasota Herald-Tribune, 9/13/2001]

http://www.historycommons.org/timeline.jsp?timeline=complete_911_timeline&before_9/11=complete_911_timeline_other_pre_9_11_events

814. You Sent The RRS 'Tone' -versus- Listening To The RRS Noise -was- It's Sad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 15:26:27 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <34a90a65-611d-4aec-8401-1edeebf4f61f@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228741

On May 5, 6:32 pm, "Burr" <pitz...@iwvisp.com> wrote:
> After all these years I have setup a block list.
>
> --
> Burr
> From The Gardens
> Roses & Orchids
> Guiguinto, Bulacan. Philippines
> http://www.guiguinto.gov.ph/
> And Red Mountain, Ca. USA
> I'm a member of The Tea Party, I VOTE

BURR --- So Post A Shortwave Report :
Yes It Is That Easy ~ RHF

.
BURR : Tell Us What Your Are Hearing 'Out-There'
in Guiguinto, Bulacan the Philippines
-it-would-be-interesting-to-read-and-hear-about-
.
I can not hear what MWB hears in TN from Europe
-his-posts-interest-me-
I can not hear what DX Ace hears in MI from the World
-his-posts-interest-me-
I can not hear what BpnJ hears in the SFBA from the World
-his-posts-interest-me-
BURR : Tell Us What Your Are Hearing Out-There in the PI :o)
-burr-if-you-post-it-'i'-{and-many-others}-will-read-it-:o)-
.
BURR,
Then Do Something Like This Once-A-Month,,,
Once-A-Week... a/o Once-A-Day ! ! !
.
Like MWB does : "Louisville Logs"
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e0519ee8539d47fa
-or-
BpnJ Does : "QSLs received, May 2011"
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9ed98494874af29d
-or-
Hallicrafter does : "Radio Cinco de Mayo (pirate)"
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/fac243099ea3a17c
-or-
Dave does : "Calling CQ dx on 15m"
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8201ad0eec0b8a3c
-or-

DX Ace does : "Top News"
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/467fdf0331b4b40d
-and- "Latin American SW Logs Page Updated"
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/1a65e7fb43850a35
-or-
KAS does : "Latest Liberty Net via WB4AIO"
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c1949f0233203e63
.
BURR - Make Signal :o) . . . Not Noise !
yes it is that easy ~ RHF
.
BURR,
IF : You "Claim" This As 'Your' Shortwave Radio Newsgroup
Then You Have An Obligation* To Post Something On-Topic
a/o Give an At-a-Boy Reply to some other On-Topic Reply.
* Create a Positive Signal -not- Negative 'Off-Topic' Noise
-do-not- Let "JS" or me [RHF] define why you are here
a/o why you are not here {Surrender RRS To Us}
.
FOR-ALL : The Solution for Those That Do Not Like
The Off-Topic Posts =IS= To Post An On-Topic Post
.
FOR-ALL : Sent The Tone -versus- Listening To The Noise
A Once a Day Shortwave Post is a 'Note'
Several Daily Shortwave Posts are a 'Chord'
Many Daily Shortwave Posts are a 'Melody'
A Full Weeks Worth of Shortwave Posts is a 'Song'
-make-beautiful-music-post-'on-topic'-sing-it-loud-
=Yes=It=Starts=With=You=:=Sing=Your=Song=!=
.
.
BURR : Last Night "I" Heard up-here in Twain Harte, CA -USA-
.
5898 kHz "La Chica" Spanish Numbers Station [V02] @ 0834 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/d93c9d8eed34dea2
.
.

815. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 15:32:34 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <d9GdncnN6-UPiVnQnZ2dnUVZ5tqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228742
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/6/2011 8:06 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 5, 11:56 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>>> On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
>>>>> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
>>>>>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>>
>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
>>>>>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
>>>>>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>>
>>>>>>>> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>>
>>>>>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
>>>>>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>>
>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>>
>>>>>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
>>>>>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>>
>>>>> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>>
>>>>> This should be a good one..
>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>

>>>> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
>>>> tax this is British tarriffs
>>
>>> You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.
>>
>> I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British
>> dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!
>
> OK.
>
> http://www.ushistory.org/DECLARATION/document/images/declarationscanbig.jpg

That doesn't "prove" anything, boy. The correct response is to point
out that it is rhetorically invalid and impermissible to demand that
someone (you) prove that someone else's (his) assertion isn't true. He
must prove that his assertion is true.

816. Re: Top Government Insider: Bin Laden Died In 2001, 9/11 A False Flag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 15:55:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20766-4DC419DC-595@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228744

Yep, it is hard to beat the full size Ford cars for Taxis, they are
Great looking cars too.
About twenty something years ago I went to a Durham auction on I-55
South Frontage Road.A guy who was there and his wife (or girlfriend,
whatever) bought an old Checker car.That car wasen't painted like a
Checker Taxi would be painted, it was a solid black color Checker car,
but it might have been a Taxi at some point in time.They paid $700.00
for that old Checker car.When the auction was over, I saw them zooming
North in that old Checker car on I-55.I wouldn't doubt that old Checker
car is still running just fine right now.Those Checker cars were built
like a Tank.
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Checker+Cars

Checker built at least one Jeep vehicle for the U.S.Military to evaluate
for World War Two.
cuhulin

817. Re: White House releases Obama's long form birth certificate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 15:58:13 UTC
From: Bob S <kerrykrisMYHAT@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iq15ql$24t$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228743

```
On 5/6/2011 10:21 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/6/2011 5:01 AM, greylock wrote:
>> On Thu, 05 May 2011 21:32:07 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/5/2011 12:50 PM, RM V2.0 wrote:
>>>> "John Smith"<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:ipeqms$u2j$1@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 4/29/2011 9:53 AM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> The best presidents are former governors of large states. Same job,
>>>>>> just on a larger scale.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Larry
>>>>>
>>>>> Actors are poor performers in the office, also.
>>>>>
>>>>> Take regan for example, grade B actor on stage (I'd give him a D+),
>>>>> poor
>>>>> job of acting the part of a California governor, failed as an actor
>>>>> of a
>>>>> president ... got the ball rolling on all the deregulation which has
>>>>> brought us to our knees, allowed illegal banking practices, and
>>>>> turned the
>>>>> bull lose of wall street.
>>>>>
>>>>> If we can undo what regan did, we may still have a fighting chance ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>>>
>>>> You cant even spell his name but are a great authority as to his
>>>> qualifications.....
>>>>
>>>>
>>> regan reagan raygun ... who flat gives a shit, the moron deserves his
>>> name spelled S-H-I-T-F-A-C-E
>>
>>
>> As is so often the case.....your postings reveal a lot more about
>> you than you seem to realize.
>>
>> And they reveal a person who has so little going for them that they
```

>> take what pitiful scraps of satisfaction they can find in running down
>> people they know are vastly better people than they are. A person who
>> pretends to be adequate by trying to "knock down" the people they know
>> are what they can never be....successful....because they are
>> incorrigible losers destined to snatch failure from success at every
>> turn.
>>
>> So have a worthless life jerk.....you know you will.
>
> ROFLOL
>
> I am certainly glad you got that off your chest. I guess I got a
> thorough dressing down!
>
> Principals, context and values before personalities ... remember that!
> Next time you will have something to say about the message instead of a
> moronic attack on the messenger ... <yawn>
>
> Regards,
> JS

You forgot the "Plonk".

--
For email reply remove MYHAT

818. It's Time For... "Info Wars" with Alex Jones via WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 16:24:02 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <c1e30fb6-3463-4c3a-a077-135fbe75d477@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228745

On May 6, 8:26 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> Late August 2001: Mystery Arab Rap Group Records CD about Terrorism
> Edit event
>
> A mysterious Arab rap band records a CD, which includes songs apparently
> about upcoming terrorist attacks. The group, called the Arab Assassins,
> records its songs at the GHC Recording Studio in Sarasota, Florida.
> According to the Sarasota Herald-Tribune, their lyrics range from
> generic references to Palestinian beliefs to verses that could be
> considered by some as a warning of what unfolded in New York City and
> Washington, DC on 9/11. These refer to subjects such as bombings, New
> York, and fundamentalist Islamic martyrs. Lyrics include, I know I m
> going to die, I m next on God s list, Partners torn, transformed to
> martyrs, to turn wives into widows, and the US will remember this.
> After 9/11, the recording studio s owner Chris Musgrave will comment,
> There are some weird coincidences here. The rap group is made up of
> three brothers who claim to be from Brooklyn. Yet officials with the
> Recording Industry Association of America later say they have never
> heard of the Arab Assassins, and none of the large Internet music sites
> offer any recordings by them. After recording several songs at the
> studio, the Arab Assassins take six copies of their CD, and then leave a
> telephone number that is listed as disconnected. The day after 9/11, the
> local FBI will seize a copy of the CD from the studio, but offer no
> comments to the press on the band. [Associated Press, 9/13/2001;
> Sarasota Herald-Tribune, 9/13/2001]
>
> http://www.historycommons.org/timeline.jsp?timeline=complete_911_time...

Dave : ? "Arab Assassins" ? =RACIST=
Not All Arabs Are Assassins & Not All Assassins Are Arabs
-but-'some'-are-assassins-and-kill-in-the-name-of-allah-
.
* ! ? What About The Real Assassins of Arabs ? ! *
Obama bi-Den© Eliminate/Eradicate Osama bin-Laden
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/610ed178230eb7af
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/c23d4cef6137999b
-'the-obama'-great-slayer-of-bad-nasty-evil-arab-guys-
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.republicans/msg/c241a6e05b6a30e4
-obama-the-great-slayer-of-islam-o-fascists-
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.republicans/msg/30937d4b431a00c8
-obama-the-great-slayer-of-muslim-dictators-
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.republicans/msg/8e6d41465ff6595c
.

---News Flash--- Osama bin-Laden Death Confirmed
by Al-Qaida {Press Release} -source- Guardian.Co.UK
http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-al-qaida
Obama bi-Den© Wins the Colt "Peacemaker" Prize
Award -circa- M1873
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Colt_Single_Action_Army
-kill-bin-laden- -kill-bin-laden- -kill-bin-laden- -kill-bin-laden-
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Yxiv3CBMS4M
=Obama=bi=Den©=Death=On=Islam=O=Terrorists=
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AyxO8Q8la8g
.
Holy Day-Old {Cinco-de-Mayo} Gua-Ca-Mole Dave !!!
? Did Someone "Invoke" The Name of Alex Jones !
-alex-the-weird- -alex-the-mysterious- -alex-the-absurd-
=Off=The=Wall=Alex= =Jones'ing=For=Alex=
.
Dave - ? What Can Be Be More Weirder* Than Alex Jones !
* Some Would Say : More Truthful Then Weird
-while-'i'-say-just-listen-&-decide-for-yourself-

? What Can Be Be More Mysterious* Than Alex Jones !
* Some Would Say : More Factual Then Mysterious
-while-'i'-say-just-listen-&-decide-for-yourself-
.
Info Wars on WWCR Times and Frequencies
http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
.
Listen to Alex Jones "Info Wars" on Shortwave Radio
http://www.infowars.com/listen.html
-via- Shortwave Radio Station WWCR
M-F 11 AM - 2 PM on 12,160 MHz : 16~19 UTC
M-F 9 PM - 12 AM on 4,840 MHz : 2~5 UTC
Tue-Sat 12 AM - 3 AM on 3,215 MHz : 2~8 UTC
All Times "Central" {CST/CDT - USA}
"Info Wars" -host- Alex Jones
http://www.wwcr.com/pgm-links.html
-wrt- http://www.infowars.com/
.
XM Radio has Alex Jones "Info Wars"
XM RADIO XM Channel # 158
(Channel 158 Airs 8-9 PM Weekdays Central Time)
.
On-Line via Genesis Communications Network [GCN]
http://www.gcnlive.com/schedule.php
* Alex Jones On-Demand GCN-Line
http://www.gcnlive.com/mediaPlayers/odPlayer.php?program=alexJones
.
"Info-Wars" with Alex Jones... Coming To a 'Local'
{Affiliate} AM/FM Radio Station Near You :o)
http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/alexJones/affiliateList.pdf

.
yes - i heard alex jones 'info-war' on-the-radio ~ RHF
-and-you-can-too--by--just-listening-:o)-
.
.

819. Re: White House releases Obama's long form birth certificate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 16:33:43 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC422E7-1624@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228746

WHITE HOUSE COUP! U.S.Military Standing Against Born in Kenya! MOFO POS SCARHEAD!
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307307

Lawmannn,,,,, Lawman came with a Gunnn,,,, there was a Job to be donnne,,,,, LAWMANNNNN,,,,,,
http://www.peterbrown.tv/lawmanhome.html
cuhulin

820. Re: 9/11 TRUTHERS LOCKED UP FOR LIFE UNDER NEW US LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 16:57:05 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.282df26cf2e9ce198a194@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228747
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <iq08h0$3as$1@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 5/6/2011 12:32 AM, John Smith wrote:
> > On 5/5/2011 1:48 PM, Rocky wrote:
> >> http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
> >>
> >> Holy Shit. I'll bet Osama was killed by Obama because he was a 9/11
> >> Truther
> >> and that was the fastest way to silence him.
> >> ...
> >
> > Interesting.
> >
> > Amending the Constitution illegally though criminal application of
> > illegal laws ...
> >
> > I am telling you, you just can't make this type of chit up!
> >
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Here is one more you just can't make up:
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
>
> There should not be an American who doesn't find this disgusting ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

That you kooks will be forced to get treatment?

Well, I wouldn't call it disgusting, I would call it a tragedy, as it
would deprive us sane folks from reading all your crazy bullshit.

You family would be thrilled though.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

821. American Bantam the Original Jeep {Prototype}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 17:32:37 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <a95e2b4a-23bb-4199-a235-f4b895d2b972@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228748

On May 6, 8:55 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Yep, it is hard to beat the full size Ford cars for Taxis, they are
> Great looking cars too.
> About twenty something years ago I went to a Durham auction on I-55
> South Frontage Road.A guy who was there and his wife (or girlfriend,
> whatever) bought an old Checker car.That car wasen't painted like a
> Checker Taxi would be painted, it was a solid black color Checker car,
> but it might have been a Taxi at some point in time.They paid $700.00
> for that old Checker car.When the auction was over, I saw them zooming
> North in that old Checker car on I-55.I wouldn't doubt that old Checker
> car is still running just fine right now.Those Checker cars were built
> like a Tank.http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Checker+Cars
>
> Checker built at least one Jeep vehicle for the U.S.Military to evaluate
> for World War Two.
> cuhulin

OMG ! - - Checker Motors Corporation in Holland Michigan !
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Checker_Motors_Corporation
.
American Bantam the Original Jeep {Prototype}
http://www.m201.com/bantam.htm
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/American_Austin_Car_Company#American_Bantam
.
The Mystery of the Ex-Checker 'Jeep' :
The Phantom Bantam ! from Kalamazoo, MI
http://wmspear.com/Bantam/BRC101.html
.
.

822. The 'Real' Story Behind The Story of The 'Made-Up' Story of the Death/Killing of Osama bin-Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 17:42:16 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3a4fa00a-cb7a-4de5-8c81-40cf179b9560@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 4, 10:05 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> http://www.infowars.com/top-us-government-insider-bin-laden-died-in-2...
>
> On Alex Jones live web cast now:
>
> http://www.infowars.com/stream.pls
>
> Regards,
> JS

"JS"
.
The 'Real' Story Behind The Story of The 'Made-Up'
Story of the Death/Killing of Osama bin-Laden
-made-for-tv- -made-in-hollywood- -made-for-tv-
.
1 - Now being reported {rumored} that Osama bin-Laden
was being 'held-in-protective-custody' by Pakistan with
the US Government's knowledge.
-osama-bin-laden-the-useful-media-:-'blame'-obl-idiot-
.
2 - Osama bin-Laden recently died in the last week from
Natural Causes from Long Term Medical Problems.
-osama-bin-laden-the-great-terrorist-enemy-boogeyman-
.
3 - The Obama bi-Den© Regime decided with Pakistan
to get 'creative' and orchestrate the Great Media 'Story'
of the Killing of Osama bin-Laden by the US Navy Seals.
-making-use-of-the-dead-useful-idiot-osama-bin-laden-
.
4 - This Obama bi-Den© Media Moment Has Been
Brought To You By Al-Qaida a Global Terrorist Co-Op
-osama-bin-laden-former-cob-/-spokes-person-
.
? Or May Be This Is The Latest Piece of Obama bi-Den©
Dis-Information : To Confuse The Minds of The Confuse !
-it's-all-very-confusing-yeah-really-confusing-media-fog-
.
and now you know... the rest of the story ;;-}} ~ RHF
-?-or-not-?-:-obama-bi-den©-can-you-trust-him-?-
.
.

823. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 17:51:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq1cfi$ss5$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228750
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/6/2011 10:17 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
> * US * wrote:
>
>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 07:50:00 -0700 (PDT), harry k
>> <turnkey4099@hotmail.com> idiotically
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>> The usual descriptions of sights and sounds of any building undergoing
>>> a collapse
>>
>>
>> When demolished ...
>
> That's what happens when very large airliners filled with fuel crashes
> into them at 500 Kts, you retard.
> They get demolished.

The aircraft didn't cause the fall. The plane hit the building, the
buildings stood. The fuel was splashed about, burnt off in ~15 mins.
and the buildings stood. Once the fuel was burnt off, all you were left
with was a "normal" high-rise fire.

Regards,
JS

824. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 18:14:46 UTC
From: Jah Wobble <Solaris@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dc43a8b$0$9092$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 228751
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

John Smith wrote:
> On 5/6/2011 10:17 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
>> * US * wrote:
>>
>>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 07:50:00 -0700 (PDT), harry k
>>> <turnkey4099@hotmail.com> idiotically
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> The usual descriptions of sights and sounds of any building undergoing
>>>> a collapse
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> When demolished ...
>>
>>
>> That's what happens when very large airliners filled with fuel crashes
>> into them at 500 Kts, you retard.
>> They get demolished.
>
>
> The aircraft didn't cause the fall. The plane hit the building, the
> buildings stood. The fuel was splashed about, burnt off in ~15 mins.
> and the buildings stood. Once the fuel was burnt off, all you were left
> with was a "normal" high-rise fire.

Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
in perfect working order.
You retard.

I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
anything going on. Any idear, you retard?

Burnt off in 15 minutes!
BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!

825. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 18:22:15 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq1e8o$ss5$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228752
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:

> ...
> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
> in perfect working order.
> You retard.
>
> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!

Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
nano thermite residue.

"Take, as an example, this question of how the explosives were planted.
How could the security apparatus of the World Trade Center Complex,
which was presumably highly sophisticated after the 1993 bombing, allow
or not notice the laying of the explosives that supposedly felled the
buildings? Well, upon investigating this security apparatus at the WTC,
we quickly stumble into the fact that Marvin Bush, George W.'s younger
brother, was a principal in a company called Securacom (now Stratesec),
the very company in charge of security at the WTC in 2001. Again, it is
important to note that the author is not making this up. "Marvin P.
Bush, the president's younger brother, was a principal in a company
called Securacom that provided security for the World Trade Center,
United Airlines, and Dulles International Airport." And not to be
outdone by this fact, we also learn that "from 1999 to January of 2002
(Marvin and George W.'s cousin) Wirt Walker III was the company's CEO."

http://www.911hardfacts.com/report_09.htm

Again, get used to using Google, there are other "building
upgrades/updating/maintenance" which would have allowed the planting of
incendiaries.

Regards,
JS

826. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 18:38:43 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq1f7k$ss5$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228753
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/6/2011 11:22 AM, John Smith wrote:

>> ...
>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
> ...

Well, I am with you, I frequently laugh at anything FEMA/NIST says, also ...

However, I do believe they are right on, at least, this single point:

"It is highly unlikely that jet fuel was present to generate such explosions especially on lower floors, and long after the planes hit the buildings. Dr. Shyam Sunder, Lead Investigator for NIST stated: "The jet fuel probably burned out in less than 10 minutes.ï¿½ (Field, 2005) On the other hand, pre-positioned explosives provide a plausible and simple explanation for the observations, satisfying Occamï¿½s razor (Jones, 2005). Thus, it cannot be said that ï¿½no evidenceï¿½ can be found for the use of explosives. This serious matter needs to be treated as a plausible scientific hypothesis and thoroughly investigated."

Fire up google and see how many disagree with Dr. Shyam Sunder!

Regards,
JS

827. WHY, AND WHO SAYS, A FURTHER INVESTIGATION OF 9/11 IS NECESSARY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 18:54:22 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq1g4u$ss5$10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228754
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"It is highly unlikely that jet fuel was present to generate such explosions especially on lower floors, and long after the planes hit the buildings. Dr. Shyam Sunder, Lead Investigator for NIST stated: "The jet fuel probably burned out in less than 10 minutes.ï¿½ (Field, 2005) On the other hand, pre-positioned explosives provide a plausible and simple explanation for the observations, satisfying Occamï¿½s razor (Jones, 2005). Thus, it cannot be said that ï¿½no evidenceï¿½ can be found for the use of explosives. This serious matter needs to be treated as a plausible scientific hypothesis and thoroughly investigated."

http://www.infowars.com/articles/sept11/wtc_buildings_collapse_steven_jones.htm

Regards,
JS

828. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 19:29:26 UTC
From: Jah Wobble <Solaris@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dc44c16$0$12223$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 228755
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

John Smith wrote:
> On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
>> ...
>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
>> in perfect working order.
>> You retard.
>>
>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>
>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
>
> Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> nano thermite residue.
>
> "Take, as an example, this question of how the explosives were planted.
> How could the security apparatus of the World Trade Center Complex,
> which was presumably highly sophisticated after the 1993 bombing, allow
> or not notice the laying of the explosives that supposedly felled the
> buildings? Well, upon investigating this security apparatus at the WTC,
> we quickly stumble into the fact that Marvin Bush, George W.'s younger
> brother, was a principal in a company called Securacom (now Stratesec),
> the very company in charge of security at the WTC in 2001. Again, it is
> important to note that the author is not making this up. "Marvin P.
> Bush, the president's younger brother, was a principal in a company
> called Securacom that provided security for the World Trade Center,
> United Airlines, and Dulles International Airport." And not to be
> outdone by this fact, we also learn that "from 1999 to January of 2002
> (Marvin and George W.'s cousin) Wirt Walker III was the company's CEO."
>
> http://www.911hardfacts.com/report_09.htm
>
> Again, get used to using Google, there are other "building
> upgrades/updating/maintenance" which would have allowed the planting of
> incendiaries.

You are insane, and a retard.
You conspiracy retards are amusing at least.

829. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 19:32:16 UTC
From: Jah Wobble <Solaris@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dc44cc0$0$12257$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 228756
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/6/2011 11:22 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
>>> ...
>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>>
>> ...
>
>
> Well, I am with you, I frequently laugh at anything FEMA/NIST says, also
> ...
>
> However, I do believe they are right on, at least, this single point:
>
> "It is highly unlikely that jet fuel was present to generate such
> explosions especially on lower floors, and long after the planes hit the
> buildings. Dr. Shyam Sunder, Lead Investigator for NIST stated: "The jet
> fuel probably burned out in less than 10 minutes.ï¿½ (Field, 2005) On the
> other hand, pre-positioned explosives provide a plausible and simple
> explanation for the observations, satisfying Occamï¿½s razor (Jones,
> 2005). Thus, it cannot be said that ï¿½no evidenceï¿½ can be found for the
> use of explosives. This serious matter needs to be treated as a
> plausible scientific hypothesis and thoroughly investigated."
>
> Fire up google and see how many disagree with Dr. Shyam Sunder!

Like you, he is a retard if you think we tossed the trade center, the
pentagon, and the plane in PA, faked the moon landing, and etc..
Yer a retard, get over it.

830. Re: The 'Real' Story Behind The Story of The 'Made-Up' Story of the...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 19:56:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC45263-1690@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228757

Checker Motors Corporation, Kalamazoo,Michigan, I believe so.

Oh, Oh, Ohhhh,,,,, I have a gal in K A L A M A Z O O,,,, ZOO,
ZOO, ZOOOOO,,,,,,
Yeah, in fact of the business,,,,, I remember that old Kalamazoo song
when it used to be playing on the radio every once in a while, back in
the 1950s.

Where is Osama Bin Laden's old blood dialysis machine? Did anybody get a
Good look at his arms, or whatever part of his body he used to hook up
that machine to?
cuhulin

831. Re: The 'Real' Story Behind The Story of The 'Made-Up' Story of the...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 19:59:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20766-4DC45329-633@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228758

Somehow, I just can't 'see' U.S.Navy SEALS wearing any Helmet cams,
especially when they are in Combat Duty.
But I am a dummy, what would I know about that?
cuhulin

832. Re: 9/11 TRUTHERS LOCKED UP FOR LIFE UNDER NEW US LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 20:22:50 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC4589A-1711@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228760

Lamestream, Ministry of Propaganda, so-called 'news media' are calling
Birthers, Deathers.

Top Shrink says democraps and libturds are CLINICALLY INSANE!

Top Shrink,,, Lyle Harold Rossiter in Saint Charles,Illinois.
Top Shrink is Right.I am quite sure he has a Ph.D too.
cuhulin

833. Propagation Forecast Bulletin 18 ARLP018

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 20:26:23 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc45922$0$12475$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228759

SB PROP @ ARL $ARLP018
ARLP018 Propagation de K7RA

ZCZC AP18
QST de W1AW
Propagation Forecast Bulletin 18 ARLP018
From Tad Cook, K7RA
Seattle, WA May 6, 2011
To all radio amateurs

SB PROP ARL ARLP018
ARLP018 Propagation de K7RA

Currently seven sunspot groups are visible. The average daily sunspot number is down more than seven points over the past reporting week (April 28 to May 4) when compared to the previous seven days. Average daily solar flux was off nearly five points compared to the earlier period.

Sunspot group 1201 first exhibited spots on Thursday, May 5, although it was first numbered as a plage without spots back on April 28. A plage is a bright area on the Sun where sunspots may appear. Group 1207 was also new on Thursday. You can see a daily summary of sunspot groups, including their total area, at http://www.swpc.noaa.gov/ftpmenu/forecasts/SRS.html.

A solar wind stream from a coronal hole induced a high latitude geomagnetic storm at the end of April. Middle-latitude geomagnetic K indices measured at Fredericksburg, Virginia went as high as 4, and the April 30 to May 2 middle-latitude A index was 17, 13 and 14. The planetary K index hit 5 on April 30, and the planetary A index over the same 3-day period was 24, 19, and 20. High latitude areas were more sharply affected, and Alaska's college K index rose as high as 7, and the same 3-day period saw the college A index at 43, 56 and 37.

A bulletin received at 5:19 PM local time on Friday, April 29 (0019 UTC April 30) from IPS Radio and Space Services in Australia told of the impending geomagnetic disturbance. It read, "INCREASED GEOMAGNETIC ACTIVITY EXPECTED DUE TO CORONAL HOLE HIGH SPEED WIND STREAM FOR 30 APRIL 2011." You can subscribe to these alerts at http://www.ips.gov.au/mailman/listinfo/ips-geo-warning.

The latest prediction shows more geomagnetic activity coming May 9-10, when the predicted planetary A index is 18, then 15. The USAF/NOAA prediction shows a planetary A index of 7 on May 6-8, 18

and 15 on May 9-10, 7 on May 11-12, and 5 on May 13-16, rising again to 15 on May 17, then 5 on May 18-25, and 12, 22, 18, 18 and 15 on May 26-30. The same prediction shows solar flux at 105 on May 6-8, 100 on May 9-13, and 115 on May 14-21.

Geophysical Institute Prague predicts quiet conditions on May 6-7, quiet to unsettled May 8, unsettled to active May 9, unsettled May 10 and quiet again on May 11-12.

Now that we are in the first week of May, it is time to look at the 3-month moving average of sunspot numbers. The latest value is another increase, from 30.1 at the end of January to 35.3 at the end of February, 55.7 at the end of March, and 72.3 at the end of April. The monthly average of daily sunspot numbers for April was 80.8, about the same as March, which was 81.1.

We calculate this average by summing all of the daily sunspot numbers for three calendar months, then dividing by the number of days. Every month we incorporate a new month of data and drop off one old month. The three-month moving averages of daily sunspot numbers ending on April 2010 through April 2011 were 22.3, 18.5, 16.4, 20.4, 23.2, 28.9, 33, 35.6, 31, 30.1, 35.3, 55.7 and 72.3.

There has been very little change in the predicted smoothed sunspot numbers from NOAA/SESC in the past month. Compare page 14 on the beginning of April table at http://www.swpc.noaa.gov/weekly/pdf/prf1857.pdf with the latest table, also on page 14, at http://www.swpc.noaa.gov/weekly/pdf/prf1861.pdf and only August through October 2011 have changed, each being one point lower. Each of these smoothed values represents an average of a year's worth of data, either known numbers, or predicted. For May 2011, about half of the value is based on predicted numbers. November 2010 is probably the latest date that shows a number based on all known values, as are all previous months.

Bob Scaife, G7PAF offers an interesting propagation tool, the ability to monitor 20 meter PSK activity from his station in England. Go to his web page at http://homepage.ntlworld.com/bob.g7paf and click on the live screen on the left, which takes you to http://g7paf.no-ip.org:89/main.

Not sure how well this works if multiple bulletin readers try to hook up at the same time, but Bob says one possible utility is to send a PSK signal and monitor it from his QTH.

During ten minutes of casual monitoring I copied SQ3LMY, UA9WRG, OH2FDO, RJ6JK, RA3WBZ, OE5KEO, EA1GCD, YU7NW, F5AXG, IZ5RFR, IZ7SIA, CT2FPY, EA3AJY, EA3AHH, YU1LC, CT4RC and I6MBK. Many of those stations have photos of their radios and antenna systems on QRZ.COM, and some even have links from their QRZ.COM page to monitor their station or view them on a web cam as they operate.

Ted Turk, WB8ADA of Euclid, Ohio calls our attention to an item in the current June 2011 issue of Sky & Telescope, titled "Why the Sun Had No Spots." Read it at http://www.nxtbook.com/nxtbooks/newtrack/st_201106/#/16.

Jon Jones, N0JK reminds us that sporadic-E season is upon us, and there was widespread 6 meter E-skip on April 27-28. On 6 meters on April 28 from Lawrence, Kansas using an FT-897 and an attic dipole he worked VE3FZ and VE3KU around 0245z with strong SSB signals. Sporadic-E activity on 6 and 10 meters should be increasing through the month of May.

Larry Jones, K5ZRK of Sandersville, Mississippi is very active on 60 meters, and is wondering if his favorite band might be affected by heavy rain and stormy weather, causing a scattering effect. He writes, "The night all the tornadoes hit the Southeast (he is in south Mississippi, 90 miles from the Gulf of Mexico) which was April 27 (April 28 UTC), I ran a sked with a station on 60 meters for a new grid square. WB0HBJ was mobile in his 18 wheeler and I was QRP (about 3.8 watts out) and in the middle of the rain front that extended from South Mississippi to New York and over to the Atlantic. WB0HBJ was 800 miles away in EM09 and his signal would peak above S9 and then drop off rapidly. He was in flat prairie land so he was not picket fencing due to his terrain. I have noticed this phenomenon on 60 meters before. I was in the big band of rain and WB0HBJ was not. I have noticed that when a station at a distance is transmitting into the rain his signal has short strong peaks and then drops out. I use low noise receive antennas on 60 meters that are directional, along with a preamp. My transmit antenna was a 60 meter vertical with 120 ground radials and with the vertical I could NOT hear him."

If you would like to make a comment or have a tip for our readers, email the author at, k7ra@arrl.net.

For more information concerning radio propagation, see the ARRL Technical Information Service web page at http://arrl.org/propagation-of-rf-signals. For an explanation of the numbers used in this bulletin, see http://arrl.org/the-sun-the-earth-the-ionosphere. An archive of past propagation bulletins is at http://arrl.org/w1aw-bulletins-archive-propagation. Find more good information and tutorials on propagation at http://mysite.ncnetwork.net/k9la/index.html.

Monthly propagation charts between four USA regions and twelve overseas locations are at http://arrl.org/propagation.

Instructions for starting or ending email distribution of ARRL bulletins are at http://arrl.org/bulletins.

Sunspot numbers for April 28 through May 4 were 71, 70, 76, 57, 51, 77, and 72, with a mean of 67.7. 10.7 cm flux was 110.4, 109.6,

109.5, 106.1, 109.8, 107, and 108.5, with a mean of 108.5. Estimated planetary A indices were 3, 12, 24, 19, 20, 13, and 6, with a mean of 13.9. Estimated mid-latitude A indices were 1, 8, 17, 13, 14, 8, and 3, with a mean of 9.1.
NNNN
/EX

834. Re: The 'Real' Story Behind The Story of The 'Made-Up' Story of the...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 20:38:57 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC45C61-1717@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228761

Staged Bin Laden Hokum
http://www.rense.com/general94/staged.htm

STAGED!

What President Dwight David Eisenhower said in his Farewell Speech,,,
Beware of the Military Industrial Complex.
cuhulin

835. Re: The 'Real' Story Behind The Story of The 'Made-Up' Story of the...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 20:56:57 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC46099-1720@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228763

Jay STOOGE Carney is FLOUNDERING Under PRESSURE.
http://www.rense.com
cuhulin

836. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 20:58:06 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dc4d4f26-a23e-4c8c-9878-0a0c58013183@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228762
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 6, 1:19 am, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/5/2011 5:27 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 3, 11:51 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> On 5/3/2011 8:12 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
> >>> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
> >>>news:248f6358-1de4-4d98-848d-3c81e953579f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>
> >>>> None the less if there is no marriage Certificate still means he was
> >>>> fatherless,
>
> >>> And that, dearie, is what would make him a US natural born citizen
> >>> EVEN IF his mom had him in kenya, which it's been proven she did not.
> >>> If she was no legally married, then the location DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>
> >> That's completely wrong. The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration
> >> and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>
> >> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>
> >> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States
> >> and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien,
> >> and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth
> >> of such person, was physically present in the United States or its
> >> outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than
> >> ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age
> >> of fourteen years
>
> >> The marital status of the parents is irrelevant.
>
> > That is incorrect.
>
> No - it is correct.

Nope, it's wrong.

> > The above applied only to married parents.
>
> That's false, of course, but moot: Stanley Ann Dunham was indubitably
> married to Barack Hussein Obama, Sr.

So bigamy has become legal?

> Once again, you lose and have shit
> on your face.

I win as always and I have shit upon your face, Blimpie.

837. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 21:02:17 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0aa8f5df-65ca-485d-8042-ad2481169eea@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228764
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 6, 9:32 am, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/6/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 5, 11:56 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>
> >>> On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >>>> On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >>>>> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
> >>>>> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >>>>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >>>>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> >>>>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >>>>>>> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
> >>>>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>
> >>>>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> >>>>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> >>>>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>
> >>>>>>>http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>
> >>>>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> >>>>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>
> >>>>>> --
> >>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>
> >>>>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
> >>>>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>
> >>>> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>
> >>>> This should be a good one..
>
> >>>> --
> >>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text
> -

>
> >>>>> - Show quoted text -
>
> >>>> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
> >>>> tax this is British tarriffs
>
> >>> You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.
>
> >> I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British
> >> dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!
>
> > OK.
>
> >http://www.ushistory.org/DECLARATION/document/images/declarationscanb...
>
> That doesn't "prove" anything, boy.

It proves everything, of course. Perhaps you hadn't heard about the
declaration of Independence, being the stupid rightard that you are.
You also seem to have a problem with gender identification. Typical.
Most of you are closeted homosexuals.

> The correct response is to..

... do exactly what I did, dearie. Pull your panties out of your crack
and do try to move on.

838. Re: 9/11, Still an inside job!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 21:17:23 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <36838abc-14ef-41d1-912e-db827538a98e@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228765
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 4, 5:43 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:

> It embarasses me to have to point this out to you. But, I offer you
> credible people and materials, Dr. Steven Jones, Richard Gage (a REAL
> architect), etc.

BWAHHAHHAHAHA!! Well, it rightfully OUGHT to embarrass you to have to
offer nutbags like Jones and Gage, both of whom have been thoroughly
proven to be wrong and their claims to be physical impossibilities!

839. Re: 9/11, Still an inside job!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 21:19:30 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2dfc01f3-f962-4969-8e02-dd97defff9df@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228766
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 4, 6:23 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
wrote:
> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote in message

> > ... plonk ...
>
> So much for another 9/11 conspiracy theory wackjob, when asked to prove his
> case with FACTS...he runs away.

Johnny KQQK will ALWAYS run away and fail to NAME ONE THING, as I have
been asking him to do for months and which you asked him to do. It
forces Johnny KQQK to run away.

Oh and by the way, he doesn't actually plonk anyone, he just types the
word "plonk" thinking that means something but which he does not know.

840. OT: Presidential Unit Citation awarded to Navy Seals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 21:43:16 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c5f2e5fd-3968-4e3a-8378-2ef6828bc108@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228767

Presidential Unit Citation awarded to Navy Seals who nabbed OBL.
Great work, crew.

http://www.cbsnews.com/8301-503544_162-20060581-503544.html

841. Re: 9/11, Still an inside job!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 21:46:11 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC46C23-1731@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228768

That big Staged photo with Hitlery Klintoon's OMG moment,,,, I dare say they were looking at porn.After all, most of them do.
cuhulin

842. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 22:37:51 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <D4mdnQmRYtnc5VnQnZ2dnUVZ5vKdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228769
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/6/2011 1:58 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 6, 1:19 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/5/2011 5:27 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 3, 11:51 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/3/2011 8:12 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>>
>>>>> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>>>>> news:248f6358-1de4-4d98-848d-3c81e953579f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>>>> None the less if there is no marriage Certificate still means he was
>>>>>> fatherless,
>>
>>>>> And that, dearie, is what would make him a US natural born citizen
>>>>> EVEN IF his mom had him in kenya, which it's been proven she did not.
>>>>> If she was no legally married, then the location DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>>
>>>> That's completely wrong. The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration
>>>> and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States
>>>> and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien,
>>>> and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth
>>>> of such person, was physically present in the United States or its
>>>> outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than
>>>> ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age
>>>> of fourteen years
>>
>>>> The marital status of the parents is irrelevant.
>>
>>> That is incorrect.
>>
>> No - it is correct.
>
> Nope,

Yep - I proved it. The section establishes who *is* a citizen at birth
when the birth is abroad. As Hussein's mother didn't meet the residency
requirements, Hussein would not have been a citizen if born abroad - QED.

>>> The above applied only to married parents.
>>
>> That's false, of course, but moot: Stanley Ann Dunham was indubitably
>> married to Barack Hussein Obama, Sr.
>
> So bigamy has become legal?

You have no proof, of course, that Barack Hussein Obama Sr. was married to someone else while married to Stanley Ann Dunham.

Of course, the section above applies even to unmarried parents, as I showed in Sec. 309(a):

CHILDREN BORN OUT OF WEDLOCK:

Sec. 309. (a) The provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (7) of section 301 (a), and of paragraph (2) of section 308, of this title shall apply as of the date of birth to a child born out of wedlock on or after the effective date of this Act, if the paternity of such child is established while such child is under the age of twenty-one years by legitimation.

http://library.uwb.edu/guides/USimmigration/66%20stat%20163.pdf

So, if born in Kenya to a bigamist father and his citizen mother, Barry Jr. would have been a citizen *ONLY* if Obama Sr. had legitimated Barry Jr. before his 21st birthday

>> Once again, you lose and have shit
>> on your face.
>
> I win

You lose. Had Hussein been born in Kenya, he would not have been a citizen: his citizen mother didn't meet the US residency requirement in effect at the time.

843. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 22:39:20 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <D4mdnQiRYtkH5VnQnZ2dnUVZ5vKdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228770
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/6/2011 2:00 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 5, 11:10 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/5/2011 9:13 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 5, 7:40 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/5/2011 5:27 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 3, 11:51 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/3/2011 8:12 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>>
>>>>>>> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:248f6358-1de4-4d98-848d-3c81e953579f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>>>>>> None the less if there is no marriage Certificate still means he was
>>>>>>>> fatherless,
>>
>>>>>>> And that, dearie, is what would make him a US natural born citizen
>>>>>>> EVEN IF his mom had him in kenya, which it's been proven she did not.
>>>>>>> If she was no legally married, then the location DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>>
>>>>>> That's completely wrong. The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration
>>>>>> and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>>>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>>>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States
>>>>>> and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien,
>>>>>> and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth
>>>>>> of such person, was physically present in the United States or its
>>>>>> outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than
>>>>>> ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age
>>>>>> of fourteen years
>>
>>>>>> The marital status of the parents is irrelevant.
>>
>>>>> That is incorrect. The above applied only to married parents.
>>
>>>> That's false.
>>
>>> No, it's true.
>>

>>>> The law prior to 1952 did, but not the McCarran-Walter
>>>> act. You're wrong.
>>
>>> No.
>>
>> Yes. You're wrong.
>
> Yes,

You are wrong - proved.

>>>>>> If Obama had been born in Kenya, he would not have been born a citizen:
>>>>>> his American citizen mother had not live five years in the USA or an
>>>>>> outlying possession for five years after reaching the age of 14, because
>>>>>> she was only 18 at the time of Hussein's birth.
>>
>>>>> Irrelevant.
>>
>>>> No, wholly relevant, if he had been born outside the US.
>>
>>> Nope.
>>
>> Yep.
>>
>>>>>> Irrelevant. The applicable law in 1961 was not concerned with the
>>>>>> marital status of the parents.
>>
>>>>> Yes, it was.
>>
>>>> Prove it.
>>
>> You didn't, because you can't.
>
> I did because

You didn't. You couldn't.

>>>> You're wrong.
>>
>>> I'm right.
>>
>> You're wrong.
>>
>
>> I proved it, with documentation. You just ran your punk
>> male mouth.
>
> Shows how stupid you are

No. I proved you're wrong, boy.

844. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 22:39:50 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <D4mdnQuRYtkq5VnQnZ2dnUVZ5vKdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228771
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/6/2011 2:02 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 6, 9:32 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/6/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 5, 11:56 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>> On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>
>>>>> On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>>>>> On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
>>>>>>> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
>>>>>>>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>> If he had a legal birth certificate he would not have to use bogus
>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>>
>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
>>>>>>>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
>>>>>>>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>>
>>>>>>>>>> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>>
>>>>>>>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
>>>>>>>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>>
>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>>
>>>>>>>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
>>>>>>>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>>
>>>>>>> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>>
>>>>>>> This should be a good one..
>>
>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text

-
>>
>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>>>>> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
>>>>>> tax this is British tarriffs
>>
>>>>> You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.
>>
>>>> I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British
>>>> dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!
>>
>>> OK.
>>
>>> http://www.ushistory.org/DECLARATION/document/images/declarationscanb...
>>
>> That doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>
> It proves everything,

It doesn't "prove" anything, boy.

845. Another massive resource waste: HOV lanes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 22:50:49 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <D4mdnT2RYtnX5lnQnZ2dnUVZ5vKdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228772
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

Unless, of course, solo drivers may buy their way into them.

846. Re: Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 23:22:43 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20766-4DC482C3-652@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228773

Maybe WikiLeaks will tell all.
cuhulin

847. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 23:34:32 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq20i9$39u$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228774
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/6/2011 12:29 PM, Jah Wobble wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
>>> in perfect working order.
>>> You retard.
>>>
>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>>
>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>>
>>
>> Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
>> nano thermite residue.
>>
>> "Take, as an example, this question of how the explosives were
>> planted. How could the security apparatus of the World Trade Center
>> Complex, which was presumably highly sophisticated after the 1993
>> bombing, allow or not notice the laying of the explosives that
>> supposedly felled the buildings? Well, upon investigating this
>> security apparatus at the WTC, we quickly stumble into the fact that
>> Marvin Bush, George W.'s younger brother, was a principal in a company
>> called Securacom (now Stratesec), the very company in charge of
>> security at the WTC in 2001. Again, it is important to note that the
>> author is not making this up. "Marvin P. Bush, the president's younger
>> brother, was a principal in a company called Securacom that provided
>> security for the World Trade Center, United Airlines, and Dulles
>> International Airport." And not to be outdone by this fact, we also
>> learn that "from 1999 to January of 2002 (Marvin and George W.'s
>> cousin) Wirt Walker III was the company's CEO."
>>
>> http://www.911hardfacts.com/report_09.htm
>>
>> Again, get used to using Google, there are other "building
>> upgrades/updating/maintenance" which would have allowed the planting
>> of incendiaries.
>
> You are insane, and a retard.

> You conspiracy retards are amusing at least.

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

848. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 23:35:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq20jn$39u$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228775
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/6/2011 12:32 PM, Jah Wobble wrote:

>>
>> ...
>> Well, I am with you, I frequently laugh at anything FEMA/NIST says,
>> also ...
>>
>> However, I do believe they are right on, at least, this single point:
>>
>> "It is highly unlikely that jet fuel was present to generate such
>> explosions especially on lower floors, and long after the planes hit
>> the buildings. Dr. Shyam Sunder, Lead Investigator for NIST stated:
>> "The jet fuel probably burned out in less than 10 minutes.ï¿½ (Field,
>> 2005) On the other hand, pre-positioned explosives provide a plausible
>> and simple explanation for the observations, satisfying Occamï¿½s razor
>> (Jones, 2005). Thus, it cannot be said that ï¿½no evidenceï¿½ can be found
>> for the use of explosives. This serious matter needs to be treated as
>> a plausible scientific hypothesis and thoroughly investigated."
>>
>> Fire up google and see how many disagree with Dr. Shyam Sunder!
>
> Like you, he is a retard if you think we tossed the trade center, the
> pentagon, and the plane in PA, faked the moon landing, and etc..
> Yer a retard, get over it.

Final answer, huh?

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

849. More obama drama! ROFLOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 23:53:12 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq21la$39u$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228776
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.csmonitor.com/USA/Military/2011/0506/Osama-bin-Laden-raid-Four-fresh-twists-in-the-story/Bin-Laden-s-final-moments

Regards,
JS

850. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-06 23:55:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq21p6$39u$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228777
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/6/2011 3:21 PM, Jah Wobble wrote:
> * US * wrote:
>> On Fri, 06 May 2011 14:14:46 -0400, Duh Dribble <gaping@ass.hol> wrote:
>>
>>
>>> ... retard.
>>
>>
>> That must be why you have no idea that the temps
>> from the fires weren't enough to cause collapses.
>>
>>
>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building ...
>>
>>
>> You're too stupid to look it up, aren't you.
>
> I'm smart enough to know that there weren't any, you retard.

Final answer, huh?

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

851. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 00:02:23 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq226h$39u$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/6/2011 3:34 PM, Daniel wrote:
> On May 7, 8:09 am, * US * wrote:
>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 10:01:27 -0700 (PDT), Herbert Cannon<hcanno...@cox.net> wrote:
>>> ... traces of lead.
>>
>> It's caused more damage to you because
>> of silicofluorides.
>>
>> Consumption of pectin may help you to
>> remove some of your systemic contamination.
>>
>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 12:41:53 -0400, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>> Reality is Kryptonite to a kook.
>>
>> No wonder you're so weak.
>>
>> http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden...
>>
>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 06:12:59 -0700 (PDT), moron Herbert Cannon<hcanno...@cox.net> wrote:
>>
>>> ... a moron.
>>
>> That's your best excuse for being duped by faked videos.
>>
>>> ...not civilized.... merely stupid ...
>>
>> Otherwise you'd prefer trials to assassinations.
>>
>>> ... a moron.
>>
>> That's your best excuse for being duped by faked videos.
>>
>> On Thu, 5 May 2011 20:47:29 -0700 (PDT), moron Herbert Cannon<hcanno...@cox.net> wrote:
>>
>>> You mean the irrefutable evidence that proved he was guilty?
>>
>> You're merely imagining things.
>>
>>> Awww the poor poor innocent Osama.
>>
>> Civilized folk abide by "innocent until proven guilty".
>>
>> You're not expected to understand.

>>
>>> Is that the one that issued that
>>> fatwa that said kill all the Americans
>>
>> You're merely imagining things.
>>
>> It's noted that you can't substantiate your claims.
>
> it's noted that you post anonamously.

Then, also note, the data, links, evidence and proofs he posts are NOT anonymous and cite REAL scientists, engineers and architects.

We are still waiting credible rebuttal ...

Regards,
JS

852. Re: More obama drama! ROFLOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 00:05:22 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f6e6989f-8043-43e5-b03e-1f6b2c01c583@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228779

On May 6, 4:53 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> http://www.csmonitor.com/USA/Military/2011/0506/Osama-bin-Laden-raid-...
>
> Regards,
> JS

What a freak you are. Perfectly reasonable further details, and you act all giggly and outlandish. Whatever you're taking, for your own sake you better knock it off.

853. Re: are you sick of being lied to yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 00:07:53 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq22gq$39u$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228780
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/6/2011 1:32 PM, fergo wrote:
> http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/al-qaeda/8493391/Osama-bin-Laden-dead-Blackout-during-raid-on-bin-Laden-compound.html
>
>
> Not only is there that but the government revised it's Binladen capture
> story! Come on can you really believe anything it says? It's like Juliar
> in Australia.
>
>

It is called, "Clue."

The classic detective game! In Clue, players move from room to room in a mansion to solve the mystery of: who done it, with what, and where?

We have to wait for "the final answer." The game, at this point, is still in play, don't let the banter between players throw you off!

Frankly, I am leaning towards Col. Mustard, in the library, with the lead pipe.

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

854. Re: More obama drama! ROFLOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 00:10:18 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq22lc$39u$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.california,alt.politics

On 5/6/2011 5:05 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 6, 4:53 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> http://www.csmonitor.com/USA/Military/2011/0506/Osama-bin-Laden-raid-...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> What a freak you are. Perfectly reasonable further details, and you
> act all giggly and outlandish. Whatever you're taking, for your own
> sake you better knock it off.

Like I said, I am leaning towards Col. Mustard, in the library, with the
lead pipe.

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

855. Re: 9/11, Still an inside job!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 00:16:06 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq2307$39u$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic

On 5/6/2011 2:46 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> That big Staged photo with Hitlery Klintoon's OMG moment,,,, I dare say
> they were looking at porn.After all, most of them do.
> cuhulin
>

Actually, they have my home bugged and with cameras. They were just
viewing the footage of me and the wife in the shower ... but don't worry ...

I found the damn shower cam and ripped it out!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

856. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 00:23:48 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq23ek$39u$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228783
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/6/2011 2:35 PM, * US * wrote:
> On Fri, 6 May 2011 14:06:42 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
> <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:
>
>> On May 4, 10:41 am, * US * wrote:
>>> On Wed, 4 May 2011 05:31:09 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping
Ass"<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:
>>>> ... he was alive on Sunday ...
>>>
>>> Prove it.
>>
>> OK. Easy.
>>
>> http://english.aljazeera.net/news/asia/2011/05/20115241936984209.html
>
> Why do you imagine that that would be proof of
> the identity of the murder victim?
>
> Do you believe the part where George W. Bush
> says the murder would somehow be 'justice'?
>
> 9/11 was an inside job:
> ...

It is bizarre, on a high level, to say the least.

Remember all the claimed "allies" when we "went to war" with iraqi,
because of "the big bad terrorists?"

None of them would want to view the actual corpse? None would request a
piece of the body for DNA and an absolute independent verification?

VERY STRANGE me thinks!

Regards,
JS

857. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 00:30:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq23rt$d6k$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228784
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf

On 5/6/2011 2:35 PM, * US * wrote:
> On Fri, 6 May 2011 14:10:28 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
> <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:
>
>> ... a kook ... supported...9/11
>
> You certainly don't want the real perpetrators to see justice.
>
>> Smoking crack again...rightard Bush-lover...
>
> That explains your posts insisting that murders would be justice.
> ...

WARNING!

When the legend in its' own mind, the irantard, responds, you should
take care and step back from the monitor.

The sheer amount of spittle being spewed forth form the rabidly foaming
mouth of the insane beast can take one by surprise, and ruin ones
favorite shirt!

Regards,
JS

858. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 00:33:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC4935F-1777@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228785

My bathroom plastic shower curtain has Dolphins all over it.Those
Dolphins will run any sekert cameras off.

SCARHEAD MOFO POS! had the American Flag removed from Ground Zero
moments before his Stupid Staged photo op.
http://www.standeyo.com
cuhulin

859. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 00:46:53 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq24pt$d6k$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228786

On 5/6/2011 5:33 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> My bathroom plastic shower curtain has Dolphins all over it.Those
> Dolphins will run any sekert cameras off.
>
> SCARHEAD MOFO POS! had the American Flag removed from Ground Zero
> moments before his Stupid Staged photo op.
> http://www.standeyo.com
> cuhulin
>

Well, when I learned about the cam, I started closing the curtain, just
to drive them nuts and focus their attention on the curtain.

Then, I would quickly stick my dolphin out of a crack in the curtains!

I wish I could have seen the look on hill-bill-a-ries face! :-)

Regards,
JS

860. Re: More obama drama! ROFLOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 00:49:51 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC4972F-1781@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228787

You!,,, you can't even pour water! How could you get my daughter pregnant?! ~ Frasier and his girlfriend at a Greek restaurant.
cuhulin

861. Re: CIA Director said they used waterboarding!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 01:04:19 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq25qj$d6k$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228788
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/6/2011 4:16 PM, Moderate wrote:
> Alan Baker<alangbaker@telus.net> wrote:
>> In article<MPG.282de3d5f2f963e798b091@news.giganews.com>,
>> BAR<screw@you.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> I would like to do whatever I can to avoid waterboarding. But, if it
>>> means Americans dying or waterboarding someone to stop the Americans
>>> from dying, I will choose to waterboard someone.
>>
>> Even if that someone is American?
>
> Three terrorists have been waterboarded. Thousands of Americans have been
> water boarded.

The headlines I read were mostly saying, "THREE TERRORISTS WATERBOARDED
-- MILLIONS OF AMERICANS SODOMIZED!" (A few used the word "SHAFTED!")

Regards,
JS
```

862. Official Jackson MS NOAA flood page (pictures)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 01:11:18 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc49c2c$0$12464$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228789

http://www.srh.noaa.gov/jan/?n=2011_05_ms_river_flood

863. Re: Amazing IS PUTTING IT LIGHTLY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 01:21:49 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq26rd$d6k$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228790
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/6/2011 12:55 PM, John B. wrote:
> On May 6, 3:13 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/6/2011 12:07 PM, Horvath1...@net.net wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>> ...
>>>> The profits to government is already a heinous crime of the highest level!
>>
>>> Sir, you are correct. The oil companies make only pennies per gallon
>>> at the pump. However, (from Wikipedia):
>>
>>> State Diesel Taxes, April 2009Fuel taxes in the United States vary by
>>> state. The United States federal excise tax on gasoline, as of
>>> February 2011, is 18.4 cents per gallon and 24.4 cents per gallon for
>>> diesel fuel. In January 2011, motor gasoline taxes averaged 48.1 cents
>>> per gallon and diesel fuel taxes averaged 53.1 cents per gallon.[8]
>>> For the first quarter of 2009, the mean state gasoline tax is 27.2
>>> cents per US gallon, plus 18.4 cents per US gallon federal tax making
>>> the total 45.6 cents per US gallon (12.0 ï¿½/L). For diesel, the mean
>>> state tax is 26.6 cents per US gallon plus an additional 24.4 cents
>>> per US gallon federal tax making the total 50.8 cents US per gallon
>>> (13.4 ï¿½/L).
>>
>>> It's not the oil companies gouging us, it's the government.
>>
>>> Vote for Trump in 2012. Repeal the nightmares.
>>> God bless America.
>>
>> Without taking time to verify your figures, sounds about right.
>>
>> However, realize that, that is NOT taking into consideration all the
>> HIDDEN TAXES, fees, charges, fines, licenses, etc. which are in that cost!
>>
>> It is worse, much worse ... it is simply criminal ...
>>
>> Regards,

>> JS
>
> This is a breakdown of the cost of a gallon of gas, based on the May 2
> avg. price of $4.26. Please point out the hidden taxes, fees, charges,
> fines, licenses, etc. therein.
>
> Distribution Costs, Marketing Costs and Profits $0.12
> Crude Oil Cost $2.98
> Refinery Cost and Profits $0.48
> State Underground
> Storage Tank Fee $0.02
> State and Local Sales Tax $0.12
> State Excise Tax $0.35
> Federal Excise Tax $0.18
> Retail price $4.26

I certainly can see you are not familiar with cost/tax/profit analysis
... the costs of taxes associated with transportation and all the
intervening workers -- between the ground and the pump, are missing ...

Not to mention a LOT of other fees, fines, licenses, etc. ... such a
detailed study would take time and a "few" bucks ... the excise tax
collected on the tires used on the semis to transport, ships licensing,
tariffs ... carbon taxes in some states ...

When my son was about eight, he would have put together something like
that ... ROFLOL!

That is about the quality of obamas forged birth certificate!!!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

864. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 01:27:05 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq2759$d6k$10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228791
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/6/2011 12:45 PM, John B. wrote:
> On May 6, 3:07 pm, Horvath1...@net.net wrote:
>> On Fri, 06 May 2011 11:03:54 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>> wrote this crap:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On 5/6/2011 10:57 AM, John B. wrote:
>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> So that's why our highways are crumbling while billions of dollars are
>>>>> collected from fuel taxes. I never would have guessed. So our
>>>>> government is deceiving us? This is most distressing.
>>
>>>>> Vote for Trump in 2012. Repeal the nightmares.
>>>>> God bless America.
>>
>>>> Our highways are crumbling because revenue from the gas tax is not
>>>> enough to cover the needed repairs.
>>
>>> Do you realize that buried in the cost of a gallon of gasoline is all
>>> the income taxes of the employees, all the taxes, fees, licenses,
>>> transportation taxes, property taxes, equipment taxs, transportation
>>> taxes, local taxes, etc., etc., etc. ... and THEN the gas-tax/fuel-tax
>>> is slapped on at the pump!
>>
>>> I would venture to guess that the government makes more off the sale of
>>> a gallon of gas than is involved in all the profits of
>>> mining/drilling/pumping/transporting/sale of all the private sector
>>> business COMBINED!
>>
>>> The profits to government is already a heinous crime of the highest level!
>>
>> Sir, you are correct. The oil companies make only pennies per gallon
>> at the pump. However, (from Wikipedia):
>>
>> State Diesel Taxes, April 2009Fuel taxes in the United States vary by

>> state. The United States federal excise tax on gasoline, as of
>> February 2011, is 18.4 cents per gallon and 24.4 cents per gallon for
>> diesel fuel. In January 2011, motor gasoline taxes averaged 48.1 cents
>> per gallon and diesel fuel taxes averaged 53.1 cents per gallon.[8]
>> For the first quarter of 2009, the mean state gasoline tax is 27.2
>> cents per US gallon, plus 18.4 cents per US gallon federal tax making
>> the total 45.6 cents per US gallon (12.0 ï¿½/L). For diesel, the mean
>> state tax is 26.6 cents per US gallon plus an additional 24.4 cents
>> per US gallon federal tax making the total 50.8 cents US per gallon
>> (13.4 ï¿½/L).
>>
>> It's not the oil companies gouging us, it's the government.
>
>
> 45.6 cents is slightly more than 10 percent of what I paid per gallon
> to fill up my car yesterday. All the rest went to Sunoco.

http://knowledgeproblem.com/2011/04/29/mark-perry-gasoline-taxes-vs-exxon-profit-per-gallo
n/

The above page makes the same error as your own guess ... it ignores any
hidden taxes ... all the workers pay an income tax, that figures is NOT
in those figures ... there are license fees on drilling rigs, ships,
trucks, etc. -- those fees are NOT in those figures ...

etc., etc., etc.

If you worked for me, you would be fired if I asked you for a credible
tax/profit/cost analysis and you gave me such CHIT!

You'd better kiss the arse which is paying you wages or salary!

Regards,
JS

865. Re: Official Jackson MS NOAA flood page (pictures)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 01:31:49 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC4A105-1840@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228793

Mississippi River floodwaters won't reach Jackson.Parts of Jackson was
flooded on Easter of 1979.The Pearl River (about five miles East, behind
doggy's couch flooded because of heavy rains up North.
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Easter+Flood+of+Jackson+Mississippi+1979
cuhulin, Floods R US

866. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 01:31:54 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq27eb$d6k$11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228792
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/6/2011 2:14 PM, John B. wrote:
> On May 6, 5:09 pm, Horvath1...@net.net wrote:
>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 12:45:36 -0700 (PDT), "John B."
>> <johnb...@gmail.com> wrote this crap:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>>>> I would venture to guess that the government makes more off the sale of
>>>>> a gallon of gas than is involved in all the profits of
>>>>> mining/drilling/pumping/transporting/sale of all the private sector
>>>>> business COMBINED!
>>
>>>>> The profits to government is already a heinous crime of the highest level!
>>
>>>> Sir, you are correct. The oil companies make only pennies per gallon
>>>> at the pump. However, (from Wikipedia):
>>
>>>> State Diesel Taxes, April 2009Fuel taxes in the United States vary by
>>>> state. The United States federal excise tax on gasoline, as of
>>>> February 2011, is 18.4 cents per gallon and 24.4 cents per gallon for
>>>> diesel fuel. In January 2011, motor gasoline taxes averaged 48.1 cents
>>>> per gallon and diesel fuel taxes averaged 53.1 cents per gallon.[8]
>>>> For the first quarter of 2009, the mean state gasoline tax is 27.2
>>>> cents per US gallon, plus 18.4 cents per US gallon federal tax making
>>>> the total 45.6 cents per US gallon (12.0 ï¿½/L). For diesel, the mean
>>>> state tax is 26.6 cents per US gallon plus an additional 24.4 cents
>>>> per US gallon federal tax making the total 50.8 cents US per gallon
>>>> (13.4 ï¿½/L).
>>
>>>> It's not the oil companies gouging us, it's the government.
>>
>>> 45.6 cents is slightly more than 10 percent of what I paid per gallon
>>> to fill up my car yesterday. All the rest went to Sunoco.
>>
>> Did it now? The station owner makes a profit. The delivery company

>> makes a profit. The refineries and transportation companies get their
>> cut.
>
> OK, fine, but your prior assertion was that the government took it all.

Actually, you might mean me. And, it is my belief that government DOES
get over half of all the profit on a gallon of gas! Through multiple
taxes on multiple facets of the oil industry, shipping, storing,
selling, etc.

Regards,
JS

867. Re: White House releases Obama's long form birth certificate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 02:39:38 UTC
From: greylock <greylock123@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <91c9s69bvlfq2oaivt5s5m5rokt113khm9@4ax.com>
Source record: 228794
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.rec.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 06 May 2011 07:16:48 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>On 5/6/2011 5:01 AM, greylock wrote:
>
>>>> ...
>>> regan reagan raygun ... who flat gives a shit, the moron deserves his
>>> name spelled S-H-I-T-F-A-C-E
>>
>>
>> As is so often the case.....your postings reveal a lot more about
>> you than you seem to realize.
>>
>> And they reveal a person who has so little going for them that they
>> take what pitiful scraps of satisfaction they can find in running down
>> people they know are vastly better people than they are. A person who
>> pretends to be adequate by trying to "knock down" the people they know
>> are what they can never be....successful....because they are
>> incorrigible losers destined to snatch failure from success at every
>> turn.
>>
>> So have a worthless life jerk.....you know you will.
>
>ROFLOL
>
>I am certainly glad you got that off your chest. I guess I got a
>through dressing down!
>
>Principals and value before personalities ... remember that! Next time
>you will have something to say about the message instead of a moronic
>attack on the messenger ... <yawn>
>
>Regards,
>JS

....and the below rant would be you doing your imitation of maturity,
I suppose? Again you blow your own argument out of the water without
any assistance from me - nice of you to prove my point though.
Thanks....

"regan reagan raygun ... who flat gives a shit, the moron deserves
his name spelled S-H-I-T-F-A-C-E"

868. Re: White House releases Obama's long form birth certificate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 03:20:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24671-4DC4BA81-205@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228795

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Bin+Laden+Martyr+or+Villain?

They done made him a Martyr!, even though he croaked over a Decade ago.

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=CIA+Officials+Admit+to+Faking+Bin+Laden+Video!

Watchin Inspector America program on the History.The guy said the only
thing protecting Seattle from a Tsunami is an over one hundred years old
rotted Seawall.
Seattle,,, Watch Out! Boston and New York City and San Francisco, y'all
cats need to Watch Out too! according to the guy on the History
channel.America's Infrastructure is in Deep DOO DOO!
cuhulin

869. Re: White House releases Obama's long form birth certificate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 04:05:01 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq2gdd$d3b$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228796
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/6/2011 7:39 PM, greylock wrote:

> ...
> ....and the below rant would be you doing your imitation of maturity,
> I suppose? Again you blow your own argument out of the water without
> any assistance from me - nice of you to prove my point though.
> Thanks....
>
> "regan reagan raygun ... who flat gives a shit, the moron deserves
> his name spelled S-H-I-T-F-A-C-E"

Why of course, for you, that would mean exactly the opposite of what it mean in the real world. This is Bizarro world, and you are superwoman!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

870. Re: White House releases Obama's long form birth certificate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 04:18:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24671-4DC4C810-212@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228797

West Wing SHOOT OUT!
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307329

That Chain hasen't been Jerked Hard enough yet.
cuhulin

871. Re: CIA Director said they used waterboarding!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 05:33:05 UTC
From: Mike <mwbryant@aol.com>
Message-ID: <78b5d89b-9849-4e81-b34d-4c8d7823a3d8@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228798
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 6, 9:04 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/6/2011 4:16 PM, Moderate wrote:
>
> > Alan Baker<alangba...@telus.net> wrote:
> >> In article<MPG.282de3d5f2f963e798b...@news.giganews.com>,
> >> BAR<sc...@you.com> wrote:
>
> >>> I would like to do whatever I can to avoid waterboarding. But, if it
> >>> means Americans dying or waterboarding someone to stop the Americans
> >>> from dying, I will choose to waterboard someone.
>
> >> Even if that someone is American?
>
> > Three terrorists have been waterboarded. Thousands of Americans have been
> > water boarded.
>
> The headlines I read were mostly saying, "THREE TERRORISTS WATERBOARDED
> -- MILLIONS OF AMERICANS SODOMIZED!" (A few used the word "SHAFTED!")
>
> Regards,
> JS

http://www.csmonitor.com/USA/Military/2011/0505/Military-interrogators-Waterboarding-didn-t-yield-tips-that-led-to-bin-Laden?cmpid=addthis_facebook&sms_ss=facebook&at_xt=4dc34d6199fb2527%2C0

872. Re: CIA Director said they used waterboarding!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 11:34:04 UTC
From: Mike <mwbryant@aol.com>
Message-ID: <df4ab5fc-7c73-418e-bc54-07999828172d@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228799
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 7, 1:33 am, Mike <mwbry...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 6, 9:04 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/6/2011 4:16 PM, Moderate wrote:
>
> > > Alan Baker<alangba...@telus.net> wrote:
> > >> In article<MPG.282de3d5f2f963e798b...@news.giganews.com>,
> > >> BAR<sc...@you.com> wrote:
>
> > >>> I would like to do whatever I can to avoid waterboarding. But, if it
> > >>> means Americans dying or waterboarding someone to stop the Americans
> > >>> from dying, I will choose to waterboard someone.
>
> > >> Even if that someone is American?
>
> > > Three terrorists have been waterboarded. Thousands of Americans have been
> > > water boarded.
>
> > The headlines I read were mostly saying, "THREE TERRORISTS WATERBOARDED
> > -- MILLIONS OF AMERICANS SODOMIZED!" (A few used the word "SHAFTED!")
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> http://www.csmonitor.com/USA/Military/2011/0505/Military-interrogator...- Hide quoted
text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2011/05/06/torture-may-have-slowed-h_n_858642.html

873. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 11:39:10 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq3b10$6ob$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic

On 5/7/2011 3:03 AM, Daniel wrote:

> ...
> errr....do i hae a point? ....duhhhhh...do i need to spell it out?
> ok...i will.
> The point is: that it has been noted that you haven't the balls to
> post with any kind of identity.
>
> Daniel

Like you have been shown, if you have a problem with the message, and
any experts or hard facts to back it up, let's hear it.

If your purpose is a personal attack on the messenger, you are just one
more moron ...

Regards,
JS

874. OSAMA, REAL PICTURE FOUND!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 11:48:10 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq3bhs$6ob$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228801
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.urbaninsanity.com/urban_insanity/images/2008/04/08/osama.jpg

Regards,
JS

875. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 12:57:31 UTC
From: Jah Wobble <Solaris@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dc541b5$0$12248$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 228802
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/6/2011 12:32 PM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
>>>
>>> ...
>>> Well, I am with you, I frequently laugh at anything FEMA/NIST says,
>>> also ...
>>>
>>> However, I do believe they are right on, at least, this single point:
>>>
>>> "It is highly unlikely that jet fuel was present to generate such
>>> explosions especially on lower floors, and long after the planes hit
>>> the buildings. Dr. Shyam Sunder, Lead Investigator for NIST stated:
>>> "The jet fuel probably burned out in less than 10 minutes.ï¿½ (Field,
>>> 2005) On the other hand, pre-positioned explosives provide a plausible
>>> and simple explanation for the observations, satisfying Occamï¿½s razor
>>> (Jones, 2005). Thus, it cannot be said that ï¿½no evidenceï¿½ can be found
>>> for the use of explosives. This serious matter needs to be treated as
>>> a plausible scientific hypothesis and thoroughly investigated."
>>>
>>> Fire up google and see how many disagree with Dr. Shyam Sunder!
>>
>>
>> Like you, he is a retard if you think we tossed the trade center, the
>> pentagon, and the plane in PA, faked the moon landing, and etc..
>> Yer a retard, get over it.
>
>
> Final answer, huh?

I pretty much nailed it, yup.

876. Excellent conditions to Mongolia this morning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 13:30:48 UTC
From: hallicrafter@collins.net
Message-ID: <pkhas65lmo41490ecjtfjpvgv7fvamdbal@4ax.com>
Source record: 228803

Worked an amateur in Mongolia this morning with about 50 watts SSB on
20 meters. Time was just after 1300UTC.

Jim(MI)

877. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 13:56:12 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq3j1u$tm8$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228804
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/7/2011 5:57 AM, BAR wrote:
> In article<iq1dg4$ss5$5@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>>
>> On 5/6/2011 11:08 AM, John B. wrote:
>>> On May 6, 2:03 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/6/2011 10:57 AM, John B. wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> So that's why our highways are crumbling while billions of dollars are
>>>>>> collected from fuel taxes. I never would have guessed. So our
>>>>>> government is deceiving us? This is most distressing.
>>>>
>>>>>> Vote for Trump in 2012. Repeal the nightmares.
>>>>>> God bless America.
>>>>
>>>>> Our highways are crumbling because revenue from the gas tax is not
>>>>> enough to cover the needed repairs.
>>>>
>>>> Do you realize that buried in the cost of a gallon of gasoline is all
>>>> the income taxes of the employees, all the taxes, fees, licenses,
>>>> transportation taxes, property taxes, equipment taxs, transportation
>>>> taxes, local taxes, etc., etc., etc. ... and THEN the gas-tax/fuel-tax
>>>> is slapped on at the pump!
>>>>
>>>> I would venture to guess that the government makes more off the sale of
>>>> a gallon of gas than is involved in all the profits of
>>>> mining/drilling/pumping/transporting/sale of all the private sector
>>>> business COMBINED!
>>>>
>>>> The profits to government is already a heinous crime of the highest level!
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>>
>>> You are wrong on every count.
>>
>> ROFLOL
>
> This is typical M.O. for John. He doesn't have the ability to put two
> and two together. If I go to the 7-11 and buy a Slurpee you pay $1.49
> for the Slurpee and about 7 or 8 cents for sales taxes. But, all of the
> other taxes for the production of the Slurpee before it gets to the
> consumer are figured into the $1.49.

>
>

Yes, there is nothing special about a gallon of gas. The government probably gets more than half the profit off the sale of a slurpie.

All the employees/workers along the way, making the flavored syrup, manufacturing the syrup, the cup, the advertising, etc. and their income taxes are hidden in cost. Transportation on the syrup and cup and the associated fuel costs taxes are buried in there ...

All money, and very quickly, ends back up in government hands. My wages are taxed at about 37% income tax, so, even before I get "my money" 0.37 cents of every dollar goes back to the government -- BEFORE I EVEN GET IT. Then I buy something in a store, in California, and it is taxed 9% on the dollars. They the guy spends the money he made from me, and is taxed 9%. Then the guy he bought something from is taxed 9% on dollar ... it goes through very few hands and the government has the whole dollar back!

Nice gig, if you can get it!

ROFLOL

But, damn fools can't sweat small stuff like that ...

Regards,
JS

878. Re: Where's Trump's Honors????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 14:01:25 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq3jbn$tm8$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228805
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On 5/7/2011 6:55 AM, William Clark wrote:
> In article<MPG.282f096170d211a398b093@news.giganews.com>,
> BAR<screw@you.com> wrote:
>
>> In article<clark-B156FC.14145606052011@news.eternal-september.org>,
>> clark@nospam.matsceng.ohio-state.edu says...
>>>
>>> In article<MPG.282ddf9eb6c80a8698b08f@news.giganews.com>,
>>> BAR<screw@you.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> In article<5o48s65htjvgitpoje32sqsdug99kvrfa8@4ax.com>,
>>>> bknight@conramp.net says...
>>>>>
>>>>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 10:31:57 -0400, BAR<screw@you.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> In article<j908s65jul7em6ofsi1orlgdpjekt5rtbe@4ax.com>,
>>>>>> bknight@conramp.net says...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 09:57:06 -0400, BAR<screw@you.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Keep basking in the shame that an uneducated dolt like me is valued
>>>>>>>> more
>>>>>>>> by his employer than you are.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> That's some sentence. You got part of it right, but you have no idea
>>>>>>> how much someone else is valued by their employer.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I think I have a good idea about how employers value their employees.
>>>>>
>>>>> No Bert, you have NO idea how Clark's employer values him. None at
>>>>> all, so quit posturing. The uneducated dolt is doing your talking.
>>>>
>>>> Sure I do. Billy isn't paid above the average for a Professor at OSU.
>>>> There are no stock options at OSU. He may get a bonus but, if you aren't
>>>> cracking the average you aren't likely to get those. Billy is just
>>>> another in the long line of those who have proved the axiom. If you
>>>> can't do, teach and if you cant teach, teach others to teach.
>>>
>>> Oh, Bertie, Bertie, Bertie. Once again, you are determined to flaunt
>>> your childish ignorance in public for all to see. Once again, too, I
```

>>> refer you to 9-month, 12-month, and summer salaries, and how these
>>> relate to any published figure.
>>>
>>> Until you can figure that out, please spare us your public displays of
>>> stupidity.
>>
>> Keep spinning that line of crap.
>
> Keep living in cloud cuckoo land. Every additional post of yours is
> further testament to your total ignorance.

Not only that, HE IS DAMN PROUD OF IT! Worse, it appears to be
"over-unity ignorance", it is self-multiplying!

... sad, so very, very sad ...

Regards,
JS

879. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 14:07:10 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iq3jmfbad1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228806
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/6/2011 8:23 PM, John Smith wrote:

> None of them would want to view the actual corpse? None would request a
> piece of the body for DNA and an absolute independent verification?

The 5/6/11 Wall Street Journal reported that Al-Qaeda accepts the fact that bin Laden is D-E-A-D. Good enough for Al-Qaeda but not good enough for "John Smith". BWAHAHAhahaha...

How long do you want to keep flogging this dead horse? (no pun intended)

Oh, wait...I know:

As long as it fills your pathological need to troll and to keep getting attention. 2077 posts when I use my news reader to search for posts by 'John Smith'.

Pitiful... :-(

880. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 14:12:38 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq3k0o$tm8$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228807
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/7/2011 5:57 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:

>> ...
>> Final answer, huh?
>
> I pretty much nailed it, yup.

ROFLOL

Magic morons ... how unique!

You nailed it if your text is, somehow, going to take a turn based in logic:

http://www.ustruthmovement.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=299:national-institute-of-standards-and-technology-john-gross-911-lead-investigator-shyam-sunder-wtc-7-collapse-nist-finally-admits-freefall&catid=1:latest-news&Itemid=50

Regards,
JS

881. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 14:16:56 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <80fbb058-5296-4598-95d4-6f92815405b8@b19g2000yqg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228808
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 6, 2:14 pm, Jah Wobble <Sola...@bass.gov> wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > On 5/6/2011 10:17 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> >> * US * wrote:
>
> >>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 07:50:00 -0700 (PDT), harry k
> >>> <turnkey4...@hotmail.com> idiotically
> >>> wrote:
>
> >>>> The usual descriptions of sights and sounds of any building undergoing
> >>>> a collapse
>
> >>> When demolished ...
>
> >> That's what happens when very large airliners filled with fuel crashes
> >> into them at 500 Kts, you retard.
> >> They get demolished.
>
> > The aircraft didn't cause the fall. The plane hit the building, the
> > buildings stood. The fuel was splashed about, burnt off in ~15 mins.
> > and the buildings stood. Once the fuel was burnt off, all you were left
> > with was a "normal" high-rise fire.
>
> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
> in perfect working order.
> You retard.
>
> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

One plane load of diesel fuel could not have been enough to get all
the verticle support columns to give away simultaneously through out
the buildi to create a verticl collapse in the buildings footprints!

The explosives were built into all the towers during their
construction!

Listen to the architect's interview

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uV1mBavoQzE&feature=player_embedded

882. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 14:34:14 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a5fb4e41-d596-4ee1-9fb6-8eeecf584d45@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228809
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 6, 3:32 pm, Jah Wobble <Sola...@bass.gov> wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > On 5/6/2011 11:22 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
> >>> ...
> >>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> >>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
> >> ...
>
> > Well, I am with you, I frequently laugh at anything FEMA/NIST says, also
> > ...
>
> > However, I do believe they are right on, at least, this single point:
>
> > "It is highly unlikely that jet fuel was present to generate such
> > explosions especially on lower floors, and long after the planes hit the
> > buildings. Dr. Shyam Sunder, Lead Investigator for NIST stated: "The jet
> > fuel probably burned out in less than 10 minutes." (Field, 2005) On the
> > other hand, pre-positioned explosives provide a plausible and simple
> > explanation for the observations, satisfying Occam's razor (Jones,
> > 2005). Thus, it cannot be said that "no evidence" can be found for the
> > use of explosives. This serious matter needs to be treated as a
> > plausible scientific hypothesis and thoroughly investigated."
>
> > Fire up google and see how many disagree with Dr. Shyam Sunder!
>
> Like you, he is a retard if you think we tossed the trade center, the
> pentagon, and the plane in PA, faked the moon landing, and etc..
> Yer a retard, get over it.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

You forgot to mention the OKC bombing,The Davidians Compound in
Waco,The Jonestown Massacre,The Contra Affairs,The Gulf of Tonka
incident,the bay of pigs,Pearl Harbor,WWI and WWII were all created by
the CIA/illuminatini

883. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 14:42:51 UTC
From: Jah Wobble <Solaris@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dc55a6a$0$9101$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 228810
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

joeturn wrote:
> On May 6, 2:14 pm, Jah Wobble <Sola...@bass.gov> wrote:
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>On 5/6/2011 10:17 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>>>>* US * wrote:
>>
>>>>On Fri, 6 May 2011 07:50:00 -0700 (PDT), harry k
>>>><turnkey4...@hotmail.com> idiotically
>>>>wrote:
>>
>>>>>The usual descriptions of sights and sounds of any building undergoing
>>>>>a collapse
>>
>>>>When demolished ...
>>
>>>>That's what happens when very large airliners filled with fuel crashes
>>>>into them at 500 Kts, you retard.
>>>>They get demolished.
>>
>>>The aircraft didn't cause the fall. The plane hit the building, the
>>>buildings stood. The fuel was splashed about, burnt off in ~15 mins.
>>>and the buildings stood. Once the fuel was burnt off, all you were left
>>>with was a "normal" high-rise fire.
>>
>>Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
>>in perfect working order.
>>You retard.
>>
>>I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
>>undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
>>anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>
>>Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>>BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>- Show quoted text -
>
>
> One plane load of diesel fuel could not have been enough to get all
> the verticle support columns to give away simultaneously through out

> the buildi to create a verticl collapse in the buildings footprints!
>
> The explosives were built into all the towers during their
> construction!
>
> Listen to the architect's interview
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uV1mBavoQzE&feature=player_embedded

Well, then it's gotta be true....even though that flaky retard had
nothing to do with it.

884. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 14:44:11 UTC
From: Jah Wobble <Solaris@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dc55ab5$0$9055$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 228811
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/7/2011 5:57 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
>>> ...
>>> Final answer, huh?
>>
>>
>> I pretty much nailed it, yup.
>
>
> ROFLOL

> You nailed it if your text is, somehow, going to take a turn based in
> logic:

You wouldn't know logic if it bit you in the ass, you retard.

885. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 14:46:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq3m0e$7cr$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228812
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/7/2011 7:44 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> On 5/7/2011 5:57 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Final answer, huh?
>>>
>>>
>>> I pretty much nailed it, yup.
>>
>>
>> ROFLOL
>
>> You nailed it if your text is, somehow, going to take a turn based in
>> logic:
>
> You wouldn't know logic if it bit you in the ass, you retard.

Logic and reason stand on their own, they only need a voice/media of
expression:

http://www.ustruthmovement.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=299:national-institute-of-standards-and-technology-john-gross-911-lead-investigator-shyam-sunder-wtc-7-collapse-nist-finally-admits-freefall&catid=1:latest-news&Itemid=50

In the above example, even the deniers come around, eventually!

Regards,
JS

886. Re: Excellent conditions to Mongolia this morning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 14:56:53 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a2b70f7f-7a28-4a4a-b053-22802e67bad3@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228813

On May 7, 6:30 am, hallicraf...@collins.net wrote:
> Worked an amateur in Mongolia this morning with about 50 watts SSB on
> 20 meters. Time was just after 1300UTC.
>
> Jim(MI)

Excellent - probably good for Central Asia in general, and overnight
for V. Mongolia too.

Bruce Jensen

887. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 15:08:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC56057-2301@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228814

http://www.devilfinder.com/find/php?q=Why+Islam+rejected+CIA's+Bin+Laden
cuhulin

888. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 15:17:15 UTC
From: Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4dc56281$0$22473$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 228815
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 8/05/2011 12:16 AM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 6, 2:14 pm, Jah Wobble<Sola...@bass.gov> wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>>> On 5/6/2011 10:17 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>>>> * US * wrote:
>>
>>>>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 07:50:00 -0700 (PDT), harry k
>>>>> <turnkey4...@hotmail.com> idiotically
>>>>> wrote:
>>
>>>>>> The usual descriptions of sights and sounds of any building undergoing
>>>>>> a collapse
>>
>>>>> When demolished ...
>>
>>>> That's what happens when very large airliners filled with fuel crashes
>>>> into them at 500 Kts, you retard.
>>>> They get demolished.
>>
>>> The aircraft didn't cause the fall. The plane hit the building, the
>>> buildings stood. The fuel was splashed about, burnt off in ~15 mins.
>>> and the buildings stood. Once the fuel was burnt off, all you were left
>>> with was a "normal" high-rise fire.
>>
>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
>> in perfect working order.
>> You retard.
>>
>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>
>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> One plane load of diesel fuel could not have been enough to get all

Minor correction. jet aircraft do NOT use diesel fuel. They don't even
use gasoline or avgas. What do they use? Humble old kerosene.
Admittedly, it's power kerosene but it's still kerosene.

> the verticle support columns to give away simultaneously through out
> the buildi to create a verticl collapse in the buildings footprints!
>
> The explosives were built into all the towers during their
> construction!
>
> Listen to the architect's interview
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uV1mBavoQzE&feature=player_embedded

These jerks on the video look like they're trippin'. Actually, from your comments, I'd say you're doing a bit of trippin' too!

Krypsis

889. Latest Liberty Net via WB4AIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 15:24:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105071524.UTC.iq3o6g$e5d$1@tioat.net>
Source record: 228816

Liberty Net: April 30, 2011

http://3950.net/2011/05/liberty-net-april-30-2011/

by Kevin Strom, WB4AIO

THE LIBERTY NET has many purposes: comradeship, bypassing the billionairesï¿½ media and sharing information directly, personal inspiration, amateur-to-amateur help and advice, and much more. It may take a little courage to say the emperor has no clothes for the first time ï¿½ but courage is contagious. So get a ham ticket if you donï¿½t already have one, and check in to the next session of amateur radioï¿½s longest-running discussion net. Listen: Liberty Net 4/30

Here are just a few of the topics discussed and debated this week:

ï¿½ When Medicare refuses to pay and engages in endless delaying tactics ï¿½ as more and more Americans are experiencing lately ï¿½ is it appropriate for a citizen to employ a collection agency? (35 minutes)

ï¿½ Thereï¿½s a grassroots movement to get millions of Americans to buy an ounce or more of silver and take physical delivery. This, it is hoped, will make it difficult for J.P. Morgan Chase to cover their illegal naked short selling of the metal ï¿½ and might even force them to stop the practice, which regulators refuse to investigate. (55 minutes)

ï¿½ There is a principle of sound economics which tells us that ï¿½what canï¿½t be paid, wonï¿½t be paid.ï¿½ And unless there is a radical ï¿½ extremely radical ï¿½ gutting of all the Washington regimeï¿½s programs, we face financial Armageddon. Therefore we must end all our aggressive wars, cut down to a military perhaps one tenth the size of what we have now; put an end to all entitlement programs for the underclass; terminate all corporate welfare and bailouts; and permanently cease all foreign aid and foreign intervention. (1 hour 2 minutes)

ï¿½ Auctions of treasury bonds are now announced very quietly because of the embarrassing dearth of buyers. Practically all the bonds are being purchased by the Federal Reserve, which itself may fail spectacularly ï¿½ even though it is claimed to be failure-proof. (1 hour 7 minutes)

ï¿½ There is something supremely bizarre in watching the increasingly degraded and increasingly impoverished American population going all ga-ga over the ï¿½royal weddingï¿½ of degenerate British aristocrats, utterly owned themselves by the same money-men who misrule the

United States. Some are calling the nuptials a celebration of the union of the House of Windsor with the House of Rothschild. (1 hour 25 minutes)

ï¿½ A new book is set to be published on the topic of Obamaï¿½s fraudulently-obtained social security number, which, oddly enough, was issued in Connecticut, where Obama never lived. (2 hours 40 minutes)

ï¿½ Barack Obama Seniorï¿½s disgusting rape-a-thon of White college girls in the 1960s was a symptom of a deep societal decay in America, a decay that has reached its symbolic culmination in the elevation of the product of that sexual abuse to the White House. (2 hours 44 minutes)

ï¿½ The current regime that rules America from Washington and New York combines the very worst elements of capitalism and socialism ï¿½ the heartless ï¿½Iï¿½ve got mine, Jackï¿½ insecurity of capitalism along with the ï¿½you must submitï¿½ bureaucratic dictatorship of socialism ï¿½ the ï¿½national securityï¿½ state of the Right along with the the total lack of freedom of association and financial privacy of the Left. (2 hours 55 minutes)

You can find ï¿½ and check in to ï¿½ the Liberty Net every Saturday night starting at 10PM Eastern Time (in recent weeks, DRM intereference has caused the net to meet somewhere between 3960 and 3993 kHz quite often). You can also listen live on the Internet via N2SAG (who also includes a lively chat room during Net hours) or N2IRJ , or listen at your leisure via the mp3 files posted here at http://3950.net every week.

Remember that the complete net recording is posted at the very top of the right column (under ï¿½Liberty Net Audioï¿½) here on http://3950.net ï¿½ usually on the Sunday immediately following the net, so look for it there if youï¿½d like to listen. These articles and summaries (found in the center column) show up a few days to a week later.

Once again, thanks to W1WCR and all participants for making this extraordinary net possible.

http://3950.net/2011/05/liberty-net-april-30-2011/

--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

890. Re: How do you expect bin ladens' death to affect your personal life, income, quality of living, etc.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 15:31:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq3ok6blc1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228817
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/7/2011 8:17 AM, Krypsis wrote:

> ...
> Minor correction. jet aircraft do NOT use diesel fuel. They don't even
> use gasoline or avgas. What do they use? Humble old kerosene.
> Admittedly, it's power kerosene but it's still kerosene.
> ...

For all intents and purposes: kerosene = No. 1 Diesel = Jet Fuel

There are minor differences, as an example:
http://www.combinedoil.com/pdf/MAP_No.1%20Diesel%20fuel%20is%20not%20Kerosene.pdf

My grandfather used to use No. 1 Diesel in kerosene lamps and kerosene heaters for barns and smudge pots to keep plants in the fields from freezing ... in the home he only used kerosene, said it was because it was "deodorized No. 1 Diesel."

Now-a-days? Who knows ...

Regards,
JS

891. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 15:37:24 UTC
From: Jah Wobble <Solaris@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dc5672e$0$9096$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 228818
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

joeturn wrote:

> On May 6, 3:32 pm, Jah Wobble <Sola...@bass.gov> wrote:
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>On 5/6/2011 11:22 AM, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>>Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>>>>>BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>>
>>>>...
>>
>>>Well, I am with you, I frequently laugh at anything FEMA/NIST says, also
>>>...
>>
>>>However, I do believe they are right on, at least, this single point:
>>
>>>"It is highly unlikely that jet fuel was present to generate such
>>>explosions especially on lower floors, and long after the planes hit the
>>>buildings. Dr. Shyam Sunder, Lead Investigator for NIST stated: "The jet
>>>fuel probably burned out in less than 10 minutes.ï¿½ (Field, 2005) On the
>>>other hand, pre-positioned explosives provide a plausible and simple
>>>explanation for the observations, satisfying Occamï¿½s razor (Jones,
>>>2005). Thus, it cannot be said that ï¿½no evidenceï¿½ can be found for the
>>>use of explosives. This serious matter needs to be treated as a
>>>plausible scientific hypothesis and thoroughly investigated."
>>
>>>Fire up google and see how many disagree with Dr. Shyam Sunder!
>>
>>Like you, he is a retard if you think we tossed the trade center, the
>>pentagon, and the plane in PA, faked the moon landing, and etc..
>> Yer a retard, get over it.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>- Show quoted text -
>
>
> You forgot to mention the OKC bombing,The Davidians Compound in
> Waco,The Jonestown Massacre,The Contra Affairs,The Gulf of Tonka
> incident,the bay of pigs,Pearl Harbor,WWI and WWII were all created by
> the CIA/illuminatini

My bad.
But I did include "etc.", so that he could use his rather fasinating
imagination.

892. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 15:38:16 UTC
From: Jah Wobble <Solaris@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dc56762$0$9084$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 228819
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/7/2011 7:44 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/7/2011 5:57 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Final answer, huh?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I pretty much nailed it, yup.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> ROFLOL
>>
>>
>>> You nailed it if your text is, somehow, going to take a turn based in
>>> logic:
>>
>>
>> You wouldn't know logic if it bit you in the ass, you retard.
>
>
> Logic and reason stand on their own, they only need a voice/media of
> expression:
>
> http://www.ustruthmovement.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=299:national-institute-of-standards-and-technology-john-gross-911-lead-investigator-shyam-sunder-wtc-7-collapse-nist-finally-admits-freefall&catid=1:latest-news&Itemid=50
>
>
> In the above example, even the deniers come around, eventually!
^^^^^^^
You spelled retards wrong, you retard.

893. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 15:40:58 UTC
From: Jah Wobble <Solaris@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dc56804$0$9062$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 228820
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

Krypsis wrote:

> On 8/05/2011 12:16 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
>> On May 6, 2:14 pm, Jah Wobble<Sola...@bass.gov> wrote:
>>
>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>> On 5/6/2011 10:17 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>> * US * wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 07:50:00 -0700 (PDT), harry k
>>>>>> <turnkey4...@hotmail.com> idiotically
>>>>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> The usual descriptions of sights and sounds of any building
>>>>>>> undergoing
>>>>>>> a collapse
>>>
>>>
>>>>>> When demolished ...
>>>
>>>
>>>>> That's what happens when very large airliners filled with fuel crashes
>>>>> into them at 500 Kts, you retard.
>>>>> They get demolished.
>>>
>>>
>>>> The aircraft didn't cause the fall. The plane hit the building, the
>>>> buildings stood. The fuel was splashed about, burnt off in ~15 mins.
>>>> and the buildings stood. Once the fuel was burnt off, all you were
>>>> left
>>>> with was a "normal" high-rise fire.
>>>
>>>
>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
>>> in perfect working order.
>>> You retard.
>>>

>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>>
>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!- Hide quoted text -
>>>
>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>
>> One plane load of diesel fuel could not have been enough to get all
>
>
> Minor correction. jet aircraft do NOT use diesel fuel. They don't even
> use gasoline or avgas. What do they use? Humble old kerosene.
> Admittedly, it's power kerosene but it's still kerosene.
>
>> the verticle support columns to give away simultaneously through out
>> the buildi to create a verticl collapse in the buildings footprints!
>>
>> The explosives were built into all the towers during their
>> construction!
>>
>> Listen to the architect's interview
>>
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uV1mBavoQzE&feature=player_embedded
>
>
> These jerks on the video look like they're trippin'. Actually, from your
> comments, I'd say you're doing a bit of trippin' too!

It was supposed to be funny....and by golly, it was!

894. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 15:43:13 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq3paiblc2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228821
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/7/2011 8:38 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:

>> ...
>>>> You nailed it if your text is, somehow, going to take a turn based in
>>>> logic:
>>>
>>>
>>> You wouldn't know logic if it bit you in the ass, you retard.
>>
>>
>> Logic and reason stand on their own, they only need a voice/media of
>> expression:
>>
>> http://www.ustruthmovement.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=299:nationa
l-institute-of-standards-and-technology-john-gross-911-lead-investigator-shyam-sunder-wtc-
7-collapse-nist-finally-admits-freefall&catid=1:latest-news&Itemid=50
>>
>>
>> In the above example, even the deniers come around, eventually!
> ^^^^^^^
> You spelled retards wrong, you retard.

http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/denier

1de·ni·er
noun \di-ˈnī(-ə)r, dē-\
Definition of DENIER
: one who denies <deniers of the truth>

ROFLOL

It just keeps getting better and better!

Regards,
JS

895. Re: Top News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 15:54:32 UTC
From: radiodavid <david.gleason@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <33433425-96fb-4197-b435-553ebe4cc206@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228822

On May 4, 4:04 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> The latest edition (4 May) of Top News has been posted:

>
> 239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the
> NASWA Country List.
>
> And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of
> Technology & Design (Backwater U.).
>
> They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about
> being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.

==========
From: - Sat Feb 16 00:52:22 2008

I really don't want to say this, my many shortwave friends, but
I find that I am giving up far to much of my increasingly valuable
time here on RRS trying to educate the faux, the debutantes, and
those who tote..

Therefore, I've simply decided to give up RRS.

Goodbye, I sincerely hope that you all find your niche in the
world of SW.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

896. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 16:00:57 UTC
From: Jah Wobble <Solaris@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dc56cb3$0$9077$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 228823
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/7/2011 8:38 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> >> ...
>
>>>>> You nailed it if your text is, somehow, going to take a turn based in
>>>>> logic:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> You wouldn't know logic if it bit you in the ass, you retard.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Logic and reason stand on their own, they only need a voice/media of
>>> expression:
>>>
>>> http://www.ustruthmovement.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=299:national-institute-of-standards-and-technology-john-gross-911-lead-investigator-shyam-sunder-wtc-7-collapse-nist-finally-admits-freefall&catid=1:latest-news&Itemid=50
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> In the above example, even the deniers come around, eventually!
>>
>> ^^^^^^^
>> You spelled retards wrong, you retard.
>
>
> http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/denier
>
> 1de·ni·er
> noun \di-'nī(-ə)r, dē-\
> Definition of DENIER
> : one who denies <deniers of the truth>
>
> ROFLOL
>
> It just keeps getting better and better!

Thanks for proving my point, you retard.
Why do you deny it, you retard?

897. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 16:05:23 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq3qk4$ot2$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228824
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/7/2011 9:00 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> On 5/7/2011 8:38 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>> >> ...
>>
>>>>>> You nailed it if your text is, somehow, going to take a turn based in
>>>>>> logic:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> You wouldn't know logic if it bit you in the ass, you retard.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Logic and reason stand on their own, they only need a voice/media of
>>>> expression:
>>>>
>>>> http://www.ustruthmovement.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=299:national-institute-of-standards-and-technology-john-gross-911-lead-investigator-shyam-sunder-wtc-7-collapse-nist-finally-admits-freefall&catid=1:latest-news&Itemid=50
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> In the above example, even the deniers come around, eventually!
>>>
>>> ^^^^^^^
>>> You spelled retards wrong, you retard.
>>
>>
>> http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/denier
>>
>> 1de·ni·er
>> noun \di-'nī(-ə)r, dē-\
>> Definition of DENIER
>> : one who denies <deniers of the truth>
>>
>> ROFLOL
>>
>> It just keeps getting better and better!
>

> Thanks for proving my point, you retard.
> Why do you deny it, you retard?

ROFLOL!!!

Regards,
JS

898. Re: Official Jackson MS NOAA flood page (pictures)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 16:12:10 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC56F5A-2369@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228825

We gots Tarzan's New York Adventure movie on the TCM channel right now.

It is a real Adventure, living in New York City.
cuhulin

899. Let's throw away the body and attempt to make the case on circumstantial evidence!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 16:37:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq3sgjvgm1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228826
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/07/osama-bin-laden-compound-videos

ROFLOL!

Regards,
JS

900. Re: Top News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 16:39:40 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC575CB.B3C763C5@milestones.com>
Source record: 228827

Stuff a sock up it, "Eduardo".

radiodavid wrote:

> On May 4, 4:04 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> > The latest edition (4 May) of Top News has been posted:
>
> >
> > 239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the
> > NASWA Country List.
> >
> > And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of
> > Technology & Design (Backwater U.).
> >
> > They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about
> > being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.
>
> ================================
> From: - Sat Feb 16 00:52:22 2008
>
> I really don't want to say this, my many shortwave friends, but
> I find that I am giving up far to much of my increasingly valuable
> time here on RRS trying to educate the faux, the debutantes, and
> those who tote..
>
> Therefore, I've simply decided to give up RRS.
>
> Goodbye, I sincerely hope that you all find your niche in the
> world of SW.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

901. Re: Official Jackson MS NOAA flood page (pictures)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 17:09:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15404-4DC57CCD-854@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228828

YO, Tarzan Tuff! Tarzan climb tall NYC building.Tarzan swing from that building on flagpole rope to other building.Tarzan go down drainpipe.Tarzan go to Brooklyn Bridge.Tarzan dive into Ocean, nobody can catch Tarzan! Tarzan show them New York City people how it's done.
cuhulin

902. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 17:15:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC57E16-2396@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228829

Some people used to mix five gallons of oil to a barrel of kerosene to use as diesel fuel for Bulldozers, if they didn't have any regular diesel fuel.
cuhulin

903. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 17:20:52 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC57F74-2397@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228830

They (''They'') turned Osama Bin Laden into a Retred for ''their''
latest agenda to wage Wahr (it's Wahr ~ Sam Nunn of Georgia) on
Pakistan, and Syria, and who knows how many more Countries?
cuhulin

904. Re: TV makes your brain shrink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 18:32:53 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc5900b$0$12501$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228831

On 05/07/2011 09:12 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> We gots Tarzan's New York Adventure movie on the TCM channel right now.
>
> It is a real Adventure, living in New York City.
> cuhulin
>

905. Re: ? So... You Look For Your Presidents Under Rocks !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 18:46:30 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0d4ffad7-8844-41b3-90f8-f108aa170785@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 6, 2:07 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 5, 9:12 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 5, 3:55 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 5, 5:32 am,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 4, 9:03 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 3, 7:22 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 2, 12:25 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > The enormous errors in the forged document simply boggles ones' mind ...
>
> > > > > > NAME ONE, Johnny KQQK.
>
> > > > > You don't even have a mind to boggle!
>
> > > > > <sips Victory Iced Tea>
>
> > > > ? So...
>
> > > So you can't NAME ONE THING either, eh, kooker? Hint: We knew you
> > > wouldn't!!
>
> > > <sips Victory Iced Tea>
>
> > ? So... You...
>
> > So you can't NAME ONE THING either, eh, kooker? Hint: We knew you
> > wouldn't!!
>
> - <sips Victory Iced Tea>
>
> Hey Larnrod your so-called 'Victory Iced Tea'
> is really ...

.. delicious and refreshing!! Especially now that i have you trained
as my new usenet puppy dog to come back and repeatedly FAIL to NAME
ONE THING as you have been commanded to do!!

How many times will ReallyHiliariousFuckwit return to demonstrate his Fred Astaire dancing skills as he dances around the demand that he NAME ONE THING in the document that is erroneous? We all know that, as a moron, he can't and he won't. He will only parrot back the kookspew that's been shoved down his throat by his masters.

906. Re: Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 18:51:03 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <33948dea-ed29-49a9-bfa1-8059b4c4ffd6@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 6, 1:51 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 5, 9:08 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 5, 4:04 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 5, 5:36 am,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 4, 8:27 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 4, 5:18 am,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 3, 10:04 am, Gunner Asch <gunnera...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > On Tue, 03 May 2011 07:21:52 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > > > wrote:
>
> > > > > > > >On 5/3/2011 7:19 AM, jf...@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > > > > > > >> ...
> > > > > > > >> I am pretty sure that he missed the six month deadline, but I would
> > > > > > > >> attribute it to domestic politcal opposition rather than to a lack of
> > > > > > > >> will on his part. Similarly, the inability to remove the prisoners
> > > > > > > >> from Guantanemo was not because he did not try, but because his
> > > > > > > >> political gadflies deliberately put obstacles in his way without
> > > > > > > >> providing any constructive alternatives. When he tries to keep his
> > > > > > > >> promises, but cannot only because of political obstructionsism by the
> > > > > > > >> Publicans, it is illogical to call those "lies".
>
> > > > > > > >Unique!
>
> > > > > > > >First time I heard that, so you are saying, "The devil stopped him from
> > > > > > > >doing it!"
>
> > > > > > > >That is certainly a new spin on things!
>
> > > > > > > >ROLOL
>
> > > > > > > >Regards,
> > > > > > > >JS
>
> > > > > > - - Particularlty when he sent in an ADDITIONAL

> > > > > - - 135,000 troops during the surge.
>
> > > > > - <snicker>
> > > > > -
> > > > > - Tell us when Obama did that, fuckwit.
> > > > > -
> > > > > - Hint: You're lying.
>
> > > > > Larnrod,
>
> - - - - From 2008 Election to Present as the US President
> - - - - Obama DID IT ! -cause- Afghanistan is the 'Right' War
> - - - - according to Candidate Obama.
>
> > > > Hint: Geography lesson for Kooker RHF: Afghanistan is not Iraq. I know
> > > > all those mooslim countries look alike to you nutbags, but they are
> > > > different.
>
> > > > BWAHAHAHHAHAHAAAA!!!!
>
> > > > <sips Victory Iced Tea>
>
> > > Praises : Let Us All...
>
> > <<S-L-A-P-!>>
>
> - HEY KOOK, answer the effin' QUESTION.
> - WHY do you evade the lie you tried to get away with?
> -
> - Afghanistan is NOT Iraq.
> - You are stupid and a liar,
> - which is to say ... a Republican.
>
> First Fact Check : In the Three Posts Leading
> Up To Your Claim "Afghanistan is NOT Iraq" :
> I never ever mentioned 'Iraq'

THANKS FOR ADMITTING YOU'RE EVADING THE ANSWER THEN, fuckwit!!

I was talking about Iraq and YOU keep diverting to Afghanistan, you fuckin' blatant liar. YOU keep trying to answer my question about Iraq by talking about Afghanistan and NOW YOU ADMIT being an evasive liar.

Try to grow a brain; I know that's difficult for a sheeple rightard to do, but the claim was about Obama withdrawing from IRAQ. Obama NEVER promised a quick withdrawal from Afghanistan and ALWAYS said as a candidate that Afghanistan was the right place to be, not Iraq. Your dishonesty is duly NOTED, shit-for-brains.

907. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 18:53:47 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8212d440-720f-4e43-a473-4bddc2e3f7fc@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228834
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 6, 4:37 pm, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/6/2011 1:58 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 6, 1:19 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> On 5/5/2011 5:27 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> >>> On May 3, 11:51 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >>>> On 5/3/2011 8:12 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
> >>>>> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
> >>>>>news:248f6358-1de4-4d98-848d-3c81e953579f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>
> >>>>>> None the less if there is no marriage Certificate still means he was
> >>>>>> fatherless,
>
> >>>>> And that, dearie, is what would make him a US natural born citizen
> >>>>> EVEN IF his mom had him in kenya, which it's been proven she did not.
> >>>>> If she was no legally married, then the location DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>
> >>>> That's completely wrong. The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration
> >>>> and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>
> >>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>
> >>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States
> >>>> and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien,
> >>>> and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth
> >>>> of such person, was physically present in the United States or its
> >>>> outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than
> >>>> ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age
> >>>> of fourteen years
>
> >>>> The marital status of the parents is irrelevant.
>
> >>> That is incorrect.
>
> >> No - it is correct.
>
> > Nope,
>
> Yep - I proved it.

No, you failed. I proved it.

> > So bigamy has become legal?
>
> You have no proof, of course, that Barack Hussein Obama Sr. was married
> to someone else while married to Stanley Ann Dunham.

You have no proof .

> > I win
>
> You lose.

I win of course.

> Had Hussein been born in Kenya, he would not have been a
> citizen: his citizen mother didn't meet the US residency requirement in
> effect at the time.

False.

908. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 18:54:29 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d8197787-0500-4070-86e9-d2972a50c657@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228835
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 6, 4:39 pm, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/6/2011 2:02 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 6, 9:32 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> On 5/6/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> >>> On May 5, 11:56 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>> On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>
> >>>>> On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >>>>>> On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
> >>>>>>> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >>>>>>>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> >>>>>>>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >>>>>>>>>> If he had a legal birth certificrate he would not have to use bogus
> >>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>
> >>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> >>>>>>>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> >>>>>>>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>
> >>>>>>>>>>http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>
> >>>>>>>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> >>>>>>>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>
> >>>>>>>>> --
> >>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>
> >>>>>>>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
> >>>>>>>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>
> >>>>>>> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>
> >>>>>>> This should be a good one..
>

> >>>>>>> --
> >>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> >>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>
> >>>>>> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
> >>>>>> tax this is British tarriffs
>
> >>>>> You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.
>
> >>>> I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British
> >>>> dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!
>
> >>> OK.
>
> >>>http://www.ushistory.org/DECLARATION/document/images/declarationscanb...
>
> >> That doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>
> > It proves everything,
>
> It doesn't "prove" anything, boy.

"Boy!" BWAHAHAHHAHAAAA!!!

Blimpton seems increasingly intent on maintaining his perfect record
of never having been correct about anything!

909. Re: Excellent conditions to Mongolia this morning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 19:21:27 UTC
From: hallicrafter@collins.net
Message-ID: <mf5bs6teio8c0t9sncu7rv35o36c8ckng3@4ax.com>
Source record: 228836

On Sat, 7 May 2011 07:56:53 -0700 (PDT), bpnjensen
<bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote:

>On May 7, 6:30ï¿½am, hallicraf...@collins.net wrote:
>> Worked an amateur in Mongolia this morning with about 50 watts SSB on
>> 20 meters. Time was just after 1300UTC.
>>
>> Jim(MI)
>
>Excellent - probably good for Central Asia in general, and overnight
>for V. Mongolia too.
>
>Bruce Jensen

Probably better than most people think. Could be just gray line
propagation, but sounded like a local. He was just calling CQ so I
figured I would call without the amplifier. Came back with a 55
report. He also said he was beaming over the north pole, so that is a
shorter path for me and probably accounts for the good signal strength
into Michigan.

Jim (MI)

910. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 19:57:07 UTC
From: rfischer@sonic.net
Message-ID: <4dc5a413$0$31359$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 228837
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
>> ...
>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
>> in perfect working order.
>> You retard.
>>
>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>
>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
>Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
>nano thermite residue.

The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
common metals used in high-rise construction.

--
Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
rfischer@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal

911. (OT) Kentucky Derby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 20:28:05 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC5AB55.4B6F3CA0@milestones.com>
Source record: 228838

My pick, of course, a horse piloted by Calvin Borel, Twice The Appeal.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

912. Re: (OT) Kentucky Derby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 21:15:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC5B679-2366@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228839

I am watching the 137th Kentucky Derby on the tee vee channel that
doesn't exist.WLBT 3.
cuhulin

913. Re: (OT) Kentucky Derby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 21:21:46 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC5B7E9.3C9B691B@milestones.com>
Source record: 228840

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> I am watching the 137th Kentucky Derby on the tee vee channel that
> doesn't exist.WLBT 3.

Pick a horse!

914. Re: TV makes your brain shrink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 21:40:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC5BC43-2379@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228841

SCOTLAND SCOTLAND SCOTLAND
http://www.rense.com
cuhulin

915. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 21:53:56 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <XuCdnbtqyYUeIljQnZ2dnUVZ5r-dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228842
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/7/2011 11:53 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 6, 4:37 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/6/2011 1:58 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 6, 1:19 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/5/2011 5:27 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 3, 11:51 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/3/2011 8:12 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>>
>>>>>>> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:248f6358-1de4-4d98-848d-3c81e953579f@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>>>>>> None the less if there is no marriage Certificate still means he was
>>>>>>>> fatherless,
>>
>>>>>>> And that, dearie, is what would make him a US natural born citizen
>>>>>>> EVEN IF his mom had him in kenya, which it's been proven she did not.
>>>>>>> If she was no legally married, then the location DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>>
>>>>>> That's completely wrong. The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration
>>>>>> and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>>>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>>>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States
>>>>>> and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien,
>>>>>> and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth
>>>>>> of such person, was physically present in the United States or its
>>>>>> outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than
>>>>>> ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age
>>>>>> of fourteen years
>>
>>>>>> The marital status of the parents is irrelevant.

Correct: it is irrelevant.

IF he had been born abroad, Hussein would not have been born a citizen,
under the law in effect in 1961.

>>> So bigamy has become legal?
>>

>> You have no proof, of course, that Barack Hussein Obama Sr. was married
>> to someone else while married to Stanley Ann Dunham.

And you still have none.

>>> I win
>>
>> You lose.

You lose.

Had Hussein been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen
under the law in effect in 1961.

916. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 21:55:30 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <XuCdnbpqyYV8IljQnZ2dnUVZ5r-dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228843
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/7/2011 11:54 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 6, 4:39 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/6/2011 2:02 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 6, 9:32 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/6/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 5, 11:56 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>> On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>> On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
>>>>>>>>> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
>>>>>>>>>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> If he had a legal birth certificate he would not have to use bogus
>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
>>>>>>>>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
>>>>>>>>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
>>>>>>>>>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>>
>>>>>>>>>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
>>>>>>>>>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>>
>>>>>>>>> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>>
>>>>>>>>> This should be a good one..

>>
>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted
text -
>>
>>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>>>>>>> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
>>>>>>>> tax this is British tarriffs
>>
>>>>>>> You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.
>>
>>>>>> I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British
>>>>>> dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!
>>
>>>>> OK.
>>
>>>>> http://www.ushistory.org/DECLARATION/document/images/declarationscanb...
>>
>>>> That doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>> It proves everything,
>>
>> It doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>
> "Boy!"

Correct. You're a snarky boy, wrong about everything. You're a boy -
not in doubt.

917. external Grundig YB400PE antenna recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 21:58:05 UTC
From: Mike S <mscir@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <iq4f9g$lq5$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228844

I was just given a Grundig YB400PE without the external antenna, and the shortwave reception inside my mobile home (metal box) without an external antenna is non-existent. After reading several of the antenna discussions here I was wondering if I could get recommendations about the best way to build an outdoor antenna. I can put up a large diameter loop outside, say up to 6 feet diameter, mounted above the roof, or I could run a straight wire about 40' running roughly East-West above the roof, or a combination of the two if that would help.

When I was a kid my dad bought a Hallicrafters shortwave, I think it as an SX-99, and he used the heavy dog run wire mounted about 10' above the ground, running from the house to a tree in the back yard oriented roughly North-South with no ground (neither of us knew anything about electronics then), and I got stations from all over the world, it was really interesting hearing the programming from other political slants as a kid. So I'm wondering how much I can pull in with this cheap little model.

TIA,
Mike

918. Re: The 'Real' Story Behind The Story of The 'Made-Up' Story of the Death/Killing of Osama bin-Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 22:06:08 UTC
From: Cheney'sDick <kinkysr@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a328374f-22e5-4339-99ca-76b6939d7310@dn9g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

The REAL scoop ...

A new WikiLeaks message indicates that the watercraft from which Osama was ditched was equipped with a sub-surface dragnet that snared the body, which is now at an undisclosed laboratory in the U.S.

919. Re: 9/11 TRUTHERS LOCKED UP FOR LIFE UNDER NEW US LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 22:13:35 UTC
From: fergo <fergo@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <ZPWdnfldGtKGWVjQnZ2dnUVZ_o-dnZ2d@westnet.com.au>
Source record: 228846
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

"BDK" <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.282df26cf2e9ce198a194@news.giganews.com...
> In article <iq08h0$3as$1@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>>
>> On 5/6/2011 12:32 AM, John Smith wrote:
>> > On 5/5/2011 1:48 PM, Rocky wrote:
>> >> http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
>> >>
>> >> Holy Shit. I'll bet Osama was killed by Obama because he was a 9/11
>> >> Truther
>> >> and that was the fastest way to silence him.
>> >> ...
>> >
>> > Interesting.
>> >
>> > Amending the Constitution illegally though criminal application of
>> > illegal laws ...
>> >
>> > I am telling you, you just can't make this type of chit up!
>> >
>> > Regards,
>> > JS
>>
>> Here is one more you just can't make up:
>>
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
>>
>> There should not be an American who doesn't find this disgusting ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> That you kooks will be forced to get treatment?
>
> Well, I wouldn't call it disgusting, I would call it a tragedy, as it
> would deprive us sane folks from reading all your crazy bullshit.
>
> You family would be thrilled though.
>
First they came for the communists,
and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a communist.

Then they came for the trade unionists,
and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a trade unionist.

Then they came for the Jews,
and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a Jew.

Then they came for me
and there was no one left to speak out for me.

920. Re: 9/11 TRUTHERS LOCKED UP FOR LIFE UNDER NEW US LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 22:17:01 UTC
From: george <gblack@hnpl.net>
Message-ID: <96a73a29-dfc4-4996-8d5f-76f429b98342@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228847
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 8, 10:13 am, "fergo" <fe...@iinet.net.au> wrote:
> "BDK" <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
>
> news:MPG.282df26cf2e9ce198a194@news.giganews.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > In article <iq08h0$3a...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
> >> On 5/6/2011 12:32 AM, John Smith wrote:
> >> > On 5/5/2011 1:48 PM, Rocky wrote:
> >> >>http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
>
> >> >> Holy Shit. I'll bet Osama was killed by Obama because he was a 9/11
> >> >> Truther
> >> >> and that was the fastest way to silence him.
> >> >> ...
>
> >> > Interesting.
>
> >> > Amending the Constitution illegally though criminal application of
> >> > illegal laws ...
>
> >> > I am telling you, you just can't make this type of chit up!
>
> >> > Regards,
> >> > JS
>
> >> Here is one more you just can't make up:
>
> >>http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
>
> >> There should not be an American who doesn't find this disgusting ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > That you kooks will be forced to get treatment?
>

> > Well, I wouldn't call it disgusting, I would call it a tragedy, as it
> > would deprive us sane folks from reading all your crazy bullshit.
>
> > You family would be thrilled though.
>
> First they came for the communists,
> and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a communist.
>
> Then they came for the trade unionists,
> and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a trade unionist.
>
> Then they came for the Jews,
> and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a Jew.
>
> Then they came for me
> and there was no one left to speak out for me.

There's no-one to speak for you at any point.
And I doubt very much if any-one considers you a threat of any kind

921. DX Corner on Voice of Turkey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 22:41:15 UTC
From: hallicrafter@collins.net
Message-ID: <kcgbs6lbh2sjjsckobviqrh1i5pbpc6hfp@4ax.com>
Source record: 228848

9830 kHz - Voice of Turkey - 2200 UTC - EE - starting with news headlines / DX Corner - mailbag & radio related program - 2215 UTC -2232 UTC - strong signal (heard Saturday)

922. Re: (OT) Kentucky Derby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 22:54:45 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC5CDB5.AD52871B@milestones.com>
Source record: 228849

dxAce wrote:

> My pick, of course, a horse piloted by Calvin Borel, Twice The Appeal.

Winner: Animal Kingdom. Twice The Appeal 10th.

>
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

923. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 22:55:40 UTC
From: "John B." <johnb505@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <c5b4065a-2703-4a0d-aa8f-eb3c5cd0d446@w36g2000vbi.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228850
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 6, 9:31 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/6/2011 2:14 PM, John B. wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 6, 5:09 pm, Horvath1...@net.net wrote:
> >> On Fri, 6 May 2011 12:45:36 -0700 (PDT), "John B."
> >> <johnb...@gmail.com> wrote this crap:
>
> >>>>> I would venture to guess that the government makes more off the sale of
> >>>>> a gallon of gas than is involved in all the profits of
> >>>>> mining/drilling/pumping/transporting/sale of all the private sector
> >>>>> business COMBINED!
>
> >>>>> The profits to government is already a heinous crime of the highest level!
>
> >>>> Sir, you are correct. The oil companies make only pennies per gallon
> >>>> at the pump. However, (from Wikipedia):
>
> >>>> State Diesel Taxes, April 2009Fuel taxes in the United States vary by
> >>>> state. The United States federal excise tax on gasoline, as of
> >>>> February 2011, is 18.4 cents per gallon and 24.4 cents per gallon for
> >>>> diesel fuel. In January 2011, motor gasoline taxes averaged 48.1 cents
> >>>> per gallon and diesel fuel taxes averaged 53.1 cents per gallon.[8]
> >>>> For the first quarter of 2009, the mean state gasoline tax is 27.2
> >>>> cents per US gallon, plus 18.4 cents per US gallon federal tax making
> >>>> the total 45.6 cents per US gallon (12.0 ¢/L). For diesel, the mean
> >>>> state tax is 26.6 cents per US gallon plus an additional 24.4 cents
> >>>> per US gallon federal tax making the total 50.8 cents US per gallon
> >>>> (13.4 ¢/L).
>
> >>>> It's not the oil companies gouging us, it's the government.
>
> >>> 45.6 cents is slightly more than 10 percent of what I paid per gallon
> >>> to fill up my car yesterday. All the rest went to Sunoco.
>
> >> Did it now? The station owner makes a profit. The delivery company

> >> makes a profit. The refineries and transportation companies get their
> >> cut.
>
> > OK, fine, but your prior assertion was that the government took it all.
>
> Actually, you might mean me. And, it is my belief that government DOES
> get over half of all the profit on a gallon of gas! Through multiple
> taxes on multiple facets of the oil industry, shipping, storing,
> selling, etc.
>
> Regards,
> JS

No, I meant Horvath. But none of the taxes you mention are paid by
retail purchasers of gasoline.

924. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-07 22:59:14 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC5CEC2.3D7D302B@milestones.com>
Source record: 228851
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John B." wrote:

> On May 6, 9:31 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> > On 5/6/2011 2:14 PM, John B. wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 6, 5:09 pm, Horvath1...@net.net wrote:
> > >> On Fri, 6 May 2011 12:45:36 -0700 (PDT), "John B."
> > >> <johnb...@gmail.com> wrote this crap:
> >
> > >>>>> I would venture to guess that the government makes more off the sale of
> > >>>>> a gallon of gas than is involved in all the profits of
> > >>>>> mining/drilling/pumping/transporting/sale of all the private sector
> > >>>>> business COMBINED!
> >
> > >>>>> The profits to government is already a heinous crime of the highest level!
> >
> > >>>> Sir, you are correct. The oil companies make only pennies per gallon
> > >>>> at the pump. However, (from Wikipedia):
> >
> > >>>> State Diesel Taxes, April 2009Fuel taxes in the United States vary by
> > >>>> state. The United States federal excise tax on gasoline, as of
> > >>>> February 2011, is 18.4 cents per gallon and 24.4 cents per gallon for
> > >>>> diesel fuel. In January 2011, motor gasoline taxes averaged 48.1 cents
> > >>>> per gallon and diesel fuel taxes averaged 53.1 cents per gallon.[8]
> > >>>> For the first quarter of 2009, the mean state gasoline tax is 27.2
> > >>>> cents per US gallon, plus 18.4 cents per US gallon federal tax making
> > >>>> the total 45.6 cents per US gallon (12.0 ï¿½/L). For diesel, the mean
> > >>>> state tax is 26.6 cents per US gallon plus an additional 24.4 cents
> > >>>> per US gallon federal tax making the total 50.8 cents US per gallon
> > >>>> (13.4 ï¿½/L).
> >
> > >>>> It's not the oil companies gouging us, it's the government.
> >
> > >>> 45.6 cents is slightly more than 10 percent of what I paid per gallon
> > >>> to fill up my car yesterday. All the rest went to Sunoco.

> >
> > >> Did it now? The station owner makes a profit. The delivery company
> > >> makes a profit. The refineries and transportation companies get their
> > >> cut.
> >
> > > OK, fine, but your prior assertion was that the government took it all.
> >
> > Actually, you might mean me. And, it is my belief that government DOES
> > get over half of all the profit on a gallon of gas! Through multiple
> > taxes on multiple facets of the oil industry, shipping, storing,
> > selling, etc.
> >
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> No, I meant Horvath. But none of the taxes you mention are paid by
> retail purchasers of gasoline.

The end consumer pays all of the taxes as they are reflected in the price at the pump.

Elsewise, where does the money come from except from the end consumer as it flows up the chain?

925. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 00:12:28 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228852
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> >> ...
> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
> >> in perfect working order.
> >> You retard.
>
> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
> >Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> >nano thermite residue.
>
> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>
> --
> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
a tale tell sign of thermate use.

Jon Bobble spell check that for me mine is broken TIA

926. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 00:18:39 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c33eba38-d1c3-4a13-9954-132f4ca7ff55@l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228853
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 7, 11:17 am, Krypsis <kryp...@optusnet.com.au> wrote:
> On 8/05/2011 12:16 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 6, 2:14 pm, Jah Wobble<Sola...@bass.gov> wrote:
> >> John Smith wrote:
> >>> On 5/6/2011 10:17 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> >>>> * US * wrote:
>
> >>>>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 07:50:00 -0700 (PDT), harry k
> >>>>> <turnkey4...@hotmail.com> idiotically
> >>>>> wrote:
>
> >>>>>> The usual descriptions of sights and sounds of any building undergoing
> >>>>>> a collapse
>
> >>>>> When demolished ...
>
> >>>> That's what happens when very large airliners filled with fuel crashes
> >>>> into them at 500 Kts, you retard.
> >>>> They get demolished.
>
> >>> The aircraft didn't cause the fall. The plane hit the building, the
> >>> buildings stood. The fuel was splashed about, burnt off in ~15 mins.
> >>> and the buildings stood. Once the fuel was burnt off, all you were left
> >>> with was a "normal" high-rise fire.
>
> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
> >> in perfect working order.
> >> You retard.
>
> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -

>
> > One plane load of diesel fuel could not have been enough to get all
>
> Minor correction. jet aircraft do NOT use diesel fuel. They don't even
> use gasoline or avgas. What do they use? Humble old kerosene.
> Admittedly, it's power kerosene but it's still kerosene.
>
> > the verticle support columns to give away simultaneously through out
> > the buildi to create a verticl collapse in the buildings footprints!
>
> > The explosives were built into all the towers during their
> > construction!
>
> > Listen to the architect's interview
>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uV1mBavoQzE&feature=player_embedded
>
> These jerks on the video look like they're trippin'. Actually, from your
> comments, I'd say you're doing a bit of trippin' too!
>
> Krypsis- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Please explain how my comments makes you think of tripping.How often
do you do this? Is it a problem or have you not sold your soul yet to
support your habbit?

927. Re: OBAMAS' FALSE BIRTH CERTIFCATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 00:22:42 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq4noi$46i$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228854
Cross-posted to: alt.california,sci.skeptic,alt.tv.stargate-universe,alt.tasteless.jokes,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/7/2011 1:24 PM, Tupac wrote:

>> ...
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
>
> John Smith, you're a moron.

Funny, those with a loose grip on reality seem to have that opinion ...

Regards,
JS

928. Re: OBAMAS' FALSE BIRTH CERTIFCATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 00:31:38 UTC
From: "Douglas D. Anderson" <dda@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4dc5e471$0$18778$882e7ee2@usenet-news.net>
Source record: 228855
Cross-posted to: alt.california,sci.skeptic,alt.tv.stargate-universe,alt.tasteless.jokes,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote
> On 5/7/2011 1:24 PM, Tupac wrote:
>
>>> ...
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>>
>> John Smith, you're a moron.
>
> Funny, those with a loose grip on reality seem to have that opinion ...
>
Sometimes a loose grip is better than no grip at all.

929. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 00:36:43 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <97c54912-36fe-45f5-90db-d62ba8423b21@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228856
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 7, 3:59 pm, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> "John B." wrote:
> > On May 6, 9:31 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> > > On 5/6/2011 2:14 PM, John B. wrote:
>
> > > > On May 6, 5:09 pm, Horvath1...@net.net wrote:
> > > >> On Fri, 6 May 2011 12:45:36 -0700 (PDT), "John B."
> > > >> <johnb...@gmail.com> wrote this crap:
>
> > > >>>>> I would venture to guess that the government makes more off the sale of
> > > >>>>> a gallon of gas than is involved in all the profits of
> > > >>>>> mining/drilling/pumping/transporting/sale of all the private sector
> > > >>>>> business COMBINED!
>
> > > >>>>> The profits to government is already a heinous crime of the highest level!
>
> > > >>>> Sir, you are correct. The oil companies make only pennies per gallon
> > > >>>> at the pump. However, (from Wikipedia):
>
> > > >>>> State Diesel Taxes, April 2009Fuel taxes in the United States vary by
> > > >>>> state. The United States federal excise tax on gasoline, as of
> > > >>>> February 2011, is 18.4 cents per gallon and 24.4 cents per gallon for
> > > >>>> diesel fuel. In January 2011, motor gasoline taxes averaged 48.1 cents
> > > >>>> per gallon and diesel fuel taxes averaged 53.1 cents per gallon.[8]
> > > >>>> For the first quarter of 2009, the mean state gasoline tax is 27.2
> > > >>>> cents per US gallon, plus 18.4 cents per US gallon federal tax making
> > > >>>> the total 45.6 cents per US gallon (12.0 ¢/L). For diesel, the mean
> > > >>>> state tax is 26.6 cents per US gallon plus an additional 24.4 cents
> > > >>>> per US gallon federal tax making the total 50.8 cents US per gallon
> > > >>>> (13.4 ¢/L).
>
> > > >>>> It's not the oil companies gouging us, it's the government.
>
> > > >>> 45.6 cents is slightly more than 10 percent of what I paid per gallon
> > > >>> to fill up my car yesterday. All the rest went to Sunoco.
>
> > > >> Did it now? The station owner makes a profit. The delivery company
> > > >> makes a profit. The refineries and transportation companies get their
> > > >> cut.
>
> > > > OK, fine, but your prior assertion was that the government took it all.
>
> > > Actually, you might mean me. And, it is my belief that government DOES

> > > get over half of all the profit on a gallon of gas! Through multiple
> > > taxes on multiple facets of the oil industry, shipping, storing,
> > > selling, etc.
>
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
>
> > No, I meant Horvath. But none of the taxes you mention are paid by
> > retail purchasers of gasoline.
>
> The end consumer pays all of the taxes as they are reflected in the price at the pump.
>
> Elsewise, where does the money come from except from the end consumer as it flows up
> the chain?

Exactly.

930. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 00:36:56 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq4oj7$46i$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228859
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/7/2011 12:57 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:

> ...
> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>

ROFLOL

Too bad that morons like you have to have it pointed out that the military and building demolition experts can't use building materials to create explosions!

That statement of yours is one of the most moronic I have yet heard, to date!

Super nano-thermite, as found in the dust of the WTC demolitions is only found in a laboratory, which then sells it to military and other licensed, and controlled, users!

Military grade thermite is not created in buildings falling ... it a left over residue of controlled demolitions.

Fooling morons to believe anything else is certainly not productive ... well, unless you are attempting the title of, "Leader of the Moron Pack."

ROFLOL You are priceless ...

Regards,
JS

931. Re: (OT) Kentucky Derby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 00:39:14 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dfe3c708-a5ca-4345-bccd-25989875a43e@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228857

On May 7, 3:54 pm, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > My pick, of course, a horse piloted by Calvin Borel, Twice The Appeal.
>
> Winner: Animal Kingdom. Twice The Appeal 10th.
>
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA

Wow! Hope you didn't bet the farm on that one! Let's see - at 10th
place, that works out to 1/5th the Appeal... <sorry>

932. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 00:39:50 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq4ool$596$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228860
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/7/2011 2:57 PM, Herbert Cannon wrote:

>> ...
>> You do have problems.
>>
>> Meanwhile, assassinations still aren't trials.
>
> Wannabe we all know you are a moron. Might as well own up to it.

Actually, I was just noticing how you morons attempting to intimidate
everyone to silence is not working ... I'd ask how you felt it was
working out for you ... but then, why ask the obvious?

Regards,
JS

933. Rave On Radio (pirate)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 00:40:07 UTC
From: hallicrafter@collins.net
Message-ID: <i3pbs6dbja2imurh8nvvr080v7ng9sg0mg@4ax.com>
Source record: 228858

Rave on Radio testing on 6925 kHz USB. Basically guy repeating "This is a test of Rave on Radio - testing 1-2-3-4 - Rave on Radio". Also reports directed to hf underground. Transmissions heard from 0027 - 0038 UTC.

Jim(MI)

934. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 00:43:39 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iq4sgvvcf5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228868
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"joeturn" <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:80fbb058-5296-4598-95d4-6f92815405b8@b19g2000yqg.googlegroups.com...
> On May 6, 2:14 pm, Jah Wobble <Sola...@bass.gov> wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > On 5/6/2011 10:17 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>> >> * US * wrote:
>>
>> >>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 07:50:00 -0700 (PDT), harry k
>> >>> <turnkey4...@hotmail.com> idiotically
>> >>> wrote:
>>
>> >>>> The usual descriptions of sights and sounds of any building
>> >>>> undergoing
>> >>>> a collapse
>>
>> >>> When demolished ...
>>
>> >> That's what happens when very large airliners filled with fuel crashes
>> >> into them at 500 Kts, you retard.
>> >> They get demolished.
>>
>> > The aircraft didn't cause the fall. The plane hit the building, the
>> > buildings stood. The fuel was splashed about, burnt off in ~15 mins.
>> > and the buildings stood. Once the fuel was burnt off, all you were
>> > left
>> > with was a "normal" high-rise fire.
>>
>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
>> in perfect working order.
>> You retard.
>>
>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>
>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> One plane load of diesel fuel could not have been enough to get all
> the verticle support columns to give away simultaneously through out

> the buildi to create a verticl collapse in the buildings footprints!

Really? Collapse into the building's footprint you say?

http://i28.photobucket.com/albums/c230/Scout_tpg/wtc_collapse2a.jpg

I don't think so.

Once you have any idea of the facts, then maybe you can try again.

935. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 00:49:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq4pac$7vv$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228861
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/7/2011 3:55 PM, John B. wrote:

> ...
> No, I meant Horvath. But none of the taxes you mention are paid by
> retail purchasers of gasoline.

Why, are you completely stupid???

Who do you think pays those taxes??? AGAIN???

I pass the taxes I pay onto to others in the price of goods I sell, or the services I provide! Even my cost of living is those goods and services! I am not in business to pay taxes, I am in business to make money to pay my living expenses, create my savings/retirement accounts, fund my vacations, purchase my boat, buy my second home, the wifes gold jewelery, etc., etc. Now I couldn't do that if I was paying taxes, could I? Trust me, FINAL CONSUMERS PAY THE TAXES!!! Or, in other words, business takes your money to pay its' taxes! Think about it!

You had better get a firm grip on reality there. That price of gas carries every tax picked up along the way, it is the FINAL CONSUMER who pays those ... I'll give you a clue: "ASK YOUR ACCOUNTANTS!"

Regards,
JS

936. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 00:56:47 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq4poe$8qh$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228862
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/7/2011 12:57 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
>>> in perfect working order.
>>> You retard.
>>>
>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>>
>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>>
>> Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
>> nano thermite residue.
>
> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>

All the elements which the human body is built of exists in the dirt.
That does not mean you should expect to find humans living underground,
in the dirt, nor that those elements will suddenly interact and create a
human body.

Themite/thermate is created in labs ...

Regards,
JS

937. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 01:04:06 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq4q65agt1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228863
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On 5/7/2011 5:12 PM, joeturn wrote:

> ...
> but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> Jon Bobble spell check that for me mine is broken TIA

938. Re: DX Corner on Voice of Turkey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 01:08:09 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq4qdp$c2o$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228864

On 5/7/2011 3:41 PM, hallicrafter@collins.net wrote:
>
>
> 9830 kHz - Voice of Turkey - 2200 UTC - EE - starting with news
> headlines / DX Corner - mailbag& radio related program - 2215 UTC
> -2232 UTC - strong signal (heard Saturday)

I will check out that one, and grab some english broadcasts. DX Corner
sounds interesting to me.

Thanks,
JS

939. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 01:13:42 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq4qo5$c2o$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228865

On 5/7/2011 10:15 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Some people used to mix five gallons of oil to a barrel of kerosene to
> use as diesel fuel for Bulldozers, if they didn't have any regular
> diesel fuel.
> cuhulin
>

Doesn't a lot of farm equipment actually run on No. 1 diesel? It is exempt from fuel taxes, or used to be?

I think in past times truckers used to be caught with tanks full of No. 1 Diesel, to avoid the fuel taxes. If I remember correctly, the fines were so steep a trucker could lose his rig in fines and court costs!

But, things may have changed by now ...

Regards,
JS

940. Re: The 'Real' Story Behind The Story of The 'Made-Up' Story of the Death/Killing of Osama bin-Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 01:15:57 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq4qsc$e27$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/7/2011 3:06 PM, Cheney'sDick wrote:
> The REAL scoop ...
>
> A new WikiLeaks message indicates that the watercraft from which Osama
> was ditched was equipped with a sub-surface dragnet that snared the
> body, which is now at an undisclosed laboratory in the U.S.
>

LOL! Very "JamesBondIsh!"

Regards,
JS
```

941. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 01:35:38 UTC
From: rfischer@sonic.net
Message-ID: <4dc5f36a$0$31365$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 228867
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>On 5/7/2011 12:57 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>
>> ...
>> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
>> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>>
>
>ROFLOL
>
>Too bad that morons like you have to have it pointed out that the
>military and building demolition experts can't use building materials to
>create explosions!

Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
for the truth.

--
Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
rfischer@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal

942. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 02:05:00 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq4toa$e27$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228869
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/7/2011 6:35 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:

> ...
> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
> for the truth.
>

It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth certificate. Any damn fool should know better. I pity the poor fools who can't check that out for themselves!

It is insane to use a modified controlled demolition on the WTC and expect everyone to be stupid enough to not notice. I mean, what were they thinking? The only explanation is that morons expect everyone else to be a moron and can't see the differences!

Physicists, scientists, engineers and architects are going to see something suspicious when looked at closely, and they did -- which is exactly why you can't find any credible, credentialed, respected and honest ones who will NOT ask for an investigation, even the lead person on the phony investigation admits another comprehensive investigation dealing with the points ignored should be conducted ... the morons who did that false flag are insane alright!

Problem is, the real criminals are the public servants who influence the criminal courts ... and that is taking awhile to work out ...

DUH!

Regards,
JS

943. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 02:10:03 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq4u1p$e27$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228870
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/7/2011 6:55 PM, Harold Burton wrote:
> In article
> <57c92a41-2670-4447-8345-73f6038f029a@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com>,
> Car Crashes Mean Car Sales - GM loves highway criminals
> <betaxxx@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>> We all know why. No evidence. All the evidence points to inside job.
>>
>> Now it's - Dead men tell no tales.
>
>
> Works for me, especially against idiot conspiracy nutcases.
>
>
> snicker

Post all that credible evidence again, you seem to have forgotten to
include it in all your other posts ...

Funny, but all I can find are posts of yours with inane and moronic
remarks composing the entire posts! Surely, we have all missed your
great words of wisdom, top notch research, concrete facts, proofs and
lab results proving your text. As, I am afraid without, your posts
build up like a bums trash in an alley ...

Regards,
JS

944. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 02:28:12 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <mpidnSkPKuQjYljQnZ2dnVY3go-dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228871
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"joeturn" wrote in message
news:9a428be6-ebd7-438b-9f57-3866f8e45569@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
Well just think they were put in the buildings in 1963 it a wonder
they all followed sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made dudes
out on them!

Well, now THAT would be a good trick, since groundbreaking took place in
Aug, 1966, with completion of the first tower in December, 1972 and the
second in July of 1973.

945. Re: external Grundig YB400PE antenna recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 02:28:53 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <iq4v56uop1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228872

On 5/7/11 16:58 , Mike S wrote:
> I was just given a Grundig YB400PE without the external antenna, and
> the shortwave reception inside my mobile home (metal box) without an
> external antenna is non-existent. After reading several of the
> antenna discussions here I was wondering if I could get
> recommendations about the best way to build an outdoor antenna. I
> can put up a large diameter loop outside, say up to 6 feet diameter,
> mounted above the roof, or I could run a straight wire about 40'
> running roughly East-West above the roof, or a combination of the
> two if that would help.
>
> When I was a kid my dad bought a Hallicrafters shortwave, I think it
> as an SX-99, and he used the heavy dog run wire mounted about 10'
> above the ground, running from the house to a tree in the back yard
> oriented roughly North-South with no ground (neither of us knew
> anything about electronics then), and I got stations from all over
> the world, it was really interesting hearing the programming from
> other political slants as a kid. So I'm wondering how much I can
> pull in with this cheap little model.
>
> TIA,
> Mike

It's actually quite sensitive, as portables go. An external antenna will do quite nicely.

Being quite general, here...as a quick starter, a wire, 10-20 feet long is all that's necessary. More than that and you may be subject to overload of the front end, which will create artifacts up and down the dial.

A decent ground may or may not benefit you. Your receiver input isn't like the input on your SX-99. Working against a ground isn't necessary with some solid state designs. YB400PE is one of them.

That is, unless you transform the input. Then you'll work the antenna against an earth ground on the antenna side of the transformer, and the receiver on the other. This will reduce some noise, mostly electrical.

More elaborate installations are possible, and some may work quite nicely, like trapped dipoles, for instance. And of course, always experiment. There are programs that can even model you an antenna to suit your specifics. And Kevin, here, has done that in the past for another member. But to get you started...a wire is all that's necessary to bring your SW bands to life.

946. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 02:29:09 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0a56a1b8-bc9c-45bb-aba8-7cf6bf848b15@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228873
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On May 7, 9:04 pm, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/7/2011 5:12 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> > Jon Bobble spell check that for me mine is broken TIA
> The properties exhibited by thermite bear a signature which absolutely
> proves it to be man made.
>
> For example: You can stack a bunch of rocks together and form a wall.
> Or, men can cut, polish, etc. rocks into geometric shapes and build a wall.
>
> You will NEVER be likely to confuse the two stone walls, nor lack
> ability to recognize the difference between the two ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Very true and THERMATE was the military patented grade of THERMITE
used for incenterary devices in WWII super quick cutting through armor

947. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 02:33:03 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <072079e1-d2e4-4ffa-95b4-c49affcc36ef@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228874
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 7, 10:28 pm, "Brenda Ann" <newsgro...@fullspectrumradio.org>
wrote:
> "joeturn" wrote in message
>
> news:9a428be6-ebd7-438b-9f57-3866f8e45569@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> Well just think they were put in the buildings in 1963 it a wonder
> they all followed sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made dudes
> out on them!
>
> ---
> ---
>
> Well, now THAT would be a good trick, since groundbreaking took place in
> Aug, 1966, with completion of the first tower in December, 1972 and the
> second in July of 1973.

Thank you very much for pointing out my error,but I'm sure you could
see what I meant lets just say prior to 1978 that will corect the
trick part. Your apoligy has been accepted.

948. Two new toys...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 02:43:08 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <643b6598-60a4-41f1-9e33-6fb2ee06aaf1@cu4g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228875

(1) A Ten Tec Century 21 "medium power" (25-30w) transceiver. This thing has to be the coolest rig in the world. Great direct conversion receiver. CW only. Awesome.

(2) Surplus German "Junker" straight key. Enjoyed using it this afternoon. Interestingly different from my other straight keys. Nice feel and sound. Adjustments very easy to make.

Feel like Christmas!

949. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 02:43:09 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq4vvr$e27$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228876
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/7/2011 7:20 PM, joeturn wrote:

> ...
> Well just think they were put in the buildings in 1963 it a wonder
> they all followed sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made dudes
> out on them!

Hey, DUDE!

That is spelled dud (duds), no offense meant ... but, a bunch of dudes hanging around in the walls, since 1963, would make for an interesting discussion also ... :-)

Regards,
JS

950. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 02:46:53 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aa285c7d-d467-4f77-adfc-289f63ff17d3@x1g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228877
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 7, 8:43 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
wrote:
> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:80fbb058-5296-4598-95d4-6f92815405b8@b19g2000yqg.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 6, 2:14 pm, Jah Wobble <Sola...@bass.gov> wrote:
> >> John Smith wrote:
> >> > On 5/6/2011 10:17 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> >> >> * US * wrote:
>
> >> >>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 07:50:00 -0700 (PDT), harry k
> >> >>> <turnkey4...@hotmail.com> idiotically
> >> >>> wrote:
>
> >> >>>> The usual descriptions of sights and sounds of any building
> >> >>>> undergoing
> >> >>>> a collapse
>
> >> >>> When demolished ...
>
> >> >> That's what happens when very large airliners filled with fuel crashes
> >> >> into them at 500 Kts, you retard.
> >> >> They get demolished.
>
> >> > The aircraft didn't cause the fall. The plane hit the building, the
> >> > buildings stood. The fuel was splashed about, burnt off in ~15 mins.
> >> > and the buildings stood. Once the fuel was burnt off, all you were
> >> > left
> >> > with was a "normal" high-rise fire.
>
> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
> >> in perfect working order.
> >> You retard.
>
> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?

>
> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > One plane load of diesel fuel could not have been enough to get all
> > the verticle support columns to give away simultaneously through out
> > the buildi to create a verticl collapse in the buildings footprints!
>
> Really? Collapse into the building's footprint you say?
>
> http://i28.photobucket.com/albums/c230/Scout_tpg/wtc_collapse2a.jpg
>
> I don't think so.
>
> Once you have any idea of the facts, then maybe you can try again.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Well just think they were put in the buildings in durring their
construction from 1966 through 1973 it's a wonder they all followed
sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made duds out of all them!

Thanks to John Smith the spell checker!

951. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 02:50:40 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5e684898-fdf5-471a-aaec-f8be4e8da73d@w24g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228878
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 7, 10:43 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/7/2011 7:20 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Well just think they were put in the buildings in 1963 it a wonder
> > they all followed sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made dudes
> > out on them!
>
> Hey, DUDE!
>
> That is spelled dud (duds), no offense meant ... but, a bunch of dudes
> hanging around in the walls, since 1963, would make for an interesting
> discussion also ... :-)
>
> Regards,
> JS

When did jimmy hoffa come up missing?

952. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 02:56:40 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq50p6$e27$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228879
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/7/2011 7:24 PM, Horvath1758@net.net wrote:

> ...
> At long last! Somebody gets it. Thank you, JS. I've said all along
> that corporate taxes are a hidden tax on the consumer. Corporate
> taxes stifle business and pass the costs on to the consumer.
>
> Corporate taxes are a lose-lose proposition. Not to mention that if a
> corporation makes a profit, the dividends are taxed. that makes it a
> lose-lose-lose proposition. And if the stock prices go up, there is a
> capital gains tax, a lose-lose-lose-lose proposition.
>
>
> Vote for Trump in 2012. Repeal the nightmares.

Still, some method would be necessary to take excessive profits away
from business and introduce it back in the economy to keep all members
of the society in an acceptable standard of living.

Ever played Monopoly? Without the controls, mentioned above, all the
money (gold, oil, land, food, medicine, etc.) would end up in a few
hands and the remainder of the people would starve, and die in various
ways because of insufficient money to afford supplies, housing, medical,
etc.

Taxing the rich is, so far, the only means of preventing the doomsday
scenario mentioned ...

Regards,
JS

953. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 02:58:59 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq50th$e27$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228880
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/7/2011 7:50 PM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 7, 10:43 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/7/2011 7:20 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Well just think they were put in the buildings in 1963 it a wonder
>>> they all followed sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made dudes
>>> out on them!
>>
>> Hey, DUDE!
>>
>> That is spelled dud (duds), no offense meant ... but, a bunch of dudes
>> hanging around in the walls, since 1963, would make for an interesting
>> discussion also ... :-)
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> When did jimmy hoffa come up missing?

The union president of the Teamsters who they dissolved in sulfuric acid
and flushed down a drain?

" ... disappeared at, or sometime after, 2:45 pm on July 30, 1975, ..."

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jimmy_Hoffa

Regards,
JS

954. Re: The 'Real' Story Behind The Story of The 'Made-Up' Story of the...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 03:00:53 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC60765-2510@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228883

And I read somewhere a few days ago he took a nose dive out of an
Aircraft.

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=How+and+when+did+Osama+Bin+Laden+die?
cuhulin

955. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 03:01:24 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <mM6dnXLpRLsbmlvQnZ2dnVY3go6dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228881
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"joeturn" wrote in message
news:5e684898-fdf5-471a-aaec-f8be4e8da73d@w24g2000yqb.googlegroups.com...

On May 7, 10:43 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/7/2011 7:20 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Well just think they were put in the buildings in 1963 it a wonder
> > they all followed sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made dudes
> > out on them!
>
> Hey, DUDE!
>
> That is spelled dud (duds), no offense meant ... but, a bunch of dudes
> hanging around in the walls, since 1963, would make for an interesting
> discussion also ... :-)
>
> Regards,
> JS

When did jimmy hoffa come up missing?

Hmmmmm......

"James Riddle "Jimmy" Hoffa (born February 14, 1913 – disappeared July 30,
1975"

Maybe he was in one of those sub-basements that were finished later on...

956. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 03:06:05 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq51aq$e27$10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228882
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/7/2011 8:01 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
> "joeturn" wrote in message
> news:5e684898-fdf5-471a-aaec-f8be4e8da73d@w24g2000yqb.googlegroups.com...
>
> On May 7, 10:43 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/7/2011 7:20 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>> > ...
>> > Well just think they were put in the buildings in 1963 it a wonder
>> > they all followed sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made dudes
>> > out on them!
>>
>> Hey, DUDE!
>>
>> That is spelled dud (duds), no offense meant ... but, a bunch of dudes
>> hanging around in the walls, since 1963, would make for an interesting
>> discussion also ... :-)
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> When did jimmy hoffa come up missing?
>
>
> --------------------------------------------------------------------------------
>
> --------------------------------------------------------------------------------
>
>
> Hmmmmm......
>
> "James Riddle "Jimmy" Hoffa (born February 14, 1913 – disappeared July
> 30, 1975"
>
> Maybe he was in one of those sub-basements that were finished later on...
>
>

ROFLOL ...

Brenda, you just have to aggravate, don't cha'?
```

Takes one to know one ... :-)

Regards,
JS

957. Re: Why wasn't George Bush captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 03:14:10 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq51pv$e27$11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228884
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/7/2011 7:46 PM, joeturn wrote:

> ...
> Well just think they were put in the buildings in durring their
> construction from 1966 through 1973 it's a wonder they all followed
> sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made duds out of all them!
>
> Thanks to John Smith the spell checker!
>
>

DUDE!

They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...

Part One - Tenants
http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html

Part Two - Security
http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html

Part Three - Convergence
http://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton.html

Part Four - Cleanup
http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html

Regards,
JS

958. Re: Why wasn't George Bush captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 03:52:00 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC61360-2528@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228886

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=On+The+Contrary:+Bodyguard+of+Lies+protects+the+Truth+about+Osama+Bin+Laden

Dig him up and bury him again.
cuhulin

959. Re: Two new toys...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 03:57:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC614A3-2532@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228885

Did your Christmas early, did you? Merry Christmas.
I have an old antique Key for Morse Code.I bought it at Goodwill many
years ago.
cuhulin

960. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 04:22:33 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9e185463-add6-40d4-b224-b4512973d9e8@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228887
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 7, 9:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/7/2011 7:46 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Well just think they were put in the buildings in durring their
> > construction from 1966 through 1973 it's a wonder they all followed
> > sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made duds out of all them!
>
> > Thanks to John Smith the spell checker!
>
> DUDE!
>
> They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade

No they weren't. FACT. In any case, no explosives went off. FACT. Your
theory is utter disproven 100% bullshit, disproven on its face.

961. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 04:31:55 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <633dd6f6-0988-4a3e-9766-ec7d286ed3c7@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228888
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 7, 3:53 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:

> Had Hussein been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen
> under the law in effect in 1961.

Yes he would have. The US does not recognize bigamy, kooker. You lose.
I win.

962. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 04:33:06 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1b7faca2-8053-4270-bc16-bd009a587ee0@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228889
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 7, 3:55 pm, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/7/2011 11:54 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 6, 4:39 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> On 5/6/2011 2:02 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> >>> On May 6, 9:32 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >>>> On 5/6/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> >>>>> On May 5, 11:56 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>>>> On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>> On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>> On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
> >>>>>>>>> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >>>>>>>>>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
> >>>>>>>>>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> If he had a legal birth certificrate he would not have to use bogus
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
> >>>>>>>>>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
> >>>>>>>>>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>
> >>>>>>>>>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
> >>>>>>>>>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>
> >>>>>>>>>> --
> >>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>
> >>>>>>>>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
> >>>>>>>>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>
> >>>>>>>> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?

>
> >>>>>>>>> This should be a good one..
>
> >>>>>>>>> --
> >>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted
text -
>
> >>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>
> >>>>>>>> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
> >>>>>>>> tax this is British tarriffs
>
> >>>>>>> You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.
>
> >>>>>> I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British
> >>>>>> dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!
>
> >>>>> OK.
>
> >>>>>http://www.ushistory.org/DECLARATION/document/images/declarationscanb...
>
> >>>> That doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>
> >>> It proves everything,
>
> >> It doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>
> > "Boy!"
>
> Correct.

> You're a snarky boy, wrong about everything.

I LOVE the smell of irony from the rightards!!

> You're a boy -
> not in doubt.

BWAHAHAHAHAAAAA!!! Blimpie... determined to be a fuck-up to the very
end!!

963. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 04:41:34 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <K_SdnXjtEIKAglvQnZ2dnUVZ5qidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228890
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/7/2011 9:31 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 7, 3:53 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>
>> Had Hussein been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen
>> under the law in effect in 1961.
>
> Yes he would have.

No. His mother was the only citizen parent. Whether she was married or not, she didn't meet the residency requirement.

If you think you can cite something showing that an unmarried 18-year-old American citizen giving birth abroad in 1961 would have begat an American citizen, then cite it. Otherwise, boy, shut the fuck up.

964. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 04:41:51 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC61F0F-2544@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228892

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Media+Scrambles+as+Bin+Laden+Story+Crumbles

Let those MOFOS SCRAMBLE! There isn't Any damn thing they (''they'',
Ministry of Propaganda) can say that will 'Take'.
Damage Control!

AFVN (Armed Forces Vietnam Network) Weather Girl.
http://www.tsna.org
cuhulin

965. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 04:46:48 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <K_SdnXXtEILKvVvQnZ2dnUVZ5qidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228891
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/7/2011 9:33 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 7, 3:55 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/7/2011 11:54 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 6, 4:39 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/6/2011 2:02 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 6, 9:32 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>>>> On May 5, 11:56 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>>> On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
>>>>>>>>>>> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
>>>>>>>>>>>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
>>>>>>>>>>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
>>>>>>>>>>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
>>>>>>>>>>>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
>>>>>>>>>>>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>>

>>>>>>>>>>> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> This should be a good one..
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted
text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
>>>>>>>>>> tax this is British tarriffs
>>
>>>>>>>>> You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.
>>
>>>>>>>> I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British
>>>>>>>> dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!
>>
>>>>>>> OK.
>>
>>>>>>> http://www.ushistory.org/DECLARATION/document/images/declarationscanb...
>>
>>>>>> That doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>>>> It proves everything,
>>
>>>> It doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>> "Boy!"
>>
>> Correct.
>
>> You're a snarky boy, wrong about everything.
>
> I LOVE the smell of irony

You're a snarky boy. You're male, and due to your immaturity, you're a
boy, and due to your snarky, wet-behind-the-ears juvenile demeanor,
you're a snarky boy - live with it, boy.

966. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 05:28:22 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <QemdnQFdk_2Lt1vQnZ2dnUVZ5rqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228893
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a), paragraph 7 of the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, aka the McCarran-Walter Act, specifies which children born abroad to parents of whom only one is an American citizen is himself an American citizen:

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the
United States
and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an
alien,
and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to
the birth
of such person, was physically present in the United States
or its
outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not
less than
ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age
of fourteen years

The snarky boy, "iaranrod", pretends that this only applies to to children born to married couples, only one of whom is an American citizen. The snarky boy then assumes that if the couple were not legally married, then a child born to them would be a citizen. That's *WRONG*. Section 309 actually places *greater* restrictions, not fewer, on establishing the American citizenship of the child if the parents were not married:

CHILDREN BORN OUT OF WEDLOCK:

Sec. 309. (a) The provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (7) of section 301 (a), and of paragraph (2) of section 308, of this title shall apply as of the date of birth to a child born out of wedlock on or after the effective date of this Act, if the paternity of such child is established while such child is under the age of twenty-one years by legitimation.

http://library.uwb.edu/guides/USimmigration/66%20stat%20163.pdf

So, regardless of whether or not Hussein's parents were married, he would not have been born a citizen had he been born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions: regardless of his mother's marital status, she didn't meet the necessary requirements for giving birth abroad to an American citizen under the

law effective in 1961: QED.

967. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 05:33:15 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <UIudne3KVJ-htlvQnZ2dnUVZ5oSdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228894
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

In 1961, a child born abroad to one alien parent and one American citizen parent aged 18 or under would not be an American citizen. This is not in rational dispute.

If Hussein Obama, the putative president of the US, were born abroad, he would not be a citizen and therefore would be ineligible for election to the presidency.

968. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 05:48:40 UTC
From: rfischer@sonic.net
Message-ID: <4dc62eb8$0$31367$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 228895
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
> Ray Fischer wrote:

>> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
>> for the truth.
>
>It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth certificate.

It is stupid to believe crazy conspiracy whackos.

--
Ray Fischer | Mendocracy (n.) government by lying
rfischer@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal

969. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 05:55:21 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iq5b8bdvn1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228896
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"joeturn" wrote in message
news:0a56a1b8-bc9c-45bb-aba8-7cf6bf848b15@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
> On May 7, 9:04 pm, John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/7/2011 5:12 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>> > ...
>> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
>> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>>
>> > Jon Bobble spell check that for me mine is broken TIA>
>> The properties exhibited by thermite bear a signature which absolutely
>> proves it to be man made.
>>
>> For example: You can stack a bunch of rocks together and form a wall.
>> Or, men can cut, polish, etc. rocks into geometric shapes and build a
>> wall.
>>
>> You will NEVER be likely to confuse the two stone walls, nor lack
>> ability to recognize the difference between the two ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Very true and THERMATE was the military patented grade of THERMITE
> used for incenterary devices in WWII super quick cutting through armor

So tell me, how exactly did they manage to get several tons of thermite into the building, expose the necessary girders, set the devices, and manage to control them all with absolutely no one noticing or spilling the beans later? Further, how exactly do you manage to cause the visible point of failure to be exactly the aircraft impact site, and how exactly do you manage to protect the devices from all that damage and fire while still providing 100% reliability?

Sorry, but seems to me that explanation leaves a lot to be desired and based more on conjecture and supposition than actual fact.

970. Re: Why wasn't George Bush captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 06:22:09 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <554a2fe0-f3de-4299-ad73-f6d6811cb21d@j23g2000yqc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228897
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 7, 11:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/7/2011 7:46 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Well just think they were put in the buildings in durring their
> > construction from 1966 through 1973 it's a wonder they all followed
> > sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made duds out of all them!
>
> > Thanks to John Smith the spell checker!
>
> DUDE!
>
> They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
> was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
>
> Part One - Tenantshttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
>
> Part Two - Securityhttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
>
> Part Three -
Convergencehttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton...
>
> Part Four - Cleanuphttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
>
> Regards,
> JS

No they were put in during construction for quick removal,maybe the
detonaters were conected during that time!

Just like the man says at 6:00 into this video ."He was there when it
happened and I guess he ought to know"

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uV1mBavoQzE&feature=player_embedded

971. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 06:43:34 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <944fa765-420b-4259-b0c8-5c16db65a24c@w10g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228898
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On May 8, 1:55 am, "Scout"
wrote:
> "joeturn" wrote in message
>
> news:0a56a1b8-bc9c-45bb-aba8-7cf6bf848b15@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 7, 9:04 pm, John Smith wrote:
> >> On 5/7/2011 5:12 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >> > ...
> >> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> >> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> >> > Jon Bobble spell check that for me mine is broken TIA
> >> The properties exhibited by thermite bear a signature which absolutely
> >> proves it to be man made.
>
> >> For example: You can stack a bunch of rocks together and form a wall.
> >> Or, men can cut, polish, etc. rocks into geometric shapes and build a
> >> wall.
>
> >> You will NEVER be likely to confuse the two stone walls, nor lack
> >> ability to recognize the difference between the two ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > Very true and THERMATE was the military patented grade of THERMITE
> > used for incenterary devices in WWII super quick cutting through armor
>
> So tell me, how exactly did they manage to get several tons of thermite into
> the building, expose the necessary girders, set the devices, and manage to
> control them all with absolutely no one noticing or spilling the beans
> later? Further, how exactly do you manage to cause the visible point of
> failure to be exactly the aircraft impact site, and how exactly do you
> manage to protect the devices from all that damage and fire while still
> providing 100% reliability?
>

> Sorry, but seems to me that explanation leaves a lot to be desired and based
> more on conjecture and supposition than actual fact.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Sprayed on Asbestos was used to protect them from the elements.

The girders are roof members of little consiquence.

They were not worried about being noticed setting the charges,as it
was common practice to do this back then so the buildings could be
removed easily in a tight area,so as not to do excessible damage to
the surronding structures!

Actually there was no Plane hit nor Fire it was all a smoke
screen.Somebody used smudge pots to create the illusion of fires and
pushed dummys out of the windows to create the illusion of jumpers!

How many tons of thermate would you estimate it took to burn a slot
into the vertical support columns on every other floor,knowing
kerosene wouldn't do it?

972. Re: Waco, a false flag operation? Any evidence?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 07:49:33 UTC
From: RL <freedom@fighter.info>
Message-ID: <2hics6t6bt7k9chftnpqfqpnrms2612os6@4ax.com>
Source record: 228900
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.rec.guns,alt.crime,can.talk.guns

On Tue, 26 Apr 2011 15:52:39 -0700, John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
wrote:

|>
|>FROM HERE:
|>http://informationliberation.com/?id=34998
|>
|>False flag operations involving our own public servants, gee, where have
|>we seen that before?

I think it's called a Breach of the *Posse Comitatus Act*, whereas
the Guvmint will NOT use the Military against the people.

Bob

--
Triad Productions-Fantalla~EZine~ParaNovel
National Association of Assault Research
(http://*remove*pages.istar.ca/~vampire/badcop.html)

973. As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Wrong Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 07:53:45 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <044d1a82-a1d1-48ef-a7cf-9f0afed61ede@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228899
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 7, 9:31 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 7, 3:53 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
>
> > Had Hussein been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen
> > under the law in effect in 1961.
>
- Yes he would have.
- The US does not recognize bigamy, kooker.
- You lose.
- I win.

Larnrod,
Actually most US States {The USA] Do 'Recongnize'
"Bigamy" as a Crime.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bigamy

Larnrod,
What most US States {The USA] Does Not 'Recongnize'
"Polygamy" : Being In Multiple Marriage at the same time.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polygamy_in_the_United_States

Larnrod : So much for you many un-ending assertions
of : "You lose. & I win."

it is simply logical... ~ RHF
-and-you-are-simply-'larnrod'-
.
.

974. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 08:21:16 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iq5jq1dmv1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228901
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"joeturn" wrote in message
news:944fa765-420b-4259-b0c8-5c16db65a24c@w10g2000yqa.googlegroups.com...
> On May 8, 1:55 am, "Scout"
> wrote:
>> "joeturn" wrote in message
>>
>> news:0a56a1b8-bc9c-45bb-aba8-7cf6bf848b15@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 7, 9:04 pm, John Smith wrote:
>> >> On 5/7/2011 5:12 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>> >> > ...
>> >> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which
>> >> > is
>> >> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>>
>> >> > Jon Bobble spell check that for me mine is broken TIA>
>> >> The properties exhibited by thermite bear a signature which absolutely
>> >> proves it to be man made.
>>
>> >> For example: You can stack a bunch of rocks together and form a wall.
>> >> Or, men can cut, polish, etc. rocks into geometric shapes and build a
>> >> wall.
>>
>> >> You will NEVER be likely to confuse the two stone walls, nor lack
>> >> ability to recognize the difference between the two ...
>>
>> >> Regards,
>> >> JS
>>
>> > Very true and THERMATE was the military patented grade of THERMITE
>> > used for incenterary devices in WWII super quick cutting through armor
>>
>> So tell me, how exactly did they manage to get several tons of thermite
>> into
>> the building, expose the necessary girders, set the devices, and manage
>> to
>> control them all with absolutely no one noticing or spilling the beans

>> later? Further, how exactly do you manage to cause the visible point of
>> failure to be exactly the aircraft impact site, and how exactly do you
>> manage to protect the devices from all that damage and fire while still
>> providing 100% reliability?
>>
>> Sorry, but seems to me that explanation leaves a lot to be desired and
>> based
>> more on conjecture and supposition than actual fact.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> Sprayed on Asbestos was used to protect them from the elements.

Sorry, but that doesn't prevent physical damage nor does it prevent heat
damage given a hot enough fire and/or enough time.

So once again, I ask how can you protect them against the impact of an
airliner, subsequent fires and of course be utterly inconspicuous.

> The girders are roof members of little consiquence.

I see, so your idea of how to collapse a skyscraper is to collapse the
roof.....and you think the official story doesn't consider reality....

Oh, and FYI, doesn't explain why in at least one case the visible point of
failure is clearly the sight of the impact from the airliner.

> They were not worried about being noticed setting the charges

Really?

People running around the building going into private spaces, ripping down
the drywall, scrapping off the fireproofing, hooking massive objects to the
girders, and no one is going to notice, remember and comment about it later?

ROTFLMAO.

>,as it
> was common practice to do this back then

Right, way back in then Bush knew that several decades later he would be
President and would need an excuse to go to war.

Sheese, and you call the 9/11 commission delusionary.

> so the buildings could be
> removed easily in a tight area,so as not to do excessible damage to
> the surronding structures!

Sorry, but it is NOT common practice, then or now to put demolition charges
in a building unless you are actually in the process of demolishing the
building.

> Actually there was no Plane hit nor Fire it was all a smoke
> screen.

Right, that explains the eyewitness accounts and video footage of an airliner running into the building....

975. Re: Why wasn't George Bush captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 08:24:30 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iq5k01$e3n$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228902
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"joeturn" <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:554a2fe0-f3de-4299-ad73-f6d6811cb21d@j23g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
> On May 7, 11:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/7/2011 7:46 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>> > ...
>> > Well just think they were put in the buildings in durring their
>> > construction from 1966 through 1973 it's a wonder they all followed
>> > sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made duds out of all them!
>>
>> > Thanks to John Smith the spell checker!
>>
>> DUDE!
>>
>> They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
>> was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
>>
>> Part One -
>> Tenantshttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
>>
>> Part Two -
>> Securityhttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
>>
>> Part Three -
>> Convergencehttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton...
>>
>> Part Four -
>> Cleanuphttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> No they were put in during construction for quick removal,maybe the
> detonaters were conected during that time!

Then clearly the building plans, contract documents, inspectors, and so on
would know ALL about them, right?

Interesting that absolutely NO ONE recalls such devices, no plans exist of
their inclusion in the building, nor the fact that no other building build
during this time has been shown to include such devices in their
construction.

Really, you need to talk to someone, your mental health is seriously in doubt.

976. Re: external Grundig YB400PE antenna recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 08:25:38 UTC
From: Mike S <mscir@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <iq5k25vk1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228903

On 5/7/2011 7:28 PM, D. Peter Maus wrote:
> On 5/7/11 16:58 , Mike S wrote:
>> I was just given a Grundig YB400PE without the external antenna, and
>> the shortwave reception inside my mobile home (metal box) without an
>> external antenna is non-existent. After reading several of the
>> antenna discussions here I was wondering if I could get
>> recommendations about the best way to build an outdoor antenna. I
>> can put up a large diameter loop outside, say up to 6 feet diameter,
>> mounted above the roof, or I could run a straight wire about 40'
>> running roughly East-West above the roof, or a combination of the
>> two if that would help.
>>
>> When I was a kid my dad bought a Hallicrafters shortwave, I think it
>> as an SX-99, and he used the heavy dog run wire mounted about 10'
>> above the ground, running from the house to a tree in the back yard
>> oriented roughly North-South with no ground (neither of us knew
>> anything about electronics then), and I got stations from all over
>> the world, it was really interesting hearing the programming from
>> other political slants as a kid. So I'm wondering how much I can
>> pull in with this cheap little model.
>>
>> TIA,
>> Mike
>
>
> It's actually quite sensitive, as portables go. An external antenna will
> do quite nicely.
>
> Being quite general, here...as a quick starter, a wire, 10-20 feet long
> is all that's necessary. More than that and you may be subject to
> overload of the front end, which will create artifacts up and down the
> dial.
>
> A decent ground may or may not benefit you. Your receiver input isn't
> like the input on your SX-99. Working against a ground isn't necessary
> with some solid state designs. YB400PE is one of them.
>
> That is, unless you transform the input. Then you'll work the antenna
> against an earth ground on the antenna side of the transformer, and the
> receiver on the other. This will reduce some noise, mostly electrical.
>
> More elaborate installations are possible, and some may work quite
> nicely, like trapped dipoles, for instance. And of course, always
> experiment. There are programs that can even model you an antenna to

> suit your specifics. And Kevin, here, has done that in the past for
> another member. But to get you started...a wire is all that's necessary
> to bring your SW bands to life.

Hi D. Peter Maus,

Will any guage work?

Also, if I run the wire East-West I'm guessing that it would have very low sensitivity to half of the signals arriving at the antenna, does that sound right? So to remedy that would an L shape help, with 10' oriented North-South and another 10' is oriented East-West, one end open, the other end attached to the radio antenna jack. How does that sound?

Thanks,
Mike

977. "BO" The Anchor Baby ! -wrt- Obama The Child of an Illegitimate Father

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 08:31:18 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <689992d9-17ae-4b03-a97e-89b0de18a79d@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 7, 9:41 pm, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/7/2011 9:31 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > On May 7, 3:53 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>
- - - Had Hussein been born abroad, he would
- - - not have been born a citizen under the law
- - - in effect in 1961.

- - Yes he would have.

- No. His mother was the only citizen parent.
- Whether she was married or not, she didn't
- meet the residency requirement.
-
- If you think you can cite something showing
- that an unmarried 18-year-old American citizen
- giving birth abroad in 1961 would have begat an
- American citizen, then cite it.
- Otherwise, boy, shut the fuck up.

-read- An Illegitimate Child, an Illegitimate President
-by- Pamela Geller -source- World Net Daily
http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=294981
...was... BHO #1 an INS Person of Interest . . . in 1961
-more-questions-many-more-questions-lots-of-questions-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e1d77549ce53d23b
.
Conclusion : The Son of BHO #1 Was An "Anchor" Baby
for his Parents 'Sham'* Marriage Being Investigated by
the INS in 1961
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anchor_baby
-now-you-know-'why'-bho-is-soft-on-illegal-immigration-
"BO" The Anchor Baby !
.
* "Sham" Marriage -cause- BHO #1 was already Legally
Married in Kenya and had a Kenyan Wife #1 and a Baby
Boy and Girl living in Kenya.
+ Then BHO #1 married Wife #2 [Hawaii] and they had "BO"
+ Next BHO #1 had a Wife #3 [Harvard] and they had 2
Male Children {after "BO"s Mother Wife #2}
-conclusion- "BO" {Obama} the Child of an Illegitimate Father
.
.

978. UN SEEN HOT VIDEOS AND SEXY PHOTOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 08:46:38 UTC
From: HRJHRJB <odgfhfhdfv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8fb60675-241b-451b-ba1f-037666539e75@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228905

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

979. The 'Liberal' Radio Talk Show Host Mike Malloy Called for the US Navy Seals to "Take Bush Out" !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 09:17:19 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <5f11e928-8de5-4f21-820d-a5173b690e54@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 7, 8:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/7/2011 7:46 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Well just think they were put in the buildings in durring their
> > construction from 1966 through 1973 it's a wonder they all followed
> > sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made duds out of all them!
>
> > Thanks to John Smith the spell checker!
>
> DUDE!
>
> They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
> was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
>
> Part One - Tenantshttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
>
> Part Two - Securityhttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
>
> Part Three -
Convergencehttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton...
>
> Part Four - Cleanuphttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
>
> Regards,
> JS

The 'Liberal' Radio Talk Show Host "Mike Malloy"
Called for the US Navy Seals to "Take Bush Out"
http://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2011/05/04/lib_talker_when_will_navy_seals_take_out
_george_bush.html

Yep the 'Liberal' Mike Malloy promotes "Taking Out"
a former us president...{GWB -aka- "W"}
http://storyballoon.org/blog/2011/05/05/mike-malloy-when-will-navy-seals-take-out-george-b
ush/
* Truly : Liberalism Is A Mental Disorder
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AEwVcsHnf3o
.
More Liberal-Democrat "Bull's Eyes" Placed on
Conservatives and Republicans
-liberal-hate-speech-and-liberal-fascist-tactics-
.

More Liberal-Democrat "Targeting" Conservatives
and Republicans
-liberal-hate-speech-and-liberal-fascist-tactics-
.
Another Example of Liberal-Democrat "Hate Speech"
Directed At Conservatives and Republicans
-liberal-hate-speech-and-liberal-fascist-tactics-
.
Liberals Using Fascist Tactics Against Their
Political Opponents : That's Liberal-Fascism ! ~ RHF
.
The Validity of the Term "Liberal-Fascist" :
Let This Be A 'Teachable Moment'©
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4823663c64a2fc97
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/41a88a08408798c9
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ca03248f303cd11c
* Is It Impossible for Liberals to be Fascists ?
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4bc858344efbacb1
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/02c2600097def3e3
.
-read- Three (3) Phases of Liberal Decay :
Ending in Liberal-Fascism
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/84f4b03c6765f814
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/18424e411a0b81c1
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/1c994e28ae67c073
.
.
=READ= "Liberal-Fascism" -by- Jonah Goldberg
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Liberal_Fascism
http://www.nationalreview.com/liberal-fascism
http://www.nytimes.com/2007/12/30/books/review/Oshinsky-t.html
.
The Validity of the Term "Liberal-Fascist" :
Is It Impossible for Liberals to be Fascists ?
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4bc858344efbacb1
.
The Validity of the Term "Liberal-Fascist"
- = The Liberal Rule = -
It Is Impossible for Liberals to be Fascists !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/02c2600097def3e3
.
"Liberal-Fascism" Something the Liberals and
Progressives Can NOT Explain Away©
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/43606fc5821a6cec
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/d2dba27fe8797a29
.
Obama State Corporatist Socialism a New Phase
of Liberal-Fascism -that's- O-Ba-Ma-Merica !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/1b4562efbcccef61
.

.

980. What Is Your Strangest {Rumor} About : What Really Happen to Osama bin-Laden ? : "The Story" !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 09:25:11 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7a805d16-f475-4133-8975-1ab77d0ca3a9@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 6, 10:42 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 4, 10:05 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> >http://www.infowars.com/top-us-government-insider-bin-laden-died-in-2...
>
> > On Alex Jones live web cast now:
>
> >http://www.infowars.com/stream.pls
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> "JS"
> .
> The 'Real' Story Behind The Story of The 'Made-Up'
> Story of the Death/Killing of Osama bin-Laden
> -made-for-tv- -made-in-hollywood- -made-for-tv-
> .
> 1 - Now being reported {rumored} that Osama bin-Laden
> was being 'held-in-protective-custody' by Pakistan with
> the US Government's knowledge.
> -osama-bin-laden-the-useful-media-:-'blame'-obl-idiot-
> .
> 2 - Osama bin-Laden recently died in the last week from
> Natural Causes from Long Term Medical Problems.
> -osama-bin-laden-the-great-terrorist-enemy-boogeyman-
> .
> 3 - The Obama bi-Den© Regime decided with Pakistan
> to get 'creative' and orchestrate the Great Media 'Story'
> of the Killing of Osama bin-Laden by the US Navy Seals.
> -making-use-of-the-dead-useful-idiot-osama-bin-laden-
> .
> 4 - This Obama bi-Den© Media Moment Has Been
> Brought To You By Al-Qaida a Global Terrorist Co-Op
> -osama-bin-laden-former-cob-/-spokes-person-
> .
> ? Or May Be This Is The Latest Piece of Obama bi-Den©
> Dis-Information : To Confuse The Minds of The Confuse !
> -it's-all-very-confusing-yeah-really-confusing-media-fog-
> .
> and now you know... the rest of the story ;;-}} ~ RHF
> -?-or-not-?-:-obama-bi-den©-can-you-trust-him-?-

> .
> .
.
The 'Real' Story Behind The Story of The 'Made-Up'
Story of the Death/Killing of Osama bin-Laden...
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/20bf8c79fe5a900c
.
What Is Your Strangest {Rumor} About : What Really
Happen to Osama bin-Laden ? : "The Story" !
.
.

981. 21st Century O-Bam-A-Merica ! =The Game Plan=

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 09:45:57 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <6epcs699t76m0344eip40sl2gscbmp1oq7@4ax.com>
Source record: 228908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

```
On Sun, 8 May 2011 08:13:35 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:

>
>
>"BDK" <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
>news:MPG.282df26cf2e9ce198a194@news.giganews.com...
>> In article <iq08h0$3as$1@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>>>
>>> On 5/6/2011 12:32 AM, John Smith wrote:
>>> > On 5/5/2011 1:48 PM, Rocky wrote:
>>> >> http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
>>> >>
>>> >> Holy Shit. I'll bet Osama was killed by Obama because he was a 9/11
>>> >> Truther
>>> >> and that was the fastest way to silence him.
>>> >> ...
>>> >
>>> > Interesting.
>>> >
>>> > Amending the Constitution illegally though criminal application of
>>> > illegal laws ...
>>> >
>>> > I am telling you, you just can't make this type of chit up!
>>> >
>>> > Regards,
>>> > JS
>>>
>>> Here is one more you just can't make up:
>>>
>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
>>>
>>> There should not be an American who doesn't find this disgusting ...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> That you kooks will be forced to get treatment?
>>
>> Well, I wouldn't call it disgusting, I would call it a tragedy, as it
>> would deprive us sane folks from reading all your crazy bullshit.
>>
>> You family would be thrilled though.
```

-First they came for the communists,
-and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a communist.
-
-Then they came for the trade unionists,
-and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a trade unionist.
-
-Then they came for the Jews,
-and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a Jew.
-
-Then they came for me
-and there was no one left to speak out for me.

Revised for 21st Century O-Bam-A-Merica ! :

First the Liberal-Fascist came for the Tea-Party'ers
and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a Conservative.

Then the Liberal-Fascist came for the Political Opposition,
and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a Republican.

Next the Liberal-Fascist came for the Born-Again Christians,
and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a One-of-Them.

Finally the Liberal-Fascist came for Ordinary Folks {Me}
and there was no one left to speak out for Us {Me}.

got to stay current with the 21st century
liberal-fascist 'game plan' ~ RHF
.
.

982. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 09:48:23 UTC
From: Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4dc666ee$0$22474$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 228909
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 8/05/2011 10:18 AM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 7, 11:17 am, Krypsis<kryp...@optusnet.com.au> wrote:
>> On 8/05/2011 12:16 AM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 6, 2:14 pm, Jah Wobble<Sola...@bass.gov> wrote:
>>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>>> On 5/6/2011 10:17 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>>>>>> * US * wrote:
>>
>>>>>>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 07:50:00 -0700 (PDT), harry k
>>>>>>> <turnkey4...@hotmail.com> idiotically
>>>>>>> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>> The usual descriptions of sights and sounds of any building undergoing
>>>>>>>> a collapse
>>
>>>>>>> When demolished ...
>>
>>>>>> That's what happens when very large airliners filled with fuel crashes
>>>>>> into them at 500 Kts, you retard.
>>>>>> They get demolished.
>>
>>>>> The aircraft didn't cause the fall. The plane hit the building, the
>>>>> buildings stood. The fuel was splashed about, burnt off in ~15 mins.
>>>>> and the buildings stood. Once the fuel was burnt off, all you were left
>>>>> with was a "normal" high-rise fire.
>>
>>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
>>>> in perfect working order.
>>>> You retard.
>>
>>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
>>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
>>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>
>>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!- Hide quoted text -
>>

>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>> One plane load of diesel fuel could not have been enough to get all
>>
>> Minor correction. jet aircraft do NOT use diesel fuel. They don't even
>> use gasoline or avgas. What do they use? Humble old kerosene.
>> Admittedly, it's power kerosene but it's still kerosene.
>>
>>> the verticle support columns to give away simultaneously through out
>>> the buildi to create a verticl collapse in the buildings footprints!
>>
>>> The explosives were built into all the towers during their
>>> construction!
>>
>>> Listen to the architect's interview
>>
>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uV1mBavoQzE&feature=player_embedded
>>
>> These jerks on the video look like they're trippin'. Actually, from your
>> comments, I'd say you're doing a bit of trippin' too!
>>
>> Krypsis- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> Please explain how my comments makes you think of tripping.

Your comments like these excerpted below make me now sure you're
trippin'. My gut feeling is that you need serious help real soon.

> Sprayed on Asbestos was used to protect them from the elements.
>
> The girders are roof members of little consiquence.
>
> They were not worried about being noticed setting the charges,as it
> was common practice to do this back then so the buildings could be
> removed easily in a tight area,so as not to do excessible damage to
> the surronding structures!
>
> Actually there was no Plane hit nor Fire it was all a smoke
> screen.Somebody used smudge pots to create the illusion of fires and
> pushed dummys out of the windows to create the illusion of jumpers!
>
> How many tons of thermate would you estimate it took to burn a slot
> into the vertical support columns on every other floor,knowing
> kerosene wouldn't do it?

> How often do you do this?

I've never done it I'm happy to say. I'm quite content to leave that sort of thing to you and your ilk. Seeing the effects of excess trippin' on your brain, I'm quite convinced now that I did the right thing.

> Is it a problem or have you not sold your soul yet to
> support your habbit?

No need to sell ones soul if one doesn't have a habit to support.

QED: I have no problem.

Krypsis

983. Re: Why wasn't George Bush captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 10:12:48 UTC
From: Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4dc66ca6$0$19225$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 228910
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 8/05/2011 6:24 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "joeturn" <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:554a2fe0-f3de-4299-ad73-f6d6811cb21d@j23g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
>> On May 7, 11:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/7/2011 7:46 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>>
>>> > ...
>>> > Well just think they were put in the buildings in durring their
>>> > construction from 1966 through 1973 it's a wonder they all followed
>>> > sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made duds out of all them!
>>>
>>> > Thanks to John Smith the spell checker!
>>>
>>> DUDE!
>>>
>>> They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
>>> was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
>>>
>>> Part One -
>>> Tenantshttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
>>>
>>> Part Two -
>>> Securityhttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
>>>
>>> Part Three -
>>> Convergencehttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton...
>>>
>>>
>>> Part Four -
>>> Cleanuphttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> No they were put in during construction for quick removal,maybe the
>> detonaters were conected during that time!
>
> Then clearly the building plans, contract documents, inspectors, and so
> on would know ALL about them, right?
>
> Interesting that absolutely NO ONE recalls such devices, no plans exist

> of their inclusion in the building, nor the fact that no other building
> build during this time has been shown to include such devices in their
> construction.
>
> Really, you need to talk to someone, your mental health is seriously in
> doubt.
>
>
Well, the reality is that his mental health or, more correctly, his lack
of mental health has never been in doubt. His scribblings are quite
effective in removing all doubt

Krypsis

984. Prez "BO" {Obama}... Blood On One Hand and Oil On The Other

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 10:24:26 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <mrpcs6hnmk0nb4quk4vjlim2pus1rs0i2i@4ax.com>
Source record: 228911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On Sat, 7 May 2011 11:51:03 -0700 (PDT), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
wrote:

- - - On May 5, 9:08ï¿½pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
- - - Afghanistan is NOT Iraq.
- - - You are stupid and a liar,
- - - which is to say ... a Republican.

- - On May 6, 1:51ï¿½am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
- - First Fact Check : In the Three Posts Leading
- - Up To Your Claim "Afghanistan is NOT Iraq" :
- - I never ever mentioned 'Iraq'
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/0133de928cb9382d
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c241a6e05b6a30e4
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f65cf49e871e40d4
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8e6d41465ff6595c
-THANKS FOR ADMITTING YOU'RE EVADING THE ANSWER THEN,
- fuckwit!!
-
-*I* was talking about Iraq and YOU keep diverting to Afghanistan,
- you fuckin' blatant liar.
- YOU keep trying to answer my question about Iraq by talking
- about Afghanistan and NOW YOU ADMIT being an evasive liar.

- Try to grow a brain; I know that's difficult for a sheeple rightard
- to do, but the claim was about Obama withdrawing from IRAQ.
- Obama NEVER promised a quick withdrawal from Afghanistan
- and ALWAYS said as a candidate that Afghanistan was the right
- place to be, not Iraq. You rdishonesty is duly NOTED,
shit-for-brains.

larnrod,,, Larnrod... LARNROD ! ! ! -spews-out- fuckwit!! + fuckin'
blatant liar + evasive liar + grow a brain + sheeple rightard
+ shit-for-brains ::: You Got Your Liberal-Fascist Name Calling
Rant Down Real Good -you-must-practice-this-'bully'-tactic-a-lot-
.
- - - = = = RHF's Canned Reply 'Rant' = = = - - -
[>: To Liberal-Fascist {Democrat} Name Calling :<]
ROTFL - You Know When You Are Winning An Argument :
When An Ever So Super-Smart "Enlightened" Liberal
Democrat Socialist Starts Fascist Name Calling*.
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/318979fbe8546cfa
* They Lose Their Ability To Think And Get Emotional
+ Plus They Often Snip/Delete What You Wrote :

To Disguise Their Complete Inability To Carry The
Argument Forward. -that-is-how-i-see-it- ~ RHFï¿½
.
.
Larnrod -while- I Am Staying On Message for the Fourth Post :
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8e6d41465ff6595c
I Am Talking About Afghanistan
I Am Talking About Candidate Obama
I Am Talking About Obama Saying Afghanistan
Was The 'Right' War
I Am Talking About Prez Obama Escalating
the Afghanistan War :
More Killing of Muslims By Obama
More Killing of Innocent Women By Obama
More Killing of Innocent Children By Obama
-that's- Obama The Nobel Peace Prize Winner
-reality- Obama The War Monger -wrt- Merchant-of-Death !
-obama...-blood-on-one-hand-&-oil-on-the-other-
.
...and... Now Obama The Peace-Bomber of Libya
yet another Obama War for Oil . . .
-obama...-blood-on-one-hand-&-oil-on-the-other-
.
Praises : Let Us All Say Our Daily "Thank Yous" To The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/0133de928cb9382d
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c241a6e05b6a30e4
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f65cf49e871e40d4
.
Call-to-Action : Re-Elect Obama bi-Denï¿½ in 2012 !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c23d4cef6137999b
.
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
-why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
-on-the-original-micro-film-record-
-and-who-made-them...-who...-
Again : Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-
.
Leon Panetta -confirms- Prez Obama's Road To
Killing Osama bin-Laden -via- GitMo and
Water-Broading {Torture} Detainees
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6e47feba3e799ec5
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/fd82a971698e4b19
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4c095dc777eb6ae8
.
Deconstructing President Obama, Taking Another
'Look' At Obama's {Claimed} Social Security Number
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/5bf7ee83d1c25a38

? The Obama Phony 'Birther' Certificate
? The Obama Fake Social Security Number
? The Obama Doctored Selective Service Registration
??? All the Seal Obama Records ???
-so-many-questions- -so-many-questions-
.
.
* * * OBAMA "MEDIA-SPEAK" ALERT * * *
= THE DAILY OBAMA TALKING-POINT =
Gather Around 'Cult of The-Obama' Members
and With One Voice Let All Us Say :
1st - Obama Does Not Have Afghanistan 'Blood' On His Hands
-making-holy-war-and-killing-innocent-women-and-children-
2nd - Obama Did Not Have Libya's 'Oil' On His Hands
-making-war-for-oil-and-killing-innocent-women-and-children-
Say-It! & Post-It! & Print-It! & Repeat It! & Sell-It!
-'the-obama'-truth- -'the-obama'-truth- -'the-obama'-truth-
=Nothing=But=The=Real=Obama=Truth=
-and-
=Nothing=But=The=Whole[Holy]=Obama=Truth=
-so-help-us-obama---omen-
.
.

985. Truth-Will-Out : CIA Director Said The US Used Waterboarding Info To 'Get' Osama bin-Laden !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 11:52:47 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <hm0ds6h9hhads8tp51ke8sbnaqdr6c2vc8@4ax.com>
Source record: 228912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On Fri, 6 May 2011 22:33:05 -0700 (PDT), Mike <mwbryant@aol.com>
wrote:

>On May 6, 9:04ï¿½pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/6/2011 4:16 PM, Moderate wrote:
>>
>> > Alan Baker<alangba...@telus.net> ï¿½wrote:
>> >> In article<MPG.282de3d5f2f963e798b...@news.giganews.com>,
>> >> ï¿½ BAR<sc...@you.com> ï¿½wrote:
>>
>> >>> I would like to do whatever I can to avoid waterboarding. But, if it
>> >>> means Americans dying or waterboarding someone to stop the Americans
>> >>> from dying, I will choose to waterboard someone.
>>
>> >> Even if that someone is American?
>>
>> > Three terrorists have been waterboarded. Thousands of Americans have been
>> > water boarded.
>>
>> The headlines I read were mostly saying, "THREE TERRORISTS WATERBOARDED
>> -- MILLIONS OF AMERICANS SODOMIZED!" ï¿½(A few used the word "SHAFTED!")
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS

-
http://www.csmonitor.com/USA/Military/2011/0505/Military-interrogators-Waterboarding-didn-t-yield-tips-that-led-to-bin-Laden?cmpid=addthis_facebook&sms_ss=facebook&at_xt=4dc34d6199fb2527%2C0

MWB,

So you take the 'alleged' statements of some un-named
individuals claiming to be 'former' US Military Interrogators
-rotfl-versus-
The Actually Video Statement of Leon Panetta
[The Obama-Regime CIA Director and next SOD]
saying/implying that in-fact "Info" Derived from Water
Broading and GitMo Detainees Helped In 'Getting'
Osama bin-Laden by the Obama-Regime
=Real=People=&=Real=Facts=Real=News/Info=
-not-nameless-people-claiming-to-be-something-
.

WRT
Today 4 Mayo 2011 on National TV : Leon Panetta
[The Obama-Regime CIA Director] Confirms That
"Info" derived from Water-Broading and Gitmo
Detainees Helped To 'Getting' Osama bin-Laden
by Obama bi-Denï¿½ -source-telegraph-co-uk-
http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/al-qaeda/8491509/Osama-bin-Laden-killed-CIA-admi
ts-waterboarding-yielded-vital-information.html
-oops-another-inconvenient-obama-bi-denï¿½-truth-
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.republicans/msg/6e47feba3e799ec5
.
Panettaï¿½s Confirmation of Waterboardingï¿½s Role
in finding Osama bin-Laden for Assassination
http://dailycaller.com/2011/05/04/the-new-york-times-ignores-leon-panetta%E2%80%99s-confir
mation-of-waterboarding%E2%80%99s-role-in-bin-laden-assassination-in-harsh-interrogation-s
tory/
-oops-another-inconvenient-obama-bi-denï¿½-truth-
.
CIA Chief Leon Panetta told NBC News Intelligence
Garnered from Waterboarded Detainees was used to
Track Down al-Qaida Leader Osama bin-Laden and
Assassinate him -source-nbc-&-msnbc-
http://today.msnbc.msn.com/id/42880435/ns/today-today_news/t/cia-chief-waterboarding-aided
-bin-laden-raid/
-oops-another-inconvenient-obama-bi-denï¿½-truth-
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/42880435/ns/world_news-death_of_bin_laden/
.
.
* * * OBAMA "MEDIA-SPEAK" ALERT * * *
= THE DAILY OBAMA TALKING-POINT =
Gather Around 'Cult of The-Obama' Members
and With One Voice Let All Us Say :
.
1st - Obama Does Not Use Waterboarding
Obama bi-Denï¿½ Calls It "EIT" for 'Enhanced
Interrogation Techniques' (EIT)
-water-boarding-it-ain't-really-torture-it's-'eit'-
.
2nd - Obama Did Not Use "EIT" : Bush Did
Obama bi-Denï¿½ Says Blame Bush for Water
Boarding and Torture
.
3rd - Obama Did Not Assassinate Osama bin
Laden : Osama bin-Laden Force Us To Kill Him
Obama bi-Denï¿½ Says We Brought Eternal
Peace To Osama bin-Laden : He Now Has 72
Virgins in Paradise
.
4th - Obama Does Not Have Detainees in the
GitMo Prision : Gitmo is now "Camp G-Bay"

and the Visitors are Now Welcome Guest's
of the Obama Regime on Extended Holliday
[Holy-Days] -free-to-pray-all-day-every-day-
Obama bi-Denï¿½ Says Blame Bush for the
GitMo Prison
.
5th - Obama Does Not Have Un-Tried Detainees
at the GitMo {Now converted to "Camp G-Bay"}
Who were Denied Trials by Buch/Cheney : The
Obama-Regime Plans Personalized Extra-Judicial
Military Tribunals for All The Guests Visiting
"Camp G-Bay" -with-secret-surprise-testimony-
Obama bi-Denï¿½ Says Blame Bush for the
Lack of Justice at the former GitMo Prison
.
Say-It! & Post-It! & Print-It! & Repeat It! & Sell-It!
-'the-obama'-truth- -'the-obama'-truth- -'the-obama'-truth-
=Nothing=But=The=Real=Obama=Truth=
-and-
=Nothing=But=The=Whole[Holy]=Obama=Truth=
-so-help-us-obama---omen-
.
.
Remember It Ain't Torture . . .
-it's- 'Enhanced Interrogation Techniques' (EIT)
http://www.thejakartaglobe.com/opinion/osama-fallout-torture-debate-turns-partisan/439827
-obama-speak- -obama-speak- -obama-speak-
-think-obama- -think-obama- -think-obama-
-praise-obama- -praise-obama- -praise-obama-
=Join=The=Cult=of='The=Obama'=PBHN=
.
.

986. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 12:04:35 UTC
From: Jah Wobble <Solaris@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dc686cb$0$9102$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 228913
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

joeturn wrote:
> On May 8, 1:55 am, "Scout"
> wrote:
>
>>"joeturn" wrote in message
>>
>>news:0a56a1b8-bc9c-45bb-aba8-7cf6bf848b15@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>On May 7, 9:04 pm, John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 5/7/2011 5:12 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>>>>...
>>>>>but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
>>>>>a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>>
>>>>>Jon Bobble spell check that for me mine is broken TIA>
>>>>The properties exhibited by thermite bear a signature which absolutely
>>>>proves it to be man made.
>>
>>>>For example: You can stack a bunch of rocks together and form a wall.
>>>>Or, men can cut, polish, etc. rocks into geometric shapes and build a
>>>>wall.
>>
>>>>You will NEVER be likely to confuse the two stone walls, nor lack
>>>>ability to recognize the difference between the two ...
>>
>>>>Regards,
>>>>JS
>>
>>>Very true and THERMATE was the military patented grade of THERMITE
>>>used for incenterary devices in WWII super quick cutting through armor
>>
>>So tell me, how exactly did they manage to get several tons of thermite into
>>the building, expose the necessary girders, set the devices, and manage to
>>control them all with absolutely no one noticing or spilling the beans
>>later? Further, how exactly do you manage to cause the visible point of

>>failure to be exactly the aircraft impact site, and how exactly do you
>>manage to protect the devices from all that damage and fire while still
>>providing 100% reliability?
>>
>>Sorry, but seems to me that explanation leaves a lot to be desired and based
>>more on conjecture and supposition than actual fact.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>- Show quoted text -
>
>
> Sprayed on Asbestos was used to protect them from the elements.
>
> The girders are roof members of little consiquence.
>
> They were not worried about being noticed setting the charges,as it
> was common practice to do this back then so the buildings could be
> removed easily in a tight area,so as not to do excessible damage to
> the surronding structures!
>
> Actually there was no Plane hit nor Fire it was all a smoke
> screen.Somebody used smudge pots to create the illusion of fires and
> pushed dummys out of the windows to create the illusion of jumpers!
>
> How many tons of thermate would you estimate it took to burn a slot
> into the vertical support columns on every other floor,knowing
> kerosene wouldn't do it?

1 1/4 pound in each tower, hidden in a VERY special super sekrit place.

Then, 30 years later, tell the pilots to hit "just above" those specific
floors, or the cause is kaput!

987. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 12:08:10 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <sb1ds612i7f7387q2kklr4dnc33v0fb7ar@4ax.com>
Source record: 228914
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Sat, 07 May 2011 20:14:10 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:

>They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
>was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
>
>Part One - Tenants
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
>
>Part Two - Security
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
>
>Part Three - Convergence
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton.html
>
>Part Four - Cleanup
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
>
>Regards,
>JS

Excellent presentation of facts.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

988. Re: external Grundig YB400PE antenna recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 12:14:51 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <iq61fs$128$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228915

On 5/8/11 03:25 , Mike S wrote:
> On 5/7/2011 7:28 PM, D. Peter Maus wrote:
>> On 5/7/11 16:58 , Mike S wrote:
>>> I was just given a Grundig YB400PE without the external antenna, and
>>> the shortwave reception inside my mobile home (metal box) without an
>>> external antenna is non-existent. After reading several of the
>>> antenna discussions here I was wondering if I could get
>>> recommendations about the best way to build an outdoor antenna. I
>>> can put up a large diameter loop outside, say up to 6 feet diameter,
>>> mounted above the roof, or I could run a straight wire about 40'
>>> running roughly East-West above the roof, or a combination of the
>>> two if that would help.
>>>
>>> When I was a kid my dad bought a Hallicrafters shortwave, I think it
>>> as an SX-99, and he used the heavy dog run wire mounted about 10'
>>> above the ground, running from the house to a tree in the back yard
>>> oriented roughly North-South with no ground (neither of us knew
>>> anything about electronics then), and I got stations from all over
>>> the world, it was really interesting hearing the programming from
>>> other political slants as a kid. So I'm wondering how much I can
>>> pull in with this cheap little model.
>>>
>>> TIA,
>>> Mike
>>
>>
>> It's actually quite sensitive, as portables go. An external
>> antenna will
>> do quite nicely.
>>
>> Being quite general, here...as a quick starter, a wire, 10-20 feet
>> long
>> is all that's necessary. More than that and you may be subject to
>> overload of the front end, which will create artifacts up and down
>> the
>> dial.
>>
>> A decent ground may or may not benefit you. Your receiver input isn't
>> like the input on your SX-99. Working against a ground isn't
>> necessary
>> with some solid state designs. YB400PE is one of them.
>>
>> That is, unless you transform the input. Then you'll work the antenna
>> against an earth ground on the antenna side of the transformer,

>> and the
>> receiver on the other. This will reduce some noise, mostly
>> electrical.
>>
>> More elaborate installations are possible, and some may work quite
>> nicely, like trapped dipoles, for instance. And of course, always
>> experiment. There are programs that can even model you an antenna to
>> suit your specifics. And Kevin, here, has done that in the past for
>> another member. But to get you started...a wire is all that's
>> necessary
>> to bring your SW bands to life.
>
> Hi D. Peter Maus,
>
> Will any guage work?

Pretty much. It just has to support its own weight.
>
> Also, if I run the wire East-West I'm guessing that it would have
> very low sensitivity to half of the signals arriving at the antenna,
> does that sound right?

Not really. Depending on frequency, location, and surrounding
obstructions, a random wire has wildly varying patterns of
reception. For the most part, the lobes are not sharp peaks and
valleys, and you may, consider a random wire to be more or less
omnidirectional. This is less true the longer the wire is in
relation to the frequency being received. Another reason to keep the
wire below 20 feet in length.

Remember, this is a random wire. It will be more sensitive at
some frequencies, and less at others. But your receiver has more
than enough sensitivity to compensate for deficiencies.

A really great resource is the ARRL Antenna Book, if you're
looking for theory.

> So to remedy that would an L shape help, with
> 10' oriented North-South and another 10' is oriented East-West, one
> end open, the other end attached to the radio antenna jack. How does
> that sound?

Couldn't hurt.

989. Re: external Grundig YB400PE antenna recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 12:45:40 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <5349c2d4-85c5-4c35-ada2-2630ee942e83@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228916

On May 7, 2:58 pm, Mike S <ms...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I was just given a Grundig YB400PE without the external antenna, and the
> shortwave reception inside my mobile home (metal box) without an
> external antenna is non-existent. After reading several of the antenna
> discussions here I was wondering if I could get recommendations about
> the best way to build an outdoor antenna. I can put up a large diameter
> loop outside, say up to 6 feet diameter, mounted above the roof, or I
> could run a straight wire about 40' running roughly East-West above the
> roof, or a combination of the two if that would help.
>
> When I was a kid my dad bought a Hallicrafters shortwave, I think it as
> an SX-99, and he used the heavy dog run wire mounted about 10' above the
> ground, running from the house to a tree in the back yard oriented
> roughly North-South with no ground (neither of us knew anything about
> electronics then), and I got stations from all over the world, it was
> really interesting hearing the programming from other political slants
> as a kid. So I'm wondering how much I can pull in with this cheap little
> model.
>
> TIA,
> Mike

Read these Posts About an Antenna Designed
to use with 'portable' AM FM Shortwave Radios :
-such-as-the- Grundig YB400PE Radio
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/portable/0400.html
.
"Portable Wire Antenna" [PWA]
-by- Tom Sevart [N2UHC]
-Info-at- New WebSite 'Reo Cities .Com'
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c9a8047b73e81ae8
-and-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ab6b775bec8a4d4c
-and-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/568cc0a15b6e7fbc
.
Indoor Two-Wire "Portable Wire Antenna" [PWA]
-by- Tom Sevart [N2UHC]
-Info-at- New WebSite 'Reo Cities .Com'
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/25d76b985ca6a879
.
hope this helps - iane ~ RHF
.
.

990. Re: Two new toys...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 12:46:43 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <iq63bj$84f$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228917

On 5/7/11 21:43 , Steve wrote:
> (1) A Ten Tec Century 21 "medium power" (25-30w) transceiver. This
> thing has to be the coolest rig in the world. Great direct conversion
> receiver. CW only. Awesome.
>
> (2) Surplus German "Junker" straight key. Enjoyed using it this
> afternoon. Interestingly different from my other straight keys. Nice
> feel and sound. Adjustments very easy to make.
>
> Feel like Christmas!

I'll bet. Congratulations. Nice toys.

991. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 12:55:52 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iq63so$fc5$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228918
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/8/2011 1:55 AM, Scout wrote:

> So tell me, how exactly did they manage to get several tons of thermite
> into the building, expose the necessary girders, set the devices, and
> manage to control them all with absolutely no one noticing or spilling
> the beans later? Further, how exactly do you manage to cause the visible
> point of failure to be exactly the aircraft impact site, and how exactly
> do you manage to protect the devices from all that damage and fire while
> still providing 100% reliability?
>
> Sorry, but seems to me that explanation leaves a lot to be desired and
> based more on conjecture and supposition than actual fact.

Nah, that "explanation" is 100% based on...kooktardery.

992. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 13:04:02 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iq64c2$hs7$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228919
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

> On 5/7/2011 6:35 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>
>> ...
>> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
>> for the truth.

On 5/7/2011 10:05 PM, John Smith wrote:

> It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth certificate.

Fourth time I've asked you, kooktard, and *still* no answer: If, as you claim, Obama spent MILLIONS to "hide his past", but yet all he can afford is "a bad fraud of a birth certificate". Duh!

And while we are on the subject, you *still* haven't told us why the Wall Street Journal believes the birth certificate is real nor have you yet told us why a kooktard like you thinks he knows more than the WSJ investigative reporters.

> Any damn fool should know better. I pity the poor fools who can't check
> that out for themselves!

YOU seem to be the damn fool who should know better -- but let's not overlook your compulsive*, pathetic need to troll for attention. :-(

* You are up to 2101 posts and counting.

993. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 13:05:56 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iq64fk$hs7$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228920
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/7/2011 10:20 PM, joeturn wrote:

> Well just think they were put in the buildings in 1963 it a wonder
> they all followed sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made dudes
> out on them!

"gavanic"? Do you mean "galvanic"?

"Dudes"? Do you mean "duds"?

Sorry dude, your [lack of] education is showing.

994. Re: Malloy is right, Bush is no different from Osama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 13:31:40 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc69aef$0$12523$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On 05/08/2011 02:17 AM, RHF wrote:

>
> The 'Liberal' Radio Talk Show Host "Mike Malloy"
> Called for the US Navy Seals to "Take Bush Out"
> http://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2011/05/04/lib_talker_when_will_navy_seals_take_out_george_bush.html
>

If we can use Navy SEALs to execute one guy for war crimes, why not another?

995. Re: Two new toys...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 13:34:25 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc69b94$0$12523$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228922

On 05/07/2011 07:43 PM, Steve wrote:
> (1) A Ten Tec Century 21 "medium power" (25-30w) transceiver. This
> thing has to be the coolest rig in the world. Great direct conversion
> receiver. CW only. Awesome.
>
> (2) Surplus German "Junker" straight key. Enjoyed using it this
> afternoon. Interestingly different from my other straight keys. Nice
> feel and sound. Adjustments very easy to make.
>
> Feel like Christmas!

TenTec units always have a name and a model number "TenTec 570 Century 21"

996. Re: external Grundig YB400PE antenna recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 13:37:12 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc69c3b$0$12523$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228923

On 05/07/2011 07:28 PM, D. Peter Maus wrote:
> On 5/7/11 16:58 , Mike S wrote:
>> I was just given a Grundig YB400PE without the external antenna, and
>> the shortwave reception inside my mobile home (metal box) without an
>> external antenna is non-existent. After reading several of the
>> antenna discussions here I was wondering if I could get
>> recommendations about the best way to build an outdoor antenna. I
>> can put up a large diameter loop outside, say up to 6 feet diameter,
>> mounted above the roof, or I could run a straight wire about 40'
>> running roughly East-West above the roof, or a combination of the
>> two if that would help.
>>
>> When I was a kid my dad bought a Hallicrafters shortwave, I think it
>> as an SX-99, and he used the heavy dog run wire mounted about 10'
>> above the ground, running from the house to a tree in the back yard
>> oriented roughly North-South with no ground (neither of us knew
>> anything about electronics then), and I got stations from all over
>> the world, it was really interesting hearing the programming from
>> other political slants as a kid. So I'm wondering how much I can
>> pull in with this cheap little model.
>>
>> TIA,
>> Mike
>
>
> It's actually quite sensitive, as portables go. An external antenna will
> do quite nicely.
>
> Being quite general, here...as a quick starter, a wire, 10-20 feet long
> is all that's necessary. More than that and you may be subject to
> overload of the front end, which will create artifacts up and down the
> dial.
>
> A decent ground may or may not benefit you. Your receiver input isn't
> like the input on your SX-99. Working against a ground isn't necessary
> with some solid state designs. YB400PE is one of them.
>
> That is, unless you transform the input. Then you'll work the antenna
> against an earth ground on the antenna side of the transformer, and the
> receiver on the other. This will reduce some noise, mostly electrical.
>
> More elaborate installations are possible, and some may work quite
> nicely, like trapped dipoles, for instance. And of course, always
> experiment. There are programs that can even model you an antenna to

> suit your specifics. And Kevin, here, has done that in the past for
> another member. But to get you started...a wire is all that's necessary
> to bring your SW bands to life.

I'd try to use the whole mobile home as an antenna. Use a capacitor to connect the frame to you antenna input. Cheap experiment, sometimes works. NOTE: a clip lead and a piece of PVC tape for DC blocking = a capacitor.

997. Re: Malloy is right, Bush is no different from Osama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 13:55:40 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC6A0DC.BE4061DB@milestones.com>
Source record: 228924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

dave wrote:

> On 05/08/2011 02:17 AM, RHF wrote:
>
> >
> > The 'Liberal' Radio Talk Show Host "Mike Malloy"
> > Called for the US Navy Seals to "Take Bush Out"
> > http://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2011/05/04/lib_talker_when_will_navy_seals_take_out_george_bush.html
> >
>
> If we can use Navy SEALs to execute one guy for war crimes, why not another?

Do ya think your pal Obama should be afraid? After all, he sure looked scared in that Situation Room photo. He
was the smallest guy in the room and even Hillary was bigger than that runt.

998. Re: Malloy is right, Bush is no different from Osama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 14:25:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC6A7CB-2812@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228925

It is all so 'Fuzzy',,, Fuzzy St.John (old Cowboy movie actor) would
say, What the Hell!?!
cuhulin

999. Re: TV makes your brain shrink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 14:46:11 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15404-4DC6ACB3-989@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228926

Still flooding.Memphis is almost an Island now.I hope they save Elvis Presley's body.
cuhulin

1000. Re: PSK makes your brain shrink...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 14:52:13 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC6AE1D.C83EBE68@milestones.com>
Source record: 228927

dave wrote:

> On 05/07/2011 07:43 PM, Steve wrote:
> > (1) A Ten Tec Century 21 "medium power" (25-30w) transceiver. This
> > thing has to be the coolest rig in the world. Great direct conversion
> > receiver. CW only. Awesome.
> >
> > (2) Surplus German "Junker" straight key. Enjoyed using it this
> > afternoon. Interestingly different from my other straight keys. Nice
> > feel and sound. Adjustments very easy to make.
> >
> > Feel like Christmas!
>
> TenTec units always have a name and a model number "TenTec 570 Century 21"

1001. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 15:04:19 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1604ae2b-e380-46d3-a1ae-0775c3a9dcc2@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228928
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 7, 10:41 pm, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/7/2011 9:31 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > On May 7, 3:53 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>
> >> Had Hussein been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen
> >> under the law in effect in 1961.
>
> > Yes he would have.
>
> No. His mother was the only citizen parent. Whether she was married or
> not, she didn't meet the residency requirement.

Immaterial. You need to bone up on what we like to call "facts,"
Blimpie!

<tee hee>

1002. Re: As Usual 'ReallyHilariousFuckwit" proves Mighty Iarnrod Correct Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 15:06:57 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8e034998-bb61-47d5-927f-f4e9f8831c61@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228929
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 8, 1:53 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 7, 9:31 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:> On May 7, 3:53 pm, George Blimpton
<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>
> > > Had Hussein been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen
> > > under the law in effect in 1961.
>
> - Yes he would have.
> - The US does not recognize bigamy, kooker.
> - You lose.
> - I win.
>
> Larnrod,
> Actually most US States {The USA] Do 'Recongnize'
> "Bigamy" as a Crime.http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bigamy

BWAHAHAHAHAAA!!!! ReallyHilariousFuckwit CONFIRMS MIGHTY IARNROD AS
CORREC!!

Too fuckin' funny that you say I am wrong then prove I am right!!

1003. Re: Prez "BO" {Obama}... Blood On One Hand and Oil On The Other

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 15:11:17 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d10d57d2-72c1-4fe5-815d-a62d98210efd@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 8, 4:24 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On Sat, 7 May 2011 11:51:03 -0700 (PDT),Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> - - - On May 5, 9:08 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> - - - Afghanistan is NOT Iraq.
> - - - You are stupid and a liar,
> - - - which is to say ... a Republican.
>
> - - On May 6, 1:51 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> - - First Fact Check : In the Three Posts Leading
> - - Up To Your Claim "Afghanistan is NOT Iraq" :
> - - I never ever mentioned 'Iraq'http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/0133de928cb9382dhttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c241a6e05b6a30e4http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f65cf49e871e40d4http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8e6d41465ff6595c
> -THANKS FOR ADMITTING YOU'RE EVADING THE ANSWER THEN,
> - fuckwit!!
> -
> -*I* was talking about Iraq and YOU keep diverting to Afghanistan,
> - you fuckin' blatant liar.
> - YOU keep trying to answer my question about Iraq by talking
> - about Afghanistan and NOW YOU ADMIT being an evasive liar.
>
> - Try to grow a brain; I know that's difficult for a sheeple rightard
> - to do, but the claim was about Obama withdrawing from IRAQ.
> - Obama NEVER promised a quick withdrawal from Afghanistan
> - and ALWAYS said as a candidate that Afghanistan was the right
> - place to be, not Iraq. You rdishonesty is duly NOTED,
> shit-for-brains.
>
> larnrod,,, Larnrod... LARNROD ! ! ! -spews-out- fuckwit!! + fuckin'
> blatant liar + evasive liar + grow a brain + sheeple rightard
> + shit-for-brains ::: You Got Your Liberal-Fascist Name Calling
> Rant Down Real Good -you-must-practice-this-'bully'-tactic-a-lot-

Once again, the fucktard liar ReallyHilariousFuckwit FAILS TO NAME ONE THING!!

Too funny how this kook keeps re-appearing yet NEVER answers the question. he must LOVE getting his ass kicked by his liberal betters.

1004. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 15:12:56 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4342a124-20dd-4d15-a307-332a0d00a802@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228931
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 8, 6:08 am, * US * wrote:
> On Sat, 07 May 2011 20:14:10 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
> >was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
>
> >Part One - Tenants
> >http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
>
> >Part Two - Security
> >http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
>
> >Part Three - Convergence
> >http://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton...
>
> >Part Four - Cleanup
> >http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
>
> >Regards,
> >JS
>
> Excellent presentation of facts.
>
> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

Not one SUPPORTING fact has been presented, you Bush-loving defender
of the right.

1005. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 15:14:17 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ece5c1cc-6dd8-4b16-91d9-73550c9a574e@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228932
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

On May 6, 6:30 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/6/2011 2:35 PM, * US * wrote:
>
> > On Fri, 6 May 2011 14:10:28 -0700 (PDT), "Craves FascistIarnrodUp Gaping Ass"
> > <iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:
>
> >> ... a kook ... supported...9/11
>
> > You certainly don't want the real perpetrators to see justice.
>
> >> Smoking crack again...rightard Bush-lover...
>
> > That explains your posts insisting that murders would be justice.
> > ...
>
> WARNING!
>
> When the legend in its' own mind, the irantard, responds, you should
> take care and step back from the monitor.
>
> The sheer amount of spittle being spewed forth form the rabidly foaming
> mouth of the insane beast can take one by surprise, and ruin ones
> favorite shirt!

Johnny KQQK's resort to ad hominem, the ONLY response he can make
since all the facts are on MY side, fails to impress.

Iarnrod stands UNASSAILED and proven correct.

1006. Re: 9/11 TRUTHERS LOCKED UP FOR LIFE UNDER NEW US LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 15:29:07 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <0ldds6h86eefgh386h5s5okr4k8i2ain3q@4ax.com>
Source record: 228933
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 7 May 2011 15:17:01 -0700 (PDT), george <gblack@hnpl.net>
wrote:

>There's no-one to speak for you at any point.
>And I doubt very much if any-one considers you a threat of any kind

Everybody is considered a treat by some - simply by being in some
bigger group.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

1007. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 15:44:15 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq6dobbtg2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228934
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/7/2011 10:48 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>> Ray Fischer wrote:
>
>>> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
>>> for the truth.
>>
>> It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth certificate.
>
> It is stupid to believe crazy conspiracy whackos.
>

It is so stupid when you can download the document in question, from the
whitehouse website, get a free trial of Adobe Illustrator off the net
and prove it true yourself ... yep, that is pretty stupid alright.

If don't know how, there are many who will demonstrate in videos on
youtube ...

You choose to live in ignorance if the tools lay before you and all you
do is spout ignorance ...

Regards,
JS

1008. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 15:59:50 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq6elibtg3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228935
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/8/2011 5:04 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:

> ...
> 1 1/4 pound in each tower, hidden in a VERY special super sekrit place.
>
> Then, 30 years later, tell the pilots to hit "just above" those specific
> floors, or the cause is kaput!

This paper proves it was used, thermite, and much, MUCH MORE than 1.25
lbs. ROFLOL

http://www.911hardfacts.com/report_09.htm

http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_DonPaul.html

The question isn't if it was possible; The question is not whether
there is evidence, but only who did it.

Regards,
JS

1009. Re: Malloy is right, Bush is no different from Osama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 16:03:54 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq6et6btg4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228936
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans,alt.conspiracy

On 5/8/2011 6:31 AM, dave wrote:
> On 05/08/2011 02:17 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>>
>> The 'Liberal' Radio Talk Show Host "Mike Malloy"
>> Called for the US Navy Seals to "Take Bush Out"
>> http://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2011/05/04/lib_talker_when_will_navy_seals_take_out_george_bush.html
>>
>>
>
> If we can use Navy SEALs to execute one guy for war crimes, why not
> another?

I don't think it would work for bush or obama ...

Regards,
JS

1010. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 16:18:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq6fo9btg5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228937
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/8/2011 8:59 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/8/2011 5:04 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
>> ...
>> 1 1/4 pound in each tower, hidden in a VERY special super sekrit place.
>>
>> Then, 30 years later, tell the pilots to hit "just above" those specific
>> floors, or the cause is kaput!
>
> This paper proves it was used, thermite, and much, MUCH MORE than 1.25
> lbs. ROFLOL
> ...

http://www.journalof911studies.com/volume/200609/Why_Indeed_Did_the_WTC_Buildings_Completely_Collapse_Jones_Thermite_World_Trade_Center.pdf

Neglected the link stated ... corrected.

Regards,
JS

1011. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Wrong Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 16:22:28 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <5vCdnc5L_4LYXIvQnZ2dnUVZ5judnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228938
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

The snarky boy, who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old woman, gets everything wrong. In particular, he is wrong about whether or not Hussein Jr. would have been born a citizen had he been born abroad.

Given the citizenship of Hussein Jr.'s father and the age of his mother, Hussein would not have been born a citizen had he been born abroad in 1961. The Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952 (INA) specifies who is born a citizen (including birth in the United States.) If birth is abroad to parents of whom only one is a citizen, there is a US residency requirement for the citizen parent. Hussein Jr.'s mother did not meet that requirement in 1961, *irrespective* of her marital status. If Stanley Ann Dunham Obama was not legally married to Hussein Sr., as the snarky boy fatuously maintains without a shred of proof, that has no bearing on whether or not she met the residency requirement. If she was not legally married to Hussein Sr. but had met the residency requirement, then that would make it *harder*, not easier, for Hussein Jr. to have been born a citizen.

But the inability of the Maoist mother, Stanley Ann Dunham, to meet the residency requirement, is all you need to know: had Hussein Jr. been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen.

1012. Re: As Usual 'ReallyHilariousFuckwit" proves Mighty Iarnrod Correct Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 16:29:24 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <5vCdnctL_4J_WVvQnZ2dnUVZ5judnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228939
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/8/2011 8:06 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 8, 1:53 am, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>> On May 7, 9:31 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:> On May 7, 3:53 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>
>>>> Had Hussein been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen
>>>> under the law in effect in 1961.
>>
>> - Yes he would have.
>> - The US does not recognize bigamy, kooker.
>> - You lose.
>> - I win.
>>
>> Larnrod,
>> Actually most US States {The USA] Do 'Recongnize'
>> "Bigamy" as a Crime.http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bigamy
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAAA!!!!

Not an answer.

IF he had been born abroad, Hussein Jr. would not have been born a citizen, under the INA.

1013. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 16:34:13 UTC
From: Jim Kale <GuessWho@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dc6c5fc$0$9082$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 228940
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/8/2011 5:04 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
>> ...
>> 1 1/4 pound in each tower, hidden in a VERY special super sekrit place.
>>
>> Then, 30 years later, tell the pilots to hit "just above" those specific
>> floors, or the cause is kaput!
>
>
> This paper proves it was used, thermite, and much, MUCH MORE than 1.25
> lbs. ROFLOL
>
> http://www.911hardfacts.com/report_09.htm
>
> http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_DonPaul.html
>
> The question isn't if it was possible; The question is not whether
> there is evidence, but only who did it.

You really are retarded if you think that shit holds any kind of reality.
So uh, who's been charged for this "crime", you retard?
I mean if it's "proof", then why isn't anybody being charged, you retard?

1014. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 16:35:30 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iq6gojpft1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228941
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:iq6elibtg3@dont-email.me...
> On 5/8/2011 5:04 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
>> ...
>> 1 1/4 pound in each tower, hidden in a VERY special super sekrit place.
>>
>> Then, 30 years later, tell the pilots to hit "just above" those specific
>> floors, or the cause is kaput!
>
> This paper proves it was used, thermite, and much, MUCH MORE than 1.25
> lbs. ROFLOL
>
> http://www.911hardfacts.com/report_09.htm

Proves nothing, attempts to claim that security could have been totally
bypassed without notice, record or recollection simply because some relative
was a "principle" of the security firm.

This is what you consider PROOF?

No wonder you're laughed at.

>
> http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_DonPaul.html

And what boils down to a book reviews of people pandering to the conspiracy
kooks.

Yea, I certainly see a whole lot of 'proof' being shown there.

NOT.

> The question isn't if it was possible; The question is not whether there
> is evidence, but only who did it.

Sorry, but still working on the question of if it's possible, which you've
not really shown, much less any real evidence to support your claims. We can
get to who after you've proven it was reasonably possible and that you have
conclusive evidence to support it. Something no one has yet established or
done.

1015. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 16:37:54 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq6gsubtg6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228942
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/8/2011 9:34 AM, Jim Kale wrote:

> ...
> You really are retarded if you think that shit holds any kind of reality.
> So uh, who's been charged for this "crime", you retard?
> I mean if it's "proof", then why isn't anybody being charged, you retard?

Really?

Well, it is simple, prove what you say.

A list of video evidence, a list of experts, a list of scientists,
scholars, engineers, architects, demolition experts, etc. to back up
your simple opinion would change your statements from just so much wacko
opinions and rants into something resembling sanity.

Don't worry, we will wait here for you ...

Regards,
JS

1016. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 17:09:49 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b0929976-82c9-4c7e-b3e9-9c599d104121@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228943
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On May 8, 4:21 am, "Scout"
wrote:
> "joeturn" wrote in message
>
> news:944fa765-420b-4259-b0c8-5c16db65a24c@w10g2000yqa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 8, 1:55 am, "Scout"
> > wrote:
> >> "joeturn" wrote in message
>
> >>news:0a56a1b8-bc9c-45bb-aba8-7cf6bf848b15@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> > On May 7, 9:04 pm, John Smith wrote:
> >> >> On 5/7/2011 5:12 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >> >> > ...
> >> >> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which
> >> >> > is
> >> >> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> >> >> > Jon Bobble spell check that for me mine is broken TIA
> >> >> The properties exhibited by thermite bear a signature which absolutely
> >> >> proves it to be man made.
>
> >> >> For example: You can stack a bunch of rocks together and form a wall.
> >> >> Or, men can cut, polish, etc. rocks into geometric shapes and build a
> >> >> wall.
>
> >> >> You will NEVER be likely to confuse the two stone walls, nor lack
> >> >> ability to recognize the difference between the two ...
>
> >> >> Regards,
> >> >> JS
>
> >> > Very true and THERMATE was the military patented grade of THERMITE
> >> > used for incenterary devices in WWII super quick cutting through armor
>
> >> So tell me, how exactly did they manage to get several tons of thermite

> >> into
> >> the building, expose the necessary girders, set the devices, and manage
> >> to
> >> control them all with absolutely no one noticing or spilling the beans
> >> later? Further, how exactly do you manage to cause the visible point of
> >> failure to be exactly the aircraft impact site, and how exactly do you
> >> manage to protect the devices from all that damage and fire while still
> >> providing 100% reliability?
>
> >> Sorry, but seems to me that explanation leaves a lot to be desired and
> >> based
> >> more on conjecture and supposition than actual fact.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > Sprayed on Asbestos was used to protect them from the elements.
>
> Sorry, but that doesn't prevent physical damage nor does it prevent heat
> damage given a hot enough fire and/or enough time.
>
> So once again, I ask how can you protect them against the impact of an
> airliner, subsequent fires and of course be utterly inconspicuous.
>
> > The girders are roof members of little consiquence.
>
> I see, so your idea of how to collapse a skyscraper is to collapse the
> roof.....and you think the official story doesn't consider reality....

No you claimed the roof members brought down the builds when you said
girder. I said roof members were of little consiquence as it has to be
the verticle support columns thyat has to be cut for weakoning a
structure for collapse!

>
> Oh, and FYI, doesn't explain why in at least one case the visible point of
> failure is clearly the sight of the impact from the airliner.

It was an explosion from the inside and a smoke screen to conciel any
damage,which there was none!

This was a farce there were preset exposives along that area of said
impact with a line of piping to create the smoke scene.

NO PLANES WERE USED!

>
> > They were not worried about being noticed setting the charges
>
> Really?

NO Loffley was told it was common practice when he asked why they were
beeing set during the construction.

It was compare to the las Vegas Casinos that were apt to be moved at a
moments notice!
>
> People running around the building going into private spaces, ripping down
> the drywall, scrapping off the fireproofing, hooking massive objects to the
> girders, and no one is going to notice, remember and comment about it later?

The only racket they made was during the stripping of the buildings of
their contents.Copper plumbing ,wiring,intermidiate supports,office
equiptment ,comodes.urinals,ect things that could be reused or
projectiles.

>
> ROTFLMAO.

This is an obvious emotion for the brain washed,if only you could
think you laughter would turn to tears,you would be able to realize
your Government is guilty of genocide and thinks nothing of its
citizens they are collateral damage!

>
> >,as it
> > was common practice to do this back then
>
> Right, way back in then Bush knew that several decades later he would be
> President and would need an excuse to go to war.

No his dad entered the USA on the project paper clip he was born nazi
germany and programed by Hitler to tear apart the USA from within and
assure National Socialism replaced Democracy
>
> Sheese, and you call the 9/11 commission delusionary.

They were a special team of Government shills
set in motion to sway public opinion away from the real terrorist.your
CIA.

>
> > so the buildings could be
> > removed easily in a tight area,so as not to do excessible damage to
> > the surronding structures!
>
> Sorry, but it is NOT common practice, then or now to put demolition charges
> in a building unless you are actually in the process of demolishing the
> building.

Not so it is more feasible to build them in the buildings that are
expected to be removed.
>
> > Actually there was no Plane hit nor Fire it was all a smoke
> > screen.
>
> Right, that explains the eyewitness accounts and video footage of an

> airliner running into the building....

A closer look at your videos will show you it was a hologrammed image
of a plane people can see those you know.

They will be used to make people think the rature has come when they
put on the Nasa Blue beam project!

- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

1017. Re: 9/11 TRUTHERS LOCKED UP FOR LIFE UNDER NEW US LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 17:18:23 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <72kds6dhjhuvrrah4udmglkdjhtb2kk6p7@4ax.com>
Source record: 228946
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 08 May 2011 09:29:07 -0600, Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
wrote:

>On Sat, 7 May 2011 15:17:01 -0700 (PDT), george <gblack@hnpl.net>
>wrote:
>
>>There's no-one to speak for you at any point.
>>And I doubt very much if any-one considers you a threat of any kind
>
>*Everybody* is considered a treat by some - simply by being in some
>bigger group.

I meant "threat". But the typo is interesting.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

1018. Re: Why wasn't George Bush captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 17:18:50 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <61e5e6db-e9e9-4e79-a6af-22748656dfaf@35g2000yqy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228944
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 8, 4:24 am, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
wrote:
> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:554a2fe0-f3de-4299-ad73-f6d6811cb21d@j23g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 7, 11:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/7/2011 7:46 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >> > ...
> >> > Well just think they were put in the buildings in durring their
> >> > construction from 1966 through 1973 it's a wonder they all followed
> >> > sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made duds out of all them!
>
> >> > Thanks to John Smith the spell checker!
>
> >> DUDE!
>
> >> They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
> >> was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
>
> >> Part One -
> >> Tenantshttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
>
> >> Part Two -
> >> Securityhttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
>
> >> Part Three -
> >> Convergencehttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton...
>
> >> Part Four -
> >> Cleanuphttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > No they were put in during construction for quick removal,maybe the
> > detonaters were conected during that time!
>

> Then clearly the building plans, contract documents, inspectors, and so on
> would know ALL about them, right?

Lofferley did not know and he was involved.

>
> Interesting that absolutely NO ONE recalls such devices, no plans exist of
> their inclusion in the building, nor the fact that no other building build
> during this time has been shown to include such devices in their
> construction.

People would think the built in explosives could accidentally be set
off and would not go into an astablishment loaded with preset
demolictions .Would you?
>
> Really, you need to talk to someone, your mental health is seriously in
> doubt

This type of projected thoiught is part of your programming,you have
been brainwashed by your controling elite you are a mear slave that
they take humor in watching try to explain away reality

.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

1019. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 17:20:21 UTC
From: rfischer@sonic.net
Message-ID: <4dc6d0d5$0$31321$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 228945
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>On 5/7/2011 10:48 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>>> Ray Fischer wrote:
>>
>>>> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
>>>> for the truth.
>>>
>>> It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth certificate.
>>
>> It is stupid to believe crazy conspiracy whackos.
>
>It is so stupid when you can download the document in question,

The document certified by the state of Hawaii as being Obama's birth
certificate.

--
Ray Fischer | Mendocracy (n.) government by lying
rfischer@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal

1020. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 17:22:28 UTC
From: rfischer@sonic.net
Message-ID: <4dc6d154$0$31321$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 228947
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net> wrote:
>> On 5/7/2011 6:35 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
>>> for the truth.
>
>On 5/7/2011 10:05 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
>> It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth certificate.
>
>Fourth time I've asked you, kooktard, and *still* no answer: If, as you
>claim, Obama spent MILLIONS to "hide his past", but yet all he can
>afford is "a bad fraud of a birth certificate". Duh!

You want to know how stupid these racist birther really are?

They cannot accept that a well-worn manual typewriter might be
inconsistent about putting letters on a page. They insist that
if the letters are NOT perfect it's because somebody using Photoshop
with all of its ability to perfectly align pixels wasn't able to
actually line up the characters.

--
Ray Fischer | Mendocracy (n.) government by lying
rfischer@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal

1021. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 17:25:59 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq6jn3$2h8$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228948
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/8/2011 10:20 AM, Ray Fischer wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/7/2011 10:48 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>> Ray Fischer wrote:
>>>
>>>>> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
>>>>> for the truth.
>>>>
>>>> It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth certificate.
>>>
>>> It is stupid to believe crazy conspiracy whackos.
>>
>> It is so stupid when you can download the document in question,
>
> The document certified by the state of Hawaii as being Obama's birth
> certificate.
>

Yeah, your review of the abundance of posts, debate, and presented
evidence will cure you ignorance on that point(s) also.

Too bad you just got in on all this just a couple of days ago ... much
has gone before you.

Regards,
JS

1022. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 17:29:41 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ee5c6c49-7b04-42ce-8706-1c43b5776047@q32g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228949
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 8, 5:48 am, Krypsis <kryp...@optusnet.com.au> wrote:
> On 8/05/2011 10:18 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 7, 11:17 am, Krypsis<kryp...@optusnet.com.au> wrote:
> >> On 8/05/2011 12:16 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >>> On May 6, 2:14 pm, Jah Wobble<Sola...@bass.gov> wrote:
> >>>> John Smith wrote:
> >>>>> On 5/6/2011 10:17 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> >>>>>> * US * wrote:
>
> >>>>>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 07:50:00 -0700 (PDT), harry k
> >>>>>> <turnkey4...@hotmail.com> idiotically
> >>>>>> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>> The usual descriptions of sights and sounds of any building undergoing
> >>>>>>> a collapse
>
> >>>>>> When demolished ...
>
> >>>>> That's what happens when very large airliners filled with fuel crashes
> >>>>> into them at 500 Kts, you retard.
> >>>>> They get demolished.
>
> >>>> The aircraft didn't cause the fall. The plane hit the building, the
> >>>> buildings stood. The fuel was splashed about, burnt off in ~15 mins.
> >>>> and the buildings stood. Once the fuel was burnt off, all you were left
> >>>> with was a "normal" high-rise fire.
>
> >>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
> >>> in perfect working order.
> >>> You retard.
>
> >>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> >>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
> >>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> >>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!

> >>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!- Hide quoted text -
>
> >>>> - Show quoted text -
>
> >>> One plane load of diesel fuel could not have been enough to get all
>
> >> Minor correction. jet aircraft do NOT use diesel fuel. They don't even
> >> use gasoline or avgas. What do they use? Humble old kerosene.
> >> Admittedly, it's power kerosene but it's still kerosene.
>
> >>> the verticle support columns to give away simultaneously through out
> >>> the buildi to create a verticl collapse in the buildings footprints!
>
> >>> The explosives were built into all the towers during their
> >>> construction!
>
> >>> Listen to the architect's interview
>
> >>>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uV1mBavoQzE&feature=player_embedded
>
> >> These jerks on the video look like they're trippin'. Actually, from your
> >> comments, I'd say you're doing a bit of trippin' too!
>
> >> Krypsis- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > Please explain how my comments makes you think of tripping.
>
> Your comments like these excerpted below make me now sure you're
> trippin'. My gut feeling is that you need serious help real soon.
>
> ---
> > Sprayed on Asbestos was used to protect them from the elements.
> >
> > The girders are roof members of little consiquence.
> >
> > They were not worried about being noticed setting the charges,as it
> > was common practice to do this back then so the buildings could be
> > removed easily in a tight area,so as not to do excessible damage to
> > the surronding structures!
> >
> > Actually there was no Plane hit nor Fire it was all a smoke
> > screen.Somebody used smudge pots to create the illusion of fires and
> > pushed dummys out of the windows to create the illusion of jumpers!
> >
> > How many tons of thermate would you estimate it took to burn a slot
> > into the vertical support columns on every other floor,knowing
> > kerosene wouldn't do it?
> ---

>
> > How often do you do this?
>
> I've never done it I'm happy to say. I'm quite content to leave that
> sort of thing to you and your ilk. Seeing the effects of excess trippin'
> on your brain, I'm quite convinced now that I did the right thing.
>
> > Is it a problem or have you not sold your soul yet to
>
> > support your habbit?
>
> No need to sell ones soul if one doesn't have a habit to support.
>
> QED: I have no problem.
>
> Krypsis- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

You seem to use the word trippin as if you know what your saying.So
you just threw that in there at random.Well then we must try and find
out what has your thought process limited!

The Illuminati deliberately dumbs down its sheeple using a variety of
tactics.Drugs was number one on their list,Politics is number
two,Religion is number three and schooling is another,where a desired
path is taught to be the best results for them.

If you dont believe you have a soul then this is a good thing,leaveing
politics and schooling.If you were not home schooled then this is most
like the culprit as politicians do as the illuminat says do.

1023. Re: (OT) : Right to Work for Less a/o More & Right Not To Work At All !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 17:40:23 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105081740.UTC.iq6ki8$9vi$1@tioat.net>
Source record: 228950

On 5/1/2011 10:23 AM, dave wrote:
[...]
> It is a fundamental right of Americans to freely associate and band
> together to exercise power. Look in the picture frames either side
> of the Speaker of the House. Those symbols represent the strength of
> people working together.

That's true. But there is no freedom of association any more under
the Washington regime.

The freedom to associate does not exist if you cannot freely choose
with whom you will associate and with whom you _will not_
associate. In housing, in employment, and in innumerable other ways
that freedom has been taken away from us.

With all good wishes,

Kevin Alfred Strom.
--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

1024. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 17:43:18 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq6knh$2h8$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228951
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/8/2011 10:20 AM, Ray Fischer wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/7/2011 10:48 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>> Ray Fischer wrote:
>>>
>>>>> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
>>>>> for the truth.
>>>>
>>>> It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth certificate.
>>>
>>> It is stupid to believe crazy conspiracy whackos.
>>
>> It is so stupid when you can download the document in question,
>
> The document certified by the state of Hawaii as being Obama's birth
> certificate.
>

Ahhh, I feel sorry for ya' and take pity, this will give ya' a jump start:

http://www.thepostemail.com/2011/04/10/hawaii-official-and-ex-official-lie-to-cover-their-tracks/

Regards,
JS

1025. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 17:47:43 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq6kvq$2h8$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228952
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/8/2011 10:22 AM, Ray Fischer wrote:
> Joe from Kokomo<joe@indy.net> wrote:
>>> On 5/7/2011 6:35 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
>>>> for the truth.
>>
>> On 5/7/2011 10:05 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth certificate.
>>
>> Fourth time I've asked you, kooktard, and *still* no answer: If, as you
>> claim, Obama spent MILLIONS to "hide his past", but yet all he can
>> afford is "a bad fraud of a birth certificate". Duh!
>
> You want to know how stupid these racist birther really are?
>
> They cannot accept that a well-worn manual typewriter might be
> inconsistent about putting letters on a page. They insist that
> if the letters are NOT perfect it's because somebody using Photoshop
> with all of its ability to perfectly align pixels wasn't able to
> actually line up the characters.
>

Actually, that is exactly the proof of why the document is fake!

The typewriter IS CONSISTENT! And, as you admit, that is impossible --
if not a fraud.

THIS:
". Remember, those "B"s were supposed to be made with a manual
typewriter. The fact that two letters match up right to the pixel is ***
absolutely impossible*** for a legit copy of a typewritten document,
especially one from that era."

FROM HERE:
http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/05/comparative-analysis-by-pro-graphics.html

My gawd! We only thought the last group of morons were dense, you take
to level above! GOOD JOB!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1026. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 17:51:06 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <42b8e11a-1953-47d0-8c73-c9e646cee53b@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228953
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 8, 9:05 am, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net> wrote:
> On 5/7/2011 10:20 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > Well just think they were put in the buildings in 1963 it a wonder
> > > they all followed sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made dudes
> > > out on them!
>
> "gavanic"? Do you mean "galvanic"?
>
> "Dudes"? Do you mean "duds"?
>
> Sorry dude, your [lack of] education is showing.

Schools only teach people a specific path to follow and that path is
directed by the CIA/illuminati.Schools teach its pupils to have
grammer hang-ups and instead of understanding what is being said they
are programed to look for edicate.This type of programming has been
successful for the controling elite for ages.

1027. Re: 9/11 TRUTHERS LOCKED UP FOR LIFE UNDER NEW US LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 18:25:46 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2830aa31cb49bd2b98a1a1@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228954
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <ZPWdnfIdGtKGWVjQnZ2dnUVZ_o-dnZ2d@westnet.com.au>,
fergo@iinet.net.au says...
>
> "BDK" <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.282df26cf2e9ce198a194@news.giganews.com...
> > In article <iq08h0$3as$1@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
> >>
> >> On 5/6/2011 12:32 AM, John Smith wrote:
> >> > On 5/5/2011 1:48 PM, Rocky wrote:
> >> >> http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
> >> >>
> >> >> Holy Shit. I'll bet Osama was killed by Obama because he was a 9/11
> >> >> Truther
> >> >> and that was the fastest way to silence him.
> >> >> ...
> >> >
> >> > Interesting.
> >> >
> >> > Amending the Constitution illegally though criminal application of
> >> > illegal laws ...
> >> >
> >> > I am telling you, you just can't make this type of chit up!
> >> >
> >> > Regards,
> >> > JS
> >>
> >> Here is one more you just can't make up:
> >>
> >> http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
> >>
> >> There should not be an American who doesn't find this disgusting ...
> >>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> >
> > That you kooks will be forced to get treatment?
> >
> > Well, I wouldn't call it disgusting, I would call it a tragedy, as it
> > would deprive us sane folks from reading all your crazy bullshit.
> >
> > You family would be thrilled though.
> >
> First they came for the communists,

> and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a communist.
>
> Then they came for the trade unionists,
> and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a trade unionist.
>
> Then they came for the Jews,
> and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a Jew.
>
> Then they came for me
> and there was no one left to speak out for me.

BWHAHAHAHA! what about the Loonies, Seon?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1028. Re: 21st Century O-Bam-A-Merica ! =The Game Plan=

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 18:26:56 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2830aa8085b6236898a1a2@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

In article <6epcs699t76m0344eip40sl2gscbmp1oq7@4ax.com>, rhf-
newsgroups@pacbell.net says...
>
> On Sun, 8 May 2011 08:13:35 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >"BDK" <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
> >news:MPG.282df26cf2e9ce198a194@news.giganews.com...
> >> In article <iq08h0$3as$1@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
> >>>
> >>> On 5/6/2011 12:32 AM, John Smith wrote:
> >>> > On 5/5/2011 1:48 PM, Rocky wrote:
> >>> >> http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
> >>> >>
> >>> >> Holy Shit. I'll bet Osama was killed by Obama because he was a 9/11
> >>> >> Truther
> >>> >> and that was the fastest way to silence him.
> >>> >> ...
> >>> >
> >>> > Interesting.
> >>> >
> >>> > Amending the Constitution illegally though criminal application of
> >>> > illegal laws ...
> >>> >
> >>> > I am telling you, you just can't make this type of chit up!
> >>> >
> >>> > Regards,
> >>> > JS
> >>>
> >>> Here is one more you just can't make up:
> >>>
> >>> http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
> >>>
> >>> There should not be an American who doesn't find this disgusting ...
> >>>
> >>> Regards,
> >>> JS
> >>
> >> That you kooks will be forced to get treatment?
> >>
> >> Well, I wouldn't call it disgusting, I would call it a tragedy, as it
> >> would deprive us sane folks from reading all your crazy bullshit.

> >>
> >> You family would be thrilled though.
>
> -First they came for the communists,
> -and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a communist.
> -
> -Then they came for the trade unionists,
> -and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a trade unionist.
> -
> -Then they came for the Jews,
> -and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a Jew.
> -
> -Then they came for me
> -and there was no one left to speak out for me.
>
> Revised for 21st Century O-Bam-A-Merica ! :
>
> First the Liberal-Fascist came for the Tea-Party'ers
> and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a Conservative.
>
> Then the Liberal-Fascist came for the Political Opposition,
> and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a Republican.
>
> Next the Liberal-Fascist came for the Born-Again Christians,
> and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a One-of-Them.
>
> Finally the Liberal-Fascist came for Ordinary Folks {Me}
> and there was no one left to speak out for Us {Me}.
>
> got to stay current with the 21st century
> liberal-fascist 'game plan' ~ RHF
> .
> .
>

Since there's no such thing as a "Liberal-Fascist", your post is, as
usual, nonsensical.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1029. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 18:30:37 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2830ab5b88cd8b8798a1a3@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228956
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
In article ,
me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net says...
>
> "joeturn" wrote in message
> news:944fa765-420b-4259-b0c8-5c16db65a24c@w10g2000yqa.googlegroups.com...
> > On May 8, 1:55 am, "Scout"
> > wrote:
> >> "joeturn" wrote in message
> >>
> >> news:0a56a1b8-bc9c-45bb-aba8-7cf6bf848b15@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> > On May 7, 9:04 pm, John Smith wrote:
> >> >> On 5/7/2011 5:12 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >>
> >> >> > ...
> >> >> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which
> >> >> > is
> >> >> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
> >>
> >> >> > Jon Bobble spell check that for me mine is broken TIA >>
> >> >> The properties exhibited by thermite bear a signature which absolutely
> >> >> proves it to be man made.
> >>
> >> >> For example: You can stack a bunch of rocks together and form a wall.
> >> >> Or, men can cut, polish, etc. rocks into geometric shapes and build a
> >> >> wall.
> >>
> >> >> You will NEVER be likely to confuse the two stone walls, nor lack
> >> >> ability to recognize the difference between the two ...
> >>
> >> >> Regards,
> >> >> JS
> >>
> >> > Very true and THERMATE was the military patented grade of THERMITE
> >> > used for incenterary devices in WWII super quick cutting through armor
> >>
> >> So tell me, how exactly did they manage to get several tons of thermite
> >> into
```

> >> the building, expose the necessary girders, set the devices, and manage
> >> to
> >> control them all with absolutely no one noticing or spilling the beans
> >> later? Further, how exactly do you manage to cause the visible point of
> >> failure to be exactly the aircraft impact site, and how exactly do you
> >> manage to protect the devices from all that damage and fire while still
> >> providing 100% reliability?
> >>
> >> Sorry, but seems to me that explanation leaves a lot to be desired and
> >> based
> >> more on conjecture and supposition than actual fact.- Hide quoted text -
> >>
> >> - Show quoted text -
> >
> > Sprayed on Asbestos was used to protect them from the elements.
>
> Sorry, but that doesn't prevent physical damage nor does it prevent heat
> damage given a hot enough fire and/or enough time.
>
> So once again, I ask how can you protect them against the impact of an
> airliner, subsequent fires and of course be utterly inconspicuous.
>
>
> > The girders are roof members of little consiquence.
>
> I see, so your idea of how to collapse a skyscraper is to collapse the
> roof.....and you think the official story doesn't consider reality....
>
> Oh, and FYI, doesn't explain why in at least one case the visible point of
> failure is clearly the sight of the impact from the airliner.
>
>
> > They were not worried about being noticed setting the charges
>
> Really?
>
> People running around the building going into private spaces, ripping down
> the drywall, scrapping off the fireproofing, hooking massive objects to the
> girders, and no one is going to notice, remember and comment about it later?
>
> ROTFLMAO.
>
> >,as it
> > was common practice to do this back then
>
> Right, way back in then Bush knew that several decades later he would be
> President and would need an excuse to go to war.
>
> Sheese, and you call the 9/11 commission delusionary.
>

>
> > so the buildings could be
> > removed easily in a tight area,so as not to do excessible damage to
> > the surronding structures!
>
> Sorry, but it is NOT common practice, then or now to put demolition charges
> in a building unless you are actually in the process of demolishing the
> building.
>
>
> > Actually there was no Plane hit nor Fire it was all a smoke
> > screen.
>
> Right, that explains the eyewitness accounts and video footage of an
> airliner running into the building....
>
>

Joe is one with the magic kOOkdumb today. As always.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1030. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 18:32:07 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2830abaeadb692e498a1a4@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228957
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
In article , joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 8, 4:21ï¿œam, "Scout"
> wrote:
> > "joeturn" wrote in message
> >
> > news:944fa765-420b-4259-b0c8-5c16db65a24c@w10g2000yqa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 8, 1:55 am, "Scout"
> > > wrote:
> > >> "joeturn" wrote in message
> >
> > >>news:0a56a1b8-bc9c-45bb-aba8-7cf6bf848b15@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > >> > On May 7, 9:04 pm, John Smith wrote:
> > >> >> On 5/7/2011 5:12 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >
> > >> >> > ...
> > >> >> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which
> > >> >> > is
> > >> >> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
> >
> > >> >> > Jon Bobble spell check that for me mine is broken TIA >
> > >> >> The properties exhibited by thermite bear a signature which absolutely
> > >> >> proves it to be man made.
> >
> > >> >> For example: ï¿œYou can stack a bunch of rocks together and form a wall.
> > >> >> Or, men can cut, polish, etc. rocks into geometric shapes and build a
> > >> >> wall.
> >
> > >> >> You will NEVER be likely to confuse the two stone walls, nor lack
> > >> >> ability to recognize the difference between the two ...
> >
> > >> >> Regards,
> > >> >> JS
> >
> > >> > Very true and THERMATE was the military patented grade of THERMITE
> > >> > used for incenterary devices in WWII super quick cutting through armor
```

> >
> > >> So tell me, how exactly did they manage to get several tons of thermite
> > >> into
> > >> the building, expose the necessary girders, set the devices, and manage
> > >> to
> > >> control them ï¿œall with absolutely no one noticing or spilling the beans
> > >> later? Further, how exactly do you manage to cause the visible point of
> > >> failure to be exactly the aircraft impact site, and how exactly do you
> > >> manage to protect the devices from all that damage and fire while still
> > >> providing 100% reliability?
> >
> > >> Sorry, but seems to me that explanation leaves a lot to be desired and
> > >> based
> > >> more on conjecture and supposition than actual fact.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > >> - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > Sprayed on Asbestos was used to protect them from the elements.
> >
> > Sorry, but that doesn't prevent physical damage nor does it prevent heat
> > damage given a hot enough fire and/or enough time.
> >
> > So once again, I ask how can you protect them against the impact of an
> > airliner, subsequent fires and of course be utterly inconspicuous.
> >
> > > The girders are roof members of little consiquence.
> >
> > I see, so your idea of how to collapse a skyscraper is to collapse the
> > roof.....and you think the official story doesn't consider reality....
>
> No you claimed the roof members brought down the builds when you said
> girder. I said roof members were of little consiquence as it has to be
> the verticle support columns thyat has to be cut for weakoning a
> structure for collapse!
>
> >
> > Oh, and FYI, doesn't explain why in at least one case the visible point of
> > failure is clearly the sight of the impact from the airliner.
>
> It was an explosion from the inside and a smoke screen to conciel any
> damage,which there was none!
>
> This was a farce there were preset exposives along that area of said
> impact with a line of piping to create the smoke scene.
>
> NO PLANES WERE USED!
>
> >
> > > They were not worried about being noticed setting the charges
> >

> > Really?
>
> NO Loffley was told it was common practice when he asked why they were
> beeing set during the construction.
> It was compare to the las Vegas Casinos that were apt to be moved at a
> moments notice!
> >
> > People running around the building going into private spaces, ripping down
> > the drywall, scrapping off the fireproofing, hooking massive objects to the
> > girders, and no one is going to notice, remember and comment about it later?
>
> The only racket they made was during the stripping of the buildings of
> their contents.Copper plumbing ,wiring,intermidiate supports,office
> equiptment ,comodes.urinals,ect things that could be reused or
> projectiles.
>
> >
> > ROTFLMAO.
>
> This is an obvious emotion for the brain washed,if only you could
> think you laughter would turn to tears,you would be able to realize
> your Government is guilty of genocide and thinks nothing of its
> citizens they are collateral damage!
>
>
> >
> > >,as it
> > > was common practice to do this back then
> >
> > Right, way back in then Bush knew that several decades later he would be
> > President and would need an excuse to go to war.
>
> No his dad entered the USA on the project paper clip he was born nazi
> germany and programed by Hitler to tear apart the USA from within and
> assure National Socialism replaced Democracy
> >
> > Sheese, and you call the 9/11 commission delusionary.
>
> They were a special team of Government shills
> set in motion to sway public opinion away from the real terrorist.your
> CIA.
>
> >
> > > so the buildings could be
> > > removed easily in a tight area,so as not to do excessible damage to
> > > the surronding structures!
> >
> > Sorry, but it is NOT common practice, then or now to put demolition charges
> > in a building unless you are actually in the process of demolishing the
> > building.

>
> Not so it is more feasible to build them in the buildings that are
> expected to be removed.
> >
> > > Actually there was no Plane hit nor Fire it was all a smoke
> > > screen.
> >
> > Right, that explains the eyewitness accounts and video footage of an
> > airliner running into the building....
>
> A closer look at your videos will show you it was a hologrammed image
> of a plane people can see those you know.
>
> They will be used to make people think the rature has come when they
> put on the Nasa Blue beam project!
>
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -

BWHAHAHAHAHA! That's a keeper, one of the most insane posts you've ever made, WrongTurnJoe.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1031. Charlie Chan and the Mystery of the Mansion Hideout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 18:34:54 UTC
From: "Chas.Chan" <tianmeiguo@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2a2c9836-8678-4407-9f4f-26f6e4bc08e8@f2g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.news-media,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.economics,alt.politics.liberalism

As the story of the death of Osama bin Laden grows more curious by the day, it's time we call in that legendary Honolulu police detective of yesteryear, Charlie Chan, to visit the scene.

With his Number One Son, Jimmy, Chan arrives at the former hideout of the deceased mass murderer, where a small crowd of on-lookers still lingers. This conversation ensues:

Jimmy: Gee, Pop, this doesn't look like a mansion. It looks more like a three-story police headquarters in a provincial capital in Guatemala.

Chan: True, but look around, Jimmy. Few houses are nearby, and none is bigger. Nor is there another house surrounded by a tall wall topped with barbed wire. But you are right, Number One Son. It does look like a jail, but given its secluded location and size, to some here it might appear to be the home of a rich man.

Jimmy: If bin Laden was hiding here from the Pakistani authorities, as well as from the Americans, isn't this a high profile place to hide?

Chan: Yes, Jimmy. And within your question lives another: Was he hiding from the Pakistani authorities?

Jimmy: They said they were hunting him.

Chan: Look around. What do you see?

Jimmy: Mostly open fields, with only a few houses nearby.

Chan: Look further.

Jimmy: Well, we did pass a big military base nearby where tens of thousands of Pakistani soldiers live. And there are many homes of retired Pakistani military. Plus, there's that national military academy we passed about a mile back. Gee, it's like we're at the center of the Pakistani armed forces.

Chan: Yes, and so we are, Jimmy.

Jimmy: Pop, wouldn't you think that someone in the Pakistani intelligence service would have noticed this place and inquired as to who lived here? It sticks out like a sore thumb.

Chan: Pakistani authorities report they visited here in 2003 to make such inquiries. An official says the compound "was raided when under construction" back then.

Jimmy: So who was living here then, Pop?

Chan: Here's an aerial photo of the compound from 2004. Who do you think was?

Jimmy: It was an empty field! So how did they visit a compound on an empty field?

Chan: It must have required great imagination, Number One Son.

Jimmy: I see evidence of the shootout here, Pop. The newspapers say it lasted 40 minutes. We are so close to a lot of armed soldiers. What did they do when they rushed here to investigate?

Chan: Another good question, Jimmy. An official of Pakistan's main intelligence organization, the ISS, says, "We were totally caught by surprise. They were in and out before we could react." He also says, "the compound was not on our radar; it is an embarrassment for the ISI. We're good, but we're not God...This one failure should not make us look totally incompetent."

Jimmy: Well, at least they admit their mistakes.

Chan: Perhaps. But an ancient honorable ancestor once said that sometimes a clever man will claim to be stupid to hide his cleverness.

Jimmy: You mean they knew bin Laden was here all the time, sort of in protective custody, under house arrest?

Chan: The President of Pakistan, Asif Ali Zardari, said that bin Laden "was not anywhere we had anticipated he would be." But White House counter-terrorism adviser John Brennan says bin Laden could have been living here five or six years. Is it not interesting that in 2005, al-Libi, who also lived here in Abbottabad, was captured and taken to Guantanamo?

Jimmy: All this doesn't add up, Pop. How long have we known that bin Laden was likely hiding here?

Chan: Number One Son has a good head for mathematics. The government admits knowing since mid-February.

Jimmy: Wait. Wasn't that around the time when Raymond Davis, an American contractor collecting information for the C.I.A. in Pakistan, was arrested for killing two men who, he said, were trying to rob him at a crowded traffic stop?

Chan: Another honorable ancient ancestor once said, "Two dogs may bark at the same time, but not necessarily for the same reason. On the other hand, one cat in a neighborhood of dogs can set all to barking."

Jimmy: This Davis story is a curious coincidence.

Chan: Much about all of this is curious, Jimmy. Details of what happened inside the compound when bin Laden was terminated with extreme prejudice are confusing. A man unable to relate a relatively

simple story without changing it with each retelling is often more interested in concealing, than revealing, information.

Jimmy: You mean the government might not be telling us the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, Pop?

Chan: Number One Son, do not be alarmed with what I am about to tell you: No government does such things.

Jimmy: I'm wondering about the timing of all this. I read that there were many National Security Council meetings over the last 6 weeks about how and when to enter the Mansion. I know these things take time, but I don't sense the fierce urgency of now to get a man who we've been hunting for 10 years.

Chan: Yes, the timing is interesting, Jimmy. Sometimes one must look for the reasons for when something happens within the eventual impact it brings.

Jimmy: And this comes from another honorable ancient ancestor?

Chan: No, from the Los Angeles Times.

Intense, closed-door meetings continued until after midnight. David Plouffe, a senior White House advisor who ran Obama's 2008 campaign, met with the president's press team and afterward, ambled past the Oval Office in blue jeans, shirttail out, looking tired but happy. A single Secret Service agent stood guard outside the Oval Office, where the light was still on. For a White House that has seen its poll numbers drop amid a sour economy and setbacks overseas, Sunday was a welcome reprieve.

Jimmy: Surely you're not suggesting that the timing was designed to enhance the President's standing in the polls?

Chan: Have you ever seen the ocean, Number One Son?

Jimmy: You know I have, Pop. We live in Honolulu.

Chan: Indeed. And what have you seen?

Jimmy: Same as you -- the surface of the ocean.

Chan: Of course. But we see just part of the ocean's surface, and not see the ocean itself.

Jimmy: One more thing, Pop. We're concerned that the Chinese -- the ones who live in China -- might get access to sensitive technology contained in the tail of the helicopter that was destroyed in the raid. What do the Chinese have to do with all of this?

Chan: We passed a Chinese restaurant on the way here. Let's have lunch, Jimmy.

http://www.americanthinker.com/2011/05/charlie_chan_and_the_mystery_o.html

1032. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 18:37:59 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq6nu3$h3q$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228959
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/8/2011 10:51 AM, joeturn wrote:

>> ...
>> "gavanic"? Do you mean "galvanic"?
>>
>> "Dudes"? Do you mean "duds"?
>>
>> Sorry dude, your [lack of] education is showing.
>
> Schools only teach people a specific path to follow and that path is
> directed by the CIA/illuminati.Schools teach its pupils to have
> grammer hang-ups and instead of understanding what is being said they
> are programed to look for edicate.This type of programming has been
> successful for the controling elite for ages.

Just a flavor of, "Attack the messenger, NOT the message when you have nothing of value to dispute the truth!"

Yeah, real brilliance, a true demonstration of the IQ of the individual reduced to such desperation ...

Regards,
JS

1033. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 18:38:08 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2830ad1c1495aa5298a1a6@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228960
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article <mpidnSkPKuQjYljQnZ2dnVY3go-dnZ2d@giganews.com>,
newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org says...
>
> "joeturn" wrote in message
> news:9a428be6-ebd7-438b-9f57-3866f8e45569@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> Well just think they were put in the buildings in 1963 it a wonder
> they all followed sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made dudes
> out on them!
>
>
>
> ---
> ---
>
> Well, now THAT would be a good trick, since groundbreaking took place in
> Aug, 1966, with completion of the first tower in December, 1972 and the
> second in July of 1973.

You understood that post?????????

Wow.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1034. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 18:39:49 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2830ad8221baef5198a1a7@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228961
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article <8af96bde-7ac5-46e0-8c24-ba7d76be18b5
@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 7, 8:43ï¿œpm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> wrote:
> > "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> > news:80fbb058-5296-4598-95d4-6f92815405b8@b19g2000yqg.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > On May 6, 2:14 pm, Jah Wobble <Sola...@bass.gov> wrote:
> > >> John Smith wrote:
> > >> > On 5/6/2011 10:17 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
> > >
> > >> >> * US * wrote:
> > >
> > >> >>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 07:50:00 -0700 (PDT), harry k
> > >> >>> <turnkey4...@hotmail.com> idiotically
> > >> >>> wrote:
> > >
> > >> >>>> The usual descriptions of sights and sounds of any building
> > >> >>>> undergoing
> > >> >>>> a collapse
> > >
> > >> >>> When demolished ...
> > >
> > >> >> That's what happens when very large airliners filled with fuel crashes
> > >> >> into them at 500 Kts, you retard.
> > >> >> They get demolished.
> > >
> > >> > The aircraft didn't cause the fall. ï¿œThe plane hit the building, the
> > >> > buildings stood. ï¿œThe fuel was splashed about, burnt off in ~15 mins.
> > >> > and the buildings stood. ï¿œOnce the fuel was burnt off, all you were
> > >> > left
> > >> > with was a "normal" high-rise fire.
> > >
> > >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers were
> > >> in perfect working order.
> > >> You retard.
> > >

> > >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> > >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never saw
> > >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
> >
> > >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> > >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > >> - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > One plane load of diesel fuel could not have been enough to get all
> > > the verticle support columns to give away simultaneously through out
> > > the buildi to create a verticl collapse in the buildings footprints!
> >
> > Really? Collapse into the building's footprint you say?
> >
> > http://i28.photobucket.com/albums/c230/Scout_tpg/wtc_collapse2a.jpg
> >
> > I don't think so.
> >
> > Once you have any idea of the facts, then maybe you can try again.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Well just think they were put in the buildings in durring their
> construction from 1966 through 1973 it's a wonder they all followed
> sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made dudes out of all them!

You don't need to keep repeating the above, it was insane enough the
first time.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1035. Re: Why wasn't George Bush captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 18:41:19 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2830add6319653c998a1a8@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 228962
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article <iq5k01$e3n$1@dont-email.me>,
me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net says...
>
> "joeturn" <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:554a2fe0-f3de-4299-ad73-f6d6811cb21d@j23g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
> > On May 7, 11:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/7/2011 7:46 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >>
> >> > ...
> >> > Well just think they were put in the buildings in durring their
> >> > construction from 1966 through 1973 it's a wonder they all followed
> >> > sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made duds out of all them!
> >>
> >> > Thanks to John Smith the spell checker!
> >>
> >> DUDE!
> >>
> >> They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
> >> was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
> >>
> >> Part One -
> >> Tenantshttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
> >>
> >> Part Two -
> >> Securityhttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
> >>
> >> Part Three -
> >> Convergencehttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton...
> >>
> >> Part Four -
> >> Cleanuphttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
> >>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> >
> > No they were put in during construction for quick removal,maybe the
> > detonaters were conected during that time!
>
> Then clearly the building plans, contract documents, inspectors, and so on
> would know ALL about them, right?
>
> Interesting that absolutely NO ONE recalls such devices, no plans exist of
> their inclusion in the building, nor the fact that no other building build

> during this time has been shown to include such devices in their
> construction.
>
> Really, you need to talk to someone, your mental health is seriously in
> doubt.
>

Aw come on! There's no doubt about WrongTurnJoe.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1036. Re: Charlie Chan and the Mystery of the Mansion Hideout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 18:43:22 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq6o85$h3q$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.news-media,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.conspiracy

On 5/8/2011 11:34 AM, Chas.Chan wrote:
> As the story of the death of Osama bin Laden grows more curious by the
> day, it's time we call in that legendary Honolulu police detective of
> yesteryear, Charlie Chan, to visit the scene.
>
> With his Number One Son, Jimmy, Chan arrives at the former hideout of
> the deceased mass murderer, where a small crowd of on-lookers still
> lingers. This conversation ensues:
>
>
> Jimmy: Gee, Pop, this doesn't look like a mansion. It looks more like
> a three-story police headquarters in a provincial capital in
> Guatemala.
>
> Chan: True, but look around, Jimmy. Few houses are nearby, and none
> is bigger. Nor is there another house surrounded by a tall wall
> topped with barbed wire. But you are right, Number One Son. It does
> look like a jail, but given its secluded location and size, to some
> here it might appear to be the home of a rich man.
>
> Jimmy: If bin Laden was hiding here from the Pakistani authorities, as
> well as from the Americans, isn't this a high profile place to hide?
>
> Chan: Yes, Jimmy. And within your question lives another: Was he
> hiding from the Pakistani authorities?
>
> Jimmy: They said they were hunting him.
>
> Chan: Look around. What do you see?
>
> Jimmy: Mostly open fields, with only a few houses nearby.
>
> Chan: Look further.
>
> Jimmy: Well, we did pass a big military base nearby where tens of
> thousands of Pakistani soldiers live. And there are many homes of
> retired Pakistani military. Plus, there's that national military
> academy we passed about a mile back. Gee, it's like we're at the
> center of the Pakistani armed forces.
>
> Chan: Yes, and so we are, Jimmy.
>
> Jimmy: Pop, wouldn't you think that someone in the Pakistani

> intelligence service would have noticed this place and inquired as to
> who lived here? It sticks out like a sore thumb.
>
> Chan: Pakistani authorities report they visited here in 2003 to make
> such inquiries. An official says the compound "was raided when under
> construction" back then.
>
> Jimmy: So who was living here then, Pop?
>
> Chan: Here's an aerial photo of the compound from 2004. Who do you
> think was?
>
> Jimmy: It was an empty field! So how did they visit a compound on an
> empty field?
>
> Chan: It must have required great imagination, Number One Son.
>
> Jimmy: I see evidence of the shootout here, Pop. The newspapers say
> it lasted 40 minutes. We are so close to a lot of armed soldiers.
> What did they do when they rushed here to investigate?
>
> Chan: Another good question, Jimmy. An official of Pakistan's main
> intelligence organization, the ISS, says, "We were totally caught by
> surprise. They were in and out before we could react." He also says,
> "the compound was not on our radar; it is an embarrassment for the
> ISI. We're good, but we're not God...This one failure should not make
> us look totally incompetent."
>
> Jimmy: Well, at least they admit their mistakes.
>
> Chan: Perhaps. But an ancient honorable ancestor once said that
> sometimes a clever man will claim to be stupid to hide his cleverness.
>
> Jimmy: You mean they knew bin Laden was here all the time, sort of in
> protective custody, under house arrest?
>
> Chan: The President of Pakistan, Asif Ali Zardari, said that bin Laden
> "was not anywhere we had anticipated he would be." But White House
> counter-terrorism adviser John Brennan says bin Laden could have been
> living here five or six years. Is it not interesting that in 2005, al-
> Libi, who also lived here in Abbottabad, was captured and taken to
> Guantanamo?
>
> Jimmy: All this doesn't add up, Pop. How long have we known that bin
> Laden was likely hiding here?
>
> Chan: Number One Son has a good head for mathematics. The government
> admits knowing since mid-February.
>
> Jimmy: Wait. Wasn't that around the time when Raymond Davis, an

> American contractor collecting information for the C.I.A. in Pakistan,
> was arrested for killing two men who, he said, were trying to rob him
> at a crowded traffic stop?
>
> Chan: Another honorable ancient ancestor once said, "Two dogs may bark
> at the same time, but not necessarily for the same reason. On the
> other hand, one cat in a neighborhood of dogs can set all to barking."
>
> Jimmy: This Davis story is a curious coincidence.
>
> Chan: Much about all of this is curious, Jimmy. Details of what
> happened inside the compound when bin Laden was terminated with
> extreme prejudice are confusing. A man unable to relate a relatively
> simple story without changing it with each retelling is often more
> interested in concealing, than revealing, information.
>
> Jimmy: You mean the government might not be telling us the truth, the
> whole truth, and nothing but the truth, Pop?
>
> Chan: Number One Son, do not be alarmed with what I am about to tell
> you: No government does such things.
>
> Jimmy: I'm wondering about the timing of all this. I read that there
> were many National Security Council meetings over the last 6 weeks
> about how and when to enter the Mansion. I know these things take
> time, but I don't sense the fierce urgency of now to get a man who
> we've been hunting for 10 years.
>
> Chan: Yes, the timing is interesting, Jimmy. Sometimes one must look
> for the reasons for when something happens within the eventual impact
> it brings.
>
> Jimmy: And this comes from another honorable ancient ancestor?
>
> Chan: No, from the Los Angeles Times.
>
> Intense, closed-door meetings continued until after midnight. David
> Plouffe, a senior White House advisor who ran Obama's 2008 campaign,
> met with the president's press team and afterward, ambled past the
> Oval Office in blue jeans, shirttail out, looking tired but happy. A
> single Secret Service agent stood guard outside the Oval Office, where
> the light was still on. For a White House that has seen its poll
> numbers drop amid a sour economy and setbacks overseas, Sunday was a
> welcome reprieve.
>
> Jimmy: Surely you're not suggesting that the timing was designed to
> enhance the President's standing in the polls?
>
> Chan: Have you ever seen the ocean, Number One Son?
>

> Jimmy: You know I have, Pop. We live in Honolulu.
>
> Chan: Indeed. And what have you seen?
>
> Jimmy: Same as you -- the surface of the ocean.
>
> Chan: Of course. But we see just part of the ocean's surface, and not
> see the ocean itself.
>
> Jimmy: One more thing, Pop. We're concerned that the Chinese -- the
> ones who live in China -- might get access to sensitive technology
> contained in the tail of the helicopter that was destroyed in the
> raid. What do the Chinese have to do with all of this?
>
> Chan: We passed a Chinese restaurant on the way here. Let's have
> lunch, Jimmy.
>
> http://www.americanthinker.com/2011/05/charlie_chan_and_the_mystery_o.html

Yes, as openly a mystery as to why someone would create a fraudulent
birth certificate which looks as if it were crafted by a particularly
dense elementary school student!

However, both plans, the fraudulent osama story and the obama birth
certificate, show such similarities as to look crafted by the same
elementary school student!

Certainly one must suspect some great form of logic and reasoning is
being done which is so complex in nature, so genius, that its' true
purpose escapes even the minds of rational men! ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1037. Re: Charlie Chan and the Mystery of the Mansion Hideout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 18:45:11 UTC
From: Neoconis_Ignoramus <bellamacina@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <c762bc47-95ca-4a3e-b68d-fa350836346c@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.news-media,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,alt.politics.liberalism

On May 8, 11:43 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/8/2011 11:34 AM, Chas.Chan wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > As the story of the death of Osama bin Laden grows more curious by the
> > day, it's time we call in that legendary Honolulu police detective of
> > yesteryear, Charlie Chan, to visit the scene.
>
> > With his Number One Son, Jimmy, Chan arrives at the former hideout of
> > the deceased mass murderer, where a small crowd of on-lookers still
> > lingers. This conversation ensues:
>
> > Jimmy: Gee, Pop, this doesn't look like a mansion. It looks more like
> > a three-story police headquarters in a provincial capital in
> > Guatemala.
>
> > Chan: True, but look around, Jimmy. Few houses are nearby, and none
> > is bigger. Nor is there another house surrounded by a tall wall
> > topped with barbed wire. But you are right, Number One Son. It does
> > look like a jail, but given its secluded location and size, to some
> > here it might appear to be the home of a rich man.
>
> > Jimmy: If bin Laden was hiding here from the Pakistani authorities, as
> > well as from the Americans, isn't this a high profile place to hide?
>
> > Chan: Yes, Jimmy. And within your question lives another: Was he
> > hiding from the Pakistani authorities?
>
> > Jimmy: They said they were hunting him.
>
> > Chan: Look around. What do you see?
>
> > Jimmy: Mostly open fields, with only a few houses nearby.
>
> > Chan: Look further.
>
> > Jimmy: Well, we did pass a big military base nearby where tens of
> > thousands of Pakistani soldiers live. And there are many homes of
> > retired Pakistani military. Plus, there's that national military
> > academy we passed about a mile back. Gee, it's like we're at the

> > center of the Pakistani armed forces.
>
> > Chan: Yes, and so we are, Jimmy.
>
> > Jimmy: Pop, wouldn't you think that someone in the Pakistani
> > intelligence service would have noticed this place and inquired as to
> > who lived here? It sticks out like a sore thumb.
>
> > Chan: Pakistani authorities report they visited here in 2003 to make
> > such inquiries. An official says the compound "was raided when under
> > construction" back then.
>
> > Jimmy: So who was living here then, Pop?
>
> > Chan: Here's an aerial photo of the compound from 2004. Who do you
> > think was?
>
> > Jimmy: It was an empty field! So how did they visit a compound on an
> > empty field?
>
> > Chan: It must have required great imagination, Number One Son.
>
> > Jimmy: I see evidence of the shootout here, Pop. The newspapers say
> > it lasted 40 minutes. We are so close to a lot of armed soldiers.
> > What did they do when they rushed here to investigate?
>
> > Chan: Another good question, Jimmy. An official of Pakistan's main
> > intelligence organization, the ISS, says, "We were totally caught by
> > surprise. They were in and out before we could react." He also says,
> > "the compound was not on our radar; it is an embarrassment for the
> > ISI. We're good, but we're not God...This one failure should not make
> > us look totally incompetent."
>
> > Jimmy: Well, at least they admit their mistakes.
>
> > Chan: Perhaps. But an ancient honorable ancestor once said that
> > sometimes a clever man will claim to be stupid to hide his cleverness.
>
> > Jimmy: You mean they knew bin Laden was here all the time, sort of in
> > protective custody, under house arrest?
>
> > Chan: The President of Pakistan, Asif Ali Zardari, said that bin Laden
> > "was not anywhere we had anticipated he would be." But White House
> > counter-terrorism adviser John Brennan says bin Laden could have been
> > living here five or six years. Is it not interesting that in 2005, al-
> > Libi, who also lived here in Abbottabad, was captured and taken to
> > Guantanamo?
>
> > Jimmy: All this doesn't add up, Pop. How long have we known that bin
> > Laden was likely hiding here?

>
> > Chan: Number One Son has a good head for mathematics. The government
> > admits knowing since mid-February.
>
> > Jimmy: Wait. Wasn't that around the time when Raymond Davis, an
> > American contractor collecting information for the C.I.A. in Pakistan,
> > was arrested for killing two men who, he said, were trying to rob him
> > at a crowded traffic stop?
>
> > Chan: Another honorable ancient ancestor once said, "Two dogs may bark
> > at the same time, but not necessarily for the same reason. On the
> > other hand, one cat in a neighborhood of dogs can set all to barking."
>
> > Jimmy: This Davis story is a curious coincidence.
>
> > Chan: Much about all of this is curious, Jimmy. Details of what
> > happened inside the compound when bin Laden was terminated with
> > extreme prejudice are confusing. A man unable to relate a relatively
> > simple story without changing it with each retelling is often more
> > interested in concealing, than revealing, information.
>
> > Jimmy: You mean the government might not be telling us the truth, the
> > whole truth, and nothing but the truth, Pop?
>
> > Chan: Number One Son, do not be alarmed with what I am about to tell
> > you: No government does such things.
>
> > Jimmy: I'm wondering about the timing of all this. I read that there
> > were many National Security Council meetings over the last 6 weeks
> > about how and when to enter the Mansion. I know these things take
> > time, but I don't sense the fierce urgency of now to get a man who
> > we've been hunting for 10 years.
>
> > Chan: Yes, the timing is interesting, Jimmy. Sometimes one must look
> > for the reasons for when something happens within the eventual impact
> > it brings.
>
> > Jimmy: And this comes from another honorable ancient ancestor?
>
> > Chan: No, from the Los Angeles Times.
>
> > Intense, closed-door meetings continued until after midnight. David
> > Plouffe, a senior White House advisor who ran Obama's 2008 campaign,
> > met with the president's press team and afterward, ambled past the
> > Oval Office in blue jeans, shirttail out, looking tired but happy. A
> > single Secret Service agent stood guard outside the Oval Office, where
> > the light was still on. For a White House that has seen its poll
> > numbers drop amid a sour economy and setbacks overseas, Sunday was a
> > welcome reprieve.
>

> > Jimmy: Surely you're not suggesting that the timing was designed to
> > enhance the President's standing in the polls?
>
> > Chan: Have you ever seen the ocean, Number One Son?
>
> > Jimmy: You know I have, Pop. We live in Honolulu.
>
> > Chan: Indeed. And what have you seen?
>
> > Jimmy: Same as you -- the surface of the ocean.
>
> > Chan: Of course. But we see just part of the ocean's surface, and not
> > see the ocean itself.
>
> > Jimmy: One more thing, Pop. We're concerned that the Chinese -- the
> > ones who live in China -- might get access to sensitive technology
> > contained in the tail of the helicopter that was destroyed in the
> > raid. What do the Chinese have to do with all of this?
>
> > Chan: We passed a Chinese restaurant on the way here. Let's have
> > lunch, Jimmy.
>
> >http://www.americanthinker.com/2011/05/charlie_chan_and_the_mystery_o...
>
> Yes, as openly a mystery as to why someone would create a fraudulent
> birth certificate which looks as if it were crafted by a particularly
> dense elementary school student!
>
> However, both plans, the fraudulent osama story and the obama birth
> certificate, show such similarities as to look crafted by the same
> elementary school student!
>
> Certainly one must suspect some great form of logic and reasoning is
> being done which is so complex in nature, so genius, that its' true
> purpose escapes even the minds of rational men! ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Is it another serving of jell-o and stewed carrots today at the
sanitarium?

1038. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 19:00:58 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iq6p9c$j6d$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228965
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:iq6gsubtg6@dont-email.me...
> On 5/8/2011 9:34 AM, Jim Kale wrote:
>
>> ...
>> You really are retarded if you think that shit holds any kind of reality.
>> So uh, who's been charged for this "crime", you retard?
>> I mean if it's "proof", then why isn't anybody being charged, you retard?
>
> Really?
>
> Well, it is simple, prove what you say.
>
> A list of video evidence, a list of experts, a list of scientists,
> scholars, engineers, architects, demolition experts, etc. to back up your
> simple opinion would change your statements from just so much wacko
> opinions and rants into something resembling sanity.
>
> Don't worry, we will wait here for you ...

Yep, and when asked to prove even a single specific point that you consider your best evidence you can't do so and then run away when pushed over your inability to provide the conclusive proof that you claim.

Not even ONE point can you prove shows what you claim.

1039. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 19:06:39 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iq6pk7kdc1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228966
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"joeturn" wrote in message
news:b0929976-82c9-4c7e-b3e9-9c599d104121@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com...
> On May 8, 4:21 am, "Scout"
> wrote:
>> "joeturn" wrote in message
>>
>> news:944fa765-420b-4259-b0c8-5c16db65a24c@w10g2000yqa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 8, 1:55 am, "Scout"
>> > wrote:
>> >> "joeturn" wrote in message
>>
>> >>news:0a56a1b8-bc9c-45bb-aba8-7cf6bf848b15@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> >> > On May 7, 9:04 pm, John Smith wrote:
>> >> >> On 5/7/2011 5:12 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>> >> >> > ...
>> >> >> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears
>> >> >> > which
>> >> >> > is
>> >> >> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>>
>> >> >> > Jon Bobble spell check that for me mine is broken TIA>
>> >> >> The properties exhibited by thermite bear a signature which
>> >> >> absolutely
>> >> >> proves it to be man made.
>>
>> >> >> For example: You can stack a bunch of rocks together and form a
>> >> >> wall.
>> >> >> Or, men can cut, polish, etc. rocks into geometric shapes and build
>> >> >> a
>> >> >> wall.
>>
>> >> >> You will NEVER be likely to confuse the two stone walls, nor lack
>> >> >> ability to recognize the difference between the two ...
>>
>> >> >> Regards,

>> >> >> JS
>>
>> >> > Very true and THERMATE was the military patented grade of THERMITE
>> >> > used for incenterary devices in WWII super quick cutting through
>> >> > armor
>>
>> >> So tell me, how exactly did they manage to get several tons of
>> >> thermite
>> >> into
>> >> the building, expose the necessary girders, set the devices, and
>> >> manage
>> >> to
>> >> control them all with absolutely no one noticing or spilling the
>> >> beans
>> >> later? Further, how exactly do you manage to cause the visible point
>> >> of
>> >> failure to be exactly the aircraft impact site, and how exactly do you
>> >> manage to protect the devices from all that damage and fire while
>> >> still
>> >> providing 100% reliability?
>>
>> >> Sorry, but seems to me that explanation leaves a lot to be desired and
>> >> based
>> >> more on conjecture and supposition than actual fact.- Hide quoted
>> >> text -
>>
>> >> - Show quoted text -
>>
>> > Sprayed on Asbestos was used to protect them from the elements.
>>
>> Sorry, but that doesn't prevent physical damage nor does it prevent heat
>> damage given a hot enough fire and/or enough time.
>>
>> So once again, I ask how can you protect them against the impact of an
>> airliner, subsequent fires and of course be utterly inconspicuous.
>>
>> > The girders are roof members of little consiquence.
>>
>> I see, so your idea of how to collapse a skyscraper is to collapse the
>> roof.....and you think the official story doesn't consider reality....
>
> No you claimed the roof members brought down the builds when you said
> girder. I said roof members were of little consiquence as it has to be
> the verticle support columns thyat has to be cut for weakoning a
> structure for collapse!

Hate to tell you this but girders exist in places other than the roof.

Further, it's going to be quite difficult if not impossible to use thermite
to cut a column since thermite tends to cut only in a downwards direction.
As such, your reaction compounds would simply drip off a column as soon as

you started the reaction and next to nothing would be accomplished.

>> Oh, and FYI, doesn't explain why in at least one case the visible point
>> of
>> failure is clearly the sight of the impact from the airliner.
>
> It was an explosion from the inside and a smoke screen to conciel any
> damage,which there was none!

Right, and the plane flying into that spot was just ... what? mass hypnosis
and illusions captured on video?

> This was a farce there were preset exposives along that area of said
> impact with a line of piping to create the smoke scene.
>
> NO PLANES WERE USED!

Right, so where did all the aircraft wreckage come from?

Sorry, but you're a loon and simply not worth the time to talk to.

1040. Re: Why wasn't George Bush captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 19:13:35 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iq6q11$lc2$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228967
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"joeturn" <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:61e5e6db-e9e9-4e79-a6af-22748656dfaf@35g2000yqy.googlegroups.com...
> On May 8, 4:24 am, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> wrote:
>> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:554a2fe0-f3de-4299-ad73-f6d6811cb21d@j23g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 7, 11:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> >> On 5/7/2011 7:46 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>> >> > ...
>> >> > Well just think they were put in the buildings in durring their
>> >> > construction from 1966 through 1973 it's a wonder they all followed
>> >> > sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made duds out of all them!
>>
>> >> > Thanks to John Smith the spell checker!
>>
>> >> DUDE!
>>
>> >> They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
>> >> was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
>>
>> >> Part One -
>> >> Tenantshttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
>>
>> >> Part Two -
>> >> Securityhttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
>>
>> >> Part Three -
>> >> Convergencehttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton...
>>
>> >> Part Four -
>> >> Cleanuphttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
>>
>> >> Regards,
>> >> JS
>>
>> > No they were put in during construction for quick removal,maybe the

>> > detonaters were conected during that time!
>>
>> Then clearly the building plans, contract documents, inspectors, and so
>> on
>> would know ALL about them, right?
>
> Lofferley did not know and he was involved.

Then it wasn't part of the design. QED.

1041. Re: Charlie Chan and the Mystery of the Mansion Hideout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 19:21:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq6qg6$h3r$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.news-media,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.conspiracy

On 5/8/2011 11:45 AM, Neoconis_Ignoramus wrote:

>> ...
>>> http://www.americanthinker.com/2011/05/charlie_chan_and_the_mystery_o...
>>
>> Yes, as openly a mystery as to why someone would create a fraudulent
>> birth certificate which looks as if it were crafted by a particularly
>> dense elementary school student!
>>
>> However, both plans, the fraudulent osama story and the obama birth
>> certificate, show such similarities as to look crafted by the same
>> elementary school student!
>>
>> Certainly one must suspect some great form of logic and reasoning is
>> being done which is so complex in nature, so genius, that its' true
>> purpose escapes even the minds of rational men! ROFLOL
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> Is it another serving of jell-o and stewed carrots today at the
> sanitarium?

You will have to check with one of your fellow inmates, I am aware of no credible websites to point you to which will resolve your pressing questions ...

Regards,
JS

1042. Re: Why wasn't George Bush captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 20:09:43 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC6F887-2881@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228969

Looka here ilk,
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Carter+and+Reagan+Middle+East+Hostages

Does that sort of kind of Ring a Bell?

It's like this, sort of kind of, They (''They'') save the Bogeyman for whatever other U.S.(Keep in mind, SCARHEAD MOFO USURPER! is NOT! the President of U.S.A. U.S.A.does NOT! have a President!) prezes.Do you follow me? Do you understand what I am getting at?
cuhulin

1043. Personal safety, safety for your home, your family, your neighborhood, your state, your nation -- PRICELESS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 20:14:25 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq6tr7$82n$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228970
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gun_politics_in_Switzerland

In America, we need to return to these values ...

Regards,
JS

1044. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 20:44:20 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <okvds6hcbgivtretes6vp044qkjmdklbf3@4ax.com>
Source record: 228971
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Sat, 07 May 2011 20:14:10 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:

>They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
>was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
>
>Part One - Tenants
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
>
>Part Two - Security
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
>
>Part Three - Convergence
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton.html
>
>Part Four - Cleanup
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
>
>Regards,
>JS

Excellent presentation of facts.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie has no facts.

1045. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 20:54:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC70304-2898@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228972

Two of the WTC buildings were 110 storys tall.It was getting near the
end of the WTC buildings projected 'life span'.Can you imagine
demolishing those two 110 WTC buildings the old timey slow way?
cuhulin

1046. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 21:18:51 UTC
From: rfischer@sonic.net
Message-ID: <4dc708bb$0$31381$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 228973
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>On 5/8/2011 10:20 AM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/7/2011 10:48 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>>> Ray Fischer wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
>>>>>> for the truth.
>>>>>
>>>>> It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth certificate.
>>>>
>>>> It is stupid to believe crazy conspiracy whackos.
>>>
>>> It is so stupid when you can download the document in question,
>>
>> The document certified by the state of Hawaii as being Obama's birth
>> certificate.
>
>Yeah, your review of the abundance of posts, debate, and presented

And the racist lunatic runs from the facts, yet again.

Insanity.

--
Ray Fischer | Mendocracy (n.) government by lying
rfischer@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal

1047. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 21:19:23 UTC
From: rfischer@sonic.net
Message-ID: <4dc708db$0$31381$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 228974
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>On 5/8/2011 10:20 AM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/7/2011 10:48 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>>> Ray Fischer wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
>>>>>> for the truth.
>>>>>
>>>>> It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth certificate.
>>>>
>>>> It is stupid to believe crazy conspiracy whackos.
>>>
>>> It is so stupid when you can download the document in question,
>>
>> The document certified by the state of Hawaii as being Obama's birth
>> certificate.
>
>Ahhh, I feel sorry for ya' and take pity,

You're just another paranoid bigot.

--
Ray Fischer | Mendocracy (n.) government by lying
rfischer@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal

1048. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 21:19:57 UTC
From: rfischer@sonic.net
Message-ID: <4dc708fd$0$31381$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 228975
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>On 5/8/2011 10:22 AM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>> Joe from Kokomo<joe@indy.net> wrote:
>>>> On 5/7/2011 6:35 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
>>>>> for the truth.
>>>
>>> On 5/7/2011 10:05 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>> It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth certificate.
>>>
>>> Fourth time I've asked you, kooktard, and *still* no answer: If, as you
>>> claim, Obama spent MILLIONS to "hide his past", but yet all he can
>>> afford is "a bad fraud of a birth certificate". Duh!
>>
>> You want to know how stupid these racist birther really are?
>>
>> They cannot accept that a well-worn manual typewriter might be
>> inconsistent about putting letters on a page. They insist that
>> if the letters are NOT perfect it's because somebody using Photoshop
>> with all of its ability to perfectly align pixels wasn't able to
>> actually line up the characters.
>
>Actually, that is exactly the proof of why the document is fake!

It's actually the proof that you're a paranoid idiot.

--
Ray Fischer | Mendocracy (n.) government by lying
rfischer@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal

1049. Re: Europe to let 3 Countries Default on their Debt. Is USA far behind?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 21:27:29 UTC
From: Day Brown <daybrown@artelco.com>
Message-ID: <1ZadncTpDZBcl1rQnZ2dnUVZ_jqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228976
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.obama,misc.legal,or.politics,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.community

On 04/28/2011 01:53 AM, Jarbidge wrote:
> On Thu, 28 Apr 2011 00:38:19 -0500, Day Brown wrote:
>
>> On 04/26/2011 11:27 AM, Jarbidge wrote:
>>> On Tue, 26 Apr 2011 01:57:49 -0500, Day Brown wrote:
>>>
>>>> On 04/22/2011 04:55 PM, Jarbidge wrote:
>>>>> On Fri, 22 Apr 2011 01:58:59 -0500, Day Brown wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> My main complaint is
>>>>>
>>>>> Do folks know what a total k00ker you are?
>>>>>
>>>>> http://daybrown.org/
>>>>>
>>>>> http://daybrown.org/obituary/obituary.htm
>>>>>
>>>>> Day Brown was born on a farm in Dresseville Township MN, Aug 1,1939,
>>>>> as Dale Braun. But then when Julia Braun got married, they doctored
>>>>> the birth certificate to match her husband's name, Brown.
>>>>>
>>>>> If you calculate it, you see he was conceived Oct 31, 1938, which is
>>>>> the night Orson Well's "War of the Worlds" premeired on the radio. It
>>>>> does net seem that Julia knew the sperm donor well. Harvey Jones took
>>>>> her to Texas, but she left him to give birth on the farm, and he has
>>>>> not been seen since. His parents lived at Kewatin MN.
>>>> In addition, it seems my sperm donor sired kids by at least two more
>>>> women, abandoning the support of the first. It appears I have several
>>>> surviving half brothers; we seem to have longevity.
>>>>
>>>>
>>> Shall we discuss your ongoing problems with DEA?
>>>
>>> You FREAKSHOW!
>> Its not a problem, its an opportunity!
>
> YOU are a freakshow.
My atty says they were too heavy handed, lacked subtlety, and should
have stopped the trial to deal with terroristic threatening. At the very
least, I get a new trial, and then the question is whether felony
charges will be entered against the prosecution. I now await a report on
her negotiations with him.

The war on drugs here has lost support since landowners see its been used to seize property and enrich friends of the Good Old Boys.

1050. Re: Personal safety, safety for your home, your family, your neighborhood, your state, your nation -- PRICELESS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 21:31:58 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d0cc8604-9d74-4ae5-aa5d-5bd0f824421e@b7g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228977

On May 8, 1:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gun_politics_in_Switzerland
>
> In America, we need to return to these values ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Paranoia.

1051. The Freedom-To-Associate : Freedom... Freedom-for-One and Freedom-for-All = Freedom !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 22:04:40 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <e64af1ad-44a4-477d-8415-6de9bcffdc35@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 1, 7:23 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/01/2011 03:08 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Apr 30, 7:44 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> >> On 04/29/2011 09:28 PM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> >>> Stinking Unions! EFF Them!
> >>>http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=306991
>
> >>> Mississippi is a Right To Work State too.I HATE Unions!
> >>> cuhulin
>
- - - Dave -wrote-new-subject-line-
- - - Right to work for less

- - And Dave You Also Have The Right NOT To Work At All !
- - Nothing in the US Constitution about "Labor Trade Unions"

Right to Work for Less a/o More & Right Not To Work At All !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ee7b974730baf56e
.
- Peaceably assemble?
-
- It is a fundamental right of Americans to freely associate and band
- together to exercise power. Look in the picture frames either side
of
- the Speaker of the House. Those symbols represent the strength of
people
- working together.

The Freedom-To-Associate : For Some {Not All} To
Come Together As A Group {Union} "The In-Crowd"
-implies- The Freedom-To-Dis-Associate : For Some
{Not All} NOT To Come Together As A Group {Union}
Be Part of "The Out-Crowd"

-also- The Right To Limit 'Association' and Exclude
'Association' Based Upon The Covenant of The
Association and The Majority 'Opinion' {Vote} of
"The Associated Members".

Protecting Both : The Rights of Individuals from the
Abuses of Government -and- The Rights of Groups
from the Abuses of Government
-also-by-implication- The Rights of Non-Group Members
from The Group : By-the-Government {If Need Be}.
-it's-complicated- -it's-complicated- -it's-complicated-

you are hear because 'you' freely "choose" to associate ~ RHF
-and- you may leave {not be here} because 'you' equally
may freely "choose" not to associate
-reminder- being here does not imply that anyone is
force to read what you write; and that goes for you too
-freedom...- -freedom-for-one- -freedom-for-all- -freedom-!-
.
.

1052. Re: Charlie Chan and the Mystery of the Mansion Hideout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 22:09:00 UTC
From: Lamont Cranston <LC@WhoKnowsWhatEvilLurksInTheHeartsOfRepugs.com>
Message-ID: <iq749stej6@news.datemas.de>
Source record: 228979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.news-media,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.conspiracy

On 5/8/2011 11:43 AM, John Smith wrote:

>
> Yes, as openly a mystery as to why someone would create a fraudulent
> birth certificate which looks as if it were crafted by a particularly
> dense elementary school student!

ROTFLMAO!!!! Birthers: dumber than dogshit and proud of it.

1053. Re: Charlie Chan and the Mystery of the Mansion Hideout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 22:09:57 UTC
From: Lamont Cranston <LC@WhoKnowsWhatEvilLurksInTheHeartsOfRepugs.com>
Message-ID: <iq74bltej7@news.datemas.de>
Source record: 228980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.news-media,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.conspiracy

On 5/8/2011 12:21 PM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/8/2011 11:45 AM, Neoconis_Ignoramus wrote:
>
>>> ...
>>>> http://www.americanthinker.com/2011/05/charlie_chan_and_the_mystery_o...
>>>>
>>>
>>> Yes, as openly a mystery as to why someone would create a fraudulent
>>> birth certificate which looks as if it were crafted by a particularly
>>> dense elementary school student!
>>>
>>> However, both plans, the fraudulent osama story and the obama birth
>>> certificate, show such similarities as to look crafted by the same
>>> elementary school student!
>>>
>>> Certainly one must suspect some great form of logic and reasoning is
>>> being done which is so complex in nature, so genius, that its' true
>>> purpose escapes even the minds of rational men! ROFLOL
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>>
>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>> Is it another serving of jell-o and stewed carrots today at the
>> sanitarium?
>
> You will have to check with one of your fellow inmates, I am aware of no
> credible websites

We've known that for a very long time, little bither kook.

1054. Re: external Grundig YB400PE antenna recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 22:24:32 UTC
From: Mike S <mscir@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <iq757b$oc7$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 228981

On 5/8/2011 6:37 AM, dave wrote:
> On 05/07/2011 07:28 PM, D. Peter Maus wrote:
>> On 5/7/11 16:58 , Mike S wrote:
>>> I was just given a Grundig YB400PE without the external antenna, and
>>> the shortwave reception inside my mobile home (metal box) without an
>>> external antenna is non-existent. After reading several of the
>>> antenna discussions here I was wondering if I could get
>>> recommendations about the best way to build an outdoor antenna. I
>>> can put up a large diameter loop outside, say up to 6 feet diameter,
>>> mounted above the roof, or I could run a straight wire about 40'
>>> running roughly East-West above the roof, or a combination of the
>>> two if that would help.
>>>
>>> When I was a kid my dad bought a Hallicrafters shortwave, I think it
>>> as an SX-99, and he used the heavy dog run wire mounted about 10'
>>> above the ground, running from the house to a tree in the back yard
>>> oriented roughly North-South with no ground (neither of us knew
>>> anything about electronics then), and I got stations from all over
>>> the world, it was really interesting hearing the programming from
>>> other political slants as a kid. So I'm wondering how much I can
>>> pull in with this cheap little model.
>>>
>>> TIA,
>>> Mike
>>
>>
>> It's actually quite sensitive, as portables go. An external antenna will
>> do quite nicely.
>>
>> Being quite general, here...as a quick starter, a wire, 10-20 feet long
>> is all that's necessary. More than that and you may be subject to
>> overload of the front end, which will create artifacts up and down the
>> dial.
>>
>> A decent ground may or may not benefit you. Your receiver input isn't
>> like the input on your SX-99. Working against a ground isn't necessary
>> with some solid state designs. YB400PE is one of them.
>>
>> That is, unless you transform the input. Then you'll work the antenna
>> against an earth ground on the antenna side of the transformer, and the
>> receiver on the other. This will reduce some noise, mostly electrical.
>>
>> More elaborate installations are possible, and some may work quite
>> nicely, like trapped dipoles, for instance. And of course, always

>> experiment. There are programs that can even model you an antenna to
>> suit your specifics. And Kevin, here, has done that in the past for
>> another member. But to get you started...a wire is all that's necessary
>> to bring your SW bands to life.
>
> I'd try to use the whole mobile home as an antenna. Use a capacitor to
> connect the frame to you antenna input. Cheap experiment, sometimes
> works. NOTE: a clip lead and a piece of PVC tape for DC blocking = a
> capacitor.

Thanks to everyone who posted. I added a wire that avoids metal and runs
up a wood pole about 15' , the signal strength with the wire is much
higher than without, so I look forward to doing some scans tonight.

1055. Re: Personal safety, safety for your home, your family, your neighborhood, your state, your nation -- PRICELESS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 22:29:31 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <33e6df98-7930-4172-9dbc-f6c691b81e90@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228982

On May 8, 2:31 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 8, 1:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gun_politics_in_Switzerland
>
> > In America, we need to return to these values ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS

- Paranoia.

BpnJ : ? Paranoia ? -or- ! An Honest Deadly Truth !
http://images.wikia.com/cnrp/images/2/22/SG550.jpg
-each-and-every-american-us-citizen-should-have-one-

1st : The Government Should Fear The Power-of-the-People :
"Elections" {'The Vote' -aka- Political Voice of The People}

2nd : The Power-of-the-People Standing 'Armed' Behind
Their "Elected" Government : Should Be Feared By All
Enemies Both Foreign and Domestic.

3rd : A Well 'Regulated' Militia Being Necessary to the
Security of a Free State, The Right of the People to
Keep and Bear Arms : Shall NOT Be Infringed [.]
http://www.awrm.org/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Second_Amendment_to_the_United_States_Constitution

yes - it is that basic simple and easy ~ RHF
.
.
-expanding-on-the-meaning-2nd-amendment-
A Well 'Regulated' [Organized and Controlled] Militia
Being [Existing] Necessary to the Security [Freedom]
of a Free State [Independent],
+AND+ The Right of the People [Both an Individual Right
and a Collective Right] to Keep and Bear Arms [Own and
Use Guns for Self-Defense] : Shall NOT Be Infringed [Not
Be Limited] "."
.
.

1056. Re: The Freedom-To-Associate : Freedom... Freedom-for-One and Freedom-for-All = Freedom !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 22:31:41 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105082231.UTC.iq75ke$f2e$1@tioat.net>
Source record: 228983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.community,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On 5/8/2011 6:04 PM, RHF wrote:
[...]
> The Freedom-To-Associate : For Some {Not All} To
> Come Together As A Group {Union} "The In-Crowd"
> -implies- The Freedom-To-Dis-Associate : For Some
> {Not All} NOT To Come Together As A Group {Union}
> Be Part of "The Out-Crowd"
> -also- The Right To Limit 'Association' and Exclude
> 'Association' Based Upon The Covenant of The
> Association and The Majority 'Opinion' {Vote} of
> "The Associated Members".
[...]

Yes, and that freedom to associate, or disassociate, doesn't only apply to unions.

If freedom of association does not include the following, it has been abrogated by tyrants and has ceased to exist in any meaningful way:

1. The right to place any covenants whatsoever on rental or sale of one's home, including racial covenants.

2. The right as an employee or employer or founder of any group to choose to associate -- or not associate -- on any basis whatsoever, including race.

With every good wish,

Kevin Alfred Strom.
--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

1057. Re: external Grundig YB400PE antenna recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 22:46:33 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1af7ef21-aaef-4be6-9fce-a16320c085d1@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228984

On May 8, 3:24 pm, Mike S <ms...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On 5/8/2011 6:37 AM, dave wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 05/07/2011 07:28 PM, D. Peter Maus wrote:
> >> On 5/7/11 16:58 , Mike S wrote:
> >>> I was just given a Grundig YB400PE without the external antenna, and
> >>> the shortwave reception inside my mobile home (metal box) without an
> >>> external antenna is non-existent. After reading several of the
> >>> antenna discussions here I was wondering if I could get
> >>> recommendations about the best way to build an outdoor antenna. I
> >>> can put up a large diameter loop outside, say up to 6 feet diameter,
> >>> mounted above the roof, or I could run a straight wire about 40'
> >>> running roughly East-West above the roof, or a combination of the
> >>> two if that would help.
>
> >>> When I was a kid my dad bought a Hallicrafters shortwave, I think it
> >>> as an SX-99, and he used the heavy dog run wire mounted about 10'
> >>> above the ground, running from the house to a tree in the back yard
> >>> oriented roughly North-South with no ground (neither of us knew
> >>> anything about electronics then), and I got stations from all over
> >>> the world, it was really interesting hearing the programming from
> >>> other political slants as a kid. So I'm wondering how much I can
> >>> pull in with this cheap little model.
>
> >>> TIA,
> >>> Mike
>
> >> It's actually quite sensitive, as portables go. An external antenna will
> >> do quite nicely.
>
> >> Being quite general, here...as a quick starter, a wire, 10-20 feet long
> >> is all that's necessary. More than that and you may be subject to
> >> overload of the front end, which will create artifacts up and down the
> >> dial.
>
> >> A decent ground may or may not benefit you. Your receiver input isn't

> >> like the input on your SX-99. Working against a ground isn't necessary
> >> with some solid state designs. YB400PE is one of them.
>
> >> That is, unless you transform the input. Then you'll work the antenna
> >> against an earth ground on the antenna side of the transformer, and the
> >> receiver on the other. This will reduce some noise, mostly electrical.
>
> >> More elaborate installations are possible, and some may work quite
> >> nicely, like trapped dipoles, for instance. And of course, always
> >> experiment. There are programs that can even model you an antenna to
> >> suit your specifics. And Kevin, here, has done that in the past for
> >> another member. But to get you started...a wire is all that's necessary
> >> to bring your SW bands to life.
>
> > I'd try to use the whole mobile home as an antenna. Use a capacitor to
> > connect the frame to you antenna input. Cheap experiment, sometimes
> > works. NOTE: a clip lead and a piece of PVC tape for DC blocking = a
> > capacitor.
>
- Thanks to everyone who posted. I added a wire that avoids metal and
runs
- up a wood pole about 15' , the signal strength with the wire is much
- higher than without, so I look forward to doing some scans tonight.

Mike S.,
The Whip Antenna is about 3 Feet Long {1-Metre] a
simple Insulated Stranded Wire starting about "4X"
the Length of Whip Antenna ~12 Feet up to about
7X~8X will usually give better results without over
loading the Radio.

Collapse the Whip Antenna into the 'portable' Radio.
Then Clip the Antenna Wire on to the Tip of the Whip.

These Roll-Up {Reel} SW Antennas are Ready-Made
for 'portable' Radios :
Sangean ANT-60 'portable' Roll-Up Shortwave Antenna
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/3184.html
* Chips onto the Tip of the Radio's Whip Antenna {Collapsed}
http://www.zombiehunters.org/forum/viewtopic.php?f=42&t=22222
* -or- Plugs into the Radio's External Antenna Jack
http://cgi.ebay.com/170537256133

hope this helps - iane ~ RHF
.
.

1058. ? Can You Believe Obama -and- Can You Trust Obama ? -questions- -more-questions- -lots-of-questions-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 22:47:19 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <13003dbc-89a7-42ff-b37e-542c5ec5ee45@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 8, 10:22 am, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net> wrote:
>
> >> On 5/7/2011 6:35 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>
> >>> ...
> >>> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
> >>> for the truth.
>
> >On 5/7/2011 10:05 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
> >> It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth certificate.
>
> >Fourth time I've asked you, kooktard, and *still* no answer: If, as you
> >claim, Obama spent MILLIONS to "hide his past", but yet all he can
> >afford is "a bad fraud of a birth certificate". Duh!
>
> You want to know how stupid these racist birther really are?

- They cannot accept that a well-worn manual typewriter might be
- inconsistent about putting letters on a page.

Ray Fischer,
Actually "a well-worn manual typewriter" is "IT'S"
very own 'Signature' Evidence of Proof-of-Origin :
Provided that you can produce "IT" {Typewriter}
-or- Have someone Certify {Testify in Court Under-Oath}
That They Used "IT" To Type The Document-in-Question.

-one-little-problem- Neither of Those Has Been Done [.]
conclusion -no-proof- -no-verification- =no=evidence=

NOR : Has a 'basic' Forensic Investigation of the
Ten Records Before-and-After the Hawaiian State
'Birther' File 151 Record # 61-10641 for Obama
been done to Validate that 'That' Record Inherently
Matches the other Official Records of 'That' Time
Period.
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9e0c9455442f3db5
conclusion -no-proof- -no-verification- =no=evidence=
-?-can-you-believe-obama-&-can-you-trust-obama-?-
.
-read-

Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-and- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9e0c9455442f3db5
conclusion -questions- -more-questions- -lots-of-questions-
-?-can-you-believe-obama-&-can-you-trust-obama-?-
.
-read-
Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
?WHY? All the Penciled-In Numbers ? {Mark-Ups !}
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/a838a0ad2c1c71b8
conclusion -questions- -more-questions- -lots-of-questions-
-?-can-you-believe-obama-&-can-you-trust-obama-?-
.
-read-
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-and- Creates Yet More Doubt ! -all-over-again-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4825b17fcd0065ad
conclusion -questions- -more-questions- -lots-of-questions-
-?-can-you-believe-obama-&-can-you-trust-obama-?-
.
.
> They insist that
> if the letters are NOT perfect it's because somebody using Photoshop
> with all of its ability to perfectly align pixels wasn't able to
> actually line up the characters.
>
> --
> Ray Fischer | Mendocracy (n.) government by lying
> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal

1059. Re: Malloy is right, Bush is no different from Osama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 22:54:30 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <a98b67c9-8e84-4813-8909-37c8fc5f6b3d@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 8, 6:31 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/08/2011 02:17 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
- - The 'Liberal' Radio Talk Show Host "Mike Malloy"
- - Called for the US Navy Seals to "Take Bush Out"
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8b29619837dd65e7

- If we can use Navy SEALs to execute one guy for war crimes, why not
another?

Dave, Dave, Dave : Once Again You Prove That You
Are NO Libertarian of any Stripe -but- an Intolerant
Elitist* With Liberal-Fascist Tendencies to Send-Out
Death Squads To Kill Americans and America's Leaders
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/920c3f7fdd38ee9b
Always Amazed by those who claim to be "Libertarians"
so-called Champions of Individual Rights and Personal
Freedoms : Who Instantly Resort to Name Calling and
Vulgarities : Proving that they really are completely
Intolerant Progressive Elitists -aka- Liberal-Fascists :
Who Attack Any Believer Who Is Not The Right Kind
of Liberal Secualrist and Toe The Liberal Line.
* Telling You What You Can and Can't Say
* Telling You What You Can and Can't Think : Party
* Telling You What You Can and Can't Do : Vote
* Telling You How You Can and Can't Live : Suffer
* Defining How Minorities Must Think : Party
* Demanding How Minorities Must Act : Vote
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/7f00e5d20874c831
= Elitist Control Freaks = Overlords of the Peope =
= Controlling Elitists = Overlords of the Masses =
= Political Imperialist = Oppressors of the Political Arena =
-and- Champions of Death Squads -and- Promoters of
Political Assassinations of All Those They Do Not Like.
.
.

1060. Re: Personal safety, safety for your home, your family, your neighborhood, your state, your nation -- PRICELESS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 23:09:18 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc72257$0$12517$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228987

On 05/08/2011 02:31 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 8, 1:14 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gun_politics_in_Switzerland
>>
>> In America, we need to return to these values ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Paranoia.

We need smarter people before we need more "freedom".

1061. Re: Malloy is right, Bush is no different from Osama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 23:11:00 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC72304-3095@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228989

Typewriters, you said? I have three very old antique Typewriters.I
bought them at Goodwill many years ago.
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Antique+Typewriters
http://www.shopgoodwill.com

They are so old, they are older than dirt.I aints gittin rid of them
though.I Likes my very old antique thangys.
cuhulin, the Antique

1062. Re: Malloy is right, Bush is no different from Osama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 23:15:22 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc723c4$0$12484$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On 05/08/2011 03:54 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 8, 6:31 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 05/08/2011 02:17 AM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>
>>
> - - The 'Liberal' Radio Talk Show Host "Mike Malloy"
> - - Called for the US Navy Seals to "Take Bush Out"
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8b29619837dd65e7
>
> - If we can use Navy SEALs to execute one guy for war crimes, why not
> another?
>
> Dave, Dave, Dave : Once Again You Prove That You
> Are NO Libertarian of any Stripe -but- an Intolerant
> Elitist* With Liberal-Fascist Tendencies to Send-Out
> Death Squads To Kill Americans and America's Leaders

Libertarians don't believe in pre-emptive war. We are way less likely to
be swept up in some media frenzied orgasm of patriotism and revenge. We
know that nothing changes until I the individual change.

1063. Smythe, Show Your Birth Cert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 23:26:05 UTC
From: rod <Rod_Shaftenauer@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f0433533-b5b4-4fc7-a119-13136460be6c@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 228990

Smythe ~

Show your BC for all to see...My guess is you'd be afraid for all to see ~ Hiding secrets are we Smythe...?...BO does not need to show a Legit BC and it looks like your buddy Trump finally shut his P.ie H.ole!

1064. Re: Malloy is right, Bush is no different from Osama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 23:48:25 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC72BC9-3104@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228991

CIA and Born in Kenya! SCARHEAD!
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307403
cuhulin

1065. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-08 23:55:43 UTC
From: Jim Kale <GuessWho@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dc72d76$0$31267$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 228992
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/8/2011 8:59 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
>> On 5/8/2011 5:04 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> 1 1/4 pound in each tower, hidden in a VERY special super sekrit place.
>>>
>>> Then, 30 years later, tell the pilots to hit "just above" those specific
>>> floors, or the cause is kaput!
>>
>>
>> This paper proves it was used, thermite, and much, MUCH MORE than 1.25
>> lbs. ROFLOL
>> ...
>
>
> http://www.journalof911studies.com/volume/200609/Why_Indeed_Did_the_WTC_Buildings_Completely_Collapse_Jones_Thermite_World_Trade_Center.pdf
>
>
> Neglected the link stated ... corrected.

You neglected to think, you retard!
You will never be able to, you retard.

You posted no proof, you retard.
Where are the receipts, you retard?
Why is nobody being arrested, with your "PROOF", you retarded poofter?

1066. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 00:03:34 UTC
From: Jim Kale <GuessWho@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dc72f4d$0$31282$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 228993
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/8/2011 9:34 AM, Jim Kale wrote:
>
>> ...
>> You really are retarded if you think that shit holds any kind of reality.
>> So uh, who's been charged for this "crime", you retard?
>> I mean if it's "proof", then why isn't anybody being charged, you retard?
>
>
> Really?

Yes, really!

>
> Well, it is simple, prove what you say.

I am not obligated to prove a negative, you retard.

YOU have to prove what you say is correct, and then YOU still have to
answer my questions, you retard.

Your "list" of "experts" are all known retards, like you.

ANSWER MY QUESTION, you retard!

You said YOU have proof, right?
Then WHY isn't anybody being arrested, charged, indicted,
incarcerated, etc., You stump stupid retard?

1067. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 00:06:00 UTC
From: Jim Kale <GuessWho@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dc72fdf$0$31301$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 228994
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/8/2011 10:20 AM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/7/2011 10:48 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>>>
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Ray Fischer wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
>>>>>> for the truth.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth
>>>>> certificate.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> It is stupid to believe crazy conspiracy whackos.
>>>
>>>
>>> It is so stupid when you can download the document in question,
>>
>>
>> The document certified by the state of Hawaii as being Obama's birth
>> certificate.
>>
>
> Yeah, your review of the abundance of posts, debate, and presented
> evidence will cure you ignorance on that point(s) also.
>
> Too bad you just got in on all this just a couple of days ago ... much
> has gone before you.
>
> Regards,
> JS

Fun to see two big uneducated leftist/Socialist retards fight!

1068. Re: Personal safety, safety for your home, your family, your neighb...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 00:11:30 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC73132-3108@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228996

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Mississippi+Castle+Law

Switzerland? Are you joking? Sheet!
cuhulin

1069. Re: Why wasn't George Bush captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 00:17:16 UTC
From: Uno Hu <lorad474@cs.com>
Message-ID: <zZGdnausn7ITr1rQnZ2dnUVZ_jadnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 228995
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in message
news:iq6q11$lc2$1@dont-email.me

>
>
> "joeturn" <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
news:61e5e6db-e9e9-4e79-a6af-22748656dfaf@35g2000yqy.googlegroups.com..
.
> > On May 8, 4:24 am, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> > wrote:
> >> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >>
> >>
news:554a2fe0-f3de-4299-ad73-f6d6811cb21d@j23g2000yqc.googlegroups.com.
..
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> > On May 7, 11:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >> >> On 5/7/2011 7:46 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >>
> >> >> > ...
> >> >> > Well just think they were put in the buildings in durring
their
> >> >> > construction from 1966 through 1973 it's a wonder they all
followed
> >> >> > sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made duds out of
all them!
> >>
> >> >> Thanks to John Smith the spell checker!
> >>
> >> >> DUDE!
> >>
> >> >> They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ...
security
> >> >> was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
> >>
> >> >> Part One -
> >> >>

Tenantshttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
> >>
> >> >> Part Two -
> >> >>
Securityhttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
> >>
> >> >> Part Three -
> >> >>
Convergencehttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_ha
lliburton...
> >>
> >> >> Part Four -
> >> >>
Cleanuphttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
> >>
> >> >> Regards,
> >> >> JS
> >>
> >> > No they were put in during construction for quick removal,maybe
the
> >> > detonaters were conected during that time!
> >>
> >> Then clearly the building plans, contract documents, inspectors,
and so
> >> on
> >> would know ALL about them, right?
> >
> > Lofferley did not know and he was involved.
>
> Then it wasn't part of the design. QED.

If anything was put in anywhere for Bush..

It was during the period when cousin Marvin Bush was director of
security for the WTC - just months before its collapse.

1070. 20m open to SAm from Western NAm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 01:29:39 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc7433e$0$12468$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 228997

Being Steve makes your brain shrink.
34.4, -118.4

1071. Re: like not trusting sand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 01:46:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20767-4DC7475D-336@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228998

Aligators in New York City, History chanel tonight at 10:00 PM, about an hour and fifteen minutes from now.

Did you fill up your gas tank already if you live U.K.?
cuhulin

1072. Re: Prez "BO" {Obama}... Blood On One Hand and Oil On The Other

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 02:23:10 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20766-4DC7500E-1087@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 228999

A few New Birth Certificate articles concerning Born in Kenya! SCARHEAD.
http://www.wnd.com
http://www.worldnetdaily.com

It isn't over, not by a Lonnnnnnnnng Shot!
cuhulin

1073. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 02:34:23 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <00cfe362-84f3-4d4e-b0c5-5d7eea84ad4b@e21g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229000
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 8, 3:06 pm, "Scout"
wrote:
> "joeturn" wrote in message
>
> news:b0929976-82c9-4c7e-b3e9-9c599d104121@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 8, 4:21 am, "Scout"
> > wrote:
> >> "joeturn" wrote in message
>
> >>news:944fa765-420b-4259-b0c8-5c16db65a24c@w10g2000yqa.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> > On May 8, 1:55 am, "Scout"
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> "joeturn" wrote in message
>
> >> >>news:0a56a1b8-bc9c-45bb-aba8-7cf6bf848b15@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> >> > On May 7, 9:04 pm, John Smith wrote:
> >> >> >> On 5/7/2011 5:12 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >> >> >> > ...
> >> >> >> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears
> >> >> >> > which
> >> >> >> > is
> >> >> >> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> >> >> >> > Jon Bobble spell check that for me mine is broken TIA
> >> >> >> The properties exhibited by thermite bear a signature which
> >> >> >> absolutely
> >> >> >> proves it to be man made.
>
> >> >> >> For example: You can stack a bunch of rocks together and form a
> >> >> >> wall.
> >> >> >> Or, men can cut, polish, etc. rocks into geometric shapes and build
> >> >> >> a
> >> >> >> wall.
>
```

> >> >> >> You will NEVER be likely to confuse the two stone walls, nor lack
> >> >> >> ability to recognize the difference between the two ...
>
> >> >> >> Regards,
> >> >> >> JS
>
> >> >> > Very true and THERMATE was the military patented grade of THERMITE
> >> >> > used for incenterary devices in WWII super quick cutting through
> >> >> > armor
>
> >> >> So tell me, how exactly did they manage to get several tons of
> >> >> thermite
> >> >> into
> >> >> the building, expose the necessary girders, set the devices, and
> >> >> manage
> >> >> to
> >> >> control them all with absolutely no one noticing or spilling the
> >> >> beans
> >> >> later? Further, how exactly do you manage to cause the visible point
> >> >> of
> >> >> failure to be exactly the aircraft impact site, and how exactly do you
> >> >> manage to protect the devices from all that damage and fire while
> >> >> still
> >> >> providing 100% reliability?
>
> >> >> Sorry, but seems to me that explanation leaves a lot to be desired and
> >> >> based
> >> >> more on conjecture and supposition than actual fact.- Hide quoted
> >> >> text -
>
> >> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> >> > Sprayed on Asbestos was used to protect them from the elements.
>
> >> Sorry, but that doesn't prevent physical damage nor does it prevent heat
> >> damage given a hot enough fire and/or enough time.
>
> >> So once again, I ask how can you protect them against the impact of an
> >> airliner, subsequent fires and of course be utterly inconspicuous.
>
> >> > The girders are roof members of little consiquence.
>
> >> I see, so your idea of how to collapse a skyscraper is to collapse the
> >> roof.....and you think the official story doesn't consider reality....
>
> > No you claimed the roof members brought down the builds when you said
> > girder. I said roof members were of little consiquence as it has to be
> > the verticle support columns thyat has to be cut for weakoning a
> > structure for collapse!
>

> Hate to tell you this but girders exist in places other than the roof.
>
> Further, it's going to be quite difficult if not impossible to use thermite
> to cut a column since thermite tends to cut only in a downwards direction.
> As such, your reaction compounds would simply drip off a column as soon as
> you started the reaction and next to nothing would be accomplished.
>
> >> Oh, and FYI, doesn't explain why in at least one case the visible point
> >> of
> >> failure is clearly the sight of the impact from the airliner.
>
> > It was an explosion from the inside and a smoke screen to conciel any
> > damage,which there was none!
>
> Right, and the plane flying into that spot was just ... what? mass hypnosis
> and illusions captured on video?
>
> > This was a farce there were preset exposives along that area of said
> > impact with a line of piping to create the smoke scene.
>
> > NO PLANES WERE USED!
>
> Right, so where did all the aircraft wreckage come from?
>
> Sorry, but you're a loon and simply not worth the time to talk to.
>
> - Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

All the airplanes wreckage came from the scrapyard most like because
none of it was identified as anypart of the said airliners mentioned!
The engine found managed to miss the roof and the awning it was found
under and it was from an early model jet used in the Vietnam War,not
large enough for a 757 nor 727.

In the construction world a girder is a solid support the roof or
sheet panels not the vertical columns,thus cant be of any significance
since the buildings collasped in their own foot prints. if the girts
were melted it would have caused the building to sway and fall over
like a tree instead of down like controlled demolition!

1074. Re: Why wasn't George Bush captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 02:38:47 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2145dad3-f93c-4c8a-bec4-e9e749132165@35g2000yqy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229002
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 8, 3:13 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
wrote:
> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:61e5e6db-e9e9-4e79-a6af-22748656dfaf@35g2000yqy.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 8, 4:24 am, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> > wrote:
> >> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> >>news:554a2fe0-f3de-4299-ad73-f6d6811cb21d@j23g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >> > On May 7, 11:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >> >> On 5/7/2011 7:46 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >
> >> >> > ...
> >> >> > Well just think they were put in the buildings in durring their
> >> >> > construction from 1966 through 1973 it's a wonder they all followed
> >> >> > sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made duds out of all them!
> >
> >> >> > Thanks to John Smith the spell checker!
> >
> >> >> DUDE!
> >
> >> >> They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
> >> >> was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
> >
> >> >> Part One -
> >> >> Tenantshttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
> >
> >> >> Part Two -
> >> >> Securityhttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
> >
> >> >> Part Three -
> >> >>
Convergencehttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton...
> >
> >> >> Part Four -
> >> >> Cleanuphttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html

>
> >> >> Regards,
> >> >> JS
>
> >> > No they were put in during construction for quick removal,maybe the
> >> > detonaters were conected during that time!
>
> >> Then clearly the building plans, contract documents, inspectors, and so
> >> on
> >> would know ALL about them, right?
>
> > Lofferley did not know and he was involved.
>
> Then it wasn't part of the design. QED.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

It was part of somebodys design since they were installed and Lofferty
was asked where to put them!

1075. Re: TV makes your brain shrink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 02:38:48 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <88328d25-175a-4aa5-9eff-10b3d3dba970@o7g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229001

On May 8, 10:46 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Still flooding.Memphis is almost an Island now.I hope they save Elvis
> Presley's body.
> cuhulin

Nasville got hit badly in 2010 . Thousand-year flood .

1076. Re: Why wasn't George Bush captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 02:42:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20766-4DC754A2-1092@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229003

I takes my sweaty nasty stinking socks off, I drapes them on doggy's
head.
Pheeeeeeeeuuuu,,, doggy says.WMD!
cuhulin

1077. Re: The 'Liberal' Radio Talk Show Host Mike Malloy Called for the US Navy Seals to "Take Bush Out" !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 03:09:00 UTC
From: Speeders & Drunk Drivers Are Murderers <threeburd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <35407a76-004c-4295-a731-eac440098534@z13g2000yqg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

Bush invaded and holocausted a nation that never did anything to us
and nothing has been done to him!!!

1078. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 03:11:15 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8b4023cd-aba5-4503-8aa4-32a06bd7214c@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229005
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 8, 8:03 pm, Jim Kale <Guess...@bass.gov> wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > On 5/8/2011 9:34 AM, Jim Kale wrote:
>
> >> ...
> >> You really are retarded if you think that shit holds any kind of reality.
> >> So uh, who's been charged for this "crime", you retard?
> >> I mean if it's "proof", then why isn't anybody being charged, you retard?
>
> > Really?
>
> Yes, really!
>
>
>
> > Well, it is simple, prove what you say.
>
> I am not obligated to prove a negative, you retard.
>
> YOU have to prove what you say is correct, and then YOU still have to
> answer my questions, you retard.
>
> Your "list" of "experts" are all known retards, like you.
>
> ANSWER MY QUESTION, you retard!
>
> You said YOU have proof, right?
> Then WHY isn't anybody being arrested, charged, indicted,
> incarcerated, etc., You stump stupid retard?

It was your Government that was guilty and they seem to have
diplomatic immunity from the Supreme Law of the Land.This is why noone
has been charged. They are in control of all three branches of
government the Excecutive,Legistlative and Judicial system. You can't
get a judge to try a case against their government,that would be
considered treason.Anything that gets excepted as a case gets
dismissed.Bush got his cousin to throw the case against him out.The
lady knew she was meant to be killed at the Pentagon and also knew no
plane hit the Pentagon. This is the smoking gun "the missile attack at
the Pentagon" and the shills are full force keeping that from the
public!

1079. Re: TV makes your brain shrink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 03:17:50 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC75CDE-3281@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229006

Mississippi River has flip flopped/changed its course a bunch of times in the past.William Faulkner once said the Mississippi River can never be tamed.

I think he was right.
cuhulin

1080. Re: Why wasn't George Bush captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 03:30:54 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iq7ngg$9pa$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229008
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
"Uno Hu" <lorad474@cs.com> wrote in message
news:zZGdnausn7ITr1rQnZ2dnUVZ_jadnZ2d@giganews.com...
>
>
> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in message
> news:iq6q11$lc2$1@dont-email.me
>
>>
>>
>> "joeturn" <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
> news:61e5e6db-e9e9-4e79-a6af-22748656dfaf@35g2000yqy.googlegroups.com..
> .
>> > On May 8, 4:24 am, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
>> > wrote:
>> >> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >>
>> >>
> news:554a2fe0-f3de-4299-ad73-f6d6811cb21d@j23g2000yqc.googlegroups.com.
> ..
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> > On May 7, 11:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> >> >> On 5/7/2011 7:46 PM, joeturn wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> > ...
>> >> >> > Well just think they were put in the buildings in durring
> their
>> >> >> > construction from 1966 through 1973 it's a wonder they all
> followed
>> >> >> > sequence the gavanic corrosion could have made duds out of
> all them!
>> >>
>> >> >> > Thanks to John Smith the spell checker!
>> >>
>> >> >> DUDE!
>> >>
>> >> >> They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ...
> security
```

>> >> >> was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
>> >>
>> >> >> Part One -
>> >> >>
> Tenantshttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
>> >>
>> >> >> Part Two -
>> >> >>
> Securityhttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
>> >>
>> >> >> Part Three -
>> >> >>
> Convergencehttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_ha
> lliburton...
>> >>
>> >> >> Part Four -
>> >> >>
> Cleanuphttp://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
>> >>
>> >> >> Regards,
>> >> >> JS
>> >>
>> >> > No they were put in during construction for quick removal,maybe
> the
>> >> > detonaters were conected during that time!
>> >>
>> >> Then clearly the building plans, contract documents, inspectors,
> and so
>> >> on
>> >> would know ALL about them, right?
>> >
>> > Lofferley did not know and he was involved.
>>
>> Then it wasn't part of the design. QED.
>
> If anything was put in anywhere for Bush..
>
> It was during the period when cousin Marvin Bush was director of
> security for the WTC - just months before its collapse.

Right, and as director he could naturally violate the terms of the
contractual security without anyone noticing, or even employees coming
forward later saying "Hey my boss ordered me to look the other way while all
these guys with pallets of explosives came into the building".

Sorry, but that's not going to hold water.

1081. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 03:33:51 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961909@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229007
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
> On Sat, 07 May 2011 20:14:10 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
> >was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
>
> >Part One - Tenants
> >http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
>
> >Part Two - Security
> >http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
>
> >Part Three - Convergence
> >http://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton...
>
> >Part Four - Cleanup
> >http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
>
> >Regards,
> >JS
>
> Excellent presentation of ...

... bullshit.

> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

Not one supporting fact has been presented. In fact, all the science,
evidence, videos, witnesses, etc., contradict your claims. This is not
even remotely open to dispute.

> The bushkultie has no facts.

That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is not
getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo shilling.
You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
possible.

1082. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Wrong Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 03:39:12 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9f7c3ef6-f95e-4a02-aa13-b03ceb03c21c@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229009
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 8, 10:22 am, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> The snarky boy,

Is that you, Blimpton?

BWAHAAHAAHAAAA!!! You've probably never even SEEN a woman, Blimpie.
'cept your mom.

> who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
> woman, gets everything wrong.

I of course have never been wrong. Not before. Not now. You are
wrong.

> In particular, he is wrong about whether
> or not Hussein Jr. would have been born a citizen had he been born abroad.

Incorrect. you are.

FACT.

1083. Re: As Usual 'ReallyHilariousFuckwit" proves Mighty Iarnrod Correct Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 03:40:21 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <65e0fb84-68d7-4167-b7f3-bf982636f2fe@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229012
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 8, 10:29 am, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/8/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 8, 1:53 am, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >> On May 7, 9:31 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:> On May 7, 3:53 pm, George
Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>
> >>>> Had Hussein been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen
> >>>> under the law in effect in 1961.
>
> >> - Yes he would have.
> >> - The US does not recognize bigamy, kooker.
> >> - You lose.
> >> - I win.
>
> >> Larnrod,
> >> Actually most US States {The USA] Do 'Recongnize'
> >> "Bigamy" as a Crime.http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bigamy
>
> > BWAHAHAHAHAAA!!!!
>
> Not an answer.

Not an assertion that needs an answer. He contradicted himself, as you
usually do as well.

> IF he had been born abroad, Hussein Jr. would not have been born a
> citizen, under the INA.

Incorrect. Depends on whether the mother's marriage to Obama Sr. was
valid.

1084. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 03:40:30 UTC
From: Harold Burton <hal.i.burton@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hal.i.burton-623169.23403008052011@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 229010
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article
<2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961909@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>,
Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

> On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:

> > The bushkultie has no facts.
>
> That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is not
> getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo shilling.
> You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
> possible.

You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*), who's a stupid a you, and
you're too stupid to realize it.

snicker

1085. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 03:44:31 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6549@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229011
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 8, 9:40 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> In article
> <2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961...@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>,
>
> Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
> > > The bushkultie has no facts.
>
> > That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is not
> > getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo shilling.
> > You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
> > possible.
>
> You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*),

Hey fuzznuts, * Hates US * is, like you, a rightard. 9/11 twoofers are
rightards

> .who's a stupid a you,

BWAHAHAHHAHAHAAAA!!! Wanna try that again, dope??
BWAAHAAAHAAHAAAHAHAHA!!

1086. Re: Prez "BO" {Obama}... Blood On One Hand and Oil On The Other

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 03:48:10 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9bce75bc-1ef6-4d44-b371-9ad1ee06b22e@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229013

On May 8, 8:23 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> A few New Birth Certificate articles concerning Born in Kenya!
SCARHEAD.http://www.wnd.comhttp://www.worldnetdaily.com
>
> It isn't over, not by a Lonnnnnnnnng Shot!
> cuhulin

Ain't over? Kook, it never even STARTED. It was over when Hawaii
proved three years ago that Obama was born in the US, unlike McCain.

Case was closed. It is not even legally possible to challenge it.
Never was. Obama ate your lunch. He's the only president to PROVE he
is a natural born citizen.

1087. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 03:50:33 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <nloes690kqaccf508socfo2fv09spanueo@4ax.com>
Source record: 229014
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Sat, 07 May 2011 20:14:10 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:

>They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
>was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
>
>Part One - Tenants
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
>
>Part Two - Security
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
>
>Part Three - Convergence
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton.html
>
>Part Four - Cleanup
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
>
>Regards,
>JS

Excellent presentation of facts.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie has no facts.

The bushkultie has only lies.

1088. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:04:30 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <ctpes611epo8err24fkiiddt4rjq6jtcoe@4ax.com>
Source record: 229015
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Sun, 08 May 2011 23:40:30 -0400, Harold Burton <hal.i.burton@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... a stupid a ...
>... too stupid to realize it.

You believe every lie Bush and Cheney told, don't you.

On Sat, 07 May 2011 20:14:10 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:

>They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
>was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
>
>Part One - Tenants
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
>
>Part Two - Security
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
>
>Part Three - Convergence
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton.html
>
>Part Four - Cleanup
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
>
>Regards,
>JS

Excellent presentation of facts.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie has no facts.

The bushkultie has only lies.

1089. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:05:17 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <jupes6t7ca8s26atse7udllr3oukt2buuv@4ax.com>
Source record: 229016
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Sat, 07 May 2011 20:14:10 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:

>They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
>was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
>
>Part One - Tenants
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
>
>Part Two - Security
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
>
>Part Three - Convergence
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton.html
>
>Part Four - Cleanup
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
>
>Regards,
>JS

Excellent presentation of facts.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie has no facts.

The bushkultie has only lies.

The bushkultie is a failure.

1090. Re: There is nothing but proof hiding under every rock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:05:45 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq7p6p$4t4$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229017
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On 5/8/2011 9:00 PM, kroyce wrote:
> On May 2, 12:15 pm, johnty wrote:
>> On May 2, 7:20 pm, "<<<__ Bï¿½b __>>>" wrote:
>>
>>> At the time of Obama's birth, it also
>>> shows that his father is aged 25 years old, and that Obama's father was
>>> born in " Kenya , East Africa ". This wouldn't seem like anything of
>>> concern, except the fact that Kenya did not even exist until 1963, two
>>> whole years after Obama's birth, and 27 years after his father's birth.
>>> How could have Obama's father have been born in a country that did not
>>> yet exist? Up and until Kenya was formed in 1963, it was known as the
>>> "British East Africa Protectorate". But, this is not the only thing that
>>> I found that just does not jive.
>>
>> Kenya became independent (from the British) in 1963. It did not just
>> come into existence.
>> The colony of Kenya existed when Obama sr. was born.
>>
>> Can we assume the other item you 'looked into' is equally authentic?
>
> The British East Africa Protectorate gave birth to Kenya Colony and
> Kenya Protectorate (Not the same entity).
>
> KENYA COLONY - British Citizenship conferred at birth
>
> From 23.07.1920 until 31.12.1948, the Kenya Colony fell within the
> Crownï¿½s Dominions. From 01.01.1949 until 11.12.1963, the colony formed
> part of the UK and Colonies.
>
> KENYA PROTECTORATE - British Citizenship not conferred at birth (Not
> sure how this one worked)
>
> From 1921 to 30.04.1925 (Jubaland, Kismayu and Port Durnford) and from
> 1921 to 11.12.1963 (Mainland Strip, Lamu and Patta), the area was a
> British Protectorate in which the Crown exercised extra-territorial
> jurisdiction.
>
> Kenya Colony issued passports under the following name: British
> passport issued by what was then known as the Colony and Protectorate
> of Kenya.
>
> The question becomes, how did people refer to the nationality of
```

> Colonial Kenyans back in 1961?

One thing certain, NOT American!

Regards,
JS

1091. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:06:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20766-4DC7683C-1098@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229018

A few minutes ago, I was flipping through the TV channels.I landed on World Harvest Television channel, DirecTV channel 367.I caught the last minute of Darell Dumas talking just before the top of the 11:00 PM hour.He said, You have the altered document, and now you know why Osama Bin Laded just got killed.
cuhulin

1092. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:14:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq7pne$98s$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229027
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns,rec.sport.golf

On 5/2/2011 7:51 AM, Wayne wrote:
> "Iarnrod"<iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:079fa50c-314e-47d6-a855-782bd3655df3@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com...
> On May 1, 4:50 pm, "Wayne"<mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
>> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>>
>> news:bc77a6a2-3c2b-46b6-bd96-7eb3afb97f92@w5g2000prg.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> On May 1, 10:45 am, "Wayne"<mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> "BDK"<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
>>
>>> news:MPG.28269a3824d0e60498a138@news.giganews.com...
>>
>>>> In article<ipiid5$m5...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>>
>>>>> On 4/30/2011 7:28 PM, Wayne wrote:
>>
>>>>>> -
>>>>>> I'm not a birther, but if this document is not
>>>>>> legitimate....Obama's
>>>>>> ass
>>>>>> should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not
>>>>>> have
>>>>>> layers.
>>
>>>>> I guess most didn't hear, what has always been asked for is a long
>>>>> form
>>>>> birth certificate of the original and the hospital records.
>>
>>>>> They have produced a COLB (Certification of Live Birth) and an edited
>>>>> .pdf file purporting to be a scan of the orignial ... however, it is
>>>>> obvious that it does not bear an official seal, and has been tampered
>>>>> with and overlaid on some scan of "safety paper."
>>
>>>>> Perhaps all this was just to hone the skills and knowledge of how to
>>>>> forge a proper document which would go unquestioned/undetected, who
>>>>> knows why such insanity would all take place ... but, it wouldn't
>>>>> surprise me if "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE THREE!" isn't coming
>>>>> up

>>>>> ... most realize that the actions of all this is pretty criminal in
>>>>> appearance ...
>>
>>>>> Jerome Corsi claims to have the paper trail on the coverup and
>>>>> documentation which proves ~2 million+ spent by obama in hiding his
>>>>> past
>>>>> records contained in public documents. I am anxious to give this a
>>>>> serious read.
>>
>>>>> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable and
>>>>> began with a presidential candidate promising transparency and has
>>>>> ended
>>>>> up with executive orders issued by him to keep his entire past a
>>>>> secret
>>>>> ... that only takes a rational mind to realize that something is bad
>>>>> wrong!
>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>
>>>> There doesn't seem to be anything rational about you, Johnny kOOk.
>>
>>> OK...out of curiosity.....
>>> How do you explain a copy of the original, posted on the White House
>>> website, being a pdf file with layers? Was it generated as a pdf file 50
>>> years ago?
>>
>> That's what happens when it's scanned. Happens all the time. You seem
>> flummoxed by the simplest of things.
>> **********
>> -
>> Fine...explain it to me. A document is scanned, and it ends up with
>> several
>> individual layers.
>
> That is precisely how making a PDF works, pumpkin.
>
>> Doesn't work that way on my scanner. It ends up all in one layer. How does
>> the scanner know to separate signatures, forms, background into separate
>> layers?
>
> Put the OCR setting on.
>
> Happens all the time. You're merely ignorant.
> **********
> -
> Why don't you just say that you don't know how a "flat" document is copied
> and ends up in layers?
>
>

Yes, but the layers are empty, you must specify to use OCR and the text layer is filled ... more diversion, obfuscation and lies, attempting to exploit those who don't take awhile experimenting and learning adobe illustrator ...

No wonder the crimes and criminal public servants appeal to you, you share the same mindset.

Regards,
JS

1093. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Wrong Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:15:25 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <VLednbAIe9rl91rQnZ2dnUVZ5sCdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229019
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 8, 10:22 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
>> woman, gets everything wrong.
>
> I of course have never been wrong.

Always.

Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's with the fucking lame charade, boy?

1094. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:21:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq7q4g$98t$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229020
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/8/2011 4:55 PM, Jim Kale wrote:

> ...
> You neglected to think, you retard!
> You will never be able to, you retard.
>
> You posted no proof, you retard.
> Where are the receipts, you retard?
> Why is nobody being arrested, with your "PROOF", you retarded poofter?

<yawn>

What? Another one of you stopped taking your meds?

... plonk ...

Regards,
JS

1095. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:24:05 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq7q95$98t$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229021
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/8/2011 2:18 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/8/2011 10:20 AM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/7/2011 10:48 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>>>> Ray Fischer wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
>>>>>> for the truth.
>>>>>
>>>>> It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth certificate.
>>>>
>>>> It is stupid to believe crazy conspiracy whackos.
>>>>
>>>> It is so stupid when you can download the document in question,
>>>
>>> The document certified by the state of Hawaii as being Obama's birth
>>> certificate.
>>
>> Yeah, your review of the abundance of posts, debate, and presented
>
> And the racist lunatic runs from the facts, yet again.
>
> Insanity.
>

I see you play the race card ... invalid play!

But, yeah, you are right, good enough for a plonk ...

Regards,
JS

1096. Re: There is nothing but kooks hiding under every rock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:24:59 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5d29720d-6ca2-4dea-b75a-d41c88f6cf9b@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229022
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,alt.rec.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On May 8, 10:05 pm, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/8/2011 9:00 PM, kroyce wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 2, 12:15 pm, johnty wrote:
> >> On May 2, 7:20 pm, "<<<__ Bøb __>>>" wrote:
>
> >>> At the time of Obama's birth, it also
> >>> shows that his father is aged 25 years old, and that Obama's father was
> >>> born in " Kenya , East Africa ". This wouldn't seem like anything of
> >>> concern, except the fact that Kenya did not even exist until 1963, two
> >>> whole years after Obama's birth, and 27 years after his father's birth.
> >>> How could have Obama's father have been born in a country that did not
> >>> yet exist? Up and until Kenya was formed in 1963, it was known as the
> >>> "British East Africa Protectorate". But, this is not the only thing that
> >>> I found that just does not jive.
>
> >> Kenya became independent (from the British) in 1963. It did not just
> >> come into existence.
> >> The colony of Kenya existed when Obama sr. was born.
>
> >> Can we assume the other item you 'looked into' is equally authentic?
>
> > The British East Africa Protectorate gave birth to Kenya Colony and
> > Kenya Protectorate (Not the same entity).
>
> > KENYA COLONY - British Citizenship conferred at birth
>
> > From 23.07.1920 until 31.12.1948, the Kenya Colony fell within the
> > Crown’s Dominions. From 01.01.1949 until 11.12.1963, the colony formed
> > part of the UK and Colonies.
>
> > KENYA PROTECTORATE - British Citizenship not conferred at birth (Not
> > sure how this one worked)
>
> > From 1921 to 30.04.1925 (Jubaland, Kismayu and Port Durnford) and from
> > 1921 to 11.12.1963 (Mainland Strip, Lamu and Patta), the area was a
> > British Protectorate in which the Crown exercised extra-territorial
> > jurisdiction.
>
> > Kenya Colony issued passports under the following name: British

> > passport issued by what was then known as the Colony and Protectorate
> > of Kenya.
>
> > The question becomes, how did people refer to the nationality of
> > Colonial Kenyans back in 1961?
>
> One thing certain, NOT American!

Hint: http://static.politifact.com.s3.amazonaws.com/graphics/birthCertObama.jpg

Sorry but Obama, unlike McCain, was born on US soil and this is proven
historical FACT that cannot be refuted. Case is closed.

1097. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:26:33 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq7qdp$98t$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229023
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/8/2011 2:19 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/8/2011 10:22 AM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>>> Joe from Kokomo<joe@indy.net> wrote:
>>>> On 5/7/2011 6:35 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
>>>> for the truth.
>>>
>>> On 5/7/2011 10:05 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>> It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth certificate.
>>>
>>> Fourth time I've asked you, kooktard, and *still* no answer: If, as you
>>> claim, Obama spent MILLIONS to "hide his past", but yet all he can
>>> afford is "a bad fraud of a birth certificate". Duh!
>>>
>>> You want to know how stupid these racist birther really are?
>>>
>>> They cannot accept that a well-worn manual typewriter might be
>>> inconsistent about putting letters on a page. They insist that
>>> if the letters are NOT perfect it's because somebody using Photoshop
>>> with all of its ability to perfectly align pixels wasn't able to
>>> actually line up the characters.
>>
>> Actually, that is exactly the proof of why the document is fake!
>
> It's actually the proof that you're a paranoid idiot.
>

I see, well, the paranoid idiot card does not apply either ... this will
be taken care of when the filter runs ...

Regards,
JS

1098. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Correct All the Time, and Blimpton Agrees...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:26:46 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b68086d1-7e4f-465e-ac41-a6f0b324536b@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229024
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 8, 10:15 pm, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > On May 8, 10:22 am, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
> >> woman, gets everything wrong.
>
> > I of course have never been wrong.
>
> Always.

That's right, it is always the case that I have never been wrong.

> Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're
> (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's
> with the fucking lame charade, boy?

Poor poor Blimpie. Never seen a woman's vagina since his mommy
squirted his sorry ass out on the floor and left him. No wonder he's
so "gender confused!" <chuckle>

1099. Re: Prez "BO" {Obama}... Blood On One Hand and Oil On The Other

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:32:29 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq7qot$98t$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229025

On 5/8/2011 7:23 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> A few New Birth Certificate articles concerning Born in Kenya! SCARHEAD.
> http://www.wnd.com
> http://www.worldnetdaily.com
>
> It isn't over, not by a Lonnnnnnnnng Shot!
> cuhulin
>

ROFLOL

http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=295109

It just keeps getting better and better!

Regards,
JS

1100. Re: As Usual 'ReallyHilariousFuckwit" proves Mighty Iarnrod Correct Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:39:53 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <WL-dnXRcpMSp7VrQnZ2dnUVZ5gudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229026
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/8/2011 8:40 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 8, 10:29 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/8/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 8, 1:53 am, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>>> On May 7, 9:31 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:> On May 7, 3:53 pm, George
Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>
>>>>>> Had Hussein been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen
>>>>>> under the law in effect in 1961.
>>
>>>> - Yes he would have.
>>>> - The US does not recognize bigamy, kooker.
>>>> - You lose.
>>>> - I win.
>>
>>>> Larnrod,
>>>> Actually most US States {The USA] Do 'Recongnize'
>>>> "Bigamy" as a Crime.http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bigamy
>>
>>> BWAHAHAHAHAAA!!!!
>>
>> Not an answer.
>
> Not an assertion that

You didn't give an answer. You gave a snarky young male fuckwit retort
- worthless.

>> IF he had been born abroad, Hussein Jr. would not have been born a
>> citizen, under the INA.
>
> Incorrect.

No, correct.

The INA specifies exhaustively who is a citizen if born abroad. Hussein
did not qualify under any provision. If he had been born abroad in
1961, he would not have been a citizen. If he had been born abroad
after the 1986 amendments to the INA, he would have been.

You have nothing - no citations, no references, nothing. I have cited
the law, chapter and verse. I am right, you are wrong. You are nothing

- nothing but a snarky fuckwitted punk boy who for some bizarre reason finds it amusing to pretend to be a 60+ year old woman. You are not a woman. You are womanish, but you are not a woman. Maybe you ought to undergo a sex change operation and become an ersatz woman.

1101. Re: I beileve bin laden is dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:41:07 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq7r93$f4n$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229028
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.politics,alt.religion,alt.community,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/8/2011 2:17 PM, Day Brown wrote:

>> ...
> "Thou protest too much." Such conviction is symptomatic of neurosis. The
> more rational always reserve room for doubt in order to mull things
> over. I'm not a 911 zealot; I merely observe that with these other
> theories, the supporters are quickly driven to ad hominem, such as you
> display, whereas on the issue of 911, the defenders of the official
> story line are the ones driven to one line retorts.
>
> Dr. Freud said it takes trauma to wake a neurotic to reality, which is
> what crisis produces.

Yes, I have noticed, having plonked all the one liner, let's kill the
messenger, obfuscate and downright lie, crowd only meaningful debate
happens. Well, until another ceases their meds (or grabs another nym.)

Regards,
JS

1102. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Correct All the Time, and Blimpton Agrees...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:41:37 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <WL-dnXZcpMQB7VrQnZ2dnUVZ5gudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229029
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/8/2011 9:26 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 8, 10:15 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
>>
>>> On May 8, 10:22 am, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
>>>> woman, gets everything wrong.
>>
>>> I of course have never been wrong.
>>
>> Always.
>
> That's right

That's right: always wrong.

>> Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're
>> (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's
>> with the fucking lame charade, boy?
>
> Poor poor [snarky boy bullshit snipped]

You're not a woman. You have some bizarre mental handicap that causes
you to want to pretend to be one in Usenet.

1103. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Wrong Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:42:51 UTC
From: kym@kymhorsell.com
Message-ID: <4dc770c8$0$3033$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 229030
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

In sci.skeptic George Plimpton <george@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
>> On May 8, 10:22 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
>>> woman, gets everything wrong.
>> I of course have never been wrong.
> Always.
> Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're
> (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's
> with the fucking lame charade, boy?

Mother fixation.

--
[Why Are Republicans Climate Skeptics?]
Maybe that's because the Republicans come from more rural states that haven't
had any warming, man-made or otherwise.
-- BONZO@27-32-240-172 [86 nyms and counting], 28 Oct 2010 15:25 +1100

1104. HOT HOT VIDEOS UN KNOWN SEXY PHOTOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:44:10 UTC
From: HRJHRJB <odgfhfhdfv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <c70f0887-aeb5-497a-8d13-0b5e55cda419@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229031

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

1105. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Wrong Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:45:30 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <WL-dnXBcpMQY7FrQnZ2dnUVZ5gudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229032
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/8/2011 9:42 PM, kym@kymhorsell.com wrote:
> In sci.skeptic George Plimpton<george@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
>>> On May 8, 10:22 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
>>>> woman, gets everything wrong.
>>> I of course have never been wrong.
>> Always.
>> Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're
>> (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's
>> with the fucking lame charade, boy?
>
> Mother fixation.

It's some kind of psychological abnormality. I don't know if it's treatable or not. What I know - what everyone knows - is that it's a charade, a pose. He's a young snarky male, without any doubt.

1106. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Wrong Again, As Always

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:46:52 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <WL-dnXNcpMRK7FrQnZ2dnUVZ5gudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229033
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/8/2011 9:26 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 8, 10:15 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
>>
>>> On May 8, 10:22 am, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
>>>> woman, gets everything wrong.
>>
>>> I of course have never been wrong.
>>
>> Always.
>
> That's right

That's right: always wrong.

>> Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're
>> (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's
>> with the fucking lame charade, boy?
>
> Poor poor [snarky boy bullshit snipped]

You're not a woman. You have some bizarre mental handicap that causes
you to want to pretend to be one in Usenet.

1107. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Wrong Again, As Always

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:47:05 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <WL-dnXJcpMR47FrQnZ2dnUVZ5gudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229034
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/8/2011 9:26 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 8, 10:15 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
>>
>>> On May 8, 10:22 am, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
>>>> woman, gets everything wrong.
>>
>>> I of course have never been wrong.
>>
>> Always.
>
> That's right

That's right: always wrong.

>> Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're
>> (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's
>> with the fucking lame charade, boy?
>
> Poor poor [snarky boy bullshit snipped]

You're not a woman. You have some bizarre mental handicap that causes
you to want to pretend to be one in Usenet.

1108. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Wrong Again, As Always

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:47:11 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <WL-dnW1cpMR37FrQnZ2dnUVZ5gsAAAAA@giganews.com>
Source record: 229035
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/8/2011 9:26 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 8, 10:15 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
>>
>>> On May 8, 10:22 am, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
>>>> woman, gets everything wrong.
>>
>>> I of course have never been wrong.
>>
>> Always.
>
> That's right

That's right: always wrong.

>> Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're
>> (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's
>> with the fucking lame charade, boy?
>
> Poor poor [snarky boy bullshit snipped]

You're not a woman. You have some bizarre mental handicap that causes
you to want to pretend to be one in Usenet.

1109. Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 04:53:25 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq7s06$f4n$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229036
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

THIS:
"It was analyzed by Ivan Zatkovich of Tampa-based eComp Consultants, which consults on intellectual property for telecommunications, web publishing and ecommerce and has provided services for corporations such as McGraw-Hill, Houghton-Mifflin, Citicorp and Amazon.com. Zatkovich has 28 years experience in computer science and document management and for more than 10 years has been an expert witness providing testimony in federal court in both criminal and civil litigation.

He confirmed that the multiple layers of the PDF document are anomalous.

"When a paper document is scanned on a scanner and saved as a PDF file it normally contains only a single layer of graphical information. The PDF that appears on the White House website however, contains multiple layers of graphic information. Multiple layers usually appear in a document like this when it is being edited or modified in some fashion.

"It is possible to take a single layer PDF and inadvertently create multiple layers, without changing the image in any fashion. But that does not appear to be the case here. The multiple layers in the PDF document are a result of changes made to the image," his report said.

Among the various items that were separated into different layers include the main text, the mother's occupation, the dates accepted, the stamp and signature of the state registrar and the time stamp of the state registrar:"

From here:
http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=293421

Yes, it just keeps getting better and the mountain of proof has grown beyond ABSOLUTE!

Regards,
JS

1110. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Wrong Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 05:05:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20751-4DC77625-3301@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229037

DRUNK! Shotgun Cheney Diagnosed with END STAGE HEART FAILURE
http://www.rense.com

DRUNK! Shotgun Cheney nearly gave Mr.Whittington heart failure on that bird hunt in Texas in February 2006.

What goes around comes around, DRUNK ASS! Shotgun Cheney! HURRY UP!!!
cuhulin

1111. Re: Iarnrod (Larnrod - haw haw haw!) admits: Hussein would not have been a citizen if born abroad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 05:52:18 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <beqdnemv6YSsHFrQnZ2dnUVZ5j2dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229038
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

The INA of 1952 describes who is a citizen if born abroad (it has been amended since its original enactment.) The law in effect in 1961 is clear: a child born abroad to parents of whom only one was a US citizen would not be a citizen at birth unless the citizen-parent had lived five years in the USA after the age of 14, *regardless* of the citizen-parent's marital status. As the Maoist Stanley Ann Dunham, Hussein's America-hating mother and his one citizen-parent, was only 18 at the time of Hussein's birth, Hussein could not possibly have been born a US citizen had he been born abroad - which he well might have been.

The INA of 1952 describes who *is* a citizen at birth. If not in one of the listed categories, a person is not a citizen at birth - end of story.

1112. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 06:19:27 UTC
From: rfischer@sonic.net
Message-ID: <4dc7876f$0$31390$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 229039
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>On 5/8/2011 2:18 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/8/2011 10:20 AM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>>> On 5/7/2011 10:48 PM, Ray Fischer wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> Ray Fischer wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Too bad that insane conspiracy trolls like yourself have no regard
>>>>>>> for the truth.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> It is insane attempting to pass off a bad fraud of a birth certificate.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> It is stupid to believe crazy conspiracy whackos.
>>>>>
>>>>> It is so stupid when you can download the document in question,
>>>>
>>>> The document certified by the state of Hawaii as being Obama's birth
>>>> certificate.
>>>
>>> Yeah, your review of the abundance of posts, debate, and presented
>>
>> And the racist lunatic runs from the facts, yet again.
>>
>> Insanity.
>
>I see you play the race card

Still you.

--
Ray Fischer | Mendocracy (n.) government by lying
rfischer@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal

1113. Re: 20m open to SAm from Western NAm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 07:04:50 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e6a5d212-63d8-449b-ae78-c872f78638bf@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229040

```
On May 8, 6:29 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> Being Steve makes your brain shrink.
> 34.4, -118.4

Subliminal messages - a new tactic?
```

1114. Re: Malloy is right, Bush is no different from Osama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 10:34:54 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7c43dfea-ab5c-4b8e-8bca-29fe20b00f19@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 8, 4:15 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:

- - - - On 05/08/2011 02:17 AM, RHF wrote:
- - - - The 'Liberal' Radio Talk Show Host "Mike Malloy"
- - - - Called for the US Navy Seals to "Take Bush Out"
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8b29619837dd65e7
.
- - - On May 8, 6:31 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
- - - Malloy is right, Bush is no different from Osama
- - - If we can use Navy SEALs to execute one guy
- - - for war crimes, why not another?
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/80a34ddea4ae9b17
.
- - On 05/08/2011 03:54 PM, RHF wrote:
- - Dave, Dave, Dave : Once Again You Prove That You
- - Are NO Libertarian of any Stripe -but- an Intolerant
- - Elitist* With Liberal-Fascist Tendencies to Send-Out
- - Death Squads To Kill Americans and America's Leaders
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ebdb61838bf58144
.
- Libertarians don't believe in pre-emptive war.

Dave,
But You Are "NO" Libertarian* : Which Has Been Proven
By Your "Intolerant" Posting Here Time and Time Again
-posts-filled-with-bias-bigotry-elitism-racism-intolerant-
-dave-you-are-at-times-a-drug-crazed-advocate-of-insanity-

* Please Do Not Insult and Soil The Real True {Good
and Honest} Libertarians By Claiming That Your Are.
.
- We

Dave ? "We" What 'We' ? :
You Are You and You Are "NO" Libertarian [.]
-smoking-medical-marijuana-does-not-make-you-a-libertarian-
Quoting some textbook definition of 'Libertarian' from
the WWW Does Not Make You In-Fact a "Libertarian"
What You Yourself Actually Post {Say : State-of-Mind}
Makes You A Libertarian -or- Proves That You Are Not :
-conclusion- YOU ARE "NO" LIBERTARIAN [.]
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ebdb61838bf58144
.
- are way less likely to be swept up in some media

- frenzied orgasm of patriotism and revenge.

Dave : You Just Endorsed {Advocated} Using the
US Navy Seals To "Take-Out" GWB !
-how-do-you-explain-that-away-
-and-claim-to-be-a-libertarian-
.
- We

Dave ? "We" What 'We' ? :
You Are You and You Are "NO" Libertarian [.]
-smoking-medical-marijuana-does-not-make-you-a-libertarian-
Quoting some textbook definition of 'Libertarian' from
the WWW Does Not Make You In-Fact a "Libertarian"
What You Yourself Actually Post {Say : State-of-Mind}
Makes You A Libertarian -or- Proves That You Are Not :
-conclusion- YOU ARE "NO" LIBERTARIAN [.]
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ebdb61838bf58144
.
- know that nothing changes until I the individual change.

Dave the only 'individual change' you go through as
you have posted here many times is to Daily Dose
Yourself with Medical-Marijuana.
-dave-the-pipe-dreams-of-your-change-
-come-and-go-like-a-puff-of-mj-smoke-
.
#1 - The 'Liberal' Radio Talk Show Host "Mike Malloy"
Called for the US Navy Seals to "Take Bush Out"
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8b29619837dd65e7
.
Dave, Dave, Dave : Once Again You Prove That You
Are NO Libertarian of any Stripe -but- an Intolerant
Elitist* With Liberal-Fascist Tendencies to Send-Out
Death Squads To Kill Americans and America's Leaders
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ebdb61838bf58144
.
.

1115. Re: Personal safety, safety for your home, your family, your neighborhood, your state, your nation -- PRICELESS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 10:47:34 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <c2562065-de3b-43cc-a8a9-c268eef262c9@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229042

On May 8, 4:09 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/08/2011 02:31 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > On May 8, 1:14 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gun_politics_in_Switzerland
>
> >> In America, we need to return to these values ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > Paranoia.

- We need smarter people before we need more "freedom".

Dave : Then You Are Doomed To Being A "Slave".
.

1116. Re: Europe to let 3 Countries Default on their Debt. Is USA far behind?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 10:58:47 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3deff07a-72e3-4f78-8156-d7a4ce4a8652@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229043
Cross-posted to: misc.legal,or.politics,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.community

On Apr 21, 8:47 am, Alfred stomacker <alfredstomac...@gmail.com>
wrote:
> On Wed, 20 Apr 2011 19:19:14 -0500, Day Brown <daybr...@artelco.com>
> wrote:
>
> >The Greek economy relies heavily on tourism, which in a global market
> >where short resources takes precedence. The US economy includes food
> >exports, which become increasingly appealing in uncertain times.
>
> >American rice & soybeans are on Japanese, Korean, and Chinese tables,
> >and no matter what the US debt is, they wont forget it.
>
> not so appealing when the prices skyrocket due to Obama and his anti
> American oil policies and all the rest of his crap .

- Get ready for the 5 a gallon gas
- and the food prices to match .

=Higher=&=Higher=Gas=Price=Reality=Check=
.
The-Mark-of-the-Obama© = $6.66 Gasoline :
The Obama Regimes Plan To Crash The US Economy
To Bring About The New World Order !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dbe6320b24966200
-obama-taxing-us-more-and-more-with-carbon-credits-
.
.

1117. bin Laden and radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 11:37:31 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC7D1FB.F6D111A8@milestones.com>
Source record: 229044

<http://www.nytimes.com/2011/05/08/world/asia/08binladen.html?_r=2&partner=rss&emc=rss>

"It is not known if he had a radio in the house, but his son Omar, who lived with him in Afghanistan until 1999, described his father as constantly listening to the BBC."

I have looked at some of the photo's to see if he had a radio there and to see what he might have used.

I imagine him to have been a toter.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1118. Obama "State Corporatist Socialism" a New Phase of Liberal-Fascism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 11:50:34 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <83c95768-dcb0-46a6-a0bd-b2e5bd3758fa@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

On May 8, 11:26 am, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <6epcs699t76m0344eip40sl2gscbmp1...@4ax.com>, rhf-
> newsgro...@pacbell.net says...
>
>
> > On Sun, 8 May 2011 08:13:35 +1000, "fergo" <fe...@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>
> > >"BDK" <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
> > >news:MPG.282df26cf2e9ce198a194@news.giganews.com...
> > >> In article <iq08h0$3a...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
> > >>> On 5/6/2011 12:32 AM, John Smith wrote:
> > >>> > On 5/5/2011 1:48 PM, Rocky wrote:
> > >>> >>http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
>
> > >>> >> Holy Shit. I'll bet Osama was killed by Obama because he was a 9/11
> > >>> >> Truther
> > >>> >> and that was the fastest way to silence him.
> > >>> >> ...
>
> > >>> > Interesting.
>
> > >>> > Amending the Constitution illegally though criminal application of
> > >>> > illegal laws ...
>
> > >>> > I am telling you, you just can't make this type of chit up!
>
> > >>> > Regards,
> > >>> > JS
>
> > >>> Here is one more you just can't make up:
>
> > >>>http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
>
> > >>> There should not be an American who doesn't find this disgusting ...
>
> > >>> Regards,
> > >>> JS
>
> > >> That you kooks will be forced to get treatment?
>
> > >> Well, I wouldn't call it disgusting, I would call it a tragedy, as it
> > >> would deprive us sane folks from reading all your crazy bullshit.

>
> > >> You family would be thrilled though.
>
> > -First they came for the communists,
> > -and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a communist.
> > -
> > -Then they came for the trade unionists,
> > -and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a trade unionist.
> > -
> > -Then they came for the Jews,
> > -and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a Jew.
> > -
> > -Then they came for me
> > -and there was no one left to speak out for me.
>
> > Revised for 21st Century O-Bam-A-Merica ! :
>
> > First the Liberal-Fascist came for the Tea-Party'ers
> > and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a Conservative.
>
> > Then the Liberal-Fascist came for the Political Opposition,
> > and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a Republican.
>
> > Next the Liberal-Fascist came for the Born-Again Christians,
> > and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a One-of-Them.
>
> > Finally the Liberal-Fascist came for Ordinary Folks {Me}
> > and there was no one left to speak out for Us {Me}.
>
> > got to stay current with the 21st century
> > liberal-fascist 'game plan' ~ RHF
> > .
> > .

- Since there's no such thing as a "Liberal-Fascist",
- your post is, as usual, nonsensical.

Questioning the Validity of the Term "Liberal-Fascist" !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4bc858344efbacb1
.
BDK -states- 'there's no such thing as a "Liberal-Fascist",'
-or-is-there-?- -or-is-there-?- -or-is-there-?- -or-is-there-?-
-could-there-be...- -could-there-be...- -could-there-be...-
-we-are-not-allowed-to-think-it-&-not-allowed-to-say-it-
-we-are-not-allowed-to-write-it-&-not-allowed-to-discuss-it-
-suppress-the-thought- -suppress-the-idea- -suppress-it-!-
-suppress-the-narrative- -shout-it-down-and-suppress-it-!-
-never-admit-it-might-could-can-does-exist-suppress-it-!-
-perish-the-thought- -perish-the-idea- -perish-the-thinker-
.
.

¡ LET THERE BE A "NO SUCH THING" REPLY !
? Can Liberals as Individuals Exist !
? Can Fascists as Individuals Exist !
? Can One Individual Embody Parts of Both and Exist !
? Could There Be More Than One Such Individual !
-oops-the-possibility-exists-that-liberal-fascism-exists-
Liberal-Fascism As A Thought ! = As An Idea !
Liberal-Fascism As Speech ! = As Writings !
Liberal-Fascism As Politics = As Political Actions !
-no-such-thing- -no-such-thing- -no-such-thing-
.
The Validity of the Term "Liberal-Fascist"
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/af4569002bba33ca
.
Question : Can It Exist? {Is It Possible ?}
Are We Allowed* To Consider The Possibility !
* Your Answer seems to be NO.
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6d17c9a3b64e6263
.
Question : Does It Exist ? {Is It Possible ?}
Are We Allowed* To Consider That Possibility !
* Your Answer seems to be NO.
.
My Follow-Up -remains- Liberal-Fascism :
Denying It's Existence : Won't Make It Go Away
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c98b88996b751c6d
.
"Liberal-Fascism" with over 6-Million 'Hits' :
Liberal-Fascism is a Virtual Reality in the 21st Century
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6a0dba38cddc6d10
.
Try to use 1930s Definitions to Deny that Liberal-Fascism
Does Exist in the 21st Century is an Tired Old Argument.
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/7fd91b4a2e4429c9
.
The Validity of the Term "Liberal Fascist"
? Can It Exist? & ? Does It Exist ?
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/af4569002bba33ca
Liberal Fascism : Denying It's Existence
Won't Make It Go Away
.
Liberals & Democrats and Their Fascist [NAZI]
Alliance : The Rest of the Story . . .
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/5719a62f36bcbbe8
.
Liberal+Fascism 'Ping-Pong' Fascism-Liberal :
Ad Nauseum
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/153a237ca9ac6d19
.
May the Spirit and Oneness of "The Obama" Transform

Your Evil Hateful Liberal-Fascism Thoughts©
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9847bea150e12417
.
National Socialism and International Socialism {World
Communism} the Top-&-Bottom of the Same Extreme©
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/0d1b36d6a97c6355
.
The Alliance Between the Liberal-Fascist and National
Socialism Leading To World Communism©
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c4c044e3423630ca
.
"Liberal-Fascism" an Evolved Political Concept
of the 21st Century©
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/a3166feb059f9d28
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/14d9270671063cf6
.
Help Prez Obama and the Liberal-Fascist Defeat the Tea
Party-ites and All Those Who Believe In God and Country
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/d9f1c971f1e2cd17
-aka- "T" Party Pay-Tree-Odds {Patriots}
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/15852010435b5586
.
Obama-U-Nism© There Is No Deception
http://www.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e39e54fe4774d794
.
-read- Three (3) Phases of Liberal Decay :
Ending in Liberal-Fascism
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/84f4b03c6765f814
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/18424e411a0b81c1
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/1c994e28ae67c073
.
=READ= "Liberal-Fascism" -by- Jonah Goldberg
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Liberal_Fascism
http://www.nationalreview.com/liberal-fascism
http://www.nytimes.com/2007/12/30/books/review/Oshinsky-t.html
.
Obama "State-Corporatist-Socialism" a New Phase
of Liberal-Fascism -that's- O-Bam-A-Merica !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/1b4562efbcccef61
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.republicans/msg/8b29619837dd65e7
.
* * OBAMA "MEDIA-SPEAK" ALERT * * *
= THE DAILY OBAMA TALKING-POINT =
Gather Around 'Cult of The-Obama' Members
and With One Voice Let All Us Say :
* Liberal-Fascism Can't Exist
* Liberal-Fascism Is An Oxymoron
* Liberal-Fascism Is Impossible
* Liberal-Fascism Never Happened
* Obama "State-Corporatism" Is Not Liberal-Fascism

Say-It! & Post-It! & Print-It! & Repeat It! & Sell-It!
-'the-obama'-truth- -'the-obama'-truth- -'the-obama'-truth-
-one-obama- -one-party- -one-faith- -one-message-
.
Praise Be The Obama ! - my prez-a-duntz ~ RHF
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K17kA50c1zs
All Hail to Our Lord and Savior Prez Obama !
http://votingfemale.files.wordpress.com/2009/11/obama-i-am-god.jpg
-for- all those unbelievers : the truth will set you free
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eAaQNACwaLw
.
.
.
.
>
> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1119. Re: As Usual 'ReallyHilariousFuckwit" proves Mighty Iarnrod Correct Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 11:56:54 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <949ef1b5-bcce-44ac-90ac-11fc3cefd923@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229046
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 8, 10:39 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/8/2011 8:40 PM,Iarnrod proved:
>
>
>
> > On May 8, 10:29 am, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> On 5/8/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrod proved:
>
> >>> On May 8, 1:53 am, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >>>> On May 7, 9:31 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:>
On May 7, 3:53 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>
> >>>>>> Had Hussein been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen
> >>>>>> under the law in effect in 1961.
>
> >>>> - Yes he would have.
> >>>> - The US does not recognize bigamy, kooker.
> >>>> - You lose.
> >>>> - I win.
>
> >>>> Larnrod,
> >>>> Actually most US States {The USA] Do 'Recongnize'
> >>>> "Bigamy" as a Crime.http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bigamy
>
> >>> BWAHAHAHAHAAA!!!!
>
> >> Not an answer.
>
> > Not an assertion
>
> You didn't give an answer.

I did, in fact I proved you wrong.

> You gave a snarky young male fuckwit retort
> - worthless.

And you're wrong again. How usual!! <tee hee>

> >> IF he had been born abroad, Hussein Jr. would not have been born a
> >> citizen, under the INA.
>
> > Incorrect.
>

> No, correct.

Wrong, but thanks for letting me kick your stupid kooker ass over it
yet again!

1120. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Correct All the Time, and Blimpton Agrees...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 12:00:01 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <753cb95c-8962-4d97-aa7f-ce96c5fcda32@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229047
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 8, 10:41 pm, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/8/2011 9:26 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > On May 8, 10:15 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM,Iarnrod proved:
>
> >>> On May 8, 10:22 am, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >>>> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
> >>>> woman, gets everything wrong.
>
> >>> I of course have never been wrong.
>
> >> Always.
>
> > That's right, it is always the case that I have never been wrong.
>
> That's right:

I know. Thanks once again for agreeing with me. There may be hope for
you even though you are a dope.

> >> Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're
> >> (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's
> >> with the fucking lame charade, boy?
>
> > Poor poor Blimpie. Never seen a woman's vagina since his mommy
> > squirted his sorry ass out on the floor and left him. No wonder he's
> > so "gender confused!" <chuckle>
>
> You're not a woman.

This will come as a great surprise to my husband.

Once again, Blimpie is bound and determined to be wrong.

1121. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Correct All the Time, and Blimpton Agrees...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 12:00:47 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <466fa24d-e5a9-4f5e-a1f5-9089de2d91bf@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229048
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 8, 10:45 pm, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/8/2011 9:42 PM, k...@kymhorsell.com wrote:
>
> > In sci.skeptic George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
> >>> On May 8, 10:22 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >>>> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
> >>>> woman, gets everything wrong.
> >>> I of course have never been wrong.
> >> Always.
> >> Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're
> >> (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's
> >> with the fucking lame charade, boy?
>
> > Mother fixation.
>
> It's some kind of psychological abnormality. I don't know if it's
> treatable or not.

Well, why don't you seek help for it then, Blimpie?

BWAAAHAAHAAAHAAHAAHAHAAA!!

1122. Re: 9/11 TRUTHERS LOCKED UP FOR LIFE UNDER NEW US LAW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 12:13:22 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a507a5ea-1b11-4de2-ae4c-40d011f5bdd0@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229049
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 6, 1:32 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/5/2011 1:48 PM, Rocky wrote:
>
> > http://www.youtube.com/watch_popup?v=kEofy7fsIGk
>
> > Holy Shit. I'll bet Osama was killed by Obama because he was a 9/11 Truther
> > and that was the fastest way to silence him.
> > ...
>
> Interesting.
>
> Amending the Constitution illegally though criminal application of
> illegal laws ...
>
> I am telling you, you just can't make this type of chit up!

Of course you can, Johnny KQQK, you make it up each and every day!

1123. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 12:35:24 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2831a9932d24ba1b98a1ab@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229050
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
In article <00cfe362-84f3-4d4e-b0c5-
5d7eea84ad4b@e21g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com
says...
>
> On May 8, 3:06ï¿œpm, "Scout"
> wrote:
> > "joeturn" wrote in message
> >
> > news:b0929976-82c9-4c7e-b3e9-9c599d104121@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 8, 4:21 am, "Scout"
> > > wrote:
> > >> "joeturn" wrote in message
> >
> > >>news:944fa765-420b-4259-b0c8-5c16db65a24c@w10g2000yqa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > >> > On May 8, 1:55 am, "Scout"
> > >> > wrote:
> > >> >> "joeturn" wrote in message
> >
> > >> >>news:0a56a1b8-bc9c-45bb-aba8-7cf6bf848b15@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > >> >> > On May 7, 9:04 pm, John Smith wrote:
> > >> >> >> On 5/7/2011 5:12 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >
> > >> >> >> > ...
> > >> >> >> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears
> > >> >> >> > which
> > >> >> >> > is
> > >> >> >> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
> >
> > >> >> >> > Jon Bobble spell check that for me mine is broken TIA >
> > >> >> >> The properties exhibited by thermite bear a signature which
> > >> >> >> absolutely
> > >> >> >> proves it to be man made.
> >
> > >> >> >> For example: ï¿œYou can stack a bunch of rocks together and form a
> > >> >> >> wall.
```

> > >> >> >> Or, men can cut, polish, etc. rocks into geometric shapes and build
> > >> >> >> a
> > >> >> >> wall.
> >
> > >> >> >> You will NEVER be likely to confuse the two stone walls, nor lack
> > >> >> >> ability to recognize the difference between the two ...
> >
> > >> >> >> Regards,
> > >> >> >> JS
> >
> > >> >> > Very true and THERMATE was the military patented grade of THERMITE
> > >> >> > used for incenterary devices in WWII super quick cutting through
> > >> >> > armor
> >
> > >> >> So tell me, how exactly did they manage to get several tons of
> > >> >> thermite
> > >> >> into
> > >> >> the building, expose the necessary girders, set the devices, and
> > >> >> manage
> > >> >> to
> > >> >> control them ï¿œall with absolutely no one noticing or spilling the
> > >> >> beans
> > >> >> later? Further, how exactly do you manage to cause the visible point
> > >> >> of
> > >> >> failure to be exactly the aircraft impact site, and how exactly do you
> > >> >> manage to protect the devices from all that damage and fire while
> > >> >> still
> > >> >> providing 100% reliability?
> >
> > >> >> Sorry, but seems to me that explanation leaves a lot to be desired and
> > >> >> based
> > >> >> more on conjecture and supposition than actual fact.- Hide quoted
> > >> >> text -
> >
> > >> >> - Show quoted text -
> >
> > >> > Sprayed on Asbestos was used to protect them from the elements.
> >
> > >> Sorry, but that doesn't prevent physical damage nor does it prevent heat
> > >> damage given a hot enough fire and/or enough time.
> >
> > >> So once again, I ask how can you protect them against the impact of an
> > >> airliner, subsequent fires and of course be utterly inconspicuous.
> >
> > >> > The girders are roof members of little consiquence.
> >
> > >> I see, so your idea of how to collapse a skyscraper is to collapse the
> > >> roof.....and you think the official story doesn't consider reality....
> >
> > > No you claimed the roof members brought down the builds when you said

> > > girder. I said roof members were of little consiquence as it has to be
> > > the verticle support columns thyat has to be cut for weakoning a
> > > structure for collapse!
> >
> > Hate to tell you this but girders exist in places other than the roof.
> >
> > Further, it's going to be quite difficult if not impossible to use thermite
> > to cut a column since thermite tends to cut only in a downwards direction.
> > As such, your reaction compounds would simply drip off a column as soon as
> > you started the reaction and next to nothing would be accomplished.
> >
> > >> Oh, and FYI, doesn't explain why in at least one case the visible point
> > >> of
> > >> failure is clearly the sight of the impact from the airliner.
> >
> > > It was an explosion from the inside and a smoke screen to conciel any
> > > damage,which there was none!
> >
> > Right, and the plane flying into that spot was just ... what? mass hypnosis
> > and illusions captured on video?
> >
> > > This was a farce there were preset exposives along that area of said
> > > impact with a line of piping to create the smoke scene.
> >
> > > NO PLANES WERE USED!
> >
> > Right, so where did all the aircraft wreckage come from?
> >
> > Sorry, but you're a loon and simply not worth the time to talk to.
> >
> > - Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> All the airplanes wreckage came from the scrapyard most like because
> none of it was identified as anypart of the said airliners mentioned!
> The engine found managed to miss the roof and the awning it was found
> under and it was from an early model jet used in the Vietnam War,not
> large enough for a 757 nor 727.
>
> In the construction world a girder is a solid support the roof or
> sheet panels not the vertical columns,thus cant be of any significance
> since the buildings collasped in their own foot prints. if the girts
> were melted it would have caused the building to sway and fall over
> like a tree instead of down like controlled demolition!

A list of the drugs you've taken/taking would be very interesting.

A word to the wise kids, don't be like WrongTurnJoe.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1124. Re: Prez "BO" {Obama}... Blood On One Hand and Oil On The Other

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 12:43:55 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2831ab95aabfce2398a1ac@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229051

In article <20766-4DC7500E-1087@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>,
cuhulin@webtv.net says...
>
> A few New Birth Certificate articles concerning Born in Kenya! SCARHEAD.
> http://www.wnd.com
> http://www.worldnetdaily.com
>
> It isn't over, not by a Lonnnnnnnnng Shot!
> cuhulin

It's been over for 3 years, you moron.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1125. Re: 20m open to SAm from Western NAm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 12:46:52 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC7E23C.AF5029F@milestones.com>
Source record: 229052

bpnjensen wrote:

> On May 8, 6:29 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> > Being Steve makes your brain shrink.
> > 34.4, -118.4
>
> Subliminal messages - a new tactic?

That's what Rickmers needs is a new tactic - the old one just ain't working anymore.

1126. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 13:36:15 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq8qkfkeb2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/9/2011 1:04 AM, fergo wrote:

>> ...
> Done!
> He'll have to find someone else to buy his snake oil.
>

Once again, they attempt obfuscation to attempt to make fools of users here:

"US Law very clearly stipulates: ".If only one parent was a U.S. citizen at the time of your birth, that parent must have resided in the United States for at least ten years, at least five of which had to be after the age of 16." Barack Obama's father was not a U.S. citizen and Obama's mother was only 18 when Obama was born, which means though she had been a U.S. citizen for 10 years, (or citizen perhaps because of Hawai'i being a territory) the mother fails the test for being so for at least 5 years **prior to** Barack Obama's birth, but *after* age 16. It doesn't matter *after*. "

Be careful of their posts, they are half-truths, it is the lie which is buried within that will make you a moron for believing them!

At some point along here, you are going to have to grow up and quit falling for their chit! DUMMY!

Regards,
JS

1127. Re: Personal safety, safety for your home, your family, your neighborhood, your state, your nation -- PRICELESS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 13:46:10 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq8r72$nd2$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229054

On 5/9/2011 3:47 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 8, 4:09 pm, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 05/08/2011 02:31 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>
>>> On May 8, 1:14 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gun_politics_in_Switzerland
>>
>>>> In America, we need to return to these values ...
>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>
>>> Paranoia.
>
> - We need smarter people before we need more "freedom".
>
> Dave : Then You Are Doomed To Being A "Slave".
> .

True, we need smarter people. But, enslaved people are kept to being morons, as you see demonstrated here, by special dave.

Freedom breeds smarter people ... look at dave and you can see what enslavement to moronic ideals get you!

Regards,
JS

1128. HOT HOT VIDEOS UN KNOWN SEXY PHOTOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 13:47:11 UTC
From: LPWS <3ghfhfffgg@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <fc8bf1da-0962-4aca-b1c5-3fcba80fa768@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229055

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

1129. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 13:54:24 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq8rmg$nd2$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/8/2011 10:42 PM, fergo wrote:
>
>
> "Iarnrod" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:b214c1fa-5526-4c72-8cd4-442f74e19bc1@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com...
>> On May 8, 6:37 am, Patriot Games DemocRATHallofShame.Comï¿½
>> <patriotga...@democrathallofshame.com> wrote:
>>> http://www.newsmax.com/InsideCover/Jindal-BirthCertificate/2011/05/07...
>>>
>>> La. Gov. Bobby Jindal ReleasesBirthCertificate
>>> Saturday, 07 May 2011
>>>
>>> BATON ROUGE, La. (AP) ï¿½ Louisiana Gov. Bobby Jindal, who was born in
>>> Baton Rouge to immigrant parents from India, has released his birth
>>> certificate.
>>
>> So Jindal did not have ANY US citizen parent, eh? According to you
>> nutbags, that ELIMINATES him as a potential candidate.
>>
>> Too bad. He would have been good for comic relief as President Obama
>> would use him as a punching bag in a campaign!! Jindal!! TOO FUCKIN'
>> FUNNY!!
>>
>> Is this really the best you America-haters have to offer?
>>
> I may just be an Australian but don't the candidates just have to be
> born in America? (which Obama was, Hawaii is an American state)
>
>> BWAHAAHAAHAAAHAHAH!!
>>
>>> The Republican made the move Friday, nine days after President Barack
>>> Obama released his own long-form birth certificate to further prove
>>> that he was born in the United States.
>>
>> "... to further prove..." BWAHAHAHAHAHAAAA!!!! Unpatriotic Game Player
>> FUCKS HIMSELF in his very own cite!!!
>

Even more important, obamas claimed father was already married and never
divorced, his marriage to obamas mother was illegal ...

There isn't one thing wrong with the boy, there are dozens!!!

You can't even finish discussing the last lame point about him, and you are already into the next!

Only thing which is "All American" about obama is his resemblance to inner city negro children and/or 3rd world nation children!!!

It only gets worse and downhill for there ... :-(

Regards,
JS

1130. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 13:58:26 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq8ru2$nd2$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229057
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/9/2011 4:38 AM, Horvath1758@net.net wrote:
> On Sun, 08 May 2011 21:53:25 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote this crap:
>
>> From here:
>> http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=293421
>>
>> Yes, it just keeps getting better and the mountain of proof has grown
>> beyond ABSOLUTE!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
>
> Am I the only one that noticed that box 18b and 19b were filled by the
> same hand? Those are supposed to be two different dates filled in by
> two different people and they look identical. It looks like the Doc
> filled in both of them.
>
>
> Vote for Trump in 2012. God bless America.

Well, I wouldn't focus on that so much, every had someone hand you a form to sign, you are unsure of the date, and the person says, "That is OK, I will fill that in for you?"

While you are absolutely correct, and it is NOT absolutely legal ... you just know it happens ...

Regards,
JS

1131. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 14:06:45 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq8sdl$nd2$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229058
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/9/2011 7:04 AM, Vandar wrote:
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> On 5/9/2011 6:41 AM, Vandar wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>> On 5/9/2011 1:04 AM, fergo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Done!
>>>>> He'll have to find someone else to buy his snake oil.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Once again, they attempt obfuscation to attempt to make fools of users
>>>> here:
>>>>
>>>> "US Law very clearly stipulates: ".If only one parent was a U.S.
>>>> citizen at the time of your birth, that parent must have resided in
>>>> the United States for at least ten years, at least five of which had
>>>> to be after the age of 16." Barack Obama's father was not a U.S.
>>>> citizen and Obama's mother was only 18 when Obama was born, which
>>>> means though she had been a U.S. citizen for 10 years, (or citizen
>>>> perhaps because of Hawai'i being a territory) the mother fails the
>>>> test for being so for at least 5 years **prior to** Barack Obama's
>>>> birth, but *after* age 16. It doesn't matter *after*. "
>>>
>>>
>>> That only applies to kids born outside of the US.
>>>
>>
>> See, there is that half-truth again. Now, learn and quit falling for it!
>
> http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/8/1401.html
> You lose again, skippy.

>

obamas father was NOT legally married to the mother, IDIOT!

He was already married and never divorced ...

Regards,
JS

1132. Re: As Usual 'ReallyHilariousFuckwit" proves Mighty Iarnrod Correct Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 14:07:48 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <4pednVfDIIyvaFrQnZ2dnUVZ5uoAAAAA@giganews.com>
Source record: 229059
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/9/2011 4:56 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 8, 10:39 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/8/2011 8:40 PM,Iarnrod proved:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 8, 10:29 am, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/8/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrod proved:
>>
>>>>> On May 8, 1:53 am, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>>>>> On May 7, 9:31 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:>
>>> On May 7, 3:53 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>> Had Hussein been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen
>>>>>>>>> under the law in effect in 1961.
>>
>>>>>> - Yes he would have.
>>>>>> - The US does not recognize bigamy, kooker.
>>>>>> - You lose.
>>>>>> - I win.
>>
>>>>>> Larnrod,
>>>>>> Actually most US States {The USA] Do 'Recongnize'
>>>>>> "Bigamy" as a Crime.http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bigamy
>>
>>>>> BWAHAHAHAHAAA!!!!
>>
>>>> Not an answer.
>>
>>> Not an assertion
>>
>> You didn't give an answer.
>
> I did,

Nope. You stole some dialogue from "Dilbert", but that's not an answer.

>> You gave a snarky young male fuckwit retort
>> - worthless.
>
> And

And you're a snarky young male - a fuckwit.

>>>> IF he had been born abroad, Hussein Jr. would not have been born a
>>>> citizen, under the INA.
>>
>>> Incorrect.
>>
>> No, correct.
>
> Wrong, but

No, right. I proved it. You had nothing - just empty claims.

1133. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Wrong All the Time, in his Usual Shabby Shitbag Snarky Young Male Way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 14:09:30 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <4pednVbDIlwFaFrQnZ2dnUVZ5uqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229060
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/9/2011 5:00 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 8, 10:41 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/8/2011 9:26 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>> On May 8, 10:15 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM,Iarnrod proved:
>>
>>>>> On May 8, 10:22 am, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>>>> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
>>>>>> woman, gets everything wrong.
>>
>>>>> I of course have never been wrong.
>>
>>>> Always.
>>
>>> That's right, it is always the case that I have been wrong.
>>
>> That's right:
>
> I know.

We all do.

>>>> Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're
>>>> (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's
>>>> with the fucking lame charade, boy?
>>
>>> Poor poor Blimpie. Never seen a woman's vagina since his mommy
>>> squirted his sorry ass out on the floor and left him. No wonder he's
>>> so "gender confused!"<chuckle>
>>
>> You're not a woman.
>
> This will

You're not a woman. You're a snarky young male in Colorado who likes to
pose in Usenet as a 60+ year old woman. You're a punk male - proved.

1134. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Correct All the Time, and Blimpton Agrees...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 14:09:59 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <4pednVHDIIwoaFrQnZ2dnUVZ5uqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229061
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/9/2011 5:00 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 8, 10:45 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/8/2011 9:42 PM, k...@kymhorsell.com wrote:
>>
>>> In sci.skeptic George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>>>> On May 8, 10:22 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>>>> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
>>>>>> woman, gets everything wrong.
>>>>> I of course have never been wrong.
>>>> Always.
>>>> Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're
>>>> (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's
>>>> with the fucking lame charade, boy?
>>
>>> Mother fixation.
>>
>> It's some kind of psychological abnormality. I don't know if it's
>> treatable or not.
>
> Well,

Well, why don't you fuck off, boy?

1135. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 14:19:34 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq8t5l$nd2$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229062
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/9/2011 7:13 AM, Bed Warmer wrote:
> On Sat, 7 May 2011 15:55:34 +1000, "fergo"<fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>
>> I guess you're right, every time I get close to believing in this conspiracy
>> stuff I remind myself how slow government is and how hard it is to keep a
>> secret if it really is a conspiracy. I guess work helps keep me sane. And
>> yeah we don't know if Bush did anything about Binladen before 911 (to answer
>> your other question) we don't know what goes on behind the scenes. I do know
>> we are being lied to. Maybe the Navy Seals really did kill OBL but Obama is
>> still lying to us and using something the brave Navy Seals did to boost his
>> popularity.
>
> The end of your paragraph disproves the beginning. It isn't likely
> any such government secret could be kept.
>
> Sometimes a cigar is just a cigar.
>
> Swill

You are exactly correct, and your statement states only the obvious,
which lies in plain sight!

The government can't keep a secret, the truth lies in plain sight, the
free fall speeds (admitted to), the molten iron (admitted to), the
thermite proven in lab analysis, etc.

I mean, what is not to believe???

Regards,
JS

1136. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 14:29:00 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7f924170-5207-4bd0-b241-42e5dfe4817b@35g2000yqy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229063
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 8, 11:11 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 8, 8:03 pm, Jim Kale <Guess...@bass.gov> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > On 5/8/2011 9:34 AM, Jim Kale wrote:
>
> > >> ...
> > >> You really are retarded if you think that shit holds any kind of reality.
> > >> So uh, who's been charged for this "crime", you retard?
> > >> I mean if it's "proof", then why isn't anybody being charged, you retard?
>
> > > Really?
>
> > Yes, really!
>
> > > Well, it is simple, prove what you say.
>
> > I am not obligated to prove a negative, you retard.
>
> > YOU have to prove what you say is correct, and then YOU still have to
> > answer my questions, you retard.
>
> > Your "list" of "experts" are all known retards, like you.
>
> > ANSWER MY QUESTION, you retard!
>
> > You said YOU have proof, right?
> > Then WHY isn't anybody being arrested, charged, indicted,
> > incarcerated, etc., You stump stupid retard?
>
> It was your Government that was guilty and they seem to have
> diplomatic immunity from the Supreme Law of the Land.This is why noone
> has been charged. They are in control of all three branches of
> government the Excecutive,Legistlative and Judicial system. You can't
> get a judge to try a case against their government,that would be
> considered treason.Anything that gets excepted as a case gets
> dismissed.Bush got his cousin to throw the case against him out.The
> lady knew she was meant to be killed at the Pentagon and also knew no
> plane hit the Pentagon. This is the smoking gun "the missile attack at

> the Pentagon" and the shills are full force keeping that from the
> public!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Example of our Kangaroo Court System

http://truthandshadows.wordpress.com/2011/04/10/the-fix-is-in-bush-cousin-presides-over-911-suit-against-cheney-rumsfeld/#more-551

http://truthandshadows.wordpress.com/2011/04/29/insult-to-injury-court-denies-911-plaintiff%e2%80%99s-appeal-threatens-sanctions/#more-566

1137. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 14:35:49 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq8u44$nd2$11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229064
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/9/2011 7:24 AM, Vandar wrote:
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> On 5/9/2011 7:04 AM, Vandar wrote:
>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Once again, they attempt obfuscation to attempt to make fools of
>>>>>> users
>>>>>> here:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "US Law very clearly stipulates: ".If only one parent was a U.S.
>>>>>> citizen at the time of your birth, that parent must have resided in
>>>>>> the United States for at least ten years, at least five of which had
>>>>>> to be after the age of 16." Barack Obama's father was not a U.S.
>>>>>> citizen and Obama's mother was only 18 when Obama was born, which
>>>>>> means though she had been a U.S. citizen for 10 years, (or citizen
>>>>>> perhaps because of Hawai'i being a territory) the mother fails the
>>>>>> test for being so for at least 5 years **prior to** Barack Obama's
>>>>>> birth, but *after* age 16. It doesn't matter *after*. "
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> That only applies to kids born outside of the US.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> See, there is that half-truth again. Now, learn and quit falling for
>>>> it!
>>>
>>>
>>> http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/8/1401.html
>>> You lose again, skippy.
>>>
>>
>> Let's cut your crap, here is a real attorney:
>>
>> For Further Information Contact:
>> Philip J. Berg, 9/11 Truther
>> 555 Andorra Glen Court, Suite 12
>> Lafayette Hill, PA 19444-2531

>>
>> Cell (610) 662-3005
>>
>> (610) 825-3134
>> (800) 993-PHIL [7445]
>> Fax (610) 834-7659
>>
>> philjberg@obamacrimes.com
>>
>> OBAMA and his “SUPPOSED” LONG FORM BIRTH CERTIFICATE
>>
>> WHAT ABOUT OBAMA’S
>>
>> INDONESIAN CITIZENSHIP?
>>
>> Obama is ‘not’ Constitutionally Eligible
>>
>> to be President
>
> Yes, he is. Do you have any attorneys on your list that aren't 9/11
> Truthers as well?
>
>> (Lafayette Hill, PA – 04/28/11) – Philip J. Berg, Esquire, the first
>> Attorney who filed suit against Barack H. Obama on August 21, 2008
>> challenging Obama’s lack of “Constitutionally Eligibility” to serve as
>> President of the United States stated that Obama’s release of this
>> document that Obama calls his long form Birth Certificate raises
>> further questions of the legitimacy of the document itself. Moreover,
>> even if it were a legitimate birth certificate, which it is not, it
>> still does not answer the question of Obama’s Constitutional Eligibility.
>>
>> Berg said, “The Birth Certificate issued by Obama on national
>> Television, have missing factors: Mother’s address; length and weight
>> of baby;
>
> Neither does my original birth certificate.
>
>> and where the signature of Stanley Ann Dunham appears, it says “mother
>> or informant”.
>
> She's his mother.
>
>> Additionally, the authenticity of the document itself is already being
>> questioned for many reasons”
>
> None of them valid.
>
>> Berg continued, “Even if Obama could produce a long form Birth
>> Certificate, which is highly doubted, it fails to answer the questions
>> into Obama’s adoption in Indonesia.”

>>
>> Berg said, “I have received many calls claiming Obama could not have
>> lost his U.S. citizenship by his mother’s acts of expatriation. In
>> part this is true, however, he Nationality Act of 1940, revised 1952,
>> Section 318(a) states, “A former citizen of the United States
>> expatriated through the expatriation of such person’s parent or
>> parents and who has not acquired the nationality of another country by
>> any affirmative act other than the expatriation of his parent or
>> parents may be naturalized upon filing a petition for naturalization
>> before reaching age of Twenty-Five [25] years and upon compliance with
>> all requirements of the naturalization laws with the following
>> exceptions: (b) No former citizen of the United States, expatriated
>> through the expatriation of such person’s parent or parents shall be
>> obliged to comply with the requirements of the immigration laws, if he
>> has not acquired the nationality of another country by any affirmative
>> act other than the expatriation of his parent or parents, and if he
>> has come or shall come to the United States before reaching the age of
>> twenty-five years. (c) After his naturalization such person shall have
>> the same citizenship status as if he had not been expatriated.”
>
> Obama was never expatriated.
>
>> Berg continues, “Renewing an Indonesian Passport after the age of 18
>> is an affirmative act, as you are swearing allegiance to another
>> Country. Soetoro/Obama renewed his Indonesian Passport when he
>> traveled to Pakistan that is why he had to stop in Indonesia first.
>> Remember, in 1981, Dunham was divorcing Soetoro in Hawaii and was not
>> in Indonesia. Obama/Soetoro admits to traveling to Indonesia first and
>> then onto Pakistan. Soetoro/Obama claims in his book “Dreams from my
>> father” that he stopped in Indonesia to visit his mother. But again,
>> his mother was not in Indonesia, she was in Hawaii with Maya,
>> divorcing Lolo Soetoro. In addition, the State Department has stated
>> in response to a FOIA [Freedom of Information] request that they do
>> not have a U.S. Passport application on file for Barack H. Obama.”
>>
>> Berg said, “Despite the above however, Indonesia required
>> Obama/Soetoro to do a bit more upon his 18th birthday. In fact the
>> Indonesian law gives until the age of Twenty-One [21]. Soetoro/Obama
>> would have had to sign an Affidavit relinquishing his Indonesian
>> citizenship and said Affidavit had to be sent to the Indonesian
>> Government before reclaiming any U.S. citizenship he may have once held.
>
> He never lost his US citizenship. Indonesian law doesn't apply here.
>
> Next.
>

ROFLOL

Phillip Berg is a former gubernatorial and senatorial candidate, former
chair of the Democratic Party in Montgomery (PA) County, former member

of the Democratic State Committee, and former Deputy Attorney General of Pennsylvania.

No. I think I will go with the attorney -- but if you wish to submit your qualifications as reason we should dismiss his, we will review them, but CAUTION! No false birth certificates, PLEASE!

LOL

You just can't make up chit like this -- AND IT ONLY GETS BETTER!

Regards,
JS

1138. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 14:42:04 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15404-4DC7FD3C-1195@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229065

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Activist+Post+A+Final+Word+on+the+Bin+Laden+Hoax

HOAX, it is a HOAX.
cuhulin

1139. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 14:55:50 UTC
From: Drugs Limbaugh <drugs@limbecile.com>
Message-ID: <iq8v8h$8eb$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229066
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 05/09/2011 10:35 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 7:24 AM, Vandar wrote:
>>
>>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/9/2011 7:04 AM, Vandar wrote:
>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Once again, they attempt obfuscation to attempt to make fools of
>>>>> users
>>>>> here:
>>>>>
>>>>> "US Law very clearly stipulates: ".If only one parent was a U.S.
>>>>> citizen at the time of your birth, that parent must have resided in
>>>>> the United States for at least ten years, at least five of which had
>>>>> to be after the age of 16." Barack Obama's father was not a U.S.
>>>>> citizen and Obama's mother was only 18 when Obama was born, which
>>>>> means though she had been a U.S. citizen for 10 years, (or citizen
>>>>> perhaps because of Hawai'i being a territory) the mother fails the
>>>>> test for being so for at least 5 years **prior to** Barack Obama's
>>>>> birth, but *after* age 16. It doesn't matter *after*. "
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> That only applies to kids born outside of the US.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> See, there is that half-truth again. Now, learn and quit falling for
>>>> it!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/8/1401.html
>>>> You lose again, skippy.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Let's cut your crap, here is a real attorney:
>>>
>>> For Further Information Contact:
>>> Philip J. Berg, 9/11 Truther
>>> 555 Andorra Glen Court, Suite 12

>>> Lafayette Hill, PA 19444-2531
>>>
>>> Cell (610) 662-3005
>>>
>>> (610) 825-3134
>>> (800) 993-PHIL [7445]
>>> Fax (610) 834-7659
>>>
>>> philjberg@obamacrimes.com
>>>
>>> OBAMA and his “SUPPOSED” LONG FORM BIRTH CERTIFICATE
>>>
>>> WHAT ABOUT OBAMA’S
>>>
>>> INDONESIAN CITIZENSHIP?
>>>
>>> Obama is ‘not’ Constitutionally Eligible
>>>
>>> to be President
>>
>> Yes, he is. Do you have any attorneys on your list that aren't 9/11
>> Truthers as well?
>>
>>> (Lafayette Hill, PA – 04/28/11) – Philip J. Berg, Esquire, the first
>>> Attorney who filed suit against Barack H. Obama on August 21, 2008
>>> challenging Obama’s lack of “Constitutionally Eligibility” to serve as
>>> President of the United States stated that Obama’s release of this
>>> document that Obama calls his long form Birth Certificate raises
>>> further questions of the legitimacy of the document itself. Moreover,
>>> even if it were a legitimate birth certificate, which it is not, it
>>> still does not answer the question of Obama’s Constitutional
>>> Eligibility.
>>>
>>> Berg said, “The Birth Certificate issued by Obama on national
>>> Television, have missing factors: Mother’s address; length and weight
>>> of baby;
>>
>> Neither does my original birth certificate.
>>
>>> and where the signature of Stanley Ann Dunham appears, it says “mother
>>> or informant”.
>>
>> She's his mother.
>>
>>> Additionally, the authenticity of the document itself is already being
>>> questioned for many reasons”
>>
>> None of them valid.
>>
>>> Berg continued, “Even if Obama could produce a long form Birth

>>> Certificate, which is highly doubted, it fails to answer the questions
>>> into Obama’s adoption in Indonesia.”
>>>
>>> Berg said, “I have received many calls claiming Obama could not have
>>> lost his U.S. citizenship by his mother’s acts of expatriation. In
>>> part this is true, however, he Nationality Act of 1940, revised 1952,
>>> Section 318(a) states, “A former citizen of the United States
>>> expatriated through the expatriation of such person’s parent or
>>> parents and who has not acquired the nationality of another country by
>>> any affirmative act other than the expatriation of his parent or
>>> parents may be naturalized upon filing a petition for naturalization
>>> before reaching age of Twenty-Five [25] years and upon compliance with
>>> all requirements of the naturalization laws with the following
>>> exceptions: (b) No former citizen of the United States, expatriated
>>> through the expatriation of such person’s parent or parents shall be
>>> obliged to comply with the requirements of the immigration laws, if he
>>> has not acquired the nationality of another country by any affirmative
>>> act other than the expatriation of his parent or parents, and if he
>>> has come or shall come to the United States before reaching the age of
>>> twenty-five years. (c) After his naturalization such person shall have
>>> the same citizenship status as if he had not been expatriated.”
>>
>> Obama was never expatriated.
>>
>>> Berg continues, “Renewing an Indonesian Passport after the age of 18
>>> is an affirmative act, as you are swearing allegiance to another
>>> Country. Soetoro/Obama renewed his Indonesian Passport when he
>>> traveled to Pakistan that is why he had to stop in Indonesia first.
>>> Remember, in 1981, Dunham was divorcing Soetoro in Hawaii and was not
>>> in Indonesia. Obama/Soetoro admits to traveling to Indonesia first and
>>> then onto Pakistan. Soetoro/Obama claims in his book “Dreams from my
>>> father” that he stopped in Indonesia to visit his mother. But again,
>>> his mother was not in Indonesia, she was in Hawaii with Maya,
>>> divorcing Lolo Soetoro. In addition, the State Department has stated
>>> in response to a FOIA [Freedom of Information] request that they do
>>> not have a U.S. Passport application on file for Barack H. Obama.”
>>>
>>> Berg said, “Despite the above however, Indonesia required
>>> Obama/Soetoro to do a bit more upon his 18th birthday. In fact the
>>> Indonesian law gives until the age of Twenty-One [21]. Soetoro/Obama
>>> would have had to sign an Affidavit relinquishing his Indonesian
>>> citizenship and said Affidavit had to be sent to the Indonesian
>>> Government before reclaiming any U.S. citizenship he may have once held.
>>
>> He never lost his US citizenship. Indonesian law doesn't apply here.
>>
>> Next.
>>
>
> ROFLOL

>
> Phillip Berg is a former gubernatorial and senatorial candidate,

Alvin Greene is a former senatorial candidate and Pat Paulsen was a
former presidential candidate, is this supposed to mean something? They,
like Berg, are also losers.

> former
> chair of the Democratic Party in Montgomery (PA) County, former member
> of the Democratic State Committee, and former Deputy Attorney General of
> Pennsylvania.
>
> No. I think I will go with the attorney -- but if you wish to submit
> your qualifications as reason we should dismiss his, we will review
> them, but CAUTION! No false birth certificates, PLEASE!

The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States administered
the oath of office to President Obama. I'll take his qualifications over
those of a three time loser any day.

>
> LOL
>
> You just can't make up chit like this -- AND IT ONLY GETS BETTER!

I don't know, President Obama is President of the United States and you
are a sore loser whining on UseNet. How does it get better?

>
> Regards,
> JS

1140. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 15:03:28 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq8vo5$a7e$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229067
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/9/2011 7:55 AM, Drugs Limbaugh wrote:

>>>> Let's cut your crap, here is a real attorney:
>>>>
>>>> For Further Information Contact:
>>>> Philip J. Berg, 9/11 Truther
>>>> 555 Andorra Glen Court, Suite 12
>>>> Lafayette Hill, PA 19444-2531
>>>>
>>>> Cell (610) 662-3005
>>>>
>>>> (610) 825-3134
>>>> (800) 993-PHIL [7445]
>>>> Fax (610) 834-7659
>>>>
>>>> philjberg@obamacrimes.com
>>>>
>>>> OBAMA and his “SUPPOSED” LONG FORM BIRTH CERTIFICATE
>>>>
>>>> WHAT ABOUT OBAMA’S
>>>>
>>>> INDONESIAN CITIZENSHIP?
>>>>
>>>> Obama is ‘not’ Constitutionally Eligible
>>>>
>>>> to be President
>>>
>>> Yes, he is. Do you have any attorneys on your list that aren't 9/11
>>> Truthers as well?
>>>
>>>> (Lafayette Hill, PA – 04/28/11) – Philip J. Berg, Esquire, the first
>>>> Attorney who filed suit against Barack H. Obama on August 21, 2008
>>>> challenging Obama’s lack of “Constitutionally Eligibility” to serve as
>>>> President of the United States stated that Obama’s release of this
>>>> document that Obama calls his long form Birth Certificate raises
>>>> further questions of the legitimacy of the document itself. Moreover,
>>>> even if it were a legitimate birth certificate, which it is not, it
>>>> still does not answer the question of Obama’s Constitutional
>>>> Eligibility.
>>>>
>>>> Berg said, “The Birth Certificate issued by Obama on national

>>>> Television, have missing factors: Mother’s address; length and weight
>>>> of baby;
>>>
>>> Neither does my original birth certificate.
>>>
>>>> and where the signature of Stanley Ann Dunham appears, it says “mother
>>>> or informant”.
>>>
>>> She's his mother.
>>>
>>>> Additionally, the authenticity of the document itself is already being
>>>> questioned for many reasons”
>>>
>>> None of them valid.
>>>
>>>> Berg continued, “Even if Obama could produce a long form Birth
>>>> Certificate, which is highly doubted, it fails to answer the questions
>>>> into Obama’s adoption in Indonesia.”
>>>>
>>>> Berg said, “I have received many calls claiming Obama could not have
>>>> lost his U.S. citizenship by his mother’s acts of expatriation. In
>>>> part this is true, however, he Nationality Act of 1940, revised 1952,
>>>> Section 318(a) states, “A former citizen of the United States
>>>> expatriated through the expatriation of such person’s parent or
>>>> parents and who has not acquired the nationality of another country by
>>>> any affirmative act other than the expatriation of his parent or
>>>> parents may be naturalized upon filing a petition for naturalization
>>>> before reaching age of Twenty-Five [25] years and upon compliance with
>>>> all requirements of the naturalization laws with the following
>>>> exceptions: (b) No former citizen of the United States, expatriated
>>>> through the expatriation of such person’s parent or parents shall be
>>>> obliged to comply with the requirements of the immigration laws, if he
>>>> has not acquired the nationality of another country by any affirmative
>>>> act other than the expatriation of his parent or parents, and if he
>>>> has come or shall come to the United States before reaching the age of
>>>> twenty-five years. (c) After his naturalization such person shall have
>>>> the same citizenship status as if he had not been expatriated.”
>>>
>>> Obama was never expatriated.
>>>
>>>> Berg continues, “Renewing an Indonesian Passport after the age of 18
>>>> is an affirmative act, as you are swearing allegiance to another
>>>> Country. Soetoro/Obama renewed his Indonesian Passport when he
>>>> traveled to Pakistan that is why he had to stop in Indonesia first.
>>>> Remember, in 1981, Dunham was divorcing Soetoro in Hawaii and was not
>>>> in Indonesia. Obama/Soetoro admits to traveling to Indonesia first and
>>>> then onto Pakistan. Soetoro/Obama claims in his book “Dreams from my
>>>> father” that he stopped in Indonesia to visit his mother. But again,
>>>> his mother was not in Indonesia, she was in Hawaii with Maya,
>>>> divorcing Lolo Soetoro. In addition, the State Department has stated

>>>> in response to a FOIA [Freedom of Information] request that they do
>>>> not have a U.S. Passport application on file for Barack H. Obama."
>>>>
>>>> Berg said, "Despite the above however, Indonesia required
>>>> Obama/Soetoro to do a bit more upon his 18th birthday. In fact the
>>>> Indonesian law gives until the age of Twenty-One [21]. Soetoro/Obama
>>>> would have had to sign an Affidavit relinquishing his Indonesian
>>>> citizenship and said Affidavit had to be sent to the Indonesian
>>>> Government before reclaiming any U.S. citizenship he may have once
>>>> held.

> ...
> Alvin Greene is a former senatorial candidate and Pat Paulsen was a
> former presidential candidate, is this supposed to mean something? They,
> like Berg, are also losers.
> ...
> The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States administered
> the oath of office to President Obama. I'll take his qualifications over
> those of a three time loser any day.
> ...
> I don't know, President Obama is President of the United States and you
> are a sore loser whining on UseNet. How does it get better?
> ...

What, the going got tough, so they called out the goon which sleeps with
the dogs in the back?

As I said, it sounds like all you can do is a bunch of obfuscating BS
and attacks on people with high qualifications, and MANY of them, to
make sure your personal opinion, theories, wants, desires and
suppositions are heard ...

Quite interesting, but still moronic behavior ... <yawn>

... plonk ... come back when you have something real ...

Regards,
JS

1141. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 15:12:37 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq9095$a7e$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/9/2011 7:42 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Activist+Post+A+Final+Word+on+the+Bin+Laden+Hoax
>
> HOAX, it is a HOAX.
> cuhulin
>

I just don't know about laden. If the suckers had kept him, they could have questioned him and those about him, if he was such a really BIG BOGEYMAN just think of the intelligence they could have waterboarded out of 'em!

Instead, they give us a lame excuse and then dump the body like so much garbage in the ocean (if there was a body at that time, even.) Besides, I think there has been one too many stories and laden has died one too many times, at least!

Heck, they could have got him at tora bora, and all this is an act, even with a frozen body which they thawed out ... I will never know for certain, now.

They claim there is a good reason that they had to hide the body ... I claim that is stupid ... others can decide for themselves ...

Regards,
JS

1142. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 15:24:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC80748-3579@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229069

Hitlery Klintoon is the 'Mother' of all Birthers.But, we are not suppose to drag her into that.Oh Nooooo,,,,,

JT, talking on his Super Talk Missy Sippy call in radio talk show, he said he will be talking to Andrew Breitbart this morning.I will be Listening.You can to with your computery.
cuhulin

1143. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form B...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 15:28:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC80818-3583@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229070

Article at
http://www.drudgereport.com
about a dog stopped snail mail delivery for more than a month in San
Pedro,California.

Good Doggy, Good Doggy!
cuhulin

1144. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 15:47:01 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15404-4DC80C75-1214@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229071

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Exclusive:+Osama+Bin+Laden's+Nose+and+Left+Ear

Article at
http://www.standeyo.com
says Nose, Shoulder and Left Ear.
cuhulin

1145. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form B...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 16:03:35 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq938oktd2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229072

On 5/9/2011 8:28 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Article at
> http://www.drudgereport.com
> about a dog stopped snail mail delivery for more than a month in San
> Pedro,California.
>
> Good Doggy, Good Doggy!
> cuhulin
>

I have been attempting to train my Himalayan cat to do that!

... no luck so far ...

Regards,
JS

1146. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 16:05:02 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq93bfktd3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229073

On 5/9/2011 8:24 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Hitlery Klintoon is the 'Mother' of all Birthers.But, we are not suppose
> to drag her into that.Oh Nooooo,,,,,
>
> JT, talking on his Super Talk Missy Sippy call in radio talk show, he
> said he will be talking to Andrew Breitbart this morning.I will be
> Listening.You can to with your computery.
> cuhulin
>

What is the show going to be about? What is the call letters of the
station?

Regards,
JS

1147. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 16:10:38 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq93lvktd4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229074

On 5/9/2011 9:05 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 8:24 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>> Hitlery Klintoon is the 'Mother' of all Birthers.But, we are not suppose
>> to drag her into that.Oh Nooooo,,,,,
>>
>> JT, talking on his Super Talk Missy Sippy call in radio talk show, he
>> said he will be talking to Andrew Breitbart this morning.I will be
>> Listening.You can to with your computery.
>> cuhulin
>>
>
> What is the show going to be about? What is the call letters of the
> station?
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

I moved, in a new place with 130 ft longwire -- 30 ft in the air, balun,
tuner, coax fed, etc.

I just might be able to snag it directly off the air! (well, at least in
the evening)

Regards,
JS

1148. [ADMIN] Facebook gateway/update page for rec.radio.info now available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 16:17:11 UTC
From: rram@panix.com
Message-ID: <iq92c5$3rj$1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 229075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

A Facebook gateway is now available for the rec.radio.info Usenet newsgroup.

On your Facebook account, the gateway provides links on your Facebook news feed to rec.radio.info articles that are available on Google Groups. There are also links on this page to the other two radio-related newsgroups that have similar Facebook pages, ba.broadcast.moderated and rec.radio.broadcasting.

Search for the following Facebook page:

rec.radio.info (Usenet Newsgroup)

All you have to do is "Like" this page to receive updates on Facebook. You can also suggest the page to your friends through the usual Facebook methods.

It should be noted that what appears on the Facebook page is links to Google Groups. You will still have to go to Google Groups (or to your regular newsreader) to see the actual articles. This is a notification feed only. Due to occasional delays with article Message-ID index updates on Google Groups, the links to Google Groups may not be immediately available. Still, it might be a useful way to introduce the newsgroups to those not familiar to Usenet by using technology that might be more familiar to them (Facebook). Instead of doing the sometimes hard work of convincing folks to sign up for news access, or to check Google Groups, all you have to do is invite them to the Facebook page.

Please direct any comments, questions, or criticisms to rec-radio-info-request@panix.com, which is the default Followup-To address for this article.

1149. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 16:20:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15401-4DC81454-3627@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229076

Fake Pictures of 'Osama' in Abbottabad
http://aangirfan.blogspot.com

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Super+Talk+Mississippi

JT has started talking to Andrew Breitbart right now.
cuhulin

1150. Don't forget Fukushima, still dumping tons of radio active water, still releasing radiation which will be deposited in America!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 16:34:14 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq9527$s53$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229077
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

We need to sue the Japanese for the pollution, forget the stupid carbon
taxes!

http://www.infowars.com/media-ignores-fukushima-fire/

Regards,
JS

1151. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 16:35:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15404-4DC817C0-1233@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229078

Andrew Breitbart and JT are talking about the mainstream news media, and the mnm doesn't tell us half the things we ought to know, and talking about Acorn.Andrew said the New York news reporters claim to be objective, but they are not, and how the media covers up for their lies.Andrew Breitbart has a new Book, Righteous Indignation.
Andrew Breitbart is a Brett Favre fan too.
cuhulin

1152. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form B...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 16:42:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15405-4DC81960-367@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229080

What do they call them, Siamese Cats? I once read somewhere those cats are Crazy!

My sister usually comes over here on Sundays to mainly see if I am still alive and kicking.Yesterday I gave her three concrete blocks (for her flower garden/whatever) I had stored in my trailer.When I opened the trailer doors, there were three little bitty kitty cats in there.

I was joking about the Good Doggy.People do need to have their snail mail delivered to them.
cuhulin

1153. Re: Climate Change Rule of Tens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 16:46:57 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc81a6c$0$12460$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229079

On 5/8/2011 7:38 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 8, 10:46 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>> Still flooding.Memphis is almost an Island now.I hope they save Elvis
>> Presley's body.
>> cuhulin
>
> Nasville got hit badly in 2010 . Thousand-year flood .

Thousand year floods every hundred years; hundred year floods every 10 years. 1 foot coastal inundation now 10 feet, 10 foot inundation now 100 feet.

1154. Re: bin Laden and radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 16:50:56 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc81b5b$0$12460$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229081

On 5/9/2011 4:37 AM, dxAce wrote:
> <http://www.nytimes.com/2011/05/08/world/asia/08binladen.html?_r=2&partner=rss&emc=rss>
>
> "It is not known if he had a radio in the house, but his son Omar, who lived
> with him in Afghanistan until 1999, described his father as constantly
> listening to the BBC."
>
> I have looked at some of the photo's to see if he had a radio there and to see
> what he might have used.
>
> I imagine him to have been a toter.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>
He had DBS satellite; usually a World Service stream available on those
things (at least in the more civilized regions).

1155. Re: Listen to "Dogs" and waste away

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 16:52:40 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dc81bc3$0$12460$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229082

```
On 5/9/2011 5:46 AM, dxAce wrote:
>
>
> bpnjensen wrote:
>
>> On May 8, 6:29 pm, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>>> Being Steve makes your brain shrink.
>>> 34.4, -118.4
>>
>> Subliminal messages - a new tactic?
>
> That's what Rickmers needs is a new tactic - the old one just ain't working
> anymore.
>
>
```

1156. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form B...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 16:56:59 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq96cr$2kl$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229083

On 5/9/2011 9:42 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> What do they call them, Siamese Cats? I once read somewhere those cats
> are Crazy!
>
> My sister usually comes over here on Sundays to mainly see if I am still
> alive and kicking.Yesterday I gave her three concrete blocks (for her
> flower garden/whatever) I had stored in my trailer.When I opened the
> trailer doors, there were three little bitty kitty cats in there.
>
> I was joking about the Good Doggy.People do need to have their snail
> mail delivered to them.
> cuhulin
>

The Himalayan is a hybrid, Persian mother, Siamese father, looks Siamese with the face of a Persian. Wife paid a mint for the cat when she seen them.

It is a nice cat though ... it follows us around like a dog, is very, very vocal. Complains if the cat box does not suit her, food does not suit her, something is laid in her bed, wants to be petted, etc., etc.

Kinda reminds me of the wife!

Regards,
JS

1157. Rickmers Is Nothing But A Clown 'Tard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 17:03:33 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC81E65.54998F93@milestones.com>
Source record: 229084

dave wrote:

> On 5/9/2011 5:46 AM, dxAce wrote:
> >
> >
> > bpnjensen wrote:
> >
> >> On May 8, 6:29 pm, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> >>> Being Steve makes your brain shrink.
> >>> 34.4, -118.4
> >>
> >> Subliminal messages - a new tactic?
> >
> > That's what Rickmers needs is a new tactic - the old one just ain't working
> > anymore.
> >
> >

1158. Re: Clown 'Tard Rule

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 17:20:39 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC82267.8F5BDA48@milestones.com>
Source record: 229085

dave wrote:

> On 5/8/2011 7:38 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> > On May 8, 10:46 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> >> Still flooding.Memphis is almost an Island now.I hope they save Elvis
> >> Presley's body.
> >> cuhulin
> >
> > Nasville got hit badly in 2010 . Thousand-year flood .
>
> Thousand year floods every hundred years; hundred year floods every 10
> years. 1 foot coastal inundation now 10 feet, 10 foot inundation now 100
> feet.

We used to get a good clown 'tard every 20 years or so, but, now we have them all the time, and Dave Rickmers is a prime example!

1159. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form B...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 17:31:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC82503-3334@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229087

http://www.supertalk.fm
Click on where it says,
The JT Show.
And they were talking (they only talked to each other for about twenty five minutes.I reckon Andrew Breitbart is a Very, Very Busy guy) about how to take on the mainstream news media.
WFMN 97.3 on my radio dial.
cuhulin

1160. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 17:32:57 UTC
From: Boo Hoo Boner - Human Filth <boner@crybaby.com>
Message-ID: <iq98f3$n7r$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229086
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 05/09/2011 11:03 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 7:55 AM, Drugs Limbaugh wrote:
>
>>>>> Let's cut your crap, here is a real attorney:
>>>>>
>>>>> For Further Information Contact:
>>>>> Philip J. Berg, 9/11 Truther
>>>>> 555 Andorra Glen Court, Suite 12
>>>>> Lafayette Hill, PA 19444-2531
>>>>>
>>>>> Cell (610) 662-3005
>>>>>
>>>>> (610) 825-3134
>>>>> (800) 993-PHIL [7445]
>>>>> Fax (610) 834-7659
>>>>>
>>>>> philjberg@obamacrimes.com
>>>>>
>>>>> OBAMA and his “SUPPOSED” LONG FORM BIRTH CERTIFICATE
>>>>>
>>>>> WHAT ABOUT OBAMA’S
>>>>>
>>>>> INDONESIAN CITIZENSHIP?
>>>>>
>>>>> Obama is ‘not’ Constitutionally Eligible
>>>>>
>>>>> to be President
>>>>
>>>> Yes, he is. Do you have any attorneys on your list that aren't 9/11
>>>> Truthers as well?
>>>>
>>>>> (Lafayette Hill, PA – 04/28/11) – Philip J. Berg, Esquire, the first
>>>>> Attorney who filed suit against Barack H. Obama on August 21, 2008
>>>>> challenging Obama’s lack of “Constitutionally Eligibility” to serve as
>>>>> President of the United States stated that Obama’s release of this
>>>>> document that Obama calls his long form Birth Certificate raises
>>>>> further questions of the legitimacy of the document itself. Moreover,
>>>>> even if it were a legitimate birth certificate, which it is not, it
>>>>> still does not answer the question of Obama’s Constitutional
>>>>> Eligibility.
>>>>>

>>>>> Berg said, “The Birth Certificate issued by Obama on national
>>>>> Television, have missing factors: Mother’s address; length and weight
>>>>> of baby;
>>>>
>>>> Neither does my original birth certificate.
>>>>
>>>>> and where the signature of Stanley Ann Dunham appears, it says “mother
>>>>> or informant”.
>>>>
>>>> She's his mother.
>>>>
>>>>> Additionally, the authenticity of the document itself is already being
>>>>> questioned for many reasons”
>>>>
>>>> None of them valid.
>>>>
>>>>> Berg continued, “Even if Obama could produce a long form Birth
>>>>> Certificate, which is highly doubted, it fails to answer the questions
>>>>> into Obama’s adoption in Indonesia.”
>>>>>
>>>>> Berg said, “I have received many calls claiming Obama could not have
>>>>> lost his U.S. citizenship by his mother’s acts of expatriation. In
>>>>> part this is true, however, he Nationality Act of 1940, revised 1952,
>>>>> Section 318(a) states, “A former citizen of the United States
>>>>> expatriated through the expatriation of such person’s parent or
>>>>> parents and who has not acquired the nationality of another country by
>>>>> any affirmative act other than the expatriation of his parent or
>>>>> parents may be naturalized upon filing a petition for naturalization
>>>>> before reaching age of Twenty-Five [25] years and upon compliance with
>>>>> all requirements of the naturalization laws with the following
>>>>> exceptions: (b) No former citizen of the United States, expatriated
>>>>> through the expatriation of such person’s parent or parents shall be
>>>>> obliged to comply with the requirements of the immigration laws, if he
>>>>> has not acquired the nationality of another country by any affirmative
>>>>> act other than the expatriation of his parent or parents, and if he
>>>>> has come or shall come to the United States before reaching the age of
>>>>> twenty-five years. (c) After his naturalization such person shall have
>>>>> the same citizenship status as if he had not been expatriated.”
>>>>
>>>> Obama was never expatriated.
>>>>
>>>>> Berg continues, “Renewing an Indonesian Passport after the age of 18
>>>>> is an affirmative act, as you are swearing allegiance to another
>>>>> Country. Soetoro/Obama renewed his Indonesian Passport when he
>>>>> traveled to Pakistan that is why he had to stop in Indonesia first.
>>>>> Remember, in 1981, Dunham was divorcing Soetoro in Hawaii and was not
>>>>> in Indonesia. Obama/Soetoro admits to traveling to Indonesia first and
>>>>> then onto Pakistan. Soetoro/Obama claims in his book “Dreams from my
>>>>> father” that he stopped in Indonesia to visit his mother. But again,
>>>>> his mother was not in Indonesia, she was in Hawaii with Maya,

>>>>> divorcing Lolo Soetoro. In addition, the State Department has stated
>>>>> in response to a FOIA [Freedom of Information] request that they do
>>>>> not have a U.S. Passport application on file for Barack H. Obama."
>>>>>
>>>>> Berg said, "Despite the above however, Indonesia required
>>>>> Obama/Soetoro to do a bit more upon his 18th birthday. In fact the
>>>>> Indonesian law gives until the age of Twenty-One [21]. Soetoro/Obama
>>>>> would have had to sign an Affidavit relinquishing his Indonesian
>>>>> citizenship and said Affidavit had to be sent to the Indonesian
>>>>> Government before reclaiming any U.S. citizenship he may have once
>>>>> held.
>
>> ...
>> Alvin Greene is a former senatorial candidate and Pat Paulsen was a
>> former presidential candidate, is this supposed to mean something? They,
>> like Berg, are also losers.
>> ...
>> The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States administered
>> the oath of office to President Obama. I'll take his qualifications over
>> those of a three time loser any day.
>> ...
>> I don't know, President Obama is President of the United States and you
>> are a sore loser whining on UseNet. How does it get better?
>> ...
>
> What, the going got tough, so they called out the goon which sleeps with
> the dogs in the back?
>
> As I said, it sounds like all you can do is a bunch of obfuscating BS
> and attacks on people with high qualifications, and MANY of them,

Running for office isn't a qualification, especially when you *LOSE*.

> to
> make sure your personal opinion, theories, wants, desires and
> suppositions are heard ...

Suppositions? Last time I checked, *President* Obama was living in the
White House and what's left of the pathetic birthers are whining and
yapping on internet forums.

>
> Quite interesting, but still moronic behavior ... <yawn>

On your part, I quite agree.

>
> ... plonk ... come back when you have something real ...

Hows this for real: *President* Obama took out Osama Bin Laden, life is
good.

>
> Regards,
> JS

Has Orly Taitz paid her $20,000 fine yet? I hear Terry Lakin is getting out of the slammer this month.

Keep it up, you birthers are funny :)

1161. Re: Climate Change Rule of Tens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 17:34:45 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24662-4DC825B5-1046@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229090

Part of Long Beach (not to be confused with Long Beach,Missy Sippy on the Gulf Coast) California is below Sea Level.
cuhulin

1162. Re: Rickmers Is Nothing But A Clown 'Tard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 17:37:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24661-4DC8263E-3339@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229088

Plan B, or C?
cuhulin

1163. Re: bin Laden and radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 17:39:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24662-4DC826D5-1047@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229089

He didn't tote that blood dialysis machine (whatever it is called)
around long enough.
cuhulin

1164. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 17:45:24 UTC
From: Billary <billaryclinton2008@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <71bf0201-918e-4745-aef0-029bac34e253@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 9, 9:36 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 1:04 AM, fergo wrote:
>
> >> ...
> > > Done!
> > > He'll have to find someone else to buy his snake oil.
>
> Once again, they attempt obfuscation to attempt to make fools of users here:
>
> "US Law very clearly stipulates: ".If only one parent was a U.S. citizen
> at the time of your birth, that parent must have resided in the United
> States for at least ten years, at least five of which had to be after
> the age of 16." Barack Obama's father was not a U.S. citizen and Obama's
> mother was only 18 when Obama was born, which means though she had been
> a U.S. citizen for 10 years, (or citizen perhaps because of Hawai'i
> being a territory) the mother fails the test for being so for at least 5
> years **prior to** Barack Obama's birth, but *after* age 16. It doesn't
> matter *after*. "
>
> Be careful of their posts, they are half-truths, it is the lie which is
> buried within that will make you a moron for believing them!
>
> At some point along here, you are going to have to grow up and quit
> falling for their chit! DUMMY!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Obama may or may not be a citizen. That's not issue. He was not
"natural born". That is the issue.

1165. Re: Climate Change Rule of Tens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 18:48:06 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4ff2d8a6-2b8d-4f8b-a19b-a1b501a064db@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229092

On May 9, 9:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 5/8/2011 7:38 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > On May 8, 10:46 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> >> Still flooding.Memphis is almost an Island now.I hope they save Elvis
> >> Presley's body.
> >> cuhulin
>
> > Nasville got hit badly in 2010 . Thousand-year flood .
>
> Thousand year floods every hundred years; hundred year floods every 10
> years. 1 foot coastal inundation now 10 feet, 10 foot inundation now 100
> feet.

Hard to tell if it will be this severe right away, but certainly the
extremes - both high and low - will obviously ramp up noticeably. The
West is getting one of it's heaviest, longest-lasting winters ever,
after two years of considerable dought and a very heavy, long-lasting
winter three years back - preceded by a decade of drought. Feast or
famine - get used to it.

1166. Re: Europe to let 3 Countries Default on their Debt. Is USA far behind?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 18:48:09 UTC
From: Day Brown <daybrown@artelco.com>
Message-ID: <DrGdnVTJ1aCUqlXQnZ2dnUVZ_oudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229093
Cross-posted to: misc.legal,or.politics,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.community

On 05/09/2011 05:58 AM, RHF wrote:
> On Apr 21, 8:47 am, Alfred stomacker<alfredstomac...@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>> On Wed, 20 Apr 2011 19:19:14 -0500, Day Brown<daybr...@artelco.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> The Greek economy relies heavily on tourism, which in a global market
>>> where short resources takes precedence. The US economy includes food
>>> exports, which become increasingly appealing in uncertain times.
>>
>>> American rice& soybeans are on Japanese, Korean, and Chinese tables,
>>> and no matter what the US debt is, they wont forget it.
>>
>> not so appealing when the prices skyrocket due to Obama and his anti
>> American oil policies and all the rest of his crap .
>
> - Get ready for the 5 a gallon gas
> - and the food prices to match .
>
> =Higher=&=Higher=Gas=Price=Reality=Check=
> .
> The-Mark-of-the-Obamaï¿½ = $6.66 Gasoline :
> The Obama Regimes Plan To Crash The US Economy
> To Bring About The New World Order !
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dbe6320b24966200
> -obama-taxing-us-more-and-more-with-carbon-credits-
> .
> .
Every predictive economic model is wrong because there are always
unforeseen relevant inputs. No president controls enuf of even what we
know about to guarantee rational policy.

1167. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 19:09:09 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq9e4l$uu7$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229094
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/9/2011 10:32 AM, Boo Hoo Boner - Human Filth wrote:

>> ...
> Keep it up, you birthers are funny :)
>

Trannys with boners (and false nyms) are sick ...

... plonk ...

Regards,
JS

1168. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 19:31:05 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq9fdp$uu7$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/9/2011 10:45 AM, Billary wrote:

> ...
> Obama may or may not be a citizen. That's not issue. He was not
> "natural born". That is the issue.

1) He has falsely represented himself as qualified for the public servant office of president.

2) He has forged multiple fraudulent documents to misrepresent himself and hide his crimes.

3) He has lied, and on multiple occasions on circumstances surrounding his birth certificate.

4) He has executed executive orders from the office of president to lock up all his records and attempted to make it possible to prove a crime(s) has/have been committed.

5) He promised "transparency" and has, instead, delivered lies/fraud/deception and hidden the truth.

6) He has ordered the body of osama trashed so that the truth, of his claims, cannot and never will be determined.

7) He promised an end to the wars, which he has EXPANDED!

8) He promised a return of jobs and low unemployment, and, by any reasonable estimate, unemployment is 20% or higher.

9) He has continued to supply the criminal banksters and wall street with our tax payer dollars and IOUs written on our childrens and their childrens', children ...

10) He has said, ï¿½The only people who donï¿½t want to disclose the truth are people with something to hide.ï¿½ -- barack obama AKA barry soetoro, from his August 21, 2010, weekly address to the nation. And thus, has rubbed our faces in his criminal messes!

11) Etc., etc., etc.

The guy is a lying bozo crook who needs the gallows for the unmitigated, blatant and purposeful crimes of high treason against the people he serves.

Only fools would support him, not to say there are not fools who won't,
mind you ... you might just see one or two, if you look. ROFLOL!!!

Regards,
JS

1169. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 19:34:30 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq9fk8$uu7$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/9/2011 10:45 AM, Billary wrote:

> ...
> Obama may or may not be a citizen. That's not issue. He was not
> "natural born". That is the issue.

1) He has falsely represented himself as qualified for the public servant office of president.

2) He has forged multiple fraudulent documents to misrepresent himself and hide his crimes.

3) He has lied, and on multiple occasions on circumstances surrounding his birth certificate.

4) He has executed executive orders from the office of president to lock up all his records and attempted to make it impossible to prove he has committed these crimes.

5) He promised "transparency" and has, instead, delivered lies/fraud/deception and has hidden the truth.

6) He has ordered the body of osama trashed so that the truth, of his claims, cannot, and never will, be determined.

7) He promised an end to the wars, which he has EXPANDED!

8) He promised a return of jobs and low unemployment, and, by any reasonable estimate, unemployment is 20% or higher.

9) He has continued to supply the criminal banksters and wall street with our tax payer dollars and IOUs written on our childrens and their childrens', children ...

10) He has said, ï¿½The only people who donï¿½t want to disclose the truth are people with something to hide.ï¿½ -- barack obama AKA barry soetoro, from his August 21, 2010, weekly address to the nation. And thus, has rubbed our faces in his criminal messes!

11) He promised to close Gitmo ...

12) Etc., etc., etc.

The guy is a lying bozo crook who needs the gallows for the unmitigated, blatant and purposeful crimes of high treason against the people he serves.

Only fools would support him, not to say there are not fools who won't,
mind you ... you might just see one or two, if you look. ROFLOL!!!

Regards,
JS

1170. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form B...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 19:38:13 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq9fr6$uu7$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229097

On 5/9/2011 10:31 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> http://www.supertalk.fm
> Click on where it says,
> The JT Show.
> And they were talking (they only talked to each other for about twenty
> five minutes.I reckon Andrew Breitbart is a Very, Very Busy guy) about
> how to take on the mainstream news media.
> WFMN 97.3 on my radio dial.
> cuhulin
>

I never do FM anyway, MP3s, Oggvorbis, etc. instead ...

VLF, MW, SW, VHF - SHF+ OK!

Will grab it off the web ...

Regards,
JS

1171. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 19:48:49 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq9gf1$uu7$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229098
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/9/2011 10:23 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Mon, 09 May 2011 08:22:39 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>> No, but it is funny that the money they are requesting, that taxpayers
>> in the state have paid into, is going overseas and being denied the very
>> people whose money it is!
>
> It's not obvious to me who "they" are, and who's being denied.
>

THE MONEY IS THE TAXPAYERS OF TEXAS ... and they damn well don't want it used to give SSI to illegal aliens and immigrants ... they need it now!

> My father considered retiring to a cheap place to live outside of the
> United States. He didn't do that, but he *did* retire to a state
> (New Mexico), that he hadn't ever worked in. He worked in quite a
> few different states. Are you implying that one should spend his
> social security pension only where we paid it? (Not that it mattered
> in his case, as he didn't last a year before dying).
>

Isn't SSI a federal program? Why would anyone ask such a moronic question in the first place ... but have him move to the interior of mexico (yes, there are safe areas) where it is much, much cheaper and attempt to get that SSI check there!

> My wife objects to illegals receiving the benefits of social security
> even if they have paid for it. It's all about being "illegal". To
> be consistent, one would have to be in favor of confiscating social
> security (and other property) from people who break other laws as
> well. (assuming it's about being illegal - not about being
> Hispanic). Someone who's big on property rights would logically
> have to disagree with her.
>

If your parents have paid into the system, and you have paid into the system -- no problem! If your parents didn't pay into the system and you are an anchor baby drawing SSI here -- BIG PROBLEM!

And, there must be a half a dozen unacceptable examples of how it is given away to people which are undeserving ... I'd imagine your fathers' SSI check would be larger, if the money hasn't been given away -- do you remember when they gave it to the "Boat People" from Vietnam in th 70's?

I'll bet there is sizable population of them still collecting! They gave 'em a brand new car too, either a Pontiac Firebird or a Dodge Van ... I used to drive through the neighborhood where they placed them, houses all the same, cars all the same, people all the same ... and soon, one of the worst ghettos I had ever seen!

Regards,
JS

1172. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 19:50:53 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq9git$uu7$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229099
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/9/2011 12:41 PM, Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets wrote:

> ...
>> "Phillip Berg is a former gubernatorial and senatorial candidate,
>> former chair of the Democratic Party in Montgomery (PA) County, former
>> member of the Democratic State Committee, and former Deputy Attorney
>> General of Pennsylvania."
>
>> The state of Pennsylvania thought he was OK ... but, if you got nutin'
>> to argue my facts, you are stuck with moronic attacks ... when
>> everyone else is wrong, and you are "winning" with personal attacks
>> and denial of the facts -- you know what that means, you are off your
>> meds and rocker!
>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Every one of his nutty birther cases have been thrown out of court. He's
> a nut. You're not even fun any more. Nothing will ever change your mind.
> Have fun preaching to a empty room. No one is listening loony.

Wrong, again ...

A criminal system of public servants and courts is blocking having to
hear the case, they know it is cut and dried -- our president is a
criminal ... sometimes things which look like a crime really are crimes!

Regards,
JS

1173. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form B...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 20:01:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24662-4DC84825-1098@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229100

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Cat+dials+911

Teach your cat to dial 911
cuhulin

1174. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 20:23:28 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq9ig2$uu7$10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229101
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/9/2011 1:13 PM, Vandar wrote:

>> ...
>> Phillip Berg is a former gubernatorial and senatorial candidate,
>> former chair of the Democratic Party in Montgomery (PA) County, former
>> member of the Democratic State Committee, and former Deputy Attorney
>> General of Pennsylvania.
>
> Appeal to authority noted.
>

Wrong, again!

Simply noting the qualifications vs. YOURS.

YOURS = Lives in moms' basement, obese from eating cheetos candy bars,
pizza, etc. Completed one year of high school (but failed.) Hits
usenet though an open router the illegal aliens, living next door, have
not secured.

>> No. I think I will go with the attorney -- but if you wish to submit
>> your qualifications as reason we should dismiss his, we will review
>> them, but CAUTION! No false birth certificates, PLEASE!
>
> Sure. I'm not batshit crazy.
> Now... Are you prepared to admit that your claim Obama isn't a US
> citizen because his mother wasn't old enough to confer citizenship was
> false?
>

You are an idiot. You have a search engine, it has been posted
multiple, it is NOT HIDDEN ... obama is NOT ELIGIBLE, never has been,
never will be ... if not for the case(s) being blocked from court, a
jury would have found him guilty years ago ...

Regards,
JS

1175. The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 20:30:12 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f265fc66-bd99-4387-a9a4-1e730b6462c2@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229102

OK, so you guys have heard of Family Radio, no doubt. Their studios are just 10 miles from where I live and their main transmitter on HF is in Okeedokee, er Okeechbee, Florida. Harold Camping, the founder and genius behind Family Radio, has predicted with stunning accuracy and without overt prophecy from the Almighty, that the rapture will occur on May 21, 2011, although we cannot yet tell if it will be before or after lunch.

Anyway, so I'm groovin' along down the street after having gotten a sandwich (vegetarian, of course - God loves animals and does not enjoy seeing them harmed needlessly) and soft drink, and what do I spy but a Family Radio minivan with two very friendly chaps setting up a sign that sayeth, "Judgment Day May 21, 2011." I stop and smile knowingly at this, and one of them energetically hands me several "End Times" brochures and a handful of spanking new full-color business cards with glossy overcoat that also declare as much.

Well - What can I say? What can I do? I am dumbfounded and amused as I, an ordinary shortwave radio enthusiast and occasional listener to the Family Radio interval signal (as it covers up the desired signals from Pakistan or Bhutan), am CHOSEN by Harold Camping's hand-selected assistants to receive the Word and also to pass out the Word on fancy little business cards! It must be a sign, a revelation, a not-so-subtle message from the great beyond that, for the next 12 days, it is my predestiny to proseletyze profoundly on this preposterously proven prostitution, er, I mean, postulation.

So there you have it, the story of my improbable conversion to TBOTET (True Believer of the End Times) and chosen cherub of chicanery. I will have photos up of the business cards later so that you, too, can become a convert. (These cards will also be nice souvenirs of interesting times when we reach May 22, 2011 without substantial transsubstantiation ;-)

Somebody's humble servant (we won't say whose ;-),
Bruce Jensen (CA - USA)

1176. Re: Europe to let 3 Countries Default on their Debt. Is USA far behind?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 20:35:17 UTC
From: Benny Hill <bhill@beeb.c>
Message-ID: <4dc8500a@news.x-privat.org>
Source record: 229103
Cross-posted to: misc.legal,or.politics,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.community

Day Brown wrote:
> On 05/09/2011 05:58 AM, RHF wrote:
>> On Apr 21, 8:47 am, Alfred stomacker<alfredstomac...@gmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>> On Wed, 20 Apr 2011 19:19:14 -0500, Day Brown<daybr...@artelco.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> The Greek economy relies heavily on tourism, which in a global market
>>>> where short resources takes precedence. The US economy includes food
>>>> exports, which become increasingly appealing in uncertain times.
>>>
>>>> American rice& soybeans are on Japanese, Korean, and Chinese tables,
>>>> and no matter what the US debt is, they wont forget it.
>>>
>>> not so appealing when the prices skyrocket due to Obama and his anti
>>> American oil policies and all the rest of his crap .
>>
>> - Get ready for the 5 a gallon gas
>> - and the food prices to match .
>>
>> =Higher=&=Higher=Gas=Price=Reality=Check=
>> .
>> The-Mark-of-the-Obamaï¿½ = $6.66 Gasoline :
>> The Obama Regimes Plan To Crash The US Economy
>> To Bring About The New World Order !
>> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dbe6320b24966200
>> -obama-taxing-us-more-and-more-with-carbon-credits-
>> .
>> .
> Every predictive economic model is wrong

You are a pothead whack job.

1177. From the trenches of worldreport.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 20:35:18 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq9j67$uu7$11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229104
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Find the list of un-kept promises here:

http://fromthetrenchesworldreport.com/america-demands-the-games-to-stop-%E2%80%93-obama-must-go/3880

I'd say a very, right on!, article!

Regards,
JS

1178. Re: Disclosure. Part 5 of 5.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 20:41:12 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq9jh8$uu7$12@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229105
Cross-posted to: alt.christian,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.art-bell,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/9/2011 9:20 AM, John Winston wrote:

> ...
> John Winston. johnfw@mlode.com
>

Wait until the indian in mexico (they don't look like spaniards, do
they?) start glowing from eating the fish!

They will be able to see them crossing the border in the dark, even
without Infra Red Goggles!!!

Regards,
JS

1179. Re: Disclosure. Part 5 of 5.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 20:43:04 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq9jko$uu7$14@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229106
Cross-posted to: alt.christian,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.art-bell,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/9/2011 9:20 AM, John Winston wrote:

> ...
> John Winston. johnfw@mlode.com
>
>

Wait until the indians in mexico (they don't look like spaniards, do
they?) start glowing from eating the fish!

They will be able to see them crossing the border in the dark, even
without Infra Red Goggles!!!

Regards,
JS

1180. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form B...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 20:44:37 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq9jnl$uu7$15@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229107

On 5/9/2011 1:01 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Cat+dials+911
>
> Teach your cat to dial 911
> cuhulin
>

I butt dialed 911 once ... had to explain it to the cops ... they warned me not to carry the phone in my back pocket ... they laughed before leaving though ... I mended my ways, have not butt dialed since!

Regards,
JS

1181. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 20:47:47 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC852F3.194517D9@milestones.com>
Source record: 229108

bpnjensen wrote:

> OK, so you guys have heard of Family Radio, no doubt. Their studios
> are just 10 miles from where I live and their main transmitter on HF
> is in Okeedokee, er Okeechbee, Florida. Harold Camping, the founder
> and genius behind Family Radio, has predicted with stunning accuracy
> and without overt prophecy from the Almighty, that the rapture will
> occur on May 21, 2011, although we cannot yet tell if it will be
> before or after lunch.
>
> Anyway, so I'm groovin' along down the street after having gotten a
> sandwich (vegetarian, of course - God loves animals and does not enjoy
> seeing them harmed needlessly) and soft drink, and what do I spy but a
> Family Radio minivan with two very friendly chaps setting up a sign
> that sayeth, "Judgment Day May 21, 2011." I stop and smile knowingly
> at this, and one of them energetically hands me several "End Times"
> brochures and a handful of spanking new full-color business cards with
> glossy overcoat that also declare as much.
>
> Well - What can I say? What can I do? I am dumbfounded and amused as
> I, an ordinary shortwave radio enthusiast and occasional listener to
> the Family Radio interval signal (as it covers up the desired signals
> from Pakistan or Bhutan), am CHOSEN by Harold Camping's hand-selected
> assistants to receive the Word and also to pass out the Word on fancy
> little business cards! It must be a sign, a revelation, a not-so-
> subtle message from the great beyond that, for the next 12 days, it is
> my predestiny to proseletyze profoundly on this preposterously proven
> prostitution, er, I mean, postulation.
>
> So there you have it, the story of my improbable conversion to TBOTET
> (True Believer of the End Times) and chosen cherub of chicanery. I
> will have photos up of the business cards later so that you, too, can
> become a convert. (These cards will also be nice souvenirs of
> interesting times when we reach May 22, 2011 without substantial
> transsubstantiation ;-)
>
> Somebody's humble servant (we won't say whose ;-),

Oh my, this just might disrupt the Preakness on May, 21.

Do horses get to take part in the Rapture?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1182. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 20:51:21 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <86a64844-0396-46d0-a171-221d95fbd353@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229109

On May 9, 1:47 pm, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> bpnjensen wrote:
> > OK, so you guys have heard of Family Radio, no doubt. Their studios
> > are just 10 miles from where I live and their main transmitter on HF
> > is in Okeedokee, er Okeechbee, Florida. Harold Camping, the founder
> > and genius behind Family Radio, has predicted with stunning accuracy
> > and without overt prophecy from the Almighty, that the rapture will
> > occur on May 21, 2011, although we cannot yet tell if it will be
> > before or after lunch.
>
> > Anyway, so I'm groovin' along down the street after having gotten a
> > sandwich (vegetarian, of course - God loves animals and does not enjoy
> > seeing them harmed needlessly) and soft drink, and what do I spy but a
> > Family Radio minivan with two very friendly chaps setting up a sign
> > that sayeth, "Judgment Day May 21, 2011." I stop and smile knowingly
> > at this, and one of them energetically hands me several "End Times"
> > brochures and a handful of spanking new full-color business cards with
> > glossy overcoat that also declare as much.
>
> > Well - What can I say? What can I do? I am dumbfounded and amused as
> > I, an ordinary shortwave radio enthusiast and occasional listener to
> > the Family Radio interval signal (as it covers up the desired signals
> > from Pakistan or Bhutan), am CHOSEN by Harold Camping's hand-selected
> > assistants to receive the Word and also to pass out the Word on fancy
> > little business cards! It must be a sign, a revelation, a not-so-
> > subtle message from the great beyond that, for the next 12 days, it is
> > my predestiny to proseletyze profoundly on this preposterously proven
> > prostitution, er, I mean, postulation.
>
> > So there you have it, the story of my improbable conversion to TBOTET
> > (True Believer of the End Times) and chosen cherub of chicanery. I
> > will have photos up of the business cards later so that you, too, can
> > become a convert. (These cards will also be nice souvenirs of
> > interesting times when we reach May 22, 2011 without substantial
> > transsubstantiation ;-)
>
> > Somebody's humble servant (we won't say whose ;-),
>
> Oh my, this just might disrupt the Preakness on May, 21.
>
> Do horses get to take part in the Rapture?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan

> USA- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Horses grow wings and fly to Heaven with the (good) jockeys on their backs!

1183. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 20:53:16 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq9k7t$uu7$18@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229110
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/9/2011 1:40 PM, Vandar wrote:

> ...
> One of the many problems you have is that you think anything about Berg
> you listed above is a valid qualification for anything related to
> Obama's eligibility.
>

Don't take it up with me, take it up with the Attorney General of that state who thought him qualified! Indeed, Berg has a valid license to practice law -- obama doesn't. Berg can represent himself -- obama can't ...

> ...
> Which explains why all of his political opponents, including those in
> Congress who loathe him, all affirm that he is eligible.
> Birthers are kooks.
>

Most in congress are as criminal as him, sex-drugs-money problems seems to haunt most. Only honest man I know of is Ron Paul, with a track record to prove it.

Nope, obama is a crook with a forged birth certificate ... that is all he is ... a damn negro with false ID and guilty of identity theft ... gee, I wonder if when we put the negro in the whitehouse anyone suspected that?

I seem to remember a lot of people telling me that, and frankly, I though them racist!

Now, I just think them correct!

Regards,
JS

1184. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 20:56:47 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC8550E.8AB5DD0E@milestones.com>
Source record: 229111

bpnjensen wrote:

> On May 9, 1:47 pm, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> > bpnjensen wrote:
> > > OK, so you guys have heard of Family Radio, no doubt. Their studios
> > > are just 10 miles from where I live and their main transmitter on HF
> > > is in Okeedokee, er Okeechbee, Florida. Harold Camping, the founder
> > > and genius behind Family Radio, has predicted with stunning accuracy
> > > and without overt prophecy from the Almighty, that the rapture will
> > > occur on May 21, 2011, although we cannot yet tell if it will be
> > > before or after lunch.
> >
> > > Anyway, so I'm groovin' along down the street after having gotten a
> > > sandwich (vegetarian, of course - God loves animals and does not enjoy
> > > seeing them harmed needlessly) and soft drink, and what do I spy but a
> > > Family Radio minivan with two very friendly chaps setting up a sign
> > > that sayeth, "Judgment Day May 21, 2011." I stop and smile knowingly
> > > at this, and one of them energetically hands me several "End Times"
> > > brochures and a handful of spanking new full-color business cards with
> > > glossy overcoat that also declare as much.
> >
> > > Well - What can I say? What can I do? I am dumbfounded and amused as
> > > I, an ordinary shortwave radio enthusiast and occasional listener to
> > > the Family Radio interval signal (as it covers up the desired signals
> > > from Pakistan or Bhutan), am CHOSEN by Harold Camping's hand-selected
> > > assistants to receive the Word and also to pass out the Word on fancy
> > > little business cards! It must be a sign, a revelation, a not-so-
> > > subtle message from the great beyond that, for the next 12 days, it is
> > > my predestiny to proseletyze profoundly on this preposterously proven
> > > prostitution, er, I mean, postulation.
> >
> > > So there you have it, the story of my improbable conversion to TBOTET
> > > (True Believer of the End Times) and chosen cherub of chicanery. I
> > > will have photos up of the business cards later so that you, too, can
> > > become a convert. (These cards will also be nice souvenirs of
> > > interesting times when we reach May 22, 2011 without substantial
> > > transsubstantiation ;-)
> >
> > > Somebody's humble servant (we won't say whose ;-),
> >
> > Oh my, this just might disrupt the Preakness on May, 21.
> >
> > Do horses get to take part in the Rapture?
> >

> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Horses grow wings and fly to Heaven with the (good) jockeys on their
> backs!

I recall my grandmother telling me of an event in Indiana where some (or was it just one?) charlatans scammed folks into selling their property and worldly goods to finally await the rapture on top of some barns on a particular day... needless to say, the folks waited patiently as the charlatan(s) made their escape.

1185. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 21:02:11 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ce516a33-a926-41fc-ae24-c89493b52d81@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229112

On May 9, 1:56 pm, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:

> I recall my grandmother telling me of an event in Indiana where some (or was
> it just one?) charlatans scammed folks into selling their property and worldly
> goods to finally await the rapture on top of some barns on a particular day...
> needless to say, the folks waited patiently as the charlatan(s) made their
> escape.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Hey, I'm not joking - I am serious - so much so, that I make this
offer -

If any person (hopefully wealthy) gives me all his money and earthly
possessions, I promise to feed, clothe and shelter him/her in perfect
opulent comfort until the Rapture or Midnight, May 21, 2011, whichever
occurs first ;-)

1186. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 21:05:32 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq9kusutq1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229114

On 5/9/2011 1:56 PM, dxAce wrote:

> ...
> I recall my grandmother telling me of an event in Indiana where some (or was
> it just one?) charlatans scammed folks into selling their property and worldly
> goods to finally await the rapture on top of some barns on a particular day...
> needless to say, the folks waited patiently as the charlatan(s) made their
> escape.
>
>

I wonder if there is a correlation between the ones holding devouted
beliefs in the "anointed one" -- "barack obama AKA barry soetoro" the ,
"magic negro" made famous by rush limbaugh?

Looks like the same mental disorder -- and summed up by the old saying,
fools and their money are soon parted.

But then, welfare steps in and saves 'em, so you don't have to feel too
sorry for them ...

Regards,
JS

1187. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 21:05:46 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC85729.2411066D@milestones.com>
Source record: 229113

bpnjensen wrote:

> On May 9, 1:56 pm, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > I recall my grandmother telling me of an event in Indiana where some (or was
> > it just one?) charlatans scammed folks into selling their property and worldly
> > goods to finally await the rapture on top of some barns on a particular day...
> > needless to say, the folks waited patiently as the charlatan(s) made their
> > escape.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Hey, I'm not joking - I am serious - so much so, that I make this
> offer -
>
> If any person (hopefully wealthy) gives me all his money and earthly
> possessions, I promise to feed, clothe and shelter him/her in perfect
> opulent comfort until the Rapture or Midnight, May 21, 2011, whichever
> occurs first ;-)

Does one get the use of a car with that offer?

1188. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 21:35:13 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC85E11.DDFE0209@milestones.com>
Source record: 229115

dxAce wrote:

> bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > On May 9, 1:56 pm, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> >
> > > I recall my grandmother telling me of an event in Indiana where some (or was
> > > it just one?) charlatans scammed folks into selling their property and worldly
> > > goods to finally await the rapture on top of some barns on a particular day...
> > > needless to say, the folks waited patiently as the charlatan(s) made their
> > > escape.- Hide quoted text -
> > >
> > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > Hey, I'm not joking - I am serious - so much so, that I make this
> > offer -
> >
> > If any person (hopefully wealthy) gives me all his money and earthly
> > possessions, I promise to feed, clothe and shelter him/her in perfect
> > opulent comfort until the Rapture or Midnight, May 21, 2011, whichever
> > occurs first ;-)
>
> Does one get the use of a car with that offer?

I was thinking that if I drove fast enough I could beat the Rap(ture).

1189. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 21:42:22 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iq9n3v$uu7$22@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229116
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/9/2011 2:28 PM, fergo wrote:
>
>
> "Vandar" <vandar69@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:qaSxp.18532$ei6.2573@newsfe19.iad...
>>
>>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>> On 5/9/2011 1:04 AM, fergo wrote:
>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>
>>>> Done!
>>>> He'll have to find someone else to buy his snake oil.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Once again, they attempt obfuscation to attempt to make fools of
>>> users here:
>>>
>>> "US Law very clearly stipulates: ".If only one parent was a U.S.
>>> citizen at the time of your birth, that parent must have resided in
>>> the United States for at least ten years, at least five of which had
>>> to be after the age of 16." Barack Obama's father was not a U.S.
>>> citizen and Obama's mother was only 18 when Obama was born, which
>>> means though she had been a U.S. citizen for 10 years, (or citizen
>>> perhaps because of Hawai'i being a territory) the mother fails the
>>> test for being so for at least 5 years **prior to** Barack Obama's
>>> birth, but *after* age 16. It doesn't matter *after*. "
>>
>> That only applies to kids born outside of the US.
>>
> That's what I thought. I'd like to see his cite though. Probably
> infowars or reense.com

Look at this:
http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=261393

Make fun of the sites presenting the data, if you must ...

However, don't dispute the data unless it is false!

Or, don't kill the messenger if the message is true and not a fraud!

Main scream corporate media is heavily funded and given favors by
government ... they can't be honest enough to bite the hand which feeds 'em!

Regards,
JS

1190. Re: bin Laden and radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 21:52:45 UTC
From: DEFCON 88 <DEFC0N88@aol.com>
Message-ID: <7b164697-1721-4118-a905-02ecc95bbbed@g12g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229117

He apparently bought a cheap Kaito portable from the local Quikee-Mart.

1191. Re: bin Laden and radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 21:55:59 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC862EE.6A865F9E@milestones.com>
Source record: 229118

DEFCON 88 wrote:

> He apparently bought a cheap Kaito portable from the local Quikee-Mart.

Hopefully that was in the sack dropped off from the USS Carl Vinson (CVN-70).

1192. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 22:20:33 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <693d60da-660b-4c4e-b73b-dff13652b40f@b7g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229119

On May 9, 2:35 pm, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > > On May 9, 1:56 pm, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > > > I recall my grandmother telling me of an event in Indiana where some (or was
> > > > it just one?) charlatans scammed folks into selling their property and worldly
> > > > goods to finally await the rapture on top of some barns on a particular day...
> > > > needless to say, the folks waited patiently as the charlatan(s) made their
> > > > escape.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > Hey, I'm not joking - I am serious - so much so, that I make this
> > > offer -
>
> > > If any person (hopefully wealthy) gives me all his money and earthly
> > > possessions, I promise to feed, clothe and shelter him/her in perfect
> > > opulent comfort until the Rapture or Midnight, May 21, 2011, whichever
> > > occurs first ;-)
>
> > Does one get the use of a car with that offer?
>
> I was thinking that if I drove fast enough I could beat the Rap(ture).- Hide quoted text
-
>
> - Show quoted text -

LOL! It moves at the speed the Earth turns (so as to keep up with the
International Date Line), so if you can soup up that car with rocket
engines you just might make it...

1193. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 22:39:43 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105092239.UTC.iq9qff$6gd$1@tioat.net>
Source record: 229120

On 5/9/2011 6:20 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
[...]
>>
>> I was thinking that if I drove fast enough I could beat the Rap(ture).- Hide quoted text
-
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> LOL! It moves at the speed the Earth turns (so as to keep up with the
> International Date Line), so if you can soup up that car with rocket
> engines you just might make it...

At the poles you could keep up with it on foot -- and still have
time for some lengthy SWL sessions and naps.

73,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

1194. Re: Attention Birthers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 22:40:46 UTC
From: clairbear <clairbear@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EE0BE0524F53clairbear@202.177.16.121>
Source record: 229121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in news:ipig2r$m1r$1@dont-email.me:

> On 4/30/2011 7:14 PM, clairbear wrote:
>
>>> ...
>>> Neither Clinton nor Lewinsky believed that they had engaged in "sexual
>>> relations."
>>
>> I gues you answered the question by redacting the ealier post and you
>> gutter language response. And by doing so you show you are in fact that
>> dumb and extremely gullible too. You are so typically liberal.
>>
>> --- news://freenews.netfront.net/ - complaints: news@netfront.net ---
>
> I can only suppose that those making such claims of not knowing they
> have engaged in sex simply started out having sex with the
> families/neighbors pets, and carried on such perverted behaviors into
> their adult life.
>
> Since no animal was involved in the clinton/lewinsky sex relations in
> the oval office (at least which can be proven to be present), they do
> believe that no sex had taken place.
The semen stained blue dress says different So does the cigar

> However, ignorance is no excuse for the law ...
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

--- Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net/ - Complaints to news@netfront.net ---

1195. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 23:15:57 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iq9sji$l6r$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229122
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"joeturn" <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
>> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>> >> ...
>> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
>> >> were
>> >> in perfect working order.
>> >> You retard.
>>
>> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
>> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
>> >> saw
>> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>
>> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>>
>> >Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
>> >nano thermite residue.
>>
>> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
>> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>>
>> --
>> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
>> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> a tale tell sign of thermate use.

Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.

So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and thermite.

Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.

1196. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 23:26:17 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b2e30ffa-5db5-45f8-bd5b-d9087ca366ca@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229123

On May 9, 3:39 pm, Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.st...@revilo-oliver.com>
wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 6:20 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
> [...]
>
>
>
> >> I was thinking that if I drove fast enough I could beat the Rap(ture).- Hide quoted
text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > LOL! It moves at the speed the Earth turns (so as to keep up with the
> > International Date Line), so if you can soup up that car with rocket
> > engines you just might make it...
>
> At the poles you could keep up with it on foot -- and still have
> time for some lengthy SWL sessions and naps.
>
> 73,
>
> Kevin, WB4AIO.
> --http://nationalvanguard.org/http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

Now there's a man who thinks geographically! :-)

1197. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 23:26:56 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iq9t81rkp1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229124
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"joeturn" <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:8b4023cd-aba5-4503-8aa4-32a06bd7214c@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
> On May 8, 8:03 pm, Jim Kale <Guess...@bass.gov> wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > On 5/8/2011 9:34 AM, Jim Kale wrote:
>>
>> >> ...
>> >> You really are retarded if you think that shit holds any kind of
>> >> reality.
>> >> So uh, who's been charged for this "crime", you retard?
>> >> I mean if it's "proof", then why isn't anybody being charged, you
>> >> retard?
>>
>> > Really?
>>
>> Yes, really!
>>
>>
>>
>> > Well, it is simple, prove what you say.
>>
>> I am not obligated to prove a negative, you retard.
>>
>> YOU have to prove what you say is correct, and then YOU still have to
>> answer my questions, you retard.
>>
>> Your "list" of "experts" are all known retards, like you.
>>
>> ANSWER MY QUESTION, you retard!
>>
>> You said YOU have proof, right?
>> Then WHY isn't anybody being arrested, charged, indicted,
>> incarcerated, etc., You stump stupid retard?
>
> It was your Government that was guilty

Proof?

Didn't think you had any.

>and they seem to have
> diplomatic immunity from the Supreme Law of the Land.This is why noone
> has been charged. They are in control of all three branches of
> government the Excecutive,Legistlative and Judicial system. You can't

> get a judge to try a case against their government,that would be
> considered treason.Anything that gets excepted as a case gets
> dismissed.Bush got his cousin to throw the case against him out.The
> lady knew she was meant to be killed at the Pentagon and also knew no
> plane hit the Pentagon. This is the smoking gun "the missile attack at
> the Pentagon" and the shills are full force keeping that from the
> public!
>
>

1198. Re: Climate Change Rule of Tens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 23:29:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20695-4DC878CB-12@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229125

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Mayor+of+Satartia+Mississippi

I saw him on TV news, yesterday.He was driving a Bulldozer to help shore
up the Levee.Population of Satartia is about 75 people.

LeTourneau Technologies in Vicksburg, they build off shore oil rigs and
some other thingys.They can't just pick up and leave, they have to be
near the water.
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=LeTourneau+Technologies
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=History+of+R.G.LeTourneau+Vicksburg+Mississippi
cuhulin

1199. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-09 23:56:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20696-4DC87F2C-8@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229126

Was it Good?
cuhulin

1200. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 00:17:37 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9641c9a8-6c0a-45f3-9606-4a8f4425e4e5@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229127
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
wrote:
> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> >> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> >> >> ...
> >> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> >> >> were
> >> >> in perfect working order.
> >> >> You retard.
>
> >> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> >> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> >> >> saw
> >> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> >> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> >> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
> >> >Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> >> >nano thermite residue.
>
> >> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> >> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>
> >> --
> >> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> >> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.

>
> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>
> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> thermite.
>
> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

You felled to connect the dots spheres that are made from iron and
sulfer that do contain barrium is the tell tell sign that Thermate was
used!

You cutting torch would take mega time to cut through vertical columns
this is why natural gas and oxygen torches are not used for controlled
demolition.

During a controlled demolition the columns are fitted with a yoke that
contains the thermite and holds it on the targeted column on about a
45 degree angle. This angle cut allows the top part to slip off its
base and drop straight down. You can see clear pictures of these
angled cuts on the columns. You would never use your torch to cut an
angled cut on a beam unless it was for a specific outcome. Scot your
just going to have to wake up and realize you have been dupped by your
National Socialist Government!

1201. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 00:20:59 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5da8bff7-008f-48ae-9141-66d092b0160f@b42g2000yqi.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229128
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 9, 7:26 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
wrote:
> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:8b4023cd-aba5-4503-8aa4-32a06bd7214c@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 8, 8:03 pm, Jim Kale <Guess...@bass.gov> wrote:
> >> John Smith wrote:
> >> > On 5/8/2011 9:34 AM, Jim Kale wrote:
>
> >> >> ...
> >> >> You really are retarded if you think that shit holds any kind of
> >> >> reality.
> >> >> So uh, who's been charged for this "crime", you retard?
> >> >> I mean if it's "proof", then why isn't anybody being charged, you
> >> >> retard?
>
> >> > Really?
>
> >> Yes, really!
>
> >> > Well, it is simple, prove what you say.
>
> >> I am not obligated to prove a negative, you retard.
>
> >> YOU have to prove what you say is correct, and then YOU still have to
> >> answer my questions, you retard.
>
> >> Your "list" of "experts" are all known retards, like you.
>
> >> ANSWER MY QUESTION, you retard!
>
> >> You said YOU have proof, right?
> >> Then WHY isn't anybody being arrested, charged, indicted,
> >> incarcerated, etc., You stump stupid retard?
>
> > It was your Government that was guilty
>
> Proof?

>
> Didn't think you had any.
>
>
>
> >and they seem to have
> > diplomatic immunity from the Supreme Law of the Land.This is why noone
> > has been charged. They are in control of all three branches of
> > government the Excecutive,Legistlative and Judicial system. You can't
> > get a judge to try a case against their government,that would be
> > considered treason.Anything that gets excepted as a case gets
> > dismissed.Bush got his cousin to throw the case against him out.The
> > lady knew she was meant to be killed at the Pentagon and also knew no
> > plane hit the Pentagon. This is the smoking gun "the missile attack at
> > the Pentagon" and the shills are full force keeping that from the
> > public!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

PS the spheres found at the WTC complex was from the Government grade
of THERMITE called THERMATE only excessible from the Government
inventory!

1202. The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine : Simply Accept It !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 00:28:40 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <313c047d-db1f-4523-8fb4-297eb5c82b79@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229129

On May 9, 11:48 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 9, 9:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > On 5/8/2011 7:38 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > On May 8, 10:46 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> > >> Still flooding.Memphis is almost an Island now.I hope they save Elvis
> > >> Presley's body.
> > >> cuhulin
>
> > > Nasville got hit badly in 2010 . Thousand-year flood .
>
> > Thousand year floods every hundred years; hundred year floods every 10
> > years. 1 foot coastal inundation now 10 feet, 10 foot inundation now 100
> > feet.
>
> Hard to tell if it will be this severe right away, but certainly the
> extremes - both high and low - will obviously ramp up noticeably. The
> West is getting one of it's heaviest, longest-lasting winters ever,
> after two years of considerable dought and a very heavy, long-lasting
> winter three years back - preceded by a decade of drought.

- Feast or famine - get used to it.

The Weather Has Always Been "Feast-or-Famine" :
SIMPLY ACCEPT IT !
.
The Climate of California is varied with much of it
being a Dry {Semi Arid} Mediterranean Climate.
.
-wrt- Climate of California
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_of_California
.
-wrt- California Climate Regions
http://www.cangogo.com/caregionmapbig.jpg
http://www.smilingglobe.com/images/California_regions_map.jpg
* Northern Coast Climate
* * Northern Inland Coastal Mountain
* Central Coast Climate
* * Central Inland Coastal Mountain
* So-Cal Coastal Climate
* * So-Cal Inland Mojave Desert & Mountains
* * * Death Valley
* Sacramento Northern Central Valley
* San Joaquin Southern Central Valley

* Northern Cascades and Klamath Mountains
* Northern Sierra Mountains
* Central & Southern Sierra Mountains
* * Eastern Sierras
* Transverse Ranges : Tehachapi Mountains etc
http://www.blog.cibusa.com/fm/california_wineries/
* * * San Francisco Bay Area
* * * Monterey Bay Area
* * * Los Angles Basin
* * * San Diego Bay Area
.
.

1203. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 00:38:57 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <656a5051-2acf-4b9a-9f69-4f7ac8dbb222@35g2000yqy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229130
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 9, 7:26 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
wrote:
> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:8b4023cd-aba5-4503-8aa4-32a06bd7214c@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 8, 8:03 pm, Jim Kale <Guess...@bass.gov> wrote:
> >> John Smith wrote:
> >> > On 5/8/2011 9:34 AM, Jim Kale wrote:
>
> >> >> ...
> >> >> You really are retarded if you think that shit holds any kind of
> >> >> reality.
> >> >> So uh, who's been charged for this "crime", you retard?
> >> >> I mean if it's "proof", then why isn't anybody being charged, you
> >> >> retard?
>
> >> > Really?
>
> >> Yes, really!
>
> >> > Well, it is simple, prove what you say.
>
> >> I am not obligated to prove a negative, you retard.
>
> >> YOU have to prove what you say is correct, and then YOU still have to
> >> answer my questions, you retard.
>
> >> Your "list" of "experts" are all known retards, like you.
>
> >> ANSWER MY QUESTION, you retard!
>
> >> You said YOU have proof, right?
> >> Then WHY isn't anybody being arrested, charged, indicted,
> >> incarcerated, etc., You stump stupid retard?
>
> > It was your Government that was guilty
>
> Proof?

>
> Didn't think you had any.
>
>
>
> >and they seem to have
> > diplomatic immunity from the Supreme Law of the Land.This is why noone
> > has been charged. They are in control of all three branches of
> > government the Excecutive,Legistlative and Judicial system. You can't
> > get a judge to try a case against their government,that would be
> > considered treason.Anything that gets excepted as a case gets
> > dismissed.Bush got his cousin to throw the case against him out.The
> > lady knew she was meant to be killed at the Pentagon and also knew no
> > plane hit the Pentagon. This is the smoking gun "the missile attack at
> > the Pentagon" and the shills are full force keeping that from the
> > public!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

The fbi released a five frame video that shows a missile in
flight.That missile has a rocket plume behind it.It all so shows the
left behing explosion of the first rocket hit and another one
inflight.So that release has three traces of rockets fired at the
pentagram and funnything it could not display an airplane at all.

Then there is another FOIA relesed by them of a helicopter video that
shows the impact of a rocket but there also was no evidence of any
airplane visible not even a fly over!

There also is a video that shows the missing footaget of the missile
attack! I'll fecth them for you.BRB

1204. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 00:44:42 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <6ae05d1a-07ab-4ba9-971f-e7d0119be89f@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229131

On May 9, 3:20 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 9, 2:35 pm, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > > > On May 9, 1:56 pm, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > I recall my grandmother telling me of an event in Indiana where some (or was
> > > > > it just one?) charlatans scammed folks into selling their property and worldly
> > > > > goods to finally await the rapture on top of some barns on a particular day...
> > > > > needless to say, the folks waited patiently as the charlatan(s) made their
> > > > > escape.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > Hey, I'm not joking - I am serious - so much so, that I make this
> > > > offer -
>
> > > > If any person (hopefully wealthy) gives me all his money and earthly
> > > > possessions, I promise to feed, clothe and shelter him/her in perfect
> > > > opulent comfort until the Rapture or Midnight, May 21, 2011, whichever
> > > > occurs first ;-)
>
> > > Does one get the use of a car with that offer?
>
> > I was thinking that if I drove fast enough I could beat the Rap(ture).- Hide quoted
text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -

- LOL! It moves at the speed the Earth turns
- (so as to keep up with the International Date Line),
- so if you can soup up that car with rocket engines
- you just might make it...

So the Good People in Guam [USA] Will Be "Raptured"
http://chariotsoffirewhirlwindsfromheaven.files.wordpress.com/2011/03/the-rapture-1.jpg
before the Good People in Hawaii [USA] . . .
http://www.examiner.com/images/blog/EXID19674/images/rapture%281%29.jpg

"The Rapture"
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rapture

rap a rap a do ~ RHF
.

1205. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 00:52:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20694-4DC88C48-97@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229133

Is this the bus to Memphis?
///No, Memphis is flooded out.Take the A-Train///
Will that Train take us to the Rapture?
///Who knows? We are all waiting for the Rapture///
cuhulin

1206. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 00:55:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20694-4DC88D0A-99@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229134

http://www.rense.com/general94/bin.htm

12 hours to do a DNA test, including getting the result? I don't know,
but isn't that Amazing?
cuhulin

1207. Re: Europe to let 3 Countries Default on their Debt. Is USA far behind?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 00:57:18 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <07d4f016-9428-4320-83c4-93263b1a8419@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229132
Cross-posted to: misc.legal,or.politics,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.community

On May 9, 11:48 am, Day Brown <daybr...@artelco.com> wrote:
> On 05/09/2011 05:58 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Apr 21, 8:47 am, Alfred stomacker<alfredstomac...@gmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >> On Wed, 20 Apr 2011 19:19:14 -0500, Day Brown<daybr...@artelco.com>
> >> wrote:
>
> >>> The Greek economy relies heavily on tourism, which in a global market
> >>> where short resources takes precedence. The US economy includes food
> >>> exports, which become increasingly appealing in uncertain times.
>
> >>> American rice& soybeans are on Japanese, Korean, and Chinese tables,
> >>> and no matter what the US debt is, they wont forget it.
>
> >> not so appealing when the prices skyrocket due to Obama and his anti
> >> American oil policies and all the rest of his crap .
>
> > - Get ready for the 5 a gallon gas
> > - and the food prices to match .
>
> > =Higher=&=Higher=Gas=Price=Reality=Check=
> > .
> > The-Mark-of-the-Obama© = $6.66 Gasoline :
> > The Obama Regimes Plan To Crash The US Economy
> > To Bring About The New World Order !
> >http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dbe6320b24966200
> > -obama-taxing-us-more-and-more-with-carbon-credits-
> > .
> > .
>
> Every predictive economic model is wrong because there are always
> unforeseen relevant inputs. No president controls enuf of even what we
> know about to guarantee rational policy.

=Higher=&=Higher=Gas=Price=Reality=Check=
.

The-Mark-of-the-Obama© = $6.66 Gasoline :
The Obama Regimes Plan To Crash The US Economy
To Bring About The New World Order !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dbe6320b24966200
-obama-taxing-us-more-and-more-with-carbon-credits-
.
.

1208. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 01:12:50 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3a1e97a4-09ac-4f70-8564-053bfe2fa6e1@z13g2000yqg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229135
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 9, 8:51 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 9, 7:26 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> >news:8b4023cd-aba5-4503-8aa4-32a06bd7214c@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > On May 8, 8:03 pm, Jim Kale <Guess...@bass.gov> wrote:
> > >> John Smith wrote:
> > >> > On 5/8/2011 9:34 AM, Jim Kale wrote:
>
> > >> >> ...
> > >> >> You really are retarded if you think that shit holds any kind of
> > >> >> reality.
> > >> >> So uh, who's been charged for this "crime", you retard?
> > >> >> I mean if it's "proof", then why isn't anybody being charged, you
> > >> >> retard?
>
> > >> > Really?
>
> > >> Yes, really!
>
> > >> > Well, it is simple, prove what you say.
>
> > >> I am not obligated to prove a negative, you retard.
>
> > >> YOU have to prove what you say is correct, and then YOU still have to
> > >> answer my questions, you retard.
>
> > >> Your "list" of "experts" are all known retards, like you.
>
> > >> ANSWER MY QUESTION, you retard!
>
> > >> You said YOU have proof, right?
> > >> Then WHY isn't anybody being arrested, charged, indicted,
> > >> incarcerated, etc., You stump stupid retard?
>
> > > It was your Government that was guilty

>
> > Proof?
>
> > Didn't think you had any.
>
> > >and they seem to have
> > > diplomatic immunity from the Supreme Law of the Land.This is why noone
> > > has been charged. They are in control of all three branches of
> > > government the Excecutive,Legistlative and Judicial system. You can't
> > > get a judge to try a case against their government,that would be
> > > considered treason.Anything that gets excepted as a case gets
> > > dismissed.Bush got his cousin to throw the case against him out.The
> > > lady knew she was meant to be killed at the Pentagon and also knew no
> > > plane hit the Pentagon. This is the smoking gun "the missile attack at
> > > the Pentagon" and the shills are full force keeping that from the
> > > public!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>

The only thing this guy got wrong was the fuselage.It was not a
fuselage it was the rocket plume the rocket is up front in plain view!
Black nose,white center, black tail.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mnzh-EyxKwc&feature=player_embedded

Page two shows the first rocket in a wav just over and over.Did you
see a plane?

http://letsrollforums.com/helicopter-pilot-has-no-t24811.html?t=24811&highlight=helicopter
+pilot

1209. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 01:42:38 UTC
From: Tom Fitzpatrick <tom.fitzpatrick2011@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <90b1a141-45d1-408c-8df3-eaf1bfd50929@e21g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 9, 12:45 pm, Billary <billaryclinton2...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On May 9, 9:36 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/9/2011 1:04 AM, fergo wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > > Done!
> > > > He'll have to find someone else to buy his snake oil.
>
> > Once again, they attempt obfuscation to attempt to make fools of users here:
>
> > "US Law very clearly stipulates: ".If only one parent was a U.S. citizen
> > at the time of your birth, that parent must have resided in the United
> > States for at least ten years, at least five of which had to be after
> > the age of 16." Barack Obama's father was not a U.S. citizen and Obama's
> > mother was only 18 when Obama was born, which means though she had been
> > a U.S. citizen for 10 years, (or citizen perhaps because of Hawai'i
> > being a territory) the mother fails the test for being so for at least 5
> > years **prior to** Barack Obama's birth, but *after* age 16. It doesn't
> > matter *after*. "
>
> > Be careful of their posts, they are half-truths, it is the lie which is
> > buried within that will make you a moron for believing them!
>
> > At some point along here, you are going to have to grow up and quit
> > falling for their chit! DUMMY!
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Obama may or may not be a citizen. That's not issue. He was not
> "natural born". That is the issue.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

According to you. Too bad those that matter disagree with you.

1210. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 01:44:59 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqa5ar$uu7$23@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy

On 5/9/2011 4:21 PM, Daniel wrote:

>> ...
> still playing ur stupid cut out and change the meaning games? really
> sad...
>
> the other crap is not really worth bothering with.
>
>
> Daniel

First you have to say something meaningful, anytime now, go ahead ...

Regards,
JS

1211. VERY HOT VIDEOS AND SEXY GIRLS PHOTOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 01:46:06 UTC
From: 7631 <4jgsdhgffgf@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6ab373df-6057-4a30-bfe6-e6f63ba43948@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229138

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

1212. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 01:46:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqa5de$uu7$24@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy

On 5/9/2011 4:24 PM, Daniel wrote:

> ...
> for some that is impossible. people should not cut and paste others
> writings to give a misleading or different message to that which was
> intended by the original writer...they are the ones causing the
> problem.
>
> Daniel

You have to say something meaningful to get a response, or have us point out what we are responding to ...

Regards,
JS

1213. Re: Attention Birthers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 01:53:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqa5qi$uu7$25@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229140

On 5/9/2011 3:40 PM, clairbear wrote:

>> ...
>> Regards,
>> JS
>>
>
>
> --- Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net/ - Complaints to news@netfront.net ---

Or, if you don't like the message, kill the messenger ... gee, which
"posters" (nyms) have we seen that to be consistent with?

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1214. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 01:56:31 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqa60g$uu7$26@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229141

On 5/9/2011 4:56 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Was it Good?
> cuhulin
>

OMG.

Someone tell him Kevin is married!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1215. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 02:01:49 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <4vudnRuOi90MAVXQnZ2dnVY3goidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229142

"John Smith" wrote in message news:iq9gf1$uu7$7@dont-email.me...
> My father considered retiring to a cheap place to live outside of the
> United States. He didn't do that, but he *did* retire to a state
> (New Mexico), that he hadn't ever worked in. He worked in quite a
> few different states. Are you implying that one should spend his
> social security pension only where we paid it? (Not that it mattered
> in his case, as he didn't last a year before dying).
>

Isn't SSI a federal program? Why would anyone ask such a moronic
question in the first place ... but have him move to the interior of
mexico (yes, there are safe areas) where it is much, much cheaper and
attempt to get that SSI check there!

It's done all the time. People retire all over the world, and expats get
their SS/SSI/military retirement pay/etc. sent to them in many a foreign
country (popular ones are Korea, Thailand, Costa Rica and Belize)

>I'd imagine your fathers'
SSI check would be larger, if the money hasn't been given away -- do you
remember when they gave it to the "Boat People" from Vietnam in th 70's?
I'll bet there is sizable population of them still collecting! They
gave 'em a brand new car too, either a Pontiac Firebird or a Dodge Van
... I used to drive through the neighborhood where they placed them,
houses all the same, cars all the same, people all the same ... and
soon, one of the worst ghettos I had ever seen!

I can't speak for your town, but in my town (Portland, OR), the Vietnamese
and Cambodian folks that moved in IMPROVED the neighborhoods, and were hard
workers and very industrious (we got a big boom of Vietnamese restaurants,
especially)

1216. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form B...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 02:02:06 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18268-4DC89C9E-2@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229144

How Ex Goobernator Ahhhhhnold?
cuhulin

1217. Re: We can't go on living in a mess!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 02:08:08 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqa6m9$uu7$27@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/9/2011 5:52 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Is this the bus to Memphis?
> ///No, Memphis is flooded out.Take the A-Train///
> Will that Train take us to the Rapture?
> ///Who knows? We are all waiting for the Rapture///
> cuhulin
>

Unfortunately, under criminal governments, oppressive times, tyrannical public servants, dictators, etc., those who are serving as the peasant class start looking forward to dying, at least the weak amongst them -- if not soon enough, those profiting from the theft of resources, land, food, etc., from those peasants, usually help them along ...

I would stand with those who would grab up sickle, scythe, shovel and pitchfork and show up at the doors of those public servants, banksters and wall street with an intense desire to have a little chat ...

We have seen communism be a failed government system which fed upon a segment of the population for its' existence, we have watched the same happen to socialism, and now we watch the same happen to capitalism ... the one factor these all share is failure when corrupt criminals are allowed to become in charge.

It is time to do a housecleaning and remove these criminals. When enough tire of it, when enough have suffered long enough, we will have that housecleaning party!

Regards,
JS

1218. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 02:18:12 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqa794$uu7$28@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/9/2011 7:01 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" wrote in message news:iq9gf1$uu7$7@dont-email.me...
>> My father considered retiring to a cheap place to live outside of the
>> United States. He didn't do that, but he *did* retire to a state
>> (New Mexico), that he hadn't ever worked in. He worked in quite a
>> few different states. Are you implying that one should spend his
>> social security pension only where we paid it? (Not that it mattered
>> in his case, as he didn't last a year before dying).
>>
>
> Isn't SSI a federal program? Why would anyone ask such a moronic
> question in the first place ... but have him move to the interior of
> mexico (yes, there are safe areas) where it is much, much cheaper and
> attempt to get that SSI check there!
>
> ---
>
> ---
>
>
> It's done all the time. People retire all over the world, and expats get
> their SS/SSI/military retirement pay/etc. sent to them in many a foreign
> country (popular ones are Korea, Thailand, Costa Rica and Belize)
>
>

No dispute, in certain countries, if you meet qualifications. In
mexico, the corrupt post office employees, somehow, end up getting the
checks, cashing them and you have a dickens of a time getting
replacement monies. You simply can't get a check down there, if you
don't want to do electronic transfer of funds, you can forget it ...

If you wish to find a country to escape to:

http://www.ssa.gov/pubs/10137.html

> ---
>
> ---
>
>> I'd imagine your fathers'
> SSI check would be larger, if the money hasn't been given away -- do you

> remember when they gave it to the "Boat People" from Vietnam in th 70's?
> I'll bet there is sizable population of them still collecting! They
> gave 'em a brand new car too, either a Pontiac Firebird or a Dodge Van
> ... I used to drive through the neighborhood where they placed them,
> houses all the same, cars all the same, people all the same ... and
> soon, one of the worst ghettos I had ever seen!
>
>
> ---
>
> ---
>
>
> I can't speak for your town, but in my town (Portland, OR), the
> Vietnamese and Cambodian folks that moved in IMPROVED the neighborhoods,
> and were hard workers and very industrious (we got a big boom of
> Vietnamese restaurants, especially)
>
>

The gangs still knife, shoot, steal, supply drugs, etc. here. A large percentage still appear on section 8 (because of the housings location) and some type of public assistance. Many of the youngsters have seem to have left the area these days ... many, many have returned to Vietnam.

Regards,
JS

1219. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 02:24:47 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqa7lf$uu7$29@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229146
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/9/2011 5:20 PM, joeturn wrote:

> ...
> PS the spheres found at the WTC complex was from the Government grade
> of THERMITE called THERMATE only excessible from the Government
> inventory!

I can make thermate in my backyard!

I can't make nano-thermite/thermate in my backyard!

It is the uniformly sized particles of nano size which increase the explosive and energetic properties of the materials (more finely distributed though each other and bonded together tightly which provides its desirability for destruction and also serves as the "man-made signature" which makes it so identifiable.

Regards,
JS

1220. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 02:25:11 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <BZmdnTNdHbaWP1XQnZ2dnVY3gomdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229147

"John Smith" wrote in message news:iqa794$uu7$28@dont-email.me...

> I can't speak for your town, but in my town (Portland, OR), the
> Vietnamese and Cambodian folks that moved in IMPROVED the neighborhoods,
> and were hard workers and very industrious (we got a big boom of
> Vietnamese restaurants, especially)
>
>

The gangs still knife, shoot, steal, supply drugs, etc. here. A large
percentage still appear on section 8 (because of the housings location)
and some type of public assistance. Many of the youngsters have seem to
have left the area these days ... many, many have returned to Vietnam.

Regards,
JS

We got our (mostly wannabe) gangs up from CA. Mostly F-13. Tom McCall begged
back in 1969: "Don't Californicate Oregon"... but they got in anyway. First
came the developers to ruin the coastal communities, then the Yuppies, then
the gangsters..

1221. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 02:28:00 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqa7ri$uu7$30@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/9/2011 5:55 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> http://www.rense.com/general94/bin.htm
>
> 12 hours to do a DNA test, including getting the result? I don't know,
> but isn't that Amazing?
> cuhulin
>

Well, they would not be working with trace amounts if they had a body,
or ever had a body. The time to "amplify" the DNA for a cig butt,
coffee cup, etc. isn't necessary -- they have a virtually unlimited supply.

But, they are claiming they threw away the evidence which vindicates
their story! So, naturally, the NON-gullible, like myself, suspect
further crimes, cover ups, scams, etc.

Regards,
JS

1222. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 02:39:56 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqa8hs$uu7$31@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229149

On 5/9/2011 7:25 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:

> ...
> ---
>
> ---
>
>
> We got our (mostly wannabe) gangs up from CA. Mostly F-13. Tom McCall
> begged back in 1969: "Don't Californicate Oregon"... but they got in
> anyway. First came the developers to ruin the coastal communities, then
> the Yuppies, then the gangsters..
>
>

In California, we need to guard our borders from the illegal aliens.

You in Oregon need to guard your borders from the illegal aliens too,
plus Californians and "Nevadaians!" ROFLOL

The safe, sane and respectable people in California end up in Hawaii,
Bermuda, etc. it leaves a condensation of evil here. The wife won't
leave because of family ... but, someday, I favor Arizona or New Mexico
:-) A LOT of business here is now foreign owned ... the writing is on
the wall ... most all of my remaining family is in the Midwest, Florida,
Hawaii and a few younger ones in So. America seeking fame and fortune ...

I keep buying property and moving us farther and farther out of the
inner city -- problem is, wife and I are getting older and need close
hospital access, makes ya' feel safe in your older age ... I hate
ambulances ...

Regards,
JS

1223. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 02:52:50 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqa9a2utq2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/9/2011 7:18 PM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 7:01 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" wrote in message news:iq9gf1$uu7$7@dont-email.me...
>>> My father considered retiring to a cheap place to live outside of the
>>> United States. He didn't do that, but he *did* retire to a state
>>> (New Mexico), that he hadn't ever worked in. He worked in quite a
>>> few different states. Are you implying that one should spend his
>>> social security pension only where we paid it? (Not that it mattered
>>> in his case, as he didn't last a year before dying).
>>>
>>
>> Isn't SSI a federal program? Why would anyone ask such a moronic
>> question in the first place ... but have him move to the interior of
>> mexico (yes, there are safe areas) where it is much, much cheaper and
>> attempt to get that SSI check there!
>>
>> --
>>
>>
>> --
>>
>>
>>
>> It's done all the time. People retire all over the world, and expats get
>> their SS/SSI/military retirement pay/etc. sent to them in many a foreign
>> country (popular ones are Korea, Thailand, Costa Rica and Belize)
>>
>>
>
> No dispute, in certain countries, if you meet qualifications. In mexico,
> the corrupt post office employees, somehow, end up getting the checks,
> cashing them and you have a dickens of a time getting replacement
> monies. You simply can't get a check down there, if you don't want to do
> electronic transfer of funds, you can forget it ...
>
> If you wish to find a country to escape to:
>
> http://www.ssa.gov/pubs/10137.html
>
>> --
>>

>>
>> ---
>>
>>
>>> I'd imagine your fathers'
>> SSI check would be larger, if the money hasn't been given away -- do you
>> remember when they gave it to the "Boat People" from Vietnam in th 70's?
>> I'll bet there is sizable population of them still collecting! They
>> gave 'em a brand new car too, either a Pontiac Firebird or a Dodge Van
>> ... I used to drive through the neighborhood where they placed them,
>> houses all the same, cars all the same, people all the same ... and
>> soon, one of the worst ghettos I had ever seen!
>>
>>
>> ---
>>
>>
>> ---
>>
>>
>>
>> I can't speak for your town, but in my town (Portland, OR), the
>> Vietnamese and Cambodian folks that moved in IMPROVED the neighborhoods,
>> and were hard workers and very industrious (we got a big boom of
>> Vietnamese restaurants, especially)
>>
>>
>
> The gangs still knife, shoot, steal, supply drugs, etc. here. A large
> percentage still appear on section 8 (because of the housings location)
> and some type of public assistance. Many of the youngsters have seem to
> have left the area these days ... many, many have returned to Vietnam.
>
> Regards,
> JS
>
>

I forgot to tell you, just wait until the mexican gangs start crossing
your borders! THAT WILL TAKE YOUR MINDS OFF THE OTHER GANGS, FOR SURE!

Indeed, they tend to exterminate other gangs to make more room! ROFLOL

And, not to mention the nice graffiti! You'll just love in on the
buildings, the cars, the sidewalks, your house, train cars, diesel rigs,
the trees, etc.

Will cause much new business in your area -- graffiti removal! Oh, the
new experiences coming your way.

You know what they say here, no one likes change but a baby! And, that
was when they still thought obamas "CHANGE" meant a change for the better!

Regards,
JS

1224. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Correct All the Time, in her Usual Liberal Intellectual Witty Way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 02:56:11 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <63267569-d7b2-4aa4-b9de-d57c7603d3f8@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229151
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 9, 8:09 am, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 5:00 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 8, 10:41 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> On 5/8/2011 9:26 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> >>> On May 8, 10:15 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >>>> On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM,Iarnrodproved:
>
> >>>>> On May 8, 10:22 am, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >>>>>> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
> >>>>>> woman, gets everything wrong.
>
> >>>>> I of course have never been wrong.
>
> >>>> Always.
>
> >>> That's right, it is always the case that I have been wrong.
>
> >> That's right:
>
> > I know.
>
> We all do.
>
> >>>> Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're
> >>>> (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's
> >>>> with the fucking lame charade, boy?
>
> >>> Poor poor Blimpie. Never seen a woman's vagina since his mommy
> >>> squirted his sorry ass out on the floor and left him. No wonder he's
> >>> so "gender confused!"<chuckle>
>
> >> You're not a woman.
>
> > This will come as a great surprise to my husband.
> >
> > Once again, Blimpie is bound and determined to be wrong.
>
> You're

... correct, proven so, as always, Blimpie. Sux to be you!

1225. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Correct All the Time, and Blimpton Agrees...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 02:57:11 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d573ccd5-4ac8-4644-982a-4b62fc5065b9@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229152
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 9, 8:09 am, George Plimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 5:00 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 8, 10:45 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> On 5/8/2011 9:42 PM, k...@kymhorsell.com wrote:
>
> >>> In sci.skeptic George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >>>> On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
> >>>>> On May 8, 10:22 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >>>>>> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
> >>>>>> woman, gets everything wrong.
> >>>>> I of course have never been wrong.
> >>>> Always.
> >>>> Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're
> >>>> (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's
> >>>> with the fucking lame charade, boy?
>
> >>> Mother fixation.
>
> >> It's some kind of psychological abnormality. I don't know if it's
> >> treatable or not.
>
> > Well,
>
> Well, why don't you fuck off, boy?

I am having too much fun shitting down your throat, rightard. Why
would you want to deprive me of my daily fun kicking around you
rightards? Do you hate America?

1226. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 02:59:04 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a28bce2e-7e69-4138-9a6f-f58f0dedac44@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic

On May 9, 7:54 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/8/2011 10:42 PM, fergo wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > "Iarnrod" <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:b214c1fa-5526-4c72-8cd4-442f74e19bc1@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com...
> >> On May 8, 6:37 am, Patriot Games DemocRATHallofShame.Com©
> >> <patriotga...@democrathallofshame.com> wrote:
> >>>http://www.newsmax.com/InsideCover/Jindal-BirthCertificate/2011/05/07...
>
> >>> La. Gov. Bobby Jindal ReleasesBirthCertificate
> >>> Saturday, 07 May 2011
>
> >>> BATON ROUGE, La. (AP) — Louisiana Gov. Bobby Jindal, who was born in
> >>> Baton Rouge to immigrant parents from India, has released his birth
> >>> certificate.
>
> >> So Jindal did not have ANY US citizen parent, eh? According to you
> >> nutbags, that ELIMINATES him as a potential candidate.
>
> >> Too bad. He would have been good for comic relief as President Obama
> >> would use him as a punching bag in a campaign!! Jindal!! TOO FUCKIN'
> >> FUNNY!!
>
> >> Is this really the best you America-haters have to offer?
>
> > I may just be an Australian but don't the candidates just have to be
> > born in America? (which Obama was, Hawaii is an American state)
>
> >> BWAHAAHAAHAAAHAHAH!!
>
> >>> The Republican made the move Friday, nine days after President Barack
> >>> Obama released his own long-form birth certificate to further prove
> >>> that he was born in the United States.
>
> >> "... to further prove..." BWAHAHAHAHAHAAAA!!!! Unpatriotic Game Player
> >> FUCKS HIMSELF in his very own cite!!!
>

> Even more important, obamas claimed father was already married and never
> divorced, his marriage to obamas mother was illegal ...

Therefore, even if he HAD been born outside the US, he would be a
natural born US citizen. CASE CLOSED!

1227. Cheap Wholesale Nike shoes jordan shoes dunk shoes Nike RLFT <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free shipping >

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 03:01:53 UTC
From: "wholesale brand shoes clothes handbag watch by paypal(www.brandshoes08.com)" <fengkai08@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f772b2ea-d764-4dd7-baf4-0c304fbd07bd@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229154
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.disc,rec.radio.shortwave

Nike Air Max
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max 87 <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free
shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max 89 <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free
shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max 90 <www dot 51-wholesale dot com><free
shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max 91 <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free
shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max 92 Man <www dot 51-wholesale dot com>
<free shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max 93 <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free
shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max 95 <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free
shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max 97 <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free
shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max 180 Man <www dot 51-wholesale dot com>
<free shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max 2006 <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free
shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max 2009 <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free
shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max Clssic BW <www dot 51-wholesale dot com>
<free shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max LTD <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free
shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max Skyline <www dot 51-wholesale dot com>
<free shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max STAB <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free
shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max Tailwind <www dot 51-wholesale dot com>
<free shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Air Max TN <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free
shipping >

Cheap Wholesale Shox NZ <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free shipping
>
Cheap Wholesale Shox OZ <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free shipping
>
Cheap Wholesale Shox R2 <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free shipping
>

Cheap Wholesale Shox R3 <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free shipping
>
Cheap Wholesale Shox R3+R4 <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free
shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Shox R4 <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free shipping
>
Cheap Wholesale Shox R5 <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free shipping
>
Cheap Wholesale Shox Reverie lover
Cheap Wholesale Shox RZ <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free shipping
>
Cheap Wholesale Shox TL <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free shipping
>
Cheap Wholesale Shox Torch <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free
shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Shox TZ <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free shipping
>

Air Force one <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Air Force One Man <www dot 51-wholesale dot com>
payment
Cheap Wholesale Air Force One Women <www dot 51-wholesale dot com>
payment
Cheap Wholesale Air Force One M&W <www dot 51-wholesale dot com>
payment
Cheap Wholesale Air Force one 25 Man <www dot 51-wholesale dot com>
payment
Cheap Wholesale Air Force One 25 Women <www dot 51-wholesale dot com>
payment
Cheap Wholesale Air Force One Kid <www dot 51-wholesale dot com>
payment
Cheap Wholesale Air Force one Mid Man <www dot 51-wholesale dot com>
payment
Cheap Wholesale Air Force one Mid Women <www dot 51-wholesale dot
com>
payment
Cheap Wholesale Air Force one Hight Women <www dot 51-wholesale dot
com>
payment
Cheap Wholesale Nike shoes <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free
shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike Dunk SB <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free
shipping >
Cheap Wholesale Nike RLFT <www dot 51-wholesale dot com> <free
shipping >

1228. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 03:07:59 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bf56ed97-1eb8-4039-b755-f75c19024bce@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229155
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 9, 8:06 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 7:04 AM, Vandar wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > John Smith wrote:
>
> >> On 5/9/2011 6:41 AM, Vandar wrote:
>
> >>> John Smith wrote:
>
> >>>> On 5/9/2011 1:04 AM, fergo wrote:
>
> >>>>> ...
>
> >>>> Done!
> >>>> He'll have to find someone else to buy his snake oil.
>
> >>>> Once again, they attempt obfuscation to attempt to make fools of users
> >>>> here:
>
> >>>> "US Law very clearly stipulates: ".If only one parent was a U.S.
> >>>> citizen at the time of your birth, that parent must have resided in
> >>>> the United States for at least ten years, at least five of which had
> >>>> to be after the age of 16." Barack Obama's father was not a U.S.
> >>>> citizen and Obama's mother was only 18 when Obama was born, which
> >>>> means though she had been a U.S. citizen for 10 years, (or citizen
> >>>> perhaps because of Hawai'i being a territory) the mother fails the
> >>>> test for being so for at least 5 years **prior to** Barack Obama's
> >>>> birth, but *after* age 16. It doesn't matter *after*. "
>
> >>> That only applies to kids born outside of the US.
>
> >> See, there is that half-truth again. Now, learn and quit falling for it!
>
> >http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/8/1401.html
> > You lose again, skippy.
>
> obamas father was NOT legally married to the mother, IDIOT!

YOU are the idiot, idiot. If the marriage was not legal, then it is clear-cut that Obama would be a natural born citizen EVEN IF he had been born in Kenya!! ''

BONE UP on the law, bonehead.

> He was already married and never divorced ...

Well, then I'm right again!

<sips Victory Iced Tea>

1229. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 03:09:26 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4b4eb55d-567d-4b25-9f55-aae2d5ddc92b@b7g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229156
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 9, 8:35 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 7:24 AM, Vandar wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > John Smith wrote:
>
> >> On 5/9/2011 7:04 AM, Vandar wrote:
>
> >>>>>> ...
> >>>>>> Once again, they attempt obfuscation to attempt to make fools of
> >>>>>> users
> >>>>>> here:
>
> >>>>>> "US Law very clearly stipulates: ".If only one parent was a U.S.
> >>>>>> citizen at the time of your birth, that parent must have resided in
> >>>>>> the United States for at least ten years, at least five of which had
> >>>>>> to be after the age of 16." Barack Obama's father was not a U.S.
> >>>>>> citizen and Obama's mother was only 18 when Obama was born, which
> >>>>>> means though she had been a U.S. citizen for 10 years, (or citizen
> >>>>>> perhaps because of Hawai'i being a territory) the mother fails the
> >>>>>> test for being so for at least 5 years **prior to** Barack Obama's
> >>>>>> birth, but *after* age 16. It doesn't matter *after*. "
>
> >>>>> That only applies to kids born outside of the US.
>
> >>>> See, there is that half-truth again. Now, learn and quit falling for
> >>>> it!
>
> >>>http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/8/1401.html
> >>> You lose again, skippy.
>
> >> Let's cut your crap, here is a real attorney:
>
> >> For Further Information Contact:
> >> Philip J. Berg, 9/11 Truther
> >> 555 Andorra Glen Court, Suite 12
> >> Lafayette Hill, PA 19444-2531
>

> >> Cell (610) 662-3005
>
> >> (610) 825-3134
> >> (800) 993-PHIL [7445]
> >> Fax (610) 834-7659
>
> >> philjb...@obamacrimes.com
>
> >> OBAMA and his “SUPPOSED” LONG FORM BIRTH CERTIFICATE
>
> >> WHAT ABOUT OBAMA’S
>
> >> INDONESIAN CITIZENSHIP?
>
> >> Obama is ‘not’ Constitutionally Eligible
>
> >> to be President
>
> > Yes, he is. Do you have any attorneys on your list that aren't 9/11
> > Truthers as well?
>
> >> (Lafayette Hill, PA – 04/28/11) – Philip J. Berg, Esquire, the first
> >> Attorney who filed suit against Barack H. Obama on August 21, 2008
> >> challenging Obama’s lack of “Constitutionally Eligibility” to serve as
> >> President of the United States stated that Obama’s release of this
> >> document that Obama calls his long form Birth Certificate raises
> >> further questions of the legitimacy of the document itself. Moreover,
> >> even if it were a legitimate birth certificate, which it is not, it
> >> still does not answer the question of Obama’s Constitutional Eligibility.
>
> >> Berg said, “The Birth Certificate issued by Obama on national
> >> Television, have missing factors: Mother’s address; length and weight
> >> of baby;
>
> > Neither does my original birth certificate.
>
> >> and where the signature of Stanley Ann Dunham appears, it says “mother
> >> or informant”.
>
> > She's his mother.
>
> >> Additionally, the authenticity of the document itself is already being
> >> questioned for many reasons”
>
> > None of them valid.
>
> >> Berg continued, “Even if Obama could produce a long form Birth
> >> Certificate, which is highly doubted, it fails to answer the questions
> >> into Obama’s adoption in Indonesia.”
>

> >> Berg said, “I have received many calls claiming Obama could not have
> >> lost his U.S. citizenship by his mother’s acts of expatriation. In
> >> part this is true, however, he Nationality Act of 1940, revised 1952,
> >> Section 318(a) states, “A former citizen of the United States
> >> expatriated through the expatriation of such person’s parent or
> >> parents and who has not acquired the nationality of another country by
> >> any affirmative act other than the expatriation of his parent or
> >> parents may be naturalized upon filing a petition for naturalization
> >> before reaching age of Twenty-Five [25] years and upon compliance with
> >> all requirements of the naturalization laws with the following
> >> exceptions: (b) No former citizen of the United States, expatriated
> >> through the expatriation of such person’s parent or parents shall be
> >> obliged to comply with the requirements of the immigration laws, if he
> >> has not acquired the nationality of another country by any affirmative
> >> act other than the expatriation of his parent or parents, and if he
> >> has come or shall come to the United States before reaching the age of
> >> twenty-five years. (c) After his naturalization such person shall have
> >> the same citizenship status as if he had not been expatriated.”
>
> > Obama was never expatriated.
>
> >> Berg continues, “Renewing an Indonesian Passport after the age of 18
> >> is an affirmative act, as you are swearing allegiance to another
> >> Country. Soetoro/Obama renewed his Indonesian Passport when he
> >> traveled to Pakistan that is why he had to stop in Indonesia first.
> >> Remember, in 1981, Dunham was divorcing Soetoro in Hawaii and was not
> >> in Indonesia. Obama/Soetoro admits to traveling to Indonesia first and
> >> then onto Pakistan. Soetoro/Obama claims in his book “Dreams from my
> >> father” that he stopped in Indonesia to visit his mother. But again,
> >> his mother was not in Indonesia, she was in Hawaii with Maya,
> >> divorcing Lolo Soetoro. In addition, the State Department has stated
> >> in response to a FOIA [Freedom of Information] request that they do
> >> not have a U.S. Passport application on file for Barack H. Obama.”
>
> >> Berg said, “Despite the above however, Indonesia required
> >> Obama/Soetoro to do a bit more upon his 18th birthday. In fact the
> >> Indonesian law gives until the age of Twenty-One [21]. Soetoro/Obama
> >> would have had to sign an Affidavit relinquishing his Indonesian
> >> citizenship and said Affidavit had to be sent to the Indonesian
> >> Government before reclaiming any U.S. citizenship he may have once held.
>
> > He never lost his US citizenship. Indonesian law doesn't apply here.
>
> > Next.
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Phillip Berg is a former gubernatorial and senatorial candidate, former
> chair of the Democratic Party in Montgomery (PA) County, former member
> of the Democratic State Committee, and former Deputy Attorney General of

> Pennsylvania.
>
> No. I think I will go with the attorney -- but if you wish to submit
> your qualifications as reason we should dismiss his, we will review
> them, but CAUTION! No false birth certificates, PLEASE!

FULL STOP!! Ad hominem argument. DISMISSED.

Berg is wrong, proven so. This is not in dispute.

1230. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 03:12:59 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cbe7a0de-00f1-4097-961c-907ea964cde0@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic

On May 9, 11:45 am, Billary <billaryclinton2...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On May 9, 9:36 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On 5/9/2011 1:04 AM, fergo wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > Done!
> > > He'll have to find someone else to buy his snake oil.
>
> > Once again, they attempt obfuscation to attempt to make fools of users here:
>
> > "US Law very clearly stipulates: ".If only one parent was a U.S. citizen
> > at the time of your birth, that parent must have resided in the United
> > States for at least ten years, at least five of which had to be after
> > the age of 16." Barack Obama's father was not a U.S. citizen and Obama's
> > mother was only 18 when Obama was born, which means though she had been
> > a U.S. citizen for 10 years, (or citizen perhaps because of Hawai'i
> > being a territory) the mother fails the test for being so for at least 5
> > years **prior to** Barack Obama's birth, but *after* age 16. It doesn't
> > matter *after*. "
>
> > Be careful of their posts, they are half-truths, it is the lie which is
> > buried within that will make you a moron for believing them!
>
> > At some point along here, you are going to have to grow up and quit
> > falling for their chit! DUMMY!
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Obama may or may not be a citizen. That's not issue. He was not
> "natural born".

He was, of course, natural born. Not in dispute. Unlike McCain, Obama
was born on US soil. This makes it unquestionable.

> That is the issue.

The issue is why, with Obamacare now the law, you have not yet sought
out mental health treatment.

1231. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 03:14:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqaaitutq4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229158
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/9/2011 6:36 PM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
> On Sun, 08 May 2011 21:53:25 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>> THIS:
>> "It was analyzed by Ivan Zatkovich of Tampa-based eComp Consultants,
>> which consults on intellectual property for telecommunications, web
>> publishing and ecommerce and has provided services for corporations such
>> as McGraw-Hill, Houghton-Mifflin, Citicorp and Amazon.com. Zatkovich has
>> 28 years experience in computer science and document management and for
>> more than 10 years has been an expert witness providing testimony in
>> federal court in both criminal and civil litigation.
>>
>> He confirmed that the multiple layers of the PDF document are anomalous.
>>
>> "When a paper document is scanned on a scanner and saved as a PDF file
>> it normally contains only a single layer of graphical information. The
>> PDF that appears on the White House website however, contains multiple
>> layers of graphic information. Multiple layers usually appear in a
>> document like this when it is being edited or modified in some fashion.
>>
>> "It is possible to take a single layer PDF and inadvertently create
>> multiple layers, without changing the image in any fashion. But that
>> does not appear to be the case here. The multiple layers in the PDF
>> document are a result of changes made to the image," his report said.
>>
>> Among the various items that were separated into different layers
>> include the main text, the mother's occupation, the dates accepted, the
>> stamp and signature of the state registrar and the time stamp of the
>> state registrar:"
>>
>> From here:
>> http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=293421
>>
>> Yes, it just keeps getting better and the mountain of proof has grown
>> beyond ABSOLUTE!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> AND, this must be a source of major amusement among those who work in
> the mainstream media. They know the document is phony, they know
> Obama was never technically eligible to be POTUS, and they remain

> determined to defend him against all assaults. He is their guy, they
> invested everything in him, and they will go down with him.
>
> So why bother with this BS?
>
> It is silly to fight where there is no possibility of success.
>
> We can beat him in 2012, because he is showing that he is incompetent
> on the most important criteria for presidents, managing the economy.
> As the Clinton campaign said: "its the economy, stupid!"
>
> And the worsening economic chaos will bring in a Republican to try to
> rescue whatever he can from the wreckage Obama leaves.
>
> Larry

I believe that people who are willing to ignore the Constitution,
consider some "technical" item of it to be of little consequence are
those causing the problems!

If they suggest I then follow them on their "genius plans" ... well, you
can see how I am forced to decline ...

Regards,
JS

1232. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form B...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 03:19:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18265-4DC8AEDB-115@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229159

Watchin 10:00 PM WJTV 12 tee vee news.Mississippi is the most
Conservative State in the Country.
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Gallup+Poll+Ranks+Mississippi+the+most+Conservative+State+in+the+Country
cuhulin, Conservative R ME

1233. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 03:27:50 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5a6638ab-b5d0-493e-b30b-d26a2834a499@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229160
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 9, 6:17 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>
> > "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> >news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> > >> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> > >> >> ...
> > >> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> > >> >> were
> > >> >> in perfect working order.
> > >> >> You retard.
>
> > >> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> > >> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> > >> >> saw
> > >> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> > >> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> > >> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
> > >> >Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> > >> >nano thermite residue.
>
> > >> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> > >> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>
> > >> --
> > >> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> > >> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>
> > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> > > a tale tell sign of thermate use.

> > Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> > and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> > in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>
> > So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> > thermite.
>
> > Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> You felled to connect the dots spheres that are made from iron and
> sulfer that do contain barrium is the tell tell sign that Thermate was
> used!

It is a complete physical impossibility for thermite to do what
happened to those buildings. It's not possible. FULL STOP!

> You cutting torch would take mega time to cut through vertical columns
> this is why natural gas and oxygen torches are not used for controlled
> demolition.

Thermite is not used in controlled demolition, ever.

> During a controlled demolition the columns are fitted with a yoke that
> contains the thermite

There is no thermite in controlled demolition, RockHead.

> and holds it on the targeted column on about a
> 45 degree angle. This angle cut allows the top part to slip off its
> base and drop straight down. You can see clear pictures of these
> angled cuts on the columns. You would never use your torch to cut an
> angled cut on a beam unless it was for a specific outcome.

Those beams at Ground Zero were cut with torches AFTER the collapse by
workers cleaning up the debris, kooker. There are PHOTOS and VIDEOS of
them doing this. You are STUPID BEYOND BELIEF.

1234. Re: The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine : Simply Accept It !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 03:33:34 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5d6f2527-55d9-4a65-8f98-6d5dd7ce4ad9@p6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229161

On May 9, 8:28 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 9, 11:48 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 9, 9:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > On 5/8/2011 7:38 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > On May 8, 10:46 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > >> Still flooding.Memphis is almost an Island now.I hope they save Elvis
> > > >> Presley's body.
> > > >> cuhulin
>
> > > > Nasville got hit badly in 2010 . Thousand-year flood .
>
> > > Thousand year floods every hundred years; hundred year floods every 10
> > > years. 1 foot coastal inundation now 10 feet, 10 foot inundation now 100
> > > feet.
>
> > Hard to tell if it will be this severe right away, but certainly the
> > extremes - both high and low - will obviously ramp up noticeably. The
> > West is getting one of it's heaviest, longest-lasting winters ever,
> > after two years of considerable dought and a very heavy, long-lasting
> > winter three years back - preceded by a decade of drought.
>
> - Feast or famine - get used to it.
>
> The Weather Has Always Been "Feast-or-Famine" :
> SIMPLY ACCEPT IT !
> .
> The Climate of California is varied with much of it
> being a Dry {Semi Arid} Mediterranean Climate.
> .
> -wrt- Climate of Californiahttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_of_California
> .
> -wrt- California Climate Regionshttp://www.cangogo.com/caregionmapbig.jpghttp://www.smil
ingglobe.com/images/California_regions_map.jpg
> * Northern Coast Climate
> * * Northern Inland Coastal Mountain
> * Central Coast Climate
> * * Central Inland Coastal Mountain

> * So-Cal Coastal Climate
> * * So-Cal Inland Mojave Desert & Mountains
> * * * Death Valley
> * Sacramento Northern Central Valley
> * San Joaquin Southern Central Valley
> * Northern Cascades and Klamath Mountains
> * Northern Sierra Mountains
> * Central & Southern Sierra Mountains
> * * Eastern Sierras
> * Transverse Ranges : Tehachapi Mountains
etchttp://www.blog.cibusa.com/fm/california_wineries/
> * * * San Francisco Bay Area
> * * * Monterey Bay Area
> * * * Los Angles Basin
> * * * San Diego Bay Area
> .
> .- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Do you have any sightings of the Sasquatch in California lately?

1235. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form B...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 03:39:34 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqac1nnqv5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229162

On 5/9/2011 8:19 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Watchin 10:00 PM WJTV 12 tee vee news.Mississippi is the most
> Conservative State in the Country.
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Gallup+Poll+Ranks+Mississippi+the+most+Conservative+State+in+the+Country
> cuhulin, Conservative R ME
>

Left-wing, right-wing?

It is all the same, just wing-nuts!

Constitution, values, standards, country, citizens!

Regards,
JS

1236. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 03:49:44 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4b4758aa-972e-4ea1-8923-a75483ce8631@a10g2000vbz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229163

On May 9, 11:47 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Exclusive:+Osama+Bin+Laden's+No...
>
> Article at http://www.standeyo.com
> says Nose, Shoulder and Left Ear.
> cuhulin

In all the videos starring UBL he appeared to be Left-handed . That
bum from the Abbotabad compound (with the TV remote control) is Right-
handed ! Something is 'wrong' here ...

1237. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 03:52:29 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqacptnqv7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229164
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/9/2011 5:08 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:

> That's the nature of government, and always has been the nature of
> government. Governments take our money and spend it the way they
> want.
>

Recently, since WWII, the trend has always progressed in that direction, you are quite correct, in that regard.

> I mentioned this as being my wife's position. Paying into social
> security isn't taking money from us. So the question is whether a
> criminal should be allowed to have what he purchases. Should we be
> able to confiscate the retirement moneys of a CEO or a plumber who
> broke the law?
>

Well, the Constitution still covers illegal search and seizures, doesn't it? I mean, yes, they are doing it, but it is still illegal ... or, is there a new definition of when, "Stealing isn't stealing?"

I mean, the crooks are constantly updating that meaning!

> ...
> That doesn't answer the question. How do we get from here to there?
> This is a political process. You know that 99.99% of the people who
> will lose out by eliminating social security will vote against any
> politicians who propose eliminating it.
> ...

Probably done the same way it has always been done in similar situations, for example: obama/congress passes a medical bill which will provide ALL Americans health care. Naturally, medical/medicare is discontinued and replaced. However, somewhere along the line, the new obama health care is determined to be unconstitutional and/or illegal, and discontinued. And, now ALL the people are left without the medical care they are still paying for ... really sweet deal for the crooks, especially since the morons never catch on!

> We can bitch all we want, but bitching doesn't solve anything. Show
> me a plan that has a chance of success. And tell me what we will do
> with everybody who paid social security all of their lives who
> suddenly find themselves without a pension.
>

> We have to survive between the time when we eliminate social security
> and when your utopia arrives.
>

Instead of designing institutions, laws, benefits, etc. and then forcing people into conformance ... we build society around the citizens and everyone benefits and is relatively happy ... I think it would work, but hasn't been done in many, many decades, so it will appear like a "new invention" to many ...

Regards,
JS

1238. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 03:58:26 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <08d1f9ca-49d4-40a2-9205-5efe17d85806@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229165
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic

On May 9, 8:19 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:

> The government can't keep a secret,

Which proves it had nothing to do with 9/11, which UBL admitted doing.

> the truth lies in plain sight, the
> free fall speeds (admitted to),

Wrong. Not even close to free fall.

Strike one.

> the molten iron (admitted to),

There was no molten iron. This is PROVEN FACT you cannot refute.

Strike two.

> the
> thermite proven in lab analysis, etc.

Thermite is physically incapable of doing what happened on 9/11. FACT.

STEEEEEEEERIIIIIIIIIKKKEEEE THREEEEEEEEEE!!!!

Yer outta here!!

> I mean, what is not to believe???

You, for one!

<sips Victory Iced Tea>

1239. Re: The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine : Simply Accept It !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 04:16:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DC8BC02-1@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229167

Blame it on Bush.

Doggy took me out in the front yard a short while ago.That woman next door was standing on the sidewalk in her front yard.She asked me if I had seen anybody in her back yard today.I told her like it is, I haven't seen anybody over there.She said she left her back door unlocked and somebody stold her computer.She also asked me if I have seen Sam.Sam is a dude who was shacking up with her, about two something years.He got her pregnant, her baby girl is almost a year old.Apparently, last January Sam decided to make like a tree and leave.I thought they had decided to split up and go their seperate ways.I don't keep up with those people around here, I don't even know their names.
cuhulin

1240. Re: The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine : Simply Accept It !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 04:16:56 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b16aadf6-f8d1-4ab7-adea-a2bfc02b8eeb@o8g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229166

On May 9, 5:28 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 9, 11:48 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 9, 9:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > On 5/8/2011 7:38 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > On May 8, 10:46 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > >> Still flooding.Memphis is almost an Island now.I hope they save Elvis
> > > >> Presley's body.
> > > >> cuhulin
>
> > > > Nasville got hit badly in 2010 . Thousand-year flood .
>
> > > Thousand year floods every hundred years; hundred year floods every 10
> > > years. 1 foot coastal inundation now 10 feet, 10 foot inundation now 100
> > > feet.
>
> > Hard to tell if it will be this severe right away, but certainly the
> > extremes - both high and low - will obviously ramp up noticeably. The
> > West is getting one of it's heaviest, longest-lasting winters ever,
> > after two years of considerable dought and a very heavy, long-lasting
> > winter three years back - preceded by a decade of drought.
>
> - Feast or famine - get used to it.
>
> The Weather Has Always Been "Feast-or-Famine" :
> SIMPLY ACCEPT IT !
> .
> The Climate of California is varied with much of it
> being a Dry {Semi Arid} Mediterranean Climate.
> .
> -wrt- Climate of Californiahttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_of_California
> .
> -wrt- California Climate Regionshttp://www.cangogo.com/caregionmapbig.jpghttp://www.smil
ingglobe.com/images/California_regions_map.jpg

> * Northern Coast Climate
> * * Northern Inland Coastal Mountain
> * Central Coast Climate
> * * Central Inland Coastal Mountain
> * So-Cal Coastal Climate
> * * So-Cal Inland Mojave Desert & Mountains
> * * * Death Valley
> * Sacramento Northern Central Valley
> * San Joaquin Southern Central Valley
> * Northern Cascades and Klamath Mountains
> * Northern Sierra Mountains
> * Central & Southern Sierra Mountains
> * * Eastern Sierras
> * Transverse Ranges : Tehachapi Mountains
etchttp://www.blog.cibusa.com/fm/california_wineries/
> * * * San Francisco Bay Area
> * * * Monterey Bay Area
> * * * Los Angles Basin
> * * * San Diego Bay Area

I am aware of California WX patterns, thank you. Your
oversimplification does not tell the story well at all.

1241. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rel...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 04:19:27 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DC8BCCF-2@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229168

I am right handed.About half the time I use my TV remote and my WebTV
remote with my left hand.
cuhulin

1242. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 04:37:52 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <30e1dde2-5b85-4de5-9ffa-2320f23e2bc9@q32g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229169
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 9, 11:27 pm, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 9, 6:17 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout"
> > wrote:
>
> > > "joeturn" wrote in message
>
> > >news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> > > >> John Smith wrote:
>
> > > >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> > > >> >> ...
> > > >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> > > >> were
> > > >> in perfect working order.
> > > >> You retard.
>
> > > >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> > > >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> > > >> saw
> > > >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> > > >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> > > >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
> > > >> >Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> > > >> >nano thermite residue.
>
> > > >> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> > > >> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>
> > > >> --
> > > >> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> > > >> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
```

>
> > > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> > > > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> > > Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> > > and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> > > in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>
> > > So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> > > thermite.
>
> > > Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > You felled to connect the dots spheres that are made from iron and
> > sulfer that do contain barrium is the tell tell sign that Thermate was
> > used!
>
> It is a complete physical impossibility for thermite to do what
> happened to those buildings. It's not possible. FULL STOP!
>
> > You cutting torch would take mega time to cut through vertical columns
> > this is why natural gas and oxygen torches are not used for controlled
> > demolition.
>
> Thermite is not used in controlled demolition, ever.
>
> > During a controlled demolition the columns are fitted with a yoke that
> > contains the thermite
>
> There is no thermite in controlled demolition, RockHead.
>
> > and holds it on the targeted column on about a
> > 45 degree angle. This angle cut allows the top part to slip off its
> > base and drop straight down. You can see clear pictures of these
> > angled cuts on the columns. You would never use your torch to cut an
> > angled cut on a beam unless it was for a specific outcome.
>
> Those beams at Ground Zero were cut with torches AFTER the collapse by
> workers cleaning up the debris, kooker. There are PHOTOS and VIDEOS of
> them doing this. You are STUPID BEYOND BELIEF.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Why would they cut them at an angle just to take longer.were they
getting paid overtime.

What material is used in the cutter charges of controlled demolition?

Limp rod you need to do the same operation that Cher's daughter
Chasity had done it will give you leverage!

You could pick up the pretty chicks then

1243. Re: Al Qaeda is a Creation of the CIA: Chossudovsky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 04:40:13 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqafjenqv10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy

On 5/9/2011 9:16 PM, skepticl1@aol.com wrote:
> 9/11 ANALYSIS: From Ronald Reagan and the Soviet-Afghan War to George
> W Bush and September 11, 2001by Michel Chossudovsky
>
> Global Research, September 9, 2010
> ...

Well, yeah ...

All of our "problems" seem to be related to inside jobs involving the CIA, on some scale ...

Past bogymen we have created, past dictators we have placed in office, past "aid" given to countries, drugs for guns deals, etc., etc.

I think we can improve our personal situation a LOT by simply getting rid of the CIA, like Kennedy and his brother had planned ...

The whole system of public servants have seem to have gone paranoid/schizophrenic and started believing in the bogymen they create to manipulate the population!

Well, a good house cleaning could put that all behind, for awhile, again ...

Regards,
JS

1244. Re: GW impacts wheat and corn yields, or so says the computer...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 04:43:58 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqafqenqv11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229171
Cross-posted to: alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/9/2011 9:30 PM, hroedrank wrote:

> ...
> So wheat and corn yields go up, but because they dont go up as much as
> a computer assumed they would, its a loss?
>
>
> Where is the laugh your head of emoticon when you need it!
> ...

Yep!

A real wake up call is government job figures and unemployment figures
along with cost of living increases ... sheer fantasy delivered up by
public servant morons who are not even shamed by their fraud!

Regards,
JS

1245. Re: Attention Birthers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 04:45:16 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d8353aa0-c479-42a4-9625-d4e8662b7089@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.conspiracy

On Apr 30, 8:32 pm, clairbear <clairb...@verizon.com> wrote:
> Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in news:8bd755c9-808c-4e8a-9edc-
> e1c94b16b...@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
> > On Apr 24, 3:32 pm, clairbear <clairb...@verizon.com> wrote:
> >> Lamont Cranston <Lamont.Crans...@FogYourMind.com> wrote in
> news:iovbb9
> >> $k9...@news.datemas.de:
>
> >> > On 4/22/2011 10:23 PM, John Smith wrote:
> >> >> On 4/22/2011 5:59 PM, clairbear wrote:
>
> >> >>>>> Or clinton I did not have sex with that woman.
>
> >> >>>> Clinton never said that.
> >> >>>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KiIP_KDQmXs
>
> >> >>> Actual quote
> >> >>> "I did not have sexual relations with that woman.."
> >> >> >> ...
>
> >> >> Gee, that changes everything, doesn't it.
>
> >> > Yes, it does. In fact, it was a true statement if one defines
> "sexua
> > l
> >> > relations" as "sexual intercourse."
>
> >> >> OK, let's hang the bastard for that lie, instead of the misquoted
> >> one!
>
> >> > It wasn't a lie. Neither Clinton nor Lewinsky believed that they
> had
> >> > engaged in "sexual relations."
>
> >> Are you really THAT DUMB? Or are you just trolling for the old
> >> gainsaying arguement aboutwhether Willy lied about what or who he did
> >> with his willy?
>
> >> Heres a bit of info on generally accepted definitions of Sexual
> >> realtions

>
> >> Wikipedia on Answers.com: Human sexual activity
>
> > Hey KOOK, I gots a NEWS FLASH fer ya:
>
> > WIKIPEDIA ain't the lawyer. It doesn't matter what YOU think the
> > generally accepted definitions are... the ONLY thing that matters is
> > what was on the LIST of sexual activities that was used in the
> > deposition. Guess what? Jones' lawyers FUCKED UP and didn't have blow
> > job on their.
>
> > Clinton answered truthfully based on the definitions he was given.
>
> > End of story.
>
> The thread is about the veracity of Clinton's remark vis-a-vis monica
> lewinsky and the sexual activity between them, in this case a blow job,
> not about a law suit involving alleged sexual harassment.

Are you on drugs, nutbag? This thread is about the birther kooks.

But since youa sked, no, Clinton did not lie. He was not asked if
Monica gave him blow jobs and he truthfully answered no.

> Funny how you
> would tar and feather someone like Clarence Thomas who allegedly made a
> remark about pubic hair and give a man who cheated on his wife in the
> Oval Office a pass.

Are you talking about Newt Gingrich, who dumped his terminally ill
cancer stricken wife for his mistress? Or Larry craig, who cheated on
his wife in an airport men's room with a man?

Suggest you finish getting your lobotomy. You're halfway there
already.

1246. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 04:46:05 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqak4njlt1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229187
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"joeturn" <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:656a5051-2acf-4b9a-9f69-4f7ac8dbb222@35g2000yqy.googlegroups.com...
> On May 9, 7:26 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> wrote:
>> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:8b4023cd-aba5-4503-8aa4-32a06bd7214c@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 8, 8:03 pm, Jim Kale <Guess...@bass.gov> wrote:
>> >> John Smith wrote:
>> >> > On 5/8/2011 9:34 AM, Jim Kale wrote:
>>
>> >> >> ...
>> >> >> You really are retarded if you think that shit holds any kind of
>> >> >> reality.
>> >> >> So uh, who's been charged for this "crime", you retard?
>> >> >> I mean if it's "proof", then why isn't anybody being charged, you
>> >> >> retard?
>>
>> >> > Really?
>>
>> >> Yes, really!
>>
>> >> > Well, it is simple, prove what you say.
>>
>> >> I am not obligated to prove a negative, you retard.
>>
>> >> YOU have to prove what you say is correct, and then YOU still have
>> >> to
>> >> answer my questions, you retard.
>>
>> >> Your "list" of "experts" are all known retards, like you.
>>
>> >> ANSWER MY QUESTION, you retard!
>>
>> >> You said YOU have proof, right?
>> >> Then WHY isn't anybody being arrested, charged, indicted,
>> >> incarcerated, etc., You stump stupid retard?
>>

>> > It was your Government that was guilty
>>
>> Proof?
>>
>> Didn't think you had any.
>>
>>
>>
>> >and they seem to have
>> > diplomatic immunity from the Supreme Law of the Land.This is why noone
>> > has been charged. They are in control of all three branches of
>> > government the Excecutive,Legistlative and Judicial system. You can't
>> > get a judge to try a case against their government,that would be
>> > considered treason.Anything that gets excepted as a case gets
>> > dismissed.Bush got his cousin to throw the case against him out.The
>> > lady knew she was meant to be killed at the Pentagon and also knew no
>> > plane hit the Pentagon. This is the smoking gun "the missile attack at
>> > the Pentagon" and the shills are full force keeping that from the
>> > public!- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> The fbi released a five frame video that shows a missile in
> flight.That missile has a rocket plume behind it.It all so shows the
> left behing explosion of the first rocket hit and another one
> inflight.So that release has three traces of rockets fired at the
> pentagram and funnything it could not display an airplane at all.
>
> Then there is another FOIA relesed by them of a helicopter video that
> shows the impact of a rocket but there also was no evidence of any
> airplane visible not even a fly over!
>
> There also is a video that shows the missing footaget of the missile
> attack! I'll fecth them for you.BRB

And yet STILL no proof offered, just more unsupported assertions.

1247. Re: Attention Birthers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 04:46:58 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <965b731d-6d3a-4e81-a1df-c62780543a02@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.conspiracy

On May 9, 4:40 pm, clairbear <clairb...@verizon.com> wrote:
> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote innews:ipig2r$m1r$1@dont-email.me:
>
>
>
> > On 4/30/2011 7:14 PM, clairbear wrote:
>
> >>> ...
> >>> Neither Clinton nor Lewinsky believed that they had engaged in "sexual
> >>> relations."
>
> >> I gues you answered the question by redacting the ealier post and you
> >> gutter language response. And by doing so you show you are in fact that
> >> dumb and extremely gullible too. You are so typically liberal.
>
> >> --- news://freenews.netfront.net/ - complaints: n...@netfront.net ---
>
> > I can only suppose that those making such claims of not knowing they
> > have engaged in sex simply started out having sex with the
> > families/neighbors pets, and carried on such perverted behaviors into
> > their adult life.
>
> > Since no animal was involved in the clinton/lewinsky sex relations in
> > the oval office (at least which can be proven to be present), they do
> > believe that no sex had taken place.
>
> The semen stained blue dress says different

No, it doesn't at all. Stop making a fool of yourself and bone up on
the facts, kook. Blow jobs was left off the list of stuff he was asked
about. Ain't Clinton's fault Paula Jones' lawyers screwed up. It's not
his job to correct them.

1248. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rel...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 04:52:37 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqagalnqv12@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229174

On 5/9/2011 9:19 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I am right handed.About half the time I use my TV remote and my WebTV
> remote with my left hand.
> cuhulin
>

Morons are still claiming the false/fraudulent video is osama admitting
9/11:

http://whatreallyhappened.com/WRHARTICLES/osamatape.html

It has been admitted to have been a false video ... research it!

Regards,
JS

1249. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:02:46 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqak4pjlt2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229188
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"joeturn" <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ef303d87-2328-42ed-aa19-7dc8287f722a@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
> On May 9, 7:26 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> wrote:
>> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:8b4023cd-aba5-4503-8aa4-32a06bd7214c@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 8, 8:03 pm, Jim Kale <Guess...@bass.gov> wrote:
>> >> John Smith wrote:
>> >> > On 5/8/2011 9:34 AM, Jim Kale wrote:
>>
>> >> >> ...
>> >> >> You really are retarded if you think that shit holds any kind of
>> >> >> reality.
>> >> >> So uh, who's been charged for this "crime", you retard?
>> >> >> I mean if it's "proof", then why isn't anybody being charged, you
>> >> >> retard?
>>
>> >> > Really?
>>
>> >> Yes, really!
>>
>> >> > Well, it is simple, prove what you say.
>>
>> >> I am not obligated to prove a negative, you retard.
>>
>> >> YOU have to prove what you say is correct, and then YOU still have
>> >> to
>> >> answer my questions, you retard.
>>
>> >> Your "list" of "experts" are all known retards, like you.
>>
>> >> ANSWER MY QUESTION, you retard!
>>
>> >> You said YOU have proof, right?
>> >> Then WHY isn't anybody being arrested, charged, indicted,
>> >> incarcerated, etc., You stump stupid retard?
>>

>> > It was your Government that was guilty
>>
>> Proof?
>>
>> Didn't think you had any.
>>
>>
>>
>> >and they seem to have
>> > diplomatic immunity from the Supreme Law of the Land.This is why noone
>> > has been charged. They are in control of all three branches of
>> > government the Excecutive,Legistlative and Judicial system. You can't
>> > get a judge to try a case against their government,that would be
>> > considered treason.Anything that gets excepted as a case gets
>> > dismissed.Bush got his cousin to throw the case against him out.The
>> > lady knew she was meant to be killed at the Pentagon and also knew no
>> > plane hit the Pentagon. This is the smoking gun "the missile attack at
>> > the Pentagon" and the shills are full force keeping that from the
>> > public!- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mnzh-EyxKwc&feature=player_embedded

OMG, this is your proof?

Some asswipe that doesn't even understand that video is taken in a series of FRAMES (ie individual pictures) and that the apparent motion is only a result of looking at individual pictures in quick succession? That high speed movement of an object will cause it to appear to leap from place to place as you look at each individual picture in succession? That when you slow a video WAY down, what you are doing is simply looking at one individual picture for awhile and then switching to the next picture which you look at for awhile and then you look at the next picture for awhile. That what you see as slow motion in movies and so on is actually shoot at a considerably higher number of frames per second and then played back at the number rate making the motion appear slower.

With this as an example of your "proof" I'm not even going to waste my time looking at the other link, or even bother looking at any of your claims of 'proof' because you don't even have enough intelligence to recognize the flaws in the assertions made by these people you rely upon for your proof.

The only person who could possibly buy this sort of crap as 'proof' is someone who is a fucking stupid moron incapable of any sort of independent evaluation of their own, but simply buy the claims of other fucking stupid morons as "proof".

> http://letsrollforums.com/helicopter-pilot-has-no-t24811.html?t=24811&highlight=helicopter+pilot

1250. Re: MIT Climate Scientist puts climate hysteria into perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:02:57 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqagu1nqv13@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229175
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/9/2011 9:49 PM, hroedrank wrote:

> ...
> Be its cigarette smoking, or co2 output, they both not politically correct,
> and therefore on the left it's far too much to hope and assume that an
> intelligent debate that puts the risk into reasonably intelligent terms once
> again shows the dishonesty of the left and socialists in this regards.
>
> Super Turtle
>
>

Yeah, I think many toxins are hidden within the "toxic cigarette smoke"
verbiage ... now it will have to make room for the radiation coming from
Japan which we are still breathing and which will still be being created
for sometime to come as the nuclear plant continues releasing tremendous
quantities of deadly toxins to drift over here, to the USA.

Regards,
JS

1251. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:05:07 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqak58jlt3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229190
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"joeturn" <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3a1e97a4-09ac-4f70-8564-053bfe2fa6e1@z13g2000yqg.googlegroups.com...
> On May 9, 8:51 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 9, 7:26 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >news:8b4023cd-aba5-4503-8aa4-32a06bd7214c@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> > > On May 8, 8:03 pm, Jim Kale <Guess...@bass.gov> wrote:
>> > > John Smith wrote:
>> > > > On 5/8/2011 9:34 AM, Jim Kale wrote:
>>
>> > > > ...
>> > > > You really are retarded if you think that shit holds any kind of
>> > > > reality.
>> > > > So uh, who's been charged for this "crime", you retard?
>> > > > I mean if it's "proof", then why isn't anybody being charged, you
>> > > > retard?
>>
>> > > > Really?
>>
>> > > Yes, really!
>>
>> > > > Well, it is simple, prove what you say.
>>
>> > > I am not obligated to prove a negative, you retard.
>>
>> > > YOU have to prove what you say is correct, and then YOU still have
>> > > to
>> > > answer my questions, you retard.
>>
>> > > Your "list" of "experts" are all known retards, like you.
>>
>> > > ANSWER MY QUESTION, you retard!
>>
>> > > You said YOU have proof, right?
>> > > Then WHY isn't anybody being arrested, charged, indicted,

>> > >> incarcerated, etc., You stump stupid retard?
>>
>> > > It was your Government that was guilty
>>
>> > Proof?
>>
>> > Didn't think you had any.
>>
>> > >and they seem to have
>> > > diplomatic immunity from the Supreme Law of the Land.This is why
>> > > noone
>> > > has been charged. They are in control of all three branches of
>> > > government the Excecutive,Legistlative and Judicial system. You can't
>> > > get a judge to try a case against their government,that would be
>> > > considered treason.Anything that gets excepted as a case gets
>> > > dismissed.Bush got his cousin to throw the case against him out.The
>> > > lady knew she was meant to be killed at the Pentagon and also knew no
>> > > plane hit the Pentagon. This is the smoking gun "the missile attack
>> > > at
>> > > the Pentagon" and the shills are full force keeping that from the
>> > > public!- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> > - Show quoted text -- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> > - Show quoted text -
>>
>
> The only thing this guy got wrong was

EVERYTHING. Doesn't have the FIRST clue of how video works and that a video
is actually just a serious of individual pictures and WOW when you slow down
a video enough.....all you see is an individual picture showing NO movement
until suddenly the next picture loads and the object leads across the frame.

Dude, buy a fucking clue, you're just butt ass stupid and ignorant.

the fuselage.It was not a
> fuselage it was the rocket plume the rocket is up front in plain view!
> Black nose,white center, black tail.
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mnzh-EyxKwc&feature=player_embedded
>
> Page two shows the first rocket in a wav just over and over.Did you
> see a plane?
>
> http://letsrollforums.com/helicopter-pilot-has-no-t24811.html?t=24811&highlight=helicopt
er+pilot

1252. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:05:48 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7059f16f-5fe7-497d-8c34-4baae6a612d7@k22g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229176
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 9, 11:27 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 9, 6:17 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> > wrote:
>
> > > "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > >news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> > > >> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > > >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> > > >> >> ...
> > > >> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> > > >> >> were
> > > >> >> in perfect working order.
> > > >> >> You retard.
>
> > > >> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> > > >> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> > > >> >> saw
> > > >> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> > > >> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> > > >> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
> > > >> >Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> > > >> >nano thermite residue.
>
> > > >> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> > > >> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>
> > > >> --
> > > >> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> > > >> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>

> > > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> > > > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> > > Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> > > and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> > > in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>
> > > So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> > > thermite.
>
> > > Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > You felled to connect the dots spheres that are made from iron and
> > sulfer that do contain barrium is the tell tell sign that Thermate was
> > used!
>
> It is a complete physical impossibility for thermite to do what
> happened to those buildings. It's not possible. FULL STOP!
>
> > You cutting torch would take mega time to cut through vertical columns
> > this is why natural gas and oxygen torches are not used for controlled
> > demolition.
>
> Thermite is not used in controlled demolition, ever.
>
> > During a controlled demolition the columns are fitted with a yoke that
> > contains the thermite
>
> There is no thermite in controlled demolition, RockHead.
>
> > and holds it on the targeted column on about a
> > 45 degree angle. This angle cut allows the top part to slip off its
> > base and drop straight down. You can see clear pictures of these
> > angled cuts on the columns. You would never use your torch to cut an
> > angled cut on a beam unless it was for a specific outcome.
>
> Those beams at Ground Zero were cut with torches AFTER the collapse by
> workers cleaning up the debris, kooker. There are PHOTOS and VIDEOS of
> them doing this. You are STUPID BEYOND BELIEF.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Like this one?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EO7SvhYI_0Y&NR=1

1253. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:06:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqah4enqv14@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229177
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On 5/9/2011 9:37 PM, joeturn wrote:

> ...
> You could pick up the pretty chicks then
```

1254. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:07:31 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqak59jlt4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229192
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"joeturn" <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9641c9a8-6c0a-45f3-9606-4a8f4425e4e5@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com...
> On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> wrote:
>> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
>> >> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>> >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>> >> >> ...
>> >> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
>> >> >> were
>> >> >> in perfect working order.
>> >> >> You retard.
>>
>> >> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and
>> >> >> so
>> >> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7,
>> >> >> never
>> >> >> saw
>> >> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>
>> >> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>> >> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>>
>> >> >>Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
>> >> >>nano thermite residue.
>>
>> >> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
>> >> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>>
>> >> --
>> >> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
>> >> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>>

>> >> - Show quoted text -
>>
>> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
>> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>>
>> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning
>> table,
>> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium
>> used
>> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>>
>> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
>> thermite.
>>
>> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted
>> text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> You felled to connect the dots spheres that are made from iron and
> sulfer that do contain barrium is the tell tell sign that Thermate was
> used!
>
> You cutting torch would take mega time to cut through vertical columns
> this is why natural gas and oxygen torches are not used for controlled
> demolition.

Actually, they are. A LOT. In fact in most controlled demolition they are
used.

Even in explosive controlled demolition much of the prep work is done via
these exact sort of cutting torches.

I really suggest you need to STFU and quit simply embarrassing yourself with
your stupidity and ignorance.

1255. VERY HOT VIDEOS AND SEXY GIRLS PHOTOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:11:10 UTC
From: HRJHRJB <odgfhfhdfv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5abb44ec-df02-46c9-bf28-b3e5751417e0@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229178

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

1256. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:11:28 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqak59jlt5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229191
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"Iarnrod" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5a6638ab-b5d0-493e-b30b-d26a2834a499@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
> On May 9, 6:17 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> > "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> > > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
>> > >> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>> > >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>> > >> >> ...
>> > >> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the
>> > >> >> sprinklers
>> > >> >> were
>> > >> >> in perfect working order.
>> > >> >> You retard.
>>
>> > >> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise
>> > >> >> and so
>> > >> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7,
>> > >> >> never
>> > >> >> saw
>> > >> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>
>> > >> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>> > >> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>>
>> > >> >Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor
>> > >> >leave
>> > >> >nano thermite residue.
>>
>> > >> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
>> > >> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>>
>> > >> --
>> > >> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying

>> > >> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> > >> - Show quoted text -
>>
>> > > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which
>> > > is
>> > > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>>
>> > Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning
>> > table,
>> > and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium
>> > used
>> > in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>>
>> > So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium
>> > and
>> > thermite.
>>
>> > Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted
>> > text -
>>
>> > - Show quoted text -
>>
>> You felled to connect the dots spheres that are made from iron and
>> sulfer that do contain barrium is the tell tell sign that Thermate was
>> used!
>
> It is a complete physical impossibility for thermite to do what
> happened to those buildings. It's not possible. FULL STOP!

Yep, all but impossible to cut a column with thermite. It will simply drip
off and do little or nothing to the column itself.

>> You cutting torch would take mega time to cut through vertical columns
>> this is why natural gas and oxygen torches are not used for controlled
>> demolition.
>
> Thermite is not used in controlled demolition, ever.

Yea, and cutting torches actually are used in controlled demolition.

>> During a controlled demolition the columns are fitted with a yoke that
>> contains the thermite
>
> There is no thermite in controlled demolition, RockHead.
>
>> and holds it on the targeted column on about a
>> 45 degree angle. This angle cut allows the top part to slip off its
>> base and drop straight down. You can see clear pictures of these
>> angled cuts on the columns. You would never use your torch to cut an
>> angled cut on a beam unless it was for a specific outcome.

>
> Those beams at Ground Zero were cut with torches AFTER the collapse by
> workers cleaning up the debris, kooker. There are PHOTOS and VIDEOS of
> them doing this. You are STUPID BEYOND BELIEF.

Cut with torches? You mean like in being used in controlled demolition?

Say it's not so.

1257. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:15:33 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqahllnqv15@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229179
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/9/2011 10:05 PM, joeturn wrote:

>> ...
>> Those beams at Ground Zero were cut with torches AFTER the collapse by
>> workers cleaning up the debris, kooker. There are PHOTOS and VIDEOS of
>> them doing this. You are STUPID BEYOND BELIEF.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> Like this one?
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EO7SvhYI_0Y&NR=1

Best thing about that video, it was BEFORE the cleanup crew comes in to
clean up!

Those are first responder firemen on the scene in that one! NOT
demolition employees ...

Regards,
JS

1258. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:17:33 UTC
From: annika1980 <annika1980@aol.com>
Message-ID: <d4177437-2c7e-4944-9f43-394e037c6c28@q30g2000vbs.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229180
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On May 9, 12:14 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/2/2011 7:51 AM, Wayne wrote:
>
>
>
> > "Iarnrod"<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:079fa50c-314e-47d6-a855-782bd3655df3@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com...
> > On May 1, 4:50 pm, "Wayne"<mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
> >> "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>
> >>news:bc77a6a2-3c2b-46b6-bd96-7eb3afb97f92@w5g2000prg.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> On May 1, 10:45 am, "Wayne"<mygarbage...@verizon.net> wrote:
>
> >>> "BDK"<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in message
>
> >>>news:MPG.28269a3824d0e60498a138@news.giganews.com...
>
> >>>> In article<ipiid5$m5...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
> >>>>> On 4/30/2011 7:28 PM, Wayne wrote:
>
> >>>>> -
> >>>>> I'm not a birther, but if this document is not
> >>>>> legitimate....Obama's
> >>>>> ass
> >>>>> should be in deep trouble. And, a copy of the original would not
> >>>>> have
> >>>>> layers.
>
> >>>>> I guess most didn't hear, what has always been asked for is a long
> >>>>> form
> >>>>> birth certificate of the original and the hospital records.
>
> >>>>> They have produced a COLB (Certification of Live Birth) and an edited
> >>>>> .pdf file purporting to be a scan of the orignial ... however, it is
> >>>>> obvious that it does not bear an official seal, and has been tampered
> >>>>> with and overlaid on some scan of "safety paper."
>
> >>>>> Perhaps all this was just to hone the skills and knowledge of how to
> >>>>> forge a proper document which would go unquestioned/undetected, who
> >>>>> knows why such insanity would all take place ... but, it wouldn't

> >>>>> surprise me if "OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE -- TAKE THREE!" isn't coming
> >>>>> up
> >>>>> ... most realize that the actions of all this is pretty criminal in
> >>>>> appearance ...
>
> >>>>> Jerome Corsi claims to have the paper trail on the coverup and
> >>>>> documentation which proves ~2 million+ spent by obama in hiding his
> >>>>> past
> >>>>> records contained in public documents. I am anxious to give this a
> >>>>> serious read.
>
> >>>>> The crazyness surrounding the whole thing is simply unbelievable and
> >>>>> began with a presidential candidate promising transparency and has
> >>>>> ended
> >>>>> up with executive orders issued by him to keep his entire past a
> >>>>> secret
> >>>>> ... that only takes a rational mind to realize that something is bad
> >>>>> wrong!
>
> >>>>> Regards,
> >>>>> JS
>
> >>>> There doesn't seem to be anything rational about you, Johnny kOOk.
>
> >>> OK...out of curiosity.....
> >>> How do you explain a copy of the original, posted on the White House
> >>> website, being a pdf file with layers? Was it generated as a pdf file 50
> >>> years ago?
>
> >> That's what happens when it's scanned. Happens all the time. You seem
> >> flummoxed by the simplest of things.
> >> **********
> >> -
> >> Fine...explain it to me. A document is scanned, and it ends up with
> >> several
> >> individual layers.
>
> > That is precisely how making a PDF works, pumpkin.
>
> >> Doesn't work that way on my scanner. It ends up all in one layer. How does
> >> the scanner know to separate signatures, forms, background into separate
> >> layers?
>
> > Put the OCR setting on.
>
> > Happens all the time. You're merely ignorant.
> > **********
> > -
> > Why don't you just say that you don't know how a "flat" document is copied
> > and ends up in layers?

>
> Yes, but the layers are empty, you must specify to use OCR and the text
> layer is filled ... more diversion, obfuscation and lies, attempting to
> exploit those who don't take awhile experimenting and learning adobe
> illustrator ...
>
> No wonder the crimes and criminal public servants appeal to you, you
> share the same mindset.
>
> Regards,
> JS

The loony logic goes something like this:
"Kenya didn't exist until 1963 and OCR didn't even exist then so
Obama's a Muslim!"

1259. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rel...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:24:08 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <a1df0e1a-7e5d-48d5-95fd-f4d0d0c8d5bd@l6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229181

On May 10, 12:19 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> I am right handed.About half the time I use my TV remote and my WebTV
> remote with my left hand.
> cuhulin

Very good observation . However , I'm not convinced about the bum in
the latest video . It is another person . How about the story of
getting cured (kidney illness) by eating watermelons ?

1260. Re: Proof Obama's certificate ain't valid! But Someone Forgot to Turn Off the OCR, So It Is Valid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:24:22 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqai67nqv17@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229182
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/9/2011 10:17 PM, annika1980 wrote:

> ...
> The loony logic goes something like this:
> "Kenya didn't exist until 1963 and OCR didn't even exist then so
> Obama's a Muslim!"

That is loony logic alright!

But, it seems to be yours!

Regards,
JS

1261. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rel...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:28:01 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqaid1nqv18@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229183

On 5/9/2011 10:24 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 10, 12:19 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>> I am right handed.About half the time I use my TV remote and my WebTV
>> remote with my left hand.
>> cuhulin
>
> Very good observation . However , I'm not convinced about the bum in
> the latest video . It is another person . How about the story of
> getting cured (kidney illness) by eating watermelons ?

My grandfather used to tell my kid sister, "If you swallow a seed, it will grow in your belly." And, then he would point at some pregnant woman as "proof."

My sister admitted one time, the first time he told her that, she actually believed him! She still gets a kick out of it and admitted she once pulled it on her daughter ...

Frankly, this new story involving a watermelon is good for a laugh also ...

Regards,
JS

1262. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:29:44 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2447a765-fe8f-47e5-871d-deb58ccc53a2@x1g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229184
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 1:15 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 10:05 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >> ...
> >> Those beams at Ground Zero were cut with torches AFTER the collapse by
> >> workers cleaning up the debris, kooker. There are PHOTOS and VIDEOS of
> >> them doing this. You are STUPID BEYOND BELIEF.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > Like this one?
>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EO7SvhYI_0Y&NR=1
>
> Best thing about that video, it was BEFORE the cleanup crew comes in to
> clean up!
>
> Those are first responder firemen on the scene in that one! NOT
> demolition employees ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

I know for a fact an Ironworker wont cut a column on an angle rather
than straight through because of the time it would take.Who would want
to spend soo much time in all that heat and asbestos dust,when they
could cut the shortest and quicker path and move on to the next cut,a
junkyard man has better since that that!

1263. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:34:54 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqaipvnqv19@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229185
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/9/2011 10:29 PM, joeturn wrote:

> ...
> I know for a fact an Ironworker wont cut a column on an angle rather
> than straight through because of the time it would take.Who would want
> to spend soo much time in all that heat and asbestos dust,when they
> could cut the shortest and quicker path and move on to the next cut,a
> junkyard man has better since that that!

Ever fall a tree with a chainsaw?

Same angled cut is used to control the direction of fall, else the
worker cutting a long beam is likely to be endangered having to scramble
out of its' way.

No, people cutting beams with a torch would be just as likely to make
angled cuts as demolition workers, and for the same reasons, to control
the direction of movement. With the demolition expert, he is attempting
to shift the building in a predetermined direction, in the case of the
torch/cutter, he is attempting a fall in a predetermined direction ...
neither adds nor subtracts from existing evidence and proof.

But, like I said, those are first responders on the scene, those beams
are cut at angles, and the cleanup crew hasn't gotten there yet ...

Regards,
JS

1264. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:53:41 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ebe7938f-e46d-4356-bec0-8a231bad7805@b19g2000yqg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229186
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 1:34 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 10:29 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > I know for a fact an Ironworker wont cut a column on an angle rather
> > than straight through because of the time it would take.Who would want
> > to spend soo much time in all that heat and asbestos dust,when they
> > could cut the shortest and quicker path and move on to the next cut,a
> > junkyard man has better since that that!
>
> Ever fall a tree with a chainsaw?
>
> Same angled cut is used to control the direction of fall, else the
> worker cutting a long beam is likely to be endangered having to scramble
> out of its' way.
>
> No, people cutting beams with a torch would be just as likely to make
> angled cuts as demolition workers, and for the same reasons, to control
> the direction of movement. With the demolition expert, he is attempting
> to shift the building in a predetermined direction, in the case of the
> torch/cutter, he is attempting a fall in a predetermined direction ...
> neither adds nor subtracts from existing evidence and proof.
>
> But, like I said, those are first responders on the scene, those beams
> are cut at angles, and the cleanup crew hasn't gotten there yet ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Thermite was not used for its ability to cause an explosion or to blow
the building apart it was used strickly because of its instantanious
cutting ability in incentarary devices and very quite no loud
explosions.

This is what NIST tried to pull off,to explain away the level of noise
needed to blow a building up. It only had to be loud enough to burn
the columns into! The sheeple swallowed the loud explosion part and
this fed their fancy.

If you quietly cut all the vertical support columns then a minor
explosion creating a jolt would set off the collapse!

1265. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 05:57:49 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqak4u$t4e$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229189
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/9/2011 10:53 PM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 10, 1:34 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/9/2011 10:29 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> I know for a fact an Ironworker wont cut a column on an angle rather
>>> than straight through because of the time it would take.Who would want
>>> to spend soo much time in all that heat and asbestos dust,when they
>>> could cut the shortest and quicker path and move on to the next cut,a
>>> junkyard man has better since that that!
>>
>> Ever fall a tree with a chainsaw?
>>
>> Same angled cut is used to control the direction of fall, else the
>> worker cutting a long beam is likely to be endangered having to scramble
>> out of its' way.
>>
>> No, people cutting beams with a torch would be just as likely to make
>> angled cuts as demolition workers, and for the same reasons, to control
>> the direction of movement. With the demolition expert, he is attempting
>> to shift the building in a predetermined direction, in the case of the
>> torch/cutter, he is attempting a fall in a predetermined direction ...
>> neither adds nor subtracts from existing evidence and proof.
>>
>> But, like I said, those are first responders on the scene, those beams
>> are cut at angles, and the cleanup crew hasn't gotten there yet ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Thermite was not used for its ability to cause an explosion or to blow
> the building apart it was used strickly because of its instantanious
> cutting ability in incentarary devices and very quite no loud
> explosions.
>
> This is what NIST tried to pull off,to explain away the level of noise
> needed to blow a building up. It only had to be loud enough to burn
> the columns into! The sheeple swallowed the loud explosion part and
> this fed their fancy.
>
> If you quietly cut all the vertical support columns then a minor
> explosion creating a jolt would set off the collapse!

Yeah, I see that has nothing to do with the angle of the cuts I was explaining ... and, we need an independent investigation, not your opinions.

Regards,
JS

1266. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 06:10:03 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2832a0c693386ad98a1b2@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

In article <71bf0201-918e-4745-aef0-029bac34e253
@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>, billaryclinton2008@gmail.com says...
>
> On May 9, 9:36ï¿œam, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> > On 5/9/2011 1:04 AM, fergo wrote:
> >
> > >> ï¿œ...
> > > Done!
> > > He'll have to find someone else to buy his snake oil.
> >
> > Once again, they attempt obfuscation to attempt to make fools of users here:
> >
> > "US Law very clearly stipulates: ".If only one parent was a U.S. citizen
> > at the time of your birth, that parent must have resided in the United
> > States for at least ten years, at least five of which had to be after
> > the age of 16." Barack Obama's father was not a U.S. citizen and Obama's
> > mother was only 18 when Obama was born, which means though she had been
> > a U.S. citizen for 10 years, (or citizen perhaps because of Hawai'i
> > being a territory) the mother fails the test for being so for at least 5
> > years **prior to** Barack Obama's birth, but *after* age 16. It doesn't
> > matter *after*. "
> >
> > Be careful of their posts, they are half-truths, it is the lie which is
> > buried within that will make you a moron for believing them!
> >
> > At some point along here, you are going to have to grow up and quit
> > falling for their chit! ï¿œDUMMY!
> >
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Obama may or may not be a citizen. That's not issue. He was not
> "natural born". That is the issue.

No, that's not the issue. The mental health of birthers is the real
issue. Obama was born in Hawaii, case closed.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1267. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 06:10:13 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4e8311e1-8144-456d-8037-ea2007a92eea@t19g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229194
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 1:57 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 10:53 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 10, 1:34 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/9/2011 10:29 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >>> ...
> >>> I know for a fact an Ironworker wont cut a column on an angle rather
> >>> than straight through because of the time it would take.Who would want
> >>> to spend soo much time in all that heat and asbestos dust,when they
> >>> could cut the shortest and quicker path and move on to the next cut,a
> >>> junkyard man has better since that that!
>
> >> Ever fall a tree with a chainsaw?
>
> >> Same angled cut is used to control the direction of fall, else the
> >> worker cutting a long beam is likely to be endangered having to scramble
> >> out of its' way.
>
> >> No, people cutting beams with a torch would be just as likely to make
> >> angled cuts as demolition workers, and for the same reasons, to control
> >> the direction of movement. With the demolition expert, he is attempting
> >> to shift the building in a predetermined direction, in the case of the
> >> torch/cutter, he is attempting a fall in a predetermined direction ...
> >> neither adds nor subtracts from existing evidence and proof.
>
> >> But, like I said, those are first responders on the scene, those beams
> >> are cut at angles, and the cleanup crew hasn't gotten there yet ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > Thermite was not used for its ability to cause an explosion or to blow
> > the building apart it was used strickly because of its instantanious
> > cutting ability in incentarary devices and very quite no loud
> > explosions.
>
> > This is what NIST tried to pull off,to explain away the level of noise
> > needed to blow a building up. It only had to be loud enough to burn

> > the columns into! The sheeple swallowed the loud explosion part and
> > this fed their fancy.
>
> > If you quietly cut all the vertical support columns then a minor
> > explosion creating a jolt would set off the collapse!
>
> Yeah, I see that has nothing to do with the angle of the cuts I was
> explaining ... and, we need an independent investigation, not your opinions.
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Who would you get to except a truy investigation. Your National
Socialist Government is in control of what cases goes to court! Trying
to get this idea of yours to declare justice against your Government
is a federal offense and you would be convicted of treason!

Hows that for an opinion?

1268. SO? What would you ask wannabe' debunkers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 06:10:29 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqaksm$t4e$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yCgMCw2-aJ0&feature=related

And, next question, where is osamas body, and exactly why?

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1269. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 06:12:36 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5fb5f8ec-77b4-4121-86fc-cc2d6fa8e518@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229196
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On May 10, 1:05 am, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:3a1e97a4-09ac-4f70-8564-053bfe2fa6e1@z13g2000yqg.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 9, 8:51 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> On May 9, 7:26 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> >> wrote:
>
> >> > "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> >> >news:8b4023cd-aba5-4503-8aa4-32a06bd7214c@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> > > On May 8, 8:03 pm, Jim Kale <Guess...@bass.gov> wrote:
> >> > >> John Smith wrote:
> >> > >> > On 5/8/2011 9:34 AM, Jim Kale wrote:
>
> >> > >> >> ...
> >> > >> >> You really are retarded if you think that shit holds any kind of
> >> > >> >> reality.
> >> > >> >> So uh, who's been charged for this "crime", you retard?
> >> > >> >> I mean if it's "proof", then why isn't anybody being charged, you
> >> > >> >> retard?
>
> >> > >> > Really?
>
> >> > >> Yes, really!
>
> >> > >> > Well, it is simple, prove what you say.
>
> >> > >> I am not obligated to prove a negative, you retard.
>
> >> > >> YOU have to prove what you say is correct, and then YOU still have
> >> > >> to
> >> > >> answer my questions, you retard.
>
> >> > >> Your "list" of "experts" are all known retards, like you.
>
```

> >> > >> ANSWER MY QUESTION, you retard!
>
> >> > >> You said YOU have proof, right?
> >> > >> Then WHY isn't anybody being arrested, charged, indicted,
> >> > >> incarcerated, etc., You stump stupid retard?
>
> >> > > It was your Government that was guilty
>
> >> > Proof?
>
> >> > Didn't think you had any.
>
> >> > >and they seem to have
> >> > > diplomatic immunity from the Supreme Law of the Land.This is why
> >> > > noone
> >> > > has been charged. They are in control of all three branches of
> >> > > government the Excecutive,Legistlative and Judicial system. You can't
> >> > > get a judge to try a case against their government,that would be
> >> > > considered treason.Anything that gets excepted as a case gets
> >> > > dismissed.Bush got his cousin to throw the case against him out.The
> >> > > lady knew she was meant to be killed at the Pentagon and also knew no
> >> > > plane hit the Pentagon. This is the smoking gun "the missile attack
> >> > > at
> >> > > the Pentagon" and the shills are full force keeping that from the
> >> > > public!- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> > - Show quoted text -- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> > - Show quoted text -
>
> > The only thing this guy got wrong was
>
> EVERYTHING. Doesn't have the FIRST clue of how video works and that a video
> is actually just a serious of individual pictures and WOW when you slow down
> a video enough.....all you see is an individual picture showing NO movement
> until suddenly the next picture loads and the object leads across the frame.
>
> Dude, buy a fucking clue, you're just butt ass stupid and ignorant.
>
> the fuselage.It was not a
>
>
>
> > fuselage it was the rocket plume the rocket is up front in plain view!
> > Black nose,white center, black tail.
>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mnzh-EyxKwc&feature=player_embedded
>
> > Page two shows the first rocket in a wav just over and over.Did you
> > see a plane?

>
> >http://letsrollforums.com/helicopter-pilot-has-no-t24811.html?t=24811...- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Using the FBI released five frames clearly shows a missile.They just simply did not do good enough a hachet job on it before they released it!

1270. Re: RIGHTARDS Furious as LA Gov. Bobby Jindal Releases Long Form Birth Certificate WITH a Raised Seal PROVING he is ineligible since NEITHER of his parents was a US citizen!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 06:13:34 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2832a199d8ad34d798a1b4@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic

In article <cbe7a0de-00f1-4097-961c-907ea964cde0
@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>, iarnrod@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 9, 11:45ï¿œam, Billary <billaryclinton2...@gmail.com> wrote:
> > On May 9, 9:36ï¿œam, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > > On 5/9/2011 1:04 AM, fergo wrote:
> >
> > > > ï¿œ...
> > > > > Done!
> > > > > He'll have to find someone else to buy his snake oil.
> >
> > > > Once again, they attempt obfuscation to attempt to make fools of users here:
> >
> > > > "US Law very clearly stipulates: ".If only one parent was a U.S. citizen
> > > > at the time of your birth, that parent must have resided in the United
> > > > States for at least ten years, at least five of which had to be after
> > > > the age of 16." Barack Obama's father was not a U.S. citizen and Obama's
> > > > mother was only 18 when Obama was born, which means though she had been
> > > > a U.S. citizen for 10 years, (or citizen perhaps because of Hawai'i
> > > > being a territory) the mother fails the test for being so for at least 5
> > > > years **prior to** Barack Obama's birth, but *after* age 16. It doesn't
> > > > matter *after*. "
> >
> > > > Be careful of their posts, they are half-truths, it is the lie which is
> > > > buried within that will make you a moron for believing them!
> >
> > > > At some point along here, you are going to have to grow up and quit
> > > > falling for their chit! ï¿œDUMMY!
> >
> > > > Regards,
> > > > JS
> >
> > > Obama may or may not be a citizen. That's not issue. He was not
> > > "natural born".
>
> He was, of course, natural born. Not in dispute. Unlike McCain, Obama
> was born on US soil. This makes it unquestionable.
>

> > That is the issue.
>
> The issue is why, with Obamacare now the law, you have not yet sought
> out mental health treatment.

Probably because when he's on his meds, he feels "dead inside", and
prefers the exciting life of a mentally ill kooktard.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1271. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 06:17:03 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <01ecb785-8e10-4f75-8622-6b16f4f9dd20@j23g2000yqc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229198
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On May 10, 1:07 am, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:9641c9a8-6c0a-45f3-9606-4a8f4425e4e5@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> > wrote:
> >> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> >>news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> >> >> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> >> >> >> ...
> >> >> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> >> >> >> were
> >> >> >> in perfect working order.
> >> >> >> You retard.
>
> >> >> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and
> >> >> >> so
> >> >> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7,
> >> >> >> never
> >> >> >> saw
> >> >> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> >> >> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> >> >> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
> >> >> >Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> >> >> >nano thermite residue.
>
> >> >> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> >> >> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>
```

> >> >> --
> >> >> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> >> >> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> >> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> >> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> >> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning
> >> table,
> >> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium
> >> used
> >> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>
> >> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> >> thermite.
>
> >> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted
> >> text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > You felled to connect the dots spheres that are made from iron and
> > sulfer that do contain barrium is the tell tell sign that Thermate was
> > used!
>
> > You cutting torch would take mega time to cut through vertical columns
> > this is why natural gas and oxygen torches are not used for controlled
> > demolition.
>
> Actually, they are. A LOT. In fact in most controlled demolition they are
> used.
>
> Even in explosive controlled demolition much of the prep work is done via
> these exact sort of cutting torches.
>
> I really suggest you need to STFU and quit simply embarrassing yourself with
> your stupidity and ignorance.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

I think your getting embarrist with your Government Shill training
They did not program you to be able to think for yourself and getting
your replys butched is flustrating you!

1272. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 06:18:14 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2832a2b59432453098a1b5@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229199
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article <7059f16f-5fe7-497d-8c34-4baae6a612d7
@k22g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 9, 11:27ï¿œpm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > On May 9, 6:17ï¿œpm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 9, 7:15ï¿œpm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> > > wrote:
> >
> > > > "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > >news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > > > > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> > > > >> John Smith ï¿œ<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
> >
> > > > >> ...
> > > > >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> > > > >> were
> > > > >> in perfect working order.
> > > > >> You retard.
> >
> > > > >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> > > > >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> > > > >> saw
> > > > >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
> >
> > > > >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> > > > >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
> >
> > > > >>Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> > > > >>nano thermite residue.
> >
> > > > >> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. ï¿œTHE most
> > > > >> common metals used in high-rise construction.
> >
> > > > >> --

> > > > >> Ray Fischer ï¿œ ï¿œ ï¿œ ï¿œ | ï¿œMendacracy (n.) government by lying
> > > > >> rfisc...@sonic.net ï¿œ| ï¿œThe new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > >> - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> > > > > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
> >
> > > > Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> > > > and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> > > > in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
> >
> > > > So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> > > > thermite.
> >
> > > > Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > You felled to connect the dots spheres that are made from iron and
> > > sulfer that do contain barrium is the tell tell sign that Thermate was
> > > used!
> >
> > It is a complete physical impossibility for thermite to do what
> > happened to those buildings. It's not possible. FULL STOP!
> >
> > > You cutting torch would take mega time to cut through vertical columns
> > > this is why natural gas and oxygen torches are not used for controlled
> > > demolition.
> >
> > Thermite is not used in controlled demolition, ever.
> >
> > > During a controlled demolition the columns are fitted with a yoke that
> > > contains the thermite
> >
> > There is no thermite in controlled demolition, RockHead.
> >
> > > and holds it on the targeted column on about a
> > > 45 degree angle. This angle cut allows the top part to slip off its
> > > base and drop straight down. You can see clear pictures of these
> > > angled cuts on the columns. You would never use your torch to cut an
> > > angled cut on a beam unless it was for a specific outcome.
> >
> > Those beams at Ground Zero were cut with torches AFTER the collapse by
> > workers cleaning up the debris, kooker. There are PHOTOS and VIDEOS of
> > them doing this. You are STUPID BEYOND BELIEF.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Like this one?

>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EO7SvhYI_0Y&NR=1

You really are stupid beyond belief. I love it.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1273. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 06:32:08 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqam59$t4e$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229200
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/9/2011 11:10 PM, joeturn wrote:

> ...
> Who would you get to except a truy investigation. Your National
> Socialist Government is in control of what cases goes to court! Trying
> to get this idea of yours to declare justice against your Government
> is a federal offense and you would be convicted of treason!
>

Hire 'em. There is a whole slew of them on the 9/11 truth site, as well as many more who have already been investigating, on their own. How about making one of 'em Niels Harrit?

Even toss in some people from NIST ... it'll all work out. As long as it is done with open public scrutiny and all aspects are investigated and everything is widely open to the world.

You could have Stephen Hawkings review all the data, in the end!

Unless you are completely stupid, you will know a true and complete investigation ...

> Hows that for an opinion?

That one? Well, that one is particularly stupid ...

Regards,
JS

1274. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 06:33:45 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f1133cf0-9b2b-4241-9ff7-834f3a151f9a@r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229201
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 1:07 am, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:9641c9a8-6c0a-45f3-9606-4a8f4425e4e5@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> > wrote:
> >> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> >>news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >> > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> >> >> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >
> >> >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
> >
> >> >> >> ...
> >> >> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> >> >> >> were
> >> >> >> in perfect working order.
> >> >> >> You retard.
> >
> >> >> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and
> >> >> >> so
> >> >> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7,
> >> >> >> never
> >> >> >> saw
> >> >> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
> >
> >> >> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> >> >> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
> >
> >> >> >Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> >> >> >nano thermite residue.
> >
> >> >> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> >> >> common metals used in high-rise construction.
> >

> >> >> --
> >> >> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> >> >> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> >> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> >> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> >> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning
> >> table,
> >> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium
> >> used
> >> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>
> >> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> >> thermite.
>
> >> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted
> >> text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > You felled to connect the dots spheres that are made from iron and
> > sulfer that do contain barrium is the tell tell sign that Thermate was
> > used!
>
> > You cutting torch would take mega time to cut through vertical columns
> > this is why natural gas and oxygen torches are not used for controlled
> > demolition.
>
> Actually, they are. A LOT. In fact in most controlled demolition they are
> used.
>
> Even in explosive controlled demolition much of the prep work is done via
> these exact sort of cutting torches.

This brings us to the B-thing buch (Israely Art Students)they stayed
in there for a year and a half rent free gutting the building or do
you think they(Bushes Security Employees) took out as much support as
they could while doing their mantinance.There was very little office
equiptment plumbing,wiring,carpet,cell phones,ect in the debree pile!

Scott my audience needs to know these things,you cant do them justise
your just a simple steel worker with no knowledge of controlled
demolition except that which NIST taught you!

How loud does a linear cutting charge have to be to cut through a box
column!

Torches are used in prep work occasionally but not for cutting the support columns and they certainly dont use nap gas.

1275. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 06:43:43 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqamr0$t4e$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229202
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/9/2011 11:35 PM, fergo wrote:

>> ...
>> Exactly. That secret was kept for all of a week and a half.
>>
> Yep the government just can't keep a secret. If 11/9 really was a
> conspiracy people involved in the actual conspiracy would have come
> forward.
>
>

You mean, the actual criminals step forward and say, "Alright, I did it."

Or, "Yeah, I aided and assisted them."

Or, "Yeah, I knew about it and kept my mouth shut, even though I knew
better."

Or, "Yeah, I did-it/remained-silent for the money."

Or, "Yeah, I did-it/remained-silent for the perks/job-offer."

Or, "They threatened my family, I had to, but now I want my family dead."

Etc.

Gee, I can't even think of a single reason! ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1276. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 06:46:49 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4658eb04-2320-4089-8521-7ed938090152@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229203
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 2:32 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 11:10 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Who would you get to except a truy investigation. Your National
> > Socialist Government is in control of what cases goes to court! Trying
> > to get this idea of yours to declare justice against your Government
> > is a federal offense and you would be convicted of treason!
>
> Hire 'em. There is a whole slew of them on the 9/11 truth site, as well
> as many more who have already been investigating, on their own. How
> about making one of 'em Niels Harrit?
>
> Even toss in some people from NIST ... it'll all work out. As long as
> it is done with open public scrutiny and all aspects are investigated
> and everything is widely open to the world.
>
> You could have Stephen Hawkings review all the data, in the end!
>
> Unless you are completely stupid, you will know a true and complete
> investigation ...
>
> > Hows that for an opinion?
>
> That one? Well, that one is particularly stupid ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

A lawyer oath demans him be hung for going against his Governmens
Constitution! Bushes cousin threw the case againt Chenney and Rumsfeld
out of court still claiming there was a plane strike at the Pentagram!

Its all cut and dried,its National Socialism brought here by our 41st
President straight from Nazi Germany."Curious George" Sherriff Jr that
name given to him by Tesla, started the CIA.

There has been too many years in the planning to bring about One World
Government,to let a true investigation be presented to the sheeple!

1277. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 06:53:15 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqancs$t4e$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229204
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/9/2011 11:46 PM, joeturn wrote:

> ...
> A lawyer oath demans him be hung for going against his Governmens
> Constitution! Bushes cousin threw the case againt Chenney and Rumsfeld
> out of court still claiming there was a plane strike at the Pentagram!
>
> Its all cut and dried,its National Socialism brought here by our 41st
> President straight from Nazi Germany."Curious George" Sherriff Jr that
> name given to him by Tesla, started the CIA.
>
> There has been too many years in the planning to bring about One World
> Government,to let a true investigation be presented to the sheeple!

Which brings us full circle, yes, the problem is the criminals in
government -- everything else is simply a symptom of the problem.

Regards,
JS

1278. Re: The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine : Simply Accept It !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 07:01:27 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <0c05df74-1d87-480d-a45a-757e1307258c@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229205

On May 9, 9:16 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 9, 5:28 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 9, 11:48 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 9, 9:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > > On 5/8/2011 7:38 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 8, 10:46 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > >> Still flooding.Memphis is almost an Island now.I hope they save Elvis
> > > > >> Presley's body.
> > > > >> cuhulin
>
> > > > > Nasville got hit badly in 2010 . Thousand-year flood .
>
> > > > > Thousand year floods every hundred years; hundred year floods every 10
> > > > > years. 1 foot coastal inundation now 10 feet, 10 foot inundation now 100
> > > > > feet.
>
> > > Hard to tell if it will be this severe right away, but certainly the
> > > extremes - both high and low - will obviously ramp up noticeably. The
> > > West is getting one of it's heaviest, longest-lasting winters ever,
> > > after two years of considerable dought and a very heavy, long-lasting
> > > winter three years back - preceded by a decade of drought.
>
> > - Feast or famine - get used to it.
>
> > The Weather Has Always Been "Feast-or-Famine" :
> > SIMPLY ACCEPT IT !
> > .
> > The Climate of California is varied with much of it
> > being a Dry {Semi Arid} Mediterranean Climate.
> > .
> > -wrt- Climate of Californiahttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_of_California
> > .

> > -wrt- California Climate
Regionshttp://www.cangogo.com/caregionmapbig.jpghttp://www.smilingglobe.com/...
> > * Northern Coast Climate
> > * * Northern Inland Coastal Mountain
> > * Central Coast Climate
> > * * Central Inland Coastal Mountain
> > * So-Cal Coastal Climate
> > * * So-Cal Inland Mojave Desert & Mountains
> > * * * Death Valley
> > * Sacramento Northern Central Valley
> > * San Joaquin Southern Central Valley
> > * Northern Cascades and Klamath Mountains
> > * Northern Sierra Mountains
> > * Central & Southern Sierra Mountains
> > * * Eastern Sierras
> > * Transverse Ranges : Tehachapi Mountains
etchttp://www.blog.cibusa.com/fm/california_wineries/
> > * * * San Francisco Bay Area
> > * * * Monterey Bay Area
> > * * * Los Angles Basin
> > * * * San Diego Bay Area
>
- I am aware of California WX patterns, thank you. Your
- oversimplification does not tell the story well at all.

BpnJ -to-quote-you- "Feast or famine - get used to it."
-wrt- The Weather -sounds-like-so-much...-
-global-warming-drama-&-hysteria-rotfl-

The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine :
Simply Accept It ! -while-'climate-change'-happens-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e54ea46a5f695d64
-a-basic-reality-of-a-living-planet-that-is-naturally-
-evolving-dominated-by-two-factors-sun-&-earth-
.
.

1279. Re: The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine : Simply Accept It !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 07:23:37 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7a974cc9-c0e3-456f-90d1-77ba5d72d1cb@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229206

On May 9, 8:33 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 9, 8:28 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 9, 11:48 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 9, 9:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > > On 5/8/2011 7:38 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 8, 10:46 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > >> Still flooding.Memphis is almost an Island now.I hope they save Elvis
> > > > >> Presley's body.
> > > > >> cuhulin
>
> > > > > Nasville got hit badly in 2010 . Thousand-year flood .
>
> > > > Thousand year floods every hundred years; hundred year floods every 10
> > > > years. 1 foot coastal inundation now 10 feet, 10 foot inundation now 100
> > > > feet.
>
> > > Hard to tell if it will be this severe right away, but certainly the
> > > extremes - both high and low - will obviously ramp up noticeably. The
> > > West is getting one of it's heaviest, longest-lasting winters ever,
> > > after two years of considerable dought and a very heavy, long-lasting
> > > winter three years back - preceded by a decade of drought.
>
> > - Feast or famine - get used to it.
>
> > The Weather Has Always Been "Feast-or-Famine" :
> > SIMPLY ACCEPT IT !
> > .
> > The Climate of California is varied with much of it
> > being a Dry {Semi Arid} Mediterranean Climate.
> > .
> > -wrt- Climate of Californiahttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_of_California
> > .

> > -wrt- California Climate
Regionshttp://www.cangogo.com/caregionmapbig.jpghttp://www.smilingglobe.com/...
> > * Northern Coast Climate
> > * * Northern Inland Coastal Mountain
> > * Central Coast Climate
> > * * Central Inland Coastal Mountain
> > * So-Cal Coastal Climate
> > * * So-Cal Inland Mojave Desert & Mountains
> > * * * Death Valley
> > * Sacramento Northern Central Valley
> > * San Joaquin Southern Central Valley
> > * Northern Cascades and Klamath Mountains
> > * Northern Sierra Mountains
> > * Central & Southern Sierra Mountains
> > * * Eastern Sierras
> > * Transverse Ranges : Tehachapi Mountains
etchttp://www.blog.cibusa.com/fm/california_wineries/
> > * * * San Francisco Bay Area
> > * * * Monterey Bay Area
> > * * * Los Angles Basin
> > * * * San Diego Bay Area
> > .
> > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -

- Do you have any sightings of the Sasquatch in California lately?

NO ! : Al Gore has not been 'in' California for a while
-but- The Good-Year Blimp has been floating around
a lot . . .
Yeah I know it is easy to get the two confused : Both
are Filled with Hot Air and Big Bags of Gas ;;-}}

FWIW : Sasquatch [Big Foot] Sightings Map
http://www.bfro.net/gdb/
The California Number of 'Sightings' 425 is believed
to be Corrupted by Illegal Drug and Alcohol Users.
Factoring Out Those who admitted to using either
or both in the 4~8 Hour Period leading up to Their
Claimed 'Sighting' it's more like 128.

tv weather reporting it's . . .
so easy a sasquatch could do it ~ RHF
.
.

1280. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 07:44:04 UTC
From: fergo <fergo@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <9-CdnWkbqa56cVXQnZ2dnUVZ_oadnZ2d@westnet.com.au>
Source record: 229207
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:iqamr0$t4e$4@dont-email.me...
> On 5/9/2011 11:35 PM, fergo wrote:
>
>>> ...
>>> Exactly. That secret was kept for all of a week and a half.
>>>
>> Yep the government just can't keep a secret. If 11/9 really was a
>> conspiracy people involved in the actual conspiracy would have come
>> forward.
>>
>>
>
> You mean, the actual criminals step forward and say, "Alright, I did it."
>
> Or, "Yeah, I aided and assisted them."
>
> Or, "Yeah, I knew about it and kept my mouth shut, even though I knew
> better."
>
> Or, "Yeah, I did-it/remained-silent for the money."
>
> Or, "Yeah, I did-it/remained-silent for the perks/job-offer."
>
> Or, "They threatened my family, I had to, but now I want my family dead."
>
> Etc.
>
> Gee, I can't even think of a single reason! ROFLOL
>
Um so why did they step forward during Watergate?

> Regards,
> JS

1281. Re: The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine : Simply Accept It !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 07:45:18 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <f7d4c1fb-9ef3-4b09-bd95-c3fec407388f@f9g2000vbz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229208

On May 10, 3:23 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 9, 8:33 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 9, 8:28 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 9, 11:48 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 9, 9:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > > > On 5/8/2011 7:38 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 8, 10:46 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > > >> Still flooding.Memphis is almost an Island now.I hope they save Elvis
> > > > > >> Presley's body.
> > > > > >> cuhulin
>
> > > > > > Nasville got hit badly in 2010 . Thousand-year flood .
>
> > > > > > Thousand year floods every hundred years; hundred year floods every 10
> > > > > > years. 1 foot coastal inundation now 10 feet, 10 foot inundation now 100
> > > > > > feet.
>
> > > > Hard to tell if it will be this severe right away, but certainly the
> > > > extremes - both high and low - will obviously ramp up noticeably. The
> > > > West is getting one of it's heaviest, longest-lasting winters ever,
> > > > after two years of considerable dought and a very heavy, long-lasting
> > > > winter three years back - preceded by a decade of drought.
>
> > > - Feast or famine - get used to it.
>
> > > The Weather Has Always Been "Feast-or-Famine" :
> > > SIMPLY ACCEPT IT !
> > > .
> > > The Climate of California is varied with much of it
> > > being a Dry {Semi Arid} Mediterranean Climate.
> > > .
> > > -wrt- Climate of Californiahttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_of_California
> > > .
> > > -wrt- California Climate
Regionshttp://www.cangogo.com/caregionmapbig.jpghttp://www.smilingglobe.com/...

> > > * Northern Coast Climate
> > > * * Northern Inland Coastal Mountain
> > > * Central Coast Climate
> > > * * Central Inland Coastal Mountain
> > > * So-Cal Coastal Climate
> > > * * So-Cal Inland Mojave Desert & Mountains
> > > * * * Death Valley
> > > * Sacramento Northern Central Valley
> > > * San Joaquin Southern Central Valley
> > > * Northern Cascades and Klamath Mountains
> > > * Northern Sierra Mountains
> > > * Central & Southern Sierra Mountains
> > > * * Eastern Sierras
> > > * Transverse Ranges : Tehachapi Mountains
etchttp://www.blog.cibusa.com/fm/california_wineries/
> > > * * * San Francisco Bay Area
> > > * * * Monterey Bay Area
> > > * * * Los Angles Basin
> > > * * * San Diego Bay Area
> > > .
> > > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> - Do you have any sightings of the Sasquatch in California lately?
>
> NO ! : Al Gore has not been 'in' California for a while
> -but- The Good-Year Blimp has been floating around
> a lot . . .
> Yeah I know it is easy to get the two confused : Both
> are Filled with Hot Air and Big Bags of Gas ;;-}}
>
> FWIW : Sasquatch [Big Foot] Sightings Maphttp://www.bfro.net/gdb/
> The California Number of 'Sightings' 425 is believed
> to be Corrupted by Illegal Drug and Alcohol Users.
> Factoring Out Those who admitted to using either
> or both in the 4~8 Hour Period leading up to Their
> Claimed 'Sighting' it's more like 128.
>
> tv weather reporting it's . . .
> so easy a sasquatch could do it ~ RHF
> .
> .- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Hawaii = zero sightings . Can't be . No way .

1282. Re: The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine : Simply Accept It !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 09:08:11 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <566e7e08-46a1-44c7-917c-0b2116240527@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229209

On May 10, 12:45 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 10, 3:23 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 9, 8:33 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > On May 9, 8:28 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 9, 11:48 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 9, 9:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On 5/8/2011 7:38 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > > On May 8, 10:46 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > > > >> Still flooding.Memphis is almost an Island now.I hope they save Elvis
> > > > > > >> Presley's body.
> > > > > > >> cuhulin
>
> > > > > > > Nasville got hit badly in 2010 . Thousand-year flood .
>
> > > > > > > Thousand year floods every hundred years; hundred year floods every 10
> > > > > > > years. 1 foot coastal inundation now 10 feet, 10 foot inundation now 100
> > > > > > > feet.
>
> > > > > > Hard to tell if it will be this severe right away, but certainly the
> > > > > > extremes - both high and low - will obviously ramp up noticeably. The
> > > > > > West is getting one of it's heaviest, longest-lasting winters ever,
> > > > > > after two years of considerable dought and a very heavy, long-lasting
> > > > > > winter three years back - preceded by a decade of drought.
>
> > > > > - Feast or famine - get used to it.
>
> > > > The Weather Has Always Been "Feast-or-Famine" :
> > > > SIMPLY ACCEPT IT !
> > > > .
> > > > The Climate of California is varied with much of it

> > > > being a Dry {Semi Arid} Mediterranean Climate.
> > > > .
> > > > -wrt- Climate of Californiahttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_of_California
> > > > .
> > > > -wrt- California Climate
Regionshttp://www.cangogo.com/caregionmapbig.jpghttp://www.smilingglobe.com/...
> > > > * Northern Coast Climate
> > > > * * Northern Inland Coastal Mountain
> > > > * Central Coast Climate
> > > > * * Central Inland Coastal Mountain
> > > > * So-Cal Coastal Climate
> > > > * * So-Cal Inland Mojave Desert & Mountains
> > > > * * * Death Valley
> > > > * Sacramento Northern Central Valley
> > > > * San Joaquin Southern Central Valley
> > > > * Northern Cascades and Klamath Mountains
> > > > * Northern Sierra Mountains
> > > > * Central & Southern Sierra Mountains
> > > > * * Eastern Sierras
> > > > * Transverse Ranges : Tehachapi Mountains
etchttp://www.blog.cibusa.com/fm/california_wineries/
> > > > * * * San Francisco Bay Area
> > > > * * * Monterey Bay Area
> > > > * * * Los Angles Basin
> > > > * * * San Diego Bay Area
> > > > .
> > > > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > - Do you have any sightings of the Sasquatch in California lately?
>
> > NO ! : Al Gore has not been 'in' California for a while
> > -but- The Good-Year Blimp has been floating around
> > a lot . . .
> > Yeah I know it is easy to get the two confused : Both
> > are Filled with Hot Air and Big Bags of Gas ;;-}}
>
> > FWIW : Sasquatch [Big Foot] Sightings Maphttp://www.bfro.net/gdb/
> > The California Number of 'Sightings' 425 is believed
> > to be Corrupted by Illegal Drug and Alcohol Users.
> > Factoring Out Those who admitted to using either
> > or both in the 4~8 Hour Period leading up to Their
> > Claimed 'Sighting' it's more like 128.
>
> > tv weather reporting it's . . .
> > so easy a sasquatch could do it ~ RHF
> > .
> > .- Hide quoted text -
>

> > - Show quoted text -

- Hawaii = zero sightings . Can't be . No way .

But... They do Report that they see "Pele" daily.
http://www.pelelani.com/images/pelelani_logo.jpg
-with-a-goddess-like-'pele'-who-needs-big-foot-;;-}}-
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pele_%28deity%29
.
Smoking Dave says He Sees 'Pele' in Every Puff !
.
"The Power Hour" with Joyce Riley was talking about
http://www.thepowerhour.com/schedule.htm
"Bio-Char" {Biochar} as a Home Grown Farm Fuel
and Soil Amendment By-Product {Recycling}.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Biochar
The Future is 'Bio' not Petro-Chemical
-just-say-'no'-to-petro-poisons-
=&=Just=Say=Hell="No"=To=Nuclear=
.
WWCR on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC
"The Power Hour" with Joyce Riley
http://www.thepowerhour.com/
http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/powerHour/
.
It's Time for "The Power Hour" @ 1200~1500 UTC
-via- WWCR Shortwave Radio on 7490 & 13,845 kHz
http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
.
.

1283. VERY HOT VIDEOS AND SEXY GIRLS PHOTOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 09:50:20 UTC
From: 866434 <iashjbbxdhvv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <77bb4974-8235-4c0a-bd30-8e79d1bc5a30@b7g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229210

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

1284. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 12:00:28 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <407067a5-d80d-4b6e-90f1-14ca8ab5376f@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229211
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 12:33 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 10, 1:07 am, "Scout"
>
>
>
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> >news:9641c9a8-6c0a-45f3-9606-4a8f4425e4e5@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> > > wrote:
> > >> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > >>news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>
> > >> > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> > >> >> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> > >> >> >> ...
> > >> >> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> > >> >> >> were
> > >> >> >> in perfect working order.
> > >> >> >> You retard.
>
> > >> >> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and
> > >> >> >> so
> > >> >> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7,
> > >> >> >> never
> > >> >> >> saw
> > >> >> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> > >> >> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> > >> >> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
> > >> >> >>Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> > >> >> >>nano thermite residue.
>
> > >> >> >The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> > >> >> >common metals used in high-rise construction.
>

> > >> >> --
> > >> >> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> > >> >> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>
> > >> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > >> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> > >> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> > >> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning
> > >> table,
> > >> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium
> > >> used
> > >> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>
> > >> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> > >> thermite.
>
> > >> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted
> > >> text -
>
> > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > You felled to connect the dots spheres that are made from iron and
> > > sulfer that do contain barrium is the tell tell sign that Thermate was
> > > used!
>
> > > You cutting torch would take mega time to cut through vertical columns
> > > this is why natural gas and oxygen torches are not used for controlled
> > > demolition.
>
> > Actually, they are. A LOT. In fact in most controlled demolition they are
> > used.
>
> > Even in explosive controlled demolition much of the prep work is done via
> > these exact sort of cutting torches.
>
> This brings us to the B-thing buch (Israely Art Students)they stayed
> in there for a year and a half rent free gutting the building or do
> you think they(Bushes Security Employees) took out as much support as
> they could while doing their mantinance.There was very little office
> equiptment plumbing,wiring,carpet,cell phones,ect in the debree pile!
>
> Scott my audience needs to know these things,you cant do them justise
> your just a simple steel worker with no knowledge of controlled
> demolition except that which NIST taught you!
>
> How loud does a linear cutting charge have to be to cut through a box
> column!
>

> Torches are used in prep work occasionally but not for cutting the
> support columns and they certainly dont use nap gas.

Please stop smoking crack, WrongTurnJoe. Your poor suffering momma
can't take any more!

1285. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 12:02:01 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <014e5b26-1c75-4d1f-be78-ed0626c06518@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229212
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 12:18 am, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <7059f16f-5fe7-497d-8c34-4baae6a612d7
> @k22g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 9, 11:27 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > On May 9, 6:17 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> > > > wrote:
>
> > > > > "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > > > >news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > > > > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> > > > > >> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> > > > > >> >> ...
> > > > > >> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> > > > > >> >> were
> > > > > >> >> in perfect working order.
> > > > > >> >> You retard.
>
> > > > > >> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> > > > > >> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> > > > > >> >> saw
> > > > > >> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> > > > > >> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> > > > > >> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
> > > > > >> >>Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> > > > > >> >>nano thermite residue.
>
> > > > > >> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> > > > > >> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>

> > > > > >> --
> > > > > >> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> > > > > >> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> > > > > > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> > > > > Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> > > > > and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> > > > > in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>
> > > > > So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> > > > > thermite.
>
> > > > > Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > You felled to connect the dots spheres that are made from iron and
> > > > sulfer that do contain barrium is the tell tell sign that Thermate was
> > > > used!
>
> > > It is a complete physical impossibility for thermite to do what
> > > happened to those buildings. It's not possible. FULL STOP!
>
> > > > You cutting torch would take mega time to cut through vertical columns
> > > > this is why natural gas and oxygen torches are not used for controlled
> > > > demolition.
>
> > > Thermite is not used in controlled demolition, ever.
>
> > > > During a controlled demolition the columns are fitted with a yoke that
> > > > contains the thermite
>
> > > There is no thermite in controlled demolition, RockHead.
>
> > > > and holds it on the targeted column on about a
> > > > 45 degree angle. This angle cut allows the top part to slip off its
> > > > base and drop straight down. You can see clear pictures of these
> > > > angled cuts on the columns. You would never use your torch to cut an
> > > > angled cut on a beam unless it was for a specific outcome.
>
> > > Those beams at Ground Zero were cut with torches AFTER the collapse by
> > > workers cleaning up the debris, kooker. There are PHOTOS and VIDEOS of
> > > them doing this. You are STUPID BEYOND BELIEF.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>

> > Like this one?
>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EO7SvhYI_0Y&NR=1
>
> You really are stupid beyond belief. I love it.

He IS pure Komedy Gold, innit he? He is MUCH more amusing than Johnny KQQK since WrongTurnJoe is too stupid to know he's stupid, more like an innocent child. Johnny, however, had grown dull and lost his comedic edge.

1286. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 12:08:13 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6c67d54f-3eaf-4fa7-8497-b9215eda1d40@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229213
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 10, 12:43 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 11:35 PM, fergo wrote:
>
> >> ...
> >> Exactly. That secret was kept for all of a week and a half.
>
> > Yep the government just can't keep a secret. If 11/9 really was a
> > conspiracy people involved in the actual conspiracy would have come
> > forward.
>
> You mean, the actual criminals step forward and say, "Alright, I did it."
>
> Or, "Yeah, I aided and assisted them."
>
> Or, "Yeah, I knew about it and kept my mouth shut, even though I knew
> better."
>
> Or, "Yeah, I did-it/remained-silent for the money."
>
> Or, "Yeah, I did-it/remained-silent for the perks/job-offer."
>
> Or, "They threatened my family, I had to, but now I want my family dead."
>
> Etc.
>
> Gee, I can't even think of a single reason! ROFLOL

You can't "think" at all, Johnny KQQK.

According to you, everyone in the world is threatening everyone else
except you and the other dozen truthers still left in the world to
keep this secret from you. BWHAAHAAHAHAHAAAHAHAHAA!

Hint: Your claims are proven to be physically impossible. End of story.

1287. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 12:10:46 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <262523f9-9e6d-40b4-aaad-77c2bdff2c5d@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229214
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 9, 11:15 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 10:05 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >> ...
> >> Those beams at Ground Zero were cut with torches AFTER the collapse by
> >> workers cleaning up the debris, kooker. There are PHOTOS and VIDEOS of
> >> them doing this. You are STUPID BEYOND BELIEF.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > Like this one?
>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EO7SvhYI_0Y&NR=1
>
> Best thing about that video, it was BEFORE the cleanup crew comes in to
> clean up!

Actually it was after, but please keep you kook on, Johnny!

BWAAAAAHAAAHAAAHAHAAAA!!

> Those are first responder firemen on the scene in that one! NOT
> demolition employees ...

BWAHAAHAHAAAHAAHAAA!!!! Johnny KQQK "thinks" firefighters all left at
9 pm on Sept. 11 and didn't ever come back.

* plonk *!!

1288. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 12:12:21 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8689bffa-89d8-4b8d-bc72-bcd8d0cb95e4@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229215
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 9, 9:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 6:36 PM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
>
>
>
> > On Sun, 08 May 2011 21:53:25 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > wrote:
>
> >> THIS:
> >> "It was analyzed by Ivan Zatkovich of Tampa-based eComp Consultants,
> >> which consults on intellectual property for telecommunications, web
> >> publishing and ecommerce and has provided services for corporations such
> >> as McGraw-Hill, Houghton-Mifflin, Citicorp and Amazon.com. Zatkovich has
> >> 28 years experience in computer science and document management and for
> >> more than 10 years has been an expert witness providing testimony in
> >> federal court in both criminal and civil litigation.
>
> >> He confirmed that the multiple layers of the PDF document are anomalous.
>
> >> "When a paper document is scanned on a scanner and saved as a PDF file
> >> it normally contains only a single layer of graphical information. The
> >> PDF that appears on the White House website however, contains multiple
> >> layers of graphic information. Multiple layers usually appear in a
> >> document like this when it is being edited or modified in some fashion.
>
> >> "It is possible to take a single layer PDF and inadvertently create
> >> multiple layers, without changing the image in any fashion. But that
> >> does not appear to be the case here. The multiple layers in the PDF
> >> document are a result of changes made to the image," his report said.
>
> >> Among the various items that were separated into different layers
> >> include the main text, the mother's occupation, the dates accepted, the
> >> stamp and signature of the state registrar and the time stamp of the
> >> state registrar:"
>
> >> From here:
> >>http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=293421
>
> >> Yes, it just keeps getting better and the mountain of proof has grown
> >> beyond ABSOLUTE!
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS

> > AND, this must be a source of major amusement among those who work in
> > the mainstream media. They know the document is phony, they know
> > Obama was never technically eligible to be POTUS, and they remain
> > determined to defend him against all assaults. He is their guy, they
> > invested everything in him, and they will go down with him.
>
> > So why bother with this BS?
>
> > It is silly to fight where there is no possibility of success.
>
> > We can beat him in 2012, because he is showing that he is incompetent
> > on the most important criteria for presidents, managing the economy.
> > As the Clinton campaign said: "its the economy, stupid!"
>
> > And the worsening economic chaos will bring in a Republican to try to
> > rescue whatever he can from the wreckage Obama leaves.
>
> > Larry
>
> I believe that people who are willing to ignore the Constitution,
> consider some "technical" item of it to be of little consequence are
> those causing the problems!
>
> If they suggest I then follow them on their "genius plans" ... well, you
> can see how I am forced to decline ...

Yes, the nurses won't let you out.

1289. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 12:48:05 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iqbc65lvh1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229216
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/10/2011 2:12 AM, joeturn wrote:

> Using the FBI released five frames clearly shows a missile.They just
> simply did not do good enough a hachet job on it before they released
> it!

Huh?

Why would the FBI release something so carelessly? Why would they release something that would prove they were lying? Why would they shoot themselves in the foot?

You are saying on the one hand that they are smart enough to realize the film needs doctoring, but on the other hand, they weren't smart enough to remove what they realized needed doctoring.

Thanks for proving once again that the two most common things in the universe are hydrogen and stupidity...and I'll give you a clue: we ain't talkin' hydrogen. :-(

1290. Re: Al Qaeda is a Creation of the CIA: Chossudovsky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 12:56:47 UTC
From: golfbum18 <golfbum18@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <42efe68e-2a8b-4497-9af7-0682b57e0faa@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy

On May 10, 12:40 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 9:16 PM, skepti...@aol.com wrote:
>
> > > 9/11 ANALYSIS: From Ronald Reagan and the Soviet-Afghan War to George
> > > W Bush and September 11, 2001by Michel Chossudovsky
>
> > > Global Research, September 9, 2010
> > > ...
>
> Well, yeah ...
>
> All of our "problems" seem to be related to inside jobs involving the
> CIA, on some scale ...
>
> Past bogymen we have created, past dictators we have placed in office,
> past "aid" given to countries, drugs for guns deals, etc., etc.
>
> I think we can improve our personal situation a LOT by simply getting
> rid of the CIA, like Kennedy and his brother had planned ...
>
> The whole system of public servants have seem to have gone
> paranoid/schizophrenic and started believing in the bogymen they create
> to manipulate the population!
>
> Well, a good house cleaning could put that all behind, for awhile, again ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

So where have you been lately? We've known this for years.

1291. Re: WATERGATE, JULY 1972 AND YOU ARE THERE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 13:01:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqbd00ckp1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229218
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/10/2011 12:44 AM, fergo wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
> news:iqamr0$t4e$4@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/9/2011 11:35 PM, fergo wrote:
>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Exactly. That secret was kept for all of a week and a half.
>>>>
>>> Yep the government just can't keep a secret. If 11/9 really was a
>>> conspiracy people involved in the actual conspiracy would have come
>>> forward.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> You mean, the actual criminals step forward and say, "Alright, I did it."
>>
>> Or, "Yeah, I aided and assisted them."
>>
>> Or, "Yeah, I knew about it and kept my mouth shut, even though I knew
>> better."
>>
>> Or, "Yeah, I did-it/remained-silent for the money."
>>
>> Or, "Yeah, I did-it/remained-silent for the perks/job-offer."
>>
>> Or, "They threatened my family, I had to, but now I want my family dead."
>>
>> Etc.
>>
>> Gee, I can't even think of a single reason! ROFLOL
>>
> Um so why did they step forward during Watergate?
>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>

They didn't. A piece of tape was found by building security which held
the bolting mechanism of the door lock in the room that was burgled, and
the astute building guard triggered the whole investigation.

This same thing has happened in 9/11. Astute scientists, engineers and architects have found the "smoking gun" (just as the guard in watergate) but criminal public servants and courts have, so far, effectively blocked the ensuing, REAL, investigation from ensuing and apprehending the REAL criminals involved.

Seems the criminals did enough homework on watergate to know how to block the crime from progressing to the courts on 9/11 ...

Regards,
JS

Regards,
JS

1292. Re: Al Qaeda is a Creation of the CIA: Chossudovsky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 13:23:56 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqbe9dckq1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/10/2011 5:56 AM, golfbum18 wrote:
> On May 10, 12:40 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/9/2011 9:16 PM, skepti...@aol.com wrote:
>>
>>> 9/11 ANALYSIS: From Ronald Reagan and the Soviet-Afghan War to George
>>> W Bush and September 11, 2001by Michel Chossudovsky
>>
>>> Global Research, September 9, 2010
>>> ...
>>
>> Well, yeah ...
>>
>> All of our "problems" seem to be related to inside jobs involving the
>> CIA, on some scale ...
>>
>> Past bogymen we have created, past dictators we have placed in office,
>> past "aid" given to countries, drugs for guns deals, etc., etc.
>>
>> I think we can improve our personal situation a LOT by simply getting
>> rid of the CIA, like Kennedy and his brother had planned ...
>>
>> The whole system of public servants have seem to have gone
>> paranoid/schizophrenic and started believing in the bogymen they create
>> to manipulate the population!
>>
>> Well, a good house cleaning could put that all behind, for awhile, again ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> So where have you been lately? We've known this for years.

Saying it for years, most likely how you gained the knowledge ... my
granddad knew it and told me ...

Regards,
JS

1293. Re: CRIMINAL PRESIDENT GET REELECTED? HOW COULD THIS HAPPEN?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 13:32:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqbeq5$kk5$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229220
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/10/2011 12:44 AM, fergo wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
> news:iqamr0$t4e$4@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/9/2011 11:35 PM, fergo wrote:
>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Exactly. That secret was kept for all of a week and a half.
>>>>
>>> Yep the government just can't keep a secret. If 11/9 really was a
>>> conspiracy people involved in the actual conspiracy would have come
>>> forward.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> You mean, the actual criminals step forward and say, "Alright, I did it."
>>
>> Or, "Yeah, I aided and assisted them."
>>
>> Or, "Yeah, I knew about it and kept my mouth shut, even though I knew
>> better."
>>
>> Or, "Yeah, I did-it/remained-silent for the money."
>>
>> Or, "Yeah, I did-it/remained-silent for the perks/job-offer."
>>
>> Or, "They threatened my family, I had to, but now I want my family dead."
>>
>> Etc.
>>
>> Gee, I can't even think of a single reason! ROFLOL
>>
> Um so why did they step forward during Watergate?
>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>

"What happened at Watergate?

Watergate is a complex of apartment and office buildings in Washington,
D.C. In July 1972, five men were caught breaking into the Democratic

Party's national headquarters at the Watergate. Among them was James McCord Jr. (1918ï¿½), the security coordinator of the Committee for the Re-election of the President (CRP), an organization working to get Republican President Richard Nixon (1913ï¿½1994) elected to a second term in office.

All five men who were caught in the break-in were indicted (required to stand trial) on charges of burglary and wire-tapping, as were CRP aide G. Gordon Liddy (1930ï¿½) and White House consultant E. Howard Hunt Jr. (1918ï¿½). They pleaded guilty to the charges; McCord and Liddy were tried and found guilty. However, when the election was held in November, Nixon won in a landslide victory over Democratic candidate George McGovern (1922ï¿½)."

Regards,
JS

1294. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 14:02:56 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqbgih$kk5$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229221
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/10/2011 4:22 AM, BAR wrote:
> In article<3c7hs6dgjq2n6annc6pdu8cnoorlm50npr@4ax.com>,
> bknight@conramp.net says...
>>
>> On Mon, 09 May 2011 18:36:07 -0700, Larry Whitaker
>> <larry@pivotforpower.com> wrote:
>>
>>> On Sun, 08 May 2011 21:53:25 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
>>> wrote:
>>
>> Larry, why don't you and Smith exchange email addresses and have a
>> ball exchanging your outlandish theories.
>>
>> You see, the Birth Certificate issue has been laid to rest for those
>> with IQs in the triple digits. Give it up.
>
> I am still waiting to see the true original birth certificate recorded
> on or about August 9, 1961.

You are not alone, the world waits ... but, crooks are like that, they always let you down.

The only part of the promises obama has kept, which he promised to get elected, is CHANGE! A CHANGE FOR THE WORSE!

Regards,
JS

1295. Re: There is nothing but proof hiding under every rock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 14:12:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqbh4u$kk5$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229222
Cross-posted to: sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rec.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/10/2011 4:25 AM, johnty wrote:
> On May 9, 5:00 am, kroyce<kdro...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> The question becomes, how did people refer to the nationality of
>> Colonial Kenyans back in 1961?
>
> As I remember it, "Kenyan"

Some would have it as "AMERICAN!"

Regards,
JS

1296. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 14:20:20 UTC
From: Billary <billaryclinton2008@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <c657e4b0-bb1d-435f-8bef-7530db9c8a41@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229223
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 10, 10:02 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/10/2011 4:22 AM, BAR wrote:
>
>
>
> > In article<3c7hs6dgjq2n6annc6pdu8cnoorlm50...@4ax.com>,
> > bkni...@conramp.net says...
>
> >> On Mon, 09 May 2011 18:36:07 -0700, Larry Whitaker
> >> <la...@pivotforpower.com> wrote:
>
> >>> On Sun, 08 May 2011 21:53:25 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >>> wrote:
>
> >> Larry, why don't you and Smith exchange email addresses and have a
> >> ball exchanging your outlandish theories.
>
> >> You see, the Birth Certificate issue has been laid to rest for those
> >> with IQs in the triple digits. Give it up.
>
> > I am still waiting to see the true original birth certificate recorded
> > on or about August 9, 1961.
>
> You are not alone, the world waits ... but, crooks are like that, they
> always let you down.
>
> The only part of the promises obama has kept, which he promised to get
> elected, is CHANGE! A CHANGE FOR THE WORSE!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Obama wasn't born in Hawaii. Yes he was entitled to America
citizenship through his mother. But he was NOT a natural born citizen.
He was not eligible to be president.

1297. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 14:31:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DC94C48-230@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229225

A couple of new Proof articles at
http://www.libertypost.org
cuhulin

1298. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 14:34:50 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqbieb$kk5$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229224
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/10/2011 7:20 AM, Billary wrote:

>> ...
>> The only part of the promises obama has kept, which he promised to get
>> elected, is CHANGE! A CHANGE FOR THE WORSE!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Obama wasn't born in Hawaii. Yes he was entitled to America
> citizenship through his mother. But he was NOT a natural born citizen.
> He was not eligible to be president.
>

It certainly needs a forum, a court/jury would suffice nicely, and
vetted properly!

Regards,
JS

1299. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 14:49:57 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqbjal$kk5$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229226

On 5/10/2011 7:31 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> A couple of new Proof articles at
> http://www.libertypost.org
> cuhulin
>

Can you be more specific on the exact URLs?

Regards,
JS

1300. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 14:55:22 UTC
From: "John B." <johnb505@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4431bb3b-d71c-47d8-9b30-112f02e665e0@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229227
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 9, 11:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 6:36 PM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Sun, 08 May 2011 21:53:25 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > wrote:
>
> >> THIS:
> >> "It was analyzed by Ivan Zatkovich of Tampa-based eComp Consultants,
> >> which consults on intellectual property for telecommunications, web
> >> publishing and ecommerce and has provided services for corporations such
> >> as McGraw-Hill, Houghton-Mifflin, Citicorp and Amazon.com. Zatkovich has
> >> 28 years experience in computer science and document management and for
> >> more than 10 years has been an expert witness providing testimony in
> >> federal court in both criminal and civil litigation.
>
> >> He confirmed that the multiple layers of the PDF document are anomalous.
>
> >> "When a paper document is scanned on a scanner and saved as a PDF file
> >> it normally contains only a single layer of graphical information. The
> >> PDF that appears on the White House website however, contains multiple
> >> layers of graphic information. Multiple layers usually appear in a
> >> document like this when it is being edited or modified in some fashion.
>
> >> "It is possible to take a single layer PDF and inadvertently create
> >> multiple layers, without changing the image in any fashion. But that
> >> does not appear to be the case here. The multiple layers in the PDF
> >> document are a result of changes made to the image," his report said.
>
> >> Among the various items that were separated into different layers
> >> include the main text, the mother's occupation, the dates accepted, the
> >> stamp and signature of the state registrar and the time stamp of the
> >> state registrar:"
>
> >> From here:
> >>http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=293421

>
> >> Yes, it just keeps getting better and the mountain of proof has grown
> >> beyond ABSOLUTE!
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > AND, this must be a source of major amusement among those who work in
> > the mainstream media. They know the document is phony, they know
> > Obama was never technically eligible to be POTUS, and they remain
> > determined to defend him against all assaults. He is their guy, they
> > invested everything in him, and they will go down with him.
>
> > So why bother with this BS?
>
> > It is silly to fight where there is no possibility of success.
>
> > We can beat him in 2012, because he is showing that he is incompetent
> > on the most important criteria for presidents, managing the economy.
> > As the Clinton campaign said: "its the economy, stupid!"
>
> > And the worsening economic chaos will bring in a Republican to try to
> > rescue whatever he can from the wreckage Obama leaves.
>
> > Larry
>
> I believe that people who are willing to ignore the Constitution,
> consider some "technical" item of it to be of little consequence are
> those causing the problems!
>
> If they suggest I then follow them on their "genius plans" ... well, you
> can see how I am forced to decline ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

You are the last poster in 13 of the last 24 threads in
rec.sports.golf because you insist on cross-posting all your political
statements there. I envy your having nothing better to do than to play
around on Usenet all day, but would you PLEASE stop cross-posting to
RSG?

1301. Re: KLINTON -- a legend in his own mind, a myth with no basis in reality ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 15:25:50 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqble0$kk5$12@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229228
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.conspiracy,alt.republicans,alt.democrats,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On 5/10/2011 8:15 AM, Pete wrote:

>> ...
>> Strange, he looks likes something more and more despicable each and
>> every time I see him ... something indescribable, perhaps just a facet
>> of evil.
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>>
> I was always impressed how easy it was for him to lie.
> I remember DIANE FEINSTEIN saying you couldn't believe him about
> anything if he was lying in one particularly emphatic denial. Well, he
> was indeed lying. Eventually, he apologized to his cabinet.
>

I wonder if my guess is correct, and he thought that made it all OK?

I wonder if I am correct, and that he still disrespected them and
considered them idiots for believing him?

I wonder, also, if I am correct and he thought himself particularly
"noble" or "kind, etc. in the fact that such as great man as himself
(puke) had done such an act to those so far below him?

The man was worthless, that worthlessness began at the base of his feet
and reached feet above him -- the height and stature he considered
himself to be!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1302. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 15:30:45 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqbln6$kk5$13@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229229
Cross-posted to: rec.martial-arts,talk.politics.misc,alt.conspiracy,alt.religion.islam.arabic,soc.culture.usa,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/10/2011 8:27 AM, Herbert Cannon wrote:
> On May 10, 9:27 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/10/2011 7:09 AM, Herbert Cannon wrote:
>>
>>> On May 10, 7:55 am, * US * wrote:
>>>> On Tue, 10 May 2011 04:48:55 -0700 (PDT), Herbert Cannon<hcanno...@cox.net> wrote:
>>>>> ... 9-11 was a slight misunderstanding.
>>
>>>> No, it was an inside job.
>>
>>> Yeah by the CIA and the Zionist. You are a kook.
>>
>>>> It was a crime.
>>
>>>> Legally, it should have been investigated as a crime.
>>
>>> Osama on tape rejoicing and claiming credit was enough.
>>
>> I believe it was admitted that video was a fake ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Would not matter - the rest of the evidence is overwhelming, unless
> you are kook like Chomsky.

I hope to hell there are a LOT of "kooks" like me ... least all will
have abandoned reality to worship at the "Great Church of the Bogymen!"

Regards,
JS

1303. Re: There is nothing but proof hiding under every rock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 15:30:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DC95A2E-262@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229230

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Kenya+in+1961+East+Africa+Protectorate

SCARHEAD USURPER was Born in East Africa Protectorate!
(Kenya, nowadays)

Cellphones required to receive SCARHEAD USURPER TERRORIST THREATS.
http://www.drudgereport.com

I used to tote an Erricson cell phone for a couple of years in 1997 and 1998.Thingy is though, most of the time I left it at home when I went shopping, MOFO was needing charged up ever day and a half/18 something hours.When the two years AT&T SUCKS! contract ran out, I said, Eff it, it isn't worth it to me! I reckon I am just NOT a toter.Toteing is for the Birds! Toteing is for Dummies.
cuhulin, Not a Toter

1304. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 15:53:42 UTC
From: Colonel Polyps <hiccum@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <eb388ee8-7419-4ff8-b1d8-7328633571e2@d15g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229231
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 4, 10:49 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/4/2011 2:45 AM, NoBody wrote:
>
> > On 03 May 2011 06:28:44 GMT, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
>
> >> criminals<beta...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >>> We all know why. No evidence. All the evidence points to inside job.
>
> >> All those US troops lied. There's a vast government conspiracy to
> >> promote Obama.
>
> >> Kook.
>
> > The failure to release the photos and videos in a timely fashion feeds
> > conspiracy theories. Let's get the stuff out and be done with it.
>
> We can never be sure now. The fake videos and all however, it really
> doesn't matter, this is going to have zero effect on your life, or
> anyone elses ...
>
> As always, there are real problems, and there are non-problems. A real
> problem is getting obama to show a real birth certificate, 9/11 inside
> job, etc.
>
> Regards,
> JS

blah

1305. Re: The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine : Simply Accept It !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 16:02:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DC961AE-275@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229235

California Descends Deeper Into Self Destruction
http://www.standeyo.com

Now hang on a second, no reason to cuss me out about that article.I did NOT write that article.I Like all 57 of the United States of America.A Republic to which it Stands.
cuhulin

1306. Re: The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine : Simply Accept It !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 16:04:22 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d21305e7-f6b2-4ff8-91f7-c8aee1014616@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229238

On May 10, 12:01 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 9, 9:16 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 9, 5:28 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 9, 11:48 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 9, 9:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > > > On 5/8/2011 7:38 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 8, 10:46 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > > >> Still flooding.Memphis is almost an Island now.I hope they save Elvis
> > > > > >> Presley's body.
> > > > > >> cuhulin
>
> > > > > > Nasville got hit badly in 2010 . Thousand-year flood .
>
> > > > > Thousand year floods every hundred years; hundred year floods every 10
> > > > > years. 1 foot coastal inundation now 10 feet, 10 foot inundation now 100
> > > > > feet.
>
> > > Hard to tell if it will be this severe right away, but certainly the
> > > extremes - both high and low - will obviously ramp up noticeably. The
> > > West is getting one of it's heaviest, longest-lasting winters ever,
> > > after two years of considerable dought and a very heavy, long-lasting
> > > winter three years back - preceded by a decade of drought.
>
> > - Feast or famine - get used to it.
>
> > The Weather Has Always Been "Feast-or-Famine" :
> > SIMPLY ACCEPT IT !
> > .
> > The Climate of California is varied with much of it
> > being a Dry {Semi Arid} Mediterranean Climate.
> > .
> > -wrt- Climate of Californiahttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_of_California
> > .
> > -wrt- California Climate
Regionshttp://www.cangogo.com/caregionmapbig.jpghttp://www.smilingglobe.com/...
> > * Northern Coast Climate
> > * * Northern Inland Coastal Mountain

> > > * Central Coast Climate
> > > * * Central Inland Coastal Mountain
> > > * So-Cal Coastal Climate
> > > * * So-Cal Inland Mojave Desert & Mountains
> > > * * * Death Valley
> > > * Sacramento Northern Central Valley
> > > * San Joaquin Southern Central Valley
> > > * Northern Cascades and Klamath Mountains
> > > * Northern Sierra Mountains
> > > * Central & Southern Sierra Mountains
> > > * * Eastern Sierras
> > > * Transverse Ranges : Tehachapi Mountains
etchttp://www.blog.cibusa.com/fm/california_wineries/
> > > * * * San Francisco Bay Area
> > > * * * Monterey Bay Area
> > > * * * Los Angles Basin
> > > * * * San Diego Bay Area
>
> - I am aware of California WX patterns, thank you. Your
> - oversimplification does not tell the story well at all.
>
> BpnJ -to-quote-you- "Feast or famine - get used to it."
> -wrt- The Weather -sounds-like-so-much...-
> -global-warming-drama-&-hysteria-rotfl-
>
> The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine :
> Simply Accept It ! -while-'climate-change'-happens-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.ra
dio.shortwave/msg/e54ea46a5f695d64
> -a-basic-reality-of-a-living-planet-that-is-naturally-
> -evolving-dominated-by-two-factors-sun-&-earth-
> .
> .- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

You need to stop pretending to understand things beyond your knowledge
base.

1307. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 16:07:18 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iqbnrmtls1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229232

On 5/9/2011 4:30 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
> Harold Camping, the founder and genius behind Family Radio, has
> predicted with stunning accuracy and without overt prophecy from the
> Almighty, that the rapture will occur on May 21, 2011, although we
> cannot yet tell if it will be before or after lunch.

It will be soooo interesting to see how Kooktard Kamping will back peddle / remove the egg from his face when the world is still here on May 22.

1308. Re: MIT Climate Scientist puts climate hysteria into perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 16:08:41 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2c2bb389-f86e-463e-b914-a9760142b2f8@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229239

On May 9, 10:02 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 9:49 PM, hroedrank wrote:
>
> > ...
> > > Be its cigarette smoking, or co2 output, they both not politically correct,
> > > and therefore on the left it's far too much to hope and assume that an
> > > intelligent debate that puts the risk into reasonably intelligent terms once
> > > again shows the dishonesty of the left and socialists in this regards.
>
> > > Super Turtle
>
> Yeah, I think many toxins are hidden within the "toxic cigarette smoke"
> verbiage ... now it will have to make room for the radiation coming from
> Japan which we are still breathing and which will still be being created
> for sometime to come as the nuclear plant continues releasing tremendous
> quantities of deadly toxins to drift over here, to the USA.
>
> Regards,
> JS

This is BS. This "scientist" does not even have the balls to use his
real name to stand behind his bogus assertion.

1309. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 16:08:57 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqbnuqksb1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229233
Cross-posted to: rec.martial-arts,talk.politics.misc,alt.conspiracy,alt.religion.islam.arabic,soc.culture.usa,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/10/2011 8:36 AM, Daniel wrote:

>> ...
>> The killing of OBL amounts to murder in a home invasion any way you
>> look at it. What international law are you talking about? Ahhhh.....
>> the kind of "international law" the Israeli Jews are using to kill
>> their conquered Palestinian people?- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> we can argue to palestinin situation...but this threas does not
> concern that. shit. i know more palestininas than you'll ever know.
> but u love to digress...u twist and turn to change the subject
> constantly...the killing of OBL was NOT illegal....period
>
> Daniel

Certainly was stupid ... and that supported by "their" own claims!

If waterboarding, torture, gitmo, etc. are so effective, then the application of the same to bin laden would have been nothing short of a miraculous gold mine.

You just don't have to prove "them" false, when they go on doing such a superb job of it themselves ...

It just keeps getting better ... just when you think their imagination and deceptions have, certainly, reached the limits of what is humanly possible, they break another record!

Regards,
JS

1310. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 16:09:33 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iqbnvttls2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,alt.conspiracy

On 5/9/2011 9:44 PM, John Smith wrote:

> [drivel snipped...]

The title of the thread asks is "OBL Dead?"

If you believe the President of the United States, the Wall Street Journal and Al-Qaeda, the answer is 'Yes'.

If you believe Johnny "Kook" Smith, the answer is 'No'.

So, who ya gonna believe? Your pick...

1311. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 16:10:28 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b36c3f31-de3c-4f10-8174-eb7743d4aff4@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229236

On May 10, 9:07 am, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 4:30 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > Harold Camping, the founder and genius behind Family Radio, has
> > predicted with stunning accuracy and without overt prophecy from the
> > Almighty, that the rapture will occur on May 21, 2011, although we
> > cannot yet tell if it will be before or after lunch.
>
> It will be soooo interesting to see how Kooktard Kamping will back
> peddle / remove the egg from his face when the world is still here on
> May 22.

He'll either claim that his calcs were wrong or that God granted a
reprieve. Either way, he's right and it's not your place to question
God's authority ;-)

1312. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 16:10:50 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iqbo2atls3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229237

On 5/9/2011 4:56 PM, dxAce wrote:

> I recall my grandmother telling me of an event in Indiana where some
> (or was it just one?) charlatans scammed folks into selling their
> property and worldly goods to finally await the rapture on top of
> some barns on a particular day... needless to say, the folks waited
> patiently as the charlatan(s) made their escape.

Well, at least it was only their money and property. It could have been worse -- like when Applewhite and his fellow kooks committed mass suicide because of the UFO hidden behind a comet.

To once again paraphrase H. L. Mencken, "You'll never go broke under-estimating the gullibility of the American public".

1313. Re: The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine : Simply Accept It !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 16:11:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DC96397-281@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229240

Feast or Famine.You better start planting that Victory Garden.When I was a kid back in the 1940s in Carthage,Missy Sippy, we had a big Garden and some Chickens and a Cow/Milk Cow.I remember working in our Garden and working that Butter Churn.When we moved to Jackson in 1949 my dad planted a Garden in the back yard.Gardens are Good.
http://www.thecarthaginian.com
cuhulin, the Garden

1314. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 16:53:05 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <iqbqhi$74t$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229241

On 5/10/11 11:07 , Joe from Kokomo wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 4:30 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>> Harold Camping, the founder and genius behind Family Radio, has
>> predicted with stunning accuracy and without overt prophecy from the
>> Almighty, that the rapture will occur on May 21, 2011, although we
>> cannot yet tell if it will be before or after lunch.
>
> It will be soooo interesting to see how Kooktard Kamping will back
> peddle / remove the egg from his face when the world is still here
> on May 22.

Has anyone but me noticed the irony of a Christian radio network,
broadcasting to a Christian radio audience the countdown to the End
of Days when neither will be there to either broadcast, nor receive
the broadcast?

1315. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 16:54:42 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <iqbqkk$74t$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229242

On 5/10/11 11:10 , bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 10, 9:07 am, Joe from Kokomo<j...@indy.net> wrote:
>> On 5/9/2011 4:30 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>
>>> Harold Camping, the founder and genius behind Family Radio, has
>>> predicted with stunning accuracy and without overt prophecy from the
>>> Almighty, that the rapture will occur on May 21, 2011, although we
>>> cannot yet tell if it will be before or after lunch.
>>
>> It will be soooo interesting to see how Kooktard Kamping will back
>> peddle / remove the egg from his face when the world is still here on
>> May 22.
>
> He'll either claim that his calcs were wrong or that God granted a
> reprieve. Either way, he's right and it's not your place to question
> God's authority ;-)

He's apparently not read the passage in the Bible that states
that no man may know the day or the time of the Rapture.

1316. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 17:13:48 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DC9724C-329@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229244

Watchin 12:00 PM WLBT 3 (the tee channel that does not exist) tee vee noos.One of the best schools in Detroit caught fire at around 4: 00 AM this morning.What it is, Commie fed govt does NOT want the people learning anything! How did that school catch on fire? I don't know.
cuhulin

1317. Re: The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine : Simply Accept It !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 17:18:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DC9736E-332@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229245

How is your buddy Lindsay Lohan doing nowadays? All Quiet On The Western Front?
cuhulin

1318. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 17:22:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DC97471.E462829B@milestones.com>
Source record: 229243

"D. Peter Maus" wrote:

> On 5/10/11 11:07 , Joe from Kokomo wrote:
> > On 5/9/2011 4:30 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
> >> Harold Camping, the founder and genius behind Family Radio, has
> >> predicted with stunning accuracy and without overt prophecy from the
> >> Almighty, that the rapture will occur on May 21, 2011, although we
> >> cannot yet tell if it will be before or after lunch.
> >
> > It will be soooo interesting to see how Kooktard Kamping will back
> > peddle / remove the egg from his face when the world is still here
> > on May 22.
>
> Has anyone but me noticed the irony of a Christian radio network,
> broadcasting to a Christian radio audience the countdown to the End
> of Days when neither will be there to either broadcast, nor receive
> the broadcast?

I hope to be listening on May 22, come Hell or high water in the Mississippi!

1319. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 17:37:26 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <iqbt4m$v1j$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229246

On 5/10/11 12:22 , dxAce wrote:
>
>
> "D. Peter Maus" wrote:
>
>> On 5/10/11 11:07 , Joe from Kokomo wrote:
>>> On 5/9/2011 4:30 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>>> Harold Camping, the founder and genius behind Family Radio, has
>>>> predicted with stunning accuracy and without overt prophecy from the
>>>> Almighty, that the rapture will occur on May 21, 2011, although we
>>>> cannot yet tell if it will be before or after lunch.
>>>
>>> It will be soooo interesting to see how Kooktard Kamping will back
>>> peddle / remove the egg from his face when the world is still here
>>> on May 22.
>>
>> Has anyone but me noticed the irony of a Christian radio network,
>> broadcasting to a Christian radio audience the countdown to the End
>> of Days when neither will be there to either broadcast, nor receive
>> the broadcast?
>
> I hope to be listening on May 22, come Hell or high water in the Mississippi!
>
>

LOL!...yeah something tells me that the bride and I will be listening
as well.

1320. Re: The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine : Simply Accept It !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 17:40:25 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DC97889-90@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229247

http://www.jacksongunshow.com

I just now sawed and also heard about it on the tee vee station/channel
that does not exist, WLBT 3.The Original Jackson Gun Show, this comin
weekend at the Fairgrounds Trade Mart Building.Don't miss it!
Commie fed govt does NOT like GUN Shows.SCREW Commie fed govt!!!
cuhulin, GUN SHOWS R US

1321. "DX Partyline" to end with 50th anniversary broadcast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 18:22:45 UTC
From: hallicrafter@collins.net
Message-ID: <250js6hkd0fcpp4eekkg0puskaj835jik7@4ax.com>
Source record: 229248

Don't know if this has been posted but anyway, here it is:

http://www.radioworld.com/article/%E2%80%98dx-partyline%E2%80%99-to-end/23460

Jim (MI)

1322. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 19:18:20 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iqc31t$71k$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229249

On 5/10/2011 12:53 PM, D. Peter Maus wrote:

> Has anyone but me noticed the irony of...

Irony? You want to talk irony?

* the kooktards that believe Obama's birth certificate is a fraud...thus implying they know more than the Wall Street Journal's investigative reporters.

* the kooktards that think bin Laden is still alive...even though Al-Qaeda says he's dead.

And here's a couple that *really* peg the ol' Irony Meter:

* Sarah Palin telling Obama to release the pictures and "finish the job". "Finish the job" coming from the Palin that QUIT her office mid-term? She has the nerve to tell others to "Finish the job"?

* the kooktards that want to give Bush credit for killing bin Laden. Yes, the very same George W. Bush that *DISBANDED* the CIA's bin Laden task force in '04 or '05.

Yup, plenty of irony to go around! LOL!

1323. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 19:23:41 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <iqc3bt$398$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229250

On 5/10/11 14:18 , Joe from Kokomo wrote:
> On 5/10/2011 12:53 PM, D. Peter Maus wrote:
>
>> Has anyone but me noticed the irony of...
>
> Irony? You want to talk irony?
>
> * the kooktards

LOL! Wow.

You should be on Name That Tune.

1324. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 19:49:49 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DC996DD-353@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229253

Mississippi River at Memphis has crested, or so they say.It will be
quite a while before they see any difference, as far as the water level
going down.Old Muddy, doing it's thang.
Snakes and Aligators too.
cuhulin

1325. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 19:49:54 UTC
From: fergo <fergo@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <z5udnUCmbMyXClTQnZ2dnUVZ_i2dnZ2d@westnet.com.au>
Source record: 229252
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

"Iarnrod" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6c67d54f-3eaf-4fa7-8497-b9215eda1d40@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
> On May 10, 12:43 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/9/2011 11:35 PM, fergo wrote:
>>
>> >> ...
>> >> Exactly. That secret was kept for all of a week and a half.
>>
>> > Yep the government just can't keep a secret. If 11/9 really was a
>> > conspiracy people involved in the actual conspiracy would have come
>> > forward.
>>
>> You mean, the actual criminals step forward and say, "Alright, I did it."
>>
>> Or, "Yeah, I aided and assisted them."
>>
>> Or, "Yeah, I knew about it and kept my mouth shut, even though I knew
>> better."
>>
>> Or, "Yeah, I did-it/remained-silent for the money."
>>
>> Or, "Yeah, I did-it/remained-silent for the perks/job-offer."
>>
>> Or, "They threatened my family, I had to, but now I want my family dead."
>>
>> Etc.
>>
>> Gee, I can't even think of a single reason! ROFLOL
>
> You can't "think" at all, Johnny KQQK.
>
> According to you, everyone in the world is threatening everyone else
> except you and the other dozen truthers still left in the world to
> keep this secret from you. BWHAAHAAHAHAHAAAHAHAHAA!
>
> Hint: Your claims are proven to be physically impossible. End of story.

He should get a job in the public service, then he'd see there's no way the
government could pull off something like 911. It takes them half a year to
make a decision. At my work we are talking about July 2012!

1326. Re: KLINTON -- a legend in his own mind, a myth with no basis in reality ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 19:50:26 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EE1829E9602DExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.250>
Source record: 229251
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.conspiracy,alt.republicans,alt.democrats,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

Yoorghis
> On Tue, 10 May 2011 08:25:50 -0700, John Smith
>>On 5/10/2011 8:15 AM, Pete wrote:
>>>> ...
>>>> Strange, he looks likes something more and more despicable each and
>>>> every time I see him ... something indescribable, perhaps just a facet
>>>> of evil.
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>>>
>>> I was always impressed how easy it was for him to lie.
>>> I remember DIANE FEINSTEIN saying you couldn't believe him about
>>> anything if he was lying in one particularly emphatic denial. Well, he
>>> was indeed lying. Eventually, he apologized to his cabinet.
>>>
>>
>>I wonder if my guess is correct, and he thought that made it all OK?
>
> He had already "explained" to his cabinet that his denial was a
> "LEGAL" denial---accorded him by the legal system.

And it was this kind of Clinton-Lawyer quibbling
which argued over what type of 'tape' to use on OBL's beard,
what type of 'chair' he should sit in, and yet equivocate OK and
necessary to wiretap Princess Diana while declaring
Mohammed Atta hands off!

The world is the way it is PRECISELY BECAUSE of both Clintons.

http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/1466638/posts

Clinton Lawyers Fretted Over bin Laden's Comfort

"We had to build an ergonomically designed chair to put him in,
[for] special comfort in terms of how he was shackled into the chair,"
Scheuer explained. "They even worried about what kind of tape to gag
him with so it wouldn't irritate his beard."

http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/1462140/posts

Clinton Lawyers: Mohamed Atta Off-Limits

"You can't even touch him - it doesn't matter what information you have."

Had Bill Clinton done to Mogadishu back in '93 what Ethopia was forced
to dom, and, in fact, accomplished in a single week nearly a decade later,
there factually would have been no OBL, no AlQaeda, no 911,
no Endless Wars...

http://blogs.forbes.com/henrymiller/2011/05/05/bin-laden-lived-to-fight-another-day-thanks-to-bill-clinton/

Bin Laden Lived To Fight Another Day—Thanks To Bill Clinton

When President Barack Obama made the historic announcement in the White House East Room about the killing of Osama bin Laden, the air was thick with irony. Secretary of State Hillary Clinton was there, and her husband's notorious narcissism and cavalier attitude about governance had allowed bin Laden to escape—in 1998, three years before the Sept. 11, 2001, attacks on the World Trade Center and Pentagon.

In his stunning 2003 book Dereliction of Duty, Air Force Lt. Col. Robert "Buzz" Patterson, a presidential aide and carrier of the "nuclear football," describes President Clinton's gross irresponsibility toward national security. Patterson tells how, in the fall of 1998, the watch officer in the White House Situation Room notified the president's national security adviser, Sandy Berger, that they had located bin Laden and had "a two-hour window to strike."

Here is Patterson's chilling account:

Berger ambled down the stairwell and entered the Sit[uation] Room. He picked up the phone at one of the busy controller consoles and called the president. Amazingly, President Clinton was not available. Berger tried again and again. Bin Laden was within striking distance. The window of opportunity was closing fast. The plan of attack was set and the Tomahawk [missile] crews were ready. For about an hour Berger couldn't get the commander in chief on the line. Though the president was always accompanied by military aides and the Secret Service, he was somehow unavailable. Berger stalked the Sit Room, anxious and impatient.

Patterson continues:

Finally, the president accepted Berger's call. There was discussion, there were pauses — and no decision. The president wanted to talk with his secretaries of Defense and State. He wanted to study the issue further. Berger was forced to wait. The clock was ticking. The president eventually called back. He was still indecisive. He wanted more discussion. Berger alternated between phone calls and watching the clock.

The dithering continued until it was too late—and bin Laden lived to fight another day. And to plot the Sept. 11 attacks.

That was not an isolated incident. On Sept. 13, 1996, while on the golf course with his lawyer friend Vernon Jordan, President Clinton had refused

to take repeated urgent phone calls from Berger, who needed the president's approval for air strikes on Iraq. Patterson wrote: "Pilots were in the cockpits, waiting to launch, targets were identified, everything was in place, all [Berger] needed was the go-ahead."

He never got it. The protective cover of night lifted, and the mission was aborted.

There were other examples of gross and inexcusable incompetence. President Clinton twice managed to lose the nuclear codes that were necessary to activate the nation's nuclear arsenal.

,,,

Just as we will never forget Sept. 11, we should not forget the dereliction of duty by President Clinton and those who were his enablers.

The Road to Tyranny begins with the Destruction of the Truth
-- Bill Clinton'95

This is Clinton's Bridge to the 21st Century.

I remember Judas, that was Bill Clinton
before he Disgraced his Office
and BETRAYED us ALL.

1327. Re: KLINTON -- a legend in his own mind, a myth with no basis in reality ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:00:05 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqc5g6ksb2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229254
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.conspiracy,alt.republicans,alt.democrats,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On 5/10/2011 12:01 PM, Yoorghis@Jurgis.net wrote:

> ...
> You sure as shit paid attention when you got caught
> making all those late-night hang-up phone calls, didn't
> ya, Nickkkkers?
>
> CLICK ! ! !

Ohh my goodness. I unplonk the plonk file and look what has happened.

This "Morani" has been able to increase his moronic rantings!

How unique and quite cute, really! Still ...

... plonk back ...

1328. Re: A REFORMED MAN RESPONDS WITH NEW FOUND COMMITMENT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:07:19 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqc5toksa1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229255
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/10/2011 12:37 PM, fergo wrote:

>> ...
> It doesn't change the FACT that the US armed Iraq. And please don't use
> my real name. If I ever do post in aus.politics again I created an alias
> so ppl will stay on topic and won't bring up something I posted 5 years
> ago when I was unemployed and a bum.
> ...

Ohh, I see, yeah.

Let me see if I got you correct, in summary, you are saying this, "Now that I have a job, I am a changed man. It really quite shocked me too! My feet no longer stink, my halitosis is gone! Now I am quite the handsome devil and my IQ has went through the roof!"

Uhhh, let me think about that a bit ... I'll get back to you ...

ROFLOL!!! It just gets better and BETTER!!!

Regards,
JS

1329. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:10:05 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <72ad7de9-823e-4815-a2c4-9bf95d5f2fc3@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229256

On May 10, 9:54 am, "D. Peter Maus" <dpeterm...@att.net> wrote:
> On 5/10/11 11:10 , bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > On May 10, 9:07 am, Joe from Kokomo<j...@indy.net> wrote:
> >> On 5/9/2011 4:30 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> >>> Harold Camping, the founder and genius behind Family Radio, has
> >>> predicted with stunning accuracy and without overt prophecy from the
> >>> Almighty, that the rapture will occur on May 21, 2011, although we
> >>> cannot yet tell if it will be before or after lunch.
>
> >> It will be soooo interesting to see how Kooktard Kamping will back
> >> peddle / remove the egg from his face when the world is still here on
> >> May 22.
>
> > He'll either claim that his calcs were wrong or that God granted a
> > reprieve. Either way, he's right and it's not your place to question
> > God's authority ;-)
>
> He's apparently not read the passage in the Bible that states
> that no man may know the day or the time of the Rapture.

Heh! Actually, in Camping's pamphlets he states this very passage
repeatedly just before going on to calculate the day based numerous
subtle hints provided by God.

1330. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:13:50 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283366882b80bfbb98a1ba@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229257
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article <iqamr0$t4e$4@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 5/9/2011 11:35 PM, fergo wrote:
>
> >> ...
> >> Exactly. That secret was kept for all of a week and a half.
> >>
> > > Yep the government just can't keep a secret. If 11/9 really was a
> > > conspiracy people involved in the actual conspiracy would have come
> > > forward.
> > >
> > >
>
> You mean, the actual criminals step forward and say, "Alright, I did it."
>
> Or, "Yeah, I aided and assisted them."
>
> Or, "Yeah, I knew about it and kept my mouth shut, even though I knew
> better."
>
> Or, "Yeah, I did-it/remained-silent for the money."
>
> Or, "Yeah, I did-it/remained-silent for the perks/job-offer."
>
> Or, "They threatened my family, I had to, but now I want my family dead."
>
> Etc.
>
> Gee, I can't even think of a single reason! ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS

Not even original kookdumb at this point. Just rehash of old nonsense
posted by kooks in the past.

Sad.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1331. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:14:32 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqc6b9ksa2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229258

On 5/10/2011 12:18 PM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:

> ...
> Irony? You want to talk irony?
> ...

When I was very young, we lived on a large acreage in the country. The well water tasted very "ironey." My father had a large SS tank on a trailer he would bring town water to the house in, for drinking and cooking.

You only think you are talking IRONEY!

Regards,
JS

1332. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:17:07 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <ee2cc7e7-984d-4aea-be35-56eb25967315@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229259

On May 9, 4:30 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> OK, so you guys have heard of Family Radio, no doubt. Their studios
> are just 10 miles from where I live and their main transmitter on HF
> is in Okeedokee, er Okeechbee, Florida. Harold Camping, the founder
> and genius behind Family Radio, has predicted with stunning accuracy
> and without overt prophecy from the Almighty, that the rapture will
> occur on May 21, 2011, although we cannot yet tell if it will be
> before or after lunch.
>
> Anyway, so I'm groovin' along down the street after having gotten a
> sandwich (vegetarian, of course - God loves animals and does not enjoy
> seeing them harmed needlessly) and soft drink, and what do I spy but a
> Family Radio minivan with two very friendly chaps setting up a sign
> that sayeth, "Judgment Day May 21, 2011." I stop and smile knowingly
> at this, and one of them energetically hands me several "End Times"
> brochures and a handful of spanking new full-color business cards with
> glossy overcoat that also declare as much.
>
> Well - What can I say? What can I do? I am dumbfounded and amused as
> I, an ordinary shortwave radio enthusiast and occasional listener to
> the Family Radio interval signal (as it covers up the desired signals
> from Pakistan or Bhutan), am CHOSEN by Harold Camping's hand-selected
> assistants to receive the Word and also to pass out the Word on fancy
> little business cards! It must be a sign, a revelation, a not-so-
> subtle message from the great beyond that, for the next 12 days, it is
> my predestiny to proseletyze profoundly on this preposterously proven
> prostitution, er, I mean, postulation.
>
> So there you have it, the story of my improbable conversion to TBOTET
> (True Believer of the End Times) and chosen cherub of chicanery. I
> will have photos up of the business cards later so that you, too, can
> become a convert. (These cards will also be nice souvenirs of
> interesting times when we reach May 22, 2011 without substantial
> transsubstantiation ;-)
>
> Somebody's humble servant (we won't say whose ;-),
> Bruce Jensen (CA - USA)

The world doesn't even officially BEGIN until the summer of 2015. So,
I don't think we have anything to worry about this coming May.

1333. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:18:18 UTC
From: george <gblack@hnpl.net>
Message-ID: <343f514c-b97c-44ca-903f-c74fe17be134@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229260
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 11, 7:49 am, "fergo" <fe...@iinet.net.au> wrote:

> He should get a job in the public service, then he'd see there's no way the
> government could pull off something like 911. It takes them half a year to
> make a decision. At my work we are talking about July 2012!

You could cut that back to "He should get a job" :-)
The most powerful man in the world (at the time) couldn't hide the
fact that a junior staff member was giving him oral sex and keeping
his cigars moist...

1334. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:18:31 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2833679dd1b0264f98a1bc@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229261
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

In article <iqbieb$kk5$7@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 5/10/2011 7:20 AM, Billary wrote:
>
> >> ...
> >> The only part of the promises obama has kept, which he promised to get
> >> elected, is CHANGE! A CHANGE FOR THE WORSE!
> >>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> >
> > Obama wasn't born in Hawaii. Yes he was entitled to America
> > citizenship through his mother. But he was NOT a natural born citizen.
> > He was not eligible to be president.
> >
>
> It certainly needs a forum, a court/jury would suffice nicely, and
> vetted properly!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Sorry, the matter was settled years ago to most sane people, and even
most of your fellow birther loons have finally figured out it's over.
A competentcy hearing for the remainder of you birthtards would be a
good thing, at least it would get you some help.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1335. The Obama-Regime's "Economic Rape" of the State of California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:18:38 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ec42eb45-05e5-4aee-8628-13e94b2167cf@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 10, 9:02 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:

- California Descends Deeper Into Self Destruction
- http://www.standeyo.com
-
- Now hang on a second, no reason to cuss me out
- about that article. I did NOT write that article.
- I Like all 57 of the United States of America.
- A Republic to which it Stands.
- cuhulin

The Evil Jerry-Man der-Brown is trying to Spend
and Tax the State of California into a New Age :
But the Good and Wonderful NEA/SIEU Teachers
Union {Obama-ites©} Want To Spend Spend
Spend More and Tax Tax Tax More to Educate
the State of California into a New Enlighten Age.
-we-are-so-new-age-blessed-with-spending-&-taxes-

The Most Wonderful 'Cindy' Saint-of-Sheehen has
come to Sacament-O to Lead the Obama-Ites©
Bring The Hope of More Spending and The Change
To Even More Higher Taxes -we-are-so-blessed-

california there we go spending into default
and taxed into poverty ~ RHF
.
The Nasty Dirty {Evil Truth} Secret Why California
Is Spending More and Taxing Higher and Going
into Default {Bankrupt} Faster :
.
Truth-Be-Told : The Obama-Regime's "Economic
Rape" of the State of California Continues the
Clinton and Bush Regimes Tax California and Spend
It Elsewhere Tradition by the US Federal Government.
-clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
.
$50B Dollars of California's IRS Tax Money being
spend in other States is $5B in State Taxes that
California has siphoned-off by the US Federal
Government.
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/27faca882fb518a0
-clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
.

That's a short fall of $50B per Year in US
Federal Spending in the State of California
and that results in $5B of State Tax Revenue.
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/a74ec6e4f11f4444
-clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
.
? Is the $50B Yearly Ecomonic Rape of the
State of California for Decades is 'good' for the
State of California and 'The Union' of All States !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c409d37e27281aed
-clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
.
The US Federal Government has suck out $50B*
or more a Year in Taxes and Spent the Money in
the other places...
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/85bda26e3abe394a
-clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
.
Federal Spending in the State of California has
been Short-Changing around $50B every Year
for almost 2-Decades
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/1a1382e80a5bf8c9
-clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
.
.

1336. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:20:37 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <iqc6ml$7d6$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229263

On 5/10/11 15:10 , bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 10, 9:54 am, "D. Peter Maus"<dpeterm...@att.net> wrote:
>> On 5/10/11 11:10 , bpnjensen wrote:
>>
>>> On May 10, 9:07 am, Joe from Kokomo<j...@indy.net> wrote:
>>>> On 5/9/2011 4:30 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>
>>>>> Harold Camping, the founder and genius behind Family Radio, has
>>>>> predicted with stunning accuracy and without overt prophecy from the
>>>>> Almighty, that the rapture will occur on May 21, 2011, although we
>>>>> cannot yet tell if it will be before or after lunch.
>>
>>>> It will be soooo interesting to see how Kooktard Kamping will back
>>>> peddle / remove the egg from his face when the world is still here on
>>>> May 22.
>>
>>> He'll either claim that his calcs were wrong or that God granted a
>>> reprieve. Either way, he's right and it's not your place to question
>>> God's authority ;-)
>>
>> He's apparently not read the passage in the Bible that states
>> that no man may know the day or the time of the Rapture.
>
> Heh! Actually, in Camping's pamphlets he states this very passage
> repeatedly just before going on to calculate the day based numerous
> *subtle* hints provided by God.

Of course. Why am I not surprised.

1337. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:30:56 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28336a8d72c1c40398a1be@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229264
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article <4e8311e1-8144-456d-8037-
ea2007a92eea@t19g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com
says...
>
> On May 10, 1:57ï¿œam, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> > On 5/9/2011 10:53 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 10, 1:34 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> ï¿œwrote:
> > >> On 5/9/2011 10:29 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >
> > >>> ...
> > >>> I know for a fact an Ironworker wont cut a column on an angle rather
> > >>> than straight through because of the time it would take.Who would want
> > >>> to spend soo much time in all that heat and asbestos dust,when they
> > >>> could cut the shortest and quicker path and move on to the next cut,a
> > >>> junkyard man has better since that that!
> >
> > >> Ever fall a tree with a chainsaw?
> >
> > >> Same angled cut is used to control the direction of fall, else the
> > >> worker cutting a long beam is likely to be endangered having to scramble
> > >> out of its' way.
> >
> > >> No, people cutting beams with a torch would be just as likely to make
> > >> angled cuts as demolition workers, and for the same reasons, to control
> > >> the direction of movement. ï¿œWith the demolition expert, he is attempting
> > >> to shift the building in a predetermined direction, in the case of the
> > >> torch/cutter, he is attempting a fall in a predetermined direction ...
> > >> neither adds nor subtracts from existing evidence and proof.
> >
> > >> But, like I said, those are first responders on the scene, those beams
> > >> are cut at angles, and the cleanup crew hasn't gotten there yet ...
> >
> > >> Regards,
> > >> JS
> >
> > > Thermite was not used for its ability to cause an explosion or to blow
> > > the building apart it was used strickly because of its instantanious
> > > cutting ability in incentarary devices and very quite no loud

> > > explosions.
> >
> > > This is what NIST tried to pull off,to explain away the level of noise
> > > needed to blow a building up. It only had to be loud enough to burn
> > > the columns into! The sheeple swallowed the loud explosion part and
> > > this fed their fancy.
> >
> > > If you quietly cut all the vertical support columns then a minor
> > > explosion creating a jolt would set off the collapse!
> >
> > Yeah, I see that has nothing to do with the angle of the cuts I was
> > explaining ... and, we need an independent investigation, not your opinions.
> >
> > Regards,
> > JS- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Who would you get to except a truy investigation. Your National
> Socialist Government is in control of what cases goes to court! Trying
> to get this idea of yours to declare justice against your Government
> is a federal offense and you would be convicted of treason!
>
> Hows that for an opinion?

Well, it's just as crazy as I would expect from a total lunatic,
WrongTurnJoe.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1338. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:32:26 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28336ae4a4fa91e498a1bf@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229265
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article <01ecb785-8e10-4f75-8622-6b16f4f9dd20
@j23g2000yqc.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 10, 1:07ï¿œam, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> > news:9641c9a8-6c0a-45f3-9606-4a8f4425e4e5@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> > > wrote:
> > >> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> > >>news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > >> > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> > >> >> John Smith ï¿œ<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >
> > >> >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
> >
> > >> >> >> ...
> > >> >> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> > >> >> >> were
> > >> >> >> in perfect working order.
> > >> >> >> You retard.
> >
> > >> >> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and
> > >> >> >> so
> > >> >> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7,
> > >> >> >> never
> > >> >> >> saw
> > >> >> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
> >
> > >> >> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> > >> >> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
> >
> > >> >> >Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> > >> >> >nano thermite residue.
> > >

> > >> >> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. ï¿œTHE most
> > >> >> common metals used in high-rise construction.
> >
> > >> >> --
> > >> >> Ray Fischer ï¿œ ï¿œ ï¿œ ï¿œ | ï¿œMendacracy (n.) government by lying
> > >> >> rfisc...@sonic.net ï¿œ| ï¿œThe new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > >> >> - Show quoted text -
> >
> > >> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> > >> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
> >
> > >> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning
> > >> table,
> > >> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium
> > >> used
> > >> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
> >
> > >> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> > >> thermite.
> >
> > >> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted
> > >> text -
> >
> > >> - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > You felled to connect the dots spheres that are made from iron and
> > > sulfer that do contain barrium is the tell tell sign that Thermate was
> > > used!
> >
> > > You cutting torch would take mega time to cut through vertical columns
> > > this is why natural gas and oxygen torches are not used for controlled
> > > demolition.
> >
> > Actually, they are. A LOT. In fact in most controlled demolition they are
> > used.
> >
> > Even in explosive controlled demolition much of the prep work is done via
> > these exact sort of cutting torches.
> >
> > I really suggest you need to STFU and quit simply embarrassing yourself with
> > your stupidity and ignorance.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> I think your getting embarrist with your Government Shill training
> They did not program you to be able to think for yourself and getting
> your replys butched is flustrating you!

BWHAHAHHA! That's a good one Joe! At least, if I understood it, it was.

Totally off the wall crazy, as always.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1339. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:34:26 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28336b586a307ede98a1c0@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229266
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article <014e5b26-1c75-4d1f-be78-ed0626c06518
@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>, iarnrod@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 10, 12:18ï¿œam, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > In article <7059f16f-5fe7-497d-8c34-4baae6a612d7
> > @k22g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 9, 11:27ï¿œpm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > On May 9, 6:17ï¿œpm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > On May 9, 7:15ï¿œpm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> > > > > wrote:
> >
> > > > > > "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > > > >news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > > > > > > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> > > > > > >> John Smith ï¿œ<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
> >
> > > > > > >> >> ...
> > > > > > >> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> > > > > > >> >> were
> > > > > > >> >> in perfect working order.
> > > > > > >> >> You retard.
> >
> > > > > > >> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> > > > > > >> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> > > > > > >> >> saw
> > > > > > >> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
> >
> > > > > > >> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> > > > > > >> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
> >
> > > > > > >> >Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> > > > > > >> >nano thermite residue.
> >

> > > > > > >> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. ï¿œTHE most
> > > > > > >> common metals used in high-rise construction.
> >
> > > > > > >> --
> > > > > > >> Ray Fischer ï¿œ ï¿œ ï¿œ ï¿œ | ï¿œMendacracy (n.) government by lying
> > > > > > >> rfisc...@sonic.net ï¿œ| ï¿œThe new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> > > > > > > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
> >
> > > > > > Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> > > > > > and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> > > > > > in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
> >
> > > > > > So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> > > > > > thermite.
> >
> > > > > > Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > You felled to connect the dots spheres that are made from iron and
> > > > > sulfer that do contain barrium is the tell tell sign that Thermate was
> > > > > used!
> >
> > > > It is a complete physical impossibility for thermite to do what
> > > > happened to those buildings. It's not possible. FULL STOP!
> >
> > > > > You cutting torch would take mega time to cut through vertical columns
> > > > > this is why natural gas and oxygen torches are not used for controlled
> > > > > demolition.
> >
> > > > Thermite is not used in controlled demolition, ever.
> >
> > > > > During a controlled demolition the columns are fitted with a yoke that
> > > > > contains the thermite
> >
> > > > There is no thermite in controlled demolition, RockHead.
> >
> > > > > and holds it on the targeted column on about a
> > > > > 45 degree angle. This angle cut allows the top part to slip off its
> > > > > base and drop straight down. You can see clear pictures of these
> > > > > angled cuts on the columns. You would never use your torch to cut an
> > > > > angled cut on a beam unless it was for a specific outcome.
> >
> > > > Those beams at Ground Zero were cut with torches AFTER the collapse by
> > > > workers cleaning up the debris, kooker. There are PHOTOS and VIDEOS of
> > > > them doing this. You are STUPID BEYOND BELIEF.- Hide quoted text -

> >
> > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > Like this one?
> >
> > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EO7SvhYI_0Y&NR=1
> >
> > You really are stupid beyond belief. I love it.
>
> He IS pure Komedy Gold, innit he? He is MUCH more amusing than Johnny
> KQQK since WrongTurnJoe is too stupid to know he's stupid, more like
> an innocent child. Johnny, however, had grown dull and lost his
> comedic edge.

Yeah, Joe is deep into the kookdumb, Johnny KQQK is just really stupid.
He's not the comedian he used to be.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1340. Re: The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine : Simply Accept It !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:35:47 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28336bb2edc34ea998a1c1@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229267

```
In article <d21305e7-f6b2-4ff8-91f7-c8aee1014616
@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>, bpnjensen@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 10, 12:01ï¿œam, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > On May 9, 9:16ï¿œpm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 9, 5:28ï¿œpm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >
> > > > On May 9, 11:48ï¿œam, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > On May 9, 9:46ï¿œam, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > On 5/8/2011 7:38 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > On May 8, 10:46 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > > > > >> Still flooding.Memphis is almost an Island now.I hope they save Elvis
> > > > > > > >> Presley's body.
> > > > > > > >> cuhulin
> >
> > > > > > > Nasville got hit badly in 2010 . Thousand-year flood .
> >
> > > > > > > Thousand year floods every hundred years; hundred year floods every 10
> > > > > > > years. 1 foot coastal inundation now 10 feet, 10 foot inundation now 100
> > > > > > > feet.
> >
> > > > > > Hard to tell if it will be this severe right away, but certainly the
> > > > > > extremes - both high and low - will obviously ramp up noticeably. ï¿œThe
> > > > > > West is getting one of it's heaviest, longest-lasting winters ever,
> > > > > > after two years of considerable dought and a very heavy, long-lasting
> > > > > > winter three years back - preceded by a decade of drought.
> >
> > > > > - Feast or famine - get used to it.
> >
> > > > The Weather Has Always Been "Feast-or-Famine" :
> > > > SIMPLY ACCEPT IT !
> > > > ï¿œ.
> > > > The Climate of California is varied with much of it
> > > > being a Dry {Semi Arid} Mediterranean Climate.
> > > > ï¿œ.
> > > > -wrt- Climate of Californiahttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_of_California
> > > > ï¿œ.
> > > > -wrt- California Climate
```

Regionshttp://www.cangogo.com/caregionmapbig.jpghttp://www.smilingglobe.com/...
> > > > * Northern Coast Climate
> > > > * * Northern Inland Coastal Mountain
> > > > * Central Coast Climate
> > > > * * Central Inland Coastal Mountain
> > > > * So-Cal Coastal Climate
> > > > * * So-Cal Inland Mojave Desert & Mountains
> > > > * * * Death Valley
> > > > * Sacramento Northern Central Valley
> > > > * San Joaquin Southern Central Valley
> > > > * Northern Cascades and Klamath Mountains
> > > > * Northern Sierra Mountains
> > > > * Central & Southern Sierra Mountains
> > > > * * Eastern Sierras
> > > > * Transverse Ranges : Tehachapi Mountains
etchttp://www.blog.cibusa.com/fm/california_wineries/
> > > > * * * San Francisco Bay Area
> > > > * * * Monterey Bay Area
> > > > * * * Los Angles Basin
> > > > * * * San Diego Bay Area
> >
> > - I am aware of California WX patterns, thank you. Your
> > - oversimplification does not tell the story well at all.
> >
> > BpnJ -to-quote-you- "Feast or famine - get used to it."
> > -wrt- The Weather -sounds-like-so-much...-
> > -global-warming-drama-&-hysteria-rotfl-
> >
> > The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine :
> > Simply Accept It ! -while-'climate-change'-happens-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.
radio.shortwave/msg/e54ea46a5f695d64
> > -a-basic-reality-of-a-living-planet-that-is-naturally-
> > -evolving-dominated-by-two-factors-sun-&-earth-
> > ï¿œ.
> > ï¿œ.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> You need to stop pretending to understand things beyond your knowledge
> base.

But then he would probably never post at....never mind.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1341. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:35:57 UTC
From: Billary <billaryclinton2008@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <50747284-d815-4fb2-abb7-a0a0f036f7c7@b7g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229268
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 10, 4:18 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <iqbieb$kk...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/10/2011 7:20 AM, Billary wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > The only part of the promises obama has kept, which he promised to get
> > > elected, is CHANGE! A CHANGE FOR THE WORSE!
>
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
>
> > > Obama wasn't born in Hawaii. Yes he was entitled to America
> > > citizenship through his mother. But he was NOT a natural born citizen.
> > > He was not eligible to be president.
>
> > It certainly needs a forum, a court/jury would suffice nicely, and
> > vetted properly!
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Sorry, the matter was settled years ago to most sane people, and even
> most of your fellow birther loons have finally figured out it's over.
> A competentcy hearing for the remainder of you birthtards would be a
> good thing, at least it would get you some help.
>
> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

I noticed that you were not able to refute the analysis that was
offered as evidence of a forgery. Thanks for playing.

1342. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:39:38 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28336c97bae6f45898a1c2@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229269

In article <iqbjal$kk5$8@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 5/10/2011 7:31 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> > A couple of new Proof articles at
> > http://www.libertypost.org
> > cuhulin
> >
>
> Can you be more specific on the exact URLs?
>
> Regards,
> JS

There's enough kooktardery on that site to satisfy even you, Johnny KQQK. And you seem to have an almost unlimited appetite for the kind of bullshit that site specializes in.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1343. Re: There is nothing but proof hiding under every rock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:40:28 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28336cc3d9d0314a98a1c3@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229270

In article <23870-4DC95A2E-262@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>,
cuhulin@webtv.net says...
>
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Kenya+in+1961+East+Africa+Protectorate
>
> SCARHEAD USURPER was Born in East Africa Protectorate!
> (Kenya, nowadays)
>
> Cellphones required to receive SCARHEAD USURPER TERRORIST THREATS.
> http://www.drudgereport.com
>
> I used to tote an Erricson cell phone for a couple of years in 1997 and
> 1998.Thingy is though, most of the time I left it at home when I went
> shopping, MOFO was needing charged up ever day and a half/18 something
> hours.When the two years AT&T SUCKS! contract ran out, I said, Eff it,
> it isn't worth it to me! I reckon I am just NOT a toter.Toteing is for
> the Birds! Toteing is for Dummies.
> cuhulin, Not a Toter

You've got to get your shack checked for carbon monoxide.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1344. Re: The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine : Simply Accept It !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:44:14 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <bb91ecd0-53b2-4e7d-9324-19083175f7ce@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229271

On May 10, 9:04 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 10, 12:01 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > On May 9, 9:16 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 9, 5:28 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 9, 11:48 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 9, 9:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On 5/8/2011 7:38 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > > On May 8, 10:46 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > > > >> Still flooding.Memphis is almost an Island now.I hope they save Elvis
> > > > > > >> Presley's body.
> > > > > > >> cuhulin
>
> > > > > > > Nasville got hit badly in 2010 . Thousand-year flood .
>
> > > > > > > Thousand year floods every hundred years; hundred year floods every 10
> > > > > > > years. 1 foot coastal inundation now 10 feet, 10 foot inundation now 100
> > > > > > > feet.
>
> > > > > > Hard to tell if it will be this severe right away, but certainly the
> > > > > > extremes - both high and low - will obviously ramp up noticeably. The
> > > > > > West is getting one of it's heaviest, longest-lasting winters ever,
> > > > > > after two years of considerable dought and a very heavy, long-lasting
> > > > > > winter three years back - preceded by a decade of drought.
>
> > > > > - Feast or famine - get used to it.
>
> > > > The Weather Has Always Been "Feast-or-Famine" :
> > > > SIMPLY ACCEPT IT !
> > > > .
> > > > The Climate of California is varied with much of it
> > > > being a Dry {Semi Arid} Mediterranean Climate.
> > > > .
> > > > -wrt- Climate of Californiahttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_of_California
> > > > .
> > > > -wrt- California Climate
Regionshttp://www.cangogo.com/caregionmapbig.jpghttp://www.smilingglobe.com/...
> > > > * Northern Coast Climate
> > > > * * Northern Inland Coastal Mountain

> > > > * Central Coast Climate
> > > > * * Central Inland Coastal Mountain
> > > > * So-Cal Coastal Climate
> > > > * * So-Cal Inland Mojave Desert & Mountains
> > > > * * * Death Valley
> > > > * Sacramento Northern Central Valley
> > > > * San Joaquin Southern Central Valley
> > > > * Northern Cascades and Klamath Mountains
> > > > * Northern Sierra Mountains
> > > > * Central & Southern Sierra Mountains
> > > > * * Eastern Sierras
> > > > * Transverse Ranges : Tehachapi Mountains
etchttp://www.blog.cibusa.com/fm/california_wineries/
> > > > * * * San Francisco Bay Area
> > > > * * * Monterey Bay Area
> > > > * * * Los Angles Basin
> > > > * * * San Diego Bay Area
>
> > - I am aware of California WX patterns, thank you. Your
> > - oversimplification does not tell the story well at all.
>
> > BpnJ -to-quote-you- "Feast or famine - get used to it."
> > -wrt- The Weather -sounds-like-so-much...-
> > -global-warming-drama-&-hysteria-rotfl-
>
> > The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine :
> > Simply Accept It ! -while-'climate-change'-happens-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.
radio.shortwave/msg/e54ea46a5f695d64
> > -a-basic-reality-of-a-living-planet-that-is-naturally-
> > -evolving-dominated-by-two-factors-sun-&-earth-
> > .
> > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -

- You need to stop pretending to understand things
- beyond your knowledge base.

The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine :
Simply Accept It ! -while-'climate-change'-happens-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e54ea46a5f695d64
-a-basic-reality-of-a-living-planet-that-is-naturally-
-evolving-dominated-by-two-factors-sun-&-earth-

BpnJ,

? So... 'Climate Change' Does Not Happen !
-yeah-'i'-know-the-global-warming-mantra-:-
-blame-mankind- -carbon-credits- -blame-and-tax-
-blame-humanity- -limit-energy-use- -blame-and-tax-

? So... The Earth Is Not "A Living Planet" !
-yeah-'i'-know-the-global-warming-mantra-:-
-blame-mankind- -carbon-credits- -blame-and-tax-
-blame-humanity- -limit-energy-use- -blame-and-tax-

? So... The Earth Is Not "Naturally Evolving" !
-yeah-'i'-know-the-global-warming-mantra-:-
-blame-mankind- -carbon-credits- -blame-and-tax-
-blame-humanity- -limit-energy-use- -blame-and-tax-

? So... The Dominate Two Factors Are Not
The Sun and The Earth {Itself} !
-yeah-'i'-know-the-global-warming-mantra-:-
-blame-mankind- -carbon-credits- -blame-and-tax-
-blame-humanity- -limit-energy-use- -blame-and-tax-

-wrt- BpnJ -wrote- Feast or famine - get used to it.

RHF -wrote-
The Weather Has Always Been "Feast-or-Famine" :
SIMPLY ACCEPT IT !
.
The Climate of California is varied with much of it
being a Dry {Semi Arid} Mediterranean Climate.
.
-wrt- Climate of California
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_of_California
.
-wrt- California Climate Regions
http://www.cangogo.com/caregionmapbig.jpg
http://www.smilingglobe.com/images/California_regions_map.jpg
* Northern Coast Climate
* * Northern Inland Coastal Mountain
* Central Coast Climate
* * Central Inland Coastal Mountain
* So-Cal Coastal Climate
* * So-Cal Inland Mojave Desert & Mountains
* * * Death Valley
* Sacramento Northern Central Valley
* San Joaquin Southern Central Valley
* Northern Cascades and Klamath Mountains
* Northern Sierra Mountains
* Central & Southern Sierra Mountains
* * Eastern Sierras
* Transverse Ranges : Tehachapi Mountains etc
http://www.blog.cibusa.com/fm/california_wineries/
* * * San Francisco Bay Area
* * * Monterey Bay Area
* * * Los Angles Basin
* * * San Diego Bay Area
.
-and-one-more-time-

The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine :
Simply Accept It ! -while-'climate-change'-happens-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ca8a3c69315a2bd3
-a-basic-reality-of-a-living-planet-that-is-naturally-
-evolving-dominated-by-two-factors-sun-&-earth-
.
.

1345. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:47:30 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28336e68b161596298a1c4@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229272
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

In article <50747284-d815-4fb2-abb7-a0a0f036f7c7
@b7g2000prg.googlegroups.com>, billaryclinton2008@gmail.com says...
>
> On May 10, 4:18ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > In article <iqbieb$kk...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > On 5/10/2011 7:20 AM, Billary wrote:
> > >
> > > > > ...
> > > > > The only part of the promises obama has kept, which he promised to get
> > > > > elected, is CHANGE! ï¿œA CHANGE FOR THE WORSE!
> > >
> > > > > Regards,
> > > > > JS
> > >
> > > > > Obama wasn't born in Hawaii. Yes he was entitled to America
> > > > > citizenship through his mother. But he was NOT a natural born citizen.
> > > > > He was not eligible to be president.
> > >
> > > > > It certainly needs a forum, a court/jury would suffice nicely, and
> > > > > vetted properly!
> > >
> > > > > Regards,
> > > > > JS
> > >
> > > > Sorry, the matter was settled years ago to most sane people, and even
> > > > most of your fellow birther loons have finally figured out it's over.
> > > > A competentcy hearing for the remainder of you birthtards would be a
> > > > good thing, at least it would get you some help.
> > >
> > > > --
> > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
> >
> > I noticed that you were not able to refute the analysis that was
> > offered as evidence of a forgery. Thanks for playing.

BWHAHAHAHA! It's too insane to do anything but laugh at, you birthtardic
loon! Seriously, get some help, you're a mess.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1346. Re: The Obama-Regime's "Economic Rape" of the State of California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 20:55:35 UTC
From: ZNUYBV <tjwilson6531@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ffd34a6c-d109-48cd-98a4-cb6937483a78@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 10, 1:18 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 10, 9:02 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> - California Descends Deeper Into Self Destruction
> -http://www.standeyo.com
> -
> - Now hang on a second, no reason to cuss me out
> - about that article. I did NOT write that article.
> - I Like all 57 of the United States of America.
> - A Republic to which it Stands.
> - cuhulin
>
> The Evil Jerry-Man der-Brown is trying to Spend
> and Tax the State of California into a New Age :
> But the Good and Wonderful NEA/SIEU Teachers
> Union {Obama-ites©} Want To Spend Spend
> Spend More and Tax Tax Tax More to Educate
> the State of California into a New Enlighten Age.
> -we-are-so-new-age-blessed-with-spending-&-taxes-
>
> The Most Wonderful 'Cindy' Saint-of-Sheehen has
> come to Sacament-O to Lead the Obama-Ites©
> Bring The Hope of More Spending and The Change
> To Even More Higher Taxes -we-are-so-blessed-
>
> california there we go spending into default
> and taxed into poverty ~ RHF
> .
> The Nasty Dirty {Evil Truth} Secret Why California
> Is Spending More and Taxing Higher and Going
> into Default {Bankrupt} Faster :
> .
> Truth-Be-Told : The Obama-Regime's "Economic
> Rape" of the State of California Continues the
> Clinton and Bush Regimes Tax California and Spend
> It Elsewhere Tradition by the US Federal Government.
> -clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
> .
> $50B Dollars of California's IRS Tax Money being
> spend in other States is $5B in State Taxes that
> California has siphoned-off by the US Federal
> Government.http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/27faca882fb518a0
> -clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-

> .
> That's a short fall of $50B per Year in US
> Federal Spending in the State of California
> and that results in $5B of State Tax
Revenue.http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/a74ec6e4f11f...
> -clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
> .
> ? Is the $50B Yearly Ecomonic Rape of the
> State of California for Decades is 'good' for the
> State of California and 'The Union' of All States
!http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c409d37e27281aed
> -clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
> .
> The US Federal Government has suck out $50B*
> or more a Year in Taxes and Spent the Money in
> the other
places...http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/85bda26e3abe394a
> -clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
> .
> Federal Spending in the State of California has
> been Short-Changing around $50B every Year
> for almost
2-Decadeshttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/1a1382e80a5bf8c9
> -clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
> .
> .

Democrats in California love Obama.

1347. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 21:19:33 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <95be66e9-197a-451e-81f8-ceb6be1581bd@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229274

On May 10, 1:17 pm, Steve <sdanie...@speakeasy.net> wrote:
> On May 9, 4:30 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > OK, so you guys have heard of Family Radio, no doubt. Their studios
> > are just 10 miles from where I live and their main transmitter on HF
> > is in Okeedokee, er Okeechbee, Florida. Harold Camping, the founder
> > and genius behind Family Radio, has predicted with stunning accuracy
> > and without overt prophecy from the Almighty, that the rapture will
> > occur on May 21, 2011, although we cannot yet tell if it will be
> > before or after lunch.
>
> > Anyway, so I'm groovin' along down the street after having gotten a
> > sandwich (vegetarian, of course - God loves animals and does not enjoy
> > seeing them harmed needlessly) and soft drink, and what do I spy but a
> > Family Radio minivan with two very friendly chaps setting up a sign
> > that sayeth, "Judgment Day May 21, 2011." I stop and smile knowingly
> > at this, and one of them energetically hands me several "End Times"
> > brochures and a handful of spanking new full-color business cards with
> > glossy overcoat that also declare as much.
>
> > Well - What can I say? What can I do? I am dumbfounded and amused as
> > I, an ordinary shortwave radio enthusiast and occasional listener to
> > the Family Radio interval signal (as it covers up the desired signals
> > from Pakistan or Bhutan), am CHOSEN by Harold Camping's hand-selected
> > assistants to receive the Word and also to pass out the Word on fancy
> > little business cards! It must be a sign, a revelation, a not-so-
> > subtle message from the great beyond that, for the next 12 days, it is
> > my predestiny to proseletyze profoundly on this preposterously proven
> > prostitution, er, I mean, postulation.
>
> > So there you have it, the story of my improbable conversion to TBOTET
> > (True Believer of the End Times) and chosen cherub of chicanery. I
> > will have photos up of the business cards later so that you, too, can
> > become a convert. (These cards will also be nice souvenirs of
> > interesting times when we reach May 22, 2011 without substantial
> > transsubstantiation ;-)
>
> > Somebody's humble servant (we won't say whose ;-),
> > Bruce Jensen (CA - USA)
>

> The world doesn't even officially BEGIN until the summer of 2015. So,
> I don't think we have anything to worry about this coming May.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Killjoy! ;-)

1348. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 21:37:36 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqcb73$q0c$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229275
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:iqahllnqv15@dont-email.me...
> On 5/9/2011 10:05 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>>> ...
>>> Those beams at Ground Zero were cut with torches AFTER the collapse by
>>> workers cleaning up the debris, kooker. There are PHOTOS and VIDEOS of
>>> them doing this. You are STUPID BEYOND BELIEF.- Hide quoted text -
>>>
>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>> Like this one?
>>
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EO7SvhYI_0Y&NR=1
>
> Best thing about that video, it was BEFORE the cleanup crew comes in to
> clean up!
>
> Those are first responder firemen on the scene in that one! NOT
> demolition employees ...

Really? How exactly do you determine they were first responder and not the
firemen that were constantly on the scene for the next couple of months?

1349. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 21:39:11 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqcba2$q8e$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229276
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:iqaipvnqv19@dont-email.me...
> On 5/9/2011 10:29 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>> ...
>> I know for a fact an Ironworker wont cut a column on an angle rather
>> than straight through because of the time it would take.Who would want
>> to spend soo much time in all that heat and asbestos dust,when they
>> could cut the shortest and quicker path and move on to the next cut,a
>> junkyard man has better since that that!
>
> Ever fall a tree with a chainsaw?
>
> Same angled cut is used to control the direction of fall, else the worker
> cutting a long beam is likely to be endangered having to scramble out of
> its' way.
>
> No, people cutting beams with a torch would be just as likely to make
> angled cuts as demolition workers, and for the same reasons, to control
> the direction of movement. With the demolition expert, he is attempting
> to shift the building in a predetermined direction, in the case of the
> torch/cutter, he is attempting a fall in a predetermined direction ...
> neither adds nor subtracts from existing evidence and proof.
>
> But, like I said, those are first responders on the scene, those beams are
> cut at angles, and the cleanup crew hasn't gotten there yet ...

Really? Please present your evidence they are first responders and NOT
firemen who were regularly on the scene after the cleanup operations began.

1350. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 21:54:37 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqcc70tgb1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229277
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"Iarnrod" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:407067a5-d80d-4b6e-90f1-14ca8ab5376f@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com...
> On May 10, 12:33 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 10, 1:07 am, "Scout"
>>
>>
>>
>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> > "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >news:9641c9a8-6c0a-45f3-9606-4a8f4425e4e5@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> > > On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout"
>> > > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
>> > > wrote:
>> > >> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> > >>news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> > >> > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
>> > >> >> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>> > >> >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>> > >> >> >> ...
>> > >> >> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the
>> > >> >> >> sprinklers
>> > >> >> >> were
>> > >> >> >> in perfect working order.
>> > >> >> >> You retard.
>>
>> > >> >> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise
>> > >> >> >> and
>> > >> >> >> so
>> > >> >> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7,
>> > >> >> >> never
>> > >> >> >> saw
>> > >> >> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>
>> > >> >> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>> > >> >> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>>
>> > >> >> >Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor

>> > >> >> >leave
>> > >> >> >nano thermite residue.
>>
>> > >> >> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
>> > >> >> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>>
>> > >> >> --
>> > >> >> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
>> > >> >> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> > >> >> - Show quoted text -
>>
>> > >> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears
>> > >> > which is
>> > >> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>>
>> > >> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning
>> > >> table,
>> > >> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not
>> > >> barrium
>> > >> used
>> > >> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>>
>> > >> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium
>> > >> and
>> > >> thermite.
>>
>> > >> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide
>> > >> quoted
>> > >> text -
>>
>> > >> - Show quoted text -
>>
>> > > You felled to connect the dots spheres that are made from iron and
>> > > sulfer that do contain barrium is the tell tell sign that Thermate
>> > > was
>> > > used!
>>
>> > > You cutting torch would take mega time to cut through vertical
>> > > columns
>> > > this is why natural gas and oxygen torches are not used for
>> > > controlled
>> > > demolition.
>>
>> > Actually, they are. A LOT. In fact in most controlled demolition they
>> > are
>> > used.
>>
>> > Even in explosive controlled demolition much of the prep work is done
>> > via

>> > these exact sort of cutting torches.
>>
>> This brings us to the B-thing buch (Israely Art Students)they stayed
>> in there for a year and a half rent free gutting the building or do
>> you think they(Bushes Security Employees) took out as much support as
>> they could while doing their mantinance.There was very little office
>> equiptment plumbing,wiring,carpet,cell phones,ect in the debree pile!
>>
>> Scott my audience needs to know these things,you cant do them justise
>> your just a simple steel worker with no knowledge of controlled
>> demolition except that which NIST taught you!

Since you have no idea of who I am, much less my professional knowledge and experience, I can only assume this is your conspiracy theorist stupidity talking here.

>> How loud does a linear cutting charge have to be to cut through a box
>> column!

Quite loud actually since you will require several cutting charges each one containing a rather large volume of high explosive. Not going to be very quiet when you detonate and you would probably be rattling windows in NJ from the concussion.

>> Torches are used in prep work occasionally

So when you said they weren't used, you are admitting you were dead wrong?

>> but not for cutting the
>> support columns

Actually they will cut whatever needs to be cut. Which can even include support columns.

>and they certainly dont use nap gas.

I've certainly not heard of them using "nap gas". I've seen them use propane, acetylene, LPG and even MAPP, but never heard or seen of "nap gas" being used.

> Please stop smoking crack, WrongTurnJoe. Your poor suffering momma
> can't take any more!

1351. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 22:07:55 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4c394e97-f1cb-4a66-b355-25b4608de40a@l6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229278
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 8:48 am, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net> wrote:
> On 5/10/2011 2:12 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > Using the FBI released five frames clearly shows a missile.They just
> > > simply did not do good enough a hachet job on it before they released
> > > it!
>
> Huh?
>
> Why would the FBI release something so carelessly? Why would they
> release something that would prove they were lying? Why would they shoot
> themselves in the foot?
>
> You are saying on the one hand that they are smart enough to realize the
> film needs doctoring, but on the other hand, they weren't smart enough
> to remove what they realized needed doctoring.
>
> Thanks for proving once again that the two most common things in the
> universe are hydrogen and stupidity...and I'll give you a clue: we ain't
> talkin' hydrogen. :-(

Yes to bad for you you dont have any evidence of hydrogen in your DNA
but plenty of stupidity. Good observation though!

1352. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 22:25:26 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DC9BB56-456@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229279

Killjoy Ohio.
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Ohio+town+mulls+Birdfeeder+ban

Hows aboutcha, Anti-America Cuyahoga Falls,Ohio!
I have Two Birdfeeders.I am going to buy some more Birdfeeders.Birds are Wellcome in backwater hick Missy Sippy.I Like Birds, doggy Likes Birds too.Sometimes she wants to run after Birds, but she wouldn't hurt any Birds.I wouldn't let her anyway.
cuhulin

1353. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 22:49:13 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <af440936-b1b7-450d-b149-1c48ecf5fb1a@bl1g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229280
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 8:48 am, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net> wrote:
> On 5/10/2011 2:12 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > Using the FBI released five frames clearly shows a missile.They just
> > > simply did not do good enough a hachet job on it before they released
> > > it!
>
> Huh?
>
> Why would the FBI release something so carelessly? Why would they
> release something that would prove they were lying? Why would they shoot
> themselves in the foot?
>
> You are saying on the one hand that they are smart enough to realize the
> film needs doctoring, but on the other hand, they weren't smart enough
> to remove what they realized needed doctoring.
>
> Thanks for proving once again that the two most common things in the
> universe are hydrogen and stupidity...and I'll give you a clue: we ain't
> talkin' hydrogen. :-(

They depended on your stupity to overlook the missile and they were
right but they were not smart enough to hoodwink all of us.You being
an embarrassment to mankind have proven their stupidity and oversight
to be sufficient.

1354. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 23:20:19 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <25d6ce74-5da9-46d9-9ba5-5188b4c8c700@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229281
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 6:56 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> >news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> > >> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> > >> >> ...
> > >> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> > >> >> were
> > >> >> in perfect working order.
> > >> >> You retard.
>
> > >> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> > >> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> > >> >> saw
> > >> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> > >> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> > >> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
> > >> >Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> > >> >nano thermite residue.
>
> > >> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> > >> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>
> > >> --
> > >> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> > >> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>
> > >> - Show quoted text -
>

> > > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> > > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> > Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> > and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> > in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>
> > So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> > thermite.
>
> > Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -

I'm beging tho think your nothing but a grunt/punkin the one that
sweeps the
floor and have no knowlege what so ever of controlled demolition
other
than what NIST told you. Detonaters to set off the cutter charges of
theremate are not loud at all they depend on their ability to ignite
not there explosive noise making abilityquality.

An expert in cutting torches. knows about map gas

1355. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 23:23:03 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ce41fb1a-ab9e-4069-92ec-7fae1bc922b2@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229282
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 2:32 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 11:10 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Who would you get to except a truy investigation. Your National
> > Socialist Government is in control of what cases goes to court! Trying
> > to get this idea of yours to declare justice against your Government
> > is a federal offense and you would be convicted of treason!
>
> Hire 'em. There is a whole slew of them on the 9/11 truth site, as well
> as many more who have already been investigating, on their own. How
> about making one of 'em Niels Harrit?
>
> Even toss in some people from NIST ... it'll all work out. As long as
> it is done with open public scrutiny and all aspects are investigated
> and everything is widely open to the world.
>
> You could have Stephen Hawkings review all the data, in the end!
>
> Unless you are completely stupid, you will know a true and complete
> investigation ...
>
> > Hows that for an opinion?
>
> That one? Well, that one is particularly stupid ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Time is on my side!

1356. Join 'The Cult of The-Obama' : Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 23:34:49 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <309d63ad-18b6-49b6-a2ab-c0ed71919f7a@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 10, 1:55 pm, ZNUYBV <tjwilson6...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On May 10, 1:18 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 10, 9:02 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > - California Descends Deeper Into Self Destruction
> > -http://www.standeyo.com
> > -
> > - Now hang on a second, no reason to cuss me out
> > - about that article. I did NOT write that article.
> > - I Like all 57 of the United States of America.
> > - A Republic to which it Stands.
> > - cuhulin
>
> > The Evil Jerry-Man der-Brown is trying to Spend
> > and Tax the State of California into a New Age :
> > But the Good and Wonderful NEA/SIEU Teachers
> > Union {Obama-ites©} Want To Spend Spend
> > Spend More and Tax Tax Tax More to Educate
> > the State of California into a New Enlighten Age.
> > -we-are-so-new-age-blessed-with-spending-&-taxes-
>
> > The Most Wonderful 'Cindy' Saint-of-Sheehen has
> > come to Sacament-O to Lead the Obama-Ites©
> > Bring The Hope of More Spending and The Change
> > To Even More Higher Taxes -we-are-so-blessed-
>
> > california there we go spending into default
> > and taxed into poverty ~ RHF
> > .
> > The Nasty Dirty {Evil Truth} Secret Why California
> > Is Spending More and Taxing Higher and Going
> > into Default {Bankrupt} Faster :
> > .
> > Truth-Be-Told : The Obama-Regime's "Economic

> > Rape" of the State of California Continues the
> > Clinton and Bush Regimes Tax California and Spend
> > It Elsewhere Tradition by the US Federal Government.
> > -clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
> > .
> > $50B Dollars of California's IRS Tax Money being
> > spend in other States is $5B in State Taxes that
> > California has siphoned-off by the US Federal
> > Government.http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/27faca882fb518a0
> > -clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
> > .
> > That's a short fall of $50B per Year in US
> > Federal Spending in the State of California
> > and that results in $5B of State Tax
Revenue.http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/a74ec6e4f11f...
> > -clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
> > .
> > ? Is the $50B Yearly Ecomonic Rape of the
> > State of California for Decades is 'good' for the
> > State of California and 'The Union' of All States
!http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c409d37e27281aed
> > -clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
> > .
> > The US Federal Government has suck out $50B*
> > or more a Year in Taxes and Spent the Money in
> > the other
places...http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/85bda26e3abe394a
> > -clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
> > .
> > Federal Spending in the State of California has
> > been Short-Changing around $50B every Year
> > for almost
2-Decadeshttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/1a1382e80a5bf8c9
> > -clinton-and-bush-did-it-and-obama-does-too-
> > .
> > .

The Obama-Regime's "Economic Rape" of the State of California
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c86e7ea12f1069fe
.
- Democrats in California love Obama.

NAH - Only the 1/3rd that are Moderate-to-Liberal
{Practical Democrats} Love The-Obama; and are
still Good Members of 'The Cult of The-Obama'.

Call-to-Action for 'The Cult of The-Obama' Members :
Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c23d4cef6137999b
-vote-for-'the-obama'- -vote-for-'the-obama'-
-bow-down-in-your-voting-booth-to-'the-obama'-

-bow-down-before-your-voting-machine-to-'the-obama'-
-bow-down-and-pray-for-votes-for-'the-obama'-
-bow-down-and-send-your-love-gifts-to-'the-obama'-
-bow-down-and-pray-for-love-gifts-for-'the-obama'-
-show-your-love-for-'the-obama'-with-your-vote-
-show-your-belief-in-'the-obama'-with-your-money-
-show-your-faith-in-'the-obama'-with-your-support-
=Join=The=Cult=of=The-Obama= -pbhn-
.
.
-while...-
The Ultra {Uber} Radical Liberals Consider Obama
to be a "Traitor" to 'The Cause' !
1st 'The Cause' Has The-Leader !
2nd 'The Cause' Has The-Party !
3rd 'The Cause' Has The-People United {By Faith}
in The-Leader Behind The-Party Organization !
-liberal-one-leader-one-party-one-people-fascism-

-conclusion- The Ultra {Uber} Radical Liberals
have decided that Obama is NOT The-Leader
and Lost Faith in Obama : They No Longer Call
Obama "The-Obama" : They have Abandoned
'The Cult of The-Obama' and are Searching for
a new 'The-Leader' for 'The Cause'.
-liberal-one-leader-one-party-one-people-fascism-

~ RHF
.
.
The Cult of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.republicans/msg/612a7b3d5f23748d
.
The Cult of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/6275e7b952e5c0c4
.
The Cult of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/58135dd78056af49
.
The Cult of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/dd59894d7bc7f351
.
The Cult of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.true-crime/msg/e2444c90436aaefe
.
The Cult of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/c23d4cef6137999b
.
The Cult of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/sci.skeptic/msg/23c7e295ebaec4e1
.

The Cult of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
.
The Cult of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/a5130a3b6da1a87e
.
The Cult of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/7fcd9dcbb495974c
.
The Cult of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/7e8a98c94e3309bf
.
The Cult of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.republicans/msg/c241a6e05b6a30e4
.
The Cult of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/e1588f2662d95481
.
The Cult of The Obama -members-sing-the-praises-
We Believe We Believe In The Anointed One
The-Obama The-Obama The-Obama - Omen !
.
.
-while-some-watch-for-...-
The-Mark-of-The-Obama© = $6.66 Gasoline
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/0bb21cb6de7dbe6f
-'the-obama'-has-blessed-us-with-higher-energy-taxes-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dbe6320b24966200
-'the-obama'-has-blessed-us-with-lots-of-carbon-credits-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/23ea8f5f174ce3fd
.
.

1357. Re: The Obama-Regime's "Economic Rape" of the State of California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 23:36:29 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DC9CBFD-473@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229284

A certain democrap who lives catty corner across the street from doggy's couch, that B HO! Butt Kisser loves the MOFO USURPER too.Yeah, B HO Butt Kisser democrat, he once told me he is an old country boy from Edwards,Mississippi! he said he knows all about chainsaws.I Effed up when I loaned him my Remington electric chainsaw.He BROKE! my chainsaw! MOFO couldn't even find the oil drain plug on his own lawnmower.MOFO pushed his lawnmower over here, he rattled my front screen door, MOFO said, Show me where that oil drain plug is!
Only took me about two seconds to wipe off the dirt and dried up grass stuff.There it is, right there!
He has never said Thank You or anything like that to me.
Top Shrink (Lyle Harold Rossiter in Saint Charles,Illinois) says democraps and libturds are CLINICALLY INSANE!
Top Shrink is Right.
cuhulin

1358. Let Your "Never Mind"s Be Your 'Never Minds' -but-when-you-post-them- EVERY BODY KNOWS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-10 23:46:44 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <461fe543-2551-498d-838c-8ef5b6238a59@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229285

```
On May 10, 1:35 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <d21305e7-f6b2-4ff8-91f7-c8aee1014616
> @d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>, bpnjen...@yahoo.com says...
>
> > On May 10, 12:01 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > > On May 9, 9:16 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 9, 5:28 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 9, 11:48 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:

- - - BpnJ -to-quote-you- "Feast or famine - get used to it."
- - - * -wrt- The Weather -sounds-like-so-much...-
- - - * -global-warming-drama-&-hysteria-rotfl-

- - - The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine :
- - - Simply Accept It ! -while-'climate-change'-happens-
- - - http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e54ea46a5f695d64
- - - *-a-basic-reality-of-a-living-planet-that-is-naturally-
- - - *-evolving-dominated-by-two-factors-sun-&-earth-

- - You need to stop pretending to understand things
- - beyond your knowledge base.

- But then he would probably never post at....never mind.

NAH - Yes "I" Would : "I" Exist : Therefore "I" Post !
.
The Weather Has Always Been Feast-or-Famine :
Simply Accept It ! -while-'climate-change'-happens-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e54ea46a5f695d64
-a-basic-reality-of-a-living-planet-that-is-naturally-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/050b2fec1b7c0c13
-evolving-dominated-by-two-factors-sun-&-earth-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e6f853c0a0fb4c1d
-global-warming-drama-&-hysteria-rotfl-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ca8a3c69315a2bd3
-yeah-'i'-know-the-global-warming-mantra-:-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c9a5828199cd3800
-blame-mankind- -carbon-credits- -blame-and-tax-
-blame-humanity- -limit-energy-use- -blame-and-tax-
.
"I" Exist : Therefore "I" Re-Post-My-Self ! : Yes "I" Do-Do
(o: (o: (o: ~ RHF ~ :o) :o) :o) -'i'-do'ed-it-!-'i'-do...-
```

.
.
> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

BDK - Let Your "Never Mind"s Be Your 'Never Minds'
-but-when-you-post-them- EVERY BODY KNOWS !
-now-as-long-as-the-internet-exists-it's-out-there-!-
.
...why... Because "I" Mind -proving- "I" Exist !
...and... Therefore "I" Reply ! ;;-}} ~ RHF
...oops... If You Reply You 'Prove' "I" Exist Too ! :o)
-you-can-:-prove-me-wrong--or--prove-me-right-
.
.

1359. Re: KLINTON -- a legend in his own mind, a myth with no basis in reality ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 01:28:54 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqcoomksa3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

On 5/10/2011 1:22 PM, SilentOtto wrote:
> On May 10, 3:01 pm, Yoorg...@Jurgis.net wrote:
>> On Tue, 10 May 2011 08:25:50 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On 5/10/2011 8:15 AM, Pete wrote:
>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Strange, he looks likes something more and more despicable each and
>>>>> every time I see him ... something indescribable, perhaps just a facet
>>>>> of evil.
>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>
>>>> I was always impressed how easy it was for him to lie.
>>>> I remember DIANE FEINSTEIN saying you couldn't believe him about
>>>> anything if he was lying in one particularly emphatic denial. Well, he
>>>> was indeed lying. Eventually, he apologized to his cabinet.
>>
>>> I wonder if my guess is correct, and he thought that made it all OK?
>>
>> He had already "explained" to his cabinet that his denial was a
>> "LEGAL" denial---accorded him by the legal system.
>
> Legally speaking...
>
> Getting a blow job isn't having sex.
>
> It's engaging in sodomy.
>

"BUTT" only if her mouth smells like chit!

Otherwise it is just known as fellatio ... or, oral stimulation of the
penis ...

LOL

Regards,
JS

1360. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 01:42:05 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqcpheksa4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229287
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.misc,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa

On 5/10/2011 3:43 PM, Daniel wrote:

> ...
> it's a myth about the CIA creating OBL. cite ur references pls.
> zionist invaders?....more bullshit from ur cakehole....
>
> Daniel

You are very far behind the curve:

http://www.hidden-truth.org/conspiracies/osama-bin-laden-aka-cia-asset-tim-osman.htm

Regards,
JS

1361. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 02:02:58 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqcqoiksa5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229288
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/10/2011 3:19 PM, joeturn wrote:

>
> nap gas is your natural in your cutting torch,funny with all your many

Try "Map Gas" with all your professional BS ...

There is enough proof for an investigation, there is enough proof that
the story we were told is fraudulent ...

There is not enough proof to support the book you are writing, one post
at a time, here on usenet!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1362. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 02:05:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqcqtkksa6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229289
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/10/2011 3:56 PM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout"<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> wrote:
>> "joeturn"<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>> On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
>>>>>>> were
>>>>>>> in perfect working order.
>>>>>>> You retard.
>>
>>>>>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
>>>>>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
>>>>>>> saw
>>>>>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>
>>>>>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>>>>>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>>
>>>>>> Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
>>>>>> nano thermite residue.
>>
>>>>> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
>>>>> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
>>>>> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>> but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is

>>> a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>>
>> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
>> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
>> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>>
>> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
>> thermite.
>>
>> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
>
>
> I'm beging tho think your nothing but a grunt/punkin the one that
> sweeps the
> floor and have no knowlege what so ever of controlled demolition
> other
> than what NIST told you. Detonaters to set off the cutter charges of
> theremate are not loud at all they depend on their ability to ignite
> not there explosive noise making abilityquality.
>
> An expert in cutting torches. knows about NAP gas
>

NO!

But, they will know about MAP Gas!

If you wish to even fool the children as to your being "professional",
get the name of the gas right!

Regards,
JS

1363. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 02:06:31 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqcqv7ksa7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229290
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/10/2011 4:20 PM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 10, 6:56 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout"<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> "joeturn"<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>>> news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>> On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
>>>>>>> were
>>>>>>> in perfect working order.
>>>>>>> You retard.
>>
>>>>>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
>>>>>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
>>>>>>> saw
>>>>>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>
>>>>>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>>>>>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>>
>>>>>> Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
>>>>>> nano thermite residue.
>>
>>>>> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
>>>>> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
>>>>> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>>>> - Show quoted text -
```

>>
>>>> but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
>>>> a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>>
>>> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
>>> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
>>> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>>
>>> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
>>> thermite.
>>
>>> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
>
>
> I'm beging tho think your nothing but a grunt/punkin the one that
> sweeps the
> floor and have no knowlege what so ever of controlled demolition
> other
> than what NIST told you. Detonaters to set off the cutter charges of
> theremate are not loud at all they depend on their ability to ignite
> not there explosive noise making abilityquality.
>
> An expert in cutting torches. knows about map gas
>
>
>

never mind, you finally caught it ...

1364. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 02:08:32 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqcr31ksa8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229291

On 5/10/2011 3:25 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Killjoy Ohio.
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Ohio+town+mulls+Birdfeeder+ban
>
> Hows aboutcha, Anti-America Cuyahoga Falls,Ohio!
> I have Two Birdfeeders.I am going to buy some more Birdfeeders.Birds are
> Wellcome in backwater hick Missy Sippy.I Like Birds, doggy Likes Birds
> too.Sometimes she wants to run after Birds, but she wouldn't hurt any
> Birds.I wouldn't let her anyway.
> cuhulin
>

Maybe doggie is secretly a bird. Is it possible she be chicken?

Regards,
JS

1365. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 02:12:06 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqcr9o$mb2$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229292
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:iqcqoiksa5@dont-email.me...
> On 5/10/2011 3:19 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>>
>> nap gas is your natural in your cutting torch,funny with all your many
>
> Try "Map Gas" with all your professional BS ...

Actually "MAPP gas", but I would have taken "map gas" as well since some people just know what it's called not it's actual acronym. In any case since I included MAPP gas in my listing of common cutting torch fuels used in demolition, I think I've already shown my knowledge of the subject. Far more than someone that once again invents some "nap gas" nonsense. Heck, I even gave him a chance to claim it was just a typo, but then he repeated his mistake. Doesn't look like a typo now, just utter ignorance and enough stupidity not to buy a clue when given a rather clear hint.

> There is enough proof for an investigation, there is enough proof that the
> story we were told is fraudulent ...
>
> There is not enough proof to support the book you are writing, one post at
> a time, here on usenet!

Hell, I wish he could just provide conclusive irrefutable PROOF of a single specific detail that is clearly contrary to both the official conclusions and reasonable natural events that could have resulted from the airliner impact.

Since he has been unable to do so even in a single particular, then it doesn't matter how much he puts out there, the proof of his claims are clearly inadequate to support those assertions.

1366. HOT 2 HOT SEXY BEST VIDEOS PHOTOS TOOOO U

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 02:12:43 UTC
From: LPWS <3ghfhfffgg@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <f353ff86-480c-47c1-8c3f-b7f3659b1603@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229293

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

1367. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 02:39:50 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <320defd2-bf2a-4ace-b3d2-cc8036d60c71@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229294
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 5:20 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 10, 6:56 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> > wrote:
>
> > > "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > >news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> > > >> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > > >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> > > >> >> ...
> > > >> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> > > >> >> were
> > > >> >> in perfect working order.
> > > >> >> You retard.
>
> > > >> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> > > >> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> > > >> >> saw
> > > >> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> > > >> >> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> > > >> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
> > > >> >Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> > > >> >nano thermite residue.
>
> > > >> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> > > >> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>
> > > >> --
> > > >> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> > > >> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > >> - Show quoted text -
>

> > > > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> > > > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> > > Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> > > and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> > > in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>
> > > So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> > > thermite.
>
> > > Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
>
> I'm beging tho think your nothing but a grunt/punkin the one that
> sweeps the
> floor and have no knowlege what so ever of controlled demolition
> other
> than what NIST told you. Detonaters to set off the cutter charges of
> theremate are not loud at all they depend on their ability to ignite
> not there explosive noise making abilityquality.
>
> An expert in cutting torches. knows about map gas

Please stop sniffing glue every night, WrongTurnJoe.

1368. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 02:43:02 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <30db3d70-2352-498b-93cb-de81c5e18bf1@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229295
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 10, 8:20 am, Billary <billaryclinton2...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On May 10, 10:02 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On 5/10/2011 4:22 AM, BAR wrote:
>
> > > In article<3c7hs6dgjq2n6annc6pdu8cnoorlm50...@4ax.com>,
> > > bkni...@conramp.net says...
>
> > >> On Mon, 09 May 2011 18:36:07 -0700, Larry Whitaker
> > >> <la...@pivotforpower.com> wrote:
>
> > >>> On Sun, 08 May 2011 21:53:25 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > >>> wrote:
>
> > >> Larry, why don't you and Smith exchange email addresses and have a
> > >> ball exchanging your outlandish theories.
>
> > >> You see, the Birth Certificate issue has been laid to rest for those
> > >> with IQs in the triple digits. Give it up.
>
> > > I am still waiting to see the true original birth certificate recorded
> > > on or about August 9, 1961.
>
> > You are not alone, the world waits ... but, crooks are like that, they
> > always let you down.
>
> > The only part of the promises obama has kept, which he promised to get
> > elected, is CHANGE! A CHANGE FOR THE WORSE!
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Obama wasn't born in Hawaii.

yes he was. In fact he is the FIRST and ONLY president in our entire
histpory as a nation to prove he was born on US soil by releasing his
birth certificate. Case is closed.

> Yes he was entitled to America
> citizenship through his mother. But he was NOT a natural born citizen.

Yes, he is, not in dispute. I cannot even be questioned. It is proven historical FACT that you cannot refute.

> He was not eligible to be president.

Yes, he is and will win his second term, and maybe a third if Hillary isn't running.

1369. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 02:44:37 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c7a11dfa-809e-4b3d-9d99-28013be29044@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229296
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 10, 2:18 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <iqbieb$kk...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/10/2011 7:20 AM, Billary wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > The only part of the promises obama has kept, which he promised to get
> > > elected, is CHANGE! A CHANGE FOR THE WORSE!
>
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
>
> > > Obama wasn't born in Hawaii. Yes he was entitled to America
> > > citizenship through his mother. But he was NOT a natural born citizen.
> > > He was not eligible to be president.
>
> > It certainly needs a forum, a court/jury would suffice nicely, and
> > vetted properly!
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Sorry, the matter was settled years ago to most sane people, and even
> most of your fellow birther loons have finally figured out it's over.

Indeed, the UBL slaying came just in time as the birther death knells
were already ringing. But some hard-core nutbags can't let it go.

1370. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 02:45:41 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5049ab02-fd9a-417b-adda-55e87680930c@o8g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229297
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 10, 2:35 pm, Billary <billaryclinton2...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On May 10, 4:18 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > In article <iqbieb$kk...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
> > > On 5/10/2011 7:20 AM, Billary wrote:
>
> > > >> ...
> > > >> The only part of the promises obama has kept, which he promised to get
> > > >> elected, is CHANGE! A CHANGE FOR THE WORSE!
>
> > > >> Regards,
> > > >> JS
>
> > > > Obama wasn't born in Hawaii. Yes he was entitled to America
> > > > citizenship through his mother. But he was NOT a natural born citizen.
> > > > He was not eligible to be president.
>
> > > It certainly needs a forum, a court/jury would suffice nicely, and
> > > vetted properly!
>
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
>
> > Sorry, the matter was settled years ago to most sane people, and even
> > most of your fellow birther loons have finally figured out it's over.
> > A competentcy hearing for the remainder of you birthtards would be a
> > good thing, at least it would get you some help.
>
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>
> I noticed that you were not able to refute the analysis that was
> offered as evidence of a forgery.

It is self-refuting. Hawaii has spoken, and that's ipso facto, insane
nut job. Once Hawaii speaks, it's over. It is not even possible for it
to be a forgery.

> Thanks for playing.

And for winning.

1371. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 02:49:53 UTC
From: Benj <bjacoby@iwaynet.net>
Message-ID: <761bdda8-a7e0-411c-9e25-da765cff41f9@w10g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229298
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 10, 4:18 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:

> Sorry, the matter was settled years ago to most sane people, and even
> most of your fellow birther loons have finally figured out it's over.
> A competentcy hearing for the remainder of you birthtards would be a
> good thing, at least it would get you some help.

You mean the issue was settled for anyone without any intelligence of
morality. Your tinfoil helmet is slipping.
You seriously need to get a real job. One day your bosses will cut you
loose (they have no use for "useful idiots" born without brains) and
you'll reap what you sown. I think a restraining order keeping your
libel off the internet would be a good start. Don't you know that
contray to the solid belief of all you loons, internet posting does
NOT cure insanity!

1372. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 02:59:01 UTC
From: Benj <bjacoby@iwaynet.net>
Message-ID: <95e5648b-95bb-417d-a022-22ed2f52ef11@z13g2000yqg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229299
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 10, 10:43 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> > Yes he was entitled to America
> > citizenship through his mother. But he was NOT a natural born citizen.
>
> Yes, he is, not in dispute. I cannot even be questioned. It is proven
> historical FACT that you cannot refute.
>
> > He was not eligible to be president.
>
> Yes, he is and will win his second term, and maybe a third if Hillary
> isn't running.

Sorry there sham-shill it IS in dispute! And it certainly CAN be questioned! Just how insane are you? You aren't even writing logical English! Just saying he's "natural born" over and over and pointing to some photoshopped piece of crap as some kind of irrefutable proof is looney. It's political. It's lies. It's propaganda. It may fool all the idiots posting here but doesn't fool me! You are wearing the tin-foil hat here.

But of course, the TRUE test of Obama's eligibility to be president has to do with whether he is enough of a politician to cover up his birth status for AT LEAST 8 years. Like you say, who give a shit if he follows the rules? The constitution is null and void now anyway. So you are probably quite right that he may run for a third term. I mean, hey, if the "natural born" part of the constitution doesn't apply anymore, why should we worry about some johnny-come-lately thing like the the XXII Amendment? You are not only insane, but treasonously criminal as well.

1373. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 03:01:06 UTC
From: Benj <bjacoby@iwaynet.net>
Message-ID: <65a940eb-c730-4a58-9e6b-a04452550189@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229300
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 10, 10:44 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Indeed, the UBL slaying came just in time as the birther death knells
> were already ringing. But some hard-core nutbags can't let it go.

Which obviously also include hardcore paranoids like you. Nobody
cares what you think. Posting idiocy to the internet won't cure your
mental illness! Hang it up and give some of the other patients in the
ward a chance!

1374. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 03:31:29 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5ca3ce13-bdaf-4593-b5e1-f49fd4f32f5f@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229301
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 10, 8:59 pm, Benj <bjac...@iwaynet.net> wrote:
> On May 10, 10:43 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > Yes he was entitled to America
> > > citizenship through his mother. But he was NOT a natural born citizen.
>
> > Yes, he is, not in dispute. I cannot even be questioned. It is proven
> > historical FACT that you cannot refute.
>
> > > He was not eligible to be president.
>
> > Yes, he is and will win his second term, and maybe a third if Hillary
> > isn't running.
>
> Sorry there sham-shill it IS in dispute!

Sorry but no, it isn't. It is not even possible to dispute it. By definition, ipso facto, it is settled once Hawaii speaks. It did so twice now. Case is closed. Barack Who Slain Osama IS the FIRST and ONLY US president to document his eligibility with his birth certificate, not in dispute.

> And it certainly CAN be
> questioned!

Nope. Let's see you try and I will kick your sorry stupid kooker ass.

> Just how insane are you?

Holy SHIT, the projection reeks!

> You aren't even writing logical
> English!

BWAHAHAAAAHAAA!!! Too cute! An insane nut job can't understand English.

> Just saying he's "natural born" over and over and pointing to
> some photoshopped piece of crap as some kind of irrefutable proof is
> looney.

Sorry, dearie, but what i am pointing to is HAWAII's certification. It's proven fact. The very act of Hawaii certificating that Obama was born there SETTLES it for all time. Not in dispute. The US Constitution REQUIRES that you accept this and STFU.

> It's political. It's lies. It's propaganda.

Talkn' 'bout the birfers, are ya, insane nut job?

> It may fool all
> the idiots posting here but doesn't fool me!

You're the fool, not in dispute.

> You are wearing the tin-
> foil hat here.

BWAHAAHAHAAHAAA!!!

Meanwhile we all notice that you have ZERO support for your disproven claims, insane nut job. Please stop sniffing glue.

> But of course, the TRUE test of Obama's eligibility to be president
> has to do with whether he is enough of a politician to cover up his
> birth status for AT LEAST 8 years.

Cover up? Are you nerts? He's done the OPPOSITE. He put TWO official birth certificates online. One was enough and MORE than ANY PREVIOUS PRESIDENT in history had ever done.

> Like you say, who give a shit if he
> follows the rules? The constitution is null and void now anyway.

Obama UPHELD the Constitution by PROVING his eligibility even though not required, while your America-hating rightards SHIT on it.

> So
> you are probably quite right that he may run for a third term. I mean,
> hey, if the "natural born" part of the constitution doesn't apply
> anymore, why should we worry about some johnny-come-lately thing like
> the the XXII Amendment? You are not only insane, but treasonously
> criminal as well.

You are, as I just proved, nutbag. DISMISSED!!

<shit, this fresh-meat newbie brought NOTHING new. Soooooo disappointed!>

1375. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 03:32:06 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dcae862e-04eb-40bc-8958-1029b9b69d73@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229302
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 10, 9:01 pm, Benj <bjac...@iwaynet.net> wrote:
> On May 10, 10:44 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > Indeed, the UBL slaying came just in time as the birther death knells
> > were already ringing. But some hard-core nutbags can't let it go.
>
> Which obviously also include hardcore paranoids like you. Nobody
> cares what you think. Posting idiocy to the internet won't cure your
> mental illness! Hang it up and give some of the other patients in the
> ward a chance!

I LOVE the smell of rightard kooker projection!!

BRING IT ON, nutbag!! Let's see whatcha GOTS!!

Probably nothing, as usual!

1376. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 03:44:05 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <9c7cfd97-815b-4ef4-b910-fe1c12ac1af3@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229303

On May 10, 9:54 am, "D. Peter Maus" <dpeterm...@att.net> wrote:
> On 5/10/11 11:10 , bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > On May 10, 9:07 am, Joe from Kokomo<j...@indy.net> wrote:
> >> On 5/9/2011 4:30 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> >>> Harold Camping, the founder and genius behind Family Radio, has
> >>> predicted with stunning accuracy and without overt prophecy from the
> >>> Almighty, that the rapture will occur on May 21, 2011, although we
> >>> cannot yet tell if it will be before or after lunch.
>
> >> It will be soooo interesting to see how Kooktard Kamping will back
> >> peddle / remove the egg from his face when the world is still here on
> >> May 22.

- - - He'll either claim that his calcs were wrong or that
- - - God granted a reprieve. Either way, he's right and
- - - it's not your place to question God's authority ;-)

- He's apparently not read the passage in the Bible that states
- that no man may know the day or the time of the Rapture.

Yeah - It's supposed to be a Day-After Headline :
Oops Their Are Gone Event ! -when-it-does-happen-
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rapture
.
-wrt- Pre-Tribulation 'Rapture'
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pretribulationism
.
-ps- so... don't get 'left-behind' !
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Left_Behind_%28series%29
.
.

1377. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 03:56:43 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <19ad8a8a-addc-43b9-b384-5b40efcc5236@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229304

On May 10, 9:53 am, "D. Peter Maus" <dpeterm...@att.net> wrote:
> On 5/10/11 11:07 , Joe from Kokomo wrote:
>
> > On 5/9/2011 4:30 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
> >> Harold Camping, the founder and genius behind Family Radio, has
> >> predicted with stunning accuracy and without overt prophecy from the
> >> Almighty, that the rapture will occur on May 21, 2011, although we
> >> cannot yet tell if it will be before or after lunch.
>
> > It will be soooo interesting to see how Kooktard Kamping will back
> > peddle / remove the egg from his face when the world is still here
> > on May 22.

- Has anyone but me noticed the irony of a Christian radio network,
- broadcasting to a Christian radio audience the countdown to the End
- of Days when neither will be there to either broadcast, nor receive
- the broadcast?

Only 'The Elect of God' Will Be "Raptured" and
Spared the Tribulation Before The Second Coming
of Jesus 'The Christ'...
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/119bfe71677b7587
-for-the-'rapture'-there-is-limited-seating-available-

Meaning there will be plenty of Broadcasters both
Non-Christians and Christians On-the-Air The-Day-After
-and- Plenty of Listeners/Watchers both Non-Christians
and Christians Listening/Watching in Amazement
and Disappointment The-Day-After too...
.
-ps- so... don't get 'left-behind' !
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Left_Behind_%28series%29
.
.

1378. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 04:01:18 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <565887ed-7722-4d7d-b246-2561a517ee0a@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229306

On May 10, 7:08 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/10/2011 3:25 PM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > Killjoy Ohio.
> >http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Ohio+town+mulls+Birdfeeder+ban
>
> > Hows aboutcha, Anti-America Cuyahoga Falls,Ohio!
> > I have Two Birdfeeders.I am going to buy some more Birdfeeders.Birds are
> > Wellcome in backwater hick Missy Sippy.I Like Birds, doggy Likes Birds
> > too.Sometimes she wants to run after Birds, but she wouldn't hurt any
> > Birds.I wouldn't let her anyway.
> > cuhulin
>
- Maybe doggie is secretly a bird. Is it possible she be chicken?
-
- Regards,
- JS

No I have it on good authority from some
Asian Friends that Dog and Cat Do Not
Taste Like Chicken.

personally 'i' can not say... ~ RHF
.
.

1379. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 04:01:22 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <364f9cb5-23b0-42f4-9dd0-e20c203b6710@x6g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229305
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 10:05 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/10/2011 3:56 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout"<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> > wrote:
> >> "joeturn"<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> >>news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>> On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> >>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >
> >>>>> On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
> >
> >>>>>> ...
> >>>>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> >>>>>> were
> >>>>>> in perfect working order.
> >>>>>> You retard.
> >
> >>>>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> >>>>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> >>>>>> saw
> >>>>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
> >
> >>>>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> >>>>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
> >
> >>>>> Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> >>>>> nano thermite residue.
> >
> >>>> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> >>>> common metals used in high-rise construction.
> >
> >>>> --
> >>>> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> >>>> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
> >
> >>>> - Show quoted text -

>
> >>> but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> >>> a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> >> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> >> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> >> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>
> >> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> >> thermite.
>
> >> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > I'm beging tho think your nothing but a grunt/punkin the one that
> > sweeps the
> > floor and have no knowlege what so ever of controlled demolition
> > other
> > than what NIST told you. Detonaters to set off the cutter charges of
> > theremate are not loud at all they depend on their ability to ignite
> > not there explosive noise making abilityquality.
>
> > An expert in cutting torches. knows about NAP gas
>
> NO!
>
> But, they will know about MAP Gas!
>
> If you wish to even fool the children as to your being "professional",
> get the name of the gas right!
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

So you have became a spell checker,well good I'll have youproffread my
book before I release it!

1380. Re: Another confirmation, BIRTH CERTIFICATE FORGED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 04:02:18 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2833d456eb62fe0698a1c6@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229307
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

In article <761bdda8-a7e0-411c-9e25-da765cff41f9
@w10g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>, bjacoby@iwaynet.net says...
>
> On May 10, 4:18ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > Sorry, the matter was settled years ago to most sane people, and even
> > most of your fellow birther loons have finally figured out it's over.
> > A competentcy hearing for the remainder of you birthtards would be a
> > good thing, at least it would get you some help.

>
> You mean the issue was settled for anyone without any intelligence of
> morality. Your tinfoil helmet is slipping.

ROTFL. So much ironic energy. You birthtards reek of it.

> You seriously need to get a real job.

I already have one. Goofing on birthers and other kooktards is pure
entertainment.

> One day your bosses will cut you
> loose (they have no use for "useful idiots" born without brains) and
> you'll reap what you sown.

Well, since I AM the boss/owner, that would be highly unlikely.

> I think a restraining order keeping your
> libel off the internet would be a good start. Don't you know that
> contray to the solid belief of all you loons, internet posting does
> NOT cure insanity!

BWHAHAHA. My libel? That's a good one! Good to see, even though you
aren't aware of how funny you are, that you still make some slight bit
of sense. You haven't gotten as bad as WrongTurnJoe, or Johnny KQQK yet,
but I bet you'll get there, someday.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1381. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 04:02:32 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <66321247-63ed-4c3d-95fe-0781a8318bc8@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229308
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 10:02 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/10/2011 3:19 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
> > nap gas is your natural in your cutting torch,funny with all your many
>
> Try "Map Gas" with all your professional BS ...
>
> There is enough proof for an investigation, there is enough proof that
> the story we were told is fraudulent ...
>
> There is not enough proof to support the book you are writing, one post
> at a time, here on usenet!
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS

There has always been that truth and quite a few realize it but why hasn't your National Socialist government officials been tried for war crimes thus far?

Do you think there is a chance they will allow such a thing?

The only salution ia another rebelion but since your guns have been taken away from you it is like putting David up against Goliath.This time Goliath is heavily armed and you only have the sling shot!

1382. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 04:10:14 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2833d6369c8d57b198a1c7@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229309
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article <iqcqtkksa6@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 5/10/2011 3:56 PM, joeturn wrote:
> > On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout"<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> > wrote:
> >> "joeturn"<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >>
> >> news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>> On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> >>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>>>> On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
> >>
> >>>>>> ...
> >>>>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> >>>>>> were
> >>>>>> in perfect working order.
> >>>>>> You retard.
> >>
> >>>>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> >>>>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> >>>>>> saw
> >>>>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
> >>
> >>>>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> >>>>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
> >>
> >>>>> Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> >>>>> nano thermite residue.
> >>
> >>>> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> >>>> common metals used in high-rise construction.
> >>
> >>>> --
> >>>> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> >>>> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
> >>
> >>> - Show quoted text -

> >>
> >>> but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> >>> a tale tell sign of thermate use.
> >>
> >> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> >> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> >> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
> >>
> >> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> >> thermite.
> >>
> >> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> >
> > I'm beging tho think your nothing but a grunt/punkin the one that
> > sweeps the
> > floor and have no knowlege what so ever of controlled demolition
> > other
> > than what NIST told you. Detonaters to set off the cutter charges of
> > theremate are not loud at all they depend on their ability to ignite
> > not there explosive noise making abilityquality.
> >
> > An expert in cutting torches. knows about NAP gas
> >
>
> NO!
>
> But, they will know about MAP Gas!
>
> If you wish to even fool the children as to your being "professional",
> get the name of the gas right!
>
> Regards,
> JS

What profession could Joe convince anyone he was a member of? Other than
professional loon, of course.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1383. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 04:11:35 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2833d6835638c70898a1c8@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229310
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article <ce41fb1a-ab9e-4069-92ec-7fae1bc922b2
@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 10, 2:32ï¿œam, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> > On 5/9/2011 11:10 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >
> > > ...
> > > Who would you get to except a truy investigation. Your National
> > > Socialist Government is in control of what cases goes to court! Trying
> > > to get this idea of yours to declare justice against your Government
> > > is a federal offense and you would be convicted of treason!
> >
> > Hire 'em. ï¿œThere is a whole slew of them on the 9/11 truth site, as well
> > as many more who have already been investigating, on their own. ï¿œHow
> > about making one of 'em Niels Harrit?
> >
> > Even toss in some people from NIST ... it'll all work out. ï¿œAs long as
> > it is done with open public scrutiny and all aspects are investigated
> > and everything is widely open to the world.
> >
> > You could have Stephen Hawkings review all the data, in the end!
> >
> > Unless you are completely stupid, you will know a true and complete
> > investigation ...
> >
> > > Hows that for an opinion?
> >
> > That one? ï¿œWell, that one is particularly stupid ...
> >
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Time is on my side!

Time for senility to take the last pieces of what's left of your mind.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1384. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 04:13:35 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2833d6f97d68412498a1c9@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229311
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
In article <iqcc70$tgb$1@dont-email.me>,
me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net says...
>
> "Iarnrod" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:407067a5-d80d-4b6e-90f1-14ca8ab5376f@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com...
> > On May 10, 12:33 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> On May 10, 1:07 am, "Scout"
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> > "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >>
> >> >news:9641c9a8-6c0a-45f3-9606-4a8f4425e4e5@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> > > On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout"
> >> > > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> >> > > wrote:
> >> > >> "joeturn" <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >>
> >> > >>news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> > >> > On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> >> > >> >> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> > >> >> >On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
> >>
> >> > >> >> >> ...
> >> > >> >> >> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the
> >> > >> >> >> sprinklers
> >> > >> >> >> were
> >> > >> >> >> in perfect working order.
> >> > >> >> >> You retard.
> >>
> >> > >> >> >> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise
> >> > >> >> >> and
> >> > >> >> >> so
> >> > >> >> >> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7,
> >> > >> >> >> never
> >> > >> >> >> saw
> >> > >> >> >> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
> >>
> >> > >> >> >Burnt off in 15 minutes!
```

> >> > >> >> >> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
> >>
> >> > >> >> >Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor
> >> > >> >> >leave
> >> > >> >> >nano thermite residue.
> >>
> >> > >> >> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> >> > >> >> common metals used in high-rise construction.
> >>
> >> > >> >> --
> >> > >> >> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> >> > >> >> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
> >>
> >> > >> >> - Show quoted text -
> >>
> >> > >> > but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears
> >> > >> > which is
> >> > >> > a tale tell sign of thermate use.
> >>
> >> > >> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning
> >> > >> table,
> >> > >> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not
> >> > >> barrium
> >> > >> used
> >> > >> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
> >>
> >> > >> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium
> >> > >> and
> >> > >> thermite.
> >>
> >> > >> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide
> >> > >> quoted
> >> > >> text -
> >>
> >> > >> - Show quoted text -
> >>
> >> > > You felled to connect the dots spheres that are made from iron and
> >> > > sulfer that do contain barrium is the tell tell sign that Thermate
> >> > > was
> >> > > used!
> >>
> >> > > You cutting torch would take mega time to cut through vertical
> >> > > columns
> >> > > this is why natural gas and oxygen torches are not used for
> >> > > controlled
> >> > > demolition.
> >>
> >> > Actually, they are. A LOT. In fact in most controlled demolition they
> >> > are
> >> > used.

> >>
> >> > Even in explosive controlled demolition much of the prep work is done
> >> > via
> >> > these exact sort of cutting torches.
> >>
> >> This brings us to the B-thing buch (Israely Art Students)they stayed
> >> in there for a year and a half rent free gutting the building or do
> >> you think they(Bushes Security Employees) took out as much support as
> >> they could while doing their mantinance.There was very little office
> >> equiptment plumbing,wiring,carpet,cell phones,ect in the debree pile!
> >>
> >> Scott my audience needs to know these things,you cant do them justise
> >> your just a simple steel worker with no knowledge of controlled
> >> demolition except that which NIST taught you!
>
> Since you have no idea of who I am, much less my professional knowledge and
> experience, I can only assume this is your conspiracy theorist stupidity
> talking here.
>
>
> >> How loud does a linear cutting charge have to be to cut through a box
> >> column!
>
> Quite loud actually since you will require several cutting charges each one
> containing a rather large volume of high explosive. Not going to be very
> quiet when you detonate and you would probably be rattling windows in NJ
> from the concussion.
>
>
> >> Torches are used in prep work occasionally
>
> So when you said they weren't used, you are admitting you were dead wrong?
>
> >> but not for cutting the
> >> support columns
>
> Actually they will cut whatever needs to be cut. Which can even include
> support columns.
>
> >and they certainly dont use nap gas.
>
> I've certainly not heard of them using "nap gas". I've seen them use
> propane, acetylene, LPG and even MAPP, but never heard or seen of "nap gas"
> being used.
>
>
>
> > Please stop smoking crack, WrongTurnJoe. Your poor suffering momma
> > can't take any more!

Nap gas is what the evul govmint conspirators used to knock out everyone
in the TWC, so they could plant the hushaboom.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1385. Re: KLINTON -- a legend in his own mind, a myth with no basis in reality ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 04:19:25 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EE1D8E9E99BDExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247>
Source record: 229312
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.conspiracy,alt.republicans,alt.democrats,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

Yoorghis
> On Tue, 10 May 2011 19:50:26 GMT, MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE
>>Yoorghis
>>> On Tue, 10 May 2011 08:25:50 -0700, John Smith
>>>>On 5/10/2011 8:15 AM, Pete wrote:
>
>>>>> I was always impressed how easy it was for him to lie.
>>>>> I remember DIANE FEINSTEIN saying you couldn't believe him about
>>>>> anything if he was lying in one particularly emphatic denial. Well, he
>>>>> was indeed lying. Eventually, he apologized to his cabinet.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I wonder if my guess is correct, and he thought that made it all OK?
>>>
>>> He had already "explained" to his cabinet that his denial was a
>>> "LEGAL" denial---accorded him by the legal system.
>>
>>
>>And it was this kind of Clinton-Lawyer quibbling
>>which argued over what type of 'tape' to use on OBL's beard,
>>what type of 'chair' he should sit in, and yet equivocate OK and
>>necessary to wiretap Princess Diana while declaring
>>Mohammed Atta hands off!
>
> Clinton was BOUND by existing laws, you mindless moron
...

I tried to represent a better America when I was president.
But now I don't have to...
-- Bill Clinton'06

Which is why he authorized wiretapping Princess Diana
while declaring Mohammed Atta Hands-off and now
molests one of his own students in a
World-Wide photo-op with the caption: Priceless Lessons in Leadership !!!

Bill Clinton does (and did) precisely what Bill Clinton wanted to do. PERIOD.
He said as much, Hillary went further by telling us
she did it because it was where the FUN begins.

http://www.hudson.org/index.cfm?fuseaction=publication_details&id=4227
&pubType=Homeland

What Clinton Didn't Do . . .
. . . .and when he didn't do it.

.... let us examine Mr. Clinton's war on terror. Some 38 days after he was sworn in, al Qaeda attacked the World Trade Center. He did not visit the twin towers that year, even though four days after the attack he was just across the Hudson River in New Jersey, talking about job training. He made no attempt to rally the public against terrorism. His only public speech on the bombing was a few paragraphs inserted into a radio address mostly devoted to an economic stimulus package. Those stray paragraphs were limited to reassuring the public and thanking the rescuers, the kinds of things governors say after hurricanes. He did not even vow to bring the bombers to justice. Instead, he turned the first terrorist attack on American soil over to the FBI.

In his Fox interview, Mr. Clinton said "no one knew that al Qaeda existed" in October 1993, during the tragic events in Somalia. But his national security adviser, Tony Lake, told me that he first learned of bin Laden "sometime in 1993," when he was thought of as a terror financier. U.S. Army Capt. James Francis Yacone, a black hawk squadron commander in Somalia, later testified that radio intercepts of enemy mortar crews firing at Americans were in Arabic, not Somali, suggesting the work of bin Laden's agents (who spoke Arabic), not warlord Farah Aideed's men (who did not). CIA and DIA reports also placed al Qaeda operatives in Somalia at the time.

By the end of Mr. Clinton's first year, al Qaeda had apparently attacked twice. The attacks would continue for every one of the Clinton years.

• In 1994, Khalid Sheikh Mohammed (who would later plan the 9/11 attacks) launched "Operation Bojinka" to down 11 U.S. planes simultaneously over the Pacific. A sharp-eyed Filipina police officer foiled the plot. The sole American response: increased law-enforcement cooperation with the Philippines.

• In 1995, al Qaeda detonated a 220-pound car bomb outside the Office of Program Manager in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, killing five Americans and wounding 60 more. The FBI was sent in.

• In 1996, al Qaeda bombed the barracks of American pilots patrolling the "no-fly zones" over Iraq, killing 19. Again, the FBI responded.

• In 1997, al Qaeda consolidated its position in Afghanistan and bin Laden repeatedly declared war on the U.S. In February, bin Laden told an Arab TV network: "If someone can kill an American soldier, it is better than wasting time on other matters." No response from the Clinton administration.

• In 1998, al Qaeda simultaneously bombed U.S. embassies in Kenya and Tanzania, killing 224, including 12 U.S. diplomats. Mr. Clinton ordered cruise-missile strikes on Afghanistan and Sudan in response. Here Mr. Clinton's critics are wrong: The president was right to retaliate when America was attacked, irrespective of the Monica Lewinsky case.

Still, "Operation Infinite Reach" was weakened by Clintonian compromise. The State Department feared that Pakistan might spot the American missiles

in its air space and misinterpret it as an Indian attack. So Mr. Clinton told Gen. Joe Ralston, vice chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to notify Pakistan's army minutes before the Tomahawks passed over Pakistan. Given Pakistan's links to jihadis at the time, it is not surprising that bin Laden was tipped off, fleeing some 45 minutes before the missiles arrived.

• In 1999, the Clinton administration disrupted al Qaeda's Millennium plots, a series of bombings stretching from Amman to Los Angeles. This shining success was mostly the work of Richard Clarke, a NSC senior director who forced agencies to work together. But the Millennium approach was shortlived. Over Mr. Clarke's objections, policy reverted to the status quo.

• In January 2000, al Qaeda tried and failed to attack the U.S.S. The Sullivans off Yemen. (Their boat sank before they could reach their target.) But in October 2000, an al Qaeda bomb ripped a hole in the hull of the U.S.S. Cole, killing 17 sailors and wounding another 39.

When Mr. Clarke presented a plan to launch a massive cruise missile strike on al Qaeda and Taliban facilities in Afghanistan, the Clinton cabinet voted against it. After the meeting, a State Department counterterrorism official, Michael Sheehan, sought out Mr. Clarke. Both told me that they were stunned. Mr. Sheehan asked Mr. Clarke: "What's it going to take to get them to hit al Qaeda in Afghanistan? Does al Qaeda have to attack the Pentagon?"

There is much more to Mr. Clinton's record--how Predator drones, which spotted bin Laden three times in 1999 and 2000, were grounded by bureaucratic infighting; how a petty dispute with an Arizona senator stopped the CIA from hiring more Arabic translators. While it is easy to look back in hindsight and blame Bill Clinton, the full scale and nature of the terrorist threat was not widely appreciated until 9/11. Still: Bill Clinton did not fully grasp that he was at war. Nor did he intuit that war requires overcoming bureaucratic objections and a democracy's natural reluctance to use force. That is a hard lesson. But it is better to learn it from studying the Clinton years than reliving them.

This is Clinton's Bridge to the 21st Century.
The LIB's Depraved NEW WORLD.

http://www.strangecosmos.com/images/content/420.jpg
CLINTON's LEGACY: Something about His Mom, and a Cigar.
DEPRAVITY, UNLEASHED!

http://www.time.com/time/politics/article/0,8599,1847723,00.html
Putting Bill Clinton On the Couch
Monica Lewinsky represented something very important to Clinton psychologically. She looked like his mother, Virginia, who had recently died. Clinton kept saying how much she reminded him of his mother.

Monica kept saying she felt like she was having a relationship with a lost little boy. This is the President of the United States. She was an intern. Yet she felt maternal in her relationship with him.

1386. THE GREAT OSAMA HOAX! America has been had, again ... or, obama beta release 3.6 ... LOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 04:27:29 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqd37i$6a3$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229313
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Well, what can I say, this last week have brought about a set of actions
and announcements which just keep getting better and better, an brought
to you from a man with a phony ID!:

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/al-qaeda/8493391/Osama-bin-Laden-dead-Blackout-during-raid-on-bin-Laden-compound.html

Seems like now there is NO VIDEO of the claimed termination of osama.

I mean, and again, you just can't make up stuff like this! If this were
a movie, the audience would cry FOUL and demand their money back ...

Regards,
JS

1387. Re: KLINTON -- a legend in his own mind, a myth with no basis in reality ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 04:49:32 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqd4gtahf1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229314
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.conspiracy,alt.republicans,alt.democrats,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On 5/10/2011 9:19 PM, MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE wrote:

> ...
> Which is why he authorized wiretapping Princess Diana
> while declaring Mohammed Atta Hands-off and now
> molests one of his own students in a
> World-Wide photo-op with the caption: Priceless Lessons in Leadership !!!
> ...

Yes, the old corn husker was on a tight schedule, I heard ...

Just time for the good old motivational speech done with all the art of
a conman, in the tradition of tried and true professional
motivational-ripoff-artists ... then on to grab a couple of lewinskis
from the college air heads, and he was off to do it all again!

Splendid! Much ado about nutin'. But, entertaining for political
worshiping groupies ... <yawn>

Regards,
JS

1388. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 05:19:33 UTC
From: fergo <fergo@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <Zp-dnbDT-rIegVfQnZ2dnUVZ_qGdnZ2d@westnet.com.au>
Source record: 229315
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

"george" <gblack@hnpl.net> wrote in message
news:343f514c-b97c-44ca-903f-c74fe17be134@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com...
> On May 11, 7:49 am, "fergo" <fe...@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>
>> He should get a job in the public service, then he'd see there's no way
>> the
>> government could pull off something like 911. It takes them half a year
>> to
>> make a decision. At my work we are talking about July 2012!
>
> You could cut that back to "He should get a job" :-)
> The most powerful man in the world (at the time) couldn't hide the
> fact that a junior staff member was giving him oral sex and keeping
> his cigars moist...

Yeah so how could Bush keep something as sophisticated as 911 a secret? If
it really was a conspiracy there would be at least one smoking gun, but
there's none. Ziltch, zero (well Bush did know Binladen wanted to attack but
I am unclear what he did with that knowledge)

1389. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 05:29:49 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqd6sc$d9b$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229316
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/10/2011 10:19 PM, fergo wrote:

> ...
> Yeah so how could Bush keep something as sophisticated as 911 a secret?
> If it really was a conspiracy there would be at least one smoking gun,
> but there's none. Ziltch, zero (well Bush did know Binladen wanted to
> attack but I am unclear what he did with that knowledge)

Yeah, kinda like that old, "Can't see the forest through the trees!" thing.

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1390. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 05:31:57 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqd70c$d9b$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229317
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/10/2011 9:01 PM, joeturn wrote:

>> ...
>> NO!
>>
>> But, they will know about MAP Gas!
>>
>> If you wish to even fool the children as to your being "professional",
>> get the name of the gas right!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> So you have became a spell checker,well good I'll have youproffread my
> book before I release it!

OK!

I'll admit it, I was a bit anal about the "nap gas" thing ... just glad
you corrected it ... thanks! :-)

Regards,
JS

1391. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 05:38:19 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqd7ca$d9b$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229318

On 5/10/2011 8:44 PM, RHF wrote:

>> ...
> -ps- so... don't get 'left-behind' !
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Left_Behind_%28series%29
> .
> .

I'd like to grab the gnarled and hoary old "buggard" (a cross between a bug and a bastard) by his bridge-like-troll-ear and RAP-ture him along side the head with a ball-peen hammer!

And, I would only go gentle on him, like that, because of his age and mental limitations!

Regards,
JS

1392. THE GREAT OSAMA HOAX! America has been had, again ... or, obama beta release 3.6 ... LOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 05:46:29 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqd7rk$m07$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Well, what can I say, this last week has brought about a set of actions
and announcements which just keep getting better and better, and brought
to you from a man with a phony ID!:

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/al-qaeda/8493391/Osama-bin-Laden-dead-Blackout-during-raid-on-bin-Laden-compound.html

Seems like now there is NO VIDEO of the claimed termination of osama.

I mean, and again, you just can't make up stuff like this! If this were
a movie, the audience would cry FOUL and demand their money back ...

Regards,
JS

1393. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 06:18:34 UTC
From: fergo <fergo@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <V6Gdnf6Xt_jft1fQnZ2dnUVZ_jGdnZ2d@westnet.com.au>
Source record: 229320
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:iqd6sc$d9b$4@dont-email.me...
> On 5/10/2011 10:19 PM, fergo wrote:
>
>> ...
>> Yeah so how could Bush keep something as sophisticated as 911 a secret?
>> If it really was a conspiracy there would be at least one smoking gun,
>> but there's none. Ziltch, zero (well Bush did know Binladen wanted to
>> attack but I am unclear what he did with that knowledge)
>
> Yeah, kinda like that old, "Can't see the forest through the trees!"
> thing.
>
So the smoking gun is beyond the Forrest? Come on even the JFK conspiracy
theory was proven right (to an extent) with the death bed confession (and
I'm sure govshill will reply to that) but what confessions or smoking guns
are they in regards to 911?

1394. Re: THE GREAT OSAMA HOAX! America has been had, again ... or, obama beta release 3.6 ... LOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 06:24:30 UTC
From: DCI <50bjdk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9175e440-d3e9-438c-9737-cb7b520c19db@b7g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 10, 10:46 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> Well, what can I say, this last week has brought about a set of actions
> and announcements which just keep getting better and better, and brought
> to you from a man with a phony ID!:
>
> http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/al-qaeda/8493391/Osama-bin-...
>
> Seems like now there is NO VIDEO of the claimed termination of osama.
>
> I mean, and again, you just can't make up stuff like this! If this were
> a movie, the audience would cry FOUL and demand their money back ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Mr. Smith,

I have no inkling of your age; therefore, I do not know from what perspective you are proffering your opinion. Be that as it may, recording the history of war and what takes place in front line of a conflict has changed dramatically in the last decade or so. Now the military and its presidents are able to see an operation such as the Navy Seals engagement at the bi Laden compound in Abbottabad, Pakistan, in real time.

One matter to consider before making an opinion about why there was a blackout for some 40 minutes, give or take. Any time a signal is sent out from a scene source of a military action, in this case, the cameras on the helmets on the Seals special operations, the signal has the potential of being intercepted by other groups of combatants. Rest assured, the whole affair was taped at the mission's military unit in the field. To suggest some faked operation would be both unwise and making the conspiracy-minded folks carry on and on.

What the well trained Navy Seals can do at a minimum in any operation in the cool-headed, without clouding the mind with nervous emotions, would have many good men peeing their pants. To complete an assignment, Seals don't shake, they just do it! Be proud of them.

In time, the photos and tapes will be seen. Be patient.

DCI .

1395. Re: THE GREAT OSAMA HOAX! America has been had, again ... or, obama beta release 3.6 ... LOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 06:35:35 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqdanmpbe1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy

On 5/10/2011 11:24 PM, DCI wrote:
> On May 10, 10:46 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> Well, what can I say, this last week has brought about a set of actions
>> and announcements which just keep getting better and better, and brought
>> to you from a man with a phony ID!:
>>
>> http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/al-qaeda/8493391/Osama-bin-...
>>
>> Seems like now there is NO VIDEO of the claimed termination of osama.
>>
>> I mean, and again, you just can't make up stuff like this! If this were
>> a movie, the audience would cry FOUL and demand their money back ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Mr. Smith,
>
> I have no inkling of your age; therefore, I do not know from what
> perspective you are proffering your opinion. Be that as it may,
> recording the history of war and what takes place in front line of a
> conflict has changed dramatically in the last decade or so. Now the
> military and its presidents are able to see an operation such as the
> Navy Seals engagement at the bi Laden compound in Abbottabad,
> Pakistan, in real time.
>
> One matter to consider before making an opinion about why there was a
> blackout for some 40 minutes, give or take. Any time a signal is sent
> out from a scene source of a military action, in this case, the
> cameras on the helmets on the Seals special operations, the signal has
> the potential of being intercepted by other groups of combatants. Rest
> assured, the whole affair was taped at the mission's military unit in
> the field. To suggest some faked operation would be both unwise and
> making the conspiracy-minded folks carry on and on.
>
> What the well trained Navy Seals can do at a minimum in any operation
> in the cool-headed, without clouding the mind with nervous emotions,
> would have many good men peeing their pants. To complete an
> assignment, Seals don't shake, they just do it! Be proud of them.
>
> In time, the photos and tapes will be seen. Be patient.
>

> DCI .

So, you have already jumped to the conclusion that they are lying, again! Yeah, I think them damn liars too ...

And, again, it will just keep getting better as the false flag operations, false documents, lies, dumped body of osama, etc. keep piling up around this administration and the negro crook and his ghetto gang in the whitehouse!

Wonderful ... suddenly America is a banana republic with public servants competing with criminals in 3rd world countries for whose behaviors are the rankest ...

It makes me look forward to tomorrow and what new weirdness that will bring ... you say they will eventually come up with a tape, after they have claimed there is none ... well, at least we are used to that little game -- we have seen that with the obama birth certificate. I guess that little trademark will be a tool of this president till we are finally rid of this stinking arse ...

Regards,
JS

1396. People in Pakistan think osama videos are a fraud!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 07:25:42 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqddll$86o$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229323
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-south-asia-13329078

Just when you think it can't possibly get any better, it gets BETTER!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1397. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 07:52:20 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9qfks6d6qp95mv1gfp0u9djmcn82oorafk@4ax.com>
Source record: 229324
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 11 May 2011 15:19:33 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:

>
>
>"george" <gblack@hnpl.net> wrote in message
>news:343f514c-b97c-44ca-903f-c74fe17be134@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com...
>> On May 11, 7:49 am, "fergo" <fe...@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>
>>> He should get a job in the public service, then he'd see there's no way
>>> the
>>> government could pull off something like 911. It takes them half a year
>>> to
>>> make a decision. At my work we are talking about July 2012!
>>
>> You could cut that back to "He should get a job" :-)
>> The most powerful man in the world (at the time) couldn't hide the
>> fact that a junior staff member was giving him oral sex and keeping
>> his cigars moist...
>
>Yeah so how could Bush keep something as sophisticated as 911 a secret? If
>it really was a conspiracy there would be at least one smoking gun, but
>there's none. Ziltch, zero (well Bush did know Binladen wanted to attack but
>I am unclear what he did with that knowledge)

Knowing that someone wants to attack is far different from knowing *what*
someone wants to attack, *where*, *when* and with *what*.

Imagine I said "I'm going to send someone to get you fergo!"

What would you do? How would you prevent it? Don't forget, I'm living in luxury
as a guest of the Afghan government, protected from you getting close to me,
when I say this.

Shill #2
--
I am not young enough to know everything.
Oscar Wilde (1854 - 1900)

1398. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 07:52:58 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <72gks6tqopl1r8gkgrig9ncakorueeeldo@4ax.com>
Source record: 229325
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 11 May 2011 16:18:34 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:

>
>
>"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>news:iqd6sc$d9b$4@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/10/2011 10:19 PM, fergo wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Yeah so how could Bush keep something as sophisticated as 911 a secret?
>>> If it really was a conspiracy there would be at least one smoking gun,
>>> but there's none. Ziltch, zero (well Bush did know Binladen wanted to
>>> attack but I am unclear what he did with that knowledge)
>>
>> Yeah, kinda like that old, "Can't see the forest through the trees!"
>> thing.
>>
>So the smoking gun is beyond the Forrest? Come on even the JFK conspiracy
>theory was proven right (to an extent) with the death bed confession (and
>I'm sure govshill will reply to that)

Ok.

What death bed confession?

Shill #2
--
I am not young enough to know everything.
Oscar Wilde (1854 - 1900)

1399. MURDER! Pakistanis know osama videos are fraudulent crimes ... sad, so very, very sad ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 08:00:25 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqdfmn$cj0$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229326
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-south-asia-13329078

Just when you think it can't possibly get any better, it just keeps getting BETTER!

Now things are becoming clear. We now have to ask, "Who is the poor buggar they killed in Pakistan?"

We certainly know why they were in a hurry to dispose of that body! These rabid public servants of ours have gone dangerous to citizens on a worldwide level.

I usually can maintain a sense of humor, and laugh. These vicious animals, our public servants, are simply too sick and disgusting to be able to laugh, this time ... these public servant fools are out of control, when are we going to tell them that enough is enough?

Regards,
JS

1400. nike shoes,jordan shoes(http://www.brandtrade66.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 08:42:24 UTC
From: shoestrade <zhangsuqi1987@yahoo.cn>
Message-ID: <10bbd971-87e2-4d1a-9cd9-7eb30c4981c0@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,misc.consumers.frugal-living

nike shoes,jordan shoes(http://www.brandtrade66.com)
Air Force 1 shoes(http://www.brandtrade66.com)
kids shoes(http://www.brandtrade66.com)
nike air max TN shoes(http://www.brandtrade66.com)
nike MAX LTD shoes (http://www.brandtrade66.com)
nike air MAX 180 sports shoes(http://www.brandtrade66.com)
nike air max 360 basketball shoes discount paypal (http://
www.brandtrade66.com)
nike air max 2003 running shoes discount paypal (http://
www.brandtrade66.com)
nike air max 97 sport shoes discount paypal (http://
www.brandtrade66.com)
nike air max 95 basketball shoes(http://www.brandtrade66.com)
nike air max 90 running shoes discount paypal (http://
www.brandtrade66.com)
nike air max 87 fashion shoes discount paypal (http://
www.brandtrade66.com)
nike Shox TL1 men shoes discount paypal (http://
www.brandtrade66.com)
nike shox TL3 sports shoes discount paypal (http://
www.brandtrade66.com)
nike shox NZ running shoes (http://www.brandtrade66.com)
nike shox OZ basketball shoes discount paypal (http://
www.brandtrade66.com)
nike shox R3 sport shoes discount paypal (http://
www.brandtrade66.com)
nike shox R4 fashion shoes discount paypal (http://
www.brandtrade66.com)
nike shox R5 running shoes discount paypal (http://
www.brandtrade66.com)
puma shoes discount paypal
Get Nike Shoes at Super Cheap Prices
Discount Ed hardy tshirt (http://www.brandtrade66.com)
Discount Ed hardy jean (http://www.brandtrade66.com)
Discount Ed hardy shoes (http://www.brandtrade66.com)
Discount Ed hardy handbag (http://www.brandtrade66.com)
Discount Ed hardy other porduct (http://www.brandtrade66.com)
Discount Nike air jordans (http://www.brandtrade66.com)
Discount Nike Air Max 90 Sneakers (http://www.brandtrade66.com)
Discount Nike Air Max 91 Supplier (http://www.brandtrade66.com)
Discount Nike Air Max 95 Shoes Supplier (http://
www.brandtrade66.com)
Discount Nike Air Max 97 Trainers (http://www.brandtrade66.com)

Discount Nike Air Max 2003 (http://www.brandtrade66.com)
Discount Nike Air Max 2004 Shoes
(http://www.brandtrade66.com)
Discount Nike Air Max 2005 Shop (http://www.brandtrade66.com)
Discount Nike Air Max 2006 Shoes Shop (http://www.brandtrade66.com)
Discount Nike Air Max 360 Catalogs (http://www.brandtrade66.com)
Discount Nike Air Max Ltd Shoes Catalogs (http://
www.brandtrade66.com)
Discount Nike Air Max Tn Men's Shoes (http://www.brandtrade66.com)

1401. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 09:03:48 UTC
From: Keith <kilowattradio@use-reply-to.invalid>
Message-ID: <iqdjdl0fv@news6.newsguy.com>
Source record: 229328

On Tue, 10 May 2011 12:07:18 -0400, Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net> wrote:

> On 5/9/2011 4:30 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
> > Harold Camping, the founder and genius behind Family Radio, has
> > predicted with stunning accuracy and without overt prophecy from the
> > Almighty, that the rapture will occur on May 21, 2011, although we
> > cannot yet tell if it will be before or after lunch.
>
> It will be soooo interesting to see how Kooktard Kamping will back
> peddle / remove the egg from his face when the world is still here on
> May 22.

I'd wager that Camping gets a new revelation on May 20th saying that G'sus
will show up in 2012 instead. Kick the can down the road.
--
Best Regards, Keith
|--|
http://home.comcast.net/~kilowattradio/

1402. HOT 2 HOT SEXY BEST VIDEOS PHOTOS TOOOO U

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 09:27:54 UTC
From: 866434 <iashjbbxdhvv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2dbc8cd3-f710-4e04-b74b-f118e05d64a3@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229329

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

1403. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 09:35:16 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <6f56d9df-7fdc-4fae-8f4e-8e0ed8c1ddee@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229330

On May 10, 10:38 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/10/2011 8:44 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> >> ...
>
> > -ps- so... don't get 'left-behind' !
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Left_Behind_%28series%29
> > .
> > .
>
- I'd like to grab the gnarled and hoary old "buggard" (a cross
between a
- bug and a bastard) by his bridge-like-troll-ear and RAP-ture him
along
- side the head with a ball-peen hammer!
-
- And, I would only go gentle on him, like that, because of his age
and
- mental limitations!
-
- Regards,
- JS

"JS" - Clearly You Have Made Dinner Reservations
for The-Day-After ...as... There is No Doubt that
you will be One of the "Left-Behind" . . .
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Left_Behind_%28series%29
.
.

1404. Re: THE GREAT OSAMA HOAX! America has been had, again ... or, obama beta release 3.6 ... LOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 11:16:10 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iqdr5q$o8k$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/11/2011 2:24 AM, DCI wrote:

> To suggest some faked operation would be both unwise and
> making the conspiracy-minded folks carry on and on.

You are worried about "conspiracy-minded folks carry[ing] on and on"?

Too late!

"John Smith", the King of Konspiracy Kooktards -already- carries on and on...2,203 posts to date.

1405. Top News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 11:22:48 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCA7187.6FAD66F2@milestones.com>
Source record: 229332

The latest edition (11 May) of Top News has been posted:

http://www.wwdxc.de/topnews.htm

dxAce
Michigan
USA

Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
70' and 200' wires
GE SR I (2) and II

239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the NASWA Country List.

And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of Technology & Design (Backwater U.).

They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.

1406. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 12:11:21 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bf7b34a6-aea7-412e-bdcb-f6f99c114b42@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229333
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 10:02 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> The only salution [sic] ia [sic] another rebelion [sic] but since your guns have been
> taken away from you it is like putting David up against Goliath.This
> time Goliath is heavily armed and you only have the sling shot!

Ummm, WrongTurnJoe, I think that's how it was the FIRST time too!!

BWAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAAA!! Gotta LOVE the fresh Komedy Gold these kookers
bring from time to time!

1407. China Card in Abbottabad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 12:33:14 UTC
From: "Chas.Chan" <tianmeiguo@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4429049a-9a7a-4b77-bfd8-31315db09d7a@j31g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.news-media,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.economics,alt.politics.liberalism

http://johnbatchelorshow.com/debrief/2011/05/china-card-in-abbottabad.php

http://online.wsj.com/video/us-aid-to-pakistan-questioned-by-lawmakers/572A9439-6690-4CF5-AFD3-653E3D2ED956.html

1408. HOT 2 HOT SEXY BEST VIDEOS PHOTOS TOOOO U

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 12:52:25 UTC
From: 7631 <4jgsdhgffgf@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <67336df9-64f2-4581-a4fb-8a4413d76992@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229335

A TO Z INFORMATION SEE IN THIS SITE

http://atwoztopic.blogspot.com/

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS

http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

ALL JOBS SITES FREE SEE

http://jobstwo.blogspot.com/

HOT VIDEOS

http://hotvideotoou.blogspot.com/2011/01/kiss-videos.html

hot lip kiss
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hot-lip-kiss.html
hot kisses
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hot-kisses.html
hollywood hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/hollywood-hot-videos.html
real hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/real-hot-videos.html
tamil hot videos
http://hotvidos.blogspot.com/2010/07/tamil-hot-videos.html

1409. (OT) Kentucky Derby Jockey found dead at Churchill Downs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 15:16:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DCAA839-261@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229336

http://www.drudgereport.com
cuhulin

1410. Re: (OT) Kentucky Derby Jockey found dead at Churchill Downs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 15:26:42 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCAAAB2.EA5F296B@milestones.com>
Source record: 229337

Yeah, I just read that story moments ago myself.

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> http://www.drudgereport.com
> cuhulin

1411. Re: (OT) Kentucky Derby Jockey found dead at Churchill Downs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 15:35:48 UTC
From: Bob S <kerrykrisMYHAT@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqeacl$j5v$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229338

On 5/11/2011 11:16 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> http://www.drudgereport.com
> cuhulin
>

He didn't ride in the Derby....

--
For email reply remove MYHAT

1412. CME (not Earth directed)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 15:48:17 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcaaf72$0$12499$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229339

http://www.solarham.com/pictures/spots2.jpg

1413. Re: (OT) Kentucky Derby Jockey found dead at Churchill Downs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 16:07:35 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <iqec88$88b$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229340

On 5/11/11 10:35 , Bob S wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 11:16 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>> http://www.drudgereport.com
>> cuhulin
>>
>
> He didn't ride in the Derby....
>
http://www.cbssports.com/general/story/15051207/jockey-michael-baze-24-found-dead-in-stable-area

1414. Re: (OT) Kentucky Derby Jockey found dead at Churchill Downs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 16:11:33 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <iqecfl$88b$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229341

On 5/11/11 10:35 , Bob S wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 11:16 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>> http://www.drudgereport.com
>> cuhulin
>>
>
> He didn't ride in the Derby....
>

http://www.thoroughbredtimes.com/national-news/2011/05/10/michael-baze-dies.aspx

http://community.seattletimes.nwsource.com/mobile/?type=story&id=2015017618&

1415. Re: (OT) Kentucky Derby Jockey found dead at Churchill Downs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 16:23:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DCAB813-899@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229342

It is strange a young man only 24 years old is found dead in his vehicle at Churchill Downs.From the pictures I saw of him, he looked to be in very Good health.I reckon when they do an autopsy on him, they will determine the cause of his death.
cuhulin

1416. Re: THE GREAT OSAMA HOAX! America has been had, again ... or, obama...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 16:32:11 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DCABA0B-900@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229343

Thieves steal 2,200 gallons of gas from 2 stations.
http://www.drudgereport.com
In Pasadena, the Golden State of California.

You gonna get free gas!!!!,,,,, Spread that wealth, baby!,,,,,, You gonna get a free house!!!!,,,,,, Gonna pay off your mortage!!!!,,,,, You gonna get free health care!!!!,,,,,,,, You gonna get free whatever you want!!!!,,,,,, I want you to get out there and get in their faces!!!!!!,,,,,,
cuhulin

1417. Re: MURDER! Pakistanis know osama videos are fraudulent crimes ... sad, so very, very sad ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 17:00:33 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqefbimqv1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229344
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/11/2011 5:52 AM, Ron wrote:
> On May 11, 3:00 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-south-asia-13329078
>>
>> Just when you think it can't possibly get any better, it just keeps
>> getting BETTER!
>>
>> Now things are becoming clear. We now have to ask, "Who is the poor
>> buggar they killed in Pakistan?"
>>
>> We certainly know why they were in a hurry to dispose of that body!
>> These rabid public servants of ours have gone dangerous to citizens on a
>> worldwide level.
>>
>> I usually can maintain a sense of humor, and laugh. These vicious
>> animals, our public servants, are simply too sick and disgusting to be
>> able to laugh, this time ... these public servant fools are out of
>> control, when are we going to tell them that enough is enough?
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> .
> .
> 1. The BBC video: Why do you accept the opinions of people on the
> street in Pakistan, many of whom may be supporters of bin Laden?
>

Yeah, with virtually ALL evidence, proof, and independent sources
against your religious beliefs in the magic negro, you must simply deny
almost everything!

> 2. Why did remaining leaders in al Qaida acknowledge the death of bin
> Laden? They have vowed revenge for the death.
>

Since al qaeda is a CIA construct, I suppose they will always follow
their scripted lines in support of "the story."

> 3. You wrote: We certainly know why they were in a hurry to dispose of
> that body!
>
> Muslim tradition dictates burial within 24 hours of death.
>

Yeah, virtually NO Americans are mooselom, we don't give a chit. Take a box of dirt and bury him in their and bring him to a point where the body can serve as proof ... or get what logic and reason dictates what you should get ...

> You have serious problems. Seriously.
>
> RO

Gesus!

I remember raising the children, LOT'S OF WORK! And, you really always end up wondering in the education is having any effect, or not ...

Regards,
JS

1418. Re: THE GREAT OSAMA HOAX! America has been had, again ... or, obama...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 17:12:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqeg1imqv2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/11/2011 9:32 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Thieves steal 2,200 gallons of gas from 2 stations.
> http://www.drudgereport.com
> In Pasadena, the Golden State of California.
>
> You gonna get free gas!!!!,,,,, Spread that wealth, baby!,,,,,, You
> gonna get a free house!!!!,,,,,, Gonna pay off your mortage!!!!,,,,, You
> gonna get free health care!!!!,,,,,,,, You gonna get free whatever you
> want!!!!,,,,,, I want you to get out there and get in their
> faces!!!!!!,,,,,,
> cuhulin
>

It is obama money!!! And, grab your non-existent free health care while you are at it!

And, the magic negro will do it cheap! Just give him every last dollar left in your wallet and it is yours -- barring some unforseen circumstance or event -- and it is possible that might happen -- and it does seem to happen just about every other day ... etc.

Somewhere, along the line of all this happening, even the religious fanatics worshiping at the "Throne of the Magic Negro" are going to wake up and get PISSED!

Regards,
JS

1419. Re: (OT) Kentucky Derby Jockey found dead at Churchill Downs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 17:17:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqegbi$mr0$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229346

On 5/11/2011 8:35 AM, Bob S wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 11:16 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>> http://www.drudgereport.com
>> cuhulin
>>
>
> He didn't ride in the Derby....
>

What, difficult for dead midgets to hold the reins?

Regards,
JS

1420. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 17:24:54 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqegp6mqv3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229347

On 5/11/2011 2:35 AM, RHF wrote:

> ...
> "JS" - Clearly You Have Made Dinner Reservations
> for The-Day-After ...as... There is No Doubt that
> you will be One of the "Left-Behind" . . .
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Left_Behind_%28series%29
> .
> .

Worse than that, if property prices hadn't dropped to the point where you can purchase a home with the change in your pocket, I'd take out a forty-year mortgage, JUST THE DAY BEFORE!

:-) :-) :-)

All kidding, I can take a look at the complexities and problems with having life "spontaneously generate" and, instead of a leap of faith into such, I choose to leap in the direction of a mind having made a creation(s) and left evidence in its' existence ... :-)

Regards,
JS

1421. Re: (OT) Kentucky Derby Jockey found dead at Churchill Downs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 17:26:40 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqegsgmqv4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229348

On 5/11/2011 9:23 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> It is strange a young man only 24 years old is found dead in his vehicle
> at Churchill Downs.From the pictures I saw of him, he looked to be in
> very Good health.I reckon when they do an autopsy on him, they will
> determine the cause of his death.
> cuhulin
>

The same medical/physical anomalies leading to a diminished size
(dwarfism) frequently carry other hidden medical dangers in tow ...

Regards,
JS

1422. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 17:47:33 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqei3loe1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229349

On 5/11/2011 2:35 AM, RHF wrote:

>> ...
> - I'd like to grab the gnarled and hoary old "buggard" (a cross
> between a
> - bug and a bastard) by his bridge-like-troll-ear and RAP-ture him
> along
> - side the head with a ball-peen hammer!
> -
> - And, I would only go gentle on him, like that, because of his age
> and
> - mental limitations!
> -
> - Regards,
> - JS
>
> "JS" - Clearly You Have Made Dinner Reservations
> for The-Day-After ...as... There is No Doubt that
> you will be One of the "Left-Behind" . . .
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Left_Behind_%28series%29
> .
> .

Let me put my statement in perspective:

Matthew 24:36, King James Bible:

"But of that day and hour knoweth no [man], no, not the angels of
heaven, but my Father only."

Now, he sets his knowledge above his Gods'.

I mean ... that is beyond rational description ... unless his bible is
one I am not familiar with.

Regards,
JS

1423. Re: MIT Climate Scientist puts climate hysteria into perspective

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 17:49:16 UTC
From: joe <none@invalid.domain>
Message-ID: <iqejgf$9j6$1@speranza.aioe.org>
Source record: 229351

bpnjensen wrote:

> On May 9, 10:02 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/9/2011 9:49 PM, hroedrank wrote:
>>
>> > ...
>> > Be its cigarette smoking, or co2 output, they both not politically
>> > correct, and therefore on the left it's far too much to hope and assume
>> > that an intelligent debate that puts the risk into reasonably
>> > intelligent terms once again shows the dishonesty of the left and
>> > socialists in this regards.
>>
>> > Super Turtle
>>
>> Yeah, I think many toxins are hidden within the "toxic cigarette smoke"
>> verbiage ... now it will have to make room for the radiation coming from
>> Japan which we are still breathing and which will still be being created
>> for sometime to come as the nuclear plant continues releasing tremendous
>> quantities of deadly toxins to drift over here, to the USA.
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> This is BS. This "scientist" does not even have the balls to use his
> real name to stand behind his bogus assertion.

You fell for the action of a troll.
1) Take a thread that has morphed into something else. (This gives you a
subject)
2) Take a message from that thread and reply to it (this adds the the
'somebody wrote')
3) Delete all but a statement posted by someone else.
4) Add his own spin which is unrelated all of the above.
5) Post it to a bunch of groups unrelated to the original thread.

Super Turtle is probably not hroedrank and neither are the MIT Scientist.

If you look at he original thread, you'll see a lot of ignorant arguments.

I don't consider anything JS posts to be relevant.

1424. Re: Harold Campings April fools Joke 33ad, Only took 722,500 days to get ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 17:50:15 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqei8noe2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229350
Cross-posted to: alt.bible.prophecy,alt.religion.christian,uk.politics.misc,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/11/2011 10:39 AM, u2fan wrote:
> Adding up the numbers April 1st 33ad + (5*10*17) * (5*10*17) =
> May 21st 2011
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cMYSWiPm7E0 Blue Oyster Cult : Don't
> fear the reaper
>
> Or come 21st May if the rapture does happen, who will look the fool
> then ?
>

OK ... but I will take all bets that obama and 99% of all public servants will still be walking this earth! (except those dying worldly causes)

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1425. Re: The few hundred years of climate data cannot possibly, and accurately, model millions of years of actual climate ... DUH!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 18:20:13 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqek0t$4up$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229352

```
On 5/11/2011 10:49 AM, joe wrote:
> bpnjensen wrote:
>
>> On May 9, 10:02 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/9/2011 9:49 PM, hroedrank wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Be its cigarette smoking, or co2 output, they both not politically
>>>> correct, and therefore on the left it's far too much to hope and assume
>>>> that an intelligent debate that puts the risk into reasonably
>>>> intelligent terms once again shows the dishonesty of the left and
>>>> socialists in this regards.
>>>
>>>> Super Turtle
>>>
>>> Yeah, I think many toxins are hidden within the "toxic cigarette smoke"
>>> verbiage ... now it will have to make room for the radiation coming from
>>> Japan which we are still breathing and which will still be being created
>>> for sometime to come as the nuclear plant continues releasing tremendous
>>> quantities of deadly toxins to drift over here, to the USA.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> This is BS. This "scientist" does not even have the balls to use his
>> real name to stand behind his bogus assertion.
>
> You fell for the action of a troll.
> 1) Take a thread that has morphed into something else. (This gives you a
> subject)
> 2) Take a message from that thread and reply to it (this adds the the
> 'somebody wrote')
> 3) Delete all but a statement posted by someone else.
> 4) Add his own spin which is unrelated all of the above.
> 5) Post it to a bunch of groups unrelated to the original thread.
>
> Super Turtle is probably not hroedrank and neither are the MIT Scientist.
>
> If you look at he original thread, you'll see a lot of ignorant arguments.
>
> I don't consider anything JS posts to be relevant.
>
```

I am afraid, I am left unimpressed with all of that ... others may choose for themselves ... just to reference proper perspective.

Regards,
JS

1426. Re: The few hundred years of climate data cannot possibly, and accurately, model millions of years of actual climate ... DUH!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 18:40:15 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cd25c105-08e9-42a6-9676-49b3947f6a18@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229353

On May 11, 11:20 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 10:49 AM, joe wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > bpnjensen wrote:
>
> >> On May 9, 10:02 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >>> On 5/9/2011 9:49 PM, hroedrank wrote:
>
> >>>> ...
> >>>> Be its cigarette smoking, or co2 output, they both not politically
> >>>> correct, and therefore on the left it's far too much to hope and assume
> >>>> that an intelligent debate that puts the risk into reasonably
> >>>> intelligent terms once again shows the dishonesty of the left and
> >>>> socialists in this regards.
>
> >>>> Super Turtle
>
> >>> Yeah, I think many toxins are hidden within the "toxic cigarette smoke"
> >>> verbiage ... now it will have to make room for the radiation coming from
> >>> Japan which we are still breathing and which will still be being created
> >>> for sometime to come as the nuclear plant continues releasing tremendous
> >>> quantities of deadly toxins to drift over here, to the USA.
>
> >>> Regards,
> >>> JS
>
> >> This is BS. This "scientist" does not even have the balls to use his
> >> real name to stand behind his bogus assertion.
>
> > You fell for the action of a troll.
> > 1) Take a thread that has morphed into something else. (This gives you a
> > subject)
> > 2) Take a message from that thread and reply to it (this adds the the
> > 'somebody wrote')
> > 3) Delete all but a statement posted by someone else.
> > 4) Add his own spin which is unrelated all of the above.
> > 5) Post it to a bunch of groups unrelated to the original thread.
>
> > Super Turtle is probably not hroedrank and neither are the MIT Scientist.

>
> > If you look at he original thread, you'll see a lot of ignorant arguments.
>
> > I don't consider anything JS posts to be relevant.
>
> I am afraid, I am left unimpressed with all of that ... others may
> choose for themselves ... just to reference proper perspective.
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

You are generally unimpressed with anything that resembles sound
reasoning. Joe is right. You are, at your core, just an idiot troll.

1427. Re: We have half a million years of climate data in Antarctic ice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 18:54:54 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcadb30$0$12503$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229354

On 05/11/2011 11:40 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 11, 11:20 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/11/2011 10:49 AM, joe wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>> bpnjensen wrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 9, 10:02 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/9/2011 9:49 PM, hroedrank wrote:
>>
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> Be its cigarette smoking, or co2 output, they both not politically
>>>>>>> correct, and therefore on the left it's far too much to hope and assume
>>>>>>> that an intelligent debate that puts the risk into reasonably
>>>>>>> intelligent terms once again shows the dishonesty of the left and
>>>>>>> socialists in this regards.
>>
>>>>>>> Super Turtle
>>
>>>>>> Yeah, I think many toxins are hidden within the "toxic cigarette smoke"
>>>>>> verbiage ... now it will have to make room for the radiation coming from
>>>>>> Japan which we are still breathing and which will still be being created
>>>>>> for sometime to come as the nuclear plant continues releasing tremendous
>>>>>> quantities of deadly toxins to drift over here, to the USA.
>>
>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>> JS
>>
>>>>> This is BS. This "scientist" does not even have the balls to use his
>>>>> real name to stand behind his bogus assertion.
>>
>>>> You fell for the action of a troll.
>>>> 1) Take a thread that has morphed into something else. (This gives you a
>>>> subject)
>>>> 2) Take a message from that thread and reply to it (this adds the the
>>>> 'somebody wrote')
>>>> 3) Delete all but a statement posted by someone else.
>>>> 4) Add his own spin which is unrelated all of the above.
>>>> 5) Post it to a bunch of groups unrelated to the original thread.
>>
>>>> Super Turtle is probably not hroedrank and neither are the MIT Scientist.

>>
>>> If you look at he original thread, you'll see a lot of ignorant arguments.
>>
>>> I don't consider anything JS posts to be relevant.
>>
>> I am afraid, I am left unimpressed with all of that ... others may
>> choose for themselves ... just to reference proper perspective.
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> You are generally unimpressed with anything that resembles sound
> reasoning. Joe is right. You are, at your core, just an idiot troll.

1428. Re: HOAX! had, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 18:56:14 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcadb7f$0$12503$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229355

On 05/11/2011 09:32 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Thieves steal 2,200 gallons of gas from 2 stations.
> http://www.drudgereport.com
> In Pasadena, the Golden State of California.
>
> You gonna get free gas!!!!,,,,, Spread that wealth, baby!,,,,,, You
> gonna get a free house!!!!,,,,,, Gonna pay off your mortage!!!!,,,,, You
> gonna get free health care!!!!,,,,,,,, You gonna get free whatever you
> want!!!!,,,,,, I want you to get out there and get in their
> faces!!!!!!,,,,,,
> cuhulin
>

You're the one who says he can't have nice things because THEY will
steal it.

1429. Re: The few hundred years of climate data cannot possibly, and accurately, model millions of years of actual climate ... DUH!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 18:59:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqema5$br5$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229356

```
On 5/11/2011 11:40 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 11, 11:20 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/11/2011 10:49 AM, joe wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> bpnjensen wrote:
>>
>>>> On May 9, 10:02 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>>> On 5/9/2011 9:49 PM, hroedrank wrote:
>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Be its cigarette smoking, or co2 output, they both not politically
>>>>>> correct, and therefore on the left it's far too much to hope and assume
>>>>>> that an intelligent debate that puts the risk into reasonably
>>>>>> intelligent terms once again shows the dishonesty of the left and
>>>>>> socialists in this regards.
>>
>>>>>> Super Turtle
>>
>>>>> Yeah, I think many toxins are hidden within the "toxic cigarette smoke"
>>>>> verbiage ... now it will have to make room for the radiation coming from
>>>>> Japan which we are still breathing and which will still be being created
>>>>> for sometime to come as the nuclear plant continues releasing tremendous
>>>>> quantities of deadly toxins to drift over here, to the USA.
>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>
>>>> This is BS. This "scientist" does not even have the balls to use his
>>>> real name to stand behind his bogus assertion.
>>
>>> You fell for the action of a troll.
>>> 1) Take a thread that has morphed into something else. (This gives you a
>>> subject)
>>> 2) Take a message from that thread and reply to it (this adds the the
>>> 'somebody wrote')
>>> 3) Delete all but a statement posted by someone else.
>>> 4) Add his own spin which is unrelated all of the above.
>>> 5) Post it to a bunch of groups unrelated to the original thread.
>>
```

>>> Super Turtle is probably not hroedrank and neither are the MIT Scientist.
>>
>>> If you look at he original thread, you'll see a lot of ignorant arguments.
>>
>>> I don't consider anything JS posts to be relevant.
>>
>> I am afraid, I am left unimpressed with all of that ... others may
>> choose for themselves ... just to reference proper perspective.
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> You are generally unimpressed with anything that resembles sound
> reasoning. Joe is right. You are, at your core, just an idiot troll.

I have never seen documented idiots and morons like you represent.

You constantly seem to have to state your opinions, revelations,
spiritually generated premonitions and visions, etc. And, the personal
attack is the most used weapon in your toolbox ...

Why you can't stand in a 3rd party mode and look at yourself and
recognize the insanity you elude in your text and fantasies is a wonder
to behold ... if a learned trait, or a genetic defect, who knows?

Regards,
JS

1430. Re: We simply have a LOT of arctic ice -- dwindling arctic ice ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 19:00:23 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqemc6$br5$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229357

.

1431. Re: HOAX! had, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 20:34:17 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DCAF2C9-317@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229358

A few days ago, a next door neighbor woman who lives next door to me, she said she left her back door unlocked and somebody went into her house and stold her computer.She asked me if I had seen anybody in her back yard.I told her like it is, I haden't seen anybody in her back yard.I can't be sitting around outside all the time watching over their houses.
Last year I installed burglar bars on all of the windows of my house and I fixed up a 'locking thingy' so nobody can come into my house through my back door.This year I am going to fix up something for my front door, something much better than a regular door lock.Home security is up to the people who live in their homes.
Those B HO spread the wealth Butt Kissers are every where.
cuhulin

1432. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 21:07:09 UTC
From: fergo <fergo@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <A5GdnRPgr_ASZ1fQnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@westnet.com.au>
Source record: 229359
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

"Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:72gks6tqopl1r8gkgrig9ncakorueeeldo@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 11 May 2011 16:18:34 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>news:iqd6sc$d9b$4@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/10/2011 10:19 PM, fergo wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Yeah so how could Bush keep something as sophisticated as 911 a secret?
>>>> If it really was a conspiracy there would be at least one smoking gun,
>>>> but there's none. Ziltch, zero (well Bush did know Binladen wanted to
>>>> attack but I am unclear what he did with that knowledge)
>>>
>>> Yeah, kinda like that old, "Can't see the forest through the trees!"
>>> thing.
>>>
>>So the smoking gun is beyond the Forrest? Come on even the JFK conspiracy
>>theory was proven right (to an extent) with the death bed confession (and
>>I'm sure govshill will reply to that)
>
> Ok.
>
> What death bed confession?
>
So predictable

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_F._Kennedy_assassination_conspiracy_theories#E._Howard_Hunt
A 2007 article published in Rolling Stone magazine revealed deathbed
confessions by Hunt to his son which suggested a conspiracy to kill JFK
orchestrated by Lyndon Johnson, CIA agents Cord Meyer, Bill Harvey and David
Sï¿½nchez Morales, as well as a "French gunman" who purportedly shot at
Kennedy from the grassy knoll.[89]

Here's the part where you say the confession isn't real...

1433. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 21:08:25 UTC
From: fergo <fergo@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <UbWdnXAb7KhOZ1fQnZ2dnUVZ_uGdnZ2d@westnet.com.au>
Source record: 229360
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

"Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:9qfks6d6qp95mv1gfp0u9djmcn82oorafk@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 11 May 2011 15:19:33 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>"george" <gblack@hnpl.net> wrote in message
>>news:343f514c-b97c-44ca-903f-c74fe17be134@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com...
>>> On May 11, 7:49 am, "fergo" <fe...@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>>
>>>> He should get a job in the public service, then he'd see there's no way
>>>> the
>>>> government could pull off something like 911. It takes them half a year
>>>> to
>>>> make a decision. At my work we are talking about July 2012!
>>>
>>> You could cut that back to "He should get a job" :-)
>>> The most powerful man in the world (at the time) couldn't hide the
>>> fact that a junior staff member was giving him oral sex and keeping
>>> his cigars moist...
>>
>>Yeah so how could Bush keep something as sophisticated as 911 a secret? If
>>it really was a conspiracy there would be at least one smoking gun, but
>>there's none. Ziltch, zero (well Bush did know Binladen wanted to attack
>>but
>>I am unclear what he did with that knowledge)
>
> Knowing that someone wants to attack is far different from knowing *what*
> someone wants to attack, *where*, *when* and with *what*.
>
> Imagine I said "I'm going to send someone to get you fergo!"
>
> What would you do? How would you prevent it? Don't forget, I'm living in
> luxury
> as a guest of the Afghan government, protected from you getting close to
> me,
> when I say this.
>
Well nothing but if you said you were going to get my country and I was
president I would bomb the compound you lived in. I wouldn't give a stuff
about international law if I knew my country was in danger.

1434. Re: HOAX! had, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 21:13:07 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcafbc6$0$12485$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229361

On 5/11/2011 1:34 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> A few days ago, a next door neighbor woman who lives next door to me,
> she said she left her back door unlocked and somebody went into her
> house and stold her computer.She asked me if I had seen anybody in her
> back yard.I told her like it is, I haden't seen anybody in her back
> yard.I can't be sitting around outside all the time watching over their
> houses.
> Last year I installed burglar bars on all of the windows of my house and
> I fixed up a 'locking thingy' so nobody can come into my house through
> my back door.This year I am going to fix up something for my front door,
> something much better than a regular door lock.Home security is up to
> the people who live in their homes.
> Those B HO spread the wealth Butt Kissers are every where.
> cuhulin
>
Are the bars to keep THEM out, or to keep YOU in. Burglar bars kill lots
of people. Have you considered moving? I live in a town full of cops and
firemen.

1435. Re: If they keep it up, there will be an accident

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 21:15:44 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcafc63$0$12485$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229362

```
On 5/11/2011 9:32 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Thieves steal 2,200 gallons of gas from 2 stations.
> http://www.drudgereport.com
> In Pasadena, the Golden State of California.
>
> You gonna get free gas!!!!,,,,, Spread that wealth, baby!,,,,,, You
> gonna get a free house!!!!,,,,,, Gonna pay off your mortage!!!!,,,,, You
> gonna get free health care!!!!,,,,,,,, You gonna get free whatever you
> want!!!!,,,,,, I want you to get out there and get in their
> faces!!!!!!,,,,,,
> cuhulin
>

and there will be collateral damage.
```

1436. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 21:28:11 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <spvls69h18687qiccpoocu0996tpbenlgr@4ax.com>
Source record: 229363
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 12 May 2011 07:07:09 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:

>
>
>"Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
>news:72gks6tqopl1r8gkgrig9ncakorueeeldo@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 16:18:34 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>>news:iqd6sc$d9b$4@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 5/10/2011 10:19 PM, fergo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Yeah so how could Bush keep something as sophisticated as 911 a secret?
>>>>> If it really was a conspiracy there would be at least one smoking gun,
>>>>> but there's none. Ziltch, zero (well Bush did know Binladen wanted to
>>>>> attack but I am unclear what he did with that knowledge)
>>>>
>>>> Yeah, kinda like that old, "Can't see the forest through the trees!"
>>>> thing.
>>>>
>>>So the smoking gun is beyond the Forrest? Come on even the JFK conspiracy
>>>theory was proven right (to an extent) with the death bed confession (and
>>>I'm sure govshill will reply to that)
>>
>> Ok.
>>
>> What death bed confession?
>>
>So predictable
>
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_F._Kennedy_assassination_conspiracy_theories#E._Howard_
Hunt
>A 2007 article published in Rolling Stone magazine revealed deathbed
>confessions by Hunt to his son which suggested a conspiracy to kill JFK
>orchestrated by Lyndon Johnson, CIA agents Cord Meyer, Bill Harvey and David
>Sï¿½nchez Morales, as well as a "French gunman" who purportedly shot at
>Kennedy from the grassy knoll.[89]
>
>Here's the part where you say the confession isn't real...

No. Wrong. Here's the part where I say the "confession" is hearsay.

Shill #2
--
We are none of us infallible--not even the youngest of us.
W.H. Thompson

1437. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 21:29:20 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqev3f$1uv$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229364
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On 5/11/2011 2:08 PM, fergo wrote:
>
>
> "Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:9qfks6d6qp95mv1gfp0u9djmcn82oorafk@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 15:19:33 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "george" <gblack@hnpl.net> wrote in message
>>> news:343f514c-b97c-44ca-903f-c74fe17be134@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>> On May 11, 7:49 am, "fergo" <fe...@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> He should get a job in the public service, then he'd see there's no
>>>>> way
>>>>> the
>>>>> government could pull off something like 911. It takes them half a
>>>>> year
>>>>> to
>>>>> make a decision. At my work we are talking about July 2012!
>>>>
>>>> You could cut that back to "He should get a job" :-)
>>>> The most powerful man in the world (at the time) couldn't hide the
>>>> fact that a junior staff member was giving him oral sex and keeping
>>>> his cigars moist...
>>>
>>> Yeah so how could Bush keep something as sophisticated as 911 a
>>> secret? If
>>> it really was a conspiracy there would be at least one smoking gun, but
>>> there's none. Ziltch, zero (well Bush did know Binladen wanted to
>>> attack but
>>> I am unclear what he did with that knowledge)
>>
>> Knowing that someone wants to attack is far different from knowing *what*
>> someone wants to attack, *where*, *when* and with *what*.
>>
>> Imagine I said "I'm going to send someone to get you fergo!"
>>
>> What would you do? How would you prevent it? Don't forget, I'm living
>> in luxury
>> as a guest of the Afghan government, protected from you getting close
```

>> to me,
>> when I say this.
>>
> Well nothing but if you said you were going to get my country and I was
> president I would bomb the compound you lived in. I wouldn't give a
> stuff about international law if I knew my country was in danger.
>
>

You buy your groceries/food somewhere, there is a water supply to your house, there is someway to introduce toxins into these and the atmosphere in the home/compound ... etc.

I would think a single person likely to accomplish great damage, at most a handful.

I have always found, where there is a will, there is a way ...

Of course, if I have access to a nuclear bomb, I could just take out the whole city you are living in! Excellent likelihood of success there!

Regards,
JS

1438. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 21:31:48 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7svls61di0kph86v4koma1mfgin53fr1fa@4ax.com>
Source record: 229365
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 12 May 2011 07:08:25 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:

>
>
>"Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
>news:9qfks6d6qp95mv1gfp0u9djmcn82oorafk@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 15:19:33 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>"george" <gblack@hnpl.net> wrote in message
>>>news:343f514c-b97c-44ca-903f-c74fe17be134@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com...
>>>> On May 11, 7:49 am, "fergo" <fe...@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> He should get a job in the public service, then he'd see there's no way
>>>>> the
>>>>> government could pull off something like 911. It takes them half a year
>>>>> to
>>>>> make a decision. At my work we are talking about July 2012!
>>>>
>>>> You could cut that back to "He should get a job" :-)
>>>> The most powerful man in the world (at the time) couldn't hide the
>>>> fact that a junior staff member was giving him oral sex and keeping
>>>> his cigars moist...
>>>
>>>Yeah so how could Bush keep something as sophisticated as 911 a secret? If
>>>it really was a conspiracy there would be at least one smoking gun, but
>>>there's none. Ziltch, zero (well Bush did know Binladen wanted to attack
>>>but
>>>I am unclear what he did with that knowledge)
>>
>> Knowing that someone wants to attack is far different from knowing *what*
>> someone wants to attack, *where*, *when* and with *what*.
>>
>> Imagine I said "I'm going to send someone to get you fergo!"
>>
>> What would you do? How would you prevent it? Don't forget, I'm living in
>> luxury
>> as a guest of the Afghan government, protected from you getting close to
>> me,
>> when I say this.
>>

>Well nothing

CHA CHING! We have a winner.

>but if you said you were going to get my country and I was
>president I would bomb the compound you lived in. I wouldn't give a stuff
>about international law if I knew my country was in danger.

Ah, but I had no intention of leaving my compound. My operatives where already
living in Germany and the US.

Your bombing of my compound would be as useful as your predecessors (Clinton)
bombing of my compound. It achieved... nothing.

Shill #2
--
Those people who think they know everything are a great annoyance
to those of us who do.
Isaac Asimov

1439. Re: HOAX! had, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 21:38:16 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqevk7$1uv$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229366

On 5/11/2011 1:34 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> A few days ago, a next door neighbor woman who lives next door to me,
> she said she left her back door unlocked and somebody went into her
> house and stold her computer.She asked me if I had seen anybody in her
> back yard.I told her like it is, I haden't seen anybody in her back
> yard.I can't be sitting around outside all the time watching over their
> houses.
> Last year I installed burglar bars on all of the windows of my house and
> I fixed up a 'locking thingy' so nobody can come into my house through
> my back door.This year I am going to fix up something for my front door,
> something much better than a regular door lock.Home security is up to
> the people who live in their homes.
> Those B HO spread the wealth Butt Kissers are every where.
> cuhulin
>

I liked the guy, years ago, who wired up a shotgun to the locked door of his cabin ... trouble was, the court found fault with the "security measure" and thew him into prison, when it "effectively stopped the burglar."

However, I'll just bet that ended a burglary spree, which otherwise would have kept on for sometime ... hard telling just how many people benefited from that one single individuals "anti-theft device!"

Regards,
JS

1440. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 21:39:25 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqevmg$f6a$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229367
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:iqcqv7$ksa$7@dont-email.me...
> On 5/10/2011 4:20 PM, joeturn wrote:
>> On May 10, 6:56 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout"<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> "joeturn"<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>
>>>> news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>>> On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
>>>>>>>> were
>>>>>>>> in perfect working order.
>>>>>>>> You retard.
>>>
>>>>>>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and
>>>>>>>> so
>>>>>>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7,
>>>>>>>> never
>>>>>>>> saw
>>>>>>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>>
>>>>>>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>>>>>>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>>>
>>>>>>> Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
>>>>>>> nano thermite residue.
>>>
>>>>>> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
>>>>>> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>>>
>>>>>> --
```

>>>>>> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
>>>>>> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>>>
>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>
>>>>> but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
>>>>> a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>>>
>>>> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning
>>>> table,
>>>> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium
>>>> used
>>>> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>>>
>>>> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium
>>>> and
>>>> thermite.
>>>
>>>> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted
>>>> text -
>>
>>
>> I'm beging tho think your nothing but a grunt/punkin the one that
>> sweeps the
>> floor and have no knowlege what so ever of controlled demolition
>> other
>> than what NIST told you. Detonaters to set off the cutter charges of
>> theremate are not loud at all they depend on their ability to ignite
>> not there explosive noise making abilityquality.
>>
>> An expert in cutting torches. knows about map gas
>>
>>
>>
>
> never mind, you finally caught it ...

Yea, but a little bit to late to overcome his lose of creditability.

1441. Re: HOAX! had, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 21:42:08 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqevre$1uv$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229368

On 5/11/2011 2:13 PM, dave wrote:

>> ...
> Are the bars to keep THEM out, or to keep YOU in. Burglar bars kill lots
> of people. Have you considered moving? I live in a town full of cops and
> firemen.

The new burglar bars are very safe, and have devices which cannot be
triggered from outside the home, but allow immediate release from inside.

You are going to find out, the "cops" are no longer employed to protect
you or to recover your stolen property. They are now revenue generators
with good PR skills. They will come out, take a report and pictures,
hold your hand while you cry, they promptly go about their business of
generating income for the city/county/state ...

Regards,
JS

1442. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 21:47:09 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqf050kom1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229369
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:iqd70c$d9b$5@dont-email.me...
> On 5/10/2011 9:01 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>>> ...
>>> NO!
>>>
>>> But, they will know about MAP Gas!
>>>
>>> If you wish to even fool the children as to your being "professional",
>>> get the name of the gas right!
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>>
>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>> So you have became a spell checker,well good I'll have youproffread my
>> book before I release it!
>
> OK!
>
> I'll admit it, I was a bit anal about the "nap gas" thing ... just glad
> you corrected it ... thanks! :-)

Except look at his response to me what I addressed his use of "nap gas" and even included the proper designation in my reply and he told me I didn't know what I was talking about because anyone with any professional knowledge knows of "nap gas". Yea, he REPEATED his error. Hard to claim it's just a "typo" when you do the exact same thing in two different messages, particularly when you ignore the correct designation given and claim the person was wrong.

So use it once, and accept being corrected, and I would buy "typo". Use it, be corrected, tell them they don't know what they are talking about, and use it again.....he's just ignorant and stupid since he didn't buy the clue.

1443. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 21:48:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqf074$1uv$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229370
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/11/2011 2:08 PM, fergo wrote:

>> ...
> Well nothing but if you said you were going to get my country and I was
> president I would bomb the compound you lived in. I wouldn't give a
> stuff about international law if I knew my country was in danger.
>
>

I have been thinking about that. If you told me you were going to kill me, or do me great bodily harm, I would tell you to go ahead, but there would be consequences.

If I saw you in my home with a knife, or a gun, those consequences would immediately progress ...

What is so different about the concept of protecting oneself as opposed to protecting ones' country?

Regards,
JS

1444. Re: MURDER! Pakistanis know osama videos are fraudulent crimes ... sad, so very, very sad ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 22:09:18 UTC
From: Ron <roneal1554@att.net>
Message-ID: <a1ba895a-34c2-46a3-ad2a-f8b886e58fcc@z37g2000vbl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229371
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 11, 12:00 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 5:52 AM, Ron wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 11, 3:00 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >>http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-south-asia-13329078
>
> >> Just when you think it can't possibly get any better, it just keeps
> >> getting BETTER!
>
> >> Now things are becoming clear. We now have to ask, "Who is the poor
> >> buggar they killed in Pakistan?"
>
> >> We certainly know why they were in a hurry to dispose of that body!
> >> These rabid public servants of ours have gone dangerous to citizens on a
> >> worldwide level.
>
> >> I usually can maintain a sense of humor, and laugh. These vicious
> >> animals, our public servants, are simply too sick and disgusting to be
> >> able to laugh, this time ... these public servant fools are out of
> >> control, when are we going to tell them that enough is enough?
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > .
> > .
> > 1. The BBC video: Why do you accept the opinions of people on the
> > street in Pakistan, many of whom may be supporters of bin Laden?
>
> Yeah, with virtually ALL evidence, proof, and independent sources
> against your religious beliefs in the magic negro, you must simply deny
> almost everything!
>
> > 2. Why did remaining leaders in al Qaida acknowledge the death of bin
> > Laden? They have vowed revenge for the death.

>
> Since al qaeda is a CIA construct, I suppose they will always follow
> their scripted lines in support of "the story."
>
> > 3. You wrote: We certainly know why they were in a hurry to dispose of
> > that body!
>
> > Muslim tradition dictates burial within 24 hours of death.
>
> Yeah, virtually NO Americans are mooselom, we don't give a chit. Take
> a box of dirt and bury him in their and bring him to a point where the
> body can serve as proof ... or get what logic and reason dictates what
> you should get ...
>
> > You have serious problems. Seriously.
>
> > RO
>
> Gesus!
>
> I remember raising the children, LOT'S OF WORK! And, you really always
> end up wondering in the education is having any effect, or not ...
>
> Regards,
> JS
.
.
Re: Since al qaeda is a CIA construct, I suppose they will always
follow their scripted lines in support of "the story."

Al Qaeda is a CIA construct??? Holy Mother of Pearl ! Is there any
wild, cockamamie baloney you won't believe? ANY?

Jeeezus H. KoolAid !

RO

1445. Re: MURDER! Pakistanis know osama videos are fraudulent crimes ... sad, so very, very sad ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 22:12:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqf1km$1uv$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229372
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/11/2011 3:09 PM, Ron wrote:
> On May 11, 12:00 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/11/2011 5:52 AM, Ron wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 11, 3:00 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>> http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-south-asia-13329078
>>
>>>> Just when you think it can't possibly get any better, it just keeps
>>>> getting BETTER!
>>
>>>> Now things are becoming clear. We now have to ask, "Who is the poor
>>>> buggar they killed in Pakistan?"
>>
>>>> We certainly know why they were in a hurry to dispose of that body!
>>>> These rabid public servants of ours have gone dangerous to citizens on a
>>>> worldwide level.
>>
>>>> I usually can maintain a sense of humor, and laugh. These vicious
>>>> animals, our public servants, are simply too sick and disgusting to be
>>>> able to laugh, this time ... these public servant fools are out of
>>>> control, when are we going to tell them that enough is enough?
>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>> .
>>> .
>>> 1. The BBC video: Why do you accept the opinions of people on the
>>> street in Pakistan, many of whom may be supporters of bin Laden?
>>
>> Yeah, with virtually ALL evidence, proof, and independent sources
>> against your religious beliefs in the magic negro, you must simply deny
>> almost everything!
>>
>>> 2. Why did remaining leaders in al Qaida acknowledge the death of bin

>>> Laden? They have vowed revenge for the death.
>>
>> Since al qaeda is a CIA construct, I suppose they will always follow
>> their scripted lines in support of "the story."
>>
>>> 3. You wrote: We certainly know why they were in a hurry to dispose of
>>> that body!
>>
>>> Muslim tradition dictates burial within 24 hours of death.
>>
>> Yeah, virtually NO Americans are mooselom, we don't give a chit. Take
>> a box of dirt and bury him in their and bring him to a point where the
>> body can serve as proof ... or get what logic and reason dictates what
>> you should get ...
>>
>>> You have serious problems. Seriously.
>>
>>> RO
>>
>> Gesus!
>>
>> I remember raising the children, LOT'S OF WORK! And, you really always
>> end up wondering in the education is having any effect, or not ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> .
> .
> Re: Since al qaeda is a CIA construct, I suppose they will always
> follow their scripted lines in support of "the story."
>
> Al Qaeda is a CIA construct??? Holy Mother of Pearl ! Is there any
> wild, cockamamie baloney you won't believe? ANY?
>
> Jeeezus H. KoolAid !
>
> RO

I'd ask just what kind of idiot you are, but a simple analysis of your
text/posts makes is clear enough:

http://polidics.com/cia/top-ranking-cia-operatives-admit-al-qaeda-is-a-complete-fabricatio
n.html

Regards,
JS

1446. Re: MURDER! Pakistanis know osama videos are fraudulent crimes ... sad, so very, very sad ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 22:16:47 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqf1se$1uv$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229373
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/11/2011 3:09 PM, Ron wrote:
> On May 11, 12:00 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/11/2011 5:52 AM, Ron wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 11, 3:00 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>> http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-south-asia-13329078
>>
>>>> Just when you think it can't possibly get any better, it just keeps
>>>> getting BETTER!
>>
>>>> Now things are becoming clear. We now have to ask, "Who is the poor
>>>> buggar they killed in Pakistan?"
>>
>>>> We certainly know why they were in a hurry to dispose of that body!
>>>> These rabid public servants of ours have gone dangerous to citizens on a
>>>> worldwide level.
>>
>>>> I usually can maintain a sense of humor, and laugh. These vicious
>>>> animals, our public servants, are simply too sick and disgusting to be
>>>> able to laugh, this time ... these public servant fools are out of
>>>> control, when are we going to tell them that enough is enough?
>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>> .
>>> .
>>> 1. The BBC video: Why do you accept the opinions of people on the
>>> street in Pakistan, many of whom may be supporters of bin Laden?
>>
>> Yeah, with virtually ALL evidence, proof, and independent sources
>> against your religious beliefs in the magic negro, you must simply deny
>> almost everything!
>>
>>> 2. Why did remaining leaders in al Qaida acknowledge the death of bin

>>> Laden? They have vowed revenge for the death.
>>
>> Since al qaeda is a CIA construct, I suppose they will always follow
>> their scripted lines in support of "the story."
>>
>>> 3. You wrote: We certainly know why they were in a hurry to dispose of
>>> that body!
>>
>>> Muslim tradition dictates burial within 24 hours of death.
>>
>> Yeah, virtually NO Americans are mooselom, we don't give a chit. Take
>> a box of dirt and bury him in their and bring him to a point where the
>> body can serve as proof ... or get what logic and reason dictates what
>> you should get ...
>>
>>> You have serious problems. Seriously.
>>
>>> RO
>>
>> Gesus!
>>
>> I remember raising the children, LOT'S OF WORK! And, you really always
>> end up wondering in the education is having any effect, or not ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> .
> .
> Re: Since al qaeda is a CIA construct, I suppose they will always
> follow their scripted lines in support of "the story."
>
> Al Qaeda is a CIA construct??? Holy Mother of Pearl ! Is there any
> wild, cockamamie baloney you won't believe? ANY?
>
> Jeeezus H. KoolAid !
>
> RO

I'd ask just what kind of idiot you are, but a simple analysis of your text/posts makes it clear enough:

http://polidics.com/cia/top-ranking-cia-operatives-admit-al-qaeda-is-a-complete-fabrication.html

Regards,

1447. Re: MURDER! Pakistanis know osama videos are fraudulent crimes ... sad, so very, very sad ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 22:40:10 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <83a9a67f-addc-4a62-9f12-2782ce0fb5a7@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 11, 3:09 pm, Ron <roneal1...@att.net> wrote:
> On May 11, 12:00 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/11/2011 5:52 AM, Ron wrote:
>
> > > On May 11, 3:00 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> > >>http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-south-asia-13329078
>
> > >> Just when you think it can't possibly get any better, it just keeps
> > >> getting BETTER!
>
> > >> Now things are becoming clear. We now have to ask, "Who is the poor
> > >> buggar they killed in Pakistan?"
>
> > >> We certainly know why they were in a hurry to dispose of that body!
> > >> These rabid public servants of ours have gone dangerous to citizens on a
> > >> worldwide level.
>
> > >> I usually can maintain a sense of humor, and laugh. These vicious
> > >> animals, our public servants, are simply too sick and disgusting to be
> > >> able to laugh, this time ... these public servant fools are out of
> > >> control, when are we going to tell them that enough is enough?
>
> > >> Regards,
> > >> JS
> > > .
> > > .
> > > 1. The BBC video: Why do you accept the opinions of people on the
> > > street in Pakistan, many of whom may be supporters of bin Laden?
>
> > Yeah, with virtually ALL evidence, proof, and independent sources
> > against your religious beliefs in the magic negro, you must simply deny
> > almost everything!
>
> > > 2. Why did remaining leaders in al Qaida acknowledge the death of bin
> > > Laden? They have vowed revenge for the death.

>
> > Since al qaeda is a CIA construct, I suppose they will always follow
> > their scripted lines in support of "the story."
>
> > > 3. You wrote: We certainly know why they were in a hurry to dispose of
> > > that body!
>
> > > Muslim tradition dictates burial within 24 hours of death.
>
> > Yeah, virtually NO Americans are mooselom, we don't give a chit. Take
> > a box of dirt and bury him in their and bring him to a point where the
> > body can serve as proof ... or get what logic and reason dictates what
> > you should get ...
>
> > > You have serious problems. Seriously.
>
> > > RO
>
> > Gesus!
>
> > I remember raising the children, LOT'S OF WORK! And, you really always
> > end up wondering in the education is having any effect, or not ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> .
> .
- Re: Since al qaeda is a CIA construct, I suppose they will always
- follow their scripted lines in support of "the story."
-
- Al Qaeda is a CIA construct??? Holy Mother of Pearl ! Is there
any
- wild, cockamamie baloney you won't believe? ANY?
-
- Jeeezus H. KoolAid !
-
- RO

RO -fwiw- Joyce Riley in her program "The Power Hour"
on WWCR Shortwave Radio always calls it : Al C-I-A-da
{Al Qaeda + CIA}
http://www.thepowerhour.com/schedule.htm
.
"The Power Hour" Radio Program with Joyce Riley
http://www.thepowerhour.com/
http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/powerHour/
.
It's Time for "The Power Hour" @ 1200~1500 UTC
-via- WWCR Shortwave Radio on 7490 & 13,845 kHz

http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
.
WWCR Shortwave Radio in Nashville, TN -USA-
http://www.wwcr.com/
.
-or- To Listen "Live" to GCN On-Line
-via- the Internet [WWW]
http://www.gcnlive.com/mediaPlayers/livePlayer.php
.
Sign-Up for "The Power Hour" eMail Blast ! {New Letter}
http://visitor.constantcontact.com/manage/optin?v=001qgI9GeY_mqSSw39g66JbuT7kHiyTCvkr

i'l c i a u-later ~ RHF
.
.

1448. Re: THE GREAT OSAMA HOAX! America has been had, again ... or, obama beta release 3.6 ... LOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-11 23:05:34 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DCB163E.30403@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 229375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy

On 5/11/2011 2:35 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/10/2011 11:24 PM, DCI wrote:
>> On May 10, 10:46 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>> Well, what can I say, this last week has brought about a set of actions
>>> and announcements which just keep getting better and better, and brought
>>> to you from a man with a phony ID!:
>>>
>>> http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/al-qaeda/8493391/Osama-bin-...
>>>
>>> Seems like now there is NO VIDEO of the claimed termination of osama.
>>>
>>> I mean, and again, you just can't make up stuff like this! If this were
>>> a movie, the audience would cry FOUL and demand their money back ...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> Mr. Smith,
>>
>> I have no inkling of your age; therefore, I do not know from what
>> perspective you are proffering your opinion. Be that as it may,
>> recording the history of war and what takes place in front line of a
>> conflict has changed dramatically in the last decade or so. Now the
>> military and its presidents are able to see an operation such as the
>> Navy Seals engagement at the bi Laden compound in Abbottabad,
>> Pakistan, in real time.
>>
>> One matter to consider before making an opinion about why there was a
>> blackout for some 40 minutes, give or take. Any time a signal is sent
>> out from a scene source of a military action, in this case, the
>> cameras on the helmets on the Seals special operations, the signal has
>> the potential of being intercepted by other groups of combatants. Rest
>> assured, the whole affair was taped at the mission's military unit in
>> the field. To suggest some faked operation would be both unwise and
>> making the conspiracy-minded folks carry on and on.
>>
>> What the well trained Navy Seals can do at a minimum in any operation
>> in the cool-headed, without clouding the mind with nervous emotions,
>> would have many good men peeing their pants. To complete an
>> assignment, Seals don't shake, they just do it! Be proud of them.
>>
>> In time, the photos and tapes will be seen. Be patient.

>>
>> DCI .
>
> So, you have already jumped to the conclusion that they are lying,
> again! Yeah, I think them damn liars too ...

Politicians do what they do, and that's lie. You have to take everything
they say as a lie until proven otherwise.

1449. Re: THE GREAT OSAMA HOAX! America has been had, again ... or, obama beta release 3.6 ... LOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 00:07:27 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqf8btdgb1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy

On 5/11/2011 4:05 PM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 2:35 AM, John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/10/2011 11:24 PM, DCI wrote:
>>> On May 10, 10:46 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>> Well, what can I say, this last week has brought about a set of actions
>>>> and announcements which just keep getting better and better, and brought
>>>> to you from a man with a phony ID!:
>>>>
>>>> http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/al-qaeda/8493391/Osama-bin-...
>>>>
>>>> Seems like now there is NO VIDEO of the claimed termination of osama.
>>>>
>>>> I mean, and again, you just can't make up stuff like this! If this were
>>>> a movie, the audience would cry FOUL and demand their money back ...
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>>
>>> Mr. Smith,
>>>
>>> I have no inkling of your age; therefore, I do not know from what
>>> perspective you are proffering your opinion. Be that as it may,
>>> recording the history of war and what takes place in front line of a
>>> conflict has changed dramatically in the last decade or so. Now the
>>> military and its presidents are able to see an operation such as the
>>> Navy Seals engagement at the bi Laden compound in Abbottabad,
>>> Pakistan, in real time.
>>>
>>> One matter to consider before making an opinion about why there was a
>>> blackout for some 40 minutes, give or take. Any time a signal is sent
>>> out from a scene source of a military action, in this case, the
>>> cameras on the helmets on the Seals special operations, the signal has
>>> the potential of being intercepted by other groups of combatants. Rest
>>> assured, the whole affair was taped at the mission's military unit in
>>> the field. To suggest some faked operation would be both unwise and
>>> making the conspiracy-minded folks carry on and on.
>>>
>>> What the well trained Navy Seals can do at a minimum in any operation
>>> in the cool-headed, without clouding the mind with nervous emotions,
>>> would have many good men peeing their pants. To complete an
>>> assignment, Seals don't shake, they just do it! Be proud of them.
>>>

>>> In time, the photos and tapes will be seen. Be patient.
>>>
>>> DCI .
>>
>> So, you have already jumped to the conclusion that they are lying,
>> again! Yeah, I think them damn liars too ...
>
>
> Politicians do what they do, and that's lie. You have to take everything
> they say as a lie until proven otherwise.
>

Yes, as my father used to say, "They'll lie when the truth would serve
them better."

Regards,
JS

1450. A MUST READ!: The Prosecution of George W. Bush for Murder -- Vincent Bugliosi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 00:35:15 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqfa02dgb3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229377
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Who is Vincent Bugliosi, the young might ask?

He is the prosecutor who prosecuted Charles Manson, and wrote the book
Helter Skelter, about the murders -- Tate-LaBianca.

Now, he turns his attention on a matter which just does not sit right on
his soul -- the mass murders of George W. Bush. He wants JUSTICE!

Here he speaks on his book:
http://www.prosecutionofbush.com/video.php

The books webpage:
http://www.prosecutionofbush.com/

Regards,
JS

1451. Expanding Obama-Care© To Include Long Term Legalized 'Street' Drugs Disability and Treatment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 00:36:21 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <241501a2-5926-4de1-9eaa-e8a4a9695ccb@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229378

On May 11, 2:38 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 1:34 PM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > A few days ago, a next door neighbor woman who lives next door to me,
> > she said she left her back door unlocked and somebody went into her
> > house and stold her computer.She asked me if I had seen anybody in her
> > back yard.I told her like it is, I haden't seen anybody in her back
> > yard.I can't be sitting around outside all the time watching over their
> > houses.
> > Last year I installed burglar bars on all of the windows of my house and
> > I fixed up a 'locking thingy' so nobody can come into my house through
> > my back door.This year I am going to fix up something for my front door,
> > something much better than a regular door lock.Home security is up to
> > the people who live in their homes.
> > Those B HO spread the wealth Butt Kissers are every where.
> > cuhulin
>
> I liked the guy, years ago, who wired up a shotgun to the locked door of
> his cabin ... trouble was, the court found fault with the "security
> measure" and thew him into prison, when it "effectively stopped the
> burglar."
>
> However, I'll just bet that ended a burglary spree, which otherwise
> would have kept on for sometime ... hard telling just how many people
> benefited from that one single individuals "anti-theft device!"
>
> Regards,
> JS

Some where between 50 to 150 Reported Burglaries
per Conviction So on average for each Year that
a Burglar Spends Behind Bars {In-Jail} that is 50 to
150 Victims they did not create.

That's 1-3 Burglaries per Week by someone doing
Burglary as their 'Job' for Income. The most often
'quoted' number is 136 Burglaries per Conviction

NOTE : Over Half of all Residential Burglaries are
attributed to Illegal Drug Users; and Illegal Drug
Users account for ~2/3rds of the Violent Burglaries
where someone is Injured a/o Killed.

However, Legalizing 'Street' Drugs is not thought to help 'cut' the number Burglaries; because the Use of Street Drugs Adversely Impacts Employability {Holding Down a Regular Job} So They Still Have To Steal To Live and Buy {Lower Cost} Legal 'Street' Drugs.

Actually it has been argued that Legalizing 'Street' Drugs entails several steps to Bring Down Crime :
1st - Legalizing 'Street' Drugs and Lower Their Cost to the Users.
2nd - Register the Users of Legalizing 'Street' Drugs
3rd - Place Register the Users of Legalizing 'Street' Drugs on Temporary/Permanent Disability with Limited Medical Treatment {DNR Due To Drug ODs}
-result- Give Them All : The Full-Time Job of Abusing and Kill Themselves and Reduce Long Term Costs
* Eliminate Their Need to Steal To Live and Buy Drugs
* Eliminate Their Victimization of Other Citizens and
* Eliminate The Cost to Those Victims
* Eliminate The Costly Need To Warehouse Them In-Jail
* More Cost Efficient for Society Them What We Are Doing Now Victimization Cost and Prison Costs
136 Victims at ~$1800 Burglary = $245K Plus One Year in Prison at $47K : Totals Present Cost $292K
-versus-
Legalizing 'Street' Drugs & Disability Costs ~$30K
That's a Potential Savings of $262K per Drugie ~90%
+ Close Down 18% of the {Empty} Prisons : Due to fewer Illegal {Street} Drug Crimes and Criminals In-Jail.
+ Reduce Police Staffing and Cost by 18% : Due to fewer Illegal {Street} Drug Crimes and Arrests
+ Reduce Criminal Court Staffing and Cost by 18% : Due to fewer Illegal {Street} Drug Crimes and Trials
-note- Some claim that the Number could be as High as 50% Reductions within a Decade or two.
.
.

1452. Re: Expanding Obama-Care© To Include Long Term Legalized 'Street' Drugs Disability and Treatment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 00:57:25 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqfb9kdgb5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229379

On 5/11/2011 5:36 PM, RHF wrote:

> ...
> NOTE : Over Half of all Residential Burglaries are
> attributed to Illegal Drug Users; and Illegal Drug
> Users account for ~2/3rds of the Violent Burglaries
> where someone is Injured a/o Killed.
>
> However, Legalizing 'Street' Drugs is not thought to
> help 'cut' the number Burglaries; because the Use
> of Street Drugs Adversely Impacts Employability
> {Holding Down a Regular Job} So They Still Have
> To Steal To Live and Buy {Lower Cost} Legal 'Street'
> Drugs.
> ...

Legalizing drugs would cause crime to plummet, that is self-evident.

Cocaine, heroine, etc. could be farmed and sold as cheaply as sugar. A bag of the concentrated product could get a whole city intoxicated for a few bucks ...

When you see the newspapers, they are full of crimes committed to obtain drugs. Robbery, burglary, shootings, knifing, all over pennies worth of actual drug.

Frankly, let others worry about the drug addicts getting employment. I am worried about the wife, children and friends -- and myself -- losing their lives over the poor bastards trying to get a few pennies worth of drugs and intoxicate themselves ... GIVE IT TO 'EM!

And, even worse than death can occur, a friend of mine is now in a self imposed imprisonment in his own house, his wife left him decades ago ... it was all over a drug addict in his house, burglarizing it, and attempting to get a few bucks for some drugs -- when he shot my friend, point blank in the face with an old military .45 -- blowing half his face off. The wife and I are two of his very few visitors ... reconstructive surgery could only do so much, back then, and he can't afford more now ... that, my friend, is REAL CRIME!

I have no time for arguments to make drugs illegal and keep that chit going on. I am sure if you researched today, you could find that same type of damage left from these insane laws. Trouble is, main scream news never shows you the years, decades and lifetimes of grief bad laws can cause ... offer 'em drug rehabilitation, but if that want 'em, give

'em the drugs until they are no longer a problem!

I'll bet there are a lot more stories like that, nobody usually mentions 'em!

Regards,
JS

1453. Re: A MUST READ!: The Prosecution of George W. Bush for Murder -- Vincent Bugliosi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 01:17:23 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqfcf2dga1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229380
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/11/2011 5:58 PM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
> On Wed, 11 May 2011 17:35:15 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Who is Vincent Bugliosi, the young might ask?
>>
>> He is the prosecutor who prosecuted Charles Manson, and wrote the book
>> Helter Skelter, about the murders -- Tate-LaBianca.
>>
>> Now, he turns his attention on a matter which just does not sit right on
>> his soul -- the mass murders of George W. Bush. He wants JUSTICE!
>>
>> Here he speaks on his book:
>> http://www.prosecutionofbush.com/video.php
>>
>> The books webpage:
>> http://www.prosecutionofbush.com/
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> Where do they find these fucking Morons?
>
> When people with the quality of the former Governor of Texas stop
> stepping forward to take that awful job, we will have ONLY idiots like
> Obama. GW Bush was a multimillionaire who could have retired in
> luxury, just riding around with his pals in his own Gulfstream 5, like
> Rush Limbaugh does. But he took that job and aged 20 years in 8
> years--and they are still after him for doing his best.
>
> So far this traitor has run up more debt than the first 44 Presidents
> COMBINED! And there is no end in sight because he is fighting every
> attempt to cut spending and control entitlements. He wants our
> national debt to go higher because he wants to squash Capitalism in
> America.
>
> Larry

So, your argument is, "obama is a crook so let the rich crook george w. go?"

ROFLOL

You are like dog shit, you just can't ever seem to get it off your shoe!

They should both be hung, matching ropes, same gallows, etc. ...

Regards,
JS

1454. and... The Earth's Gonna Do What The Earth's Gonna Do ! -so-adapt-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 01:18:08 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <192f390c-c529-4adb-8c74-0aaf9edecfe7@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229381

On May 11, 11:54 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/11/2011 11:40 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 11, 11:20 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/11/2011 10:49 AM, joe wrote:
>
> >>> bpnjensen wrote:
>
> >>>> On May 9, 10:02 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >>>>> On 5/9/2011 9:49 PM, hroedrank wrote:
>
> >>>>>> ...
> >>>>>> Be its cigarette smoking, or co2 output, they both not politically
> >>>>>> correct, and therefore on the left it's far too much to hope and assume
> >>>>>> that an intelligent debate that puts the risk into reasonably
> >>>>>> intelligent terms once again shows the dishonesty of the left and
> >>>>>> socialists in this regards.
>
> >>>>>> Super Turtle
>
> >>>>> Yeah, I think many toxins are hidden within the "toxic cigarette smoke"
> >>>>> verbiage ... now it will have to make room for the radiation coming from
> >>>>> Japan which we are still breathing and which will still be being created
> >>>>> for sometime to come as the nuclear plant continues releasing tremendous
> >>>>> quantities of deadly toxins to drift over here, to the USA.
>
> >>>>> Regards,
> >>>>> JS
>
> >>>> This is BS. This "scientist" does not even have the balls to use his
> >>>> real name to stand behind his bogus assertion.
>
> >>> You fell for the action of a troll.
> >>> 1) Take a thread that has morphed into something else. (This gives you a
> >>> subject)
> >>> 2) Take a message from that thread and reply to it (this adds the the
> >>> 'somebody wrote')
> >>> 3) Delete all but a statement posted by someone else.

> >>> 4) Add his own spin which is unrelated all of the above.
> >>> 5) Post it to a bunch of groups unrelated to the original thread.
>
> >>> Super Turtle is probably not hroedrank and neither are the MIT Scientist.
>
> >>> If you look at he original thread, you'll see a lot of ignorant arguments.
>
> >>> I don't consider anything JS posts to be relevant.
>
> >> I am afraid, I am left unimpressed with all of that ... others may
> >> choose for themselves ... just to reference proper perspective.
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > You are generally unimpressed with anything that resembles sound
> > reasoning. Joe is right. You are, at your core, just an idiot troll.

Dave,
-wrt- We have half a million years of climate data in Antarctic ice
and... The Earth's Gonna Do What The Earth's Gonna Do !
Critical 'Climate Change' Factor # 1 = The Sun
Major 'Climate Change' Factor # 2 = The Earth {Itself}
Minor 'Climate Change' Factor #.....13 = Humanity {Us}
-oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' truth

OK - Dave so that would be 500K Years -versus- 1K
Years of Man's Industrial Age.
-oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' reality

What Do Those 'Other' 499K Years Show : Lots of
'Climate Change' and in-fact Greater 'Climate Change's
"Pre" Man's Industrial Age.
* Real Hot Spells {not just Warming; but "Hot"}
* Real Cold Spells {not just Cool; but "Ice Ages"}
and... All Without Man-Kind.
-oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' fact

That's Naturally Evolving as in a Living Planet :
'Climate Change' -yes-the-earth-is-a-living-planet-
-oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' reality

Dave even the Obama-Regime No Longer Calls the
Decade/Century Long-Term Changing Weather Pattern
"Global Warming" -a-la- Al Gore -but- Simple "Climate
Change".
-oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' truth
.
Question #1 : In the Next Century or two will "Climate
Change" make the Earth WARMER : So that Russia

and Canada the 'Tropics' with most of the Rest of the
World basically Too Hot! and un-live-able ?
+ Plus Rising Sea Levels due to Less Ice
-or-
Question #2 : In the Next Century or two will "Climate
Change" make the Earth COLDER : So that only the
Equatorial 'Tropics' are Liveable with most of the Rest
of the World basically a Frozen Waste and un-live-able ?
+ Plus Lowering Sea Levels due to More Ice
-result-
Either Way Man-Kind {Humanity} Must Adapt To Survive
-cause- The Earth's Gonna Do What The Earth's Gonna Do !

gonna-do gonna-do gonna... do-do ~ RHF
.
.

1455. Re: CME (not Earth directed)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 01:29:49 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d669e68f-35c2-44ce-954d-cbf5f07fb953@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229382

On May 11, 8:48 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:

- http://www.solarham.com/pictures/spots2.jpg

and... The Earth's Gonna Do What The Earth's Gonna Do !
-so-adapt- -so-adapt- -so-adapt- -so-adapt- -so-adapt-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/810fd53dc8724c1b
.
Yep ! the Critical 'Climate Change' Factor # 1 = THE SUN
-translation- The Sun's Gonna Do What The Sun's Gonna Do !
-so-don't-get-in-the-way-adapt-!- -so-don't-get-in-the-way-adapt-!-
.
CME : Coronal Mass Ejection {by the Sun}
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coronal_mass_ejection
.
.

1456. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 02:10:32 UTC
From: Harold Burton <hal.i.burton@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hal.i.burton-41DB34.22103211052011@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 229383
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article
<94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6549@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

> On May 8, 9:40 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > In article
> > <2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961...@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>,
> >
> > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
> > > > The bushkultie has no facts.
> >
> > > That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is not
> > > getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo shilling.
> > > You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
> > > possible.
> >
> > You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*),
>
> Hey fuzznuts, * Hates US * is, like you, a rightard.

Nope. Try again.

snicker

1457. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 02:19:52 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dd838ece-f862-4032-b0c4-a5dde93721b9@o7g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229384
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 11, 12:10 am, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <iqcqtk$ks...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/10/2011 3:56 PM, joeturn wrote:
> > > On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout"<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> > > wrote:
> > >> "joeturn"<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > >>news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>
> > >>> On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> > >>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > >>>>> On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> > >>>>> ...
> > >>>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> > >>>>> were
> > >>>>> in perfect working order.
> > >>>>> You retard.
>
> > >>>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> > >>>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> > >>>>> saw
> > >>>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> > >>>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> > >>>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
> > >>>>> Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> > >>>>> nano thermite residue.
>
> > >>>> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> > >>>> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>
> > >>>> --
> > >>>> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying

> > >>>> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>
> > >>>> - Show quoted text -
>
> > >>> but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> > >>> a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> > >> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> > >> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> > >> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>
> > >> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> > >> thermite.
>
> > >> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > I'm beging tho think your nothing but a grunt/punkin the one that
> > > sweeps the
> > > floor and have no knowlege what so ever of controlled demolition
> > > other
> > > than what NIST told you. Detonaters to set off the cutter charges of
> > > theremate are not loud at all they depend on their ability to ignite
> > > not there explosive noise making abilityquality.
>
> > > An expert in cutting torches. knows about NAP gas
>
> > NO!
>
> > But, they will know about MAP Gas!
>
> > If you wish to even fool the children as to your being "professional",
> > get the name of the gas right!
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> What profession could Joe convince anyone he was a member of? Other than
> professional loon, of course.
>
> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

I have a roofers card

1458. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 02:24:04 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <87202bd0-8dd1-4534-9724-f467427c3109@j28g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229385
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 10:12 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote in message
>
> news:iqcqoiksa5@dont-email.me...
>
> > On 5/10/2011 3:19 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >> nap gas is your natural in your cutting torch,funny with all your many
>
> > Try "Map Gas" with all your professional BS ...
>
> Actually "MAPP gas", but I would have taken "map gas" as well since some
> people just know what it's called not it's actual acronym. In any case since
> I included MAPP gas in my listing of common cutting torch fuels used in
> demolition, I think I've already shown my knowledge of the subject. Far more
> than someone that once again invents some "nap gas" nonsense. Heck, I even
> gave him a chance to claim it was just a typo, but then he repeated his
> mistake. Doesn't look like a typo now, just utter ignorance and enough
> stupidity not to buy a clue when given a rather clear hint.
>
> > There is enough proof for an investigation, there is enough proof that the
> > story we were told is fraudulent ...
>
> > There is not enough proof to support the book you are writing, one post at
> > a time, here on usenet!
>
> Hell, I wish he could just provide conclusive irrefutable PROOF of a single
> specific detail that is clearly contrary to both the official conclusions
> and reasonable natural events that could have resulted from the airliner
> impact.
>
> Since he has been unable to do so even in a single particular, then it
> doesn't matter how much he puts out there, the proof of his claims are
> clearly inadequate to support those assertions.

Bi golly you are a self proclaimed genious!

1459. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 02:24:12 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqfgcb$345$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229386
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/11/2011 7:19 PM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 11, 12:10 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>> In article<iqcqtk$ks...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On 5/10/2011 3:56 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>>> On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout"<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>> "joeturn"<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>>>>> news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>>>> On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
>>>>>>>>> were
>>>>>>>>> in perfect working order.
>>>>>>>>> You retard.
>>
>>>>>>>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
>>>>>>>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
>>>>>>>>> saw
>>>>>>>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>
>>>>>>>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>>>>>>>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>>
>>>>>>>> Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
>>>>>>>> nano thermite residue.
>>
>>>>>>> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
>>>>>>> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>>
>>>>>>> --
```

>>>>>>> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
>>>>>>> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>>>>> but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
>>>>>> a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>>
>>>>>> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
>>>>>> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
>>>>>> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>>
>>>>>> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
>>>>>> thermite.
>>
>>>>>> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>>> I'm beging tho think your nothing but a grunt/punkin the one that
>>>> sweeps the
>>>> floor and have no knowlege what so ever of controlled demolition
>>>> other
>>>> than what NIST told you. Detonaters to set off the cutter charges of
>>>> theremate are not loud at all they depend on their ability to ignite
>>>> not there explosive noise making abilityquality.
>>
>>>> An expert in cutting torches. knows about NAP gas
>>
>>> NO!
>>
>>> But, they will know about MAP Gas!
>>
>>> If you wish to even fool the children as to your being "professional",
>>> get the name of the gas right!
>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> What profession could Joe convince anyone he was a member of? Other than
>> professional loon, of course.
>>
>> --
>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> I have a roofers card

I got a RACE CARD!!!

Trouble is, I am caucasian (well, heinz 57, but when I claim negro on a
form, people laugh) ... ever seen a race card work for a caucasian? IT

DON'T!

Regards,
JS

1460. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 02:29:04 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqfglf$345$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229387
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/11/2011 7:24 PM, joeturn wrote:

>> ...
>> Hell, I wish he could just provide conclusive irrefutable PROOF of a single
>> specific detail that is clearly contrary to both the official conclusions
>> and reasonable natural events that could have resulted from the airliner
>> impact.
>>
>> Since he has been unable to do so even in a single particular, then it
>> doesn't matter how much he puts out there, the proof of his claims are
>> clearly inadequate to support those assertions.
>
> Bi golly you are a self proclaimed genious!

But, the only real proof he has offered, his posts and text, demonstrate
a moron ... as always, I will go with the tangible!

Regards,
JS

1461. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 02:33:44 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqfgu7$345$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229388
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/11/2011 7:24 PM, John Smith wrote:

> ...
> I got a RACE CARD!!!
>
> Trouble is, I am caucasian (well, heinz 57, but when I claim negro on a
> form, people laugh) ... ever seen a race card work for a caucasian? IT
> DON'T!
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

Worse yet, if I get in a fist fight and lose, and the other guy threw the first punch, the other guy will, most likely, just get a slap on the hand, if I should attempt charges.

Yet, if a screaming queen jumps me, attempts to rip my eyes out, and I slap it to the ground and stomp it, I would, most likely, be charged with a HATE CRIME.

So, in addition to the race card failing me, the damn hate crime law isn't applicable to me!

I don't think this is the America the forefathers had pictured for the future ...

Regards,
JS

1462. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 03:00:44 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <46ed2da7-2b1b-48c3-9699-9e4a4d956545@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229389
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 11, 8:10 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> In article
> <94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
>
>
>
> Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > On May 8, 9:40 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > In article
> > > <2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961...@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
> > > > > The bushkultie has no facts.
>
> > > > That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is not
> > > > getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo shilling.
> > > > You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
> > > > possible.
>
> > > You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*),
>
> > Hey fuzznuts, * Hates US * is, like you, a rightard.
>
> Nope.

Yep.

1463. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 03:01:03 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cdaeb51b-163b-4e99-8d5a-2f4115a6e0af@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229390
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 11, 8:19 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 11, 12:10 am, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > In article <iqcqtk$ks...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
> > > On 5/10/2011 3:56 PM, joeturn wrote:
> > > > On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout"<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> > > > wrote:
> > > >> "joeturn"<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > > >>news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > >>> On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> > > >>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > > >>>>> On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> > > >>>>> ...
> > > >>>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> > > >>>>> were
> > > >>>>> in perfect working order.
> > > >>>>> You retard.
>
> > > >>>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> > > >>>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> > > >>>>> saw
> > > >>>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> > > >>>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> > > >>>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
> > > >>>> Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> > > >>>> nano thermite residue.
>
> > > >>> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> > > >>> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>
> > > >> --
> > > >> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> > > >> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>

> > > >>>> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > >>> but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> > > >>> a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> > > >> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> > > >> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> > > >> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>
> > > >> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> > > >> thermite.
>
> > > >> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > I'm beging tho think your nothing but a grunt/punkin the one that
> > > > sweeps the
> > > > floor and have no knowlege what so ever of controlled demolition
> > > > other
> > > > than what NIST told you. Detonaters to set off the cutter charges of
> > > > theremate are not loud at all they depend on their ability to ignite
> > > > not there explosive noise making abilityquality.
>
> > > > An expert in cutting torches. knows about NAP gas
>
> > > NO!
>
> > > But, they will know about MAP Gas!
>
> > > If you wish to even fool the children as to your being "professional",
> > > get the name of the gas right!
>
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
>
> > What profession could Joe convince anyone he was a member of? Other than
> > professional loon, of course.
>
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> I have a roofers card

Well give it back to him before he finds out.

1464. Re: Let Your "Never Mind"s Be Your 'Never Minds' -but-when-you-post...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 03:19:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DCB51AD-1282@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229391

watchin the tee vee channel that doesn't exist, they showed a video clip and they said Ferriday,Louisiana (just across the Missy Sippy River from Natchez,Missy Sippy) is building up their Levee with an extra four feet of dirt, dirt imported from Missy Sippy.Are those Cajuns too Lazy to dig their own dirt? Why should we give them Missy Sippy dirt? We ought to charge them $10.00 a shovel full of Dirt.

Waterworld movie, It's Pure Dirt!

http:WLBT.com
Click on, The Great Flood of 2011
cuhulin, Dirt R US

1465. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 03:20:32 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqfjm8$9ss$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229392
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:iqfglf$345$4@dont-email.me...
> On 5/11/2011 7:24 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>>> ...
>>> Hell, I wish he could just provide conclusive irrefutable PROOF of a
>>> single
>>> specific detail that is clearly contrary to both the official
>>> conclusions
>>> and reasonable natural events that could have resulted from the airliner
>>> impact.
>>>
>>> Since he has been unable to do so even in a single particular, then it
>>> doesn't matter how much he puts out there, the proof of his claims are
>>> clearly inadequate to support those assertions.
>>
>> Bi golly you are a self proclaimed genious!
>
> But, the only real proof he has offered, his posts and text, demonstrate a
> moron ... as always, I will go with the tangible!

You're free to try fulfilling my challenge there John. Joe tried and failed miserably, so much so I don't even bother to read him anymore.

So here you go John, if you care to step up to the plate and take a swing.

Present your best proof for a single specific detail which you feel conclusively proves that both the official findings are wrong and which can not be reasonably explained or expected as a the natural results of the impact, fire, or other damage known to have occurred.

Certainly with everything you have there is some specific piece detail that you can conclusive prove supports your claims and can't possibly be explained by anything else normal or natural to known events.

Please note: I expect exact specifics backed by irrefutable proof. I am not going to search around looking for something you claim might be there somewhere, but rather I expect you to present me with a complete, concise and direct set of facts and proofs. Just as I would get in a set of engineering calculations, mathematical proofs, or scientific reports.

1466. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 03:47:12 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <87024788-cdd7-4630-897b-53d193cf69f3@hg8g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229393

On May 11, 1:47 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 2:35 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> >> ...
> > - I'd like to grab the gnarled and hoary old "buggard" (a cross
> > between a
> > - bug and a bastard) by his bridge-like-troll-ear and RAP-ture him
> > along
> > - side the head with a ball-peen hammer!
> > -
> > - And, I would only go gentle on him, like that, because of his age
> > and
> > - mental limitations!
> > -
> > - Regards,
> > - JS
>
> > "JS" - Clearly You Have Made Dinner Reservations
> > for The-Day-After ...as... There is No Doubt that
> > you will be One of the "Left-Behind" . . .
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Left_Behind_%28series%29
> > .
> > .
>
> Let me put my statement in perspective:
>
> Matthew 24:36, King James Bible:
>
> "But of that day and hour knoweth no [man], no, not the angels of
> heaven, but my Father only."
>
> Now, he sets his knowledge above his Gods'.
>
> I mean ... that is beyond rational description ... unless his bible is
> one I am not familiar with.
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

KJV Bible is not complete . Many sections/parts of the previous
text(s) weren't included . And that's the way it was done .

1467. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 03:59:02 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqflu6$mj1$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229394

On 5/11/2011 8:47 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:

>> ...
>> Let me put my statement in perspective:
>>
>> Matthew 24:36, King James Bible:
>>
>> "But of that day and hour knoweth no [man], no, not the angels of
>> heaven, but my Father only."
>>
>> Now, he sets his knowledge above his Gods'.
>>
>> I mean ... that is beyond rational description ... unless his bible is
>> one I am not familiar with.
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> KJV Bible is not complete . Many sections/parts of the previous
> text(s) weren't included . And that's the way it was done .

Obfuscation though suggestive suspicion?:

New International Version (ï¿½1984)
"No one knows about that day or hour, not even the angels in heaven, nor
the Son, but only the Father.

New Living Translation (ï¿½2007)
"However, no one knows the day or hour when these things will happen,
not even the angels in heaven or the Son himself. Only the Father knows.

English Standard Version (ï¿½2001)
ï¿½But concerning that day and hour no one knows, not even the angels of
heaven, nor the Son, but the Father only.

New American Standard Bible (ï¿½1995)
"But of that day and hour no one knows, not even the angels of heaven,
nor the Son, but the Father alone.

International Standard Version (ï¿½2008)
"No one knows when that day or hour will come-not the angels in heaven,
nor the Son, but only the Father,

GOD'S WORDï¿½ Translation (ï¿½1995)
"No one knows when that day or hour will come. Even the angels in heaven

and the Son don't know. Only the Father knows.

King James Bible
But of that day and hour knoweth no [man], no, not the angels of heaven, but my Father only.

American King James Version
But of that day and hour knows no man, no, not the angels of heaven, but my Father only.

American Standard Version
But of that day and hour knoweth no one, not even the angels of heaven, neither the Son, but the Father only.

Bible in Basic English
But of that day and hour no one has knowledge, not even the angels in heaven, or the Son, but the Father only.

Douay-Rheims Bible
But of that day and hour no one knoweth, not the angels of heaven, but the Father alone.

Darby Bible Translation
But of that day and hour no one knows, not even the angels of the heavens, but my Father alone.

English Revised Version
But of that day and hour knoweth no one, not even the angels of heaven, neither the Son, but the Father only.

Webster's Bible Translation
But of that day and hour knoweth no man, no, not the angels of heaven, but my Father only.

Weymouth New Testament
"But as to that day and the exact time no one knows--not even the angels of heaven, nor the Son, but the Father alone.

World English Bible
But no one knows of that day and hour, not even the angels of heaven, but my Father only.

Young's Literal Translation
'And concerning that day and the hour no one hath known -- not even the messengers of the heavens -- except my Father only;

... and ...

Mark 13:32 "No one knows about that day or hour, not even the angels in heaven, nor the Son, but only the Father.

Acts 1:7 He said to them: "It is not for you to know the times or dates the Father has set by his own authority.

Regards,
JS

1468. PING Lard Assed Loyd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:03:20 UTC
From: nurk_fred2000 <nurk_fred2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <12980f40-47b2-4c35-b8f2-2402e137381c@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229395

The Trolls would like to invite you to the Kombat Kramer Krap-fest over at rec.knives over the 4th of July weekend...We've got a bunch of real boners over there now shooting their mouths off and just won't shut their pie holes...When their lips are moving so are their butt cheeks...The Trolls figure you'd fit right in...:-)

1469. Re: A MUST READ!: The Prosecution of George W. Bush for Murder -- Vincent Bugliosi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:21:08 UTC
From: nurk_fred2000 <nurk_fred2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e4c968b4-9b88-4b9b-a9c1-84a541e88c9f@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229396
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic

On May 11, 6:17 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 5:58 PM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Wed, 11 May 2011 17:35:15 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > wrote:
>
> >> Who is Vincent Bugliosi, the young might ask?
>
> >> He is the prosecutor who prosecuted Charles Manson, and wrote the book
> >> Helter Skelter, about the murders -- Tate-LaBianca.
>
> >> Now, he turns his attention on a matter which just does not sit right on
> >> his soul -- the mass murders of George W. Bush. He wants JUSTICE!
>
> >> Here he speaks on his book:
> >>http://www.prosecutionofbush.com/video.php
>
> >> The books webpage:
> >>http://www.prosecutionofbush.com/
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > Where do they find these fucking Morons?
>
> > When people with the quality of the former Governor of Texas stop
> > stepping forward to take that awful job, we will have ONLY idiots like
> > Obama. GW Bush was a multimillionaire who could have retired in
> > luxury, just riding around with his pals in his own Gulfstream 5, like
> > Rush Limbaugh does. But he took that job and aged 20 years in 8
> > years--and they are still after him for doing his best.
>
> > So far this traitor has run up more debt than the first 44 Presidents
> > COMBINED! And there is no end in sight because he is fighting every
> > attempt to cut spending and control entitlements. He wants our
> > national debt to go higher because he wants to squash Capitalism in
> > America.
>
> > Larry

>
> So, your argument is, "obama is a crook so let the rich crook george w. go?"
>
> ROFLOL
>
> You are like dog shit, you just can't ever seem to get it off your shoe!
>
> They should both be hung, matching ropes, same gallows, etc. ...
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

***Hey Smythe, you IDIOT, it seems you are having an arguement with yourself; as for the dogshit opn your shoe its probably from when you were over at CU's trailer getting your weekly scratch and sniff from "Doggy", did you roll in it too?...C'mon over to rec.knives...The Trolls will be soooo thrilled!

1470. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:28:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28987-4DCB61D9-111@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229399

Well, was it?
cuhulin

1471. Hey Smythe Who Gives A Rats Arse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:29:01 UTC
From: kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <029cb58d-9299-4acc-bd93-36e48f3f881b@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229397
Cross-posted to: alt.bible.prophecy,alt.religion.christian,uk.politics.misc,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 11, 10:50 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 10:39 AM, u2fan wrote:
>
> > > Adding up the numbers April 1st 33ad + (5*10*17) * (5*10*17) =
> > > May 21st 2011
>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cMYSWiPm7E0 Blue Oyster Cult : Don't
> > > fear the reaper
>
> > > Or come 21st May if the rapture does happen, who will look the fool
> > > then ?
>
> OK ... but I will take all bets that obama and 99% of all public
> servants will still be walking this earth! (except those dying worldly
> causes)
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS

***What a boner!

1472. Re: A MUST READ!: The Prosecution of George W. Bush for Murder -- Vincent Bugliosi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:29:07 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqfnmk$mj1$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229398
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic

On 5/11/2011 9:21 PM, nurk_fred2000 wrote:
> On May 11, 6:17 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/11/2011 5:58 PM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 17:35:15 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>>> wrote:
>>
>>>> Who is Vincent Bugliosi, the young might ask?
>>
>>>> He is the prosecutor who prosecuted Charles Manson, and wrote the book
>>>> Helter Skelter, about the murders -- Tate-LaBianca.
>>
>>>> Now, he turns his attention on a matter which just does not sit right on
>>>> his soul -- the mass murders of George W. Bush. He wants JUSTICE!
>>
>>>> Here he speaks on his book:
>>>> http://www.prosecutionofbush.com/video.php
>>
>>>> The books webpage:
>>>> http://www.prosecutionofbush.com/
>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>> Where do they find these fucking Morons?
>>
>>> When people with the quality of the former Governor of Texas stop
>>> stepping forward to take that awful job, we will have ONLY idiots like
>>> Obama. GW Bush was a multimillionaire who could have retired in
>>> luxury, just riding around with his pals in his own Gulfstream 5, like
>>> Rush Limbaugh does. But he took that job and aged 20 years in 8
>>> years--and they are still after him for doing his best.
>>
>>> So far this traitor has run up more debt than the first 44 Presidents
>>> COMBINED! And there is no end in sight because he is fighting every
>>> attempt to cut spending and control entitlements. He wants our
>>> national debt to go higher because he wants to squash Capitalism in
>>> America.
>>

>>> Larry
>>
>> So, your argument is, "obama is a crook so let the rich crook george w. go?"
>>
>> ROFLOL
>>
>> You are like dog shit, you just can't ever seem to get it off your shoe!
>>
>> They should both be hung, matching ropes, same gallows, etc. ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> ***Hey Smythe, you IDIOT, it seems you are having an arguement with
> yourself;

To a fool, such as yourself, who can't be bothered reading the header data, it might seem that way ... clue: >> On 5/11/2011 5:58 PM, Larry Whitaker wrote:

as for the dogshit opn your shoe its probably from when you
> were over at CU's trailer getting your weekly scratch and sniff from
> "Doggy", did you roll in it too?...C'mon over to rec.knives...The
> Trolls will be soooo thrilled!

I know you have a hard time believing that others are not into bestiality, and such depraved acts as you and your ilk commit on helpless beasts ... but it is true, you and your type are relative rare, I HOPE!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1473. Re: People in Pakistan think SMYTHE is a fraud!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:30:35 UTC
From: kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <53905bae-92e1-47a0-81c7-af9db5b21564@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229400
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic

On May 11, 12:25 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-south-asia-13329078
>
> Just when you think it can't possibly get any better, it gets BETTER!
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS

***Just another windbag is all!

1474. Re: A MUST READ!: The Prosecution of SMYTHE for Polluting UseNet -- Vincent Bugliosi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:31:52 UTC
From: kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a0f91b33-749c-45bc-bdee-f6c182f80664@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229401
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic

On May 11, 9:29 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 9:21 PM, nurk_fred2000 wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 11, 6:17 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/11/2011 5:58 PM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
>
> >>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 17:35:15 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >>> wrote:
>
> >>>> Who is Vincent Bugliosi, the young might ask?
>
> >>>> He is the prosecutor who prosecuted Charles Manson, and wrote the book
> >>>> Helter Skelter, about the murders -- Tate-LaBianca.
>
> >>>> Now, he turns his attention on a matter which just does not sit right on
> >>>> his soul -- the mass murders of George W. Bush. He wants JUSTICE!
>
> >>>> Here he speaks on his book:
> >>>>http://www.prosecutionofbush.com/video.php
>
> >>>> The books webpage:
> >>>>http://www.prosecutionofbush.com/
>
> >>>> Regards,
> >>>> JS
> >>> Where do they find these fucking Morons?
>
> >>> When people with the quality of the former Governor of Texas stop
> >>> stepping forward to take that awful job, we will have ONLY idiots like
> >>> Obama. GW Bush was a multimillionaire who could have retired in
> >>> luxury, just riding around with his pals in his own Gulfstream 5, like
> >>> Rush Limbaugh does. But he took that job and aged 20 years in 8
> >>> years--and they are still after him for doing his best.
>
> >>> So far this traitor has run up more debt than the first 44 Presidents
> >>> COMBINED! And there is no end in sight because he is fighting every
> >>> attempt to cut spending and control entitlements. He wants our
> >>> national debt to go higher because he wants to squash Capitalism in

> >>> America.
>
> >>> Larry
>
> >> So, your argument is, "obama is a crook so let the rich crook george w. go?"
>
> >> ROFLOL
>
> >> You are like dog shit, you just can't ever seem to get it off your shoe!
>
> >> They should both be hung, matching ropes, same gallows, etc. ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > ***Hey Smythe, you IDIOT, it seems you are having an arguement with
> > yourself;
>
> To a fool, such as yourself, who can't be bothered reading the header
> data, it might seem that way ... clue: >> On 5/11/2011 5:58 PM, Larry
>
> Whitaker wrote:
>
> as for the dogshit opn your shoe its probably from when you
>
> > were over at CU's trailer getting your weekly scratch and sniff from
> > "Doggy", did you roll in it too?...C'mon over to rec.knives...The
> > Trolls will be soooo thrilled!
>
> I know you have a hard time believing that others are not into
> bestiality, and such depraved acts as you and your ilk commit on
> helpless beasts ... but it is true, you and your type are relative rare,
> I HOPE!
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

***What a Putz!

1475. BEST HOT VIDEOS ND PHOTOS FOR SEXY BOYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:32:00 UTC
From: HRJHRJB <odgfhfhdfv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <28c90ece-6486-4f15-bb38-44b905dd9442@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229402

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

1476. Re: A REFORMED SMYTHE WITH NEW FOUND COMMITMENT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:33:23 UTC
From: kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <27aab976-87fc-4670-b12c-51f0df172e49@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229403
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 10, 1:07 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/10/2011 12:37 PM, fergo wrote:
>
> >> ...
> > > It doesn't change the FACT that the US armed Iraq. And please don't use
> > > my real name. If I ever do post in aus.politics again I created an alias
> > > so ppl will stay on topic and won't bring up something I posted 5 years
> > > ago when I was unemployed and a bum.
> > > ...
>
> Ohh, I see, yeah.
>
> Let me see if I got you correct, in summary, you are saying this, "Now
> that I have a job, I am a changed man. It really quite shocked me too!
> My feet no longer stink, my halitosis is gone! Now I am quite the
> handsome devil and my IQ has went through the roof!"
>
> Uhhh, let me think about that a bit ... I'll get back to you ...
>
> ROFLOL!!! It just gets better and BETTER!!!
>
> Regards,
> JS

***Promising to stop posting?...Bwahaha, Smythe is a serial poster,
bwahaha!

1477. Re: MURDER! Pakistanis know osama videos are fraudulent crimes ... ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:33:27 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28987-4DCB6317-112@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229409

Letter from Kitau in Mombasa,Kenya
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307549
cuhulin

1478. Re: SO? What would you ask the Great SMYTHE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:34:54 UTC
From: kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3c531a4f-1e3a-4bd0-ad55-22c2c60d9e5a@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic

On May 9, 11:10 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yCgMCw2-aJ0&feature=related
>
> And, next question, where is osamas body, and exactly why?
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS

***Why are you posting 24/7 SMYTHE...?...Bwahahaha!

1479. Re: A MUST READ!: The Prosecution of SMYTHE for Polluting UseNet -- Vincent Bugliosi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:35:45 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqfo32$mj1$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229405
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic

On 5/11/2011 9:31 PM, kop wrote:

>> ...
>>> were over at CU's trailer getting your weekly scratch and sniff from
>>> "Doggy", did you roll in it too?...C'mon over to rec.knives...The
>>> Trolls will be soooo thrilled!
>>
>> I know you have a hard time believing that others are not into
>> bestiality, and such depraved acts as you and your ilk commit on
>> helpless beasts ... but it is true, you and your type are relative rare,
>> I HOPE!
>>
>> ROFLOL
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> ***What a Putz!

Yeah, call me anything you like, I am not going to participate in your
homosexuality and bestiality!

Frankly, it disgusts me you enjoy such things!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1480. Re: Smythe's Posting and the CIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:36:32 UTC
From: kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b4fb4ec1-0eaf-4488-be6b-f86a6fea09cc@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy

On May 9, 9:40 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 9:16 PM, skepti...@aol.com wrote:
>
> > > 9/11 ANALYSIS: From Ronald Reagan and the Soviet-Afghan War to George
> > > W Bush and September 11, 2001by Michel Chossudovsky
>
> > > Global Research, September 9, 2010
> > > ...
>
> Well, yeah ...
>
> All of our "problems" seem to be related to inside jobs involving the
> CIA, on some scale ...
>
> Past bogymen we have created, past dictators we have placed in office,
> past "aid" given to countries, drugs for guns deals, etc., etc.
>
> I think we can improve our personal situation a LOT by simply getting
> rid of the CIA, like Kennedy and his brother had planned ...
>
> The whole system of public servants have seem to have gone
> paranoid/schizophrenic and started believing in the bogymen they create
> to manipulate the population!
>
> Well, a good house cleaning could put that all behind, for awhile, again ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

***Maybe you are the one under investigation SMYTHE, bwahaha!

1481. Re: People in Pakistan think SMYTHE is a fraud!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:37:53 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqfo71$mj1$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229407
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic

On 5/11/2011 9:30 PM, kop wrote:
> On May 11, 12:25 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-south-asia-13329078
>>
>> Just when you think it can't possibly get any better, it gets BETTER!
>>
>> ROFLOL
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> ***Just another windbag is all!

Don't forget the missing 25 minutes:

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/al-qaeda/8493391/Osama-bin-Laden-dead-Blackout-during-raid-on-bin-Laden-compound.html

Regards,
JS

1482. Re: A MUST READ!: The Prosecution of George W. Bush for Murder -- ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:39:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DCB6485-1295@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229410

Lindsay Lohan got four months in the slammer.
cuhulin

1483. Re: SO? What would you ask the Great SMYTHE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:39:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqfoa9$mj1$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic

On 5/11/2011 9:34 PM, kop wrote:
> On May 9, 11:10 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yCgMCw2-aJ0&feature=related
>>
>> And, next question, where is osamas body, and exactly why?
>>
>> ROFLOL
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> ***Why are you posting 24/7 SMYTHE...?...Bwahahaha!

Why are you wearing your mamas underpants and bra, and peeking in the window of my den?

Regards,
JS

1484. Re: A MUST READ!: The Prosecution of George W. Bush for Murder -- ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:43:21 UTC
From: kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bf57c9df-3d9e-49e8-8b26-80046690c2e5@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229411

On May 11, 9:39 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Lindsay Lohan got four months in the slammer.
> cuhulin

***Hey CU, next time SMYTHE comes over to visit Doggy for the scratch and sniff clean up the dog sh%t so he doesn't step in any of it...Don't want Doggy trackin it into the Trailer...Oh, as for SMYTHE, just toss a bunch of sh%t in his car, front seat preferably... THe Trolls will be sooo thrilled!

1485. Re: A MUST READ!: The Prosecution of George W. Bush for Murder -- ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:44:06 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqfoim$mj2$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229412

On 5/11/2011 9:39 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Lindsay Lohan got four months in the slammer.
> cuhulin
>

For engaging in acts of bestiality with them?

I know I don't have to warn you, but still, keep a close eye on doggie with them about! When they start mumbling the name of the animal, you know what they have in mind!

You know about the court order barring them from owning or being within 1000 ft. of sheep and pigs, right?

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1486. Re: From the trenches of Smythe's Bowels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:44:58 UTC
From: kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dd742b04-590a-4646-81ca-160ab32e454f@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229413
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic

On May 9, 1:35 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> Find the list of un-kept promises here:
>
> http://fromthetrenchesworldreport.com/america-demands-the-games-to-st...
>
> I'd say a very, right on!, article!
>
> Regards,
> JS

***You sure are a foul one Smythe!

1487. Re: A MUST READ!: The Prosecution of George W. Bush for Murder -- ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:46:21 UTC
From: kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <37177474-e245-4b66-8a4d-3099a3528024@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229414

On May 11, 9:44 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 9:39 PM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > Lindsay Lohan got four months in the slammer.
> > cuhulin
>
> For engaging in acts of bestiality with them?
>
> I know I don't have to warn you, but still, keep a close eye on doggie
> with them about! When they start mumbling the name of the animal, you
> know what they have in mind!
>
> You know about the court order barring them from owning or being within
> 1000 ft. of sheep and pigs, right?
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS

***You forgot Chickens too Smythe...Get back to your research!

1488. Re: Don't forget ME, SMYTHE still dumping tons of WASTE on UseNet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 04:50:40 UTC
From: kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b0c03a70-ba6d-4b58-aba4-86431cb08550@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229415
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic

On May 9, 9:34 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> We need to sue the Japanese for the pollution, forget the stupid carbon
> taxes!
>
> http://www.infowars.com/media-ignores-fukushima-fire/
>
> Regards,
> JS

***Yep, you said it Smythe, dumping tons and tons of your fecal matter
here!

1489. Re: Smythe's Posting and the CIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 05:05:41 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqfpr7$3tj$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy

On 5/11/2011 9:36 PM, kop wrote:
> On May 9, 9:40 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/9/2011 9:16 PM, skepti...@aol.com wrote:
>>
>>> 9/11 ANALYSIS: From Ronald Reagan and the Soviet-Afghan War to George
>>> W Bush and September 11, 2001by Michel Chossudovsky
>>
>>> Global Research, September 9, 2010
>>> ...
>>
>> Well, yeah ...
>>
>> All of our "problems" seem to be related to inside jobs involving the
>> CIA, on some scale ...
>>
>> Past bogymen we have created, past dictators we have placed in office,
>> past "aid" given to countries, drugs for guns deals, etc., etc.
>>
>> I think we can improve our personal situation a LOT by simply getting
>> rid of the CIA, like Kennedy and his brother had planned ...
>>
>> The whole system of public servants have seem to have gone
>> paranoid/schizophrenic and started believing in the bogymen they create
>> to manipulate the population!
>>
>> Well, a good house cleaning could put that all behind, for awhile, again ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> ***Maybe you are the one under investigation SMYTHE, bwahaha!

This may come as quite a shock to you, but the things which you find upsetting, other may not ... now, finish with your temper tantrum and go to bed like a good little boy.

Regards,
JS

1490. Re: SO? What would you ask the Great SMYTHE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 05:11:42 UTC
From: kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <90de5d7e-4942-4793-bcf1-d97dfd157c3a@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic

On May 11, 9:39 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 9:34 PM, kop wrote:
>
> > On May 9, 11:10 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yCgMCw2-aJ0&feature=related
>
> >> And, next question, where is osamas body, and exactly why?
>
> >> ROFLOL
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > ***Why are you posting 24/7 SMYTHE...?...Bwahahaha!
>
> Why are you wearing your mamas underpants and bra, and peeking in the
> window of my den?
>
> Regards,
> JS

***We're videotaping you pk_king that Chicken!

1491. Re: SMYTHE Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 05:14:51 UTC
From: kop <kopscotlin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8c3c7b6d-2bb9-473e-b151-5f72c82c0fbb@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229418
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.misc,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 10, 6:42 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/10/2011 3:43 PM, Daniel wrote:
>
> > ...
> > it's a myth about the CIA creating OBL. cite ur references pls.
> > zionist invaders?....more bullshit from ur cakehole....
>
> > Daniel
>
> You are very far behind the curve:
>
> http://www.hidden-truth.org/conspiracies/osama-bin-laden-aka-cia-asse...
>
> Regards,
> JS

***Nope, Alive and kicking but I'd bet a dollar to a cup of spit some
wish he, nahhhhhh, just a harmless Troll!

1492. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 05:15:08 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqfqct$290$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229419
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/11/2011 6:25 PM, William Clark wrote:

>>> ...
>>> Speaking of values: you recently claimed that Democrats were calling for
>>> Obama's impeachment. You completely failed to find any such Democrats,
>>> and then refused to retract your demonstrably false statement. You have
>>> no values.
>>
>> I explained this to you once. I could explain this every day and you would
>> never be able to get your head around it.
>
> Ah, here we have the standard imModerate gambit - "I don't have a shred
> of evidence to justify my absurd lies, so I'll just tell my challenger
> that I already produced it."
>
> So transparent.

OK. Again:

http://lubbockonline.com/interact/blog-post/may/2011-03-23/democrats-talk-impeaching-obama

Do you see it this time?

Regards,
JS

1493. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 05:30:48 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <475dd398-383e-40c5-8e54-051513502c35@p6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229420

On May 11, 11:59 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 8:47 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> >> ...
> >> Let me put my statement in perspective:
>
> >> Matthew 24:36, King James Bible:
>
> >> "But of that day and hour knoweth no [man], no, not the angels of
> >> heaven, but my Father only."
>
> >> Now, he sets his knowledge above his Gods'.
>
> >> I mean ... that is beyond rational description ... unless his bible is
> >> one I am not familiar with.
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > KJV Bible is not complete . Many sections/parts of the previous
> > text(s) weren't included . And that's the way it was done .
>
> Obfuscation though suggestive suspicion?:
>
> New International Version (©1984)
> "No one knows about that day or hour, not even the angels in heaven, nor
> the Son, but only the Father.
>
> New Living Translation (©2007)
> "However, no one knows the day or hour when these things will happen,
> not even the angels in heaven or the Son himself. Only the Father knows.
>
> English Standard Version (©2001)
> “But concerning that day and hour no one knows, not even the angels of
> heaven, nor the Son, but the Father only.
>
> New American Standard Bible (©1995)
> "But of that day and hour no one knows, not even the angels of heaven,

> nor the Son, but the Father alone.
>
> International Standard Version (©2008)
> "No one knows when that day or hour will come-not the angels in heaven,
> nor the Son, but only the Father,
>
> GOD'S WORD® Translation (©1995)
> "No one knows when that day or hour will come. Even the angels in heaven
> and the Son don't know. Only the Father knows.
>
> King James Bible
> But of that day and hour knoweth no [man], no, not the angels of heaven,
> but my Father only.
>
> American King James Version
> But of that day and hour knows no man, no, not the angels of heaven, but
> my Father only.
>
> American Standard Version
> But of that day and hour knoweth no one, not even the angels of heaven,
> neither the Son, but the Father only.
>
> Bible in Basic English
> But of that day and hour no one has knowledge, not even the angels in
> heaven, or the Son, but the Father only.
>
> Douay-Rheims Bible
> But of that day and hour no one knoweth, not the angels of heaven, but
> the Father alone.
>
> Darby Bible Translation
> But of that day and hour no one knows, not even the angels of the
> heavens, but my Father alone.
>
> English Revised Version
> But of that day and hour knoweth no one, not even the angels of heaven,
> neither the Son, but the Father only.
>
> Webster's Bible Translation
> But of that day and hour knoweth no man, no, not the angels of heaven,
> but my Father only.
>
> Weymouth New Testament
> "But as to that day and the exact time no one knows--not even the angels
> of heaven, nor the Son, but the Father alone.
>
> World English Bible
> But no one knows of that day and hour, not even the angels of heaven,
> but my Father only.
>

> Young's Literal Translation
> 'And concerning that day and the hour no one hath known -- not even the
> messengers of the heavens -- except my Father only;
>
> ... and ...
>
> Mark 13:32 "No one knows about that day or hour, not even the angels in
> heaven, nor the Son, but only the Father.
>
> Acts 1:7 He said to them: "It is not for you to know the times or dates
> the Father has set by his own authority.
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

So which is the original ? ? ?

1494. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 05:43:09 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqfs1eabj1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229421

```
On 5/11/2011 10:30 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 11, 11:59 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/11/2011 8:47 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Let me put my statement in perspective:
>>
>>>> Matthew 24:36, King James Bible:
>>
>>>> "But of that day and hour knoweth no [man], no, not the angels of
>>>> heaven, but my Father only."
>>
>>>> Now, he sets his knowledge above his Gods'.
>>
>>>> I mean ... that is beyond rational description ... unless his bible is
>>>> one I am not familiar with.
>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>> KJV Bible is not complete . Many sections/parts of the previous
>>> text(s) weren't included . And that's the way it was done .
>>
>> Obfuscation though suggestive suspicion?:
>>
>> New International Version (ï¿½1984)
>> "No one knows about that day or hour, not even the angels in heaven, nor
>> the Son, but only the Father.
>>
>> New Living Translation (ï¿½2007)
>> "However, no one knows the day or hour when these things will happen,
>> not even the angels in heaven or the Son himself. Only the Father knows.
>>
>> English Standard Version (ï¿½2001)
>> ï¿½But concerning that day and hour no one knows, not even the angels of
>> heaven, nor the Son, but the Father only.
>>
>> New American Standard Bible (ï¿½1995)
```

>> "But of that day and hour no one knows, not even the angels of heaven,
>> nor the Son, but the Father alone.
>>
>> International Standard Version (ï¿½2008)
>> "No one knows when that day or hour will come-not the angels in heaven,
>> nor the Son, but only the Father,
>>
>> GOD'S WORDï¿½ Translation (ï¿½1995)
>> "No one knows when that day or hour will come. Even the angels in heaven
>> and the Son don't know. Only the Father knows.
>>
>> King James Bible
>> But of that day and hour knoweth no [man], no, not the angels of heaven,
>> but my Father only.
>>
>> American King James Version
>> But of that day and hour knows no man, no, not the angels of heaven, but
>> my Father only.
>>
>> American Standard Version
>> But of that day and hour knoweth no one, not even the angels of heaven,
>> neither the Son, but the Father only.
>>
>> Bible in Basic English
>> But of that day and hour no one has knowledge, not even the angels in
>> heaven, or the Son, but the Father only.
>>
>> Douay-Rheims Bible
>> But of that day and hour no one knoweth, not the angels of heaven, but
>> the Father alone.
>>
>> Darby Bible Translation
>> But of that day and hour no one knows, not even the angels of the
>> heavens, but my Father alone.
>>
>> English Revised Version
>> But of that day and hour knoweth no one, not even the angels of heaven,
>> neither the Son, but the Father only.
>>
>> Webster's Bible Translation
>> But of that day and hour knoweth no man, no, not the angels of heaven,
>> but my Father only.
>>
>> Weymouth New Testament
>> "But as to that day and the exact time no one knows--not even the angels
>> of heaven, nor the Son, but the Father alone.
>>
>> World English Bible
>> But no one knows of that day and hour, not even the angels of heaven,
>> but my Father only.

>>
>> Young's Literal Translation
>> 'And concerning that day and the hour no one hath known -- not even the
>> messengers of the heavens -- except my Father only;
>>
>> ... and ...
>>
>> Mark 13:32 "No one knows about that day or hour, not even the angels in
>> heaven, nor the Son, but only the Father.
>>
>> Acts 1:7 He said to them: "It is not for you to know the times or dates
>> the Father has set by his own authority.
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> So which is the original ? ? ?

What is the difference you see between them?

Regards,
JS

1495. Re: Global Warming's Effect On Music Much Worse Than Predicted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 05:50:24 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqfsf0abj3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229422
Cross-posted to: sci.environment,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic,sci.geo.meteorology,alt.energy.renewable,alt.conspiracy

On 5/11/2011 10:43 PM, abkchci wrote:

> Global Warming's Effect On Music Much Worse Than Predicted!
>
> The trouble with being a warmist is that your songs - and especially the
> lyrics - make you sound like you should be in kindergarten.
>
>

EXACTLY!

And, just look at TV, global warming has ruined it. The effect it has
had on the writers is just unbelievable, it has made them into imbeciles
who cannot turn out more than plain tripe!

It seems to have also killed osama and taken his body!

Regards,
JS

1496. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 07:31:16 UTC
From: fergo <fergo@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <n8idnSnpCs56EVbQnZ2dnUVZ_hmdnZ2d@westnet.com.au>
Source record: 229423
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

"Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:spvls69h18687qiccpoocu0996tpbenlgr@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 12 May 2011 07:07:09 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>"Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:72gks6tqopl1r8gkgrig9ncakorueeeldo@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 16:18:34 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:iqd6sc$d9b$4@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/10/2011 10:19 PM, fergo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Yeah so how could Bush keep something as sophisticated as 911 a
>>>>> secret?
>>>>> If it really was a conspiracy there would be at least one smoking
>>>>> gun,
>>>>> but there's none. Ziltch, zero (well Bush did know Binladen wanted to
>>>>> attack but I am unclear what he did with that knowledge)
>>>>>
>>>>> Yeah, kinda like that old, "Can't see the forest through the trees!"
>>>>> thing.
>>>>>
>>>>So the smoking gun is beyond the Forrest? Come on even the JFK
>>>>conspiracy
>>>>theory was proven right (to an extent) with the death bed confession
>>>>(and
>>>>I'm sure govshill will reply to that)
>>>
>>> Ok.
>>>
>>> What death bed confession?
>>>
>>So predictable
>>
>>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_F._Kennedy_assassination_conspiracy_theories#E._Howard
_Hunt
>>A 2007 article published in Rolling Stone magazine revealed deathbed

>>confessions by Hunt to his son which suggested a conspiracy to kill JFK
>>orchestrated by Lyndon Johnson, CIA agents Cord Meyer, Bill Harvey and
>>David
>>Sï¿½nchez Morales, as well as a "French gunman" who purportedly shot at
>>Kennedy from the grassy knoll.[89]
>>
>>Here's the part where you say the confession isn't real...
>
> No. Wrong. Here's the part where I say the "confession" is hearsay.
>
Is this hearsay:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bknUDgKdEJQ

> Shill #2
> --
> We are none of us infallible--not even the youngest of us.
> W.H. Thompson

1497. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 07:32:30 UTC
From: fergo <fergo@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <982dnbsIX7G2EFbQnZ2dnUVZ_qydnZ2d@westnet.com.au>
Source record: 229424
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:iqev3f$1uv$1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/11/2011 2:08 PM, fergo wrote:
>>
>>
>> "Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:9qfks6d6qp95mv1gfp0u9djmcn82oorafk@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 15:19:33 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "george" <gblack@hnpl.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:343f514c-b97c-44ca-903f-c74fe17be134@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>>> On May 11, 7:49 am, "fergo" <fe...@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> He should get a job in the public service, then he'd see there's no
>>>>>> way
>>>>>> the
>>>>>> government could pull off something like 911. It takes them half a
>>>>>> year
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> make a decision. At my work we are talking about July 2012!
>>>>>
>>>>> You could cut that back to "He should get a job" :-)
>>>>> The most powerful man in the world (at the time) couldn't hide the
>>>>> fact that a junior staff member was giving him oral sex and keeping
>>>>> his cigars moist...
>>>>
>>>> Yeah so how could Bush keep something as sophisticated as 911 a
>>>> secret? If
>>>> it really was a conspiracy there would be at least one smoking gun, but
>>>> there's none. Ziltch, zero (well Bush did know Binladen wanted to
>>>> attack but
>>>> I am unclear what he did with that knowledge)
>>>
>>> Knowing that someone wants to attack is far different from knowing
>>> *what*
>>> someone wants to attack, *where*, *when* and with *what*.
>>>
>>> Imagine I said "I'm going to send someone to get you fergo!"
>>>

>>> What would you do? How would you prevent it? Don't forget, I'm living
>>> in luxury
>>> as a guest of the Afghan government, protected from you getting close
>>> to me,
>>> when I say this.
>>>
>> Well nothing but if you said you were going to get my country and I was
>> president I would bomb the compound you lived in. I wouldn't give a
>> stuff about international law if I knew my country was in danger.
>>
>>
>
> You buy your groceries/food somewhere, there is a water supply to your
> house, there is someway to introduce toxins into these and the atmosphere
> in the home/compound ... etc.
>
> I would think a single person likely to accomplish great damage, at most a
> handful.
>
> I have always found, where there is a will, there is a way ...
>
> Of course, if I have access to a nuclear bomb, I could just take out the
> whole city you are living in! Excellent likelihood of success there!
>
And if you did I would do whatever it took to stop you from nuking me and my
fellow citizens. What did Bush do about Binladen?

1498. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 07:33:04 UTC
From: fergo <fergo@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <3MSdnYJFJJbCEFbQnZ2dnUVZ_sydnZ2d@westnet.com.au>
Source record: 229426
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

"Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:7svls61di0kph86v4koma1mfgin53fr1fa@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 12 May 2011 07:08:25 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>"Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:9qfks6d6qp95mv1gfp0u9djmcn82oorafk@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 15:19:33 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"george" <gblack@hnpl.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:343f514c-b97c-44ca-903f-c74fe17be134@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com...
>>>>> On May 11, 7:49 am, "fergo" <fe...@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> He should get a job in the public service, then he'd see there's no
>>>>>> way
>>>>>> the
>>>>>> government could pull off something like 911. It takes them half a
>>>>>> year
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> make a decision. At my work we are talking about July 2012!
>>>>>
>>>>> You could cut that back to "He should get a job" :-)
>>>>> The most powerful man in the world (at the time) couldn't hide the
>>>>> fact that a junior staff member was giving him oral sex and keeping
>>>>> his cigars moist...
>>>>
>>>>Yeah so how could Bush keep something as sophisticated as 911 a secret?
>>>>If
>>>>it really was a conspiracy there would be at least one smoking gun, but
>>>>there's none. Ziltch, zero (well Bush did know Binladen wanted to attack
>>>>but
>>>>I am unclear what he did with that knowledge)
>>>
>>> Knowing that someone wants to attack is far different from knowing
>>> *what*
>>> someone wants to attack, *where*, *when* and with *what*.
>>>
>>> Imagine I said "I'm going to send someone to get you fergo!"
>>>

>>> What would you do? How would you prevent it? Don't forget, I'm living in
>>> luxury
>>> as a guest of the Afghan government, protected from you getting close to
>>> me,
>>> when I say this.
>>>
>>Well nothing
>
>
> CHA CHING! We have a winner.
>
>
>>but if you said you were going to get my country and I was
>>president I would bomb the compound you lived in. I wouldn't give a stuff
>>about international law if I knew my country was in danger.
>
> Ah, but I had no intention of leaving my compound. My operatives where
> already
> living in Germany and the US.
>
> Your bombing of my compound would be as useful as your predecessors
> (Clinton)
> bombing of my compound. It achieved... nothing.
>
I'd still try.

1499. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 07:33:34 UTC
From: fergo <fergo@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <3MSdnb1FJJb9EFbQnZ2dnUVZ_swAAAAA@westnet.com.au>
Source record: 229425
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:iqf074$1uv$6@dont-email.me...
> On 5/11/2011 2:08 PM, fergo wrote:
>
>>> ...
>> Well nothing but if you said you were going to get my country and I was
>> president I would bomb the compound you lived in. I wouldn't give a
>> stuff about international law if I knew my country was in danger.
>>
>>
>
> I have been thinking about that. If you told me you were going to kill
> me, or do me great bodily harm, I would tell you to go ahead, but there
> would be consequences.
>
> If I saw you in my home with a knife, or a gun, those consequences would
> immediately progress ...
>
> What is so different about the concept of protecting oneself as opposed to
> protecting ones' country?
>
Binladen probably thought America would roll over and let him bully them.

1500. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 07:40:11 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <rl3ns6dfingb3tkh98iu28e4bakcrqj4se@4ax.com>
Source record: 229427
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On Thu, 12 May 2011 17:31:16 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:

>
>
>"Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
>news:spvls69h18687qiccpoocu0996tpbenlgr@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 07:07:09 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>"Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:72gks6tqopl1r8gkgrig9ncakorueeeldo@4ax.com...
>>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 16:18:34 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:iqd6sc$d9b$4@dont-email.me...
>>>>>> On 5/10/2011 10:19 PM, fergo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> Yeah so how could Bush keep something as sophisticated as 911 a
>>>>>>> secret?
>>>>>>> If it really was a conspiracy there would be at least one smoking
>>>>>>> gun,
>>>>>>> but there's none. Ziltch, zero (well Bush did know Binladen wanted to
>>>>>>> attack but I am unclear what he did with that knowledge)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Yeah, kinda like that old, "Can't see the forest through the trees!"
>>>>>> thing.
>>>>>>
>>>>>So the smoking gun is beyond the Forrest? Come on even the JFK
>>>>>conspiracy
>>>>>theory was proven right (to an extent) with the death bed confession
>>>>>(and
>>>>>I'm sure govshill will reply to that)
>>>>
>>>> Ok.
>>>>
>>>> What death bed confession?
>>>>
>>>So predictable
```

>>>
>>>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_F._Kennedy_assassination_conspiracy_theories#E._Howar
d_Hunt
>>>A 2007 article published in Rolling Stone magazine revealed deathbed
>>>confessions by Hunt to his son which suggested a conspiracy to kill JFK
>>>orchestrated by Lyndon Johnson, CIA agents Cord Meyer, Bill Harvey and
>>>David
>>>Sï¿½nchez Morales, as well as a "French gunman" who purportedly shot at
>>>Kennedy from the grassy knoll.[89]
>>>
>>>Here's the part where you say the confession isn't real...
>>
>> No. Wrong. Here's the part where I say the "confession" is hearsay.
>>
>Is this hearsay:
>
>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bknUDgKdEJQ

Sorry, I fell asleep listening to that...

Where is the interesting bit?

Shill #2
--
I am not young enough to know everything.
Oscar Wilde (1854 - 1900)

1501. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 07:51:05 UTC
From: fergo <fergo@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <VMidnVqPL7MTDFbQnZ2dnUVZ_uudnZ2d@westnet.com.au>
Source record: 229428
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:rl3ns6dfingb3tkh98iu28e4bakcrqj4se@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 12 May 2011 17:31:16 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>"Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:spvls69h18687qiccpoocu0996tpbenlgr@4ax.com...
>>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 07:07:09 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:72gks6tqopl1r8gkgrig9ncakorueeeldo@4ax.com...
>>>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 16:18:34 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:iqd6sc$d9b$4@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>> On 5/10/2011 10:19 PM, fergo wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> Yeah so how could Bush keep something as sophisticated as 911 a
>>>>>>>> secret?
>>>>>>>> If it really was a conspiracy there would be at least one smoking
>>>>>>>> gun,
>>>>>>>> but there's none. Ziltch, zero (well Bush did know Binladen wanted
>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> attack but I am unclear what he did with that knowledge)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Yeah, kinda like that old, "Can't see the forest through the trees!"
>>>>>>> thing.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>So the smoking gun is beyond the Forrest? Come on even the JFK
>>>>>>conspiracy
>>>>>>theory was proven right (to an extent) with the death bed confession
>>>>>>(and
>>>>>>I'm sure govshill will reply to that)
>>>>>
>>>>> Ok.
```

>>>>>
>>>>> What death bed confession?
>>>>>
>>>>So predictable
>>>>
>>>>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_F._Kennedy_assassination_conspiracy_theories#E._Howa
rd_Hunt
>>>>A 2007 article published in Rolling Stone magazine revealed deathbed
>>>>confessions by Hunt to his son which suggested a conspiracy to kill JFK
>>>>orchestrated by Lyndon Johnson, CIA agents Cord Meyer, Bill Harvey and
>>>>David
>>>>Sï¿½nchez Morales, as well as a "French gunman" who purportedly shot at
>>>>Kennedy from the grassy knoll.[89]
>>>>
>>>>Here's the part where you say the confession isn't real...
>>>
>>> No. Wrong. Here's the part where I say the "confession" is hearsay.
>>>
>>Is this hearsay:
>>
>>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bknUDgKdEJQ
>
> Sorry, I fell asleep listening to that...
>
> Where is the interesting bit?
>
Well he says LBJ wanted to be president and could have waited until JFK
finished his second term but I guess the actual confession is in another
tape.

1502. cheap wholesale Oakley sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 07:58:28 UTC
From: wholesale watches <huhai103@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <b9228ba7-d99d-4e0e-84a1-0084fdba1985@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229429

(www.nike-black.com) cheap wholesale brand sunglasses.discount
price,
Burberry sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
Prada sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
Carrera sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
Rayban sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
Chanel sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
RC sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
COACH sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
VERSACE sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
D&G sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
DIESEL sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
Dior sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
FENDI sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
ED hardy sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
Oakley sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
Gucci sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
ADIDAS sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
LV sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
Armani sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
POLICE sunglasses (www.nike-black.com)
Website: http://www.nike-black.com
http://nikesoso.51.com

```
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com > Burberry sunglasses </a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >Prada sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >Carrera sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >Rayban sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >Chanel sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >RC sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >COACH sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >VERSACE sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >D&G sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >DIESEL sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >Dior sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >FENDI sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >ED hardy sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >Oakley sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >Gucci sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >ADIDAS sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >LV sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >Armani sunglasses</a>
<a href= http://www.nike-black.com >POLICE sunglasses</a>
Website: http://www.nike-black.com
```

1503. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 09:07:52 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <88c331a9-9a34-42a7-9a96-9a94a055e1f9@a26g2000vbo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229430
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 11, 10:24 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 7:19 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 11, 12:10 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >> In article<iqcqtk$ks...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
> >>> On 5/10/2011 3:56 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >>>> On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout"<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> >>>> wrote:
> >>>>> "joeturn"<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> >>>>>news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>
> >>>>> On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> >>>>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>> ...
> >>>>>>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> >>>>>>>> were
> >>>>>>>> in perfect working order.
> >>>>>>>> You retard.
>
> >>>>>>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> >>>>>>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> >>>>>>>> saw
> >>>>>>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> >>>>>>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> >>>>>>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
> >>>>>>> Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> >>>>>>> nano thermite residue.
>
> >>>>>> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> >>>>>> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>
> >>>>>> --

> >>>>>>> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> >>>>>>> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>
> >>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>
> >>>>>> but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> >>>>>> a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> >>>>> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> >>>>> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> >>>>> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>
> >>>>> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> >>>>> thermite.
>
> >>>>> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >>>> I'm beging tho think your nothing but a grunt/punkin the one that
> >>>> sweeps the
> >>>> floor and have no knowlege what so ever of controlled demolition
> >>>> other
> >>>> than what NIST told you. Detonaters to set off the cutter charges of
> >>>> theremate are not loud at all they depend on their ability to ignite
> >>>> not there explosive noise making abilityquality.
>
> >>>> An expert in cutting torches. knows about NAP gas
>
> >>> NO!
>
> >>> But, they will know about MAP Gas!
>
> >>> If you wish to even fool the children as to your being "professional",
> >>> get the name of the gas right!
>
> >>> Regards,
> >>> JS
>
> >> What profession could Joe convince anyone he was a member of? Other than
> >> professional loon, of course.
>
> >> --
> >> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > I have a roofers card
>
> I got a RACE CARD!!!
>
> Trouble is, I am caucasian (well, heinz 57, but when I claim negro on a

> form, people laugh) ... ever seen a race card work for a caucasian? IT
> DON'T!
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

<L;-)

1504. free shipping HANDBAG(LV,GUCCI,CHANEL,PRADA,COACH,FENDI,CHLOE,BUBERRY,JUICY AND SO ON)www dot 51-wholesale dot com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 09:20:59 UTC
From: "wholesale brand shoes clothes handbag watch by paypal(www.brandshoes08.com)" <fengkai08@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <46b4190a-3c70-4c5c-9450-c0721148c41d@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229431
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.disc,rec.radio.shortwave

Handbags

BOSS Handbag (www dot 51-wholesale dot com) - <free shipping >
Burberry Handbags (www dot 51-wholesale dot com) - <free shipping >
Chanel Handbags (www dot 51-wholesale dot com) - <free shipping >
Chloe Handbags Discount Wholesale <free shipping >
Christian Audigier Handbags Discount Wholesale <free shipping >
Coach Handbags (www dot 51-wholesale dot com) - <free shipping >
D&G Handbags Discount Wholesale <free shipping >
Dooney&Bourke Handbags (www dot 51-wholesale dot com) - <free
shipping >
ED Hardy Handbags Discount Wholesale <free shipping >
Fendi Handbags Discount Wholesale <free shipping >
Gucci Handbags (www dot 51-wholesale dot com) - <free shipping >
Hermas Handbags Discount Wholesale <free shipping >
JIMMY CHOO Handbags
Juicy Handbags (www dot 51-wholesale dot com) - <free shipping >
LV Handbags Discount Wholesale <free shipping >
Miu Miu Handbags (www dot 51-wholesale dot com) - <free shipping >
Prada Handbags Discount Wholesale <free shipping >
Versace Handbags Discount Wholesale <free shipping >
Tous Handbag (www dot 51-wholesale dot com) - <free shipping >

Handbags
AAA True Leather Discount Wholesale <free shipping >
Burberry Handbags wholesaler
Balenciaga Handbags wholesaler (www dot 51-wholesale dot com) -
(paypal
payment)
Balenciaga Purse wholesaler Discount Wholesale <free shipping >
Bally Purse wholesaler
BOSS Purse wholesaler (www dot 51-wholesale dot com) - (paypal
payment)
Chanel Handbags wholesaler
Chanel Purse wholesaler
Chloe Handbags wholesaler (www dot 51-wholesale dot com) - (paypal
payment)
Chloe Purse wholesaler
Coach Handbags wholesaler (www dot 51-wholesale dot com) - (paypal
payment)

1505. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 09:26:29 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41bc6f8b-ddc8-4e31-acd9-3211e5132cd6@u15g2000vby.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229432
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 11, 11:20 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote in message
>
> news:iqfglf$345$4@dont-email.me...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/11/2011 7:24 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >>> ...
> >>> Hell, I wish he could just provide conclusive irrefutable PROOF of a
> >>> single
> >>> specific detail that is clearly contrary to both the official
> >>> conclusions
> >>> and reasonable natural events that could have resulted from the airliner
> >>> impact.
>
> >>> Since he has been unable to do so even in a single particular, then it
> >>> doesn't matter how much he puts out there, the proof of his claims are
> >>> clearly inadequate to support those assertions.
>
> >> Bi golly you are a self proclaimed genious!
>
> > But, the only real proof he has offered, his posts and text, demonstrate a
> > moron ... as always, I will go with the tangible!
>
> You're free to try fulfilling my challenge there John. Joe tried and failed
> miserably, so much so I don't even bother to read him anymore.
>
> So here you go John, if you care to step up to the plate and take a swing.
>
> Present your best proof for a single specific detail which you feel
> conclusively proves that both the official findings are wrong and which can
> not be reasonably explained or expected as a the natural results of the
> impact, fire, or other damage known to have occurred.
>
> Certainly with everything you have there is some specific piece detail that
> you can conclusive prove supports your claims and can't possibly be
> explained by anything else normal or natural to known events.
>

> Please note: I expect exact specifics backed by irrefutable proof. I am not
> going to search around looking for something you claim might be there
> somewhere, but rather I expect you to present me with a complete, concise
> and direct set of facts and proofs. Just as I would get in a set of
> engineering calculations, mathematical proofs, or scientific reports.- Hide quoted text
-
>
> - Show quoted text -

You dont bother because I cleaned your clock and all you could do is
grade me on grammer.Who dunnit was the issue and you have felled to
understand why you swallowed the Goverment story.You have been
decieved by your Sungods and your brain is simply mush.You fall into
the catogory of followers because you cant lead.This is why your
superiors can tell you anything and you gobble it up as if it were
truth.It is your destiny to be led to slaughter by the sunworshippers!

The CIA Monark Project has turned you into a yes man instead of Hu man

1506. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 09:31:58 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b5de9e7c-4297-45ba-8769-44aff4a58732@f11g2000vbx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229433
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 11, 10:24 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 7:19 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 11, 12:10 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >> In article<iqcqtk$ks...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>
> >>> On 5/10/2011 3:56 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >>>> On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout"<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> >>>> wrote:
> >>>>> "joeturn"<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> >>>>>news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>
> >>>>> On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> >>>>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>> ...
> >>>>>>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> >>>>>>>> were
> >>>>>>>> in perfect working order.
> >>>>>>>> You retard.
>
> >>>>>>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> >>>>>>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> >>>>>>>> saw
> >>>>>>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>
> >>>>>>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> >>>>>>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>
> >>>>>>> Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> >>>>>>> nano thermite residue.
>
> >>>>>> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> >>>>>> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>
> >>>>>> --

> >>>>>>> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> >>>>>>> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>
> >>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>
> >>>>>> but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> >>>>>> a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>
> >>>>> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> >>>>> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> >>>>> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>
> >>>>> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> >>>>> thermite.
>
> >>>>> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >>>> I'm beging tho think your nothing but a grunt/punkin the one that
> >>>> sweeps the
> >>>> floor and have no knowlege what so ever of controlled demolition
> >>>> other
> >>>> than what NIST told you. Detonaters to set off the cutter charges of
> >>>> theremate are not loud at all they depend on their ability to ignite
> >>>> not there explosive noise making abilityquality.
>
> >>>> An expert in cutting torches. knows about NAP gas
>
> >>> NO!
>
> >>> But, they will know about MAP Gas!
>
> >>> If you wish to even fool the children as to your being "professional",
> >>> get the name of the gas right!
>
> >>> Regards,
> >>> JS
>
> >> What profession could Joe convince anyone he was a member of? Other than
> >> professional loon, of course.
>
> >> --
> >> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > I have a roofers card
>
> I got a RACE CARD!!!
>
> Trouble is, I am caucasian (well, heinz 57, but when I claim negro on a

> form, people laugh) ... ever seen a race card work for a caucasian? IT
> DON'T!
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

You are no better white than you are black being envious because you are now a minority gives you no reason to wish your color away.Note black is not a color!

1507. helping to others is a nice habit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 09:33:46 UTC
From: shoba kasthuri <shobadevi75@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <d60d9e06-4580-4e8d-a53b-23fc9fb7e7fa@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229434

shoba.1915@rediffmail.com

1508. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 09:45:13 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <40226a20-2f52-4343-a264-9e0e522de7ed@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229435
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 11, 10:29 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/11/2011 7:24 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >> ...
> >> Hell, I wish he could just provide conclusive irrefutable PROOF of a single
> >> specific detail that is clearly contrary to both the official conclusions
> >> and reasonable natural events that could have resulted from the airliner
> >> impact.
>
> >> Since he has been unable to do so even in a single particular, then it
> >> doesn't matter how much he puts out there, the proof of his claims are
> >> clearly inadequate to support those assertions.
>
> > Bi golly you are a self proclaimed genious!
>
> But, the only real proof he has offered, his posts and text, demonstrate
> a moron ... as always, I will go with the tangible!
>
> Regards,
> JS

The tangible caucasian

The race card was developed to determine what stran of Nigeria you
came from.The albinos were cast out of society and took up residency
in the Cauccus Mountain range they were treated like leppers because
they were soo odd looking!

1509. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 09:49:13 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <g7edncUb7euAMFbQnZ2dnVY3goqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229436

wrote in message
news:475dd398-383e-40c5-8e54-051513502c35@p6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com...

So which is the original ? ? ?

None of the above. The original was written in either Greek or Aramaic.

1510. hai see me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 10:15:05 UTC
From: "M.VENI NIRMALA" <mveni.nirmala@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <960119cd-d4c9-438f-b7ce-b0b22fdf52a6@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229437

veni.497@rediffmail.com

1511. hai

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 10:15:35 UTC
From: "M.VENI NIRMALA" <mveni.nirmala@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <a30d1a6f-7306-43c5-aea0-60c4ffa5dfec@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229438

veni.497@rediffmail.com

1512. THE RADIOS IS THE IMPORTANT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 10:26:31 UTC
From: shyamala <shyamala.sharmila@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <46535949-0b2b-4a41-97e8-1c31b4b05d04@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229439

http://123maza.com/65/born503/

1513. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 10:44:06 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105121044.UTC.iqgdlndkr1@tioat.net>
Source record: 229440

On 5/12/2011 5:49 AM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
> wrote in message
> news:475dd398-383e-40c5-8e54-051513502c35@p6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
> So which is the original ? ? ?
>
>
> ----------
>
> ----------
>
>
> None of the above. The original was written in either Greek or Aramaic.

Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except in
the imaginations of the authors.

It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"

With every good wish,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

1514. EiBi Skeds Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 11:05:01 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCBBEDD.928962F0@milestones.com>
Source record: 229441

The EiBi skeds have been updated as of 11 Mayl:

http://www.eibispace.de/dx/bc-a11.txt

http://www.eibispace.de/

dxAce
Michigan
USA

Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
70' and 200' wires
GE SR I (2) and II

239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the NASWA Country List.

And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of Technology & Design (Backwater U.).

They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.

1515. Re: EiBi Skeds Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 11:05:56 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCBBF14.BE8AEE07@milestones.com>
Source record: 229442

Correction: 12 May

dxAce wrote:

> The EiBi skeds have been updated as of 11 Mayl:
>
> http://www.eibispace.de/dx/bc-a11.txt
>
> http://www.eibispace.de/
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
> Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
> 70' and 200' wires
> GE SR I (2) and II
>
> 239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the
> NASWA Country List.
>
> And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of
> Technology & Design (Backwater U.).
>
> They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about
> being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.

1516. BDXC - Africa on Shortwave Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 11:15:22 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCBC14A.63726286@milestones.com>
Source record: 229443

The BDXC Africa on Shortwave page (along with other pages) has been updated for May:

http://www.bdxc.org.uk/

Click on Articles Index Page.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
70' and 200' wires
GE SR I (2) and II

239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the NASWA Country List.

And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of Technology & Design (Backwater U.).

They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.

1517. (OT) Former Nazi Guard Convicted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 11:41:15 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCBC75B.572371FB@milestones.com>
Source record: 229444

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/world/demjanjuk_declines_chance_to_make_final_statement_to_german_trial_ahead_of_verdict/2011/05/12/AFPzY6wG_story.html?wprss=rss_homepage>

How many more of these folks might still be out there? Very few I suspect.

One does wonder about the evidence presented.

1518. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 11:55:52 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qlins6p7o3lt7gkhbn7u85sss7iqu8t2tn@4ax.com>
Source record: 229445
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 12 May 2011 17:51:05 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:

>
>
>"Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
>news:rl3ns6dfingb3tkh98iu28e4bakcrqj4se@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 17:31:16 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>"Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:spvls69h18687qiccpoocu0996tpbenlgr@4ax.com...
>>>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 07:07:09 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"Government Shill #2" <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:72gks6tqopl1r8gkgrig9ncakorueeeldo@4ax.com...
>>>>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 16:18:34 +1000, "fergo" <fergo@iinet.net.au>
>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>news:iqd6sc$d9b$4@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>> On 5/10/2011 10:19 PM, fergo wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> Yeah so how could Bush keep something as sophisticated as 911 a
>>>>>>>> secret?
>>>>>>>> If it really was a conspiracy there would be at least one smoking
>>>>>>>> gun,
>>>>>>>> but there's none. Ziltch, zero (well Bush did know Binladen wanted
>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> attack but I am unclear what he did with that knowledge)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Yeah, kinda like that old, "Can't see the forest through the trees!"
>>>>>>> thing.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>So the smoking gun is beyond the Forrest? Come on even the JFK
>>>>>>>conspiracy
>>>>>>>theory was proven right (to an extent) with the death bed confession

>>>>>>>(and
>>>>>>>I'm sure govshill will reply to that)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Ok.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What death bed confession?
>>>>>>
>>>>>So predictable
>>>>>
>>>>>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_F._Kennedy_assassination_conspiracy_theories#E._Howard_Hunt
>>>>>A 2007 article published in Rolling Stone magazine revealed deathbed
>>>>>confessions by Hunt to his son which suggested a conspiracy to kill JFK
>>>>>orchestrated by Lyndon Johnson, CIA agents Cord Meyer, Bill Harvey and
>>>>>David
>>>>>Sï¿½nchez Morales, as well as a "French gunman" who purportedly shot at
>>>>>Kennedy from the grassy knoll.[89]
>>>>>
>>>>>Here's the part where you say the confession isn't real...
>>>>
>>>> No. Wrong. Here's the part where I say the "confession" is hearsay.
>>>>
>>>Is this hearsay:
>>>
>>>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bknUDgKdEJQ
>>
>> Sorry, I fell asleep listening to that...
>>
>> Where is the interesting bit?
>>
>Well he says LBJ wanted to be president and could have waited until JFK
>finished his second term but I guess the actual confession is in another
>tape.

Uh huh...

Shill #2
--
I am not young enough to know everything.
Oscar Wilde (1854 - 1900)

1519. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 12:09:11 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcbcd96$0$12501$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229446

On 05/11/2011 06:18 PM, RHF wrote:

> What Do Those 'Other' 499K Years Show : Lots of
> 'Climate Change' and in-fact Greater 'Climate Change's
> "Pre" Man's Industrial Age.
> * Real Hot Spells {not just Warming; but "Hot"}
> * Real Cold Spells {not just Cool; but "Ice Ages"}
> and... All Without Man-Kind.
> -oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' fact

The PPM for CO2 has never been above 290 until we started pumping coal smoke into the air. It's been higher in the distant past, but never this high with humans around.

http://artofteachingscience.org/images/arton2481.jpg

1520. Re: The Sun is in a quiet period

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 12:10:01 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcbcdc7$0$12501$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229447

```
On 05/11/2011 06:29 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 11, 8:48 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> - http://www.solarham.com/pictures/spots2.jpg
>
> and... The Earth's Gonna Do What The Earth's Gonna Do !
> -so-adapt- -so-adapt- -so-adapt- -so-adapt- -so-adapt-
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/810fd53dc8724c1b
> .
> Yep ! the Critical 'Climate Change' Factor # 1 = THE SUN
> -translation- The Sun's Gonna Do What The Sun's Gonna Do !
> -so-don't-get-in-the-way-adapt-!- -so-don't-get-in-the-way-adapt-!-
> .
> CME : Coronal Mass Ejection {by the Sun}
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coronal_mass_ejection
> .
> .
>

but temperatures continue to climb
```

1521. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 12:11:11 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcbce0d$0$12501$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229448

On 05/12/2011 02:49 AM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
> wrote in message
> news:475dd398-383e-40c5-8e54-051513502c35@p6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> So which is the original ? ? ?
>
>
> ---
>
> ---
>
>
> None of the above. The original was written in either Greek or Aramaic.

By people who ate mushrooms and liked to fuck with other people

1522. Re: (OT) Former Nazi Guard Convicted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 12:11:50 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcbce34$0$12501$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229449

On 05/12/2011 04:41 AM, dxAce wrote:
> <http://www.washingtonpost.com/world/demjanjuk_declines_chance_to_make_final_statement_to_german_trial_ahead_of_verdict/2011/05/12/AFPzY6wG_story.html?wprss=rss_homepage>
>
> How many more of these folks might still be out there? Very few I suspect.
>
> One does wonder about the evidence presented.
>

Lave it to Steve to defend the Nazi mass murderer.

1523. Re: (OT) Former Nazi Guard Convicted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 12:11:59 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCBCE8F.F7203398@milestones.com>
Source record: 229450

dave wrote:

> On 05/12/2011 04:41 AM, dxAce wrote:
> > <http://www.washingtonpost.com/world/demjanjuk_declines_chance_to_make_final_statement_to_german_trial_ahead_of_verdict/2011/05/12/AFPzY6wG_story.html?wprss=rss_homepage>
> >
> > How many more of these folks might still be out there? Very few I suspect.
> >
> > One does wonder about the evidence presented.
> >
>
> Lave it to Steve to defend the Nazi mass murderer.

-Lave- it to Rickmers to be a total clown 'tard!

1524. EARN 5000 DOLLARS PER DAY - WITHOUT INVESTMENT - CLICK HERE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 12:20:29 UTC
From: maha <vithyamaha6@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <fcf0dd1c-3545-4404-8aa3-fe0cbe96df1b@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229451

http://earnforexnow.blogspot.com/

http://earnforexnow.blogspot.com/

http://earnforexnow.blogspot.com/

http://earnforexnow.blogspot.com/

http://earnforexnow.blogspot.com/

1525. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 12:35:51 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iqgk77$1to$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229452
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/12/2011 3:32 AM, fergo wrote:

> What did Bush do about Binladen?

What Bush did?

Bush SHUT DOWN the CIA's bin Laden Task Force in '04 or '05. (This may help explain why UBL was never caught on Bush's watch).

And for shutting down the UBL task force, the kooktards want to give Bush credit? BLAME would be much more appropriate.

1526. Paki Girl Exposing In Public & Saying in Urdu! Fuck Me When U Come Home But Not Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 13:28:57 UTC
From: sanghavi <usgallery02@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0445a285-8d06-4a13-9b73-e887f7d27a1a@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229453

Paki Girl Exposing In Public & Saying in Urdu! Fuck Me When U Come
Home But Not Here
At http://bathinggirls.Co.CC

Due to GOOGLE security risks, i have hidden the videos(15) in an
image.
in that website on Right side below search box click on image
and watch videos in all angles.

1527. Re: (OT) At least I have an excuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 14:32:23 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcbef26$0$12496$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229454

On 05/12/2011 05:11 AM, dxAce wrote:
>
>
> dave wrote:
>
>> On 05/12/2011 04:41 AM, dxAce wrote:
>>> <http://www.washingtonpost.com/world/demjanjuk_declines_chance_to_make_final_statement_to_german_trial_ahead_of_verdict/2011/05/12/AFPzY6wG_story.html?wprss=rss_homepage>
>>>
>>> How many more of these folks might still be out there? Very few I suspect.
>>>
>>> One does wonder about the evidence presented.
>>>
>>
>> Lave it to Steve to defend the Nazi mass murderer.
>
> -Lave- it to Rickmers to be a total clown 'tard!
>
>
At least I have an excuse.

1528. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 14:53:39 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DCBF473-466@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229455

Made up,,,, such as the birth certificate and the Bin Laden Hoax.
cuhulin

1529. Re: A MUST READ!: The Prosecution of George W. Bush for Murder -- ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 15:01:27 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26134-4DCBF647-122@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229457

I forget how much time Paris Hilton got in the slammer, and Martha 'It's a Good thing' Stewart too.

I guess it is a sigh of the times when politicians can steal from the people and an honest crook can't! ~ Lee Marvin - Emperor of the North movie.
cuhulin

1530. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 15:12:33 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqgtd2$l83$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229456
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/12/2011 2:31 AM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 11, 10:24 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/11/2011 7:19 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 11, 12:10 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>> In article<iqcqtk$ks...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
>>
>>>>> On 5/10/2011 3:56 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>>>>> On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout"<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>> "joeturn"<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>>>>>>> news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>>>>>> On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
>>>>>>>>>>> were
>>>>>>>>>>> in perfect working order.
>>>>>>>>>>> You retard.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
>>>>>>>>>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
>>>>>>>>>>> saw
>>>>>>>>>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
>>>>>>>>>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
>>
>>>>>>>>>> Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
>>>>>>>>>> nano thermite residue.
>>
>>>>>>>>> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
>>>>>>>>> common metals used in high-rise construction.
>>
```

>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
>>>>>>>>> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>>>>>>> but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
>>>>>>>> a tale tell sign of thermate use.
>>
>>>>>>> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
>>>>>>> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
>>>>>>> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
>>
>>>>>>> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
>>>>>>> thermite.
>>
>>>>>>> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>>>>> I'm beging tho think your nothing but a grunt/punkin the one that
>>>>>> sweeps the
>>>>>> floor and have no knowlege what so ever of controlled demolition
>>>>>> other
>>>>>> than what NIST told you. Detonaters to set off the cutter charges of
>>>>>> theremate are not loud at all they depend on their ability to ignite
>>>>>> not there explosive noise making abilityquality.
>>
>>>>>> An expert in cutting torches. knows about NAP gas
>>
>>>>> NO!
>>
>>>>> But, they will know about MAP Gas!
>>
>>>>> If you wish to even fool the children as to your being "professional",
>>>>> get the name of the gas right!
>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>
>>>> What profession could Joe convince anyone he was a member of? Other than
>>>> professional loon, of course.
>>
>>>> --
>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>> I have a roofers card
>>
>> I got a RACE CARD!!!
>>

>> Trouble is, I am caucasian (well, heinz 57, but when I claim negro on a
>> form, people laugh) ... ever seen a race card work for a caucasian? IT
>> DON'T!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> You are no better white than you are black being envious because you
> are now a minority gives you no reason to wish your color away.Note
> black is not a color!

Then the law preventing actors from appearing in blackface is just a
figment of my imagination?

Regards,
JS

1531. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 15:17:13 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqgtlr$l83$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229458
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/12/2011 2:41 AM, joeturn wrote:

>> ...
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> caucasian
>
> The race card was developed to determine what stran of Nigeria you
> came from.The albinos were cast out of society and took up residency
> in the Cauccus Mountain range they were treated like leppers because
> they were so odd looking!

ROFLOL!

Yes, but what about the damn caucasians who stood out, and were even
considered "odd looking" by their fellow caucasians?

I mean, I am looking for a place to send a few of these white creeps
which live near me!

And, these republicrats and democans, what can we do with them? Be nice
to clean up this neighborhood! :-)

Regards,
JS

1532. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 15:26:01 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqgu6a$l83$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229459

On 5/12/2011 2:49 AM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
> wrote in message
> news:475dd398-383e-40c5-8e54-051513502c35@p6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> So which is the original ? ? ?
>
>
> ---
>
> ---
>
>
> None of the above. The original was written in either Greek or Aramaic.

As near as I can tell, one which is getting close to the "original"
would be the dead sea scrolls.

http://www.centuryone.com/25dssfacts.html

"10. The Scrolls are for the most part, written in Hebrew, but there are
many written in Aramaic. Aramaic was the common language of the Jews of
Palestine for the last two centuries B.C. and of the first two centuries
A.D. The discovery of the Scrolls has greatly enhanced our knowledge of
these two languages. In addition, there are a few texts written in Greek. "

Regards,
JS

1533. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 16:08:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqh0m5uro1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:

> ...
> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except in the
> imaginations of the authors.
>
> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>
>
> With every good wish,
>
>
> Kevin, WB4AIO.

I would say you make the exact mistake which the narrow minded make on any given subject.

You think yourself to know, things which you cannot possibly know, yet, with the present state of knowledge.

Any race which would visit us and suggest they where "GODS", and were but a few thousand years ahead of us, 5,000 - 10,000, would be entirely able to convince us they were "GODS" -- their technology would simply allow them to do all the things which "GODS" do.

I see the possibility that where it would be possible for a race to be a billion years ahead of us, give or take.

And, the inception, the creation, the very first living organism, even if single celled, requires so many factors, and so many extremely complex design considerations, to have all come together all within a very time critical period, and in such an exactly defined technical arrangement and order, as too virtually, at least in our present state of understanding, be strongly suggestive that some form of higher intelligence was involved in our design and creation.

And, if I am correct in my analysis, Einstein was an agnostic -- he simply knew he didn't know enough, yet ...

Regards,
JS

1534. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 16:15:03 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqh127uro2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229461

On 5/12/2011 5:09 AM, dave wrote:
> On 05/11/2011 06:18 PM, RHF wrote:
>
>> What Do Those 'Other' 499K Years Show : Lots of
>> 'Climate Change' and in-fact Greater 'Climate Change's
>> "Pre" Man's Industrial Age.
>> * Real Hot Spells {not just Warming; but "Hot"}
>> * Real Cold Spells {not just Cool; but "Ice Ages"}
>> and... All Without Man-Kind.
>> -oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' fact
>
> The PPM for CO2 has never been above 290 until we started pumping coal
> smoke into the air. It's been higher in the distant past, but never this
> high with humans around.
>
> http://artofteachingscience.org/images/arton2481.jpg

Where did you get that information at?

http://www.ff.org/centers/csspp/library/co2weekly/2005-08-18/dioxide.htm

The spreading of false data is not a good thing ...

Regards,
JS

1535. Re: The Sun is in a quiet period

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 16:22:14 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqh1fmuro3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229462

On 5/12/2011 5:10 AM, dave wrote:

>> ...
>
> but temperatures continue to climb

There is much high-strangness (to quote Linda Moulton Howe) about the
sun, and involving such amazing things as atomic clocks! Of all things ...

http://blogs.forbes.com/alexknapp/2011/05/03/radioactive-decay-rates-may-not-be-constant-after-all/

Regards,
JS

1536. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 16:26:53 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2835d457c8f55b7898a1cb@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229463
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
In article <dd838ece-f862-4032-b0c4-a5dde93721b9
@o7g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 11, 12:10ï¿œam, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > In article <iqcqtk$ks...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On 5/10/2011 3:56 PM, joeturn wrote:
> > > > On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout"<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> > > > wrote:
> > > >> "joeturn"<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> ï¿œwrote in message
> >
> > > >>news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > > >>> On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> > > >>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> ï¿œwrote:
> >
> > > >>>>> On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
> >
> > > >>>>>> ...
> > > >>>>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> > > >>>>>> were
> > > >>>>>> in perfect working order.
> > > >>>>>> You retard.
> >
> > > >>>>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> > > >>>>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> > > >>>>>> saw
> > > >>>>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
> >
> > > >>>>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> > > >>>>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
> >
> > > >>>>> Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> > > >>>>> nano thermite residue.
> >
> > > >>>> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. ï¿œTHE most
> > > >>>> common metals used in high-rise construction.
```

> >
> > > >>>> --
> > > >>>> Ray Fischer ï¿œ ï¿œ ï¿œ ï¿œ | ï¿œMendacracy (n.) government by lying
> > > >>>> rfisc...@sonic.net ï¿œ| ï¿œThe new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > >>>> - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > >>> but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> > > >>> a tale tell sign of thermate use.
> >
> > > >> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> > > >> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> > > >> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
> >
> > > >> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> > > >> thermite.
> >
> > > >> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > I'm beging tho think your nothing but a grunt/punkin the one that
> > > > sweeps the
> > > > floor and have no knowlege what so ever of controlled demolition
> > > > other
> > > > than what NIST told you. Detonaters to set off the cutter charges of
> > > > theremate are not loud at all they depend on their ability to ignite
> > > > not there explosive noise making abilityquality.
> >
> > > > An expert in cutting torches. knows about NAP gas
> >
> > > NO!
> >
> > > But, they will know about MAP Gas!
> >
> > > If you wish to even fool the children as to your being "professional",
> > > get the name of the gas right!
> >
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
> >
> > What profession could Joe convince anyone he was a member of? Other than
> > professional loon, of course.
> >
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> I have a roofers card

So you fell off and landed on your head when exactly?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1537. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 16:30:14 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2835d5251735af298a1cc@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229464
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
In article <iqfgcb$345$2@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 5/11/2011 7:19 PM, joeturn wrote:
> > On May 11, 12:10 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >> In article<iqcqtk$ks...@dont-email.me>, bit_buc...@gmx.com says...
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>> On 5/10/2011 3:56 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >>>> On May 9, 7:15 pm, "Scout"<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
> >>>> wrote:
> >>>>> "joeturn"<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >>
> >>>>> news:512027f6-d832-4efc-bad5-2848ee12f9ea@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>>>>> On May 7, 3:57 pm, rfisc...@sonic.net (Ray Fischer) wrote:
> >>>>>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 11:14 AM, Jah Wobble wrote:
> >>
> >>>>>>>>> ...
> >>>>>>>>> Right.. and NOTHING in the building was flamable and the sprinklers
> >>>>>>>>> were
> >>>>>>>>> in perfect working order.
> >>>>>>>>> You retard.
> >>
> >>>>>>>>> I wonder who placed the demolitions in the building so precise and so
> >>>>>>>>> undercover, that the THOUSANDS of people that work there 24/7, never
> >>>>>>>>> saw
> >>>>>>>>> anything going on. Any idear, you retard?
> >>
> >>>>>>>>> Burnt off in 15 minutes!
> >>>>>>>>> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAA!
> >>
> >>>>>>>> Normal high-rise fires containing all that NEVER melt iron nor leave
> >>>>>>>> nano thermite residue.
> >>
> >>>>>>> The residues of thermite are iron and aluminum oxide. THE most
> >>>>>>> common metals used in high-rise construction.
```

> >>
> >>>>>>> --
> >>>>>>> Ray Fischer | Mendacracy (n.) government by lying
> >>>>>>> rfisc...@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal- Hide quoted text -
> >>
> >>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
> >>
> >>>>>> but if they have been combined with barrium they form sphears which is
> >>>>>> a tale tell sign of thermate use.
> >>
> >>>>> Really? I've got all sorts of metal slag spheres around our burning table,
> >>>>> and oddly enough not only do we not use thermite, there is not barrium used
> >>>>> in the cutting process. Just good old natural gas and oxygen.
> >>
> >>>>> So please support your claim that spheres automatically mean barrium and
> >>>>> thermite.
> >>
> >>>>> Oh, that's right, you don't do proof for your assertions.- Hide quoted text -
> >>
> >>>> I'm beging tho think your nothing but a grunt/punkin the one that
> >>>> sweeps the
> >>>> floor and have no knowlege what so ever of controlled demolition
> >>>> other
> >>>> than what NIST told you. Detonaters to set off the cutter charges of
> >>>> theremate are not loud at all they depend on their ability to ignite
> >>>> not there explosive noise making abilityquality.
> >>
> >>>> An expert in cutting torches. knows about NAP gas
> >>
> >>> NO!
> >>
> >>> But, they will know about MAP Gas!
> >>
> >>> If you wish to even fool the children as to your being "professional",
> >>> get the name of the gas right!
> >>
> >>> Regards,
> >>> JS
> >>
> >> What profession could Joe convince anyone he was a member of? Other than
> >> professional loon, of course.
> >>
> >> --
> >> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> >>
> >> - Show quoted text -
> >
> > I have a roofers card
>
> I got a RACE CARD!!!

>
> Trouble is, I am caucasian (well, heinz 57, but when I claim negro on a
> form, people laugh) ... ever seen a race card work for a caucasian? IT
> DON'T!
>
> Regards,
> JS

You went with the wrong card. You can't play the race card, but you're a lock to play the mentally ill card. No problem there. You can also go with the "kook" card, claiming to just be "eccentric", but that only works out if you have a lot of money.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1538. Re: Proof Please, not Propaganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 16:44:13 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqh2ot$5eo$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229465
Cross-posted to: sci.environment,sci.skeptic,sci.geo.meteorology,alt.energy.renewable,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/12/2011 1:20 AM, Benj wrote:

> ...
> Where did you EVER get the idea that AGW was a "political" topic and
> not science? :-)
>
>

http://www.ff.org/centers/csspp/library/co2weekly/2005-08-18/dioxide.htm

http://blogs.forbes.com/alexknapp/2011/05/03/radioactive-decay-rates-may-not-be-constant-after-all/

Mark me down as a nut who believes this just to be another government scam, which is designed and implemented by our lovely little criminal public servants.

Regards,
JS

1539. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 16:46:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqh2tf$5ep$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229466
Cross-posted to: rec.martial-arts,talk.politics.misc,alt.conspiracy,alt.religion.islam.arabic,soc.culture.usa,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/12/2011 5:32 AM, Herbert Cannon wrote:

>> ...
>> Yes, well, it is about obama, his fraudulent birth certificate, the
>> dumping of the evidence/body of osama, bush and 9/11, the inside job,
>> molten iron, etc., etc.
>
> You are a molten moron.
>>
>> You place far too much importance on yourself and individuals. Now,
>> take your meds and attempt to refocus your attention on the subjects
>> under discussion ...
>>
> You are a perfect example of insanity coupled with vast stupidity.
>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>

I must agree, one of us is, but from what you are stating, from what
your are presenting, from the experts, facts, data, proofs, etc. which
you have posted -- I firmly believe it to be you.

Regards,
JS

1540. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 16:49:05 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqh321$5ep$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229467
Cross-posted to: rec.martial-arts,talk.politics.misc,alt.conspiracy,alt.religion.islam.arabic,soc.culture.usa,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/12/2011 6:17 AM, Daniel wrote:

> ...
> there you go with the "take ur meds" put downs, u just can't help it,
> can u?. we already established that it's none of ur business...so just
> mind ur own.
>
> Daniel

Seldom would I recommend it, but, in your particular case, medical marijuana might be of help -- I mean, it certainly couldn't hurt!

Regards,
JS

1541. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 16:50:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqh356$5ep$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229468
Cross-posted to: rec.martial-arts,talk.politics.misc,alt.conspiracy,alt.religion.islam.arabic,soc.culture.usa,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/12/2011 5:30 AM, Herbert Cannon wrote:

>> ...
>>> More psychobabble from a looney tune.
>>
>> ROFLOL!
>>
>> No wonder your text exemplifies such deep seated problems ... you can't
>> differentiate direct, simple statements from psychobabble!
>
> Unfortunately for you is easy to do that, all I have to do is read one
> of your inane posts.
>>
>> My gawd man, this places you at a severe disadvantage in newsgroups,
>> indeed, in life itself!
>>
> Lots of luck, at your psychiatrist's office.
>

Yes, well, that personal attack has NOT made any magical data, evidence
or proof appear to support your insane claims and statements ... can you
get a grip long enough, on reality, to realize that?

Regards,
JS

1542. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 16:54:16 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqh3bo$5ep$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229469
Cross-posted to: rec.martial-arts,talk.politics.misc,alt.conspiracy,alt.religion.islam.arabic,soc.culture.usa,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/12/2011 5:28 AM, Herbert Cannon wrote:
> On May 11, 11:12 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/11/2011 8:58 PM, Herbert Cannon wrote:
>>
>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>>>>> NO. i reserve that when i am talking to imbecilics like you.
>>
>>>>>> Daniel
>>
>>>>> Ignore him, it is as valid as any of your other methods have been, to
>>>>> date ... what makes cussing so special?
>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>
>>> Oh my the Chomsky man. I suppose you also think it was a " political
>>> assassination of an unarmed victim." Take off your rose colored
>>> glasses and remove your head from the chopping block.
>>
>> http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-south-asia-13329078
>>
>> http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/al-qaeda/8493391/Osama-bin-...
>>
>> You seem to think I am saying something, have you been asleep, drugs
>> and/or alcohol, or are you delusional?
>>
>> I am not in the video, nor in the text, nor am I even quoted in the
>> materials ...
>>
>> Pull yer' head out yer' arse and smell the roses (well, at least catch
>> some fresh air!) ... ROFLOL
>>
>> You are the one having to do personal attacks on me, authors,
>> investigators, web links you don't like, liberals, conservatives, left,
>> right, etc., etc., etc.
>>
>> I say let's look at ALL the material which is delivered up and separate
>> the wheat from the chaff ... so far, I have seen nothing from you but
>> personal opinion, personal attacks, denial, etc., I am expecting the
>> next, and all future responses from you, to be the same ... surprise me ...
>>
>> Regards,

>> JS
>
> You post those vid as evidence of what? Your own gullibility and
> stupidity? Run back to your Madrasaa and ask you radical Mullah for
> meds, moron.

What? You are claiming it is fake, with actors instead of just people
off the street in Pakistan?

You are claiming that osama is not a CIA construct? You are claiming we
didn't empower him against the russians to fight a war for us?

OK. Then, lets see your data to back up your insane opinions and
statements ...

Regards,
JS

1543. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 16:59:27 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqh3lg$5ep$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229470
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with no medium
>>> to project it on, but can't sync sound to the projection. The combination of
>>> credulity and stupidity required to entertain both of those notions at the
>>> same time is astounding.
>>
>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the late
>> 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be science
>> fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have something just as
>> amazing and we would consider still in the realm of science ...
>> especially since the billions or even trillions which have been thrown
>> at black op development projects ...
>>
>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>
> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from actual
> evidence.

Wild speculation?

What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.

That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology had
actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in use?

That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
occurring today, is in error?

Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and based
in reality!

Regards,
JS

1544. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 17:19:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DCC16BB-1606@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229471

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Fly+Me+To+The+Moon+Davis+Planetarium+Jackson+Mississippi

Watchin the tee vee channel that doesn't exist, (WLBT 3) 12:00 PM tee vee noos.I sawed a commercial.I reckon as how I mites go and sawed that thar Fly Me To The Moon flick.

Flyyyyyy me to the Mooon,,,, to Jupiterrr and Marrrrrs,,,,,,
cuhulin

1545. Re: (OT) At least I have an excuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 17:26:00 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DCC1828-543@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229472

ex goobernator of California Ahhhhhnold's dad was a Nazi guard in World War Two.Was he not?
cuhulin

1546. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 17:31:05 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105121731.UTC.iqh5gq$jo1$1@tioat.net>
Source record: 229473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.community

On 5/12/2011 12:08 PM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>
>> ...
>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except
>> in the
>> imaginations of the authors.
>>
>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>>
>>
>> With every good wish,
>>
>>
>> Kevin, WB4AIO.
>
> I would say you make the exact mistake which the narrow minded make
> on any given subject.
>
> You think yourself to know, things which you cannot possibly know,
> yet, with the present state of knowledge.
>
> Any race which would visit us and suggest they where "GODS", and
> were but a few thousand years ahead of us, 5,000 - 10,000, would be
> entirely able to convince us they were "GODS" -- their technology
> would simply allow them to do all the things which "GODS" do.
>
> I see the possibility that where it would be possible for a race to
> be a billion years ahead of us, give or take.
>
> And, the inception, the creation, the very first living organism,
> even if single celled, requires so many factors, and so many
> extremely complex design considerations, to have all come together
> all within a very time critical period, and in such an exactly
> defined technical arrangement and order, as too virtually, at least
> in our present state of understanding, be strongly suggestive that
> some form of higher intelligence was involved in our design and
> creation.
>
> And, if I am correct in my analysis, Einstein was an agnostic -- he
> simply knew he didn't know enough, yet ...
>
> Regards,

> JS

Well, even if you're right about all that -- and you may be -- that doesn't change the fact that the small fraction of the thousands of crazy Middle Eastern miracle books that the dominant sect chose as "canonical" are about as likely to be uniquely inspired by God as are the collected works of the Amazing Kreskin.

With my best regards,

Kevin Alfred Strom.
--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

1547. Re: (OT) Former Nazi Guard Convicted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 17:45:00 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <869b952e-f58e-49a7-baa3-87bea1a657d6@j25g2000vbr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229474

On May 12, 7:41 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> <http://www.washingtonpost.com/world/demjanjuk_declines_chance_to_make...>
>
> How many more of these folks might still be out there? Very few I suspect.
>
> One does wonder about the evidence presented.

I also wonder about it. At one point he was convicted IN ISRAEL, but the Israeli Supreme Court overturned his conviction because it thought he was likely a victim of mistaken identity. If the Israeli court refused to uphold his conviction, then I really wonder how compelling the evidence could have been in this most recent trial.

The guy's so old at this point, he's probably happy to have the guarantee of "3 hots and a cot" as well as free medical care for the next five years.

1548. BushCraft_Greg and BJ Jensen Called OUT over at Rec.Knives

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 18:17:57 UTC
From: rod <Rod_Shaftenauer@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dd011a46-9c14-486c-b60b-6e31855c154c@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229475

***Krypsis the Klown wrote...

"...I notice they even troll RRS now even though they promised Gregg
(another idiot troll) that they wouldn't. That's OK anyway as Gregg
was
ridden out of RRS on a rail and just pops in for a tame little post
now
and then. Talk about an idiot with his tail between his legs. Even
Jensen (aka BPN Blow Job Jensen) couldn't stand him in the end. Come
to think of it, that's
probably why the trolls are back in RRS. Gregg's been kicked out so
the
group is fair game! ..."

C'mon over to rec.knives and join in the fun, 4th of July weekend!

1549. Re: (OT) Former Nazi Guard Convicted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 18:23:51 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCC25B7.AA6D7CB8@milestones.com>
Source record: 229476

Steve wrote:

> On May 12, 7:41 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> > <http://www.washingtonpost.com/world/demjanjuk_declines_chance_to_make...>
> >
> > How many more of these folks might still be out there? Very few I suspect.
> >
> > One does wonder about the evidence presented.
>
> I also wonder about it. At one point he was convicted IN ISRAEL, but
> the Israeli Supreme Court overturned his conviction because it thought
> he was likely a victim of mistaken identity. If the Israeli court
> refused to uphold his conviction, then I really wonder how compelling
> the evidence could have been in this most recent trial.
>
> The guy's so old at this point, he's probably happy to have the
> guarantee of "3 hots and a cot" as well as free medical care for the
> next five years.

Yeah, I guess my thought might be is that many people were 'there' in an attempt to 'serve their country' and I cannot fault them for doing so.

My original comment concerned the article, and the feeling that prosecutors said he was there (at Sobibor) without proof he had actually done anything wrong and therefore guilty simply on the basis of 'being there'.

I am not supporting Nazi's, nor supporting any ideals of the Nazi regime.

1550. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 19:23:06 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DCC339A-1695@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229477

That BOGUS birth certificate
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307578

BOGUS! birth certificate!
cuhulin

1551. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 20:19:10 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DCC40BE-1727@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229478

The Shootin Iron that (maybe) killed somebody, it Couldn't have been Usama bin Laden! Ergo he done been Dead (Daid) of Natural Causes over a whole ass Decade ago.
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?=307571

I was issued an M-14 when I was in the Land of the Midnight Cong.I used to keep a paper drink cup over the barrel of it to keep the dust out when I parked it in my wall closet.We had a bunch of different kinds of Shootin Irons parked in the Rack inside our office at the ammo supply point about three miles North of the Tan Son Nhut North Gate.
Durn Tootin! ~ George ''Gabby'' Hayes.
cuhulin

1552. Re: Proof Please, not Propaganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 20:44:05 UTC
From: Bob F <bobnospam@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqhgqqgti1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229479
Cross-posted to: sci.environment,sci.skeptic,sci.geo.meteorology,alt.energy.renewable,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> On 5/12/2011 1:20 AM, Benj wrote:
>
>> ...
>> Where did you EVER get the idea that AGW was a "political" topic and
>> not science? :-)
>>
>>
>
> http://www.ff.org/centers/csspp/library/co2weekly/2005-08-18/dioxide.htm
>
> http://blogs.forbes.com/alexknapp/2011/05/03/radioactive-decay-rates-may-not-be-constant
-after-all/
>
> Mark me down as a nut who believes this just to be another government
> scam, which is designed and implemented by our lovely little criminal
> public servants.
>

OK. You are now on the Idiot List.

1553. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 20:46:08 UTC
From: raven1 <quoththeraven@nevermore.com>
Message-ID: <p8hos6pdrc6blttsc62c9qi0srm0s6p6s8@4ax.com>
Source record: 229480
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with no medium
>>>> to project it on, but can't sync sound to the projection. The combination of
>>>> credulity and stupidity required to entertain both of those notions at the
>>>> same time is astounding.
>>>
>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the late
>>> 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be science
>>> fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have something just as
>>> amazing and we would consider still in the realm of science ...
>>> especially since the billions or even trillions which have been thrown
>>> at black op development projects ...
>>>
>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>>
>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from actual
>> evidence.
>
>Wild speculation?
>
>What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>
>That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology had
>actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in use?

I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here. The
SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.

>That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
>occurring today, is in error?

How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
evidence?

I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"

Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is this,
again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
vanishes?

>Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and based
>in reality!

Again, based on evidence, or speculation?

1554. Re: (OT) At least I have an excuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 21:10:48 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <4fc1f2cb-9319-430c-ba87-5cd589811ca6@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229481

On May 12, 7:32 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/12/2011 05:11 AM, dxAce wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > dave wrote:
>
> >> On 05/12/2011 04:41 AM, dxAce wrote:
> >>> <http://www.washingtonpost.com/world/demjanjuk_declines_chance_to_make...>
>
> >>> How many more of these folks might still be out there? Very few I suspect.
>
> >>> One does wonder about the evidence presented.
>
> >> Lave it to Steve to defend the Nazi mass murderer.
>
> > -Lave- it to Rickmers to be a total clown 'tard!
>
> At least I have an excuse.

Dave You Are Your Very Own Excuse !

1555. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 21:56:49 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1015c61c-523f-4cac-b5bf-8b77ce47f885@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229482

On May 12, 5:11 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/12/2011 02:49 AM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
>
> > wrote in message
> >news:475dd398-383e-40c5-8e54-051513502c35@p6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com...
>
> > So which is the original ? ? ?
>
> > ----------
>
> > ----------
>
> > None of the above. The original was written in either Greek or Aramaic.

- By people who ate mushrooms
- and liked to fuck with other people

MJ Dave ? Why So You Always Write About Your-Selfs !

1556. Re: (OT) At least I have an excuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 22:01:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DCC58D3-1669@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229485

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Arnold+Schwarzenegger's+Father+was+a+Nazi+Guard
cuhulin

1557. Re: The Sun is in a quiet period

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 22:02:11 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <38199145-132f-449b-932a-4e69e02c1827@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229483

On May 12, 9:22 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/12/2011 5:10 AM, dave wrote:
>
> >> ...
>
> > but temperatures continue to climb
>
> There is much high-strangness (to quote Linda Moulton Howe) about the
> sun, and involving such amazing things as atomic clocks! Of all things ...
>
> http://blogs.forbes.com/alexknapp/2011/05/03/radioactive-decay-rates-...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Atomically Correct Clocks !

1558. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 22:07:41 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <c2286008-0282-45cd-84f4-e9697534fb43@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229484

On May 12, 5:09 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/11/2011 06:18 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> > What Do Those 'Other' 499K Years Show : Lots of
> > 'Climate Change' and in-fact Greater 'Climate Change's
> > "Pre" Man's Industrial Age.
> > * Real Hot Spells {not just Warming; but "Hot"}
> > * Real Cold Spells {not just Cool; but "Ice Ages"}
> > and... All Without Man-Kind.
> > -oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' fact
>
> The PPM for CO2 has never been above 290 until we started pumping coal
> smoke into the air. It's been higher in the distant past, but never this
> high with humans around.
>
> http://artofteachingscience.org/images/arton2481.jpg

Dave - Re-Read Your Own Source Evidence {Chart}
2~3 Peaks as High or Higher.

1559. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 22:09:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DCC5AB4-1671@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229486

What the Born in East Protectorate of Africa (Kenya!) MOFO SCARHEAD is
HIDING From US!
http://www.rense.com/general94/hide.htm

MOFO SCARHEAD is HIDING that and HIDING much, much More!
cuhulin

1560. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 22:11:02 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <6a27b303-665a-4722-b180-6242ef20fcd0@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229487

On May 12, 9:15 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/12/2011 5:09 AM, dave wrote:
>
> > On 05/11/2011 06:18 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> >> What Do Those 'Other' 499K Years Show : Lots of
> >> 'Climate Change' and in-fact Greater 'Climate Change's
> >> "Pre" Man's Industrial Age.
> >> * Real Hot Spells {not just Warming; but "Hot"}
> >> * Real Cold Spells {not just Cool; but "Ice Ages"}
> >> and... All Without Man-Kind.
> >> -oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' fact
>
> > The PPM for CO2 has never been above 290 until we started pumping coal
> > smoke into the air. It's been higher in the distant past, but never this
> > high with humans around.
>
> >http://artofteachingscience.org/images/arton2481.jpg
>
> Where did you get that information at?
>
> http://www.ff.org/centers/csspp/library/co2weekly/2005-08-18/dioxide.htm
>
> The spreading of false data is not a good thing ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-

1561. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 22:17:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DCC5C82-1672@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229489

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Clinton+and+Usama+bin+Laden

Klintoon could have snagged Usama (Osama) bin Laden

Is Bin suppose to be properly spelled with a b or B? I don't know.I
aints no Ph.D spellin expert.
cuhulin

1562. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 22:19:53 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <5f5d2610-ccad-4957-adec-b17cd692eccf@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229488
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 7, 3:59 pm, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> "John B." wrote:
> > On May 6, 9:31 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> > > On 5/6/2011 2:14 PM, John B. wrote:
>
> > > > On May 6, 5:09 pm, Horvath1...@net.net wrote:
> > > >> On Fri, 6 May 2011 12:45:36 -0700 (PDT), "John B."
> > > >> <johnb...@gmail.com> wrote this crap:
>
> > > >>>>> I would venture to guess that the government makes more off the sale of
> > > >>>>> a gallon of gas than is involved in all the profits of
> > > >>>>> mining/drilling/pumping/transporting/sale of all the private sector
> > > >>>>> business COMBINED!
>
> > > >>>>> The profits to government is already a heinous crime of the highest level!
>
> > > >>>> Sir, you are correct. The oil companies make only pennies per gallon
> > > >>>> at the pump. However, (from Wikipedia):
>
> > > >>>> State Diesel Taxes, April 2009Fuel taxes in the United States vary by
> > > >>>> state. The United States federal excise tax on gasoline, as of
> > > >>>> February 2011, is 18.4 cents per gallon and 24.4 cents per gallon for
> > > >>>> diesel fuel. In January 2011, motor gasoline taxes averaged 48.1 cents
> > > >>>> per gallon and diesel fuel taxes averaged 53.1 cents per gallon.[8]
> > > >>>> For the first quarter of 2009, the mean state gasoline tax is 27.2
> > > >>>> cents per US gallon, plus 18.4 cents per US gallon federal tax making
> > > >>>> the total 45.6 cents per US gallon (12.0 ¢/L). For diesel, the mean
> > > >>>> state tax is 26.6 cents per US gallon plus an additional 24.4 cents
> > > >>>> per US gallon federal tax making the total 50.8 cents US per gallon
> > > >>>> (13.4 ¢/L).
>
> > > >>>> It's not the oil companies gouging us, it's the government.
>
> > > >>> 45.6 cents is slightly more than 10 percent of what I paid per gallon
> > > >>> to fill up my car yesterday. All the rest went to Sunoco.
>
> > > >> Did it now? The station owner makes a profit. The delivery company
> > > >> makes a profit. The refineries and transportation companies get their
> > > >> cut.
>
> > > > OK, fine, but your prior assertion was that the government took it all.
>
> > > Actually, you might mean me. And, it is my belief that government DOES

> > > get over half of all the profit on a gallon of gas! Through multiple
> > > taxes on multiple facets of the oil industry, shipping, storing,
> > > selling, etc.
>
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
>
> > No, I meant Horvath. But none of the taxes you mention are paid by
> > retail purchasers of gasoline.
>
> The end consumer pays all of the taxes as they are reflected in the price at the pump.
>
> Elsewise, where does the money come from except from the end consumer as it flows up
> the chain?

and... Then The-End-Consumer Asks For Higher Wages
To Pay For All Those Taxes Both Listed and [Hidden] and
in the end you get a cycle of spiraling inflation...

1563. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 22:49:00 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqho4tgso1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.community

On 5/12/2011 10:31 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
> On 5/12/2011 12:08 PM, John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except
>>> in the
>>> imaginations of the authors.
>>>
>>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>>>
>>>
>>> With every good wish,
>>>
>>>
>>> Kevin, WB4AIO.
>>
>> I would say you make the exact mistake which the narrow minded make
>> on any given subject.
>>
>> You think yourself to know, things which you cannot possibly know,
>> yet, with the present state of knowledge.
>>
>> Any race which would visit us and suggest they where "GODS", and
>> were but a few thousand years ahead of us, 5,000 - 10,000, would be
>> entirely able to convince us they were "GODS" -- their technology
>> would simply allow them to do all the things which "GODS" do.
>>
>> I see the possibility that where it would be possible for a race to
>> be a billion years ahead of us, give or take.
>>
>> And, the inception, the creation, the very first living organism,
>> even if single celled, requires so many factors, and so many
>> extremely complex design considerations, to have all come together
>> all within a very time critical period, and in such an exactly
>> defined technical arrangement and order, as too virtually, at least
>> in our present state of understanding, be strongly suggestive that
>> some form of higher intelligence was involved in our design and
>> creation.
>>
>> And, if I am correct in my analysis, Einstein was an agnostic -- he
>> simply knew he didn't know enough, yet ...
>>

>> Regards,
>> JS
>
>
>
> Well, even if you're right about all that -- and you may be -- that
> doesn't change the fact that the small fraction of the thousands of
> crazy Middle Eastern miracle books that the dominant sect chose as
> "canonical" are about as likely to be uniquely inspired by God as are
> the collected works of the Amazing Kreskin.
>
>
> With my best regards,
>
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom.

There is no proof that the bible was "divinely inspired", at the present time, I can't imagine where such proof could be had -- for that a leap of faith is required ... one I have great difficulty in making, though I keep an open mind, and looking ...

I am just able to manage, a mind greater than our own is a likely candidate for our existence.

Regards,
JS

1564. The Great "Rapture" Smack-Down a Shortwave Radio Event !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 22:51:08 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <0c947ee1-ff71-4af4-b1e7-cf37a5e3fa01@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229491

On May 9, 1:30 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> OK, so you guys have heard of Family Radio, no doubt. Their studios
> are just 10 miles from where I live and their main transmitter on HF
> is in Okeedokee, er Okeechbee, Florida. Harold Camping, the founder
> and genius behind Family Radio, has predicted with stunning accuracy
> and without overt prophecy from the Almighty, that the rapture will
> occur on May 21, 2011, although we cannot yet tell if it will be
> before or after lunch.
>
> Anyway, so I'm groovin' along down the street after having gotten a
> sandwich (vegetarian, of course - God loves animals and does not enjoy
> seeing them harmed needlessly) and soft drink, and what do I spy but a
> Family Radio minivan with two very friendly chaps setting up a sign
> that sayeth, "Judgment Day May 21, 2011." I stop and smile knowingly
> at this, and one of them energetically hands me several "End Times"
> brochures and a handful of spanking new full-color business cards with
> glossy overcoat that also declare as much.
>
> Well - What can I say? What can I do? I am dumbfounded and amused as
> I, an ordinary shortwave radio enthusiast and occasional listener to
> the Family Radio interval signal (as it covers up the desired signals
> from Pakistan or Bhutan), am CHOSEN by Harold Camping's hand-selected
> assistants to receive the Word and also to pass out the Word on fancy
> little business cards! It must be a sign, a revelation, a not-so-
> subtle message from the great beyond that, for the next 12 days, it is
> my predestiny to proseletyze profoundly on this preposterously proven
> prostitution, er, I mean, postulation.
>
> So there you have it, the story of my improbable conversion to TBOTET
> (True Believer of the End Times) and chosen cherub of chicanery. I
> will have photos up of the business cards later so that you, too, can
> become a convert. (These cards will also be nice souvenirs of
> interesting times when we reach May 22, 2011 without substantial
> transsubstantiation ;-)
>
> Somebody's humble servant (we won't say whose ;-),
> Bruce Jensen (CA - USA)

Brother Star was a Preaching -a'gin- Brother Camping
last night on the Shortwave Radio on 5890 kHz WWCR
saying ONLY a Prophet-of-God could predict the Last
Day; and that Brother Camping was not a prophet.

The Overcomer Ministry with Brother Stair [PoG]
http://www.overcomerministry.org/

.
WWCR Shortwave Program Schedule
http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
Transmitter # 4 for the Overcomer Ministry with
Brother Stair [PoG] 5890 & 9890 kHz
.
WYFR : Family Radio World Wide with Brother Camping
http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
* The Judgement Day 21 Mayo 2011 {Commercial}
http://www.familyradio.com/may21/
.
yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

1565. Re: The Sun is in a quiet period

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 22:52:30 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqhobggso2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229492

On 5/12/2011 3:02 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 12, 9:22 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/12/2011 5:10 AM, dave wrote:
>>
>>>> ...
>>
>>> but temperatures continue to climb
>>
>> There is much high-strangness (to quote Linda Moulton Howe) about the
>> sun, and involving such amazing things as atomic clocks! Of all things ...
>>
>> http://blogs.forbes.com/alexknapp/2011/05/03/radioactive-decay-rates-...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Atomically Correct Clocks !

Or, according to Purdue and Standford, atomically INCORRECT clocks!

Regards,
JS

1566. Re: The Great "Rapture" Smack-Down a Shortwave Radio Event !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 22:54:37 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqhofegso3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229493

On 5/12/2011 3:51 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 9, 1:30 pm, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> OK, so you guys have heard of Family Radio, no doubt. Their studios
>> are just 10 miles from where I live and their main transmitter on HF
>> is in Okeedokee, er Okeechbee, Florida. Harold Camping, the founder
>> and genius behind Family Radio, has predicted with stunning accuracy
>> and without overt prophecy from the Almighty, that the rapture will
>> occur on May 21, 2011, although we cannot yet tell if it will be
>> before or after lunch.
>>
>> Anyway, so I'm groovin' along down the street after having gotten a
>> sandwich (vegetarian, of course - God loves animals and does not enjoy
>> seeing them harmed needlessly) and soft drink, and what do I spy but a
>> Family Radio minivan with two very friendly chaps setting up a sign
>> that sayeth, "Judgment Day May 21, 2011." I stop and smile knowingly
>> at this, and one of them energetically hands me several "End Times"
>> brochures and a handful of spanking new full-color business cards with
>> glossy overcoat that also declare as much.
>>
>> Well - What can I say? What can I do? I am dumbfounded and amused as
>> I, an ordinary shortwave radio enthusiast and occasional listener to
>> the Family Radio interval signal (as it covers up the desired signals
>> from Pakistan or Bhutan), am CHOSEN by Harold Camping's hand-selected
>> assistants to receive the Word and also to pass out the Word on fancy
>> little business cards! It must be a sign, a revelation, a not-so-
>> subtle message from the great beyond that, for the next 12 days, it is
>> my predestiny to proseletyze profoundly on this preposterously proven
>> prostitution, er, I mean, postulation.
>>
>> So there you have it, the story of my improbable conversion to TBOTET
>> (True Believer of the End Times) and chosen cherub of chicanery. I
>> will have photos up of the business cards later so that you, too, can
>> become a convert. (These cards will also be nice souvenirs of
>> interesting times when we reach May 22, 2011 without substantial
>> transsubstantiation ;-)
>>
>> Somebody's humble servant (we won't say whose ;-),
>> Bruce Jensen (CA - USA)
>
> Brother Star was a Preaching -a'gin- Brother Camping
> last night on the Shortwave Radio on 5890 kHz WWCR
> saying ONLY a Prophet-of-God could predict the Last
> Day; and that Brother Camping was not a prophet.
>

> The Overcomer Ministry with Brother Stair [PoG]
> http://www.overcomerministry.org/
> .
> WWCR Shortwave Program Schedule
> http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> Transmitter # 4 for the Overcomer Ministry with
> Brother Stair [PoG] 5890& 9890 kHz
> .
> WYFR : Family Radio World Wide with Brother Camping
> http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
> * The Judgement Day 21 Mayo 2011 {Commercial}
> http://www.familyradio.com/may21/
> .
> yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
> http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

I would think that those who claim the bible is correct would correctly stay to its' claims ... no MAN is to know, unless the prophet is some angel or alien, they seem to only mock themselves!

Regards,
JS

1567. Re: The Sun is in a quiet period

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 22:57:12 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <6efa1e17-1a9c-4c9a-82e4-a4eea57a256e@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229494

On May 12, 3:52 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/12/2011 3:02 PM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 12, 9:22 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/12/2011 5:10 AM, dave wrote:
>
> >>>> ...
>
> >>> but temperatures continue to climb
>
> >> There is much high-strangness (to quote Linda Moulton Howe) about the
> >> sun, and involving such amazing things as atomic clocks! Of all things ...
>
> >>http://blogs.forbes.com/alexknapp/2011/05/03/radioactive-decay-rates-...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > Atomically Correct Clocks !
>
> Or, according to Purdue and Standford, atomically INCORRECT clocks!
>
> Regards,
> JS

? Standford ?

1568. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 22:58:18 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqhomagso4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229495
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/12/2011 3:19 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 7, 3:59 pm, dxAce<dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
>> "John B." wrote:
>>> On May 6, 9:31 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/6/2011 2:14 PM, John B. wrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 6, 5:09 pm, Horvath1...@net.net wrote:
>>>>>> On Fri, 6 May 2011 12:45:36 -0700 (PDT), "John B."
>>>>>> <johnb...@gmail.com> wrote this crap:
>>
>>>>>>>>>> I would venture to guess that the government makes more off the sale of
>>>>>>>>>> a gallon of gas than is involved in all the profits of
>>>>>>>>>> mining/drilling/pumping/transporting/sale of all the private sector
>>>>>>>>>> business COMBINED!
>>
>>>>>>>>>> The profits to government is already a heinous crime of the highest level!
>>
>>>>>>>>> Sir, you are correct. The oil companies make only pennies per gallon
>>>>>>>>> at the pump. However, (from Wikipedia):
>>
>>>>>>>>> State Diesel Taxes, April 2009Fuel taxes in the United States vary by
>>>>>>>>> state. The United States federal excise tax on gasoline, as of
>>>>>>>>> February 2011, is 18.4 cents per gallon and 24.4 cents per gallon for
>>>>>>>>> diesel fuel. In January 2011, motor gasoline taxes averaged 48.1 cents
>>>>>>>>> per gallon and diesel fuel taxes averaged 53.1 cents per gallon.[8]
>>>>>>>>> For the first quarter of 2009, the mean state gasoline tax is 27.2
>>>>>>>>> cents per US gallon, plus 18.4 cents per US gallon federal tax making
>>>>>>>>> the total 45.6 cents per US gallon (12.0 ï¿½/L). For diesel, the mean
>>>>>>>>> state tax is 26.6 cents per US gallon plus an additional 24.4 cents
>>>>>>>>> per US gallon federal tax making the total 50.8 cents US per gallon
>>>>>>>>> (13.4 ï¿½/L).
>>
>>>>>>>>> It's not the oil companies gouging us, it's the government.
>>
>>>>>>>> 45.6 cents is slightly more than 10 percent of what I paid per gallon
>>>>>>>> to fill up my car yesterday. All the rest went to Sunoco.
>>
>>>>>>> Did it now? The station owner makes a profit. The delivery company
>>>>>>> makes a profit. The refineries and transportation companies get their
>>>>>>> cut.
>>
>>>>> OK, fine, but your prior assertion was that the government took it all.
>>

>>>> Actually, you might mean me. And, it is my belief that government DOES
>>>> get over half of all the profit on a gallon of gas! Through multiple
>>>> taxes on multiple facets of the oil industry, shipping, storing,
>>>> selling, etc.
>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>
>>> No, I meant Horvath. But none of the taxes you mention are paid by
>>> retail purchasers of gasoline.
>>
>> The end consumer pays all of the taxes as they are reflected in the price at the pump.
>>
>> Elsewise, where does the money come from except from the end consumer as it flows up
>> the chain?
>
> and... Then The-End-Consumer Asks For Higher Wages
> To Pay For All Those Taxes Both Listed and [Hidden] and
> in the end you get a cycle of spiraling inflation...

Remember before the 1970's when price controls where in effect, prices
remained stable and inflation was 0%?

Grandmas' and grandpas' retirement would carry them to their end days,
leaving enough for an inheritance to family and friends?

That is what inflation is all about, stealing from grandma and grandpa
to pay the new workers, so the rich/elite/tax-sheltered don't have to
contribute!

Regards,
JS

1569. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 23:01:33 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqhosfgso5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229496

On 5/12/2011 3:17 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Clinton+and+Usama+bin+Laden
>
> Klintoon could have snagged Usama (Osama) bin Laden
>
> Is Bin suppose to be properly spelled with a b or B? I don't know.I
> aints no Ph.D spellin expert.
> cuhulin
>

I can straighten you out on that one!

Osama BUTTHEAD Laden ... :-)

Regards,
JS

1570. Re: The Sun is in a quiet period

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 23:04:35 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqhp24gso6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229497

On 5/12/2011 3:57 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 12, 3:52 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/12/2011 3:02 PM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 12, 9:22 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/12/2011 5:10 AM, dave wrote:
>>
>>>>>> ...
>>
>>>>> but temperatures continue to climb
>>
>>>> There is much high-strangness (to quote Linda Moulton Howe) about the
>>>> sun, and involving such amazing things as atomic clocks! Of all things ...
>>
>>>> http://blogs.forbes.com/alexknapp/2011/05/03/radioactive-decay-rates-...
>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>
>>> Atomically Correct Clocks !
>>
>> Or, according to Purdue and Standford, atomically INCORRECT clocks!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> ? Standford ?

Typo ... happens when you don't bother reviewing your posts before
posting ... I am certainly guilty of that, for years ... worse, I only
look at the words which spell check points me at ... yeah, quite lazy
here, so what? :-)

Regards,
JS

1571. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 23:31:41 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqhqktgso10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229498
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/12/2011 1:46 PM, raven1 wrote:

>
> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> evidence?
>

No one can, for an ABSOLUTE CERTAINTY, however, the military ALWAYS will only declassify superior technology when the have something better ... Janes (www.janes.com) is a good site to research this on. Or, any sites which have an overview of historic military technology.

> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>

If two "invisible" laser beams intersect each other, at a point, the frequency of the resulting light can be in the humanly visible spectrum.

Ever see the glass cubes with the "etchings of objects" in the interior? This is the result of two laser beams, each of which passes the glass without harming it. However, where the two beams cross/intersect, a "fracturing" of the glass results at that small point. Tightly controlled and manipulated, the "etching" is drawn ... visible "pictures" can be drawn in the plain atmosphere using the same method, with the two "invisible" beams already mentioned. This is done very rapidly, but then, so is a picture "painted" on a TV picture tube, it works quite nicely, since the human eye/brain is so slow in processing ...

> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is this,
> again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> vanishes?
>

Yes, if the beams cease to quit rapidly redrawing the "picture" in the atmosphere, the picture ceases to exist. And the above applies ...

>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and based
>> in reality!
>
> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?

Proof ... the evidence is that it is already being done ...

Regards,
JS

1572. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 23:47:07 UTC
From: Marc Riehm <mriehm@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <%j_yp.3591$dh7.3384@unlimited.newshosting.com>
Source record: 229499
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On 11-05-12 4:46 PM, raven1 wrote:
> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
<snip>
> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>
> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is this,
> again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> vanishes?
>

Such hologram equipment simply does not exist.

1573. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-12 23:51:51 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23872-4DCC7297-144@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229500

Republican Describes 15 Photos of NOT Bin Laden's Corpse
http://www.rense.com
cuhulin

1574. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 00:30:24 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c56a076e-700c-4a21-9569-3c0121074caf@g12g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229501
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 12, 11:17 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/12/2011 2:41 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >> ...
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > caucasian
>
> > The race card was developed to determine what stran of Nigeria you
> > came from.The albinos were cast out of society and took up residency
> > in the Cauccus Mountain range they were treated like leppers because
> > they were so odd looking!
>
> ROFLOL!
>
> Yes, but what about the damn caucasians who stood out, and were even
> considered "odd looking" by their fellow caucasians?
>
> I mean, I am looking for a place to send a few of these white creeps
> which live near me!
>
> And, these republicrats and democans, what can we do with them? Be nice
> to clean up this neighborhood! :-)
>
> Regards,
> JS

You must first come to grips and realize that politics like religion
is a tool for your controlling elete to keep you in a trance.Forget
the lefty/righty/democratic/republican/ they have nothing to do with
your National Socialist Government it is a sham to fool the herd into
believing democracy exist!

1575. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 00:45:51 UTC
From: Day Brown <daybrown@artelco.com>
Message-ID: <ApGdnU8Qys7Z4lHQnZ2dnUVZ_qednZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.community

On 05/12/2011 05:49 PM, John Smith wrote:
> There is no proof that the bible was "divinely inspired", at the present
> time, I can't imagine where such proof could be had -- for that a leap
> of faith is required ... one I have great difficulty in making, though I
> keep an open mind, and looking ...
>
> I am just able to manage, a mind greater than our own is a likely
> candidate for our existence.
The first thing the Levantine god said was "Let there be light".
The first thing Aryan Gaia said was :"Oooops!"

The Levantine god is dead because like a stone, he does not change.
Gaia is alive because like all live, She is evolving. She does not
really know what She had in mind at the start when She emerged out of
primordial Chaos, and has been winging it ever since.

That we are here is an accident, but we've been making do and growing
up, and now its time to put away childish visions of a father god.

1576. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 00:55:25 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28364b8c53fcb8c898a1d2@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229503

In article <28985-4DCC339A-1695@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>,
cuhulin@webtv.net says...
>
> That BOGUS birth certificate
> http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307578
>
> BOGUS! birth certificate!
> cuhulin

If you hadn't pickled yourself all those years, you would know how
whacked the posters on that site are.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1577. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 01:06:28 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqi06j$8b3$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.community

On 5/12/2011 5:45 PM, Day Brown wrote:

>> ...
> The first thing the Levantine god said was "Let there be light".
> The first thing Aryan Gaia said was :"Oooops!"
>
> The Levantine god is dead because like a stone, he does not change.
> Gaia is alive because like all live, She is evolving. She does not
> really know what She had in mind at the start when She emerged out of
> primordial Chaos, and has been winging it ever since.
>
> That we are here is an accident, but we've been making do and growing
> up, and now its time to put away childish visions of a father god.

Whatever intelligence that/would has/have "emerged out of primordial chaos" is God, DUH. Unless God has a mate, which he, she, or anyone else, for that matter, has not mentioned, any application of he/she would be meaningless, at least to myself.

Accidents only happen when humans are involved ... undoubtedly, the universe is unfolding just as it should in a way of "proper and good" which is above our judgment, intervention and manipulation.

I don't believe "evolution", in the grand scheme of things, is a stand alone item, rather just a designed function of the grand design.

Whenever you see a pile of rocks, it is impossible to determine if nature or man has piled those rocks.

However, when you see a pile of rocks which look like, and serves all the functions of a castle, you can damn well be certain it is man made ... so does God leave such signatures ...

Regards,
JS

1578. Re: Proof Please, not Propaganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 01:51:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqi2rp$8b4$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229505

On 5/12/2011 6:46 PM, Hils wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>> http://blogs.forbes.com/alexknapp/2011/05/03/radioactive-decay-rates-may-not-be-constant-after-all/
>>
>> Mark me down as a nut who believes this just to be another government
>> scam, which is designed and implemented by our lovely little criminal
>> public servants.
>
> It looks more like the academic jobclub in operation. They'll now be
> bidding for shedloads of money to design and build a new type of
> neutrino detector, and suggesting that carbon datings need to be
> recalibrated.

I don't think so. Phony and a scam is the example of the carbon tax,
all real scientific data exposes it as manipulated data. However,
turned into a political football, with scare tactics applied, the morons
support it with all the validity of picking a football team and
supporting it ...

Reproducible scientific data is real ... even though, one may feel
slighted or aided by it.

Regards,
JS

1579. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 02:12:05 UTC
From: Harold Burton <hal.i.burton@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hal.i.burton-F2C798.22120512052011@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 229506
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article
<46ed2da7-2b1b-48c3-9699-9e4a4d956545@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>,
Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

> On May 11, 8:10 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > In article
> > <94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
> >
> >
> >
> > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > On May 8, 9:40 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > In article
> > > > <2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961...@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>,
> > >
> > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
> > > > > > The bushkultie has no facts.
> > >
> > > > > That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is not
> > > > > getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo shilling.
> > > > > You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
> > > > > possible.
> > >
> > > > You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*),
> > >
> > > Hey fuzznuts, * Hates US * is, like you, a rightard.
> > >
> > Nope, try again.
>
> Yep.

Nah, keep trying.

snicker

1580. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 02:31:23 UTC
From: raven1 <quoththeraven@nevermore.com>
Message-ID: <qu5ps6t2of015huiidqdi2hp7p07caj6tc@4ax.com>
Source record: 229507
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 12 May 2011 19:47:07 -0400, Marc Riehm <mriehm@sympatico.ca>
wrote:

>On 11-05-12 4:46 PM, raven1 wrote:
>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
><snip>
>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>>
>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is this,
>> again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
>> vanishes?
>>
>
>Such hologram equipment simply does not exist.

Well duh. Tell that to the kooks.

1581. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 02:39:28 UTC
From: raven1 <quoththeraven@nevermore.com>
Message-ID: <a06ps65ku5q6ig91ik59g0uklf29e7i5a4@4ax.com>
Source record: 229508
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 12 May 2011 16:31:41 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>On 5/12/2011 1:46 PM, raven1 wrote:
>
>>
>> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
>> evidence?
>>
>
>No one can, for an ABSOLUTE CERTAINTY, however, the military ALWAYS will
>only declassify superior technology when the have something better ...
>Janes (www.janes.com) is a good site to research this on. Or, any sites
>which have an overview of historic military technology.

IOW, based on nothing but your speculation.

>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>>
>
>If two "invisible" laser beams intersect each other, at a point, the
>frequency of the resulting light can be in the humanly visible spectrum.
>
>Ever see the glass cubes with the "etchings of objects" in the interior?
> This is the result of two laser beams, each of which passes the glass
>without harming it. However, where the two beams cross/intersect, a
>"fracturing" of the glass results at that small point. Tightly
>controlled and manipulated, the "etching" is drawn ... visible
>"pictures" can be drawn in the plain atmosphere using the same method,
>with the two "invisible" beams already mentioned. This is done very
>rapidly, but then, so is a picture "painted" on a TV picture tube, it
>works quite nicely, since the human eye/brain is so slow in processing ...

Have I mentioned yet that holograms look like holograms, not like
solid objects?

>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is this,
>> again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
>> vanishes?

>>
>
>Yes, if the beams cease to quit rapidly redrawing the "picture" in the
>atmosphere, the picture ceases to exist. And the above applies ...

Have I mentioned yet that holograms look like holograms, not like
solid objects?

>>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and based
>>> in reality!
>>
>> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?
>
>Proof ... the evidence is that it is already being done ...

You've provided no evidence at all, just speculation. And very silly
speculation at that. For your next trick, please investigate whether
whales built Stonehenge.

1582. Re: (OT) At least I have an excuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 02:41:39 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcc9a17$0$12492$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229509

On 05/12/2011 10:26 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> ex goobernator of California Ahhhhhnold's dad was a Nazi guard in World
> War Two.Was he not?
> cuhulin
>
You're the oldest in this bunch, near as I can tell. Arnold was
Austrian, just like Shickelgruber.

1583. Re: Eventually it'll be dark at noon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 02:43:18 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcc9a7a$0$12492$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229510

On 05/12/2011 03:02 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 12, 9:22 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/12/2011 5:10 AM, dave wrote:
>>
>>>> ...
>>
>>> but temperatures continue to climb
>>
>> There is much high-strangness (to quote Linda Moulton Howe) about the
>> sun, and involving such amazing things as atomic clocks! Of all things ...
>>
>> http://blogs.forbes.com/alexknapp/2011/05/03/radioactive-decay-rates-...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Atomically Correct Clocks !

Atomic clocks aren't correct anymore. The GPS people won't let them add
leap seconds. .

1584. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 02:44:48 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcc9ad5$0$12492$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229511

On 05/12/2011 03:07 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 12, 5:09 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 05/11/2011 06:18 PM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>> What Do Those 'Other' 499K Years Show : Lots of
>>> 'Climate Change' and in-fact Greater 'Climate Change's
>>> "Pre" Man's Industrial Age.
>>> * Real Hot Spells {not just Warming; but "Hot"}
>>> * Real Cold Spells {not just Cool; but "Ice Ages"}
>>> and... All Without Man-Kind.
>>> -oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' fact
>>
>> The PPM for CO2 has never been above 290 until we started pumping coal
>> smoke into the air. It's been higher in the distant past, but never this
>> high with humans around.
>>
>> http://artofteachingscience.org/images/arton2481.jpg
>
> Dave - Re-Read Your Own Source Evidence {Chart}
> 2~3 Peaks as High or Higher.

Once to 297 PPM 300,000 years ago. Still nowhere near what we have today.

1585. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 02:47:39 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcc9b7f$0$12492$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229512

The New York Times
Reprints
This copy is for your personal, noncommercial use only.

May 12, 2011
Report Stresses Urgency of Action on Climate
By LESLIE KAUFMAN

The nationï¿½s scientific establishment issued a stark warning to the American public on Thursday: Not only is global warming real, but the effects are already becoming serious and the need has become ï¿½pressingï¿½ for a strong national policy to limit emissions of heat-trapping gases.

The report, by the National Research Council, an arm of the National Academy of Sciences, did not endorse any specific legislative approach, but it did say that attaching some kind of price to emissions of carbon dioxide, the main greenhouse gas, would ideally be an essential component of any plan.

ï¿½The risks associated with doing business as usual are a much greater concern than the risks associated with engaging in ambitious but measured response efforts,ï¿½ the report concludes. ï¿½This is because many aspects of an ï¿½overly ambitiousï¿½ policy response could be reversed or otherwise addressed, if needed, through subsequent policy change, whereas adverse changes in the climate system are much more difficult (indeed, on the time scale of our lifetimes, may be impossible) to ï¿½undo.ï¿½ ï¿½

The report, ï¿½Americaï¿½s Climate Choices,ï¿½ was ordered by Congress several years ago to offer ï¿½action-oriented adviceï¿½ on how the nation should be reacting to the potential consequences of climate change.

But the answer comes at a time when efforts to adopt a climate-change policy have stalled in Washington, with many of the Republicans who control the House expressing open skepticism about the science of climate change. Other legislators, including some Democrats, worry that any new law would translate into higher energy prices and hurt the economy.

Not only is the science behind the climate-change forecast solid, the report found, but the risks to future generations from further inaction are profound. Already, the report noted, sea level is rising in many American towns and the average United States air temperature has increased by two degrees in the last 50 years.

The reportï¿½s authors ï¿½ an unusual combination of climate scientists, businessmen and politicians ï¿½ said they were very aware that the political mood on climate change had changed significantly from when the committee was formed in 2009. Because the report was also about policy

advice, the council named nonscientists, including Jim Geringer, a conservative Republican and a former governor of Wyoming.

Albert Carnesale, the chairman of the panel and a chancellor emeritus of the University of California, Los Angeles, said that he hoped the paneli¿½s diversity and that many came to the job without i¿½prior biasi¿½ would help sell it even to skeptical policy makers.

i¿½It is an urgent problem to turn to, and what wei¿½ve done differently is to look at this as a risk management problem,i¿½ Dr. Carnesale said.

While no one knows the exact shape of the risks, Dr. Carnesale said, we know that they are real enough to act on. And that they will be harder to act on as time passes. i¿½We doni¿½t know exactly when the tsunami will hit or how high it will be, but we know it is coming, and we should prepare,i¿½ Dr. Carnesale said.

But Representative Joe L. Barton, Republican of Texas, who has been leading the charge against further regulating carbon emissions, swiftly dismissed the councili¿½s findings in an interview Thursday. i¿½I see nothing substantive in this report that adds to the knowledge base necessary to make an informed decision about what steps i¿½ if any i¿½ should be taken to address climate change,i¿½ Mr. Barton said.

Although the report characterizes climate change as a problem that urgently needs attention, it stops short of making highly specific policy prescriptions, leaving that to lawmakers.

To many worried about climate change, that is a common flaw of such reports.

i¿½This is the classic problem i¿½ the divide between scientific reality and political courage,i¿½ said Paul W. Bledsoe, a senior adviser with the Bipartisan Policy Center who has worked in Congress and with the White House on these issues. i¿½The scientific organizations are reluctant to advocate detailed policy prescriptions, while political actors are tentative about the scientific realities.i¿½

The report outlined four areas that demanded immediate action by the federal government.

For starters, it emphasized that reducing carbon emissions was critical to keeping the United States from having to make dire choices in the future. While stopping just short of recommending a carbon tax, the committee did praise its efficacy.

i¿½Analyses suggest that the best way to amplify and accelerate such efforts, and to minimize overall costs (for any given national emissions reduction target), is with a comprehensive, nationally uniform, increasing price oni¿½ carbon emissions enough to i¿½drive major investments in energy efficiency and low-carbon technologies,i¿½ the report said.

It also called on the federal government to play a much more active role in researching new technologies and in helping the nation adapt to the changes in the natural world that are already inevitable. Even with a

reduction in carbon output, the report said, some climate change will continue to occur.

It noted that while many of the nationsï¿½ cities and states are taking steps toward mitigating carbon output and preparing for hotter, wetter conditions, it suggested that the federal government could help coordinate these activities while also encouraging more research and development.

ï¿½The federal government,ï¿½ the report said, ï¿½should immediately undertake the development of a national adaptation strategy and build durable institutions to implement that strategy and improve it over time.ï¿½ Finally, while this report was designed, in contrast to the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, to be by Americans for Americans, the authors noted that climate change was a global problem and the nation had an obligation to remain engaged with the international community on possible solutions.

More in Environment (2 of 49 articles)
House Approves a Bill to Spur Oil Exploration

Read More ï¿½

1586. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 03:48:05 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6962da29-4205-419a-88ad-f247bf5d2421@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229513
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 12, 8:12 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> In article
> <46ed2da7-2b1b-48c3-9699-9e4a4d956...@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>,
>
>
>
> Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > On May 11, 8:10 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > In article
> > > <94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > On May 8, 9:40 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > In article
> > > > > <2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961...@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
> > > > > > > The bushkultie has no facts.
>
> > > > > > That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is not
> > > > > > getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo shilling.
> > > > > > You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
> > > > > > possible.
>
> > > > > You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*),
>
> > > > Hey fuzznuts, * Hates US * is, like you, a rightard.
>
> > > Nope, try again.
>
> > Yep.
>
> Nah, keep trying.

No need since I have already prevailed, Hairy Butt. FACT.

<snicker>

1587. Re: (OT) At least I have an excuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 03:52:51 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DCCAB13-1776@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229515

I already knew Ahhhhhnold was born in Austria.So was Adolph Hitler.I know a guy who is my age, he lives in another U.S.State.His real name is Adolph, he was born in Croatia.Hitler had some of his family people murdered in World War Two.
cuhulin

1588. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 03:55:21 UTC
From: Tag Heuer <tagheuerblog@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <knaps6pbakti4gddhdj7sr0rj36grkqri1@4ax.com>
Source record: 229514
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Thu, 12 May 2011 20:48:05 -0700 (PDT), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>On May 12, 8:12ï¿½pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote: In article
<46ed2da7-2b1b-48c3-9699-9e4a4d956...@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>,

>> Nah, keep trying.

>No need since I have already prevailed, Hairy Butt. FACT.
><snicker>

O'le 'hair up the bung,' Burt needs a very serious dose of Metamucilï¿½
... 'nuff said.
--
http://tagheuerblog.blogspot.com

1589. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 03:58:34 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DCCAC6A-1778@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229516

I know how Whacked some of the posters in this newsgroup Are.WHACKO!
cuhulin

1590. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 04:02:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DCCAD67-579@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229517

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=O+Store+Gud

By George Beverly Shea.
cuhulin

1591. Re: (OT) At least I have an excuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 04:22:40 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <69b392a0-8877-4a99-af63-615bcf4d70d1@w36g2000vbi.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229518

On May 12, 10:41 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/12/2011 10:26 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:> ex goobernator of California Ahhhhhnold's dad was a Nazi guard in World
> > War Two.Was he not?
> > cuhulin
>
> You're the oldest in this bunch, near as I can tell. Arnold was
> Austrian, just like Shickelgruber.

Why wasn't Kurt Waldheim (another Austrian !) ever tried for crimes
agaist Humanity ? Why do they always pick on an insignificant , lowest-
level , obscure person ,e.g.J. D . . .

1592. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he rele...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 04:34:49 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <86138f8a-f4a6-4a51-a551-5a2917f35c3d@gu8g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229519

On May 12, 6:17 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Clinton+and+Usama+bin+Laden
>
> Klintoon could have snagged Usama (Osama) bin Laden
>
> Is Bin suppose to be properly spelled with a b or B? I don't know.I
> aints no Ph.D spellin expert.
> cuhulin

'Son' of (Laden). Just another sob , like the 'son of Sam ' .

1593. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 04:40:05 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <c76c622a-b04f-4a5b-a5f7-9eaa9b781324@g3g2000vbl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229520

On May 12, 6:11 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 12, 9:15 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/12/2011 5:09 AM, dave wrote:
>
> > > On 05/11/2011 06:18 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> > >> What Do Those 'Other' 499K Years Show : Lots of
> > >> 'Climate Change' and in-fact Greater 'Climate Change's
> > >> "Pre" Man's Industrial Age.
> > >> * Real Hot Spells {not just Warming; but "Hot"}
> > >> * Real Cold Spells {not just Cool; but "Ice Ages"}
> > >> and... All Without Man-Kind.
> > >> -oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' fact
>
> > > The PPM for CO2 has never been above 290 until we started pumping coal
> > > smoke into the air. It's been higher in the distant past, but never this
> > > high with humans around.
>
> > >http://artofteachingscience.org/images/arton2481.jpg
>
> > Where did you get that information at?
>
> >http://www.ff.org/centers/csspp/library/co2weekly/2005-08-18/dioxide.htm
>
> > The spreading of false data is not a good thing ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
> Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Al Gore smokes pot ? That is new to me .

1594. Re: SMYTHE Exposing In Public & Saying in Urdu! Fuck Me When U Come Home But Not Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 04:48:04 UTC
From: rod <Rod_Shaftenauer@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5f0019c7-a4e5-42e5-8eec-0f22bfd3cad9@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229521

***That Smythe, always showing off!

1595. Re: SMYTHE Exposing In Public & Saying in Urdu! Fuck Me When U Come Home But Not Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 04:49:27 UTC
From: rod <Rod_Shaftenauer@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <47acc1da-4cbd-4489-8687-6db2dbe809a6@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229522

On May 12, 6:28 am, sanghavi <usgaller...@gmail.com> wrote:
> Paki Girl Exposing In Public & Saying in Urdu! Fuck Me When U Come
> Home But Not Here
> Athttp://bathinggirls.Co.CC
>
> Due to GOOGLE security risks, i have hidden the videos(15) in an
> image.
> in that website on Right side below search box click on image
> and watch videos in all angles.

***Smythe, the ultimate show off!

1596. Re: EiBi Skeds Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 05:46:37 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <084e9182-e943-4a23-a512-1045852f0827@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229523

On May 12, 4:05 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> Correction: 12 May
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> dxAce wrote:
> > The EiBi skeds have been updated as of 11 Mayl:
>
> >http://www.eibispace.de/dx/bc-a11.txt
>
> >http://www.eibispace.de/
>
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> > Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
> > 70' and 200' wires
> > GE SR I (2) and II
>
> > 239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the
> > NASWA Country List.
>
> > And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of
> > Technology & Design (Backwater U.).
>
> > They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about
> > being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.

Thanks!

1597. HOT SEXY MASALA VIDEOS AND LOVELY PHOTOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 05:52:36 UTC
From: HRJHRJB <odgfhfhdfv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <53e5fb39-de02-4fc7-a7e2-85bc9c5cbbce@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229524

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

1598. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 07:32:04 UTC
From: fergo <fergo8@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <QdCdndFnLc4dQ1HQnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@westnet.com.au>
Source record: 229525
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

"Joe from Kokomo" <joe@indy.net> wrote in message
news:iqgk77$1to$1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/12/2011 3:32 AM, fergo wrote:
>
>> What did Bush do about Binladen?
>
> What Bush did?
>
> Bush SHUT DOWN the CIA's bin Laden Task Force in '04 or '05. (This may
> help explain why UBL was never caught on Bush's watch).
>
> And for shutting down the UBL task force, the kooktards want to give Bush
> credit? BLAME would be much more appropriate.

And then there's this: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4PGmnz5Ow-o

1599. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 08:46:14 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqir4j$8b3$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229526
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/12/2011 7:39 PM, raven1 wrote:
> On Thu, 12 May 2011 16:31:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>> On 5/12/2011 1:46 PM, raven1 wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
>>>> evidence?
>>>
>>
>> No one can, for an ABSOLUTE CERTAINTY, however, the military ALWAYS will
>> only declassify superior technology when the have something better ...
>> Janes (www.janes.com) is a good site to research this on. Or, any sites
>> which have an overview of historic military technology.
>
> IOW, based on nothing but your speculation.
>
>>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
>>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>>>
>>
>> If two "invisible" laser beams intersect each other, at a point, the
>> frequency of the resulting light can be in the humanly visible spectrum.
>>
>> Ever see the glass cubes with the "etchings of objects" in the interior?
>> This is the result of two laser beams, each of which passes the glass
>> without harming it. However, where the two beams cross/intersect, a
>> "fracturing" of the glass results at that small point. Tightly
>> controlled and manipulated, the "etching" is drawn ... visible
>> "pictures" can be drawn in the plain atmosphere using the same method,
>> with the two "invisible" beams already mentioned. This is done very
>> rapidly, but then, so is a picture "painted" on a TV picture tube, it
>> works quite nicely, since the human eye/brain is so slow in processing ...
>
> Have I mentioned yet that holograms look like holograms, not like
> solid objects?
>
>>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is this,
>>> again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
>>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if

>>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
>>> vanishes?
>>>
>>
>> Yes, if the beams cease to quit rapidly redrawing the "picture" in the
>> atmosphere, the picture ceases to exist. And the above applies ...
>
> Have I mentioned yet that holograms look like holograms, not like
> solid objects?
>
>>>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and based
>>>> in reality!
>>>
>>> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?
>>
>> Proof ... the evidence is that it is already being done ...
>
> You've provided no evidence at all, just speculation. And very silly
> speculation at that. For your next trick, please investigate whether
> whales built Stonehenge.
>

This is all your supposition. You offer nothing to back up your
statements, personal conclusions and opinions ... others here will
engage you on the level of discussion you put forward, keep up looking ...

Regards,
JS

1600. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 08:55:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqirml$8b3$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229527
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/12/2011 7:31 PM, raven1 wrote:
> On Thu, 12 May 2011 19:47:07 -0400, Marc Riehm<mriehm@sympatico.ca>
> wrote:
>
>> On 11-05-12 4:46 PM, raven1 wrote:
>>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
>> <snip>
>>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
>>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>>>
>>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is this,
>>> again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
>>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
>>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
>>> vanishes?
>>>
>>
>> Such hologram equipment simply does not exist.
>
> Well duh. Tell that to the kooks.
>

Just keep in mind, you are speaking to someone, here, who actually thinks the inside job involved criminal elements of our government finding, funding, training and implementing the inside job with foreign radicals which were inducted to do the job.

Although speculation on how it could have been accomplished with other means is interesting, and I would hope a valid investigation even delves into this, just to establish reality over all aspects of the inside job, I tend to allow for real planes/drones/etc. hitting the building ... all the interesting evidence and proofs which exist are not based on whether a physical flying object struct the WTC buildings or not (and of course NO PLANE ever struck building 7 which fell just like the twin towers.)

9/11 stands as an inside job no matter what. So, naturally, the planes are of interest to a subset of all those whose interests center around 9/11.

SUMMARY: Any discussions just focusing on the planes is too limited and misses the real physical proofs ...

Regards,
JS

1601. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 09:47:43 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqiuns$8b3$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/12/2011 7:45 PM, oldwifetale wrote:
> On May 12, 7:02 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/12/2011 4:39 PM, oldwifetale wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Which parts, precisely, have been proven false by videos?
>>
>> I believe this is the one talked about, your research should turn up a
>> better analysis and more fraudulent tapes, of course ...
>>
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=41UAnkQARFs
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> My research?
>
> I just watched that video about 5 times. To *me* the man in the video
> seems to be gesturing the same way other films have shown. He holds
> his hand palm-up, which i had noticed him doing one time in another
> video. His long thumb, especially, is shaped like what i have seen
> before. To me, it didn't appear that he was writing with his right
> hand, it appeared that he was putting something in his mouth - eating.
> I do not know the customs of ObL or his people, but i do know that
> *some* people from the middle-east eat *only* with their right hands
> as part of religious beliefs, whereas there are other things - such as
> pertaining to hygiene - that must only be done with the left hand. It
> may be said that he is left-handed, but where in that film is it
> obvious that he was writing? I don't see it. All i see is that he
> brought something up to his mouth, in his hand, and then put his hand
> back down. Also he had a very distinctive long nose. The low quality
> of the film prevents seeing it, but if you 'blur' your eyes to remove
> the appearance of pixels, it appears to be the same long, straight
> nose when he is facing the camera. Personally, i don't see anything
> about the film to indicate it is fake.

That tape, while done in stunning "Hollywood Style", with backdrops and
props from a movie studio, is only as good as the evidence which is
actually presented. Obviously, that is not a video forensics lab where
real videos are given real analysis ...

The expert is rather unclear on exactly which tape he is analyzing.

Here is one of tapes I am referring to:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=19eVwHAbmRI&feature=related

I'd say something is wrong. Perhaps the "expert" using facial
recognition was slipped a tape which is not in dispute?

Here is a discussion of the tape, centered around the CIA fraud:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z8v9O9T-8wU

Undoubtedly, your researching abilities once you blow off the dust and
get more practice ...

Regards,
JS

1602. Re: SMYTHE Exposing In Public & Saying in Urdu! Fuck Me When U Come Home But Not Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 09:52:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqiv0i$8b3$10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229529

On 5/12/2011 9:48 PM, rod wrote:
> ***That Smythe, always showing off!

I think it only appears like that to you, an absolute moron with no skills, education or intelligence.

If you think real hard, you will see your statement(s) are more about yourself than me or others ... lacking the ability to realize that, you are laying bare soul for all to see ...

Regards,
JS

1603. Re: SMYTHE Exposing In Public & Saying in Urdu! Fuck Me When U Come Home But Not Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 09:53:20 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqiv2d$8b3$11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229530

On 5/12/2011 9:49 PM, rod wrote:
> On May 12, 6:28 am, sanghavi<usgaller...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> Paki Girl Exposing In Public& Saying in Urdu! Fuck Me When U Come
>> Home But Not Here
>> Athttp://bathinggirls.Co.CC
>>
>> Due to GOOGLE security risks, i have hidden the videos(15) in an
>> image.
>> in that website on Right side below search box click on image
>> and watch videos in all angles.
>
> ***Smythe, the ultimate show off!

Again ...

I think it only appears like that to you, an absolute moron with no skills, education or intelligence.

If you think real hard, you will see your statement(s) are more about yourself than me or others ... lacking the ability to realize that, you are laying bare soul for all to see ...

Regards,
JS

1604. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 09:55:48 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqiv71$8b3$12@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229531

On 5/12/2011 9:40 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 12, 6:11 pm, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>> On May 12, 9:15 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On 5/12/2011 5:09 AM, dave wrote:
>>
>>>> On 05/11/2011 06:18 PM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>>>> What Do Those 'Other' 499K Years Show : Lots of
>>>>> 'Climate Change' and in-fact Greater 'Climate Change's
>>>>> "Pre" Man's Industrial Age.
>>>>> * Real Hot Spells {not just Warming; but "Hot"}
>>>>> * Real Cold Spells {not just Cool; but "Ice Ages"}
>>>>> and... All Without Man-Kind.
>>>>> -oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' fact
>>
>>>> The PPM for CO2 has never been above 290 until we started pumping coal
>>>> smoke into the air. It's been higher in the distant past, but never this
>>>> high with humans around.
>>
>>>> http://artofteachingscience.org/images/arton2481.jpg
>>
>>> Where did you get that information at?
>>
>>> http://www.ff.org/centers/csspp/library/co2weekly/2005-08-18/dioxide.htm
>>
>>> The spreading of false data is not a good thing ...
>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
>> Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> Al Gore smokes pot ? That is new to me .

How else could he get those stupid arse blank stares, smiles and grins
on his face. He looks absolutely loony -- I believe there is excellent

reason(s) for that ...

Regards,
JS

1605. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 09:59:44 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqived$8b3$13@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229532

On 5/12/2011 7:44 PM, dave wrote:
> On 05/12/2011 03:07 PM, RHF wrote:
>> On May 12, 5:09 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>>> On 05/11/2011 06:18 PM, RHF wrote:
>>>
>>>> What Do Those 'Other' 499K Years Show : Lots of
>>>> 'Climate Change' and in-fact Greater 'Climate Change's
>>>> "Pre" Man's Industrial Age.
>>>> * Real Hot Spells {not just Warming; but "Hot"}
>>>> * Real Cold Spells {not just Cool; but "Ice Ages"}
>>>> and... All Without Man-Kind.
>>>> -oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' fact
>>>
>>> The PPM for CO2 has never been above 290 until we started pumping coal
>>> smoke into the air. It's been higher in the distant past, but never this
>>> high with humans around.
>>>
>>> http://artofteachingscience.org/images/arton2481.jpg
>>
>> Dave - Re-Read Your Own Source Evidence {Chart}
>> 2~3 Peaks as High or Higher.
>
> Once to 297 PPM 300,000 years ago. Still nowhere near what we have today.

ROFLOL!

http://www.ff.org/centers/csspp/library/co2weekly/2005-08-18/dioxide.htm

We are at the lowest PPM of Co2 we have ever been at, a couple of
hundred PPM +/- is virtually insignificant!

Regards,
JS

1606. Re: “The unprecedented number of death threats against President Obama....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 10:07:33 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqivt2$8b3$14@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229533
Cross-posted to: alt.assassination.jfk.uncensored,alt.conspiracy,alt.politics.bush,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/13/2011 2:55 AM, Raymond wrote:
> The JFK Assassination: New York Times Acknowledges CIA Deceptions
> Six weeks before Kennedyï¿½s murder, the Washington News published an
> extraordinary attack on the CIAï¿½s ï¿½bureaucratic arroganceï¿½ and
> obstinate disregard of orders...
>
> ï¿½The unprecedented number of death threats against President Obama, a
> rise in racist hate groups, and a new wave of antigovernment fervor
> threaten to overwhelm the US Secret Service.ï¿½ [
>
> If the United States ever experiences a `Seven Days in May' it will
> come from the CIA
> On the Kennedy assassination, the deceptions began in 1964 with the
> Warren Commission.
>
> The JFK Assassination: New York Times Acknowledges CIA Deceptions by
> Prof. Peter Dale Scott Posted on October 23, 2009 by dandelionsalad
> Dandelion Salad
>
> by Prof. Peter Dale Scott
>
> The New York Times, on October 17, published a page-one story by Scott
> Shane about the CIAï¿½s defiance of a court order to release documents
> pertaining to the John F. Kennedy assassination, in its so-called
> Joannides file. George Joannides was the CIA case officer for a Cuban
> exile group that made headlines in 1963 by its public engagements with
> Lee Harvey Oswald, just a few weeks before Oswald allegedly killed
> Kennedy. For over six years a former Washington Post reporter,
> Jefferson Morley, has been suing the CIA for the release of these
> documents. [1]
>
> Sometimes the way that a news item is reported can be more newsworthy
> than the item itself. A notorious example was the 1971 publication of
> the Pentagon Papers (documents far too detailed for most people to
> read) on the front page of the New York Times.
>
> The October 17 Times story was another such example. It revealed,
> perhaps for the first time in any major U.S. newspaper, that the CIA
> has been deceiving the public about its own relationship to the JFK
> assassination.
>
> On the Kennedy assassination, the deceptions began in 1964 with the

> Warren Commission. The C.I.A. hid its schemes to kill Fidel Castro and
> its ties to the anti-Castro Directorio Revolucionario Estudantil, or
> Cuban Student Directorate, which received $50,000 a month in C.I.A.
> support during 1963.
>
> In August 1963, Oswald visited a New Orleans shop owned by a
> directorate official, feigning sympathy with the groupï¿½s goal of
> ousting Mr. Castro. A few days later, directorate members found Oswald
> handing out pro-Castro pamphlets and got into a brawl with him. Later
> that month, he debated the anti-Castro Cubans on a local radio
> station.
>
> That the October 17 story was published at all is astonishing.
> According to Lexis Nexis, there have only been two earlier references
> to the CIA Joannides documents controversy in any major U.S.
> newspaper: a brief squib in the New York Daily News in 2003 announcing
> the launching of the case, and a letter to the New York Times in 2007
> (of which the lead author was Jeff Morley) complaining about the
> Timesï¿½ rave review of a book claiming that Oswald was a lone
> assassin.
>
> (The review had said inter alia that ï¿½''Conspiracy theorists'' should
> be ''ridiculed, even shunned... marginalized the way we've
> marginalized smokers.'' The letter pointed out in response that those
> suspecting conspiracy included Lyndon Johnson, Richard Nixon, Robert
> Kennedy, and J. Edgar Hoover.)
>
> The New York Times has systematically regulated the release of any
> facts about the Kennedy assassination, ever since November 25, 1963,
> when it first declared Oswald, the day after his death, to have been
> the ï¿½assassinï¿½ of JFK. A notorious example was the deletion, between
> the early and the final edition of a Times issue, of a paragraph in a
> review of a book about the JFK assassination, making the obvious point
> that ï¿½MYSTERIES PERSIST.ï¿½ [2]
>
> Apparently there was similar jockeying over the positioning of the
> Scott Shane story. In some east coast editions it ran on page eleven,
> with a trivializing introductory squib, "Food for Conspiracy
> Theorists." In the California edition, headlined ï¿½C.I.A. Is Still
> Cagey About Oswald Mystery,ï¿½ it was on page one above the fold.
>
> One can assume that the Times decision to run the story was a
> momentous one not made casually. The same can probably be said of
> another recent remarkable editorial decision, to publish Tom
> Friedmanï¿½s op-ed on September 29 about the ï¿½very dangerousï¿½ climate
> now in America, ï¿½the same kind of climate here that existed in Israel
> on the eve of the Rabin assassination.ï¿½
>
> Friedman did not mention JFK at all, and his most specific reference
> was to a recent poll on Facebook asking respondents, ï¿½Should Obama be

> killed?ï¿½ [3] Four days later the Wall Street Journal expressed similar
> concern, adding to the ï¿½poll on Facebook asking whether the president
> should be assassinated, a column on a conservative Web site suggesting
> a military coup is in the works.ï¿½ [4]
>
> Friedmanï¿½s column broke a code of silence about the threats to Obama
> that had been in place ever since two redneck white supremacists
> (Shawn Adolf and Tharin Gartrell) were arrested in August 2008 for a
> plot to assassinate Obama with scoped bolt-action rifles. Andrew
> Gumbelï¿½s story about them ran in the London Independent on November
> 16, 2008; of the fifteen related news stories in Lexis Nexis, only
> one, a brief one, is from a U.S. paper.
>
> It is possible to take at face value the concern expressed by Friedman
> in his column. The Boston Globe, a New York Times affiliate, reported
> on October 18 that ï¿½The unprecedented number of death threats against
> President Obama, a rise in racist hate groups, and a new wave of
> antigovernment fervor threaten to overwhelm the US Secret
> Service.ï¿½ [5]
>
> But there may have been a higher level of concern in the normally pro-
> war Wall Street Journalï¿½s reference to a military coup. Such talk on a
> conservative web site is hardly newsworthy. More alarming is the
> report by Robert Dreyfuss in the October 29 Rolling Stone that Obama
> is currently facing an ultimatum from the Pentagon and Joint Chiefs:
> either provide General McChrystal with the 40,000 additional troops he
> has publicly demanded, or ï¿½face a full-scale mutiny by his
> generals...The president, it seems, is battling two insurgencies: one
> in Afghanistan and one cooked up by his own generals.ï¿½ [6]
>
> One can only guess at what led the New York Times to publish a story
> about CIA obstinacy over documents about the JFK assassination. One
> explanation would be the similarities between the painful choices that
> Obama now faces in Afghanistan ï¿½ to escalate, maintain a losing status
> quo, or begin to withdraw ï¿½ and the same equally painful choices that
> Kennedy in 1963 faced in Vietnam. [7] More and more books in recent
> years have asked if some disgruntled hawks in the CIA and Pentagon did
> not participate in the assassination which led to a wider Vietnam War.
> [8]
>
> Six weeks before Kennedyï¿½s murder, the Washington News published an
> extraordinary attack on the CIAï¿½s ï¿½bureaucratic arroganceï¿½ and
> obstinate disregard of orders... ï¿½If the United States ever
> experiences a `Seven Days in Mayï¿½ it will come from the CIA...ï¿½ one
> U.S. official commented caustically. (ï¿½Seven Days in Mayï¿½ is a
> fictional account of an attempted military coup to take over the U.S.
> Government.) [9]
>
> The story was actually a misleading one, but it was a symptom of the
> high-level rifts and infighting that were becoming explosive over

> Vietnam inside the Kennedy administration. The New York Times story
> about the CIA on October 17 can also be seen as a symptom of rifts and
> infighting. One must hope that the country has matured enough since
> 1963 to avoid a similarly bloody denouement.
>
> Peter Dale Scott, a former Canadian diplomat and English Professor at
> the University of California, Berkeley, is a poet, writer, and
> researcher. He was born in Montreal in 1929, the only son of the poet
> F.R. Scott and the painter Marian Scott. His prose books include The
> War Conspiracy (1972), The Assassinations: Dallas and Beyond (in
> collaboration, 1976), Crime and Cover-Up: The CIA, the Mafia, and the
> Dallas-Watergate Connection (1977), The Iran-Contra Connection (in
> collaboration, 1987), Cocaine Politics: Drugs, Armies, and the CIA in
> Central America (in collaboration, 1991, 1998), Deep Politics and the
> Death of JFK (1993, 1996), Deep Politics Two (1994, 1995, 2006), Drugs
> Oil and War (Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield, March 2003), The Road
> to 9/11 (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2007), and The War
> Conspiracy: JFK, 9/11, and the Deep Politics of War (Ipswich, MA: Mary
> Ferrell Foundation Press, 2008).
>
> Notes
>
> 1. ï¿½C.I.A. Is Cagey About '63 Files Tied to Oswald,ï¿½ New York Times,
> October 17, 2009, http://www.nytimes.com/2009/10/17/us/17inquire.html.
>
> 2. Jerry Policoff, The Media and the Murder of John Kennedy,ï¿½ in Peter
> Dale Scott, Paul L. Hoch, and Russell Stetler, The Assassinations:
> Dallas and Beyond (New York: Random House/Vintage, 1976), 268.
>
> 3. Friedman, in decrying attacks on presidential legitimacy, recalled
> that ï¿½The right impeached Bill Clinton and hounded him from Day 1 with
> the bogus Whitewater ï¿½scandal.ï¿½ It is worth recalling also that the
> public outcry about Whitewater was encouraged initially by a series of
> stories by Jeff Gerth, since largely discredited, in the New York
> Times. See Gene Lyons, ï¿½Fool for Scandal: How the New York Times Got
> Whitewater Wrong,ï¿½ Harperï¿½s, October 1994.
>
> 4. http://online.wsj.com/article/SB125452861657560895.html.
>
> 5. Bryan Bender, ï¿½Secret Service strained as leaders face more threats
> Report questions its role in financial investigations,ï¿½ Boston Globe,
> October 18, 2009,
>
> http://www.boston.com/news/nation/washington/articles/2009/10/18/secret_service_under_st
rain_as_leaders_face_more_threats/.
>
> 6. Robert Dreyfuss, ï¿½The Generalsï¿½ Revolt: As Obama rethinks Americaï¿½s
> failed strategy in Afghanistan, he faces two insurgencies: the Taliban
> and the Pentagon.ï¿½ Rolling Stone, October 29, 41. Several other
> articles entitled ï¿½The Generalsï¿½ Revoltï¿½ have been published since

> 2003, including at least two earlier this year and a number in 2006,
> when retired generalsï¿½ pushed successfully for the removal of Rumsfeld
> over his handling of the Iraq War.
>
> 7. Gareth Porter, Perils of Dominance: Imbalance of Power and the Road
> to War in Vietnam (Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California
> Press, 2005), 266.
>
> 8. See for example James Douglass, JFK and the Unspeakable: Why He
> Died& Why It Matters (Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 2008).
>
> 9. Washington Daily News, October 2, 1963; discussed in Peter Dale
> Scott, The War Conspiracy: JFK, 9/11, and the Deep Politics of War
> (Ipswich, MA: Mary Ferrell Foundation Press, 2008),
>
> Peter Dale Scott is a frequent contributor to Global Research. Global
> Research Articles by Peter Dale Scott
>
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.assassination.jfk.uncensored/post

Good history, good reminder of just how dangerous, criminal and
treasonous this government is ... JFKs death was a government coup of
our public servants ... we have not had a valid USA president since.

Regards,
JS

1607. Re: EiBi Skeds Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 10:53:51 UTC
From: "D@LS" <helmsman@wowway.com/>
Message-ID: <0d3qs61iugb9vhn91eg58lnbg543t7gn5l@4ax.com>
Source record: 229534

On Thu, 12 May 2011 07:05:56 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
THANKS
>Correction: 12 May
>
>dxAce wrote:
>
>> The EiBi skeds have been updated as of 11 Mayl:
>>
>> http://www.eibispace.de/dx/bc-a11.txt
>>
>> http://www.eibispace.de/
>>
>> dxAce
>> Michigan
>> USA
>>
>> Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
>> 70' and 200' wires
>> GE SR I (2) and II
>>
>> 239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the
>> NASWA Country List.
>>
>> And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of
>> Technology & Design (Backwater U.).
>>
>> They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about
>> being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.

1608. Re: Trump won't believe President Obama got bin Laden until he releases Long Form Death Certificate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 12:21:24 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iqj7o4run1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229535
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

> "Joe from Kokomo" <joe@indy.net> wrote in message
> news:iqgk77$1to$1@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/12/2011 3:32 AM, fergo wrote:
>>
>>> What did Bush do about Binladen?
>>
>> What Bush did?
>>
>> Bush SHUT DOWN the CIA's bin Laden Task Force in '04 or '05. (This may
>> help explain why UBL was never caught on Bush's watch).
>>
>> And for shutting down the UBL task force, the kooktards want to give
>> Bush credit? BLAME would be much more appropriate.

On 5/13/2011 3:32 AM, fergo wrote:

> And then there's this: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4PGmnz5Ow-o

Thanks for the reference -- from Bush's own lips yet. And the kooktards
want to give this fool credit?

However, that was a *classic* George W $hit eating grin! :-)

1609. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 12:33:15 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105131233.UTC.iqj8edcsk1@tioat.net>
Source record: 229536
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/12/2011 6:58 PM, John Smith wrote:
[...]
>
> Remember before the 1970's when price controls where in effect,
> prices remained stable and inflation was 0%?
>
> Grandmas' and grandpas' retirement would carry them to their end
> days, leaving enough for an inheritance to family and friends?
>
> That is what inflation is all about, stealing from grandma and
> grandpa to pay the new workers, so the rich/elite/tax-sheltered
> don't have to contribute!
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

Much of what you say is true.

But price controls don't stop inflation. They just prevent businesses from compensating for inflation. Under price controls, Grandmoms and Grandpops AND business owners are victims of theft by the bankers. And the idea that Big Brother should control the prices of private transactions is anathema to anyone who treasures freedom.

Inflation is not "an increase in prices." Inflation _causes_ an increase in prices.

Inflation is a relative increase of the money supply. That increase can only be caused by 1) government, 2) banks, or 3) counterfeiters.

There should be no inflation. There is no reason whatever that the dollar could not be a fixed and honest measure, like the mile or the ounce or the minute.

The real reason it is not an honest measure is because bankers and vote-buying politicians like to create money out of thin air. _That_ is inflation. That is what steals the savings of the thrifty and forces us to work our entire lives to pay usury to the bankers, who have a license to create money out of nothing and do _nothing_ productive to earn their huge profits.

Read C.H. Douglas, _Social Credit_, if you want to understand what is really going on.

With all good wishes,

Kevin Alfred Strom.
--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

1610. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 12:38:53 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105131238.UTC.iqj8ovcsu1@tioat.net>
Source record: 229537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.community

On 5/12/2011 6:49 PM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/12/2011 10:31 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>> On 5/12/2011 12:08 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except
>>>> in the
>>>> imaginations of the authors.
>>>>
>>>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> With every good wish,
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Kevin, WB4AIO.
>>>
>>> I would say you make the exact mistake which the narrow minded make
>>> on any given subject.
>>>
>>> You think yourself to know, things which you cannot possibly know,
>>> yet, with the present state of knowledge.
>>>
>>> Any race which would visit us and suggest they where "GODS", and
>>> were but a few thousand years ahead of us, 5,000 - 10,000, would be
>>> entirely able to convince us they were "GODS" -- their technology
>>> would simply allow them to do all the things which "GODS" do.
>>>
>>> I see the possibility that where it would be possible for a race to
>>> be a billion years ahead of us, give or take.
>>>
>>> And, the inception, the creation, the very first living organism,
>>> even if single celled, requires so many factors, and so many
>>> extremely complex design considerations, to have all come together
>>> all within a very time critical period, and in such an exactly
>>> defined technical arrangement and order, as too virtually, at least
>>> in our present state of understanding, be strongly suggestive that
>>> some form of higher intelligence was involved in our design and
>>> creation.
>>>
>>> And, if I am correct in my analysis, Einstein was an agnostic -- he
>>> simply knew he didn't know enough, yet ...

>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>>
>>
>> Well, even if you're right about all that -- and you may be -- that
>> doesn't change the fact that the small fraction of the thousands of
>> crazy Middle Eastern miracle books that the dominant sect chose as
>> "canonical" are about as likely to be uniquely inspired by God as are
>> the collected works of the Amazing Kreskin.
>>
>>
>> With my best regards,
>>
>>
>> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
> There is no proof that the bible was "divinely inspired", at the
> present time, I can't imagine where such proof could be had -- for
> that a leap of faith is required ... one I have great difficulty in
> making, though I keep an open mind, and looking ...
>
> I am just able to manage, a mind greater than our own is a likely
> candidate for our existence.
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

Yes. I don't claim to know, but I think that there is a strong
possibility that that mind may only exist in the part of timespace
that we call the future.

And I also think there is a distinct possibility that events in the
future can determine events in the past -- that timespace folds back
upon itself, like a variation of Nietzsche's eternal recurrence, or,
as our ancestors believed, time is cyclical.

With my very best,

Kevin Alfred Strom.
--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

1611. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 12:43:52 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCD2788.6B560ED1@milestones.com>
Source record: 229538

Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:

> On 5/12/2011 6:49 PM, John Smith wrote:
> > On 5/12/2011 10:31 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
> >> On 5/12/2011 12:08 PM, John Smith wrote:
> >>> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
> >>>
> >>> ...
> >>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except
> >>> in the
> >>> imaginations of the authors.
> >>>
> >>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> With every good wish,
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Kevin, WB4AIO.
> >>>
> >>> I would say you make the exact mistake which the narrow minded make
> >>> on any given subject.
> >>>
> >>> You think yourself to know, things which you cannot possibly know,
> >>> yet, with the present state of knowledge.
> >>>
> >>> Any race which would visit us and suggest they where "GODS", and
> >>> were but a few thousand years ahead of us, 5,000 - 10,000, would be
> >>> entirely able to convince us they were "GODS" -- their technology
> >>> would simply allow them to do all the things which "GODS" do.
> >>>
> >>> I see the possibility that where it would be possible for a race to
> >>> be a billion years ahead of us, give or take.
> >>>
> >>> And, the inception, the creation, the very first living organism,
> >>> even if single celled, requires so many factors, and so many
> >>> extremely complex design considerations, to have all come together
> >>> all within a very time critical period, and in such an exactly
> >>> defined technical arrangement and order, as too virtually, at least
> >>> in our present state of understanding, be strongly suggestive that
> >>> some form of higher intelligence was involved in our design and
> >>> creation.
> >>>
> >>> And, if I am correct in my analysis, Einstein was an agnostic -- he

> >>> simply knew he didn't know enough, yet ...
> >>>
> >>> Regards,
> >>> JS
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Well, even if you're right about all that -- and you may be -- that
> >> doesn't change the fact that the small fraction of the thousands of
> >> crazy Middle Eastern miracle books that the dominant sect chose as
> >> "canonical" are about as likely to be uniquely inspired by God as are
> >> the collected works of the Amazing Kreskin.
> >>
> >>
> >> With my best regards,
> >>
> >>
> >> Kevin Alfred Strom.
> >
> > There is no proof that the bible was "divinely inspired", at the
> > present time, I can't imagine where such proof could be had -- for
> > that a leap of faith is required ... one I have great difficulty in
> > making, though I keep an open mind, and looking ...
> >
> > I am just able to manage, a mind greater than our own is a likely
> > candidate for our existence.
> >
> > Regards,
> > JS
> >
>
> Yes. I don't claim to know, but I think that there is a strong
> possibility that that mind may only exist in the part of timespace
> that we call the future.
>
> And I also think there is a distinct possibility that events in the
> future can determine events in the past -- that timespace folds back
> upon itself, like a variation of Nietzsche's eternal recurrence, or,
> as our ancestors believed, time is cyclical.

What go's around comes around?

1612. (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 12:48:44 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCD28AC.13EE2B7@milestones.com>
Source record: 229539

It's Tulip Time in Holland..

I invite all to tune into WHTC, our local hometown station.

I believe the streaming audio is at whtc.com

The big parade is on Saturday afternoon.

1613. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 12:58:51 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105131258.UTC.iqj9ud$d6h$1@tioat.net>
Source record: 229540

On 5/13/2011 8:43 AM, dxAce wrote:
>
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>
>> On 5/12/2011 6:49 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>> On 5/12/2011 10:31 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>>>> On 5/12/2011 12:08 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>>>> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except
>>>>>> in the
>>>>>> imaginations of the authors.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> With every good wish,
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Kevin, WB4AIO.
>>>>>
>>>>> I would say you make the exact mistake which the narrow minded make
>>>>> on any given subject.
>>>>>
>>>>> You think yourself to know, things which you cannot possibly know,
>>>>> yet, with the present state of knowledge.
>>>>>
>>>>> Any race which would visit us and suggest they where "GODS", and
>>>>> were but a few thousand years ahead of us, 5,000 - 10,000, would be
>>>>> entirely able to convince us they were "GODS" -- their technology
>>>>> would simply allow them to do all the things which "GODS" do.
>>>>>
>>>>> I see the possibility that where it would be possible for a race to
>>>>> be a billion years ahead of us, give or take.
>>>>>
>>>>> And, the inception, the creation, the very first living organism,
>>>>> even if single celled, requires so many factors, and so many
>>>>> extremely complex design considerations, to have all come together
>>>>> all within a very time critical period, and in such an exactly
>>>>> defined technical arrangement and order, as too virtually, at least
>>>>> in our present state of understanding, be strongly suggestive that
>>>>> some form of higher intelligence was involved in our design and

>>>>> creation.
>>>>>
>>>>> And, if I am correct in my analysis, Einstein was an agnostic -- he
>>>>> simply knew he didn't know enough, yet ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Well, even if you're right about all that -- and you may be -- that
>>>> doesn't change the fact that the small fraction of the thousands of
>>>> crazy Middle Eastern miracle books that the dominant sect chose as
>>>> "canonical" are about as likely to be uniquely inspired by God as are
>>>> the collected works of the Amazing Kreskin.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> With my best regards,
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>>>
>>> There is no proof that the bible was "divinely inspired", at the
>>> present time, I can't imagine where such proof could be had -- for
>>> that a leap of faith is required ... one I have great difficulty in
>>> making, though I keep an open mind, and looking ...
>>>
>>> I am just able to manage, a mind greater than our own is a likely
>>> candidate for our existence.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>>
>>
>> Yes. I don't claim to know, but I think that there is a strong
>> possibility that that mind may only exist in the part of timespace
>> that we call the future.
>>
>> And I also think there is a distinct possibility that events in the
>> future can determine events in the past -- that timespace folds back
>> upon itself, like a variation of Nietzsche's eternal recurrence, or,
>> as our ancestors believed, time is cyclical.
>
> What go's around comes around?
>
>

That's one way of putting it!

Kevin.
--

http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

1614. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 13:17:24 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcd2f12$0$12450$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229541

On 05/12/2011 09:40 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 12, 6:11 pm, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:

>>
>> Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
>> Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> Al Gore smokes pot ? That is new to me .

I would assume that he does. Most boomers smoke pot, don't they?

1615. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 13:18:16 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcd2f46$0$12450$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229542

On 05/13/2011 05:48 AM, dxAce wrote:
> It's Tulip Time in Holland..
>
> I invite all to tune into WHTC, our local hometown station.
>
> I believe the streaming audio is at whtc.com
>
> The big parade is on Saturday afternoon.
>

Not gay!

1616. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 13:18:24 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCD2FA0.8BA23670@milestones.com>
Source record: 229543

dave wrote:

> On 05/13/2011 05:48 AM, dxAce wrote:
> > It's Tulip Time in Holland..
> >
> > I invite all to tune into WHTC, our local hometown station.
> >
> > I believe the streaming audio is at whtc.com
> >
> > The big parade is on Saturday afternoon.
> >
>
> Not gay!

We all know you're gay, Rickmers.

Anyone who is a PSK clown 'tard must be!

1617. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 13:27:33 UTC
From: raven1 <quoththeraven@nevermore.com>
Message-ID: <iacqs6l4hra6e05k6rs32gcfm8cqf5sjo6@4ax.com>
Source record: 229544
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 13 May 2011 01:55:52 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>On 5/12/2011 7:31 PM, raven1 wrote:
>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 19:47:07 -0400, Marc Riehm<mriehm@sympatico.ca>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> On 11-05-12 4:46 PM, raven1 wrote:
>>>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
>>> <snip>
>>>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
>>>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>>>>
>>>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is this,
>>>> again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
>>>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
>>>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
>>>> vanishes?
>>>>
>>>
>>> Such hologram equipment simply does not exist.
>>
>> Well duh. Tell that to the kooks.
>>
>
>Just keep in mind, you are speaking to someone, here, who actually
>thinks the inside job involved criminal elements of our government
>finding, funding, training and implementing the inside job with foreign
>radicals which were inducted to do the job.

Yes, I do keep that in mind. I have no illusions that I'm going to
convince a "Truther" of the silliness of their position(s): my goal is
to point that silliness out to the general public.

1618. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 14:00:12 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <hebqs6l4dm3ok4uj0i81v53ij1ldqe8gbq@4ax.com>
Source record: 229545
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Sat, 07 May 2011 20:14:10 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:

>They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
>was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
>
>Part One - Tenants
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
>
>Part Two - Security
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
>
>Part Three - Convergence
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton.html
>
>Part Four - Cleanup
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
>
>Regards,
>JS

Excellent presentation of facts.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie has no facts.

The bushkultie has only lies.

The bushkultie is a failure.

Watch the pnac pawn ignore all of the above.

1619. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 14:00:12 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <0ebqs6dkhh3vbb3biguv0vri1g7gkr3tcl@4ax.com>
Source record: 229546
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Sat, 07 May 2011 20:14:10 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:

>They were dropped in during the computer/network upgrade ... security
>was lax, varoious employees remarked on this ...
>
>Part One - Tenants
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p1.html
>
>Part Two - Security
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p2.html
>
>Part Three - Convergence
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/carlyle_kissinger_saic_halliburton.html
>
>Part Four - Cleanup
>http://911review.com/articles/ryan/demolition_access_p4.html
>
>Regards,
>JS

This remains undisputed.

1620. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 15:05:51 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DCD48CF-676@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229547

Top Doctor Confirms Bin Laden Had Marfan Syndrome, Died in 2001
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307624

Hayba Laba, I Likes them Top Doctors.Top Shrink (MD.Lyle Harold Rossiter in Saint Charles,Illinois) is a Doctor too.He says democraps and libturds are CLINICALLY INSANE!
cuhulin

1621. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 15:22:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DCD4C9D-679@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229551

High Tech High Students Expose Flaws In /born in Kenya! MOFO SCARHEAD'S
Long Form Birth Certificate
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307623

I am Low Tech/No Tech.Even I Realize Born in Kenya! MOFO SCARHEAD is a
Fake Fraud Phoney.
cuhulin

1622. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 15:25:07 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqjigl$9kd$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/13/2011 4:34 AM, oldwifetale wrote:
> On May 13, 4:45 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 PM, oldwifetale wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>> ...
>>>> My research?
>>
>>>> I just watched that video about 5 times. To *me* the man in the video
>>>> seems to be gesturing the same way other films have shown. He holds
>>>> his hand palm-up, which i had noticed him doing one time in another
>>>> video. His long thumb, especially, is shaped like what i have seen
>>>> before. To me, it didn't appear that he was writing with his right
>>>> hand, it appeared that he was putting something in his mouth - eating.
>>>> I do not know the customs of ObL or his people, but i do know that
>>>> *some* people from the middle-east eat *only* with their right hands
>>>> as part of religious beliefs, whereas there are other things - such as
>>>> pertaining to hygiene - that must only be done with the left hand. It
>>>> may be said that he is left-handed, but where in that film is it
>>>> obvious that he was writing? I don't see it. All i see is that he
>>>> brought something up to his mouth, in his hand, and then put his hand
>>>> back down. Also he had a very distinctive long nose. The low quality
>>>> of the film prevents seeing it, but if you 'blur' your eyes to remove
>>>> the appearance of pixels, it appears to be the same long, straight
>>>> nose when he is facing the camera. Personally, i don't see anything
>>>> about the film to indicate it is fake.
>>
>>> Try this one:
>>
>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TCmkoaPIJC0&feature=related
>>
>>> (Tapes examined by expert in facial recognition)
>>
>> That tape, while done in stunning "Hollywood Style", with backdrops and

>> props from a movie studio, is only as good as the evidence which is
>> actually presented. Obviously, that is not a video forensics lab where
>> real videos are given real analysis ...
>>
>> The expert is rather unclear on exactly which tape he is analyzing.
>>
>> Here is one of tapes I am referring to:
>>
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=19eVwHAbmRI&feature=related
>>
>> I'd say something is wrong. Perhaps the "expert" using facial
>> recognition was slipped a tape which is not in dispute?
>
> Or perhaps not.
>
>
> Why do you consider his *opinions* more credible than any other
> person's opinions? It seems to me that some 'researchers' are
> stretching for any and all *opinions* that are in line with their own
> thinking, rather than considering any other possibilities. You present
> a video of a man *claiming* that CIA basically admitted the video was
> a fake - in lieu of presenting any direct source of CIA "admitting" it
> was a fake.
>
> That is not objective 'analysis', Mr. Smith.
>
>
>>
>> Undoubtedly, your researching abilities will improve, with practice ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Condescending much?
>
> The analyst (in so-called "Hollywood style" - or what i'd call
> "professional") pointed out the one thing that was very obvious about
> that confession tape - which was that it was slightly *squished*.
> That's why you see a supposedly "fat" ObL. You do know that a video
> can be distorted (elongated or shortened) in the same way that a photo
> can be distorted, don't you?
>
> A problem that i have with *some* conspiracy theories is that they
> grow more and more complex over the years... getting further and
> further away from the simple truth that was staring you right in the
> face the entire time.
>

Yes. I see you having complete trouble with anything which does not
support your opinion.

As I pointed out, and you skipped over, it is only the analysis and the evidence in the video which is of importance.

http://911blimp.net/vid_fakeOsamaVideo.shtml

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qWikH3Ws4Bc

http://www.obamaantichrist.org/911-conspiracy-the-bin-laden-tape-is-a-fake/

As I said, your investigation will turn up enough material to keep you busy for days, if not weeks ... once you actually start the investigation ...

But, get ready for the new faked videos of his death at the compound, in Pakistan, locals are already saying it is not bin laden in the video and asking about the "disappeared body." Roughly just what Americans are finding fault with ... getting better and better!

Regards,
JS

1623. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 15:27:00 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqjik6$9kd$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On 5/13/2011 4:45 AM, oldwifetale wrote:
>
>
>> Why do you consider his *opinions* more credible than any other
>> person's opinions? [referring to Alex Jones]

It is the video, not your dressed up hollywood backdrops attempting to
make celebrity status out of the trimmings ... one more time ...

Regards,
JS
```

1624. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 15:28:26 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqjims$9kd$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/13/2011 6:16 AM, twofeathers wrote:

> ...
> now why would the al qaeda website use the video and say its their own?

al qaeda didn't exist until the CIA invented/constructed/financed it ...

Regards,
JS

1625. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 15:30:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DCD4E84-2053@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229555

I am not gay, but I like that old song,,,,
(This song is for the wimmins out thar.Hit it Silver!) When you worre a
Tulip,,,, a bright yellllow Tulip,,,, and I worre a red, red,
Rose,,,,,,, You made Life cheery when you called me Dearie,,,,,,

Happy Tulip time y'all.
Rose. http://www.ryans.org
Rose of Tralee.
cuhulin

1626. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 15:33:43 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <85d10ac5-3551-4271-9255-a94cf2c4056e@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229554

On May 13, 5:48 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> It's Tulip Time in Holland..
>
> I invite all to tune into WHTC, our local hometown station.
>
> I believe the streaming audio is at whtc.com
>
> The big parade is on Saturday afternoon.

Question - is this a little later than usual? I recall that in New England, tulips were frequently popping up through the snow as early as April...

1627. Re: BDXC - Africa on Shortwave Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 15:34:57 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <87f31ede-d101-48be-912f-8f3f4a117471@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229553

On May 12, 4:15 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> The BDXC Africa on Shortwave page (along with other pages) has been updated
> for May:
>
> http://www.bdxc.org.uk/
>
> Click on Articles Index Page.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
> Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
> 70' and 200' wires
> GE SR I (2) and II
>
> 239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the
> NASWA Country List.
>
> And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of
> Technology & Design (Backwater U.).
>
> They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about
> being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.

Thanks!

1628. Re: WAS BIN LADEN'S DEATH FAKED? IF SO, WHY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 15:35:08 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqjj3e$9kd$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229552
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.talk.dr-laura,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.politics.socialism,alt.military,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/13/2011 8:06 AM, mightyslow wrote:
> On May 13, 9:04 am, "GEN. BILL KILGORE"<gen.billkilg...@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>> Found on the web& most interesting!
>>
>> **8
>
>>
>> For one thing, this is going to make it extremely difficult for
>> Republicans to beat President Barack Obama in the 2012 elections.
>> Immediately following the raidï¿½s announcement, Obamaï¿½s approval rating
>> soared by almost 25 percentage points.
>
> His approval ratings are in the low 50's meaning he got a bump of
> about five points, not 25. Obama will have to run on high gas prices,
> inflation, 9% unemployment, a whacked real estate market and other
> assorted hurts and miseries. It's hilarious that you think Obama
> could sail on the capture of a hoodlam who's been on the Unsolved
> Mysteries for the past 9 years. You're setting the bar so low that
> even Trump could wiggle under it...I know you like the part where
> Trump's wriggling his arms and chin under the limbo bar and his crotch
> is strutting right out at yer nose. It's Nemo time!!!

In my area, the central valley of California, he is going to have to run on 18%+ (most likely, in reality ~25%) unemployment figures, that 9% is not even close to being accurate, and probably NOT ACCURATE ANYWHERE in the usa!

The governments unemployment figures ONLY take into account those who are DRAWING UNEMPLOYMENT CHECKS -- once the checks run out, you are no longer considered unemployed!

Nice way to keep accurate figures ... ROFLOL

There was a time, back in the 1970's or so, when just if you registered with the unemployment office, looking for work, you were counted as unemployed. When the unemployment figures started to climb too high, only those drawing checks were started to be counted ... this problem has been with us a long, long time ... another instance of the "frog in the pan of boiling water."

Regards,
JS

1629. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 15:41:31 UTC
From: oldwifetale <oldwifetale@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d848c739-417f-4400-aa6b-bac607f66e94@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native

> > A problem that i have with *some* conspiracy theories is that they
> > grow more and more complex over the years... getting further and
> > further away from the simple truth that was staring you right in the
> > face the entire time.
>
> Yes. I see you having complete trouble with anything which does not
> support your opinion.

And what *opinion* would that be?

(Did you seriously add rush limbaugh groups??? I took them off. Oh
yeah, that's *all* i need.)

> As I pointed out, and you skipped over, it is only the analysis and the
> evidence in the video which is of importance.
>
> http://911blimp.net/vid_fakeOsamaVideo.shtml
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qWikH3Ws4Bc
>
> http://www.obamaantichrist.org/911-conspiracy-the-bin-laden-tape-is-a...
>
> As I said, your investigation will turn up enough material to keep you
> busy for days, if not weeks ... once you actually start the
> investigation ...

Mm-hmm...

>
> But, get ready for the new faked videos of his death at the compound, in
> Pakistan, locals are already saying it is not bin laden in the video and
> asking about the "disappeared body." Roughly just what Americans are
> finding fault with ... getting better and better!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Pakistan locals? Really? That's interesting (in more ways than one).
Because his family *and* Al Qaeda accept that it was him.

1630. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 15:45:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqjjmj$9kc$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229557
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/13/2011 5:33 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
> On 5/12/2011 6:58 PM, John Smith wrote:
> [...]
>>
>> Remember before the 1970's when price controls where in effect,
>> prices remained stable and inflation was 0%?
>>
>> Grandmas' and grandpas' retirement would carry them to their end
>> days, leaving enough for an inheritance to family and friends?
>>
>> That is what inflation is all about, stealing from grandma and
>> grandpa to pay the new workers, so the rich/elite/tax-sheltered
>> don't have to contribute!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>>
>
>
> Much of what you say is true.
>
> But price controls don't stop inflation. They just prevent businesses
> from compensating for inflation. Under price controls, Grandmoms and
> Grandpops AND business owners are victims of theft by the bankers. And
> the idea that Big Brother should control the prices of private
> transactions is anathema to anyone who treasures freedom.
>
> Inflation is not "an increase in prices." Inflation _causes_ an increase
> in prices.
>
> Inflation is a relative increase of the money supply. That increase can
> only be caused by 1) government, 2) banks, or 3) counterfeiters.
>
> There should be no inflation. There is no reason whatever that the
> dollar could not be a fixed and honest measure, like the mile or the
> ounce or the minute.
>
> The real reason it is not an honest measure is because bankers and
> vote-buying politicians like to create money out of thin air. _That_ is
> inflation. That is what steals the savings of the thrifty and forces us
> to work our entire lives to pay usury to the bankers, who have a license
> to create money out of nothing and do _nothing_ productive to earn their
> huge profits.

>
> Read C.H. Douglas, _Social Credit_, if you want to understand what is
> really going on.
>
>
> With all good wishes,
>
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom.

Price controls would never be effective if applied to American goods.

The controls would be enacted as tariffs on foreign goods to employ Americans making American products.

The price controls would be on taxes, and eliminating/stopping them, as well as government fees/licenses/fines/charges/etc.

The price controls would be regulations on government, banking, wall street, etc. Since all of businesses costs would become stable, business would only be called out on the rug when it raised the cost of its' products ...

You don't attempt to capture the swine once it has escaped the barn, you enact good controls on the barn so the swine can't escape.

Anything less ends up just being, "Steal the wealth from the old to pay for the carrots to hang before the young."

Regards,
JS

1631. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 15:48:04 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqjjrm$9kc$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native

On 5/13/2011 8:41 AM, oldwifetale wrote:
>
>>> A problem that i have with *some* conspiracy theories is that they
>>> grow more and more complex over the years... getting further and
>>> further away from the simple truth that was staring you right in the
>>> face the entire time.
>>
>> Yes. I see you having complete trouble with anything which does not
>> support your opinion.
>
> And what *opinion* would that be?
>

The one which drives you to keep making these posts asking about the
fraudulent videos, rather than researching this yourself, the data is as
available to you as it is to me ...

> (Did you seriously add rush limbaugh groups??? I took them off. Oh
> yeah, that's *all* i need.)
>

Yep ... and any I feel fitting ...

>
>> As I pointed out, and you skipped over, it is only the analysis and the
>> evidence in the video which is of importance.
>>
>> http://911blimp.net/vid_fakeOsamaVideo.shtml
>>
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qWikH3Ws4Bc
>>
>> http://www.obamaantichrist.org/911-conspiracy-the-bin-laden-tape-is-a...
>>
>> As I said, your investigation will turn up enough material to keep you
>> busy for days, if not weeks ... once you actually start the
>> investigation ...
>
> Mm-hmm...
>
>>
>> But, get ready for the new faked videos of his death at the compound, in
>> Pakistan, locals are already saying it is not bin laden in the video and

>> asking about the "disappeared body." Roughly just what Americans are
>> finding fault with ... getting better and better!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Pakistan locals? Really? That's interesting (in more ways than one).
> Because his family *and* Al Qaeda accept that it was him.

Yeah, we have been over that a few times, already.

1632. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 15:55:45 UTC
From: "John B." <johnb505@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <fec40996-dd1a-4feb-96b8-f63696540832@w24g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229559
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 13, 8:33 am, Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.st...@revilo-oliver.com>
wrote:
> On 5/12/2011 6:58 PM, John Smith wrote:
> [...]
>
>
>
> > Remember before the 1970's when price controls where in effect,
> > prices remained stable and inflation was 0%?
>
> > Grandmas' and grandpas' retirement would carry them to their end
> > days, leaving enough for an inheritance to family and friends?
>
> > That is what inflation is all about, stealing from grandma and
> > grandpa to pay the new workers, so the rich/elite/tax-sheltered
> > don't have to contribute!
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Much of what you say is true.
>
> But price controls don't stop inflation. They just prevent
> businesses from compensating for inflation. Under price controls,
> Grandmoms and Grandpops AND business owners are victims of theft by
> the bankers. And the idea that Big Brother should control the prices
> of private transactions is anathema to anyone who treasures freedom.
>
> Inflation is not "an increase in prices." Inflation _causes_ an
> increase in prices.
>
> Inflation is a relative increase of the money supply. That increase
> can only be caused by 1) government, 2) banks, or 3) counterfeiters.
>
> There should be no inflation. There is no reason whatever that the
> dollar could not be a fixed and honest measure, like the mile or the
> ounce or the minute.
>
> The real reason it is not an honest measure is because bankers and
> vote-buying politicians like to create money out of thin air. _That_
> is inflation. That is what steals the savings of the thrifty and
> forces us to work our entire lives to pay usury to the bankers, who
> have a license to create money out of nothing and do _nothing_

> productive to earn their huge profits.
>
> Read C.H. Douglas, _Social Credit_, if you want to understand what
> is really going on.
>
> With all good wishes,
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom.
> --http://nationalvanguard.org/http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

I'm not an economist, but inflation seems to me a fact of life in a market economy. The price of almost everything goes up over time, not just in the U.S. but in every country on earth. Bankers and politicians can't "create money out of thin air," as much as they'd like to.

1633. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 16:15:56 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqjlfu$9kc$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229560
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/13/2011 8:55 AM, John B. wrote:
> On May 13, 8:33 am, Kevin Alfred Strom<kevin.st...@revilo-oliver.com>
> wrote:
>> On 5/12/2011 6:58 PM, John Smith wrote:
>> [...]
>>
>>
>>
>>> Remember before the 1970's when price controls where in effect,
>>> prices remained stable and inflation was 0%?
>>
>>> Grandmas' and grandpas' retirement would carry them to their end
>>> days, leaving enough for an inheritance to family and friends?
>>
>>> That is what inflation is all about, stealing from grandma and
>>> grandpa to pay the new workers, so the rich/elite/tax-sheltered
>>> don't have to contribute!
>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> Much of what you say is true.
>>
>> But price controls don't stop inflation. They just prevent
>> businesses from compensating for inflation. Under price controls,
>> Grandmoms and Grandpops AND business owners are victims of theft by
>> the bankers. And the idea that Big Brother should control the prices
>> of private transactions is anathema to anyone who treasures freedom.
>>
>> Inflation is not "an increase in prices." Inflation _causes_ an
>> increase in prices.
>>
>> Inflation is a relative increase of the money supply. That increase
>> can only be caused by 1) government, 2) banks, or 3) counterfeiters.
>>
>> There should be no inflation. There is no reason whatever that the
>> dollar could not be a fixed and honest measure, like the mile or the
>> ounce or the minute.
>>
>> The real reason it is not an honest measure is because bankers and
>> vote-buying politicians like to create money out of thin air. _That_
>> is inflation. That is what steals the savings of the thrifty and
>> forces us to work our entire lives to pay usury to the bankers, who

>> have a license to create money out of nothing and do _nothing_
>> productive to earn their huge profits.
>>
>> Read C.H. Douglas, _Social Credit_, if you want to understand what
>> is really going on.
>>
>> With all good wishes,
>>
>> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>> --http://nationalvanguard.org/http://kevinalfredstrom.com/
>
> I'm not an economist, but inflation seems to me a fact of life in a
> market economy. The price of almost everything goes up over time, not
> just in the U.S. but in every country on earth. Bankers and
> politicians can't "create money out of thin air," as much as they'd
> like to.

Actually, that statement is correct, in a strange way.

Banksters and wall street don't created "money", they create debt.
Worthless dollars are printed as IOUs to pay that debt.

The final "payment" is extracted in flesh from the peasant populations
who, through their sweat and sacrifice, actually create forms of
products/services, of value, which pay for the created debt.

Nice scam, if you can find idiots to play it with you, and you are NOT
AMONGST THE PEASANTS!

Regards,
JS

1634. Re: After Serving Two Tours in Iraq, EX MARINE IS MURDERED IN OWN HOME BY SWAT TEAM!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 16:34:05 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqjmhv$9kc$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/13/2011 9:25 AM, Warhol wrote:
> On May 13, 5:50 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/13/2011 8:37 AM, Warhol wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> After Serving Two Tours in Iraq, Ex Marine is Killed in Own Home by
>>> SWAT Team
>>
>>> Jose Guerena survived two combat tours of Iraq, only to become a
>>> casualty of the Regime s longest war the one waged against its
>>> domestic subjects in the name of drug prohibition. The former Marine
>>> was slaughtered by a SWAT team during a May 5 assault on his home in
>>> Arizona.
>>
>>> http://www.lewrockwell.com/blog/lewrw/archives/87886.html
>>
>>> Your new reality ...
>>
>>> This quote from the article:
>>
>>> " A deputy s bullet struck the side of the doorway, causing chips of
>>> wood to fall on his shield, recounts the Arizona Daily Star,
>>> paraphrasing an account provided by Pima County Sheriff s Office
>>> (PCSO) functionary Michael O Connor. That prompted some members of
>>> the team to think the deputy had been shot. Guerna never fired a
>>> shot; the marauders who invaded his home fired no fewer than seventy-
>>> one. As is standard procedure in such events, the invaders claimed
>>> that Guerna had fired on the officers, as he had every moral and legal
>>> right to.
>>
>>> Neither Jose nor his wife had a criminal history of any kind. The
>>> attack on their home was described as a narcotics enforcement
>>> operation, but there are no reports that narcotics were found at the
>>> residence - even though the invaders reportedly seized (that is,
>>> stole) something that belonged to the victim."

>>
>>> Police fired gun before entering the home at the door and the splinter
>>> caused the other officers to think they had been shot?
>>
>>> Who makes this shit up... why not link to Osama?
>>
>>> shame on you Arizona...
>>
>> Legalize drugs and give the cops something honest to do ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
>
> Right we should tell our Saul to legalize soft drugs for those who
> served the Empire Abroad in his city... they become Worse Than China
> if they continue the inquisitions on soft drugs users. The cops must
> defend the people, therefore they are paid, and not to shot people
> they have sworn to protect...
>
> It's a Shame... Soft drugs never harmed anybody... and those who
> served the Empire Abroad certainly need a joint to forget the hell
> they have been send in to serve the Elite NWO...
>
> Why does the NWO fear Soft drugs and Tobacco?
>
> Do they want to have control over it in black market and have so much
> more gain?
>
> http://documenttechnology-news.com/print-control/richest-mannwo-911-drugs-control-cia-kg
b-mossad-mi6-nsa-fbi-major-banks-governments/
>
> The cops have sworn to go after criminals... and the criminals now sit
> on the high places...
>
> shouldn't they do their job they are been paid for, by the people,
> whom they have sworn to protect against all crimes?
>

I always thought it was bizarre for "government" to attempt to make
plants against the law -- extremely processed products of plants -- it
could be argued, and effectively to me, to make them illegal, such as
pure cocaine.

To attempt to outlaw plants which were here since the beginning, and can
be argued to have been given to all men, just as inalienable rights, by
the creator is bizarre -- in the least.

Drafts of the Constitution were made on hemp paper. I have heard
discussions claiming the forefathers were smoking hemp and drinking
while drafting the Constitution -- but who can know.

However, from evidence already proving, present politicians imbibe in drugs an alcohol, so why should it have been happening in the past be so far fetched?

Regards,
JS

1635. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 16:46:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DCD606B-2100@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229564

Strangely, I am not sure I have ever seen any Tulips growing in Missy Sippy.That is not to say Tulips will not grow around here, ergo I am very sure they will.Almost every thing else grows very Good in Missy Sippy.I have no doubt some people in Missy Sippy DO grow some Tulips. Lets me check, I am sure Tulip seeds/plants are sold in the stores around here.Maybe I will ask Garden Mama.
http://www.gardenmama.com
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Tulips+in+Mississippi
cuhulin, the Tulips

1636. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 16:49:25 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqjnen$9kc$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229562
Cross-posted to: rec.martial-arts,talk.politics.misc,alt.conspiracy,alt.religion.islam.arabic,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/13/2011 9:38 AM, Herbert Cannon wrote:
> On May 13, 11:09 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/11/2011 7:54 PM, Fraser Johnston wrote:
>>
>>> On 12/05/11 12:41 AM, * US * wrote:
>>>> OBL was a valid target ...
>>
>>>> Correction: he was murdered so he couldn't testify.
>>
>>> Testify to what exactly? If 911 was an inside job surely he could of
>>> released video saying so? Through the internet for example. Hell, I
>>> would of been on the phone to wikileaks.
>>
>>> Fraser
>>
>> 9/11 was clearly and inside job. Arguing if bin laden released a tape
>> claiming responsibility, however, the CIA release a fake one claiming
>> it. The only tape bin laden released, which I am aware of, he says he
>> didn't do it ... so, a moot argument, really ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> You are a retard.

Yeah, well what I am or what I am not has little, to no, bearing on
evidence, facts and proof ...

Regards,
JS
```

1637. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 16:54:29 UTC
From: oldwifetale <oldwifetale@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <72647b75-8b99-4de8-956e-fa4cd3d5d7d2@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native

> >>> A problem that i have with *some* conspiracy theories is that they
> >>> grow more and more complex over the years... getting further and
> >>> further away from the simple truth that was staring you right in the
> >>> face the entire time.
>
> >> Yes. I see you having complete trouble with anything which does not
> >> support your opinion.
>
> > And what *opinion* would that be?
>
> The one which drives you to keep making these posts asking about the
> fraudulent videos, rather than researching this yourself, the data is as
> available to you as it is to me ...

You are exaggerating again. I asked *one time*, and then i stated my
opinion based on what i observed with my own eyes, provided my reasons
(which you did not address), and posted a video made with a facial
recognition analyst. Perhaps your feeling of my 'persistence' is
subjective.

> > (Did you seriously add rush limbaugh groups??? I took them off. Oh
> > yeah, that's *all* i need.)
>
> Yep ... and any I feel fitting ...

You feel that Rush Limbaugh groups are 'fitting'?

Why?

[snip]

> >> But, get ready for the new faked videos of his death at the compound, in
> >> Pakistan, locals are already saying it is not bin laden in the video and
> >> asking about the "disappeared body." Roughly just what Americans are
> >> finding fault with ... getting better and better!
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > Pakistan locals? Really? That's interesting (in more ways than one).
> > Because his family *and* Al Qaeda accept that it was him.
>

> Yeah, we have been over that a few times, already.

Have we? I notice that you've already predetermined that any videos
that get released are fake. That's quite a 'skill' you've got there.

1638. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 17:01:17 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5241810f-6985-484e-a131-6dd8bd3e28ee@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229565

On May 13, 6:17 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/12/2011 09:40 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > On May 12, 6:11 pm, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> >> Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
> >> Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > Al Gore smokes pot ? That is new to me .
>
> I would assume that he does. Most boomers smoke pot, don't they?

I never touched the stuff.

1639. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 17:03:14 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <40f75a59-666a-4aa8-807e-7a9b45d5c50e@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229566

On May 13, 2:59 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/12/2011 7:44 PM, dave wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 05/12/2011 03:07 PM, RHF wrote:
> >> On May 12, 5:09 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> >>> On 05/11/2011 06:18 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> >>>> What Do Those 'Other' 499K Years Show : Lots of
> >>>> 'Climate Change' and in-fact Greater 'Climate Change's
> >>>> "Pre" Man's Industrial Age.
> >>>> * Real Hot Spells {not just Warming; but "Hot"}
> >>>> * Real Cold Spells {not just Cool; but "Ice Ages"}
> >>>> and... All Without Man-Kind.
> >>>> -oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' fact
>
> >>> The PPM for CO2 has never been above 290 until we started pumping coal
> >>> smoke into the air. It's been higher in the distant past, but never this
> >>> high with humans around.
>
> >>>http://artofteachingscience.org/images/arton2481.jpg
>
> >> Dave - Re-Read Your Own Source Evidence {Chart}
> >> 2~3 Peaks as High or Higher.
>
> > Once to 297 PPM 300,000 years ago. Still nowhere near what we have today.
>
> ROFLOL!
>
> http://www.ff.org/centers/csspp/library/co2weekly/2005-08-18/dioxide.htm
>
> We are at the lowest PPM of Co2 we have ever been at, a couple of
> hundred PPM +/- is virtually insignificant!
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Your understanding of science is amazing. Not.

1640. Re: osama and the GREAT BOGEYMAN ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 17:18:51 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqjp5t$9kc$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/13/2011 9:54 AM, oldwifetale wrote:
>
>>>>> A problem that i have with *some* conspiracy theories is that they
>>>>> grow more and more complex over the years... getting further and
>>>>> further away from the simple truth that was staring you right in the
>>>>> face the entire time.
>>
>>>> Yes. I see you having complete trouble with anything which does not
>>>> support your opinion.
>>
>>> And what *opinion* would that be?
>>
>> The one which drives you to keep making these posts asking about the
>> fraudulent videos, rather than researching this yourself, the data is as
>> available to you as it is to me ...
>
> You are exaggerating again. I asked *one time*, and then i stated my
> opinion based on what i observed with my own eyes, provided my reasons
> (which you did not address), and posted a video made with a facial
> recognition analyst. Perhaps your feeling of my 'persistence' is
> subjective.
>

Persistance accounts for nothing, if the data is flawed:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qWikH3Ws4Bc

But, here is facial recognition done in real time ... and, without
"benefit" of an experts interpretations.

>
>>> (Did you seriously add rush limbaugh groups??? I took them off. Oh
>>> yeah, that's *all* i need.)
>>
>> Yep ... and any I feel fitting ...
>
> You feel that Rush Limbaugh groups are 'fitting'?
>
> Why?
>

Because he is "The Great Leader of Neocons" ... democans, republicrats
... everyone needs in on the discussions.

> [snip]
>
>>>> But, get ready for the new faked videos of his death at the compound, in
>>>> Pakistan, locals are already saying it is not bin laden in the video and
>>>> asking about the "disappeared body." Roughly just what Americans are
>>>> finding fault with ... getting better and better!
>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>
>>> Pakistan locals? Really? That's interesting (in more ways than one).
>>> Because his family *and* Al Qaeda accept that it was him.
>>
>> Yeah, we have been over that a few times, already.
>
> Have we? I notice that you've already predetermined that any videos
> that get released are fake. That's quite a 'skill' you've got there.

No. The ones which have been faked frequently fall apart in the
analysis ...

Regards,
JS

1641. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 17:38:50 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DCD6CAA-724@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229568

New 'birth certificate' anomalies inexplicable
http://www.worldnetdaily.com
http.//www.wnd.com

INEXPLICABLE ANOMALIES.

My doggy has a Pedigreeeeee,,,,,
cuhulin

1642. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 18:06:46 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCD7336.37B3D9B2@milestones.com>
Source record: 229570

bpnjensen wrote:

> On May 13, 5:48 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> > It's Tulip Time in Holland..
> >
> > I invite all to tune into WHTC, our local hometown station.
> >
> > I believe the streaming audio is at whtc.com
> >
> > The big parade is on Saturday afternoon.
>
> Question - is this a little later than usual? I recall that in New
> England, tulips were frequently popping up through the snow as early
> as April...

No, pretty much on time Bruce. We have had troubles in past years with the tulips coming up sooner, but this year, they are pretty much on time.

Tonight, at a local venue, both B.J. Thomas and Gary Puckett of the Union Gap will both be putting on shows.

1643. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 18:18:21 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCD75ED.6DC4D26D@milestones.com>
Source record: 229569

bpnjensen wrote:

> On May 13, 5:48 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> > It's Tulip Time in Holland..
> >
> > I invite all to tune into WHTC, our local hometown station.
> >
> > I believe the streaming audio is at whtc.com
> >
> > The big parade is on Saturday afternoon.
>
> Question - is this a little later than usual? I recall that in New
> England, tulips were frequently popping up through the snow as early
> as April...

Let me try sending this again ;-)

No, actually, the tulips this year are pretty much on time.

Tonight, B.J. Thomas and Gary Puckett (rhymes with...) are both having separate shows at the same venue.

1644. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 18:48:39 UTC
From: Day Brown <daybrown@artelco.com>
Message-ID: <UPWdndfBhtm-4FDQnZ2dnUVZ_gSdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.community

On 05/12/2011 08:06 PM, John Smith wrote:
> Whatever intelligence that/would has/have "emerged out of primordial
> chaos" is God, DUH. Unless God has a mate, which he, she, or anyone
> else, for that matter, has not mentioned, any application of he/she
> would be meaningless, at least to myself.
The original Aryan cosmology had it that Chaos was primordial. But eventually, inevitably, what we call a 'self replicating sequence', Gaia emerged. But because replication is not perfect, She evolved to the point of reaching sentience and then differentiating Herself from Chaos and Maya (the physical universe).

Life forms that give birth without male fertilization are parthenogenic mothers. Which is why Gaia is female. And why Athena was in the Parthenon.

> Accidents only happen when humans are involved ... undoubtedly, the
> universe is unfolding just as it should in a way of "proper and good"
> which is above our judgment, intervention and manipulation.
That it is, is one thing, that it should, is another. I dunno.

> I don't believe "evolution", in the grand scheme of things, is a stand
> alone item, rather just a designed function of the grand design.

> Whenever you see a pile of rocks, it is impossible to determine if
> nature or man has piled those rocks.

> However, when you see a pile of rocks which look like, and serves all
> the functions of a castle, you can damn well be certain it is man made
> ... so does God leave such signatures ...
What passes for reality is made up of Fractal algorithms, a combination of the laws of Mother Nature and random chaotic input.

1645. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 18:51:04 UTC
From: Day Brown <daybrown@artelco.com>
Message-ID: <UPWdndbBhtkK4FDQnZ2dnUVZ_gQAAAAA@giganews.com>
Source record: 229572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.community

On 05/13/2011 07:38 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
> And I also think there is a distinct possibility that events in the
> future can determine events in the past -- that timespace folds back
> upon itself, like a variation of Nietzsche's eternal recurrence, or, as
> our ancestors believed, time is cyclical.
If you've had de jevu, you know reality is not all its cracked up to be.

1646. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 19:07:08 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcd810d$0$12455$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229573

On 05/13/2011 09:46 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Strangely, I am not sure I have ever seen any Tulips growing in Missy
> Sippy.That is not to say Tulips will not grow around here, ergo I am
> very sure they will.Almost every thing else grows very Good in Missy
> Sippy.I have no doubt some people in Missy Sippy DO grow some Tulips.
> Lets me check, I am sure Tulip seeds/plants are sold in the stores
> around here.Maybe I will ask Garden Mama.
> http://www.gardenmama.com
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Tulips+in+Mississippi
> cuhulin, the Tulips
>

I think they need to be frozen in the ground for a few weeks.

1647. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 19:09:19 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcd8190$0$12455$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229574

On 05/13/2011 09:46 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Strangely, I am not sure I have ever seen any Tulips growing in Missy
> Sippy.That is not to say Tulips will not grow around here, ergo I am
> very sure they will.Almost every thing else grows very Good in Missy
> Sippy.I have no doubt some people in Missy Sippy DO grow some Tulips.
> Lets me check, I am sure Tulip seeds/plants are sold in the stores
> around here.Maybe I will ask Garden Mama.
> http://www.gardenmama.com
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Tulips+in+Mississippi
> cuhulin, the Tulips
>

But you can use a freezer in more temperate climes. I think I knew some
Houstonians with tulips. (Or was it Tunisians with horse lips?)

1648. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 19:18:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DCD83FC-2181@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229575

Horse lips? I have two plastic thingys of Horseradish sauce stuff.
http://www.beavertonfoods.com
http://www.heinz.com
A little of that stuff goes a lonnnng way.Too much of it and it gets
HOT!
I have some little bitty red Peppers that will singe the lips off of a
Horse.HOT! stuff, it is.
cuhulin

1649. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 19:43:57 UTC
From: raven1 <quoththeraven@nevermore.com>
Message-ID: <u82rs69e4j3rdihneus2uffqocs5bl1pmi@4ax.com>
Source record: 229576
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 13 May 2011 01:46:14 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>On 5/12/2011 7:39 PM, raven1 wrote:
>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 16:31:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/12/2011 1:46 PM, raven1 wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
>>>> evidence?
>>>>
>>>
>>> No one can, for an ABSOLUTE CERTAINTY, however, the military ALWAYS will
>>> only declassify superior technology when the have something better ...
>>> Janes (www.janes.com) is a good site to research this on. Or, any sites
>>> which have an overview of historic military technology.
>>
>> IOW, based on nothing but your speculation.
>>
>>>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
>>>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>>>>
>>>
>>> If two "invisible" laser beams intersect each other, at a point, the
>>> frequency of the resulting light can be in the humanly visible spectrum.
>>>
>>> Ever see the glass cubes with the "etchings of objects" in the interior?
>>> This is the result of two laser beams, each of which passes the glass
>>> without harming it. However, where the two beams cross/intersect, a
>>> "fracturing" of the glass results at that small point. Tightly
>>> controlled and manipulated, the "etching" is drawn ... visible
>>> "pictures" can be drawn in the plain atmosphere using the same method,
>>> with the two "invisible" beams already mentioned. This is done very
>>> rapidly, but then, so is a picture "painted" on a TV picture tube, it
>>> works quite nicely, since the human eye/brain is so slow in processing ...
>>
>> Have I mentioned yet that holograms look like holograms, not like
>> solid objects?
>>

>>>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is this,
>>>> again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
>>>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
>>>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
>>>> vanishes?
>>>>
>>>
>>> Yes, if the beams cease to quit rapidly redrawing the "picture" in the
>>> atmosphere, the picture ceases to exist. And the above applies ...
>>
>> Have I mentioned yet that holograms look like holograms, not like
>> solid objects?
>>
>>>>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and based
>>>>> in reality!
>>>>
>>>> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?
>>>
>>> Proof ... the evidence is that it is already being done ...
>>
>> You've provided no evidence at all, just speculation. And very silly
>> speculation at that. For your next trick, please investigate whether
>> whales built Stonehenge.
>>
>
>This is all your supposition. You offer nothing to back up your
>statements, personal conclusions and opinions ...

Wow, that's probably the funniest case of projection I've ever seen.
You're the one offering unsupported speculation, I'm just pointing it
out.

> others here will
>engage you on the level of discussion you put forward, keep up looking ...

I haven't found a "Truther" yet who was capable of putting forth a
rational argument, so I won't hold my breath.

1650. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 20:02:41 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqk2p3$8q7$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.community

On 5/13/2011 11:48 AM, Day Brown wrote:
> On 05/12/2011 08:06 PM, John Smith wrote:
>> Whatever intelligence that/would has/have "emerged out of primordial
>> chaos" is God, DUH. Unless God has a mate, which he, she, or anyone
>> else, for that matter, has not mentioned, any application of he/she
>> would be meaningless, at least to myself.
> The original Aryan cosmology had it that Chaos was primordial. But
> eventually, inevitably, what we call a 'self replicating sequence', Gaia
> emerged. But because replication is not perfect, She evolved to the
> point of reaching sentience and then differentiating Herself from Chaos
> and Maya (the physical universe).
>
> Life forms that give birth without male fertilization are parthenogenic
> mothers. Which is why Gaia is female. And why Athena was in the Parthenon.
>
>> Accidents only happen when humans are involved ... undoubtedly, the
>> universe is unfolding just as it should in a way of "proper and good"
>> which is above our judgment, intervention and manipulation.
> That it is, is one thing, that it should, is another. I dunno.
>
>> I don't believe "evolution", in the grand scheme of things, is a stand
>> alone item, rather just a designed function of the grand design.
>
>> Whenever you see a pile of rocks, it is impossible to determine if
>> nature or man has piled those rocks.
>
>> However, when you see a pile of rocks which look like, and serves all
>> the functions of a castle, you can damn well be certain it is man made
>> ... so does God leave such signatures ...
> What passes for reality is made up of Fractal algorithms, a combination of
> the laws of Mother Nature and random chaotic input.
>

I don't subscribe to newage mags, thanks anyway ...

... plonk ...

Regards,
JS

1651. Re: osama and the GREAT BOGEYMAN ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 20:18:05 UTC
From: oldwifetale <oldwifetale@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <09ae87df-963e-401a-89b5-e3d6699bac30@v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native

> > You are exaggerating again. I asked *one time*, and then i stated my
> > opinion based on what i observed with my own eyes, provided my reasons
> > (which you did not address), and posted a video made with a facial
> > recognition analyst. Perhaps your feeling of my 'persistence' is
> > subjective.
>
> Persistance accounts for nothing, if the data is flawed:
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qWikH3Ws4Bc
>
> But, here is facial recognition done in real time ... and, without
> "benefit" of an experts interpretations.

"Real time"???
More like "wasted time".
I just watched your latest "proof".
Try explaining - in your own words - the "logic" of that video.

> >>> (Did you seriously add rush limbaugh groups??? I took them off. Oh
> >>> yeah, that's *all* i need.)
>
> >> Yep ... and any I feel fitting ...
>
> > You feel that Rush Limbaugh groups are 'fitting'?
>
> > Why?
>
> Because he is "The Great Leader of Neocons" ... democans, republicrats
> ... everyone needs in on the discussions.

Pfft.
Maybe on *your* time.
I didn't invite them.
So... i just deleted your Rush groups.
Again.

> >>> Pakistan locals? Really? That's interesting (in more ways than one).
> >>> Because his family *and* Al Qaeda accept that it was him.
>
> >> Yeah, we have been over that a few times, already.
>
> > Have we? I notice that you've already predetermined that any videos
> > that get released are fake. That's quite a 'skill' you've got there.
>

> No. The ones which have been faked frequently fall apart in the
> analysis ...

So far, i haven't seen any such "analysis".

1652. Re: (OT) Former Nazi Guard Convicted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 20:18:14 UTC
From: Brian Colwell <bmcolwell@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <4mgzp.665$oq.287@newsfe17.iad>
Source record: 229579

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:869b952e-f58e-49a7-baa3-87bea1a657d6@j25g2000vbr.googlegroups.com...
On May 12, 7:41 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> <http://www.washingtonpost.com/world/demjanjuk_declines_chance_to_make...>
>
> How many more of these folks might still be out there? Very few I suspect.
>
> One does wonder about the evidence presented.

I also wonder about it. At one point he was convicted IN ISRAEL, but
the Israeli Supreme Court overturned his conviction because it thought
he was likely a victim of mistaken identity. If the Israeli court
refused to uphold his conviction, then I really wonder how compelling
the evidence could have been in this most recent trial.

The guy's so old at this point, he's probably happy to have the
guarantee of "3 hots and a cot" as well as free medical care for the
next five years.

The Jewish defense league is the driving force behind all these cases and
they will hound the poor sob until he dead !

bmc

1653. Re: osama and the GREAT BOGEYMAN ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 20:41:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqk52d$8q7$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/13/2011 1:18 PM, oldwifetale wrote:
>
>>> You are exaggerating again. I asked *one time*, and then i stated my
>>> opinion based on what i observed with my own eyes, provided my reasons
>>> (which you did not address), and posted a video made with a facial
>>> recognition analyst. Perhaps your feeling of my 'persistence' is
>>> subjective.
>>
>> Persistance accounts for nothing, if the data is flawed:
>>
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qWikH3Ws4Bc
>>
>> But, here is facial recognition done in real time ... and, without
>> "benefit" of an experts interpretations.
>
> "Real time"???
> More like "wasted time".
> I just watched your latest "proof".
> Try explaining - in your own words - the "logic" of that video.
>

As the fellow is demonstrating what facial recognition software finds in
the video, he invites you to do the analysis yourself -- trust but
verify, if you will ... As soon as you do, you will have something to
discuss ... the logic is in the demonstration and pointing out that is
verifiable by anyone viewing ... we already realize you are poised to
maintain that water is dry, if it would support your opinions ...

>
>
>>>>> (Did you seriously add rush limbaugh groups??? I took them off. Oh
>>>>> yeah, that's *all* i need.)
>>
>>>> Yep ... and any I feel fitting ...
>>
>>> You feel that Rush Limbaugh groups are 'fitting'?
>>
>>> Why?
>>
>> Because he is "The Great Leader of Neocons" ... democans, republicrats
>> ... everyone needs in on the discussions.
>
> Pfft.
> Maybe on *your* time.

> I didn't invite them.
> So... i just deleted your Rush groups.
> Again.
>

Yes. You have demonstrated a proclivity to focus narrowly on what
represents your opinions and guesses ... your posts demonstrate this to
an absolute degree ... indeed, you are best surrounded by your own brand
of wackos ...

>
>>>>> Pakistan locals? Really? That's interesting (in more ways than one).
>>>>> Because his family *and* Al Qaeda accept that it was him.
>>
>>>> Yeah, we have been over that a few times, already.
>>
>>> Have we? I notice that you've already predetermined that any videos
>>> that get released are fake. That's quite a 'skill' you've got there.
>>
>> No. The ones which have been faked frequently fall apart in the
>> analysis ...
>
> So far, i haven't seen any such "analysis".
>

Yes. I think one thing is absolutely demonstrated by you ... if one is
to bite a hunk of your arse off, you won't see it ...

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1654. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 20:54:09 UTC
From: zayton <zayton@newwavecomm.net>
Message-ID: <0Ugzp.1070$tC6.254@newsfe03.iad>
Source record: 229581
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required to
>>>> entertain both of those notions at the same time is astounding.
>>>
>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the realm
>>> of science ... especially since the billions or even trillions
>>> which have been thrown at black op development projects ...
>>>
>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>>
>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from actual
>> evidence.
>
> Wild speculation?
>
> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>
> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology had
> actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in use?

Yes this part.
Not only scientists but the scientifically literate public knew the general capability of technology then, just as they do now. There were people who payed no attention to science who would have been astonished by the technology, just as there were those who believed, even back then that the government had technnology for time travel and teleportation; but people who kept up with technological theories knew pretty well what was possible then, just as they do now.
>

> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> occurring today, is in error?
>
> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> based in reality!
>
> Regards,
> JS

You're still delusional.

1655. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 20:57:47 UTC
From: zayton <zayton@newwavecomm.net>
Message-ID: <rXgzp.1591$eb4.678@newsfe02.iad>
Source record: 229582
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> On 5/12/2011 1:46 PM, raven1 wrote:
>
>>
>> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
>> evidence?
>>
>
> No one can, for an ABSOLUTE CERTAINTY, however, the military ALWAYS
> will only declassify superior technology when the have something
> better ... Janes (www.janes.com) is a good site to research this on. Or,
> any sites which have an overview of historic military technology.
>
>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>>
>
> If two "invisible" laser beams intersect each other, at a point, the
> frequency of the resulting light can be in the humanly visible
> spectrum.
> Ever see the glass cubes with the "etchings of objects" in the
> interior? This is the result of two laser beams, each of which
> passes the glass without harming it. However, where the two beams
> cross/intersect, a "fracturing" of the glass results at that small
> point. Tightly controlled and manipulated, the "etching" is drawn
> ... visible "pictures" can be drawn in the plain atmosphere using the
> same method,

No.
You can't etch air.

with the two "invisible" beams already mentioned. This
> is done very rapidly, but then, so is a picture "painted" on a TV
> picture tube, it works quite nicely, since the human eye/brain is so
> slow in processing ...

Air isn't a phosper-coated material.

>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
>> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just

>> vanishes?
>>
>
> Yes, if the beams cease to quit rapidly redrawing the "picture" in the
> atmosphere, the picture ceases to exist. And the above applies ...

You can't draw pictures on airl.
>
>>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
>>> based in reality!
>>
>> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?
>
> Proof ... the evidence is that it is already being done ...

Not in air.
>
> Regards,
> JS

1656. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 21:02:42 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqk69k$8q7$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229583
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/13/2011 1:57 PM, zayton wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/12/2011 1:46 PM, raven1 wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
>>> evidence?
>>>
>>
>> No one can, for an ABSOLUTE CERTAINTY, however, the military ALWAYS
>> will only declassify superior technology when the have something
>> better ... Janes (www.janes.com) is a good site to research this on. Or,
>> any sites which have an overview of historic military technology.
>>
>>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
>>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>>>
>>
>> If two "invisible" laser beams intersect each other, at a point, the
>> frequency of the resulting light can be in the humanly visible
>> spectrum.
>> Ever see the glass cubes with the "etchings of objects" in the
>> interior? This is the result of two laser beams, each of which
>> passes the glass without harming it. However, where the two beams
>> cross/intersect, a "fracturing" of the glass results at that small
>> point. Tightly controlled and manipulated, the "etching" is drawn
>> ... visible "pictures" can be drawn in the plain atmosphere using the
>> same method,
>
> No.
> You can't etch air.
>
>
>
> with the two "invisible" beams already mentioned. This
>> is done very rapidly, but then, so is a picture "painted" on a TV
>> picture tube, it works quite nicely, since the human eye/brain is so
>> slow in processing ...
>
> Air isn't a phosper-coated material.
>

>>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
>>> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
>>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
>>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
>>> vanishes?
>>>
>>
>> Yes, if the beams cease to quit rapidly redrawing the "picture" in the
>> atmosphere, the picture ceases to exist. And the above applies ...
>
> You can't draw pictures on airl.
>>
>>>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
>>>> based in reality!
>>>
>>> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?
>>
>> Proof ... the evidence is that it is already being done ...
>
> Not in air.
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
>
>
>
>

You are a fool, where the two beams strike each other (overlap), a
visible frequency of light can be created, with the proper manipulations
of the two beams, and where they over lap, a picture can be painted ...

Forget phosphor, the TV screen was only used as an example of a beam
painting a picture, in real time, with the eye too slow to detect ...

Regards,
JS

1657. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 21:03:54 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqk6bt$8q7$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229584
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On 5/13/2011 1:54 PM, zayton wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required to
>>>>> entertain both of those notions at the same time is astounding.
>>>>
>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the realm
>>>> of science ... especially since the billions or even trillions
>>>> which have been thrown at black op development projects ...
>>>>
>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>>>
>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from actual
>>> evidence.
>>
>> Wild speculation?
>>
>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>>
>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology had
>> actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in use?
>
> Yes this part.
> Not only scientists but the scientifically literate public knew the general
> capability of technology then, just as they do now. There were people who
> payed no attention to science who would have been astonished by the
> technology, just as there were those who believed, even back then that the
> government had technnology for time travel and teleportation; but people who
> kept up with technological theories knew pretty well what was possible then,
> just as they do now.
```

>>
>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
>> occurring today, is in error?
>>
>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
>> based in reality!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> You're still delusional.
>

You are a simple obfuscating baboon ... plonk ...

Find someone in your age group to play with ...

Regards,
JS

1658. UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 21:28:33 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqk7q3$o8o$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229585

http://www.adl.org/combating_hate/

This group is the enemy of ALL Americans!

Regards,
JS

1659. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 21:33:24 UTC
From: zayton <zayton@newwavecomm.net>
Message-ID: <Pshzp.27391$Vp.18776@newsfe14.iad>
Source record: 229586
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> On 5/13/2011 1:57 PM, zayton wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>>> On 5/12/2011 1:46 PM, raven1 wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
>>>> evidence?
>>>>
>>>
>>> No one can, for an ABSOLUTE CERTAINTY, however, the military ALWAYS
>>> will only declassify superior technology when the have something
>>> better ... Janes (www.janes.com) is a good site to research this
>>> on. Or, any sites which have an overview of historic military
>>> technology.
>>>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can
>>>> project a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>>>>
>>>
>>> If two "invisible" laser beams intersect each other, at a point, the
>>> frequency of the resulting light can be in the humanly visible
>>> spectrum.
>>> Ever see the glass cubes with the "etchings of objects" in the
>>> interior? This is the result of two laser beams, each of which
>>> passes the glass without harming it. However, where the two beams
>>> cross/intersect, a "fracturing" of the glass results at that small
>>> point. Tightly controlled and manipulated, the "etching" is drawn
>>> ... visible "pictures" can be drawn in the plain atmosphere using
>>> the same method,
>>
>> No.
>> You can't etch air.
>>
>>
>>
>> with the two "invisible" beams already mentioned. This
>>> is done very rapidly, but then, so is a picture "painted" on a TV
>>> picture tube, it works quite nicely, since the human eye/brain is so
>>> slow in processing ...
>>
>> Air isn't a phosper-coated material.

>>
>>>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
>>>> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
>>>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens
>>>> if the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
>>>> vanishes?
>>>>
>>>
>>> Yes, if the beams cease to quit rapidly redrawing the "picture" in
>>> the atmosphere, the picture ceases to exist. And the above applies
>>> ...
>>
>> You can't draw pictures on airl.
>>>
>>>>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
>>>>> based in reality!
>>>>
>>>> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?
>>>
>>> Proof ... the evidence is that it is already being done ...
>>
>> Not in air.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> You are a fool, where the two beams strike each other (overlap), a
> visible frequency of light can be created, with the proper
> manipulations of the two beams, and where they over lap, a picture
> can be painted ...

Not a coherant picture in open air.
Not with current technology.
Not even with technology for which current theory exists.
A perfectly diffused mist or fog is required.
Even then, projection is possible only over short distance and in relatively
small scale.
>
> Forget phosphor, the TV screen was only used as an example of a beam
> painting a picture, in real time, with the eye too slow to detect ...
>
You must have a medium (not air) in or on which to "paint"; and it can only
be done in limited scale at near distance.

1660. Re: CIA Director said they used waterboarding!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 21:33:51 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqk840$o8o$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229587
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/13/2011 2:26 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:

> ...
> There is a moral question there.
>
> But saying "torture doesn't work" is a practical argument, not a moral
> argument. If torture doesn't advance our national goals, then we
> should move onto moral arguments. If it hurts our national goals,
> then we don't need to go that far.
>
> So the next step of this comparison is to determine whether shooting
> bin Laden advanced or hurt our national goals. That is a practical
> question, not a moral question.
>

You either play by the rules all the time, hold high standards, all the time, etc.

If not, there is suddenly no difference between "the good guy" and "the bad guy", and, this is exactly how our public servants and the illegal government they have replaced the peoples' will and vote with has become, "Public Enemy No. 1."

We need to end this ...

Regards,
JS

1661. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 21:34:34 UTC
From: zayton <zayton@newwavecomm.net>
Message-ID: <xDkzp.1726$eb4.1252@newsfe02.iad>
Source record: 229608
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> On 5/13/2011 1:54 PM, zayton wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
>>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
>>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
>>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
>>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
>>>>>> astounding.
>>>>>
>>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
>>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
>>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
>>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the realm
>>>>> of science ... especially since the billions or even trillions
>>>>> which have been thrown at black op development projects ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>>>>
>>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
>>>> actual evidence.
>>>
>>> Wild speculation?
>>>
>>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>>>
>>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
>>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
>>> use?
>>
>> Yes this part.
>> Not only scientists but the scientifically literate public knew the
>> general capability of technology then, just as they do now. There
>> were people who payed no attention to science who would have been
>> astonished by the technology, just as there were those who believed,

>> even back then that the government had technnology for time travel
>> and teleportation; but people who kept up with technological
>> theories knew pretty well what was possible then, just as they do
>> now.
>>>
>>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
>>> occurring today, is in error?
>>>
>>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
>>> based in reality!
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> You're still delusional.
>>
>
> You are a simple obfuscating baboon ... plonk ...
>
> Find someone in your age group to play with ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Teach us a lesson; take your delusions and go home and refuse to play with
us any more....

1662. Re: osama and the GREAT BOGEYMAN ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 21:35:51 UTC
From: oldwifetale <oldwifetale@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cb507399-3b6e-4df4-a297-788201e8e66b@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native

> > Try explaining - in your own words - the "logic" of that video.
>
> As the fellow is demonstrating what facial recognition software finds in
> the video, he invites you to do the analysis yourself --

And the, uh, "facial recognition software" he used was....?

> trust but
> verify, if you will ... As soon as you do, you will have something to
> discuss ... the logic is in the demonstration and pointing out that is
> verifiable by anyone viewing ... we already realize you are poised to
> maintain that water is dry, if it would support your opinions ...

We do?

[snip]

> >>> You feel that Rush Limbaugh groups are 'fitting'?
>
> >>> Why?
>
> >> Because he is "The Great Leader of Neocons" ... democans, republicrats
> >> ... everyone needs in on the discussions.
>
> > Pfft.
> > Maybe on *your* time.
> > I didn't invite them.
> > So... i just deleted your Rush groups.
> > Again.
>
> Yes. You have demonstrated a proclivity to focus narrowly on what
> represents your opinions and guesses ... your posts demonstrate this to
> an absolute degree ... indeed, you are best surrounded by your own brand
> of wackos ...

Really? To an "absolute degree"?
(haha...)

> >>>>> Pakistan locals? Really? That's interesting (in more ways than one).
> >>>>> Because his family *and* Al Qaeda accept that it was him.
>
> >>>> Yeah, we have been over that a few times, already.
>
> >>> Have we? I notice that you've already predetermined that any videos
> >>> that get released are fake. That's quite a 'skill' you've got there.

>
> >> No. The ones which have been faked frequently fall apart in the
> >> analysis ...
>
> > So far, i haven't seen any such "analysis".
>
> Yes. I think one thing is absolutely demonstrated by you ... if one is
> to bite a hunk of your arse off, you won't see it ...
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS

Have we determined that to an absolute degree?

"ROFLOL"

1663. Re: CIA Director said they used waterboarding!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 21:38:14 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqk8c7$o8o$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229589
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/13/2011 2:26 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Fri, 13 May 2011 16:55:38 +0000 (UTC), Moderate<nospam@nomail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>> John McCain says Torture doesn't work.
>>>
>>> http://www.denverpost.com/opinion/ci_18052779
>>
>> Evidently enhanced interrogation does, but you digress. The point is that
>> the liberals around here object to interrogation of terrorists, but are OK
>> with assassinating them.
>
> There is a moral question there.
>
> But saying "torture doesn't work" is a practical argument, not a moral
> argument. If torture doesn't advance our national goals, then we
> should move onto moral arguments. If it hurts our national goals,
> then we don't need to go that far.
>
> So the next step of this comparison is to determine whether shooting
> bin Laden advanced or hurt our national goals. That is a practical
> question, not a moral question.
>

You either play by the rules all the time, hold high standards, all the
time, etc. or you lose.

If not, there is suddenly no difference between "the good guy" and "the
bad guy", and, this is exactly how our public servants and the illegal
government, which they have replaced the peoples' will and vote with,
has become, "Public Enemy No. 1."

We need to end this ...

Regards,
JS

1664. Re: osama and the GREAT BOGEYMAN ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 21:59:26 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqk9jvuol1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/13/2011 2:35 PM, oldwifetale wrote:
>
>>> Try explaining - in your own words - the "logic" of that video.
>>
>> As the fellow is demonstrating what facial recognition software finds in
>> the video, he invites you to do the analysis yourself --
>
> And the, uh, "facial recognition software" he used was....?
>

I am not certain, the software which came with my security cams is the one I used, then my facial recognition software which came as security on my computer and allows me to simply sit in front of my computer and be logged on has an option to scan a file, it worked ... if you are looking for freeware, Picasa 3.5 or newer should work. I am sure there is much more available for free, on the web.

But, you exemplify exactly my point(s.)

People with the least of clues, the least of skills, the least of the powers of logic and reason and without advantage of a sufficient education are the first to jump in and start attempting to enter discussions for which they are ill suited ... I mean, what is wrong with you guys demanding respect! HUH!

ROFLOL

My plonk bucket contains dozens of your your ilk ...

... plonk ...

BTW, you do realize there is a learning curve into becoming proficient in the use of a computer, right ... check back with me in six-months or so ...

Regards,
JS

1665. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 22:04:08 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <04e7b4c1-d358-4a6b-ba15-2a0e07445f89@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229591

On May 13, 11:06 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> bpnjensen wrote:
> > On May 13, 5:48 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> > > It's Tulip Time in Holland..
>
> > > I invite all to tune into WHTC, our local hometown station.
>
> > > I believe the streaming audio is at whtc.com
>
> > > The big parade is on Saturday afternoon.
>
> > Question - is this a little later than usual? I recall that in New
> > England, tulips were frequently popping up through the snow as early
> > as April...
>
> No, pretty much on time Bruce. We have had troubles in past years with the
> tulips coming up sooner, but this year, they are pretty much on time.
>
> Tonight, at a local venue, both B.J. Thomas and Gary Puckett of the Union Gap
> will both be putting on shows.

Blooming uncooperative, they are!

The shows sound good :-)

BJ

1666. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 22:05:08 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b38684cd-523f-4a7b-bd10-a93685c3f20f@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229592

On May 13, 12:09 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/13/2011 09:46 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > Strangely, I am not sure I have ever seen any Tulips growing in Missy
> > Sippy.That is not to say Tulips will not grow around here, ergo I am
> > very sure they will.Almost every thing else grows very Good in Missy
> > Sippy.I have no doubt some people in Missy Sippy DO grow some Tulips.
> > Lets me check, I am sure Tulip seeds/plants are sold in the stores
> > around here.Maybe I will ask Garden Mama.
> >http://www.gardenmama.com
> >http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Tulips+in+Mississippi
> > cuhulin, the Tulips
>
> But you can use a freezer in more temperate climes. I think I knew some
> Houstonians with tulips. (Or was it Tunisians with horse lips?)

Buh-huh-huh-ut Wi-hill-bur!

;-)

1667. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 23:13:18 UTC
From: Wimpie <wimabctel@tetech.nl>
Message-ID: <cb1dd59d-912e-41af-8976-336e59a47e51@h9g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229593

On 13 mayo, 14:48, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> It's Tulip Time in Holland..
>
> I invite all to tune into WHTC, our local hometown station.
>
> I believe the streaming audio is at whtc.com
>
> The big parade is on Saturday afternoon.

If you ever go the the Netherlands (Holland) during spring, visit "de
Keukenhof": http://www.keukenhof.nl/

That is full of everything related to Tulips (and other bulb plants),
and for the gays: Amsterdam is not far away.

Wim
PA3DJS
www.tetech.nl;

1668. May 13 Logs from KY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 23:33:58 UTC
From: Mike <mwbryant@aol.com>
Message-ID: <934bc861-f823-42b6-894e-3a26a5014e21@r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229594

Rcvr: Redsun RP2100

15130, WYFR, USA, at 2328 in Span. Strong.

15160, REE, Spain, at 2326 in Span. Good.

15190, WYFR, USA, at 2325 in Portuguese. Strong.

15230, RHC, Cuba, at 2319 in Span. Good.

15250, RNV, Cuba, at 2318 in Engl. Good.

15255, WYFR, USA, at 2316 in Engl. Strong.

15265, NHK/RJ, Bonaire, at 2314 in Japanese. Strong.

15345, RAE, Argentina, at 2312 in Span. F-G.

15370, RHC, Cuba, at 2311 in Creole. Good.

15455, RCI, Canada, at 2309 in Span. Good.

15585, RFA, No Marianas, at 2307 in Chinese. Good.

15650, VoG, Greece at 2305 in Greek. Strong.

17550, RK, Kuwait, at 2330 in Arabic. Good.

1669. Re: osama and the GREAT BOGEYMAN ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 23:50:21 UTC
From: oldwifetale <oldwifetale@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <89b35c28-c77a-4b21-807a-384c82a967c3@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native

> >>> Try explaining - in your own words - the "logic" of that video.
>
> >> As the fellow is demonstrating what facial recognition software finds in
> >> the video, he invites you to do the analysis yourself --
>
> > And the, uh, "facial recognition software" he used was....?
>
> I am not certain, the software which came with my security cams is the
> one I used, then my facial recognition software which came as security
> on my computer and allows me to simply sit in front of my computer and
> be logged on has an option to scan a file, it worked ... if you are
> looking for freeware, Picasa 3.5 or newer should work. I am sure there
> is much more available for free, on the web.

Ooooh, i seeeee.

> But, you exemplify exactly my point(s.)

Well, i must humbly admit that i am nothing if not exemplary.

> People with the least of clues, the least of skills, the least of the
> powers of logic and reason and without advantage of a sufficient
> education are the first to jump in and start attempting to enter
> discussions for which they are ill suited ... I mean, what is wrong with
> you guys demanding respect! HUH!

Huh! All that from a few posts, eh?

>
> ROFLOL
>
> My plonk bucket contains dozens of your your ilk ...
>
> ... plonk ...
>
> BTW, you do realize there is a learning curve into becoming proficient
> in the use of a computer, right ... check back with me in six-months or
> so ...

Why? Does your plonking mechanism expire then?

So funny. :)
I mean... (hut hum) *considering*.

1670. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 23:55:12 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iqkgd0$mk3$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229596

On 5/13/2011 8:48 AM, dxAce wrote:
> It's Tulip Time in Holland..
>
> I invite all to tune into WHTC, our local hometown station.
>
> I believe the streaming audio is at whtc.com
>
> The big parade is on Saturday afternoon.

Sounds good! Hope you enjoy it -- it may take your mind off the fact that your wonderful Michigan Repub governor is going to tax one of your pensions...and give it as a gift to Business. ("Shared" sacrifice, remember?)

But hey, if you or your fellow pensioners get "buyer's regret", maybe you can sign his recall petition.

1671. Re: OBL Dead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-13 23:58:36 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iqkgjc$mk3$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229597
Cross-posted to: rec.martial-arts,talk.politics.misc,alt.conspiracy,alt.religion.islam.arabic,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
> On 5/13/2011 9:38 AM, Herbert Cannon wrote:
>> On May 13, 11:09 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/11/2011 7:54 PM, Fraser Johnston wrote:
>>>
>>>> On 12/05/11 12:41 AM, * US * wrote:
>>>>> OBL was a valid target ...
>>>
>>>>> Correction: he was murdered so he couldn't testify.
>>>
>>>> Testify to what exactly? If 911 was an inside job surely he could of
>>>> released video saying so? Through the internet for example. Hell, I
>>>> would of been on the phone to wikileaks.
>>>
>>>> Fraser
>>>
>>> 9/11 was clearly and inside job. Arguing if bin laden released a tape
>>> claiming responsibility, however, the CIA release a fake one claiming
>>> it. The only tape bin laden released, which I am aware of, he says he
>>> didn't do it ... so, a moot argument, really ...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> You are a retard.

On 5/13/2011 12:49 PM, John Smith wrote:

> Yeah, well what I am or what I am not has little, to no, bearing on
> evidence, facts and proof ...

Sorry, it has EVERYTHING to do with it. What you think of as "evidence,
facts and proof"...ISN'T...because you are a gullible kooktard (or a
pathological troll -- 2,282 posts and counting!)
```

1672. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 00:07:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DCDC7C5-2189@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229598

There are two Hollands (Provinces) in the Netherlands.North Holland and South Holland.
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Two+Hollands+in+the+Netherlands

Some of those wimmins at the Goodwill store look on the bottom of some of that stuff and they see where it says Made in Holland.I have tried to tell them before Holland is not a Country.But they are stubborn, they don't believe me.Airheads is what they are.
cuhulin

1673. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 00:21:24 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <34f02d7a-b32d-489a-bee7-4bbc240a5b3c@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229599
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> >On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> >> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >> wrote:
>
> >>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>
> >>> ...
> >>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with no medium
> >>> to project it on, but can't sync sound to the projection. The combination of
> >>> credulity and stupidity required to entertain both of those notions at the
> >>> same time is astounding.
>
> >>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the late
> >>> 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be science
> >>> fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have something just as
> >>> amazing and we would consider still in the realm of science ...
> >>> especially since the billions or even trillions which have been thrown
> >>> at black op development projects ...
>
> >>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>
> >> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from actual
> >> evidence.
>
> >Wild speculation?
>
> >What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>
> >That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology had
> >actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in use?
>
> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here. The
> SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.

>
> >That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> >occurring today, is in error?
>
> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> evidence?
>
> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>
> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is this,
> again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> vanishes?
>
> >Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and based
> >in reality!
>
> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!

1674. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 00:29:10 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <eb986a77-0487-4f1d-a1f7-45597704d6ee@k22g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229600
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 12, 7:47 pm, Marc Riehm <mri...@sympatico.ca> wrote:
> On 11-05-12 4:46 PM, raven1 wrote:
>
> > On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > wrote:
>
> >> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> <snip>
> > I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>
> > Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is this,
> > again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > vanishes?
>
> Such hologram equipment simply does not exist.

Sure it does Nasas Bluebeam Project has came a long way,they will show
the whole world a fake rapture.

1675. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 00:32:12 UTC
From: Harold Burton <hal.i.burton@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hal.i.burton-DCA0A6.20321213052011@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 229602
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article
<6962da29-4205-419a-88ad-f247bf5d2421@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> blathered:

> On May 12, 8:12 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > In article
> > <46ed2da7-2b1b-48c3-9699-9e4a4d956...@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>,
> >
> >
> >
> > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > On May 11, 8:10 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > In article
> > > > <94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
> > >
> > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > On May 8, 9:40 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > In article
> > > > > > <2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961...@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>,
> > > >
> > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
> > > > > > > The bushkultie has no facts.
> > > >
> > > > > > That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is not
> > > > > > getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo
> > > > > > shilling.
> > > > > > You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
> > > > > > possible.
> > > >
> > > > > You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*),
> > > >
> > > > Hey fuzznuts, * Hates US * is, like you, a rightard.
> > > >
> > > Nope, try again.
> > >
> > Yep.
> >
> Nah, keep trying.
>
> No need since I have already prevailed, Hairy Butt. FACT.

When you have to announce that you "prevailed", you haven't.

snicker

1676. Propagation Forecast Bulletin 19 ARLP019

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 00:33:36 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcdcd95$0$12501$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229601

B PROP @ ARL $ARLP019
ARLP019 Propagation de K7RA

ZCZC AP19
QST de W1AW
Propagation Forecast Bulletin 19 ARLP019
From Tad Cook, K7RA
Seattle, WA May 13, 2011
To all radio amateurs

SB PROP ARL ARLP019
ARLP019 Propagation de K7RA

As reported in the ARRL Letter, on Wednesday May 11 six sunspot groups were visible, but that number shrunk to two on Thursday. Daily sunspot numbers declined from 84 to 29 over those two days as well, but the average sunspot number for the reporting week (Thursday, May 5 through Wednesday, May 11) grew by nearly 7 points from last week to 74.6.

The latest forecast shows planetary A index of 10 for today, May 13, then 8 on May 14-16, 10 on May 17, 8 on May 18, and 5 on May 19-25. The next possibly big geomagnetic period is May 27, with a planetary A index of 22.

The same forecast predicts solar flux of 95 on May 13-14, 90 on May 15-19, and 110 on May 20-30.

Geophysical Institute Prague predicts quiet to unsettled conditions May 13, quiet May 14, quiet to unsettled May 15, unsettled May 16-18, and quiet to unsettled again on May 19.

On May 9 NASA released a slightly revised prediction for the peak of Cycle 24, placing it at a smoothed sunspot number of 69 in June 2013. But a month earlier the estimate had a peak of 62 in July 2013. Last month NASA was predicting this cycle as the weakest in 200 years, but the update places it as the weakest in the last century.

These are Zurich sunspot numbers, which are always quite a bit lower than the NOAA SESC numbers that we report here. The NOAA numbers show a peak at 90 around February through July 2013.

On May 10, Rol Anders, K3RA of Elkridge, Maryland wrote, "After a slow week or so, propagation has rebounded with extremely strong signals from Europe and Central Asia on 20 meters for several hours after their sunrise time. Many 30 and 40 dB over signals heard in

Maryland. I think there have been a lot of tardy arrivals at work in EU and Central Asia as the hams have had difficulty pulling themselves away from such great conditions in the morning! Also, 17 meters has been very good in the evening with JAs and other Asian stations coming back to CQs 3 hours after sunset on the East Coast. 15 meters has been open to the Far East after sunset as well. Most interesting was working JQ1QKK short path on 20 meter phone at 3 pm his time, 2 AM east coast time!

"One 'trick' that I sometimes use to find openings is to look for 'analogous openings' at my latitude. If I can work a DX station close to my latitude at a certain time of the day, then it is likely that I'll be able to work stations at that latitude the same distance away in the other direction when my local time is the same as that first DX station's was. Perhaps this is best explained by an example: the latitudes of JA and EX/EY/EZ/YA are not too different from Maryland, and they are both roughly the same distance away from me. So, if JQ1QKK in the example above was working me at 3 pm his time (2 am my time), there should be an opening to the general EX/EY/EZ area from MD at 3 pm my time, 2 am EX/EY/EX time. This can be extended to other latitudes if you know what DX stations at your latitude are working. For instance, if I hear stations at my rough latitude (EA, I, SV, EX, JA) working a path, I should have that same path when my local time is the same as theirs was when they experienced those paths. Of course, my path will be to an entirely different part of the world."

Mark Bell, K3MSB of Airville, Pennsylvania wrote, "Had some nice 6M sporadic-E action here around May 3. Worked YV4DYJ in FK50 at 2253Z. Stations from LU were coming in quite strong the next two days."

N0JK reports from Kansas that on May 5 he worked LU4FW on 6 meters with a sporadic-E trans-equatorial link. It was at 2138z, and he was using an indoor dipole.

Rich Molinski, WB2KWF of Smithfield, Virginia reported from FM16qw that on May 2 he worked 9Y4D and YV4DYJ on 6 meters using 80 watts and a 3 element beam at 35 feet, with 5x3 reports each way. On May 6 he reported, "I was amazed at the propagation! It's nice to see 6m open up. Each night this week, 6 has been open to some degree."

Bob Elek, W3HKK in central Ohio reports an E-skip opening on May 5 on 6 meters. He reports, "A C6 was 59+20 and had half the US calling him on SSB. Then for the first time in my 55 year ham radio career: TE skip to Argentina! (Interestingly I had just finished reading the VHF column in CQ Magazine describing how May was the poorest month for TE skip and not to expect it.) Well, around dinner time in Ohio, on May 5th there it was. The 6M band literally teeming with Argentine stations, mostly on SSB, from 50.1 to 50.13 MHz. LU after LU working pile ups, calling CQ, and coming in between 5x3 to 5x9 (on my 5 el Yagi, 10 ft above my rear patio, equipped with the standard Armstrong rotator, and fed by an IC7600 100w rig).

"At 2125z I worked LW3EX on 50.101 CW, then at 2133 9Y4VUX on 50.100 CW, and then at 2200z LU9EEM on 50.120 SSB, all with sigs between 57 and 59! In between I tuned around for other countries and passed up on many LUs. Another local op worked the VP8 (Falkland Islands), and a couple spots showed one station in OA and CE coming through but I didn't hear them.

"So, in summary, a very telescopic opening via TE into Argentina lit up 6M, and when things quieted down at around 2230z, I left for dinner a little late but a very happy camper."

Roger Gibson, K4KLK of Raleigh, North Carolina (in FM05) reports, "Six was open all day May 5 to WI area and then FL. I was surprised to hear LU4FW FF97 on halo antenna in garage. I quickly turned antenna south then connected the 4 El Quad 20 feet up and got him on first call with 100 watts. Not many takers but signal was very strong 59+ more like F2 and no fading. Contact made on 50.125 SSB at 2200Z. He was in for several minutes."

Both K5LJ and N4ZQ wonder why it seems that most sunspots appear in the Sun's northern hemisphere so far in this cycle. I ran this by K9LA who says, "Hemispherical asymmetry of sunspots has been known and studied for quite a while. I don't think there's an air-tight explanation yet, but it is tied to the Sun's conveyor belt. So what's happening now is 'normal' in the sense that it's been seen before in other cycles." He noted that butterfly diagrams of Cycle 20 show this predominance toward the beginning of the cycle, around 1965.

If you would like to make a comment or have a tip for our readers, email the author at, k7ra@arrl.net.

For more information concerning radio propagation, see the ARRL Technical Information Service web page at http://arrl.org/propagation-of-rf-signals. For an explanation of the numbers used in this bulletin, see http://arrl.org/the-sun-the-earth-the-ionosphere. An archive of past propagation bulletins is at http://arrl.org/w1aw-bulletins-archive-propagation. Find more good information and tutorials on propagation at http://mysite.ncnetwork.net/k9la/index.html.

Monthly propagation charts between four USA regions and twelve overseas locations are at http://arrl.org/propagation.

Instructions for starting or ending email distribution of ARRL bulletins are at http://arrl.org/bulletins.

Sunspot numbers for May 5 through 11 were 95, 71, 38, 61, 93, 80, and 84, with a mean of 74.6. 10.7 cm flux was 104.9, 101.9, 102.2, 102.2, 103.7, 97.5, and 94.1, with a mean of 100.9. Estimated planetary A indices were 7, 4, 4, 2, 3, 8, and 6, with a mean of 4.9. Estimated mid-latitude A indices were 5, 3, 3, 0, 2, 5, and 7, with a mean of 3.6.

NNNN
/EX

1677. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 00:43:17 UTC
From: Wimpie <wimabctel@tetech.nl>
Message-ID: <a326fe7e-714f-4481-860d-0de7a1e6b4cb@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229603

On 14 mayo, 02:07, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> There are two Hollands (Provinces) in the Netherlands.North Holland and
> South Holland.http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Two+Hollands+in+the+Netherlands
>
> Some of those wimmins at the Goodwill store look on the bottom of some
> of that stuff and they see where it says Made in Holland.I have tried to
> tell them before Holland is not a Country.But they are stubborn, they
> don't believe me.Airheads is what they are.
> cuhulin

Hello Cuhulin,

You are right. The official name is "Kingdom of the Netherlands" and
Noord & Zuid Holland are provinces like Utrecht, Gelderland,
Friesland, etc.

The problem is that the name "Holland" (for "the Netherlands") is used
frequently, even in country abbreviations. In sailing (IOC country
code), we were "HOL" (now "NED").

So when a product mentions "made in Holland" it can be manufactured
everywhere in the Netherlands. Regarding Short Wave Radio, we have
"Radio Nederland Wereldomroep (RNW)", that's fine.

More strange things: the parliament is located in The Hague, but the
capital is Amsterdam.

With kind regards,

Wim
PA3DJS
www.tetech.nl
remove abc first in case of PM

1678. Re: May 13 Logs from KY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 01:04:19 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcdd4c9$0$12453$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229604

On 05/13/2011 04:33 PM, Mike wrote:
> Rcvr: Redsun RP2100
>
>
>
> 15130, WYFR, USA, at 2328 in Span. Strong.
>
> 15160, REE, Spain, at 2326 in Span. Good.
>
> 15190, WYFR, USA, at 2325 in Portuguese. Strong.
>
> 15230, RHC, Cuba, at 2319 in Span. Good.
>
> 15250, RNV, Cuba, at 2318 in Engl. Good.
>
> 15255, WYFR, USA, at 2316 in Engl. Strong.
>
> 15265, NHK/RJ, Bonaire, at 2314 in Japanese. Strong.
>
> 15345, RAE, Argentina, at 2312 in Span. F-G.
>
> 15370, RHC, Cuba, at 2311 in Creole. Good.
>
> 15455, RCI, Canada, at 2309 in Span. Good.
>
> 15585, RFA, No Marianas, at 2307 in Chinese. Good.
>
> 15650, VoG, Greece at 2305 in Greek. Strong.
>
> 17550, RK, Kuwait, at 2330 in Arabic. Good.

FB OM

1679. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 01:05:19 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcdd505$0$12453$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229605

On 05/13/2011 10:01 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 13, 6:17 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 05/12/2011 09:40 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>> On May 12, 6:11 pm, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>
>>>> Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
>>>> Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>> Al Gore smokes pot ? That is new to me .
>>
>> I would assume that he does. Most boomers smoke pot, don't they?
>
> I never touched the stuff.

50% +1 = Most

1680. Re: CIA Director said they used waterboarding!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 01:07:47 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <j9lrs61bi8j1mhjv8v27tuup3qu2edn75i@4ax.com>
Source record: 229610
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 13 May 2011 14:33:51 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
wrote:

>You either play by the rules all the time, hold high standards, all the
>time, etc.
>
>If not, there is suddenly no difference between "the good guy" and "the
>bad guy", and, this is exactly how our public servants and the illegal
>government they have replaced the peoples' will and vote with has
>become, "Public Enemy No. 1."

I don't need to convince people whose morality says no torture ever.

But for those who believe that National Security overrides morality -
I have the argument - which is pushed by people such as John McCain -
that torture does not help our National Security, but in fact hurts
it.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

1681. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 01:08:03 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcdd5a9$0$12453$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229606

On 05/13/2011 10:03 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 13, 2:59 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:

>>> Once to 297 PPM 300,000 years ago. Still nowhere near what we have today.
>>
>> ROFLOL!
>>
>> http://www.ff.org/centers/csspp/library/co2weekly/2005-08-18/dioxide.htm
>>
>> We are at the lowest PPM of Co2 we have ever been at, a couple of
>> hundred PPM +/- is virtually insignificant!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> Your understanding of science is amazing. Not.

I got a good laugh off the chart. Smith, large mammals have only
flourished recently. You put up a chart for the Paleozoic an Mesozoic.
Tell me what was alive back then.

1682. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 01:09:49 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcdd612$0$12453$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229607

On 05/13/2011 05:43 PM, Wimpie wrote:
> On 14 mayo, 02:07, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>> There are two Hollands (Provinces) in the Netherlands.North Holland and
>> South Holland.http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Two+Hollands+in+the+Netherlands
>>
>> Some of those wimmins at the Goodwill store look on the bottom of some
>> of that stuff and they see where it says Made in Holland.I have tried to
>> tell them before Holland is not a Country.But they are stubborn, they
>> don't believe me.Airheads is what they are.
>> cuhulin
>
> Hello Cuhulin,
>
> You are right. The official name is "Kingdom of the Netherlands" and
> Noord& Zuid Holland are provinces like Utrecht, Gelderland,
> Friesland, etc.
>
> The problem is that the name "Holland" (for "the Netherlands") is used
> frequently, even in country abbreviations. In sailing (IOC country
> code), we were "HOL" (now "NED").
>
> So when a product mentions "made in Holland" it can be manufactured
> everywhere in the Netherlands. Regarding Short Wave Radio, we have
> "Radio Nederland Wereldomroep (RNW)", that's fine.
>
> More strange things: the parliament is located in The Hague, but the
> capital is Amsterdam.
>
> With kind regards,
>
>
> Wim
> PA3DJS
> www.tetech.nl
> remove abc first in case of PM

Thanks.

1683. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 01:10:09 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqkkpi$9k5$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229609

On 5/13/2011 6:05 PM, dave wrote:
> On 05/13/2011 10:01 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>> On May 13, 6:17 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>>> On 05/12/2011 09:40 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>>>
>>>> On May 12, 6:11 pm, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>> Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
>>>>> Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-- Hide quoted text -
>>>
>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>
>>>> Al Gore smokes pot ? That is new to me .
>>>
>>> I would assume that he does. Most boomers smoke pot, don't they?
>>
>> I never touched the stuff.
>
> 50% +1 = Most

klinton did, but he didn't inhale ... that was the first time he told us
the truth.

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1684. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 01:14:05 UTC
From: zayton <zayton@newwavecomm.net>
Message-ID: <MHkzp.1574$iv4.1253@newsfe09.iad>
Source record: 229611
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

joeturn wrote:
> On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
>>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>
>>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
>>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
>>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
>>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
>>>>>> astounding.
>>
>>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
>>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
>>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
>>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
>>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
>>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
>>>>> ...
>>
>>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>>
>>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
>>>> actual evidence.
>>
>>> Wild speculation?
>>
>>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>>
>>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
>>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in

>>> use?
>>
>> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
>> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>>
>>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
>>> occurring today, is in error?
>>
>> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
>> evidence?
>>
>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>>
>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
>> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
>> vanishes?
>>
>>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
>>> based in reality!
>>
>> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!

and on nobody else's?

It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
nutcase's doctored footage!

1685. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 01:26:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqklog$9k5$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229612

On 5/13/2011 2:59 AM, John Smith wrote:

>>> 2~3 Peaks as High or Higher.
>>
>> Once to 297 PPM 300,000 years ago. Still nowhere near what we have today.
>
> ROFLOL!
>
> http://www.ff.org/centers/csspp/library/co2weekly/2005-08-18/dioxide.htm
>
> We are at the lowest PPM of Co2 we have ever been at, a couple of
> hundred PPM +/- is virtually insignificant!
>
> Regards,
> JS

You seem to want to think Co2 is a big thing. Only, in the complete scheme of things, has Co2 never been so low. At twice the PPM it is not going to kill us or mankind. Plants will flourish, since plants feed all life, either directly, or by feeding the food sources which serve as food sources to other species ... it is a good thing.

Your time frame is only going back hundreds of years, in our realistic knowledge of it. Since the oldest civilization in existance (china), at this time, has only been in existence for ~5,000 years, no meaningful data can be had as to whether this is a normal cycle of the earth, or not -- no sufficient records were kept until recent times.

But then, it simply doesn't matter as far as the carbon tax goes. Paying taxes to the government has nothing to do with Co2 levels in the atmosphere. Indeed, if the example serves as any sort of predictor, the higher the taxes, the higher the Co2. ROFLOL

A real non-event ... we simply need to deal with the changes which the future brings ... this has always been true, this will always be true ...

Get a grip! Ohhh, look over there, it is a terrorist under the rock ... scream fool, SCREAM! :-)

Regards,
JS

1686. Re: CIA Director said they used waterboarding!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 01:42:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqkmlq$9k5$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229613
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/13/2011 6:07 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Fri, 13 May 2011 14:33:51 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
>> You either play by the rules all the time, hold high standards, all the
>> time, etc.
>>
>> If not, there is suddenly no difference between "the good guy" and "the
>> bad guy", and, this is exactly how our public servants and the illegal
>> government they have replaced the peoples' will and vote with has
>> become, "Public Enemy No. 1."
>
> I don't need to convince people whose morality says no torture ever.
>
> But for those who believe that National Security overrides morality -
> I have the argument - which is pushed by people such as John McCain -
> that torture does not help our National Security, but in fact hurts
> it.
>

Put simply, there are crimes against men, and there are crimes against humanity ... torture is against humanity. Its' evil permeates every level of evil with exists.

The fact that serial killers would pay you for the job of torturing those people it would committed on is a good indication of just how evil it is ...

Regards,
JS

1687. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 01:46:27 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e47ab9a8-eb0d-47b1-9de9-0f02623d2cdc@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229614
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 11, 5:47 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote in message
>
> news:iqd70c$d9b$5@dont-email.me...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/10/2011 9:01 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >>> ...
> >>> NO!
>
> >>> But, they will know about MAP Gas!
>
> >>> If you wish to even fool the children as to your being "professional",
> >>> get the name of the gas right!
>
> >>> Regards,
> >>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> >>> - Show quoted text -
>
> >> So you have became a spell checker,well good I'll have youproffread my
> >> book before I release it!
>
> > OK!
>
> > I'll admit it, I was a bit anal about the "nap gas" thing ... just glad
> > you corrected it ... thanks! :-)
>
> Except look at his response to me what I addressed his use of "nap gas" and
> even included the proper designation in my reply and he told me I didn't
> know what I was talking about because anyone with any professional knowledge
> knows of "nap gas". Yea, he REPEATED his error. Hard to claim it's just a
> "typo" when you do the exact same thing in two different messages,
> particularly when you ignore the correct designation given and claim the
> person was wrong.
>
> So use it once, and accept being corrected, and I would buy "typo". Use it,
> be corrected, tell them they don't know what they are talking about, and use

> it again.....he's just ignorant and stupid since he didn't buy the clue.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

In the construction industry MAPP gas is refered to as nap gas because
of its burning ability comparied to Acetylene is is soo slow you have
time to take a nap.

1688. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 02:52:00 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DCDEE50-843@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229616

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=SEALs+Angry+at+Attention,+Want+Stopped

What the SEALS, or Rangers do are things most people (including myself)
do NOT have the Need to Know.
cuhulin

1689. So It Is "Moral" To Knowing Let 100Ks of Americans Die : To Protect Their Islam-O-Fascist Executioner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 02:55:37 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <306f9ebc-282b-49a8-8a8f-ac4fd1c94c25@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 13, 6:07 pm, Howard Brazee <how...@brazee.net> wrote:
> On Fri, 13 May 2011 14:33:51 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> wrote:
>
> >You either play by the rules all the time, hold high standards, all the
> >time, etc.
>
> >If not, there is suddenly no difference between "the good guy" and "the
> >bad guy", and, this is exactly how our public servants and the illegal
> >government they have replaced the peoples' will and vote with has
> >become, "Public Enemy No. 1."
>
> I don't need to convince people whose morality says no torture ever.
>
> But for those who believe that National Security overrides morality -
> I have the argument - which is pushed by people such as John McCain -
> that torture does not help our National Security, but in fact hurts
> it.
>
> --
> "In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
> than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
> to the legislature, and not to the executive department."
>
> - James Madison

So It Is "Moral" To Knowing Let 100s Human Beings Die
To Protect Their Executioner From The Same Pain and
Suffering He Would In-the-Name-of-Allah Inflect on the 100s.
-or-does-the-number-have-to-be-bigger-

So It Is "Moral" To Knowing Let 1000s Human Beings Die
To Protect Their Executioner From The Same Pain and
Suffering He Would In-the-Name-of-Allah Inflect on the 1000s.
-or-does-the-number-have-to-be-bigger-

So It Is "Moral" To Knowing Let 100Ks Human Beings Die
To Protect Their Executioner From The Same Pain and
Suffering He Would In-the-Name-of-Allah Inflect on the 100Ks.
-or-does-the-number-have-to-be-bigger-

So It Is "Moral" To Knowing Let Ms Human Beings Die
To Protect Their Executioner From The Same Pain and

Suffering He Would In-the-Name-of-Allah Inflect on the Ms.
-is-that-number-big-enough-you-you-

Howard,
Or may be the number could be much smaller
You, Your Wife, Children and Grandchildren
Plus Your Parents and Grandparents
Plus Your Aunts, Uncles and Cousins
Your Brothers and Sisters and Nieces and Nephews
All Locked-Up In One Room With No Escape and an
Islam-O-Fascist Terrorist Weapon Set To Kill Them.
* That would most likely be less that a Hundred of
Your Very Own "Loved" Ones -against- The Life of an
Islam-O-Fascist Terrorist : Them All In A Room With
a Weapon -versus- You In A Room With The Terrorist :
You Can Hear Them Screaming "Howard Please Save
Us" : You Have A Hammer and a Knife and One-Hour
To Find-Out How To Save Them -or- See Them Die

Howard,
Yeah - I Know You Would Protect The Islam-O-Fascist
Terrorist and Let Them All Die. -in-the-name-of-'the-obama'-
-in-the-name-of-'the-obama'- -in-the-name-of-'the-obama'-
.
Join 'The Cult of The-Obama' :
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f9249bfca2
Say "YES" To Protecting Islam-O-Fascist Terrorist
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f9249bfca2
Say "NO" To Protecting The USA and Americans Lives
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f9249bfca2
.
.

1690. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 03:03:30 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <413ab7e3-ce51-4e30-9616-7ba4787f756f@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229617

On May 12, 7:47 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> The New York Times
> Reprints
> This copy is for your personal, noncommercial use only.
>
> May 12, 2011
> Report Stresses Urgency of Action on Climate
> By LESLIE KAUFMAN
>
> The nation’s scientific establishment issued a stark warning to the
> American public on Thursday: Not only is global warming real, but the
> effects are already becoming serious and the need has become “pressing”
> for a strong national policy to limit emissions of heat-trapping gases.
>
> The report, by the National Research Council, an arm of the National
> Academy of Sciences, did not endorse any specific legislative approach,
> but it did say that attaching some kind of price to emissions of carbon
> dioxide, the main greenhouse gas, would ideally be an essential
> component of any plan.
>
> “The risks associated with doing business as usual are a much greater
> concern than the risks associated with engaging in ambitious but
> measured response efforts,” the report concludes. “This is because many
> aspects of an ‘overly ambitious’ policy response could be reversed or
> otherwise addressed, if needed, through subsequent policy change,
> whereas adverse changes in the climate system are much more difficult
> (indeed, on the time scale of our lifetimes, may be impossible) to ‘undo.’ ”
>
> The report, “America’s Climate Choices,” was ordered by Congress several
> years ago to offer “action-oriented advice” on how the nation should be
> reacting to the potential consequences of climate change.
>
> But the answer comes at a time when efforts to adopt a climate-change
> policy have stalled in Washington, with many of the Republicans who
> control the House expressing open skepticism about the science of
> climate change. Other legislators, including some Democrats, worry that
> any new law would translate into higher energy prices and hurt the economy.
>
> Not only is the science behind the climate-change forecast solid, the
> report found, but the risks to future generations from further inaction
> are profound. Already, the report noted, sea level is rising in many
> American towns and the average United States air temperature has
> increased by two degrees in the last 50 years.
>

> The report’s authors — an unusual combination of climate scientists,
> businessmen and politicians — said they were very aware that the
> political mood on climate change had changed significantly from when the
> committee was formed in 2009. Because the report was also about policy
> advice, the council named nonscientists, including Jim Geringer, a
> conservative Republican and a former governor of Wyoming.
>
> Albert Carnesale, the chairman of the panel and a chancellor emeritus of
> the University of California, Los Angeles, said that he hoped the
> panel’s diversity and that many came to the job without “prior bias”
> would help sell it even to skeptical policy makers.
>
> “It is an urgent problem to turn to, and what we’ve done differently is
> to look at this as a risk management problem,” Dr. Carnesale said.
>
> While no one knows the exact shape of the risks, Dr. Carnesale said, we
> know that they are real enough to act on. And that they will be harder
> to act on as time passes. “We don’t know exactly when the tsunami will
> hit or how high it will be, but we know it is coming, and we should
> prepare,” Dr. Carnesale said.
>
> But Representative Joe L. Barton, Republican of Texas, who has been
> leading the charge against further regulating carbon emissions, swiftly
> dismissed the council’s findings in an interview Thursday. “I see
> nothing substantive in this report that adds to the knowledge base
> necessary to make an informed decision about what steps — if any —
> should be taken to address climate change,” Mr. Barton said.
>
> Although the report characterizes climate change as a problem that
> urgently needs attention, it stops short of making highly specific
> policy prescriptions, leaving that to lawmakers.
>
> To many worried about climate change, that is a common flaw of such reports.
>
> “This is the classic problem — the divide between scientific reality and
> political courage,” said Paul W. Bledsoe, a senior adviser with the
> Bipartisan Policy Center who has worked in Congress and with the White
> House on these issues. “The scientific organizations are reluctant to
> advocate detailed policy prescriptions, while political actors are
> tentative about the scientific realities.”
>
> The report outlined four areas that demanded immediate action by the
> federal government.
>
> For starters, it emphasized that reducing carbon emissions was critical
> to keeping the United States from having to make dire choices in the
> future. While stopping just short of recommending a carbon tax, the
> committee did praise its efficacy.
>
> “Analyses suggest that the best way to amplify and accelerate such

> efforts, and to minimize overall costs (for any given national emissions
> reduction target), is with a comprehensive, nationally uniform,
> increasing price on” carbon emissions enough to “drive major investments
> in energy efficiency and low-carbon technologies,” the report said.
>
> It also called on the federal government to play a much more active role
> in researching new technologies and in helping the nation adapt to the
> changes in the natural world that are already inevitable. Even with a
> reduction in carbon output, the report said, some climate change will
> continue to occur.
>
> It noted that while many of the nations’ cities and states are taking
> steps toward mitigating carbon output and preparing for hotter, wetter
> conditions, it suggested that the federal government could help
> coordinate these activities while also encouraging more research and
> development.
>
> “The federal government,” the report said, “should immediately undertake
> the development of a national adaptation strategy and build durable
> institutions to implement that strategy and improve it over time.”
> Finally, while this report was designed, in contrast to the United
> Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, to be by Americans
> for Americans, the authors noted that climate change was a global
> problem and the nation had an obligation to remain engaged with the
> international community on possible solutions.
>
> More in Environment (2 of 49 articles)
> House Approves a Bill to Spur Oil Exploration
>
> Read More »

Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-

-hint- Dave : Someone who smokes so-called
Medical-Marijuana : An Admitted Hydro-Carbon
Polluter Has NO Credibility Taking About the
Topic of "Global Warming" {a disproven theory}
.
.
Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
Topic of simple Climate Change and tossed out
the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
Hysteria Mongers.
.
.

1691. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 03:05:40 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <76ace22f-e1b9-41b8-9d9e-5f2d30699aec@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229618

On May 12, 9:40 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 12, 6:11 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 12, 9:15 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > > On 5/12/2011 5:09 AM, dave wrote:
>
> > > > On 05/11/2011 06:18 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> > > >> What Do Those 'Other' 499K Years Show : Lots of
> > > >> 'Climate Change' and in-fact Greater 'Climate Change's
> > > >> "Pre" Man's Industrial Age.
> > > >> * Real Hot Spells {not just Warming; but "Hot"}
> > > >> * Real Cold Spells {not just Cool; but "Ice Ages"}
> > > >> and... All Without Man-Kind.
> > > >> -oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' fact
>
> > > > > The PPM for CO2 has never been above 290 until we started pumping coal
> > > > > smoke into the air. It's been higher in the distant past, but never this
> > > > > high with humans around.
>
> > > > >http://artofteachingscience.org/images/arton2481.jpg
>
> > > > Where did you get that information at?
>
> > > >http://www.ff.org/centers/csspp/library/co2weekly/2005-08-18/dioxide.htm
>
> > > > The spreading of false data is not a good thing ...
>
> > > > Regards,
> > > > JS
>
> > Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
> > Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -

>
> Al Gore smokes pot ? That is new to me .

Only When "AG" Is Getting His 'Rub-On' !
.
Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
Topic of simple 'Climate Change' and tossed out
the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
Hysteria Mongers like The Fal$e Profit Al-of-Gore.
.
.

1692. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 03:13:13 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <bae478a7-4f70-498e-a21b-c5f96ad25eef@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229619

On May 13, 6:17 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/12/2011 09:40 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > On May 12, 6:11 pm, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> >> Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
> >> Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > Al Gore smokes pot ? That is new to me .

- I would assume that he does.
- Most boomers smoke pot, don't they?

Dave 'you' ASS+U+ME !
-wrong- -wrong- -wrong-
.
-wrt- Boomers :
Some where 3-out-of-4 have tried/used Alcohol
and still less than Half have tried/used 'Pot' [MJ]
-now-those-numbers-changes-for-gen-x-
.
Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
Topic of simple Climate Change and tossed out
the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
Hysteria Mongers like the Fal$e Profit Al-of-Gore.
.
.

1693. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 03:14:43 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d1b4f785-17e5-4554-a299-86a76a8ba4d8@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229621
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On May 13, 6:32 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> In article
> <6962da29-4205-419a-88ad-f247bf5d2...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
> Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> blathered:
>
>
>
> > On May 12, 8:12 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > In article
> > > <46ed2da7-2b1b-48c3-9699-9e4a4d956...@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > On May 11, 8:10 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > In article
> > > > > <94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > On May 8, 9:40 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > <2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961...@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
> > > > > > > > > The bushkultie has no facts.
>
> > > > > > > > That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is not
> > > > > > > > getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo
> > > > > > > > shilling.
> > > > > > > > You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
> > > > > > > > possible.
>
> > > > > > > You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*),
>
> > > > > > Hey fuzznuts, * Hates US * is, like you, a rightard.
>
> > > > > Nope, try again.
>
> > > > Yep.
>
> > > Nah, keep trying.
>
> > No need since I have already prevailed, Hairy Butt. FACT.
>
```

> When you have to announce that you "prevailed", you haven't.

That's what all you losers say, Hairy Butt.

Hint: I prevailed. Proven FACT.

1694. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 03:15:04 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <8b957ed9-97aa-4186-9021-f1157c0e3cc2@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229620

On May 13, 10:01 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 13, 6:17 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > On 05/12/2011 09:40 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > On May 12, 6:11 pm, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > >> Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
> > >> Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-- Hide quoted text -
>
> > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > Al Gore smokes pot ? That is new to me .

- - I would assume that he does.
- - Most boomers smoke pot, don't they?

- I never touched the stuff.

BpnJ - So You Always Used Gloves - rotfl ~ RHF

-wrt- Boomers :
Some where 3-out-of-4 have tried/used Alcohol
and still less than Half have tried/used 'Pot' [MJ]
-now-those-numbers-changes-for-gen-x-
.
Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
Topic of simple Climate Change and tossed out
the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
Hysteria Mongers like the Fal$e Profit Al-of-Gore.
.
.

1695. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 03:20:54 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <9f7bbcd0-ff8d-4d9f-871e-9e5aeb57a456@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229622

On May 13, 6:05 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/13/2011 10:01 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > On May 13, 6:17 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> >> On 05/12/2011 09:40 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> >>> On May 12, 6:11 pm, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> >>>> Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
> >>>> Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-- Hide quoted text -
>
> >>>> - Show quoted text -
>
- - - - - Al Gore smokes pot ? That is new to me .

No But Dave is an Admitted Medical-Marijuana User

- - - - I would assume that he does.
- - - Most boomers smoke pot, don't they?

- - I never touched the stuff.

- 50% +1 = Most

Dave your basic 'math' is right
-but- your ASS+U+ME ! -is- wrong,,, Wrong... WRONG ! ! !

-wrt- Boomers :
Some where 3-out-of-4 have tried/used Alcohol
and still less than Half have tried/used 'Pot' [MJ]
-now-those-numbers-changes-for-gen-x-
.
Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
Topic of simple 'Climate Change' and tossed out
the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
Hysteria Mongers like the Fal$e Profit Al-of-Gore.
.
.

1696. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 03:26:49 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2837c07fb364abc098a1e0@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229623

In article <iqk7q3$o8o$2@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> http://www.adl.org/combating_hate/
>
> This group is the enemy of ALL Americans!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Jew hatin' kook too? I should have guessed.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1697. Re: (OT) Former Nazi Guard Convicted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 03:37:50 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <978a8bdb-9fbe-4334-872b-cd0005c9458b@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229624

On May 12, 11:23 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> Steve wrote:
> > On May 12, 7:41 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> > > <http://www.washingtonpost.com/world/demjanjuk_declines_chance_to_make...>
>
> > > How many more of these folks might still be out there? Very few I suspect.
>
> > > One does wonder about the evidence presented.
>
> > I also wonder about it. At one point he was convicted IN ISRAEL, but
> > the Israeli Supreme Court overturned his conviction because it thought
> > he was likely a victim of mistaken identity. If the Israeli court
> > refused to uphold his conviction, then I really wonder how compelling
> > the evidence could have been in this most recent trial.
>
> > The guy's so old at this point, he's probably happy to have the
> > guarantee of "3 hots and a cot" as well as free medical care for the
> > next five years.
>
> Yeah, I guess my thought might be is that many people were 'there' in an attempt
> to 'serve their country' and I cannot fault them for doing so.
>
> My original comment concerned the article, and the feeling that prosecutors said
> he was there (at Sobibor) without proof he had actually done anything wrong and
> therefore guilty simply on the basis of 'being there'.
>
> I am not supporting Nazi's, nor supporting any ideals of the Nazi regime.

The NAZIs were as Brutal with Good Germans who
did not follow their Orders as any others.

It was a Regime-of-Terror and many Germans were
as Terrorized as the Conquered Peoples.

A German Prison Guard in a Death Camp that did
not Obey Orders was Made and Example-Of for the
other Guards to Learn the Lesson :
Follow Orders -don't-question- Follow Orders [.]
.
.

1698. Re: (OT) Former Nazi Guard Convicted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 03:46:32 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DCDFB18-2510@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229625

Escape From NYC
http://www.libertypost.org

It is a sign of the times.
Sears is mulling moving from Illinois, so is Caterpillar.Another sign of
the times.
cuhulin

1699. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 03:52:36 UTC
From: jon boy kg4gfu <jonrev1978@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9ecc445d-fb2c-4f3e-a4fd-14c4dde35ef7@w36g2000vbi.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229626

On May 13, 8:48 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> It's Tulip Time in Holland..
>
> I invite all to tune into WHTC, our local hometown station.
>
> I believe the streaming audio is at whtc.com
>
> The big parade is on Saturday afternoon.

Greetings DX et all!
Wow, I did not know that you had a softer side and that you would be willing to expose ti to some of the fruitloops here.
I am an avid gardener myself and love to see the floral display from Holland. It is a must see! Jon Boy. kg4gfu.

1700. Re: (OT) Former Nazi Guard Convicted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 03:55:01 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DCDFD15-858@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229627

Curt Jurgens, in that kraut Submarine, looking at those people in that movie, one of them was reading an Archie Andrews comic book, another one was reading Mein Kamph. (spelling, I don't know that kraut language) Curt Jurgens didn't agree with Hitler's Sheet.He sat out World War Two in a German prison.
cuhulin

1701. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 04:17:42 UTC
From: Keith <kilowattradio@use-reply-to.invalid>
Message-ID: <iqkvp806l2@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 229628
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On Fri, 13 May 2011 08:33:15 -0400, Kevin Alfred Strom
<kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com> wrote:

> Read C.H. Douglas, _Social Credit_, if you want to understand what
> is really going on.

Socialism (Fascism or Communism) in all of it's forms does not work.
Neither does capitalism work. They both lead to absolute rule by a
government body or person. A mixed economy with elements of both type of
economies is what will work in the long haul. The US and Europe have a
mixed economy with socialism and capitalism. China also has a mixed
economy though it's government has more control over political and social
speech at the present.

--
Best Regards, Keith
|--|
http://home.comcast.net/~kilowattradio/

1702. (OT) Kentucky Derby winner receives round the clock protection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 04:48:13 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DCE098D-2524@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229629

http://www.lucianne.com/thread/?artnum=614913
cuhulin

1703. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 05:02:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DCE0CF1-2529@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229630

My Daffodils bloomed nearly three months ago.Daffodils are known as the
first Flowers of Spring.
cuhulin

1704. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 05:27:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DCE12CD-2546@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229631

Big Weekend for Entertainment on the Coast.
http://www.GulfCoastNews.com

And some kind of outfit (whatever it is) going by the name of Train is
coming to Jackson.
I am not going anywhere except fixin to go to bed.Say Goodnight, doggy.
///WOO WOO WOOF///
cuhulin

1705. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 05:34:07 UTC
From: AzzMazta <penachew@yomomma.hot>
Message-ID: <962dnSsaxcrMiVPQnZ2dnUVZ_uadnZ2d@ptd.net>
Source record: 229632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,alt.religion.christian.roman-catholic

On Fri, 13 May 2011 14:28:33 -0700, John Smith wrote:

> http://www.adl.org/combating_hate/
>

The ADL is but one of many. Others are NAACP, SPLC, Americans United, Freedom From Religion Foundation, ACLU, Catholic League. Poorly representing their factions, they try and eliminate "hate speech" from society, impose their own religion (or lack thereof) on others, through the court system.

In addition, there are plenty of Jews, Blacks, Atheists, and Catholics who believe in freedom of speech, freedom of the press, and the right to practice (another) religion (in public), and the whole religion or ethnic group is held to blame by the already-prejudiced due to the group's supposed "official representative" status.

For example, to ignorant people the Catholic League turns my religion, which because of scandals has become a joke to non-Catholics, into the opinion of a single anti-free-speech jackass.

Let's start "combating hate" which spews from these groups and support the First Amendment instead.

--

Henry Pizzinger Institution
for the Mentally 31337
AzzMazta, Chairman & CEO
www.xcopfly.com

1706. HOT SEXY VIDEOS PRESENT MASLA PHOTOS FOR ADULTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 07:05:45 UTC
From: HRJHRJB <odgfhfhdfv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <92ee9a66-271a-46fb-95ff-ce4e4e39623e@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229633

ALL HOT PHOTOS SUPER SeXY PHOTOS
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-135.html

hot lip kiss

HOT LIP KISS 109
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-109.html
HOT LIP KISS 115
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-115.html
HOTLIP KISS 87
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hotlip-kiss-87.html
HOT LIP KISS 124
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-124.html
HOT LIP KISS 56
http://alltoux.blogspot.com/2010/09/hot-lip-kiss-56.html

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

for more hot videos

http://videosonblog.blogspot.com/2010/11/masala-videos.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html

dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

1707. Hearing "Play Misty for Me" on 1410 kHz @ 3:05 AM PDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 10:56:46 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <2d849f10-4fce-4595-ade1-b77ebe78c76d@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229634

Hearing Late-Nite Jazz Music on 1410 kHz @ 3:05 AM PDT

S-Meter : S3~4 with SIO 444 and Low Audio but Nice Overall
Sound for Jazz Music

KRML.Com ID @ 3:31 AM PST
http://www.krmlradio.com/

KRML-AM 1410 kHz in Carmel, CA
{Monterey Bay Area}
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/KRML
* Mentioned their 102.1 FM Frequency

Day-Time Power 500 Watts
Night-Time Power 17 Watts
http://transition.fcc.gov/fcc-bin/amq?list=0&facid=73064
http://www.radio-locator.com/info/KRML-AM

"Play Misty for Me"
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Play_Misty_for_Me

yes - i heard hear it on the am f'm ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

1708. Proof There Is A 'Larnrod' -which-simply-proves-nothing-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 11:08:26 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <54e0fe23-4823-4cf0-82e8-c808bff2ed40@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229635
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On May 13, 8:14 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 13, 6:32 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > In article
> > <6962da29-4205-419a-88ad-f247bf5d2...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
> > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> blathered:
>
> > > On May 12, 8:12 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > In article
> > > > <46ed2da7-2b1b-48c3-9699-9e4a4d956...@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > On May 11, 8:10 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > In article
> > > > > > <94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > On May 8, 9:40 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > <2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961...@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > The bushkultie has no facts.
>
> > > > > > > > > That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is not
> > > > > > > > > getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo
> > > > > > > > > shilling.
> > > > > > > > > You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
> > > > > > > > > possible.
>
> > > > > > > > You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*),
>
> > > > > > > Hey fuzznuts, * Hates US * is, like you, a rightard.
>
```

> > > > > > Nope, try again.
>
> > > > > Yep.
>
> > > > Nah, keep trying.

- - - No need since I have already prevailed, Hairy Butt. FACT.

- - When you have to announce that you "prevailed", you haven't.

- That's what all you losers say, Hairy Butt.
-
- Hint: I prevailed. Proven FACT.

Larnrod,
The Only Proven Fact is That You 'Larnrod' Have
Proven Beyond-a-Reasonable-Doubt That You
Truly Are In-Fact A "Larnrod"
-which-simply-proves-nothing-nothing-nothing-
.
-ps- Your Screen-ID has become a Put-Down :
"He Is Such A Little Larnrod !" -google-it- ;;-}}
.
.

1709. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 11:11:01 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105141111.UTC.iqlo06$2m1$1@tioat.net>
Source record: 229636
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/14/2011 12:17 AM, Keith wrote:
> On Fri, 13 May 2011 08:33:15 -0400, Kevin Alfred Strom
> <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com> wrote:
>
>> Read C.H. Douglas, _Social Credit_, if you want to understand what
>> is really going on.
>
>
> Socialism (Fascism or Communism) in all of it's forms does not work.
> Neither does capitalism work. They both lead to absolute rule by a
> government body or person. A mixed economy with elements of both type of
> economies is what will work in the long haul. The US and Europe have a
> mixed economy with socialism and capitalism. China also has a mixed
> economy though it's government has more control over political and social
> speech at the present.
>

Clifford Hugh Douglas's _Social Credit_ isn't about socialism.

It is about making the dollar an honest measure of value. It is
about preventing the banks from monopolizing and profiting from the
people's credit. In the process of solving these problems, it also
makes income taxes unnecessary. It's worth reading.

With all good wishes,

Kevin Alfred Strom.
--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

1710. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 11:39:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3317fbbe-7c0a-450b-8806-9cbf8cb343c3@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229637

On May 13, 10:02 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> My Daffodils bloomed nearly three months ago.Daffodils are known as the
> first Flowers of Spring.
> cuhulin

Daffodil Hill, CA
http://www.amadorcountychamber.com/Historic/daffodil_hill.html

The Daffodils around Twain Harte have Bloomed
in some sunny-spots, and are Blooming now in
other shady-spots; and the first Yellow Irises
can be seen around the lower Pond...
-it's-spring-!- -it's-spring-!- -it's-spring-!-

Plus the First Wood Duck 'Chicks' (8) appeared
right around 1st Mayo; and two days ago the
First Canada Geese 'Chicks' (4) appeared.
-it's-a-reborn-living-spring-!- -it's-a-reborn-living-spring-!-

1711. Re: The Great "Rapture" Smack-Down a Shortwave Radio Event !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 11:45:23 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <908b7494-3977-40df-a9a6-2623d19fe833@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229638

On May 12, 3:51 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 9, 1:30 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > OK, so you guys have heard of Family Radio, no doubt. Their studios
> > are just 10 miles from where I live and their main transmitter on HF
> > is in Okeedokee, er Okeechbee, Florida. Harold Camping, the founder
> > and genius behind Family Radio, has predicted with stunning accuracy
> > and without overt prophecy from the Almighty, that the rapture will
> > occur on May 21, 2011, although we cannot yet tell if it will be
> > before or after lunch.
>
> > Anyway, so I'm groovin' along down the street after having gotten a
> > sandwich (vegetarian, of course - God loves animals and does not enjoy
> > seeing them harmed needlessly) and soft drink, and what do I spy but a
> > Family Radio minivan with two very friendly chaps setting up a sign
> > that sayeth, "Judgment Day May 21, 2011." I stop and smile knowingly
> > at this, and one of them energetically hands me several "End Times"
> > brochures and a handful of spanking new full-color business cards with
> > glossy overcoat that also declare as much.
>
> > Well - What can I say? What can I do? I am dumbfounded and amused as
> > I, an ordinary shortwave radio enthusiast and occasional listener to
> > the Family Radio interval signal (as it covers up the desired signals
> > from Pakistan or Bhutan), am CHOSEN by Harold Camping's hand-selected
> > assistants to receive the Word and also to pass out the Word on fancy
> > little business cards! It must be a sign, a revelation, a not-so-
> > subtle message from the great beyond that, for the next 12 days, it is
> > my predestiny to proseletyze profoundly on this preposterously proven
> > prostitution, er, I mean, postulation.
>
> > So there you have it, the story of my improbable conversion to TBOTET
> > (True Believer of the End Times) and chosen cherub of chicanery. I
> > will have photos up of the business cards later so that you, too, can
> > become a convert. (These cards will also be nice souvenirs of
> > interesting times when we reach May 22, 2011 without substantial
> > transsubstantiation ;-)

>
> > Somebody's humble servant (we won't say whose ;-),
> > Bruce Jensen (CA - USA)
>
> Brother Star was a Preaching -a'gin- Brother Camping
> last night on the Shortwave Radio on 5890 kHz WWCR
> saying ONLY a Prophet-of-God could predict the Last
> Day; and that Brother Camping was not a prophet.
>
> The Overcomer Ministry with Brother Stair [PoG]http://www.overcomerministry.org/
> .
> WWCR Shortwave Program Schedulehttp://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> Transmitter # 4 for the Overcomer Ministry with
> Brother Stair [PoG] 5890 & 9890 kHz
> .
> WYFR : Family Radio World Wide with Brother
Campinghttp://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
> * The Judgement Day 21 Mayo 2011 {Commercial}http://www.familyradio.com/may21/
> .
> yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

The Count-Down Continues 7 Days Left
until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
.
.

1712. Prez Obama Laughs and says... Hey Trump ? Show Us What's Under The Toupee !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 11:51:00 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <01f3f253-afd9-4eba-b48a-cefd9c0265bf@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229639
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 13, 5:21 am, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net> wrote:
> > "Joe from Kokomo" <j...@indy.net> wrote in message
> >news:iqgk77$1to$1@dont-email.me...
> >> On 5/12/2011 3:32 AM, fergo wrote:
>
> >>> What did Bush do about Binladen?
>
> >> What Bush did?
>
> >> Bush SHUT DOWN the CIA's bin Laden Task Force in '04 or '05. (This may
> >> help explain why UBL was never caught on Bush's watch).
>
> >> And for shutting down the UBL task force, the kooktards want to give
> >> Bush credit? BLAME would be much more appropriate.
>
> On 5/13/2011 3:32 AM, fergo wrote:
>
> > And then there's this:http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4PGmnz5Ow-o
>
> Thanks for the reference -- from Bush's own lips yet. And the kooktards
> want to give this fool credit?
>
> However, that was a *classic* George W $hit eating grin! :-)

Rob Redding asks...
Hey Trump ? Show Us What's Under The Toupee !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/2425a3ad2391f554
.
-better- Prez Obama Laughs and says...
Hey Trump ? Show Us What's Under The Toupee !
.

1713. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 12:34:45 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCE76E5.28CF00AC@milestones.com>
Source record: 229640

Rain here at the moment, and only 55 degrees.

dxAce wrote:

> It's Tulip Time in Holland..
>
> I invite all to tune into WHTC, our local hometown station.
>
> I believe the streaming audio is at whtc.com
>
> The big parade is on Saturday afternoon.

1714. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 12:58:02 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCE7C5A.FB3C4A00@milestones.com>
Source record: 229642

joe wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>
> > You seem to want to think Co2 is a big thing. Only, in the complete
> > scheme of things, has Co2 never been so low. At twice the PPM it is not
> > going to kill us or mankind.
>
> It may not 'kill us', but what effects may it have? If an increase on
> CO2 causes a temperature rise that results in flooding major population
> areas would that be a problem to be worried about?
>
> Plants will flourish, since plants feed
> > all life, either directly, or by feeding the food sources which serve as
> > food sources to other species ... it is a good thing.
>
> If a climate change reduces the ability to grow those plants (rice,
> wheat, corn, etc.) that are a major food source, would that be a problem
> to worry about?
>
> If the climate changes so that major agricultural areas can no longer
> produce the crops needed by civilization, would that be an issue to be
> worried about?
>
> >
> > Your time frame is only going back hundreds of years, in our realistic
> > knowledge of it. Since the oldest civilization in existance (china), at
> > this time, has only been in existence for ~5,000 years, no meaningful
> > data can be had as to whether this is a normal cycle of the earth, or
> > not -- no sufficient records were kept until recent times.
> >
> >
> There is also no evidence to say the civilization can prosper if there
> is significant climate change.
>
> So while you can say CO2 was much higher in the past, you choose to
> ignore the possibility that higher CO2 levels may cause problems that
> could be serious.
>
> You should be able to find instances where folks thought adding small
> amounts of chemicals to the air or water was thought to be insignificant
> but later had to be dealt with at a major expense.
>
> I'd rather err on the side of caution rather than have my head in the

> sand and find out the implications were much more serious.

OK, so let us say we err on the side of caution. Thing is, the Liberal/Democrat/Marxist/Socialist plan with regards to all of this seems to be taxing the crap out of us.

Spend, spend, spend and tax tax tax.

1715. Re: May 13 Logs from KY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 12:58:23 UTC
From: Mike <mwbryant@aol.com>
Message-ID: <d90a8f75-4910-4962-bf9e-24f3b00a41b5@l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229643

On May 13, 9:04 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> FB OM

I have no idea what FB stands for. Help me, clarify.

"Acronym Definition

FB Football
FB Facebook (website)
FB Fantasy Baseball
FB Feedback
FB Fachbereich
FB Foul Ball
FB Fast Break
FB Fullback
FB Fenerbahce (Turkish Soccer Team)
FB Farm Bureau
FB Frame Buffer
FB Fenerbahce (Turkey)
FB Fallback
FB Fly Ball (baseball)
FB Flashback
FB Frauenbeauftragte (German: Women's Affairs)
FB Fly-By
FB Full Board (hospitality industry)
FB Fireball (gaming)
FB Bulgaria Air (airline code)
FB Fluxbox (Unix/Linux window manager)
FB Footbridge (UK maps)
FB Federal Building
Fb From Below
Fb Frederiksberg (Danish railway station)
FB Fusebox (web application framework)
FB Fat Bastard
FB Foreign Body
FB Foosball
FB Fishing Boat
FB Fruits Basket (anime)
FB Frostbite
FB Fighter Bomber
FB Fringe Benefit
FB Frank Black (musician)
FB Flat Bar
FB Fixed Block

FB Final Boss (gaming clan)
FB Ferris Bueller (movie character)
FB Full Bath
FB Fine Business (morse code used by ham radio operators)
FB Facilities Based
FB Board Feet (Canada)
FB Fire Break
FB Fresno Bee (newspaper)
FB Facility-Based
FB Full Bridge
FB Fuse Block
FB Friends with Benefits
FB Freight Bill
FB Flashbang
FB Fire Base
FB FinalBurn (arcade game emulator)
FB Fixed Bridge
FB Fiber Board
FB Fully Built (rebuild engine with aftermarket parts, generally high power output)
FB Farum Boldklub (Danish soccer club)
FB Firebat (Starcraft game)
FB Finance Bureau (Hong Kong)
FB Facilities Board
FB Fiber-Optic Backbone
FB Financing Bill
FB Friendship Book (swapping)
FB Framing Bit
FB FoxBox
FB Fire Bush
FB Frostburn (roleplaying games, Dungeons & Dragons)
FB Fozzie Bear (The Muppet Show character)
FB Finance Battalion
FB Fast Busy
FB Furnace Brazing
FB Fun Buddy (polite form)
FB Fast Broadcasting
FB Fuse Board
FB Firing Battery
FB Feature Board (Cisco)
FB Fatty Bombatty (font)
FB Finger Breadth
FB Forward Boundary
FB Fire Builder (Mic-O-Say, Heart of America Council, Boy Scouts of America)
FB Fenian Brotherhood
FB Funbots (QuakeNet gaming)
FB Foolish Buyer (real estate)
FB Fauve de Bourgogne (rabbit breed)
FB Failure Biasing

FB Frontline Brothers (gaming clan)
FB Four-way Box
FB Farside Blues"

1716. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 12:59:26 UTC
From: "W.T.S." <m14m@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.28382af3b18aec77989c45@news.west.earthlink.net>
Source record: 229646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,alt.religion.christian.roman-catholic

In article <962dnSsaxcrMiVPQnZ2dnUVZ_uadnZ2d@ptd.net>,
penachew@yomomma.hot says...
>
> On Fri, 13 May 2011 14:28:33 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
> > http://www.adl.org/combating_hate/
> >
<snip> Usual bull shit, no need to repost. </snip>
>
Translation: Hate crime laws are putting a crimp in our action!
>
--
http://www.rhrealitycheck.org/print/14481

1717. Re: May 13 Logs from KY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 13:00:20 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCE7CE4.FD762FC2@milestones.com>
Source record: 229644

Mike "I'm a college professor with a PhD" Bryant wrote:

> On May 13, 9:04 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> >
> > FB OM
>
> I have no idea what FB stands for. Help me, clarify.

Fine Business

1718. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 13:02:36 UTC
From: joe <none@domain.invalid>
Message-ID: <iqltvg$j2t$1@speranza.aioe.org>
Source record: 229641

John Smith wrote:

> You seem to want to think Co2 is a big thing. Only, in the complete
> scheme of things, has Co2 never been so low. At twice the PPM it is not
> going to kill us or mankind.

It may not 'kill us', but what effects may it have? If an increase on
CO2 causes a temperature rise that results in flooding major population
areas would that be a problem to be worried about?

Plants will flourish, since plants feed
> all life, either directly, or by feeding the food sources which serve as
> food sources to other species ... it is a good thing.

If a climate change reduces the ability to grow those plants (rice,
wheat, corn, etc.) that are a major food source, would that be a problem
to worry about?

If the climate changes so that major agricultural areas can no longer
produce the crops needed by civilization, would that be an issue to be
worried about?

>
> Your time frame is only going back hundreds of years, in our realistic
> knowledge of it. Since the oldest civilization in existance (china), at
> this time, has only been in existence for ~5,000 years, no meaningful
> data can be had as to whether this is a normal cycle of the earth, or
> not -- no sufficient records were kept until recent times.
>
>
There is also no evidence to say the civilization can prosper if there
is significant climate change.

So while you can say CO2 was much higher in the past, you choose to
ignore the possibility that higher CO2 levels may cause problems that
could be serious.

You should be able to find instances where folks thought adding small
amounts of chemicals to the air or water was thought to be insignificant
but later had to be dealt with at a major expense.

I'd rather err on the side of caution rather than have my head in the
sand and find out the implications were much more serious.

1719. Re: "Error Speaks Volumes"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 13:06:40 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <a7tss6194jqr7fo3sn1jf0es95gsjqo4go@4ax.com>
Source record: 229645
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

1720. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 13:28:51 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCE8393.8047010@milestones.com>
Source record: 229647

No plan at the moment to cancel the parade unless severe thunderstorms threaten.

I think the parade kicks off at 2 PM EDT (1800 GMT). And from what I understand, whtc.com may also have steaming video.

dxAce wrote:

> Rain here at the moment, and only 55 degrees.
>
> dxAce wrote:
>
> > It's Tulip Time in Holland..
> >
> > I invite all to tune into WHTC, our local hometown station.
> >
> > I believe the streaming audio is at whtc.com
> >
> > The big parade is on Saturday afternoon.

1721. EARN 3000 DOLLARS PER DAY - WITH OUT INVESTMENT - 100%FREE - CLICK HERE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 13:44:36 UTC
From: maha <vithyamaha6@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <a6421937-5ca3-4edb-a391-dd3039d53044@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229648

http://earnforexnow.blogspot.com/

http://earnforexnow.blogspot.com/

http://earnforexnow.blogspot.com/

http://earnforexnow.blogspot.com/

http://earnforexnow.blogspot.com/

1722. Re: May 13 Logs from KY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 13:50:07 UTC
From: Mike <mwbryant@aol.com>
Message-ID: <c114cbed-3219-4ca0-b9ef-2d739febd976@x6g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229649

On May 14, 9:00 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> Mike "I'm a college professor with a PhD" Bryant wrote:
>
> > On May 13, 9:04 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > FB OM
>
> > I have no idea what FB stands for. Help me, clarify.
>
> Fine Business

Thanks, Steve!

1723. Re: May 13 Logs from KY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 13:51:40 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCE88EC.8788F363@milestones.com>
Source record: 229650

Mike wrote:

> On May 14, 9:00 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> > Mike "I'm a college professor with a PhD" Bryant wrote:
> >
> > > On May 13, 9:04 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> >
> > > > > FB OM
> >
> > > > I have no idea what FB stands for. Help me, clarify.
> >
> > > Fine Business
> >
> Thanks, Steve!

No problemo.

1724. Re: Proof There Is A 'Iarnród' -which-simply-proves-nothing-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 13:56:43 UTC
From: JohnJohnsn <TopCop1988@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d58d31d6-c8cb-47a6-96fb-4c401c6a3328@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229651
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 14, 6:08 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> On May 13, 8:14 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> On May 13, 6:32 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>> In article
>>> <6962da29-4205-419a-88ad-f247bf5d2...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
>>> Iarnród <iarn...@yahoo.com> blathered:
>
>>>> On May 12, 8:12 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> In article
>>>>> <46ed2da7-2b1b-48c3-9699-9e4a4d956...@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>,
>>>>> Iarnród <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On May 11, 8:10 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> In article
>>>>>>> <94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
>>>>>>> Iarnród <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On May 8, 9:40 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> In article
>>>>>>>>> <2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961...@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>,
>>>>>>>>> Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
>>>>>>>>> The bushkultie has no facts.
>>>>>>>>> That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is not
>>>>>>>>> getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo shilling.
>>>>>>>>> You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
>>>>>>>>> possible.
>
>>>>>>>> You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*),
>
>>>>>>> Hey fuzznuts, * Hates US * is, like you, a rightard.
>
>>>>>> Nope, try again.
>
>>>>> Yep.
>
>>>> Nah, keep trying.
>
> --- - No need since I have already prevailed, Hairy Butt. FACT.
>
> - - When you have to announce that you "prevailed", you haven't.
>

> - That's what all you losers say, Hairy Butt.
> -
> - Hint: I prevailed. Proven FACT.
>
> Larnrod,
> The Only Proven Fact is That You 'Larnrod' Have
> Proven Beyond-a-Reasonable-Doubt That You
> Truly Are In-Fact A "Larnrod"
> -which-simply-proves-nothing-nothing-nothing-
> .
> -ps- Your Screen-ID has become a Put-Down :
> "He Is Such A Little Larnrod !" -google-it- ;;-}}

One problem, RHF: her name isn't "Larnrod"; it's Iarnród, starts with an "I" (eye).

She's named herself after an Irish railroad network: Iarnród Éireann (Irish Rail). That's why I call her "Rude One" or "Rails." She's a member of the Denver Dykes on Bikes <ddob.org> and the Head Cheerleader of both the Obama COLB Cult and the Obama der Retter Cult.

She's a foul-mouthed Narcissistic Personality Disorder-afflicted Abderian Simpleton who readily edits whatever you post in order to falsely claim she "wins" every argument she's engaged in.

She's a Lying Left-Wing Liberal Socialist Democrat LOSER™ (est. 2010-11-02) KOOK: IOW; a typical Obamaniac; and always drunk on Long Island Iced Tea, which she swills and posts her enjoyment of being drunk on it.

"Ride bene chi ride l'ultimo." :D

"History is indeed the witness of the times, the light of truth."
--Marcus Tullius Cicero

"ObamaCare: The efficiency of the Post Office, the compassion of the IRS, the costs of the Pentagon."
— Unknown

Barack Hussien Obama, Jr.:
-All the answers as a candidate;
-No solutions as the president!

1725. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 14:13:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DCE8E08-851@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229652

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Daffodil+Cars

That is why I want to find me an old made in the Netherlands, Daffodil Car.So I can park it by my Daffodils when they start blooming every year.

Currently, only 64 degrees inside my flower box on doggy's front porch. Doggy isn't used to taking a dump in my back yard, it has always been the front yard.Sooner or later, she will have to ''go'' in my back yard. cuhulin

1726. Desi Hot Bhabhi Enjoying sex With His Husbands 2Friends watch out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 14:52:24 UTC
From: charmi s <usgallery01@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <40cb9052-bb45-4d7c-806e-01684b9fea63@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229653

Desi Hot Bhabhi Enjoying sex With His Husbands 2Friends watch out
At http://ladiesngirls.Co.CC

Due to GOOGLE security risks, i have hidden the videos(15) in an
image.
in that website on Right side below search box click on image
and watch videos in all angles.

1727. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 14:55:15 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iqm54j$id4$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229654

On 5/14/2011 8:58 AM, dxAce wrote:

> OK, so let us say we err on the side of caution. Thing is, the
> Liberal/Democrat/Marxist/Socialist plan with regards to all of this seems to
> be taxing the crap out of us.

How convenient of you to overlook the fact that your REPUBLICAN governor is personally taxing YOU (your pension). To add insult to injury, he is giving your pension tax as a -gift- to BUSINESS.

Finally, don't overlook that your guv *lied*; he said the whole point was to whittle down the deficit -- and not one nickel of your pension tax is going against the deficit, it's ALL going towards Business.

Feel cheated? Feel lied to? Don't want your pension taxed? Then feel free to sign the recall petition.

1728. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 15:00:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DCEA713-2635@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229663

Once in a while I see Chrysler cars commercials on tee vee.It says, The Chrysler 300G (I think it says 300G) Is Back.Imported From Detroit.

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=nPowerPEG
cuhulin

1729. Re: Prez Obama Laughs and says... Hey Trump ? Show Us What's Under The Toupee !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 15:00:24 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqm5eahkk1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229655
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,misc.survivalism,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/14/2011 4:51 AM, RHF wrote:

> ...
> Rob Redding asks...
> Hey Trump ? Show Us What's Under The Toupee !
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/2425a3ad2391f554
> .
> -better- Prez Obama Laughs and says...
> Hey Trump ? Show Us What's Under The Toupee !
> .
>

Trump would be more the same as obama, and bush. He would carry on the goals and push forward in the directions already established. He is a waterboy of the shadow government.

Banksters and wall street would still remain the vampires sucking the life out of Americans. The wars would still be raping nations for their resources, wealth and treasure.

Trump is like romney, palin, huckabee, obama, etc. -- simply no choice at all ...

Regards,
JS

1730. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 15:08:16 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqm5t4hkl1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/14/2011 5:59 AM, W.T.S. wrote:
> In article<962dnSsaxcrMiVPQnZ2dnUVZ_uadnZ2d@ptd.net>,
> penachew@yomomma.hot says...
>>
>> On Fri, 13 May 2011 14:28:33 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> http://www.adl.org/combating_hate/
>>>
> <snip> Usual bull shit, no need to repost.</snip>
>>
> Translation: Hate crime laws are putting a crimp in our action!
>>

No, they are a lie. You have to hate someone to commit a crime against them. These hate crime groups wish to establish special rights, privileges, freedoms, benefits, powers, etc. for themselves.

They skate and make a mockery of the Constitution where all men are created equal. They are the blackest of evil incarnate ...

They are the enemy of all honest men and women. They are race card carrying public enemies!

Regards,
JS

1731. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 15:13:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqm67ihkk2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229657

On 5/14/2011 6:02 AM, joe wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> You seem to want to think Co2 is a big thing. Only, in the complete
>> scheme of things, has Co2 never been so low. At twice the PPM it is
>> not going to kill us or mankind.
>
> It may not 'kill us', but what effects may it have? If an increase on
> CO2 causes a temperature rise that results in flooding major population
> areas would that be a problem to be worried about?
>
>
> Plants will flourish, since plants feed
>> all life, either directly, or by feeding the food sources which serve
>> as food sources to other species ... it is a good thing.
>
> If a climate change reduces the ability to grow those plants (rice,
> wheat, corn, etc.) that are a major food source, would that be a problem
> to worry about?
>
> If the climate changes so that major agricultural areas can no longer
> produce the crops needed by civilization, would that be an issue to be
> worried about?
>
>>
>> Your time frame is only going back hundreds of years, in our realistic
>> knowledge of it. Since the oldest civilization in existance (china),
>> at this time, has only been in existence for ~5,000 years, no
>> meaningful data can be had as to whether this is a normal cycle of the
>> earth, or not -- no sufficient records were kept until recent times.
>>
>>
> There is also no evidence to say the civilization can prosper if there
> is significant climate change.
>
> So while you can say CO2 was much higher in the past, you choose to
> ignore the possibility that higher CO2 levels may cause problems that
> could be serious.
>
> You should be able to find instances where folks thought adding small
> amounts of chemicals to the air or water was thought to be insignificant
> but later had to be dealt with at a major expense.
>
> I'd rather err on the side of caution rather than have my head in the

> sand and find out the implications were much more serious.

Error-ing on the side of caution is just another way to say you wish to indulge your unfounded fears, you wish to force your personal opinions on others. You would pay taxes based on rumors.

Read my lips, NO NEW TAXES! And, repeal the BS ones on the books now!

A flat tax or no tax at all ...

Regards,
JS

1732. hai how are you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 16:01:26 UTC
From: sakthivel vel <sakthivelchandra.vel1@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <a9a828a4-8499-4580-981b-4c87fae836f1@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229658

http://123maza.com/35/city149/

1733. Re: The National Academy of Sciences doesn't smoke dope nor work for V.P. Gore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 16:06:13 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcea822$0$12450$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229659

On 05/13/2011 08:03 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 12, 7:47 pm, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> The New York Times
>> Reprints
>> This copy is for your personal, noncommercial use only.
>>
>> May 12, 2011
>> Report Stresses Urgency of Action on Climate
>> By LESLIE KAUFMAN
>>
>> The nationï¿½s scientific establishment issued a stark warning to the
>> American public on Thursday: Not only is global warming real, but the
>> effects are already becoming serious and the need has become ï¿½pressingï¿½
>> for a strong national policy to limit emissions of heat-trapping gases.
>>
>> The report, by the National Research Council, an arm of the National
>> Academy of Sciences, did not endorse any specific legislative approach,
>> but it did say that attaching some kind of price to emissions of carbon
>> dioxide, the main greenhouse gas, would ideally be an essential
>> component of any plan.
>>
>> ï¿½The risks associated with doing business as usual are a much greater
>> concern than the risks associated with engaging in ambitious but
>> measured response efforts,ï¿½ the report concludes. ï¿½This is because many
>> aspects of an ï¿½overly ambitiousï¿½ policy response could be reversed or
>> otherwise addressed, if needed, through subsequent policy change,
>> whereas adverse changes in the climate system are much more difficult
>> (indeed, on the time scale of our lifetimes, may be impossible) to ï¿½undo.ï¿½ ï¿½
>>
>> The report, ï¿½Americaï¿½s Climate Choices,ï¿½ was ordered by Congress several
>> years ago to offer ï¿½action-oriented adviceï¿½ on how the nation should be
>> reacting to the potential consequences of climate change.
>>
>> But the answer comes at a time when efforts to adopt a climate-change
>> policy have stalled in Washington, with many of the Republicans who
>> control the House expressing open skepticism about the science of
>> climate change. Other legislators, including some Democrats, worry that
>> any new law would translate into higher energy prices and hurt the economy.
>>
>> Not only is the science behind the climate-change forecast solid, the
>> report found, but the risks to future generations from further inaction
>> are profound. Already, the report noted, sea level is rising in many
>> American towns and the average United States air temperature has

>> increased by two degrees in the last 50 years.
>>
>> The reportï¿½s authors ï¿½ an unusual combination of climate scientists,
>> businessmen and politicians ï¿½ said they were very aware that the
>> political mood on climate change had changed significantly from when the
>> committee was formed in 2009. Because the report was also about policy
>> advice, the council named nonscientists, including Jim Geringer, a
>> conservative Republican and a former governor of Wyoming.
>>
>> Albert Carnesale, the chairman of the panel and a chancellor emeritus of
>> the University of California, Los Angeles, said that he hoped the
>> panelï¿½s diversity and that many came to the job without ï¿½prior biasï¿½
>> would help sell it even to skeptical policy makers.
>>
>> ï¿½It is an urgent problem to turn to, and what weï¿½ve done differently is
>> to look at this as a risk management problem,ï¿½ Dr. Carnesale said.
>>
>> While no one knows the exact shape of the risks, Dr. Carnesale said, we
>> know that they are real enough to act on. And that they will be harder
>> to act on as time passes. ï¿½We donï¿½t know exactly when the tsunami will
>> hit or how high it will be, but we know it is coming, and we should
>> prepare,ï¿½ Dr. Carnesale said.
>>
>> But Representative Joe L. Barton, Republican of Texas, who has been
>> leading the charge against further regulating carbon emissions, swiftly
>> dismissed the councilï¿½s findings in an interview Thursday. ï¿½I see
>> nothing substantive in this report that adds to the knowledge base
>> necessary to make an informed decision about what steps ï¿½ if any ï¿½
>> should be taken to address climate change,ï¿½ Mr. Barton said.
>>
>> Although the report characterizes climate change as a problem that
>> urgently needs attention, it stops short of making highly specific
>> policy prescriptions, leaving that to lawmakers.
>>
>> To many worried about climate change, that is a common flaw of such reports.
>>
>> ï¿½This is the classic problem ï¿½ the divide between scientific reality and
>> political courage,ï¿½ said Paul W. Bledsoe, a senior adviser with the
>> Bipartisan Policy Center who has worked in Congress and with the White
>> House on these issues. ï¿½The scientific organizations are reluctant to
>> advocate detailed policy prescriptions, while political actors are
>> tentative about the scientific realities.ï¿½
>>
>> The report outlined four areas that demanded immediate action by the
>> federal government.
>>
>> For starters, it emphasized that reducing carbon emissions was critical
>> to keeping the United States from having to make dire choices in the
>> future. While stopping just short of recommending a carbon tax, the
>> committee did praise its efficacy.

>>
>> ï¿½Analyses suggest that the best way to amplify and accelerate such
>> efforts, and to minimize overall costs (for any given national emissions
>> reduction target), is with a comprehensive, nationally uniform,
>> increasing price onï¿½ carbon emissions enough to ï¿½drive major investments
>> in energy efficiency and low-carbon technologies,ï¿½ the report said.
>>
>> It also called on the federal government to play a much more active role
>> in researching new technologies and in helping the nation adapt to the
>> changes in the natural world that are already inevitable. Even with a
>> reduction in carbon output, the report said, some climate change will
>> continue to occur.
>>
>> It noted that while many of the nationsï¿½ cities and states are taking
>> steps toward mitigating carbon output and preparing for hotter, wetter
>> conditions, it suggested that the federal government could help
>> coordinate these activities while also encouraging more research and
>> development.
>>
>> ï¿½The federal government,ï¿½ the report said, ï¿½should immediately undertake
>> the development of a national adaptation strategy and build durable
>> institutions to implement that strategy and improve it over time.ï¿½
>> Finally, while this report was designed, in contrast to the United
>> Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, to be by Americans
>> for Americans, the authors noted that climate change was a global
>> problem and the nation had an obligation to remain engaged with the
>> international community on possible solutions.
>>
>> More in Environment (2 of 49 articles)
>> House Approves a Bill to Spur Oil Exploration
>>
>> Read More ï¿½
>
> Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
> Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-
>
> -hint- Dave : Someone who smokes so-called
> Medical-Marijuana : An Admitted Hydro-Carbon
> Polluter Has NO Credibility Taking About the
> Topic of "Global Warming" {a disproven theory}
> .
> .
> Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
> Topic of simple Climate Change and tossed out
> the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
> Hysteria Mongers.
> .
> .

1734. Re: Boomers who went to 'nam tried pot (hell my dad smoked pot over there)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 16:07:41 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcea87a$0$12450$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229660

```
On 05/13/2011 08:13 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 13, 6:17 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 05/12/2011 09:40 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>> On May 12, 6:11 pm, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>
>>>> Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
>>>> Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>> Al Gore smokes pot ? That is new to me .
>
> - I would assume that he does.
> - Most boomers smoke pot, don't they?
>
> Dave 'you' ASS+U+ME !
> -wrong- -wrong- -wrong-
> .
> -wrt- Boomers :
> Some where 3-out-of-4 have tried/used Alcohol
> and still less than Half have tried/used 'Pot' [MJ]
> -now-those-numbers-changes-for-gen-x-
> .
> Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
> Topic of simple Climate Change and tossed out
> the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
> Hysteria Mongers like the Fal$e Profit Al-of-Gore.
> .
> .
```

1735. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 16:08:37 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcea8b2$0$12450$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229661

On 05/13/2011 08:20 PM, RHF wrote:

> Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
> Topic of simple 'Climate Change' and tossed out
> the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
> Hysteria Mongers like the Fal$e Profit Al-of-Gore.
> .
> .

You are going to die early because of your politics.

1736. Re: Anarchy and chaos will prevail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 16:09:41 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcea8f1$0$12450$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229662
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 05/14/2011 04:11 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
> On 5/14/2011 12:17 AM, Keith wrote:
>> On Fri, 13 May 2011 08:33:15 -0400, Kevin Alfred Strom
>> <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Read C.H. Douglas, _Social Credit_, if you want to understand what
>>> is really going on.
>>
>>
>> Socialism (Fascism or Communism) in all of it's forms does not work.
>> Neither does capitalism work. They both lead to absolute rule by a
>> government body or person. A mixed economy with elements of both type of
>> economies is what will work in the long haul. The US and Europe have a
>> mixed economy with socialism and capitalism. China also has a mixed
>> economy though it's government has more control over political and social
>> speech at the present.
>>
>
>
>
> Clifford Hugh Douglas's _Social Credit_ isn't about socialism.
>
> It is about making the dollar an honest measure of value. It is about
> preventing the banks from monopolizing and profiting from the people's
> credit. In the process of solving these problems, it also makes income
> taxes unnecessary. It's worth reading.
>
>
> With all good wishes,
>
>
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom.

1737. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 16:11:28 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcea95d$0$12450$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229664

On 05/14/2011 06:02 AM, joe wrote:

> I'd rather err on the side of caution rather than have my head in the
> sand and find out the implications were much more serious.

That's the recommendation of the Academy as well.

1738. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 16:12:31 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcea99b$0$12450$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229665

On 05/14/2011 07:55 AM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:
> On 5/14/2011 8:58 AM, dxAce wrote:
>
>> OK, so let us say we err on the side of caution. Thing is, the
>> Liberal/Democrat/Marxist/Socialist plan with regards to all of this
>> seems to
>> be taxing the crap out of us.
>
> How convenient of you to overlook the fact that your REPUBLICAN governor
> is personally taxing YOU (your pension). To add insult to injury, he is
> giving your pension tax as a -gift- to BUSINESS.
>
> Finally, don't overlook that your guv *lied*; he said the whole point
> was to whittle down the deficit -- and not one nickel of your pension
> tax is going against the deficit, it's ALL going towards Business.
>
> Feel cheated? Feel lied to? Don't want your pension taxed? Then feel
> free to sign the recall petition.

Fuck your pension. The atmosphere is changing because of us.

1739. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 16:22:10 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105141622.UTC.iqma7i$7l5$1@tioat.net>
Source record: 229666

On 5/13/2011 5:28 PM, John Smith wrote:
[...]
>
> This group is the enemy of ALL Americans!
>
> Regards,
> JS

The ADL has been in the forefront of efforts to

1. Disarm private citizens

2. Politicize the police and federal law enforcement

3. Promote political censorship of the Internet

Almost twenty years ago, I referred to them as "America's greatest enemy" in an essay:

http://www.gwb.com.au/2000/pc/bnai15.htm

Time has vindicated that choice of words.

With every good wish,

Kevin Alfred Strom.
--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

1740. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 16:40:57 UTC
From: Cambridge Ray <ramon@conexus.net>
Message-ID: <d2086768-8140-48c5-9b03-14bd834d44c2@h9g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,alt.conspiracy

On May 14, 10:08 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/14/2011 5:59 AM, W.T.S. wrote:
>
> > In article<962dnSsaxcrMiVPQnZ2dnUVZ_uadn...@ptd.net>,
> > penac...@yomomma.hot says...
>
> >> On Fri, 13 May 2011 14:28:33 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
> >>>http://www.adl.org/combating_hate/
>
> > <snip> Usual bull shit, no need to repost.</snip>
>
> > Translation: Hate crime laws are putting a crimp in our action!
>

> You have to hate someone to commit a crime against them.

"I beg to differ, Mr. Smith"
Signed: Michael Corleone

"Never hate your enemies. It affects your judgment.".
-Michael Corleone to Vincent Mancini

1741. Re: Anarchy and chaos will prevail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 16:41:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DCEB0B3-2663@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229669

Up to three inches of Global Warming is predicted for Tahoe this
weekend, about six inches of Global Warming in the higher elevations of
Tahoe.
http://www.drudgereport.com

Snowing at the Bonanza!
cuhulin

1742. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 16:42:12 UTC
From: Cambridge Ray <ramon@conexus.net>
Message-ID: <f99f1d19-ac19-439a-bb05-2e1896b6bc56@z19g2000yqz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,alt.religion.christian.roman-catholic

Not a believer in the Constitution much?

-Ramon

1743. Re: Anarchy and chaos will prevail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 17:08:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqmcv5dpd3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/14/2011 9:41 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Up to three inches of Global Warming is predicted for Tahoe this
> weekend, about six inches of Global Warming in the higher elevations of
> Tahoe.
> http://www.drudgereport.com
>
> Snowing at the Bonanza!
> cuhulin
>

It is the "runoff" which is to be worried about, it will fill the rivers
with tons of taxes!

Regards,
JS

1744. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 17:16:32 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqmddl$9r6$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229671
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in message
news:iqfjm8$9ss$1@dont-email.me...
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
> news:iqfglf$345$4@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/11/2011 7:24 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Hell, I wish he could just provide conclusive irrefutable PROOF of a
>>>> single
>>>> specific detail that is clearly contrary to both the official
>>>> conclusions
>>>> and reasonable natural events that could have resulted from the
>>>> airliner
>>>> impact.
>>>>
>>>> Since he has been unable to do so even in a single particular, then it
>>>> doesn't matter how much he puts out there, the proof of his claims are
>>>> clearly inadequate to support those assertions.
>>>
>>> Bi golly you are a self proclaimed genious!
>>
>> But, the only real proof he has offered, his posts and text, demonstrate
>> a moron ... as always, I will go with the tangible!
>
> You're free to try fulfilling my challenge there John. Joe tried and
> failed miserably, so much so I don't even bother to read him anymore.
>
> So here you go John, if you care to step up to the plate and take a swing.
>
> Present your best proof for a single specific detail which you feel
> conclusively proves that both the official findings are wrong and which
> can not be reasonably explained or expected as a the natural results of
> the impact, fire, or other damage known to have occurred.
>
> Certainly with everything you have there is some specific piece detail
> that you can conclusive prove supports your claims and can't possibly be
> explained by anything else normal or natural to known events.
>
> Please note: I expect exact specifics backed by irrefutable proof. I am
> not going to search around looking for something you claim might be there
> somewhere, but rather I expect you to present me with a complete, concise

> and direct set of facts and proofs. Just as I would get in a set of
> engineering calculations, mathematical proofs, or scientific reports.

Still no response from John, looks like he's afraid to even try to take a swing at providing conclusive proof about one of his claims on any specific detail.

1745. Latest Liberty Net via WB4AIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 18:45:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105141845.UTC.iqmijc$ak5$1@tioat.net>
Source record: 229672

Liberty Net: May 7, 2011

http://3950.net/2011/05/liberty-net-may-7-2011/

by Kevin Strom, WB4AIO

THE LIBERTY NET continued its free speech traditions this week, with ideas and debates sometimes intense ï¿½ and sometimes worthy of a modern-day School of Athens. If youï¿½d like to join in, get an amateur radio license ï¿½ theyï¿½re easier to obtain than ever before ï¿½ and add your voice to the check-ins.

Here are just a few examples of what you can hear on this weekï¿½s recording:

ï¿½ Police in some jurisdictions are seizing motoristsï¿½ cell telephones during random traffic stops ï¿½ and inspecting the personal data therein, all without a search warrant. Some freedom-minded citizens are responding by always carrying a throwaway phone that can be given up without giving up privacy. (6 minutes)

ï¿½ The Last Roundup, the radio program of N2SAG, one of the Liberty Netï¿½s leading participants, is now on 5110 kHz every Friday at 9PM Eastern (0100 UTC). (22 minutes)

ï¿½ Many are expressing astonishment at the Washington regimeï¿½s multiple versions of the death of Osama bin Laden. Why, for example, was the unarmed bin Laden not captured, which would have given his captors considerable leverage with his many thousands of followers? (24 minutes)

ï¿½ One wag has quipped that the only difference between Osama and Obama is the letters ï¿½BS.ï¿½ (37 minutes)

ï¿½ The Pakistani military obviously trusted Osama bin Laden enough to allow him to build his residence 1,000 yards from one of their bases. But did they trust him enough to sell or give him nuclear material? (1 hour 14 minutes)

ï¿½ Why has the lily-White elite of Mexico ï¿½ which is continually exporting its unwanted surplus Mestizo population to the US ï¿½ recently purchased over 100 tons of gold? (1 hour 30 minutes)

ï¿½ All Americans should be loyal to the spirit and letter of the original Constitution of the Founding Fathers. But we should give no loyalty whatsoever to the successor regime in Washington which only pretends to be a continuation of the long-defunct original government of the United States. (1 hour 48 minutes)

ï¿½ Did you know that the Washington regime is now sending assassination drones not only to Syria, Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq ï¿½ but now also to Yemen? How many innocents must die as a result of these horrific murders ï¿½ how many good people must end up as radicalized enemies of America ï¿½ before these illegal wars and illegal murders are ended forever? (2 hours 57 minutes)

ï¿½ A new study has revealed that 47 per cent. of nearly all-Black Detroitï¿½s adult population is functionally illiterate. New Yorkï¿½s Mayor Bloomberg thinks the solution to Detroitï¿½s decline is to import millions more Third World immigrants and require them to live in Detroit for five to ten years. (3 hours 2 minutes)

Remember that you can find the Liberty Net on a clear frequency around 3950-4000 kHz LSB every Saturday night at 10PM Eastern Time, or you can listen live on the Internet via N2SAG or N2IRJ, or listen at your leisure via the mp3 files posted here at http://3950.net every week.

Thanks to W1WCR for running the Liberty Net, and thanks to N2IRJ and N2SAG for giving the net a great online presence.

http://3950.net/2011/05/liberty-net-may-7-2011/

--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

1746. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 19:04:37 UTC
From: Wimpie <wimabctel@tetech.nl>
Message-ID: <395e53cf-f9d1-4af6-9059-36e42bf2330b@t19g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229673

On 14 mayo, 17:00, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Once in a while I see Chrysler cars commercials on tee vee.It says, The
> Chrysler 300G (I think it says 300G) Is Back.Imported From Detroit.
>
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=nPowerPEG
> cuhulin

Did they "exported" Detroit to a place outside the USA?

Maybe some creative book keeping trick...

Wim
PA3DJS

1747. new search engine for water engineer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 19:16:36 UTC
From: soumya <soumyaee@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <d45965ed-5a99-4a78-b435-1639d95d0984@h9g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229674

A new search engine for hydrologist, agriculturist and water resource practitioner.

http://www.baipatra.ws
http://www.waterandenviromodeling.org

1748. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 19:17:57 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DCED565-3113@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229675

rec.autos.tech newsgroup.
cuhulin

1749. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 19:22:40 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DCED680-3115@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229678

US - Wag The Dog
http://www.rense.com/general94/wag.htm
cuhulin

1750. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 19:26:28 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105141926.UTC.iqml14$ba8$1@tioat.net>
Source record: 229676
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.community

On 5/13/2011 11:55 AM, John B. wrote:
> On May 13, 8:33 am, Kevin Alfred Strom<kevin.st...@revilo-oliver.com>
> wrote:
[...]
>> Inflation is not "an increase in prices." Inflation _causes_ an
>> increase in prices.
>>
>> Inflation is a relative increase of the money supply. That increase
>> can only be caused by 1) government, 2) banks, or 3) counterfeiters.
>>
>> There should be no inflation. There is no reason whatever that the
>> dollar could not be a fixed and honest measure, like the mile or the
>> ounce or the minute.
>>
>> The real reason it is not an honest measure is because bankers and
>> vote-buying politicians like to create money out of thin air. _That_
>> is inflation. That is what steals the savings of the thrifty and
>> forces us to work our entire lives to pay usury to the bankers, who
>> have a license to create money out of nothing and do _nothing_
>> productive to earn their huge profits.
>>
>> Read C.H. Douglas, _Social Credit_, if you want to understand what
>> is really going on.
>>
>> With all good wishes,
>>
>> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>> --http://nationalvanguard.org/ http://kevinalfredstrom.com/
>
> I'm not an economist, but inflation seems to me a fact of life in a
> market economy. The price of almost everything goes up over time, not
> just in the U.S. but in every country on earth. Bankers and
> politicians can't "create money out of thin air," as much as they'd
> like to.

No, you're wrong about that. They can. And they do.

We all know that government printing presses can create money.

But less well known -- although far more of a problem -- is the fact
that the commercial banking system itself creates money every day.
In fact, the vast preponderance of the money supply was created _ex
nihilo_ by the banks.

The basics of money-creation are very easy to understand (though powerful interests would prefer that you _not_ understand):

Say you deposit $1000 in a checking account at your bank.

Because of the Fed's "reserve requirements" rules, they can lend out about, say, 90% of your deposit, or $900. Say they decide to lend that $900 to Dave.

So they make a bookkeeping entry that Dave has $900 more in his checking account than he had before.

But -- and here's the crucial part -- do they deduct the $900 from your account? Do they even put a hold on $900 of your funds so that you have to wait until Dave pays back his loan until you can get that $900?

No, they don't.

So, where there was once $1000 on the books of the bank, now there is $1900. All fully available for withdrawal at any time.

And Dave must pay interest to the bank for the use of the money they created out of nothing.

Actually, it's even worse than that. The bank, or another bank if Dave chooses to deposit elsewhere, can continue the process -- lending out 90% of Dave's funds too. And so on, _ad infinitum_.

And that is how _most_ of the money is created under our system, which was created by the bankers, for the bankers, and to the eternal profit of the bankers.

With all good wishes,

Kevin Alfred Strom.
--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

1751. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 19:29:43 UTC
From: AzzMazta <penachew@yomomma.hot>
Message-ID: <DfudnWh4s7q3RVPQnZ2dnUVZ_tadnZ2d@ptd.net>
Source record: 229677

On Sat, 14 May 2011 12:22:10 -0400, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:

>
> The ADL has been in the forefront of efforts to
>
> 1. Disarm private citizens
>
> 2. Politicize the police and federal law enforcement
>

I've never heard of 1-2, seems to have nothing to do with opposing
anti-Semitism, have sources?

--

Henry Pizzinger Institution
for the Mentally 31337
AzzMazta, Chairman & CEO
www.xcopfly.com

1752. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 19:30:30 UTC
From: AzzMazta <penachew@yomomma.hot>
Message-ID: <6NqdnQirO4jHRVPQnZ2dnUVZ_qSdnZ2d@ptd.net>
Source record: 229679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,alt.religion.christian.roman-catholic

On Sat, 14 May 2011 09:42:12 -0700 (PDT), Cambridge Ray wrote:

> Not a believer in the Constitution much?
>

???

"Let's start "combating hate" which spews from these groups and support the
First Amendment instead."

--

Henry Pizzinger Institution
for the Mentally 31337
AzzMazta, Chairman & CEO
www.xcopfly.com

1753. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 19:36:51 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105141936.UTC.iqmlkk$bf0$1@tioat.net>
Source record: 229680

On 5/14/2011 3:29 PM, AzzMazta wrote:
> On Sat, 14 May 2011 12:22:10 -0400, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>
>>
>> The ADL has been in the forefront of efforts to
>>
>> 1. Disarm private citizens
>>
>> 2. Politicize the police and federal law enforcement
>>
>
> I've never heard of 1-2, seems to have nothing to do with opposing
> anti-Semitism, have sources?
>

Sure:

http://www.adl.org/presrele/extremism_72/3401_72.asp

http://www.adl.org/Civil_Rights/2008_policy_priority/gun_control.asp

http://www.adl.org/PresRele/CvlRt_32/5362_32.htm

http://www.adl.org/learn/adl_law_enforcement/default.htm

http://regions.adl.org/missouri/programs/law-enforcement-training.html

With every good wish,

Kevin Alfred Strom.
--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

1754. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 20:04:13 UTC
From: AzzMazta <penachew@yomomma.hot>
Message-ID: <0b2dnZVv_MfdfVPQnZ2dnUVZ_j-dnZ2d@ptd.net>
Source record: 229681

On Sat, 14 May 2011 15:36:51 -0400, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:

> On 5/14/2011 3:29 PM, AzzMazta wrote:
>> On Sat, 14 May 2011 12:22:10 -0400, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> The ADL has been in the forefront of efforts to
>>>
>>> 1. Disarm private citizens
>>>
>>> 2. Politicize the police and federal law enforcement
>>>
>>
>> I've never heard of 1-2, seems to have nothing to do with opposing
>> anti-Semitism, have sources?
>>
>
>
> Sure:
>
> http://www.adl.org/presrele/extremism_72/3401_72.asp
>
> http://www.adl.org/Civil_Rights/2008_policy_priority/gun_control.asp
>
> http://www.adl.org/PresRele/CvlRt_32/5362_32.htm
>
> http://www.adl.org/learn/adl_law_enforcement/default.htm
>
> http://regions.adl.org/missouri/programs/law-enforcement-training.html
>
>

Wow.

--

Henry Pizzinger Institution
for the Mentally 31337
AzzMazta, Chairman & CEO
www.xcopfly.com

1755. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 20:54:36 UTC
From: rfischer@sonic.net
Message-ID: <4dceec0c$0$60299$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 229682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>On 5/14/2011 5:59 AM, W.T.S. wrote:
>> penachew@yomomma.hot says...
>>> On Fri, 13 May 2011 14:28:33 -0700, John Smith wrote:

>>>> http://www.adl.org/combating_hate/
>>>>
>> <snip> Usual bull shit, no need to repost.</snip>
>>>
>> Translation: Hate crime laws are putting a crimp in our action!
>
>No, they are a lie. You have to hate someone to commit a crime against
>them. These hate crime groups wish to establish special rights,
>privileges, freedoms, benefits, powers, etc. for themselves.

Looks like you're using a blatant lie in order to justify your
bigotry.

>They skate and make a mockery of the Constitution where all men are
>created equal.

That's not part of the Constitution, dumbass.

> They are the blackest of evil incarnate ...

Hatred is evil. You hate.

--
Ray Fischer | Mendocracy (n.) government by lying
rfischer@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal

1756. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 20:56:49 UTC
From: Cambridge Ray <ramon@conexus.net>
Message-ID: <fd43d6a0-2ba9-4890-928f-d0456f78de3a@z19g2000yqz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,alt.religion.christian.roman-catholic

On May 14, 2:30 pm, AzzMazta <penac...@yomomma.hot> wrote:
> On Sat, 14 May 2011 09:42:12 -0700 (PDT), Cambridge Ray wrote:
> > Not a believer in the Constitution much?
>
> ???
>

Please explain which part of the constitution supports inviting groups
that you perceive as un-American to leave the USA. You are in
violation of the 1st., for starters.

-Ramon

1757. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 21:17:09 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d550f45a-b15e-43f7-a9b1-91909f535fad@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229684

On May 14, 9:12 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/14/2011 07:55 AM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/14/2011 8:58 AM, dxAce wrote:
>
> >> OK, so let us say we err on the side of caution. Thing is, the
> >> Liberal/Democrat/Marxist/Socialist plan with regards to all of this
> >> seems to
> >> be taxing the crap out of us.
>
> > How convenient of you to overlook the fact that your REPUBLICAN governor
> > is personally taxing YOU (your pension). To add insult to injury, he is
> > giving your pension tax as a -gift- to BUSINESS.
>
> > Finally, don't overlook that your guv *lied*; he said the whole point
> > was to whittle down the deficit -- and not one nickel of your pension
> > tax is going against the deficit, it's ALL going towards Business.
>
> > Feel cheated? Feel lied to? Don't want your pension taxed? Then feel
> > free to sign the recall petition.

- Fuck your pension.

Dave : First Do Unto Yourself : As You Would Do Unto Others !

- The atmosphere is changing because of us.

Dave,
Localized around Population Areas indeed it may be
-however- On A Global Scale There Is Real "Climate
Change" and Mankind Has Little To Do With That [.]
-and-that's-a-fact- -and-that's-a-fact- -and-that's-a-fact-

Critical 'Climate Change' Factor # 1 = The Sun
.
Major 'Climate Change' Factor # 2 = The Earth {Itself}
.
Minor 'Climate Change' Factor #.....13 = Humanity {Us}

-oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' truth
.
and... The Earth's Gonna Do What The Earth's Gonna Do !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/810fd53dc8724c1b
-so-adapt-or-die- -so-adapt-or-die- -so-adapt-or-die-
Excessive Energy Taxes Won't Solve The Problem !
-obama-taxes- -obama-more-taxes- -obama-higher-taxes-
Phony Carbon Credits Won't Solve The Problem !
-global-warming-scam- -global-warming-scam-
Invest In American = Re-Build A Better America !
-say-'no'-to-3rd-world-energy-wealth-transfers-
=Adapt=Or=Die= =Adapt=Or=Die= =Adapt=Or=Die=
-not-tax-and-spend- -not-tax-and-spend- -not-tax-and-spend-
.
.

1758. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 21:34:32 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <515fea83-473f-4143-a14f-bd784c2bda61@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229685

On May 14, 9:11 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/14/2011 06:02 AM, joe wrote:
>
> > I'd rather err on the side of caution rather than have my head in the
> > sand and find out the implications were much more serious.

- That's the recommendation of the Academy as well.

? The Academy of What ? : ! Pseudo-Science !

Thinking of Pseudo-Science and the Global Warming Scam
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ba39a3698d0315ce
.
"ClimateGate" The Fraud Behind Global Warming
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/30a0228a43629c84
.
? What Excessive Energy Taxes ! {and Energy Caps}
-taxing-the-daily-living-of-average-american-families-

? What Carbon Credits ! {and Carbon Limits}
-controlling-the-daily-lives-of-america's-working-families-

Dave,
On A Global Scale There Is Real "Climate Change"
and Mankind Has Little To Do With That [.]
-and-that's-a-fact- -and-that's-a-fact- -and-that's-a-fact-

Critical 'Climate Change' Factor # 1 = The Sun
.
Major 'Climate Change' Factor # 2 = The Earth {Itself}
.
Minor 'Climate Change' Factor #.....13 = Humanity {Us}
-oops- another inconvenient 'climate change' truth
.
and... The Earth's Gonna Do What The Earth's Gonna Do !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/810fd53dc8724c1b
-so-adapt-or-die- -so-adapt-or-die- -so-adapt-or-die-

Excessive Energy Taxes Won't Solve The Problem !
-obama-taxes- -obama-more-taxes- -obama-higher-taxes-

Phony Carbon Credits Won't Solve The Problem !
-global-warming-scam- -global-warming-scam-

Invest In American = Re-Build A Better America !
-say-'no'-to-3rd-world-energy-wealth-transfers-
=Adapt=Or=Die= =Adapt=Or=Die= =Adapt=Or=Die=

-not-tax-and-spend- -not-tax-and-spend- -not-tax-and-spend-
.
Say "NO" To A Global Carbon Credit Exchange
-and- Say "YES" to an American 1st CO2 Enrichment Tax
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/470ed6750b77c06d
-say-'no'-to-3rd-world-energy-wealth-transfers-
Invest In American = Re-Build A Better America !
.
Say "YES" to an American CO2 Enrichment Tax
{Re-Greening American & Investing in the USA}
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/03fd866eb3599b1b
-say-'no'-to-3rd-world-energy-wealth-transfers-
Invest In American = Re-Build A Better America !
.
.

1759. Re: (OT) G for Gangsta!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 21:38:03 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcef5e9$0$12469$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229686

On 05/14/2011 08:00 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Once in a while I see Chrysler cars commercials on tee vee.It says, The
> Chrysler 300G (I think it says 300G) Is Back.Imported From Detroit.
>
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=nPowerPEG
> cuhulin
>

1760. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 21:38:40 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105142138.UTC.iqmsp1dcv1@tioat.net>
Source record: 229689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.community

On 5/13/2011 2:48 PM, Day Brown wrote:
[...]
> The original Aryan cosmology had it that Chaos was primordial. But
> eventually, inevitably, what we call a 'self replicating sequence',
> Gaia emerged. But because replication is not perfect, She evolved to
> the point of reaching sentience and then differentiating Herself
> from Chaos and Maya (the physical universe).
>
> Life forms that give birth without male fertilization are
> parthenogenic mothers. Which is why Gaia is female. And why Athena
> was in the Parthenon.
>

George Bernard Shaw looked at evolutionary history and the evolution of sex, and said that the female obviously invented the male for her own purposes.

I am not so sure that's literally true, but there is an insight there for those who can grasp it.

[...]
> What passes for reality is made up of Fractal algorithms, a
> combination of
> the laws of Mother Nature and random chaotic input.
>

I think the way it happens is that below a certain size and below a certain minuscule time interval, space and time are not continuous. They are granular. In other words, there are irreducible steps with nothing in between them.

Therefore particles behave very differently in very small dimensions than they do otherwise. Their behavior cannot be individually predicted, just statistically.

Apply a force precisely calculated to move a particle from position 1 to position 2.5 -- but if there's _no such thing_ as position 2.5, and positions 2 and 3 are the only possibilities, what do you get? Particles that go to position 2 half of the time and position 3 the other half. (That's wildly simplified, but you get the idea.)

That _seems_ chaotic, and on those small scales perhaps it is.

With every good wish,

Kevin Alfred Strom.
--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

1761. Re: Anarchy and chaos will prevail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 21:39:16 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcef633$0$12469$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229687

On 05/14/2011 09:41 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Up to three inches of Global Warming is predicted for Tahoe this
> weekend, about six inches of Global Warming in the higher elevations of
> Tahoe.
> http://www.drudgereport.com
>
> Snowing at the Bonanza!
> cuhulin
>

It snows through May out here (in the higher elevations). Later some years.

1762. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 21:39:49 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcef654$0$12469$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229688

On 05/14/2011 12:04 PM, Wimpie wrote:
> On 14 mayo, 17:00, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>> Once in a while I see Chrysler cars commercials on tee vee.It says, The
>> Chrysler 300G (I think it says 300G) Is Back.Imported From Detroit.
>>
>> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=nPowerPEG
>> cuhulin
>
> Did they "exported" Detroit to a place outside the USA?
>
> Maybe some creative book keeping trick...
>
> Wim
> PA3DJS

I think it means "Fuck you, America"

1763. Re: Boomers who went to 'nam tried pot (hell my dad smoked pot over there)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 21:40:44 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <72cd8e9e-6541-4d55-b7e5-d6b6b82656d4@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229691

On May 14, 9:07 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/13/2011 08:13 PM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 13, 6:17 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> >> On 05/12/2011 09:40 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> >>> On May 12, 6:11 pm, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> >>>> Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
> >>>> Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-- Hide quoted text -
>
> >>>> - Show quoted text -
>
> >>> Al Gore smokes pot ? That is new to me .
>
> > - I would assume that he does.
> > - Most boomers smoke pot, don't they?
>
> > Dave 'you' ASS+U+ME !
> > -wrong- -wrong- -wrong-
> > .
> > -wrt- Boomers :
> > Some where 3-out-of-4 have tried/used Alcohol
> > and still less than Half have tried/used 'Pot' [MJ]
> > -now-those-numbers-changes-for-gen-x-
> > .
> > Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
> > Topic of simple Climate Change and tossed out
> > the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
> > Hysteria Mongers like the Fal$e Profit Al-of-Gore.
> > .
> > .

Dave -wrote-
- "Boomers who went to 'nam tried pot

1st - Not All "Boomers" Went To Vietnam

2nd - Not All Vietnam Veteran Smoked 'Pot' in Vietnam

-again- Dave 'you' ASS+U+ME !
-making-you--wrong--wrong--wrong-
.
Dave -wrote-
- (hell my dad smoked pot over there)

Ah... That could explain a lot about you Dave.
.
.
-wrt- Boomers :
Some where 3-out-of-4 have tried/used Alcohol
and still less than Half have tried/used 'Pot' [MJ]
-now-those-numbers-changes-for-gen-x-
.
Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
Topic of simple Climate Change and tossed out
the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
Hysteria Mongers like the Fal$e Profit Al-of-Gore.
.
.

1764. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 21:42:05 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcef6dc$0$12469$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229690

On 05/14/2011 02:34 PM, RHF wrote:

> some moronic twaddle

You will die in a forest fire when you get trapped under a huge pile of extraneous punctuation.

1765. Re: (OT) G for Gangsta!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 21:44:11 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DCEF7AB-2836@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229692

Imported From Detroit.

One time when the tee vee channel that doesn't exist was doing weather news, one of the little hamlets in Missy Sippy, I saw the name of Detroit, on that thar tee vee Missy Sippy weather map.
cuhulin, Imported From Missy Sippy

1766. Re: (OT) G for Gangsta!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 21:53:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DCEF9DF-2842@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229694

Darby's Rangers, 1958 movie just now finished up on TCM.It is a Real
Good old movie.Another Real Good old movie coming up on Memorial Day
(Memorial Day Columbus,Mississippi.
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Mississippi+Trivia)
weekend is Battleground, Real Good old movie.
cuhulin, Imported From Missy Sippy

1767. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 21:55:34 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1088b0f3-6a05-4529-a889-b06d21b21447@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229693

On May 14, 2:39 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/14/2011 12:04 PM, Wimpie wrote:
>
> > On 14 mayo, 17:00, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> >> Once in a while I see Chrysler cars commercials on tee vee.It says, The
> >> Chrysler 300G (I think it says 300G) Is Back.Imported From Detroit.
>
> >>http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=nPowerPEG
> >> cuhulin
>
> > Did they "exported" Detroit to a place outside the USA?
>
> > Maybe some creative book keeping trick...
>
> > Wim
> > PA3DJS

- I think it means "Fuck you, America"

Dave : Clearly* You Don't Think
* Medical-Marijuana Addled Brain

and,,, Dave your "FU" America is just another example
of how you constantly prove your are NO Libertarian of
any Strip -but- an Intolerant Elitist* With Liberal-Fascist
Tendencies to Say "FU" America "FU" America
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/920c3f7fdd38ee9b
Always Amazed by those who claim to be "Libertarians"
so-called Champions of Individual Rights and Personal
Freedoms : Who Instantly Resort to Name Calling and
Vulgarities : Proving that they really are completely
Intolerant Progressive Elitists -aka- Liberal-Fascists :
Who Attack Any Believer Who Is Not The Right Kind
of Liberal Secularist and Toe The Liberal Line.
* Telling You What You Can and Can't Say
* Telling You What You Can and Can't Think : Party
* Telling You What You Can and Can't Do : Vote
* Telling You How You Can and Can't Live : Suffer
* Defining How Minorities Must Think : Party
* Demanding How Minorities Must Act : Vote
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/7f00e5d20874c831
= Elitist Control Freaks = Overlords of the Peope =
= Controlling Elitists = Overlords of the Masses =
= Political Imperialist = Oppressors of the Political Arena =
-and- Proclaimers of "FU" America "FU" America

-time-and-time-again- -time-and-time-again-
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/ebdb61838bf58144
.
.
Proud To Say : I Am Made In America ~ RHF
-and- God {Allah} Bless The USA - Amen ~ RHF
.
.

1768. Re: (OT) Tulip Time in Holland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 22:16:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DCEFF29-2857@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229695

I am getting a Virtual Tour of Detroit.Parking Wars on the A&E channel
right now.
Boot Jacks, anybody?
cuhulin

1769. Re: 388 PPM and climbing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 23:11:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DCF0C3B-947@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229697

Watchin WJTV 12 (another one of those tee vee stations that doesn't exist) 6:00 PM tee vee noos.Tracy, in Vicksburg, she said there are people from all over, from Oregon, California, other places, they came to see the Missy Sippy River Flood.

It is a Tourist attraction now.That's A OK, spend that Money in Missy Sippy.
cuhulin

1770. International station for testing radio receptivity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-14 23:12:55 UTC
From: Mike S <mscir@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <iqn29qdje1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229696

Does anyone have a list of international stations I can try to find? I'd like to see what other countries I can pick up, and I'm guessing there are lists of s/w stations that are always on the air.

1771. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 00:10:12 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7381be67-fca4-406f-a376-2c0573686829@j31g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229698
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> joeturn wrote:
> > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >> wrote:
>
> >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >>>> wrote:
>
> >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>
> >>>>>> ...
> >>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> >>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> >>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> >>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> >>>>>> astounding.
>
> >>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> >>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> >>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> >>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> >>>>> ...
>
> >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>
> >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> >>>> actual evidence.
>
> >>> Wild speculation?
>
> >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>
> >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> >>> use?
>
> >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.

> >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>
> >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> >>> occurring today, is in error?
>
> >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> >> evidence?
>
> >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>
> >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> >> vanishes?
>
> >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> >>> based in reality!
>
> >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>
> and on nobody else's?
>
> It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

His footage was not doctored it caught lots of goodies that the CIA
wanted to remain silent.It caught dumptrucks and cranes on
standby,then they jumped on it with both feet claiming it to be
faked,but it was the real deal.It caught the CIA agent in action
projecting the hologram.That was not a cell phone in his hand!

http://www.livevideo.com/video/C8E9BD6476324BD0A6878640A2961D8B/evan-...

1772. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 00:25:51 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5c9a9674-7a53-4d0a-ac5b-e22a7b6f9d7a@q32g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229699
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 12, 11:17 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/12/2011 2:41 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >> ...
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > caucasian
>
> > The race card was developed to determine what stran of Nigeria you
> > came from.The albinos were cast out of society and took up residency
> > in the Cauccus Mountain range they were treated like leppers because
> > they were so odd looking!
>
> ROFLOL!
>
> Yes, but what about the damn caucasians who stood out, and were even
> considered "odd looking" by their fellow caucasians?
>
> I mean, I am looking for a place to send a few of these white creeps
> which live near me!
>
> And, these republicrats and democans, what can we do with them? Be nice
> to clean up this neighborhood! :-)
>
> Regards,
> JS

Those would have been considered Gods or witches back in the day/

http://www.stewartsynopsis.com/chapter_7.htm pay no attent to the
video just the read!

1773. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 00:53:46 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <70c0e064-f701-4c0f-891b-60302d1bebef@v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229700
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 14, 1:16 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in message
>
> news:iqfjm8$9ss$1@dont-email.me...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote in message
> >news:iqfglf$345$4@dont-email.me...
> >> On 5/11/2011 7:24 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >>>> ...
> >>>> Hell, I wish he could just provide conclusive irrefutable PROOF of a
> >>>> single
> >>>> specific detail that is clearly contrary to both the official
> >>>> conclusions
> >>>> and reasonable natural events that could have resulted from the
> >>>> airliner
> >>>> impact.
>
> >>>> Since he has been unable to do so even in a single particular, then it
> >>>> doesn't matter how much he puts out there, the proof of his claims are
> >>>> clearly inadequate to support those assertions.
>
> >>> Bi golly you are a self proclaimed genious!
>
> >> But, the only real proof he has offered, his posts and text, demonstrate
> >> a moron ... as always, I will go with the tangible!
>
> > You're free to try fulfilling my challenge there John. Joe tried and
> > failed miserably, so much so I don't even bother to read him anymore.
>
> > So here you go John, if you care to step up to the plate and take a swing.
>
> > Present your best proof for a single specific detail which you feel
> > conclusively proves that both the official findings are wrong and which
> > can not be reasonably explained or expected as a the natural results of
> > the impact, fire, or other damage known to have occurred.

>
> > Certainly with everything you have there is some specific piece detail
> > that you can conclusive prove supports your claims and can't possibly be
> > explained by anything else normal or natural to known events.
>
> > Please note: I expect exact specifics backed by irrefutable proof. I am
> > not going to search around looking for something you claim might be there
> > somewhere, but rather I expect you to present me with a complete, concise
> > and direct set of facts and proofs. Just as I would get in a set of
> > engineering calculations, mathematical proofs, or scientific reports.
>
> Still no response from John, looks like he's afraid to even try to take a
> swing at providing conclusive proof about one of his claims on any specific
> detail.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

After I pointed out to him you were a mere punkin,he decided you were
a wastes of his time and so has you on hold till you complete your
apprenticeship.

Well let me stand in for him just till he gets back hopefully we can
get you ready for your exam by educating you on Mapp gas. Both tips
for burning will fit in the same cutting torch head the acetylene tip
is almost identical to the one used for Mapp gas.

For your home work
assignment,provide the distinguishing difference in both tips and
explain why the blow hole is recessed.

It will be on your test!

1774. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 01:25:21 UTC
From: Harold Burton <hal.i.burton@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hal.i.burton-1F6EBB.21252114052011@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 229701
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article
<d1b4f785-17e5-4554-a299-86a76a8ba4d8@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>,
Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

> > > No need since I have already prevailed . . .

> That's what all losers say . .

snicker.

1775. Re: International station for testing radio receptivity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 01:42:49 UTC
From: "Brian Gregory [UK]" <ng@bgdsv.co.uk>
Message-ID: <p56dnT22HKcAslLQnZ2dnUVZ8vqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229702

"Mike S" <mscir@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:iqn29qdje1@dont-email.me...
> Does anyone have a list of international stations I can try to find? I'd
> like to see what other countries I can pick up, and I'm guessing there are
> lists of s/w stations that are always on the air.

Try http://www.short-wave.info/

--

Brian Gregory. (In the UK)
ng@bgdsv.co.uk
To email me remove the letter vee.

1776. No comment necessary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 02:24:28 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <iqndgsumt1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229703

http://www.nwitimes.com/news/local/govt-and-politics/article_ec169697-a19e-525f-a532-81b3df229697.html?mode=story

1777. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 02:25:40 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1c1d7114-ba98-43c2-bbf7-579cf3584a4f@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229704
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 14, 8:03 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > joeturn wrote:
> > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > >> wrote:
>
> > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > >>>> wrote:
>
> > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>
> > >>>>>> ...
> > >>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > >>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > >>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > >>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > >>>>>> astounding.
>
> > >>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > >>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > >>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > >>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > >>>>> ...
>
> > >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>
> > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > >>>> actual evidence.
>
> > >>> Wild speculation?
>
> > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.

>
> > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > >>> use?
>
> > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>
> > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > >>> occurring today, is in error?
>
> > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > >> evidence?
>
> > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>
> > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > >> vanishes?
>
> > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > >>> based in reality!
>
> > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>
> > and on nobody else's?
>
> > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> His footage was not doctored it caught lots of goodies that the CIA
> wanted to remain silent.It caught dumptrucks and cranes on
> standby,then they jumped on it with both feet claiming it to be
> faked,but it was the real deal.It caught the CIA agent in action
> projecting the hologram.That was not a cell phone in his hand it was a
> projector
>
> http://www.livevideo.com/video/C8E9BD6476324BD0A6878640A2961D8B/evan-...- Hide quoted
text -
>

> - Show quoted text -

just fixing the link

http://www.livevideo.com/video/C8E9BD6476324BD0A6878640A2961D8B/evan-fairbank-monitor-zoom-s.aspx

1778. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 02:34:29 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqne3n$c4h$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.community

On 5/14/2011 2:38 PM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:

> ...
> George Bernard Shaw looked at evolutionary history and the evolution of
> sex, and said that the female obviously invented the male for her own
> purposes.
> ...
> Kevin Alfred Strom.

I have only seen one example of what you propose -- my wife.

Really can't reach a conclusion on that single example. :-)

Regards,
JS

1779. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 02:39:51 UTC
From: rfischer@sonic.net
Message-ID: <4dcf3cf7$0$67539$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 229706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

Nobody <not@home.anymore> wrote:
>Ray 'RentBoy' Fischer wrote:
>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote:
>>>On 5/14/2011 5:59 AM, W.T.S. wrote:
>>>> penachew@yomomma.hot says...
>>>>> On Fri, 13 May 2011 14:28:33 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>>>> http://www.adl.org/combating_hate/
>>>>>>
>>>> <snip> Usual bull shit, no need to repost.</snip>
>>>>>
>>>> Translation: Hate crime laws are putting a crimp in our action!
>>>
>>>No, they are a lie. You have to hate someone to commit a crime
>>>against them. These hate crime groups wish to establish special
>>>rights, privileges, freedoms, benefits, powers, etc. for
>>>themselves.
>>
>> Looks like you're using a blatant lie in order to justify your
>> bigotry.
>
>You figure it works for you

No, KKK bigot. That's YOUR racist and homophobic bigotry.

>>>They skate and make a mockery of the Constitution where all men
>>>are created equal.
>>
>> That's not part of the Constitution, dumbass.
>>
>>> They are the blackest of evil incarnate ...
>>
>> Hatred is evil. You hate.
>
>Lying is lame.

Hate is evil. You hate.

--
Ray Fischer | Mendocracy (n.) government by lying
rfischer@sonic.net | The new GOP ideal

1780. Re: International station for testing radio receptivity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 02:47:42 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcf3ec1$0$12497$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229707

On 5/14/2011 4:12 PM, Mike S wrote:
> Does anyone have a list of international stations I can try to find? I'd
> like to see what other countries I can pick up, and I'm guessing there
> are lists of s/w stations that are always on the air.

The only HF stations I know of on the same freq 24/7 are tropical
stations and standard time and frequency stations.

http://www.nist.gov/pml/div688/grp40/wwv.cfm

1781. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 03:09:20 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqng52$c4h$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/14/2011 12:29 PM, AzzMazta wrote:
> On Sat, 14 May 2011 12:22:10 -0400, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>
>>
>> The ADL has been in the forefront of efforts to
>>
>> 1. Disarm private citizens
>>
>> 2. Politicize the police and federal law enforcement
>>
>
> I've never heard of 1-2, seems to have nothing to do with opposing
> anti-Semitism, have sources?
>

Yeah, they are a bunch of kooks, David Icke (a kook in his own right)
speaks about reptiles drinking blood of innocent people, and the ADL
is/are claiming he is really speaking about jews and wants to silence him!

I'd say their hatred and actions towards Icke are pretty transparent ...
you can find more about it on the web ...

ADL vs. GUNS:
http://www.adl.org/PresRele/SupremeCourt_33/5207_33.htm

Reference to police state:
http://cofcc.org/2010/04/adl-leader-foxman-appears-to-urge-police-state-tactics-against-millions-of-obama-critics/

... research on the web will turn up much more ...

Regards,
JS

1782. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 03:23:58 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqnh0g$c4h$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229709
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/14/2011 5:38 PM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 14, 1:16 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "Scout"<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in message
>>
>> news:iqfjm8$9ss$1@dont-email.me...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>> news:iqfglf$345$4@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 5/11/2011 7:24 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Hell, I wish he could just provide conclusive irrefutable PROOF of a
>>>>>> single
>>>>>> specific detail that is clearly contrary to both the official
>>>>>> conclusions
>>>>>> and reasonable natural events that could have resulted from the
>>>>>> airliner
>>>>>> impact.
>>
>>>>>> Since he has been unable to do so even in a single particular, then it
>>>>>> doesn't matter how much he puts out there, the proof of his claims are
>>>>>> clearly inadequate to support those assertions.
>>
>>>>> Bi golly you are a self proclaimed genious!
>>
>>>> But, the only real proof he has offered, his posts and text, demonstrate
>>>> a moron ... as always, I will go with the tangible!
>>
>>> You're free to try fulfilling my challenge there John. Joe tried and
>>> failed miserably, so much so I don't even bother to read him anymore.
>>
>>> So here you go John, if you care to step up to the plate and take a swing.
>>
>>> Present your best proof for a single specific detail which you feel
>>> conclusively proves that both the official findings are wrong and which
>>> can not be reasonably explained or expected as a the natural results of
```

>>> the impact, fire, or other damage known to have occurred.
>>
>>> Certainly with everything you have there is some specific piece detail
>>> that you can conclusive prove supports your claims and can't possibly be
>>> explained by anything else normal or natural to known events.
>>
>>> Please note: I expect exact specifics backed by irrefutable proof. I am
>>> not going to search around looking for something you claim might be there
>>> somewhere, but rather I expect you to present me with a complete, concise
>>> and direct set of facts and proofs. Just as I would get in a set of
>>> engineering calculations, mathematical proofs, or scientific reports.
>>
>> Still no response from John, looks like he's afraid to even try to take a
>> swing at providing conclusive proof about one of his claims on any specific
>> detail.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> After I pointed out to him you were a mere punkin he decided you were
> a wastes of his time and so has you on hold till you complete your
> apprentiship.
>
>
>
> Well let me stand in for him just till he gets back hopefully we can
> get you ready for your exam by educating you on Mapp gas. Both tips
> for burning will fit in the same cutting torch head the accetaline tip
> is almost identical to the one used for Mapp gas. For your home work
> assignment,provide the distinguishing different in both tips and
> explain why the blow hole is recessed.

What is that baby moron screaming about now?

Regards,
JS

1783. Re: No comment necessary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 03:30:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqnhd1$c4h$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/14/2011 7:24 PM, D. Peter Maus wrote:
>
>
>
> http://www.nwitimes.com/news/local/govt-and-politics/article_ec169697-a19e-525f-a532-81b3df229697.html?mode=story
>

Yep, pretty much speaks for itself, the people charged with upholding the Constitution, Declaration of Independence, Bill of Rights, are the ones openly attempting to destroy the intent, spirit and law of the documents.

If that is not a demonstration of the collusion of the independent branches of government nothing is ... clearly, legislation from the bench!

Regards,
JS

1784. Re: No comment necessary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 05:00:43 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <atmdnZu74ZVhwFLQnZ2dnVY3goWdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229711

"D. Peter Maus" wrote in message news:iqndgsumt1@dont-email.me...

http://www.nwitimes.com/news/local/govt-and-politics/article_ec169697-a19e-525f-a532-81b3df229697.html?mode=story

---

I don't know what's more insane... that the government, especially the Judicial Branch, have decided that the Constitution is either exactly opposite of what it is supposed to be, or else to be used as any other "goddam piece of paper" (i.e. to wipe ones ass with), or that there seem to be a lot of people out there that are backing their play on it.

Godwin's Law be damned, those are Gestapo type tactics.

Examples of unconstitutional laws upheld by the SCOTUS: (You may or may not personally feel any of these are out of line, but they are nonetheless violations of the Bill of Rights of the US Constitution as it stands).

1) Restriction of ex-felons from owning firearms after having served their time. (Second Amendment violation, "the right of the people to keep and bear firearms shall not be infringed)

2) Setting up "free speech zones" where protesters are often kept in fenced in areas (First Amendment violation, ... or abridging freedom of speech, or of the press, or the right to peaceably assemble, and to petition the government for redress of grievances)

3) Denying the right to sue government agencies, etc. without specific permission (First Amendment violation, ... and to petition the government for redress of grievances.)

4) Allowing law enforcement to enter a home without a warrant based on an officer's "gut feeling" (Fourth Amendment violation)

5) Making law allowing for certain offenders to be held indefinitely after having served their full sentence. (Fifth Amendment violation, due process and double jeopardy clause)

6) Allowing the courts to assign excessive bail (or no bail at all) (Eighth Amendment violation, excessive bail)

7) Allowing prison inmates to be held under inhumane conditions (Eighth Amendment violation, cruel and unusual punishment. SCOTUS gets around this one now by stating that some forms of inhumane conditions may indeed be cruel, but are no longer UNUSUAL)

8) Allowing of warrantless wiretaps (Fourth Amendment violation, search and siezure) (Fifth Amendment violation, self incrimination, due process)

There are tons more examples, these are just ones that have been in the news recently. Some view these as "criminal rights" and some feel these violations are protecting society, etc., but either the law is the law, or it's not. Allowing wholesale violation of ANYONE's Constitutional rights affects us all... if they can do it to those we don't like, they can do the same to the rest of us, too.

1785. May 14 Logs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 05:04:21 UTC
From: Mike <mwbryant@aol.com>
Message-ID: <d5381f62-a96b-41f2-b2dd-47c5e2e7a02c@24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229712

Location: Mammoth Cave Nat'l Park, KY
Rcvr: Sony ICF-2010 w/ Kiwa filters.

9635, VoT, Turkey, in FF at *1930. F-G.

9765, REE, via Costa Rica, at 1931 in SS. Fair.

11780, RNA, Brazil, in Port at 2002. QRM from below.

11820, BSK, Saudi Arabia, at 1935 in AA. Better than //11915.

11840, WYFR, via Germany, in FF at 1936. Good.

15260, AWR, Germany, in AA at 1952. Christian mx. F-G.

15300, RFI, France, in FF at 1951. Good.

15310, RRI, Romania, in RO at 1949

15345, RTVM, Morocco, in AA at 1947. F-G.

15540. RK, Kuwait, in EE at 1909. Good.

15560, RDP, Portugal, in Port at 1910 w/ soccer. Exc

15630, VoG, Greece, in GK at 1912. Good.

15690, R Taiwan Int, via France in FF at 1913. Good.

17520, WHRI, USA-SC. in EE at 1916. Good.

17585, WYFR,Ascension, in FF at 1917. Good.

17610, DW, via Portugal, in EE at 1918. Good.

17680, CVC, Chile, in SS at 1920 Strong.

1786. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 05:07:09 UTC
From: AzzMazta <penachew@yomomma.hot>
Message-ID: <t6GdnbylKbwfwlLQnZ2dnUVZ_hOdnZ2d@ptd.net>
Source record: 229713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,alt.religion.christian.roman-catholic

On Sat, 14 May 2011 13:56:49 -0700 (PDT), Cambridge Ray wrote:

> On May 14, 2:30ï¿½pm, AzzMazta <penac...@yomomma.hot> wrote:
> > On Sat, 14 May 2011 09:42:12 -0700 (PDT), Cambridge Ray wrote:
> > > Not a believer in the Constitution much?
> >
> > ???
> >
>
> Please explain which part of the constitution supports inviting groups
> that you perceive as un-American to leave the USA. You are in
> violation of the 1st., for starters.
>
> -Ramon

This wasn't my thread, I was replying to John Smith. (My reply was
crossposted from rec.radio.shortwave)

--

Henry Pizzinger Institution
for the Mentally 31337
AzzMazta, Chairman & CEO
www.xcopfly.com

1787. Re: Proof There Is A 'Larnrod' -which-simply-proves-nothing-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 05:07:46 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5866647d-d50c-49c0-9fdb-46b9de26fffe@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229714
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On May 14, 5:08 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 13, 8:14 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 13, 6:32 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > In article
> > > <6962da29-4205-419a-88ad-f247bf5d2...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
> > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> blathered:
>
> > > > On May 12, 8:12 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > In article
> > > > > <46ed2da7-2b1b-48c3-9699-9e4a4d956...@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > On May 11, 8:10 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > <94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > On May 8, 9:40 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > > <2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961...@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > The bushkultie has no facts.
>
> > > > > > > > > > That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is not
> > > > > > > > > > getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo
> > > > > > > > > > shilling.
> > > > > > > > > > You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
> > > > > > > > > > possible.
>
> > > > > > > > > > You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*),
>
> > > > > > > > > > Hey fuzznuts, * Hates US * is, like you, a rightard.
>
> > > > > > > > > Nope, try again.
>
> > > > > > > > Yep.
>
```

> > > > > Nah, keep trying.
>
> - - - No need since I have already prevailed, Hairy Butt. FACT.
>
> - - When you have to announce that you "prevailed", you haven't.
>
> - That's what all you losers say, Hairy Butt.
> -
> - Hint: I prevailed. Proven FACT.
>
> Larnrod,
> The Only Proven Fact is That You 'Larnrod' Have
> Proven Beyond-a-Reasonable-Doubt That You
> Truly Are In-Fact A "Larnrod"

What is "Larnrod," Kook? Are you even capable of reading my name?

<snicker>

I have in fact proven beyond doubt EVERYTHING I have posted. This is
not even remotely in dispute.

> -ps- Your Screen-ID has become a Put-Down :
> "He Is Such A Little Larnrod !" -google-it- ;;-}}

Thus proving you cannot read my screen name, ReallyHilariousFuckwit --
speaking of screen names!

1788. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 05:08:25 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqnn4d$8pb$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229715
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
news:iqnh0g$c4h$4@dont-email.me...
> On 5/14/2011 5:38 PM, joeturn wrote:
>> On May 14, 1:16 pm, "Scout"
>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>> "Scout"<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in message
>>>
>>> news:iqfjm8$9ss$1@dont-email.me...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:iqfglf$345$4@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/11/2011 7:24 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Hell, I wish he could just provide conclusive irrefutable PROOF of a
>>>>>> single
>>>>>> specific detail that is clearly contrary to both the official
>>>>>> conclusions
>>>>>> and reasonable natural events that could have resulted from the
>>>>>> airliner
>>>>>> impact.
>>>
>>>>>> Since he has been unable to do so even in a single particular, then
>>>>>> it
>>>>>> doesn't matter how much he puts out there, the proof of his claims
>>>>>> are
>>>>>> clearly inadequate to support those assertions.
>>>
>>>>> Bi golly you are a self proclaimed genious!
>>>
>>>>> But, the only real proof he has offered, his posts and text,
>>>>> demonstrate
>>>>> a moron ... as always, I will go with the tangible!
>>>
>>>> You're free to try fulfilling my challenge there John. Joe tried and
>>>> failed miserably, so much so I don't even bother to read him anymore.
>>>

>>>> So here you go John, if you care to step up to the plate and take a
>>>> swing.
>>>
>>>> Present your best proof for a single specific detail which you feel
>>>> conclusively proves that both the official findings are wrong and
>>>> which
>>>> can not be reasonably explained or expected as a the natural results of
>>>> the impact, fire, or other damage known to have occurred.
>>>
>>>> Certainly with everything you have there is some specific piece detail
>>>> that you can conclusive prove supports your claims and can't possibly
>>>> be
>>>> explained by anything else normal or natural to known events.
>>>
>>>> Please note: I expect exact specifics backed by irrefutable proof. I am
>>>> not going to search around looking for something you claim might be
>>>> there
>>>> somewhere, but rather I expect you to present me with a complete,
>>>> concise
>>>> and direct set of facts and proofs. Just as I would get in a set of
>>>> engineering calculations, mathematical proofs, or scientific reports.
>>>
>>> Still no response from John, looks like he's afraid to even try to take
>>> a
>>> swing at providing conclusive proof about one of his claims on any
>>> specific
>>> detail.- Hide quoted text -
>>>
>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>> After I pointed out to him you were a mere punkin he decided you were
>> a wastes of his time and so has you on hold till you complete your
>> apprentiship.
>>
>>
>>
>> Well let me stand in for him just till he gets back hopefully we can
>> get you ready for your exam by educating you on Mapp gas

Would that be the "nap gas" you claimed to know all about, and which you told me I was wrong even after I included MAPP as commonly used cutting gases?

Sorry, but I twit filtered you after you tried to present a rather stupid Youtube "proof" of someone that doesn't even understand the basic manner in which video is taken. You were stupider yet since you clearly didn't even recognize the flaws in your "proof". Seems that as long as there is some idiot claiming he has proof of the conspiracy, then that's good enough for you.

Apparently John isn't much different, but he felt he could present such proof despite his inability to provide such proofs in his prior attempts.

>>Both tips
>> for burning will fit in the same cutting torch head the accetaline tip
>> is almost identical to the one used for Mapp gas. For your home work
>> assignment,provide the distinguishing different in both tips and
>> explain why the blow hole is recessed.

Sorry, but when you claimed it's "nap gas" and then tried to correct me when I use the proper term, it's a little late to claim you have a superior knowledge in this matter.

> What is that baby moron screaming about now?

Just pointing out the inability of any 9/11 conspiracy theorist to come forth with actual conclusive and irrefutable proof of even one specific detail of their claims.

1789. Re: No comment necessary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 05:08:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqnn4q$821$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229716

On 5/14/2011 10:00 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
> "D. Peter Maus" wrote in message news:iqndgsumt1@dont-email.me...
>
>
>
>
> http://www.nwitimes.com/news/local/govt-and-politics/article_ec169697-a19e-525f-a532-81b3df229697.html?mode=story
>
>
>
> ---
>
> ---
>
>
> I don't know what's more insane... that the government, especially the
> Judicial Branch, have decided that the Constitution is either exactly
> opposite of what it is supposed to be, or else to be used as any other
> "goddam piece of paper" (i.e. to wipe ones ass with), or that there seem
> to be a lot of people out there that are backing their play on it.
>
> Godwin's Law be damned, those are Gestapo type tactics.
>
> Examples of unconstitutional laws upheld by the SCOTUS: (You may or may
> not personally feel any of these are out of line, but they are
> nonetheless violations of the Bill of Rights of the US Constitution as
> it stands).
>
>
> 1) Restriction of ex-felons from owning firearms after having served
> their time. (Second Amendment violation, "the right of the people to
> keep and bear firearms shall not be infringed)
>
> 2) Setting up "free speech zones" where protesters are often kept in
> fenced in areas (First Amendment violation, ... or abridging freedom of
> speech, or of the press, or the right to peaceably assemble, and to
> petition the government for redress of grievances)
>
> 3) Denying the right to sue government agencies, etc. without specific
> permission (First Amendment violation, ... and to petition the
> government for redress of grievances.)

>
> 4) Allowing law enforcement to enter a home without a warrant based on
> an officer's "gut feeling" (Fourth Amendment violation)
>
> 5) Making law allowing for certain offenders to be held indefinitely
> after having served their full sentence. (Fifth Amendment violation, due
> process and double jeopardy clause)
>
> 6) Allowing the courts to assign excessive bail (or no bail at all)
> (Eighth Amendment violation, excessive bail)
>
> 7) Allowing prison inmates to be held under inhumane conditions (Eighth
> Amendment violation, cruel and unusual punishment. SCOTUS gets around
> this one now by stating that some forms of inhumane conditions may
> indeed be cruel, but are no longer UNUSUAL)
>
> 8) Allowing of warrantless wiretaps (Fourth Amendment violation, search
> and siezure) (Fifth Amendment violation, self incrimination, due process)
>
> There are tons more examples, these are just ones that have been in the
> news recently. Some view these as "criminal rights" and some feel these
> violations are protecting society, etc., but either the law is the law,
> or it's not. Allowing wholesale violation of ANYONE's Constitutional
> rights affects us all... if they can do it to those we don't like, they
> can do the same to the rest of us, too.

Yes Brenda, I knew there was damn good reason I liked you ...

I am in agreement with all.

The law has always been, if a man owes a debt to society, he pays that debt (servers time in jail/prison) ALL of his rights are restored ... obviously, this is what the forefathers wanted! The rest all exhibit examples of the same error in reason and logic, which the courts are so willing to give ...

They said what they meant, and they meant what they said.

Regards,
JS

1790. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 05:11:48 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e0da6488-dfa0-4a86-9d3e-672d09f85f36@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229717
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 14, 7:25 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> In article
> <d1b4f785-17e5-4554-a299-86a76a8ba...@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>,
>
> Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > No need since I have already prevailed . . .
> > That's what all you losers say, Hairy Butt.
> >
> > Hint: I prevailed. Proven FACT.

<crickets>

<snicker>

1791. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 05:16:55 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqnnk9$821$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,alt.religion.christian.roman-catholic

On 5/14/2011 1:56 PM, Cambridge Ray wrote:
>
> On May 14, 2:30 pm, AzzMazta<penac...@yomomma.hot> wrote:
> > On Sat, 14 May 2011 09:42:12 -0700 (PDT), Cambridge Ray wrote:
> > > Not a believer in the Constitution much?
> >
> > ???
> >
>
> Please explain which part of the constitution supports inviting groups
> that you perceive as un-American to leave the USA. You are in
> violation of the 1st., for starters.
>
> -Ramon
>

It is the duty of the government, as amongst there duties is the duty to protect America and Americans "Against All Enemies, Foreign and Domestic."

The ADL certainly qualifies ...

Regards,
JS

1792. Re: International station for testing radio receptivity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 06:16:22 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <c91b96de-f784-49ac-a241-dd41280e62d6@gv8g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229719

On May 14, 7:12 pm, Mike S <ms...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Does anyone have a list of international stations I can try to find? I'd
> like to see what other countries I can pick up, and I'm guessing there
> are lists of s/w stations that are always on the air.

What part of the world are you located ? it is important ,due to very erratic propagation (the last 2-3 years) lately . Some frequencies are useless , most of the time . Solar Cycle 24 is not in a hurry to get here .

1793. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 09:32:50 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ab27005c-a75c-484b-9d08-db1a8209e960@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229720
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 14, 11:23 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/14/2011 5:38 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 14, 1:16 pm, "Scout"
> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> "Scout"<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in message
>
> >>news:iqfjm8$9ss$1@dont-email.me...
>
> >>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote in message
> >>>news:iqfglf$345$4@dont-email.me...
> >>>> On 5/11/2011 7:24 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >>>>> ...
> >>>>> Hell, I wish he could just provide conclusive irrefutable PROOF of a
> >>>>> single
> >>>>> specific detail that is clearly contrary to both the official
> >>>>> conclusions
> >>>>> and reasonable natural events that could have resulted from the
> >>>>> airliner
> >>>>> impact.
>
> >>>>> Since he has been unable to do so even in a single particular, then it
> >>>>> doesn't matter how much he puts out there, the proof of his claims are
> >>>>> clearly inadequate to support those assertions.
>
> >>>> Bi golly you are a self proclaimed genious!
>
> >>>> But, the only real proof he has offered, his posts and text, demonstrate
> >>>> a moron ... as always, I will go with the tangible!
>
> >>> You're free to try fulfilling my challenge there John. Joe tried and
> >>> failed miserably, so much so I don't even bother to read him anymore.
>
> >>> So here you go John, if you care to step up to the plate and take a swing.
>
> >>> Present your best proof for a single specific detail which you feel
> >>> conclusively proves that both the official findings are wrong and which
> >>> can not be reasonably explained or expected as a the natural results of

> >>> the impact, fire, or other damage known to have occurred.
>
> >>> Certainly with everything you have there is some specific piece detail
> >>> that you can conclusive prove supports your claims and can't possibly be
> >>> explained by anything else normal or natural to known events.
>
> >>> Please note: I expect exact specifics backed by irrefutable proof. I am
> >>> not going to search around looking for something you claim might be there
> >>> somewhere, but rather I expect you to present me with a complete, concise
> >>> and direct set of facts and proofs. Just as I would get in a set of
> >>> engineering calculations, mathematical proofs, or scientific reports.
>
> >> Still no response from John, looks like he's afraid to even try to take a
> >> swing at providing conclusive proof about one of his claims on any specific
> >> detail.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > After I pointed out to him you were a mere punkin he decided you were
> > a wastes of his time and so has you on hold till you complete your
> > apprentiship.
>
> > Well let me stand in for him just till he gets back hopefully we can
> > get you ready for your exam by educating you on Mapp gas. Both tips
> > for burning will fit in the same cutting torch head the accetaline tip
> > is almost identical to the one used for Mapp gas. For your home work
> > assignment,provide the distinguishing different in both tips and
> > explain why the blow hole is recessed.
>
> What is that baby moron screaming about now?
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Dont bother her now she's taking a MAPP

1794. -corrected- Proof There Is A 'Iarnrod' -which-really-proves-nothing-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 10:00:48 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <eb879c47-b0a0-440e-a45d-e66a3598657f@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229721
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On May 14, 10:07 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 14, 5:08 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 13, 8:14 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 13, 6:32 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > In article
> > > > <6962da29-4205-419a-88ad-f247bf5d2...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> blathered:
>
> > > > > On May 12, 8:12 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > In article
> > > > > > <46ed2da7-2b1b-48c3-9699-9e4a4d956...@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > On May 11, 8:10 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > <94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > On May 8, 9:40 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > > > <2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961...@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > The bushkultie has no facts.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is not
> > > > > > > > > > > getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo
> > > > > > > > > > > shilling.
> > > > > > > > > > > You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
> > > > > > > > > > > possible.
>
```

> > > > > > > > > > You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*),
>
> > > > > > > > > > Hey fuzznuts, * Hates US * is, like you, a rightard.
>
> > > > > > > > > Nope, try again.
>
> > > > > > > > Yep.
>
> > > > > > > Nah, keep trying.
>
> > - - - No need since I have already prevailed, Hairy Butt. FACT.
>
> > - - When you have to announce that you "prevailed", you haven't.
>
> > - That's what all you losers say, Hairy Butt.
> > -
> > - Hint: I prevailed. Proven FACT.
>
> > Larnrod,
> > The Only Proven Fact is That You 'Larnrod' Have
> > Proven Beyond-a-Reasonable-Doubt That You
> > Truly Are In-Fact A "Larnrod"
>
> What is "Larnrod," Kook? Are you even capable of reading my name?
>
> <snicker>
>
> I have in fact proven beyond doubt EVERYTHING I have posted. This is
> not even remotely in dispute.
>
> > -ps- Your Screen-ID has become a Put-Down :
> > "He Is Such A Little Larnrod !" -google-it- ;;-}}
>
> Thus proving you cannot read my screen name, ReallyHilariousFuckwit --
> speaking of screen names!

*arnrod : Sorry the other Font Shows
an "L" as an "I" and vice-versus
.
-correction- Iarnrod, {with an "I"}
The Only Proven Fact Is That You 'Iarnrod' Have
Proven Beyond-a-Reasonable-Doubt That You
Truly Are In-Fact A "Iarnrod"
-which-really-proves-nothing-nothing-nothing-
--you--are--the--little--"i"--in--'nothing'--
.
-ps- Your Screen-ID has become a Put-Down :
"He Is Such A Little Iarnrod !" -google-it- ;;-}}
.
.

1795. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 10:28:30 UTC
From: firstname lastname <firlafame@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <df1f22f3-c409-4282-9999-aba1393949f7@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229722

Take a look at this billboard by another group that directly
challenges Camping with a new perspective.
It's up right near Family Radio’s headquarters!

http://www.flickr.com/photos/62779138@N08/5708063636

1796. Re: International station for testing radio receptivity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 11:10:02 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7ccca82d-997e-4053-91d6-32549f8e361b@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229723

On May 14, 4:12 pm, Mike S <ms...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Does anyone have a list of international stations I can try to find? I'd
> like to see what other countries I can pick up, and I'm guessing there
> are lists of s/w stations that are always on the air.

Mike S.,

The Time Date Stamp on your Post Indicates
the West Coast of the USA PST -800 / PDT -700

? So are you in the Western USA Time Zone ?

? What SW Radio Are Your Using ?

? What Antenna Are Your Using ?

Try some of these :

Shortwave Radio Station List -RadioShack-
http://support.radioshack.com/support_tutorials/communications/swave-6.htm
.
Shortwave Frequency List -C.Crane:Co-
http://www.ccrane.com/shortwave-frequency-list.aspx
.
Shortwave Radio Frequency List
-by- Dave Jeffery
http://www.angelfire.com/trek/amsguy/SWFreqList.html
.
Shortwave Radio Stations Scheduled
to Broadcast in English this Hour
http://hfradio.org/english/
-up-dates-and-changes-hourly-
.
NASWA Shortwave Radio Listening Guide
Hourly Schedule by UTC/GMT/Zulu Time
http://www.naswa.net/swlguide/swlprograms.php
-up-dates-and-changes-hourly-
.
Sources of Frequency Listings for
Shortwave Radio Listeners [SWL]
http://www.ac6v.com/swl.htm#GSWL
.
Shortwave Radio : Learning The Basics
http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/doc66/66356.htm
.
Shortwave Tips & Tricks -by- John Wagner

http://www.dxing.com/tips.htm
.
Understanding the Shortwave Radio Bands -Eton:Corp-
http://www.etoncorp.com/shortwavetutorial
.
.
IF You are on the West Coast of the USA Try
WWV [Colorado-East] and WWVH [Hawaii-West]
They are both on the same Frequencies and
Transmit 24/7 on :
2500 kHz ~ 2.5 MHz
5000 kHz ~ 5 MHz
10,000 kHz ~ 10 MHz
15,000 kHz ~ 15 MHz
+ WWV {Only} 20,000 kHz ~ 20 MHz

WWVH [Hawaii] =West= has a Female Voice giving
the Hour and Minute Time ID each Minute and
is heard first before the Minute -followed-by-

WWV [Colorado] =East= has a Male Voice giving
the Hour and Minute Time ID each Minute and
is heard second before the Minute -followed-by-

The Top-of-the-Minute "00" Seconds 'Tone' {Beep}

-if- You Hear Both Voices for WWV and WWVH :
Then the Signals from both the East and West
are 'good' for that range of Frequencies.
Tropical 2500 kHz WWV & WWVH = 120m 90m -nights-
Low 5000 kHz WWV & WWVH = 75m 60m 49m -nights-
Middle 10,000 kHz WWV & WWVH = 41m 31m 25m -24/7-
High 15,000 kHz WWV & WWVH = 22m 19m 16m -days-
High 20,000 kHz WWV = 13m 11m -days-

"m" = Shortwave [SW] 'Meter' Band
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shortwave_bands

-or-if- You Hear Only the Male Voice for WWV
and not the Female Voice for WWVH : Then the
Signals from the East are 'Good' and the West
Signals are not-so-good for that range of
Frequencies.

-and-if- You Hear Only the Female Voice for
WWVH and not the Male Voice for WWV : Then the
Signals from the West are 'Good' and the East
Signals are not-so-good for that range of
Frequencies.

hope this helps - iane ~ RHF
.
.

1797. STEP by STEP INTRODUTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 11:49:29 UTC
From: ram kumar <freeworldwin94@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2e3061ad-7bf2-455c-8c90-c06e5594e687@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229724

FREELANCER PROJECT
IT VERY EASY
Take all work free
Easy way
EARN 5000 DOLLARS PER DAY - NO INVESTMENT - CLICK HERE

http://freelancertodayget.blogspot.com/

You will finish your work
Easy way
freelancer having a many worker so you will try

http://freelancertodayget.blogspot.com/

IT IS TRUE

http://freelancertodayget.blogspot.com/

1798. EiBi Skeds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 12:48:11 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DCFCB8B.92DE292@milestones.com>
Source record: 229725

The EiBi skeds have been updated as of 14 May:

http://www.eibispace.de/dx/bc-a11.txt

http://www.eibispace.de/

dxAce
Michigan
USA

Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
70' and 200' wires
GE SR I (2) and II

239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the NASWA Country List.

And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of Technology & Design (Backwater U.).

They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.

1799. Re: International station for testing radio receptivity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 12:48:58 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqoi3r$4ui$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229726

On 5/14/2011 4:12 PM, Mike S wrote:
> Does anyone have a list of international stations I can try to find? I'd
> like to see what other countries I can pick up, and I'm guessing there
> are lists of s/w stations that are always on the air.

http://www.primetimeshortwave.com/

Regards,
JS

1800. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 12:53:35 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqoicg$4ui$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229727

On 5/15/2011 3:28 AM, firstname lastname wrote:
> Take a look at this billboard by another group that directly
> challenges Camping with a new perspective.
> It's up right near Family Radioï¿½s headquarters!
>
> http://www.flickr.com/photos/62779138@N08/5708063636

Kooky.

It seems, "Christians" have become little children who hide under the bed and wait for father to come home and save their little worthless arses from the big bad bogeyman ...

Obviously, if there is a God, he would be quite disgusted with them.

Regards,
JS

1801. Re: 9/11 was an inside job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 13:06:37 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <atjvs6t9uk1qj7cps9c2gs97u0ckleo38g@4ax.com>
Source record: 229728
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one

that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the

President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric
Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US
between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in
Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling
of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief

that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues, "When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

1802. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 13:09:47 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <51kvs6lkbrv3r21kgk265q4ncipoiddo3n@4ax.com>
Source record: 229729
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

Bush and Cheney didn't want their scapegoat to testify.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one

that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the

President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric
Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US
between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in
Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling
of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief

that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues, "When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

1803. DATING FOR BEAUTY GIRLS - DATING TIPS FOR GUYS - DATING ROMANCE - CLICK HERE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 13:10:10 UTC
From: devi vithya <devivithyamaha@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <331ba7b2-c5d1-4d21-9517-986fe5f5d7ea@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229730

http://dating4maha.blogspot.com/

http://dating4maha.blogspot.com/

http://dating4maha.blogspot.com/

http://dating4maha.blogspot.com/

http://dating4maha.blogspot.com/

1804. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 13:22:20 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqok2d$4ui$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229731
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/15/2011 6:09 AM, * US * wrote:
> Bush and Cheney didn't want their scapegoat to testify.
> ...

Or, simply put, the worst enemy of the American citizens is their own government, being ran by criminal public servants and courts.

Once any system is put into place where money and power resides, the crooks will always attempt to gain control. This is a no-brain-er, crooks simple do what crooks do.

American citizens have just grown lax and unused to emptying the trash. As the crooks get ever more bold, devious and vicious in their workings to maintain control, power and the theft of wealth, more and more Americans will grow tired, impoverished and desperate.

This will all culminate at a point where the proper actions are invoked and true and just order, the rule by the people, of the people, for the people, will be reestablished.

The waiting is always the most difficult part ...

Regards,
JS

1805. Re: The subject was VP Gore-a boomer who did go to Vietnam and who inhaled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 13:33:41 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcfd5e1$0$12474$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229732

```
On 05/14/2011 02:40 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 14, 9:07 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 05/13/2011 08:13 PM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 13, 6:17 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>>>> On 05/12/2011 09:40 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 12, 6:11 pm, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>
>>>>>> Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
>>>>>> Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>>>> Al Gore smokes pot ? That is new to me .
>>
>>> - I would assume that he does.
>>> - Most boomers smoke pot, don't they?
>>
>>> Dave 'you' ASS+U+ME !
>>> -wrong- -wrong- -wrong-
>>> .
>>> -wrt- Boomers :
>>> Some where 3-out-of-4 have tried/used Alcohol
>>> and still less than Half have tried/used 'Pot' [MJ]
>>> -now-those-numbers-changes-for-gen-x-
>>> .
>>> Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
>>> Topic of simple Climate Change and tossed out
>>> the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
>>> Hysteria Mongers like the Fal$e Profit Al-of-Gore.
>>> .
>>> .
>
> Dave -wrote-
> - "Boomers who went to 'nam tried pot
>
```

> 1st - Not All "Boomers" Went To Vietnam
>
> 2nd - Not All Vietnam Veteran Smoked 'Pot' in Vietnam
>
> -again- Dave 'you' ASS+U+ME !
> -making-you--wrong--wrong--wrong-
> .
> Dave -wrote-
> - (hell my dad smoked pot over there)
>
> Ah... That could explain a lot about you Dave.
> .
> .
> -wrt- Boomers :
> Some where 3-out-of-4 have tried/used Alcohol
> and still less than Half have tried/used 'Pot' [MJ]
> -now-those-numbers-changes-for-gen-x-
> .
> Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
> Topic of simple Climate Change and tossed out
> the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
> Hysteria Mongers like the Fal$e Profit Al-of-Gore.
> .
> .

1806. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 13:36:17 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcfd67c$0$12474$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229733

On 05/14/2011 10:00 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
> "D. Peter Maus" wrote in message news:iqndgsumt1@dont-email.me...
>
>
>
>
> http://www.nwitimes.com/news/local/govt-and-politics/article_ec169697-a19e-525f-a532-81b3df229697.html?mode=story
>
>
>
> ---
>
> ---
>
>
> I don't know what's more insane... that the government, especially the
> Judicial Branch, have decided that the Constitution is either exactly
> opposite of what it is supposed to be, or else to be used as any other
> "goddam piece of paper" (i.e. to wipe ones ass with), or that there seem
> to be a lot of people out there that are backing their play on it.
>
> Godwin's Law be damned, those are Gestapo type tactics.
>
> Examples of unconstitutional laws upheld by the SCOTUS: (You may or may
> not personally feel any of these are out of line, but they are
> nonetheless violations of the Bill of Rights of the US Constitution as
> it stands).
>
>
> 1) Restriction of ex-felons from owning firearms after having served
> their time. (Second Amendment violation, "the right of the people to
> keep and bear firearms shall not be infringed)
>
> 2) Setting up "free speech zones" where protesters are often kept in
> fenced in areas (First Amendment violation, ... or abridging freedom of
> speech, or of the press, or the right to peaceably assemble, and to
> petition the government for redress of grievances)
>
> 3) Denying the right to sue government agencies, etc. without specific
> permission (First Amendment violation, ... and to petition the
> government for redress of grievances.)

>
> 4) Allowing law enforcement to enter a home without a warrant based on
> an officer's "gut feeling" (Fourth Amendment violation)
>
> 5) Making law allowing for certain offenders to be held indefinitely
> after having served their full sentence. (Fifth Amendment violation, due
> process and double jeopardy clause)
>
> 6) Allowing the courts to assign excessive bail (or no bail at all)
> (Eighth Amendment violation, excessive bail)
>
> 7) Allowing prison inmates to be held under inhumane conditions (Eighth
> Amendment violation, cruel and unusual punishment. SCOTUS gets around
> this one now by stating that some forms of inhumane conditions may
> indeed be cruel, but are no longer UNUSUAL)
>
> 8) Allowing of warrantless wiretaps (Fourth Amendment violation, search
> and siezure) (Fifth Amendment violation, self incrimination, due process)
>
> There are tons more examples, these are just ones that have been in the
> news recently. Some view these as "criminal rights" and some feel these
> violations are protecting society, etc., but either the law is the law,
> or it's not. Allowing wholesale violation of ANYONE's Constitutional
> rights affects us all... if they can do it to those we don't like, they
> can do the same to the rest of us, too.

1807. SEE KAREENA KAPOOR BOLLYWOOD HOT ACTRESS BOOBS PRESSED IN SHOPPING MALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 14:23:03 UTC
From: charmi s <usgallery01@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <231e29f8-afdb-439e-ab94-e654a3c9e1c0@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229734

SEE KAREENA KAPOOR BOLLYWOOD HOT ACTRESS BOOBS PRESSED IN SHOPPING
MALL
At http://hollypops.Co.CC

Due to GOOGLE security risks, i have hidden the videos in an image.
in that website on Right side below search box click on image
and watch videos in all angles.

1808. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 14:39:23 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqoois$n5v$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229735
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/15/2011 2:32 AM, joeturn wrote:

>> ...
>>> Well let me stand in for him just till he gets back hopefully we can
>>> get you ready for your exam by educating you on Mapp gas. Both tips
>>> for burning will fit in the same cutting torch head the accetaline tip
>>> is almost identical to the one used for Mapp gas. For your home work
>>> assignment,provide the distinguishing different in both tips and
>>> explain why the blow hole is recessed.
>>
>> What is that baby moron screaming about now?
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> Dont bother her now she's taking a MAPP

Well, I was serious, does it have a legit question?

Or, is it just attempting to bait and get someone to talk to it?

Regards,
JS

1809. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 15:04:17 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <c74bc7de-5b27-4df0-8dc7-4a0488c9057d@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229736

On May 15, 3:28 am, firstname lastname <firlaf...@gmail.com> wrote:
- Take a look at this billboard by another
- group that directly challenges Camping
- with a new perspective.
- It's up right near Family Radio's headquarters!
-
- http://www.flickr.com/photos/62779138@N08/5708063636

IIRC the Family Radio's "Headquarters" are
in the SF East Bay {Oakland, CA} between
the Oakland Coliseum and the Airport

The KEAR-AM 610 kHz Transmitter is actually
in Berkeley, CA {SF East Bay}

The Billboard is in SF* near the approach
to the SF Bay Bridge.
-close-it's-in-the-same-state-'ca'-

* SF = Satan's Freaking City -aka- The Liberal
Mecca for the Un-Godly Cult of the Humanist !
.
The Family Radio -message-for- 21 Mayo 2011
http://www.theasiasun.com/images/harold-camping-may-21st-family-radio.png
.
Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day on
55 Radio Stations and 2000+ Bill-Boards
World Wide
http://www.bible.ca/rapture-harold-camping-2011-end-of-the-world-billboard-sign.jpg
.
Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
http://www.nickloper.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/03/may-21-apocalypse-billboard.jpg
.
Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
http://agabus.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/Family-Radio-May-21-billboard.jpg
.
Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
http://turbo.indyposted.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/01/apocalypse-billboard-300x222.jpg
.
Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
http://www.watchmanreport.com/images/spirit/religion/christianity/rapture/billboard_thumb4
.jpg
.
-shortwave-radio-13,695-khz-
Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day

http://www.vancouversun.com/news/canada/4313806.bin?size=620x400
.
Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
http://rationalists.files.wordpress.com/2010/12/jesusbillboard_640_480.jpg
.
-note-in-spanish-too-
Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
http://blogs.elcorreo.com/blogfiles/magonia/FindelMundoFamilyRadio.jpg
.
Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
http://blog.beaumontenterprise.com/bayou/files/2010/11/judgementDAY.png
.
Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
On-the-Road
-don't-get-stuck-in-a-traffic-jam...-
-on-your-way-to-heaven-!-
http://www.theblogismine.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/May-21-is-Judgment-Day-by-Family-Radio-01.jpg
.
Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
U-Hauling To Heaven !
http://www.redeemerdg.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/Judgment_Bus_New_Orleans_2011.jpg
.
One Man's Prophecy = One Man's Destiny
http://i.huffpost.com/gen/129994/thumbs/s-HAROLD-CAMPING-large.jpg
.
The Great "Rapture" Smack-Down a Shortwave Radio Event !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/451aa1fee964e96c
* Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
-ps- so... don't get 'left-behind' !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/aaac9e58c64d4ca6
-wrt- Pre-Tribulation 'Rapture'
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/119bfe71677b7587
.
.

1810. Re: The Frisco-San Jose-Oakland area has pretty much dominated the technical culture my whole life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 15:28:23 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dcff107$0$12464$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229737

```
On 5/15/2011 8:04 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 15, 3:28 am, firstname lastname<firlaf...@gmail.com> wrote:
> - Take a look at this billboard by another
> - group that directly challenges Camping
> - with a new perspective.
> - It's up right near Family Radioï¿½s headquarters!
> -
> - http://www.flickr.com/photos/62779138@N08/5708063636
>
> IIRC the Family Radioï¿½s "Headquarters" are
> in the SF East Bay {Oakland, CA} between
> the Oakland Coliseum and the Airport
>
> The KEAR-AM 610 kHz Transmitter is actually
> in Berkeley, CA {SF East Bay}
>
> The Billboard is in SF* near the approach
> to the SF Bay Bridge.
> -close-it's-in-the-same-state-'ca'-
>
> * SF = Satan's Freaking City -aka- The Liberal
> Mecca for the Un-Godly Cult of the Humanist !
> .
> The Family Radio -message-for- 21 Mayo 2011
> http://www.theasiasun.com/images/harold-camping-may-21st-family-radio.png
> .
> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day on
> 55 Radio Stations and 2000+ Bill-Boards
> World Wide
> http://www.bible.ca/rapture-harold-camping-2011-end-of-the-world-billboard-sign.jpg
> .
> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> http://www.nickloper.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/03/may-21-apocalypse-billboard.jpg
> .
> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> http://agabus.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/Family-Radio-May-21-billboard.jpg
> .
> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> http://turbo.indyposted.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/01/apocalypse-billboard-300x222.jpg
> .
> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> http://www.watchmanreport.com/images/spirit/religion/christianity/rapture/billboard_thum
b4.jpg
```

> .
> -shortwave-radio-13,695-khz-
> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> http://www.vancouversun.com/news/canada/4313806.bin?size=620x400
> .
> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> http://rationalists.files.wordpress.com/2010/12/jesusbillboard_640_480.jpg
> .
> -note-in-spanish-too-
> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> http://blogs.elcorreo.com/blogfiles/magonia/FindelMundoFamilyRadio.jpg
> .
> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> http://blog.beaumontenterprise.com/bayou/files/2010/11/judgementDAY.png
> .
> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> On-the-Road
> -don't-get-stuck-in-a-traffic-jam...-
> -on-your-way-to-heaven-!-
> http://www.theblogismine.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/May-21-is-Judgment-Day-by-Family
-Radio-01.jpg
> .
> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> U-Hauling To Heaven !
> http://www.redeemerdg.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/Judgment_Bus_New_Orleans_2011.jpg
> .
> One Man's Prophecy = One Man's Destiny
> http://i.huffpost.com/gen/129994/thumbs/s-HAROLD-CAMPING-large.jpg
> .
> The Great "Rapture" Smack-Down a Shortwave Radio Event !
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/451aa1fee964e96c
> * Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
> -ps- so... don't get 'left-behind' !
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/aaac9e58c64d4ca6
> -wrt- Pre-Tribulation 'Rapture'
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/119bfe71677b7587
> .
> .

1811. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 15:46:03 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3db61bca-50cc-4b64-9073-3dd9b16c877e@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229738

On May 15, 6:36 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/14/2011 10:00 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > "D. Peter Maus" wrote in messagenews:iqndgsumt1@dont-email.me...
>
> >http://www.nwitimes.com/news/local/govt-and-politics/article_ec169697...
>
> > ---
>
> > ---
>
> > I don't know what's more insane... that the government, especially the
> > Judicial Branch, have decided that the Constitution is either exactly
> > opposite of what it is supposed to be, or else to be used as any other
> > "goddam piece of paper" (i.e. to wipe ones ass with), or that there seem
> > to be a lot of people out there that are backing their play on it.
>
> > Godwin's Law be damned, those are Gestapo type tactics.
>
> > Examples of unconstitutional laws upheld by the SCOTUS: (You may or may
> > not personally feel any of these are out of line, but they are
> > nonetheless violations of the Bill of Rights of the US Constitution as
> > it stands).
>
> > 1) Restriction of ex-felons from owning firearms after having served
> > their time. (Second Amendment violation, "the right of the people to
> > keep and bear firearms shall not be infringed)
>
> > 2) Setting up "free speech zones" where protesters are often kept in
> > fenced in areas (First Amendment violation, ... or abridging freedom of
> > speech, or of the press, or the right to peaceably assemble, and to
> > petition the government for redress of grievances)
>
> > 3) Denying the right to sue government agencies, etc. without specific
> > permission (First Amendment violation, ... and to petition the
> > government for redress of grievances.)

>
> > 4) Allowing law enforcement to enter a home without a warrant based on
> > an officer's "gut feeling" (Fourth Amendment violation)
>
> > 5) Making law allowing for certain offenders to be held indefinitely
> > after having served their full sentence. (Fifth Amendment violation, due
> > process and double jeopardy clause)
>
> > 6) Allowing the courts to assign excessive bail (or no bail at all)
> > (Eighth Amendment violation, excessive bail)
>
> > 7) Allowing prison inmates to be held under inhumane conditions (Eighth
> > Amendment violation, cruel and unusual punishment. SCOTUS gets around
> > this one now by stating that some forms of inhumane conditions may
> > indeed be cruel, but are no longer UNUSUAL)
>
> > 8) Allowing of warrantless wiretaps (Fourth Amendment violation, search
> > and siezure) (Fifth Amendment violation, self incrimination, due process)
>
> > There are tons more examples, these are just ones that have been in the
> > news recently. Some view these as "criminal rights" and some feel these
> > violations are protecting society, etc., but either the law is the law,
> > or it's not. Allowing wholesale violation of ANYONE's Constitutional
> > rights affects us all... if they can do it to those we don't like, they
> > can do the same to the rest of us, too.

Dave -wrote-subject-line-
Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America
.
So Dave 'you' Are Advocating a "Velvet Revolution"
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Velvet_revolution
{in the USA it's 'Velveeta' -rhythms-with- Evita !}
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Velveeta
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eva_Per%C3%B3n
Against Obama "State Corporatist Socialism"
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/State_corporatism
.
Obama "State Corporatist Socialism" a New Phase
of Liberal-Fascism : O-Bam-A-Merica !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.republicans/msg/612a7b3d5f23748d
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.republicans/msg/8b29619837dd65e7
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/d85741ee47cd371e
.
One World 21st Century "Neo-Corporatism"
-aka- "The New World Order" = "Neo-Corporatism"
* One Extreme Red China [PRC] morphing into "State
Corporatist Socialism" the Same End.
* The Other Extreme Obama America [USA] morphing
into "State Corporatist Socialism" the Same End.
"Neo-Corporatism" = "State Corporatist Socialism"

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6e41bc3892d4f018
.
21st Century O-Bam-A-Merica ! =The Game Plan=
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.republicans/msg/f71311b0c680be9a
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/d85741ee47cd371e
.
.
Watch-Out Dave ! -cause- You may find yourself
on the ever growing "Obama Enemies List" and
Classified as an 'Enemy-of-The-State'.
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e7ca67cea64e0212
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/a5179f98a413b169
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/1cd2dbb0a1f597b2
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/ff9e94175aee909a
.
.

1812. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 15:56:33 UTC
From: Ramon F Herrera <goposter@jonjay.com>
Message-ID: <421f5c24-0ca9-4790-ac3d-65b8c6f88f2f@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,alt.religion.christian.roman-catholic

On May 15, 12:16 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/14/2011 1:56 PM, Cambridge Ray wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 14, 2:30 pm, AzzMazta<penac...@yomomma.hot> wrote:
> > > On Sat, 14 May 2011 09:42:12 -0700 (PDT), Cambridge Ray wrote:
> > > > Not a believer in the Constitution much?
>
> > > ???
>
> > Please explain which part of the constitution supports inviting groups
> > that you perceive as un-American to leave the USA. You are in
> > violation of the 1st., for starters.
>
> > -Ramon
>
> It is the duty of the government, as amongst there duties is the duty to
> protect America and Americans "Against All Enemies, Foreign and Domestic."
>

> The ADL certainly qualifies ...

Anti-Semites as you qualify, and yet we leave you alone.

-Ramon

1813. Re: The subject was VP Gore-a boomer who did go to Vietnam and who ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 15:57:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26134-4DCFF7D1-272@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229740

It is Cold weather in Missy Sippy.What must those Tourist people be thinking? That it is always Hot and always Humid and always Muggy weather in Missy Sippy? HA!
http://www.wxusa.com/wx1/Jackson-MS.htm
cuhulin, Cold, effin Cold

1814. Re: The subject was VP Gore-a boomer who did go to Vietnam and who ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 16:01:51 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DCFF8EF-1045@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229742

I am not a baby boomer, I was born one month and two days before we
Declared Wahr on Japan.
Owl Gourdhead was in Vietnam about four months, he worked a typewriter
over there.MOFO had one or two full time Guards covering his sorry ass
in Vietnam.
cuhulin

1815. Re: A CASE OF WHODUNIT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 16:05:35 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7fb95c2a-541f-452b-9450-c9558b82d833@l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229741
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 15, 10:39 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/15/2011 2:32 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >> ...
> >>> Well let me stand in for him just till he gets back hopefully we can
> >>> get you ready for your exam by educating you on Mapp gas. Both tips
> >>> for burning will fit in the same cutting torch head the accetaline tip
> >>> is almost identical to the one used for Mapp gas. For your home work
> >>> assignment,provide the distinguishing different in both tips and
> >>> explain why the blow hole is recessed.
>
> >> What is that baby moron screaming about now?
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > Dont bother her now she's taking a MAPP
>
> Well, I was serious, does it have a legit question?
>
> Or, is it just attempting to bait and get someone to talk to it?
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

No it is only an apprentice 1st year I'm sure that demands attention
whether it is good or bad.It trys to present it's self as a journeyman
in the fabricating field.So far all it has prooven is that it is a
master at fabrication but does google and twit,should it's father
restrict it's use of the cell phone it would have to go back to mowing
lawns to buy its pig wormer.Children will be children and snorting ang
smoking bathoil beads for a high is its only purpose for living! Do
you realy think it knows how to filter out anything from its twat.It
says it cant watch youtube videos because it has not been given the
G-4 connection. Soo it is quarantined to the read only archives and

tghis is flustrating because no kid wants to trade in its ability to text message for a labtop. I can picture it now with a laptop and a bluetooth and a MAPP cutting tip going from door to door trying to sell controlled demolitions to its classmates!

1816. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 16:09:18 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DCFFAAE-3283@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229743

We have Shootin Irons, RESIST! and we know a lot of ''TRICKS'' that
Commie fed govt most likely doesn't know we know.RESIST!
Durn Tootin! ~ George ''Gabby'' Hayes.
cuhulin

1817. Re: The Frisco-San Jose-Oakland area has pretty much dominated the ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 16:13:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DCFFB91-3286@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229745

Marijuana-Hemp-Paranoia-study.
http://www.drudgereport.com

Are you Paranoid?
cuhulin

1818. Re: The Frisco-San Jose-Oakland area has pretty much dominated the technical culture my whole life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 16:13:07 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <f3123797-81cd-4054-a515-60b48caaf918@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229744

On May 15, 8:28 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 5/15/2011 8:04 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 15, 3:28 am, firstname lastname<firlaf...@gmail.com> wrote:
> > - Take a look at this billboard by another
> > - group that directly challenges Camping
> > - with a new perspective.
> > - It's up right near Family Radio’s headquarters!
> > -
> > -http://www.flickr.com/photos/62779138@N08/5708063636
>
> > IIRC the Family Radio’s "Headquarters" are
> > in the SF East Bay {Oakland, CA} between
> > the Oakland Coliseum and the Airport
>
> > The KEAR-AM 610 kHz Transmitter is actually
> > in Berkeley, CA {SF East Bay}
>
> > The Billboard is in SF* near the approach
> > to the SF Bay Bridge.
> > -close-it's-in-the-same-state-'ca'-
>
> > * SF = Satan's Freaking City -aka- The Liberal
> > Mecca for the Un-Godly Cult of the Humanist !
> > .
> > The Family Radio -message-for- 21 Mayo 2011
> >http://www.theasiasun.com/images/harold-camping-may-21st-family-radio...
> > .
> > Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day on
> > 55 Radio Stations and 2000+ Bill-Boards
> > World Wide
> >http://www.bible.ca/rapture-harold-camping-2011-end-of-the-world-bill...
> > .
> > Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >http://www.nickloper.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/03/may-21-apocalypse...
> > .
> > Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day

> >http://agabus.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/Family-Radio-May-21-bill...
> > .
> > Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >http://turbo.indyposted.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/01/apocalypse-bil...
> > .
> > Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >http://www.watchmanreport.com/images/spirit/religion/christianity/rap...
> > .
> > -shortwave-radio-13,695-khz-
> > Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >http://www.vancouversun.com/news/canada/4313806.bin?size=620x400
> > .
> > Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >http://rationalists.files.wordpress.com/2010/12/jesusbillboard_640_48...
> > .
> > -note-in-spanish-too-
> > Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >http://blogs.elcorreo.com/blogfiles/magonia/FindelMundoFamilyRadio.jpg
> > .
> > Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >http://blog.beaumontenterprise.com/bayou/files/2010/11/judgementDAY.png
> > .
> > Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> > On-the-Road
> > -don't-get-stuck-in-a-traffic-jam...-
> > -on-your-way-to-heaven-!-
> >http://www.theblogismine.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/May-21-is-Jud...
> > .
> > Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> > U-Hauling To Heaven !
> >http://www.redeemerdg.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/Judgment_Bus_New...
> > .
> > One Man's Prophecy = One Man's Destiny
> >http://i.huffpost.com/gen/129994/thumbs/s-HAROLD-CAMPING-large.jpg
> > .
> > The Great "Rapture" Smack-Down a Shortwave Radio Event !
> >http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/451aa1fee964e96c
> > * Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
> > -ps- so... don't get 'left-behind' !
> >http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/aaac9e58c64d4ca6
> > -wrt- Pre-Tribulation 'Rapture'
> >http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/119bfe71677b7587
> > .
> > .

Dave the only 'Tech' coming out of Oakland
has been the use of the TEC-9 for Drive-Bys
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/TEC-9
.

See the Killing Streets of Oakland and Die !
http://www.stltoday.com/news/local/metro/article_dd2188de-7cbd-11e0-a9c9-001a4bcf6878.html
.
.
Dave -fyi- 'Silicon Valley' is "Silicon Valley"
the Center of All Things Hi-Tech
{The South Bay : SR84W-to-SR84E}
and Silicon Valley Ain't San Francisco [.]
SF is a Cesspool of Drugs, Crime, Sickness
{Aids}, Big City Payola and Political Corruption.
.
.
But give the fact that You {Dave} are an
admittted Medical-Marijuana Smoker : I can
'see' how "SF" has pretty much dominated
'Your' drug-technical culture 'your' whole
life -puff-on-dave-puff-on-!-
.
.

1819. Wishing You A Long Life 'Dave' !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 16:22:40 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <17ab6289-2f33-450d-8706-7a8f164b0b10@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229746

On May 14, 9:08 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/13/2011 08:20 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> > Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
> > Topic of simple 'Climate Change' and tossed out
> > the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
> > Hysteria Mongers like the Fal$e Profit Al-of-Gore.
> > .
> > .

- You are going to die early because of your politics.
.
-such-hate-dave-such-hate-dave-such-hate-
.
Dave : Just another typical example [Post]
of how you constantly prove your are NO
Libertarian of any Strip
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/904a046dc39ff178
-more-intolerance-&-hate- -more-intolerance-&-hate-
.
Dave : May you live very long. Well at least
long enough to Regret your Politics ;;-}} ~ RHF
-peace-&-love- -peace-&-love- -peace-&-love-
.
.

1820. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 16:24:24 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqouno$6e9$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,alt.religion.christian.roman-catholic

On 5/15/2011 8:56 AM, Ramon F Herrera wrote:

>> ...
>>> -Ramon
>>
>> It is the duty of the government, as amongst there duties is the duty to
>> protect America and Americans "Against All Enemies, Foreign and Domestic."
>>
>
> > The ADL certainly qualifies ...
>
> Anti-Semites as you qualify, and yet we leave you alone.
>
> -Ramon

Do as you damn well please.

The ADL is not to be left alone until it is eradicated like the vermin
it is ... there is not such room for ones groups unAmerican
protectionism here.

Regards,
JS

1821. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 16:25:57 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqouqk$6e9$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229748

On 5/15/2011 9:09 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> We have Shootin Irons, RESIST! and we know a lot of ''TRICKS'' that
> Commie fed govt most likely doesn't know we know.RESIST!
> Durn Tootin! ~ George ''Gabby'' Hayes.
> cuhulin
>

When the public servants, in a government, fear the people, it is the
public servants who are in error -- NOT the people.

Regards,
JS

1822. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 16:29:14 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2839c9656a92b37298a1e2@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

In article <iqng52$c4h$3@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 5/14/2011 12:29 PM, AzzMazta wrote:
> > On Sat, 14 May 2011 12:22:10 -0400, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> The ADL has been in the forefront of efforts to
> >>
> >> 1. Disarm private citizens
> >>
> >> 2. Politicize the police and federal law enforcement
> >>
> >
> > I've never heard of 1-2, seems to have nothing to do with opposing
> > anti-Semitism, have sources?
> >
>
> Yeah, they are a bunch of kooks, David Icke (a kook in his own right)
> speaks about reptiles drinking blood of innocent people, and the ADL
> is/are claiming he is really speaking about jews and wants to silence him!
>
> I'd say their hatred and actions towards Icke are pretty transparent ...
> you can find more about it on the web ...
>
> ADL vs. GUNS:
> http://www.adl.org/PresRele/SupremeCourt_33/5207_33.htm
>
> Reference to police state:
> http://cofcc.org/2010/04/adl-leader-foxman-appears-to-urge-police-state-tactics-against-millions-of-obama-critics/
>
> ... research on the web will turn up much more ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Icke is a whackjob, scamming other whackjobs, like you.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1823. Re: The subject was VP Gore-a boomer who did go to Vietnam and who ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 16:29:26 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DCFFF66-3301@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229750

Artic Ice.Simple Physics.It is always very Cold in the Artic and
Anartic.Buku Moisture mit dur Cold Air,,, Result is, Artic Ice and
Anartic Ice.Bukus of Ice and also bukus of Snow.
Seeeeeee how Simple thingys can beeee,,,,,?
cuhulin

1824. Re: UN-AMERICAN GROUP NEEDS TO BE INVITED TO LEAVE THE USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 16:30:14 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2839c99d2ca78ffb98a1e3@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,alt.religion.christian.roman-catholic

In article <iqouno$6e9$1@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 5/15/2011 8:56 AM, Ramon F Herrera wrote:
>
> >> ...
> >>> -Ramon
> >>
> >> It is the duty of the government, as amongst there duties is the duty to
> >> protect America and Americans "Against All Enemies, Foreign and Domestic."
> >>
> >>
> >>> The ADL certainly qualifies ...
> >>
> >> Anti-Semites as you qualify, and yet we leave you alone.
> >>
> >> -Ramon
>
> Do as you damn well please.
>
> The ADL is not to be left alone until it is eradicated like the vermin
> it is ... there is not such room for ones groups unAmerican
> protectionism here.
>
> Regards,
> JS

You're probably a Bircher.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

1825. Re: The subject was VP Gore-a boomer who did go to Vietnam and who inhaled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 16:42:08 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <8375dd90-9964-468a-a23b-46e7ae05ed43@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229752

```
On May 15, 6:33 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/14/2011 02:40 PM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 14, 9:07 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> >> On 05/13/2011 08:13 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> >>> On May 13, 6:17 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> >>>> On 05/12/2011 09:40 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> >>>>> On May 12, 6:11 pm, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> >>>>>> Dave is a Disciple of Al Gore the False Prophet of
> >>>>>> Global Warming. -smoking-medical-marijuana-- Hide quoted text -
>
> >>>>> - Show quoted text -
>
> >>>>> Al Gore smokes pot ? That is new to me .
>
> >>> - I would assume that he does.
> >>> - Most boomers smoke pot, don't they?
>
> >>> Dave 'you' ASS+U+ME !
> >>> -wrong- -wrong- -wrong-
> >>> .
> >>> -wrt- Boomers :
> >>> Some where 3-out-of-4 have tried/used Alcohol
> >>> and still less than Half have tried/used 'Pot' [MJ]
> >>> -now-those-numbers-changes-for-gen-x-
> >>> .
> >>> Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
> >>> Topic of simple Climate Change and tossed out
> >>> the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
> >>> Hysteria Mongers like the Fal$e Profit Al-of-Gore.
> >>> .
> >>> .
>
> > > Dave -wrote-
```

> > - "Boomers who went to 'nam tried pot
>
> > 1st - Not All "Boomers" Went To Vietnam
>
> > 2nd - Not All Vietnam Veteran Smoked 'Pot' in Vietnam
>
> > -again- Dave 'you' ASS+U+ME !
> > -making-you--wrong--wrong--wrong-
> > .
> > Dave -wrote-
> > - (hell my dad smoked pot over there)
>
> > Ah... That could explain a lot about you Dave.
> > .
> > .
> > -wrt- Boomers :
> > Some where 3-out-of-4 have tried/used Alcohol
> > and still less than Half have tried/used 'Pot' [MJ]
> > -now-those-numbers-changes-for-gen-x-
> > .
> > Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
> > Topic of simple Climate Change and tossed out
> > the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
> > Hysteria Mongers like the Fal$e Profit Al-of-Gore.
> > .
> > .

Dave -wrote-
I would assume that he does.

-note- He being Al Gore

OK - No Question About That Then . . .
Al Gore Smoked 'Pot' according to Dave an
Expert [Card Carrying] Medical-Marijuana
Smoker
-dave-knows-a-'pot-head'-when-he-sees-one-

Dave -wrote-
Most boomers smoke pot, don't they?

Oops Big Generalization !
-open-wide-dave-insert-foot-in-mouth-
.
Dave -wrote-
50% +1 = Most

Dave your Math is Right
-however- Your Ass+U+Me is
-wrong- -wrong- -wrong-
.
Dave -wrote-

"Boomers who went to 'nam tried pot

1st - Not All "Boomers" Went To Vietnam

2nd - Not All Vietnam Veteran Smoked 'Pot' in Vietnam

-again- Dave 'you' ASS+U+ME !
-making-you--wrong--wrong--wrong-
.
Dave -wrote-
(hell my dad smoked pot over there)

Ah... That could explain a lot about you Dave.
.
Dave -wrote-
The subject was VP Gore-a boomer who did
go to Vietnam and who inhaled

NAH - Dave the "ISSUE" was your Statement :
"Most boomers smoke pot, don't they?"
-answer-is-still-'no'-not-most-
-ok-:-many-may-be-
-ok-:-a-lot-yes-
=Not=OK=Most=As=In="No"=
.
.

1826. Re: The SWL Antenna Weather Report...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 16:49:42 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <da449f4b-967a-4d52-9184-fd7de6e5a4a1@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229753

On Apr 7, 7:52 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On Mar 23, 1:35 pm,RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Mar 19, 8:41 am,RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On Mar 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > On Mar 18, 11:07 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > > > On 03/18/2011 05:09 AM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > On Mar 18, 7:09 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> > > > > >> On 03/17/2011 08:48 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > > > > >>> Thorium Fission + Solar/Wind + Fusion in 40 years = permanent
> > > > > >>> solution.
>
> > > > > >>> Bruce
>
> > > > > >> Up to 40% or more electricity is wasted heating the air around HV
> > > > > >> transmission lines. Put PV panels on every rooftop. Use the grid only to
> > > > > >> top off batteries if necessary.
>
> > > > > >> McMansions need not apply.
>
> > > > > > What about regions were it is mostly cloudy , most of the time ?
>
> > > > > Like Germany? No problem, works great.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > - How about London Fog ?
>
> > > London Fog : The so-called "Pea Soup Fog" in London
> > > in the late 19th and early 20th Centurieshttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/London_fog
>

> > > "Pea Soup Fog"http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pea_soup_fog
>
> > > SMOG = SM+OG from Smoke & Foghttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Smog
>
> > > and now you know cause . . .
> > > you just read it here ;;-}} ~RHF
> > > .
> > > .
> > > The Contaminated Nuclear Air Drifting from Japan
> > > -is- Now Here in California
> > > .
> > > NUKOG = NUK+OG for Nuclear & Fog [Nuke Fog]
> > > RADOG = RAD+OG for Radiation {Nuclear} & Fog
> > > [Fog Contaminated with Radiation]
> > > The Contaminated Nuclear Air Drifting from Japan
> > > and Changed to Fog here in California
> > > {West Coast USA/North America}
> > > .
> > > NUKAIN = NUK+AIN for Nuclear & Rain [Nuke Rain]
> > > RADAIN = RAD+AIN for Radiation {Nuclear} & Rain
> > > [Rain Contaminated with Radiation]
> > > The Contaminated Nuclear Air Drifting from Japan
> > > Combined with Pacific Ocean Clouds and Changed
> > > to Rain here in California
> > > {West Coast USA/North America}
> > > .
> > > NUKNOW = NUK+NOW for Nuclear & Snow [Nuke Snow]
> > > RADNOW = RAD+NOW for Radiation {Nuclear} & Snow
> > > [Snow Contaminated with Radiation]
> > > The Contaminated Nuclear Air Drifting from Japan
> > > Combined with Pacific Ocean Clouds and Changed
> > > to Snow here in California Sierra Nevada Mountains
> > > {West Coast USA/North America}
>
> > - The SWLAntennaWeatherReport:
> > - One Inch of Snow on the Bare Copper Stranded Wire
> > - and Three Inches of Snow on the Multi-Stranded Wire
> > -
> > - We had 10~12+ Inches of Snow here Overnight in
> > - Beautiful {-:SUNNY:-} California :o)
> > -
> > - ~RHF
> > - Twain Harte, CA
> > - .
> > - .
>
> > The SWLAntennaWeatherReport:
> > Second Storm came in the the Upper-Foothills
> > {Lower Sierra Nevada Mountains}
>

> > Initially had another new One Inch of Snow on the
> > Bare Copper Stranded WireAntennaand Three
> > Inches of Snow on the Multi-Stranded WireAntenna.
>
> > Note : We had another 12~14+ Inches of Snow here
> > Overnight again in Beautiful {-:SUNNY:-} California :o)
> > on 20 March 2010.
>
> > About 6 AM the Cold High Wind Gusts picked-up
> > and the Bare Copper Stranded WireAntennacame
> > down along with the 50+ Foot Tall Oak Tree it was
> > attached to at is Far End.
>
> > The around 6:30 AM more Cold High Wind Gusts
> > caused a Branch {~50 Feet Up} in a 100+ Foot Tall
> > Cedar Tree to fall on the Multi-Stranded WireAntenna
> > causing it too to come down.
>
> > Gee I Was So Lucky ;;-}} TwoAntenna'sDown
> > ...but... We Lost Power for 4-Days due to Trees
> > Down and Broken Power Lines.
> > -also- A Neighbor decided to go down into Sonora
> > due to the Power Outage and have to go into
> > Modesto cause Sonora without power too.
> > He Lucked Out ! :o) -cause- His SUV was Parked
> > in his Driveway and where it was Parked the Next
> > Morning a 30 Foot Oak Branch had crashed on to
> > the very stop.
> > -plu- A second Neighbor had a ~800 Foot Pine Tree
> > "Lean" on to his Roof; due to the Snow Load and
> > the was Save by the Branches from other nearby
> > Trees; but it's a goner cause the Root Base is
> > half-out of the ground.
>
> > Power came back on last Night at 11 PM and there
> > is still 2+ Feet of Snow on the Ground in many spots.
>
> > -ps- TheAntennaWires are covered by Snow
> > -but- the Multi-Stranded WireAntennais sort
> > of working as an On-the-Ground*Antenna
> > * In-the-Snow
>
> > ~RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA
> > .
> > .
>
> The SWLAntennaWeatherReport: 7 APR 2011
>
> This Morning by 9 AM we had ~4-Inches of Snow on

> the Ground : One Inch of Snow on the Bare Copper
> Stranded Wire and Three Inches of Snow on the
> Multi-Stranded Wire Then Burned Off by Noon
>
> Started all over again at 5 PM and once again we have
> One Inch of Snow on the Bare Copper Stranded Wire
> and Three Inches of Snow on the Multi-Stranded Wire
> with 4 more Inches on the Ground in 2-Hours.
>
> We now expect an additional 6~8+ Inches of Snow
> here Overnight in Beautiful {-:SUNNY:-} California :o)
>
> ~RHF
> Twain Harte, CA [3850 Ft. ASL]
> .
> .

The SWL Antenna Weather Report : 15 MAYO 2011

This Morning by 4 AM we had 4~6 Inches of Snow on
the Ground : Half-Inch of Snow on the Bare Copper
Stranded Wire and Two Inches of Snow on the
Multi-Stranded Wire.

About 8 AM the Wind came-up and blew most
of the Snow Off-the-Wires. Still have plenty
of Snow on the Trees and on the Ground; cause
it's still in the low 30s.

We now expect an more Snow Tonight may be
another 4~6+ Inches of Snow here in Beautiful
{-:SUNNY:-} California :o)

~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA [3850 Ft. ASL]
.
.

1827. Re: The SWL Antenna Weather Report...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 16:52:40 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqp0cm$6e9$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229754

On 5/15/2011 9:49 AM, RHF wrote:

> ...
> The SWL Antenna Weather Report : 15 MAYO 2011
>
> This Morning by 4 AM we had 4~6 Inches of Snow on
> the Ground : Half-Inch of Snow on the Bare Copper
> Stranded Wire and Two Inches of Snow on the
> Multi-Stranded Wire.
>
> About 8 AM the Wind came-up and blew most
> of the Snow Off-the-Wires. Still have plenty
> of Snow on the Trees and on the Ground; cause
> it's still in the low 30s.
>
> We now expect an more Snow Tonight may be
> another 4~6+ Inches of Snow here in Beautiful
> {-:SUNNY:-} California :o)
>
> ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA [3850 Ft. ASL]
> .
> .

Unfortunately, high altitude causes brain damage, possibly related to diminished oxygen levels! Once the damage occurs, the victim(s) remained trapped at the high elevation -- unable to think to escape!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

1828. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 18:20:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD01986-3202@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229755

Walter Brennan in the Rio Bravo movie, he brought some ''Candy'' from
that Wagon to that Gunfight.That ''Candy'' ended that Gunfight muy
pronto!
Durn Tootin! George ''Gabby'' Hayes.
cuhulin

1829. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 19:26:32 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqp9d6$6e9$11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229756

On 5/15/2011 11:20 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Walter Brennan in the Rio Bravo movie, he brought some ''Candy'' from
> that Wagon to that Gunfight.That ''Candy'' ended that Gunfight muy
> pronto!
> Durn Tootin! George ''Gabby'' Hayes.
> cuhulin
>

Yeah, well, these criminal servants have filled their bank accounts to
overfull with the "candy" they have stolen from childrens' futures,
grandma, grandpa, the disabled, the retired, the poor, the working man, etc.

After having so much fun, for so long, me thinks it is time to end this
gravy train!

Regards,
JS

1830. Morse Code Keys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 20:01:58 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD03136-3247@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229758

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Vibroplex+Morse+Code+Keys

The old Vibroplex ''BUG'' Key I bought many years ago at Goodwill,
according to the Patent numbers on the brass plate that is riveted to
the heavy iron base, the Key dates back to at least the 1920s.There is
another number which is stamped on the brass plate, it says, No.79483
And there is an old braided wire/cord which has a plug on the end of the
cord.

And if you click on this website,
http://www.mtechnologies.com/n1fn/keys.htm
and scroll on down ten pictures, the Key the guy calls KOB ''Key On
Board''
I also have a Key which looks exactly like that Key, but I think the
wood base on my KOB Key is nicer looking, Maple wood, I think it is.I
also bought that Key many years ago at Goodwill.
http://www.shopgoodwill.com
cuhulin, the Keys

1831. Re: Wishing You A Long Life 'Dave' !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 20:08:00 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DD032A0-1004@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229757

Planet Earth is no different from Animals, (we are Animals too)
belchies, burps, breaks wind, ect.From Dust to Dust.That is the way it
Started, that is the way it will End.
cuhulin, the End Times

1832. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 20:27:45 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD03741-3259@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229759

queen Set For Historic Trip To Irish Republic
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=
Lyngsat+Ireland

IRA! IRA! IRA!
cuhulin

1833. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 20:34:55 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqpddd$6e9$18@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229760

On 5/15/2011 1:27 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> queen Set For Historic Trip To Irish Republic
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=
> Lyngsat+Ireland
>
> IRA! IRA! IRA!
> cuhulin
>

I hope they manage to get through her security successfully and decide
to keep here there, in formaldehyde, on display!

Regards,
JS

1834. Alex Jones, 2:00 PM PST -- just coming on, frequencies here:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 21:00:27 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqpet7$9g9$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229761

http://www.prisonplanet.com/listen.html

Regards,
JS

1835. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 21:08:39 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD040D7-3285@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229762

IRA WANTS that queen to visit the Six Counties.
IRA! IRA! IRA!
http://www.div2aoh.org/anthem.htm
cuhulin

1836. Re: Amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 21:16:36 UTC
From: Day Brown <daybrown@artelco.com>
Message-ID: <fv6dnXRpR5Yo303QnZ2dnUVZ_oqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229763
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.community

On 05/14/2011 02:26 PM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
> On 5/13/2011 11:55 AM, John B. wrote:
>> On May 13, 8:33 am, Kevin Alfred Strom<kevin.st...@revilo-oliver.com>
>> wrote:
> [...]
>>> Inflation is not "an increase in prices." Inflation _causes_ an
>>> increase in prices.
>>>
>>> Inflation is a relative increase of the money supply. That increase
>>> can only be caused by 1) government, 2) banks, or 3) counterfeiters.
>>>
>>> There should be no inflation. There is no reason whatever that the
>>> dollar could not be a fixed and honest measure, like the mile or the
>>> ounce or the minute.
>>>
>>> The real reason it is not an honest measure is because bankers and
>>> vote-buying politicians like to create money out of thin air. _That_
>>> is inflation. That is what steals the savings of the thrifty and
>>> forces us to work our entire lives to pay usury to the bankers, who
>>> have a license to create money out of nothing and do _nothing_
>>> productive to earn their huge profits.
>>>
>>> Read C.H. Douglas, _Social Credit_, if you want to understand what
>>> is really going on.
>>>
>>> With all good wishes,
>>>
>>> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>>> --http://nationalvanguard.org/ http://kevinalfredstrom.com/
>>
>> I'm not an economist, but inflation seems to me a fact of life in a
>> market economy. The price of almost everything goes up over time, not
>> just in the U.S. but in every country on earth. Bankers and
>> politicians can't "create money out of thin air," as much as they'd
>> like to.
>
>
>
> No, you're wrong about that. They can. And they do.
>
> We all know that government printing presses can create money.
>
> But less well known -- although far more of a problem -- is the fact

> that the commercial banking system itself creates money every day. In
> fact, the vast preponderance of the money supply was created _ex nihilo_
> by the banks.
>
> The basics of money-creation are very easy to understand (though
> powerful interests would prefer that you _not_ understand):
>
> Say you deposit $1000 in a checking account at your bank.
>
> Because of the Fed's "reserve requirements" rules, they can lend out
> about, say, 90% of your deposit, or $900. Say they decide to lend that
> $900 to Dave.
>
> So they make a bookkeeping entry that Dave has $900 more in his checking
> account than he had before.
>
> But -- and here's the crucial part -- do they deduct the $900 from your
> account? Do they even put a hold on $900 of your funds so that you have
> to wait until Dave pays back his loan until you can get that $900?
>
> No, they don't.
>
> So, where there was once $1000 on the books of the bank, now there is
> $1900. All fully available for withdrawal at any time.
>
> And Dave must pay interest to the bank for the use of the money they
> created out of nothing.
>
> Actually, it's even worse than that. The bank, or another bank if Dave
> chooses to deposit elsewhere, can continue the process -- lending out
> 90% of Dave's funds too. And so on, _ad infinitum_.
>
> And that is how _most_ of the money is created under our system, which
> was created by the bankers, for the bankers, and to the eternal profit
> of the bankers.

They dont even have to print it any more, just do like you said, change the numbers in the computers. But money is like any other commodity; if there's a lot of it around, then the benefit, in this case the interest or ROI, declines. So, digital money was used to buy stocks, and we see the price rise, but not the real earnings of the corporations relative to the money supply, so the PE ratio increased.

1837. Re: -corrected- Proof There Is A 'ReallyHilariousFuckwit' -which-really-proves-nothing-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 22:27:34 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <396327b5-7ecf-4cc6-adc6-9dbd2e8733bc@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229764
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On May 15, 4:00 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 14, 10:07 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 14, 5:08 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 13, 8:14 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 13, 6:32 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > In article
> > > > > <6962da29-4205-419a-88ad-f247bf5d2...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> blathered:
>
> > > > > > On May 12, 8:12 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > <46ed2da7-2b1b-48c3-9699-9e4a4d956...@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > On May 11, 8:10 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > > <94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > On May 8, 9:40 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > > > > <2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961...@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > The bushkultie has no facts.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is not
> > > > > > > > > > > getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo
> > > > > > > > > > > shilling.
> > > > > > > > > > > You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
> > > > > > > > > > > possible.
>
> > > > > > > > > > You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*),
>
> > > > > > > > > Hey fuzznuts, * Hates US * is, like you, a rightard.
```

>
> > > > > > > > > Nope, try again.
>
> > > > > > > > Yep.
>
> > > > > > > Nah, keep trying.
>
> > > - - - No need since I have already prevailed, Hairy Butt. FACT.
>
> > > - - When you have to announce that you "prevailed", you haven't.
>
> > > - That's what all you losers say, Hairy Butt.
> > > -
> > > - Hint: I prevailed. Proven FACT.
>
> > > Larnrod,
> > > The Only Proven Fact is That You 'Larnrod' Have
> > > Proven Beyond-a-Reasonable-Doubt That You
> > > Truly Are In-Fact A "Larnrod"
>
> > What is "Larnrod," Kook? Are you even capable of reading my name?
>
> > <snicker>
>
> > I have in fact proven beyond doubt EVERYTHING I have posted. This is
> > not even remotely in dispute.
>
> > > -ps- Your Screen-ID has become a Put-Down :
> > > "He Is Such A Little Larnrod !" -google-it- ;;-}}
>
> > Thus proving you cannot read my screen name, ReallyHilariousFuckwit --
> > speaking of screen names!
>
> *arnrod : Sorry the other Font Shows
> an "L" as an "I" and vice-versus

"Vice versus?" BWAHAHAHAHA!! You are nothing but an illiterate little moron rightard, making excuses for your utter stupidity. Not in dispute.

FACE: Everything I have posted has been proven correct and you cannot dispute it which is why you resort to personal attacks that FAIL.

1838. Re: Proof There Is A 'Pin-Pricked Bug Fucker named TinyJohnsn' -which-simply-proves-nothing-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 22:34:08 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1c94e9a6-db47-402b-8c4b-2ec8a0c1a07c@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229765
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 14, 7:56 am, JohnJohnsn <TopCop1...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 14, 6:08 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 13, 8:14 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >> On May 13, 6:32 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >>> In article
> >>> <6962da29-4205-419a-88ad-f247bf5d2...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
> >>> Iarnród <iarn...@yahoo.com> blathered:
>
> >>>> On May 12, 8:12 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>> In article
> >>>>> <46ed2da7-2b1b-48c3-9699-9e4a4d956...@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>,
> >>>>> Iarnród <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>>>> On May 11, 8:10 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>> In article
> >>>>>>> <94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
> >>>>>>> Iarnród <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>> On May 8, 9:40 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> In article
> >>>>>>>>> <2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961...@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>,
> >>>>>>>>> Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>> On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>> The bushkultie has no facts.
> >>>>>>>>>>> That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is not
> >>>>>>>>>>> getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo shilling.
> >>>>>>>>>>> You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
> >>>>>>>>>>> possible.
>
> >>>>>>>>>> You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*),
>
> >>>>>>>>> Hey fuzznuts, * Hates US * is, like you, a rightard.
>
> >>>>>>> Nope, try again.
>
> >>>>>> Yep.
>

> >>>>> Nah, keep trying.
>
> > --- - No need since I have already prevailed, Hairy Butt. FACT.
>
> > - - When you have to announce that you "prevailed", you haven't.
>
> > - That's what all you losers say, Hairy Butt.
> > -
> > - Hint: I prevailed. Proven FACT.
>
> > Larnrod,
> > The Only Proven Fact is That You 'Larnrod' Have
> > Proven Beyond-a-Reasonable-Doubt That You
> > Truly Are In-Fact A "Larnrod"
> > -which-simply-proves-nothing-nothing-nothing-
> > .
> > -ps- Your Screen-ID has become a Put-Down :
> > "He Is Such A Little Larnrod !" -google-it- ;;-}}
>
> One problem, RHF: her name isn't "Larnrod"; it's Iarnród, starts with
> an "I" (eye).
>
> She's named herself after an Irish railroad network: Iarnród Éireann
> (Irish Rail). That's why I call her "Rude One" or "Rails." She's a
> member of the Denver Dykes on Bikes <ddob.org> and the Head
> Cheerleader of both the Obama COLB Cult and the Obama der Retter Cult.
>
> She's a foul-mouthed Narcissistic Personality Disorder-afflicted
> Abderian Simpleton who readily edits whatever you post in order to
> falsely claim she "wins" every argument she's engaged in.
>
> She's a Lying Left-Wing Liberal Socialist Democrat LOSER

How come then you have NEVER ONCE been able ever to come CLOSe to
NAMING ONE THING I have said that is a lie, TinyJohnsn?

BWAHAAAAAHAAAAHHAHAAAAAA!!!

I win again.

<sips Victory Iced Tea>

1839. More May 14 Road Logs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 22:59:29 UTC
From: Mike <mwbryant@aol.com>
Message-ID: <6e0930ab-a5cb-413e-a759-a0cb688be6a9@dn9g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229766

Location: Mammoth Cave Nat'l Park, KY
Rcvr: Sony ICF-2010 w/ KIWA filters

9305, RC, Egypt, in Arabic at 2044. Good.

9375, VoG, Greece, in GK at 2046. Fair.

9410, BBC, via Seychelles, in EE at 2039. //9915.

9445, AIR, India, in EE at 2117. Good.

9460, VoT, Turkey, in Turkish at 2041. Good.

9505, CVC, Zambia, in EE at 2120. Good.

9580, ANO, Gabon, in FF at 2122. Fair

11660, CRI, China, in FF at 2049, Fair.

11755, AWR, Germany, in Yoruba at 2053. F-G.

11795, DW, via UK, in EE at 2055. Good.

11810, BBC, Ascension, in EE at 2056. //9410.

11840, VoVietnam, via UK, in VN at 2057, Good.

11965, RRI, Romania in SS at *2100. Strong.

11970, RRI, Romania, in FF at 2017. Good.

12040, VoR, Russia, in EE at 2019. Good

12080, VOA, via Sao Tome, in French at 2021. F-G.

15275, DW, via Portugal, in EE at 2106. Strong.

17530, VOA, via Bonaire, in FF at 2027. Good.

17630, RFI, Fr Guiana, in SS at 2108. Strong.

1840. Re: Traitors' Scapegoat Murdered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 23:00:10 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <qam0t69ivbaoqibb50l2djfaufbvmatn41@4ax.com>
Source record: 229767
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

Murders/assassinations aren't preferable to trials, in civilization.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one

that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the

President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric
Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US
between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in
Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling
of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief

that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues, "When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

1841. Re: 9/11 was an inside job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 23:17:40 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <qen0t61hnd3s9e9l4nu60m5siqsqg25doa@4ax.com>
Source record: 229768
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one

that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the

President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric
Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US
between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in
Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling
of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief

that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues, "When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

1842. Re: Traitors' Scapegoat Murdered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-15 23:52:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DD06738-1295@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229769

A Case Of WHATDUNIT

Big bird strikes JetBlue flight, passengers smell 'hot food'
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307715

Heh, thats Good, thats Good! Fly JetBlue!
cuhulin

1843. Re: May 14 Logs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 00:31:16 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <56cad89c-9786-46cc-a8fc-2fef47eb7c9b@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229770

On May 14, 10:04 pm, Mike <mwbry...@aol.com> wrote:
> Location: Mammoth Cave Nat'l Park, KY
> Rcvr: Sony ICF-2010 w/ Kiwa filters.
>
> 9635, VoT, Turkey, in FF at *1930. F-G.
>
> 9765, REE, via Costa Rica, at 1931 in SS. Fair.
>
> 11780, RNA, Brazil, in Port at 2002. QRM from below.
>
> 11820, BSK, Saudi Arabia, at 1935 in AA. Better than //11915.
>
> 11840, WYFR, via Germany, in FF at 1936. Good.
>
> 15260, AWR, Germany, in AA at 1952. Christian mx. F-G.
>
> 15300, RFI, France, in FF at 1951. Good.
>
> 15310, RRI, Romania, in RO at 1949
>
> 15345, RTVM, Morocco, in AA at 1947. F-G.
>
> 15540. RK, Kuwait, in EE at 1909. Good.
>
> 15560, RDP, Portugal, in Port at 1910 w/ soccer. Exc
>
> 15630, VoG, Greece, in GK at 1912. Good.
>
> 15690, R Taiwan Int, via France in FF at 1913. Good.
>
> 17520, WHRI, USA-SC. in EE at 1916. Good.
>
> 17585, WYFR,Ascension, in FF at 1917. Good.
>
> 17610, DW, via Portugal, in EE at 1918. Good.
>
> 17680, CVC, Chile, in SS at 1920 Strong.

Nice! I assume these were *not* received *inside* the cave... ;-)

1844. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 00:44:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD07358-3813@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229771

Check this out.

Incredible Cache Of Stutz Automobiles Found In Barn, Outbuildings
http://www.rense.com/incredible.html

Really, Really Incredible, and Amazing too.
cuhulin

1845. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 01:40:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD08075-3839@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229772

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Armed+British+police+to+patrol+Dublin+streets+for+queen's+visit

IRA! IRA! IRA!
cuhulin

1846. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 01:53:56 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqq03h$9g9$11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229773

On 5/15/2011 6:40 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Armed+British+police+to+patrol+Dublin+streets+for+queen's+visit
>
> IRA! IRA! IRA!
> cuhulin
>

If the queen rode down our streets, her vehicle had better be battle hardened!

I'd imagine there are American Patriots who would make sure that old hag was not around to victimize more victims ...

Regards,
JS

1847. Re: -corrected- Proof There Is A 'ReallyHilariousFuckwit' -which-really-proves-nothing-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 02:26:30 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d02ab500-afac-4ba4-8cdd-7a741d8be1b4@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229774
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 15, 3:27 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 15, 4:00 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 14, 10:07 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 14, 5:08 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 13, 8:14 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 13, 6:32 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > In article
> > > > > > <6962da29-4205-419a-88ad-f247bf5d2...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> blathered:
>
> > > > > > > On May 12, 8:12 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > <46ed2da7-2b1b-48c3-9699-9e4a4d956...@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > On May 11, 8:10 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > > > <94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > On May 8, 9:40 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > > > > > <2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961...@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > The bushkultie has no facts.
>

> > > > > > > > > > > > > > That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is not
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > shilling.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > possible.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*),
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Hey fuzznuts, * Hates US * is, like you, a rightard.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > Nope, try again.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > Yep.
>
> > > > > > > > > > Nah, keep trying.
>
> > > > - - - No need since I have already prevailed, Hairy Butt. FACT.
>
> > > > > - - When you have to announce that you "prevailed", you haven't.
>
> > > > > - That's what all you losers say, Hairy Butt.
> > > > > -
> > > > > - Hint: I prevailed. Proven FACT.
>
> > > > Larnrod,
> > > > The Only Proven Fact is That You 'Larnrod' Have
> > > > Proven Beyond-a-Reasonable-Doubt That You
> > > > Truly Are In-Fact A "Larnrod"
>
> > > What is "Larnrod," Kook? Are you even capable of reading my name?
>
> > > <snicker>
>
> > > I have in fact proven beyond doubt EVERYTHING I have posted. This is
> > > not even remotely in dispute.
>
> > > > -ps- Your Screen-ID has become a Put-Down :
> > > > "He Is Such A Little Larnrod !" -google-it- ;;-}}
>
> > > Thus proving you cannot read my screen name, ReallyHilariousFuckwit --
> > > speaking of screen names!
>
> > *arnrod : Sorry the other Font Shows
> > an "L" as an "I" and vice-versus
>
> "Vice versus?" BWAHAHAHAHA!! You are nothing but an illiterate little
> moron rightard, making excuses for your utter stupidity. Not in
> dispute.
>
> FACE: Everything I have posted has been proven correct and you cannot

> dispute it which is why you resort to personal attacks that FAIL.

"You Are Is Such A Little Iarnrod !"

1848. Re: -corrected- Proof There Is A 'ReallyHilariousFuckwit' -which-really-proves-nothing-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 02:30:03 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqq277nun1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229775
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/15/2011 7:26 PM, RHF wrote:

>> ...
>> "Vice versus?" BWAHAHAHAHA!! You are nothing but an illiterate little
>> moron rightard, making excuses for your utter stupidity. Not in
>> dispute.
>>
>> FACE: Everything I have posted has been proven correct and you cannot
>> dispute it which is why you resort to personal attacks that FAIL.
>
> "You Are Is Such A Little Iarnrod !"

As far as moronic nitwits go, she is noticeable, huh?

That type of logic usually only surrounds homosexuals, a reoccurring
anomaly I have always wondered about ...

Regards,
JS

1849. Re: -corrected- Proof There Is A 'ReallyHilariousFuckwit' -which-really-proves-nothing-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 02:53:19 UTC
From: Harold Burton <hal.i.burton@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hal.i.burton-D6FD6B.22531915052011@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 229776
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

In article
<d02ab500-afac-4ba4-8cdd-7a741d8be1b4@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>,
RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:

> On May 15, 3:27 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > On May 15, 4:00 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 14, 10:07 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > On May 14, 5:08 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >
> > > > > On May 13, 8:14 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > On May 13, 6:32 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > <6962da29-4205-419a-88ad-f247bf5d2...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > > > ,
> > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> blathered:
> >
> > > > > > > > On May 12, 8:12 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com>
> > > > > > > > wrote:
> > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > > <46ed2da7-2b1b-48c3-9699-9e4a4d956...@q12g2000prb.googlegroups
> > > > > > > > > .com>,
> >
> > > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > On May 11, 8:10 pm, Harold Burton
> > > > > > > > > > <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > > > > <94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6...@18g2000prd.googlegro
> > > > > > > > > > > ups.com>,
> >
```
```

> > > >

> >
> > > > > -ps- Your Screen-ID has become a Put-Down :
> > > > > "He Is Such A Little Larnrod !" -google-it- ;;-}}
> >
> > > > Thus proving you cannot read my screen name, ReallyHilariousFuckwit --
> > > > speaking of screen names!
> >
> > > *arnrod : Sorry the other Font Shows
> > > an "L" as an "I" and vice-versus
> >
> > "Vice versus?" BWAHAHAHAHA!! You are nothing but an illiterate little
> > moron rightard, making excuses for your utter stupidity. Not in
> > dispute.
> >
> > FACE: Everything I have posted has been proven correct and you cannot
> > dispute it which is why you resort to personal attacks that FAIL.
>
> "You Are Is Such A Little Iarnrod !"

limprod, actually.

snicker

1850. Re: International station for testing radio receptivity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 02:55:15 UTC
From: Mike S <mscir@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <iqq3mlgon1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229777

On 5/14/2011 11:16 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 14, 7:12 pm, Mike S<ms...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Does anyone have a list of international stations I can try to find? I'd
>> like to see what other countries I can pick up, and I'm guessing there
>> are lists of s/w stations that are always on the air.
>
> What part of the world are you located ? it is important ,due to very
> erratic propagation (the last 2-3 years) lately . Some frequencies are
> useless , most of the time . Solar Cycle 24 is not in a hurry to get
> here .

Santa Cruz, California, about 75 mi. south of San Francisco.

1851. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 03:14:32 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DD09698-1312@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229778

The Crawfish fishin orts to be purrty Good in them thar Old Muddy flooded watery areahs.

Watchin WJTV 12 (it doesn't exist) 10:00 PM tee vee noos.A guy ridin his Motorcycle, he works at Grand Gulf Nuclear power plant.He said because of flooded roads it takes him an extra forty five minutes to one hour to get to work, detour, and that's just one way trip.

Detourrrrrr,,,,, there's a muddy road ahead, Detourrrr,,,,, should have readddd that Detour Sign,,,,,
cuhulin, the Crawfish

1852. Re: -corrected- Proof There Is A 'ReallyHilariousFuckwit' -which-re...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 03:18:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DD09770-1313@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229779

Dontchoo cuss on this here radio! ~ Burt Reynolds - Smokey and the
Bandit.
cuhulin

1853. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 03:38:32 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <iqq67kpub1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229780

On 5/15/2011 8:14 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> The Crawfish fishin orts to be purrty Good in them thar Old Muddy
> flooded watery areahs.
>
> Watchin WJTV 12 (it doesn't exist) 10:00 PM tee vee noos.A guy ridin his
> Motorcycle, he works at Grand Gulf Nuclear power plant.He said because
> of flooded roads it takes him an extra forty five minutes to one hour to
> get to work, detour, and that's just one way trip.
>
> Detourrrrrr,,,,, there's a muddy road ahead, Detourrrr,,,,, should have
> readddd that Detour Sign,,,,,
> cuhulin, the Crawfish
>

Yeah, put up an ambush!

Excellent idea ...

Regards,
JS

1854. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 04:53:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD0ADBB-3683@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229781

America's Secret Nuclear Test Inside Area 51
http://www.standeyo.com

MOFOS are Nuckeing Everything and Everybody on Earth! Some of those old underground nuke test sites in Nevada are still venting Radioactivity Sheet into the Atmosphere!
cuhulin

1855. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 05:04:27 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD0B05B-3691@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229784

Adoption changes Hawaii birth record
http://www.worldnetdaily.com
http://www.wnd.com

Phony Fraud Fake Ass Forgery birth certificates Daily.
cuhulin

1856. TheNike Air Jordan has come on strong in the last few month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 05:07:08 UTC
From: xiang chen <chenxaing87@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <26aa657f-29e6-439e-987c-c428ecaf3b69@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229782
Cross-posted to: rec.arts.movies.past-films,rec.radio.shortwave

TheNike Air Jordan has come on strong in the last few month
TheNike Air Jordan has come on strong in the last few months, combining the chukka shape and Torch technology. Though the Torch-constructed silhouette hasn¡¯t been around long, there has always been an innumerable amount of color-ups, including some inspired by the World Cup. This featured running shoe has no team affiliations, but might still be the perfect pair to throw on after a game of soccer, or track, or any other sport. The Nike Lunar Rejuven8 Mid+ running shoes rely on the standard Torch construction, and get help from a textile material on the mid-foot, heel and Swoosh. The shoe color-up is simple it relies on two shades of purple while the ever-comfortable and light Lunar sole is white.

Design and production, we have adopted a new aerodynamic concept and design of technology to achieve a breakthrough in speed and accuracy to compete. Feet in industrial areas represents a series of carbon fiber materials and the latest results, and further optimize the performance of the first type of dry fly.Nike Air Jordan

TheAir Force Oneretro style shoe was at the forefront of the sneakerhead craze during the earlier part of this decade. While the designs and colorways of the Nike SB Dunk were popular for its eccentricity, the Nike Air Force 1 built its backbone on simple yet meticulous color blocking. This retro lifestyle shoe uppers are constructed of clean white leather while metallic silver accents are put into use on areas such as the tongue tag, Nike swoosh, and the outsole. 3M material is added to the Nike swoosh to give it a nice shine in low light. Other features include a clean white midsole and a nylon tongue. This new white and metallic silver 3M pair looks like a throwback from the 80s; the unmistakeable all-white upper on top of the grey sole with a 3M reflective swoosh will have Air Force 1 sneakerheads nodding in approval.

http://www.airmax-seller.com

1857. Re: The SWL Antenna Weather Report...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 05:11:08 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24071edc-82b4-4e2b-abd6-c4e4c7b5ccd9@gh5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229783

On May 15, 12:52 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/15/2011 9:49 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > ...
> > The SWL Antenna Weather Report : 15 MAYO 2011
>
> > This Morning by 4 AM we had 4~6 Inches of Snow on
> > the Ground : Half-Inch of Snow on the Bare Copper
> > Stranded Wire and Two Inches of Snow on the
> > Multi-Stranded Wire.
>
> > About 8 AM the Wind came-up and blew most
> > of the Snow Off-the-Wires. Still have plenty
> > of Snow on the Trees and on the Ground; cause
> > it's still in the low 30s.
>
> > We now expect an more Snow Tonight may be
> > another 4~6+ Inches of Snow here in Beautiful
> > {-:SUNNY:-} California :o)
>
> > ~ RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA [3850 Ft. ASL]
> > .
> > .
>
> Unfortunately, high altitude causes brain damage, possibly related to
> diminished oxygen levels! Once the damage occurs, the victim(s)
> remained trapped at the high elevation -- unable to think to escape!
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

3850 ASL is not High Altitude . Just a little bit up there . . .
OTOH : Abbottabad is located even higher . 4134 ft ASL !

1858. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 05:23:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26136-4DD0B4D9-95@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229785

Photoshop Expert Declares MOFO SCARHEAD USURPER'S Birth Certificate Is A Complete Phony
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307730

Another article, Chris Matthews Admits the MOFO CIA is Full of Sheet with the Bin Laden Raid.

I needs to go to bed and get my beauty sleep, but this Sheet is getting Good!
cuhulin

1859. Re: International station for testing radio receptivity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 05:39:30 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <880e272f-0dca-436b-b462-7a9998d47a76@q30g2000vbs.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229786

On May 15, 10:55 pm, Mike S <ms...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On 5/14/2011 11:16 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > On May 14, 7:12 pm, Mike S<ms...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Does anyone have a list of international stations I can try to find? I'd
> >> like to see what other countries I can pick up, and I'm guessing there
> >> are lists of s/w stations that are always on the air.
>
> > What part of the world are you located ? it is important ,due to very
> > erratic propagation (the last 2-3 years) lately . Some frequencies are
> > useless , most of the time . Solar Cycle 24 is not in a hurry to get
> > here .
>
> Santa Cruz, California, about 75 mi. south of San Francisco.

When the conditions are decent, you should have good reception of Asia
and Oceania . And,of course, WWV / WWVH operates around the clock
at : 2.5, 5, 10 and 15 MHz . Again , propagation is horrendous at the
present time .

1860. Re: International station for testing radio receptivity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 05:44:07 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4c8c07fd-6582-40f3-9fb5-46ad44496f77@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229787

On May 15, 7:55 pm, Mike S <ms...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On 5/14/2011 11:16 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > On May 14, 7:12 pm, Mike S<ms...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Does anyone have a list of international stations I can try to find? I'd
> >> like to see what other countries I can pick up, and I'm guessing there
> >> are lists of s/w stations that are always on the air.
>
> > What part of the world are you located ? it is important ,due to very
> > erratic propagation (the last 2-3 years) lately . Some frequencies are
> > useless , most of the time . Solar Cycle 24 is not in a hurry to get
> > here .
>
> Santa Cruz, California, about 75 mi. south of San Francisco.

Mike, I'm just 65 miles north of you near Fremont. Reception has been
sort of crummy lately with rare exceptions (last two weeks) until
tonight, when all sorts of goodies are out there on 19-22-25-31
meters. 41 and 49 were much better in winter, but still OK.

Bruce Jensen

1861. Re: No comment necessary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 05:47:00 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e984cdf8-2d14-4a77-95a2-20d4a9a03b21@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229788

On May 14, 7:24 pm, "D. Peter Maus" <dpeterm...@att.net> wrote:
> http://www.nwitimes.com/news/local/govt-and-politics/article_ec169697...

I surely hope this does not stop at the State level. It's disgusting.

1862. Re: Morse Code Keys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 08:45:01 UTC
From: lee <lo012a4519@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <qu5Ap.1357$f95.1284@newsfe02.ams2>
Source record: 229789

Thing is, knowing that it is something more than a doorstop!!!

x.

<cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:23870-4DD03136-3247@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net...
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Vibroplex+Morse+Code+Keys
>
> The old Vibroplex ''BUG'' Key I bought many years ago at Goodwill,
> according to the Patent numbers on the brass plate that is riveted to
> the heavy iron base, the Key dates back to at least the 1920s.There is
> another number which is stamped on the brass plate, it says, No.79483
> And there is an old braided wire/cord which has a plug on the end of the
> cord.
>
> And if you click on this website,
> http://www.mtechnologies.com/n1fn/keys.htm
> and scroll on down ten pictures, the Key the guy calls KOB ''Key On
> Board''
> I also have a Key which looks exactly like that Key, but I think the
> wood base on my KOB Key is nicer looking, Maple wood, I think it is.I
> also bought that Key many years ago at Goodwill.
> http://www.shopgoodwill.com
> cuhulin, the Keys
>
>

1863. Re: International station for testing radio receptivity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 09:54:46 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@iwvisp.com>
Message-ID: <goCdnfvZbKj7aU3QnZ2dnUVZ_jednZ2d@iwvisp.com>
Source record: 229790

Anything and everything you want to find!

http://www.primetimeshortwave.com/

--
Burr
From The Gardens
Roses & Orchids
Guiguinto, Bulacan. Philippines
http://www.guiguinto.gov.ph/
And Red Mountain, Ca. USA
I'm a member of The Tea Party, I VOTE
"Mike S" <mscir@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:iqn29qdje1@dont-email.me...
> Does anyone have a list of international stations I can try to find? I'd
> like to see what other countries I can pick up, and I'm guessing there are
> lists of s/w stations that are always on the air.

1864. Re: May 14 Logs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 09:58:07 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@iwvisp.com>
Message-ID: <ms-dnaklIuiyaE3QnZ2dnUVZ_jCdnZ2d@iwvisp.com>
Source record: 229791

You on Vacation?

When do you start back to school?

My count is running around 148, damn it

--
Burr
From The Gardens
Roses & Orchids
Guiguinto, Bulacan. Philippines
http://www.guiguinto.gov.ph/
And Red Mountain, Ca. USA
I'm a member of The Tea Party, I VOTE

"Mike" <mwbryant@aol.com> wrote in message
news:d5381f62-a96b-41f2-b2dd-47c5e2e7a02c@24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
> Location: Mammoth Cave Nat'l Park, KY
> Rcvr: Sony ICF-2010 w/ Kiwa filters.
>
>
> 9635, VoT, Turkey, in FF at *1930. F-G.
>
> 9765, REE, via Costa Rica, at 1931 in SS. Fair.
>
> 11780, RNA, Brazil, in Port at 2002. QRM from below.
>
> 11820, BSK, Saudi Arabia, at 1935 in AA. Better than //11915.
>
> 11840, WYFR, via Germany, in FF at 1936. Good.
>
> 15260, AWR, Germany, in AA at 1952. Christian mx. F-G.
>
> 15300, RFI, France, in FF at 1951. Good.
>
> 15310, RRI, Romania, in RO at 1949
>
> 15345, RTVM, Morocco, in AA at 1947. F-G.
>
> 15540. RK, Kuwait, in EE at 1909. Good.
>
> 15560, RDP, Portugal, in Port at 1910 w/ soccer. Exc
>
> 15630, VoG, Greece, in GK at 1912. Good.
>

> 15690, R Taiwan Int, via France in FF at 1913. Good.
>
> 17520, WHRI, USA-SC. in EE at 1916. Good.
>
> 17585, WYFR,Ascension, in FF at 1917. Good.
>
> 17610, DW, via Portugal, in EE at 1918. Good.
>
> 17680, CVC, Chile, in SS at 1920 Strong.
>

1865. Re: May 14 Logs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 09:59:47 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@iwvisp.com>
Message-ID: <04CdnWH-1sYPaE3QnZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@iwvisp.com>
Source record: 229792

Storm got my antenna, hope to get it back up tomorrow
--
Burr
From The Gardens
Roses & Orchids
Guiguinto, Bulacan. Philippines
http://www.guiguinto.gov.ph/
And Red Mountain, Ca. USA
I'm a member of The Tea Party, I VOTE

1866. Re: EiBi Skeds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 11:33:05 UTC
From: "D@LS" <helmsman@wowway.com/>
Message-ID: <uq22t6tohlg9nhtsndi9ldj1smvqrellub@4ax.com>
Source record: 229793

On Sun, 15 May 2011 08:48:11 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

>The EiBi skeds have been updated as of 14 May:
>
>http://www.eibispace.de/dx/bc-a11.txt
>
>http://www.eibispace.de/
>
>dxAce
>Michigan
>USA
>
>Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
>70' and 200' wires
>GE SR I (2) and II
>
>239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the
>NASWA Country List.
>
>And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of
>Technology & Design (Backwater U.).
>
>They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about
>being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
TNX

1867. Re: -corrected- Proof There Is A 'ReallyHilariousFuckwit' -which-really-proves-nothing-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 12:00:05 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3c4c5665-6eb3-4795-875b-21d9250877ff@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229794
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On May 15, 8:26 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 15, 3:27 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 15, 4:00 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 14, 10:07 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 14, 5:08 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 13, 8:14 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 13, 6:32 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > <6962da29-4205-419a-88ad-f247bf5d2...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> blathered:
>
> > > > > > > > On May 12, 8:12 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > > <46ed2da7-2b1b-48c3-9699-9e4a4d956...@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > On May 11, 8:10 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > > > > <94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > On May 8, 9:40 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
<2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961...@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>,
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > The bushkultie has no facts.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no facts. Bush is
not
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > getting his money's worth paying for your counter-disinfo
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > shilling.
```

> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > You simply have not presented anything even remotely physically
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > possible.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*),
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Hey fuzznuts, * Hates US * is, like you, a rightard.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Nope, try again.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > Yep.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > Nah, keep trying.
>
> > > > > > - - - No need since I have already prevailed, Hairy Butt. FACT.
>
> > > > > > - - When you have to announce that you "prevailed", you haven't.
>
> > > > > > - That's what all you losers say, Hairy Butt.
> > > > > > -
> > > > > > - Hint: I prevailed. Proven FACT.
>
> > > > > > Larnrod,
> > > > > > The Only Proven Fact is That You 'Larnrod' Have
> > > > > > Proven Beyond-a-Reasonable-Doubt That You
> > > > > > Truly Are In-Fact A "Larnrod"
>
> > > > > What is "Larnrod," Kook? Are you even capable of reading my name?
>
> > > > > <snicker>
>
> > > > > I have in fact proven beyond doubt EVERYTHING I have posted. This is
> > > > > not even remotely in dispute.
>
> > > > > > -ps- Your Screen-ID has become a Put-Down :
> > > > > > "He Is Such A Little Larnrod !" -google-it- ;;-}}
>
> > > > > Thus proving you cannot read my screen name, ReallyHilariousFuckwit --
> > > > > speaking of screen names!
>
> > > > *arnrod : Sorry the other Font Shows
> > > an "L" as an "I" and vice-versus
>
> > "Vice versus?" BWAHAHAHAHA!! You are nothing but an illiterate little
> > moron rightard, making excuses for your utter stupidity. Not in
> > dispute.
>
> > FACE: Everything I have posted has been proven correct and you cannot
> > dispute it which is why you resort to personal attacks that FAIL.
>
> "You Are Is Such A Little Iarnrod!"

Could you please post in English? I no speaka da Kookinese.

<snicker>

1868. Re: -corrected- Proof There Is A 'ReallyHilariousFuckwit' -which-really-proves-nothing-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 12:01:22 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <32442632-3077-4f92-95fc-00ad9b724784@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229795
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 15, 8:30 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/15/2011 7:26 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> >> ...
> >> "Vice versus?" BWAHAHAHAHA!! You are nothing but an illiterate little
> >> moron rightard, making excuses for your utter stupidity. Not in
> >> dispute.
>
> >> FACE: Everything I have posted has been proven correct and you cannot
> >> dispute it which is why you resort to personal attacks that FAIL.
>
> > "You Are Is Such A LittleIarnrod!"
>
> As far as moronic nitwits go, she is noticeable, huh?

Your insults might be more effective if you could ever manage to
actually have been RIGHT about one single thing, Johnny KQQK!!

> That type of logic usually only surrounds homosexuals, a reoccurring
> anomaly I have always wondered about ...

Once again, rightards go gay. Not a surprise, since they have nothing
else to use in arguing with their betters!

1869. Re: -corrected- Proof There Is A 'ReallyHilariousFuckwit' -which-really-proves-nothing-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 12:02:02 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <15a117cc-befb-4c71-b65d-5e6579f9842a@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229796
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On May 15, 8:53 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> In article
> <d02ab500-afac-4ba4-8cdd-7a741d8be...@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>,
>
>
>
> RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > On May 15, 3:27 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > On May 15, 4:00 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 14, 10:07 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 14, 5:08 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 13, 8:14 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > On May 13, 6:32 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > <6962da29-4205-419a-88ad-f247bf5d2...@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > > > > ,
> > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> blathered:
>
> > > > > > > > > On May 12, 8:12 pm, Harold Burton <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com>
> > > > > > > > > wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > > > <46ed2da7-2b1b-48c3-9699-9e4a4d956...@q12g2000prb.googlegroups
> > > > > > > > > > .com>,
>
> > > > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > On May 11, 8:10 pm, Harold Burton
> > > > > > > > > > > <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > > > > > <94e2b767-cf78-4616-bb45-220bcdca6...@18g2000prd.googlegro
> > > > > > > > > > > > ups.com>,
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > On May 8, 9:40 pm, Harold Burton
> > > > > > > > > > > > > <hal.i.bur...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > <2a4891f0-6c9b-4f37-b262-a660be961...@s16g2000prf.goog
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > legroups.com>,
```

>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > On May 8, 2:44 pm, * US * wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > The bushkultie has no facts.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > That is correct. YOU, the bushkultie, have no
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > facts. Bush is not
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > getting his money's worth paying for your
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > counter-disinfo
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > shilling.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > You simply have not presented anything even
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > remotely physically
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > possible.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > You're arguing with a fellow leftard (*US*),
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Hey fuzznuts, * Hates US * is, like you, a rightard.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Nope, try again.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Yep.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Nah, keep trying.
>
> > > > > > > - - - No need since I have already prevailed, Hairy Butt. FACT.
>
> > > > > > > - - When you have to announce that you "prevailed", you haven't.
>
> > > > > > > - That's what all you losers say, Hairy Butt.
> > > > > > > -
> > > > > > > - Hint: I prevailed. Proven FACT.
>
> > > > > > Larnrod,
> > > > > > The Only Proven Fact is That You 'Larnrod' Have
> > > > > > Proven Beyond-a-Reasonable-Doubt That You
> > > > > > Truly Are In-Fact A "Larnrod"
>
> > > > > What is "Larnrod," Kook? Are you even capable of reading my name?
>
> > > > > <snicker>
>
> > > > > I have in fact proven beyond doubt EVERYTHING I have posted. This is
> > > > > not even remotely in dispute.
>
> > > > > -ps- Your Screen-ID has become a Put-Down :
> > > > > "He Is Such A Little Larnrod !" -google-it- ;;-}}
>
> > > > > Thus proving you cannot read my screen name, ReallyHilariousFuckwit --
> > > > > speaking of screen names!
>

> > > > *arnrod : Sorry the other Font Shows
> > > > an "L" as an "I" and vice-versus
>
> > > "Vice versus?" BWAHAHAHAHA!! You are nothing but an illiterate little
> > > moron rightard, making excuses for your utter stupidity. Not in
> > > dispute.
>
> > > FACE: Everything I have posted has been proven correct and you cannot
> > > dispute it which is why you resort to personal attacks that FAIL.
>
> > "You Are Is Such A Little Iarnrod!"
>
> limprod, actually.

Hairy Butt only likes the stiff ones he takes every night.

<snicker<

1870. FS: National NC-88 receiver.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 12:42:24 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <iqr63g$2ot$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229797

National NC-88 Receiver. General coverage from Broadcast through the HF bands.Very nice shape. Cosmetics good for a rig this age, as the pictures will attest (available on request). If there's anything in particular you'd like to see, speak up.

Works very well. Fresh alignment. Receives on all bands. Tubes all meet spec. Fairly common tubes, too, so replacements are readily available should the need arise down the road. This one has a very nice sound. Good sensitivity. Lots of gain. Antenna trim control for optimizing random wire antennae. Noise blanker, Variable BFO, and High/Low tone control. Any questions, do ask. Delivery within 100 miles of Chicago.

$100.

Thanks for looking. p

1871. Re: FS: National NC-88 receiver.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 13:08:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD121E8-3683@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229798

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=National+NC+88+Receiver

Isn't that the same radio/receiver you had for sale a few months ago?
Sounds like a Good deal.
cuhulin

1872. Re: No comment necessary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 13:17:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23874-4DD123D5-81@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229801

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=ActivistPost.com+obama+orders+targeted+assassination+of+another+U.S.citizen
cuhulin

1873. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 13:20:14 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd12439$0$12474$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229799

On 05/15/2011 08:46 AM, RHF wrote:

> Watch-Out Dave ! -cause- You may find yourself
> on the ever growing "Obama Enemies List" and
> Classified as an 'Enemy-of-The-State'.

I am honored to be considered for such a list.

1874. Re: At least it ain't snowing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 13:20:59 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd12466$0$12474$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229800

On 05/15/2011 08:57 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> It is Cold weather in Missy Sippy.What must those Tourist people be
> thinking? That it is always Hot and always Humid and always Muggy
> weather in Missy Sippy? HA!
> http://www.wxusa.com/wx1/Jackson-MS.htm
> cuhulin, Cold, effin Cold
>

.

1875. Re: The subject was VP Gore-a boomer who did go to Vietnam and who ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 13:22:08 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd124ab$0$12474$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229802

On 05/15/2011 09:01 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I am not a baby boomer, I was born one month and two days before we
> Declared Wahr on Japan.
> Owl Gourdhead was in Vietnam about four months, he worked a typewriter
> over there.MOFO had one or two full time Guards covering his sorry ass
> in Vietnam.
> cuhulin
>

It would be bad on Walter Cronkite for a Senator's son to be KIA.

1876. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 13:23:01 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd124e0$0$12474$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229803

On 05/15/2011 09:09 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> We have Shootin Irons, RESIST! and we know a lot of ''TRICKS'' that
> Commie fed govt most likely doesn't know we know.RESIST!
> Durn Tootin! ~ George ''Gabby'' Hayes.
> cuhulin
>

They have microwave cannons that make you want to jump out of your skin.

1877. Re: Why do you want to know?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 13:23:39 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd12506$0$12474$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229804

```
On 05/15/2011 09:13 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Marijuana-Hemp-Paranoia-study.
> http://www.drudgereport.com
>
> Are you Paranoid?
> cuhulin
>
```

1878. Re: The Frisco-San Jose-Oakland area has pretty much dominated the technical culture my whole life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 13:29:17 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd12659$0$12477$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229805

```
On 05/15/2011 09:13 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 15, 8:28 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 5/15/2011 8:04 AM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 15, 3:28 am, firstname lastname<firlaf...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> - Take a look at this billboard by another
>>> - group that directly challenges Camping
>>> - with a new perspective.
>>> - It's up right near Family Radioï¿½s headquarters!
>>> -
>>> -http://www.flickr.com/photos/62779138@N08/5708063636
>>
>>> IIRC the Family Radioï¿½s "Headquarters" are
>>> in the SF East Bay {Oakland, CA} between
>>> the Oakland Coliseum and the Airport
>>
>>> The KEAR-AM 610 kHz Transmitter is actually
>>> in Berkeley, CA {SF East Bay}
>>
>>> The Billboard is in SF* near the approach
>>> to the SF Bay Bridge.
>>> -close-it's-in-the-same-state-'ca'-
>>
>>> * SF = Satan's Freaking City -aka- The Liberal
>>> Mecca for the Un-Godly Cult of the Humanist !
>>> .
>>> The Family Radio -message-for- 21 Mayo 2011
>>> http://www.theasiasun.com/images/harold-camping-may-21st-family-radio...
>>> .
>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day on
>>> 55 Radio Stations and 2000+ Bill-Boards
>>> World Wide
>>> http://www.bible.ca/rapture-harold-camping-2011-end-of-the-world-bill...
>>> .
>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>> http://www.nickloper.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/03/may-21-apocalypse...
>>> .
```

>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>> http://agabus.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/Family-Radio-May-21-bill...
>>> .
>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>> http://turbo.indyposted.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/01/apocalypse-bil...
>>> .
>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>> http://www.watchmanreport.com/images/spirit/religion/christianity/rap...
>>> .
>>> -shortwave-radio-13,695-khz-
>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>> http://www.vancouversun.com/news/canada/4313806.bin?size=620x400
>>> .
>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>> http://rationalists.files.wordpress.com/2010/12/jesusbillboard_640_48...
>>> .
>>> -note-in-spanish-too-
>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>> http://blogs.elcorreo.com/blogfiles/magonia/FindelMundoFamilyRadio.jpg
>>> .
>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>> http://blog.beaumontenterprise.com/bayou/files/2010/11/judgementDAY.png
>>> .
>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>> On-the-Road
>>> -don't-get-stuck-in-a-traffic-jam...-
>>> -on-your-way-to-heaven-!-
>>> http://www.theblogismine.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/May-21-is-Jud...
>>> .
>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>> U-Hauling To Heaven !
>>> http://www.redeemerdg.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/Judgment_Bus_New...
>>> .
>>> One Man's Prophecy = One Man's Destiny
>>> http://i.huffpost.com/gen/129994/thumbs/s-HAROLD-CAMPING-large.jpg
>>> .
>>> The Great "Rapture" Smack-Down a Shortwave Radio Event !
>>> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/451aa1fee964e96c
>>> * Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>> http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
>>> -ps- so... don't get 'left-behind' !
>>> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/aaac9e58c64d4ca6
>>> -wrt- Pre-Tribulation 'Rapture'
>>> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/119bfe71677b7587
>>> .
>>> .
>
> Dave the only 'Tech' coming out of Oakland
> has been the use of the TEC-9 for Drive-Bys
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/TEC-9

> .
> See the Killing Streets of Oakland and Die !
>
http://www.stltoday.com/news/local/metro/article_dd2188de-7cbd-11e0-a9c9-001a4bcf6878.html
> .
> .
> Dave -fyi- 'Silicon Valley' is "Silicon Valley"
> the Center of All Things Hi-Tech
> {The South Bay : SR84W-to-SR84E}
> and Silicon Valley Ain't San Francisco [.]
> SF is a Cesspool of Drugs, Crime, Sickness
> {Aids}, Big City Payola and Political Corruption.
> .

Again, you have totally "lost it".

Title 47: Telecommunication
PART 76ï¿½MULTICHANNEL VIDEO AND CABLE TELEVISION SERVICE
Subpart Dï¿½Carriage of Television Broadcast Signals

Browse Next
ï¿½ 76.51 Major television markets.

For purposes of the cable television rules, the following is a list of
the major television markets and their designated communities:

(a) First 50 major television markets:

(1) New York, New York-Linden-Paterson-Newark, New Jersey.

(2) Los Angeles-San Bernardino-Corona-Riverside-Anaheim, Calif.

(3) Chicago, Ill.

(4) Philadelphia, Pa.-Burlington, N.J.

(5) Detroit, Mich.

(6) Boston-Cambridge-Worcester-Lawrence, Mass.

(7) San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, Calif.

(8) Cleveland-Lorain-Akron, Ohio.

(9) Washington, DC.

(10) Pittsburgh, Pa.

(The market is called SF-Oakland-San Jose, just like KGO says once an hour.

http://www.fccinfo.com/MapIt5.php?lat_deg=37&lat_min=31&lat_sec=35&lat_dir=N&lon_deg=122&lon_min=6&lon_sec=2&lon_dir=W&datum=27&calls=KGO(AM)&bubble=KGO(AM)%3Cbr+/%3ESan+Francisco,+CA&city=San%2BFrancisco&Button=Map+It
http://ecfr.gpoaccess.gov/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=ecfr&sid=bf50acf18876065dd2946f79f35c5c25&rgn=div8&view=text&node=47:4.0.1.1.4.4.3.1&idno=47

1879. Re: Wishing You A Long Life 'Dave' !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 13:30:12 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd1268f$0$12477$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229806

```
On 05/15/2011 09:22 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 14, 9:08 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 05/13/2011 08:20 PM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>> Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
>>> Topic of simple 'Climate Change' and tossed out
>>> the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
>>> Hysteria Mongers like the Fal$e Profit Al-of-Gore.
>>> .
>>> .
>
> - You are going to die early because of your politics.
> .
> -such-hate-dave-such-hate-dave-such-hate-
> .
> Dave : Just another typical example [Post]
> of how you constantly prove your are NO
> Libertarian of any Strip
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/904a046dc39ff178
> -more-intolerance-&-hate- -more-intolerance-&-hate-
> .
> Dave : May you live very long. Well at least
> long enough to Regret your Politics ;;-}} ~ RHF
> -peace-&-love- -peace-&-love- -peace-&-love-
> .
> .
I see you're adding extra spaces. Does this lighten the extraneous
punctuation?
```

1880. Re: Your tax dollars pay to study Arctic ice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 13:31:36 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd126e3$0$12477$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229807

On 05/15/2011 09:29 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Artic Ice.Simple Physics.It is always very Cold in the Artic and
> Anartic.Buku Moisture mit dur Cold Air,,, Result is, Artic Ice and
> Anartic Ice.Bukus of Ice and also bukus of Snow.
> Seeeeeee how Simple thingys can beeee,,,,,?
> cuhulin
>

http://nsidc.org/arcticseaicenews/

1881. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 13:32:41 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <4b55655c-af4e-4b7b-afdb-e7d09c8ba579@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229808

On May 16, 6:20 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/15/2011 08:46 AM, RHF wrote:

- - Watch-Out Dave ! -cause- You may find yourself
- - on the ever growing "Obama Enemies List" and
- - Classified as an 'Enemy-of-The-State'.

- I am honored to be considered for such a list.

Dave - Ah Don't Be So 'Honored'...
You were just a low level transfer
from the prior Bush/Cheney List;
and prior to that you were on the
{Janet} Reno Bus Tour List ;;-}} ~ RHF
-why-even-'jeh'-had-you-on-his-closet-list-
.
.

1882. Re: May 14 Logs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 13:33:08 UTC
From: Mike <mwbryant@aol.com>
Message-ID: <9814cd8d-9587-4b57-9995-698d0859e514@z13g2000yqg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229809

BJ: The reception was from the parking lot, while my family took the cave tour. Relatively RFI-free compared to my neighborhood in Louisville.

Burr: No, we were just headed to Bowling Green, KY to watch my niece graduate from Western KY University. One-day roadtrip. My summer vacation won't start for another month. My health is OK, but they're warning of impending renal failure.

Mike

1883. Re: Morse Code Keys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 13:39:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD12908-3711@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229810

Thanks for replying.I was begining to think my Vibroplex thread was
about to fly right over the Cuckoo's nest.
cuhulin, Doorstops R US

1884. Re: No comment necessary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 13:42:57 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <94379773-5e3f-43b1-8bde-85152abb2cac@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229811

On May 15, 10:47 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 14, 7:24 pm, "D. Peter Maus" <dpeterm...@att.net> wrote:
>
> >http://www.nwitimes.com/news/local/govt-and-politics/article_ec169697...
>
- I surely hope this does not stop at the State level.
- It's disgusting.

Which Part of the Judge's Own Words Does
The Judge Not Understand "Unlawful" as
in Breaking The Law and Violating the US
Constitution's 4th Amendment also Making
It Totally 'Unconstitutional'.
http://www.nwitimes.com/news/local/govt-and-politics/article_ec169697-a19e-525f-a532-81b3d
f229697.html?mode=story

Two Words : "Recall/Impeach" This Judge
and Send a Message to the Courts : Up-Hold
the Laws and Obey the US Constitution [.]

~ RHF
.
.

1885. Re: Morse Code Keys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 14:05:57 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DD12F45-1145@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229814

http://vintagevibroplex.com
Vibroplex Cord and Wedge, my Vibroplex Key has a Vibroplex Cord and Wedge on it.Maybe used by somebody who worked for a Railroad.My old buddy is a Railroad 'nut'. I reckon I will give him my Vibroplex Key with the Vibroplex Cord and Wedge.
His dad was a Railroad Conductor many years ago.Fernwood, Columbia & Gulf Railroad.
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Fernwood,+Columbia+&+Gulf+Railroad

That was a million years ago.
cuhulin, the Doorstop

1886. Re: Bush and Cheney are Traitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 14:12:49 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <70c2t6tlf8gl7n6qmq3g433nq4q09mq2b7@4ax.com>
Source record: 229812
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

The Bush crime family of treacherous wimps has
never been on the same side as the USA.

ObL couldn't affect the USA's air defense system.

Cheney did.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend

traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event

actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ...

After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric
Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US
between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in
Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues,
"When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

This remains undisputed.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.

1887. Re: False Flag Treason

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 14:12:49 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <85c2t61h2b4b3ltd0fn62ctpqrev04s0em@4ax.com>
Source record: 229813
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

http://www.standdown.net/

The Bush crime family of treacherous wimps has
never been on the same side as the USA.

ObL couldn't affect the USA's air defense system.

Cheney did.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues,
"When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

This remains undisputed.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.

1888. Re: 9/11 was an inside job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 14:21:57 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <dmc2t6hrbbla7h6ubsjlkst8lfugpo50rj@4ax.com>
Source record: 229815
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

They're all too weak to compete honestly/fairly.

The Bush crime family of treacherous wimps has
never been on the same side as the USA.

ObL couldn't affect the USA's air defense system.

Cheney did.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues,
"When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

This remains undisputed.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.

1889. Re: At least it ain't snowing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 14:38:36 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <014c0fec-8bf0-401d-b132-205410020e43@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229816

On May 16, 6:20 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/15/2011 08:57 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > It is Cold weather in Missy Sippy.What must those Tourist people be
> > thinking? That it is always Hot and always Humid and always Muggy
> > weather in Missy Sippy? HA!
> >http://www.wxusa.com/wx1/Jackson-MS.htm
> > cuhulin, Cold, effin Cold
>
> .

Well... It Is/Was Here !
and Below Freezing Overnight.
~ RHF
.

1890. Re: Why do you want to know?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 14:45:40 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <338d722e-57ad-4ff7-b1da-3540689b9d35@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229817

On May 16, 6:23 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:

- - On 05/15/2011 09:13 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
- - Marijuana-Hemp-Paranoia-study.
- - http://www.drudgereport.com
- -
- - Are you Paranoid?
- - cuhulin

Dave -wrote-subject-line-
Why do you want to know?

Dave - Based on the fact that You have
repeatedly stated here in Posts that You
do use Medical-Marijuana

-tfif-dave- Are You Paranoid ?

-or-just-plain-annoying-;;-}}- ~ RHF
.

1891. Re: The San Jose {Silicon Valley} Area has pretty much Dominated the Technical Culture my whole life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 14:57:44 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <656372d0-2fd4-4333-82fd-503106d2485b@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229818

On May 16, 6:29 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/15/2011 09:13 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 15, 8:28 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> >> On 5/15/2011 8:04 AM, RHF wrote:
>
> >>> On May 15, 3:28 am, firstname lastname<firlaf...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>> - Take a look at this billboard by another
> >>> - group that directly challenges Camping
> >>> - with a new perspective.
> >>> - It's up right near Family Radio’s headquarters!
> >>> -
> >>> -http://www.flickr.com/photos/62779138@N08/5708063636
>
> >>> IIRC the Family Radio’s "Headquarters" are
> >>> in the SF East Bay {Oakland, CA} between
> >>> the Oakland Coliseum and the Airport
>
> >>> The KEAR-AM 610 kHz Transmitter is actually
> >>> in Berkeley, CA {SF East Bay}
>
> >>> The Billboard is in SF* near the approach
> >>> to the SF Bay Bridge.
> >>> -close-it's-in-the-same-state-'ca'-
>
> >>> * SF = Satan's Freaking City -aka- The Liberal
> >>> Mecca for the Un-Godly Cult of the Humanist !
> >>> .
> >>> The Family Radio -message-for- 21 Mayo 2011
> >>>http://www.theasiasun.com/images/harold-camping-may-21st-family-radio...
> >>> .
> >>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day on
> >>> 55 Radio Stations and 2000+ Bill-Boards
> >>> World Wide
> >>>http://www.bible.ca/rapture-harold-camping-2011-end-of-the-world-bill...

> >>> .
> >>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >>>http://www.nickloper.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/03/may-21-apocalypse...
> >>> .
> >>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >>>http://agabus.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/Family-Radio-May-21-bill...
> >>> .
> >>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >>>http://turbo.indyposted.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/01/apocalypse-bil...
> >>> .
> >>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >>>http://www.watchmanreport.com/images/spirit/religion/christianity/rap...
> >>> .
> >>> -shortwave-radio-13,695-khz-
> >>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >>>http://www.vancouversun.com/news/canada/4313806.bin?size=620x400
> >>> .
> >>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >>>http://rationalists.files.wordpress.com/2010/12/jesusbillboard_640_48...
> >>> .
> >>> -note-in-spanish-too-
> >>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >>>http://blogs.elcorreo.com/blogfiles/magonia/FindelMundoFamilyRadio.jpg
> >>> .
> >>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >>>http://blog.beaumontenterprise.com/bayou/files/2010/11/judgementDAY.png
> >>> .
> >>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >>> On-the-Road
> >>> -don't-get-stuck-in-a-traffic-jam...-
> >>> -on-your-way-to-heaven-!-
> >>>http://www.theblogismine.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/May-21-is-Jud...
> >>> .
> >>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >>> U-Hauling To Heaven !
> >>>http://www.redeemerdg.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/Judgment_Bus_New...
> >>> .
> >>> One Man's Prophecy = One Man's Destiny
> >>>http://i.huffpost.com/gen/129994/thumbs/s-HAROLD-CAMPING-large.jpg
> >>> .
> >>> The Great "Rapture" Smack-Down a Shortwave Radio Event !
> >>>http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/451aa1fee964e96c
> >>> * Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
> >>>http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
> >>> -ps- so... don't get 'left-behind' !
> >>>http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/aaac9e58c64d4ca6
> >>> -wrt- Pre-Tribulation 'Rapture'
> >>>http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/119bfe71677b7587
> >>> .
> >>> .

>
> > Dave the only 'Tech' coming out of Oakland
> > has been the use of the TEC-9 for Drive-Bys
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/TEC-9
> > .
> > See the Killing Streets of Oakland and Die !
> >http://www.stltoday.com/news/local/metro/article_dd2188de-7cbd-11e0-a...
> > .
> > .
> > Dave -fyi- 'Silicon Valley' is "Silicon Valley"
> > the Center of All Things Hi-Tech
> > {The South Bay : SR84W-to-SR84E}
> > and Silicon Valley Ain't San Francisco [.]
> > SF is a Cesspool of Drugs, Crime, Sickness
> > {Aids}, Big City Payola and Political Corruption.
> > .
>
> Again, you have totally "lost it".
>
> Title 47: Telecommunication
> PART 76—MULTICHANNEL VIDEO AND CABLE TELEVISION SERVICE
> Subpart D—Carriage of Television Broadcast Signals
>
> Browse Next
> § 76.51 Major television markets.
>
> For purposes of the cable television rules, the following is a list of
> the major television markets and their designated communities:
>
> (a) First 50 major television markets:
>
> (1) New York, New York-Linden-Paterson-Newark, New Jersey.
>
> (2) Los Angeles-San Bernardino-Corona-Riverside-Anaheim, Calif.
>
> (3) Chicago, Ill.
>
> (4) Philadelphia, Pa.-Burlington, N.J.
>
> (5) Detroit, Mich.
>
> (6) Boston-Cambridge-Worcester-Lawrence, Mass.
>
> (7) San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, Calif.
>
> (8) Cleveland-Lorain-Akron, Ohio.
>
> (9) Washington, DC.
>
> (10) Pittsburgh, Pa.

>
> (The market is called SF-Oakland-San Jose, just like KGO says once an hour.
>
> http://www.fccinfo.com/MapIt5.php?lat_deg=37&lat_min=31&lat_sec=35&la...http://ecfr.gpoaccess.gov/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=ecfr&sid=bf50acf18876...

D'OH ! ! ! -Dave How Does A 'Media Marketing Area'
Translate into "The Frisco-San Jose-Oakland Area"
=With=Respect=To= "Technical Culture"

1st : San Francisco {NOT Frisco}
Dave You Have NO Culture
?IF? You Call "San Francisco" : 'Frisco'
-thus-your-statement-has-'no'-value-
Deleting both : 'Frisco' & 'culture'
-it-reads- "The San Jose-Oakland area has pretty
much dominated the technical my whole life"

2nd : I Repeat Myself
{When You Are Right You Are Right}
-rhf-right-on-!- -rhf-right-on-!-
.
Dave -fyi- 'Silicon Valley' is "Silicon Valley"
the Center of All Things Hi-Tech [Technical]
{The South Bay : SR84W-to-SR84E}
and Silicon Valley Ain't San Francisco [.]
SF is a Cesspool of Drugs, Crime, Sickness
{Aids}, Big City Payola and Political Corruption.
.
.

1892. Re: VP Gore-a boomer who did go to Vietnam : One of the Few Son's of the Elite Who Did !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 15:34:36 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7fe69f48-2823-4fa4-b742-d12f6e1884ba@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229819

On May 16, 6:22 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/15/2011 09:01 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > I am not a baby boomer, I was born one month and two days before we
> > Declared Wahr on Japan.

- - Owl Gourdhead was in Vietnam about four
- - months, he worked a typewriter over there.
- - MOFO had one or two full time Guards
- - covering his sorry ass in Vietnam.
- - cuhulin

- It would be bad on Walter Cronkite for a
- Senator's son to be KIA.

More than likely the VC/NVA would have Targeted
Al Gore for Kidnapping a/o Assassination as
a High-Value Political Target {being a US
Senators Son} so the Guards were a Reasonable
and Necessary Idea - imho ~ RHF
.
VP Gore-a boomer who did go to Vietnam :
One of the Few Son's of the Elite Who Did
-give-al-gore-his-'props-for-that-

* Obama Did Not Serve
-note- Obama Did Not Registrar for the Draft
His Grandfather Registered for BHO in Hawaii
when BHO was already in California in College
* Bush-II play USAF[R] in Texas
* Cheney Did Not Serve and Dodge the Draft
as a Student
* Clinton Did Not Serve and Dodge the Draft
in England as a Student
* Gore Served USA in Vietnam
* Bush-I Served WWII
* Reagan played Army in Hollywood WWII
* Carter Served USN
* Ford Served WWII
* Nixon Served WWII
* LBJ Served WWII
* IKE Served WWI & WWII
* Truman Served WWI
* FDR Did Not Serve in WWI

1893. Re: Wishing You A Long Life 'Dave' !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 15:36:13 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7090898c-c515-4f07-8e22-8228d0600be4@e17g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229820

On May 16, 6:30 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/15/2011 09:22 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 14, 9:08 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> >> On 05/13/2011 08:20 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> >>> Even the Obama-Regime has moved on to the
> >>> Topic of simple 'Climate Change' and tossed out
> >>> the Excessive Claims of the "Global Warming"
> >>> Hysteria Mongers like the Fal$e Profit Al-of-Gore.
> >>> .
> >>> .
>
> > > - You are going to die early because of your politics.
> > > .
> > > -such-hate-dave-such-hate-dave-such-hate-
> > > .
> > > Dave : Just another typical example [Post]
> > > of how you constantly prove your are NO
> > > Libertarian of any Strip
> > >http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/904a046dc39ff178
> > > -more-intolerance-&-hate- -more-intolerance-&-hate-
> > > .
> > > Dave : May you live very long. Well at least
> > > long enough to Regret your Politics ;;-}} ~ RHF
> > > -peace-&-love- -peace-&-love- -peace-&-love-
> > > .
> > > .

- I see you're adding extra spaces.
- Does this lighten the extraneous punctuation?

Ah 'space' to G R O W :o)
.

1894. Re: The SWL Antenna Weather Report...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 16:05:51 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <04bd07e3-c599-418f-831c-f9631df152ab@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229821

On May 15, 10:11 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 15, 12:52 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/15/2011 9:49 AM, RHF wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > The SWL Antenna Weather Report : 15 MAYO 2011
>
> > > This Morning by 4 AM we had 4~6 Inches of Snow on
> > > the Ground : Half-Inch of Snow on the Bare Copper
> > > Stranded Wire and Two Inches of Snow on the
> > > Multi-Stranded Wire.
>
> > > About 8 AM the Wind came-up and blew most
> > > of the Snow Off-the-Wires. Still have plenty
> > > of Snow on the Trees and on the Ground; cause
> > > it's still in the low 30s.
>
> > > We now expect an more Snow Tonight may be
> > > another 4~6+ Inches of Snow here in Beautiful
> > > {-:SUNNY:-} California :o)
>
> > > ~ RHF
> > > Twain Harte, CA [3850 Ft. ASL]
> > > .
> > > .
>
> > Unfortunately, high altitude causes brain damage, possibly related to
> > diminished oxygen levels! Once the damage occurs, the victim(s)
> > remained trapped at the high elevation -- unable to think to escape!
>
> > ROFLOL
>
> > Regards,
> > JS- Hide quoted text -
>

> > - Show quoted text -

- 3850 ASL is not High Altitude .

- Just a little bit up there . . .
- OTOH : Abbottabad is located even higher .
- 4134 ft ASL !

Adapting to High Altitude 10K Feet ~ 2-Miles
http://anthro.palomar.edu/adapt/adapt_3.htm

? What is High Altitude !
http://www.princeton.edu/~oa/safety/altitude.html

Aviators Breathing Oxygen is usually
Required Above 14K Feet
http://c-f-c.com/supportdocs/abo2.htm

-as-for-me-

Sea Level "0" Feet is 29.9 Inches Hg
* SF Bay Area Urban Area's with lots
of Toxic Pollution -you-can-see-it-
http://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/air-altitude-pressure-d_462.html

4000 Feet is ~ 25.8 Inches Hg {86.3%)
* Twain Harte with lots of Clean Pure
Mountain Air -you-can't-see-it-

Altitude Air Pressure Calculator
http://www.altitude.org/air_pressure.php

Note : 3850 Ft ASL is only "High Altitude"
-if- the surface of the Ground is that far
below you; and you don't have a Parachute ;;-}}

~ RHF
.

1895. It's Time For... "Info Wars" with Alex Jones via WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 16:15:51 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <c3ecd02e-3dd0-460f-a03a-9ab0898f0daf@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229822

On May 15, 2:00 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> http://www.prisonplanet.com/listen.html
>
> Regards,
> JS

It's Time For... "Info Wars" with Alex Jones
via WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC
.
? Did Someone "Invoke" The Name of Alex Jones !
-alex-the-weird- -alex-the-mysterious- -alex-the-absurd-
=Off=The=Wall=Alex= =Jones'ing=For=Alex=
.
"JS" - ? What Can Be Be More Weirder* Than Alex Jones !
* Some Would Say : MORE TRUTHFUL - Then Weird
-while-'i'-say-just-listen-&-decide-for-yourself-
.
? What Can Be Be More Mysterious* Than Alex Jones !
* Some Would Say : More Factual Then Mysterious
-while-'i'-say-just-listen-&-decide-for-yourself-
.
Info Wars on WWCR Times and Frequencies
http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
.
Listen to Alex Jones "Info Wars" on Shortwave Radio
http://www.infowars.com/listen.html
-via- Shortwave Radio Station WWCR
M-F 11 AM - 2 PM on 12,160 MHz : 1600~1900 UTC
M-F 9 PM - 12 AM on 4,840 MHz : 0200~0500 UTC
Tue-Sat 12 AM - 3 AM on 3,215 MHz : 0200~0800 UTC
All Times "Central" {CST/CDT - USA}
"Info Wars" -host- Alex Jones
http://www.wwcr.com/pgm-links.html
-wrt- http://www.infowars.com/
.
XM Radio has Alex Jones "Info Wars"
XM RADIO XM Channel # 158
(Channel 158 Airs 8-9 PM Weekdays Central Time)
.
On-Line via Genesis Communications Network [GCN]
http://www.gcnlive.com/schedule.php
* Alex Jones On-Demand GCN-Line
http://www.gcnlive.com/mediaPlayers/odPlayer.php?program=alexJones

.
"Info-Wars" with Alex Jones... Coming To a 'Local'
{Affiliate} AM/FM Radio Station Near You :o)
http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/alexJones/affiliateList.pdf
.
yes - i heard alex jones 'info-war' on-the-radio ~ RHF
-and-you-can-too--by--just-listening-:o)-
.
YES - I Am Listening To Alex Jones Right Now ! :o) ~ RHF
-info-wars- -info-wars- -info-wars- -info-wars-
-talking-about-ron-paul- -talking-about-ron-paul-
-the-globalists- -the-globalists- -the-globalists-
-al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da-
.
.

1896. Tofino [Canada] Coast Guard Radio on 2054 kHz [USB] @ 0655 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 16:40:59 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <8864087f-f786-49d9-acc3-5007d7525851@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229823

Tofino [Canada] Coast Guard Radio on 2054 kHz [USB] @ 0655 UTC

Heard on 16 Mayo 2011

Environment Canada ID @ 0655 UTC

Vancouver Island Weather Wind & Waves Male Voice

Weather Info Female Voice #1 0658 UTC

Weather Info Female Voice #2 0658 UTC

Tofino Coast Guard Radio "OUT" ID @ 0700 UTC
.
-about- Tofino, BC [Canada] Coast Guard Radio
* Canadian Coast Guard Information
http://www.ve7zsa.net/hf/coast_guard.htm
* Canadian Coast Guard Information
-source- World-Wide Utility News
http://www.wunclub.narod.ru/files/ccginfo.html

List of Voice (USB) Maritime Weather Transmissions
http://www.hfunderground.com/wiki/Maritime_Weather_Transmissions

NWS/USCG Frequencies
http://www.ominous-valve.com/uscg-fax.txt

yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

1897. Re: Wishing You A Long Life 'Dave' !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 17:15:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD15BB7-3931@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229824

Shotgun DRUNK ASS Cheney wrote himself at least five (I once read somewhere, perhaps six deferments) deferments so as to keep his DRUNK ASS Out of Vietnam.G.W.Bush's dad arranged for G.W.Bush to go to the head of the line to join the Texas Air National Guard so as to keep his MORON AWOL Ass out of Vietnam.MOFO is STILL AWOL!!!!

I just now saw on WLBT 3 TV 12:00 PM news, commercial, May 21st and 22nd Trail Of Honor, Jackson,Mississippi.Of course I Will Be There.
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Trail+Of+Honor+Jackson+Mississippi+May+2011
cuhulin

1898. Too much coffee and cigs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 17:23:58 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD15DAE-3935@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229825

Maybe that's what makes me Paranoid.
cuhulin

1899. There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 17:30:05 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqrmuuhej1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.myvictoriaonline.com/pages/9847291.php?contentType=4&contentId=8170148

Regards,
JS

1900. Re: There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 18:09:46 UTC
From: DCI <50bjdk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <41368bf0-ca30-44ea-b26f-5a1b553f5c30@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On May 16, 10:30 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> http://www.myvictoriaonline.com/pages/9847291.php?contentType=4&conte...
>
> Regards,
> JS

While I find evidence in Washington D.C. of any real intelligence in
the current White House administration, there are still a few in the
cabinet who can find a way to take your money to shore up the
federally created debt with your money.

DCI

1901. Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 18:35:45 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqrqq2vhc1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts, however I have been busy.

Recently I found out bin laden was living in a cave on the outskirts of my city. So, me and a few good ole' buddies got a couple of six packs and went hunting. We found him. After, I put a bullet in his head; We brought the body back to my house. After killing the remaining beer we took a few pictures of burying him in an unmarked grave in my backyard.

I am afraid the pictures are just too much for those here, or American citizens, to handle. So, we decided, as a group, not to release the pictures. And, allowing the examination of the body, by others, is not necessary -- you know you can trust me :-)

No need to thank me ... I consider it just part of being a good American! As always, I will keep looking out for you and your best interests -- TRUST ME! <wolfish-grin>

Regards,
JS

P.S. It has been absolutely confirmed we got the right guy, as just to be sure, we preformed a DNA test in my garage. See, any possible questions/arguments/doubts are unnecessary ... go back to sleep knowing you are in good hands!

1902. Re: Tofino [Canada] Coast Guard Radio on 2054 kHz [USB] @ 0655 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 18:35:51 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bf5f2821-574a-423c-9bd5-85c8c3bafee3@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229829

On May 16, 9:40 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Tofino [Canada] Coast Guard Radio on 2054 kHz [USB] @ 0655 UTC
>
> Heard on 16 Mayo 2011
>
> Environment Canada ID @ 0655 UTC
>
> Vancouver Island Weather Wind & Waves Male Voice
>
> Weather Info Female Voice #1 0658 UTC
>
> Weather Info Female Voice #2 0658 UTC
>
> Tofino Coast Guard Radio "OUT" ID @ 0700 UTC
> .
> -about- Tofino, BC [Canada] Coast Guard Radio
> * Canadian Coast Guard Informationhttp://www.ve7zsa.net/hf/coast_guard.htm
> * Canadian Coast Guard Information
> -source- World-Wide Utility Newshttp://www.wunclub.narod.ru/files/ccginfo.html
>
> List of Voice (USB) Maritime Weather
Transmissionshttp://www.hfunderground.com/wiki/Maritime_Weather_Transmissions
>
> NWS/USCG Frequencieshttp://www.ominous-valve.com/uscg-fax.txt
>
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

Yesterday afternoon (about 2215) also heard Chesapeake Coastguard,
NMN, Virginia on 12788 kHz USB really well. Gave them a phone call per
their announced # and let them know their transmitter is working
well :-)

Bruce

1903. Re: Morse Code Keys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 18:41:39 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <9492e893-1638-4d41-af95-d22edf19bb9b@j28g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229830

On May 15, 4:01 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Vibroplex+Morse+Code+Keys
>
> The old Vibroplex ''BUG'' Key I bought many years ago at Goodwill,
> according to the Patent numbers on the brass plate that is riveted to
> the heavy iron base, the Key dates back to at least the 1920s.There is
> another number which is stamped on the brass plate, it says, No.79483
> And there is an old braided wire/cord which has a plug on the end of the
> cord.
>
> And if you click on this website,http://www.mtechnologies.com/n1fn/keys.htm
> and scroll on down ten pictures, the Key the guy calls KOB ''Key On
> Board''
> I also have a Key which looks exactly like that Key, but I think the
> wood base on my KOB Key is nicer looking, Maple wood, I think it is.I
> also bought that Key many years ago at Goodwill.http://www.shopgoodwill.com
> cuhulin, the Keys

Cuhulin, are you an active ham at the moment? What's your call?

1904. Re: Morse Code Keys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 19:09:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD1764F-3982@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229831

I am not a Ham, although my old Grandpappy always called me Hambone.
What is my Call? Call me anything as long as it is in time for Supper.
cuhulin, the Hambone

1905. Re: There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 19:15:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DD177B9-1299@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229832

The real Usama (Osama) Bin (bin) Laden died over ten years ago.Who they supposedly killed and supposedly dumped in the drink, I have no Idea.One thing is for Certain, they Lied!
You don't believe fed govt Lies? They Lie All The Time! fed govt = CROOKS and LIARS!
cuhulin

1906. Re: There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 19:51:00 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqrv75$d58$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229833

On 5/16/2011 12:15 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> The real Usama (Osama) Bin (bin) Laden died over ten years ago.Who they
> supposedly killed and supposedly dumped in the drink, I have no Idea.One
> thing is for Certain, they Lied!
> You don't believe fed govt Lies? They Lie All The Time! fed govt =
> CROOKS and LIARS!
> cuhulin
>
Yeah, they'll be sayin' that next ... osama stole our taxes and died, no
way to recover them ...

I figured.

Regards,
JS

1907. Re: There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 21:13:16 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD1936C-4008@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229834

Watchin WLBT 3 (the tee station that doesn't exist, and neither does WJTV 12 and WAPT 16) 4:00 PM tee vee noos.Julie Straw reporting from Vicksburg, (some old timers call it Hill City, ergo the Hills in and around Vicksburg.In fact, there is or used to be a Hill City pet food company in Vicksburg) Red Cross center in Vicksburg, Hot Roast Beef sandwiches and freshly made hot Apple Pie.Come on doggy, we are going to Vicksburg!
cuhulin

1908. Re: At least it ain't snowing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 22:20:21 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd1a2d6$0$12495$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229835

On 05/16/2011 07:38 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 16, 6:20 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 05/15/2011 08:57 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>> It is Cold weather in Missy Sippy.What must those Tourist people be
>>> thinking? That it is always Hot and always Humid and always Muggy
>>> weather in Missy Sippy? HA!
>>> http://www.wxusa.com/wx1/Jackson-MS.htm
>>> cuhulin, Cold, effin Cold
>>
>> .
>
> Well... It Is/Was Here !
> and Below Freezing Overnight.
> ~ RHF
> .

I was talking 'bout Jackson (Jackson, Jackson)

1909. Re: Why do you want to know?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 22:21:49 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd1a32e$0$12495$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229836

```
On 05/16/2011 07:45 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 16, 6:23 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> - - On 05/15/2011 09:13 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> - - Marijuana-Hemp-Paranoia-study.
> - - http://www.drudgereport.com
> - -
> - - Are you Paranoid?
> - - cuhulin
>
> Dave -wrote-subject-line-
> Why do you want to know?
>
> Dave - Based on the fact that You have
> repeatedly stated here in Posts that You
> do use Medical-Marijuana
>
> -tfif-dave- Are You Paranoid ?
>
> -or-just-plain-annoying-;;-}}- ~ RHF
> .

Well at least I did at that point in time...
```

1910. Re: Frisco, Frisco, Frisco!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 22:22:40 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd1a361$0$12495$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229837

On 05/16/2011 07:57 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 16, 6:29 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 05/15/2011 09:13 AM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 15, 8:28 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>>>> On 5/15/2011 8:04 AM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 15, 3:28 am, firstname lastname<firlaf...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> - Take a look at this billboard by another
>>>>> - group that directly challenges Camping
>>>>> - with a new perspective.
>>>>> - It's up right near Family Radioï¿½s headquarters!
>>>>> -
>>>>> -http://www.flickr.com/photos/62779138@N08/5708063636
>>
>>>>> IIRC the Family Radioï¿½s "Headquarters" are
>>>>> in the SF East Bay {Oakland, CA} between
>>>>> the Oakland Coliseum and the Airport
>>
>>>>> The KEAR-AM 610 kHz Transmitter is actually
>>>>> in Berkeley, CA {SF East Bay}
>>
>>>>> The Billboard is in SF* near the approach
>>>>> to the SF Bay Bridge.
>>>>> -close-it's-in-the-same-state-'ca'-
>>
>>>>> * SF = Satan's Freaking City -aka- The Liberal
>>>>> Mecca for the Un-Godly Cult of the Humanist !
>>>>> .
>>>>> The Family Radio -message-for- 21 Mayo 2011
>>>>> http://www.theasiasun.com/images/harold-camping-may-21st-family-radio...
>>>>> .
>>>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day on
>>>>> 55 Radio Stations and 2000+ Bill-Boards
>>>>> World Wide
>>>>> http://www.bible.ca/rapture-harold-camping-2011-end-of-the-world-bill...

>>>>> .
>>>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>>>> http://www.nickloper.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/03/may-21-apocalypse...
>>>>> .
>>>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>>>> http://agabus.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/Family-Radio-May-21-bill...
>>>>> .
>>>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>>>> http://turbo.indyposted.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/01/apocalypse-bil...
>>>>> .
>>>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>>>> http://www.watchmanreport.com/images/spirit/religion/christianity/rap...
>>>>> .
>>>>> -shortwave-radio-13,695-khz-
>>>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>>>> http://www.vancouversun.com/news/canada/4313806.bin?size=620x400
>>>>> .
>>>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>>>> http://rationalists.files.wordpress.com/2010/12/jesusbillboard_640_48...
>>>>> .
>>>>> -note-in-spanish-too-
>>>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>>>> http://blogs.elcorreo.com/blogfiles/magonia/FindelMundoFamilyRadio.jpg
>>>>> .
>>>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>>>> http://blog.beaumontenterprise.com/bayou/files/2010/11/judgementDAY.png
>>>>> .
>>>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>>>> On-the-Road
>>>>> -don't-get-stuck-in-a-traffic-jam...-
>>>>> -on-your-way-to-heaven-!-
>>>>> http://www.theblogismine.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/May-21-is-Jud...
>>>>> .
>>>>> Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>>>> U-Hauling To Heaven !
>>>>> http://www.redeemerdg.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/Judgment_Bus_New...
>>>>> .
>>>>> One Man's Prophecy = One Man's Destiny
>>>>> http://i.huffpost.com/gen/129994/thumbs/s-HAROLD-CAMPING-large.jpg
>>>>> .
>>>>> The Great "Rapture" Smack-Down a Shortwave Radio Event !
>>>>> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/451aa1fee964e96c
>>>>> * Family Radio -proclaims- Judgement Day
>>>>> http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
>>>>> -ps- so... don't get 'left-behind' !
>>>>> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/aaac9e58c64d4ca6
>>>>> -wrt- Pre-Tribulation 'Rapture'
>>>>> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/119bfe71677b7587
>>>>> .
>>>>> .

>>
>>> Dave the only 'Tech' coming out of Oakland
>>> has been the use of the TEC-9 for Drive-Bys
>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/TEC-9
>>> .
>>> See the Killing Streets of Oakland and Die !
>>> http://www.stltoday.com/news/local/metro/article_dd2188de-7cbd-11e0-a...
>>> .
>>> .
>>> Dave -fyi- 'Silicon Valley' is "Silicon Valley"
>>> the Center of All Things Hi-Tech
>>> {The South Bay : SR84W-to-SR84E}
>>> and Silicon Valley Ain't San Francisco [.]
>>> SF is a Cesspool of Drugs, Crime, Sickness
>>> {Aids}, Big City Payola and Political Corruption.
>>> .
>>
>> Again, you have totally "lost it".
>>
>> Title 47: Telecommunication
>> PART 76ï¿½MULTICHANNEL VIDEO AND CABLE TELEVISION SERVICE
>> Subpart Dï¿½Carriage of Television Broadcast Signals
>>
>> Browse Next
>> ï¿½ 76.51 Major television markets.
>>
>> For purposes of the cable television rules, the following is a list of
>> the major television markets and their designated communities:
>>
>> (a) First 50 major television markets:
>>
>> (1) New York, New York-Linden-Paterson-Newark, New Jersey.
>>
>> (2) Los Angeles-San Bernardino-Corona-Riverside-Anaheim, Calif.
>>
>> (3) Chicago, Ill.
>>
>> (4) Philadelphia, Pa.-Burlington, N.J.
>>
>> (5) Detroit, Mich.
>>
>> (6) Boston-Cambridge-Worcester-Lawrence, Mass.
>>
>> (7) San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, Calif.
>>
>> (8) Cleveland-Lorain-Akron, Ohio.
>>
>> (9) Washington, DC.
>>
>> (10) Pittsburgh, Pa.

>>
>> (The market is called SF-Oakland-San Jose, just like KGO says once an hour.
>>
>> http://www.fccinfo.com/MapIt5.php?lat_deg=37&lat_min=31&lat_sec=35&la...http://ecfr.gpo
access.gov/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=ecfr&sid=bf50acf18876...
>
> D'OH ! ! ! -Dave How Does A 'Media Marketing Area'
> Translate into "The Frisco-San Jose-Oakland Area"
> =With=Respect=To= "Technical Culture"
>
> 1st : San Francisco {NOT Frisco}
> Dave You Have NO Culture
> ?IF? You Call "San Francisco" : 'Frisco'
> -thus-your-statement-has-'no'-value-
> Deleting both : 'Frisco'& 'culture'
> -it-reads- "The San Jose-Oakland area has pretty
> much dominated the technical my whole life"
>
> 2nd : I Repeat Myself
> {When You Are Right You Are Right}
> -rhf-right-on-!- -rhf-right-on-!-
> .
> Dave -fyi- 'Silicon Valley' is "Silicon Valley"
> the Center of All Things Hi-Tech [Technical]
> {The South Bay : SR84W-to-SR84E}
> and Silicon Valley Ain't San Francisco [.]
> SF is a Cesspool of Drugs, Crime, Sickness
> {Aids}, Big City Payola and Political Corruption.
> .
> .

1911. 5898 kHz "La Chica" Spanish Numbers Station [V02] @ 0812 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 22:24:03 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <66d2f772-74a8-4737-9cd0-051e24d14e19@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229838

5898 kHz "La Chica" Spanish Numbers Station [V02] @ 0812 UTC

Ending with 3 'Good-Night's !
@ 08:42 UTC on 15 Mayo 2011

-note- The Carrier was 'Off' @ 0848 UTC
.
Yes "La Chica" is back again and saying
those Marvelous and Mysterious 5-Number
Sets in Spanish : Cero Un Dos Tres Cuatro
Cinco Seis Siete Ocho Nueve
[0 - 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9]
-but- No "10" 'Diez'
http://www.enchantedlearning.com/languages/spanish/Numbers.shtml
.
-and- Cause... It's "La Chica" closing as
always with Three (3) "Good-Nights" around
XX40 UTC of the Hour.
.
-but- Again "La Chica"s 'englanish' made it
sound more like the Words 'Too-Nite'
-don't-we-wish-;;-}}-
.
Also "LaChica" Spanish Numbers Station [VO2]
can be heard on 5883 kHz during 0700~0745 UTC
-la-chica-la-chica-la-chica-la-chica-la-chica-
.
A Shout-Out to La Chica's producers in Cuba
about Re-Branding Spanish Numbers Station
"VO2" to "La Chica" ! :o)
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/7447e5559f19ffe6
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

1912. Re: The SWL Antenna Weather Report...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 22:27:13 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd1a473$0$12495$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229839

On 05/16/2011 09:05 AM, RHF wrote:

> http://www.altitude.org/air_pressure.php
>
> Note : 3850 Ft ASL is only "High Altitude"
> -if- the surface of the Ground is that far
> below you; and you don't have a Parachute ;;-}}
>
> ~ RHF
> .

I have been 2,000' higher (I am surrounded by mountains) for a total of 3,800'. The weather's not much different. Camp 9 is at 4,000'. It is the nearest official weather station.

http://forecast.weather.gov/MapClick.php?CityName=Canyon+Country&state=CA&site=LOX&lat=34.4065&lon=-118.402

1913. Re: 5h17's weird enough without Alex Jones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 22:28:12 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd1a4ad$0$12495$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229840

On 05/16/2011 09:15 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 15, 2:00 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> http://www.prisonplanet.com/listen.html
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> It's Time For... "Info Wars" with Alex Jones
> via WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC
> .
> ? Did Someone "Invoke" The Name of Alex Jones !
> -alex-the-weird- -alex-the-mysterious- -alex-the-absurd-
> =Off=The=Wall=Alex= =Jones'ing=For=Alex=
> .
> "JS" - ? What Can Be Be More Weirder* Than Alex Jones !
> * Some Would Say : MORE TRUTHFUL - Then Weird
> -while-'i'-say-just-listen-&-decide-for-yourself-
> .
> ? What Can Be Be More Mysterious* Than Alex Jones !
> * Some Would Say : More Factual Then Mysterious
> -while-'i'-say-just-listen-&-decide-for-yourself-
> .
> Info Wars on WWCR Times and Frequencies
> http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> .
> Listen to Alex Jones "Info Wars" on Shortwave Radio
> http://www.infowars.com/listen.html
> -via- Shortwave Radio Station WWCR
> M-F 11 AM - 2 PM on 12,160 MHz : 1600~1900 UTC
> M-F 9 PM - 12 AM on 4,840 MHz : 0200~0500 UTC
> Tue-Sat 12 AM - 3 AM on 3,215 MHz : 0200~0800 UTC
> All Times "Central" {CST/CDT - USA}
> "Info Wars" -host- Alex Jones
> http://www.wwcr.com/pgm-links.html
> -wrt- http://www.infowars.com/
> .
> XM Radio has Alex Jones "Info Wars"
> XM RADIO XM Channel # 158
> (Channel 158 Airs 8-9 PM Weekdays Central Time)
> .
> On-Line via Genesis Communications Network [GCN]
> http://www.gcnlive.com/schedule.php
> * Alex Jones On-Demand GCN-Line
> http://www.gcnlive.com/mediaPlayers/odPlayer.php?program=alexJones

> .
> "Info-Wars" with Alex Jones... Coming To a 'Local'
> {Affiliate} AM/FM Radio Station Near You :o)
> http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/alexJones/affiliateList.pdf
> .
> yes - i heard alex jones 'info-war' on-the-radio ~ RHF
> -and-you-can-too--by--just-listening-:o)-
> .
> YES - I Am Listening To Alex Jones Right Now ! :o) ~ RHF
> -info-wars- -info-wars- -info-wars- -info-wars-
> -talking-about-ron-paul- -talking-about-ron-paul-
> -the-globalists- -the-globalists- -the-globalists-
> -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da-
> .
> .

1914. Re: Tofino [Canada] Coast Guard Radio on 2054 kHz [USB] @ 0655 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 22:28:56 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd1a4d9$0$12495$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229841

On 05/16/2011 11:35 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 16, 9:40 am, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>> Tofino [Canada] Coast Guard Radio on 2054 kHz [USB] @ 0655 UTC
>>
>> Heard on 16 Mayo 2011
>>
>> Environment Canada ID @ 0655 UTC
>>
>> Vancouver Island Weather Wind& Waves Male Voice
>>
>> Weather Info Female Voice #1 0658 UTC
>>
>> Weather Info Female Voice #2 0658 UTC
>>
>> Tofino Coast Guard Radio "OUT" ID @ 0700 UTC
>> .
>> -about- Tofino, BC [Canada] Coast Guard Radio
>> * Canadian Coast Guard Informationhttp://www.ve7zsa.net/hf/coast_guard.htm
>> * Canadian Coast Guard Information
>> -source- World-Wide Utility Newshttp://www.wunclub.narod.ru/files/ccginfo.html
>>
>> List of Voice (USB) Maritime Weather
Transmissionshttp://www.hfunderground.com/wiki/Maritime_Weather_Transmissions
>>
>> NWS/USCG Frequencieshttp://www.ominous-valve.com/uscg-fax.txt
>>
>> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
>> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
>> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
>> .
>> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
>> .
>> - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
>> .
>> .
>
> Yesterday afternoon (about 2215) also heard Chesapeake Coastguard,
> NMN, Virginia on 12788 kHz USB really well. Gave them a phone call per
> their announced # and let them know their transmitter is working
> well :-)
>
> Bruce
FB OM

1915. Latin American SW Logs Page Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 22:29:37 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DD1A551.DD9E966F@milestones.com>
Source record: 229842

The Latin American SW Logs page has been updated as of 13 May:

http://www.mcdxt.it/LASWLOGS.html

dxAce
Michigan
USA

Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
70' and 200' wires
GE SR I (2) and II

239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the NASWA Country List.

And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of Technology & Design (Backwater U.).

They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.

1916. Re: Frisco, Frisco, Frisco!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 23:07:23 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <8d457711-58b5-4042-bdf6-977ae1fb3bf7@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229843

Dave -wrote-subject-line-
"Frisco, Frisco, Frisco!"
.
Dave : Your "Frisco, Frisco, Frisco!" reply is so
predictable and making you such a little 'dave'.
-dave-you-are-who-you-are-:-you-are-dave-

Dave Clearly Your Are 'In' A "Frisco" State-of-Mind.
-puff-that-medical-marijuana-dave-puff-puff-puff-

-while- I Enjoy a Natural {Organic} Clean Mountain
Air and Pure Mountain Water 'High' :o) ~ RHF
.
.
On May 16, 3:22 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> > D'OH ! ! ! -Dave How Does A 'Media Marketing Area'
> > Translate into "The Frisco-San Jose-Oakland Area"
> > =With=Respect=To= "Technical Culture"
>
> > 1st : San Francisco {NOT Frisco}
> > Dave You Have NO Culture
> > ?IF? You Call "San Francisco" : 'Frisco'
> > -thus-your-statement-has-'no'-value-
> > Deleting both : 'Frisco'& 'culture'
> > -it-reads- "The San Jose-Oakland area has pretty
> > much dominated the technical my whole life"
>
> > 2nd : I Repeat Myself
> > {When You Are Right You Are Right}
> > -rhf-right-on-!- -rhf-right-on-!-
> > .
> > Dave -fyi- 'Silicon Valley' is "Silicon Valley"
> > the Center of All Things Hi-Tech [Technical]
> > {The South Bay : SR84W-to-SR84E}
> > and Silicon Valley Ain't San Francisco [.]
> > SF is a Cesspool of Drugs, Crime, Sickness
> > {Aids}, Big City Payola and Political Corruption.
> > .
> > .

1917. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 23:14:20 UTC
From: DCI <50bjdk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0029c6cc-8f69-4dcb-8e86-28cfde772559@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 16, 11:35 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts, however I have been busy.
>
> Recently I found out bin laden was living in a cave on the outskirts of
> my city. So, me and a few good ole' buddies got a couple of six packs
> and went hunting. We found him. After, I put a bullet in his head; We
> brought the body back to my house. After killing the remaining beer we
> took a few pictures of burying him in an unmarked grave in my backyard.
>
> I am afraid the pictures are just too much for those here, or American
> citizens, to handle. So, we decided, as a group, not to release the
> pictures. And, allowing the examination of the body, by others, is not
> necessary -- you know you can trust me :-)
>
> No need to thank me ... I consider it just part of being a good
> American! As always, I will keep looking out for you and your best
> interests -- TRUST ME! <wolfish-grin>
>
> Regards,
> JS
>
> P.S. It has been absolutely confirmed we got the right guy, as just to
> be sure, we preformed a DNA test in my garage. See, any possible
> questions/arguments/doubts are unnecessary ... go back to sleep knowing
> you are in good hands!

Please, name of the beer?

DCI

1918. Re: Latest Liberty Net via WB4AIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 23:19:31 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <b372d468-04aa-4b6c-9908-72efef46bdc4@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229845

On May 14, 11:45 am, Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.st...@revilo-
oliver.com> wrote:
> Liberty Net: May 7, 2011
>
> http://3950.net/2011/05/liberty-net-may-7-2011/
>
> by Kevin Strom, WB4AIO
>
> THE LIBERTY NET continued its free speech traditions this week, with
> ideas and debates sometimes intense — and sometimes worthy of a
> modern-day School of Athens. If you'd like to join in, get an
> amateur radio license — they're easier to obtain than ever before —
> and add your voice to the check-ins.
>
> Here are just a few examples of what you can hear on this week's
> recording:
>
> • Police in some jurisdictions are seizing motorists' cell
> telephones during random traffic stops — and inspecting the personal
> data therein, all without a search warrant. Some freedom-minded
> citizens are responding by always carrying a throwaway phone that
> can be given up without giving up privacy. (6 minutes)
>
> • The Last Roundup, the radio program of N2SAG, one of the Liberty
> Net's leading participants, is now on 5110 kHz every Friday at 9PM
> Eastern (0100 UTC). (22 minutes)
>
> • Many are expressing astonishment at the Washington regime's
> multiple versions of the death of Osama bin Laden. Why, for example,
> was the unarmed bin Laden not captured, which would have given his
> captors considerable leverage with his many thousands of followers?
> (24 minutes)
>
> • One wag has quipped that the only difference between Osama and
> Obama is the letters "BS." (37 minutes)
>
> • The Pakistani military obviously trusted Osama bin Laden enough to
> allow him to build his residence 1,000 yards from one of their
> bases. But did they trust him enough to sell or give him nuclear
> material? (1 hour 14 minutes)
>
> • Why has the lily-White elite of Mexico — which is continually
> exporting its unwanted surplus Mestizo population to the US —
> recently purchased over 100 tons of gold? (1 hour 30 minutes)

>
> • All Americans should be loyal to the spirit and letter of the
> original Constitution of the Founding Fathers. But we should give no
> loyalty whatsoever to the successor regime in Washington which only
> pretends to be a continuation of the long-defunct original
> government of the United States. (1 hour 48 minutes)
>
> • Did you know that the Washington regime is now sending
> assassination drones not only to Syria, Afghanistan, Pakistan, and
> Iraq — but now also to Yemen? How many innocents must die as a
> result of these horrific murders — how many good people must end up
> as radicalized enemies of America — before these illegal wars and
> illegal murders are ended forever? (2 hours 57 minutes)
>
> • A new study has revealed that 47 per cent. of nearly all-Black
> Detroit's adult population is functionally illiterate. New York's
> Mayor Bloomberg thinks the solution to Detroit's decline is to
> import millions more Third World immigrants and require them to live
> in Detroit for five to ten years. (3 hours 2 minutes)
>
> Remember that you can find the Liberty Net on a clear frequency
> around 3950-4000 kHz LSB every Saturday night at 10PM Eastern Time,
> or you can listen live on the Internet via N2SAG or N2IRJ, or listen
> at your leisure via the mp3 files posted here athttp://3950.net
> every week.
>
> Thanks to W1WCR for running the Liberty Net, and thanks to N2IRJ and
> N2SAG for giving the net a great online presence.
>
> http://3950.net/2011/05/liberty-net-may-7-2011/
>
> --http://nationalvanguard.org/http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

KAS,

So the New Frequency is now 5110 kHz on Friday Nights
{Saturday Morning 0100 UTC ~ FRI 6 PM PDT}

Was the Liberty Net on 3975 kHz last Saturday Night ?
{Sunday Morning 0200 UTC ~ SAT 7 PM PDT}

~ RHF
.

1919. Re: Frisco, Frisco, Frisco!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 23:23:29 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DD1B1F1-1303@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229846

BULLY!

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=RAF's+wartime+reconnaissance+photos+go+online+in+new+archive+This+Britain+UK+The+Independent

BULLY! BULLY WOOLYYYYY,,,,,,
cuhulin

1920. Re: Frisco, Frisco, Frisco!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 23:33:22 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23872-4DD1B442-369@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229847

6:00 PM WJTV 12 tee vee noos.Melanie Christopher said Missy Sippy is no
longer the overweight State, I think that's what she said.

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Mississippi+is+no+longer+the+overweight+State+Melanie+Christopher+WJTV

WJTV 12 is running a Special news thingy about the Missy Sippy River
Flood, just now started at 6:30 PM.
Linda Allen, reporting from Vicksburg.
cuhulin

1921. Re: At least it ain't snowing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 23:39:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD1B5CC-4175@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229848

A young lady who works at the grocery store in Satartia,Missy Sippy, she said the store is doing a Land Slide business with the flood and stuff going on.More and more Tourist people coming to see the Mighty Missy Sippy River Flood, they are coming from Everywhere.The Flood is the Main Event.
cuhulin

1922. Re: Latest Liberty Net via WB4AIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-16 23:50:01 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105162350.UTC.iqsd7a$ua5$1@tioat.net>
Source record: 229849

On 5/16/2011 7:19 PM, RHF wrote:
[...]
>
> KAS,
>
> So the New Frequency is now 5110 kHz on Friday Nights
> {Saturday Morning 0100 UTC ~ FRI 6 PM PDT}
>

That's for The Last Roundup, of course, not the Liberty Net -- but, yes, that is what I was told. With WBCQ, though, things are always subject to change.

> Was the Liberty Net on 3975 kHz last Saturday Night ?
> {Sunday Morning 0200 UTC ~ SAT 7 PM PDT}
>
> ~ RHF
> .

Last Saturday they were on 3993 kHz; the week before that 3983 kHz. The best clear frequency is picked by W1WCR at the last minute before the net begins. They're usually somewhere between 3950 and the top of the band.

With every good wish,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

1923. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 00:22:47 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <5t-dnXMRcOpEIkzQnZ2dnVY3go2dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

"DCI" wrote in message
news:0029c6cc-8f69-4dcb-8e86-28cfde772559@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com...

Please, name of the beer?

Falstaff.

1924. ABC Australia [Domestic : VL8T] on 4910 kHz @ 0825 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 02:21:26 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <cae01420-0f06-45de-bc98-c686a12e2675@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229851

ABC Australia [Domestic : VL8T] on 4910 kHz @ 0825 UTC
on 15 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : "0" with SIO : 222 and weak fragmented audio.

Appeared to be a Sports Program with two Male Announcers
with Australian Accents : Line Drive; Up by 40; other sports
chatter ?Cricket? -then- the Signal Gone [OTA?] @ 0830 UTC
and nothing for another 15 Minutes of listening to dead air.

ABC 'Domestic' Shortwave Service to Northern Territories [NT]
4910kHz is listed as Tennant Creek. NT Australia
http://www.abc.net.au/reception/radio/shortwave.htm
Tennant Creek. NT [VL8T] have been heard on 2325 kHz {Days}
and 4910 kHz {Nights} ; but not on 3315 kHz ?
http://www.abc.net.au/corp/annual_reports/ar99/arhtml/transmitters.htm#doms
.
Here is a Cricket Match for : 2011 West Indies v Pakistan
West Indies Won by 40 Runs in Guyana National Stadium
http://www.abc.net.au/sport/all/app/?seriesId=2608&matchId=1&section=cricket&primaryChannel=Scorecard
.
-source- EiBi.De Lists
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
4910 kHz : 2130-0830 UTC
AUS : Australian Broadc.Co. : E : AUS : t
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

1925. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 02:21:32 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DD1DBAC-1348@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229852

Make your own Beer.devilfinder.com it.

New, federally mandated light bulbs will cost -- $50... each
http://www.drudgereport.com

BULLSHEET! Nienty Nine percent of the public will NOT pay for that
SHEET! There will be a Big Market for Heat Balls! If another 'Katrina'
hits, just you watch and see!
BULLSHEET!

Viva Las Vegas, startin on the TCM channel right now.One of them joints
in Las Vegas done closed down.Viva!
cuhulin

1926. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 02:37:33 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <60e73f97-93d0-446b-a957-a58cc6d19755@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 16, 5:22 pm, "Brenda Ann" <newsgro...@fullspectrumradio.org>
wrote:
> "DCI" wrote in message
>
> news:0029c6cc-8f69-4dcb-8e86-28cfde772559@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com...
>
> Please, name of the beer?
>
> ---
> ---

- Falstaff.

In Memory of Falstaff Beer and...
the Falstaff Brewing Corporation
http://www.falstaffbrewing.com/
SF Giants by the Bay !
? Cured Hams by Falstaff too !
http://www.falstaffbrewing.com/history-falstaff-brewing.htm
.
? What About 'Lucky Lager' and "Oly"
Olympia : It's The Water !
http://brewerygems.com/olympia.htm
.

1927. Re: Alex Jones don't smoke dope, looks weird to dave!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 03:14:00 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqsp5quok1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229854

On 5/16/2011 3:28 PM, dave wrote:
>> .
>
>

1928. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 03:15:26 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqsp8guok2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/16/2011 5:22 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
> "DCI" wrote in message
> news:0029c6cc-8f69-4dcb-8e86-28cfde772559@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Please, name of the beer?
>
>
>
> ---
>
> ---
>
>
> Falstaff.

Can we use obama money to buy it? :-)

Regards,
JS

1929. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 03:21:16 UTC
From: DCI <50bjdk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <f3a1e8be-21c6-4174-af53-4012ff3dab3d@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 16, 5:22 pm, "Brenda Ann" <newsgro...@fullspectrumradio.org>
wrote:
> "DCI" wrote in message
>
> news:0029c6cc-8f69-4dcb-8e86-28cfde772559@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com...
>
> Please, name of the beer?
>
> -- -----
> -- -----
>
> Falstaff.

Good! Meet you at the cave!

DCI

1930. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 03:22:15 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f9bdfda1-91ea-430a-989b-93db960f4ad1@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts

Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!

<snicker>

1931. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 03:22:34 UTC
From: DCI <50bjdk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5a956b68-7265-4c33-9121-b4dccdc6d091@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 16, 8:15 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/16/2011 5:22 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > "DCI" wrote in message
> >news:0029c6cc-8f69-4dcb-8e86-28cfde772559@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com...
>
> > Please, name of the beer?
>
> > ---------- -----
>
> > ---------- -----
>
> > Falstaff.
>
> Can we use obama money to buy it? :-)
>
> Regards,
> JS

The taxes would be far to excessive.

DCI

1932. Re: There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 03:42:40 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqsqriuok4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229859
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/16/2011 3:07 PM, Larry Whitaker wrote:

> The Republicans in Congress have refused to sign off on more
> borrowing. Everyone (except Obama) knows that will lead to disaster,
> just like a family paying off one credit card with a new one.
>
> Obama can't cut spending because those who are in the wagon rather
> than pulling the wagon elected him-- because Obama said he would give
> them our money. Any hope of reelection goes out the window when he
> fails to deliver on his promises to the "great unwashed."
>
> Obama is down to 37% and dropping like a stone. He got no "bump" from
> the phony order to get Ben Laden (using Bush's ideas.) Unemployment
> is going UP, the deficit is going UP, housing prices are going DOWN,
> and so is the incompetent moron who caused all of this.
>
> BTW, in the interim federal pensions may go unpaid. Better get a job.
>
> Larry

Man, I am already just rubbing my hands together and waiting for that "OBAMA MONEY!" Because, something happened and I didn't get any at the last giveaway! Indeed, they kept the time, place and date from me, to this very day! As far as I can tell, he never handed out any money, well, excepting to his buddies and cohorts ...

Regards,
JS

1933. Re: Frisco, Frisco, Frisco!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 03:42:46 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <07b1902a-13a4-4711-9f67-ecb6a1627593@l30g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229860

On May 16, 7:23 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> BULLY!
>
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=RAF's+wartime+reconnaissance+ph...
>
> BULLY! BULLY WOOLYYYYY,,,,,,
> cuhulin

Nice aereal shots of Peenemunde .

1934. Re: At least it ain't snowing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 03:50:56 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <ec056497-7c55-4824-ad41-021ced00d4d0@l30g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229861

On May 16, 7:39 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> A young lady who works at the grocery store in Satartia,Missy Sippy, she
> said the store is doing a Land Slide business with the flood and stuff
> going on.More and more Tourist people coming to see the Mighty Missy
> Sippy River Flood, they are coming from Everywhere.The Flood is the Main
> Event.
> cuhulin

The same thing happened over here,about a month after 9/11 . People
started coming from everywhere - just to see what was left (the
ruins) . Some of them where carrying flowers . . .

1935. Re: Frisco, Frisco, Frisco!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 03:51:04 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD1F0A8-4425@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229863

Peenemunde,,,, 'Heavy Water'.Or was that somewhere in Norway?
cuhulin

1936. Re: There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 03:53:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28987-4DD1F128-453@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229862

You gonna get free money!,,,,,,
cuhulin

1937. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 04:05:44 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DD1F418-1494@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229864

I remember a few old, old, old Beer commercials from the 1950s.
Hello Mello Jax,,,, little darlinnnn,,,,,, youuuuu arre the Beer for meeee,,,,,

What will you have? ///Pabst Blue Ribbon/// What will you have? ///Pabst Blue Ribbon/// What will you have? ///Pabst Blue Ribbon/// Pabst Blue Ribbon Beer, For the finest Beer served anywhere,,,,, finest Beer served anywhere, finest Beer served anywherrrre, Pabst Blue Ribbon Beeeeeer,,,,,,

I have an old advertising motorized Beer thingy.Plug it in a wall outlet and it lights up and revolves around and around.
I bought it at Goodwill many years ago.
cuhulin

1938. Re: There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 04:11:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqsshtuok10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/16/2011 8:53 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> You gonna get free money!,,,,,,
> cuhulin
>

I's awaitin', i's awaitin' ... gonna fill my pot with black beans,
cabbage and chitlins! :-)

Thar's voodoo magic in that "Magic Negros' Money!" "FREE" OBAMA MONEY!

But, just in case, I won't spend all my retirement and plan on obama
replacing it for me ... ROFLOL

But, he is hitting on congress right now! Looks like they might just
start up those presses for another go around and churn us up some "FREE"
OBAMA MONEY! (I just love to say that "FREE" OBAMA MONEY!)

Regards,
JS

1939. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 04:12:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqssk6uok11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229866

On 5/16/2011 9:05 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I remember a few old, old, old Beer commercials from the 1950s.
> Hello Mello Jax,,,, little darlinnnn,,,,,, youuuuu arre the Beer for
> meeee,,,,,
>
> What will you have? ///Pabst Blue Ribbon/// What will you have? ///Pabst
> Blue Ribbon/// What will you have? ///Pabst Blue Ribbon/// Pabst Blue
> Ribbon Beer, For the finest Beer served anywhere,,,,, finest Beer served
> anywhere, finest Beer served anywherrrre, Pabst Blue Ribbon
> Beeeeeer,,,,,,
>
> I have an old advertising motorized Beer thingy.Plug it in a wall outlet
> and it lights up and revolves around and around.
> I bought it at Goodwill many years ago.
> cuhulin
>

Are you a hoarder? You seem have just about one of everything!

Regards,
JS

1940. Re: Frisco, Frisco, Frisco!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 04:39:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD1FBFB-4444@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229867

No detail too small, Aerospace executive emphasizes.
http://www.clarionledger.com
(Business section)
cuhulin

1941. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 04:58:25 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23266603-c566-4717-8047-4283c2042a5b@u26g2000vby.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229868

On May 16, 9:23 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/15/2011 09:09 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > We have Shootin Irons, RESIST! and we know a lot of ''TRICKS'' that
> > Commie fed govt most likely doesn't know we know.RESIST!
> > Durn Tootin! ~ George ''Gabby'' Hayes.
> > cuhulin
>
> They have microwave cannons that make you want to jump out of your skin.

Another reason to purchase tin-foil protection clothes as soon as
possible !

1942. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 05:24:07 UTC
From: "Warren E. Harrison" <fuckwit's.daddy@shitbags.con>
Message-ID: <gp-dnZNMGqwRm0_QnZ2dnUVZ5u6dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/16/2011 8:22 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts
>
> Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!
>
> <snicker>

Obama would not, of course, have been born a citizen had he been born
abroad (which he may very well have been.)

1943. Sorry Farce! LOL! Sidwell Friends Sued for $10 Million by Cuckolded Dad ! LMAO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 06:11:59 UTC
From: FarceWatch3 <FarceWatch3@Comcast.net>
Message-ID: <iqt3jj$848$1@speranza.aioe.org>
Source record: 229870
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,alt.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

Sidwell Friends Sued for $10 Million by Cuckolded Dad

http://www.washingtonian.com/blogarticles/people/capitalcomment/19428.html

http://www.nytimes.com/2011/05/13/education/13sidwell.html?_r=1&adxnnl=1&partner=rss&emc=rss&pagewanted=print&adxnnlx=1305576026-aj7WmsfhnNJznvcMAgluow

Sorry Farce! LOL!

1944. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 08:20:44 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <21e2ca27-fb6e-470e-882b-b4ff13e5839c@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229871

On May 16, 9:05 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> I remember a few old, old, old Beer commercials from the 1950s.
> Hello Mello Jax,,,, little darlinnnn,,,,,, youuuuu arre the Beer for
> meeee,,,,,
>
> What will you have? ///Pabst Blue Ribbon/// What will you have? ///Pabst
> Blue Ribbon/// What will you have? ///Pabst Blue Ribbon/// Pabst Blue
> Ribbon Beer, For the finest Beer served anywhere,,,,, finest Beer served
> anywhere, finest Beer served anywherrrre, Pabst Blue Ribbon
> Beeeeeer,,,,,,
>
> I have an old advertising motorized Beer thingy.Plug it in a wall outlet
> and it lights up and revolves around and around.
> I bought it at Goodwill many years ago.
> cuhulin

From the Land of Sky Blue Waters . . .
Hamm's the Beer Refreshing !
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RAM_Dz5Yx0k

* Hamm's Beer Scene-A-Rama Motion Sign
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sos2a8nSBdg
.

1945. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 08:24:45 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <8a1ea1af-2071-484f-bc4c-fe7d103287bb@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229872

On May 16, 9:58 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 16, 9:23 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > On 05/15/2011 09:09 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > We have Shootin Irons, RESIST! and we know a lot of ''TRICKS'' that
> > > Commie fed govt most likely doesn't know we know.RESIST!
> > > Durn Tootin! ~ George ''Gabby'' Hayes.
> > > cuhulin
>
- - They have microwave cannons that make you
- - want to jump out of your skin.

- Another reason to purchase tin-foil protection
- clothes as soon as possible !

NAH - Dave does Micro-Wave Popped Hemp Seeds
and does all his Jumping In His Skin !
.

1946. Re: There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 08:31:57 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <69fd8865-8de7-4c20-a3cc-1c5088cfd2b1@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229873
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 16, 8:42 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/16/2011 3:07 PM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > The Republicans in Congress have refused to sign off on more
> > borrowing. Everyone (except Obama) knows that will lead to disaster,
> > just like a family paying off one credit card with a new one.
>
> > Obama can't cut spending because those who are in the wagon rather
> > than pulling the wagon elected him-- because Obama said he would give
> > them our money. Any hope of reelection goes out the window when he
> > fails to deliver on his promises to the "great unwashed."
>
> > Obama is down to 37% and dropping like a stone. He got no "bump" from
> > the phony order to get Ben Laden (using Bush's ideas.) Unemployment
> > is going UP, the deficit is going UP, housing prices are going DOWN,
> > and so is the incompetent moron who caused all of this.
>
> > BTW, in the interim federal pensions may go unpaid. Better get a job.
>
> > Larry
>
> Man, I am already just rubbing my hands together and waiting for that
> "OBAMA MONEY!" Because, something happened and I didn't get any at the
> last giveaway! Indeed, they kept the time, place and date from me, to
> this very day! As far as I can tell, he never handed out any money,
> well, excepting to his buddies and cohorts ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Obama-Money Has 100% Tax Built Into It !

As Soon As Prez Obama Thinks of Giving It To You :
It Is 100% Taxed Away : As 'If' You Never Got It !

Yet That's The Magic of Obama-Money ! :o)
-it's-the-thought-that-counts-
-it's-the-tax-that-just-keeps-adding-up-
.

1947. Re: At least it ain't snowing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 08:33:02 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ce79cb87-f05f-48e9-ab3b-8db5ed3ff8a5@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229874

On May 16, 8:50 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 16, 7:39 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > A young lady who works at the grocery store in Satartia,Missy Sippy, she
> > said the store is doing a Land Slide business with the flood and stuff
> > going on.More and more Tourist people coming to see the Mighty Missy
> > Sippy River Flood, they are coming from Everywhere.The Flood is the Main
> > Event.
> > cuhulin
>
> The same thing happened over here,about a month after 9/11 . People
> started coming from everywhere - just to see what was left (the
> ruins) . Some of them where carrying flowers . . .

? Not Afghan Poppies !
.

1948. Re: Alex Jones don't smoke dope, looks weird to dave!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 08:40:22 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <e0b450e1-a7ef-47b4-96ab-e87d11442a1a@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229875

On May 16, 8:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/16/2011 3:28 PM, dave wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > >> .

Dave Sees Alex Jones as a Mirror
of His Inner-Self Incarnate !
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hj2e9hi9Qss
.

1949. Re: At least it ain't snowing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 08:44:59 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <87ce8669-eb44-4238-a01b-22afe6cfdf6d@hg8g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229876

On May 17, 4:33 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 16, 8:50 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > On May 16, 7:39 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > A young lady who works at the grocery store in Satartia,Missy Sippy, she
> > > said the store is doing a Land Slide business with the flood and stuff
> > > going on.More and more Tourist people coming to see the Mighty Missy
> > > Sippy River Flood, they are coming from Everywhere.The Flood is the Main
> > > Event.
> > > cuhulin
>
> > The same thing happened over here,about a month after 9/11 . People
> > started coming from everywhere - just to see what was left (the
> > ruins) . Some of them where carrying flowers . . .
>
> ? Not Afghan Poppies !
> .

These are usually used to make bagels more edible . For real .

1950. WBCQ 'The Planet' on 7415 kHz with the QSO Radio Show @0900 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 09:16:59 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1a3b3ed5-cbc3-4dc9-8621-5802106bee9e@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229877

WBCQ 'The Planet' on 7415 kHz with the "QSO Radio Show @0900 UTC
on 15 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S9 to +10dB with SIO 545 and Good Audio

Hearing MV doing Music-by-Request :
* Turn Around Look At Me
* If Not For You by ONJ for Darlene
* Love Can Make You Happy for Debbie
ID : QSO Radio Show with Ted Randall
.
WBCQ Program Guide for 7415 kHz
QSO Radio Show : Saturday : 7415 kHz
06:00 PM - 12:00 AM ET : 2200 - 0400 UTC
http://schedule.wbcq.com/main.php?fn=sked&freq=7415
.
WBCQ 'The Planet' Shortwave Radio Station on
5110 kHz, 7415 kHz, 9330 kHz, and 15420 kHz
http://www.wbcq.com/
.
WBCQ 'The Planet' from Monticello, Maine, USA
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WBCQ_%28SW%29
.
QSO Radio Show with Ted Randall
http://www.tedrandall.com/
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

1951. Arabic Prayers on 9680 kHz @ 1050 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 09:42:44 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <b5c31ab4-f5b4-4896-afc8-538e9703c61b@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229878

Hearing Arabic Prayers on 9680 kHz @ 1050 UTC
on 13 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 545 and Very Good Audio

Followed by Indonesian? Music @ 1054 UTC
-but- No Actual ID of "RRI"
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
9680 kHz : 2200-1500 UTC
INS : Radio Rep. Indonesia 4 : IN : INS : j
.
Radio Republik Indonesia (RRI) from Jakarta, Indonesia
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Republik_Indonesia
* Voice of Indonesia (VOI)
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voice_of_Indonesia

yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

1952. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 10:00:43 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229879
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> joeturn wrote:
> > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >> wrote:
>
> >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >>>> wrote:
>
> >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>
> >>>>> ...
> >>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> >>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> >>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> >>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> >>>>> astounding.
>
> >>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> >>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> >>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> >>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> >>>>> ...
>
> >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>
> >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> >>>> actual evidence.
>
> >>> Wild speculation?
>
> >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>
> >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> >>> use?
>
> >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.

> >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>
> >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> >>> occurring today, is in error?
>
> >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> >> evidence?
>
> >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>
> >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> >> vanishes?
>
> >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> >>> based in reality!
>
> >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>
> and on nobody else's?
>
> It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
go.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g

1953. Re: Latest Liberty Net via WB4AIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 10:05:49 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <46f3c132-89b1-4fb2-b468-087623fecbec@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229880

On May 16, 4:50 pm, Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.st...@revilo-oliver.com>
wrote:
> On 5/16/2011 7:19 PM, RHF wrote:
> [...]
>
>
>
> > KAS,
>
> > So the New Frequency is now 5110 kHz on Friday Nights
> > {Saturday Morning 0100 UTC ~ FRI 6 PM PDT}
>
> That's for The Last Roundup, of course, not the Liberty Net -- but,
> yes, that is what I was told. With WBCQ, though, things are always
> subject to change.
>
> > Was the Liberty Net on 3975 kHz last Saturday Night ?
> > {Sunday Morning 0200 UTC ~ SAT 7 PM PDT}
>
> > ~ RHF
> > .
>
> Last Saturday they were on 3993 kHz; the week before that 3983 kHz.
> The best clear frequency is picked by W1WCR at the last minute
> before the net begins. They're usually somewhere between 3950 and
> the top of the band.
>
> With every good wish,
>
> Kevin, WB4AIO.
> --http://nationalvanguard.org/http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

OK so here it is -my-notes- 15 Mayo 2011
3993 kHz @ 0615 UTC
GLN + Fed Reser Notes
W1WCR + DK
-followed-by-
4426 kHz Ocean WX / MV
-followed-by-
4840 kHz Tech Talk @ 0628 UTC
Moto Xoom Tablet
Into Tommorrow ID @ 0629 & 0631 UTC
IAVA.Org Adz @ 0629 UTC
Dave Graveline ID @ 0630 UTC

-followed-by-
4885 kHz with Latin 'Jazz' Music {Brazilian?}
.
.

1954. WWCR on 4840 kHz "Into Tomorrow" {Tech Talk} @ 0628 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 10:30:00 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <f9ffcff9-fa89-41be-976d-f79b037e36bf@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229881

WWCR on 4840 kHz "Into Tomorrow" {Tech Talk} @ 0628 UTC
on 15 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : +10dB to +20dB with SIO 555 and Very Good Audio

Topic : Moto Xoom Tablet {Tech Talk}
Into Tomorrow ID @ 0629 & 0631 UTC
IAVA.Org Adz @ 0629 UTC
Dave Graveline ID @ 0630 UTC
.
"Into Tomorrow" -host- Dave Graveline
http://www.graveline.com/radiostations.html
.
The "Into Tomorrow" Show covers the Latest in
Consumer Electronics & Technology available
Today and … Into Tomorrow.
http://www.graveline.com/aboutit.html
.
WWCR Shortwave Radio Program Guide
http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
WWCR -from- Nashville, Tennessee, USA
http://www.wwcr.com/
.
Saturdays : 06:00~7:00 UTC on 4840 kHz {WWCR}
Into Tomorrow {Tech & Toys News} -host- Dave Graveline
.
Sundays : 05:00~7:00 UTC on 4840 kHz {WWCR}
Into Tomorrow {Tech & Toys News} -host- Dave Graveline
.
Local AM & FM Radio Stations in the USA that carry
Into Tomorrow {Tech & Toys News} -host- Dave Graveline
http://www.graveline.com/radiostations.html
Plus XM & SIRIUS Satellite Radio
Also American Forces Network [AFN] and the
http://www.graveline.com/remotes/afn.html
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.

.

1955. Hearing Latin 'Jazz' Music {Brazilian?} on 4885 kHz @ 0642 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 10:53:47 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <bc134f02-364f-48f7-bb32-708ae4303dd4@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229882

Hearing Latin 'Jazz' Music {Brazilian?} on 4885 kHz @ 0642 UTC
on 15 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S5~S7 with SIO 444 and good audio

EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
4885 kHz : 0000-2400 UTC {24/7}
B : Radio Clube do Pará : P : B : be
in Portuguese to Brazil from Belem
.
-most-likely-based-on-prior-report-for-4885-khz-
Rádio Clube do Pará on 4885 kHz @ 06:25 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ac9b273d746c189
-also-reported-
Hearing Portuguese on 4885 kHz @ 0905 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/fdb3d6bfeb152ec5
.
Rádio Clube do Pará - Belem (Para) Brazil
http://www.radioclubedopara.com.br/
Broadcasts both in the AM/MW Band on 690 lHz
and Shortwave Tropical Band at 4885 kHz.
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ac9b273d746c189e
.
Belem (Para) North-Eastern Brazil : 'Amazonas'
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bel%C3%A9m
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

1956. BOLLYWOOD ACTRESES HOT VIDOES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 11:16:45 UTC
From: LPWS <3ghfhfffgg@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <76a0cb6c-7dab-498b-92cf-b8864fddfaec@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229883

for hot videos
http://verysexyhotvideos.blogspot.com/

hot lip kiss videos
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/hot-lip-kiss-videos.html

super hot lip kiss
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/super-hot-lip-kiss.html

jobs
http://alljobsaywhere.blogspot.com/

games

GAME 1
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-1.html
GAME 2
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-2.html

mobile

mobile games free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-games-free-download.html

mobile ringtones free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-ringtones-free-download.html

1957. Male Voice in English on 3290 kHz @ 0545 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 11:45:23 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <c93857d5-8021-459e-83e1-25bb8d11dd93@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229884

Male Voice in English on 3290 kHz @ 0545 UTC
on 15 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S1~S2 and SIO 222 with weak audio
-faint-voice-in-the-band-noise-
-fraction-better-than-mumbles-
.
Most likely "Voice of Guyana" based on prior reports :
BBC News on 3290 kHz @ 0715 UTC -via- Voice of Guyana
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/61f487079f4aff62
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8c8792aad88b95ab
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
3290 kHz : 0800 - 0400 UTC
GUY : Voice of Guyana : E : GUY
.
-for-what-ever-reason-noted-
3312 kHz [USB] Eng Comms ? @ 0548 UTC
.
yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

1958. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 12:08:59 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ce2c0254-6499-4d53-a02f-18c0e4ac561c@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229885
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 16, 8:21 am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
rage:

> This remains undisputed.

It remains unsupported.

> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

Not one supporting fact has been presented.

> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.

That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are not
very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
disproven 9/11 clalims.

For instance, you have yet to NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that
is incorrect.

You cannot even find a TYPO in it let alone an error of fact.

You are exposed as a lying bushkultie.

1959. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 12:16:48 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <6op4t6pia1bbku2mf9bmj8d9suh9l9auai@4ax.com>
Source record: 229886
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

It's a study in treachery.

They're all too weak to compete honestly/fairly.

The Bush crime family of treacherous wimps has
never been on the same side as the USA.

ObL couldn't affect the USA's air defense system.

Cheney did.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them

eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues,

"When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

This remains undisputed.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.

1960. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be other than an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND IARNROD WHO * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM THE PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS OF THE NIST REPORT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 12:18:27 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <mpp4t61bg42k9ql0mvn7p1ocv7936itgpb@4ax.com>
Source record: 229888
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

It's a study in treachery.

They're all too weak to compete honestly/fairly.

The Bush crime family of treacherous wimps has
never been on the same side as the USA.

ObL couldn't affect the USA's air defense system.

Cheney did.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the President could not overcome the resistance to "Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues,
"When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

This remains undisputed.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.

1961. REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 12:18:31 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <5db3c1b4-6d72-4f6c-bc2d-e449bf15f79f@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229887

REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550 UTC
on 15 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S7~S9 and SIO 444 with good audio

Heard Male Voice in Spanish @ 0550 UTC
and Female Voice "REE" ID @ 0555 UTC
.
Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) via Costa Rica
http://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/
.
Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) Frequencies & Times
http://www.rtve.es/contenidos/documentos/frecuencias032011.pdf
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
3350 kHz : 0200-0600 UTC
E : Radio Exterior España : S : CAm : /CTR
Spain REE in Spanish to Central America via Costa Rica
.
-other- Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) -reports-
* Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:27 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b36b8d3ae9db114e
* Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:50 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9a0e75b3965be553
* Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 17850 kHz @ 21:05 UTC {Soccer}
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dace9126350a80f3
-note- REE Shortwave Transmitter Site is near
Cariari de Pococi, Costa Rica, Central America
.
yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

1962. The Obama {Chicago Style} Crime Family incorporating the Clinton Arkansas Mafia has supplanted the Bush-Cheney 'Axis-of-Evil' Crime Family

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 12:36:52 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <87d8e610-7964-4efb-9434-bbfacba535f8@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229889
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 17, 5:16 am, * US * wrote:
> It's a study in treachery.
>
> They're all too weak to compete honestly/fairly.
>
> The Bush crime family of treacherous wimps has
> never been on the same side as the USA.
>
> ObL couldn't affect the USA's air defense system.
>
> Cheney did.
>
> ""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
> every occasion its enemies attack it.
>
> "I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
> which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
> bin Laden's statement said."
>
> http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden...
>
> Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
> disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.
>
> Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:
>
> "the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
> Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"
>
> http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-v...
>
> Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.
>
> On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
> <iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:
>
> >...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
> >shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
> >...have to run away ... can't name one...
>
> You do have problems.
>

> Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
> that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.
>
> >...cannot NAME ONE...
>
> Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
> that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.
>
> So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
> trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?
>
> >kooker...
>
> That is your best excuse.
>
> >...Hates US
>
> Of course you hate America when you insist that those
> who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
> according to the rule of law.
>
> Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
> admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
> by your fascist masters.
>
> >... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
> >As always.
>
> >Still.
>
> Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
> that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.
>
> >Not one ...
>
> Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
> that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.
>
> The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.
>
> On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
> <iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:
>
> >... admitted
> >doing 9/11...
>
> Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?
>
> <cricket.wav>

>
> >... run away again ...
>
> You always do.
>
> The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.
>
> The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
> assassinations to trials.
>
> It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.
>
> The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.
>
> The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.
>
> The bushkultie is a mere dupe.
>
> The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.
>
> Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.
>
> The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
> offshore accounts.
>
> The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.
>
> "...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."
>
> http://www.oilempire.us/911.html
>
> Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.
>
> On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkei...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >ROTFLMAO...
>
> Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.
>
> >... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
> >fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
> >stupidity.
>
> It's not about you.
>
> On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkei...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...
>
> You do have problems.
>
> On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves

> Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent
> bushkultie kooker rage:
>
> >... hero Bush ...
>
> You do have problems.
>
> The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
> 'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:
>
> On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves
> Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in
> impotent bushkultie kooker rage:
>
> >... anal-sex-craving ...
>
> You do have problems.
>
> 9/11 was an inside job.
>
> On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
> <iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:
>
> >... inability to NAME ONE ...
>
> Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
> from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.
>
> No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
> that Bush and Cheney had.
>
> On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
> <iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:
>
> >... avoid dealing with the
> >reality ...
>
> Of course you do.
>
> You don't have one contradictory fact.
>
> All this remains undisputed, of course:
>
> http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm
>
> On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
> <iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:
>
> >... inability...
>

> You do have problems. That was already obvious.
>
> The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:
>
> "Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
> in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"
>
> http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden...
>
> The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.
>
> See also:
>
> Huge problems with the NIST report:
>
> http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.htmlhttp://911research.wtc7.net/essa
ys/nist/index_0.98.html
>
> See also:
>
> http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm
>
> And:
>
> http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/
>
> Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
> pretend that this isn't public knowledge.
>
> No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
> and Cheney aren't really nice guys.
>
> Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.
>
> The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
> nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
> traitors and war criminals.
>
> All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On
> Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded
> <iarn...@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:
>
> >... inability to NAME ONE...
>
> Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
> for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.
>
> On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...
>

> You do have problems. It's not about you, though.
>
> On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
> <iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:
>
> >... very amusing, I ....
>
> You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.
>
> It's not about you, though.
>
> On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesf...@cogeco.ca> failed:
>
> >...my_ killfile !!!
>
> You imagine anyone cares?
>
> How absurd.
>
> Meanwhile:
>
> On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkei...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >... adn ... scared ...
> >... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.
>
> It's not about you, though.
>
> On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >...never thought ...
>
> You seem unable to do that.
>
> Here - learn some real history:
>
> http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.htmlhttp://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harb...http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf
>
> "If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would
> be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in
> positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event
> actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what
> happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one
> that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most
> unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single
> defense procedure in operation."
>
> http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html
>

> The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.
>
> That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.
>
> It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.
>
> The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it,
> indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US
> government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the
> east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by
> escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal
about
> the situation considered that excessive.
>
> "The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received
> word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before
> Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from
> commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state
> of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to...
>
> read more »

Obama is Related to Cheney
-and-
Cheney is Related to Bush

Obama is Nixon and Nixon is Obama
-and-
Obama is Reagan and Reagan is Obama

The Obama {Chicago Style} Crime Family
incorporating the Clinton Arkansas Mafia
has supplanted the Bush-Cheney 'Axis-of-Evil'
Crime Family.

The Obama-Regime The Most Corrupt in 21st
Century US History : The Wall Street Insider
Mega-Thieves Bankrupting America

Join 'The Cult of The-Obama'
-show-me-your-obama-payola-money-
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f9249bfca2
.
Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
-obama-payola-buying-an-election-
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.republicans/msg/ba85931d75e20340
.
.

1963. ODXA Guide : "Target Listening by Country" of April 2011

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 12:49:23 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <691f2341-267b-4c7f-9065-0a35a6052703@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229890

ODXA Guide : "Target Listening by Country" of April 2011
.
http://www.odxa.on.ca/TLbyCountryApr11.pdf
.
-source- ODXA -by- Harold Sellers
.
The Ontario DX Association (ODXA)
http://www.odxa.on.ca/about.html
.
ODXA Shortwave Radio Listener's "Guides"
http://www.odxa.on.ca/listeningin/index.html
.
.
yes - you too can hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

1964. CFRX on 6070 kHz "News Talk 1010" @ 0705 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 13:08:10 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <626da2a6-22c6-428b-a9d5-9a416c6842c9@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229891

CFRX on 6070 kHz "News Talk 1010" @ 0705 UTC

S-Meter : S3~S8 with SIO 444 and good audio
on 15 March 2011

6070 kHz – CFRX – 1,000 Watts [1 KW] is a simulcast
of 1010 kHz - CFRB with 50 KW -via- Toronto, ON
http://www.cfrx.webs.com/

Toronto Weather Report and 101 FM "The Farm" ID
@ 0707 UTC followed by a Story on Blowing a Hole
in the Dike due to Flooding of Canadian Rivers
.
CFRB/CFRX Radio Reception Reports
http://cfrx.webs.com/toreceiveaqslcard.htm
How To Receive a QSL Card from CFRB/CFRX
.
-prior-report-for- CFRX :
* CFRX on 6070 kHz "News Talk 1010" @ 0523 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/1f4364bd14a79a31
.
Are You Ready for "The Canadian {Shortwave*} Challenge
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/a5b23822564f40ba
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

1965. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 13:44:19 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <btu4t6p8dlk4d574p899jb8qqhb5am6j7m@4ax.com>
Source record: 229892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On Mon, 16 May 2011 22:24:07 -0700, "Warren E. Harrison"
<fuckwit's.daddy@shitbags.con> wrote:

>Obama would not, of course, have been born a citizen had he been born
>abroad (which he may very well have been.)

He was born a guy.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

1966. Re: The Obama {Chicago Style} Crime Family incorporating the Clinton Arkansas Mafia has supplanted the Bush-Cheney 'Axis-of-Evil' Crime Family

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 14:08:55 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ec3362d1-aa8c-440d-b3d2-00132cdb31f3@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229893
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 17, 5:36 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:

- Obama is Related to Cheney
- -and-
- Cheney is Related to Bush
-
- Obama is Nixon and Nixon is Obama
- -and-
- Obama is Reagan and Reagan is Obama
-
- The Obama {Chicago Style} Crime Family
- incorporating the Clinton Arkansas Mafia
- has supplanted the Bush-Cheney 'Axis-of-Evil'
- Crime Family.
-
- The Obama-Regime The Most Corrupt in 21st
- Century US History : The Wall Street Insider
- Mega-Thieves Bankrupting America
-
- Join 'The Cult of The-Obama'
- *-show-me-your-obama-payola-money-
- http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f924...
- .
- Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
- *-obama-payola-buying-an-election-
- http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.republicans/msg/ba85931d7...
- .
- .

.
ECU RED
http://www.radiohc.cu/images/utiles/ecured.png
.
The Mark of The Obama : $6.66 Gasoline
http://www.mannahattamamma.com/S-RoundObamaSymbol.gif
.

1967. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 14:10:26 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD281D2-4629@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229894

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Famous+old+Beer+commercials

I remember some of them.The first two I previously mentioned, I didn't need to look them up.I quit the booze a few years ago, I lost weight too.
cuhulin, Beer Commercials R US

1968. Re: At least it ain't snowing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 14:36:31 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD287EF-4649@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229895

If you are goinnnnnn, to Sannnn Frannnciscoooo,,,,,,, be sure to wearrre
a Flowerr innnn yourr hairrrrr,,,,,,,

San Francisco,,,,,,
open them Golden Gates,,,,,,

Rice A Roni,,,, DING DING, the San Francisco treat,,,,, DING DING, saute
and simmer,,,,, for a taste that can't be beat,,,,,, DING DING,,,,,,,,

When I was in San Francisco in January 1964, I bought a soft cover book
of naked women at a store.Somebody in Vietnam stold my book.
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307782

Let The Revolution Begin!
cuhulin

1969. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 14:45:59 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD28A27-4653@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229896

Wouldn't a mirror reflect that microwave back on them? or something
else? 'reflective paint'?
cuhulin

1970. Re: Frisco, Frisco, Frisco!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 14:56:01 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD28C81-4658@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229897

1950s, I read something about Heavy Water in World War Two in Norway.

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Heavy+Water+Production+World+War+Two
cuhulin

1971. Re: ABC Australia [Domestic : VL8T] on 4910 kHz @ 0825 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 15:04:48 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bb3cfb8d-c5d8-4225-840b-8415d84d19ab@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229898

On May 16, 7:21 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> ABC Australia [Domestic : VL8T] on 4910 kHz @ 0825 UTC
> on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : "0" with SIO : 222 and weak fragmented audio.
>
> Appeared to be a Sports Program with two Male Announcers
> with Australian Accents : Line Drive; Up by 40; other sports
> chatter ?Cricket? -then- the Signal Gone [OTA?] @ 0830 UTC
> and nothing for another 15 Minutes of listening to dead air.
>
> ABC 'Domestic' Shortwave Service to Northern Territories [NT]
> 4910kHz is listed as Tennant Creek. NT
Australiahttp://www.abc.net.au/reception/radio/shortwave.htm
> Tennant Creek. NT [VL8T] have been heard on 2325 kHz {Days}
> and 4910 kHz {Nights} ; but not on 3315 kHz
?http://www.abc.net.au/corp/annual_reports/ar99/arhtml/transmitters.ht...
> .
> Here is a Cricket Match for : 2011 West Indies v Pakistan
> West Indies Won by 40 Runs in Guyana National
Stadiumhttp://www.abc.net.au/sport/all/app/?seriesId=2608&matchId=1§ion=...
> .
> -source- EiBi.De Listshttp://www.eibi.de.vu/
> 4910 kHz : 2130-0830 UTC
> AUS : Australian Broadc.Co. : E : AUS : t
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

At that time of day, may be parallel to other ABC outlets that are
much more audible. I hear ABC sports shows on the higher freqs that
time of day often. Sports shows, like rugby or cricket, are an almost
dead giveaway.

Bruce Jensen

1972. RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 15:06:08 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <0ab533b5-bc87-4eef-ad6d-c0a30906e7c7@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229899

RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC
on 15 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : +20dB to +40dB with SIO 555 and Very Good Audio
.
"Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro RHC Science Editor
"Breakthrough" is the RHC Science 'Tech' Program
in English with host Arnie Coro
http://www.radiohc.org/Distributions/Dxers/bk00-1203.html
* Solar Powered Rural Water Pump not using Batteries
* Tri-Power Light Houses Solar + Wind + Diesel Electric
* Solar Power for Rural Schools
-followed-by-
Song @ 0656 "Take It To The Top" Cuba/Spanish Music
-followed-by-
RHC Music ID @ 0700 [TOH] with Esperanto Language
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Esperanto
.
Radio Habana Cuba [RHC]
http://www.radiohc.cu/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Havana_Cuba
.
Radio Habana Cuba [RHC] Frequencies
http://www.radiohc.cu/index.php/de-interes/frecuencias.html
-source- BCL News .It -for- RHC Schedule
http://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/habana.htm
Transmissiones en Ingles -to- San Francisco
6010 kHz @ 0500-0700 UTC
Transmissiones en Esperanto -to- San Francisco
6010 kHz @ 0700-0730 UTC
.
-about- Arnie Coro
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arnie_Coro
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
6010 kHz : 0500-0700 UTC
CUB : Radio Habana Cuba : E : WNA {English}
6010kHz : 0700-0730 UTC : Su {Sunday}
CUB : Radio Habana Cuba : EO : WNA {Esperanto}
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^

.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

1973. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 15:24:14 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD2931E-4678@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229900

Ahhhhhnold's love child.
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307784
cuhulin

1974. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 15:39:21 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <iqu4ra$a1p$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/16/11 18:14 , DCI wrote:
> On May 16, 11:35 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts, however I have been busy.
>>
>> Recently I found out bin laden was living in a cave on the outskirts of
>> my city. So, me and a few good ole' buddies got a couple of six packs
>> and went hunting. We found him. After, I put a bullet in his head; We
>> brought the body back to my house. After killing the remaining beer we
>> took a few pictures of burying him in an unmarked grave in my backyard.
>>
>> I am afraid the pictures are just too much for those here, or American
>> citizens, to handle. So, we decided, as a group, not to release the
>> pictures. And, allowing the examination of the body, by others, is not
>> necessary -- you know you can trust me :-)
>>
>> No need to thank me ... I consider it just part of being a good
>> American! As always, I will keep looking out for you and your best
>> interests -- TRUST ME!<wolfish-grin>
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>>
>> P.S. It has been absolutely confirmed we got the right guy, as just to
>> be sure, we preformed a DNA test in my garage. See, any possible
>> questions/arguments/doubts are unnecessary ... go back to sleep knowing
>> you are in good hands!
>
> Please, name of the beer?
>
> DCI

Pearl.

1975. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be other than an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND IARNROD WHO * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM THE PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS OF THE NIST REPORT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 15:44:06 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <ds55t613knn8i1l3jj9dph3rqp1islc83e@4ax.com>
Source record: 229902
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

It's a study in treachery.

They're all too weak to compete honestly/fairly.

The Bush crime family of treacherous wimps has
never been on the same side as the USA.

ObL couldn't affect the USA's air defense system.

Cheney did.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues,
"When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

This remains undisputed.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.

Bush and Cheney are fascists, traitors, and war criminals,
and electronic 'voting' will keep putting such into office.

1976. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 16:15:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DD29F1C-1564@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229903

I think I remember an old Pearl Beer commercial.It's kind of fuzzy in my brain box right now.I am not going to cheat and look it up, it will maybe come to me after a while.

Hey, doggy and I have to watch Tugboat Annie on TCM, crankin up right now.
cuhulin, Lets me think

1977. Re: RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 16:32:11 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <a5501fc9-3c5e-4bd9-9c64-7bd86a3955d1@gu8g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229904

I'd like to work CO2KK (Arnie Coro) one of these days. I'm surprised
I've never heard him. I wonder if he's on the air very much.

1978. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 16:32:56 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqu7vpemd4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229905

On 5/16/2011 9:58 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 16, 9:23 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 05/15/2011 09:09 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>> We have Shootin Irons, RESIST! and we know a lot of ''TRICKS'' that
>>> Commie fed govt most likely doesn't know we know.RESIST!
>>> Durn Tootin! ~ George ''Gabby'' Hayes.
>>> cuhulin
>> They have microwave cannons that make you want to jump out of your skin.
> Another reason to purchase tin-foil protection clothes as soon as
> possible !

A reflector would be better, just reflect the beam back at them ...

Regards,
JS

1979. Re: RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 16:35:45 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqu852emd5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229906

On 5/17/2011 8:06 AM, RHF wrote:
> RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC
> on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : +20dB to +40dB with SIO 555 and Very Good Audio
> .
> "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro RHC Science Editor
> "Breakthrough" is the RHC Science 'Tech' Program
> in English with host Arnie Coro
> http://www.radiohc.org/Distributions/Dxers/bk00-1203.html
> * Solar Powered Rural Water Pump not using Batteries
> * Tri-Power Light Houses Solar + Wind + Diesel Electric
> * Solar Power for Rural Schools
> -followed-by-
> Song @ 0656 "Take It To The Top" Cuba/Spanish Music
> -followed-by-
> RHC Music ID @ 0700 [TOH] with Esperanto Language
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Esperanto
> .
> Radio Habana Cuba [RHC]
> http://www.radiohc.cu/
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Havana_Cuba
> .
> Radio Habana Cuba [RHC] Frequencies
> http://www.radiohc.cu/index.php/de-interes/frecuencias.html
> -source- BCL News .It -for- RHC Schedule
> http://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/habana.htm
> Transmissiones en Ingles -to- San Francisco
> 6010 kHz @ 0500-0700 UTC
> Transmissiones en Esperanto -to- San Francisco
> 6010 kHz @ 0700-0730 UTC
> .
> -about- Arnie Coro
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arnie_Coro
> .
> EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
> http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> 6010 kHz : 0500-0700 UTC
> CUB : Radio Habana Cuba : E : WNA {English}
> 6010kHz : 0700-0730 UTC : Su {Sunday}
> CUB : Radio Habana Cuba : EO : WNA {Esperanto}
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog

> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
> http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

I wonder just how old Arnie is? I remember listening to him in high
school or college. I think I was probably listening to him before then!

I could hardly wait to get his list of recommended stations, antenna
projects, etc.

He is an icon of SW for me ...

Regards,
JS

1980. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 16:40:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DD2A4E7-1570@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229907

Coast Guard closes Mississippi River at Natchez.
http://www.drudgereport.com

The article links to WWL N'Awlins.In a straight line, Natchez is about 54 miles Southwest of doggy's couch.That divorced woman (she works for AT&T) who used to live next door to me, she lives in Natchez.
cuhulin

1981. Re: RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 16:58:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD2A91F-4706@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229908

I want to 'work' Lindsay Lohan.
cuhulin

1982. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 17:06:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD2AB04-4709@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229910

I once read a website a bunch of years ago about how to turn a home microwave oven into a weapon.
There are many millions of microwave ovens in America and around the World.Home Brew WEAPONS!

SS Admin To Change Doc To Fit Born in Kenya! MOFO SCARHEAD USURPER SS # FRAUD
http://www.rense.com
cuhulin

1983. Re: There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 17:10:01 UTC
From: extra class <erfvgkk000@blifeytf.com>
Message-ID: <iqua5a$8p3$1@speranza.aioe.org>
Source record: 229909

"Unemployment is going UP, the deficit is going UP, housing prices are going
DOWN,
and so is the incompetent moron who caused all of this". What a bunch of
BS, three stooges Larry!
The only "incompetent moron who caused all of this" are the greedy ones on
Wall Street, time for the great sucking sound of money to come from there
not go there.

1984. Re: My "inner self" looks more like Ralph Wiggum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 17:57:23 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd2b6af$0$12511$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229911

On 05/17/2011 01:40 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 16, 8:14 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/16/2011 3:28 PM, dave wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>> .
>
> Dave Sees Alex Jones as a Mirror
> of His Inner-Self Incarnate !
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hj2e9hi9Qss
> .

1985. Re: How Ironic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 17:58:00 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd2b6d4$0$12511$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229912

```
On 05/17/2011 03:30 AM, RHF wrote:
> WWCR on 4840 kHz "Into Tomorrow" {Tech Talk} @ 0628 UTC
> on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : +10dB to +20dB with SIO 555 and Very Good Audio
>
> Topic : Moto Xoom Tablet {Tech Talk}
> Into Tomorrow ID @ 0629& 0631 UTC
> IAVA.Org Adz @ 0629 UTC
> Dave Graveline ID @ 0630 UTC
> .
> "Into Tomorrow" -host- Dave Graveline
> http://www.graveline.com/radiostations.html
> .
> The "Into Tomorrow" Show covers the Latest in
> Consumer Electronics& Technology available
> Today and ï¿½ Into Tomorrow.
> http://www.graveline.com/aboutit.html
> .
> WWCR Shortwave Radio Program Guide
> http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> WWCR -from- Nashville, Tennessee, USA
> http://www.wwcr.com/
> .
> Saturdays : 06:00~7:00 UTC on 4840 kHz {WWCR}
> Into Tomorrow {Tech& Toys News} -host- Dave Graveline
> .
> Sundays : 05:00~7:00 UTC on 4840 kHz {WWCR}
> Into Tomorrow {Tech& Toys News} -host- Dave Graveline
> .
> Local AM& FM Radio Stations in the USA that carry
> Into Tomorrow {Tech& Toys News} -host- Dave Graveline
> http://www.graveline.com/radiostations.html
> Plus XM& SIRIUS Satellite Radio
> Also American Forces Network [AFN] and the
> http://www.graveline.com/remotes/afn.html
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
> http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
```

> - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

1986. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 17:59:55 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd2b747$0$12511$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229913

On 05/17/2011 09:40 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Coast Guard closes Mississippi River at Natchez.
> http://www.drudgereport.com
>
> The article links to WWL N'Awlins.In a straight line, Natchez is about
> 54 miles Southwest of doggy's couch.That divorced woman (she works for
> AT&T) who used to live next door to me, she lives in Natchez.
> cuhulin
>

Is the Pearl backing up yet?

1987. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 18:01:29 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd2b7a5$0$12511$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229914

On 05/17/2011 10:06 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I once read a website a bunch of years ago about how to turn a home
> microwave oven into a weapon.
> There are many millions of microwave ovens in America and around the
> World.Home Brew WEAPONS!
>
> SS Admin To Change Doc To Fit Born in Kenya! MOFO SCARHEAD USURPER SS #
> FRAUD
> http://www.rense.com
> cuhulin
>

There are web sites and You Tube pages galore on the subject of doing
dangerous things with microwave ovens.

1988. Re: There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 18:06:58 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqudg3$93b$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229915

On 5/17/2011 10:10 AM, extra class wrote:
> "Unemployment is going UP, the deficit is going UP, housing prices are
> going DOWN,
> and so is the incompetent moron who caused all of this". What a bunch
> of BS, three stooges Larry!
> The only "incompetent moron who caused all of this" are the greedy
> ones on Wall Street, time for the great sucking sound of money to come
> from there not go there.

Exactly, well said ...

Regards,
JS

1989. Re: There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 18:23:37 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iquef9$93a$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229916
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/17/2011 6:47 AM, golfbum18 wrote:
> ...
> Probably without the government, your banker would be unemployed!

Let me see, without the bailout for improperly handling banking, loans, investments -- most banks would be bankrupt ... which means, a LOT of bankers would be unemployed ...

So, I guess I am forced to acquiesce to your logic!

Keep in mind, every time we print more "monopoly money", the value in your present savings/investments are reduced by the ratio of real-money to monopoly-money which is printed ... it is a law of mathematics and economics ... amazing how many fools are able to suspend their logic and reason to accept "magic!"

Regards,
JS

1990. Re: There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 18:27:26 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iquemf$93a$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229917
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/17/2011 7:54 AM, Moderate wrote:
> ...
> Did you plan on spending your entire retirement as soon as you retire? Your
> retirement might grow 20% over the next two years. Most 401k plans allow
> you to select how you want to invest. Put it all in a cash account if you
> want.

If you had 1,000,000 USD in retirement savings during the gold rush, and
you just happened to live until today, you would find that the 1,000,000
USD had grown in USD TREMENDOUSLY in monetary value -- however, it would
still be able to purchase, EXACTLY, what it did in 1849.

Now, that is a stable investment!

Regards,
JS

1991. Re: RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 18:35:35 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <2dc99428-cedd-4f43-9a46-3872fae50754@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229918

```
On May 17, 12:58 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> I want to 'work' Lindsay Lohan.
> cuhulin

That makes two of us...
```

1992. Re: RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 19:33:16 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD2CD7C-4680@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229921

At least she does get 'some respect' from a few old coots.
cuhulin

1993. Re: Prez "BO" {Obama}... Blood On One Hand and Oil On The Other

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 19:37:38 UTC
From: boyari2 <boyari2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <74df5ba8-84b9-4959-a9b8-8c13d1c56c76@gu8g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.republicans

This is more like the truth:

http://ahabit.com/truth

The war in Iraq was about sending a larger message that was not about
to be stopped, by the death of Osama BinLaden.

1994. Re: RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 19:37:54 UTC
From: hallicrafter@collins.net
Message-ID: <i7i5t65tma3obsfhp4h0mi6udf6m568fga@4ax.com>
Source record: 229919

On Tue, 17 May 2011 09:32:11 -0700 (PDT), Steve
<sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:

>I'd like to work CO2KK (Arnie Coro) one of these days. I'm surprised
>I've never heard him. I wonder if he's on the air very much.

I contacted CO2KK on 7150 kHz SSB one night about 2 months ago. We
talked about antennas until the adjacent QRM got too bad. He was
running 100 watts to a half sloping dipole. I also heard him once on
20 meters around 14315 kHz. I thnk he is working more SSB with the
improved conditions.

Jim (MI)

1995. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 19:41:26 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26134-4DD2CF66-439@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229922

I haven't heard or seen anything about the Pearl River backing up or flooding.Doggy's couch is only about five or a little less than five miles West of the Pearl River.It has been a long time since I was out thataway.I am not going sight seeing the Missy Sippy River Flood either.I can see plenty enough of that on WLBT 3 and WJTV 12 and WAPT 16 tee vee noos.
cuhulin

1996. Re: There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 21:30:57 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD2E911-4745@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229923

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Distant+rocky+planet+could+be+future+home+for+humans

What would be used for money on that planet?
cuhulin

1997. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 22:32:53 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD2F795-4791@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229924

Those microwave weapons, such as the ones mounted on vehicles, they have big screens on them.Nice Target Practice!

In Vietnam, areas the Vietcong didn't want Helicopters to land, they used to stick some tree trunks in the ground.There are other ways too.
cuhulin

1998. Re: There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 23:28:56 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqv0br$rs7$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Distant+rocky+planet+could+be+future+home+for+humans
>
> What would be used for money on that planet?
> cuhulin
>

Yeah, we have nothing to fear but our public servants working in cahoots
with the shadow government. We need to reach behind the puppets and
grab the puppet masters, along with the sold out puppets and imprison or
give 'em the death penalty, as is fitting ...

The main objective now is to wake up as many as possible to what is
going on, and what HAS been going on for quite some time.

We are now stuck here until we get enough awake ... keep sharing the
truth ... it will happen ...

Regards,
JS

1999. Re: See that you don't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 23:50:58 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd30991$0$12474$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229926

On 05/17/2011 12:41 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I haven't heard or seen anything about the Pearl River backing up or
> flooding.Doggy's couch is only about five or a little less than five
> miles West of the Pearl River.It has been a long time since I was out
> thataway.I am not going sight seeing the Missy Sippy River Flood
> either.I can see plenty enough of that on WLBT 3 and WJTV 12 and WAPT 16
> tee vee noos.
> cuhulin
>

2000. Re: I wouldn't advise shooting at the US Army

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-17 23:52:46 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd309fd$0$12474$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229927

On 05/17/2011 03:32 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Those microwave weapons, such as the ones mounted on vehicles, they have
> big screens on them.Nice Target Practice!
>
> In Vietnam, areas the Vietcong didn't want Helicopters to land, they
> used to stick some tree trunks in the ground.There are other ways too.
> cuhulin
>

2001. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 00:06:45 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283cd7a35b70011798a1fe@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229928
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 13, 9:14ï¿œpm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> > joeturn wrote:
> > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > >> wrote:
> > >
> > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > >>>> wrote:
> > >
> > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> > >
> > >>>>>> ...
> > >>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > >>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > >>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > >>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > >>>>> astounding.
> > >
> > >>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > >>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > >>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > >>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > >>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > >>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > >>>> ...
> > >
> > >>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
> > >
> > >>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > >>> actual evidence.
> > >
> > >>> Wild speculation?
> > >
> > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
> > >
> > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
```

> > >>> use?
> >
> > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
> >
> > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > >>> occurring today, is in error?
> >
> > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > >> evidence?
> >
> > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
> >
> > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > >> vanishes?
> >
> > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > >>> based in reality!
> >
> > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > >> - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
> >
> > and on nobody else's?
> >
> > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> go.
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g

It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2002. Re: I wouldn't advise shooting at the US Army

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 00:08:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD30DFC-4883@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229929

Yeah, in fact of the business,,,,,, That's what I said, or typed, one fanger hunt n peck typing.

Florida, Penns Woods, New York, everywhere.Probally some Foreigners over there too, to see the Great Missy Sippy River Flood.It might do it again next year too, Sheet, who knows?) Vicksburg, (not to be confused with Vicksburg,Michigan) Missy Sippy is on the MAP now.Like it or not.
cuhulin

2003. Let's end welfare to Israel!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 00:21:03 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqv3dh$rs8$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.disinfo.com/2011/02/rand-paul-end-welfare-to-israel/

http://www.hotpolitics.com/tax4israel.htm

Why help them in their slaughter of others around them in their land grab?

Regards,
JS

2004. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 00:24:56 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6e2bffd8-15eb-4ea7-b42d-62f0b3082a80@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229931
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 17, 8:06 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> > > joeturn wrote:
> > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > >> wrote:
>
> > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > >>>> wrote:
>
> > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>
> > > >>>>> ...
> > > >>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > > >>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > > >>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > > >>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > > >>>>> astounding.
>
> > > >>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > > >>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > > >>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > > >>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > > >>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > > >>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > > >>>> ...
>
> > > >>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>
> > > >> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > > >> actual evidence.

>
> > > >>> Wild speculation?
>
> > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>
> > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > > >>> use?
>
> > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>
> > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
>
> > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > > >> evidence?
>
> > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>
> > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > > >> vanishes?
>
> > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > > >>> based in reality!
>
> > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>
> > > and on nobody else's?
>
> > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> > go.
>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
>
> It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.

>
> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Why would a professional photographer jeopardise his career with a
crappy camera?

2005. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 00:31:07 UTC
From: george <gblack@hnpl.net>
Message-ID: <4849bdac-4f23-4583-9d01-2ecba0119f34@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229932
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 18, 12:24 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Why would a professional photographer jeopardise his career with a
> crappy camera?

Because he can

2006. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 00:35:00 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d7a57928-75ab-4b05-8fa6-30b157a61b41@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 16, 11:24 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"
<fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> On 5/16/2011 8:22 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts
>
> > Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!
>
> > <snicker>
>
> Obama would not, of course, have been born a citizen had he been born
> abroad

Yes, he would.

> (which he may very well have been.)

That has been proven to be an impossible claim ... TWICE.

Hawaii proved Obama is a natural born citizen, making Obama the FIRST
and ONLY presidential candidate in our nations history to DOCUMENT the
proven fact that he was born on US soil. A claim McCain could not
make.

2007. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 00:37:42 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fb7158d0-24a8-4897-bb22-cdbb18366a31@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229934
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 17, 6:16 am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
rage:

> This remains undisputed.

It remains unsupported.

> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

Not one supporting fact has been presented.

> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.

That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are not
very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
disproven 9/11 clalims.

For instance, you have yet to NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that
is incorrect.

You cannot even find a TYPO in it let alone an error of fact.

You are exposed as a lying bushkultie.

2008. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 00:43:39 UTC
From: Uno Hu <lorad474@cs.com>
Message-ID: <nJmdnXqUTYOhi07QnZ2dnUVZ_tWdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 229935
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ce2c0254-6499-4d53-a02f-18c0e4ac561c@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com

> On May 16, 8:21=A0am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
> rage:
>
> > This remains undisputed.
>
> It remains unsupported.
>
> > Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
>
> Not one supporting fact has been presented.
>
> > The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
>
> That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are
not
> very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
> disproven 9/11 clalims.

You realy must be a paid disinfomant.
No one otherwise, coud be so stupid.

2009. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 00:46:46 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283ce104f80cf2bc98a207@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229936
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <6e2bffd8-15eb-4ea7-b42d-62f0b3082a80
@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 17, 8:06ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> > > > joeturn wrote:
> > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> > > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > >> wrote:
> > >
> > > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > >>>> wrote:
> > >
> > > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> > >
> > > > >>>>>> ...
> > > > >>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > > > >>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > > > >>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > > > >>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > > > >>>>>> astounding.
> > >
> > > > >>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > > > >>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > > > >>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > > > >>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > > > >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > > > >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > > > >>>>> ...
> > >
> > > > >>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
```

> >
> > > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > > > >>>> actual evidence.
> >
> > > > >>> Wild speculation?
> >
> > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
> >
> > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > > > >>> use?
> >
> > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
> >
> > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
> >
> > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > > > >> evidence?
> >
> > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
> >
> > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > > > >> vanishes?
> >
> > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > > > >>> based in reality!
> >
> > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > >> - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
> >
> > > > and on nobody else's?
> >
> > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> > > go.
> >

> > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
> >
> > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
> >
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Why would a professional photographer jeopardise his career with a
> crappy camera?

Don't ask me, ask him. Who says he's a pro anyway? A kooksite? Only a
total idiot wouldn't see instantly that your "disappearing wings" are
nothing more than video artifacts.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2010. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 00:48:29 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c1f6b16d-a714-40a8-b692-a6a46acd975d@r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229937
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 17, 8:31 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
> On May 18, 12:24 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > Why would a professional photographer jeopardise his career with a
> > crappy camera?
>
> Because he can

He was at the church shooting film with a professional camera,He
grabbed that same professional video camera and it captured more than
the feds wanted to be made public so they claim it a fake video!

Listen carefully to his interview!

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HCDu2V3yjS4

2011. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 00:59:43 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqv5m3ois1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229938
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"Uno Hu" <lorad474@cs.com> wrote in message
news:nJmdnXqUTYOhi07QnZ2dnUVZ_tWdnZ2d@giganews.com...
>
>
> Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ce2c0254-6499-4d53-a02f-18c0e4ac561c@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com
>
>> On May 16, 8:21=A0am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
>> rage:
>>
>> > This remains undisputed.
>>
>> It remains unsupported.
>>
>> > Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
>>
>> Not one supporting fact has been presented.
>>
>> > The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
>>
>> That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are
> not
>> very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
>> disproven 9/11 clalims.
>
> You realy must be a paid disinfomant.
> No one otherwise, coud be so stupid.

Care to step up to the plate?

Pick your BEST piece of evidence concerning a specific detail that you assert PROVES the official report was wrong about and which can't be reasonably explained by known events but only as set forth by your conspiracy theory and present that proof.

Please note, The proof presented must be complete, concise, specific, and irrefutable. No having to go digging around looking up claims or trying to find out whether claims are correct. It must include any and all cites necessary to support any evidence included. Any and all assumptions made MUST be spelled out specifically at the start and it must be explained why these assumptions are reasonable to make. In short, I expect a complete package that PROVES your claims beyond any reasonable doubt.

Come on, I'm only asking you to prove any single specific element. Surely you can do that?

Batter up.

*personally, I expect you to either decline or strike out, because in my experience no "truther" has ever been able to conclusively prove even a single claim that shows their conspiracy theory has any merit at all.

2012. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 01:09:01 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8325c27e-08a1-47ad-a66f-e6bdff1983ac@24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229939
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 17, 8:46 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <6e2bffd8-15eb-4ea7-b42d-62f0b3082a80
> @c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 17, 8:06 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> > > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >
> > > > > On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> > > > > > joeturn wrote:
> > > > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> > > > > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > > >> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > > > > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > > >>>> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> >
> > > > > > >>>>>> ...
> > > > > > >>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > > > > > >>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > > > > > >>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > > > > > >>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > > > > > >>>>>> astounding.
> >
> > > > > > >>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > > > > > >>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > > > > > >>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > > > > > >>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > > > > > >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > > > > > >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > > > > > >>>>> ...
>

> > > > > >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>
> > > > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > > > > >>>> actual evidence.
>
> > > > > >>> Wild speculation?
>
> > > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>
> > > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > > > > >>> use?
>
> > > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>
> > > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
>
> > > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > > > > >> evidence?
>
> > > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>
> > > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > > > > >> vanishes?
>
> > > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > > > > >>> based in reality!
>
> > > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>
> > > > > and on nobody else's?
>
> > > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> > > > go.

>
> > > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
>
> > > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
>
> > > --
> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > Why would a professional photographer jeopardise his career with a
> > crappy camera?
>
> Don't ask me, ask him. Who says he's a pro anyway? A kooksite? Only a
> total idiot wouldn't see instantly that your "disappearing wings" are
> nothing more than video artifacts.
>
> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
but a professional camera can capture such beauty!

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4JwTXEJSr4A&NR=1

2013. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be other than an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND IARNROD WHO * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM THE PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS OF THE NIST REPORT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 01:22:27 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <po76t654iql827obmp22sdh1ptq6333g44@4ax.com>
Source record: 229940
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

That's quite an error:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

It's a study in treachery.

They're all too weak to compete honestly/fairly.

The Bush crime family of treacherous wimps has
never been on the same side as the USA.

ObL couldn't affect the USA's air defense system.

Cheney did.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues,
"When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

This remains undisputed.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.

Bush and Cheney are fascists, traitors, and war criminals,
and electronic 'voting' will keep putting such into office.

2014. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 01:32:14 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dc89221b-c8f8-4157-90e9-92f0abef81da@t19g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229941
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 17, 8:06 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> > > joeturn wrote:
> > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > >> wrote:
>
> > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > >>>> wrote:
>
> > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>
> > > >>>>> ...
> > > >>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > > >>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > > >>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > > >>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > > >>>>> astounding.
>
> > > >>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > > >>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > > >>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > > >>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > > >>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > > >>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > > >>>> ...
>
> > > >>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>
> > > >> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > > >> actual evidence.

>
> > > >>> Wild speculation?
>
> > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>
> > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > > >>> use?
>
> > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>
> > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
>
> > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > > >> evidence?
>
> > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>
> > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > > >> vanishes?
>
> > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > > >>> based in reality!
>
> > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>
> > > and on nobody else's?
>
> > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> > go.
>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
>
> It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.

>
> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

You feds claimed him a fake but he caught all them cranes and
dumptrucks on stanby and the monitor hologramming the plane.

Dont you remember bi george you were the one projecting the image of a
plane going slam through the building and the aluminum nose was not
even bent coming out the other side! That Evin almost spoiled it for
you didn't he?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_b2Uk6ngQD8&feature=related

2015. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be other than an insid...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 01:41:17 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DD323BD-1544@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229942

PRELUDES
http://www.rense.com/general94/preludes.htm
cuhulin

2016. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 02:33:21 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <36f383cb-3e2a-46db-8640-cc5305e478f2@z13g2000yqg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229943

On May 17, 10:45 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Wouldn't a mirror reflect that microwave back on them? or something
> else? 'reflective paint'?
> cuhulin

If the mirror contains metal- it should . Otherwise,it may treat
mirror just as a piece of glass . I think .

2017. Re: There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 02:40:36 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <ff58a90c-3025-48fd-893a-0e5257857f85@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229944

On May 17, 1:10 pm, "extra class" <erfvgkk...@blifeytf.com> wrote:
> "Unemployment is going UP, the deficit is going UP, housing prices are going
> DOWN,
> and so is the incompetent moron who caused all of this". What a bunch of
> BS, three stooges Larry!
> The only "incompetent moron who caused all of this" are the greedy ones on
> Wall Street, time for the great sucking sound of money to come from there
> not go there.

Isn't that phenomenon called 'Reagonomics' ? Make money out of clear
blue sky and keep on laughing all the way to the bank !

2018. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 02:54:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD334D7-4943@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229945

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=The+dead+infant+and+the+birth+certificate+mystery

Stolen Connecticut Social Security Card and Stolen Birth Certificate.
cuhulin

2019. Re: Frisco, Frisco, Frisco!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 03:04:36 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20b76c99-ccb0-4a06-aaa7-f8a2b75e8997@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229946

On May 16, 11:51 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Peenemunde,,,, 'Heavy Water'.Or was that somewhere in Norway?
> cuhulin

Peenemunde is in Usedom , Germany , near Poland . V-1 and V-2 testing site . Heavy water facility was in Tinn, Norway . WW2 historical places .

2020. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 03:15:35 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqvdkp$t26$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229947
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/17/2011 6:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 17, 8:06 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>> In article<5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
>> @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton"<zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
>>>> joeturn wrote:
>>>>> On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1<quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
>>>>>>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
>>>>>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
>>>>>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
>>>>>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
>>>>>>>>> astounding.
>>>>>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
>>>>>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
>>>>>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
>>>>>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
>>>>>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
>>>>>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>>>>>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
>>>>>>> actual evidence.
>>>>>>> Wild speculation?
>>>>>>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>>>>>>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
>>>>>>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
>>>>>>> use?

>>>>>> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
>>>>>> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>>>>>>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
>>>>>>> occurring today, is in error?
>>>>>> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
>>>>>> evidence?
>>>>>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
>>>>>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>>>>>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
>>>>>> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
>>>>>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
>>>>>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
>>>>>> vanishes?
>>>>>>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
>>>>>>> based in reality!
>>>>>> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>>> The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
>>>>> grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>>>> and on nobody else's?
>>>> It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
>>>> nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>> Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
>>> go.
>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
>> It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
>>
>> --
>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
> You feds claimed him a fake but he caught all them cranes and
> dumptrucks on stanby and the monitor hologramming the plane.
>
> Dont you remember bi george you were the one projecting the image of a
> plane going slam through the building and the aluminum nose was not
> even bent coming out the other side! That Evin almost spoiled it for
> you didn't he?
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_b2Uk6ngQD8&feature=related

Since the radar and other antenna and electronics are in the nose, the
nose would have either been fiberglass or plastic ...

Regards,
JS

2021. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 03:29:45 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqvefa$t26$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229948
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/17/2011 5:59 PM, Scout wrote:
> ...
> Care to step up to the plate?
>
> Pick your BEST piece of evidence concerning a specific detail that you
> assert PROVES the official report was wrong about and which can't be
> reasonably explained by known events but only as set forth by your
> conspiracy theory and present that proof.
>
> Please note, The proof presented must be complete, concise, specific,
> and irrefutable. No having to go digging around looking up claims or
> trying to find out whether claims are correct. It must include any and
> all cites necessary to support any evidence included. Any and all
> assumptions made MUST be spelled out specifically at the start and it
> must be explained why these assumptions are reasonable to make. In
> short, I expect a complete package that PROVES your claims beyond any
> reasonable doubt.
>
> Come on, I'm only asking you to prove any single specific element.
> Surely you can do that?
>
> Batter up.
>
> *personally, I expect you to either decline or strike out, because in
> my experience no "truther" has ever been able to conclusively prove
> even a single claim that shows their conspiracy theory has any merit
> at all.
>
>

http://darkpolitics.wordpress.com/2010/08/01/nist-admits-their-report-is-not-consistent-with-basic-principles-of-physics/

http://www.mujca.com/nist.htm

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eDvNS9iMjzA

http://911review.com/coverup/nist.html

THIS:
"Dr. Shyam Sunder, lead investigator for the National Institute of
Standards and Technology (NIST), which also investigated this collapse,

when asked why WTC 7 collapsed, said "Truthfully, I don't really know.
We've had trouble getting a handle on Building No. 7." [5]
<http://www.vt911.org/letters%20to%20congress.htm#_edn5>The 9/11
Commission, in its 571-page final report, said _absolutely nothing_
about this truly astonishing collapse, an event that if not a controlled
demolition was completely unprecedented in world history, with the
exception of the collapses of the twin towers earlier that day."

FROM HERE:
http://www.vt911.org/letters%20to%20congress.htm

Regards,
JS

2022. Re: RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 03:30:01 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <e0e83649-449b-45cf-afc9-c626e7fca5d5@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229949

On May 17, 12:35 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/17/2011 8:06 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC
> > on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> > S-Meter : +20dB to +40dB with SIO 555 and Very Good Audio
> > .
> > "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro RHC Science Editor
> > "Breakthrough" is the RHC Science 'Tech' Program
> > in English with host Arnie Coro
> >http://www.radiohc.org/Distributions/Dxers/bk00-1203.html
> > * Solar Powered Rural Water Pump not using Batteries
> > * Tri-Power Light Houses Solar + Wind + Diesel Electric
> > * Solar Power for Rural Schools
> > -followed-by-
> > Song @ 0656 "Take It To The Top" Cuba/Spanish Music
> > -followed-by-
> > RHC Music ID @ 0700 [TOH] with Esperanto Language
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Esperanto
> > .
> > Radio Habana Cuba [RHC]
> >http://www.radiohc.cu/
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Havana_Cuba
> > .
> > Radio Habana Cuba [RHC] Frequencies
> >http://www.radiohc.cu/index.php/de-interes/frecuencias.html
> > -source- BCL News .It -for- RHC Schedule
> >http://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/habana.htm
> > Transmissiones en Ingles -to- San Francisco
> > 6010 kHz @ 0500-0700 UTC
> > Transmissiones en Esperanto -to- San Francisco
> > 6010 kHz @ 0700-0730 UTC
> > .
> > -about- Arnie Coro
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arnie_Coro
> > .
> > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
> >http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > 6010 kHz : 0500-0700 UTC

> > CUB : Radio Habana Cuba : E : WNA {English}
> > 6010kHz : 0700-0730 UTC : Su {Sunday}
> > CUB : Radio Habana Cuba : EO : WNA {Esperanto}
> > .
> > yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports :http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
> >http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .
>
> I wonder just how old Arnie is? I remember listening to him in high
> school or college. I think I was probably listening to him before then!
>
> I could hardly wait to get his list of recommended stations, antenna
> projects, etc.
>
> He is an icon of SW for me ...
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

May of 1961 ,according to Wiki , is when RHC had started . Arnie is
claimed to be a co-founder !

2023. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 03:39:31 UTC
From: george <gblack@hnpl.net>
Message-ID: <f389a224-678a-4e2c-9b57-0e8336f6cd8f@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229950
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On May 18, 12:48 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 17, 8:31 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > On May 18, 12:24 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > Why would a professional photographer jeopardise his career with a
> > > crappy camera?
>
> > Because he can
>
> He was at the church shooting film with a professional camera,He
> grabbed that same professional video camera and it captured more than
> the feds wanted to be made public so they claim it a fake video!

I have a 'professional' Mamiya twin lens reflex camera but I much
prefer using the little 12meg pocket camera.
As for that fantastic 'professional' video camera claim its refuted
itself by the source
```

2024. Re: RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 03:40:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD33F9F-4971@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229954

Byram, Hinds still not in tune on radios.
http://www.clarionledger.com

That Sheet has been going on for a long time.
What a Farce!
cuhulin

2025. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 03:42:02 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283d0a142b12f85e98a20a@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229951
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <8325c27e-08a1-47ad-a66f-e6bdff1983ac@
24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 17, 8:46ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > In article <6e2bffd8-15eb-4ea7-b42d-62f0b3082a80
> > @c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 17, 8:06ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > > > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> > > > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> > >
> > > > > > On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> > > > > > > joeturn wrote:
> > > > > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > > > > >> wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > > > > > > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > > > > >>>> wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > >>>>>> ...
> > > > > > > > >>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > > > > > > > >>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > > > > > > > >>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > > > > > > > >>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > > > > > > > >>>>>> astounding.
> > >
> > > > > > > > >>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > > > > > > > >>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > > > > > > > >>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > > > > > > > >>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > > > > > > > >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
```

> > > > > > >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > > > > > >>>>> ...
> >
> > > > > > >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
> >
> > > > > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > > > > > >>>> actual evidence.
> >
> > > > > > >>> Wild speculation?
> >
> > > > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
> >
> > > > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > > > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > > > > > >>> use?
> >
> > > > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > > > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
> >
> > > > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > > > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
> >
> > > > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > > > > > >> evidence?
> >
> > > > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > > > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
> >
> > > > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > > > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > > > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > > > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > > > > > >> vanishes?
> >
> > > > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > > > > > >>> based in reality!
> >
> > > > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > > > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
> >
> > > > > > and on nobody else's?
> >
> > > > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > > > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > - Show quoted text -

> >
> > > > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> > > > > go.
> >
> > > > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
> >
> > > > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
> >
> > > > --
> > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text
-
> >
> > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > Why would a professional photographer jeopardise his career with a
> > > crappy camera?
> >
> > Don't ask me, ask him. Who says he's a pro anyway? A kooksite? Only a
> > total idiot wouldn't see instantly that your "disappearing wings" are
> > nothing more than video artifacts.
> >
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4JwTXEJSr4A&NR=1

No, only an artifacting camera can picture it that way.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2026. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 03:46:02 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283d0b0211315e9998a20b@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 229952
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <dc89221b-c8f8-4157-90e9-
92f0abef81da@t19g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com
says...
>
> On May 17, 8:06ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 13, 9:14ï¿œpm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> > > > joeturn wrote:
> > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> > > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > >> wrote:
> > >
> > > > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > > > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > >>>> wrote:
> > >
> > > > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> > >
> > > > > >>>>>> ...
> > > > > >>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > > > > >>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > > > > >>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > > > > >>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > > > > >>>>>> astounding.
> > >
> > > > > >>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > > > > >>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > > > > >>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > > > > >>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > > > > >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > > > > >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > > > > >>>>> ...
> > >
```

> > > > >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
> >
> > > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > > > >>>> actual evidence.
> >
> > > > >>> Wild speculation?
> >
> > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
> >
> > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > > > >>> use?
> >
> > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
> >
> > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
> >
> > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > > > >> evidence?
> >
> > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
> >
> > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > > > >> vanishes?
> >
> > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > > > >>> based in reality!
> >
> > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > >> - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
> >
> > > > and on nobody else's?
> >
> > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> > > go.

> >
> > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
> >
> > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
> >
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> You feds claimed him a fake but he caught all them cranes and
> dumptrucks on stanby and the monitor hologramíng the plane.

BWHAHAHAHAHA! That's hilarious, and insane. BTW, I'm not a "fed".

>
> Dont you remember bi george you were the one projecting the image of a
> plane going slam through the building and the aluminum nose was not
> even bent coming out the other side! That Evin almost spoiled it for
> you didn't he?
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_b2Uk6ngQD8&feature=related

You have to remember who you're raving at, I'm not George. Not that it
matters, you're totally batshit crazy anyway.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2027. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 03:46:52 UTC
From: george <gblack@hnpl.net>
Message-ID: <d0257f8a-6221-41eb-80ef-1ed38742b560@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229953
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> but a professional camera can capture such beauty!

What one winged aircraft ?
You haven't watched that video have you

2028. Re: Student loan crisis!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 04:11:35 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqvgtobhs3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229955
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/17/2011 6:16 PM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
> $800 Billion accumulated by students attending expensive colleges.
> They can't pay it back!
>
> Which brings the question, how did Obama attend Punehoe in Hawaii,
> Occidental in California, Columbia in NYC, and then Harvard Law?????
> EXTREMELY expensive schools. But Obama had no money! He had no
> wealthy relatives! But somehow he matriculated into those schools and
> "graduated" and doesn't owe half a million in student loans. AND,
> BTW, neither does Michelle.
>
> Anyone know how they did it?
>
> Larry

CIA? Indonesian scholarships? "Grooming" by the shadow government? ???

Regards,
JS

2029. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 04:12:33 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqvgvibhs4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/17/2011 5:35 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 16, 11:24 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"
> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
>> On 5/16/2011 8:22 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>> On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts
>>> Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!
>>> <snicker>
>> Obama would not, of course, have been born a citizen had he been born
>> abroad
> Yes, he would.
>
>> (which he may very well have been.)
> That has been proven to be an impossible claim ... TWICE.
>
> Hawaii proved Obama is a natural born citizen, making Obama the FIRST
> and ONLY presidential candidate in our nations history to DOCUMENT the
> proven fact that he was born on US soil. A claim McCain could not
> make.
>

ROFLOL ... the insanity never ends. PRICELESS!!!

Regards,
JS

2030. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 04:15:27 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <cf5e488d-6482-43a2-9971-e42d1066ac57@w36g2000vbi.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229957
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
> On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> What one winged aircraft ?
> You haven't watched that video have you

He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .

2031. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 04:47:13 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqvj0l$o7i$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229958
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:iqvefa$t26$2@dont-email.me...
> On 5/17/2011 5:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>> ...
>> Care to step up to the plate?
>>
>> Pick your BEST piece of evidence concerning a specific detail that you
>> assert PROVES the official report was wrong about and which can't be
>> reasonably explained by known events but only as set forth by your
>> conspiracy theory and present that proof.
>>
>> Please note, The proof presented must be complete, concise, specific, and
>> irrefutable. No having to go digging around looking up claims or trying
>> to find out whether claims are correct. It must include any and all cites
>> necessary to support any evidence included. Any and all assumptions made
>> MUST be spelled out specifically at the start and it must be explained
>> why these assumptions are reasonable to make. In short, I expect a
>> complete package that PROVES your claims beyond any reasonable doubt.
>>
>> Come on, I'm only asking you to prove any single specific element. Surely
>> you can do that?
>>
>> Batter up.
>>
>> *personally, I expect you to either decline or strike out, because in my
>> experience no "truther" has ever been able to conclusively prove even a
>> single claim that shows their conspiracy theory has any merit at all.
>>
>>
>
> http://darkpolitics.wordpress.com/2010/08/01/nist-admits-their-report-is-not-consistent-with-basic-principles-of-physics/

An opinion piece unsupported by any objective, conclusive proof of the
claims made.

John fails to support his asserts concerning his theories yet again.

That's what about strike 5 or 6 for you John?

2032. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 04:51:20 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqvj8b$p1u$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229959
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/17/2011 9:47 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:iqvefa$t26$2@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/17/2011 5:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>> ...
>>> Care to step up to the plate?
>>>
>>> Pick your BEST piece of evidence concerning a specific detail that
>>> you assert PROVES the official report was wrong about and which
>>> can't be reasonably explained by known events but only as set forth
>>> by your conspiracy theory and present that proof.
>>>
>>> Please note, The proof presented must be complete, concise,
>>> specific, and irrefutable. No having to go digging around looking up
>>> claims or trying to find out whether claims are correct. It must
>>> include any and all cites necessary to support any evidence
>>> included. Any and all assumptions made MUST be spelled out
>>> specifically at the start and it must be explained why these
>>> assumptions are reasonable to make. In short, I expect a complete
>>> package that PROVES your claims beyond any reasonable doubt.
>>>
>>> Come on, I'm only asking you to prove any single specific element.
>>> Surely you can do that?
>>>
>>> Batter up.
>>>
>>> *personally, I expect you to either decline or strike out, because
>>> in my experience no "truther" has ever been able to conclusively
>>> prove even a single claim that shows their conspiracy theory has any
>>> merit at all.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> http://darkpolitics.wordpress.com/2010/08/01/nist-admits-their-report-is-not-consistent
-with-basic-principles-of-physics/
>>
>
> An opinion piece unsupported by any objective, conclusive proof of the
> claims made.

>
> John fails to support his asserts concerning his theories yet again.
>
> That's what about strike 5 or 6 for you John?
>
>
>

ROFLOL ... loser ...

Regards,
JS

2033. Re: 9/11 IS AS BIG A JOKE AS OBAMAS' FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 04:55:07 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqvjfd$p1u$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/17/2011 5:37 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 17, 6:16 am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
> rage:
>
>> This remains undisputed.
> It remains unsupported.
>
>> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
> Not one supporting fact has been presented.
>
>> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
> That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are not
> very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
> disproven 9/11 clalims.
>
> For instance, you have yet to NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that
> is incorrect.
>
> You cannot even find a TYPO in it let alone an error of fact.
>
> You are exposed as a lying bushkultie.
>

ROFLOL

NIST report has been blown to chit ...

Regards,
JS

2034. OHBAHMIES MOMMY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 05:06:23 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqvk4h$q7m$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

:-)

http://www.bloggernews.net/126565

Regards,
JS

2035. LLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 05:50:09 UTC
From: HRJHRJB <odgfhfhdfv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <abea433d-3abf-4102-a3e0-cde78348a0a9@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229962

for hot videos
http://verysexyhotvideos.blogspot.com/

hot lip kiss videos
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/hot-lip-kiss-videos.html

super hot lip kiss
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/super-hot-lip-kiss.html

jobs
http://alljobsaywhere.blogspot.com/

games

GAME 1
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-1.html
GAME 2
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-2.html

mobile

mobile games free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-games-free-download.html

mobile ringtones free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-ringtones-free-download.html

2036. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 05:51:48 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iqvmpp$822$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229963
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:iqvj8b$p1u$1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/17/2011 9:47 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:iqvefa$t26$2@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/17/2011 5:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>> ...
>>>> Care to step up to the plate?
>>>>
>>>> Pick your BEST piece of evidence concerning a specific detail that you
>>>> assert PROVES the official report was wrong about and which can't be
>>>> reasonably explained by known events but only as set forth by your
>>>> conspiracy theory and present that proof.
>>>>
>>>> Please note, The proof presented must be complete, concise, specific,
>>>> and irrefutable. No having to go digging around looking up claims or
>>>> trying to find out whether claims are correct. It must include any and
>>>> all cites necessary to support any evidence included. Any and all
>>>> assumptions made MUST be spelled out specifically at the start and it
>>>> must be explained why these assumptions are reasonable to make. In
>>>> short, I expect a complete package that PROVES your claims beyond any
>>>> reasonable doubt.
>>>>
>>>> Come on, I'm only asking you to prove any single specific element.
>>>> Surely you can do that?
>>>>
>>>> Batter up.
>>>>
>>>> *personally, I expect you to either decline or strike out, because in
>>>> my experience no "truther" has ever been able to conclusively prove
>>>> even a single claim that shows their conspiracy theory has any merit at
>>>> all.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> http://darkpolitics.wordpress.com/2010/08/01/nist-admits-their-report-is-not-consisten
t-with-basic-principles-of-physics/
>>
>> An opinion piece unsupported by any objective, conclusive proof of the

>> claims made.
>>
>> John fails to support his asserts concerning his theories yet again.
>>
>> That's what about strike 5 or 6 for you John?
>>
>>
>>
>
> ROFLOL ... loser ...

You certainly are. I told you exactly and specifically what was required and some opinion piece with vague references to unsupported assertions and claims just isn't going to cut it.

Either you don't realize what actually constitutes conclusive proof, or you simply don't have any. Either way, it does NOTHING to support your theories and tends to make them simply look like the result of crackpots to anyone trying to give you a chance to present your case.

Oh well, another 9/11 conspiracy nut shows again that they can't prove their assertions even with their best evidence on even one specific detail.

2037. Jordan SU Trainer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 08:36:30 UTC
From: jerser-2009 <brandtrade08@126.com>
Message-ID: <afcce661-059d-47bf-affa-c5046a222421@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,misc.consumers.frugal-living

2011 Nike Jordan Melo M7 basketball shoes
(http://www.airmax-seller.com)
Air Jordan 1
Air Jordan 2
Air Jordan 3
Air Jordan 4
Air Jordan 5
Air Jordan 6
Air Jordan 7
Air Jordan 8
Air Jordan 9
Air Jordan 10
Air Jordan 11
Air Jordan 12
Air Jordan 13
Air Jordan 14
Air Jordan 15
Air Jordan 16
Air Jordan 17
Air Jordan 18
Air Jordan 19
Air Jordan 20
Air Jordan 21
Air Jordan 22
Air Jordan 23
Air Jordan Fusion 1
Air Jordan Fusion 3
Air Jordan Fusion 4
Air Jordan Fusion 5
Air Jordan Fusion 6
Air Jordan Fusion 8
Air Jordan Fusion 9
Air Jordan Fusion 12
Air Jordan Fusion 13
Air Jordan Fusion 20
Jordan 9.5 Team
Jordan 12.5 Team
Jordan 16.5 Team
Jordan 23 Classic
Jordan 6 rings
Jordan B'loyal
Jordan B2Rue
Jordan Big Fund

Jordan Blase
Jordan Carmelo Anthony
Jordan Chris Paul
Jordan Classic 87
Jordan Derek Jeter
Jordan Dub-Zero
Jordan Esterno
Jordan Flight 45
Jordan Flipsyde
Jordan Hydro
Jordan JAQ
Jordan Jumpman
Jordan Nu Retro
Jordan OL School
Jordan Olympia
Jordan Phly
Jordan ServeM
Jordan ShowM
Jordan Sixty Plus 60
Jordan Spiz'ike
Jordan SU Trainer
Jordan Team 10-16
Jordan Team Elite
Jordan Team Strong
Jordan Team Valid8
Jordan True Flight
Jordan Trunner Q4
Jordan Winterized Spizike
Nike Air yeezy

2038. Re: 9/11 IS AS BIG A JOKE AS OBAMAS' FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 08:51:47 UTC
From: Ron <roneal1554@att.net>
Message-ID: <da52e257-6561-4967-8863-17a0b1c271b8@v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 17, 11:55 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/17/2011 5:37 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 17, 6:16 am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
> > rage:
>
> >> This remains undisputed.
> > It remains unsupported.
>
> >> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
> > Not one supporting fact has been presented.
>
> >> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
> > That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are not
> > very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
> > disproven 9/11 clalims.
>
> > For instance, you have yet to NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that
> > is incorrect.
>
> > You cannot even find a TYPO in it let alone an error of fact.
>
> > You are exposed as a lying bushkultie.
>
> ROFLOL
>
> NIST report has been blown to chit ...
>
> Regards,
> JS
.
.
JS, I'd be willing to bet you think the Moon Landing in 1969 was a
hoax.

RO

2039. BOLLYWOOD ACTRESES HOT VIDOES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 09:50:21 UTC
From: 866434 <iashjbbxdhvv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9468b653-85a3-44bb-8f6c-b2326041ee1e@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229966

for hot videos
http://verysexyhotvideos.blogspot.com/

hot lip kiss videos
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/hot-lip-kiss-videos.html

super hot lip kiss
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/super-hot-lip-kiss.html

jobs
http://alljobsaywhere.blogspot.com/

games

GAME 1
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-1.html
GAME 2
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-2.html

mobile

mobile games free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-games-free-download.html

mobile ringtones free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-ringtones-free-download.html

2040. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 11:29:02 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <92f26d26-9d46-44eb-8ce3-998664a50cff@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229967
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 17, 5:06 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> > > joeturn wrote:
> > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > >> wrote:
>
> > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > >>>> wrote:
>
> > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>
> > > >>>>>> ...
> > > >>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > > >>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > > >>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > > >>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > > >>>>>> astounding.
>
> > > >>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > > >>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > > >>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > > >>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > > >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > > >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > > >>>>> ...
>

> > > >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>
> > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > > >>>> actual evidence.
>
> > > >>> Wild speculation?
>
> > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>
> > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > > >>> use?
>
> > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>
> > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
>
> > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > > >> evidence?
>
> > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>
> > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > > >> vanishes?
>
> > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > > >>> based in reality!
>
> > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>
> > > and on nobody else's?
>
> > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> > go.

>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
>
> It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
>
> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

For One and All,

Ever seen the Heat Waves on the Surface of a Black-Top
Roadway and the Visual Distortion they Cause ?

Ever seen the Visual Distortion caused by Non-Visual
Column of Air Pollution {Smoke / Air Particulates } in
the Air between You and an Object ?

now you see it and now you don't ~ RHF
-yes-it-is-that-simple-
.

2041. Re: RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 11:54:38 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <6702306c-524a-4b61-8cbd-effda4e53c92@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229968

On May 17, 8:30 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 17, 12:35 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/17/2011 8:06 AM, RHF wrote:
>
> > > RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC
> > > on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> > > S-Meter : +20dB to +40dB with SIO 555 and Very Good Audio
> > > .
> > > "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro RHC Science Editor
> > > "Breakthrough" is the RHC Science 'Tech' Program
> > > in English with host Arnie Coro
> > >http://www.radiohc.org/Distributions/Dxers/bk00-1203.html
> > > * Solar Powered Rural Water Pump not using Batteries
> > > * Tri-Power Light Houses Solar + Wind + Diesel Electric
> > > * Solar Power for Rural Schools
> > > -followed-by-
> > > Song @ 0656 "Take It To The Top" Cuba/Spanish Music
> > > -followed-by-
> > > RHC Music ID @ 0700 [TOH] with Esperanto Language
> > >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Esperanto
> > > .
> > > Radio Habana Cuba [RHC]
> > >http://www.radiohc.cu/
> > >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Havana_Cuba
> > > .
> > > Radio Habana Cuba [RHC] Frequencies
> > >http://www.radiohc.cu/index.php/de-interes/frecuencias.html
> > > -source- BCL News .It -for- RHC Schedule
> > >http://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/habana.htm
> > > Transmissiones en Ingles -to- San Francisco
> > > 6010 kHz @ 0500-0700 UTC
> > > Transmissiones en Esperanto -to- San Francisco
> > > 6010 kHz @ 0700-0730 UTC
> > > .

> > > -about- Arnie Coro
> > >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arnie_Coro
> > > .
> > > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
> > >http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > > 6010 kHz : 0500-0700 UTC
> > > CUB : Radio Habana Cuba : E : WNA {English}
> > > 6010kHz : 0700-0730 UTC : Su {Sunday}
> > > CUB : Radio Habana Cuba : EO : WNA {Esperanto}
> > > .
> > > yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> > > .
> > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports :http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
> > >http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> > > .
> > > - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > .
> > > .
>
> > I wonder just how old Arnie is? I remember listening to him in high
> > school or college. I think I was probably listening to him before then!
>
> > I could hardly wait to get his list of recommended stations, antenna
> > projects, etc.
>
> > He is an icon of SW for me ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> May of 1961 ,according to Wiki , is when RHC had started . Arnie is
> claimed to be a co-founder !

For All,

Some where in his 'bio' Arnie is noted for being in the
Post-Revolution Cuba Military and participating of
the mop-up operations of the Bay-of-Pigs [APR-1961]
-roughly- Age 21 in 1961 -estimated-dob-in- 1940
-so- Figure an Age of 70+ for Arnie Coro
.
-about- Arnie Coro
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arnie_Coro
.
Arnie CO2KK @ Twitter.Com
http://twitter.com/#!/ArnieCO2KK
.

CO2KK @ QRZ.Com
http://www.qrz.com/db/
.

2042. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 12:09:21 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd3b69a$0$12475$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 229969

On 05/17/2011 07:33 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 17, 10:45 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>> Wouldn't a mirror reflect that microwave back on them? or something
>> else? 'reflective paint'?
>> cuhulin
>
> If the mirror contains metal- it should . Otherwise,it may treat
> mirror just as a piece of glass . I think .

Anti-radar paint absorbs, it doesn't reflect.

2043. Arnold the In-Pregnantor !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 12:09:24 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <b2281908-be8f-4179-9b39-9e64dfaddfac@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229970

On May 17, 8:24 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Ahhhhhnold's love child.http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307784
> cuhulin

Is This Arnold Schwarzenegger’s Love Child ?
...and... Are There Really Two of Them . . .
By Two Different Staffers ?
http://gawker.com/5802704/is-this-arnold-schwarzeneggers-love-child
.
Arnold the In-Pregnantor ! ~ RHF
http://petegraham.files.wordpress.com/2006/11/arnie_preggers.png
.

2044. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 12:12:19 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d237c350-1283-4c37-8869-8abb0feb48e7@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229971

On May 17, 11:01 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/17/2011 10:06 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > I once read a website a bunch of years ago about how to turn a home
> > microwave oven into a weapon.
> > There are many millions of microwave ovens in America and around the
> > World.Home Brew WEAPONS!
>
> > SS Admin To Change Doc To Fit Born in Kenya! MOFO SCARHEAD USURPER SS #
> > FRAUD
> >http://www.rense.com
> > cuhulin

- There are web sites and You Tube pages galore
- on the subject of doing dangerous things with
- microwave ovens.
.
http://www.cartoonstock.com/newscartoons/cartoonists/mly/lowres/mlyn580l.jpg
.

2045. Re: Johnny KQQK IS AS BIG A JOKE AS ANY BIRFLOON/TWOOFER SINCE HE CANNOT NAME ONE THING WRONG IN THE NIST REPORT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 12:19:21 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <530bd638-3079-4aed-a86e-d31c7892be67@e17g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic

On May 17, 10:55 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/17/2011 5:37 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 17, 6:16 am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
> > rage:
>
> >> This remains undisputed.
> > It remains unsupported.
>
> >> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
> > Not one supporting fact has been presented.
>
> >> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
> > That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are not
> > very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
> > disproven 9/11 clalims.
>
> > For instance, you have yet to NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that
> > is incorrect.
>
> > You cannot even find a TYPO in it let alone an error of fact.
>
> > You are exposed as a lying bushkultie.
>
> ROFLOL
>
> NIST report has been blown to chit ...

If so, how come you cannot NAME ONE THING IN IT that is incorrect,
Johnny KQQK? You keep saying this but you keep FAILING to NAME ONE
THING!!

TOO FUCKIN' FUNNY how stupid you are!!

2046. Re: 9/11 IS AS BIG A JOKE AS OBAMAS' FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 12:19:41 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7048f5ad-61b0-4ffd-a652-54d2288c22fe@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic

On May 18, 2:51 am, Ron <roneal1...@att.net> wrote:
> On May 17, 11:55 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > On 5/17/2011 5:37 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > > On May 17, 6:16 am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
> > > rage:
>
> > >> This remains undisputed.
> > > It remains unsupported.
>
> > >> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
> > > Not one supporting fact has been presented.
>
> > >> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
> > > That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are not
> > > very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
> > > disproven 9/11 clalims.
>
> > > For instance, you have yet to NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that
> > > is incorrect.
>
> > > You cannot even find a TYPO in it let alone an error of fact.
>
> > > You are exposed as a lying bushkultie.
>
> > ROFLOL
>
> > NIST report has been blown to chit ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> .
> .
> JS, I'd be willing to bet you think the Moon Landing in 1969 was a
> hoax.

He thinks his own birth was a hoax.

2047. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 12:21:11 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e06d17fe-1f20-4190-8f49-fc6ce79f48af@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 17, 10:12 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/17/2011 5:35 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 16, 11:24 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"
> > <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> >> On 5/16/2011 8:22 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> >>> On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts
> >>> Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!
> >>> <snicker>
> >> Obama would not, of course, have been born a citizen had he been born
> >> abroad
> > Yes, he would.
>
> >> (which he may very well have been.)
> > That has been proven to be an impossible claim ... TWICE.
>
> > Hawaii proved Obama is a natural born citizen, making Obama the FIRST
> > and ONLY presidential candidate in our nations history to DOCUMENT the
> > proven fact that he was born on US soil. A claim McCain could not
> > make.
>
> ROFLOL ... the insanity never ends.

We know that, Johnny KQQK!! You provide ENDLESS insanity to amuse your
many betters! We ought to THANK you for letting us laugh at your
boundless stupidity!!

Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
won't, not even a typo!

2048. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 12:24:57 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0bae3a25-1440-45d0-a2e9-a751699bea5e@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229975
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 17, 6:43 pm, "Uno Hu" <lorad...@cs.com> wrote:
> Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:ce2c0254-6499-4d53-a02f-18c0e4ac561c@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 16, 8:21=A0am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
> > rage:
>
> > > This remains undisputed.
>
> > It remains unsupported.
>
> > > Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
>
> > Not one supporting fact has been presented.
>
> > > The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
>
> > That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are
> not
> > very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
> > disproven 9/11 clalims.
>
> You realy [sic] must be a paid disinfomant. [sic]

You really must be a glue-sniffing juvenile kook.

> No one othewise, [sic] coud [sic] be so stupid.

Oh the fuckin' IRONY of it all.

How about this, nutbag: NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that you
"think" is incorrect.

We'll wait.

BWAHAHAAHAHAHAHHAAAA!!!!

2049. Re: COME SEE * HATES US * PROVE IARNROD RIGHT AGAIN: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 12:29:31 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ce73bacd-3fe3-4d1a-9029-951d2486079b@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229976
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 17, 7:22 pm, * US * wrote:
> That's quite an error:
>
> "the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
> Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"
>
> http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-v...
>
> It's a study in treachery.

It's a study in stupidity by you, kook. I guess you're too stupid to
see the error that the blogger made. Hint: He's looking at the wrong
building. Blatant and obvious error by yet another 9/11 kooker!!

You sure did not earn your money tonight from your hero Cheeeeeney,
you traitor rightard bushkultie.

2050. Re: ABC Australia [Domestic : VL8T] on 4910 kHz @ 0825 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 13:26:45 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <722d4991-1d20-432e-a2ed-24face029a69@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229977

On May 17, 8:04 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 16, 7:21 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > ABC Australia [Domestic : VL8T] on 4910 kHz @ 0825 UTC
> > on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> > S-Meter : "0" with SIO : 222 and weak fragmented audio.
>
> > Appeared to be a Sports Program with two Male Announcers
> > with Australian Accents : Line Drive; Up by 40; other sports
> > chatter ?Cricket? -then- the Signal Gone [OTA?] @ 0830 UTC
> > and nothing for another 15 Minutes of listening to dead air.
>
> > ABC 'Domestic' Shortwave Service to Northern Territories [NT]
> > 4910kHz is listed as Tennant Creek. NT
Australiahttp://www.abc.net.au/reception/radio/shortwave.htm
> > Tennant Creek. NT [VL8T] have been heard on 2325 kHz {Days}
> > and 4910 kHz {Nights} ; but not on 3315 kHz
?http://www.abc.net.au/corp/annual_reports/ar99/arhtml/transmitters.ht...
> > .
> > Here is a Cricket Match for : 2011 West Indies v Pakistan
> > West Indies Won by 40 Runs in Guyana National
Stadiumhttp://www.abc.net.au/sport/all/app/?seriesId=2608&matchId=1§ion=...
> > .
> > -source- EiBi.De Listshttp://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > 4910 kHz : 2130-0830 UTC
> > AUS : Australian Broadc.Co. : E : AUS : t
> > .
> > yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -

> > .
> > .
>
> At that time of day, may be parallel to other ABC outlets that are
> much more audible. I hear ABC sports shows on the higher freqs that
> time of day often. Sports shows, like rugby or cricket, are an almost
> dead giveaway.
>
> Bruce Jensen

The Radio Australia [RA] Transmitters of the ABC
use more Power and Directional Antennas to reach
the "Distant" World.
http://www.antenna.be/hr.html
http://www.radioliberty.org/docume1.jpg
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/087e00e2c89da0d1
.
The ABC "Domestic" Transmitters use Omni-Antennas to
reach the "Local" Rural Area's of Northern Territory [NT].
http://en.travelnt.com/library/maps/large-nt_0.jpg
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Northern_Territory
Most likely a Vertical Dipole -or- 1/4WL Mono-Pole
that is NVIS for the 'Local' 300~600 Mile Area.
.
Think of an AM/MW Radio Station Single Tower 1/4WL
Antenna that is Down Sized for 2310 ~ 5025 kHz :
107~49 Feet Tall [1/4WL]

Versus the normal Larger/Taller AM/MW 500~1700 kHz
Size : 492~145 Feet Tall [1/4WL]
.

2051. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be other than an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND IARNROD WHO * HATES US * SLITHER AWAY FROM THE PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS OF THE NIST REPORT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 13:29:51 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <v8i7t6lhrk1m65jqcrgmmievhm82bg2a8l@4ax.com>
Source record: 229978
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

That's quite a glaring error:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

It's a study in treachery.

They're all too weak to compete honestly/fairly.

The Bush crime family of treacherous wimps has
never been on the same side as the USA.

ObL couldn't affect the USA's air defense system.

Cheney did.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues,
"When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

This remains undisputed.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.

Bush and Cheney are fascists, traitors, and war criminals,
and electronic 'voting' will keep putting such into office.

2052. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be other than an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND IARNROD WHO * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM THE PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS OF THE NIST REPORT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 13:33:03 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <kfi7t6126m5j4crloubjk3cqrn3cd5cb5o@4ax.com>
Source record: 229979
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

That's quite an error:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

It's a study in treachery.

They're all too weak to compete honestly/fairly.

The Bush crime family of treacherous wimps has
never been on the same side as the USA.

ObL couldn't affect the USA's air defense system.

Cheney did.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues,
"When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

This remains undisputed.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.

Bush and Cheney are fascists, traitors, and war criminals,
and electronic 'voting' will keep putting such into office.

If it's electronic, it's no election.

2053. Re: COME SEE * HATE IARNRÓD *: OFF TOPIC AGAIN!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 13:34:26 UTC
From: JohnJohnsn <TopCop1988@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5f90ad21-6642-4aa5-b992-bd9bb2f5b429@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229980
Cross-posted to: alt.stupidity,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 18, 7:29 am, Iarnród, the Narcissistic Personality Disorder-
afflicted Abderian Simpleton <Iarnród@yahoo.com>, Head Cheerleader of
the Obama der Retter Cult wrote:

> On May 17, 7:22 pm, * US * wrote:
>
>> That's quite an error:
>
>> "the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
>> Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"
>
>>-http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-v...
>
>> It's a study in treachery.
>
> It's a study in stupidity by you, kook. I guess you're too stupid to
> see the error that the blogger made. Hint: He's looking at the wrong
> building. Blatant and obvious error by yet another 9/11 kooker!!
>
> You sure did not earn your money tonight from your hero Cheeeeeney,
> you traitor rightard bushkultie.

Keep your STUPIDITY out of talk.politics.GUNS, Rude One!

Barack Hussien Obama, Jr.:
-All the answers as a candidate;
-No solutions as the president!

"Sunday, January 20th, 2013 - The End of an Error"

2054. Re: How Ironic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 13:47:38 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <629352d7-821b-4281-8212-c828b98bc7ec@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229981

On May 17, 10:58 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/17/2011 03:30 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > WWCR on 4840 kHz "Into Tomorrow" {Tech Talk} @ 0628 UTC
> > on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> > S-Meter : +10dB to +20dB with SIO 555 and Very Good Audio
>
> > Topic : Moto Xoom Tablet {Tech Talk}
> > Into Tomorrow ID @ 0629& 0631 UTC
> > IAVA.Org Adz @ 0629 UTC
> > Dave Graveline ID @ 0630 UTC
> > .
> > "Into Tomorrow" -host- Dave Graveline
> >http://www.graveline.com/radiostations.html
> > .
> > The "Into Tomorrow" Show covers the Latest in
> > Consumer Electronics& Technology available
> > Today and … Into Tomorrow.
> >http://www.graveline.com/aboutit.html
> > .
> > WWCR Shortwave Radio Program Guide
> >http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> > WWCR -from- Nashville, Tennessee, USA
> >http://www.wwcr.com/
> > .
> > Saturdays : 06:00~7:00 UTC on 4840 kHz {WWCR}
> > Into Tomorrow {Tech& Toys News} -host- Dave Graveline
> > .
> > Sundays : 05:00~7:00 UTC on 4840 kHz {WWCR}
> > Into Tomorrow {Tech& Toys News} -host- Dave Graveline
> > .
> > Local AM& FM Radio Stations in the USA that carry
> > Into Tomorrow {Tech& Toys News} -host- Dave Graveline
> >http://www.graveline.com/radiostations.html
> > Plus XM& SIRIUS Satellite Radio
> > Also American Forces Network [AFN] and the
> >http://www.graveline.com/remotes/afn.html

> > .
> > yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports :http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
> >http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .

NAH Dave,,, It Ain't "Ironic" :
It's simply a Shortwave Radio Listening and reporting
what you heard with your own two Ears
http://www.uh.edu/engines/1930sradio.jpg
.
-so- Dave less Medical-Marijuana {Cronic} Puffing;
http://i276.photobucket.com/albums/kk29/f0osta3/Cronic.jpg
and more Actual Radio Listening with your own two Ears
http://forum.grasscity.com/photopost/data/500/cronic.jpg
.
.
WWCR on 4840 kHz "Into Tomorrow" {Tech Talk} @ 0628 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/5ebbec412cac6c64
.
RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c8e49260c9fd217c
.
It's Time For... "Info Wars" with Alex Jones via WWCR
on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/087e00e2c89da0d1
.
ABC Australia [Domestic : VL8T] on 4910 kHz @ 0825 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/1b305386aa9d1b57
.
CFRX on 6070 kHz "News Talk 1010" @ 0705 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f7dbcfa3c9090e92
.
REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9511fb28db04b13d
.
Male Voice in English on 3290 kHz @ 0545 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/91332b5e8ecfeb4f
.
Hearing Latin 'Jazz' Music {Brazilian?} on 4885 kHz @ 0642 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3833cb34052cd4ac
.
Arabic Prayers on 9680 kHz @ 1050 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/be212145b91de687

.
WBCQ 'The Planet' on 7415 kHz with the QSO Radio Show @0900 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/64ed1aeac4dd229c
.
Tofino [Canada] Coast Guard Radio on 2054 kHz [USB] @ 0655 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/32bebabb4bd454d5
.
5898 kHz "La Chica" Spanish Numbers Station [V02] @ 0812 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/a999af780c2f8ee8
.
yes - i heard it all on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2055. Re: 9/11 IS AS BIG A JOKE AS OBAMAS' FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 13:50:04 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0iqectd2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/18/2011 1:51 AM, Ron wrote:
> On May 17, 11:55 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/17/2011 5:37 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>> On May 17, 6:16 am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
>>>> rage:
>>>>>> This remains undisputed.
>>>> It remains unsupported.
>>>>>> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
>>>> Not one supporting fact has been presented.
>>>>>> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
>>>> That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are not
>>>> very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
>>>> disproven 9/11 clalims.
>>>> For instance, you have yet to NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that
>>>> is incorrect.
>>>> You cannot even find a TYPO in it let alone an error of fact.
>>>> You are exposed as a lying bushkultie.
>> ROFLOL
>>
>> NIST report has been blown to chit ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> .
> .
> JS, I'd be willing to bet you think the Moon Landing in 1969 was a
> hoax.
>
> RO

I'll bet you would go to any lengths to obfuscate the truth ... the moon landing, mom and pop, sunday school, the pacific ocean ... they have nothing to do with the proof that the NIST report is a sham ... it was

limited and stopped from finding the truth by very powerful people ...

Now it is time for the truth ...

Regards,
JS

2056. Re: 9/11 IS AS BIG A JOKE AS OBAMAS' FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 13:50:49 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0irsctd3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,rec.sport.golf

On 5/18/2011 5:19 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 18, 2:51 am, Ron<roneal1...@att.net> wrote:
>> On May 17, 11:55 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/17/2011 5:37 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>>> On May 17, 6:16 am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
>>>> rage:
>>>>> This remains undisputed.
>>>> It remains unsupported.
>>>>> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
>>>> Not one supporting fact has been presented.
>>>>> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
>>>> That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are not
>>>> very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
>>>> disproven 9/11 clalims.
>>>> For instance, you have yet to NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that
>>>> is incorrect.
>>>> You cannot even find a TYPO in it let alone an error of fact.
>>>> You are exposed as a lying bushkultie.
>>> ROFLOL
>>> NIST report has been blown to chit ...
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>> .
>> .
>> JS, I'd be willing to bet you think the Moon Landing in 1969 was a
>> hoax.
> He thinks his own birth was a hoax.

ROFLOL

I think yours was a waste your mother could have saved us from ...

Regards,
JS

2057. Re: Johnny KQQK IS AS BIG A JOKE AS ANY BIRFLOON/TWOOFER SINCE HE CANNOT NAME ONE THING WRONG IN THE NIST REPORT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 14:03:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0jjbctd4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic

On 5/18/2011 5:19 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 17, 10:55 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/17/2011 5:37 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 17, 6:16 am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
>>> rage:
>>>> This remains undisputed.
>>> It remains unsupported.
>>>> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
>>> Not one supporting fact has been presented.
>>>> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
>>> That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are not
>>> very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
>>> disproven 9/11 clalims.
>>> For instance, you have yet to NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that
>>> is incorrect.
>>> You cannot even find a TYPO in it let alone an error of fact.
>>> You are exposed as a lying bushkultie.
>> ROFLOL
>>
>> NIST report has been blown to chit ...
> If so, how come you cannot NAME ONE THING IN IT that is incorrect,
> Johnny KQQK? You keep saying this but you keep FAILING to NAME ONE
> THING!!
>
> TOO FUCKIN' FUNNY how stupid you are!!

The NIST report has been blown to chit ... the free fall speed, the molten iron, the collapse into its' own footprint, the demolition experts, the lab results ... our government is being manipulated by dangerous criminals within a shadow government ... each and every day, more wake up to the real facts.

And, your disinformation does nothing to stop it ... ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2058. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 14:06:33 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0jpcctd5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229985
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/18/2011 5:24 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 17, 6:43 pm, "Uno Hu"<lorad...@cs.com> wrote:
>> Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:ce2c0254-6499-4d53-a02f-18c0e4ac561c@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>> On May 16, 8:21=A0am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
>>>> rage:
>>>>> This remains undisputed.
>>>> It remains unsupported.
>>>>> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
>>>> Not one supporting fact has been presented.
>>>>> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
>>>> That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are
>> not
>>>> very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
>>>> disproven 9/11 clalims.
>> You realy [sic] must be a paid disinfomant. [sic]
> You really must be a glue-sniffing juvenile kook.
>
>> No one othewise, [sic] coud [sic] be so stupid.
> Oh the fuckin' IRONY of it all.
>
> How about this, nutbag: NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that you
> "think" is incorrect.
>
> We'll wait.
>
> BWAHAHAAHAHAHAHHAAAA!!!!
>

You are waiting too late, the free fall speed, the thermate and
incendiaries in the dust, the collapse into their own footprints, the
molten iron, the experts, the lab data, etc.

Each and every day more and more wake up ... and then there is you
screaming your angry BS ... ROFLOL!!!

Regards,
JS

2059. It's Time for "The Power Hour" on WWCR Shortwave -topic- 'The Windpower Fraud' on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 14:19:22 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <caee9b89-ed17-4364-89d0-daf9f787547e@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 229986

On May 10, 2:08 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
- .
- "The Power Hour" with Joyce Riley was talking about
- http://www.thepowerhour.com/schedule.htm
- "Bio-Char" {Biochar} as a Home Grown Farm Fuel
- and Soil Amendment By-Product {Recycling}.
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Biochar
- The Future is 'Bio' not Petro-Chemical
- -just-say-'no'-to-petro-poisons-
- =&=Just=Say=Hell="No"=To=Nuclear=
- .
- WWCR on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC
- "The Power Hour" with Joyce Riley
- http://www.thepowerhour.com/
- http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/powerHour/
- .
- It's Time for "The Power Hour" @ 1200~1500 UTC
- -via- WWCR Shortwave Radio on 7490 & 13,845 kHz
- http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
- .
- .
.
.
Yes Once Again It's Time for "The Power Hour"
with Joyce Riley was talking about
http://www.thepowerhour.com/schedule.htm
The False Economic Promise of Wind Energy
and Wind Energy's Big Environmental Concerns.
http://www.thepowerhour.com/schedule.htm
Joyce interviews Lisa Linowles and others about
the True Cost of Wind Power and total picture of
the Real Environmental Issues caused by Massive
Wind Farms.
* Lisa Linowles :
Stop Wind Farm Environmental Pollution
http://www.windaction.org
* Gerry Meyers :
Wind Turbine Syndrome {Environmental Sickness}
http://www.windturbinesyndrome.com
* Doreen Hannes :
The Wind Farm Contract : Signing Away Your Rights
http://www.libertynewsradio.com
=&=As-Always=Just=Say=Hell="No"=To=Nuclear=

.
WWCR on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC
"The Power Hour" with Joyce Riley
http://www.thepowerhour.com/
http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/powerHour/
.
It's Time for "The Power Hour" @ 1200~1500 UTC
-via- WWCR Shortwave Radio on 7490 & 13,845 kHz
http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
.
it's radio -so... just listen ~ RHF
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver & ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

.
.

2060. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 14:24:02 UTC
From: max headroom <maxheadroom@localnet.com>
Message-ID: <DMCdnRd8Bap_RE7QnZ2dnUVZ_qidnZ2d@posted.localnet>
Source record: 229987
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.misc

"Iarnrod" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:0bae3a25-1440-45d0-a2e9-a751699bea5e@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com...

talk.politics.GUNS

2061. Re: It's Time for "The Power Hour" on WWCR Shortwave -topic- 'The ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 14:53:04 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DD3DD50-1684@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229989

Solar Power isn't 'cutting it'.Maybe in a hundred years from now?
cuhulin

2062. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 15:01:34 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0n0lrsm1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 5:21 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
>
> We know that, Johnny KQQK!! You provide ENDLESS insanity to amuse your
> many betters! We ought to THANK you for letting us laugh at your
> boundless stupidity!!
>
> Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
> won't, not even a typo!

The fraudulent birth certificate is being examined by many, they are spreading the word, everyday, more examine the fraudulent birth certificate, more know, more tell others ... the jig is up ... a desperate negro president is shown for what he is ... a bastard dropped from between the legs of this slut:

http://www.bloggernews.net/126565

And, CERTAINLY, NOT FIT TO BE PRESIDENT ... you sick dogs!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2063. Re: Velvet revolution and Destruction of Corporate America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 15:25:00 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD3E4CC-5286@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229990

You ever microwave raw eggs in a microwave oven before? If you don't poke them with a fork often enough,,, KAPOW!

We gots Godzilla vs. Mothra: The Battle for Earth, movie crankin up on the EACT channel right now.
cuhulin

2064. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 15:36:29 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ir0p2k$5ul$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir0n0lrsm1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/18/2011 5:21 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
>>
>> We know that, Johnny KQQK!! You provide ENDLESS insanity to amuse your
>> many betters! We ought to THANK you for letting us laugh at your
>> boundless stupidity!!
>>
>> Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
>> won't, not even a typo!
>
> The fraudulent birth certificate is being examined by many, they are
> spreading the word, everyday, more examine the fraudulent birth
> certificate, more know, more tell others ... the jig is up ... a
> desperate negro president is shown for what he is ... a bastard dropped
> from between the legs of this slut:
>
> http://www.bloggernews.net/126565
>
> And, CERTAINLY, NOT FIT TO BE PRESIDENT ... you sick dogs!
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

.
.
America is passing you by.
Your racism is on the verge of extinction.
You have become marginalized by the advance education and accomplishments of
minorities of all kinds.
Say hello to the 21st Century!

2065. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 15:42:48 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0pdrrsm3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 8:36 AM, Sid9 wrote:
> ...
> .
> America is passing you by.
> Your racism is on the verge of extinction.
> You have become marginalized by the advance education and
> accomplishments of minorities of all kinds.
> Say hello to the 21st Century!

Are you REALLY that stupid of a bastard?

http://www.bloggernews.net/126565

That is a stupid white slut you fool! Ohbahmies mommy! Racist? What
does worthless white whores have to do with racism?

Worthless people come in all colors, ohbahmie just happens to be negro
-- the last one, george crimnial bush was caucasian, you damn idiot!

What, because the next one is indian, asian, etc., you think he/she is
going to get to play a race card? FAT CHANCE!

Regards,
JS

2066. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 15:47:51 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ir0pnt$73h$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir0pdr$rsm$3@dont-email.me...
> On 5/18/2011 8:36 AM, Sid9 wrote:
>> ...
>> .
>> America is passing you by.
>> Your racism is on the verge of extinction.
>> You have become marginalized by the advance education and accomplishments
>> of minorities of all kinds.
>> Say hello to the 21st Century!
>
> Are you REALLY that stupid of a bastard?
>
> http://www.bloggernews.net/126565
>
> That is a stupid white slut you fool! Ohbahmies mommy! Racist? What
> does worthless white whores have to do with racism?
>
> Worthless people come in all colors, ohbahmie just happens to be negro --
> the last one, george crimnial bush was caucasian, you damn idiot!
>
> What, because the next one is indian, asian, etc., you think he/she is
> going to get to play a race card? FAT CHANCE!
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

You are irrelevant.
Get off my computer.
```

2067. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 15:50:10 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD3EAB2-5295@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 229995

You gonna get free crack!,,,,,,,,,
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307836

You gonna get free Chicken MacNuggets!,,,,,,,
cuhulin

2068. Re: There goes your pension funds ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 15:51:33 UTC
From: extra class <ssssskk000@blvvvvytf.com>
Message-ID: <ir0pu8$6e6$1@speranza.aioe.org>
Source record: 229994

On May 17,2011 11:19<arthrnyork@webtv.net>wrote:

>Isn't that phenomenon called 'Reagonomics' ? Make money out of clear
>blue sky and keep on laughing all the way to the bank !

More like capital knows no home.

2069. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 16:04:44 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0qmv$o1g$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 5:21 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 17, 10:12 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/17/2011 5:35 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 16, 11:24 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"
>>> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
>>>> On 5/16/2011 8:22 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>>>> On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts
>>>>> Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!
>>>>> <snicker>
>>>> Obama would not, of course, have been born a citizen had he been born
>>>> abroad
>>> Yes, he would.
>>>> (which he may very well have been.)
>>> That has been proven to be an impossible claim ... TWICE.
>>> Hawaii proved Obama is a natural born citizen, making Obama the FIRST
>>> and ONLY presidential candidate in our nations history to DOCUMENT the
>>> proven fact that he was born on US soil. A claim McCain could not
>>> make.
>> ROFLOL ... the insanity never ends.
> We know that, Johnny KQQK!! You provide ENDLESS insanity to amuse your
> many betters! We ought to THANK you for letting us laugh at your
> boundless stupidity!!
>
> Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
> won't, not even a typo!

Ignoring the molten iron, ignoring the impossible free fall speeds,
ignoring that building just DON'T drop into their own footprints without
controlled demolition, ignoring the thermite/thermate in the dust ...
beyond any doubt, and inside job and a mass murder by criminal elements
in our government ... the evidence is overwhelming ...

Regards,
JS

2070. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 16:05:54 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0qp4$o1g$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 8:47 AM, Sid9 wrote:
> ...
>
> You are irrelevant.
> Get off my computer.

Quit replying to my posts, you are like dog shit -- difficult to scrape
off my shoe ...

Regards,
JS

2071. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 16:07:58 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0qt0$o1g$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229998
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/17/2011 10:51 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:iqvj8b$p1u$1@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/17/2011 9:47 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:iqvefa$t26$2@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 5/17/2011 5:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Care to step up to the plate?
>>>>>
>>>>> Pick your BEST piece of evidence concerning a specific detail that
>>>>> you assert PROVES the official report was wrong about and which
>>>>> can't be reasonably explained by known events but only as set
>>>>> forth by your conspiracy theory and present that proof.
>>>>>
>>>>> Please note, The proof presented must be complete, concise,
>>>>> specific, and irrefutable. No having to go digging around looking
>>>>> up claims or trying to find out whether claims are correct. It
>>>>> must include any and all cites necessary to support any evidence
>>>>> included. Any and all assumptions made MUST be spelled out
>>>>> specifically at the start and it must be explained why these
>>>>> assumptions are reasonable to make. In short, I expect a complete
>>>>> package that PROVES your claims beyond any reasonable doubt.
>>>>>
>>>>> Come on, I'm only asking you to prove any single specific element.
>>>>> Surely you can do that?
>>>>>
>>>>> Batter up.
>>>>>
>>>>> *personally, I expect you to either decline or strike out, because
>>>>> in my experience no "truther" has ever been able to conclusively
>>>>> prove even a single claim that shows their conspiracy theory has
>>>>> any merit at all.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> http://darkpolitics.wordpress.com/2010/08/01/nist-admits-their-report-is-not-consiste
```

nt-with-basic-principles-of-physics/
>>>>
>>>
>>> An opinion piece unsupported by any objective, conclusive proof of
>>> the claims made.
>>>
>>> John fails to support his asserts concerning his theories yet again.
>>>
>>> That's what about strike 5 or 6 for you John?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> ROFLOL ... loser ...
>
> You certainly are. I told you exactly and specifically what was
> required and some opinion piece with vague references to unsupported
> assertions and claims just isn't going to cut it.
>
> Either you don't realize what actually constitutes conclusive proof,
> or you simply don't have any. Either way, it does NOTHING to support
> your theories and tends to make them simply look like the result of
> crackpots to anyone trying to give you a chance to present your case.
>
> Oh well, another 9/11 conspiracy nut shows again that they can't prove
> their assertions even with their best evidence on even one specific
> detail.
>
>

The free fall speeds, the molten iron, the controlled demolition which
allowed the buildings to fall into their own footprints, the
thermite/thermate in the dust, etc.

You argue against overwhelming proof and experts ... funny to watch ...

www.911truth.org

Regards,
JS

2072. Re: 9/11 IS AS BIG A JOKE AS OBAMAS' FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 16:09:31 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0qvu$o1g$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 229999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/18/2011 1:51 AM, Ron wrote:
> ...
> .
> JS, I'd be willing to bet you think the Moon Landing in 1969 was a
> hoax.
>
> RO

Attempt to stay focused, you are not on the moon.

We have been discussing the fraudulent birth certificate of obama and
the 9/11 inside job ...

Regards,
JS

2073. Re: 9/11 IS AS BIG A JOKE AS OBAMAS' FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 16:10:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0r22$o1g$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 5:19 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> ...
> He thinks his own birth was a hoax.

Still, you are left with no evidence, proof or experts to back up your complaints about the truth getting out ...

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2074. Re: It's Time for "The Power Hour" on WWCR Shortwave -topic- 'The ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 16:11:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DD3EFC3-1696@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230001

Cat Island,Mississippi, First shots fired against the invaiding British
in the War of 1812.
http://www.culfcoastnews.com/GCNnewsHistoryCatIsland052611.htm

The Frogs thought those Racoons were Cats.I reckon as how someday I
ought to go visit Cat Island.
Huh,,, Meowwwwww,,,,
cuhulin

2075. Re: Student loan crisis!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 16:22:06 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0rng$o1g$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230002
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 4:57 AM, BAR wrote:
> In article<62n6t65q0ho6ho7jpp8ua2qq1j03tvotkf@4ax.com>, donsno2
> @charter.net says...
>> On Tue, 17 May 2011 18:16:56 -0700, Larry Whitaker
>> <larry@pivotforpower.com> wrote:
>>
>>> $800 Billion accumulated by students attending expensive colleges.
>>> They can't pay it back!
>>> Which brings the question, how did Obama attend Punehoe in Hawaii,
>>> Occidental in California, Columbia in NYC, and then Harvard Law?????
>>> EXTREMELY expensive schools. But Obama had no money! He had no
>>> wealthy relatives! But somehow he matriculated into those schools and
>>> "graduated" and doesn't owe half a million in student loans. AND,
>>> BTW, neither does Michelle.
>>> Anyone know how they did it?
>> I imagine with his mother in graduate school at UH Punahou found a way
>> to help him a little with the expenses. Also, his grandparents were
>> there, and his grandfather was probably steadily employed--he was
>> still a relatively young man.
> Punahou was a very selective school back then, in the 70's, if you were
> not of the correct religion or of Hawaiian descent you couldn't get in.
> My grandmother was an alumni of Punahou but we, her grand-children, were
> of the wrong religion.
>
>> Occidental is a small, religiously-oriented liberal arts college; I
>> think you're way off base to put it on a list of EXTREMELY expensive
>> schools.
> Harvard is cheaper than Occidental. Small and religious does not equate
> to cheap.
>
>> I suspect Columbia and Harvard Law School, with their endowments, have
>> scholarship programs for students with high enough qualifications.
> Facts not in evidence.
>
>> A wealthy guy like you probably doesn't realize it, but a lot of
>> students also work while they're enrolled in school.
> Obama was too busy smoking dope, snorting coke and doing who knows what
> other drugs.
>
>> And when he went through those schools the costs were nowhere near
>> what they have become since, so your whole premise is shaky.
> $5000 back then was a lot of money.
>

>> I doubt you know the first thing about whether either of them had
>> student loans. One more thing on the list of things you don't know.
> State schools were cheap, private schools were expensive. The private
> schools were accepting more students due to the fact that they needed
> the revenue.

Gee, I couldn't have afforded obamas' private schools, back then. And, I
was the norm, NOT the exception!

Obviously, MONEY, and REAL MONEY was behind obama -- why do you think he
has enacted executive orders to seal all those records? Something is amiss!

ï¿½The only people who don't want to disclose the /truth/, are people with
/something to hide/ï¿½ -- barack obama AKA barry soetoro

Looks to me, even when obama is telling you point blank, you still bury
your head in the sand -- nice demonstration of your bias, DUDE!

Regards,
JS

2076. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 16:30:22 UTC
From: Uno Hu <lorad474@cs.com>
Message-ID: <LJKdneU5AcOCaU7QnZ2dnUVZ_vWdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230003
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

9-11 was an insde job.

And you are an odious little flunkie.

2077. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job -- like obamas' birth certificate, a sham ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 16:35:24 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0sge$o1g$16@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230004
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/18/2011 9:30 AM, Uno Hu wrote:
> 9-11 was an insde job.
>
> And you are an odious little flunkie.

The drugs and alcohol and her social disease of homosexuality has left
her in a perpetual state of anger and denial ...

Regards,
JS

2078. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 16:44:01 UTC
From: "Warren E. Harrison" <fuckwit's.daddy@shitbags.con>
Message-ID: <B_idnZ7zUu7Dak7QnZ2dnUVZ5vOdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/17/2011 5:35 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 16, 11:24 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"
> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
>> On 5/16/2011 8:22 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>> On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts
>>
>>> Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!
>>
>>> <snicker>
>>
>> Obama would not, of course, have been born a citizen had he been born
>> abroad
>
> Yes, he would.

Nope. Not under the INA of 1952 that was in effect at the time. His
one citizen parent didn't meet the US residency requirement for giving
birth abroad to a statutory (not natural-born) US citizen.

>
>> (which he may very well have been.)
>
> That has been proven to be an impossible claim ... TWICE.

No, it hasn't.

>
> Hawaii proved

"Hawaii" proved nothing.

2079. Re: Student loan crisis!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 16:44:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD3F763-5331@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230006

What A Public Bank Could Mean For California
http://www.rense.com
http://www.webofdept.com/articles/feds_states.php
cuhulin

2080. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 16:51:28 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0tei$bd6$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,rec.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 9:44 AM, Warren E. Harrison wrote:
> ...
> Nope. Not under the INA of 1952 that was in effect at the time. His
> one citizen parent didn't meet the US residency requirement for giving
> birth abroad to a statutory (not natural-born) US citizen.
>
>
>>
>>> (which he may very well have been.)
>>
>> That has been proven to be an impossible claim ... TWICE.
>
> No, it hasn't.
>
>
>>
>> Hawaii proved
>
> "Hawaii" proved nothing.

He is keeping a perfect record, he has been consistantly wrong in every
one of his posts ... he is attempting a Guinness World Record!

Regards,
JS

2081. Oil Subsidies are socialistic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 16:52:35 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <g5u7t6h0bf65q8sl84g5o2kj2485f4buvc@4ax.com>
Source record: 230009
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

People who talk about how capitalism works can't be happy about oil company subsidies. (nor any other kind of subsidies that distort the market).

And people who believe we shouldn't be dependent upon supplies from potential enemies can't be happy that we are subsidizing the industry that pays them so much.

But politicians need to remember who's paying them and do what their bosses tell them to do.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

2082. Re: Student loan crisis!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 16:54:51 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <adu7t65ra3netjskr2fe8gut6lmt6js2he@4ax.com>
Source record: 230010
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Wed, 18 May 2011 09:22:06 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
wrote:

>Gee, I couldn't have afforded obamas' private schools, back then. And, I
>was the norm, NOT the exception!

I know quite a few people who have had significant assistance to
attend "elite" schools. Scholarships are out there.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

2083. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 16:54:53 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8aa60520-9e2f-4bfd-a954-ae8e1e292150@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230008
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 17, 11:15 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/17/2011 6:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 17, 8:06 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >> In article<5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> >> @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >>> On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton"<zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> >>>> joeturn wrote:
> >>>>> On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1<quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> >>>>>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >>>>>> wrote:
> >>>>>>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> >>>>>>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >>>>>>>> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>> ...
> >>>>>>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> >>>>>>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> >>>>>>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> >>>>>>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> >>>>>>>>>> astounding.
> >>>>>>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> >>>>>>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> >>>>>>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> >>>>>>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> >>>>>>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> >>>>>>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> >>>>>>>>> ...
> >>>>>>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
> >>>>>>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> >>>>>>>> actual evidence.
> >>>>>>> Wild speculation?
> >>>>>>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
> >>>>>>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> >>>>>>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> >>>>>>> use?

> >>>>>> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> >>>>>> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
> >>>>>>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> >>>>>>> occurring today, is in error?
> >>>>>> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> >>>>>> evidence?
> >>>>>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> >>>>>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
> >>>>>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> >>>>>> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> >>>>>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> >>>>>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> >>>>>> vanishes?
> >>>>>>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> >>>>>>> based in reality!
> >>>>>> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
> >>>>>> - Show quoted text -
> >>>>> The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> >>>>> grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
> >>>> and on nobody else's?
> >>>> It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> >>>> nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
> >>>> - Show quoted text -
> >>> Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> >>> go.
> >>>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
> >> It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
>
> >> --
> >> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
> > You feds claimed him a fake but he caught all them cranes and
> > dumptrucks on stanby and the monitor hologramimg the plane.
>
> > Dont you remember bi george you were the one projecting the image of a
> > plane going slam through the building and the aluminum nose was not
> > even bent coming out the other side! That Evin almost spoiled it for
> > you didn't he?
>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_b2Uk6ngQD8&feature=related
>
> Since the radar and other antenna and electronics are in the nose, the
> nose would have either been fiberglass or plastic ...
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

I see,so it just melted through the building and bounced back into shape that explains everything then. Thanks for you keen eye!

2084. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 17:02:42 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <af90c136-afa9-4cca-aebf-3f452594eaf9@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230011
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 17, 11:39 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
> On May 18, 12:48 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > On May 17, 8:31 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 18, 12:24 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > Why would a professional photographer jeopardise his career with a
> > > > crappy camera?
>
> > > Because he can
>
> > He was at the church shooting film with a professional camera,He
> > grabbed that same professional video camera and it captured more than
> > the feds wanted to be made public so they claim it a fake video!
>
> I have a 'professional' Mamiya twin lens reflex camera but I much
> prefer using the little 12meg pocket camera.
> As for that fantastic 'professional' video camera claim its refuted
> itself by the source

You idiot Evin was a freelance photographer working on a film for the
church,he gravbbed the video camera from one of their camera men it
was not a toy such as yours!

Bi-george get back in the truck you are an imbarrisment to me,just
stick to spell ckecking your thought proces has melted down

Because you can?

Do fibeglass cockpits not splatter on impact?

2085. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 17:05:27 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e9d15dcd-f7d9-4287-b868-6b14e31d1a50@l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230012
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 17, 11:42 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <8325c27e-08a1-47ad-a66f-e6bdff1983ac@
> 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 17, 8:46 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > > In article <6e2bffd8-15eb-4ea7-b42d-62f0b3082a80
> > > @c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >
> > > > > On May 17, 8:06 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > > > > > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> > > > > > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > joeturn wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > > > > > > > wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > ...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > astounding.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > something just as amazing and we would consider still in the

> > > > > > > >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > > > > > > > >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > > > > > > > >>>>> ...
>
> > > > > > > > >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>
> > > > > > > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > > > > > > > >>>> actual evidence.
>
> > > > > > > > >>> Wild speculation?
>
> > > > > > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>
> > > > > > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > > > > > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > > > > > > > >>> use?
>
> > > > > > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > > > > > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>
> > > > > > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > > > > > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
>
> > > > > > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > > > > > > > >> evidence?
>
> > > > > > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > > > > > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>
> > > > > > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > > > > > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > > > > > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > > > > > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > > > > > > > >> vanishes?
>
> > > > > > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > > > > > > > >>> based in reality!
>
> > > > > > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > > > > > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>
> > > > > > > > and on nobody else's?
>
> > > > > > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > > > > > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>

> > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> > > > > > go.
>
> > > > > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
>
> > > > > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
>
> > > > > --
> > > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted
text -
>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > Why would a professional photographer jeopardise his career with a
> > > > crappy camera?
>
> > > Don't ask me, ask him. Who says he's a pro anyway? A kooksite? Only a
> > > total idiot wouldn't see instantly that your "disappearing wings" are
> > > nothing more than video artifacts.
>
> > > --
> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4JwTXEJSr4A&NR=1
>
> No, only an artifacting camera can picture it that way.
>
> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Your confusing the added footaged the CIA put in his documentary to
make it look fake.Now their camera was most likely too sophisticated
for them to operate or they to used a pocket cam!

2086. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 17:05:30 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0u8s$el5$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230013
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/18/2011 9:54 AM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 17, 11:15 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/17/2011 6:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 17, 8:06 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>> In article<5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
>>>> @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>>>> On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton"<zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
>>>>>> joeturn wrote:
>>>>>>> On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1<quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
>>>>>>>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
>>>>>>>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
>>>>>>>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
>>>>>>>>>>> astounding.
>>>>>>>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
>>>>>>>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
>>>>>>>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
>>>>>>>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
>>>>>>>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
>>>>>>>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>>>>>>>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
>>>>>>>>> actual evidence.
>>>>>>>>> Wild speculation?
>>>>>>>>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>>>>>>>>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
>>>>>>>>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
>>>>>>>>> use?
```

>>>>>>>> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
>>>>>>>> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>>>>>>>>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
>>>>>>>>> occurring today, is in error?
>>>>>>>> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
>>>>>>>> evidence?
>>>>>>>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
>>>>>>>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>>>>>>>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
>>>>>>>> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
>>>>>>>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
>>>>>>>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
>>>>>>>> vanishes?
>>>>>>>>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
>>>>>>>>> based in reality!
>>>>>>>> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>>>>> The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
>>>>>>> grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>>>>>> and on nobody else's?
>>>>>> It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
>>>>>> nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>>> Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
>>>>> go.
>>>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
>>>> It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
>>>> --
>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>> You feds claimed him a fake but he caught all them cranes and
>>> dumptrucks on stanby and the monitor hologramimg the plane.
>>> Dont you remember bi george you were the one projecting the image of a
>>> plane going slam through the building and the aluminum nose was not
>>> even bent coming out the other side! That Evin almost spoiled it for
>>> you didn't he?
>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_b2Uk6ngQD8&feature=related
>> Since the radar and other antenna and electronics are in the nose, the
>> nose would have either been fiberglass or plastic ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
> I see,so it just melted through the building and bounced back into
> shape that explains everything then. Thanks for you keen eye!

Its' being there is an impossibility, unless someone placed it there, DUH!

"The problem here is that the nose cone of a Boeing 757 is made of
carbon-fibre (like fibreglass); there is no metal nose cone on a 757. An

average strength man (or strong woman) could make mincemeat of a 757 nose cone in about 15 minutes with nothing more than a light axe or a sledgehammer - the same cannot be said of the outer wall of the Pentagon. Punching through stone facade and 2 exterior concrete and brick walls, 4 or more interior poured concrete walls, and a poured concrete floor, to conveniently land front-and-center on a piece of board for photographers is out of the question."

FROM HERE:
http://911review.org/Wiki/PentagonAttackLegend.shtml

Here is a nose cone which has been damaged in flight:
http://www.p2pconsortium.com/index.php/topic/16284-northwest-jet-lands-in-tampa-with-dented-nose-cone/

Obviously, idiots attempted the fraud with that nose cone supposedly going though reinforced concrete! But then, look at the public servants, they have been chosen for puppets, not intelligence!

Regards,
JS

2087. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 17:08:50 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a12c7c42-8fee-49b5-ad76-019172f97cc5@e21g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230014
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On May 17, 11:46 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <dc89221b-c8f8-4157-90e9-
> 92f0abef8...@t19g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> says...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 17, 8:06 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> > > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> > > > On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> > > > > joeturn wrote:
> > > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> > > > > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > > >> wrote:
> > > > > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > > > > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > > >>>> wrote:
> > > > > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> > > > > > >>>>>> ...
> > > > > > >>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > > > > > >>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > > > > > >>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > > > > > >>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > > > > > >>>>>> astounding.
> > > > > > >>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > > > > > >>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > > > > > >>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > > > > > >>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > > > > > >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > > > > > >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > > > > > >>>>> ...
```

>
> > > > > >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>
> > > > > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > > > > > >>>> actual evidence.
>
> > > > > > >>> Wild speculation?
>
> > > > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>
> > > > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > > > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > > > > > >>> use?
>
> > > > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > > > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>
> > > > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > > > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
>
> > > > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > > > > > >> evidence?
>
> > > > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > > > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>
> > > > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > > > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > > > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > > > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > > > > > >> vanishes?
>
> > > > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > > > > > >>> based in reality!
>
> > > > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > > > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>
> > > > > > and on nobody else's?
>
> > > > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > > > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and

> > > > go.
>
> > > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
>
> > > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
>
> > > --
> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > You feds claimed him a fake but he caught all them cranes and
> > dumptrucks on stanby and the monitor holograming the plane.
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHA! That's hilarious, and insane. BTW, I'm not a "fed".
>
>
>
> > Dont you remember bi george you were the one projecting the image of a
> > plane going slam through the building and the aluminum nose was not
> > even bent coming out the other side! That Evin almost spoiled it for
> > you didn't he?
>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_b2Uk6ngQD8&feature=related
>
> You have to remember who you're raving at, I'm not George. Not that it
> matters, you're totally batshit crazy anyway.
>
> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

If youve seen one Government shill you have seen them all!

All the feds read my replys I could have put it on singles chat and
there you would answer it!

2088. Re: Student loan crisis!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 17:08:58 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0ufc$el5$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230015
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 9:54 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Wed, 18 May 2011 09:22:06 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>> Gee, I couldn't have afforded obamas' private schools, back then. And, I
>> was the norm, NOT the exception!
> I know quite a few people who have had significant assistance to
> attend "elite" schools. Scholarships are out there.
>

Yeah, well, if he had won scholarships, fair and square, he wouldn't
need to seal the records ... the information is there, and so is the
evidence of the crime(s.)

Regards,
JS

2089. Re: Oil Subsidies are socialistic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 17:14:45 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0uq7$el5$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230016
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/18/2011 9:52 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> People who talk about how capitalism works can't be happy about oil
> company subsidies. (nor any other kind of subsidies that distort the
> market).
>
> And people who believe we shouldn't be dependent upon supplies from
> potential enemies can't be happy that we are subsidizing the industry
> that pays them so much.
>
> But politicians need to remember who's paying them and do what their
> bosses tell them to do.
>

Yes, that is an almost complete summary of how the crime is occurring,
just in a bit of a cryptic form ... money talks and bull shit walks.

The crime is a well known one ... almost as old as prostitution, their
"other" crime!

Regards,
JS

2090. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 17:15:18 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a88d7377-996f-4161-b4d2-50b39ceaf6ba@h9g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230017
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > What one winged aircraft ?
> > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .

You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
was a [poor source as told by BDK?
```

2091. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 17:21:01 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0c0f2be9-15b0-4e96-8f95-eedbd8d19676@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230018
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 18, 7:29 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 17, 5:06 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> > > On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> > > > joeturn wrote:
> > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> > > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > >> wrote:
>
> > > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > >>>> wrote:
>
> > > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>
> > > > >>>>>> ...
> > > > >>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > > > >>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > > > >>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > > > >>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > > > >>>>>> astounding.
>
> > > > >>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > > > >>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > > > >>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > > > >>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > > > >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > > > >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > > > >>>>> ...
>
> > > > >>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>
> > > > >>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > > > >>> actual evidence.

>
> > > > >>> Wild speculation?
>
> > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>
> > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > > > >>> use?
>
> > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>
> > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
>
> > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > > > >> evidence?
>
> > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>
> > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > > > >> vanishes?
>
> > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > > > >>> based in reality!
>
> > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>
> > > > and on nobody else's?
>
> > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> > > go.
>
> > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
>
> > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.

>
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>
> For One and All,
>
> Ever seen the Heat Waves on the Surface of a Black-Top
> Roadway and the Visual Distortion they Cause ?
>
> Ever seen the Visual Distortion caused by Non-Visual
> Column of Air Pollution {Smoke / Air Particulates } in
> the Air between You and an Object ?
>
> now you see it and now you don't ~ RHF
> -yes-it-is-that-simple-
> .- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

I'm sure they coated the lens with vicks salve to oliminate heat wave
as you can see the building did not fade in and out,just the wings,try
that next time you go to target practice but just wipe it in your eye
to keep it from seeming out of focus!

2092. Re: It's Time for "The Power Hour" on WWCR Shortwave -topic- 'The ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 17:26:38 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <32e6addc-214d-4925-844f-027b5ce4cc3d@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230069

On May 18, 7:53 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:

- Solar Power isn't 'cutting it'.
- Maybe in a hundred years from now?
- cuhulin

Cuhulin,

Relative Use of "Land Area" and Over-All Pay Back :
Still shows Hydro-Power as the better Complete
Alternative* to both Solar-Power and Wind-Power.
Geo-Thermo Power may be better; well at lease
until the 1st Geo-Thermo Plant turns into an Active
{Erupting} Volcano -oops-too-hot-to-handle-oops-

* On-Demand-Power Production
+ Flood Reduction & Water Management
+ Water for Agriculture Farming
+ Clean Water for People
+ + By-Product Grey Water for Sewage Treatment
+ Water for Recreation
-note- Now of which Solar and Wind can do.
~&~
=As=Always=Just=Say=Hell="NO!"=To=Nuclear=
For 50 Years of Nuclear Power : You Get 1000 Years
of Managing a Nuclear "Toxic" Contaminated Power
Plant Site and Controlling the Containment of Nuclear
Waste for a Hundred Generations into the Future.
-nuclear-power-the-'deadly-devil'-is-in-the-details-
.
.
It's Time for "The Power Hour" on WWCR Shortwave
-topic- 'The Windpower Fraud' on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/1787a281d91badc6
.
.

2093. Re: Student loan crisis!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 17:27:11 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir0vhh$el5$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230070
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 10:26 AM, Hollis2 wrote:
> On May 17 2011 9:16 PM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
>
>> $800 Billion accumulated by students attending expensive colleges.
>> They can't pay it back!
>>
>> Which brings the question, how did Obama attend Punehoe in Hawaii,
>> Occidental in California, Columbia in NYC, and then Harvard Law?????
>> EXTREMELY expensive schools. But Obama had no money! He had no
>> wealthy relatives! But somehow he matriculated into those schools and
>> "graduated" and doesn't owe half a million in student loans. AND,
>> BTW, neither does Michelle.
>>
>> Anyone know how they did it?
>>
>> Larry
> Obama's grandmother was a bank VP and his grandfather was in the furniture
> business. They could have supplied the money. In addition, there are
> numerous minority scholarship fund available to black students who are in
> financial need if his grandparents were unwilling to give him money.
>
> Columbia University was, and still is, very eager to admit, and award
> degrees, to minority students. If they want a black student they would be
> very likely to provide financial aid if it was needed.
>
> Besides, I'm not sure why this matters one way or the other.
>
> ____
> RecGroups : the community-oriented newsreader : www.recgroups.com
>
>

Except, some actual background:
http://www.theobamafile.com/obamaeducation.htm

But, some background on the grandmother and grandfather needs to be
developed. Until now, I have chosen to overlook them. An investigation
into their backgrounds might reveal something of interest, also!

Regards,
JS

2094. Re: Oil Subsidies are socialistic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 17:27:36 UTC
From: betweentheeyes <betweentheeyes@defendingThe2nd.org>
Message-ID: <ir0vi9$r1v$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230071
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"Howard Brazee" wrote in message
news:g5u7t6h0bf65q8sl84g5o2kj2485f4buvc@4ax.com...

And you cross posted this to a firearms discussion group
because?

2095. [Fill-in-the-Blank] Subsidies are US Federal Government "Corporate Welfare"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 17:45:27 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <9e928a9e-35e1-46d8-a5e8-b3a50098aa6b@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230072
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 18, 9:52 am, Howard Brazee <how...@brazee.net> wrote:
> People who talk about how capitalism works can't be happy about oil
> company subsidies. (nor any other kind of subsidies that distort the
> market).
>
> And people who believe we shouldn't be dependent upon supplies from
> potential enemies can't be happy that we are subsidizing the industry
> that pays them so much.
>
> But politicians need to remember who's paying them and do what their
> bosses tell them to do.
>
> --
> "In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
> than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
> to the legislature, and not to the executive department."
>
> - James Madison

Oil Subsidies are US Federal Government "Corporate Welfare"
-aka- State Sponsored 'Socialism' on a National Scale
-wrt- "Corporatism" on a National Scale {National-Socialism*}
-and- 21st Century "State-Corporatist-Socialism"
-that's- 21st Century Liberal-Fascist Economics
* National-Socialism = "Corporatism" = Fascism
-obama-ni$m- -obama-ni$m- -obama-ni$m- -obama-ni$m-
.
[Fill-in-the-Blank] Subsidies are US Federal Government "Corporate
Welfare"
.
Solar-Power Subsidies are US Federal Government "Corporate Welfare"
.
Wind-Power Subsidies are US Federal Government "Corporate Welfare"
.
Nuclear-Power Subsidies are US Federal Government "Corporate
Welfare"
.
Electric-Car Subsidies are US Federal Government "Corporate Welfare"
.
-and- Consumer Heating-Oil Subsidies are 'indirect'
US Federal Government "Corporate Welfare"
.
.

2096. Re: Student loan crisis!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 18:01:35 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <606cf6f5-c6ce-400b-85c8-11cd2c46c7d5@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230073
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 17, 9:11 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/17/2011 6:16 PM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
>
> > $800 Billion accumulated by students attending expensive colleges.
> > They can't pay it back!
>
> > Which brings the question, how did Obama attend Punehoe in Hawaii,
> > Occidental in California, Columbia in NYC, and then Harvard Law?????
> > EXTREMELY expensive schools. But Obama had no money! He had no
> > wealthy relatives! But somehow he matriculated into those schools and
> > "graduated" and doesn't owe half a million in student loans. AND,
> > BTW, neither does Michelle.
>
> > Anyone know how they did it?
>
> > Larry

- CIA?
- Indonesian scholarships?
- "Grooming" by the shadow government? ???
-
- Regards,
- JS

[Fill-in-the-Blank] Subsidies are US Federal Government
"Corporate Welfare"
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/41c661d046fd8549
-also-
Student {Loans} Subsidies are US Federal Government
"Corporate Welfare" to the Educational Industry Complex
-also-
Student {Grants} Subsidies are US Federal Government
"Corporate Welfare" to the Educational Industry Complex
.
Was Prez Obama a Draft Dodger back in 1980 ?
http://www.debbieschlussel.com/4428/
.
The Selective Service Card was supposedly signed in
Hawaii {maybe by his Grand Father ?} while Obama
was away in California going to Occidental College in 1980.
http://www.oxy.edu/x7992.xml
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4d0620dc78f0fb11
-oops-another-inconvenient-obama-truth-
.

Obama Did Not Registrar for the Draft : Facts Show
His Grandfather Registered for BHO in Hawaii when
BHO was already in California in College as a Foreign
{Resident} Student from Indonesia
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4d0620dc78f0fb11
-oops-another-inconvenient-obama-truth-
.
.

2097. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job -- like obamas' birth certificate, a sham ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 18:15:12 UTC
From: Freedom Man <freespeech@4eva.com>
Message-ID: <ir12bh$g0h$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230074
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir0sge$o1g$16@dont-email.me...
> On 5/18/2011 9:30 AM, Uno Hu wrote:
>> 9-11 was an insde job.
>>
>> And you are an odious little flunkie.
>
> The drugs and alcohol and her social disease of homosexuality has left her
> in a perpetual state of anger and denial ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

As always, the coverup shills and deniers of the 9/11 INSIDE JOB have no facts to present, so they resort in their frustration to childish ad-hominem attack.

NINE-ELEVEN - NEVER AGAIN!

To understand the point I wish to make, let's assume that an obviously alien creature was walking peacefully down the street, and observe peoples' reactions to it.

Some would simply not see it! Their brains would reject as IMPOSSIBLE what their eyes tell them, and block out their awareness of the unearthly creature.

Some might stare, perhaps be shocked or frightened, but then go on, rationalizing that they only saw someone in costume, or that they had indulged too heavily at last night's party and were seeing things. They would tell no one what they saw for fear of being called crazy. They would even, in defense of their own rationalization, label other people that reported such a sighting crazy. This is the psychological phenomenon of DENIAL.

Perhaps in fear for their own sanity, deniers condemn as crazy others that claim aliens or whatever to be real. Such assertions are frightening because they violate their sense of reality - "only KOOKS believe in aliens!" Thus people tend to reject as unreal what is not comfortable for them to accept, and likewise embrace beliefs that do not hold up to scientific scrutiny but do provide them comfort. You don't believe what you DON'T WANT to believe; denial thus serves to protect the mind from what would be mentally traumatic if accepted.

Some things are simply too horrific, too abominably appalling, too greatly in violation of what we WANT to think or believe, to accept as actual reality - even when they ARE real! "Look; the Emperor wears no clothes," said the child too na&#65533;ve to know that such facts are not to be spoken of, nor even acknowledged to oneself.

PSYOPS - definition paraphrased from Wikipedia: Psychological Operations. Techniques used to influence a target audience's value systems, beliefs, emotions, motives, reasoning, or behavior. PSYOPS are used to induce confessions or reinforce attitudes and behaviors favorable to the originator's objectives, and are sometimes combined with black operations or false flag tactics. The phrase is commonly used by governments who wish to avoid the terms propaganda and brainwashing in reference to their own work because those terms have negative connotations. The use of such euphemisms for what is in effect mind control is itself an example of psychological operations, i.e. using psychological techniques to persuade a large number of people to support something they wouldn't normally support or to unquestioningly accept lies.

Obviously, to permit or commit an atrocity on the scale of the 9/11 attacks, and/or to exploit the horror, shock, and fear thus created to influence public opinion and actions, is clearly a PSYOP. The exploitation of the psychological phenomenon of DENIAL is also clearly a PSYOP. Who would want to believe that traitors in our own government would permit, or even perpetrate, such an atrocity on their own people? How much easier it would be to accept the existence of aliens - or fanatical Jihadists!

"But, after all, it is the leaders of the country who determine the policy and it is always a simple matter to drag the people along, whether it is a democracy, or a fascist dictatorship, or a parliament, or a communist dictatorship. Voice or no voice, the people can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked and then denounce the peacemakers for lack of patriotism and exposing the country to danger. It works the same in any country." - Hermann Goering at the Nuremberg Trials.

Now that we understand what PSYOPS are, and the psychological phenomenon of DENIAL, we are in a better position to rationally investigate the true nature and possible sources of the 9/11 attacks.

The UNDENIABLE EVIDENCE of an INSIDE JOB:

BLOWN TO BITS:

The sudden, complete, straight down at near free fall speed collapse of steel framed WTC building 7, which was not touched by the planes, is the SMOKING GUN of the 9/11 conspiracy. The building's minor fires and debris damage could not account for this collapse, which had all the earmarks of controlled demolition by explosives. Videos clearly show this. Such demolitions take many days or weeks to set up - not the few hours between the attacks and the collapse. The explosives therefore had to be put in place BEFOREHAND. This lends credibility to the use of previously placed explosives to bring down the towers as well, which like the badly damaged

and fire-gutted Deutchbank building would probably have remained standing.

Propaganda shills, disinformationists, and those in psychological denial still insist the collapse of WTC 7 could not be what it obviously was, and they employ often ludicrous rationalizations and fabrications, elaborate lies, and infantile ad-hominem attacks to defend their indefensible position. The REAL terrorists are desperate to cover up their mass-murderous crime of the century - the permitting if not perpetration of, and subsequent political and economic exploitation of the fully preventable 9/11 disaster. Could Bin Laden have somehow totally incapacitated NORAD - the world's most sophisticated aerospace defense system - on that horrible morning? I don't think so!

There is evidence of an INSIDE JOB even more clear and indisputable than the explosive demolition collapse of building 7 and the standing down of NORAD. Many very small HUMAN BODY FRAGMENTS have been found on the roofs of nearby buildings. These were too far away to be from jumpers from the towers. If the towers simply collapsed from damage and fire alone, what blew these bodies to smithereens and sent the fragments flying for considerable distances? The plane impacts did not have the explosive brisance (shattering force) necessary to do this - only HIGH EXPLOSIVES can blow bodies to tiny bits and throw them such distances.

So - who can credibly account for these body fragments, other than their being the result of high explosives being detonated in the towers?

The following article proves, using the inviolate laws of physics, the falsity of the government's propaganda explanation for the building collapses:

SIMPLE PHYSICS EXPOSES THE BIG 9/11 LIE - GOVERNMENT BUILDING COLLAPSE EXPLANATION FAILS REALITY CHECK

On September 11, 2001, the world watched in horror as the World TradeCenter (WTC) Twin Towers collapsed, killing thousands of innocent people. Videos of the collapses were replayed ad nauseam on TV for days. About 5 hours after the towers fell, WTC building 7 also collapsed suddenly, completely, and straight down at near free-fall speed. This steel-framed building was not touched by the planes that struck the towers, and had sustained relatively minor debris damage and small fires. Nearby buildings far more heavily damaged remained standing.

In June 2005, in an apparent response to an article by Morgan Reynolds, former CIA Director and current Secretary of Defense Robert Gates stated, "The American people know what they saw with their own eyes on September11, 2001. To suggest any kind of government conspiracy in the events of that day goes beyond the pale."

We will prove here, with scientific rigor, that it's the government's tale that's "beyond the pale!"

Did most of the American people really understand the unprecedented phenomena they had witnessed? Could a lack of knowledge of physics, and the

emotional shock of this mass-murderous "terrorist attack" have stymied objective thinking and led to the blind acceptance of authoritarian assertions?

The government and the media TOLD US what we saw. The government told us that we had witnessed a "gravitational" collapse; what is now referred to as a "pancake collapse". According to the government claims, the plane crashes and subsequent kerosene (like lamp oil - jet fuel is NOT exotic) fires heated the UL-certified structural steel to the point where it was significantly weakened, which is very difficult to believe, never mind repeat in an experiment. Even with massive fires that incinerate everything else, the steel frames of such buildings generally remain standing.

According to the "pancake theory", this purported (all physical evidence was quickly and illegally destroyed) weakening supposedly caused part of the tower to collapse downward onto the rest of the tower, which, we've been repeatedly told, somehow resulted in a chain reaction of the lower floors sequentially, one at a time, yielding to the weight falling from above.

There are some problems with that theory - it does not fit the observed facts

* It cannot account for the total failure of the immense vertical steelcore columns - as if they were there one moment and gone the next.

* The collapse times were near free-fall, far too rapid to be due to gravity alone. This article focuses on the latter of these two discrepancies.

Those that concocted the "pancake theory" made a fatal error - they didn't check their story against the inviolate laws of physics! This is easy to do, even without any physical evidence to examine. We can test that incredible pancake tale using basic high-school physics. Let's do that - use a simple, unassailable, incontrovertible conservation-of-energy analysis to perform a reality check that establishes once and for all that the government, and such government story backers as PBS, Popular Mechanics, and Scientific American have falsified the true nature of the 9/11 disaster.

How Gravity Acts:

Sir Isaac Newton noticed that apples fell from trees. Others had also noticed this, but none had ever devised a theory of gravity from the observation. Over the years, mankind has learned that the force ofgravity at and near Earth's surface produces an acceleration of known constant magnitude. That doesn't mean we know HOW it works, or WHY, but we have become able to predict its effects with a high degree of precision and certainty - gravity has always had the same, predictable, effect.

Galileo Galilei used the leaning tower of Pisa to demonstrate that a large ball and a small one (of lesser mass) fell (accelerated downward) at the same rate. Prior to Galileo, people had just assumed that heavier objects fall faster, much the way they had assumed the Earth was flat.

So while an object of greater mass will exert more force (its weight) upon anything supporting it against gravity's pull, it does not experience any greater acceleration when gravity's pull is not opposed - when it is falling. Earth's gravity at and near the surface of the planet can only accelerate objects downward at one known, constant rate: 32 feet persecond for each second of free fall. As Galileo demonstrated centuries ago, heavier objects are not accelerated any quicker than are lighter objects.

So Earth's gravity produces a downward acceleration of 32 feet per second per second. This means that an object, after falling one second, will be falling at a speed of 32 ft/sec. After the 2nd second, it will be falling at 64 ft/sec. After the 3rd second, it will be falling at 96 ft/sec., and so on.

Further, since gravity's acceleration is constant, and an object isfalling at 32 ft/sec after one second has elapsed, we know that it has averaged 16 ft/sec for the entire distance. Thus after one second, the object has fallen 16 feet.

Scientists have derived simple free-fall equations that can be used to harness this knowledge mathematically. These equations can be found inany high-school physics book

* Falling velocity = acceleration of gravity x time. (V = G x T)
And
* Distance fallen = 1/2 x acceleration of gravity x time squared. (D =1/2 x G x T x T)

So if we want to know how far an object has free-fallen after 3 seconds

Distance = 1/2 x 32 x 9 = 144 feet

So after 3 seconds in Earth's gravity, an object will have fallen 144 feet and will be falling at 96 ft/sec.

Checking Our Work:

We've just solved a simple physics problem. Now let's check our work,using conservation of energy.

We know that energy can neither be created nor destroyed - it merely changes form. If we take the potential (in this case chemical, molecular) energyin a barrel of oil and burn it, it changes to heat energy. When we burn gasoline in our car's engine, we get kinetic (motional) energy, plus some heat, as an engine is not 100% efficient. When we use our car's brakes to bleed off some of that kinetic energy (slow down), that energy isconverted into heat (the brakes get hot). Explosives convert potential energy [molecular or atomic] to kinetic energy (explosive force) quickly enough to shatter or even pulverize concrete.

In the case of the free-falling object, the two kinds of energy we are concerned with are kinetic energy and potential energy. Examples of potential (gravitational) energy are the energy available from water stored up high in a water tower, or a boulder perched atop a hill. If whateveris holding it up there is removed, it will fall under the influence of

gravity's pull. As it accelerates downward, the potential energy is converted to the kinetic energy of the object's motion.

So, as an object falls, it changes its potential energy into kinetic energy.

The equation for potential energy is
* Potential Energy = Mass (or weight) x Gravity x Height. (PE = M x G xH)

The equation for kinetic energy is
* Kinetic Energy = 1/2 x Mass x Velocity squared. (KE = 1/2 x M x V x V)

So let's just say, for the sake of simplicity, that our falling object hasa mass of 1. (Remember, the object's mass will affect its energy, and its momentum, but not its rate of free-fall.)

The potential energy given up by falling 3 seconds (144 ft) is: 1 x 32x144 = 4608

The kinetic energy gained after falling 3 seconds is 1/2 x 1 x 96 squared =1/2 x 9216 = 4608

So, the available potential energy was converted into kinetic energy.Seeing that energy was, in fact, conserved is how we know that the answer in the simple case above was correct. We've checked our work, using an independent analysis, based upon the sound physical principle of conservation of energy. Now, and only now, we can be certain that our answer was correct.

One Little Complication - the effect of air resistance

The free-fall equations above reflect a perfect, frictionless world. They perfectly predict the behavior of falling bodies in a vacuum. In fact, you may have seen a science class demonstration in which the air is pumped out of a tube and then a feather will fall, in that vacuum, just as fast as will a solid metal ball.

That's how parachutes work: much of the falling object's potential energy gets expended doing the work of pushing a lot of air out of the way inorder for the object to fall. As a result, not all of the gravitational potential energy can go towards accelerating the object downward at gravity's rate of 32 ft/sec/sec.

In other words, only when there is zero frictional resistance can any falling object's potential energy be completely converted into kinetic energy. Anything that resists a falling object's downward velocity reduces its acceleration from the maximum gravitational acceleration of 32 feet per second per second, as some of gravity's potential energy is consumed in overcoming frictional resistance.

This explains the phenomena of "terminal velocity". The free-fall equations predict that a falling object's velocity will continue to increase without limit. But in air, once a falling object reaches a certain speed, its propensity to fall will be matched by the air's resistance to the fall.At that point the object will continue to fall, but its speed will no longer increase over time. Another way of looking at it is this: gravity's

incessant force produces a downward acceleration, but friction with theair creates an upward force and thus an upward acceleration. When falling at terminal velocity, the acceleration downward equals the accelerationupward, they cancel each other out, and a constant downward velocity ismaintained.

Thus the parachute, with its high air friction resistance, allows theperson attached to it to float to earth unharmed.

A Quick Recap:

Earth's gravity causes objects to fall, and they fall according to precise physical equations. The equations assume no air or other resistance. Any resistance at all will cause the object to fall less rapidly than it would without that resistance. If a falling object is affected by airresistance it falls slower than it would if free-falling, and it will take longer to fall a given distance.

Free-fall From WTC Building Heights

The towers were 1350 and 1360 feet tall; average = 1355 feet. Let's start by using our free-fall equation to see how long it should take an objectto free-fall from the towers' height.

Distance = 1/2 x Gravity x Time squared. (D = 1/2 x G x T x T)

With a little basic algebra, we solve the equation for the fall time,T:

2 x Distance = Gravity x Time(squared) (2 x D = G x T x T)
Time squared = (2 x Distance) / Gravity (T x T = 2 x D / G)
Time squared = 2 x 1355 / 32 = 84.7 (T = square root of (2 x D / G))
Time = 9.2

So our equation tells us that it takes 9.2 seconds to free-fall to the ground from the height of the WTC towers.

Using our simpler equation, V = G x T, we can see that at 9.2 seconds, the free-falling object's velocity must be about 295 ft/sec, which is justover 200 mph.

But that can only occur IN A VACUUM.

Since the WTC was in Earth's atmosphere at sea level, you might be able to imagine how much air resistance that represents. Think about putting your arm out the window of a car moving even half that fast! Most free-falling objects reach their terminal velocity long before they reach 200 mph. For example, the terminal velocity of a free-falling human body is around 120 mph. The terminal velocity of a free-falling cat is around 60 mph.

Therefore, it is clear that air resistance alone will make it take longer than 9.2 seconds for anything falling from the towers' height to reach the ground.

Observations from 9/11:

On page 305 of the 9/11 Commission Report, we are told, in the government's "complete and final report" on 9/11, that the South Tower collapsed in 10 seconds. Here is the exact quote

"At 9:58:59, the South Tower collapsed in ten seconds". That's the government's official number. With all the videos that show it, they could not lie about this.

But as we've determined above, the FREE-FALL TIME IN A VACUUM is 9.2 seconds, and 10 seconds is an exceptionally short fall time through the air.

This "collapse" was not without far more physical resistance than from the air alone. It proceeded through all the lower stories of the tower. Those undamaged floors below the plane impact zone offered resistance thousands of times greater than that of air. Those lower stories, and the central steel core columns, had successfully supported the mass of the tower for 30 years despite hurricane-force winds and tremors. Air cannot do that.

Can anyone possibly imagine undamaged lower floors getting out of the way of the upper floors as gracefully and relatively without friction as air would? Can anyone possibly imagine the lower stories slowing the fall of the upper floors less than would, say, a parachute?

It is beyond the scope of the simple but uncontested physics here to tell you how long such a collapse should have taken. Would it have taken a minute? Ten minutes? Hard to say, but certainly it would take far more than 10 seconds!

What is certain, beyond any shadow of a doubt, is that the towers could not have collapsed gravitationally, through their intact lower stories, as rapidly as was observed on 9/11. Not even close. This is shown above to be physically impossible!

Not only was tremendous energy expended in causing the observed massive high-speed sideways debris ejections, but virtually all the concrete and glass of the tower was pulverized - actually dissociated is a better word. Never mind what happened to all the supporting steel core columns! The energy requirements to do anything like that, alone, rival the total amount of potential energy that the entire tower had to give. Gravity alone is sufficient to cause some things to fall that far, even through air, in close to the observed 10 second collapse time. But that is without the huge expenditure of energy necessary to pulverize all of that concrete and glass, eject debris, plus cause the steel core columns to effectively disappear. The gravitational potential energy present was certainly not enough to have done all these things at once.

Energy can neither be created nor destroyed; it only changes form. So WHERE DID ALL THAT ADDITIONAL DESTRUCTIVE ENERGY COME FROM?

Conclusions

In order for the towers to have collapsed "gravitationally" in the observed duration, as we've been told over and over again, one or more of the following zany-sounding conditions must have been met

* The undamaged structure below the impact zone offered zero resistance to the collapse.
* The glass and concrete spontaneously disintegrated without any expenditure of energy.
* The massive vertical steel core columns simply vanished, as if by magic.
* On 9/11 alone, in that location alone, gravity was much stronger than gravity.
* On 9/11 alone, in that location alone, energy was not conserved.

None of these laws-of-physics-violating, and thus impossible, conditions can be accounted for by the official government theory of 9/11, nor by any of the subsequent analyses and arguments designed to prop up this official myth of 9/11.

The Bottom Line

The government explanations for the WTC collapses fail the most basic conservation-of-energy reality check. Therefore the government theory is FALSE; it does not fit the observed facts, and the notion of a "pancake collapse" cannot account for what happened. The "pancake collapse" explanation is impossible, and thus absurd. It is A LIE.

It is utterly impossible for a gravitational collapse to proceed so destructively through a path of such great resistance in anywhere near free-fall time. This fact debunks the preposterous contention that theWTC collapses can be blamed solely upon damage resulting from the plane impacts.

The unnaturally short durations of the top-down collapses reveal that the towers did not disintegrate because they were coming down, but rather they came down because something else was causing them to disintegrate.

So, to the extent that people accept the ridiculous "pancake collapse" story, former CIA Director and current Secretary of Defense Gates' other premise, that people know what they saw, is also false. It is left to you to decide if his conclusion, which was based upon clearly incorrect presumptions, is also flawed.

The collapse of WTC building 7, which was NOT hit by any plane, and which also collapsed within a second of free-fall time later that same day, similarly fails the conservation-of-energy analysis. The 9/11 Commission made no attempt to explain it.

Just how and why so many highly-accredited and credentialed people all so miserably failed to check the "pancake collapse" theory, by giving it this basic physics reality check, is beyond the scope of this analysis.

FURTHER IRREFUTABLE PROOF BY PHYSICS OF THE 9/11 INSIDE JOB

http://vehme.blogspot.com/2007/12/glaring-proof-of-something-hotter-than.html
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Planck's_law_of_black_body_radiation Also see:
http://www.911truth.org/article.php?story=20041221155307646

SO - WHO DONE IT??

Any good detective will tell you that the way to find criminals is to investigate those that benefitted, or stood to benefit, from the crime. Surely those that were quickly blamed for this mass-murderous crime of the century - Bin Laden, etc., had evil intentions against America, but could not have done it single-handedly. Just after 9/11 Iraq and Saddam Hussein were implied as guilty in a PSYOP to justify the invasion of Iraq, when in fact they were blameless for 9/11.

Here are some that, under the criteria of the good detective above, must be considered suspects:

Bush, Cheney, and their fellow Republican/neo-conservatives then in power.
Then NYC mayor Rudy Giuliani.
WTC owner/leaseholder Larry Silverstein.
The theocratic nation of Israel.

DEMAND that congress reopen the investigation and bring the real MASS-MURDERERS to justice!

NINE ELEVEN - NEVER AGAIN!

2098. Re: Oil Subsidies are socialistic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 18:16:46 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <m638t61ofk1qstbgt43824so7rc3a5v1rr@4ax.com>
Source record: 230075
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On Wed, 18 May 2011 13:27:36 -0400, "betweentheeyes"
<betweentheeyes@defendingThe2nd.org> wrote:

>"Howard Brazee" wrote in message
>news:g5u7t6h0bf65q8sl84g5o2kj2485f4buvc@4ax.com...
>
>And you cross posted this to a firearms discussion group
>because?
>

Sorry, I replied to a different message, and changed the Subject to be
more accurate. I didn't notice which groups were being
cross-referenced. My bad.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

2099. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 18:21:55 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3cb49aab-7798-490c-9fbb-ce2aff8e83d6@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230076
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 18, 1:05 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/18/2011 9:54 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 17, 11:15 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/17/2011 6:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >>> On May 17, 8:06 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >>>> In article<5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> >>>> @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >>>>> On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton"<zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> >>>>>> joeturn wrote:
> >>>>>>> On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1<quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >>>>>>>> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >>>>>>>>>> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>> ...
> >>>>>>>>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> >>>>>>>>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> >>>>>>>>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> >>>>>>>>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> >>>>>>>>>>>> astounding.
> >>>>>>>>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> >>>>>>>>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> >>>>>>>>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> >>>>>>>>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> >>>>>>>>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> >>>>>>>>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> >>>>>>>>>>> ...
> >>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
> >>>>>>>>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> >>>>>>>>>> actual evidence.
> >>>>>>>>> Wild speculation?
> >>>>>>>>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
> >>>>>>>>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology

> >>>>>>>>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> >>>>>>>>> use?
> >>>>>>>> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> >>>>>>>> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
> >>>>>>>>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> >>>>>>>>> occurring today, is in error?
> >>>>>>>> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> >>>>>>>> evidence?
> >>>>>>>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> >>>>>>>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
> >>>>>>>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> >>>>>>>> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> >>>>>>>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> >>>>>>>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> >>>>>>>> vanishes?
> >>>>>>>>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> >>>>>>>>> based in reality!
> >>>>>>>> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
> >>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
> >>>>>>> The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> >>>>>>> grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
> >>>>>> and on nobody else's?
> >>>>>> It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> >>>>>> nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
> >>>>>> - Show quoted text -
> >>>>> Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> >>>>> go.
> >>>>>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
> >>>> It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
> >>>> --
> >>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> >>>> - Show quoted text -
> >>> You feds claimed him a fake but he caught all them cranes and
> >>> dumptrucks on stanby and the monitor hologramimg the plane.
> >>> Dont you remember bi georgе you were the one projecting the image of a
> >>> plane going slam through the building and the aluminum nose was not
> >>> even bent coming out the other side! That Evin almost spoiled it for
> >>> you didn't he?
> >>>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_b2Uk6ngQD8&feature=related
> >> Since the radar and other antenna and electronics are in the nose, the
> >> nose would have either been fiberglass or plastic ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
> > I see,so it just melted through the building and bounced back into
> > shape that explains everything then. Thanks for you keen eye!
>
> Its' being there is an impossibility, unless someone placed it there, DUH!

>
> "The problem here is that the nose cone of a Boeing 757 is made of
> carbon-fibre (like fibreglass); there is no metal nose cone on a 757. An
> average strength man (or strong woman) could make mincemeat of a 757
> nose cone in about 15 minutes with nothing more than a light axe or a
> sledgehammer - the same cannot be said of the outer wall of the
> Pentagon. Punching through stone facade and 2 exterior concrete and
> brick walls, 4 or more interior poured concrete walls, and a poured
> concrete floor, to conveniently land front-and-center on a piece of
> board for photographers is out of the question."
>
> FROM HERE:http://911review.org/Wiki/PentagonAttackLegend.shtml
>
> Here is a nose cone which has been damaged in
flight:http://www.p2pconsortium.com/index.php/topic/16284-northwest-jet-land...
>
> Obviously, idiots attempted the fraud with that nose cone supposedly
> going though reinforced concrete! But then, look at the public
> servants, they have been chosen for puppets, not intelligence!
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

John you must cast the plane out of your mind it was a cartoon.NO
PLANES were hyjacked nor crashed on 911.The planes people thought thet
saw were made of nothing but digital imaging software from AVID
Technology.

This is my favorite

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7pmiXinq2O0

2100. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 18:31:38 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283dda961a88f39d98a20f@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230077
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
In article <3cb49aab-7798-490c-9fbb-ce2aff8e83d6
@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 18, 1:05ï¿œpm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> > On 5/18/2011 9:54 AM, joeturn wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 17, 11:15 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> ï¿œwrote:
> > >> On 5/17/2011 6:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >
> > >>> On May 17, 8:06 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> ï¿œ ï¿œwrote:
> > >>>> In article<5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> > >>>> @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> > >>>>> On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton"<zay...@newwavecomm.net> ï¿œ ï¿œwrote:
> > >>>>>> joeturn wrote:
> > >>>>>>> On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1<quoththera...@nevermore.com> ï¿œ ï¿œwrote:
> > >>>>>>>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > >>>>>>>> wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > >>>>>>>>>> wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> ...
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> astounding.
> > >>>>>>>>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > >>>>>>>>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > >>>>>>>>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > >>>>>>>>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > >>>>>>>>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > >>>>>>>>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > >>>>>>>>>>> ...
> > >>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
> > >>>>>>>>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > >>>>>>>>>> actual evidence.
```

> > >>>>>>>> Wild speculation?
> > >>>>>>>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
> > >>>>>>>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > >>>>>>>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > >>>>>>>> use?
> > >>>>>>> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > >>>>>>> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
> > >>>>>>>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > >>>>>>>> occurring today, is in error?
> > >>>>>>> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > >>>>>>> evidence?
> > >>>>>>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > >>>>>>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
> > >>>>>>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > >>>>>>> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > >>>>>>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > >>>>>>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > >>>>>>> vanishes?
> > >>>>>>>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > >>>>>>>> based in reality!
> > >>>>>>> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
> > >>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
> > >>>>>> The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > >>>>>> grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
> > >>>>> and on nobody else's?
> > >>>>> It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > >>>>> nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
> > >>>>> - Show quoted text -
> > >>>> Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> > >>>> go.
> > >>>>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
> > >>> It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
> > >>> --
> > >>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text
-
> > >>> - Show quoted text -
> > >> You feds claimed him a fake but he caught all them cranes and
> > >> dumptrucks on stanby and the monitor holograming the plane.
> > >> Dont you remember bi george you were the one projecting the image of a
> > >> plane going slam through the building and the aluminum nose was not
> > >> even bent coming out the other side! That Evin almost spoiled it for
> > >> you didn't he?
> > >>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_b2Uk6ngQD8&feature=related
> > > Since the radar and other antenna and electronics are in the nose, the
> > > nose would have either been fiberglass or plastic ...
> >
> > > Regards,
> > > JS- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > - Show quoted text -

> > > I see,so it just melted through the building and bounced back into
> > > shape that explains everything then. Thanks for you keen eye!
> >
> > Its' being there is an impossibility, unless someone placed it there, DUH!
> >
> > "The problem here is that the nose cone of a Boeing 757 is made of
> > carbon-fibre (like fibreglass); there is no metal nose cone on a 757. An
> > average strength man (or strong woman) could make mincemeat of a 757
> > nose cone in about 15 minutes with nothing more than a light axe or a
> > sledgehammer - the same cannot be said of the outer wall of the
> > Pentagon. Punching through stone facade and 2 exterior concrete and
> > brick walls, 4 or more interior poured concrete walls, and a poured
> > concrete floor, to conveniently land front-and-center on a piece of
> > board for photographers is out of the question."
> >
> > FROM HERE:http://911review.org/Wiki/PentagonAttackLegend.shtml
> >
> > Here is a nose cone which has been damaged in
flight:http://www.p2pconsortium.com/index.php/topic/16284-northwest-jet-land...
> >
> > Obviously, idiots attempted the fraud with that nose cone supposedly
> > going though reinforced concrete! ï¿œBut then, look at the public
> > servants, they have been chosen for puppets, not intelligence!
> >
> > Regards,
> > JS- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> John you must cast the plane out of your mind it was a cartoon.NO
> PLANES were hyjacked nor crashed on 911.The planes people thought thet
> saw were made of nothing but digital imaging software from AVID
> Technology.
>
> This is my favorite
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7pmiXinq2O0

It's great when kooks try to out kook the other.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2101. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 18:32:48 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283ddad88c1f478e98a210@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230078
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <e9d15dcd-f7d9-4287-b868-6b14e31d1a50
@l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 17, 11:42ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > In article <8325c27e-08a1-47ad-a66f-e6bdff1983ac@
> > 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 17, 8:46ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > > > In article <6e2bffd8-15eb-4ea7-b42d-62f0b3082a80
> > > > @c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> > >
> > > > > > On May 17, 8:06ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> > > > > > > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > joeturn wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > > > > > > > > wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > ...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > astounding.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
```

> > > > > > > > >>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > > > > > > > > >>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > > > > > > > > >>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > > > > > > > > >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > > > > > > > > >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > > > > > > > > >>>>> ...
> >
> > > > > > > > > >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
> >
> > > > > > > > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > > > > > > > > >>>> actual evidence.
> >
> > > > > > > > > >>> Wild speculation?
> >
> > > > > > > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
> >
> > > > > > > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > > > > > > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > > > > > > > > >>> use?
> >
> > > > > > > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > > > > > > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
> >
> > > > > > > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > > > > > > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
> >
> > > > > > > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > > > > > > > > >> evidence?
> >
> > > > > > > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > > > > > > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
> >
> > > > > > > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > > > > > > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > > > > > > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > > > > > > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > > > > > > > > >> vanishes?
> >
> > > > > > > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > > > > > > > > >>> based in reality!
> >
> > > > > > > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > > > > > > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
> >
> > > > > > > > and on nobody else's?
> >

> > > > > > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > > > > > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> > > > > > > go.
> >
> > > > > > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
> >
> > > > > > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
> >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted
text -
> >
> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > Why would a professional photographer jeopardise his career with a
> > > > > crappy camera?
> >
> > > > Don't ask me, ask him. Who says he's a pro anyway? A kooksite? Only a
> > > > total idiot wouldn't see instantly that your "disappearing wings" are
> > > > nothing more than video artifacts.
> >
> > > > --
> > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text
-
> >
> > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
> >
> > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4JwTXEJSr4A&NR=1
> >
> > No, only an artifacting camera can picture it that way.
> >
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Your confusing the added footaged the CIA put in his documentary to
> make it look fake.Now their camera was most likely too sophisticated
> for them to operate or they to used a pocket cam!

You're confused, you think you're sane. You aren't.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2102. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job -- like obamas' birth certificate, a sham ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 18:33:56 UTC
From: bknight@conramp.net
Message-ID: <1548t611m30sv8ci8583ecsnmvji61a1l4@4ax.com>
Source record: 230079
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On Wed, 18 May 2011 14:15:12 -0400, "Freedom Man"
<freespeech@4eva.com> wrote:

Why would you cross-post a 498 line piece of crap like this to a golf
group?

Notice that I removed it in my response...it's easy.

___,
\o
|
/ \
.
ï¿½Someone likes every shotï¿½
bk

2103. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 18:34:18 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283ddb38711bd03298a211@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230080
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <0c0f2be9-15b0-4e96-8f95-eedbd8d19676@
34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 18, 7:29ï¿œam, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > On May 17, 5:06ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> > > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >
> > > > On May 13, 9:14ï¿œpm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> > > > > joeturn wrote:
> > > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> > > > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > >> wrote:
> >
> > > > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > > > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > >>>> wrote:
> >
> > > > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> >
> > > > > >>>>>> ...
> > > > > >>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > > > > >>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > > > > >>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > > > > >>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > > > > >>>>>> astounding.
> >
> > > > > >>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > > > > >>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > > > > >>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > > > > >>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > > > > >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > > > > >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > > > > >>>>> ...
> >
> > > > > >>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
```

> >
> > > > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > > > > >>>> actual evidence.
> >
> > > > > >>> Wild speculation?
> >
> > > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
> >
> > > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > > > > >>> use?
> >
> > > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
> >
> > > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
> >
> > > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > > > > >> evidence?
> >
> > > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
> >
> > > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > > > > >> vanishes?
> >
> > > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > > > > >>> based in reality!
> >
> > > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
> >
> > > > > and on nobody else's?
> >
> > > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> > > > go.
> >

> > > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
> >
> > > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
> >
> > > --
> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
> >
> > For One and All,
> >
> > Ever seen the Heat Waves on the Surface of a Black-Top
> > Roadway and the Visual Distortion they Cause ?
> >
> > Ever seen the Visual Distortion caused by Non-Visual
> > Column of Air Pollution {Smoke / Air Particulates } in
> > the Air between You and an Object ?
> >
> > now you see it and now you don't ~ RHF
> > -yes-it-is-that-simple-
> > ï¿œ.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> I'm sure they coated the lens with vicks salve to oliminate heat wave
> as you can see the building did not fade in and out,just the wings,try
> that next time you go to target practice but just wipe it in your eye
> to keep it from seeming out of focus!

WTF are you babbling about?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2104. Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 18:37:10 UTC
From: bknight@conramp.net
Message-ID: <6a48t651hem5vtra3kvoo7jvu5tm72ggpb@4ax.com>
Source record: 230081
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Wed, 18 May 2011 11:21:55 -0700 (PDT), joeturn
<joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote:

>On May 18, 1:05ï¿½pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/18/2011 9:54 AM, joeturn wrote:

Attention Please:

To anyone responding to the idiot known as John Smith:
Please remove rec.sport.golf from the list of news groups.

Thanks.

___,
\o
|
/ \
.
ï¿½Someone likes every shotï¿½
bk

2105. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 19:34:06 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir16vfihi1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230082
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/18/2011 11:32 AM, BDK wrote:
> In article<e9d15dcd-f7d9-4287-b868-6b14e31d1a50
> @l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>> On May 17, 11:42 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>> In article<8325c27e-08a1-47ad-a66f-e6bdff1983ac@
>>> 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> On May 17, 8:46 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>> In article<6e2bffd8-15eb-4ea7-b42d-62f0b3082a80
>>>>> @c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>>>>> On May 17, 8:06 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> In article<5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
>>>>>>> @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>>>>>>> On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton"<zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> joeturn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1<quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> astounding.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
>>>>>>>>>>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
>>>>>>>>>>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
>>>>>>>>>>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
>>>>>>>>>>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
>>>>>>>>>>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...

>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
>>>>>>>>>>>>> actual evidence.
>>>>>>>>>>>> Wild speculation?
>>>>>>>>>>>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>>>>>>>>>>>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
>>>>>>>>>>>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
>>>>>>>>>>>> use?
>>>>>>>>>>> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
>>>>>>>>>>> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>>>>>>>>>>>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
>>>>>>>>>>>> occurring today, is in error?
>>>>>>>>>>> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
>>>>>>>>>>> evidence?
>>>>>>>>>>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
>>>>>>>>>>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>>>>>>>>>>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
>>>>>>>>>>> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
>>>>>>>>>>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
>>>>>>>>>>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
>>>>>>>>>>> vanishes?
>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
>>>>>>>>>>>> based in reality!
>>>>>>>>>>> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>>>>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>>>>>>>> The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
>>>>>>>>>> grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>>>>>>>>> and on nobody else's?
>>>>>>>>> It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
>>>>>>>>> nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>>>>>> Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
>>>>>>>> go.
>>>>>>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
>>>>>>> It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text
-
>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>>>> Why would a professional photographer jeopardise his career with a
>>>>>> crappy camera?
>>>>> Don't ask me, ask him. Who says he's a pro anyway? A kooksite? Only a
>>>>> total idiot wouldn't see instantly that your "disappearing wings" are
>>>>> nothing more than video artifacts.
>>>>> --
>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>> Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
>>>> but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4JwTXEJSr4A&NR=1

>>> No, only an artifacting camera can picture it that way.
>>>
>>> --
>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>>>
>>> - Show quoted text -
>> Your confusing the added footaged the CIA put in his documentary to
>> make it look fake.Now their camera was most likely too sophisticated
>> for them to operate or they to used a pocket cam!
> You're confused, you think you're sane. You aren't.
>

ROFLOL!!!

Regards,
JS

2106. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 19:35:32 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir1725ihi2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230083
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 11:31 AM, BDK wrote:
> ...
> It's great when kooks try to out kook the other.
>

kook?

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2107. Re: Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 19:37:19 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir175hihi3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230084
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 11:37 AM, bknight@conramp.net wrote:
> ...
> Attention Please:
>
> To anyone responding to the idiot known as John Smith:
> Please remove rec.sport.golf from the list of news groups.
>
> Thanks.
>
>
> ___,
> \o
> |
> / \
> .
> ï¿½I LIKES ME POT!!!ï¿½
> bk

(WARNING: Above edited for truthful content.)

Regards,
JS

2108. Re: Student loan crisis!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 19:38:19 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <432582f5-8f59-47bb-b176-3bb982640bc3@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230085

On May 18, 10:27 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/18/2011 10:26 AM, Hollis2 wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 17 2011 9:16 PM, Larry Whitaker wrote:
>
> >> $800 Billion accumulated by students attending expensive colleges.
> >> They can't pay it back!
>
> >> Which brings the question, how did Obama attend Punehoe in Hawaii,
> >> Occidental in California, Columbia in NYC, and then Harvard Law?????
> >> EXTREMELY expensive schools. But Obama had no money! He had no
> >> wealthy relatives! But somehow he matriculated into those schools and
> >> "graduated" and doesn't owe half a million in student loans. AND,
> >> BTW, neither does Michelle.
>
> >> Anyone know how they did it?
>
> >> Larry
> > Obama's grandmother was a bank VP and his grandfather was in the furniture
> > business. They could have supplied the money. In addition, there are
> > numerous minority scholarship fund available to black students who are in
> > financial need if his grandparents were unwilling to give him money.
>
> > Columbia University was, and still is, very eager to admit, and award
> > degrees, to minority students. If they want a black student they would be
> > very likely to provide financial aid if it was needed.
>
> > Besides, I'm not sure why this matters one way or the other.
>
> > ____
> > RecGroups : the community-oriented newsreader :www.recgroups.com
>
> Except, some actual background:http://www.theobamafile.com/obamaeducation.htm
>
> But, some background on the grandmother and grandfather needs to be
> developed. Until now, I have chosen to overlook them. An investigation

> into their backgrounds might reveal something of interest, also!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Knock yourself out, ya maniacal dufus.

2109. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job -- like obamas' birth certificate, a sham ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 19:40:37 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir17bmihi4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230086
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/18/2011 11:15 AM, Freedom Man wrote:
> "John Smith"<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ir0sge$o1g$16@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/18/2011 9:30 AM, Uno Hu wrote:
>>> 9-11 was an insde job.
>>>
>>> And you are an odious little flunkie.
>> The drugs and alcohol and her social disease of homosexuality has left her
>> in a perpetual state of anger and denial ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> ---
> As always, the coverup shills and deniers of the 9/11 INSIDE JOB have no
> facts to present, so they resort in their frustration to childish ad-hominem
> attack.
>
> NINE-ELEVEN - NEVER AGAIN!

To them, it is a highly intellectual conversation and they have just
given the best speech of their lives ...

You risk smashing their illusions ... should they ever gain a grain of
sanity ...

Regards,
JS
```

2110. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job -- like obamas' birth certificate, a sham ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 19:42:51 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir17ftihi5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230087
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/18/2011 11:33 AM, bknight@conramp.net wrote:
> ...
> Are golf ball supposed to itch like this? Oh, wait a minute. Those aren't golf balls, are they?
>
> Notice that I removed it in my response...it's easy.
>
>
> ___,
> \o
> |
> / \
> .
> ï¿½Pot gives me jock itch.ï¿½
> bk

(WARNING: Above edit to increase the importance of its' message.)

Regards,
JS

2111. Two New Washington Times Ads!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 20:02:29 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir18knihi8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230088

What obamas' race card allows him to repeated break the laws? OJs' only allowed him a single instance of committing a crime!:

http://cdrkerchner.wordpress.com/

http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/05/two-new-washington-times-ads-obama.html

Regards,
JS

2112. Re: Student loan crisis!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 20:11:59 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD4280F-5492@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230092

Elite schools/colleges, some of them are not so Elite at all.AWOL MORON Bush really had Lousey grades at Yale.''They'' fudged his grades at Yale.He probally let them ''fudge'' in another way too.If you get me drift? He does like ''bald heads'', don't you just know?
cuhulin

2113. Re: Student loan crisis!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 20:13:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD42882-5493@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230091

Somebody in the Alt.Gossip.Celebrities newsgroup said G.W.Bush once made
a pass at one of his daughters.
cuhulin

2114. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 20:17:22 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <44295d0f-1fc5-44eb-926c-6e8c88c9ce50@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230089
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 18, 10:21 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 18, 7:29 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 17, 5:06 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> > > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> > > > On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> > > > > joeturn wrote:
> > > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> > > > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > >> wrote:
>
> > > > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > > > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > >>>> wrote:
>
> > > > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>
> > > > > >>>>>> ...
> > > > > >>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > > > > >>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > > > > >>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > > > > >>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > > > > >>>>>> astounding.
>
> > > > > >>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > > > > >>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > > > > >>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > > > > >>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > > > > >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > > > > >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects

> > > > > >>>>> ...
>
> > > > > >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>
> > > > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > > > > >>>> actual evidence.
>
> > > > > >>> Wild speculation?
>
> > > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>
> > > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > > > > >>> use?
>
> > > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>
> > > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
>
> > > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > > > > >> evidence?
>
> > > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>
> > > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > > > > >> vanishes?
>
> > > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > > > > >>> based in reality!
>
> > > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>
> > > > > and on nobody else's?
>
> > > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>

> > > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> > > > go.
>
> > > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
>
> > > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
>
> > > --
> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>
> > For One and All,
>
> > Ever seen the Heat Waves on the Surface of a Black-Top
> > Roadway and the Visual Distortion they Cause ?
>
> > Ever seen the Visual Distortion caused by Non-Visual
> > Column of Air Pollution {Smoke / Air Particulates } in
> > the Air between You and an Object ?
>
> > now you see it and now you don't ~ RHF
> > -yes-it-is-that-simple-
> > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> I'm sure they coated the lens with vicks salve to oliminate heat wave
> as you can see the building did not fade in and out,just the wings,try
> that next time you go to target practice but just wipe it in your eye
> to keep it from seeming out of focus!

The Buildings {Fixed Objects} Were Not Moving
-hint- The Airplanes [Moving Objects}

-minor-technical-point- It's a Motion-Picture Camera
that does Frames-per-Second to create the Illusion
of a 'Moving' Image :
* The Buildings {Fixed Objects} have 'Persistence'
from Frame-to-Frame
* The Airplanes [Moving Objects} Do Not Have
'Persistence' from Frame-to-Frame

-result- now you see it and now you don't ~ RHF
-yes-again-it-is-that-simple-
.

2115. CKZN?CKZU : CBC#1 on 6160 kHz @ 0715 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 20:19:47 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <8e4ab87a-67b9-4a36-a95b-8e52d8997650@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230090

CKZN?CKZU : CBC#1 on 6160 kHz @ 0715 UTC
on 15 March 2011
"?" I Did not get a real ID of either CKZN or CKZU
Local News/Weather Details to make a judgement
as to which one -or- both ?
-wrt- The "Echo" of the 'CBC News' ID at the TOH

S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 444 and good audio

Interview of Peter Milliken the Speaker of Parliament @ 0710 UTC
Next Topic : Flood Waters in Canada @ 0715 UTC
CBC News ID {The Echo?} @ 0800 UTC
NOTE : 6160 kHz – CBC – 1,000 Watts [1 KW]
is a simulcast of either :
http://www.tdp.info/can.html
http://www.cbc.ca/frequency/shortwave.html
* CKZN [300 Watts ? 1KW] from St. John's, Newfoundland
with a simulcast of CFGB-FM 89.5 MHz Goose Bay with
the CBC's "Radio One" English Programming
-also- CBN-AM on 640 kHz ?
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CFGB-FM
* CKZU [500 Watts ? 1KW] from Vancouver, British Colombia
with a simulcast of CBU-AM 690 kHz in Vancouver with the
CBC's "Radio One" English Programming
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CKZU
* * Antennas for CKZU Vancouver, BC
http://www.odxa.on.ca/Aug08LI.pdf
.
Canada's AM/MW and Shortwave [SW' Hybrid Radio Stations
http://www.engineeringradio.us/blog/2011/01/mwsw-hybrid-stations/
.
?Question? is CKZU Shortwave 6160 kHz still On-the-Air ?
.
?Question? is "Radio Nord Quebec" 9625 kHz still On-the-Air ?
.
Canada Shortwave Radio Broadcasters -List- :
[CBC - Canadian Broadcasting Corporation]
* Radio Canada International
* Radio Nord Quebec 9625 kHz
* CFCX 6005 kHz, relay of CIQC 600 kHz - Montreal, Quebec
(Not presently on the air)
* CFVP 6030 kHz, relay of CKMX 1060 kHz - Calgary, Alberta
* CFRX 6070 kHz, relay of CFRB 1010 kHz - Toronto, Ontario
* CKFX 6080 kHz, relay of CKWX 1130 kHz - Vancouver, British Colombia
(Not presently on the air)

* CHNX 6130 kHz, relay of CHNS 960 kHz - Halifax, Nova Scotia
(Not presently on the air)
* CKZN 6160 kHz, relay of CBN 640 kHz - St. Johns, Newfoundland
* CKZU 6160 kHz, relay of CBU 690 kHz - Vancouver, British Colombia
-source- HF Underground.Com
"Shortwave Broadcast Stations By Country"
http://www.hfunderground.com/wiki/Shortwave_Broadcast_Stations_By_Country
.
Are You Ready for "The Canadian {Shortwave*} Challenge
http://home.earthlink.net/~dxchicago/canadianchallange.htm
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/a5b23822564f40ba
-source- The Chicago Area DX Club (CADX)
http://home.earthlink.net/~dxchicago/
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2116. Re: It's Time for "The Power Hour" on WWCR Shortwave -topic- 'The ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 20:20:31 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23874-4DD42A0F-138@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230094

The Concrete that was used to build Hoover Dam, and other big Dams too,
that Concrete is still curing, after all these many years.I reckon my po
old sidewalk in my front yard cured many years ago.It is broken in three
seperate places.They don't put any rebar or heavy wire in those Concrete
sidewalks to hold them together for simple ass home yard sidewalks.
cuhulin

2117. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 20:30:45 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir1a9m$6kr$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230093
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 1:19 PM, george wrote:
> ...
> How can some-one be so wrong for so long?
> Unless it is deliberate..
> Almost 10 years and still nothing from the conspiracy kooks.
> One attempt from a woman actually hurt in the attacks successfully
> sued a company to pay for her injuries then tried to go to court with
> the best evidence that kookdom possess.
> The case was thrown out !

ROFLOL

Yeah, magical molten iron, magical controlled demolitions, thermite in
the dust, magical free fall speeds, magical laws of physics, etc.

You crack me up ... the NIST report is a sham ...

http://darkpolitics.wordpress.com/2010/08/01/nist-admits-their-report-is-not-consistent-with-basic-principles-of-physics/

Regards,
JS

2118. Re: FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE AND FALSE FLAG OPERATION OF 9/11 STILL IN THE NEWS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 20:36:29 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir1akf$6kr$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 1:32 PM, george wrote:
> ...
> Why is it that kooks don't think things through :(
> There are a number of very solid assemblies on an aircraft.
> Like engines and undercarriage.
> One engine was located a distance from the building.
> What you see coming out with the fireball is the engine....
> And why are you attempting to piss golf players off ?

http://darkpolitics.wordpress.com/2010/08/01/nist-admits-their-report-is-not-consistent-with-basic-principles-of-physics/

Are your little golf balls itching too?

Regards,
JS

2119. Re: Whores and sluts can't lie 24/7!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 20:48:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir1bb5$jr4$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230096
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 1:38 PM, george wrote:
> ...
> YEAH RIIIGHT.
> All that video does is give another view of the second airliner
> impacting the Tower.
> And it (the video) has been around for a long time
>
> And you trust the word of a godbotherer?
> How about giving me all your property because after Saturday you wont
> need it according to a godbotherer :)

Even this slut/whore probable told the truth now and then:

http://www.bloggernews.net/126565

Regards,
JS

2120. Re: It's Time for "The Power Hour" on WWCR Shortwave -topic- 'The ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 21:09:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <b361f445-c35f-4743-b666-335b14e59ed9@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230097

On May 18, 1:20 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> The Concrete that was used to build Hoover Dam, and other big Dams too,
> that Concrete is still curing, after all these many years.I reckon my po
> old sidewalk in my front yard cured many years ago.It is broken in three
> seperate places.They don't put any rebar or heavy wire in those Concrete
> sidewalks to hold them together for simple ass home yard sidewalks.
> cuhulin

Cuhulin,

My Daddy used to have a wooden Concrete Form
24"x24"x4" to make the Backyard Walkway 'Slabs'.
-solid-concrete-don't-recall-any-wire-or-rebar-in-them-

When he would decide to Rearrange the Backyard :
We would 'Move' the Slabs to form a new Walkway.

Moved those Slabs more than a few times over
the Years 1960s~1990.

Those Slabs had a few 'Chips' around the Edges;
but none of them ever Cracked.

the old man was a great 'rearranger' ~ RHF
.
.

2121. RNZI on 6170 kHz @ 0805 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 21:12:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <496954c3-78b5-4044-a9a6-d3876ab9360f@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230098

Radio New Zealand International [RNZI] on 6170 kHz @ 0805 UTC
on 15 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : +10dB ~ +20 dB with SIO 555 with Very Good Audio

RNZI Topics Heard :
Increased Policing for Alcohol and more Alcohol Arrests
Sports Mystery . . .
Visiting British Violinist in NZ Concert
NZ Weather
.
Radio New Zealand International [RNZI]
Shortwave Radio Schedule Frequency and Time
http://www.rnzi.com/pages/listen.php
.
"A11" Radio New Zealand International [RNZI]
http://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/rnzi.htm
6170 kHz; 7440 kHz; 9615 kHz; 9655 kHz;
11,725 kHz; and 15,720 kHz
.
About/Info : Radio New Zealand International [RNZI]
http://www.rnzi.com/index.php
http://www.rnzi.com/pages/about.php
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_New_Zealand_International
.
RNZI Shortwave Antennas and Transmitters
http://www.rnzi.com/pages/technical.php
.
RNZI Shortwave Radio Listener Reports [QSLs]
-qsl-info- http://www.rnzi.com/pages/qsl.php
-web-qsl-form- http://www.rnzi.com/pages/qsl_web.php
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2122. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 21:17:35 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir1d1jef1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230099
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir0jpcctd5@dont-email.me...
> On 5/18/2011 5:24 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
>> On May 17, 6:43 pm, "Uno Hu"<lorad...@cs.com> wrote:
>>> Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>
>>> news:ce2c0254-6499-4d53-a02f-18c0e4ac561c@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> On May 16, 8:21=A0am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
>>>> rage:
>>>>> This remains undisputed.
>>>> It remains unsupported.
>>>>> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
>>>> Not one supporting fact has been presented.
>>>>> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
>>>> That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are
>>> not
>>>> very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
>>>> disproven 9/11 clalims.
>>> You realy [sic] must be a paid disinfomant. [sic]
>> You really must be a glue-sniffing juvenile kook.
>>
>>> No one othewise, [sic] coud [sic] be so stupid.
>> Oh the fuckin' IRONY of it all.
>>
>> How about this, nutbag: NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that you
>> "think" is incorrect.
>>
>> We'll wait.
>>
>> BWAHAHAAHAHAHAHHAAAA!!!!
>>
>
> You are waiting too late, the free fall speed, the thermate and
> incendiaries in the dust, the collapse into their own footprints,

Another lie from the "truthers" exposed

http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj

John, you can hardly expect to convince people you know what you're talking about, when claims you make are easily and readily disproven.......and then you continue to repeat the same lie over and over and over.

2123. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 21:18:34 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <bkd8t65jp1v7ioflo2d57okpgamsit7ctt@4ax.com>
Source record: 230100
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 18 May 2011 14:34:18 -0400, BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:

>In article <0c0f2be9-15b0-4e96-8f95-eedbd8d19676@
>34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>>
>> On May 18, 7:29ï¿½am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>> > On May 17, 5:06ï¿½pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
>> > > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>> >
>> > > > On May 13, 9:14ï¿½pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
>> > > > > joeturn wrote:
>> > > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
>> > > > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>> > > > > >> wrote:
>> >
>> > > > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
>> > > > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>> > > > > >>>> wrote:
>> >
>> > > > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>> >
>> > > > > >>>>> ...
>> > > > > >>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
>> > > > > >>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
>> > > > > >>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
>> > > > > >>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
>> > > > > >>>>> astounding.
>> >
>> > > > > >>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
>> > > > > >>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
>> > > > > >>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
>> > > > > >>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
>> > > > > >>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
>> > > > > >>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
>> > > > > >>>> ...

>> >
>> > > > > >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>> >
>> > > > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
>> > > > > >>>> actual evidence.
>> >
>> > > > > >>> Wild speculation?
>> >
>> > > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>> >
>> > > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
>> > > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
>> > > > > >>> use?
>> >
>> > > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
>> > > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>> >
>> > > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
>> > > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
>> >
>> > > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
>> > > > > >> evidence?
>> >
>> > > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
>> > > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>> >
>> > > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
>> > > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
>> > > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
>> > > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
>> > > > > >> vanishes?
>> >
>> > > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
>> > > > > >>> based in reality!
>> >
>> > > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>> >
>> > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
>> >
>> > > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
>> > > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>> >
>> > > > > and on nobody else's?
>> >
>> > > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
>> > > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>> >
>> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>> >
>> > > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and

>> > > > go.
>> >
>> > > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
>> >
>> > > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
>> >
>> > > --
>> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>> >
>> > For One and All,
>> >
>> > Ever seen the Heat Waves on the Surface of a Black-Top
>> > Roadway and the Visual Distortion they Cause ?
>> >
>> > Ever seen the Visual Distortion caused by Non-Visual
>> > Column of Air Pollution {Smoke / Air Particulates } in
>> > the Air between You and an Object ?
>> >
>> > now you see it and now you don't ~ RHF
>> > -yes-it-is-that-simple-
>> > ï¿½.- Hide quoted text -
>> >
>> > - Show quoted text -
>>
>> I'm sure they coated the lens with vicks salve to oliminate heat wave
>> as you can see the building did not fade in and out,just the wings,try
>> that next time you go to target practice but just wipe it in your eye
>> to keep it from seeming out of focus!
>
>WTF are you babbling about?

He's taken Babbleloonian to the next level by inventing words that *almost* look
like English ones, but aren't quite.

eg. "oliminate" = eliminate perhaps?

"vicks salve"??? Hmmm... maybe Vicks VapoRub?

~BG must be so proud.

Shill #2
--
"Me fail English? That's unpossible!"
Ralph Wiggum

2124. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 21:19:52 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir1d5s$1f4$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230101
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir0qt0$o1g$3@dont-email.me...
> On 5/17/2011 10:51 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:iqvj8b$p1u$1@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/17/2011 9:47 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:iqvefa$t26$2@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/17/2011 5:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Care to step up to the plate?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Pick your BEST piece of evidence concerning a specific detail that
>>>>>> you assert PROVES the official report was wrong about and which can't
>>>>>> be reasonably explained by known events but only as set forth by your
>>>>>> conspiracy theory and present that proof.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Please note, The proof presented must be complete, concise, specific,
>>>>>> and irrefutable. No having to go digging around looking up claims or
>>>>>> trying to find out whether claims are correct. It must include any
>>>>>> and all cites necessary to support any evidence included. Any and all
>>>>>> assumptions made MUST be spelled out specifically at the start and it
>>>>>> must be explained why these assumptions are reasonable to make. In
>>>>>> short, I expect a complete package that PROVES your claims beyond any
>>>>>> reasonable doubt.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Come on, I'm only asking you to prove any single specific element.
>>>>>> Surely you can do that?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Batter up.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> *personally, I expect you to either decline or strike out, because in
>>>>>> my experience no "truther" has ever been able to conclusively prove
>>>>>> even a single claim that shows their conspiracy theory has any merit
>>>>>> at all.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>> http://darkpolitics.wordpress.com/2010/08/01/nist-admits-their-report-is-not-consist
ent-with-basic-principles-of-physics/
>>>>
>>>> An opinion piece unsupported by any objective, conclusive proof of the
>>>> claims made.
>>>>
>>>> John fails to support his asserts concerning his theories yet again.
>>>>
>>>> That's what about strike 5 or 6 for you John?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> ROFLOL ... loser ...
>>
>> You certainly are. I told you exactly and specifically what was required
>> and some opinion piece with vague references to unsupported assertions
>> and claims just isn't going to cut it.
>>
>> Either you don't realize what actually constitutes conclusive proof, or
>> you simply don't have any. Either way, it does NOTHING to support your
>> theories and tends to make them simply look like the result of crackpots
>> to anyone trying to give you a chance to present your case.
>>
>> Oh well, another 9/11 conspiracy nut shows again that they can't prove
>> their assertions even with their best evidence on even one specific
>> detail.
>>
>>
>
> The free fall speeds, the molten iron, the controlled demolition which
> allowed the buildings to fall into their own footprints,

http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj

Tell me another lie there John.

<snip>

If you can't get claims to agree with known facts then you're never going to
get any creditability.

2125. Re: It's Time for "The Power Hour" on WWCR Shortwave -topic- 'The ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 21:25:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD43955-5480@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230102

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Solar+Storms+Could+Have+'Potentially+Devasting+Effects'+NOAA+Official

(also at http://www.rense.com I Never click on huffingtonpost Sheet)

Crop Circle in Tennessee.HUMPH!, how come there are never any Crop Circles around here? I would drive as far as fifteen miles to see one.

We gots Mad Dog Earle on the TCM channel.
cuhulin

2126. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 21:32:48 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir1du1$3gp$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230103
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/18/2011 2:19 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>> The free fall speeds, the molten iron, the controlled demolition
>> which allowed the buildings to fall into their own footprints,
>
>
> http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj
>
> Tell me another lie there John.
>
> <snip>
>
> If you can't get claims to agree with known facts then you're never
> going to get any creditability.
>
>
>

There is nothing but evidence, makes you appear just as you are, a blithering idiot ...:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html

"

A /Messenger-Inquirer/ report recounts the experiences of Bronx firefighter "Toolie" O'Toole, who stated that some of the beams lifted from deep within the catacombs of Ground Zero by cranes were "dripping from the molten steel." ^4
<http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html#ref4>

A transcription of an audio interview of Ground Zero chaplain Herb Trimpe contains the following passage:

When I was there, of course, the remnants of the towers were still standing. It looked like an enormous junkyard. A scrap metal yard, very similar to that. Except this was still burning. There was still fire. On the cold days, even in January, there was a noticeable difference between the temperature in the middle of the site than there was when you walked two blocks over on Broadway. You could actually feel the heat.

It took me a long time to realize it and I found myself actually one day wanting to get back. Why? Because I felt more comfortable. I realized it

was actually warmer on site. The fires burned, up to 2,000 degrees, underground for quite a while before they actually got down to those areas and they cooled off.

I talked to many contractors and they said they actually saw molten metal trapped, beams had just totally had been melted because of the heat. So this was the kind of heat that was going on when those airplanes hit the upper floors. It was just demolishing heat. ^5 <http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html#ref5>

A report in the /Johns Hopkins Public Health Magazine/ about recovery work in late October quotes Alison Geyh, Ph.D., as stating:

Fires are still actively burning and the smoke is very intense. In some pockets now being uncovered, they are finding molten steel. ^6 <http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html#ref6> "

Regards,
JS

2127. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 21:35:16 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir1e2l$3gp$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230104
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/18/2011 2:17 PM, Scout wrote:
>> You are waiting too late, the free fall speed, the thermate and
>> incendiaries in the dust, the collapse into their own footprints,
>
>
> Another lie from the "truthers" exposed
>
> http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj
>
> John, you can hardly expect to convince people you know what you're
> talking about, when claims you make are easily and readily
> disproven.......and then you continue to repeat the same lie over and
> over and over.
>
>

Its' OK.

People can look at the evidence and figure it out for themselves, then
pity you:

"

A /Messenger-Inquirer/ report recounts the experiences of Bronx
firefighter "Toolie" O'Toole, who stated that some of the beams lifted
from deep within the catacombs of Ground Zero by cranes were "dripping
from the molten steel." ^4
<http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html#ref4>

A transcription of an audio interview of Ground Zero chaplain Herb
Trimpe contains the following passage:

When I was there, of course, the remnants of the towers were still
standing. It looked like an enormous junkyard. A scrap metal yard, very
similar to that. Except this was still burning. There was still fire. On
the cold days, even in January, there was a noticeable difference
between the temperature in the middle of the site than there was when
you walked two blocks over on Broadway. You could actually feel the heat.

It took me a long time to realize it and I found myself actually one day
wanting to get back. Why? Because I felt more comfortable. I realized it
was actually warmer on site. The fires burned, up to 2,000 degrees,
underground for quite a while before they actually got down to those

areas and they cooled off.

I talked to many contractors and they said they actually saw molten metal trapped, beams had just totally had been melted because of the heat. So this was the kind of heat that was going on when those airplanes hit the upper floors. It was just demolishing heat. ^5 <http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html#ref5>

A report in the /Johns Hopkins Public Health Magazine/ about recovery work in late October quotes Alison Geyh, Ph.D., as stating:

Fires are still actively burning and the smoke is very intense. In some pockets now being uncovered, they are finding molten steel. ^6 <http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html#ref6> "

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html

Regards,
JS

2128. 5883 kHz @ 0700 UTC & 5898 kHz @ 0800 UTC "La Chica" Spanish Numbers Station [V02]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 21:48:49 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d8deb72e-8991-4fa8-9871-2b9ac6528266@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230105

5883 kHz "La Chica" Spanish Numbers Station [V02] @ 0732 UTC
on 7 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S9 ~ +10dB with SIO 444 and good audio
-interference-by- Overcomer Ministry with Brother
Stair on 5890 kHz
Ending with 3 'Good-Night's ! @ 07:42 UTC
-note- The Carrier was 'Off' @ 0750 UTC
.
Tuned to 5898 kHz to catch the Opening Minutes
of "La Chica" @ 0800 UTC and @ 0801 UTC there
she was with the 'opening' "Atencion" {Attention}

S-Meter : +10dB ~ +20dB with SIO 555 and Very Good Audio

NOTE : Not just 1-Atencion but a series of Atencions :
Atencion, Atencion, Atencion, Atencion, Atencion,
Atencion, Atencion, Atencion, Atencion, Atencion,
a total of 10-Atencions
-yes-'la-chica'-wants-to-get-your-atencion-

"La Chica" ended with 3 'Good-Night's ! @ 08:42 UTC
-note- The Carrier was 'Off' @ 0749 UTC
.
Yes "La Chica" is back again and saying
those Marvelous and Mysterious 5-Number
Sets in Spanish : Cero Un Dos Tres Cuatro
Cinco Seis Siete Ocho Nueve
[0 - 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9]
-but- No "10" 'Diez'
http://www.enchantedlearning.com/languages/spanish/Numbers.shtml
.
-and- Cause... It's "La Chica" closing as
always with Three (3) "Good-Nights" around
XX40 UTC of the Hour.
.
-but- Again "La Chica"s 'englanish' made it
sound more like the Words 'Too-Nite'
-don't-we-wish-;;-}}-
.
Also "LaChica" Spanish Numbers Station [VO2]
can be heard on 5883 kHz during 0700~0745 UTC
-la-chica-la-chica-la-chica-la-chica-la-chica-
.

A Shout-Out to La Chica's producers in Cuba
about Re-Branding Spanish Numbers Station
"VO2" to "La Chica" ! :o)
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/7447e5559f19ffe6
.
NPR -article- Atencion: Seis Siete Tres Siete Cero:
The Shortwave Numbers Mystery . . .
http://www.npr.org/programs/lnfsound/stories/000526.stories.html
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.
On May 6, 7:46 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> 5898 kHz "La Chica" Spanish Numbers Station [V02] @ 0834 UTC
> and Ending with 3 'Good-Night's ! @ 08:42 UTC on 6 Mayo 2011
> -interesting- The Carrier was 'Off' in 30 Seconds; not the usual
> 5~10 minutes...
> .
> Yes "La Chica" is back again and saying those Marvelous
> and Mysterious 5-Number Sets in Spanish :
> Cero Un Dos Tres Cuatro Cinco Seis Siete Ocho Nueve
> [0 - 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9] -but- No "10"
'Diez'http://www.enchantedlearning.com/languages/spanish/Numbers.shtml
> .
> -and- Cause... It's "La Chica" closing as always with
> Three (3) "Good-Nights" around XX40 UTC of the Hour.
> .
> -but- Again "La Chica"s 'englanish' made it sound
> more like the Words 'Too-Nite' -don't-we-wish-;;-}}-
> .
> Also "LaChica" Spanish Numbers Station [VO2]
> can be heard on5883kHz during 0700~0745 UTC
> -la-chica- -la-chica- -la-chica- -la-chica- -la-chica-
> .
> A Shout-Out to La Chica's producers in Cuba about
> Re-Branding Spanish Numbers Station "VO2" to "La Chica" !
:o)http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/7447e5559f19ffe6
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports

:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .
> On Apr 19, 7:00 pm,RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >5883kHz "LaChica" Spanish Numbers Station @ 0715 UTC
> > and Ending with 3 'Good-Night's ! @ 07:40 UTC
> > on 19 April 2011
>
> > Note : The Carrier is still present until ~ 0751 UTC
> > and then the Transmitter changes Frequency to . . .
> > .
> > -followed-at-0800-utc-on-
> > .
> > 5898 kHz "LaChica" Spanish Numbers Station @ 0804 UTC
> > and Ending with 3 'Good-Night's ! @ 08:40 UTC
> > on 19 April 2011
> > .
> > Yes "LaChica" is back again and saying those Marvelous
> > and Mysterious 5-Number Sets in Spanish :
> > Cero Un Dos Tres Cuatro Cinco Seis Siete Ocho Nueve
> > [0 - 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9]
> > -but- NO 10 'Diez'http://www.enchantedlearning.com/languages/spanish/Numbers.shtml
> > .
> > -and- Cause... It's "LaChica" closing as always with
> > Three (3) "Good-Nights" around XX40 UTC of the Hour.
> > .
> > -but- Again "LaChica"s 'englanish' made it sound
> > more like the Words 'Too-Nite' -don't-we-wish-;;-}}-
> > .
> > About Product Branding : Why Simply Call "It" a
> > Spanish Numbers Station -ro- VO2 :
> > When You Can Call It "LaChica" !
:o)http://i2.squidoocdn.com/resize/squidoo_images/-1/draft_lens4930792mo...
> > .
> > HEY ! - Raul Castro as the new 1ST Secretary
> > of the Communist Party of Cuba
:http://www.dailypress.com/news/national/sns-ap-cb-cuba-party-congress...
> > Why Not 'Brand' the V02 Spanish Numbers Station
> > "LaChica" with a Program Intro... "and Now Shortwave
> > Radio Listeners Everywhere Here Is "LaChica" With
> > Those Very Special 'Mysterious' Five Number Sets . .
."http://politicallyillustrated.com/index.php/page/world/2532/

> > Hey Arnie Coro could do the Voice Over for the Program
> > Intro... "This is Arnie Coro,,, and Now Shortwave
> > Radio Listeners Everywhere Here Is "LaChica" With
> > Those Very Special 'Mysterious' Five Number Sets . . ."
> > .
> > .
> > yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .
> > On Feb 21, 8:19 am,RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On Feb 19, 12:44 am,RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > > > On Feb 18, 12:12 am,RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > On Feb 16, 2:46 am,RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On Feb 16, 2:14 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:> MrRas wrote:
> > > > > > > > Does anyone have an updated schedule for V02? I haven't been paying
> > > > > > > > attention to it since about october? I'd like to hear it again.
>
> > > > > > >http://www.spynumbers.com/
>
> > > > > > > dxAce
> > > > > > > Michigan
> > > > > > > USA
>
> > > > > > .
> > > > > > .
> > > > > > Usually I hear 'LaChica' Spanish Numbers Station
> > > > > > around 5898 kHz between 0800~0900
>
> > > > > > Plus 'LaChica' ends with 3 'Good-Nights' in English.
> > > > > > .
> > > > > >
-read-these-http://www.radiobanter.com/showthread.php?p=732978http://cobaltpet.bl......
> > > > > > .
>
> > > > > 'LaChica' Spanish Numbers Station being
> > > > > heard right now on 5898 kHz 0005 UTC
> > > > > {usually between 0800~0900}
>
> > > > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~RHF
> > > > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog

> > > > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> > > > > .
> > > > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > > > .
> > > > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > > > .
> > > > > .
>
> > > > 'LaChica' Spanish Numbers Station being
> > > > heard right now on 5898 kHz 0043 UTC
> > > > {usually between 0800~0900}
>
> > > > with the 3-Good-Nights :o) ~RHF
> > > > .
>
> > > 'LaChica' Spanish Numbers Station was heard
> > > here on 5898 kHz from 0807 to 0842 UTC
> > > {usually between 0800 and out to 0842~43}
>
> > > end with a pause and the 3-Good-Nights :o) ~RHF
> > > {? To-Nites ?}
>
> > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~RHF
> > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> > > .
> > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > .
> > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > .
> > > .

2129. Re: Hey Larry, Looks like Newt is not supporting the GOP ALREADY!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 21:54:33 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir1f6q$3gp$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230106
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 2:44 PM, BAR wrote:
> ...
>> I wasn't talking about anybody telling anybody else how to live or
>> behave. If Americans, voluntarily and of their own will, took better
>> care of their health, without anybody telling them to do so, because
>> it's what they want to do, they would require less health and medical
>> care and thus, health care costs would go down.
> Catch 22

Well yeah! DUH!

If people never played sports, never got in a car, never got in an airplane, never got in a boat, never went swimming, never rode motorcycles/bicycles, never worked in construction or around dangerous machinery, never went scuba diving, never went horseback riding, never drank alcohol, etc. health care costs would go down ... I mean, just for one example, 48,000 Americans die in car accidents every year -- just think how many are seriously harmed for life! And, then there is golfing accidents: http://www.senior-golfers.com/golf-accidents/

Yes, if we stop those dangerous , self-endangering, actions, health costs will go down, well, maybe ...

But, if I really don't think that is going to happen ...

Regards,
JS

2130. Top News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 22:07:13 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DD44310.520C9D92@milestones.com>
Source record: 230107

The latest edition (19 May) of Top News has been posted:

http://www.wwdxc.de/topnews.htm

dxAce
Michigan
USA

Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
70' and 200' wires
GE SR I (2) and II

239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the NASWA Country List.

And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of Technology & Design (Backwater U.).

They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.

2131. Re: Student loan crisis!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 22:08:04 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir1g05$3gp$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230108
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 2:56 PM, BAR wrote:
> ...
> Obama isn't a black man. He is half black and half white. Tiger Woods is
> more asian than he is black.
>
> Not jealous just perceptive about the world.
>

Hey, you are speaking to the priest of the politically incorrect here!
Let logic and reason take you where no man has gone!

http://www.ziopedia.org/wiki/Main_Page

http://uncyclopedia.wikia.com/wiki/The_Politically_Incorrect_Guide_series

Escape the false bonds which feminists, homosexuals, right-wingnuts,
left-wingnuts, control freaks, retards, professional politicians, etc.
shackle you with and break free!

You have nothing to fear but fear itself!

Regards,
JS

2132. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 22:09:02 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <mqg8t6dhee5pienletj3p980p1a6q59m28@4ax.com>
Source record: 230109
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On Wed, 18 May 2011 17:17:35 -0400, "Scout"
<me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:

>John, you can hardly expect to convince people you know what you're talking
>about, when claims you make are easily and readily disproven.......and then
>you continue to repeat the same lie over and over and over.

Facts don't convince True Believers. It is obvious that Obama can't
be their president, and reality won't convince them differently.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

2133. Re: Hey Larry, Looks like Newt is not supporting the GOP ALREADY!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 22:11:09 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <itg8t697u06ijj5trbf1ofjmhen32jft6r@4ax.com>
Source record: 230110
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Wed, 18 May 2011 14:54:33 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
wrote:

>Well yeah! DUH!
>
>If people never played sports, never got in a car, never got in an
>airplane, never got in a boat, never went swimming, never rode
>motorcycles/bicycles, never worked in construction or around dangerous
>machinery, never went scuba diving, never went horseback riding, never
>drank alcohol, etc. health care costs would go down ... I mean, just for
>one example, 48,000 Americans die in car accidents every year -- just
>think how many are seriously harmed for life! And, then there is
>golfing accidents: http://www.senior-golfers.com/golf-accidents/

8^)

>Yes, if we stop those dangerous , self-endangering, actions, health
>costs will go down, well, maybe ...

A trouble with this scenario is - the healthier we are, the longer we
will live (past the time when we're productive) - and *then* something
will kill us just as dead. Maybe more slowly and more expensively.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

2134. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 22:16:03 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir1gf7$n6j$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230111
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir1du1$3gp$1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/18/2011 2:19 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>
>>> The free fall speeds, the molten iron, the controlled demolition which
>>> allowed the buildings to fall into their own footprints,
>>
>>
>> http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj
>>
>> Tell me another lie there John.
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>> If you can't get claims to agree with known facts then you're never going
>> to get any creditability.
>>
>>
>>
>
> There is nothing but evidence, makes you appear just as you are, a
> blithering idiot ...:
>
> http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html

So let's see, you claim they feel in their own footprints, I prove you're wrong, and your response is to change the subject.

Enough said. You have no honesty, and absolutely no interest in knowing the actual facts that have been established.

2135. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 22:16:51 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir1ggnngh1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230112
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir1e2l$3gp$2@dont-email.me...
> On 5/18/2011 2:17 PM, Scout wrote:
>>> You are waiting too late, the free fall speed, the thermate and
>>> incendiaries in the dust, the collapse into their own footprints,
>>
>>
>> Another lie from the "truthers" exposed
>>
>> http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj
>>
>> John, you can hardly expect to convince people you know what you're
>> talking about, when claims you make are easily and readily
>> disproven.......and then you continue to repeat the same lie over and
>> over and over.
>>
>>
>
> Its' OK.
>
> People can look at the evidence and figure it out for themselves,

They sure can which is why people to buy into your line of bullshit empty
unsupported and contrary to known fact assertions.

2136. Heyyyyyy,,,,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 23:06:52 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD4510C-5530@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230113

What makes you think I care or not what Newt does or not?
cuhulin

2137. Re: It's Time for "The Power Hour" on WWCR Shortwave -topic- 'The ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 23:14:06 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD452BE-5531@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230114

I am not going to rebuild my old broken sidewalk.Let er Rip, is what I say.My old house and yard would never win a Home Of The Month Award anyway.One time my old buddy's yard in Richland,Missy Sippy won a Yard Of The Month Award.

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Will+Natural+Gas+Fuel+America+in+the+21st+Century?+Oil+Price+.com

(Also at http://www.rense.com
cuhulin

2138. Rob Redding asks... Hey Cornel West Whats With This 'Devilish' Criticism of Obama ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-18 23:36:01 UTC
From: ~ RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ef366585-3396-462b-be69-44e3d51fa573@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

Rob Redding asks... Hey Cornel West Whats
With This 'Devilish' Criticism of Obama ?

Why Cornel West Is Wrong About Obama !
-wrong- -wrong- -wrong- -wrong- -wrong- -wrong-
http://www.theroot.com/views/why-cornel-west-wrong-about-obama
Hello Liberals [CW] Obama Never Promised You
a Reserve Parking Spot [For Uber-Liberals Only]
in the Middle of the White House Rose Garden ;;-}}
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WO4wcNVbYOQ
-sing-it-and remember-it- -sing-it-and remember-it-
.
Fact-Is : Obama's a Democrat Party "Politician"
Representing The 'Interests' of The Party :
Obama's NOT a Revolutionary of "Change" !
Obama's NOT the Great Black "Hope" !
Just a 'part' of The-Party "Apparatus"
=AKA= A 1ST Class [100%] Politician [.]
.
Cornel West’s Heated Criticism of Obama Sparks
Debate Among African Americans
http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/cornel-wests-criticism-of-obama-sparks-debate-among
-african-americans/2011/05/18/AFlGTf6G_story.html?wprss=rss_homepage
-did-cornel-west-step-over-the-line-and-step-on-toes-?-
.
The Obama {African-American} Deception : Why
Cornel West Went Ballistic On The Failure of a
Liberal Agenda for Blacks and the Poor
http://www.truthdig.com/report/item/the_obama_deception_why_cornel_west_went_ballistic_201
10516/?ln
.
Uber-Liberal Cornel West Says That Obama Only Listens
To Whites and Jews, and Is “Afraid of Free Black Men”…
http://weaselzippers.us/2011/05/18/cornel-west-tells-brother-ed-schultz-that-obama-only-li
stens-to-whites-and-jews-is-afraid-of-free-black-men/
.
OBTW : Wake-Up Cornel West in 2008 'Candidate'
Obama Told You : Who He Was and What He Would
Do : As President -get-used-to-it- -get-used-to-it-
-oh-one-more-thing-cornel-west-get-used-to-it-!-
.
Now Rod Redding is shifting the News Topic {Your

Attention} to Don Lemon "Coming Out of The Closet"
-playing-an-audio-clip-from-'the-view'
http://latimesblogs.latimes.com/showtracker/2011/05/cnn-anchor-don-lemon-encourages-others
-to-come-out-of-the-closet-says-theres-deception-in-silence.html
Also Don Lemon is talking about Black Men
and 'The Down-Low'; and 'being' Born Guy is
like "Being" Born Black -rob-says-ok-you-decide...-
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Don_Lemon
.
Redding News Review & Rob Redding
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rob_Redding
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Redding_News_Review
.
LISTEN -to- Archives of the Redding News Review at GCN
http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/reddingNewsReview/archives.php
.
The Redding News Review" Radio Show
-host- Robert "Rob" Redding, Jr.
http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/reddingNewsReview/
.
LISTEN -to- PodCast of the Redding News Review at GCN
http://podcast.gcnlive.com/podcast/redding/pcast.php
.
Robert "Rob" Redding, Jr.
-appears-to-be-a- 'Junior' Matt Drudge
-wrt- the Drudge Report News & Info -web-site-
http://www.drudgereport.com/
.
The Redding News Review -web-site- Appears to be a key
News & Info Source Focused on African-American "Black"
News on the Internet/WWW .
http://www.reddingnewsreview.com/
.
-listen-via- GCN-Live -for- The Redding News Review" Radio Show
http://www.gcnlive.com/mediaPlayers/odPlayer.php?program=reddingNews
.
-via- XM Satellite Radio CH 169 "The Power"
on Sundays 7PM ET "Redding News Review"
-host- Rob Redding
http://www.xmradio.com/thepower
.
yes - i heard it on the internet streaming audio ~ RHF
-when-i-am-not-listening-to-free-over-the-air-radio-21/6-
.
.
On Apr 29, 5:18 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Rob Redding asks... Hey Trump {The Donald}
> ? Show Us What's Under The Toupee !
> http://blog.murraytrillionaire.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/10/donald_...
> -what's-'the-donald'-hiding...-lift-the-cover-up-;;-}}-

> ? Trump ?What's Under The Too-Pee !
> ? ? Trump ?What's Under The Too-Pee ! !
> ? ? ? Trump ?What's Under The Too-Pee ! ! !
> .
> The-Donald's Toupée : Too-Pee -or- Not Too-Peehttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Toup%C3%A9e
> .
> There Will Be Hell Toupee
!http://4.bp.blogspot.com/_T3-T6ewXLYk/Sn3sRJFsgXI/AAAAAAAAAvA/nsVaF6N...
> .
> All Trump'ed Up and To-Pee'd Off Too !
> http://1.bp.blogspot.com/-UAEusgZplk0/TbV7J7gGNdI/AAAAAAAAITY/C46kwFz...
>
> Redding News Review & Rob Redding
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rob_Reddinghttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Redding_News_Review
> .
> LISTEN -to- Archives of the Redding News Review at GCN
> http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/reddingNewsReview/archives.php
> .
> The Redding News Review" Radio Show
> -host- Robert "Rob"Redding, Jr.
> http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/reddingNewsReview/
> .
> LISTEN -to- PodCast of the Redding News Review at GCN
> http://podcast.gcnlive.com/podcast/redding/pcast.php
> .
> Robert "Rob"Redding, Jr.
> -appears-to-be-a- 'Junior' Matt Drudge
> -wrt- the Drudge Report News & Info -web-site-
> http://www.drudgereport.com/
> The Redding News Review -web-site- Appears to be a key
> News & Info Source Focused on African-American "Black"
> News on the Internet/WWW .
> http://www.reddingnewsreview.com/
> .
> -listen-via- GCN-Live -for- The Redding News Review" Radio Show
> http://www.gcnlive.com/mediaPlayers/odPlayer.php?program=reddingNews
> .
> -via- XM Satellite Radio CH 169 "The Power"
> on Sundays 7PM ET "Redding News Review"
> -host-Rob Redding
> http://www.xmradio.com/thepower
> .
> yes - i heard it on the internet streaming audio ~RHF
> -when-i-am-not-listening-to-free-over-the-air-radio-21/6-
> .
> .

2139. WTWW on 5755 kHz @ 1015 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 00:00:04 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <0db13ce8-ba3b-409e-8a32-eb7c1aece428@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230116

WTWW on 5755 kHz @ 1015 UTC
on 7 April 2011

Pastor Peters interviewing James McCanney the
host of the "Science Hour" -imho- There was an
'interesting' dynamic between the two...

S-Meter : S9 ~ +10 dB and SIO 555 with Very Good Audio

WTWW is the Shortwave Radio Station for the
Scripture For America Radio Network [SFARN]
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WTWW
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scriptures_for_America
-note- The Interview Ended @ 1058 UTC
.
WTWW : We Transmit World-Wide
http://wtww.us/
5755 kHz @ 7 PM Central (0000 UTC) to 8 AM (1300 UTC)
9480 kHz 8 AM Central (1300 UTC) to 7 PM (0000 UTC)
http://www.sfawbn.org/index.html
.
WTWW Lebanon, Tennessee ID @ 0900 UTC
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WTWW
.
FWIW : WWCR Shortwave Radio Station has
James McCanney and the "Science Hour" on
Thursdays Nights on 9350 kHz @ 2300 UTC
http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
.
yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.
WTWW on 5755 kHz @ 0800 UTC
The "Science Hour" -host- James McCanney
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/aba3f8eb4decefe0
.
.
On Apr 16, 3:34 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:

> WTWW"Science Hour" -host- James McCanney
> on 5755 kHz @ 0800 UTC on 16 April 2011
>
> S-Meter : S9 +20 dB and SIO 555 with Excellent Audio
>
> Some may say that it should be called the WEIRD
> 'Science Hour' -but- It can be entertaining . . .
>
> Program got-off the scheduled topic the "Comet Elenin"
> and onto the "Kolbrin" Manuscript and his book :
> "Atlantis to Tesla - The Kolbrin
Connection"http://www.jmccanneyscience.com/AtlantistoTeslaCoversandTableofConten...
> .
> About the Comet called
"Elenin"http://news.discovery.com/space/will-earthbound-comet-fulfill-2012-pr...
> -aka- Comet C/2010 X1 (Elenin)http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Comet_Elenin
> .WTWW: We Transmit World-Widehttp://wtww.us/
> 5755 kHz @ 7 PM Central (0000 UTC) to 8 AM (1300 UTC)
> 9480 kHz 8 AM Central (1300 UTC) to 7 PM (0000 UTC)http://www.sfawbn.org/index.html
> .WTWWLebanon, Tennessee ID @ 0900 UTChttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WTWW
> .
> yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

2140. Re: Top News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 00:31:29 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd4648b$0$12464$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230117

On 05/18/2011 03:07 PM, dxAce wrote:
> The latest edition (19 May) of Top News has been posted:
>
> http://www.wwdxc.de/topnews.htm
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
> Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
> 70' and 200' wires
> GE SR I (2) and II
>
> 239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the
> NASWA Country List.
>
> And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of
> Technology& Design (Backwater U.).
>
> They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about
> being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
thanks ace

2141. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 01:30:23 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir1rrhsai1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230118
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/18/2011 3:16 PM, Scout wrote:
>> There is nothing but evidence, makes you appear just as you are, a
>> blithering idiot ...:
>>
>> http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html
>
>
> So let's see, you claim they feel in their own footprints, I prove
> you're wrong, and your response is to change the subject.
>
> Enough said. You have no honesty, and absolutely no interest in
> knowing the actual facts that have been established.
>
>

You are a damn fool. You want to pick and choose, argue one point, what
don't you understand about "OVERWHELMING PROOF."

What don't you understand about straight down, into its' own footprint,
rather than off to one side or the other?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oO2yT0uBQbM&feature=player_embedded#at=82

http://www.wtc7.net/articles/kimball/thirdskyscraper.html

http://www2.ae911truth.org/wtc7.php

http://whatreallyhappened.com/WRHARTICLES/wtc7.html

Now, here is the part, when faced with overwhelming evidence, you deny
the truth and start squealing like a stuck pig, while everyone scratches
their head and wonders why ... what a loser ... sad, so very, very sad ...

And, you fail with the free fall speed, the thermite evidence, the
molten iron, etc. You mother simply raised a moron ... hey, it happens ...

Regards,
JS

2142. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 01:33:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir1s21sai2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230019
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/18/2011 3:16 PM, Scout wrote:
>> Its' OK.
>>
>> People can look at the evidence and figure it out for themselves,
>
>
> They sure can which is why people to buy into your line of bullshit
> empty unsupported and contrary to known fact assertions.
>
>

Yeah, its' bull shit alright, you are just confused as to whose ...

ROFLOL

Overwhelming evidence and yet you stand there looking like a moron ...
the depth of your stupidity and ability to remain a moron makes you, A
MORONS', MORON!

You can't even deceive children ...

Regards,
JS

2143. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 01:34:18 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DD4739A.6040101@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 230020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>
>> ...
>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except in the
>> imaginations of the authors.
>>
>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>>

How deep is a rabbit hole...?

2144. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 01:36:09 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir1s6asai3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230021
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/18/2011 3:09 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Wed, 18 May 2011 17:17:35 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>> John, you can hardly expect to convince people you know what you're talking
>> about, when claims you make are easily and readily disproven.......and then
>> you continue to repeat the same lie over and over and over.
> Facts don't convince True Believers. It is obvious that Obama can't
> be their president, and reality won't convince them differently.

The only facts produce evidence proving the thermite, molten iron, free fall speeds, collapse into their own footprints ... that is why some posts contain evidence, and others, such as yours, contain none ...

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oO2yT0uBQbM&feature=player_embedded#at=82

http://www.wtc7.net/articles/kimball/thirdskyscraper.html

http://www2.ae911truth.org/wtc7.php

http://whatreallyhappened.com/WRHARTICLES/wtc7.html

The NGs are quite full of examples ...

Regards,
JS

2145. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 01:42:27 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir1si5sai4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 6:34 PM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except in the
>>> imaginations of the authors.
>>>
>>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>>>
>
> How deep is a rabbit hole...?

Quite shallow!

Life on earth is the only example of itself ... at least at this present time.

Nothing in all of our view of the universe before us, earth, mars, moon, other planets, etc. would lead one to believe that life can "just happen by accident." "Spontaneous Generation", or life "just happening" was tried as a theory, long ago, although it still has its' followers, it has simply grown into a religion which would have one make a leap of faith against all logical thought and reason to believe ... end of story.

Therefore, and borne out by occams' razor, the most likely explanation is that an intelligence created us ... and the question becomes, where did that intelligence come from ... and now, we search ...

Regards,
JS

2146. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 01:49:30 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir1svepjv1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230023
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir1rrhsai1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/18/2011 3:16 PM, Scout wrote:
>>> There is nothing but evidence, makes you appear just as you are, a
>>> blithering idiot ...:
>>>
>>> http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html
>>
>>
>> So let's see, you claim they feel in their own footprints, I prove you're
>> wrong, and your response is to change the subject.
>>
>> Enough said. You have no honesty, and absolutely no interest in knowing
>> the actual facts that have been established.
>>
>>
>
> You are a damn fool.

Well expecting you to be able to prove your claims about even a single particular has been shown to be pretty foolish. I mean, really, expecting you to produce actual proof?

> You want to pick and choose, argue one point, what don't you understand
> about "OVERWHELMING PROOF."

It's real simple. If your proof is overwhelming, then I should be able to pick ANY element and it will standup to whatever scrutiny I bring upon it. Since it doesn't, then your "overwhelming proof" ceases to be proof and just becomes "overwhelming claims".

The fact that it can't, and that you then immediately attempt to change the subject, and this isn't the first time you've been corrected on this assertion means you're not really interested in the truth, but only in promoting your conspiracy theory no matter how much it's based on claims that are false.

2147. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 01:50:50 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir1t1u$q2r$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230024
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir1s21sai2@dont-email.me...
> On 5/18/2011 3:16 PM, Scout wrote:
>>> Its' OK.
>>>
>>> People can look at the evidence and figure it out for themselves,
>>
>>
>> They sure can which is why people to buy into your line of bullshit empty
>> unsupported and contrary to known fact assertions.
>>
>>
>
> Yeah, its' bull shit alright, you are just confused as to whose ...

It certainly is, or you could provide irrefutable proof of some specific
element that proves your assertions have merit.

You have tried again and again and everytime your proof isn't even close to
any rational notion of proof but is usually just more unsupported assertions
made by someone else.

2148. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 01:53:49 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir1t7hqtf1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230025
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir1s6asai3@dont-email.me...
> On 5/18/2011 3:09 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
>> On Wed, 18 May 2011 17:17:35 -0400, "Scout"
>> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>
>>> John, you can hardly expect to convince people you know what you're
>>> talking
>>> about, when claims you make are easily and readily disproven.......and
>>> then
>>> you continue to repeat the same lie over and over and over.
>> Facts don't convince True Believers. It is obvious that Obama can't
>> be their president, and reality won't convince them differently.
>
> The only facts produce evidence proving the thermite, molten iron, free
> fall speeds, collapse into their own footprints

See what I mean?

I just proved to you this claim is wrong, and here you are once again
spouting the same disproven assertions.

Then you wonder why people don't believe in your claims....

Oh, and once again, the proof that shows the falseness of your assertion.

http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj

2149. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 01:59:06 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir1thbqtt1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230026
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/18/2011 6:49 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ir1rrhsai1@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/18/2011 3:16 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>> There is nothing but evidence, makes you appear just as you are, a
>>>> blithering idiot ...:
>>>>
>>>> http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html
>>>
>>>
>>> So let's see, you claim they feel in their own footprints, I prove
>>> you're wrong, and your response is to change the subject.
>>>
>>> Enough said. You have no honesty, and absolutely no interest in
>>> knowing the actual facts that have been established.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> You are a damn fool.
>
> Well expecting you to be able to prove your claims about even a single
> particular has been shown to be pretty foolish. I mean, really,
> expecting you to produce actual proof?
>
>> You want to pick and choose, argue one point, what don't you
>> understand about "OVERWHELMING PROOF."
>
> It's real simple. If your proof is overwhelming, then I should be able
> to pick ANY element and it will standup to whatever scrutiny I bring
> upon it. Since it doesn't, then your "overwhelming proof" ceases to be
> proof and just becomes "overwhelming claims".
>
> The fact that it can't, and that you then immediately attempt to
> change the subject, and this isn't the first time you've been
> corrected on this assertion means you're not really interested in the
> truth, but only in promoting your conspiracy theory no matter how much
> it's based on claims that are false.
>
>

ROFLOL

Yep, that is what I expected ... nothing ... even less than nothing.

I make no claims ... I listen to experts and evidence, I look at facts ...

You do personal attacks and have no evidence to offer ... I mean, it is like DUH -- you are a moron ...

... but, here you go again ... ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2150. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 02:00:26 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir1tjrqtt2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230027
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/18/2011 6:50 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ir1s21sai2@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/18/2011 3:16 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>> Its' OK.
>>>>
>>>> People can look at the evidence and figure it out for themselves,
>>>
>>>
>>> They sure can which is why people to buy into your line of bullshit
>>> empty unsupported and contrary to known fact assertions.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Yeah, its' bull shit alright, you are just confused as to whose ...
>
> It certainly is, or you could provide irrefutable proof of some
> specific element that proves your assertions have merit.
>
> You have tried again and again and everytime your proof isn't even
> close to any rational notion of proof but is usually just more
> unsupported assertions made by someone else.
>
>

I get it, you are attempting to drive everyone in the NGs insane with
your insane rants ... OK, carry on, we will see if it works.
Personally, I don't think it has a chance ...

Regards,
JS

2151. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 02:01:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir1tm4qtt3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230028
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/18/2011 6:53 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ir1s6asai3@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/18/2011 3:09 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
>>> On Wed, 18 May 2011 17:17:35 -0400, "Scout"
>>> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>> John, you can hardly expect to convince people you know what you're
>>>> talking
>>>> about, when claims you make are easily and readily
>>>> disproven.......and then
>>>> you continue to repeat the same lie over and over and over.
>>> Facts don't convince True Believers. It is obvious that Obama can't
>>> be their president, and reality won't convince them differently.
>>
>> The only facts produce evidence proving the thermite, molten iron,
>> free fall speeds, collapse into their own footprints
>
> See what I mean?
>
> I just proved to you this claim is wrong, and here you are once again
> spouting the same disproven assertions.
>
> Then you wonder why people don't believe in your claims....
>
> Oh, and once again, the proof that shows the falseness of your assertion.
>
> http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj
>
>
>

No, just shows a less than perfect controlled demolition, accidents are
just proof that accidents can happen, get it through your head ...

I get it, you are attempting to drive everyone in the NGs insane with
your insane rants ... OK, carry on, we will see if it works.
Personally, I don't think it has a chance ...

Regards,
JS

2152. Monica Pignotti: UNFIT TO TEACH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 02:09:09 UTC
From: Anne Onime <anonymous@rip.ax.lt>
Message-ID: <4cb06858ec8e2113b90a4c8f897ef2da@rip.ax.lt>
Source record: 230029

Monica Pignotti has sealed her fate.

No self respecting institution will hire her. She is unfit to teach and, due to her unsavory associations, retaining her would tarnish the institution's reputation.

There will be NO compliance with the "demands" in the statement on her website.

Many of the signatories to her strident manifesto, such as Bruce Thyer, have vested interests in concealing the truth about her.

Efforts to disseminate the TRUTH about her will continue, unabated.

In addition, the institutions whose faculty members have been foolish enough to sign this document will be contacted and retractions will be demanded. If these people wish to speak out, let them speak on their own.

Some facts about Monica Pignotti:

1) She dropped out of college to join Scientology. She spent years in this crazy cult, and rose to the highest levels, containing scientifically unsound beliefs about radiation, alien warlords and the atom bombing of volcanos. While in Scientology, Monica Pignotti became romantically involved with Quentin Hubbard, one of the children of Scientology founder, L. Ron Hubbard.

2) After leaving Scientology, Monica Pignotti became a devotee of Roger Callahan and his bizarre therapies, Thought Field Therapy and Voice Technology. These systems claim to heal by tapping the body and at the most advanced levels, diagnosis is performed over the phone.

Pignotti stopped practicing Thought Field Therapy and Voice Technology and used her inside knowledge to criticize them.

A review of her CV will show that a significant number of her published papers deal with this topic. One has to ask, has she ever "debunked" anything where she lacked inside knowledge?

3) Pignotti entered academia. She was dismissed from a teaching position at Florida State University (FSU) for several reasons. First, she made obscene sexual propositions to faculty members. Second, many students complained that she wasted classroom time with anecdotes about time travel, Scientology, Roger Callahan, being single, and her addiction to caffeine. Finally, she accused a female professor of performing oral sex on a male student in a public place.

4) Monica Pignotti spent several years aligned with a fringe medical group. The head of this group, Larry W. Sarner of Loveland, CO, has bachelor's degrees in political science and mathematics, and is unqualified to evaluate psychological interventions.

Larry Sarner bilked lenders and investors out of several million dollars on a scheme to develop and deploy voting machines. Even though Pignotti, for whatever reason, has parted company with Sarner, she continues to insist that his voting machines worked.

5) Pignotti applied for a position at New York University (NYU). She did not get it. She concocted a fantastic story about how her personal information was compromised, and she demanded that NYU conduct a full investigation.

NYU refused, and has stated, publicly, that they are glad they did not hire her.

6) Pignotti considered applying for a position at Brigham Young University (BYU) which would have required adherence to the BYU honor code. Pignotti wrote a scathing blog post denouncing the Mormon faith.

7) Pignotti associates with violent criminals. As an example, Robert Clark, who uses the pseudonym henri and who has been convicted of making bomb threats against both churches and weather stations.

2153. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 02:14:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir1udqqtt4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230030
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/18/2011 6:53 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> See what I mean?
>
> I just proved to you this claim is wrong, and here you are once again
> spouting the same disproven assertions.
>
> Then you wonder why people don't believe in your claims....
>
> Oh, and once again, the proof that shows the falseness of your assertion.
>
> http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj

No need to, it is a false belief based on false conclusions ...

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rIEBLdd6W3Q
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-duOjP-cevk&feature=related
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=moc0KK4zYDk&feature=related

The 9/11 controlled demolitions were done quite well ... actually, quite amazing ... under ideal circumstances, things can go wrong. However, a successful controlled demolition leaves no doubt in expert minds ...:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rVCDpL4Ax7I

Regards,
JS

2154. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 02:26:02 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3bd00134-20da-46e9-ac07-0fed59754e4e@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230031
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On May 18, 4:17 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 18, 10:21 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 18, 7:29 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 17, 5:06 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> > > > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> > > > > On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> > > > > > joeturn wrote:
> > > > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > > > > wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > > > > > > > > > wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > ...
> > > > > > > > > > > So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > > > > > > > > > > no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > > > > > > > > > > projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > > > > > > > > > > to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > > > > > > > > > > astounding.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > > > > > > > > > > late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > > > > > > > > > > science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > > > > > > > > > > something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > > > > > > > > > > realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > > > > > > > > > > trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > > > > > > > > > > ...
>
```

> > > > > > >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>
> > > > > > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > > > > > > >>>> actual evidence.
>
> > > > > > > >>> Wild speculation?
>
> > > > > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>
> > > > > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > > > > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > > > > > > >>> use?
>
> > > > > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > > > > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>
> > > > > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > > > > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
>
> > > > > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > > > > > > >> evidence?
>
> > > > > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > > > > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>
> > > > > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > > > > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > > > > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > > > > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > > > > > > >> vanishes?
>
> > > > > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > > > > > > >>> based in reality!
>
> > > > > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > > > > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>
> > > > > > > and on nobody else's?
>
> > > > > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > > > > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> > > > > > go.

>
> > > > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
>
> > > > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
>
> > > > --
> > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>
> > > For One and All,
>
> > > Ever seen the Heat Waves on the Surface of a Black-Top
> > > Roadway and the Visual Distortion they Cause ?
>
> > > Ever seen the Visual Distortion caused by Non-Visual
> > > Column of Air Pollution {Smoke / Air Particulates } in
> > > the Air between You and an Object ?
>
> > > now you see it and now you don't ~ RHF
> > > -yes-it-is-that-simple-
> > > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > I'm sure they coated the lens with vicks salve to oliminate heat wave
> > as you can see the building did not fade in and out,just the wings,try
> > that next time you go to target practice but just wipe it in your eye
> > to keep it from seeming out of focus!
>
> The Buildings {Fixed Objects} Were Not Moving
> -hint- The Airplanes [Moving Objects}
>
> -minor-technical-point- It's a Motion-Picture Camera
> that does Frames-per-Second to create the Illusion
> of a 'Moving' Image :
> * The Buildings {Fixed Objects} have 'Persistence'
> from Frame-to-Frame
> * The Airplanes [Moving Objects} Do Not Have
> 'Persistence' from Frame-to-Frame
>
> -result- now you see it and now you don't ~ RHF
> -yes-again-it-is-that-simple-
> .- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

So your hiway should not move since it is just layinhg there and not
moving! Give it up RHF it was digital fade from the hologram not heat
wave. It is that simple!

2155. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying bec...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 02:30:40 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD480D0-5668@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230033

Those MOFOS at GAY FAGGOT esquire SUCKS magazine are a bunch of FAGGOT QUEERS, THEY LOOK JUST LIKE FAGGOT QUEERS TOO!
cuhulin

2156. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 02:32:36 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cf4fa530-ea43-4694-9825-f7cfb0d96d74@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230032
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 18, 5:18 pm, Government Shill #2 <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Wed, 18 May 2011 14:34:18 -0400, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >In article <0c0f2be9-15b0-4e96-8f95-eedbd8d19676@
> >34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >> On May 18, 7:29 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >> > On May 17, 5:06 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> >> > > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> >> > > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >> > > > On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> >> > > > > joeturn wrote:
> >> > > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> >> > > > > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >> > > > > > >> wrote:
>
> >> > > > > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> >> > > > > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >> > > > > > >>>> wrote:
>
> >> > > > > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>
> >> > > > > > >>>>>> ...
> >> > > > > > >>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> >> > > > > > >>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> >> > > > > > >>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> >> > > > > > >>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> >> > > > > > >>>>>> astounding.
>
> >> > > > > > >>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> >> > > > > > >>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> >> > > > > > >>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> >> > > > > > >>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> >> > > > > > >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> >> > > > > > >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> >> > > > > > >>>>> ...
>
> >> > > > > > >>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>
> >> > > > > > >>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from

> >> > > > > >>>> actual evidence.
>
> >> > > > > >>> Wild speculation?
>
> >> > > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>
> >> > > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> >> > > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> >> > > > > >>> use?
>
> >> > > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> >> > > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>
> >> > > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> >> > > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
>
> >> > > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> >> > > > > >> evidence?
>
> >> > > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> >> > > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>
> >> > > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> >> > > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> >> > > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> >> > > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> >> > > > > >> vanishes?
>
> >> > > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> >> > > > > >>> based in reality!
>
> >> > > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> >> > > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> >> > > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>
> >> > > > > and on nobody else's?
>
> >> > > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> >> > > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> >> > > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> >> > > > go.
>
> >> > > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
>

> >> > > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
>
> >> > > --
> >> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>
> >> > For One and All,
>
> >> > Ever seen the Heat Waves on the Surface of a Black-Top
> >> > Roadway and the Visual Distortion they Cause ?
>
> >> > Ever seen the Visual Distortion caused by Non-Visual
> >> > Column of Air Pollution {Smoke / Air Particulates } in
> >> > the Air between You and an Object ?
>
> >> > now you see it and now you don't ~ RHF
> >> > -yes-it-is-that-simple-
> >> > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> > - Show quoted text -
>
> >> I'm sure they coated the lens with vicks salve to oliminate heat wave
> >> as you can see the building did not fade in and out,just the wings,try
> >> that next time you go to target practice but just wipe it in your eye
> >> to keep it from seeming out of focus!
>
> >WTF are you babbling about?
>
> He's taken Babbleloonian to the next level by inventing words that *almost* look
> like English ones, but aren't quite.
>
> eg. "oliminate" = eliminate perhaps?
>
> "vicks salve"??? Hmmm... maybe Vicks VapoRub?
>
> ~BG must be so proud.
>
> Shill #2
> --
> "Me fail English? That's unpossible!"
> Ralph Wiggum- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

You have exceeded my expectations of you again you have grammarized my
post wand still managed to appear the village idiot!I will cast my
vote for you to become valordictorian of google tech!

Spell check Bi-George TIA

2157. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying bec...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 03:07:39 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD4897B-5703@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230035

I donts needs no Steenkin spell checker.

You know I am always Right.

Articles, Articles, Articles.Jerome Corsi's Book.
http://www.wnd.com
http://www.worldnetdaily.com

Watchin 10:00 PM WJTV 12 tee vee noos.Woooo, look at all the Tourist peoples in Vicksburg,Mississippi.Some Tourist people on a hill with their picture cameras, taking pictures of the flooding Missy Sippy River.A guy from Ohio said he likes it here, the people are friendly.
cuhulin

2158. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 03:09:30 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <a6598520-5b0a-46a2-b417-4e928aec5bb1@u15g2000vby.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230034
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>
> You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> was a [poor source as told by BDK?

Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
man . May he rest in Peace .

2159. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 03:12:29 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD48A9D-5704@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230037

9/11 was an Inside Job.Absolutely Impossible for two Airplanes to cause
those two 110 storey tall World Trade Center buildings to fall down!
IMPOSSIBLE!
cuhulin

2160. Re: Hey Larry, Looks like Newt is not supporting the GOP ALREADY!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 03:16:42 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir222s$3t2$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230036
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 3:11 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> ...
> A trouble with this scenario is - the healthier we are, the longer we
> will live (past the time when we're productive) - and *then* something
> will kill us just as dead. Maybe more slowly and more expensively.

And, you cite what proofs?

Or, are you one of those big self-important arse-hole blow-hards which
just expect your persona and charisma to convince me?

ROFLOL!

Sorry, probably works best on the type of women attracted to such things
and your feminine butties ... opps, seem to have spelled that wrong ...
buddies then!

Regards,
JS

2161. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 03:20:30 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-df1d41a848cf@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230038
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>
> > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> > was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>
> Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.

Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
his knowlege of the 911 coverup.

2162. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 03:21:00 UTC
From: "skepticl1@aol.com" <skepticl1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <cab55d7e-074c-425d-b817-c3bb90878b84@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230039
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 18, 10:05 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/18/2011 9:54 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 17, 11:15 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/17/2011 6:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >
> >>> On May 17, 8:06 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >>>> In article<5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> >>>> @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >>>>> On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton"<zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> >>>>>> joeturn wrote:
> >>>>>>> On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1<quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >>>>>>>> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >>>>>>>>>> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>> ...
> >>>>>>>>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> >>>>>>>>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> >>>>>>>>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> >>>>>>>>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> >>>>>>>>>>>> astounding.
> >>>>>>>>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> >>>>>>>>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> >>>>>>>>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> >>>>>>>>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> >>>>>>>>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> >>>>>>>>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> >>>>>>>>>>> ...
> >>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
> >>>>>>>>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> >>>>>>>>>> actual evidence.
> >>>>>>>>> Wild speculation?
> >>>>>>>>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
> >>>>>>>>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology

> >>>>>>>>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> >>>>>>>>> use?
> >>>>>>>> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> >>>>>>>> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
> >>>>>>>>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> >>>>>>>>> occurring today, is in error?
> >>>>>>>> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> >>>>>>>> evidence?
> >>>>>>>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> >>>>>>>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
> >>>>>>>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> >>>>>>>> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> >>>>>>>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> >>>>>>>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> >>>>>>>> vanishes?
> >>>>>>>>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> >>>>>>>>> based in reality!
> >>>>>>>> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
> >>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
> >>>>>>> The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> >>>>>>> grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
> >>>>>> and on nobody else's?
> >>>>>> It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> >>>>>> nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
> >>>>>> - Show quoted text -
> >>>>> Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> >>>>> go.
> >>>>>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
> >>>> It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
> >>>> --
> >>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> >>>> - Show quoted text -
> >>> You feds claimed him a fake but he caught all them cranes and
> >>> dumptrucks on stanby and the monitor hologramimg the plane.
> >>> Dont you remember bi georgе you were the one projecting the image of a
> >>> plane going slam through the building and the aluminum nose was not
> >>> even bent coming out the other side! That Evin almost spoiled it for
> >>> you didn't he?
> >>>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_b2Uk6ngQD8&feature=related
> >> Since the radar and other antenna and electronics are in the nose, the
> >> nose would have either been fiberglass or plastic ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
> > I see,so it just melted through the building and bounced back into
> > shape that explains everything then. Thanks for you keen eye!
>
> Its' being there is an impossibility, unless someone placed it there, DUH!

>
> "The problem here is that the nose cone of a Boeing 757 is made of
> carbon-fibre (like fibreglass); there is no metal nose cone on a 757. An
> average strength man (or strong woman) could make mincemeat of a 757
> nose cone in about 15 minutes with nothing more than a light axe or a
> sledgehammer - the same cannot be said of the outer wall of the
> Pentagon. Punching through stone facade and 2 exterior concrete and
> brick walls, 4 or more interior poured concrete walls, and a poured
> concrete floor, to conveniently land front-and-center on a piece of
> board for photographers is out of the question."
>
> FROM HERE:http://911review.org/Wiki/PentagonAttackLegend.shtml
>
> Here is a nose cone which has been damaged in
flight:http://www.p2pconsortium.com/index.php/topic/16284-northwest-jet-land...
>
> Obviously, idiots attempted the fraud with that nose cone supposedly
> going though reinforced concrete! But then, look at the public
> servants, they have been chosen for puppets, not intelligence!
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

And speaking of public servants, we must realize, Joeturn, that we are discussing with a nest of vipers. Fascists within the US government committed false flag attacks to start a war which has murdered millions. The US Capitalist blew up the Murrah Federal Building in 1996, they blew up the World Trade Center in 1993, killing 11, and injuring hundreds, our masters attacked again on Sept 11, 2001. Then the gang of Fascists attacked the Madrid Trains, the London Subway underground (Guiliani was on hand to do that one too!) The Fascists did the 7/7 attacks and blamed it on innocent Muslim youth. Today Israel is harvesting organs out of live Palestinians, and alt.atheism may have some paid traitors committing treason every time they type. Fascists have some mighty crimes to cover up. Fascists think the false flag attacks are humorous. I don't think the attacks are humorous. The war is a crime.

2163. Re: Hey Larry, Looks like Newt is not supporting the GOP ALREADY!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 03:23:02 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir22en$3t2$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230040
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 4:43 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Wed, 18 May 2011 18:46:37 -0400, BAR<screw@you.com> wrote:
>
>>>> People need to learn and understand that they are responsible for
>>>> themselves. If you keep providing them with a safety net to catch them
>>>> when they screw up they begin to rely upon that safety net. When that
>>>> safety net becomes unsustainable and it no longer functions you have
>>>> just screwed millions of people.
>>> OK. What is your proposal to get from here to there?
>> Repeal SS and Medicare. Let the states deal with it.
> Do you believe that politicians will be willing to lose votes from
> virtually everybody getting Social Security and/or Medicare? Or from
> those who have paid most of their lives and expect to get some return?
>
> So what is your *realistic* proposal to get from here to there?

Does it matter what scenarios one dreams up in their minds?

I think it has always been the same rule in effect, those with the money pay the bills ... or, you can't get blood out of a turnip!

Any country only has a set amount of resources, it makes no difference if those resources are divided up amongst the many, and the many pay the bills, or only one possess all resources and pays all bills ... I mean, in the end, you just have to answer to logic and reason ...

Regards,
JS

2164. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 03:28:49 UTC
From: "skepticl1@aol.com" <skepticl1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <57424d1e-002c-4648-aea2-4c0d218dfb26@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230041
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 18, 8:20 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>
> > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> > > was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>
> > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>
> Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> his knowlege of the 911 coverup.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

[shouting] NOTICE HOW THE PRO OFFICIAL STORY APOLOGISTS ARE NOT CASUAL POSTERS, BUT TRAINED IN MANIPULATION AND KNOWLEDGABLE TO THE UTMOST

DEGREE IN EVERY ASPECT OF DEBUNKING 911 TRUTH! THIS IS PART OF AN OPERATION OF DISINFORMATION BY GOVERNMENT SHILLS, NOT HONEST DEBATE BY DISINTERESTED PARTIES.

2165. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 03:37:55 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir23akmlk1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230042
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic

On 5/18/2011 8:28 PM, skepticl1@aol.com wrote:
> ...
> [shouting] NOTICE HOW THE PRO OFFICIAL STORY APOLOGISTS ARE NOT CASUAL
> POSTERS, BUT TRAINED IN MANIPULATION AND KNOWLEDGABLE TO THE UTMOST
> DEGREE IN EVERY ASPECT OF DEBUNKING 911 TRUTH! THIS IS PART OF AN
> OPERATION OF DISINFORMATION BY GOVERNMENT SHILLS, NOT HONEST DEBATE BY
> DISINTERESTED PARTIES.

Really? Huh?

They just look like persistent morons who repetitively repeat the same lines with the same results, but offer no concrete support of their suppositions and opinions -- one could interpret that to be insanity! I do ...

Regards,
JS

2166. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 03:39:48 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir23e5mlk2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/18/2011 8:12 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> 9/11 was an Inside Job.Absolutely Impossible for two Airplanes to cause
> those two 110 storey tall World Trade Center buildings to fall down!
> IMPOSSIBLE!
> cuhulin
>

Yes, the evidence, experts and proofs are quite conclusive in that
direction, and support you well ...

Regards,
JS

2167. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 04:10:12 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9497a436-c0dc-47cc-9b95-6154462ea855@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230044
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On May 18, 11:28 pm, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 18, 8:20 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> > > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>
> > > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> > > > was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>
> > > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> > > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> > His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>
> > Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> > his knowlege of the 911 coverup.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
```

> [shouting] NOTICE HOW THE PRO OFFICIAL STORY APOLOGISTS ARE NOT CASUAL
> POSTERS, BUT TRAINED IN MANIPULATION AND KNOWLEDGABLE TO THE UTMOST
> DEGREE IN EVERY ASPECT OF DEBUNKING 911 TRUTH! THIS IS PART OF AN
> OPERATION OF DISINFORMATION BY GOVERNMENT SHILLS, NOT HONEST DEBATE BY
> DISINTERESTED PARTIES.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

The 911 truth movement started out as a means to proove the Government
was committing treason and mass murder but the Government shills have
infiltrated all the 911 sites and are destroying them with mis-
imformation specifically hearded to cover up the missile attacks at
the PentaGram.

2168. Re: 9/11 IS AS BIG A JOKE AS OBAMAS' FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 04:13:34 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <396a0670-2c46-4404-b270-466ce9f2f133@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,rec.sport.golf

On May 18, 7:50 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/18/2011 5:19 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 18, 2:51 am, Ron<roneal1...@att.net> wrote:
> >> On May 17, 11:55 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >>> On 5/17/2011 5:37 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
> >>>> On May 17, 6:16 am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
> >>>> rage:
> >>>>> This remains undisputed.
> >>>> It remains unsupported.
> >>>>> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
> >>>> Not one supporting fact has been presented.
> >>>>> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
> >>>> That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are not
> >>>> very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
> >>>> disproven 9/11 clalims.
> >>>> For instance, you have yet to NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that
> >>>> is incorrect.
> >>>> You cannot even find a TYPO in it let alone an error of fact.
> >>>> You are exposed as a lying bushkultie.
> >>> ROFLOL
> >>> NIST report has been blown to chit ...
> >>> Regards,
> >>> JS
> >> .
> >> .
> >> JS, I'd be willing to bet you think the Moon Landing in 1969 was a
> >> hoax.
> > He thinks his own birth was a hoax.
>
> ROFLOL
>
> I think

All evidence is to the contrary, Johnny KQQK!

BWAHAAAAAHAAAAAAAHAHAAA!!

2169. Re: 9/11 IS AS BIG A JOKE AS OBAMAS' FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 04:15:26 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3614ef09-9508-4b41-a74d-811a3bf37f5f@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,rec.sport.golf

On May 18, 10:10 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/18/2011 5:19 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > ...
> > He thinks his own birth was a hoax.
>
> Still, you are left with no evidence, proof or experts to back up your
> complaints about the truth getting out ...

You're talking about yourself again, Johnny KQQK,,, the rightard who
cannot NAME ONE THING!

<sips Victory Iced Tea>

2170. Re: 9/11 IS AS BIG A JOKE AS OBAMAS' FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 04:16:34 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <27d8f3aa-1d1a-4986-bb29-5b74a6961888@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,rec.sport.golf

On May 18, 10:09 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/18/2011 1:51 AM, Ron wrote:
>
> > ...
> > .
> > JS, I'd be willing to bet you think the Moon Landing in 1969 was a
> > hoax.
>
> > RO
>
> Attempt to stay focused, you are not on the moon.
>
> We have been discussing the fraudulent birth certificate of obama and
> the 9/11 inside job ...

In other words, your fiction.

2171. Re: Johnny KQQK IS AS BIG A JOKE AS ANY BIRFLOON/TWOOFER SINCE HE CANNOT NAME ONE THING WRONG IN THE NIST REPORT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 04:17:25 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fdb19488-9f10-4b20-9f4b-4104c6effb09@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,sci.skeptic

On May 18, 8:03 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/18/2011 5:19 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 17, 10:55 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/17/2011 5:37 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> >>> On May 17, 6:16 am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
> >>> rage:
> >>>> This remains undisputed.
> >>> It remains unsupported.
> >>>> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
> >>> Not one supporting fact has been presented.
> >>>> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
> >>> That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are not
> >>> very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
> >>> disproven 9/11 clalims.
> >>> For instance, you have yet to NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that
> >>> is incorrect.
> >>> You cannot even find a TYPO in it let alone an error of fact.
> >>> You are exposed as a lying bushkultie.
> >> ROFLOL
>
> >> NIST report has been blown to chit ...
> > If so, how come you cannot NAME ONE THING IN IT that is incorrect,
> > Johnny KQQK? You keep saying this but you keep FAILING to NAME ONE
> > THING!!
>
> > TOO FUCKIN' FUNNY how stupid you are!!
>
> The NIST report has been blown to chit ...

Well, then, Johnny KQQK, it shouldn't be so danged hard for you to
NAME ONE THING, should it. Yet you consistently FAIL. Why is that?

2172. Re: 9/11 IS AS BIG A JOKE AS OBAMAS' FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 04:24:10 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD49B6A-5736@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230052

Horses are smarter than people.You never heard of a horse going broke betting on people. ~ Will Rogers.
cuhulin

2173. Re: COME SEE * HATES US * PROVE IARNROD RIGHT AGAIN: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 04:24:41 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <18001805-41e7-46ea-9a96-8bf77dfca7f6@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230049
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 18, 7:33 am, * US * wrote:

> That's quite an error:

> "the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
> Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

> http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-v...

> It's a study in treachery.

It's a study in stupidity by you, kook. I guess you're too stupid to
see the error that the blogger made. Hint: He's looking at the wrong
building. Blatant and obvious error by yet another 9/11 kooker!!

You sure did not earn your money tonight from your hero Cheeeeeney,
you traitor rightard bushkultie.

2174. Re: COME SEE * HATE IARNROD *: OFF TOPIC AGAIN!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 04:26:08 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e9eb90d9-ae2e-461c-a17e-7a5fb34c748e@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230050
Cross-posted to: alt.stupidity,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 18, 7:34 am, TinyPenis <TopNutbag...@yahoo.com> foamed in
impotent rage:
> On ...

<<S-L-A-P!!>>

SHADDUP, Pin Prick the Bug Fucker. Don't try to take on your betters.

2175. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 04:28:04 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c400b203-2d72-476f-90cc-c30f262df6af@e17g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 18, 9:01 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/18/2011 5:21 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > We know that, Johnny KQQK!! You provide ENDLESS insanity to amuse your
> > many betters! We ought to THANK you for letting us laugh at your
> > boundless stupidity!!
>
> > Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
> > won't, not even a typo!
>
> The fraudulent birth certificate is

... your lie. Hawaii certified it and that very act means case closed.
The Constitution REQUIRES its acceptance and there ain't one single
thing you racist nutbags can do about it except rip off your shirts
and slam the ground. Too bad so sad.

2176. (OT) Ganatator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 04:42:57 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD49FD1-5752@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230054

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=How+to+use+a+wood+stove+to+generate+electricity

Yeah, in fact of the business,,,, way back in the 1960s I read an
article about that in a Popular Science magazine.
cuhulin, Ganatators R US

2177. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 04:44:05 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cecf15aa-a459-4cf3-bccb-fddd5f83634c@14g2000yqo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230053
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 18, 11:28 pm, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 18, 8:20 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> > > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>
> > > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> > > > was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>
> > > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> > > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> > His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>
> > Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> > his knowlege of the 911 coverup.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>

> [shouting] NOTICE HOW THE PRO OFFICIAL STORY APOLOGISTS ARE NOT CASUAL
> POSTERS, BUT TRAINED IN MANIPULATION AND KNOWLEDGABLE TO THE UTMOST
> DEGREE IN EVERY ASPECT OF DEBUNKING 911 TRUTH! THIS IS PART OF AN
> OPERATION OF DISINFORMATION BY GOVERNMENT SHILLS, NOT HONEST DEBATE BY
> DISINTERESTED PARTIES.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Here is Mengel's gift to society its a short story but it includes the
life of Cathy Obrien you seen on the above video.

http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica/transforusa/transformation.htm

2178. ALL ACTRESES HOT VIDEOS PHOTOS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 05:25:56 UTC
From: HRJHRJB <odgfhfhdfv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <c5e16e8d-312d-4149-8c19-6eac2a17dd2d@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230055

for hot videos
http://verysexyhotvideos.blogspot.com/

hot lip kiss videos
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/hot-lip-kiss-videos.html

super hot lip kiss
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/super-hot-lip-kiss.html

jobs
http://alljobsaywhere.blogspot.com/

games

GAME 1
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-1.html
GAME 2
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-2.html

mobile

mobile games free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-games-free-download.html

mobile ringtones free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-ringtones-free-download.html

2179. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 05:29:42 UTC
From: "Delma T. Ivey" <somewhere@thegreatbeyond.con>
Message-ID: <CK2dnRnhX8xsN0nQnZ2dnUVZ5jadnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/18/2011 9:28 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 18, 9:01 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/18/2011 5:21 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> We know that, Johnny KQQK!! You provide ENDLESS insanity to amuse your
>>> many betters! We ought to THANK you for letting us laugh at your
>>> boundless stupidity!!
>>
>>> Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
>>> won't, not even a typo!
>>
>> The fraudulent birth certificate is
>
> ... your lie. Hawaii certified it

No.

2180. Re: Oil Subsidies are socialistic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 05:29:44 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <de254ebb-167c-413a-9938-d0dd8e27edd3@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230056
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 18, 12:52 pm, Howard Brazee <how...@brazee.net> wrote:
> People who talk about how capitalism works can't be happy about oil
> company subsidies. (nor any other kind of subsidies that distort the
> market).
>
> And people who believe we shouldn't be dependent upon supplies from
> potential enemies can't be happy that we are subsidizing the industry
> that pays them so much.
>
> But politicians need to remember who's paying them and do what their
> bosses tell them to do.
>
> --
> "In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
> than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
> to the legislature, and not to the executive department."
>
> - James Madison

The politicians do remember whose paying their salaries. Their bosses are OPEC and they have to keep the price of oil up to appease their bosses.I can remember when OPEC created the Chernobyl incident to scare the people away from using nuclear fuel and again they directed their wether machine (HAARP) causing the earthquakes in Japan and the tsunami once again created a scare from nuclear fuel so the price of oil could dominate$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$

http://www.spiritoftruth.org/chernobyl.htm

2181. Re: Oil Subsidies are socialistic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 05:32:08 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <489617f8-3f15-41b7-90b2-e3a63d80b2ad@x6g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230058
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 18, 1:27 pm, "betweentheeyes"
<betweenthee...@defendingThe2nd.org> wrote:
> "Howard Brazee" wrote in message
>
> news:g5u7t6h0bf65q8sl84g5o2kj2485f4buvc@4ax.com...
>
> And you cross posted this to a firearms discussion group
> because?

They need to broaden their horizon and move along to important matters>

2182. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job -- like obamas' birth certificate, a sham ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 05:35:29 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6efebdea-e3ed-4506-8ee1-b7a69b5c6ca0@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230059
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 18, 2:33 pm, bkni...@conramp.net wrote:
> On Wed, 18 May 2011 14:15:12 -0400, "Freedom Man"
>
> <freespe...@4eva.com> wrote:
>
> Why would you cross-post a 498 line piece of crap like this to a golf
> group?
>
> Notice that I removed it in my response...it's easy.
>
> ___,
> \o
> |
> / \
> .
> “Someone likes every shot”
> bk

The golphers need to wake up and concentrate on the real world chasing
after Tiger Woods is no life at all.

2183. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 05:46:57 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f9ac7316-5d45-4d38-bcdb-4a13c9d5a60a@gh5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230060
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 18, 5:17 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:ir0jpcctd5@dont-email.me...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/18/2011 5:24 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> >> On May 17, 6:43 pm, "Uno Hu"<lorad...@cs.com> wrote:
> >>> Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> >>>news:ce2c0254-6499-4d53-a02f-18c0e4ac561c@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com
>
> >>>> On May 16, 8:21=A0am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
> >>>> rage:
> >>>>> This remains undisputed.
> >>>> It remains unsupported.
> >>>>> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
> >>>> Not one supporting fact has been presented.
> >>>>> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
> >>>> That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are
> >>> not
> >>>> very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
> >>>> disproven 9/11 clalims.
> >>> You realy [sic] must be a paid disinfomant. [sic]
> >> You really must be a glue-sniffing juvenile kook.
>
> >>> No one othewise, [sic] coud [sic] be so stupid.
> >> Oh the fuckin' IRONY of it all.
>
> >> How about this, nutbag: NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that you
> >> "think" is incorrect.
>
> >> We'll wait.
>
> >> BWAHAHAAHAHAHAHHAAAA!!!!
>
> > You are waiting too late, the free fall speed, the thermate and

> > incendiaries in the dust, the collapse into their own footprints,
>
> Another lie from the "truthers" exposed
>
> http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj
>
> John, you can hardly expect to convince people you know what you're talking
> about, when claims you make are easily and readily disproven.......and then
> you continue to repeat the same lie over and over and over.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Jump on the missile attack at the pentagram Scot there was never an
American Airlines Flight 77 .NIST has declared lots of people dead
that were dead before 911 and are still alive/The hero the stormed
flight 93 was dead 10 day prior to 911 Mark Bingham for instance and
that plane was photograghed in flight in 20903 and is now flying out
friendly skis daily in chicago!

NIST changed its name from Bureau of Stantards after the Flouride
lawsuits started.

2184. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 05:51:02 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <372e2952-20ef-40ca-8255-f75606aa7e73@z13g2000yqg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230061
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 18, 6:09 pm, Howard Brazee <how...@brazee.net> wrote:
> On Wed, 18 May 2011 17:17:35 -0400, "Scout"
>
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >John, you can hardly expect to convince people you know what you're talking
> >about, when claims you make are easily and readily disproven.......and then
> >you continue to repeat the same lie over and over and over.
>
> Facts don't convince True Believers. It is obvious that Obama can't
> be their president, and reality won't convince them differently.
>
> --
> "In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
> than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
> to the legislature, and not to the executive department."
>
> - James Madison

According to pup fiction the Bible Tale that the Jessuits build their
catastrophies around.In their book of Revolations they say that
Gabriel Guilford will become our next anti-christ

2185. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 06:06:09 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <94410957-ec72-495c-a609-4c4061ec095c@32g2000vbe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230062
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 18, 6:16 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:ir1du1$3gp$1@dont-email.me...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/18/2011 2:19 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> >>> The free fall speeds, the molten iron, the controlled demolition which
> >>> allowed the buildings to fall into their own footprints,
>
> >>http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj
>
> >> Tell me another lie there John.
>
> >> <snip>
>
> >> If you can't get claims to agree with known facts then you're never going
> >> to get any creditability.
>
> > There is nothing but evidence, makes you appear just as you are, a
> > blithering idiot ...:
>
> >http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html
>
> So let's see, you claim they feel in their own footprints, I prove you're
> wrong, and your response is to change the subject.
>
> Enough said. You have no honesty, and absolutely no interest in knowing the
> actual facts that have been established.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

All your photo shows is a leaning top so maybe the next blast
countered that lean and made the builing straighten up before hitting
the ground.How can you assume it didnot collapse in its own
footprints,you must show every frame till the fall has stopped to say

that. Scot you are very easily caught in your lies and half truths!

So why did the leaning top not pull the whole building that way it would have been easily stood back up and dusted off and back in bidnez in no time! You are almost as stupid as george!

2186. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 07:18:57 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a05d5ac9-035b-4c3f-9ca2-0940253b96f6@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230063
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 18, 11:28 pm, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 18, 8:20 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> > > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>
> > > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> > > > was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>
> > > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> > > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> > His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>
> > Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> > his knowlege of the 911 coverup.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>

> [shouting] NOTICE HOW THE PRO OFFICIAL STORY APOLOGISTS ARE NOT CASUAL
> POSTERS, BUT TRAINED IN MANIPULATION AND KNOWLEDGABLE TO THE UTMOST
> DEGREE IN EVERY ASPECT OF DEBUNKING 911 TRUTH! THIS IS PART OF AN
> OPERATION OF DISINFORMATION BY GOVERNMENT SHILLS, NOT HONEST DEBATE BY
> DISINTERESTED PARTIES.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

THis shows promise!

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WWUQ_N_vHc0

2187. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 07:29:20 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <shh9t6926e0ab6pjdq463rvsam6ar38l97@4ax.com>
Source record: 230064
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 18 May 2011 19:32:36 -0700 (PDT), joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote:

>On May 18, 5:18ï¿½pm, Government Shill #2 <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On Wed, 18 May 2011 14:34:18 -0400, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>> >In article <0c0f2be9-15b0-4e96-8f95-eedbd8d19676@
>> >34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>> >> On May 18, 7:29ï¿½am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>> >> > On May 17, 5:06ï¿½pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >> > > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
>> >> > > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>> >> > > > On May 13, 9:14ï¿½pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
>> >> > > > > joeturn wrote:
>> >> > > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
>> >> > > > > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>> >> > > > > > >> wrote:
>>
>> >> > > > > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
>> >> > > > > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>> >> > > > > > >>>> wrote:
>>
>> >> > > > > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>>
>> >> > > > > > >>>>>> ...
>> >> > > > > > >>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
>> >> > > > > > >>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
>> >> > > > > > >>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
>> >> > > > > > >>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
>> >> > > > > > >>>>>> astounding.
>>
>> >> > > > > > >>>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
>> >> > > > > > >>>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
>> >> > > > > > >>>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
>> >> > > > > > >>>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
>> >> > > > > > >>>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
>> >> > > > > > >>>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
>> >> > > > > > >>>>>> ...
>>
>> >> > > > > > >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...

>>
>> >> > > > > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
>> >> > > > > > >>>> actual evidence.
>>
>> >> > > > > > >>> Wild speculation?
>>
>> >> > > > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>>
>> >> > > > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
>> >> > > > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
>> >> > > > > > >>> use?
>>
>> >> > > > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
>> >> > > > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>>
>> >> > > > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
>> >> > > > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
>>
>> >> > > > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
>> >> > > > > > >> evidence?
>>
>> >> > > > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
>> >> > > > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>>
>> >> > > > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
>> >> > > > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
>> >> > > > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
>> >> > > > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
>> >> > > > > > >> vanishes?
>>
>> >> > > > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
>> >> > > > > > >>> based in reality!
>>
>> >> > > > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> >> > > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
>>
>> >> > > > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
>> >> > > > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>>
>> >> > > > > > and on nobody else's?
>>
>> >> > > > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
>> >> > > > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> >> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>>
>> >> > > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
>> >> > > > go.
>>

>> >> > > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
>>
>> >> > > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
>>
>> >> > > --
>> >> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>>
>> >> > For One and All,
>>
>> >> > Ever seen the Heat Waves on the Surface of a Black-Top
>> >> > Roadway and the Visual Distortion they Cause ?
>>
>> >> > Ever seen the Visual Distortion caused by Non-Visual
>> >> > Column of Air Pollution {Smoke / Air Particulates } in
>> >> > the Air between You and an Object ?
>>
>> >> > now you see it and now you don't ~ RHF
>> >> > -yes-it-is-that-simple-
>> >> > ï¿½.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> >> > - Show quoted text -
>>
>> >> I'm sure they coated the lens with vicks salve to oliminate heat wave
>> >> as you can see the building did not fade in and out,just the wings,try
>> >> that next time you go to target practice but just wipe it in your eye
>> >> to keep it from seeming out of focus!
>>
>> >WTF are you babbling about?
>>
>> He's taken Babbleloonian to the next level by inventing words that *almost* look
>> like English ones, but aren't quite.
>>
>> eg. "oliminate" = eliminate perhaps?
>>
>> "vicks salve"??? Hmmm... maybe Vicks VapoRub?
>>
>> ~BG must be so proud.
>>
>> Shill #2
>> --
>> "Me fail English? That's unpossible!"
>> Ralph Wiggum- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
>You have exceeded my expectations of you again you have grammarized my
>post wand still managed to appear the village idiot!I will cast my
>vote for you to become valordictorian of google tech!

Bwahahahaahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!

"...grammarized my post wand still managed..."

Bwahahahahahahahahahaha!

"...valordictorian..."

Bwahahahahahaha!

Stop! STOP!

You're making my sides ache!

Shill #2
--
Pfft...English! Who needs that? I'm never going to England.
Homer J. Simpson

2188. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 07:34:23 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <eth9t6t9sv4n8lg7jgahl1mnaad0v9bvkj@4ax.com>
Source record: 230065
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 18 May 2011 20:28:49 -0700 (PDT), "skepticl1@aol.com"
<skepticl1@aol.com> wrote:

>[shouting] NOTICE HOW THE PRO OFFICIAL STORY APOLOGISTS ARE NOT CASUAL
>POSTERS, BUT TRAINED IN MANIPULATION AND KNOWLEDGABLE TO THE UTMOST
>DEGREE IN EVERY ASPECT OF DEBUNKING 911 TRUTH! THIS IS PART OF AN
>OPERATION OF DISINFORMATION BY GOVERNMENT SHILLS, NOT HONEST DEBATE BY
>DISINTERESTED PARTIES.

Debate?

I'm just here to laugh at you Numbbats and your stupid ideas.

There is no need to debate the clearly ridiculous.

Shill #2
--
Ridicule is the only weapon which can be used against unintelligible
positions.
Thomas Jefferson

2189. Iarnrod : Playing The-Obama Race Card !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 08:16:06 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <53af738f-9094-4c7a-a5ed-ef8e31c680d1@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 18, 9:28 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 18, 9:01 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > On 5/18/2011 5:21 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > > We know that, Johnny KQQK!! You provide ENDLESS insanity to amuse your
> > > many betters! We ought to THANK you for letting us laugh at your
> > > boundless stupidity!!
>
> > > Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
> > > won't, not even a typo!
>
> > The fraudulent birth certificate is
>
> ... your lie. Hawaii certified it and that very act means case closed.

- The Constitution REQUIRES its acceptance

! ! ! ROTFL ! ! ! Iarnrod ! ! ! ROTFL ! ! !

Iarnrod : You Are Such A 'little' Iarnrod !

Iarnrod -proclaims-
"The Constitution REQUIRES Its Acceptance"
-bow-down-before-your-god-'the-obama'-

Iarnrod =Honestly= ? Just 'Where' in the
US Constitution Is "That" Written !
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/US_Constitution
-rotfl-at-liberal-lies-and-illogical-assertions-
http://www.usconstitution.net/const.html

- and there ain't one single thing you
- racist nutbags

Classic 'The Cult of The-Obama' Tactic*
Play The-Obama race card,,,
-the-obama-race-card-
Play The-Obama Race Card...
-the-obama-race-card-
Play The-Obama RACE CARD ! ! !
-the-obama-race-card-

Iarnrod : Proving That You Are Such A 'little' Iarnrod !

* Tactic Used By Liberal-Fascist Obama-Ites© :
Play The-Obama Race Card : To Shout Them Down !
-nail-them-with-'the-obama'-race-card-
Play The-Obama Race Card : To Silence Them !
-hammer-them-with-'the-obama'-race-card-
Play The-Obama Race Card : To Crush Them
Into Submission and Eliminate Them !
-smash-them-with-'the-obama'-race-card-

Classic Obama-Ites© chanting the Mantras of
'The Cult of The-Obama' : racist, Racist. RACIST !
-worship-'the-obama'- -praise-'the-obama'-
-bow-down-before-your-god-'the-obama'-

- can do about it except rip off your shirts
- and slam the ground. Too bad so sad.

ROTFL Iarnrod Please oh Please Keep Your Shirt On.
-we-have-already-had-too-much-laughter-;;-}}-
.
Rob Redding asks... Hey Cornel West Whats
With This 'Devilish' Criticism of Obama ?
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/d5dfa1328232efef
.
Join 'The Cult of The-Obama' :
Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f9249bfca2
.
21st Century O-Bam-A-Merica ! =The Game Plan=
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.republicans/msg/f71311b0c680be9a
.
Obama "State Corporatist Socialism"
a New Phase of Liberal-Fascism
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.republicans/msg/612a7b3d5f23748d
.
Prez "BO" {Obama}... Blood On One Hand
and Oil On The Other
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/58135dd78056af49
.
Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama 'Birther'
Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8ab63394a6789652
.
The Obama {Chicago Style} Crime Family
incorporating the Clinton Arkansas Mafia;
has supplanted the former Bush-Cheney
'Axis-of-Evil' Crime Family . . .
-government-greed-and-corruption-gone-wild-
-corporate-greed-and-payola-gone-wild-
-obama-state-corporatist-socialism-
-the-corporate-elite-are-the-obama-regime-
-the-obama-regime-a-government-of-the-elite-

-the-obama-regime-a-government-by-the-elite-
-the-obama-regime-a-government-for-the-elite-
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/9030f14f43e4eb56
-the-obama-the-elitist- -the-obama-the-elitist-
.
The-Mark-of-the-Obama© = $6.66 Gasoline :
Crashing The US Economy With Obama-Nomics©
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dbe6320b24966200
The Obama-Regime's Plan To Crash The US Economy
To Bring About The New World Order !
.
.

2190. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 08:23:10 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ebd7e8a0-3710-4bb7-90b4-051b203a8203@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 18, 6:42 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/18/2011 6:34 PM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>
> >> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>
> >>> ...
> >>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except in the
> >>> imaginations of the authors.
>
> >>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>
> > How deep is a rabbit hole...?
>
> Quite shallow!
>
> Life on earth is the only example of itself ... at least at this present
> time.
>
> Nothing in all of our view of the universe before us, earth, mars, moon,
> other planets, etc. would lead one to believe that life can "just happen
> by accident." "Spontaneous Generation", or life "just happening" was
> tried as a theory, long ago, although it still has its' followers, it
> has simply grown into a religion which would have one make a leap of
> faith against all logical thought and reason to believe ... end of story.
>
> Therefore, and borne out by occams' razor, the most likely explanation
> is that an intelligence created us ... and the question becomes, where
> did that intelligence come from ... and now, we search ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Rejoice a/o Repent or just... Never Mind :

The Count-Down Continues Just 2 Days Left
until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
.
.

2191. Computer Voice 'Glitch' on 4426 kHz [USB] @ 0610 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 09:06:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7e09dafa-764a-4c89-9e8b-b4df53d39021@e17g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230068

Hearing a Computer Voice 'Glitch' on 4426 kHz [USB] @ 0610 UTC
on 19 Mayo 2010

S-Meter : +10dB ~ +20dB with SIO 555 and Very Good Audio

First : This was NOT a 'normal' Time for this Frequency for
any of the assigned NOAA/NWS Ocean Weather Stations
http://www.weather.gov/om/marine/hfvoice.htm
Chesapeake (NMN) 4426 kHz @ 0330Z, 0515Z, 0930Z
Pt. Reyes (NMC) 4426 kHz @ 0430Z, 1030Z
Note - U.S. Coast Guard [USCG] Broadcasts National
Weather Service [NWS] Highseas Forecasts and Storm
Warnings...

Second : The Computer Voice was Male speaking
in American English.

Third : The Computer Voice was NOT saying Words
-but- spelling-out the Words :
-example- "South" -was- S O U T H
-example- 77 : 20 West Longitude -was- 7 7 2 0 W L O N G

IMHO : Really needed to Record-It and Play-It-Back several
times to Write-It-Down and then Read-It to Understand-It
as Complete Words and Number Sets -versus- a string of :
l e t t e r s a n d n u m b e r s 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 . . .
.
yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2192. Radio Verdad on 4055 kHz @ 0545 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 10:51:57 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3c6973ee-bc4f-40f7-becb-7353298080dc@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230119

Radio Verdad* on 4055 kHz @ 0545 UTC
on 19 Mayo 2011
* -english- "Radio Truth"

S-Meter : S3~S5 with SIO 333 and fair audio
with some consistent background band noise

Hear English Preaching @ 0545 UTC
-followed-by- Spanish Music and an
English ID "Radio Truth" @ 0546 UTC
-next- Religious Music "Ava Maria"
-then- English ID "Radio Truth" @ 0552 UTC
with PO Box 5 ~something~ Guatemala, C.A.
-next-song- Answer the Promised Call... @ 0553 UTC
-followed-by- "The Lord's Prayer" in English @ 0554 UTC
-then- Male Voice Spanish "Radio Verdad" ID + Address
-next- Male Voice Spanish "Radio Truth" ID + Address
-then- Female Voice Spanish "Radio Verdad" ID + Address @ 0557 UTC
-followed-by- a few more M&F English & Spanish IDs
Ending with an English "Good Night" @ 0601 UTC
-which-is- 12:01 AM Local Midnight
-followed-by- Marching Song ?National Anthem? to 0606 UTC
with the Transmitter Off-the-Air @ 0606 UTC
.
Radio Verdad -aka- "Radio Truth" Up-Date
http://radioverdad.org/en/node/158
Frequency : 4055 kHz [4.055 MHz] {was 4052,5 kHz}
Transmitter Power : 700 Watts {was 200 Watts}
On-the-Air : 1100 UTC to 0605 UTC [5AM~12MN Local]
-more-up-date-info-
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/2010/01/update-on-guatemalas-radio-verdad.html
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/2007/02/update-on-radio-verdad-guatemala.html
.
Radio Verdad -aka- "Radio Truth"
[Estación Educativa Evangélica de Chiquimula]
-note- Call-Sign : TGAV
http://www.radioverdad.org/en
eMail Address : radio verdad 5 @ yahoo .com {no spaces}
Mail Address :
Radio Verdad {Radio Truth}
Apartado Nº 5 {PO Box 5}
Chiquimula, Guatemala, C.A. {Central America}
.
Radio Verdad "Correo Electrónico" eCorreo/Correo-e
[On-Line 'Contact' Radio Verdad Web-Form]

http://www.radioverdad.org/printmail/158
.
BLOG -spanish- Radio Verdad, Guatemala
http://radioverdadguatemala.blogspot.com/
.
Radio Verdad -aka- "Radio Truth" also heard here on :
* 12 Mayo 2011 @ 1128 UTC with Spanish Preaching
and English Religious Songs
* 7 Mayo 2011 @ 1120 UTC with Spanish Guatemala
ID and Religious Music
.
yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2193. EE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 11:25:41 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <9cb18a89-50af-4f49-8e83-726fec0d11bc@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230120

REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC
on 19 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S6~S9 and SIO 434 with good audio

Heard Male and Female Voices in Spanish @ 0520 UTC
and Female Voice repeat the "REE" ID three times
@ 0528 UTC + Plus 0530 UTC BOH 'Code' "...._"
.
Prior Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info
REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/a488224c4b831dc3
.
Radio Exterior de Espana (REE)
http://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Exterior_de_Espa%C3%B1a
.
yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.
On May 17, 5:18 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550 UTC
> on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : S7~S9 and SIO 444 with good audio
>
> Heard Male Voice in Spanish @ 0550 UTC
> and Female Voice "REE" ID @ 0555 UTC
> .
> Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) via Costa Ricahttp://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/
> .
> Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) Frequencies &
Timeshttp://www.rtve.es/contenidos/documentos/frecuencias032011.pdf
> .
> EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> 3350 kHz : 0200-0600 UTC
> E : Radio Exterior España : S : CAm : /CTR
> Spain REE in Spanish to Central America via Costa Rica

> .
> -other- Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) -reports-
> * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:27
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b36b8d3ae9db114e
> * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:50
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9a0e75b3965be553
> * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 17850 kHz @ 21:05 UTC
{Soccer}http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dace9126350a80f3
> -note- REE Shortwave Transmitter Site is near
> Cariari de Pococi, Costa Rica, Central America
> .
> yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

2194. RRI Ternate, Indonesia on 3345 kHz @ 1245 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 12:01:54 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <809d8595-0e41-43aa-8ae0-ecccb1206385@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230121

RRI Ternate, Indonesia on 3345 kHz @ 1245 UTC
on 10 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S7~S9 and SIO 444 with good audio
.
Hearing Male & Female Voices in Indonesian?
and Indonesian sounding Music/Song ?
-but- No Actual ID of "RRI"
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
3345 kHz @ 0750 - 1500 UTC
INS : RRI : Ternate (Maluku) : IN : INS : t
IN & INS = Indonesia
RRI = Radio Republik Indonesia
from Ternate {City} on Maluku Utara {Island}
.
Radio Republik Indonesia (RRI Ternate)
http://www.rri.co.id/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Republik_Indonesia
http://www.asiawaves.net/indonesia/maluku-utara-radio.htm
.
yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.
On May 17, 2:42 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Hearing Arabic Prayers on 9680 kHz @ 1050 UTC
> on 13 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 545 and Very Good Audio
>
> Followed by Indonesian? Music @ 1054 UTC
> -but- No Actual ID of "RRI"
> .
> EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> 9680 kHz : 2200-1500 UTC
> INS : Radio Rep. Indonesia 4 : IN : INS : j

> .
> Radio Republik Indonesia (RRI) from Jakarta,
Indonesiahttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Republik_Indonesia
> * Voice of Indonesia (VOI)http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voice_of_Indonesia
>
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

2195. RRI Palangkaraya, Indonesia on 3325 kHz @ 1302 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 12:17:32 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <0221026c-335c-4a01-a70e-88c6f2a17bd7@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230122

RRI Palangkaraya, Indonesia on 3325 kHz @ 1302 UTC
on 8 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S5~S7 and SIO 343 with fair audio
.
Hearing Male & Female Voices in Indonesian?
and Indonesian sounding Music/Song ?
-but- No Actual ID of "RRI"
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
3325 kHz @ 0800 - 1610 UTC
INS : RRI : Palangkaraya : IN : INS : p
IN & INS = Indonesia
RRI = Radio Republik Indonesia
from Palangkaraya {City} on Borneo {Island}
.
Radio Republik Indonesia (RRI Palangkaraya)
http://www.rri.co.id/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Republik_Indonesia
http://www.asiawaves.net/indonesia/maluku-utara-radio.htm
.
Prior Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info :
* RRI Ternate, Indonesia on 3345 kHz @ 1245 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/a488224c4b831dc3
* Arabic Prayers on 9680 kHz @ 1050 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/5292d201e2648400
.
yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2196. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be other than an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND IARNROD WHO * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM THE PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS OF THE NIST REPORT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 12:18:03 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <in1at6tmbapeqio1ckood7r9tkifj29uej@4ax.com>
Source record: 230123
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"UPS and Computers

The letters stand for ï¿½uninterruptible power supplyï¿½ ï¿½ batteries ï¿½ not United Postal
Serviceï¿½ which NIST actually confused ï¿½ and confuses! See this letter from NIST to the Enrico Manieri:"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

That's quite an error, too.

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

It's a study in treachery.

They're all too weak to compete honestly/fairly.

The Bush crime family of treacherous wimps has
never been on the same side as the USA.

ObL couldn't affect the USA's air defense system.

Cheney did.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-leader-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves
Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in
impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-lead
er-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air

Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric
Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day

and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July,

demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues,
"When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

This remains undisputed.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.

Bush and Cheney are fascists, traitors, and war criminals,
and electronic 'voting' will keep putting such into office.

If it's electronic, it's no election.

2197. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be other than an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND IARNROD WHO * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM THE PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS OF THE NIST REPORT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 12:18:04 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <to1at6pj06811qk58kbfdo1bmntj2nbnu3@4ax.com>
Source record: 230124
Cross-posted to: alt.stupidity,alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

The bushkultie doesn't know the difference, either:

"UPS and Computers

The letters stand for ï¿½uninterruptible power supplyï¿½ ï¿½ batteries ï¿½ not United Postal
Serviceï¿½ which NIST actually confused ï¿½ and confuses! See this letter from NIST to the Enrico Manieri:"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

That's quite an error, too.

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

It's a study in treachery.

They're all too weak to compete honestly/fairly.

The Bush crime family of treacherous wimps has
never been on the same side as the USA.

ObL couldn't affect the USA's air defense system.

Cheney did.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-leader-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves
Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent

bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-leader-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of

American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the

Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its

indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues,
"When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

This remains undisputed.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.

Bush and Cheney are fascists, traitors, and war criminals,
and electronic 'voting' will keep putting such into office.

If it's electronic, it's no election.

2198. An opinions on Lightsquared vs GPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 12:21:47 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd50b03$0$12449$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230125

Huge radio spectrum controversy going on right now.

2199. Re: ReallyHilariousFuckwit (RHF) : Racist Nitwit on Parade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 12:23:24 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3bd45b29-bfe8-4463-8557-a8bc8dc28c19@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 19, 2:16 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 18, 9:28 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > On May 18, 9:01 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > On 5/18/2011 5:21 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > > > We know that, Johnny KQQK!! You provide ENDLESS insanity to amuse your
> > > > many betters! We ought to THANK you for letting us laugh at your
> > > > boundless stupidity!!
>
> > > > Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
> > > > won't, not even a typo!
>
> > > The fraudulent birth certificate is
>
> > ... your lie. Hawaii certified it and that very act means case closed.
>
> - The Constitution REQUIRES its acceptance
>
> ! ! ! ROTFL ! ! !Iarnrod! ! ! ROTFL ! ! !

ReallyHilariousFuckwit continues showing his hatred of America by
advocating ridicule of the clear constitutional provision that he
ACCEPT Hawaii's proof of Obama's natural born citizenship!

These raciost traitors are just TOO FUCKIN' FUNNY!!

> Iarnrod=Honestly= ? Just 'Where' in the
> US Constitution Is "That" Written !

Read it, fuckwit. If you don't know your Constitution then GET THE
FUCK OUTTA MY COUNTRY until you can respect state's rights.

> - and there ain't one single thing you
> - racist nutbags
>
> Classic 'The Cult of The-Obama' Tactic*
> Play The-Obama race card,,,

BWAHAHHAHAHAA!!!

Poor widdle ReallyHilariousFuckwit don't like being CAUGHT in his
racist lies!

Too fuckin' BAD, kleagle!

FACT: You are a racist, This is not remotely in dispute.

2200. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 12:26:12 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <20af40de-111b-47e2-8bed-43119dbdeb9e@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 18, 11:29 pm, "Delma T. Ivey" <somewh...@thegreatbeyond.con>
wrote:
> On 5/18/2011 9:28 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > On May 18, 9:01 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/18/2011 5:21 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> >>> We know that, Johnny KQQK!! You provide ENDLESS insanity to amuse your
> >>> many betters! We ought to THANK you for letting us laugh at your
> >>> boundless stupidity!!
>
> >>> Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
> >>> won't, not even a typo!
>
> >> The fraudulent birth certificate is
>
> > ... your lie. Hawaii certified it
>
> No.

Yes. It certified both of them, the one three years ago that MADE
HISTORY since Obama was the first and only presidential candidate in
US history to release his official birth certificate, and the other
one last month. This is not even remotely open to dispute, honeylambs.

2201. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 12:38:43 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <ir330juem1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230128
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.skeptic

On 5/18/2011 11:37 PM, John Smith wrote:

> They just look like persistent morons who repetitively repeat the
> same lines with the same results, but offer no concrete support of
> their suppositions and opinions -- one could interpret that to be
> insanity! I do ...

Huh?

You've just described...YOURSELF!

* persistent moron (2362 posts and counting)

* repetitively repeat the same lines with the same results

* offer no concrete support of their suppositions and opinions

This describes YOU to a "T".

> one could interpret that to be insanity!

And your point is...?

2202. Re: ReallyHilariousFuckwit (RHF) : Racist Nitwit on Parade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 13:09:01 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <e484866a-0d52-42ed-94c9-37f7048a30a2@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 19, 5:23 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 19, 2:16 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 18, 9:28 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 18, 9:01 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On 5/18/2011 5:21 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > > > > We know that, Johnny KQQK!! You provide ENDLESS insanity to amuse your
> > > > > many betters! We ought to THANK you for letting us laugh at your
> > > > > boundless stupidity!!
>
> > > > > Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
> > > > > won't, not even a typo!
>
> > > > The fraudulent birth certificate is
>
> > > ... your lie. Hawaii certified it and that very act means case closed.
>
> > - The Constitution REQUIRES its acceptance
>
> > ! ! ! ROTFL ! ! !Iarnrod! ! ! ROTFL ! ! !
>
> ReallyHilariousFuckwit continues showing his hatred of America by
> advocating ridicule of the clear constitutional provision that he
> ACCEPT Hawaii's proof of Obama's natural born citizenship!
>
> These raciost traitors are just TOO FUCKIN' FUNNY!!
>
> > Iarnrod=Honestly= ? Just 'Where' in the
> > US Constitution Is "That" Written !
>
> Read it, fuckwit. If you don't know your Constitution then GET THE
> FUCK OUTTA MY COUNTRY until you can respect state's rights.

>
> > - and there ain't one single thing you
> > - racist nutbags
>
> > Classic 'The Cult of The-Obama' Tactic*
> > Play The-Obama race card,,,
>
> BWAHAHHAHAHAA!!!
>
> Poor widdle ReallyHilariousFuckwit don't like being CAUGHT in his
> racist lies!
>
> Too fuckin' BAD, kleagle!
>
> FACT: You are a racist, This is not remotely in dispute.

-iarnrod-the-answer-remains-the-same-
Iarnrod : Playing The-Obama Race Card !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/fa4f62e0f9e74fa1
-the-facts-&-reality-don't-change-
-the-truth-is-the-truth-so-deal-with-it-
.
Iarnrod =WRT= Your Colorful Use of Words
-versus- Facts, Reality {Truth} to NOT Deal
with and Address the Issues :
-iarnrod-you-are-what-you-spew-
.
- - - = = = RHF's Canned Reply 'Rant' = = = - - -
[>: To Liberal-Fascist {Democrat} Name Calling :<]
ROTFL - You Know When You Are Winning An Argument :
When An Ever So Super-Smart "Enlightened" Liberal
Democrat Socialist Starts Fascist Name Calling*.
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/318979fbe8546cfa
* They Lose Their Ability To Think And Get Emotional
+ Plus They Often Snip/Delete What You Wrote :
To Disguise Their Complete Inability To Carry The
Argument Forward. -that-is-how-i-see-it- ~ RHF©
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c78bc24ace8520ee
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/3d7638c4f0297e27
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.republicans/msg/99c742ab10365902
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/58135dd78056af49
.
.

2203. Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 13:11:33 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir34u6$6ge$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Government employees, public servants, etc.
http://www.wanttoknow.info/officialsquestion911commissionreport

Academics:
http://patriotsquestion911.com/professors.html

Media manipulations:
http://www.wanttoknow.info/massmedia

Regards,
JS

2204. Re: RACE CARDS ARE NOT ACCEPTED HERE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 13:17:09 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir358l$6ge$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media,rec.sport.golf

On 5/19/2011 6:09 AM, RHF wrote:
> ...
> -iarnrod-the-answer-remains-the-same-
> Iarnrod : Playing The-Obama Race Card !
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/fa4f62e0f9e74fa1
> -the-facts-&-reality-don't-change-
> -the-truth-is-the-truth-so-deal-with-it-

What, you are still attempting to convince the irontard that there is a negro in the whitehouse?

Damn man, this is the 2000s, next it is going to be an asian, indian or mongol!

Regards,
JS

2205. Re: nimrod: Playing The Obama Race Card !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 13:21:10 UTC
From: pyjamarama <pyjamarama76@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f1898bca-66a6-42d1-b2ea-fd17f560c103@x6g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 19, 8:23 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 19, 2:16 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 18, 9:28 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 18, 9:01 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On 5/18/2011 5:21 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > > > > We know that, Johnny KQQK!! You provide ENDLESS insanity to amuse your
> > > > > many betters! We ought to THANK you for letting us laugh at your
> > > > > boundless stupidity!!
>
> > > > > Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
> > > > > won't, not even a typo!
>
> > > > The fraudulent birth certificate is
>
> > > ... your lie. Hawaii certified it and that very act means case closed.
>
> > - The Constitution REQUIRES its acceptance
>
> > ! ! ! ROTFL ! ! !Iarnrod! ! ! ROTFL ! ! !
>
> ReallyHilariousFuckwit continues showing his hatred of America by
> advocating ridicule of the clear constitutional provision that he
> ACCEPT Hawaii's proof of Obama's natural born citizenship!
>
> These raciost traitors are just TOO FUCKIN' FUNNY!!
>
> > Iarnrod=Honestly= ? Just 'Where' in the
> > US Constitution Is "That" Written !
>
> Read it, fuckwit. If you don't know your Constitution then GET THE
> FUCK OUTTA MY COUNTRY until you can respect state's rights.

'States rights?' Isn't that the concept that the knee-jerk left cites
as de facto racist?

Yup, it is...

Having to do of course with your New Deal Democrat bretheren citing 'states rights' as the reason to continue slavery, Jim Crow and segregation....

You know, Democrats like Robert KKK Byrd, Al Gore Sr, Bill Clinton's mentor William J. Fulbright and George Wallace to name just a few of the more prominent Democrats to employ the tactic...

Seems you're the racist, fuckwit (not 'raciost[sic]' btw)....even by the logic of your own fellow ideologues.

And this is not remotely in dispute

Ta ta!

2206. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 13:25:03 UTC
From: Klaus Schadenfreude <klausschadenfreude@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <gg6at6hbm6pb99cob25t3cfmn5co2au6hf@4ax.com>
Source record: 230133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

>John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in talk.politics.guns :

>
>Government employees, public servants, etc.
>http://www.wanttoknow.info/officialsquestion911commissionreport
>
>Academics:
>http://patriotsquestion911.com/professors.html
>
>Media manipulations:
>http://www.wanttoknow.info/massmedia
>
>Regards,
>JS

Hitler had escaped to a secret Nazi base at the South Pole
http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/omegafile18.htm
The earth is flat:
http://www.alaska.net/~clund/e_djublonskopf/Flatearthsociety.htm
Paul is dead:
http://digilander.libero.it/p_truth/
The moon landings were faked:
http://www.ufos-aliens.co.uk/cosmicapollo.html
Everyone knows 9/11 was faked:
http://www.911hoax.com/
The "face" on Mars is artificial:
http://metaresearch.org/solar%20system/cydonia/proof_files/proof.asp
...and...
...most importantly.....
http://zapatopi.net/afdb/

2207. ABC Australia [Domestic : VL8K] on 2485 kHz @ 1150 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 13:26:24 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <86e048db-73aa-4a5b-9041-125e9f4794e0@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230134

ABC Australia [Domestic : VL8K] on 2485 kHz @ 1150 UTC
on 10 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S3~S4 with SIO : 333 with fair audio

Heard Music leading to the TOH ABC News @ 1200 UTC
with Australian Accents -followed-by- English Music
.
ABC's "Domestic Shortwave Service" to Northern Territories [NT]
http://www.abc.net.au/reception/radio/shortwave.htm
Lists : Katherine, Northern Territory "VL8K" on :
http://www.abc.net.au/reception/freq/Frequency-NT.pdf
2485 kHz {Nights} & 3370 kHz {?} & 5025 kHz {Days}
http://www.abc.net.au/corp/annual_reports/ar99/arhtml/transmitters.htm#doms
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
2485 kHz @ 0830 - 2130 UTC
AUS : Australian Broadc.Co. : E : AUS : ka
Katherine : Days on 5025 kHz & Nights on 2485kHz
http://www.schoechi.de/au-aus02.html#VL8K
.
Prior Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info :
* ABC Australia [Domestic : VL8T] on 4910 kHz @ 0825 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/4dee9d02bbb2b43d
* ABC 'National' on 2485 kHz @ 0920 UTC
from Katherine, NT, Australia
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/50c29e77efc03ff4
* ABC 'National' on 2485 kHz @ 1245 UTC
from Katherine, NT, Australia
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/562305700ec69ebf
.
yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2208. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 13:31:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3649$6k3$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 6:25 AM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in talk.politics.guns :
>> Government employees, public servants, etc.
>> http://www.wanttoknow.info/officialsquestion911commissionreport
>>
>> Academics:
>> http://patriotsquestion911.com/professors.html
>>
>> Media manipulations:
>> http://www.wanttoknow.info/massmedia
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> _____________
> ________________
> Hitler had escaped to a secret Nazi base at the South Pole
> http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/omegafile18.htm
> The earth is flat:
> http://www.alaska.net/~clund/e_djublonskopf/Flatearthsociety.htm
> Paul is dead:
> http://digilander.libero.it/p_truth/
> The moon landings were faked:
> http://www.ufos-aliens.co.uk/cosmicapollo.html
> Everyone knows 9/11 was faked:
> http://www.911hoax.com/
> The "face" on Mars is artificial:
> http://metaresearch.org/solar%20system/cydonia/proof_files/proof.asp
> ...and...
> ...most importantly.....
> http://zapatopi.net/afdb/

And, what do those fantasies of yours have to do with the reality which
was posted?

Been into those magic mushrooms again?

Regards,
JS

2209. Re: Hey Larry, Looks like Newt is not supporting the GOP ALREADY!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 13:38:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir36h0$6k3$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230136
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 6:32 AM, John B. wrote:
> ...
> The states are already broke. Most of them would refuse to take over
> the pgms. If you dumped Medicare and SS into their laps, they would
> have to raise taxes. Those that don't have an income tax would have to
> implement one. The states with the most generous Medicare and SS pgms.
> would get a flood of migrants from states with less generous ones.
> These are just a few of the problems I can think of.

The disillusioned younger crowds have been streaming from California for
a decade now. Kansas, Utah, Arizona, New Mexico, Colorado, etc.

They don't realize it, but they take the problems of California to those
states ...

Regards,
JS

2210. Re: Clinton calls for "internet Ministry of TRUTH," run by feds!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 13:47:09 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir370v$6k3$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230137
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 6:30 AM, Nomen Nescio wrote:
> Mr Bill ï¿½I did not have sexual relations with that womanï¿½
> Clinton, a man who knowingly lied to the nation on live
> television at the height of the Monica Lewinsky scandal, has
> called for the creation of an Internet ï¿½ministry of truthï¿½ style
> organization that would be run by the federal government or the
> UN to address ï¿½misinformation and rumors floating on the
> Internet.ï¿½
> http://www.prisonplanet.com/bill-clinton-calls-for-internet-
> ministry-of-truth.html
>

Yeah, I think that is an exercise in sarcastic humor!

LOL

Regards,
JS

2211. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 13:51:57 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir379u$6k3$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230138
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.society.liberalism,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/19/2011 6:44 AM, Phlip wrote:
> ...
> All the hijackers were Arab. You Truthers are so busy blaming Cheney
> that you SHIELD his accomplices, the Saudis.

Yes. I strongly suspect the saudis were recruited and trained by
criminal elements in our own government ... it has been done in the past
... however, without a real and proper, and in depth, investigation
there will always be some room to wonder.

Regards,
JS

2212. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 13:54:09 UTC
From: JohnJohnsn <TopCop1988@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <540d7462-a9e9-486d-b70b-54e56edb57a7@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 19, 8:11 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:

> Who has problems with 9/11?

Everyone who takes _this_ group (T.P.G) seriously, as your posting has
nothing to do with this group's official Mission Statement:

"The politics of firearm ownership and (mis)use."

So, JS, GTFA!

[T.P.G removed from followups]

2213. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 13:57:39 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DD521D3.9000009@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 230140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 4:23 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 18, 6:42 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/18/2011 6:34 PM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>>
>>>> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except in the
>>>>> imaginations of the authors.
>>
>>>>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>>
>>> How deep is a rabbit hole...?
>>
>> Quite shallow!
>>
>> Life on earth is the only example of itself ... at least at this present
>> time.
>>
>> Nothing in all of our view of the universe before us, earth, mars, moon,
>> other planets, etc. would lead one to believe that life can "just happen
>> by accident." "Spontaneous Generation", or life "just happening" was
>> tried as a theory, long ago, although it still has its' followers, it
>> has simply grown into a religion which would have one make a leap of
>> faith against all logical thought and reason to believe ... end of story.
>>
>> Therefore, and borne out by occams' razor, the most likely explanation
>> is that an intelligence created us ...

Nothing tells us enough to have enough information for either theory and
Occums Razor needs enough information to apply it.

In this case we are short on facts for either assumption.

No one has a simple proof there is no God and no one has proof there is
a God. Leaving the same fate to the spontaneous life theory....

The question is.... if life was started when the Earth was one billion
years old, why hasn't it been started with new and different DNA again
in the last three billion years?

If Life was started "successfully" in the last 4 billion years, how many
times was it started unsuccessfully in the last 4 billion years. By that
I mean that if life was started that can and did have DNA to reproduce
how many times did life get started with different DNA that couldn't

reproduce? If it took Billions of "life creations" to start life that could reproduce, where are the billions of failed "life" that we have never seen because they have DNA that's different and can't reproduce?

Evolution means that there are many failed and many variations in the many streams of DNA in life. Where are they?

What has the universe produced only one of? Einstein predicted that there may even be multiple universes. It seems odd that there is only one type of reproducing DNA based life all from one common start of life three billion years ago. All the elements are still here waiting to start new life(reproducing and NON-reproducing) and should be doing so each new day. Where are they?

2214. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:02:31 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir37to$6k3$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 6:54 AM, JohnJohnsn wrote:
> On May 19, 8:11 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>> Who has problems with 9/11?
> Everyone who takes _this_ group (T.P.G) seriously, as your posting has
> _nothing_ to do with this group's official Mission Statement:
>
> "The politics of firearm ownership and (mis)use."
>
> So, JS, GTFA!
>
> [T.P.G removed from followups]

I am in the process of checking out your credentials and making sure you
have the authority to issue such orders, standby, I'll get back to you ...

Regards,
JS

2215. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:02:53 UTC
From: Phlip <phlip2005@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9f79b9fd-5407-42f9-bba7-dd9437ba9274@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230142
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.society.liberalism,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 19, 6:51 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:

> Yes. I strongly suspect the saudis were recruited and trained by
> criminal elements in our own government ... it has been done in the past
> ... however, without a real and proper, and in depth, investigation
> there will always be some room to wonder.

The money came thru Al Taqua Bank, which Rove and Norquist helped set
up. Remember Wolfowitz's Saudi girlfriend?

Truthers won't tell you _that_.

2216. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:04:06 UTC
From: Klaus Schadenfreude <klausschadenfreude@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vo8at6hh1tkaprgn7rjm6dsqdur07bs9sj@4ax.com>
Source record: 230143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

>John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in talk.politics.guns :

>On 5/19/2011 6:25 AM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in talk.politics.guns :
>>> Government employees, public servants, etc.
>>> http://www.wanttoknow.info/officialsquestion911commissionreport
>>>
>>> Academics:
>>> http://patriotsquestion911.com/professors.html
>>>
>>> Media manipulations:
>>> http://www.wanttoknow.info/massmedia
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>> _____________
>> _____________________
>> Hitler had escaped to a secret Nazi base at the South Pole
>> http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/omegafile18.htm
>> The earth is flat:
>> http://www.alaska.net/~clund/e_djublonskopf/Flatearthsociety.htm
>> Paul is dead:
>> http://digilander.libero.it/p_truth/
>> The moon landings were faked:
>> http://www.ufos-aliens.co.uk/cosmicapollo.html
>> Everyone knows 9/11 was faked:
>> http://www.911hoax.com/
>> The "face" on Mars is artificial:
>> http://metaresearch.org/solar%20system/cydonia/proof_files/proof.asp
>> ...and...
>> ...most importantly.....
>> http://zapatopi.net/afdb/
>
>And, what do those fantasies of yours have to do with the reality which
>was posted?
>
>Been into those magic mushrooms again?

Got your tin-foil hat on too tight? I understand that can interfere
with it's ability to block those signals from orbiting sputniks.....

2217. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:08:31 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3890$6k3$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 7:04 AM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
> ...
> Got your tin-foil hat on too tight? I understand that can interfere
> with it's ability to block those signals from orbiting sputniks.....

It appears you have your posts confused and are responding to the UFO
Group ...

Regards,
JS

2218. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:11:18 UTC
From: Klaus Schadenfreude <klausschadenfreude@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <059at65smghggblpo4237j7attpieru4mi@4ax.com>
Source record: 230145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

>John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in talk.politics.guns :

>On 5/19/2011 7:04 AM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
>> ...
>> Got your tin-foil hat on too tight? I understand that can interfere
>> with it's ability to block those signals from orbiting sputniks.....
>
>It appears you have your posts confused and are responding to the UFO
>Group ...

That's because you don't understand the insidious nature of the
Cheney-controlled sputniks and how they can put ideas into your head.

Remember.... firm, but not too tight.

2219. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:13:40 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir38il$6k3$10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 7:11 AM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
> ...
> That's because you don't understand the insidious nature of the
> Cheney-controlled sputniks and how they can put ideas into your head.
>
> Remember.... firm, but not too tight.

Either the meds are not working, or you stopped taking them. We will
wait until you have gotten a grip back on yourself ...

Regards,
JS

2220. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:15:20 UTC
From: Klaus Schadenfreude <klausschadenfreude@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <td9at6pcae2ajv8igknudcvbjc81kgdqu0@4ax.com>
Source record: 230147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

>John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in talk.politics.guns :

>On 5/19/2011 7:11 AM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
>> ...
>> That's because you don't understand the insidious nature of the
>> Cheney-controlled sputniks and how they can put ideas into your head.
>>
>> Remember.... firm, but not too tight.
>
>Either the meds are not working [..]

I honestly don't think meds are going to help you as much as the foil.
Remember- shiny side out.

2221. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:19:06 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir38sr$6k3$11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 7:15 AM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
> ...
> I honestly don't think meds are going to help you as much as the foil.
> Remember- shiny side out.

Obviously, you are not responding to this post:

Government employees, public servants, etc.
http://www.wanttoknow.info/officialsquestion911commissionreport

Academics:
http://patriotsquestion911.com/professors.html

Media manipulations:
http://www.wanttoknow.info/massmedia

Regards,
JS

2222. Re: Hey Larry, Looks like Newt is not supporting the GOP ALREADY!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:19:47 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <PsqdndVQZtWZukjQnZ2dnVY3go-dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230149

"John Smith" wrote in message news:ir222s$3t2$1@dont-email.me...

On 5/18/2011 3:11 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> ...
> A trouble with this scenario is - the healthier we are, the longer we
> will live (past the time when we're productive) - and *then* something
> will kill us just as dead. Maybe more slowly and more expensively.

And, you cite what proofs?

What cites are needed? I see nothing in that statement that can really be disputed.

The healthier we are, the longer we tend to live. Eventually, we get to a point where we are unproductive, whether through a fault of our own, or because we get old enough that nobody will employ us.

Or perhaps you are questioning that we won't die anyway, even after all that health?

Me, I've been neglectful of my health my whole life... and now I'm beginning to pay for it. I'll be doing fantastic should I make it to 60. But then again... only the good die young.. <g>

2223. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:22:03 UTC
From: "Delma T. Ivey" <somewhere@thegreatbeyond.con>
Message-ID: <Tf2dnXYgzoclukjQnZ2dnUVZ5oWdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/19/2011 5:26 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 18, 11:29 pm, "Delma T. Ivey"<somewh...@thegreatbeyond.con>
> wrote:
>> On 5/18/2011 9:28 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>> On May 18, 9:01 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/18/2011 5:21 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>> We know that, Johnny KQQK!! You provide ENDLESS insanity to amuse your
>>>>> many betters! We ought to THANK you for letting us laugh at your
>>>>> boundless stupidity!!
>>
>>>>> Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
>>>>> won't, not even a typo!
>>
>>>> The fraudulent birth certificate is
>>
>>> ... your lie. Hawaii certified it
>>
>> No.
>
> Yes. It certified

No.

2224. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:24:23 UTC
From: Klaus Schadenfreude <klausschadenfreude@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cu9at617euiitcdsfaori12ug7jr0gcabr@4ax.com>
Source record: 230151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

>John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in talk.politics.guns :

>On 5/19/2011 7:15 AM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
>> ...
>> I honestly don't think meds are going to help you as much as the foil.
>> Remember- shiny side out.
>
>Obviously, you are not responding to this post:

Obviously you're too fucking stupid to realize it has nothing to do
with guns.

Maybe you need TWO layers of foil......

2225. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:26:53 UTC
From: harry k <turnkey4099@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9c4d7704-1821-45ff-9208-6c532489dcd5@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 19, 6:57 am, Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-
Everyth...@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 4:23 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 18, 6:42 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/18/2011 6:34 PM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>
> >>>> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>
> >>>>> ...
> >>>>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except in the
> >>>>> imaginations of the authors.
>
> >>>>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>
> >>> How deep is a rabbit hole...?
>
> >> Quite shallow!
>
> >> Life on earth is the only example of itself ... at least at this present
> >> time.
>
> >> Nothing in all of our view of the universe before us, earth, mars, moon,
> >> other planets, etc. would lead one to believe that life can "just happen
> >> by accident." "Spontaneous Generation", or life "just happening" was
> >> tried as a theory, long ago, although it still has its' followers, it
> >> has simply grown into a religion which would have one make a leap of
> >> faith against all logical thought and reason to believe ... end of story.
>
> >> Therefore, and borne out by occams' razor, the most likely explanation
> >> is that an intelligence created us ...
>
> Nothing tells us enough to have enough information for either theory and
> Occums Razor needs enough information to apply it.
>
> In this case we are short on facts for either assumption.
>
> No one has a simple proof there is no God and no one has proof there is
> a God. Leaving the same fate to the spontaneous life theory....

>
> The question is.... if life was started when the Earth was one billion
> years old, why hasn't it been started with new and different DNA again
> in the last three billion years?
>
> If Life was started "successfully" in the last 4 billion years, how many
> times was it started unsuccessfully in the last 4 billion years. By that
> I mean that if life was started that can and did have DNA to reproduce
> how many times did life get started with different DNA that couldn't
> reproduce? If it took Billions of "life creations" to start life that
> could reproduce, where are the billions of failed "life" that we have
> never seen because they have DNA that's different and can't reproduce?
>
> Evolution means that there are many failed and many variations in the
> many streams of DNA in life. Where are they?
>
> What has the universe produced only one of? Einstein predicted that
> there may even be multiple universes. It seems odd that there is only
> one type of reproducing DNA based life all from one common start of life
> three billion years ago. All the elements are still here waiting to
> start new life(reproducing and NON-reproducing) and should be doing so
> each new day. Where are they?- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Probably all sequestured in the brains of people who don't understand
the ToE and have no desire to learn.

Harry K

2226. Re: Hey Larry, Looks like Newt is not supporting the GOP ALREADY!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:30:30 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <j8aat61k37uva97ub4vc97osn3ht6lrisk@4ax.com>
Source record: 230155
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Wed, 18 May 2011 20:23:02 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
wrote:

>Does it matter what scenarios one dreams up in their minds?
>
>I think it has always been the same rule in effect, those with the money
>pay the bills ... or, you can't get blood out of a turnip!
>
>Any country only has a set amount of resources, it makes no difference
>if those resources are divided up amongst the many, and the many pay the
>bills, or only one possess all resources and pays all bills ... I mean,
>in the end, you just have to answer to logic and reason ...

Investments work. The total amount of wealth does change. Such
things as education, infrastructure and health can increase the wealth
production.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

2227. Re: Hey Larry, Looks like Newt is not supporting the GOP ALREADY!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:30:45 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir39im$6k3$12@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230153

On 5/19/2011 7:19 AM, Brenda Ann wrote:
> ...
> ---
>
> ---
>
>
> What cites are needed? I see nothing in that statement that can really
> be disputed.
>
> The healthier we are, the longer we tend to live. Eventually, we get
> to a point where we are unproductive, whether through a fault of our
> own, or because we get old enough that nobody will employ us.
>
> Or perhaps you are questioning that we won't die anyway, even after
> all that health?
>
> Me, I've been neglectful of my health my whole life... and now I'm
> beginning to pay for it. I'll be doing fantastic should I make it to
> 60. But then again... only the good die young.. <g>

Because "healthy" people living to ripe old age seem to require very minimal medical care ... indeed, from two instances I am aware of, both died quietly in their sleep from "natural causes."

The pollutions, toxins and outright poisons in our environment seem to keep encroaching on "healthy" at a pretty consistent and every increasing rate ... so it is the "Maybe more slowly and more expensively." part which is in question.

Fukushima is a prime example of what is the real problem(s.) The deaths caused by this will only be an increase in cancer. This increase will be taken up and argued, obfuscated and disinformation applied until the increased deaths will only be a moot point and we await the next disaster, event, "accident", etc. which causes the next increase in death and failing of health in the populations of the world.

The costs in medical health of fukushima will be staggering on an already failing health system, and the chances of suing the Japanese or GE to recover the damages is nil ...

Regards,
JS

2228. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:32:12 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir39ld$6k3$13@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 7:24 AM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
> ...
> Obviously you're too fucking stupid to realize it has nothing to do
> with guns.
>
> Maybe you need TWO layers of foil......

Yes, I can see that point is true ... but neither does the tin foil hat
on your head -- unless it increases your accuracy on the target range ...

Regards,
JS

2229. Re: Dollars are really just IOUs ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:38:50 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3a1rhhd1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230156
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.california

```
On 5/19/2011 7:30 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Wed, 18 May 2011 20:23:02 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>> Does it matter what scenarios one dreams up in their minds?
>>
>> I think it has always been the same rule in effect, those with the money
>> pay the bills ... or, you can't get blood out of a turnip!
>>
>> Any country only has a set amount of resources, it makes no difference
>> if those resources are divided up amongst the many, and the many pay the
>> bills, or only one possess all resources and pays all bills ... I mean,
>> in the end, you just have to answer to logic and reason ...
> Investments work. The total amount of wealth does change. Such
> things as education, infrastructure and health can increase the wealth
> production.
>

Perceived wealth "changes", or can be manipulated. Indeed, it is used
much today. Our children and our childrens', children futures have been
"mortgaged" to pay for the "wealth" we are now enjoying. At some point,
they may just refuse the "indentured servitude" we have sold them into
-- at that point, all will be up for grabs ... indeed, if one looks
closely, already "the many" are balking at "the deal" which has been
arranged for them!

Regards,
JS
```

2230. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:46:29 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3ag7hhd2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 7:22 AM, Delma T. Ivey wrote:
>>> ...
>>>>> Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
>>>>> won't, not even a typo!
>>>
>>>>> The fraudulent birth certificate is
>>>
>>>> ... your lie. Hawaii certified it
>>>
>>> No.
>>
>> Yes. It certified
>
>
> No.

You have touched on the one concept which gives irontard a problem, "No."

Well, in addition to the problems she is already experiencing with
logic, reason and sanity ...

Regards,
JS

2231. Re: Dollars are really just IOUs ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 14:53:11 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <7lbat6l31lsgh9fk20i9e2te0fbcc90hj4@4ax.com>
Source record: 230158
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.california

On Thu, 19 May 2011 07:38:50 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
wrote:

>Perceived wealth "changes", or can be manipulated. Indeed, it is used
>much today. Our children and our childrens', children futures have been
>"mortgaged" to pay for the "wealth" we are now enjoying. At some point,
>they may just refuse the "indentured servitude" we have sold them into
>-- at that point, all will be up for grabs ... indeed, if one looks
>closely, already "the many" are balking at "the deal" which has been
>arranged for them!

How many corporations are out there which don't have permanent debt?

How many families?

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

2232. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 15:01:52 UTC
From: mrLookout@rip.ax.lt
Message-ID: <3e6f6a5e57eab18754c255eaf4fd3354@rip.ax.lt>
Source record: 230159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
In article <cu9at617euiitcdsfaori12ug7jr0gcabr@4ax.com>
Klaus Schadenfreude <klausschadenfreude@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in talk.politics.guns :
>
> >On 5/19/2011 7:15 AM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
> >> ...
> >> I honestly don't think meds are going to help you as much as the foil.
> >> Remember- shiny side out.
> >
> >Obviously, you are not responding to this post:
>
> Obviously you're too fucking stupid to realize it has nothing to do
> with guns.
>
> Maybe you need TWO layers of foil......

You're attitude is showing. DUMBASS.
```

2233. Re: Dollars are really just IOUs ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 15:05:49 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3bkediq3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230160
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,alt.california

On 5/19/2011 7:53 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Thu, 19 May 2011 07:38:50 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>> Perceived wealth "changes", or can be manipulated. Indeed, it is used
>> much today. Our children and our childrens', children futures have been
>> "mortgaged" to pay for the "wealth" we are now enjoying. At some point,
>> they may just refuse the "indentured servitude" we have sold them into
>> -- at that point, all will be up for grabs ... indeed, if one looks
>> closely, already "the many" are balking at "the deal" which has been
>> arranged for them!
> How many corporations are out there which don't have permanent debt?
>
> How many families?

Well, Libya had managed to escape the current financial meltdown of the
world. Indeed, her oil and gold had become just too enticing!

But, you make an excellent point, the norm should be "NO DEBT." And,
from all I see, we fall quite short ... a MAJOR indication of the
problems we are immersed in!

This present scam of stealing wealth, concentrating it into fewer and
fewer hands while balancing with more and more debt forced on the many
has been going on for years and decades ... relatively few remain untouched.

Indeed, like the "frog in the pan of boiling water", many such as you
have grown so used to this dilemma as to begin considering it "normal!"

Regards,
JS

2234. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 15:21:31 UTC
From: "Warren E. Harrison" <fuckwit's.daddy@shitbags.con>
Message-ID: <kc6dnayYnclRqEjQnZ2dnUVZ5qudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/17/2011 5:35 PM, Iarntard wrote:
> On May 16, 11:24 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"wrote:
>> On 5/16/2011 8:22 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>>
>>> On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts
>>
>>> Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!
>>
>>> <snicker>
>>
>> Obama would not, of course, have been born a citizen had he been born
>> abroad
>
> Yes, he would.

No, he would not have been. The Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952 specified who would be a citizen if born abroad. If only one parent was a citizen, then that citizen needed to have been physically present in the USA for five years after the age of 14. As Obama's mother was only 18 at the time of his birth, she did not meet the requirement due to simple arithmetic. That provision applied regardless of the marital status of the parents. If the parents were not married, an additional restriction applied: the father had to "legitimate" the child by acknowledging and establishing paternity.

Sec. 309. (a) The provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (7) of section 301 (a), and of paragraph (2) of section 308, of this title shall apply as of the date of birth to a child born out of wedlock on or after the effective date of this Act, if the paternity of such child is established while such child is under the age of twenty-one years by legitimation.

http://library.uwb.edu/guides/USimmigration/66%20stat%20163.pdf

That is an *additional* restriction, and does not alter the physical presence requirement of the one citizen parent. The applicability of Title III, Section 301 (a)(7) does not depend in any way on the marital status of the parents: that one citizen parent must have been physically present in the USA for 10 years, five of which were after the age of 14, in order for the child born abroad to be a citizen at birth. Nothing in the statute mentions the marital status of the parents, and there is no implicit reference to marital status. The marital status was irrelevant.

2235. Re: McDonalds hires 55,000 people of ALL RACES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 15:24:22 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3cn7diq4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230162
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/19/2011 8:18 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
> "Sid9"<sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:ir1e8v$c51$1@dont-email.me:
>
>> "RD Sandman"<rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EE99068FB323hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>> "Sid9"<sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in
>>> news:ir1c1q$8h3$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>> "First Post"<ProgressivesLie@Invalid.org> wrote in message
>>>> news:1gb8t6lvb8tga7ppn6njntq67cog8i2jtb@4ax.com...
>>>>> On Wed, 18 May 2011 12:53:24 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> "Sid9"<sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in
>>>>>> news:iqv01n$sk5$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Michael A. Terrell"<mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in
>>>>>>> message news:Jd-dnelr2bskYk_QnZ2dnUVZ_hKdnZ2d@earthlink.com...
>>>>>>>> First Post wrote:
>>>>>>>>> On Tue, 17 May 2011 15:15:54 -0400, "Michael A. Terrell"
>>>>>>>>> <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Spehro Pefhany wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> On Mon, 16 May 2011 22:17:12 -0400, the renowned "Michael A.
>>>>>>>>>>> Terrell"<mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> First Post wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> On Mon, 16 May 2011 19:59:22 -0400, "Michael A. Terrell"
>>>>>>>>>>>>> <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Gunner Asch wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> YUCK!! Carls Jr is far far better, bigger and
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> tastier, and cheaper.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Get a Big Carl...$2.89 here in California, big big
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> double burger
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> They are only on the west coast?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> They are all over the western third of the US:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://www.carlsjr.com/locations
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Which is still over 1000 miles from me.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> You can always eat 12 small burgers from Krystal.
```

>>>>>>>>>>> http://krystal.com/menu/krystals/krystal-sackful/
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> None of those in Californica, IIRC.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> They look like White Castle and I've heard that they taste
>>>>>>>>>> the same,
>>>>>>>>>> so no thanks. :(
>>>>>>>>> Krystal is nothing more than a White Castle without the holes
>>>>>>>>> punched in it. Up in Nashville they have both chains.
>>>>>>>>> Sometimes they are across the road from each other which just
>>>>>>>>> strikes me as funny. The only thing I see either brand being
>>>>>>>>> good for is at 3:00 in the morning after out drinking all
>>>>>>>>> night. I hear they come back up fairly easy. ROFL.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> SInce I don't drink, that isn't a big problem but Chili comes
>>>>>>>> back up
>>>>>>>> easy, too.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>> It's easy to think outside the box, when you have a cutting
>>>>>>>> torch.
>>>>>>> .
>>>>>>> .
>>>>>>> .
>>>>>>> Big hamburger discussion by Terrell, First Post and Sandman.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The Cholesterol Kings!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Lucky they have Medicare to keep them alive!
>>>>>> So far, I have made it to my seventies. How are you doing?
>>>>> I'm just in my early 50s but thanks to the physical requirements of
>>>>> my job and the fact that I take my vitamins and eat plenty of
>>>>> veggies, I haven't had need of medical treatment (aside from actual
>>>>> injuries on the job and such) in quite a few years. Last time any
>>>>> bloodwork was performed on me (due to me breaking an ankle) the
>>>>> doctor informed me that my cholesterol levels and sugar levels were
>>>>> to be envied by people half my age. He actually ran my cholesterol
>>>>> check twice as he couldn't believe that my level was 26.
>>>>> Personally I attrribute it to Vitamin E, Vitamin D and Echinacea
>>>>> mainly.
>>>>>
>>>>> Most people can eat just about anything they want as long as it is
>>>>> done in moderation and they have a balanced meal.
>>>>>
>>>>> If Sid thinks that we are living an unhealthy lifestyle regarding
>>>>> diet then I wonder how bad he looks down his nose at the "spare
>>>>> ribs 'r' us" couple currently in the whitehouse?
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>> You're one of the guys the Republicans want to fuck by ending
>>>> Medicare and putting SS out of your reach.
>>>>
>>>> Good Luck.
>>>>
>>>> Ten years is not a long way off.
>>>>
>>>> Just Hope that no chronic condition shows up that will put you in
>>>> the "pre-existing-fuck you" category.
>>>> If you have a wife, hope her health stays good, too.
>>>>
>>>> What I call the acceleration of time should be starting to be
>>>> noticeable....the weeks are shorter....Your memory of summer
>>>> vacation from school...it seemed like a long time...but two months
>>>> just seems to fly by nowadays.
>>>>
>>>> Not to worry.
>>>>
>>>> If the government is not there your children will be happy to pay
>>>> your medical bills....or perhaps you might declare
>>>> "Medical Bankruptcy"
>>>>
>>>> That's something unheard of in those "Socialistic European
>>>> countries.
>>> True, but close to being broke isn't.
>>>
>>>> Your cowardly racism is noted.
>>>>
>>>> Why not come out straight and say what you mean.
>>>>
>>>> You don't mean "ribs".
>>> Just out of curiosity.....how do you know what he means? Oh, that's
>>> right.....just make it up.
>>>
>> .
>> .
>> I can read the "minds" of racists.
>>
>> What do you think he means? Ribs?
>>
>> If you think he means ribs, you are totally naï¿½ve.
>>
>>
>> That's what the 2012 election is going to be all about.
>> You stated that Obama still has some bush,jr policies in place.
>> I say Obama's neither a Liberal nor a Socialist.
>>
>> That canï¿½t anger RRRs....so why will they vote against him?
>>
>> So far, there's only one qualified Republican is in the game.

>> Romney.
>> I don't believe he can win the Republican nomination on two grounds.
>>
>> 1. Prejudice against his religion.
>> 2. Romneycare.
>>
>> I believe he could have beaten Obama in 2008.
>> McCain was a lousy choice and his selection of Palin doomed his
>> candidacy.
>>
>> Romney could have overcome Obama on skin color alone.....he would have
>> made the stain of bush,jr vanish.
>>
>> How else could a black man ever have gotten to be president in our
>> country?
>>
>> A country where a large number of states are still fighting the Civil
>> War solely on racist issues
>>
>>
>>
> You are so quick to call others racists that I would bet that you are
> more racist then many of the folks you accuse of it. It is called
> 'transference'.
>

2236. Re: McDonalds hires 55,000 people of ALL RACES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 15:25:07 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3cokdiq5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230163
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy

On 5/19/2011 8:24 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 8:18 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> ...
>> You are so quick to call others racists that I would bet that you are
>> more racist then many of the folks you accuse of it. It is called
>> 'transference'.
>>
>

Is there some factory which is churning out "race sensitive people?"

Where are these imbeciles coming from? Is the school system suddenly training with old OJ Trial films and teaching the art of "Race Card Playing?"

Why do so many seem stuck with the false impression that shouting "racist!" is helping their argument(s?)

All I see are bizarre racist people running around and repetitiously shouting racist, and rather ineffectually at that!

Regards,
JS

2237. Re: RRI Palangkaraya, Indonesia on 3325 kHz @ 1302 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 15:33:35 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0ecf4008-f5f7-4657-bf14-c8f98b27a38e@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230164

On May 19, 5:17 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> RRI Palangkaraya, Indonesia on 3325 kHz @ 1302 UTC
> on 8 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : S5~S7 and SIO 343 with fair audio
> .
> Hearing Male & Female Voices in Indonesian?
> and Indonesian sounding Music/Song ?
> -but- No Actual ID of "RRI"
> .
> EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> 3325 kHz @ 0800 - 1610 UTC
> INS : RRI : Palangkaraya : IN : INS : p
> IN & INS = Indonesia
> RRI = Radio Republik Indonesia
> from Palangkaraya {City} on Borneo {Island}
> .
> Radio Republik Indonesia (RRI Palangkaraya)http://www.rri.co.id/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Republik_Indonesiahttp://www.asiawaves.net/indonesia/maluku-utara-radio.htm
> .
> *Prior* Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info :
> * RRI Ternate, Indonesia on 3345 kHz @ 1245 UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/a48...
> * Arabic Prayers on 9680 kHz @ 1050 UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/529...
> .
> yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports :http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

Thanks. I sent these guys a reception report about a month back.
Wonder if they respond?

2238. Re: RRI Palangkaraya, Indonesia on 3325 kHz @ 1302 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 15:34:41 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <af811231-8f97-4734-9972-54e1e688c60c@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230165

On May 19, 8:33 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
.
>
> Thanks. I sent these guys a reception report about a month back.
> Wonder if they respond?

Including a clear station ID and a pretty nice recording of the
proceedings.

2239. Re: Lies, Lies and More Damn Lies ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 15:47:12 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3e22kvp1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230166
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 8:37 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Thu, 19 May 2011 08:00:08 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>> However, the last time I checked, years ago, only 50% to 75% of the
>> population lived to be 66 years old -- one year longer than necessary to
>> be qualified for full SS benefits ... maybe someone has some accurate
>> info on this?
> Such figures need to be clarified. For instance, people who die as
> infants really don't change the funding of social security retirement
> benefits.
>

Apparently you are having the same problem I am having, attempting to
locate pertinent and valid data?

The biggest joke I have ever seen is the 8% unemployment figure. Then
you see about 25% of the people standing around with no jobs! The local
paper, here, just did their own research and found ~19% unemployment ...
the govt. ~10% for the same area ... I keep finding this with most govt.
figures which would paint them in a bad light, you have to use an error
correction of 2X to 3X times their figures to come close to accuracy ...
a crime in itself.

I hate to see the day when all these lies come home to visit us ...

Regards,
JS

2240. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 15:53:56 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3eelkvp2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230167
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/19/2011 8:48 AM, Car Crashes Mean Car Sales - GM loves highway
criminals wrote:
> Every single member of congress knows 911 was an inside job but none
> will speak out. Same with out military and business and media leaders.

It sure seems that all hard evidence, proof and qualified experts are
having a hard time with government claims, findings, reports, etc.

What is truly amazing is the unified effort to stop anything from
reaching the level of the courts ... it demonstrates a
coercion/collaboration which crosses all boundries of our separation of
powers protections of the Constitution! Puppet masters pulling strings ...

Regards,
JS

2241. Re: Lies, Lies and More Damn Lies ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 16:11:16 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <991098d6-e148-47d5-9ebb-2ab5732d2c61@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230168

On May 19, 8:47 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 8:37 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
>
> > On Thu, 19 May 2011 08:00:08 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
> > wrote:
>
> >> However, the last time I checked, years ago, only 50% to 75% of the
> >> population lived to be 66 years old -- one year longer than necessary to
> >> be qualified for full SS benefits ... maybe someone has some accurate
> >> info on this?
> > Such figures need to be clarified. For instance, people who die as
> > infants really don't change the funding of social security retirement
> > benefits.
>
> Apparently you are having the same problem I am having, attempting to
> locate pertinent and valid data?
>
> The biggest joke I have ever seen is the 8% unemployment figure. Then
> you see about 25% of the people standing around with no jobs! The local
> paper, here, just did their own research and found ~19% unemployment ...
> the govt. ~10% for the same area ... I keep finding this with most govt.
> figures which would paint them in a bad light, you have to use an error
> correction of 2X to 3X times their figures to come close to accuracy ...
> a crime in itself.
>
> I hate to see the day when all these lies come home to visit us ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

It's not a lie, it's the way the Unemployment Indices are calculated.
The calculation - which misses anyone who is not aplying for or
collecting unemployment - is done that way because it has to be -
there is no way to keep an actual record other than this statistic
without becoming Big Brother. It's unfortunate but true. The net
result is that is underestimates unemployment in the worst times by a
factor of about 100% plus. In good times that underestimate is still
there, but probably a lot less, and more people are rotating in and
out of jobs instead of being semi-permanently unemployed, so the true
long-term unemployment is closer to zero.

Bruce Jensen

2242. Re: EE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 16:13:05 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <56350798-f037-4461-9226-53f6f0ca8230@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230169

On May 19, 4:25 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC
> on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : S6~S9 and SIO 434 with good audio
>
> Heard Male and Female Voices in Spanish @ 0520 UTC
> and Female Voice repeat the "REE" ID three times
> @ 0528 UTC + Plus 0530 UTC BOH 'Code' "...._"
> .
> *Prior* Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info
> REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/a48...
> .
> Radio Exterior de Espana (REE)http://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/http://en.wikipedi
a.org/wiki/Radio_Exterior_de_Espa%C3%B1a
> .
> yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .
> On May 17, 5:18 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
> > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550 UTC
> > on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> > S-Meter : S7~S9 and SIO 444 with good audio
>
> > Heard Male Voice in Spanish @ 0550 UTC
> > and Female Voice "REE" ID @ 0555 UTC
> > .
> > Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) via Costa Ricahttp://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/
> > .
> > Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) Frequencies &
Timeshttp://www.rtve.es/contenidos/documentos/frecuencias032011.pdf
> > .

> > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > 3350 kHz : 0200-0600 UTC
> > E : Radio Exterior España : S : CAm : /CTR
> > Spain REE in Spanish to Central America via Costa Rica
> > .
> > -other- Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) -reports-
> > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:27
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b36b8d3ae9db114e
> > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:50
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9a0e75b3965be553
> > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 17850 kHz @ 21:05 UTC
{Soccer}http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dace9126350a80f3
> > -note- REE Shortwave Transmitter Site is near
> > Cariari de Pococi, Costa Rica, Central America
> > .
> > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Thanks. It would be cool if either REE or his relay site QSLed - the
way things are going, neither one will be around much longer - and
it's been a LONG time since we've heard Faro del Caribe :-(

2243. Re: Dollars are really just IOUs ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 16:13:50 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD541BE-5932@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230171

Yeah, but IOUs are usefull for buying thangys and putting food on the table and clothes and shoes on your kids.

I finally found it, a few minutes ago.Every since last Sunday I have been turning my old house upside down and inside out looking for it.It was in my leanto storage shed at the back of my house.What it T'is, T'is an old antique wrought iron floor stand bird cage stand, its tall, stands on the floor and has a big hoop on it and a bird cage hangs inside of the hoop.I have two old antique bird cages too.I bought that old stuff many years ago at Goodwill.My sister wants them for her flower garden, is what it T'is.
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Antique+Bird+Cage+Stands
http://www.shopgoodwill.com
cuhulin, Bird Cage Stands R US

2244. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 16:15:44 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DD54230-1885@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230170

No means Yes.pfft.
cuhulin

2245. Re: Lies, Lies and More Damn Lies ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 16:31:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3gkq$q5d$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 9:11 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> ...
> It's not a lie, it's the way the Unemployment Indices are calculated.
> The calculation - which misses anyone who is not aplying for or
> collecting unemployment - is done that way because it has to be -
> there is no way to keep an actual record other than this statistic
> without becoming Big Brother. It's unfortunate but true. The net
> result is that is underestimates unemployment in the worst times by a
> factor of about 100% plus. In good times that underestimate is still
> there, but probably a lot less, and more people are rotating in and
> out of jobs instead of being semi-permanently unemployed, so the true
> long-term unemployment is closer to zero.
>
> Bruce Jensen

Most likely, very similar to the "new jobs created."

You never see them, you never benefit from them, you don't know anyone who has ever had one ... but to those with a religious belief, they are there!

ROFLOL

When you think about it, kinda like trying to sell water to a drowning person!

Regards,
JS

2246. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 16:43:51 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283f12d2aa2e89f498a217@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230173
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <bkd8t65jp1v7ioflo2d57okpgamsit7ctt@4ax.com>,
gov.shill@gmail.com says...
>
> On Wed, 18 May 2011 14:34:18 -0400, BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> >In article <0c0f2be9-15b0-4e96-8f95-eedbd8d19676@
> >34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
> >>
> >> On May 18, 7:29ï¿œam, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >> > On May 17, 5:06ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> >> > > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >> >
> >> > > > On May 13, 9:14ï¿œpm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> >> > > > > joeturn wrote:
> >> > > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> >> > > > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >> > > > > >> wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> >> > > > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >> > > > > >>>> wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > > > >>>>>> ...
> >> > > > > >>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> >> > > > > >>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> >> > > > > >>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> >> > > > > >>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> >> > > > > >>>>>> astounding.
> >> >
> >> > > > > >>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> >> > > > > >>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> >> > > > > >>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> >> > > > > >>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
```

> >> > > > > >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> >> > > > > >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> >> > > > > >>>>> ...
> >> >
> >> > > > > >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
> >> >
> >> > > > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> >> > > > > >>>> actual evidence.
> >> >
> >> > > > > >>> Wild speculation?
> >> >
> >> > > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
> >> >
> >> > > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> >> > > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> >> > > > > >>> use?
> >> >
> >> > > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> >> > > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
> >> >
> >> > > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> >> > > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
> >> >
> >> > > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> >> > > > > >> evidence?
> >> >
> >> > > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> >> > > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
> >> >
> >> > > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> >> > > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> >> > > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> >> > > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> >> > > > > >> vanishes?
> >> >
> >> > > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> >> > > > > >>> based in reality!
> >> >
> >> > > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
> >> >
> >> > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
> >> >
> >> > > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> >> > > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
> >> >
> >> > > > > and on nobody else's?
> >> >
> >> > > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> >> > > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
> >> >

> >> > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >> >
> >> > > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> >> > > > go.
> >> >
> >> > > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
> >> >
> >> > > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
> >> >
> >> > > --
> >> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
> >> >
> >> > For One and All,
> >> >
> >> > Ever seen the Heat Waves on the Surface of a Black-Top
> >> > Roadway and the Visual Distortion they Cause ?
> >> >
> >> > Ever seen the Visual Distortion caused by Non-Visual
> >> > Column of Air Pollution {Smoke / Air Particulates } in
> >> > the Air between You and an Object ?
> >> >
> >> > now you see it and now you don't ~ RHF
> >> > -yes-it-is-that-simple-
> >> > ï¿œ.- Hide quoted text -
> >> >
> >> > - Show quoted text -
> >>
> >> I'm sure they coated the lens with vicks salve to oliminate heat wave
> >> as you can see the building did not fade in and out,just the wings,try
> >> that next time you go to target practice but just wipe it in your eye
> >> to keep it from seeming out of focus!
> >
> >WTF are you babbling about?
>
> He's taken Babbleloonian to the next level by inventing words that *almost* look
> like English ones, but aren't quite.
>
> eg. "oliminate" = eliminate perhaps?

Sounds like a good guess.

>
> "vicks salve"??? Hmmm... maybe Vicks VapoRub?

I don't know what else that it could be.

>
> ~BG must be so proud.

Chip off the old loose screw.

>
> Shill #2

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2247. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 16:45:01 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283f1314bb46ef7498a218@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230174
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <shh9t6926e0ab6pjdq463rvsam6ar38l97@4ax.com>,
gov.shill@gmail.com says...
>
> On Wed, 18 May 2011 19:32:36 -0700 (PDT), joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >On May 18, 5:18ï¿œpm, Government Shill #2 <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On Wed, 18 May 2011 14:34:18 -0400, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >> >In article <0c0f2be9-15b0-4e96-8f95-eedbd8d19676@
> >> >34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >>
> >> >> On May 18, 7:29ï¿œam, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >> >> > On May 17, 5:06ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >> > > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> >> >> > > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >>
> >> >> > > > On May 13, 9:14ï¿œpm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> >> >> > > > > joeturn wrote:
> >> >> > > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> >> >> > > > > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >> >> > > > > > >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> >> >> > > > > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >> >> > > > > > >>>> wrote:
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >>>>>> ...
> >> >> > > > > > >>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> >> >> > > > > > >>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> >> >> > > > > > >>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> >> >> > > > > > >>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> >> >> > > > > > >>>>>> astounding.
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >>>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> >> >> > > > > > >>>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> >> >> > > > > > >>>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> >> >> > > > > > >>>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> >> >> > > > > > >>>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> >> >> > > > > > >>>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
```

> >> >> > > > > >>>>> ...
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> >> >> > > > > > >>>> actual evidence.
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >>> Wild speculation?
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> >> >> > > > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> >> >> > > > > > >>> use?
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> >> >> > > > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> >> >> > > > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> >> >> > > > > > >> evidence?
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> >> >> > > > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> >> >> > > > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> >> >> > > > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> >> >> > > > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> >> >> > > > > > >> vanishes?
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> >> >> > > > > > >>> based in reality!
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> >> >> > > > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > and on nobody else's?
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> >> >> > > > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
> >>
> >> >> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >>

> >> >> > > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> >> >> > > > go.
> >>
> >> >> > > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
> >>
> >> >> > > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
> >>
> >> >> > > --
> >> >> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
> >>
> >> >> > For One and All,
> >>
> >> >> > Ever seen the Heat Waves on the Surface of a Black-Top
> >> >> > Roadway and the Visual Distortion they Cause ?
> >>
> >> >> > Ever seen the Visual Distortion caused by Non-Visual
> >> >> > Column of Air Pollution {Smoke / Air Particulates } in
> >> >> > the Air between You and an Object ?
> >>
> >> >> > now you see it and now you don't ~ RHF
> >> >> > -yes-it-is-that-simple-
> >> >> > ï¿œ.- Hide quoted text -
> >>
> >> >> > - Show quoted text -
> >>
> >> >> I'm sure they coated the lens with vicks salve to oliminate heat wave
> >> >> as you can see the building did not fade in and out,just the wings,try
> >> >> that next time you go to target practice but just wipe it in your eye
> >> >> to keep it from seeming out of focus!
> >>
> >> >WTF are you babbling about?
> >>
> >> He's taken Babbleloonian to the next level by inventing words that *almost* look
> >> like English ones, but aren't quite.
> >>
> >> eg. "oliminate" = eliminate perhaps?
> >>
> >> "vicks salve"??? Hmmm... maybe Vicks VapoRub?
> >>
> >> ~BG must be so proud.
> >>
> >> Shill #2
> >> --
> >> "Me fail English? That's unpossible!"
> >> Ralph Wiggum- Hide quoted text -
> >>
> >> - Show quoted text -
> >
> >You have exceeded my expectations of you again you have grammarized my
> >post wand still managed to appear the village idiot!I will cast my

> >vote for you to become valordictorian of google tech!
>
> Bwahahahaahahahahahahahahahahahaha!
>
> "...grammarized my post wand still managed..."
>
> Bwahahahahahahahahaha!
>
> "...valordictorian..."
>
> Bwahahahahahaha!
>
> Stop! STOP!
>
> You're making my sides ache!
>
> Shill #2

And I though Seon made up words.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2248. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 16:47:42 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283f13b4f09992c798a219@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230175
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
df1d41a848cf@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com
says...
>
> On May 18, 11:09ï¿œpm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> > On May 18, 1:15ï¿œpm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 18, 12:15ï¿œam, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> >
> > > > On May 17, 11:46ï¿œpm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
> >
> > > > > On May 18, 1:09ï¿œpm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
> >
> > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
> >
> > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
> >
> > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> > > was a [poor source as told ï¿œby BDK?
> >
> > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>
> Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> his knowlege of the 911 coverup.

He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2249. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 16:48:52 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283f1400caabeeb498a21a@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230176
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <cecf15aa-a459-4cf3-bccb-fddd5f83634c@
14g2000yqo.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 18, 11:28ï¿œpm, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> > On May 18, 8:20ï¿œpm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 18, 11:09ï¿œpm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> >
> > > > On May 18, 1:15ï¿œpm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > On May 18, 12:15ï¿œam, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> >
> > > > > > On May 17, 11:46ï¿œpm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > On May 18, 1:09ï¿œpm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
> >
> > > > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
> >
> > > > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
> >
> > > > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> > > > > was a [poor source as told ï¿œby BDK?
> >
> > > > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > > > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> > > > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
> >
> > > Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> > > his knowlege of the 911 coverup.- Hide quoted text -
```

> >
> > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > [shouting] NOTICE HOW THE PRO OFFICIAL STORY APOLOGISTS ARE NOT CASUAL
> > POSTERS, BUT TRAINED IN MANIPULATION AND KNOWLEDGABLE TO THE UTMOST
> > DEGREE IN EVERY ASPECT OF DEBUNKING 911 TRUTH! THIS IS PART OF AN
> > OPERATION OF DISINFORMATION BY GOVERNMENT SHILLS, NOT HONEST DEBATE BY
> > DISINTERESTED PARTIES.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Here is Mengel's gift to society its a short story but it includes the
> life of Cathy Obrien you seen on the above video.
>
> http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica/transforusa/transformation.htm

BWHAHAHAHAHA! You're in full blown batshit crazy mode today Joe.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2250. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 16:50:32 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283f1465f151995a98a21b@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230177
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <cab55d7e-074c-425d-b817-c3bb90878b84
@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>, skepticl1@aol.com says...
>
> On May 18, 10:05ï¿œam, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> > On 5/18/2011 9:54 AM, joeturn wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 17, 11:15 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> ï¿œwrote:
> > >> On 5/17/2011 6:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >
> > >>> On May 17, 8:06 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> ï¿œ ï¿œwrote:
> > >>>> In article<5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> > >>>> @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> > >>>>> On May 13, 9:14 pm, "zayton"<zay...@newwavecomm.net> ï¿œ ï¿œwrote:
> > >>>>>> joeturn wrote:
> > >>>>>>> On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1<quoththera...@nevermore.com> ï¿œ ï¿œwrote:
> > >>>>>>>> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > >>>>>>>> wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> > >>>>>>>>>> wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> ...
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> astounding.
> > >>>>>>>>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
> > >>>>>>>>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> > >>>>>>>>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> > >>>>>>>>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> > >>>>>>>>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> > >>>>>>>>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> > >>>>>>>>>>> ...
> > >>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
> > >>>>>>>>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> > >>>>>>>>>> actual evidence.
```

> > >>>>>>>> Wild speculation?
> > >>>>>>>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
> > >>>>>>>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> > >>>>>>>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> > >>>>>>>> use?
> > >>>>>>> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> > >>>>>>> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
> > >>>>>>>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> > >>>>>>>> occurring today, is in error?
> > >>>>>>> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> > >>>>>>> evidence?
> > >>>>>>> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> > >>>>>>> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
> > >>>>>>> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> > >>>>>>> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> > >>>>>>> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> > >>>>>>> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> > >>>>>>> vanishes?
> > >>>>>>>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> > >>>>>>>> based in reality!
> > >>>>>>> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
> > >>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
> > >>>>>> The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> > >>>>>> grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
> > >>>>> and on nobody else's?
> > >>>>> It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> > >>>>> nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
> > >>>>> - Show quoted text -
> > >>>> Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> > >>>> go.
> > >>>>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
> > >>> It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
> > >>> --
> > >>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text
-
> > >>> - Show quoted text -
> > >> You feds claimed him a fake but he caught all them cranes and
> > >> dumptrucks on stanby and the monitor holograming the plane.
> > >> Dont you remember bi george you were the one projecting the image of a
> > >> plane going slam through the building and the aluminum nose was not
> > >> even bent coming out the other side! That Evin almost spoiled it for
> > >> you didn't he?
> > >>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_b2Uk6ngQD8&feature=related
> > >> Since the radar and other antenna and electronics are in the nose, the
> > >> nose would have either been fiberglass or plastic ...
> >
> > >> Regards,
> > >> JS- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > >> - Show quoted text -

> > > I see,so it just melted through the building and bounced back into
> > > shape that explains everything then. Thanks for you keen eye!
> >
> > Its' being there is an impossibility, unless someone placed it there, DUH!
> >
> > "The problem here is that the nose cone of a Boeing 757 is made of
> > carbon-fibre (like fibreglass); there is no metal nose cone on a 757. An
> > average strength man (or strong woman) could make mincemeat of a 757
> > nose cone in about 15 minutes with nothing more than a light axe or a
> > sledgehammer - the same cannot be said of the outer wall of the
> > Pentagon. Punching through stone facade and 2 exterior concrete and
> > brick walls, 4 or more interior poured concrete walls, and a poured
> > concrete floor, to conveniently land front-and-center on a piece of
> > board for photographers is out of the question."
> >
> > FROM HERE:http://911review.org/Wiki/PentagonAttackLegend.shtml
> >
> > Here is a nose cone which has been damaged in
flight:http://www.p2pconsortium.com/index.php/topic/16284-northwest-jet-land...
> >
> > Obviously, idiots attempted the fraud with that nose cone supposedly
> > going though reinforced concrete! ï¿œBut then, look at the public
> > servants, they have been chosen for puppets, not intelligence!
> >
> > Regards,
> > JS- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> And speaking of public servants, we must realize, Joeturn, that we are
> discussing with a nest of vipers. Fascists within the US government
> committed false flag attacks to start a war which has murdered
> millions. The US Capitalist blew up the Murrah Federal Building in
> 1996, they blew up the World Trade Center in 1993, killing 11, and
> injuring hundreds, our masters attacked again on Sept 11, 2001. Then
> the gang of Fascists attacked the Madrid Trains, the London Subway
> underground (Guiliani was on hand to do that one too!) The Fascists
> did the 7/7 attacks and blamed it on innocent Muslim youth. Today
> Israel is harvesting organs out of live Palestinians, and alt.atheism
> may have some paid traitors committing treason every time they type.
> Fascists have some mighty crimes to cover up. Fascists think the false
> flag attacks are humorous. I don't think the attacks are humorous. The
> war is a crime.

The attacks aren't humerous at all, but your delusions are. Funny as
hell, in fact.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2251. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 16:51:50 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3hr7$q5d$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230178
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 9:47 AM, BDK wrote:
> In article<a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
> df1d41a848cf@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com
> says...
>> On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>>> On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>>>>> On May 17, 11:46 pm, george<gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>>>>>> On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
>>>>>>> but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>>>>>> What one winged aircraft ?
>>>>>> You haven't watched that video have you
>>>>> He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>>>> You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
>>>> was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>>> Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
>>> it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
>>> man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>>>
>>> - Show quoted text -
>> His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>>
>> Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
>> his knowlege of the 911 coverup.
> He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
> wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
>

I think if you check your statistics, more people are getting lung
cancer than ever before, and smoking has never been lower ...

The cig cover story is beginning to fall apart ...

Regards,
JS

2252. Re: Dollars are really just IOUs ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 16:51:51 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD54AA7-6213@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230179

Poppylypse Now! The Night Of The Living Dead!
The Crazy Ass CDC is tellin the peoples to get ready for Flesh Eating Zombies.

Good old Marsha Thompson (at least she is sane) was born in Jackson,Missy Sippy, she grew up as a kid on President Street in Jackson.She works at WJTV tee vee station in Jackson, she has been with WJTV ever since forever.Not many years ago after her two sons finished college, she moved to Hotlanta to be with her hubby, he is, or was a big shot at the CDC, I reckon he retired and they moved back to Jackson,Missy Sippy.I have said it before years ago in this here self same rec.radio.shortwave newsgroup that the CDC done gone Crazy as Hell.Looks like I am Right again.
http://www.WJTV.com
Those Nitwits at CDC are CRAZY!
cuhulin

2253. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 17:16:32 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DD55070-2093@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230180

Watchin 12:00 PM WJTV 12 tee vee noos.Vicksburg Welcome Center, they said there is actually a traffic jam, tourist people there to see the big Missy Sippy River Flood.
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Vicksburg+Mississippi+Welcome+Center

And Snakes, seeking higher ground, going into flooded homes and getting into/onto the furniture.Mike Chaney, Missy Sippy Insurance Commisioner, said he was recently bitten by a snake.Snakes are Legless Lizards, with TEETH.
cuhulin

2254. Re: Two New Washington Times Ads!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 17:28:18 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283f1d426c763e8d98a221@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230181

In article <ir18knihi8@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmail.com says...
>
> What obamas' race card allows him to repeated break the laws? OJs' only
> allowed him a single instance of committing a crime!:
>
> http://cdrkerchner.wordpress.com/
>
> http://obamareleaseyourrecords.blogspot.com/2011/05/two-new-washington-times-ads-obama.html
>
>
> Regards,
> JS

LOL, you birthtards can't even make an ad look sane. They both reek of
kooktardery. But that's expected, coming from loons like yourself.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2255. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 17:35:03 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3kc8$9li$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230182
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 10:28 AM, dmaster wrote:
> On Thursday, May 19, 2011 9:19:06 AM UTC-5, John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/19/2011 7:15 AM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
>>> ...
>>> I honestly don't think meds are going to help you as much as the foil.
>>> Remember- shiny side out.
>> Obviously, you are not responding to this post:
>>
>> Government employees, public servants, etc.
>> http://www.wanttoknow.info/officialsquestion911commissionreport
>>
>> Academics:
>> http://patriotsquestion911.com/professors.html
>>
>> Media manipulations:
>> http://www.wanttoknow.info/massmedia
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> As usual it doesn't look like you bothered to actually read through the links you quote, JS, but that doesn't surprise me anymore. Look at your first reference. If you click through the links supplied to the original articles in the media, you will see that the quotes used in your "source" are taken wildly out of context and in no way support the silly idea that our own government caused the destruction of the WTC on 9/11. What is really being questioned is whether the government could have better used what intelligence they had to prevent the attack on the TWC by an outside terrorist agency.
>
> The second link is the usual "you can't dismiss these people" claim, which then goes on to include mostly people who have either no expertise an certainly no direct knowledge of the events on 9/11. Of course, this page has nothing but self reinforcing links to similar sites, but no links to anything outside. Why am I not surprised? Please tell me why these sites never concern themselves with the statistical probability of "radical thinkers", as you call them, or "kooks" as others might call them, in every occupational field? The fact that a few (1400, I believe) of all the "architects and engineers" (assuming those who signed are architects or engineers in other than their own minds - did anyone actually vet the list?) think like you do, shouldn't be too surprising (troubling perhaps, but not surprising) in our diverse society.
>
> And as has become usual with JS, the third link has nothing to do with 9/11 at all. It's the usual, when pressed to provide some support for issue A, bring up issue B nonsense. Yes, issue A and issue B may be real issues, but if they have nothing to do with each other, then they don't supply any support for your position, JS. How many times in your 9/11 threads, JS, have you turned the discussion to "the birth certificate isn't real"?

I've certainly lost count.
>
> Now, I predict that JS will simply ignore my criticisms. He will not do as I suggested and actually read his own references in detail, nor will he provide any cogent counters to any of my points. I predict he will simply continue to post the same set of links (like he did with his original 9/11 list) despite the fact I poked them full of holes. Heck, he may even "plonk" me again. If he hasn't learned any restraint, he may even *gasp* call me names again, as if that somehow proves his point.
>
> Dan (Woj...)

Its' BS ...

I will let others argue with you, if they chose ... your opinions don't matter, others will have their own ... bye.

You and your opinions are only important to yourself, eventually, you are going to have to realize that and quit demanding attention like a spoiled little brat ... sad, so very, very sad ...

Regards,
JS

2256. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 17:47:01 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3l2m$pc9$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230183
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 10:37 AM, Peter Franks wrote:
> ...
> I can understand how you feel when you misunderstand the concepts.
> I'm sorry for that misunderstand, but once you do understand the
> concept, it all makes sense AND it helps the economy.

I think EVERYONE would be in agreement!

Since taxes MUST be passed on to those buying products or services, we
should just levy ALL taxes on the consumers, US! It is a sham, a fraud
and a deception to try and fool morons that any but CONSUMERS pay the taxes.

This would provide a level playing field for all business ... let's do it!

A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
complexities ...

Regards,
JS

2257. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 18:01:42 UTC
From: Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dd55b01$0$31311$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 230184
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/19/2011 10:37 AM, Peter Franks wrote:
>
>> ...
>> I can understand how you feel when you misunderstand the concepts.
>> I'm sorry for that misunderstand, but once you do understand the
>> concept, it all makes sense AND it helps the economy.
>
>
> I think EVERYONE would be in agreement!

I for one agree that yer a loony retard.

2258. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 18:06:03 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dd8b960d-c235-491a-b6b4-a7d3cb27c4c3@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230185
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 19, 12:47 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
> df1d41a84...@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> says...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> > > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>
> > > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> > > > was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>
> > > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> > > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>
> > Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> > his knowlege of the 911 coverup.

>
> He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
> wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
>
> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

They took DDT off the market and replaced it with radio isotopes to
kill the cut worm.But the bird eggs regained their strength!Soo many
humans soo little birds!

2259. Re: Civilization is built upon good faith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 18:13:07 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd55d5c$0$12487$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230186

On 05/19/2011 09:13 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Yeah, but IOUs are usefull for buying thangys and putting food on the
> table and clothes and shoes on your kids.
>
> I finally found it, a few minutes ago.Every since last Sunday I have
> been turning my old house upside down and inside out looking for it.It
> was in my leanto storage shed at the back of my house.What it T'is, T'is
> an old antique wrought iron floor stand bird cage stand, its tall,
> stands on the floor and has a big hoop on it and a bird cage hangs
> inside of the hoop.I have two old antique bird cages too.I bought that
> old stuff many years ago at Goodwill.My sister wants them for her flower
> garden, is what it T'is.
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Antique+Bird+Cage+Stands
> http://www.shopgoodwill.com
> cuhulin, Bird Cage Stands R US
>

2260. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 18:31:10 UTC
From: DCI <50bjdk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <b792014c-03af-4ac2-b62c-8eb3800bd14b@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230187
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 19, 10:35 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 10:28 AM, dmaster wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Thursday, May 19, 2011 9:19:06 AM UTC-5, John Smith wrote:
> >> On 5/19/2011 7:15 AM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
> >>> ...
> >>> I honestly don't think meds are going to help you as much as the foil.
> >>> Remember- shiny side out.
> >> Obviously, you are not responding to this post:
>
> >> Government employees, public servants, etc.
> >>http://www.wanttoknow.info/officialsquestion911commissionreport
>
> >> Academics:
> >>http://patriotsquestion911.com/professors.html
>
> >> Media manipulations:
> >>http://www.wanttoknow.info/massmedia
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > As usual it doesn't look like you bothered to actually read through the links you quote, JS, but that doesn't surprise me anymore. Look at your first reference. If you click through the links supplied to the original articles in the media, you will see that the quotes used in your "source" are taken wildly out of context and in no way support the silly idea that our own government caused the destruction of the WTC on 9/11. What is really being questioned is whether the government could have better used what intelligence they had to prevent the attack on the TWC by an outside terrorist agency.
>
> > The second link is the usual "you can't dismiss these people" claim, which then goes on to include mostly people who have either no expertise an certainly no direct knowledge of the events on 9/11. Of course, this page has nothing but self reinforcing links to similar sites, but no links to anything outside. Why am I not surprised? Please tell me why these sites never concern themselves with the statistical probability of "radical thinkers", as you call them, or "kooks" as others might call them, in every occupational field? The fact

that a few (1400, I believe) of all the "architects and engineers" (assuming those who signed are architects or engineers in other than their own minds - did anyone actually vet the list?) think like you do, shouldn't be too surprising (troubling perhaps, but not surprising) in our diverse society.
>
> > And as has become usual with JS, the third link has nothing to do with 9/11 at all. It's the usual, when pressed to provide some support for issue A, bring up issue B nonsense. Yes, issue A and issue B may be real issues, but if they have nothing to do with each other, then they don't supply any support for your position, JS. How many times in your 9/11 threads, JS, have you turned the discussion to "the birth certificate isn't real"? I've certainly lost count.
>
> > Now, I predict that JS will simply ignore my criticisms. He will not do as I suggested and actually read his own references in detail, nor will he provide any cogent counters to any of my points. I predict he will simply continue to post the same set of links (like he did with his original 9/11 list) despite the fact I poked them full of holes. Heck, he may even "plonk" me again. If he hasn't learned any restraint, he may even *gasp* call me names again, as if that somehow proves his point.
>
> > Dan (Woj...)
>
> Its' BS ...
>
> I will let others argue with you, if they chose ... your opinions don't
> matter, others will have their own ... bye.
>
> You and your opinions are only important to yourself, eventually, you
> are going to have to realize that and quit demanding attention like a
> spoiled little brat ... sad, so very, very sad ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

JS, may you share with us your opinion? I, for one, would enjoy
reading it.

Take care,

DCI

2261. Re: Civilization is built upon good faith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 19:06:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23872-4DD56A30-515@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230188

Hey y'all, how y'all arrrrrre?

Did Sekert Service 'misplace/forget the football' again? That did once
happen when Monica's boyfriend was occupying the White House.
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307878
cuhulin

2262. Re: Lies, Lies and More Damn Lies ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 19:20:23 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <14ea6ed1-3e5f-47d2-87c1-02e8ee2fdfe3@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230189

On May 19, 9:31 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 9:11 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > ...
> > It's not a lie, it's the way the Unemployment Indices are calculated.
> > The calculation - which misses anyone who is not aplying for or
> > collecting unemployment - is done that way because it has to be -
> > there is no way to keep an actual record other than this statistic
> > without becoming Big Brother. It's unfortunate but true. The net
> > result is that is underestimates unemployment in the worst times by a
> > factor of about 100% plus. In good times that underestimate is still
> > there, but probably a lot less, and more people are rotating in and
> > out of jobs instead of being semi-permanently unemployed, so the true
> > long-term unemployment is closer to zero.
>
> > Bruce Jensen
>
> Most likely, very similar to the "new jobs created."
>
> You never see them, you never benefit from them, you don't know anyone
> who has ever had one ... but to those with a religious belief, they are
> there!
>
> ROFLOL
>
> When you think about it, kinda like trying to sell water to a drowning
> person!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Frankly, new jobs are not created until there is a demand for the
product or the service. When the general public has no money or is
paranoid about where their next meal is coming from, the demand drops
precipitously. A vicious cycle with few options to prevent
stagnation.

2263. Re: Civilization is built upon good faith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 19:23:11 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD56E1F-6084@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230191

Peter Fonda calls Born in Kenya! SCARHEAD USURPER!, TRAITOR! at Cannes.
http://www.drudgereport.com

Can you guess what Jane HANOI TRAITOR Fonda and John TRAITOR! McCain
would call him?
I Know.
cuhulin

2264. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 19:26:51 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3qts$6q5$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230190
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/19/2011 11:31 AM, DCI wrote:
> ...
> JS, may you share with us your opinion? I, for one, would enjoy
> reading it.
>
> Take care,
>
> DCI

No crimes of obamas' should be ignored, they are all important ... the 9/11 crimes go wanting for justice, making obama as guilty of them as bush, and in need of impeachment. Impeach obama and then bring him to trial right along side of bush.

obamas' forgery of documents are felonies worthy of impeachment, then trial and punishment.

As always, an ADEQUATE INVESTIGATION of 9/11 needs done, then we bring the guilty parties to justice.

ALL of the links I posted have to do with that, the moron questioned the first, which contained this, "

The bipartisan Sept. 11 commission has issued subpoenas to the Defense Department and the Federal Aviation Administration for materials related to air defenses on the day of the attacks.

But the commission balked at a proposal by Roemer last week to subpoena the presidential documents, which include an Aug. 6, 2001, briefing outlining a variety of possible attacks by the Al Qaeda network. Thomas Kean, the commission chairman, a Republican, and former New Jersey governor, had warned two weeks earlier that the panel was considering subpoenas targeting the White House.

Kristen Breitweiser, whose husband was killed at the World Trade Center, assailed the commission yesterday for refusing to provide details of its deal."

I just don't know how to answer questions which don't even pose themselves in sanity!

Regards,
JS

2265. Re: "DX Partyline" to end with 50th anniversary broadcast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 19:35:08 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <steve.silverwood@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <72sat61vnqtrrjj8841ehrfr95jgep90ee@4ax.com>
Source record: 230192

On Tue, 10 May 2011 14:22:45 -0400, hallicrafter@collins.net wrote:

>Don't know if this has been posted but anyway, here it is:
>
>http://www.radioworld.com/article/%E2%80%98dx-partyline%E2%80%99-to-end/23460
>
>Jim (MI)

This is indeed disappointing. I met Allen Graham a few years ago while he was briefly on leave here in the US. Great guy, sorry to hear his show being cancelled but I guess it was inevitable with the SWL hobby being in apparent decline.

Seems to be a painful spiral, too... First, you have a bit fewer listeners in the developed countries. So the broadcasters cut back on feeds to those areas. Less to listen to, so fewer listeners, and so on. <sigh>

-- //Steve//

2266. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 19:35:58 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3rev$6q5$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230193
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 11:01 AM, Dave LaRue wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> On 5/19/2011 10:37 AM, Peter Franks wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> I can understand how you feel when you misunderstand the concepts.
>>> I'm sorry for that misunderstand, but once you do understand the
>>> concept, it all makes sense AND it helps the economy.
>>
>>
>> I think EVERYONE would be in agreement!
>
> I for one agree that yer a loony retard.

Although I think it is much closer to 50%, I am in basic agreement with
most of this:

http://articles.moneycentral.msn.com/Taxes/Advice/YourRealTaxRate40.aspx

Regards,
JS

2267. Would you like to know your real tax rate?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 19:42:03 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3rqc$6q5$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://articles.moneycentral.msn.com/Taxes/Advice/YourRealTaxRate40.aspx

Regards,
JS

2268. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 19:56:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD575EC-6122@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230195

Tornado Dog crawls on broken legs to family.
http://www.standeyo.com
cuhulin

2269. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 20:07:28 UTC
From: george <gblack@hnpl.net>
Message-ID: <610320fb-36ed-4bfc-b6f0-50bc85149ac4@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 20, 2:24 am, Klaus Schadenfreude <klausschadenfre...@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> >John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in talk.politics.guns :
> >On 5/19/2011 7:15 AM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
> >> ...
> >> I honestly don't think meds are going to help you as much as the foil.
> >> Remember- shiny side out.
>
> >Obviously, you are not responding to this post:
>
> Obviously you're too fucking stupid to realize it has nothing to do
> with guns.
>
> Maybe you need TWO layers of foil......

With his degree of kookdom I'd suggest several inches of the latest
armour plate

2270. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 20:10:00 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3teq$6q5$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 12:56 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Tornado Dog crawls on broken legs to family.
> http://www.standeyo.com
> cuhulin
>

After payin' all those taxes, we do just about have to crawl on broken legs before our masters and beg to pay more!

My father said about a business we ran together, "The government is in equal partnerships with each of us, but doesn't do a lick of the work!" He was quite correct!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2271. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 20:13:55 UTC
From: george <gblack@hnpl.net>
Message-ID: <ad67e0b2-f56f-484c-9406-8b28eb4f4777@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230198
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On May 20, 6:06 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 19, 12:47 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > In article <a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
> > df1d41a84...@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> > says...
>
> > > On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > > > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> > > > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>
> > > > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> > > > > was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>
> > > > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > > > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> > > > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
```

> > > His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>
> > > Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> > > his knowlege of the 911 coverup.
>
> > He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
> > wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
>
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> They took DDT off the market and replaced it with radio isotopes to
> kill the cut worm.But the bird eggs regained their strength!Soo many
> humans soo little birds!

Where's that bloody decoder ring when you need it

2272. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 20:27:15 UTC
From: george <gblack@hnpl.net>
Message-ID: <17e597a6-c31c-4316-ac8f-5c58adf25ec1@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 20, 2:26 am, harry k <turnkey4...@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Probably all sequestured in the brains of people who don't understand
> the ToE and have no desire to learn.

Actually think of the advantages.
No more godbotherers, no more knocks on the door by a leaflet wielding
pillock asking "do you know god ?"

All the other nutbars (religions) can then quietly fade into obscurity
and we, the lucky billions, can get on with the one way journey
through life

2273. CIGARETTE SMOKING DOWN, LUNG CANCER UP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 20:33:32 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3uqt$7m3$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://cfrankdavis.wordpress.com/2011/04/18/smoking-down-lung-cancer-up/

Obviously, the real toxins are hidden from us ... fukushima and the
rising cancer rates which will follow should point some evidence at the
old nuclear tests as being on major factor ...

Regards,
JS

2274. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 20:34:37 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2d7a3a62-42c9-405a-80fd-2dd130aa290a@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230201
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 19, 4:13 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
> On May 20, 6:06 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 19, 12:47 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > In article <a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
> > > df1d41a84...@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> > > says...
>
> > > > On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > > On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > > On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > > > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > > > > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> > > > > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>
> > > > > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> > > > > > was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>
> > > > > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > > > > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> > > > > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>

> > > > Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> > > > his knowlege of the 911 coverup.
>
> > > He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
> > > wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
>
> > > --
> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > They took DDT off the market and replaced it with radio isotopes to
> > kill the cut worm.But the bird eggs regained their strength!Soo many
> > humans soo little birds!
>
> Where's that bloody decoder ring when you need it- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Check your clit!

2275. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 20:35:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3uup$7m3$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230202
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/19/2011 1:34 PM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 19, 4:13 pm, george<gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>> On May 20, 6:06 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 19, 12:47 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>> In article<a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
>>>> df1d41a84...@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
>>>> says...
>>>>> On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>>>>>> On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>>>>>>>> On May 17, 11:46 pm, george<gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
>>>>>>>>>> but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>>>>>>>>> What one winged aircraft ?
>>>>>>>>> You haven't watched that video have you
>>>>>>>> He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>>>>>>> You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
>>>>>>> was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>>>>>> Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
>>>>>> it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
>>>>>> man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>>> His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>>>>> Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
>>>>> his knowlege of the 911 coverup.
>>>> He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
>>>> wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
>>>> --
>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>> They took DDT off the market and replaced it with radio isotopes to
>>> kill the cut worm.But the bird eggs regained their strength!Soo many
>>> humans soo little birds!
>> Where's that bloody decoder ring when you need it- Hide quoted text -
>>

>> - Show quoted text -
> Check your clit!

She has it on, but she forgot she ate the manual on how to use it!

Regards,
JS

2276. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 20:40:24 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3ad1630c-566f-44b8-ab1d-45b9d651340d@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230203
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 19, 4:35 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 1:34 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 19, 4:13 pm, george<gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
> >> On May 20, 6:06 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >>> On May 19, 12:47 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >>>> In article<a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
> >>>> df1d41a84...@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> >>>> says...
> >>>>> On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> >>>>>> On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>> On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> >>>>>>>> On May 17, 11:46 pm, george<gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>> Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> >>>>>>>>>> but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
> >>>>>>>>> What one winged aircraft ?
> >>>>>>>>> You haven't watched that video have you
> >>>>>>>> He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
> >>>>>>> You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> >>>>>>> was a [poor source as told by BDK?
> >>>>>> Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> >>>>>> it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> >>>>>> man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
> >>>>>> - Show quoted text -
> >>>>> His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
> >>>>> Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> >>>>> his knowlege of the 911 coverup.
> >>>> He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
> >>>> wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
> >>>> --
> >>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> >>>> - Show quoted text -
> >>> They took DDT off the market and replaced it with radio isotopes to
> >>> kill the cut worm.But the bird eggs regained their strength!Soo many
> >>> humans soo little birds!

> >> Where's that bloody decoder ring when you need it- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
> > Check your clit!
>
> She has it on, but she forgot she ate the manual on how to use it!
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Cant you just see BDK getting his dental braces hung up on georges
clit ring?

2277. Another attack on the internet by criminal public servants ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 20:45:23 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3vh3$7m3$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.businessinsider.com/protect-ip-fka-coica-2011-5

For once, google might be on the right side, as it would give the
government KILL POWER over them:
http://www.ghacks.net/2011/05/19/google-stands-against-protect-ip/

Regards,
JS

2278. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 20:45:56 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3vi4$7m3$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230205
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On 5/19/2011 1:40 PM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 19, 4:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/19/2011 1:34 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 19, 4:13 pm, george<gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>>>> On May 20, 6:06 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>> On May 19, 12:47 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>> In article<a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
>>>>>> df1d41a84...@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
>>>>>> says...
>>>>>>> On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>>>>>>>> On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> On May 17, 11:46 pm, george<gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
>>>>>>>>>>>> but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>>>>>>>>>>> What one winged aircraft ?
>>>>>>>>>>> You haven't watched that video have you
>>>>>>>>>> He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>>>>>>>>> You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
>>>>>>>>> was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>>>>>>>> Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
>>>>>>>> it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
>>>>>>>> man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>>>>> His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>>>>>>> Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
>>>>>>> his knowlege of the 911 coverup.
>>>>>> He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
>>>>>> wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
>>>>>> --
>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>>> They took DDT off the market and replaced it with radio isotopes to
>>>>> kill the cut worm.But the bird eggs regained their strength!Soo many
>>>>> humans soo little birds!
```

>>>> Where's that bloody decoder ring when you need it- Hide quoted text -
>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>> Check your clit!
>> She has it on, but she forgot she ate the manual on how to use it!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
> Cant you just see BDK getting his dental braces hung up on georges
> clit ring?

They make anal ones?

Regards,
JS

2279. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 20:48:01 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir3vm1$7m3$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230206
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On 5/19/2011 1:45 PM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 1:40 PM, joeturn wrote:
>> Cant you just see BDK getting his dental braces hung up on georges
>> clit ring?
>
> They make anal ones?
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

Them boys just ain't right, they seem a bit too happy, a bit "too gay"
about each other, if you know what I mean!

EWWWWWWWWWWWWWW!

Regards,
JS
```

2280. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 20:48:33 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8260c1b9-5ff2-4a69-8052-56c29fbb32d4@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230207
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 19, 2:06 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 18, 6:16 pm, "Scout"
>
>
>
>
>
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >news:ir1du1$3gp$1@dont-email.me...
>
> > > On 5/18/2011 2:19 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> > >>> The free fall speeds, the molten iron, the controlled demolition which
> > >>> allowed the buildings to fall into their own footprints,
>
> > >>http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj
>
> > >> Tell me another lie there John.
>
> > >> <snip>
>
> > >> If you can't get claims to agree with known facts then you're never going
> > >> to get any creditability.
>
> > > There is nothing but evidence, makes you appear just as you are, a
> > > blithering idiot ...:
>
> > >http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html
>
> > So let's see, you claim they feel in their own footprints, I prove you're
> > wrong, and your response is to change the subject.
>
> > Enough said. You have no honesty, and absolutely no interest in knowing the
> > actual facts that have been established.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> All your photo shows is a leaning top so maybe the next blast
> countered that lean and made the builing straighten up before hitting

> the ground.How can you assume it didnot collapse in its own
> footprints,you must show every frame till the fall has stopped to say
> that. Scot you are very easily caught in your lies and half truths!
>
> So why did the leaning top not pull the whole building that way it
> would have been easily stood back up and dusted off and back in bidnez
> in no time! You are almost as stupid as george!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

MailCalendarDocumentsPhotosReaderWebmoreSites
Groups
YouTube
Images
Videos
Maps
News
Shopping
Translate
Books
Scholar
Finance
Blogs
Realtime
even more »Account Options
joeturn2000@yahoo.comjoeturn2000
joeturn2000@yahoo.com
Create profileAccount settingsPrivacy
Sign out
Groups settings
Groups help

« Groups Home
rec.sport.golf

Discussions
+ new post
About this group
Subscribe to this group
This is a Usenet group - learn more
View this group in the new Google Groups

9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE
ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE
CLAIMS Options
There are currently too many topics in this group that display
first. To make this topic appear first, remove this option from
another topic.
There was an error processing your request. Please try again.
Standard view View as tree
Proportional text Fixed text

Messages 301 - 320 of 320 - Collapse all - Translate all to
Translated (View all originals) - Report discussion as spam
Reporting discussion
Messages reported < Older

The group you are posting to is a Usenet group. Messages posted to
this group will make your email address visible to anyone on the
Internet.
Your reply message has not been sent.
Your post was successful
Cancel

Send Discard

From:
To:
Cc:
Followup To:
Add Cc | Add Followup-to | Edit Subject

Subject:

Validation: For verification purposes please type the characters you
see in the picture below or the numbers you hear by clicking the
accessibility icon.

Send Discard

Scout View profile
More options May 18, 9:49 pm

Newsgroups: alt.politics, talk.politics.misc, talk.politics.guns,
rec.radio.shortwave, rec.sport.golf, alt.conspiracy
From: "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 21:49:30 -0400
Local: Wed, May 18 2011 9:49 pm
Subject: Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside
job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS
PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show
original | Report this message | Find messages by this author

"John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message

news:ir1rrhsai1@dont-email.me...

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> On 5/18/2011 3:16 PM, Scout wrote:
>>> There is nothing but evidence, makes you appear just as you are, a
>>> blithering idiot ...:

>>> http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html

>> So let's see, you claim they feel in their own footprints, I prove you're
>> wrong, and your response is to change the subject.

>> Enough said. You have no honesty, and absolutely no interest in knowing
>> the actual facts that have been established.

> You are a damn fool.

Well expecting you to be able to prove your claims about even a
single
particular has been shown to be pretty foolish. I mean, really,
expecting
you to produce actual proof?

> You want to pick and choose, argue one point, what don't you understand
> about "OVERWHELMING PROOF."

It's real simple. If your proof is overwhelming, then I should be able
to
pick ANY element and it will standup to whatever scrutiny I bring upon
it.
Since it doesn't, then your "overwhelming proof" ceases to be proof
and just
becomes "overwhelming claims".

The fact that it can't, and that you then immediately attempt to
change the
subject, and this isn't the first time you've been corrected on this
assertion means you're not really interested in the truth, but only
in
promoting your conspiracy theory no matter how much it's based on
claims
that are false.

Reply Reply to author Forward Report spam
Reporting spam
Message reported

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before
posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

Scout View profile
More options May 18, 9:50 pm

Newsgroups: alt.politics, talk.politics.misc, talk.politics.guns,
rec.radio.shortwave, rec.sport.golf, alt.conspiracy
From: "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 21:50:50 -0400
Local: Wed, May 18 2011 9:50 pm
Subject: Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside
job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS

PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show original | Report this message | Find messages by this author

"John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message

news:ir1s21sai2@dont-email.me...

> On 5/18/2011 3:16 PM, Scout wrote:
>>> Its' OK.

>>> People can look at the evidence and figure it out for themselves,

>> They sure can which is why people to buy into your line of bullshit empty
>> unsupported and contrary to known fact assertions.

> Yeah, its' bull shit alright, you are just confused as to whose ...

It certainly is, or you could provide irrefutable proof of some specific
element that proves your assertions have merit.

You have tried again and again and everytime your proof isn't even close to
any rational notion of proof but is usually just more unsupported assertions
made by someone else.

Reply Reply to author Forward Report spam
Reporting spam
Message reported

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

Scout View profile
More options May 18, 9:53 pm

Newsgroups: alt.politics, talk.politics.misc, talk.politics.guns, rec.radio.shortwave, rec.sport.golf, alt.conspiracy
From: "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 21:53:49 -0400
Local: Wed, May 18 2011 9:53 pm
Subject: Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show original | Report this message | Find messages by this author

"John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message

news:ir1s6asai3@dont-email.me...

> On 5/18/2011 3:09 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
>> On Wed, 18 May 2011 17:17:35 -0400, "Scout"
>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:

>>> John, you can hardly expect to convince people you know what you're
>>> talking
>>> about, when claims you make are easily and readily disproven.......and
>>> then
>>> you continue to repeat the same lie over and over and over.
>> Facts don't convince True Believers. It is obvious that Obama can't
>> be their president, and reality won't convince them differently.

> The only facts produce evidence proving the thermite, molten iron, free
> fall speeds, collapse into their own footprints

See what I mean?

I just proved to you this claim is wrong, and here you are once again
spouting the same disproven assertions.

Then you wonder why people don't believe in your claims....

Oh, and once again, the proof that shows the falseness of your
assertion.

http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj

Reply Reply to author Forward Report spam
Reporting spam
Message reported

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before
posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

John Smith View profile
More options May 18, 9:59 pm

Newsgroups: alt.politics, talk.politics.misc, talk.politics.guns,
rec.radio.shortwave, rec.sport.golf, alt.conspiracy
From: John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 18:59:06 -0700
Local: Wed, May 18 2011 9:59 pm
Subject: Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside
job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS
PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show
original | Report this message | Find messages by this author
On 5/18/2011 6:49 PM, Scout wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ir1rrhsai1@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/18/2011 3:16 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>> There is nothing but evidence, makes you appear just as you are, a
>>>> blithering idiot ...:

>>>> http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html

>>> So let's see, you claim they feel in their own footprints, I prove
>>> you're wrong, and your response is to change the subject.

>>> Enough said. You have no honesty, and absolutely no interest in
>>> knowing the actual facts that have been established.

>> You are a damn fool.

> Well expecting you to be able to prove your claims about even a single
> particular has been shown to be pretty foolish. I mean, really,
> expecting you to produce actual proof?

>> You want to pick and choose, argue one point, what don't you
>> understand about "OVERWHELMING PROOF."

> It's real simple. If your proof is overwhelming, then I should be able
> to pick ANY element and it will standup to whatever scrutiny I bring
> upon it. Since it doesn't, then your "overwhelming proof" ceases to be
> proof and just becomes "overwhelming claims".

> The fact that it can't, and that you then immediately attempt to
> change the subject, and this isn't the first time you've been
> corrected on this assertion means you're not really interested in the
> truth, but only in promoting your conspiracy theory no matter how much
> it's based on claims that are false.

ROFLOL

Yep, that is what I expected ... nothing ... even less than nothing.

I make no claims ... I listen to experts and evidence, I look at
facts ...

You do personal attacks and have no evidence to offer ... I mean, it
is
like DUH -- you are a moron ...

... but, here you go again ... ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

Reply Reply to author Forward Report spam
Reporting spam
Message reported

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.

Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before
posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

John Smith View profile
More options May 18, 10:00 pm

Newsgroups: alt.politics, talk.politics.misc, talk.politics.guns,
rec.radio.shortwave, rec.sport.golf, alt.conspiracy
From: John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 19:00:26 -0700
Local: Wed, May 18 2011 10:00 pm
Subject: Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside
job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS
PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show
original | Report this message | Find messages by this author
On 5/18/2011 6:50 PM, Scout wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ir1s21sai2@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/18/2011 3:16 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>> Its' OK.

>>>> People can look at the evidence and figure it out for themselves,

>>> They sure can which is why people to buy into your line of bullshit
>>> empty unsupported and contrary to known fact assertions.

>> Yeah, its' bull shit alright, you are just confused as to whose ...

> It certainly is, or you could provide irrefutable proof of some
> specific element that proves your assertions have merit.

> You have tried again and again and everytime your proof isn't even
> close to any rational notion of proof but is usually just more
> unsupported assertions made by someone else.

I get it, you are attempting to drive everyone in the NGs insane with
your insane rants ... OK, carry on, we will see if it works.
Personally, I don't think it has a chance ...

Regards,
JS

Reply Reply to author Forward Report spam
Reporting spam
Message reported

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.

Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before
posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

John Smith View profile
More options May 18, 10:01 pm

Newsgroups: alt.politics, talk.politics.misc, talk.politics.guns,
rec.radio.shortwave, rec.sport.golf, alt.conspiracy
From: John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 19:01:39 -0700
Local: Wed, May 18 2011 10:01 pm
Subject: Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside
job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS
PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show
original | Report this message | Find messages by this author
On 5/18/2011 6:53 PM, Scout wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ir1s6asai3@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/18/2011 3:09 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
>>> On Wed, 18 May 2011 17:17:35 -0400, "Scout"
>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:

>>>> John, you can hardly expect to convince people you know what you're
>>>> talking
>>>> about, when claims you make are easily and readily
>>>> disproven.......and then
>>>> you continue to repeat the same lie over and over and over.
>>> Facts don't convince True Believers. It is obvious that Obama can't
>>> be their president, and reality won't convince them differently.

>> The only facts produce evidence proving the thermite, molten iron,
>> free fall speeds, collapse into their own footprints

> See what I mean?

> I just proved to you this claim is wrong, and here you are once again
> spouting the same disproven assertions.

> Then you wonder why people don't believe in your claims....

> Oh, and once again, the proof that shows the falseness of your assertion.

> http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj

No, just shows a less than perfect controlled demolition, accidents
are
just proof that accidents can happen, get it through your head ...

I get it, you are attempting to drive everyone in the NGs insane with
your insane rants ... OK, carry on, we will see if it works.
Personally, I don't think it has a chance ...

Regards,
JS

Reply Reply to author Forward Report spam
Reporting spam
Message reported

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before
posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

John Smith View profile
More options May 18, 10:14 pm

Newsgroups: alt.politics, talk.politics.misc, talk.politics.guns,
rec.radio.shortwave, rec.sport.golf, alt.conspiracy
From: John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 19:14:17 -0700
Local: Wed, May 18 2011 10:14 pm
Subject: Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside
job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS
PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show
original | Report this message | Find messages by this author
On 5/18/2011 6:53 PM, Scout wrote:

> See what I mean?

> I just proved to you this claim is wrong, and here you are once again
> spouting the same disproven assertions.

> Then you wonder why people don't believe in your claims....

> Oh, and once again, the proof that shows the falseness of your assertion.

> http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj

No need to, it is a false belief based on false conclusions ...

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rIEBLdd6W3Q
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-duOjP-cevk&feature=related
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=moc0KK4zYDk&feature=related

The 9/11 controlled demolitions were done quite well ... actually,
quite
amazing ... under ideal circumstances, things can go wrong. However,
a
successful controlled demolition leaves no doubt in expert minds ...:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rVCDpL4Ax7I

Regards,
JS

Reply Reply to author Forward Report spam
Reporting spam
Message reported

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before
posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

Discussion subject changed to "9/11 was proven physically impossible
to be an inside job. COME..." by John Smith

John Smith View profile
More options May 18, 11:39 pm

Newsgroups: rec.radio.shortwave, alt.conspiracy, rec.sport.golf,
sci.skeptic, alt.fan.rush-limbaugh, alt.rush-limbaugh
From: John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 20:39:48 -0700
Local: Wed, May 18 2011 11:39 pm
Subject: Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside
job. COME...
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show
original | Report this message | Find messages by this author
On 5/18/2011 8:12 PM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:

> 9/11 was an Inside Job.Absolutely Impossible for two Airplanes to cause
> those two 110 storey tall World Trade Center buildings to fall down!
> IMPOSSIBLE!
> cuhulin

Yes, the evidence, experts and proofs are quite conclusive in that
direction, and support you well ...

Regards,
JS

Reply Reply to author Forward Report spam
Reporting spam
Message reported

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before
posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

Discussion subject changed to "9/11 IS AS BIG A JOKE AS OBAMAS'
FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE ..." by Iarnrod

Iarnrod View profile
More options May 19, 12:13 am

Newsgroups: rec.radio.shortwave, alt.conspiracy, sci.skeptic,
rec.sport.golf
From: Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 21:13:34 -0700 (PDT)
Local: Thurs, May 19 2011 12:13 am
Subject: Re: 9/11 IS AS BIG A JOKE AS OBAMAS' FRAUDULENT BIRTH
CERTIFICATE ...
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show
original | Report this message | Find messages by this author
On May 18, 7:50 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> On 5/18/2011 5:19 AM,Iarnrodwrote:

> > On May 18, 2:51 am, Ron<roneal1...@att.net> wrote:
> >> On May 17, 11:55 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:

> >>> On 5/17/2011 5:37 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
> >>>> On May 17, 6:16 am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
> >>>> rage:
> >>>>> This remains undisputed.
> >>>> It remains unsupported.
> >>>>> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
> >>>> Not one supporting fact has been presented.
> >>>>> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
> >>>> That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are not
> >>>> very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
> >>>> disproven 9/11 clalims.
> >>>> For instance, you have yet to NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that
> >>>> is incorrect.
> >>>> You cannot even find a TYPO in it let alone an error of fact.
> >>>> You are exposed as a lying bushkultie.
> >>> ROFLOL
> >>> NIST report has been blown to chit ...
> >>> Regards,
> >>> JS
> >> .
> >> .
> >> JS, I'd be willing to bet you think the Moon Landing in 1969 was a
> >> hoax.
> > He thinks his own birth was a hoax.

> ROFLOL

> I think

All evidence is to the contrary, Johnny KQQK!

BWAHAAAAAHAAAAAAAHAHAAA!!

Reply Reply to author Forward Report spam
Reporting spam
Message reported

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

Iarnrod View profile
More options May 19, 12:15 am

Newsgroups: rec.radio.shortwave, alt.conspiracy, sci.skeptic, rec.sport.golf
From: Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 21:15:26 -0700 (PDT)
Local: Thurs, May 19 2011 12:15 am
Subject: Re: 9/11 IS AS BIG A JOKE AS OBAMAS' FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE ...
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show original | Report this message | Find messages by this author
On May 18, 10:10 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:

> On 5/18/2011 5:19 AM,Iarnrodwrote:

> > ...
> > He thinks his own birth was a hoax.

> Still, you are left with no evidence, proof or experts to back up your
> complaints about the truth getting out ...

You're talking about yourself again, Johnny KQQK,,, the rightard who cannot NAME ONE THING!

<sips Victory Iced Tea>

Reply Reply to author Forward Report spam
Reporting spam
Message reported

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

Iarnrod View profile
More options May 19, 12:16 am

Newsgroups: rec.radio.shortwave, alt.conspiracy, sci.skeptic, rec.sport.golf
From: Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 21:16:34 -0700 (PDT)
Local: Thurs, May 19 2011 12:16 am

Subject: Re: 9/11 IS AS BIG A JOKE AS OBAMAS' FRAUDULENT BIRTH CERTIFICATE ...
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show original | Report this message | Find messages by this author
On May 18, 10:09 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:

> On 5/18/2011 1:51 AM, Ron wrote:

> > ...
> > .
> > JS, I'd be willing to bet you think the Moon Landing in 1969 was a
> > hoax.

> > RO

> Attempt to stay focused, you are not on the moon.

> We have been discussing the fraudulent birth certificate of obama and
> the 9/11 inside job ...

In other words, your fiction.

Reply Reply to author Forward Report spam
Reporting spam
Message reported

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

Discussion subject changed to "Johnny KQQK IS AS BIG A JOKE AS ANY BIRFLOON/TWOOFER SINCE HE CANNOT NAME ONE THING WRONG IN THE NIST REPORT" by Iarnrod

Iarnrod View profile
More options May 19, 12:17 am

Newsgroups: rec.radio.shortwave, alt.conspiracy, rec.sport.golf, sci.skeptic
From: Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 21:17:25 -0700 (PDT)
Local: Thurs, May 19 2011 12:17 am
Subject: Re: Johnny KQQK IS AS BIG A JOKE AS ANY BIRFLOON/TWOOFER SINCE HE CANNOT NAME ONE THING WRONG IN THE NIST REPORT
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show original | Report this message | Find messages by this author
On May 18, 8:03 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> On 5/18/2011 5:19 AM,Iarnrodwrote:

> > On May 17, 10:55 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/17/2011 5:37 PM,Iarnrodwrote:

> >>> On May 17, 6:16 am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
> >>> rage:
> >>>> This remains undisputed.
> >>> It remains unsupported.
> >>>> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
> >>> Not one supporting fact has been presented.
> >>>> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
> >>> That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are not
> >>> very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
> >>> disproven 9/11 clalims.
> >>> For instance, you have yet to NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that
> >>> is incorrect.
> >>> You cannot even find a TYPO in it let alone an error of fact.
> >>> You are exposed as a lying bushkultie.
> >> ROFLOL

> >> NIST report has been blown to chit ...
> > If so, how come you cannot NAME ONE THING IN IT that is incorrect,
> > Johnny KQQK? You keep saying this but you keep FAILING to NAME ONE
> > THING!!

> > TOO FUCKIN' FUNNY how stupid you are!!

> The NIST report has been blown to chit ...

Well, then, Johnny KQQK, it shouldn't be so danged hard for you to
NAME ONE THING, should it. Yet you consistently FAIL. Why is that?

Reply Reply to author Forward Report spam
Reporting spam
Message reported

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before
posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

Discussion subject changed to "9/11 was proven physically impossible
to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY
FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS" by Iarnrod

Iarnrod View profile
More options May 19, 12:20 am

Newsgroups: alt.politics, talk.politics.misc, talk.politics.guns,
rec.sport.golf, alt.conspiracy
From: Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 21:20:48 -0700 (PDT)
Local: Thurs, May 19 2011 12:20 am
Subject: Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside

job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS
PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show
original | Report this message | Find messages by this author
On May 18, 8:06 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> On 5/18/2011 5:24 AM,Iarnrodwrote:

> > On May 17, 6:43 pm, "Uno Hu"<lorad...@cs.com> wrote:
> >>Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> >>news:ce2c0254-6499-4d53-a02f-18c0e4ac561c@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com

> >>> On May 16, 8:21=A0am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
> >>> rage:
> >>>> This remains undisputed.
> >>> It remains unsupported.
> >>>> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
> >>> Not one supporting fact has been presented.
> >>>> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
> >>> That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are
> >> not
> >>> very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous
> >>> disproven 9/11 clalims.
> >> You realy [sic] must be a paid disinfomant. [sic]
> > You really must be a glue-sniffing juvenile kook.

> >> No one othewise, [sic] coud [sic] be so stupid.
> > Oh the fuckin' IRONY of it all.

> > How about this, nutbag: NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that you
> > "think" is incorrect.

> > We'll wait.

> > BWAHAHAAHAHAHAHHAAAA!!!!

> You are waiting too late, the free fall speed,

Lie.

> the thermate

Physically impossible.

> and
> incendiaries in the dust,

Fiction.

> the collapse into their own footprints,

Never happened, not in dispute as photos clearly show.

> the
> molten iron,

There was none, proven FACT you cannot refute.

> the experts,

All support the NIST report.

> the lab data, etc.

All support the NIST report, which you cannot refute.

> Each and every day more and more wake up ...

... and realize you are full of shit.

> and then there is you
> screaming your angry BS ... ROFLOL!!!

Ummm, belly laughing at your serial stupidity, Johnny KQQK. FACT you cannot refute as you cannot even NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that is incorrect. You cannot even find a TYPO.

FACT.

Reply Reply to author Forward Report spam
Reporting spam
Message reported

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

Discussion subject changed to "Oil Subsidies are socialistic" by joeturn

joeturn View profile
More options May 19, 1:29 am

Newsgroups: alt.politics, talk.politics.misc, talk.politics.guns, rec.radio.shortwave, rec.sport.golf
From: joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 22:29:44 -0700 (PDT)
Local: Thurs, May 19 2011 1:29 am
Subject: Re: Oil Subsidies are socialistic
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show original | Remove | Report this message | Find messages by this author
On May 18, 12:52 pm, Howard Brazee <how...@brazee.net> wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> People who talk about how capitalism works can't be happy about oil
> company subsidies. (nor any other kind of subsidies that distort the
> market).

> And people who believe we shouldn't be dependent upon supplies from
> potential enemies can't be happy that we are subsidizing the industry
> that pays them so much.

> But politicians need to remember who's paying them and do what their
> bosses tell them to do.

> --
> "In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
> than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
> to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

> - James Madison

The politicians do remember whose paying their salaries. Their bosses are OPEC and they have to keep the price of oil up to appease their bosses.I can remember when OPEC created the Chernobyl incident to scare the people away from using nuclear fuel and again they directed their wether machine (HAARP) causing the earthquakes in Japan and the tsunami once again created a scare from nuclear fuel so the price of oil could dominate$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$

http://www.spiritoftruth.org/chernobyl.htm

Reply Reply to author Forward

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

joeturn View profile
More options May 19, 1:32 am

Newsgroups: alt.politics, talk.politics.misc, talk.politics.guns, rec.radio.shortwave, rec.sport.golf
From: joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 22:32:08 -0700 (PDT)
Local: Thurs, May 19 2011 1:32 am
Subject: Re: Oil Subsidies are socialistic
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show original | Remove | Report this message | Find messages by this author
On May 18, 1:27 pm, "betweentheeyes"

<betweenthee...@defendingThe2nd.org> wrote:
> "Howard Brazee" wrote in message

> news:g5u7t6h0bf65q8sl84g5o2kj2485f4buvc@4ax.com...

> And you cross posted this to a firearms discussion group
> because?

They need to broaden their horizon and move along to important
matters>

Reply Reply to author Forward

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before
posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

Discussion subject changed to "9/11 was proven physically impossible
to be an inside job -- like obamas' birth certificate, a sham ..." by
joeturn

joeturn View profile
More options May 19, 1:35 am

Newsgroups: alt.politics, talk.politics.misc, talk.politics.guns,
rec.radio.shortwave, rec.sport.golf
From: joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 22:35:29 -0700 (PDT)
Local: Thurs, May 19 2011 1:35 am
Subject: Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job
-- like obamas' birth certificate, a sham ...
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show
original | Remove | Report this message | Find messages by this
author
On May 18, 2:33 pm, bkni...@conramp.net wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> On Wed, 18 May 2011 14:15:12 -0400, "Freedom Man"

> <freespe...@4eva.com> wrote:

> Why would you cross-post a 498 line piece of crap like this to a golf
> group?

> Notice that I removed it in my response...it's easy.

> ___,
> \o
> |
> / \
> .
> “Someone likes every shot”
> bk

The golphers need to wake up and concentrate on the real world
chasing

after Tiger Woods is no life at all.

Reply Reply to author Forward

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

Discussion subject changed to "9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS" by joeturn

joeturn View profile
More options May 19, 1:46 am

Newsgroups: alt.politics, talk.politics.misc, talk.politics.guns, rec.radio.shortwave, rec.sport.golf
From: joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 22:46:57 -0700 (PDT)
Local: Thurs, May 19 2011 1:46 am
Subject: Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show original | Remove | Report this message | Find messages by this author
On May 18, 5:17 pm, "Scout"

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message

> news:ir0jpcctd5@dont-email.me...

> > On 5/18/2011 5:24 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> >> On May 17, 6:43 pm, "Uno Hu"<lorad...@cs.com> wrote:
> >>> Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> >>>news:ce2c0254-6499-4d53-a02f-18c0e4ac561c@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com

> >>>> On May 16, 8:21=A0am, anal-sex lover * Hates US * foamed in impotent
> >>>> rage:
> >>>>> This remains undisputed.
> >>>> It remains unsupported.
> >>>>> Not one contradictory fact has been presented.
> >>>> Not one supporting fact has been presented.
> >>>>> The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.
> >>>> That's right, you bushkultie counter-disinfo shill. You really are
> >>> not
> >>>> very good at earning your filthy Bush money for spreading ridiculous

> >>>> disproven 9/11 clalims.
> >>> You realy [sic] must be a paid disinfomant. [sic]
> >> You really must be a glue-sniffing juvenile kook.

> >>> No one othewise, [sic] coud [sic] be so stupid.
> >> Oh the fuckin' IRONY of it all.

> >> How about this, nutbag: NAME ONE THING in the NIST report that you
> >> "think" is incorrect.

> >> We'll wait.

> >> BWAHAHAAHAHAHAHHAAAA!!!!

> > You are waiting too late, the free fall speed, the thermate and
> > incendiaries in the dust, the collapse into their own footprints,

> Another lie from the "truthers" exposed

> http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj

> John, you can hardly expect to convince people you know what you're talking
> about, when claims you make are easily and readily disproven.......and then
> you continue to repeat the same lie over and over and over.- Hide quoted text -

> - Show quoted text -

Jump on the missile attack at the pentagram Scot there was never an
American Airlines Flight 77 .NIST has declared lots of people dead
that were dead before 911 and are still alive/The hero the stormed
flight 93 was dead 10 day prior to 911 Mark Bingham for instance and
that plane was photograghed in flight in 20903 and is now flying out
friendly skis daily in chicago!

NIST changed its name from Bureau of Stantards after the Flouride
lawsuits started.

Reply Reply to author Forward

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before
posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

joeturn View profile
More options May 19, 1:51 am

Newsgroups: alt.politics, talk.politics.misc, talk.politics.guns,
rec.radio.shortwave, rec.sport.golf
From: joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 22:51:02 -0700 (PDT)
Local: Thurs, May 19 2011 1:51 am
Subject: Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside
job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS

PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show original | Remove | Report this message | Find messages by this author
On May 18, 6:09 pm, Howard Brazee <how...@brazee.net> wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> On Wed, 18 May 2011 17:17:35 -0400, "Scout"

> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >John, you can hardly expect to convince people you know what you're talking
> >about, when claims you make are easily and readily disproven.......and then
> >you continue to repeat the same lie over and over and over.

> Facts don't convince True Believers. It is obvious that Obama can't
> be their president, and reality won't convince them differently.

> --
> "In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
> than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
> to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

> - James Madison

According to pup fiction the Bible Tale that the Jessuits build their catastrophies around.In their book of Revolations they say that Gabriel Guilford will become our next anti-christ

Reply Reply to author Forward

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

joeturn View profile
More options May 19, 2:06 am

Newsgroups: alt.politics, talk.politics.misc, talk.politics.guns, rec.radio.shortwave, rec.sport.golf
From: joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 23:06:09 -0700 (PDT)
Local: Thurs, May 19 2011 2:06 am
Subject: Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show original | Remove | Report this message | Find messages by this author
On May 18, 6:16 pm, "Scout"

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message

> news:ir1du1$3gp$1@dont-email.me...

> > On 5/18/2011 2:19 PM, Scout wrote:

> >>> The free fall speeds, the molten iron, the controlled demolition which
> >>> allowed the buildings to fall into their own footprints,

> >>http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj

> >> Tell me another lie there John.

> >> <snip>

> >> If you can't get claims to agree with known facts then you're never going
> >> to get any creditability.

> > There is nothing but evidence, makes you appear just as you are, a
> > blithering idiot ...:

> >http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html

> So let's see, you claim they feel in their own footprints, I prove you're
> wrong, and your response is to change the subject.

> Enough said. You have no honesty, and absolutely no interest in knowing the
> actual facts that have been established.- Hide quoted text -

> - Show quoted text -

All your photo shows is a leaning top so maybe the next blast
countered that lean and made the builing straighten up before hitting
the ground.How can you assume it didnot collapse in its own
footprints,you must show every frame till the fall has stopped to say
that. Scot you are very easily caught in your lies and half truths!

So why did the leaning top not pull the whole building that way it
would have been easily stood back up and dusted off and back in
bidnez
in no time! You are almost as stupid as george!

Reply Reply to author Forward

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before
posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

Discussion subject changed to "9/11 was proven physically impossible
to be other than an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND IARNROD, WHO *
HATES THE US * DANCE AWAY FROM PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS FROM

NIST"

View profile
More options May 19, 7:57 am

Newsgroups: alt.politics, talk.politics.misc, talk.politics.guns,
rec.sport.golf, alt.conspiracy
Followup-To:
alt.poor.lonely.ugly.old.hag.iarnrod.is.obsessed.with.homosexuality.and.worship.of.neocon.
traitors.bush.and.cheney.how.pathetic.is.that,
alt.idiots
From: * US *
Date: Thu, 19 May 2011 07:57:26 -0400
Local: Thurs, May 19 2011 7:57 am
Subject: Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be other than an
inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND IARNROD, WHO * HATES THE US *
DANCE AWAY FROM PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS FROM NIST
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show
original | Report this message | Find messages by this author
"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-v...

It's a study in treachery.

They're all too weak to compete honestly/fairly.

The Bush crime family of treacherous wimps has
never been on the same side as the USA.

ObL couldn't affect the USA's air defense system.

Cheney did.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent
attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden...

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-v...

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up
Gaping Ass"
<iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie
kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...

>As always.

>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what
it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up
Gaping Ass"

<iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie
kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent
the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner
<stevenkei...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner
<stevenkei...@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves
Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent
bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves
Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in
impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden...

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On
Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded
<iarn...@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or
opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com>
wrote:
>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up
Gaping Ass"
<iarn...@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie
kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton"
<bberesf...@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner
<stevenkei...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser
<mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harb...
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new
Pearl Harbor would
be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same
people were in
positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an
event when that event

actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what
happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one
that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most
unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single
defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it,
indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US
government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the
east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by
escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about
the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received
word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before
Brig. Gen. Richard K. ...

read more »

Reply Reply to author Forward Report spam
Reporting spam
Message reported

You must Sign in before you can post messages.
To post a message you must first join this group.
Please update your nickname on the subscription settings page before posting.
You do not have the permission required to post.

End of messages < Older

« Back to Discussions « Newer topic Older topic »

Create a group - Google Groups - Google Home - Terms of Service -
Privacy Policy
©2011 Google

2281. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 20:52:21 UTC
From: Freedom Man <freespeech@4eva.com>
Message-ID: <ir3vu5ghv1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

NINE-ELEVEN - NEVER AGAIN!

To understand the point I wish to make, let's assume that an obviously alien creature was walking peacefully down the street, and observe peoples' reactions to it.

Some would simply not see it! Their brains would reject as IMPOSSIBLE what their eyes tell them, and block out their awareness of the unearthly creature.

Some might stare, perhaps be shocked or frightened, but then go on, rationalizing that they only saw someone in costume, or that they had indulged too heavily at last night's party and were seeing things. They would tell no one what they saw for fear of being called crazy. They would even, in defense of their own rationalization, label other people that reported such a sighting crazy. This is the psychological phenomenon of DENIAL.

Perhaps in fear for their own sanity, deniers condemn as crazy others that claim aliens or whatever to be real. Such assertions are frightening because they violate their sense of reality - "only KOOKS believe in aliens!" Thus people tend to reject as unreal what is not comfortable for them to accept, and likewise embrace beliefs that do not hold up to scientific scrutiny but do provide them comfort. You don't believe what you DON'T WANT to believe; denial thus serves to protect the mind from what would be mentally traumatic if accepted.

Some things are simply too horrific, too abominably appalling, too greatly in violation of what we WANT to think or believe, to accept as actual reality - even when they ARE real! "Look; the Emperor wears no clothes," said the child too na&#65533;ve to know that such facts are not to be spoken of, nor even acknowledged to oneself.

PSYOPS - definition paraphrased from Wikipedia: Psychological Operations. Techniques used to influence a target audience's value systems, beliefs, emotions, motives, reasoning, or behavior. PSYOPS are used to induce confessions or reinforce attitudes and behaviors favorable to the originator's objectives, and are sometimes combined with black operations or false flag tactics. The phrase is commonly used by governments who wish to avoid the terms propaganda and brainwashing in reference to their own work because those terms have negative connotations. The use of such euphemisms for what is in effect mind control is itself an example of psychological operations, i.e. using psychological techniques to persuade a large number of people to support something they wouldn't normally support or to unquestioningly accept lies.

Obviously, to permit or commit an atrocity on the scale of the 9/11 attacks, and/or to exploit the horror, shock, and fear thus created to influence public opinion and actions, is clearly a PSYOP. The exploitation of the psychological phenomenon of DENIAL is also clearly a PSYOP. Who would want to believe that traitors in our own government would permit, or even perpetrate, such an atrocity on their own people? How much easier it would be to accept the existence of aliens - or fanatical Jihadists!

"But, after all, it is the leaders of the country who determine the policy and it is always a simple matter to drag the people along, whether it is a democracy, or a fascist dictatorship, or a parliament, or a communist dictatorship. Voice or no voice, the people can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked and then denounce the peacemakers for lack of patriotism and exposing the country to danger. It works the same in any country." - Hermann Goering at the Nuremberg Trials.

Now that we understand what PSYOPS are, and the psychological phenomenon of DENIAL, we are in a better position to rationally investigate the true nature and possible sources of the 9/11 attacks.

The UNDENIABLE EVIDENCE of an INSIDE JOB:

BLOWN TO BITS:

The sudden, complete, straight down at near free fall speed collapse of steel framed WTC building 7, which was not touched by the planes, is the SMOKING GUN of the 9/11 conspiracy. The building's minor fires and debris damage could not account for this collapse, which had all the earmarks of controlled demolition by explosives. Videos clearly show this. Such demolitions take many days or weeks to set up - not the few hours between the attacks and the collapse. The explosives therefore had to be put in place BEFOREHAND. This lends credibility to the use of previously placed explosives to bring down the towers as well, which like the badly damaged and fire-gutted Deutchbank building would probably have remained standing.

Propaganda shills, disinformationists, and those in psychological denial still insist the collapse of WTC 7 could not be what it obviously was, and they employ often ludicrous rationalizations and fabrications, elaborate lies, and infantile ad-hominem attacks to defend their indefensible position. The REAL terrorists are desperate to cover up their mass-murderous crime of the century - the permitting if not perpetration of, and subsequent political and economic exploitation of the fully preventable 9/11 disaster. Could Bin Laden have somehow totally incapacitated NORAD - the world's most sophisticated aerospace defense system - on that horrible morning? I don't think so!

There is evidence of an INSIDE JOB even more clear and indisputable than the explosive demolition collapse of building 7 and the standing down of NORAD. Many very small HUMAN BODY FRAGMENTS have been found on the roofs of nearby buildings. These were too far away to be from jumpers from the towers. If the towers simply collapsed from damage and fire alone, what blew these bodies to smithereens and sent the fragments flying for considerable

distances? The plane impacts did not have the explosive brisance (shattering force) necessary to do this - only HIGH EXPLOSIVES can blow bodies to tiny bits and throw them such distances.

So - who can credibly account for these body fragments, other than their being the result of high explosives being detonated in the towers?

The following article proves, using the inviolate laws of physics, the falsity of the government's propaganda explanation for the building collapses:

SIMPLE PHYSICS EXPOSES THE BIG 9/11 LIE - GOVERNMENT BUILDING COLLAPSE EXPLANATION FAILS REALITY CHECK

On September 11, 2001, the world watched in horror as the World TradeCenter (WTC) Twin Towers collapsed, killing thousands of innocent people. Videos of the collapses were replayed ad nauseam on TV for days. About 5 hours after the towers fell, WTC building 7 also collapsed suddenly, completely, and straight down at near free-fall speed. This steel-framed building was not touched by the planes that struck the towers, and had sustained relatively minor debris damage and small fires. Nearby buildings far more heavily damaged remained standing.

In June 2005, in an apparent response to an article by Morgan Reynolds, former CIA Director and current Secretary of Defense Robert Gates stated, "The American people know what they saw with their own eyes on September11, 2001. To suggest any kind of government conspiracy in the events of that day goes beyond the pale."

We will prove here, with scientific rigor, that it's the government's tale that's "beyond the pale!"

Did most of the American people really understand the unprecedented phenomena they had witnessed? Could a lack of knowledge of physics, and the emotional shock of this mass-murderous "terrorist attack" have stymied objective thinking and led to the blind acceptance of authoritarian assertions?

The government and the media TOLD US what we saw. The government told us that we had witnessed a "gravitational" collapse; what is now referred to as a "pancake collapse". According to the government claims, the plane crashes and subsequent kerosene (like lamp oil - jet fuel is NOT exotic) fires heated the UL-certified structural steel to the point where it was significantly weakened, which is very difficult to believe, never mind repeat in an experiment. Even with massive fires that incinerate everything else, the steel frames of such buildings generally remain standing.

According to the "pancake theory", this purported (all physical evidence was quickly and illegally destroyed) weakening supposedly caused part of the tower to collapse downward onto the rest of the tower, which, we've been repeatedly told, somehow resulted in a chain reaction of the lower floors sequentially, one at a time, yielding to the weight falling from above.

There are some problems with that theory - it does not fit the observed facts

* It cannot account for the total failure of the immense vertical steelcore columns - as if they were there one moment and gone the next.

* The collapse times were near free-fall, far too rapid to be due to gravity alone. This article focuses on the latter of these two discrepancies.

Those that concocted the "pancake theory" made a fatal error - they didn't check their story against the inviolate laws of physics! This is easy to do, even without any physical evidence to examine. We can test that incredible pancake tale using basic high-school physics. Let's do that - use a simple, unassailable, incontrovertible conservation-of-energy analysis to perform a reality check that establishes once and for all that the government, and such government story backers as PBS, Popular Mechanics, and Scientific American have falsified the true nature of the 9/11 disaster.

How Gravity Acts:

Sir Isaac Newton noticed that apples fell from trees. Others had also noticed this, but none had ever devised a theory of gravity from the observation. Over the years, mankind has learned that the force ofgravity at and near Earth's surface produces an acceleration of known constant magnitude. That doesn't mean we know HOW it works, or WHY, but we have become able to predict its effects with a high degree of precision and certainty - gravity has always had the same, predictable, effect.

Galileo Galilei used the leaning tower of Pisa to demonstrate that a large ball and a small one (of lesser mass) fell (accelerated downward) at the same rate. Prior to Galileo, people had just assumed that heavier objects fall faster, much the way they had assumed the Earth was flat.

So while an object of greater mass will exert more force (its weight) upon anything supporting it against gravity's pull, it does not experience any greater acceleration when gravity's pull is not opposed - when it is falling. Earth's gravity at and near the surface of the planet can only accelerate objects downward at one known, constant rate: 32 feet persecond for each second of free fall. As Galileo demonstrated centuries ago, heavier objects are not accelerated any quicker than are lighter objects.

So Earth's gravity produces a downward acceleration of 32 feet per second per second. This means that an object, after falling one second, will be falling at a speed of 32 ft/sec. After the 2nd second, it will be falling at 64 ft/sec. After the 3rd second, it will be falling at 96 ft/sec., and so on.

Further, since gravity's acceleration is constant, and an object isfalling at 32 ft/sec after one second has elapsed, we know that it has averaged 16 ft/sec for the entire distance. Thus after one second, the object has fallen 16 feet.

Scientists have derived simple free-fall equations that can be used to harness this knowledge mathematically. These equations can be found inany

high-school physics book

* Falling velocity = acceleration of gravity x time. (V = G x T)
And
* Distance fallen = 1/2 x acceleration of gravity x time squared. (D =1/2 x G x T x T)

So if we want to know how far an object has free-fallen after 3 seconds

Distance = 1/2 x 32 x 9 = 144 feet

So after 3 seconds in Earth's gravity, an object will have fallen 144 feet and will be falling at 96 ft/sec.

Checking Our Work:

We've just solved a simple physics problem. Now let's check our work,using conservation of energy.

We know that energy can neither be created nor destroyed - it merely changes form. If we take the potential (in this case chemical, molecular) energyin a barrel of oil and burn it, it changes to heat energy. When we burn gasoline in our car's engine, we get kinetic (motional) energy, plus some heat, as an engine is not 100% efficient. When we use our car's brakes to bleed off some of that kinetic energy (slow down), that energy isconverted into heat (the brakes get hot). Explosives convert potential energy [molecular or atomic] to kinetic energy (explosive force) quickly enough to shatter or even pulverize concrete.

In the case of the free-falling object, the two kinds of energy we are concerned with are kinetic energy and potential energy. Examples of potential (gravitational) energy are the energy available from water stored up high in a water tower, or a boulder perched atop a hill. If whateveris holding it up there is removed, it will fall under the influence of gravity's pull. As it accelerates downward, the potential energy is converted to the kinetic energy of the object's motion.

So, as an object falls, it changes its potential energy into kinetic energy.

The equation for potential energy is
* Potential Energy = Mass (or weight) x Gravity x Height. (PE = M x G xH)

The equation for kinetic energy is
* Kinetic Energy = 1/2 x Mass x Velocity squared. (KE = 1/2 x M x V x V)

So let's just say, for the sake of simplicity, that our falling object hasa mass of 1. (Remember, the object's mass will affect its energy, and its momentum, but not its rate of free-fall.)

The potential energy given up by falling 3 seconds (144 ft) is: 1 x 32x144 = 4608

The kinetic energy gained after falling 3 seconds is 1/2 x 1 x 96 squared =1/2 x 9216 = 4608

So, the available potential energy was converted into kinetic energy.Seeing that energy was, in fact, conserved is how we know that the answer in the simple case above was correct. We've checked our work, using an independent analysis, based upon the sound physical principle of conservation of energy. Now, and only now, we can be certain that our answer was correct.

One Little Complication - the effect of air resistance

The free-fall equations above reflect a perfect, frictionless world. They perfectly predict the behavior of falling bodies in a vacuum. In fact, you may have seen a science class demonstration in which the air is pumped out of a tube and then a feather will fall, in that vacuum, just as fast as will a solid metal ball.

That's how parachutes work: much of the falling object's potential energy gets expended doing the work of pushing a lot of air out of the way inorder for the object to fall. As a result, not all of the gravitational potential energy can go towards accelerating the object downward at gravity's rate of 32 ft/sec/sec.

In other words, only when there is zero frictional resistance can any falling object's potential energy be completely converted into kinetic energy. Anything that resists a falling object's downward velocity reduces its acceleration from the maximum gravitational acceleration of 32 feet per second per second, as some of gravity's potential energy is consumed in overcoming frictional resistance.

This explains the phenomena of "terminal velocity". The free-fall equations predict that a falling object's velocity will continue to increase without limit. But in air, once a falling object reaches a certain speed, its propensity to fall will be matched by the air's resistance to the fall.At that point the object will continue to fall, but its speed will no longer increase over time. Another way of looking at it is this: gravity's incessant force produces a downward acceleration, but friction with theair creates an upward force and thus an upward acceleration. When falling at terminal velocity, the acceleration downward equals the accelerationupward, they cancel each other out, and a constant downward velocity ismaintained.

Thus the parachute, with its high air friction resistance, allows theperson attached to it to float to earth unharmed.

A Quick Recap:

Earth's gravity causes objects to fall, and they fall according to precise physical equations. The equations assume no air or other resistance. Any resistance at all will cause the object to fall less rapidly than it would without that resistance. If a falling object is affected by airresistance it falls slower than it would if free-falling, and it will take longer to fall a given distance.

Free-fall From WTC Building Heights

The towers were 1350 and 1360 feet tall; average = 1355 feet. Let's start by using our free-fall equation to see how long it should take an objectto

free-fall from the towers' height.

Distance = 1/2 x Gravity x Time squared. (D = 1/2 x G x T x T)

With a little basic algebra, we solve the equation for the fall time,T:

2 x Distance = Gravity x Time(squared) (2 x D = G x T x T)
Time squared = (2 x Distance) / Gravity (T x T = 2 x D / G)
Time squared = 2 x 1355 / 32 = 84.7 (T = square root of (2 x D / G))
Time = 9.2

So our equation tells us that it takes 9.2 seconds to free-fall to the ground from the height of the WTC towers.

Using our simpler equation, V = G x T, we can see that at 9.2 seconds, the free-falling object's velocity must be about 295 ft/sec, which is justover 200 mph.

But that can only occur IN A VACUUM.

Since the WTC was in Earth's atmosphere at sea level, you might be able to imagine how much air resistance that represents. Think about putting your arm out the window of a car moving even half that fast! Most free-falling objects reach their terminal velocity long before they reach 200 mph. For example, the terminal velocity of a free-falling human body is around 120 mph. The terminal velocity of a free-falling cat is around 60 mph.

Therefore, it is clear that air resistance alone will make it take longer than 9.2 seconds for anything falling from the towers' height to reach the ground.

Observations from 9/11:

On page 305 of the 9/11 Commission Report, we are told, in the government's "complete and final report" on 9/11, that the South Tower collapsed in 10 seconds. Here is the exact quote

"At 9:58:59, the South Tower collapsed in ten seconds". That's the government's official number. With all the videos that show it, they could not lie about this.

But as we've determined above, the FREE-FALL TIME IN A VACUUM is 9.2 seconds, and 10 seconds is an exceptionally short fall time through the air.

This "collapse" was not without far more physical resistance than from the air alone. It proceeded through all the lower stories of the tower. Those undamaged floors below the plane impact zone offered resistance thousands of times greater than that of air. Those lower stories, and the central steel core columns, had successfully supported the mass of the tower for 30 years despite hurricane-force winds and tremors. Air cannot do that.

Can anyone possibly imagine undamaged lower floors getting out of the way of the upper floors as gracefully and relatively without friction as air would? Can anyone possibly imagine the lower stories slowing the fall of the upper floors less than would, say, a parachute?

It is beyond the scope of the simple but uncontested physics here to tell you how long such a collapse should have taken. Would it have taken a minute? Ten minutes? Hard to say, but certainly it would take far more than 10 seconds!

What is certain, beyond any shadow of a doubt, is that the towers could not have collapsed gravitationally, through their intact lower stories, as rapidly as was observed on 9/11. Not even close. This is shown above to be physically impossible!

Not only was tremendous energy expended in causing the observed massive high-speed sideways debris ejections, but virtually all the concrete and glass of the tower was pulverized - actually dissociated is a better word. Never mind what happened to all the supporting steel core columns! The energy requirements to do anything like that, alone, rival the total amount of potential energy that the entire tower had to give. Gravity alone is sufficient to cause some things to fall that far, even through air, in close to the observed 10 second collapse time. But that is without the huge expenditure of energy necessary to pulverize all of that concrete and glass, eject debris, plus cause the steel core columns to effectively disappear. The gravitational potential energy present was certainly not enough to have done all these things at once.

Energy can neither be created nor destroyed; it only changes form. So WHERE DID ALL THAT ADDITIONAL DESTRUCTIVE ENERGY COME FROM?

Conclusions

In order for the towers to have collapsed "gravitationally" in the observed duration, as we've been told over and over again, one or more of the following zany-sounding conditions must have been met

* The undamaged structure below the impact zone offered zero resistance to the collapse.
* The glass and concrete spontaneously disintegrated without any expenditure of energy.
* The massive vertical steel core columns simply vanished, as if by magic.
* On 9/11 alone, in that location alone, gravity was much stronger than gravity.
* On 9/11 alone, in that location alone, energy was not conserved.

None of these laws-of-physics-violating, and thus impossible, conditions can be accounted for by the official government theory of 9/11, nor by any of the subsequent analyses and arguments designed to prop up this official myth of 9/11.

The Bottom Line

The government explanations for the WTC collapses fail the most basic conservation-of-energy reality check. Therefore the government theory is FALSE; it does not fit the observed facts, and the notion of a "pancake collapse" cannot account for what happened. The "pancake collapse" explanation is impossible, and thus absurd. It is A LIE.

It is utterly impossible for a gravitational collapse to proceed so destructively through a path of such great resistance in anywhere near free-fall time. This fact debunks the preposterous contention that theWTC collapses can be blamed solely upon damage resulting from the plane impacts.

The unnaturally short durations of the top-down collapses reveal that the towers did not disintegrate because they were coming down, but rather they came down because something else was causing them to disintegrate.

So, to the extent that people accept the ridiculous "pancake collapse" story, former CIA Director and current Secretary of Defense Gates' other premise, that people know what they saw, is also false. It is left to you to decide if his conclusion, which was based upon clearly incorrect presumptions, is also flawed.

The collapse of WTC building 7, which was NOT hit by any plane, and which also collapsed within a second of free-fall time later that same day, similarly fails the conservation-of-energy analysis. The 9/11 Commission made no attempt to explain it.

Just how and why so many highly-accredited and credentialed people all so miserably failed to check the "pancake collapse" theory, by giving it this basic physics reality check, is beyond the scope of this analysis.

FURTHER IRREFUTABLE PROOF BY PHYSICS OF THE 9/11 INSIDE JOB

http://vehme.blogspot.com/2007/12/glaring-proof-of-something-hotter-than.html
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Planck's_law_of_black_body_radiation Also see:
http://www.911truth.org/article.php?story=20041221155307646

SO - WHO DONE IT??

Any good detective will tell you that the way to find criminals is to investigate those that benefitted, or stood to benefit, from the crime. Surely those that were quickly blamed for this mass-murderous crime of the century - Bin Laden, etc., had evil intentions against America, but could not have done it single-handedly. Just after 9/11 Iraq and Saddam Hussein were implied as guilty in a PSYOP to justify the invasion of Iraq, when in fact they were blameless for 9/11.

Here are some that, under the criteria of the good detective above, must be considered suspects:

Bush, Cheney, and their fellow Republican/neo-conservatives then in power.
Then NYC mayor Rudy Giuliani.
WTC owner/leaseholder Larry Silverstein.
The theocratic nation of Israel.

DEMAND that congress reopen the investigation and bring the real MASS-MURDERERS to justice!

NINE ELEVEN - NEVER AGAIN!

2282. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 21:07:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD5869E-6133@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230209

And J. F. Kennedy didn't really die, he was found living on one of those little Greek Islands.Hehe,,,,,
cuhulin

2283. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 21:11:03 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6q1bt6dhs8ucv4hedduuesahjblav41p98@4ax.com>
Source record: 230210
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 19 May 2011 12:45:01 -0400, BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:

>In article <shh9t6926e0ab6pjdq463rvsam6ar38l97@4ax.com>,
>gov.shill@gmail.com says...
>>
>> On Wed, 18 May 2011 19:32:36 -0700 (PDT), joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >On May 18, 5:18ï¿½pm, Government Shill #2 <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> On Wed, 18 May 2011 14:34:18 -0400, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>> >> >In article <0c0f2be9-15b0-4e96-8f95-eedbd8d19676@
>> >> >34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>> >>
>> >> >> On May 18, 7:29ï¿½am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>> >> >> > On May 17, 5:06ï¿½pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> > > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
>> >> >> > > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > On May 13, 9:14ï¿½pm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
>> >> >> > > > joeturn wrote:
>> >> >> > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
>> >> >> > > > > >> On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>> >> >> > > > > >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >>> On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
>> >> >> > > > > >>>> On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
>> >> >> > > > > >>>> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >>>>> On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >>>>>> ...
>> >> >> > > > > >>>>>> So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
>> >> >> > > > > >>>>>> no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
>> >> >> > > > > >>>>>> projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
>> >> >> > > > > >>>>>> to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
>> >> >> > > > > >>>>>> astounding.
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >>>>>> When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
>> >> >> > > > > >>>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
>> >> >> > > > > >>>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
>> >> >> > > > > >>>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the

>> >> >> > > > > >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
>> >> >> > > > > >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
>> >> >> > > > > >>>>> ...
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
>> >> >> > > > > >>>> actual evidence.
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >>> Wild speculation?
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
>> >> >> > > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
>> >> >> > > > > >>> use?
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
>> >> >> > > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
>> >> >> > > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
>> >> >> > > > > >> evidence?
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
>> >> >> > > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
>> >> >> > > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
>> >> >> > > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
>> >> >> > > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
>> >> >> > > > > >> vanishes?
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
>> >> >> > > > > >>> based in reality!
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
>> >> >> > > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > and on nobody else's?
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
>> >> >> > > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
>> >>

>> >> >> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>> >>
>> >> >> > > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
>> >> >> > > > go.
>> >>
>> >> >> > > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
>> >>
>> >> >> > > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
>> >>
>> >> >> > > --
>> >> >> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>> >>
>> >> >> > For One and All,
>> >>
>> >> >> > Ever seen the Heat Waves on the Surface of a Black-Top
>> >> >> > Roadway and the Visual Distortion they Cause ?
>> >>
>> >> >> > Ever seen the Visual Distortion caused by Non-Visual
>> >> >> > Column of Air Pollution {Smoke / Air Particulates } in
>> >> >> > the Air between You and an Object ?
>> >>
>> >> >> > now you see it and now you don't ~ RHF
>> >> >> > -yes-it-is-that-simple-
>> >> >> > ï¿½.- Hide quoted text -
>> >>
>> >> >> > - Show quoted text -
>> >>
>> >> >> I'm sure they coated the lens with vicks salve to oliminate heat wave
>> >> >> as you can see the building did not fade in and out,just the wings,try
>> >> >> that next time you go to target practice but just wipe it in your eye
>> >> >> to keep it from seeming out of focus!
>> >>
>> >> >WTF are you babbling about?
>> >>
>> >> He's taken Babbleloonian to the next level by inventing words that *almost* look
>> >> like English ones, but aren't quite.
>> >>
>> >> eg. "oliminate" = eliminate perhaps?
>> >>
>> >> "vicks salve"??? Hmmm... maybe Vicks VapoRub?
>> >>
>> >> ~BG must be so proud.
>> >>
>> >> Shill #2
>> >> --
>> >> "Me fail English? That's unpossible!"
>> >> Ralph Wiggum- Hide quoted text -
>> >>
>> >> - Show quoted text -
>> >

>> >You have exceeded my expectations of you again you have grammarized my
>> >post wand still managed to appear the village idiot!I will cast my
>> >vote for you to become valordictorian of google tech!
>>
>> Bwahahahaahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!
>>
>> "...grammarized my post wand still managed..."
>>
>> Bwahahahahahahahahahaha!
>>
>> "...valordictorian..."
>>
>> Bwahahahahahaha!
>>
>> Stop! STOP!
>>
>> You're making my sides ache!
>>
>> Shill #2
>
>And I though Seon made up words.

Seon is a Rhodes Scholar next to WrongTurnJoe.

Shill #2
--
"Me fail English? That's unpossible!"
Ralph Wiggum

2284. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 21:59:01 UTC
From: Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dd592a1$0$31277$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 230211
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/19/2011 11:01 AM, Dave LaRue wrote:
>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/19/2011 10:37 AM, Peter Franks wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> I can understand how you feel when you misunderstand the concepts.
>>>> I'm sorry for that misunderstand, but once you do understand the
>>>> concept, it all makes sense AND it helps the economy.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I think EVERYONE would be in agreement!
>>
>>
>> I for one agree that yer a loony retard.
>
>
> Although I think it is much closer to 50%, I am in basic agreement with
> most of this

I knew you would easily agree... but I think the number is closer to 98%
who know for a fact that yer a loony retard.

2285. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 22:45:16 UTC
From: Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dd59d78$0$9091$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 230212
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Yoorghis@Jurgis.net wrote:
> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>complexities ...
>
>
> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to their
> profit

Actually, John is kinda correct for the first time in his life!
Just make a smart sales tax... not just a stupid flat tax.

Even RICH people have to spend their money on something stupid... and
they DO!
They WANT to...they need to!

Rolex's, Rolls Royces, for instance. They are luxury IMPORTS! Tax the
snot out of those like items!

But do NOT tax the "rich" just because they are wealthy.
Tax the POOR as well, when those morons buy silly crap, instead of a
decent education.

> Never works

Never been tried, you retard.

>
> You people never learn

Well, in your case, that is true.

2286. Re: EE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 22:47:42 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <25e18a8b-019a-4459-916d-765b0be057b9@e17g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230213

On May 19, 9:13 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 19, 4:25 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC
> > on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> > S-Meter : S6~S9 and SIO 434 with good audio
>
> > Heard Male and Female Voices in Spanish @ 0520 UTC
> > and Female Voice repeat the "REE" ID three times
> > @ 0528 UTC + Plus 0530 UTC BOH 'Code' "...._"
> > .
> > *Prior* Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info
> > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/a48...
> > .
> > Radio Exterior de Espana
(REE)http://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/...
> > .
> > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .
> > On May 17, 5:18 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550 UTC
> > > on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> > > S-Meter : S7~S9 and SIO 444 with good audio
>

> > > Heard Male Voice in Spanish @ 0550 UTC
> > > and Female Voice "REE" ID @ 0555 UTC
> > > .
> > > Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) via Costa
Ricahttp://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/
> > > .
> > > Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) Frequencies &
Timeshttp://www.rtve.es/contenidos/documentos/frecuencias032011.pdf
> > > .
> > > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > > 3350 kHz : 0200-0600 UTC
> > > E : Radio Exterior España : S : CAm : /CTR
> > > Spain REE in Spanish to Central America via Costa Rica
> > > .
> > > -other- Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) -reports-
> > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:27
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b36b8d3ae9db114e
> > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:50
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9a0e75b3965be553
> > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 17850 kHz @ 21:05 UTC
{Soccer}http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dace9126350a80f3
> > > -note- REE Shortwave Transmitter Site is near
> > > Cariari de Pococi, Costa Rica, Central America
> > > .
> > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> > > .
> > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > .
> > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > .
> > > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Thanks. It would be cool if either REE or his relay site QSLed - the
> way things are going, neither one will be around much longer - and
> it's been a LONG time since we've heard Faro del Caribe :-(

IIRC -wrt- Faro del Caribe
Gene Scott is 'there' 24/7
.

2287. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 22:59:10 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir47c4vas1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230214
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir1tjrqtt2@dont-email.me...
> On 5/18/2011 6:50 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:ir1s21sai2@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/18/2011 3:16 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>> Its' OK.
>>>>>
>>>>> People can look at the evidence and figure it out for themselves,
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> They sure can which is why people to buy into your line of bullshit
>>>> empty unsupported and contrary to known fact assertions.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Yeah, its' bull shit alright, you are just confused as to whose ...
>>
>> It certainly is, or you could provide irrefutable proof of some specific
>> element that proves your assertions have merit.
>>
>> You have tried again and again and everytime your proof isn't even close
>> to any rational notion of proof but is usually just more unsupported
>> assertions made by someone else.
>>
>>
>
> I get it, you are attempting to drive everyone in the NGs insane with your
> insane rants ... OK, carry on, we will see if it works. Personally, I
> don't think it has a chance ...

If by insane you mean, "Calling you to be able to prove anything about your
claims", then I suppose I am.

Though I have to say if you consider it an act of insanity to require proof
from you, then clearly proof of your claims is something you simply don't
have.

2288. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 23:00:49 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir47f7ep1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230215
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir1tm4qtt3@dont-email.me...
> On 5/18/2011 6:53 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:ir1s6asai3@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/18/2011 3:09 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
>>>> On Wed, 18 May 2011 17:17:35 -0400, "Scout"
>>>> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> John, you can hardly expect to convince people you know what you're
>>>>> talking
>>>>> about, when claims you make are easily and readily disproven.......and
>>>>> then
>>>>> you continue to repeat the same lie over and over and over.
>>>> Facts don't convince True Believers. It is obvious that Obama can't
>>>> be their president, and reality won't convince them differently.
>>>
>>> The only facts produce evidence proving the thermite, molten iron, free
>>> fall speeds, collapse into their own footprints
>>
>> See what I mean?
>>
>> I just proved to you this claim is wrong, and here you are once again
>> spouting the same disproven assertions.
>>
>> Then you wonder why people don't believe in your claims....
>>
>> Oh, and once again, the proof that shows the falseness of your assertion.
>>
>> http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj
>>
>>
>>
>
> No, just shows a less than perfect controlled demolition

It shows your claim was FALSE, utterly false, yet you repeat the same
assertion.

However, so far we can't have it fall down, and it can't fall sideways as those are the direction of "controlled demolition", so which way is "natural"?

Up?

2289. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 23:02:07 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir47hlqo1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230216
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir1udqqtt4@dont-email.me...
> On 5/18/2011 6:53 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>> See what I mean?
>>
>> I just proved to you this claim is wrong, and here you are once again
>> spouting the same disproven assertions.
>>
>> Then you wonder why people don't believe in your claims....
>>
>> Oh, and once again, the proof that shows the falseness of your assertion.
>>
>> http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj
>
> No need to, it is a false belief based on false conclusions ...

We know as the photographic evidence shows, It did NOT fall in it's own
footprint, yet you continue to assert that it did, even when you know that
it didn't.

So much any faith that you follow the facts.

2290. Re: EE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 23:04:33 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <555059a6-751d-4c07-82f9-a2c15d630ccc@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230217

On May 19, 3:47 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 19, 9:13 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 19, 4:25 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC
> > > on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> > > S-Meter : S6~S9 and SIO 434 with good audio
>
> > > Heard Male and Female Voices in Spanish @ 0520 UTC
> > > and Female Voice repeat the "REE" ID three times
> > > @ 0528 UTC + Plus 0530 UTC BOH 'Code' "...._"
> > > .
> > > *Prior* Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info
> > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/a48...
> > > .
> > > Radio Exterior de Espana
(REE)http://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/...
> > > .
> > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> > > .
> > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > .
> > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > .
> > > .
> > > On May 17, 5:18 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550 UTC
> > > > on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> > > > S-Meter : S7~S9 and SIO 444 with good audio
>
> > > > Heard Male Voice in Spanish @ 0550 UTC
> > > > and Female Voice "REE" ID @ 0555 UTC

> > > > .
> > > > Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) via Costa
Ricahttp://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/
> > > > .
> > > > Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) Frequencies &
Timeshttp://www.rtve.es/contenidos/documentos/frecuencias032011.pdf
> > > > .
> > > > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > > > 3350 kHz : 0200-0600 UTC
> > > > E : Radio Exterior España : S : CAm : /CTR
> > > > Spain REE in Spanish to Central America via Costa Rica
> > > > .
> > > > -other- Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) -reports-
> > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:27
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b36b8d3ae9db114e
> > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:50
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9a0e75b3965be553
> > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 17850 kHz @ 21:05 UTC
{Soccer}http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dace9126350a80f3
> > > > -note- REE Shortwave Transmitter Site is near
> > > > Cariari de Pococi, Costa Rica, Central America
> > > > .
> > > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> > > > .
> > > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > > .
> > > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > > .
> > > > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > Thanks. It would be cool if either REE or his relay site QSLed - the
> > way things are going, neither one will be around much longer - and
> > it's been a LONG time since we've heard Faro del Caribe :-(
>
> IIRC -wrt- Faro del Caribe
> Gene Scott is 'there' 24/7

5054.6 kHz?

2291. Re: Another attack on the internet by criminal public servants ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 23:08:43 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f5ee4810-1855-45e3-a4c2-8c84e3d3dd91@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230218

On May 19, 1:45 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> http://www.businessinsider.com/protect-ip-fka-coica-2011-5
>
> For once, google might be on the right side, as it would give the
> government KILL POWER over
them:http://www.ghacks.net/2011/05/19/google-stands-against-protect-ip/
>
> Regards,
> JS

A very stupid idea. This one also apears to have small bipartisan
sponsorship...from the Senate website:

"Mr. LEAHY (for himself, Mr. HATCH, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. SCHUMER, Mrs.
FEINSTEIN, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. GRAHAM, Mr. KOHL, Mr. COONS,
and Mr. BLUMENTHAL) introduced the following bill..."

This one deserves to collapse.

2292. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming -the-answer- The Flat-Tax+Plus2©

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 23:11:50 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <82a6b2d2-fc6f-4d25-887b-28dad2a97400@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 19, 2:58 pm, Yoorg...@Jurgis.net wrote:
> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
> >complexities ...
>
- That way huge companies will forever pay
- less money compared to their profit

The Flat-Tax+Plus2©
{Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board}

1st - Flat Sales Tax of XX% {17%}
-result- 90% of the People Pay NO Income Taxes
and NO Corporate Taxes : Simply a "Pay As You Go"
Consumer Sales Tax

2nd - Flat Corporate Profits* Tax of XX% {17%}
* On Corporate Profits Above $250K
-result- Ensures Corporations Pay Their Fair
{Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society

3rd - Flat Income* Tax of XX% {17%}
* On Individual {Single} Incomes Above $250K
-result- Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their
Fair {Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society

yes - it is that simple ~ RHF
-fair-is-fair-&-equal-is-equal-
.
- Never works

If You Don't Try It !
{It Will Never Work}
.
- You people never learn

Yeah - If We Don't Try An Think For Ourselves
-versus- You Telling Us What To Think.
{Freedom Beings With Allowing People To Think
For Themselves : Freeing-Up Their Minds :o}
.
.
Doing-the-Math : Who Can Pay & Who Should Pay

A Gross Excess Income [Flat] Tax &
Who Should Simply Pay-As-They-Go
Via A National Sales [Flat] Tax
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8a40826bb31ec5a9
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/a39dab4256ca7f1c
.
It Is Better To Be Flat Taxed Plus :
Than Flat Broke Period ! {Tax Equality}
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/92d80ae81ce3307d
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4a5a66d06fc386ee
.
Ensuring 'Low-Income Working Taxpayers Pay Nothing
and Get Money Back :o) -wrt- The "EITC" the USA
Has In-Fact a De-Facto Negative Income Tax !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3e6e5c3a4919b3fb
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3fa31b72614cbcbf
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/341b578f31bc9e41
.
Why We Need an Alternative Minimum Tax for G.E.
= 'GE' A "Bad" Corporate Citizen !
-g.-e.-a-corporate-friend-of-obama-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c1a6dcc43a6a1d73
.
Ensuring 'The Rich' Have True Tax Equality
-by-a- Flat Tax PLUS National Tax System
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/09f108a002d90e1c
.
Taxed-Into-Poverty-R-Us : 2011 The 1st Year
of Obama-Tax-Slavery©
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f474632d74cc3373
.
The Pending 2011 Obama+Pelosi+Reid Income Tax
Increase the Largest Tax Increase in the History
of the USA !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9ac8b68e4e5d538a
.
Over-Taxed-R-Us : Most Voters Want Fair
and Equitable Taxes and Less Government
Spending and Debt
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3ed13078238d3f69
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/581688d9ba14b85b
.
.

2293. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 23:18:51 UTC
From: Bert Hyman <bert@iphouse.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEABA4D8CF46VeebleFetzer@216.250.188.140>
Source record: 230220
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

In news:hi4bt6th5cs94bhlke3h103amgqo8rbb65@4ax.com Yoorghis@Jurgis.net
wrote:

> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>complexities ...
>
> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to their
> profit

Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the legitimate
functions of government, or in taking money from people you don't like?

--
Bert Hyman St. Paul, MN bert@iphouse.com

2294. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 23:24:39 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DD5A6B7-1982@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230221

If everybody in America Refused to pay taxes for one day, Think about what that would mean.A Day, a Week, a Month,,,,,
cuhulin

2295. Re: EE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 23:41:46 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DD5AABA.3DA7A7C4@milestones.com>
Source record: 230222

bpnjensen wrote:

> On May 19, 3:47 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > On May 19, 9:13 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 19, 4:25 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >
> > > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC
> > > > on 19 Mayo 2011
> >
> > > > S-Meter : S6~S9 and SIO 434 with good audio
> >
> > > > Heard Male and Female Voices in Spanish @ 0520 UTC
> > > > and Female Voice repeat the "REE" ID three times
> > > > @ 0528 UTC + Plus 0530 UTC BOH 'Code' "...._"
> > > > .
> > > > *Prior* Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info
> > > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/a48...
> > > > .
> > > > Radio Exterior de Espana
(REE)http://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/...
> > > > .
> > > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> > > > .
> > > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > > .
> > > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > > .
> > > > .
> > > > On May 17, 5:18 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >
> > > > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550 UTC
> > > > > on 15 Mayo 2011
> >
> > > > > S-Meter : S7~S9 and SIO 444 with good audio
> >

> > > > > Heard Male Voice in Spanish @ 0550 UTC
> > > > > and Female Voice "REE" ID @ 0555 UTC
> > > > > .
> > > > > Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) via Costa
Ricahttp://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/
> > > > > .
> > > > > Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) Frequencies &
Timeshttp://www.rtve.es/contenidos/documentos/frecuencias032011.pdf
> > > > > .
> > > > > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > > > > 3350 kHz : 0200-0600 UTC
> > > > > E : Radio Exterior Espaï¿½a : S : CAm : /CTR
> > > > > Spain REE in Spanish to Central America via Costa Rica
> > > > > .
> > > > > -other- Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) -reports-
> > > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:27
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b36b8d3ae9db114e
> > > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:50
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9a0e75b3965be553
> > > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 17850 kHz @ 21:05 UTC
{Soccer}http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dace9126350a80f3
> > > > > -note- REE Shortwave Transmitter Site is near
> > > > > Cariari de Pococi, Costa Rica, Central America
> > > > > .
> > > > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> > > > > .
> > > > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > > > .
> > > > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > > > .
> > > > > .- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > Thanks. It would be cool if either REE or his relay site QSLed - the
> > > way things are going, neither one will be around much longer - and
> > > it's been a LONG time since we've heard Faro del Caribe :-(
> >
> > IIRC -wrt- Faro del Caribe
> > Gene Scott is 'there' 24/7
>
> 5054.6 kHz?

Last I see Faro del Caribe reported in LA SW Logs was in 2004.

2296. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming. The next Bubble? YOUR PENSIONS and 401k's. WATCH AS YOUR WORLD ENDS. OR DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 23:46:31 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEAAAA3B9AB7ExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247>
Source record: 230223
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Dave LaRue
> John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/19/2011 11:01 AM, Dave LaRue wrote:
>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>> On 5/19/2011 10:37 AM, Peter Franks wrote:
>>>>> ...
>>>>> I can understand how you feel when you misunderstand the concepts.
>>>>> I'm sorry for that misunderstand, but once you do understand the
>>>>> concept, it all makes sense AND it helps the economy
>>>>
>>>> I think EVERYONE would be in agreement!
>>>
>>>
>>> I for one agree that yer a loony retard.
>>
>>
>> Although I think it is much closer to 50%, I am in basic agreement with
>> most of this
>
> I knew you would easily agree... but I think the number is closer to 98%
> who know for a fact that yer a loony retard.

And the POST OFFICE will default on their Pensions in 4months?
And OBAMA is going to borrow against Federal Pensions?
And there's NOT A DAMN thing you can about it.
or is there?...

http://www.libertylive.org/Uploads/The%20Debt%20Star.jpg
DEBTSTAR:: This is NOT the HOPE you have been Searching for.

If a World Safe for Socialism was your goal,
why WOULDN'T you BURN YOUR MONEY?

DEMAND COMPETANCE.
DEMAND ACCOUNTABILITY.
DEFEAT LIB STRATEGIC INSANITY.

2297. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 23:53:15 UTC
From: Peter Franks <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <ir4ahc$g62$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230224
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 2:58 PM, Yoorghis@Jurgis.net wrote:
> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>> A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>> complexities ...
>
> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to their
> profit

So, let's examine the propaganda, shall we?

These "huge companies" you speak of are public -- meaning that they are
owned by the stockholders. So, these "profits" are really the profits
of the share holder -- of which they are /taxed/.

What is this mystery money that you want to tax?

2298. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 23:54:41 UTC
From: Peter Franks <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <ir4ak1$g62$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230225
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 4:18 PM, Bert Hyman wrote:
> In news:hi4bt6th5cs94bhlke3h103amgqo8rbb65@4ax.com Yoorghis@Jurgis.net
> wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>> complexities ...
>>
>> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to their
>> profit
>
> Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the legitimate
> functions of government, or in taking money from people you don't like?

I'll speak on his behalf: the latter.

2299. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming. The next Bubble? YOUR PENSIONS and ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-19 23:56:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD5AE13-6211@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230226

Odd Glow Over Fukishima Reactors - Webcam Photos
http://www.rense.com

Them Worms over there are Glowing in the Dark! Glow Worms.
cuhulin

2300. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 00:19:45 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0381f125-47f2-43bc-9ed0-142939fb1741@24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230227
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 19, 7:02 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:ir1udqqtt4@dont-email.me...
>
> > On 5/18/2011 6:53 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> >> See what I mean?
>
> >> I just proved to you this claim is wrong, and here you are once again
> >> spouting the same disproven assertions.
>
> >> Then you wonder why people don't believe in your claims....
>
> >> Oh, and once again, the proof that shows the falseness of your assertion.
>
> >>http://tinyurl.com/3tzh4cj
>
> > No need to, it is a false belief based on false conclusions ...
>
> We know as the photographic evidence shows, It did NOT fall in it's own
> footprint, yet you continue to assert that it did, even when you know that
> it didn't.
>
> So much any faith that you follow the facts.

All your photo shows is a leaning top so maybe the next blast
countered that lean and made the builing straighten up before hitting
the ground.How can you assume it didnot collapse in its own
footprints,you must show every frame till the fall has stopped to say
that. Scot you are very easily caught in your lies and half truths!

So why did the leaning top not pull the whole building that way it
would have been easily stood back up and dusted off and back in
bidnez
in no time! You are almost as stupid as george!

Scot you did not address the missile attack at the Pentagram that NIST
deliberately omited from their report.You did not mention NIST claimed
American Alinerliner hit the Pentagram when there is still no evidence
it ever existed. You did not explain that the Passengers list NIST

reported has live people on it today. You did not address why half the said Terrorist are still alive!

If you cant proove these NIST lies to be true how can you believe their first report at all?

NIST changed their name from the Bureau of Standards after the first flouride lawsuits were filed.They were a Government Agengy given the yask to allow flouride put in your drinking water.It was a Madd NAZI Scientific expieriment to control the minds of the US citizens,one of Hitlers old brainwashing techniques.

They lied back then,then they were called upon again to form the WARREN commision named after Col Warrens report that flouride prevents tooth decay!

And they announced JFK was killed by a lone assasin one Lee Harvey Oswald and their was no evidence connecting the government to his killing.To day we have pinned the tail on the donkey showing it was a government conspiracy and one CIA agent DAVID FERRY delt the killing shotThat was their second GOVERNMENT financed lie.

Now they were sactioned again for a Government cover up and they again lied saying the United States Government was also not connected to this CIA plot!

Thats 3 strikes Scott.

The game is over,it is time to play the fake allien invasion to take the multitudes mind off their Governments evil!

2301. 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC ?Radio Olimpio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 00:31:46 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7d708b01-0d9c-4a47-8c91-7faa62ed2020@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230228

17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC
on 19 Mayo 2011

A lot of 'Radio Olimpio' {Program} IDs in Spanish
and Latin American Place Names by Male Hosts
-then- Program Change? with Female Host @ 2145 UTC

S-Meter : S3~S6 and SIO 444 and good audio

La Voz Cristiana "CVC" Español {Spanish}
CVC Chile - Onda Corta {Shortwave}
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/
-english-web-page-
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/english/ing.html
.
Onda Corta [Shortwave] de Calera de Tango, Chile, SA
Transmisiones de CVC La Voz Español
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/horario.html
"A11" Horario y Frecuencias
-english-web-page-
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/english/schedule.html
.
La Voz Cristiana "CVC" [Chile]
Shortwave Radio Transmitters and Antennas
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/infotecnico.html
-english-web-page-
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/english/techinfo.html
.
Contacto/Contact : La Voz Cristiana "CVC" [Chile]
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/contacto.html
-english-web-page-
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/english/contact.html
.
HELLO -shout-out-to- La Voz Cristiana "CVC" [Chile]
What is lacking in your [http://www.cvclavoz.cl/] Website
is a Radio Program Schedule {Times} webpage
-and/or- a basic Program List and Links-To webpage.
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
17680 kHz @ 1200 - 2300 UTC
CHL : CVC Voz Cristiana : S : SAm
from Chile in Spanish to South America
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF

Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2302. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 00:33:09 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <94f92f82-6cd6-4242-aa12-50e636911c9b@j2g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230229
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 19, 4:45 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 1:40 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 19, 4:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/19/2011 1:34 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >>> On May 19, 4:13 pm, george<gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
> >>>> On May 20, 6:06 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>>> On May 19, 12:47 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >>>>>> In article<a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
> >>>>>> df1d41a84...@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> >>>>>> says...
> >>>>>>> On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> >>>>>>>> On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>> On May 17, 11:46 pm, george<gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>> On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> >>>>>>>>>>>> but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
> >>>>>>>>>>> What one winged aircraft ?
> >>>>>>>>>>> You haven't watched that video have you
> >>>>>>>>>> He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
> >>>>>>>>> You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> >>>>>>>>> was a [poor source as told by BDK?
> >>>>>>>> Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> >>>>>>>> it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> >>>>>>>> man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
> >>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
> >>>>>>> His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
> >>>>>>> Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> >>>>>>> his knowlege of the 911 coverup.
> >>>>>> He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
> >>>>>> wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
> >>>>>> --
> >>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text
> -
> >>>>>> - Show quoted text -

> >>>>> They took DDT off the market and replaced it with radio isotopes to
> >>>>> kill the cut worm.But the bird eggs regained their strength!Soo many
> >>>>> humans soo little birds!
> >>>> Where's that bloody decoder ring when you need it- Hide quoted text -
> >>>> - Show quoted text -
> >>> Check your clit!
> >> She has it on, but she forgot she ate the manual on how to use it!
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
> > Cant you just see BDK getting his dental braces hung up on georges
> > clit ring?
>
> They make anal ones?
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

I'm beginning to miss Anal she and Rocky have dissapeared!

2303. Re: Civilization is built upon good faith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 01:19:53 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd5c1b8$0$12472$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230230

```
On 5/19/2011 12:06 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Hey y'all, how y'all arrrrrre?
>
> Did Sekert Service 'misplace/forget the football' again? That did once
> happen when Monica's boyfriend was occupying the White House.
> http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307878
> cuhulin
>
Clinton lost authenticator codes, not the terminal.
```

2304. Radio Habana Cuba [RHC] on 17,560 kHz @ 2139 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 01:21:41 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <bd8d5d5a-d154-4efe-978b-9cfa6c81a5a7@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230231

Radio Habana Cuba [RHC] on 17,560 kHz @ 2139 UTC
on 19 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S1~S5 and SIO 434 with good audio
some major fades {being beamed to Europe}
.
Radio Habana Cuba ID @ 2139 UTC
in Spanish by a Female Voice
.
Radio Habana Cuba [RHC]
http://www.radiohc.cu/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Havana_Cuba
.
Radio Habana Cuba [RHC] Frequencies
http://www.radiohc.cu/index.php/de-interes/frecuencias.html
-source- BCL News .It -for- RHC Schedule
http://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/habana.htm
Transmissiones en Espanol -to- Europa 17,560 kHz @ 2100-2300 UTC
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
17,560 kHz @ 2100 - 2300 UTC
CUB : Radio Habana Cuba : S : Eu
from Cuba in Spanish to Europe
.
Prior Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info :
RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/05c00c521426b2a7
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.
On May 17, 8:06 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC
> on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : +20dB to +40dB with SIO 555 and Very Good Audio

> .
> "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro RHC Science Editor
> "Breakthrough" is the RHC Science 'Tech' Program
> in English with host Arnie Corohttp://www.radiohc.org/Distributions/Dxers/bk00-1203.html
> * Solar Powered Rural Water Pump not using Batteries
> * Tri-Power Light Houses Solar + Wind + Diesel Electric
> * Solar Power for Rural Schools
> -followed-by-
> Song @ 0656 "Take It To The Top" Cuba/Spanish Music
> -followed-by-
> RHC Music ID @ 0700 [TOH] with Esperanto Languagehttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Esperanto
> .
> Radio Habana Cuba
[RHC]http://www.radiohc.cu/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Havana_Cuba
> .
> Radio Habana Cuba [RHC]
Frequencieshttp://www.radiohc.cu/index.php/de-interes/frecuencias.html
> -source- BCL News .It -for- RHC Schedulehttp://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/habana.htm
> Transmissiones en Ingles -to- San Francisco
> 6010 kHz @ 0500-0700 UTC
> Transmissiones en Esperanto -to- San Francisco
> 6010 kHz @ 0700-0730 UTC
> .
> -about- Arnie Corohttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arnie_Coro
> .
> EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> 6010 kHz : 0500-0700 UTC
> CUB : Radio Habana Cuba : E : WNA {English}
> 6010kHz : 0700-0730 UTC : Su {Sunday}
> CUB : Radio Habana Cuba : EO : WNA {Esperanto}
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

2305. Re: 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC ?Radio Olimpio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 01:25:54 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd5c321$0$12453$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230232

On 5/19/2011 5:31 PM, RHF wrote:
> 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC
> on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> A lot of 'Radio Olimpio' {Program} IDs in Spanish
> and Latin American Place Names by Male Hosts
> -then- Program Change? with Female Host @ 2145 UTC
>
> S-Meter : S3~S6 and SIO 444 and good audio
>

> .
> .
I'd rather DX jetliners than listen to religious radio in a foreign
language.

2306. Re: Radio Habana Cuba [RHC] on 17,560 kHz @ 2139 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 01:34:29 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23872-4DD5C525-535@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230234

Motorola and E.F.Johnson emergency (sompin like dat) two way radios.The politician (Blood Sucking Insect) dude who is pushing those E.F.Johnson radios, it just so happens he has some kind of a connection with E.F.Johnson radios.I don't know, nor do I even care, which is better, Motorola or E.F.Johnson.If it was up to me, I would flip a coin.
cuhulin

2307. Re: Civilization is built upon good faith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 01:38:30 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD5C616-6277@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230233

A dude who was toteing the 'football', he forgot about it, he left it sitting somewhere, he had to make haste/double back to where he left it.
cuhulin

2308. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 02:52:34 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283fa17e2d9b68bf98a222@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230235
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <dd8b960d-c235-491a-b6b4-a7d3cb27c4c3@
18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 19, 12:47ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > In article <a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
> > df1d41a84...@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> > says...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 18, 11:09ï¿œpm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > On May 18, 1:15ï¿œpm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > On May 18, 12:15ï¿œam, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> >
> > > > > > On May 17, 11:46ï¿œpm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > On May 18, 1:09ï¿œpm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
> >
> > > > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
> >
> > > > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
> >
> > > > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> > > > > was a [poor source as told ï¿œby BDK?
> >
> > > > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > > > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> > > > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
```

> >
> > > Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> > > his knowlege of the 911 coverup.
> >
> > He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
> > wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
> >
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> They took DDT off the market and replaced it with radio isotopes to
> kill the cut worm.But the bird eggs regained their strength!Soo many
> humans soo little birds!

Uhhmmm, sure Joe. Whatever you rave.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2309. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 02:54:54 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283fa20a2687b08f98a223@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230236
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <ir3vm1$7m3$5@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmail.com says...
>
> On 5/19/2011 1:45 PM, John Smith wrote:
> > On 5/19/2011 1:40 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >> Cant you just see BDK getting his dental braces hung up on georges
> >> clit ring?
> >
> > They make anal ones?
> >
> > Regards,
> > JS
> >
>
> Them boys just ain't right, they seem a bit too happy, a bit "too gay"
> about each other, if you know what I mean!
>
> EWWWWWWWWWWWWWW!
>
> Regards,
> JS

You kooks always go gay. That's very interesting how that works. Are you
over 10 years old, Johnny KQQK?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
```

2310. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 02:55:55 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283fa249fda809f098a224@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230237
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <6q1bt6dhs8ucv4hedduuesahjblav41p98@4ax.com>,
gov.shill@gmail.com says...
>
> On Thu, 19 May 2011 12:45:01 -0400, BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> >In article <shh9t6926e0ab6pjdq463rvsam6ar38l97@4ax.com>,
> >gov.shill@gmail.com says...
> >>
> >> On Wed, 18 May 2011 19:32:36 -0700 (PDT), joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >On May 18, 5:18ï¿œpm, Government Shill #2 <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> On Wed, 18 May 2011 14:34:18 -0400, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >> >> >In article <0c0f2be9-15b0-4e96-8f95-eedbd8d19676@
> >> >> >34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> On May 18, 7:29ï¿œam, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >> >> >> > On May 17, 5:06ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > In article <5afd8443-45cf-43d5-987b-1ef3b2b8d0d6
> >> >> >> > > @s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > On May 13, 9:14ï¿œpm, "zayton" <zay...@newwavecomm.net> wrote:
> >> >> >> > > > > joeturn wrote:
> >> >> >> > > > > > On May 12, 4:46 pm, raven1 <quoththera...@nevermore.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> > > > > > > On Thu, 12 May 2011 09:59:27 -0700, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >> >> >> > > > > > > wrote:
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > On 5/12/2011 8:04 AM, raven1 wrote:
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > > On Wed, 11 May 2011 20:42:41 -0700, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com>
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > > wrote:
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > > > On 5/11/2011 8:04 PM, zayton wrote:
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > > > > ...
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > > > > So, you think the CIA can project a hologram in a clear sky with
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > > > > no medium to project it on, but can't sync sound to the
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > > > > projection. The combination of credulity and stupidity required
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > > > > to entertain both of those notions at the same time is
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > > > > astounding.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > > > When you consider that the SR-71 Blackbird was first flown in the
```

> >> >> >> > > > > >>>>> late 1950s, when such a plane was widely still considered to be
> >> >> >> > > > > >>>>> science fiction ... I would, naturally, suspect they now have
> >> >> >> > > > > >>>>> something just as amazing and we would consider still in the
> >> >> >> > > > > >>>>> realm of science ... especially since the billions or even
> >> >> >> > > > > >>>>> trillions which have been thrown at black op development projects
> >> >> >> > > > > >>>>> ...
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > > >>>>> Perhaps here, it would be wise to never say never ...
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > > >>>> Perhaps it would be wise to distinguish wild speculation from
> >> >> >> > > > > >>>> actual evidence.
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > > >>> Wild speculation?
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > > >>> What part? That the SR-71 was designed and built in the 1950s.
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > > >>> That most scientists, back then, were unaware that such technology
> >> >> >> > > > > >>> had actually went beyond theory and had been implemented and was in
> >> >> >> > > > > >>> use?
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > > >> I think you're playing fast and loose with the word "theory" here.
> >> >> >> > > > > >> The SR-71 was more a feat of engineering than theory.
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > > >>> That an analogy of this, being made to suggest what is most likely
> >> >> >> > > > > >>> occurring today, is in error?
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > > >> How do you know what is likely occurring today? Based on what
> >> >> >> > > > > >> evidence?
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > > >> I was thinking along the lines of "So, you think the CIA can project
> >> >> >> > > > > >> a hologram in a clear sky with no medium to project it on"
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > > >> Do you have any evidence to suggest that this can be done, or is
> >> >> >> > > > > >> this, again, speculation? And quite frankly, which is a more likely
> >> >> >> > > > > >> explanation? That airplanes were used, or holograms? What happens if
> >> >> >> > > > > >> the hologram equipment fails in mid-attack, and the plane just
> >> >> >> > > > > >> vanishes?
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > > >>> Funny, I think it all either absolute fact, or highly probably and
> >> >> >> > > > > >>> based in reality!
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > > >> Again, based on evidence, or speculation?- Hide quoted text -
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > > >> - Show quoted text -
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > > > The hologram did fell in mid flight and the plane lost its wings and
> >> >> >> > > > > > grew them back twice before impact as captured by Evan Fairbanks film!
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > > and on nobody else's?
> >> >>

> >> >> >> > > > > It takes a special kind of insanity to hang your delusions on one other
> >> >> >> > > > > nutcase's doctored footage!- Hide quoted text -
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > > Here is what Evan Fairbanks captured on film watch the wings come and
> >> >> >> > > > go.
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ek-Q0T9wK2g
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > It's because of him using a shitty video camera, you idiot.
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > --
> >> >> >> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > For One and All,
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > Ever seen the Heat Waves on the Surface of a Black-Top
> >> >> >> > Roadway and the Visual Distortion they Cause ?
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > Ever seen the Visual Distortion caused by Non-Visual
> >> >> >> > Column of Air Pollution {Smoke / Air Particulates } in
> >> >> >> > the Air between You and an Object ?
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > now you see it and now you don't ~ RHF
> >> >> >> > -yes-it-is-that-simple-
> >> >> >> > ï¿œ.- Hide quoted text -
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > - Show quoted text -
> >> >>
> >> >> >> I'm sure they coated the lens with vicks salve to oliminate heat wave
> >> >> >> as you can see the building did not fade in and out,just the wings,try
> >> >> >> that next time you go to target practice but just wipe it in your eye
> >> >> >> to keep it from seeming out of focus!
> >> >>
> >> >> >WTF are you babbling about?
> >> >>
> >> >> He's taken Babbleloonian to the next level by inventing words that *almost* look
> >> >> like English ones, but aren't quite.
> >> >>
> >> >> eg. "oliminate" = eliminate perhaps?
> >> >>
> >> >> "vicks salve"??? Hmmm... maybe Vicks VapoRub?
> >> >>
> >> >> ~BG must be so proud.
> >> >>
> >> >> Shill #2
> >> >> --
> >> >> "Me fail English? That's unpossible!"
> >> >> Ralph Wiggum- Hide quoted text -

> >> >>
> >> >> - Show quoted text -
> >> >
> >> >You have exceeded my expectations of you again you have grammarized my
> >> >post wand still managed to appear the village idiot!I will cast my
> >> >vote for you to become valordictorian of google tech!
> >>
> >> Bwahahahaahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!
> >>
> >> "...grammarized my post wand still managed..."
> >>
> >> Bwahahahahahahahahahaha!
> >>
> >> "...valordictorian..."
> >>
> >> Bwahahahahahaha!
> >>
> >> Stop! STOP!
> >>
> >> You're making my sides ache!
> >>
> >> Shill #2
> >
> >And I though Seon made up words.
>
> Seon is a Rhodes Scholar next to WrongTurnJoe.
>
> Shill #2

It's true. So sad, but true..

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2311. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 02:57:35 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.283fa2abcd21e25998a225@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230238
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

In article <ir3qts$6q5$1@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmail.com says...
>
> On 5/19/2011 11:31 AM, DCI wrote:
> > ...
> > JS, may you share with us your opinion? I, for one, would enjoy
> > reading it.
> >
> > Take care,
> >
> > DCI
>
> No crimes of obamas' should be ignored, they are all important ... the
> 9/11 crimes go wanting for justice, making obama as guilty of them as
> bush, and in need of impeachment. Impeach obama and then bring him to
> trial right along side of bush.
>
> obamas' forgery of documents are felonies worthy of impeachment, then
> trial and punishment.
>
> As always, an ADEQUATE INVESTIGATION of 9/11 needs done, then we bring
> the guilty parties to justice.
>
> ALL of the links I posted have to do with that, the moron questioned the
> first, which contained this, "
>
> The bipartisan Sept. 11 commission has issued subpoenas to the Defense
> Department and the Federal Aviation Administration for materials related
> to air defenses on the day of the attacks.
>
> But the commission balked at a proposal by Roemer last week to subpoena
> the presidential documents, which include an Aug. 6, 2001, briefing
> outlining a variety of possible attacks by the Al Qaeda network. Thomas
> Kean, the commission chairman, a Republican, and former New Jersey
> governor, had warned two weeks earlier that the panel was considering
> subpoenas targeting the White House.
>
> Kristen Breitweiser, whose husband was killed at the World Trade Center,
> assailed the commission yesterday for refusing to provide details of its
> deal."
>
> I just don't know how to answer questions which don't even pose
> themselves in sanity!
>

> Regards,
> JS

Insanity is your wheelhouse. You roll in it like my old dog rolled in
the dead fish next to the river.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2312. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 03:07:28 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir4ltn$9j2$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230239
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Bert Hyman" <bert@iphouse.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9EEABA4D8CF46VeebleFetzer@216.250.188.140...
> In news:hi4bt6th5cs94bhlke3h103amgqo8rbb65@4ax.com Yoorghis@Jurgis.net
> wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>>complexities ...
>>
>> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to their
>> profit
>
> Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the legitimate
> functions of government, or in taking money from people you don't like?

Even then I would want to hear what he considers to be the "legitimate
functions of government" because a lot of it sounds like "take from the rich
and give to the poor".

2313. Re: Civilization is built upon good faith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 03:08:52 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD5DB44-6490@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230240

What's inside the Fumbling Bumbling Idiot's vehicle trackers?
http://www.wnd.com
http://www.worldnetdaily.com

If I find any Sheet like that on my vehicle, It Belongs To ME! I would lay it on a big piece of iron in my back yard and I would Beat the Hell out of it with my Sledgehammer.

Those MOFOs wants to track me? Let em! Tomorrow I am going to the Goodwill store at 12 Oak Park Circle, (Map App those places with your smartphone/touch tablet/whatever if you want to) from the Goodwill store I am going to the Lowe's store, corner of Greenway Drive and Highway 18, from the Lowe's store I am going directly to the Dangerous Walmart store at the intersection of Greenway Drive and Highway 18.From there, I am coming straight back home to doggy's couch.

Howsomever, I Hope those Stupid Ass Fumbling Bumbling Idiots (FBI) DO plant a tracker gizmo on my vehicle, it will be FUN busting it into a Million Pieces!
cuhulin

2314. Re: ReallyHilariousFuckwit (RHF) : Racist Nitwit on Parade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 03:10:13 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ae8921b4-3c19-442d-abbc-f9d1a79ebaec@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 19, 7:09 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 19, 5:23 am,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 19, 2:16 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 18, 9:28 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 18, 9:01 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > On 5/18/2011 5:21 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > > > > > We know that, Johnny KQQK!! You provide ENDLESS insanity to amuse your
> > > > > > many betters! We ought to THANK you for letting us laugh at your
> > > > > > boundless stupidity!!
>
> > > > > > Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
> > > > > > won't, not even a typo!
>
> > > > > The fraudulent birth certificate is
>
> > > > ... your lie. Hawaii certified it and that very act means case closed.
>
> > > - The Constitution REQUIRES its acceptance
>
> > > ! ! ! ROTFL ! ! !Iarnrod! ! ! ROTFL ! ! !
>
> > ReallyHilariousFuckwit continues showing his hatred of America by
> > advocating ridicule of the clear constitutional provision that he
> > ACCEPT Hawaii's proof of Obama's natural born citizenship!
>
> > These raciost traitors are just TOO FUCKIN' FUNNY!!
>
> > >Iarnrod=Honestly= ? Just 'Where' in the
> > > US Constitution Is "That" Written !
>
> > Read it, fuckwit. If you don't know your Constitution then GET THE
> > FUCK OUTTA MY COUNTRY until you can respect state's rights.
>
> > > - and there ain't one single thing you
> > > - racist nutbags
>

> > > Classic 'The Cult of The-Obama' Tactic*
> > > Play The-Obama race card,,,
>
> > BWAHAHHAHAHAA!!!
>
> > Poor widdle ReallyHilariousFuckwit don't like being CAUGHT in his
> > racist lies!
>
> > Too fuckin' BAD, kleagle!
>
> > FACT: You are a racist, This is not remotely in dispute.
>
> -iarnrod-the-answer-remains-the-same-Iarnrod:

Yes, the answer remains the same: RHF is a ReallyHilariousFuckwit,
proven wrong in his ludicrous claims time and again, He is an admitted
racist kook. He is meaningless.

2315. Re: RACE CARDS ARE NOT ACCEPTED HERE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 03:11:27 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b9ff4d14-a415-4bb5-abf9-447b432cfe96@e17g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media,rec.sport.golf

On May 19, 7:17 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 6:09 AM, RHF wrote:
>
> > ...
> > -iarnrod-the-answer-remains-the-same-
> >Iarnrod: Playing The-Obama Race Card !
> >http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/fa4f62e0f9e74fa1
> > -the-facts-&-reality-don't-change-
> > -the-truth-is-the-truth-so-deal-with-it-
>
> What, you are still attempting to convince the irontard that there is a
> negro in the whitehouse?
>
> Damn man, this is the 2000s, next it is going to be an asian, indian or
> mongol!

Hey Johnny KQQK, I changed my mind; You should RESUME sniffing glue,
you might make more sense.

BWAHAAAHAAAHAHAA!

2316. Re: nimrod: Playing The Obama Race Card !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 03:13:48 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bac45222-ec09-42d8-bc00-180c0758fa05@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 19, 7:21 am, pyjamarama <pyjamaram...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 19, 8:23 am,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 19, 2:16 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 18, 9:28 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 18, 9:01 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > On 5/18/2011 5:21 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > > > > > We know that, Johnny KQQK!! You provide ENDLESS insanity to amuse your
> > > > > > many betters! We ought to THANK you for letting us laugh at your
> > > > > > boundless stupidity!!
>
> > > > > > Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
> > > > > > won't, not even a typo!
>
> > > > > The fraudulent birth certificate is
>
> > > > ... your lie. Hawaii certified it and that very act means case closed.
>
> > > - The Constitution REQUIRES its acceptance
>
> > > ! ! ! ROTFL ! ! !Iarnrod! ! ! ROTFL ! ! !
>
> > ReallyHilariousFuckwit continues showing his hatred of America by
> > advocating ridicule of the clear constitutional provision that he
> > ACCEPT Hawaii's proof of Obama's natural born citizenship!
>
> > These raciost traitors are just TOO FUCKIN' FUNNY!!
>
> > >Iarnrod=Honestly= ? Just 'Where' in the
> > > US Constitution Is "That" Written !
>
> > Read it, fuckwit. If you don't know your Constitution then GET THE
> > FUCK OUTTA MY COUNTRY until you can respect state's rights.
>
> 'States rights?' Isn't that the concept that the knee-jerk left cites
> as de facto racist?

It is the Constitutional REQUIREMENT that compels acceptance of
Hawaii's proof that Obama, unlike John McCain, was born on US soil.
The matter is settled, except to you racist nutbag traitors.

> Seems you're the racist, fuckwit (not 'raciost[sic]' btw)....even by
> the logic of your own fellow ideologues.

Nope, not at all. You are. The right is racist, by definition. Not in
dispute!

> Ta ta!

Bye, fool, been a pleasure kicking your racist ass!

2317. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 03:20:19 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2d2a76e3-57b4-4a9a-9842-a0a538b698a9@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 19, 8:22 am, "Delma T. Ivey" <somewh...@thegreatbeyond.con>
wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 5:26 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 18, 11:29 pm, "Delma T. Ivey"<somewh...@thegreatbeyond.con>
> > wrote:
> >> On 5/18/2011 9:28 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> >>> On May 18, 9:01 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>> On 5/18/2011 5:21 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> >>>>> We know that, Johnny KQQK!! You provide ENDLESS insanity to amuse your
> >>>>> many betters! We ought to THANK you for letting us laugh at your
> >>>>> boundless stupidity!!
>
> >>>>> Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
> >>>>> won't, not even a typo!
>
> >>>> The fraudulent birth certificate is
>
> >>> ... your lie. Hawaii certified it
>
> >> No.
>
> > Yes. It certified
>
> No.

Well, you seem to be a pretty stupid kook even by the low standards
you rightards set. The answer of course is "yes? Hawaii has certified
it. It's done it twice now. The case is closed. Inn fact, there never
was a case at all. I am sorry for your parents. You must be an
incredible embarrassment to them.

2318. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 03:22:16 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9e5ae7ae-a2f9-4f84-9b35-a90db7902029@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 19, 9:21 am, "Warren E. Harrison"
<fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> On 5/17/2011 5:35 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>
> > On May 16, 11:24 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"wrote:
> >> On 5/16/2011 8:22 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>
> >>> On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts
>
> >>> Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!
>
> >>> <snicker>
>
> >> Obama would not, of course, have been born a citizen had he been born
> >> abroad
>
> > Yes, he would.
>
> No, he would not have been.

Yes, he would have been. Immaterial of course since he's the FIRST and
ONLY president in our history ever to release his birth certificate
and prove he was born on US soil.

2319. Re: 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC ?Radio Olimpio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 03:29:57 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4d03d55c-56aa-4f20-a7d1-23ab7e15e50a@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230246

On May 19, 9:25 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 5:31 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> > 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC
> > on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> > A lot of 'Radio Olimpio' {Program} IDs in Spanish
> > and Latin American Place Names by Male Hosts
> > -then- Program Change? with Female Host @ 2145 UTC
>
> > S-Meter : S3~S6 and SIO 444 and good audio
>
> > .
> > .
>
> I'd rather DX jetliners than listen to religious radio in a foreign
> language.

How about WYFR in English ? Don't you ever listen to their
broadcasts ? Especially now , since according to them : The End Is
Near ! ! !

2320. Re: Dollars are really just IOUs ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 03:45:41 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <285e037d-36f2-4716-b515-f4430c8ad79a@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230247

On May 19, 12:51 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Poppylypse Now! The Night Of The Living Dead!
> The Crazy Ass CDC is tellin the peoples to get ready for Flesh Eating
> Zombies.
>
> Good old Marsha Thompson (at least she is sane) was born in
> Jackson,Missy Sippy, she grew up as a kid on President Street in
> Jackson.She works at WJTV tee vee station in Jackson, she has been with
> WJTV ever since forever.Not many years ago after her two sons finished
> college, she moved to Hotlanta to be with her hubby, he is, or was a big
> shot at the CDC, I reckon he retired and they moved back to
> Jackson,Missy Sippy.I have said it before years ago in this here self
> same rec.radio.shortwave newsgroup that the CDC done gone Crazy as
> Hell.Looks like I am Right again.http://www.WJTV.com
> Those Nitwits at CDC are CRAZY!
> cuhulin

Aren't they the ones who kept scaring everybody about the Swine Flu ?
What a bunch of fearmongers . . .

2321. Re: Who has problems with 9/11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 03:50:03 UTC
From: DCI <50bjdk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <482a4f23-e56e-4a96-a887-96e0ac4c1101@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230248
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 19, 12:26 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 11:31 AM, DCI wrote:
>
> > ...
> > JS, may you share with us your opinion? I, for one, would enjoy
> > reading it.
>
> > Take care,
>
> > DCI
>
> No crimes of obamas' should be ignored, they are all important ... the
> 9/11 crimes go wanting for justice, making obama as guilty of them as
> bush, and in need of impeachment. Impeach obama and then bring him to
> trial right along side of bush.
>
> obamas' forgery of documents are felonies worthy of impeachment, then
> trial and punishment.
>
> As always, an ADEQUATE INVESTIGATION of 9/11 needs done, then we bring
> the guilty parties to justice.
>
> ALL of the links I posted have to do with that, the moron questioned the
> first, which contained this, "
>
> The bipartisan Sept. 11 commission has issued subpoenas to the Defense
> Department and the Federal Aviation Administration for materials related
> to air defenses on the day of the attacks.
>
> But the commission balked at a proposal by Roemer last week to subpoena
> the presidential documents, which include an Aug. 6, 2001, briefing
> outlining a variety of possible attacks by the Al Qaeda network. Thomas
> Kean, the commission chairman, a Republican, and former New Jersey
> governor, had warned two weeks earlier that the panel was considering
> subpoenas targeting the White House.
>
> Kristen Breitweiser, whose husband was killed at the World Trade Center,
> assailed the commission yesterday for refusing to provide details of its
> deal."
>
> I just don't know how to answer questions which don't even pose
> themselves in sanity!
>

> Regards,
> JS

Got it! I disagree.

DCI

2322. Re: Why wasn't Bin Laden captured and tried for 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 04:05:49 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <f7048df2-2cdb-404e-a205-9fd56223de57@k17g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230249
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.society.liberalism,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 19, 10:02 am, Phlip <phlip2...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On May 19, 6:51 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > Yes. I strongly suspect the saudis were recruited and trained by
> > criminal elements in our own government ... it has been done in the past
> > ... however, without a real and proper, and in depth, investigation
> > there will always be some room to wonder.
>
> The money came thru Al Taqua Bank, which Rove and Norquist helped set
> up. Remember Wolfowitz's Saudi girlfriend?
>
> Truthers won't tell you _that_.

Shaha Riza was born in Tripoli . . . Libya . It's a small world !

2323. Re: RRI Palangkaraya, Indonesia on 3325 kHz @ 1302 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 04:11:06 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <e55bc275-8f3c-4eed-a8b6-dabfe9ffb3f0@w36g2000vbi.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230250

On May 19, 11:34 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 19, 8:33 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> .
>
>
>
> > Thanks. I sent these guys a reception report about a month back.
> > Wonder if they respond?
>
> Including a clear station ID and a pretty nice recording of the
> proceedings.

They may respond faster if someone requests BHO's Birth Certificate !

2324. DW is cutting back .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 04:44:11 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <98eec355-564b-4bd9-8d87-b6a21c354e12@32g2000vbe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230251

DW is in the process of closing several relay sites . The plan is to
cut back SW broadcasts from 260 to just 55 hours .

2325. Re: Dollars are really just IOUs ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 04:45:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD5F1F5-6539@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230252

What do they call that disease, CWD, whatever? It first showed up and
was detected in a woman at the St.Dominic Hospital in 1975 in Jackson,
Mississippi.If you don't believe me, ask Paul Gallo at Super Talk
Mississippi.
http://www.stdom.com
http://www.supertalk.fm

Is Scotland heading towards Independence?
http://www.rense.com
I Certainly Hope So!

That stinking nasty Aligator bait sure does work! ~ Swamp People, on the
History channel right now.
cuhulin

2326. OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 04:58:35 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir4sdt$6g0$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230253
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://dailycaller.com/2011/05/20/wheres-the-birth-certificate-still-going-strong-thedcs-interview-with-author-jerome-corsi/

Regards,
JS

2327. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 05:03:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DD5F62A-2188@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230255

You know that Testors Glue? for glueing together model Airplanes.I used to do that when I was a kid.That Glue smelled Real Good.
cuhulin, the Glue

2328. Re: And, TRUE PATRIOTS CONTINUE WITH QUESTIONS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 05:05:12 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir4sqa$6g0$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230254
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 9:58 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
>
> http://dailycaller.com/2011/05/20/wheres-the-birth-certificate-still-going-strong-thedcs
-interview-with-author-jerome-corsi/
>
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

THIS:
" Now that the certificate has been released, the pattern by the Tribune and other media continues, "quickly now, let's move along, nothing to see here." The White House says that's the real deal so okay, that settles it.

Let's not ask some basic questions such as how a photocopy of a 1961 document could look so pristine. There are already experts, including one in Grand Junction saying the document has been altered. Another expert, Ivan Zatkovich has said "There was an explicit action by a person to modify the document." Zatkovich has over 28 years of experince in computer science and document management. For the past 10 years he has been used as an expert witness in federal court for civil and criminal proceedings.

We do believe these questions should be looked into. This is why if Obama truly wished to put the issue behind him he would submit his original copy to a forensic test.

If Woodward and Bernstein had followed the lead of today's journalists, Nixon would have never been forced from office in disgrace. They did what journalists are supposed to do, question and investigate. Not simply blindly accept whatever our leaders tell us."

FROM HERE:
http://www.greeleygazette.com/press/?p=9548

Regards,
JS

2329. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 05:14:44 UTC
From: "Delma T. Ivey" <somewhere@thegreatbeyond.con>
Message-ID: <oJudnWpqpsVWZUjQnZ2dnUVZ5gidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/19/2011 8:20 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 19, 8:22 am, "Delma T. Ivey"<somewh...@thegreatbeyond.con>
> wrote:
>> On 5/19/2011 5:26 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 18, 11:29 pm, "Delma T. Ivey"<somewh...@thegreatbeyond.con>
>>> wrote:
>>>> On 5/18/2011 9:28 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 18, 9:01 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/18/2011 5:21 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>>>> We know that, Johnny KQQK!! You provide ENDLESS insanity to amuse your
>>>>>>> many betters! We ought to THANK you for letting us laugh at your
>>>>>>> boundless stupidity!!
>>
>>>>>>> Hint: NAME ONE THING incorrect in the NIST report. You can't and you
>>>>>>> won't, not even a typo!
>>
>>>>>> The fraudulent birth certificate is
>>
>>>>> ... your lie. Hawaii certified it
>>
>>>> No.
>>
>>> Yes. It certified
>>
>> No.
>
> Well, you seem to be

No "certification".

2330. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 05:16:23 UTC
From: "Warren E. Harrison" <fuckwit's.daddy@shitbags.con>
Message-ID: <oJudnWVqpsWwZEjQnZ2dnUVZ5gidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/19/2011 8:22 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 19, 9:21 am, "Warren E. Harrison"
> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
>> On 5/17/2011 5:35 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>>
>>> On May 16, 11:24 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"wrote:
>>>> On 5/16/2011 8:22 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts
>>>>
>>>>> Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!
>>>>
>>>>> <snicker>
>>>>
>>>> Obama would not, of course, have been born a citizen had he been born
>>>> abroad
>>>>
>>> Yes, he would.
>>>
>> No, he would not have been. The Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952 specified who would be a citizen if born abroad. If only one parent was a citizen, then that citizen needed to have been physically present in the USA for five years after the age of 14. As Obama's mother was only 18 at the time of his birth, she did not meet the requirement due to simple arithmetic. That provision applied regardless of the marital status of the parents. If the parents were not married, an additional restriction applied: the father had to "legitimate" the child by acknowledging and establishing paternity.
>>
>>
>> Sec. 309. (a) The provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (7) of
>> section 301 (a), and of paragraph (2) of section 308, of this title
>> shall apply as of the date of birth to a child born out of wedlock
>> on or after the effective date of this Act, if the paternity of such
>> child is established while such child is under the age of twenty-one
>> years by legitimation.
>>
>> http://library.uwb.edu/guides/USimmigration/66%20stat%20163.pdf
>>
>>
>> That is an *additional* restriction, and does not alter the physical presence requirement of the one citizen parent. The applicability of Title III, Section 301 (a)(7) does not depend in any way on the marital status of the parents: that one citizen parent must have been physically present in the USA for 10 years, five of which were after the age

of 14, in order for the child born abroad to be a citizen at birth. Nothing in the statute mentions the marital status of the parents, and there is no implicit reference to marital status. The marital status was irrelevant.
>
> Yes, he would have been.

Wrong. Had he been born outside the US, he would not have been born a citizen under the law in effect.

2331. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 05:26:27 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir4u26amm2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush.limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 10:14 PM, Delma T. Ivey wrote:
>> Well, you seem to be
>
>
> No "certification".

Are you sure?

Word on the street is, irontard is 100% certified by a state institution!

Regards,
JS

2332. BOLLYWOOD ACTRESSES REAL SEXY VIDEOS,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 05:48:47 UTC
From: HRJHRJB <odgfhfhdfv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <69700154-7f25-4b83-9525-5b7006b35ad5@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230259

for hot videos
http://verysexyhotvideos.blogspot.com/

hot lip kiss videos
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/hot-lip-kiss-videos.html

super hot lip kiss
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/super-hot-lip-kiss.html

jobs
http://alljobsaywhere.blogspot.com/

games

GAME 1
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-1.html
GAME 2
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-2.html

mobile

mobile games free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-games-free-download.html

mobile ringtones free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-ringtones-free-download.html

2333. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 05:49:08 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEAE81D89FAAExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247>
Source record: 230260
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Peter Franks
> On 5/19/2011 4:18 PM, Bert Hyman wrote:
>> In news:Yoorghis
>>> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith
>>>
>>>> A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>>> complexities ...
>>>
>>> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to their
>>> profit
>>
>> Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the legitimate
>> functions of government, or in taking money from people you don't like?
>
> I'll speak on his behalf: the latter.

Why SHOULDN'T things be taken from you in the name of the Common Good?

LIBs are laughing their asses off now that the plebes
have been trained to beg their leaders to
expropriate even more from them...

more than was expropriated even in Pharonic times
when the serfs 'donated' 30% of the year working for the Pharoah's
projects.

LIBs. What Price their Vision?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C5yhxqkJiAQ&feature=player_embedded
OBAMA's TRUTH SQUADS:: Administering to your Future!
They're the one's wearing the masks.

Why so Serious?
Nobody appreciates what is MUST FEEL LIKE to be the Joker.
http://io9.com/5418487/the-existential-loneliness-that-unites-batman-and-
the-joker

hddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddd-
dddyoo++++++++++++++++++++ooossssooo+//++/++++++++++++++++++ooooosmddd-
dhd/...........-.-..-/oydmNMMMMMMMMMNNdhyo+:-.....................---:mddd-
ddh:...........-+sdNMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMmdyo:.................-.:ddhd-
dhd:........-/sdNMMMMMMMNNNmhhhhhddNMNMMNMMMMMMMMMho:...............-ydhd-
dhd:....../hNMMNs/:::---...........-..-..--/+sydNMMMNd/...-.........ohhd-
dhd-.....:mMMd/-..`````````````````````.`````..+symMMMd/...........ohdd-

ddd-..../mNMd-`.`.+MMMMN+.....ohhd-
dhd-...:NMNm+.`.:mMMMMN/.....odhh-
dhd-...hNMNs.`.:yNMMMs.....ohhh-
ddd-.`.NNNs-`.:hMMh.....odhh-
ddh..`.NMo.`----::/++::..`.----:--:-.`..:mMo.....odhd-
hdh.:-.dN-../ydmmmmmmmNNNNmdo.`./ymNmNmmmmNNmdy+:..mM/.....+dhd-
ddy-oo/+d-`-omMMMMmydmddshdMMd-`.sMMhodddmdNMMMMNo-`ds:+s`.....+ddd-
hds...-+y-.-odMMMMmdddmmmmNms:.`:ymmmmmmNNMMMdhy:``+/-.-``.....+dhd-
ddo...`.+-`../ydddhdddhyho+-`-++/+soo+o+:--.``:.`.....oddd-
ddo....`.-`.----:-.`..`.....ohhd-
hdo....`.-.`.....ohhh-
hh+.....-.`.-::/:.`.`.:///:`....`..`.....ohhd-
hh+.....`.:+:--.`.`.:++/+osss++++/:`..+/oyyo.`.....oddd-
dh+.....ommmss+--....`.....-...../sdmNh+:..`.....sdhd-
dh/.....:hNNdNmhhysyyhhhhyyyyhhhhyhyyyhdmNmss-`.....ydhh-
dh/...../ys/ssssyhhdddhyyyyyyydddhhsoosso/--.`.....-ydhh-
dh/.....o+-...-:/++ossyyyyhyssoo+//::://:::.`.....-ddhd-
dd/.....-+/sNho:.....://++/++++//:.....`.:os..`.....-ddhd-
dh/.....:smh.-hMMms-`.....`.....`.-odNo:ms:..`.....-ddhd-
dd+.--:/shNMN/...+hmNmyo:-..`..--:/ohNNd+-.mMNds+/:--.....-mdhd-
ddshdmNMMMMMm:.....-+hmNNNdhsss+ossyhddNNNmy/--..oMMMMMMNNmdhso/::--:mdhd-
dmyNMMMMMMMMMo.....`.-/ymNMMMMMMMMMMNmy/-.....:sMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMNNmdmdhd-
dmhMMMMMMMMMMm-...`..-+ydNMMMMNNds/-...`..-hMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMNNddd.
ddhmNNNNNNNNNNs----------+ymmmdo/:--....----::mNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNmddd.
dddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddhhddhhhhhhhddddddddddddddddddddddddhd`
:::::////:::://///:/:::/+/////////:////://///:::/:::///::::::::::::-----.
/dyyds -hhyyho` -yhyhd/ om` -md` -N- `N/ odyhd+ .Nmy `mmh
yNo///`.No .Ns.No -: sN` `mydy :M: `M+ mdo:/: .MyM.+Nhd
`/oydm-:M: mh-M/ `` oN` yN/+M+ :M: `M+ ./oymd..M/mymsyd
`dh:-+N/ ym+-:ym- sm+/+my oN`+No++sN-:Mo///-`M+.my::sN-.M/+MM.yd
`:+o+. -+o+:` `/+/. -/`/: /:`+++++: +. `/oo/. `+.`+/ :/

2334. Re: RRI Palangkaraya, Indonesia on 3325 kHz @ 1302 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 10:41:33 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DD6455D.E7BBD81C@milestones.com>
Source record: 230261

bpnjensen wrote:

> On May 19, 5:17 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > RRI Palangkaraya, Indonesia on 3325 kHz @ 1302 UTC
> > on 8 Mayo 2011
> >
> > S-Meter : S5~S7 and SIO 343 with fair audio
> > .
> > Hearing Male & Female Voices in Indonesian?
> > and Indonesian sounding Music/Song ?
> > -but- No Actual ID of "RRI"
> > .
> > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > 3325 kHz @ 0800 - 1610 UTC
> > INS : RRI : Palangkaraya : IN : INS : p
> > IN & INS = Indonesia
> > RRI = Radio Republik Indonesia
> > from Palangkaraya {City} on Borneo {Island}
> > .
> > Radio Republik Indonesia (RRI Palangkaraya)http://www.rri.co.id/http://en.wikipedia.or
g/wiki/Radio_Republik_Indonesiahttp://www.asiawaves.net/indonesia/maluku-utara-radio.htm
> > .
> > *Prior* Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info :
> > * RRI Ternate, Indonesia on 3345 kHz @ 1245
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/a48...
> > * Arabic Prayers on 9680 kHz @ 1050
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/529...
> > .
> > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .
>
> Thanks. I sent these guys a reception report about a month back.
> Wonder if they respond?

I have a QSL letter (verie, for those in Glendale) for reception on 19 March 1990 on 3325.

That for a report in Indonesian.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2335. Re: RRI Palangkaraya, Indonesia on 3325 kHz @ 1302 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 11:42:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DD653C1.B95EBA1A@milestones.com>
Source record: 230262

dxAce wrote:

> bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > On May 19, 5:17 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > > RRI Palangkaraya, Indonesia on 3325 kHz @ 1302 UTC
> > > on 8 Mayo 2011
> > >
> > > S-Meter : S5~S7 and SIO 343 with fair audio
> > > .
> > > Hearing Male & Female Voices in Indonesian?
> > > and Indonesian sounding Music/Song ?
> > > -but- No Actual ID of "RRI"
> > > .
> > > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > > 3325 kHz @ 0800 - 1610 UTC
> > > INS : RRI : Palangkaraya : IN : INS : p
> > > IN & INS = Indonesia
> > > RRI = Radio Republik Indonesia
> > > from Palangkaraya {City} on Borneo {Island}
> > > .
> > > Radio Republik Indonesia (RRI Palangkaraya)http://www.rri.co.id/http://en.wikipedia.
org/wiki/Radio_Republik_Indonesiahttp://www.asiawaves.net/indonesia/maluku-utara-radio.htm
> > > .
> > > *Prior* Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info :
> > > * RRI Ternate, Indonesia on 3345 kHz @ 1245
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/a48...
> > > * Arabic Prayers on 9680 kHz @ 1050
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/529...
> > > .
> > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> > > .
> > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> > > .
> > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > .
> > > .
> >
> > Thanks. I sent these guys a reception report about a month back.
> > Wonder if they respond?
>

> I have a QSL letter (verie, for those in Glendale) for reception on 19 March 1990 on 3325.
>
> That for a report in Indonesian.

Using the NASWA Country List it counts under Asia as Indonesia - Kalimantan (Borneo).

>
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

2336. Re: Sorry ... Johnny KQQK not allowed out of institution

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 12:16:32 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a3584f45-012d-4db4-af76-36c7ce00ce15@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 19, 11:26 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 10:14 PM, Delma T. Ivey wrote:
>
> >> Well, you seem to be
>
> > > No "certification".
>
> Are you sure?
>
> Word on the street is, irontard is 100% certified by a state institution!

Johnny KQQK, we know that's a lie since ou're not allowed out on the
streets!

BWAHAAAHAAAHAHAAAHAHAAA!!!

Hey Johnny KQQK, do you realize that in all your months of posting
your delusions here, you have not yet managed to post even one
statement that is actually TRUE?

<sips Victory Iced Tea>

2337. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 12:17:18 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <03821fc2-6d07-4c80-80ec-88c7d01d25a7@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 19, 11:16 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"
<fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 8:22 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 19, 9:21 am, "Warren E. Harrison"
> > <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> >> On 5/17/2011 5:35 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>
> >>> On May 16, 11:24 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"wrote:
> >>>> On 5/16/2011 8:22 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>
> >>>>> On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>>> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts
>
> >>>>> Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!
>
> >>>>> <snicker>
>
> >>>> Obama would not, of course, have been born a citizen had he been born
> >>>> abroad
>
> >>> Yes, he would.
>
> >> No, he would not have been. The Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952 specified
who would be a citizen if born abroad. If only one parent was a citizen, then that citizen
needed to have been physically present in the USA for five years after the age of 14. As
Obama's mother was only 18 at the time of his birth, she did not meet the requirement due
to simple arithmetic. That provision applied regardless of the marital status of the
parents. If the parents were not married, an additional restriction applied: the father had
to "legitimate" the child by acknowledging and establishing paternity.
>
> >> Sec. 309. (a) The provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (7) of
> >> section 301 (a), and of paragraph (2) of section 308, of this title
> >> shall apply as of the date of birth to a child born out of wedlock
> >> on or after the effective date of this Act, if the paternity of such
> >> child is established while such child is under the age of twenty-one
> >> years by legitimation.
>
> >> http://library.uwb.edu/guides/USimmigration/66%20stat%20163.pdf
>
> >> That is an *additional* restriction, and does not alter the physical presence

requirement of the one citizen parent. The applicability of Title III, Section 301 (a)(7) does not depend in any way on the marital status of the parents: that one citizen parent must have been physically present in the USA for 10 years, five of which were after the age of 14, in order for the child born abroad to be a citizen at birth. Nothing in the statute mentions the marital status of the parents, and there is no implicit reference to marital status. The marital status was irrelevant.
>
> > Yes, he would have been.
>
> Wrong.

Right.

> Had he been born outside the US, he would not have been born a
> citizen under the law in effect.

Yes, he would have. You're wrong.

2338. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 12:20:32 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d9fd1fd7-e24f-459b-b78d-09d4132b5929@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230265
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 19, 6:18 am, * US * wrote:

> That's quite an error:
> "the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
> Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"
> http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-v...
> It's a study in treachery.

It's a study in stupidity by you, kook. I guess you're too stupid to
see the error that the blogger made. Hint: He's looking at the wrong
building. Blatant and obvious error by yet another 9/11 kooker!!

You sure did not earn your money tonight from your hero Cheeeeeney,
you traitor rightard bushkultie.

2339. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 12:23:48 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <21f41bee-2c30-4e47-b3da-9cfea43b84d8@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230266
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 19, 6:19 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> All your photo shows is a leaning top so maybe the next blast
> countered that lean and made the builing straighten up before hitting
> the ground.

BWAAAAAHAHAHAAAAHAHAHAAA!!!! WrongTurnJoe be smoking some HEAVY DUTY
crack!!

> How can you assume it didnot collapse in its own
> footprints,

Umm, because of the huge mess that had to be cleaned up that covered
multiple BLOCKS and which destroyed ADJACENT BUILDINGS? What the fuck
kind of footprint did this building HAVE, WrongTurnJoe? Bozo the
Clown's?

> you must show every frame till the fall has stopped to say
> that.

No, you look at it after it all stops falling, kookazoid.

2340. KFBS [FEBC] Saipan* Has Ceased Transmitting Effective 30 April 2011

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 13:22:03 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ab5a913b-79ac-4ea5-974a-5425ee865ec9@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230267

KFBS [FEBC] Saipan* Has Ceased Transmitting Effective 30 April 2011
.
-wrt- FEBC
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/search/label/FEBC
-wrt- KFBS
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/search/label/KFBS%20Saipan
-source- Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog Spot
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/
.
Far East Broadcasting Company [FEBC]
http://www.febc.org/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Far_East_Broadcasting_Company
.
* Saipan the Capital of the Northern Mariana Islands
http://i.infoplease.com/images/mmariana.gif
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Northern_Mariana_Islands
.
and now you know... cause you just read it here ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.
-follow-up-post-

* -source- Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog Spot
* http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/

Recommend if Shortwave Radio Listening [SWL]
is your 'Thing' the Weekly Reading of the
Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog Spot
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/
.
.

2341. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 13:27:58 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <88f930c2-4f03-43cf-bd82-b16f82a642b6@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 19, 1:23 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 18, 6:42 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/18/2011 6:34 PM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>
> > > On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>
> > > > ...
> > > > Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except in the
> > > > imaginations of the authors.
>
> > > > It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>
> > > > How deep is a rabbit hole...?
>
> > Quite shallow!
>
> > Life on earth is the only example of itself ... at least at this present
> > time.
>
> > Nothing in all of our view of the universe before us, earth, mars, moon,
> > other planets, etc. would lead one to believe that life can "just happen
> > by accident." "Spontaneous Generation", or life "just happening" was
> > tried as a theory, long ago, although it still has its' followers, it
> > has simply grown into a religion which would have one make a leap of
> > faith against all logical thought and reason to believe ... end of story.
>
> > Therefore, and borne out by occams' razor, the most likely explanation
> > is that an intelligence created us ... and the question becomes, where
> > did that intelligence come from ... and now, we search ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Rejoice a/o Repent or just... Never Mind :

>
> The Count-Down Continues Just 2 Days Left
> until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
> .
> .
.
The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
.
Predictor of May 21 Judgement Day Ready
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/2011/05/predictor-of-may-21-judgement-day-ready.html
-dated- Friday, May 20, 2011
.
* -source- Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog Spot
* http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/
.
Recommend if Shortwave Radio Listening [SWL]
is your 'Thing' the Weekly Reading of the
Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog Spot
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/
.
.

2342. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 13:30:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD66CDA-6573@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230270

I am plagiarizing this (I don't remember every word he said, but this is the gist of it) from what Paul Gallo said a few minutes ago on his Super Talk Mississippi radio talk show.

He said a 4 way Stop sign is like democracy.democrats figure it is all about them, why should they care about laws? If a democrat thinks nobody is looking, that democrat will run through that Stop sign without stopping.
Paul Gallo is Right.
http://www.supertalk.fm
I see democrats all the time around here (most of them don't care if anybody is looking, or not) running Stop signs and traffic lights/red lights.

I am a Conservative and I am a Christian and I always Stop.
cuhulin

2343. Re:Another useless collection of hyperlinks that tells me nothing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 13:30:19 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd66c91$0$12516$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230269

```
On 05/20/2011 06:22 AM, RHF wrote:
> KFBS [FEBC] Saipan* Has Ceased Transmitting Effective 30 April 2011
> .
> -wrt- FEBC
> http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/search/label/FEBC
> -wrt- KFBS
> http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/search/label/KFBS%20Saipan
> -source- Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog Spot
> http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/
> .
> Far East Broadcasting Company [FEBC]
> http://www.febc.org/
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Far_East_Broadcasting_Company
> .
> * Saipan the Capital of the Northern Mariana Islands
> http://i.infoplease.com/images/mmariana.gif
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Northern_Mariana_Islands
> .
> and now you know... cause you just read it here ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
> http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .
> -follow-up-post-
>
> * -source- Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog Spot
> * http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/
>
> Recommend if Shortwave Radio Listening [SWL]
> is your 'Thing' the Weekly Reading of the
> Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog Spot
> http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/
> .
> .
```

2344. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 13:51:46 UTC
From: kym@kymhorsell.com
Message-ID: <4dd671f1$0$13392$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 230271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

In sci.skeptic RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
...
> The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
> until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
> http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
...

Since we were all assured things would happen around the world
on May 21, here it is 30 mins to May 21 and my "Thank God
Its the Apocalypse" party is -- to put it frankly -- a little quiet.

Maybe it's because there haven't been any decent earthquakes for
24 hrs (we were planning to boo every quake as they were registered
at the USGS website under a mag 9; but nothing has popped up for quite
a few hours). Or maybe it's just that "20 minutes after the hour" thing. ;)

--
Ain't going to happen because there is no raw 'uncorrupted' data.
-- george <gblack@hnpl.net>

2345. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 14:06:53 UTC
From: "Warren E. Harrison" <fuckwit's.daddy@shitbags.con>
Message-ID: <SdCdnc37mq0e6EvQnZ2dnUVZ5sidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/20/2011 5:17 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 19, 11:16 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"
> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
>> On 5/19/2011 8:22 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 19, 9:21 am, "Warren E. Harrison"
>>> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
>>>> On 5/17/2011 5:35 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 16, 11:24 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/16/2011 8:22 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>>
>>>>>>> On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts
>>
>>>>>>> Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!
>>
>>>>>>> <snicker>
>>
>>>>>> Obama would not, of course, have been born a citizen had he been born
>>>>>> abroad
>>
>>>>> Yes, he would.
>>
>>>> No, he would not have been. The Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952 specified
who would be a citizen if born abroad. If only one parent was a citizen, then that citizen
needed to have been physically present in the USA for five years after the age of 14. As
Obama's mother was only 18 at the time of his birth, she did not meet the requirement due
to simple arithmetic. That provision applied regardless of the marital status of the
parents. If the parents were not married, an additional restriction applied: the father had
to "legitimate" the child by acknowledging and establishing paternity.
>>
>>>> Sec. 309. (a) The provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (7) of
>>>> section 301 (a), and of paragraph (2) of section 308, of this title
>>>> shall apply as of the date of birth to a child born out of wedlock
>>>> on or after the effective date of this Act, if the paternity of such
>>>> child is established while such child is under the age of twenty-one
>>>> years by legitimation.
>>
>>>> http://library.uwb.edu/guides/USimmigration/66%20stat%20163.pdf
>>

>>>> That is an *additional* restriction, and does not alter the physical presence requirement of the one citizen parent. The applicability of Title III, Section 301 (a)(7) does not depend in any way on the marital status of the parents: that one citizen parent must have been physically present in the USA for 10 years, five of which were after the age of 14, in order for the child born abroad to be a citizen at birth. Nothing in the statute mentions the marital status of the parents, and there is no implicit reference to marital status. The marital status was irrelevant.
>>
>>> Yes, he would have been.
>>
>> Wrong.Had he been born outside the US, he would not have been born a
>> citizen under the law in effect.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been. The law has been cited and you're wrong.
His mother was not old enough - she had not been physically present in
the USA for five years after the age of 14 at the time of his birth.

2346. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 14:10:08 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DD67640.6040000@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 230273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/20/2011 9:27 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 19, 1:23 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>> On May 18, 6:42 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On 5/18/2011 6:34 PM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>>
>>>>> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except in the
>>>>>> imaginations of the authors.
>>
>>>>>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>>
>>>> How deep is a rabbit hole...?
>>
>>> Quite shallow!
>>
>>> Life on earth is the only example of itself ... at least at this present
>>> time.
>>
>>> Nothing in all of our view of the universe before us, earth, mars, moon,
>>> other planets, etc. would lead one to believe that life can "just happen
>>> by accident." "Spontaneous Generation", or life "just happening" was
>>> tried as a theory, long ago, although it still has its' followers, it
>>> has simply grown into a religion which would have one make a leap of
>>> faith against all logical thought and reason to believe ... end of story.
>>
>>> Therefore, and borne out by occams' razor, the most likely explanation
>>> is that an intelligence created us ... and the question becomes, where
>>> did that intelligence come from ... and now, we search ...
>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>

>> Rejoice a/o Repent or just... Never Mind :
>>
>> The Count-Down Continues Just 2 Days Left
>> until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
>> .
>> .
> .
> The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
> until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
> http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html

What does your date planner look like on May 22, 2011.

2347. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 14:22:56 UTC
From: Kevin Cunningham <smskjc@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <012ca73c-9af3-413c-af1d-e9070619a2a5@e13g2000vbo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 20, 12:58 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> http://dailycaller.com/2011/05/20/wheres-the-birth-certificate-still-...
>
> Regards,
> JS

What's the matter, racist? Can't find your Klan robes?

At some point you would think that the above scum encrusted racist
would just make his point, he doesn't like African-Americans and knows
they are dumber than he.

Hmm.....the above poster never attended Harvard Law.

Obama did.

The above poster never became a senator.

Obama did.

The above poster never became president.

Obama did.

The above poster never won a Nobel Prize.

Obama did.

The above poster never published two books that earned millions.

Obama did.

And that makes who the better, smarter person?

2348. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 14:35:55 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7f0d3281-fb0b-4a18-bc06-9305d448e8e8@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230275
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 20, 8:23 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 19, 6:19 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > All your photo shows is a leaning top so maybe the next blast
> > countered that lean and made the builing straighten up before hitting
> > the ground.
>
> BWAAAAAHAHAHAAAAHAHAHAAA!!!! WrongTurnJoe be smoking some HEAVY DUTY
> crack!!
>
> > How can you assume it didnot collapse in its own
> > footprints,
>
> Umm, because of the huge mess that had to be cleaned up that covered
> multiple BLOCKS and which destroyed ADJACENT BUILDINGS? What the fuck
> kind of footprint did this building HAVE, WrongTurnJoe? Bozo the
> Clown's?
>
> > you must show every frame till the fall has stopped to say
> > that.
>
> No, you look at it after it all stops falling, kookazoid.

I did and it appeared to me it did not fall over just fell down.Had it
have fell over it would have had the top land 1200 feet from its base
in the same direction of fall.This was not evident it fell straight
down according to NIST because none of its pieces were found 1200 feet
from its base.

2349. Re: Paul Gallo is a failure and so are you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 14:39:31 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd67cca$0$12493$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230276

On 05/20/2011 06:30 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I am plagiarizing this (I don't remember every word he said, but this is
> the gist of it) from what Paul Gallo said a few minutes ago on his Super
> Talk Mississippi radio talk show.
>
> He said a 4 way Stop sign is like democracy.democrats figure it is all
> about them, why should they care about laws? If a democrat thinks nobody
> is looking, that democrat will run through that Stop sign without
> stopping.
> Paul Gallo is Right.
> http://www.supertalk.fm
> I see democrats all the time around here (most of them don't care if
> anybody is looking, or not) running Stop signs and traffic lights/red
> lights.
>
> I am a Conservative and I am a Christian and I always Stop.
> cuhulin
>

2350. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 14:45:31 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0a76a42e-61a2-4f74-b973-ee461540d684@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230277
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 20, 8:23 am, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 19, 6:19 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > All your photo shows is a leaning top so maybe the next blast
> > countered that lean and made the builing straighten up before hitting
> > the ground.
>
> BWAAAAAHAHAHAAAAHAHAHAAA!!!! WrongTurnJoe be smoking some HEAVY DUTY
> crack!!
>
> > How can you assume it didnot collapse in its own
> > footprints,
>
> Umm, because of the huge mess that had to be cleaned up that covered
> multiple BLOCKS and which destroyed ADJACENT BUILDINGS? What the fuck
> kind of footprint did this building HAVE, WrongTurnJoe? Bozo the
> Clown's?
>
> > you must show every frame till the fall has stopped to say
> > that.
>
> No, you look at it after it all stops falling, kookazoid.

World Trade Center Plaza was its footprint,funny how nothing that was
not insurred by Larry Silverstien got damaged.

Limprod your desire to become a man will require an operation like
Chasity Bono got.Look how happy IT is after having a penis attached.If
you are scared to have one put on try the tongue rasping done it will
make your girl friends feel like they are being ravished by a cat.

2351. Re: Paul Gallo is a failure and so are you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 15:08:52 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23872-4DD68404-580@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230278

Yeah, in fact of the business,,,,, I Always Obey The Rules Of The Road.I Always DO.I have been driving since 1957, Obeying The Rules Of The Road.

I am waiting on my snail mail, not that I am expecting anything important, ergo I am not.Then I am going to the Goodwill store (in case Commie fed govt AssHoles wants to know) and the Lowe's store and the Dangerous Walmart store.I might even check out the EZ Pawn shop on the corner of Highway 80 and John R. Lynch Road and also the USA Pawn shop on the corner of Ellis Ave and John R. Lynch Road too.Map App em if you wants to.
cuhuin, Going to a few stores

2352. Paul Gallo is a Sucess and so am I.You are Jealous.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 15:31:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23875-4DD68939-51@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230284

We really are in a Depression.
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307919
cuhulin

2353. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 15:31:53 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a14f7e64-6b16-4692-9f3d-2a9ee26092ad@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 20, 7:22 am, Kevin Cunningham <sms...@mindspring.com> wrote:
> On May 20, 12:58 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >http://dailycaller.com/2011/05/20/wheres-the-birth-certificate-still-...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> What's the matter, racist? Can't find your Klan robes?
>
> At some point you would think that the above scum encrusted racist
> would just make his point, he doesn't like African-Americans and knows
> they are dumber than he.
>
> Hmm.....the above poster never attended Harvard Law.
>
> Obama did.
>
> The above poster never became a senator.
>
> Obama did.
>
> The above poster never became president.
>
> Obama did.
>
> The above poster never won a Nobel Prize.
>
> Obama did.
>
> The above poster never published two books that earned millions.
>
> Obama did.
>
> And that makes who the better, smarter person?

Pay no further attention to "John Smith"s desperate racist missives.
The bagwaggers are imploding, and these are merely the death throes.

2354. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 15:35:56 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e4de42d6-017d-4b83-b34e-11771bf95d74@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 19, 6:57 am, Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-
Everyth...@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 4:23 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 18, 6:42 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/18/2011 6:34 PM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>
> >>>> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>
> >>>>> ...
> >>>>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except in the
> >>>>> imaginations of the authors.
>
> >>>>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>
> >>> How deep is a rabbit hole...?
>
> >> Quite shallow!
>
> >> Life on earth is the only example of itself ... at least at this present
> >> time.
>
> >> Nothing in all of our view of the universe before us, earth, mars, moon,
> >> other planets, etc. would lead one to believe that life can "just happen
> >> by accident." "Spontaneous Generation", or life "just happening" was
> >> tried as a theory, long ago, although it still has its' followers, it
> >> has simply grown into a religion which would have one make a leap of
> >> faith against all logical thought and reason to believe ... end of story.
>
> >> Therefore, and borne out by occams' razor, the most likely explanation
> >> is that an intelligence created us ...
>
> Nothing tells us enough to have enough information for either theory and
> Occums Razor needs enough information to apply it.
>
> In this case we are short on facts for either assumption.
>
> No one has a simple proof there is no God and no one has proof there is
> a God. Leaving the same fate to the spontaneous life theory....

>
> The question is.... if life was started when the Earth was one billion
> years old, why hasn't it been started with new and different DNA again
> in the last three billion years?
>
> If Life was started "successfully" in the last 4 billion years, how many
> times was it started unsuccessfully in the last 4 billion years. By that
> I mean that if life was started that can and did have DNA to reproduce
> how many times did life get started with different DNA that couldn't
> reproduce? If it took Billions of "life creations" to start life that
> could reproduce, where are the billions of failed "life" that we have
> never seen because they have DNA that's different and can't reproduce?
>
> Evolution means that there are many failed and many variations in the
> many streams of DNA in life. Where are they?
>
> What has the universe produced only one of? Einstein predicted that
> there may even be multiple universes. It seems odd that there is only
> one type of reproducing DNA based life all from one common start of life
> three billion years ago. All the elements are still here waiting to
> start new life(reproducing and NON-reproducing) and should be doing so
> each new day. Where are they?- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

It is scientifically and logically impossible to prove that there is
no God. One of the primary tenets of logic, regardless of the topic,
is that the null hypothesis cannot be proven. The full burden of
proof rests on those who make the extraordinary claim to begin with.
Arguing about "trying to prove the existence or nonexistence of God"
is a waste of time for people who are unfamiliar with either science
or logic.

It is purely a matter of faith, and throwing around DNA arguments like
these above is just unscientific nonsense.

Bruce Jensen

2355. Re: RRI Palangkaraya, Indonesia on 3325 kHz @ 1302 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 15:36:37 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <805051ac-5fb3-418e-a1b6-345eb68d2f22@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230281

On May 20, 3:41 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> bpnjensen wrote:
> > On May 19, 5:17 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > > RRI Palangkaraya, Indonesia on 3325 kHz @ 1302 UTC
> > > on 8 Mayo 2011
>
> > > S-Meter : S5~S7 and SIO 343 with fair audio
> > > .
> > > Hearing Male & Female Voices in Indonesian?
> > > and Indonesian sounding Music/Song ?
> > > -but- No Actual ID of "RRI"
> > > .
> > > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > > 3325 kHz @ 0800 - 1610 UTC
> > > INS : RRI : Palangkaraya : IN : INS : p
> > > IN & INS = Indonesia
> > > RRI = Radio Republik Indonesia
> > > from Palangkaraya {City} on Borneo {Island}
> > > .
> > > Radio Republik Indonesia (RRI
Palangkaraya)http://www.rri.co.id/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Republik_Indo...
> > > .
> > > *Prior* Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info :
> > > * RRI Ternate, Indonesia on 3345 kHz @ 1245
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/a48...
> > > * Arabic Prayers on 9680 kHz @ 1050
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/529...
> > > .
> > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> > > .
> > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > .
> > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > .
> > > .
>
> > Thanks. I sent these guys a reception report about a month back.
> > Wonder if they respond?
>
> I have a QSL letter (verie, for those in Glendale) for reception on 19 March 1990 on
3325.

>
> That for a report in Indonesian.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Good call - I sent mine in Indonesian too.

2356. Re: RRI Palangkaraya, Indonesia on 3325 kHz @ 1302 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 15:37:09 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0bac6495-ac6f-4863-b1f3-4eaf065817c6@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230282

On May 20, 4:42 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > > On May 19, 5:17 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > > > RRI Palangkaraya, Indonesia on 3325 kHz @ 1302 UTC
> > > > on 8 Mayo 2011
>
> > > > S-Meter : S5~S7 and SIO 343 with fair audio
> > > > .
> > > > Hearing Male & Female Voices in Indonesian?
> > > > and Indonesian sounding Music/Song ?
> > > > -but- No Actual ID of "RRI"
> > > > .
> > > > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > > > 3325 kHz @ 0800 - 1610 UTC
> > > > INS : RRI : Palangkaraya : IN : INS : p
> > > > IN & INS = Indonesia
> > > > RRI = Radio Republik Indonesia
> > > > from Palangkaraya {City} on Borneo {Island}
> > > > .
> > > > Radio Republik Indonesia (RRI
Palangkaraya)http://www.rri.co.id/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Republik_Indo...
> > > > .
> > > > *Prior* Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info :
> > > > * RRI Ternate, Indonesia on 3345 kHz @ 1245
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/a48...
> > > > * Arabic Prayers on 9680 kHz @ 1050
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/529...
> > > > .
> > > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> > > > .
> > > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > > .
> > > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > > .
> > > > .
>
> > > Thanks. I sent these guys a reception report about a month back.
> > > Wonder if they respond?
>

> > I have a QSL letter (verie, for those in Glendale) for reception on 19 March 1990 on 3325.
>
> > That for a report in Indonesian.
>
> Using the NASWA Country List it counts under Asia as Indonesia - Kalimantan (Borneo).
>
>
>
>
>
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Thanks for this. It was easy enough to hear - but who knows if
they'll QSL anymore?

2357. Re: EE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 15:39:17 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <55489648-3897-41c7-afe8-eb3f05264fc5@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230283

On May 19, 4:41 pm, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> bpnjensen wrote:
> > On May 19, 3:47 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > > On May 19, 9:13 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 19, 4:25 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC
> > > > > on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> > > > > S-Meter : S6~S9 and SIO 434 with good audio
>
> > > > > Heard Male and Female Voices in Spanish @ 0520 UTC
> > > > > and Female Voice repeat the "REE" ID three times
> > > > > @ 0528 UTC + Plus 0530 UTC BOH 'Code' "...._"
> > > > > .
> > > > > *Prior* Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info
> > > > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/a48...
> > > > > .
> > > > > Radio Exterior de Espana
(REE)http://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/...
> > > > > .
> > > > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> > > > > .
> > > > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > > > .
> > > > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > > > .
> > > > > .
> > > > > On May 17, 5:18 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550 UTC
> > > > > > on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> > > > > > S-Meter : S7~S9 and SIO 444 with good audio
>
> > > > > > Heard Male Voice in Spanish @ 0550 UTC
> > > > > > and Female Voice "REE" ID @ 0555 UTC
> > > > > > .
> > > > > > Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) via Costa

Ricahttp://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/
> > > > > > .
> > > > > > > Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) Frequencies &
Timeshttp://www.rtve.es/contenidos/documentos/frecuencias032011.pdf
> > > > > > .
> > > > > > > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > > > > > > 3350 kHz : 0200-0600 UTC
> > > > > > > E : Radio Exterior España : S : CAm : /CTR
> > > > > > > Spain REE in Spanish to Central America via Costa Rica
> > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > -other- Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) -reports-
> > > > > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:27
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b36b8d3ae9db114e
> > > > > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:50
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9a0e75b3965be553
> > > > > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 17850 kHz @ 21:05 UTC
{Soccer}http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dace9126350a80f3
> > > > > > > -note- REE Shortwave Transmitter Site is near
> > > > > > > Cariari de Pococi, Costa Rica, Central America
> > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > > > > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > > > > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > Thanks. It would be cool if either REE or his relay site QSLed - the
> > > > way things are going, neither one will be around much longer - and
> > > > it's been a LONG time since we've heard Faro del Caribe :-(
>
> > > IIRC -wrt- Faro del Caribe
> > > Gene Scott is 'there' 24/7
>
> > 5054.6 kHz?
>
> Last I see Faro del Caribe reported in LA SW Logs was in 2004.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Thanks - I cannot remember any reception of them since about that time
either.

2358. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 16:22:51 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir64gv$8v5$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/20/2011 7:06 AM, Warren E. Harrison wrote:
> ...
> No, he would not have been. The law has been cited and you're wrong.
> His mother was not old enough - she had not been physically present in
> the USA for five years after the age of 14 at the time of his birth.

You are 100% correct. irontard has never been correct ... don't make
here screw up her perfect record!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2359. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 16:28:22 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir64ra$8v5$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/20/2011 6:30 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> ...
>
> I am a Conservative and I am a Christian and I always Stop.
> cuhulin

Whether you are a liberal-conservative, a anal-conservative, a conservative-liberal, or an anal one ... you make far too much self-importance out of it all ... <yawn>

Did your mommy tell you that you were special?

Sorry, reality can be a harsh master! You either know a crooked public servant when you see one, you know a scam when you see one, you know a crime when you see one, etc., or you don't ... :-(

Regards,
JS

2360. Re: TIMOTHY LEARY IS MY HERO -- SIGNED DAVE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 16:31:04 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir650b$8v5$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/20/2011 7:39 AM, dave wrote:

>> ... dave ain't here man, go away!

A mind is a terrible thing to waste! Give dave free drugs so he can
think about something else, rather than scamming society to get welfare
to buy them for him ...

Regards,
JS

2361. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 16:33:37 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6555$8v5$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california

On 5/20/2011 7:22 AM, Kevin Cunningham wrote:
> ...
> What's the matter, racist? Can't find your Klan robes?
>
> At some point you would think that the above scum encrusted racist
> would just make his point, he doesn't like African-Americans and knows
> they are dumber than he.
>
> Hmm.....the above poster never attended Harvard Law.
>
> Obama did.
>
> The above poster never became a senator.
>
> Obama did.
>
> The above poster never became president.
>
> Obama did.
>
> The above poster never won a Nobel Prize.
>
> Obama did.
>
> The above poster never published two books that earned millions.
>
> Obama did.
>
> And that makes who the better, smarter person?

Uhhh, you didn't read the sign on the door, huh?

Negro worship is not allowed here. We are going to have to ask you to
leave sir!

Regards,
JS

2362. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 16:37:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir65ck$8v5$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california

On 5/20/2011 8:31 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> Apw apoifaj assfd adgopyuasdf asdfl a apoiufas asdf opiu.
> Pp asdpfoi agopia asd aspodif gasd asdfpoiaf asfa asd aspdoif.

Take the penis out of your mouth! How many times are we going to have to tell you that? You know we can't understand you with your mouth full ... and, read the sign on the door, on your way out! It say, "No homosexual activity on premises!"

Regards,
JS

2363. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 16:41:41 UTC
From: DCI <50bjdk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <300330d8-53fb-4228-bb3c-8246d726280d@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california

On May 20, 9:37 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/20/2011 8:31 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
>
>
> > Apw apoifaj assfd adgopyuasdf asdfl a apoiufas asdf opiu.
> > Pp asdpfoi agopia asd aspodif gasd asdfpoiaf asfa asd aspdoif.
>
> Take the penis out of your mouth! How many times are we going to have
> to tell you that? You know we can't understand you with your mouth full
> ... and, read the sign on the door, on your way out! It say, "No
> homosexual activity on premises!"
>
> Regards,
> JS

JS, just my opinion, you'll strengthen your arguments when you cease
to use such profane retorts. Give it a thought.

DCI

2364. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 16:41:58 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir65kq$8v5$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/20/2011 8:35 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> ... quoted text -
> It is scientifically and logically impossible to prove that there is
> no God. One of the primary tenets of logic, regardless of the topic,
> is that the null hypothesis cannot be proven. The full burden of
> proof rests on those who make the extraordinary claim to begin with.
> Arguing about "trying to prove the existence or nonexistence of God"
> is a waste of time for people who are unfamiliar with either science
> or logic.
>
> It is purely a matter of faith, and throwing around DNA arguments like
> these above is just unscientific nonsense.
>
> Bruce Jensen

Or, simply, most everything is possible, until someone takes drugs, or
an education with the public school system ... a mind is a terrible
thing to waste ...

Regards,
JS

2365. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 16:46:51 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir65tv$8v5$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california,alt.conspiracy

On 5/20/2011 9:41 AM, DCI wrote:
> ...
>> Take the penis out of your mouth! How many times are we going to have
>> to tell you that? You know we can't understand you with your mouth full
>> ... and, read the sign on the door, on your way out! It say, "No
>> homosexual activity on premises!"
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> JS, just my opinion, you'll strengthen your arguments when you cease
> to use such profane retorts. Give it a thought.
>
> DCI

Sorry, I just don't give a damn. When logic, reason and sanity can no longer stand on their own, with or without my support, there are powers at work which I have little control over, anyway ... it is the responsibility of others to determine truth over disinformation, obfuscation and outright scams.

I enjoy satire in my humor ... especially when it fits the individual in question like a fine silk glove. :-)

Regards,
JS

2366. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 16:51:33 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <yMKdnYQfDeCGAUvQnZ2dnUVZ5h6dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/20/2011 9:22 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/20/2011 7:06 AM, Warren E. Harrison wrote:
>> ...
>> No, he would not have been. The law has been cited and you're wrong.
>> His mother was not old enough - she had not been physically present in
>> the USA for five years after the age of 14 at the time of his birth.
>
> You are 100% correct. irontard has never been correct ... don't make
> here screw up her perfect record!

irantard is a snarky young male, who for some bizarre reason likes to
pretend he's a 60+ year old woman.

2367. Re: POWDER-PUFF DYKE POPS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 16:52:00 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir667k$8v5$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230294
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/20/2011 5:20 AM, Iarnrod wrote:
> ...
> It's a study in stupidity by you, kook. I guess you're too stupid to
> see the error that the blogger made. Hint: He's looking at the wrong
> building. Blatant and obvious error by yet another 9/11 kooker!!
>
> You sure did not earn your money tonight from your hero Cheeeeeney,
> you traitor rightard bushkultie.

What is worse than a lesbian (homosexual) irontard in your face?

A macho, on steroids, sociopathic irontard IN YOUR FACE AND DOING A SCREAMING-DYKE ROUTINE! (Or, powder-puff dykes, all pumped up, can scare people in dark alleys! -- you'll get used to her.)

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2368. Re: INVALID STANDS WITH MIRACLE INJECTIONS OF TESTOSTERONE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 16:56:03 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir66f7$8v5$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230295
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On 5/20/2011 7:45 AM, joeturn wrote:
> ...
> Limprod your desire to become a man will require an operation like
> Chasity Bono ...

Her operation failed? It is only the testosterone injections giving her
false masculine features?

I am speechless!

ROFLOL (but can still laugh! :-)

Regards,
JS

2369. Re: Sorry ... medical science just can't help in some extreme cases ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 16:59:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir66m6$8v5$10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On 5/20/2011 9:51 AM, George Plimpton wrote:
> On 5/20/2011 9:22 AM, John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/20/2011 7:06 AM, Warren E. Harrison wrote:
>>> ...
>>> No, he would not have been. The law has been cited and you're wrong.
>>> His mother was not old enough - she had not been physically present in
>>> the USA for five years after the age of 14 at the time of his birth.
>>
>> You are 100% correct. irontard has never been correct ... don't make
>> here screw up her perfect record!
>
> irantard is a snarky young male, who for some bizarre reason likes to
> pretend he's a 60+ year old woman.

She got that way though estrogen injections and wearing her mothers
underwear and high heels -- recognizing her error, she is attempting to
reverse the process though testosterone injections ... some confusion
(massive in her case) is always noted in such cases ...

ROFLOL!!!

Regards,
JS
```

2370. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 17:07:44 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6753$8v4$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230297
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 9:09 AM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Fri, 20 May 2011 08:58:56 -0700 (PDT), JohnJohnsn
> <TopCop1988@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> Why is it that all you Left-Wing'ers believe that the "rich" (code
>> word for "capatalists") hoard their money and never spend it into our
>> economy?
> They don't. What they see is that "the rich" often spend their money
> in ways that don't contribute optimally for the betterment of the
> whole country.

Sounds like one hell of a theory to me! You pump up the riches wealth
until every time they bend over, cash falls from their arses!

ROFLOL!!! That one gets me every time I hear it!

> While there's an argument to be made that it is good for the world to
> invest overseas - we need tax revenues to pay to protect those
> overseas investments with our military.

Yeah, what good is the job, to the guy in china, unless he has you
paying for a military to protect his job??? Listen to the man, IMBECILE!

ROFLOL!!! That is the second best one I have ever heard!

> The country needs revenues - the optimal way to get the money is to
> have a large healthy tax-paying middle class.

Yeah, the ones least capable of affording it have always payed for the
roads, public utilities, tax bribes to public servants for the rich,
etc. -- GET USED TO IT!

ROFLOL

Self-important morons screaming at the people to make sacrifices for
them -- you just gotta love it!

Regards,
JS

2371. Re: DW is cutting back .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 17:08:00 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f887cd63-12a7-4e86-9d37-efbdf60ccaf6@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230298

On May 19, 9:44 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> DW is in the process of closing several relay sites . The plan is to
> cut back SW broadcasts from 260 to just 55 hours .

Not just several relay sites and hours, but many langauges as well.
From our NAm perspective, probably not a big deal, since the Kigali
transmitter will remain operational, with English, and it is well-
heard on this side of the pond - but S & SE Asia will really miss the
Trincomalee language broadcasts. Several Indian and Sri Lankan
friends have expressed dismay.

Bruce

2372. Re: 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC ?Radio Olimpio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 17:08:49 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a1aec874-9694-4348-ab99-eda8f2f329d6@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230299

On May 19, 8:29 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 19, 9:25 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/19/2011 5:31 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> > > 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC
> > > on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> > > A lot of 'Radio Olimpio' {Program} IDs in Spanish
> > > and Latin American Place Names by Male Hosts
> > > -then- Program Change? with Female Host @ 2145 UTC
>
> > > S-Meter : S3~S6 and SIO 444 and good audio
>
> > > .
> > > .
>
> > I'd rather DX jetliners than listen to religious radio in a foreign
> > language.
>
> How about WYFR in English ? Don't you ever listen to their
> broadcasts ? Especially now , since according to them : The End Is
> Near ! ! !- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Okeechobee is going bananas :-)

2373. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 17:09:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir678j$8v4$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230300
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 9:17 AM, Bert Hyman wrote:
> ...
> Presumably, you know better than I do about how to spend my money.
>
> Obviously, you're a wannabe authoritarian thug.

Didn't you just hear him???

Your lot in life is to keep throwing money at him until he reaches a point, in wealth, where he, and his ilk, will take care of you!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2374. Re: Radio Verdad on 4055 kHz @ 0545 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 17:11:08 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c0fcc078-d764-464d-8569-001893e0819e@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230301

On May 19, 3:51 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Radio Verdad* on 4055 kHz @ 0545 UTC
> on 19 Mayo 2011
> * -english- "Radio Truth"
>
> S-Meter : S3~S5 with SIO 333 and fair audio
> with some consistent background band noise
>
> Hear English Preaching @ 0545 UTC
> -followed-by- Spanish Music and an
> English ID "Radio Truth" @ 0546 UTC
> -next- Religious Music "Ava Maria"
> -then- English ID "Radio Truth" @ 0552 UTC
> with PO Box 5 ~something~ Guatemala, C.A.
> -next-song- Answer the Promised Call... @ 0553 UTC
> -followed-by- "The Lord's Prayer" in English @ 0554 UTC
> -then- Male Voice Spanish "Radio Verdad" ID + Address
> -next- Male Voice Spanish "Radio Truth" ID + Address
> -then- Female Voice Spanish "Radio Verdad" ID + Address @ 0557 UTC
> -followed-by- a few more M&F English & Spanish IDs
> Ending with an English "Good Night" @ 0601 UTC
> -which-is- 12:01 AM Local Midnight
> -followed-by- Marching Song ?National Anthem? to 0606 UTC
> with the Transmitter Off-the-Air @ 0606 UTC

> .
> .

They play quite a bit of good music, including classical.

2375. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 17:11:28 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir67c4$8v4$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230302
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 8:58 AM, JohnJohnsn wrote:
> ...
> Why is it that all you Left-Wing'ers believe that the "rich" (code
> word for "capatalists") hoard their money and never spend it into our
> economy?
> ...

TRANSLATION: If the rich have to pay their own way, how will they ever become or remain wealthy on your money and labors???

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2376. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 17:26:39 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28406e5e4c22571898a22b@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california

In article <300330d8-53fb-4228-bb3c-8246d726280d@
35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>, 50bjdk@gmail.com says...
>
> On May 20, 9:37ï¿œam, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> > On 5/20/2011 8:31 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > > Apw apoifaj assfd adgopyuasdf asdfl a apoiufas asdf opiu.
> > > Pp asdpfoi agopia asd aspodif gasd asdfpoiaf asfa asd aspdoif.
> >
> > Take the penis out of your mouth! ï¿œHow many times are we going to have
> > to tell you that? ï¿œYou know we can't understand you with your mouth full
> > ... and, read the sign on the door, on your way out! ï¿œIt say, "No
> > homosexual activity on premises!"
> >
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> JS, just my opinion, you'll strengthen your arguments when you cease
> to use such profane retorts. Give it a thought.
>
> DCI

Kooks of any kind almost always go "gay" when they have nothing else
left to reply with.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2377. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 17:45:35 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2ab773b7-4f2b-44b6-a1cd-02d119e3ff1b@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california

On May 20, 9:37 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/20/2011 8:31 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
>
>
> > > Apw apoifaj assfd adgopyuasdf asdfl a apoiufas asdf opiu.
> > > Pp asdpfoi agopia asd aspodif gasd asdfpoiaf asfa asd aspdoif.
>
> Take the penis out of your mouth! How many times are we going to have
> to tell you that? You know we can't understand you with your mouth full
> ... and, read the sign on the door, on your way out! It say, "No
> homosexual activity on premises!"
>
> Regards,
> JS

John Smith finds the perfect way to respond when you have nothing
intelligent to say. 'Tard.

2378. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 17:46:55 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ac14ced8-3bf9-4a8e-b574-33bf0f208d53@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california,alt.conspiracy

On May 20, 9:46 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/20/2011 9:41 AM, DCI wrote:
>
> > ...
> >> Take the penis out of your mouth! How many times are we going to have
> >> to tell you that? You know we can't understand you with your mouth full
> >> ... and, read the sign on the door, on your way out! It say, "No
> >> homosexual activity on premises!"
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > JS, just my opinion, you'll strengthen your arguments when you cease
> > to use such profane retorts. Give it a thought.
>
> > DCI
>
> Sorry, I just don't give a damn. When logic, reason and sanity can no
> longer stand on their own, with or without my support, there are powers
> at work which I have little control over, anyway ... it is the
> responsibility of others to determine truth over disinformation,
> obfuscation and outright scams.
>
> I enjoy satire in my humor ... especially when it fits the individual in
> question like a fine silk glove. :-)
>
> Regards,
> JS

You have neither logic, sanity, reason nor satire. All you have is
sheer ignorance and stupidity.

2379. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 17:47:50 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e9103e45-9607-42aa-91f3-a0d12f00efcb@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california

On May 20, 9:33 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/20/2011 7:22 AM, Kevin Cunningham wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > ...
> > What's the matter, racist? Can't find your Klan robes?
>
> > At some point you would think that the above scum encrusted racist
> > would just make his point, he doesn't like African-Americans and knows
> > they are dumber than he.
>
> > Hmm.....the above poster never attended Harvard Law.
>
> > Obama did.
>
> > The above poster never became a senator.
>
> > Obama did.
>
> > The above poster never became president.
>
> > Obama did.
>
> > The above poster never won a Nobel Prize.
>
> > Obama did.
>
> > The above poster never published two books that earned millions.
>
> > Obama did.
>
> > And that makes who the better, smarter person?
>
> Uhhh, you didn't read the sign on the door, huh?
>
> Negro worship is not allowed here. We are going to have to ask you to
> leave sir!
>
> Regards,

> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

The inbred douchebag Smith has spoken!

2380. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming -- CRIMINAL PUBLIC SERVANTS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 18:07:45 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6all$d5n$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230307
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 10:57 AM, SaPeIsMa wrote:
> ...
>
> BZZZZT.
> WRONG !
> Neither Canada NOR the US are a "democracy"..
> ...

The United States was created as a democratic republic. We elect public servants who then act in the directions we direct them to ...

The people are doing their part, the public servants are serving money and power in a shadow government while turning a deaf ear on the demands of the people ...

Regards,
JS

2381. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 18:11:38 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6asu$d5n$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california,alt.conspiracy

On 5/20/2011 10:46 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> ...
> You have neither logic, sanity, reason nor satire. All you have is
> sheer ignorance and stupidity.

Yeah, well you are not funny and make a poor clown!

NOW REMOVE THE PENIS!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2382. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 18:12:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6av7$d5n$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/20/2011 10:45 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> ...
> John Smith finds the perfect way to respond when you have nothing
> intelligent to say. 'Tard.

Create any diversion you like, BUT REMOVE THE DAMN PENIS!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2383. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 18:14:44 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6b2n$d5n$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california,rec.sport.golf

On 5/20/2011 10:47 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> ...
> The inbred douchebag Smith has spoken!

Combining the use of your "douchebag" with the penis, at the same time,
may endanger your health, read the safety warning on the box, and on the
shaft!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2384. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming -- CRIMINAL PUBLIC SERVANTS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 18:39:35 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6chb$v76$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230311
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On 5/20/2011 11:30 AM, Bert Hyman wrote:
> ...
> That's funny.
>
> Even after taking into account the explicit limitations placed on their
> actions by the Constitution.
>
> Any reason, other than that you're some sort of jerk, that you're adding
> even more newsgroups (which I've removed) where this thread is
> completely off topic?
>
> [Newsgroups trimmed]

The people are the government, we elect public servants ... find that
funny or any damn way you like.

Regards,
JS

2385. WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC : "Info Wars" with Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 18:41:24 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <fabc1166-e26e-43c2-8d55-d09357ec623d@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230312

It's Time For... "Info Wars" with Alex Jones
via WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC
.
Breaking News... Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi
about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.
.
Startling Revelations by Jerome Corsi to Alex Jones :
The Non-Marriage : The Cover-Up
The Ann Dunham Passport : The Cover-Up
The Trip to Kenya : The Cover-Up
The INS Investigation of Barack H Obama Senior : The Cover-Up
The Real Father of Barack H Obama : The Cover-Up
The Manufacturer Hawaiian Birther Records : The Cover-Up
Barack H Obama Junior a Life of Lies : The Cover-Up
-the-cover-up- -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up-
-the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes-
.
Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
-&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9e0c9455442f3db5
Again : Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
-why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
-on-the-original-micro-film-record-
-and-who-made-them...-who...-
.
But First For Some 'Birther' Humor :o) Oops...
It's Hanging Chad's All Over Again !!!
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/3d7638c4f0297e27
.

Info Wars on WWCR Times and Frequencies
http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
.
"The Case That Barack Obama Is Not {No Way} Eligible
{Legally} To Be President" the new tell-it-all book
-by- Jerome Corsi
http://www.amazon.com/dp/1936488299/
Yeah - President Obama is Already Feeling The Heat from
Jerome Corsi's New Tell-It-All Book
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
.

YES : Jerome Corsi's Book About Obama
Reveals Many More Unanswered Questions
Beyond the Birth Certificate Issue...
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
.
Listen to Alex Jones "Info Wars" on Shortwave Radio
http://www.infowars.com/listen.html
-via- Shortwave Radio Station WWCR
M-F 11 AM - 2 PM on 12,160 MHz : 1600~1900 UTC
M-F 9 PM - 12 AM on 4,840 MHz : 0200~0500 UTC
Tue-Sat 12 AM - 3 AM on 3,215 MHz : 0200~0800 UTC
All Times "Central" {CST/CDT - USA}
"Info Wars" -host- Alex Jones
http://www.wwcr.com/pgm-links.html
-wrt- http://www.infowars.com/
.
XM Radio has Alex Jones "Info Wars"
XM RADIO XM Channel # 158
(Channel 158 Airs 8-9 PM Weekdays Central Time)
.
On-Line via Genesis Communications Network [GCN]
http://www.gcnlive.com/schedule.php
* Alex Jones On-Demand GCN-Line
http://www.gcnlive.com/mediaPlayers/odPlayer.php?program=alexJones
.
"Info-Wars" with Alex Jones... Coming To a 'Local'
{Affiliate} AM/FM Radio Station Near You :o)
http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/alexJones/affiliateList.pdf
.
yes - i heard alex jones 'info-war' on-the-radio ~ RHF
-and-you-can-too--by--just-listening-:o)-
.
YES - I Am Listening To Alex Jones Right Now ! :o) ~ RHF
-info-wars- -info-wars- -info-wars- -info-wars-
-talking-about-ron-paul- -talking-about-ron-paul-
-the-globalists- -the-globalists- -the-globalists-
-al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da-
.
.

2386. Re: WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC : "Info Wars" with Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 18:43:04 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6cns$v76$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230313

On 5/20/2011 11:41 AM, RHF wrote:
> It's Time For... "Info Wars" with Alex Jones
> via WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC
> .
> Breaking News... Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi
> about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.
> .
> Startling Revelations by Jerome Corsi to Alex Jones :
> The Non-Marriage : The Cover-Up
> The Ann Dunham Passport : The Cover-Up
> The Trip to Kenya : The Cover-Up
> The INS Investigation of Barack H Obama Senior : The Cover-Up
> The Real Father of Barack H Obama : The Cover-Up
> The Manufacturer Hawaiian Birther Records : The Cover-Up
> Barack H Obama Junior a Life of Lies : The Cover-Up
> -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up-
> -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes-
> .
> Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
> -&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9e0c9455442f3db5
> Again : Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
> 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
> -why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
> -on-the-original-micro-film-record-
> -and-who-made-them...-who...-
> .
> But First For Some 'Birther' Humor :o) Oops...
> It's Hanging Chad's All Over Again !!!
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/3d7638c4f0297e27
> .
>
>
> Info Wars on WWCR Times and Frequencies
> http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> .
> "The Case That Barack Obama Is Not {No Way} Eligible
> {Legally} To Be President" the new tell-it-all book
> -by- Jerome Corsi
> http://www.amazon.com/dp/1936488299/
> Yeah - President Obama is Already Feeling The Heat from
> Jerome Corsi's New Tell-It-All Book

> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
> .
> YES : Jerome Corsi's Book About Obama
> Reveals Many More Unanswered Questions
> Beyond the Birth Certificate Issue...
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
> .
> Listen to Alex Jones "Info Wars" on Shortwave Radio
> http://www.infowars.com/listen.html
> -via- Shortwave Radio Station WWCR
> M-F 11 AM - 2 PM on 12,160 MHz : 1600~1900 UTC
> M-F 9 PM - 12 AM on 4,840 MHz : 0200~0500 UTC
> Tue-Sat 12 AM - 3 AM on 3,215 MHz : 0200~0800 UTC
> All Times "Central" {CST/CDT - USA}
> "Info Wars" -host- Alex Jones
> http://www.wwcr.com/pgm-links.html
> -wrt- http://www.infowars.com/
> .
> XM Radio has Alex Jones "Info Wars"
> XM RADIO XM Channel # 158
> (Channel 158 Airs 8-9 PM Weekdays Central Time)
> .
> On-Line via Genesis Communications Network [GCN]
> http://www.gcnlive.com/schedule.php
> * Alex Jones On-Demand GCN-Line
> http://www.gcnlive.com/mediaPlayers/odPlayer.php?program=alexJones
> .
> "Info-Wars" with Alex Jones... Coming To a 'Local'
> {Affiliate} AM/FM Radio Station Near You :o)
> http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/alexJones/affiliateList.pdf
> .
> yes - i heard alex jones 'info-war' on-the-radio ~ RHF
> -and-you-can-too--by--just-listening-:o)-
> .
> YES - I Am Listening To Alex Jones Right Now ! :o) ~ RHF
> -info-wars- -info-wars- -info-wars- -info-wars-
> -talking-about-ron-paul- -talking-about-ron-paul-
> -the-globalists- -the-globalists- -the-globalists-
> -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da-
> .
> .

Yep, todays' broadcast was/is quite informative!

Regards,
JS

2387. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming -- CRIMINAL PUBLIC SERVANTS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 18:45:41 UTC
From: Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dd6b6d5$0$31272$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 230314
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/20/2011 10:57 AM, SaPeIsMa wrote:
>
>> ...
>>
>> BZZZZT.
>> WRONG !
>> Neither Canada NOR the US are a "democracy"..
>> ...
>
>
> The United States was created as a democratic republic.

You must be from England, or some other backwards country, so as to be
so constantly wrong.

2388. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming -- CRIMINAL PUBLIC SERVANTS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 18:51:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6d8b$v76$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230315
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 11:45 AM, Dave LaRue wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> On 5/20/2011 10:57 AM, SaPeIsMa wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>>
>>> BZZZZT.
>>> WRONG !
>>> Neither Canada NOR the US are a "democracy"..
>>> ...
>>
>>
>> The United States was created as a democratic republic.
>
> You must be from England, or some other backwards country, so as to be
> so constantly wrong.

You must be an illegal alien, or even a space alien!:

http://constitutionus.com/

democracy
- this word is not in these documents directly, but ï¿½We the peopleï¿½
and ï¿½Republican Form of Governmentï¿½ are - most people say our form
of government is a ï¿½Federal Democratic Republicï¿½

They "say that" because that fits the description! READ IT!

Regards,
JS

2389. Re: Mexico catches 513 illegal invaders. Next day 490 are deported

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 18:53:41 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6dbo$v76$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230316
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.immigration,alt.true-crime,talk.politics.guns,misc.immigration.usa,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 11:41 AM, Dave LaRue wrote:
> ...
> Wrong again, you drunken, self loathing, racist donkey fucker.

I am sorry I asked what you thought of yourself, and what you did in
your spare time!

Forget I asked!

Regards,
JS

2390. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 18:59:43 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6dn2$v76$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california

On 5/20/2011 10:26 AM, BDK wrote:
> ...
> Kooks of any kind almost always go "gay" when they have nothing else
> left to reply with.

You don't think that obvious? What has happened to you ...

Regards,
JS

2391. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 19:16:12 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284088091aa0d22098a230@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california

In article <ir6dn2$v76$7@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmail.com says...
>
> On 5/20/2011 10:26 AM, BDK wrote:
> > ...
> > > Kooks of any kind almost always go "gay" when they have nothing else
> > > left to reply with.
>
> You don't think that obvious? What has happened to you ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

It's obvious you kooks keep doing it, but what's not obvious is why
you're so obsessed with gay stuff, and how exactly you loons keep from
being locked up in a secured mental health facility.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2392. Re: WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC : "Info Wars" with Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 19:18:02 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2840887223aba3bf98a231@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230319

In article <fabc1166-e26e-43c2-8d55-
d09357ec623d@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>, rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net
says...
>
> It's Time For... "Info Wars" with Alex Jones
> via WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC
> .
> Breaking News... Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi
> about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.
> .
> Startling Revelations by Jerome Corsi to Alex Jones :
> The Non-Marriage : The Cover-Up
> The Ann Dunham Passport : The Cover-Up
> The Trip to Kenya : The Cover-Up
> The INS Investigation of Barack H Obama Senior : The Cover-Up
> The Real Father of Barack H Obama : The Cover-Up
> The Manufacturer Hawaiian Birther Records : The Cover-Up
> Barack H Obama Junior a Life of Lies : The Cover-Up
> -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up-
> -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes-
> .
> Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
> -&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9e0c9455442f3db5
> Again : Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
> 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
> -why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
> -on-the-original-micro-film-record-
> -and-who-made-them...-who...-
> .
> But First For Some 'Birther' Humor :o) Oops...
> It's Hanging Chad's All Over Again !!!
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/3d7638c4f0297e27
> .
>
>
> Info Wars on WWCR Times and Frequencies
> http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> .
> "The Case That Barack Obama Is Not {No Way} Eligible
> {Legally} To Be President" the new tell-it-all book
> -by- Jerome Corsi

> http://www.amazon.com/dp/1936488299/
> Yeah - President Obama is Already Feeling The Heat from
> Jerome Corsi's New Tell-It-All Book
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
> .
> YES : Jerome Corsi's Book About Obama
> Reveals Many More Unanswered Questions
> Beyond the Birth Certificate Issue...
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
> .
> Listen to Alex Jones "Info Wars" on Shortwave Radio
> http://www.infowars.com/listen.html
> -via- Shortwave Radio Station WWCR
> M-F 11 AM - 2 PM on 12,160 MHz : 1600~1900 UTC
> M-F 9 PM - 12 AM on 4,840 MHz : 0200~0500 UTC
> Tue-Sat 12 AM - 3 AM on 3,215 MHz : 0200~0800 UTC
> All Times "Central" {CST/CDT - USA}
> "Info Wars" -host- Alex Jones
> http://www.wwcr.com/pgm-links.html
> -wrt- http://www.infowars.com/
> .
> XM Radio has Alex Jones "Info Wars"
> XM RADIO XM Channel # 158
> (Channel 158 Airs 8-9 PM Weekdays Central Time)
> .
> On-Line via Genesis Communications Network [GCN]
> http://www.gcnlive.com/schedule.php
> * Alex Jones On-Demand GCN-Line
> http://www.gcnlive.com/mediaPlayers/odPlayer.php?program=alexJones
> .
> "Info-Wars" with Alex Jones... Coming To a 'Local'
> {Affiliate} AM/FM Radio Station Near You :o)
> http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/alexJones/affiliateList.pdf
> .
> yes - i heard alex jones 'info-war' on-the-radio ~ RHF
> -and-you-can-too--by--just-listening-:o)-
> .
> YES - I Am Listening To Alex Jones Right Now ! :o) ~ RHF
> -info-wars- -info-wars- -info-wars- -info-wars-
> -talking-about-ron-paul- -talking-about-ron-paul-
> -the-globalists- -the-globalists- -the-globalists-
> -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da-
> .
> .

That's why it's known as "World Wide Crackpot Radio". And Corsi is one
of the all time biggest crackpots out there. A raving loon.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2393. Re: OBAMA, TONS OF OBFUSCATION -- STILL NO VALID BIRTH CERTIFICATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 19:21:37 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6f04$v76$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california,alt.conspiracy

On 5/20/2011 12:16 PM, BDK wrote:
> ...
> It's obvious you kooks keep doing it, but what's not obvious is why
> you're so obsessed with gay stuff, and how exactly you loons keep from
> being locked up in a secured mental health facility.

Hey, we are not forcing the twisted logic, reason and sanity which is a signature of the homosexuals traits!

Something so obvious is hard to miss ... I know your delusions tell you otherwise, DUH!

ROFLOL

/Where's the Birth Certificate?: The Case that Barack Obama is not Eligible to be President/
<http://www.infowarsshop.com/Wheres-The-Birth-Certificate-_p_446.html>
-- by Jerome Corsi

Regards,
JS

2394. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming -- CRIMINAL PUBLIC SERVANTS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 19:27:04 UTC
From: Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dd6c089$0$9099$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 230321
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/20/2011 11:45 AM, Dave LaRue wrote:
>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/20/2011 10:57 AM, SaPeIsMa wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>>
>>>> BZZZZT.
>>>> WRONG !
>>>> Neither Canada NOR the US are a "democracy"..
>>>> ...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> The United States was created as a democratic republic.
>>
>>
>> You must be from England, or some other backwards country, so as to be
>> so constantly wrong.
>
>
> You must be an illegal alien, or even a space alien!:
>
> http://constitutionus.com/
>
> democracy

Again, you show that you are a retard from the UK, or someplace even
more retarded.
The United States is a CONSTITUTIONAL REPUBLIC!

2395. Re: Mexico catches 513 illegal invaders. Next day 490 are deported

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 19:29:20 UTC
From: Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dd6c113$0$9090$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 230322
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.immigration,alt.true-crime,talk.politics.guns,misc.immigration.usa,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/20/2011 11:41 AM, Dave LaRue wrote:
>
>> ...
>> Wrong again, you drunken, self loathing, racist donkey fucker.
>
>
> I am sorry I asked what you thought of yourself, and what you did in
> your spare time!
>
> Forget I asked!

There's lots of things you forgot, you retard!

After all, you think Judy, the drunken GM driver is a viable human.

2396. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming -- CRIMINAL PUBLIC SERVANTS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 19:30:10 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD6C142-7044@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230326

Canada's Parliment (spelling, Parliment, Sheet, who cares?) (govt) is
sort of kind of modeled from the one the Brits have, I Do believe
so.They kiss up to the Brit queen.Australia's isn't much different, I Do
believe do, I think.
cuhulin, I Think, Therefore I Am
///Hey Mope, what are you thinking?//
I don't know, Sang
///Choie ka oieeeee!,,,,///

2397. Re: WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC : "Info Wars" with Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 19:32:35 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6fkn$v76$10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,sci.skeptic,alt.california

On 5/20/2011 12:18 PM, BDK wrote:
> ...
> That's why it's known as "World Wide Crackpot Radio". And Corsi is one
> of the all time biggest crackpots out there. A raving loon.

ï¿½Unfit For Command: /Swift Boat/ Veterans Speak Out Against John Kerryï¿½
-- Jerome Corsi

The above book brought the truth out about John Kerry, a stunning work
of detective work and developing the truth while so many attempted the
cover up ...

His, just released, book, "Where's the Birth Certificate?: The Case that
Barack Obama is not Eligible to be President" -- by Jerome Corsi, is
just as valid and hard hitting with facts and proofs of the crimes in
progress by barack obama (AKA barry soetoro) and his henchmen.

You? A nutjob with personal opinions and personal attacks on those who
point out facts ... sad, so very, very sad ...

Regards,
JS

2398. Re: DW is cutting back .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 19:34:53 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DD6C25D-2340@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230327

DW, Deutsche Welle? I haven't clicked on Deutsche Welle tee vee news in quite a while.Whenever it is on tee vee (DirecTV) it is on the LINK channel 375.That is a democrap channel anyway.I almost never click on that Sheet.
cuhulin

2399. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming -- CRIMINAL PUBLIC SERVANTS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 19:35:57 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6fr1$v76$11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230324
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 12:27 PM, Dave LaRue wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> On 5/20/2011 11:45 AM, Dave LaRue wrote:
>>
>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>> On 5/20/2011 10:57 AM, SaPeIsMa wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>>
>>>>> BZZZZT.
>>>>> WRONG !
>>>>> Neither Canada NOR the US are a "democracy"..
>>>>> ...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> The United States was created as a democratic republic.
>>>
>>>
>>> You must be from England, or some other backwards country, so as to
>>> be so constantly wrong.
>>
>>
>> You must be an illegal alien, or even a space alien!:
>>
>> http://constitutionus.com/
>>
>> democracy
>
> Again, you show that you are a retard from the UK, or someplace even
> more retarded.
> The United States is a CONSTITUTIONAL REPUBLIC!
>

Uh, yeah ... to those who never took civics in college, I guess it can
appear that way ... however, your false beliefs have no effect on
reality. Take your time, in the future, this will come as a personal
epiphany to you -- or not ...

Regards,
JS

2400. Re: Mexico catches 513 illegal invaders. Next day 490 are deported

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 19:39:38 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6g1t$v76$12@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230325
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.immigration,alt.true-crime,talk.politics.guns,misc.immigration.usa,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 12:29 PM, Dave LaRue wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> On 5/20/2011 11:41 AM, Dave LaRue wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Wrong again, you drunken, self loathing, racist donkey fucker.
>>
>>
>> I am sorry I asked what you thought of yourself, and what you did in
>> your spare time!
>>
>> Forget I asked!
>
> There's lots of things you forgot, you retard!
>
> After all, you think Judy, the drunken GM driver is a viable human.

I think you must be drunk (or on drugs) to be able to create such
garbage text and tortured logic!

Regards,
JS

2401. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming -- CRIMINAL PUBLIC SERVANTS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 19:43:22 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DD6C45A-2343@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230330

This afternoon at the EZ Pawn shop I saw a little Uniden hand held scanner for sale for $57.00.The label the pawn shop stuck on there said, June 21, $47.00.Is it worth that money? assuming it works OK? It says NASCAR (I reckon the factory put the NASCAR logo on the scanner) on the front of the scanner just below the digital readout panel.I will wait untill June 21 if I decide to go back and check it out.
cuhulin

2402. Re: INVALID STANDS WITH MIRACLE INJECTIONS OF TESTOSTERONE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 19:47:13 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4b7d4c11-c420-4eac-a1d4-966d156de91b@e13g2000vbo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230328
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On May 20, 12:56 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/20/2011 7:45 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Limprod your desire to become a man will require an operation like
> > Chasity Bono ...
>
> Her operation failed? It is only the testosterone injections giving her
> false masculine features?
>
> I am speechless!
>
> ROFLOL (but can still laugh! :-)
>
> Regards,
> JS

Ahh now that is why Limprod is soo mad she must have depended on that
sugical out come .OK its back to graphing shark skin on her tongue.NO
john this is not a laughing matter! Have you no compassion?

2403. Re: INVALID STANDS WITH MIRACLE INJECTIONS OF TESTOSTERONE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 19:51:51 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6goqnuq1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230329
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy

On 5/20/2011 12:47 PM, joeturn wrote:
> ...
> Ahh now that is why Limprod is soo mad she must have depended on that
> sugical out come .OK its back to graphing shark skin on her tongue.NO
> john this is not a laughing matter! Have you no compassion?

The opinions, observations and statements of mere mortal men and women
cannot even be considered by it/him/her ... her mind is now above all that.

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2404. 5055 kHz ? : Is... Faro del Caribe [TIFC] still On-the-Air ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 20:47:39 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <8b2b5f59-e8b5-4679-8cb0-5211da3d4cd4@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230331

On May 20, 8:39 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 19, 4:41 pm, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > bpnjensen wrote:
> > > On May 19, 3:47 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > > > On May 19, 9:13 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > On May 19, 4:25 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC
> > > > > > on 19 Mayo 2011
> >
> > > > > > S-Meter : S6~S9 and SIO 434 with good audio
> >
> > > > > > Heard Male and Female Voices in Spanish @ 0520 UTC
> > > > > > and Female Voice repeat the "REE" ID three times
> > > > > > @ 0528 UTC + Plus 0530 UTC BOH 'Code' "...._"
> > > > > > .
> > > > > > *Prior* Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info
> > > > > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/a48...
> > > > > > .
> > > > > > Radio Exterior de Espana
(REE)http://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/...
> > > > > > .
> > > > > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > > > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > > > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> > > > > > .
> > > > > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > > > > .
> > > > > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > > > > .
> > > > > > .
> > > > > > On May 17, 5:18 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:

>
> > > > > > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550 UTC
> > > > > > > on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> > > > > > > S-Meter : S7~S9 and SIO 444 with good audio
>
> > > > > > > Heard Male Voice in Spanish @ 0550 UTC
> > > > > > > and Female Voice "REE" ID @ 0555 UTC
> > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) via Costa
Ricahttp://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/
> > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) Frequencies &
Timeshttp://www.rtve.es/contenidos/documentos/frecuencias032011.pdf
> > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > > > > > > 3350 kHz : 0200-0600 UTC
> > > > > > > E : Radio Exterior España : S : CAm : /CTR
> > > > > > > Spain REE in Spanish to Central America via Costa Rica
> > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > -other- Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) -reports-
> > > > > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:27
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b36b8d3ae9db114e
> > > > > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:50
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9a0e75b3965be553
> > > > > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 17850 kHz @ 21:05 UTC
{Soccer}http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dace9126350a80f3
> > > > > > > -note- REE Shortwave Transmitter Site is near
> > > > > > > Cariari de Pococi, Costa Rica, Central America
> > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > > > > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > > > > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > Thanks. It would be cool if either REE or his relay site QSLed - the
> > > > > way things are going, neither one will be around much longer - and
> > > > > it's been a LONG time since we've heard Faro del Caribe :-(
>
> > > > IIRC -wrt- Faro del Caribe
> > > > Gene Scott is 'there' 24/7
>

> > > 5054.6 kHz?
>
> > Last I see Faro del Caribe reported in LA SW Logs was in 2004.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Thanks - I cannot remember any reception of them since about that time
> either.

.
Faro del Caribe [TIFC] Frequencies
and Sites Currently On-Air
http://www.short-wave.info/?station=Faro%20del%20Caribe
5055 kHz @ 09:00-03:00 UTC
9645 kHz @ 00:00/00:00 UTC Off-the-Air
.
Faro del Caribe [TIFC] - Costa Rica
http://www.farodelcaribe.org/
faro @ cristo .net
faro del caribe @ hotmail .com
http://www.schoechi.de/ac-ctr.html#Faro%20del%20Caribe
.
Faro del Caribe [TIFC] : San José, Costa Rica
1080 AM, 97.1 FM, and 5055 SW
http://www.rzim.org/initiatives/spanishinitiative.aspx
.
Faro del Caribe [TIFC] : San José Costa Rica
5055 Onda Corta : Mar, Jue 3:30, 23:15
http://www.elcaminodelavida.org/costa-rica/costa-rica-el-camino-de-la-vida/
.
COSTA RICA. 5055, Faro del Caribe, San José
Glenn Hauser : DXLD : 10-22, June 3, 2010
http://www.w4uvh.net/dxld1022.txt
-location- Appin, NSW, Australia
.
5054.60 COSTA RICA : Faro del Caribe [TIFC]
San Jose Apr 06 dxw
-note- 9645 Now Inactive
http://lasw.blogspot.com/
.
"TIFC" FARO DEL CARIBE
http://www.facebook.com/pages/TIFC-FARO-DEL-CARIBE/188032121244315
.
-photos- Faro del Caribe [TIFC]
http://www.davidgleason.com/Costa-Rica-Radio-Photos.htm
* Faro del Caribe [TIFC] Antenna Tower
http://www.pateplumaradio.com/central/costarica/ticogrph/tifctwr.jpg
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/

5055 kHz @ 0830-0410 UTC
B : Radio Difusoras, Cáceres : P : B : cc
5055 kHz @ 0000-2400 UTC
B : Radio Jornal a Critica : P : B : m
5055 kHz @ 1830-1500 UTC
VUT : Radio Vanuatu : BSL : VUT
.
NOTE : Radio Habana Cuba [RHC] had used 5055 kHz
-but- they do not list 5055 kHz presently
http://www.radiohc.cu/index.php/de-interes/frecuencias.html
.
FWIW : Do Not Confuse Faro del Caribe [TIFC] Costa Rica
with "The Caribbean Beacon" British West Indies
11,775 kHz - Daytime
6090 kHz - Nighttime
-broadcasting- Gene Scott 24/7
http://www.pastormelissascott.com/broadcast-schedule.shtml
http://www.schoechi.de/ac-aia.html#Caribbean%20Beacon%20SW
.
.

2405. Re: INVALID STANDS WITH MIRACLE INJECTIONS OF TESTOSTERONE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 20:48:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DD6D3AA-2153@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230332

Doomsday Rapture parties start tonight.
http://www.libertypost.org
http://www.rense.com

It's the RAPTURE, y'all.
Party Hearty.
I am not going to party, are you?
cuhulin, RAPTURES R US

2406. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 20:51:07 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <8nkdt69f3mfnj43djhhu0kl30s41vctslu@4ax.com>
Source record: 230334
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 20 May 2011 10:07:44 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
wrote:

>> They don't. What they see is that "the rich" often spend their money
>> in ways that don't contribute optimally for the betterment of the
>> whole country.
>
>Sounds like one hell of a theory to me! You pump up the riches wealth
>until every time they bend over, cash falls from their arses!

What do you think is best for the country? Do you like a strong
military? Do you want well educated workforce? Do you want lots of
middle class taxpayers?

Tell us what you believe is best and then tell us that "the rich" all
are willing to pay for it.

>ROFLOL!!! That one gets me every time I hear it!
>
>> While there's an argument to be made that it is good for the world to
>> invest overseas - we need tax revenues to pay to protect those
>> overseas investments with our military.
>
>Yeah, what good is the job, to the guy in china, unless he has you
>paying for a military to protect his job??? Listen to the man, IMBECILE!

That's what we are doing now. Sending jobs overseas, and sending our
military around the world to protect other people, particularly those
with oil. It's not the way I'd do it.

>ROFLOL!!! That is the second best one I have ever heard!

Do you like the jobs going overseas? Do you like spending money to
protect foreign despots?

>> The country needs revenues - the optimal way to get the money is to
>> have a large healthy tax-paying middle class.
>
>Yeah, the ones least capable of affording it have always payed for the
>roads, public utilities, tax bribes to public servants for the rich,
>etc. -- GET USED TO IT!
>
>ROFLOL

What's your choice? What do you think is the optimal way to increase
revenues?

>Self-important morons screaming at the people to make sacrifices for
>them -- you just gotta love it!

Wanting a large healthy tax-paying middle class is screaming at the
people to make sacrifices for us?

What do you prefer instead?

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

2407. Re: WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC : "Info Wars" with Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 20:52:28 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7e381d18-3fdb-4aba-84a3-837976a47274@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230333

On May 20, 11:43 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/20/2011 11:41 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > It's Time For... "Info Wars" with Alex Jones
> > via WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC
> > .
> > Breaking News... Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi
> > about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.
> > .
> > Startling Revelations by Jerome Corsi to Alex Jones :
> > The Non-Marriage : The Cover-Up
> > The Ann Dunham Passport : The Cover-Up
> > The Trip to Kenya : The Cover-Up
> > The INS Investigation of Barack H Obama Senior : The Cover-Up
> > The Real Father of Barack H Obama : The Cover-Up
> > The Manufacturer Hawaiian Birther Records : The Cover-Up
> > Barack H Obama Junior a Life of Lies : The Cover-Up
> > -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up-
> > -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes-
> > .
> > Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
> > -&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
> >http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9e0c9455442f3db5
> > Again : Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
> > 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-
> >http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
> > -why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
> > -on-the-original-micro-film-record-
> > -and-who-made-them...-who...-
> > .
> > But First For Some 'Birther' Humor :o) Oops...
> > It's Hanging Chad's All Over Again !!!
> >http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/3d7638c4f029...
> > .
>

> > Info Wars on WWCR Times and Frequencies
> >http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> > .
> > "The Case That Barack Obama Is Not {No Way} Eligible
> > {Legally} To Be President" the new tell-it-all book
> > -by- Jerome Corsi
> >http://www.amazon.com/dp/1936488299/
> > Yeah - President Obama is Already Feeling The Heat from
> > Jerome Corsi's New Tell-It-All Book
> >http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
> > .
> > YES : Jerome Corsi's Book About Obama
> > Reveals Many More Unanswered Questions
> > Beyond the Birth Certificate Issue...
> >http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
> > .
> > Listen to Alex Jones "Info Wars" on Shortwave Radio
> >http://www.infowars.com/listen.html
> > -via- Shortwave Radio Station WWCR
> > M-F 11 AM - 2 PM on 12,160 MHz : 1600~1900 UTC
> > M-F 9 PM - 12 AM on 4,840 MHz : 0200~0500 UTC
> > Tue-Sat 12 AM - 3 AM on 3,215 MHz : 0200~0800 UTC
> > All Times "Central" {CST/CDT - USA}
> > "Info Wars" -host- Alex Jones
> >http://www.wwcr.com/pgm-links.html
> > -wrt-http://www.infowars.com/
> > .
> > XM Radio has Alex Jones "Info Wars"
> > XM RADIO XM Channel # 158
> > (Channel 158 Airs 8-9 PM Weekdays Central Time)
> > .
> > On-Line via Genesis Communications Network [GCN]
> >http://www.gcnlive.com/schedule.php
> > * Alex Jones On-Demand GCN-Line
> >http://www.gcnlive.com/mediaPlayers/odPlayer.php?program=alexJones
> > .
> > "Info-Wars" with Alex Jones... Coming To a 'Local'
> > {Affiliate} AM/FM Radio Station Near You :o)
> >http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/alexJones/affiliateList.pdf
> > .
> > yes - i heard alex jones 'info-war' on-the-radio ~ RHF
> > -and-you-can-too--by--just-listening-:o)-
> > .
> > YES - I Am Listening To Alex Jones Right Now ! :o) ~ RHF
> > -info-wars- -info-wars- -info-wars- -info-wars-
> > -talking-about-ron-paul- -talking-about-ron-paul-
> > -the-globalists- -the-globalists- -the-globalists-
> > -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da-
> > .
> > .

>
> Yep, todays' broadcast was/is quite informative!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Re-Listen to the Alex Jones Interview of Jerome Corsi
Date 20 Mayo 2011 Hour #2
http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/alexJones/archives.php
-the-truth-it's-out-there- -the-truth-it's-out-there-
.

2408. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 21:22:12 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir6m2bos1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230335
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"Iarnrod" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:21f41bee-2c30-4e47-b3da-9cfea43b84d8@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com...
> On May 19, 6:19 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> All your photo shows is a leaning top so maybe the next blast
>> countered that lean and made the builing straighten up before hitting
>> the ground.
>
> BWAAAAAHAHAHAAAAHAHAHAAA!!!! WrongTurnJoe be smoking some HEAVY DUTY
> crack!!

Well, you could look at the video from which I took this frame, which shows that it didn't "magically" straighten back up, or you could look at the debris field which extended quite a extensive distance from the "footprint" that the building clearly didn't fall into.

>> How can you assume it didnot collapse in its own
>> footprints,

Why do you continue to assume and assert that it did when we have photographic PROOF that it didn't?

> Umm, because of the huge mess that had to be cleaned up that covered
> multiple BLOCKS and which destroyed ADJACENT BUILDINGS? What the fuck
> kind of footprint did this building HAVE, WrongTurnJoe? Bozo the
> Clown's?
>
>> you must show every frame till the fall has stopped to say
>> that.
>
> No, you look at it after it all stops falling, kookazoid.

Well, you could look at the video, which shows it fell in the manner shown (ie well outside of it's "footprint") but that would require someone who would actually look at the known facts and evidence. Except Joe is suppose to be such an "expert" since he claims to know ALL about 9/11 and how it REALLY occurred. Seems to me as if at this point Joe is all but admitting he doesn't even know the basic facts considering what is known to have occurred and as such one would have to question his knowledge into the realm of knowing all the other things he asserts to know.

2409. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 21:24:31 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir6m6m$1ob$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230336
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE" <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov> wrote in message
news:Xns9EEAE81D89FAAExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247...
> Peter Franks
>> On 5/19/2011 4:18 PM, Bert Hyman wrote:
>>> In news:Yoorghis
>>>> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith
>>>>
>>>>> A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>>>> complexities ...
>>>>
>>>> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to their
>>>> profit
>>>
>>> Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the legitimate
>>> functions of government, or in taking money from people you don't like?
>>
>> I'll speak on his behalf: the latter.
>
>
>
> Why SHOULDN'T things be taken from you in the name of the Common Good?

Please define exactly what you mean by "Common Good"?

Taking what I have to give it to someone else?
To build a road that all can use?
To build a road that only some can use?

Explain to me exactly what is and isn't the "Common Good"?

2410. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 21:28:24 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6mdr$l15$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230337
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/20/2011 2:22 PM, Scout wrote:
> ...
> Well, you could look at the video from which I took this frame, which
> shows that it didn't "magically" straighten back up, or you could look
> at the debris field which extended quite a extensive distance from the
> "footprint" that the building clearly didn't fall into.
> ...

Yeah, it collapsed into its' own footprint, completely filling the ~7
basement levels with debris, and they was forced to over flow the rest
of the material of the controlled demolitions, EXACTLY!

Sounds exactly like the pictures I am viewing! Good job, you found the
evidence of the controlled demolitions -- I knew you would, if you
looked hard enough!

Regards,
JS

2411. Re: WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC : "Info Wars" with Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 21:35:00 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6mq7$l15$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns

On 5/20/2011 1:52 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 20, 11:43 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/20/2011 11:41 AM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> It's Time For... "Info Wars" with Alex Jones
>>> via WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC
>>> .
>>> Breaking News... Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi
>>> about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.
>>> .
>>> Startling Revelations by Jerome Corsi to Alex Jones :
>>> The Non-Marriage : The Cover-Up
>>> The Ann Dunham Passport : The Cover-Up
>>> The Trip to Kenya : The Cover-Up
>>> The INS Investigation of Barack H Obama Senior : The Cover-Up
>>> The Real Father of Barack H Obama : The Cover-Up
>>> The Manufacturer Hawaiian Birther Records : The Cover-Up
>>> Barack H Obama Junior a Life of Lies : The Cover-Up
>>> -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up-
>>> -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes-
>>> .
>>> Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
>>> -&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
>>> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9e0c9455442f3db5
>>> Again : Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
>>> 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-
>>> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
>>> -why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
>>> -on-the-original-micro-film-record-
>>> -and-who-made-them...-who...-
>>> .
>>> But First For Some 'Birther' Humor :o) Oops...
>>> It's Hanging Chad's All Over Again !!!
>>> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/3d7638c4f029...

>>> .
>>> Info Wars on WWCR Times and Frequencies
>>> http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
>>> .
>>> "The Case That Barack Obama Is Not {No Way} Eligible
>>> {Legally} To Be President" the new tell-it-all book
>>> -by- Jerome Corsi
>>> http://www.amazon.com/dp/1936488299/
>>> Yeah - President Obama is Already Feeling The Heat from
>>> Jerome Corsi's New Tell-It-All Book
>>> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
>>> .
>>> YES : Jerome Corsi's Book About Obama
>>> Reveals Many More Unanswered Questions
>>> Beyond the Birth Certificate Issue...
>>> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
>>> .
>>> Listen to Alex Jones "Info Wars" on Shortwave Radio
>>> http://www.infowars.com/listen.html
>>> -via- Shortwave Radio Station WWCR
>>> M-F 11 AM - 2 PM on 12,160 MHz : 1600~1900 UTC
>>> M-F 9 PM - 12 AM on 4,840 MHz : 0200~0500 UTC
>>> Tue-Sat 12 AM - 3 AM on 3,215 MHz : 0200~0800 UTC
>>> All Times "Central" {CST/CDT - USA}
>>> "Info Wars" -host- Alex Jones
>>> http://www.wwcr.com/pgm-links.html
>>> -wrt-http://www.infowars.com/
>>> .
>>> XM Radio has Alex Jones "Info Wars"
>>> XM RADIO XM Channel # 158
>>> (Channel 158 Airs 8-9 PM Weekdays Central Time)
>>> .
>>> On-Line via Genesis Communications Network [GCN]
>>> http://www.gcnlive.com/schedule.php
>>> * Alex Jones On-Demand GCN-Line
>>> http://www.gcnlive.com/mediaPlayers/odPlayer.php?program=alexJones
>>> .
>>> "Info-Wars" with Alex Jones... Coming To a 'Local'
>>> {Affiliate} AM/FM Radio Station Near You :o)
>>> http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/alexJones/affiliateList.pdf
>>> .
>>> yes - i heard alex jones 'info-war' on-the-radio ~ RHF
>>> -and-you-can-too--by--just-listening-:o)-
>>> .
>>> YES - I Am Listening To Alex Jones Right Now ! :o) ~ RHF
>>> -info-wars- -info-wars- -info-wars- -info-wars-
>>> -talking-about-ron-paul- -talking-about-ron-paul-
>>> -the-globalists- -the-globalists- -the-globalists-
>>> -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da-
>>> .

>>> .
>> Yep, todays' broadcast was/is quite informative!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> Re-Listen to the Alex Jones Interview of Jerome Corsi
> Date 20 Mayo 2011 Hour #2
> http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/alexJones/archives.php
> -the-truth-it's-out-there- -the-truth-it's-out-there-
> .

Or, just download the complete broadcasts from here:
http://xml.nfowars.net/Alex.rss in .mp3 format!

(right click on the broadcast, save) Listen with your favorite player ...

Regards,
JS

2412. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 21:39:36 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <R9mdnRHzdoICQkvQnZ2dnVY3goqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

wrote in message news:4dd671f1$0$13392$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...

In sci.skeptic RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
...
> The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
> until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
> http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
...

Since we were all assured things would happen around the world
on May 21, here it is 30 mins to May 21 and my "Thank God
Its the Apocalypse" party is -- to put it frankly -- a little quiet.

Maybe it's because there haven't been any decent earthquakes for
24 hrs (we were planning to boo every quake as they were registered
at the USGS website under a mag 9; but nothing has popped up for quite
a few hours). Or maybe it's just that "20 minutes after the hour" thing. ;)

The way that he says it, it's supposed to start at the international date
line at 6PM local time, and work it's way around the world from there,
hitting each time zone at 6PM local time. If so, we have about 11 hours, 21
minutes left here in the ROK. I'm not cancelling my plans for Monday.

2413. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 21:46:15 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir6nfe$a3j$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230340
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir67c4$8v4$3@dont-email.me...
> On 5/20/2011 8:58 AM, JohnJohnsn wrote:
>> ...
>> Why is it that all you Left-Wing'ers believe that the "rich" (code
>> word for "capatalists") hoard their money and never spend it into our
>> economy?
>> ...
>
> TRANSLATION: If the rich have to pay their own way, how will they ever
> become or remain wealthy on your money and labors???

Your problem isn't that you want them to pay their own way......but yours as
well.

2414. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 22:09:21 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir6oqoiul1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230341
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir6mdr$l15$1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/20/2011 2:22 PM, Scout wrote:
>> ...
>> Well, you could look at the video from which I took this frame, which
>> shows that it didn't "magically" straighten back up, or you could look at
>> the debris field which extended quite a extensive distance from the
>> "footprint" that the building clearly didn't fall into.
>> ...
>
> Yeah, it collapsed into its' own footprint, completely filling the ~7
> basement levels with debris, and they was forced to over flow the rest of
> the material of the controlled demolitions, EXACTLY!

And so John proves that even with photographic proof showing it didn't land
in it's own footprint that he will continue to assert something which he
knows to be a lie......

Yet, you're suppose to believe him because he says he's telling you what
"really" happened..

So tell me John, how exactly did the massive section of building shown
falling out of it's footprint "magically" get forced to fall the other way?

Did Captain Kirk fly in with his cloaked vessel and use a tractor beam on
it?

Please explain why in the video you can WATCH the building fall outside it's
footprint, and why we are suppose to ignore documented PROOF and instead
accept your unsupported assertions?

2415. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming -- CRIMINAL PUBLIC SERVANTS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 22:16:59 UTC
From: Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dd6e859$0$31294$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 230342
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/20/2011 12:27 PM, Dave LaRue wrote:
>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/20/2011 11:45 AM, Dave LaRue wrote:
>>>
>>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/20/2011 10:57 AM, SaPeIsMa wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> BZZZZT.
>>>>>> WRONG !
>>>>>> Neither Canada NOR the US are a "democracy"..
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> The United States was created as a democratic republic.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> You must be from England, or some other backwards country, so as to
>>>> be so constantly wrong.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> You must be an illegal alien, or even a space alien!:
>>>
>>> http://constitutionus.com/
>>>
>>> democracy
>>
>>
>> Again, you show that you are a retard from the UK, or someplace even
>> more retarded.
>> The United States is a CONSTITUTIONAL REPUBLIC!
>>
>

> Uh, yeah ...

Be gone, you retard.

2416. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be other than an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND IARNROD WHO * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM THE PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS OF THE NIST REPORT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 22:19:18 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <svpdt6pde40bju07df0345slsffb6jbv7h@4ax.com>
Source record: 230343
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

The bushkultie doesn't know the difference, either:

"UPS and Computers

The letters stand for ï¿½uninterruptible power supplyï¿½ ï¿½ batteries ï¿½ not United Postal
Serviceï¿½ which NIST actually confused ï¿½ and confuses! See this letter from NIST to the Enrico Manieri:"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

That's quite an error, too.

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

It's a study in treachery.

They're all too weak to compete honestly/fairly.

The Bush crime family of treacherous wimps has
never been on the same side as the USA.

ObL couldn't affect the USA's air defense system.

Cheney did.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-leader-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves
Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent

bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-leader-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of

American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the

Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its

indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues,
"When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

This remains undisputed.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.

Bush and Cheney are fascists, traitors, and war criminals,
and electronic 'voting' will keep putting such into office.

If it's electronic, it's no election.

2417. Re: Mexico catches 513 illegal invaders. Next day 490 are deported

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 22:19:54 UTC
From: Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dd6e908$0$31267$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 230344
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.immigration,alt.true-crime,talk.politics.guns,misc.immigration.usa,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/20/2011 12:29 PM, Dave LaRue wrote:
>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/20/2011 11:41 AM, Dave LaRue wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Wrong again, you drunken, self loathing, racist donkey fucker.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I am sorry I asked what you thought of yourself, and what you did in
>>> your spare time!
>>>
>>> Forget I asked!
>>
>>
>> There's lots of things you forgot, you retard!
>>
>> After all, you think Judy, the drunken GM driver is a viable human.
>
>
> I think you must be drunk (or on drugs) to be able to create such
> garbage text and tortured logic!

You lied when you opened your statement with: "I think..."

Judy Diahraie, AKA "Drunken Driver" is a known retard...just like you.

2418. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 22:27:34 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6psp$o0a$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230345
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/20/2011 3:09 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ir6mdr$l15$1@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/20/2011 2:22 PM, Scout wrote:
>>> ...
>>> Well, you could look at the video from which I took this frame,
>>> which shows that it didn't "magically" straighten back up, or you
>>> could look at the debris field which extended quite a extensive
>>> distance from the "footprint" that the building clearly didn't fall
>>> into.
>>> ...
>>
>> Yeah, it collapsed into its' own footprint, completely filling the ~7
>> basement levels with debris, and they was forced to over flow the
>> rest of the material of the controlled demolitions, EXACTLY!
>
> And so John proves that even with photographic proof showing it didn't
> land in it's own footprint that he will continue to assert something
> which he knows to be a lie......
>
> Yet, you're suppose to believe him because he says he's telling you
> what "really" happened..
>
> So tell me John, how exactly did the massive section of building shown
> falling out of it's footprint "magically" get forced to fall the other
> way?
>
> Did Captain Kirk fly in with his cloaked vessel and use a tractor beam
> on it?
>
> Please explain why in the video you can WATCH the building fall
> outside it's footprint, and why we are suppose to ignore documented
> PROOF and instead accept your unsupported assertions?
>
>

You are asking me why you think that? I don't know why you do, looks
plenty insane to me!

Here, maybe the controlled demoliton expert Gordon Ross can help you
cure your ignorance:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q5uhp7hIqpY

Regards,
JS

2419. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 22:34:20 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir6q9jrmt1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230346
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir6psp$o0a$1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/20/2011 3:09 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:ir6mdr$l15$1@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/20/2011 2:22 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>> ...
>>>> Well, you could look at the video from which I took this frame, which
>>>> shows that it didn't "magically" straighten back up, or you could look
>>>> at the debris field which extended quite a extensive distance from the
>>>> "footprint" that the building clearly didn't fall into.
>>>> ...
>>>
>>> Yeah, it collapsed into its' own footprint, completely filling the ~7
>>> basement levels with debris, and they was forced to over flow the rest
>>> of the material of the controlled demolitions, EXACTLY!
>>
>> And so John proves that even with photographic proof showing it didn't
>> land in it's own footprint that he will continue to assert something
>> which he knows to be a lie......
>>
>> Yet, you're suppose to believe him because he says he's telling you what
>> "really" happened..
>>
>> So tell me John, how exactly did the massive section of building shown
>> falling out of it's footprint "magically" get forced to fall the other
>> way?
>>
>> Did Captain Kirk fly in with his cloaked vessel and use a tractor beam on
>> it?
>>
>> Please explain why in the video you can WATCH the building fall outside
>> it's footprint, and why we are suppose to ignore documented PROOF and
>> instead accept your unsupported assertions?
>>
>>
>
> You are asking me why you think that? I don't know why you do, looks
> plenty insane to me!
>
> Here, maybe the controlled demoliton expert Gordon Ross can help you cure

> your ignorance:
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q5uhp7hIqpY

Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of photographic evidence is noted.

Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your claims.

2420. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 22:44:35 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <657870b0-6690-4846-aae3-0334e273ec0c@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 20, 2:39 pm, "Brenda Ann" <newsgro...@fullspectrumradio.org>
wrote:
> wrote in messagenews:4dd671f1$0$13392$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
>
> In sci.skeptic RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> ...> The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
> > until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
> >http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
>
> ...
>
> Since we were all assured things would happen around the world
> on May 21, here it is 30 mins to May 21 and my "Thank God
> Its the Apocalypse" party is -- to put it frankly -- a little quiet.
>
> Maybe it's because there haven't been any decent earthquakes for
> 24 hrs (we were planning to boo every quake as they were registered
> at the USGS website under a mag 9; but nothing has popped up for quite
> a few hours). Or maybe it's just that "20 minutes after the hour" thing. ;)
>
> ---
> ---
>
> The way that he says it, it's supposed to start at the international date
> line at 6PM local time, and work it's way around the world from there,
> hitting each time zone at 6PM local time. If so, we have about 11 hours, 21
> minutes left here in the ROK. I'm not cancelling my plans for Monday.

The New Age Prophecy
First Comes "Rap" Music
Then Comes "The Rapture"
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=efl8uvpQuPY
.
Considering The Evil That Men Do...
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QVWwucp20OM
Most of Use Will Be Here on 22 Mayo 2011 ! ! !
Life Itself Is A Great Tribulation ! [.] ~ RHF
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FYz7AHtKfEE
For The Vast Majority of Human Beings Living
On This Planet We Call 'Earth'.
.

2421. JEROME CORSIS' BOOK POISED TO END THE BARACK OBAMA PRESIDENCY! AKA, BARRY SOETORO PRESIDENCY.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 22:44:40 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6qsq$o0a$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Among the things shared by Corsi is that obama is a bastard, now, of course, we all already knew that, but he is a BASTARD in the real and true meaning of the word! Ann was NEVER married to obamas' father (claimed father, anyway.)

The interview with Corsi begins at ~1:30:00 into the file. VLC player can play the file, or the link, if you need a player for windows.

To download file:
http://xml.nfowars.net/Alex.rss

To listen to file with a mp3 player:
http://rss.infowars.com/20110520_Fri_Alex.mp3

VLC Player:
http://www.videolan.org/vlc/

When enough Americans have read the book, " Where's the /Birth Certificate/?: The Case that Barack /Obama/ is not Eligible to be President" the end of the obama presidency is at an end, just as sure as John Kerry was "Swift Boated" by his lies and Corsis' book on him ...

These are historic times. You are witnessing the first fraudulent president to ever sit the public servant office. This is BIGGER than nixons' water gate, bigger the georges' WMDs, and is a crime of the magnitude of the 9/11 WTC controlled demolition of criminal factions in our government.

This will live in in infamy, in history, forever! And, you were there!

Regards,
JS

2422. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 22:54:14 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230349
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> ...
> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of
> photographic evidence is noted.
>
> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your claims.
>

There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal with
it ...

You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat the
facts, evidence and proof of the experts. If you want to contest it,
you had best take that up with the people making the claims, presenting
the evidence and giving their expert observations and analysis.

Again, you want to attack the messenger instead of the message. Stop
making a moron of yourself and start showing the experts their errors,
and us here, instead of raving, ranting and throwing your tantrums!

I mean, it is like DUH! Get a clue!

Here, again, this is what you have to show, with your superior education
and experience, what is wrong and in error -- tell us how Gordon Ross is
in error!:

PART 1:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q5uhp7hIqpY

PART 2:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ya7hxop_-nc&NR=1

PART 3:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NNai6r4y6Io&NR=1

Since they are ~10 minute sections, if your ADHD gives you problems,
take a break, go nurse your mother, come back and digest the material at
a speed you can handle ... you can do it, baby steps, just baby steps ...

Regards,
JS

2423. Re: Radio Verdad on 4055 kHz @ 0545 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 22:54:49 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ab651ff0-019a-46c0-b7f2-740554deb90a@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230350

On May 20, 10:11 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 19, 3:51 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > Radio Verdad* on 4055 kHz @ 0545 UTC
> > on 19 Mayo 2011
> > * -english- "Radio Truth"
>
> > S-Meter : S3~S5 with SIO 333 and fair audio
> > with some consistent background band noise
>
> > Hear English Preaching @ 0545 UTC
> > -followed-by- Spanish Music and an
> > English ID "Radio Truth" @ 0546 UTC
> > -next- Religious Music "Ava Maria"
> > -then- English ID "Radio Truth" @ 0552 UTC
> > with PO Box 5 ~something~ Guatemala, C.A.
> > -next-song- Answer the Promised Call... @ 0553 UTC
> > -followed-by- "The Lord's Prayer" in English @ 0554 UTC
> > -then- Male Voice Spanish "Radio Verdad" ID + Address
> > -next- Male Voice Spanish "Radio Truth" ID + Address
> > -then- Female Voice Spanish "Radio Verdad" ID + Address @ 0557 UTC
> > -followed-by- a few more M&F English & Spanish IDs
> > Ending with an English "Good Night" @ 0601 UTC
> > -which-is- 12:01 AM Local Midnight
> > -followed-by- Marching Song ?National Anthem? to 0606 UTC
> > with the Transmitter Off-the-Air @ 0606 UTC
> > .
> > .
>
> They play quite a bit of good music, including classical.

The Time Period from 0550 to 0610 UTC seems
to be the best for an ID; as they seem to
give both the English "Radio Truth" ID and
the Spanish "Radio Verdad" ID several times
each during that time period. ~ RHF
.

2424. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:01:47 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6rsu$o0a$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230351
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 1:51 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> ...
> What do you prefer instead?

A flat tax, make a dollar, pay a tax on it, EQUAL TO WHAT EVERYONE ELSE PAYS!

Make a million of them, get taxed on a million of those dollars. Make a billion, same thing. Make ten, same thing ... get the picture?

Regards,
JS

2425. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:01:58 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3ksdt6lnlib0bo45r1fkmtbr5sotbo49ev@4ax.com>
Source record: 230352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Fri, 20 May 2011 06:27:58 -0700 (PDT), RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
>until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,

It has been the 21st of May for 2 hours in "the Holy Land".

And for 9 hours here.

So far... no heavenly trumpets.

Shill #2
--
Don't worry about the world coming to an end today. It's already
tomorrow in Australia.
Charles M. Schulz (1922 - 2000)

2426. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:05:10 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6s39$o0a$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230353
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 1:17 PM, Gray Ghost wrote:
> ...
> Who needs revenue? Does revenue match expenditures? Isnï¿½t it supposed to?
> Taxing the rich is not about paying the bills, it is about punishment plain
> and simple. And in their insane desire to punish the rich they are
> punishing us all.

No.

But it is about paying for roads, sewer plants, water supplies, courts, prisons, government public servants, state parks, federal parks, the military, the coast guard, the border patrol, etc.

I say, those with the money have to pay the bills ... unfortunately, those with the money want to mortgage my future and the future of my children and their childrens' children to pay for it ... WE HAVE A PROBLEM!

Regards,
JS

2427. Re: JEROME CORSIS' BOOK POISED TO END THE BARACK OBAMA PRESIDENCY! AKA, BARRY SOETORO PRESIDENCY.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:08:14 UTC
From: Pig Newton Gingrich <pig@newton.org>
Message-ID: <ir6s5p$6ar$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 05/20/2011 06:44 PM, John Smith wrote:
> Among the things shared by Corsi is that obama is a bastard, now, of
> course, we all already knew that, but he is a BASTARD in the real and
> true meaning of the word! Ann was NEVER married to obamas' father
> (claimed father, anyway.)

Hah hah hah hah hah!

K00k-a-d00dle-d00!!

2428. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:10:26 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6sd5$o0a$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230355
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 2:46 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ir67c4$8v4$3@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/20/2011 8:58 AM, JohnJohnsn wrote:
>>> ...
>>> Why is it that all you Left-Wing'ers believe that the "rich" (code
>>> word for "capatalists") hoard their money and never spend it into our
>>> economy?
>>> ...
>>
>> TRANSLATION: If the rich have to pay their own way, how will they
>> ever become or remain wealthy on your money and labors???
>
> Your problem isn't that you want them to pay their own way......but
> yours as well.
>
>

Those who own the resources, wealth, land, oil, gold, etc. are going to have to pay the bills, I know. I am paying mine and a share of for those who can't ... plus, HAVING A SHITLOAD STOLEN BY THE GOVERNMENT, BANKSTERS, WALL STREET, ELITE, POWERFUL, ETC.

Helping the poor with a room and a meal seems reasonable to me ... helping the public servants lead better lives with higher incomes than the average citizens DOES NOT! Making billionaire banksters and wall streets types IS NOT ACCEPTABLE TO ME!

Just get it right ...

Regards,
JS

2429. Re: Uniden Factory refurb price $80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:11:39 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd6f524$0$12475$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230356

On 5/20/2011 12:43 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> This afternoon at the EZ Pawn shop I saw a little Uniden hand held
> scanner for sale for $57.00.The label the pawn shop stuck on there said,
> June 21, $47.00.Is it worth that money? assuming it works OK? It says
> NASCAR (I reckon the factory put the NASCAR logo on the scanner) on the
> front of the scanner just below the digital readout panel.I will wait
> untill June 21 if I decide to go back and check it out.
> cuhulin
>

2430. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:11:49 UTC
From: clairbear <clairbear@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEBC34FCDAF7clairbear@202.177.16.121>
Source record: 230357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in
news:3ksdt6lnlib0bo45r1fkmtbr5sotbo49ev@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 20 May 2011 06:27:58 -0700 (PDT), RHF
> <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>>The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
>>until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
>
> It has been the 21st of May for 2 hours in "the Holy Land".
>
> And for 9 hours here.
>
> So far... no heavenly trumpets.
>
> Shill #2
> --
> Don't worry about the world coming to an end today. It's already
> tomorrow in Australia.
> Charles M. Schulz (1922 - 2000)
>

Harold Camping has been wrong before and he will in all likelyhood be wrong
yet again

Perhaps he should remmember the admonition of Matthew 24:36

"But of that day and hour knoweth no [man], no, not the angels of heaven,
but my Father only." Matthew 24:36
King James Bible

He claims to be a man of faith and a believer in the word of God yet he
fails to heed these words.

What does it all mean? make up your own opinion And get back to me after
6pm local time tommorrow

--- Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net/ - Complaints to news@netfront.net ---

2431. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:15:34 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <mftdt6hl4opv6uo5brt65ulfifg3otcad3@4ax.com>
Source record: 230358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Fri, 20 May 2011 23:11:49 +0000 (UTC), clairbear <clairbear@verizon.com>
wrote:

>Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in
>news:3ksdt6lnlib0bo45r1fkmtbr5sotbo49ev@4ax.com:
>
>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 06:27:58 -0700 (PDT), RHF
>> <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
>>>until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
>>
>> It has been the 21st of May for 2 hours in "the Holy Land".
>>
>> And for 9 hours here.
>>
>> So far... no heavenly trumpets.
>>
>> Shill #2
>> --
>> Don't worry about the world coming to an end today. It's already
>> tomorrow in Australia.
>> Charles M. Schulz (1922 - 2000)
>>
>
>Harold Camping has been wrong before and he will in all likelyhood be wrong
>yet again
>
>Perhaps he should remmember the admonition of Matthew 24:36
>
>"But of that day and hour knoweth no [man], no, not the angels of heaven,
>but my Father only." Matthew 24:36
>King James Bible
>
>He claims to be a man of faith and a believer in the word of God yet he
>fails to heed these words.
>
>What does it all mean? make up your own opinion And get back to me after
>6pm local time tommorrow

What is your local time?

Shill #2
--
Don't worry about the world coming to an end today. It's already
tomorrow in Australia.
Charles M. Schulz (1922 - 2000)

2432. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:19:09 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6stg$o0a$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230359
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 3:35 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> <Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
> news:vkpdt612a5bjhpdn22ba1e7e62pigh8brc@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 08:58:56 -0700 (PDT), JohnJohnsn
>> <TopCop1988@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>>>> Even then I would want to hear what he considers to be the
>>>>>> "legitimate
>>>>>> functions of government" because a lot of it sounds like "take
>>>>>> from the
>>>>>> rich and give to the poor"
>>>>
>>>> The rich take it from the poor
>>>
>>> Simple fact of finance: if they are truly "poor," they have nothing to
>>> take, Gary.
>>
>> Bullshit
>>
>> The poor pay almost all/any disposable income in taxes to the
>> government--which feeds it directly to the wealth class.
>
> Actually, the poor are generally tax exempt from most federal taxes.

EVERYTHING they CAN afford to purchase has hidden taxes in the price, we have been over that. To say they don't pay taxes is absurd!

I for one, would remove all the taxes from the poors' purchases, the transportation taxes in the shipping, the farmers taxes in the products, the government fees, fines, taxes, licenses, etc. It would not be hard, with resouorces and information of government, to figure these out, and take them off the cost of the poors' purchases -- probably bring the cost of a $3 loaf of bread down to about .25 cents! ... what is the sense of taxing them and making ME PAY FOR IT??? DUH!

In the end, I am being taxed. And, those who have been lucky and fortunate enough to be able to pay our own ways in life -- and I, for one, am getting pretty fed up with it!

Regards,
JS

2433. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming -- CRIMINAL PUBLIC SERVANTS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:20:07 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6sva$o0a$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230360
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 3:16 PM, Dave LaRue wrote:
> ...
>
> Be gone, you retard.

Better yet, close your eyes, things won't bother you so much ...

Regards,
JS

2434. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:27:58 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <g3udt65882jaik5qmjis2kbtopjrjrcs7g@4ax.com>
Source record: 230362
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 20 May 2011 16:01:47 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
wrote:

>> What do you prefer instead?
>
>A flat tax, make a dollar, pay a tax on it, EQUAL TO WHAT EVERYONE ELSE
>PAYS!
>
>Make a million of them, get taxed on a million of those dollars. Make a
>billion, same thing. Make ten, same thing ... get the picture?

OK, let's say we do that. Does that invalidate in any way my
statement that if we had a healthy tax-paying middle class, we will
get more revenues? It's not an either/or thing.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

2435. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:28:07 UTC
From: clairbear <clairbear@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEBC6132DFCFclairbear@202.177.16.121>
Source record: 230361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in
news:mftdt6hl4opv6uo5brt65ulfifg3otcad3@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 20 May 2011 23:11:49 +0000 (UTC), clairbear
> <clairbear@verizon.com> wrote:
>
>>Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in
>>news:3ksdt6lnlib0bo45r1fkmtbr5sotbo49ev@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 06:27:58 -0700 (PDT), RHF
>>> <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
>>>>until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
>>>
>>> It has been the 21st of May for 2 hours in "the Holy Land".
>>>
>>> And for 9 hours here.
>>>
>>> So far... no heavenly trumpets.
>>>
>>> Shill #2
>>> --
>>> Don't worry about the world coming to an end today. It's already
>>> tomorrow in Australia.
>>> Charles M. Schulz (1922 - 2000)
>>>
>>
>>Harold Camping has been wrong before and he will in all likelyhood be
>>wrong yet again
>>
>>Perhaps he should remmember the admonition of Matthew 24:36
>>
>>"But of that day and hour knoweth no [man], no, not the angels of
>>heaven, but my Father only." Matthew 24:36
>>King James Bible
>>
>>He claims to be a man of faith and a believer in the word of God yet
>>he fails to heed these words.
>>
>>What does it all mean? make up your own opinion And get back to me
>>after 6pm local time tommorrow

>
> What is your local time?
>
> Shill #2
> --
> Don't worry about the world coming to an end today. It's already
> tomorrow in Australia.
> Charles M. Schulz (1922 - 2000)
>

E.S.T. or GMT + 4:00

--- Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net/ - Complaints to news@netfront.net ---

2436. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:39:00 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <ir6u2kghs1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/20/2011 9:51 AM, kym@kymhorsell.com wrote:

> Since we were all assured things would happen around the world
> on May 21, here it is 30 mins to May 21 and my "Thank God
> Its the Apocalypse" party is -- to put it frankly -- a little quiet.

I'm looking forward to the back-pedaling and tap dancing on May 22nd.
"Gee, I calculated wrong" or whatever. Certainly going to be a lot of
people with egg on their faces.

The only thing that does amaze me is the number of foolish, naive,
gullible and dare I say it, stupid people out there.

On second thought, maybe I shouldn't be too amazed, considering the
number of birther and 9/11 kooks that there are.

2437. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:39:19 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <ir6u37ghs2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230364
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/20/2011 8:23 AM, Iarnrod wrote:

> Umm, because of the huge mess that had to be cleaned up that covered
> multiple BLOCKS and which destroyed ADJACENT BUILDINGS? What the fuck
> kind of footprint did this building HAVE, WrongTurnJoe? Bozo the
> Clown's?

Bozo the Clown?

Isn't he the leading Republican candidate for 2012?

2438. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming -- CRIMINAL PUBLIC SERVANTS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:39:45 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <ir6u41ghs3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230365

On 5/20/2011 3:43 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> This afternoon at the EZ Pawn shop I saw a little Uniden hand held
> scanner for sale for $57.00.The label the pawn shop stuck on there said,
> June 21, $47.00.Is it worth that money? assuming it works OK? It says
> NASCAR (I reckon the factory put the NASCAR logo on the scanner) on the
> front of the scanner just below the digital readout panel.I will wait
> untill June 21 if I decide to go back and check it out.
> cuhulin

Uniden made HUNDREDS of scanners.

a) Get the *specific* model number.

b) Go to eBay, look up Uniden Model XYZ in the "Completed Auctions" section.

This will answer your question as to worth.

2439. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:40:33 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <ir6u5hghs4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california,alt.conspiracy

DCI wrote:

> JS, just my opinion, you'll strengthen your arguments when you cease
> to use such profane retorts. Give it a thought.

On 5/20/2011 12:46 PM, John Smith wrote:

> I enjoy satire in my humor ...

The fact that you consider racism, vulgarity, sexual slurs and profanity as "humor" speaks volumes about you character...or should I say, lack thereof.

2440. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:41:05 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <luudt65omd2ta5varjitboib4kuh9sdph1@4ax.com>
Source record: 230367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Fri, 20 May 2011 23:28:07 +0000 (UTC), clairbear <clairbear@verizon.com>
wrote:

>Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in
>news:mftdt6hl4opv6uo5brt65ulfifg3otcad3@4ax.com:
>
>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 23:11:49 +0000 (UTC), clairbear
>> <clairbear@verizon.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>news:3ksdt6lnlib0bo45r1fkmtbr5sotbo49ev@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 06:27:58 -0700 (PDT), RHF
>>>> <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
>>>>>until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
>>>>
>>>> It has been the 21st of May for 2 hours in "the Holy Land".
>>>>
>>>> And for 9 hours here.
>>>>
>>>> So far... no heavenly trumpets.
>>>>
>>>> Shill #2
>>>> --
>>>> Don't worry about the world coming to an end today. It's already
>>>> tomorrow in Australia.
>>>> Charles M. Schulz (1922 - 2000)
>>>>
>>>
>>>Harold Camping has been wrong before and he will in all likelyhood be
>>>wrong yet again
>>>
>>>Perhaps he should remmember the admonition of Matthew 24:36
>>>
>>>"But of that day and hour knoweth no [man], no, not the angels of
>>>heaven, but my Father only." Matthew 24:36
>>>King James Bible
>>>
>>>He claims to be a man of faith and a believer in the word of God yet
>>>he fails to heed these words.

>>>
>>>What does it all mean? make up your own opinion And get back to me
>>>after 6pm local time tommorrow
>>
>> What is your local time?
>>
>> Shill #2
>> --
>> Don't worry about the world coming to an end today. It's already
>> tomorrow in Australia.
>> Charles M. Schulz (1922 - 2000)
>>
>
>E.S.T. or GMT + 4:00

Well then... Bis spï¿½ter.

Shill #2
--
Don't worry about the world coming to an end today. It's already
tomorrow in Australia.
Charles M. Schulz (1922 - 2000)

2441. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:44:53 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir6uds$j3f$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230368
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
>> ...
>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your claims
>> over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of photographic evidence
>> is noted.
>>
>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your claims.
>>
>
> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal with it
> ...

Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that you need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking to yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.

> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat the
> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.

So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so ignorant that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of falling outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his experts might not be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy their Bullshit hook, line and sinker.

Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own admission.

Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk about this stuff then are you?

Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they might actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing the claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and can't even recognize as being clearly wrong?

So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your own admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you for even a second?

2442. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:47:15 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir6uiajqs1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230369
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir6stg$o0a$8@dont-email.me...
> On 5/20/2011 3:35 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> <Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
>> news:vkpdt612a5bjhpdn22ba1e7e62pigh8brc@4ax.com...
>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 08:58:56 -0700 (PDT), JohnJohnsn
>>> <TopCop1988@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>> Even then I would want to hear what he considers to be the
>>>>>>> "legitimate
>>>>>>> functions of government" because a lot of it sounds like "take from
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> rich and give to the poor"
>>>>>
>>>>> The rich take it from the poor
>>>>
>>>> Simple fact of finance: if they are truly "poor," they have nothing to
>>>> take, Gary.
>>>
>>> Bullshit
>>>
>>> The poor pay almost all/any disposable income in taxes to the
>>> government--which feeds it directly to the wealth class.
>>
>> Actually, the poor are generally tax exempt from most federal taxes.
>
> EVERYTHING they CAN afford to purchase has hidden taxes in the price, we
> have been over that.

IOW, "made up" taxation.

> To say they don't pay taxes is absurd!

Didn't say they didn't. Simply said they were exempt to most.

Clearly is the objective was what you suggested then there would be NO
exceptions. Since there are, then clearly your assertion is false or
incomplete since it fails to account for all of the facts.

2443. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:48:53 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6ul8$hr5$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230370
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 4:27 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Fri, 20 May 2011 16:01:47 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>> What do you prefer instead?
>> A flat tax, make a dollar, pay a tax on it, EQUAL TO WHAT EVERYONE ELSE
>> PAYS!
>>
>> Make a million of them, get taxed on a million of those dollars. Make a
>> billion, same thing. Make ten, same thing ... get the picture?
> OK, let's say we do that. Does that invalidate in any way my
> statement that if we had a healthy tax-paying middle class, we will
> get more revenues? It's not an either/or thing.

It doesn't take much thought to go straight to the heart of the matter here, if you want more taxes, tax those with more/most money ... I would suspect the most healthy end up making the most money for the longest lengths of time ... but, frequently, I have had "intuitive guessing" come up wrong ... due to my lack of research, I would postpone any final answers here ...

Regards,
JS

2444. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:49:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD6FDEE-7209@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230371

Do you Tote a Los Angeles Gold Card?
I read about it at
http://www.drudgereport.com

Some of the food stores around here used to offer a Gold Card.It was suppose to let the customers save a little bit of money on food purchases.It was a damn racket though.I have never Toted a Gold Card before.
cuhulin, Gold Cards R Them

2445. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming -- CRIMINAL PUBLIC SERVANTS.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:53:25 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6utn$hr5$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230372

On 5/20/2011 4:39 PM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:
> On 5/20/2011 3:43 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>> This afternoon at the EZ Pawn shop I saw a little Uniden hand held
>> scanner for sale for $57.00.The label the pawn shop stuck on there said,
>> June 21, $47.00.Is it worth that money? assuming it works OK? It says
>> NASCAR (I reckon the factory put the NASCAR logo on the scanner) on the
>> front of the scanner just below the digital readout panel.I will wait
>> untill June 21 if I decide to go back and check it out.
>> cuhulin
>
>
> Uniden made HUNDREDS of scanners.
>
> a) Get the *specific* model number.
>
> b) Go to eBay, look up Uniden Model XYZ in the "Completed Auctions"
> section.
>
> This will answer your question as to worth.
>

Yeah, that sounds about right. I have about a dozen of the things here,
base and hand-held, except for one, they all came from flea markets,
yard sales, second hand stores ... I have never paid more the 10 bucks
for one, with the exception of the one I bought for about 100 bucks.

I tired of police, fire, ambulance, sewer plant, public parks, air port,
etc. very quickly ... I will get the wife to sell them at here yard sale
this summer ... probably just keep the one I paid a lot for ...

Regards,
JS

2446. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:56:46 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir6v45$mn3$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230373
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir6s39$o0a$6@dont-email.me...
> On 5/20/2011 1:17 PM, Gray Ghost wrote:
>> ...
>> Who needs revenue? Does revenue match expenditures? Isnï¿½t it supposed to?
>> Taxing the rich is not about paying the bills, it is about punishment
>> plain
>> and simple. And in their insane desire to punish the rich they are
>> punishing us all.
>
> No.
>
> But it is about paying for roads,

Toll?

> sewer plants, water supplies

Paid for with user fees.

> courts, prisons,

Agreed

>government public servants,

Need a lot less of

>state parks, federal parks,

Operate on entry fees and use fees like commercial parks

> the military

Needs to be smaller and more focused on defense rather than policing the
planet.

>, the coast guard,

Ok

> the border patrol, etc.

Needs more funding, broader authority to detain and deport illegal caught
crossing, along with charging and convictions of any criminal charges for
any crimes committed.

> I say, those with the money have to pay the bills ... unfortunately, those
> with the money want to mortgage my future and the future of my children
> and their childrens' children to pay for it ... WE HAVE A PROBLEM!

No, the politicians wish to mortgage your future and that of your children
to fund short term political gains to justify their position and/or
reelection.

2447. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-20 23:59:06 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6v8d$hr5$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230374
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On 5/20/2011 4:47 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ir6stg$o0a$8@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/20/2011 3:35 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> <Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
>>> news:vkpdt612a5bjhpdn22ba1e7e62pigh8brc@4ax.com...
>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 08:58:56 -0700 (PDT), JohnJohnsn
>>>> <TopCop1988@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Even then I would want to hear what he considers to be the
>>>>>>>>> "legitimate
>>>>>>>>> functions of government" because a lot of it sounds like "take
>>>>>>>>> from the
>>>>>>>>> rich and give to the poor"
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The rich take it from the poor
>>>>>
>>>>> Simple fact of finance: if they are truly "poor," they have
>>>>> nothing to
>>>>> take, Gary.
>>>>
>>>> Bullshit
>>>>
>>>> The poor pay almost all/any disposable income in taxes to the
>>>> government--which feeds it directly to the wealth class.
>>>
>>> Actually, the poor are generally tax exempt from most federal taxes.
>>
>> EVERYTHING they CAN afford to purchase has hidden taxes in the price,
>> we have been over that.
>
> IOW, "made up" taxation.

What a damn fool, have to be hand fed, where is your mommy? The federal
excise tax on the tires of the truck transporting the goods, the fuel
tax on the vehicle transporting the goods, the tax on the employess
involved in growing, harvesting, storing, manufacturing, transporting,
sales of the products, the licenses on the farmers, manufacturers,
transportation companies, etc., etc. I mean, only a government
```

accountant could arrive at a correct figure, those taxes are buried and hidden so average morons, such as yourself, just consider them "made up", ROFLOL!!!!

>
>> To say they don't pay taxes is absurd!
>
> Didn't say they didn't. Simply said they were exempt to most.
>
> Clearly is the objective was what you suggested then there would be NO
> exceptions. Since there are, then clearly your assertion is false or
> incomplete since it fails to account for all of the facts.

There are no exceptions! Unless you can get blood out of a turnip, you damn fool!

ROFLOL

Morons, you just get rid of the last one, here comes the new one, and he/she thinks itself "SPECIAL!"

Regards,
JS

2448. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 00:01:26 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir6vctoan1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230375
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir6sd5$o0a$7@dont-email.me...
> On 5/20/2011 2:46 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:ir67c4$8v4$3@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/20/2011 8:58 AM, JohnJohnsn wrote:
>>>> ...
>>>> Why is it that all you Left-Wing'ers believe that the "rich" (code
>>>> word for "capatalists") hoard their money and never spend it into our
>>>> economy?
>>>> ...
>>>
>>> TRANSLATION: If the rich have to pay their own way, how will they ever
>>> become or remain wealthy on your money and labors???
>>
>> Your problem isn't that you want them to pay their own way......but yours
>> as well.
>>
>>
>
> Those who own the resources, wealth, land, oil, gold, etc. are going to
> have to pay the bills, I know. I am paying mine and a share of for those
> who can't ... plus, HAVING A SHITLOAD STOLEN BY THE GOVERNMENT, BANKSTERS,
> WALL STREET, ELITE, POWERFUL, ETC.

Oh, so you're rich then????

2449. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 00:02:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6vf1$hr5$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230376
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 4:56 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ir6s39$o0a$6@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/20/2011 1:17 PM, Gray Ghost wrote:
>>> ...
>>> Who needs revenue? Does revenue match expenditures? Isnï¿½t it
>>> supposed to?
>>> Taxing the rich is not about paying the bills, it is about
>>> punishment plain
>>> and simple. And in their insane desire to punish the rich they are
>>> punishing us all.
>>
>> No.
>>
>> But it is about paying for roads,
>
> Toll?
>
>> sewer plants, water supplies
>
> Paid for with user fees.
>
>> courts, prisons,
>
> Agreed
>
>> government public servants,
>
> Need a lot less of
>
>> state parks, federal parks,
>
> Operate on entry fees and use fees like commercial parks

Calling a tax a "use fee", "license", "entry fee", etc. is cute, but
still a tax ... only morons are fooled by a name, kinda like calling a
rose by any other name ...

>
>> the military
>
> Needs to be smaller and more focused on defense rather than policing

> the planet.
>
>> , the coast guard,
>
> Ok
>
>> the border patrol, etc.
>
> Needs more funding, broader authority to detain and deport illegal
> caught crossing, along with charging and convictions of any criminal
> charges for any crimes committed.
>
>> I say, those with the money have to pay the bills ... unfortunately,
>> those with the money want to mortgage my future and the future of my
>> children and their childrens' children to pay for it ... WE HAVE A
>> PROBLEM!
>
> No, the politicians wish to mortgage your future and that of your
> children to fund short term political gains to justify their position
> and/or reelection.

You wonder why no one has any respect for you, why I consider you a
moron, why I think any rational person thinks you a nutjob?

Well, you just gave me a prime example to show you, YOUR POST FOOL!

ROFLOL ... sorry, gotta plonk you again, I am sure you can see why ...
if not, have the others explain it to you ...

Regards,
JS

2450. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 00:04:20 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6vi6$hr5$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230377
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On 5/20/2011 5:01 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ir6sd5$o0a$7@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/20/2011 2:46 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:ir67c4$8v4$3@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 5/20/2011 8:58 AM, JohnJohnsn wrote:
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Why is it that all you Left-Wing'ers believe that the "rich" (code
>>>>> word for "capatalists") hoard their money and never spend it into our
>>>>> economy?
>>>>> ...
>>>>
>>>> TRANSLATION: If the rich have to pay their own way, how will they
>>>> ever become or remain wealthy on your money and labors???
>>>
>>> Your problem isn't that you want them to pay their own way......but
>>> yours as well.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Those who own the resources, wealth, land, oil, gold, etc. are going
>> to have to pay the bills, I know. I am paying mine and a share of
>> for those who can't ... plus, HAVING A SHITLOAD STOLEN BY THE
>> GOVERNMENT, BANKSTERS, WALL STREET, ELITE, POWERFUL, ETC.
>
> Oh, so you're rich then????

What's rich?

I don't get food stamps! The government couldn't steal my property or
business!

Rich, how about just, "Not a moron like you."

... plonk ...

Regards,
JS
```

2451. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 00:07:28 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir6vo3$hr5$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.california,alt.conspiracy

On 5/20/2011 4:40 PM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:
> DCI wrote:
>
>> JS, just my opinion, you'll strengthen your arguments when you cease
>> to use such profane retorts. Give it a thought.
>
> On 5/20/2011 12:46 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
>> I enjoy satire in my humor ...
>
> The fact that you consider racism, vulgarity, sexual slurs and
> profanity as "humor" speaks volumes about you character...or should I
> say, lack thereof.

Yeah, I think it is my right to dislike pinko fags, bull dykes, ebonic speaking negros, drug addicts, sluts, whores, girly men, sissies, pimps, con men, gutter drunks, homosexuals in my schools, etc.

I don't find that racist or politically incorrect at all ... I think I have good reasons ...

If someone else likes those things, have at it ...

Regards,
JS

2452. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 00:13:10 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230379
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
>>> ...
>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of
>>> photographic evidence is noted.
>>>
>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
>>> claims.
>>>
>>
>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal
>> with it ...
>
> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that you
> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking to
> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.
>
>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat
>> the facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>
> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so
> ignorant that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
> falling outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his
> experts might not be the experts they claim and that you're stupid
> enough to buy their Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>
> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
> admission.
>
> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk
> about this stuff then are you?
>
> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they might
> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing
> the claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and
> can't even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>
> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your

> own admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you
> for even a second?
>
>

I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others if
they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are
fooled into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts, etc.?

ROFLOL ... you know what you are, and worse, you know we do ... I don't
see your text fixing any of that. ... plonk ...

Regards,
JS

2453. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 00:17:49 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir70bg$hr5$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230380
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 4:29 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Fri, 20 May 2011 18:25:57 -0500, Gray Ghost
> <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> No. The Democrat mantra is ï¿½Eat the Richï¿½ and never mind what that does to
>> everyone else.
> And my statement is that we need a strong middle class, as most of the
> money will come from it.
>

Why? No matter who has the money, just tax the ones with it! If it is the middle class, they pay, if it is the rich class, they pay, if the poor suddenly become rich, THEY PAY! Like always, just follow the money ...

>> The government should be very limited as to what it is spending money on.
>> And the revenue should be raised with a method that doesnï¿½t require an
>> annual rectal exam of everyoneï¿½s finances and has spawned an entire
>> industry of experts to decipher an indecipherable tax code.
> OK. But whichever method you choose, the best chances of getting the
> money is from a healthy middle-class.

Why? What would make their money any better than stealing, err--I mean taxing, a billionaire on his death bed? Or, the ones getting an inheritance from him/her/it?

Get real, money is money -- no matter who you get it from ...

Regards,
JS

2454. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 00:34:30 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir71ao$hr5$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns

On 5/20/2011 4:39 PM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:
> On 5/20/2011 9:51 AM, kym@kymhorsell.com wrote:
>
>> Since we were all assured things would happen around the world
>> on May 21, here it is 30 mins to May 21 and my "Thank God
>> Its the Apocalypse" party is -- to put it frankly -- a little quiet.
>
> I'm looking forward to the back-pedaling and tap dancing on May 22nd.
> "Gee, I calculated wrong" or whatever. Certainly going to be a lot of
> people with egg on their faces.
>
> The only thing that does amaze me is the number of foolish, naive,
> gullible and dare I say it, stupid people out there.

Where are they? They live next door to you?

Funny, my neighborhood, my city, my county, hell, my whole state seems
absent of them!

Is main scream news making this all up by publishing the rantings of one
lunatic and claiming "all his followers?"

I mean, sure looks that way to me!

How about hearing from some real people that are living in the midst of
all these kooks so we know where they are located?

I'd fly or drive to where they are to give 'em a good look over! They
are something I would have to see with my own eyes to believe!

Regards,
JS

2455. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 00:36:44 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <v52et6p6fpjssjma8c4ao456jo60rh11do@4ax.com>
Source record: 230382
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:17:49 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
wrote:

>> OK. But whichever method you choose, the best chances of getting the
>> money is from a healthy middle-class.
>
>Why? What would make their money any better than stealing, err--I mean
>taxing, a billionaire on his death bed? Or, the ones getting an
>inheritance from him/her/it?

When Willie Sutton was asked why he robs banks, his reply was "That's
where the money is".

>Get real, money is money -- no matter who you get it from ...

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

2456. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 00:48:15 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir724i$hr5$10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230383
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 5:36 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> ...
> When Willie Sutton was asked why he robs banks, his reply was "That's
> where the money is".
>
>> Get real, money is money -- no matter who you get it from ...

Gee, at a risk of sounding in error, I'd say, "THAT SOUNDS LOGICAL TO ME!" But then, why is avoiding wall street and those bribing government public servants? I should think them good targets also ...

Regards,
JS

2457. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 00:53:16 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir72e3$828$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230384
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
> On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>> ...
>>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
>>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of photographic
>>>> evidence is noted.
>>>>
>>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
>>>> claims.
>>>>
>>>
>>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal with
>>> it ...
>>
>> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that you
>> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking to
>> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.
>>
>>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat the
>>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>>
>> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so ignorant
>> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of falling
>> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his experts might not
>> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy their
>> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>>
>> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
>> admission.
>>
>> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk about
>> this stuff then are you?
>>
>> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they might
>> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing the
>> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and can't
>> even recognize as being clearly wrong?

>>
>> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your own
>> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you for
>> even a second?
>>
>>
>
> I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others if
> they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are fooled
> into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts, etc.?

IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.

2458. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 00:56:40 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir72kf$94l$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230385
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir6v8d$hr5$3@dont-email.me...
> On 5/20/2011 4:47 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:ir6stg$o0a$8@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/20/2011 3:35 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> <Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:vkpdt612a5bjhpdn22ba1e7e62pigh8brc@4ax.com...
>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 08:58:56 -0700 (PDT), JohnJohnsn
>>>>> <TopCop1988@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Even then I would want to hear what he considers to be the
>>>>>>>>>>> "legitimate
>>>>>>>>>>> functions of government" because a lot of it sounds like "take from
>>>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>> rich and give to the poor"
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The rich take it from the poor
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Simple fact of finance: if they are truly "poor," they have nothing
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> take, Gary.
>>>>>
>>>>> Bullshit
>>>>>
>>>>> The poor pay almost all/any disposable income in taxes to the
>>>>> government--which feeds it directly to the wealth class.
>>>>
>>>> Actually, the poor are generally tax exempt from most federal taxes.
>>>
>>> EVERYTHING they CAN afford to purchase has hidden taxes in the price, we
>>> have been over that.
>>
>> IOW, "made up" taxation.
>
> What a damn fool, have to be hand fed, where is your mommy? The federal
> excise tax on the tires of the truck transporting the goods, the fuel tax
> on the vehicle transporting the goods, the tax on the employess involved
> in growing, harvesting, storing, manufacturing, transporting, sales of the
```

> products, the licenses on the farmers, manufacturers, transportation
> companies, etc., etc.

IOW, made up taxation, since this taxation isn't directed at the poor.

That such taxation gets passed along the the consumer as a cost doesn't alter the fact that the consumer isn't who is taxed even though they end up in the end paying for it.

2459. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 00:57:37 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir72m8$9bi$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230386
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir6ul8$hr5$1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/20/2011 4:27 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 16:01:47 -0700, John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>> What do you prefer instead?
>>> A flat tax, make a dollar, pay a tax on it, EQUAL TO WHAT EVERYONE ELSE
>>> PAYS!
>>>
>>> Make a million of them, get taxed on a million of those dollars. Make a
>>> billion, same thing. Make ten, same thing ... get the picture?
>> OK, let's say we do that. Does that invalidate in any way my
>> statement that if we had a healthy tax-paying middle class, we will
>> get more revenues? It's not an either/or thing.
>
> It doesn't take much thought to go straight to the heart of the matter
> here, if you want more taxes, tax those with more/most money ...

Except according to you it all gets paid by the poor....why do you want to
tax the poor?

2460. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 01:02:22 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir72v5arl1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230387
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir6vf1$hr5$4@dont-email.me...
> On 5/20/2011 4:56 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:ir6s39$o0a$6@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/20/2011 1:17 PM, Gray Ghost wrote:
>>>> ...
>>>> Who needs revenue? Does revenue match expenditures? Isnï¿½t it supposed
>>>> to?
>>>> Taxing the rich is not about paying the bills, it is about punishment
>>>> plain
>>>> and simple. And in their insane desire to punish the rich they are
>>>> punishing us all.
>>>
>>> No.
>>>
>>> But it is about paying for roads,
>>
>> Toll?
>>
>>> sewer plants, water supplies
>>
>> Paid for with user fees.
>>
>>> courts, prisons,
>>
>> Agreed
>>
>>> government public servants,
>>
>> Need a lot less of
>>
>>> state parks, federal parks,
>>
>> Operate on entry fees and use fees like commercial parks
>
> Calling a tax a "use fee", "license", "entry fee", etc. is cute, but still
> a tax ... only morons are fooled by a name, kinda like calling a rose by
> any other name ...
```

No, it's a fee. You don't go to the park you don't have to pay the fee. You don't stay in the camp ground then you don't pay that usage fee.

Tell me, if you went to Walt Disney Land and they made you pay to get in, is that a tax?

If you want a pizza and the restaurant makes you pay for it, is that a tax?

>>> the military
>>
>> Needs to be smaller and more focused on defense rather than policing the
>> planet.
>>
>>> , the coast guard,
>>
>> Ok
>>
>>> the border patrol, etc.
>>
>> Needs more funding, broader authority to detain and deport illegal caught
>> crossing, along with charging and convictions of any criminal charges for
>> any crimes committed.
>>
>>> I say, those with the money have to pay the bills ... unfortunately,
>>> those with the money want to mortgage my future and the future of my
>>> children and their childrens' children to pay for it ... WE HAVE A
>>> PROBLEM!
>>
>> No, the politicians wish to mortgage your future and that of your
>> children to fund short term political gains to justify their position
>> and/or reelection.
>
> You wonder why no one has any respect for you,

Only you and a few other idiots, most others do respect me.

> why I consider you a moron,

Because I don't agree with you and shoot down your bullshit rhetoric?

>why I think any rational person thinks you a nutjob?

By "rational" you mean only those that "think" like you do?

> Well, you just gave me a prime example to show you, YOUR POST FOOL!
>
> ROFLOL ... sorry, gotta plonk you again, I am sure you can see why ... if
> not, have the others explain it to you ...

Yada, yada, yada, and yet for an idiot and a fool, you couldn't refute anything I posted. So what does that tell us about YOU?

2461. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 01:02:39 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <fv-dnXM2lsyokkrQnZ2dnVY3goudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"clairbear" wrote in message
news:Xns9EEBC6132DFCFclairbear@202.177.16.121...

E.S.T. or GMT + 4:00

In error on both counts. If you are in the Eastern time zone of the US, you are in Eastern Daylight Time (EDT), and you are GMT - 4, not +4.

Of course, if there is another EST in Europe, I will defer.

2462. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 01:03:15 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir730q$b4n$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230389
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir6vi6$hr5$5@dont-email.me...
> On 5/20/2011 5:01 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:ir6sd5$o0a$7@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/20/2011 2:46 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:ir67c4$8v4$3@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/20/2011 8:58 AM, JohnJohnsn wrote:
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Why is it that all you Left-Wing'ers believe that the "rich" (code
>>>>>> word for "capatalists") hoard their money and never spend it into our
>>>>>> economy?
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>
>>>>> TRANSLATION: If the rich have to pay their own way, how will they
>>>>> ever become or remain wealthy on your money and labors???
>>>>
>>>> Your problem isn't that you want them to pay their own way......but
>>>> yours as well.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Those who own the resources, wealth, land, oil, gold, etc. are going to
>>> have to pay the bills, I know. I am paying mine and a share of for
>>> those who can't ... plus, HAVING A SHITLOAD STOLEN BY THE GOVERNMENT,
>>> BANKSTERS, WALL STREET, ELITE, POWERFUL, ETC.
>>
>> Oh, so you're rich then????
>
> What's rich?
>
> I don't get food stamps! The government couldn't steal my property or
> business!
>
> Rich, how about just, "Not a moron like you."
>
> ... plonk ...

Run away little troll, run away.

2463. Re: QSLs received, May 2011

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 01:10:57 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <58930757-f771-48cd-9247-9b9b3924225d@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230390

A welcome one too :-) - BRITISH INDIAN OCEAN TERRITORY, Armed Forces Network Diego Garcia, 12759U, 0140 UTC, in 20 days by regular mail for reception report in EE by mail to AFN - Riverside, California, USA

Also got one of those friendly e-mails from Radio Fly, confirming that an e-mailed reception report (follow-up to a mailed report, possibly lost) was adequate to generate a QSL card when they get them printed up...but not sure how to count this one. Will wait and see how things shape up at Ok Tedi before I count my chickens. (JENSEN-USA)

2464. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 01:23:06 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DD713FA-2401@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230391

It's May, so why isn't it spring in Iowa?
http://www.csmonitor.com
cuhulin, It's May

2465. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 01:31:04 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir74kr$cm9$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230392
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 6:22 PM, Yoorghis@Jurgis.net wrote:
> ...
> So when a poor fucker at McDonalds makes his small salary, and that
> tax amounts to 90% of what's left over after what he NEEDS to
> survive, what do you tell the CEO that just hauled in $200 Million and
> pays a pittance on that income? "good boy", you did good?

If the tax throws him into poverty rate by him not negotiating a high enough wage for his needs, expenses and responsibilities, or he has extended responsibilities such as parents he has to help, or a wife and children, etc., naturally, he would be tax exempt.

Why tax someone and give the money to the government, then have to turn around and give them money so they have shelter, clothing, food, etc.?

I believe I mentioned that in a previous post -- but, if not, it would seem to me that logic would immediately make that apparent to anyone of average intelligence.

And, brings us back to the original concept, FULL CIRCLE -- FOLLOW THE MONEY AND TAX THOSE WITH THE MONEY!

However, the whole point is to bring everyone out of poverty, have a high standard of living, safe neighborhoods, good schools, etc.

And, the same logic is always, and will remain, in effect -- you can't get blood out of a turnip ...

Regards,
JS

2466. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 01:38:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD71799-7275@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230393

Doggy and I are in the real (Raptured, there is 'something' about that word, Raptured, Indeed, it is funny!) Time Zone, all other Time Zones do not count.

A Raptured Lamborghini?
http://www.libertypost.org
Nawww, I wants me a Raptured Maserati.
My Maserati does one eighty fivvve,,,, (Varooooooommm,,,,) I lost my license, now I do not drive,,,,,,
cuhulin

2467. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 03:12:31 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD72D9F-7313@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230394

Watchin WJTV 12 tee vee 10:00 PM noos.Rusty's Riverfront Bar and Grill restaurant in down town Vicksburg.Some of those Tourist people are from Massatwobumps.They came to see the Missy Sippy River Flood.There is a guy and his family from Oklahoma, they came to see the Flood.
cuhulin

2468. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 03:34:02 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <b53e7797-7ba9-4659-8a3f-4330cd3dfd79@c26g2000vbq.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 20, 9:02 pm, "Brenda Ann" <newsgro...@fullspectrumradio.org>
wrote:
> "clairbear" wrote in message
>
> news:Xns9EEBC6132DFCFclairbear@202.177.16.121...
>
> E.S.T. or GMT + 4:00
>
> ---
> ---
>
> In error on both counts. If you are in the Eastern time zone of the US, you
> are in Eastern Daylight Time (EDT), and you are GMT - 4, not +4.
>
> Of course, if there is another EST in Europe, I will defer.

GMT+4 is valid in places like Moscow,Baku or Muscat .

2469. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 03:36:07 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <da7978b0-76e8-4b95-98b0-1963a78d3146@n10g2000vby.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230396

On May 20, 11:12 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Watchin WJTV 12 tee vee 10:00 PM noos.Rusty's Riverfront Bar and Grill
> restaurant in down town Vicksburg.Some of those Tourist people are from
> Massatwobumps.They came to see the Missy Sippy River Flood.There is a
> guy and his family from Oklahoma, they came to see the Flood.
> cuhulin

They got nuttin' to do . That's why .

2470. Re: EE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 04:04:26 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <223361de-6130-46e4-a523-0c1d6926ff52@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230397

On May 19, 6:47 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 19, 9:13 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 19, 4:25 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC
> > > on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> > > S-Meter : S6~S9 and SIO 434 with good audio
>
> > > Heard Male and Female Voices in Spanish @ 0520 UTC
> > > and Female Voice repeat the "REE" ID three times
> > > @ 0528 UTC + Plus 0530 UTC BOH 'Code' "...._"
> > > .
> > > *Prior* Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info
> > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/a48...
> > > .
> > > Radio Exterior de Espana
(REE)http://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/...
> > > .
> > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> > > .
> > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > .
> > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > .
> > > .
> > > On May 17, 5:18 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550 UTC
> > > > on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> > > > S-Meter : S7~S9 and SIO 444 with good audio
>
> > > > Heard Male Voice in Spanish @ 0550 UTC
> > > > and Female Voice "REE" ID @ 0555 UTC

> > > > .
> > > > Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) via Costa
Ricahttp://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/
> > > > .
> > > > Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) Frequencies &
Timeshttp://www.rtve.es/contenidos/documentos/frecuencias032011.pdf
> > > > .
> > > > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > > > 3350 kHz : 0200-0600 UTC
> > > > E : Radio Exterior España : S : CAm : /CTR
> > > > Spain REE in Spanish to Central America via Costa Rica
> > > > .
> > > > -other- Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) -reports-
> > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:27
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b36b8d3ae9db114e
> > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:50
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9a0e75b3965be553
> > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 17850 kHz @ 21:05 UTC
{Soccer}http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dace9126350a80f3
> > > > -note- REE Shortwave Transmitter Site is near
> > > > Cariari de Pococi, Costa Rica, Central America
> > > > .
> > > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> > > > .
> > > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > > .
> > > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > > .
> > > > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > Thanks. It would be cool if either REE or his relay site QSLed - the
> > way things are going, neither one will be around much longer - and
> > it's been a LONG time since we've heard Faro del Caribe :-(
>
> IIRC -wrt- Faro del Caribe
> Gene Scott is 'there' 24/7
> .- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Didn't he pass away several years ago ? His broadcasts were so
spectacular at times !

2471. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 04:06:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD73A2F-7333@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230398

Those Tourist people are spending money in Raptured Missy Sippy.That is
A OK with me.

It is already after 6:00 PM May 21, 2011 in some parts of the World, I
think.
The World hasen't ended there, the World will not end here.
cuhulin

2472. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 05:01:43 UTC
From: Nelson Obamafeller <kenyancoon@whitehouse.gov>
Message-ID: <b3f8ccc261c324e2803847e52d03150d@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 230399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.california,alt.conspiracy

In article <ir6u5hghs4@dont-email.me>
Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net> wrote:
>
> DCI wrote:
>
> > JS, just my opinion, you'll strengthen your arguments when you cease
> > to use such profane retorts. Give it a thought.
>
> On 5/20/2011 12:46 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
> > I enjoy satire in my humor ...
>
> The fact that you consider racism, vulgarity, sexual slurs and profanity
> as "humor" speaks volumes about you character...or should I say, lack
> thereof.

In other words, he's the perfect Democrat?

2473. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 05:07:21 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <i3iet6h6ri1gpur5eva1ccmtn0oub6e3na@4ax.com>
Source record: 230400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Sat, 21 May 2011 09:01:58 +1000, Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
wrote:

>On Fri, 20 May 2011 06:27:58 -0700 (PDT), RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
>wrote:
>
>
>>The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
>>until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
>
>It has been the 21st of May for 2 hours in "the Holy Land".
>
>And for 9 hours here.
>
>So far... no heavenly trumpets.
>
>Shill #2

15 hours... still nothing.

Shill #2
--
Don't worry about the world coming to an end today. It's already
tomorrow in Australia.
Charles M. Schulz (1922 - 2000)

2474. Re: PUSSY BOY JOHNNY KOOK CANNOT NAME ONE THING!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 05:34:23 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9086b0a6-a2ce-4a77-8021-edfbb453aa9d@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230401
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california

On May 20, 10:52 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/20/2011 5:20 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > ...
> > It's a study in stupidity by you, kook. I guess you're too stupid to
> > see the error that the blogger made. Hint: He's looking at the wrong
> > building. Blatant and obvious error by yet another 9/11 kooker!!
>
> > You sure did not earn your money tonight from your hero Cheeeeeney,
> > you traitor rightard bushkultie.
>
> What is worse than a lesbian (homosexual) irontard in your face?
>
> A macho, on steroids, sociopathic irontard IN YOUR FACE AND DOING A
> SCREAMING-DYKE ROUTINE! (Or, powder-puff dykes, all pumped up, can
> scare people in dark alleys! -- you'll get used to her.)
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS

Hey Johnny KQQK, how come you didn't use this post to NAME ONE THING
in the NIST report that you "think" is incorrect?

Oh, that's right, you can't!

<sips Victory Iced Tea>

2475. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 05:37:09 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <089ba9a4-e5c0-4d83-8697-0df581be2115@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 20, 8:06 am, "Warren E. Harrison"
<fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> On 5/20/2011 5:17 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 19, 11:16 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"
> > <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> >> On 5/19/2011 8:22 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> >>> On May 19, 9:21 am, "Warren E. Harrison"
> >>> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> >>>> On 5/17/2011 5:35 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>
> >>>>> On May 16, 11:24 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"wrote:
> >>>>>> On 5/16/2011 8:22 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>
> >>>>>>> On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts
>
> >>>>>>> Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!
>
> >>>>>>> <snicker>
>
> >>>>>> Obama would not, of course, have been born a citizen had he been born
> >>>>>> abroad
>
> >>>>> Yes, he would.
>
> >>>> No, he would not have been. The Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952 specified
who would be a citizen if born abroad. If only one parent was a citizen, then that citizen
needed to have been physically present in the USA for five years after the age of 14. As
Obama's mother was only 18 at the time of his birth, she did not meet the requirement due
to simple arithmetic. That provision applied regardless of the marital status of the
parents. If the parents were not married, an additional restriction applied: the father had
to "legitimate" the child by acknowledging and establishing paternity.
>
> >>>> Sec. 309. (a) The provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (7) of
> >>>> section 301 (a), and of paragraph (2) of section 308, of this title
> >>>> shall apply as of the date of birth to a child born out of wedlock
> >>>> on or after the effective date of this Act, if the paternity of such
> >>>> child is established while such child is under the age of twenty-one
> >>>> years by legitimation.

>
> >>>> http://library.uwb.edu/guides/USimmigration/66%20stat%20163.pdf
>
> >>>> That is an *additional* restriction, and does not alter the physical presence requirement of the one citizen parent. The applicability of Title III, Section 301 (a)(7) does not depend in any way on the marital status of the parents: that one citizen parent must have been physically present in the USA for 10 years, five of which were after the age of 14, in order for the child born abroad to be a citizen at birth. Nothing in the statute mentions the marital status of the parents, and there is no implicit reference to marital status. The marital status was irrelevant.
>
> >>> Yes, he would have been.
>
> >> Wrong.Had he been born outside the US, he would not have been born a
> >> citizen under the law in effect.
>
> > Yes, he
>
> No, he would not have been.

Yes, he would.

2476. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 05:40:08 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <130a9a7f-5d7b-40db-9e6c-b373c1218ca6@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230403
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On May 20, 6:13 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> >> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> >>> ...
> >>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
> >>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of
> >>> photographic evidence is noted.
>
> >>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
> >>> claims.
>
> >> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal
> >> with it ...
>
> > Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that you
> > need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking to
> > yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.
>
> >> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat
> >> the facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>
> > So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so
> > ignorant that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
> > falling outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his
> > experts might not be the experts they claim and that you're stupid
> > enough to buy their Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>
> > Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
> > admission.
>
> > Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk
> > about this stuff then are you?
>
> > Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they might
> > actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing
> > the claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and

> > can't even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>
> > So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your
> > own admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you
> > for even a second?
>
> I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others if
> they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are
> fooled into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts, etc.?

Hey Johnny KQQK, we agree with Scout.

Happy?

2477. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 05:53:17 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5f1d0e96-99f1-46a9-80fe-bc63ac361089@x1g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230404
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> >> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> >>>> ...
> >>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
> >>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of photographic
> >>>> evidence is noted.
>
> >>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
> >>>> claims.
>
> >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal with
> >>> it ...
>
> >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that you
> >> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking to
> >> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.
>
> >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat the
> >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>
> >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so ignorant
> >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of falling
> >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his experts might not
> >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy their
> >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>
> >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
> >> admission.
>
> >> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk about

> >> this stuff then are you?
>
> >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they might
> >> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing the
> >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and can't
> >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>
> >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your own
> >> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you for
> >> even a second?
>
> > I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others if
> > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are fooled
> > into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts, etc.?
>
> IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.Which
way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?Three missiles are were
recorded in the same FBI release,Well the remnates of the first one is
just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see the
next two in motion!

2478. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 05:57:50 UTC
From: "Warren E. Harrison" <fuckwit's.daddy@shitbags.con>
Message-ID: <6PqdnbLEYafryUrQnZ2dnUVZ5oadnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/20/2011 10:37 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 20, 8:06 am, "Warren E. Harrison"
> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
>> On 5/20/2011 5:17 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 19, 11:16 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"
>>> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
>>>> On 5/19/2011 8:22 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 19, 9:21 am, "Warren E. Harrison"
>>>>> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 5:35 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>>
>>>>>>> On May 16, 11:24 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"wrote:
>>>>>>>> On 5/16/2011 8:22 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>> On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts
>>
>>>>>>>>> Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!
>>
>>>>>>>>> <snicker>
>>
>>>>>>>> Obama would not, of course, have been born a citizen had he been born
>>>>>>>> abroad
>>
>>>>>>> Yes, he would.
>>
>>>>>> No, he would not have been. The Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952 specified
who would be a citizen if born abroad. If only one parent was a citizen, then that citizen
needed to have been physically present in the USA for five years after the age of 14. As
Obama's mother was only 18 at the time of his birth, she did not meet the requirement due
to simple arithmetic. That provision applied regardless of the marital status of the
parents. If the parents were not married, an additional restriction applied: the father had
to "legitimate" the child by acknowledging and establishing paternity.
>>
>>>>>> Sec. 309. (a) The provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (7) of
>>>>>> section 301 (a), and of paragraph (2) of section 308, of this title
>>>>>> shall apply as of the date of birth to a child born out of wedlock
>>>>>> on or after the effective date of this Act, if the paternity of such
>>>>>> child is established while such child is under the age of twenty-one

>>>>>> years by legitimation.
>>
>>>>>> http://library.uwb.edu/guides/USimmigration/66%20stat%20163.pdf
>>
>>>>>> That is an *additional* restriction, and does not alter the physical presence requirement of the one citizen parent. The applicability of Title III, Section 301 (a)(7) does not depend in any way on the marital status of the parents: that one citizen parent must have been physically present in the USA for 10 years, five of which were after the age of 14, in order for the child born abroad to be a citizen at birth. Nothing in the statute mentions the marital status of the parents, and there is no implicit reference to marital status. The marital status was irrelevant.
>>
>>>>> Yes, he would have been.
>>
>>>> Wrong.Had he been born outside the US, he would not have been born a
>>>> citizen under the law in effect.
>>
>>> Yes, he
>>
>> No, he would not have been. The law has been cited and you're wrong. His mother was not old enough - she had not been physically present in the USA for five years after the age of 14 at the time of his birth.
>
> Yes,

No, he would not have been. The law has been cited and you're wrong.
His mother was not old enough - she had not been physically present in
the USA for five years after the age of 14 at the time of his birth.
Those who would have been citizens at birth are identified in the law.
Hussein did not qualify, due to the age and residency of his Maoist mother.

Sorry - you have nothing.

2479. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 06:46:22 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir7n48$6o6$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230406
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"Iarnrod" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:130a9a7f-5d7b-40db-9e6c-b373c1218ca6@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com...
> On May 20, 6:13 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
>> >> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
>> >>> ...
>> >>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
>> >>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of
>> >>> photographic evidence is noted.
>>
>> >>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
>> >>> claims.
>>
>> >> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal
>> >> with it ...
>>
>> > Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that you
>> > need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking to
>> > yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.
>>
>> >> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat
>> >> the facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>>
>> > So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so
>> > ignorant that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
>> > falling outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his
>> > experts might not be the experts they claim and that you're stupid
>> > enough to buy their Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>>
>> > Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
>> > admission.
>>
>> > Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk
>> > about this stuff then are you?
>>
>> > Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they might

>> > actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing
>> > the claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and
>> > can't even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>>
>> > So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your
>> > own admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you
>> > for even a second?
>>
>> I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others if
>> they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are
>> fooled into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts,
>> etc.?
>
> Hey Johnny KQQK, we agree with Scout.
>
> Happy?

What John still doesn't realize is that he's just admitted to being a "know nothing". By his own admission he simply repeats what others say, and based on the evidence has no clue whether what he's repeating is good, bad or total bullshit, and he seems to like it that way.

But as long as some self proclaimed "expert" says it, and it's part of the conspiracy theory, then John is all over it like a cheap hooker.

2480. Re: OBAMA, WHERE'S THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE? JUST AS VALID A QUESTION AS YEARS AGO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 07:11:12 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir7oii$cf3$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.california,alt.conspiracy

On 5/20/2011 10:01 PM, Nelson Obamafeller wrote:
> In article<ir6u5hghs4@dont-email.me>
> Joe from Kokomo<joe@indy.net> wrote:
>> DCI wrote:
>>
>>> JS, just my opinion, you'll strengthen your arguments when you cease
>>> to use such profane retorts. Give it a thought.
>> On 5/20/2011 12:46 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> I enjoy satire in my humor ...
>> The fact that you consider racism, vulgarity, sexual slurs and profanity
>> as "humor" speaks volumes about you character...or should I say, lack
>> thereof.
> In other words, he's the perfect Democrat?

Really?

I think of them as sheeple, demoncraps. They strive to be politically
correct. Since their minds are empty, they are free to be filled with
what their leaders tell them to believe, what to want, what is proper,
how to behave ...

I guess it beats no life at all, no will at all, no thoughts of your own
... you can live life though others commands!

Gee, how unique!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2481. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 07:16:58 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir7otd$cf3$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On 5/20/2011 10:57 PM, Warren E. Harrison wrote:
> ...
> No, he would not have been. The law has been cited and you're wrong.
> His mother was not old enough - she had not been physically present in
> the USA for five years after the age of 14 at the time of his birth.
> Those who would have been citizens at birth are identified in the law.
> Hussein did not qualify, due to the age and residency of his Maoist
> mother.
>
> Sorry - you have nothing.

His mother, obamas', was a slut-whore who spent time hanging out in bill letters (sp?) bar on Hotel Street in Honolulu, Hawaii. I doubt if anything controlled her, other than a stupid plan for obama sr. to be king of Kenya, and she his queen -- one weird bitch!

Guess this explains a lot about obama, and his amazing readiness to be a whore for the shadow government -- he is living testimony that the sins of the father, and mother, are imposed upon the child.

A close study sickens one ...

Regards,
JS

2482. TOP 17 HOT BOLLYWOOD VIDEOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 07:28:07 UTC
From: HRJHRJB <odgfhfhdfv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7f1b259c-a3e4-4f5e-8520-787596023a48@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230409

for hot videos
http://verysexyhotvideos.blogspot.com/

hot lip kiss videos
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/hot-lip-kiss-videos.html

super hot lip kiss
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/super-hot-lip-kiss.html

jobs
http://alljobsaywhere.blogspot.com/

games

GAME 1
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-1.html
GAME 2
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-2.html

mobile

mobile games free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-games-free-download.html

mobile ringtones free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-ringtones-free-download.html

2483. Re: EE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 07:39:03 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bf64904b-7feb-467f-b744-ce1ae4f9e41f@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230410

On May 20, 9:04 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 19, 6:47 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 19, 9:13 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 19, 4:25 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC
> > > > on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> > > > S-Meter : S6~S9 and SIO 434 with good audio
>
> > > > Heard Male and Female Voices in Spanish @ 0520 UTC
> > > > and Female Voice repeat the "REE" ID three times
> > > > @ 0528 UTC + Plus 0530 UTC BOH 'Code' "...._"
> > > > .
> > > > *Prior* Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info
> > > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/a48...
> > > > .
> > > > Radio Exterior de Espana
(REE)http://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/...
> > > > .
> > > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> > > > .
> > > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > > .
> > > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > > .
> > > > .
> > > > On May 17, 5:18 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550 UTC

> > > > > on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> > > > > S-Meter : S7~S9 and SIO 444 with good audio
>
> > > > > Heard Male Voice in Spanish @ 0550 UTC
> > > > > and Female Voice "REE" ID @ 0555 UTC
> > > > > .
> > > > > Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) via Costa
Ricahttp://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/
> > > > > .
> > > > > Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) Frequencies &
Timeshttp://www.rtve.es/contenidos/documentos/frecuencias032011.pdf
> > > > > .
> > > > > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > > > > 3350 kHz : 0200-0600 UTC
> > > > > E : Radio Exterior España : S : CAm : /CTR
> > > > > Spain REE in Spanish to Central America via Costa Rica
> > > > > .
> > > > > -other- Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) -reports-
> > > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:27
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b36b8d3ae9db114e
> > > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 5965 kHz @ 07:50
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9a0e75b3965be553
> > > > > * Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 17850 kHz @ 21:05 UTC
{Soccer}http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dace9126350a80f3
> > > > > -note- REE Shortwave Transmitter Site is near
> > > > > Cariari de Pococi, Costa Rica, Central America
> > > > > .
> > > > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> > > > > .
> > > > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > > > .
> > > > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > > > .
> > > > > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > Thanks. It would be cool if either REE or his relay site QSLed - the
> > > way things are going, neither one will be around much longer - and
> > > it's been a LONG time since we've heard Faro del Caribe :-(
>
> > IIRC -wrt- Faro del Caribe
> > Gene Scott is 'there' 24/7
> > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -

>
> Didn't he pass away several years ago ? His broadcasts were so
> spectacular at times !

Yepp, he did, but his (daughter?) Melissa still carries on the family
business, and they play Gene Scott sermons pretty often in reruns.

2484. BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz @ 0110 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 09:29:30 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <9eab2d70-d32c-4540-89a9-988091ea28cc@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230411

BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz @ 0110 UTC
on 21 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S3 with SIO 333 and fair audio

Doing a Program about Marvin Gay, His Music and
Songs; with BBC World Service ID @ 0129 UTC
.
BBC World Service -via- Shortwave Radio
{The BBC's International Radio Station}
http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/
.
NOTE : The BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz is
listed as being 'Beamed' to East Asia from Thailand
http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/schedules/frequencies/ase.htm
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
15,360 kHz @ 0000-0200 UTC
G : BBC : E : FE :/THA-n
in English to Far East from Thailand
.
How to Listen to the BBC World Service
-via- Short-Wave Radio
http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/institutional/2009/03/000000_sw_howlisten.shtml
.
BBC World Service "Global" Shortwave Schedule
{BBC-WS Times and Frequencies}
http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/schedules/frequencies/
.
BBC World Service Frequencies and Sites in 'English'
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/d3b21abf60c25e62
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2485. Radio New Zealand International [RNZI] on 15,720 kHz @ 0035 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 09:53:28 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <55c08f4b-d045-4834-8a02-7bd9b66197b9@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230412

Radio New Zealand International [RNZI] on 15,720 kHz @ 0035 UTC
on 21 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 555 and Very Good Audio

RNZI Topics Heard : Latest Disco Club Music;
Canberra NZ; Kiwis; Graveyard Headstone
Restoration from Christchurch Earthquake;
NZ Nation ID @ 0043 UTC
Story on Cuban Coffee Prices and Rationing @ 0050 UTC
TOH ....._ {Tone} and RNZI Auckland ID @ 0100 UTC
.
Radio New Zealand International [RNZI]
Shortwave Radio Schedule Frequency and Time
http://www.rnzi.com/pages/listen.php
.
"A11" Radio New Zealand International [RNZI]
http://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/rnzi.htm
6170 kHz; 7440 kHz; 9615 kHz; 9655 kHz;
11,725 kHz; and 15,720 kHz
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
15720 kHz @ 2151-0458 UTC
NZL : Radio New Zealand Int. : E : Oc
from New Zealand in English to Oceania
http://www.zonu.com/images/0X0/2009-09-17-6/East-Asia-and-Oceania-Political-1992.jpg
.
About/Info : Radio New Zealand International [RNZI]
http://www.rnzi.com/index.php
http://www.rnzi.com/pages/about.php
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_New_Zealand_International
.
RNZI Shortwave Antennas and Transmitters
http://www.rnzi.com/pages/technical.php
.
RNZI Shortwave Radio Listener Reports [QSLs]
-qsl-info- http://www.rnzi.com/pages/qsl.php
-web-qsl-form- http://www.rnzi.com/pages/qsl_web.php
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq

http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
Prior Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info :
Radio New Zealand International [RNZI]
on 6170 kHz @ 0805 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/01a52ccb7224686c
.
.

2486. Re: Another useless collection of hyperlinks that tells me nothing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 10:05:20 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ccfd3798-04d7-4dbf-a529-5bc04262a530@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230413

On May 20, 6:30 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/20/2011 06:22 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > KFBS [FEBC] Saipan* Has Ceased Transmitting Effective 30 April 2011
> > .
> > -wrt- FEBC
> >http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/search/label/FEBC
> > -wrt- KFBS
> >http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/search/label/KFBS%20Saipan
> > -source- Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog Spot
> >http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/
> > .
> > Far East Broadcasting Company [FEBC]
> >http://www.febc.org/
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Far_East_Broadcasting_Company
> > .
> > * Saipan the Capital of the Northern Mariana Islands
> >http://i.infoplease.com/images/mmariana.gif
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Northern_Mariana_Islands
> > .
> > and now you know... cause you just read it here ~ RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports :http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
> >http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .
> > -follow-up-post-
>
> > * -source- Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog Spot
> > *http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/
>
> > Recommend if Shortwave Radio Listening [SWL]
> > is your 'Thing' the Weekly Reading of the
> > Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog Spot

> >http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/
> > .
> > .

Dave, Dave, Dave,,, -wrote-
"Another useless collection of hyperlinks
that tells me nothing"
-dave-cause-you-'know-it-all'-already-

Some People Only Have To Read The Subject Line :
To Know :o)

Some People Read The Subject Line :
And Want To Read The Message Body :
To Know More :o))

and...
There Are Some People Just Want To Complain :-{
-wrt-
KFBS [FEBC] Saipan* Has Ceased Transmitting
Effective 30 April 2011
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ebc310973198b712
.
.

2487. Re: BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz @ 0110 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 11:04:44 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1bc8fb0f-f582-4c1b-b201-0620ea1f8d15@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230414

On May 21, 2:29 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz @ 0110 UTC
> on 21 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : S3 with SIO 333 and fair audio
>
> Doing a Program about Marvin Gay, His Music and
> Songs; with BBC World Service ID @ 0129 UTC
> .
> BBC World Service -via- Shortwave Radio
> {The BBC's International Radio Station}http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/
> .
> NOTE : The BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz is
> listed as being 'Beamed' to East Asia from
Thailandhttp://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/schedules/frequencies/ase.htm
> .
> EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> 15,360 kHz @ 0000-0200 UTC
> G : BBC : E : FE :/THA-n
> in English to Far East from Thailand
> .
> How to Listen to the BBC World Service
> -via- Short-Wave
Radiohttp://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/institutional/2009/03/000000_sw_how...
> .
> BBC World Service "Global" Shortwave Schedule
> {BBC-WS Times and Frequencies}http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/schedules/frequencies/
> .
> BBC World Service Frequencies and Sites in
'English'http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/d3b...
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .
.
- NOTE : The BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz is
- listed as being 'Beamed' to East Asia from Thailand

- http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/schedules/frequencies/ase.htm
.
Aerial Photo of BBC-WS Shortwave Antennas
at Nakhon Sawan, Thailand
http://www.asiawaves.net/thailand/bbc-nakhon-sawan.jpg
.
.

2488. Radio Australia [RA-ABC] on 15,240 kHz @ 0052 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 11:28:31 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <260d9fc1-a744-4a07-a572-5a88917a671a@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230415

Radio Australia [RA-ABC] on 15,240 kHz @ 0052 UTC
on 21 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S2~S4 and SIO : 434 with good audio

RA in English with talk about Infant Health and Mortality;
ABC ID @ 0059 UTC & TOH ABC News ID @ 0102 UTC

Radio Australia [RA] the International Voice of the ABC
http://www.radioaustralia.net.au/
* Australian Broadcasting Corporation [ABC]
http://www.abc.net.au/radio/
.
NOTE : Radio Australia is on 15,240 kHz in English
from 0000 to 0800 UTC {8-Hours Daily}
http://www.radioaustralia.net.au/pdf/frequency_guide.pdf
Is being Broadcast to the Central South Pacific and
Western Pacific {Oceania} from Australia and onward
to the Hawaii Islands and North & South America
http://clacabanne.free.fr/images/oceania_map.gif
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
15,240 kHz @ 0000-0800 UTC
AUS : Radio Australia : E : WOc
from Australia in English to Western Oceania
.
FYI This ABC Transmitter Site in at Shepparton,
Victoria, Australia {South Eastern Australia]
http://www.short-wave.info/index.php?station=R.Australia&language=English&now=10&now2=50&submit=GO
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
Prior RA-ABC Shortwave Radio Reception Reports & Info :
* Radio Australia [RA-ABC] on 5995 & 6080 kHz @ 14:45 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/7c6edf45d45078a6
* Radio Australia [RA-ABC] on 9580 kHz @ 10:45 UTC

http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/ac26b0a527699800
* Radio Australia [RA-ABC] on 11,880 kHz @ 18:32 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/ff5c88cd1952f7cd
* Radio Australia [RA-ABC] on 13,690 kHz @ 05:50 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/1c3857686eb8d472
* Radio Australia [RA-ABC] on 15,515 kHz @ 21:30 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/41318e3a64abffba
* Radio Australia [RA-ABC] on 17,795 kHz @ 23:29 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/f2861dea708af90d
.
.

2489. Propagation Forecast Bulletin 20 ARLP020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 12:16:44 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd7acd1$0$12514$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230416

B PROP @ ARL $ARLP020
ARLP020 Propagation de K7RA

ZCZC AP20
QST de W1AW
Propagation Forecast Bulletin 20 ARLP020
From Tad Cook, K7RA
Seattle, WA May 20, 2011
To all radio amateurs

SB PROP ARL ARLP020
ARLP020 Propagation de K7RA

A decline in sunspot activity this week showed as a 23 point drop in average daily sunspot numbers, and nearly 9 point decline in average daily solar flux. These compare, of course, to the numbers for the previous reporting week, which always runs from Thursday through Wednesday.

But with this decline in activity there were three new sunspot groups on May 14, and then one new sunspot group each day following, through May 18. But on May 19, there was no new sunspot group, and the daily sunspot number declined from 65 on Wednesday to 36 on Thursday. Heightened geomagnetic activity occurred from May 15-17, with the planetary A index in the low double-digits.

The latest prediction from NOAA/USAF is for much lower solar flux than predicted on Wednesday, May 18. The forecast predicts solar flux readings of 84 and 82 on May 20-21, then 80 on May 22-26, jumping to 90 on May 27 and 110 on May 28-30. Predicted planetary A index is 7 on May 20-21, 5 on May 22-25, then 8, 22, 18, 15, 15 and 8 on May 26-31.

Geophysical Institute Prague predicts quiet conditions May 20-21, quiet to unsettled May 22, and quiet May 23-26.

On Wednesday, May 18 the solar flux was predicted at 92 on May 20-26, much higher than numbers forecast a day later and referenced above.

Last week's Propagation Forecast Bulletin ARLP019 mentioned that most sunspots lately appear in the Sun's northern hemisphere, but on May 19 they are all in the southern hemisphere. Go to http://spaceweather.com/ and on the upper right check the archive for May 18. Note the two sunspot groups, 1214 and 1216, in the southern hemisphere. Now change to May 19, and note sunspot group 1218, also in the southern hemisphere. On May 20 it shows all

sunspots only in the southern half.

Today the new June 2011 issue of WorldRadio Magazine is available online, for free. Go to http://www.worldradiomagazine.com to download the latest issue, and check out Carl Luetzelschwab, K9LA's propagation column on pages 30-33. He gives an interesting treatise on solar flux and sunspot numbers.

The only mail this week was from K4FNC, and is not the usual type of question about propagation, but he asked, "Can you tell me when is the best time to make contact with the space station on 2 meters or the 440 frequencies?" Quite a bit of information on ARISS is on the ARRL web site at http://www.arrl.org/amateur-radio-on-the-international-space-station.

If you click on the "Frequently Asked Questions" link on the left side, this gives you a lot of the details, such as how to track the space station. The link to AMSAT at http://www.amsat.org/amsat-new/tools even gives a method for tracking that doesn't need your own tracking software. Click on Online Satellite Pass Predictions, select ISS, and enter FM05nb for the grid square for K4FNC, click Predict, and this gives the time for AOS (Acquisition of Signal) and LOS (Loss of Signal) for his location.

If you would like to make a comment or have a tip for our readers, email the author at, k7ra@arrl.net.

For more information concerning radio propagation, see the ARRL Technical Information Service web page at http://arrl.org/propagation-of-rf-signals. For an explanation of the numbers used in this bulletin, see http://arrl.org/the-sun-the-earth-the-ionosphere. An archive of past propagation bulletins is at http://arrl.org/w1aw-bulletins-archive-propagation. Find more good information and tutorials on propagation at http://mysite.ncnetwork.net/k9la/index.html.

Monthly propagation charts between four USA regions and twelve overseas locations are at http://arrl.org/propagation.

Instructions for starting or ending email distribution of ARRL bulletins are at http://arrl.org/bulletins.

Sunspot numbers for May 12 through 18 were 29, 29, 64, 54, 63, 57, and 65, with a mean of 51.6. 10.7 cm flux was 92.8, 91.5, 91.4, 94.5, 92.2, 91.5, and 90.6, with a mean of 92.1. Estimated planetary A indices were 3, 4, 4, 11, 12, 10, and 6, with a mean of 7.1. Estimated mid-latitude A indices were 2, 3, 3, 9, 10, 8, and 4, with a mean of 5.6.
NNNN
/EX

2490. Re:all the king's horses and all the king's men...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 12:18:04 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd7ad22$0$12514$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230417

On 05/20/2011 08:31 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> We really are in a Depression.
> http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=307919
> cuhulin
>

2491. Re: We have enough real disaster without this made-up bulshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 12:19:39 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd7ad80$0$12514$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230418

On 05/20/2011 10:08 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 19, 8:29 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>> On May 19, 9:25 pm, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:

>>> I'd rather DX jetliners than listen to religious radio in a foreign
>>> language.
>>
>> How about WYFR in English ? Don't you ever listen to their
>> broadcasts ? Especially now , since according to them : The End Is
>> Near ! ! !- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> Okeechobee is going bananas :-)

2492. Re: Corsi's book has been recalled for the shredder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 12:20:20 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd7adaa$0$12514$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230419

```
On 05/20/2011 11:41 AM, RHF wrote:
> It's Time For... "Info Wars" with Alex Jones
> via WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC
> .
> Breaking News... Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi
> about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.
> .
> Startling Revelations by Jerome Corsi to Alex Jones :
> The Non-Marriage : The Cover-Up
> The Ann Dunham Passport : The Cover-Up
> The Trip to Kenya : The Cover-Up
> The INS Investigation of Barack H Obama Senior : The Cover-Up
> The Real Father of Barack H Obama : The Cover-Up
> The Manufacturer Hawaiian Birther Records : The Cover-Up
> Barack H Obama Junior a Life of Lies : The Cover-Up
> -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up- -the-cover-up-
> -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes- -the-crimes-
> .
> Obama Releases a New and Improved 'Birther' Certificate V2.0
> -&- Oops... It Hanging Chad's All Over Again !
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9e0c9455442f3db5
> Again : Take an Honest 'Look' at the Obama
> 'Birther' Certificate V2.0 -details-details-details-
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/ab73fe60ab2d7f6a
> -why-all-the-penciled-in-numbers-mark-ups-
> -on-the-original-micro-film-record-
> -and-who-made-them...-who...-
> .
> But First For Some 'Birther' Humor :o) Oops...
> It's Hanging Chad's All Over Again !!!
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/3d7638c4f0297e27
> .
>
>
> Info Wars on WWCR Times and Frequencies
> http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> .
> "The Case That Barack Obama Is Not {No Way} Eligible
> {Legally} To Be President" the new tell-it-all book
> -by- Jerome Corsi
> http://www.amazon.com/dp/1936488299/
> Yeah - President Obama is Already Feeling The Heat from
> Jerome Corsi's New Tell-It-All Book
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
```

> .
> YES : Jerome Corsi's Book About Obama
> Reveals Many More Unanswered Questions
> Beyond the Birth Certificate Issue...
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
> .
> Listen to Alex Jones "Info Wars" on Shortwave Radio
> http://www.infowars.com/listen.html
> -via- Shortwave Radio Station WWCR
> M-F 11 AM - 2 PM on 12,160 MHz : 1600~1900 UTC
> M-F 9 PM - 12 AM on 4,840 MHz : 0200~0500 UTC
> Tue-Sat 12 AM - 3 AM on 3,215 MHz : 0200~0800 UTC
> All Times "Central" {CST/CDT - USA}
> "Info Wars" -host- Alex Jones
> http://www.wwcr.com/pgm-links.html
> -wrt- http://www.infowars.com/
> .
> XM Radio has Alex Jones "Info Wars"
> XM RADIO XM Channel # 158
> (Channel 158 Airs 8-9 PM Weekdays Central Time)
> .
> On-Line via Genesis Communications Network [GCN]
> http://www.gcnlive.com/schedule.php
> * Alex Jones On-Demand GCN-Line
> http://www.gcnlive.com/mediaPlayers/odPlayer.php?program=alexJones
> .
> "Info-Wars" with Alex Jones... Coming To a 'Local'
> {Affiliate} AM/FM Radio Station Near You :o)
> http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/alexJones/affiliateList.pdf
> .
> yes - i heard alex jones 'info-war' on-the-radio ~ RHF
> -and-you-can-too--by--just-listening-:o)-
> .
> YES - I Am Listening To Alex Jones Right Now ! :o) ~ RHF
> -info-wars- -info-wars- -info-wars- -info-wars-
> -talking-about-ron-paul- -talking-about-ron-paul-
> -the-globalists- -the-globalists- -the-globalists-
> -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da- -al-c-i-a-da-
> .
> .

2493. Re: The King of Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 12:21:42 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd7adfb$0$12514$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230420

On 05/20/2011 12:18 PM, BDK wrote:

>
> That's why it's known as "World Wide Crackpot Radio". And Corsi is one
> of the all time biggest crackpots out there. A raving loon.
>

2494. Re: QSLs received, May 2011

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 12:22:39 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd7ae34$0$12514$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230421

On 05/20/2011 06:10 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
> A welcome one too :-) - BRITISH INDIAN OCEAN TERRITORY, Armed Forces
> Network Diego Garcia, 12759U, 0140 UTC, in 20 days by regular mail for
> reception report in EE by mail to AFN - Riverside, California, USA
>
> Also got one of those friendly e-mails from Radio Fly, confirming that
> an e-mailed reception report (follow-up to a mailed report, possibly
> lost) was adequate to generate a QSL card when they get them printed
> up...but not sure how to count this one. Will wait and see how things
> shape up at Ok Tedi before I count my chickens. (JENSEN-USA)

check!

2495. Re: BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz @ 0110 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 12:23:43 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd7ae75$0$12514$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230422

On 05/21/2011 02:29 AM, RHF wrote:
> BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz @ 0110 UTC
> on 21 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : S3 with SIO 333 and fair audio
>
> Doing a Program about Marvin Gay, His Music and
> Songs; with BBC World Service ID @ 0129 UTC
> .
> BBC World Service -via- Shortwave Radio
> {The BBC's International Radio Station}
> http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/
> .
> NOTE : The BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz is
> listed as being 'Beamed' to East Asia from Thailand
> http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/schedules/frequencies/ase.htm
> .
> EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
> http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> 15,360 kHz @ 0000-0200 UTC
> G : BBC : E : FE :/THA-n
> in English to Far East from Thailand
> .
> How to Listen to the BBC World Service
> -via- Short-Wave Radio
> http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/institutional/2009/03/000000_sw_howlisten.shtml
> .
> BBC World Service "Global" Shortwave Schedule
> {BBC-WS Times and Frequencies}
> http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/schedules/frequencies/
> .
> BBC World Service Frequencies and Sites in 'English'
> http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/d3b21abf60c25e62
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
> http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

Amazingly cogent, did you just get a tranquilizer injection?

2496. Re: Another useless collection of hyperlinks that tells me nothing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 12:25:02 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd7aec3$0$12514$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230423

On 05/21/2011 03:05 AM, RHF wrote:

> To Know More :o))
>
> and...
> There Are Some People Just Want To Complain :-{
> -wrt-
> KFBS [FEBC] Saipan* Has Ceased Transmitting
> Effective 30 April 2011
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ebc310973198b712
> .
> .

In the space it takes you to print hyperlinks you could instead write a
little synopsis yourself.

2497. Re: Aerial shot of DX Ace's house by da lake dere.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 12:26:03 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd7af01$0$12514$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230424

On 05/21/2011 04:04 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 21, 2:29 am, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>> BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz @ 0110 UTC
>> on 21 Mayo 2011
>>
>> S-Meter : S3 with SIO 333 and fair audio
>>
>> Doing a Program about Marvin Gay, His Music and
>> Songs; with BBC World Service ID @ 0129 UTC
>> .
>> BBC World Service -via- Shortwave Radio
>> {The BBC's International Radio Station}http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/
>> .
>> NOTE : The BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz is
>> listed as being 'Beamed' to East Asia from
Thailandhttp://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/schedules/frequencies/ase.htm
>> .
>> EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
>> 15,360 kHz @ 0000-0200 UTC
>> G : BBC : E : FE :/THA-n
>> in English to Far East from Thailand
>> .
>> How to Listen to the BBC World Service
>> -via- Short-Wave
Radiohttp://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/institutional/2009/03/000000_sw_how...
>> .
>> BBC World Service "Global" Shortwave Schedule
>> {BBC-WS Times and Frequencies}http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/schedules/frequencies/
>> .
>> BBC World Service Frequencies and Sites in
'English'http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/d3b...
>> .
>> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
>> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
>> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
>> .
>> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
>> .
>> - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
>> .
>> .
> .
> - NOTE : The BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz is

> - listed as being 'Beamed' to East Asia from Thailand
> - http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/schedules/frequencies/ase.htm
> .
> Aerial Photo of BBC-WS Shortwave Antennas
> at Nakhon Sawan, Thailand
> http://www.asiawaves.net/thailand/bbc-nakhon-sawan.jpg
> .
> .

2498. Shortwave Radio Reception Reports for 14~21 Mayo 2011 -by- RHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 13:40:31 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <8c7e65a9-3792-4fdc-9bd0-4b5eb0d8570a@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230425

On May 21, 5:25 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/21/2011 03:05 AM, RHF wrote:
>
> > To Know More :o))
>
> > and...
> > There Are Some People Just Want To Complain :-{
> > -wrt-
> > KFBS [FEBC] Saipan* Has Ceased Transmitting
> > Effective 30 April 2011
> >http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ebc310973198b712
> > .
> > .

- In the space it takes you to print hyperlinks
- you could instead write a little synopsis yourself.

A 'Link/URL' Is A Picture [Snap-Shot]
-and- A Picture Is Worth A Thousand Words
No One Need Open The 'Hyper-Links' all
they have to do is IGNORE THEM [.]
-dave-it's-called-self-control-

D'OH ! dave,,, Dave... DAAVE ! ! !
-which-part-of-the-subject-line-'synopsis'-
-did-you-not-understand...-?-
.
KFBS [FEBC] Saipan* Has Ceased Transmitting
Effective 30 April 2011
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ebc310973198b712

Did Someone Force You To Read The Body
of the Post : Beyond The Subject Line ?
-oops-no-!- -oops-no-!- -oops-no-!-

Dave You Are A 'Slave' Unto Yourself
-dave-you are-a-prisoner-of-your-own-being-
-slave-on-dave- -slave-on-dave- -slave-on-dave-

DAVE YES IT IS THAT SIMPLE :o) ~ RHF
.
HERE ARE 'MY' SHORTWAVE RADIO RECEPTION REPORTS
FOR : 14 - 21 MAYO 2011 {This Last Week :-}
. 1
BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz @ 0110 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/0286f91dcc531ac5

. 2
WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC :
"Info Wars" with Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi
about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/76751f24528d8e00
. 3
17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC ?Radio Olimpio?
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/7b70dea90dfce169
. 4
Radio Australia [RA-ABC] on 15,240 kHz @ 0052 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/efd81d8114a76e3e
. 5
RNZI on 6170 kHz @ 0805 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/757e77ff5d803e4b
. 6
REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9511fb28db04b13d
. 7
REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ccd35282a56b40f6
. 8
5055 kHz ? : Is... Faro del Caribe [TIFC] still On-the-Air ?
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/344adb4caca886e3
. 9
The Great "Rapture" Smack-Down a Shortwave Radio Event !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/451aa1fee964e96c
. 10
Radio Verdad on 4055 kHz @ 0545 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/123aa0a2b751f009
. 11
Arabic Prayers on 9680 kHz @ 1050 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/be212145b91de687
. 12
RRI Ternate, Indonesia on 3345 kHz @ 1245 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/a1f09c3c62bf8827
. 13
RRI Palangkaraya, Indonesia on 3325 kHz @ 1302 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/02111745b29533c4
. 14
RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c8e49260c9fd217c
. 15
Radio Habana Cuba [RHC] on 17,560 kHz @ 2139 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c2520d673e54c2db
. 16
ABC Australia [Domestic : VL8T] on 4910 kHz @ 0825 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/1b305386aa9d1b57
. 17
ABC Australia [Domestic : VL8K] on 2485 kHz @ 1150 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/78326de79181a431

. 18
Computer Voice 'Glitch' on 4426 kHz [USB] @ 0610 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8e3c6283d0622a5a
. 19
WTWW on 5755 kHz "Science Hour"
-host- James McCanney @ 0800 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9e9a527867ecd2a6
. 20
WTWW on 5755 kHz @ 1015 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/091ffd97bd1a9fb7
. 21
It's Time for "The Power Hour" on WWCR Shortwave
-topic- 'The Windpower Fraud' on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/1787a281d91badc6
. 22
'La Chica' Spanish Numbers Station on 5898 kHz @ 0005 UTC
-was- V02 Number Station (Cuban)
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/aac933391fbff32f
. 23
5883 kHz "La Chica" Spanish Numbers Station [V02] @ 0715 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/7447e5559f19ffe6
. 24
5898 kHz "La Chica" Spanish Numbers Station [V02] @ 0834 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/d93c9d8eed34dea2
. 25
5898 kHz "La Chica" Spanish Numbers Station [V02] @ 0812 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/a999af780c2f8ee8
. 26
5883 kHz @ 0700 UTC & 5898 kHz @ 0800 UTC
"La Chica" Spanish Numbers Station [V02]
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/5aec395f9084409e
. 27
CKZN?CKZU : CBC#1 on 6160 kHz @ 0715 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/cf0b0c69b2040627
. 28
CFRX on 6070 kHz "News Talk 1010" @ 0705 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f7dbcfa3c9090e92
. 29
ODXA Guide : "Target Listening by Country" of April 2011
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/cb514ad33f2c01b4
. 30
Male Voice in English on 3290 kHz @ 0545 UTC
-note- Most likely "Voice of Guyana"
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/91332b5e8ecfeb4f
. 31
Hearing Latin 'Jazz' Music {Brazilian?} on 4885 kHz @ 0642 UTC
-note- Most likely "Radio Clube do Pará"
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3833cb34052cd4ac
. 32
Latest Liberty Net via WB4AIO

-note- Hearing W1WCR on 3993 kHz @ 0615 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/89d8cc4ccf76baca
. 33
WBCQ 'The Planet' on 7415 kHz with the QSO Radio Show @0900 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/64ed1aeac4dd229c
. 34
Tofino [Canada] Coast Guard Radio on 2054 kHz [USB] @ 0655 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/32bebabb4bd454d5
. 35
Hearing "Play Misty for Me" on 1410 kHz @ 3:05 AM PDT
-note- KRML.Com ID @ 3:31 AM PST
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f6ab2982f1d991d0
.
-so-dave-that's-it-35-for-one-week-
.
OOPS ! OOPS ! OOPS ! OOPS ! OOPS ! OOPS !
Try Practicing What You Preach Dave
-practice- -practice- -practice- -practice-
Propagation Forecast Bulletin 20 ARLP020
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6e66e4712d31e978
1st - Three (3) Pages of Cut-and-Paste Text
2nd - 705 Words
3rd - 3500+ Characters {Letters & Numbers}
4th - 800+ Black Spaces
5th - 82 Lines of Text with 15 Paragraphs
-all-cut-and-paste-oops-nothing-original-
...and...OOPS ! OOPS ! OOPS ! OOPS ! OOPS !
6th - PLUS TEN [10] HYPER-LINKS TEN [10] DAVE !
-'dave'-you-are-such-a-hyper-linked-"dave"-
.
.
Shortwave Radio Reception Reports for 14~21 Mayo 2011
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/d1680d0a284212a5
.
yes - i heard them all on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2499. Re: JEROME CORSIS' BOOK POISED TO END THE BARACK OBAMA PRESIDENCY! AKA, BARRY SOETORO PRESIDENCY.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 14:28:35 UTC
From: William Clark <clark@nospammatsceng.ohio-state.edu>
Message-ID: <clark-DF0F65.10283521052011@news.eternal-september.org>
Source record: 230426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

In article <ir6qsq$o0a$3@dont-email.me>,
John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote:

> Among the things shared by Corsi is that obama is a bastard, now, of
> course, we all already knew that, but he is a BASTARD in the real and
> true meaning of the word! Ann was NEVER married to obamas' father
> (claimed father, anyway.)
>
> The interview with Corsi begins at ~1:30:00 into the file. VLC player
> can play the file, or the link, if you need a player for windows.
>
> To download file:
> http://xml.nfowars.net/Alex.rss
>
> To listen to file with a mp3 player:
> http://rss.infowars.com/20110520_Fri_Alex.mp3
>
> VLC Player:
> http://www.videolan.org/vlc/
>
> When enough Americans have read the book, " Where's the /Birth
> Certificate/?: The Case that Barack /Obama/ is not Eligible to be
> President" the end of the obama presidency is at an end, just as sure as
> John Kerry was "Swift Boated" by his lies and Corsis' book on him ...

The "end of the Obama Presidency is at an end"? You are not even
semi-literate. No wonder Corsi's drivel appeals to you. He is one of the
planet's most despicable liars.
>
> These are historic times. You are witnessing the first fraudulent
> president to ever sit the public servant office. This is BIGGER than
> nixons' water gate, bigger the georges' WMDs, and is a crime of the
> magnitude of the 9/11 WTC controlled demolition of criminal factions in
> our government.
>
> This will live in in infamy, in history, forever! And, you were there!
>
> Regards,
> JS

This would be the book that has been totally discredited by
Factcheck.org as a pack of lies, would it? Right.

Oh, and there's an infinite supply of oil at the earth's core? The man's a lunatic.

2500. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 14:54:54 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b8fd9552-d05b-4932-a7f7-af1d69bbc7e9@24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230427
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 20, 8:13 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> >> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> >>> ...
> >>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
> >>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of
> >>> photographic evidence is noted.
>
> >>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
> >>> claims.
>
> >> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal
> >> with it ...
>
> > Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that you
> > need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking to
> > yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.
>
> >> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat
> >> the facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>
> > So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so
> > ignorant that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
> > falling outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his
> > experts might not be the experts they claim and that you're stupid
> > enough to buy their Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>
> > Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
> > admission.
>
> > Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk
> > about this stuff then are you?
>
> > Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they might

> > actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing
> > the claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and
> > can't even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>
> > So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your
> > own admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you
> > for even a second?
>
> I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others if
> they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are
> fooled into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts, etc.?
>
> ROFLOL ... you know what you are, and worse, you know we do ... I don't
> see your text fixing any of that. ... plonk ...
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

John I am jealous Scot wont talk to me Limprod wont talk to me,bi-
george and BDK are eat up with morgellans they got while being
Chemtrailed, Anal is obsessed with rocky and Governmentshit 2 is
trying to get them to put DDT back in the chemtrail planes in hopes
that it will kill the morgellin outbreak.

What good that will do is anybodys guess I think they need to spray
weed killer on us to kill the roots.However a mixture of DDT might
help dissolve the crystals.

All I wanted to do was expose the missile attack at the Pentagram.

I guess I'm the only one left,Did the rature happen already and I was
not a choosen one and missed the abduction?

2501. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 15:44:15 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230428
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>
>
>
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>
> > > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> > >> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> > >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> > >>>> ...
> > >>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
> > >>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of photographic
> > >>>> evidence is noted.
>
> > >>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
> > >>>> claims.
>
> > >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal with
> > >>> it ...
>
> > >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that you
> > >> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking to
> > >> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.
>
> > >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat the
> > >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>
> > >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so ignorant
> > >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of falling
> > >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his experts might not
> > >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy their
> > >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>
> > >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
> > >> admission.
>
> > >> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk about

> > >> this stuff then are you?
>
> > >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they might
> > >> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing the
> > >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and can't
> > >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>
> > >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your own
> > >> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you for
> > >> even a second?
>
> > > I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others if
> > > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are fooled
> > > into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts, etc.?
>
> > IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.

What missile attack, insane nut job?

> Which
> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?

When did missiles hit it?

> Three missiles are were
> recorded in the same FBI release,

Show us, insnae nut job.

> Well the remnates of the first one is
> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see the
> next two in motion!

Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.

2502. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 15:55:53 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEC5AD8F2F2DExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.250>
Source record: 230429
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in news:ir6m6m
$1ob$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE" <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov> wrote in
message
> news:Xns9EEAE81D89FAAExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247...
>> Peter Franks
>>> On 5/19/2011 4:18 PM, Bert Hyman wrote:
>>>> In news:Yoorghis
>>>>> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith
>>>>>
>>>>>> A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>>>>> complexities ...
>>>>>
>>>>> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to
their
>>>>> profit
>>>>
>>>> Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the legitimate
>>>> functions of government, or in taking money from people you don't
like?
>>>
>>> I'll speak on his behalf: the latter.
>>
>> Why SHOULDN'T things be taken from you in the name of the Common Good?
>
> Please define exactly what you mean by "Common Good"?
>
> Taking what I have to give it to someone else?
> To build a road that all can use?
> To build a road that only some can use?
>
> Explain to me exactly what is and isn't the "Common Good"?

Most certainly!

"...they call this socialistic,
You know I don't know when, when they decided they wanted
to make a virtue out of selfishness."
-- OBAMA'08

http://www.libertylive.org/Uploads/The%20Debt%20Star.jpg

DEBTSTAR:: This is NOT the HOPE you have been Searching for.

"The unfettered free market has been the most radically
destructive force in American life in the last generation."
-- Hillary'96

"We can't afford to have that money go to the private sector.
The money has to go to the federal government because the
federal government will spend that money better than the
private sector will spend it."
-- Hillary

"We're going to take things from you in the name of the Common Good"
-- Hillary

"Too many people have made too much money."
-- Hillary

"We tax everything that moves and everything that doesn't"
-- Hillary'09

http://www.paragraph11.com/images/all/Paragraph11-Clinton-Joker.jpg
SHAMELESS EVIL POLITICO

"It would be wrong to cut off contact with the terror group just because
they may have killed people "in a way that we hate."
-- Bill Clinton'06

http://www.strangecosmos.com/images/content/117871.gif
ARCH-TRAITOR

"Every aspect of our lives must be subjected to an inventory"
-- Shameless Politico THUG, Nancy Pelosi'09 in Red China.

http://pajamasmedia.com/instapundit/files/2009/03/botchmen.jpg
BOTCHWOMAN

"it is wrong to believe that if security is embraced,
liberty is sacrificed."
Janet Napolitano'10

http://www.strangepolitics.com/images/content/163419.jpg
CLOWN

LIBs. What price their Vision?

DEMAND COMPETANCE.
DEMAND ACCOUNTABILITY.
DEFEAT LIB STRATEGIC INSANITY.

2503. Re: The King of Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 16:49:45 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2841b73177671298a239@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230430

In article <4dd7adfb$0$12514$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>,
dave@dave.dave says...
>
> On 05/20/2011 12:18 PM, BDK wrote:
>
> >
> > That's why it's known as "World Wide Crackpot Radio". And Corsi is one
> > of the all time biggest crackpots out there. A raving loon.
> >

You don't think Corsi is a whackjob?

And are you someone who takes Jones seriously?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2504. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 17:30:36 UTC
From: Bob Casanova <nospam@buzz.off>
Message-ID: <79tft6h4i7va4daicd9dqcaprnme2du6sd@4ax.com>
Source record: 230431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Sat, 21 May 2011 15:07:21 +1000, the following appeared
in sci.skeptic, posted by Government Shill #2
<gov.shill@gmail.com>:

>On Sat, 21 May 2011 09:01:58 +1000, Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 20 May 2011 06:27:58 -0700 (PDT), RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
>>>until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
>>
>>It has been the 21st of May for 2 hours in "the Holy Land".
>>
>>And for 9 hours here.
>>
>>So far... no heavenly trumpets.
>>
>>Shill #2
>
>15 hours... still nothing.

Well, as I post this it's just after 10:00 MST in AZ, USA,
so I assume Europe and all points east are experiencing
terrible earthquakes.

Interesting puzzle... While AZ doesn't go on DST, the Navajo
Nation, which is in NE AZ, does. And there's an area
completely enclosed by the Nation which follows the AZ
practice rather than that of the Nation. Since the effects
happen based on a *local* time of 18:00 (6:00 PM for the
uninitiated) ;-), exactly what can we expect to see?

So many loons; so little time...
--

Bob C.

"Evidence confirming an observation is
evidence that the observation is wrong."
- McNameless

2505. Re: JEROME CORSIS' BOOK POISED TO END THE BARACK OBAMA PRESIDENCY! AKA, BARRY SOETORO PRESIDENCY.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 18:00:23 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir8ujq$3ls$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/21/2011 7:28 AM, William Clark wrote:
> ...
> The "end of the Obama Presidency is at an end"? You are not even
> semi-literate. No wonder Corsi's drivel appeals to you. He is one of the
> planet's most despicable liars.

Yeah, typo errors are kinda like campings' message, they spell something
as disasterous as the end of the world ... ROFLOL

> This would be the book that has been totally discredited by
> Factcheck.org as a pack of lies, would it? Right.
>
> Oh, and there's an infinite supply of oil at the earth's core? The man's
> a lunatic.

You mean obamas' cheerleader site? Gee, you can take their word like
the word of God, huh?

ROFLOL ... you nutjobs crack me up!

Regards,
JS

2506. Re: JEROME CORSIS' BOOK POISED TO END THE BARACK OBAMA PRESIDENCY! AKA, BARRY SOETORO PRESIDENCY.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 18:19:32 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir8vno$3ls$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/21/2011 7:28 AM, William Clark wrote:

>> ...

"The Annenberg Foundation is at the center of the ongoing Obama-Bill
Ayers controversy. Ayers was a former member of the homegrown terrorist
group, the Weather Underground, which took credit for bombing the
Pentagon, the Capital Building, and the New York City Metro Police
Department plus 30+ other bombings during the Vietman War era."

http://deathby1000papercuts.com/2008/10/obama-bill-ayers-and-factcheckorg-all-have-ties-to-annenberg-foundation/

"FactCheck.org is a project of the Annenberg Public Policy Center of the
University of Pennsylvania. The APPC was established by publisher and
philanthropist Walter Annenberg to create a community of scholars within
the University of Pennsylvania that would address public policy issues
at the local, state and federal levels."

Personally, I would not use factcheck.org as a credible source for
anything. Others can view their "material" and decide for themselves.

In my personal opinion, factcheck is a highly polished disinformation
outlet.

http://edgeofthewest.wordpress.com/2008/09/11/breaking-news-obama-is-ayers-is-annenberg/

The ties between annenberg, obama, and ayers is spooky, to say the least!

Regarsd,
JS

2507. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 18:30:47 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir90cq$3ls$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230434
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/21/2011 7:54 AM, joeturn wrote:
> ...
> John I am jealous Scot wont talk to me Limprod wont talk to me,bi-
> george and BDK are eat up with morgellans they got while being

I think they are just playing hard to get. Word on the street has it,
they have your picture hanging in their restrooms and they spend a lot
of time in there!

EWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW!

Regards,
JS

2508. Re: WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC : "Info Wars" with Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 18:50:40 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir91i3knq1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230435
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/21/2011 1:22 AM, Ron wrote:
> ...
> .
> You still have not given justification for believing Corsi. He makes
> his living promoting conspiracies, just like Jones, LaRouche, and the
> John Birch Society.

After investigating what Corsi has put in his books, I think the man has something, indeed, he has a very high percentage of this facts, observations, data, evidence and ducks in a row!

obamas' executive orders to hide his past are but one example of how, "... where there is smoke, there is usually fire."

Take obamas' whacky mom hanging in Bill Letters(sp?) bar on Hotel Street in Honolulu, Hawaii. A slut-whore claiming an immaculate conception, then running off to Kenya and appealing to obamas family to accept obama as theirs, obama sr. refusing to marry Ann -- obamas' mommy, etc.

I mean, what can you find out about obama that makes anything about him seem proper?

We might just as well slap the offspring of a crack-whore in the whitehouse!

EVERYTHING, and I mean EVERYTHING about obama is WRONG ... it just starts with the fraudulent birth certificate. On close examination it is a black and bottomless hole of crime and deception!

If it walks like a duck, talks like a duck, acts like a duck -- it is MOST LIKELY A DUCK! DUH!

Regards,
JS

2509. Re: JEROME CORSIS' BOOK POISED TO END THE BARACK OBAMA PRESIDENCY! AKA, BARRY SOETORO PRESIDENCY.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 19:04:17 UTC
From: William Clark <clark@nospammatsceng.ohio-state.edu>
Message-ID: <clark-DACF43.15041721052011@news.eternal-september.org>
Source record: 230436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

In article <ir8vno$3ls$2@dont-email.me>,
John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote:

> On 5/21/2011 7:28 AM, William Clark wrote:
>
> >> ...
>
> "The Annenberg Foundation is at the center of the ongoing Obama-Bill
> Ayers controversy. Ayers was a former member of the homegrown terrorist
> group, the Weather Underground, which took credit for bombing the
> Pentagon, the Capital Building, and the New York City Metro Police
> Department plus 30+ other bombings during the Vietman War era."
>
> http://deathby1000papercuts.com/2008/10/obama-bill-ayers-and-factcheckorg-all-
> have-ties-to-annenberg-foundation/
>
> "FactCheck.org is a project of the Annenberg Public Policy Center of the
> University of Pennsylvania. The APPC was established by publisher and
> philanthropist Walter Annenberg to create a community of scholars within
> the University of Pennsylvania that would address public policy issues
> at the local, state and federal levels."

Nothing wrong with that - beats the hell out of "everything far right"
Fox News.

> Personally, I would not use factcheck.org as a credible source for
> anything. Others can view their "material" and decide for themselves.
>
> In my personal opinion, factcheck is a highly polished disinformation
> outlet.
>
> http://edgeofthewest.wordpress.com/2008/09/11/breaking-news-obama-is-ayers-is-
> annenberg/
>
> The ties between annenberg, obama, and ayers is spooky, to say the least!
>
> Regarsd,
> JS

Yes, but then you're a dumb racist shit, so who cares what you think.

2510. Re: WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC : "Info Wars" with Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 19:05:56 UTC
From: William Clark <clark@nospammatsceng.ohio-state.edu>
Message-ID: <clark-C0ADFE.15055621052011@news.eternal-september.org>
Source record: 230437
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <ir91i3knq1@dont-email.me>,
John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote:

> On 5/21/2011 1:22 AM, Ron wrote:
> > ...
> > .
> > You still have not given justification for believing Corsi. He makes
> > his living promoting conspiracies, just like Jones, LaRouche, and the
> > John Birch Society.
>
> After investigating what Corsi has put in his books, I think the man has
> something, indeed, he has a very high percentage of this facts,
> observations, data, evidence and ducks in a row!
>
> obamas' executive orders to hide his past are but one example of how, "
> ... where there is smoke, there is usually fire."
>
> Take obamas' whacky mom hanging in Bill Letters(sp?) bar on Hotel Street
> in Honolulu, Hawaii. A slut-whore claiming an immaculate conception,
> then running off to Kenya and appealing to obamas family to accept obama
> as theirs, obama sr. refusing to marry Ann -- obamas' mommy, etc.
>
> I mean, what can you find out about obama that makes anything about him
> seem proper?
>
> We might just as well slap the offspring of a crack-whore in the whitehouse!
>
> EVERYTHING, and I mean EVERYTHING about obama is WRONG ... it just
> starts with the fraudulent birth certificate. On close examination it
> is a black and bottomless hole of crime and deception!
>
> If it walks like a duck, talks like a duck, acts like a duck -- it is
> MOST LIKELY A DUCK! DUH!
>
> Regards,
> JS

If it walks like a moron, talks like a moron, acts like a moron - it
most likely is a moron. Fits you to a tee.

2511. Re: JEROME CORSIS' BOOK POISED TO END THE BARACK OBAMA PRESIDENCY! AKA, BARRY SOETORO PRESIDENCY.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 19:11:59 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir92q3$r9r$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/21/2011 12:04 PM, William Clark wrote:
> ...
> Yes, but then you're a dumb racist shit, so who cares what you think.

I believe every man, woman and child deserves decent shelter, food, clothing and opportunity to their pursuits of happiness and rights, and under the Constitution of the United States have all the rights, liberties and privileges I do -- NO MORE, NO LESS ...

I don't think any special interest group deserves any special treatments, be they negro, asian, caucasian, indian, HOMOSEXUAL, women, etc.

If that makes me a dumb racist shit, so be it. Then I will wear that title proudly!

And, if I am ever taken in the direction your defective thinking and following have taken you, get me some damn mental help!

Regards,
JS

2512. Re: WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC : "Info Wars" with Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 19:15:20 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir930b$r9r$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230439
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/21/2011 12:05 PM, William Clark wrote:
> In article<ir91i3knq1@dont-email.me>,
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>> On 5/21/2011 1:22 AM, Ron wrote:
>>> ...
>>> .
>>> You still have not given justification for believing Corsi. He makes
>>> his living promoting conspiracies, just like Jones, LaRouche, and the
>>> John Birch Society.
>> After investigating what Corsi has put in his books, I think the man has
>> something, indeed, he has a very high percentage of this facts,
>> observations, data, evidence and ducks in a row!
>>
>> obamas' executive orders to hide his past are but one example of how, "
>> ... where there is smoke, there is usually fire."
>>
>> Take obamas' whacky mom hanging in Bill Letters(sp?) bar on Hotel Street
>> in Honolulu, Hawaii. A slut-whore claiming an immaculate conception,
>> then running off to Kenya and appealing to obamas family to accept obama
>> as theirs, obama sr. refusing to marry Ann -- obamas' mommy, etc.
>>
>> I mean, what can you find out about obama that makes anything about him
>> seem proper?
>>
>> We might just as well slap the offspring of a crack-whore in the whitehouse!
>>
>> EVERYTHING, and I mean EVERYTHING about obama is WRONG ... it just
>> starts with the fraudulent birth certificate. On close examination it
>> is a black and bottomless hole of crime and deception!
>>
>> If it walks like a duck, talks like a duck, acts like a duck -- it is
>> MOST LIKELY A DUCK! DUH!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> If it walks like a moron, talks like a moron, acts like a moron - it
> most likely is a moron. Fits you to a tee.

Yes, all we ever see from you is your opinions, conclusions, visions,
etc. I think we all know what you represent -- stating in a million
more times will do you no better ... but you have the right to the same

free speech I do -- carry on ... why you should think that singling me out and telling me all about you and your beliefs is worthy of your or my time simply amazes me ... I could never be any more interested that this NG is, and most likely less ...

Regards,
JS

2513. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 19:57:26 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DD81926.8000505@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 230440
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/20/2011 9:22 PM, Yoorghis@Jurgis.net wrote:
> On Fri, 20 May 2011 16:01:47 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>> A flat tax, make a dollar, pay a tax on it, EQUAL TO WHAT EVERYONE ELSE
>> PAYS!
>
> So when a poor fucker at McDonalds makes his small salary, and that
> tax amounts to 90% of what's left over after what he NEEDS to
> survive, what do you tell the CEO that just hauled in $200 Million and
> pays a pittance on that income? "good boy", you did good?

You tell them that they both increased the wealth of America.... Who
are you to dictate what someone is allowed to take home in their pay?

Do we ban poor people from the Highway because they paid no tax to build
it? Just how much of a free ride does a poor guy need?

2514. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 20:09:51 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0f262729-dc39-4462-bed5-948aba43653d@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 20, 11:57 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"
<fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> On 5/20/2011 10:37 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 20, 8:06 am, "Warren E. Harrison"
> > <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> >> On 5/20/2011 5:17 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> >>> On May 19, 11:16 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"
> >>> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> >>>> On 5/19/2011 8:22 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> >>>>> On May 19, 9:21 am, "Warren E. Harrison"
> >>>>> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> >>>>>> On 5/17/2011 5:35 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>
> >>>>>>> On May 16, 11:24 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"wrote:
> >>>>>>>> On 5/16/2011 8:22 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>>> On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts
>
> >>>>>>>>> Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!
>
> >>>>>>>>> <snicker>
>
> >>>>>>>> Obama would not,<<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>
>
> >>>>>>> Yes, he would.
>
> >>>>>> No, he would <<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>
>
> >>>>>> That is an *additional* <<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>
>
> >>>>> Yes, he would have been.
>
> >>>> Wrong.Had he <<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>
>
> >>> Yes, he would have been.
>
> >> No, <<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>

>
> > Yes, he would have been.
>
> No, <<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>

Yes, he would have been. This is not in dispute.

You have nothing but your racism to keep you warm at night.

2515. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 20:11:27 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c3c9c665-5e1d-4cfc-afa4-c258fdb2a92f@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230442
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 21, 12:30 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/21/2011 7:54 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > > John I am jealous Scot wont talk to me Limprod wont talk to me,bi-
> > > george and BDK are eat up with morgellans they got while being
>
> I think they are just playing hard to get. Word on the street has it,
> they have your picture hanging in their restrooms and they spend a lot
> of time in there!
>
> EWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Once again, kookers go gay!!

2516. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 20:15:19 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <688c2a00-a9c0-45ba-9090-4aba1dd98270@w10g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 21, 1:30 pm, Bob Casanova <nos...@buzz.off> wrote:
> On Sat, 21 May 2011 15:07:21 +1000, the following appeared
> in sci.skeptic, posted by Government Shill #2
> <gov.sh...@gmail.com>:
>
>
>
>
>
> >On Sat, 21 May 2011 09:01:58 +1000, Government Shill #2 <gov.sh...@gmail.com>
> >wrote:
>
> >>On Fri, 20 May 2011 06:27:58 -0700 (PDT), RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net>
> >>wrote:
>
> >>>The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
> >>>until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
>
> >>It has been the 21st of May for 2 hours in "the Holy Land".
>
> >>And for 9 hours here.
>
> >>So far... no heavenly trumpets.
>
> >>Shill #2
>
> >15 hours... still nothing.
>
> Well, as I post this it's just after 10:00 MST in AZ, USA,
> so I assume Europe and all points east are experiencing
> terrible earthquakes.
>
> Interesting puzzle... While AZ doesn't go on DST, the Navajo
> Nation, which is in NE AZ, does. And there's an area
> completely enclosed by the Nation which follows the AZ
> practice rather than that of the Nation. Since the effects
> happen based on a *local* time of 18:00 (6:00 PM for the
> uninitiated) ;-), exactly what can we expect to see?
>
> So many loons; so little time...
> --
>
> Bob C.

>
> "Evidence confirming an observation is
> evidence that the observation is wrong."
> - McNameless- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

The loons will make up another date for 'doomsday' . Dec 21, 2012 is much more popular , as a matter of fact . Anyone still recalls the Y2K bullcrap ?

2517. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 20:17:25 UTC
From: george <gblack@hnpl.net>
Message-ID: <fa762077-94a5-41a7-89ea-17ef91f436ee@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 22, 5:30 am, Bob Casanova <nos...@buzz.off> wrote:

> Well, as I post this it's just after 10:00 MST in AZ, USA,
> so I assume Europe and all points east are experiencing
> terrible earthquakes.
>
> Interesting puzzle... While AZ doesn't go on DST, the Navajo
> Nation, which is in NE AZ, does. And there's an area
> completely enclosed by the Nation which follows the AZ
> practice rather than that of the Nation. Since the effects
> happen based on a *local* time of 18:00 (6:00 PM for the
> uninitiated) ;-), exactly what can we expect to see?
>
> So many loons; so little time...
+
Living as I do in an earthquake prone country there has been little
(virtually no) seismic activity.
Is the media going to go round to the fools door and interview him
again as to why he was so wrong ?
Now I suppose we will be berated with all manner of excuses as to how
the 'biblical scholar' got it wrong...

2518. Latest Liberty Net via WB4AIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 20:33:51 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105212033.UTC.ir97jf$j7p$1@tioat.net>
Source record: 230445

Liberty Net: May 14, 2011

http://3950.net/2011/05/liberty-net-may-14-2011/

by Kevin Strom, WB4AIO

FROM ARIZONA TO MAINE, from the Midwest plains to Florida, amateur radioï¿½s free speech Liberty Net just keeps getting more and more popular, even with the advance of Summertime conditions on 75 meters.

Here are just a few of the subjects brought up this week:

ï¿½ Washington, DCï¿½s ï¿½Police Weekï¿½ included a moment of extreme irony when Michelle Obama welcomed a ï¿½rapperï¿½ to the White House who has openly sung the praises of of numerous killers of police officers. (23 minutes)

ï¿½ Users of the Google Chrome browser ï¿½ or Adobe Acrobat Pro ï¿½ are easily able to see that the White Houseï¿½s ï¿½long form birth certificateï¿½ PDF file is layered, which would be unnecessary if the file was a true scan of a paper document. Why would such serious anomalies be present in a simple scan of a real birth certificate? Alternately, why would a skilled faker purposely fuel doubts by leaving the layers in? But the most important question is: Why hasnï¿½t a real expert been invited to examine the original? (31 minutes)

ï¿½ Some school districts are raising local property taxes by 5 per cent. every year ï¿½ a doubling time of just 14 years. Some are even trying to extract more than that from homeowners, many of them on the edge of bankruptcy themselves. With current economic conditions, governments need to be absolutely forbidden from doing this. (1 hour 10 minutes)

ï¿½ In some primarily non-White areas of Illinois, real estate has become so worthless that the Bank of America is literally giving away homes they canï¿½t sell at any price. Note to those who might want to live in one of these houses: Waking or sleeping or cooking in the kitchen, youï¿½d be well-advised to be armed at all times. (1 hour 18 minutes)

ï¿½ One of Obamaï¿½s half-brothers is Abongo Obama who, like his father, is a Muslim polygamist. He is close to his famous half-brother, and lives in Kenya, where he recently acquired a third wife. He is head of the Barack H. Obama Foundation, http://barackhobamafoundation.org/ (1 hour 34 minutes)

ï¿½ According to the BBC, in the Congo 1,152 women are raped every day. Possibly for genetic reasons, rape is deeply ingrained in the national culture there, and is even commonly used in military operations. (1 hour 44 minutes)

ï¿½ If you hear the Liberty Net next time ï¿½ only a few hours from this writing ï¿½ then I guess you wereni¿½t raptured along with Harold Campingï¿½s true believers, who predicted that the miraculous event would take place by 6PM today. (1 hour 59 minutes)

ï¿½ In Greece, as in Iceland, awareness of what the bankers have done to steal the nationï¿½s wealth is growing. A new political party has been formed, called the IWP (ï¿½I Wonï¿½t Payï¿½) Party, indicating a strong spirit of resistance to paying interest to the Shylocks for the ï¿½loansï¿½ which were created out of thin air anyway. (2 hours 9 minutes)

You can find the Liberty Net on 75 meters, almost always somewhere between 3950 and 3999 kHz SSB, every Saturday night at 10PM Eastern Time. Please check in, and also listen live on the Internet via N2SAG or N2IRJ, or listen at your leisure via the mp3 files posted here at http://3950.net every week. The audio files are usually posted on the Sunday morning following the net ï¿½ youï¿½ll always see the latest file at the top of the right column ï¿½ just click to listen or download.

Thanks to W1WCR for running the Liberty Net for over three decades, and thanks to N2IRJ and N2SAG for giving the net a powerful online presence.

http://3950.net/2011/05/liberty-net-may-14-2011/

--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

2519. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 20:36:43 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DD8225B.3030905@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 230446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/20/2011 11:35 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 19, 6:57 am, Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-
> Everyth...@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
>> On 5/19/2011 4:23 AM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 18, 6:42 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/18/2011 6:34 PM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>>
>>>>> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except in the
>>>>>> imaginations of the authors.
>>
>>>>>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>>
>>>>> How deep is a rabbit hole...?
>>
>>>> Quite shallow!
>>
>>>> Life on earth is the only example of itself ... at least at this present
>>>> time.
>>
>>>> Nothing in all of our view of the universe before us, earth, mars, moon,
>>>> other planets, etc. would lead one to believe that life can "just happen
>>>> by accident." "Spontaneous Generation", or life "just happening" was
>>>> tried as a theory, long ago, although it still has its' followers, it
>>>> has simply grown into a religion which would have one make a leap of
>>>> faith against all logical thought and reason to believe ... end of story.
>>
>>>> Therefore, and borne out by occams' razor, the most likely explanation
>>>> is that an intelligence created us ...
>>
>> Nothing tells us enough to have enough information for either theory and
>> Occums Razor needs enough information to apply it.
>>
>> In this case we are short on facts for either assumption.
>>
>> No one has a simple proof there is no God and no one has proof there is

>> a God. Leaving the same fate to the spontaneous life theory....
>>
>> The question is.... if life was started when the Earth was one billion
>> years old, why hasn't it been started with new and different DNA again
>> in the last three billion years?
>>
>> If Life was started "successfully" in the last 4 billion years, how many
>> times was it started unsuccessfully in the last 4 billion years. By that
>> I mean that if life was started that can and did have DNA to reproduce
>> how many times did life get started with different DNA that couldn't
>> reproduce? If it took Billions of "life creations" to start life that
>> could reproduce, where are the billions of failed "life" that we have
>> never seen because they have DNA that's different and can't reproduce?
>>
>> Evolution means that there are many failed and many variations in the
>> many streams of DNA in life. Where are they?
>>
>> What has the universe produced only one of? Einstein predicted that
>> there may even be multiple universes. It seems odd that there is only
>> one type of reproducing DNA based life all from one common start of life
>> three billion years ago. All the elements are still here waiting to
>> start new life(reproducing and NON-reproducing) and should be doing so
>> each new day. Where are they?- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> It is scientifically and logically impossible to prove that there is
> no God. One of the primary tenets of logic, regardless of the topic,
> is that the null hypothesis cannot be proven. The full burden of
> proof rests on those who make the extraordinary claim to begin with.
> Arguing about "trying to prove the existence or nonexistence of God"
> is a waste of time for people who are unfamiliar with either science
> or logic.
>
> It is purely a matter of faith, and throwing around DNA arguments like
> these above is just unscientific nonsense.
>
> Bruce Jensen

Then you have a scientific explanation to the question of where all the
other forms of DNA based life that were created are at?

It's NOT logical that only one form of DNA was ever created for all of
earths history and it was able to reproduce right out of the gate.

Explain the odds of that in a scientific way... Then tell us where all
the failed DNA is at?

2520. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 20:37:39 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir97qt$o1$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230447
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"Iarnrod" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com...
> On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>>
>>
>>
>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>>
>> > > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>> > >> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
>> > >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
>> > >>> ...
>> > >>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
>> > >>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of
>> > >>> photographic
>> > >>> evidence is noted.
>>
>> > >>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
>> > >>> claims.
>>
>> > >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal
>> > >>> with
>> > >>> it ...
>>
>> > >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that
>> > >> you
>> > >> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking
>> > >> to
>> > >> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.
>>
>> > >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat
>> > >>> the
>> > >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>>
>> > >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so
>> > >> ignorant
>> > >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of

>> > >> falling
>> > >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his experts
>> > >> might not
>> > >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy their
>> > >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>>
>> > >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
>> > >> admission.
>>
>> > >> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk
>> > >> about
>> > >> this stuff then are you?
>>
>> > >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they
>> > >> might
>> > >> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing
>> > >> the
>> > >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and
>> > >> can't
>> > >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>>
>> > >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your
>> > >> own
>> > >> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you
>> > >> for
>> > >> even a second?
>>
>> > > I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others
>> > > if
>> > > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are
>> > > fooled
>> > > into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts, etc.?
>>
>> > IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide quoted
>> > text -
>>
>> > - Show quoted text -
>>
>> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.
>
> What missile attack, insane nut job?

Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis of the
frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane crashing into
the side of the Pentagon.

Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even consider is that
even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly preclude a missile
given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to the 5 stories of
the Pentagon.

But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and that's good enough "proof" for Joe.

>> Which
>> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>
> When did missiles hit it?

I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.

>> Three missiles are were
>> recorded in the same FBI release,
>
> Show us, insnae nut job.

Yea, one "missile" per frame. <snicker>

>> Well the remnates of the first one is
>> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see the
>> next two in motion!
>
> Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.

"It is too late for that"

Chun in Remo Williams.

2521. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 20:43:32 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir985t$316$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230448
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
"MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE" <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov> wrote in message
news:Xns9EEC5AD8F2F2DExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.250...
> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in news:ir6m6m
> $1ob$1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>>
>> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE" <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov> wrote in
> message
>> news:Xns9EEAE81D89FAAExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247...
>>> Peter Franks
>>>> On 5/19/2011 4:18 PM, Bert Hyman wrote:
>>>>> In news:Yoorghis
>>>>>> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>>>>>> complexities ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to
> their
>>>>>> profit
>>>>>
>>>>> Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the legitimate
>>>>> functions of government, or in taking money from people you don't
> like?
>>>>
>>>> I'll speak on his behalf: the latter.
>>>
>>> Why SHOULDN'T things be taken from you in the name of the Common Good?
>>
>> Please define exactly what you mean by "Common Good"?
>>
>> Taking what I have to give it to someone else?
>> To build a road that all can use?
>> To build a road that only some can use?
>>
>> Explain to me exactly what is and isn't the "Common Good"?
>
>
>
> Most certainly!

<snip bullshit quotes>
```

Sorry, I don't see "common good" even mentioned much less any assertion they are defining what it is.

Further I asked YOU to explain it. Not Obama, Not Hillary, but YOU.

So what do YOU mean by "Common Good"?

I think I even asked that question above.

"Please define exactly what you mean by "Common Good"?"

So what part of "you" is beyond your comprehension?

2522. Additional scrutiny applied to fraudulent birth certificate ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 21:54:09 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir9ca4$si0$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=301329

Regards,
JS

2523. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 22:02:06 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <loqdnfelWckZq0XQnZ2dnUVZ5uqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

the tard wrote:
>
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been. The law has been cited and you're wrong.
His mother was not old enough - she had not been physically present in
the USA for five years after the age of 14 at the time of his birth.
Those who would have been citizens at birth are identified in the law.
Hussein did not qualify, due to the age and residency of his Maoist mother.

Sorry - you have nothing.

2524. Re: WWCR on 12,160 MHz @ 1600 UTC : "Info Wars" with Alex Jones Interviews Jerome Corsi about the Obama 'Birther' Certificate V2.0.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 22:04:24 UTC
From: William Clark <clark@nospammatsceng.ohio-state.edu>
Message-ID: <clark-01A165.18042421052011@news.eternal-september.org>
Source record: 230451
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <ir930b$r9r$3@dont-email.me>,
John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote:

> On 5/21/2011 12:05 PM, William Clark wrote:
> > In article<ir91i3knq1@dont-email.me>,
> > John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >> On 5/21/2011 1:22 AM, Ron wrote:
> >>> ...
> >>> .
> >>> You still have not given justification for believing Corsi. He makes
> >>> his living promoting conspiracies, just like Jones, LaRouche, and the
> >>> John Birch Society.
> >> After investigating what Corsi has put in his books, I think the man has
> >> something, indeed, he has a very high percentage of this facts,
> >> observations, data, evidence and ducks in a row!
> >>
> >> obamas' executive orders to hide his past are but one example of how, "
> >> ... where there is smoke, there is usually fire."
> >>
> >> Take obamas' whacky mom hanging in Bill Letters(sp?) bar on Hotel Street
> >> in Honolulu, Hawaii. A slut-whore claiming an immaculate conception,
> >> then running off to Kenya and appealing to obamas family to accept obama
> >> as theirs, obama sr. refusing to marry Ann -- obamas' mommy, etc.
> >>
> >> I mean, what can you find out about obama that makes anything about him
> >> seem proper?
> >>
> >> We might just as well slap the offspring of a crack-whore in the
> >> whitehouse!
> >>
> >> EVERYTHING, and I mean EVERYTHING about obama is WRONG ... it just
> >> starts with the fraudulent birth certificate. On close examination it
> >> is a black and bottomless hole of crime and deception!
> >>
> >> If it walks like a duck, talks like a duck, acts like a duck -- it is
> >> MOST LIKELY A DUCK! DUH!
> >>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > If it walks like a moron, talks like a moron, acts like a moron - it
> > most likely is a moron. Fits you to a tee.

>
> Yes, all we ever see from you is your opinions, conclusions, visions,
> etc. I think we all know what you represent -- stating in a million
> more times will do you no better ... but you have the right to the same
> free speech I do -- carry on ... why you should think that singling me
> out and telling me all about you and your beliefs is worthy of your or
> my time simply amazes me ... I could never be any more interested that
> this NG is, and most likely less ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

QED.

2525. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 22:07:15 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <krdgt6haqf9b83ugdeov7l7d5kk9i7o6rn@4ax.com>
Source record: 230452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Sat, 21 May 2011 15:07:21 +1000, Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
wrote:

>On Sat, 21 May 2011 09:01:58 +1000, Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 20 May 2011 06:27:58 -0700 (PDT), RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
>>>until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
>>
>>It has been the 21st of May for 2 hours in "the Holy Land".
>>
>>And for 9 hours here.
>>
>>So far... no heavenly trumpets.
>>
>>Shill #2
>
>15 hours... still nothing.

22 May 2011. Same number of idiot Xtians today as yesterday.

Shill #2
--
Don't worry about the world coming to an end today. It's already
tomorrow in Australia.
Charles M. Schulz (1922 - 2000)

2526. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 22:07:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DD837A5-2356@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230454

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Molly+Malone+Song

(Tart with a Cart)

[Hit it, Silver!, it's the Rapture!]

ALIVVVE AIE OHHH,,,, ALIVVVE AIE OHHHHHHH,,,,,,,, little doggy and I are still ALIVE AIE OHHHHHHH,,,,,,,,
cuhulin

2527. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 22:09:44 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <vtdgt6dstibop5b9tk5vsomtolcj3vc771@4ax.com>
Source record: 230453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Sat, 21 May 2011 13:15:19 -0700 (PDT), arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:

>On May 21, 1:30ï¿½pm, Bob Casanova <nos...@buzz.off> wrote:
>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 15:07:21 +1000, the following appeared
>> in sci.skeptic, posted by Government Shill #2
>> <gov.sh...@gmail.com>:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> >On Sat, 21 May 2011 09:01:58 +1000, Government Shill #2 <gov.sh...@gmail.com>
>> >wrote:
>>
>> >>On Fri, 20 May 2011 06:27:58 -0700 (PDT), RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net>
>> >>wrote:
>>
>> >>>The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
>> >>>until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
>>
>> >>It has been the 21st of May for 2 hours in "the Holy Land".
>>
>> >>And for 9 hours here.
>>
>> >>So far... no heavenly trumpets.
>>
>> >>Shill #2
>>
>> >15 hours... still nothing.
>>
>> Well, as I post this it's just after 10:00 MST in AZ, USA,
>> so I assume Europe and all points east are experiencing
>> terrible earthquakes.
>>
>> Interesting puzzle... While AZ doesn't go on DST, the Navajo
>> Nation, which is in NE AZ, does. And there's an area
>> completely enclosed by the Nation which follows the AZ
>> practice rather than that of the Nation. Since the effects
>> happen based on a *local* time of 18:00 (6:00 PM for the
>> uninitiated) ;-), exactly what can we expect to see?
>>
>> So many loons; so little time...
>> --

>>
>> Bob C.
>>
>> "Evidence confirming an observation is
>> evidence that the observation is wrong."
>> ï¿½ ï¿½ ï¿½ ï¿½ ï¿½ ï¿½ ï¿½ ï¿½ ï¿½ ï¿½ ï¿½ ï¿½ ï¿½ - McNameless- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
>The loons will make up another date for 'doomsday' . Dec 21, 2012 is
>much more popular , as a matter of fact . Anyone still recalls the Y2K
>bullcrap ?

Y2K was very real. What it wasn't was the End Of The World disaster the media made it out to be. It could have been, if it were not identified in the late 90s and something done about it. As it was we had enough time to eliminate the problem.

Shill #2
--
Those people who think they know everything are a great annoyance
to those of us who do.
Isaac Asimov

2528. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 22:11:23 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <j5idnT828sMvpUXQnZ2dnUVZ5jWdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

the snarky fuckwitted tard boy lied:

>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2529. Re: WND/Corsi's going to own Esquire mag!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 22:54:47 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir9frp$dr8$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230456

On 5/21/2011 5:20 AM, dave wrote:

>> ... even more false chit

http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=300761

2530. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 23:00:37 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir9g6n$dr8$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230457
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On 5/21/2011 3:52 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> Beam Me Up Scotty wrote
> in news:4DD83932.6040506@Blackhole.NebulaX.com:
>
>> On 5/21/2011 4:45 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>> Beam Me Up Scotty
>>> wrote in news:4DD81FDE.20804@Blackhole.NebulaX.com:
>>>
>>>> On 5/20/2011 11:47 AM, Kevin Cunningham wrote:
>>>>> On May 19, 7:18 pm, Bert Hyman wrote:
>>>>>> Innews:hi4bt6th5cs94bhlke3h103amgqo8rbb65@4ax.comYoorg...@Jurgis.ne
>>>>>> t wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith>> @gmail.com>
>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>> A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>>>>>>> complexities ...
>>>>>>> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to
>>> their
>>>>>>> profit
>>>>>> Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the
>>>>>> legitimate functions of government, or in taking money from people
>>>>>> you don't
>>> like?
>>>>>> --
>>>>>> Bert Hyman St. Paul, MN b...@iphouse.com
>>>>> And what are the "...legitimate functions of government...?
>>>> Telling you where and when and with who you can have sex.....
>>>>
>>>>> We are a democracy,
>>>> We are a Republic.
>>> As a nit, we are really both....or either depending on how that
>>> democracy is formatted.
>>>
>>> Definition republic -
>>>
>>> A political system in which the supreme power lies in a body of
>>> citizen who can elect people to represent them.
>>>
>>> Democracy -
>>>
>>> A political system in which the supreme power lies in a body of
```

>>> citizens who can elect people to represent them.
>>>
>>> We are a representative democracy in contrast with a pure democracy
>>> or direct democracy.
>>>
>>> Or...
>>>
>>> Democracy - That form of government in which the sovereign power
>>> resides in and is exercised by the whole body of free citizens
>>> directly or *indirectly though a system of representation*, as
>>> distinguished from a monarchy, aristocracy or oligarchy.
>>>
>>>>> we the people decide on what the "....legitimate
>>>>> functions of government..." are.
>>>> NOT without government changing the Constitution.
>>> Bingo!!. By amending the Constitution, as laid out in Article V, the
>>> government may be changed in its purpose and powers.
>> Limited by the rest of the document that isn't changed.
> Yep.
>
> Technically
>> you can say we can change to anything, except that there are existing
>> Rights that are given by the creator.... which government can not
>> change.
> Not in the Constitution. It was put together by athiests and deists.
> The founding fathers were not in favor of organized religion having just
> come from there Church of England was the theology in power.
>
>> Government is then forced to create a new government, if it wants to
>> try to steal our Rights that were granted by our creator. They are
>> inalienable Rights(incapable of being alienated, surrendered, or
>> transferred).
> Those are mentioned in the DOI not the Constitution and there are three
> of them. Life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.
>
>

I have repeatedly pointed out, the three documents:

1) The Declaration of Indepence.

2) The Constitution proper.

3) The Bill of Rights.

I refer to all three as simply "The Constitution." All three, together,
establish Constitutional law. All three support each other, and no ones
education would be complete without an understanding of all three ...
these three documents are "the Constitution" ... the Constitution is
only about supporting the ideals express in the Declaration of Independence.

Regards,
JS

2531. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 23:15:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir9h27lda1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230458
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/21/2011 3:55 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> Beam Me Up Scotty<Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote
> in news:4DD83A70.6060409@Blackhole.NebulaX.com:
>
>> On 5/21/2011 4:31 PM, Yoorghis@Jurgis.net wrote:
>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 15:52:29 -0400, Beam Me Up Scotty
>>> <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>> Because what it takes to run government requires a tax code that
> makes
>>>>> those who benefit the most pay more,
>>>> You have yet to prove that hypothesis.... from here it looks like
> you
>>>> have mucked things up with the Federal Income TAX code.
>>>>
>>> Guffaw
>>>
>>> THe fucking problems started with the Reagan pillage of the tax code
>>> (unnecessary for his intended purposes), and the 1994-2007 Republican
>>> ignorance in deregulation and approving Bush tax cuts.
>> Income taxes started in 1913 and 6 years later we had a major and deep
>> depression and then in 9 more years we has a depression that nearly
>> meant the end of the USA......
>>
>> The Federal Income Tax and the Federal Reserve are creating more
>> problems than they have ever solved.
> Income taxes were created to pay for the war. After the war no one made
> the law sunset and now the defense and homeland security over 20% of the
> entire budget.
>

http://www.fff.org/freedom/0895e.asp

Regards,
JS

2532. Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 23:29:15 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir9hscldg1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

If you look at the figures on this page, you will find, in 2007, in America:

1) The top 1% have 42.7 of the financial wealth.

2) The next 19% have 50.3% of the wealth.

3) The bottom 80% have 7.0% of the wealth.

So, according to those figures, the top 20% of the population have 42.7
+ 50.3 = 93% of the financial wealth.

This situation has worsened since 2007 ...

I'd say we tax those top 20% of the population, what say all of you?

Regards,
JS

2533. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 23:30:04 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ir9httldg2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/21/2011 4:29 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
> If you look at the figures on this page, you will find, in 2007, in
> America:
>
> 1) The top 1% have 42.7 of the financial wealth.
>
> 2) The next 19% have 50.3% of the wealth.
>
> 3) The bottom 80% have 7.0% of the wealth.
>
> So, according to those figures, the top 20% of the population have
> 42.7 + 50.3 = 93% of the financial wealth.
>
> This situation has worsened since 2007 ...
>
> I'd say we tax those top 20% of the population, what say all of you?
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

Of course, I forgot the link in the above:

http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html

Regards,
JS

2534. JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS ? -the-answer-is-Flat-Tax+Plus2© : Building a Better America for the 21st Century

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-21 23:56:24 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <161c4402-8451-47cc-b439-e0da07dc2f28@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 21, 4:29 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> If you look at the figures on this page, you will find, in 2007, in America:
>
> 1) The top 1% have 42.7 of the financial wealth.
>
> 2) The next 19% have 50.3% of the wealth.
>
> 3) The bottom 80% have 7.0% of the wealth.
>
> So, according to those figures, the top 20% of the population have 42.7
> + 50.3 = 93% of the financial wealth.
>
> This situation has worsened since 2007 ...
>
> I'd say we tax those top 20% of the population, what say all of you?
>
> Regards,
> JS

"JS",

The Flat-Tax+Plus2© : Truly Fair & Equitable Tax Plan
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
.
1st - 90% Pay as They Spend {Un-Burden by Tax Paperwork}
-aka- Pay as they Live Naturally
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
.
2nd - Flat Corporate Profits Tax
Ensures Corporations Pay Their Fair {Equal} Share
of the Cost of American Society
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
.
3rd - Flat Income for the Rich/Wealthy
Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their Fair {Equal}
Share of the Cost of American Society
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531

-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
.
That's a Flat-Tax+Plus2© a Truly Fair
and Equitable Tax Plan for a Healthy
and Strong America With Fairness and
Tax Equality For All.
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
.
Flat-Tax+Plus2© Can't have The One [1st]
Without the "+Plus2" 2nd and 3rd :
That is Why it is called the Flat-Tax+Plus2© :
Truly Fair and Equitable Tax Plan
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
.
OMG/OMA Let Us Pray . . .
This Has Been A 'Teachable Moment' ~ RHF©***
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teachable_moment
.
*** © This is another Copy-Righted 'Brain Fart' of RHF
-wrt- 'Brain Fart' -yeah- This Idea(s) Stinks : Pew! [P!U!]
.
.
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
.

2535. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 00:07:55 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EECAE47196AAExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.250>
Source record: 230462
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
"Scout" <
> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE"
>> "Scout"
>>> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE"
>>>> Peter Franks
>>>>> On 5/19/2011 4:18 PM, Bert Hyman wrote:
>>>>>> In news:Yoorghis
>>>>>>> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>>>>>>> complexities ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to
>> their
>>>>>>> profit
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the legitimate
>>>>>> functions of government, or in taking money from people you don't
>> like?
>>>>>
>>>>> I'll speak on his behalf: the latter.
>>>>
>>>> Why SHOULDN'T things be taken from you in the name of the Common
Good?
>>>
>>> Please define exactly what you mean by "Common Good"?
>>>
>>> Taking what I have to give it to someone else?
>>> To build a road that all can use?
>>> To build a road that only some can use?
>>>
>>> Explain to me exactly what is and isn't the "Common Good"?
>>
>>
>>
>> Most certainly!
>>
>> "We're going to take things from you in the name of the Common Good"
>> -- Hillary
...
>
> Sorry, I don't see "common good" even mentioned much less any assertion
> they are defining what it is.
```

> Further I asked YOU to explain it. Not Obama, Not Hillary, but YOU.
>
> So what do YOU mean by "Common Good"?

This is why Satire is lost upon the LIBs.

LIBs want, in fact, need you to believe that your Rights,
are things, like a chair that can be expropriated from you on demand,
in the name of the common good, and, of course by those, them, acting by
the 'will of the people' which is, of course, in evidence everywhere yet
existant in no one.

Why shouldn't some be sacrificed so that, they, the LIBs may live?
and of course, it is not that they Value their own lives,
they strive to displace those who actually do, and would.

LIBs need you to believe in the existance of the non-existant,
the unreal, the insubstantial, best summarized as the Common Good.

If they can get you to believe that,
they can get you to Pity the Devil for being EVIL -- and that they do...

LIBs. What price their Vision?

http://www.libertylive.org/Uploads/The%20Debt%20Star.jpg
DEBTSTAR:: This is NOT the HOPE you have been Searching for.

2536. Sorry Reality... 'The Cult of The-Obama' -holy-mantra-is- You Are A Racist !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 00:32:45 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <4e384e3b-0b16-4f71-adc4-50335590928b@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 21, 1:09 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 20, 11:57 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> > On 5/20/2011 10:37 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > > On May 20, 8:06 am, "Warren E. Harrison"
> > > <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> > >> On 5/20/2011 5:17 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > >>> On May 19, 11:16 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"
> > >>> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> > >>>> On 5/19/2011 8:22 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > >>>>> On May 19, 9:21 am, "Warren E. Harrison"
> > >>>>> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> > >>>>>> On 5/17/2011 5:35 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>
> > >>>>>>> On May 16, 11:24 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"wrote:
> > >>>>>>>> On 5/16/2011 8:22 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>
> > >>>>>>>>> On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts
>
> > >>>>>>>>> Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!
>
> > >>>>>>>>> <snicker>
>
> > >>>>>>>> Obama would not,<<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>
>
> > >>>>>>> Yes, he would.
>
> > >>>>>> No, he would <<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>
>
> > >>>>>> That is an *additional* <<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>

>
> > >>>>> Yes, he would have been.
>
> > >>>> Wrong.Had he <<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>
>
> > >>> Yes, he would have been.
>
> > >> No, <<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>
>
> > > Yes, he would have been.
>
> > No, <<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>
>
> Yes, he would have been. This is not in dispute.
>
> You have nothing but your racism to keep you warm at night.

-once-again-we-are-treated-too-
.
Iarnrod : Playing The-Obama Race Card !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/fa4f62e0f9e74fa1
.
Sorry Reality... 'The Cult of The-Obama'
-holy-mantra-is- You Are A Racist !
1st - Accuse Them of Being a RACIST !
2nd - Scream It At Them : YOU ARE A RACIST !
3rd - Shout Them Down By Yelling : YOU ARE A RACIST !
4th -answer-everything-with- You Are A Racist.
Liberals -proclaim- You Are A Racist !
-we-are-the-cult-of-'the-obama'-
Democrats -proclaim- You Are A Racist !
-we-are-the-cult-of-'the-obama'-
Progressives -proclaim- You Are A Racist !
-we-are-the-cult-of-'the-obama'-
.
'The Cult of The-Obama' Liberal-Fascist Tactic :
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f9249bfca2

Card # 1 = Lie in the Name of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f9249bfca2

Card # 2 = Distort in the Name of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/7fcd9dcbb495974c

Card # 3 = Deny in the Name of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/7e8a98c94e3309bf

Card # 4 = Threaten in the Name of The-Obama
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b62ce10ceccc49a3

Card # 5 = Play The-Obama Race Card : In the
Name of The-Obama !

http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/fa4f62e0f9e74fa1
.
In the Name of The-Obama !
-in-the-name-of-the-obama-
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/393dc67a4bdc24ea
.
.

2537. The Rapture on May 21, 2011 -the-day-after- Hey Is Anyone Out There !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 00:36:33 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <e40b0cc8-aec4-48d4-be6d-cf5e4a030616@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 20, 6:27 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 19, 1:23 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 18, 6:42 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > On 5/18/2011 6:34 PM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>
> > > >> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>
> > > >>> ...
> > > >>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except in the
> > > >>> imaginations of the authors.
>
> > > >>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>
> > > > How deep is a rabbit hole...?
>
> > > Quite shallow!
>
> > > Life on earth is the only example of itself ... at least at this present
> > > time.
>
> > > Nothing in all of our view of the universe before us, earth, mars, moon,
> > > other planets, etc. would lead one to believe that life can "just happen
> > > by accident." "Spontaneous Generation", or life "just happening" was
> > > tried as a theory, long ago, although it still has its' followers, it
> > > has simply grown into a religion which would have one make a leap of
> > > faith against all logical thought and reason to believe ... end of story.
>
> > > Therefore, and borne out by occams' razor, the most likely explanation
> > > is that an intelligence created us ... and the question becomes, where
> > > did that intelligence come from ... and now, we search ...
>
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
>
> > Rejoice a/o Repent or just... Never Mind :

>
> > The Count-Down Continues Just 2 Days Left
> > until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
> > .
> > .
>
> .
> The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
> until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
> .
> Predictor of May 21 Judgement Day
Readyhttp://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/2011/05/predictor-of-may-21-judgemen...
> -dated- Friday, May 20, 2011
> .
> * -source- Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog Spot
> *http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/
> .
> Recommend if Shortwave Radio Listening [SWL]
> is your 'Thing' the Weekly Reading of the
> Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog Spothttp://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/
> .
> .

? Oops... Did "I" Miss Anything !

? ? ? HEY IS ANYONE OUT THERE ? ? ?

-tomorrow-is-promised-to-no-one-
.

2538. Re: The Rapture on May 21, 2011 -the-day-after- Hey Is Anyone Out T...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 01:19:34 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DD864A6-7548@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230465

Rapture comes from the Latin word, Rapto,,, to seize,,, to carry away.
RAPTURE!
cuhulin, Raptos R US

2539. Re: The Rapture on May 21, 2011 -the-day-after- Hey Is Anyone Out There !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 01:31:10 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <276cb276-2e7d-46ed-a21e-6b803e6bc95b@bl1g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 21, 8:36 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 20, 6:27 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 19, 1:23 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 18, 6:42 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On 5/18/2011 6:34 PM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>
> > > > >> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>
> > > > >>> ...
> > > > >>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except in the
> > > > >>> imaginations of the authors.
>
> > > > >>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>
> > > > > How deep is a rabbit hole...?
>
> > > > Quite shallow!
>
> > > > Life on earth is the only example of itself ... at least at this present
> > > > time.
>
> > > > Nothing in all of our view of the universe before us, earth, mars, moon,
> > > > other planets, etc. would lead one to believe that life can "just happen
> > > > by accident." "Spontaneous Generation", or life "just happening" was
> > > > tried as a theory, long ago, although it still has its' followers, it
> > > > has simply grown into a religion which would have one make a leap of
> > > > faith against all logical thought and reason to believe ... end of story.
>
> > > > Therefore, and borne out by occams' razor, the most likely explanation
> > > > is that an intelligence created us ... and the question becomes, where
> > > > did that intelligence come from ... and now, we search ...
>
> > > > Regards,
> > > > JS
>
> > > Rejoice a/o Repent or just... Never Mind :

>
> > > The Count-Down Continues Just 2 Days Left
> > > until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
> > > .
> > > .
>
> > .
> > The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
> > until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
> > .
> > Predictor of May 21 Judgement Day
Readyhttp://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/2011/05/predictor-of-may-21-judgemen...
> > -dated- Friday, May 20, 2011
> > .
> > * -source- Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog Spot
> > *http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/
> > .
> > Recommend if Shortwave Radio Listening [SWL]
> > is your 'Thing' the Weekly Reading of the
> > Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog Spothttp://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/
> > .
> > .
>
> ? Oops... Did "I" Miss Anything !
>
> ? ? ? HEY IS ANYONE OUT THERE ? ? ?
>
> -tomorrow-is-promised-to-no-one-
> .- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

The only significant geological event that really occured today : a
volcano erupted in Iceland .

2540. The Y2K [Non] "Event" a Secular {Technical} Happening ! -no-bible-required-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 01:46:55 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <06d00f6e-6916-4af9-85c7-c0e755d4c48d@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 21, 1:15 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 21, 1:30 pm, Bob Casanova <nos...@buzz.off> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Sat, 21 May 2011 15:07:21 +1000, the following appeared
> > in sci.skeptic, posted by Government Shill #2
> > <gov.sh...@gmail.com>:
>
> > >On Sat, 21 May 2011 09:01:58 +1000, Government Shill #2 <gov.sh...@gmail.com>
> > >wrote:
>
> > >>On Fri, 20 May 2011 06:27:58 -0700 (PDT), RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net>
> > >>wrote:
>
> > >>>The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
> > >>>until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
>
> > >>It has been the 21st of May for 2 hours in "the Holy Land".
>
> > >>And for 9 hours here.
>
> > >>So far... no heavenly trumpets.
>
> > >>Shill #2
>
> > >15 hours... still nothing.
>
> > Well, as I post this it's just after 10:00 MST in AZ, USA,
> > so I assume Europe and all points east are experiencing
> > terrible earthquakes.
>
> > Interesting puzzle... While AZ doesn't go on DST, the Navajo
> > Nation, which is in NE AZ, does. And there's an area
> > completely enclosed by the Nation which follows the AZ
> > practice rather than that of the Nation. Since the effects
> > happen based on a *local* time of 18:00 (6:00 PM for the

> > uninitiated) ;-), exactly what can we expect to see?
>
> > So many loons; so little time...
> > --
>
> > Bob C.
>
> > "Evidence confirming an observation is
> > evidence that the observation is wrong."
> > - McNameless- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> The loons will make up another date for 'doomsday' . Dec 21, 2012 is
> much more popular , as a matter of fact .

- Anyone still recalls the Y2K bullcrap ?

IIRC - Mostly the Y2K [Non] "Event"
http://www.y2ktimebomb.com/
was a Secular {Technical} Happening ! ;;-}}
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Year_2000_problem
Please Not That "Y2K" Was A Man-Made 'Bug'
Un-Related To 'The Bible' and never Proclaimed
By 'The Bible Answer Man' Harold Camping
http://www.fema.gov/kids/y2k.htm
.
yes... i survived y2k ~ RHF
http://homepages.wmich.edu/~rea/Y2K/FAQ.html
http://www.time.com/time/magazine/article/0,9171,990020,00.html
.
.

2541. WEWN [EWTN] "Defending Life" on 15,610 kHz @ 2245 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 01:49:19 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <c0e846a1-95bd-4ae8-8457-d62a82e59df5@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230468

WEWN [EWTN] "Defending Life" on 15,610 kHz @ 2245 UTC
on 21 Mayo 2011

S-Meter S7~S9 with SIO 545 and good audio

Heard the "Defending Life" program with Father
Frank Pavone talking about Peaceful Protests
at Abortion Clinics and Public Prayer Vigils for
the Expected Mothers and Their Un-Born Babies
http://www.ewtn.com/series/2003/Defending_life.htm
ETWN ID @ 2256 UTC
WEWN ID @ 2300 UTC
"Vatican Radio Weekend" program ID @ 2300 UTC
hosted by Philippa Hitchen of Vatican Radio
http://www.radiovaticana.org/en1/index.asp
.
"WEWN" [EWTN] 'Global' Catholic Radio
http://www.ewtn.com/radio/index.asp
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WEWN
.
"WEWN" [EWTN] 'Global' Catholic Radio
Shortwave Radio Program Broadcast Schedule
http://www.ewtn.com/radio/schedule.htm
.
"WEWN" [EWTN] 'Global' Catholic Radio
Shortwave Radio Times & Frequency Guide
http://www.ewtn.com/radio/freq.htm
.
Photos of 'Field Trip' to WEWN Transmitter Site
http://www.panoramio.com/photo/5842721
and Shortwave Antenna Arrays
http://bellsouthpwp.net/n/4/n4nr/wewn/
.
"WEWN" is part of the Eternal Word Television Network
(EWTN) -:- http://www.ewtn.com/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eternal_Word_Television_Network
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
15,610 kHz @ 2200-2400 UTC
USA : WEWN EWTN Catholic R. : E : ME : b
from USA in English to the Middle East
"b" = Birmingham, Alabama, USA
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF

Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2542. Re: WEWN [EWTN] "Defending Life" on 15,610 kHz @ 2245 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 01:54:07 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7eed0d09-03c9-48ff-a89a-77cb8ad90808@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230469

```
-oops-sorry-just-for-dave-
=One=Line=and=One=Link=
.
WEWN [EWTN] "Defending Life" on 15,610 kHz @ 2245 UTC
.
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/b072661fad4e56de
.
-that-short-enough-for-you-dave-
=Need='I'=Say=More=:o)=
.
.
```

2543. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 02:15:31 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DD871C3.6070209@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 230470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/21/2011 4:15 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 21, 1:30 pm, Bob Casanova <nos...@buzz.off> wrote:
>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 15:07:21 +1000, the following appeared
>> in sci.skeptic, posted by Government Shill #2
>> <gov.sh...@gmail.com>:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 09:01:58 +1000, Government Shill #2 <gov.sh...@gmail.com>
>>> wrote:
>>
>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 06:27:58 -0700 (PDT), RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net>
>>>> wrote:
>>
>>>>> The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
>>>>> until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
>>
>>>> It has been the 21st of May for 2 hours in "the Holy Land".
>>
>>>> And for 9 hours here.
>>
>>>> So far... no heavenly trumpets.
>>
>>>> Shill #2
>>
>>> 15 hours... still nothing.
>>
>> Well, as I post this it's just after 10:00 MST in AZ, USA,
>> so I assume Europe and all points east are experiencing
>> terrible earthquakes.
>>
>> Interesting puzzle... While AZ doesn't go on DST, the Navajo
>> Nation, which is in NE AZ, does. And there's an area
>> completely enclosed by the Nation which follows the AZ
>> practice rather than that of the Nation. Since the effects
>> happen based on a *local* time of 18:00 (6:00 PM for the
>> uninitiated) ;-), exactly what can we expect to see?
>>
>> So many loons; so little time...
>> --
>>

>> Bob C.
>>
>> "Evidence confirming an observation is
>> evidence that the observation is wrong."
>> - McNameless- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> The loons will make up another date for 'doomsday' . Dec 21, 2012 is
> much more popular , as a matter of fact . Anyone still recalls the Y2K
> bullcrap ?

Today was the end of the earth "for some people", they died in car
accidents and fell off buildings and drowned and such.

Anyone that expects this is the end can send me their cash....

2544. Re: 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC ?Radio Olimpio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 02:20:19 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <02986818-2c42-4370-9b42-e8595e7af851@w10g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230471

On May 20, 1:08 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 19, 8:29 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 19, 9:25 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > On 5/19/2011 5:31 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> > > > 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC
> > > > on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> > > > A lot of 'Radio Olimpio' {Program} IDs in Spanish
> > > > and Latin American Place Names by Male Hosts
> > > > -then- Program Change? with Female Host @ 2145 UTC
>
> > > > S-Meter : S3~S6 and SIO 444 and good audio
>
> > > > .
> > > > .
>
> > > I'd rather DX jetliners than listen to religious radio in a foreign
> > > language.
>
> > How about WYFR in English ? Don't you ever listen to their
> > broadcasts ? Especially now , since according to them : The End Is
> > Near ! ! !- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Okeechobee is going bananas :-)- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Actually, Okeechobee might be the biggest SW transmission site left in
the US . Something like 14 X100KW !

2545. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 02:53:03 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ir9tqv$m3n$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230472
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE" <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov> wrote in message
news:Xns9EECAE47196AAExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.250...
> "Scout" <
>> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE"
>>> "Scout"
>>>> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE"
>>>>> Peter Franks
>>>>>> On 5/19/2011 4:18 PM, Bert Hyman wrote:
>>>>>>> In news:Yoorghis
>>>>>>>> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>>>>>>>> complexities ...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to
>>> their
>>>>>>>> profit
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the legitimate
>>>>>>> functions of government, or in taking money from people you don't
>>> like?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I'll speak on his behalf: the latter.
>>>>>
>>>>> Why SHOULDN'T things be taken from you in the name of the Common
> Good?
>>>>
>>>> Please define exactly what you mean by "Common Good"?
>>>>
>>>> Taking what I have to give it to someone else?
>>>> To build a road that all can use?
>>>> To build a road that only some can use?
>>>>
>>>> Explain to me exactly what is and isn't the "Common Good"?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Most certainly!
>>>
>>> "We're going to take things from you in the name of the Common Good"
>>> -- Hillary
> ...
>>

>> Sorry, I don't see "common good" even mentioned much less any assertion
>> they are defining what it is.
>> Further I asked YOU to explain it. Not Obama, Not Hillary, but YOU.
>>
>> So what do YOU mean by "Common Good"?
>
>
> This is why Satire is lost upon the LIBs.

Problem is, satire is generally lost in text without some emicons, and given some of the crap people post it's not safe to assume a poster is engage in satire.

I will simply note the whole "it was just a joke" defense, and acknowledge you couldn't explain what you meant by the term you used.

2546. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 03:15:27 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD87FCF-7506@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230474

That cat also predicted the end of the World in 1994.

Area 51
http://www.cryptome.org/index.html
cuhulin, RAPTURED

2547. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 03:17:18 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EECCE62C2266ExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247>
Source record: 230473
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Scout"
> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE"
>> "Scout" <
>>> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE"
>>>> "Scout"
>>>>> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE"
>>>>>> Peter Franks
>>>>>>> On 5/19/2011 4:18 PM, Bert Hyman wrote:
>>>>>>>> In news:Yoorghis
>>>>>>>>> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>>>>>>>>> complexities ...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to
>>>> their
>>>>>>>>> profit
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the legitimate
>>>>>>>> functions of government, or in taking money from people you don't
>>>> like?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I'll speak on his behalf: the latter.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Why SHOULDN'T things be taken from you in the name of the Common
>> Good?
>>>>>
>>>>> Please define exactly what you mean by "Common Good"?
>>>>>
>>>>> Taking what I have to give it to someone else?
>>>>> To build a road that all can use?
>>>>> To build a road that only some can use?
>>>>>
>>>>> Explain to me exactly what is and isn't the "Common Good"?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Most certainly!
>>>>
>>>> "We're going to take things from you in the name of the Common Good"
>>>> -- Hillary
>> ...
>>>

>>> Sorry, I don't see "common good" even mentioned much less any assertion
>>> they are defining what it is.
>>> Further I asked YOU to explain it. Not Obama, Not Hillary, but YOU.
>>>
>>> So what do YOU mean by "Common Good"?
>>
>>
>> This is why Satire is lost upon the LIBs.
>
> Problem is, satire is generally lost in text without some emicons,
...

The problem is
the LIBs ceaseless Quest for the Unearned
remains forever Ceaseless because the Value-unearned, retains no Value...

LIBs, What price their Vision?
http://www.strangecosmos.com/images/content/14028.JPG
Emoticons would be Redundant.

2548. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 03:31:27 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fabc8a19-eb74-48a6-a044-0137fae6bd0d@h7g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230475
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "Iarnrod" <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>
> >> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >> >news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>
> >> > > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> >> > >> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> >> > >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> >> > >>>> ...
> >> > >>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
> >> > >>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of
> >> > >>>> photographic
> >> > >>>> evidence is noted.
>
> >> > >>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
> >> > >>>> claims.
>
> >> > >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal
> >> > >>> with
> >> > >>> it ...
>
> >> > >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that
> >> > >> you
> >> > >> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking
> >> > >> to
> >> > >> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.
>
> >> > >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat

> >> > >>> the
> >> > >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>
> >> > >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so
> >> > >> ignorant
> >> > >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
> >> > >> falling
> >> > >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his experts
> >> > >> might not
> >> > >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy their
> >> > >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>
> >> > >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
> >> > >> admission.
>
> >> > >> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk
> >> > >> about
> >> > >> this stuff then are you?
>
> >> > >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they
> >> > >> might
> >> > >> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing
> >> > >> the
> >> > >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and
> >> > >> can't
> >> > >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>
> >> > >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your
> >> > >> own
> >> > >> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you
> >> > >> for
> >> > >> even a second?
>
> >> > > I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others
> >> > > if
> >> > > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are
> >> > > fooled
> >> > > into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts, etc.?
>
> >> > IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide quoted
> >> > text -
>
> >> > - Show quoted text -
>
> >> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.
>
> > What missile attack, insane nut job?
>
> Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis of the
> frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane crashing into

> the side of the Pentagon.
>
> Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even consider is that
> even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly preclude a missile
> given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to the 5 stories of
> the Pentagon.
>
> But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and that's good
> enough "proof" for Joe.
>
> >> Which
> >> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>
> > When did missiles hit it?
>
> I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>
> >> Three missiles are were
> >> recorded in the same FBI release,
>
> > Show us, insnae nut job.
>
> Yea, one "missile" per frame. <snicker>
>
> >> Well the remnates of the first one is
> >> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see the
> >> next two in motion!
>
> > Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>
> "It is too late for that"
>
> Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica/esp_sociopol_911_90.htm

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mnzh-EyxKwc&feature=player_embedded

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mRK8QFvXyfE

2549. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 03:32:40 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD883D8-7513@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230476

I just now made a couple of Orderbs for doggy and I.Here is my Recipe I
just now invented:
4 Nabisco Saltine Crackers
1 presliced Dangerous Walmart store brand name Sliced Cheese
Jiff Extra Crunchy Peanut Butter
Try it out, you will Like it.
cuhulin, Orderbs R US

2550. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 04:40:55 UTC
From: harry k <turnkey4099@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <809f6cea-e7e7-4eef-a106-84268be810ce@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 21, 1:36 pm, Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-
Everyth...@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
> On 5/20/2011 11:35 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 19, 6:57 am, Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-
> > Everyth...@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/19/2011 4:23 AM, RHF wrote:
>
> >>> On May 18, 6:42 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>> On 5/18/2011 6:34 PM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>
> >>>>> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>
> >>>>>> ...
> >>>>>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except in the
> >>>>>> imaginations of the authors.
>
> >>>>>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>
> >>>>> How deep is a rabbit hole...?
>
> >>>> Quite shallow!
>
> >>>> Life on earth is the only example of itself ... at least at this present
> >>>> time.
>
> >>>> Nothing in all of our view of the universe before us, earth, mars, moon,
> >>>> other planets, etc. would lead one to believe that life can "just happen
> >>>> by accident." "Spontaneous Generation", or life "just happening" was
> >>>> tried as a theory, long ago, although it still has its' followers, it
> >>>> has simply grown into a religion which would have one make a leap of
> >>>> faith against all logical thought and reason to believe ... end of story.
>
> >>>> Therefore, and borne out by occams' razor, the most likely explanation
> >>>> is that an intelligence created us ...
>
> >> Nothing tells us enough to have enough information for either theory and
> >> Occums Razor needs enough information to apply it.
>

> >> In this case we are short on facts for either assumption.
>
> >> No one has a simple proof there is no God and no one has proof there is
> >> a God. Leaving the same fate to the spontaneous life theory....
>
> >> The question is.... if life was started when the Earth was one billion
> >> years old, why hasn't it been started with new and different DNA again
> >> in the last three billion years?
>
> >> If Life was started "successfully" in the last 4 billion years, how many
> >> times was it started unsuccessfully in the last 4 billion years. By that
> >> I mean that if life was started that can and did have DNA to reproduce
> >> how many times did life get started with different DNA that couldn't
> >> reproduce? If it took Billions of "life creations" to start life that
> >> could reproduce, where are the billions of failed "life" that we have
> >> never seen because they have DNA that's different and can't reproduce?
>
> >> Evolution means that there are many failed and many variations in the
> >> many streams of DNA in life. Where are they?
>
> >> What has the universe produced only one of? Einstein predicted that
> >> there may even be multiple universes. It seems odd that there is only
> >> one type of reproducing DNA based life all from one common start of life
> >> three billion years ago. All the elements are still here waiting to
> >> start new life(reproducing and NON-reproducing) and should be doing so
> >> each new day. Where are they?- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > It is scientifically and logically impossible to prove that there is
> > no God. One of the primary tenets of logic, regardless of the topic,
> > is that the null hypothesis cannot be proven. The full burden of
> > proof rests on those who make the extraordinary claim to begin with.
> > Arguing about "trying to prove the existence or nonexistence of God"
> > is a waste of time for people who are unfamiliar with either science
> > or logic.
>
> > It is purely a matter of faith, and throwing around DNA arguments like
> > these above is just unscientific nonsense.
>
> > Bruce Jensen
>
> Then you have a scientific explanation to the question of where all the
> other forms of DNA based life that were created are at?
>
> It's NOT logical that only one form of DNA was ever created for all of
> earths history and it was able to reproduce right out of the gate.
>
> Explain the odds of that in a scientific way... Then tell us where all
> the failed DNA is at?- Hide quoted text -

>
> - Show quoted text -

Survival of the fittest. The first successful 'life' ate all the late
comers.

Harry K

2551. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 04:41:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD893E5-7551@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230478

Top Gear, U.S.version on the History channel.Why do they always make a coffee table using a cylinder block? and a piston upside down for an ash tray, like some people do.Some 1950s Ford cars had two windows mounted on the hood so people could see the smokey grimey dirty engine without lifting the hood.I saw one parked on Capitol Street back in the 1950s when I went to see a movie down town.
That is soooooooo Tacky ass!

My coffee table is a regular coffee table that looks like a coffee table is suppose to look like.My cig ash tray is an old Tuna can, much Better than a steenkin piston any day.

Say Nite Nite doggy.
WOO WOO WOOF!
cuhulin

2552. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 04:52:22 UTC
From: Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Message-ID: <4DD89686.7010705@Blackhole.NebulaX.com>
Source record: 230479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/22/2011 12:40 AM, harry k wrote:
> On May 21, 1:36 pm, Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-
> Everyth...@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
>> On 5/20/2011 11:35 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 19, 6:57 am, Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-
>>> Everyth...@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/19/2011 4:23 AM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 18, 6:42 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/18/2011 6:34 PM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>>
>>>>>>> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original -- except in the
>>>>>>>> imaginations of the authors.
>>
>>>>>>>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red Queen?"
>>
>>>>>>> How deep is a rabbit hole...?
>>
>>>>>> Quite shallow!
>>
>>>>>> Life on earth is the only example of itself ... at least at this present
>>>>>> time.
>>
>>>>>> Nothing in all of our view of the universe before us, earth, mars, moon,
>>>>>> other planets, etc. would lead one to believe that life can "just happen
>>>>>> by accident." "Spontaneous Generation", or life "just happening" was
>>>>>> tried as a theory, long ago, although it still has its' followers, it
>>>>>> has simply grown into a religion which would have one make a leap of
>>>>>> faith against all logical thought and reason to believe ... end of story.
>>
>>>>>> Therefore, and borne out by occams' razor, the most likely explanation
>>>>>> is that an intelligence created us ...
>>
>>>> Nothing tells us enough to have enough information for either theory and
>>>> Occums Razor needs enough information to apply it.

>>
>>>> In this case we are short on facts for either assumption.
>>
>>>> No one has a simple proof there is no God and no one has proof there is
>>>> a God. Leaving the same fate to the spontaneous life theory....
>>
>>>> The question is.... if life was started when the Earth was one billion
>>>> years old, why hasn't it been started with new and different DNA again
>>>> in the last three billion years?
>>
>>>> If Life was started "successfully" in the last 4 billion years, how many
>>>> times was it started unsuccessfully in the last 4 billion years. By that
>>>> I mean that if life was started that can and did have DNA to reproduce
>>>> how many times did life get started with different DNA that couldn't
>>>> reproduce? If it took Billions of "life creations" to start life that
>>>> could reproduce, where are the billions of failed "life" that we have
>>>> never seen because they have DNA that's different and can't reproduce?
>>
>>>> Evolution means that there are many failed and many variations in the
>>>> many streams of DNA in life. Where are they?
>>
>>>> What has the universe produced only one of? Einstein predicted that
>>>> there may even be multiple universes. It seems odd that there is only
>>>> one type of reproducing DNA based life all from one common start of life
>>>> three billion years ago. All the elements are still here waiting to
>>>> start new life(reproducing and NON-reproducing) and should be doing so
>>>> each new day. Where are they?- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>> It is scientifically and logically impossible to prove that there is
>>> no God. One of the primary tenets of logic, regardless of the topic,
>>> is that the null hypothesis cannot be proven. The full burden of
>>> proof rests on those who make the extraordinary claim to begin with.
>>> Arguing about "trying to prove the existence or nonexistence of God"
>>> is a waste of time for people who are unfamiliar with either science
>>> or logic.
>>
>>> It is purely a matter of faith, and throwing around DNA arguments like
>>> these above is just unscientific nonsense.
>>
>>> Bruce Jensen
>>
>> Then you have a scientific explanation to the question of where all the
>> other forms of DNA based life that were created are at?
>>
>> It's NOT logical that only one form of DNA was ever created for all of
>> earths history and it was able to reproduce right out of the gate.
>>
>> Explain the odds of that in a scientific way... Then tell us where all

>> the failed DNA is at?- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> Survival of the fittest. The first successful 'life' ate all the late
> comers.
>
> Harry K

At least that was funny, not believable but... I get a chuckle out of the lack of ideas on how life just happened but it never "just happened" ever again? What happened to all the elements being available on this planet to create life?

What were the odds?

2553. HOT VIDEOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 05:36:54 UTC
From: HRJHRJB <odgfhfhdfv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <f2e1065b-21f7-4c33-94c7-f68ab72ad7cc@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230480

for hot videos
http://verysexyhotvideos.blogspot.com/

hot lip kiss videos
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/hot-lip-kiss-videos.html

super hot lip kiss
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/super-hot-lip-kiss.html

jobs
http://alljobsaywhere.blogspot.com/

games

GAME 1
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-1.html
GAME 2
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-2.html

mobile

mobile games free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-games-free-download.html

mobile ringtones free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-ringtones-free-download.html

2554. So... You Want To Build a Better Shortwave Radio Listening [SWL] Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 13:49:17 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <95a45d9a-4274-465d-9896-e2de93d818e6@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230481

So... You Want To Build a Better Shortwave Radio Listening [SWL]
Antenna
.
-read- BUILD A SHORTWAVE ANTENNA
"The Search For The Perfect Shortwave Antenna"
-by- Don Butler [N4UJW]
Webmaster of Ham Universe .Com
http://www.hamuniverse.com/shortwaveantenna.html
-source- Ham Universe .Com
http://www.hamuniverse.com/
© Copyright 2007 All Rights Reserved.
N4UJW Hamuniverse.com
.
Image/Diagram of a Multi-Band 'End-Fed' SWL Antenna
http://www.hamuniverse.com/multiendfed.gif
-source- Ham Universe .Com
http://www.hamuniverse.com/
-read-about-it-there-
.
Image/Diagram of a Multi-Band Center-Fed
'Fan' Dipole Antenna for SWLs
http://www.hamuniverse.com/multibandfandipole.GIF
-source- Ham Universe .Com
http://www.hamuniverse.com/
-read-about-it-there-
.
-additional-topics-
"Attic" and 'In-the-Room' SWL Antennas
Indoor Multi-Band Shortwave Antennas
http://www.hamuniverse.com/shortwaveantenna.html
-read-about-it-there-

and now you know, cause...
you just read it here - iane ~ RHF
.
Remember that your Shortwave Radio Listening [SWL]
Antenna is 55.55% of your ability to Hear Them
'Out-There'... Beyond The BEYOND ! ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.

```
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.
```

2555. The Great "Rapture" Smack-Down a Shortwave Radio Event : It's The Day-After !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 14:32:51 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1f64dd6a-a873-4ebc-9a2c-f0e29be36b43@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230482

On May 14, 4:45 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 12, 3:51 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > Brother Star was a Preaching -a'gin- Brother Camping
> > last night on the Shortwave Radio on 5890 kHz WWCR
> > saying ONLY a Prophet-of-God could predict the Last
> > Day; and that Brother Camping was not a prophet.
>
> > The Overcomer Ministry with Brother Stair [PoG]http://www.overcomerministry.org/
> > .
> > WWCR Shortwave Program
Schedulehttp://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> > Transmitter # 4 for the Overcomer Ministry with
> > Brother Stair [PoG] 5890 & 9890 kHz
> > .
> > WYFR : Family Radio World Wide with Brother
Campinghttp://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
> > * The Judgement Day 21 Mayo 2011 {Commercial}http://www.familyradio.com/may21/
> > .
> > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .
>
> The Count-Down Continues 7 Days Left
> until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
> .
> .

Up-Date The Day-After ***Up-Date***

The Un-Count-Down Continues Now @ +1
'The Day-After' ! 21 Mayo 2011 , , , ,
http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
.
IT'S 'THE DAY AFTER' ...and...
.
WYFR Shortwave is still On-the-Air

-thanks-for-all-the-'end-of-times'-donations-
http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
and... You Can Still Hear Brother Camping
'The Bible Answer Man'
On-the-Shortwave-Radio -via- WYFR :o)
.
Brother Stair is is still On-the-Air
-thanks-for-all-the-'last-day'-donations-
http://www.overcomerministry.org/index.php
An You Can Still Hear Brother Stair
'The Voice of the Last Day Prophet of God'
On-the-Shortwave-Radio -via- WWRB & WWCR :o)
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brother_Stair
-remember-:-it-ain't-over-yet...-
.
and... yes 'i' am still here too :o)
and still listening to the radio ~ RHF
.
.
* * * That Something Extra * * *
http://www.bibleandscience.com/otherviews/camping.htm
Brother Camping received a Bachelor-of-Science
[BS] Degree in 'Civil Engineering' from the
University of California [UC] at Berkeley.
-and-they-want-it-back-;;-}}-
.
.

2556. RFI & router

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 14:37:13 UTC
From: "Chester A. Arthur" <deadprez@whitehouse.com>
Message-ID: <wdydnfmnlccEgkTQnZ2dnUVZ_gqdnZ2d@posted.cavenetllc>
Source record: 230483

Here's an actual shortwave-related question! Can anyone out there recommend a router that doesn't generate much RFI?

My current setup makes too much radio noise. By process of elimination I have narrowed it down to my Linksys WRT54Gv3 router. It isn't the b/g wifi, that's turned off and the WLAN led is out. I don't need wifi, and if I did, I'd configure this old router as a simple AP. The router has an injection-molded case, apparently not much shielding.

Goal: I want to use fldigi for digital modes. Thanks for your recommendation.

2557. Re: The Great "Rapture" Smack-Down a Shortwave Radio Event : It's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 14:39:57 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DD9203D-7823@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230484

You have a cup of instant coffee, no cream and sweetner? Pour some diet cola in there and watch it GO! Someday I am going to try out the Mentos and cola trick.
cuhulin, Tricks R US

2558. Re: Aerial shot of DX Ace's house by da lake dere.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 14:51:30 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d56115a7-1410-42d2-b78a-45c180aacd1d@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230485

On May 21, 5:26 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/21/2011 04:04 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 21, 2:29 am, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >> BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz @ 0110 UTC
> >> on 21 Mayo 2011
>
> >> S-Meter : S3 with SIO 333 and fair audio
>
> >> Doing a Program about Marvin Gay, His Music and
> >> Songs; with BBC World Service ID @ 0129 UTC
> >> .
> >> BBC World Service -via- Shortwave Radio
> >> {The BBC's International Radio Station}http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/
> >> .
> >> NOTE : The BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz is
> >> listed as being 'Beamed' to East Asia from
Thailandhttp://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/schedules/frequencies/ase.htm
> >> .
> >> EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> >> 15,360 kHz @ 0000-0200 UTC
> >> G : BBC : E : FE :/THA-n
> >> in English to Far East from Thailand
> >> .
> >> How to Listen to the BBC World Service
> >> -via- Short-Wave
Radiohttp://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/institutional/2009/03/000000_sw_how...
> >> .
> >> BBC World Service "Global" Shortwave Schedule
> >> {BBC-WS Times and
Frequencies}http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/schedules/frequencies/
> >> .
> >> BBC World Service Frequencies and Sites in
'English'http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/d3b...
> >> .
> >> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> >> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> >> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^

> >> .
> >> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> >> .
> >> - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
> >> .
> >> .
> > .
> > - NOTE : The BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz is
> > - listed as being 'Beamed' to East Asia from Thailand
> > -http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/schedules/frequencies/ase.htm
> > .
- - Aerial Photo of BBC-WS Shortwave Antennas
- - at Nakhon Sawan, Thailand
- - http://www.asiawaves.net/thailand/bbc-nakhon-sawan.jpg

Dave at least 'get-it' right :o) ~ RHF
http://home.kpn.nl/tebe88ar/images-places/beverages_2.jpg
.

2559. Re: RFI & router

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 14:55:13 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dd92389$0$12467$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230486

On 05/22/2011 07:37 AM, Chester A. Arthur wrote:
> Here's an actual shortwave-related question! Can anyone out there
> recommend a router that doesn't generate much RFI?
>
> My current setup makes too much radio noise. By process of elimination I
> have narrowed it down to my Linksys WRT54Gv3 router. It isn't the b/g
> wifi, that's turned off and the WLAN led is out. I don't need wifi, and
> if I did, I'd configure this old router as a simple AP. The router has an
> injection-molded case, apparently not much shielding.
>
> Goal: I want to use fldigi for digital modes. Thanks for your
> recommendation.

I have a similar issue. I have had great success with clamp on ferrite chokes from All Electronics surplus. They work well for HF, but I still get the motorboat on MW. I loop every UTP once through the clamp, as close to the router as practicable. You have to do the power supply wire and I recommend using a plug strip with a choke on it, too. I love psk31. 73

PS Why are there no streets named after you?

2560. Is there an FAQ for this newsgroup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 15:24:00 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <4dd92a39$0$18657$540ea2cf@novia.net>
Source record: 230487

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Is there an FAQ for this newsgroup?

Last update I had heard was from John Kasupski about 3 1/2 years ago.
In response to suggestions by other rec.radio.shortwave readers that an
update of Ralph Brandi's original version could be useful, he loudly and
forcefully insisted that he was the only author of such an FAQ, and no
other person should get involved.

No such updated FAQ beyond an initial, and incomplete, draft was
presented, along with forceful reminders from him that no one else
should get involved. Has anything been heard from him, or any other
replacement maintainer, since then?

- --
Paul W. Schleck
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.5 (SunOS)

iD8DBQFN2Sob6Pj0az779o4RAvAWAJ9FTZQRySkjtEPgEA9mKNI8L56LvQCeKY6i
Jz/9dBXeOg+5u8nu9zp88Rk=
=r5Wz
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2561. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 15:32:50 UTC
From: Peter Franks <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <irbab1$t69$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230488
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/19/2011 10:49 PM, MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE wrote:
> Peter Franks
>> On 5/19/2011 4:18 PM, Bert Hyman wrote:
>>> In news:Yoorghis
>>>> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith
>>>>
>>>>> A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>>>> complexities ...
>>>>
>>>> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to their
>>>> profit
>>>
>>> Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the legitimate
>>> functions of government, or in taking money from people you don't like?
>>
>> I'll speak on his behalf: the latter.
>
> Why SHOULDN'T things be taken from you in the name of the Common Good?

Because I worked for it. Now, why SHOULD things be taken from me in the
name of the Common Good?

2562. OK So... Who Is Bigger ? : WEWN ? -or- WYFR ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 16:05:45 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <bd310055-a5eb-4944-bf10-3f579e7bf431@e17g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230489

```
On May 21, 7:20 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 20, 1:08 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 19, 8:29 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > On May 19, 9:25 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > > On 5/19/2011 5:31 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> > > > > 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC
> > > > > on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> > > > > A lot of 'Radio Olimpio' {Program} IDs in Spanish
> > > > > and Latin American Place Names by Male Hosts
> > > > > -then- Program Change? with Female Host @ 2145 UTC
>
> > > > > S-Meter : S3~S6 and SIO 444 and good audio
>
> > > > > .
> > > > > .
>
> > > I'd rather DX jetliners than listen to religious radio in a foreign
> > > language.
>
> > How about WYFR in English ? Don't you ever listen to their
> > broadcasts ? Especially now , since according to them : The End Is
> > Near ! ! !- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Okeechobee is going bananas :-)- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

- Actually, Okeechobee might be the biggest
- SW transmission site left in the US .
```

- Something like 14 X100KW !

WYFR Transmitter and Antenna Photographs
http://www.fmarc.net/WYFR.htm
The 14 Transmitter number is what I read at
one time and they have 11 Antenna Arrays.
http://transition.fcc.gov/ib/sand/neg/hf_web/WYFR.txt
-roughly- a Square Mile 640 Acres
-mostly- using 'Rhombic' Antennas
http://www.tpub.com/neets/book10/42o.htm
.
82 Photos of WYFR Transmitter Site and
Shortwave Broadcast Antennas Arrays
https://picasaweb.google.com/kwinrich/WYFR#5149450014785168130
=also=
http://www.broadcastengineering.info/viewtopic.php?f=4&t=329
.
There are other claims that WEWN/EWTN is
the largest 'Private' Shortwave Radio
Broadcaster in the USA.
-?-now-is-that-true-?-
http://transition.fcc.gov/ib/sand/neg/hf_web/WEWN.txt
Four Transmitters with 30 Antenna Arrays.
.
Photos of 'Field Trip' to WEWN Transmitter Site
http://www.panoramio.com/photo/5842721
and Shortwave Antenna Arrays
http://bellsouthpwp.net/n/4/n4nr/wewn/
.
Does "VOA" in Greenville, NC still exist ?
http://www.j-hawkins.com/voanc1.shtml
http://antennablog.lbagroup.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/08/voa-site-b-google.jpg

Is "VOA" in Greenville, NC still eopperational ?
http://www.flickr.com/photos/rocknrun/511660521/in/photostream/
http://antennablog.lbagroup.com/voa-greenville-fifty-years-of-shortwave-to-the-world/
.
'Proposed' VOA Greenville Closure
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/2010/02/politicans-and-press-react-to-proposed.html
.
.

2563. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 16:11:47 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <cff2365d-8436-4e3a-8dc2-d772a303ec23@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230490
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 22, 8:32 am, Peter Franks <n...@none.com> wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 10:49 PM, MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > Peter Franks
> >> On 5/19/2011 4:18 PM, Bert Hyman wrote:
> >>> In news:Yoorghis
> >>>> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith
>
> >>>>> A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
> >>>>> complexities ...
>
> >>>> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to their
> >>>> profit
>
> >>> Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the legitimate
> >>> functions of government, or in taking money from people you don't like?
>
> >> I'll speak on his behalf: the latter.
>
> > Why SHOULDN'T things be taken from you in the name of the Common Good?

- Because I worked for it.
- Now, why SHOULD things be taken from me
- in the name of the Common Good?

-answer- "The Common Good"

Praise Be The-Obama !

Join 'The Cult of The-Obama' :
Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f9249bfca2

We Are So Blessed To Be Living In
The Age of The-Obama -pbhn-
.
.

2564. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 16:17:15 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EED5E7A488B5ExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247>
Source record: 230491
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Peter Franks
> On 5/19/2011 10:49 PM, MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE wrote:
>> Peter Franks
>>> On 5/19/2011 4:18 PM, Bert Hyman wrote:
>>>> In news:Yoorghis
>>>>> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith
>>>>>
>>>>>> A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>>>>> complexities ...
>>>>>
>>>>> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to
>>>>> their profit
>>>>
>>>> Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the legitimate
>>>> functions of government, or in taking money from people you don't
>>>> like?
>>>
>>> I'll speak on his behalf: the latter.
>>
>> Why SHOULDN'T things be taken from you in the name of the Common Good?
>
> Because I worked for it. Now, why SHOULD things be taken from me in the
> name of the Common Good?

If your Life is not your own, why should your money be?
If a World Safe for Socialism be your goal,
why wouldn't LIBs BURN YOUR MONEY?
and why in the world wouldn't you let them?

http://www.libertylive.org/Uploads/The%20Debt%20Star.jpg
DEBTSTAR:: This is NOT the Hope you have been searching for.

The road to Tyranny begins with the Destruction of the Truth.
-- Bill Clinton'95

Would that the Roman people had but one neck!
-- Caligula

Why, in fact, should you be permitted to own property at all?
if you refuse to use it generously towards the ends
that they see fit? Isn't that the village it take?

Why, in fact, should you have any rights at all?
If the will of the people be preeminent,
Shouldn't Gov't be free to do as it pleases, while you as Citizen,

may only act with its permission?
So that those who Govern, have Rights that you do not?

"Every aspect of our lives must be subjected to an inventory"
-- Shameless Politico THUG, Nancy Pelosi'09

To corrupt, to co-opt,
the LIB pandemic continues...
Same LIB FREAKSHOW, different day.

LIBs expect you to Tolerate the Intolerable, to Defend the Indefensible.

The LIBs streets are extended gutters overflowing with blood and
depravity, when the drains finally scab over, all the vermin will drown.
The accumulated filth of all their crap will foam up about their waists
and all the victims, freaks and politicians will look up and shout
"Save us!"... and I will tell them "NO."

http://pajamasmedia.com/instapundit/files/2009/03/botchmen.jpg

LIBs. What Prices their Vision?

DESERVE PEACE.
DESERVE FREEDOM.
DEMAND COMPETANCE.
DEMAND ACCOUNTABILITY.
DEFEAT LIB STRATEGIC INSANITY.

2565. Is There a "FAQ" for the 'Rec.Radio.Shortwave' NewsGroup ? -well- Yes and No or Maybe So...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 16:41:15 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <20b714fc-a858-4d2b-ae88-f9261df3df48@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230492

```
On May 22, 8:24 am, Paul W. Schleck <pschl...@novia.net> wrote:
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Is there an FAQ for this newsgroup?
>
> Last update I had heard was from John Kasupski about 3 1/2 years ago.
> In response to suggestions by other rec.radio.shortwave readers that an
> update of Ralph Brandi's original version could be useful, he loudly and
> forcefully insisted that he was the only author of such an FAQ, and no
> other person should get involved.
>
> No such updated FAQ beyond an initial, and incomplete, draft was
> presented, along with forceful reminders from him that no one else
> should get involved. Has anything been heard from him, or any other
> replacement maintainer, since then?
>
> - --
> Paul W. Schleck
> pschl...@novia.nethttp://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
> Finger pschl...@novia.net for PGP Public Key
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: GnuPG v1.4.5 (SunOS)
>
> iD8DBQFN2Sob6Pj0az779o4RAvAWAJ9FTZQRySkjtEPgEA9mKNI8L56LvQCeKY6i
> Jz/9dBXeOg+5u8nu9zp88Rk=
> =r5Wz
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

Paul W. Schleck,

The Rec.Radio.Shortwave FAQ Issue
-by- John Kasupski
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/fecf4461ffd947ef
.
Seeking New FAQ/Intro Maintainers
for Rec.Radio.Shortwave
-by- Rec.Radio.Info Admin
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/5dcf8ec73df6faad
.
Users' Guide To Rec.Radio.Shortwave
-by- RHF
```

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/42524915ecd98433
-replies-by- John Kasupski
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/203ecc741bfa0d0b
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8b3b9af38bdc9c3a
.
Users' Guide To Rec.Radio.Shortwave
(Updated April 2008) -by- John Kasupski
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/681a28e914d8883c
.
Welcome to Rec.Radio.Shortwave
-by- Ralph Brandi -circa- 1996
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.answers/msg/730110984f6862a0
.
.
Is There a "FAQ" for the 'Rec.Radio.Shortwave'
NewsGroup ? -well- Yes and No or Maybe So...
.
Honestly What Good Is A "FAQ" If No One Reads It ?
.
More Importantly : What Good Is A "FAQ"
If No One Follows It ?
.
and that is something to think about ~ RHF
.
.

2566. Appreciation - QSL for AFN Diego Garcia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 17:02:11 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <415f4e7a-8fdb-4633-8b64-24f3a8c338f1@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230493

On Apr 29, 6:45 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Unless I am greatly mistaken, I am hearingDiegoGarcia BIOT on 12759
> kHz at 0144 UTC on April 30, 2011. Similar conditions to yesterday.
> Impossible to catch specific concepts, but it surely sounds like an OM
> ranting on in EE.
>
> Bruce J., California

As I mentioned earlier, I obtained a QSL card for reception of this AFN Diego Garcia transmission on April 30, 2011. Needless to say, and am very pleased and thrilled with this card, as this mere 3 kW (?) omnidirectional station is the most nearly antipodal low-power station I have managed to dig out of the suburban QRM at my home. I know lots of other folks have gotten this QSL, but for me, receipt of this QSL is a significant part of fulfilling one of my many lifetime hobby dreams.

I received worthy and important guidance from several people, without which I would not have heard and recorded the detail of this station at the time I did, and which I acknowledge here -

To RHF (Roy) for bringing up the subject of AFN on 12579 at approximately the right time, and following up with additional relevant communiques - his tip-off is greatly appreciated. Thanks, Roy!

To dxAce (Steve) for publicly noting the time when it was transmitting and providing valuable insight into nailing down enough detail to net this station. Thanks Steve!

...and to Victor Goonetilleke of Sri Lanka, who kindly confirmed that AFN Diego Garcia was indeed transmitting at the moment I heard them despite the time parameters listed in their A11 schedule. This helped me to be confident that I was not hearing an image or mixing product rather than the station. Thanks Victor!

Bruce Jensen

2567. Re: QSLs received, May 2011

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 17:03:14 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a3cfc908-9b60-497d-9e05-a5e391c0d4b0@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230495

On May 21, 5:22 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/20/2011 06:10 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > A welcome one too :-) - BRITISH INDIAN OCEAN TERRITORY, Armed Forces
> > Network Diego Garcia, 12759U, 0140 UTC, in 20 days by regular mail for
> > reception report in EE by mail to AFN - Riverside, California, USA
>
> > Also got one of those friendly e-mails from Radio Fly, confirming that
> > an e-mailed reception report (follow-up to a mailed report, possibly
> > lost) was adequate to generate a QSL card when they get them printed
> > up...but not sure how to count this one. Will wait and see how things
> > shape up at Ok Tedi before I count my chickens. (JENSEN-USA)
>
> check!

Thanks Dave :-)

2568. Re: Propagation Forecast Bulletin 20 ARLP020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 17:08:04 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b39d7d0b-bad2-4c53-b0d6-1945ef65856e@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230494

On May 21, 5:16 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> B PROP @ ARL $ARLP020
> ARLP020 Propagation de K7RA
>
> ZCZC AP20
> QST de W1AW
> Propagation Forecast Bulletin 20 ARLP020
> From Tad Cook, K7RA
> Seattle, WA May 20, 2011
> To all radio amateurs
>
> SB PROP ARL ARLP020
> ARLP020 Propagation de K7RA
>
> A decline in sunspot activity this week showed as a 23 point drop in
> average daily sunspot numbers, and nearly 9 point decline in average
> daily solar flux. These compare, of course, to the numbers for the
> previous reporting week, which always runs from Thursday through
> Wednesday.
>
> But with this decline in activity there were three new sunspot
> groups on May 14, and then one new sunspot group each day following,
> through May 18. But on May 19, there was no new sunspot group, and
> the daily sunspot number declined from 65 on Wednesday to 36 on
> Thursday. Heightened geomagnetic activity occurred from May 15-17,
> with the planetary A index in the low double-digits.
>
> The latest prediction from NOAA/USAF is for much lower solar flux
> than predicted on Wednesday, May 18. The forecast predicts solar
> flux readings of 84 and 82 on May 20-21, then 80 on May 22-26,
> jumping to 90 on May 27 and 110 on May 28-30. Predicted planetary A
> index is 7 on May 20-21, 5 on May 22-25, then 8, 22, 18, 15, 15 and
> 8 on May 26-31.
>
> Geophysical Institute Prague predicts quiet conditions May 20-21,
> quiet to unsettled May 22, and quiet May 23-26.
>
> On Wednesday, May 18 the solar flux was predicted at 92 on May
> 20-26, much higher than numbers forecast a day later and referenced
> above.
>
> Last week's Propagation Forecast Bulletin ARLP019 mentioned that
> most sunspots lately appear in the Sun's northern hemisphere, but on
> May 19 they are all in the southern hemisphere. Go tohttp://spaceweather.com/and on the

upper right check the archive
> for May 18. Note the two sunspot groups, 1214 and 1216, in the
> southern hemisphere. Now change to May 19, and note sunspot group
> 1218, also in the southern hemisphere. On May 20 it shows all
> sunspots only in the southern half.
>
> Today the new June 2011 issue of WorldRadio Magazine is available
> online, for free. Go tohttp://www.worldradiomagazine.comto
> download the latest issue, and check out Carl Luetzelschwab, K9LA's
> propagation column on pages 30-33. He gives an interesting treatise
> on solar flux and sunspot numbers.
>
> The only mail this week was from K4FNC, and is not the usual type of
> question about propagation, but he asked, "Can you tell me when is
> the best time to make contact with the space station on 2 meters or
> the 440 frequencies?" Quite a bit of information on ARISS is on the
> ARRL web site athttp://www.arrl.org/amateur-radio-on-the-international-space-station.
>
> If you click on the "Frequently Asked Questions" link on the left
> side, this gives you a lot of the details, such as how to track the
> space station. The link to AMSAT athttp://www.amsat.org/amsat-new/toolseven gives a
method for
> tracking that doesn't need your own tracking software. Click on
> Online Satellite Pass Predictions, select ISS, and enter FM05nb for
> the grid square for K4FNC, click Predict, and this gives the time
> for AOS (Acquisition of Signal) and LOS (Loss of Signal) for his
> location.
>
> If you would like to make a comment or have a tip for our readers,
> email the author at, k...@arrl.net.
>
> For more information concerning radio propagation, see the ARRL
> Technical Information Service web page athttp://arrl.org/propagation-of-rf-signals. For
an explanation of the
> numbers used in this bulletin, seehttp://arrl.org/the-sun-the-earth-the-ionosphere. An
archive of past
> propagation bulletins is athttp://arrl.org/w1aw-bulletins-archive-propagation. Find more
good
> information and tutorials on propagation athttp://mysite.ncnetwork.net/k9la/index.html.
>
> Monthly propagation charts between four USA regions and twelve
> overseas locations are athttp://arrl.org/propagation.
>
> Instructions for starting or ending email distribution of ARRL
> bulletins are athttp://arrl.org/bulletins.
>
> Sunspot numbers for May 12 through 18 were 29, 29, 64, 54, 63, 57,
> and 65, with a mean of 51.6. 10.7 cm flux was 92.8, 91.5, 91.4,
> 94.5, 92.2, 91.5, and 90.6, with a mean of 92.1. Estimated planetary
> A indices were 3, 4, 4, 11, 12, 10, and 6, with a mean of 7.1.
> Estimated mid-latitude A indices were 2, 3, 3, 9, 10, 8, and 4, with

> a mean of 5.6.
> NNNN
> /EX

Thanks! The SFI really makes a difference - when we had that contemporary high of 157 last month, reception went through the roof. Now it's just mediocre again at best, although Radio East New Britain on 3385 was doing very well again this morning at 1000 UTC and SIBC was quite good about 0800z on the 21st, despite the noxious QRM from Radio Rebelde.

2569. Re: CKZN?CKZU : CBC#1 on 6160 kHz @ 0715 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 17:11:39 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <79a82e03-eee2-4ea5-a69b-75143291cbbd@e17g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230496

On May 18, 1:19 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> CKZN?CKZU : CBC#1 on 6160 kHz @ 0715 UTC
> on 15 March 2011
> "?" I Did not get a real ID of either CKZN or CKZU
> Local News/Weather Details to make a judgement
> as to which one -or- both ?
> -wrt- The "Echo" of the 'CBC News' ID at the TOH
>
> S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 444 and good audio

Thanks - I also continue to note these two stations overlapping on 6160; it makes for an interesting comparison - sometimes they seem to carry the same program (and you get an echo effect), other times they beat up on each other with different programs.

Bruce Jensen - CA, USA

2570. Re: RFI & router

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 17:14:31 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f126e9a6-a065-43a6-ac77-bc7d28a924de@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230497

On May 22, 7:55 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/22/2011 07:37 AM, Chester A. Arthur wrote:
>
> > Here's an actual shortwave-related question! Can anyone out there
> > recommend a router that doesn't generate much RFI?
>
> > My current setup makes too much radio noise. By process of elimination I
> > have narrowed it down to my Linksys WRT54Gv3 router. It isn't the b/g
> > wifi, that's turned off and the WLAN led is out. I don't need wifi, and
> > if I did, I'd configure this old router as a simple AP. The router has an
> > injection-molded case, apparently not much shielding.
>
> > Goal: I want to use fldigi for digital modes. Thanks for your
> > recommendation.
>
> I have a similar issue. I have had great success with clamp on ferrite
> chokes from All Electronics surplus. They work well for HF, but I still
> get the motorboat on MW. I loop every UTP once through the clamp, as
> close to the router as practicable. You have to do the power supply wire
> and I recommend using a plug strip with a choke on it, too. I love psk31. 73
>
> PS Why are there no streets named after you?

LOL!

2571. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 17:15:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irbgb8$1r2$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230498
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
> Lookout<mrLookout1@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>
>>> Lookout<mrLookout1@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Lookout<mrLookout1@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLookout1@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLookout1@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
>>>>>>>>>> <Asgard24@mindspring.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopewell@216.196.121.131>,
>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...
>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgard24@mindspring.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopewell@216.196.121.131>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
>>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgard24@mindspring.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra7$1@dont-email.me>, none@none.com

>>>>> says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<none@none.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdrmfo1@dont-email.me>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> none@none.com says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
>>>>>>> after
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
>>>>> miracles
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
>>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
>>>>> true.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either
>>>>> case,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
>>>>>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
>>>>> moderator.

>>>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
>>>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)
>>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
>>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give
>>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
>>>>>>> unfounded
>>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
>>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
>>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
>>>>>>> would
>>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
>>>>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
>>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
>>>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
>>>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
>>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
>>>>>> Nope.
>>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
>>>>> you believe that there is not.
>>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
>>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
>>>> Got it now?
>>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
>>> deny that?
>> Because you're wrong as usual.
>>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
>>>>>> belief.
>>>>> That's an opinion.
>>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
>>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
>>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
>>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
>> Nope.
>>>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
>>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
>>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
>>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
>>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
>>>> Then let's hear it.
>>> They have already told you.
>>>
>>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
>>>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
>>>>>>> In your opinion.
>>>>>> Fact
>>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.

>>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
>>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
>> Prove there is a god.
> No need, I don't claim that there is one.
>
>> Then respond.

I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.

So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"

Regards,
JS

2572. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 17:20:43 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irbglm$1r2$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230499
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/21/2011 4:42 PM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in talk.politics.guns :
>> If you look at the figures on this page, you will find, in 2007, in America:
>>
>> 1) The top 1% have 42.7 of the financial wealth.
>>
>> 2) The next 19% have 50.3% of the wealth.
>>
>> 3) The bottom 80% have 7.0% of the wealth.
>>
>> So, according to those figures, the top 20% of the population have 42.7
>> + 50.3 = 93% of the financial wealth.
>>
>> This situation has worsened since 2007 ...
>>
>> I'd say we tax those top 20% of the population, what say all of you?
> You're an idiot. That's what we all say.

No, but you are one confused moron!

It is the University of California at Santa Cruz who you are calling idiots.

Again, you confuse the messenger with the message!

Don't worry, it just takes some longer than others to figure it out ...

Regards,
JS

2573. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 17:31:57 UTC
From: Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4dd9488e$0$28703$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 230500
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/21/2011 4:42 PM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
>
>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in talk.politics.guns :
>>> If you look at the figures on this page, you will find, in 2007, in
>>> America:
>>>
>>> 1) The top 1% have 42.7 of the financial wealth.
>>>
>>> 2) The next 19% have 50.3% of the wealth.
>>>
>>> 3) The bottom 80% have 7.0% of the wealth.
>>>
>>> So, according to those figures, the top 20% of the population have 42.7
>>> + 50.3 = 93% of the financial wealth.
>>>
>>> This situation has worsened since 2007 ...
>>>
>>> I'd say we tax those top 20% of the population, what say all of you?
>>
>> You're an idiot. That's what we all say.
>
>
> No, but you are one confused moron!
>
> It is the University of California at Santa Cruz who you are calling
> idiots.

Your point, you retard?

> Again, you confuse the messenger with the message!

No, we know yer a retard.

2574. Re: CKZN?CKZU : CBC#1 on 6160 kHz @ 0715 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 17:44:19 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <84c7d403-9e10-4cb3-bccb-671e55a3152d@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230501

On May 22, 10:11 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 18, 1:19 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > CKZN?CKZU : CBC#1 on 6160 kHz @ 0715 UTC
> > on 15 March 2011
> > "?" I Did not get a real ID of either CKZN or CKZU
> > Local News/Weather Details to make a judgement
> > as to which one -or- both ?
> > -wrt- The "Echo" of the 'CBC News' ID at the TOH
>
> > S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 444 and good audio
>
> Thanks - I also continue to note these two stations overlapping on
> 6160; it makes for an interesting comparison - sometimes they seem to
> carry the same program (and you get an echo effect), other times they
> beat up on each other with different programs.
>
> Bruce Jensen - CA, USA

BpnJ,

The Top-of-the-Hour [TOH] News seems to have
the 'Echo' as it is current and timely : Most
likely an "Real-Time" direct feed from the CBC.

The remain Hourly Programing is "Time-Shifted"
for the Daily & Over-Night Programing for the
'Local' Area and 'Local' Time :

CKZN from St. John's, Newfoundland : UTC-3.5
http://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/city.html?n=175

CKZU from Vancouver, British Colombia : UTC-7
http://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/city.html?n=256

The Local Weather a/o Traffic Report or the
Local Station ID can make it easier.

NOTE @ 5~8 AM PST/PDT you should usually hear
the 'Local' CBU-AM 690 kHz Vancouver ID Info.

~ RHF
.

2575. Re: RFI & router

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 17:46:34 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <696d148d-3e8c-4840-be7b-50ac0fdc19b4@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230502

On May 22, 10:14 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 22, 7:55 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 05/22/2011 07:37 AM, Chester A. Arthur wrote:
>
> > > Here's an actual shortwave-related question! Can anyone out there
> > > recommend a router that doesn't generate much RFI?
>
> > > My current setup makes too much radio noise. By process of elimination I
> > > have narrowed it down to my Linksys WRT54Gv3 router. It isn't the b/g
> > > wifi, that's turned off and the WLAN led is out. I don't need wifi, and
> > > if I did, I'd configure this old router as a simple AP. The router has an
> > > injection-molded case, apparently not much shielding.
>
> > > Goal: I want to use fldigi for digital modes. Thanks for your
> > > recommendation.
>
> > I have a similar issue. I have had great success with clamp on ferrite
> > chokes from All Electronics surplus. They work well for HF, but I still
> > get the motorboat on MW. I loop every UTP once through the clamp, as
> > close to the router as practicable. You have to do the power supply wire
> > and I recommend using a plug strip with a choke on it, too. I love psk31. 73
>
> > PS Why are there no streets named after you?
>
> LOL!

His bother "Mac" got then all ;;-}} ~ RHF
.

2576. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 17:58:10 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <93764708-de68-4dee-b1b5-bf7c99ae8d4a@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230503
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 22, 10:20 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/21/2011 4:42 PM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in talk.politics.guns :
> >> If you look at the figures on this page, you will find, in 2007, in America:
>
> >> 1) The top 1% have 42.7 of the financial wealth.
>
> >> 2) The next 19% have 50.3% of the wealth.
>
> >> 3) The bottom 80% have 7.0% of the wealth.
>
> >> So, according to those figures, the top 20% of the population have 42.7
> >> + 50.3 = 93% of the financial wealth.
>
> >> This situation has worsened since 2007 ...
>
> >> I'd say we tax those top 20% of the population, what say all of you?
> > You're an idiot. That's what we all say.
>
> No, but you are one confused moron!
>

- It is the University of California at Santa Cruz
- who you are calling idiots.

Well Now... any Institution of Higher Learning
with 'Slug' {For Brains} as their Mascot is a
http://www.kohoso.us/images/ucsc.png
place that 'I' am not Banana's about. ~ RHF
http://www.ucsc.edu/about/mascot.html
-wrt- Sammy the Slug
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California,_Santa_Cruz#Mascot

>
> Again, you confuse the messenger with the message!

>
> Don't worry, it just takes some longer than others to figure it out ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

2577. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 18:08:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irbjfenaf1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230504
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On 5/22/2011 10:58 AM, RHF wrote:
> ...
> - It is the University of California at Santa Cruz
> - who you are calling idiots.
>
> Well Now... any Institution of Higher Learning
> with 'Slug' {For Brains} as their Mascot is a
> http://www.kohoso.us/images/ucsc.png
> place that 'I' am not Banana's about. ~ RHF
> http://www.ucsc.edu/about/mascot.html
> -wrt- Sammy the Slug
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California,_Santa_Cruz#Mascot
> ...

Since the figures are actually a summary of the federal reserve and
govt. figures, the ultimate message comes from them ... you will notice,
no one is able to come up with figures which dispute them -- that often
happens with the truth ...

Regards,
JS
```

2578. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 18:17:14 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irbjv8nag1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230505
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/22/2011 10:58 AM, RHF wrote:

>> ...

However, you might wish to digest some data from a couple of smart guys
at Harvard Business School and Duke University:

http://www.people.hbs.edu/mnorton/norton%20ariely%20in%20press.pdf

Again, truth, is truth, is the same truth ... however, the great debate
by debunkers goes on in the argument of "Kill the Damn Messenger!"

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2579. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 18:26:17 UTC
From: DCI <50bjdk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <aedb709f-6a64-4b48-8291-12aeb2bf7bb2@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230506
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 22, 10:15 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
>
> >> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
> >> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
>
> >>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
> >>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...

> >>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
> >>>>> says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
> >>>>>>> after
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
> >>>>> miracles
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
> >>>>>>>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
> >>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
> >>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
> >>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
> >>>>> true.
> >>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
> >>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
> >>>>>>>>>> either

> >>>>> case,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
> >>>>>>>>>>>> to
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
>
> >>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
> >>>>> moderator.
> >>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
> >>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)
> >>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
> >>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give
> >>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
> >>>>>>> unfounded
> >>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
> >>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
> >>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
> >>>>>>> would
> >>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
> >>>>>>>>>>> and
> >>>>>>> it
> >>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
> >>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
> >>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
> >>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
> >>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
> >>>>>> Nope.
> >>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
> >>>>> you believe that there is not.
> >>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
> >>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
> >>>> Got it now?
> >>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
> >>> deny that?
> >> Because you're wrong as usual.
> >>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
> >>>>>> belief.
> >>>>> That's an opinion.
> >>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
> >>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
> >>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
> >>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
> >> Nope.
> >>>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
> >>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
> >>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
> >>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
> >>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
> >>>> Then let's hear it.

> >>> They have already told you.
>
> >>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
>
> >>>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
> >>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
> >>>>>>> In your opinion.
> >>>>>> Fact
> >>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
> >>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
> >>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
> >> Prove there is a god.
> > No need, I don't claim that there is one.
>
> >> Then respond.
>
> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
>
> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>
> Regards,
> JS

Wouldn't it be a REAL story if after all these years, we found out the
Stephen Hawking, who has endured a life of severe multiple sclerosis
and needs an interpreter to translate a very mushed, almost
unintelligible speech, was actually saying nothing worth much.

DCI

2580. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 18:31:41 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bb35fbb0-c765-4d5d-b184-a4cb22809df0@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> Mighty Iarnrod proved:
>
> > Yes, he would have been
>
> No,

Yes.

2581. Re: Sorry Reality... 'The Cult of The-Racist' -holy-mantra-is- Obama Just Can't Be Our Prezzydent !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 18:33:40 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cf0eb97e-b217-4db6-ab72-4dabb9cabe45@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 21, 6:32 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 21, 1:09 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 20, 11:57 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"
>
> > <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> > > On 5/20/2011 10:37 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > > > On May 20, 8:06 am, "Warren E. Harrison"
> > > > <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> > > >> On 5/20/2011 5:17 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > > >>> On May 19, 11:16 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"
> > > >>> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> > > >>>> On 5/19/2011 8:22 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > > >>>>> On May 19, 9:21 am, "Warren E. Harrison"
> > > >>>>> <fuckwit's.da...@shitbags.con> wrote:
> > > >>>>>> On 5/17/2011 5:35 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>
> > > >>>>>>> On May 16, 11:24 pm, "Warren E. Harrison"wrote:
> > > >>>>>>>> On 5/16/2011 8:22 PM, Iarntard wrote:
>
> > > >>>>>>>>> On May 16, 12:35 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> > > >>>>>>>>>> Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts
>
> > > >>>>>>>>> Fuck that, kook, try working on the QUALITY of them!
>
> > > >>>>>>>>> <snicker>
>
> > > >>>>>>>> Obama would not,<<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>
>
> > > >>>>>>> Yes, he would.
>
> > > >>>>>> No, he would <<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>
>
> > > >>>>> That is an *additional* <<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>
>
> > > >>>> Yes, he would have been.
>

> > > >>>> Wrong.Had he <<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>
>
> > > >>> Yes, he would have been.
>
> > > >> No, <<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>
>
> > > > Yes, he would have been.
>
> > > No, <<T-H-W-A-P-!!>>
>
> > Yes, he would have been. This is not in dispute.
>
> > You have nothing but your racism to keep you warm at night.
>
> -once-again-we-are-treated-too- [sic]

Once again we are treated to rightarded illiteracy on top of ignorance.

2582. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 18:34:55 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irbl0dnag2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230509
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/22/2011 11:26 AM, DCI wrote:
> On May 22, 10:15 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
>>>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
>>>>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> says...

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
>>>>>>>>> after
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
>>>>>>> miracles
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
>>>>>>> true.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either
>>>>>>> case,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
>>>>>>> moderator.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give

>>>>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
>>>>>>>>> unfounded
>>>>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
>>>>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
>>>>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
>>>>>>>>> would
>>>>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
>>>>>>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
>>>>>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
>>>>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
>>>>>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
>>>>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
>>>>>>>> Nope.
>>>>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
>>>>>>> you believe that there is not.
>>>>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
>>>>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
>>>>>> Got it now?
>>>>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
>>>>> deny that?
>>>> Because you're wrong as usual.
>>>>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
>>>>>>>> belief.
>>>>>>> That's an opinion.
>>>>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
>>>>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
>>>>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
>>>>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
>>>> Nope.
>>>>>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
>>>>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
>>>>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
>>>>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
>>>>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
>>>>>> Then let's hear it.
>>>>> They have already told you.
>>>>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
>>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
>>>>>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
>>>>>>>>> In your opinion.
>>>>>>>> Fact
>>>>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
>>>>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
>>>>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
>>>> Prove there is a god.
>>> No need, I don't claim that there is one.
>>>> Then respond.
>> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.

>>
>> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> Wouldn't it be a REAL story if after all these years, we found out the
> Stephen Hawking, who has endured a life of severe multiple sclerosis
> and needs an interpreter to translate a very mushed, almost
> unintelligible speech, was actually saying nothing worth much.
>
> DCI

Isn't it something that people can be told what hawkings is saying, and
believe it?

Regards,
JS

2583. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 18:35:39 UTC
From: Colonel Polyps <hiccum@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <cadda372-9db8-4716-b6d6-d9aa532bc849@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230510
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 20, 5:22 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "Iarnrod" <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:21f41bee-2c30-4e47-b3da-9cfea43b84d8@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com...
>
> > On May 19, 6:19 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >> All your photo shows is a leaning top so maybe the next blast
> >> countered that lean and made the builing straighten up before hitting
> >> the ground.
>
> > BWAAAAAHAHAHAAAAHAHAHAAA!!!! WrongTurnJoe be smoking some HEAVY DUTY
> > crack!!
>
> Well, you could look at the video from which I took this frame, which shows
> that it didn't "magically" straighten back up, or you could look at the
> debris field which extended quite a extensive distance from the "footprint"
> that the building clearly didn't fall into.
>
> >> How can you assume it didnot collapse in its own
> >> footprints,
>
> Why do you continue to assume and assert that it did when we have
> photographic PROOF that it didn't?
>
> > Umm, because of the huge mess that had to be cleaned up that covered
> > multiple BLOCKS and which destroyed ADJACENT BUILDINGS? What the fuck
> > kind of footprint did this building HAVE, WrongTurnJoe? Bozo the
> > Clown's?
>
> >> you must show every frame till the fall has stopped to say
> >> that.
>
> > No, you look at it after it all stops falling, kookazoid.
>
> Well, you could look at the video, which shows it fell in the manner shown
> (ie well outside of it's "footprint") but that would require someone who
> would actually look at the known facts and evidence. Except Joe is suppose
> to be such an "expert" since he claims to know ALL about 9/11 and how it
> REALLY occurred. Seems to me as if at this point Joe is all but admitting he

> doesn't even know the basic facts considering what is known to have occurred
> and as such one would have to question his knowledge into the realm of
> knowing all the other things he asserts to know.

I still want the monitoring device out of my back Richard Nixon and
the CIA stuck there when George H w Bush kidnapped and tortured me in
1982 Florida Keys during German Chancellors Zionist appointment.

2584. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 18:39:01 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irbl83$691$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230511
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/22/2011 10:31 AM, Dave LaRue wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> On 5/21/2011 4:42 PM, Klaus Schadenfreude wrote:
>>
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in talk.politics.guns :
>>>> If you look at the figures on this page, you will find, in 2007, in
>>>> America:
>>>>
>>>> 1) The top 1% have 42.7 of the financial wealth.
>>>>
>>>> 2) The next 19% have 50.3% of the wealth.
>>>>
>>>> 3) The bottom 80% have 7.0% of the wealth.
>>>>
>>>> So, according to those figures, the top 20% of the population have
>>>> 42.7
>>>> + 50.3 = 93% of the financial wealth.
>>>>
>>>> This situation has worsened since 2007 ...
>>>>
>>>> I'd say we tax those top 20% of the population, what say all of you?
>>>
>>> You're an idiot. That's what we all say.
>>
>>
>> No, but you are one confused moron!
>>
>> It is the University of California at Santa Cruz who you are calling
>> idiots.
>
>
> Your point, you retard?
>
>> Again, you confuse the messenger with the message!
>
> No, we know yer a retard.

I believe your text says everything necessary. ROFLOL!!!

Regards,
JS

2585. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 19:07:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DD95EDB-2710@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230512

Time for another GOLDEN COME-A-LONG Award.

Bit, I believe some of what Stephen Hawking seys issa dur tooth.
cuhulin

2586. WWRB on 15,795 kHz @ 1709 UTC with the Overcomer Ministry and Brother Stair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 19:20:37 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d05966f9-7073-4ab3-a177-0d2a1764d006@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230513

WWRB on 15,795 kHz @ 1709 UTC with the
Overcomer Ministry and Brother Stair
on 22 Mayo 2011 also 21 Mayo 2011 @ 22:30 UTC

S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 545 and Good Audio

Hearing the "Overcomer Ministry" Program with
Brother Stair talking about this new 15,795 kHz
Frequency to the Middle East and North Africa
-playing- an NPR 'Clip' @ 1826~1833 UTC
.
International Shortwave Radio Station "WWRB"
http://www.wwrb.org/
-from- Morrison, Tennessee -USA-
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WWRB
.
WWRB Shortwave Transmitter #4 on 15,795 kHz
using a Dual Feed Reflector Curtain Antenna that
is aimed at 90* to the East : 6 AM to 9 PM EDT
1000~0100 UTC
http://www.wwrb.org/pguide.php
.
eMail Shortwave Radio Station "WWRB"
http://www.wwrb.org/contact.php
.
On-Line 'Streaming Audio' from "WWRB"
http://www.wwrb.org/wwrbonline.php
.
"Overcomer Ministry" Program & Brother Stair
http://www.overcomerministry.org/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Overcomer_Ministry#Overcomer_Ministry
.
"Overcomer Ministry" Shortwave Radio Stations
including Times and Frequencies
ftp://www.overcomerministry.org/RadioSchedule/Short%20Wave%20Radio.html
.
Radio Check -on-line- "Overcomer Ministry"
http://www.overcomerministry.org/RadioCheck.html
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.

Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2587. Re: Appreciation - QSL for AFN Diego Garcia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 19:40:43 UTC
From: hallicrafter@collins.net
Message-ID: <pdpit6hqvudso360s127h405vsl6heeq3h@4ax.com>
Source record: 230514

On Sun, 22 May 2011 10:02:11 -0700 (PDT), bpnjensen
<bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote:

>On Apr 29, 6:45ï¿½pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Unless I am greatly mistaken, I am hearingDiegoGarcia BIOT on 12759
>> kHz at 0144 UTC on April 30, 2011. ï¿½Similar conditions to yesterday.
>> Impossible to catch specific concepts, but it surely sounds like an OM
>> ranting on in EE.
>>
>> Bruce J., California
>
>As I mentioned earlier, I obtained a QSL card for reception of this
>AFN Diego Garcia transmission on April 30, 2011. Needless to say, and
>am very pleased and thrilled with this card, as this mere 3 kW (?)
>omnidirectional station is the most nearly antipodal low-power station
>I have managed to dig out of the suburban QRM at my home. I know lots
>of other folks have gotten this QSL, but for me, receipt of this QSL
>is a significant part of fulfilling one of my many lifetime hobby
>dreams.
>
>I received worthy and important guidance from several people, without
>which I would not have heard and recorded the detail of this station
>at the time I did, and which I acknowledge here -
>
>To RHF (Roy) for bringing up the subject of AFN on 12579 at
>approximately the right time, and following up with additional
>relevant communiques - his tip-off is greatly appreciated. Thanks,
>Roy!
>
>To dxAce (Steve) for publicly noting the time when it was transmitting
>and providing valuable insight into nailing down enough detail to net
>this station. Thanks Steve!
>
>...and to Victor Goonetilleke of Sri Lanka, who kindly confirmed that
>AFN Diego Garcia was indeed transmitting at the moment I heard them
>despite the time parameters listed in their A11 schedule. This helped
>me to be confident that I was not hearing an image or mixing product
>rather than the station. Thanks Victor!
>
>Bruce Jensen

Great job Bruce.

Diego Garcia is one of my favorite amateur QSL's. Mainly because I
made the contact with under 100 watts (SSB).

Jim (MI)

2588. Re: RFI & router

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 19:42:50 UTC
From: "Chester A. Arthur" <deadprez@whitehouse.com>
Message-ID: <o4mdnbi3xOqn-kTQnZ2dnUVZ_iydnZ2d@posted.cavenetllc>
Source record: 230515

On Sun, 22 May 2011 07:55:13 -0700, dave wrote:
> PS Why are there no streets named after you?

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arthur_Avenue

2589. Re: Appreciation - QSL for AFN Diego Garcia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 19:48:30 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3f70815d-bc2e-4d87-81e9-76dc5a15c75f@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230516

On May 22, 12:40 pm, hallicraf...@collins.net wrote:
> On Sun, 22 May 2011 10:02:11 -0700 (PDT), bpnjensen
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >On Apr 29, 6:45 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Unless I am greatly mistaken, I am hearingDiegoGarcia BIOT on 12759
> >> kHz at 0144 UTC on April 30, 2011. Similar conditions to yesterday.
> >> Impossible to catch specific concepts, but it surely sounds like an OM
> >> ranting on in EE.
>
> >> Bruce J., California
>
> >As I mentioned earlier, I obtained a QSL card for reception of this
> >AFN Diego Garcia transmission on April 30, 2011. Needless to say, and
> >am very pleased and thrilled with this card, as this mere 3 kW (?)
> >omnidirectional station is the most nearly antipodal low-power station
> >I have managed to dig out of the suburban QRM at my home. I know lots
> >of other folks have gotten this QSL, but for me, receipt of this QSL
> >is a significant part of fulfilling one of my many lifetime hobby
> >dreams.
>
> >I received worthy and important guidance from several people, without
> >which I would not have heard and recorded the detail of this station
> >at the time I did, and which I acknowledge here -
>
> >To RHF (Roy) for bringing up the subject of AFN on 12579 at
> >approximately the right time, and following up with additional
> >relevant communiques - his tip-off is greatly appreciated. Thanks,
> >Roy!
>
> >To dxAce (Steve) for publicly noting the time when it was transmitting
> >and providing valuable insight into nailing down enough detail to net
> >this station. Thanks Steve!
>
> >...and to Victor Goonetilleke of Sri Lanka, who kindly confirmed that
> >AFN Diego Garcia was indeed transmitting at the moment I heard them

> >despite the time parameters listed in their A11 schedule. This helped
> >me to be confident that I was not hearing an image or mixing product
> >rather than the station. Thanks Victor!
>
> >Bruce Jensen
>
> Great job Bruce.
>
> Diego Garcia is one of my favorite amateur QSL's. Mainly because I
> made the contact with under 100 watts (SSB).
>
> Jim (MI)

Awesome! Was that voice or CW / RTTY?

2590. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 19:49:31 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d40cefb0-1f54-4506-aaaf-ee1a4dc3409d@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230517
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 22, 11:26 am, DCI <50b...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On May 22, 10:15 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> > > Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > >news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
>
> > >> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
> > >> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> > >>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > >>>news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
>
> > >>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
> > >>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> > >>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > >>>>>news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
>
> > >>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
> > >>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> > >>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > >>>>>>>news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
>
> > >>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
> > >>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> > >>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > >>>>>>>>>news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
>
> > >>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
> > >>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
>

> > >>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> > >>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...
> > >>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> > >>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
>
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:
>
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
> > >>>>> says...
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
>
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
> > >>>>>>> after
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
> > >>>>> miracles
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
> > >>>>> the
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
> > >>>>> true.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in

> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>> either
> > >>>>> case,
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> to
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
>
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
> > >>>>> moderator.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)
> > >>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
> > >>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give
> > >>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
> > >>>>>>> unfounded
> > >>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
> > >>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
> > >>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
> > >>>>>>> would
> > >>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
> > >>>>>>>>>>> and
> > >>>>>>> it
> > >>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
> > >>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
> > >>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
> > >>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
> > >>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
> > >>>>>> Nope.
> > >>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
> > >>>>> you believe that there is not.
> > >>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
> > >>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
> > >>>> Got it now?
> > >>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
> > >>> deny that?
> > >> Because you're wrong as usual.
> > >>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
> > >>>>>> belief.
> > >>>>> That's an opinion.
> > >>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
> > >>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
> > >>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
> > >>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
> > >> Nope.
> > >>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
> > >>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
> > >>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
> > >>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.

> > >>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
> > >>>> Then let's hear it.
> > >>> They have already told you.
>
> > >>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
>
> > >>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
> > >>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
> > >>>>>> In your opinion.
> > >>>>> Fact
> > >>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
> > >>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
> > >>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
> > >> Prove there is a god.
> > > No need, I don't claim that there is one.
>
> > >> Then respond.
>
> > I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
>
> > So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Wouldn't it be a REAL story if after all these years, we found out the
> Stephen Hawking, who has endured a life of severe multiple sclerosis
> and needs an interpreter to translate a very mushed, almost
> unintelligible speech, was actually saying nothing worth much.
>
> DCI

You wish.

2591. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 19:50:16 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9a5d1e8f-9a9d-4c1b-8dbe-171296fcd2a9@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 22, 10:15 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
>
> >> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
> >> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
>
> >>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
> >>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...

> >>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
> >>>>> says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
> >>>>>>> after
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
> >>>>> miracles
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
> >>>>>>>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
> >>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
> >>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
> >>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
> >>>>> true.
> >>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
> >>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
> >>>>>>>>>> either

> >>>>> case,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
> >>>>>>>>>>>> to
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
>
> >>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
> >>>>> moderator.
> >>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
> >>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)
> >>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
> >>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give
> >>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
> >>>>>>> unfounded
> >>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
> >>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
> >>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
> >>>>>>> would
> >>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
> >>>>>>>>>>> and
> >>>>>>> it
> >>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
> >>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
> >>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
> >>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
> >>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
> >>>>>> Nope.
> >>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
> >>>>> you believe that there is not.
> >>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
> >>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
> >>>> Got it now?
> >>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
> >>> deny that?
> >> Because you're wrong as usual.
> >>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
> >>>>>> belief.
> >>>>> That's an opinion.
> >>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
> >>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
> >>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
> >>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
> >> Nope.
> >>>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
> >>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
> >>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
> >>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
> >>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
> >>>> Then let's hear it.

> >>> They have already told you.
>
> >>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
>
> >>>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
> >>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
> >>>>>>> In your opinion.
> >>>>>> Fact
> >>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
> >>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
> >>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
> >> Prove there is a god.
> > No need, I don't claim that there is one.
>
> >> Then respond.
>
> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
>
> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>
> Regards,
> JS

All I can do is shake my head at this statement.

2592. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 19:54:54 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7bed7a11-3516-443a-9700-e9531d69a6b2@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 22, 10:15 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
>
> >> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
> >> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
>
> >>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
> >>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...

> >>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
> >>>>> says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
> >>>>>>> after
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
> >>>>> miracles
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
> >>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
> >>>>> true.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either

> >>>>> case,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
> >>>>>>>>>>>> to
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
>
> >>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
> >>>>> moderator.
> >>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
> >>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)
> >>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
> >>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give
> >>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
> >>>>>>> unfounded
> >>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
> >>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
> >>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
> >>>>>>> would
> >>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
> >>>>>>>>>>> and
> >>>>>>> it
> >>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
> >>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
> >>>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
> >>>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
> >>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
> >>>>>> Nope.
> >>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
> >>>>> you believe that there is not.
> >>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
> >>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
> >>>> Got it now?
> >>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
> >>> deny that?
> >> Because you're wrong as usual.
> >>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
> >>>>>> belief.
> >>>>> That's an opinion.
> >>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
> >>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
> >>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
> >>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
> >> Nope.
> >>>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
> >>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
> >>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
> >>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
> >>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
> >>>> Then let's hear it.

> >>> They have already told you.
>
> >>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
>
> >>>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
> >>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
> >>>>>>> In your opinion.
> >>>>>> Fact
> >>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
> >>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
> >>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
> >> Prove there is a god.
> > No need, I don't claim that there is one.
>
> >> Then respond.
>
> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
>
> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>
> Regards,
> JS

Just because your opinion is that DNA is proof of intelligent design
does not make it so, oh completely scientifically ignorant one. So,
the second assertion is without basis at all.

The afterlife is a myth. It is the exact definition of a myth. There
is no evidence, let alone proof, whatsoever. As far as we know, when
you die, you DIE. The energy in your chemical bonds goes back in the
environment and that's that. Fairy story is a derogatory way to put
it, but basically correct. Whether you choose to believe it depends
on how much you love and/or fear God.

Bruce Jensen

2593. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 19:56:24 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c766e0a1-2575-4ef2-be1b-57a2473ca44b@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 21, 4:29 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> If you look at the figures on this page, you will find, in 2007, in America:
>
> 1) The top 1% have 42.7 of the financial wealth.
>
> 2) The next 19% have 50.3% of the wealth.
>
> 3) The bottom 80% have 7.0% of the wealth.
>
> So, according to those figures, the top 20% of the population have 42.7
> + 50.3 = 93% of the financial wealth.
>
> This situation has worsened since 2007 ...
>
> I'd say we tax those top 20% of the population, what say all of you?
>
> Regards,
> JS

I'm in the top 20% , and I say go for it. I don't mind a bit.

2594. Re: Appreciation - QSL for AFN Diego Garcia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 19:56:37 UTC
From: hallicrafter@collins.net
Message-ID: <ccqit65g80ihrvsg1p094hngb30ih0kp0e@4ax.com>
Source record: 230520

On Sun, 22 May 2011 12:48:30 -0700 (PDT), bpnjensen
<bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote:

>On May 22, 12:40ï¿½pm, hallicraf...@collins.net wrote:
>> On Sun, 22 May 2011 10:02:11 -0700 (PDT), bpnjensen
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >On Apr 29, 6:45ï¿½pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> Unless I am greatly mistaken, I am hearingDiegoGarcia BIOT on 12759
>> >> kHz at 0144 UTC on April 30, 2011. ï¿½Similar conditions to yesterday.
>> >> Impossible to catch specific concepts, but it surely sounds like an OM
>> >> ranting on in EE.
>>
>> >> Bruce J., California
>>
>> >As I mentioned earlier, I obtained a QSL card for reception of this
>> >AFN Diego Garcia transmission on April 30, 2011. ï¿½Needless to say, and
>> >am very pleased and thrilled with this card, as this mere 3 kW (?)
>> >omnidirectional station is the most nearly antipodal low-power station
>> >I have managed to dig out of the suburban QRM at my home. ï¿½I know lots
>> >of other folks have gotten this QSL, but for me, receipt of this QSL
>> >is a significant part of fulfilling one of my many lifetime hobby
>> >dreams.
>>
>> >I received worthy and important guidance from several people, without
>> >which I would not have heard and recorded the detail of this station
>> >at the time I did, and which I acknowledge here -
>>
>> >To RHF (Roy) for bringing up the subject of AFN on 12579 at
>> >approximately the right time, and following up with additional
>> >relevant communiques - his tip-off is greatly appreciated. ï¿½Thanks,
>> >Roy!
>>
>> >To dxAce (Steve) for publicly noting the time when it was transmitting
>> >and providing valuable insight into nailing down enough detail to net
>> >this station. ï¿½Thanks Steve!

>>
>> >...and to Victor Goonetilleke of Sri Lanka, who kindly confirmed that
>> >AFN Diego Garcia was indeed transmitting at the moment I heard them
>> >despite the time parameters listed in their A11 schedule. ï¿½This helped
>> >me to be confident that I was not hearing an image or mixing product
>> >rather than the station. ï¿½Thanks Victor!
>>
>> >Bruce Jensen
>>
>> Great job Bruce.
>>
>> Diego Garcia is one of my favorite amateur QSL's. Mainly because I
>> made the contact with under 100 watts (SSB).
>>
>> Jim (MI)
>
>Awesome! Was that voice or CW / RTTY?

SSB Voice. A VQ9 prefix as I recall. At first I thought it was a
Canadian station. Lucky I called him and asked for his location.

Jim (MI)

2595. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 19:56:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irbppk$6o1$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/22/2011 12:50 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 22, 10:15 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
>>>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
>>>>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> says...

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
>>>>>>>>> after
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
>>>>>>> miracles
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
>>>>>>> true.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either
>>>>>>> case,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
>>>>>>> moderator.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give

>>>>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
>>>>>>>>> unfounded
>>>>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
>>>>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
>>>>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
>>>>>>>>> would
>>>>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
>>>>>>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
>>>>>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
>>>>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
>>>>>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
>>>>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
>>>>>>>>> Nope.
>>>>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
>>>>>>> you believe that there is not.
>>>>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
>>>>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
>>>>>> Got it now?
>>>>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
>>>>> deny that?
>>>> Because you're wrong as usual.
>>>>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
>>>>>>>> belief.
>>>>>>> That's an opinion.
>>>>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
>>>>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
>>>>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
>>>>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
>>>> Nope.
>>>>>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
>>>>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
>>>>>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
>>>>>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
>>>>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
>>>>>> Then let's hear it.
>>>>> They have already told you.
>>>>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
>>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
>>>>>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
>>>>>>>>> In your opinion.
>>>>>>>>> Fact
>>>>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
>>>>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
>>>>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
>>>> Prove there is a god.
>>> No need, I don't claim that there is one.
>>>> Then respond.
>> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.

>>
>> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> All I can do is shake my head at this statement.

That is all you ever do, don't try to fool the morons!

Regards,
JS

2596. Re: CKZN?CKZU : CBC#1 on 6160 kHz @ 0715 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 19:58:15 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d881d6d0-a3ed-440c-ad8e-f5ef8b9a33c0@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230523

On May 22, 10:44 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 22, 10:11 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 18, 1:19 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > CKZN?CKZU : CBC#1 on 6160 kHz @ 0715 UTC
> > > on 15 March 2011
> > > "?" I Did not get a real ID of either CKZN or CKZU
> > > Local News/Weather Details to make a judgement
> > > as to which one -or- both ?
> > > -wrt- The "Echo" of the 'CBC News' ID at the TOH
>
> > > S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 444 and good audio
>
> > Thanks - I also continue to note these two stations overlapping on
> > 6160; it makes for an interesting comparison - sometimes they seem to
> > carry the same program (and you get an echo effect), other times they
> > beat up on each other with different programs.
>
> > Bruce Jensen - CA, USA
>
> BpnJ,
>
> The Top-of-the-Hour [TOH] News seems to have
> the 'Echo' as it is current and timely : Most
> likely an "Real-Time" direct feed from the CBC.
>
> The remain Hourly Programing is "Time-Shifted"
> for the Daily & Over-Night Programing for the
> 'Local' Area and 'Local' Time :
>
> CKZN from St. John's, Newfoundland :
UTC-3.5http://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/city.html?n=175
>
> CKZU from Vancouver, British Colombia :
UTC-7http://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/city.html?n=256

>
> The Local Weather a/o Traffic Report or the
> Local Station ID can make it easier.
>
> NOTE @ 5~8 AM PST/PDT you should usually hear
> the 'Local' CBU-AM 690 kHz Vancouver ID Info.
>
> ~ RHF
> .

Well that explains it - thanks!

2597. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 19:59:47 UTC
From: DCI <50bjdk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <76f8bb7d-8d1e-4a97-9f05-016686d575e2@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230524
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 22, 10:15 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
>
> >> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
> >> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
>
> >>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
> >>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...

> >>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
> >>>>> says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
> >>>>>>> after
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
> >>>>> miracles
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
> >>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
> >>>>> true.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either

> >>>>> case,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
> >>>>>>>>>>>> to
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
>
> >>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
> >>>>> moderator.
> >>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
> >>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)
> >>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
> >>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give
> >>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
> >>>>>>> unfounded
> >>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
> >>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
> >>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
> >>>>>>> would
> >>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
> >>>>>>>>>>> and
> >>>>>>> it
> >>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
> >>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
> >>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
> >>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
> >>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
> >>>>>> Nope.
> >>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
> >>>>> you believe that there is not.
> >>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
> >>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
> >>>> Got it now?
> >>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
> >>> deny that?
> >> Because you're wrong as usual.
> >>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
> >>>>>> belief.
> >>>>> That's an opinion.
> >>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
> >>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
> >>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
> >>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
> >> Nope.
> >>>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
> >>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
> >>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
> >>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
> >>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
> >>>> Then let's hear it.

> >>> They have already told you.
>
> >>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
>
> >>>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
> >>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
> >>>>>>> In your opinion.
> >>>>>> Fact
> >>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
> >>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
> >>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
> >> Prove there is a god.
> > No need, I don't claim that there is one.
>
> >> Then respond.
>
> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
>
> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>
> Regards,
> JS

John, I can't say anthing about DNA being proof of intelligent design,
etc. But for sure I can point often to the DNA being the basis of a
lot of mistakes within the concept of intelligent design. :)

DCI

2598. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 20:00:31 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irbq0s$6o1$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/22/2011 12:54 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 22, 10:15 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
>>>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
>>>>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> says...

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
>>>>>>>>> after
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
>>>>>>> miracles
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
>>>>>>> true.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either
>>>>>>> case,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
>>>>>>> moderator.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give

>>>>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
>>>>>>>>> unfounded
>>>>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
>>>>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
>>>>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
>>>>>>>>> would
>>>>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
>>>>>>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
>>>>>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
>>>>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
>>>>>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
>>>>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
>>>>>>>>> Nope.
>>>>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
>>>>>>> you believe that there is not.
>>>>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
>>>>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
>>>>>> Got it now?
>>>>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
>>>>> deny that?
>>>> Because you're wrong as usual.
>>>>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
>>>>>>>> belief.
>>>>>>> That's an opinion.
>>>>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
>>>>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
>>>>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
>>>>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
>>>> Nope.
>>>>>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
>>>>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
>>>>>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
>>>>>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
>>>>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
>>>>>> Then let's hear it.
>>>>> They have already told you.
>>>>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
>>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
>>>>>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
>>>>>>>>> In your opinion.
>>>>>>>>> Fact
>>>>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
>>>>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
>>>>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
>>>> Prove there is a god.
>>> No need, I don't claim that there is one.
>>>> Then respond.
>> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.

>>
>> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> Just because your opinion is that DNA is proof of intelligent design
> does not make it so, oh completely scientifically ignorant one. So,
> the second assertion is without basis at all.
>
> The afterlife is a myth. It is the exact definition of a myth. There
> is no evidence, let alone proof, whatsoever. As far as we know, when
> you die, you DIE. The energy in your chemical bonds goes back in the
> environment and that's that. Fairy story is a derogatory way to put
> it, but basically correct. Whether you choose to believe it depends
> on how much you love and/or fear God.
>
> Bruce Jensen

Yes, I see examples of what you speak about, EVERYWHERE!

In my spare time, I take a handful of glass, plastic, wood, metal, etc.,
toss 'em in a mud puddle, come back in a few million (or billion) years
and start digging up microwaves, TVs, cell phones, etc., it is
"spontaneous generation", only fools don't believe in it ... ROFLOL

Of course, since the coding of DNA is much more complex than any
computer program ever written, it might take a few more billions of
years to get it to "Spontaneously Generate" -- morons, don't you just
love them???

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2599. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 20:01:24 UTC
From: DCI <50bjdk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0a39e651-adb4-43f6-8a2d-5a7b446eaa88@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230526
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 22, 12:49 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 22, 11:26 am, DCI <50b...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > On May 22, 10:15 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
>
> > > So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
>
> > Wouldn't it be a REAL story if after all these years, we found out the
> > Stephen Hawking, who has endured a life of severe multiple sclerosis
> > and needs an interpreter to translate a very mushed, almost
> > unintelligible speech, was actually saying nothing worth much.
>
> > DCI
>
> You wish.

2600. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 20:03:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irbq62$6o1$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230527
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy

On 5/22/2011 12:59 PM, DCI wrote:
> ...
> John, I can't say anthing about DNA being proof of intelligent design,
> etc. But for sure I can point often to the DNA being the basis of a
> lot of mistakes within the concept of intelligent design. :)
>
> DCI

Quite often, the student will find fault in the
teachers/engineers/creators design(s.) Most often, it is the student
who is in error ...

Regards,
JS

2601. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 20:06:42 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irbqcf$6o1$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns

On 5/22/2011 12:56 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 21, 4:29 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> If you look at the figures on this page, you will find, in 2007, in America:
>>
>> 1) The top 1% have 42.7 of the financial wealth.
>>
>> 2) The next 19% have 50.3% of the wealth.
>>
>> 3) The bottom 80% have 7.0% of the wealth.
>>
>> So, according to those figures, the top 20% of the population have 42.7
>> + 50.3 = 93% of the financial wealth.
>>
>> This situation has worsened since 2007 ...
>>
>> I'd say we tax those top 20% of the population, what say all of you?
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> I'm in the top 20% , and I say go for it. I don't mind a bit.

ROFLOL

But a good example of the real problem you face -- your delusions.

Regards,
JS

2602. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 20:07:02 UTC
From: DCI <50bjdk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5ff86f68-5e65-44aa-a4da-1b62a57403d7@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 22, 12:56 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/22/2011 12:50 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 22, 10:15 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> >>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
> >>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
> >>>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
> >>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
> >>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
> >>>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
> >>>>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:

> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
> >>>>>>> says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
> >>>>>>>>> after
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
> >>>>>>> miracles
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
> >>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
> >>>>>>> true.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either
> >>>>>>> case,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> to
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
> >>>>>>> moderator.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)

> >>>>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
> >>>>>>>>> unfounded
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
> >>>>>>>>> would
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> and
> >>>>>>>>> it
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
> >>>>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
> >>>>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
> >>>>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
> >>>>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
> >>>>>>>> Nope.
> >>>>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
> >>>>>>> you believe that there is not.
> >>>>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
> >>>>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
> >>>>>> Got it now?
> >>>>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
> >>>>> deny that?
> >>>> Because you're wrong as usual.
> >>>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
> >>>>>>> belief.
> >>>>>>> That's an opinion.
> >>>>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
> >>>>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
> >>>>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
> >>>>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
> >>>> Nope.
> >>>>>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
> >>>>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
> >>>>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
> >>>>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
> >>>>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
> >>>>>> Then let's hear it.
> >>>>> They have already told you.
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
> >>>>>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
> >>>>>>>>> In your opinion.
> >>>>>>>> Fact
> >>>>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
> >>>>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
> >>>>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
> >>>> Prove there is a god.
> >>> No need, I don't claim that there is one.

> >>>> Then respond.
> >> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
>
> >> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > All I can do is shake my head at this statement.
>
> That is all you ever do, don't try to fool the morons!
>
> Regards,
> JS

John, please do not insult the morons. :)

DCI

2603. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 20:07:19 UTC
From: DCI <50bjdk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <cfedbb6b-b529-4e65-9081-b72ade627e48@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230530
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 22, 12:49 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 22, 11:26 am, DCI <50b...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> > On May 22, 10:15 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> > > I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
>
> > > So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
>
> > Wouldn't it be a REAL story if after all these years, we found out the
> > Stephen Hawking, who has endured a life of severe multiple sclerosis
> > and needs an interpreter to translate a very mushed, almost
> > unintelligible speech, was actually saying nothing worth much.
>
> > DCI
>
> You wish.

??????????? What?! I made no wish, attribution or prediction. Can you
clarify your comment.

Thank you in advance.

DCI

2604. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 20:08:01 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5da3db95-4ef5-4677-956c-2983e9c14f83@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230531

On May 22, 12:41 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Top Gear, U.S.version on the History channel.Why do they always make a
> coffee table using a cylinder block? and a piston upside down for an ash
> tray, like some people do.Some 1950s Ford cars had two windows mounted
> on the hood so people could see the smokey grimey dirty engine without
> lifting the hood.I saw one parked on Capitol Street back in the 1950s
> when I went to see a movie down town.
> That is soooooooo Tacky ass!
>
> My coffee table is a regular coffee table that looks like a coffee table
> is suppose to look like.My cig ash tray is an old Tuna can, much Better
> than a steenkin piston any day.
>
> Say Nite Nite doggy.
> WOO WOO WOOF!
> cuhulin

You should get your self a litterbox and drink the cat shit directly
from it.Buying coffee beans eaten by mearcats and boiling their dung
to inhance your tastes is quite a stretch ,dont you think?

The master deceptionist!

http://educate-yourself.org/mc/illumformula5Bchap.shtml

WOOF WOOF

2605. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 20:08:31 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irbqfs$6o1$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/22/2011 1:07 PM, DCI wrote:
> On May 22, 12:56 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/22/2011 12:50 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 22, 10:15 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
>>>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
>>>>>>>> says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
>>>>>>>>>>> after
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
>>>>>>>>> miracles
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
>>>>>>>>> true.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either
>>>>>>>>> case,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
>>>>>>>>> moderator.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)

>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
>>>>>>>>>>> unfounded
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
>>>>>>>>>>> would
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
>>>>>>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
>>>>>>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
>>>>>>>>>> Nope.
>>>>>>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
>>>>>>>>> you believe that there is not.
>>>>>>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
>>>>>>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
>>>>>>>> Got it now?
>>>>>>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
>>>>>>> deny that?
>>>>>> Because you're wrong as usual.
>>>>>>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
>>>>>>>>>> belief.
>>>>>>>>> That's an opinion.
>>>>>>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
>>>>>>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
>>>>>>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
>>>>>>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
>>>>>> Nope.
>>>>>>>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
>>>>>>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
>>>>>>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
>>>>>>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
>>>>>>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
>>>>>>>> Then let's hear it.
>>>>>>> They have already told you.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
>>>>>>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
>>>>>>>>>>> In your opinion.
>>>>>>>>>> Fact
>>>>>>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
>>>>>>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
>>>>>>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
>>>>>> Prove there is a god.
>>>>> No need, I don't claim that there is one.

>>>>>> Then respond.
>>>> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
>>>> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>> All I can do is shake my head at this statement.
>> That is all you ever do, don't try to fool the morons!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> John, please do not insult the morons. :)
>
> DCI

ROFLOL!!!

I stand corrected!

Regards,
JS

2606. Radio Rossii on 5930 & 5940 kHz @ 1220 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 20:14:35 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <09049c4e-6ce0-4e88-b258-c6329b7f46bd@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230533

Radio Rossii on 5930 & 5940 kHz @ 1220 UTC
on 22 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S9+10dB with SIO 555 and Good Audio
for 5930 kHz
-&-
S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 454 and good audio
for 5930 kHz from Petropavlovsk-Kamchatskiy : RFE*
.
S-Meter : S9+10dB with SIO 555 and Good Audio
for 5930 kHz from Magadan : RFE*

Note - Both 5930 & 5940 kHz have the same Audio
Content Male Voice in Russian playing some Songs
in English.
.
* RFE = Russian Far East
http://wikitravel.org/en/Northeastern_Russia .
.
Radio Rossii
http://www.radiorus.ru/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Rossii
.
Radio Rossii Frequencies and Sites Currently On-the-Air
http://www.short-wave.info/index.php?station=R.Rossii&language=&now=04&now2=04&submit=GO
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2607. Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story : OK Where Is His Expertise ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 20:32:26 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <de45686b-25fa-4a15-b279-d1272b6142a7@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230534
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 22, 10:15 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
>
> >> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
> >> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
>
> >>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
> >>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...

> >>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
> >>>>> says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
> >>>>>>> after
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
> >>>>> miracles
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
> >>>>>>>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
> >>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
> >>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
> >>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
> >>>>> true.
> >>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
> >>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
> >>>>>>>>>> either

> >>>>> case,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
> >>>>>>>>>>>> to
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
>
> >>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
> >>>>> moderator.
> >>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
> >>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)
> >>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
> >>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give
> >>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
> >>>>>>> unfounded
> >>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
> >>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
> >>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
> >>>>>>> would
> >>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
> >>>>>>>>>>> and
> >>>>>>> it
> >>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
> >>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
> >>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
> >>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
> >>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
> >>>>>> Nope.
> >>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
> >>>>> you believe that there is not.
> >>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
> >>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
> >>>> Got it now?
> >>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
> >>> deny that?
> >> Because you're wrong as usual.
> >>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
> >>>>>> belief.
> >>>>> That's an opinion.
> >>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
> >>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
> >>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
> >>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
> >> Nope.
> >>>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
> >>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
> >>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
> >>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
> >>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
> >>>> Then let's hear it.

> >>> They have already told you.
>
> >>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
>
> >>>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
> >>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
> >>>>>>> In your opinion.
> >>>>>> Fact
> >>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
> >>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
> >>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
> >> Prove there is a god.
> > No need, I don't claim that there is one.
>
> >> Then respond.
>
> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
>
> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>
> Regards,
> JS

JS,

God and an After-Life are NOT a matter of
Logic and Intellect : They Are a Matter of
Faith and The Power of Belief.

Stephen Hawking may in-deed be a Master of Logic...

Stephen Hawking may in-deed be a Great Mind...

The Question Becomes on any pronouncement -wrt-
God and an After-Life by Stephen Hawking :

Is Stephen Hawking a Man-of-Faith ? ? ?
-?-where-are-stephen-hawking's-credentials-wrt-faith-?-

Is Stephen Hawking a Believer ? ? ?
-?-where-are-stephen-hawking's-credentials-
-wrt-the-power-of-belief-?-

one wonders... is 'knowing-in-your-heart'
the same as knowing in your mind . . .
and that is something to think about ~ RHF
.
.

2608. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 20:32:48 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irbrtdhqr1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230535
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/22/2011 1:25 PM, Kevin Cunningham wrote:
> On May 22, 1:15 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
>>>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
>>>>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> says...

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
>>>>>>>>> after
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
>>>>>>> miracles
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
>>>>>>> true.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either
>>>>>>> case,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
>>>>>>> moderator.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give

>>>>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
>>>>>>>>> unfounded
>>>>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
>>>>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
>>>>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
>>>>>>>>> would
>>>>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
>>>>>>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
>>>>>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
>>>>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
>>>>>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
>>>>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
>>>>>>>>> Nope.
>>>>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
>>>>>>> you believe that there is not.
>>>>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
>>>>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
>>>>>> Got it now?
>>>>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
>>>>> deny that?
>>>> Because you're wrong as usual.
>>>>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
>>>>>>>> belief.
>>>>>>> That's an opinion.
>>>>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
>>>>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
>>>>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
>>>>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
>>>> Nope.
>>>>>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
>>>>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
>>>>>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
>>>>>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
>>>>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
>>>>>> Then let's hear it.
>>>>> They have already told you.
>>>>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
>>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
>>>>>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
>>>>>>>>> In your opinion.
>>>>>>>>> Fact
>>>>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
>>>>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
>>>>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
>>>> Prove there is a god.
>>> No need, I don't claim that there is one.
>>>> Then respond.
>> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.

>>
>> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> DNA is only complex to the moronic.
>
> Intelligent design was designed to magically get the money of the
> stupid into the designers pockets.
>
> By the way, when has intelligent design ever worked?

Everything you see before you is of intelligent design, excepting the
basic table of the elements ...

Regards,
JS

2609. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 20:35:16 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irbs21hqq3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230536
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/22/2011 1:32 PM, John Smith wrote:
> ...
> Everything you see before you is of intelligent design, excepting the
> basic table of the elements ...
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

But then, probably that too!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2610. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 20:37:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DD973F3-2491@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230537

RAPTURE,
O' bar me ahwayyyyyy on yer snowwwy wangs to mahhh immorrrrrtal
hommme,,,,,
cuhulin, I missed out on that Rapture

2611. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 20:46:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DD97617-2493@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230539

Yeah, in fact of the business,,,,,, I read an article in Popular Mechanics magazine (snail mail magazines, I get them) about eight - nine something years ago about testing DNA.Some different human DNAs are are so much alike, it is hard to see any difference at all.You can find that magazine article at your local libraries, or look around online for it.
cuhulin

2612. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 20:47:48 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <923332eb-bef3-4587-9370-79b77643ce4b@y31g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230538
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "Iarnrod" <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>
> >> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >> >news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>
> >> > > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> >> > >> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> >> > >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> >> > >>>> ...
> >> > >>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
> >> > >>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of
> >> > >>>> photographic
> >> > >>>> evidence is noted.
>
> >> > >>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
> >> > >>>> claims.
>
> >> > >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal
> >> > >>> with
> >> > >>> it ...
>
> >> > >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that
> >> > >> you
> >> > >> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking
> >> > >> to
> >> > >> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.
>
> >> > >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat
```

> >> > >>> the
> >> > >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>
> >> > >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so
> >> > >> ignorant
> >> > >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
> >> > >> falling
> >> > >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his experts
> >> > >> might not
> >> > >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy their
> >> > >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>
> >> > >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
> >> > >> admission.
>
> >> > >> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk
> >> > >> about
> >> > >> this stuff then are you?
>
> >> > >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they
> >> > >> might
> >> > >> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing
> >> > >> the
> >> > >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and
> >> > >> can't
> >> > >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>
> >> > >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your
> >> > >> own
> >> > >> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you
> >> > >> for
> >> > >> even a second?
>
> >> > > I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others
> >> > > if
> >> > > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are
> >> > > fooled
> >> > > into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts, etc.?
>
> >> > IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide quoted
> >> > text -
>
> >> > - Show quoted text -
>
> >> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.
>
> > What missile attack, insane nut job?
>
> Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis of the
> frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane crashing into

> the side of the Pentagon.
>
> Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even consider is that
> even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly preclude a missile
> given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to the 5 stories of
> the Pentagon.
>
> But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and that's good
> enough "proof" for Joe.
>
> >> Which
> >> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>
> > When did missiles hit it?
>
> I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>
> >> Three missiles are were
> >> recorded in the same FBI release,
>
> > Show us, insnae nut job.
>
> Yea, one "missile" per frame. <snicker>
>
> >> Well the remnates of the first one is
> >> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see the
> >> next two in motion!
>
> > Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>
> "It is too late for that"
>
> Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
contain an object no larger than 16 feet!

Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!

2613. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 20:49:47 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irbstlrjm1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230540
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

"Colonel Polyps" <hiccum@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:cadda372-9db8-4716-b6d6-d9aa532bc849@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com...
> On May 20, 5:22 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "Iarnrod" <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:21f41bee-2c30-4e47-b3da-9cfea43b84d8@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> > On May 19, 6:19 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >> All your photo shows is a leaning top so maybe the next blast
>> >> countered that lean and made the builing straighten up before hitting
>> >> the ground.
>>
>> > BWAAAAAHAHAHAAAAHAHAHAAA!!!! WrongTurnJoe be smoking some HEAVY DUTY
>> > crack!!
>>
>> Well, you could look at the video from which I took this frame, which
>> shows
>> that it didn't "magically" straighten back up, or you could look at the
>> debris field which extended quite a extensive distance from the
>> "footprint"
>> that the building clearly didn't fall into.
>>
>> >> How can you assume it didnot collapse in its own
>> >> footprints,
>>
>> Why do you continue to assume and assert that it did when we have
>> photographic PROOF that it didn't?
>>
>> > Umm, because of the huge mess that had to be cleaned up that covered
>> > multiple BLOCKS and which destroyed ADJACENT BUILDINGS? What the fuck
>> > kind of footprint did this building HAVE, WrongTurnJoe? Bozo the
>> > Clown's?
>>
>> >> you must show every frame till the fall has stopped to say
>> >> that.
>>
>> > No, you look at it after it all stops falling, kookazoid.
>>
>> Well, you could look at the video, which shows it fell in the manner

>> shown
>> (ie well outside of it's "footprint") but that would require someone who
>> would actually look at the known facts and evidence. Except Joe is
>> suppose
>> to be such an "expert" since he claims to know ALL about 9/11 and how it
>> REALLY occurred. Seems to me as if at this point Joe is all but admitting
>> he
>> doesn't even know the basic facts considering what is known to have
>> occurred
>> and as such one would have to question his knowledge into the realm of
>> knowing all the other things he asserts to know.
>
> I still want the monitoring device out of my back Richard Nixon and
> the CIA stuck there when George H w Bush kidnapped and tortured me in
> 1982 Florida Keys during German Chancellors Zionist appointment.

STFU, I told you exactly how to remove it and you have failed to do so.
Clearly you aren't interested in removing it, so I don't want to hear about
it anymore.

2614. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 21:01:38 UTC
From: Bob Casanova <nospam@buzz.off>
Message-ID: <42uit6djrhbp4avvdtrutab953v5fv3dmv@4ax.com>
Source record: 230541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Sat, 21 May 2011 13:15:19 -0700 (PDT), the following
appeared in sci.skeptic, posted by arthrnyork@webtv.net:

>On May 21, 1:30ï¿½pm, Bob Casanova <nos...@buzz.off> wrote:
>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 15:07:21 +1000, the following appeared
>> in sci.skeptic, posted by Government Shill #2
>> <gov.sh...@gmail.com>:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> >On Sat, 21 May 2011 09:01:58 +1000, Government Shill #2 <gov.sh...@gmail.com>
>> >wrote:
>>
>> >>On Fri, 20 May 2011 06:27:58 -0700 (PDT), RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net>
>> >>wrote:
>>
>> >>>The Count-Down Continues Just 1 Day Left
>> >>>until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , ,
>>
>> >>It has been the 21st of May for 2 hours in "the Holy Land".
>>
>> >>And for 9 hours here.
>>
>> >>So far... no heavenly trumpets.
>>
>> >>Shill #2
>>
>> >15 hours... still nothing.
>>
>> Well, as I post this it's just after 10:00 MST in AZ, USA,
>> so I assume Europe and all points east are experiencing
>> terrible earthquakes.
>>
>> Interesting puzzle... While AZ doesn't go on DST, the Navajo
>> Nation, which is in NE AZ, does. And there's an area
>> completely enclosed by the Nation which follows the AZ
>> practice rather than that of the Nation. Since the effects
>> happen based on a *local* time of 18:00 (6:00 PM for the
>> uninitiated) ;-), exactly what can we expect to see?
>>
>> So many loons; so little time...

>The loons will make up another date for 'doomsday' . Dec 21, 2012 is
>much more popular , as a matter of fact .

Oh, undoubtedly; I was only curious about how the
earthquakes would be scheduled in the areas I mentioned. And
IIRC, unlike yesterday's Apocalypse-that-wasn't, the Mayan
Doomsday isn't supposed to be sequential, so the "problem"
wouldn't exist for that one; "We will all go together when
we go, every Hottentot and every Eskimo" (apologies to Tom
Lehrer). ;-)

> Anyone still recalls the Y2K
>bullcrap ?

Sure, but that was about a genuine potential problem which
got hyped to an insane level by the mass media. This latest
"problem" was neither genuine nor rational.
--

Bob C.

"Evidence confirming an observation is
evidence that the observation is wrong."
- McNameless

2615. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 21:02:09 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irbtkevbg1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/22/2011 1:46 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Yeah, in fact of the business,,,,,, I read an article in Popular
> Mechanics magazine (snail mail magazines, I get them) about eight - nine
> something years ago about testing DNA.Some different human DNAs are are
> so much alike, it is hard to see any difference at all.You can find that
> magazine article at your local libraries, or look around online for it.
> cuhulin
>

Consider this current line of investigation(s):

http://www.rense.com/general74/d3af.htm

Regards,
JS

2616. Re: Appreciation - QSL for AFN Diego Garcia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 21:05:54 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b1ca50be-66a7-4576-98fb-0a6d0b92e598@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230543

On May 22, 12:56 pm, hallicraf...@collins.net wrote:
> On Sun, 22 May 2011 12:48:30 -0700 (PDT), bpnjensen
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >On May 22, 12:40 pm, hallicraf...@collins.net wrote:
> >> On Sun, 22 May 2011 10:02:11 -0700 (PDT), bpnjensen
>
> >> <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >On Apr 29, 6:45 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> Unless I am greatly mistaken, I am hearingDiegoGarcia BIOT on 12759
> >> >> kHz at 0144 UTC on April 30, 2011. Similar conditions to yesterday.
> >> >> Impossible to catch specific concepts, but it surely sounds like an OM
> >> >> ranting on in EE.
>
> >> >> Bruce J., California
>
> >> >As I mentioned earlier, I obtained a QSL card for reception of this
> >> >AFN Diego Garcia transmission on April 30, 2011. Needless to say, and
> >> >am very pleased and thrilled with this card, as this mere 3 kW (?)
> >> >omnidirectional station is the most nearly antipodal low-power station
> >> >I have managed to dig out of the suburban QRM at my home. I know lots
> >> >of other folks have gotten this QSL, but for me, receipt of this QSL
> >> >is a significant part of fulfilling one of my many lifetime hobby
> >> >dreams.
>
> >> >I received worthy and important guidance from several people, without
> >> >which I would not have heard and recorded the detail of this station
> >> >at the time I did, and which I acknowledge here -
>
> >> >To RHF (Roy) for bringing up the subject of AFN on 12579 at
> >> >approximately the right time, and following up with additional
> >> >relevant communiques - his tip-off is greatly appreciated. Thanks,
> >> >Roy!
>
> >> >To dxAce (Steve) for publicly noting the time when it was transmitting
> >> >and providing valuable insight into nailing down enough detail to net

> >> >this station. Thanks Steve!
>
> >> >...and to Victor Goonetilleke of Sri Lanka, who kindly confirmed that
> >> >AFN Diego Garcia was indeed transmitting at the moment I heard them
> >> >despite the time parameters listed in their A11 schedule. This helped
> >> >me to be confident that I was not hearing an image or mixing product
> >> >rather than the station. Thanks Victor!
>
> >> >Bruce Jensen
>
> >> Great job Bruce.
>
> >> Diego Garcia is one of my favorite amateur QSL's. Mainly because I
> >> made the contact with under 100 watts (SSB).
>
> >> Jim (MI)
>
> >Awesome! Was that voice or CW / RTTY?
>
> SSB Voice. A VQ9 prefix as I recall. At first I thought it was a
> Canadian station. Lucky I called him and asked for his location.
>
> Jim (MI)

Hah! Almost too good to be true, eh?

2617. Re: Radio Rossii on 5930 & 5940 kHz @ 1220 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 21:06:58 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d1efc483-9dbb-4a09-89cb-7cce4cd692a0@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230544

On May 22, 1:14 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Radio Rossii on 5930 & 5940 kHz @ 1220 UTC
> on 22 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : S9+10dB with SIO 555 and Good Audio
> for 5930 kHz
> -&-
> S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 454 and good audio
> for 5930 kHz from Petropavlovsk-Kamchatskiy : RFE*
> .
> S-Meter : S9+10dB with SIO 555 and Good Audio
> for 5930 kHz from Magadan : RFE*
>
> Note - Both 5930 & 5940 kHz have the same Audio
> Content Male Voice in Russian playing some Songs
> in English.
> .
> * RFE = Russian Far Easthttp://wikitravel.org/en/Northeastern_Russia .
> .
> Radio Rossiihttp://www.radiorus.ru/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Rossii
> .
> Radio Rossii Frequencies and Sites Currently
On-the-Airhttp://www.short-wave.info/index.php?station=R.Rossii&language=&now=0...
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

These guys are all over the place! It's fun to hear their
transmissions from a transmitter site near Moskva.

Bruce

2618. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 21:16:06 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0c2b0c43-8846-42f4-99c3-35f0737c661f@y12g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230545
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 22, 4:47 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
>
>
>
>
>
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > "Iarnrod" <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> >news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>
> > >> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > >> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> > >> >news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>
> > >> > > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> > >> > >> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > >> > >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> > >> > >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> > >> > >>> ...
> > >> > >>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
> > >> > >>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of
> > >> > >>> photographic
> > >> > >>> evidence is noted.
>
> > >> > >>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
> > >> > >>> claims.
>
> > >> > >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal
> > >> > >>> with
> > >> > >>> it ...
>
> > >> > >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that
> > >> > >> you
> > >> > >> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking
> > >> > >> to
> > >> > >> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.

>
> > >> > >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat
> > >> > >>> the
> > >> > >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>
> > >> > >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so
> > >> > >> ignorant
> > >> > >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
> > >> > >> falling
> > >> > >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his experts
> > >> > >> might not
> > >> > >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy their
> > >> > >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>
> > >> > >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
> > >> > >> admission.
>
> > >> > >> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk
> > >> > >> about
> > >> > >> this stuff then are you?
>
> > >> > >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they
> > >> > >> might
> > >> > >> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing
> > >> > >> the
> > >> > >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and
> > >> > >> can't
> > >> > >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>
> > >> > >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your
> > >> > >> own
> > >> > >> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you
> > >> > >> for
> > >> > >> even a second?
>
> > >> > > I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others
> > >> > > if
> > >> > > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are
> > >> > > fooled
> > >> > > into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts, etc.?
>
> > >> > IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide quoted
> > >> > text -
>
> > >> > - Show quoted text -
>
> > >> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.
>
> > > What missile attack, insane nut job?
>

> > Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis of the
> > frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane crashing into
> > the side of the Pentagon.
>
> > Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even consider is that
> > even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly preclude a missile
> > given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to the 5 stories of
> > the Pentagon.
>
> > But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and that's good
> > enough "proof" for Joe.
>
> > >> Which
> > >> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>
> > > When did missiles hit it?
>
> > I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>
> > >> Three missiles are were
> > >> recorded in the same FBI release,
>
> > > Show us, insnae nut job.
>
> > Yea, one "missile" per frame. <snicker>
>
> > >> Well the remnates of the first one is
> > >> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see the
> > >> next two in motion!
>
> > > Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>
> > "It is too late for that"
>
> > Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
> contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>
> Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

PS

I did not pay any attention to what the guy in the middle link says I
just saw the missile on my own look at it before the red box is drawn!

Then in the top link you can see it over and over again,There is no plane just a missile launched from withing the fenced in constrution area.

What kind of military vehicle is that at mark 7:03 in the video below a flatbed firetruck? I recall seeing it parked beside the construction gererater dont you?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SjcoPEGRXjo&feature=player_embedded

2619. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 21:40:09 UTC
From: William Clark <clark@nospammatsceng.ohio-state.edu>
Message-ID: <clark-23DB12.17400922052011@news.eternal-september.org>
Source record: 230547
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

In article <irbrtdhqr1@dont-email.me>,
John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote:

> On 5/22/2011 1:25 PM, Kevin Cunningham wrote:
> > On May 22, 1:15 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>> news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
> >>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>> news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
> >>>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>> news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
> >>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>> news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
> >>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>> news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
> >>>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
> >>>>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in

> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
> >>>>>>> says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
> >>>>>>>>> after
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
> >>>>>>> miracles
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
> >>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
> >>>>>>> true.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either
> >>>>>>> case,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> to
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
> >>>>>>> moderator.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the

> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
> >>>>>>>>> unfounded
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
> >>>>>>>>> would
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> and
> >>>>>>>>> it
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
> >>>>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
> >>>>>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
> >>>>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
> >>>>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
> >>>>>>>>> Nope.
> >>>>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
> >>>>>>> you believe that there is not.
> >>>>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
> >>>>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
> >>>>>> Got it now?
> >>>>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
> >>>>> deny that?
> >>>> Because you're wrong as usual.
> >>>>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
> >>>>>>>> belief.
> >>>>>>> That's an opinion.
> >>>>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
> >>>>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
> >>>>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
> >>>>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
> >>>> Nope.
> >>>>>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
> >>>>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
> >>>>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
> >>>>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
> >>>>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
> >>>>>> Then let's hear it.
> >>>>> They have already told you.
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
> >>>>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
> >>>>>>>>> In your opinion.
> >>>>>>>> Fact
> >>>>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
> >>>>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
> >>>>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
> >>>> Prove there is a god.

> >>> No need, I don't claim that there is one.
> >>>> Then respond.
> >> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
> >>
> >> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
> >>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > DNA is only complex to the moronic.
> >
> > Intelligent design was designed to magically get the money of the
> > stupid into the designers pockets.
> >
> > By the way, when has intelligent design ever worked?
>
> Everything you see before you is of intelligent design, excepting the
> basic table of the elements ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

BS.

2620. Re: Appreciation - QSL for AFN Diego Garcia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 21:40:14 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DD982BE.A8FBD947@milestones.com>
Source record: 230546

hallicrafter@collins.net wrote:

> On Sun, 22 May 2011 10:02:11 -0700 (PDT), bpnjensen
> <bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >On Apr 29, 6:45 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Unless I am greatly mistaken, I am hearingDiegoGarcia BIOT on 12759
> >> kHz at 0144 UTC on April 30, 2011. Similar conditions to yesterday.
> >> Impossible to catch specific concepts, but it surely sounds like an OM
> >> ranting on in EE.
> >>
> >> Bruce J., California
> >
> >As I mentioned earlier, I obtained a QSL card for reception of this
> >AFN Diego Garcia transmission on April 30, 2011. Needless to say, and
> >am very pleased and thrilled with this card, as this mere 3 kW (?)
> >omnidirectional station is the most nearly antipodal low-power station
> >I have managed to dig out of the suburban QRM at my home. I know lots
> >of other folks have gotten this QSL, but for me, receipt of this QSL
> >is a significant part of fulfilling one of my many lifetime hobby
> >dreams.
> >
> >I received worthy and important guidance from several people, without
> >which I would not have heard and recorded the detail of this station
> >at the time I did, and which I acknowledge here -
> >
> >To RHF (Roy) for bringing up the subject of AFN on 12579 at
> >approximately the right time, and following up with additional
> >relevant communiques - his tip-off is greatly appreciated. Thanks,
> >Roy!
> >
> >To dxAce (Steve) for publicly noting the time when it was transmitting
> >and providing valuable insight into nailing down enough detail to net
> >this station. Thanks Steve!
> >
> >...and to Victor Goonetilleke of Sri Lanka, who kindly confirmed that
> >AFN Diego Garcia was indeed transmitting at the moment I heard them
> >despite the time parameters listed in their A11 schedule. This helped
> >me to be confident that I was not hearing an image or mixing product
> >rather than the station. Thanks Victor!
> >
> >Bruce Jensen
>
> Great job Bruce.

>
> Diego Garcia is one of my favorite amateur QSL's. Mainly because I
> made the contact with under 100 watts (SSB).

I also have a contact with 100 watts SSB, on 20 meters as I recall, and using an inverted vee dipole at about 25'.

If I get ambitious I'll dig out the QSL and get the callsign of the fellow I worked.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2621. Re: Harold Camping CAPTURED or RAPTURED ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 22:04:47 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irc19smea2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230548
Cross-posted to: alt.bible.prophecy,alt.religion.christian,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/22/2011 2:45 PM, u2fan wrote:
> He's disappeared with the money

Old harold and hawking should get together ... be a fun debate to watch.

Regards,
JS

2622. Re: Appreciation - QSL for AFN Diego Garcia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 22:12:44 UTC
From: hallicrafter@collins.net
Message-ID: <ka2jt65a9foas8lhghdgpe9oji1rl2bnaq@4ax.com>
Source record: 230549

On Sun, 22 May 2011 17:40:14 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>
>
>hallicrafter@collins.net wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 22 May 2011 10:02:11 -0700 (PDT), bpnjensen
>> <bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >On Apr 29, 6:45 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> Unless I am greatly mistaken, I am hearingDiegoGarcia BIOT on 12759
>> >> kHz at 0144 UTC on April 30, 2011. Similar conditions to yesterday.
>> >> Impossible to catch specific concepts, but it surely sounds like an OM
>> >> ranting on in EE.
>> >>
>> >> Bruce J., California
>> >
>> >As I mentioned earlier, I obtained a QSL card for reception of this
>> >AFN Diego Garcia transmission on April 30, 2011. Needless to say, and
>> >am very pleased and thrilled with this card, as this mere 3 kW (?)
>> >omnidirectional station is the most nearly antipodal low-power station
>> >I have managed to dig out of the suburban QRM at my home. I know lots
>> >of other folks have gotten this QSL, but for me, receipt of this QSL
>> >is a significant part of fulfilling one of my many lifetime hobby
>> >dreams.
>> >
>> >I received worthy and important guidance from several people, without
>> >which I would not have heard and recorded the detail of this station
>> >at the time I did, and which I acknowledge here -
>> >
>> >To RHF (Roy) for bringing up the subject of AFN on 12579 at
>> >approximately the right time, and following up with additional
>> >relevant communiques - his tip-off is greatly appreciated. Thanks,
>> >Roy!
>> >
>> >To dxAce (Steve) for publicly noting the time when it was transmitting
>> >and providing valuable insight into nailing down enough detail to net
>> >this station. Thanks Steve!
>> >
>> >...and to Victor Goonetilleke of Sri Lanka, who kindly confirmed that
>> >AFN Diego Garcia was indeed transmitting at the moment I heard them
>> >despite the time parameters listed in their A11 schedule. This helped
>> >me to be confident that I was not hearing an image or mixing product

>> >rather than the station. Thanks Victor!
>> >
>> >Bruce Jensen
>>
>> Great job Bruce.
>>
>> Diego Garcia is one of my favorite amateur QSL's. Mainly because I
>> made the contact with under 100 watts (SSB).
>
>I also have a contact with 100 watts SSB, on 20 meters as I recall, and using
>an inverted vee dipole at about 25'.
>
>If I get ambitious I'll dig out the QSL and get the callsign of the fellow I
>worked.
>
>dxAce
>Michigan
>USA
>

The guy I worked was VQ9LA. I looked him up on QRZ.

Jim (MI)

2623. Re: Appreciation - QSL for AFN Diego Garcia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 22:23:17 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DD98CD5.FA799DC1@milestones.com>
Source record: 230550

hallicrafter@collins.net wrote:

> On Sun, 22 May 2011 17:40:14 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >hallicrafter@collins.net wrote:
> >
> >> On Sun, 22 May 2011 10:02:11 -0700 (PDT), bpnjensen
> >> <bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >On Apr 29, 6:45 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> Unless I am greatly mistaken, I am hearingDiegoGarcia BIOT on 12759
> >> >> kHz at 0144 UTC on April 30, 2011. Similar conditions to yesterday.
> >> >> Impossible to catch specific concepts, but it surely sounds like an OM
> >> >> ranting on in EE.
> >> >>
> >> >> Bruce J., California
> >> >
> >> >As I mentioned earlier, I obtained a QSL card for reception of this
> >> >AFN Diego Garcia transmission on April 30, 2011. Needless to say, and
> >> >am very pleased and thrilled with this card, as this mere 3 kW (?)
> >> >omnidirectional station is the most nearly antipodal low-power station
> >> >I have managed to dig out of the suburban QRM at my home. I know lots
> >> >of other folks have gotten this QSL, but for me, receipt of this QSL
> >> >is a significant part of fulfilling one of my many lifetime hobby
> >> >dreams.
> >> >
> >> >I received worthy and important guidance from several people, without
> >> >which I would not have heard and recorded the detail of this station
> >> >at the time I did, and which I acknowledge here -
> >> >
> >> >To RHF (Roy) for bringing up the subject of AFN on 12579 at
> >> >approximately the right time, and following up with additional
> >> >relevant communiques - his tip-off is greatly appreciated. Thanks,
> >> >Roy!
> >> >
> >> >To dxAce (Steve) for publicly noting the time when it was transmitting
> >> >and providing valuable insight into nailing down enough detail to net
> >> >this station. Thanks Steve!
> >> >
> >> >...and to Victor Goonetilleke of Sri Lanka, who kindly confirmed that
> >> >AFN Diego Garcia was indeed transmitting at the moment I heard them

> >> >despite the time parameters listed in their A11 schedule. This helped
> >> >me to be confident that I was not hearing an image or mixing product
> >> >rather than the station. Thanks Victor!
> >> >
> >> >Bruce Jensen
> >>
> >> Great job Bruce.
> >>
> >> Diego Garcia is one of my favorite amateur QSL's. Mainly because I
> >> made the contact with under 100 watts (SSB).
> >
> >I also have a contact with 100 watts SSB, on 20 meters as I recall, and using
> >an inverted vee dipole at about 25'.
> >
> >If I get ambitious I'll dig out the QSL and get the callsign of the fellow I
> >worked.
> >
> >dxAce
> >Michigan
> >USA
> >
>
> The guy I worked was VQ9LA. I looked him up on QRZ.

Mine is VQ9WM, William Moore, 9 November 1990, 20 meter SSB.

His home call is/was K7IOO, in the State of Washington.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2624. Re: Appreciation - QSL for AFN Diego Garcia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 22:24:35 UTC
From: hallicrafter@collins.net
Message-ID: <vm2jt6haud18lu8lbedb49hp4op7lgvs69@4ax.com>
Source record: 230551

On Sun, 22 May 2011 14:05:54 -0700 (PDT), bpnjensen
<bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote:

>On May 22, 12:56ï¿½pm, hallicraf...@collins.net wrote:
>> On Sun, 22 May 2011 12:48:30 -0700 (PDT), bpnjensen
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >On May 22, 12:40ï¿½pm, hallicraf...@collins.net wrote:
>> >> On Sun, 22 May 2011 10:02:11 -0700 (PDT), bpnjensen
>>
>> >> <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >On Apr 29, 6:45ï¿½pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >> Unless I am greatly mistaken, I am hearingDiegoGarcia BIOT on 12759
>> >> >> kHz at 0144 UTC on April 30, 2011. ï¿½Similar conditions to yesterday.
>> >> >> Impossible to catch specific concepts, but it surely sounds like an OM
>> >> >> ranting on in EE.
>>
>> >> >> Bruce J., California
>>
>> >> >As I mentioned earlier, I obtained a QSL card for reception of this
>> >> >AFN Diego Garcia transmission on April 30, 2011. ï¿½Needless to say, and
>> >> >am very pleased and thrilled with this card, as this mere 3 kW (?)
>> >> >omnidirectional station is the most nearly antipodal low-power station
>> >> >I have managed to dig out of the suburban QRM at my home. ï¿½I know lots
>> >> >of other folks have gotten this QSL, but for me, receipt of this QSL
>> >> >is a significant part of fulfilling one of my many lifetime hobby
>> >> >dreams.
>>
>> >> >I received worthy and important guidance from several people, without
>> >> >which I would not have heard and recorded the detail of this station
>> >> >at the time I did, and which I acknowledge here -
>>
>> >> >To RHF (Roy) for bringing up the subject of AFN on 12579 at
>> >> >approximately the right time, and following up with additional
>> >> >relevant communiques - his tip-off is greatly appreciated. ï¿½Thanks,
>> >> >Roy!

>>
>> >> >To dxAce (Steve) for publicly noting the time when it was transmitting
>> >> >and providing valuable insight into nailing down enough detail to net
>> >> >this station. ï¿½Thanks Steve!
>>
>> >> >...and to Victor Goonetilleke of Sri Lanka, who kindly confirmed that
>> >> >AFN Diego Garcia was indeed transmitting at the moment I heard them
>> >> >despite the time parameters listed in their A11 schedule. ï¿½This helped
>> >> >me to be confident that I was not hearing an image or mixing product
>> >> >rather than the station. ï¿½Thanks Victor!
>>
>> >> >Bruce Jensen
>>
>> >> Great job Bruce.
>>
>> >> Diego Garcia is one of my favorite amateur QSL's. Mainly because I
>> >> made the contact with under 100 watts (SSB).
>>
>> >> Jim (MI)
>>
>> >Awesome! ï¿½Was that voice or CW / RTTY?
>>
>> SSB Voice. A VQ9 prefix as I recall. At first I thought it was a
>> Canadian station. Lucky I called him and asked for his location.
>>
>> Jim (MI)
>
>Hah! Almost too good to be true, eh?

That was the good old days of solar cycle 23. Also worked Palmer
Station in Anarctica with 100 watts back then. Bombay and Madras in
India too with 100 (SSB).

Jim (MI)

2625. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-22 23:17:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DD99983-8455@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230552

Extra terrestrial DNA?,,, Sheeeeeeeit, I don't believe a word of that! I don't read the Kook articles at rense.com, ufos, chupracabra, sasquatch, that kind of stuff is Nonsense!
cuhulin

2626. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 00:26:50 UTC
From: DCI <50bjdk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7338608d-56ad-4a66-901d-d3676862380d@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 22, 1:08 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/22/2011 1:07 PM, DCI wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 22, 12:56 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/22/2011 12:50 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> >>> On May 22, 10:15 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>> On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>> news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
> >>>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>> news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
> >>>>>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>> news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
> >>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>> news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
> >>>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...

> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
> >>>>>>>> says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
> >>>>>>>>>> after
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
> >>>>>>>>> miracles
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
> >>>>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
> >>>>>>>>> true.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either
> >>>>>>>>> case,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> to
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
> >>>>>>>>> moderator.

> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
> >>>>>>>>>>> unfounded
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
> >>>>>>>>>>> would
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> and
> >>>>>>>>>>> it
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
> >>>>>>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
> >>>>>>>>>> Nope.
> >>>>>>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
> >>>>>>>>> you believe that there is not.
> >>>>>>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
> >>>>>>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
> >>>>>>>> Got it now?
> >>>>>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
> >>>>>> deny that?
> >>>>> Because you're wrong as usual.
> >>>>>>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
> >>>>>>>>>> belief.
> >>>>>>>>> That's an opinion.
> >>>>>>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
> >>>>>>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
> >>>>>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
> >>>>>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
> >>>>> Nope.
> >>>>>>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
> >>>>>>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
> >>>>>>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
> >>>>>>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
> >>>>>>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
> >>>>>>>> Then let's hear it.
> >>>>>> They have already told you.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
> >>>>>>>>>>> In your opinion.
> >>>>>>>>>> Fact
> >>>>>>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
> >>>>>>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
> >>>>>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.

> >>>>>> Prove there is a god.
> >>>>> No need, I don't claim that there is one.
> >>>>>> Then respond.
> >>>> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
> >>>> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
> >>>> Regards,
> >>>> JS
> >>> All I can do is shake my head at this statement.
> >> That is all you ever do, don't try to fool the morons!
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > John, please do not insult the morons. :)
>
> > DCI
>
> ROFLOL!!!
>
> I stand corrected!
>
> Regards,
> JS

John, you are cool.

DCI

2627. 'The Creative' Creations of "The Creator" -wrt- Stephen Hawking says...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 01:09:57 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <4f13c7c1-cd45-46a1-a4b1-34d7b354ee76@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

- - - On May 22, 1:15 pm, John Smith
- - - <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
- - - I'd say just the sheer complexity of
- - - DNA is proof of intelligent design.
- - - So, the only question becomes,
- - - "What is your definition of God?"
- - - Regards,
- - - JS

- - On 5/22/2011 1:25 PM, Kevin Cunningham wrote:
- - DNA is only complex to the moronic.
- - Intelligent design was designed to
- - magically get the money of the stupid
- - into the designers pockets.
- - By the way, when has intelligent design
- - ever worked?

- On May 22, 1:32 pm, John Smith
- <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
- Everything you see before you is of
- intelligent design, excepting the
- basic table of the elements ...
-
- Regards,
- JS
.
-was- Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f31d61b8363aa99c
-and-the-orignal-post-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/5e21f1e3c585c6eb
.
JS,

? So all those 'Men' {Women Too} Who Created the
"Basic Table of the Elements" Were NOT Creative !
http://djarn.edublogs.org/files/2011/01/periodic-table-2jh1745.gif
-now-how'd-da-do-dat- -now-how'd-da-do-dat-
.
-or- Come To Think About It...
They Being "Creations" of 'The Creator' :
Everything They Do {Did} Would Be An Act
of Heavenly *Creativity*. -marvelous-!- ~ RHF
.
-actually- Read the Outline of the Time-Line

{Genesis} "The Creation of Heaven and Earth"
http://www.pitt.edu/~dash/genesis01-03.html
It is fairly correct as to :
What must have come First
-and- What must of happen in which Order
...and... All Written some 3000 Years Ago :o)
-now-that's-simply-amazing- ~ RHF
.
.
Stephen Hawking -says- That the 'After-Life'
is a Fairy Story : OK Where Is His Expertise ?
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e6c705935e439ca4
.
.

2628. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 01:20:31 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DD9B65F-2503@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230555

I am Cool too, now that I turned on my airconditioner.

Jerome Corsi's Book #1 Best Seller Before Amazon Release
http://www.rense.com

Knock! Knock!
///Whos's there!!?///
Kenya
///Kenya who!!??///
Kenya show me the birth certificate?
cuhulin

2629. Aviation and Marine Utility [UTES] on the Shortwave [HF] Bands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 01:36:15 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <2a00ac4b-f960-4966-8d3f-f7c55c5adfe9@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230556

Aviation and Marine UTES* on the Shortwave [HF] Bands
http://www.muarc.com/MF%20HF%20SSB%20Frequencies.htm
* UTES = Utility
* * Aviation 'Volmet' Weather and Info
* * Marine 'Ocean' Weather and Info NOAA/NWS etc
.
Shortwave Utility [UTES] Radio Station Monitoring
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng/swute.html
-utes- -utes- -utes- -utes- -utes- -utes- -utes- -utes-
.
Utility Radio Station Information and News
http://users.tellurian.com/slapshot/utenews.html
Lots of Links for the UTE Radio Station Listener
-ute- -ute- -ute- -ute- -ute- -ute- -ute- -ute- -ute-
.
Utility Monitoring Central -for- Ute'rs Only ! ;;-}}
http://www.chace-ortiz.org/umc/
-monitoring- -monitoring- -monitoring- -monitoring-
.
Utility Monitoring =WIKI=
http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php?title=Utility_Monitoring
-utility- -utility- -utility- -utility- -utility- -utility-
.
UDXF : Utility DXers Forum {Group}
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/udxf/
-dxer- -dxer- -dxer- -dxer- -dxer- -dxer-
.
and now you know, cause...
you just read it here - iane ~ RHF
.
Remember that your Shortwave Radio Listening [SWL]
Antenna is 55.55% of your ability to Hear Them
'Out-There'... Beyond The BEYOND ! ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2630. HOLLYWOOD AND BOLLYWOOD HOT VIDEOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 01:36:36 UTC
From: LPWS <3ghfhfffgg@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1b6052cf-de7a-4dd0-a1d6-c93178787f96@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230557

for hot videos
http://verysexyhotvideos.blogspot.com/

hot lip kiss videos
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/hot-lip-kiss-videos.html

super hot lip kiss
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/super-hot-lip-kiss.html

jobs
http://alljobsaywhere.blogspot.com/

games

GAME 1
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-1.html
GAME 2
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-2.html

mobile

mobile games free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-games-free-download.html

mobile ringtones free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-ringtones-free-download.html

2631. FA: 3 vintage transistor radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 02:35:08 UTC
From: ibm laptop <ibmlaptop2007@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <00d91a85-f53f-46e0-95b6-7b01311c2db0@d28g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230558

Wendell-West (w/original box), Silvertone, and Windsor;
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=200611306119
This is a one-time notice. Thank you for your time.

2632. Re: 'The Creative' Creations of "The Creator" -wrt- Stephen Hawking...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 03:34:26 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DD9D5C2-2506@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230559

Deadly Tornado Rips Through Joplin,Missouri
http://www.libertypost.org
cuhulin

2633. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 04:47:07 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3581feed-3de7-41fc-805b-995c4bea2273@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 22, 5:04 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> MightyIarnrodproved:
>
> >>> Yes, he would have been
>
> >> No,
>
> > Yes.
>
> No,

Yes.

2634. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 05:11:53 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <k6CdnZwsKK41cUTQnZ2dnUVZ5gKdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

the tard boy lied:
> On May 22, 5:04 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> the tard boy lied:
>>
>>> On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> the tard boy lied:
>>
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>
>>>> No,
>>
>>> Yes.
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>
>>
>
> Yes

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2635. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 05:21:12 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <zPidnRJNONFWc0TQnZ2dnVY3go-dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

"George Plimpton" wrote in message
news:k6CdnZwsKK41cUTQnZ2dnUVZ5gKdnZ2d@giganews.com...

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

One problem with applying the law in such a way is that it would mean that any child born to an unwed mother under the age of 20 would not be a citizen of any country.

2636. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 05:43:01 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <FbGdnZgeMfNkbkTQnZ2dnUVZ5jSdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/22/2011 10:21 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
> "George Plimpton" wrote in message
> news:k6CdnZwsKK41cUTQnZ2dnUVZ5gKdnZ2d@giganews.com...
>
> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which
> means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence
> requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a
> citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never
> naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
>
>
> ---
>
> ---
>
>
> One problem with applying the law in such a way is that it would mean
> that any child born to an unwed mother under the age of 20 would not be
> a citizen of any country.

That's almost certainly not true. First, there is a high probability the child would have the citizenship of the other parent. Second, many countries have provisions in their citizenship laws that children born in their territory who otherwise would be stateless are citizens of the country in which they are born.

In any case, there are sound reasons why US law does not automatically confer citizenship on any child born abroad to a US citizen. In fact, such laws are the norm.

2637. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 07:12:01 UTC
From: Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4dda08ca$0$13390$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 230564
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 23/05/2011 3:15 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
>
> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
>
> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

The only definition that is in any way valid is that "God" is a personification of forces way beyond the average person's comprehension. In your case, I'd be surprised if you understand the concept of personification. You seem to understand so little of everything else conceptual.

Krypsis

2638. haii

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 10:26:34 UTC
From: MANIKANDAN KRISHNA <manikrisnha@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4d3a73b8-283f-474d-a1b7-6ade8fca3cc4@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230565

. wwwfreeaddsworld.info.

2639. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 11:42:38 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <de76623a-6461-4ab5-9281-89720720db14@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230566

On May 22, 6:20 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> I am Cool too, now that I turned on my airconditioner.
>
> Jerome Corsi's Book #1 Best Seller Before Amazon Releasehttp://www.rense.com
>
> Knock! Knock!
> ///Whos's there!!?///
> Kenya
> ///Kenya who!!??///
> Kenya show me the birth certificate?
> cuhulin

Cuhulin -liking-obama-or-not-
the "Kenya Show Me The Birth Certificate ?" line
Made Me LAUGH :o)) ~ RHF
.
.

2640. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 12:14:21 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0c23a57c-c14d-4fcf-ab57-cb973fe10dcc@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 22, 11:11 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:

> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM,Iarnrodwrote:

> > On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> MightyIarnrodproved:

> >>> Yes, he would have been

> >> No,

> > Yes.

> No,

Yes.

2641. Stephen Hawking has his 'personalized' "answer" -so- ? Do You Have Your 'Personalized' "Answer" Too !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 12:15:30 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <be5e20ce-d81e-4987-ba82-9a0f28a67bcd@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 23, 12:12 am, Krypsis <kryp...@optusnet.com.au> wrote:
> On 23/05/2011 3:15 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
>
>
> > I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
>
> > So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> The only definition that is in any way valid is that "God" is a
> personification of forces way beyond the average person's comprehension.
> In your case, I'd be surprised if you understand the concept of
> personification. You seem to understand so little of everything else
> conceptual.
>
> Krypsis

-was- Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/81452d805f9dd7e1
-original-post-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/5e21f1e3c585c6eb

Krypsis -wrote- 'that "God" is a personification of
forces way beyond the average person's comprehension.'

Krypsis : Good Lets Start There :

1st - God : Being What Ever & Who Ever :
God 'Is' To Us/Me/'I'

2nd - Personification : Us/Me/'I' Internalizing
The 'What' & The 'Who' of "God" {Conceptualizing}

3rd - Forces : Elements & Powers That We Can
Not Explain/Understand/Rationalize
-some-say-a-higher-power-than-myself-

4th - Beyond : Simply Greater Than Us/Me/'I'

5th - Average Person : Common Knowledge -aka-
Common Sense Not Over Intellectualizing an

Idea or Knowledge : Being Practical and Natural

6th - Comprehension : The 'I'/Me/Us Attempting
to Understand/Rationalize/Explain To Ourselves
Elements & Powers Beyond Our Knowledge.

CONCLUSION : We Do The Best That We Can Do :
Given Who We Are -yes-it-is-that-simple- ~ RHF

NOTE : Stephen Hawking has his 'personalized'
"answer" -so- ? Do You Have Your 'Personalized'
"Answer" Too !
.
.
OK Where Is Stephen Hawking Expertise
-to-proclaim- The After-Life is a Fairy Story
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e6c705935e439ca4
.
.
'The Creative' Creations of "The Creator"
-wrt- Stephen Hawking says...
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/18a16909dfa19e9f
.
.

2642. There is a[n] "FAQ" for the 'Rec.Radio.Shortwave' NewsGroup ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 12:45:48 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dda56a1$0$12507$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230569

This is like the Constitution. It can never be changed because it is already 3/5 perfect:

13 What is rec.radio.shortwave for?

Welcome to the rec.radio.shortwave newsgroup. This group was created late in 1989 after a suggestion by Richard Shapiro, in order for those of us who are interested in the hobby of monitoring radio communications to have a friendly
place to exchange messages, tips, and opinions. If you are interested in this
sort of hobby, then we think that you will be at home here.

This is a set of monthly postings put together to answer some of the more commonly asked basic questions about the hobby. There is a posting explaining
some of the basics of shortwave (HF) radio, and one covering medium wave (also
known as AM) and FM DXing. There is also one covering scanning the higher frequencies (VHF and up) that appears in the newsgroup rec.radio.scanner. If you find that you have other questions, either following up items mentioned here, or other questions, please post. There are a number of people here with
varying degrees of expertise and experience who are more than willing to help.
We hope we've anticipated many of the questions the beginner will have. And so, without further ado....

As stated above this group was created for USENETers to have a place to discuss
the radio monitoring hobby. This group is not moderated, however 'serious' arguments and any kind of flame wars are strongly discouraged. That is not to
say that genuine disagreement and discussion of differing opinions is not welcome. We only ask that you keep the tone friendly, and in the spirit of international cooperation upon which the hobby is founded.

This group is intended to be a place where ANY radio monitoring topic can and
should be discussed. We are happy to hear from posters who listen to any part
of the radio or microwave spectrum, from DC to daylight. We discuss topics of
almost any kind, ranging from (but not limited to) shortwave broadcasting, DXing small or distant shortwave stations, utility and teletype monitoring, military eavesdropping, station schedules, QSLing sw broadcasters, spectrum

usage, equipment design and modifications, antennas, receiver reviews and recommendations, and many more.

Despite what the newsgroup name might imply, we definitely DO NOT limit discussions to shortwave only. Any radio-related topics are welcome. People interested in scanning VHF frequencies may find that the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup more accurately reflects their interests, and people interested in pirate radio may want to check the alt.radio.pirate newsgroup, although this group seems to be more interested in building small FM transmitters than in hearing distant shortwave pirates.

For more in-depth answers to questions you may have, we direct your attention
to the postings entitled "Welcome to rec.radio.shortwave (Shortwave)", and "Welcome to rec.radio.shortwave (AM/FM DXing)", depending on where your interests lie. Scanner enthusiasts are also encouraged to read Bob Parnass' excellent monthly FAQ postings on rec.radio.scanner.

FAQ, of course, stands for Frequently Asked Questions. It is considered good form to read the FAQ(s) for a newsgroup before posting to ensure that ones posting is appropriate. You can find FAQs for most newsgroups at ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/ by anonymous ftp, or on WWW at http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/hypertext/faq/usenet/FAQ-List.html . Look under the following URL for a list of all radio-related FAQs.

http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/hypertext/faq/usenet/radio/top.html

P.S. For those of you without the patience to look in the appropriate place, the BBC World Service can be reached by e-mail at worldservice.letters@bbc.co.uk. This has become probably the single most frequently requested piece of information on rec.radio.shortwave.

> .

2643. Re: Is there an FAQ for this newsgroup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 12:46:18 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dda56be$0$12507$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230570

On 05/22/2011 08:24 AM, Paul W. Schleck wrote:
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Is there an FAQ for this newsgroup?

13 What is rec.radio.shortwave for?

Welcome to the rec.radio.shortwave newsgroup. This group was created late in 1989 after a suggestion by Richard Shapiro, in order for those of us who are interested in the hobby of monitoring radio communications to have a friendly
place to exchange messages, tips, and opinions. If you are interested in this
sort of hobby, then we think that you will be at home here.

This is a set of monthly postings put together to answer some of the more commonly asked basic questions about the hobby. There is a posting explaining
some of the basics of shortwave (HF) radio, and one covering medium wave (also
known as AM) and FM DXing. There is also one covering scanning the higher frequencies (VHF and up) that appears in the newsgroup rec.radio.scanner. If you find that you have other questions, either following up items mentioned here, or other questions, please post. There are a number of people here with
varying degrees of expertise and experience who are more than willing to help.
We hope we've anticipated many of the questions the beginner will have. And so, without further ado....

As stated above this group was created for USENETers to have a place to discuss
the radio monitoring hobby. This group is not moderated, however 'serious' arguments and any kind of flame wars are strongly discouraged. That is not to
say that genuine disagreement and discussion of differing opinions is not welcome. We only ask that you keep the tone friendly, and in the spirit of international cooperation upon which the hobby is founded.

This group is intended to be a place where ANY radio monitoring topic can and
should be discussed. We are happy to hear from posters who listen to any part
of the radio or microwave spectrum, from DC to daylight. We discuss topics of

almost any kind, ranging from (but not limited to) shortwave broadcasting, DXing small or distant shortwave stations, utility and teletype monitoring, military eavesdropping, station schedules, QSLing sw broadcasters, spectrum usage, equipment design and modifications, antennas, receiver reviews and recommendations, and many more.

Despite what the newsgroup name might imply, we definitely DO NOT limit discussions to shortwave only. Any radio-related topics are welcome. People interested in scanning VHF frequencies may find that the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup more accurately reflects their interests, and people interested in pirate radio may want to check the alt.radio.pirate newsgroup, although this group seems to be more interested in building small FM transmitters than in hearing distant shortwave pirates.

For more in-depth answers to questions you may have, we direct your attention
to the postings entitled "Welcome to rec.radio.shortwave (Shortwave)", and "Welcome to rec.radio.shortwave (AM/FM DXing)", depending on where your interests lie. Scanner enthusiasts are also encouraged to read Bob Parnass' excellent monthly FAQ postings on rec.radio.scanner.

FAQ, of course, stands for Frequently Asked Questions. It is considered good form to read the FAQ(s) for a newsgroup before posting to ensure that ones posting is appropriate. You can find FAQs for most newsgroups at ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/ by anonymous ftp, or on WWW at http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/hypertext/faq/usenet/FAQ-List.html . Look under the following URL for a list of all radio-related FAQs.

http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/hypertext/faq/usenet/radio/top.html

P.S. For those of you without the patience to look in the appropriate place, the BBC World Service can be reached by e-mail at worldservice.letters@bbc.co.uk. This has become probably the single most frequently requested piece of information on rec.radio.shortwave.

2644. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 12:46:33 UTC
From: Doctor Gaius Baltar <billaryclinton2008@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <90374e8c-5bdd-457e-b839-d7e4b8cee9fa@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230571
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 22, 1:15 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
>
>
> > Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
>
> >> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
> >> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
>
> >>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
>
> >>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
> >>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...

> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
> >>>>> says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
> >>>>>>> after
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
> >>>>> miracles
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
> >>>>>>>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
> >>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
> >>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
> >>>>> true.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> either
> >>>>> case,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
> >>>>>>>>>>>> to
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.

>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
> >>>>> moderator.
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
> >>>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)
> >>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
> >>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give
> >>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
> >>>>>>> unfounded
> >>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
> >>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
> >>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
> >>>>>>> would
> >>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
> >>>>>>>>>>> and
> >>>>>>> it
> >>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
> >>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
> >>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
> >>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
> >>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
> >>>>>> Nope.
> >>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
> >>>>> you believe that there is not.
> >>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
> >>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
> >>>> Got it now?
> >>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
> >>> deny that?
> >> Because you're wrong as usual.
> >>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
> >>>>>> belief.
> >>>>> That's an opinion.
> >>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
> >>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
> >>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
> >>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
> >> Nope.
> >>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
> >>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
> >>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
> >>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
> >>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
> >>>> Then let's hear it.
> >>> They have already told you.
>
> >>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
>
> >>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
> >>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.

> >>>>>>> In your opinion.
> >>>>>> Fact
> >>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
> >>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
> >>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
> >> Prove there is a god.
> > No need, I don't claim that there is one.
>
> >> Then respond.
>
> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
>
> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>
> Regards,
> JS

The universe is indeed a vast and complex place. I once belived all
that I had been taught. But as an engineer. the science is
overwhelming. Just look at the Hubble deep space images and peer back
13 billion years.

To imagine that some supernatural being could be that enormously
omnipotent defies all rational logic. It defies all known physics.
That's why its called faith.

God is clever. You have to die to learn the truth. How much more
unfair could that be?

2645. EARN 1000 DOLLARS PER DAY - PART TIME JOBS - ONLINE JOBS AVAILABLE - CLICK HERE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 13:08:37 UTC
From: maha <vithyamaha6@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <886c5201-a231-409d-864b-df8319bdaa0b@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230572

http://victory-parttimejobs.blogspot.com/

http://victory-parttimejobs.blogspot.com/

http://victory-parttimejobs.blogspot.com/

http://victory-parttimejobs.blogspot.com/

http://victory-parttimejobs.blogspot.com/

2646. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 13:29:39 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DDA6143-8817@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230573

Perhaps the Universe (us Humans too) is an Experiment of GOD.
There might come a time when GOD wipes the slate clean and starts all
over again,,, or not.
cuhulin

2647. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 13:32:20 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28442beda3d9457498a24f@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230574
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
In article <923332eb-bef3-4587-9370-
79b77643ce4b@y31g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com
says...
>
> On May 21, 4:37ï¿œpm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > "Iarnrod" <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> > news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
> >
> > >> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > >> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >
> > >> >news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
> >
> > >> > > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
> >
> > >> > >> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > >> > >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> > >> > >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> > >> > >>>> ...
> > >> > >>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
> > >> > >>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of
> > >> > >>>> photographic
> > >> > >>>> evidence is noted.
> >
> > >> > >>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
> > >> > >>>> claims.
> >
> > >> > >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal
> > >> > >>> with
> > >> > >>> it ...
> >
> > >> > >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that
> > >> > >> you
> > >> > >> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking
```

> > >> > >> to
> > >> > >> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.
> >
> > >> > >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. ï¿œAll I do is repeat
> > >> > >>> the
> > >> > >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
> >
> > >> > >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so
> > >> > >> ignorant
> > >> > >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
> > >> > >> falling
> > >> > >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his experts
> > >> > >> might not
> > >> > >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy their
> > >> > >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
> >
> > >> > >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
> > >> > >> admission.
> >
> > >> > >> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk
> > >> > >> about
> > >> > >> this stuff then are you?
> >
> > >> > >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they
> > >> > >> might
> > >> > >> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing
> > >> > >> the
> > >> > >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and
> > >> > >> can't
> > >> > >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
> >
> > >> > >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your
> > >> > >> own
> > >> > >> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you
> > >> > >> for
> > >> > >> even a second?
> >
> > >> > > I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others
> > >> > > if
> > >> > > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are
> > >> > > fooled
> > >> > > into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts, etc.?
> >
> > >> > IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide quoted
> > >> > text -
> >
> > >> > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > >> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.
> >

> > > What missile attack, insane nut job?
> >
> > Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis of the
> > frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane crashing into
> > the side of the Pentagon.
> >
> > Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even consider is that
> > even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly preclude a missile
> > given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to the 5 stories of
> > the Pentagon.
> >
> > But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and that's good
> > enough "proof" for Joe.
> >
> > >> Which
> > >> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
> >
> > > When did missiles hit it?
> >
> > I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
> >
> > >> Three missiles are were
> > >> recorded in the same FBI release,
> >
> > > Show us, insnae nut job.
> >
> > Yea, one "missile" per frame. <snicker>
> >
> > >> Well the remnates of the first one is
> > >> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see the
> > >> next two in motion!
> >
> > > Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
> >
> > "It is too late for that"
> >
> > Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
> contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>
> Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!

No, it takes some serious mental problems, serious drug abuse, or a
combo of both. What is it with you?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2648. WLO {ShipCom} on 4396 kHz [USB] @ 0815 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 13:36:10 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <712ae0b7-191a-4bdd-bd23-9df6f6859801@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230575

WLO {ShipCom} on 4396 kHz [USB] @ 0815 UTC
on 22 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S1~S2 with SIO 444 and good audio

Several "WLO" IDs and Alaskan Gulf Weather Report
@ 0815 UTC followed by 'Standing-By' @ 0820 UTC
.
ShipCom "WLO" Mobile, AL Frequencies :
http://www.shipcom.com/frequencies.html
4369; 4396; 6519; 8788; 8806; 13,110,
& 13,152 kHz [USB]
.
NOTE : ShipCom also has "KLB" Seattle, WA
Frequencies : 4405; 8731; 13,101; & 13,311 kHz [USB]
.
ShipCom "WLO" Top-of-the-Hour [UTC] Weather Reports
http://www.shipcom.com/frequencies.html
Alaska Offshore : 0800, 1500 UTC
East Pacific : 0800, 1500, 2000 UTC
.
INFO : Aviation and Marine Utility [UTES] on the
http://www.muarc.com/MF%20HF%20SSB%20Frequencies.htm
Shortwave [High Frequency] Radio Bands
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/36dcdc7938d853d2
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2649. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 14:12:01 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DDA6B31-8836@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230578

Oh Puh-lease
http://www.drudgereport.com

I Guarantee you, there is NOTHING! Irish about That SOB!
cuhulin

2650. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy is wrong, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 14:16:57 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <YrednVqcw8zD8UfQnZ2dnUVZ5uudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

the tard boy lied:

> On May 22, 11:11 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>
>> the tard boy lied:
>
>>> On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> the tard boy lied:
>
>>>>> Yes, he
>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>>
>>> Yes
>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in

>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>
>>
>>Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
>
> Yes

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2651. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 14:19:48 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DDA6D04-2862@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230577

So, where is George H.W.Bush's Genuine Birth Certificate.Some people say
he was Born in Germany!
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=George+H+Scherff+Jr

Damn Nazi! How many times did he say new world order in his speeches?
cuhulin

2652. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 15:01:13 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284440c216de5a8998a251@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230579

In article <28986-4DDA6D04-2862@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>,
cuhulin@webtv.net says...
>
> So, where is George H.W.Bush's Genuine Birth Certificate.Some people say
> he was Born in Germany!
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=George+H+Scherff+Jr
>
> Damn Nazi! How many times did he say new world order in his speeches?
> cuhulin

Only kooks think he was born in Germany. Time for you to have another
drink.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2653. Radio Fly [PNG] on 5960 kHz @ 1045 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 15:54:34 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <897f7db9-9d0d-4a5b-84e3-b8d4e0aae6fc@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230580

Radio Fly [PNG] on 5960 kHz @ 1045 UTC
on 22 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S5~S8 with SIO 343 and fair audio

What sounds like Happy Disco {Dance} Music
{recording runs-long} that is sung in 'Tok Pisin'
Language of Papua New Guinea (PNG) mostly
female singer's voices.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tok_Pisin
-followed-by-
Top-of-the-Hour : Radio Australian News in English
@1100 UTC and "Radio Fly" ID in Male Voice along
with 5960 Kilohertz Two "Papua New Guinea" IDs
-followed-by-
Several more "Radio Fly" IDs and back to Music
.
"Radio Fly" from Papua New Guinea [PNG]
http://www.short-wave.info/?station=Radio%20Fly
Frequencies : 3915 kHz @ 2000~1200 UTC
and 5960 kHz @ 2000~1200 UTC
.
Radio Fly -supported-by- Ok Tedi Mine
http://www.oktedi.com/community-and-environment/community/radio-fly-and-otv
.
"Radio Fly" -info- Shortwave Broadcast Schedule
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/2011/04/radio-fly-would-like-to-hear-from.html
-and-
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/search/label/Radio%20Fly
-source- Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog-Spot
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/
-and- PNG's "Radio Fly" on Shortwave BCL New .It
http://bclnews.blogspot.com/2010/05/pngs-radio-fly-on-shortwave.html
.
-working-the-dx-grey-line-for-png-
Current local time in Port Moresby [PNG]
http://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/city.html?n=193
-note- PNG : UTC/GMT +10 Hours
-note- SFO [DST] : UTC/GMT -7 Hours
http://www.worldtimeserver.com/current_time_in_PG.aspx
* PNG Sunrise: 06:15 [SFO 13:15 ~1PM]
* PNG Sunset: 18:02 [SFO 01:02 ~1AM]
http://www.theworldtime.net/lae-papua-new-guinea-4697.html
.
-non-radio-related-about-the-location-

Ok Tedi Mine -aerial-photo-
http://homepage.mac.com/bruceewen/blog/user_files/ok_tedi_mine_web.jpg
-and- Tabubil Mining Town
http://en.academic.ru/pictures/enwiki/84/Tabubil-from-the-air.jpg
+plus+ Ok Tedi Environment - Impacts
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ok_Tedi_Mine
http://www.rettet-die-elbe.de/oktedi/environ2.htm
http://lifeofngaire.files.wordpress.com/2011/04/png_map.jpg
.
Kiunga and the Fly River
http://homepage.mac.com/bruceewen/blog/user_files/kfromair_web.jpg
http://homepage.mac.com/bruceewen/blog/user_files/tabubil_web.jpg
http://homepage.mac.com/bruceewen/Sites/blog/user_files/PNG4.jpg
http://www.istp.murdoch.edu.au/ISTP/casestudies/Case_Studies_Asia/oktedi/Image2.gif
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2654. Radio Fly [PNG] on 5960 kHz @ 1033 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 16:21:21 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <e248e5b4-c8f2-4171-9c1d-36d3eea671c1@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230581

Radio Fly [PNG] on 5960 kHz @ 1033 UTC
on 23 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S3~S5 with SIO 343 and fair audio

Call-In Line with what sounds like Happy
Disco {Dance} Music {recording runs-long}
that is sung in 'Tok Pisin' Language of
Papua New Guinea (PNG) mostly female
singer's voices.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tok_Pisin
"Radio Fly" ID 1055 UTC
-followed-by-
Top-of-the-Hour : Radio Australian News in
English @ 1100 UTC and "Radio Fly" ID in
Male Voice with "Radio Fly" IDs @ 1113 &
1114 UTC and back to Call-in Music.

NOTE : Heavy QRM @ 1100 UTC from CRI on
5955 kHz; and had to change from AM Mode
with 4 kHz Filter to USB Mode with 2.3 kHz
Filter.
.
"Radio Fly" from Papua New Guinea [PNG]
http://www.short-wave.info/?station=Radio%20Fly
Frequencies : 3915 kHz @ 2000~1200 UTC
and 5960 kHz @ 2000~1200 UTC
.
Radio Fly -supported-by- Ok Tedi Mine
http://www.oktedi.com/community-and-environment/community/radio-fly-and-otv
.
"Radio Fly" -info- Shortwave Broadcast Schedule
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/2011/04/radio-fly-would-like-to-hear-from.html
-and-
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/search/label/Radio%20Fly
-source- Monitoring Times Shortwave Blog-Spot
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/
-and- PNG's "Radio Fly" on Shortwave BCL New .It
http://bclnews.blogspot.com/2010/05/pngs-radio-fly-on-shortwave.html
.
-working-the-dx-grey-line-for-png-
Current local time in Port Moresby [PNG]
http://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/city.html?n=193
-note- PNG : UTC/GMT +10 Hours
-note- SFO [DST] : UTC/GMT -7 Hours

http://www.worldtimeserver.com/current_time_in_PG.aspx
* PNG Sunrise: 06:15 [SFO 13:15 ~1PM]
* PNG Sunset: 18:02 [SFO 01:02 ~1AM]
http://www.theworldtime.net/lae-papua-new-guinea-4697.html
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.
Prior "Radio Fly" Shortwave Radio Reception
Reports and Info :
.
Radio Fly [PNG] on 5960 kHz @ 1045 UTC
on 22 Mayo 2011
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/54f7d6a8d6df158a
.
.

2655. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 16:59:43 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEE65B13278Ehopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230582
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irbjfenaf1@dont-email.me:

> On 5/22/2011 10:58 AM, RHF wrote:
>> ...
>> - It is the University of California at Santa Cruz
>> - who you are calling idiots.
>>
>> Well Now... any Institution of Higher Learning
>> with 'Slug' {For Brains} as their Mascot is a
>> http://www.kohoso.us/images/ucsc.png
>> place that 'I' am not Banana's about. ~ RHF
>> http://www.ucsc.edu/about/mascot.html
>> -wrt- Sammy the Slug
>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California,_Santa_Cruz#Mascot
>> ...
>
> Since the figures are actually a summary of the federal reserve and
> govt. figures, the ultimate message comes from them ... you will notice,
> no one is able to come up with figures which dispute them -- that often
> happens with the truth ...

Particularly when you post both sides.......you didn't.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2656. CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 17:06:35 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <b3c442a3-3fa9-41e7-bada-233f603ac434@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230583

CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC
on 23 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 545 and Good Audio

"CRI" News ID @ 1102 UTC
Chinese Vice-Prime Minister Visits Angola
Iceland Airport Closed due to Volcano
Beijing Weather Sun Shine and 29*C
and Weather around the World
"CRI" ID @ 1105 UTC
Program ID "Beijing Hour" @ 1105 UTC
http://english.cri.cn/cribb/programs/hour.htm
Topic : Unique Tibet University
.
China Radio International [CRI] English Portal
http://english.cri.cn/
.
CRI Shortwave Frequencies in North America
http://english.cri.cn/7146/2010/03/30/2141s560015.htm
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
5955 kHz @ 1100-1600 UTC
CHN : China Radio Int. : E : FE : b
from China [PRC] in English to the Far East
Transmitter Site : Beijing
.
ABOUT - China Radio International [CRI]
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/China_Radio_International
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2657. Re: The Great "Rapture" Smack-Down a Shortwave Radio Event : It's The Day-After !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 17:34:09 UTC
From: Read the book <Scripture@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8UwCp.12502$7N5.3923@newsfe04.iad>
Source record: 230584

No man know the hour!
Mathew 24:36
" " 25:13
Revelation 3:3

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1f64dd6a-a873-4ebc-9a2c-f0e29be36b43@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
On May 14, 4:45 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 12, 3:51 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > Brother Star was a Preaching -a'gin- Brother Camping
> > last night on the Shortwave Radio on 5890 kHz WWCR
> > saying ONLY a Prophet-of-God could predict the Last
> > Day; and that Brother Camping was not a prophet.
>
> > The Overcomer Ministry with Brother Stair
> > [PoG]http://www.overcomerministry.org/
> > .
> > WWCR Shortwave Program
> > Schedulehttp://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> > Transmitter # 4 for the Overcomer Ministry with
> > Brother Stair [PoG] 5890 & 9890 kHz
> > .
> > WYFR : Family Radio World Wide with Brother
> > Campinghttp://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
> > * The Judgement Day 21 Mayo 2011
> > {Commercial}http://www.familyradio.com/may21/
> > .
> > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
> > :http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .
>
> The Count-Down Continues 7 Days Left
> until 21 Mayo 2011 , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
> ,http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
> .
> .

Up-Date The Day-After ***Up-Date***

The Un-Count-Down Continues Now @ +1
'The Day-After' ! 21 Mayo 2011 , , , ,
http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
.
IT'S 'THE DAY AFTER' ...and...
.
WYFR Shortwave is still On-the-Air
-thanks-for-all-the-'end-of-times'-donations-
http://www.familyradio.com/index2.html
and... You Can Still Hear Brother Camping
'The Bible Answer Man'
On-the-Shortwave-Radio -via- WYFR :o)
.
Brother Stair is is still On-the-Air
-thanks-for-all-the-'last-day'-donations-
http://www.overcomerministry.org/index.php
An You Can Still Hear Brother Stair
'The Voice of the Last Day Prophet of God'
On-the-Shortwave-Radio -via- WWRB & WWCR :o)
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brother_Stair
-remember-:-it-ain't-over-yet...-
.
and... yes 'i' am still here too :o)
and still listening to the radio ~ RHF
.
.
* * * That Something Extra * * *
http://www.bibleandscience.com/otherviews/camping.htm
Brother Camping received a Bachelor-of-Science
[BS] Degree in 'Civil Engineering' from the
University of California [UC] at Berkeley.
-and-they-want-it-back-;;-}}-
.
.

_____ Information from ESET Smart Security, version of virus signature
database 6145 (20110523) _____

The message was checked by ESET Smart Security.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET Smart Security, version of virus signature database 6145
(20110523) _____

The message was checked by ESET Smart Security.

http://www.eset.com

2658. QSL - Kingdom of Bahrain (amateur)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 17:36:31 UTC
From: hallicrafter@collins.net
Message-ID: <jv5lt610j8d0c912ptcbt39alo47fd93e2@4ax.com>
Source record: 230585

Received today. QSL card from Hidd, Bahrain for contact 3/30/2011 on
20 meters SSB.

Jim (MI)

2659. Radio Australia via CKZU 'CBC Overnight' on 6160 kHz @ 1125 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 17:47:03 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <32cc474b-0a26-4b37-92db-a02f4ec1af3f@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230586

Radio Australia via CKZU 'CBC Overnight'
on 6160 kHz @ 1125 UTC on 23 March 2011

S-Meter : S7~S8 with SIO 444 and good audio

Hearing Radio Australia [RA] Program on
6160 kHz @ 1125 UTC {4:25 AM PDT Local}
.
-so- This is CKZU 6160 kHz, relay of CBU
690 kHz - Vancouver, BC which broadcasts
the CBC Radio One Program "CBC Overnight"
http://www.cbc.ca/overnight/schedule.htmlming
4AM Radio Australia : Australia Bite/Innovations
on the Sunday Schedule
.
This RA Program would have 'Aired' @ 0800 UTC
on CKZN from St. John's, Newfoundland
.
Prior "CKZU" CBC#1 Shortwave Radio
Reception Reports and Info :
CKZN?CKZU : CBC#1 on 6160 kHz @ 0715 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/cf0b0c69b2040627
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2660. Re: Radio Fly [PNG] on 5960 kHz @ 1033 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 18:49:37 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <10f0ea4a-68f1-4348-8756-15d1870afddb@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230587

On May 23, 9:21 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Radio Fly [PNG] on 5960 kHz @ 1033 UTC
> on 23 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : S3~S5 with SIO 343 and fair audio
...

> NOTE : Heavy QRM @ 1100 UTC from CRI on
> 5955 kHz; and had to change from AM Mode
> with 4 kHz Filter to USB Mode with 2.3 kHz
> Filter.
....

Yeah, same here - whenever CRI (b = Beijing) comes on 5955 at 1100,
always a bunch of bleedover. Need to shift to USB narrow. China also
uses this frequency for a Brasilia relay at 1200z in Mongolian, of all
things - even as Radio Sao Paulo from Brazil itself uses the channel
24/7. Does the world REALLY need all this from China?

Bruce Jensen

2661. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 19:05:55 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ireb6k$p5j$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230588
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/22/2011 2:16 PM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 22, 4:47 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>> "Iarnrod"<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com...
>>>> On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>>>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>> news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
>>>>>>>>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of
>>>>>>>>>> photographic
>>>>>>>>>> evidence is noted.
>>>>>>>>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
>>>>>>>>>> claims.
>>>>>>>>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal
>>>>>>>>> with
>>>>>>>>> it ...
>>>>>>>> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that
>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking
>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.
>>>>>>>>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat
>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>>>>>>>> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so
>>>>>>>> ignorant
>>>>>>>> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
>>>>>>>> falling
>>>>>>>> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his experts

>>>>>>>> might not
>>>>>>>> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy their
>>>>>>>> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>>>>>>>> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
>>>>>>>> admission.
>>>>>>>> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk
>>>>>>>> about
>>>>>>>> this stuff then are you?
>>>>>>>> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they
>>>>>>>> might
>>>>>>>> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing
>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and
>>>>>>>> can't
>>>>>>>> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>>>>>>>> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your
>>>>>>>> own
>>>>>>>> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you
>>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>>> even a second?
>>>>>>> I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others
>>>>>>> if
>>>>>>> they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are
>>>>>>> fooled
>>>>>>> into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts, etc.?
>>>>>> IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide quoted
>>>>>> text -
>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>>> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.
>>>> What missile attack, insane nut job?
>>> Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis of the
>>> frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane crashing into
>>> the side of the Pentagon.
>>> Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even consider is that
>>> even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly preclude a missile
>>> given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to the 5 stories of
>>> the Pentagon.
>>> But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and that's good
>>> enough "proof" for Joe.
>>>>> Which
>>>>> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>>>> When did missiles hit it?
>>> I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>>>>> Three missiles are were
>>>>> recorded in the same FBI release,
>>>> Show us, insnae nut job.
>>> Yea, one "missile" per frame.<snicker>
>>>>> Well the remnates of the first one is
>>>>> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see the
>>>>> next two in motion!

>>>> Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>>> "It is too late for that"
>>> Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>>> - Show quoted text -
>> But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
>> contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>>
>> Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
> PS
>
> I did not pay any attention to what the guy in the middle link says I
> just saw the missile on my own look at it before the red box is drawn!
>
> Then in the top link you can see it over and over again,There is no
> plane just a missile launched from withing the fenced in constrution
> area.
>
> What kind of military vehicle is that at mark 7:03 in the video below
> a flatbed firetruck? I recall seeing it parked beside the construction
> gererater dont you?
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SjcoPEGRXjo&feature=player_embedded

There is some reason the tape of what actually happened at the pentacon
has NOT been released, even yet, today ...

Regards,
JS

2662. Re: Is there an FAQ for this newsgroup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 19:10:14 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irebem$p5j$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230589

On 5/22/2011 8:24 AM, Paul W. Schleck wrote:
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Is there an FAQ for this newsgroup?
>
> Last update I had heard was from John Kasupski about 3 1/2 years ago.
> In response to suggestions by other rec.radio.shortwave readers that an
> update of Ralph Brandi's original version could be useful, he loudly and
> forcefully insisted that he was the only author of such an FAQ, and no
> other person should get involved.
>
> No such updated FAQ beyond an initial, and incomplete, draft was
> presented, along with forceful reminders from him that no one else
> should get involved. Has anything been heard from him, or any other
> replacement maintainer, since then?
>
> - --
> Paul W. Schleck
> pschleck@novia.net
> http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
> Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key
>
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: GnuPG v1.4.5 (SunOS)
>
> iD8DBQFN2Sob6Pj0az779o4RAvAWAJ9FTZQRySkjtEPgEA9mKNI8L56LvQCeKY6i
> Jz/9dBXeOg+5u8nu9zp88Rk=
> =r5Wz
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

Schleck the schlock still at it, never gives up, knows no end to failure ...

Regards,
JS

2663. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 19:11:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irebhi$p5j$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230590
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/23/2011 12:12 AM, Krypsis wrote:
> On 23/05/2011 3:15 AM, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>
>> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
>>
>> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>>
>
> The only definition that is in any way valid is that "God" is a
> personification of forces way beyond the average person's
> comprehension. In your case, I'd be surprised if you understand the
> concept of personification. You seem to understand so little of
> everything else conceptual.
>
> Krypsis
>

You play the part of a deluded genius all too well ... well, you got the deluded part down ... take a bit of work on the genius part.

ROFLOL

2664. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 19:14:04 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irebls$p5j$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230591
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/23/2011 5:46 AM, Doctor Gaius Baltar wrote:
> On May 22, 1:15 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
>>>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
>>>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
>>>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
>>>>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> says...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
>>>>>>>>> after
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
>>>>>>> miracles
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
>>>>>>> true.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either
>>>>>>> case,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
>>>>>>> moderator.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)
>>>>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give
>>>>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
>>>>>>>>> unfounded
>>>>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
>>>>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of
>>>>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
>>>>>>>>> would

>>>>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
>>>>>>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> it
>>>>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
>>>>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
>>>>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
>>>>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
>>>>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
>>>>>>>> Nope.
>>>>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
>>>>>>> you believe that there is not.
>>>>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
>>>>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
>>>>>> Got it now?
>>>>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
>>>>> deny that?
>>>> Because you're wrong as usual.
>>>>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
>>>>>>>> belief.
>>>>>>> That's an opinion.
>>>>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
>>>>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
>>>>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
>>>>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
>>>> Nope.
>>>>>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
>>>>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
>>>>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
>>>>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
>>>>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
>>>>>> Then let's hear it.
>>>>> They have already told you.
>>>>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
>>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
>>>>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
>>>>>>>>> In your opinion.
>>>>>>>> Fact
>>>>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
>>>>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
>>>>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
>>>> Prove there is a god.
>>> No need, I don't claim that there is one.
>>>> Then respond.
>> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
>>
>> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> The universe is indeed a vast and complex place. I once belived all

> that I had been taught. But as an engineer. the science is
> overwhelming. Just look at the Hubble deep space images and peer back
> 13 billion years.
>
> To imagine that some supernatural being could be that enormously
> omnipotent defies all rational logic. It defies all known physics.
> That's why its called faith.
>
> God is clever. You have to die to learn the truth. How much more
> unfair could that be?

The laughable part?

A person looks at it, sees the same I do, then tells me they are going to explain that to me. They tell me they know, my eyes only see, yet, another moron ... not so very different from camping ...

Regards,
JS

2665. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 19:20:50 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irec2j$3ri$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230592
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/23/2011 9:59 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irbjfenaf1@dont-
> email.me:
>
>> On 5/22/2011 10:58 AM, RHF wrote:
>>> ...
>>> - It is the University of California at Santa Cruz
>>> - who you are calling idiots.
>>>
>>> Well Now... any Institution of Higher Learning
>>> with 'Slug' {For Brains} as their Mascot is a
>>> http://www.kohoso.us/images/ucsc.png
>>> place that 'I' am not Banana's about. ~ RHF
>>> http://www.ucsc.edu/about/mascot.html
>>> -wrt- Sammy the Slug
>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California,
> _Santa_Cruz#Mascot
>>> ...
>> Since the figures are actually a summary of the federal reserve and
>> govt. figures, the ultimate message comes from them ... you will
> notice,
>> no one is able to come up with figures which dispute them -- that often
>> happens with the truth ...
> Particularly when you post both sides.......you didn't.

Whoever make the money pays the bill ... no-brainer ... this financial
crisis is just working out those terms.

Regards,
JS

2666. birthday party characters-Kids Fairy Land

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 19:27:19 UTC
From: Mishael AARONS <mishael.aarons@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0f8afb8d-748c-4a35-aa24-b39b7c6980b7@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230593

We are a leading children's entertainment company with a lot of experience and dedication. We offer high quality entertainment which is educational and fun for the whole family. We provide kids birthday party service, games ideas, party characters, children’s party clowns in Miami, and West Palm Beach.

We provide bilingual English/Spanish shows upon request in all south Florida, Broword and polm beach country. Kids Fairyland knows what you want for that reason, we provide with different shows with colorful outfits, the best games with costumes and accessories. Super dynamic and fun for the integration of all the kids.

We also have a great characters and princesses that bring the magic and illusion to your body, as well as professional sound equipments, lights and effects to make of your child's birthday an unforgettable day.
Clowns Miami, Birthday party characters http://www.kidsfairyland.com/
kids birthday party ideas, kids birthday party games
http://www.kidsfairyland.com/kidsparty.html

2667. Re: The Great "Rapture" Smack-Down a Shortwave Radio Event : It's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 19:29:32 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDAB59C-8553@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230595

Nobody knows when Jesus was born.
cuhulin

2668. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 19:29:50 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEE7F23DEE8Bhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230594
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irec2j$3ri$1@dont-
email.me:

> On 5/23/2011 9:59 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irbjfenaf1@dont-
>> email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/22/2011 10:58 AM, RHF wrote:
>>>> ...
>>>> - It is the University of California at Santa Cruz
>>>> - who you are calling idiots.
>>>>
>>>> Well Now... any Institution of Higher Learning
>>>> with 'Slug' {For Brains} as their Mascot is a
>>>> http://www.kohoso.us/images/ucsc.png
>>>> place that 'I' am not Banana's about. ~ RHF
>>>> http://www.ucsc.edu/about/mascot.html
>>>> -wrt- Sammy the Slug
>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California,
>> _Santa_Cruz#Mascot
>>>> ...
>>> Since the figures are actually a summary of the federal reserve and
>>> govt. figures, the ultimate message comes from them ... you will
>> notice,
>>> no one is able to come up with figures which dispute them -- that
often
>>> happens with the truth ...
>> Particularly when you post both sides.......you didn't.
>
> Whoever make the money pays the bill ... no-brainer ... this financial
> crisis is just working out those terms.

However, you wish to tax the wealthy even more even when the stats show
that they pay about where they should be when looking at the amount of
wealth or income that they show.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2669. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 19:34:30 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEE7FEE9B464hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230596
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
news:ireb6k$p5j$1@dont-email.me:

> On 5/22/2011 2:16 PM, joeturn wrote:
>> On May 22, 4:47 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>> "Iarnrod"<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.c
>>>> om...
>>>>> On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>>>>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>> news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should
>>>>>>>>>> accept your claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your
>>>>>>>>>> denial of photographic
>>>>>>>>>> evidence is noted.
>>>>>>>>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match
>>>>>>>>>> your claims.
>>>>>>>>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you
>>>>>>>>> deal with
>>>>>>>>> it ...
>>>>>>>> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and
>>>>>>>> that you
>>>>>>>> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be
>>>>>>>> talking to
>>>>>>>> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even
>>>>>>>> further.
>>>>>>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is
>>>>>>> repeat the
>>>>>>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.

>>>>>>>>> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is
>>>>>>>>> so ignorant
>>>>>>>>> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
>>>>>>>>> falling
>>>>>>>>> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his
>>>>>>>>> experts might not
>>>>>>>>> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy
>>>>>>>>> their Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>>>>>>>>> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your
>>>>>>>>> own admission.
>>>>>>>>> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to
>>>>>>>>> talk about
>>>>>>>>> this stuff then are you?
>>>>>>>>> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe
>>>>>>>>> they might
>>>>>>>>> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply
>>>>>>>>> mouthing the
>>>>>>>>> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support,
>>>>>>>>> and can't
>>>>>>>>> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>>>>>>>>> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by
>>>>>>>>> your own
>>>>>>>>> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to
>>>>>>>>> you for
>>>>>>>>> even a second?
>>>>>>>>> I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask
>>>>>>>>> others if
>>>>>>>>> they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they
>>>>>>>>> are fooled
>>>>>>>>> into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts,
>>>>>>>>> etc.?
>>>>>>>> IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide
>>>>>>>> quoted text -
>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>>>> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.
>>>>> What missile attack, insane nut job?
>>>> Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis of
>>>> the frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane
>>>> crashing into the side of the Pentagon.
>>>> Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even consider
>>>> is that even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly
>>>> preclude a missile given that it's at least 2 stories in height as
>>>> compared to the 5 stories of the Pentagon.
>>>> But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and
>>>> that's good enough "proof" for Joe.
>>>>>> Which
>>>>>> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>>>>> When did missiles hit it?
>>>> I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>>>>>> Three missiles are were

>>>>>> recorded in the same FBI release,
>>>>> Show us, insnae nut job.
>>>> Yea, one "missile" per frame.<snicker>
>>>>>> Well the remnates of the first one is
>>>>>> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see
>>>>>> the next two in motion!
>>>>> Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>>>> "It is too late for that"
>>>> Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>> But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
>>> contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>>>
>>> Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!-
>>> Hide quoted text -
>>>
>>> - Show quoted text -
>> PS
>>
>> I did not pay any attention to what the guy in the middle link says I
>> just saw the missile on my own look at it before the red box is
>> drawn!
>>
>> Then in the top link you can see it over and over again,There is no
>> plane just a missile launched from withing the fenced in constrution
>> area.
>>
>> What kind of military vehicle is that at mark 7:03 in the video below
>> a flatbed firetruck? I recall seeing it parked beside the
>> construction gererater dont you?
>>
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SjcoPEGRXjo&feature=player_embedded
>
> There is some reason the tape of what actually happened at the
> pentacon has NOT been released, even yet, today ...

Yes, it has. There are videos, tours of the damage, stories from the
survivors......what else do you want?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2670. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 19:38:01 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DDAB799-2659@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230597

Stanley Ann Dunham, the name Dunham has it's roots in/from England.That
Born in Kenya! SOB! has NO business at all going to Ireland!!!
cuhulin

2671. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 20:05:53 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ireenpoen1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230598
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EEE7F23DEE8Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irec2j$3ri$1@dont-
> email.me:
>
>> On 5/23/2011 9:59 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irbjfenaf1@dont-
>>> email.me:
>>>
>>>> On 5/22/2011 10:58 AM, RHF wrote:
>>>>> ...
>>>>> - It is the University of California at Santa Cruz
>>>>> - who you are calling idiots.
>>>>>
>>>>> Well Now... any Institution of Higher Learning
>>>>> with 'Slug' {For Brains} as their Mascot is a
>>>>> http://www.kohoso.us/images/ucsc.png
>>>>> place that 'I' am not Banana's about. ~ RHF
>>>>> http://www.ucsc.edu/about/mascot.html
>>>>> -wrt- Sammy the Slug
>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California,
>>> _Santa_Cruz#Mascot
>>>>> ...
>>>> Since the figures are actually a summary of the federal reserve and
>>>> govt. figures, the ultimate message comes from them ... you will
>>> notice,
>>>> no one is able to come up with figures which dispute them -- that
> often
>>>> happens with the truth ...
>>> Particularly when you post both sides.......you didn't.
>>
>> Whoever make the money pays the bill ... no-brainer ... this financial
>> crisis is just working out those terms.
>
> However, you wish to tax the wealthy even more even when the stats show
> that they pay about where they should be when looking at the amount of
> wealth or income that they show.
>
>
The wealthy, whose income is reported on 1099s, pay a lower effective tax
rate then people whose income is report on a W-2.
Up to 33% if you work at a job.....10% on dividends......for not working.

The more your make from NOT WORKING, the more likely your tax rate will approach 17.7% asymptotically.

2672. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 20:52:24 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <g6ilt6hmsihmgv0rp8qfdbfrjc75ri2s7j@4ax.com>
Source record: 230599
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Mon, 23 May 2011 17:12:01 +1000, Krypsis <krypsis@optusnet.com.au>
wrote:

>The only definition that is in any way valid is that "God" is a
>personification of forces way beyond the average person's comprehension.

Why? There have been religions that have had different definitions.

>In your case, I'd be surprised if you understand the concept of
>personification. You seem to understand so little of everything else
>conceptual.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

2673. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 21:07:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26134-4DDACC9D-767@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230600

We are all Experiments, Experiments gone Awry.
cuhulin

2674. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 21:17:05 UTC
From: Peter Franks <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <ireish$lh3$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230601
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/22/2011 9:11 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 22, 8:32 am, Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote:
>> On 5/19/2011 10:49 PM, MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> Peter Franks
>>>> On 5/19/2011 4:18 PM, Bert Hyman wrote:
>>>>> In news:Yoorghis
>>>>>> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith
>>
>>>>>>> A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>>>>>> complexities ...
>>
>>>>>> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to their
>>>>>> profit
>>
>>>>> Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the legitimate
>>>>> functions of government, or in taking money from people you don't like?
>>
>>>> I'll speak on his behalf: the latter.
>>
>>> Why SHOULDN'T things be taken from you in the name of the Common Good?
>
> - Because I worked for it.
> - Now, why SHOULD things be taken from me
> - in the name of the Common Good?
>
> -answer- "The Common Good"

The so-called "common good" destroys the individual, and in the process,
destroys the "common good". Therefore, there is NO common good.

2675. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 21:17:41 UTC
From: Peter Franks <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <ireitl$lh3$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230602
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/22/2011 9:17 AM, MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE wrote:
> Peter Franks
>> On 5/19/2011 10:49 PM, MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE wrote:
>>> Peter Franks
>>>> On 5/19/2011 4:18 PM, Bert Hyman wrote:
>>>>> In news:Yoorghis
>>>>>> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>>>>>> complexities ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to
>>>>>> their profit
>>>>>
>>>>> Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the legitimate
>>>>> functions of government, or in taking money from people you don't
>>>>> like?
>>>>
>>>> I'll speak on his behalf: the latter.
>>>
>>> Why SHOULDN'T things be taken from you in the name of the Common Good?
>>
>> Because I worked for it. Now, why SHOULD things be taken from me in the
>> name of the Common Good?
>
>
>
> If your Life is not your own, why should your money be?

Ah, but my life *is* my own.

2676. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 21:30:07 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEE9388C803Bhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230603
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:ireenp$oen$1@dont-email.me:

>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EEE7F23DEE8Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irec2j$3ri$1@dont-
>> email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/23/2011 9:59 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irbjfe$naf$1@dont-
>>>> email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/22/2011 10:58 AM, RHF wrote:
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> - It is the University of California at Santa Cruz
>>>>>> - who you are calling idiots.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Well Now... any Institution of Higher Learning
>>>>>> with 'Slug' {For Brains} as their Mascot is a
>>>>>> http://www.kohoso.us/images/ucsc.png
>>>>>> place that 'I' am not Banana's about. ~ RHF
>>>>>> http://www.ucsc.edu/about/mascot.html
>>>>>> -wrt- Sammy the Slug
>>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California,
>>>> _Santa_Cruz#Mascot
>>>>>> ...
>>>>> Since the figures are actually a summary of the federal reserve
>>>>> and govt. figures, the ultimate message comes from them ... you
>>>>> will
>>>> notice,
>>>>> no one is able to come up with figures which dispute them -- that
>> often
>>>>> happens with the truth ...
>>>> Particularly when you post both sides.......you didn't.
>>>
>>> Whoever make the money pays the bill ... no-brainer ... this
>>> financial crisis is just working out those terms.
>>
>> However, you wish to tax the wealthy even more even when the stats
>> show that they pay about where they should be when looking at the
>> amount of wealth or income that they show.
>>
>>
```

> The wealthy, whose income is reported on 1099s, pay a lower effective
> tax rate then people whose income is report on a W-2.
> Up to 33% if you work at a job.....10% on dividends......for not
> working.

So what? The top 1% owns about 42% of the wealth, has about about 35% of the total net worth and pays about 40% of the income tax. Those numbers are pretty much in line.

Meanwhile the bottom 80% owns about 7% of the wealth, about 15% of the net worth and pays 11% of the income taxes. In fact the bottom 50% only pays 2.7% of those income taxes.

Again, pretty much in line.

> The more your make from NOT WORKING, the more likely your tax rate
> will approach 17.7% asymptotically.

IOW, you are saying that the MORE money you make, the MORE money you should owe the government and the LESS money you earn, the MORE money the government owes you?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2677. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 21:30:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DDAD20E-8753@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230605

Somebody on tee vee said Mable.Anna that made me remember another old
Beer commercial.
Oh Mable, Black Label,,,, Carling Black Label Beeeeeerrr,,,,,,

One of those vehicles in the motorcade got hung up in Ireland.I saw it
on 4:00 PM WLBT 3 tee vee noos.
cuhulin

2678. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 21:38:00 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irek4guva1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230604
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EEE9388C803Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:ireenpoen1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EEE7F23DEE8Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irec2j$3ri$1@dont-
>>> email.me:
>>>
>>>> On 5/23/2011 9:59 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irbjfenaf1@dont-
>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/22/2011 10:58 AM, RHF wrote:
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> - It is the University of California at Santa Cruz
>>>>>>> - who you are calling idiots.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Well Now... any Institution of Higher Learning
>>>>>>> with 'Slug' {For Brains} as their Mascot is a
>>>>>>> http://www.kohoso.us/images/ucsc.png
>>>>>>> place that 'I' am not Banana's about. ~ RHF
>>>>>>> http://www.ucsc.edu/about/mascot.html
>>>>>>> -wrt- Sammy the Slug
>>>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California,
>>>>> _Santa_Cruz#Mascot
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Since the figures are actually a summary of the federal reserve
>>>>>> and govt. figures, the ultimate message comes from them ... you
>>>>>> will
>>>>> notice,
>>>>>> no one is able to come up with figures which dispute them -- that
>>> often
>>>>>> happens with the truth ...
>>>>> Particularly when you post both sides.......you didn't.
>>>>
>>>> Whoever make the money pays the bill ... no-brainer ... this
>>>> financial crisis is just working out those terms.
>>>
>>> However, you wish to tax the wealthy even more even when the stats
>>> show that they pay about where they should be when looking at the
>>> amount of wealth or income that they show.

>>>
>>>
>> The wealthy, whose income is reported on 1099s, pay a lower effective
>> tax rate then people whose income is report on a W-2.
>> Up to 33% if you work at a job.....10% on dividends......for not
>> working.
>
> So what? The top 1% owns about 42% of the wealth, has about about 35% of
> the total net worth and pays about 40% of the income tax. Those numbers
> are pretty much in line.
>
> Meanwhile the bottom 80% owns about 7% of the wealth, about 15% of the
> net worth and pays 11% of the income taxes. In fact the bottom 50% only
> pays 2.7% of those income taxes.
>
> Again, pretty much in line.
>
>
>> The more your make from NOT WORKING, the more likely your tax rate
>> will approach 17.7% asymptotically.
>
> IOW, you are saying that the MORE money you make, the MORE money you
> should owe the government and the LESS money you earn, the MORE money the
> government owes you?
>
>
>
.
.
"IOW" are your words.
Donï¿½t put words in my mouth.

America's wealthy are under taxed.
Are workers are overtaxed.

The Republican con job continues

2679. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 22:12:03 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEE9AA4E64Bhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230606
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irek4guva1@dont-email.me:

>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EEE9388C803Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in
>> news:ireenpoen1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9EEE7F23DEE8Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irec2j$3ri$1@dont-
>>>> email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/23/2011 9:59 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irbjfenaf1@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/22/2011 10:58 AM, RHF wrote:
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> - It is the University of California at Santa Cruz
>>>>>>>> - who you are calling idiots.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Well Now... any Institution of Higher Learning
>>>>>>>> with 'Slug' {For Brains} as their Mascot is a
>>>>>>>> http://www.kohoso.us/images/ucsc.png
>>>>>>>> place that 'I' am not Banana's about. ~ RHF
>>>>>>>> http://www.ucsc.edu/about/mascot.html
>>>>>>>> -wrt- Sammy the Slug
>>>>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California,
>>>>>>> _Santa_Cruz#Mascot
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> Since the figures are actually a summary of the federal reserve
>>>>>>> and govt. figures, the ultimate message comes from them ... you
>>>>>>> will
>>>>>> notice,
>>>>>>> no one is able to come up with figures which dispute them --
>>>>>>> that
>>>>> often
>>>>>>> happens with the truth ...
>>>>>> Particularly when you post both sides.....you didn't.
>>>>>
>>>>> Whoever make the money pays the bill ... no-brainer ... this

>>>>> financial crisis is just working out those terms.
>>>>
>>>> However, you wish to tax the wealthy even more even when the stats
>>>> show that they pay about where they should be when looking at the
>>>> amount of wealth or income that they show.
>>>>
>>>>
>>> The wealthy, whose income is reported on 1099s, pay a lower
>>> effective tax rate then people whose income is report on a W-2.
>>> Up to 33% if you work at a job.....10% on dividends......for not
>>> working.
>>
>> So what? The top 1% owns about 42% of the wealth, has about about
>> 35% of the total net worth and pays about 40% of the income tax.
>> Those numbers are pretty much in line.
>>
>> Meanwhile the bottom 80% owns about 7% of the wealth, about 15% of
>> the net worth and pays 11% of the income taxes. In fact the bottom
>> 50% only pays 2.7% of those income taxes.
>>
>> Again, pretty much in line.
>>
>>
>>> The more your make from NOT WORKING, the more likely your tax rate
>>> will approach 17.7% asymptotically.
>>
>> IOW, you are saying that the MORE money you make, the MORE money you
>> should owe the government and the LESS money you earn, the MORE money
>> the government owes you?
>>
>>
>>
> .
> .
> "IOW" are your words.
> Don't put words in my mouth.

Did you see that curly thing at the end? (There's another one) It means
that it was a question. How is that putting words in your mouth? all
you need to do is answer it....the words you use will be your own.

> America's wealthy are under taxed.
> Are workers are overtaxed.

So far, you haven't shown that. I have shown data, you have simply run
your mouth and accused me of trying to put words in there for you to say.
;)

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2680. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 22:27:29 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iren0iiae1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230607
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EEE7FEE9B464hopewell@216.196.121.131...
> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:ireb6k$p5j$1@dont-email.me:
>
>> On 5/22/2011 2:16 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>> On May 22, 4:47 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>> On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>>> "Iarnrod"<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.c
>>>>> om...
>>>>>> On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>>>>>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>> news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>>> news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should
>>>>>>>>>>> accept your claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your
>>>>>>>>>>> denial of photographic
>>>>>>>>>>> evidence is noted.
>>>>>>>>>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match
>>>>>>>>>>> your claims.
>>>>>>>>>>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you
>>>>>>>>>>> deal with
>>>>>>>>>>> it ...
>>>>>>>>>> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and
>>>>>>>>>> that you
>>>>>>>>>> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be
>>>>>>>>>> talking to
>>>>>>>>>> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even
>>>>>>>>>> further.
>>>>>>>>>>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is
```

>>>>>>>>>>> repeat the
>>>>>>>>>>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>>>>>>>>>> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is
>>>>>>>>>> so ignorant
>>>>>>>>>> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
>>>>>>>>>> falling
>>>>>>>>>> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his
>>>>>>>>>> experts might not
>>>>>>>>>> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy
>>>>>>>>>> their Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>>>>>>>>>> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your
>>>>>>>>>> own admission.
>>>>>>>>>> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to
>>>>>>>>>> talk about
>>>>>>>>>> this stuff then are you?
>>>>>>>>>> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe
>>>>>>>>>> they might
>>>>>>>>>> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply
>>>>>>>>>> mouthing the
>>>>>>>>>> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support,
>>>>>>>>>> and can't
>>>>>>>>>> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>>>>>>>>>> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by
>>>>>>>>>> your own
>>>>>>>>>> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to
>>>>>>>>>> you for
>>>>>>>>>> even a second?
>>>>>>>>>> I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask
>>>>>>>>>> others if
>>>>>>>>>> they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they
>>>>>>>>>> are fooled
>>>>>>>>>> into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts,
>>>>>>>>>> etc.?
>>>>>>>>> IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide
>>>>>>>>> quoted text -
>>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>>>>> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.
>>>>>> What missile attack, insane nut job?
>>>>> Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis of
>>>>> the frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane
>>>>> crashing into the side of the Pentagon.
>>>>> Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even consider
>>>>> is that even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly
>>>>> preclude a missile given that it's at least 2 stories in height as
>>>>> compared to the 5 stories of the Pentagon.
>>>>> But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and
>>>>> that's good enough "proof" for Joe.
>>>>>>> Which
>>>>>>> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>>>>>> When did missiles hit it?

>>>>> I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>>>>>>> Three missiles are were
>>>>>>> recorded in the same FBI release,
>>>>>> Show us, insnae nut job.
>>>>> Yea, one "missile" per frame.<snicker>
>>>>>>> Well the remnates of the first one is
>>>>>>> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see
>>>>>>> the next two in motion!
>>>>>> Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>>>>> "It is too late for that"
>>>>> Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>> But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
>>>> contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>>>>
>>>> Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!-
>>>> Hide quoted text -
>>>>
>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>> PS
>>>
>>> I did not pay any attention to what the guy in the middle link says I
>>> just saw the missile on my own look at it before the red box is
>>> drawn!
>>>
>>> Then in the top link you can see it over and over again,There is no
>>> plane just a missile launched from withing the fenced in constrution
>>> area.
>>>
>>> What kind of military vehicle is that at mark 7:03 in the video below
>>> a flatbed firetruck? I recall seeing it parked beside the
>>> construction gererater dont you?
>>>
>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SjcoPEGRXjo&feature=player_embedded
>>
>> There is some reason the tape of what actually happened at the
>> pentacon has NOT been released, even yet, today ...
>
> Yes, it has. There are videos, tours of the damage, stories from the
> survivors......what else do you want?

Something that will actually support his crazed conspiracy theory?

2681. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 22:31:15 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iren7ljpo1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230608
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:ireenp$oen$1@dont-email.me...
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EEE7F23DEE8Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irec2j$3ri$1@dont-
>> email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/23/2011 9:59 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irbjfe$naf$1@dont-
>>>> email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/22/2011 10:58 AM, RHF wrote:
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> - It is the University of California at Santa Cruz
>>>>>> - who you are calling idiots.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Well Now... any Institution of Higher Learning
>>>>>> with 'Slug' {For Brains} as their Mascot is a
>>>>>> http://www.kohoso.us/images/ucsc.png
>>>>>> place that 'I' am not Banana's about. ~ RHF
>>>>>> http://www.ucsc.edu/about/mascot.html
>>>>>> -wrt- Sammy the Slug
>>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California,
>>>> _Santa_Cruz#Mascot
>>>>>> ...
>>>>> Since the figures are actually a summary of the federal reserve and
>>>>> govt. figures, the ultimate message comes from them ... you will
>>>> notice,
>>>>> no one is able to come up with figures which dispute them -- that
>> often
>>>>> happens with the truth ...
>>>> Particularly when you post both sides.......you didn't.
>>>
>>> Whoever make the money pays the bill ... no-brainer ... this financial
>>> crisis is just working out those terms.
>>
>> However, you wish to tax the wealthy even more even when the stats show
>> that they pay about where they should be when looking at the amount of
>> wealth or income that they show.
>>
>>
> The wealthy, whose income is reported on 1099s, pay a lower effective tax
```

> rate then people whose income is report on a W-2.
> Up to 33% if you work at a job.....10% on dividends......for not working.

Excuse me, but what exactly do you mean by "effective tax rate"?

Is that some sort of realspeech for "we need to tax them for an even larger share than what they have to pay now?"

2682. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 22:32:16 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iren9m$k2o$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230609
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EEE9388C803Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:ireenpoen1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EEE7F23DEE8Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irec2j$3ri$1@dont-
>>> email.me:
>>>
>>>> On 5/23/2011 9:59 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irbjfenaf1@dont-
>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/22/2011 10:58 AM, RHF wrote:
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> - It is the University of California at Santa Cruz
>>>>>>> - who you are calling idiots.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Well Now... any Institution of Higher Learning
>>>>>>> with 'Slug' {For Brains} as their Mascot is a
>>>>>>> http://www.kohoso.us/images/ucsc.png
>>>>>>> place that 'I' am not Banana's about. ~ RHF
>>>>>>> http://www.ucsc.edu/about/mascot.html
>>>>>>> -wrt- Sammy the Slug
>>>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California,
>>>>> _Santa_Cruz#Mascot
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Since the figures are actually a summary of the federal reserve
>>>>>> and govt. figures, the ultimate message comes from them ... you
>>>>>> will
>>>>> notice,
>>>>>> no one is able to come up with figures which dispute them -- that
>>> often
>>>>>> happens with the truth ...
>>>>> Particularly when you post both sides.......you didn't.
>>>>
>>>> Whoever make the money pays the bill ... no-brainer ... this
>>>> financial crisis is just working out those terms.
>>>
>>> However, you wish to tax the wealthy even more even when the stats
>>> show that they pay about where they should be when looking at the
>>> amount of wealth or income that they show.

>>>
>>>
>> The wealthy, whose income is reported on 1099s, pay a lower effective
>> tax rate then people whose income is report on a W-2.
>> Up to 33% if you work at a job.....10% on dividends......for not
>> working.
>
> So what? The top 1% owns about 42% of the wealth, has about about 35% of
> the total net worth and pays about 40% of the income tax. Those numbers
> are pretty much in line.
>
> Meanwhile the bottom 80% owns about 7% of the wealth, about 15% of the
> net worth and pays 11% of the income taxes. In fact the bottom 50% only
> pays 2.7% of those income taxes.
>
> Again, pretty much in line.
>
>
>> The more your make from NOT WORKING, the more likely your tax rate
>> will approach 17.7% asymptotically.
>
> IOW, you are saying that the MORE money you make, the MORE money you
> should owe the government and the LESS money you earn, the MORE money the
> government owes you?

Also known as "Steal from the rich to give to the poor".

2683. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 22:33:06 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irenb3$kb9$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230610
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:irek4g$uva$1@dont-email.me...
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EEE9388C803Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:ireenp$oen$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9EEE7F23DEE8Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irec2j$3ri$1@dont-
>>>> email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/23/2011 9:59 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irbjfe$naf$1@dont-
>>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/22/2011 10:58 AM, RHF wrote:
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> - It is the University of California at Santa Cruz
>>>>>>>> - who you are calling idiots.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Well Now... any Institution of Higher Learning
>>>>>>>> with 'Slug' {For Brains} as their Mascot is a
>>>>>>>> http://www.kohoso.us/images/ucsc.png
>>>>>>>> place that 'I' am not Banana's about. ~ RHF
>>>>>>>> http://www.ucsc.edu/about/mascot.html
>>>>>>>> -wrt- Sammy the Slug
>>>>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California,
>>>>>> _Santa_Cruz#Mascot
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> Since the figures are actually a summary of the federal reserve
>>>>>>> and govt. figures, the ultimate message comes from them ... you
>>>>>>> will
>>>>>> notice,
>>>>>>> no one is able to come up with figures which dispute them -- that
>>>> often
>>>>>>> happens with the truth ...
>>>>>> Particularly when you post both sides.......you didn't.
>>>>>
>>>>> Whoever make the money pays the bill ... no-brainer ... this
>>>>> financial crisis is just working out those terms.
>>>>
```

>>>> However, you wish to tax the wealthy even more even when the stats
>>>> show that they pay about where they should be when looking at the
>>>> amount of wealth or income that they show.
>>>>
>>>>
>>> The wealthy, whose income is reported on 1099s, pay a lower effective
>>> tax rate then people whose income is report on a W-2.
>>> Up to 33% if you work at a job.....10% on dividends......for not
>>> working.
>>
>> So what? The top 1% owns about 42% of the wealth, has about about 35% of
>> the total net worth and pays about 40% of the income tax. Those numbers
>> are pretty much in line.
>>
>> Meanwhile the bottom 80% owns about 7% of the wealth, about 15% of the
>> net worth and pays 11% of the income taxes. In fact the bottom 50% only
>> pays 2.7% of those income taxes.
>>
>> Again, pretty much in line.
>>
>>
>>> The more your make from NOT WORKING, the more likely your tax rate
>>> will approach 17.7% asymptotically.
>>
>> IOW, you are saying that the MORE money you make, the MORE money you
>> should owe the government and the LESS money you earn, the MORE money the
>> government owes you?
>>
>>
>>
> .
> .
> "IOW" are your words.
> Donï¿½t put words in my mouth.
>
> America's wealthy are under taxed.
> Are workers are overtaxed.

Nice assertion, but RD's number disprove that assertion.

So, do you have any counter evidence?

2684. Re: Sorry ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 22:44:21 UTC
From: BARDFROMLARD <BARDFROMLARD@HOTMAIL.COM>
Message-ID: <6f34146d-da7c-46d9-9e5f-b6e3392802e2@e21g2000vbz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 16, 7:14 pm, DCI <50b...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On May 16, 11:35 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > Sorry I have slowed on the quantity of posts, however I have been busy.
>
> > Recently I found out bin laden was living in a cave on the outskirts of
> > my city. So, me and a few good ole' buddies got a couple of six packs
> > and went hunting. We found him. After, I put a bullet in his head; We
> > brought the body back to my house. After killing the remaining beer we
> > took a few pictures of burying him in an unmarked grave in my backyard.
>
> > I am afraid the pictures are just too much for those here, or American
> > citizens, to handle. So, we decided, as a group, not to release the
> > pictures. And, allowing the examination of the body, by others, is not
> > necessary -- you know you can trust me :-)
>
> > No need to thank me ... I consider it just part of being a good
> > American! As always, I will keep looking out for you and your best
> > interests -- TRUST ME! <wolfish-grin>
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> > P.S. It has been absolutely confirmed we got the right guy, as just to
> > be sure, we preformed a DNA test in my garage. See, any possible
> > questions/arguments/doubts are unnecessary ... go back to sleep knowing
> > you are in good hands!
>
> Please, name of the beer?
>
> DCI- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Billy beer?

2685. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 22:48:35 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEEA0D657E84hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230612
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in news:iren0i
$iae$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EEE7FEE9B464hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:ireb6k$p5j$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/22/2011 2:16 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>>> On May 22, 4:47 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>> On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>>>> "Iarnrod"<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-
32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.c
>>>>>> om...
>>>>>>> On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>>>>>>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>> news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>>>> news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should
>>>>>>>>>>>>> accept your claims over photographic evidence is noted.
Your
>>>>>>>>>>>>> denial of photographic
>>>>>>>>>>>>> evidence is noted.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't
match
>>>>>>>>>>>>> your claims.
>>>>>>>>>>>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you
>>>>>>>>>>>> deal with
```

>>>>>>>>>>>> it ...
>>>>>>>>>>> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and
>>>>>>>>>>> that you
>>>>>>>>>>> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be
>>>>>>>>>>> talking to
>>>>>>>>>>> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even
>>>>>>>>>>> further.
>>>>>>>>>>>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is
>>>>>>>>>>>> repeat the
>>>>>>>>>>>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>>>>>>>>>>> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is
>>>>>>>>>>> so ignorant
>>>>>>>>>>> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
>>>>>>>>>>> falling
>>>>>>>>>>> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his
>>>>>>>>>>> experts might not
>>>>>>>>>>> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to
buy
>>>>>>>>>>> their Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>>>>>>>>>>> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your
>>>>>>>>>>> own admission.
>>>>>>>>>>> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying
to
>>>>>>>>>>> talk about
>>>>>>>>>>> this stuff then are you?
>>>>>>>>>>> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe
>>>>>>>>>>> they might
>>>>>>>>>>> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply
>>>>>>>>>>> mouthing the
>>>>>>>>>>> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support,
>>>>>>>>>>> and can't
>>>>>>>>>>> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>>>>>>>>>>> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece
by
>>>>>>>>>>> your own
>>>>>>>>>>> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen
to
>>>>>>>>>>> you for
>>>>>>>>>>> even a second?
>>>>>>>>>> I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask
>>>>>>>>>> others if
>>>>>>>>>> they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or
they
>>>>>>>>>> are fooled
>>>>>>>>>> into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts,
>>>>>>>>>> etc.?
>>>>>>>>> IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide
>>>>>>>>> quoted text -
>>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>>>>>> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.

>>>>>>> What missile attack, insane nut job?
>>>>>> Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis of
>>>>>> the frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane
>>>>>> crashing into the side of the Pentagon.
>>>>>> Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even consider
>>>>>> is that even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly
>>>>>> preclude a missile given that it's at least 2 stories in height as
>>>>>> compared to the 5 stories of the Pentagon.
>>>>>> But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and
>>>>>> that's good enough "proof" for Joe.
>>>>>>>> Which
>>>>>>>> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>>>>>>> When did missiles hit it?
>>>>>> I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>>>>>>>> Three missiles are were
>>>>>>>> recorded in the same FBI release,
>>>>>>> Show us, insnae nut job.
>>>>>> Yea, one "missile" per frame.<snicker>
>>>>>>>> Well the remnates of the first one is
>>>>>>>> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see
>>>>>>>> the next two in motion!
>>>>>>> Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>>>>>> "It is too late for that"
>>>>>> Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>>> But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
>>>>> contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>>>>>
>>>>> Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!-
>>>>> Hide quoted text -
>>>>>
>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>> PS
>>>>
>>>> I did not pay any attention to what the guy in the middle link says
I
>>>> just saw the missile on my own look at it before the red box is
>>>> drawn!
>>>>
>>>> Then in the top link you can see it over and over again,There is no
>>>> plane just a missile launched from withing the fenced in constrution
>>>> area.
>>>>
>>>> What kind of military vehicle is that at mark 7:03 in the video
below
>>>> a flatbed firetruck? I recall seeing it parked beside the
>>>> construction gererater dont you?
>>>>
>>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SjcoPEGRXjo&feature=player_embedded
>>>

>>> There is some reason the tape of what actually happened at the
>>> pentacon has NOT been released, even yet, today ...
>>
>> Yes, it has. There are videos, tours of the damage, stories from the
>> survivors......what else do you want?
>
> Something that will actually support his crazed conspiracy theory?
>
>
>

I suppose he will be wanting for a long, long time.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2686. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 22:52:59 UTC
From: Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4ddae54d$0$28686$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 230613
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Sid9 wrote:

America's wealthy are under taxed.
> Are workers are overtaxed.
^^^

Did you mean "Our", you retard?
How would YOU know, you unemployable retard?

You can't afford gas, let alone the final payments on your Yugo, you retard.
Get a job, AFTER you get an education, you retard!

2687. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 23:00:39 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEEA2E1E6A68hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230614
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:iren71$jpo$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:ireenp$oen$1@dont-email.me...
>>
>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EEE7F23DEE8Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irec2j$3ri$1@dont-
>>> email.me:
>>>
>>>> On 5/23/2011 9:59 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irbjfe$naf$1@dont-
>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/22/2011 10:58 AM, RHF wrote:
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> - It is the University of California at Santa Cruz
>>>>>>> - who you are calling idiots.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Well Now... any Institution of Higher Learning
>>>>>>> with 'Slug' {For Brains} as their Mascot is a
>>>>>>> http://www.kohoso.us/images/ucsc.png
>>>>>>> place that 'I' am not Banana's about. ~ RHF
>>>>>>> http://www.ucsc.edu/about/mascot.html
>>>>>>> -wrt- Sammy the Slug
>>>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California,
>>>>> _Santa_Cruz#Mascot
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Since the figures are actually a summary of the federal reserve
>>>>>> and govt. figures, the ultimate message comes from them ... you
>>>>>> will
>>>>> notice,
>>>>>> no one is able to come up with figures which dispute them -- that
>>> often
>>>>>> happens with the truth ...
>>>>> Particularly when you post both sides.......you didn't.
>>>>
>>>> Whoever make the money pays the bill ... no-brainer ... this
>>>> financial crisis is just working out those terms.
>>>
```

>>> However, you wish to tax the wealthy even more even when the stats
>>> show that they pay about where they should be when looking at the
>>> amount of wealth or income that they show.
>>>
>>>
>> The wealthy, whose income is reported on 1099s, pay a lower effective
>> tax rate then people whose income is report on a W-2.
>> Up to 33% if you work at a job.....10% on dividends......for not
>> working.
>
> Excuse me, but what exactly do you mean by "effective tax rate"?

I don't think he has any idea, but it 'sounds good'.

> Is that some sort of realspeech for "we need to tax them for an even
> larger share than what they have to pay now?"

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2688. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 23:01:30 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEEA3072A85hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230615
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:iren9m$k2o$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EEE9388C803Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in
>> news:ireenp$oen$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9EEE7F23DEE8Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irec2j$3ri$1@dont-
>>>> email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/23/2011 9:59 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irbjfe$naf$1@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/22/2011 10:58 AM, RHF wrote:
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> - It is the University of California at Santa Cruz
>>>>>>>> - who you are calling idiots.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Well Now... any Institution of Higher Learning
>>>>>>>> with 'Slug' {For Brains} as their Mascot is a
>>>>>>>> http://www.kohoso.us/images/ucsc.png
>>>>>>>> place that 'I' am not Banana's about. ~ RHF
>>>>>>>> http://www.ucsc.edu/about/mascot.html
>>>>>>>> -wrt- Sammy the Slug
>>>>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California,
>>>>>>> _Santa_Cruz#Mascot
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> Since the figures are actually a summary of the federal reserve
>>>>>>> and govt. figures, the ultimate message comes from them ... you
>>>>>>> will
>>>>>> notice,
>>>>>>> no one is able to come up with figures which dispute them --
>>>>>>> that
>>>>> often
>>>>>>> happens with the truth ...
>>>>>> Particularly when you post both sides.......you didn't.
```

>>>>>
>>>>> Whoever make the money pays the bill ... no-brainer ... this
>>>>> financial crisis is just working out those terms.
>>>>
>>>> However, you wish to tax the wealthy even more even when the stats
>>>> show that they pay about where they should be when looking at the
>>>> amount of wealth or income that they show.
>>>>
>>>>
>>> The wealthy, whose income is reported on 1099s, pay a lower
>>> effective tax rate then people whose income is report on a W-2.
>>> Up to 33% if you work at a job.....10% on dividends......for not
>>> working.
>>
>> So what? The top 1% owns about 42% of the wealth, has about about
>> 35% of the total net worth and pays about 40% of the income tax.
>> Those numbers are pretty much in line.
>>
>> Meanwhile the bottom 80% owns about 7% of the wealth, about 15% of
>> the net worth and pays 11% of the income taxes. In fact the bottom
>> 50% only pays 2.7% of those income taxes.
>>
>> Again, pretty much in line.
>>
>>
>>> The more your make from NOT WORKING, the more likely your tax rate
>>> will approach 17.7% asymptotically.
>>
>> IOW, you are saying that the MORE money you make, the MORE money you
>> should owe the government and the LESS money you earn, the MORE money
>> the government owes you?
>
> Also known as "Steal from the rich to give to the poor".
>
>
>

In the poplular idiom of the day.......income redistribution.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2689. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 23:10:49 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <71256cfa-daff-41cb-b526-7503947fab17@g12g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230616

On May 23, 10:19 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> So, where is George H.W.Bush's Genuine Birth Certificate.Some people say
> he was Born in Germany!http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=George+H+Scherff+Jr
>
> Damn Nazi! How many times did he say new world order in his speeches?
> cuhulin

Look at his family potrait with friends friends all of which came over
with Kissenger on his Operation Paperclip. Curious George senior was
Prescott Bush and his real son,not adopted as claimed,was our 41st
president.

http://www.proliberty.com/observer/20070405.htm

2690. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 23:15:16 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fb8b2d67-2699-44e8-ba11-9b9cf82d5437@w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230617
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 23, 3:05 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/22/2011 2:16 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 22, 4:47 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
>
> >> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >>> "Iarnrod"<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >>>news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com...
> >>>> On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>>> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
> >>>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>>news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
> >>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
> >>>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>>>>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> >>>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>> ...
> >>>>>>>>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
> >>>>>>>>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of
> >>>>>>>>>> photographic
> >>>>>>>>>> evidence is noted.
> >>>>>>>>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
> >>>>>>>>>> claims.
> >>>>>>>>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal
> >>>>>>>>> with
> >>>>>>>>> it ...
> >>>>>>>> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that
> >>>>>>>> you
> >>>>>>>> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking
> >>>>>>>> to
> >>>>>>>> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.
> >>>>>>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat
> >>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
> >>>>>> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so
> >>>>>> ignorant
> >>>>>> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of

> >>>>>>>> falling
> >>>>>>>> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his experts
> >>>>>>>> might not
> >>>>>>>> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy their
> >>>>>>>> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
> >>>>>>>> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
> >>>>>>>> admission.
> >>>>>>>> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk
> >>>>>>>> about
> >>>>>>>> this stuff then are you?
> >>>>>>>> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they
> >>>>>>>> might
> >>>>>>>> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing
> >>>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>>> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and
> >>>>>>>> can't
> >>>>>>>> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
> >>>>>>>> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your
> >>>>>>>> own
> >>>>>>>> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you
> >>>>>>>> for
> >>>>>>>> even a second?
> >>>>>>> I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others
> >>>>>>> if
> >>>>>>> they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are
> >>>>>>> fooled
> >>>>>>> into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts, etc.?
> >>>>>> IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide quoted
> >>>>>> text -
> >>>>>> - Show quoted text -
> >>>>> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.
> >>>> What missile attack, insane nut job?
> >>> Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis of the
> >>> frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane crashing into
> >>> the side of the Pentagon.
> >>> Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even consider is that
> >>> even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly preclude a missile
> >>> given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to the 5 stories of
> >>> the Pentagon.
> >>> But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and that's good
> >>> enough "proof" for Joe.
> >>>>> Which
> >>>>> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
> >>>> When did missiles hit it?
> >>> I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
> >>>>> Three missiles are were
> >>>>> recorded in the same FBI release,
> >>>> Show us, insnae nut job.
> >>> Yea, one "missile" per frame.<snicker>
> >>>>> Well the remnates of the first one is

> >>>>> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see the
> >>>>> next two in motion!
> >>>> Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
> >>> "It is too late for that"
> >>> Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
> >>> - Show quoted text -
> >> But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
> >> contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>
> >> Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
> > PS
>
> > I did not pay any attention to what the guy in the middle link says I
> > just saw the missile on my own look at it before the red box is drawn!
>
> > Then in the top link you can see it over and over again,There is no
> > plane just a missile launched from withing the fenced in constrution
> > area.
>
> > What kind of military vehicle is that at mark 7:03 in the video below
> > a flatbed firetruck? I recall seeing it parked beside the construction
> > gererater dont you?
>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SjcoPEGRXjo&feature=player_embedded
>
> There is some reason the tape of what actually happened at the pentacon
> has NOT been released, even yet, today ...
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Sure there is the CIA has destroyed the evidence,it will be claimed as
stolen or MIA

2691. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 23:17:43 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1ec3f976-11ec-4715-a07c-bc6ee943ae69@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230618
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 23, 9:32 am, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <923332eb-bef3-4587-9370-
> 79b77643c...@y31g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> says...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > > "Iarnrod" <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > >news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > > On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > >> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>
> > > >> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > > >> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> > > >> >news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>
> > > >> > > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> > > >> > >> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > > >> > >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> > > >> > >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> > > >> > >>>> ...
> > > >> > >>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
> > > >> > >>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of
> > > >> > >>>> photographic
> > > >> > >>>> evidence is noted.
>
> > > >> > >>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
> > > >> > >>>> claims.
>
> > > >> > >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal
> > > >> > >>> with
> > > >> > >>> it ...
>

> > > >> > >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that
> > > >> > >> you
> > > >> > >> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking
> > > >> > >> to
> > > >> > >> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.
>
> > > >> > >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat
> > > >> > >>> the
> > > >> > >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>
> > > >> > >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so
> > > >> > >> ignorant
> > > >> > >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
> > > >> > >> falling
> > > >> > >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his experts
> > > >> > >> might not
> > > >> > >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy their
> > > >> > >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>
> > > >> > >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
> > > >> > >> admission.
>
> > > >> > >> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk
> > > >> > >> about
> > > >> > >> this stuff then are you?
>
> > > >> > >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they
> > > >> > >> might
> > > >> > >> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing
> > > >> > >> the
> > > >> > >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and
> > > >> > >> can't
> > > >> > >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>
> > > >> > >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your
> > > >> > >> own
> > > >> > >> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you
> > > >> > >> for
> > > >> > >> even a second?
>
> > > >> > > I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others
> > > >> > > if
> > > >> > > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are
> > > >> > > fooled
> > > >> > > into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts, etc.?
>
> > > >> IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide quoted
> > > >> text -
>
> > > >> - Show quoted text -

> > > > >> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.
> > > > > What missile attack, insane nut job?
> > > > Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis of the
> > > > frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane crashing into
> > > > the side of the Pentagon.
> > > > Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even consider is that
> > > > even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly preclude a missile
> > > > given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to the 5 stories of
> > > > the Pentagon.
> > > > But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and that's good
> > > > enough "proof" for Joe.
> > > > >> Which
> > > > >> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
> > > > > When did missiles hit it?
> > > > I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
> > > > >> Three missiles are were
> > > > >> recorded in the same FBI release,
> > > > > Show us, insnae nut job.
> > > > Yea, one "missile" per frame. <snicker>
> > > > >> Well the remnates of the first one is
> > > > >> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see the
> > > > >> next two in motion!
> > > > > Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
> > > > "It is too late for that"
> > > > Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
> > > > - Show quoted text -
> > But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
> > contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
> > Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!
> No, it takes some serious mental problems, serious drug abuse, or a
> combo of both. What is it with you?

>
> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

I blame it on my IQ of 145.

2692. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 23:24:54 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <56dbdfd0-9478-4ffd-8f98-20a379faa40c@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230619
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 23, 3:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote innews:ireb6k$p5j$1@dont-email.me:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/22/2011 2:16 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >> On May 22, 4:47 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>> On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
>
> >>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >>>> "Iarnrod"<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >>>>news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.c
> >>>> om...
> >>>>> On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>>>> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
> >>>>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>>>news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
> >>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>>>>>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> >>>>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>> ...
> >>>>>>>>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should
> >>>>>>>>>> accept your claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your
> >>>>>>>>>> denial of photographic
> >>>>>>>>>> evidence is noted.
> >>>>>>>>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match
> >>>>>>>>>> your claims.
> >>>>>>>>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you
> >>>>>>>>> deal with
> >>>>>>>>> it ...
> >>>>>>>> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and
> >>>>>>>> that you
> >>>>>>>> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be
> >>>>>>>> talking to
> >>>>>>>> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even
> >>>>>>>> further.
> >>>>>>>>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is
> >>>>>>>>> repeat the
> >>>>>>>>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.

> >>>>>>>>> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is
> >>>>>>>>> so ignorant
> >>>>>>>>> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
> >>>>>>>>> falling
> >>>>>>>>> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his
> >>>>>>>>> experts might not
> >>>>>>>>> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy
> >>>>>>>>> their Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
> >>>>>>>>> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your
> >>>>>>>>> own admission.
> >>>>>>>>> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to
> >>>>>>>>> talk about
> >>>>>>>>> this stuff then are you?
> >>>>>>>>> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe
> >>>>>>>>> they might
> >>>>>>>>> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply
> >>>>>>>>> mouthing the
> >>>>>>>>> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support,
> >>>>>>>>> and can't
> >>>>>>>>> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
> >>>>>>>>> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by
> >>>>>>>>> your own
> >>>>>>>>> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to
> >>>>>>>>> you for
> >>>>>>>>> even a second?
> >>>>>>>>> I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask
> >>>>>>>>> others if
> >>>>>>>>> they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they
> >>>>>>>>> are fooled
> >>>>>>>>> into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts,
> >>>>>>>>> etc.?
> >>>>>>> IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide
> >>>>>>> quoted text -
> >>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
> >>>>>> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.
> >>>>> What missile attack, insane nut job?
> >>>> Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis of
> >>>> the frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane
> >>>> crashing into the side of the Pentagon.
> >>>> Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even consider
> >>>> is that even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly
> >>>> preclude a missile given that it's at least 2 stories in height as
> >>>> compared to the 5 stories of the Pentagon.
> >>>> But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and
> >>>> that's good enough "proof" for Joe.
> >>>>>> Which
> >>>>>> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
> >>>>> When did missiles hit it?
> >>>> I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
> >>>>>> Three missiles are were

> >>>>>> recorded in the same FBI release,
> >>>>> Show us, insnae nut job.
> >>>> Yea, one "missile" per frame.<snicker>
> >>>>>> Well the remnates of the first one is
> >>>>>> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see
> >>>>>> the next two in motion!
> >>>>> Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
> >>>> "It is too late for that"
> >>>> Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
> >>>> - Show quoted text -
> >>> But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
> >>> contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>
> >>> Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!-
> >>> Hide quoted text -
>
> >>> - Show quoted text -
> >> PS
>
> >> I did not pay any attention to what the guy in the middle link says I
> >> just saw the missile on my own look at it before the red box is
> >> drawn!
>
> >> Then in the top link you can see it over and over again,There is no
> >> plane just a missile launched from withing the fenced in constrution
> >> area.
>
> >> What kind of military vehicle is that at mark 7:03 in the video below
> >> a flatbed firetruck? I recall seeing it parked beside the
> >> construction gererater dont you?
>
> >>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SjcoPEGRXjo&feature=player_embedded
>
> > There is some reason the tape of what actually happened at the
> > pentacon has NOT been released, even yet, today ...
>
> Yes, it has. There are videos, tours of the damage, stories from the
> survivors......what else do you want?
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

I too am a survivor of 911 I was not there at the time like most
government paid stooges.But I can tell you the cartoon they put on for
the TV audience was nothing like realy being there! The area was roped

off and most of the props were put into the staged area before the hologramed air craft was projected!

They even put the JT8MD engine on the sidewalk and covered it up with a tarp so it would go unnoticed till after the hologram!

2693. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 23:27:22 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <38278fa4-b426-4f95-bd65-0fdef56f325c@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230620

On May 23, 3:38 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Stanley Ann Dunham, the name Dunham has it's roots in/from England.That
> Born in Kenya! SOB! has NO business at all going to Ireland!!!
> cuhulin

He was a test tube baby born at a NASA facility in the USA.

2694. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 23:43:34 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irerf7bhk1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230621
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EEEA3072A85hopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
> news:iren9m$k2o$1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>>
>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EEE9388C803Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in
>>> news:ireenp$oen$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>>> news:Xns9EEE7F23DEE8Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irec2j$3ri$1@dont-
>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/23/2011 9:59 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irbjfe$naf$1@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On 5/22/2011 10:58 AM, RHF wrote:
>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>> - It is the University of California at Santa Cruz
>>>>>>>>> - who you are calling idiots.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Well Now... any Institution of Higher Learning
>>>>>>>>> with 'Slug' {For Brains} as their Mascot is a
>>>>>>>>> http://www.kohoso.us/images/ucsc.png
>>>>>>>>> place that 'I' am not Banana's about. ~ RHF
>>>>>>>>> http://www.ucsc.edu/about/mascot.html
>>>>>>>>> -wrt- Sammy the Slug
>>>>>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California,
>>>>>>> _Santa_Cruz#Mascot
>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> Since the figures are actually a summary of the federal reserve
>>>>>>>> and govt. figures, the ultimate message comes from them ... you
>>>>>>>> will
>>>>>>> notice,
>>>>>>>> no one is able to come up with figures which dispute them --
>>>>>>>> that
>>>>> often
```

>>>>>>>> happens with the truth ...
>>>>>>> Particularly when you post both sides.......you didn't.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Whoever make the money pays the bill ... no-brainer ... this
>>>>>> financial crisis is just working out those terms.
>>>>>
>>>>> However, you wish to tax the wealthy even more even when the stats
>>>>> show that they pay about where they should be when looking at the
>>>>> amount of wealth or income that they show.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> The wealthy, whose income is reported on 1099s, pay a lower
>>>> effective tax rate then people whose income is report on a W-2.
>>>> Up to 33% if you work at a job.....10% on dividends......for not
>>>> working.
>>>
>>> So what? The top 1% owns about 42% of the wealth, has about about
>>> 35% of the total net worth and pays about 40% of the income tax.
>>> Those numbers are pretty much in line.
>>>
>>> Meanwhile the bottom 80% owns about 7% of the wealth, about 15% of
>>> the net worth and pays 11% of the income taxes. In fact the bottom
>>> 50% only pays 2.7% of those income taxes.
>>>
>>> Again, pretty much in line.
>>>
>>>
>>>> The more your make from NOT WORKING, the more likely your tax rate
>>>> will approach 17.7% asymptotically.
>>>
>>> IOW, you are saying that the MORE money you make, the MORE money you
>>> should owe the government and the LESS money you earn, the MORE money
>>> the government owes you?
>>
>> Also known as "Steal from the rich to give to the poor".
>>
>>
>>
>
> In the poplular idiom of the day.......income redistribution.

Well, I'm certainly willing to allow DuDu to distribute any part of his
income to me that he chooses to part with.

2695. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 23:45:38 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEEAA82AACFDhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230622
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1ec3f976-11ec-4715-a07c-bc6ee943ae69@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:

> On May 23, 9:32 am, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>> In article <923332eb-bef3-4587-9370-
>> 79b77643c...@y31g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
>> says...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
>> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> > > "Iarnrod" <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> > >news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.
>> > >com
> ...
>>
>> > > > On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > > >> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>>
>> > > >> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> > > >> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> > > >> >news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>>
>> > > >> > > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>> > > >> > >> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > > >> > >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
>> > > >> > >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
>> > > >> > >>>> ...
>> > > >> > >>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should
>> > > >> > >>>> acce
> pt your
>> > > >> > >>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial
>> > > >> > >>>> of photographic
>> > > >> > >>>> evidence is noted.
>>

>> > > >> > >>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't
>> > > >> > >>>> matc
> h your
>> > > >> > >>>> claims.
>>
>> > > >> > >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until
>> > > >> > >>> you
> deal
>> > > >> > >>> with
>> > > >> > >>> it ...
>>
>> > > >> > >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance
>> > > >> > >> and
> that
>> > > >> > >> you
>> > > >> > >> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't
>> > > >> > >> be ta
> lking
>> > > >> > >> to
>> > > >> > >> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity
>> > > >> > >> even fu
> rther.
>>
>> > > >> > >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do
>> > > >> > >>> i
> s repeat
>> > > >> > >>> the
>> > > >> > >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>>
>> > > >> > >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that
>> > > >> > >> is
> so
>> > > >> > >> ignorant
>> > > >> > >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the
>> > > >> > >> process of falling
>> > > >> > >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his
>> > > >> > >> exper
> ts
>> > > >> > >> might not
>> > > >> > >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough
>> > > >> > >> to bu
> y their
>> > > >> > >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>>
>> > > >> > >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by
>> > > >> > >> your
> own
>> > > >> > >> admission.
>>

>> > > >> > >> Which means you're really not the right person to be
>> > > >> > >> trying t
> o talk
>> > > >> > >> about
>> > > >> > >> this stuff then are you?
>>
>> > > >> > >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since
>> > > >> > >> maybe t
> hey
>> > > >> > >> might
>> > > >> > >> actually know what they're talking about rather than
>> > > >> > >> simply m
> outhing
>> > > >> > >> the
>> > > >> > >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't
>> > > >> > >> support, a
> nd
>> > > >> > >> can't
>> > > >> > >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>>
>> > > >> > >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant
>> > > >> > >> mouthpiece b
> y your
>> > > >> > >> own
>> > > >> > >> admission, is there any further reason anyone should
>> > > >> > >> listen t
> o you
>> > > >> > >> for
>> > > >> > >> even a second?
>>
>> > > >> > > I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you
>> > > >> > > ask
> others
>> > > >> > > if
>> > > >> > > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or
>> > > >> > > the
> y are
>> > > >> > > fooled
>> > > >> > > into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis,
>> > > >> > > facts,
> etc.?
>>
>> > > >> > IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide
>> > > >> > quot
> ed
>> > > >> > text -
>>
>> > > >> > - Show quoted text -
>>

>> > > >> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the
>> > > >> pentagram.
>>
>> > > > What missile attack, insane nut job?
>>
>> > > Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis
>> > > of th
> e
>> > > frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane
>> > > crashin
> g into
>> > > the side of the Pentagon.
>>
>> > > Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even
>> > > consider is
> that
>> > > even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly preclude a
>> > > mis
> sile
>> > > given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to the 5
>> > > sto
> ries of
>> > > the Pentagon.
>>
>> > > But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and
>> > > that'
> s good
>> > > enough "proof" for Joe.
>>
>> > > >> Which
>> > > >> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>>
>> > > > When did missiles hit it?
>>
>> > > I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>>
>> > > >> Three missiles are were
>> > > >> recorded in the same FBI release,
>>
>> > > > Show us, insnae nut job.
>>
>> > > Yea, one "missile" per frame. <snicker>
>>
>> > > >> Well the remnates of the first one is
>> > > >> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see
>> > > >> the next two in motion!
>>
>> > > > Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>>

>> > > "It is too late for that"
>>
>> > > Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> > > - Show quoted text -
>>
>> > But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
>> > contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>>
>> > Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!
>>
>> No, it takes some serious mental problems, serious drug abuse, or a
>> combo of both. What is it with you?
>>
>> --
>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!-
>> Hide qu
> oted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> I blame it on my IQ of 145.
>

Methinks you are off by about a 100.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2696. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 23:46:48 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEEAAB4D5D57hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230623
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:56dbdfd0-9478-4ffd-8f98-20a379faa40c@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:

> On May 23, 3:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:ireb6k$p5j$1@dont-email.me
>:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On 5/22/2011 2:16 PM, joeturn wrote:
>> >> On May 22, 4:47 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>> On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
>>
>> >>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >>>> "larnrod"<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >>>>news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups
>> >>>>.c
>> >>>> om...
>> >>>>> On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>>>>> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>> >>>>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>>>>>>news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>> >>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>>>>>>>>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
>> >>>>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>> ...
>> >>>>>>>>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should
>> >>>>>>>>>> accept your claims over photographic evidence is noted.
>> >>>>>>>>>> Your denial of photographic
>> >>>>>>>>>> evidence is noted.
>> >>>>>>>>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't
>> >>>>>>>>>> match your claims.
>> >>>>>>>>>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until
>> >>>>>>>>>> you deal with
>> >>>>>>>>>> it ...
>> >>>>>>>>> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance
>> >>>>>>>>> and that you
>> >>>>>>>>> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be

>> >>>>>>>>> talking to
>> >>>>>>>>> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even
>> >>>>>>>>> further.
>> >>>>>>>>>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. ?All I do
>> >>>>>>>>>> is repeat the
>> >>>>>>>>>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>> >>>>>>>>> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that
>> >>>>>>>>> is so ignorant
>> >>>>>>>>> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process
>> >>>>>>>>> of falling
>> >>>>>>>>> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his
>> >>>>>>>>> experts might not
>> >>>>>>>>> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to
>> >>>>>>>>> buy their Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>> >>>>>>>>> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by
>> >>>>>>>>> your own admission.
>> >>>>>>>>> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying
>> >>>>>>>>> to talk about
>> >>>>>>>>> this stuff then are you?
>> >>>>>>>>> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe
>> >>>>>>>>> they might
>> >>>>>>>>> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply
>> >>>>>>>>> mouthing the
>> >>>>>>>>> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support,
>> >>>>>>>>> and can't
>> >>>>>>>>> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>> >>>>>>>>> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece
>> >>>>>>>>> by your own
>> >>>>>>>>> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen
>> >>>>>>>>> to you for
>> >>>>>>>>> even a second?
>> >>>>>>>>> I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you
>> >>>>>>>>> ask others if
>> >>>>>>>>> they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or
>> >>>>>>>>> they are fooled
>> >>>>>>>>> into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis,
>> >>>>>>>>> facts, etc.?
>> >>>>>>>> IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide
>> >>>>>>>> quoted text -
>> >>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>> >>>>>> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the
>> >>>>>> pentagram.
>> >>>>> What missile attack, insane nut job?
>> >>>> Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis
>> >>>> of the frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the
>> >>>> plane crashing into the side of the Pentagon.
>> >>>> Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even
>> >>>> consider is that even on the video the SCALE of the image would
>> >>>> clearly preclude a missile given that it's at least 2 stories in

>> >>>> height as compared to the 5 stories of the Pentagon.
>> >>>> But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and
>> >>>> that's good enough "proof" for Joe.
>> >>>>>> Which
>> >>>>>> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>> >>>>> When did missiles hit it?
>> >>>> I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>> >>>>>> Three missiles are were
>> >>>>>> recorded in the same FBI release,
>> >>>>> Show us, insnae nut job.
>> >>>> Yea, one "missile" per frame.<snicker>
>> >>>>>> Well the remnates of the first one is
>> >>>>>> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see
>> >>>>>> the next two in motion!
>> >>>>> Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>> >>>> "It is too late for that"
>> >>>> Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>> >>>> - Show quoted text -
>> >>> But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
>> >>> contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>>
>> >>> Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!-
>> >>> Hide quoted text -
>>
>> >>> - Show quoted text -
>> >> PS
>>
>> >> I did not pay any attention to what the guy in the middle link
>> >> says I just saw the missile on my own look at it before the red
>> >> box is drawn!
>>
>> >> Then in the top link you can see it over and over again,There is
>> >> no plane just a missile launched from withing the fenced in
>> >> constrution area.
>>
>> >> What kind of military vehicle is that at mark 7:03 in the video
>> >> below a flatbed firetruck? I recall seeing it parked beside the
>> >> construction gererater dont you?
>>
>> >>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SjcoPEGRXjo&feature=player_embedded
>>
>> > There is some reason the tape of what actually happened at the
>> > pentacon has NOT been released, even yet, today ...
>>
>> Yes, it has. There are videos, tours of the damage, stories from the
>> survivors.....what else do you want?
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> I too am a survivor of 911 I was not there at the time like most
> government paid stooges.But I can tell you the cartoon they put on for
> the TV audience was nothing like realy being there! The area was roped
> off and most of the props were put into the staged area before the
> hologramed air craft was projected!
>
> They even put the JT8MD engine on the sidewalk and covered it up with
> a tarp so it would go unnoticed till after the hologram!
>

Wow!! Now I know where those berries disappeared to. Did you have them
with milk or simply eat them raw?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2697. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 23:50:56 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEEAB68ADFE7hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230624
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in news:irerf7
bhk1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EEEA3072A85hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>> news:iren9m$k2o$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9EEE9388C803Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in
>>>> news:ireenpoen1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:Xns9EEE7F23DEE8Bhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>>>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irec2j$3ri$1@dont-
>>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/23/2011 9:59 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irbjfenaf1@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/22/2011 10:58 AM, RHF wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>> - It is the University of California at Santa Cruz
>>>>>>>>>> - who you are calling idiots.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Well Now... any Institution of Higher Learning
>>>>>>>>>> with 'Slug' {For Brains} as their Mascot is a
>>>>>>>>>> http://www.kohoso.us/images/ucsc.png
>>>>>>>>>> place that 'I' am not Banana's about. ~ RHF
>>>>>>>>>> http://www.ucsc.edu/about/mascot.html
>>>>>>>>>> -wrt- Sammy the Slug
>>>>>>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California,
>>>>>>>> _Santa_Cruz#Mascot
>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>> Since the figures are actually a summary of the federal reserve
>>>>>>>>> and govt. figures, the ultimate message comes from them ... you

>>>>>>>>> will
>>>>>>>> notice,
>>>>>>>>> no one is able to come up with figures which dispute them --
>>>>>>>>> that
>>>>>> often
>>>>>>>>> happens with the truth ...
>>>>>>>> Particularly when you post both sides.......you didn't.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Whoever make the money pays the bill ... no-brainer ... this
>>>>>>> financial crisis is just working out those terms.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> However, you wish to tax the wealthy even more even when the stats
>>>>>> show that they pay about where they should be when looking at the
>>>>>> amount of wealth or income that they show.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>> The wealthy, whose income is reported on 1099s, pay a lower
>>>>> effective tax rate then people whose income is report on a W-2.
>>>>> Up to 33% if you work at a job.....10% on dividends......for not
>>>>> working.
>>>>
>>>> So what? The top 1% owns about 42% of the wealth, has about about
>>>> 35% of the total net worth and pays about 40% of the income tax.
>>>> Those numbers are pretty much in line.
>>>>
>>>> Meanwhile the bottom 80% owns about 7% of the wealth, about 15% of
>>>> the net worth and pays 11% of the income taxes. In fact the bottom
>>>> 50% only pays 2.7% of those income taxes.
>>>>
>>>> Again, pretty much in line.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> The more your make from NOT WORKING, the more likely your tax rate
>>>>> will approach 17.7% asymptotically.
>>>>
>>>> IOW, you are saying that the MORE money you make, the MORE money
you
>>>> should owe the government and the LESS money you earn, the MORE
money
>>>> the government owes you?
>>>
>>> Also known as "Steal from the rich to give to the poor".
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> In the poplular idiom of the day.......income redistribution.
>
> Well, I'm certainly willing to allow DuDu to distribute any part of his
> income to me that he chooses to part with.

>
>
>
>

Hell, I don't need it, I would just like him to send it in. Whatever
amount he feels he can afford and mark it for some budget item he likes.
I just want him to keep his hand out of my pocket before he takes any
money out of his.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2698. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-23 23:57:53 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEECB194E226Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 230625
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in news:56dbdfd0-9478-4ffd-8f98-20a379faa40c@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:

> On May 23, 3:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote innews:ireb6k$p5j$1@dont-email.me
>:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On 5/22/2011 2:16 PM, joeturn wrote:
>> >> On May 22, 4:47 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>> On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
>>
>> >>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >>>> "larnrod"<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >>>>news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.c
>> >>>> om...
>> >>>>> On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>>>>> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>> >>>>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>>>>>>news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>> >>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>>>>>>>>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
>> >>>>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>> ...
>> >>>>>>>>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should
>> >>>>>>>>>> accept your claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your
>> >>>>>>>>>> denial of photographic
>> >>>>>>>>>> evidence is noted.
>> >>>>>>>>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match
>> >>>>>>>>>> your claims.
>> >>>>>>>>>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you
>> >>>>>>>>>> deal with
>> >>>>>>>>>> it ...
>> >>>>>>>>> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and
>> >>>>>>>>> that you
>> >>>>>>>>> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be
>> >>>>>>>>> talking to

>> >>>>>>>>> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even
>> >>>>>>>>> further.
>> >>>>>>>>>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. ?All I do is
>> >>>>>>>>>> repeat the
>> >>>>>>>>>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>> >>>>>>>>> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is
>> >>>>>>>>> so ignorant
>> >>>>>>>>> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
>> >>>>>>>>> falling
>> >>>>>>>>> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his
>> >>>>>>>>> experts might not
>> >>>>>>>>> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy
>> >>>>>>>>> their Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>> >>>>>>>>> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your
>> >>>>>>>>> own admission.
>> >>>>>>>>> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to
>> >>>>>>>>> talk about
>> >>>>>>>>> this stuff then are you?
>> >>>>>>>>> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe
>> >>>>>>>>> they might
>> >>>>>>>>> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply
>> >>>>>>>>> mouthing the
>> >>>>>>>>> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support,
>> >>>>>>>>> and can't
>> >>>>>>>>> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>> >>>>>>>>> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by
>> >>>>>>>>> your own
>> >>>>>>>>> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to
>> >>>>>>>>> you for
>> >>>>>>>>> even a second?
>> >>>>>>>>> I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask
>> >>>>>>>>> others if
>> >>>>>>>>> they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they
>> >>>>>>>>> are fooled
>> >>>>>>>>> into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts,
>> >>>>>>>>> etc.?
>> >>>>>>>> IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide
>> >>>>>>>> quoted text -
>> >>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>> >>>>>> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.
>> >>>>> What missile attack, insane nut job?
>> >>>> Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis of
>> >>>> the frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane
>> >>>> crashing into the side of the Pentagon.
>> >>>> Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even consider
>> >>>> is that even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly
>> >>>> preclude a missile given that it's at least 2 stories in height as
>> >>>> compared to the 5 stories of the Pentagon.
>> >>>> But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and

>> >>>> that's good enough "proof" for Joe.
>> >>>>>> Which
>> >>>>>> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>> >>>>> When did missiles hit it?
>> >>>> I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>> >>>>>> Three missiles are were
>> >>>>>> recorded in the same FBI release,
>> >>>>> Show us, insnae nut job.
>> >>>> Yea, one "missile" per frame.<snicker>
>> >>>>>> Well the remnates of the first one is
>> >>>>>> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see
>> >>>>>> the next two in motion!
>> >>>>> Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>> >>>> "It is too late for that"
>> >>>> Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>> >>>> - Show quoted text -
>> >>> But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
>> >>> contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>>
>> >>> Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!-
>> >>> Hide quoted text -
>>
>> >>> - Show quoted text -
>> >> PS
>>
>> >> I did not pay any attention to what the guy in the middle link says I
>> >> just saw the missile on my own look at it before the red box is
>> >> drawn!
>>
>> >> Then in the top link you can see it over and over again,There is no
>> >> plane just a missile launched from withing the fenced in constrution
>> >> area.
>>
>> >> What kind of military vehicle is that at mark 7:03 in the video below
>> >> a flatbed firetruck? I recall seeing it parked beside the
>> >> construction gererater dont you?
>>
>> >>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SjcoPEGRXjo&feature=player_embedded
>>
>> > There is some reason the tape of what actually happened at the
>> > pentacon has NOT been released, even yet, today ...
>>
>> Yes, it has. There are videos, tours of the damage, stories from the
>> survivors.....what else do you want?
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....

>> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> I too am a survivor of 911 I was not there at the time like most
> government paid stooges.But I can tell you the cartoon they put on for
> the TV audience was nothing like realy being there! The area was roped
> off and most of the props were put into the staged area before the
> hologramed air craft was projected!
>
> They even put the JT8MD engine on the sidewalk and covered it up with
> a tarp so it would go unnoticed till after the hologram!
>

Where is my butterfly net?

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

2699. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 00:02:08 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <430ba353-1188-448b-800b-55df3da85a18@l26g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230626
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 23, 7:45 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote
innews:1ec3f976-11ec-4715-a07c-bc6ee943ae69@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 23, 9:32 am, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >> In article <923332eb-bef3-4587-9370-
> >> 79b77643c...@y31g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> >> says...
>
> >> > On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> > > "Iarnrod" <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> >> > >news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.
> >> > >com
> > ...
>
> >> > > > On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> > > >> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>
> >> > > >> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> > > >> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >> > > >> >news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>
> >> > > >> > > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> >> > > >> > >> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > > >> > >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> >> > > >> > >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> >> > > >> > >>>> ...
> >> > > >> > >>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should
> >> > > >> > >>>> acce
> > pt your
> >> > > >> > >>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial
> >> > > >> > >>>> of photographic
> >> > > >> > >>>> evidence is noted.
>
> >> > > >> > >>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't

> >> > > >> > >>>> matc
> > h your
> >> > > >> > >>>> claims.
>
> >> > > >> > >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until
> >> > > >> > >>> you
> > deal
> >> > > >> > >>> with
> >> > > >> > >>> it ...
>
> >> > > >> > >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance
> >> > > >> > >> and
> > that
> >> > > >> > >> you
> >> > > >> > >> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't
> >> > > >> > >> be ta
> > lking
> >> > > >> > >> to
> >> > > >> > >> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity
> >> > > >> > >> even fu
> > rther.
>
> >> > > >> > >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do
> >> > > >> > >>> i
> > s repeat
> >> > > >> > >>> the
> >> > > >> > >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>
> >> > > >> > >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that
> >> > > >> > >> is
> > so
> >> > > >> > >> ignorant
> >> > > >> > >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the
> >> > > >> > >> process of falling
> >> > > >> > >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his
> >> > > >> > >> exper
> > ts
> >> > > >> > >> might not
> >> > > >> > >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough
> >> > > >> > >> to bu
> > y their
> >> > > >> > >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>
> >> > > >> > >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by
> >> > > >> > >> your
> > own
> >> > > >> > >> admission.
>
> >> > > >> > >> Which means you're really not the right person to be
> >> > > >> > >> trying t

> > o talk
> >> > > >> > >> about
> >> > > >> > >> this stuff then are you?
>
> >> > > >> > >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since
> >> > > >> > >> maybe t
> > hey
> >> > > >> > >> might
> >> > > >> > >> actually know what they're talking about rather than
> >> > > >> > >> simply m
> > outhing
> >> > > >> > >> the
> >> > > >> > >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't
> >> > > >> > >> support, a
> > nd
> >> > > >> > >> can't
> >> > > >> > >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>
> >> > > >> > >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant
> >> > > >> > >> mouthpiece b
> > y your
> >> > > >> > >> own
> >> > > >> > >> admission, is there any further reason anyone should
> >> > > >> > >> listen t
> > o you
> >> > > >> > >> for
> >> > > >> > >> even a second?
>
> >> > > >> > > I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you
> >> > > >> > > ask
> > others
> >> > > >> > > if
> >> > > >> > > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or
> >> > > >> > > the
> > y are
> >> > > >> > > fooled
> >> > > >> > > into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis,
> >> > > >> > > facts,
> > etc.?
>
> >> > > >> > IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide
> >> > > >> > quot
> > ed
> >> > > >> > text -
>
> >> > > >> > - Show quoted text -
>
> >> > > >> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the
> >> > > >> pentagram.
>

> >> > > > What missile attack, insane nut job?
>
> >> > > Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis
> >> > > of th
> > e
> >> > > frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane
> >> > > crashin
> > g into
> >> > > the side of the Pentagon.
>
> >> > > Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even
> >> > > consider is
> > that
> >> > > even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly preclude a
> >> > > mis
> > sile
> >> > > given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to the 5
> >> > > sto
> > ries of
> >> > > the Pentagon.
>
> >> > > But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and
> >> > > that'
> > s good
> >> > > enough "proof" for Joe.
>
> >> > > >> Which
> >> > > >> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>
> >> > > > When did missiles hit it?
>
> >> > > I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>
> >> > > >> Three missiles are were
> >> > > >> recorded in the same FBI release,
>
> >> > > > Show us, insnae nut job.
>
> >> > > Yea, one "missile" per frame. <snicker>
>
> >> > > >> Well the remnates of the first one is
> >> > > >> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see
> >> > > >> the next two in motion!
>
> >> > > > Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>
> >> > > "It is too late for that"
>
> >> > > Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>

> >> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> >> > But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
> >> > contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>
> >> > Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!
>
> >> No, it takes some serious mental problems, serious drug abuse, or a
> >> combo of both. What is it with you?
>
> >> --
> >> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!-
> >> Hide qu
> > oted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > I blame it on my IQ of 145.
>
> Methinks you are off by about a 100.
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Thats because you are not capable of thinking.Show us what you got!

http://ig.gmodules.com/gadgets/ifr?view=home&url=http://wildfire.gigya.com/wildfire/StpProvider.aspx%3Fut%3DAg8AAAADAAYAAFUASQBEABIAADIAMwA2ADQAMQA0ADIAOAAxAAYAAEkASQBEABAAADMAMQA0ADQANAAxADkAMQAEAABuAHQADgAAaQBHAG8AbwBnAGwAZQA%3D&nocache=0&lang=en&country=us&.lang=en&.country=us&synd=ig&mid=13&ifpctok=-2948449179267058375&exp_split_js=1&exp_track_js=1&exp_new_js_flags=1&exp_ids=17259,300586&parent=http://www.google.com&refresh=3600&libs=core:core.io:core.iglegacy:auth-refresh&is_signedin=1

2700. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 00:12:13 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDAF7DD-8732@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230627

Looting in Minneapolis after tornado.
http://www.drudgereport.com

That couldn't be right, only happens in N'Awlins.
cuhulin

2701. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 00:43:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDAFF23-8742@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230630

I gots me a real Good Idee.I am going to put two of my radios in my attic, each one plugged into a long extension cord which I can plug into the wall outlet by my end of doggy's couch.Super Talk Missy Sippy on one radio, Country Music on the other radio.I will put the two radios by the roof vents in my attic, I will have them turned up Loud! Any time I want to turn them on, all I would need to do is reach within arms length where I am sitting on doggy's couch.Those B HO Butt Kissers will just love that,,, all day and all night.
cuhulin

2702. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 00:44:58 UTC
From: Colonel Polyps <hiccum@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0ad96965-f5e0-420c-9e05-cc38ee6bd2a0@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230628
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 22, 4:49 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "Colonel Polyps" <hic...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:cadda372-9db8-4716-b6d6-d9aa532bc849@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 20, 5:22 pm, "Scout"
> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> "Iarnrod" <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> >>news:21f41bee-2c30-4e47-b3da-9cfea43b84d8@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> > On May 19, 6:19 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> All your photo shows is a leaning top so maybe the next blast
> >> >> countered that lean and made the builing straighten up before hitting
> >> >> the ground.
>
> >> > BWAAAAAHAHAHAAAAHAHAHAAA!!!! WrongTurnJoe be smoking some HEAVY DUTY
> >> > crack!!
>
> >> Well, you could look at the video from which I took this frame, which
> >> shows
> >> that it didn't "magically" straighten back up, or you could look at the
> >> debris field which extended quite a extensive distance from the
> >> "footprint"
> >> that the building clearly didn't fall into.
>
> >> >> How can you assume it didnot collapse in its own
> >> >> footprints,
>
> >> Why do you continue to assume and assert that it did when we have
> >> photographic PROOF that it didn't?

>
> >> > Umm, because of the huge mess that had to be cleaned up that covered
> >> > multiple BLOCKS and which destroyed ADJACENT BUILDINGS? What the fuck
> >> > kind of footprint did this building HAVE, WrongTurnJoe? Bozo the
> >> > Clown's?
>
> >> >> you must show every frame till the fall has stopped to say
> >> >> that.
>
> >> > No, you look at it after it all stops falling, kookazoid.
>
> >> Well, you could look at the video, which shows it fell in the manner
> >> shown
> >> (ie well outside of it's "footprint") but that would require someone who
> >> would actually look at the known facts and evidence. Except Joe is
> >> suppose
> >> to be such an "expert" since he claims to know ALL about 9/11 and how it
> >> REALLY occurred. Seems to me as if at this point Joe is all but admitting
> >> he
> >> doesn't even know the basic facts considering what is known to have
> >> occurred
> >> and as such one would have to question his knowledge into the realm of
> >> knowing all the other things he asserts to know.
>
> > I still want the monitoring device out of my back Richard Nixon and
> > the CIA stuck there when George H w Bush kidnapped and tortured me in
> > 1982 Florida Keys during German Chancellors Zionist appointment.
>
> STFU, I told you exactly how to remove it and you have failed to do so.
> Clearly you aren't interested in removing it, so I don't want to hear about
> it anymore.

Kiss miaz. This is a capitalist country and I need to profit off it.I
need it documented in accordance with the laws. I also want to sue the
Bush Cartel and every back stabbing secret service agent involved with
defamation of my honor and integrity for at least One Billion US
dollars. The guy whose picture is on it because of my ancestors
efforts during the American Revolution.
You are a kangaroo patriot.
Exterminate the christian jew medical mafia.

2703. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 00:47:20 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3cc1f750-f045-4a13-89b0-b1e7cdbdd2dd@ct4g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230629

On May 23, 5:30 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Somebody on tee vee said Mable.Anna that made me remember another old
> Beer commercial.
> Oh Mable, Black Label,,,, Carling Black Label Beeeeeerrr,,,,,,
>
> One of those vehicles in the motorcade got hung up in Ireland.I saw it
> on 4:00 PM WLBT 3 tee vee noos.
> cuhulin

That must be BHO armored car . Got stuck upon exiting the gates of
the embassy in Dublin . That's quite possible - I once got stuck the
same exact way !

2704. KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto, CA -Un-Silent-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 00:57:52 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <09abb0b2-f824-4228-bf89-2bbc5a601a20@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230631

KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto, CA -Un-Silent-
on 23 Mayo 2011

Hearing KMPH this morning playing a constant
stream of Old Time Music with an occasional
KMPH Modesto ID -but- No Adz

Is this just periodic Transmitter Testing ?
-or- Is KMPH getting back On-the-Air ?

KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto, CA
http://www.kmph840.com/

-more-info-
KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto, CA
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/KMPH_%28AM%29
http://www.radio-locator.com/info/KMPH-AM
.
-last-reported-13-oct-2010-
KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto, CA -silent-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/58a289a7f111c14d
.
-story-
KMPH, 840 KCs, Modesto Shutting Down
http://www.modestoradiomuseum.org/KMPH%20OFF%20THE%20AIR.html
-story-
Modesto AM KMPH (840) will Turn Off the Transmitter
http://www.radio-info.com/news/modesto-am-kmph-840-will-turn-off-the-transmitter-august-31
-story-
KMPH-AM “The Patriot” in Modesto, CA Will Go Dark
http://www.rbr.com/radio/engineering/station-ops/26956.html
* Aerial Photo of Radio Towers
.
.
yes - i heard it on the am/medium-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2705. soccer jerseys nba jerseys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 01:01:14 UTC
From: lu tony <123456tianya@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <fab40368-a3fb-4010-ad27-1cdb258c5186@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230632

http://www.soccer-nba.com is specialized on authentic soccer jerseys
and nba jerseys !
all them are Officiallylicensed,Imported.Real Madrid jerseys,
ronaldo jerseys,Barcelona jerseys,messi jerseys,xavi
jerseys,Manchester united jerseys,rooney jerseys,Chelsea
jerseys,Liverpool jerseys,Arsenal jerseys,Manchester City
jerseys,Everton jerseys,AC Milan jerseys,Inter Milan jerseys,Juventus
jerseys,ROMA jerseys. Brazil jerseys, England jerseys,Mexico
jerseys,France jerseys,Argentina jerseys,Italy jerseys,Germany
jerseys,Spain jerseys,Portugal jerseys,USA jerseys and all NBA team
players jerseys,NBA Practice jerseys,NBA warm up jacket,NBA tracksuit.
soccer hats,nba hats, soccer shoes,Nike Mercurial Vapor Superfly II FG
Elite shoes,adidas F50 adiZero TRX FG Cleats Firm Ground,basketball
shoes,NIKE shoes,adidas shoes,rose shoes,jordan shoes.

2706. BOLLYWOOD ITEMGIRLS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 01:12:57 UTC
From: paypal cash <magguru.ramulamma@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <62d9d9e0-11ba-4cc6-a1e8-71c0ad1e7af7@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230633

Bollywood star Aishwarya rai romantic videos with Abhishek,Angelina
joie holly wood sexy videos,, Mallikasharawath enter in secret issue
in hollywood AT http://bollywooditemgirls.co.cc
Due to high sex cantest,I have hiden more details, click on right side
of my website do not tell
another person.

2707. WWCR "Women to Women Radio" on 15,825 @ 2045 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 01:26:35 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <6a1232d6-2b1e-4bce-9e92-fc4707b1ba1d@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230634

WWCR "Women to Women Radio" on 15,825 @ 2045 UTC
on 22 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 454 and good audio

Hearing the Program "Women to Women Radio"
with WWCR ID @ 2059 UTC and changing the
frequency to 7465 kHz : OTA @ 2100
.
NOTE : The "Women to Women Radio" Program
is on Sundays @ 2030~2100 UTC on 15825 kHz
.
WWCR Shortwave Radio Program Guide
http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
.
WWCR -from- Nashville, Tennessee, USA
http://www.wwcr.com/
.
"Women to Women Radio" host Phyllis Wallace
http://www.womantowomanradio.com/
The Topic was 'Pro-Life' Choices : Helping Women
deal with Pregnancy; Them Making the Right Choice
for Them; and dealing with the after effects of Abortion
both physical and emotional.
Note - "Women to Women Radio is a Ministry of
the 'Lutheran Hour Ministries' : Helping Women
Help Women
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2708. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 01:46:35 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fb6d9ca9-74bb-4d23-9949-04fd978ec7cc@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 23, 8:16 am, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:

> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
> > On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> MightyIarnrodproved:
> >>> Yes, he would have been
> >> No,
> > > Yes, he would have been.
> > No,

Yes, he would have been.

2709. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 02:29:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DDB1824-8877@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230636

1970 Volkswagen van I used to own.That was the only vehicle I ever owned
that got stuck, in the sand.
cuhulin

2710. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 03:10:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DDB21B7-8890@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230641

Born in Kenya! MOFO SCARHEAD'S Jooish 'Irish' Grandfather.
http://www.rense.com
cuhulin

2711. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 03:13:55 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <36OdnUtgabfpv0bQnZ2dnUVZ5uGdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

the pathetic tard boy lied:

> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2712. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy Irantard gets it wrong *again*, ha ha ha ha ha!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 03:15:54 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <36OdnUpgabd3v0bQnZ2dnUVZ5uGdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

the pathetic tard boy lied:

> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2713. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy Irantard lied again about citizenship for children born abroad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 03:23:25 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <obSdndQOIdszuUbQnZ2dnUVZ5gCdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

the pathetic tard boy lied:

> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2714. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy Irantard doesn't know his ass from his face about citizenship law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 03:23:48 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <obSdndcOIdtZuUbQnZ2dnUVZ5gAAAAAA@giganews.com>
Source record: 230640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

the pathetic tard boy lied:

> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2715. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 03:27:15 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irf8ikvlr2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230642
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/23/2011 12:49 PM, gfn wrote:
> On May 21, 7:30 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/21/2011 4:29 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> If you look at the figures on this page, you will find, in 2007, in
>>> America:
>>> 1) The top 1% have 42.7 of the financial wealth.
>>> 2) The next 19% have 50.3% of the wealth.
>>> 3) The bottom 80% have 7.0% of the wealth.
>>> So, according to those figures, the top 20% of the population have
>>> 42.7 + 50.3 = 93% of the financial wealth.
>>> This situation has worsened since 2007 ...
>>> I'd say we tax those top 20% of the population, what say all of you?
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>> Of course, I forgot the link in the above:
>>
>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> What I say is interesting, and very slanted, one sided article. I
> particularly like how they avoid who is actually footing the federal
> income tax bill in this country and focus primarily on the percentage
> of ones income that they pay in taxes. They also avoid who primarily
> benefits from all this income that is coming in. Paints two different
> pictures. But, fear not, I'm here to help.
>
> Top 1% paid 38.02% of the bill
> Top 5% paid 58.72% of the bill
> Top 10% paid 69.94% of the bill
> Top 25% paid 86.34% of the bill
> Top 50% paid 97.30% of the bill

> Bottom 50% paid 2.7% of the bill
> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>
> Now, before you complain about who has the wealth consider that the US
> does not, but for limited circumstances, derive their revenue based on
> wealth. It's based on income. So, let's compare apples to apples
> until the law changes to tax based on wealth.

Is there a summary of the taxes which they are using in that figure,
somewhere?

For example, are these figures only dealing with income tax?

Do they take into consideration fees, licenses, fines, federal excise
taxes, state sales taxes, fuel taxes, property taxes, etc., etc.

No, 1% of the people in the highest income taxes are NOT paying their
fair share ... and, all those other figures are just as skewed ...

Regards,
JS

2716. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 03:29:08 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irf8m5vlr3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230643
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/23/2011 1:09 PM, Sid9 wrote:
> ...
> Those number becloud the issue.
> They do not state the effective tax rate for these taxpayers.
>
> They are a misuse of statistics.
>
> They are deceptive and misleading.
>
> A favorite Republican tactic to protect their donors.
>
> You are fool if you buy into this bullshit

I'd imagine the website is a front for neocons, the rich/elite, etc.

You notice he/she offers NO .gov or .edu sites ...

Regards,
JS

2717. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 03:30:34 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEED0A1E7E32ExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247>
Source record: 230644
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Peter Franks
> On 5/22/2011 9:17 AM, MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE wrote:
>> Peter Franks
>>> On 5/19/2011 10:49 PM, MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE wrote:
>>>> Peter Franks
>>>>> On 5/19/2011 4:18 PM, Bert Hyman wrote:
>>>>>> In news:Yoorghis
>>>>>>> On Thu, 19 May 2011 10:47:01 -0700, John Smith
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> A flat tax on every taxable dollar ... end of story ... end of
>>>>>>>> complexities ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> That way huge companies will forever pay less money compared to
>>>>>>> their profit
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Are you interested in collecting enough money to run the legitimate
>>>>>> functions of government, or in taking money from people you don't
>>>>>> like?
>>>>>
>>>>> I'll speak on his behalf: the latter.
>>>>
>>>> Why SHOULDN'T things be taken from you in the name of the Common
Good?
>>>
>>> Because I worked for it. Now, why SHOULD things be taken from me in
the
>>> name of the Common Good?
>>
>>
>>
>> If your Life is not your own, why should your money be?
>
> Ah, but my life *is* my own.

Our Mission in this century is clear.
For Good or ILL we live in an interdependant World...
-- Bill Clinton'04

Which did HE chose?

The Mindset of Liberal Evil wants-to-believe, and in fact,
needs-to-believe that if only-enough of the innocent are sacrificed
to the guilty, a stable armistice can be at last reached between
predator and prey, between the murderers and their murdered

an interdependant, symbiotic, indulgant, parasitic relationship
administered by our emminent leaders can be achieved.
--and it will be they who will choose who must be sacrificed
that others may live.

This is Clinton's Bridge.
A Glasnost to Terror the likes of which the World has never Seen.
http://www.strangecosmos.com/images/content/109562.jpg
http://www.strangecosmos.com/images/content/100294.jpg

DEMAND COMPETANCE.
DEMAND ACCOUNTABILITY.
DEFEAT LIB STRATEGIC INSANITY. IT REALLY IS AS SIMPLE AS THAT.

2718. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 03:34:02 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irf8vceds1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230645
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irf8ikvlr2@dont-email.me...
> On 5/23/2011 12:49 PM, gfn wrote:
>> On May 21, 7:30 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/21/2011 4:29 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>> If you look at the figures on this page, you will find, in 2007, in
>>>>>> America:
>>>>>> 1) The top 1% have 42.7 of the financial wealth.
>>>>>> 2) The next 19% have 50.3% of the wealth.
>>>>>> 3) The bottom 80% have 7.0% of the wealth.
>>>>>> So, according to those figures, the top 20% of the population have
>>>>>> 42.7 + 50.3 = 93% of the financial wealth.
>>>>>> This situation has worsened since 2007 ...
>>>>>> I'd say we tax those top 20% of the population, what say all of you?
>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>> JS
>>>> Of course, I forgot the link in the above:
>>>>
>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>> What I say is interesting, and very slanted, one sided article. I
>> particularly like how they avoid who is actually footing the federal
>> income tax bill in this country and focus primarily on the percentage
>> of ones income that they pay in taxes. They also avoid who primarily
>> benefits from all this income that is coming in. Paints two different
>> pictures. But, fear not, I'm here to help.
>>
>> Top 1% paid 38.02% of the bill
>> Top 5% paid 58.72% of the bill
>> Top 10% paid 69.94% of the bill

>> Top 25% paid 86.34% of the bill
>> Top 50% paid 97.30% of the bill
>> Bottom 50% paid 2.7% of the bill
>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>
>> Now, before you complain about who has the wealth consider that the US
>> does not, but for limited circumstances, derive their revenue based on
>> wealth. It's based on income. So, let's compare apples to apples
>> until the law changes to tax based on wealth.
>
> Is there a summary of the taxes which they are using in that figure,
> somewhere?
>
> For example, are these figures only dealing with income tax?
>
> Do they take into consideration fees, licenses, fines, federal excise
> taxes, state sales taxes, fuel taxes, property taxes, etc., etc.
>
> No, 1% of the people in the highest income taxes are NOT paying their fair
> share ... and, all those other figures are just as skewed ...

It would seem to me that it would be up to those claiming that 1% isn't playing "their fair share" to prove it.

So I suggest that if you wish to prove they aren't paying their fair share that you do so, otherwise it would seem that adequate evidence has been presented to suggest they are.

2719. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 03:38:03 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irf96sfcn1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230646
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irf8m5vlr3@dont-email.me...
> On 5/23/2011 1:09 PM, Sid9 wrote:
>> ...
>> Those number becloud the issue.
>> They do not state the effective tax rate for these taxpayers.
>>
>> They are a misuse of statistics.
>>
>> They are deceptive and misleading.
>>
>> A favorite Republican tactic to protect their donors.
>>
>> You are fool if you buy into this bullshit
>
> I'd imagine the website is a front for neocons, the rich/elite, etc.
>
> You notice he/she offers NO .gov or .edu sites ...

I note that neither you nor Sid offer ANY evidence at all much less from a
.gov or .edu site.

So Sid makes an accusation that they are somehow not paying their fair
share.

Even though it's not required RD presents evidence that suggests they are.

Where is Sid's evidence?

Where is Sid, or you, presenting evidence to refute what was presented to
show they are paying their fair share?

Seems to me, it is those who are accusing some individual or group of wrong
doing to prove their case.

So when are you going to hold Sid to present some sort of evidence much less
the .gov or .edu requirement you demand of others?

Double standard?

Looks like it to me.

2720. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 03:38:07 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEED1EA0E5D8ExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247>
Source record: 230647
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Peter Franks
> On 5/22/2011 9:11 AM, RHF wrote:
>> On May 22, 8:32 am, Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/19/2011 10:49 PM, MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE wrote:

>>>> Why SHOULDN'T things be taken from you in the name of the Common
Good?
>>
>> - Because I worked for it.
>> - Now, why SHOULD things be taken from me
>> - in the name of the Common Good?
>>
>> -answer- "The Common Good"
>
> The so-called "common good" destroys the individual, and in the process,
> destroys the "common good". Therefore, there is NO common good.

BILL CLINTON disagrees...

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3GNpgH6jrUs
on the Common Good...

(Who could argue with His Emminent Monsterdom?)

Screw 'em, You don't owe them a thing, Bill.
-- Hillary'95.

The same reasoning went into that, that freed FALN terrorists who
murdered American Citizens after 130 bombings over a decade.

http://www.fas.org/irp/world/para/docs/final_faln_rpt2.htm
"[y]ou are lucky that we cannot take you right now. Our people will
continue to use righteous violence. Revolutionary justice can be fierce,
mark my words." Ricardo JimJnez shouted at the judge, "[w]e re going to
fight . . . revolutionary justice will take care of you and everybody
else." Dylcia Pagn warned the judge and courtroom, "[a]ll of you,
I would advise you to watch your backs."

Got TERROR? Thank Clinton !
This is Clinton's Bridge to the 21st Century.
NEITHER Clinton cares anything BUT themselves and POWER.

"I'm just trying to organize this process and drive it faster"
-- Bill Clinton'09

"We have a simpler, clearer path to the future
than we did when I was there"
Bill Clinton'09

I believe in evil and I think that there
are evil people in the world.
-- Hillary'93

"Iran is the only country in the world that has now had six elections
since the first election of President Khatami (in 1997).....
In every single election, the guys I identify with got two-thirds
to 70 percent of the vote. There is no other country in the world
I can say that about, certainly not my own.
-- Bill Clinton'05

LIBs plead for a Morality which holds COMPROMISE as its standard of
Value, making it possible to judge Virtue on the basis of the number of
Values which one is willing to Betray.

2721. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 03:41:56 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEED28F6FE91ExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247>
Source record: 230648
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"Scout"
...
> It would seem to me that it would be up to those claiming that 1% isn't
> playing "their fair share" to prove it.
...

Precisely who do you think built this Beast? and who is piloting it?

http://www.libertylive.org/Uploads/The%20Debt%20Star.jpg

2722. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 03:46:35 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irf9ms$g71$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230649
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf

On 5/23/2011 8:22 PM, Benny Fishhole wrote:
> ...
> That's right, they are now Mexican by the second definition. Now go
> tell your illegal bride to go cook up some tacos.

As I said, today, for all practical purposes, "BEANER = INDIAN." ...
end of story ...

If you doubt it, take a look at them, today the majority in my city are
South American Indians ... and here from Brazil, Peru, Guatemala, etc.

And, like I further said, most do not use the word "mexican" properly ...

Regards,
JS

2723. Re: Obama says ok to kill illegals crossing border

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 03:50:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irf9um$g71$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230650
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.immigration,alt.true-crime,talk.politics.guns,misc.legal,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/23/2011 8:17 PM, Bill Graham wrote:
> ...
> Some people see strangers as potential adversaries. Others see them as
> potential friends. I have known boith types, but I haven't been able
> to determine exactly what creates either type.
> I do know that it would be very hard to live with someone with whom
> you had no trust. How would you know whether he was going to get up in
> the middle of the night and slit your throat? So, obviously trusting
> is the way to go.

I just see they as getting free taxpayer money -- i.e., Americans pay
the bill while they freeload.

School, welfare, social security, use of our roads, sewer systems, water
supplies, public utilities, parks, etc., they use them at our expense ...

I don't care if people view the thieves as friends or foes ... in the
end, criminal action is criminal action, whether or not it is condoned
by criminal public servants ...

Regards,
JS

2724. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 03:56:09 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irfa8q$g71$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230651
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/23/2011 8:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> ...
> It would seem to me that it would be up to those claiming that 1%
> isn't playing "their fair share" to prove it.
>
> So I suggest that if you wish to prove they aren't paying their fair
> share that you do so, otherwise it would seem that adequate evidence
> has been presented to suggest they are.

Pay attention, I did. And, the "evidence" offered to dispute it is
worthless!

But, show us what you got, if anything more than just personal attack,
opinion and BS ...

Regards,
JS

2725. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 04:02:19 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <48cf173d-75f6-461d-9683-2da3418a8040@x1g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230652

On May 23, 11:10 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Born in Kenya! MOFO SCARHEAD'S Jooish 'Irish' Grandfather.http://www.rense.com
> cuhulin

And why did he visit a village in Ireland today ? And he even found a
'cousin ' of the 8th degree !

2726. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 04:08:04 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4bd02dbb-abbb-4d33-b829-ba2df74dcf9b@14g2000yqo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230653
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 19, 4:13 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
> On May 20, 6:06 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 19, 12:47 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > In article <a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
> > > df1d41a84...@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> > > says...
>
> > > > On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > > On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > > On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > > > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > > > > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> > > > > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>
> > > > > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> > > > > > was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>
> > > > > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > > > > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> > > > > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>

> > > > Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> > > > his knowlege of the 911 coverup.
>
> > > He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
> > > wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
>
> > > --
> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > They took DDT off the market and replaced it with radio isotopes to
> > kill the cut worm.But the bird eggs regained their strength!Soo many
> > humans soo little birds!
>
> Where's that bloody decoder ring when you need it- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

You just work on this george connecting the dots for SS and ISS and
uSSr

2727. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 04:13:26 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irfb97omi1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230654
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE" <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov> wrote in message
news:Xns9EEED28F6FE91ExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247...
> "Scout"
> ...
>> It would seem to me that it would be up to those claiming that 1% isn't
>> playing "their fair share" to prove it.
> ...
>
>
>
> Precisely who do you think built this Beast? and who is piloting it?
>
> http://www.libertylive.org/Uploads/The%20Debt%20Star.jpg

Irrelevent and has nothing to do with the issue or the point made.

However, who built is was government, and who is piloting it is a
combination of both Democrats and Republicans.

You don't like it, then maybe you need to do something about all the
spending taking place on BOTH sides.

2728. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 04:16:23 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irfbeoped1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230655
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irfa8q$g71$3@dont-email.me...
> On 5/23/2011 8:34 PM, Scout wrote:
>> ...
>> It would seem to me that it would be up to those claiming that 1% isn't
>> playing "their fair share" to prove it.
>>
>> So I suggest that if you wish to prove they aren't paying their fair
>> share that you do so, otherwise it would seem that adequate evidence has
>> been presented to suggest they are.
>
> Pay attention, I did. And, the "evidence" offered to dispute it is
> worthless!

So you claim, but I'm still waiting for you, or Sid, to present ANY evidence to support their evidence is worthless, much less ANY evidence to support the claim that they aren't paying their fair share.

Seems to me the only evidence presented refutes the claims and all you can do is case accusations within no support of your own to disprove that evidence.

> But, show us what you got, if anything more than just personal attack,
> opinion and BS ...

Exactly, SHOW me they aren't paying their fair share. Come on, "show us what you got, if anything more than just personal attack, opinion and BS....".

So where is YOUR evidence?

Where is Sid's evidence?

2729. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 04:21:03 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b6c23397-597f-40e8-81ca-37849ac70546@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230656
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 24, 12:08 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 19, 4:13 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 20, 6:06 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 19, 12:47 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > In article <a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
> > > > df1d41a84...@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> > > > says...
>
> > > > > On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > > > On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > > > > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > > > > > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> > > > > > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>
> > > > > > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> > > > > > > was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>
> > > > > > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > > > > > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> > > > > > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>

> > > > > His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>
> > > > > Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> > > > > his knowlege of the 911 coverup.
>
> > > > He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
> > > > wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
>
> > > > --
> > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text
-
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > They took DDT off the market and replaced it with radio isotopes to
> > > kill the cut worm.But the bird eggs regained their strength!Soo many
> > > humans soo little birds!
>
> > Where's that bloody decoder ring when you need it- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
You just work on this george connecting the dots for SS and ISS and
uSSr.

It goes back in time to where the freemasons were chased out of
Europe,they joined forces with the USSR and fought with them.

Now they are a team the free masons new world and the solviet
union.are one and the same. The fall of the Solviet Union was a
shame,it just passed the sword to their counter parts the freemason's
USA!

The USA also surported Hitler and they faked his suicide,and the NEW
WORLD ORDER commenced.

They just played like they were different Governments but they were
the same since 1776.

2730. WTB: Twin Coil Ferrite AM Antenna by C.Crane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 05:00:37 UTC
From: marc <nomail@be.com>
Message-ID: <irfe1l$45p$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230657

(prefer from europe)

I want to buy a Twin Coil Ferrite AM Antenna by C.Crane.

delivery to Belgium

contact me, email address on
http://www.dxradio.dommel.be/radio/swlist/

"mail me"

(they go around 25$ on ebay).
--
--
What's on Shortwave guide: choose an hour, go!
http://shortwave.tk
700+ Radio Stations on SW http://swstations.tk
300+ languages on SW http://radiolanguages.tk

2731. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 05:43:33 UTC
From: "skepticl1@aol.com" <skepticl1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <991a1df3-abb9-4c8f-bc42-facb7846d8c9@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230658
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On May 23, 9:21 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 24, 12:08 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 19, 4:13 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 20, 6:06 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 19, 12:47 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > In article <a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
> > > > > df1d41a84...@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> > > > > says...
>
> > > > > > On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > > > > On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > > > > > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > > > > > > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > > > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> > > > > > > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>
> > > > > > > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> > > > > > > > was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>
> > > > > > > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > > > > > > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> > > > > > > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
```

> > > > > > His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>
> > > > > > Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> > > > > > his knowlege of the 911 coverup.
>
> > > > > He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
> > > > > wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
>
> > > > > --
> > > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted
text -
>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > They took DDT off the market and replaced it with radio isotopes to
> > > > kill the cut worm.But the bird eggs regained their strength!Soo many
> > > > humans soo little birds!
>
> > > Where's that bloody decoder ring when you need it- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> You just work on this george connecting the dots for SS and ISS and
> uSSr.
>
> It goes back in time to where the freemasons were chased out of
> Europe,they joined forces with the USSR and fought with them.
>
> Now they are a team the free masons new world and the solviet
> union.are one and the same. The fall of the Solviet Union was a
> shame,it just passed the sword to their counter parts the freemason's
> USA!
>
> The USA also surported Hitler and they faked his suicide,and the NEW
> WORLD ORDER commenced.
>
> They just played like they were different Governments but they were
> the same since 1776.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

NO! The Soviet Union never worked with the Rockerfellers. Revolution
is the solution for the ruling class oppressors and even including
illuminati secret bankers. It puts the masses in charge and removes
the Rockerfellers out of power. Your head is too much in the clouds.
Conspiracy thinking is a certain way of looking at things, which gives
you a false ideology (although conspriacies, do happen like Pearl
Harbor, the Gulf of Token and 911--You'll note that the Soviets and
the Maoists never did a false flag attack on the masses) There is
revolutionary analysis of politics which are far broader and deeper

than the false notions of the John Birch Society screwballs.

Setting the Record Straight

The Outrageous Equating of Communism with Nazism
Revolution #011, August 14, 2005, posted at revcom.us

The following article was written by a member of the Set the Record Straight project.

One of the lies about communism that has been repeated over and over again is the equating of the Soviet Union under Stalin with Hitler's Germany. The comparison rules socialism utterly out of order, paints it as a nightmare, rules it off the agenda.and is a total lie!

Nazi Germany and the Soviet Union—Opposite Societies
Nazism or German fascism was a form of capitalist rule marked by extreme repression and open terror against the masses and the abandonment of bourgeois parliamentary and electoral mechanisms. Ideologically, Nazism based itself on the defense of private-capitalist property, the idea of German/Aryan racial superiority, hatred of Jews (anti- Semitism), and anti-communism.

The German economy as it was reorganized under the Nazis remained capitalist through and through: it was based on exploitation and capitalist expansion, and the leading industrial-financial capitalist groups retained their economic control and holdings.

When the Soviet Union was socialist, from 1917 to 1956, its economy was based on socialist public ownership. Relations of exploitation had been overthrown and no longer dominated (although they were not completely eliminated). The economy was structured to meet the needs of the people.

When the Nazis came to power in Germany, they went about "restructuring" and "purifying" German society: imprisoning and murdering communists, gypsies, homosexuals, "half-breeds," drug addicts, and other sections of society that the Nazis deemed to be biological and moral "pollutants." Ultimately, the Nazi program led to the mass murder of millions of Jews and other people.

By contrast, in the Soviet Union, a pillar of the Bolshevik program was putting an end to national oppression and establishing equality of national languages and national cultures. With respect to the Jewish people, the revolution in power immediately took strong measures to combat and uproot anti-Semitism. Before the Bolshevik Revolution, Jews were subject to constant pogroms—mob massacres by peasants who were told absurd lies about the Jews. This was overthrown in the socialist revolution, and the confinement of Jews to certain geographic areas and discrimination in employment were ended.

The Nazi party rallied German society around an ultra-nationalist program of preservation of German blood and soil and the vanquishing

of a fabricated Judeo-communist “conspiracy” to control the world. The Nazi ideology of irrational hatred of certain peoples and racist superiority was rooted in the economic system of capitalism—a system of horrific human suffering even in “peaceful times.” The Nazi mind-set aggressively disdained critical, rational, and scientific thought (very much like the Christian fascists in the U.S. today). The model “Good German” was unthinking, obedient, and blindly followed authority.

Communism is founded on a scientific outlook that enables people to understand the world and society in their motion and development. Communism is founded on an internationalist world-view of achieving a classless world free of oppression and exploitation. And a defining feature of socialist society is that the masses of people are mobilized to consciously transform economic, political, and social relations and institutions in the direction of classless society and to revolutionize traditional ideas and values that reflect and reinforce class distinctions.

Collectivization of Agriculture
In the Soviet Union, the means of production, which had been seized by the revolution, were placed in the service of society (instead of serving exploitation). And, in the countryside, an unprecedented revolution took place. The formerly isolated, suppressed, and impoverished peasants were led by the Communist Party to rise up, cast off millennia of enslavement and mind-numbing tradition, and overthrow cruel and vicious exploitation and oppression. This process was especially dramatic for women, who made huge strides towards equality after being treated like animals.

Were harsh measures carried out by the proletarian state in the Soviet Union against some sectors of the population? Yes, but the dictatorship of the proletariat has nothing in common with the Nazi program, the Nazi outlook, or Nazi methods. For example, many anti-Communist ideologues and historians allege that the collectivization carried out in the Soviet countryside in the late 1920s and early 1930s was a savage campaign of expropriation and murder. In fact this collectivization was a drive to develop a new system of agricultural production based on collective ownership, and it ignited a genuine upheaval against centuries- old authority, tradition, and oppression in the countryside.

The kulaks, the rich peasants who employed hired laborers, were a focus and target of this struggle. But this had nothing to do with their ethnicity. It had to do with their class position, that is, with the economic power and influence the kulaks exerted in the countryside. It had to do with the fact that they were working to undermine efforts to carry forward collectivization and strengthen the new socialist economy—the kulaks had hoarded grain, profiteered in rural markets, destroyed livestock, and organized sections of peasants against the regime. In this period when many peasants were starving,

the kulaks were stripped of their private economic holdings, which were turned into the social property of the collectives. Many of the kulaks were punished, including being forced to leave certain areas. And sometimes this was done unfairly. But the kulaks were not made the object of genocide.

The Soviet approach to collectivization and the way the class struggle was waged in the countryside were not without problems. And Mao had big criticisms of the approach taken. There were serious excesses, including the fact that force was used in situations where persuasion should have been relied on. But this was within the context of a real struggle between revolution in the interests of the masses and counterrevolution.

World War 2
The German ruling class, under Nazi leadership, went to war with the Western powers as part of a struggle among the imperial powers for global supremacy. France, England, and the U.S. were all seeking to preserve and extend capitalist empire. The Nazis attacked the socialist Soviet Union in order to crush a socialist (anti-capitalist) revolution that was an inspiration to the world's oppressed and to gain control over a huge, strategically situated land mass, rich in resources.

In the studies that equate communism and Nazism it is also conveniently forgotten that the turning point of World War 2 was the defeat of the Nazi army by the Soviet Union under Stalin. During World War 2, more than 20 million Soviet citizens sacrificed their lives (with millions of communists among them). And Stalin led the Soviet people to defend their revolution against the Nazi-imperialist onslaught.

Setting the Record Straight—And Looking to the Future
Reactionaries who promote wild distortions to equate the socialist Soviet Union (until capitalism was restored after the death of Stalin) with Nazi Germany do so with an agenda that capitalism represents the end of history, and that exploitation and oppression are the highest that humanity can aspire to. Progressive people who uncritically repeat the equation of Nazism and communism should, instead, find out the true story, and ponder how they are objectively joining with open reactionaries in defending this system and ruling "out of order" any real and radical alternative to capitalism.

2732. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 05:52:10 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <03fb7f4f-f21d-434c-a08f-9a847307c5b4@e21g2000vbz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230659
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 24, 1:43 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 23, 9:21 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 12:08 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 19, 4:13 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 20, 6:06 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 19, 12:47 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > In article <a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
> > > > > > df1d41a84...@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> > > > > > says...
>
> > > > > > > On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > > > > > On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage nothing
> > > > > > > > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > > > > > > > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > > > > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> > > > > > > > > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>
> > > > > > > > > > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> > > > > > > > > > > was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>
> > > > > > > > > > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > > > > > > > > > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good

> > > > > > > > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>
> > > > > > > Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> > > > > > > his knowlege of the 911 coverup.
>
> > > > > > He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
> > > > > > wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
>
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted
text -
>
> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > They took DDT off the market and replaced it with radio isotopes to
> > > > > kill the cut worm.But the bird eggs regained their strength!Soo many
> > > > > humans soo little birds!
>
> > > > Where's that bloody decoder ring when you need it- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > You just work on this george connecting the dots for SS and ISS and
> > uSSr.
>
> > It goes back in time to where the freemasons were chased out of
> > Europe,they joined forces with the USSR and fought with them.
>
> > Now they are a team the free masons new world and the solviet
> > union.are one and the same. The fall of the Solviet Union was a
> > shame,it just passed the sword to their counter parts the freemason's
> > USA!
>
> > The USA also surported Hitler and they faked his suicide,and the NEW
> > WORLD ORDER commenced.
>
> > They just played like they were different Governments but they were
> > the same since 1776.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> NO! The Soviet Union never worked with the Rockerfellers. Revolution
> is the solution for the ruling class oppressors and even including
> illuminati secret bankers. It puts the masses in charge and removes
> the Rockerfellers out of power. Your head is too much in the clouds.
> Conspiracy thinking is a certain way of looking at things, which gives

> you a false ideology (although conspriacies, do happen like Pearl
> Harbor, the Gulf of Token and 911--You'll note that the Soviets and
> the Maoists never did a false flag attack on the masses) There is
> revolutionary analysis of politics which are far broader and deeper
> than the false notions of the John Birch Society screwballs.
>
> Setting the Record Straight
>
> The Outrageous Equating of Communism with Nazism
> Revolution #011, August 14, 2005, posted at revcom.us
>
> The following article was written by a member of the Set the Record
> Straight project.
>
> One of the lies about communism that has been repeated over and over
> again is the equating of the Soviet Union under Stalin with Hitler’s
> Germany. The comparison rules socialism utterly out of order, paints
> it as a nightmare, rules it off the agenda.and is a total lie!
>
> Nazi Germany and the Soviet Union—Opposite Societies
> Nazism or German fascism was a form of capitalist rule marked by
> extreme repression and open terror against the masses and the
> abandonment of bourgeois parliamentary and electoral mechanisms.
> Ideologically, Nazism based itself on the defense of private-
> capitalist property, the idea of German/Aryan racial superiority,
> hatred of Jews (anti- Semitism), and anti-communism.
>
> The German economy as it was reorganized under the Nazis remained
> capitalist through and through: it was based on exploitation and
> capitalist expansion, and the leading industrial-financial capitalist
> groups retained their economic control and holdings.
>
> When the Soviet Union was socialist, from 1917 to 1956, its economy
> was based on socialist public ownership. Relations of exploitation had
> been overthrown and no longer dominated (although they were not
> completely eliminated). The economy was structured to meet the needs
> of the people.
>
> When the Nazis came to power in Germany, they went about
> “restructuring” and “purifying” German society: imprisoning and
> murdering communists, gypsies, homosexuals, “half-breeds,” drug
> addicts, and other sections of society that the Nazis deemed to be
> biological and moral “pollutants.” Ultimately, the Nazi program led to
> the mass murder of millions of Jews and other people.
>
> By contrast, in the Soviet Union, a pillar of the Bolshevik program
> was putting an end to national oppression and establishing equality of
> national languages and national cultures. With respect to the Jewish
> people, the revolution in power immediately took strong measures to
> combat and uproot anti-Semitism. Before the Bolshevik Revolution, Jews

> were subject to constant pogroms—mob massacres by peasants who were
> told absurd lies about the Jews. This was overthrown in the socialist
> revolution, and the confinement of Jews to certain geographic areas
> and discrimination in employment were ended.
>
> The Nazi party rallied German society around an ultra-nationalist
> program of preservation of German blood and soil and the vanquishing
> of a fabricated Judeo-communist “conspiracy” to control the world. The
> Nazi ideology of irrational hatred of certain peoples and racist
> superiority was rooted in the economic system of capitalism—a system
> of horrific human suffering even in “peaceful times.” The Nazi mind-
> set aggressively disdained critical, rational, and scientific thought
> (very much like the Christian fascists in the U.S. today). The model
> “Good German” was unthinking, obedient, and blindly followed
> authority.
>
> Communism is founded on a scientific outlook that enables people to
> understand the world and society in their motion and development.
> Communism is founded on an internationalist world-view of achieving a
> classless world free of oppression and exploitation. And a defining
> feature of socialist society is that the masses of people are
> mobilized to consciously transform economic, political, and social
> relations and institutions in the direction of classless society and
> to revolutionize traditional ideas and values that reflect and
> reinforce class distinctions.
>
> Collectivization of Agriculture
> In the Soviet Union, the means of production, which had been seized by
> the revolution, were placed in the service of society (instead of
> serving exploitation). And, in the countryside, an unprecedented
> revolution took place. The formerly isolated, suppressed, and
> impoverished peasants were led by the Communist Party to rise up, cast
> off millennia of enslavement and mind-numbing tradition, and overthrow
> cruel and vicious exploitation and oppression. This process was
> especially dramatic for women, who made huge strides towards equality
> after being treated like animals.
>
> Were harsh measures carried out by the proletarian state in the Soviet
> Union against some sectors of the population? Yes, but the
> dictatorship of the proletariat has nothing in common with the Nazi
> program, the Nazi outlook, or Nazi methods. For example, many anti-
> Communist ideologues and historians allege that the collectivization
> carried out in the Soviet countryside in the late 1920s and early
> 1930s was a savage campaign of expropriation and murder. In fact this
> collectivization was a drive to develop a new system of agricultural
> production based on collective ownership, and it ignited a genuine
> upheaval against centuries- old authority, tradition, and oppression
> in the countryside.
>
> The kulaks, the rich peasants who employed hired laborers, were a

> focus and target of this struggle. But this had nothing to do with
> their ethnicity. It had to do with their class position, that is, with
> the economic power and influence the kulaks exerted in the
> countryside. It had to do with the fact that they were working to
> undermine efforts to carry forward collectivization and strengthen the
> new socialist economy—the kulaks had hoarded grain, profiteered in
> rural markets, destroyed livestock, and organized sections of peasants
> against the regime. In this period when many peasants were starving,
> the kulaks were stripped of their private economic holdings, which
> were turned into the social property of the collectives. Many of the
> kulaks were punished, including being forced to leave certain areas.
> And sometimes this was done unfairly. But the kulaks were not made the
> object of genocide.
>
> The Soviet approach to collectivization and the way the class struggle
> was waged in the countryside were not without problems. And Mao had
> big criticisms of the approach taken. There were serious excesses,
> including the fact that force was used in situations where persuasion
> should have been relied on. But this was within the context of a real
> struggle between revolution in the interests of ...
>
> read more »- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

This must be some sort of typo error . How did the SU cease to be a
socialist country in 1956 ?

2733. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 05:55:24 UTC
From: Red Cloud <mmdir2005@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2e3c8984-608f-43d8-90be-24c242729fe6@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230660
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On May 23, 8:46 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/23/2011 8:22 PM, Benny Fishhole wrote:
>
> > ...
> > That's right, they are now Mexican by the second definition. Now go
> > tell your illegal bride to go cook up some tacos.
>
> As I said, today, for all practical purposes, "BEANER = INDIAN." ...
> end of story ...
>
> If you doubt it, take a look at them, today the majority in my city are
> South American Indians ... and here from Brazil, Peru, Guatemala, etc.
>
> And, like I further said, most do not use the word "mexican" properly ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Well most Hispanics are Mexicans. That's why I use Mexican first
before
Brazilian or Peruvian or other South American National. Most Illegal
aliens
are Mexicans. That's the issue! Most Hispanic gangbangers are
Mexicans.
So do you have problem with that? Those bastard are waving Mexican
flag
during Labor day protest in US territory. No Hispanic waved
Brazilian or Peruvian flag. It's only Mexican waving their flag
because they are anti-Americans in US society. That's the #1
Hispanic/Latino.problem we face.

2734. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 05:59:21 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284513418fa72afb98a252@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230661
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
In article <1ec3f976-11ec-4715-a07c-bc6ee943ae69
@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 23, 9:32ï¿œam, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > In article <923332eb-bef3-4587-9370-
> > 79b77643c...@y31g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> > says...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 21, 4:37ï¿œpm, "Scout"
> > > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > > > "Iarnrod" <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > >news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > > > > On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > >> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
> >
> > > > >> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > > > >> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > > >> >news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
> >
> > > > >> > > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
> >
> > > > >> > >> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > >> > >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> > > > >> > >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> > > > >> > >>>> ...
> > > > >> > >>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
> > > > >> > >>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of
> > > > >> > >>>> photographic
> > > > >> > >>>> evidence is noted.
> >
> > > > >> > >>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
> > > > >> > >>>> claims.
> >
> > > > >> > >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal
```

> > > > >> > >>> with
> > > > >> > >>> it ...
> >
> > > > >> > >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that
> > > > >> > >> you
> > > > >> > >> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking
> > > > >> > >> to
> > > > >> > >> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.
> >
> > > > >> > >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. ï¿œAll I do is repeat
> > > > >> > >>> the
> > > > >> > >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
> >
> > > > >> > >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so
> > > > >> > >> ignorant
> > > > >> > >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
> > > > >> > >> falling
> > > > >> > >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his experts
> > > > >> > >> might not
> > > > >> > >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy their
> > > > >> > >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
> >
> > > > >> > >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
> > > > >> > >> admission.
> >
> > > > >> > >> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk
> > > > >> > >> about
> > > > >> > >> this stuff then are you?
> >
> > > > >> > >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they
> > > > >> > >> might
> > > > >> > >> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing
> > > > >> > >> the
> > > > >> > >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and
> > > > >> > >> can't
> > > > >> > >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
> >
> > > > >> > >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your
> > > > >> > >> own
> > > > >> > >> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you
> > > > >> > >> for
> > > > >> > >> even a second?
> >
> > > > >> > > I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others
> > > > >> > > if
> > > > >> > > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are
> > > > >> > > fooled
> > > > >> > > into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts, etc.?
> >
> > > > >> IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide quoted

> > > > >> > text -
> >
> > > > >> > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > >> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.
> >
> > > > > What missile attack, insane nut job?
> >
> > > > Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis of the
> > > > frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane crashing into
> > > > the side of the Pentagon.
> >
> > > > Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even consider is that
> > > > even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly preclude a missile
> > > > given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to the 5 stories of
> > > > the Pentagon.
> >
> > > > But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and that's good
> > > > enough "proof" for Joe.
> >
> > > > >> Which
> > > > >> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
> >
> > > > > When did missiles hit it?
> >
> > > > I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
> >
> > > > >> Three missiles are were
> > > > >> recorded in the same FBI release,
> >
> > > > > Show us, insnae nut job.
> >
> > > > Yea, one "missile" per frame. <snicker>
> >
> > > > >> Well the remnates of the first one is
> > > > >> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see the
> > > > >> next two in motion!
> >
> > > > > Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
> >
> > > > "It is too late for that"
> >
> > > > Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
> > > contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
> >
> > > Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!

> >
> > No, it takes some serious mental problems, serious drug abuse, or a
> > combo of both. What is it with you?
> >
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> I blame it on my IQ of 145.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

Sure Joe, and you were born on Krypton too.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2735. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 07:02:54 UTC
From: "skepticl1@aol.com" <skepticl1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <bf0dd0da-97bc-4c5b-8380-12192fa07d2f@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230662
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 23, 10:52 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 24, 1:43 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > On May 23, 9:21 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 24, 12:08 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 19, 4:13 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 20, 6:06 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 19, 12:47 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > In article <a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
> > > > > > > df1d41a84...@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> > > > > > > says...
>
> > > > > > > > On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > > > > > > On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage
nothing
> > > > > > > > > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > > > > > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> > > > > > > > > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>
> > > > > > > > > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from CNN
> > > > > > > > > > was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>
> > > > > > > > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > > > > > > > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> > > > > > > > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -

>
> > > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > > His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>
> > > > > > > > > Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> > > > > > > > > his knowlege of the 911 coverup.
>
> > > > > > > > He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
> > > > > > > > wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
>
> > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted
text -
>
> > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > They took DDT off the market and replaced it with radio isotopes to
> > > > > > > kill the cut worm.But the bird eggs regained their strength!Soo many
> > > > > > > humans soo little birds!
>
> > > > > > Where's that bloody decoder ring when you need it- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > You just work on this george connecting the dots for SS and ISS and
> > > > uSSr.
>
> > > It goes back in time to where the freemasons were chased out of
> > > Europe,they joined forces with the USSR and fought with them.
>
> > > Now they are a team the free masons new world and the solviet
> > > union.are one and the same. The fall of the Solviet Union was a
> > > shame,it just passed the sword to their counter parts the freemason's
> > > USA!
>
> > > The USA also surported Hitler and they faked his suicide,and the NEW
> > > WORLD ORDER commenced.
>
> > > They just played like they were different Governments but they were
> > > the same since 1776.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > NO! The Soviet Union never worked with the Rockerfellers. Revolution
> > is the solution for the ruling class oppressors and even including
> > illuminati secret bankers. It puts the masses in charge and removes
> > the Rockerfellers out of power. Your head is too much in the clouds.
> > Conspiracy thinking is a certain way of looking at things, which gives
> > you a false ideology (although conspriacies, do happen like Pearl

> > Harbor, the Gulf of Token and 911--You'll note that the Soviets and
> > the Maoists never did a false flag attack on the masses) There is
> > revolutionary analysis of politics which are far broader and deeper
> > than the false notions of the John Birch Society screwballs.
>
> > Setting the Record Straight
>
> > The Outrageous Equating of Communism with Nazism
> > Revolution #011, August 14, 2005, posted at revcom.us
>
> > The following article was written by a member of the Set the Record
> > Straight project.
>
> > One of the lies about communism that has been repeated over and over
> > again is the equating of the Soviet Union under Stalin with Hitler’s
> > Germany. The comparison rules socialism utterly out of order, paints
> > it as a nightmare, rules it off the agenda.and is a total lie!
>
> > Nazi Germany and the Soviet Union—Opposite Societies
> > Nazism or German fascism was a form of capitalist rule marked by
> > extreme repression and open terror against the masses and the
> > abandonment of bourgeois parliamentary and electoral mechanisms.
> > Ideologically, Nazism based itself on the defense of private-
> > capitalist property, the idea of German/Aryan racial superiority,
> > hatred of Jews (anti- Semitism), and anti-communism.
>
> > The German economy as it was reorganized under the Nazis remained
> > capitalist through and through: it was based on exploitation and
> > capitalist expansion, and the leading industrial-financial capitalist
> > groups retained their economic control and holdings.
>
> > When the Soviet Union was socialist, from 1917 to 1956, its economy
> > was based on socialist public ownership. Relations of exploitation had
> > been overthrown and no longer dominated (although they were not
> > completely eliminated). The economy was structured to meet the needs
> > of the people.
>
> > When the Nazis came to power in Germany, they went about
> > “restructuring” and “purifying” German society: imprisoning and
> > murdering communists, gypsies, homosexuals, “half-breeds,” drug
> > addicts, and other sections of society that the Nazis deemed to be
> > biological and moral “pollutants.” Ultimately, the Nazi program led to
> > the mass murder of millions of Jews and other people.
>
> > By contrast, in the Soviet Union, a pillar of the Bolshevik program
> > was putting an end to national oppression and establishing equality of
> > national languages and national cultures. With respect to the Jewish
> > people, the revolution in power immediately took strong measures to
> > combat and uproot anti-Semitism. Before the Bolshevik Revolution, Jews
> > were subject to constant pogroms—mob massacres by peasants who were

> > told absurd lies about the Jews. This was overthrown in the socialist
> > revolution, and the confinement of Jews to certain geographic areas
> > and discrimination in employment were ended.
>
> > The Nazi party rallied German society around an ultra-nationalist
> > program of preservation of German blood and soil and the vanquishing
> > of a fabricated Judeo-communist “conspiracy” to control the world. The
> > Nazi ideology of irrational hatred of certain peoples and racist
> > superiority was rooted in the economic system of capitalism—a system
> > of horrific human suffering even in “peaceful times.” The Nazi mind-
> > set aggressively disdained critical, rational, and scientific thought
> > (very much like the Christian fascists in the U.S. today). The model
> > “Good German” was unthinking, obedient, and blindly followed
> > authority.
>
> > Communism is founded on a scientific outlook that enables people to
> > understand the world and society in their motion and development.
> > Communism is founded on an internationalist world-view of achieving a
> > classless world free of oppression and exploitation. And a defining
> > feature of socialist society is that the masses of people are
> > mobilized to consciously transform economic, political, and social
> > relations and institutions in the direction of classless society and
> > to revolutionize traditional ideas and values that reflect and
> > reinforce class distinctions.
>
> > Collectivization of Agriculture
> > In the Soviet Union, the means of production, which had been seized by
> > the revolution, were placed in the service of society (instead of
> > serving exploitation). And, in the countryside, an unprecedented
> > revolution took place. The formerly isolated, suppressed, and
> > impoverished peasants were led by the Communist Party to rise up, cast
> > off millennia of enslavement and mind-numbing tradition, and overthrow
> > cruel and vicious exploitation and oppression. This process was
> > especially dramatic for women, who made huge strides towards equality
> > after being treated like animals.
>
> > Were harsh measures carried out by the proletarian state in the Soviet
> > Union against some sectors of the population? Yes, but the
> > dictatorship of the proletariat has nothing in common with the Nazi
> > program, the Nazi outlook, or Nazi methods. For example, many anti-
> > Communist ideologues and historians allege that the collectivization
> > carried out in the Soviet countryside in the late 1920s and early
> > 1930s was a savage campaign of expropriation and murder. In fact this
> > collectivization was a drive to develop a new system of agricultural
> > production based on collective ownership, and it ignited a genuine
> > upheaval against centuries- old authority, tradition, and oppression
> > in the countryside.
>
> > The kulaks, the rich peasants who employed hired laborers, were a
> > focus and target of this struggle. But this had nothing to do with

> > their ethnicity. It had to do with their class position, that is, with
> > the economic power and influence the kulaks exerted in the
> > countryside. It had to do with the fact that they were working to
> > undermine efforts to carry forward collectivization and strengthen the
> > new socialist economy—the kulaks had hoarded grain, profiteered in
> > rural markets, destroyed livestock, and organized sections of peasants
> > against the regime. In this period when many peasants were starving,
> > the kulaks were stripped of their private economic holdings, which
> > were turned into the social property of the collectives. Many of the
> > kulaks were punished, including being forced to leave certain areas.
> > And sometimes this was done unfairly. But the kulaks were not made the
> > object of genocide.
>
> > The Soviet approach to collectivization and the way the class struggle
> > was waged in the countryside were not without problems. And Mao had
> > big criticisms of the approach taken. There were serious excesses,
> > including the fact that force was used in situations where persuasion
> > should have been relied on. But this was within the context of a real
> > struggle between revolution in the interests of ...
>
> > read more »- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> This must be some sort of typo error . How did the SU cease to be a
> socialist country in 1956 ?

According to Marxist Leninist Maoists, the Soviet Union became
revisionist, --not genuinely Socialist. Revisionism is Socialism in
name but Capitalist in deeds and fact. Mao Tse Tung criticized the
Soviet Union in 1956 for going down the Capitalist road. For 30 years
the USSR had a form of goulash socialism, State Capitalism.

2736. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 07:36:11 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <176a83ee-df36-4412-b5a0-136d0a72cdd5@e21g2000vbz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230663
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 24, 3:02 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 23, 10:52 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > On May 24, 1:43 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 23, 9:21 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 24, 12:08 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 19, 4:13 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 20, 6:06 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > On May 19, 12:47 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > In article <a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
> > > > > > > > df1d41a84...@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> > > > > > > > says...
>
> > > > > > > > > On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage
nothing
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from
CNN
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>

> > > > > > > > > > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > > > > > > > > > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very good
> > > > > > > > > > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > > His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>
> > > > > > > > > Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> > > > > > > > > his knowlege of the 911 coverup.
>
> > > > > > > > He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
> > > > > > > > wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
>
> > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide
quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > They took DDT off the market and replaced it with radio isotopes to
> > > > > > > kill the cut worm.But the bird eggs regained their strength!Soo many
> > > > > > > humans soo little birds!
>
> > > > > > Where's that bloody decoder ring when you need it- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > You just work on this george connecting the dots for SS and ISS and
> > > > uSSr.
>
> > > > It goes back in time to where the freemasons were chased out of
> > > > Europe,they joined forces with the USSR and fought with them.
>
> > > > Now they are a team the free masons new world and the solviet
> > > > union.are one and the same. The fall of the Solviet Union was a
> > > > shame,it just passed the sword to their counter parts the freemason's
> > > > USA!
>
> > > > The USA also surported Hitler and they faked his suicide,and the NEW
> > > > WORLD ORDER commenced.
>
> > > > They just played like they were different Governments but they were
> > > > the same since 1776.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > NO! The Soviet Union never worked with the Rockerfellers. Revolution
> > > is the solution for the ruling class oppressors and even including
> > > illuminati secret bankers. It puts the masses in charge and removes

> > > the Rockerfellers out of power. Your head is too much in the clouds.
> > > Conspiracy thinking is a certain way of looking at things, which gives
> > > you a false ideology (although conspriacies, do happen like Pearl
> > > Harbor, the Gulf of Token and 911--You'll note that the Soviets and
> > > the Maoists never did a false flag attack on the masses) There is
> > > revolutionary analysis of politics which are far broader and deeper
> > > than the false notions of the John Birch Society screwballs.
>
> > > Setting the Record Straight
>
> > > The Outrageous Equating of Communism with Nazism
> > > Revolution #011, August 14, 2005, posted at revcom.us
>
> > > The following article was written by a member of the Set the Record
> > > Straight project.
>
> > > One of the lies about communism that has been repeated over and over
> > > again is the equating of the Soviet Union under Stalin with Hitler’s
> > > Germany. The comparison rules socialism utterly out of order, paints
> > > it as a nightmare, rules it off the agenda.and is a total lie!
>
> > > Nazi Germany and the Soviet Union—Opposite Societies
> > > Nazism or German fascism was a form of capitalist rule marked by
> > > extreme repression and open terror against the masses and the
> > > abandonment of bourgeois parliamentary and electoral mechanisms.
> > > Ideologically, Nazism based itself on the defense of private-
> > > capitalist property, the idea of German/Aryan racial superiority,
> > > hatred of Jews (anti- Semitism), and anti-communism.
>
> > > The German economy as it was reorganized under the Nazis remained
> > > capitalist through and through: it was based on exploitation and
> > > capitalist expansion, and the leading industrial-financial capitalist
> > > groups retained their economic control and holdings.
>
> > > When the Soviet Union was socialist, from 1917 to 1956, its economy
> > > was based on socialist public ownership. Relations of exploitation had
> > > been overthrown and no longer dominated (although they were not
> > > completely eliminated). The economy was structured to meet the needs
> > > of the people.
>
> > > When the Nazis came to power in Germany, they went about
> > > “restructuring” and “purifying” German society: imprisoning and
> > > murdering communists, gypsies, homosexuals, “half-breeds,” drug
> > > addicts, and other sections of society that the Nazis deemed to be
> > > biological and moral “pollutants.” Ultimately, the Nazi program led to
> > > the mass murder of millions of Jews and other people.
>
> > > By contrast, in the Soviet Union, a pillar of the Bolshevik program
> > > was putting an end to national oppression and establishing equality of
> > > national languages and national cultures. With respect to the Jewish

> > > people, the revolution in power immediately took strong measures to
> > > combat and uproot anti-Semitism. Before the Bolshevik Revolution, Jews
> > > were subject to constant pogroms—mob massacres by peasants who were
> > > told absurd lies about the Jews. This was overthrown in the socialist
> > > revolution, and the confinement of Jews to certain geographic areas
> > > and discrimination in employment were ended.
>
> > > The Nazi party rallied German society around an ultra-nationalist
> > > program of preservation of German blood and soil and the vanquishing
> > > of a fabricated Judeo-communist “conspiracy” to control the world. The
> > > Nazi ideology of irrational hatred of certain peoples and racist
> > > superiority was rooted in the economic system of capitalism—a system
> > > of horrific human suffering even in “peaceful times.” The Nazi mind-
> > > set aggressively disdained critical, rational, and scientific thought
> > > (very much like the Christian fascists in the U.S. today). The model
> > > “Good German” was unthinking, obedient, and blindly followed
> > > authority.
>
> > > Communism is founded on a scientific outlook that enables people to
> > > understand the world and society in their motion and development.
> > > Communism is founded on an internationalist world-view of achieving a
> > > classless world free of oppression and exploitation. And a defining
> > > feature of socialist society is that the masses of people are
> > > mobilized to consciously transform economic, political, and social
> > > relations and institutions in the direction of classless society and
> > > to revolutionize traditional ideas and values that reflect and
> > > reinforce class distinctions.
>
> > > Collectivization of Agriculture
> > > In the Soviet Union, the means of production, which had been seized by
> > > the revolution, were placed in the service of society (instead of
> > > serving exploitation). And, in the countryside, an unprecedented
> > > revolution took place. The formerly isolated, suppressed, and
> > > impoverished peasants were led by the Communist Party to rise up, cast
> > > off millennia of enslavement and mind-numbing tradition, and overthrow
> > > cruel and vicious exploitation and oppression. This process was
> > > especially dramatic for women, who made huge strides towards equality
> > > after being treated like animals.
>
> > > Were harsh measures carried out by the proletarian state in the Soviet
> > > Union against some sectors of the population? Yes, but the
> > > dictatorship of the proletariat has nothing in common with the Nazi
> > > program, the Nazi outlook, or Nazi methods. For example, many anti-
> > > Communist ideologues and historians allege that the collectivization
> > > carried out in the Soviet countryside in the late 1920s and early
> > > 1930s was a savage campaign of expropriation and murder. In fact this
> > > collectivization was a drive to develop a new system of agricultural
> > > production based on collective ownership, and it ignited a genuine
> > > upheaval against centuries- old authority, tradition, and oppression
> > > in the countryside.

>
> > > The kulaks, the rich peasants who employed hired laborers, were a
> > > focus and target of this struggle. But this had nothing to do with
> > > their ethnicity. It had to do with their class position, that is, with
> > > the economic power and influence the kulaks exerted in the
> > > countryside. It had to do with the fact that they were working to
> > > undermine efforts to carry forward collectivization and strengthen the
> > > new socialist economy—the kulaks had hoarded grain, profiteered in
> > > rural markets, destroyed livestock, and organized sections of peasants
> > > against the regime. In this period when many peasants were starving,
> > > the kulaks were stripped of their private economic holdings, which
> > > were turned into the social property of the collectives. Many of the
> > > kulaks were punished, including being forced to leave certain areas.
> > > And sometimes this was done unfairly. But the kulaks were not made the
> > > object of genocide.
>
> > > The Soviet approach to collectivization and the way the class struggle
> > > was waged in the countryside were not without problems. And Mao had
> > > big criticisms of the approach taken. There were serious excesses,
> > > including the fact that force was used in situations where persuasion
> > > should have been relied on. But this was within the context of a real
> > > struggle between revolution in the interests of ...
>
> > > read more »- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > This must be some sort of typo error . How did the SU cease to be a
> > socialist country in 1956 ?
>
> According to Marxist Leninist Maoists, the Soviet Union became
> revisionist, --not genuinely Socialist. Revisionism is Socialism in
> name but Capitalist in deeds and fact. Mao Tse Tung criticized the
> Soviet Union in 1956 for going down the Capitalist road. For 30 years
> the USSR had a form of goulash socialism, State Capitalism.

Oh,well ... In that case Mao must be turning in his grave (or is it a
crystal sarcophagus ?) due to China becoming the biggest capitalist
enterprise that ever existed !

2737. WWRB on 5050 kHz @ 0250 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 07:50:50 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <00a09fc6-0ab4-4449-a2a2-e5a44bcf00a7@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230664

WWRB on 5050 kHz @ 0250 UTC with the
"Last Voice of the Church Age" Program
on 24 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S9~+10dB with SIO 544 and good audio

Hearing the End-Times rant by a Male Voice
-proclaiming- "I am the Doctor Richard Kimble of
Bible prophecy . . . I am . . . THE FUGITIVE!"
-followed-by- WWRB ID @ 0301
-then- "The Watchman" Program intro
.
International Shortwave Radio Station "WWRB"
http://www.wwrb.org/
-from- Morrison, Tennessee -USA-
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WWRB
.
WWRB Shortwave Transmitter #1 on 5050 kHz
using a Dual Feed Rhombic Antenna that is
aimed at 45* to the North-East to Northern Europe:
8 PM to 12 AM EDT : 1200~0400 UTC
http://www.wwrb.org/pguide.php
.
-about- "Last Voice of the Church Age" Program
-aka- 'The Fugitive' Program
http://www.associatedcontent.com/article/7707772/the_last_voice_of_the_church_age_is_pg2.h
tml?cat=34
.
-about- "The Watchman"
http://www.british-israel.ca/shortwave.htm
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2738. TINACA HOT HOT VIDEOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 10:09:59 UTC
From: HRJHRJB <odgfhfhdfv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <cb1be9e8-72bf-41c7-8a93-2e33863be587@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230665

for hot videos
http://verysexyhotvideos.blogspot.com/

hot lip kiss videos
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/hot-lip-kiss-videos.html

super hot lip kiss
http://allvideosforyouth.blogspot.com/2010/08/super-hot-lip-kiss.html

jobs
http://alljobsaywhere.blogspot.com/

games

GAME 1
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-1.html
GAME 2
http://freeonlingamesplay.blogspot.com/2010/08/game-2.html

mobile

mobile games free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-games-free-download.html

mobile ringtones free download
http://mobileallinforation.blogspot.com/2010/08/mobile-ringtones-free-download.html

2739. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 11:19:53 UTC
From: Billary <billaryclinton2008@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ea5c986c-4c06-4a33-ba33-437fb7f85c29@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230666
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 23, 3:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/23/2011 5:46 AM, Doctor Gaius Baltar wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 22, 1:15 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/22/2011 9:41 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> >>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>news:48ngt6148vpe5toqjudtmob3nugtph3beu@4ax.com:
> >>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 18:13:29 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>news:8ahgt6dstjrn55ablvbjf43t0llnrrrvjg@4ax.com:
> >>>>>> On Sat, 21 May 2011 10:42:46 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>news:5e0et6punb0sm53ub7fcv13a6nbejr1qd0@4ax.com:
> >>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 17:45:17 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>news:50pdt6tkk46vp8i5njd9jsvbmmrqcdpall@4ax.com:
> >>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 15:51:47 -0500, RD Sandman
> >>>>>>>>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>> Lookout<mrLooko...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>news:qv5dt6lg8f4k9hpc8o681u2o6bo2s254fv@4ax.com:
> >>>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 20 May 2011 11:55:29 -0400, WangoTango
> >>>>>>>>>>>> <Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EEAA01F7BA56hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast [remove].net says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283f643696fccb8398b5b4@news.east.earthlink.net:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<Xns9EE9911A2B774hopew...@216.196.121.131>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> rdsandman@comcast
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> [remove].net says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> WangoTango<Asgar...@mindspring.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:MPG.283df5c96df8b29d98b5ae@news.east.earthlink.net:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iquqh2$ra...@dont-email.me>, n...@none.com
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 2:30 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>news:iqulop$sj0$2@dont-email.me:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/17/2011 12:56 PM, WangoTango wrote:

> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<iqugdr$mf...@dont-email.me>,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> n...@none.com says...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Total lack of any proof whatsoever to the positive
> >>>>>>>> after
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> thousands
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> years of looking proves it doesn't exist.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What do you consider the recounting of numerous
> >>>>>>> miracles
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> New Testament? Do they not prove anything?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Not a thing.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> What about all the recounting of numerous Leprechaun
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sightings, gifts, and other shenanigans? What about
> >>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> legal recognition of Gnomes in Iceland?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Mythology is mythology, doesn't matter how many
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> people have bought
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> into
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Funny how random chance and divine intervention are
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> indistinguishable from each other.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Prove that Ancient Rome existed.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Many of the remains are still there and from them some
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> things can be ascertained about life then.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yes. It could have also been a theme park built by the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> phoneticians.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Why should I believe the Bible is necessarily false?
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The real question is why should you believe any of it is
> >>>>>>> true.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And the real answer is that it would be his belief in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either direction and his opinion in either direction. In
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> either
> >>>>>>> case,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> he is entitled
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> to
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> hold it and has to defend it to no one.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> If that is the case, he should keep it to himself.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Unless he is prepared to defend it.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> Whatever, although I am not sure who died and named you
> >>>>>>> moderator.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> Are you prepared to say the same thing to lookout who is the
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> main one arguing with him? ;)
> >>>>>>>>>>>> I give Lookout shit for his crazy posts too.
> >>>>>>>>>>>> I guess what is at issue here isn't his posts, but why YOU give
> >>>>>>>>>>>> a special pass to religion that you wouldn't give to any other
> >>>>>>>>> unfounded
> >>>>>>>>>>>> belief? If someone came on here and spouted the old "You are
> >>>>>>>>>>>> more likely to kill a family member than a burglar" line of

> >>>>>>>>>>>>> crap, you
> >>>>>>>>> would
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> call them on the carpet, but someone spouts off religious dogma
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> and
> >>>>>>>>> it
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> gets a pass. Why?
> >>>>>>>>>>>> All I ask for is proof.
> >>>>>>>>>>> And you have been asked for proof of your belief.
> >>>>>>>>>> I don't have a belief.
> >>>>>>>>> You have a belief that there is no God or that he doesn't exist.
> >>>>>>>> Nope.
> >>>>>>> Are you saying then that you do believe that there is a God? Or do
> >>>>>>> you believe that there is not.
> >>>>>> How many times do I have to say it, old man?
> >>>>>> There is no proof what so ever that a living god has ever existed.
> >>>>>> Got it now?
> >>>>> Then when I say that you have a belief that there is no god...why do you
> >>>>> deny that?
> >>>> Because you're wrong as usual.
> >>>>>>> There is no proof that a god has ever exists. That's a fact, not a
> >>>>>>> belief.
> >>>>>>> That's an opinion.
> >>>>>> Nope. Fact. There is no PROOF what so ever.
> >>>>>> If you think there is proof let's hear it.
> >>>>> I haven't ever claimed that there was. I am only here to show everyone
> >>>>> that you do, in fact, have a belief.
> >>>> Nope.
> >>>>>>>>>> What I have is a lack of facts that a god exists.
> >>>>>>>>> Nor do you have any facts that he does not.
> >>>>>>>> I don't have to prove a negative.
> >>>>>>>> The fact is there is no proof to support a god theory.
> >>>>>>> In your opinion. In many other folk's opinion there is.
> >>>>>> Then let's hear it.
> >>>>> They have already told you.
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Yet none exists.
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Perhaps, there's a reason for that.....
> >>>>>>>>>>> Because ALL religions are fairy tales.
> >>>>>>>>> In your opinion.
> >>>>>>>> Fact
> >>>>>>> That it is your opinion? Yep, I agree with that.
> >>>>>> Nope. Wrong again, old man.
> >>>>> Nope. It is simply your opinion.
> >>>> Prove there is a god.
> >>> No need, I don't claim that there is one.
> >>>> Then respond.
> >> I'd say just the sheer complexity of DNA is proof of intelligent design.
>
> >> So, the only question becomes, "What is your definition of God?"
>
> >> Regards,

> >> JS
> > The universe is indeed a vast and complex place. I once belived all
> > that I had been taught. But as an engineer. the science is
> > overwhelming. Just look at the Hubble deep space images and peer back
> > 13 billion years.
>
> > To imagine that some supernatural being could be that enormously
> > omnipotent defies all rational logic. It defies all known physics.
> > That's why its called faith.
>
> > God is clever. You have to die to learn the truth. How much more
> > unfair could that be?
>
> The laughable part?
>
> A person looks at it, sees the same I do, then tells me they are going
> to explain that to me. They tell me they know, my eyes only see, yet,
> another moron ... not so very different from camping ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Research has proven that that the human brain has a "God Center". An area of the brain that when stimulated causes the subject to "feel" like they are surrounded by a benevolent presence. That feeling reduces our normal stress and anxiety chemicals to sub-tolerable levels. It is an evolutionary miracle. We are all programmed to believe in the divine. We crave it, it gives us comfort and most importantly it helps us deal with the anxiety of knowing that we will all die.

I know that it's hard for you to accept that we are all just accidents of evolution on this lonely planet. That there is no master plan. That it is all just random chaos and that there is no "afterlife". Although as a witness to several paranormal events I do know that the human energy we call "consciousness" continues to exist after the body passes. Who and what it all means is still a great mystery to me.

But again. God has made our existence quite unfair. We all have to die to learn the truth.

My truth is that whatever comforts you to deal with your existence is fine by me. It is what you were programmed for and I accept it as a great gift from the universe. But notice that I do not insult you or belittle you. I accept you as you are. It's what Jesus would do. Is it not?

Love Billary
Tampa, FL

2740. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 11:35:08 UTC
From: Billary <billaryclinton2008@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <fe5a8700-10da-4fbb-aaf2-2281307e1b34@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230667
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On May 24, 1:55 am, Red Cloud <mmdir2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 23, 8:46 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On 5/23/2011 8:22 PM, Benny Fishhole wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > That's right, they are now Mexican by the second definition. Now go
> > > tell your illegal bride to go cook up some tacos.
>
> > As I said, today, for all practical purposes, "BEANER = INDIAN." ...
> > end of story ...
>
> > If you doubt it, take a look at them, today the majority in my city are
> > South American Indians ... and here from Brazil, Peru, Guatemala, etc.
>
> > And, like I further said, most do not use the word "mexican" properly ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Well most Hispanics are Mexicans. That's why I use Mexican first
> before
> Brazilian or Peruvian or other South American National. Most Illegal
> aliens
> are Mexicans. That's the issue! Most Hispanic gangbangers are
> Mexicans.
> So do you have problem with that? Those bastard are waving Mexican
> flag
> during Labor day protest in US territory. No Hispanic waved
> Brazilian or Peruvian flag. It's only Mexican waving their flag
> because they are anti-Americans in US society. That's the #1
> Hispanic/Latino.problem we face.

You're wrong. Every "first" generation group of immigrants has always
held on to their native roots. The English who came here were all
subjects of the British crown LONG before they became "Americans" and
they were proud of it. The only real Americans are the native Indians
who were here long before our white ancestors arrived.

But your point is well taken. Gang bangers, illegals and evil doers
are a problem. And if our stupid government would just decriminalize
all drugs and redeploy those resources that fight the "drug war" to

border protection the whole problem would go away. So focus your brain. The real problem isn't Hispanics. The real enemy is ourselves for using the wrong tactics and blaming the wrong causes. Take the profit out of drugs an our problem resolves itself overnight.

2741. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 12:27:17 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <367c33af-41a9-4a50-8060-a878856b745c@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 23, 9:13 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:
> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM, Iarnrod proved:
> > On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
> >> Mighty Iarnrod proved:
> >>> Yes, he would have been
> >> No,
> > > Yes, he would have been.
> No,

Yes, he would have been.

2742. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 12:28:08 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <65c0d8c5-4236-4bf7-84d7-19a82a054f7d@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230669
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 23, 11:59 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <1ec3f976-11ec-4715-a07c-bc6ee943ae69
> @m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 23, 9:32 am, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> > > In article <923332eb-bef3-4587-9370-
> > > 79b77643c...@y31g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> > > says...
>
> > > > On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
> > > > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > > > > "Iarnrod" <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > > > >news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > > > > On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > >> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>
> > > > > >> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > > > > >> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> > > > > >> >news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>
> > > > > >> > > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> > > > > >> > >> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > >> > >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> > > > > >> > >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> > > > > >> > >>>> ...
> > > > > >> > >>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should accept your
> > > > > >> > >>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your denial of
> > > > > >> > >>>> photographic
> > > > > >> > >>>> evidence is noted.
>
> > > > > >> > >>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't match your
> > > > > >> > >>>> claims.
>
> > > > > >> > >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until you deal
> > > > > >> > >>> with

> > > > > >> > >>> it ...
>
> > > > > >> > >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance and that
> > > > > >> > >> you
> > > > > >> > >> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be talking
> > > > > >> > >> to
> > > > > >> > >> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even further.
>
> > > > > >> > >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do is repeat
> > > > > >> > >>> the
> > > > > >> > >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>
> > > > > >> > >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that is so
> > > > > >> > >> ignorant
> > > > > >> > >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process of
> > > > > >> > >> falling
> > > > > >> > >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his experts
> > > > > >> > >> might not
> > > > > >> > >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to buy their
> > > > > >> > >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>
> > > > > >> > >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by your own
> > > > > >> > >> admission.
>
> > > > > >> > >> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying to talk
> > > > > >> > >> about
> > > > > >> > >> this stuff then are you?
>
> > > > > >> > >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe they
> > > > > >> > >> might
> > > > > >> > >> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply mouthing
> > > > > >> > >> the
> > > > > >> > >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support, and
> > > > > >> > >> can't
> > > > > >> > >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>
> > > > > >> > >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece by your
> > > > > >> > >> own
> > > > > >> > >> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen to you
> > > > > >> > >> for
> > > > > >> > >> even a second?
>
> > > > > >> > > I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you ask others
> > > > > >> > > if
> > > > > >> > > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or they are
> > > > > >> > > fooled
> > > > > >> > > into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis, facts, etc.?
>
> > > > > >> > IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide quoted
> > > > > >> > text -

>
> > > > > >> > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > >> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the pentagram.
>
> > > > > > What missile attack, insane nut job?
>
> > > > > Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis of the
> > > > > frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane crashing into
> > > > > the side of the Pentagon.
>
> > > > > Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even consider is that
> > > > > even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly preclude a missile
> > > > > given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to the 5 stories of
> > > > > the Pentagon.
>
> > > > > But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and that's good
> > > > > enough "proof" for Joe.
>
> > > > > >> Which
> > > > > >> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>
> > > > > > When did missiles hit it?
>
> > > > > I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>
> > > > > >> Three missiles are were
> > > > > >> recorded in the same FBI release,
>
> > > > > > Show us, insnae nut job.
>
> > > > > Yea, one "missile" per frame. <snicker>
>
> > > > > >> Well the remnates of the first one is
> > > > > >> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see the
> > > > > >> next two in motion!
>
> > > > > > Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>
> > > > > "It is too late for that"
>
> > > > > Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
> > > > contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>
> > > > Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!
>

> > > No, it takes some serious mental problems, serious drug abuse, or a
> > > combo of both. What is it with you?
>
> > > --
> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > I blame it on my IQ of 145.
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>
> Sure Joe, and you were born on Krypton too.

Nah, he just forgot the decimal point!!

2743. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 12:49:13 UTC
From: Peter Franks <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <irg9g9ouc1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230670
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/23/2011 8:38 PM, MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE wrote:
> Peter Franks
>> On 5/22/2011 9:11 AM, RHF wrote:
>>> On May 22, 8:32 am, Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/19/2011 10:49 PM, MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE wrote:
>
>>>>> Why SHOULDN'T things be taken from you in the name of the Common
> Good?
>>>
>>> - Because I worked for it.
>>> - Now, why SHOULD things be taken from me
>>> - in the name of the Common Good?
>>>
>>> -answer- "The Common Good"
>>
>> The so-called "common good" destroys the individual, and in the process,
>> destroys the "common good". Therefore, there is NO common good.
>
> BILL CLINTON disagrees...

He's not an authority on the subject.

2744. Re: Nuke China while we still can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 12:55:07 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4ddbaa4e$0$12467$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230671

On 05/23/2011 10:06 AM, RHF wrote:
> CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC
> on 23 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 545 and Good Audio
>
> "CRI" News ID @ 1102 UTC
> Chinese Vice-Prime Minister Visits Angola
> Iceland Airport Closed due to Volcano
> Beijing Weather Sun Shine and 29*C
> and Weather around the World
> "CRI" ID @ 1105 UTC
> Program ID "Beijing Hour" @ 1105 UTC
> http://english.cri.cn/cribb/programs/hour.htm
> Topic : Unique Tibet University
> .
> China Radio International [CRI] English Portal
> http://english.cri.cn/
> .
> CRI Shortwave Frequencies in North America
> http://english.cri.cn/7146/2010/03/30/2141s560015.htm
> .
> EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
> http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> 5955 kHz @ 1100-1600 UTC
> CHN : China Radio Int. : E : FE : b
> from China [PRC] in English to the Far East
> Transmitter Site : Beijing
> .
> ABOUT - China Radio International [CRI]
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/China_Radio_International
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
> http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

2745. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 13:12:28 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <2jbnt6h974t1i5umus4fv0hr337821efec@4ax.com>
Source record: 230672
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On Mon, 23 May 2011 23:34:02 -0400, "Scout"
<me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:

>It would seem to me that it would be up to those claiming that 1% isn't
>playing "their fair share" to prove it.

In most areas of life, paying a fair share is relative to what one
gets. For instance, it costs more to buy private security for a
mansion than for a hovel.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

2746. Re: A Crisis Nobody Saw Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 14:00:49 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF475872AEFExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247>
Source record: 230673
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Peter Franks
> On 5/23/2011 8:38 PM, MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE wrote:
>> Peter Franks
>>> On 5/22/2011 9:11 AM, RHF wrote:
>>>> On May 22, 8:32 am, Peter Franks wrote:
>>>>> On 5/19/2011 10:49 PM, MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE wrote:
>>
>>>>>> Why SHOULDN'T things be taken from you in the name of the Common
>> Good?
>>>>
>>>> - Because I worked for it.
>>>> - Now, why SHOULD things be taken from me
>>>> - in the name of the Common Good?
>>>>
>>>> -answer- "The Common Good"
>>>
>>> The so-called "common good" destroys the individual, and in the
process,
>>> destroys the "common good". Therefore, there is NO common good.
>>
>> BILL CLINTON disagrees...
>
> He's not an authority on the subject.

To Legions of the unwashed LIBs he is their Politico, their Fuhrer.
They LUST for the simpler times when you could fake reality just
like he faked the character of a Man; were he to return
to Office, they would SIMPLY be besides themselves.

The world is the way it is
Precisely-Because of Bill Clinton
that makes him an authority deFacto.

http://blogs.forbes.com/henrymiller/2011/05/05/bin-laden-lived-to-fight-
another-day-thanks-to-bill-clinton/

Bin Laden Lived To Fight Another Day—Thanks To Bill Clinton

When President Barack Obama made the historic announcement in the White House East Room about the killing of Osama bin Laden, the air was thick with irony. Secretary of State Hillary Clinton was there, and her husband's notorious narcissism and cavalier attitude about governance had allowed bin Laden to escape—in 1998, three years before the Sept. 11, 2001, attacks on the World Trade Center and Pentagon.

In his stunning 2003 book Dereliction of Duty, Air Force Lt. Col. Robert "Buzz" Patterson, a presidential aide and carrier of the "nuclear football," describes President Clinton's gross irresponsibility toward national security. Patterson tells how, in the fall of 1998, the watch officer in the White House Situation Room notified the president's national security adviser, Sandy Berger, that they had located bin Laden and had "a two-hour window to strike."

Here is Patterson's chilling account:

Berger ambled down the stairwell and entered the Sit[uation] Room. He picked up the phone at one of the busy controller consoles and called the president. Amazingly, President Clinton was not available. Berger tried again and again. Bin Laden was within striking distance. The window of opportunity was closing fast. The plan of attack was set and the Tomahawk [missile] crews were ready. For about an hour Berger couldn't get the commander in chief on the line. Though the president was always accompanied by military aides and the Secret Service, he was somehow unavailable. Berger stalked the Sit Room, anxious and impatient.

Patterson continues:

Finally, the president accepted Berger's call. There was discussion, there were pauses " and no decision. The president wanted to talk with his secretaries of Defense and State. He wanted to study the issue further. Berger was forced to wait. The clock was ticking. The president eventually called back. He was still indecisive. He wanted more discussion. Berger alternated between phone calls and watching the clock.

The dithering continued until it was too late"and bin Laden lived to fight another day. And to plot the Sept. 11 attacks.

That was not an isolated incident. On Sept. 13, 1996, while on the golf course with his lawyer friend Vernon Jordan, President Clinton had refused to take repeated urgent phone calls from Berger, who needed the president's approval for air strikes on Iraq. Patterson wrote: "Pilots were in the cockpits, waiting to launch, targets were identified, everything was in place, all [Berger] needed was the go-ahead."

He never got it. The protective cover of night lifted, and the mission was aborted.

There were other examples of gross and inexcusable incompetence. President Clinton twice managed to lose the nuclear codes that were necessary to activate the nation's nuclear arsenal.

There is yet another Clinton irony in view of the relentless tracking and eventual killing of bin Laden by the U.S. military and intelligence agencies in a brilliantly executed operation. Patterson relates an incident that occurred during the Clinton administration's

very first week that typified its view of the military. Army Lt. Gen. Barry McCaffrey, the assistant to the chairman of the Joint Chiefs, greeted a young aide in a White House hallway with a cheery, "Good Morning."

The young aide replied, "I don't talk to the military." I consider that to be a firing offense for a White House pipsqueak.

Speaking of the military and also of Bill Clinton's predilections, Patterson describes a sordid incident in which the president"the commander-in-chief"groped a female steward on Air Force One. As an enlisted member of the U.S. Air Force, she opted not to make an issue of it and settled for a personal apology from the president.

This incident troubled Patterson: "I brooded over the fact that if our commander in chief had been actually serving in the armed services, he would have been jailed. His immunity struck me as completely unacceptable."

Another irony: Bill Clinton's chief of staff, who would certainly have known about this sorry state of affairs, was none other than Leon Panetta, currently the head of the CIA and the nominee to succeed Robert Gates as secretary of Defense. Panetta was also in the room when Obama made the bin Laden announcement. (During Panetta's forthcoming confirmation hearings, I hope the senators probe his role in suppressing the various "bimbo eruptions," as the molester-in-chief's sexual dalliances were known during the Clinton presidency. As they say in court, it goes to character.)

Just as we will never forget Sept. 11, we should not forget the dereliction of duty by President Clinton and those who were his enablers.

LIBs. What price their Vision?

DEMAND COMPETANCE.
DEMAND ACCOUNTABILITY.
DEFEAT LIB STRATEGIC INSANITY.

"to leave now we would send a message to terrorists
and other potential adversaries around the world that
they can change our policies by killing our people.
It would be open season on Americans."
-- Bill Clinton '93
just before he pulled out of Somalia

http://www.libertylive.org/Uploads/The%20Debt%20Star.jpg

DEBTSTAR:: This is NOT the HOPE you have been Searching for.

2747. New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 14:02:38 UTC
From: Arky Bob <Arky_Bob_Rules@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c5f5e11f-b94a-4f3d-b9b1-89ba5b77def2@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230674

Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the rapture?

I hope it's not too soon - I just ordered a tiny-tenna.

2748. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy still is wrong about citizenship law...and always will be

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 14:12:28 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <MY6dnXzmPq9NIUbQnZ2dnUVZ5oCdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

the tard boy fucked up:
> On May 23, 9:13 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:
>> the tard boy proved what a fuckwit he is:
>>> On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
>>>> the puny wrong tard boy fucked up:
>>>>> Yes, he
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he wood have ben.
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>
>>

>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, h

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2749. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy fucked up citizenship law again...and always will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 14:13:26 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <MY6dnX_mPq-bIEbQnZ2dnUVZ5oCdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

the tard boy fucked up:
> On May 23, 9:13 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:
>> the tard boy proved what a fuckwit he is:
>>> On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
>>>> the puny wrong tard boy fucked up:
>>>>> Yes, he
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he wood have ben.
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she

arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, h

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2750. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy continues to blow smoke up his own ignorant ass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 14:14:09 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <MY6dnX7mPq-sIEbQnZ2dnUVZ5oAAAAAA@giganews.com>
Source record: 230677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

the tard boy fucked up:
> On May 23, 9:13 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:
>> the tard boy proved what a fuckwit he is:
>>> On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
>>>> the puny wrong tard boy fucked up:
>>>>> Yes, he
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he wood have ben.
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she

arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, h

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2751. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 14:47:12 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3806ed5c-6d05-4f32-bb49-a9c1f3c14df4@v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230678
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 23, 11:27 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/23/2011 12:49 PM, gfn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 21, 7:30 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/21/2011 4:29 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
> >>> If you look at the figures on this page, you will find, in 2007, in
> >>> America:
> >>> 1) The top 1% have 42.7 of the financial wealth.
> >>> 2) The next 19% have 50.3% of the wealth.
> >>> 3) The bottom 80% have 7.0% of the wealth.
> >>> So, according to those figures, the top 20% of the population have
> >>> 42.7 + 50.3 = 93% of the financial wealth.
> >>> This situation has worsened since 2007 ...
> >>> I'd say we tax those top 20% of the population, what say all of you?
> >>> Regards,
> >>> JS
> >> Of course, I forgot the link in the above:
>
> >>http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > What I say is interesting, and very slanted, one sided article. I
> > particularly like how they avoid who is actually footing the federal
> > income tax bill in this country and focus primarily on the percentage
> > of ones income that they pay in taxes. They also avoid who primarily
> > benefits from all this income that is coming in. Paints two different
> > pictures. But, fear not, I'm here to help.
>
> > Top 1% paid 38.02% of the bill
> > Top 5% paid 58.72% of the bill
> > Top 10% paid 69.94% of the bill
> > Top 25% paid 86.34% of the bill
> > Top 50% paid 97.30% of the bill

> > Bottom 50% paid 2.7% of the bill
> >http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>
> > Now, before you complain about who has the wealth consider that the US
> > does not, but for limited circumstances, derive their revenue based on
> > wealth. It's based on income. So, let's compare apples to apples
> > until the law changes to tax based on wealth.
>
> Is there a summary of the taxes which they are using in that figure,
> somewhere?
>

Yeah. It's called the IRS. IRS.gov to be specific.

> For example, are these figures only dealing with income tax?

Yes. That's how the government is primarily funded.

>
> Do they take into consideration fees, licenses, fines, federal excise
> taxes, state sales taxes, fuel taxes, property taxes, etc., etc.
>

No. But, do you think the wealthy don't pay fees, licenses, fines,
excise taxes, state sales taxes, fuel taxes, property taxes, etc.?

> No, 1% of the people in the highest income taxes are NOT paying their
> fair share ... and, all those other figures are just as skewed ...
>

Sure they are. The numbers don't lie. You can not deny that the top
1% pay over 38% of all federal income taxes. That is not disputable.
It is a fact. What I see your side doing is avoiding the question of
who is footing the bill and prefer to frame the question as how much
of one's income is someone paying in taxes. Two different questions
with two very different results. My point is when it comes to funding
government it is indisputable who is doing just that. Nothing you say
can change that.

> Regards,
> JS

2752. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 15:11:41 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irghrd$f2r$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230679
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 7:47 AM, gfn wrote:

>> ...

I am saying the obvious, 1% of the people making a huge percentage of
all the financial wealth and frequently pay no or low taxes contribute
little ...

We do not need innuendo, opinions or BS. We need exact figures on their
contributions to the whole of all taxes paid. I think that 1% is not
paying their fair share as determined by the amount of money they make.
I think the reason that the figure are so hidden on all this data is
obvious -- they know they are not paying their fair share, and that is
reasons for websites such as this one:

http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html

These are the rich crooks attempting to manipulate public opinion ...
and yes, the financial wealth needs spread out better than the 20/80
percent cut which is happening!

Regards,
JS

2753. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 15:20:12 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0b8d66d3-33be-41c6-970c-15bceaf6e08f@x1g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230680
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 11:11 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/24/2011 7:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> ...
>
> I am saying the obvious, 1% of the people making a huge percentage of
> all the financial wealth and frequently pay no or low taxes contribute
> little ...
>

What part of the top 1% pay 38% of the federal income tax bill isn't registering with you? Your statement that they pay little or contribute little is simply not true. The IRS numbers bear that out. Put your wealth envy aside and try to be just a little objective about this.

> We do not need innuendo, opinions or BS. We need exact figures on their
> contributions to the whole of all taxes paid. I think that 1% is not
> paying their fair share as determined by the amount of money they make.

Fine, you just backed up my claim in my last post on re-framing the question as to who pays the bill as opposed to who pays what of their income.

> I think the reason that the figure are so hidden on all this data is
> obvious -- they know they are not paying their fair share, and that is
> reasons for websites such as this one:
>

Again, the numbers don't lie. I can't fathom how someone can say that where 1% foots 38% of the bill that they aren't paying their fair share. I'm not going to change your mind on that point so there really is no use in trying to convince you otherwise other than to say you're just flat out wrong.

> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>
> These are the rich crooks attempting to manipulate public opinion ...
> and yes, the financial wealth needs spread out better than the 20/80
> percent cut which is happening!
>

Crooks? Your wealth envy has clouded your judgment and objectivity.

> Regards,
> JS

2754. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 15:22:07 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgiev$f2r$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230681
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 7:47 AM, gfn wrote:

So, let me cut to the chase here, since 1% of the people make 42.7
percent of the financial wealth, they should pay for 42.7 percent of the
cost of the roads, the public utilities, the parks, the state budget,
the federal budget, etc.

And, since 50.3 percent of the people get half of the financial wealth,
they should be paying over half the costs of all these government
costs. And, they should be damn anxious to pay them, after all, that is
the system which is allowing their financial wealth.

Regards,
JS

2755. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 15:24:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgik5$f2r$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230682
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:

>> ...

Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you keep attempting to push?

Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix that ...

Regards,
JS

2756. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 15:32:57 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgj39$f2r$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230683
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/24/2011 4:19 AM, Billary wrote:
> ...
> My truth is that whatever comforts you to deal with your existence is
> fine by me. It is what you were programmed for and I accept it as a
> great gift from the universe. But notice that I do not insult you or
> belittle you. I accept you as you are. It's what Jesus would do. Is it
> not?
>
> Love Billary
> Tampa, FL

Truth is, redoubtably, the universe is unfolding just as it should, I think man has little affect on this outcome.

Truth is, if I were the creator, I would have created a "God center" into my creations brain for communication purposes ...

While this anecdotal evidence is interesting and worthy of study and research it is not clear evidence and proof which substantiates any current theories ... the creations are less than the creator, that is fact.

Regards,
JS

2757. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 15:38:47 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgje8$f2r$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230684
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On 5/23/2011 10:55 PM, Red Cloud wrote:
> On May 23, 8:46 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/23/2011 8:22 PM, Benny Fishhole wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> That's right, they are now Mexican by the second definition. Now go
>>> tell your illegal bride to go cook up some tacos.
>> As I said, today, for all practical purposes, "BEANER = INDIAN." ...
>> end of story ...
>>
>> If you doubt it, take a look at them, today the majority in my city are
>> South American Indians ... and here from Brazil, Peru, Guatemala, etc.
>>
>> And, like I further said, most do not use the word "mexican" properly ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> Well most Hispanics are Mexicans. That's why I use Mexican first
> before
> Brazilian or Peruvian or other South American National. Most Illegal
> aliens
> are Mexicans. That's the issue! Most Hispanic gangbangers are
> Mexicans.
> So do you have problem with that? Those bastard are waving Mexican
> flag
> during Labor day protest in US territory. No Hispanic waved
> Brazilian or Peruvian flag. It's only Mexican waving their flag
> because they are anti-Americans in US society. That's the #1
> Hispanic/Latino.problem we face.
>
>

Most of "them" are indians ... end of story. "Mexican" is very
misleading. Few Spanish wish to come here from mexico -- where they ARE
THE RULING CLASS!

Regards,
JS

2758. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 15:40:08 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgjgo$f2r$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230685
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On 5/24/2011 4:35 AM, Billary wrote:
> On May 24, 1:55 am, Red Cloud<mmdir2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 23, 8:46 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On 5/23/2011 8:22 PM, Benny Fishhole wrote:
>>>> ...
>>>> That's right, they are now Mexican by the second definition. Now go
>>>> tell your illegal bride to go cook up some tacos.
>>> As I said, today, for all practical purposes, "BEANER = INDIAN." ...
>>> end of story ...
>>> If you doubt it, take a look at them, today the majority in my city are
>>> South American Indians ... and here from Brazil, Peru, Guatemala, etc.
>>> And, like I further said, most do not use the word "mexican" properly ...
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>> Well most Hispanics are Mexicans. That's why I use Mexican first
>> before
>> Brazilian or Peruvian or other South American National. Most Illegal
>> aliens
>> are Mexicans. That's the issue! Most Hispanic gangbangers are
>> Mexicans.
>> So do you have problem with that? Those bastard are waving Mexican
>> flag
>> during Labor day protest in US territory. No Hispanic waved
>> Brazilian or Peruvian flag. It's only Mexican waving their flag
>> because they are anti-Americans in US society. That's the #1
>> Hispanic/Latino.problem we face.
> You're wrong. Every "first" generation group of immigrants has always
> held on to their native roots. The English who came here were all
> subjects of the British crown LONG before they became "Americans" and
> they were proud of it. The only real Americans are the native Indians
> who were here long before our white ancestors arrived.
>
> But your point is well taken. Gang bangers, illegals and evil doers
> are a problem. And if our stupid government would just decriminalize
> all drugs and redeploy those resources that fight the "drug war" to
> border protection the whole problem would go away. So focus your
> brain. The real problem isn't Hispanics. The real enemy is ourselves
> for using the wrong tactics and blaming the wrong causes. Take the
> profit out of drugs an our problem resolves itself overnight.

I certainly do support your ideas as being on the proper track ...

Regards,
JS

2759. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 15:55:03 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <449d1be3-f143-4490-886b-8a69927ffc79@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230686

On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob <Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
> rapture?
>
> I hope it's not too soon - I just ordered a tiny-tenna.

Camping dood it already - October 21, 2011. He forgot to multiply the
month by 2. Seriously. What a predatory prick.

2760. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 15:59:22 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgkkq$5ho$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 8:55 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob<Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
>> rapture?
>>
>> I hope it's not too soon - I just ordered a tiny-tenna.
> Camping dood it already - October 21, 2011. He forgot to multiply the
> month by 2. Seriously. What a predatory prick.

Camping has certain confirmed the bible ... no man knows the end days
... ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2761. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 16:02:40 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0@w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230688
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> ...
>
> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you keep
> attempting to push?
>
> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all of
> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half
> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

I already said the tax data is at irs.gov

Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
the FairTax.

2762. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 16:07:29 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230689
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> ...
>>
>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you keep
>> attempting to push?
>>
>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all of
>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half
>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
> the FairTax.

Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax,
the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that
way they will be contributing their fair share to run government ...

http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html

Regards,
JS

2763. Simple Work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 16:07:49 UTC
From: ram kumar <freeworldwin94@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <fae21f4c-d905-42d4-b854-15322cfb8c4c@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230690

Simple Work
No Experience Necessary,PART TIME JOBS,
Excellent Rates of Pay,STEP by STEP INTRODUTION,
FREE NO INVESTMENT
EARN 200 DOLLARS PER DAY
http://freelancertodayget.blogspot.com/
You looking for work? Or You looking for worker?
both are available here

2764. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 16:13:02 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irglef$5ho$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230691
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> ...
>>
>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you keep
>> attempting to push?
>>
>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all of
>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half
>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
> the FairTax.

First of all, the data on that site is not effective in being able to
reach any proper conclusions ... it has been conveniently arranged that way.

Even a professional accountant would need a great amount of time to be
able to apply the data effectively, and then only with the data from
other sources ...

Regards,
JS

2765. Re: Stephen Hawking says afterlife is a fairy story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 16:31:04 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DDBDD48-9394@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230692

Billary is Right.
How are thingys in Tampa? I have been there before.One time I was driving North along those Islands, I came to a gated community, I turned my van around and I headed back South.What do they call that Campbell Causeway Bridge? I stopped off at a nudie joint down by that Bridge, over there on the Saint Petersburg side, that little side road that runs down by that Bridge.Is that nudie joint still there?
cuhulin

2766. Re: Is There a "FAQ" for the 'Rec.Radio.Shortwave' NewsGroup ? -well- Yes and No or Maybe So...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 16:47:01 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <4ddbe090$0$42282$540ea2cf@novia.net>
Source record: 230693

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

In <20b714fc-a858-4d2b-ae88-f9261df3df48@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com> RHF
<rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> writes:

>On May 22, 8:24=A0am, Paul W. Schleck <pschl...@novia.net> wrote:
>> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>> Hash: SHA1
>>
>> Is there an FAQ for this newsgroup?
>>
>> Last update I had heard was from John Kasupski about 3 1/2 years ago.
>> In response to suggestions by other rec.radio.shortwave readers that an
>> update of Ralph Brandi's original version could be useful, he loudly and
>> forcefully insisted that he was the only author of such an FAQ, and no
>> other person should get involved.
>>
>> No such updated FAQ beyond an initial, and incomplete, draft was
>> presented, along with forceful reminders from him that no one else
>> should get involved. =A0Has anything been heard from him, or any other
>> replacement maintainer, since then?
>>
>> - --
>> Paul W. Schleck
>> pschl...@novia.nethttp://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
>> Finger pschl...@novia.net for PGP Public Key
>>
>> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
>> Version: GnuPG v1.4.5 (SunOS)
>>
>> iD8DBQFN2Sob6Pj0az779o4RAvAWAJ9FTZQRySkjtEPgEA9mKNI8L56LvQCeKY6i
>> Jz/9dBXeOg+5u8nu9zp88Rk=3D
>> =3Dr5Wz
>> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

>Paul W. Schleck,

>The Rec.Radio.Shortwave FAQ Issue
>-by- John Kasupski
>http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/fecf4461ffd947ef
> .

[...]
```

>Is There a "FAQ" for the 'Rec.Radio.Shortwave'
>NewsGroup ? -well- Yes and No or Maybe So...
> .
>Honestly What Good Is A "FAQ" If No One Reads It ?
> .
>More Importantly : What Good Is A "FAQ"
>If No One Follows It ?
> .
>and that is something to think about ~ RHF
> .
> .

RHF,

Thank you for your reply. I can see that you are one of the more knowledgeable and helpful posters here on rec.radio.shortwave. The readership definitely owes you a debt of gratitude.

While an FAQ wouldn't be noticed and read by all, getting it current and on a regular posting schedule could make it more visible. An FAQ might not be followed by everyone, but might be useful to provide justification for abuse complaints. It may also serve as a good baseline for a charter for the eventual moderated shortwave radio newsgroup.

Are there any objections to my suggestion that the 2008 attempt to update Ralph Brandi's original FAQ documents has been abandoned (with the replacement author's links long since broken from an expired Internet domain name) and the project is more or less "up-for-grabs" to any motivated and sincere volunteer?

- --
Paul W. Schleck
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.5 (SunOS)

iD8DBQFN2+BD6Pj0az779o4RAmuxAJ9G4NNEogH7DVYbIASlnk+HQTSVjQCguGbW
nrbXSYcki/7nzS22B3/wNhk=
=EpAA
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2767. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 16:55:38 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF64FC1538DExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247>
Source record: 230694
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"Scout"
> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE"
>> "Scout"
>> ...
>>> It would seem to me that it would be up to those claiming that 1%
>>> isn't playing "their fair share" to prove it.
>> ...
>>
>>
>>
>> Precisely who do you think built this Beast? and who is piloting it?
>>
>> http://www.libertylive.org/Uploads/The%20Debt%20Star.jpg
>
> Irrelevent and has nothing to do with the issue or the point made.
>
> However, who built is was government, and who is piloting it is a
> combination of both Democrats and Republicans.
>
> You don't like it, then maybe you need to do something about all the
> spending taking place on BOTH sides.

'Splain me pleeze.
Precisely, how do you prove a negative? A: You can't.

And isn't that the tactic being used by
the forces of Mediocrity and Sameness that
have pushed the state-of-things to its point of maximum instability?
To where we are today, the metaphorical DEBTSTAR?
Q: Who's piloting the DEBTSTAR.
A: YOU ARE!

You want to balance the budget?
You want to ensure fair working wages?
Reduce pay of Congressmen and Executive branch to minimum wage.
Eliminate the rotating-door of Gov't Manager->lobbyist->Corp Board officer.

Problem#1 is that those who lead are FACTUALLY not worth their wages.
Problem#2 is that the constituency FAILS to realize the nead
for a leader to follow is nought but a weakness to overcome.
Problem#3 is the LIB media who gives airtime to Strategic Morons like
Evo Morales, Clinton and Al Gore who accord 'Rights'
to terrorists, trees, rocks and even fungus while
ignoring the fact that the World is on FIRE while

they're dancin'w/gasoline.

I know,
the answer MUST BE
to send another $5 to Hillary
to help her pay off her campaign debt!!!

LIBs. What Price their Vision?
http://www.nypost.com/p/news/local/bedford_hill_bill_BrCbhHcYNSeSdBQPGQEWcL
Clintons dealing for $11M Westchester mansion

"Keep in mind that every dollar we waste today puts a life at risk"
-- Bill Clinton'10 barely containing a Chuckle

The TRUELY EVIL are abetted by the Venal and the WEAK.

DEMAND COMPETANCE.
DEMAND ACCOUNTABILITY.
DEFEAT LIB STRATEGIC INSANITY. IT REALLY IS AS SIMPLE AS THAT.

2768. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 17:12:58 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <irgouq$5te$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230695

On 5/24/11 10:55 , bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob<Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
>> rapture?
>>
>> I hope it's not too soon - I just ordered a tiny-tenna.
>
> Camping dood it already - October 21, 2011. He forgot to multiply the
> month by 2. Seriously. What a predatory prick.

False prophet by definition.

2769. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 17:14:04 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF681F791C6hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230696
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irghrd$f2r$1@dont-email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 7:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> ...
>
> I am saying the obvious, 1% of the people making a huge percentage of
> all the financial wealth and frequently pay no or low taxes contribute
> little ...
>
> We do not need innuendo, opinions or BS.

Then why did you just post a comment with no cite?

We need exact figures on their
> contributions to the whole of all taxes paid. I think that 1% is not
> paying their fair share as determined by the amount of money they make.

Then provide cites to prove it.

> I think the reason that the figure are so hidden on all this data is
> obvious -- they know they are not paying their fair share, and that is
> reasons for websites such as this one:
>
> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>
> These are the rich crooks attempting to manipulate public opinion ...
> and yes, the financial wealth needs spread out better than the 20/80
> percent cut which is happening!

Then you should have no problem showing a cite for your claim.
Otherwise, we may have to think you simply pulled it out of your ass.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2770. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 17:22:45 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF6998522Fhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230697
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgik5$f2r$3@dont-
email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> ...
>
> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you keep
> attempting to push?
>
> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all
of
> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half
> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...

Oh, you mean one like this?

A tax on *ALL* income no matter where derived. One deduction. Federal
poverty level for a family of four and everybody gets that deduction.
Have a tax rate of, say 15% and the current poverty level at $24K and we
get the following:

A person who earns up to $24K, pays nada...
A person who earns $50K, pays $3,900 (50-24x15%)
A person who earns $100K, pays $11,400 (100-24x15%)
A person who earns $500K, pays $71,400 (500-24x15%)
A person who earns a million pays $146,400 (1000-24x15%)

That do it for you?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2771. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 17:23:37 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF69BE0F87Ahopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230698
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
@w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:

> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> ...
>>
>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
keep
>> attempting to push?
>>
>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all
of
>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half
>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their
fair
>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
> the FairTax.
>

That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2772. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 17:24:16 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF69DA37D42hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230699
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>
>>> >> ...
>>>
>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>
>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
>>> all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT
>>> paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>
>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
>> the FairTax.
>
> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax,
> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that
> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government ...
>
> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html

And how do you know that at the time of purchase?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2773. Re: Is There a "FAQ" for the 'Rec.Radio.Shortwave' NewsGroup ? -well- Yes and No or Maybe So...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 17:24:28 UTC
From: Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.64.1105241247260.11664@darkstar.example.net>
Source record: 230700

On Tue, 24 May 2011, Paul W. Schleck wrote:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> In <20b714fc-a858-4d2b-ae88-f9261df3df48@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com> RHF
<rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> writes:
>
>> On May 22, 8:24=A0am, Paul W. Schleck <pschl...@novia.net> wrote:
>>> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>>> Hash: SHA1
>>>
>>> Is there an FAQ for this newsgroup?
>>>
>>> Last update I had heard was from John Kasupski about 3 1/2 years ago.
>>> In response to suggestions by other rec.radio.shortwave readers that an
>>> update of Ralph Brandi's original version could be useful, he loudly and
>>> forcefully insisted that he was the only author of such an FAQ, and no
>>> other person should get involved.
>>>
>>> No such updated FAQ beyond an initial, and incomplete, draft was
>>> presented, along with forceful reminders from him that no one else
>>> should get involved. =A0Has anything been heard from him, or any other
>>> replacement maintainer, since then?
>>>
>>> - --
>>> Paul W. Schleck
>>> pschl...@novia.nethttp://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
>>> Finger pschl...@novia.net for PGP Public Key
>>>
>>> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
>>> Version: GnuPG v1.4.5 (SunOS)
>>>
>>> iD8DBQFN2Sob6Pj0az779o4RAvAWAJ9FTZQRySkjtEPgEA9mKNI8L56LvQCeKY6i
>>> Jz/9dBXeOg+5u8nu9zp88Rk=3D
>>> =3Dr5Wz
>>> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>> Paul W. Schleck,
>
>> The Rec.Radio.Shortwave FAQ Issue
>> -by- John Kasupski
>> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/fecf4461ffd947ef

>> .
>
> [...]
>
>> Is There a "FAQ" for the 'Rec.Radio.Shortwave'
>> NewsGroup ? -well- Yes and No or Maybe So...
>> .
>> Honestly What Good Is A "FAQ" If No One Reads It ?
>> .
>> More Importantly : What Good Is A "FAQ"
>> If No One Follows It ?
>> .
>> and that is something to think about ~ RHF
>> .
>> .
>
> RHF,
>
> Thank you for your reply. I can see that you are one of the more
> knowledgeable and helpful posters here on rec.radio.shortwave. The
> readership definitely owes you a debt of gratitude.
>
No, he's the idiot who drove people away.

He came in here, and didn't have a clue where he was, posting from google he knew nothing of usenet, but acted like an expert.

You can't learn anything by poking in here once. You have to read the newsgroup, and the fact that you don't know anything about RHF is proof that you aren't paying attention to rec.radio.shortwave. The guy spouts off on any topic, just for the sake of spouting off.

He pathologically changes subject headers, he seems incapable of simply replying to a post. Witness he changed the subject header when he replied to you.

He has no real knowledge, he constantly posts links. Any fool can do a search. Witness that he doesn't know who you are, he even posted a link that you or the moderators of rec.radio.info posted.

He feels compelled to reply to everything, whether it's a topic he knows nothing of (but too often he then appears like he knows what he's talking about) or off-topic junk. He has to be top-dog, which means replying with his junk posts even though someone else (who is far more "useful" and "knowledgeable" to this newsgroup than RHF) had already posted the old faq.

There was a whole period when he tried to control the newsgroup, while at the very same time was part of the problem. He constantly replies to the political junk, he constantly replies to the cross-posted junk, he replies to everything. He gives approval to the off topic junk

He knows nothing of usenet, on more than one accasion he's taken to task the automatically posted posts here, like the one about using the phone line as a sw antenna. He doesn't grasp the point of it, or the validity of an automatic posting.

Yet the funny thing is, he is constantly posting the same junk links, rather than doing it more formally, the usenet way.

He gives the perception of "knowing things" because he racks up the posts. An idiot who has little to say, but people are dazzled because he posts all those links, because of his endless posts.

If you think RHF has validity here, then you have no authority to do anything, because you have no judgement on the matter. Keep out of the newsgroup if you recognize RHF.

This used to be a great newsgroup. It did cover the topic it was intended for, radio monitoring. It didn't suffer from idiot hams who thought "shortwave" meant amateur radio (discussing receiving the ham bands is on topic, but just because it takes place on shortwave does not make it relevant). There were posts about AM DXing and FM DXing, both valid under the umbrella that is too specific, but once upon a time one knew what was meant. There was discussion of what was on shortwave, but also the auxiliary bits about shortwave receivers and antennas and propagation. Someone would ask something, and get numerous replies, all going into depth about what was asked, not some idiot posting links to elsewhere that is now seen as content. People who weren't around back then cheer "RHF" because they don't know what it was like, don't know what RHF has driven off with his idiocy. In the absence of the good posters, of course RHF appears to be useful, but don't give him credit, ask where the good posters have gone. Since he's driven off the good posters, then he can appear as "king". Of course there was a period when there was transition, I remember some thread on something more technical, the Wadley loop or maybe synchronous detection, and the village idiot, another poster who abuses the newsgroup had to put in his two cents, completely irrelevant.

And the political drivel only came through cross-posting.

Then it started declining, which in itself wouldn't have been a bad idea. But instead, the political posts came. Most of the remaining "regulars" post all they want about political junk, they've even given up the pretense of pretending it's relevant because it's discussed on the radio. That includes the idiot RHF. Back when I thought there was still a chance of saving the newsgroup, RHF would whine if someone spanked him for being off topic, claiming the idiocy of "free speech". The idiots claiming that right virtually never know what they are talking about, they think it means talking about what they want where they want. They have killed free speech in this newsgroup, because the people who wanted to talk about shortwave listening have left, their right to "free speech" about listening to radio drowned out by the idiot "free speech" people who want to talk about politics, or even any topic but shortwave listening.

RHF is not knowledgeable. I remember when he was trying to control the newsgroup, by chastizing posters rather than being an example, and someone posted something about propagation, and RHF took him to task. The idiot was trying to decide what was on topic or not, yet didn't have the skill to know when someone was talking about something relevant.

So all the old posters are gone, leaving the idiots remaining. They see it as a hangout, so they post about whatever they like. There was a period when new people were still coming in, and I remember one idiot coming here and asking something relevant, then trying to fit in by posting something about movies. He had lousy examples, since the regulars were doing it. That was when there was still hope for the newsgroup, I chastized that idiot for posting off topic. Then later, when I posted about something related to AM DXing, the idiot came after me, since he was too clueless to know what the newsgroup was about, but thought the "shortwave" meant it was only about shortwave

You're as much an idiot as RHF if you think there's a need for a moderated newsgroup. Look what happened when you started a moderated newsgroup for amateur radio. Virtually no posts. The choice isn't moderated (which you love) or "anarchy" it's about running idiots like RHF out of town. We let him take over, initially we could have stomped him good but we let him do as he pleased, until it drove off the good posters and left a shallow and messy newsgroup.

There was a period of hope for this newsgroup, when the idiot RHF suddenly disappeared, a year or so ago. And some of us came back, briefly, though not initially since it seemed too likely that it was temporary. And it was, albeit a few months absence. Right away he was back to his usual posting habits.

There is no hope for this newsgroup until RHF leaves, or is slapped down hard enough that he doesn't reply to cross-posts, doesn't post off topic, doesn't constantly change subject headers, doesn't demean people by putting their names in subject headers, doesn't give approval to the "free speechers". doesn't automatically feel a need to reply to every post. Get him in line to actually form a proper post, I might take him more seriously if he made even that change. And get him to stop quoting a long post rather than simply quoting what's relevant. Another google-centric view, he is clueless that the rest of us have to scroll through the junk to see the actual reply, on google the quoting can be hidden.

Next time before you butt in here, do some proper research by actually reading the newsgroup for a reasonable length of time. After all, that used to be netiquette, "read the newsgroup for a reasonable time to get a feel for it before posting".

Michael

2774. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 17:27:33 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF6A6893FFFhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230701
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgiev$f2r$2@dont-email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 7:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> So, let me cut to the chase here, since 1% of the people make 42.7
> percent of the financial wealth, they should pay for 42.7 percent of the
> cost of the roads, the public utilities, the parks, the state budget,
> the federal budget, etc.

They do. Those roads, public utilities, parks, state budgets, federal budgets are paid mostly by income taxes, excise taxes, use taxes, gasoline taxes and some special use taxes. Are you under the impression that wealthy folks don't have to pay those?

> And, since 50.3 percent of the people get half of the financial wealth,
> they should be paying over half the costs of all these government
> costs. And, they should be damn anxious to pay them, after all, that is
> the system which is allowing their financial wealth.

Hmmmm, you do realize, one hopes, that their financial wealth is one of the things that provides for worker bees....like employment, pay, benefits, etc..

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2775. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 17:29:47 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irgpv8dcm1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230702
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EEF6998522Fhopewell@216.196.121.131...
> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgik5$f2r$3@dont-
> email.me:
>
>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> ...
>>
>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you keep
>> attempting to push?
>>
>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all
> of
>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half
>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>
> Oh, you mean one like this?
>
> A tax on *ALL* income no matter where derived. One deduction. Federal
> poverty level for a family of four and everybody gets that deduction.
> Have a tax rate of, say 15% and the current poverty level at $24K and we
> get the following:
>
> A person who earns up to $24K, pays nada...
> A person who earns $50K, pays $3,900 (50-24x15%)
> A person who earns $100K, pays $11,400 (100-24x15%)
> A person who earns $500K, pays $71,400 (500-24x15%)
> A person who earns a million pays $146,400 (1000-24x15%)
>
> That do it for you?
>
>
.
.
If you add a $1,000 tax to the $50,000 guy he becomes homeless
If you add a $1,000 tax to the $1,000,000 guy...he never notices it.

That's what's UNFAIR.

The EFFECT on the wealthy taxpayer is nil.
The EFFECT on the low income tax payer is catastrophic.

2776. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 17:38:48 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF6C5076A48hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230703
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:430ba353-1188-448b-800b-55df3da85a18@l26g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:

> On May 23, 7:45 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote
>> innews:1ec3f976-11ec-4715-a07c-bc6e
> e943ae69@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 23, 9:32 am, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>> >> In article <923332eb-bef3-4587-9370-
>> >> 79b77643c...@y31g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
>> >> says...
>>
>> >> > On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
>> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> > > "larnrod" <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >> > >news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegrou
>> >> > >ps. com
>> > ...
>>
>> >> > > > On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> > > >> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>>
>> >> > > >> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> > > >> > "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >> > > >> >news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>>
>> >> > > >> > > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>> >> > > >> > >> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> > > >> > >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
>> >> > > >> > >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
>> >> > > >> > >>> ...
>> >> > > >> > >>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we
>> >> > > >> > >>> should acce
>> > pt your
>> >> > > >> > >>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your

>> >> > > >> > >>>> denial of photographic
>> >> > > >> > >>>> evidence is noted.
>>
>> >> > > >> > >>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that
>> >> > > >> > >>>> don't matc
>> > h your
>> >> > > >> > >>>> claims.
>>
>> >> > > >> > >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain
>> >> > > >> > >>> until you
>> > > deal
>> >> > > >> > >>> with
>> >> > > >> > >>> it ...
>>
>> >> > > >> > >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with
>> >> > > >> > >> ignorance and
>> > that
>> >> > > >> > >> you
>> >> > > >> > >> need to do something about it, but really you
>> >> > > >> > >> shouldn't be ta
>> > lking
>> >> > > >> > >> to
>> >> > > >> > >> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity
>> >> > > >> > >> even fu
>> > rther.
>>
>> >> > > >> > >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I
>> >> > > >> > >>> do i
>> > s repeat
>> >> > > >> > >>> the
>> >> > > >> > >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>>
>> >> > > >> > >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot
>> >> > > >> > >> that is
>> > so
>> >> > > >> > >> ignorant
>> >> > > >> > >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the
>> >> > > >> > >> process of falling
>> >> > > >> > >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine
>> >> > > >> > >> his exper
>> > ts
>> >> > > >> > >> might not
>> >> > > >> > >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid
>> >> > > >> > >> enough to bu
>> > y their
>> >> > > >> > >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>>
>> >> > > >> > >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about
>> >> > > >> > >> by your

>> > &#65533;own
>> >> > > >> > >> admission.
>>
>> >> > > >> > >> Which means you're really not the right person to be
>> >> > > >> > >> trying t
>> > o talk
>> >> > > >> > >> about
>> >> > > >> > >> this stuff then are you?
>>
>> >> > > >> > >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since
>> >> > > >> > >> maybe t
>> > hey
>> >> > > >> > >> might
>> >> > > >> > >> actually know what they're talking about rather than
>> >> > > >> > >> simply m
>> > outhing
>> >> > > >> > >> the
>> >> > > >> > >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't
>> >> > > >> > >> support, a
>> > nd
>> >> > > >> > >> can't
>> >> > > >> > >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>>
>> >> > > >> > >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant
>> >> > > >> > >> mouthpiece b
>> > y your
>> >> > > >> > >> own
>> >> > > >> > >> admission, is there any further reason anyone should
>> >> > > >> > >> listen t
>> > o you
>> >> > > >> > >> for
>> >> > > >> > >> even a second?
>>
>> >> > > >> > > I think your post is more about you than me, why don't
>> >> > > >> > > you ask
>> > &#65533;others
>> >> > > >> > > if
>> >> > > >> > > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are,
>> >> > > >> > > or the
>> > y are
>> >> > > >> > > fooled
>> >> > > >> > > into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis,
>> >> > > >> > > facts,
>> > etc.?
>>
>> >> > > >> IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.-
>> >> > > >> Hide quot
>> > ed
>> >> > > >> text -

>>
>> >> > > >> > - Show quoted text -
>>
>> >> > > >> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the
>> >> > > >> pentagram.
>>
>> >> > > > What missile attack, insane nut job?
>>
>> >> > > Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert"
>> >> > > analysis of th
>> > e
>> >> > > frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane
>> >> > > crashin
>> > g into
>> >> > > the side of the Pentagon.
>>
>> >> > > Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even
>> >> > > consider is
>> > that
>> >> > > even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly
>> >> > > preclude a mis
>> > sile
>> >> > > given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to
>> >> > > the 5 sto
>> > ries of
>> >> > > the Pentagon.
>>
>> >> > > But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile,
>> >> > > and that'
>> > s good
>> >> > > enough "proof" for Joe.
>>
>> >> > > >> Which
>> >> > > >> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>>
>> >> > > > When did missiles hit it?
>>
>> >> > > I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>>
>> >> > > >> Three missiles are were
>> >> > > >> recorded in the same FBI release,
>>
>> >> > > > Show us, insnae nut job.
>>
>> >> > > Yea, one "missile" per frame. <snicker>
>>
>> >> > > >> Well the remnates of the first one is
>> >> > > >> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can
>> >> > > >> see the next two in motion!

>>
>> >> > > > Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>>
>> >> > > "It is too late for that"
>>
>> >> > > Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> >> > > - Show quoted text -
>>
>> >> > But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will
>> >> > only contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>>
>> >> > Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!
>>
>> >> No, it takes some serious mental problems, serious drug abuse, or
>> >> a combo of both. What is it with you?
>>
>> >> --
>> >> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!-
>> >> Hide qu
>> > oted text -
>>
>> >> - Show quoted text -
>>
>> > I blame it on my IQ of 145.
>>
>> Methinks you are off by about a 100.
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> Thats because you are not capable of thinking.Show us what you got!
>
> http://ig.gmodules.com/gadgets/ifr?view=home&url=http://wildfire.gigya.
> com/wildfire/StpProvider.aspx%3Fut%3DAg8AAAADAAYAAFUASQBEABIAADIAMwA2AD
> QAMQA0ADIAOAAxAAYAAEkASQBEABAAADMAMQA0ADQANAAxADkAMQAEAABuAHQADgAAaQBHA
> G8AbwBnAGwAZQA%3D&nocache=0&lang=en&country=us&.lang=en&.country=us&syn
> d=ig&mid=13&ifpctok=-2948449179267058375&exp_split_js=1&exp_track_js=1&
> exp_new_js_flags=1&exp_ids=17259,300586&parent=http://www.google.com&re
> fresh=3600&libs=core:core.io:core.iglegacy:auth-refresh&is_signedin=1
>

I'm not the one boasting.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2777. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 17:45:55 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <af250f34-b530-437a-bd63-476d3a151808@j2g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230704
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 12:07 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> >> ...
>
> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you keep
> >> attempting to push?
>
> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all of
> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half
> >> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
> > the FairTax.
>
> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax,
> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that
> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government ...
>

Impossible to implement.

> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>
> Regards,
> JS

2778. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 17:47:32 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dc774f3d-f163-418c-84ae-232197a44499@x6g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230705
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 12:13 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> >> ...
>
> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you keep
> >> attempting to push?
>
> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all of
> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half
> >> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
> > the FairTax.
>
> First of all, the data on that site is not effective in being able to
> reach any proper conclusions ... it has been conveniently arranged that way.
>

Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then your
right. I know of no place that compiles that data.

> Even a professional accountant would need a great amount of time to be
> able to apply the data effectively, and then only with the data from
> other sources ...
>

> Regards,
> JS

2779. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 17:48:02 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230706
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> >> ...
>
> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
> keep
> >> attempting to push?
>
> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all
> of
> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half
> >> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their
> fair
> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
> > the FairTax.
>
> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>

It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.

> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

2780. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:00:33 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF70010C794hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230707
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

Red Cloud <mmdir2005@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:2e3c8984-608f-43d8-90be-24c242729fe6@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com:

> On May 23, 8:46 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/23/2011 8:22 PM, Benny Fishhole wrote:
>>
>> > ...
>> > That's right, they are now Mexican by the second definition. Now go
>> > tell your illegal bride to go cook up some tacos.
>>
>> As I said, today, for all practical purposes, "BEANER = INDIAN." ...
>> end of story ...
>>
>> If you doubt it, take a look at them, today the majority in my city
>> are South American Indians ... and here from Brazil, Peru, Guatemala,
>> etc.
>>
>> And, like I further said, most do not use the word "mexican" properly
>> ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Well most Hispanics are Mexicans. That's why I use Mexican first
> before
> Brazilian or Peruvian or other South American National.

I assume you mean most Hispanics who are in the US. What the term means
is Spanish speaking people or culture. It also means an American whose
first language is Spanish.

> Most Illegal
> aliens
> are Mexicans. That's the issue! Most Hispanic gangbangers are
> Mexicans.

In the US.

> So do you have problem with that? Those bastard are waving Mexican
> flag
> during Labor day protest in US territory. No Hispanic waved
> Brazilian or Peruvian flag. It's only Mexican waving their flag
> because they are anti-Americans in US society. That's the #1
> Hispanic/Latino.problem we face.

>
>
>

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2781. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:01:11 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF701BD31F4hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230708
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

Billary <billaryclinton2008@gmail.com> wrote in
news:fe5a8700-10da-4fbb-aaf2-2281307e1b34@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com:

> On May 24, 1:55 am, Red Cloud <mmdir2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 23, 8:46 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> > On 5/23/2011 8:22 PM, Benny Fishhole wrote:
>>
>> > > ...
>> > > That's right, they are now Mexican by the second definition. Now
>> > > go tell your illegal bride to go cook up some tacos.
>>
>> > As I said, today, for all practical purposes, "BEANER = INDIAN." .
> ..
>> > end of story ...
>>
>> > If you doubt it, take a look at them, today the majority in my city
>> > are South American Indians ... and here from Brazil, Peru,
>> > Guatemala, etc.
>>
>> > And, like I further said, most do not use the word "mexican"
>> > properly .
> ..
>>
>> > Regards,
>> > JS
>>
>> Well most Hispanics are Mexicans. That's why I use Mexican first
>> before
>> Brazilian or Peruvian or other South American National. Most Illegal
>> aliens
>> are Mexicans. That's the issue! Most Hispanic gangbangers are
>> Mexicans.
>> So do you have problem with that? Those bastard are waving Mexican
>> flag
>> during Labor day protest in US territory. No Hispanic waved
>> Brazilian or Peruvian flag. It's only Mexican waving their flag
>> because they are anti-Americans in US society. That's the #1
>> Hispanic/Latino.problem we face.
>
> You're wrong. Every "first" generation group of immigrants has always

> held on to their native roots. The English who came here were all
> subjects of the British crown LONG before they became "Americans" and
> they were proud of it. The only real Americans are the native Indians
> who were here long before our white ancestors arrived.
>
> But your point is well taken. Gang bangers, illegals and evil doers
> are a problem. And if our stupid government would just decriminalize
> all drugs and redeploy those resources that fight the "drug war" to
> border protection the whole problem would go away. So focus your
> brain. The real problem isn't Hispanics. The real enemy is ourselves
> for using the wrong tactics and blaming the wrong causes. Take the
> profit out of drugs an our problem resolves itself overnight.
>
>
>

Bingo!!!

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2782. Re: Is There a "FAQ" for the 'Rec.Radio.Shortwave' NewsGroup ? -well- Yes and No or Maybe So...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:09:10 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgs86$b0g$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230709

On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, Michael Black wrote:
> ...
>
> Michael

Your mental disorder seems to be causing you to become obsessive on RHF, indeed, to the point of placing him in a villains' role.

We are now familiar with your opinion of RHF, what you find to be his short-comings, the fact you don't approve of his posting style, that you don't like him going off topic, your appraisal of his psychological "disorders", what you consider to have been his mistakes in posting, complaints about the "coverage area" of his posts -- i.e., AM/FM related posts, etc. I mean, your complaints, about RHF, are really too numerous to deal with.

In the end, it is like, I DON'T CARE! In the least, I find his sanity to be a better companion here than yours ...

Others mileage will vary ...

But you, your post(s) define you, someone I would not want for a neighbor, someone who looks like a person with many petty gripes and complaints, etc. I look at you and it makes me thankful for the neighbors, friends and family I have ... you just seem like a different flavor of a control freak who must have the order in your world , at all costs.

Setting up a proper receiver, antenna, listening station and the few and dwindling SW stations left, and their narrowing of coverage of subjects, are just not something which volumes and volumes can be written upon ... diversion is good for the mind ...

Regards,
JS

2783. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:12:14 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgsdu$b0g$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230710
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>
>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>
>>>> >> ...
>>>>
>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>
>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
>>>> all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT
>>>> paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>
>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
>>> the FairTax.
>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax,
>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that
>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government ...
>>
>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?

You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their fair share
of the cost of government.

Regards,
JS

2784. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:14:06 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgshf$b0g$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230711
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 10:45 AM, gfn wrote:
> ...
> Impossible to implement.
>
>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS

Yeah, a lot of things are "impossible", up until someone does it ...

Regards,
JS

2785. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:15:06 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF90FBFCCC0Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 230712
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>
>>> >> ...
>>>
>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you keep
>>> attempting to push?
>>>
>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all
of
>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half
>>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>
>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
>> the FairTax.
>
> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax,
> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that
> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government ...
>
> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>
> Regards,
> JS
>
>

First, we kill all the Marxists.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much

competence?

2786. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:16:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230713
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
> ...
> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then your
> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
> ...

OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
pointed out to me.

Thanks in advance,
JS

2787. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:18:32 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7024582b-7a31-48c8-a136-784b932ec012@j31g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230714
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 2:14 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/24/2011 10:45 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Impossible to implement.
>
> >>http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> Yeah, a lot of things are "impossible", up until someone does it ...
>

Besides the inherent unfairness of such a system what would you
suggest for implementation? I can see it now:

Customer: Good day, one cup of coffee please.
Waiter: Sure...first a copy of your 1040 please.

> Regards,
> JS

2788. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:20:54 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgsu7$l7$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230715
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On 5/24/2011 11:00 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
> ...
> I assume you mean most Hispanics who are in the US. What the term means
> is Spanish speaking people or culture. It also means an American whose
> first language is Spanish.
> ...

And, once again, a worthless term in use of describing the race(s) of
the illegal invaders ...

Regards,
JS

2789. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:28:04 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <56eda1da-3ae5-4a9b-921b-7537eaded7a2@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230716

On May 24, 10:12 am, "D. Peter Maus" <dpeterm...@att.net> wrote:
> On 5/24/11 10:55 , bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob<Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
> >> rapture?
>
> >> I hope it's not too soon - I just ordered a tiny-tenna.
>
> > Camping dood it already - October 21, 2011. He forgot to multiply the
> > month by 2. Seriously. What a predatory prick.
>
> False prophet by definition.

Yeah, that too! :-D

2790. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:28:57 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDBF8E9-9142@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230717

I Avoid Anything and Everything WETBACK.I AVOID IT LIKE THE PLAGUE!
cuhulin

2791. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:32:59 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a23e2264-a69a-4b8d-8ec1-e4524c935307@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230718
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On May 24, 8:38 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/23/2011 10:55 PM, Red Cloud wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 23, 8:46 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/23/2011 8:22 PM, Benny Fishhole wrote:
>
> >>> ...
> >>> That's right, they are now Mexican by the second definition. Now go
> >>> tell your illegal bride to go cook up some tacos.
> >> As I said, today, for all practical purposes, "BEANER = INDIAN." ...
> >> end of story ...
>
> >> If you doubt it, take a look at them, today the majority in my city are
> >> South American Indians ... and here from Brazil, Peru, Guatemala, etc.
>
> >> And, like I further said, most do not use the word "mexican" properly ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > Well most Hispanics are Mexicans. That's why I use Mexican first
> > before
> > Brazilian or Peruvian or other South American National. Most Illegal
> > aliens
> > are Mexicans. That's the issue! Most Hispanic gangbangers are
> > Mexicans.
> > So do you have problem with that? Those bastard are waving Mexican
> > flag
> > during Labor day protest in US territory. No Hispanic waved
> > Brazilian or Peruvian flag. It's only Mexican waving their flag
> > because they are anti-Americans in US society. That's the #1
> > Hispanic/Latino.problem we face.
>
> Most of "them" are indians ... end of story. "Mexican" is very
> misleading. Few Spanish wish to come here from mexico -- where they ARE
> THE RULING CLASS!
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>

> - Show quoted text -

Mexico does have a problem - a very serious one - with political corruption, as do many Latin American nations. Their history is rife with corrupt events that make ours look paltry by comparison. With this crap going on and the needs of the electorate ignored, can you blame them for wanting to leave? I have a co-worker of Brazilian ancestry, a great person and proud American BTW, who left because she was sick of the Brazilian corruption.

Bruce

2792. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:33:25 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF759361DABhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230719
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irgpv8dcm1@dont-email.me:

>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EEF6998522Fhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgik5$f2r$3@dont-
>> email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>
>>> >> ...
>>>
>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
keep
>>> attempting to push?
>>>
>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all
>> of
>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying
half
>>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their
fair
>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> Oh, you mean one like this?
>>
>> A tax on *ALL* income no matter where derived. One deduction.
Federal
>> poverty level for a family of four and everybody gets that deduction.
>> Have a tax rate of, say 15% and the current poverty level at $24K and
we
>> get the following:
>>
>> A person who earns up to $24K, pays nada...
>> A person who earns $50K, pays $3,900 (50-24x15%)
>> A person who earns $100K, pays $11,400 (100-24x15%)
>> A person who earns $500K, pays $71,400 (500-24x15%)
>> A person who earns a million pays $146,400 (1000-24x15%)
>>
>> That do it for you?
>>
>>
> .

> .
> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $50,000 guy he becomes homeless
> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $1,000,000 guy...he never notices it.
>
> That's what's UNFAIR.

Nope, what's unfair is YOU expecting OTHERS to pay for what YOU want.

> The EFFECT on the wealthy taxpayer is nil.
> The EFFECT on the low income tax payer is catastrophic.

Interestingly, no one is asking that $50K guy for that extra grand, but
here you are whining that the million dollar guy won't as affected.

The problem Democrats will have is that sometime they will run out of
other people's money.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2793. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:33:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDBFA12-9146@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230724

My end of the World (Physical World/Earth) will happen the Moment I
Croak.
cuhulin

2794. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:34:35 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF75C58F5E2hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230720
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:

> On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> > >> ...
>>
>> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
>> keep
>> >> attempting to push?
>>
>> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
>> >> all
>> of
>> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying
>> >> half of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying
>> >> their
>> fair
>> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> >> Regards,
>> >> JS
>>
>> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>
>> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate
>> > is the FairTax.

>>
>> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>
>
> It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.

You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2795. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:36:24 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF761486A41hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230721
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont-
email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>
>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
>>>>> all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT
>>>>> paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>
>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
>>>> the FairTax.
>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
tax,
>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
that
>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government ...
>>>
>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>
> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their fair
share
> of the cost of government.

IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go through a
check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?

C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2796. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:36:56 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF762BA50B9hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230722
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:af250f34-b530-437a-bd63-476d3a151808@j2g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:

> On May 24, 12:07 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> > ...
>>
>> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
>> >> kee
> p
>> >> attempting to push?
>>
>> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
>> >> all
> of
>> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying
>> >> half of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying
>> >> their fai
> r
>> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> >> Regards,
>> >> JS
>> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>
>> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate
>> > is the FairTax.
>>
>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
>> tax, the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales
>> tax, that way they will be contributing their fair share to run

>> government ...
>>
>
> Impossible to implement.

Bingo!!

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2797. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:38:54 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF768118DCBhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230723
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgshf$b0g$3@dont-email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 10:45 AM, gfn wrote:
>> ...
>> Impossible to implement.
>>
>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>
> Yeah, a lot of things are "impossible", up until someone does it ...

Let's put it another way.......the cost of a system to do that would be a magnitude more cost than any resultant tax received. You don't think things through very far, do you. Typical Democrat, if we can find a way to stick it to some wealthy guy, we don't give a damn what it costs.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2798. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:40:24 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF76C24AE94hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230725
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>> ...
>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then your
>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
>> ...
>
> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
> pointed out to me.

If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have no hope
of understanding any data presented to you. Which explains some of your
ideas.....

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2799. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:42:34 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230726
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> >> >> ...
>
> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
> >> keep
> >> >> attempting to push?
>
> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
> >> >> all
> >> of
> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying
> >> >> half of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying
> >> >> their
> >> fair
> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>
> >> >> Regards,
> >> >> JS
>
> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate
> >> > is the FairTax.
>

> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>
> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>
> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>

I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax. The FairTax is a replacement
for the income tax. It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.

> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

2800. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:44:04 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgu9k$l7$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230727
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On 5/24/2011 11:32 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> ...
> Mexico does have a problem - a very serious one - with political
> corruption, as do many Latin American nations. Their history is rife
> with corrupt events that make ours look paltry by comparison. With
> this crap going on and the needs of the electorate ignored, can you
> blame them for wanting to leave? I have a co-worker of Brazilian
> ancestry, a great person and proud American BTW, who left because she
> was sick of the Brazilian corruption.
>
> Bruce

Yeah, but in reality, what happens is, they attempt to leave the problems, not realizing they are part of them, this results in them transporting the problems to a new area ...

You see the above on a smaller scale when people leave the city to escape the problems and go to the smaller towns. They end up enacting the same ordinances, rules, laws, actions, styles, mindsets, etc. which caused all the problems in the first place! Soon, the place they left is no different that the place they are ...

Regards,
JS

2801. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:44:58 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irguba$l7$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230728

On 5/24/2011 11:28 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I Avoid Anything and Everything WETBACK.I AVOID IT LIKE THE PLAGUE!
> cuhulin
>

So, you keep facing everyone with a garden hose? Never turning your
back towards them?

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

2802. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:46:45 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ac9e1ba2-ecc8-4668-87b5-3e1345f04b8e@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230729
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 2:16 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
> > the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
> > about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then your
> > right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
> > ...
>
> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
> pointed out to me.
>

I did that yesterday in my very first post to you.

> Thanks in advance,
> JS

2803. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:47:14 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgufi$l7$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230730
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont-
> email.me:
>
>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
>>>>>> all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>>> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT
>>>>>> paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>> JS
>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>
>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
> tax,
>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
> that
>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government ...
>>>>
>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their fair
> share
>> of the cost of government.
> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go through a
> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>
> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
```

>
>

The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch on ...
I was wrong.

Regards,
JS

2804. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:48:35 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <17ecd4fa-7a88-4563-bd6d-1da73efcf96d@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230731
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On May 24, 11:44 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/24/2011 11:32 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Mexico does have a problem - a very serious one - with political
> > corruption, as do many Latin American nations. Their history is rife
> > with corrupt events that make ours look paltry by comparison. With
> > this crap going on and the needs of the electorate ignored, can you
> > blame them for wanting to leave? I have a co-worker of Brazilian
> > ancestry, a great person and proud American BTW, who left because she
> > was sick of the Brazilian corruption.
>
> > Bruce
>
> Yeah, but in reality, what happens is, they attempt to leave the
> problems, not realizing they are part of them, this results in them
> transporting the problems to a new area ...
>
> You see the above on a smaller scale when people leave the city to
> escape the problems and go to the smaller towns. They end up enacting
> the same ordinances, rules, laws, actions, styles, mindsets, etc. which
> caused all the problems in the first place! Soon, the place they left
> is no different that the place they are ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Well, speaking only for my Brazilian co-worker - she's a great asset
to her community, which is not Brazilian but American. I don't think
you can paint every person with the same paintbrush.

2805. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:48:48 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irguih$l7$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230732
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 11:38 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgshf$b0g$3@dont-email.me:
>
>> On 5/24/2011 10:45 AM, gfn wrote:
>>> ...
>>> Impossible to implement.
>>>
>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>> Yeah, a lot of things are "impossible", up until someone does it ...
> Let's put it another way.......the cost of a system to do that would be a
> magnitude more cost than any resultant tax received. You don't think
> things through very far, do you. Typical Democrat, if we can find a way to
> stick it to some wealthy guy, we don't give a damn what it costs.
>
>

Flat tax ... with exemptions for those who can't afford housing, food, medical, etc.

I doubt anyone is going to throw away a good job, paying a decent wage, with excellent benefits to go on welfare ...

Regards,
JS

2806. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:50:44 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230733
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>
>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>> ...
>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then your
>>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
>>> ...
>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
>> pointed out to me.
> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have no hope
> of understanding any data presented to you. Which explains some of your
> ideas.....

If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ... you are attempting a circular argument ...

Just post something which proves your point ... if you can, from the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!

Well, unless you are lying and have been caught in that lie.

Regards,
JS

2807. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:51:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgun6$l7$10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230734
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 11:46 AM, gfn wrote:
> On May 24, 2:16 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then your
>>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
>>> ...
>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
>> pointed out to me.
>>
> I did that yesterday in my very first post to you.
>
>> Thanks in advance,
>> JS

I don't see any data posted, but then, I didn't expect to see any ... we
both knew that.

Regards,
JS

2808. Re: Is There a "FAQ" for the 'Rec.Radio.Shortwave' NewsGroup ? -well- Yes and No or Maybe So...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:51:33 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <72fd047b-251a-4a12-8f8f-a1129b76ef48@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230735

On May 24, 11:09 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, Michael Black wrote:
>
> > ...
>
> > Michael
>
> Your mental disorder seems to be causing you to become obsessive on RHF,
> indeed, to the point of placing him in a villains' role.
>
> We are now familiar with your opinion of RHF, what you find to be his
> short-comings, the fact you don't approve of his posting style, that you
> don't like him going off topic, your appraisal of his psychological
> "disorders", what you consider to have been his mistakes in posting,
> complaints about the "coverage area" of his posts -- i.e., AM/FM related
> posts, etc. I mean, your complaints, about RHF, are really too numerous
> to deal with.
>
> In the end, it is like, I DON'T CARE! In the least, I find his sanity
> to be a better companion here than yours ...
>
> Others mileage will vary ...
>
> But you, your post(s) define you, someone I would not want for a
> neighbor, someone who looks like a person with many petty gripes and
> complaints, etc. I look at you and it makes me thankful for the
> neighbors, friends and family I have ... you just seem like a different
> flavor of a control freak who must have the order in your world , at all
> costs.
>
> Setting up a proper receiver, antenna, listening station and the few and
> dwindling SW stations left, and their narrowing of coverage of subjects,
> are just not something which volumes and volumes can be written upon ...
> diversion is good for the mind ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

But the diversion does not need to come on THIS newsgroup and at the
expense of actual radio users.

2809. Re: Is There a "FAQ" for the 'Rec.Radio.Shortwave' NewsGroup ? -well- Yes and No or Maybe So...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:55:24 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irguut$l7$11@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230736

On 5/24/2011 11:51 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 24, 11:09 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, Michael Black wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Michael
>> Your mental disorder seems to be causing you to become obsessive on RHF,
>> indeed, to the point of placing him in a villains' role.
>>
>> We are now familiar with your opinion of RHF, what you find to be his
>> short-comings, the fact you don't approve of his posting style, that you
>> don't like him going off topic, your appraisal of his psychological
>> "disorders", what you consider to have been his mistakes in posting,
>> complaints about the "coverage area" of his posts -- i.e., AM/FM related
>> posts, etc. I mean, your complaints, about RHF, are really too numerous
>> to deal with.
>>
>> In the end, it is like, I DON'T CARE! In the least, I find his sanity
>> to be a better companion here than yours ...
>>
>> Others mileage will vary ...
>>
>> But you, your post(s) define you, someone I would not want for a
>> neighbor, someone who looks like a person with many petty gripes and
>> complaints, etc. I look at you and it makes me thankful for the
>> neighbors, friends and family I have ... you just seem like a different
>> flavor of a control freak who must have the order in your world , at all
>> costs.
>>
>> Setting up a proper receiver, antenna, listening station and the few and
>> dwindling SW stations left, and their narrowing of coverage of subjects,
>> are just not something which volumes and volumes can be written upon ...
>> diversion is good for the mind ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> But the diversion does not need to come on THIS newsgroup and at the
> expense of actual radio users.

To my knowledge, except for the nutjobs, I'd believe the overwhelming
number of posters have a radio and listen to it ... but then, perhaps I
am naive ... still, I think that statement correct at this time.

Regards,
JS

2810. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:57:07 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgv23$l7$12@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230737
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On 5/24/2011 11:48 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 24, 11:44 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/24/2011 11:32 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Mexico does have a problem - a very serious one - with political
>>> corruption, as do many Latin American nations. Their history is rife
>>> with corrupt events that make ours look paltry by comparison. With
>>> this crap going on and the needs of the electorate ignored, can you
>>> blame them for wanting to leave? I have a co-worker of Brazilian
>>> ancestry, a great person and proud American BTW, who left because she
>>> was sick of the Brazilian corruption.
>>> Bruce
>> Yeah, but in reality, what happens is, they attempt to leave the
>> problems, not realizing they are part of them, this results in them
>> transporting the problems to a new area ...
>>
>> You see the above on a smaller scale when people leave the city to
>> escape the problems and go to the smaller towns. They end up enacting
>> the same ordinances, rules, laws, actions, styles, mindsets, etc. which
>> caused all the problems in the first place! Soon, the place they left
>> is no different that the place they are ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> Well, speaking only for my Brazilian co-worker - she's a great asset
> to her community, which is not Brazilian but American. I don't think
> you can paint every person with the same paintbrush.

Probably how the problem began in the first place, everyone thinking
their illegal friends, family, relatives, etc. should be exempt from
rules and laws ...

Regards,
JS

2811. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 18:57:08 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <a543a8a0-ab1b-4728-ba9c-d4b5ee7ab3cb@j31g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230738
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 2:51 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/24/2011 11:46 AM, gfn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 2:16 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >>> ...
> >>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
> >>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
> >>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then your
> >>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
> >>> ...
> >> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
> >> pointed out to me.
>
> > I did that yesterday in my very first post to you.
>
> >> Thanks in advance,
> >> JS
>
> I don't see any data posted, but then, I didn't expect to see any ... we
> both knew that.
>
> Regards,
> JS

You don't see data posted? What part aren't you grasping? From line
1, page 1:

Percentiles Ranked by AGI: Top 1%
Percentage of Federal Personal Income Tax Paid: 38.02

John, this isn't rocket science.

2812. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:00:57 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF7A3E489F5hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230739
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:

> On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
> x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> >> >> ...
>>
>> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>> >> >> you
>> >> keep
>> >> >> attempting to push?
>>
>> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>> >> >> of all
>> >> of
>> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are
>> >> >> NOT paying their
>> >> fair
>> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> >> >> Regards,
>> >> >> JS

>>
>> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>
>> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>
>> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>
>> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>
>> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>
>
> I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.

Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.

The FairTax is a replacement
> for the income tax.

Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.

It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
> Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.

You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments so
that it does not become regressive.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2813. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:05:29 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF7B0343ECFhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230740
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-
email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont-
>> email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
you
>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
>>>>>>> all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>>>> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT
>>>>>>> paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate
is
>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
>> tax,
>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
>> that
>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government
...
>>>>>
>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their fair

>> share
>>> of the cost of government.
>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go through
a
>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>
>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>
>>
>
> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch on ...
> I was wrong.

A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all income not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it here in this thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is really quite simply and quite short so you should have no problem understanding it. ;)

What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever was purchased and no matter who purchased it.

You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the real world.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2814. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:06:36 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgvjs$l7$13@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230741
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 11:57 AM, gfn wrote:
> On May 24, 2:51 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/24/2011 11:46 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 24, 2:16 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
>>>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
>>>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then your
>>>>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
>>>>> ...
>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
>>>> pointed out to me.
>>> I did that yesterday in my very first post to you.
>>>> Thanks in advance,
>>>> JS
>> I don't see any data posted, but then, I didn't expect to see any ... we
>> both knew that.
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> You don't see data posted? What part aren't you grasping? From line
> 1, page 1:
>
> Percentiles Ranked by AGI: Top 1%
> Percentage of Federal Personal Income Tax Paid: 38.02
>
> John, this isn't rocket science.

Yeah, I can tell, you missed the whole point of the discussion, went
full circle and are back on the limited range of tax -- income tax.

This ignores all other taxes including fines, fees, licenses, property
tax, sales taxes, excise taxes, fuel taxes, cell phone taxes,
electricity/water/sewer taxes, etc., etc., etc.

Regards,
JS

2815. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:06:58 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF7B434EB2Bhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230742
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
news:irguih$l7$8@dont-email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 11:38 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:irgshf$b0g$3@dont-email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 10:45 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>> ...
>>>> Impossible to implement.
>>>>
>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>> Yeah, a lot of things are "impossible", up until someone does it ...
>> Let's put it another way.......the cost of a system to do that would
>> be a magnitude more cost than any resultant tax received. You don't
>> think things through very far, do you. Typical Democrat, if we can
>> find a way to stick it to some wealthy guy, we don't give a damn what
>> it costs.
>>
>>
>
> Flat tax ... with exemptions for those who can't afford housing, food,
> medical, etc.

Then it isn't a flat tax. It would be a sales tax and one would have to
prove exemptions or income with every purchase.

> I doubt anyone is going to throw away a good job, paying a decent
> wage, with excellent benefits to go on welfare ...

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2816. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:10:47 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF7BE8F61E8hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230743
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-
email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont-
>> email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
you
>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
>>>>>>> all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>>>> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT
>>>>>>> paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate
is
>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
>> tax,
>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
>> that
>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government
...
>>>>>
>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their fair

>> share
>>> of the cost of government.
>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go through
a
>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>
>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>
>>
>
> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch on ...
> I was wrong.

Here is how a flat tax on income would work....

You said:

> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all
of
> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half
> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...

I replied:

Oh, you mean one like this?

A tax on *ALL* income no matter where derived. One deduction. Federal
poverty level for a family of four and everybody gets that deduction.
Have a tax rate of, say 15% and the current poverty level at $24K and we
get the following:

A person who earns up to $24K, pays nada...
A person who earns $50K, pays $3,900 (50-24x15%)
A person who earns $100K, pays $11,400 (100-24x15%)
A person who earns $500K, pays $71,400 (500-24x15%)
A person who earns a million pays $146,400 (1000-24x15%)

That do it for you?

Now that would be a flat tax on income. A flat tax on sales would be the
same tax percentage applied to all purchases. Income is not a part of it,
nor are deductions. So which flat tax are you arguing for? Income or
sales?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2817. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:11:37 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgvta$l7$14@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230744
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/24/2011 11:42 AM, gfn wrote:
> On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman<rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn<gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman<rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>> gfn<gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>>>> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
>>>> keep
>>>>>> attempting to push?
>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
>>>>>> all
>>>> of
>>>>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying
>>>>>> half of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying
>>>>>> their
>>>> fair
>>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>> JS
>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate
>>>>> is the FairTax.
>>>> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>> It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>
> I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax. The FairTax is a replacement
> for the income tax. It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
> Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
```

>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.

I think the flat tax is great.

And, once implemented, all other taxes are dropped. These other taxes being drivers licenses, contracting licences, cell phone fees/charges (except for ACTUAL service charges) , property taxes, use taxes, excise taxes, fuel taxes, all other sales taxes, etc., etc.

Or, simply, ONE TAX PERIOD!

Even the morons will then realize what they actually pay in taxes (which is about 40% - 50% of their incomes, or more.)

Regards,
JS

2818. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:11:44 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irgvu8ott1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230745
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EEF759361DABhopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irgpv8dcm1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EEF6998522Fhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgik5$f2r$3@dont-
>>> email.me:
>>>
>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>
>>>> >> ...
>>>>
>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
> keep
>>>> attempting to push?
>>>>
>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all
>>> of
>>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying
> half
>>>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their
> fair
>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>
>>> Oh, you mean one like this?
>>>
>>> A tax on *ALL* income no matter where derived. One deduction.
> Federal
>>> poverty level for a family of four and everybody gets that deduction.
>>> Have a tax rate of, say 15% and the current poverty level at $24K and
> we
>>> get the following:
>>>
>>> A person who earns up to $24K, pays nada...
>>> A person who earns $50K, pays $3,900 (50-24x15%)
>>> A person who earns $100K, pays $11,400 (100-24x15%)
>>> A person who earns $500K, pays $71,400 (500-24x15%)
>>> A person who earns a million pays $146,400 (1000-24x15%)
>>>
>>> That do it for you?
>>>

>>>
>> .
>> .
>> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $50,000 guy he becomes homeless
>> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $1,000,000 guy...he never notices it.
>>
>> That's what's UNFAIR.
>
> Nope, what's unfair is YOU expecting OTHERS to pay for what YOU want.
>
>> The EFFECT on the wealthy taxpayer is nil.
>> The EFFECT on the low income tax payer is catastrophic.
>
> Interestingly, no one is asking that $50K guy for that extra grand, but
> here you are whining that the million dollar guy won't as affected.
>
> The problem Democrats will have is that sometime they will run out of
> other people's money.
>
>
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

That's what is unfair.
A small increase of tax on a low earner is a huge burden
The same increase on a wealthy person is INSIGNIFICANT.

2819. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:21:18 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF7DB1165AFhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230746
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>> ...
>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
>>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
>>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then
>>>> your right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
>>>> ...
>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
>>> pointed out to me.
>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have no
>> hope of understanding any data presented to you. Which explains some
>> of your ideas.....
>
> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ... you are
> attempting a circular argument ...
>
> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can, from the
> site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!

I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:

2008

Top 1% AGI >$380,354 Percentage 38.02
Top 5% AGI >$159,619 Percentage 58.72
Top 10% AGI >$113,799 Percentage 69.94
Top 25% AGI >$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
Top 50% AGI >$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
Bottom 50% AGI <$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70

2007

Top 1% AGI >$410,096 Percentage 40.42
Top 5% AGI >$160,041 Percentage 60.63
Top 10% AGI >$113,018 Percentage 71.22
Top 25% AGI >$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
Top 50% AGI >$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
Bottom 50% AGI <$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89

Here is the site:

http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2820. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:25:37 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF7E6CC3EF5hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230747
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote in news:17ecd4fa-7a88-4563-bd6d-1da73efcf96d@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com:

> On May 24, 11:44 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/24/2011 11:32 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>
>> > ...
>> > Mexico does have a problem - a very serious one - with political
>> > corruption, as do many Latin American nations. Their history is rife
>> > with corrupt events that make ours look paltry by comparison. With
>> > this crap going on and the needs of the electorate ignored, can you
>> > blame them for wanting to leave? I have a co-worker of Brazilian
>> > ancestry, a great person and proud American BTW, who left because she
>> > was sick of the Brazilian corruption.
>>
>> > Bruce
>>
>> Yeah, but in reality, what happens is, they attempt to leave the
>> problems, not realizing they are part of them, this results in them
>> transporting the problems to a new area ...
>>
>> You see the above on a smaller scale when people leave the city to
>> escape the problems and go to the smaller towns. They end up enacting
>> the same ordinances, rules, laws, actions, styles, mindsets, etc. which
>> caused all the problems in the first place! Soon, the place they left
>> is no different that the place they are ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Well, speaking only for my Brazilian co-worker - she's a great asset
> to her community, which is not Brazilian but American. I don't think
> you can paint every person with the same paintbrush.

Amen.....

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2821. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:26:33 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF7E9531836hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230748
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgv23$l7$12@dont-email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 11:48 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>> On May 24, 11:44 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/24/2011 11:32 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Mexico does have a problem - a very serious one - with political
>>>> corruption, as do many Latin American nations. Their history is rife
>>>> with corrupt events that make ours look paltry by comparison. With
>>>> this crap going on and the needs of the electorate ignored, can you
>>>> blame them for wanting to leave? I have a co-worker of Brazilian
>>>> ancestry, a great person and proud American BTW, who left because she
>>>> was sick of the Brazilian corruption.
>>>> Bruce
>>> Yeah, but in reality, what happens is, they attempt to leave the
>>> problems, not realizing they are part of them, this results in them
>>> transporting the problems to a new area ...
>>>
>>> You see the above on a smaller scale when people leave the city to
>>> escape the problems and go to the smaller towns. They end up enacting
>>> the same ordinances, rules, laws, actions, styles, mindsets, etc. which
>>> caused all the problems in the first place! Soon, the place they left
>>> is no different that the place they are ...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>> Well, speaking only for my Brazilian co-worker - she's a great asset
>> to her community, which is not Brazilian but American. I don't think
>> you can paint every person with the same paintbrush.
>
> Probably how the problem began in the first place, everyone thinking
> their illegal friends, family, relatives, etc. should be exempt from
> rules and laws ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

>

The problem began in the first place by people demanding cheap proces and
businesses trying to find ways to do that.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2822. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:30:28 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230749
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>
>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
>>>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
>>>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then
>>>>> your right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
>>>>> ...
>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
>>>> pointed out to me.
>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have no
>>> hope of understanding any data presented to you. Which explains some
>>> of your ideas.....
>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ... you are
>> attempting a circular argument ...
>>
>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can, from the
>> site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>
> 2008
>
> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>
> 2007
>
> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11

> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>
> Here is the site:
>
> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>
> The Virginian-Pilot
> ï¿½ May 15, 2011
> By Don Tabor
>
> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the check, but
> he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies the cause of much
> of the bitter partisanship and polarization that poisons our political
> process. But to understand that problem, we must consider how taxes
> are applied to the production of goods and services.
>
> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>
> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be made
> into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes based on his
> profit from the sale and property tax on his farm and equipment. Those
> taxes were, from his point of view, just another cost of doing
> business in the course of earning his living, no different from fuel
> for his tractor or wages and taxes for employees.
>
> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and taxes, the
> price they charge the miller must cover their expenses and taxes, plus
> their after-tax disposable income and savings. Otherwise, there would
> be no point in growing wheat. All of these costs and taxes were passed
> on to the miller, embedded in the price of wheat.
>
> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat to the
> baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security taxes he
> withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes, were all passed
> on to the baker.
>
> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with it
> his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those previous
> taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees, hidden in the price
> of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his family ate the bread, and,
> having done so, could not sell it to anyone else and pass the taxes
> along, as the baker and everyone else before had done.
>
> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with the
> farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they withheld from
> all of their employees. From bread to automobiles to brain surgery,
> the price of everything we buy carries in it the hidden taxes of
> everyone who contributed to the production of that product or service
> to the tune of, on average, 23 cents of every dollar we spend for
> federal taxes alone.

>
> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a scheme
> to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and unknowing tax
> collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to keep voters from
> realizing who really bears the burden of those high taxes.
>
> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually paid
> by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services. It doesn't
> matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket, or what
> deductions you receive. These devices change only the degree to which
> you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes place on your life
> depends solely on what you spend.
>
> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the illusion of
> income-based taxing does a great deal of harm. First, it distorts our
> economic decisions. Goods and services that are provided by highly
> taxed individuals and companies, like health care, are artificially
> more expensive than necessary, while raw materials and natural
> resources are underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.
>
> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political process,
> encouraging government overspending by politicians who exploit the
> mistaken belief of many voters that government spending can be paid
> for solely by taxing corporations or the "rich." All of the
> exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which brings so much ill will
> to our politics and drives wedges between Americans who would be
> better served by mutual respect and compassion - is ultimately the
> meaningless exploitation of a lie.
>
> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates, appears
> progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive. Currently, the
> lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay no income tax
> directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes added to the hidden
> embedded tax, their true federal tax burden is almost 30 percent of
> their meager income.
>
> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that government
> spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through an invisible
> sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>
> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather, Libertarian
> activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He is a dentist in
> Norfolk and Hampton.

A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!

Regards,
JS

2823. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:32:06 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irh13m$l7$16@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230750
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On 5/24/2011 12:26 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgv23$l7$12@dont-
> email.me:
>
>> On 5/24/2011 11:48 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>> On May 24, 11:44 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:32 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Mexico does have a problem - a very serious one - with political
>>>>> corruption, as do many Latin American nations. Their history is
> rife
>>>>> with corrupt events that make ours look paltry by comparison. With
>>>>> this crap going on and the needs of the electorate ignored, can you
>>>>> blame them for wanting to leave? I have a co-worker of Brazilian
>>>>> ancestry, a great person and proud American BTW, who left because
> she
>>>>> was sick of the Brazilian corruption.
>>>>> Bruce
>>>> Yeah, but in reality, what happens is, they attempt to leave the
>>>> problems, not realizing they are part of them, this results in them
>>>> transporting the problems to a new area ...
>>>>
>>>> You see the above on a smaller scale when people leave the city to
>>>> escape the problems and go to the smaller towns. They end up
> enacting
>>>> the same ordinances, rules, laws, actions, styles, mindsets, etc.
> which
>>>> caused all the problems in the first place! Soon, the place they
> left
>>>> is no different that the place they are ...
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>> Well, speaking only for my Brazilian co-worker - she's a great asset
>>> to her community, which is not Brazilian but American. I don't think
>>> you can paint every person with the same paintbrush.
>> Probably how the problem began in the first place, everyone thinking
>> their illegal friends, family, relatives, etc. should be exempt from
>> rules and laws ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS

>>
> The problem began in the first place by people demanding cheap proces and
> businesses trying to find ways to do that.
>

If you make it overseas, sell it overseas ... end of story.

If you employ people in communist countries, move there ...

Regards,
JS

2824. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:40:15 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230751
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
> > > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> >> >> >> ...
>
> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
> >> >> >> you
> >> >> keep
> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>
> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
> >> >> >> of all
> >> >> of
> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are
> >> >> >> NOT paying their
> >> >> fair
> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>
> >> >> >> Regards,

> >> >> >> JS
>
> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>
> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>
> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>
> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>
> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>
> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>

Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
from the income tax. The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax as
the method of funding government. If you fully understand the FairTax
you will see exactly where I am coming from.

> The FairTax is a replacement
>
> > for the income tax.
>
> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>

Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in place
as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls of our
current tax system.

> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>
> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>
> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments so
> that it does not become regressive.
>

I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?

Current tax system:

Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
IRS takes 25%: $250.
Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.

Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
Taxpayer has $620 left.

Fairtax system:

Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
IRS takes 0%: $0
Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
Taxpayer has $870 left.

I'll go one better under the fairtax system.

Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
IRS takes 0%: $0
Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
Taxpayer has $900 left.

So, again, how is that regressive.

Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
questions you might have:

1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
particular attention to the FAQ.
2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"

It will all become crystal clear.

> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

2825. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:47:29 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3163ac5d-6fe6-49de-a587-e9d959362528@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230752
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 3:06 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/24/2011 11:57 AM, gfn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 2:51 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/24/2011 11:46 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >>> On May 24, 2:16 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
> >>>> ...
> >>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
> >>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
> >>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then your
> >>>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
> >>>> ...
> >>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
> >>> pointed out to me.
> >> I did that yesterday in my very first post to you.
> >>> Thanks in advance,
> >>> JS
> >> I don't see any data posted, but then, I didn't expect to see any ... we
> >> both knew that.
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > You don't see data posted? What part aren't you grasping? From line
> > 1, page 1:
>
> > Percentiles Ranked by AGI: Top 1%
> > Percentage of Federal Personal Income Tax Paid: 38.02
>
> > John, this isn't rocket science.
>
> Yeah, I can tell, you missed the whole point of the discussion, went
> full circle and are back on the limited range of tax -- income tax.
>

> This ignores all other taxes including fines, fees, licenses, property
> tax, sales taxes, excise taxes, fuel taxes, cell phone taxes,
> electricity/water/sewer taxes, etc., etc., etc.
>
> Regards,
> JS

I didn't ignore it. In fact, I said that my analysis was based only on income and did not include all the things you just listed, nor was I aware of any place that does. Apparently, you can't find it either. What also did say is that the wealthy also pay on every one of those items you just listed. Again, you want to turn this in to a "what percentage of ones income they pay" as opposed to "who really foots the bill". What is undeniable is that for FEDERAL INCOME TAXES, which are the primary basis of funding for the federal government, the wealthy carry the load. It can not be disputed.

2826. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:51:14 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230753
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-
> email.me:
>
>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont-
>>> email.me:
>>>
>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
> you
>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
>>>>>>>> all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>>>>> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT
>>>>>>>> paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate
> is
>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
>>> tax,
>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
>>> that
>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government
> ...
>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their fair
```

>>> share
>>>> of the cost of government.
>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go through
> a
>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>
>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>
>>>
>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch on ...
>> I was wrong.
> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of calculating
> income tax by applying the same tax rate to all income not just wages and
> salaries. I gave an example of it here in this thread. Did you take the
> time to read it? It is really quite simply and quite short so you should
> have no problem understanding it. ;)
>
> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't flat. A
> flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever was purchased and
> no matter who purchased it.
>
> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the real world.

Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion began, or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.

Of course, even with a flat tax certain safeguards would have to be in place from preventing criminals from crimes which would allow them to ignore the taxes. For example, a case where they made their dollars here and bought only foreign goods in mexico or canada ... it is a given, as soon as any fix, situation, solution, etc. is enacted, the criminals will come crawling out from under their rocks attempting to avoid it ... some of these safeguards to prevent this will have to be worked out as we catch the criminals ...

Unfortunately, every discussion must begin on the premise that everyone is capable of realizing "common sense."

At the bottom of the fair tax or fair tax is the real intent and sole purpose that all contribute equally and in direct relationship to how much they profit from business here.

Regards,
JS

2827. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:52:06 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irh297$4pp$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230754
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 12:47 PM, gfn wrote:
> On May 24, 3:06 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/24/2011 11:57 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 24, 2:51 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:46 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>> On May 24, 2:16 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
>>>>>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
>>>>>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then your
>>>>>>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
>>>>>> pointed out to me.
>>>>> I did that yesterday in my very first post to you.
>>>>>> Thanks in advance,
>>>>>> JS
>>>> I don't see any data posted, but then, I didn't expect to see any ... we
>>>> both knew that.
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>> You don't see data posted? What part aren't you grasping? From line
>>> 1, page 1:
>>> Percentiles Ranked by AGI: Top 1%
>>> Percentage of Federal Personal Income Tax Paid: 38.02
>>> John, this isn't rocket science.
>> Yeah, I can tell, you missed the whole point of the discussion, went
>> full circle and are back on the limited range of tax -- income tax.
>>
>> This ignores all other taxes including fines, fees, licenses, property
>> tax, sales taxes, excise taxes, fuel taxes, cell phone taxes,
>> electricity/water/sewer taxes, etc., etc., etc.
>>

>> Regards,
>> JS
> I didn't ignore it. In fact, I said that my analysis was based only
> on income and did not include all the things you just listed, nor was
> I aware of any place that does. Apparently, you can't find it
> either. What also did say is that the wealthy also pay on every one
> of those items you just listed. Again, you want to turn this in to a
> "what percentage of ones income they pay" as opposed to "who really
> foots the bill". What is undeniable is that for FEDERAL INCOME TAXES,
> which are the primary basis of funding for the federal government, the
> wealthy carry the load. It can not be disputed.

The Virginian-Pilot
ï¿½ May 15, 2011
By Don Tabor

Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the check, but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies the cause of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization that poisons our political process. But to understand that problem, we must consider how taxes are applied to the production of goods and services.

So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?

A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes based on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm and equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just another cost of doing business in the course of earning his living, no different from fuel for his tractor or wages and taxes for employees.

Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and taxes, the price they charge the miller must cover their expenses and taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and savings. Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat. All of these costs and taxes were passed on to the miller, embedded in the price of wheat.

Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat to the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security taxes he withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes, were all passed on to the baker.

The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees, hidden in the price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his family ate the bread, and, having done so, could not sell it to anyone else and pass the taxes along, as the baker and everyone else before had done.

So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with the farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they withheld from all of their employees. From bread to automobiles to brain surgery, the price of everything we buy carries in it the hidden taxes of everyone

who contributed to the production of that product or service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents of every dollar we spend for federal taxes alone.

Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and unknowing tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to keep voters from realizing who really bears the burden of those high taxes.

There is no way around this central reality that all income and business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services. It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket, or what deductions you receive. These devices change only the degree to which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes place on your life depends solely on what you spend.

Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm. First, it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services that are provided by highly taxed individuals and companies, like health care, are artificially more expensive than necessary, while raw materials and natural resources are underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.

But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political process, encouraging government overspending by politicians who exploit the mistaken belief of many voters that government spending can be paid for solely by taxing corporations or the "rich." All of the exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which brings so much ill will to our politics and drives wedges between Americans who would be better served by mutual respect and compassion - is ultimately the meaningless exploitation of a lie.

Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates, appears progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive. Currently, the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay no income tax directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes added to the hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax burden is almost 30 percent of their meager income.

Voters might well choose differently were they aware that government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through an invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.

Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather, Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He is a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.

Regards,
JS

2828. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:52:58 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <irh2aq$9j1$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230755
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

> On May 24, 11:44 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>> You see the above on a smaller scale when people leave the city to
>> escape the problems and go to the smaller towns. They end up enacting
>> the same ordinances, rules, laws, actions, styles, mindsets, etc. which
>> caused all the problems in the first place! Soon, the place they left
>> is no different that the place they are ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS

On 5/24/2011 2:48 PM, bpnjensen wrote:

> Well, speaking only for my Brazilian co-worker - she's a great asset
> to her community, which is not Brazilian but American. I don't think
> you can paint every person with the same paintbrush.

Sure you can...if you are a racist kooktard.

2829. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:55:12 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <a9d76ad0-4516-4ed0-a97d-50a2ee5ade3a@b42g2000yqi.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230756
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 3:51 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote innews:irgufi$l7$7@dont-
> > email.me:
>
> >> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote innews:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont-
> >>> email.me:
>
> >>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >>>>>news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>
> >>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
> >>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>> >> ...
>
> >>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
> > > you
> >>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>
> >>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
> >>>>>>>> all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
> >>>>>>>> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT
> >>>>>>>> paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>
> >>>>>>>> Regards,
> >>>>>>>> JS
> >>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> >>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate
> > > is

> >>>>>>> the FairTax.
> >>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
> >>> tax,
> >>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
> >>> that
> >>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government
> > ...
> >>>>>>http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
> >>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
> >>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their fair
> >>> share
> >>>> of the cost of government.
> >>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go through
> > a
> >>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>
> >>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>
> >> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch on ...
> >> I was wrong.
> > A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of calculating
> > income tax by applying the same tax rate to all income not just wages and
> > salaries. I gave an example of it here in this thread. Did you take the
> > time to read it? It is really quite simply and quite short so you should
> > have no problem understanding it. ;)
>
> > What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't flat. A
> > flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever was purchased and
> > no matter who purchased it.
>
> > You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the real world.
>
> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion began, or,
> basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>
> Of course, even with a flat tax certain safeguards would have to be in
> place from preventing criminals from crimes which would allow them to
> ignore the taxes.

Yet another reason for the FairTax.

> For example, a case where they made their dollars
> here and bought only foreign goods in mexico or canada ... it is a
> given, as soon as any fix, situation, solution, etc. is enacted, the
> criminals will come crawling out from under their rocks attempting to
> avoid it ... some of these safeguards to prevent this will have to be
> worked out as we catch the criminals ...
>
> Unfortunately, every discussion must begin on the premise that everyone
> is capable of realizing "common sense."
>

> At the bottom of the fair tax or fair tax is the real intent and sole
> purpose that all contribute equally and in direct relationship to how
> much they profit from business here.
>
> Regards,
> JS

2830. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 19:56:45 UTC
From: "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <c3320493-53c4-474c-b720-3f6944f4ad00@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230757
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 10:45 am, gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On May 24, 12:07 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> > > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> > >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> > >> >> ...
>
> > >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you keep
> > >> attempting to push?
>
> > >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all of
> > >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half
> > >> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
> > >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>
> > >> Regards,
> > >> JS
> > > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> > > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
> > > the FairTax.
>
> > Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax,
> > the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that
> > way they will be contributing their fair share to run government ...
>
> Impossible to implement.
>

It *might* be possible to implement - in a totalitarian state. The
government would have to always know what your worth (in terms of
wealth) is at all times, and exactly what you purchase throughout the

year, and when.

Yikes.

I suspect that such a system would encourage a black market or two.

>
>
>
>
>
>
> >http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>
> > Regards,
> > JS

2831. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 20:00:45 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irh2pe$4pp$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230758
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 12:56 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
> On May 24, 10:45 am, gfn<gfn...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On May 24, 12:07 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>> ...
>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you keep
>>>>> attempting to push?
>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all of
>>>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half
>>>>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
>>>> the FairTax.
>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax,
>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that
>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government ...
>> Impossible to implement.
>>
> It *might* be possible to implement - in a totalitarian state. The
> government would have to always know what your worth (in terms of
> wealth) is at all times, and exactly what you purchase throughout the
> year, and when.
>
> Yikes.
>
> I suspect that such a system would encourage a black market or two.
>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>> Regards,
>>> JS

No matter what system is implemented, it will always have criminals
seeking to take advantage ... this is human nature.

We certainly need better systems to deal with it than we have now ...

Regards,
JS

2832. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 20:05:30 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <irh32b$f7j$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230759

> On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob<Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
>> rapture?

On 5/24/2011 11:55 AM, bpnjensen wrote:

> Camping dood it already - October 21, 2011. He forgot to multiply the
> month by 2. Seriously. What a predatory prick.

Maybe so, but Camping was smart enough to find people stupid enough to donate 18.3 million dollars to his "church" last year.

They interviewed one of his acolytes on the radio and she said she was sitting in her back yard, praying for the end of the world because she had money troubles.

Possibly her "money troubles" would not have been quite so bad if she hadn't given her money to that quack.

Once again to paraphrase H. L. Mencken, "You'll never go broke underestimating the stupidity of the American public". Camping obviously took that advice to heart.

2833. Re: They said it didn't matter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 20:05:38 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irh32j$4pp$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230760
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbuagh,alt.california

On 5/24/2011 12:50 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Tue, 24 May 2011 10:58:29 -0700, Alan Baker<alangbaker@telus.net>
> wrote:
>
>>> No way a vote for Obama represents what I want done. Republicans are
>>> for less spending, less govt, lower taxes. I go with that.
>>>
>>> Ken
>> Ken: have you actually looked at which party has expanded spending the
>> most up to this point?
> The Republicans in power are very different from the Republicans out
> of power.

Really?

No matter what party in office of president, no matter what party in control of congress, for the last 50 years I have only seen the same ... progress AGAINST the property, rights, freedoms, etc. of the people ...

democans and republicrats, BOTH, serve the same masters, have their strings pulled by the same puppet masters ...

Regards,
JS

2834. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 20:11:22 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDC10EA-9194@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230762

Hunert and fourteen Miles Per Hour Winds Slam Britain - 2 Dead.
http://www.rense.com

I Know WHO I wish one of those 2 Dead Are!

Lets me gits bak tu ahwoiking, I am building buku shelves (big ass shelves) to putt loads of my junk onna thar.
cuhulin, Buku Shelves R ME

2835. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 20:18:15 UTC
From: "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <7f14fdad-5739-4367-9958-c8384250ec1c@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230761
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 1:00 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/24/2011 12:56 PM, r_c_br...@hushmail.com wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 10:45 am, gfn<gfn...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On May 24, 12:07 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
> >>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
> >>>>>> ...
> >>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you keep
> >>>>> attempting to push?
> >>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all of
> >>>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half
> >>>>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
> >>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
> >>>>> Regards,
> >>>>> JS
> >>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
> >>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
> >>>> the FairTax.
> >>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax,
> >>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that
> >>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government ...
> >> Impossible to implement.
>
> > It *might* be possible to implement - in a totalitarian state. The
> > government would have to always know what your worth (in terms of
> > wealth) is at all times, and exactly what you purchase throughout the
> > year, and when.
>
> > Yikes.
>
> > I suspect that such a system would encourage a black market or two.
>

> >>>http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
> >>> Regards,
> >>> JS
>
> No matter what system is implemented, it will always have criminals
> seeking to take advantage ... this is human nature.

You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.

The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.

>
> We certainly need better systems to deal with it than we have now ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

2836. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 20:26:29 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irh49m$id0$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230763
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
> ...
> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>
> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put this
> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
> ...

Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and say it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to implement it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.

Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of 42.7% of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.

I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished. Those with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...

I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just that the water comes from the well ...

Regards,
JS

2837. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 20:38:17 UTC
From: "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <08d321e1-3a86-4fd5-9008-7da12d680bbf@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230764
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 1:26 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_br...@hushmail.com wrote:
>
> > ...
> > You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>
> > The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put this
> > more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
> > percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
> > will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
> > either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
> > ...
>
> Yes, that is a fair system,

It is manifestly unfair.

> you simply want to take it literally

Yes, I took it literally. That is, I assumed that you were serious.

Which part of "Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and
pay 7% sales tax, the top one percent should buy an item and pay a
42.7% sales tax, that way they will be contributing their fair share
to run government ..." didn't you really mean?

> and say
> it doesn't work.

What you presented is not workable in a free country.

> I am not stuck on any particular system to implement
> it with.

I would suggest a system that is workable and fair then, and not what
you earlier suggested.

> Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>

That sounds good.

> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of 42.7%
> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>

> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished. Those
> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>

It wasn't over simplified, it was ridiculous.

> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just that
> the water comes from the well ...
>

Well, I do give a damn. The system must be workable, fair, and
implementable in a free country.

> Regards,
> JS

2838. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 20:38:22 UTC
From: "skepticl1@aol.com" <skepticl1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <6ee9056e-e9a1-441c-b52b-dd5471c757d7@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230765
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 24, 12:36 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 24, 3:02 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > On May 23, 10:52 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > On May 24, 1:43 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 23, 9:21 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 24, 12:08 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 19, 4:13 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > On May 20, 6:06 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > On May 19, 12:47 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > In article <a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
> > > > > > > > > df1d41a84...@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> > > > > > > > > says...
>
> > > > > > > > > > On May 18, 11:09 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > On May 18, 1:15 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > On May 18, 12:15 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > On May 17, 11:46 pm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > On May 18, 1:09 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage
nothing
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings from
CNN

> > > > > > > > > > > > > was a [poor source as told by BDK?
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at CNN-
> > > > > > > > > > > > > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very
good
> > > > > > > > > > > > > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> > > > > > > > > > > > > his knowlege of the 911 coverup.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why. He
> > > > > > > > > > > > > wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > > > > > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide
quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > They took DDT off the market and replaced it with radio isotopes to
> > > > > > > > > > > > > kill the cut worm.But the bird eggs regained their strength!Soo many
> > > > > > > > > > > > > humans soo little birds!
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Where's that bloody decoder ring when you need it- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > > > You just work on this george connecting the dots for SS and ISS and
> > > > > > > > > > uSSr.
>
> > > > > > > > > > It goes back in time to where the freemasons were chased out of
> > > > > > > > > > Europe,they joined forces with the USSR and fought with them.
>
> > > > > > > > > > Now they are a team the free masons new world and the solviet
> > > > > > > > > > union.are one and the same. The fall of the Solviet Union was a
> > > > > > > > > > shame,it just passed the sword to their counter parts the freemason's
> > > > > > > > > > USA!
>
> > > > > > > > > > The USA also surported Hitler and they faked his suicide,and the NEW
> > > > > > > > > > WORLD ORDER commenced.
>
> > > > > > > > > > They just played like they were different Governments but they were
> > > > > > > > > > the same since 1776.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>

> > > > NO! The Soviet Union never worked with the Rockerfellers. Revolution
> > > > is the solution for the ruling class oppressors and even including
> > > > illuminati secret bankers. It puts the masses in charge and removes
> > > > the Rockerfellers out of power. Your head is too much in the clouds.
> > > > Conspiracy thinking is a certain way of looking at things, which gives
> > > > you a false ideology (although conspriacies, do happen like Pearl
> > > > Harbor, the Gulf of Token and 911--You'll note that the Soviets and
> > > > the Maoists never did a false flag attack on the masses) There is
> > > > revolutionary analysis of politics which are far broader and deeper
> > > > than the false notions of the John Birch Society screwballs.
>
> > > > Setting the Record Straight
>
> > > > The Outrageous Equating of Communism with Nazism
> > > > Revolution #011, August 14, 2005, posted at revcom.us
>
> > > > The following article was written by a member of the Set the Record
> > > > Straight project.
>
> > > > One of the lies about communism that has been repeated over and over
> > > > again is the equating of the Soviet Union under Stalin with Hitler’s
> > > > Germany. The comparison rules socialism utterly out of order, paints
> > > > it as a nightmare, rules it off the agenda.and is a total lie!
>
> > > > Nazi Germany and the Soviet Union—Opposite Societies
> > > > Nazism or German fascism was a form of capitalist rule marked by
> > > > extreme repression and open terror against the masses and the
> > > > abandonment of bourgeois parliamentary and electoral mechanisms.
> > > > Ideologically, Nazism based itself on the defense of private-
> > > > capitalist property, the idea of German/Aryan racial superiority,
> > > > hatred of Jews (anti- Semitism), and anti-communism.
>
> > > > The German economy as it was reorganized under the Nazis remained
> > > > capitalist through and through: it was based on exploitation and
> > > > capitalist expansion, and the leading industrial-financial capitalist
> > > > groups retained their economic control and holdings.
>
> > > > When the Soviet Union was socialist, from 1917 to 1956, its economy
> > > > was based on socialist public ownership. Relations of exploitation had
> > > > been overthrown and no longer dominated (although they were not
> > > > completely eliminated). The economy was structured to meet the needs
> > > > of the people.
>
> > > > When the Nazis came to power in Germany, they went about
> > > > “restructuring” and “purifying” German society: imprisoning and
> > > > murdering communists, gypsies, homosexuals, “half-breeds,” drug
> > > > addicts, and other sections of society that the Nazis deemed to be
> > > > biological and moral “pollutants.” Ultimately, the Nazi program led to
> > > > the mass murder of millions of Jews and other people.
>

> > > > By contrast, in the Soviet Union, a pillar of the Bolshevik program
> > > > was putting an end to national oppression and establishing equality of
> > > > national languages and national cultures. With respect to the Jewish
> > > > people, the revolution in power immediately took strong measures to
> > > > combat and uproot anti-Semitism. Before the Bolshevik Revolution, Jews
> > > > were subject to constant pogroms—mob massacres by peasants who were
> > > > told absurd lies about the Jews. This was overthrown in the socialist
> > > > revolution, and the confinement of Jews to certain geographic areas
> > > > and discrimination in employment were ended.
>
> > > > The Nazi party rallied German society around an ultra-nationalist
> > > > program of preservation of German blood and soil and the vanquishing
> > > > of a fabricated Judeo-communist “conspiracy” to control the world. The
> > > > Nazi ideology of irrational hatred of certain peoples and racist
> > > > superiority was rooted in the economic system of capitalism—a system
> > > > of horrific human suffering even in “peaceful times.” The Nazi mind-
> > > > set aggressively disdained critical, rational, and scientific thought
> > > > (very much like the Christian fascists in the U.S. today). The model
> > > > “Good German” was unthinking, obedient, and blindly followed
> > > > authority.
>
> > > > Communism is founded on a scientific outlook that enables people to
> > > > understand the world and society in their motion and development.
> > > > Communism is founded on an internationalist world-view of achieving a
> > > > classless world free of oppression and exploitation. And a defining
> > > > feature of socialist society is that the masses of people are
> > > > mobilized to consciously transform economic, political, and social
> > > > relations and institutions in the direction of classless society and
> > > > to revolutionize traditional ideas and values that reflect and
> > > > reinforce class distinctions.
>
> > > > Collectivization of Agriculture
> > > > In the Soviet Union, the means of production, which had been seized by
> > > > the revolution, were placed in the service of society (instead of
> > > > serving exploitation). And, in the countryside, an unprecedented
> > > > revolution took place. The formerly isolated, suppressed, and
> > > > impoverished peasants were led by the Communist Party to rise up, cast
> > > > off millennia of enslavement and mind-numbing tradition, and overthrow
> > > > cruel and vicious exploitation and oppression. This process was
> > > > especially dramatic for women, who made huge strides towards equality
> > > > after being treated like animals.
>
> > > > Were harsh measures carried out by the proletarian state in the Soviet
> > > > Union against some sectors of the population? Yes, but the
> > > > dictatorship of the proletariat has nothing in common with the Nazi
> > > > program, the Nazi outlook, or Nazi methods. For example, many anti-
> > > > Communist ideologues and historians allege that the collectivization
> > > > carried out in the Soviet countryside in the late 1920s and early
> > > > 1930s was a savage campaign of expropriation and murder. In fact this
> > > > collectivization was a drive to develop a new system of agricultural

> > > > production based on collective ownership, and it ignited a genuine
> > > > upheaval against centuries- old authority, tradition, and oppression
> > > > in the countryside.
>
> > > > The kulaks, the rich peasants who employed hired laborers, were a
> > > > focus and target of this struggle. But this had nothing to do with
> > > > their ethnicity. It had to do with their class position, that is, with
> > > > the economic power and influence the kulaks exerted in the
> > > > countryside. It had to do with the fact that they were working to
> > > > undermine efforts to carry forward collectivization and strengthen the
> > > > new socialist economy—the kulaks had hoarded grain, profiteered in
> > > > rural markets, destroyed livestock, and organized sections of peasants
> > > > against the regime. In this period when many peasants were starving,
> > > > the kulaks were stripped of their private economic holdings, which
> > > > were turned into the social property of the collectives. Many of the
> > > > kulaks were punished, including being forced to leave certain areas.
> > > > And sometimes this was done unfairly. But the kulaks were not made the
> > > > object of genocide.
>
> > > > The Soviet approach to collectivization and the way the class struggle
> > > > was waged in the countryside were not without problems. And Mao had
> > > > big criticisms of the approach taken. There were serious excesses,
> > > > including the fact that force was used in situations where persuasion
> > > > should have been relied on. But this was within the context of a real
> > > > struggle between revolution in the interests of ...
>
> > > > read more »- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > This must be some sort of typo error . How did the SU cease to be a
> > > socialist country in 1956 ?
>
> > According to Marxist Leninist Maoists, the Soviet Union became
> > revisionist, --not genuinely Socialist. Revisionism is Socialism in
> > name but Capitalist in deeds and fact. Mao Tse Tung criticized the
> > Soviet Union in 1956 for going down the Capitalist road. For 30 years
> > the USSR had a form of goulash socialism, State Capitalism.
>
> Oh,well ... In that case Mao must be turning in his grave (or is it a
> crystal sarcophagus ?) due to China becoming the biggest capitalist
> enterprise that ever existed !

Mao Tse Tung did not acquire person wealth as the world leader of the
Chinese people. And when Gorbechev gave the treasuries of the people
of the USSR to 40 oligarchial Capitalist families, before that there
were were no millioniares in the USSR; that is even after 30 years of
phony State Capitalism. No systems have ever been so equal. Now CEOs
in the United Snakes makes 431 times of the average employee, if you
can get work. China is a sweatshop for the United States.

2839. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 20:41:09 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irh556$id0$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230766
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 1:38 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:

As long as it is a fair system, where criminals can "shelter" money in
foreign countries and pay a tax which is in scale to what all others pay
(exempting the poor, starving, without medical, etc.) I am for it ...
anything else is just the criminals setting up another system to buy
politicians, get special favors, and steal others money to pay the bills
while they get the pay check ...

Regards,
JS

2840. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 20:44:26 UTC
From: Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4ddc18a6$0$31290$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 230767
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/24/2011 10:45 AM, gfn wrote:
>
>> ...
>> Impossible to implement.
>>
>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>
>
> Yeah, a lot of things are "impossible", up until someone does it ...

Well, it is impossible for you to be smart, you retard.
You can't do otherwise, you retard.

2841. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 20:47:15 UTC
From: Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4ddc194f$0$31295$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 230768
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
>>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
>>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then your
>>>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
>>>> ...
>>>
>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
>>> pointed out to me.
>>
>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have no hope
>> of understanding any data presented to you. Which explains some of your
>> ideas.....
>
>
> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ... you are
> attempting a circular argument ...

You're just the square peg, you retard.

Everyone else understands... well, except other retards like you.

2842. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 20:56:27 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irh62k$6gi$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230769
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Dave LaRue" <TLavitz@bass.gov> wrote in message
news:4ddc194f$0$31295$607ed4bc@cv.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>
>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
>>>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
>>>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then your
>>>>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
>>>>> ...
>>>>
>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
>>>> pointed out to me.
>>>
>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have no
>>> hope
>>> of understanding any data presented to you. Which explains some of your
>>> ideas.....
>>
>>
>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ... you are
>> attempting a circular argument ...
>
> You're just the square peg, you retard.
>
> Everyone else understands... well, except other retards like you.

I see terminology such as "Fair" tax and "Flat" tax.
Those terms are pure and unadulterated bullshit.

In addition, any form of consumption tax is regressive and unfair to
everyone
Unfair to the poor because the tax is excessive to them to the extent that
they cannot purchase necessary items because of the tax.
Unfair to wealthy since a consumption tax inhibit purchasers and therefore
affects industry.

A progressive tax on income no matter what the source and an effective inheritance tax are the fairest kind of taxation.

We've had them for many years and they worked.

Over the years they have been corrupted by special interests.

They should be restored.

2843. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:13:50 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irh72f$id0$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230770
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 1:44 PM, Dave LaRue wrote:
> ...
> Well, it is impossible for you to be smart, you retard.
> You can't do otherwise, you retard.
>

You are like dog shit on the shoe ...

And, nothing but personal attacks, opinions, etc.

... sad, so very, very sad ...

Regards,
JS

2844. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:15:23 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <63f78a42-384b-40e5-a87e-f440e4361ecd@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230771
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 4:56 pm, "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote:
> "Dave LaRue" <TLav...@bass.gov> wrote in message
>
> news:4ddc194f$0$31295$607ed4bc@cv.net...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > John Smith wrote:
>
> >> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> >>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >>>news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>
> >>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >>>>> ...
> >>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
> >>>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
> >>>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then your
> >>>>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
> >>>>> ...
>
> >>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
> >>>> pointed out to me.
>
> >>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have no
> >>> hope
> >>> of understanding any data presented to you. Which explains some of your
> >>> ideas.....
>
> >> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ... you are
> >> attempting a circular argument ...
>
> > You're just the square peg, you retard.
>
> > Everyone else understands... well, except other retards like you.

>
> I see terminology such as "Fair" tax and "Flat" tax.
> Those terms are pure and unadulterated bullshit.
>
> In addition, any form of consumption tax is regressive and unfair to
> everyone
> Unfair to the poor because the tax is excessive to them to the extent that
> they cannot purchase necessary items because of the tax.
> Unfair to wealthy since a consumption tax inhibit purchasers and therefore
> affects industry.
>

Another one that has no clue what the FairTax is. .

> A progressive tax on income no matter what the source and an effective
> inheritance tax are the fairest kind of taxation.
>
> We've had them for many years and they worked.
>
> Over the years they have been corrupted by special interests.
>
> They should be restored.

2845. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:15:37 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irh75p$id0$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230772
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 1:47 PM, Dave LaRue wrote:
> ...
> You're just the square peg, you retard.
>
> Everyone else understands... well, except other retards like you.

You are worthless, your posts are worthless, you contribute nothing ...
it is a shame you are allowed to consume air which someone could use ...

Regards,
JS

2846. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:21:59 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irh7hq$gn6$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230773
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"Howard Brazee" <howard@brazee.net> wrote in message
news:2jbnt6h974t1i5umus4fv0hr337821efec@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 23 May 2011 23:34:02 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>It would seem to me that it would be up to those claiming that 1% isn't
>>playing "their fair share" to prove it.
>
> In most areas of life, paying a fair share is relative to what one
> gets. For instance, it costs more to buy private security for a
> mansion than for a hovel.

Yep, and since they seem to pay a higher rate for what they get than people
who get less, then it seems that to be perfectly fair they should be getting
a tax cut rather than a tax raise as some are suggesting.

2847. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:23:23 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irh7kd$h6e$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230774
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irghrd$f2r$1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/24/2011 7:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> ...
>
> I am saying the obvious, 1% of the people making a huge percentage of all
> the financial wealth and frequently pay no or low taxes contribute little
> ...

Sorry, but according to the numbers posted, they pay quite a bit of taxes.

However, you're free to post proof of your claims whenever you manage to
find any.

2848. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:24:49 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irh7n4$hr1$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230775
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me...
> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>
>>> >> ...
>>>
>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you keep
>>> attempting to push?
>>>
>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all of
>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half
>>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>
>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
>> the FairTax.
>
> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the
> top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way
> they will be contributing their fair share to run government ...

Really? Why should they pay a higher sales tax than you for the same item?

Seems you aren't asking that they pay "their fair share" but an excessive
share.

2849. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:25:20 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irh7o2$i5s$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230776
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont-email.me...
> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>
>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
>>>>> all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT
>>>>> paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>
>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
>>>> the FairTax.
>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax,
>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that
>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government ...
>>>
>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>
> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their fair share
> of the cost of government.

Show us that they don't.

2850. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:26:21 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irh7pvihn1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230777
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-email.me...
> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont-
>> email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
>>>>>>>> all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>>>>> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT
>>>>>>>> paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate is
>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
>>> tax,
>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
>>> that
>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government ...
>>>>>
>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their fair
>>> share
>>> of the cost of government.
>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go through a
>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?

>>
>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>
>>
>
> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch on ... I
> was wrong.

Oh, flat tax, then they should pay 7% tax just like EVERYONE ELSE....not the
42.7% you asserted they should be paying.

2851. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:28:06 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26134-4DDC22E6-828@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230782

There are a bunch of those 24/7 Church channels on DirecTV.

The Missy Sippy River (BIG BADDA BOOM BOOM FLOODED RIVER!) is not expected to fall below flood stage untill late June.Then, they have to start dredging that silt so the Big Boats won't get hung up on crap.
cuhulin, the River

2852. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:28:10 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230778
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-
>> email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont-
>>>> email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>> you
>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
>>>>>>>>>> all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>>>>>>> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT
>>>>>>>>>> paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate
>> is
>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
>>>> tax,
>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
>>>> that
>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government
>> ...
>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html

>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their fair
>>>> share
>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go through
>> a
>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>
>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>
>>>>
>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch on ...
>>> I was wrong.
>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of calculating
>> income tax by applying the same tax rate to all income not just wages and
>> salaries. I gave an example of it here in this thread. Did you take the
>> time to read it? It is really quite simply and quite short so you should
>> have no problem understanding it. ;)
>>
>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't flat. A
>> flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever was purchased and
>> no matter who purchased it.
>>
>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the real world.
>
> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion began, or,
> basically, everyone being equally taxed.

Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person B buys
product Z and pays 7% in taxes

What's more fair than that?

Same product, same taxes paid.

Fair.

>
> Of course, even with a flat tax certain safeguards would have to be in
> place from preventing criminals from crimes which would allow them to
> ignore the taxes. For example, a case where they made their dollars here
> and bought only foreign goods in mexico or canada ... it is a given, as
> soon as any fix, situation, solution, etc. is enacted, the criminals will
> come crawling out from under their rocks attempting to avoid it ... some
> of these safeguards to prevent this will have to be worked out as we catch
> the criminals ...
>
> Unfortunately, every discussion must begin on the premise that everyone is
> capable of realizing "common sense."
>
> At the bottom of the fair tax or fair tax is the real intent and sole
> purpose that all contribute equally and in direct relationship to how much

> they profit from business here.
>
> Regards,
> JS
>
>
>

2853. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:30:23 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irh81h$k75$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230779
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irguih$l7$8@dont-email.me...
> On 5/24/2011 11:38 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:irgshf$b0g$3@dont-email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 10:45 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>> ...
>>>> Impossible to implement.
>>>>
>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>> Yeah, a lot of things are "impossible", up until someone does it ...
>> Let's put it another way.......the cost of a system to do that would be a
>> magnitude more cost than any resultant tax received. You don't think
>> things through very far, do you. Typical Democrat, if we can find a way
>> to
>> stick it to some wealthy guy, we don't give a damn what it costs.
>>
>>
>
> Flat tax ... with exemptions for those who can't afford housing, food,
> medical, etc.

You say flat tax, and then turn right around and make it an unflat tax.

You need to make up your mind which it's going to be. Flat or not.

2854. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:31:10 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DDC239E-3038@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230788

The new fad nowadays are those Crazies out there going around and
trashing and destroying the fast food joints.
cuhulin

2855. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:32:33 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <in8ot6t5673bmp5ioe2snv9u80h8gcs7gn@4ax.com>
Source record: 230783
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On Tue, 24 May 2011 17:21:59 -0400, "Scout"
<me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:

>>>It would seem to me that it would be up to those claiming that 1% isn't
>>>playing "their fair share" to prove it.
>>
>> In most areas of life, paying a fair share is relative to what one
>> gets. For instance, it costs more to buy private security for a
>> mansion than for a hovel.
>
>Yep, and since they seem to pay a higher rate for what they get than people
>who get less, then it seems that to be perfectly fair they should be getting
>a tax cut rather than a tax raise as some are suggesting.

I don't see it. If they get more than poor people do, it is fair
that they should pay more than poor people do (not necessarily
relational to the tax, but relational to the benefits).

But taxes aren't about fairness, other than too much perceived
unfairness can have results that the state would consider negative.
Taxes are about getting money.

As with Willie Sutton and robbing banks - "That's where the money is".

Of course if the state *really* wants to optimize income, then it is
best off with a large tax-paying middle class.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

2856. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:32:41 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irh85s$l2c$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230780
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-email.me...
> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>> ...
>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>
>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put this
>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>> ...
>
> Yes, that is a fair system,

I see, so charging one person 7% tax and another 42.7% tax is what you call
"fair"?

"You keep using that word, I do not think it means, what you think it does."
Princess Bride.

2857. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:33:30 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irh87d$le1$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230781
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irh556$id0$4@dont-email.me...
> On 5/24/2011 1:38 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>
> As long as it is a fair system,

It isn't a fair system. 2 people buying the same product, one pays 7% tax
the other pays 42.7% tax is hardly fair.

2858. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:36:36 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230785
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
>>>>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
>>>>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then
>>>>>> your right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
>>>>>> ...
>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
>>>>> pointed out to me.
>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have no
>>>> hope of understanding any data presented to you. Which explains some
>>>> of your ideas.....
>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ... you are
>>> attempting a circular argument ...
>>>
>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can, from the
>>> site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>
>> 2008
>>
>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>
>> 2007
>>
>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22

>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>
>> Here is the site:
>>
>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>
>> The Virginian-Pilot
>> ï¿½ May 15, 2011
>> By Don Tabor
>>
>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the check, but he
>> does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies the cause of much of the
>> bitter partisanship and polarization that poisons our political process.
>> But to understand that problem, we must consider how taxes are applied to
>> the production of goods and services.
>>
>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>
>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be made into
>> flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes based on his profit
>> from the sale and property tax on his farm and equipment. Those taxes
>> were, from his point of view, just another cost of doing business in the
>> course of earning his living, no different from fuel for his tractor or
>> wages and taxes for employees.
>>
>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and taxes, the
>> price they charge the miller must cover their expenses and taxes, plus
>> their after-tax disposable income and savings. Otherwise, there would be
>> no point in growing wheat. All of these costs and taxes were passed on to
>> the miller, embedded in the price of wheat.
>>
>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat to the
>> baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security taxes he withheld
>> from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes, were all passed on to the
>> baker.
>>
>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with it his
>> own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those previous taxes from
>> the farmer, miller and their employees, hidden in the price of that loaf
>> of bread. The buyer and his family ate the bread, and, having done so,
>> could not sell it to anyone else and pass the taxes along, as the baker
>> and everyone else before had done.
>>
>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with the
>> farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they withheld from all
>> of their employees. From bread to automobiles to brain surgery, the price
>> of everything we buy carries in it the hidden taxes of everyone who
>> contributed to the production of that product or service to the tune of,

>> on average, 23 cents of every dollar we spend for federal taxes alone.
>>
>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a scheme to
>> draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and unknowing tax collectors
>> to gather a hidden sales tax and to keep voters from realizing who really
>> bears the burden of those high taxes.
>>
>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and business
>> taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually paid by the
>> consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services. It doesn't matter
>> what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket, or what deductions you
>> receive. These devices change only the degree to which you are a tax
>> collector, but the burden taxes place on your life depends solely on what
>> you spend.
>>
>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the illusion of
>> income-based taxing does a great deal of harm. First, it distorts our
>> economic decisions. Goods and services that are provided by highly taxed
>> individuals and companies, like health care, are artificially more
>> expensive than necessary, while raw materials and natural resources are
>> underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.
>>
>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political process,
>> encouraging government overspending by politicians who exploit the
>> mistaken belief of many voters that government spending can be paid for
>> solely by taxing corporations or the "rich." All of the exploitation of
>> envy and demagoguery - which brings so much ill will to our politics and
>> drives wedges between Americans who would be better served by mutual
>> respect and compassion - is ultimately the meaningless exploitation of a
>> lie.
>>
>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates, appears
>> progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive. Currently, the
>> lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay no income tax directly,
>> but in reality, with their FICA taxes added to the hidden embedded tax,
>> their true federal tax burden is almost 30 percent of their meager
>> income.
>>
>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that government
>> spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through an invisible sales
>> tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>
>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather, Libertarian
>> activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He is a dentist in
>> Norfolk and Hampton.
>
> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!

Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as Mr. B.

Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.

No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.

If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B gets the exact same exemptions.

Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.

2859. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:36:37 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEF949F6851BExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.250>
Source record: 230784
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout"
> "John Smith"
>> On 5/24/2011 11:38 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>> John Smith
>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:45 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Impossible to implement.
>>>>>
>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>> JS
>>>> Yeah, a lot of things are "impossible", up until someone does it ...
>>> Let's put it another way.......the cost of a system to do that would be a
>>> magnitude more cost than any resultant tax received. You don't think
>>> things through very far, do you. Typical Democrat, if we can find a way
>>> to
>>> stick it to some wealthy guy, we don't give a damn what it costs.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Flat tax ... with exemptions for those who can't afford housing, food,
>> medical, etc.
>
> You say flat tax, and then turn right around and make it an unflat tax.
>
> You need to make up your mind which it's going to be. Flat or not.

Space isn't flat, why should tax be?

The Horrifying obviousness of the truth is that nothing short of a
National Sales tax, Energy Consumption Tax, or farcically named VAT
will make any difference whatsoever at this point
-- it's too late to change the world with dreams and talk.

http://www.libertylive.org/Uploads/The%20Debt%20Star.jpg
This is NOT the HOPE you have been searching for.

2860. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:37:46 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irh8fc$n6e$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230786
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:irh62k$6gi$1@dont-email.me...
>
> "Dave LaRue" <TLavitz@bass.gov> wrote in message
> news:4ddc194f$0$31295$607ed4bc@cv.net...
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
>>>>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
>>>>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then your
>>>>>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>
>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
>>>>> pointed out to me.
>>>>
>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have no
>>>> hope
>>>> of understanding any data presented to you. Which explains some of
>>>> your
>>>> ideas.....
>>>
>>>
>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ... you are
>>> attempting a circular argument ...
>>
>> You're just the square peg, you retard.
>>
>> Everyone else understands... well, except other retards like you.
>
> I see terminology such as "Fair" tax and "Flat" tax.
> Those terms are pure and unadulterated bullshit.
>
> In addition, any form of consumption tax is regressive and unfair to
> everyone
```

> Unfair to the poor because the tax is excessive to them to the extent that
> they cannot purchase necessary items because of the tax.
> Unfair to wealthy since a consumption tax inhibit purchasers and therefore
> affects industry.
>
> A progressive tax on income no matter what the source and an effective
> inheritance tax are the fairest kind of taxation.
>
IYO, but others disagree that making someone else pay a higher rate of taxes
is "fair".

2861. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:38:20 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irh8gfndu1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230787
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irh75p$id0$6@dont-email.me...
> On 5/24/2011 1:47 PM, Dave LaRue wrote:
>> ...
>> You're just the square peg, you retard.
>>
>> Everyone else understands... well, except other retards like you.
>
> You are worthless, your posts are worthless, you contribute nothing ... it
> is a shame you are allowed to consume air which someone could use ...

No need to talk to yourself in public, we all understand your flaws.

2862. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:45:24 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irh8tmqhg1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230789
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irgvjs$l7$13@dont-email.me...
> On 5/24/2011 11:57 AM, gfn wrote:
>> On May 24, 2:51 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/24/2011 11:46 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> On May 24, 2:16 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
>>>>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
>>>>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then your
>>>>>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
>>>>>> ...
>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
>>>>> pointed out to me.
>>>> I did that yesterday in my very first post to you.
>>>>> Thanks in advance,
>>>>> JS
>>> I don't see any data posted, but then, I didn't expect to see any ... we
>>> both knew that.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>> You don't see data posted? What part aren't you grasping? From line
>> 1, page 1:
>>
>> Percentiles Ranked by AGI: Top 1%
>> Percentage of Federal Personal Income Tax Paid: 38.02
>>
>> John, this isn't rocket science.
>
> Yeah, I can tell, you missed the whole point of the discussion, went full
> circle and are back on the limited range of tax -- income tax.
>

> This ignores all other taxes including fines, fees, licenses, property
> tax, sales taxes, excise taxes, fuel taxes, cell phone taxes,
> electricity/water/sewer taxes, etc., etc., etc.

<yawn>

Care to show they don't pay a "fair share" of those?

Didn't think so, since you don't provide any support.

2863. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:51:14 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irh98j$id0$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230790

On 5/24/2011 2:31 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> The new fad nowadays are those Crazies out there going around and
> trashing and destroying the fast food joints.
> cuhulin
>
WHY?

2864. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:53:16 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irh9d4tqu1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230791
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:63f78a42-384b-40e5-a87e-f440e4361ecd@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
> On May 24, 4:56 pm, "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote:
>> "Dave LaRue" <TLav...@bass.gov> wrote in message
>>
>> news:4ddc194f$0$31295$607ed4bc@cv.net...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > John Smith wrote:
>>
>> >> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>
>> >>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >>>news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>> >>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >>>>> ...
>> >>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
>> >>>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
>> >>>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then
>> >>>>> your
>> >>>>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
>> >>>>> ...
>>
>> >>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
>> >>>> pointed out to me.
>>
>> >>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have no
>> >>> hope
>> >>> of understanding any data presented to you. Which explains some of
>> >>> your
>> >>> ideas.....
>>
>> >> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ... you are
>> >> attempting a circular argument ...

>>
>> > You're just the square peg, you retard.
>>
>> > Everyone else understands... well, except other retards like you.
>>
>> I see terminology such as "Fair" tax and "Flat" tax.
>> Those terms are pure and unadulterated bullshit.
>>
>> In addition, any form of consumption tax is regressive and unfair to
>> everyone
>> Unfair to the poor because the tax is excessive to them to the extent
>> that
>> they cannot purchase necessary items because of the tax.
>> Unfair to wealthy since a consumption tax inhibit purchasers and
>> therefore
>> affects industry.
>>
>
> Another one that has no clue what the FairTax is. .
>
>> A progressive tax on income no matter what the source and an effective
>> inheritance tax are the fairest kind of taxation.
>>
>> We've had them for many years and they worked.
>>
>> Over the years they have been corrupted by special interests.
>>
>> They should be restored.
>

"Fair" is only a bullshit LABEL.

2865. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 21:55:08 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irh9fvujh1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230792
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:irgpv8$dcm$1@dont-email.me...
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EEF6998522Fhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgik5$f2r$3@dont-
>> email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>
>>> >> ...
>>>
>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you keep
>>> attempting to push?
>>>
>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all
>> of
>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying half
>>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> Oh, you mean one like this?
>>
>> A tax on *ALL* income no matter where derived. One deduction. Federal
>> poverty level for a family of four and everybody gets that deduction.
>> Have a tax rate of, say 15% and the current poverty level at $24K and we
>> get the following:
>>
>> A person who earns up to $24K, pays nada...
>> A person who earns $50K, pays $3,900 (50-24x15%)
>> A person who earns $100K, pays $11,400 (100-24x15%)
>> A person who earns $500K, pays $71,400 (500-24x15%)
>> A person who earns a million pays $146,400 (1000-24x15%)
>>
>> That do it for you?
>>
>>
> .
> .
> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $50,000 guy he becomes homeless
> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $1,000,000 guy...he never notices it.
>
> That's what's UNFAIR.
```

To add a $1,000 to the $50,000 guy's taxes he's going to have to be making $6,666 more thus he will now be a $56,666 guy and will after taxes still have an additional $5,666 thus not only doesn't become homeless, but can remodel a room.

Meanwhile the $1,000,000 guy will also be making $6,666 more and thus will now be a $1,006,666 guy and will after taxes still have an additional $5,666.....

Exactly the same as the other guy.

So what's unfair about it?

2866. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 22:00:52 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irh9qltnp1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230793
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 2:32 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Tue, 24 May 2011 17:21:59 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>>> It would seem to me that it would be up to those claiming that 1% isn't
>>>> playing "their fair share" to prove it.
>>> In most areas of life, paying a fair share is relative to what one
>>> gets. For instance, it costs more to buy private security for a
>>> mansion than for a hovel.
>> Yep, and since they seem to pay a higher rate for what they get than people
>> who get less, then it seems that to be perfectly fair they should be getting
>> a tax cut rather than a tax raise as some are suggesting.
> I don't see it. If they get more than poor people do, it is fair
> that they should pay more than poor people do (not necessarily
> relational to the tax, but relational to the benefits).
>
> But taxes aren't about fairness, other than too much perceived
> unfairness can have results that the state would consider negative.
> Taxes are about getting money.
>
> As with Willie Sutton and robbing banks - "That's where the money is".
>
> Of course if the state *really* wants to optimize income, then it is
> best off with a large tax-paying middle class.

It is kinda like obese people on airplanes, they think they should buy
one seat and sit in half of yours!

Regards,
JS

2867. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 22:01:11 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irh9ra$199$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230794
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:irgvu8ott1@dont-email.me...
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EEF759361DABhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irgpv8dcm1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9EEF6998522Fhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgik5$f2r$3@dont-
>>>> email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
>> keep
>>>>> attempting to push?
>>>>>
>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of all
>>>> of
>>>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying
>> half
>>>>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their
>> fair
>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>
>>>> Oh, you mean one like this?
>>>>
>>>> A tax on *ALL* income no matter where derived. One deduction.
>> Federal
>>>> poverty level for a family of four and everybody gets that deduction.
>>>> Have a tax rate of, say 15% and the current poverty level at $24K and
>> we
>>>> get the following:
>>>>
>>>> A person who earns up to $24K, pays nada...
>>>> A person who earns $50K, pays $3,900 (50-24x15%)
>>>> A person who earns $100K, pays $11,400 (100-24x15%)
>>>> A person who earns $500K, pays $71,400 (500-24x15%)
>>>> A person who earns a million pays $146,400 (1000-24x15%)

>>>>
>>>> That do it for you?
>>>>
>>>>
>>> .
>>> .
>>> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $50,000 guy he becomes homeless
>>> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $1,000,000 guy...he never notices it.
>>>
>>> That's what's UNFAIR.
>>
>> Nope, what's unfair is YOU expecting OTHERS to pay for what YOU want.
>>
>>> The EFFECT on the wealthy taxpayer is nil.
>>> The EFFECT on the low income tax payer is catastrophic.
>>
>> Interestingly, no one is asking that $50K guy for that extra grand, but
>> here you are whining that the million dollar guy won't as affected.
>>
>> The problem Democrats will have is that sometime they will run out of
>> other people's money.
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.
>
> That's what is unfair.
> A small increase of tax on a low earner is a huge burden
> The same increase on a wealthy person is INSIGNIFICANT.

Except the increase in income on the low earner is also huge, and the same increase in income on the wealthy person is insignificant.

To put that another way, for the low earner to have to pay an additional $1,000 in taxes his income would increase by over 13%. For the wealthy individual to pay an additional $1,000 in taxes his income would increase by only 0.6%

(per your numbers for gross income)

Sure, the tax is more significant for the low wage earner, but then so is the increase in his income.

You have to look at BOTH side of the equation, not just one side.

You are in effect, trying to compare Apples to Oranges.

2868. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 22:02:27 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irh9tl$1md$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230795
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irgiev$f2r$2@dont-email.me...
> On 5/24/2011 7:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> So, let me cut to the chase here, since 1% of the people make 42.7 percent
> of the financial wealth, they should pay for 42.7 percent of the cost of
> the roads, the public utilities, the parks, the state budget, the federal
> budget, etc.
>
> And, since 50.3 percent of the people get half of the financial wealth,
> they should be paying over half the costs of all these government costs.
> And, they should be damn anxious to pay them, after all, that is the
> system which is allowing their financial wealth.

Ok, show us that they're not.

Oh, that's right, you don't do facts, cites, or anything like that.

2869. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 22:07:50 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irha7p$3kq$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230796
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE" <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov> wrote in message
news:Xns9EEF64FC1538DExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247...
> "Scout"
>> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE"
>>> "Scout"
>>> ...
>>>> It would seem to me that it would be up to those claiming that 1%
>>>> isn't playing "their fair share" to prove it.
>>> ...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Precisely who do you think built this Beast? and who is piloting it?
>>>
>>> http://www.libertylive.org/Uploads/The%20Debt%20Star.jpg
>>
>> Irrelevent and has nothing to do with the issue or the point made.
>>
>> However, who built is was government, and who is piloting it is a
>> combination of both Democrats and Republicans.
>>
>> You don't like it, then maybe you need to do something about all the
>> spending taking place on BOTH sides.
>
>
> 'Splain me pleeze.
> Precisely, how do you prove a negative? A: You can't.

But I can show who was in control of the House, Senate and Presidency when
the debt was generated.

Republicans added massive debt when they were in control of the House,
Senate and/or Presidency.
Democrats added massive debt when they were in control of the House, Senate
and/or Presidency.

The spreadsheet runs all the way back to the G. Washington and the debt the
nation had when he entered office.

Would you care for me to email you the spreadsheet?

2870. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 22:12:43 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irhagrtnp2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230797
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 1:38 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
> On May 24, 1:26 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_br...@hushmail.com wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put this
>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>> ...
>> Yes, that is a fair system,
> It is manifestly unfair.
>
>> you simply want to take it literally
> Yes, I took it literally. That is, I assumed that you were serious.
>
> Which part of "Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and
> pay 7% sales tax, the top one percent should buy an item and pay a
> 42.7% sales tax, that way they will be contributing their fair share
> to run government ..." didn't you really mean?
>
>> and say
>> it doesn't work.
> What you presented is not workable in a free country.
>
>> I am not stuck on any particular system to implement
>> it with.
> I would suggest a system that is workable and fair then, and not what
> you earlier suggested.
>
>> Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>
> That sounds good.
>
>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of 42.7%
>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>
>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished. Those
>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...

>>
> It wasn't over simplified, it was ridiculous.
>
>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just that
>> the water comes from the well ...
>>
> Well, I do give a damn. The system must be workable, fair, and
> implementable in a free country.
>
>> Regards,
>> JS

If those making the money pay the bills, it is fair, anything less is
not ... if they need help, give the unemployed a job and let 'em help out!

Regards,
JS

2871. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 22:15:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DDC2DF9-9541@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230802

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Underground+tornado+shelters

Aretha Franklin told whathisname in the Blues Brothers movie,,,, You
better THINK! THINK! THINK!
Don't let them tornados gitcha!

You goin to ask me WHY?
cuhulin

2872. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 22:16:43 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irhaoe$75o$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230798
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE" <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov> wrote in message
news:Xns9EEF949F6851BExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.250...
> "Scout"
>> "John Smith"
>>> On 5/24/2011 11:38 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:45 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Impossible to implement.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>> JS
>>>>> Yeah, a lot of things are "impossible", up until someone does it ...
>>>> Let's put it another way.......the cost of a system to do that would be
>>>> a
>>>> magnitude more cost than any resultant tax received. You don't think
>>>> things through very far, do you. Typical Democrat, if we can find a
>>>> way
>>>> to
>>>> stick it to some wealthy guy, we don't give a damn what it costs.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Flat tax ... with exemptions for those who can't afford housing, food,
>>> medical, etc.
>>
>> You say flat tax, and then turn right around and make it an unflat tax.
>>
>> You need to make up your mind which it's going to be. Flat or not.
>
>
> Space isn't flat, why should tax be?

Prove space isn't flat.

You do understand what a "theory" is, right?

2873. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 22:18:37 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irhas0$7ns$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230799
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"Howard Brazee" <howard@brazee.net> wrote in message
news:in8ot6t5673bmp5ioe2snv9u80h8gcs7gn@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 24 May 2011 17:21:59 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>>>It would seem to me that it would be up to those claiming that 1% isn't
>>>>playing "their fair share" to prove it.
>>>
>>> In most areas of life, paying a fair share is relative to what one
>>> gets. For instance, it costs more to buy private security for a
>>> mansion than for a hovel.
>>
>>Yep, and since they seem to pay a higher rate for what they get than
>>people
>>who get less, then it seems that to be perfectly fair they should be
>>getting
>>a tax cut rather than a tax raise as some are suggesting.
>
> I don't see it. If they get more than poor people do, it is fair
> that they should pay more than poor people do (not necessarily
> relational to the tax, but relational to the benefits).

Which they do according to the numbers posted.

> But taxes aren't about fairness, other than too much perceived
> unfairness can have results that the state would consider negative.
> Taxes are about getting money.

I see, so we don't want to be fair in our taxation, just get it any way we
can?

> As with Willie Sutton and robbing banks - "That's where the money is".

So rob from the rich?

At least you're not claiming to be fair, and you're admitting it's robbery.

2874. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 22:18:55 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6800308c-01e2-4c0a-b5c7-918dd36a92a7@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230800
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 5:53 pm, "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:63f78a42-384b-40e5-a87e-f440e4361ecd@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 4:56 pm, "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >> "Dave LaRue" <TLav...@bass.gov> wrote in message
>
> >>news:4ddc194f$0$31295$607ed4bc@cv.net...
>
> >> > John Smith wrote:
>
> >> >> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> >> >>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >>>news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>
> >> >>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> >>>> ...
> >> >>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
> >> >>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
> >> >>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then
> >> >>>> your
> >> >>>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
> >> >>>> ...
>
> >> >>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
> >> >>>> pointed out to me.
>
> >> >>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have no
> >> >>> hope
> >> >>> of understanding any data presented to you. Which explains some of
> >> >>> your
> >> >>> ideas.....

>
> >> >> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ... you are
> >> >> attempting a circular argument ...
>
> >> > You're just the square peg, you retard.
>
> >> > Everyone else understands... well, except other retards like you.
>
> >> I see terminology such as "Fair" tax and "Flat" tax.
> >> Those terms are pure and unadulterated bullshit.
>
> >> In addition, any form of consumption tax is regressive and unfair to
> >> everyone
> >> Unfair to the poor because the tax is excessive to them to the extent
> >> that
> >> they cannot purchase necessary items because of the tax.
> >> Unfair to wealthy since a consumption tax inhibit purchasers and
> >> therefore
> >> affects industry.
>
> > Another one that has no clue what the FairTax is. .
>
> >> A progressive tax on income no matter what the source and an effective
> >> inheritance tax are the fairest kind of taxation.
>
> >> We've had them for many years and they worked.
>
> >> Over the years they have been corrupted by special interests.
>
> >> They should be restored.
>
> "Fair" is only a bullshit LABEL.

Describe what's unfair about it. This presumes you actually
understand it.

2875. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 22:19:41 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irhatv$854$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230801
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irhagrtnp2@dont-email.me...
> On 5/24/2011 1:38 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>> On May 24, 1:26 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_br...@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put this
>>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>> ...
>>> Yes, that is a fair system,
>> It is manifestly unfair.
>>
>>> you simply want to take it literally
>> Yes, I took it literally. That is, I assumed that you were serious.
>>
>> Which part of "Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and
>> pay 7% sales tax, the top one percent should buy an item and pay a
>> 42.7% sales tax, that way they will be contributing their fair share
>> to run government ..." didn't you really mean?
>>
>>> and say
>>> it doesn't work.
>> What you presented is not workable in a free country.
>>
>>> I am not stuck on any particular system to implement
>>> it with.
>> I would suggest a system that is workable and fair then, and not what
>> you earlier suggested.
>>
>>> Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>>
>> That sounds good.
>>
>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of 42.7%
>>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
>>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>

>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished. Those
>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>>>
>> It wasn't over simplified, it was ridiculous.
>>
>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just that
>>> the water comes from the well ...
>>>
>> Well, I do give a damn. The system must be workable, fair, and
>> implementable in a free country.
>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>
> If those making the money pay the bills, it is fair, anything less is not
> ... if they need help, give the unemployed a job and let 'em help out!

Then the existing system is fair by your own admission.

2876. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 22:23:02 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <19ee0d37-20ba-43d1-abba-448f9ca1e824@p23g2000vbl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230803
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

Here is some interesting tidbits of history I gathered while my head
was in the clouds!

http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/knights_templar_holy_land.htm

http://www.angelfire.com/journal2/ck15endtimecolumn/et9.html

http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/the_merovingian_bloodline.htm

Looks like the freemasons(Knights Templar)were in control of Russia
for a looong time.

2877. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 22:28:07 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irhbef$be5$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230804
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:6800308c-01e2-4c0a-b5c7-918dd36a92a7@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
> On May 24, 5:53 pm, "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:63f78a42-384b-40e5-a87e-f440e4361ecd@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 24, 4:56 pm, "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote:
>> >> "Dave LaRue" <TLav...@bass.gov> wrote in message
>> >>
>> >> >>news:4ddc194f$0$31295$607ed4bc@cv.net...
>> >>
>> >> >> > John Smith wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> >>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >>>news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>> >>
>> >> >> >>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> >>>> ...
>> >> >> >>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who
>> >> >> >>>> pays
>> >> >> >>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to
>> >> >> >>>> talk
>> >> >> >>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then
>> >> >> >>>> your
>> >> >> >>>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
>> >> >> >>>> ...
>> >>
>> >> >> >>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need
>> >> >> >>> them
>> >> >> >>> pointed out to me.
>> >>

>> >> >>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have
>> >> >>> no
>> >> >>> hope
>> >> >>> of understanding any data presented to you. Which explains some
>> >> >>> of
>> >> >>> your
>> >> >>> ideas.....
>>
>> >> >> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ... you
>> >> >> are
>> >> >> attempting a circular argument ...
>>
>> >> > You're just the square peg, you retard.
>>
>> >> > Everyone else understands... well, except other retards like you.
>>
>> >> I see terminology such as "Fair" tax and "Flat" tax.
>> >> Those terms are pure and unadulterated bullshit.
>>
>> >> In addition, any form of consumption tax is regressive and unfair to
>> >> everyone
>> >> Unfair to the poor because the tax is excessive to them to the extent
>> >> that
>> >> they cannot purchase necessary items because of the tax.
>> >> Unfair to wealthy since a consumption tax inhibit purchasers and
>> >> therefore
>> >> affects industry.
>>
>> > Another one that has no clue what the FairTax is. .
>>
>> >> A progressive tax on income no matter what the source and an effective
>> >> inheritance tax are the fairest kind of taxation.
>>
>> >> We've had them for many years and they worked.
>>
>> >> Over the years they have been corrupted by special interests.
>>
>> >> They should be restored.
>>
>> "Fair" is only a bullshit LABEL.
>
> Describe what's unfair about it. This presumes you actually
> understand it.

"The Fair Tax" (http://www.fairtax.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_main)
is an illusion.

It's unrealistic bullshit with a catchy label.

It's a consumption tax that falls most heavily on lower income people and
HARMS the retail sector of the economy, the 70% part of our economy.

Our progressive income tax, less the holes that have been punched in it by special interests the years, is fairest tax of all.

2878. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 22:52:57 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DDC36C9-9571@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230805

tee vee infomercials,,, How to Build Financial Wealth.devilfinder.com
it.
cuhulin

2879. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 23:41:16 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <60941a0d-ac53-4cc1-99c4-125bf31d9489@h9g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230806
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 6:28 pm, "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:6800308c-01e2-4c0a-b5c7-918dd36a92a7@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 5:53 pm, "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >
> >>news:63f78a42-384b-40e5-a87e-f440e4361ecd@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >> > On May 24, 4:56 pm, "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >> >> "Dave LaRue" <TLav...@bass.gov> wrote in message
> >
> >> >>news:4ddc194f$0$31295$607ed4bc@cv.net...
> >
> >> >> > John Smith wrote:
> >
> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >
> >> >> >>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >>>news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
> >
> >> >> >>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
> >
> >> >> >>>>> ...
> >> >> >>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who
> >> >> >>>>> pays
> >> >> >>>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to
> >> >> >>>>> talk
> >> >> >>>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then
> >> >> >>>>> your
> >> >> >>>>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
> >> >> >>>>> ...
> >
> >> >> >>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need

> >> >> >>>> them
> >> >> >>>> pointed out to me.
>
> >> >> >>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have
> >> >> >>> no
> >> >> >>> hope
> >> >> >>> of understanding any data presented to you. Which explains some
> >> >> >>> of
> >> >> >>> your
> >> >> >>> ideas.....
>
> >> >> >> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ... you
> >> >> >> are
> >> >> >> attempting a circular argument ...
>
> >> >> > You're just the square peg, you retard.
>
> >> >> > Everyone else understands... well, except other retards like you.
>
> >> >> I see terminology such as "Fair" tax and "Flat" tax.
> >> >> Those terms are pure and unadulterated bullshit.
>
> >> >> In addition, any form of consumption tax is regressive and unfair to
> >> >> everyone
> >> >> Unfair to the poor because the tax is excessive to them to the extent
> >> >> that
> >> >> they cannot purchase necessary items because of the tax.
> >> >> Unfair to wealthy since a consumption tax inhibit purchasers and
> >> >> therefore
> >> >> affects industry.
>
> >> > Another one that has no clue what the FairTax is. .
>
> >> >> A progressive tax on income no matter what the source and an effective
> >> >> inheritance tax are the fairest kind of taxation.
>
> >> >> We've had them for many years and they worked.
>
> >> >> Over the years they have been corrupted by special interests.
>
> >> >> They should be restored.
>
> >> "Fair" is only a bullshit LABEL.
>
> > Describe what's unfair about it. This presumes you actually
> > understand it.
>
> "The Fair Tax" (http://www.fairtax.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_main)
> is an illusion.
>

> It's unrealistic bullshit with a catchy label.
>
> It's a consumption tax that falls most heavily on lower income people and
> HARMS the retail sector of the economy, the 70% part of our economy.
>
> Our progressive income tax, less the holes that have been punched in it by
> special interests the years, is fairest tax of all.

Thanks for demonstrating that you don't understand the FairTax. Just
wanted to be sure.

2880. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-24 23:56:17 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <45b1fd50-f9f0-40ca-9905-45c6b2b2bc46@a10g2000vbz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230807

On May 24, 1:12 pm, "D. Peter Maus" <dpeterm...@att.net> wrote:
> On 5/24/11 10:55 , bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob<Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
> >> rapture?
>
> >> I hope it's not too soon - I just ordered a tiny-tenna.
>
> > Camping dood it already - October 21, 2011. He forgot to multiply the
> > month by 2. Seriously. What a predatory prick.
>
> False prophet by definition.

It depends . . . Especially in times of uncertainty , such as now ,
people need good news and encouragement and instead of it we hear more
and more apocalyptic voices . 'Half-man , half-pig ' .

2881. Re: By definition all prophets are false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 00:00:22 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DDC54A6-3075@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230818

Get Ready.HAARP Has Been Turned Up Again.
http://www.standeyo.com
cuhulin

2882. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 00:01:31 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irhgss$l96$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230808

On 5/24/2011 4:56 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 24, 1:12 pm, "D. Peter Maus"<dpeterm...@att.net> wrote:
>> On 5/24/11 10:55 , bpnjensen wrote:
>>
>>> On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob<Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
>>>> rapture?
>>>> I hope it's not too soon - I just ordered a tiny-tenna.
>>> Camping dood it already - October 21, 2011. He forgot to multiply the
>>> month by 2. Seriously. What a predatory prick.
>> False prophet by definition.
> It depends . . . Especially in times of uncertainty , such as now ,
> people need good news and encouragement and instead of it we hear more
> and more apocalyptic voices . 'Half-man , half-pig ' .

Much worse than that ...

A few of us realize that for things to get better, we first have to do
the proper things to make them better ... we also see that nothing is
being done along those lines ...

Regards,
JS

2883. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 00:18:03 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irhhruegg1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230809
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:irh9d4tqu1@dont-email.me...
>
> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:63f78a42-384b-40e5-a87e-f440e4361ecd@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
>> On May 24, 4:56 pm, "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>> "Dave LaRue" <TLav...@bass.gov> wrote in message
>>>
>>> news:4ddc194f$0$31295$607ed4bc@cv.net...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>> >> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>
>>> >>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> >>>news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>> >>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>
>>> >>>> ...
>>> >>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who
>>> >>>> pays
>>> >>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to
>>> >>>> talk
>>> >>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then
>>> >>>> your
>>> >>>> right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
>>> >>>> ...
>>>
>>> >>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need
>>> >>>> them
>>> >>>> pointed out to me.
>>>
>>> >>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have no
>>> >>> hope

>>> >>> of understanding any data presented to you. Which explains some of
>>> >>> your
>>> >>> ideas.....
>>>
>>> >> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ... you
>>> >> are
>>> >> attempting a circular argument ...
>>>
>>> > You're just the square peg, you retard.
>>>
>>> > Everyone else understands... well, except other retards like you.
>>>
>>> I see terminology such as "Fair" tax and "Flat" tax.
>>> Those terms are pure and unadulterated bullshit.
>>>
>>> In addition, any form of consumption tax is regressive and unfair to
>>> everyone
>>> Unfair to the poor because the tax is excessive to them to the extent
>>> that
>>> they cannot purchase necessary items because of the tax.
>>> Unfair to wealthy since a consumption tax inhibit purchasers and
>>> therefore
>>> affects industry.
>>>
>>
>> Another one that has no clue what the FairTax is. .
>>
>>> A progressive tax on income no matter what the source and an effective
>>> inheritance tax are the fairest kind of taxation.
>>>
>>> We've had them for many years and they worked.
>>>
>>> Over the years they have been corrupted by special interests.
>>>
>>> They should be restored.
>>
>
> "Fair" is only a bullshit LABEL.

So much for fair taxes, right?

>

2884. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 00:19:53 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <acc0fba1-5c3b-40c8-9a74-b135caa4ed16@y31g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230810
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 24, 6:23 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Here is some interesting tidbits of history I gathered while my head
> was in the clouds!
>
> http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/knights_templar_holy_l...
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/journal2/ck15endtimecolumn/et9.html
>
> http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/the_merovingian_bloodl...
>
> Looks like the freemasons(Knights Templar)were in control of Russia
> for a looong time.

What ? ? ? Knights Templar were destryed by the Church several centuries before Russia became anything closely resembling a single-unified powerful state . Freemasons are not exactly a religious monastic order , but rather a secret society out of many that existed in Western Europe in the 18th and especially 19th century . Freemasons were outlawed in many countries sporadically and Imperial Russia certainly was one of them . The Bolsheviks annihilated anyone they considered as a threat ,especially the Freemasons . And that's the way it was back in those times .

2885. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 00:26:58 UTC
From: First Post <ProgressivesLie@Invalid.org>
Message-ID: <abgot65gvvk6t0kdg77tlo2i6oevg6l0bk@4ax.com>
Source record: 230811
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On Tue, 24 May 2011 12:40:15 -0700 (PDT), gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
wrote:

>On May 24, 3:00ï¿½pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 24, 2:34ï¿½pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 24, 1:23ï¿½pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24ï¿½am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> >> >> ï¿½>> ...
>>
>> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>> >> >> >> you
>> >> >> keep
>> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>
>> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>> >> >> >> of all
>> >> >> of
>> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are
>> >> >> >> NOT paying their
>> >> >> fair

>> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> >> >> >> Regards,
>> >> >> >> JS
>>
>> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>
>> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. ï¿½The one I
>> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>
>> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. ï¿½It's a flat 23%.
>>
>> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>
>> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>
>> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>
>
>Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>from the income tax. The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax as
>the method of funding government. If you fully understand the FairTax
>you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>
>> ï¿½ The FairTax is a replacement
>>
>> > for the income tax.
>>
>> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>
>
>Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
>better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
>leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in place
>as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls of our
>current tax system.
>
>> ï¿½It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>
>> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>
>> You may think so. ï¿½I don't. ï¿½I think it needs too many adjustments so
>> that it does not become regressive.
>>
>
>I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>

>Current tax system:
>
>Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>IRS takes 25%: $250.
>Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
>Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>Taxpayer has $620 left.
>
>Fairtax system:
>
>Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>IRS takes 0%: $0
>Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>Taxpayer has $870 left.
>
>I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>
>Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>IRS takes 0%: $0
>Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
>Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
>Taxpayer has $900 left.
>
>So, again, how is that regressive.
>
>Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
>questions you might have:
>
>1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
>particular attention to the FAQ.
>2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>
>It will all become crystal clear.

Got news for you Einstein. You have to bring in over $5800 for any of your income to be taxed. The deductibles are as follows:

$5,800 for unmarried taxpayers or married taxpayers filing separately,
$11,600 for married taxpayers filing jointly, and
$8,500 for taxpayers filing as head of household.
The additional standard deduction allowed for blind taxpayers and senior citizens will be $1,150 if married filing jointly and $1,450 if single.

And just how do you expect those in retail to determine how much to tax any individual? Are you suggesting that everyone carry some special "rich guy" card around to show so they can get raped at the

cashier? Or maybe create a grand database and require everyone in retail to subscribe to it thus allowing them to know more about you than most people care to share?
How about you just make everyone with over a $250,000 income sew a big gold dollar sign on their clothing so they'll be easier to spot and round up to put on the trains to the camps?

Furthermore, your insane notion that I should pay over 42 cents on the dollar for any and all purchases I make simply because I am skilled and lucky enough to get a considerably larger paycheck than you do sounds more like typical class envy crap than anyhing that can be called "fair".

Where did you come up with 42.7% as being "fair"?
Are you simply figuring on that percentage leveling the playing field to the point that no one will make anymore than the lowest paid in the country? That might make you feel all warm and fuzzy but at the same time it would dramatically decrease any drive or desire for anyone to actually be successful in any field. Who the hell wants to go to college and spend all that it takes to get a degree and go out and get a $100,000 or $200,000 a year paycheck when they could save their tuition and go get a job making tires and be able to keep the same $50,000 or so a year without worrying about giving half or more to the government?

Tell you what hotshot. I'll put up how much in income, sales, excise, property et al in taxes that I pay in a given year against what you pay in total and just see how "fair" it comes out to be in reality.

Uncle Sam is already getting a quarter of my taxable income on top of the state, city and county getting another 10 to 15 percent in property, sales and other taxes.

Yours is the typical "I know how much anyone really needs to live on" better than anyone else bullshit.

But go ahead. Place a ridiculous sales tax on the items that the people you perceive as being wealthy purchase and watch your neighbors and friends and family suddenly wondering why they're getting laid off due to slow downs in sales of big ticket items that a lot of them get paid to make.
Sure, the wealthy will continue to purchase the items that they really really want, but a lot of us aren't going to be jumping on a $10,000 item that will end up costing over $14,000 after sales tax alone.
That's not how we managed to have anything in the bank in the first place.
If your scheme were in place now then GM would have lost one sale that I know of as I will not pay no $57,000 for the truck that has a $40,000 price tag on it just so you don't have to pay a dime. They likely would have lost a shitload of sales as a result of such a tax scheme.

You only see how such a scheme will benefit yourself and not how it
would affect anyone or anything else.
Such tunnelvision typically results in more harm than good in any
circumstance.

BTW, since your scheme is supposed to be an exercise in perfection,
can you tell us just what nations in the world have anything similar
that has been a boost to their economies or improved the quality of
life of the lowest income earners as a result?
Since you see the plan as an example of pure genius then it should be
no problem at all for you to show us where in the world it has worked.

Lastly, your "fair tax" would not eliminate the federal income tax nor
would it eliminate state income taxes or any of the other municipal,
county and state taxes.
So your so called "fair tax" would simply be just another big money
grab and all of the horseshit predictions about it increasing
consumption and GDP etc is a load of pure crap.

>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.

2886. Re: By definition all prophets are false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 00:36:32 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4ddc4eb2$0$12512$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230812

On 05/24/2011 10:12 AM, D. Peter Maus wrote:
> On 5/24/11 10:55 , bpnjensen wrote:
>> On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob<Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
>>> rapture?
>>>
>>> I hope it's not too soon - I just ordered a tiny-tenna.
>>
>> Camping dood it already - October 21, 2011. He forgot to multiply the
>> month by 2. Seriously. What a predatory prick.
>
>
> False prophet by definition.
>
>

2887. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 00:36:47 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irhiv0$cs2$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230813
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

On 5/24/2011 5:26 PM, First Post wrote:

You are right.

That is the way I would do it. If you make a dollar, you pay the tax on that dollar, if you make a hundred, you pay a 100x that, a thousand --1000x times that, etc.

... end of story ...

Although I have had talks with several big name accountants, they all are in the ballpark of that being a 35-45% tax on those dollars ... just to support the current level of government spending ... you would have to pay more if they were to pay down debt while holding the same level of spending ...

Regards,
JS

2888. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 00:39:01 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <pljot61auafmpe6mksuj4kbc5a541t0l8q@4ax.com>
Source record: 230814
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On Tue, 24 May 2011 18:18:37 -0400, "Scout"
<me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:

>> But taxes aren't about fairness, other than too much perceived
>> unfairness can have results that the state would consider negative.
>> Taxes are about getting money.
>
>I see, so we don't want to be fair in our taxation, just get it any way we
>can?

There's no such thing as fairness when taking money by gunpoint. So
the problem for the state is in how to get the most revenue with the
least risk - and the best government does this for the long term, the
worst do it for the short term.

>> As with Willie Sutton and robbing banks - "That's where the money is".
>
>So rob from the rich?
>
>At least you're not claiming to be fair, and you're admitting it's robbery.

Are you implying that a proportional tax system isn't robbery? It's
all robbery. It may be necessary and useful robbery - but it's
robbery nevertheless.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

2889. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 00:48:40 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irhjl8$cs2$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230815
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/24/2011 5:39 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Tue, 24 May 2011 18:18:37 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>> But taxes aren't about fairness, other than too much perceived
>>> unfairness can have results that the state would consider negative.
>>> Taxes are about getting money.
>> I see, so we don't want to be fair in our taxation, just get it any way we
>> can?
> There's no such thing as fairness when taking money by gunpoint. So
> the problem for the state is in how to get the most revenue with the
> least risk - and the best government does this for the long term, the
> worst do it for the short term.
>
>>> As with Willie Sutton and robbing banks - "That's where the money is".
>> So rob from the rich?
>>
>> At least you're not claiming to be fair, and you're admitting it's robbery.
> Are you implying that a proportional tax system isn't robbery? It's
> all robbery. It may be necessary and useful robbery - but it's
> robbery nevertheless.

Not exactly true. If your tax dollars didn't pay for the military, you would now be speaking another language and, most probably, under the rule of some dictator to royal family ... I wouldn't call that part robbery ...

Regards,
JS

2890. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 00:57:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DDC53F1-9649@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230817

Many, Many Rich people Earned their Wealth.
cuhulin

2891. Early Evening Opening to Asia-Pacific

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 00:58:57 UTC
From: Mike <mwbryant@aol.com>
Message-ID: <772e57a5-e4a7-498e-906b-e17615aa722d@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230816

Rcvr: Eton E1XM
Location: Highview, KY

AUSTRALIA. 17715, RA, Shepparton, in EE at 0015, May 25. W
interviewing M from PNG. Good.

INDIA. 13605, AIR, Bengaluru, in EE at 0020, May 25. Weak,
hard to understand, but clearly English.

NEW ZEALAND. 15720, RNZI, Rangitaiki, in EE at 0009. May 25.
M & W w/ Pacific news. Fair.

THAILAND. 15170, VOA, Udorn, in Mandarin at 0000. Slick
production, including hyped promos. Good, better than //
17765 (Tinang, Philippines). May, 25.

THAILAND. 15275 RT, Udorn, at 0003 in English, May 25.
M & W w/ nx. weak and in noise.

THAILAND. BBC, Nakhon Sawan, at 0005. M & W w/ BBCWS Nx.
Weak,//17685 much clearer.

2892. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 01:15:12 UTC
From: "skepticl1@aol.com" <skepticl1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <508f4dfd-3ca1-4ade-9ba0-b59a74495080@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230819
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 24, 5:19 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 24, 6:23 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > Here is some interesting tidbits of history I gathered while my head
> > was in the clouds!
>
> >http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/knights_templar_holy_l...
>
> >http://www.angelfire.com/journal2/ck15endtimecolumn/et9.html
>
> >http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/the_merovingian_bloodl...
>
> > Looks like the freemasons(Knights Templar)were in control of Russia
> > for a looong time.
>
> What ? ? ? Knights Templar were destryed by the Church several
> centuries before Russia became anything closely resembling a single-
> unified powerful state . Freemasons are not exactly a religious
> monastic order , but rather a secret society out of many that existed
> in Western Europe in the 18th and especially 19th century . Freemasons
> were outlawed in many countries sporadically and Imperial Russia
> certainly was one of them . The Bolsheviks annihilated anyone they
> considered as a threat ,especially the Freemasons . And that's the way
> it was back in those times .

A socialist revolution overthrows the capitalist system. It
establishes the system of political rule of the working class: the
dictatorship of the proletariat, --the proletariat together with its
allies making up the great majority of society, begins to tansform
society. Socialist revolution creates an economy based on social
ownership and meeting social need.

2893. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying bec...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 01:45:22 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26134-4DDC5F32-842@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230821

I like what Peter Fonda said.
cuhulin

2894. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 01:53:37 UTC
From: GovShill <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <f775f380-91de-412d-a791-41b9804c57d5@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230820
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 25, 11:15 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:

> A socialist revolution overthrows the capitalist system. It
> establishes the system of political rule of the working class: the
> dictatorship of the proletariat, --the proletariat together with its
> allies making up the great majority of society, begins to tansform
> society. Socialist revolution creates an economy based on social
> ownership and meeting social need.

Hahahahahahaha!

Did you miss the whole second half of the 20th century?

Shill #2

2895. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 02:24:00 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <794b6394-f218-4d04-a7b2-1afbb644a0e4@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 24, 8:12 am, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:

> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM, Iarnrod proved:
> > On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
> >> Mighty Iarnrod proved:
> >>> Yes, he would have been
> >> No,
> > > Yes, he would have been.
> No,

Yes, he would have been.

2896. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 02:31:25 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284634082a109498a259@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230823
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <f775f380-91de-412d-a791-41b9804c57d5
@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>, gov.shill@gmail.com says...
>
> On May 25, 11:15ï¿œam, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > A socialist revolution overthrows the capitalist system. It
> > establishes the system of political rule of the working class: the
> > dictatorship of the proletariat, --the proletariat together with its
> > allies making up the great majority of society, begins to tansform
> > society. Socialist revolution creates an economy based on social
> > ownership and meeting social need.
>
>
> Hahahahahahaha!
>
> Did you miss the whole second half of the 20th century?
>
> Shill #2

He's missed reality for a very long time, so he probably did. I wonder
how old old Skep' is?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2897. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 02:32:35 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEFE55457CAAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 230824
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:

> On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
> s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> ...
>>
>> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>> >> >> >> you
>> >> >> keep
>> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>
>> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>> >> >> >> of all
>> >> >> of
>> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are
>> >> >> >> NOT paying their

>> >> >> fair
>> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> >> >> >> Regards,
>> >> >> >> JS
>>
>> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>
>> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>
>> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>
>> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>
>> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>
>> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>
>
> Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
> from the income tax. The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
> tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax as
> the method of funding government. If you fully understand the FairTax
> you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>
>> The FairTax is a replacement
>>
>> > for the income tax.
>>
>> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>
>
> Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
> better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
> leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in place
> as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls of our
> current tax system.
>
>> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>
>> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>
>> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments so
>> that it does not become regressive.
>>
>

> I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>
> Current tax system:
>
> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
> IRS takes 25%: $250.
> Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
> Taxpayer has $620 left.
>
> Fairtax system:
>
> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
> IRS takes 0%: $0
> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
> Taxpayer has $870 left.
>
> I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>
> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
> IRS takes 0%: $0
> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
> Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
> Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
> Taxpayer has $900 left.
>
> So, again, how is that regressive.
>
> Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
> questions you might have:
>
> 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
> particular attention to the FAQ.
> 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
> 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>
> It will all become crystal clear.
>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.
>
>

Taxing income is wrong anyway. You are stealing the fruit of ones labor,
like a lord of the old days taking 20% of your harvest. Yer a pinko.

No tax on income. Tax imports, outsourced jobs and Democrats.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

2898. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 02:34:25 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284634bf1c22989098a25a@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230825
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <6ee9056e-e9a1-441c-b52b-dd5471c757d7
@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>, skepticl1@aol.com says...
>
> On May 24, 12:36ï¿œam, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> > On May 24, 3:02ï¿œam, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > > On May 23, 10:52ï¿œpm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> >
> > > > On May 24, 1:43ï¿œam, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > On May 23, 9:21ï¿œpm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > On May 24, 12:08ï¿œam, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > On May 19, 4:13ï¿œpm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > On May 20, 6:06ï¿œam, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > > On May 19, 12:47ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > > > In article <a750a709-eeda-47ac-a1d8-
> > > > > > > > > > df1d41a84...@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> > > > > > > > > > says...
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > On May 18, 11:09ï¿œpm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > On May 18, 1:15ï¿œpm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > On May 18, 12:15ï¿œam, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > On May 17, 11:46ï¿œpm, george <gbl...@hnpl.net> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > On May 18, 1:09ï¿œpm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Look at that one winged plane at1:03 such beautiful footage
nothing
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > but a professional camera can capture such beauty!
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > What one winged aircraft ?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > You haven't watched that video have you
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > He probably thinks of the 'flying wings' of the 3rd reich .

> >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > You have nailed it did you know the late great Peter Jennings
from CNN
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > was a [poor source as told ï¿œby BDK?
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Peter Jennings worked at ABC for many years . He never worked at
CNN-
> > > > > > > > > > > > > it was at CTV (Canada TV) where his career started .He was a very
good
> > > > > > > > > > > > > man . May he rest in Peace .- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > > His interview with Evin Fairbanks was the source and it was ABC.
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Thanks for the correction ,They killed him off with cancer because of
> > > > > > > > > > > > his knowlege of the 911 coverup.
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > > He got cancer because he smoked a shitload of cigarettes, that's why.
He
> > > > > > > > > > > > wasn't crazy, stupid, or gullible enough to belive in 911 troof.
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > > > > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide
quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > They took DDT off the market and replaced it with radio isotopes to
> > > > > > > > > > > kill the cut worm.But the bird eggs regained their strength!Soo many
> > > > > > > > > > > humans soo little birds!
> >
> > > > > > > > > > > Where's that bloody decoder ring when you need it- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > > > You just work on this george connecting the dots for SS and ISS and
> > > > > > > > > uSSr.
> >
> > > > > > > > > It goes back in time to where the freemasons were chased out of
> > > > > > > > > Europe,they joined forces with the USSR and fought with them.
> >
> > > > > > > > > Now they are a team the free masons new world and the solviet
> > > > > > > > > union.are one and the same. The fall of the Solviet Union was a
> > > > > > > > > shame,it just passed the sword to their counter parts the freemason's
> > > > > > > > > USA!
> >
> > > > > > > > > The USA also surported Hitler and they faked his suicide,and the NEW
> > > > > > > > > WORLD ORDER commenced.
> >

> > > > > > They just played like they were different Governments but they were
> > > > > > the same since 1776.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > NO! The Soviet Union never worked with the Rockerfellers. Revolution
> > > > > is the solution for the ruling class oppressors and even including
> > > > > illuminati secret bankers. It puts the masses in charge and removes
> > > > > the Rockerfellers out of power. Your head is too much in the clouds.
> > > > > Conspiracy thinking is a certain way of looking at things, which gives
> > > > > you a false ideology (although conspriacies, do happen like Pearl
> > > > > Harbor, the Gulf of Token and 911--You'll note that the Soviets and
> > > > > the Maoists never did a false flag attack on the masses) There is
> > > > > revolutionary analysis of politics which are far broader and deeper
> > > > > than the false notions of the John Birch Society screwballs.
> >
> > > > > Setting the Record Straight
> >
> > > > > The Outrageous Equating of Communism with Nazism
> > > > > Revolution #011, August 14, 2005, posted at revcom.us
> >
> > > > > The following article was written by a member of the Set the Record
> > > > > Straight project.
> >
> > > > > One of the lies about communism that has been repeated over and over
> > > > > again is the equating of the Soviet Union under Stalin with Hitler?s
> > > > > Germany. The comparison rules socialism utterly out of order, paints
> > > > > it as a nightmare, rules it off the agenda.and is a total lie!
> >
> > > > > Nazi Germany and the Soviet Union?Opposite Societies
> > > > > Nazism or German fascism was a form of capitalist rule marked by
> > > > > extreme repression and open terror against the masses and the
> > > > > abandonment of bourgeois parliamentary and electoral mechanisms.
> > > > > Ideologically, Nazism based itself on the defense of private-
> > > > > capitalist property, the idea of German/Aryan racial superiority,
> > > > > hatred of Jews (anti- Semitism), and anti-communism.
> >
> > > > > The German economy as it was reorganized under the Nazis remained
> > > > > capitalist through and through: it was based on exploitation and
> > > > > capitalist expansion, and the leading industrial-financial capitalist
> > > > > groups retained their economic control and holdings.
> >
> > > > > When the Soviet Union was socialist, from 1917 to 1956, its economy
> > > > > was based on socialist public ownership. Relations of exploitation had
> > > > > been overthrown and no longer dominated (although they were not
> > > > > completely eliminated). The economy was structured to meet the needs
> > > > > of the people.
> >
> > > > > When the Nazis came to power in Germany, they went about
> > > > > ?restructuring? and ?purifying? German society: imprisoning and

> > > > > murdering communists, gypsies, homosexuals, ?half-breeds,? drug
> > > > > addicts, and other sections of society that the Nazis deemed to be
> > > > > biological and moral ?pollutants.? Ultimately, the Nazi program led to
> > > > > the mass murder of millions of Jews and other people.
> >
> > > > > By contrast, in the Soviet Union, a pillar of the Bolshevik program
> > > > > was putting an end to national oppression and establishing equality of
> > > > > national languages and national cultures. With respect to the Jewish
> > > > > people, the revolution in power immediately took strong measures to
> > > > > combat and uproot anti-Semitism. Before the Bolshevik Revolution, Jews
> > > > > were subject to constant pogroms?mob massacres by peasants who were
> > > > > told absurd lies about the Jews. This was overthrown in the socialist
> > > > > revolution, and the confinement of Jews to certain geographic areas
> > > > > and discrimination in employment were ended.
> >
> > > > > The Nazi party rallied German society around an ultra-nationalist
> > > > > program of preservation of German blood and soil and the vanquishing
> > > > > of a fabricated Judeo-communist ?conspiracy? to control the world. The
> > > > > Nazi ideology of irrational hatred of certain peoples and racist
> > > > > superiority was rooted in the economic system of capitalism?a system
> > > > > of horrific human suffering even in ?peaceful times.? The Nazi mind-
> > > > > set aggressively disdained critical, rational, and scientific thought
> > > > > (very much like the Christian fascists in the U.S. today). The model
> > > > > ?Good German? was unthinking, obedient, and blindly followed
> > > > > authority.
> >
> > > > > Communism is founded on a scientific outlook that enables people to
> > > > > understand the world and society in their motion and development.
> > > > > Communism is founded on an internationalist world-view of achieving a
> > > > > classless world free of oppression and exploitation. And a defining
> > > > > feature of socialist society is that the masses of people are
> > > > > mobilized to consciously transform economic, political, and social
> > > > > relations and institutions in the direction of classless society and
> > > > > to revolutionize traditional ideas and values that reflect and
> > > > > reinforce class distinctions.
> >
> > > > > Collectivization of Agriculture
> > > > > In the Soviet Union, the means of production, which had been seized by
> > > > > the revolution, were placed in the service of society (instead of
> > > > > serving exploitation). And, in the countryside, an unprecedented
> > > > > revolution took place. The formerly isolated, suppressed, and
> > > > > impoverished peasants were led by the Communist Party to rise up, cast
> > > > > off millennia of enslavement and mind-numbing tradition, and overthrow
> > > > > cruel and vicious exploitation and oppression. This process was
> > > > > especially dramatic for women, who made huge strides towards equality
> > > > > after being treated like animals.
> >
> > > > > Were harsh measures carried out by the proletarian state in the Soviet
> > > > > Union against some sectors of the population? Yes, but the
> > > > > dictatorship of the proletariat has nothing in common with the Nazi

> > > > > program, the Nazi outlook, or Nazi methods. For example, many anti-
> > > > > Communist ideologues and historians allege that the collectivization
> > > > > carried out in the Soviet countryside in the late 1920s and early
> > > > > 1930s was a savage campaign of expropriation and murder. In fact this
> > > > > collectivization was a drive to develop a new system of agricultural
> > > > > production based on collective ownership, and it ignited a genuine
> > > > > upheaval against centuries- old authority, tradition, and oppression
> > > > > in the countryside.
> > >
> > > > > The kulaks, the rich peasants who employed hired laborers, were a
> > > > > focus and target of this struggle. But this had nothing to do with
> > > > > their ethnicity. It had to do with their class position, that is, with
> > > > > the economic power and influence the kulaks exerted in the
> > > > > countryside. It had to do with the fact that they were working to
> > > > > undermine efforts to carry forward collectivization and strengthen the
> > > > > new socialist economy?the kulaks had hoarded grain, profiteered in
> > > > > rural markets, destroyed livestock, and organized sections of peasants
> > > > > against the regime. In this period when many peasants were starving,
> > > > > the kulaks were stripped of their private economic holdings, which
> > > > > were turned into the social property of the collectives. Many of the
> > > > > kulaks were punished, including being forced to leave certain areas.
> > > > > And sometimes this was done unfairly. But the kulaks were not made the
> > > > > object of genocide.
> > >
> > > > > The Soviet approach to collectivization and the way the class struggle
> > > > > was waged in the countryside were not without problems. And Mao had
> > > > > big criticisms of the approach taken. There were serious excesses,
> > > > > including the fact that force was used in situations where persuasion
> > > > > should have been relied on. But this was within the context of a real
> > > > > struggle between revolution in the interests of ...
> > >
> > > > > read more ï¿œ- Hide quoted text -
> > >
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
> > >
> > > > This must be some sort of typo error . How did the SU cease to be a
> > > > socialist country in 1956 ?
> > >
> > > According to Marxist Leninist Maoists, the Soviet Union became
> > > revisionist, --not genuinely Socialist. Revisionism is Socialism in
> > > name but Capitalist in deeds and fact. Mao Tse Tung criticized the
> > > Soviet Union in 1956 for going down the Capitalist road. For 30 years
> > > the USSR had a form of goulash socialism, State Capitalism.
> >
> > Oh,well ... In that case Mao must be turning in his grave (or is it a
> > crystal sarcophagus ?) due to China becoming the biggest capitalist
> > enterprise that ever existed !
>
> Mao Tse Tung did not acquire person wealth as the world leader of the
> Chinese people. And when Gorbechev gave the treasuries of the people

> of the USSR to 40 oligarchial Capitalist families, before that there
> were were no millioniares in the USSR; that is even after 30 years of
> phony State Capitalism. No systems have ever been so equal. Now CEOs
> in the United Snakes makes 431 times of the average employee, if you
> can get work. China is a sweatshop for the United States.

LOL, as always, you're delusional. All the old USSR/China system did was
create a few "leaders" like Mao, etc, at the top and a whole nation of
miserable people. Why the hell do you think they wouldn't let people
leave? Because they wouldn't come back.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2899. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 02:42:08 UTC
From: GovShill <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <07c18cba-7032-4522-a2e9-287c214a0850@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230826
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 25, 12:31 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> In article <f775f380-91de-412d-a791-41b9804c57d5
> @z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>, gov.sh...@gmail.com says...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 25, 11:15 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > > A socialist revolution overthrows the capitalist system. It
> > > establishes the system of political rule of the working class: the
> > > dictatorship of the proletariat, --the proletariat together with its
> > > allies making up the great majority of society, begins to tansform
> > > society. Socialist revolution creates an economy based on social
> > > ownership and meeting social need.
>
> > Hahahahahahaha!
>
> > Did you miss the whole second half of the 20th century?
>
> > Shill #2
>
> He's missed reality for a very long time, so he probably did. I wonder
> how old old Skep' is?

Even Seon's not as naive as spektical1 seems to be!

Shill #2

2900. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 02:56:28 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irhr4s$ro2$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230827
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/24/2011 7:32 PM, Gray Ghost wrote:
> gfn<gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-
> cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
>> On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman<rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>> gfn<gfn...@gmail.com> wrote innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-
> 839c2c5dac6b@
>> s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman<rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>> gfn<gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>>> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>>>> x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>> On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman<rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>> gfn<gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>>>>>>> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>> keep
>>>>>>>>> attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>>>>>>>>> of all
>>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>>>>>> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are
>>>>>>>>> NOT paying their
>>>>>>>>> fair
>>>>>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
```

>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>> advocate is the FairTax.
>>>>>>> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>>>>> It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>>>> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>>> I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>>
>> Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>> from the income tax. The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>> tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax as
>> the method of funding government. If you fully understand the FairTax
>> you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>
>>> The FairTax is a replacement
>>>
>>>> for the income tax.
>>> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>>
>> Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
>> better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
>> leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in place
>> as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls of our
>> current tax system.
>>
>>> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>>
>>>> Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments so
>>> that it does not become regressive.
>>>
>> I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>>
>> Current tax system:
>>
>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>> IRS takes 25%: $250.
>> Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>> Taxpayer has $620 left.
>>
>> Fairtax system:
>>
>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>> Taxpayer has $870 left.
>>
>> I'll go one better under the fairtax system.

>>
>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>> Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
>> Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
>> Taxpayer has $900 left.
>>
>> So, again, how is that regressive.
>>
>> Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
>> questions you might have:
>>
>> 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
>> particular attention to the FAQ.
>> 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>> 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>>
>> It will all become crystal clear.
>>
>>> --
>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>
>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>> Don't complain.
>>
> Taxing income is wrong anyway. You are stealing the fruit of ones labor,
> like a lord of the old days taking 20% of your harvest. Yer a pinko.
>
> No tax on income. Tax imports, outsourced jobs and Democrats.

ROFLOL

And, the taxes are added to the cost of the goods, you still pay them
... what an imbecile!

But, I see what you are saying, as long as you don't realize it is a
tax, you will pay it in ignorance ... good luck, dummy ...

Regards,
JS

2901. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying bec...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 02:58:12 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irhr84$ro2$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230828

On 5/24/2011 6:45 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I like what Peter Fonda said.
> cuhulin
>

I like what george sr. said, "Read my lips, no new taxes!"

ROFLOL!!!

I knew he was a liar, but I liked what he said ... just sayin'

Regards,
JS

2902. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 02:59:29 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <t1sot6p8pb3s5cqmeedvgp05gocpoq41nl@4ax.com>
Source record: 230830
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On Tue, 24 May 2011 17:48:40 -0700, John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
wrote:

>>> At least you're not claiming to be fair, and you're admitting it's robbery.
>> Are you implying that a proportional tax system isn't robbery? It's
>> all robbery. It may be necessary and useful robbery - but it's
>> robbery nevertheless.
>
>Not exactly true. If your tax dollars didn't pay for the military, you
>would now be speaking another language and, most probably, under the
>rule of some dictator to royal family ... I wouldn't call that part
>robbery ...

How they spend the money doesn't change how they get it. The Mafia
calls it "protection", and model themselves after the Romans.

Saying it's not robbery for stuff you want it spent upon and it is
robbery on stuff others want it spent on doesn't work.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

2903. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 03:05:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DDC71F1-9705@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230831

Tornado touched down near Shawnee,Oklahoma, killing four people.
cuhulin

2904. Re: Early Evening Opening to Asia-Pacific

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 03:11:23 UTC
From: joe <none@domain.invalid>
Message-ID: <irhred$ni1$1@speranza.aioe.org>
Source record: 230829

Mike wrote:
> Rcvr: Eton E1XM
> Location: Highview, KY
>
>
> AUSTRALIA. 17715, RA, Shepparton, in EE at 0015, May 25. W
> interviewing M from PNG. Good.

Thank you for an on-topic post.

2905. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 03:16:44 UTC
From: "skepticl1@aol.com" <skepticl1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <a2e56e09-b20a-4561-977e-a699130dbc5d@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230832
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 24, 7:42 pm, GovShill <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On May 25, 12:31 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > In article <f775f380-91de-412d-a791-41b9804c57d5
> > @z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>, gov.sh...@gmail.com says...
>
> > > On May 25, 11:15 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > A socialist revolution overthrows the capitalist system. It
> > > > establishes the system of political rule of the working class: the
> > > > dictatorship of the proletariat, --the proletariat together with its
> > > > allies making up the great majority of society, begins to tansform
> > > > society. Socialist revolution creates an economy based on social
> > > > ownership and meeting social need.
>
> > > Hahahahahahaha!
>
> > > Did you miss the whole second half of the 20th century?
>
> > > Shill #2
>
> > He's missed reality for a very long time, so he probably did. I wonder
> > how old old Skep' is?
>
> Even Seon's not as naive as spektical1 seems to be!
>
> Shill #2- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

The socialist revolutions in the Soviet Union (1917-1956) and China
(1949-1976) made tremendous advances towards a liberated world. With
state power in their hands, the former have-nots were taking hold of
and transforming society. The rule of profit and exploitation was
ended. Basic social needs were met. Life expectancy in Maoist China
doubled between 1949 and 1976, from 32 years to 65 years.
Unprecedented strides were made in uprooting hte oppression of owmen

and minority nationalities. These revolutions did not fail but were defeated by bourgeois and reactionary forces.

2906. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard fucked up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 03:19:42 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <TYWdnWpdioTJ6EHQnZ2dnUVZ5gudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> On May 24, 8:12 am, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:
>
>> tard boy lied:
>>> On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
>>>> tard boy peed in his own sippy cup:
>>>>> Yes, he
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>
>>

>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he wo

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2907. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy iruntard ate his mommy's shite again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 03:20:43 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <TYWdnWVdioQP6EHQnZ2dnUVZ5gudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> On May 24, 8:12 am, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:
>
>> tard boy lied:
>>> On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
>>>> tard boy peed in his own sippy cup:
>>>>> Yes, he
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>
>>

>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he wo

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2908. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 03:25:42 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEFCFCF0E992ExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247>
Source record: 230835
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irhaoe$75o$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE" <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov> wrote in
> message news:Xns9EEF949F6851BExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.250...
>> "Scout"
>>> "John Smith"
>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:38 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> John Smith
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:45 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> Impossible to implement.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>> Yeah, a lot of things are "impossible", up until someone does it
>>>>>> ...
>>>>> Let's put it another way.......the cost of a system to do that would
>>>>> be a
>>>>> magnitude more cost than any resultant tax received. You don't
>>>>> think things through very far, do you. Typical Democrat, if we can
>>>>> find a way
>>>>> to
>>>>> stick it to some wealthy guy, we don't give a damn what it costs.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Flat tax ... with exemptions for those who can't afford housing,
>>>> food, medical, etc.
>>>
>>> You say flat tax, and then turn right around and make it an unflat
>>> tax.
>>>
>>> You need to make up your mind which it's going to be. Flat or not.
>>
>>
>> Space isn't flat, why should tax be?
>
> Prove space isn't flat.

>
> You do understand what a "theory" is, right?

Not theory.
Experimental fact without which
GPS satellites would not keep the correct time.

http://imagine.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/ask_astro/answers/970610.html

What evidence is there that supports the theory of curved space? What does General Relativity predict about the shape of space-time near a large mass (eg, a star)?

The Answer
There has been experimental evidence for the curvature of spacetime by a massive object since the early part of this century (1922), when observers set out to test the predictions of general relativity. During a solar eclipse, they realized, the light from stars in the same general area of the sky as the Sun are visible during the day. If light from these stars is affected by the curvature of spacetime due to the Sun's mass, then this would be measurable as a deflection (or a change in location) of the star's position on the sky. The stars closer to the position of the Sun in the sky would suffer a larger deflection; in general the deflection would be proportion to the stars distance from the Sun's location on the sky. This effect was observed for 15 stars during the solar eclipse of 1922 in Western Australia, and was interpreted as observational verification of the predictions of general relativity. General relativity predicts that spherical masses deform spacetime in much the same way a lead ball would deform the surface of a rubber sheet. It is this deformation that causes the planets to orbit the Sun, and the Moon to orbit the Earth. In fact, all orbital motion is the result of bodies being affected by the curvature of the spacetime in which they move.

2909. Re: By definition all prophets are false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 03:27:18 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <ca3befe0-b29f-40ee-9f14-3d17c1efbf02@k17g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230836

On May 24, 8:00 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Get Ready.HAARP Has Been Turned Up Again.http://www.standeyo.com
> cuhulin

2.6 Hz is sub-audible frequency for humans . Some animals like
elephants and whales can hear it , not us . It is time to consult them
and see what is up this time . I don't hear a thing .

2910. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 03:29:10 UTC
From: GovShill <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <29328ea0-87ab-4f9d-9070-f83f07f411ea@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230837
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 25, 1:16 pm, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 24, 7:42 pm, GovShill <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 25, 12:31 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > In article <f775f380-91de-412d-a791-41b9804c57d5
> > > @z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>, gov.sh...@gmail.com says...
>
> > > > On May 25, 11:15 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > A socialist revolution overthrows the capitalist system. It
> > > > > establishes the system of political rule of the working class: the
> > > > > dictatorship of the proletariat, --the proletariat together with its
> > > > > allies making up the great majority of society, begins to tansform
> > > > > society. Socialist revolution creates an economy based on social
> > > > > ownership and meeting social need.
>
> > > > Hahahahahahaha!
>
> > > > Did you miss the whole second half of the 20th century?
>
> > > > Shill #2
>
> > > He's missed reality for a very long time, so he probably did. I wonder
> > > how old old Skep' is?
>
> > Even Seon's not as naive as spektical1 seems to be!
>
> > Shill #2- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> The socialist revolutions in the Soviet Union (1917-1956) and China

> (1949-1976) made tremendous advances towards a liberated world. With
> state power in their hands, the former have-nots were taking hold of
> and transforming society. The rule of profit and exploitation was
> ended. Basic social needs were met. Life expectancy in Maoist China
> doubled between 1949 and 1976, from 32 years to 65 years.
> Unprecedented strides were made in uprooting hte oppression of owmen
> and minority nationalities. These revolutions did not fail but were
> defeated by bourgeois and reactionary forces.

Bwahahahahahahahahaha!

Better than Seinfeld!

Shill #2

2911. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 03:29:13 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EEFD067B7118ExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247>
Source record: 230838
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"Scout"
> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE"
>> "Scout"
>>> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE"
>>>> "Scout"
>>>> ...
>>>>> It would seem to me that it would be up to those claiming that 1%
>>>>> isn't playing "their fair share" to prove it.
>>>> ...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Precisely who do you think built this Beast? and who is piloting it?
>>>>
>>>> http://www.libertylive.org/Uploads/The%20Debt%20Star.jpg
>>>
>>> Irrelevent and has nothing to do with the issue or the point made.
>>>
>>> However, who built is was government, and who is piloting it is a
>>> combination of both Democrats and Republicans.
>>>
>>> You don't like it, then maybe you need to do something about all the
>>> spending taking place on BOTH sides.
>>
>>
>> 'Splain me pleeze.
>> Precisely, how do you prove a negative? A: You can't.
>
> But I can show who was in control of the House, Senate and Presidency when
> the debt was generated.
...

DEBT wouldn't matter as long as there was Peace.
The Clinton 'Surpluses' and, in fact, the 90's tech boom,
was a Peace Dividend at the end of the Cold War...

By PISSING AWAY the Peace,
Bill Clinton guaranteed NOT-ONLY endless War, but Endless Debt.

Q: Do *YOU* think OBAMA is working towards Peace? Or Endless War and Debt?

2912. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 03:37:00 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <df365c2f-44ca-49f5-ac85-48669bcf1d74@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 24, 9:19 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:

> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM, Iarnrod proved:
> > On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
> >> Mighty Iarnrod proved:
> >>> Yes, he would have been
> >> No,
> > > Yes, he would have been.
> No,

Yes, he would have been.

2913. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 03:38:10 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irhtjr$8ud$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230840
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE" <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov> wrote in message
news:Xns9EEFD067B7118ExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247...
> "Scout"
>> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE"
>>> "Scout"
>>>> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE"
>>>>> "Scout"
>>>>> ...
>>>>>> It would seem to me that it would be up to those claiming that 1%
>>>>>> isn't playing "their fair share" to prove it.
>>>>> ...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Precisely who do you think built this Beast? and who is piloting it?
>>>>>
>>>>> http://www.libertylive.org/Uploads/The%20Debt%20Star.jpg
>>>>
>>>> Irrelevent and has nothing to do with the issue or the point made.
>>>>
>>>> However, who built is was government, and who is piloting it is a
>>>> combination of both Democrats and Republicans.
>>>>
>>>> You don't like it, then maybe you need to do something about all the
>>>> spending taking place on BOTH sides.
>>>
>>>
>>> 'Splain me pleeze.
>>> Precisely, how do you prove a negative? A: You can't.
>>
>> But I can show who was in control of the House, Senate and Presidency
>> when
>> the debt was generated.
> ...
>
>
> DEBT wouldn't matter as long as there was Peace.
> The Clinton 'Surpluses' and, in fact, the 90's tech boom,
> was a Peace Dividend at the end of the Cold War...
>
>
> By PISSING AWAY the Peace,
> Bill Clinton guaranteed NOT-ONLY endless War, but Endless Debt.

>
>
> Q: Do *YOU* think OBAMA is working towards Peace? Or Endless War and Debt?

You haven't got a clue!

The Bozo Bin for you!

2914. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 03:54:05 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <d046e877-1847-4b5e-a4a6-01f66bf32121@l6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230841
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 24, 11:16 pm, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 24, 7:42 pm, GovShill <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 25, 12:31 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > In article <f775f380-91de-412d-a791-41b9804c57d5
> > > @z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>, gov.sh...@gmail.com says...
>
> > > > On May 25, 11:15 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > A socialist revolution overthrows the capitalist system. It
> > > > > establishes the system of political rule of the working class: the
> > > > > dictatorship of the proletariat, --the proletariat together with its
> > > > > allies making up the great majority of society, begins to tansform
> > > > > society. Socialist revolution creates an economy based on social
> > > > > ownership and meeting social need.
>
> > > > Hahahahahahaha!
>
> > > > Did you miss the whole second half of the 20th century?
>
> > > > Shill #2
>
> > > He's missed reality for a very long time, so he probably did. I wonder
> > > how old old Skep' is?
>
> > Even Seon's not as naive as spektical1 seems to be!
>
> > Shill #2- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> The socialist revolutions in the Soviet Union (1917-1956) and China
> (1949-1976) made tremendous advances towards a liberated world. With
> state power in their hands, the former have-nots were taking hold of
> and transforming society. The rule of profit and exploitation was
> ended. Basic social needs were met. Life expectancy in Maoist China

> doubled between 1949 and 1976, from 32 years to 65 years.
> Unprecedented strides were made in uprooting hte oppression of owmen
> and minority nationalities. These revolutions did not fail but were
> defeated by bourgeois and reactionary forces.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

You must be confusing too many events and trying to bring nearly
everything to the lowest common denominator . Left and especially the
right wing of the SR party was wiped out in 1918 by the bolsheviks .
Now China ,while following in the footsteps of the Russian Experiment
went much further . During ' The Great Leap ' they literally starved
the farmers by the millions . Are you related to Pol Pot ?

2915. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard fucked up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 03:59:25 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <srGdncKH-Z8540HQnZ2dnUVZ5qKdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On 5/24/2011 8:37 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 24, 9:19 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:
>
>> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM, Iarnrod proved:
>>> On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
>>>> Mighty Iarnrod proved:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>> >>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she

arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2916. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard fucked up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 03:59:37 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <srGdnf2H-Z8s40HQnZ2dnUVZ5qIAAAAA@giganews.com>
Source record: 230843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> On May 24, 8:12 am, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:
>
>> tard boy lied:
>>> On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
>>>> tard boy peed in his own sippy cup:
>>>>> Yes, he

>
> Yes, he wo

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2917. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard fucked up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 04:00:39 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <srGdnfyH-Z9y40HQnZ2dnUVZ5qKdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> On May 24, 9:19 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:
>
>> tard boy lied:
>>> On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
>>>> tard boy peed in his own sippy cup:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>> >>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>
>>

>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2918. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 04:13:15 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irhvku$i7r$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230845
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"Howard Brazee" <howard@brazee.net> wrote in message
news:pljot61auafmpe6mksuj4kbc5a541t0l8q@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 24 May 2011 18:18:37 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>> But taxes aren't about fairness, other than too much perceived
>>> unfairness can have results that the state would consider negative.
>>> Taxes are about getting money.
>>
>>I see, so we don't want to be fair in our taxation, just get it any way we
>>can?
>
> There's no such thing as fairness when taking money by gunpoint. So
> the problem for the state is in how to get the most revenue with the
> least risk - and the best government does this for the long term, the
> worst do it for the short term.

Yea, but the perception of fairness tends to reduce the risk. Some are
suggesting we utterly ignore any attempt at fairness and simply steal all we
can.

2919. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 04:18:33 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irhvus$jh8$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230846
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE" <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov> wrote in message
news:Xns9EEFCFCF0E992ExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247...
> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
> news:irhaoe$75o$1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>>
>> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE" <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov> wrote in
>> message news:Xns9EEF949F6851BExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.250...
>>> "Scout"
>>>> "John Smith"
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:38 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:45 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> Impossible to implement.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>> Yeah, a lot of things are "impossible", up until someone does it
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Let's put it another way.......the cost of a system to do that would
>>>>>> be a
>>>>>> magnitude more cost than any resultant tax received. You don't
>>>>>> think things through very far, do you. Typical Democrat, if we can
>>>>>> find a way
>>>>>> to
>>>>>> stick it to some wealthy guy, we don't give a damn what it costs.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Flat tax ... with exemptions for those who can't afford housing,
>>>>> food, medical, etc.
>>>>
>>>> You say flat tax, and then turn right around and make it an unflat
>>>> tax.
>>>>
>>>> You need to make up your mind which it's going to be. Flat or not.
>>>
>>>
>>> Space isn't flat, why should tax be?

>>
>> Prove space isn't flat.
>>
>> You do understand what a "theory" is, right?
>
>
> Not theory.
> Experimental fact without which
> GPS satellites would not keep the correct time.
>
> http://imagine.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/ask_astro/answers/970610.html
>
> What evidence is there that supports the theory of curved space? What does
> General Relativity predict about the shape of space-time near a large mass
> (eg, a star)?
>
> The Answer
> There has been experimental evidence for the curvature of spacetime by a
> massive object since the early part of this century (1922), when observers
> set out to test the predictions of general relativity.

Sorry, but you're attempting to prove curvature by measuring something else.
It's entirely within the realm of possibility that relativity has nothing to
do with "curved space" and is simply an "optical illusion" on the part of
the observer.

Much like a man might claim that a mirage is real, even though in reality
it's just an illusion created by other forces.

2920. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 04:29:28 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28464fafb175e7a398a260@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230847
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <29328ea0-87ab-4f9d-9070-
f83f07f411ea@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>, gov.shill@gmail.com says...
>
> On May 25, 1:16ï¿œpm, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> > On May 24, 7:42ï¿œpm, GovShill <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 25, 12:31ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > In article <f775f380-91de-412d-a791-41b9804c57d5
> > > > @z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>, gov.sh...@gmail.com says...
> >
> > > > > On May 25, 11:15ï¿œam, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > A socialist revolution overthrows the capitalist system. It
> > > > > > establishes the system of political rule of the working class: the
> > > > > > dictatorship of the proletariat, --the proletariat together with its
> > > > > > allies making up the great majority of society, begins to tansform
> > > > > > society. Socialist revolution creates an economy based on social
> > > > > > ownership and meeting social need.
> >
> > > > > Hahahahahahaha!
> >
> > > > > Did you miss the whole second half of the 20th century?
> >
> > > > > Shill #2
> >
> > > > He's missed reality for a very long time, so he probably did. I wonder
> > > > how old old Skep' is?
> >
> > > Even Seon's not as naive as spektical1 seems to be!
> >
> > > Shill #2- Hide quoted text -
> >
```

> > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > The socialist revolutions in the Soviet Union (1917-1956) and China
> > (1949-1976) made tremendous advances towards a liberated world. With
> > state power in their hands, the former have-nots were taking hold of
> > and transforming society. The rule of profit and exploitation was
> > ended. Basic social needs were met. Life expectancy in Maoist China
> > doubled between 1949 and 1976, from 32 years to 65 years.
> > Unprecedented strides were made in uprooting hte oppression of owmen
> > and minority nationalities. These revolutions did not fail but were
> > defeated by bourgeois and reactionary forces.
>
> Bwahahahahahahahahaha!
>
> Better than Seinfeld!
>
> Shill #2

Me must be on some awesome drugs.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2921. Re: Early Evening Opening to Asia-Pacific

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 04:46:00 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <18585b2f-9842-4ade-93d0-8ed797e69b78@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230848

On May 24, 8:11 pm, joe <n...@domain.invalid> wrote:
> Mike wrote:
> > Rcvr: Eton E1XM
> > Location: Highview, KY
>
> > AUSTRALIA. 17715, RA, Shepparton, in EE at 0015, May 25. W
> > interviewing M from PNG. Good.
>
> Thank you for an on-topic post.

Hear hear, great post! Wish I could have nabbed it sooner...the India
sounds intriguing.

2922. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 04:48:13 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0fd0dd60-706a-40be-ae66-4184277f479f@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230849

On May 24, 1:05 pm, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net> wrote:
> > On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob<Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
> >> rapture?
>
> On 5/24/2011 11:55 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > Camping dood it already - October 21, 2011. He forgot to multiply the
> > month by 2. Seriously. What a predatory prick.
>
> Maybe so, but Camping was smart enough to find people stupid enough to
> donate 18.3 million dollars to his "church" last year.
>
> They interviewed one of his acolytes on the radio and she said she was
> sitting in her back yard, praying for the end of the world because she
> had money troubles.
>
> Possibly her "money troubles" would not have been quite so bad if she
> hadn't given her money to that quack.
>
> Once again to paraphrase H. L. Mencken, "You'll never go broke
> underestimating the stupidity of the American public". Camping obviously
> took that advice to heart.

Joe - it ain't hard to find people stupid enough for this in America
2011. Camping had easy pickins'.

2923. Re: By definition all prophets are false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 07:13:01 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <2a8baef8-6a09-4953-bd66-e1792e711b1c@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230850

On May 24, 8:27 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:

- - On May 24, 8:00 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
- - Get Ready.HAARP Has Been Turned Up Again.
- - http://www.standeyo.com
- - cuhulin

- 2.6 Hz is sub-audible frequency for humans .

That Is Not True Camping Hears It !
-and-it-sounds-like-doom-doom-doom-
.
> Some animals like elephants and whales
> can hear it , not us .
> It is time to consult them and see what
. is up this time .

- I don't hear a thing .

-cause- You Are Not An End-Time Prof-It$
.

2924. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 07:16:22 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <60f1671a-7dcf-48b8-925c-8f270068dbd7@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230851

On May 24, 1:05 pm, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net> wrote:
> > On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob<Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
> >> rapture?
>
> On 5/24/2011 11:55 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > Camping dood it already - October 21, 2011. He forgot to multiply the
> > month by 2. Seriously. What a predatory prick.
>
> Maybe so, but Camping was smart enough to find people stupid enough to
> donate 18.3 million dollars to his "church" last year.
>
> They interviewed one of his acolytes on the radio and she said she was
> sitting in her back yard, praying for the end of the world because she
> had money troubles.
>
> Possibly her "money troubles" would not have been quite so bad if she
> hadn't given her money to that quack.
>
> Once again to paraphrase H. L. Mencken, "You'll never go broke
> underestimating the stupidity of the American public". Camping obviously
> took that advice to heart.

Oh Ye of Litte Faith$:
It Pay$ To Be an End-Time Prof-It$
.
.

2925. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 08:03:15 UTC
From: "skepticl1@aol.com" <skepticl1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <27f36ebb-b610-4f92-85d3-2bbbe4b812ae@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230852
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 24, 8:54 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 24, 11:16 pm, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 7:42 pm, GovShill <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 25, 12:31 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > In article <f775f380-91de-412d-a791-41b9804c57d5
> > > > @z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>, gov.sh...@gmail.com says...
>
> > > > > On May 25, 11:15 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > A socialist revolution overthrows the capitalist system. It
> > > > > > establishes the system of political rule of the working class: the
> > > > > > dictatorship of the proletariat, --the proletariat together with its
> > > > > > allies making up the great majority of society, begins to tansform
> > > > > > society. Socialist revolution creates an economy based on social
> > > > > > ownership and meeting social need.
>
> > > > > Hahahahahahaha!
>
> > > > > Did you miss the whole second half of the 20th century?
>
> > > > > Shill #2
>
> > > > He's missed reality for a very long time, so he probably did. I wonder
> > > > how old old Skep' is?
>
> > > Even Seon's not as naive as spektical1 seems to be!
>
> > > Shill #2- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > The socialist revolutions in the Soviet Union (1917-1956) and China
> > (1949-1976) made tremendous advances towards a liberated world. With
> > state power in their hands, the former have-nots were taking hold of

> > and transforming society. The rule of profit and exploitation was
> > ended. Basic social needs were met. Life expectancy in Maoist China
> > doubled between 1949 and 1976, from 32 years to 65 years.
> > Unprecedented strides were made in uprooting hte oppression of owmen
> > and minority nationalities. These revolutions did not fail but were
> > defeated by bourgeois and reactionary forces.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> You must be confusing too many events and trying to bring nearly
> everything to the lowest common denominator . Left and especially the
> right wing of the SR party was wiped out in 1918 by the bolsheviks .
> Now China ,while following in the footsteps of the Russian Experiment
> went much further . During ' The Great Leap ' they literally starved
> the farmers by the millions . Are you related to Pol Pot ?- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Both revolutions and civil wars and subsequent struggles were awsome
affairs involving tens of millions of people in far reaching struggle
and historically unheard of change. In China the Maoists did not
starve the Chinese people, in fact, starvation was a season occurances
for the history of China, including when the British and Imperialist
were running China with their puppet feudal Chinese landlords. Because
of Socialism China ended it season cycles of starvation. The straight
talk on Pol Pot was that the United States wiped out the society there
with mass bombing, and the US even invaded with troops, so much of
the blame must be laid on Uncle Sam for wiping out society in
Cambodia, and this greatly influenced the Khmer Rouge; it was not
simply the killing of 400,000 people with out historical context.

2926. Re: Early Evening Opening to Asia-Pacific

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 08:09:16 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <74b508f6-7ae2-4e6c-a185-ad6609ec4ca2@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230853

On May 24, 5:58 pm, Mike <mwbry...@aol.com> wrote:
> Rcvr: Eton E1XM
> Location: Highview, KY
>
- AUSTRALIA. 17715, RA, Shepparton, in EE at 0015, May 25. W
- interviewing M from PNG. Good.

MWB,

I had RA-ABC on both 17,715 & 17,795
@ 2338~0005 UTC *Simulcast*
17,715 @ S4~S7 444 SIO and good audio
17,795 @ S6~S9 544 SIO and good audio
Program topic on Indigenous Writers and
how they portray Australian History in
their writings.
ABC News IDs @ 0003 and 0004 UTC
RA ID @ 0005 UTC

~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
.
>
> INDIA. 13605, AIR, Bengaluru, in EE at 0020, May 25. Weak,
> hard to understand, but clearly English.
>
> NEW ZEALAND. 15720, RNZI, Rangitaiki, in EE at 0009. May 25.
> M & W w/ Pacific news. Fair.
>
> THAILAND. 15170, VOA, Udorn, in Mandarin at 0000. Slick
> production, including hyped promos. Good, better than //
> 17765 (Tinang, Philippines). May, 25.
>
> THAILAND. 15275 RT, Udorn, at 0003 in English, May 25.
> M & W w/ nx. weak and in noise.
>
> THAILAND. BBC, Nakhon Sawan, at 0005. M & W w/ BBCWS Nx.
> Weak,//17685 much clearer.

2927. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 08:18:54 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ab378247-d7a9-4fff-b3ea-d9b830c9d776@h7g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230854
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 24, 8:19 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 24, 6:23 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > Here is some interesting tidbits of history I gathered while my head
> > was in the clouds!
>
> >http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/knights_templar_holy_l...
>
> >http://www.angelfire.com/journal2/ck15endtimecolumn/et9.html
>
> >http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/the_merovingian_bloodl...
>
> > Looks like the freemasons(Knights Templar)were in control of Russia
> > for a looong time.
>
> What ? ? ? Knights Templar were destryed by the Church several
> centuries before Russia became anything closely resembling a single-
> unified powerful state . Freemasons are not exactly a religious
> monastic order , but rather a secret society out of many that existed
> in Western Europe in the 18th and especially 19th century . Freemasons
> were outlawed in many countries sporadically and Imperial Russia
> certainly was one of them . The Bolsheviks annihilated anyone they
> considered as a threat ,especially the Freemasons . And that's the way
> it was back in those times .

They are one in the same the Knights Templar were soldiers of fortune,sun worshippers,those those that refused to leave , hid under the guise of European masons,they became the jesuits,that infiltrated all governments and are in control of all religions,better know as the FreeMasons because they set up shop in the New World and formed a government,that declared freedom of religon as there number one priority so they would not be driven off their land anymore because of their worship.It is written by them in their Constitution of The USA,which was dierctly fashioned after the Masons creed!

They keep the deception going,by not allowing it taught in the schools and out of the media.To go against their Supreme Law of the Land used to be called treason,

2928. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying bec...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 08:20:56 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d9aa5147-82e7-4638-a4f4-af5d96db845c@q32g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230855

On May 24, 9:45 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> I like what Peter Fonda said.
> cuhulin

I think his daughter Hanoi Jane said it best!

2929. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 08:22:45 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3b7e5cf4-b3ee-4d66-9d09-f952190435e5@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230856
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 24, 11:16 pm, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 24, 7:42 pm, GovShill <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 25, 12:31 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > In article <f775f380-91de-412d-a791-41b9804c57d5
> > > @z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>, gov.sh...@gmail.com says...
>
> > > > On May 25, 11:15 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > A socialist revolution overthrows the capitalist system. It
> > > > > establishes the system of political rule of the working class: the
> > > > > dictatorship of the proletariat, --the proletariat together with its
> > > > > allies making up the great majority of society, begins to tansform
> > > > > society. Socialist revolution creates an economy based on social
> > > > > ownership and meeting social need.
>
> > > > Hahahahahahaha!
>
> > > > Did you miss the whole second half of the 20th century?
>
> > > > Shill #2
>
> > > He's missed reality for a very long time, so he probably did. I wonder
> > > how old old Skep' is?
>
> > Even Seon's not as naive as spektical1 seems to be!
>
> > Shill #2- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> The socialist revolutions in the Soviet Union (1917-1956) and China
> (1949-1976) made tremendous advances towards a liberated world. With
> state power in their hands, the former have-nots were taking hold of
> and transforming society. The rule of profit and exploitation was
> ended. Basic social needs were met. Life expectancy in Maoist China

> doubled between 1949 and 1976, from 32 years to 65 years.
> Unprecedented strides were made in uprooting hte oppression of owmen
> and minority nationalities. These revolutions did not fail but were
> defeated by bourgeois and reactionary forces.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

This guy had a lots to do with it!

http://buinhuhung.com/English/4sovietAgentW.htm

2930. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 08:31:21 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a5eb7aa8-4bd5-4fdd-9762-7e0ee1b4cd86@z13g2000yqg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230857
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 24, 11:29 pm, GovShill <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On May 25, 1:16 pm, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 7:42 pm, GovShill <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 25, 12:31 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > In article <f775f380-91de-412d-a791-41b9804c57d5
> > > > @z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>, gov.sh...@gmail.com says...
>
> > > > > On May 25, 11:15 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > A socialist revolution overthrows the capitalist system. It
> > > > > > establishes the system of political rule of the working class: the
> > > > > > dictatorship of the proletariat, --the proletariat together with its
> > > > > > allies making up the great majority of society, begins to tansform
> > > > > > society. Socialist revolution creates an economy based on social
> > > > > > ownership and meeting social need.
>
> > > > > Hahahahahahaha!
>
> > > > > Did you miss the whole second half of the 20th century?
>
> > > > > Shill #2
>
> > > > He's missed reality for a very long time, so he probably did. I wonder
> > > > how old old Skep' is?
>
> > > Even Seon's not as naive as spektical1 seems to be!
>
> > > Shill #2- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > The socialist revolutions in the Soviet Union (1917-1956) and China
> > (1949-1976) made tremendous advances towards a liberated world. With
> > state power in their hands, the former have-nots were taking hold of

> > and transforming society. The rule of profit and exploitation was
> > ended. Basic social needs were met. Life expectancy in Maoist China
> > doubled between 1949 and 1976, from 32 years to 65 years.
> > Unprecedented strides were made in uprooting hte oppression of owmen
> > and minority nationalities. These revolutions did not fail but were
> > defeated by bourgeois and reactionary forces.
>
> Bwahahahahahahahahaha!
>
> Better than Seinfeld!
>
> Shill #2- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

I can remember back when I mentioned I thought it was a stupid waiste
of Tax Dollors to spend soo much money on a new arena for sporting
events and a foriegn lookinng shill said"I just might look like I'm
not from around her,but I was born here and I think the money was well
spent because I love basket-ball.

Now thats better than Seinfeld

2931. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 08:50:14 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0cbd54d0-7d51-4b95-bb28-4fcd9be2fac0@e13g2000vbo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230858
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 24, 1:38 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> joeturn wrote innews:430ba353-1188-448b-800b-55df3da85a18@l26g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 23, 7:45 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> >> joeturn wrote
> >> innews:1ec3f976-11ec-4715-a07c-bc6e
> > e943a...@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 23, 9:32 am, BDK wrote:
> >> >> In article <923332eb-bef3-4587-9370-
> >> >> 79b77643c...@y31g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> >> >> says...
>
> >> >> > On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> > > "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>
> >> >> > >news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegrou
> >> >> > >ps. com
> >> > ...
>
> >> >> > > > On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn wrote:
> >> >> > > >> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>
> >> >> > > >> wrote:
> >> >> > > >> > "John Smith" wrote in message
>
> >> >> > > >> >news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>
> >> >> > > >> > > > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> >> >> > > >> > >> "John Smith" wrote in message
> >> >> > > >> >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> >> >> > > >> >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> >> >> > > >> >>>> ...
> >> >> > > >> >>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we
> >> >> > > >> >>>> should acce
> >> > pt your
```

> >> >> > > >> > >>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your
> >> >> > > >> > >>>> denial of photographic
> >> >> > > >> > >>>> evidence is noted.
>
> >> >> > > >> > >>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that
> >> >> > > >> > >>>> don't matc
> >> > h your
> >> >> > > >> > >>>> claims.
>
> >> >> > > >> > >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain
> >> >> > > >> > >>> until you
> >> > deal
> >> >> > > >> > >>> with
> >> >> > > >> > >>> it ...
>
> >> >> > > >> > >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with
> >> >> > > >> > >> ignorance and
> >> > that
> >> >> > > >> > >> you
> >> >> > > >> > >> need to do something about it, but really you
> >> >> > > >> > >> shouldn't be ta
> >> > lking
> >> >> > > >> > >> to
> >> >> > > >> > >> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity
> >> >> > > >> > >> even fu
> >> > rther.
>
> >> >> > > >> > >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I
> >> >> > > >> > >>> do i
> >> > s repeat
> >> >> > > >> > >>> the
> >> >> > > >> > >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>
> >> >> > > >> > >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot
> >> >> > > >> > >> that is
> >> > so
> >> >> > > >> > >> ignorant
> >> >> > > >> > >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the
> >> >> > > >> > >> process of falling
> >> >> > > >> > >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine
> >> >> > > >> > >> his exper
> >> > ts
> >> >> > > >> > >> might not
> >> >> > > >> > >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid
> >> >> > > >> > >> enough to bu
> >> > y their
> >> >> > > >> > >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>
> >> >> > > >> > >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about
> >> >> > > >> > >> by your

> >> > own
> >> >> > > >> > >> admission.
>
> >> >> > > >> > >> Which means you're really not the right person to be
> >> >> > > >> > >> trying t
> >> > o talk
> >> >> > > >> > >> about
> >> >> > > >> > >> this stuff then are you?
>
> >> >> > > >> > >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since
> >> >> > > >> > >> maybe t
> >> > hey
> >> >> > > >> > >> might
> >> >> > > >> > >> actually know what they're talking about rather than
> >> >> > > >> > >> simply m
> >> > outhing
> >> >> > > >> > >> the
> >> >> > > >> > >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't
> >> >> > > >> > >> support, a
> >> > nd
> >> >> > > >> > >> can't
> >> >> > > >> > >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>
> >> >> > > >> > >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant
> >> >> > > >> > >> mouthpiece b
> >> > y your
> >> >> > > >> > >> own
> >> >> > > >> > >> admission, is there any further reason anyone should
> >> >> > > >> > >> listen t
> >> > o you
> >> >> > > >> > >> for
> >> >> > > >> > >> even a second?
>
> >> >> > > >> > > I think your post is more about you than me, why don't
> >> >> > > >> > > you ask
> >> > others
> >> >> > > >> > > if
> >> >> > > >> > > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are,
> >> >> > > >> > > or the
> >> > y are
> >> >> > > >> > > fooled
> >> >> > > >> > > into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis,
> >> >> > > >> > > facts,
> >> > etc.?
>
> >> >> > > >> IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.-
> >> >> > > >> Hide quot
> >> > ed
> >> >> > > >> text -
>

> >> >> > > >> > - Show quoted text -
>
> >> >> > > >> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the
> >> >> > > >> pentagram.
>
> >> >> > > > What missile attack, insane nut job?
>
> >> >> > > Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert"
> >> >> > > analysis of th
> >> > e
> >> >> > > frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the plane
> >> >> > > crashin
> >> > g into
> >> >> > > the side of the Pentagon.
>
> >> >> > > Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even
> >> >> > > consider is
> >> > that
> >> >> > > even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly
> >> >> > > preclude a mis
> >> > sile
> >> >> > > given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to
> >> >> > > the 5 sto
> >> > ries of
> >> >> > > the Pentagon.
>
> >> >> > > But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile,
> >> >> > > and that'
> >> > s good
> >> >> > > enough "proof" for Joe.
>
> >> >> > > >> Which
> >> >> > > >> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>
> >> >> > > > When did missiles hit it?
>
> >> >> > > I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>
> >> >> > > >> Three missiles are were
> >> >> > > >> recorded in the same FBI release,
>
> >> >> > > > Show us, insnae nut job.
>
> >> >> > > Yea, one "missile" per frame.
>
> >> >> > > >> Well the remnates of the first one is
> >> >> > > >> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can
> >> >> > > >> see the next two in motion!
>
> >> >> > > > Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.

>
> >> >> > > "It is too late for that"
>
> >> >> > > Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> >> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> >> >> > But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will
> >> >> > only contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>
> >> >> > Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!
>
> >> >> No, it takes some serious mental problems, serious drug abuse, or
> >> >> a combo of both. What is it with you?
>
> >> >> --
> >> >> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!-
> >> >> Hide qu
> >> > oted text -
>
> >> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> >> > I blame it on my IQ of 145.
>
> >> Methinks you are off by about a 100.
>
> >> --
> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > Thats because you are not capable of thinking.Show us what you got!
>
> >http://ig.gmodules.com/gadgets/ifr?view=home&url=http://wildfire.gigya.
> > com/wildfire/StpProvider.aspx%3Fut%3DAg8AAAADAAYAAFUASQBEABIAADIAMwA2AD
> > QAMQA0ADIAOAAxAAYAAEkASQBEABAAADMAMQA0ADQANAAxADkAMQAEAABuAHQADgAAaQBHA
> > G8AbwBnAGwAZQA%3D&nocache=0&lang=en&country=us&.lang=en&.country=us&syn
> > d=ig&mid=13&ifpctok=-2948449179267058375&exp_split_js=1&exp_track_js=1&
> > exp_new_js_flags=1&exp_ids=17259,300586&parent=http://www.google.com&re
> > fresh=3600&libs=core:core.io:core.iglegacy:auth-refresh&is_signedin=1
>
> I'm not the one boasting.
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....

> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have made
that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the test,while
not being over-medicated

2932. Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2348 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 09:26:34 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <405812f0-c57a-4baf-b3d0-a13c8fd1e029@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230859

Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2348 UTC
-via- Cuba on 24 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : Solid S9 with SIO 555 with Good Audio

Program in English with Spanish in the background
presenting an English version of the Story about the
Venezuelan Drug Lord Walid Makled and his family
along with Radio Nacional de Venezuela ID
http://www.rnv.gob.ve/noticias/index.php?act=ST&f=27&t=156081&hl=de+drogas&s=2d02507ffce59
9814975f8a4e212318f

Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV]
http://www.rnv.gob.ve/

Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV] "English Portal"
http://www.rnv.gov.ve/noticias/index.php?act=SF&f=31
.
RNV Canal Internacional Horas y Frecuencias
http://www.rnv.gob.ve/noticias/index.php?pg=internacional
.
The Short-Wave.Info -website- Lists for
Radio Nacional de Venezuela[RNV]
Frequencies and Times
http://www.short-wave.info/index.php?station=R.Nacional+de+Venezuela&language=&now=08&now2
=44&submit=GO
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
15,250 @ 2300-2400 {English & Spanish}
VEN : R. Nacional Venezuela : E,S : NAm : /CUB
from Venezuela in English & Spanish to North
American from/via Cuba
-note- If you receive RHC from Cuba good :
Then you will hear RNV good from Cuba too.
.
Prior "RNV" Shortwave Radio Reception Reports
and Info :
* Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 11,670 kHz @ 2210 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/1bf708dfa4344ee2
* Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2321 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/67e0848d4ee40ead
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog

Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

2933. Re: KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto, CA -Un-Silent-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 09:35:03 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1d5b4a31-9a1e-4f3f-96e2-28c951439817@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230860

On May 23, 5:57 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto, CA -Un-Silent-
> on 23 Mayo 2011
>
> Hearing KMPH this morning playing a constant
> stream of Old Time Music with an occasional
> KMPH Modesto ID -but- No Adz
>
> Is this just periodic Transmitter Testing ?
> -or- Is KMPH getting back On-the-Air ?
>
> KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto, CAhttp://www.kmph840.com/
>
> -more-info-
> KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto,
CAhttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/KMPH_%28AM%29http://www.radio-locator.com/info/KMPH-AM
> .
> -last-reported-13-oct-2010-
> KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto, CA
-silent-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/58a289a7f111c14d
> .
> -story-
> KMPH, 840 KCs, Modesto Shutting
Downhttp://www.modestoradiomuseum.org/KMPH%20OFF%20THE%20AIR.html
> -story-
> Modesto AM KMPH (840) will Turn Off the
Transmitterhttp://www.radio-info.com/news/modesto-am-kmph-840-will-turn-off-the-...
> -story-
> KMPH-AM “The Patriot” in Modesto, CA Will Go
Darkhttp://www.rbr.com/radio/engineering/station-ops/26956.html
> * Aerial Photo of Radio Towers
> .
> .
> yes - i heard it on the am/medium-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

-once-again-
KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto, CA -Un-Silent-
on 24 Mayo 2011

Hearing KMPH this afternoon playing a constant
stream of Old Time Music with an occasional
KMPH Modesto ID -but- No Adz

~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA
.

2934. CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 10:20:22 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <28dabe0b-e3dd-4de0-8402-dc38c68f7423@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230861

On May 24, 5:55 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/23/2011 10:06 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC
> > on 23 Mayo 2011
>
> > S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 545 and Good Audio
>
> > "CRI" News ID @ 1102 UTC
> > Chinese Vice-Prime Minister Visits Angola
> > Iceland Airport Closed due to Volcano
> > Beijing Weather Sun Shine and 29*C
> > and Weather around the World
> > "CRI" ID @ 1105 UTC
> > Program ID "Beijing Hour" @ 1105 UTC
> >http://english.cri.cn/cribb/programs/hour.htm
> > Topic : Unique Tibet University
> > .
> > China Radio International [CRI] English Portal
> >http://english.cri.cn/
> > .
> > CRI Shortwave Frequencies in North America
> >http://english.cri.cn/7146/2010/03/30/2141s560015.htm
> > .
> > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
> >http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > 5955 kHz @ 1100-1600 UTC
> > CHN : China Radio Int. : E : FE : b
> > from China [PRC] in English to the Far East
> > Transmitter Site : Beijing
> > .
> > ABOUT - China Radio International [CRI]
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/China_Radio_International
> > .
> > yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^info^
> > .

> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports :http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
> >http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .

-my-original-post-was-
CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/30bec83f1fd9d2fb
CRI = China Radio International
-it's-just-radio-dave-not-a-'wmd'-
.
Dave -wrote-new-subject-line-
"Nuke China while we still can"
-dave-such-hate-dave-such-hate-dave-
-nuclear-war-dave-nuclear-war-
-fiery-death-from-the-sky-dave-
killing-millions-of-innocent-chinese-
.
* Dave : Just another typical example [Post]
of how you constantly prove your are NO
Libertarian of any Strip
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/904a046dc39ff178
-dave-more-intolerance- -dave-more--hate-
* Dave, Dave, Dave : Once Again You Prove
That You Are NO Libertarian of any Stripe
-but- an Intolerant Elitist* With Uber
Liberal-Fascist Tendencies to Rain Nuclear
Death on China and Innocent Chinese
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/ebdb61838bf58144
* Whoops! Once Again 'Special-Dave' Proves
He Is NO Libertarian of any Stripe...
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb4b647df5e16654
* Dave the Hypocrisy of Calling Yourself a
"Libertarian" : Please Don't Give Real
'Libertarians' a Bad Name
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/442a559f6742f674
* Dave you are NO Libertarian of any Stripe
-but- an Intolerant Elitist with Inherited
Liberal-Fascist Tendencies.
.
dave,,, Dave... DAVE ! ! ! -sadly-
1 - You Have Become The Spirit of 'The John
Bircher' that you claim your Dad Was.
2 - You Shot Your Mouth-Off like a 'Minuteman'
3 - Your Posts Read Like Joe Pyne dialogs.
4 - Your "Nuke China while we still can"
-proclamation- Reads Like Barry Goldwater.
-dave-you-have-become-your-dad-

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/86831c3ac39d9bee
-dave-you-are-your-dad-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/2fc2588cc9da847d
-your-dad's-in-you-dave-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/592b61c4e541c73b
=You=Are=Your=Worst=Nightmare=Dave=
.
.
Dave,
May you live very long. Well at least long
enough to Regret your Politics ;;-}} ~ RHF
-peace-&-love- -peace-&-love- -peace-&-love-
.
.
Dave,
How does a simple Shortwave Radio Reception
Report
~translate~into~a~
"Nuke China while we still can"
~reply~from~you~
-wrt-my-original-post-
CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/30bec83f1fd9d2fb
CRI = China Radio International
-it's-just-radio-dave-not-a-'wmd'-
.
-ps- Dave Don't Trash The On-Topic Posts :
It Makes You Look Worse That Me ~ RHF
.
.

2935. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 10:24:48 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <cf5909ad-9145-46bf-99f9-fc94e8eadc42@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230862

On May 24, 11:33 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> My end of the World (Physical World/Earth) will happen the Moment I
> Croak.
> cuhulin

Cuhulin,
Nah - We Gonna Bury You {Re-Cycle You}
and You will Be Part of This Earth
. . . Forever . . . ~ RHF
-you-be-make-of-earth-stuff-;;-}}-
.
.

2936. Now Ascending : Brother Harold Camping - 'Rapture-for-One'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 10:42:00 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <c19c7124-dc2a-435a-8c75-94ef99d3f71f@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230863

On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob <Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
> rapture?
>
> I hope it's not too soon - I just ordered a tiny-tenna.

Sure was Wishing that there was gonna be
a personal 'Rapture-for-One' just for that
nice Brother Harold Camping [BHC] :o)
http://www.panicmanual.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/rapture.jpg
-sort-of...-one-up-man-ship-
-yes-up-up-and-away-

"BHC" Would Just Disappear and Everyone
Would 'Know' God Had Taken Him as One of
The-Elect ...sadly... BHC is still here
and thus we can consider for now . . .
God Does Not Consider BHC One of The-Elect
-oops-left-behind-again-stuck-on-earth-

bhc is knock knock knocking on heavens
door; and no body is answering ~ RHF
.
.

2937. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 11:01:27 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <44091c60-a33b-4e1a-8aa9-cced83d42cb0@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230864
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

Did everyone get to see this?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uoEgkGNO-sQ&feature=related

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EHDf3zCncu0&feature=related

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SkND3LHtkZE&feature=related

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sOTAR3ab9VI&feature=related

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P25W8xISwA4&feature=related

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EpcXUA4EOts&feature=related

2938. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 11:21:01 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iriomtevu1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230865

On 5/24/2011 8:01 PM, John Smith wrote:

> A few of us realize that for things to get better, we first have to do
> the proper things to make them better ... we also see that nothing is
> being done along those lines ...

"Nothing is being done" to make things better?

Sorry, looks like you are wrong again...

How quickly you forgot about the improvements to the health care system. Millions of people previously without health care now have more than their previous choices of either overloading the ER or being put out on the curb to die.

How quickly you forgot the two saved auto companies and tens of thousands of jobs that were saved. Both GM and Chrysler paid back most of their debt to the government...and today GM is going to announce 1000-2000 new jobs. (So much for those turkeys that whined about the bailouts.)

But hey, if you call those "nothing", this is America, you are entitled to your opinion. Heck, you're even entitled to be a kooktard troll.

2939. Bienvenidos El "Arcos de Oro" Amigos !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 11:40:47 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <a32f5e9b-59ca-40b8-976d-500f9a3b514e@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230866
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On May 23, 10:55 pm, Red Cloud <mmdir2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 23, 8:46 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > On 5/23/2011 8:22 PM, Benny Fishhole wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > That's right, they are now Mexican by the second definition. Now go
> > > tell your illegal bride to go cook up some tacos.
>
> > As I said, today, for all practical purposes, "BEANER = INDIAN." ...
> > end of story ...
>
> > If you doubt it, take a look at them, today the majority in my city are
> > South American Indians ... and here from Brazil, Peru, Guatemala, etc.
>
> > And, like I further said, most do not use the word "mexican" properly ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS

- Well most Hispanics are Mexicans.

nah,,, Nah... NAH ! ! !

Latin America has roughly 300M Hispanics
excluding 190M Brazilians.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_Latin_American_countries_by_population

Mexico has the largest Hispanic Population with
112M about 1/3rd of the Hispanics living in
Latin America -but- 1/3rd is NOT the Majority.

FWIW : The USA has a Hispanic Population of
~48M : Making the USA #2 : 2nd to Mexico
http://www.infoplease.com/spot/hhmcensus1.html
http://hispanic.com/topics/hispanicpopulation.aspx

That 48M US Hispanics is larger than the 33M
Canadians; and Canada has a small Hispanic
Population of just around 300K.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Latin_American_Canadian
That's about the Population of Pittsburgh, PA
-or- Cincinnati, OH -or- London, ON {Canada}

just cause 'i' hiss hiss hiss...
-there-is-no-need-to- panic ~ RHF
.
.

WRT A McDonalds with all whites and
NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

This is not 1940; and the move from Monrovia,
to San Bernardino, CA : Sure do sound like a
move in the Hispanic direction :
Since California and San Bernardino are
Hispanic Words {Place Names} NOT English.

Plenty of Lily-White Redheaded and Blond
Hispanics including Mexicans; even some
with Freckles.

OBTW : Des Plaines [IL] Is a French Word
{Place Name} NOT English.

El "Arcos de Oro" shine the way north
for our southern neighbors. ~ RHF
.
.
>That's why I use Mexican first
> before
> Brazilian or Peruvian or other South American National. Most Illegal
> aliens
> are Mexicans. That's the issue! Most Hispanic gangbangers are
> Mexicans.
> So do you have problem with that? Those bastard are waving Mexican
> flag
> during Labor day protest in US territory. No Hispanic waved
> Brazilian or Peruvian flag. It's only Mexican waving their flag
> because they are anti-Americans in US society. That's the #1
> Hispanic/Latino.problem we face.

2940. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 11:50:41 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8fa3d7e5-41cd-48b1-845f-6acd3fea3f6b@y12g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230867
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 24, 8:26 pm, First Post <Progressives...@Invalid.org> wrote:
> On Tue, 24 May 2011 12:40:15 -0700 (PDT), gfn <gfn...@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
> >> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
> >> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> >> >> >> ...
>
> >> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
> >> >> >> >> you
> >> >> >> >> keep
> >> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>
> >> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
> >> >> >> >> of all
> >> >> >> >> of
> >> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
> >> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are
> >> >> >> >> NOT paying their

> >> >> >> fair
> >> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>
> >> >> >> >> Regards,
> >> >> >> >> JS
>
> >> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> >> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
> >> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>
> >> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>
> >> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>
> >> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>
> >> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>
> >> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>
> >Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
> >from the income tax. The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
> >tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax as
> >the method of funding government. If you fully understand the FairTax
> >you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>
> >> The FairTax is a replacement
>
> >> > for the income tax.
>
> >> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>
> >Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
> >better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
> >leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in place
> >as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls of our
> >current tax system.
>
> >> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>
> >> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>
> >> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments so
> >> that it does not become regressive.
>
> >I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>
> >Current tax system:
>

> >Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
> >IRS takes 25%: $250.
> >Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
> >Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
> >Taxpayer has $620 left.
>
> >Fairtax system:
>
> >Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
> >IRS takes 0%: $0
> >Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
> >Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
> >Taxpayer has $870 left.
>
> >I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>
> >Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
> >IRS takes 0%: $0
> >Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
> >Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
> >Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
> >Taxpayer has $900 left.
>
> >So, again, how is that regressive.
>
> >Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
> >questions you might have:
>
> >1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
> >particular attention to the FAQ.
> >2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
> >3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>
> >It will all become crystal clear.
>
> Got news for you Einstein. You have to bring in over $5800 for any of
> your income to be taxed. The deductibles are as follows:
>
> $5,800 for unmarried taxpayers or married taxpayers filing
> separately,
> $11,600 for married taxpayers filing jointly, and
> $8,500 for taxpayers filing as head of household.
> The additional standard deduction allowed for blind taxpayers and
> senior citizens will be $1,150 if married filing jointly and $1,450 if
> single.
>
> And just how do you expect those in retail to determine how much to
> tax any individual? Are you suggesting that everyone carry some
> special "rich guy" card around to show so they can get raped at the
> cashier? Or maybe create a grand database and require everyone in

> retail to subscribe to it thus allowing them to know more about you
> than most people care to share?
> How about you just make everyone with over a $250,000 income sew a big
> gold dollar sign on their clothing so they'll be easier to spot and
> round up to put on the trains to the camps?
>
> Furthermore, your insane notion that I should pay over 42 cents on the
> dollar for any and all purchases I make simply because I am skilled
> and lucky enough to get a considerably larger paycheck than you do
> sounds more like typical class envy crap than anyhing that can be
> called "fair".
>
> Where did you come up with 42.7% as being "fair"?
> Are you simply figuring on that percentage leveling the playing field
> to the point that no one will make anymore than the lowest paid in the
> country? That might make you feel all warm and fuzzy but at the same
> time it would dramatically decrease any drive or desire for anyone to
> actually be successful in any field. Who the hell wants to go to
> college and spend all that it takes to get a degree and go out and get
> a $100,000 or $200,000 a year paycheck when they could save their
> tuition and go get a job making tires and be able to keep the same
> $50,000 or so a year without worrying about giving half or more to the
> government?
>
> Tell you what hotshot. I'll put up how much in income, sales, excise,
> property et al in taxes that I pay in a given year against what you
> pay in total and just see how "fair" it comes out to be in reality.
>
> Uncle Sam is already getting a quarter of my taxable income on top of
> the state, city and county getting another 10 to 15 percent in
> property, sales and other taxes.
>
> Yours is the typical "I know how much anyone really needs to live on"
> better than anyone else bullshit.
>
> But go ahead. Place a ridiculous sales tax on the items that the
> people you perceive as being wealthy purchase and watch your neighbors
> and friends and family suddenly wondering why they're getting laid off
> due to slow downs in sales of big ticket items that a lot of them get
> paid to make.
> Sure, the wealthy will continue to purchase the items that they really
> really want, but a lot of us aren't going to be jumping on a $10,000
> item that will end up costing over $14,000 after sales tax alone.
> That's not how we managed to have anything in the bank in the first
> place.
> If your scheme were in place now then GM would have lost one sale that
> I know of as I will not pay no $57,000 for the truck that has a
> $40,000 price tag on it just so you don't have to pay a dime. They
> likely would have lost a shitload of sales as a result of such a tax
> scheme.

>
> You only see how such a scheme will benefit yourself and not how it
> would affect anyone or anything else.
> Such tunnelvision typically results in more harm than good in any
> circumstance.
>
> BTW, since your scheme is supposed to be an exercise in perfection,
> can you tell us just what nations in the world have anything similar
> that has been a boost to their economies or improved the quality of
> life of the lowest income earners as a result?
> Since you see the plan as an example of pure genius then it should be
> no problem at all for you to show us where in the world it has worked.
>
> Lastly, your "fair tax" would not eliminate the federal income tax nor
> would it eliminate state income taxes or any of the other municipal,
> county and state taxes.
> So your so called "fair tax" would simply be just another big money
> grab and all of the horseshit predictions about it increasing
> consumption and GDP etc is a load of pure crap.
>

FP, you may want to go back and clarify just who and what you are
responding to. I never suggested any 42% tax on anyone, John Smith
did. I did suggest the FairTax, which is significantly different than
what John Smith has been writing about. When you are ready to debate
the merits of the FairTax, which assumes you understand what it is,
then let's talk. First, you need to distinguish between what I
propose and what Smith propose.

>
>
>
>
>
>
> >> --
> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> Don't complain.

2941. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 12:04:51 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3c1c9024-89bd-4338-bca4-fb1f2a742e49@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 24, 10:00 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:

> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM, Iarnrod proved:
> > On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
> >> Mighty Iarnrod proved:
> >>> Yes, he would have been
> >> No,
> > > Yes, he would have been.
> No,

Yes, he would have been.

2942. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 12:06:24 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <l2spt6pvj00nvta189rg51uut0n97mp7r6@4ax.com>
Source record: 230869
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 25 May 2011 01:31:21 -0700 (PDT), joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I can remember back when I mentioned I thought it was a stupid waiste
>of Tax Dollors to spend soo much money on a new arena for sporting
>events and a foriegn lookinng shill said"I just might look like I'm
>not from around her,but I was born here and I think the money was well
>spent because I love basket-ball.

Now you're just taking the piss!

Shill #2
--
"Me fail English? That's unpossible!"
Ralph Wiggum

2943. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 12:45:33 UTC
From: Read the book <Scripture@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MR6Dp.13189$7N5.6440@newsfe04.iad>
Source record: 230870

"No man knows the hour!"
Mathew:24. 36
Mather:25. 13
Revelation:3 .3
.. Except for the boastful and swindlers, of course.
<arthrnyork@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:45b1fd50-f9f0-40ca-9905-45c6b2b2bc46@a10g2000vbz.googlegroups.com...
On May 24, 1:12 pm, "D. Peter Maus" <dpeterm...@att.net> wrote:
> On 5/24/11 10:55 , bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob<Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
> >> rapture?
>
> >> I hope it's not too soon - I just ordered a tiny-tenna.
>
> > Camping dood it already - October 21, 2011. He forgot to multiply the
> > month by 2. Seriously. What a predatory prick.
>
> False prophet by definition.

It depends . . . Especially in times of uncertainty , such as now ,
people need good news and encouragement and instead of it we hear more
and more apocalyptic voices . 'Half-man , half-pig ' .

_____ Information from ESET Smart Security, version of virus signature
database 6150 (20110525) _____

The message was checked by ESET Smart Security.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET Smart Security, version of virus signature database 6150
(20110525) _____

The message was checked by ESET Smart Security.

http://www.eset.com

2944. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 13:26:44 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF041912758FExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.250>
Source record: 230871
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

OBAMA's TRUTH SQUADS:: Ministering to your FUTURE!

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C5yhxqkJiAQ&feature=player_embedded
http://www.strangepolitics.com/images/content/160688.jpg
http://www.paragraph11.com/images/all/Paragraph11-Clinton-Joker.jpg

They're the one's wearing the masks.

2945. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 13:31:10 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF04251F5E06ExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.250>
Source record: 230872
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout"
...
> Much like a man might claim that a mirage is real,
> even though in reality it's just an illusion created by other forces.

DEBT is no illusion,
it is created by Politico's who
are factually not held accountable.

Such consequence is NOT inconsequential.

http://www.libertylive.org/Uploads/The%20Debt%20Star.jpg
DEBTSTAR:: This is NOT the Hope you have been searching for.

2946. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 13:36:11 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <4q0qt6podmbrdp7m1aofuth71rmut87cjn@4ax.com>
Source record: 230874
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On Wed, 25 May 2011 00:13:15 -0400, "Scout"
<me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:

>> There's no such thing as fairness when taking money by gunpoint. So
>> the problem for the state is in how to get the most revenue with the
>> least risk - and the best government does this for the long term, the
>> worst do it for the short term.
>
>Yea, but the perception of fairness tends to reduce the risk. Some are
>suggesting we utterly ignore any attempt at fairness and simply steal all we
>can.

Agreed. But perceptions vary. Certainly there isn't much agreement
about what "fair" means here.

The results of having the wealthy believe that their taxes being
unfair could be with them moving their investments offshore or buying
off politicians. But those are what people are complaining about now
- would they be any worse with Reagan era taxes? It is not obvious
that this is the case.

Some "social engineering" taxes are better than others. For
instance, if tax breaks were made for investing within our country
resulted in more middle-class paying taxes, the country might find tax
revenue increasing. Those who don't want the rich to have any tax
breaks can be hurting themselves here - but perception matters.

That seems better to me than giving tax breaks to Oil companies, as
there is danger in being dependent upon oil-supplying countries. There
is a long history of giving breaks to companies that produce products
that we need for national security, and taxing products that give our
enemies strength.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

2947. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 13:38:33 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2846d067f27e136f98a261@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230873
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <27f36ebb-b610-4f92-85d3-
2bbbe4b812ae@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>, skepticl1@aol.com says...
>
> On May 24, 8:54ï¿œpm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> > On May 24, 11:16ï¿œpm, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 24, 7:42ï¿œpm, GovShill <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > On May 25, 12:31ï¿œpm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > In article <f775f380-91de-412d-a791-41b9804c57d5
> > > > > @z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>, gov.sh...@gmail.com says...
> >
> > > > > > On May 25, 11:15ï¿œam, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > A socialist revolution overthrows the capitalist system. It
> > > > > > > establishes the system of political rule of the working class: the
> > > > > > > dictatorship of the proletariat, --the proletariat together with its
> > > > > > > allies making up the great majority of society, begins to tansform
> > > > > > > society. Socialist revolution creates an economy based on social
> > > > > > > ownership and meeting social need.
> >
> > > > > > Hahahahahahaha!
> >
> > > > > > Did you miss the whole second half of the 20th century?
> >
> > > > > > Shill ₱2
> >
> > > > > He's missed reality for a very long time, so he probably did. I wonder
> > > > > how old old Skep' is?
> >
> > > > Even Seon's not as naive as spektical1 seems to be!
> >
> > > > Shill ₱2- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > - Show quoted text -
> >

> > > The socialist revolutions in the Soviet Union (1917-1956) and China
> > > (1949-1976) made tremendous advances towards a liberated world. With
> > > state power in their hands, the former have-nots were taking hold of
> > > and transforming society. The rule of profit and exploitation was
> > > ended. Basic social needs were met. Life expectancy in Maoist China
> > > doubled between 1949 and 1976, from 32 years to 65 years.
> > > Unprecedented strides were made in uprooting hte oppression of owmen
> > > and minority nationalities. These revolutions did not fail but were
> > > defeated by bourgeois and reactionary forces.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > You must be confusing too many events and trying to bring nearly
> > everything to the lowest common denominator . Left ï¿œand especially the
> > right wing of the SR party was wiped out in 1918 by the bolsheviks .
> > Now China ,while following in the footsteps of the Russian Experiment
> > went much further . During ' The Great Leap ' they literally starved
> > the farmers by the millions . Are you related to Pol Pot ?- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Both revolutions and civil wars and subsequent struggles were awsome
> affairs involving tens of millions of people in far reaching struggle
> and historically unheard of change. In China the Maoists did not
> starve the Chinese people, in fact, starvation was a season occurances
> for the history of China, including when the British and Imperialist
> were running China with their puppet feudal Chinese landlords. Because
> of Socialism China ended it season cycles of starvation. The straight
> talk on Pol Pot was that the United States wiped out the society there
> with mass bombing, and the US even invaded with troops, so much of
> the blame must be laid on Uncle Sam for wiping out society in
> Cambodia, and this greatly influenced the Khmer Rouge; it was not
> simply the killing of 400,000 people with out historical context.

Wow, you're seriously deranged there Skep. I wonder if drugs would help?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2948. Re: A frequency below 16 Hz is not sound

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 13:42:05 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4ddd06ce$0$12473$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230875

On 05/24/2011 08:27 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 24, 8:00 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>> Get Ready.HAARP Has Been Turned Up Again.http://www.standeyo.com
>> cuhulin
>
> 2.6 Hz is sub-audible frequency for humans . Some animals like
> elephants and whales can hear it , not us . It is time to consult them
> and see what is up this time . I don't hear a thing .

It is perceived as a train of impulses. Humans have no problem sensing
it; they just don't perceive it as sound.

2949. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 13:49:45 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <irj1dq$b74$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230876

On 5/24/11 23:48 , bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 24, 1:05 pm, Joe from Kokomo<j...@indy.net> wrote:
>>> On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob<Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
>>>> rapture?
>>
>> On 5/24/2011 11:55 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>
>>> Camping dood it already - October 21, 2011. He forgot to multiply the
>>> month by 2. Seriously. What a predatory prick.
>>
>> Maybe so, but Camping was smart enough to find people stupid enough to
>> donate 18.3 million dollars to his "church" last year.
>>
>> They interviewed one of his acolytes on the radio and she said she was
>> sitting in her back yard, praying for the end of the world because she
>> had money troubles.
>>
>> Possibly her "money troubles" would not have been quite so bad if she
>> hadn't given her money to that quack.
>>
>> Once again to paraphrase H. L. Mencken, "You'll never go broke
>> underestimating the stupidity of the American public". Camping obviously
>> took that advice to heart.
>
> Joe - it ain't hard to find people stupid enough for this in America
> 2011. Camping had easy pickins'.

In a time when life is evermore difficult, and the world has
become not only more complex, but dangerous, the promise of paradise
is attractive to those without the resources to withstand tough times.

And as we continue to dumb down the population, there will be a
virtually inexhaustable supply of fools taken in by these charlatans.

2950. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 14:11:49 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DDD0E25-3033@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230877

Doggy doesn't hear that HAARP machine crankin up either.

Isn't there some kind of ELF (Extreme Low Frequency) receiver available that can be used for listening to the Earth? I think Art Bell or George Noory used to advertize such a device.

U.S.Military has something like that for transmitting/receiving signals.

The World didn't end yesterday, will not end today, maybe tomorrow.
cuhulin

2951. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 14:27:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DDD11D9-3034@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230878

Loretta Lynn, one of my Top Notch Favorites.Judgement Day, the End of the World had come,,,,,,

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Loretta+Lynn+Music+Standing+Room+Only
cuhulin

2952. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 14:38:33 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <SrWdnYSzyaDviUDQnZ2dnUVZ5o-dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2953. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard fucked up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 14:40:13 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <SrWdnYezyaBTiUDQnZ2dnUVZ5o8AAAAA@giganews.com>
Source record: 230880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> On May 24, 9:19 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:
>
>> tard boy lied:
>>> On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
>>>> tard boy peed in his own sippy cup:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

2954. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 15:36:33 UTC
From: oldwifetale <oldwifetale@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2c671c39-7681-4583-b75b-75b9eefaf39a@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230881
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 25, 3:18 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 24, 8:19 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 6:23 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > Here is some interesting tidbits of history I gathered while my head
> > > was in the clouds!
>
> > >http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/knights_templar_holy_l...
>
> > >http://www.angelfire.com/journal2/ck15endtimecolumn/et9.html
>
> > >http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/the_merovingian_bloodl...
>
> > > Looks like the freemasons(Knights Templar)were in control of Russia
> > > for a looong time.
>
> > What ? ? ? Knights Templar were destryed by the Church several
> > centuries before Russia became anything closely resembling a single-
> > unified powerful state . Freemasons are not exactly a religious
> > monastic order , but rather a secret society out of many that existed
> > in Western Europe in the 18th and especially 19th century . Freemasons
> > were outlawed in many countries sporadically and Imperial Russia
> > certainly was one of them . The Bolsheviks annihilated anyone they
> > considered as a threat ,especially the Freemasons . And that's the way
> > it was back in those times .
>
> They are one in the same the Knights Templar were soldiers of
> fortune,sun worshippers,those those that refused to leave , hid under
> the guise of European masons,they became the jesuits,that infiltrated
> all governments and are in control of all religions,better know as the
> FreeMasons because they set up shop in the New World and formed a
> government,that declared freedom of religon as there number one

> priority so they would not be driven off their land anymore because of
> their worship.It is written by them in their Constitution of The
> USA,which was dierctly fashioned after the Masons creed!
>
> They keep the deception going,by not allowing it taught in the schools
> and out of the media.To go against their Supreme Law of the Land used
> to be called treason,

Free Masons vs Slave Masons

As in free "stone mason".
(and as in free "architect")
Dating back to ancient Egypt
(and the "Ben-Ben Stone")
and probably before *that*.

Infiltration of *Free* Masons/Lodges
Yes.
Infiltration of "The Church"
Yes.
Infiltration of all major religious organizations.
Yes.
Infiltration of government.
Yes.
Infiltration of military.
Yes.
Infiltration of intelligence agencies.
Yes.
Infiltration of law enforcement agencies.
Yes.

But enough about *the present*.

2955. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 15:42:13 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irj80n$o54$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230882

On 5/25/2011 4:21 AM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:
> On 5/24/2011 8:01 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
>> A few of us realize that for things to get better, we first have to do
>> the proper things to make them better ... we also see that nothing is
>> being done along those lines ...
>
> "Nothing is being done" to make things better?
>
> Sorry, looks like you are wrong again...
>
> How quickly you forgot about the improvements to the health care
> system. Millions of people previously without health care now have
> more than their previous choices of either overloading the ER or being
> put out on the curb to die.
>
> How quickly you forgot the two saved auto companies and tens of
> thousands of jobs that were saved. Both GM and Chrysler paid back most
> of their debt to the government...and today GM is going to announce
> 1000-2000 new jobs. (So much for those turkeys that whined about the
> bailouts.)
>
> But hey, if you call those "nothing", this is America, you are
> entitled to your opinion. Heck, you're even entitled to be a kooktard
> troll.

We probaby need somewhere between 25 and 50 million jobs ... when they start creating millions of jobs a year, you are going to see some kind of improvement ... until the "improvement" is meaningful, don't even wake me up!

A bandaid on a bullet wound might be an improvement, if the patient dies, it wasn't enough of one ...

Regards,
JS

2956. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 15:46:38 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irj88v$o54$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230883
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/24/2011 8:16 PM, skepticl1@aol.com wrote:
> ...
> The socialist revolutions in the Soviet Union (1917-1956) and China
> (1949-1976) made tremendous advances towards a liberated world. With
> state power in their hands, the former have-nots were taking hold of
> and transforming society. The rule of profit and exploitation was
> ended. Basic social needs were met. Life expectancy in Maoist China
> doubled between 1949 and 1976, from 32 years to 65 years.
> Unprecedented strides were made in uprooting hte oppression of owmen
> and minority nationalities. These revolutions did not fail but were
> defeated by bourgeois and reactionary forces.

WOW, great news for the chinese sweat shops!

Now the employees can work longer and endure worse conditions, thanks to their increased longevity! Support the company store, enjoy living in the company housing. Enjoying such a low wage that they must going on enduring the poor working conditions, etc.

WAS GREAT!

NOT!

Regards,
JS

2957. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 15:50:01 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <901038fa-5e47-4a5d-a3d0-64bb49cf5d84@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230884

On May 25, 8:42 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/25/2011 4:21 AM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/24/2011 8:01 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
> >> A few of us realize that for things to get better, we first have to do
> >> the proper things to make them better ... we also see that nothing is
> >> being done along those lines ...
>
> > "Nothing is being done" to make things better?
>
> > Sorry, looks like you are wrong again...
>
> > How quickly you forgot about the improvements to the health care
> > system. Millions of people previously without health care now have
> > more than their previous choices of either overloading the ER or being
> > put out on the curb to die.
>
> > How quickly you forgot the two saved auto companies and tens of
> > thousands of jobs that were saved. Both GM and Chrysler paid back most
> > of their debt to the government...and today GM is going to announce
> > 1000-2000 new jobs. (So much for those turkeys that whined about the
> > bailouts.)
>
> > But hey, if you call those "nothing", this is America, you are
> > entitled to your opinion. Heck, you're even entitled to be a kooktard
> > troll.
>
> We probaby need somewhere between 25 and 50 million jobs ... when they
> start creating millions of jobs a year, you are going to see some kind
> of improvement ... until the "improvement" is meaningful, don't even
> wake me up!
>
> A bandaid on a bullet wound might be an improvement, if the patient
> dies, it wasn't enough of one ...
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Oh, come on - doesn't this sound just a little hyperbolic, even to you? This 50 million figure is enough jobs for every American PLUS every illegal immigrant including the kids. We have NEVER had employment levels like that - nor will we until we have unprecedented demand for the goods and services those jobs would produce.

Bruce Jensen

2958. Re: A frequency below 16 Hz is not sound

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 15:50:53 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bd2f41ab-5859-4977-8397-9b9c940caaf7@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230885

On May 25, 6:42 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/24/2011 08:27 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > On May 24, 8:00 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> >> Get Ready.HAARP Has Been Turned Up Again.http://www.standeyo.com
> >> cuhulin
>
> > 2.6 Hz is sub-audible frequency for humans . Some animals like
> > elephants and whales can hear it , not us . It is time to consult them
> > and see what is up this time . I don't hear a thing .
>
> It is perceived as a train of impulses. Humans have no problem sensing
> it; they just don't perceive it as sound.

Whoomp whoomp whoomp...like energetic sex ;-)

2959. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 15:52:14 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9eb161c9-96d4-4e98-83a7-bab85c723e5d@k27g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230887

On May 25, 12:16 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 24, 1:05 pm, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > > On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob<Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
> > >> rapture?
>
> > On 5/24/2011 11:55 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > > > Camping dood it already - October 21, 2011. He forgot to multiply the
> > > > month by 2. Seriously. What a predatory prick.
>
> > > Maybe so, but Camping was smart enough to find people stupid enough to
> > > donate 18.3 million dollars to his "church" last year.
>
> > > They interviewed one of his acolytes on the radio and she said she was
> > > sitting in her back yard, praying for the end of the world because she
> > > had money troubles.
>
> > > Possibly her "money troubles" would not have been quite so bad if she
> > > hadn't given her money to that quack.
>
> > > Once again to paraphrase H. L. Mencken, "You'll never go broke
> > > underestimating the stupidity of the American public". Camping obviously
> > > took that advice to heart.
>
> Oh Ye of Litte Faith$:
> It Pay$ To Be an End-Time Prof-It$
> .
> .- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

I could use a few extra $$$ right now...

2960. Re: Now Ascending : Brother Harold Camping - 'Rapture-for-One'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 15:52:48 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fa181169-6883-474b-afdd-52da4208028b@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230886

On May 25, 3:42 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob <Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
> > rapture?
>
> > I hope it's not too soon - I just ordered a tiny-tenna.
>
> Sure was Wishing that there was gonna be
> a personal 'Rapture-for-One' just for that
> nice Brother Harold Camping [BHC]
:o)http://www.panicmanual.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/rapture.jpg
> -sort-of...-one-up-man-ship-
> -yes-up-up-and-away-
>
> "BHC" Would Just Disappear and Everyone
> Would 'Know' God Had Taken Him as One of
> The-Elect ...sadly... BHC is still here
> and thus we can consider for now . . .
> God Does Not Consider BHC One of The-Elect
> -oops-left-behind-again-stuck-on-earth-
>
> bhc is knock knock knocking on heavens
> door; and no body is answering ~ RHF
> .
> .

A special combo of capture and rapture just for Camping - the Crapture!

2961. Re: CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 15:53:46 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3ec672b5-16a5-4992-aef0-a70d617bf1bd@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230890

On May 25, 3:20 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 24, 5:55 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 05/23/2011 10:06 AM, RHF wrote:
>
> > > CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC
> > > on 23 Mayo 2011
>
> > > S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 545 and Good Audio
>
> > > "CRI" News ID @ 1102 UTC
> > > Chinese Vice-Prime Minister Visits Angola
> > > Iceland Airport Closed due to Volcano
> > > Beijing Weather Sun Shine and 29*C
> > > and Weather around the World
> > > "CRI" ID @ 1105 UTC
> > > Program ID "Beijing Hour" @ 1105 UTC
> > >http://english.cri.cn/cribb/programs/hour.htm
> > > Topic : Unique Tibet University
> > > .
> > > China Radio International [CRI] English Portal
> > >http://english.cri.cn/
> > > .
> > > CRI Shortwave Frequencies in North America
> > >http://english.cri.cn/7146/2010/03/30/2141s560015.htm
> > > .
> > > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
> > >http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > > 5955 kHz @ 1100-1600 UTC
> > > CHN : China Radio Int. : E : FE : b
> > > from China [PRC] in English to the Far East
> > > Transmitter Site : Beijing
> > > .
> > > ABOUT - China Radio International [CRI]
> > >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/China_Radio_International
> > > .
> > > yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^info^
> > > .

> > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports :http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
> > >http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> > > .
> > > - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > .
> > > .
>
> -my-original-post-was-
> CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/30bec83f1fd9d2fb
> CRI = China Radio International
> -it's-just-radio-dave-not-a-'wmd'-
> .
> Dave -wrote-new-subject-line-
> "Nuke China while we still can"
> -dave-such-hate-dave-such-hate-dave-
> -nuclear-war-dave-nuclear-war-
> -fiery-death-from-the-sky-dave-
> killing-millions-of-innocent-chinese-
> .
> * Dave : Just another typical example [Post]
> of how you constantly prove your are NO
> Libertarian of any
Striphttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/904a046dc39ff178
> -dave-more-intolerance- -dave-more--hate-
> * Dave, Dave, Dave : Once Again You Prove
> That You Are NO Libertarian of any Stripe
> -but- an Intolerant Elitist* With Uber
> Liberal-Fascist Tendencies to Rain Nuclear
> Death on China and Innocent
Chinesehttp://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/ebdb61838b...
> * Whoops! Once Again 'Special-Dave' Proves
> He Is NO Libertarian of any
Stripe...http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb4b647df5e16654
> * Dave the Hypocrisy of Calling Yourself a
> "Libertarian" : Please Don't Give Real
> 'Libertarians' a Bad
Namehttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/442a559f6742f674
> * Dave you are NO Libertarian of any Stripe
> -but- an Intolerant Elitist with Inherited
> Liberal-Fascist Tendencies.
> .
> dave,,, Dave... DAVE ! ! ! -sadly-
> 1 - You Have Become The Spirit of 'The John
> Bircher' that you claim your Dad Was.
> 2 - You Shot Your Mouth-Off like a 'Minuteman'
> 3 - Your Posts Read Like Joe Pyne dialogs.
> 4 - Your "Nuke China while we still can"
> -proclamation- Reads Like Barry Goldwater.
> -dave-you-have-become-your-dad-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/86

831c3ac39d9bee
> -dave-you-are-your-dad-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/2fc2588cc9
da847d
> -your-dad's-in-you-dave-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/592b61c4e
541c73b
> =You=Are=Your=Worst=Nightmare=Dave=
> .
> .
> Dave,
> May you live very long. Well at least long
> enough to Regret your Politics ;;-}} ~ RHF
> -peace-&-love- -peace-&-love- -peace-&-love-
> .
> .
> Dave,
> How does a simple Shortwave Radio Reception
> Report
> ~translate~into~a~
> "Nuke China while we still can"
> ~reply~from~you~
> -wrt-my-original-post-
> CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/30bec83f1fd9d2fb
> CRI = China Radio International
> -it's-just-radio-dave-not-a-'wmd'-
> .
> -ps- Dave Don't Trash The On-Topic Posts :
> It Makes You Look Worse That Me ~ RHF
> .
> .- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

LOL! How did you turn a simple sarcastic one-line comment into a
tirade?

2962. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 15:53:59 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irj8mo$o54$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230888

On 5/25/2011 8:50 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 25, 8:42 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/25/2011 4:21 AM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 8:01 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>>> A few of us realize that for things to get better, we first have to do
>>>> the proper things to make them better ... we also see that nothing is
>>>> being done along those lines ...
>>> "Nothing is being done" to make things better?
>>> Sorry, looks like you are wrong again...
>>> How quickly you forgot about the improvements to the health care
>>> system. Millions of people previously without health care now have
>>> more than their previous choices of either overloading the ER or being
>>> put out on the curb to die.
>>> How quickly you forgot the two saved auto companies and tens of
>>> thousands of jobs that were saved. Both GM and Chrysler paid back most
>>> of their debt to the government...and today GM is going to announce
>>> 1000-2000 new jobs. (So much for those turkeys that whined about the
>>> bailouts.)
>>> But hey, if you call those "nothing", this is America, you are
>>> entitled to your opinion. Heck, you're even entitled to be a kooktard
>>> troll.
>> We probaby need somewhere between 25 and 50 million jobs ... when they
>> start creating millions of jobs a year, you are going to see some kind
>> of improvement ... until the "improvement" is meaningful, don't even
>> wake me up!
>>
>> A bandaid on a bullet wound might be an improvement, if the patient
>> dies, it wasn't enough of one ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
> Oh, come on - doesn't this sound just a little hyperbolic, even to
> you? This 50 million figure is enough jobs for every American PLUS
> every illegal immigrant including the kids. We have NEVER had
> employment levels like that - nor will we until we have unprecedented
> demand for the goods and services those jobs would produce.
>

> Bruce Jensen

There are 350 million+ citizens, there are millions of illegal aliens. The top of the bell curve of the population numbers lands right in the employable years ...

We need MILLIONS of jobs. 2000 jobs in my city alone would not even be noticed ...

Regards,
JS

2963. Re: Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2348 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 15:54:58 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3fad531c-d040-4966-8c80-f1d83d3b5bda@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230889

On May 25, 2:26 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2348 UTC
> -via- Cuba on 24 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : Solid S9 with SIO 555 with Good Audio
>
> Program in English with Spanish in the background
> presenting an English version of the Story about the
> Venezuelan Drug Lord Walid Makled and his family
> along with Radio Nacional de Venezuela
IDhttp://www.rnv.gob.ve/noticias/index.php?act=ST&f=27&t=156081&hl=de+d...
>
> Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV]http://www.rnv.gob.ve/
>
> Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV] "English
Portal"http://www.rnv.gov.ve/noticias/index.php?act=SF&f=31
> .
> RNV Canal Internacional Horas y
Frecuenciashttp://www.rnv.gob.ve/noticias/index.php?pg=internacional
> .
> The Short-Wave.Info -website- Lists for
> Radio Nacional de Venezuela[RNV]
> Frequencies and
Timeshttp://www.short-wave.info/index.php?station=R.Nacional+de+Venezuela&...
> .
> EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> 15,250 @ 2300-2400 {English & Spanish}
> VEN : R. Nacional Venezuela : E,S : NAm : /CUB
> from Venezuela in English & Spanish to North
> American from/via Cuba
> -note- If you receive RHC from Cuba good :
> Then you will hear RNV good from Cuba too.
> .
> *Prior* "RNV" Shortwave Radio Reception Reports
> and Info :
> * Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 11,670 kHz @ 2210
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/1bf...
> * Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2321
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/67e...
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> .

> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

They're s'posed to be buildng their own transmitter site pretty soon.
Been awhile since I've heard Venezuela on the radio...

2964. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 16:08:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDD2978-9676@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230891

PROTESTING the Born in Kenya! MOFO SCARHEAD USURPER SOB! at Buckingham Palace,,, and Downing Street, London.
http://www.rense.com

PROTEST! PROTEST! PROTEST!
cuhulin

2965. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 16:13:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDD2AB0-9681@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230892

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Protest+Buckingham+Palace+Downing+Street
cuhulin

2966. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 17:31:44 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irjeee$be1$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230893
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE" <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF04251F5E06ExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.250...
> "Scout"
> ...
>> Much like a man might claim that a mirage is real,
>> even though in reality it's just an illusion created by other forces.
>
>
> DEBT is no illusion,
> it is created by Politico's who
> are factually not held accountable.

And so you snip the context, and return to your original argument.

I acknowledge your inability to support your primis concerning taxation.

2967. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:02:49 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF07A8C3843FExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.247>
Source record: 230894
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout"
> "MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE"
>> "Scout"
>> ...
>>> Much like a man might claim that a mirage is real,
>>> even though in reality it's just an illusion created by other forces.
>>
>>
>> DEBT is no illusion,
>> it is created by Politico's who
>> are factually not held accountable.
>
>
> And so you snip the context, and return to your original argument.
>
> I acknowledge your inability to support your primis concerning taxation.

MANFRED acknowledges your inability grasp the magnitude of the situation,
regardless of how it came about (although, that-too is abundantly clear)...

IOW. it is too late to change the situation with dreams and talk,
the two choices are to enact a consumption tax which will hurt
the lower-tiers, and businesses far-more, with longer lasting
detrimental effects, than taking the view that like-space
which is seemingly flat, but actually curves near
large masses, SO-TOO must the flat tax take into
consideration what is obstensively the least
harm that can be done within the
present circumstance.

The Goal-Being to educate, and, if necessary,
inflame, otherwise Good Men from their sonambulence,
to a state of concern, if not out right action towards a resolution.

DESERVE PEACE.
DESERVE FREEDOM.
DEMAND COMPETANCE.
DEMAND ACCOUNTABILITY.
DEFEAT LIB STRATEGIC INSANITY.

There is a causal link among all of these,
it behooves good men to understand this, and
to act in their own interests, to rebuild from the rubble,
or forever allow gov't and their lesser Minions to reign over the ruins!

LIBs. What Prices their VISION?
---
http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702304066504576341212934894494.htm
l
The president's peace proposal is a formula for war.

http://www.libertylive.org/Uploads/The%20Debt%20Star.jpg
This is NOT the HOPE you have been searching for.

2968. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:07:09 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF07B4BACE78hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230895
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irgvu8ott1@dont-email.me:

>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EEF759361DABhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irgpv8dcm1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9EEF6998522Fhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgik5$f2r$3@dont-
>>>> email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
>> keep
>>>>> attempting to push?
>>>>>
>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
all
>>>> of
>>>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying
>> half
>>>>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their
>> fair
>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>
>>>> Oh, you mean one like this?
>>>>
>>>> A tax on *ALL* income no matter where derived. One deduction.
>> Federal
>>>> poverty level for a family of four and everybody gets that
deduction.
>>>> Have a tax rate of, say 15% and the current poverty level at $24K
and
>> we
>>>> get the following:
>>>>
>>>> A person who earns up to $24K, pays nada...
>>>> A person who earns $50K, pays $3,900 (50-24x15%)

>>>> A person who earns $100K, pays $11,400 (100-24x15%)
>>>> A person who earns $500K, pays $71,400 (500-24x15%)
>>>> A person who earns a million pays $146,400 (1000-24x15%)
>>>>
>>>> That do it for you?
>>>>
>>>>
>>> .
>>> .
>>> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $50,000 guy he becomes homeless
>>> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $1,000,000 guy...he never notices it.
>>>
>>> That's what's UNFAIR.
>>
>> Nope, what's unfair is YOU expecting OTHERS to pay for what YOU want.
>>
>>> The EFFECT on the wealthy taxpayer is nil.
>>> The EFFECT on the low income tax payer is catastrophic.
>>
>> Interestingly, no one is asking that $50K guy for that extra grand,
but
>> here you are whining that the million dollar guy won't as affected.
>>
>> The problem Democrats will have is that sometime they will run out of
>> other people's money.
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.
>
> That's what is unfair.
> A small increase of tax on a low earner is a huge burden
> The same increase on a wealthy person is INSIGNIFICANT.

No one is asking for the same increase from both parties, you idiot.
Besides if the low earner is really a low earner like the 45% who don't
pay tax in the first place, how can you increase the tax on them. They
still won't reach the AGI that pays taxes. Increasing the tax percentage
doesn't do a damn thing to change their AGI.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2969. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying bec...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:13:58 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28471f01614da86498a265@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230896

In article <d9aa5147-82e7-4638-a4f4-
af5d96db845c@q32g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com
says...
>
> On May 24, 9:45ï¿œpm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> > I like what Peter Fonda said.
> > cuhulin
>
> I think his daughter Hanoi Jane said it best!

Daughter?? WTF are you smoking, Joe.

Jane is his older sister. Henry, their dad, died a long time ago.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

2970. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:18:05 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF07D262B9F1hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230897
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:

> On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
> s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> ...
>>
>> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>> >> >> >> innuendo you
>> >> >> keep
>> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>
>> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>> >> >> >> 42% of all
>> >> >> of
>> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they

>> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
>> >> >> fair
>> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> >> >> >> Regards,
>> >> >> >> JS
>>
>> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>
>> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>
>> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>
>> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>
>> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>
>> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>
>
> Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
> from the income tax.

Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.

The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
> tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax as
> the method of funding government. If you fully understand the FairTax
> you will see exactly where I am coming from.

Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation, gasoline,
etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger percentage of
their income on those taxes than the wealthy.

>> The FairTax is a replacement
>>
>> > for the income tax.
>>
>> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>
>
> Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
> better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
> leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in place
> as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls of our
> current tax system.

A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level wages
for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or exemption if
you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a postcard.

>> ⊠It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>
>> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>
>> You may think so. ⊠I don't. ⊠I think it needs too many adjustments so
>> that it does not become regressive.
>>
>
> I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>
> Current tax system:
>
> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
> IRS takes 25%: $250.
> Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
> Taxpayer has $620 left.
>
> Fairtax system:
>
> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
> IRS takes 0%: $0
> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
> Taxpayer has $870 left.
>
> I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>
> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
> IRS takes 0%: $0
> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
> Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
> Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
> Taxpayer has $900 left.
>
> So, again, how is that regressive.

Same taxpayer......buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for them.
Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of groceries...pays
$123 for them. Which one spent the bigger percentage of their income on
a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we will not pay that tax on
groceries....oooops, you just generated an exception.

> Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
> questions you might have:
>

> 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
> particular attention to the FAQ.
> 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
> 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>
> It will all become crystal clear.

I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for years.
With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the current system.
Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the rich as poor poeple
would never buy luxury taxed items. How did that work out?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2971. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:22:10 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF07DD7C8847hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230898
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EEFE55457CAAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-
> cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
>> On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-
> 839c2c5dac6b@
>> s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
wrote:
>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>>> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> >> > wrote:
>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>>> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> ...
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
innuendo
>>> >> >> >> you
>>> >> >> keep
>>> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying

42%
>>> >> >> >> of all
>>> >> >> of
>>> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
are
>>> >> >> >> NOT paying their
>>> >> >> fair
>>> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Regards,
>>> >> >> >> JS
>>>
>>> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>
>>> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>>
>>> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>>
>>> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>>
>>> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>>
>>> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>>
>>> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>>
>>
>> Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>> from the income tax. The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>> tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax as
>> the method of funding government. If you fully understand the FairTax
>> you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>
>>> The FairTax is a replacement
>>>
>>> > for the income tax.
>>>
>>> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>>
>>
>> Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
>> better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
>> leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in place
>> as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls of our
>> current tax system.
>>
>>> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.

>>>
>>> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>>
>>> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments so
>>> that it does not become regressive.
>>>
>>
>> I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>>
>> Current tax system:
>>
>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>> IRS takes 25%: $250.
>> Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>> Taxpayer has $620 left.
>>
>> Fairtax system:
>>
>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>> Taxpayer has $870 left.
>>
>> I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>>
>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>> Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
>> Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
>> Taxpayer has $900 left.
>>
>> So, again, how is that regressive.
>>
>> Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
>> questions you might have:
>>
>> 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
>> particular attention to the FAQ.
>> 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>> 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>>
>> It will all become crystal clear.
>>
>>> --
>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>

>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>> Don't complain.
>>
>>
>
> Taxing income is wrong anyway. You are stealing the fruit of ones
labor,
> like a lord of the old days taking 20% of your harvest. Yer a pinko.
>
> No tax on income. Tax imports, outsourced jobs and Democrats.
>

LOL!!

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2972. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:25:09 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF07E592E892hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230899
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-
>> email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont-
>>>> email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>> you
>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>>>>>>>>>> of all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19%
>>>>>>>>>> are not paying half of governments costs, until that happens
>>>>>>>>>> they are NOT paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix
>>>>>>>>>> that ...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>> advocate
>> is
>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7%
>>>>>>> sales
>>>> tax,

>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales
>>>>>>> tax,
>>>> that
>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government
>> ...
>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their fair
>>>> share
>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go
>>>> through
>> a
>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>
>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>
>>>>
>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch on
>>> ... I was wrong.
>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all income
>> not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it here in this
>> thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is really quite simply
>> and quite short so you should have no problem understanding it. ;)
>>
>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't
>> flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever was
>> purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>
>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the real
>> world.
>
> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion began,
> or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>
> Of course, even with a flat tax certain safeguards would have to be in
> place from preventing criminals from crimes which would allow them to
> ignore the taxes.

See Al Capone.

For example, a case where they made their dollars
> here and bought only foreign goods in mexico or canada ... it is a
> given, as soon as any fix, situation, solution, etc. is enacted, the
> criminals will come crawling out from under their rocks attempting to
> avoid it ... some of these safeguards to prevent this will have to be
> worked out as we catch the criminals ...
>
> Unfortunately, every discussion must begin on the premise that
> everyone is capable of realizing "common sense."

>
> At the bottom of the fair tax or fair tax is the real intent and sole
> purpose that all contribute equally and in direct relationship to how
> much they profit from business here.

Just how is your fair tax different from your scenarios above. After
all, you said folks would by only foreign goods from outside the US which
avoids your fair tax.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2973. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:29:02 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230900
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-
>>> email.me:
>>>
>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>> innuendo
>>> you
>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>>>>>>>>> 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top
>>>>>>>>>> 19% are not paying half of governments costs, until that
>>>>>>>>>> happens they are NOT paying their fair share ... a flat tax
>>>>>>>>>> can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>> advocate
```

>>> is
>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7%
>>>>>>>> sales
>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales
>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>> that
>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>> government
>>> ...
>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their
>>>>>> fair
>>>>> share
>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go
>>>>> through
>>> a
>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>
>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch on
>>>> ... I was wrong.
>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all income
>>> not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it here in this
>>> thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is really quite
>>> simply and quite short so you should have no problem understanding
>>> it. ;)
>>>
>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't
>>> flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever was
>>> purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>
>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the real
>>> world.
>>
>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion began,
>> or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>
>
> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person B buys
> product Z and pays 7% in taxes
>
> What's more fair than that?
>

> Same product, same taxes paid.
>
> Fair.

Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal poverty level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable income paid. Fair.

The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about food or necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list exemptions....and the list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and Cher......

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2974. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:30:49 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF07F4EAF6D3hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230901
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
news:irgvjs$l7$13@dont-email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 11:57 AM, gfn wrote:
>> On May 24, 2:51 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/24/2011 11:46 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>> On May 24, 2:16 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who
>>>>>>> pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want
>>>>>>> to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the
>>>>>>> government then your right. I know of no place that compiles
>>>>>>> that data. ...
>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need
>>>>>> them pointed out to me.
>>>>> I did that yesterday in my very first post to you.
>>>>>> Thanks in advance,
>>>>>> JS
>>> I don't see any data posted, but then, I didn't expect to see any
>>> ... we both knew that.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>> You don't see data posted? What part aren't you grasping? From line
>> 1, page 1:
>>
>> Percentiles Ranked by AGI: Top 1%
>> Percentage of Federal Personal Income Tax Paid: 38.02
>>
>> John, this isn't rocket science.
>
> Yeah, I can tell, you missed the whole point of the discussion, went
> full circle and are back on the limited range of tax -- income tax.

>
> This ignores all other taxes including fines, fees, licenses, property
> tax, sales taxes, excise taxes, fuel taxes, cell phone taxes,
> electricity/water/sewer taxes, etc., etc., etc.

Those are sales or use taxes and are paid regardless of income, ergo,
they are regressive in nature and do not fall on the rich as much as they
do on the poor. However, there is really no way to fix that based on
income which is what you are trying to do.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2975. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:31:48 UTC
From: "skepticl1@aol.com" <skepticl1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <93136547-bc49-4dfd-bac9-18b92fa0ba5d@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230902
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 25, 8:46 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/24/2011 8:16 PM, skepti...@aol.com wrote:
>
> > ...
> > The socialist revolutions in the Soviet Union (1917-1956) and China
> > (1949-1976) made tremendous advances towards a liberated world. With
> > state power in their hands, the former have-nots were taking hold of
> > and transforming society. The rule of profit and exploitation was
> > ended. Basic social needs were met. Life expectancy in Maoist China
> > doubled between 1949 and 1976, from 32 years to 65 years.
> > Unprecedented strides were made in uprooting hte oppression of owmen
> > and minority nationalities. These revolutions did not fail but were
> > defeated by bourgeois and reactionary forces.
>
> WOW, great news for the chinese sweat shops!
>
> Now the employees can work longer and endure worse conditions, thanks to
> their increased longevity! Support the company store, enjoy living in
> the company housing. Enjoying such a low wage that they must going on
> enduring the poor working conditions, etc.
>
> WAS GREAT!
>
> NOT!
>
> Regards,
> JS
Your statement explains China under Capitalism today. During the
revolutionary period Mao was dealing with the problem of a new
bourgeois elite emerging within the Communist Party who wanted to
bring back capitalism. He called on people to rise up against
oppressive leaders and political structures. The Cultural Revolution
engaged hundreds of millions of people in far-reaching struggle and
historically unheard of change. Workers and peasants were debating
questions of the direction of society, criticizing out of touch
officials, foreign more participatory forms of management and
administration, and entering into realms of science and culture. The
Cultural Revolution had coherent and liberating goals: to prevent the
restoration of capitalism; to revolutionize the institutions of
society, including the Communist Party; to break down the divisions
between mental and manual labor and between urban and rural areas: to

challenge old ways of thinking--in short to carry forward and deepen socialist revolution. When Mao died, there was a coup de etat, in which 50,000 men carrying axes came out and restored Capitalism by force. Mao's own wife, a revolutionary heroine, was declared one of the "Gang of Four" and she later died in prison. Today China suffers under Capitalism and people in the countryside drink insecticide to try and escape the harsh Capitalist conditions, prostitution is rampant (there was no prostitution during Mao's time) and there are elites driving Ferraris. China was the height of human achievement and cooperation during the Revolutionary period. The World needs Communism.

2976. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:35:01 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF08004DD409hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230903
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
news:irgvta$l7$14@dont-email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 11:42 AM, gfn wrote:
>> On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman<rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>> gfn<gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.c
>>> om:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman<rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>> gfn<gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>>>>> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>>>>>>> you
>>>>> keep
>>>>>>> attempting to push?
>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>>>>>>> of all
>>>>> of
>>>>>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>>>> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they are
>>>>>>> NOT paying their
>>>>> fair
>>>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate
>>>>>> is the FairTax.
>>>>> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.

>>>> It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>>
>> I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax. The FairTax is a replacement
>> for the income tax. It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>> Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>
>>> --
>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>
>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>> Don't complain.
>
> I think the flat tax is great.

I assume you mean Fair Tax, since that is the proposal to get all taxes
from sales or use. The term in common use today for flat tax is on
income.

> And, once implemented, all other taxes are dropped. These other taxes
> being drivers licenses, contracting licences, cell phone fees/charges
> (except for ACTUAL service charges) , property taxes, use taxes,
> excise taxes, fuel taxes, all other sales taxes, etc., etc.
>
> Or, simply, ONE TAX PERIOD!

Yep, and that means that the wealthy and the poor pay the same taxes
rather than the wealthy paying more. Do you know what problem you are
trying to fix? According to your statements, it is to get the wealthy to
pay more. There is no way to do that with a sales or use tax.

> Even the morons will then realize what they actually pay in taxes
> (which is about 40% - 50% of their incomes, or more.)

That part has some truth in it.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2977. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:36:16 UTC
From: Red Cloud <mmdir2005@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <44db19da-fdcf-4495-bc37-f0dc828039cd@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230904
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On May 24, 11:44 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/24/2011 11:32 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Mexico does have a problem - a very serious one - with political
> > corruption, as do many Latin American nations. Their history is rife
> > with corrupt events that make ours look paltry by comparison. With
> > this crap going on and the needs of the electorate ignored, can you
> > blame them for wanting to leave? I have a co-worker of Brazilian
> > ancestry, a great person and proud American BTW, who left because she
> > was sick of the Brazilian corruption.
>
> > Bruce
>
> Yeah, but in reality, what happens is, they attempt to leave the
> problems, not realizing they are part of them, this results in them
> transporting the problems to a new area ...
>
> You see the above on a smaller scale when people leave the city to
> escape the problems and go to the smaller towns. They end up enacting
> the same ordinances, rules, laws, actions, styles, mindsets, etc. which
> caused all the problems in the first place! Soon, the place they left
> is no different that the place they are ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Mexican rotten problem goes back to the Spanish colonialism era and
still ruled by
the Spanish at the top and non-white mestizo are at the bottom. Most
Mexicans Mestizo mixed gene are brought by the Spanish's Corte Army
who tainted with Moorish and Arab gene which is why most Mexicans
look more like Arab than
North American native.

2978. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:36:17 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0803C7BA79hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230905
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who pays
>>>>>> the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want to talk
>>>>>> about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the government then
>>>>>> your right. I know of no place that compiles that data.
>>>>>> ...
>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need them
>>>>> pointed out to me.
>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have no
>>>> hope of understanding any data presented to you. Which explains some
>>>> of your ideas.....
>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ... you are
>>> attempting a circular argument ...
>>>
>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can, from the
>>> site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>
>> 2008
>>
>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70

>>
>> 2007
>>
>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>
>> Here is the site:
>>
>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>
>> The Virginian-Pilot
>> • May 15, 2011
>> By Don Tabor
>>
>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the check, but
>> he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies the cause of much
>> of the bitter partisanship and polarization that poisons our political
>> process. But to understand that problem, we must consider how taxes
>> are applied to the production of goods and services.
>>
>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>
>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be made
>> into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes based on his
>> profit from the sale and property tax on his farm and equipment. Those
>> taxes were, from his point of view, just another cost of doing
>> business in the course of earning his living, no different from fuel
>> for his tractor or wages and taxes for employees.
>>
>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and taxes, the
>> price they charge the miller must cover their expenses and taxes, plus
>> their after-tax disposable income and savings. Otherwise, there would
>> be no point in growing wheat. All of these costs and taxes were passed
>> on to the miller, embedded in the price of wheat.
>>
>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat to the
>> baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security taxes he
>> withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes, were all passed
>> on to the baker.
>>
>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with it
>> his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those previous
>> taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees, hidden in the price
>> of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his family ate the bread, and,
>> having done so, could not sell it to anyone else and pass the taxes

>> along, as the baker and everyone else before had done.
>>
>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with the
>> farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they withheld from
>> all of their employees. From bread to automobiles to brain surgery,
>> the price of everything we buy carries in it the hidden taxes of
>> everyone who contributed to the production of that product or service
>> to the tune of, on average, 23 cents of every dollar we spend for
>> federal taxes alone.
>>
>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a scheme
>> to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and unknowing tax
>> collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to keep voters from
>> realizing who really bears the burden of those high taxes.
>>
>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually paid
>> by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services. It doesn't
>> matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket, or what
>> deductions you receive. These devices change only the degree to which
>> you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes place on your life
>> depends solely on what you spend.
>>
>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the illusion of
>> income-based taxing does a great deal of harm. First, it distorts our
>> economic decisions. Goods and services that are provided by highly
>> taxed individuals and companies, like health care, are artificially
>> more expensive than necessary, while raw materials and natural
>> resources are underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.
>>
>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political process,
>> encouraging government overspending by politicians who exploit the
>> mistaken belief of many voters that government spending can be paid
>> for solely by taxing corporations or the "rich." All of the
>> exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which brings so much ill will
>> to our politics and drives wedges between Americans who would be
>> better served by mutual respect and compassion - is ultimately the
>> meaningless exploitation of a lie.
>>
>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates, appears
>> progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive. Currently, the
>> lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay no income tax
>> directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes added to the hidden
>> embedded tax, their true federal tax burden is almost 30 percent of
>> their meager income.
>>
>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that government
>> spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through an invisible
>> sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.

>>
>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather, Libertarian
>> activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He is a dentist in
>> Norfolk and Hampton.
>
> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>
> Regards,
> JS
>
>
>

Then LEARN what the term "flat tax" means in currect usage. It is an
income tax.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2979. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:37:13 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230906
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who
>>>>>> pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want
>>>>>> to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the
>>>>>> government then your right. I know of no place that compiles
>>>>>> that data. ...
>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need
>>>>>> them pointed out to me.
>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have
>>>>> no hope of understanding any data presented to you. Which
>>>>> explains some of your ideas.....
>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ... you
>>>> are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>
>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can, from
>>>> the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>
>>> 2008
>>>
>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>

>>> 2007
>>>
>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>
>>> Here is the site:
>>>
>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>
>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>> ? May 15, 2011
>>> By Don Tabor
>>>
>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the check,
>>> but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies the cause of
>>> much of the bitter partisanship and polarization that poisons our
>>> political process. But to understand that problem, we must consider
>>> how taxes are applied to the production of goods and services.
>>>
>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>
>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be made
>>> into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes based on his
>>> profit from the sale and property tax on his farm and equipment.
>>> Those taxes were, from his point of view, just another cost of doing
>>> business in the course of earning his living, no different from fuel
>>> for his tractor or wages and taxes for employees.
>>>
>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and taxes,
>>> the price they charge the miller must cover their expenses and
>>> taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and savings.
>>> Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat. All of these
>>> costs and taxes were passed on to the miller, embedded in the price
>>> of wheat.
>>>
>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat to
>>> the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security taxes he
>>> withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes, were all
>>> passed on to the baker.
>>>
>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with it
>>> his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those previous
>>> taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees, hidden in the
>>> price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his family ate the bread,
>>> and, having done so, could not sell it to anyone else and pass the

>>> taxes along, as the baker and everyone else before had done.
>>>
>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with the
>>> farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they withheld from
>>> all of their employees. From bread to automobiles to brain surgery,
>>> the price of everything we buy carries in it the hidden taxes of
>>> everyone who contributed to the production of that product or
>>> service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents of every dollar we
>>> spend for federal taxes alone.
>>>
>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a
>>> scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and unknowing
>>> tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to keep voters from
>>> realizing who really bears the burden of those high taxes.
>>>
>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
>>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually
>>> paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services. It
>>> doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket, or
>>> what deductions you receive. These devices change only the degree to
>>> which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes place on your
>>> life depends solely on what you spend.
>>>
>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the illusion
>>> of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm. First, it distorts
>>> our economic decisions. Goods and services that are provided by
>>> highly taxed individuals and companies, like health care, are
>>> artificially more expensive than necessary, while raw materials and
>>> natural resources are underpriced, leading to overconsumption and
>>> waste.
>>>
>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political process,
>>> encouraging government overspending by politicians who exploit the
>>> mistaken belief of many voters that government spending can be paid
>>> for solely by taxing corporations or the "rich." All of the
>>> exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which brings so much ill will
>>> to our politics and drives wedges between Americans who would be
>>> better served by mutual respect and compassion - is ultimately the
>>> meaningless exploitation of a lie.
>>>
>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates, appears
>>> progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive. Currently, the
>>> lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay no income tax
>>> directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes added to the hidden
>>> embedded tax, their true federal tax burden is almost 30 percent of
>>> their meager income.
>>>
>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that government
>>> spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through an invisible

>>> sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>>
>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He is a
>>> dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>
>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>
> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as Mr. B.
>
> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>
> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>
> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B gets
> the exact same exemptions.
>
> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>
>
>

And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the rich not paying a hundred times what the poor do.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2980. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:38:13 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <geudnWpO5bk3x0DQnZ2dnVY3goudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 230907

"John Smith" wrote in message news:irj8mo$o54$3@dont-email.me...

There are 350 million+ citizens, there are millions of illegal aliens.
The top of the bell curve of the population numbers lands right in the
employable years ...

The population only just hit 300 million, including illegals and green card
holders. Be a while before it hits 350M.

2981. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:38:44 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF080A6B51E5hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230908
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com> wrote in
news:c3320493-53c4-474c-b720-3f6944f4ad00@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com:

> On May 24, 10:45 am, gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On May 24, 12:07 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> > > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> > >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> > >> > >> ...
>>
>> > >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>> > >> you k
> eep
>> > >> attempting to push?
>>
>> > >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>> > >> of al
> l of
>> > >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>> > >> paying ha
> lf
>> > >> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying
>> > >> their f
> air
>> > >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> > >> Regards,
>> > >> JS
>> > > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>
>> > > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate
>> > > i

> s
>> > > the FairTax.
>>
>> > Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
>> > tax
> ,
>> > the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
>> > that way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>> > government ...
>>
>> Impossible to implement.
>>
>
> It *might* be possible to implement - in a totalitarian state. The
> government would have to always know what your worth (in terms of
> wealth) is at all times, and exactly what you purchase throughout the
> year, and when.
>
> Yikes.
>
> I suspect that such a system would encourage a black market or two.

And a MASSIVE tracking system on the income status of over 300 million
people. Think BIG BROTHER in real time.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2982. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:43:24 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0817125CC4hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230909
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>> ...
>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>
>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put this
>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>> ...
>
> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
say
> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to implement
> it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.

THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales tax
or some amount in between?

> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of 42.7%
> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.

And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in those
brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.

> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.

No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in that
scheme.
Those
> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...

REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to have
any grasp of the basics.

> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just that
> the water comes from the well ...

If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely

overwhelm the result.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2983. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:44:54 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF081B22E1E2hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230910
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh556$id0$4@dont-email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 1:38 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>
> As long as it is a fair system, where criminals can "shelter" money in
> foreign countries and pay a tax which is in scale to what all others pay
> (exempting the poor, starving, without medical, etc.) I am for it ...
> anything else is just the criminals setting up another system to buy
> politicians, get special favors, and steal others money to pay the bills
> while they get the pay check ...

That is pure bullshit emotion and not much thought as to implementation.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2984. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:45:37 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230911
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 25, 3:18 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
> > > s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
> >> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
> >> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> >> >> >> ...
>
> >> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
> >> >> >> >> innuendo you
> >> >> >> >> keep
> >> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>
> >> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
> >> >> >> >> 42% of all
> >> >> >> >> of
> >> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not

> >> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
> >> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
> >> >> >> fair
> >> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>
> >> >> >> >> Regards,
> >> >> >> >> JS
>
> >> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> >> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
> >> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>
> >> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>
> >> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>
> >> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>
> >> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>
> >> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>
> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
> > from the income tax.
>
> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>

As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when they
buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
decides you owe it on payday.

> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>
> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax as
> > the method of funding government. If you fully understand the FairTax
> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>
> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation, gasoline,
> etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger percentage of
> their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>

Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First, people
pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level. Every household
receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential goods
and services. Second, per my example an item that costs $100 today
still costs $100 under the FairTax. If that's regressive then sign me
up.

The poor are always going to pay a larger percentage of their income
on everything. No tax system is going to change that. Isn't that
what the bulk of this thread is about?

> >> The FairTax is a replacement
>
> >> > for the income tax.
>
> >> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>
> > Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
> > better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
> > leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in place
> > as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls of our
> > current tax system.
>
> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level wages
> for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or exemption if
> you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a postcard.
>

Under the FairTax you don't have to worry about deductions or
exemptions. You don't even have to do your taxes on a postcard
because there is nothing to do. April 15 would be just another
beautiful spring day.

Here's the problem with the flat tax, it retains the invasive income
tax administration apparatus and can easily revert to a graduated,
convoluted mess, as it has many times over many years. In addition, a
large part of the burden of the flat tax -- the business tax -- will
remain hidden from people in the retail price of goods and services.
Under a flat tax, individuals would still file an income tax return
each year. Postcard or not, it's still a return. While this is a
simple postcard, the record keeping requirement is still there. Under
the FairTax, individuals never file a tax return again, ever! Under
the flat tax, the payroll tax would be retained and income tax
withholding would still be with us. Under the FairTax, the payroll
tax, which is a larger and more regressive tax burden for most
Americans than is the income tax, is repealed. Under the FairTax, what
you earn is what you keep. No more withholding taxes; no more income
tax.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.

>
> >> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>
> >> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments so
> >> that it does not become regressive.
>
> > I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>
> > Current tax system:
>
> > Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
> > IRS takes 25%: $250.
> > Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
> > Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
> > Taxpayer has $620 left.
>
> > Fairtax system:
>
> > Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
> > IRS takes 0%: $0
> > Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
> > Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
> > Taxpayer has $870 left.
>
> > I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>
> > Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
> > IRS takes 0%: $0
> > Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
> > Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
> > Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
> > Taxpayer has $900 left.
>
> > So, again, how is that regressive.
>
> Same taxpayer......buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for them.

Stop right there. That's incorrect. Under the FairTax the $100 of
groceries will still cost $100. There's no need to even go any
further with your example.

> Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of groceries...pays
> $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger percentage of their income on
> a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we will not pay that tax on
> groceries....oooops, you just generated an exception.
>
> > Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
> > questions you might have:
>
> > 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
> > particular attention to the FAQ.

> > 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
> > 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>
> > It will all become crystal clear.
>
> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for years.
> With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the current system.
> Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the rich as poor poeple
> would never buy luxury taxed items. How did that work out?
>

You may be familiar with sales tax schemes, but it's clear you aren't familiar with the FairTax. Instead of speculating as you have done above why not go visit the site and base your criticisms on the plan itself? You will find that many of the things you raised above are answered there.

Look, I'm with you that a flat tax would be better than the current system. Problem is that it, as opposed to something like the FairTax, leaves itself open to far more manipulation than the FairTax. The tax code itself is evidence of just that.

> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

2985. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 19:46:49 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF082051AF8Dhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230912
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh72f$id0$5@dont-email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 1:44 PM, Dave LaRue wrote:
>> ...
>> Well, it is impossible for you to be smart, you retard.
>> You can't do otherwise, you retard.
>>
>
> You are like dog shit on the shoe ...
>
> And, nothing but personal attacks, opinions, etc.
>
> ... sad, so very, very sad ...

Perhaps it you paid some attention to what it would take to implement such a system and what it would cost in freedom, etc.. then perhaps folks wouldn't be so hard on you. Instead you simply seem to wish to deal on emotion.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2986. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 20:08:55 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF085C482945hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230913
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irh7pvihn1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont-
>>> email.me:
>>>
>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>>>>>>>>> you keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>>>>>>>>> of all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19%
>>>>>>>>> are not paying half of governments costs, until that happens
>>>>>>>>> they are NOT paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix
>>>>>>>>> that ...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>> advocate is the FairTax.
>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
>>> tax,
>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
>>> that
>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>

>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their fair
>>> share
>>>> of the cost of government.
>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go
>>> through a check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>
>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch on
>> ... I was wrong.
>
> Oh, flat tax, then they should pay 7% tax just like EVERYONE
> ELSE....not the 42.7% you asserted they should be paying.
>
>
>
>

I'm not sure that John is knowledgable enough to contune this thread. He seems to be stuck on the emotion of the discussion rather than on the practicality or complexity of installing what he wants.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2987. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 20:09:28 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irjnlobmf1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230914
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/25/2011 12:25 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me:
>
>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-
>>> email.me:
>>>
>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont-
>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>>>>>>>>>>> of all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19%
>>>>>>>>>>> are not paying half of governments costs, until that happens
>>>>>>>>>>> they are NOT paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix
>>>>>>>>>>> that ...
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>> advocate
>>> is
>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7%
>>>>>>>> sales
```

>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales
>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>> that
>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run government
>>> ...
>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their fair
>>>>> share
>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go
>>>>> through
>>> a
>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>
>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch on
>>>> ... I was wrong.
>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all income
>>> not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it here in this
>>> thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is really quite simply
>>> and quite short so you should have no problem understanding it. ;)
>>>
>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't
>>> flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever was
>>> purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>
>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the real
>>> world.
>>
>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion began,
>> or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>
>> Of course, even with a flat tax certain safeguards would have to be in
>> place from preventing criminals from crimes which would allow them to
>> ignore the taxes.
>
> See Al Capone.
>
> For example, a case where they made their dollars
>> here and bought only foreign goods in mexico or canada ... it is a
>> given, as soon as any fix, situation, solution, etc. is enacted, the
>> criminals will come crawling out from under their rocks attempting to
>> avoid it ... some of these safeguards to prevent this will have to be
>> worked out as we catch the criminals ...
>>

>> Unfortunately, every discussion must begin on the premise that
>> everyone is capable of realizing "common sense."
>>
>> At the bottom of the fair tax or fair tax is the real intent and sole
>> purpose that all contribute equally and in direct relationship to how
>> much they profit from business here.
>
> Just how is your fair tax different from your scenarios above. After
> all, you said folks would by only foreign goods from outside the US which
> avoids your fair tax.
>

I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate of every dollar earned. I
said crooks will always attempt to break any laws in existence.

Regards,
JS

2988. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 20:10:54 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irjnoebmf2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230915
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
> email.me:
>
>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>> ...
>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>
>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put this
>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>> ...
>>
>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
> say
>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to implement
>> it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>
> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
> How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales tax
> or some amount in between?
>
>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of 42.7%
>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>
> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in those
> brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>
>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>
> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in that
> scheme.
> Those
>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>
> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to have
> any grasp of the basics.
>
>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just that
```

>> the water comes from the well ...
>
> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
> overwhelm the result.
>
>

I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned ...

I said crooks will always attempt to avoid this.

Regards,
JS

2989. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 20:14:34 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF086B98846Fhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230916
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

joeturn wrote in
news:0cbd54d0-7d51-4b95-bb28-4fcd9be2fac0@e13g2000vbo.googlegroups.com:

> On May 24, 1:38 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>> joeturn wrote
>> innews:430ba353-1188-448b-800b-55df
> 3da85a18@l26g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 23, 7:45 pm, RD Sandman
>> > wrote:
>> >> joeturn wrote
>> >> innews:1ec3f976-11ec-4715-a07c-bc6e
>> > e943a...@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 23, 9:32 am, BDK wrote:
>> >> >> In article <923332eb-bef3-4587-9370-
>> >> >> 79b77643c...@y31g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>,
>> >> >> joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>> >> >> > On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
>> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> > > "larnrod" wrote in message
>>
>> >> >> > >news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googleg
>> >> >> > >rou ps. com
>> >> > ...
>>
>> >> >> > > > On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn
>> >> >> > > > wrote:
>> >> >> > > >> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>>
>> >> >> > > >> wrote:
>> >> >> > > >> "John Smith" wrote in message
>>
>> >> >> > > >> >news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>>
>> >> >> > > >> > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>>

>> >> >> > > >> > >> "John Smith" wrote in
>> >> >> > > >> > >> message
>> >> >> > > >> > >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
>> >> >> > > >> > >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
>> >> >> > > >> > >>>> ...
>> >> >> > > >> > >>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we
>> >> >> > > >> > >>>> should acce
>> >> > > pt your
>> >> >> > > >> > >>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your
>> >> >> > > >> > >>>> denial of photographic
>> >> >> > > >> > >>>> evidence is noted.
>>
>> >> >> > > >> > >>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that
>> >> >> > > >> > >>>> don't matc
>> >> > > h your
>> >> >> > > >> > >>>> claims.
>>
>> >> >> > > >> > >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain
>> >> >> > > >> > >>> until you
>> >> > > deal
>> >> >> > > >> > >>> with
>> >> >> > > >> > >>> it ...
>>
>> >> >> > > >> > >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with
>> >> >> > > >> > >> ignorance and
>> >> > > that
>> >> >> > > >> > >> you
>> >> >> > > >> > >> need to do something about it, but really you
>> >> >> > > >> > >> shouldn't be ta
>> >> > > lking
>> >> >> > > >> > >> to
>> >> >> > > >> > >> yourself in public, makes people question your
>> >> >> > > >> > >> sanity even fu
>> >> > > rther.
>>
>> >> >> > > >> > >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All
>> >> >> > > >> > >>> I do i
>> >> > > s repeat
>> >> >> > > >> > >>> the
>> >> >> > > >> > >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>>
>> >> >> > > >> > >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a
>> >> >> > > >> > >> parrot that is
>> >> > > so
>> >> >> > > >> > >> ignorant
>> >> >> > > >> > >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the
>> >> >> > > >> > >> process of falling
>> >> >> > > >> > >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine
>> >> >> > > >> > >> his exper

>> >> > ts
>> >> >> > > >> > >> might not
>> >> >> > > >> > >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid
>> >> >> > > >> > >> enough to bu
>> >> > y their
>> >> >> > > >> > >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>>
>> >> >> > > >> > >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking
>> >> >> > > >> > >> about by your
>> >> > > own
>> >> >> > > >> > >> admission.
>>
>> >> >> > > >> > >> Which means you're really not the right person to
>> >> >> > > >> > >> be trying t
>> >> > > o talk
>> >> >> > > >> > >> about
>> >> >> > > >> > >> this stuff then are you?
>>
>> >> >> > > >> > >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here
>> >> >> > > >> > >> since maybe t
>> >> > > hey
>> >> >> > > >> > >> might
>> >> >> > > >> > >> actually know what they're talking about rather
>> >> >> > > >> > >> than simply m
>> >> > > outhing
>> >> >> > > >> > >> the
>> >> >> > > >> > >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't
>> >> >> > > >> > >> support, a
>> >> > > nd
>> >> >> > > >> > >> can't
>> >> >> > > >> > >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>>
>> >> >> > > >> > >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant
>> >> >> > > >> > >> mouthpiece b
>> >> > > y your
>> >> >> > > >> > >> own
>> >> >> > > >> > >> admission, is there any further reason anyone
>> >> >> > > >> > >> should listen t
>> >> > > o you
>> >> >> > > >> > >> for
>> >> >> > > >> > >> even a second?
>>
>> >> >> > > >> > > I think your post is more about you than me, why
>> >> >> > > >> > > don't you ask
>> >> > > others
>> >> >> > > >> > > if
>> >> >> > > >> > > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you
>> >> >> > > >> > > are, or the
>> >> > > y are

>> >> >> > > >> > > fooled
>> >> >> > > >> > > into thinking you are offering valid debate,
>> >> >> > > >> > > analysis, facts,
>> >> > etc.?
>>
>> >> >> > > >> > IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.-
>> >> >> > > >> > Hide quot
>> >> > ed
>> >> >> > > >> > text -
>>
>> >> >> > > >> > - Show quoted text -
>>
>> >> >> > > >> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the
>> >> >> > > >> pentagram.
>>
>> >> >> > > > What missile attack, insane nut job?
>>
>> >> >> > > Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert"
>> >> >> > > analysis of th
>> >> > e
>> >> >> > > frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the
>> >> >> > > plane crashin
>> >> > g into
>> >> >> > > the side of the Pentagon.
>>
>> >> >> > > Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even
>> >> >> > > consider is
>> >> > > that
>> >> >> > > even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly
>> >> >> > > preclude a mis
>> >> > sile
>> >> >> > > given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to
>> >> >> > > the 5 sto
>> >> > ries of
>> >> >> > > the Pentagon.
>>
>> >> >> > > But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile,
>> >> >> > > and that'
>> >> > s good
>> >> >> > > enough "proof" for Joe.
>>
>> >> >> > >> Which
>> >> >> > >> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>>
>> >> >> > > > When did missiles hit it?
>>
>> >> >> > > I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>>
>> >> >> > >> Three missiles are were

>> >> >> > > >> recorded in the same FBI release,
>>
>> >> >> > > > Show us, insnae nut job.
>>
>> >> >> > > Yea, one "missile" per frame.
>>
>> >> >> > > >> Well the remnates of the first one is
>> >> >> > > >> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you
>> >> >> > > >> can see the next two in motion!
>>
>> >> >> > > > Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>>
>> >> >> > > "It is too late for that"
>>
>> >> >> > > Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> >> >> > > - Show quoted text -
>>
>> >> >> > But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will
>> >> >> > only contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>>
>> >> >> > Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket
>> >> >> > plume!
>>
>> >> >> No, it takes some serious mental problems, serious drug abuse,
>> >> >> or a combo of both. What is it with you?
>>
>> >> >> --
>> >> >> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years
>> >> >> running!- Hide qu
>> >> > oted text -
>>
>> >> >> - Show quoted text -
>>
>> >> > I blame it on my IQ of 145.
>>
>> >> Methinks you are off by about a 100.
>>
>> >> --
>> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> >> If you woke up this morning....
>> >> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> >> - Show quoted text -
>>
>> > Thats because you are not capable of thinking.Show us what you got!
>>
>> >http://ig.gmodules.com/gadgets/ifr?view=home&url=http://wildfire.gig

> ya.
>> > com/wildfire/StpProvider.aspx%3Fut%3DAg8AAAADAAYAAFUASQBEABIAADIAMwA
>> > 2AD
>> > QAMQA0ADIAOAAxAAYAAEkASQBEABAAADMAMQA0ADQANAAxADkAMQAEAABuAHQADgAAaQ
>> > BHA G8AbwBnAGwAZQA%3D&nocache=0&lang=en&country=us&.lang=en&.countr
> y=us&syn
>> > d=ig&mid=13&ifpctok=-2948449179267058375&exp_split_js=1&exp_tra
> ck_js=1&
>> > exp_new_js_flags=1&exp_ids=17259,300586&parent=http://www.google.
> com&re
>> > fresh=3600&libs=core:core.io:core.iglegacy:auth-refresh&is_signedin
> =1
>>
>> I'm not the one boasting.
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have made
> that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the test,while
> not being over-medicated

And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2990. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 20:22:50 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0882088F00hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230917
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
news:irh13m$l7$16@dont-email.me:

> On 5/24/2011 12:26 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgv23$l7$12@dont-
>> email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 11:48 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>>> On May 24, 11:44 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:32 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Mexico does have a problem - a very serious one - with political
>>>>>> corruption, as do many Latin American nations. Their history is
>> rife
>>>>>> with corrupt events that make ours look paltry by comparison.
>>>>>> With this crap going on and the needs of the electorate ignored,
>>>>>> can you blame them for wanting to leave? I have a co-worker of
>>>>>> Brazilian ancestry, a great person and proud American BTW, who
>>>>>> left because
>> she
>>>>>> was sick of the Brazilian corruption.
>>>>>> Bruce
>>>>> Yeah, but in reality, what happens is, they attempt to leave the
>>>>> problems, not realizing they are part of them, this results in
>>>>> them transporting the problems to a new area ...
>>>>>
>>>>> You see the above on a smaller scale when people leave the city to
>>>>> escape the problems and go to the smaller towns. They end up
>> enacting
>>>>> the same ordinances, rules, laws, actions, styles, mindsets, etc.
>> which
>>>>> caused all the problems in the first place! Soon, the place they
>> left
>>>>> is no different that the place they are ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>>> Well, speaking only for my Brazilian co-worker - she's a great
>>>> asset to her community, which is not Brazilian but American. I
>>>> don't think you can paint every person with the same paintbrush.
>>> Probably how the problem began in the first place, everyone thinking
>>> their illegal friends, family, relatives, etc. should be exempt from

>>> rules and laws ...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>>
>> The problem began in the first place by people demanding cheap proces
>> and businesses trying to find ways to do that.
>>
>
> If you make it overseas, sell it overseas ... end of story.
>
> If you employ people in communist countries, move there ...

Which has what to do with illegal immigration? Nothing. The cheap
products (I really typoed that one) and businesses trying to find a way
to produce those cheap products were the cause of most illegal
immigration today.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

2991. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 20:31:25 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0A81894A65Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 230918
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF07D262B9F1hopewell@216.196.121.131:

> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
>> On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
>> s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> > wrote:
>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>>> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> >> > wrote:
>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>>> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> ...
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>> >> >> >> innuendo you
>>> >> >> keep
>>> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>> >> >> >> 42% of all

>>> >> >> of
>>> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
>>> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
>>> >> >> fair
>>> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Regards,
>>> >> >> >> JS
>>>
>>> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>
>>> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>>
>>> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>>
>>> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>>
>>> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>>
>>> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>>
>>> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>>
>>
>> Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>> from the income tax.
>
> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>
> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>> tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax as
>> the method of funding government. If you fully understand the FairTax
>> you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>
> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation, gasoline,
> etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger percentage of
> their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>
>>> The FairTax is a replacement
>>>
>>> > for the income tax.
>>>
>>> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>>
>>
>> Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is

>> better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
>> leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in place
>> as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls of our
>> current tax system.
>
> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level wages
> for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or exemption if
> you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a postcard.
>
>>> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>>
>>> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>>
>>> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments so
>>> that it does not become regressive.
>>>
>>
>> I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>>
>> Current tax system:
>>
>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>> IRS takes 25%: $250.
>> Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>> Taxpayer has $620 left.
>>
>> Fairtax system:
>>
>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>> Taxpayer has $870 left.
>>
>> I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>>
>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>> Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
>> Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
>> Taxpayer has $900 left.
>>
>> So, again, how is that regressive.
>
> Same taxpayer.....buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for them.
> Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of groceries...pays

> $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger percentage of their income on
> a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we will not pay that tax on
> groceries....oooops, you just generated an exception.
>
>> Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
>> questions you might have:
>>
>> 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
>> particular attention to the FAQ.
>> 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>> 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>>
>> It will all become crystal clear.
>
> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for years.
> With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the current system.
> Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the rich as poor poeple
> would never buy luxury taxed items. How did that work out?
>
>

Ask John Kerry.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

2992. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 20:43:16 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irjplt$t30$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230919
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF07B4BACE78hopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irgvu8ott1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EEF759361DABhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irgpv8dcm1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>>> news:Xns9EEF6998522Fhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgik5$f2r$3@dont-
>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
>>> keep
>>>>>> attempting to push?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
> all
>>>>> of
>>>>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying
>>> half
>>>>>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their
>>> fair
>>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Oh, you mean one like this?
>>>>>
>>>>> A tax on *ALL* income no matter where derived. One deduction.
>>> Federal
>>>>> poverty level for a family of four and everybody gets that
> deduction.
>>>>> Have a tax rate of, say 15% and the current poverty level at $24K
> and
>>> we
>>>>> get the following:
>>>>>

>>>>> A person who earns up to $24K, pays nada...
>>>>> A person who earns $50K, pays $3,900 (50-24x15%)
>>>>> A person who earns $100K, pays $11,400 (100-24x15%)
>>>>> A person who earns $500K, pays $71,400 (500-24x15%)
>>>>> A person who earns a million pays $146,400 (1000-24x15%)
>>>>>
>>>>> That do it for you?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> .
>>>> .
>>>> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $50,000 guy he becomes homeless
>>>> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $1,000,000 guy...he never notices it.
>>>>
>>>> That's what's UNFAIR.
>>>
>>> Nope, what's unfair is YOU expecting OTHERS to pay for what YOU want.
>>>
>>>> The EFFECT on the wealthy taxpayer is nil.
>>>> The EFFECT on the low income tax payer is catastrophic.
>>>
>>> Interestingly, no one is asking that $50K guy for that extra grand,
> but
>>> here you are whining that the million dollar guy won't as affected.
>>>
>>> The problem Democrats will have is that sometime they will run out of
>>> other people's money.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> --
>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>
>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>> Don't complain.
>>
>> That's what is unfair.
>> A small increase of tax on a low earner is a huge burden
>> The same increase on a wealthy person is INSIGNIFICANT.
>
> No one is asking for the same increase from both parties, you idiot.
> Besides if the low earner is really a low earner like the 45% who don't
> pay tax in the first place, how can you increase the tax on them. They
> still won't reach the AGI that pays taxes. Increasing the tax percentage
> doesn't do a damn thing to change their AGI.
>
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>

> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

I gave an example of how the same number (dollars) has a different affect on
people of different wealth.
You didnï¿½t understand it.....what's the word you used....you idiot!

2993. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 20:45:34 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irjpq7$u2m$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230920
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF07D262B9F1hopewell@216.196.121.131...
> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
>> On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
>> s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> > wrote:
>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>>> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> >> > wrote:
>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>>> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>
>>> >> >> >> ...
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>> >> >> >> innuendo you
>>> >> >> keep
>>> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>> >> >> >> 42% of all
>>> >> >> of

>>> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
>>> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
>>> >> >> fair
>>> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Regards,
>>> >> >> >> JS
>>>
>>> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>
>>> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>>
>>> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>>
>>> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>>
>>> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>>
>>> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>>
>>> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>>
>>
>> Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>> from the income tax.
>
> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>
> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>> tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax as
>> the method of funding government. If you fully understand the FairTax
>> you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>
> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation, gasoline,
> etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger percentage of
> their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>
>>> The FairTax is a replacement
>>>
>>> > for the income tax.
>>>
>>> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>>
>>
>> Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
>> better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
>> leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in place

>> as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls of our
>> current tax system.
>
> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level wages
> for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or exemption if
> you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a postcard.
>
>>> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>>
>>> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>>
>>> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments so
>>> that it does not become regressive.
>>>
>>
>> I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>>
>> Current tax system:
>>
>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>> IRS takes 25%: $250.
>> Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>> Taxpayer has $620 left.
>>
>> Fairtax system:
>>
>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>> Taxpayer has $870 left.
>>
>> I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>>
>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>> Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
>> Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
>> Taxpayer has $900 left.
>>
>> So, again, how is that regressive.
>
> Same taxpayer......buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for them.
> Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of groceries...pays
> $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger percentage of their income on
> a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we will not pay that tax on
> groceries....oooops, you just generated an exception.

>
>> Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
>> questions you might have:
>>
>> 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
>> particular attention to the FAQ.
>> 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>> 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>>
>> It will all become crystal clear.
>
> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for years.
> With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the current system.
> Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the rich as poor poeple
> would never buy luxury taxed items. How did that work out?
>
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

More nonsense.
We have a system that was god when it started and has been eroded over the
years.
It was sound.
It can be made sound again without phony "fair" tax and "flat" tax nonsense
Fix it.

2994. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 20:46:23 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irjproubb1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230921
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
> On May 25, 3:18 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
>> > s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>> >> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>> >> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> ...
>>
>> >> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>> >> >> >> >> innuendo you
>> >> >> >> keep
>> >> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>
>> >> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>> >> >> >> >> 42% of all

>> >> >> >> of
>> >> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>> >> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
>> >> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
>> >> >> >> fair
>> >> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> >> >> >> >> Regards,
>> >> >> >> >> JS
>>
>> >> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>
>> >> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>> >> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>
>> >> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>
>> >> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>
>> >> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>
>> >> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>
>> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>> > from the income tax.
>>
>> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>
> As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when they
> buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
> decides you owe it on payday.
>
>> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>
>> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax as
>> > the method of funding government. If you fully understand the FairTax
>> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>
>> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
>> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation, gasoline,
>> etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger percentage of
>> their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>>
>
> Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First, people
> pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level. Every household
> receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential goods

> and services. Second, per my example an item that costs $100 today
> still costs $100 under the FairTax. If that's regressive then sign me
> up.
>
> The poor are always going to pay a larger percentage of their income
> on everything. No tax system is going to change that. Isn't that
> what the bulk of this thread is about?
>
>> >> The FairTax is a replacement
>>
>> >> > for the income tax.
>>
>> >> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>
>> > Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
>> > better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
>> > leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in place
>> > as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls of our
>> > current tax system.
>>
>> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
>> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level wages
>> for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or exemption if
>> you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a postcard.
>>
>
> Under the FairTax you don't have to worry about deductions or
> exemptions. You don't even have to do your taxes on a postcard
> because there is nothing to do. April 15 would be just another
> beautiful spring day.
>
> Here's the problem with the flat tax, it retains the invasive income
> tax administration apparatus and can easily revert to a graduated,
> convoluted mess, as it has many times over many years. In addition, a
> large part of the burden of the flat tax -- the business tax -- will
> remain hidden from people in the retail price of goods and services.
> Under a flat tax, individuals would still file an income tax return
> each year. Postcard or not, it's still a return. While this is a
> simple postcard, the record keeping requirement is still there. Under
> the FairTax, individuals never file a tax return again, ever! Under
> the flat tax, the payroll tax would be retained and income tax
> withholding would still be with us. Under the FairTax, the payroll
> tax, which is a larger and more regressive tax burden for most
> Americans than is the income tax, is repealed. Under the FairTax, what
> you earn is what you keep. No more withholding taxes; no more income
> tax.
>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> >> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>
>> >> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>
>> >> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments so
>> >> that it does not become regressive.
>>
>> > I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>>
>> > Current tax system:
>>
>> > Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>> > IRS takes 25%: $250.
>> > Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
>> > Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>> > Taxpayer has $620 left.
>>
>> > Fairtax system:
>>
>> > Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>> > IRS takes 0%: $0
>> > Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>> > Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>> > Taxpayer has $870 left.
>>
>> > I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>>
>> > Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>> > IRS takes 0%: $0
>> > Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>> > Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
>> > Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
>> > Taxpayer has $900 left.
>>
>> > So, again, how is that regressive.
>>
>> Same taxpayer......buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for them.
>
> Stop right there. That's incorrect. Under the FairTax the $100 of
> groceries will still cost $100. There's no need to even go any
> further with your example.
>
>> Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of groceries...pays
>> $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger percentage of their income on
>> a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we will not pay that tax on

>> groceries....oooops, you just generated an exception.
>>
>> > Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
>> > questions you might have:
>>
>> > 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
>> > particular attention to the FAQ.
>> > 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>> > 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>>
>> > It will all become crystal clear.
>>
>> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for years.
>> With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the current system.
>> Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the rich as poor poeple
>> would never buy luxury taxed items. How did that work out?
>>
>
> You may be familiar with sales tax schemes, but it's clear you aren't
> familiar with the FairTax. Instead of speculating as you have done
> above why not go visit the site and base your criticisms on the plan
> itself? You will find that many of the things you raised above are
> answered there.
>
> Look, I'm with you that a flat tax would be better than the current
> system. Problem is that it, as opposed to something like the FairTax,
> leaves itself open to far more manipulation than the FairTax. The tax
> code itself is evidence of just that.
>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.
>

Nonsense. Stupid nonsense!

2995. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 20:48:18 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0AAF5845E6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 230922
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131:

> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
> news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-
>>>> email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo
>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>>>>>>>>>>> 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top
>>>>>>>>>>>> 19% are not paying half of governments costs, until that
>>>>>>>>>>>> happens they are NOT paying their fair share ... a flat tax
>>>>>>>>>>>> can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov

>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>>> advocate
>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7%
>>>>>>>>> sales
>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales
>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>> government
>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their
>>>>>>> fair
>>>>>> share
>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go
>>>>>> through
>>>> a
>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch on
>>>>> ... I was wrong.
>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all income
>>>> not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it here in this
>>>> thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is really quite
>>>> simply and quite short so you should have no problem understanding
>>>> it. ;)
>>>>
>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't
>>>> flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever was
>>>> purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>>
>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the real
>>>> world.
>>>
>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion began,
>>> or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>
>>
>> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person B buys
>> product Z and pays 7% in taxes

>>
>> What's more fair than that?
>>
>> Same product, same taxes paid.
>>
>> Fair.
>
> Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal poverty
> level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on amount over
> federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable income paid. Fair.
>
> The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about food or
> necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list exemptions....and the
> list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and Cher......
>
>

The real problem is...

First you have to decide how much the government needs to funtion.

To do that you have to decide what the government should be doing.

I think rather than discussing camoflaging how the feds fleece the taxpayer those questions really need to be answered.

I am of the opinion that taxes overall hurt the economy by taking people's hard earned money. I don't care if you are the bus boy or the owner of the chain. You earened it, it's yours.

Overall if the bite is reasonably low than whatever negative effects it has are mitigated. But the only really effective way to increase government revenues is to have a going, expanding economy. That way whatever "protection" money the government extorts from the people can increase without increasing the percentage that it takes.

Of course that would require a complete ovrehaul of most federal policies and the expulsion of Marxists and enviromentalists.

One would have to stop viewing tax policy as a method of molding people's behavior and relegate to the neccessary evil it is.

Frankly I have yet to hear anyone explain to me how we can tax out way out of the current crisis wherein the debt equals the GDP and is likely to double in 8 years. There is simply no possible way to do it without removing so much wealth from the private sector as to thorougly tank the economy, which will in turn make the problem immeasurably worse.

The truth of this must be recognized and those that ignore the question should in turn be ignored. They are greedy, twisted people that want to punish the successful, get thier government cheese and then ignore the calamity for our children and grand children. And us. They imagine somehow the beast will continue feeding enough to support them, in spite of what

the vampirism does to the country as a whole.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

2996. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 20:51:02 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 230923
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:

> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who
>>>>>>> pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If you want
>>>>>>> to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the
>>>>>>> government then your right. I know of no place that compiles
>>>>>>> that data. ...
>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need
>>>>>>> them pointed out to me.
>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you have
>>>>>> no hope of understanding any data presented to you. Which
>>>>>> explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ... you
>>>>> are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can, from
>>>>> the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>
>>>> 2008
>>>>
>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34

>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>
>>>> 2007
>>>>
>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>
>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>
>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>
>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>> • May 15, 2011
>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>
>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the check,
>>>> but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies the cause of
>>>> much of the bitter partisanship and polarization that poisons our
>>>> political process. But to understand that problem, we must consider
>>>> how taxes are applied to the production of goods and services.
>>>>
>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>
>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be made
>>>> into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes based on his
>>>> profit from the sale and property tax on his farm and equipment.
>>>> Those taxes were, from his point of view, just another cost of doing
>>>> business in the course of earning his living, no different from fuel
>>>> for his tractor or wages and taxes for employees.
>>>>
>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and taxes,
>>>> the price they charge the miller must cover their expenses and
>>>> taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and savings.
>>>> Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat. All of these
>>>> costs and taxes were passed on to the miller, embedded in the price
>>>> of wheat.
>>>>
>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat to
>>>> the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security taxes he
>>>> withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes, were all
>>>> passed on to the baker.
>>>>
>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with it
>>>> his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those previous

>>>> taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees, hidden in the
>>>> price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his family ate the bread,
>>>> and, having done so, could not sell it to anyone else and pass the
>>>> taxes along, as the baker and everyone else before had done.
>>>>
>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with the
>>>> farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they withheld from
>>>> all of their employees. From bread to automobiles to brain surgery,
>>>> the price of everything we buy carries in it the hidden taxes of
>>>> everyone who contributed to the production of that product or
>>>> service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents of every dollar we
>>>> spend for federal taxes alone.
>>>>
>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a
>>>> scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and unknowing
>>>> tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to keep voters from
>>>> realizing who really bears the burden of those high taxes.
>>>>
>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
>>>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually
>>>> paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services. It
>>>> doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket, or
>>>> what deductions you receive. These devices change only the degree to
>>>> which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes place on your
>>>> life depends solely on what you spend.
>>>>
>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the illusion
>>>> of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm. First, it distorts
>>>> our economic decisions. Goods and services that are provided by
>>>> highly taxed individuals and companies, like health care, are
>>>> artificially more expensive than necessary, while raw materials and
>>>> natural resources are underpriced, leading to overconsumption and
>>>> waste.
>>>>
>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political process,
>>>> encouraging government overspending by politicians who exploit the
>>>> mistaken belief of many voters that government spending can be paid
>>>> for solely by taxing corporations or the "rich." All of the
>>>> exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which brings so much ill will
>>>> to our politics and drives wedges between Americans who would be
>>>> better served by mutual respect and compassion - is ultimately the
>>>> meaningless exploitation of a lie.
>>>>
>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates, appears
>>>> progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive. Currently, the
>>>> lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay no income tax
>>>> directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes added to the hidden
>>>> embedded tax, their true federal tax burden is almost 30 percent of
>>>> their meager income.

>>>>
>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that government
>>>> spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through an invisible
>>>> sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>>>
>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He is a
>>>> dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>
>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>
>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as Mr. B.
>>
>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>
>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>
>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B gets
>> the exact same exemptions.
>>
>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>
>>
>>
>
> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the rich not
> paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>

And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so. The best
you can hope for is that everyone pays the same percentage without a
plethora of deductions and weasel outs.

AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and why.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

2997. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 20:52:50 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0ABBAC2BB7Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 230924
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF080A6B51E5hopewell@216.196.121.131:

> "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com> wrote in
> news:c3320493-53c4-474c-b720-3f6944f4ad00@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com:
>
>> On May 24, 10:45 am, gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On May 24, 12:07 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>
>>> > > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> > >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>
>>> > >> > >> ...
>>>
>>> > >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>>> > >> you k
>> eep
>>> > >> attempting to push?
>>>
>>> > >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>>> > >> of al
>> l of
>>> > >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>> > >> paying ha
>> lf
>>> > >> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying
>>> > >> their f
>> air
>>> > >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>
>>> > >> Regards,
>>> > >> JS
>>> > > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov

>>>
>>> > > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate
>>> > > i
>> s
>>> > > the FairTax.
>>>
>>> > Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
>>> > tax
>> ,
>>> > the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
>>> > that way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>> > government ...
>>>
>>> Impossible to implement.
>>>
>>
>> It *might* be possible to implement - in a totalitarian state. The
>> government would have to always know what your worth (in terms of
>> wealth) is at all times, and exactly what you purchase throughout the
>> year, and when.
>>
>> Yikes.
>>
>> I suspect that such a system would encourage a black market or two.
>
> And a MASSIVE tracking system on the income status of over 300 million
> people. Think BIG BROTHER in real time.
>
>
>

Isn't that what we are trying to get away from? I don't much like the
government reviewing my personal financial information. Privacy and all
that.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

2998. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 20:57:01 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <irjqet$20h$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230925

>> On May 24, 1:05 pm, Joe from Kokomo<j...@indy.net> wrote:
>>>> On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob<Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date
>>>>> for the rapture?
>>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 11:55 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>>
>>>> Camping dood it already - October 21, 2011. He forgot to
>>>> multiply the month by 2. Seriously. What a predatory prick.
>>>
>>> Maybe so, but Camping was smart enough to find people stupid
>>> enough to donate 18.3 million dollars to his "church" last year.
>>>
>>> They interviewed one of his acolytes on the radio and she said
>>> she was sitting in her back yard, praying for the end of the
>>> world because she had money troubles.
>>>
>>> Possibly her "money troubles" would not have been quite so bad if
>>> she hadn't given her money to that quack.
>>>
>>> Once again to paraphrase H. L. Mencken, "You'll never go broke
>>> underestimating the stupidity of the American public". Camping
>>> obviously took that advice to heart.

On 5/24/11 23:48 , bpnjensen wrote:

>> Joe - it ain't hard to find people stupid enough for this in
>> America 2011. Camping had easy pickins'.

On 5/25/2011 9:49 AM, D. Peter Maus wrote:

> In a time when life is evermore difficult, and the world has become
> not only more complex, but dangerous, the promise of paradise is
> attractive to those without the resources to withstand tough times.

Desperation or dumbness...that is the question.

(and you've also hit upon why there are, and will continue to be, so
many suicide bombers.)

> And as we continue to dumb down the population, there will be a
> virtually inexhaustable supply of fools taken in by these
> charlatans.

Latest "dumb" (gullible, desperate?) report from Yahoo News:

A woman, believing Camping that the end of the world was near, and not wanting her daughters to suffer, put both of them on the bed and slashed their throats with a box-cutter and then did the same to herself.

All three survived, the mom to be arrested.

Never underestimate the depths of human gullibility. :-(

2999. Nazi Gas Bag Sprung a Leak?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 20:58:05 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <irjqgt$20h$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230926
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media,alt.rush-limbaugh

Rush Limbaugh's latest Arbitron ratings were DOWN over 30%.

3000. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 21:07:14 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <d459d045-23e9-4689-b949-5784fbd810a4@24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230927
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 25, 4:45 pm, "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote:
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>
> news:Xns9EF07D262B9F1hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >news:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >>> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
> >> s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >>> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >>> > wrote:
> >>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >>> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
> >>> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>
> >>> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >>> >> > wrote:
> >>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >>> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
> >>> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >>> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >>> >> >> >> ...
>
> >>> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
> >>> >> >> >> innuendo you
> >>> >> >> >> keep
> >>> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>

> >>> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
> >>> >> >> >> 42% of all
> >>> >> >> of
> >>> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
> >>> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
> >>> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
> >>> >> >> fair
> >>> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>
> >>> >> >> >> Regards,
> >>> >> >> >> JS
>
> >>> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> >>> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
> >>> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>
> >>> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>
> >>> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>
> >>> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>
> >>> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>
> >>> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>
> >> Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
> >> from the income tax.
>
> > Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>
> > The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
> >> tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax as
> >> the method of funding government. If you fully understand the FairTax
> >> you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>
> > Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
> > from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation, gasoline,
> > etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger percentage of
> > their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>
> >>> The FairTax is a replacement
>
> >>> > for the income tax.
>
> >>> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>
> >> Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
> >> better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then

> >> leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in place
> >> as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls of our
> >> current tax system.
>
> > A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
> > administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level wages
> > for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or exemption if
> > you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a postcard.
>
> >>> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>
> >>> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>
> >>> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments so
> >>> that it does not become regressive.
>
> >> I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>
> >> Current tax system:
>
> >> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
> >> IRS takes 25%: $250.
> >> Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
> >> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
> >> Taxpayer has $620 left.
>
> >> Fairtax system:
>
> >> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
> >> IRS takes 0%: $0
> >> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
> >> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
> >> Taxpayer has $870 left.
>
> >> I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>
> >> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
> >> IRS takes 0%: $0
> >> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
> >> Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
> >> Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
> >> Taxpayer has $900 left.
>
> >> So, again, how is that regressive.
>
> > Same taxpayer......buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for them.
> > Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of groceries...pays
> > $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger percentage of their income on
> > a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we will not pay that tax on
> > groceries....oooops, you just generated an exception.

>
> >> Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
> >> questions you might have:
>
> >> 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
> >> particular attention to the FAQ.
> >> 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
> >> 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>
> >> It will all become crystal clear.
>
> > I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for years.
> > With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the current system.
> > Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the rich as poor poeple
> > would never buy luxury taxed items. How did that work out?
>
> > --
> > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > If you woke up this morning....
> > Don't complain.
>
> More nonsense.
> We have a system that was god when it started and has been eroded over the
> years.
> It was sound.
> It can be made sound again without phony "fair" tax and "flat" tax nonsense
> Fix it.

Talk about nonsense.

3001. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 21:10:18 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1f5bfecc-e02e-4633-9eea-9baf68698200@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230928
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 25, 3:46 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote innews:irh72f$id0$5@dont-email.me:
>
> > On 5/24/2011 1:44 PM, Dave LaRue wrote:
> >> ...
> >> Well, it is impossible for you to be smart, you retard.
> >> You can't do otherwise, you retard.
>
> > You are like dog shit on the shoe ...
>
> > And, nothing but personal attacks, opinions, etc.
>
> > ... sad, so very, very sad ...
>
> Perhaps it you paid some attention to what it would take to implement such
> a system and what it would cost in freedom, etc.. then perhaps folks
> wouldn't be so hard on you. Instead you simply seem to wish to deal on
> emotion.
>

Liberals can't connect the dots of freedom and implementation of his
unworkable plan. You nailed it when you mentioned "emotion". But,
that's how liberals react. Not with logic or thought, but emotion.

> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

3002. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 21:12:13 UTC
From: Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4ddd70a9$0$9061$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 230929
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith wrote:
> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>> email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>
>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put this
>>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>> ...
>>>
>>>
>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
>>
>> say
>>
>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to implement
>>> it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>
>>
>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
>> How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales tax
>> or some amount in between?
>>
>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of 42.7%
>>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
>>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>
>>
>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in those
>> brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>
>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>
>>

>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in that
>> scheme.
>> Those
>>
>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>>
>>
>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to have
>> any grasp of the basics.
>>
>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just that
>>> the water comes from the well ...
>>
>>
>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
>> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>> overwhelm the result.
>>
>>
>
> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned ...
>
> I said crooks will always attempt to avoid this.

I have no real problem with a "luxury" tax, too.
Cell Phones for instance? Noop
Cell phones with camera's all the "apps?" Yup.
Basic 19" TV's? Noop.
Large screen LED/LCD things that cover an entire wall? Yup.
Chevy's? Noop. They are already sorry for buying them.
Cadillac's, BMW's, Mercedes' and the like? Yup.

3003. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 21:13:57 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0AF4EC52ABWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 230930
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov> wrote in news:4ddd70a9$0$9061
$607ed4bc@cv.net:

> John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>
>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>> email.me:
>>>
>>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>
>>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put
this
>>>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>>> ...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
>>>
>>> say
>>>
>>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to implement
>>>> it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>>>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>>
>>>
>>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
>>> How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales
tax
>>> or some amount in between?
>>>
>>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of 42.7%
>>>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
>>>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>
>>>
>>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in

those
>>> brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>>
>>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>>
>>>
>>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in
that
>>> scheme.
>>> Those
>>>
>>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>>>
>>>
>>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to have
>>> any grasp of the basics.
>>>
>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just
that
>>>> the water comes from the well ...
>>>
>>>
>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
>>> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>>> overwhelm the result.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned ...
>>
>> I said crooks will always attempt to avoid this.
>
> I have no real problem with a "luxury" tax, too.
> Cell Phones for instance? Noop
> Cell phones with camera's all the "apps?" Yup.
> Basic 19" TV's? Noop.
> Large screen LED/LCD things that cover an entire wall? Yup.
> Chevy's? Noop. They are already sorry for buying them.
> Cadillac's, BMW's, Mercedes' and the like? Yup.
>
>
>
>
>

Do the words "equal protection" mean ANYTHING to you?

I fail to see anything in there about income levels determing anything.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/

If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3004. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 21:15:06 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DDD715A-3085@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230932

Have you got any diesel fuel?
///If I had any more diesel fuel, they would make me join that Opec!///
cuhulin

3005. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 21:15:29 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0910DC3319hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230931
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irjplt$t30$1@dont-email.me:

>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF07B4BACE78hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in
>> news:irgvu8ott1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9EEF759361DABhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in
>>>> news:irgpv8dcm1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:Xns9EEF6998522Fhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>>>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irgik5$f2r$3@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>>>>>>> you
>>>> keep
>>>>>>> attempting to push?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>>>>>>> of
>> all
>>>>>> of
>>>>>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>>>> paying
>>>> half
>>>>>>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying
>>>>>>> their
>>>> fair
>>>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Oh, you mean one like this?
>>>>>>

>>>>>> A tax on *ALL* income no matter where derived. One deduction.
>>>> Federal
>>>>>> poverty level for a family of four and everybody gets that
>> deduction.
>>>>>> Have a tax rate of, say 15% and the current poverty level at $24K
>> and
>>>> we
>>>>>> get the following:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> A person who earns up to $24K, pays nada...
>>>>>> A person who earns $50K, pays $3,900 (50-24x15%)
>>>>>> A person who earns $100K, pays $11,400 (100-24x15%)
>>>>>> A person who earns $500K, pays $71,400 (500-24x15%)
>>>>>> A person who earns a million pays $146,400 (1000-24x15%)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> That do it for you?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>> .
>>>>> .
>>>>> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $50,000 guy he becomes homeless
>>>>> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $1,000,000 guy...he never notices
>>>>> it.
>>>>>
>>>>> That's what's UNFAIR.
>>>>
>>>> Nope, what's unfair is YOU expecting OTHERS to pay for what YOU
>>>> want.
>>>>
>>>>> The EFFECT on the wealthy taxpayer is nil.
>>>>> The EFFECT on the low income tax payer is catastrophic.
>>>>
>>>> Interestingly, no one is asking that $50K guy for that extra grand,
>> but
>>>> here you are whining that the million dollar guy won't as affected.
>>>>
>>>> The problem Democrats will have is that sometime they will run out
>>>> of other people's money.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>>
>>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>>> Don't complain.
>>>
>>> That's what is unfair.
>>> A small increase of tax on a low earner is a huge burden

>>> The same increase on a wealthy person is INSIGNIFICANT.
>>
>> No one is asking for the same increase from both parties, you idiot.
>> Besides if the low earner is really a low earner like the 45% who
>> don't pay tax in the first place, how can you increase the tax on
>> them. They still won't reach the AGI that pays taxes. Increasing
>> the tax percentage doesn't do a damn thing to change their AGI.
>>
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.
>
> I gave an example of how the same number (dollars) has a different
> affect on people of different wealth.
> You didn't understand it.....

OH, I understood it. Just commented that no one is asking for that so it
is a canard and little else.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3006. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 21:21:45 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDD72E9-9826@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230933

The End of the World.When/If something Big enough out there in Space smacks into Planet Earth, Big enough to blast Planet Earth into uncountable pieces, That would be the End of the World.The End of Humans on Earth would not be the End of the World.That is the way I see it anyway.
cuhulin

3007. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 21:26:50 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDD741A-9828@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230934

Des Plaines,,,,,
how about French Camp,Missy Sippy and the former name of Jackson, Le Fluer's Bluff? Those are Frog names too.
cuhulin

3008. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 21:42:39 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF095A885331hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230936
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:

>> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>> > from the income tax.
>>
>> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>
> As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when they
> buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
> decides you owe it on payday.

It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old luxury tax.

>> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>
>> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax
>> > as the method of funding government. If you fully understand the
>> > FairTax
>> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>
>> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
>> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
>> gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger
>> percentage of their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>>
>
> Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First, people
> pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level.

Which means that someone, somewhere needs to know your income.

Every household
> receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential goods
> and services.

I looked at the prebate schedule. Where in there does income come into
it for that poverty level? From what I see, it is based on number of
adults and number of dependents.

Second, per my example an item that costs $100 today
> still costs $100 under the FairTax.
If that's regressive then sign me
> up.

> The poor are always going to pay a larger percentage of their income
> on everything. No tax system is going to change that. Isn't that
> what the bulk of this thread is about?

Not on a flat tax like I proposed. The difference is slight, depending
on your income, but it is there.

>> >> The FairTax is a replacement
>>
>> >> > for the income tax.
>>
>> >> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>
>> > Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
>> > better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
>> > leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in
>> > place as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls
>> > of our current tax system.
>>
>> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
>> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level
>> wages for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or
>> exemption if
>> you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a postcard.
>>
>
> Under the FairTax you don't have to worry about deductions or
> exemptions. You don't even have to do your taxes on a postcard
> because there is nothing to do. April 15 would be just another
> beautiful spring day.
>
> Here's the problem with the flat tax, it retains the invasive income
> tax administration apparatus and can easily revert to a graduated,
> convoluted mess, as it has many times over many years.

And your fair tax needs to know number of adults in the household along
with number of dependents. There is also nothing there that prevents it
from becoming another convoluted mess. Congress can fuck up a bowling
ball.

In addition, a
> large part of the burden of the flat tax -- the business tax -- will
> remain hidden from people in the retail price of goods and services.

This is an interesting point since there are supposedly intelligent folks
in this newsgroup that don't understand that all businesses end up
passing all their costs to the consumer in the price of the product or
service. If they don't, after awhile they go under.

> Under a flat tax, individuals would still file an income tax return
> each year. Postcard or not, it's still a return. While this is a
> simple postcard, the record keeping requirement is still there. Under

> the FairTax, individuals never file a tax return again, ever!

Federally, that could be true, however, when looking at state and local
taxes, it is bullshit.

Under
> the flat tax, the payroll tax would be retained and income tax
> withholding would still be with us.

Yep.

> Under the FairTax, the payroll
> tax, which is a larger and more regressive tax burden for most
> Americans than is the income tax, is repealed.

No, actually, it isn't. It is simply placed in the Fair Tax.

Under the FairTax, what
> you earn is what you keep. No more withholding taxes; no more income
> tax.

Just more taxes on the point of sale while all taxes from state and local
governments remains intact.

>> >> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>
>> >> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>
>> >> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments
>> >> so that it does not become regressive.
>>
>> > I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
snip.....

>> Same taxpayer......buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for
>> them.
>
> Stop right there. That's incorrect. Under the FairTax the $100 of
> groceries will still cost $100. There's no need to even go any
> further with your example.

I was speaking of the actual worth of the product. Yes, there are
business taxes, etc.. in there but one cannot generate a new tax without
adding to what is already there. So a product which today costs $100
plus city and state sales taxes will now cost the difference between the
23% sales tax and the old taxes on the product plus city and state sales
taxes. What you have done is taken the taxes previously included the
product price and moved them into your Fair Tax in addition to the hit on
that tax replacing federal income taxes and FICA.

>> Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of
>> groceries...pays
>

>> $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger percentage of their income
>> o
> n
>> a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we will not pay that tax on
>> groceries....oooops, you just generated an exception.
>>
>> > Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
>> > questions you might have:
>>
>> > 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
>> > particular attention to the FAQ.

I have.

>> > 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.

Why? If they can't explain it on their website.....

>> > 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>>
>> > It will all become crystal clear.
>>
>> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for
>> years.
>
>> With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the current
>> system. Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the rich as
>> poor poeple would never buy luxury taxed items. How did that work
>> out?
>>
>
> You may be familiar with sales tax schemes, but it's clear you aren't
> familiar with the FairTax. Instead of speculating as you have done
> above why not go visit the site and base your criticisms on the plan
> itself? You will find that many of the things you raised above are
> answered there.

Been there, read it.

> Look, I'm with you that a flat tax would be better than the current
> system. Problem is that it, as opposed to something like the FairTax,
> leaves itself open to far more manipulation than the FairTax. The tax
> code itself is evidence of just that.

Are you trying to say that Congress cannot fuck with the Fair Tax as much
as they can fuck with a flat tax? I don't think so.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3009. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 21:43:14 UTC
From: Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4ddd77ee$0$28724$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 230937
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost wrote:

> Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov> wrote in news:4ddd70a9$0$9061
> $607ed4bc@cv.net:
>
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>>>email.me:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>...
>>>>>>You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put
>
> this
>
>>>>>>more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>>>>>percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>>>>>will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>>>>>either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>>>>...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
>>>>
>>>>say
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to implement
>>>>>it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>>>>>accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?

>>>>How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales
>
> tax
>
>>>>or some amount in between?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of 42.7%
>>>>>of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
>>>>>the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in
>
> those
>
>>>>brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in
>
> that
>
>>>>scheme.
>>>> Those
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to have
>>>>any grasp of the basics.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just
>
> that
>
>>>>>the water comes from the well ...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
>>>>should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>>>>overwhelm the result.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>

>>>I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned ...
>>>
>>>I said crooks will always attempt to avoid this.
>>
>>I have no real problem with a "luxury" tax, too.
>>Cell Phones for instance? Noop
>> Cell phones with camera's all the "apps?" Yup.
>>Basic 19" TV's? Noop.
>>Large screen LED/LCD things that cover an entire wall? Yup.
>> Chevy's? Noop. They are already sorry for buying them.
>> Cadillac's, BMW's, Mercedes' and the like? Yup.

> Do the words "equal protection" mean ANYTHING to you?

Indeed I do understand.
What's that got to do with a luxury tax?

> I fail to see anything in there about income levels determing anything.

I wasn't talking about income levels.

I was talking more about a "fair sales Luxury tax", that keeps, or
rather helps keep in check, the "truly poor" from buying said items
whilst they are on welfare and food stamps, like they do now as they
fool the system.

Most wealthy people could care less what they pay for anything.
They already want and buy the biggest and baddest, and buy the first
models and prototypes just because it's there!
THEY bring the costs down when they finally go into major production!

Cost means NOTHING to them!

But poor slobs don't need, nor want them, until the items or tech
becomes more afforable.

Again, thank us, the rich that bring these items to the masses at a
lower cost, to the poor!

So again, don't tax our (the rich) incomes, tax SAID ITEMS that even the
poor choose to buy, instead of the food they need.

3010. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 21:43:57 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF095E0F81C4hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230938
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF0A81894A65Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF07D262B9F1hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com
>> :
>>
>>> On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> wrote:
>>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
>>> s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>> > wrote:
>>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>>>> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>> >> > wrote:
>>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>>>> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>> >> >> > wrote:
>>>> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> >> ...
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that

>>>> >> >> >> innuendo you
>>>> >> >> keep
>>>> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>>> >> >> >> 42% of all
>>>> >> >> of
>>>> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
>>>> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
>>>> >> >> fair
>>>> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> Regards,
>>>> >> >> >> JS
>>>>
>>>> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>
>>>> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>>>
>>>> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>>>
>>>> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>>>
>>>> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>>>
>>>> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>>>
>>>> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>>> from the income tax.
>>
>> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>> tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax
>>> as the method of funding government. If you fully understand the
>>> FairTax you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>
>> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
>> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
>> gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger
>> percentage of their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>>
>>>> The FairTax is a replacement
>>>>

>>>> > for the income tax.
>>>>
>>>> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
>>> better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
>>> leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in
>>> place as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls
>>> of our current tax system.
>>
>> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
>> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level
>> wages for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or
>> exemption if you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a
>> postcard.
>>
>>>> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>>>
>>>> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>>>
>>>> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments
>>>> so that it does not become regressive.
>>>>
>>>
>>> I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>>>
>>> Current tax system:
>>>
>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>> IRS takes 25%: $250.
>>> Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
>>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>>> Taxpayer has $620 left.
>>>
>>> Fairtax system:
>>>
>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>>> Taxpayer has $870 left.
>>>
>>> I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>>>
>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>>> Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.

>>> Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
>>> Taxpayer has $900 left.
>>>
>>> So, again, how is that regressive.
>>
>> Same taxpayer.....buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for
>> them. Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of
>> groceries...pays $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger
>> percentage of their income on a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we
>> will not pay that tax on groceries....oooops, you just generated an
>> exception.
>>
>>> Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
>>> questions you might have:
>>>
>>> 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
>>> particular attention to the FAQ.
>>> 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>>> 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>>>
>>> It will all become crystal clear.
>>
>> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for
>> years. With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the
>> current system. Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the
>> rich as poor poeple would never buy luxury taxed items. How did that
>> work out?
>>
>>
>
> Ask John Kerry.
>

YOu mean after claiming everyone should pay their fair burden, he moors
his yacht where the taxes are much less than if he moors it at home?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3011. Re: We should at least threaten them once in a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 21:44:17 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4ddd77d1$0$12462$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 230935

On 05/25/2011 08:53 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 25, 3:20 am, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>> On May 24, 5:55 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>> On 05/23/2011 10:06 AM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>>>> CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC
>>>>> on 23 Mayo 2011
>>
>>>>> S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 545 and Good Audio
>>
>>>>> "CRI" News ID @ 1102 UTC
>>>>> Chinese Vice-Prime Minister Visits Angola
>>>>> Iceland Airport Closed due to Volcano
>>>>> Beijing Weather Sun Shine and 29*C
>>>>> and Weather around the World
>>>>> "CRI" ID @ 1105 UTC
>>>>> Program ID "Beijing Hour" @ 1105 UTC
>>>>> http://english.cri.cn/cribb/programs/hour.htm
>>>>> Topic : Unique Tibet University
>>>>> .
>>>>> China Radio International [CRI] English Portal
>>>>> http://english.cri.cn/
>>>>> .
>>>>> CRI Shortwave Frequencies in North America
>>>>> http://english.cri.cn/7146/2010/03/30/2141s560015.htm
>>>>> .
>>>>> EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
>>>>> http://www.eibi.de.vu/
>>>>> 5955 kHz @ 1100-1600 UTC
>>>>> CHN : China Radio Int. : E : FE : b
>>>>> from China [PRC] in English to the Far East
>>>>> Transmitter Site : Beijing
>>>>> .
>>>>> ABOUT - China Radio International [CRI]
>>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/China_Radio_International
>>>>> .
>>>>> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
>>>>> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
>>>>> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^info^

>>>> .
>>>> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports :http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
>>>> http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
>>>> .
>>>> - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
>>>> .
>>>> .
>>
>> -my-original-post-was-
>> CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/30bec83f1fd9d2fb
>> CRI = China Radio International
>> -it's-just-radio-dave-not-a-'wmd'-
>> .
>> Dave -wrote-new-subject-line-
>> "Nuke China while we still can"
>> -dave-such-hate-dave-such-hate-dave-
>> -nuclear-war-dave-nuclear-war-
>> -fiery-death-from-the-sky-dave-
>> killing-millions-of-innocent-chinese-
>> .
>> * Dave : Just another typical example [Post]
>> of how you constantly prove your are NO
>> Libertarian of any
Striphttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/904a046dc39ff178
>> -dave-more-intolerance- -dave-more--hate-
>> * Dave, Dave, Dave : Once Again You Prove
>> That You Are NO Libertarian of any Stripe
>> -but- an Intolerant Elitist* With Uber
>> Liberal-Fascist Tendencies to Rain Nuclear
>> Death on China and Innocent
Chinesehttp://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/ebdb61838b...
>> * Whoops! Once Again 'Special-Dave' Proves
>> He Is NO Libertarian of any
Stripe...http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb4b647df5e16654
>> * Dave the Hypocrisy of Calling Yourself a
>> "Libertarian" : Please Don't Give Real
>> 'Libertarians' a Bad
Namehttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/442a559f6742f674
>> * Dave you are NO Libertarian of any Stripe
>> -but- an Intolerant Elitist with Inherited
>> Liberal-Fascist Tendencies.
>> .
>> dave,,, Dave... DAVE ! ! ! -sadly-
>> 1 - You Have Become The Spirit of 'The John
>> Bircher' that you claim your Dad Was.
>> 2 - You Shot Your Mouth-Off like a 'Minuteman'
>> 3 - Your Posts Read Like Joe Pyne dialogs.
>> 4 - Your "Nuke China while we still can"
>> -proclamation- Reads Like Barry Goldwater.

>> -dave-you-have-become-your-dad-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8
6831c3ac39d9bee
>> -dave-you-are-your-dad-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/2fc2588cc
9da847d
>> -your-dad's-in-you-dave-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/592b61c4
e541c73b
>> =You=Are=Your=Worst=Nightmare=Dave=
>> .
>> .
>> Dave,
>> May you live very long. Well at least long
>> enough to Regret your Politics ;;-}} ~ RHF
>> -peace-&-love- -peace-&-love- -peace-&-love-
>> .
>> .
>> Dave,
>> How does a simple Shortwave Radio Reception
>> Report
>> ~translate~into~a~
>> "Nuke China while we still can"
>> ~reply~from~you~
>> -wrt-my-original-post-
>> CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/30bec83f1fd9d2fb
>> CRI = China Radio International
>> -it's-just-radio-dave-not-a-'wmd'-
>> .
>> -ps- Dave Don't Trash The On-Topic Posts :
>> It Makes You Look Worse That Me ~ RHF
>> .
>> .- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> LOL! How did you turn a simple sarcastic one-line comment into a
> tirade?

3012. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 21:44:40 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF096006922Ahopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230939
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irjpq7$u2m$1@dont-email.me:

>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF07D262B9F1hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com
>> :
>>
>>> On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> wrote:
>>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
>>> s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>> > wrote:
>>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>>>> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>> >> > wrote:
>>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>>>> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>> >> >> > wrote:
>>>> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> ...
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>> >> >> >> innuendo you

>>>> >> >> keep
>>>> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>>> >> >> >> 42% of all
>>>> >> >> of
>>>> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
>>>> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
>>>> >> >> fair
>>>> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> Regards,
>>>> >> >> >> JS
>>>>
>>>> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>
>>>> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>>>
>>>> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>>>
>>>> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>>>
>>>> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>>>
>>>> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>>>
>>>> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>>> from the income tax.
>>
>> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>> tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax
>>> as the method of funding government. If you fully understand the
>>> FairTax you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>
>> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
>> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
>> gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger
>> percentage of their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>>
>>>> The FairTax is a replacement
>>>>
>>>> > for the income tax.
>>>>

>>>> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
>>> better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
>>> leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in
>>> place as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls
>>> of our current tax system.
>>
>> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
>> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level
>> wages for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or
>> exemption if you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a
>> postcard.
>>
>>>> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>>>
>>>> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>>>
>>>> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments
>>>> so that it does not become regressive.
>>>>
>>>
>>> I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>>>
>>> Current tax system:
>>>
>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>> IRS takes 25%: $250.
>>> Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
>>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>>> Taxpayer has $620 left.
>>>
>>> Fairtax system:
>>>
>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>>> Taxpayer has $870 left.
>>>
>>> I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>>>
>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>>> Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
>>> Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
>>> Taxpayer has $900 left.
>>>

>>> So, again, how is that regressive.
>>
>> Same taxpayer......buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for
>> them. Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of
>> groceries...pays $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger
>> percentage of their income on a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we
>> will not pay that tax on groceries....oooops, you just generated an
>> exception.
>>
>>> Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
>>> questions you might have:
>>>
>>> 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
>>> particular attention to the FAQ.
>>> 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>>> 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>>>
>>> It will all become crystal clear.
>>
>> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for
>> years. With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the current
>> system. Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the rich as
>> poor poeple would never buy luxury taxed items. How did that work
>> out?
>>
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.
>
> More nonsense.
> We have a system that was god when it started and has been eroded over
> the years.
> It was sound.
> It can be made sound again without phony "fair" tax and "flat" tax
> nonsense Fix it.
>
>

YOu just don't understand either the Fair Tax or a flat income tax, Sid.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3013. Re: Nazi Gas Bag Sprung a Leak?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 21:49:15 UTC
From: Black Irishman O'Bama <"Fraud & Faker"@WhiteHouse.gov>
Message-ID: <fNeDp.21027$oq.6127@newsfe17.iad>
Source record: 230940
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Joe from Kokomo" <joe@indy.net> wrote in message
news:irjqgt$20h$4@dont-email.me...
> Rush Limbaugh's latest Arbitron ratings were DOWN over 30%.

Yeah, only in Liberal NYC WABC's station. How shocking, after the 2012 elections, liberals go back to listening to Air America,, oh wait.

Snicker

http://www.crainsnewyork.com/article/20110522/SUB/110529982

May 22, 2011

Radio ratings fall for Limbaugh, Hannity

WABC's top talkers see big declines.

By Matthew Flamm

Right-wing talk radio may have worn out its welcome, at least for now. The just-out April Arbitron report shows Rush Limbaugh and Sean Hannity-the biggest conservative talkers in New York-stuck in a ratings slump that started back in November, right after the 2010 midterm elections.

Top-rated Mr. Limbaugh has taken the biggest fall.

He had a 3.0 share of listeners for his WABC-AM midday slot-a 33% slide from October and from last April.

3014. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 21:51:33 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0972B1CF1Bhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230941
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in
news:irjnlobmf1@dont-email.me:

> On 5/25/2011 12:25 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-
>>>> email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo
>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>>>>>>>>>> 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top
>>>>>>>>>>> 19% are not paying half of governments costs, until that
>>>>>>>>>>> happens they are NOT paying their fair share ... a flat tax
>>>>>>>>>>> can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>>> advocate

>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7%
>>>>>>>>> sales
>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales
>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>> government
>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their
>>>>>>> fair
>>>>>> share
>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go
>>>>>> through
>>>> a
>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch
>>>>> on ... I was wrong.
>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all income
>>>> not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it here in this
>>>> thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is really quite
>>>> simply and quite short so you should have no problem understanding
>>>> it. ;)
>>>>
>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't
>>>> flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever
>>>> was purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>>
>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the real
>>>> world.
>>>
>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion began,
>>> or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>>
>>> Of course, even with a flat tax certain safeguards would have to be
>>> in place from preventing criminals from crimes which would allow
>>> them to ignore the taxes.
>>
>> See Al Capone.
>>

>> For example, a case where they made their dollars
>>> here and bought only foreign goods in mexico or canada ... it is a
>>> given, as soon as any fix, situation, solution, etc. is enacted, the
>>> criminals will come crawling out from under their rocks attempting
>>> to avoid it ... some of these safeguards to prevent this will have
>>> to be worked out as we catch the criminals ...
>>>
>>> Unfortunately, every discussion must begin on the premise that
>>> everyone is capable of realizing "common sense."
>>>
>>> At the bottom of the fair tax or fair tax is the real intent and
>>> sole purpose that all contribute equally and in direct relationship
>>> to how much they profit from business here.
>>
>> Just how is your fair tax different from your scenarios above. After
>> all, you said folks would by only foreign goods from outside the US
>> which avoids your fair tax.
>>
>
> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate of every dollar earned.

My flat tax does that. Fair Tax (or a sales tax) does not.

> I said crooks will always attempt to break any laws in existence.

That would be true regardless of the taxing system.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3015. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 21:58:57 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0986C7911Dhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230942
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF0AAF5845E6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>> news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-
>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>>>>>>>>>>>> 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks like the
>>>>>>>>>>>>> top 19% are not paying half of governments costs, until
>>>>>>>>>>>>> that happens they are NOT paying their fair share ... a
>>>>>>>>>>>>> flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>
```

>>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>>>> advocate
>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7%
>>>>>>>>>> sales
>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales
>>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>>> government
>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their
>>>>>>>>> fair
>>>>>>> share
>>>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go
>>>>>>> through
>>>>> a
>>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch
>>>>>> on ... I was wrong.
>>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all income
>>>>> not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it here in this
>>>>> thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is really quite
>>>>> simply and quite short so you should have no problem understanding
>>>>> it. ;)
>>>>>
>>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't
>>>>> flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever
>>>>> was purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>>>
>>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the real
>>>>> world.
>>>>
>>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion began,
>>>> or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>>

>>>
>>> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person B
>>> buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes
>>>
>>> What's more fair than that?
>>>
>>> Same product, same taxes paid.
>>>
>>> Fair.
>>
>> Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal
>> poverty level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on amount
>> over federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable income paid.
>> Fair.
>>
>> The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about food or
>> necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list exemptions....and
>> the list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and Cher......
>>
>>
>
> The real problem is...
>
> First you have to decide how much the government needs to funtion.

That is true under any taxing scheme.
>
> To do that you have to decide what the government should be doing.

Same here and that is most of the discussion and difference between
liberals and conservatives.

> I think rather than discussing camoflaging how the feds fleece the
> taxpayer those questions really need to be answered.

Yep, but, good luck. Those discussions have been going on for two
hundred years. ;)

> I am of the opinion that taxes overall hurt the economy by taking
> people's hard earned money. I don't care if you are the bus boy or the
> owner of the chain. You earened it, it's yours.

However, one does get things from having a government.

> Overall if the bite is reasonably low than whatever negative effects
> it has are mitigated. But the only really effective way to increase
> government revenues is to have a going, expanding economy. That way
> whatever "protection" money the government extorts from the people can
> increase without increasing the percentage that it takes.

True.

> Of course that would require a complete ovrehaul of most federal
> policies and the expulsion of Marxists and enviromentalists.
>
> One would have to stop viewing tax policy as a method of molding
> people's behavior and relegate to the neccessary evil it is.
>
> Frankly I have yet to hear anyone explain to me how we can tax out way
> out of the current crisis wherein the debt equals the GDP and is
> likely to double in 8 years. There is simply no possible way to do it
> without removing so much wealth from the private sector as to
> thorougly tank the economy, which will in turn make the problem
> immeasurably worse.

To get out of this will require BOTH taxes and spending cuts. Doing just
one or the other won't do it.

> The truth of this must be recognized and those that ignore the
> question should in turn be ignored. They are greedy, twisted people
> that want to punish the successful, get thier government cheese and
> then ignore the calamity for our children and grand children. And us.
> They imagine somehow the beast will continue feeding enough to support
> them, in spite of what the vampirism does to the country as a whole.
>
>
>

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3016. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:00:30 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230943
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who
>>>>>>>> pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If you
>>>>>>>> want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the
>>>>>>>> government then your right. I know of no place that compiles
>>>>>>>> that data. ...
>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need
>>>>>>>> them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you. Which
>>>>>>> explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ...
>>>>>> you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can, from
>>>>>> the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>
>>>>> 2008
>>>>>
>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02

>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>
>>>>> 2007
>>>>>
>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>
>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>
>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>
>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>> ? May 15, 2011
>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>
>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the check,
>>>>> but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies the cause
>>>>> of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization that poisons
>>>>> our political process. But to understand that problem, we must
>>>>> consider how taxes are applied to the production of goods and
>>>>> services.
>>>>>
>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>
>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes based
>>>>> on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm and
>>>>> equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just another
>>>>> cost of doing business in the course of earning his living, no
>>>>> different from fuel for his tractor or wages and taxes for
>>>>> employees.
>>>>>
>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and taxes,
>>>>> the price they charge the miller must cover their expenses and
>>>>> taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and savings.
>>>>> Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat. All of these
>>>>> costs and taxes were passed on to the miller, embedded in the
>>>>> price of wheat.
>>>>>
>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat to
>>>>> the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security taxes he

>>>>> withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes, were all
>>>>> passed on to the baker.
>>>>>
>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with
>>>>> it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those
>>>>> previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees, hidden
>>>>> in the price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his family ate
>>>>> the bread, and, having done so, could not sell it to anyone else
>>>>> and pass the taxes along, as the baker and everyone else before
>>>>> had done.
>>>>>
>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with the
>>>>> farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they withheld
>>>>> from all of their employees. From bread to automobiles to brain
>>>>> surgery, the price of everything we buy carries in it the hidden
>>>>> taxes of everyone who contributed to the production of that
>>>>> product or service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents of every
>>>>> dollar we spend for federal taxes alone.
>>>>>
>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a
>>>>> scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and unknowing
>>>>> tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to keep voters
>>>>> from realizing who really bears the burden of those high taxes.
>>>>>
>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
>>>>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually
>>>>> paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services.
>>>>> It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket,
>>>>> or what deductions you receive. These devices change only the
>>>>> degree to which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes
>>>>> place on your life depends solely on what you spend.
>>>>>
>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm. First,
>>>>> it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services that are
>>>>> provided by highly taxed individuals and companies, like health
>>>>> care, are artificially more expensive than necessary, while raw
>>>>> materials and natural resources are underpriced, leading to
>>>>> overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>
>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political process,
>>>>> encouraging government overspending by politicians who exploit the
>>>>> mistaken belief of many voters that government spending can be
>>>>> paid for solely by taxing corporations or the "rich." All of the
>>>>> exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which brings so much ill
>>>>> will to our politics and drives wedges between Americans who would
>>>>> be better served by mutual respect and compassion - is ultimately
>>>>> the meaningless exploitation of a lie.
>>>>>

>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates, appears
>>>>> progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive. Currently,
>>>>> the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay no income tax
>>>>> directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes added to the
>>>>> hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax burden is almost 30
>>>>> percent of their meager income.
>>>>>
>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through an
>>>>> invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>>>>
>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He is
>>>>> a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>
>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>
>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as Mr.
>>> B.
>>>
>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>
>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>
>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B
>>> gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>
>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the rich
>> not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>
>
> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so. The
> best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same percentage
> without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.

Which is what my flat tax proposal does.

> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and why.

GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3017. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:01:13 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irju7esmp1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230944
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"Howard Brazee" <howard@brazee.net> wrote in message
news:4q0qt6podmbrdp7m1aofuth71rmut87cjn@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2011 00:13:15 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>> There's no such thing as fairness when taking money by gunpoint. So
>>> the problem for the state is in how to get the most revenue with the
>>> least risk - and the best government does this for the long term, the
>>> worst do it for the short term.
>>
>>Yea, but the perception of fairness tends to reduce the risk. Some are
>>suggesting we utterly ignore any attempt at fairness and simply steal all
>>we
>>can.
>
> Agreed. But perceptions vary. Certainly there isn't much agreement
> about what "fair" means here.

Oh, we can define what is fair, if we simply make it less "personal".

For example;

Is it fair to charge Mr A the rate of 32.6% income tax on his income but
charge Mr. B at a rate of 27.4% income tax on his income?

The overwhelming answer is they should both pay the same rate of tax on
their income.

It's only when you engage in making class, race, ethnic, etc a factor that
what is "fair" becomes controlled by extraneous factors resulting from bias
and discrimination rather than any object view of what is "fair".

> The results of having the wealthy believe that their taxes being
> unfair could be with them moving their investments offshore or buying
> off politicians.

Which means less revenue for the government, in the long run.

> But those are what people are complaining about now
> - would they be any worse with Reagan era taxes? It is not obvious
> that this is the case.

Well, see in the Reagan era we hadn't established the "global economy" and
people still tended to "invest in America". now the blinders have been
removed, and investment abroad is seen as not only a perfectly valid
undertaking, but quite viable, profitable, and thus a better investment.

> Some "social engineering" taxes are better than others. For
> instance, if tax breaks were made for investing within our country
> resulted in more middle-class paying taxes, the country might find tax
> revenue increasing. Those who don't want the rich to have any tax
> breaks can be hurting themselves here - but perception matters.

Problem is what you suggest would violation any number of international laws, and wouldn't alter the drive to get the best investment but would simply encourage more ways to avoid paying the prohibitive taxation you would impose. More easy to implement would be tax rebates for investments made in America. Of course, even that would have international ramifications but at least you wouldn't be in direct violation of the laws and treaties.

> That seems better to me than giving tax breaks to Oil companies, as
> there is danger in being dependent upon oil-supplying countries.

We already are, and have been for over 20 years.

> There
> is a long history of giving breaks to companies that produce products
> that we need for national security, and taxing products that give our
> enemies strength.

Which is yet another reason to simply impose a flat income tax, with a single deductable of the federal poverty level.

Further, I might point out that it's not really taxes that has pushed business overseas, but the massive influx of federal rules, regulations, forms, documents, declarations, etc that have been imposed in recent years. The cost of those are far more than any minor difference in taxes, and it is that which pushes companies to relocated in order to avoid the headaches, expense and paperwork associated with complying with them.

3018. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:01:30 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF098DB21154hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230945
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF0ABBAC2BB7Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF080A6B51E5hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com> wrote in
>> news:c3320493-53c4-474c-b720-3f6944f4ad00
@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> On May 24, 10:45 am, gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On May 24, 12:07 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>
>>>> > > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> > >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>
>>>> > >> > >> ...
>>>>
>>>> > >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>>>> > >> you k
>>> eep
>>>> > >> attempting to push?
>>>>
>>>> > >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>>>> > >> of al
>>> l of
>>>> > >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>> > >> paying ha
>>> lf
>>>> > >> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying
>>>> > >> their f
>>> air
>>>> > >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...

>>>>
>>>> > >> Regards,
>>>> > >> JS
>>>> > > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>
>>>> > > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
advocate
>>>> > > i
>>> s
>>>> > > the FairTax.
>>>>
>>>> > Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
>>>> > tax
>>> ,
>>>> > the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
>>>> > that way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>> > government ...
>>>>
>>>> Impossible to implement.
>>>>
>>>
>>> It *might* be possible to implement - in a totalitarian state. The
>>> government would have to always know what your worth (in terms of
>>> wealth) is at all times, and exactly what you purchase throughout the
>>> year, and when.
>>>
>>> Yikes.
>>>
>>> I suspect that such a system would encourage a black market or two.
>>
>> And a MASSIVE tracking system on the income status of over 300 million
>> people. Think BIG BROTHER in real time.
>>
>>
>>
>
> Isn't that what we are trying to get away from? I don't much like the
> government reviewing my personal financial information. Privacy and all
> that.
>

Then a sales tax that proportions its charges on income is not the
answer.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3019. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:02:52 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0991603251hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230946
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in
news:irjnoebmf2@dont-email.me:

> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>> email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>> ...
>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>
>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put
>>>> this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top
>>>> one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way
>>>> they will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." -
>>>> is either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>> ...
>>>
>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
>> say
>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to
>>> implement it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can
>>> successfully accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be
>>> great.
>>
>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
>> How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales
>> tax or some amount in between?
>>
>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of
>>> 42.7% of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that
>>> 20% at the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>
>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in
>> those brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>
>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>
>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in
>> that scheme.
>> Those
>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>>

>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to
>> have any grasp of the basics.
>>
>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just
>>> that the water comes from the well ...
>>
>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
>> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>> overwhelm the result.
>>
>>
>
> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned
> ...
>
> I said crooks will always attempt to avoid this.

If you are going to keep dodging the questions put to you, there really
isn't much sense continuing this.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3020. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:09:33 UTC
From: pyotr filipivich <phamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <1huqt69p0eonguhp2orsvkapd434042tr3@4ax.com>
Source record: 230947
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

[Default] Let the Record show that RD Sandman
<rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> on or about Mon, 23 May 2011 14:29:50
-0500 did write, type or otherwise cause to appear in
talk.politics.guns the following:
>ally a summary of the federal reserve and
>>>> govt. figures, the ultimate message comes from them ... you will
>>> notice,
>>>> no one is able to come up with figures which dispute them -- that
>often
>>>> happens with the truth ...
>>> Particularly when you post both sides.......you didn't.
>>
>> Whoever make the money pays the bill ... no-brainer ... this financial
>> crisis is just working out those terms.
>
>However, you wish to tax the wealthy even more even when the stats show
>that they pay about where they should be when looking at the amount of
>wealth or income that they show.

Limiting the franchise to those who pay taxes would be a good
first step. Then we can move towards those limiting it to those who
paid more than they got in Government Benefits.

tschus
pyotr

--
pyotr filipivich
"Quemadmoeum gladuis neminem occidit, occidentis telum est. "
Lucius Annaeus Seneca, circa 45 AD
(A sword is never a killer, it is a tool in the killer's hands.)

3021. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:13:59 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <edvqt6tssnpr2u1t80r59uam9hbostcb9j@4ax.com>
Source record: 230949
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On Wed, 25 May 2011 18:01:13 -0400, "Scout"
<me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:

>> Agreed. But perceptions vary. Certainly there isn't much agreement
>> about what "fair" means here.
>
>Oh, we can define what is fair, if we simply make it less "personal".

Look around, there still is disagreement, even with your basic
statement below.

>For example;
>
>Is it fair to charge Mr A the rate of 32.6% income tax on his income but
>charge Mr. B at a rate of 27.4% income tax on his income?
>
>The overwhelming answer is they should both pay the same rate of tax on
>their income.

In that case there should be no personal deductions allowed, as that
would change the % being taxed.

Most tax breaks though are in defining income. Obviously we want net
income, not gross income, as different businesses have different types
of expenses. The laws are very complex, often set up by people who
have paid congressmen for laws that help them. Going to a flat tax
won't change this.

>It's only when you engage in making class, race, ethnic, etc a factor that
>what is "fair" becomes controlled by extraneous factors resulting from bias
>and discrimination rather than any object view of what is "fair".

There are lots more criteria than those that people complain about.
One is whether an industry has enough clout to get laws written for
it. And as always fairness is about perception.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

3022. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:16:31 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <irjv3vvd1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230948

On 5/25/11 15:57 , Joe from Kokomo wrote:

> Desperation or dumbness...that is the question.
>

The two are often one and the same.

3023. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:19:21 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF09BE1A27B1hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230950
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1f5bfecc-e02e-4633-9eea-9baf68698200@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:

> On May 25, 3:46 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:irh72f$id0$5@dont-email.me
>:
>>
>> > On 5/24/2011 1:44 PM, Dave LaRue wrote:
>> >> ...
>> >> Well, it is impossible for you to be smart, you retard.
>> >> You can't do otherwise, you retard.
>>
>> > You are like dog shit on the shoe ...
>>
>> > And, nothing but personal attacks, opinions, etc.
>>
>> > ... sad, so very, very sad ...
>>
>> Perhaps it you paid some attention to what it would take to implement
>> suc
> h
>> a system and what it would cost in freedom, etc.. then perhaps folks
>> wouldn't be so hard on you. Instead you simply seem to wish to deal
>> on emotion.
>>
>
> Liberals can't connect the dots of freedom and implementation of his
> unworkable plan. You nailed it when you mentioned "emotion". But,
> that's how liberals react. Not with logic or thought, but emotion.
>

Ahhh, you noticed that.....

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3024. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:24:46 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irjvk7$5vm$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230951
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131...
> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who
>>>>>>>>>> pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If you
>>>>>>>>>> want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the
>>>>>>>>>> government then your right. I know of no place that compiles
>>>>>>>>>> that data. ...
>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need
>>>>>>>>> them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you. Which
>>>>>>>> explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ...
>>>>>>> you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can, from
>>>>>>> the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 2008

>>>>>>
>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>> ï¿½ May 15, 2011
>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the check,
>>>>>> but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies the cause
>>>>>> of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization that poisons
>>>>>> our political process. But to understand that problem, we must
>>>>>> consider how taxes are applied to the production of goods and
>>>>>> services.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes based
>>>>>> on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm and
>>>>>> equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just another
>>>>>> cost of doing business in the course of earning his living, no
>>>>>> different from fuel for his tractor or wages and taxes for
>>>>>> employees.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and taxes,
>>>>>> the price they charge the miller must cover their expenses and
>>>>>> taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and savings.
>>>>>> Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat. All of these
>>>>>> costs and taxes were passed on to the miller, embedded in the
>>>>>> price of wheat.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat to

>>>>>> the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security taxes he
>>>>>> withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes, were all
>>>>>> passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with
>>>>>> it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those
>>>>>> previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees, hidden
>>>>>> in the price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his family ate
>>>>>> the bread, and, having done so, could not sell it to anyone else
>>>>>> and pass the taxes along, as the baker and everyone else before
>>>>>> had done.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with the
>>>>>> farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they withheld
>>>>>> from all of their employees. From bread to automobiles to brain
>>>>>> surgery, the price of everything we buy carries in it the hidden
>>>>>> taxes of everyone who contributed to the production of that
>>>>>> product or service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents of every
>>>>>> dollar we spend for federal taxes alone.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a
>>>>>> scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and unknowing
>>>>>> tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to keep voters
>>>>>> from realizing who really bears the burden of those high taxes.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
>>>>>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually
>>>>>> paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services.
>>>>>> It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket,
>>>>>> or what deductions you receive. These devices change only the
>>>>>> degree to which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes
>>>>>> place on your life depends solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm. First,
>>>>>> it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services that are
>>>>>> provided by highly taxed individuals and companies, like health
>>>>>> care, are artificially more expensive than necessary, while raw
>>>>>> materials and natural resources are underpriced, leading to
>>>>>> overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political process,
>>>>>> encouraging government overspending by politicians who exploit the
>>>>>> mistaken belief of many voters that government spending can be
>>>>>> paid for solely by taxing corporations or the "rich." All of the
>>>>>> exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which brings so much ill
>>>>>> will to our politics and drives wedges between Americans who would
>>>>>> be better served by mutual respect and compassion - is ultimately
>>>>>> the meaningless exploitation of a lie.
>>>>>>

>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates, appears
>>>>>> progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive. Currently,
>>>>>> the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay no income tax
>>>>>> directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes added to the
>>>>>> hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax burden is almost 30
>>>>>> percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through an
>>>>>> invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He is
>>>>>> a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>
>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>
>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as Mr.
>>>> B.
>>>>
>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>
>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>
>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B
>>>> gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>
>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the rich
>>> not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>
>>
>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so. The
>> best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same percentage
>> without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>
> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>
>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and why.
>
> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>

Paying the "same percentage" is not fair.

The BURDEN is much less on the wealthy.

...and why ...radio.shortwave...? more obsolete thinking!

3025. COVER-UP OF OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE CRIMES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:39:06 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk0e9$9kn$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.infowars.com/smoking-gun-govt-moles-alerted-investigator-of-planted-obama-birth-certificate-2-months-before-release/

"Speaking today on the Alex Jones Show, investigative journalist Jerome R. Corsi dropped a huge bombshell. Dr. Corsi provided proof that he was alerted to an on-going plot to release a fake more than two months before President Obama released his purported long-form birth certificate.

Corsi supplied Infowars.com with time-stamped Microsoft Word documents [.ZIP] of five unpublished World Net Daily investigative drafts, written between February 23 and February 24, 2011, two months before Obamaï¿½s April 27 birth certificate press conference. The unpublished reports confirm that moles inside the Hawaiian State government, including one inside the Department of Health, had warned Corsi of a plan by Obama operatives to plant and then publicly release a forged birth certificate.

The veteran investigative journalist released more details during a live appearance today, two hours and 8 minutes into the three hour broadcast."

The crimes have been exposed!

Regards,
JS

3026. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:41:19 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk0ie$9kn$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230953
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On 5/25/2011 1:22 PM, RD Sandman wrote:

>> If you make it overseas, sell it overseas ... end of story.
>>
>> If you employ people in communist countries, move there ...
>
> Which has what to do with illegal immigration? Nothing. The cheap
> products (I really typoed that one) and businesses trying to find a way
> to produce those cheap products were the cause of most illegal
> immigration today.
>
>
>

http://www.infowars.com/smoking-gun-govt-moles-alerted-investigator-of-planted-obama-birth-certificate-2-months-before-release/

Regards,
JS

3027. Federal blockade of Texas demonstrate financial terrorism of TSA!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:42:59 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk0li$9kn$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.infowars.com/financial-terrorism-tsa-holds-texas-flights-hostage/

You just can't make up shit like this!

Regards,
JS

3028. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:44:10 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk0nu$ck3$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230955
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

<Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
news:pcoqt6l0s5f9e5h7ckkatc7dminok9br2t@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2011 14:07:09 -0500, RD Sandman
> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
>>Besides if the low earner is really a low earner like the 45% who don't
>>pay tax in the first place
>
> A low earner pays as much tax on goods and services as a fucking
> billionaire you dipshit asshole, and is a bigger burden on their
> existence that anyone else.

No, actually a billionaire tends to spend much more since they purchase considerably more goods and services, and thus pay more tax as a result.

> You're talking about tax on income, which the wealth class can affect
> more than a burger flipper

Actually the wealthy would be affected more since the poverty level deduction is negligible for their income, but a significant part of the income of your burger flipper.

Let's say your burger flipper makes $30,000 and your "wealth class" makes $1,000,000

The burger flipper (given the numbers above, the ones you snipped) would be paying all of $900 in taxes. Your "wealth class on the other hand would be paying $146,400. The effective tax rate, and you love talking about effective rates, would have the effective tax rate on the burger flipper be 3%, your wealth class, on the other hand, would have an effective tax rate of 14.6%

So the tax is clearly affecting the wealth class far more than it would the burger flipper, so your objection has no merit.

In fact none of your objections have had any merit since your complaint seems to be that unless we stick to the wealthy then it's impossible.

3029. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:45:36 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk0qf$9kn$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/25/2011 1:57 PM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:
>
>>> On May 24, 1:05 pm, Joe from Kokomo<j...@indy.net> wrote:
>>>>> On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob<Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date
>>>>>>> for the rapture?
>>>>
>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:55 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>> Camping dood it already - October 21, 2011. He forgot to
>>>>>>> multiply the month by 2. Seriously. What a predatory prick.
>>>>
>>>> Maybe so, but Camping was smart enough to find people stupid
>>>> enough to donate 18.3 million dollars to his "church" last year.
>>>>
>>>> They interviewed one of his acolytes on the radio and she said
>>>> she was sitting in her back yard, praying for the end of the
>>>> world because she had money troubles.
>>>>
>>>> Possibly her "money troubles" would not have been quite so bad if
>>>> she hadn't given her money to that quack.
>>>>
>>>> Once again to paraphrase H. L. Mencken, "You'll never go broke
>>>> underestimating the stupidity of the American public". Camping
>>>> obviously took that advice to heart.
>
> On 5/24/11 23:48 , bpnjensen wrote:
>
>>> Joe - it ain't hard to find people stupid enough for this in
>>> America 2011. Camping had easy pickins'.
>
> On 5/25/2011 9:49 AM, D. Peter Maus wrote:
>
>> In a time when life is evermore difficult, and the world has become
>> not only more complex, but dangerous, the promise of paradise is
>> attractive to those without the resources to withstand tough times.
>
> Desperation or dumbness...that is the question.
>
> (and you've also hit upon why there are, and will continue to be, so
> many suicide bombers.)
>
>> And as we continue to dumb down the population, there will be a

>> virtually inexhaustable supply of fools taken in by these
>> charlatans.
>
> Latest "dumb" (gullible, desperate?) report from Yahoo News:
>
> A woman, believing Camping that the end of the world was near, and not
> wanting her daughters to suffer, put both of them on the bed and slashed
> their throats with a box-cutter and then did the same to herself.
>
> All three survived, the mom to be arrested.
>
> Never underestimate the depths of human gullibility. :-(

You can thank reagan, in California, for these nuts, such as the mother, being on the streets and not getting proper health -- he closed down mental hospitals and cuts services. We knew then there would be repercussions far into the future ...

Regards,
JS

3030. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:45:59 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk0rbdaj1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230957
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:irjplt$t30$1@dont-email.me...
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF07B4BACE78hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irgvu8$ott$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9EEF759361DABhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irgpv8$dcm$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:Xns9EEF6998522Fhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>>>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgik5$f2r$3@dont-
>>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo you
>>>> keep
>>>>>>> attempting to push?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42% of
>> all
>>>>>> of
>>>>>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not paying
>>>> half
>>>>>>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their
>>>> fair
>>>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Oh, you mean one like this?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> A tax on *ALL* income no matter where derived. One deduction.
>>>> Federal
>>>>>> poverty level for a family of four and everybody gets that
>> deduction.
>>>>>> Have a tax rate of, say 15% and the current poverty level at $24K
>> and
```

>>>> we
>>>>>> get the following:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> A person who earns up to $24K, pays nada...
>>>>>> A person who earns $50K, pays $3,900 (50-24x15%)
>>>>>> A person who earns $100K, pays $11,400 (100-24x15%)
>>>>>> A person who earns $500K, pays $71,400 (500-24x15%)
>>>>>> A person who earns a million pays $146,400 (1000-24x15%)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> That do it for you?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>> .
>>>>> .
>>>>> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $50,000 guy he becomes homeless
>>>>> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $1,000,000 guy...he never notices it.
>>>>>
>>>>> That's what's UNFAIR.
>>>>
>>>> Nope, what's unfair is YOU expecting OTHERS to pay for what YOU want.
>>>>
>>>>> The EFFECT on the wealthy taxpayer is nil.
>>>>> The EFFECT on the low income tax payer is catastrophic.
>>>>
>>>> Interestingly, no one is asking that $50K guy for that extra grand,
>> but
>>>> here you are whining that the million dollar guy won't as affected.
>>>>
>>>> The problem Democrats will have is that sometime they will run out of
>>>> other people's money.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>>
>>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>>> Don't complain.
>>>
>>> That's what is unfair.
>>> A small increase of tax on a low earner is a huge burden
>>> The same increase on a wealthy person is INSIGNIFICANT.
>>
>> No one is asking for the same increase from both parties, you idiot.
>> Besides if the low earner is really a low earner like the 45% who don't
>> pay tax in the first place, how can you increase the tax on them. They
>> still won't reach the AGI that pays taxes. Increasing the tax percentage
>> doesn't do a damn thing to change their AGI.
>>
>>

>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.
>
> I gave an example of how the same number (dollars) has a different affect
> on people of different wealth.

So did I. Your low wage earner would have (after taxes) and increase in
income of 13%, while the wealth class would have an increase in wealth of
less than 1%.

Sure, the tax is more significant to the low wage earner, but the boost in
income is also more significant as well.

You wish to ignore that half of the equation.

Why is that?

> You didnï¿½t understand it.....what's the word you used....you idiot!

3031. Re: COVER-UP OF OBAMA BIRTH CERTIFICATE CRIMES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:46:26 UTC
From: Ron <roneal1554@att.net>
Message-ID: <0d551785-f9d4-4b40-a155-f78142267c44@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 25, 5:39 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> http://www.infowars.com/smoking-gun-govt-moles-alerted-investigator-o...
>
> "Speaking today on the Alex Jones Show, investigative journalist Jerome
> R. Corsi dropped a huge bombshell. Dr. Corsi provided proof that he was
> alerted to an on-going plot to release a fake more than two months
> before President Obama released his purported long-form birth certificate.
>
> Corsi supplied Infowars.com with time-stamped Microsoft Word documents
> [.ZIP] of five unpublished World Net Daily investigative drafts, written
> between February 23 and February 24, 2011, two months before Obama s
> April 27 birth certificate press conference. The unpublished reports
> confirm that moles inside the Hawaiian State government, including one
> inside the Department of Health, had warned Corsi of a plan by Obama
> operatives to plant and then publicly release a forged birth certificate.
>
> The veteran investigative journalist released more details during a live
> appearance today, two hours and 8 minutes into the three hour broadcast."
>
> The crimes have been exposed!
>
> Regards,
> JS
.
.
I'll alert the media.

3032. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:47:18 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26134-4DDD86F6-879@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230960

Tornado Watch for Western Ohio untill 9:00 PM tonight, according to the Weather channel.

Sheesh, so many tornados already this year,,,, I quote what that guy in Waterworld movie said,,,,,,
I NEVER SAW ANYTHING LIKE THAT BEFORE!
cuhulin

3033. IC-7410 vs. TS-590 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:47:35 UTC
From: Michael <mjd1966@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <7052c052-d761-4734-a617-f18c654be15e@e8g2000vbz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230959

Greetings...

Have not posted here in ages... I gather there are still a few hams around/.

Anyone have any experience with both the IC-7410 and TS-590 ???

Trying to decide between the two. Also considered the FT-950 but it appears that both the IC-7410 and TS-590 are unanimously ranked above the FT-950.

Also considered the Ten-Tec Eagle and Jupiter, but I really prefer direct controls for a desktop rig.

Any advice would be appreciated.

Thanx !

Michael

3034. Re: Nazi Gas Bag Sprung a Leak?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:50:17 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DDD87A9-3252@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 230966

I am Scots Irish by Ancestry.
I Say Again, There Is NOT Anything Irish (Roots/Ancestry) about that
Born in Kenya MOFO SCARHEAD USURPER SOB!
cuhulin

3035. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:54:25 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk1b5$g4e$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230961
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
> On May 25, 3:18 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
>> > s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>> >> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>> >> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> ...
>>
>> >> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>> >> >> >> >> innuendo you
>> >> >> >> keep
>> >> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>
>> >> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>> >> >> >> >> 42% of all

>> >> >> >> of
>> >> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>> >> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
>> >> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
>> >> >> >> fair
>> >> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> >> >> >> >> Regards,
>> >> >> >> >> JS
>>
>> >> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>
>> >> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>> >> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>
>> >> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>
>> >> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>
>> >> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>
>> >> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>
>> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>> > from the income tax.
>>
>> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>
> As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when they
> buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
> decides you owe it on payday.
>
>> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>
>> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax as
>> > the method of funding government. If you fully understand the FairTax
>> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>
>> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
>> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation, gasoline,
>> etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger percentage of
>> their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>>
>
> Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First, people
> pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level. Every household
> receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential goods

> and services.

How exactly do you determine what are "essential goods and services" never mind how much such "essential goods and services" a particular household requires?

Let's take an example.

A poor family is an old but well insulated house. High effeciency heating system. Pays $200 for heating.

Another poor family in a old, uninsulated and drafty house with an old heating system. Pays $450 for heating.

Do you effectively impose a tax on one poor family and/or pay the other for non-essential goods and services?

Because what would be essential for one family might be nothing more than a luxury for the other.

So, please define for me exactly how you determine the EXACT nature of essential goods and services for each household and exactly how much they spent on such goods and services.

> Second, per my example an item that costs $100 today
> still costs $100 under the FairTax. If that's regressive then sign me
> up.

Hmmm... you impose a tax, and then state you're going to collect nothing in taxes....

> The poor are always going to pay a larger percentage of their income
> on everything. No tax system is going to change that. Isn't that
> what the bulk of this thread is about?

Not necessarily. Look at some of the living expenses of the wealthy, or better yet those who were wealthy that no longer are.

3036. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:55:15 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk1cnged1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230962
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:irjpq7$u2m$1@dont-email.me...
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF07D262B9F1hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
>>> s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>> > wrote:
>>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>>>> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>> >> > wrote:
>>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>>>> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> ...
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>> >> >> >> innuendo you
>>>> >> >> keep
>>>> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>>>

>>>> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>>> >> >> >> 42% of all
>>>> >> >> of
>>>> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
>>>> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
>>>> >> >> fair
>>>> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> Regards,
>>>> >> >> >> JS
>>>>
>>>> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>
>>>> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>>>
>>>> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>>>
>>>> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>>>
>>>> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>>>
>>>> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>>>
>>>> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>>> from the income tax.
>>
>> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>> tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax as
>>> the method of funding government. If you fully understand the FairTax
>>> you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>
>> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
>> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation, gasoline,
>> etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger percentage of
>> their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>>
>>>> The FairTax is a replacement
>>>>
>>>> > for the income tax.
>>>>
>>>> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>>>
>>>

>>> Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
>>> better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
>>> leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in place
>>> as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls of our
>>> current tax system.
>>
>> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
>> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level wages
>> for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or exemption if
>> you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a postcard.
>>
>>>> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>>>
>>>> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>>>
>>>> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments so
>>>> that it does not become regressive.
>>>>
>>>
>>> I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>>>
>>> Current tax system:
>>>
>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>> IRS takes 25%: $250.
>>> Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
>>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>>> Taxpayer has $620 left.
>>>
>>> Fairtax system:
>>>
>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>>> Taxpayer has $870 left.
>>>
>>> I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>>>
>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>>> Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
>>> Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
>>> Taxpayer has $900 left.
>>>
>>> So, again, how is that regressive.
>>
>> Same taxpayer......buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for them.
>> Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of groceries...pays

>> $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger percentage of their income on
>> a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we will not pay that tax on
>> groceries....oooops, you just generated an exception.
>>
>>> Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
>>> questions you might have:
>>>
>>> 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
>>> particular attention to the FAQ.
>>> 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>>> 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>>>
>>> It will all become crystal clear.
>>
>> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for years.
>> With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the current system.
>> Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the rich as poor poeple
>> would never buy luxury taxed items. How did that work out?
>>
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.
>
> More nonsense.
> We have a system that was god when it started and has been eroded over the
> years.
> It was sound.
> It can be made sound again without phony "fair" tax and "flat" tax
> nonsense
> Fix it.

They did....how do you think they made such a mess of it?

3037. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:57:22 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk1gn$h37$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230963
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
> news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-
>>>> email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo
>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>>>>>>>>>>> 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top
>>>>>>>>>>>> 19% are not paying half of governments costs, until that
>>>>>>>>>>>> happens they are NOT paying their fair share ... a flat tax
>>>>>>>>>>>> can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>>> advocate
>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7%
>>>>>>>>> sales
>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales
>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>> government
>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their
>>>>>>> fair
>>>>>> share
>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go
>>>>>> through
>>>> a
>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch on
>>>>> ... I was wrong.
>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all income
>>>> not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it here in this
>>>> thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is really quite
>>>> simply and quite short so you should have no problem understanding
>>>> it. ;)
>>>>
>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't
>>>> flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever was
>>>> purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>>
>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the real
>>>> world.
>>>
>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion began,
>>> or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>
>>
>> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person B buys
>> product Z and pays 7% in taxes
>>

>> What's more fair than that?
>>
>> Same product, same taxes paid.
>>
>> Fair.
>
> Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal poverty
> level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on amount over
> federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable income paid. Fair.
>
> The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about food or
> necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list exemptions....and the
> list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and Cher......

Yep, you either put sales tax EVERYTHING equally, or the system is
automatically no longer fair.

3038. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:58:18 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk1i9$9kn$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230964
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/25/2011 2:12 PM, Dave LaRue wrote:

>> ...
>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned ...
>>
>> I said crooks will always attempt to avoid this.
>
> I have no real problem with a "luxury" tax, too.
> Cell Phones for instance? Noop
> Cell phones with camera's all the "apps?" Yup.
> Basic 19" TV's? Noop.
> Large screen LED/LCD things that cover an entire wall? Yup.
> Chevy's? Noop. They are already sorry for buying them.
> Cadillac's, BMW's, Mercedes' and the like? Yup.
>

Already taken care of. If the poor guy buys a $400 dollar TV he has
already paid the tax on the $400. If the rich guy buy a $4000 TV, he
has already paid the taxes on the $4000.

It is only important that each of the dollars in the $400 has been taxed
at a rate equal to those in the $4000.

"Everything should be made as simple as possible, but not simpler." --
Albert Einstein

Regards,
JS

3039. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 22:59:44 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk1l4hjk1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230965
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irjnoebmf2@dont-email.me...
> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>> email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>> ...
>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>
>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put this
>>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>> ...
>>>
>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
>> say
>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to implement
>>> it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>
>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
>> How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales tax
>> or some amount in between?
>>
>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of 42.7%
>>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
>>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>
>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in those
>> brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>
>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>
>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in that
>> scheme.
>> Those
>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>>
>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to have
>> any grasp of the basics.

>>
>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just that
>>> the water comes from the well ...
>>
>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
>> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>> overwhelm the result.
>>
>>
>
> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned ...

So much for sales tax then.

3040. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:03:12 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk1rg$9kn$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230967
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/25/2011 3:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:irjnoebmf2@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>> email.me:
>>>
>>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>> ...
>>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>
>>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put this
>>>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>>> ...
>>>>
>>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
>>> say
>>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to implement
>>>> it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>>>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>>
>>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
>>> How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales
>>> tax
>>> or some amount in between?
>>>
>>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of 42.7%
>>>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
>>>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>
>>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in those
>>> brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>>
>>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>>
>>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in that
>>> scheme.
>>> Those

>>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>>>
>>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to have
>>> any grasp of the basics.
>>>
>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just that
>>>> the water comes from the well ...
>>>
>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
>>> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>>> overwhelm the result.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned ...
>
> So much for sales tax then.
>
>

Once again, I really don't care how it is implemented, it just has to work out to a final flat tax on all the money earned ... make a dollar, pay the tax on the dollar, make a hundred, pay the tax time a hundred, make a thousand pay the tax times a thousand ... scalable in either direction.

And, has been mentioned, no matter what system is finally chosen, crooks will ALWAYS attempt to escape paying their fair share ... as is happening with the rich elite today ...

Regards,
JS

3041. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:04:07 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284754f2e3b2b28c98a268@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230968
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

In article <irk0ie$9kn$2@dont-email.me>, win.court@gmail.com says...
>
> On 5/25/2011 1:22 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> >> If you make it overseas, sell it overseas ... end of story.
> >>
> >> If you employ people in communist countries, move there ...
> >
> > Which has what to do with illegal immigration? Nothing. The cheap
> > products (I really typoed that one) and businesses trying to find a way
> > to produce those cheap products were the cause of most illegal
> > immigration today.
> >
> >
> >
>
> http://www.infowars.com/smoking-gun-govt-moles-alerted-investigator-of-planted-obama-birth-certificate-2-months-before-release/
>
>
>
> Regards,
> JS

It takes a lot to find a bigger idiot than you are, but you found Corsi,
congrats.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

3042. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:11:06 UTC
From: Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4ddd8c86$0$9077$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 230969
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

John Smith wrote:

yer a retard.
> Regards,

No problem.

3043. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:11:54 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk2bplll1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230970
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On 5/25/2011 4:11 PM, Dave LaRue wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
> yer a retard.
>> Regards,
>
>
> No problem.

ROFLOL

3044. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:12:15 UTC
From: Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4ddd8ccb$0$9084$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 230971
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/25/2011 2:12 PM, Dave LaRue wrote:
>
> >> ...
>
>>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned ...
>>>
>>> I said crooks will always attempt to avoid this.
>>
>>
>> I have no real problem with a "luxury" tax, too.
>> Cell Phones for instance? Noop
>> Cell phones with camera's all the "apps?" Yup.
>> Basic 19" TV's? Noop.
>> Large screen LED/LCD things that cover an entire wall? Yup.
>> Chevy's? Noop. They are already sorry for buying them.
>> Cadillac's, BMW's, Mercedes' and the like? Yup.
>>
>
> Already taken care of.

No it hasn't, you retard!

3045. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:12:45 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irk2e6$m70$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230972
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irk1rg$9kn$6@dont-email.me...
> On 5/25/2011 3:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:irjnoebmf2@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>>> email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put
>>>>>> this
>>>>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>>>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>>>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>>>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
>>>> say
>>>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to implement
>>>>> it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>>>>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>>>
>>>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
>>>> How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales
>>>> tax
>>>> or some amount in between?
>>>>
>>>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of 42.7%
>>>>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
>>>>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>>
>>>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in
>>>> those
>>>> brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>>>
>>>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.

>>>>
>>>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in
>>>> that
>>>> scheme.
>>>> Those
>>>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>>>>
>>>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to have
>>>> any grasp of the basics.
>>>>
>>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just
>>>>> that
>>>>> the water comes from the well ...
>>>>
>>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
>>>> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>>>> overwhelm the result.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned ...
>>
>> So much for sales tax then.
>>
>>
>
> Once again, I really don't care how it is implemented, it just has to work
> out to a final flat tax on all the money earned ... make a dollar, pay the
> tax on the dollar, make a hundred, pay the tax time a hundred, make a
> thousand pay the tax times a thousand ... scalable in either direction.
>
> And, has been mentioned, no matter what system is finally chosen, crooks
> will ALWAYS attempt to escape paying their fair share ... as is happening
> with the rich elite today ...
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

A simple solution for a complicated problem...and it's wrong.

You need to equalize the BURDEN....You need to equalize the effect the tax
has on the taxpayers life

3046. Re: Nazi Gas Bag Sprung a Leak?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:16:32 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284757de7990dbd698a26e@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 230973

In article <26133-4DDD87A9-3252@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>,
cuhulin@webtv.net says...
>
> I am Scots Irish by Ancestry.
> I Say Again, There Is NOT Anything Irish (Roots/Ancestry) about that
> Born in Kenya MOFO SCARHEAD USURPER SOB!
> cuhulin

You really need to stop the drugs/drinking.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

3047. Re: CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:21:14 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <15b10822-81f3-4d55-b297-2d5263764766@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230974

On May 25, 8:53 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 25, 3:20 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 5:55 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > On 05/23/2011 10:06 AM, RHF wrote:
>
> > > > CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC
> > > > on 23 Mayo 2011
>
> > > > S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 545 and Good Audio
>
> > > > "CRI" News ID @ 1102 UTC
> > > > Chinese Vice-Prime Minister Visits Angola
> > > > Iceland Airport Closed due to Volcano
> > > > Beijing Weather Sun Shine and 29*C
> > > > and Weather around the World
> > > > "CRI" ID @ 1105 UTC
> > > > Program ID "Beijing Hour" @ 1105 UTC
> > > >http://english.cri.cn/cribb/programs/hour.htm
> > > > Topic : Unique Tibet University
> > > > .
> > > > China Radio International [CRI] English Portal
> > > >http://english.cri.cn/
> > > > .
> > > > CRI Shortwave Frequencies in North America
> > > >http://english.cri.cn/7146/2010/03/30/2141s560015.htm
> > > > .
> > > > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
> > > >http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > > > 5955 kHz @ 1100-1600 UTC
> > > > CHN : China Radio Int. : E : FE : b
> > > > from China [PRC] in English to the Far East
> > > > Transmitter Site : Beijing
> > > > .
> > > > ABOUT - China Radio International [CRI]

> > > >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/China_Radio_International
> > > > .
> > > > yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^info^
> > > > .
> > > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports :http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
> > > >http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> > > > .
> > > > - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > > .
> > > > .
>
> > -my-original-post-was-
> > CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/30bec83f1fd9d2fb
> > CRI = China Radio International
> > -it's-just-radio-dave-not-a-'wmd'-
> > .
> > Dave -wrote-new-subject-line-
> > "Nuke China while we still can"
> > -dave-such-hate-dave-such-hate-dave-
> > -nuclear-war-dave-nuclear-war-
> > -fiery-death-from-the-sky-dave-
> > killing-millions-of-innocent-chinese-
> > .
> > * Dave : Just another typical example [Post]
> > of how you constantly prove your are NO
> > Libertarian of any
Striphttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/904a046dc39ff178
> > -dave-more-intolerance- -dave-more--hate-
> > * Dave, Dave, Dave : Once Again You Prove
> > That You Are NO Libertarian of any Stripe
> > -but- an Intolerant Elitist* With Uber
> > Liberal-Fascist Tendencies to Rain Nuclear
> > Death on China and Innocent
Chinesehttp://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/ebdb61838b...
> > * Whoops! Once Again 'Special-Dave' Proves
> > He Is NO Libertarian of any
Stripe...http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb4b647df5e16654
> > * Dave the Hypocrisy of Calling Yourself a
> > "Libertarian" : Please Don't Give Real
> > 'Libertarians' a Bad
Namehttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/442a559f6742f674
> > * Dave you are NO Libertarian of any Stripe
> > -but- an Intolerant Elitist with Inherited
> > Liberal-Fascist Tendencies.
> > .
> > dave,,, Dave... DAVE ! ! ! -sadly-
> > 1 - You Have Become The Spirit of 'The John

> > Bircher' that you claim your Dad Was.
> > 2 - You Shot Your Mouth-Off like a 'Minuteman'
> > 3 - Your Posts Read Like Joe Pyne dialogs.
> > 4 - Your "Nuke China while we still can"
> > -proclamation- Reads Like Barry Goldwater.
> > -dave-you-have-become-your-dad-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/86831c3ac39d9bee
> > -dave-you-are-your-dad-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/2fc2588cc9da847d
> > -your-dad's-in-you-dave-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/592b61c4e541c73b
> > =You=Are=Your=Worst=Nightmare=Dave=
> > .
> > .
> > Dave,
> > May you live very long. Well at least long
> > enough to Regret your Politics ;;-}} ~ RHF
> > -peace-&-love- -peace-&-love- -peace-&-love-
> > .
> > .
- - Dave,
- - How does a simple Shortwave Radio Reception
- - Report
- - ~translate~into~a~
- - "Nuke China while we still can"
- - ~reply~from~you~
- - -wrt-my-original-post-
- - CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC
- - http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/30bec83f1fd9d2fb
- - CRI = China Radio International
- - -it's-just-radio-dave-not-a-'wmd'-
- - .
- - -ps- Dave Don't Trash The On-Topic Posts :
- - It Makes You Look Worse That Me ~ RHF
- - .
- - .

- LOL! How did you turn a simple sarcastic
- one-line comment into a tirade?

1 to BpnJ,
When Dealing With A 'Dave'...
YOU HAVE TO OUT "DAVE" HIM *Y*E*A*H*!*
-don't-let-'the-dave'-:-'dave'-you-out-

now that was fun - just having fun :o) ~ RHF
.
2 to BpnJ,
My View Point is simple -wrt- OFF-Topic Posts :
Say anything you want; Call me anything you want;
and most likely I will reply in the same manner.

-especially-to-reply-giving-the-opposing-view-

"BUT" Please R-E-S-P-E-C-T the ON-Topic Posts;
and keep the ON-Topic Posts Clean and Pure and
most of all ON-Topic :
* Say Something 'nice' : Make a Positive Comment.
* Make a SWL related contribution
* Read It and Say Nothing
* But Please ! Don't Trash It [.]

That's How I See It : That's What I Try To Do

yes - to me it is that simple ~ RHF
.
.
CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/30bec83f1fd9d2fb
CRI = China Radio International
.
.

3048. Re: IC-7410 vs. TS-590 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:24:10 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <irk32qngd2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230975

On 5/25/2011 6:47 PM, Michael wrote:

> Also considered the Ten-Tec Eagle and Jupiter, but I really prefer
> direct controls for a desktop rig.
>
> Any advice would be appreciated.

I am not sure what you are referring to, as both the Eagle and Jupiter have direct controls; possibly you are confusing them with the Tentec Pegasus, which did require a computer to operate.

1) Both the Eagle and Jupiter are *highly* rated (see the reviews at eham.net)

2) Tentec supports their radios much longer than YaeComWood and their "Radio of the Month Club". Nothing sadder than YaeComWood telling you they no longer stock parts for a 2 or 3 year old radio.

3) Tentec is an American company, easy to contact, great support. Heck, they even give you a 30 day free trial (excluding shipping). The last I heard, YaeComWood does not do that.

4) IMHO, the receiver is -everything- and Sherwood Engineering rated the Eagle's receiver number 6 of all the receivers they have ever ranked. Not too bad for a mid-range radio.

Good luck with your decision and 73...

3049. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:26:52 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk37rppp1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230976
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/25/2011 4:12 PM, Sid9 wrote:
>
> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:irk1rg$9kn$6@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/25/2011 3:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:irjnoe$bmf$2@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put
>>>>>>> this
>>>>>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>>>>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>>>>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>>>>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
>>>>> say
>>>>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to implement
>>>>>> it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>>>>>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>>>>
>>>>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
>>>>> How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales
>>>>> tax
>>>>> or some amount in between?
>>>>>
>>>>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of
>>>>>> 42.7%
>>>>>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
>>>>>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>>>
>>>>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in
>>>>> those
```

>>>>> brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>>>>
>>>>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>>>>
>>>>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in
>>>>> that
>>>>> scheme.
>>>>> Those
>>>>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>>>>>
>>>>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to
>>>>> have
>>>>> any grasp of the basics.
>>>>>
>>>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just
>>>>>> that
>>>>>> the water comes from the well ...
>>>>>
>>>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
>>>>> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>>>>> overwhelm the result.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned ...
>>>
>>> So much for sales tax then.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Once again, I really don't care how it is implemented, it just has to
>> work out to a final flat tax on all the money earned ... make a
>> dollar, pay the tax on the dollar, make a hundred, pay the tax time a
>> hundred, make a thousand pay the tax times a thousand ... scalable in
>> either direction.
>>
>> And, has been mentioned, no matter what system is finally chosen,
>> crooks will ALWAYS attempt to escape paying their fair share ... as is
>> happening with the rich elite today ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>>
>
> A simple solution for a complicated problem...and it's wrong.
>
> You need to equalize the BURDEN....You need to equalize the effect the
> tax has on the taxpayers life

Anything which is better than what we have now will be better ... end of story.

Regards,
JS

3050. CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:29:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <cc9702e7-38bc-4105-9a80-55c3b9d94c91@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230977

On May 25, 2:44 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/25/2011 08:53 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 25, 3:20 am, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >> On May 24, 5:55 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> >>> On 05/23/2011 10:06 AM, RHF wrote:
>
> >>>> CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC
> >>>> on 23 Mayo 2011
>
> >>>> S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 545 and Good Audio
>
> >>>> "CRI" News ID @ 1102 UTC
> >>>> Chinese Vice-Prime Minister Visits Angola
> >>>> Iceland Airport Closed due to Volcano
> >>>> Beijing Weather Sun Shine and 29*C
> >>>> and Weather around the World
> >>>> "CRI" ID @ 1105 UTC
> >>>> Program ID "Beijing Hour" @ 1105 UTC
> >>>>http://english.cri.cn/cribb/programs/hour.htm
> >>>> Topic : Unique Tibet University
> >>>> .
> >>>> China Radio International [CRI] English Portal
> >>>>http://english.cri.cn/
> >>>> .
> >>>> CRI Shortwave Frequencies in North America
> >>>>http://english.cri.cn/7146/2010/03/30/2141s560015.htm
> >>>> .
> >>>> EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
> >>>>http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> >>>> 5955 kHz @ 1100-1600 UTC
> >>>> CHN : China Radio Int. : E : FE : b
> >>>> from China [PRC] in English to the Far East
> >>>> Transmitter Site : Beijing
> >>>> .
> >>>> ABOUT - China Radio International [CRI]
> >>>>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/China_Radio_International

> >>>> .
> >>>> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> >>>> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> >>>> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^info^
> >>>> .
> >>>> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports :http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
> >>>>http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> >>>> .
> >>>> - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
> >>>> .
> >>>> .
>
> >> -my-original-post-was-
> >> CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/30bec83f1fd9d2fb
> >> CRI = China Radio International
> >> -it's-just-radio-dave-not-a-'wmd'-
> >> .
> >> Dave -wrote-new-subject-line-
> >> "Nuke China while we still can"
> >> -dave-such-hate-dave-such-hate-dave-
> >> -nuclear-war-dave-nuclear-war-
> >> -fiery-death-from-the-sky-dave-
> >> killing-millions-of-innocent-chinese-
> >> .
> >> * Dave : Just another typical example [Post]
> >> of how you constantly prove your are NO
> >> Libertarian of any
Striphttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/904a046dc39ff178
> >> -dave-more-intolerance- -dave-more--hate-
> >> * Dave, Dave, Dave : Once Again You Prove
> >> That You Are NO Libertarian of any Stripe
> >> -but- an Intolerant Elitist* With Uber
> >> Liberal-Fascist Tendencies to Rain Nuclear
> >> Death on China and Innocent
Chinesehttp://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/ebdb61838b...
> >> * Whoops! Once Again 'Special-Dave' Proves
> >> He Is NO Libertarian of any
Stripe...http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb4b647df5e16654
> >> * Dave the Hypocrisy of Calling Yourself a
> >> "Libertarian" : Please Don't Give Real
> >> 'Libertarians' a Bad
Namehttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/442a559f6742f674
> >> * Dave you are NO Libertarian of any Stripe
> >> -but- an Intolerant Elitist with Inherited
> >> Liberal-Fascist Tendencies.
> >> .
> >> dave,,, Dave... DAVE ! ! ! -sadly-
> >> 1 - You Have Become The Spirit of 'The John
> >> Bircher' that you claim your Dad Was.

> >> 2 - You Shot Your Mouth-Off like a 'Minuteman'
> >> 3 - Your Posts Read Like Joe Pyne dialogs.
> >> 4 - Your "Nuke China while we still can"
> >> -proclamation- Reads Like Barry Goldwater.
> >> -dave-you-have-become-your-dad-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/86831c3ac39d9bee
> >> -dave-you-are-your-dad-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/2fc2588cc9da847d
> >> -your-dad's-in-you-dave-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/592b61c4e541c73b
> >> =You=Are=Your=Worst=Nightmare=Dave=
> >> .
> >> .
> >> Dave,
> >> May you live very long. Well at least long
> >> enough to Regret your Politics ;;-}} ~ RHF
> >> -peace-&-love- -peace-&-love- -peace-&-love-
> >> .
> >> .
> >> Dave,
> >> How does a simple Shortwave Radio Reception
> >> Report
> >> ~translate~into~a~
> >> "Nuke China while we still can"
> >> ~reply~from~you~
> >> -wrt-my-original-post-
> >> CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/30bec83f1fd9d2fb
> >> CRI = China Radio International
> >> -it's-just-radio-dave-not-a-'wmd'-
> >> .
> >> -ps- Dave Don't Trash The On-Topic Posts :
> >> It Makes You Look Worse That Me ~ RHF
> >> .
> >> .- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > LOL! How did you turn a simple sarcastic one-line comment into a
> > tirade?

Dave : Threats Often Beget Threats, Posturing
and Actions -result- Increased Hostilities.

? Have The Chinese Recently Threaten Us ?
Forcing Us [USA] Into Counter Threats,
Posturing and Actions ?

? Then Why Threaten The Chinese !
Forcing China [PRC] Into Counter Threats,
Posturing and Actions ?

Dave : The Word is "Diplomacy"
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Diplomacy
-not- 'Dick-plomacy' {Stick-It-To-Them!}
.
.
CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/30bec83f1fd9d2fb
CRI = China Radio International
.
.

3051. Re: IC-7410 vs. TS-590 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:32:38 UTC
From: hallicrafter@collins.net
Message-ID: <d64rt65krcqrf9dfrl0uubg2g0q330vmk8@4ax.com>
Source record: 230978

On Wed, 25 May 2011 19:24:10 -0400, Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
wrote:

>On 5/25/2011 6:47 PM, Michael wrote:
>
>> Also considered the Ten-Tec Eagle and Jupiter, but I really prefer
>> direct controls for a desktop rig.
>>
>> Any advice would be appreciated.
>
>I am not sure what you are referring to, as both the Eagle and Jupiter
>have direct controls; possibly you are confusing them with the Tentec
>Pegasus, which did require a computer to operate.
>
>1) Both the Eagle and Jupiter are *highly* rated (see the reviews at
>eham.net)
>
>2) Tentec supports their radios much longer than YaeComWood and their
>"Radio of the Month Club". Nothing sadder than YaeComWood telling you
>they no longer stock parts for a 2 or 3 year old radio.
>
>3) Tentec is an American company, easy to contact, great support. Heck,
>they even give you a 30 day free trial (excluding shipping). The last I
>heard, YaeComWood does not do that.
>
>4) IMHO, the receiver is -everything- and Sherwood Engineering rated the
>Eagle's receiver number 6 of all the receivers they have ever ranked.
>Not too bad for a mid-range radio.
>
>Good luck with your decision and 73...

Here is the the receiver info Joe referred to if you are not familiar
with it:

http://www.sherweng.com/table.html

Jim(MI)

3052. Re: Now Ascending : Brother Harold Camping - 'Rapture-for-One'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:34:21 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <63a51a04-b3d8-462c-8df3-5495e92c3bce@q9g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230979

On May 25, 8:52 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 25, 3:42 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob <Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
> > > rapture?
>
> > > I hope it's not too soon - I just ordered a tiny-tenna.
>
> > Sure was Wishing that there was gonna be
> > a personal 'Rapture-for-One' just for that
> > nice Brother Harold Camping [BHC]
:o)http://www.panicmanual.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/rapture.jpg
> > -sort-of...-one-up-man-ship-
> > -yes-up-up-and-away-
>
> > "BHC" Would Just Disappear and Everyone
> > Would 'Know' God Had Taken Him as One of
> > The-Elect ...sadly... BHC is still here
> > and thus we can consider for now . . .
> > God Does Not Consider BHC One of The-Elect
> > -oops-left-behind-again-stuck-on-earth-
>
> > bhc is knock knock knocking on heavens
> > door; and no body is answering ~ RHF
> > .
> > .

- A special combo of capture and rapture
just for Camping - the Crapture!

The Restaurant at the End of the Universe
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Restaurant_at_the_End_of_the_Universe
Now Ascending : Brother Harold Camping - 'Rapture-for-One'

IF They Are Serving 'You'
{As The Main Course}
It might have been the result of a 'Crap-Shoot'
.

3053. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:34:50 UTC
From: clairbear <clairbear@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0C730B1636clairbear@202.177.16.121>
Source record: 230980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote
in news:4DD89686.7010705@Blackhole.NebulaX.com:

> On 5/22/2011 12:40 AM, harry k wrote:
>> On May 21, 1:36 pm, Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-
>> Everyth...@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/20/2011 11:35 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> On May 19, 6:57 am, Beam Me Up Scotty <Then-Destroy-
>>>> Everyth...@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
>>>>> On 5/19/2011 4:23 AM, RHF wrote:
>>>
>>>>>> On May 18, 6:42 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> On 5/18/2011 6:34 PM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>>> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original --
>>>>>>>>> except in the imaginations of the authors.
>>>
>>>>>>>>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red
>>>>>>>>> Queen?"
>>>
>>>>>>>> How deep is a rabbit hole...?
>>>
>>>>>>> Quite shallow!
>>>
>>>>>>> Life on earth is the only example of itself ... at least at this
>>>>>>> present time.
>>>
>>>>>>> Nothing in all of our view of the universe before us, earth,
>>>>>>> mars, moon, other planets, etc. would lead one to believe that
>>>>>>> life can "just happen by accident." "Spontaneous Generation",
>>>>>>> or life "just happening" was tried as a theory, long ago,
>>>>>>> although it still has its' followers, it has simply grown into a
>>>>>>> religion which would have one make a leap of faith against all
>>>>>>> logical thought and reason to believe ... end of story.
>>>

>>>>>>> Therefore, and borne out by occams' razor, the most likely
>>>>>>> explanation is that an intelligence created us ...
>>>
>>>>> Nothing tells us enough to have enough information for either
>>>>> theory and Occums Razor needs enough information to apply it.
>>>
>>>>> In this case we are short on facts for either assumption.
>>>
>>>>> No one has a simple proof there is no God and no one has proof
>>>>> there is a God. Leaving the same fate to the spontaneous life
>>>>> theory....
>>>
>>>>> The question is.... if life was started when the Earth was one
>>>>> billion years old, why hasn't it been started with new and
>>>>> different DNA again in the last three billion years?
>>>
>>>>> If Life was started "successfully" in the last 4 billion years,
>>>>> how many times was it started unsuccessfully in the last 4 billion
>>>>> years. By that I mean that if life was started that can and did
>>>>> have DNA to reproduce how many times did life get started with
>>>>> different DNA that couldn't reproduce? If it took Billions of
>>>>> "life creations" to start life that could reproduce, where are the
>>>>> billions of failed "life" that we have never seen because they
>>>>> have DNA that's different and can't reproduce?
>>>
>>>>> Evolution means that there are many failed and many variations in
>>>>> the many streams of DNA in life. Where are they?
>>>
>>>>> What has the universe produced only one of? Einstein predicted
>>>>> that there may even be multiple universes. It seems odd that there
>>>>> is only one type of reproducing DNA based life all from one common
>>>>> start of life three billion years ago. All the elements are still
>>>>> here waiting to start new life(reproducing and NON-reproducing)
>>>>> and should be doing so each new day. Where are they?- Hide quoted
>>>>> text -
>>>
>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>
>>>> It is scientifically and logically impossible to prove that there
>>>> is no God. One of the primary tenets of logic, regardless of the
>>>> topic, is that the null hypothesis cannot be proven. The full
>>>> burden of proof rests on those who make the extraordinary claim to
>>>> begin with. Arguing about "trying to prove the existence or
>>>> nonexistence of God" is a waste of time for people who are
>>>> unfamiliar with either science or logic.
>>>
>>>> It is purely a matter of faith, and throwing around DNA arguments
>>>> like these above is just unscientific nonsense.
>>>
>>>> Bruce Jensen

>>>
>>> Then you have a scientific explanation to the question of where all
>>> the other forms of DNA based life that were created are at?
>>>
>>> It's NOT logical that only one form of DNA was ever created for all
>>> of earths history and it was able to reproduce right out of the
>>> gate.
>>>
>>> Explain the odds of that in a scientific way... Then tell us where
>>> all the failed DNA is at?- Hide quoted text -
>>>
>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>> Survival of the fittest. The first successful 'life' ate all the
>> late comers.
>>
>> Harry K
>
>
>
> At least that was funny, not believable but... I get a chuckle out of
> the lack of ideas on how life just happened but it never "just
> happened" ever again? What happened to all the elements being
> available on this planet to create life?
>
>
> What were the odds?
>
>
with our un derstanding of the origins of life why has'nt anyone create
life in a sterile petry dish?

--- Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net/ - Complaints to news@netfront.net ---

3054. Re: What the world thinks of Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:35:19 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk3nnppp2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230981
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/25/2011 4:29 PM, William Clark wrote:
> In article<MPG.2846b4b2b7dc9e8398b172@news.giganews.com>,
> BAR<screw@you.com> wrote:
>
>> In article<619d222b-5016-4aac-a8df-daa990e28c15
>> @z19g2000yqz.googlegroups.com>, johnb505@gmail.com says...
>>>
>>> On May 24, 8:59 am, Moderate<nos...@nomail.com> wrote:
>>>> W^3<82nd...@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>>> In article<93vp1bF54...@mid.individual.net>,
>>>>> "MNMikew"<MNMiik...@aol.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>> Obama makes Sara Palin look like Henry Kissinger.
>>>>
>>>>> A war criminal?
>>>>
>>>> I thought Obama was the war criminal. You know, invading countries in
>>>> violation of the Constitution and killing civilians.
>>>
>>> Gosh, and all this time I thought it was Bush who invaded Iraq and
>>> Afghanistan.
>>
>> Lybia
>
> Would that be geographically related to Libya, by any chance?
>
> Just curious :-)

http://www.infowars.com/obama-vows-to-continue-bombing-libya/

The criminal attacks on the population of Libya continues ... obama
expands the war crimes of bush.

Regards,
JS

3055. Re: A frequency below 16 Hz is not sound

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:35:42 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3418077c-c692-4543-9482-6f4135db9057@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230982

On May 25, 6:42 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/24/2011 08:27 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > On May 24, 8:00 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> >> Get Ready.HAARP Has Been Turned Up Again.http://www.standeyo.com
> >> cuhulin
>
> > 2.6 Hz is sub-audible frequency for humans . Some animals like
> > elephants and whales can hear it , not us . It is time to consult them
> > and see what is up this time . I don't hear a thing .
>
> It is perceived as a train of impulses. Humans have no problem sensing
> it; they just don't perceive it as sound.

I can 'feel' "IT" in my Bones !
.

3056. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:35:59 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk3p4stn1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230983
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:irjproubb1@dont-email.me...
>
> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
>> On May 25, 3:18 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> innews:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> >> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
>>> > s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> >> > wrote:
>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> >> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>>> >> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> >> >> > wrote:
>>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> >> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>>> >> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> >> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> ...
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>> >> >> >> >> innuendo you
>>> >> >> >> keep
>>> >> >> >> >> attempting to push?

>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>> >> >> >> >> 42% of all
>>> >> >> >> of
>>> >> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>> >> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
>>> >> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
>>> >> >> >> fair
>>> >> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> Regards,
>>> >> >> >> >> JS
>>>
>>> >> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>
>>> >> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>> >> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>>
>>> >> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>>
>>> >> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>>
>>> >> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>>
>>> >> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>>
>>> >> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>>
>>> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>>> > from the income tax.
>>>
>>> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>>
>>
>> As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when they
>> buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
>> decides you owe it on payday.
>>
>>> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>>
>>> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax as
>>> > the method of funding government. If you fully understand the FairTax
>>> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>>
>>> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
>>> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation, gasoline,
>>> etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger percentage of
>>> their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>>>
>>

>> Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First, people
>> pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level. Every household
>> receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential goods
>> and services. Second, per my example an item that costs $100 today
>> still costs $100 under the FairTax. If that's regressive then sign me
>> up.
>>
>> The poor are always going to pay a larger percentage of their income
>> on everything. No tax system is going to change that. Isn't that
>> what the bulk of this thread is about?
>>
>>> >> The FairTax is a replacement
>>>
>>> >> > for the income tax.
>>>
>>> >> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>>
>>> > Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
>>> > better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
>>> > leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in place
>>> > as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls of our
>>> > current tax system.
>>>
>>> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
>>> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level wages
>>> for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or exemption if
>>> you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a postcard.
>>>
>>
>> Under the FairTax you don't have to worry about deductions or
>> exemptions. You don't even have to do your taxes on a postcard
>> because there is nothing to do. April 15 would be just another
>> beautiful spring day.
>>
>> Here's the problem with the flat tax, it retains the invasive income
>> tax administration apparatus and can easily revert to a graduated,
>> convoluted mess, as it has many times over many years. In addition, a
>> large part of the burden of the flat tax -- the business tax -- will
>> remain hidden from people in the retail price of goods and services.
>> Under a flat tax, individuals would still file an income tax return
>> each year. Postcard or not, it's still a return. While this is a
>> simple postcard, the record keeping requirement is still there. Under
>> the FairTax, individuals never file a tax return again, ever! Under
>> the flat tax, the payroll tax would be retained and income tax
>> withholding would still be with us. Under the FairTax, the payroll
>> tax, which is a larger and more regressive tax burden for most
>> Americans than is the income tax, is repealed. Under the FairTax, what
>> you earn is what you keep. No more withholding taxes; no more income
>> tax.
>>

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> >> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>>
>>> >> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>>
>>> >> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments so
>>> >> that it does not become regressive.
>>>
>>> > I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>>>
>>> > Current tax system:
>>>
>>> > Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>> > IRS takes 25%: $250.
>>> > Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
>>> > Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>>> > Taxpayer has $620 left.
>>>
>>> > Fairtax system:
>>>
>>> > Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>> > IRS takes 0%: $0
>>> > Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>>> > Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>>> > Taxpayer has $870 left.
>>>
>>> > I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>>>
>>> > Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>> > IRS takes 0%: $0
>>> > Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>>> > Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
>>> > Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
>>> > Taxpayer has $900 left.
>>>
>>> > So, again, how is that regressive.
>>>
>>> Same taxpayer......buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for them.
>>
>> Stop right there. That's incorrect. Under the FairTax the $100 of
>> groceries will still cost $100. There's no need to even go any
>> further with your example.
>>

>>> Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of groceries...pays
>>> $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger percentage of their income on
>>> a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we will not pay that tax on
>>> groceries....oooops, you just generated an exception.
>>>
>>> > Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
>>> > questions you might have:
>>>
>>> > 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
>>> > particular attention to the FAQ.
>>> > 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>>> > 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>>>
>>> > It will all become crystal clear.
>>>
>>> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for years.
>>> With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the current system.
>>> Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the rich as poor poeple
>>> would never buy luxury taxed items. How did that work out?
>>>
>>
>> You may be familiar with sales tax schemes, but it's clear you aren't
>> familiar with the FairTax. Instead of speculating as you have done
>> above why not go visit the site and base your criticisms on the plan
>> itself? You will find that many of the things you raised above are
>> answered there.
>>
>> Look, I'm with you that a flat tax would be better than the current
>> system. Problem is that it, as opposed to something like the FairTax,
>> leaves itself open to far more manipulation than the FairTax. The tax
>> code itself is evidence of just that.
>>
>>> --
>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>
>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>> Don't complain.
>>
>
> Nonsense. Stupid nonsense!

With an in-depth and well reasoned rebuttal like that which picks his
statements apart and shows the flaws within them certainly can't be
questioned..........NOT.

I acknowledge your knee jerk denial and inability to refute even a single
particular of what he states.

3057. Re: What the world thinks of Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:37:02 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk3quppp3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230984
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/25/2011 4:35 PM, John Smith wrote:

>>> Lybia
>>
>> Would that be geographically related to Libya, by any chance?
>>
>> Just curious :-)
>
> http://www.infowars.com/obama-vows-to-continue-bombing-libya/
>
> The criminal attacks on the population of Libya continues ... obama
> expands the war crimes of bush.
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

http://www.infowars.com/libya-british-attack-helicopters-to-be-deployed/

Regards,
JS

3058. Re: QSLs received, May 2011

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:38:31 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <26d1e33e-078a-422e-8368-ef0540be0367@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230985

QSL arrived today...

PALAU - R. Australia, Koror, 13590, 23:06z, f/d card, v/s in 102 days
by airmail via Brunei Darussalam; Photo of studios, for report in EE
(13 Feb 2011) by air (Jensen-CA)

3059. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:38:42 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0A955CAB33hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230986
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irjvk7$5vm$1@dont-email.me:

>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>
>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of
who
>>>>>>>>>> pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If you
>>>>>>>>>> want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the
>>>>>>>>>> government then your right. I know of no place that compiles
>>>>>>>>>> that data. ...
>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I
need
>>>>>>>>> them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
>>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you. Which
>>>>>>>>> explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ...
>>>>>>>> you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can, from
>>>>>>>> the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>> &#65533; May 15, 2011
>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the check,
>>>>>>> but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies the cause
>>>>>>> of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization that poisons
>>>>>>> our political process. But to understand that problem, we must
>>>>>>> consider how taxes are applied to the production of goods and
>>>>>>> services.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes based
>>>>>>> on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm and
>>>>>>> equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just another
>>>>>>> cost of doing business in the course of earning his living, no
>>>>>>> different from fuel for his tractor or wages and taxes for
>>>>>>> employees.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and taxes,
>>>>>>> the price they charge the miller must cover their expenses and

>>>>>>> taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and savings.
>>>>>>> Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat. All of these
>>>>>>> costs and taxes were passed on to the miller, embedded in the
>>>>>>> price of wheat.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat to
>>>>>>> the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security taxes
he
>>>>>>> withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes, were all
>>>>>>> passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with
>>>>>>> it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those
>>>>>>> previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees,
hidden
>>>>>>> in the price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his family ate
>>>>>>> the bread, and, having done so, could not sell it to anyone else
>>>>>>> and pass the taxes along, as the baker and everyone else before
>>>>>>> had done.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with the
>>>>>>> farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they withheld
>>>>>>> from all of their employees. From bread to automobiles to brain
>>>>>>> surgery, the price of everything we buy carries in it the hidden
>>>>>>> taxes of everyone who contributed to the production of that
>>>>>>> product or service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents of every
>>>>>>> dollar we spend for federal taxes alone.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a
>>>>>>> scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and
unknowing
>>>>>>> tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to keep voters
>>>>>>> from realizing who really bears the burden of those high taxes.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
>>>>>>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually
>>>>>>> paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services.
>>>>>>> It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket,
>>>>>>> or what deductions you receive. These devices change only the
>>>>>>> degree to which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes
>>>>>>> place on your life depends solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm. First,
>>>>>>> it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services that are
>>>>>>> provided by highly taxed individuals and companies, like health
>>>>>>> care, are artificially more expensive than necessary, while raw
>>>>>>> materials and natural resources are underpriced, leading to
>>>>>>> overconsumption and waste.

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political process,
>>>>>>> encouraging government overspending by politicians who exploit
the
>>>>>>> mistaken belief of many voters that government spending can be
>>>>>>> paid for solely by taxing corporations or the "rich." All of the
>>>>>>> exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which brings so much ill
>>>>>>> will to our politics and drives wedges between Americans who
would
>>>>>>> be better served by mutual respect and compassion - is ultimately
>>>>>>> the meaningless exploitation of a lie.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
appears
>>>>>>> progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive. Currently,
>>>>>>> the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay no income tax
>>>>>>> directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes added to the
>>>>>>> hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax burden is almost 30
>>>>>>> percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through
an
>>>>>>> invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He
is
>>>>>>> a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>
>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as Mr.
>>>>> B.
>>>>>
>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>>
>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>
>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B
>>>>> gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>
>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the
rich

>>>> not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>>
>>>
>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so.
The
>>> best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same percentage
>>> without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>
>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>>
>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and why.
>>
>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>>
>
> Paying the "same percentage" is not fair.
>
> The BURDEN is much less on the wealthy.

The wealthy are paying most of the income tax burden. You wouldn't be
happy with any tax scheme that didn't penalize the wealthy and not charge
you a dime.

> ...and why ...radio.shortwave...? more obsolete thinking!
>
>

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3060. Re: The Greatest Radio Event of my life - Rapture on May 21, 2011!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:39:29 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk3vhppp4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,sci.skeptic,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/25/2011 4:34 PM, clairbear wrote:
> Beam Me Up Scotty<Then-Destroy-Everything@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote
> in news:4DD89686.7010705@Blackhole.NebulaX.com:
>
>> On 5/22/2011 12:40 AM, harry k wrote:
>>> On May 21, 1:36 pm, Beam Me Up Scotty<Then-Destroy-
>>> Everyth...@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/20/2011 11:35 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> On May 19, 6:57 am, Beam Me Up Scotty<Then-Destroy-
>>>>> Everyth...@Blackhole.NebulaX.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/19/2011 4:23 AM, RHF wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>> On May 18, 6:42 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On 5/18/2011 6:34 PM, Beam Me Up Scotty wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/12/2011 3:44 AM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>> Since it's all made up, in a sense there is no original --
>>>>>>>>>> except in the imaginations of the authors.
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> It's like asking "What did Alice _really_ say to the Red
>>>>>>>>>> Queen?"
>>>>
>>>>>>>>> How deep is a rabbit hole...?
>>>>
>>>>>>>> Quite shallow!
>>>>
>>>>>>>> Life on earth is the only example of itself ... at least at this
>>>>>>>> present time.
>>>>
>>>>>>>> Nothing in all of our view of the universe before us, earth,
>>>>>>>> mars, moon, other planets, etc. would lead one to believe that
>>>>>>>> life can "just happen by accident." "Spontaneous Generation",
>>>>>>>> or life "just happening" was tried as a theory, long ago,
>>>>>>>> although it still has its' followers, it has simply grown into a
>>>>>>>> religion which would have one make a leap of faith against all
>>>>>>>> logical thought and reason to believe ... end of story.
```

>>>>
>>>>>>>> Therefore, and borne out by occams' razor, the most likely
>>>>>>>> explanation is that an intelligence created us ...
>>>>
>>>>>> Nothing tells us enough to have enough information for either
>>>>>> theory and Occums Razor needs enough information to apply it.
>>>>
>>>>>> In this case we are short on facts for either assumption.
>>>>
>>>>>> No one has a simple proof there is no God and no one has proof
>>>>>> there is a God. Leaving the same fate to the spontaneous life
>>>>>> theory....
>>>>
>>>>>> The question is.... if life was started when the Earth was one
>>>>>> billion years old, why hasn't it been started with new and
>>>>>> different DNA again in the last three billion years?
>>>>
>>>>>> If Life was started "successfully" in the last 4 billion years,
>>>>>> how many times was it started unsuccessfully in the last 4 billion
>>>>>> years. By that I mean that if life was started that can and did
>>>>>> have DNA to reproduce how many times did life get started with
>>>>>> different DNA that couldn't reproduce? If it took Billions of
>>>>>> "life creations" to start life that could reproduce, where are the
>>>>>> billions of failed "life" that we have never seen because they
>>>>>> have DNA that's different and can't reproduce?
>>>>
>>>>>> Evolution means that there are many failed and many variations in
>>>>>> the many streams of DNA in life. Where are they?
>>>>
>>>>>> What has the universe produced only one of? Einstein predicted
>>>>>> that there may even be multiple universes. It seems odd that there
>>>>>> is only one type of reproducing DNA based life all from one common
>>>>>> start of life three billion years ago. All the elements are still
>>>>>> here waiting to start new life(reproducing and NON-reproducing)
>>>>>> and should be doing so each new day. Where are they?- Hide quoted
>>>>>> text -
>>>>
>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>>
>>>>> It is scientifically and logically impossible to prove that there
>>>>> is no God. One of the primary tenets of logic, regardless of the
>>>>> topic, is that the null hypothesis cannot be proven. The full
>>>>> burden of proof rests on those who make the extraordinary claim to
>>>>> begin with. Arguing about "trying to prove the existence or
>>>>> nonexistence of God" is a waste of time for people who are
>>>>> unfamiliar with either science or logic.
>>>>
>>>>> It is purely a matter of faith, and throwing around DNA arguments
>>>>> like these above is just unscientific nonsense.
>>>>

>>>>> Bruce Jensen
>>>>
>>>> Then you have a scientific explanation to the question of where all
>>>> the other forms of DNA based life that were created are at?
>>>>
>>>> It's NOT logical that only one form of DNA was ever created for all
>>>> of earths history and it was able to reproduce right out of the
>>>> gate.
>>>>
>>>> Explain the odds of that in a scientific way... Then tell us where
>>>> all the failed DNA is at?- Hide quoted text -
>>>>
>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>>
>>> Survival of the fittest. The first successful 'life' ate all the
>>> late comers.
>>>
>>> Harry K
>>
>>
>>
>> At least that was funny, not believable but... I get a chuckle out of
>> the lack of ideas on how life just happened but it never "just
>> happened" ever again? What happened to all the elements being
>> available on this planet to create life?
>>
>>
>> What were the odds?
>>
>>
> with our un derstanding of the origins of life why has'nt anyone create
> life in a sterile petry dish?
>
> --- Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net/ - Complaints to news@netfront.net ---

Well, we are fully capable of intelligent design, so it will happen, at
some time in the future.

However, so far, there is no evidence of anyone doing this, other than
"the creator."

Regards,
JS

3061. Re: A frequency below 16 Hz is not sound

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:42:52 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <850cb4fe-48c8-49b9-9b68-55e85ea3a3ae@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 230988

On May 25, 8:50 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 25, 6:42 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > On 05/24/2011 08:27 PM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > On May 24, 8:00 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> > >> Get Ready.HAARP Has Been Turned Up Again.http://www.standeyo.com
> > >> cuhulin
>
> > > 2.6 Hz is sub-audible frequency for humans . Some animals like
> > > elephants and whales can hear it , not us . It is time to consult them
> > > and see what is up this time . I don't hear a thing .
>
> > It is perceived as a train of impulses. Humans have no problem sensing
> > it; they just don't perceive it as sound.

- Whoomp whoomp whoomp...like energetic sex ;-)

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fMjOn7YjtWI
-can-you-hear-it-now...-
.

3062. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:43:45 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk47gppp5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230989
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

On 5/25/2011 4:38 PM, RD Sandman wrote:

>> ...
>> Paying the "same percentage" is not fair.
>>
>> The BURDEN is much less on the wealthy.
>
> The wealthy are paying most of the income tax burden. You wouldn't be
> happy with any tax scheme that didn't penalize the wealthy and not charge
> you a dime.
>
>
>> ...and why ...radio.shortwave...? more obsolete thinking!

I cannot find a valid link showing what the 1% pay in actual money,
actual dollars, and ACTUAL AMOUNT -- as compared to what the other 99%
contribute, in a total amount.

We need the figures so that when we add what the 1% pay and what the 99%
pay we will have the total amount of money, in dollars, paid to the
government in income tax.

You text claims you have viewed these figures and know them.

Please post the credible links/URLs here so all of us may.

... else, it will appear you are just posting your personal opinion and
guess(s.)

Regards,
JS

3063. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:44:05 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0AA3F3B400hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230990
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irk0nu$ck3$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> <Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
> news:pcoqt6l0s5f9e5h7ckkatc7dminok9br2t@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 25 May 2011 14:07:09 -0500, RD Sandman
>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>
>>>Besides if the low earner is really a low earner like the 45% who
>>>don't pay tax in the first place
>>
>> A low earner pays as much tax on goods and services as a fucking
>> billionaire you dipshit asshole, and is a bigger burden on their
>> existence that anyone else.
>
> No, actually a billionaire tends to spend much more since they
> purchase considerably more goods and services, and thus pay more tax
> as a result.

Yoorghis isn't the sharpest knife in the drawer. One reason he is in my
killfile.

>> You're talking about tax on income, which the wealth class can affect
>> more than a burger flipper
>
> Actually the wealthy would be affected more since the poverty level
> deduction is negligible for their income, but a significant part of
> the income of your burger flipper.
>
> Let's say your burger flipper makes $30,000 and your "wealth class"
> makes $1,000,000
>
> The burger flipper (given the numbers above, the ones you snipped)
> would be paying all of $900 in taxes. Your "wealth class on the other
> hand would be paying $146,400. The effective tax rate, and you love
> talking about effective rates, would have the effective tax rate on
> the burger flipper be 3%, your wealth class, on the other hand, would
> have an effective tax rate of 14.6%
>
> So the tax is clearly affecting the wealth class far more than it
> would the burger flipper, so your objection has no merit.
>

> In fact none of your objections have had any merit since your
> complaint seems to be that unless we stick to the wealthy then it's
> impossible.
>
>
>

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3064. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:45:05 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk4a6vja1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230991
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
"Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF0AAF5845E6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>> news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-
>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>>>>>>>>>>>> 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top
>>>>>>>>>>>>> 19% are not paying half of governments costs, until that
>>>>>>>>>>>>> happens they are NOT paying their fair share ... a flat tax
>>>>>>>>>>>>> can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
```

>>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>>>> advocate
>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7%
>>>>>>>>>> sales
>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales
>>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>>> government
>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their
>>>>>>>>> fair
>>>>>>> share
>>>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go
>>>>>>> through
>>>>> a
>>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch on
>>>>>> ... I was wrong.
>>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all income
>>>>> not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it here in this
>>>>> thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is really quite
>>>>> simply and quite short so you should have no problem understanding
>>>>> it. ;)
>>>>>
>>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't
>>>>> flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever was
>>>>> purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>>>
>>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the real
>>>>> world.
>>>>
>>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion began,
>>>> or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>>
>>>

>>> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person B buys
>>> product Z and pays 7% in taxes
>>>
>>> What's more fair than that?
>>>
>>> Same product, same taxes paid.
>>>
>>> Fair.
>>
>> Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal poverty
>> level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on amount over
>> federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable income paid. Fair.
>>
>> The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about food or
>> necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list exemptions....and the
>> list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and Cher......
>>
>>
>
> The real problem is...
>
> First you have to decide how much the government needs to funtion.
>
> To do that you have to decide what the government should be doing.
>
> I think rather than discussing camoflaging how the feds fleece the
> taxpayer
> those questions really need to be answered.

I agree the level would need to be established, but changing how we fleece
the taxpayer is certainly a worthy objective independent of anything else.

Indeed, I've come to the mind that allowing the government to impose taxes
and to raise taxes was a mistake. The government should never have such a
power, and any taxation or increase in taxation should only occur via public
referendum. The only power the government should have is to eliminate taxes
and/or lower rates.

We should be the ones telling the government what they have to spend, not
the other way around.

> I am of the opinion that taxes overall hurt the economy by taking people's
> hard earned money. I don't care if you are the bus boy or the owner of the
> chain. You earened it, it's yours.

True, and I would like to see a means by which government could earn what it
needs, but off hand don't see a way to impose that today.

> Overall if the bite is reasonably low than whatever negative effects it
> has
> are mitigated. But the only really effective way to increase government
> revenues is to have a going, expanding economy. That way whatever

> "protection" money the government extorts from the people can increase
> without increasing the percentage that it takes.

Bingo.

> Of course that would require a complete ovrehaul of most federal policies
> and the expulsion of Marxists and enviromentalists.

Something that IMO needs to be done on a regular basis anyway.

Indeed, I'm of the mind that most, if not all laws, should include an
expiration date.

> One would have to stop viewing tax policy as a method of molding people's
> behavior and relegate to the neccessary evil it is.

Yep, taxation as a means of social control is just plain wrong. Taxes are to
raise revenue. Period. Not to control what people do, buy, use, etc.

Indeed, I've always thought the settlement against tobacco companies was
wrong. Governments complained that tobacco use caused them extra medical
expenses....but isn't that what the tax on tobacco they've been collecting
was for?

Why did the tobacco companies get NO credit for all the taxes paid as a
result of these product specific taxes?

3065. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:47:14 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk4e2ppp6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230992
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/25/2011 4:45 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF0AAF5845E6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>> news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-
>>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>>>>>>>>>>>> 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks like the top
>>>>>>>>>>>>> 19% are not paying half of governments costs, until that
>>>>>>>>>>>>> happens they are NOT paying their fair share ... a flat tax
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>> can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>>>>> advocate
>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7%
>>>>>>>>>>> sales
>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales
>>>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>>>> government
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their
>>>>>>>>> fair
>>>>>>>> share
>>>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go
>>>>>>>> through
>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch on
>>>>>>> ... I was wrong.
>>>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all income
>>>>>> not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it here in this
>>>>>> thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is really quite
>>>>>> simply and quite short so you should have no problem understanding
>>>>>> it. ;)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't
>>>>>> flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever was
>>>>>> purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the real
>>>>>> world.
>>>>>
>>>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion began,

>>>>> or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person B buys
>>>> product Z and pays 7% in taxes
>>>>
>>>> What's more fair than that?
>>>>
>>>> Same product, same taxes paid.
>>>>
>>>> Fair.
>>>
>>> Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal poverty
>>> level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on amount over
>>> federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable income paid. Fair.
>>>
>>> The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about food or
>>> necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list exemptions....and the
>>> list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and Cher......
>>>
>>>
>>
>> The real problem is...
>>
>> First you have to decide how much the government needs to funtion.
>>
>> To do that you have to decide what the government should be doing.
>>
>> I think rather than discussing camoflaging how the feds fleece the
>> taxpayer
>> those questions really need to be answered.
>
> I agree the level would need to be established, but changing how we
> fleece the taxpayer is certainly a worthy objective independent of
> anything else.
>
> Indeed, I've come to the mind that allowing the government to impose
> taxes and to raise taxes was a mistake. The government should never have
> such a power, and any taxation or increase in taxation should only occur
> via public referendum. The only power the government should have is to
> eliminate taxes and/or lower rates.
>
> We should be the ones telling the government what they have to spend,
> not the other way around.
>
>
>> I am of the opinion that taxes overall hurt the economy by taking
>> people's
>> hard earned money. I don't care if you are the bus boy or the owner of
>> the

>> chain. You earened it, it's yours.
>
> True, and I would like to see a means by which government could earn
> what it needs, but off hand don't see a way to impose that today.
>
>
>> Overall if the bite is reasonably low than whatever negative effects
>> it has
>> are mitigated. But the only really effective way to increase government
>> revenues is to have a going, expanding economy. That way whatever
>> "protection" money the government extorts from the people can increase
>> without increasing the percentage that it takes.
>
> Bingo.
>
>> Of course that would require a complete ovrehaul of most federal policies
>> and the expulsion of Marxists and enviromentalists.
>
> Something that IMO needs to be done on a regular basis anyway.
>
> Indeed, I'm of the mind that most, if not all laws, should include an
> expiration date.
>
>
>> One would have to stop viewing tax policy as a method of molding people's
>> behavior and relegate to the neccessary evil it is.
>
>
> Yep, taxation as a means of social control is just plain wrong. Taxes
> are to raise revenue. Period. Not to control what people do, buy, use, etc.
>
> Indeed, I've always thought the settlement against tobacco companies was
> wrong. Governments complained that tobacco use caused them extra medical
> expenses....but isn't that what the tax on tobacco they've been
> collecting was for?
>
> Why did the tobacco companies get NO credit for all the taxes paid as a
> result of these product specific taxes?
>
>

Cigarette smoking is down, lung cancer is up, it NEVER was anything but
a tax ploy and to cause more government, worthless, jobs to suck up tax
payer monies ...

Regards,
JS

3066. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:47:47 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk4f8ks1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230993
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF0986C7911Dhopewell@216.196.121.131...
> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF0AAF5845E6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>> news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-
>>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks like the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> top 19% are not paying half of governments costs, until
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> that happens they are NOT paying their fair share ... a
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>> flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>>>>> advocate
>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7%
>>>>>>>>>>> sales
>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales
>>>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>>>> government
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their
>>>>>>>>> fair
>>>>>>>> share
>>>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go
>>>>>>>> through
>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch
>>>>>>> on ... I was wrong.
>>>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all income
>>>>>> not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it here in this
>>>>>> thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is really quite
>>>>>> simply and quite short so you should have no problem understanding
>>>>>> it. ;)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't
>>>>>> flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever
>>>>>> was purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the real
>>>>>> world.
>>>>>
>>>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion began,

>>>>> or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person B
>>>> buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes
>>>>
>>>> What's more fair than that?
>>>>
>>>> Same product, same taxes paid.
>>>>
>>>> Fair.
>>>
>>> Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal
>>> poverty level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on amount
>>> over federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable income paid.
>>> Fair.
>>>
>>> The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about food or
>>> necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list exemptions....and
>>> the list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and Cher......
>>>
>>>
>>
>> The real problem is...
>>
>> First you have to decide how much the government needs to funtion.
>
> That is true under any taxing scheme.
>>
>> To do that you have to decide what the government should be doing.
>
> Same here and that is most of the discussion and difference between
> liberals and conservatives.
>
>> I think rather than discussing camoflaging how the feds fleece the
>> taxpayer those questions really need to be answered.
>
> Yep, but, good luck. Those discussions have been going on for two
> hundred years. ;)
>
>> I am of the opinion that taxes overall hurt the economy by taking
>> people's hard earned money. I don't care if you are the bus boy or the
>> owner of the chain. You earened it, it's yours.
>
> However, one does get things from having a government.
>
>> Overall if the bite is reasonably low than whatever negative effects
>> it has are mitigated. But the only really effective way to increase
>> government revenues is to have a going, expanding economy. That way
>> whatever "protection" money the government extorts from the people can

>> increase without increasing the percentage that it takes.
>
> True.
>
>> Of course that would require a complete ovrehaul of most federal
>> policies and the expulsion of Marxists and enviromentalists.
>>
>> One would have to stop viewing tax policy as a method of molding
>> people's behavior and relegate to the neccessary evil it is.
>>
>> Frankly I have yet to hear anyone explain to me how we can tax out way
>> out of the current crisis wherein the debt equals the GDP and is
>> likely to double in 8 years. There is simply no possible way to do it
>> without removing so much wealth from the private sector as to
>> thorougly tank the economy, which will in turn make the problem
>> immeasurably worse.
>
> To get out of this will require BOTH taxes and spending cuts. Doing just
> one or the other won't do it.

Agreed, but until I see some serious spending cuts and a clear, firm (and
preferably Constitutionally mandated) path to control spending and reduce
the debt, I would be most reluctant to accept the need for any increase in
taxation.

We've been promised spending cuts before in exchange for a tax hike. We got
the hike....we didn't get the cuts.

3067. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:48:09 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0AAF03B057hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230994
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in news:irk1i9$9kn$5@dont-
email.me:

> On 5/25/2011 2:12 PM, Dave LaRue wrote:
>
> >> ...
>>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned
...
>>>
>>> I said crooks will always attempt to avoid this.
>>
>> I have no real problem with a "luxury" tax, too.
>> Cell Phones for instance? Noop
>> Cell phones with camera's all the "apps?" Yup.
>> Basic 19" TV's? Noop.
>> Large screen LED/LCD things that cover an entire wall? Yup.
>> Chevy's? Noop. They are already sorry for buying them.
>> Cadillac's, BMW's, Mercedes' and the like? Yup.
>>
>
> Already taken care of. If the poor guy buys a $400 dollar TV he has
> already paid the tax on the $400. If the rich guy buy a $4000 TV, he
> has already paid the taxes on the $4000.

And if he buys a $400 TV? He is now paying the same as your poor guy.

> It is only important that each of the dollars in the $400 has been
taxed
> at a rate equal to those in the $4000.

Then why are you and others whining about the rich not paying their
share?

What happened to your dream of the rich guy paying a hundred times more
tax than the little guy?

> "Everything should be made as simple as possible, but not simpler." --
> Albert Einstein

Do you understand what Albert just said?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3068. Re: Tea party targets schools for 'Constitution Week'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:50:00 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk4j8ppp7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230995
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/25/2011 4:45 PM, Lookout wrote:
> http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20110525/ap_on_re_us/us_constitutional_conflict;_ylt=Aindul0ls1.KGeDBk287pESs0NUE;_ylu=X3oDMTFlN3EyNXZ0BHBvcwM2NgRzZWMDYWNjb3JkaW9uX3Vfc19uZXdzBHNsawN0ZWFwYXJ0eXRhcmc-
>
> "MALTA, Idaho ï¿½ America's kids will be learning about the U.S.
> Constitution this coming school year with help from a decidedly
> conservative Idaho publishing house, if a tea party group gets its
> way.
>
> The Tea Party Patriots, Georgia-based but claiming 1,000 chapters
> nationally, are instructing members to remind teachers that a 2004
> federal law requires public schools to teach Constitution lessons the
> week of Sept. 17, commemorating the day the document was signed. And
> they'd like the teachers to use material from the Malta, Idaho-based
> National Center for Constitutional Studies, which promotes the
> Constitution as a divinely-inspired document."
>
>
> Sure..just what America needs. A bunch of ignorant, cousin-fucking
> maggots teaching our kids there was no "Civil War", but instead a "War
> of Northern Aggression".
>
> "The center's founder, W. Cleon Skousen, once called Jamestown's
> original settlers communists, wrote end-of-days prophecy and suggested
> Russians stole Sputnik from the United States. In 1987, one of his
> books was criticized for suggesting American slave children were freer
> than white non-slaves."
>
> Yup..sounds like an inbred, cousin-fucking, maggot to me.
>
> "Though the National Center for Constitutional Studies is best known
> for its promotion of Skousen's work, including "The 5,000 Year Leap,"
> a 1981 book that suggests Biblical inspiration for the Constitution"
>
> Uh..our Founding Fathers said this country was NOT founded on
> christianity you fucking fools.

Excellent, a complete education is an education on all things ... fact, fantasy, science fiction, drama, deception, etc.

And, using logic and reason to tell one from another develops the mind ...

Regards,
JS

3069. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:50:50 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0AB6464F97hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230996
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in
news:irk1rg$9kn$6@dont-email.me:

> On 5/25/2011 3:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:irjnoebmf2@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>>> email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put
>>>>>> this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the
>>>>>> top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
>>>>>> that way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>> government ..." - is either impossible to implement, or requires
>>>>>> a dictatorship. ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally
>>>>> and
>>>> say
>>>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to
>>>>> implement it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can
>>>>> successfully accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be
>>>>> great.
>>>>
>>>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to
>>>> work? How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or
>>>> 42% sales tax
>>>> or some amount in between?
>>>>
>>>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of
>>>>> 42.7% of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And
>>>>> that 20% at the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>>
>>>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in
>>>> those brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>>>

>>>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>>>
>>>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in
>>>> that scheme.
>>>> Those
>>>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified
>>>>> ...
>>>>
>>>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to
>>>> have any grasp of the basics.
>>>>
>>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just
>>>>> that the water comes from the well ...
>>>>
>>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you
>>>> really should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would
>>>> completely overwhelm the result.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned
>>> ...
>>
>> So much for sales tax then.
>>
>>
>
> Once again, I really don't care how it is implemented, it just has to
> work out to a final flat tax on all the money earned ... make a
> dollar, pay the tax on the dollar, make a hundred, pay the tax time a
> hundred, make a thousand pay the tax times a thousand ... scalable in
> either direction.

That is, basically, what my flat proposal is. You, however, have been ranting about making the rich pay a hundred times more than the poor guy or schemes which know whether you are in the top 1% or top 20% at the time you buy something.

> And, has been mentioned, no matter what system is finally chosen,
> crooks will ALWAYS attempt to escape paying their fair share ... as is
> happening with the rich elite today ...

Nothing you have mentioned will change any of that.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3070. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:52:43 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk4of$233$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230997
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131...
> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who
>>>>>>>>>> pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If you
>>>>>>>>>> want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the
>>>>>>>>>> government then your right. I know of no place that compiles
>>>>>>>>>> that data. ...
>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need
>>>>>>>>> them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you. Which
>>>>>>>> explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ...
>>>>>>> you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can, from
>>>>>>> the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 2008

>>>>>>
>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>> ï¿½ May 15, 2011
>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the check,
>>>>>> but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies the cause
>>>>>> of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization that poisons
>>>>>> our political process. But to understand that problem, we must
>>>>>> consider how taxes are applied to the production of goods and
>>>>>> services.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes based
>>>>>> on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm and
>>>>>> equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just another
>>>>>> cost of doing business in the course of earning his living, no
>>>>>> different from fuel for his tractor or wages and taxes for
>>>>>> employees.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and taxes,
>>>>>> the price they charge the miller must cover their expenses and
>>>>>> taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and savings.
>>>>>> Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat. All of these
>>>>>> costs and taxes were passed on to the miller, embedded in the
>>>>>> price of wheat.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat to

>>>>>> the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security taxes he
>>>>>> withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes, were all
>>>>>> passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with
>>>>>> it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those
>>>>>> previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees, hidden
>>>>>> in the price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his family ate
>>>>>> the bread, and, having done so, could not sell it to anyone else
>>>>>> and pass the taxes along, as the baker and everyone else before
>>>>>> had done.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with the
>>>>>> farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they withheld
>>>>>> from all of their employees. From bread to automobiles to brain
>>>>>> surgery, the price of everything we buy carries in it the hidden
>>>>>> taxes of everyone who contributed to the production of that
>>>>>> product or service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents of every
>>>>>> dollar we spend for federal taxes alone.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a
>>>>>> scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and unknowing
>>>>>> tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to keep voters
>>>>>> from realizing who really bears the burden of those high taxes.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
>>>>>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually
>>>>>> paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services.
>>>>>> It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket,
>>>>>> or what deductions you receive. These devices change only the
>>>>>> degree to which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes
>>>>>> place on your life depends solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm. First,
>>>>>> it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services that are
>>>>>> provided by highly taxed individuals and companies, like health
>>>>>> care, are artificially more expensive than necessary, while raw
>>>>>> materials and natural resources are underpriced, leading to
>>>>>> overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political process,
>>>>>> encouraging government overspending by politicians who exploit the
>>>>>> mistaken belief of many voters that government spending can be
>>>>>> paid for solely by taxing corporations or the "rich." All of the
>>>>>> exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which brings so much ill
>>>>>> will to our politics and drives wedges between Americans who would
>>>>>> be better served by mutual respect and compassion - is ultimately
>>>>>> the meaningless exploitation of a lie.
>>>>>>

>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates, appears
>>>>>> progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive. Currently,
>>>>>> the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay no income tax
>>>>>> directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes added to the
>>>>>> hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax burden is almost 30
>>>>>> percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through an
>>>>>> invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He is
>>>>>> a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>
>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>
>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as Mr.
>>>> B.
>>>>
>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>
>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>
>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B
>>>> gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>
>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the rich
>>> not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>
>>
>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so. The
>> best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same percentage
>> without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>
> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>
>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and why.
>
> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.

Actually, I think if we fixed the income the federal government had to work with by eliminating their power to impose or increase taxes, I bet the rest would, over time, resolve itself. As law makers have to live within their means then priorities would be required and those items which were luxuries

or not required would keep getting pushed further and further towards the short end of the stick. If we, as a people, decide that the government simply doesn't have the funds to provide the necessary services, then we, as a people, can decide to raise our taxes to provide more funding so such necessary services can exist at a level we desire.

We are, after all, the ones paying for it all, so we should have a direct say in how much we will pay.

3071. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:52:45 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0ABB7485Bhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 230998
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

John Smith <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in
news:irk0ie$9kn$2@dont-email.me:

> On 5/25/2011 1:22 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
>>> If you make it overseas, sell it overseas ... end of story.
>>>
>>> If you employ people in communist countries, move there ...
>>
>> Which has what to do with illegal immigration? Nothing. The cheap
>> products (I really typoed that one) and businesses trying to find a
>> way to produce those cheap products were the cause of most illegal
>> immigration today.
>>
>>
>>
>
> http://www.infowars.com/smoking-gun-govt-moles-alerted-investigator-of-
> planted-obama-birth-certificate-2-months-before-release/

Wow, that really nails down the problem of illegal immigration.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3072. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:53:07 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk4p8$27u$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 230999
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:irjvk7$5vm$1@dont-email.me...
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>
>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who
>>>>>>>>>>> pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If you
>>>>>>>>>>> want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the
>>>>>>>>>>> government then your right. I know of no place that compiles
>>>>>>>>>>> that data. ...
>>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need
>>>>>>>>>> them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
>>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you. Which
>>>>>>>>> explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ...
>>>>>>>> you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can, from
>>>>>>>> the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!

>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>> ï¿½ May 15, 2011
>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the check,
>>>>>>> but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies the cause
>>>>>>> of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization that poisons
>>>>>>> our political process. But to understand that problem, we must
>>>>>>> consider how taxes are applied to the production of goods and
>>>>>>> services.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes based
>>>>>>> on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm and
>>>>>>> equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just another
>>>>>>> cost of doing business in the course of earning his living, no
>>>>>>> different from fuel for his tractor or wages and taxes for
>>>>>>> employees.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and taxes,
>>>>>>> the price they charge the miller must cover their expenses and
>>>>>>> taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and savings.
>>>>>>> Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat. All of these
>>>>>>> costs and taxes were passed on to the miller, embedded in the

>>>>>>> price of wheat.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat to
>>>>>>> the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security taxes he
>>>>>>> withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes, were all
>>>>>>> passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with
>>>>>>> it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those
>>>>>>> previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees, hidden
>>>>>>> in the price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his family ate
>>>>>>> the bread, and, having done so, could not sell it to anyone else
>>>>>>> and pass the taxes along, as the baker and everyone else before
>>>>>>> had done.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with the
>>>>>>> farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they withheld
>>>>>>> from all of their employees. From bread to automobiles to brain
>>>>>>> surgery, the price of everything we buy carries in it the hidden
>>>>>>> taxes of everyone who contributed to the production of that
>>>>>>> product or service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents of every
>>>>>>> dollar we spend for federal taxes alone.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a
>>>>>>> scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and unknowing
>>>>>>> tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to keep voters
>>>>>>> from realizing who really bears the burden of those high taxes.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
>>>>>>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually
>>>>>>> paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services.
>>>>>>> It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket,
>>>>>>> or what deductions you receive. These devices change only the
>>>>>>> degree to which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes
>>>>>>> place on your life depends solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm. First,
>>>>>>> it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services that are
>>>>>>> provided by highly taxed individuals and companies, like health
>>>>>>> care, are artificially more expensive than necessary, while raw
>>>>>>> materials and natural resources are underpriced, leading to
>>>>>>> overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political process,
>>>>>>> encouraging government overspending by politicians who exploit the
>>>>>>> mistaken belief of many voters that government spending can be
>>>>>>> paid for solely by taxing corporations or the "rich." All of the
>>>>>>> exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which brings so much ill
>>>>>>> will to our politics and drives wedges between Americans who would

>>>>>>> be better served by mutual respect and compassion - is ultimately
>>>>>>> the meaningless exploitation of a lie.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates, appears
>>>>>>> progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive. Currently,
>>>>>>> the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay no income tax
>>>>>>> directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes added to the
>>>>>>> hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax burden is almost 30
>>>>>>> percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through an
>>>>>>> invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He is
>>>>>>> a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>
>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as Mr.
>>>>> B.
>>>>>
>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>>
>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>
>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B
>>>>> gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>
>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the rich
>>>> not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>>
>>>
>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so. The
>>> best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same percentage
>>> without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>
>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>>
>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and why.
>>
>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>>
>

> Paying the "same percentage" is not fair.

Why?

>
> The BURDEN is much less on the wealthy.

How?

3073. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:55:52 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk4u8ppp10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231000
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On 5/25/2011 4:52 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> John Smith<win.court@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:irk0ie$9kn$2@dont-email.me:
>
>> On 5/25/2011 1:22 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>
>>>> If you make it overseas, sell it overseas ... end of story.
>>>>
>>>> If you employ people in communist countries, move there ...
>>>
>>> Which has what to do with illegal immigration? Nothing. The cheap
>>> products (I really typoed that one) and businesses trying to find a
>>> way to produce those cheap products were the cause of most illegal
>>> immigration today.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> http://www.infowars.com/smoking-gun-govt-moles-alerted-investigator-of-
>> planted-obama-birth-certificate-2-months-before-release/
>
> Wow, that really nails down the problem of illegal immigration.
>
>

We already have decided the immigration problem, stop it. Mine/fence
the borders and shoot criminal invaders, where have you been? Return
any found in the USA, after proper punishments ... etc.

Regards,
JS

3074. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-25 23:57:03 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk50j$3b9$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231001
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF0910DC3319hopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irjplt$t30$1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EF07B4BACE78hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in
>>> news:irgvu8ott1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>>> news:Xns9EEF759361DABhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in
>>>>> news:irgpv8dcm1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:Xns9EEF6998522Fhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>>>>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irgik5$f2r$3@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>> keep
>>>>>>>>> attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>>>>>>>>> of
>>>>> all
>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>>>>>> paying
>>>>>>> half
>>>>>>>>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying
>>>>>>>>> their
>>>>>>> fair
>>>>>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Oh, you mean one like this?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> A tax on *ALL* income no matter where derived. One deduction.
>>>>> Federal
>>>>>>> poverty level for a family of four and everybody gets that
>>> deduction.
>>>>>>> Have a tax rate of, say 15% and the current poverty level at $24K
>>> and
>>>>> we
>>>>>>> get the following:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> A person who earns up to $24K, pays nada...
>>>>>>> A person who earns $50K, pays $3,900 (50-24x15%)
>>>>>>> A person who earns $100K, pays $11,400 (100-24x15%)
>>>>>>> A person who earns $500K, pays $71,400 (500-24x15%)
>>>>>>> A person who earns a million pays $146,400 (1000-24x15%)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> That do it for you?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> .
>>>>>> .
>>>>>> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $50,000 guy he becomes homeless
>>>>>> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $1,000,000 guy...he never notices
>>>>>> it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> That's what's UNFAIR.
>>>>>
>>>>> Nope, what's unfair is YOU expecting OTHERS to pay for what YOU
>>>>> want.
>>>>>
>>>>>> The EFFECT on the wealthy taxpayer is nil.
>>>>>> The EFFECT on the low income tax payer is catastrophic.
>>>>>
>>>>> Interestingly, no one is asking that $50K guy for that extra grand,
>>> but
>>>>> here you are whining that the million dollar guy won't as affected.
>>>>>
>>>>> The problem Democrats will have is that sometime they will run out
>>>>> of other people's money.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>>>
>>>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>>>> Don't complain.
>>>>
>>>> That's what is unfair.

>>>> A small increase of tax on a low earner is a huge burden
>>>> The same increase on a wealthy person is INSIGNIFICANT.
>>>
>>> No one is asking for the same increase from both parties, you idiot.
>>> Besides if the low earner is really a low earner like the 45% who
>>> don't pay tax in the first place, how can you increase the tax on
>>> them. They still won't reach the AGI that pays taxes. Increasing
>>> the tax percentage doesn't do a damn thing to change their AGI.
>>>
>>>
>>> --
>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>
>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>> Don't complain.
>>
>> I gave an example of how the same number (dollars) has a different
>> affect on people of different wealth.
>> You didn't understand it.....
>
>
> OH, I understood it. Just commented that no one is asking for that so it
> is a canard and little else.

Yep, and he neglicted to consider how the incomes would increase to cause
that extra tax to be imposed.

Wage earner - 13% increase in net income
Wealth class - 0.3% increase in net income.

Sure the tax seems higher to the lower paid individual, but so does the
increase in income.

3075. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:01:54 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk59m$51a$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231002
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"Howard Brazee" <howard@brazee.net> wrote in message
news:edvqt6tssnpr2u1t80r59uam9hbostcb9j@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2011 18:01:13 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>> Agreed. But perceptions vary. Certainly there isn't much agreement
>>> about what "fair" means here.
>>
>>Oh, we can define what is fair, if we simply make it less "personal".
>
> Look around, there still is disagreement, even with your basic
> statement below.
>
>>For example;
>>
>>Is it fair to charge Mr A the rate of 32.6% income tax on his income but
>>charge Mr. B at a rate of 27.4% income tax on his income?
>>
>>The overwhelming answer is they should both pay the same rate of tax on
>>their income.

I'm not seeing any disagreement.

> In that case there should be no personal deductions allowed, as that
> would change the % being taxed.

Well the question does assume everything else to be equal, so clearly any
deductions would need to be the same.

> Most tax breaks though are in defining income. Obviously we want net
> income, not gross income, as different businesses have different types
> of expenses. The laws are very complex, often set up by people who
> have paid congressmen for laws that help them. Going to a flat tax
> won't change this.

Sure it will, since with only one deduction there is nothing complex about
the tax code, and nothing that can be done to help out such individuals or
businesses to evade the tax owed.

>>It's only when you engage in making class, race, ethnic, etc a factor that
>>what is "fair" becomes controlled by extraneous factors resulting from
>>bias
>>and discrimination rather than any object view of what is "fair".
>
> There are lots more criteria than those that people complain about.

> One is whether an industry has enough clout to get laws written for
> it. And as always fairness is about perception.

IOW, might makes right.

So much for fair.

3076. Re: IC-7410 vs. TS-590 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:05:39 UTC
From: Michael <mjd1966@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <cc9dd1db-f07f-48c1-aa09-d6ba294774f4@e8g2000vbz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231003

On May 25, 7:24 pm, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net> wrote:
> On 5/25/2011 6:47 PM, Michael wrote:
>
> > Also considered the Ten-Tec Eagle and Jupiter, but I really prefer
> > direct controls for a desktop rig.
>
> > Any advice would be appreciated.
>
> I am not sure what you are referring to, as both the Eagle and Jupiter
> have direct controls; possibly you are confusing them with the Tentec
> Pegasus, which did require a computer to operate.
>
> 1) Both the Eagle and Jupiter are *highly* rated (see the reviews at
> eham.net)
>
> 2) Tentec supports their radios much longer than YaeComWood and their
> "Radio of the Month Club". Nothing sadder than YaeComWood telling you
> they no longer stock parts for a 2 or 3 year old radio.
>
> 3) Tentec is an American company, easy to contact, great support. Heck,
> they even give you a 30 day free trial (excluding shipping). The last I
> heard, YaeComWood does not do that.
>
> 4) IMHO, the receiver is -everything- and Sherwood Engineering rated the
> Eagle's receiver number 6 of all the receivers they have ever ranked.
> Not too bad for a mid-range radio.
>
> Good luck with your decision and 73...

thanx for the fast reply, joe... when i spoke of direct control i was speaking of multi function buttons for things like mode and band selection rather than computer control. fromt the front of the ten tec rigs, they appear to have multi function buttons for some basic things. though, i would not be in objection to a real SDR rig if they start making them for linux. the fact that the ten tec rigs are made in america is attractive, but icom's build quality is very good too. i have an r-75 and it has been flawless for many years. probably one of the best value for dollar purchases i have ever made.

3077. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:06:54 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk5j2$6fs$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231004
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irk1rg$9kn$6@dont-email.me...
> On 5/25/2011 3:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:irjnoebmf2@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>>> email.me:
>>>>
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put
>>>>>> this
>>>>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>>>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>>>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>>>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
>>>> say
>>>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to implement
>>>>> it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>>>>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>>>
>>>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
>>>> How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales
>>>> tax
>>>> or some amount in between?
>>>>
>>>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of 42.7%
>>>>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
>>>>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>>
>>>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in
>>>> those
>>>> brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>>>
>>>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.

>>>>
>>>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in
>>>> that
>>>> scheme.
>>>> Those
>>>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>>>>
>>>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to have
>>>> any grasp of the basics.
>>>>
>>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just
>>>>> that
>>>>> the water comes from the well ...
>>>>
>>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
>>>> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>>>> overwhelm the result.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned ...
>>
>> So much for sales tax then.
>>
>>
>
> Once again, I really don't care how it is implemented,

On the contrary, how it is implemented is vital if your notion is to have
any merit. If you don't know, specifically, what it is you want, then how
can anyone support it?

> it just has to work out to a final flat tax on all the money earned ...
> make a dollar, pay the tax on the dollar, make a hundred, pay the tax time
> a hundred, make a thousand pay the tax times a thousand ... scalable in
> either direction.
>
> And, has been mentioned, no matter what system is finally chosen, crooks
> will ALWAYS attempt to escape paying their fair share ... as is happening
> with the rich elite today ...

Please list for me the laws broken by all the rich elite today.

---->

3078. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:08:15 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk5lp$6v8$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231005
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:irk2e6$m70$1@dont-email.me...
>
> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:irk1rg$9kn$6@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/25/2011 3:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:irjnoebmf2@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put
>>>>>>> this
>>>>>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>>>>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>>>>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>>>>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
>>>>> say
>>>>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to implement
>>>>>> it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>>>>>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>>>>
>>>>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
>>>>> How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales
>>>>> tax
>>>>> or some amount in between?
>>>>>
>>>>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of
>>>>>> 42.7%
>>>>>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
>>>>>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>>>
>>>>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in

>>>>> those
>>>>> brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>>>>
>>>>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>>>>
>>>>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in
>>>>> that
>>>>> scheme.
>>>>> Those
>>>>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>>>>>
>>>>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to
>>>>> have
>>>>> any grasp of the basics.
>>>>>
>>>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just
>>>>>> that
>>>>>> the water comes from the well ...
>>>>>
>>>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
>>>>> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>>>>> overwhelm the result.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned ...
>>>
>>> So much for sales tax then.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Once again, I really don't care how it is implemented, it just has to
>> work out to a final flat tax on all the money earned ... make a dollar,
>> pay the tax on the dollar, make a hundred, pay the tax time a hundred,
>> make a thousand pay the tax times a thousand ... scalable in either
>> direction.
>>
>> And, has been mentioned, no matter what system is finally chosen, crooks
>> will ALWAYS attempt to escape paying their fair share ... as is happening
>> with the rich elite today ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>>
>
> A simple solution for a complicated problem...and it's wrong.
>
> You need to equalize the BURDEN....You need to equalize the effect the tax
> has on the taxpayers life

Seems to me that the flat tax proposed would do that better than any other suggestion.

What do you propose?

3079. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:08:47 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk5mj$73r$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231006
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irk37rppp1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/25/2011 4:12 PM, Sid9 wrote:
>>
>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:irk1rg$9kn$6@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/25/2011 3:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:irjnoebmf2@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put
>>>>>>>> this
>>>>>>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>>>>>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>>>>>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>>>>>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
>>>>>> say
>>>>>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to
>>>>>>> implement
>>>>>>> it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>>>>>>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
>>>>>> How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales
>>>>>> tax
>>>>>> or some amount in between?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of
>>>>>>> 42.7%
>>>>>>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
>>>>>>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.

>>>>>>
>>>>>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in
>>>>>> those
>>>>>> brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in
>>>>>> that
>>>>>> scheme.
>>>>>> Those
>>>>>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to
>>>>>> have
>>>>>> any grasp of the basics.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just
>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>> the water comes from the well ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
>>>>>> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>>>>>> overwhelm the result.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned
>>>>> ...
>>>>
>>>> So much for sales tax then.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Once again, I really don't care how it is implemented, it just has to
>>> work out to a final flat tax on all the money earned ... make a
>>> dollar, pay the tax on the dollar, make a hundred, pay the tax time a
>>> hundred, make a thousand pay the tax times a thousand ... scalable in
>>> either direction.
>>>
>>> And, has been mentioned, no matter what system is finally chosen,
>>> crooks will ALWAYS attempt to escape paying their fair share ... as is
>>> happening with the rich elite today ...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>>
>>
>> A simple solution for a complicated problem...and it's wrong.
>>

>> You need to equalize the BURDEN....You need to equalize the effect the
>> tax has on the taxpayers life
>
> Anything which is better than what we have now will be better ... end of
> story.

Not true, things could be a lot worse, but they could also be better.

3080. Re: Federal blockade of Texas demonstrate financial terrorism of TSA!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:11:40 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <Qa6dnVKnqZtdB0DQnZ2dnVY3goidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231007

"John Smith" wrote in message news:irk0li$9kn$3@dont-email.me...

http://www.infowars.com/financial-terrorism-tsa-holds-texas-flights-hostage/

You just can't make up shit like this!

Regards,
JS

Let me start by saying that I think that almost ALL post-9/11 travel regulations are BS, and in reality do little to nothing to stop terrorists (have they actually CAUGHT ANYONE before they got on the plane??)

That being said, the law applies equally to everyone. The Republic of Texas has no special privileges. I don't see that the TSA or DOJ are 'threatening' anyone, but pointing out the ramifications of the actions of the Texas legislature.

If you refuse to be searched/patted down/molested before boarding a plane, you don't get to board. If Texas were to pass this law, making the pat searches/molestations illegal, then nobody could board a plane, so why have the planes there at all?

3081. ELF {Earth} Radio & Receivers + Antennas & Probes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:19:10 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <41cf00a4-2923-4455-a0fb-56477555bb09@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231008

On May 25, 7:11 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:

- Doggy doesn't hear that HAARP machine
- crankin up either.
-
- Isn't there some kind of ELF (Extreme Low
- Frequency) receiver available that can be
- used for listening to the Earth?
- I think Art Bell or George Noory used to
- advertize such a device.
-
- U.S.Military has something like that for
- transmitting/receiving signals.
-
- The World didn't end yesterday,
- will not end today,
- maybe tomorrow.
- cuhulin

Cuhulin... There Be ELFs Out There ! ;;-}}
-some-'feel'-elfs-&-some-'see'-elfs-
.
ELF (EARTH) RECEIVER -source- North County Radio
http://www.northcountryradio.com/Kitpages/elfrcvr.htm
.
Natural Radio Receivers
http://naturalradiolab.com/content/view/16/16/
.
Extremely Low Frequency (ELF)
-receiving- Earth {Ground-Wave} Radio
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Extremely_low_frequency
.
The Longwave Club of America [LWCA]
http://www.lwca.org/
The World of Radio Below 500kHz
http://www.lwca.org/about_lwca.html
.
Exploring ULF-ELF and VLF Radio Band
-below- 22 kHz
http://www.vlf.it/
.
HAARP : Detection and Imaging of Underground
Structures Using ELF/VLF Radio Waves
http://www.fas.org/irp/program/collect/haarp.htm
.

"Natural ELF Whistler Radio"
-by- Harold Allen [W4MMC]
http://www.antennex.com/preview/Folder03/Oct2/elf2.htm
.
Development of Natural Radio ELF / VLF Receiver
http://www.uthm.edu.my/waras/Natural%20Radio%20ELF%20VLF%20Receiver.pdf
.
ELF Antennas -by- Stormwise
http://www.stormwise.com/page28.htm
.
L-950 LF Active Loop Antenna -by- LF Engineering
http://www.lfengineering.com/mm5/merchant.mvc?Screen=PROD&Store_Code=LF&Product_Code=023&C
ategory_Code=LFP
.
L-202B LF/VLF Preamplifier -by- LF Engineering
http://www.lfengineering.com/mm5/merchant.mvc?Screen=PROD&Store_Code=LF&Product_Code=011&C
ategory_Code=LFP
.
and now you know, cause...
you just read it here - iane ~ RHF
.
Remember that your Shortwave Radio Listening [SWL]
Antenna is 55.55% of your ability to Hear Them
'Out-There'... Beyond The BEYOND ! ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3082. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:19:47 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irk6b8$a8b$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231009
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

"John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irk47gppp5@dont-email.me...
> On 5/25/2011 4:38 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>
>>> ...
>>> Paying the "same percentage" is not fair.
>>>
>>> The BURDEN is much less on the wealthy.
>>
>> The wealthy are paying most of the income tax burden. You wouldn't be
>> happy with any tax scheme that didn't penalize the wealthy and not charge
>> you a dime.
>>
>>
>>> ...and why ...radio.shortwave...? more obsolete thinking!
>
> I cannot find a valid link showing what the 1% pay in actual money, actual
> dollars, and ACTUAL AMOUNT -- as compared to what the other 99%
> contribute, in a total amount.

We accept your admission that there is no evidence to support a claim that
the wealthy are not paying their fair share.

<snip>

3083. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:30:17 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <elidnQpTwMeAAkDQnZ2dnVY3goudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231010

"John Smith" wrote in message news:irk0qf$9kn$4@dont-email.me...
You can thank reagan, in California, for these nuts, such as the mother, being on the streets and not getting proper health -- he closed down mental hospitals and cuts services. We knew then there would be repercussions far into the future ...

Regards,
JS

Much as I would love to let you blame Reagan, it's not (necessarily) his fault.

It was the Nixon administration that caused the wholesale release of mental hospital patients. This was as a result of investigations into hospitals that were holding mental patients indefinitely without any sort of recourse and most of the time without any sort of re-evaluation of the patients.

3084. Re: Federal blockade of Texas demonstrate financial terrorism of TSA!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:35:02 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk77l$d8u$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231011

On 5/25/2011 5:11 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" wrote in message news:irk0li$9kn$3@dont-email.me...
>
>
>
> http://www.infowars.com/financial-terrorism-tsa-holds-texas-flights-hostage/
>
>
> You just can't make up shit like this!
>
> Regards,
> JS
>
>
> ---
>
> ---
>
>
> Let me start by saying that I think that almost ALL post-9/11 travel
> regulations are BS, and in reality do little to nothing to stop
> terrorists (have they actually CAUGHT ANYONE before they got on the
> plane??)
>
> That being said, the law applies equally to everyone. The Republic of
> Texas has no special privileges. I don't see that the TSA or DOJ are
> 'threatening' anyone, but pointing out the ramifications of the actions
> of the Texas legislature.
>
> If you refuse to be searched/patted down/molested before boarding a
> plane, you don't get to board. If Texas were to pass this law, making
> the pat searches/molestations illegal, then nobody could board a plane,
> so why have the planes there at all?

Well, touching someone is illegal, why are the TSA molesters in jail?

If they have special privileges, why don't pedophiles just sue for the
same privileges?

Everything about these actions are just plain WRONG!

You don't just go around searching citizens. Germany did, and they were
the bad guys ... I don't know why it should be any different if we do it.

If you don't think the TSA will be filled with pedophiles, if not already, I think your logic is wrong ... one reason we don't allow these things -- at any cost.

Regards,
JS

3085. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:37:17 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk7bt$d8u$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231012

On 5/25/2011 5:30 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" wrote in message news:irk0qf$9kn$4@dont-email.me...
> You can thank reagan, in California, for these nuts, such as the mother,
> being on the streets and not getting proper health -- he closed down
> mental hospitals and cuts services. We knew then there would be
> repercussions far into the future ...
>
> Regards,
> JS
>
>
> ---
>
> ---
>
>
> Much as I would love to let you blame Reagan, it's not (necessarily) his
> fault.
>
> It was the Nixon administration that caused the wholesale release of
> mental hospital patients. This was as a result of investigations into
> hospitals that were holding mental patients indefinitely without any
> sort of recourse and most of the time without any sort of re-evaluation
> of the patients.

Well, the release of political prisoners from nut-houses was/is good.
The release of rich old people, who are not dying fast enough, so their
family can grab hold of their wealth was/is a good thing.

What reagan did was not ...

Regards,
JS

3086. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:39:09 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk7fd$d8u$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231013
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/25/2011 5:06 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:irk1rg$9kn$6@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/25/2011 3:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:irjnoebmf2@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put
>>>>>>> this
>>>>>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>>>>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>>>>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>>>>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
>>>>> say
>>>>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to implement
>>>>>> it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>>>>>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>>>>
>>>>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
>>>>> How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales
>>>>> tax
>>>>> or some amount in between?
>>>>>
>>>>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of
>>>>>> 42.7%
>>>>>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
>>>>>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>>>
>>>>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in

>>>>> those
>>>>> brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>>>>
>>>>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>>>>
>>>>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in
>>>>> that
>>>>> scheme.
>>>>> Those
>>>>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>>>>>
>>>>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to
>>>>> have
>>>>> any grasp of the basics.
>>>>>
>>>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just
>>>>>> that
>>>>>> the water comes from the well ...
>>>>>
>>>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
>>>>> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>>>>> overwhelm the result.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned ...
>>>
>>> So much for sales tax then.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Once again, I really don't care how it is implemented,
>
> On the contrary, how it is implemented is vital if your notion is to
> have any merit. If you don't know, specifically, what it is you want,
> then how can anyone support it?
>
>> it just has to work out to a final flat tax on all the money earned
>> ... make a dollar, pay the tax on the dollar, make a hundred, pay the
>> tax time a hundred, make a thousand pay the tax times a thousand ...
>> scalable in either direction.
>>
>> And, has been mentioned, no matter what system is finally chosen,
>> crooks will ALWAYS attempt to escape paying their fair share ... as is
>> happening with the rich elite today ...
>
> Please list for me the laws broken by all the rich elite today.
>
> ---->
>

>
>

They make 42.7% of the financial wealth and do not pay their fair share
on that amount ... what was said at the very beginning ...

Regards,
JS

3087. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:41:06 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk7j2$d8u$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231014
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/25/2011 5:08 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:irk2e6$m70$1@dont-email.me...
>>
>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:irk1rg$9kn$6@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/25/2011 3:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:irjnoe$bmf$2@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me
>>>>>>>> put this
>>>>>>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>>>>>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>>>>>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>>>>>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
>>>>>> say
>>>>>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to
>>>>>>> implement
>>>>>>> it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>>>>>>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
>>>>>> How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales
>>>>>> tax
>>>>>> or some amount in between?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of
>>>>>>> 42.7%
```

>>>>>>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
>>>>>>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in
>>>>>> those
>>>>>> brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved
>>>>>> in that
>>>>>> scheme.
>>>>>> Those
>>>>>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to
>>>>>> have
>>>>>> any grasp of the basics.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well,
>>>>>>> just that
>>>>>>> the water comes from the well ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
>>>>>> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>>>>>> overwhelm the result.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned
>>>>> ...
>>>>
>>>> So much for sales tax then.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Once again, I really don't care how it is implemented, it just has to
>>> work out to a final flat tax on all the money earned ... make a
>>> dollar, pay the tax on the dollar, make a hundred, pay the tax time a
>>> hundred, make a thousand pay the tax times a thousand ... scalable in
>>> either direction.
>>>
>>> And, has been mentioned, no matter what system is finally chosen,
>>> crooks will ALWAYS attempt to escape paying their fair share ... as
>>> is happening with the rich elite today ...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>>
>>

>> A simple solution for a complicated problem...and it's wrong.
>>
>> You need to equalize the BURDEN....You need to equalize the effect the
>> tax has on the taxpayers life
>
> Seems to me that the flat tax proposed would do that better than any
> other suggestion.
>
> What do you propose?
>
>

That 1% of the richest pay 42.7% of total taxes, and that 20% (that includes the first 1%) pay 50.3% of ALL TAXES ...

Again, what was originally said ... 50% of the roads, 50% of the public utilities, 50% of the cost of government, etc., etc.

Regards,
JS

3088. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:43:00 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk7mk$d8u$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231015
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

On 5/25/2011 5:19 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:irk47gppp5@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/25/2011 4:38 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Paying the "same percentage" is not fair.
>>>>
>>>> The BURDEN is much less on the wealthy.
>>>
>>> The wealthy are paying most of the income tax burden. You wouldn't be
>>> happy with any tax scheme that didn't penalize the wealthy and not
>>> charge
>>> you a dime.
>>>
>>>
>>>> ...and why ...radio.shortwave...? more obsolete thinking!
>>
>> I cannot find a valid link showing what the 1% pay in actual money,
>> actual dollars, and ACTUAL AMOUNT -- as compared to what the other 99%
>> contribute, in a total amount.
>
> We accept your admission that there is no evidence to support a claim
> that the wealthy are not paying their fair share.
>
>
> <snip>
>
>

Show me some figures which show they are paying an amount of ALL TAXES
that is to scale with the amount of financial wealth that they enjoy ...
as said at the start.

http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html

Regards,
JS

3089. Re: Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2348 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:43:38 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <47462faa-f024-43d0-9e91-cbd8e9ebbc1c@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231016

On May 25, 8:54 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 25, 2:26 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2348 UTC
> > -via- Cuba on 24 Mayo 2011
>
> > S-Meter : Solid S9 with SIO 555 with Good Audio
>
> > Program in English with Spanish in the background
> > presenting an English version of the Story about the
> > Venezuelan Drug Lord Walid Makled and his family
> > along with Radio Nacional de Venezuela
IDhttp://www.rnv.gob.ve/noticias/index.php?act=ST&f=27&t=156081&hl=de+d...
>
> > Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV]http://www.rnv.gob.ve/
>
> > Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV] "English
Portal"http://www.rnv.gov.ve/noticias/index.php?act=SF&f=31
> > .
> > RNV Canal Internacional Horas y
Frecuenciashttp://www.rnv.gob.ve/noticias/index.php?pg=internacional
> > .
> > The Short-Wave.Info -website- Lists for
> > Radio Nacional de Venezuela[RNV]
> > Frequencies and
Timeshttp://www.short-wave.info/index.php?station=R.Nacional+de+Venezuela&...
> > .
> > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > 15,250 @ 2300-2400 {English & Spanish}
> > VEN : R. Nacional Venezuela : E,S : NAm : /CUB
> > from Venezuela in English & Spanish to North
> > American from/via Cuba
> > -note- If you receive RHC from Cuba good :
> > Then you will hear RNV good from Cuba too.
> > .
> > *Prior* "RNV" Shortwave Radio Reception Reports
> > and Info :
> > * Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 11,670 kHz @ 2210
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/1bf...
> > * Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2321
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/67e...
> > .
> > yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^

> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .

- They're s'posed to be buildng their own
- transmitter site pretty soon.
-
- Been awhile since I've heard Venezuela
- on the radio...

-note- If you receive RHC from Cuba good :
Then you will hear RNV good from Cuba too.
Same Transmitters & Same Antennas
Same Location & Closer to the USA

Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV]
Shortwave Radio Schedule : Frequency & Time
http://www.short-wave.info/?station=R.Nacional%20de%20Venezuela
-aka- "Canal Internacional" RNV
-source- Short-Wave .Info
http://www.short-wave.info/
.
.
Heard RHC in 'Arabic' today [25th Mayo 2011]
on 17,560 @ 2049 UTC and RHC-ID @ 2051 UTC
http://www.radiohc.cu/index.php/de-interes/frecuencias.html

RHC is Listed {in Error} as 11,560 kHz
to 'Europa' in 'Arabe' : Actually 17560 kHz

Recheck @ 2113 had RHC in Spanish with RHC ID.
http://www.radiohc.cu/

~ RHF : Twain Harte, CA
Grundig Satellit 800-M Radio
.

3090. Re: Federal blockade of Texas demonstrate financial terrorism of TSA!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:44:12 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk7os$d8u$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231017

On 5/25/2011 5:35 PM, John Smith wrote:
> Well, touching someone is illegal, why are the TSA molesters in jail?

should have been:

Well, touching someone is illegal, why are the TSA molesters _NOT_ in jail?

3091. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:47:45 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk7vg$d8u$8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231018
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

On 5/25/2011 4:48 PM, RD Sandman wrote:

>
> What happened to your dream of the rich guy paying a hundred times more
> tax than the little guy?
>

That's what happens when you put words in my mouth ... you end up asking
questions about your false words ...

Regards,
JS

3092. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:49:09 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irk824$d8u$9@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231019
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On 5/25/2011 4:50 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> John Smith<win.court@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:irk1rg$9kn$6@dont-email.me:
>
>> On 5/25/2011 3:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith"<win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:irjnoe$bmf$2@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put
>>>>>>> this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the
>>>>>>> top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
>>>>>>> that way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>> government ..." - is either impossible to implement, or requires
>>>>>>> a dictatorship. ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally
>>>>>> and
>>>>> say
>>>>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to
>>>>>> implement it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can
>>>>>> successfully accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be
>>>>>> great.
>>>>>
>>>>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to
>>>>> work? How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or
>>>>> 42% sales tax
>>>>> or some amount in between?
>>>>>
>>>>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of
>>>>>> 42.7% of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And
>>>>>> that 20% at the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>>>
>>>>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in
>>>>> those brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
```

>>>>>
>>>>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>>>>
>>>>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in
>>>>> that scheme.
>>>>> Those
>>>>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>
>>>>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to
>>>>> have any grasp of the basics.
>>>>>
>>>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just
>>>>>> that the water comes from the well ...
>>>>>
>>>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you
>>>>> really should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would
>>>>> completely overwhelm the result.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned
>>>> ...
>>>
>>> So much for sales tax then.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Once again, I really don't care how it is implemented, it just has to
>> work out to a final flat tax on all the money earned ... make a
>> dollar, pay the tax on the dollar, make a hundred, pay the tax time a
>> hundred, make a thousand pay the tax times a thousand ... scalable in
>> either direction.
>
> That is, basically, what my flat proposal is. You, however, have been
> ranting about making the rich pay a hundred times more than the poor guy
> or schemes which know whether you are in the top 1% or top 20% at the
> time you buy something.
>
>> And, has been mentioned, no matter what system is finally chosen,
>> crooks will ALWAYS attempt to escape paying their fair share ... as is
>> happening with the rich elite today ...
>
> Nothing you have mentioned will change any of that.
>
>

You are entitled to your personal opinions and rants, since we both
accept that, and since you have offered nothing to back them up ...
again, plonk ...

3093. New Shortwave Transmitting Station in-work-for- Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:51:27 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <a9bdf036-4180-45f9-af3f-f828854935ee@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231020

On May 25, 8:54 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 25, 2:26 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2348 UTC
> > -via- Cuba on 24 Mayo 2011
>
> > S-Meter : Solid S9 with SIO 555 with Good Audio
>
> > Program in English with Spanish in the background
> > presenting an English version of the Story about the
> > Venezuelan Drug Lord Walid Makled and his family
> > along with Radio Nacional de Venezuela
IDhttp://www.rnv.gob.ve/noticias/index.php?act=ST&f=27&t=156081&hl=de+d...
>
> > Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV]http://www.rnv.gob.ve/
>
> > Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV] "English
Portal"http://www.rnv.gov.ve/noticias/index.php?act=SF&f=31
> > .
> > RNV Canal Internacional Horas y
Frecuenciashttp://www.rnv.gob.ve/noticias/index.php?pg=internacional
> > .
> > The Short-Wave.Info -website- Lists for
> > Radio Nacional de Venezuela[RNV]
> > Frequencies and
Timeshttp://www.short-wave.info/index.php?station=R.Nacional+de+Venezuela&...
> > .
> > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > 15,250 @ 2300-2400 {English & Spanish}
> > VEN : R. Nacional Venezuela : E,S : NAm : /CUB
> > from Venezuela in English & Spanish to North
> > American from/via Cuba
> > -note- If you receive RHC from Cuba good :
> > Then you will hear RNV good from Cuba too.
> > .

> > *Prior* "RNV" Shortwave Radio Reception Reports
> > and Info :
> > * Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 11,670 kHz @ 2210
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/1bf...
> > * Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2321
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/67e...
> > .
> > yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .
>
> They're s'posed to be buildng their own transmitter site pretty soon.
> Been awhile since I've heard Venezuela on the radio...

New Shortwave Transmitting Station
http://www.globalbroadcast-industry.com/industry-projects/new-shortwave-transmitting-stati
on-for-antena-internacional-venezuela/
-by- Antena Internacional
-for- Radio Nacional de Venezuela
-in- Venezuela
-result- All Hugo : All The Time ;;-}}
~ RHF
.

3094. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:51:48 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irk87tjln1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231021
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF0A955CAB33hopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irjvk7$5vm$1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>
>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>
>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of
> who
>>>>>>>>>>>> pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If you
>>>>>>>>>>>> want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the
>>>>>>>>>>>> government then your right. I know of no place that compiles
>>>>>>>>>>>> that data. ...
>>>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I
> need
>>>>>>>>>>> them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
>>>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you. Which
>>>>>>>>>> explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ...

>>>>>>>>> you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can, from
>>>>>>>>> the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>>> ï¿½ May 15, 2011
>>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the check,
>>>>>>>> but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies the cause
>>>>>>>> of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization that poisons
>>>>>>>> our political process. But to understand that problem, we must
>>>>>>>> consider how taxes are applied to the production of goods and
>>>>>>>> services.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes based
>>>>>>>> on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm and
>>>>>>>> equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just another
>>>>>>>> cost of doing business in the course of earning his living, no
>>>>>>>> different from fuel for his tractor or wages and taxes for
>>>>>>>> employees.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and taxes,

>>>>>>>> the price they charge the miller must cover their expenses and
>>>>>>>> taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and savings.
>>>>>>>> Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat. All of these
>>>>>>>> costs and taxes were passed on to the miller, embedded in the
>>>>>>>> price of wheat.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat to
>>>>>>>> the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security taxes
> he
>>>>>>>> withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes, were all
>>>>>>>> passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with
>>>>>>>> it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those
>>>>>>>> previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees,
> hidden
>>>>>>>> in the price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his family ate
>>>>>>>> the bread, and, having done so, could not sell it to anyone else
>>>>>>>> and pass the taxes along, as the baker and everyone else before
>>>>>>>> had done.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with the
>>>>>>>> farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they withheld
>>>>>>>> from all of their employees. From bread to automobiles to brain
>>>>>>>> surgery, the price of everything we buy carries in it the hidden
>>>>>>>> taxes of everyone who contributed to the production of that
>>>>>>>> product or service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents of every
>>>>>>>> dollar we spend for federal taxes alone.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a
>>>>>>>> scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and
> unknowing
>>>>>>>> tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to keep voters
>>>>>>>> from realizing who really bears the burden of those high taxes.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
>>>>>>>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually
>>>>>>>> paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services.
>>>>>>>> It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket,
>>>>>>>> or what deductions you receive. These devices change only the
>>>>>>>> degree to which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes
>>>>>>>> place on your life depends solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm. First,
>>>>>>>> it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services that are
>>>>>>>> provided by highly taxed individuals and companies, like health
>>>>>>>> care, are artificially more expensive than necessary, while raw
>>>>>>>> materials and natural resources are underpriced, leading to
>>>>>>>> overconsumption and waste.

>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political process,
>>>>>>>> encouraging government overspending by politicians who exploit
> the
>>>>>>>> mistaken belief of many voters that government spending can be
>>>>>>>> paid for solely by taxing corporations or the "rich." All of the
>>>>>>>> exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which brings so much ill
>>>>>>>> will to our politics and drives wedges between Americans who
> would
>>>>>>>> be better served by mutual respect and compassion - is ultimately
>>>>>>>> the meaningless exploitation of a lie.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
> appears
>>>>>>>> progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive. Currently,
>>>>>>>> the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay no income tax
>>>>>>>> directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes added to the
>>>>>>>> hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax burden is almost 30
>>>>>>>> percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through
> an
>>>>>>>> invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He
> is
>>>>>>>> a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as Mr.
>>>>>> B.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B
>>>>>> gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the
> rich
>>>>> not paying a hundred times what the poor do.

>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so.
> The
>>>> best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same percentage
>>>> without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>>
>>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>>>
>>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and why.
>>>
>>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>>>
>>
>> Paying the "same percentage" is not fair.
>>
>> The BURDEN is much less on the wealthy.
>
> The wealthy are paying most of the income tax burden. You wouldn't be
> happy with any tax scheme that didn't penalize the wealthy and not charge
> you a dime.
>
.
.
They pay the aggregate of most of the money and it has far less impact on
their lives than the ordinary working American.
To that extent it's unfair.

The progressive income tax, restored by removing the inequities that have
been put there by the wealthy, would fix it.
Restoring the inheritance tax to eliminate the loop holes that have neutered
it would help, too.

Neither the Bushes, the Harrimans, the Kennedys, nor the Rockefellers heirs
got poor because of this tax.

Then remove the caps from the SS tax and the Medicare tax.....

Voila! No more fiscal problems!

Then cut the shit out of the military budget.

3095. Re: get kelp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:53:13 UTC
From: "tholen@antispam.ham" <tholen@ifa.hawaii.edu>
Message-ID: <d6b0f505-e14b-46c4-bf44-f56686e78622@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.checkmate,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.os2.advocacy

Checkmate <LunaticFringe@The.Edge> writes:

214> Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.checkmate

214> Thank yew for your thoughtful poast, KayBeeNine.

What do your thanks have to do with OS/2 or amateur
radio, Checkmate?

215> Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.checkmate

215> Thank yew for yer helpfull komment, Cacheena.

What do your thanks have to do with OS/2 or amateur
radio, Checkmate?

216> Newsgroups:
rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.checkmate,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.os2.advoca
cy

216> Where can I obtain some of this kelp, of which you speak?

What does your question have to do with OS/2, shortwave radio,
amateur radio, or CB radio, Checkmate?

217> Newsgroups:
rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.checkmate,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.os2.advoca
cy

217> Is that the same stuff that grows in the ocean?

What does your question have to do with OS/2, shortwave radio,
amateur radio, or CB radio, Checkmate?

218> Newsgroups:
rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.checkmate,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.os2.advoca
cy

218> It's David Tholen?

What does your question have to do with OS/2, shortwave radio,
amateur radio, or CB radio, Checkmate?

219> Newsgroups:
rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.checkmate,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.os2.advoca
cy

219> I guess I was thinking of sea cucumbers.

What does your guess have to do with OS/2, shortwave radio,
amateur radio, or CB radio, Checkmate?

3096. Re: get kelp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:55:52 UTC
From: "tholen@antispam.ham" <tholen@ifa.hawaii.edu>
Message-ID: <e39660b6-8896-4fc6-a1a1-bfae7e5c1d9d@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.checkmate,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.os2.advocacy

DEFCON 88 <DEFC0N88@aol.com> writes:

1> Newsgroups:
rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.checkmate,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.os2.advocacy

1> GOT KELP . . .

What does your acquisition have to do with OS/2, shortwave
radio, amateur radio, or CB radio, DEFCON? KELP is a
commercial AM/FM (that is, not shortwave, amateur, or CB)
radio station in the El Paso, Texas, area.

3097. Re: get kelp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 00:58:40 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DDDA5C0-3274@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231024

Kelpboats are ah coming,,,,,, they are ah coming toniteeee,,,,,,
cuhulin

3098. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 01:03:51 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <dl9rt6h3hc3utj639njrqqtpo65vp4n1es@4ax.com>
Source record: 231025
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On Wed, 25 May 2011 20:01:54 -0400, "Scout"
<me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:

>> Most tax breaks though are in defining income. Obviously we want net
>> income, not gross income, as different businesses have different types
>> of expenses. The laws are very complex, often set up by people who
>> have paid congressmen for laws that help them. Going to a flat tax
>> won't change this.
>
>Sure it will, since with only one deduction there is nothing complex about
>the tax code, and nothing that can be done to help out such individuals or
>businesses to evade the tax owed.

That's where we seem to disagree most strongly. Defining what is a
legitimate expense is not simple. That means defining taxable income
is not simple.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

3099. DRM 'White Noise 17750~17760 kHz @ 2110 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 01:28:15 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d964cc78-6d82-4a14-87e4-a754b215407e@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231026

DRM 'White Noise 17750~17760 kHz @ 2110 UTC
on 25 Mayo 2011 : Centered on 17,755 kHz

S-Meter : Solid S9+10dB with SIO 505
and Very High Quality 'White Noise'
The Sound of Beautiful Noise around the Mondiale !

Short-Wave .Info Lists 17755 kHz as TDP
http://www.short-wave.info/?freq=17755
TDP "The Disco Palace" in DRM @ 2000-2100 UTC
Days : 1234567 [7-Days a Week] in English (Digital)
100 KW and AZM 311*
GUF Montsinery location 05N00 x 053W00 : TDPR all
.
New TDP Transmissions in DRM from Montsinery, Frn-Gui
towards East Coast of USA
http://alokeshgupta.blogspot.com/2010/02/new-tdp-transmissions-from-montsinery.html
-source- Alokesh Gupta Blog Spot .Com
http://bclnews.blogspot.com/2010/02/new-tdp-transmissions-from-montsinery.html
-source- BCL News Blog Spot .Com
.
TDP "The Disco Palace" in DRM
http://www.drmrx.org/forum/showthread.php?t=2297
.
Montsinéry in French Guiana
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Montsin%C3%A9ry-Tonnegrande
.
DRM = Digital Radio Mondiale
http://www.drm.org/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Digital_Radio_Mondiale
.
DRM 'White Noise' Broadcast Schedule
http://www.drm.org/broadcast_schedule
.
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3100. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 01:28:49 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irkacm$u6p$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231027
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
"John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irk7fd$d8u$3@dont-email.me...
> On 5/25/2011 5:06 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:irk1rg$9kn$6@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/25/2011 3:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:irjnoe$bmf$2@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put
>>>>>>>> this
>>>>>>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>>>>>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>>>>>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>>>>>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
>>>>>> say
>>>>>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to
>>>>>>> implement
>>>>>>> it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>>>>>>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
>>>>>> How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales
>>>>>> tax
>>>>>> or some amount in between?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of
>>>>>>> 42.7%
>>>>>>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
```

>>>>>>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in
>>>>>> those
>>>>>> brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in
>>>>>> that
>>>>>> scheme.
>>>>>> Those
>>>>>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to
>>>>>> have
>>>>>> any grasp of the basics.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just
>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>> the water comes from the well ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
>>>>>> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>>>>>> overwhelm the result.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned
>>>>> ...
>>>>
>>>> So much for sales tax then.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Once again, I really don't care how it is implemented,
>>
>> On the contrary, how it is implemented is vital if your notion is to
>> have any merit. If you don't know, specifically, what it is you want,
>> then how can anyone support it?
>>
>>> it just has to work out to a final flat tax on all the money earned
>>> ... make a dollar, pay the tax on the dollar, make a hundred, pay the
>>> tax time a hundred, make a thousand pay the tax times a thousand ...
>>> scalable in either direction.
>>>
>>> And, has been mentioned, no matter what system is finally chosen,
>>> crooks will ALWAYS attempt to escape paying their fair share ... as is
>>> happening with the rich elite today ...
>>

>> Please list for me the laws broken by all the rich elite today.
>>
>> ---->
>>
>>
>>
>
> They make 42.7% of the financial wealth and do not pay their fair share on
> that amount ... what was said at the very beginning ...

Yea, you've said it, but somehow I missed seeing you ever prove they do not pay their fair share. Indeed I seem to recall a recent post from you bemoaning the fact you can't find any such proof.

I also missed seeing where you posted a list of the laws you claim they have violated.

Indeed, looks like all you have are empty, unsupported and repetitive claims against the "wealthy" which you seem unable to produce any support for.

Maybe you should start posting some cites for your claims rather than simply repeating them over and over as if repetition will somehow make them true.

3101. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 01:30:23 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irkafjupq1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231028
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
"John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irk7j2$d8u$4@dont-email.me...
> On 5/25/2011 5:08 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>> news:irk2e6$m70$1@dont-email.me...
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:irk1rg$9kn$6@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 5/25/2011 3:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:irjnoe$bmf$2@dont-email.me...
>>>>>> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me
>>>>>>>>> put this
>>>>>>>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>>>>>>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way
>>>>>>>>> they
>>>>>>>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>>>>>>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally
>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> say
>>>>>>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to
>>>>>>>> implement
>>>>>>>> it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully
>>>>>>>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
>>>>>>> How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42%
>>>>>>> sales
```

>>>>>>> tax
>>>>>>> or some amount in between?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of
>>>>>>>> 42.7%
>>>>>>>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20%
>>>>>>>> at
>>>>>>>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in
>>>>>>> those
>>>>>>> brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved
>>>>>>> in that
>>>>>>> scheme.
>>>>>>> Those
>>>>>>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to
>>>>>>> have
>>>>>>> any grasp of the basics.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well,
>>>>>>>> just that
>>>>>>>> the water comes from the well ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you
>>>>>>> really
>>>>>>> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>>>>>>> overwhelm the result.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>
>>>>> So much for sales tax then.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Once again, I really don't care how it is implemented, it just has to
>>>> work out to a final flat tax on all the money earned ... make a
>>>> dollar, pay the tax on the dollar, make a hundred, pay the tax time a
>>>> hundred, make a thousand pay the tax times a thousand ... scalable in
>>>> either direction.
>>>>

>>>> And, has been mentioned, no matter what system is finally chosen,
>>>> crooks will ALWAYS attempt to escape paying their fair share ... as
>>>> is happening with the rich elite today ...
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>>>
>>>
>>> A simple solution for a complicated problem...and it's wrong.
>>>
>>> You need to equalize the BURDEN....You need to equalize the effect the
>>> tax has on the taxpayers life
>>
>> Seems to me that the flat tax proposed would do that better than any
>> other suggestion.
>>
>> What do you propose?
>>
>>
>
> That 1% of the richest pay 42.7% of total taxes, and that 20% (that
> includes the first 1%) pay 50.3% of ALL TAXES ...

Ok....show where they aren't doing so.

3102. Re: Federal blockade of Texas demonstrate financial terrorism of TSA!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 01:30:33 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <5rCdnb90A_egMEDQnZ2dnVY3go2dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231029

"John Smith" wrote in message news:irk77l$d8u$1@dont-email.me...

On 5/25/2011 5:11 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" wrote in message news:irk0li$9kn$3@dont-email.me...
>
>
>
> http://www.infowars.com/financial-terrorism-tsa-holds-texas-flights-hostage/
>
>
> You just can't make up shit like this!
>
> Regards,
> JS
>
>
> --
>
> --
>
>
> Let me start by saying that I think that almost ALL post-9/11 travel
> regulations are BS, and in reality do little to nothing to stop
> terrorists (have they actually CAUGHT ANYONE before they got on the
> plane??)
>
> That being said, the law applies equally to everyone. The Republic of
> Texas has no special privileges. I don't see that the TSA or DOJ are
> 'threatening' anyone, but pointing out the ramifications of the actions
> of the Texas legislature.
>
> If you refuse to be searched/patted down/molested before boarding a
> plane, you don't get to board. If Texas were to pass this law, making
> the pat searches/molestations illegal, then nobody could board a plane,
> so why have the planes there at all?

Well, touching someone is illegal, why are the TSA molesters in jail?

If they have special privileges, why don't pedophiles just sue for the
same privileges?

Everything about these actions are just plain WRONG!

Apparently you didn't notice the part where I agreed that these searches are wrong. However, the decision to allow them is based upon long precedence. There are many instances where your right to privacy is nullified and you must submit to pretty much any intrusion thereto. Most notably, of course, is a search at a jail or prison. Those go far beyond the TSA procedure in many instances. You are also subject to pat search and/or x-ray entering or leaving a military compound (this is not pushed as a rule, but it IS there as an option!) or US Embassy.

As it stands, the TSA procedures are law, whether we like it or not. As citizens and members of a larger society, it is upon us to obey the law until such time as it can be overturned by the courts or by congressional action. If the SCOTUS doesn't overturn it in the future, it is incumbent upon us as citizens to petition our senators and representatives to reverse it. Lacking that, we must elect senators and representatives that WILL.

3103. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 01:31:34 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irkahq$v3e$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231030
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

"John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irk7mk$d8u$5@dont-email.me...
> On 5/25/2011 5:19 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:irk47gppp5@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/25/2011 4:38 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> Paying the "same percentage" is not fair.
>>>>>
>>>>> The BURDEN is much less on the wealthy.
>>>>
>>>> The wealthy are paying most of the income tax burden. You wouldn't be
>>>> happy with any tax scheme that didn't penalize the wealthy and not
>>>> charge
>>>> you a dime.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> ...and why ...radio.shortwave...? more obsolete thinking!
>>>
>>> I cannot find a valid link showing what the 1% pay in actual money,
>>> actual dollars, and ACTUAL AMOUNT -- as compared to what the other 99%
>>> contribute, in a total amount.
>>
>> We accept your admission that there is no evidence to support a claim
>> that the wealthy are not paying their fair share.
>>
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>>
>
> Show me some figures which show they are paying an amount of ALL TAXES

Sorry, but it's your claim they are not paying their fair share, it's up to
YOU to support that claim.

So, no, I'm not doing your homework for you. You want to claim they aren't
paying their fair share, then it's up to you to prove that.

3104. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 01:33:19 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <29075c5d-3932-4b1e-ae68-19681a4c2ad5@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231031
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 25, 11:36 am, oldwifetale <oldwifet...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 25, 3:18 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 8:19 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > On May 24, 6:23 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > Here is some interesting tidbits of history I gathered while my head
> > > > was in the clouds!
>
> > > >http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/knights_templar_holy_l...
>
> > > >http://www.angelfire.com/journal2/ck15endtimecolumn/et9.html
>
> > > >http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/the_merovingian_bloodl...
>
> > > > Looks like the freemasons(Knights Templar)were in control of Russia
> > > > for a looong time.
>
> > > What ? ? ? Knights Templar were destryed by the Church several
> > > centuries before Russia became anything closely resembling a single-
> > > unified powerful state . Freemasons are not exactly a religious
> > > monastic order , but rather a secret society out of many that existed
> > > in Western Europe in the 18th and especially 19th century . Freemasons
> > > were outlawed in many countries sporadically and Imperial Russia
> > > certainly was one of them . The Bolsheviks annihilated anyone they
> > > considered as a threat ,especially the Freemasons . And that's the way
> > > it was back in those times .
>
> > They are one in the same the Knights Templar were soldiers of
> > fortune,sun worshippers,those those that refused to leave , hid under
> > the guise of European masons,they became the jesuits,that infiltrated
> > all governments and are in control of all religions,better know as the
> > FreeMasons because they set up shop in the New World and formed a
> > government,that declared freedom of religon as there number one
> > priority so they would not be driven off their land anymore because of
> > their worship.It is written by them in their Constitution of The

> > USA,which was dierctly fashioned after the Masons creed!
>
> > They keep the deception going,by not allowing it taught in the schools
> > and out of the media.To go against their Supreme Law of the Land used
> > to be called treason,
>
> Free Masons vs Slave Masons
>
> As in free "stone mason".
> (and as in free "architect")
> Dating back to ancient Egypt
> (and the "Ben-Ben Stone")
> and probably before *that*.
>
> Infiltration of *Free* Masons/Lodges
> Yes.
> Infiltration of "The Church"
> Yes.
> Infiltration of all major religious organizations.
> Yes.
> Infiltration of government.
> Yes.
> Infiltration of military.
> Yes.
> Infiltration of intelligence agencies.
> Yes.
> Infiltration of law enforcement agencies.
> Yes.
>
> But enough about *the present*.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

It is writen when they ring in their new Age of Aquarius on December
21,2012

Its going to be worse than the past!

3105. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 01:33:53 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irkam6$vl4$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231032
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irk824$d8u$9@dont-email.me...
> On 5/25/2011 4:50 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<win.court@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:irk1rg$9kn$6@dont-email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/25/2011 3:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith"<win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:irjnoebmf2@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put
>>>>>>>> this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the
>>>>>>>> top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
>>>>>>>> that way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>> government ..." - is either impossible to implement, or requires
>>>>>>>> a dictatorship. ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>> say
>>>>>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to
>>>>>>> implement it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can
>>>>>>> successfully accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be
>>>>>>> great.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to
>>>>>> work? How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or
>>>>>> 42% sales tax
>>>>>> or some amount in between?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of
>>>>>>> 42.7% of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And
>>>>>>> that 20% at the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>>>>

>>>>>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in
>>>>>> those brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in
>>>>>> that scheme.
>>>>>> Those
>>>>>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to
>>>>>> have any grasp of the basics.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just
>>>>>>> that the water comes from the well ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you
>>>>>> really should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would
>>>>>> completely overwhelm the result.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned
>>>>> ...
>>>>
>>>> So much for sales tax then.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Once again, I really don't care how it is implemented, it just has to
>>> work out to a final flat tax on all the money earned ... make a
>>> dollar, pay the tax on the dollar, make a hundred, pay the tax time a
>>> hundred, make a thousand pay the tax times a thousand ... scalable in
>>> either direction.
>>
>> That is, basically, what my flat proposal is. You, however, have been
>> ranting about making the rich pay a hundred times more than the poor guy
>> or schemes which know whether you are in the top 1% or top 20% at the
>> time you buy something.
>>
>>> And, has been mentioned, no matter what system is finally chosen,
>>> crooks will ALWAYS attempt to escape paying their fair share ... as is
>>> happening with the rich elite today ...
>>
>> Nothing you have mentioned will change any of that.
>>
>>
>
> You are entitled to your personal opinions and rants, since we both accept

> that, and since you have offered nothing to back them up ... again, plonk
> ...

Now that's the pot calling the kettle black.

What have you offered to back up your personal opinions and rants?

I will simply note that even in this thread, he has posted more evidence to support his opinion than you have yours.

3106. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 01:35:44 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irkapl$g5$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231033
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:irk87t$jln$1@dont-email.me...
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF0A955CAB33hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irjvk7$5vm$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>
>>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>>
>>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of
>>> who
>>>>>>>>>>>>> pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If you
>>>>>>>>>>>>> want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the
>>>>>>>>>>>>> government then your right. I know of no place that compiles
>>>>>>>>>>>>> that data. ...
>>>>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I
>>> need
>>>>>>>>>>>> them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
```

>>>>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you. Which
>>>>>>>>>>> explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ...
>>>>>>>>>> you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can, from
>>>>>>>>>> the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>>>> ï¿½ May 15, 2011
>>>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the check,
>>>>>>>>> but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies the cause
>>>>>>>>> of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization that poisons
>>>>>>>>> our political process. But to understand that problem, we must
>>>>>>>>> consider how taxes are applied to the production of goods and
>>>>>>>>> services.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes based
>>>>>>>>> on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm and
>>>>>>>>> equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just another
>>>>>>>>> cost of doing business in the course of earning his living, no
>>>>>>>>> different from fuel for his tractor or wages and taxes for

>>>>>>>>> employees.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and taxes,
>>>>>>>>> the price they charge the miller must cover their expenses and
>>>>>>>>> taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and savings.
>>>>>>>>> Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat. All of these
>>>>>>>>> costs and taxes were passed on to the miller, embedded in the
>>>>>>>>> price of wheat.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat to
>>>>>>>>> the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security taxes
>> he
>>>>>>>>> withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes, were all
>>>>>>>>> passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with
>>>>>>>>> it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those
>>>>>>>>> previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees,
>> hidden
>>>>>>>>> in the price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his family ate
>>>>>>>>> the bread, and, having done so, could not sell it to anyone else
>>>>>>>>> and pass the taxes along, as the baker and everyone else before
>>>>>>>>> had done.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with the
>>>>>>>>> farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they withheld
>>>>>>>>> from all of their employees. From bread to automobiles to brain
>>>>>>>>> surgery, the price of everything we buy carries in it the hidden
>>>>>>>>> taxes of everyone who contributed to the production of that
>>>>>>>>> product or service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents of every
>>>>>>>>> dollar we spend for federal taxes alone.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a
>>>>>>>>> scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and
>> unknowing
>>>>>>>>> tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to keep voters
>>>>>>>>> from realizing who really bears the burden of those high taxes.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
>>>>>>>>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually
>>>>>>>>> paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services.
>>>>>>>>> It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket,
>>>>>>>>> or what deductions you receive. These devices change only the
>>>>>>>>> degree to which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes
>>>>>>>>> place on your life depends solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm. First,
>>>>>>>>> it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services that are
>>>>>>>>> provided by highly taxed individuals and companies, like health

>>>>>>>>> care, are artificially more expensive than necessary, while raw
>>>>>>>>> materials and natural resources are underpriced, leading to
>>>>>>>>> overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political process,
>>>>>>>>> encouraging government overspending by politicians who exploit
>> the
>>>>>>>>> mistaken belief of many voters that government spending can be
>>>>>>>>> paid for solely by taxing corporations or the "rich." All of the
>>>>>>>>> exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which brings so much ill
>>>>>>>>> will to our politics and drives wedges between Americans who
>> would
>>>>>>>>> be better served by mutual respect and compassion - is ultimately
>>>>>>>>> the meaningless exploitation of a lie.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
>> appears
>>>>>>>>> progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive. Currently,
>>>>>>>>> the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay no income tax
>>>>>>>>> directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes added to the
>>>>>>>>> hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax burden is almost 30
>>>>>>>>> percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through
>> an
>>>>>>>>> invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He
>> is
>>>>>>>>> a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as Mr.
>>>>>>>> B.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B
>>>>>>>> gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>

>>>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the
>> rich
>>>>>> not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so.
>> The
>>>>> best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same percentage
>>>>> without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>>>
>>>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>>>>
>>>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and why.
>>>>
>>>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Paying the "same percentage" is not fair.
>>>
>>> The BURDEN is much less on the wealthy.
>>
>> The wealthy are paying most of the income tax burden. You wouldn't be
>> happy with any tax scheme that didn't penalize the wealthy and not charge
>> you a dime.
>>
> .
> .
> They pay the aggregate of most of the money and it has far less impact on
> their lives than the ordinary working American.
> To that extent it's unfair.

Well, SOB, you mean there's actually an advantage to getting ahead?

If there wasn't, then why would people work their asses off to do so?

Looks to me like you're simply pissed because you're poor and they're not.

3107. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 01:41:21 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irkb46$25q$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231034
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"Howard Brazee" <howard@brazee.net> wrote in message
news:dl9rt6h3hc3utj639njrqqtpo65vp4n1es@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2011 20:01:54 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>> Most tax breaks though are in defining income. Obviously we want net
>>> income, not gross income, as different businesses have different types
>>> of expenses. The laws are very complex, often set up by people who
>>> have paid congressmen for laws that help them. Going to a flat tax
>>> won't change this.
>>
>>Sure it will, since with only one deduction there is nothing complex about
>>the tax code, and nothing that can be done to help out such individuals or
>>businesses to evade the tax owed.
>
> That's where we seem to disagree most strongly. Defining what is a
> legitimate expense is not simple.

What does "legitimate expense" have to do with anything?

Are you suggesting we have more than one deduction?

Doesn't that simply impose the complexity you claim we need to avoid, and
allow loopholes and special 'favors' that you claim we need to eliminate?

> That means defining taxable income
> is not simple.

Actually it's very simple. Any income above the poverty level is taxable
income.

No legitimate expenses, not excepts, no exclusions, no other deductions. You
make X dollars above the poverty level then all 100% of $X is taxable. You
are allowed ONE, and only ONE, deduction. The first $(poverty level) is
deducted from your taxable income.

I don't understand why you are attempting to make something so simple into
something complex.

3108. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 01:43:04 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DDDB028-10325@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231036

Don't worry, be Happy.Fire and Brimstone.From Dust to Dust, from Ashes to Ashes.The Future is the Past, the Past is the Future.Que Sara Sara.The World is a Stage and we are all little Actors.As the World Turns.These are the Days of our Lives.

Energetic sex? What the heck is sex?
cuhulin

3109. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 01:43:48 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d565b0d80@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231035
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 25, 4:14 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> joeturn wrote innews:0cbd54d0-7d51-4b95-bb28-4fcd9be2fac0@e13g2000vbo.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 1:38 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> >> joeturn wrote
> >> innews:430ba353-1188-448b-800b-55df
> > 3da85...@l26g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 23, 7:45 pm, RD Sandman
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> joeturn wrote
> >> >> innews:1ec3f976-11ec-4715-a07c-bc6e
> >> > e943a...@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > On May 23, 9:32 am, BDK wrote:
> >> >> >> In article <923332eb-bef3-4587-9370-
> >> >> >> 79b77643c...@y31g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>,
> >> >> >> joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >> >> >> > On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> > > "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>
> >> >> >> > >news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googleg
> >> >> >> > >rou ps. com
> >> >> > ...
>
> >> >> >> > > > On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn
> >> >> >> > > > wrote:
> >> >> >> > > >> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>
> >> >> >> > > >> wrote:
> >> >> >> > > >> > "John Smith" wrote in message
>
> >> >> >> > > >> >news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>
```

> >> >> >> > > >> > >> "John Smith" wrote in
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> message
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> ...
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> should acce
> >> >> > pt your
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> denial of photographic
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> evidence is noted.
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> don't matc
> >> >> > h your
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> claims.
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> until you
> >> >> > deal
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> with
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> it ...
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> ignorance and
> >> >> > that
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> you
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> need to do something about it, but really you
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> shouldn't be ta
> >> >> > lking
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> to
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> yourself in public, makes people question your
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> sanity even fu
> >> >> > rther.
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> I do i
> >> >> > s repeat
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> the
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> parrot that is
> >> >> > so
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> ignorant
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> process of falling
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> his exper
> >> >> > ts

> >> >> >> > > >> > >> might not
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> enough to bu
> >> >> > y their
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> about by your
> >> >> > own
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> admission.
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> Which means you're really not the right person to
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> be trying t
> >> >> > o talk
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> about
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> this stuff then are you?
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> since maybe t
> >> >> > hey
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> might
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> actually know what they're talking about rather
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> than simply m
> >> >> > outhing
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> the
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> support, a
> >> >> > nd
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> can't
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> mouthpiece b
> >> >> > y your
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> own
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> admission, is there any further reason anyone
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> should listen t
> >> >> > o you
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> for
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> even a second?
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > > I think your post is more about you than me, why
> >> >> >> > > >> > > don't you ask
> >> >> > others
> >> >> >> > > >> > > if
> >> >> >> > > >> > > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you
> >> >> >> > > >> > > are, or the
> >> >> > y are
> >> >> >> > > >> > > fooled
> >> >> >> > > >> > > into thinking you are offering valid debate,

> >> >> >> > > >> > > analysis, facts,
> >> >> > etc.?
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.-
> >> >> >> > > >> > Hide quot
> >> >> > ed
> >> >> >> > > >> > text -
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > - Show quoted text -
>
> >> >> >> > > >> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the
> >> >> >> > > >> pentagram.
>
> >> >> >> > > > What missile attack, insane nut job?
>
> >> >> >> > > Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert"
> >> >> >> > > analysis of th
> >> >> > e
> >> >> >> > > frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the
> >> >> >> > > plane crashin
> >> >> > g into
> >> >> >> > > the side of the Pentagon.
>
> >> >> >> > > Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even
> >> >> >> > > consider is
> >> >> > that
> >> >> >> > > even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly
> >> >> >> > > preclude a mis
> >> >> > sile
> >> >> >> > > given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to
> >> >> >> > > the 5 sto
> >> >> > ries of
> >> >> >> > > the Pentagon.
>
> >> >> >> > > But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile,
> >> >> >> > > and that'
> >> >> > s good
> >> >> >> > > enough "proof" for Joe.
>
> >> >> >> > >> Which
> >> >> >> > >> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>
> >> >> >> > > > When did missiles hit it?
>
> >> >> >> > > I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>
> >> >> >> > > >> Three missiles are were
> >> >> >> > > >> recorded in the same FBI release,
>
> >> >> >> > > > Show us, insnae nut job.

>
> >> >> >> > > Yea, one "missile" per frame.
>
> >> >> >> > > >> Well the remnates of the first one is
> >> >> >> > > >> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you
> >> >> >> > > >> can see the next two in motion!
>
> >> >> >> > > > Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>
> >> >> >> > > "It is too late for that"
>
> >> >> >> > > Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> >> >> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> >> >> >> > But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will
> >> >> >> > only contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>
> >> >> >> > Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket
> >> >> >> > plume!
>
> >> >> >> No, it takes some serious mental problems, serious drug abuse,
> >> >> >> or a combo of both. What is it with you?
>
> >> >> >> --
> >> >> >> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years
> >> >> >> running!- Hide qu
> >> >> > oted text -
>
> >> >> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> >> >> > I blame it on my IQ of 145.
>
> >> >> Methinks you are off by about a 100.
>
> >> >> --
> >> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> >> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> >> > Thats because you are not capable of thinking.Show us what you got!
>
> >> >http://ig.gmodules.com/gadgets/ifr?view=home&url=http://wildfire.gig
> > ya.
> >> > com/wildfire/StpProvider.aspx%3Fut%3DAg8AAAADAAYAAFUASQBEABIAADIAMwA
> >> > 2AD
> >> > QAMQA0ADIAOAAxAAYAAEkASQBEABAAADMAMQA0ADQANAAxADkAMQAEAABuAHQADgAAaQ

> >> > BHA G8AbwBnAGwAZQA%3D&nocache=0&lang=en&country=us&.lang=en&.countr
> > y=us&syn
> >> > d=ig&mid=13&ifpctok=-2948449179267058375&exp_split_js=1&exp_tra
> > ck_js=1&
> >> > exp_new_js_flags=1&exp_ids=17259,300586&parent=http://www.google.
> > com&re
> >> > fresh=3600&libs=core:core.io:core.iglegacy:auth-refresh&is_signedin
> > =1
>
> >> I'm not the one boasting.
>
> >> --
> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have made
> > that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the test,while
> > not being over-medicated
> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score? I
think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is average
for the majority.

3110. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:09:22 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0E164F5E56Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231037
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov> wrote in news:4ddd77ee$0$28724
$607ed4bc@cv.net:

> Gray Ghost wrote:
>
>> Dave LaRue <TLavitz@bass.gov> wrote in news:4ddd70a9$0$9061
>> $607ed4bc@cv.net:
>>
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>>>>email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>...
>>>>>>>You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put
>>
>> this
>>
>>>>>>>more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
>>>>>>>percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
>>>>>>>will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
>>>>>>>either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
>>>>>>>...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
>>>>>
>>>>>say
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to
implement
>>>>>it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully

>>>>>>accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
>>>>>How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales
>>
>> tax
>>
>>>>>or some amount in between?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of
42.7%
>>>>>>of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
>>>>>>the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in
>>
>> those
>>
>>>>>brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in
>>
>> that
>>
>>>>>scheme.
>>>>> Those
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to
have
>>>>>any grasp of the basics.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just
>>
>> that
>>
>>>>>>the water comes from the well ...
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>>If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
>>>>>should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>>>>>overwhelm the result.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned ...
>>>>
>>>>I said crooks will always attempt to avoid this.
>>>
>>>I have no real problem with a "luxury" tax, too.
>>>Cell Phones for instance? Noop
>>> Cell phones with camera's all the "apps?" Yup.
>>>Basic 19" TV's? Noop.
>>>Large screen LED/LCD things that cover an entire wall? Yup.
>>> Chevy's? Noop. They are already sorry for buying them.
>>> Cadillac's, BMW's, Mercedes' and the like? Yup.
>
>> Do the words "equal protection" mean ANYTHING to you?
>
> Indeed I do understand.
> What's that got to do with a luxury tax?
>
>> I fail to see anything in there about income levels determing anything.
>
> I wasn't talking about income levels.
>
> I was talking more about a "fair sales Luxury tax", that keeps, or
> rather helps keep in check, the "truly poor" from buying said items
> whilst they are on welfare and food stamps, like they do now as they
> fool the system.
>
> Most wealthy people could care less what they pay for anything.
> They already want and buy the biggest and baddest, and buy the first
> models and prototypes just because it's there!
> THEY bring the costs down when they finally go into major production!
>
> Cost means NOTHING to them!
>
> But poor slobs don't need, nor want them, until the items or tech
> becomes more afforable.
>
> Again, thank us, the rich that bring these items to the masses at a
> lower cost, to the poor!
>
> So again, don't tax our (the rich) incomes, tax SAID ITEMS that even the
> poor choose to buy, instead of the food they need.

So a tax that would only apply to a fairly narrow segment of the public is
equal?

Oh and by the way, luxury taxes are most felt by the middle class who actually make the luxury items. And then the rich just keep on doing what they do. Ask John Kerry, who BTW was in Vietnam, you know.

Check the status of American boat builders. If you can find one. Who do you think suffered? The middle class artisans. Brilliant.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3111. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:09:56 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0E17D863E2Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231038
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF095E0F81C4hopewell@216.196.121.131:

> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF0A81894A65Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF07D262B9F1hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> news:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com
>>> :
>>>
>>>> On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>>> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
>>>> s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>> > wrote:
>>>>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>>>> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>>>>> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>>> > wrote:
>>>>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>>>>>> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>> >> > wrote:
>>>>>> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>

>>>>> >> >> >> >> ...
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>> >> >> >> innuendo you
>>>>> >> >> keep
>>>>> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>>>> >> >> >> 42% of all
>>>>> >> >> of
>>>>> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
>>>>> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
>>>>> >> >> fair
>>>>> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> >> Regards,
>>>>> >> >> >> JS
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>>>>
>>>>> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>>>>
>>>>> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>>>>
>>>>> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>>>>
>>>>> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>>>> from the income tax.
>>>
>>> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>>
>>> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>>> tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax
>>>> as the method of funding government. If you fully understand the
>>>> FairTax you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>>
>>> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
>>> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
>>> gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger
>>> percentage of their income on those taxes than the wealthy.

>>>
>>>>> &#65533; The FairTax is a replacement
>>>>>
>>>>> > for the income tax.
>>>>>
>>>>> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
>>>> better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
>>>> leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in
>>>> place as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls
>>>> of our current tax system.
>>>
>>> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
>>> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level
>>> wages for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or
>>> exemption if you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a
>>> postcard.
>>>
>>>>> &#65533;It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>>>>
>>>>> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>>>>
>>>>> You may think so. &#65533;I don't. &#65533;I think it needs too many adjustments
>>>>> so that it does not become regressive.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>>>>
>>>> Current tax system:
>>>>
>>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>>> IRS takes 25%: $250.
>>>> Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
>>>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>>>> Taxpayer has $620 left.
>>>>
>>>> Fairtax system:
>>>>
>>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>>>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>>>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>>>> Taxpayer has $870 left.
>>>>
>>>> I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>>>>
>>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.

>>>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>>>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>>>> Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
>>>> Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
>>>> Taxpayer has $900 left.
>>>>
>>>> So, again, how is that regressive.
>>>
>>> Same taxpayer.....buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for
>>> them. Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of
>>> groceries...pays $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger
>>> percentage of their income on a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we
>>> will not pay that tax on groceries....oooops, you just generated an
>>> exception.
>>>
>>>> Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
>>>> questions you might have:
>>>>
>>>> 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
>>>> particular attention to the FAQ.
>>>> 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>>>> 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>>>>
>>>> It will all become crystal clear.
>>>
>>> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for
>>> years. With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the
>>> current system. Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the
>>> rich as poor poeple would never buy luxury taxed items. How did that
>>> work out?
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Ask John Kerry.
>>
>
> YOu mean after claiming everyone should pay their fair burden, he moors
> his yacht where the taxes are much less than if he moors it at home?
>

Indeed.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

3112. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:11:06 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DDDB6BA-10335@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231044

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Moles+alerted+investigator+of+planted+birth+certificate+before+release
cuhulin

3113. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:13:38 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0E21E26B12Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231039
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF0986C7911Dhopewell@216.196.121.131:

> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF0AAF5845E6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>> news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irgufi$l7$7@dont-
>>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks like the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> top 19% are not paying half of governments costs, until

>>>>>>>>>>>>> that happens they are NOT paying their fair share ... a
>>>>>>>>>>>>> flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>>>>> advocate
>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7%
>>>>>>>>>>> sales
>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales
>>>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>>>> government
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their
>>>>>>>>>> fair
>>>>>>>> share
>>>>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go
>>>>>>>> through
>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to catch
>>>>>>> on ... I was wrong.
>>>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all income
>>>>>> not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it here in this
>>>>>> thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is really quite
>>>>>> simply and quite short so you should have no problem understanding
>>>>>> it. ;)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't
>>>>>> flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever
>>>>>> was purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the real
>>>>>> world.
>>>>>

>>>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion began,
>>>>> or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person B
>>>> buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes
>>>>
>>>> What's more fair than that?
>>>>
>>>> Same product, same taxes paid.
>>>>
>>>> Fair.
>>>
>>> Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal
>>> poverty level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on amount
>>> over federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable income paid.
>>> Fair.
>>>
>>> The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about food or
>>> necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list exemptions....and
>>> the list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and Cher......
>>>
>>>
>>
>> The real problem is...
>>
>> First you have to decide how much the government needs to funtion.
>
> That is true under any taxing scheme.
>>
>> To do that you have to decide what the government should be doing.
>
> Same here and that is most of the discussion and difference between
> liberals and conservatives.
>
>> I think rather than discussing camoflaging how the feds fleece the
>> taxpayer those questions really need to be answered.
>
> Yep, but, good luck. Those discussions have been going on for two
> hundred years. ;)
>
>> I am of the opinion that taxes overall hurt the economy by taking
>> people's hard earned money. I don't care if you are the bus boy or the
>> owner of the chain. You earened it, it's yours.
>
> However, one does get things from having a government.
>
>> Overall if the bite is reasonably low than whatever negative effects
>> it has are mitigated. But the only really effective way to increase
>> government revenues is to have a going, expanding economy. That way

>> whatever "protection" money the government extorts from the people can
>> increase without increasing the percentage that it takes.
>
> True.
>
>> Of course that would require a complete ovrehaul of most federal
>> policies and the expulsion of Marxists and enviromentalists.
>>
>> One would have to stop viewing tax policy as a method of molding
>> people's behavior and relegate to the neccessary evil it is.
>>
>> Frankly I have yet to hear anyone explain to me how we can tax out way
>> out of the current crisis wherein the debt equals the GDP and is
>> likely to double in 8 years. There is simply no possible way to do it
>> without removing so much wealth from the private sector as to
>> thorougly tank the economy, which will in turn make the problem
>> immeasurably worse.
>
> To get out of this will require BOTH taxes and spending cuts. Doing just
> one or the other won't do it.

Well, I disagree on this. The leech class has been feasting on the middle
calss for a long time. I think it's time the middle class got a break and
the leeches were starved.

Given the amount of debt that we are discussing the only things that will
work are reducing spending, reducing taxes and regulation so the economy
can come back and then the expanding economy will pay it down. Provided the
leech class doesn't restart spending.

>
>> The truth of this must be recognized and those that ignore the
>> question should in turn be ignored. They are greedy, twisted people
>> that want to punish the successful, get thier government cheese and
>> then ignore the calamity for our children and grand children. And us.
>> They imagine somehow the beast will continue feeding enough to support
>> them, in spite of what the vampirism does to the country as a whole.
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

3114. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:14:49 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0E2518371EWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231040
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131:

> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of who
>>>>>>>>> pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If you
>>>>>>>>> want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the
>>>>>>>>> government then your right. I know of no place that compiles
>>>>>>>>> that data. ...
>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I need
>>>>>>>> them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you. Which
>>>>>>>> explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ...
>>>>>>> you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can, from
>>>>>>> the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>

>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>> &#65533; May 15, 2011
>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the check,
>>>>>> but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies the cause
>>>>>> of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization that poisons
>>>>>> our political process. But to understand that problem, we must
>>>>>> consider how taxes are applied to the production of goods and
>>>>>> services.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes based
>>>>>> on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm and
>>>>>> equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just another
>>>>>> cost of doing business in the course of earning his living, no
>>>>>> different from fuel for his tractor or wages and taxes for
>>>>>> employees.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and taxes,
>>>>>> the price they charge the miller must cover their expenses and
>>>>>> taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and savings.
>>>>>> Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat. All of these
>>>>>> costs and taxes were passed on to the miller, embedded in the
>>>>>> price of wheat.

>>>>>>
>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat to
>>>>>> the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security taxes he
>>>>>> withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes, were all
>>>>>> passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with
>>>>>> it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those
>>>>>> previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees, hidden
>>>>>> in the price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his family ate
>>>>>> the bread, and, having done so, could not sell it to anyone else
>>>>>> and pass the taxes along, as the baker and everyone else before
>>>>>> had done.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with the
>>>>>> farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they withheld
>>>>>> from all of their employees. From bread to automobiles to brain
>>>>>> surgery, the price of everything we buy carries in it the hidden
>>>>>> taxes of everyone who contributed to the production of that
>>>>>> product or service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents of every
>>>>>> dollar we spend for federal taxes alone.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a
>>>>>> scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and unknowing
>>>>>> tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to keep voters
>>>>>> from realizing who really bears the burden of those high taxes.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
>>>>>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually
>>>>>> paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services.
>>>>>> It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket,
>>>>>> or what deductions you receive. These devices change only the
>>>>>> degree to which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes
>>>>>> place on your life depends solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm. First,
>>>>>> it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services that are
>>>>>> provided by highly taxed individuals and companies, like health
>>>>>> care, are artificially more expensive than necessary, while raw
>>>>>> materials and natural resources are underpriced, leading to
>>>>>> overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political process,
>>>>>> encouraging government overspending by politicians who exploit the
>>>>>> mistaken belief of many voters that government spending can be
>>>>>> paid for solely by taxing corporations or the "rich." All of the
>>>>>> exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which brings so much ill
>>>>>> will to our politics and drives wedges between Americans who would

>>>>>> be better served by mutual respect and compassion - is ultimately
>>>>>> the meaningless exploitation of a lie.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates, appears
>>>>>> progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive. Currently,
>>>>>> the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay no income tax
>>>>>> directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes added to the
>>>>>> hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax burden is almost 30
>>>>>> percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through an
>>>>>> invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He is
>>>>>> a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>
>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>
>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as Mr.
>>>> B.
>>>>
>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>
>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>
>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B
>>>> gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>
>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the rich
>>> not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>
>>
>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so. The
>> best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same percentage
>> without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>
> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.

Indeed and I like it.

>
>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and why.
>

> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.

Yes, but if that question is not answered we will never solve the problem.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3115. Re: Federal blockade of Texas demonstrate financial terrorism of TSA!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:20:15 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irkdcvcia1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231041

On 5/25/2011 6:30 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" wrote in message news:irk77l$d8u$1@dont-email.me...
>
> On 5/25/2011 5:11 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" wrote in message news:irk0li$9kn$3@dont-email.me...
>>
>>
>>
>> http://www.infowars.com/financial-terrorism-tsa-holds-texas-flights-hostage/
>>
>>
>>
>> You just can't make up shit like this!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>>
>>
>> --
>>
>>
>> --
>>
>>
>>
>> Let me start by saying that I think that almost ALL post-9/11 travel
>> regulations are BS, and in reality do little to nothing to stop
>> terrorists (have they actually CAUGHT ANYONE before they got on the
>> plane??)
>>
>> That being said, the law applies equally to everyone. The Republic of
>> Texas has no special privileges. I don't see that the TSA or DOJ are
>> 'threatening' anyone, but pointing out the ramifications of the actions
>> of the Texas legislature.
>>
>> If you refuse to be searched/patted down/molested before boarding a
>> plane, you don't get to board. If Texas were to pass this law, making
>> the pat searches/molestations illegal, then nobody could board a plane,
>> so why have the planes there at all?
>

> Well, touching someone is illegal, why are the TSA molesters in jail?
>
> If they have special privileges, why don't pedophiles just sue for the
> same privileges?
>
> Everything about these actions are just plain WRONG!
>
>
> ---
>
> ---
>
>
> Apparently you didn't notice the part where I agreed that these searches
> are wrong. However, the decision to allow them is based upon long
> precedence. There are many instances where your right to privacy is
> nullified and you must submit to pretty much any intrusion thereto. Most
> notably, of course, is a search at a jail or prison. Those go far beyond
> the TSA procedure in many instances. You are also subject to pat search
> and/or x-ray entering or leaving a military compound (this is not pushed
> as a rule, but it IS there as an option!) or US Embassy.
>
> As it stands, the TSA procedures are law, whether we like it or not. As
> citizens and members of a larger society, it is upon us to obey the law
> until such time as it can be overturned by the courts or by
> congressional action. If the SCOTUS doesn't overturn it in the future,
> it is incumbent upon us as citizens to petition our senators and
> representatives to reverse it. Lacking that, we must elect senators and
> representatives that WILL.

Actually, when the law was right, it was proper to obey it, it is no
longer that way. Some of us have become revolutionaries, and worse ...

But, I have not broken the law as badly as my government, so it is easy
for me rationalize.

Regards,
JS

3116. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:23:31 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0E3CAD5E7Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231042
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF098DB21154hopewell@216.196.121.131:

> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF0ABBAC2BB7Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF080A6B51E5hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com> wrote in
>>> news:c3320493-53c4-474c-b720-3f6944f4ad00
> @r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>> On May 24, 10:45 am, gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> On May 24, 12:07 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> > On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> > > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> > >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> > >> > >> ...
>>>>>
>>>>> > >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>>>>> > >> you k
>>>> eep
>>>>> > >> attempting to push?
>>>>>
>>>>> > >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>>>>> > >> of al
>>>> l of
>>>>> > >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>> > >> paying ha
>>>> lf
>>>>> > >> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying

>>>>> > >> their f
>>>> air
>>>>> > >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>
>>>>> > >> Regards,
>>>>> > >> JS
>>>>> > > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>
>>>>> > > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
> advocate
>>>>> > > i
>>>> s
>>>>> > > the FairTax.
>>>>>
>>>>> > Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
>>>>> > tax
>>>> ,
>>>>> > the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
>>>>> > that way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>> > government ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Impossible to implement.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> It *might* be possible to implement - in a totalitarian state. The
>>>> government would have to always know what your worth (in terms of
>>>> wealth) is at all times, and exactly what you purchase throughout the
>>>> year, and when.
>>>>
>>>> Yikes.
>>>>
>>>> I suspect that such a system would encourage a black market or two.
>>>
>>> And a MASSIVE tracking system on the income status of over 300 million
>>> people. Think BIG BROTHER in real time.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Isn't that what we are trying to get away from? I don't much like the
>> government reviewing my personal financial information. Privacy and all
>> that.
>>
>
> Then a sales tax that proportions its charges on income is not the
> answer.
>

Precisely. That is about the most insane idea I've ever heard. You would have to have real time data on how much everyone is earning so that if and when you crossed over into another bracket the tax could be adjusted.

Not only that, would the sales tax increase throughout the year as your income increased? Maybe base it on last year's earnings? Great, so you ake a million one year and then get laid off and then you're still paying that 42.7% tax.

And speaking of privacy, the amount of sales tax collected would be a dead give away of you income bracket, letting every sales clerk know at least the range of your income! And everyone standing in line, too.

Not to mention the nightmare of the software and databases that would have to be maintained realtime on every transaction and all earner's income. And let's not talk about the security issues with that kind of information circulating.

John Smith is a brain damaged dolt who should be confined for his own good and society's. He's also a nym changing asshole. There are 3 entries in my kill file for him already.

Get it john? I don't care what you say or think, you're an idiot!!

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3117. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:24:33 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF0E3F7C1F02Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231043
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irjvk7$5vm$1@dont-email.me:

>
> Paying the "same percentage" is not fair.
>
> The BURDEN is much less on the wealthy.
>
>

Ever hear of "equal protection"?

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3118. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:27:07 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irkdpqcia2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231045
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

On 5/25/2011 7:23 PM, Gray Ghost wrote:
> RD Sandman<rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF098DB21154hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> Gray Ghost<grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF0ABBAC2BB7Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> RD Sandman<rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF080A6B51E5hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>
>>>> "r_c_brown@hushmail.com"<r_c_brown@hushmail.com> wrote in
>>>> news:c3320493-53c4-474c-b720-3f6944f4ad00
>> @r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>>> On May 24, 10:45 am, gfn<gfn...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On May 24, 12:07 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>>>>>>>> you k
>>>>> eep
>>>>>>>> attempting to push?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>>>>>>>> of al
>>>>> l of
>>>>>>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>>>>> paying ha
>>>>> lf

>>>>>>>>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying
>>>>>>>>> their f
>>>>> air
>>>>>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>> advocate
>>>>>>>>> i
>>>>> s
>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
>>>>>>>> tax
>>>>> ,
>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
>>>>>>>> that way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>> government ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Impossible to implement.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> It *might* be possible to implement - in a totalitarian state. The
>>>>> government would have to always know what your worth (in terms of
>>>>> wealth) is at all times, and exactly what you purchase throughout the
>>>>> year, and when.
>>>>>
>>>>> Yikes.
>>>>>
>>>>> I suspect that such a system would encourage a black market or two.
>>>>
>>>> And a MASSIVE tracking system on the income status of over 300 million
>>>> people. Think BIG BROTHER in real time.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Isn't that what we are trying to get away from? I don't much like the
>>> government reviewing my personal financial information. Privacy and all
>>> that.
>>>
>>
>> Then a sales tax that proportions its charges on income is not the
>> answer.
>>
>
> Precisely. That is about the most insane idea I've ever heard. You would

> have to have real time data on how much everyone is earning so that if and
> when you crossed over into another bracket the tax could be adjusted.
>
> Not only that, would the sales tax increase throughout the year as your
> income increased? Maybe base it on last year's earnings? Great, so you ake
> a million one year and then get laid off and then you're still paying that
> 42.7% tax.
>
> And speaking of privacy, the amount of sales tax collected would be a dead
> give away of you income bracket, letting every sales clerk know at least
> the range of your income! And everyone standing in line, too.
>
> Not to mention the nightmare of the software and databases that would have
> to be maintained realtime on every transaction and all earner's income. And
> let's not talk about the security issues with that kind of information
> circulating.
>
> John Smith is a brain damaged dolt who should be confined for his own good
> and society's. He's also a nym changing asshole. There are 3 entries in my
> kill file for him already.
>
> Get it john? I don't care what you say or think, you're an idiot!!
>

Yeah, isn't that what I also told you, but not is so specific of verbiage?

Please, let me correct that!:

You can go fuck yourself, or one of your homo-sucks-you-all buddies ...
I just don't care.

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

3119. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:28:48 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <26ca5478-54b9-401d-a9b8-298a3c7fd618@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 25, 8:38 am, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:

> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM, Iarnrod proved:
> > On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
> >> Mighty Iarnrod proved:
> >>> Yes, he would have been
> >> No,
> > > Yes, he would have been.
> No,

Yes, he would have been.

3120. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:29:41 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irkdulcia3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231047
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On 5/25/2011 6:43 PM, joeturn wrote:

> ...
> You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score? I
> think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is average
> for the majority.

3121. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:39:30 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irkeh2cia5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231048
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/25/2011 6:31 PM, Scout wrote:
>
>
> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:irk7mk$d8u$5@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/25/2011 5:19 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:irk47g$ppp$5@dont-email.me...
>>>> On 5/25/2011 4:38 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> Paying the "same percentage" is not fair.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The BURDEN is much less on the wealthy.
>>>>>
>>>>> The wealthy are paying most of the income tax burden. You wouldn't be
>>>>> happy with any tax scheme that didn't penalize the wealthy and not
>>>>> charge
>>>>> you a dime.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> ...and why ...radio.shortwave...? more obsolete thinking!
>>>>
>>>> I cannot find a valid link showing what the 1% pay in actual money,
>>>> actual dollars, and ACTUAL AMOUNT -- as compared to what the other 99%
>>>> contribute, in a total amount.
>>>
>>> We accept your admission that there is no evidence to support a claim
>>> that the wealthy are not paying their fair share.
>>>
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Show me some figures which show they are paying an amount of ALL TAXES
>
>
> Sorry, but it's your claim they are not paying their fair share, it's up
> to YOU to support that claim.
>
```

> So, no, I'm not doing your homework for you. You want to claim they
> aren't paying their fair share, then it's up to you to prove that.
>
>
>

You can't do the homework for me, because what I say is true.

I can't be proven wrong with credible data, there isn't any ... there is good reason for that ... the crooks don't want you to know, and they are paying the government to keep the figures in the shadows to disallow a fair accounting.

Really, it is quite apparent for all to see, you just have to put it together ... and people are.

Regards,
JS

3122. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:41:59 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <615a45b1-9601-4352-b86c-e8a65fbd9b3b@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231049
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On May 25, 8:29 pm, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/25/2011 6:43 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score? I
> > think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is average
> > for the majority.
> He was just another nutjob who was out to set everyone straight, but
> don't worry, I still think he/she/it is still working on some -- has
> given up on me ...

Johnny KQQK, EVERYONE has given up on you! You're a blithering idiot
who's not yet posted on single true fact.

3123. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:44:01 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e229e628-dd6f-49ef-891a-d068a65492bf@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231050
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 25, 2:14 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> joeturn wrote innews:0cbd54d0-7d51-4b95-bb28-4fcd9be2fac0@e13g2000vbo.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 1:38 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> >> joeturn wrote
> >> innews:430ba353-1188-448b-800b-55df
> > 3da85...@l26g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 23, 7:45 pm, RD Sandman
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> joeturn wrote
> >> >> innews:1ec3f976-11ec-4715-a07c-bc6e
> >> > e943a...@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > On May 23, 9:32 am, BDK wrote:
> >> >> >> In article <923332eb-bef3-4587-9370-
> >> >> >> 79b77643c...@y31g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>,
> >> >> >> joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
> >> >> >> > On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> > > "Iarnrod" wrote in message
>
> >> >> >> > >news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googleg
> >> >> >> > >rou ps. com
> >> >> > ...
>
> >> >> >> > > > On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn
> >> >> >> > > > wrote:
> >> >> >> > > >> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>
> >> >> >> > > >> wrote:
> >> >> >> > > >> > "John Smith" wrote in message
>
> >> >> >> > > >> >news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > > On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > > "John Smith" wrote in
> >> >> >> > > >> > > message
```

> >> >> >> > > >> > >>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> ...
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> should acce
> >> >> > pt your
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> claims over photographic evidence is noted. Your
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> denial of photographic
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> evidence is noted.
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> don't matc
> >> >> > h your
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>>> claims.
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> until you
> >> >> > deal
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> with
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> it ...
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> ignorance and
> >> >> > that
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> you
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> need to do something about it, but really you
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> shouldn't be ta
> >> >> > lking
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> to
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> yourself in public, makes people question your
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> sanity even fu
> >> >> > rther.
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> I do i
> >> >> > s repeat
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> the
> >> >> >> > > >> > >>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> So let's see, by your own admission you are a
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> parrot that is
> >> >> > so
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> ignorant
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> process of falling
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> his exper
> >> >> > ts
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> might not
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid

> >> >> >> > > >> > >> enough to bu
> >> >> > y their
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> about by your
> >> >> > own
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> admission.
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> Which means you're really not the right person to
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> be trying t
> >> >> > o talk
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> about
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> this stuff then are you?
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> since maybe t
> >> >> > hey
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> might
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> actually know what they're talking about rather
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> than simply m
> >> >> > outhing
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> the
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> claims of others that they don't understand, can't
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> support, a
> >> >> > nd
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> can't
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> So now that we've established you as an ignorant
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> mouthpiece b
> >> >> > y your
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> own
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> admission, is there any further reason anyone
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> should listen t
> >> >> > o you
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> for
> >> >> >> > > >> > >> even a second?
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > > I think your post is more about you than me, why
> >> >> >> > > >> > > don't you ask
> >> >> > others
> >> >> >> > > >> > > if
> >> >> >> > > >> > > they can see you for the worthless attack slob you
> >> >> >> > > >> > > are, or the
> >> >> > y are
> >> >> >> > > >> > > fooled
> >> >> >> > > >> > > into thinking you are offering valid debate,
> >> >> >> > > >> > > analysis, facts,
> >> >> > etc.?

>
> >> >> >> > > >> > IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.-
> >> >> >> > > >> > Hide quot
> >> >> > ed
> >> >> >> > > >> > text -
>
> >> >> >> > > >> > - Show quoted text -
>
> >> >> >> > > >> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the
> >> >> >> > > >> pentagram.
>
> >> >> >> > > > What missile attack, insane nut job?
>
> >> >> >> > > Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert"
> >> >> >> > > analysis of th
> >> >> > e
> >> >> >> > > frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the
> >> >> >> > > plane crashin
> >> >> > g into
> >> >> >> > > the side of the Pentagon.
>
> >> >> >> > > Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even
> >> >> >> > > consider is
> >> >> > that
> >> >> >> > > even on the video the SCALE of the image would clearly
> >> >> >> > > preclude a mis
> >> >> > sile
> >> >> >> > > given that it's at least 2 stories in height as compared to
> >> >> >> > > the 5 sto
> >> >> > ries of
> >> >> >> > > the Pentagon.
>
> >> >> >> > > But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile,
> >> >> >> > > and that'
> >> >> > s good
> >> >> >> > > enough "proof" for Joe.
>
> >> >> >> > >> Which
> >> >> >> > >> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>
> >> >> >> > > > When did missiles hit it?
>
> >> >> >> > > I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>
> >> >> >> > >> Three missiles are were
> >> >> >> > >> recorded in the same FBI release,
>
> >> >> >> > > > Show us, insnae nut job.
>
> >> >> >> > > Yea, one "missile" per frame.

>
> >> >> >> > > >> Well the remnates of the first one is
> >> >> >> > > >> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you
> >> >> >> > > >> can see the next two in motion!
>
> >> >> >> > > > Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>
> >> >> >> > > "It is too late for that"
>
> >> >> >> > > Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> >> >> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> >> >> >> > But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will
> >> >> >> > only contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>
> >> >> >> > Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket
> >> >> >> > plume!
>
> >> >> >> No, it takes some serious mental problems, serious drug abuse,
> >> >> >> or a combo of both. What is it with you?
>
> >> >> >> --
> >> >> >> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years
> >> >> >> running!- Hide qu
> >> >> > oted text -
>
> >> >> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> >> >> > I blame it on my IQ of 145.
>
> >> >> Methinks you are off by about a 100.
>
> >> >> --
> >> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> >> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> >> > Thats because you are not capable of thinking.Show us what you got!
>
> >> >http://ig.gmodules.com/gadgets/ifr?view=home&url=http://wildfire.gig
> > ya.
> >> > com/wildfire/StpProvider.aspx%3Fut%3DAg8AAAADAAYAAFUASQBEABIAADIAMwA
> >> > 2AD
> >> > QAMQA0ADIAOAAxAAYAAEkASQBEABAAADMAMQA0ADQANAAxADkAMQAEAABuAHQADgAAaQ
> >> > BHA G8AbwBnAGwAZQA%3D&nocache=0&lang=en&country=us&.lang=en&.countr
> > y=us&syn

> >> > d=ig&mid=13&ifpctok=-2948449179267058375&exp_split_js=1&exp_tra
> > ck_js=1&
> >> > exp_new_js_flags=1&exp_ids=17259,300586&parent=http://www.google.
> > com&re
> >> > fresh=3600&libs=core:core.io:core.iglegacy:auth-refresh&is_signedin
> > =1
>
> >> I'm not the one boasting.
>
> >> --
> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have made
> > that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the test,while
> > not being over-medicated
> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.

He keeps forgetting the decimal point.

3124. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:46:24 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irkeu4$kg8$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231051
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF0E17D863E2Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF095E0F81C4hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF0A81894A65Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF07D262B9F1hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>
>>>> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> news:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com
>>>> :
>>>>
>>>>> On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>>>> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
>>>>> s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>>> > wrote:
>>>>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>>>> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>>>>>> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>>> >> > wrote:
>>>>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>>>>>> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>> >> >> > wrote:

>>>>>> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> >> >> ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>> >> >> >> innuendo you
>>>>>> >> >> keep
>>>>>> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>>>>>> >> >> >> 42% of all
>>>>>> >> >> of
>>>>>> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>>> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
>>>>>> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
>>>>>> >> >> fair
>>>>>> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> >> Regards,
>>>>>> >> >> >> JS
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>>>>> from the income tax.
>>>>
>>>> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>>>
>>>> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>>>> tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax
>>>>> as the method of funding government. If you fully understand the
>>>>> FairTax you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>>>
>>>> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
>>>> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
>>>> gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger

>>>> percentage of their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>>>>
>>>>>> The FairTax is a replacement
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > for the income tax.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
>>>>> better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
>>>>> leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in
>>>>> place as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls
>>>>> of our current tax system.
>>>>
>>>> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
>>>> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level
>>>> wages for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or
>>>> exemption if you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a
>>>> postcard.
>>>>
>>>>>> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments
>>>>>> so that it does not become regressive.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>>>>>
>>>>> Current tax system:
>>>>>
>>>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>>>> IRS takes 25%: $250.
>>>>> Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
>>>>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>>>>> Taxpayer has $620 left.
>>>>>
>>>>> Fairtax system:
>>>>>
>>>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>>>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>>>>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>>>>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>>>>> Taxpayer has $870 left.
>>>>>
>>>>> I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>>>>>
>>>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.

>>>>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>>>>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>>>>> Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
>>>>> Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
>>>>> Taxpayer has $900 left.
>>>>>
>>>>> So, again, how is that regressive.
>>>>
>>>> Same taxpayer......buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for
>>>> them. Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of
>>>> groceries...pays $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger
>>>> percentage of their income on a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we
>>>> will not pay that tax on groceries....oooops, you just generated an
>>>> exception.
>>>>
>>>>> Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
>>>>> questions you might have:
>>>>>
>>>>> 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
>>>>> particular attention to the FAQ.
>>>>> 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>>>>> 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>>>>>
>>>>> It will all become crystal clear.
>>>>
>>>> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for
>>>> years. With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the
>>>> current system. Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the
>>>> rich as poor poeple would never buy luxury taxed items. How did that
>>>> work out?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Ask John Kerry.
>>>
>>
>> YOu mean after claiming everyone should pay their fair burden, he moors
>> his yacht where the taxes are much less than if he moors it at home?
>>
>
> Indeed.

Yep, and goes to show how a prohibitive taxation rate reduces revenue.
Before he probably would have moored it at home and paid some taxes on it.
Now he moors it elsewhere and we get NO tax revenue from him for it.

Then, of course, there is all the fallout lose of tax revenue. Makers who
don't pay taxes because they are out of business. Workers who don't pay
taxes because they lost their jobs. People who would have provided goods and
services to those workers who didn't because those workers aren't buying
stuff because they lost their jobs, and so on and so forth.

In one quick swoop in an attempt to "stick it to the rich" we all but wiped out one whole segment of the economy and sent it abroad.

And you can't simply reverse the taxation and get it all back, because the expertise and experience has been dissipated and you can't just put the egg back together again.

3125. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:50:33 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irkf5ulmc1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231052
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

"John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irkdpqcia2@dont-email.me...
> On 5/25/2011 7:23 PM, Gray Ghost wrote:
>> RD Sandman<rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF098DB21154hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> Gray Ghost<grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF0ABBAC2BB7Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>
>>>> RD Sandman<rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF080A6B51E5hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>
>>>>> "r_c_brown@hushmail.com"<r_c_brown@hushmail.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:c3320493-53c4-474c-b720-3f6944f4ad00
>>> @r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On May 24, 10:45 am, gfn<gfn...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> On May 24, 12:07 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>>>>>>>>>> you k
>>>>>> eep
>>>>>>>>>> attempting to push?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>>>>>>>>>> of al
>>>>>> l of
>>>>>>>>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not

>>>>>>>>>> paying ha
>>>>>> lf
>>>>>>>>>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying
>>>>>>>>>> their f
>>>>>> air
>>>>>>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>> advocate
>>>>>>>>> i
>>>>>>> s
>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
>>>>>>>>> tax
>>>>>>> ,
>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
>>>>>>>>> that way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>> government ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Impossible to implement.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> It *might* be possible to implement - in a totalitarian state. The
>>>>>> government would have to always know what your worth (in terms of
>>>>>> wealth) is at all times, and exactly what you purchase throughout the
>>>>>> year, and when.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Yikes.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I suspect that such a system would encourage a black market or two.
>>>>>
>>>>> And a MASSIVE tracking system on the income status of over 300 million
>>>>> people. Think BIG BROTHER in real time.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Isn't that what we are trying to get away from? I don't much like the
>>>> government reviewing my personal financial information. Privacy and all
>>>> that.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Then a sales tax that proportions its charges on income is not the
>>> answer.
>>>

>>
>> Precisely. That is about the most insane idea I've ever heard. You would
>> have to have real time data on how much everyone is earning so that if
>> and
>> when you crossed over into another bracket the tax could be adjusted.
>>
>> Not only that, would the sales tax increase throughout the year as your
>> income increased? Maybe base it on last year's earnings? Great, so you
>> ake
>> a million one year and then get laid off and then you're still paying
>> that
>> 42.7% tax.
>>
>> And speaking of privacy, the amount of sales tax collected would be a
>> dead
>> give away of you income bracket, letting every sales clerk know at least
>> the range of your income! And everyone standing in line, too.
>>
>> Not to mention the nightmare of the software and databases that would
>> have
>> to be maintained realtime on every transaction and all earner's income.
>> And
>> let's not talk about the security issues with that kind of information
>> circulating.
>>
>> John Smith is a brain damaged dolt who should be confined for his own
>> good
>> and society's. He's also a nym changing asshole. There are 3 entries in
>> my
>> kill file for him already.
>>
>> Get it john? I don't care what you say or think, you're an idiot!!
>>
>
> Yeah, isn't that what I also told you, but not is so specific of verbiage?

And to think, you thought of it and seriously proposed it as an idea worthy
of consideration....

And then you wonder why people think you're stupid....

ROTFMAO....

3126. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:52:12 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irkf91$m42$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231053
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

<Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
news:6sert694tijhlro1mtd7he143k78gi9ebh@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2011 18:44:10 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>> A low earner pays as much tax on goods and services as a fucking
>>> billionaire you dipshit asshole, and is a bigger burden on their
>>> existence that anyone else.
>>
>>No, actually a billionaire tends to spend much more since they purchase
>>considerably more goods and services, and thus pay more tax as a result.
>
> You're still not gettng it
>
> Compared to what they have, and/or make, it's nothing
>
> The "burden" to the lowest income is significant compared to what they
> make.

Really? I've seen no data that shows the relative burden is any less for the
wealthy.

Maybe you can show that to me?

3127. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 02:59:21 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irkfme$o4r$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231054
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

<Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
news:vuert61m666lovc2breipbnpboavs34t93@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2011 18:44:10 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Let's say your burger flipper makes $30,000 and your "wealth class" makes
>>$1,000,000
>>
>>The burger flipper (given the numbers above, the ones you snipped) would
>>be
>>paying all of $900 in taxes. Your "wealth class on the other hand would be
>>paying $146,400. The effective tax rate, and you love talking about
>>effective rates, would have the effective tax rate on the burger flipper
>>be
>>3%, your wealth class, on the other hand, would have an effective tax rate
>>of 14.6%
>
> $900 for a low income in taxes is almost 90% of what they have over
> what it takes to live on

Let's see about that shall we...

Poverty level (ability to survive) is $24,000

Which means he's $6,000 above that.

Of which he pays $900 in taxes

or....15% of what they have over what it takes to live on.

So where did you get 90%? Pull it out of your ass?

>
> $146,000 to an Income on $1 million has virtually no affect on their
> ability to survive.

Depends on their expenses doesn't it? Certainly I've heard of millionaires
going bankrupt and as such it would seem these taxes could certainly play a
part in that just like anyone else living beyond their means.

>>So the tax is clearly affecting the wealth class far more than it would
>>the
>>burger flipper, so your objection has no merit.
>
> Only in dollar amount--not what burden it has on the earner.

Really? How exactly do you determine the burden if not by the dollar amount?

One person is effectively paying 3% of his income in taxes, the other is paying 14.6%. Seems to me the burden on the rich is higher, assuming his lifestyle is also proportional to his income.

Oh, but that's right, you don't want people who busted their ass to get ahead to have any benefits for doing so.

If there is no benefit for getting ahead, why would you bust your ass to do so?

3128. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 03:00:24 UTC
From: "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <b36ca33f-c9c9-4fe4-bf0a-a75e20d90ac7@q9g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231055
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 25, 12:38 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> "r_c_br...@hushmail.com" <r_c_br...@hushmail.com> wrote
innews:c3320493-53c4-474c-b720-3f6944f4ad00@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 10:45 am, gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On May 24, 12:07 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> > On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> > > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> > >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> > >> >> ...
>
> >> > >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
> >> > >> you k
> > eep
> >> > >> attempting to push?
>
> >> > >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
> >> > >> of al
> > l of
> >> > >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
> >> > >> paying ha
> > lf
> >> > >> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying
> >> > >> their f
> > air
> >> > >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>
> >> > >> Regards,
> >> > >> JS
> >> > > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> >> > > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I advocate

> >> > > i
> > s
> >> > > the FairTax.
>
> >> > Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales
> >> > tax
> > ,
> >> > the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
> >> > that way they will be contributing their fair share to run
> >> > government ...
>
> >> Impossible to implement.
>
> > It *might* be possible to implement - in a totalitarian state. The
> > government would have to always know what your worth (in terms of
> > wealth) is at all times, and exactly what you purchase throughout the
> > year, and when.
>
> > Yikes.
>
> > I suspect that such a system would encourage a black market or two.
>
> And a MASSIVE tracking system on the income status of over 300 million
> people. Think BIG BROTHER in real time.

Hence my remark that such a system would require a totalitarian state.

>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

3129. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 03:13:44 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irkghd$t40$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231056
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

<Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
news:n5frt61atk70l3n1rp8d0qjtdpeebnh7pu@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2011 13:10:54 -0700, John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just that
>>>> the water comes from the well ...
>>>
>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
>>> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
>>> overwhelm the result.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned ...
>>
>
> Well, aside from you "saying it", there is no validity in your
> nonsense
>
> Anyone who believes that a poor single mother should be taxed the same
> rate as a Billionaire or CEO raking in $200 Million is a fucking
> idiot.

So what is your proposal for a fair tax?

Stick it to the rich guy because well, he's rich and worked to get where he
is?

3130. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 03:15:52 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irkgletpu1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231057
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

"John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irkeh2cia5@dont-email.me...
> On 5/25/2011 6:31 PM, Scout wrote:
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:irk7mk$d8u$5@dont-email.me...
>>> On 5/25/2011 5:19 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:irk47gppp5@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/25/2011 4:38 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>> Paying the "same percentage" is not fair.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The BURDEN is much less on the wealthy.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The wealthy are paying most of the income tax burden. You wouldn't be
>>>>>> happy with any tax scheme that didn't penalize the wealthy and not
>>>>>> charge
>>>>>> you a dime.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> ...and why ...radio.shortwave...? more obsolete thinking!
>>>>>
>>>>> I cannot find a valid link showing what the 1% pay in actual money,
>>>>> actual dollars, and ACTUAL AMOUNT -- as compared to what the other 99%
>>>>> contribute, in a total amount.
>>>>
>>>> We accept your admission that there is no evidence to support a claim
>>>> that the wealthy are not paying their fair share.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> <snip>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Show me some figures which show they are paying an amount of ALL TAXES
>>
>>
>> Sorry, but it's your claim they are not paying their fair share, it's up

>> to YOU to support that claim.
>>
>> So, no, I'm not doing your homework for you. You want to claim they
>> aren't paying their fair share, then it's up to you to prove that.
>>
>>
>>
>
> You can't do the homework for me, because what I say is true.

Then I expect you to be able to prove it.

So where is your evidence?

> I can't be proven wrong with credible data, there isn't any ...

Sorry, but I don't have to disprove your claims..... you have to prove them.
That's how it works

So if you can't prove your claims are true....then I win by default.

So, since you state your claims are true, then I expect you to be able to do
so on your next post or it will be accepted and positive proof that you lied
about the extent and nature of your knowledge concerning this issue.

3131. Re: New date for the end of the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 03:40:03 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <a3da59b5-d1b9-4057-b9fc-385f4d6e6193@u26g2000vby.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231058

On May 25, 9:49 am, "D. Peter Maus" <dpeterm...@att.net> wrote:
> On 5/24/11 23:48 , bpnjensen wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 1:05 pm, Joe from Kokomo<j...@indy.net> wrote:
> >>> On May 24, 7:02 am, Arky Bob<Arky_Bob_Ru...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>> Now that Camping blew it, will profit Stair give the new date for the
> >>>> rapture?
>
> >> On 5/24/2011 11:55 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> >>> Camping dood it already - October 21, 2011. He forgot to multiply the
> >>> month by 2. Seriously. What a predatory prick.
>
> >> Maybe so, but Camping was smart enough to find people stupid enough to
> >> donate 18.3 million dollars to his "church" last year.
>
> >> They interviewed one of his acolytes on the radio and she said she was
> >> sitting in her back yard, praying for the end of the world because she
> >> had money troubles.
>
> >> Possibly her "money troubles" would not have been quite so bad if she
> >> hadn't given her money to that quack.
>
> >> Once again to paraphrase H. L. Mencken, "You'll never go broke
> >> underestimating the stupidity of the American public". Camping obviously
> >> took that advice to heart.
>
> > Joe - it ain't hard to find people stupid enough for this in America
> > 2011. Camping had easy pickins'.
>
> In a time when life is evermore difficult, and the world has
> become not only more complex, but dangerous, the promise of paradise
> is attractive to those without the resources to withstand tough times.
>
> And as we continue to dumb down the population, there will be a
> virtually inexhaustable supply of fools taken in by these charlatans.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Exactly .

3132. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 03:40:46 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <8_2dnTereOFLVkDQnZ2dnUVZ5hednZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3133. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 03:41:13 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <8_2dnTareOFtVkDQnZ2dnUVZ5hcAAAAA@giganews.com>
Source record: 231060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3134. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 03:59:20 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <51cc900d-7db7-4f32-928d-269724d45f08@dn9g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231061
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 25, 4:03 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 24, 8:54 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 11:16 pm, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 24, 7:42 pm, GovShill <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 25, 12:31 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > In article <f775f380-91de-412d-a791-41b9804c57d5
> > > > > @z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>, gov.sh...@gmail.com says...
>
> > > > > > On May 25, 11:15 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > A socialist revolution overthrows the capitalist system. It
> > > > > > > establishes the system of political rule of the working class: the
> > > > > > > dictatorship of the proletariat, --the proletariat together with its
> > > > > > > allies making up the great majority of society, begins to tansform
> > > > > > > society. Socialist revolution creates an economy based on social
> > > > > > > ownership and meeting social need.
>
> > > > > > Hahahahahahaha!
>
> > > > > > Did you miss the whole second half of the 20th century?
>
> > > > > > Shill #2
>
> > > > > He's missed reality for a very long time, so he probably did. I wonder
> > > > > how old old Skep' is?
>
> > > > Even Seon's not as naive as spektical1 seems to be!
>
> > > > Shill #2- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > The socialist revolutions in the Soviet Union (1917-1956) and China

> > > (1949-1976) made tremendous advances towards a liberated world. With
> > > state power in their hands, the former have-nots were taking hold of
> > > and transforming society. The rule of profit and exploitation was
> > > ended. Basic social needs were met. Life expectancy in Maoist China
> > > doubled between 1949 and 1976, from 32 years to 65 years.
> > > Unprecedented strides were made in uprooting hte oppression of owmen
> > > and minority nationalities. These revolutions did not fail but were
> > > defeated by bourgeois and reactionary forces.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > You must be confusing too many events and trying to bring nearly
> > everything to the lowest common denominator . Left and especially the
> > right wing of the SR party was wiped out in 1918 by the bolsheviks .
> > Now China ,while following in the footsteps of the Russian Experiment
> > went much further . During ' The Great Leap ' they literally starved
> > the farmers by the millions . Are you related to Pol Pot ?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Both revolutions and civil wars and subsequent struggles were awsome
> affairs involving tens of millions of people in far reaching struggle
> and historically unheard of change. In China the Maoists did not
> starve the Chinese people, in fact, starvation was a season occurances
> for the history of China, including when the British and Imperialist
> were running China with their puppet feudal Chinese landlords. Because
> of Socialism China ended it season cycles of starvation. The straight
> talk on Pol Pot was that the United States wiped out the society there
> with mass bombing, and the US even invaded with troops, so much of
> the blame must be laid on Uncle Sam for wiping out society in
> Cambodia, and this greatly influenced the Khmer Rouge; it was not
> simply the killing of 400,000 people with out historical context.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

You are completely in the darkness . Maybe you ought to talk to people
that have lived through the hell created by Khmer Rouge .

3135. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 04:02:22 UTC
From: "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <96cc785b-f380-497b-9905-e8c6e6822617@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231062
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 25, 5:08 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "John Smith" <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:irk37rppp1@dont-email.me...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/25/2011 4:12 PM, Sid9 wrote:
>
> >> "John Smith" <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >>news:irk1rg$9kn$6@dont-email.me...
> >>> On 5/25/2011 3:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>
> >>>> "John Smith" <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>news:irjnoebmf2@dont-email.me...
> >>>>> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>>>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote innews:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
> >>>>>> email.me:
>
> >>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_br...@hushmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>> ...
> >>>>>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>
> >>>>>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me put
> >>>>>>>> this
> >>>>>>>> more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the top one
> >>>>>>>> percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax, that way they
> >>>>>>>> will be contributing their fair share to run government ..." - is
> >>>>>>>> either impossible to implement, or requires a dictatorship.
> >>>>>>>> ...
>
> >>>>>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally and
> >>>>>> say
> >>>>>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to
> >>>>>>> implement
> >>>>>>> it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can successfully

> >>>>>>> accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be great.
>
> >>>>>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to work?
> >>>>>> How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or 42% sales
> >>>>>> tax
> >>>>>> or some amount in between?
>
> >>>>>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of
> >>>>>>> 42.7%
> >>>>>>> of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And that 20% at
> >>>>>>> the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>
> >>>>>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in
> >>>>>> those
> >>>>>> brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>
> >>>>>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>
> >>>>>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved in
> >>>>>> that
> >>>>>> scheme.
> >>>>>> Those
> >>>>>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified ...
>
> >>>>>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to
> >>>>>> have
> >>>>>> any grasp of the basics.
>
> >>>>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just
> >>>>>>> that
> >>>>>>> the water comes from the well ...
>
> >>>>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you really
> >>>>>> should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would completely
> >>>>>> overwhelm the result.
>
> >>>>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned
> >>>>> ...
>
> >>>> So much for sales tax then.
>
> >>> Once again, I really don't care how it is implemented, it just has to
> >>> work out to a final flat tax on all the money earned ... make a
> >>> dollar, pay the tax on the dollar, make a hundred, pay the tax time a
> >>> hundred, make a thousand pay the tax times a thousand ... scalable in
> >>> either direction.
>
> >>> And, has been mentioned, no matter what system is finally chosen,
> >>> crooks will ALWAYS attempt to escape paying their fair share ... as is
> >>> happening with the rich elite today ...

>
> >>> Regards,
> >>> JS
>
> >> A simple solution for a complicated problem...and it's wrong.
>
> >> You need to equalize the BURDEN....You need to equalize the effect the
> >> tax has on the taxpayers life
>
> > Anything which is better than what we have now will be better ... end of
> > story.
>
> Not true, things could be a lot worse, but they could also be better.

No, read what he wrote: "Anything which is better than what we have
now will be better".

Admittedly, this could go for the Obvious Statement of the Decade
Award and blow away the competition.

3136. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 04:10:08 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <07937e1f-a735-438b-8fae-d5782e9bc135@e21g2000vbz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231063
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 25, 3:31 pm, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 25, 8:46 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > On 5/24/2011 8:16 PM, skepti...@aol.com wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > The socialist revolutions in the Soviet Union (1917-1956) and China
> > > (1949-1976) made tremendous advances towards a liberated world. With
> > > state power in their hands, the former have-nots were taking hold of
> > > and transforming society. The rule of profit and exploitation was
> > > ended. Basic social needs were met. Life expectancy in Maoist China
> > > doubled between 1949 and 1976, from 32 years to 65 years.
> > > Unprecedented strides were made in uprooting hte oppression of owmen
> > > and minority nationalities. These revolutions did not fail but were
> > > defeated by bourgeois and reactionary forces.
>
> > WOW, great news for the chinese sweat shops!
>
> > Now the employees can work longer and endure worse conditions, thanks to
> > their increased longevity! Support the company store, enjoy living in
> > the company housing. Enjoying such a low wage that they must going on
> > enduring the poor working conditions, etc.
>
> > WAS GREAT!
>
> > NOT!
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Your statement explains China under Capitalism today. During the
> revolutionary period Mao was dealing with the problem of a new
> bourgeois elite emerging within the Communist Party who wanted to
> bring back capitalism. He called on people to rise up against
> oppressive leaders and political structures. The Cultural Revolution
> engaged hundreds of millions of people in far-reaching struggle and
> historically unheard of change. Workers and peasants were debating
> questions of the direction of society, criticizing out of touch
> officials, foreign more participatory forms of management and

> administration, and entering into realms of science and culture. The
> Cultural Revolution had coherent and liberating goals: to prevent the
> restoration of capitalism; to revolutionize the institutions of
> society, including the Communist Party; to break down the divisions
> between mental and manual labor and between urban and rural areas: to
> challenge old ways of thinking--in short to carry forward and deepen
> socialist revolution. When Mao died, there was a coup de etat, in
> which 50,000 men carrying axes came out and restored Capitalism by
> force. Mao's own wife, a revolutionary heroine, was declared one of
> the "Gang of Four" and she later died in prison. Today China suffers
> under Capitalism and people in the countryside drink insecticide to
> try and escape the harsh Capitalist conditions, prostitution is
> rampant (there was no prostitution during Mao's time) and there are
> elites driving Ferraris. China was the height of human achievement and
> cooperation during the Revolutionary period. The World needs
> Communism.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Thanks , but we certainly had enough of it . Have you ever been on the other side of the Bamboo/Iron Curtain ?

3137. Tell me what is on 11.310.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 04:14:04 UTC
From: jon boy kg4gfu <jonrev1978@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <e165f596-8b19-4439-805d-516d87c41246@a10g2000vbz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231064

Greetings All!
I was listening on my non sideband RS. DX-396 and heard some coms on
SSB that I suspect were military. They were shortlived then gone.
Tell me what you think. Thanks a bunch!
Jon Boy. kg4gfu.

3138. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 04:15:16 UTC
From: "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <f8fa8098-849e-4407-9caa-251a097de41b@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231065
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 25, 5:51 pm, "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote:
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>
> news:Xns9EF0A955CAB33hopewell@216.196.121.131...> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote
innews:irjvk7$5vm$1@dont-email.me:
>
> >> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> >>news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131...
> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
> >>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> >>>>news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
> >>>>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
> >>>>>news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>
> >>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>>news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
> >>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>
> >>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>>>news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>
> >>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>> ...
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of
> > who
> >>>>>>>>>>>> pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If you
> >>>>>>>>>>>> want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes to the
> >>>>>>>>>>>> government then your right. I know of no place that compiles
> >>>>>>>>>>>> that data. ...
> >>>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I
> > need
> >>>>>>>>>>> them pointed out to me.
> >>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
> >>>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you. Which
> >>>>>>>>>> explains some of your ideas.....
> >>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ...
> >>>>>>>>> you are attempting a circular argument ...

>
> >>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can, from
> >>>>>>>>> the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
> >>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>
> >>>>>>>> 2008
>
> >>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
> >>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
> >>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
> >>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
> >>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
> >>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>
> >>>>>>>> 2007
>
> >>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
> >>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
> >>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
> >>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
> >>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
> >>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>
> >>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>
> >>>>>>>>http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>
> >>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
> >>>>>>>> ï¿½ May 15, 2011
> >>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>
> >>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the check,
> >>>>>>>> but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies the cause
> >>>>>>>> of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization that poisons
> >>>>>>>> our political process. But to understand that problem, we must
> >>>>>>>> consider how taxes are applied to the production of goods and
> >>>>>>>> services.
>
> >>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>
> >>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
> >>>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes based
> >>>>>>>> on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm and
> >>>>>>>> equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just another
> >>>>>>>> cost of doing business in the course of earning his living, no
> >>>>>>>> different from fuel for his tractor or wages and taxes for
> >>>>>>>> employees.
>
> >>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and taxes,
> >>>>>>>> the price they charge the miller must cover their expenses and

> >>>>>>>> taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and savings.
> >>>>>>>> Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat. All of these
> >>>>>>>> costs and taxes were passed on to the miller, embedded in the
> >>>>>>>> price of wheat.
>
> >>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat to
> >>>>>>>> the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security taxes
> > he
> >>>>>>>> withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes, were all
> >>>>>>>> passed on to the baker.
>
> >>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with
> >>>>>>>> it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those
> >>>>>>>> previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees,
> > hidden
> >>>>>>>> in the price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his family ate
> >>>>>>>> the bread, and, having done so, could not sell it to anyone else
> >>>>>>>> and pass the taxes along, as the baker and everyone else before
> >>>>>>>> had done.
>
> >>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with the
> >>>>>>>> farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they withheld
> >>>>>>>> from all of their employees. From bread to automobiles to brain
> >>>>>>>> surgery, the price of everything we buy carries in it the hidden
> >>>>>>>> taxes of everyone who contributed to the production of that
> >>>>>>>> product or service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents of every
> >>>>>>>> dollar we spend for federal taxes alone.
>
> >>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a
> >>>>>>>> scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and
> > unknowing
> >>>>>>>> tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to keep voters
> >>>>>>>> from realizing who really bears the burden of those high taxes.
>
> >>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
> >>>>>>>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually
> >>>>>>>> paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services.
> >>>>>>>> It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket,
> >>>>>>>> or what deductions you receive. These devices change only the
> >>>>>>>> degree to which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes
> >>>>>>>> place on your life depends solely on what you spend.
>
> >>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
> >>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm. First,
> >>>>>>>> it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services that are
> >>>>>>>> provided by highly taxed individuals and companies, like health
> >>>>>>>> care, are artificially more expensive than necessary, while raw
> >>>>>>>> materials and natural resources are underpriced, leading to
> >>>>>>>> overconsumption and waste.
>

> >>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political process,
> >>>>>>>> encouraging government overspending by politicians who exploit
> > the
> >>>>>>>> mistaken belief of many voters that government spending can be
> >>>>>>>> paid for solely by taxing corporations or the "rich." All of the
> >>>>>>>> exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which brings so much ill
> >>>>>>>> will to our politics and drives wedges between Americans who
> > would
> >>>>>>>> be better served by mutual respect and compassion - is ultimately
> >>>>>>>> the meaningless exploitation of a lie.
>
> >>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
> > appears
> >>>>>>>> progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive. Currently,
> >>>>>>>> the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay no income tax
> >>>>>>>> directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes added to the
> >>>>>>>> hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax burden is almost 30
> >>>>>>>> percent of their meager income.
>
> >>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
> >>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through
> > an
> >>>>>>>> invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>
> >>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
> >>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He
> > is
> >>>>>>>> a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>
> >>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>
> >>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as Mr.
> >>>>>> B.
>
> >>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>
> >>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>
> >>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B
> >>>>>> gets the exact same exemptions.
>
> >>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>
> >>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the
> > rich
> >>>>> not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>
> >>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so.
> > The
> >>>> best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same percentage

> >>>> without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>
> >>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>
> >>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and why.
>
> >>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>
> >> Paying the "same percentage" is not fair.
>
> >> The BURDEN is much less on the wealthy.
>
> > The wealthy are paying most of the income tax burden. You wouldn't be
> > happy with any tax scheme that didn't penalize the wealthy and not charge
> > you a dime.
>
> .
> .
> They pay the aggregate of most of the money and it has far less impact on
> their lives than the ordinary working American.
> To that extent it's unfair.
>
> The progressive income tax, restored by removing the inequities that have
> been put there by the wealthy, would fix it.

Serious question: restored to what point in time? Please keep in
mind that the income tax has been tinkered with on a regular basis
since it came into existence.

> Restoring the inheritance tax to eliminate the loop holes that have neutered
> it would help, too.
>
> Neither the Bushes, the Harrimans, the Kennedys, nor the Rockefellers heirs
> got poor because of this tax.
>
> Then remove the caps from the SS tax and the Medicare tax.....
>

The cap for Medicare was removed in the mid 1990's.

The cap (wage base) for Social Security is used to limit both the
income that is taxed, and the benefit derived. Would you also remove
the cap from the benefit?

> Voila! No more fiscal problems!
>
> Then cut the shit out of the military budget.

3139. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 04:18:58 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <c580e2b9-81ae-4dee-a9ae-013e4f474195@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231066
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On May 24, 2:48 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 24, 11:44 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/24/2011 11:32 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > Mexico does have a problem - a very serious one - with political
> > > corruption, as do many Latin American nations. Their history is rife
> > > with corrupt events that make ours look paltry by comparison. With
> > > this crap going on and the needs of the electorate ignored, can you
> > > blame them for wanting to leave? I have a co-worker of Brazilian
> > > ancestry, a great person and proud American BTW, who left because she
> > > was sick of the Brazilian corruption.
>
> > > Bruce
>
> > Yeah, but in reality, what happens is, they attempt to leave the
> > problems, not realizing they are part of them, this results in them
> > transporting the problems to a new area ...
>
> > You see the above on a smaller scale when people leave the city to
> > escape the problems and go to the smaller towns. They end up enacting
> > the same ordinances, rules, laws, actions, styles, mindsets, etc. which
> > caused all the problems in the first place! Soon, the place they left
> > is no different that the place they are ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Well, speaking only for my Brazilian co-worker - she's a great asset
> to her community, which is not Brazilian but American. I don't think
> you can paint every person with the same paintbrush.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

I always wondered- why did all the former Latin American Spanish
Colonies broke up into separate countries , while Brazil (formerly
Portuguese) is still intact ?

3140. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 04:23:01 UTC
From: oldwifetale <oldwifetale@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d73ef46d-3414-4b03-acbd-660f9861b740@g16g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231067
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

> > But enough about *the present*.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> It is writen when they ring in their new Age of Aquarius on December
> 21,2012
>
> Its going to be worse than the past!

How can you know?
Just because "it's written"?

The December 21st date in 2012 is derived from the Mayan calendars which do not specifically or even allegorically allude to an "Age of Aquarius".

The 'ages' of the zodiac, if consisting of 12 signs, are approximately 2160 years long based on the precession of the equinoxes. The precession rate is about 1 degree per 72 years. If the beginning of the "Age of Aquarius" is 2012, then the "Age of Pisces" would have commenced around 48 BCE. It is possible (the timing). Most likely the "Age of Aquarius" marks a reversal in the polarity of the 'Great Year' (25,920 - 26,000 years), as indicated by the Egyptian Sphinx - it would be a point that is about 13,000 years after the "Age of Leo" marked the spring equinox.

If the zodiac being considered consists of 13 signs rather than 12, then the length of each 'age' would be somewhat shorter, just under 2000 years. That is also possible (the timing).

There really is no reason to assume that *Freemasons* or even *Knights Templar* ever wrote anything concerning the date of December 21, 2012 unless you are suggesting pre-Columbian contact, and knowledge (and correct interpretation) of the Mayan calendars - since both groups actually existed before 1492.

Or perhaps you're trying to say that this precise date was non-arbitrarily selected as a catastrophic 'end point' by two separate groups of people on both sides of the Atlantic ocean, indicating a well-hidden awareness of a planetary cycle, and one that was not held exclusively by people in either the western or eastern hemisphere.

Is that what you're suggesting?

Sure it is. And in that case, you may be on to something.

(gawd, i'm ornery...)

3141. Re: The Great "Rapture" Smack-Down a Shortwave Radio Event : It's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 04:34:31 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <e926db51-0d2d-45c1-8317-fadf2182ad2e@p6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231068

On May 23, 3:29 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Nobody knows when Jesus was born.
> cuhulin

...when Judea was in turmoil . And it still is .

3142. Mexicans a Diverse People

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 04:49:31 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <8f8786c5-25f9-494d-8d21-055b5b8935f3@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231069
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On May 25, 12:36 pm, Red Cloud <mmdir2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 24, 11:44 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> > On 5/24/2011 11:32 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > Mexico does have a problem - a very serious one - with political
> > > corruption, as do many Latin American nations. Their history is rife
> > > with corrupt events that make ours look paltry by comparison. With
> > > this crap going on and the needs of the electorate ignored, can you
> > > blame them for wanting to leave? I have a co-worker of Brazilian
> > > ancestry, a great person and proud American BTW, who left because she
> > > was sick of the Brazilian corruption.
>
> > > Bruce
>
> > Yeah, but in reality, what happens is, they attempt to leave the
> > problems, not realizing they are part of them, this results in them
> > transporting the problems to a new area ...
>
> > You see the above on a smaller scale when people leave the city to
> > escape the problems and go to the smaller towns. They end up enacting
> > the same ordinances, rules, laws, actions, styles, mindsets, etc. which
> > caused all the problems in the first place! Soon, the place they left
> > is no different that the place they are ...
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Mexican rotten problem goes back to

- the Spanish colonialism era and still
- ruled by the Spanish at the top and
- non-white mestizo are at the bottom.

The Mexico "People" are more 'diverse' than that.

Mestizo {Mixed-Race} Mexicans
Note : This is the Majority of Mexicans
* Indio-European
* Indio-African
* Tri-Racial
* * Indio+Afro+Euro

* * Indio+Asian+Euro
{Asian from the Philippines}
.
Euro-Mexicans
* Spanish
* French
* 'other'
.
Los Indios {Native 'First' Peoples}
* Composed of many Tribal Groups
.
.
- Most Mexicans Mestizo mixed gene are
- brought by the Spanish's Corte Army who
- tainted with Moorish and Arab gene which
- is why most Mexicans look more like Arab
- than North American native.

No many Mexicans look more 'North African*'
-not- Arab.

* 'North African*' :
Moracco Algeria Tunisia Libya & Egypt
none of which is an 'Arab' Country.
-wrt- The Berber People
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Berber_people
-map-of the land-of-the-'berbers'-
http://perlbal.hi-pi.com/blog-images/151207/gd/1216845501/Berbers-History-Part-1.jpg

YES : Spain was Ruled by the 'Moors' for
700 Years; and Europeans have only been
in the Americas for 519 Years. {1492~2011}

Fact-Is the Modern Day "Mexican" is only
500 Years Old : Just like the Modern Day
"American" is only about 400 Years Old.

I have No Problamo with Mexico and Mexicans

I do have a PROBLEM with the Millions of
"Illegal Alien Invaders" from Mexico and
other countries too. ~ RHF
.
.
wrt "tainted with Moorish and Arab gene"

AH... THE BLOOD "TAINT" -aka- BAD GENES !
-racist-alert-racist-racist-racist-
.
.

3143. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 04:51:49 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <c2541661-eb4e-4157-92dc-c18622c9ee07@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231070

On May 25, 2:26 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Des Plaines,,,,,
> how about French Camp,Missy Sippy and the former name of Jackson, Le
> Fluer's Bluff? Those are Frog names too.
> cuhulin

My Daddy spent a few summers in French Camp, CA
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/French_Camp,_California
.

3144. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 05:09:48 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3e257ba1-884d-422a-bc12-99c87db928a4@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231071
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On May 25, 9:18 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 24, 2:48 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 11:44 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > On 5/24/2011 11:32 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > > > ...
> > > > Mexico does have a problem - a very serious one - with political
> > > > corruption, as do many Latin American nations. Their history is rife
> > > > with corrupt events that make ours look paltry by comparison. With
> > > > this crap going on and the needs of the electorate ignored, can you
> > > > blame them for wanting to leave? I have a co-worker of Brazilian
> > > > ancestry, a great person and proud American BTW, who left because she
> > > > was sick of the Brazilian corruption.
>
> > > > Bruce
>
> > > Yeah, but in reality, what happens is, they attempt to leave the
> > > problems, not realizing they are part of them, this results in them
> > > transporting the problems to a new area ...
>
> > > You see the above on a smaller scale when people leave the city to
> > > escape the problems and go to the smaller towns. They end up enacting
> > > the same ordinances, rules, laws, actions, styles, mindsets, etc. which
> > > caused all the problems in the first place! Soon, the place they left
> > > is no different that the place they are ...
>
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
>
> > Well, speaking only for my Brazilian co-worker - she's a great asset
> > to her community, which is not Brazilian but American. I don't think
> > you can paint every person with the same paintbrush.- Hide quoted text -
>

> > - Show quoted text -
>
> I always wondered- why did all the former Latin American Spanish
> Colonies broke up into separate countries , while Brazil (formerly
> Portuguese) is still intact ?

A Collective Oligarchy of Rich Landowners
within a Geographic Region* plus Small
Minded Petty Dictators {Presidents-for-Life}
http://www.hispanicallyspeakingnews.com/uploads/images/article-images/latin_america.gif
* A 'Community of Interests'
Latin American Wars of Independence
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Latin_American_wars_of_independence

The Portuguese Monarchy was 'Seated' for a
number of Years in Brazil followed by an
Independent {Euro-Royal} Empire of Brazil
that lasted several Decades.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Empire_of_Brazil
.
.

3145. Re: Federal blockade of Texas demonstrate financial terrorism of TSA!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 05:19:12 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <irknsg$v3j$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231072

On 5/25/11 20:30 , Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>

> Apparently you didn't notice the part where I agreed that these
> searches are wrong. However, the decision to allow them is based
> upon long precedence. There are many instances where your right to
> privacy is nullified and you must submit to pretty much any
> intrusion thereto. Most notably, of course, is a search at a jail or
> prison. Those go far beyond the TSA procedure in many instances. You
> are also subject to pat search and/or x-ray entering or leaving a
> military compound (this is not pushed as a rule, but it IS there as
> an option!) or US Embassy.
>
> As it stands, the TSA procedures are law, whether we like it or not.
> As citizens and members of a larger society, it is upon us to obey
> the law until such time as it can be overturned by the courts or by
> congressional action. If the SCOTUS doesn't overturn it in the
> future, it is incumbent upon us as citizens to petition our senators
> and representatives to reverse it. Lacking that, we must elect
> senators and representatives that WILL.

Nicely said.

3146. Re: Tell me what is on 11.310.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 05:20:28 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <53929566-b8d6-4d43-ab2a-fc0adcf5ea5c@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231073

On May 25, 9:14 pm, jon boy kg4gfu <jonrev1...@gmail.com> wrote:
> Greetings All!
> I was listening on my non sideband RS. DX-396 and heard some coms on
> SSB that I suspect were military. They were shortlived then gone.
> Tell me what you think. Thanks a bunch!
> Jon Boy. kg4gfu.

Russian 'Volmets' operate on 11,297 kHz [USB]
and 11,318 kHz [USB] for 5-Minute Cycles
.
Take a Look at the EiBi Shortwave Frequency
List : "Sorted by Frequency" [Text] -click-on-
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
.
hope this helps - iane ~ RHF
.

3147. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 05:29:42 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irkog5$28a$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231074
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/25/2011 8:00 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:

> ...
> Hence my remark that such a system would require a totalitarian state.
>
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.
>

Don't forget, we plonk fools here ...

... plonk ...

Regards,
JS

3148. Re: Federal blockade of Texas demonstrate financial terrorism of TSA!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 05:36:09 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irkos8$289$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231075

On 5/25/2011 10:19 PM, D. Peter Maus wrote:
> On 5/25/11 20:30 , Brenda Ann wrote:
>>
>>
>
>> Apparently you didn't notice the part where I agreed that these
>> searches are wrong. However, the decision to allow them is based
>> upon long precedence. There are many instances where your right to
>> privacy is nullified and you must submit to pretty much any
>> intrusion thereto. Most notably, of course, is a search at a jail or
>> prison. Those go far beyond the TSA procedure in many instances. You
>> are also subject to pat search and/or x-ray entering or leaving a
>> military compound (this is not pushed as a rule, but it IS there as
>> an option!) or US Embassy.
>>
>> As it stands, the TSA procedures are law, whether we like it or not.
>> As citizens and members of a larger society, it is upon us to obey
>> the law until such time as it can be overturned by the courts or by
>> congressional action. If the SCOTUS doesn't overturn it in the
>> future, it is incumbent upon us as citizens to petition our senators
>> and representatives to reverse it. Lacking that, we must elect
>> senators and representatives that WILL.
>
> Nicely said.
>

Yes, and amazing how the forefathers seen all of this, or something like
it, huh?

Prompted Jefferson to say the following, "The tree of liberty must be
refreshed from time to time with the blood of patriots and tyrants. It
is itï¿½s natural manure."

All we see is the vine withering from neglect ... :-(

Regards,
JS

3149. Re: New Shortwave Transmitting Station in-work-for- Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 06:18:21 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a0939cb8-0428-4532-a0dd-8d66c35b11d2@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231076

On May 25, 5:51 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 25, 8:54 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 25, 2:26 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2348 UTC
> > > -via- Cuba on 24 Mayo 2011
>
> > > S-Meter : Solid S9 with SIO 555 with Good Audio
>
> > > Program in English with Spanish in the background
> > > presenting an English version of the Story about the
> > > Venezuelan Drug Lord Walid Makled and his family
> > > along with Radio Nacional de Venezuela
IDhttp://www.rnv.gob.ve/noticias/index.php?act=ST&f=27&t=156081&hl=de+d...
>
> > > Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV]http://www.rnv.gob.ve/
>
> > > Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV] "English
Portal"http://www.rnv.gov.ve/noticias/index.php?act=SF&f=31
> > > .
> > > RNV Canal Internacional Horas y
Frecuenciashttp://www.rnv.gob.ve/noticias/index.php?pg=internacional
> > > .
> > > The Short-Wave.Info -website- Lists for
> > > Radio Nacional de Venezuela[RNV]
> > > Frequencies and
Timeshttp://www.short-wave.info/index.php?station=R.Nacional+de+Venezuela&...
> > > .
> > > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > > 15,250 @ 2300-2400 {English & Spanish}
> > > VEN : R. Nacional Venezuela : E,S : NAm : /CUB
> > > from Venezuela in English & Spanish to North
> > > American from/via Cuba
> > > -note- If you receive RHC from Cuba good :

> > > Then you will hear RNV good from Cuba too.
> > > .
> > > *Prior* "RNV" Shortwave Radio Reception Reports
> > > and Info :
> > > * Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 11,670 kHz @ 2210
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/1bf...
> > > * Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2321
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/67e...
> > > .
> > > yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> > > .
> > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > .
> > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > .
> > > .
>
> They're s'posed to be buildng their own transmitter site pretty soon.
> Been awhile since I've heard Venezuela on the radio...
>
> New Shortwave Transmitting
Stationhttp://www.globalbroadcast-industry.com/industry-projects/new-shortwa...
> -by- Antena Internacional
> -for- Radio Nacional de Venezuela
> -in- Venezuela
> -result- All Hugo : All The Time ;;-}}
> ~ RHF
> .

Oh, swell - another big 50 kW signal on 60 meters blocking nice little
DX stations. Blecchh.

Thanks for the info though!

3150. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 07:15:17 UTC
From: Red Cloud <mmdir2005@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1ba3913c-1c15-4186-a91d-ed6b7ea01052@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231077
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On May 24, 11:00 am, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> Red Cloud <mmdir2...@yahoo.com> wrote
innews:2e3c8984-608f-43d8-90be-24c242729fe6@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
> > On May 23, 8:46 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/23/2011 8:22 PM, Benny Fishhole wrote:
>
> >> > ...
> >> > That's right, they are now Mexican by the second definition. Now go
> >> > tell your illegal bride to go cook up some tacos.
>
> >> As I said, today, for all practical purposes, "BEANER = INDIAN." ...
> >> end of story ...
>
> >> If you doubt it, take a look at them, today the majority in my city
> >> are South American Indians ... and here from Brazil, Peru, Guatemala,
> >> etc.
>
> >> And, like I further said, most do not use the word "mexican" properly
> >> ...
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > Well most Hispanics are Mexicans. That's why I use Mexican first
> > before
> > Brazilian or Peruvian or other South American National.
>
> I assume you mean most Hispanics who are in the US. What the term means
> is Spanish speaking people or culture. It also means an American whose
> first language is Spanish.
>

Pwhahahah....You sound like Indian boarding school principle. You
don' t know
the native tongue was demonized by Anglo and Spanish? They said
"Don't speak
native tongue. It's devil talk." OH now you say Spanish is the first
American language. You seem to deny more than 500 Indian dialects
were spoken before
your Spanish colonialist (now anti-Anglo American) gene arrived

here...

3151. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 07:55:30 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF127EDE36A6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231078
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

Red Cloud <mmdir2005@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1ba3913c-1c15-4186-a91d-ed6b7ea01052@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com:

> On May 24, 11:00 am, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> Red Cloud <mmdir2...@yahoo.com> wrote innews:2e3c8984-608f-43d8-90be-24c2
> 42729fe6@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 23, 8:46 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> On 5/23/2011 8:22 PM, Benny Fishhole wrote:
>>
>> >> > ...
>> >> > That's right, they are now Mexican by the second definition. Now go
>> >> > tell your illegal bride to go cook up some tacos.
>>
>> >> As I said, today, for all practical purposes, "BEANER = INDIAN."
> ...
>> >> end of story ...
>>
>> >> If you doubt it, take a look at them, today the majority in my city
>> >> are South American Indians ... and here from Brazil, Peru, Guatemala,
>> >> etc.
>>
>> >> And, like I further said, most do not use the word "mexican" properly
>> >> ...
>>
>> >> Regards,
>> >> JS
>>
>> > Well most Hispanics are Mexicans. That's why I use Mexican first
>> > before
>> > Brazilian or Peruvian or other South American National.
>>
>> I assume you mean most Hispanics who are in the US. What the term mean
> s
>> is Spanish speaking people or culture. It also means an American whose
>> first language is Spanish.
>>
>
> Pwhahahah....You sound like Indian boarding school principle. You
> don' t know

> the native tongue was demonized by Anglo and Spanish? They said
> "Don't speak
> native tongue. It's devil talk." OH now you say Spanish is the first
> American language. You seem to deny more than 500 Indian dialects
> were spoken before
> your Spanish colonialist (now anti-Anglo American) gene arrived
> here...
>

Go Codetalkers!

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3152. Re: New Shortwave Transmitting Station in-work-for- Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 08:17:49 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ed25b32a-af1f-41ca-91e2-3dedeeef1f5c@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231079

On May 25, 11:18 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 25, 5:51 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 25, 8:54 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 25, 2:26 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2348 UTC
> > > > -via- Cuba on 24 Mayo 2011
>
> > > > S-Meter : Solid S9 with SIO 555 with Good Audio
>
> > > > Program in English with Spanish in the background
> > > > presenting an English version of the Story about the
> > > > Venezuelan Drug Lord Walid Makled and his family
> > > > along with Radio Nacional de Venezuela
IDhttp://www.rnv.gob.ve/noticias/index.php?act=ST&f=27&t=156081&hl=de+d...
>
> > > > Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV]http://www.rnv.gob.ve/
>
> > > > Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV] "English
Portal"http://www.rnv.gov.ve/noticias/index.php?act=SF&f=31
> > > > .
> > > > RNV Canal Internacional Horas y
Frecuenciashttp://www.rnv.gob.ve/noticias/index.php?pg=internacional
> > > > .
> > > > The Short-Wave.Info -website- Lists for
> > > > Radio Nacional de Venezuela[RNV]
> > > > Frequencies and
Timeshttp://www.short-wave.info/index.php?station=R.Nacional+de+Venezuela&...
> > > > .
> > > > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > > > 15,250 @ 2300-2400 {English & Spanish}
> > > > VEN : R. Nacional Venezuela : E,S : NAm : /CUB
> > > > from Venezuela in English & Spanish to North

> > > > American from/via Cuba
> > > > -note- If you receive RHC from Cuba good :
> > > > Then you will hear RNV good from Cuba too.
> > > > .
> > > > *Prior* "RNV" Shortwave Radio Reception Reports
> > > > and Info :
> > > > * Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 11,670 kHz @ 2210
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/1bf...
> > > > * Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2321
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/67e...
> > > > .
> > > > yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> > > > .
> > > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > > .
> > > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > > .
> > > > .
>
> > > They're s'posed to be buildng their own transmitter site pretty soon.
> > > Been awhile since I've heard Venezuela on the radio...
>
> > New Shortwave Transmitting Station
> > http://www.globalbroadcast-industry.com/industry-projects/new-shortwa...
> > -by- Antena Internacional
> > -for- Radio Nacional de Venezuela
> > -in- Venezuela
> > -result- All Hugo : All The Time ;;-}}
> > ~ RHF
> > .
>
> Oh, swell - another big 50 kW signal on 60 meters blocking nice little
> DX stations. Blecchh.
>
> Thanks for the info though!

Yeah - All Hugo : All The Time ;;-}}
.
Plus Five 100KW Shortwave Transmitters to be
operated with several SW Antenna Arrays

Like getting a second Radio Habana Cuba [RHC]
-wrt- All Fidel : All The Time ;;-}}
.

3153. Why Not Rename the 'TSA' to "TFA" !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 08:24:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7bd0ae30-e0fb-4caa-a0ad-bd6ca9782d88@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 25, 3:42 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> http://www.infowars.com/financial-terrorism-tsa-holds-texas-flights-h...
>
> You just can't make up shit like this!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Maybe they need to rename the TSA to the
TFA for Touchy Feely Assholes !

The "TFA"s Motto : You'll Be Back For More !
.

3154. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 08:30:05 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <895cb074-6d5c-42a3-b1fd-d02f13d1bbd6@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231081
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 25, 10:29 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/25/2011 8:00 PM, r_c_br...@hushmail.com wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Hence my remark that such a system would require a totalitarian state.
>
> >> --
> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> Don't complain.
>
> Don't forget, we plonk fools here ...
>
> ... plonk ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Plonkers Don't Let Plonkers Post BS !
-friends-don't-let-friends-drive-drunk-
.

3155. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 09:03:17 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <b055d0c1-5857-45fd-a4c7-9cfacf6c9ac8@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231082
Cross-posted to: alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 25, 12:31 pm, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 25, 8:46 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/24/2011 8:16 PM, skepti...@aol.com wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > The socialist revolutions in the Soviet Union (1917-1956) and China
> > > (1949-1976) made tremendous advances towards a liberated world. With
> > > state power in their hands, the former have-nots were taking hold of
> > > and transforming society. The rule of profit and exploitation was
> > > ended. Basic social needs were met. Life expectancy in Maoist China
> > > doubled between 1949 and 1976, from 32 years to 65 years.
> > > Unprecedented strides were made in uprooting hte oppression of owmen
> > > and minority nationalities. These revolutions did not fail but were
> > > defeated by bourgeois and reactionary forces.
>
> > WOW, great news for the chinese sweat shops!
>
> > Now the employees can work longer and endure worse conditions, thanks to
> > their increased longevity! Support the company store, enjoy living in
> > the company housing. Enjoying such a low wage that they must going on
> > enduring the poor working conditions, etc.
>
> > WAS GREAT!
>
> > NOT!
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Your statement explains China under Capitalism today. During the
> revolutionary period Mao was dealing with the problem of a new
> bourgeois elite emerging within the Communist Party who wanted to
> bring back capitalism. He called on people to rise up against
> oppressive leaders and political structures. The Cultural Revolution

> engaged hundreds of millions of people in far-reaching struggle and
> historically unheard of change. Workers and peasants were debating
> questions of the direction of society, criticizing out of touch
> officials, foreign more participatory forms of management and
> administration, and entering into realms of science and culture. The
> Cultural Revolution had coherent and liberating goals: to prevent the
> restoration of capitalism; to revolutionize the institutions of
> society, including the Communist Party; to break down the divisions
> between mental and manual labor and between urban and rural areas: to
> challenge old ways of thinking--in short to carry forward and deepen
> socialist revolution. When Mao died, there was a coup de etat, in
> which 50,000 men carrying axes came out and restored Capitalism by
> force. Mao's own wife, a revolutionary heroine, was declared one of
> the "Gang of Four" and she later died in prison. Today China suffers
> under Capitalism and people in the countryside drink insecticide to
> try and escape the harsh Capitalist conditions, prostitution is
> rampant (there was no prostitution during Mao's time) and there are
> elites driving Ferraris. China was the height of human achievement and
> cooperation during the Revolutionary period.

- The World needs Communism.

Nah - BOHICA !

China [PRC] is the Prime Example showing the
Shining-Path to the 'Socialist-Corporate-State'
in the "New-World-Order".
-China-Is-21st-Century-Liberal-Fascism-
.
Prez Obama is the Prime Example showing the
Shining-Path to the Leader of a 'Socialist-
Corporate-State' in the "New-World-Order".
-Obama-Is-21st-Century-Liberal-Fascist-
.
Soon All Nations Will Be Like China and Fully
Untied By Their International Corporate 'Ties'
One World with many 'Socialist-Corporate-States'
* Democracy Is Dead
* Communism Is Dead
* Monarchism Is Dead
ONLY THE CORPORATION LIVES ON !
.
One World 'Of' the 'Socialist-Corporate-State'
-leadership-of-the-corporate-educated-
One World 'By' the 'Socialist-Corporate-State'
-leadership-of-the-corporate-trained-
One World 'For' the 'Socialist-Corporate-State'
-leadership-of-the-corporate-enlightened-
.
The Corporation Has Supplanted The Nation-State
-corporations-with-out-national-borders-

The Corporation Has Supplanted The Political-Party
-corporations-with-out-political-parties-
The Corporation Has Supplanted Individual-Wealth
-corporations-with-out-trade-taxes-
.
1st - We Have The Corporate Leader Setting
The Corporate Agenda : Goals & Profits
2nd - We Have The Corporate Management United
Behind The Corporate Leader
3rd - We Have The Corporate Workers and Agents
United Behind The Corporate Management Leader
.
.

3156. Cambodia + Khmer Rouge = Communist Genocide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 09:22:39 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <4807f775-2318-42ca-81a1-dc67483ab70f@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231083
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 25, 8:59 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 25, 4:03 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 24, 8:54 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > On May 24, 11:16 pm, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 24, 7:42 pm, GovShill <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 25, 12:31 pm, BDK <Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > In article <f775f380-91de-412d-a791-41b9804c57d5
> > > > > > @z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>, gov.sh...@gmail.com says...
>
> > > > > > > On May 25, 11:15 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > A socialist revolution overthrows the capitalist system. It
> > > > > > > > establishes the system of political rule of the working class: the
> > > > > > > > dictatorship of the proletariat, --the proletariat together with its
> > > > > > > > allies making up the great majority of society, begins to tansform
> > > > > > > > society. Socialist revolution creates an economy based on social
> > > > > > > > ownership and meeting social need.
>
> > > > > > > Hahahahahahaha!
>
> > > > > > > Did you miss the whole second half of the 20th century?
>
> > > > > > Shill #2
>
> > > > > > He's missed reality for a very long time, so he probably did. I wonder
> > > > > > how old old Skep' is?
>
> > > > > Even Seon's not as naive as spektical1 seems to be!
>
> > > > > Shill #2- Hide quoted text -

>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > The socialist revolutions in the Soviet Union (1917-1956) and China
> > > > (1949-1976) made tremendous advances towards a liberated world. With
> > > > state power in their hands, the former have-nots were taking hold of
> > > > and transforming society. The rule of profit and exploitation was
> > > > ended. Basic social needs were met. Life expectancy in Maoist China
> > > > doubled between 1949 and 1976, from 32 years to 65 years.
> > > > Unprecedented strides were made in uprooting hte oppression of owmen
> > > > and minority nationalities. These revolutions did not fail but were
> > > > defeated by bourgeois and reactionary forces.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > You must be confusing too many events and trying to bring nearly
> > > everything to the lowest common denominator . Left and especially the
> > > right wing of the SR party was wiped out in 1918 by the bolsheviks .
> > > Now China ,while following in the footsteps of the Russian Experiment
> > > went much further . During ' The Great Leap ' they literally starved
> > > the farmers by the millions . Are you related to Pol Pot ?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > Both revolutions and civil wars and subsequent struggles were awsome
> > affairs involving tens of millions of people in far reaching struggle
> > and historically unheard of change. In China the Maoists did not
> > starve the Chinese people, in fact, starvation was a season occurances
> > for the history of China, including when the British and Imperialist
> > were running China with their puppet feudal Chinese landlords. Because
> > of Socialism China ended it season cycles of starvation. The straight
> > talk on Pol Pot was that the United States wiped out the society there
> > with mass bombing, and the US even invaded with troops, so much of
> > the blame must be laid on Uncle Sam for wiping out society in
> > Cambodia, and this greatly influenced the Khmer Rouge; it was not
> > simply the killing of 400,000 people with out historical context.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> You are completely in the darkness .

- Maybe you ought to talk to people that
- have lived through the hell created by
- Khmer Rouge.

You mean 'The Suvivors' of the Khmer Rouge Rule
of Cambodia Resulting in a Communist Genocide !

If your 'goal' is to return to a simple
Pre-Industrial Village Communal Society*
that will support about 1/10th the present

Earth's Population on this World Today

-then- Follow the Khmer Rouge.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Khmer_Rouge

-oops- You might-be one of the 9/10ths
who has to be 'eliminated' to get there.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Khmer_Rouge_rule_of_Cambodia

* ? Sustainable Un-Development ?
-or- ! Self-Imposed Communist Genocide !
http://www.yale.edu/cgp/
http://www.cambodiangenocide.org/genocide.htm
http://www.edwebproject.org/sideshow/khmeryears/index.html
.
.

3157. HOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 09:49:38 UTC
From: LPWS <3ghfhfffgg@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6bc71793-2154-4d64-92a7-9966ed0e3932@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231084

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html
dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

3158. "New York Radio" Volmet on 3485 kHz [USB] @ 0717 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 09:51:49 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <0b06a67d-5c88-4613-81ce-35f1cb98de55@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231085

"New York Radio" Volmet on 3485 kHz [USB] @ 0717 UTC
on 26 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S1~S2 with SIO 333 and fair audio

Heard Aviation Weather for : Bermuda, Miami, Nassau
and "This is New York Radio" ID
.
"New York Radio" Volmet [WSY 70] in kHz :
3485; 6604; 10,051; and 13,270 all using USB
.
Volmet and Weather Broadcasts [Frequencies in kHz]
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/83f58d68e4718e6f
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/1181ff02e3b4d6df
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3159. Radio Taiwan International (RTI) in Spanish on 5950 kHz @ 0612 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 10:18:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d93f6257-2b1e-49f5-9a5f-22664a13b06d@q9g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231086

Radio Taiwan International (RTI) in Spanish on 5950 kHz
@ 0612 UTC on 26 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 454 and good audio

Heard in Spanish {English IDs} RTI and Radio Taiwan
International, Obama, Taiwan, Chino, etc...

Note : Radio Taiwan International (RTI) in 'Spanish' from
WYFR in Florida [USA] beaming to the Western Coast
http://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/rti.htm
-source- BCL News .IT
.
Radio Taiwan International (RTI) -English-Portal-
http://english.rti.org.tw/default.aspx
.
RTI "English" Service Frequencies & Broadcast Times
http://english.rti.org.tw/Others/Frequencies.aspx
.
RTI Shortwave Radio Reception Report {QSL}
this is an On-Line Web-Form
http://english.rti.org.tw/customerservice/ReceptionForm.aspx
.
RTI Español Frecuencias
http://spanish.rti.org.tw/Others/Frequencies.aspx
Occidente de Norteamérica @ 06:00 - 07:00 UTC on 5950 KHz
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
5950 kHz @ 0600-0700 UTC
TWN : Radio Taiwan Int. : S : WNA : /USA-o
from Florida in Spanish to Western North America
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3160. Re: Tell me what is on 11.310.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 10:36:51 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DDE2D43.9E78C59@milestones.com>
Source record: 231087

jon boy kg4gfu wrote:

> Greetings All!
> I was listening on my non sideband RS. DX-396 and heard some coms on
> SSB that I suspect were military. They were shortlived then gone.
> Tell me what you think. Thanks a bunch!
> Jon Boy. kg4gfu.

As you were listening in non-sideband mode you may have been hearing the ICAO HF Network (aircraft) in the NAT-E area (Between New York and the Azores) on 11309 or LDOC (Long Distance Operational Control) out of Ottawa, CanaDuh on 11311, also aircraft.

Those are two possibilities.

3161. REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 5965 kHz @ 0630 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 10:41:33 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <6a999900-ada0-4612-a907-8a575f8b3a89@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231088

REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 5965 kHz @ 0630 UTC
on 26 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S9+10dB with SIO 555 and Good Audio

Heard Male Voice in Spanish and BOH "...._" Tone
and "REE" ID @ 0631 UTC
.
Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) via Costa Rica
http://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/
.
Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) Frequencies & Times
http://www.rtve.es/contenidos/documentos/frecuencias032011.pdf
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
5965 kHz @ 0400-0800 UTC
E : Radio Exterior España : S : SAm : /CTR
Spain REE in Spanish to Central America via Costa Rica
.
-other- Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) -reports-
* REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0550 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/a488224c4b831dc3
* REE in Spanish via Costa Rica on 3350 kHz @ 0520 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/3de876b1376b82fd
* Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) on 17850 kHz @ 21:05 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dace9126350a80f3
.
-note- REE Shortwave Transmitter Site is near
Cariari de Pococi, Costa Rica, Central America
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
5965 kHz @ 0400-0800 UTC
E : Radio Exterior España : S : SAm : /CTR
Spain REE in Spanish to Central America via Costa Rica
+plus+ BCL News .IT
http://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/ree.htm
+plus+ Short-Wave .Info
http://www.short-wave.info/index.php?station=R.Exterior+de+Espana
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.

Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3162. Top News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 11:25:42 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DDE38B6.528B0F98@milestones.com>
Source record: 231089

The latest edition (26 May) of Top News has been posted:

http://www.wwdxc.de/topnews.htm

dxAce
Michigan
USA

Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
70' and 200' wires
GE SR I (2) and II

239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the NASWA Country List.

And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of Technology & Design (Backwater U.).

They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.

3163. Re: The Treasury has been cleaned out and you're worried about airline tickets?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 11:54:19 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dde3f0b$0$12484$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231090

On 05/25/2011 10:19 PM, D. Peter Maus wrote:
> On 5/25/11 20:30 , Brenda Ann wrote:
>>
>>
>
>> Apparently you didn't notice the part where I agreed that these
>> searches are wrong. However, the decision to allow them is based
>> upon long precedence. There are many instances where your right to
>> privacy is nullified and you must submit to pretty much any
>> intrusion thereto. Most notably, of course, is a search at a jail or
>> prison. Those go far beyond the TSA procedure in many instances. You
>> are also subject to pat search and/or x-ray entering or leaving a
>> military compound (this is not pushed as a rule, but it IS there as
>> an option!) or US Embassy.
>>
>> As it stands, the TSA procedures are law, whether we like it or not.
>> As citizens and members of a larger society, it is upon us to obey
>> the law until such time as it can be overturned by the courts or by
>> congressional action. If the SCOTUS doesn't overturn it in the
>> future, it is incumbent upon us as citizens to petition our senators
>> and representatives to reverse it. Lacking that, we must elect
>> senators and representatives that WILL.
>
> Nicely said.
>

3164. Re: IC-7410 vs. TS-590 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 11:59:10 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dde402e$0$12484$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231091

On 05/25/2011 05:05 PM, Michael wrote:

> thanx for the fast reply, joe... when i spoke of direct control i was
> speaking of multi function buttons for things like mode and band
> selection rather than computer control. fromt the front of the ten
> tec rigs, they appear to have multi function buttons for some basic
> things. though, i would not be in objection to a real SDR rig if they
> start making them for linux. the fact that the ten tec rigs are made
> in america is attractive, but icom's build quality is very good too. i
> have an r-75 and it has been flawless for many years. probably one of
> the best value for dollar purchases i have ever made.

There is Linux control for all current receivers. See Hamlib. As far as
I/Q demodulators, the pickings are a little slimmer.

3165. Re: IC-7410 vs. TS-590 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 12:02:17 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dde40e9$0$12484$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231092

On 05/25/2011 03:47 PM, Michael wrote:
> Greetings...
>
> Have not posted here in ages... I gather there are still a few hams
> around/.
>
> Anyone have any experience with both the IC-7410 and TS-590 ???
>
> Trying to decide between the two. Also considered the FT-950 but it
> appears that both the IC-7410 and TS-590 are unanimously ranked above
> the FT-950.
>
> Also considered the Ten-Tec Eagle and Jupiter, but I really prefer
> direct controls for a desktop rig.
>
> Any advice would be appreciated.
>
> Thanx !
>
> Michael

Buy American!

3166. BBC World Service on 5875; 6195; 9740; 11,750; kHz @ 1200 UTC Hour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 12:16:54 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <e41a0c5d-479a-44a8-8407-bc4d555a562e@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231093

BBC World Service on 6195 kHz [49m] @ 1200 UTC
-from- Singapore on 25 Mayo 2011
{and again @ 1107 UTC on 26 Mayo 2011}

NOTE : For 'me' the BBC-WS on Shortwave Radio
is often Listened To... but seldom reported.

S-Meter : S5~S9 with SIO 444 and good audio

BBC News & BBC-WS and The News Hour IDs
@ 1203~1205 UTC

BBC Program : "The News Hour" -topics-
Obama in UK sees The Queen
Obama Meets with British PM David Cameron
Oprah's Final Show
Obama Speech Audio Clip
.
World-Wide -simulcasts- of the BBC World Service
on other Shortwave Radio Frequencies Heard here
at this time 1200 UTC {Noon London Time} to 1300
UTC 1PM :
* BBC-WS on 9740 kHz [31m] -from- Singapore
S-Meter : S8~S9 with SIO 545 and good audio
* BBC-WS on 11,750 kHz [25m] -from- Thailand
S-Meter : Solid S9 with SIO 555 and Very Good Audio
* BBC-WS on 5875 kHz [49m] -from- Thailand
S-Meter : S9~+10dB with SIO 555 and Very Good Audio
.
@ 1219 UTC Reporters Questions of Obama & PM
with Obama & PM Answers and more Q&A...
@ 1228 UTC -then-break-a-way-to-
News Hour and BBC-WS ID @ 1228 UTC
The Resonant Voice "The BBC" ID @ 1229 UTC
@ 1230 UTC BBC News -topics-
Afghanistan
Libya
'Women' French PM Candidate
Yemen
- - - - - - - - -
.
BBC World Service Global Short-Wave Frequencies
http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/schedules/frequencies/
.
BBC -program- "Newshour" {The News Hour}

http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/p002vsnk
.
BBC Frequencies and Sites for the 1200 UTC Hour
http://www.short-wave.info/index.php?station=BBC&language=&now=12&now2=00&submit=GO
-source- Short-Wave .Info
.
BBC World Service "A11" Schedule
http://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/bbc.htm
-source- BCL News .IT
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule Detailed List
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
Quick-Check to Look-Up a Frequency
.
Prior BBC-WS Shortwave Radio Reception Reports & Info :
* BBC World Service on 15,360 kHz @ 0110 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/b4c47303feb64609
* BBC-WS "News Hour" on 9605 kHz @ 1230 UTC {Thailand}
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/e187f84f741adafb
* BBC World Service on 7405 kHz @ 15:18 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/ddf32f6b33ca1591
* BBC World Service on 9740 kHz @ 09:10 UTC {Singapore}
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/841034dd09525eb1
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3167. Re: Tea party targets schools for 'Constitution Week'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 12:21:53 UTC
From: Slackjaw <SlackJaw57@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <xn0hekgje1i4140001@news.eternal-september.org>
Source record: 231094
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

John Smith wrote:

> On 5/25/2011 4:45 PM, Lookout wrote:
> >
http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20110525/ap_on_re_us/us_constitutional_conflict;_ylt=Aindul0ls1
.KGeDBk287pESs0NUE;_ylu=X3oDMTFlN3EyNXZ0BHBvcwM2NgRzZWMDYWNjb3JkaW9uX3Vfc19uZXdzBHNsawN0ZW
FwYXJ0eXRhcmc-
> >
> > "MALTA, Idaho ï¿½ America's kids will be learning about the U.S.
> > Constitution this coming school year with help from a decidedly
> > conservative Idaho publishing house, if a tea party group gets its
> > way.
> >
> > The Tea Party Patriots, Georgia-based but claiming 1,000 chapters
> > nationally, are instructing members to remind teachers that a 2004
> > federal law requires public schools to teach Constitution lessons
> > the week of Sept. 17, commemorating the day the document was
> > signed. And they'd like the teachers to use material from the
> > Malta, Idaho-based National Center for Constitutional Studies,
> > which promotes the Constitution as a divinely-inspired document."
> >
> >
> > Sure..just what America needs. A bunch of ignorant, cousin-fucking
> > maggots teaching our kids there was no "Civil War", but instead a
> > "War of Northern Aggression".
> >
> > "The center's founder, W. Cleon Skousen, once called Jamestown's
> > original settlers communists, wrote end-of-days prophecy and
> > suggested Russians stole Sputnik from the United States. In 1987,
> > one of his books was criticized for suggesting American slave
> > children were freer than white non-slaves."
> >
> > Yup..sounds like an inbred, cousin-fucking, maggot to me.

Sorry, but if you are an example of the education handed out at our
public school system, then I'm afraid you destroyed your own argument.
> >
> > "Though the National Center for Constitutional Studies is best known
> > for its promotion of Skousen's work, including "The 5,000 Year
> > Leap," a 1981 book that suggests Biblical inspiration for the
> > Constitution"
> >
> > Uh..our Founding Fathers said this country was NOT founded on
> > christianity you fucking fools.

>
> Excellent, a complete education is an education on all things ...
> fact, fantasy, science fiction, drama, deception, etc.
>
> And, using logic and reason to tell one from another develops the
> mind ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

--
-Slackjaw

If ignorance is bliss, why are there so many miserable liberals?

3168. Re: IC-7410 vs. TS-590 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 12:31:35 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <df40149f-66c2-4890-a773-d6651ced80c5@e13g2000vbo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231095

On May 25, 6:47 pm, Michael <mjd1...@verizon.net> wrote:
> Greetings...
>
> Have not posted here in ages... I gather there are still a few hams
> around/.
>
> Anyone have any experience with both the IC-7410 and TS-590 ???
>
> Trying to decide between the two. Also considered the FT-950 but it
> appears that both the IC-7410 and TS-590 are unanimously ranked above
> the FT-950.
>
> Also considered the Ten-Tec Eagle and Jupiter, but I really prefer
> direct controls for a desktop rig.
>
> Any advice would be appreciated.
>
> Thanx !
>
> Michael

I have some friends who've used both the TS-590 and the FT-950 and
they are definitely not unanimous in which rig they prefer. I think it
will depend largely on your operating habits and to what extent you
emphasize HF operation. I notice that the TS-590 only has 2 roofing
filters compared the the 3 in the FT-950.

3169. WWCR "The Power Hour" on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 13:15:40 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <11c94bfc-020d-4a45-8988-d958f549d25e@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231096

Yes Once Again . . .
It's Time for "The Power Hour" with Joyce Riley
http://www.thepowerhour.com/schedule.htm

7490 kHz - S-Meter : S8~S9 with SIO 454 and good audio
13,845 kHz - S-Meter : S3~S7 with SIO 333 and fair audio

-lead-off-topic- Tornado Preparedness : GET READY !
via WWCR on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC

The Topic for the 3rd Hour is US "Hurricane Season"
starts on 1 June 2011 -guest- Adam Lock
http://www.thepowerhour.com/schedule.htm
NOTE : Next Week is "Hurricane Preparedness Week"
.
WWCR on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC
"The Power Hour" with Joyce Riley
http://www.thepowerhour.com/
http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/powerHour/
.
It's Time for "The Power Hour" @ 1200~1500 UTC
-via- WWCR Shortwave Radio on 7490 & 13,845 kHz
http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
Note that 1200~1500 UTC -is- 5~8AM Pacific &
6~9AM Mountain & 7~10AM Central & 8~11AM Eastern
.
Hello -remember- It's Radio... So Just Listen and Enjoy :o)
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver & ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3170. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 13:22:58 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7e417ea9-787d-4df8-8787-59f83db22290@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 25, 9:41 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:

> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM, Iarnrod proved:
> > On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
> >> Mighty Iarnrod proved:
> >>> Yes, he would have been
> >> No,
> > > Yes, he would have been.
> No,

Yes, he would have been.

3171. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 13:43:03 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <0vlst6p2n2783l8ind3jclhc62jfe6lrum@4ax.com>
Source record: 231099
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On Wed, 25 May 2011 21:41:21 -0400, "Scout"
<me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:

>>>Sure it will, since with only one deduction there is nothing complex about
>>>the tax code, and nothing that can be done to help out such individuals or
>>>businesses to evade the tax owed.
>>
>> That's where we seem to disagree most strongly. Defining what is a
>> legitimate expense is not simple.
>
>What does "legitimate expense" have to do with anything?

Income taxes are based upon net income, not gross income. Obviously
when you buy a trinket for $2 and sell it for $3, your net income is
less than $3. You likely have other expenses that make it less than
$1. There are lots of laws defining what expenses are legitimate.

>Are you suggesting we have more than one deduction?

I'm not sure which deduction you are referring to here. The personal
deduction for being a person? If so, then someone with a child has
more than one deduction. Or are you referring to something else?

>Doesn't that simply impose the complexity you claim we need to avoid, and
>allow loopholes and special 'favors' that you claim we need to eliminate?

If I understand you correctly, laws defining allowable expenses impose
complexity - but not simply.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

3172. Re: KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto, CA -Un-Silent-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 13:44:55 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <56d347fb-04d0-4d8b-b28e-37315a341fc4@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231098

On May 25, 2:35 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 23, 5:57 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto, CA -Un-Silent-
> > on 23 Mayo 2011
>
> > Hearing KMPH this morning playing a constant
> > stream of Old Time Music with an occasional
> > KMPH Modesto ID -but- No Adz
>
> > Is this just periodic Transmitter Testing ?
> > -or- Is KMPH getting back On-the-Air ?
>
> > KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto, CAhttp://www.kmph840.com/
>
> > -more-info-
> > KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto,
CAhttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/KMPH_%28AM%29http://www.radio-locator.co...
> > .
> > -last-reported-13-oct-2010-
> > KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto, CA
-silent-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/58a289a7f111c14d
> > .
> > -story-
> > KMPH, 840 KCs, Modesto Shutting
Downhttp://www.modestoradiomuseum.org/KMPH%20OFF%20THE%20AIR.html
> > -story-
> > Modesto AM KMPH (840) will Turn Off the
Transmitterhttp://www.radio-info.com/news/modesto-am-kmph-840-will-turn-off-the-...
> > -story-
> > KMPH-AM “The Patriot” in Modesto, CA Will Go
Darkhttp://www.rbr.com/radio/engineering/station-ops/26956.html
> > * Aerial Photo of Radio Towers
> > .
> > .
> > yes - i heard it on the am/medium-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF

> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .
>
> -once-again-
> KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto, CA -Un-Silent-
> on 24 Mayo 2011
>
> Hearing KMPH this afternoon playing a constant
> stream of Old Time Music with an occasional
> KMPH Modesto ID -but- No Adz
>
> ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA
> .

-once-again-
KMPH-AM 840 kHz in Modesto, CA -Un-Silent-
on 25 & 26 Mayo 2011

Hearing KMPH this Mornings, Afternoons,
Nights and Overnight playing a constant
stream of Old Time Music with an occasional
KMPH Modesto ID -but- still No Adz

~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA
.
.

3173. The US Treasury Has Been Cleaned-Out By The Obama-Regime !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 13:47:10 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <a4784a78-d6a9-4e74-bc77-e477e4ed2d44@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 26, 4:54 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/25/2011 10:19 PM, D. Peter Maus wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/25/11 20:30 , Brenda Ann wrote:
>
> >> Apparently you didn't notice the part where I agreed that these
> >> searches are wrong. However, the decision to allow them is based
> >> upon long precedence. There are many instances where your right to
> >> privacy is nullified and you must submit to pretty much any
> >> intrusion thereto. Most notably, of course, is a search at a jail or
> >> prison. Those go far beyond the TSA procedure in many instances. You
> >> are also subject to pat search and/or x-ray entering or leaving a
> >> military compound (this is not pushed as a rule, but it IS there as
> >> an option!) or US Embassy.
>
> >> As it stands, the TSA procedures are law, whether we like it or not.
> >> As citizens and members of a larger society, it is upon us to obey
> >> the law until such time as it can be overturned by the courts or by
> >> congressional action. If the SCOTUS doesn't overturn it in the
> >> future, it is incumbent upon us as citizens to petition our senators
> >> and representatives to reverse it. Lacking that, we must elect
> >> senators and representatives that WILL.
>
> > Nicely said.

dave,,, Dave... DAVE !!!

-wrt-
The Treasury has been cleaned out
and you're worried about airline tickets?
-correction-
The US Treasury Has Been Cleaned-Out
By The Obama-Regime ! . . . and you're
worried about airline tickets ?
.
*Facts*Are*Facts* & =Numbers=Are=Numbers=
http://blog.heritage.org/?p=4210
.

The 1st Eight Months of the Obama Regime
Over Spending and Budget Overruns
=EQUALS=
The Eight Years of the Bush Regime Over
Spending and Budget Overruns
=EQUALS=
That's Twelve Times the Over Spending
-blame-obama-over-spending-blame-obama-
=EQUALS=
That's Twelve Times the Budget Overruns
-blame-obama-budget-overruns-blame-obama-
http://washingtonexaminer.com/politics/2009/02/obamas-trillions-dwarf-bushs-dangerous-spending
.
= Oops ! = Oops ! = Oops ! = Oops ! =
.
The Two (2) Years of the Obama Regime
Over Spending and Budget Overruns
=EQUALS=
All the Over Spending and Budget Overruns
by All the US Prez-A-Duntz Before Obama
=EQUALS= 2009-1776
That's 100+ Times the Over Spending
-blame-obama-over-spending-blame-obama-
=EQUALS=
That's 100+ Times the Budget Overruns
-blame-obama-budget-overruns-blame-obama-
http://www.wallywonderswhy.com/?p=2613
.
ADD Prez Obama's Escalated 'Right' War
in Afghanistan -more-war-spending-
.
ADD Prez Obama's New War for Oil in Libya
-new-war-spending- -a-lot-more-war-spending-
.
and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
-we-are-so-flat-broke-:-obama-busted-!-
.
.

3174. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 14:08:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DDE5ED4-3211@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231101

On his Super Talk Missy Sippy radio talk show this morning Paul Gallo
ran a short recorded audio blurb,,,,
They don't knock on the door anymore, they blow a whistle, (and you
could hear a whistle blowing) they want to talk about jobs, they want to
talk about factories and the economy.They don't call me
Mr.Representative, they call me Billy!

Hungary (Budapesti) has named Elvis Presley an Honorary Citizen, for his
stance against communism.
Good on yer, Hungary.
cuhulin

3175. Re: The Great "Rapture" Smack-Down a Shortwave Radio Event : It's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 14:14:11 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDE6033-10261@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231102

Haven't you heard? They (''They'') are crossing humans with non human animals nowadays.

Nobody knows when Jesus died either.It could be many years off.
We are all living on bororwed time.Some people say there is a website that shows when we will croak.
cuhulin

3176. Re: The US Treasury Has Been Cleaned-Out By The Obama-Regime !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 14:29:25 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDE63C5-10271@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231104

INELIGIBILITY: We Know
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=308156
cuhulin

3177. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 14:31:55 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <9Lydncb5F7rH-UPQnZ2dnUVZ5jidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3178. Re: IC-7410 vs. TS-590 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 14:35:31 UTC
From: Michael <mjd1966@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <fe68df19-c58c-4b6a-8693-b2a23eaa0add@gh5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231105

On May 26, 7:59 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/25/2011 05:05 PM, Michael wrote:
>
> > thanx for the fast reply, joe... when i spoke of direct control i was
> > speaking of multi function buttons for things like mode and band
> > selection rather than computer control. fromt the front of the ten
> > tec rigs, they appear to have multi function buttons for some basic
> > things. though, i would not be in objection to a real SDR rig if they
> > start making them for linux. the fact that the ten tec rigs are made
> > in america is attractive, but icom's build quality is very good too. i
> > have an r-75 and it has been flawless for many years. probably one of
> > the best value for dollar purchases i have ever made.
>
> There is Linux control for all current receivers. See Hamlib. As far as
> I/Q demodulators, the pickings are a little slimmer.

I was looking at the Flex-3000. Thus far, I have only read the info
on their web page and have not spoken with people who own one. It
appears on their web page that their control software is written for
Windows.

From their web site.

"For FlexRadio Systems products that would be an Intel or AMD based
personal computer and a recent Microsoft Windows operating system such
as XP or Vista. FlexRadio PowerSDR is the Windows based SDR software
from FlexRadio Systems that is the second part of the computer/SDR
software combination."

People have written their own Linux versions ???

3179. Re: The US Treasury Has Been Cleaned-Out By The Obama-Regime !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 14:38:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDE65DC-10279@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231106

More and more people are traveling via Choo Choo Train.In some cases you can get from point A to point wherever Faster via Train than flying.BUT, tsa Gropers are showing up at the Train Stations too,,, and Bus Stations.
cuhulin

3180. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 14:47:13 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <4YGdna8kQMiY9UPQnZ2dnUVZ5jydnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3181. Re: The Treasury has been cleaned out and you're worried about airline tickets?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 15:07:16 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irlqb3$9sa$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231108

On 5/26/2011 4:54 AM, dave wrote:

>> ...

This is true.

Some people would probably love to be able to afford an airplane ticket
to somewhere where "things" are better -- but, then, even if given the
money, finding the place would be their second problem ...

Regards,
JS

3182. SUPREME COURT gets one thing right!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 15:10:43 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irlqhi$9sa$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.latimes.com/news/nationworld/nation/sc-dc-court-immigration-ruling-20110526,0,1490104.story

Now we just have to get 49 other states to follow suit ...

Regards,
JS

3183. Re: The US Treasury Has Been Cleaned-Out By The Obama-Regime !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 15:12:30 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irlqkt$9sa$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231110

On 5/26/2011 7:38 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> More and more people are traveling via Choo Choo Train.In some cases you
> can get from point A to point wherever Faster via Train than flying.BUT,
> tsa Gropers are showing up at the Train Stations too,,, and Bus
> Stations.
> cuhulin
>

Yeah, it is like Alex Jones says, it is not about finding terrorists, it is about conditioning Americans to the right of governments unjust new search and seizure laws, under the (UN)patriot act ...

Regards,
JS

3184. Re: Why Not Rename the 'TSA' to "TFA" !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 15:14:02 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irlqnq$9sa$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/26/2011 1:24 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 25, 3:42 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> http://www.infowars.com/financial-terrorism-tsa-holds-texas-flights-h...
>>
>> You just can't make up shit like this!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Maybe they need to rename the TSA to the
> TFA for Touchy Feely Assholes !
>
> The "TFA"s Motto : You'll Be Back For More !
> .
>

Confucius say, "You can find TSA agents by their stinky fingers!"

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

3185. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 15:16:53 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231112
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
> >> > from the income tax.
>
> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>
> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when they
> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
> > decides you owe it on payday.
>
> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old luxury tax.
>

The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs that
are already built in to every product and service you buy. The luxury
tax would have been a tax on top of that.

> >> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>
> >> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax
> >> > as the method of funding government. If you fully understand the
> >> > FairTax
> >> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>
> >> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
> >> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
> >> gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger
> >> percentage of their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>
> > Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First, people
> > pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level.
>
> Which means that someone, somewhere needs to know your income.
>
> Every household
>

No, they just need to know how many people are in your household.
That determines the prebate, not one's income.

> > receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential goods
> > and services.
>
> I looked at the prebate schedule. Where in there does income come into
> it for that poverty level?

It doesn't. Nor does it need to. It only needs to figure what the
cost of essential goods and services are for a family of X number of
people. A family of four that makes $100,000 requires the same
essential goods and services as a family of four that makes $50,000.

>From what I see, it is based on number of
> adults and number of dependents.
>

Correct, that's all that is needed.

> Second, per my example an item that costs $100 today> still costs $100 under the FairTax.
>
> If that's regressive then sign me
>
> > up.
> > The poor are always going to pay a larger percentage of their income
> > on everything. No tax system is going to change that. Isn't that
> > what the bulk of this thread is about?
>
> Not on a flat tax like I proposed. The difference is slight, depending
> on your income, but it is there.
>

Not sure I follow. If taxpayer A makes less than taxpayer B, assuming
both buy the exact same thing then taxpayer A is always going to pay
more of a percentage of their income for buying something.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >> >> The FairTax is a replacement
>
> >> >> > for the income tax.
>
> >> >> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>
> >> > Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
> >> > better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
> >> > leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in
> >> > place as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls
> >> > of our current tax system.

>
> >> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
> >> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level
> >> wages for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or
> >> exemption if
> >> you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a postcard.
>
> > Under the FairTax you don't have to worry about deductions or
> > exemptions. You don't even have to do your taxes on a postcard
> > because there is nothing to do. April 15 would be just another
> > beautiful spring day.
>
> > Here's the problem with the flat tax, it retains the invasive income
> > tax administration apparatus and can easily revert to a graduated,
> > convoluted mess, as it has many times over many years.
>
> And your fair tax needs to know number of adults in the household along
> with number of dependents.

Correct. Again as it should. That's how the prebate is determined.

>There is also nothing there that prevents it
> from becoming another convoluted mess. Congress can fuck up a bowling
> ball.
>

Yes, congress can fuck up a bowling ball. In fact, the first implementation of our current tax system was just a handful of progressive tax brackets (several flat taxes if you will), with no exemptions, no deductions, etc. And look what happened. There is no reason to believe a flat tax would wind up going back to the convoluted mess we have now. Plus, you would still have a tax code, the IRS, the 16th Amendment, compliance costs, and on and on and on. Under the FairTax the tax code – gone, IRS – gone, 16th Amendment – gone, compliance costs – gone.

That said, congress can raise the FairTax rate just as it could raise the flat tax rate or can and does raise the income tax rate. The current income tax is effectively hidden. It's just taken every paycheck and I bet 99% of workers don't even know how much is being taken out every week. Out of sight out of mind. They just accept that government takes it. Purposely designed that way by government. The FairTax is highly visible (displayed on your receipt) and there is only one tax rate. Changing that will be harder for congress to do. Why? Because the FairTax affects EVERYBODY. The income tax does not. Right now, almost 50% of workers pay no federal income tax. It's easy for them to say raise taxes on the top 50% that actually pay. Raising the FairTax means raising it on them too. Good luck to any politician trying that.

> In addition, a
>

> > large part of the burden of the flat tax -- the business tax -- will
> > remain hidden from people in the retail price of goods and services.
>
> This is an interesting point since there are supposedly intelligent folks
> in this newsgroup that don't understand that all businesses end up
> passing all their costs to the consumer in the price of the product or
> service. If they don't, after awhile they go under.
>
> > Under a flat tax, individuals would still file an income tax return
> > each year. Postcard or not, it's still a return. While this is a
> > simple postcard, the record keeping requirement is still there. Under
> > the FairTax, individuals never file a tax return again, ever!
>
> Federally, that could be true, however, when looking at state and local
> taxes, it is bullshit.
>

Not could be…would be. There would be no federal filing. But, to
your larger point, the FairTax is a replacement to the federal income
tax, not state income taxes. Federal taxes are what is at issue
here. So, what would you rather do on 4/15? File federal, state and
local tax forms; or just a state and local?

> Under
>
> > the flat tax, the payroll tax would be retained and income tax
> > withholding would still be with us.
>
> Yep.
>
> > Under the FairTax, the payroll
> > tax, which is a larger and more regressive tax burden for most
> > Americans than is the income tax, is repealed.
>
> No, actually, it isn't. It is simply placed in the Fair Tax.
>

And once the FairTax is implemented none of that is withheld from your
paycheck. With the exception of state and/or local withholding you
keep 100% of your check. So, the payroll tax that is now effectively
incorporated into the FairTax is paid by you only when you buy a new
good or service. It's not automatically withheld from your pay. YOU
decide when to pay it. Not the government. So, where's the downside
to that?

> Under the FairTax, what
>
> > you earn is what you keep. No more withholding taxes; no more income
> > tax.
>
> Just more taxes on the point of sale while all taxes from state and local

> governments remains intact.
>

You are not accounting for the removal of the 23% built in costs that
YOU ARE ALREADY PAYING on every good and service that you buy (that
government doesn't even get, by the way – just wasted dollars). When
those built in costs go away you are back to the same price. See my
previous example.

> >> >> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>
> >> >> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>
> >> >> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments
> >> >> so that it does not become regressive.
>
> >> > I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>
> snip......
>
> >> Same taxpayer.....buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for
> >> them.
>
> > Stop right there. That's incorrect. Under the FairTax the $100 of
> > groceries will still cost $100. There's no need to even go any
> > further with your example.
>
> I was speaking of the actual worth of the product. Yes, there are
> business taxes, etc.. in there but one cannot generate a new tax without
> adding to what is already there. So a product which today costs $100
> plus city and state sales taxes will now cost the difference between the
> 23% sales tax and the old taxes on the product plus city and state sales
> taxes. What you have done is taken the taxes previously included the
> product price and moved them into your Fair Tax in addition to the hit on
> that tax replacing federal income taxes and FICA.
>

Nope. The item that costs $100 today will still cost $100. Here's
why. The built in compliance costs are, on average, 23%. Take that
away and your $100 now costs $77 (which already include the state and
city taxes you mention). Replace those compliance costs with the
FairTax and you are back to $100.

> >> Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of
> >> groceries...pays
> >
> >> $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger percentage of their income
> >> o
> > n
> >> a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we will not pay that tax on
> >> groceries....oooops, you just generated an exception.

>
> >> > Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
> >> > questions you might have:
>
> >> > 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
> >> > particular attention to the FAQ.
>
> I have.
>

mmmmmmm okay....

> >> > 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>
> Why? If they can't explain it on their website.....
>

Boortz and Linder didn't create the web site. They are advocates of
the FairTax and have their own writing on this. You can fit a whole
lot more into a book than you can a website. You really need to read
the book. You will not regret it.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >> > 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>
> >> > It will all become crystal clear.
>
> >> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for
> >> years.
> >
> >> With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the current
> >> system. Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the rich as
> >> poor poeple would never buy luxury taxed items. How did that work
> >> out?
>
> > You may be familiar with sales tax schemes, but it's clear you aren't
> > familiar with the FairTax. Instead of speculating as you have done
> > above why not go visit the site and base your criticisms on the plan
> > itself? You will find that many of the things you raised above are
> > answered there.
>
> Been there, read it.
>

Not all of it then because many of the questions you asked that I'm
replying to come right from the web site.

> > Look, I'm with you that a flat tax would be better than the current
> > system. Problem is that it, as opposed to something like the FairTax,
> > leaves itself open to far more manipulation than the FairTax. The tax
> > code itself is evidence of just that.
>
> Are you trying to say that Congress cannot fuck with the Fair Tax as much
> as they can fuck with a flat tax? I don't think so.
>

That's exactly what I'm saying and I explained why above.

> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

3186. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 15:23:59 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irlrae$9sa$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231113
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On 5/26/2011 2:03 AM, RHF wrote:

> ...
> 1st - We Have The Corporate Leader Setting
> The Corporate Agenda : Goals& Profits
> 2nd - We Have The Corporate Management United
> Behind The Corporate Leader
> 3rd - We Have The Corporate Workers and Agents
> United Behind The Corporate Management Leader
> .
> .

It was never about the system. Both socialism and capitalism can work. Libya is one example our criminal public servants are attacking, it had/has free medical for all, and free education for all, right up to the PhD levels ... it was/is not in massive debt and had, until now, escaped the problems we are all staring at ...

It can not be allowed to stand, a glaring jewel in the middle of war/poverty torn Africa and standing as an example to America.

While Qaddafi could certainly be a much better head of state, his system WAS benefiting people, I think this is the real danger to our criminals in charge of our government.

There is no humanitarian reason we are in Libya. The recent finding that Libya actually has 5x the oil reserves we thought it had is one. Stealing the gold is another, Libya was not in massive debt to the world banks -- this is another.

When we are done in Libya, someone, after a bit, should go ask the common person in the street if their lives are better. I'll just bet they will find themselves in deep doo-doo to match ours.

Regards,
JS

3187. Re: Radio Taiwan International (RTI) in Spanish on 5950 kHz @ 0612 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 15:29:35 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <269844c4-171a-4ff1-abfd-30a26a338c65@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231114

On May 26, 3:18 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Radio Taiwan International (RTI) in Spanish on 5950 kHz
> @ 0612 UTC on 26 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 454 and good audio
>
> Heard in Spanish {English IDs} RTI and Radio Taiwan
> International, Obama, Taiwan, Chino, etc...
>
> Note : Radio Taiwan International (RTI) in 'Spanish' from
> WYFR in Florida [USA] beaming to the Western
Coasthttp://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/rti.htm
> -source- BCL News .IT
> .
> Radio Taiwan International (RTI) -English-Portal-http://english.rti.org.tw/default.aspx
> .
> RTI "English" Service Frequencies & Broadcast
Timeshttp://english.rti.org.tw/Others/Frequencies.aspx
> .
> RTI Shortwave Radio Reception Report {QSL}
> this is an On-Line Web-Formhttp://english.rti.org.tw/customerservice/ReceptionForm.aspx
> .
> RTI Español Frecuenciashttp://spanish.rti.org.tw/Others/Frequencies.aspx
> Occidente de Norteamérica @ 06:00 - 07:00 UTC on 5950 KHz
> .
> EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> 5950 kHz @ 0600-0700 UTC
> TWN : Radio Taiwan Int. : S : WNA : /USA-o
> from Florida in Spanish to Western North America
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

Do we know the best Taiwan-direct broadcast for U.S. reception? I
rarely hear a good signal from Taiwan in English....

3188. Re: Top News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 15:33:34 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d4db6980-0fbc-4aa7-93c1-6b087861bb93@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231115

On May 26, 4:25 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> The latest edition (26 May) of Top News has been posted:
>
> http://www.wwdxc.de/topnews.htm
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
> Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
> 70' and 200' wires
> GE SR I (2) and II
>
> 239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the
> NASWA Country List.
>
> And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of
> Technology & Design (Backwater U.).
>
> They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about
> being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.

Thanks, Steve!

3189. Re: Tell me what is on 11.310.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 15:42:54 UTC
From: jon boy kg4gfu <jonrev1978@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <34fa9511-41b7-4a39-b787-c519cafe9a4f@s14g2000vbi.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231117

On May 26, 6:36 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> jon boy kg4gfu wrote:
> Greetings Ace and all!
It must have been one of those two. I must have caught it at the end
of transmition as it ended very soon after I heard it come on. Thanks
for the input both of you guys! Jon Boy. kg4gfu.
>
> As you were listening in non-sideband mode you may have been hearing the ICAO
> HF Network (aircraft) in the NAT-E area (Between New York and the Azores) on
> 11309 or LDOC (Long Distance Operational Control) out of Ottawa, CanaDuh on
> 11311, also aircraft.
>
> Those are two possibilities.

3190. Re: IC-7410 vs. TS-590 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 15:43:05 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dde74ab$0$12519$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231116

On 05/26/2011 07:35 AM, Michael wrote:
> On May 26, 7:59 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 05/25/2011 05:05 PM, Michael wrote:
>>

>
> I was looking at the Flex-3000. Thus far, I have only read the info
> on their web page and have not spoken with people who own one. It
> appears on their web page that their control software is written for
> Windows.
>
> From their web site.
>
> "For FlexRadio Systems products that would be an Intel or AMD based
> personal computer and a recent Microsoft Windows operating system such
> as XP or Vista. FlexRadio PowerSDR is the Windows based SDR software
> from FlexRadio Systems that is the second part of the computer/SDR
> software combination."
>
> People have written their own Linux versions ???

Power SDR uses DirectX which requires Windows.

3191. Re: Federal blockade of Texas demonstrate financial terrorism of TSA!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 15:44:41 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irlsh8vfg1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/25/2011 3:42 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
>
> http://www.infowars.com/financial-terrorism-tsa-holds-texas-flights-hostage/
>
>
> You just can't make up shit like this!
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

"The feds cannot keep relying on mafia-like behavior to preserve the
ability of their criminal army of minimum wage perverts to molest
children, at some point down the line lawmakers will have the guts to
stand up and say no, and at that point a wave of TSA resistance will
sweep legislatures across the country."

http://www.infowars.com/rage-against-the-tsa-protesters-storm-texas-capitol/

Regards,
JS

3192. Re: Radio Taiwan International (RTI) in Spanish on 5950 kHz @ 0612 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 15:46:43 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4dde7586$0$12457$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231119

On 05/26/2011 08:29 AM, bpnjensen wrote:

>
> Do we know the best Taiwan-direct broadcast for U.S. reception? I
> rarely hear a good signal from Taiwan in English....

http://vtuner.com/setupapp/guide/asp/BrowseStations/BrowsePremiumStations.asp?sCategory=Taiwan&sBrowseType=Location&sNiceLOFO=Taiwan

3193. Re: Tea party targets schools for 'Constitution Week'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 15:57:15 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irlt8pvfg3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231120
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/26/2011 8:46 AM, Lookout wrote:
> On Thu, 26 May 2011 07:04:58 -0700 (PDT), Barry
> <barry_worthington@sky.com> wrote:
>
>> On May 26, 12:45 pm, "Slackjaw"<SlackJa...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> max headroom wrote:
>>>> "Neoconis_Ignoramus"<bellamac...@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:a3145cd4-24e7-4957-a61e-aa415c1518e1@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com
>>>> ...
>>>
>>>>> On 5/25/2011 4:45 PM, Lookout wrote:
>>>
>>>>>> Uh..our Founding Fathers said this country was NOT founded on
>>>>>> christianity you fucking fools.
>>>
>>>> Cite, please?
>>>
>>> So...ever get an answer to that?
>>
>> Yes. I gave him one. The Treaty of Tripoli, as ratified by Congress.
>>
>> Dr. Barry Worthington
>>
>>>
>>> --
>>> -Slackjaw
>>>
>>> If ignorance is bliss, why are there so many miserable liberals?
>
> The reason I didn't respond is because I have the asshole filtered.
>
> And I've posted that many, MANY times.
>
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Treaty_of_Tripoli#Article_11
> "Art. 11. As the Government of the United States of America is not, in
> any sense, founded on the Christian religion,..."
>
> This treaty was initiated by Washington, signed by Adams and passed
> UNANOUMOUSLY in the Senate in 1797. Those WERE our Founding Fathers
> voting on it.
>
> ANYONE who says this was founded as a christian nation is either
> A: Lying

> B: Ignorant
> C: An idiot
>
> It's been posted in here many, many times therefore anyone who says it
> in here is an IDIOT.

Well, we were all given creator granted and unalienable rights, mentions a creator (God) and that sentence even includes examples:

"We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness." -- from The Declaration of Independence.

Regards,
JS

3194. Re: Tea party targets schools for 'Constitution Week'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 16:15:13 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irluafvfg8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231121
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/26/2011 9:07 AM, Salty Stan wrote:
> On May 26, 11:57 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/26/2011 8:46 AM, Lookout wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On Thu, 26 May 2011 07:04:58 -0700 (PDT), Barry
>>> <barry_worthing...@sky.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> On May 26, 12:45 pm, "Slackjaw"<SlackJa...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> max headroom wrote:
>>>>>> "Neoconis_Ignoramus"<bellamac...@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:a3145cd4-24e7-4957-a61e-aa415c1518e1@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com
>>>>>> ...
>>
>>>>>>> On 5/25/2011 4:45 PM, Lookout wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>> Uh..our Founding Fathers said this country was NOT founded on
>>>>>>>> christianity you fucking fools.
>>
>>>>>> Cite, please?
>>
>>>>> So...ever get an answer to that?
>>
>>>> Yes. I gave him one. The Treaty of Tripoli, as ratified by Congress.
>>
>>>> Dr. Barry Worthington
>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> -Slackjaw
>>
>>>>> If ignorance is bliss, why are there so many miserable liberals?
>>
>>> The reason I didn't respond is because I have the asshole filtered.
>>
>>> And I've posted that many, MANY times.
>>
>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Treaty_of_Tripoli#Article_11
>>> "Art. 11. As the Government of the United States of America is not, in
>>> any sense, founded on the Christian religion,..."
>>

>>> This treaty was initiated by Washington, signed by Adams and passed
>>> UNANOUMOUSLY in the Senate in 1797. Those WERE our Founding Fathers
>>> voting on it.
>>
>>> ANYONE who says this was founded as a christian nation is either
>>> A: Lying
>>> B: Ignorant
>>> C: An idiot
>>
>>> It's been posted in here many, many times therefore anyone who says it
>>> in here is an IDIOT.
>>
>> Well, we were all given creator granted and unalienable rights, mentions
>> a creator (God) and that sentence even includes examples:
>>
>> "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created
>> equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable
>> Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of
>> Happiness." -- from The Declaration of Independence.
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> I have heard that every state constitution references God in some way.
>
> But Christian principles were clearly an influence of the founding
> fathers.

Absolutely, you think that logic and reason does not make that quite
apparent?

Those who argue otherwise are soon shown to be the buffoons they are, by
the very documents in question!

Regards,
JS

3195. Re: More good economic news -- NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 16:20:40 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irlukmvfg10@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231122
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/26/2011 9:15 AM, Gray Ghost wrote:

> Boy, jug ears really fixed it, didn't he?
> ...

http://wallstreetpit.com/76445-new-jobless-claims-rise-by-10000-last-week

Regards,
JS

3196. The New Improved Obama-Regime Patriot-Act {Liberal-Fascism}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 16:31:27 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <b5e7fa9e-9bb5-4c52-9f7e-1718d290ad5f@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231123

On May 26, 8:12 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/26/2011 7:38 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > More and more people are traveling via Choo Choo Train.In some cases you
> > can get from point A to point wherever Faster via Train than flying.BUT,
> > tsa Gropers are showing up at the Train Stations too,,, and Bus
> > Stations.
> > cuhulin

- Yeah, it is like Alex Jones says, it is
- not about finding terrorists, it is about
- conditioning Americans to the right of
- governments unjust new search and seizure
- laws, under the (UN)patriot act ...
-
- Regards,
- JS

Prez Obama is "FOR" Re-Upping The 'Obama' Patriot Act
-the-new-improved-obama-patriot-act-

All Those Liberals Who Called Bush a 'Fascist'
-it's-now-the-obama-regime's-patriot-act-

Now Have To Live With Obama Liberal-Fascism
Under the Obama-Regime's Patriot Act.
-proof-liberal-fascism-is-real-

and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
-blame-obama- -blame-obama- -blame-obama-
.
.

3197. Re: Tea party targets schools for 'Constitution Week'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 16:33:20 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irlvcevfg15@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231124
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/26/2011 9:10 AM, Yoorghis@Jurgis.net wrote:
> On Thu, 26 May 2011 08:57:15 -0700, John Smith<win.court@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>>
>>> ANYONE who says this was founded as a christian nation is either
>>> A: Lying
>>> B: Ignorant
>>> C: An idiot
>>>
>>> It's been posted in here many, many times therefore anyone who says it
>>> in here is an IDIOT.
>>
>> Well, we were all given creator granted and unalienable rights, mentions
>> a creator (God) and that sentence even includes examples:
>
> So, last I heard Islam recognizes the same god as Jews and Christians.
>
>> "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created
>> equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable
>> Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of
>> Happiness." -- from The Declaration of Independence.
>
> Doesn't say Christian God, does it?
>
>
>> ==
>
> "When Moses told the children of Israel that he received the two
> tables of the commandments from the hands of God, they were not
> obliged to believe him, because they had no other authority for
> it that his tellng them so; and I have no other authority for
> it than some historian tellig me me so. "
>
> "The commandments carry no internal evidence of divinity with them;
> they contain some good moral precepts, such as any man qualfied
> to be a law-giver, or a legislator, could produce himself, without
> having recourse to supernatural intervention."
>
> (it is, however, necessary to except the declarartion which says
> that God "visits the sins of the afathers upon the children";
> --it is contrary to every principle of moral justice)
>

> Thomas Paine: Founder "Age of Reason"

TRUE!

The Ten Commandments are just a list of things which I consider makes a good neighbor. To me, they are mandatory as such.

Regards,
JS

3198. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 16:36:15 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF161B652FA5hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231125
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irk50j$3b9$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF0910DC3319hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in
>> news:irjplt$t30$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9EF07B4BACE78hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in
>>>> news:irgvu8ott1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:Xns9EEF759361DABhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>>>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irgpv8dcm1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:Xns9EEF6998522Fhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>>>>>> John Smith <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irgik5$f2r$3@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that innuendo
>>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>> keep
>>>>>>>>> attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying 42%
>>>>>>>>> of
>>>>> all
>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>>>>>>>>> paying

>>>>>> half
>>>>>>>> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying
>>>>>>>> their
>>>>>> fair
>>>>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Oh, you mean one like this?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> A tax on *ALL* income no matter where derived. One deduction.
>>>>>> Federal
>>>>>>>> poverty level for a family of four and everybody gets that
>>>> deduction.
>>>>>>>> Have a tax rate of, say 15% and the current poverty level at
>>>>>>>> $24K
>>>> and
>>>>>> we
>>>>>>>> get the following:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> A person who earns up to $24K, pays nada...
>>>>>>>> A person who earns $50K, pays $3,900 (50-24x15%)
>>>>>>>> A person who earns $100K, pays $11,400 (100-24x15%)
>>>>>>>> A person who earns $500K, pays $71,400 (500-24x15%)
>>>>>>>> A person who earns a million pays $146,400 (1000-24x15%)
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> That do it for you?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> .
>>>>>>> .
>>>>>>> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $50,000 guy he becomes homeless
>>>>>>> If you add a $1,000 tax to the $1,000,000 guy...he never notices
>>>>>>> it.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> That's what's UNFAIR.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Nope, what's unfair is YOU expecting OTHERS to pay for what YOU
>>>>>> want.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The EFFECT on the wealthy taxpayer is nil.
>>>>>>> The EFFECT on the low income tax payer is catastrophic.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Interestingly, no one is asking that $50K guy for that extra
>>>>>> grand,
>>>> but
>>>>>> here you are whining that the million dollar guy won't as
>>>>>> affected.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The problem Democrats will have is that sometime they will run
>>>>>> out of other people's money.
>>>>>>

>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> --
>>>>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>>>>> Don't complain.
>>>>>
>>>>> That's what is unfair.
>>>>> A small increase of tax on a low earner is a huge burden
>>>>> The same increase on a wealthy person is INSIGNIFICANT.
>>>>
>>>> No one is asking for the same increase from both parties, you
>>>> idiot. Besides if the low earner is really a low earner like the
>>>> 45% who don't pay tax in the first place, how can you increase the
>>>> tax on them. They still won't reach the AGI that pays taxes.
>>>> Increasing the tax percentage doesn't do a damn thing to change
>>>> their AGI.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>>
>>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>>> Don't complain.
>>>
>>> I gave an example of how the same number (dollars) has a different
>>> affect on people of different wealth.
>>> You didn't understand it.....
>>
>>
>> OH, I understood it. Just commented that no one is asking for that
>> so it is a canard and little else.
>
> Yep, and he neglicted to consider how the incomes would increase to
> cause that extra tax to be imposed.

Hey, he's a Democrat. You can't really expect them to think things
through.

> Wage earner - 13% increase in net income
> Wealth class - 0.3% increase in net income.

Yep.

> Sure the tax seems higher to the lower paid individual, but so does
> the increase in income.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3199. Re: The New Improved Obama-Regime Patriot-Act {Liberal-Fascism}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 16:37:27 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irlvk5vfg16@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231126

On 5/26/2011 9:31 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 26, 8:12 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/26/2011 7:38 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>> More and more people are traveling via Choo Choo Train.In some cases you
>>> can get from point A to point wherever Faster via Train than flying.BUT,
>>> tsa Gropers are showing up at the Train Stations too,,, and Bus
>>> Stations.
>>> cuhulin
>
> - Yeah, it is like Alex Jones says, it is
> - not about finding terrorists, it is about
> - conditioning Americans to the right of
> - governments unjust new search and seizure
> - laws, under the (UN)patriot act ...
> -
> - Regards,
> - JS
>
> Prez Obama is "FOR" Re-Upping The 'Obama' Patriot Act
> -the-new-improved-obama-patriot-act-
>
> All Those Liberals Who Called Bush a 'Fascist'
> -it's-now-the-obama-regime's-patriot-act-
>
> Now Have To Live With Obama Liberal-Fascism
> Under the Obama-Regime's Patriot Act.
> -proof-liberal-fascism-is-real-
>
> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
> -blame-obama- -blame-obama- -blame-obama-
> .
> .

The plans which obama represents are not his, they are the plans of the bush administration just carried on down the path further.

The next president will simply pick up these plans and carry them further. An examination of history will quickly show this has been happening since the assassination of Kennedy.

I would bet on it ...

Regards,
JS

3200. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 16:38:30 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF162182AC6Chopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231127
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

con.ed@comnet.net wrote in news:n9frt6593bobj042nq2u3hiftfqo0tqhv3@
4ax.com:

> On Wed, 25 May 2011 16:42:39 -0500, RD Sandman
> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
>>> The poor are always going to pay a larger percentage of their income
>>> on everything. No tax system is going to change that. Isn't that
>>> what the bulk of this thread is about?
>>
>>Not on a flat tax like I proposed. The difference is slight, depending
>>on your income, but it is there.
>
> ALl that does is funnel more and more wealth to fewer and fewer
> people, placing more burden on the lowest income levels.

Nope. It is a fair tax based on income. It is very simple, but,
apparently, you still can't understand it. ;)

> Keep Killfiling you pathetic fuckwit, RDLOON

Please do. If you can't keep up, just bail out.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3201. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 16:41:19 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <irlvrfmdm1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231128
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

On 5/25/2011 7:47 PM, John Smith wrote:

> Cigarette smoking is down, lung cancer is up,

Well, you're wrong again, as usual. From the National Cancer Institute:

"The rates for new cancer cases and deaths for all cancers combined
continued to decline in the United States..."

And while we are on the subject, you DO realize that other things can
cause lung cancer besides cigarettes, like smog, radon and industrial
pollution. Finally, it has *CLEARLY* been demonstrated time and again
that smoking DOES cause lung cancer. Thus an intelligent person would
conclude that reducing smoking (by taxes, education or whatever other
means), *will* reduce lung cancer. The obvious conclusion is that you
are not an intelligent person.

> it NEVER was anything but a tax ploy and to cause more government,
> worthless, jobs to suck up tax payer monies ...

"Government worthless jobs"?

You mean those government employees don't buy houses, goods and services
and contribute to the economy? They aren't taxpayers? Would you rather
they be on welfare or unemployment?

3202. Re: Federal blockade of Texas demonstrate financial terrorism of TSA!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 16:42:22 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <irlvtemdm2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231129

On 5/25/2011 9:30 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:

> Apparently you didn't notice the part where I agreed that these [TSA] searches
> are wrong.

Wrong? Maybe.

However, it is even MORE wrong for a fool to get on an airplane with a bomb in his skivvies.

No, I don't like the searches either, but I like it a whole lot more than getting blown to Kingdom Come.

It's a sad commentary on the age we live in, but sometimes we have to choose between the lesser of two evils.

Final thought...if a bomber did make it on board a plane and killed everyone, you can take it to the bank that people would be positively howling "How could this happen? Why didn't you catch this guy?"

Sorry, folks. It's the age we live in and you can't have it both ways. Searches or get blown up.

Pick ONE.

3203. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 16:43:29 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <irlvvimdm3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231130
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/25/2011 10:39 PM, John Smith wrote:

> You can't do the homework for me, because what I say is true.

So, just because you say it (with no proof), it's true? We are supposed
to trust the likes of YOU??? Bwahahahahahahaha...

How megalomaniacal of you.

3204. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 16:45:32 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irm03avfg17@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231131
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

On 5/26/2011 9:41 AM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:

>> ...

Here is a guy who has taken the time to dig though the figures and
produce data:

http://cfrankdavis.wordpress.com/2011/04/18/smoking-down-lung-cancer-up/

If you have more pertinent/valid data, by all means, please present it.

Regards,
JS

3205. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 16:47:02 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irm064vfg18@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231132
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 5/26/2011 9:43 AM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:
> On 5/25/2011 10:39 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
>
>> You can't do the homework for me, because what I say is true.
>
> So, just because you say it (with no proof), it's true? We are supposed
> to trust the likes of YOU??? Bwahahahahahahaha...
>
> How megalomaniacal of you.

Because of the complete failure, of you, to produce any valid, credible
and meaning data ... you earn the golden plonk award ...

... plonk ...

Regards,
JS

3206. ? Hey... Is It True Obama 'Bowed' To The Queen !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 16:54:11 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7ef68ec6-0532-4a20-a639-8b4217424cf9@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 26, 7:29 am, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:

- INELIGIBILITY : We Know
- http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=308156
- cuhulin

Cuhulin,

? Hey... Is It True Obama 'Bowed' To "The Queen"* !

* Queen Elizabeth II of the United Kingdom(s)
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Queen_Elizabeth_II

Obama as a Born-in-Kenya {1961} British
Citizen and Loyal Subject of The Queen :
Obama Bowed Three Times To His Sovereign
salami,,, Pepperoni... BALONEY ! ! ! ;;-}}

now is that true... nah! ~ RHF
.
? Where's the Birth Certificate?:
"The Case That Barack Obama Is Not Eligible
To Be President" the new tell-it-all book
-by- Jerome Corsi
http://www.amazon.com/dp/1936488299/
Yeah - President Obama is Already Feeling
The Heat from Corsi's New Tell-It-All Book
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.california.illegals/msg/a952b7fb6f3ed607
.
But First For Some 'Birther' Humor :o)
Oops... It's Hanging Chad's All Over Again !!!
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/3d7638c4f0297e27
.
Jerome Corsi's Book About Obama Reveals
Many More Unanswered Questions Beyond
the Birth Certificate Issue...
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/b1f1247d81f80510
.
Bow Down Before Your 'Queen' Lord Obama !
-bow- -bow- -bow- -bow-wow-wow-wow-
.
.

3207. Re: John Smith finally gets one thing right!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 16:55:29 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28990-4DDE8601-265@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231136

Trevor Bayne from Ocean Springs,Missy Sippy got something Right,,, Unh Huh.
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Mississippi+Man+Wins+NASCAR+Race

Rock on, Trevor Bayne,,, ROCK ONNNNN,,,,,,
cuhulin, NASCAR & Daytona 500 R US

3208. Trump/Corsi vs. the fraudulent obama birth certificate -- dynamic duo fights for justice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 16:57:40 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irm0q2$sl5$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.paramuspost.com/article.php/20110525160337406

http://www.mediaite.com/online/donald-trump-my-expert-says-obamas-birth-certificate-is-computer-generated/

Crimes are like that, they just won't go away ...

Regards,
JS

3209. Re: SUPREME COURT gets one thing right!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 16:59:11 UTC
From: "lab~rat >:-)" <chase@cheeze.net>
Message-ID: <ql1tt6ljgvqtp5vg4mqsvcpat606o3uq64@4ax.com>
Source record: 231135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Thu, 26 May 2011 08:10:43 -0700, John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
puked:

>
>
>http://www.latimes.com/news/nationworld/nation/sc-dc-court-immigration-ruling-20110526,0,
1490104.story
>
>Now we just have to get 49 other states to follow suit ...
>
>Regards,
>JS

Making businesses do the job the government is too pussy to do, crack
down on illegal aliens.
--
lab~rat >:-)
Do you want polite or do you want sincere?

3210. Re: SUPREME COURT gets one thing right!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:01:18 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irm10s$sl5$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/26/2011 9:59 AM, lab~rat >:-) wrote:

> ...
> Making businesses do the job the government is too pussy to do, crack
> down on illegal aliens.
> --
> lab~rat>:-)
> Do you want polite or do you want sincere?

You are confused, this is all about making business pay for their crimes
against American citizens ...:

http://www.latimes.com/news/nationworld/nation/sc-dc-court-immigration-ruling-20110526,0,1
490104.story

Regards,
JS

3211. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:05:12 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF1669F2AB96hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231138
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:

> On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@
> y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
>> >> > revenue from the income tax.
>>
>> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when
>> > the
> y
>> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
>> > decides you owe it on payday.
>>
>> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old luxury
>> tax.
>>
>
> The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs that
> are already built in to every product and service you buy.

Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same revenue source as
the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue source from
income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the 'before' costs added
to the price of purchase.

The luxury
> tax would have been a tax on top of that.

And to cover the loss of revenue from the income tax being removed, it is
also added into that Fair Tax number.

>> >> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>
>> >> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income
>> >> > tax as the method of funding government. If you fully
>> >> > understand the FairTax
>> >> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>

>> >> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales
>> >> tax from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
>> >> gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger
>> >> percentage of their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>>
>> > Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. ?First, people
>> > pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level.
>>
>> Which means that someone, somewhere needs to know your income.
>>
>> ?Every household
>>
>
> No, they just need to know how many people are in your household.
> That determines the prebate, not one's income.

How do you you receive that prebate? Do you get a check every month?

>> > receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential
>> > goods and services.
>>
>> I looked at the prebate schedule. ?Where in there does income come
>> into it for that poverty level? ?
>
> It doesn't. Nor does it need to. It only needs to figure what the
> cost of essential goods and services are for a family of X number of
> people. A family of four that makes $100,000 requires the same
> essential goods and services as a family of four that makes $50,000.

And how is that prebate received?

>>From what I see, it is based on number of
>> adults and number of dependents.
>>
>
> Correct, that's all that is needed.
>
>> ?Second, per my example an item that costs $100 today> still costs
>> $100
> under the FairTax.
>>
>> ? If that's regressive then sign me
>>
>> > up.
>> > The poor are always going to pay a larger percentage of their
>> > income on everything. ?No tax system is going to change that.
>> > ?Isn't that what the bulk of this thread is about?
>>
>> Not on a flat tax like I proposed. ?The difference is slight,
>> depending on your income, but it is there.

>>
>
> Not sure I follow. If taxpayer A makes less than taxpayer B, assuming
> both buy the exact same thing then taxpayer A is always going to pay
> more of a percentage of their income for buying something.

My flat income tax proposal is on income not goods.

>> >> >> The FairTax is a replacement
>>
>> >> >> > for the income tax.
>>
>> >> >> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>
>> >> > Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
>> >> > better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which
>> >> > then leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th
>> >> > amendment in place as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on
>> >> > about the pitfalls of our current tax system.
>>
>> >> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
>> >> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level
>> >> wages for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or
>> >> exemption if
>> >> you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a postcard.
>>
>> > Under the FairTax you don't have to worry about deductions or
>> > exemptions. You don't even have to do your taxes on a postcard
>> > because there is nothing to do. April 15 would be just another
>> > beautiful spring day.
>>
>> > Here's the problem with the flat tax, it retains the invasive
>> > income tax administration apparatus and can easily revert to a
>> > graduated, convoluted mess, as it has many times over many years.
>>
>> And your fair tax needs to know number of adults in the household
>> along with number of dependents.
>
> Correct. Again as it should. That's how the prebate is determined.

And how is that prebate handled? There is really nothing in the proposal
that indicates that.

>>There is also nothing there that prevents it
>> from becoming another convoluted mess. Congress can fuck up a
>> bowling ball.
>>
>
> Yes, congress can fuck up a bowling ball. In fact, the first
> implementation of our current tax system was just a handful of

> progressive tax brackets (several flat taxes if you will),

Prograssive tax brackets do not a flat tax make.

with no
> exemptions, no deductions, etc. And look what happened. There is no
> reason to believe a flat tax would wind up going back to the
> convoluted mess we have now.

I think you meant to say "wouldn't". Anyway, there is no reason to
believe that a Fair Tax wouldn't either.

Plus, you would still have a tax code,
> the IRS, the 16th Amendment, compliance costs, and on and on and on.
> Under the FairTax the tax code gone, IRS gone, 16th Amendment
> gone, compliance costs gone.
>
> That said, congress can raise the FairTax rate just as it could raise
> the flat tax rate or can and does raise the income tax rate. The
> current income tax is effectively hidden.

So are the costs contained in the Fair Tax. I saw no provision for
showing them.

It's just taken every
> paycheck and I bet 99% of workers don't even know how much is being
> taken out every week. Out of sight out of mind.

That would effectively be the same with the Fair Tax. You would have it
taken out on every purchase but no indication of what all was in it in
what amounts.

They just accept
> that government takes it.

Same with your sales tax.

Purposely designed that way by government.
> The FairTax is highly visible (displayed on your receipt) and there is
> only one tax rate.

That isn't the problem. Taxpayers DO know what is in their income tax.
They do not know what portion of that Fair Tax is the replacement for
income tax, what portion is corporate taxes, what portion is government
taxes for whatever purpose when Congress changes the percentage of the
Fair Tax.

Changing that will be harder for congress to do.
> Why? Because the FairTax affects EVERYBODY. The income tax does
> not. Right now, almost 50% of workers pay no federal income tax.

The only folks who would pay no federal income tax under my proposal
would be those who income was below the federally declared poverty line
for a family of four and EVERYBODY gets that one and only deduction.

> It's easy for them to say raise taxes on the top 50% that actually
> pay.

No, it isn't or Obama would have done it in lieu of extending the Bush
taxcuts.

Raising the FairTax means raising it on them too. Good luck to
> any politician trying that.

As does raising the income tax percentages or do you think politicians
make less than the poverty level? ;)

>> In addition, a
>>
>> > large part of the burden of the flat tax -- the business tax --
>> > will remain hidden from people in the retail price of goods and
>> > services.
>>
>> This is an interesting point since there are supposedly intelligent
>> folks in this newsgroup that don't understand that all businesses end
>> up passing all their costs to the consumer in the price of the
>> product or service. If they don't, after awhile they go under.
>>
>> > Under a flat tax, individuals would still file an income tax return
>> > each year. Postcard or not, it's still a return. While this is a
>> > simple postcard, the record keeping requirement is still there.
>> > Under the FairTax, individuals never file a tax return again, ever!
>>
>> Federally, that could be true, however, when looking at state and
>> local taxes, it is bullshit.
>>
>
> Not could be would be. There would be no federal filing.

Which isn't done with state and local taxes anyway. They currently get
used as a deduction on federal income tax, but even though there is no
federal income tax, they still need to do state taxes. All they have
saved is entering a number.

But, to
> your larger point, the FairTax is a replacement to the federal income
> tax, not state income taxes.

Which is what I said.

Federal taxes are what is at issue
> here. So, what would you rather do on 4/15? File federal, state and
> local tax forms; or just a state and local?

When I do my federal taxes, TurboTax, for example, also does my state
taxes. The extra time for the state tax is about 5 minutes.

>> Under
>>

>> > the flat tax, the payroll tax would be retained and income tax
>> > withholding would still be with us.
>>
>> Yep.
>>
>> > Under the FairTax, the payroll
>> > tax, which is a larger and more regressive tax burden for most
>> > Americans than is the income tax, is repealed.
>>
>> No, actually, it isn't. It is simply placed in the Fair Tax.
>>
>
> And once the FairTax is implemented none of that is withheld from your
> paycheck.

My point was that it was still there. You just don't see it or really
know how much it is.

With the exception of state and/or local withholding you
> keep 100% of your check. So, the payroll tax that is now effectively
> incorporated into the FairTax is paid by you only when you buy a new
> good or service. It's not automatically withheld from your pay. YOU
> decide when to pay it. Not the government. So, where's the downside
> to that?

Knwing what is in it and how much each entity is. For example, assume
your percentage of 23%. Now, certain corporate taxes get changed. Your
Fair Tax rate has to change to cover that. So now, this year it is 24.5%.
How does the consumer know which changed.....the income tax portion, the
corporate portion, the FICA portion, the whatever portion?

>> Under the FairTax, what
>>
>> > you earn is what you keep. No more withholding taxes; no more
>> > income tax.
>>
>> Just more taxes on the point of sale while all taxes from state and
>> local governments remains intact.
>>
>
> You are not accounting for the removal of the 23% built in costs that
> YOU ARE ALREADY PAYING on every good and service that you buy (that
> government doesn't even get, by the way just wasted dollars).

Yes, I am and it isn't 23% or the Fair Tax could not be 23% and cover all
those costs plus the amount currently from income taxes or FICA.

FWIW, all costs of doing business are placed in the price of the product
or service that is produced. Anyone who doesn't understand that won't
understand either your Fair Tax or my flat income tax proposal.

When
> those built in costs go away you are back to the same price.

Not really. You have added additional taxes to that proposal in the form
on income tax replacement and FICA and federal sales taxes which were
part of certain purchases.

See my
> previous example.

>> >> >> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>
>> >> >> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>
>> >> >> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many
>> >> >> adjustments so that it does not become regressive.
>>
>> >> > I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>>
>> snip.....
>>
>> >> Same taxpayer.....buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for
>> >> them.
>>
>> > Stop right there. That's incorrect. Under the FairTax the $100 of
>> > groceries will still cost $100. There's no need to even go any
>> > further with your example.
>>
>> I was speaking of the actual worth of the product. Yes, there are
>> business taxes, etc.. in there but one cannot generate a new tax
>> without adding to what is already there. So a product which today
>> costs $100 plus city and state sales taxes will now cost the
>> difference between the 23% sales tax and the old taxes on the product
>> plus city and state sales taxes. What you have done is taken the
>> taxes previously included the product price and moved them into your
>> Fair Tax in addition to the hit on that tax replacing federal income
>> taxes and FICA.
>>
>
> Nope. The item that costs $100 today will still cost $100. Here's
> why. The built in compliance costs are, on average, 23%.

Then where did you put the replacement for the income tax? It has to be
there or the feds are missing a major, major part of their revenue.

Take that
> away and your $100 now costs $77 (which already include the state and
> city taxes you mention). Replace those compliance costs with the
> FairTax and you are back to $100.

See above.

>> >> Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of
>> >> groceries...pays
>> >
>> >> $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger percentage of their
>> >> incom
> e
>> >> o
>> > n
>> >> a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we will not pay that tax on
>> >> groceries....oooops, you just generated an exception.
>>
>> >> > Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
>> >> > questions you might have:
>>
>> >> > 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
>> >> > particular attention to the FAQ.
>>
>> I have.
>>
>
> mmmmmmm okay....
>
>> >> > 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>>
>> Why? If they can't explain it on their website.....
>>
>
> Boortz and Linder didn't create the web site. They are advocates of
> the FairTax and have their own writing on this. You can fit a whole
> lot more into a book than you can a website. You really need to read
> the book. You will not regret it.
>>
>> >> > 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>>
>> >> > It will all become crystal clear.
>>
>> >> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for
>> >> years.
>> >
>> >> With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the current
>> >> system. Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the rich
>> >> as poor poeple would never buy luxury taxed items. How did that
>> >> work out?
>>
>> > You may be familiar with sales tax schemes, but it's clear you
>> > aren't familiar with the FairTax. Instead of speculating as you
>> > have done above why not go visit the site and base your criticisms
>> > on the plan itself? You will find that many of the things you
>> > raised above are answered there.
>>

>> Been there, read it.
>>
>
> Not all of it then because many of the questions you asked that I'm
> replying to come right from the web site.
>
>> > Look, I'm with you that a flat tax would be better than the current
>> > system. Problem is that it, as opposed to something like the
>> > FairTax
> ,
>> > leaves itself open to far more manipulation than the FairTax. The
>> > ta
> x
>> > code itself is evidence of just that.
>>
>> Are you trying to say that Congress cannot fuck with the Fair Tax as
>> much as they can fuck with a flat tax? I don't think so.
>>
>
> That's exactly what I'm saying and I explained why above.

LOL!!

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3212. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:05:55 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF166BDE31DFhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231139
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF0E17D863E2Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF095E0F81C4hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF0A81894A65Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF07D262B9F1hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>
>>>> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> news:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-
cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com
>>>> :
>>>>
>>>>> On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>>>> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
>>>>> s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>>> > wrote:
>>>>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>>>> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>>>>>> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast
[remove].net>
>>>>>> >> > wrote:
>>>>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>>>>>> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:

>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>> >> >> > wrote:
>>>>>> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> >> >> ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>> >> >> >> innuendo you
>>>>>> >> >> keep
>>>>>> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not
paying
>>>>>> >> >> >> 42% of all
>>>>>> >> >> of
>>>>>> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are
not
>>>>>> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
>>>>>> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
>>>>>> >> >> fair
>>>>>> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> >> Regards,
>>>>>> >> >> >> JS
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>> Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>>>>> from the income tax.
>>>>
>>>> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>>>
>>>> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>>>> tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax

>>>>> as the method of funding government. If you fully understand the
>>>>> FairTax you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>>>
>>>> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales
tax
>>>> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
>>>> gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger
>>>> percentage of their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>>>>
>>>>>> The FairTax is a replacement
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > for the income tax.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
>>>>> better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
>>>>> leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in
>>>>> place as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the pitfalls
>>>>> of our current tax system.
>>>>
>>>> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
>>>> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level
>>>> wages for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or
>>>> exemption if you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a
>>>> postcard.
>>>>
>>>>>> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many adjustments
>>>>>> so that it does not become regressive.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>>>>>
>>>>> Current tax system:
>>>>>
>>>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>>>> IRS takes 25%: $250.
>>>>> Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
>>>>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>>>>> Taxpayer has $620 left.
>>>>>
>>>>> Fairtax system:
>>>>>
>>>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.

>>>>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>>>>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>>>>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>>>>> Taxpayer has $870 left.
>>>>>
>>>>> I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>>>>>
>>>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>>>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>>>>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>>>>> Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
>>>>> Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
>>>>> Taxpayer has $900 left.
>>>>>
>>>>> So, again, how is that regressive.
>>>>
>>>> Same taxpayer.....buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for
>>>> them. Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of
>>>> groceries...pays $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger
>>>> percentage of their income on a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we
>>>> will not pay that tax on groceries....oooops, you just generated an
>>>> exception.
>>>>
>>>>> Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
>>>>> questions you might have:
>>>>>
>>>>> 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
>>>>> particular attention to the FAQ.
>>>>> 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>>>>> 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>>>>>
>>>>> It will all become crystal clear.
>>>>
>>>> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for
>>>> years. With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the
>>>> current system. Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the
>>>> rich as poor poeple would never buy luxury taxed items. How did
that
>>>> work out?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Ask John Kerry.
>>>
>>
>> YOu mean after claiming everyone should pay their fair burden, he
moors
>> his yacht where the taxes are much less than if he moors it at home?
>>

>
> Indeed.
>

Oh, plus registration in other than his home state.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3213. Re: SUPREME COURT gets one thing right!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:07:02 UTC
From: "lab~rat >:-)" <chase@cheeze.net>
Message-ID: <h32tt617ognlk590n6eerc5isocu94jnt8@4ax.com>
Source record: 231140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Thu, 26 May 2011 10:01:18 -0700, John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
puked:

>On 5/26/2011 9:59 AM, lab~rat >:-) wrote:
>
>> ...
>> Making businesses do the job the government is too pussy to do, crack
>> down on illegal aliens.
>> --
>> lab~rat>:-)
>> Do you want polite or do you want sincere?
>
>You are confused, this is all about making business pay for their crimes
>against American citizens ...:
>
>http://www.latimes.com/news/nationworld/nation/sc-dc-court-immigration-ruling-20110526,0,
1490104.story
>
>
>Regards,
>JS

I'm not confused, it's about recruiting businesses to be the
involuntary arm of immigration law enforcement.

If the government passed a law allowing business owners to shoot and
kill illegal aliens for breaking the law, maybe it would be a bit more
popular...
--
lab~rat >:-)
Do you want polite or do you want sincere?

3214. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:09:02 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF1674597BD5hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231141
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irkeu4$kg8$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF0E17D863E2Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF095E0F81C4hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF0A81894A65Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>
>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF07D262B9F1hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>
>>>>> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.
>>>>> com
>>>>> :
>>>>>
>>>>>> On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>>>>> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
>>>>>> s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>>>> > wrote:
>>>>>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>>>>> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>>>>>>> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman
>>>>>>> >> > <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:

>>>>>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>>>>>>> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>> >> >> > wrote:
>>>>>>> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> >> >> ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>> >> >> >> innuendo you
>>>>>>> >> >> keep
>>>>>>> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not
>>>>>>> >> >> >> paying 42% of all
>>>>>>> >> >> of
>>>>>>> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are
>>>>>>> >> >> >> not paying half of governments costs, until that
>>>>>>> >> >> >> happens they are NOT paying their
>>>>>>> >> >> fair
>>>>>>> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> >> Regards,
>>>>>>> >> >> >> JS
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
>>>>>> revenue from the income tax.
>>>>>
>>>>> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>>>>
>>>>> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>>>>> tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income

>>>>>> tax as the method of funding government. If you fully understand
>>>>>> the FairTax you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>>>>
>>>>> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales
>>>>> tax from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
>>>>> gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger
>>>>> percentage of their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>>>>>
>>>>>>> The FairTax is a replacement
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> > for the income tax.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
>>>>>> better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which then
>>>>>> leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th amendment in
>>>>>> place as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on about the
>>>>>> pitfalls of our current tax system.
>>>>>
>>>>> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
>>>>> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level
>>>>> wages for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or
>>>>> exemption if you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a
>>>>> postcard.
>>>>>
>>>>>>> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many
>>>>>>> adjustments so that it does not become regressive.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Current tax system:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>>>>> IRS takes 25%: $250.
>>>>>> Taxpayer has $750 left to spend.
>>>>>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>>>>>> Taxpayer has $620 left.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Fairtax system:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>>>>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>>>>>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend

>>>>>> Taxpayer buys a new toaster for a FINAL total of $130.
>>>>>> Taxpayer has $870 left.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I'll go one better under the fairtax system.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Taxpayer earns $1000 a year.
>>>>>> IRS takes 0%: $0
>>>>>> Taxpayer has $1000 left to spend
>>>>>> Taxpayer buys a USED toaster for a total of $100.
>>>>>> Taxpayer pays NO fairtax sales tax.
>>>>>> Taxpayer has $900 left.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> So, again, how is that regressive.
>>>>>
>>>>> Same taxpayer......buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for
>>>>> them. Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of
>>>>> groceries...pays $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger
>>>>> percentage of their income on a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we
>>>>> will not pay that tax on groceries....oooops, you just generated
>>>>> an exception.
>>>>>
>>>>>> Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
>>>>>> questions you might have:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
>>>>>> particular attention to the FAQ.
>>>>>> 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>>>>>> 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>>>>>>
>>>>>> It will all become crystal clear.
>>>>>
>>>>> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for
>>>>> years. With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the
>>>>> current system. Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak
>>>>> the rich as poor poeple would never buy luxury taxed items. How
>>>>> did that work out?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Ask John Kerry.
>>>>
>>>
>>> YOu mean after claiming everyone should pay their fair burden, he
>>> moors his yacht where the taxes are much less than if he moors it at
>>> home?
>>>
>>
>> Indeed.
>
> Yep, and goes to show how a prohibitive taxation rate reduces revenue.

> Before he probably would have moored it at home and paid some taxes on
> it. Now he moors it elsewhere and we get NO tax revenue from him for
> it.

We get less, but his home state gets none. Same thing you are saying,
just a difference of degree.

> Then, of course, there is all the fallout lose of tax revenue. Makers
> who don't pay taxes because they are out of business. Workers who
> don't pay taxes because they lost their jobs. People who would have
> provided goods and services to those workers who didn't because those
> workers aren't buying stuff because they lost their jobs, and so on
> and so forth.

We saw the same thing when they passed some taxes on luxury boats in the
80s. I was in Florida at the time and the boat manufactuing business
took a big hit as did the Carolinas.

> In one quick swoop in an attempt to "stick it to the rich" we all but
> wiped out one whole segment of the economy and sent it abroad.

Bingo!. The French sold a lot of yachts for that reason.

> And you can't simply reverse the taxation and get it all back, because
> the expertise and experience has been dissipated and you can't just
> put the egg back together again.

Well, not all at once.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3215. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:10:25 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF1678135203hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231142
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irk4f8$ks$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF0986C7911Dhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF0AAF5845E6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>
>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>> news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irgufi$l7$7@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> paying 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> like the top 19% are not paying half of governments
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>>>>>> advocate
>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7%
>>>>>>>>>>>> sales
>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7%
>>>>>>>>>>>> sales tax,
>>>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>>>>> government
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their
>>>>>>>>>> fair
>>>>>>>>> share
>>>>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go
>>>>>>>>> through
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to
>>>>>>>> catch on ... I was wrong.
>>>>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all
>>>>>>> income not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it here
>>>>>>> in this thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is really
>>>>>>> quite simply and quite short so you should have no problem
>>>>>>> understanding it. ;)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't
>>>>>>> flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever
>>>>>>> was purchased and no matter who purchased it.

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the
>>>>>>> real world.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion
>>>>>> began, or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person B
>>>>> buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes
>>>>>
>>>>> What's more fair than that?
>>>>>
>>>>> Same product, same taxes paid.
>>>>>
>>>>> Fair.
>>>>
>>>> Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal
>>>> poverty level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on
>>>> amount over federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable
>>>> income paid. Fair.
>>>>
>>>> The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about food
>>>> or necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list
>>>> exemptions....and the list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and
>>>> Cher......
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> The real problem is...
>>>
>>> First you have to decide how much the government needs to funtion.
>>
>> That is true under any taxing scheme.
>>>
>>> To do that you have to decide what the government should be doing.
>>
>> Same here and that is most of the discussion and difference between
>> liberals and conservatives.
>>
>>> I think rather than discussing camoflaging how the feds fleece the
>>> taxpayer those questions really need to be answered.
>>
>> Yep, but, good luck. Those discussions have been going on for two
>> hundred years. ;)
>>
>>> I am of the opinion that taxes overall hurt the economy by taking
>>> people's hard earned money. I don't care if you are the bus boy or
>>> the owner of the chain. You earened it, it's yours.
>>

>> However, one does get things from having a government.
>>
>>> Overall if the bite is reasonably low than whatever negative effects
>>> it has are mitigated. But the only really effective way to increase
>>> government revenues is to have a going, expanding economy. That way
>>> whatever "protection" money the government extorts from the people
>>> can increase without increasing the percentage that it takes.
>>
>> True.
>>
>>> Of course that would require a complete ovrehaul of most federal
>>> policies and the expulsion of Marxists and enviromentalists.
>>>
>>> One would have to stop viewing tax policy as a method of molding
>>> people's behavior and relegate to the neccessary evil it is.
>>>
>>> Frankly I have yet to hear anyone explain to me how we can tax out
>>> way out of the current crisis wherein the debt equals the GDP and is
>>> likely to double in 8 years. There is simply no possible way to do
>>> it without removing so much wealth from the private sector as to
>>> thorougly tank the economy, which will in turn make the problem
>>> immeasurably worse.
>>
>> To get out of this will require BOTH taxes and spending cuts. Doing
>> just one or the other won't do it.
>
> Agreed, but until I see some serious spending cuts and a clear, firm
> (and preferably Constitutionally mandated) path to control spending
> and reduce the debt, I would be most reluctant to accept the need for
> any increase in taxation.

As would I.

> We've been promised spending cuts before in exchange for a tax hike.
> We got the hike....we didn't get the cuts.

That's why we have elections.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3216. Re: SUPREME COURT gets one thing right!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:14:55 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irm1qd$sl5$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/26/2011 10:07 AM, lab~rat >:-) wrote:

> ...
> I'm not confused, it's about recruiting businesses to be the
> involuntary arm of immigration law enforcement.
>
> If the government passed a law allowing business owners to shoot and
> kill illegal aliens for breaking the law, maybe it would be a bit more
> popular...
> --
> lab~rat>:-)
> Do you want polite or do you want sincere?

No. You are VERY confused.

Business must NOT use/manufacture/sell/etc. products which are harmful
to consumers -- American citizens.

Hiring illegal aliens is just a harmful action against American citizens
-- i.e., consumes resources necessary to the health and well being of
Americans.

A responsible business should have been observing the safety of
American, above all else, all along.

This is just making them shoulder their responsibilities, since their
respect for common morals and ethics fell short ... a shame they must be
forced to do the right thing.

Regards,
JS

3217. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:15:51 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF1686D148Chopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231144
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF0E21E26B12Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF0986C7911Dhopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF0AAF5845E6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>
>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>> news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irgufi$l7$7@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> paying 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> like the top 19% are not paying half of governments
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>>>>>> advocate
>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7%
>>>>>>>>>>>> sales
>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7%
>>>>>>>>>>>> sales tax,
>>>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>>>>> government
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their
>>>>>>>>>> fair
>>>>>>>>> share
>>>>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go
>>>>>>>>> through
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to
>>>>>>>> catch on ... I was wrong.
>>>>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all
>>>>>>> income not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it here
>>>>>>> in this thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is really
>>>>>>> quite simply and quite short so you should have no problem
>>>>>>> understanding it. ;)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't
>>>>>>> flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever
>>>>>>> was purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the
>>>>>>> real world.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion
>>>>>> began, or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person B
>>>>> buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes
>>>>>
>>>>> What's more fair than that?
>>>>>
>>>>> Same product, same taxes paid.
>>>>>
>>>>> Fair.
>>>>
>>>> Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal
>>>> poverty level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on
>>>> amount over federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable
>>>> income paid. Fair.
>>>>
>>>> The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about food
>>>> or necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list
>>>> exemptions....and the list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and
>>>> Cher......
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> The real problem is...
>>>
>>> First you have to decide how much the government needs to funtion.
>>
>> That is true under any taxing scheme.
>>>
>>> To do that you have to decide what the government should be doing.
>>
>> Same here and that is most of the discussion and difference between
>> liberals and conservatives.
>>
>>> I think rather than discussing camoflaging how the feds fleece the
>>> taxpayer those questions really need to be answered.
>>
>> Yep, but, good luck. Those discussions have been going on for two
>> hundred years. ;)
>>
>>> I am of the opinion that taxes overall hurt the economy by taking
>>> people's hard earned money. I don't care if you are the bus boy or
>>> the owner of the chain. You earened it, it's yours.
>>
>> However, one does get things from having a government.

>>
>>> Overall if the bite is reasonably low than whatever negative effects
>>> it has are mitigated. But the only really effective way to increase
>>> government revenues is to have a going, expanding economy. That way
>>> whatever "protection" money the government extorts from the people
>>> can increase without increasing the percentage that it takes.
>>
>> True.
>>
>>> Of course that would require a complete ovrehaul of most federal
>>> policies and the expulsion of Marxists and enviromentalists.
>>>
>>> One would have to stop viewing tax policy as a method of molding
>>> people's behavior and relegate to the neccessary evil it is.
>>>
>>> Frankly I have yet to hear anyone explain to me how we can tax out
>>> way out of the current crisis wherein the debt equals the GDP and is
>>> likely to double in 8 years. There is simply no possible way to do
>>> it without removing so much wealth from the private sector as to
>>> thorougly tank the economy, which will in turn make the problem
>>> immeasurably worse.
>>
>> To get out of this will require BOTH taxes and spending cuts. Doing
>> just one or the other won't do it.
>
> Well, I disagree on this. The leech class has been feasting on the
> middle calss for a long time. I think it's time the middle class got a
> break and the leeches were starved.
>
> Given the amount of debt that we are discussing the only things that
> will work are reducing spending, reducing taxes and regulation so the
> economy can come back and then the expanding economy will pay it down.
> Provided the leech class doesn't restart spending.

I don't think we can cut enough and get the folks reelected we want in
there. Too many people are stuck to too many entitlements for those to
be drastically cut.....nibbled at and cut over time, yes.....but not all
at once. Medicare, for example, is forecast by the Medicare Trust folks
to hit a cost of $931B in the next 10 years. Medicaid will double, and
SS will go up by 70%.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3218. Alex Jones "Info Wars" Names #1 Enemy of the People of Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:20:36 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <5c436a28-f528-44af-a8ee-7dcceaadd86b@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 25, 3:42 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> http://www.infowars.com/financial-terrorism-tsa-holds-texas-flights-h...
>
> You just can't make up shit like this!
>
> Regards,
> JS

Alex Jones Names #1 Enemy of the People of Texas
http://www.infowars.com/ex-cia-spook-dewhurst-sabotaged-anti-tsa-bill-for-obama-administra
tion/
-an-agent-of-the-obama-regime-&-new-world-order-
.
WWCR "Info Wars" on 12,160 kHz @ 1600 UTC
http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
.
"Info Wars" -host- Alex Jones
http://www.infowars.com/
.
Alex Jones -web-site- "Prison Planet"
http://www.prisonplanet.com/
.
About "Alex Jones" (Radio Host)
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alex_Jones_(radio)
.
The Alex Jones Shortwave Radio Show
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alex_Jones_(radio)#The_Alex_Jones_Show
.
Alex Jones "Info Wars" on the Shortwave Radio
Mon-Fri : 11 AM - 2 PM on 12,160 kHz
[US Central Time -6 UTC] : 1600 - 1900 UTC
.
World Wide Christian Radio [WWCR]
Shortwave Radio Station
WWCR Location : Nashville, Tennessee, USA
http://www.wwcr.com/
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports

.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3219. Re: Federal blockade of Texas demonstrate financial terrorism of TSA!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:25:10 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irm2dk$sl5$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/25/2011 3:42 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
>
> http://www.infowars.com/financial-terrorism-tsa-holds-texas-flights-hostage/
>
>
> You just can't make up shit like this!
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

This senator claims it is even worse than we know/suspect:

http://www.infowars.com/oregon-senator-reveals-secret-government-surveillance-grid/

Regards,
JS

3220. Re: John Smith finally gets one thing right!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:25:23 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <f53tt6t9o8kvqdir5g728ndrvleb39e449@4ax.com>
Source record: 231147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Thu, 26 May 2011 10:06:25 -0600, Yoorghis@Jurgis.net wrote:

>>http://www.latimes.com/news/nationworld/nation/sc-dc-court-immigration-ruling-20110526,0
,1490104.story
>>
>>Now we just have to get 49 other states to follow suit ...
>
>Whacking the shit out of businesses for illegal hiring was preferred
>by democrats, you dipshit

Yep. Government looking over the shoulders of businesses is bad -
except when it's for purposes *my* party approves.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

3221. Re: Trump/Corsi vs. the fraudulent obama birth certificate -- dynamic duo fights for justice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:30:40 UTC
From: Mike <mwbryant@aol.com>
Message-ID: <a80be1de-0321-4da7-834a-6dc829b4af7f@b8g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 26, 12:57 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> http://www.paramuspost.com/article.php/20110525160337406
>
> http://www.mediaite.com/online/donald-trump-my-expert-says-obamas-bir...
>
> Crimes are like that, they just won't go away ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Here are the newsgroups you keep cross-posting your political posts to:

rec.radio.shortwave, alt.conspiracy, talk.politics.guns, alt.fan.rush-limbaugh, alt.rush-limbaugh

All but rec.radio.shortwave are political forums where your messages seem appropriate. RRS, however, is a newsgroup dealing with shortwave radio and broadcasting. Please delete rec.radio.shortwave from your list of newsgroups where you make so many daily political posts.

Though I can't recall you ever making a truly Shortwave-related post, they would certainly be welcome. Do you need a receiver? Let me know if you do.

Mike
Louisville, KY

3222. Re: Trump/Corsi vs. the fraudulent obama birth certificate -- dynamic duo fights for justice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:32:12 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irm2qq$c03$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/26/2011 10:30 AM, Mike wrote:

> ...
> Mike
> Louisville, KY

... plonk ...

Regards,
JS

3223. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:33:42 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF16B742C908hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231150
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irkapl$g5$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:irk87tjln1@dont-email.me...
>>
>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EF0A955CAB33hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in
>>> news:irjvk7$5vm$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>>> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>>
>>>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>> who
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If

>>>>>>>>>>>>> you want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that
>>>>>>>>>>>>> goes to the government then your right. I know of no
>>>>>>>>>>>>> place that compiles that data. ...
>>>>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I
>>> need
>>>>>>>>>>>> them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site,
>>>>>>>>>>> you have no hope of understanding any data presented to
>>>>>>>>>>> you. Which explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem
>>>>>>>>>>> ... you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can,
>>>>>>>>>>> from the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>>>>> &#65533; May 15, 2011
>>>>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the
>>>>>>>>>> check, but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox
>>>>>>>>>> lies the cause of much of the bitter partisanship and
>>>>>>>>>> polarization that poisons our political process. But to
>>>>>>>>>> understand that problem, we must consider how taxes are
>>>>>>>>>> applied to the production of goods and services.
>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to
>>>>>>>>>> market?
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to
>>>>>>>>>> be made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income
>>>>>>>>>> taxes based on his profit from the sale and property tax on
>>>>>>>>>> his farm and equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of
>>>>>>>>>> view, just another cost of doing business in the course of
>>>>>>>>>> earning his living, no different from fuel for his tractor or
>>>>>>>>>> wages and taxes for employees.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and
>>>>>>>>>> taxes, the price they charge the miller must cover their
>>>>>>>>>> expenses and taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income
>>>>>>>>>> and savings. Otherwise, there would be no point in growing
>>>>>>>>>> wheat. All of these costs and taxes were passed on to the
>>>>>>>>>> miller, embedded in the price of wheat.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the
>>>>>>>>>> wheat to the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social
>>>>>>>>>> Security taxes
>>> he
>>>>>>>>>> withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes, were
>>>>>>>>>> all passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying
>>>>>>>>>> with it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all
>>>>>>>>>> those previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their
>>>>>>>>>> employees,
>>> hidden
>>>>>>>>>> in the price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his family
>>>>>>>>>> ate the bread, and, having done so, could not sell it to
>>>>>>>>>> anyone else and pass the taxes along, as the baker and
>>>>>>>>>> everyone else before had done.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with
>>>>>>>>>> the farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they
>>>>>>>>>> withheld from all of their employees. From bread to
>>>>>>>>>> automobiles to brain surgery, the price of everything we buy
>>>>>>>>>> carries in it the hidden taxes of everyone who contributed to
>>>>>>>>>> the production of that product or service to the tune of, on
>>>>>>>>>> average, 23 cents of every dollar we spend for federal taxes
>>>>>>>>>> alone.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality,
>>>>>>>>>> a scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and
>>> unknowing
>>>>>>>>>> tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to keep
>>>>>>>>>> voters from realizing who really bears the burden of those

>>>>>>>>>> high taxes.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income
>>>>>>>>>> and business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are
>>>>>>>>>> eventually paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods
>>>>>>>>>> and services. It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to
>>>>>>>>>> which tax bracket, or what deductions you receive. These
>>>>>>>>>> devices change only the degree to which you are a tax
>>>>>>>>>> collector, but the burden taxes place on your life depends
>>>>>>>>>> solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm.
>>>>>>>>>> First, it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services
>>>>>>>>>> that are provided by highly taxed individuals and companies,
>>>>>>>>>> like health care, are artificially more expensive than
>>>>>>>>>> necessary, while raw materials and natural resources are
>>>>>>>>>> underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political
>>>>>>>>>> process, encouraging government overspending by politicians
>>>>>>>>>> who exploit
>>> the
>>>>>>>>>> mistaken belief of many voters that government spending can
>>>>>>>>>> be paid for solely by taxing corporations or the "rich." All
>>>>>>>>>> of the exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which brings so
>>>>>>>>>> much ill will to our politics and drives wedges between
>>>>>>>>>> Americans who
>>> would
>>>>>>>>>> be better served by mutual respect and compassion - is
>>>>>>>>>> ultimately the meaningless exploitation of a lie.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
>>> appears
>>>>>>>>>> progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive.
>>>>>>>>>> Currently, the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may
>>>>>>>>>> pay no income tax directly, but in reality, with their FICA
>>>>>>>>>> taxes added to the hidden embedded tax, their true federal
>>>>>>>>>> tax burden is almost 30 percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone,
>>>>>>>>>> through
>>> an
>>>>>>>>>> invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com.
>>>>>>>>>> He

>>> is
>>>>>>>>>> a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as
>>>>>>>> Mr. B.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B
>>>>>>>> does.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr
>>>>>>>> B gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the
>>> rich
>>>>>>> not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think
>>>>>> so.
>>> The
>>>>>> best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same percentage
>>>>>> without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>>>>
>>>>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>>>>>
>>>>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and
>>>>>> why.
>>>>>
>>>>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Paying the "same percentage" is not fair.
>>>>
>>>> The BURDEN is much less on the wealthy.
>>>
>>> The wealthy are paying most of the income tax burden. You wouldn't
>>> be happy with any tax scheme that didn't penalize the wealthy and
>>> not charge you a dime.
>>>
>> .

>> .
>> They pay the aggregate of most of the money and it has far less
>> impact on their lives than the ordinary working American.
>> To that extent it's unfair.
>
> Well, SOB, you mean there's actually an advantage to getting ahead?
>
> If there wasn't, then why would people work their asses off to do so?
>
> Looks to me like you're simply pissed because you're poor and they're
> not.
>
>
>

Exactomundo!! Him and his butt buddy, John.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3224. Re: TSA Agents Grope High School Students At Prom Secuirty Check Point: NZD's Dream Police State is at Hand: Immediate Unquestioning, Bind Obedience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:35:31 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irm311$c03$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/25/2011 4:36 PM, skepticl1@aol.com wrote:
> http://www.prisonplanet.com/tsa-backs-down-from-prom-night-grope.html
>
> TSA Agents Grope High School Students At Prom Security
> CheckpointPosted: 05/24/2011 by carnifex676 in 9/11, Big Brother,
> FEMA, Lies, Manipulation, Mind Control, Police State, TSA, U.S. News
> Tags: checkpoint, illegal search, molestation, molested, pat down,
> Prom, teen, terrorist, TSA 1(NaturalNews) It seems like it was just
> last week that I warned NaturalNews readers about how the TSA was
> expanding to become the new Nazi Secret Police of America. Actually,
> it was just last week (http://www.naturalnews.com/
> 032458_TSA_bomb_drill.html). And now, over the weekend, the TSA
> confirmed exactly what I was warning about: They have expanded their
> security checkpoint pat-down searches to high school prom night, which
> now involves TSA perverts feeling up high school girls in their formal
> dresses.
>
> Recently the TSA threatened to set up a security checkpoint at the
> Sante Fe High School and conducted illegal searches on high school
> prom students there. The agency ultimately backed down and didn’t go
> through with the checkpoint plan at that high school, but one month
> earlier at Capital High School, two students were sexually molested by
> the TSA and filed a lawsuit over the incident (http://www.kob.com/
> article/stories/S2116447.shtml).
>
> Describing the illogical and abusive TSA pat-down, high school student
> Candace Herrera said, “She grabbed my breast and grabbed the inner
> part of my bra and shook it and then picked up the front of my dress
> to like mid thigh. She was patting down my bare legs which kind of
> didn’t make sense.”
> Of course it doesn’t make sense. None of it makes sense. It doesn’t
> make sense that the TSA, which was created to counter Bin Laden’s
> mastermind plan to blow up airplanes, is now running security
> checkpoints at high school prom dances! Of all the places to find
> terrorists in America, does the high school prom rank anywhere near
> the top-100 locations?
>
> This is all psyops to indoctrinate young people into accepting the
> police state
> Let me lay it out here and explain what this is really all about. This
> is OBVIOUSLY not about terrorism. High school proms are not command

> headquarters for terror cells.
>
> And secondly, if the TSA is patting down bare legs and thighs of high
> school girls, then that’s obviously not a security pat-down but rather
> a form of molestation. No wonder the TSA specifically chose high
> school prom night to conduct its searches — these TSA workers are all
> the perverts who never touched a girl when they went to prom night in
> high school, and now they’re getting their shot!
>
> As I already warned NaturalNews readers on multiple occasions, if we
> don’t reel in this out-of-control rogue police state agency right now,
> the TSA will continue to expand its power until it is the new secret
> police of Amerika, running searches on street corners or conducting
> house-to-house pat-downs of entire neighborhoods, just because they
> can.
>
> The American sheeple go right along with the rise of the Nazi TSA
> There is no limiting the power grab by this rogue Nazi organization
> unless we stand up to them. And that brings up the question: Why did
> all the students, parents and teachers at these high schools go along
> with the TSA sexually molesting their girls?
>
> The Sheeple, it seems, have become so “zombified” and dumbed down that
> they don’t even recognize tyranny when they see it. When our own
> daughters and sisters are being sexually molested in the name of false
> “security” designed to keep the terrorists out of the prom dance hall,
> it has all become to utterly bizarre and mindless that I can hardly
> describe the lunacy of it. Has our nation really surrendered so
> completely to tyranny that we are going to let the TSA set up its
> security checkpoints anywhere and everywhere, then reach into our
> pants at dance events, sporting events, train stations, bus stations
> and probably soon shopping malls?
>
> Are the American Sheeple really so brain dead that they will stand by
> and watch their Constitutional rights be utterly destroyed by this
> illegal, rogue agency known as the TSA?
>
> The proper response
> Let me describe the proper response of what should have happened at
> the high school when the TSA showed up and started feeling up the
> breasts and thighs of the students there.
>
> First, when the TSA showed up, the principal should have told them to
> go away. “This is the prom, not a terrorism convention. Go home and
> leave our students alone.”
>
> Secondly, after the TSA started shoving their hands into the bras of
> the female students there, some brave student should have loudly
> protested, called 911 and requested the local police to arrive on the

> scene to arrest the TSA agents.
>
> At that point, the local police should have pulled out their guns and
> arrested the TSA agents at gunpoint, then booked them with charges of
> molesting a teenage girl. The parents, meanwhile, should have held a
> protest rally at the high school to loudly protest this treatment of
> their daughters by this out-of-control government agency.
>
> This would have been the proper response. But instead, we get nothing.
> No police. No sheriff. No protests. Only two students had the courage
> to stand up and file a lawsuit against the TSA. Even the school
> principal stood by and did absolutely nothing, further advocating the
> idea that students are subjects of the state and that if the
> government wants to reach down your pants (or inside your bra), they
> have the right to do that any time they want to.
>
> When they come for you, there may be no one left to protest
> I ask the question: When will America wake up and arrest these
> criminal TSA workers? When will we say, “Enough is enough!” and force
> Congress to de-fund this entire group? At what point will the Sheeple
> working slaves of America finally realize they are watching the
> unfolding of a Nazi-style police state right in front of their very
> eyes?
>
> It all reminds me of just how easily the Nazi party rose to power in
> the 1930′s. The German people just stood by and did nothing, too. It
> wasn’t until the abuses of power turned to genocide that the rest of
> the world woke up and tried to do something about it; and even then,
> most of the German people simply tolerated the Nazis and did nothing
> to stand up against them.
>
> The famous movie Schindler’s List (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/
> Schindler%27s_List) is an account of one courageous entrepreneur who
> decided to take a stand against the Nazi tyranny, yet he found himself
> virtually alone in taking that stand. History has proven that most of
> the mindless masses will go along with tyranny because that think like
> slaves and subjects rather than citizens.
>
> What all this really proves is that New Mexico’s high schools are
> failing to teach their students much about history. Because if they
> knew the true history of the world — and how tyranny rises up and
> threatens freedom — none of the students would put up with this TSA
> nonsense.
>
> Didn’t their history teachers teach them anything about the rise of
> tyranny in Nazi Germany? Or the British-controlled American colonies?
> Or Eastern Europe? Only someone who is completely ignorant of world
> history could stand by and watch the TSA rise to power in America
> today and not recognize what’s really happening. Because what we are

> watching, dear readers, is the rise of an evil agency that will, if
> allowed to continue expanding, soon run its own secret prisons and
> secret courts where people are guilty until proven innocent.
>
> We are watching America’s freedoms crumble right before our eyes, and
> only a small handful of people who are familiar with world history
> seem to understand what’s unfolding.
>
> Any government body that does not recognize limits of power is a
> danger to the nation
> That the TSA is running a security checkpoint at the local high school
> prom is not, in and of itself, the problem here. The problem is that
> the TSA thinks it CAN run security checkpoints anywhere it wants,
> without justification, without notification and without cause. This is
> how tyranny begins: First it’s necessary for national security, and
> then it creeps into your cities, towns, schools and eventually your
> homes.
>
> Mark my words: If we don’t stop the TSA, they will eventually be
> running door-to-door searches on innocent Americans in complete
> violation of our Fourth Amendment rights.
>
> People forget so easily, it seems, why we fought the War of
> Independence against the British Empire. British soldiers used to go
> house to house, entering homes, stealing valuables and groping the
> women. That’s why our founding fathers specifically wrote the Fourth
> Amendment to prohibit such activities by any government or military
> force.
>
> Remember, folks: The U.S. Constitution and Bill of Rights is, in
> essence, an ANTI-GOVERNMENT document whose sole purpose is to limit
> the scope of government and protect the rights of the People. Today,
> America has forgotten that, and unless things are reversed very soon,
> they will escalate into the exact same abuses against our persons and
> our privacy that were once committed by the British Empire against the
> colonialists.
>
> Those who do not learn the lessons of history, it is often said, are
> doomed to repeat them. And that’s exactly what we are witnessing in
> America today. The rise of the TSA secret police is under way, and
> virtually no one is lifting a finger in protest. This is why the
> American people, in one sense, actually deserve the police state
> brutality they have brought onto themselves. If you don’t stand up and
> protest now, then don’t bother when they come for your wife, or
> husband or children. By the time they come for you it may very well be
> too late.
>
> It is a truism of government that every government body or agency
> always seeks to gain more power and expand its reach. If allowed to do

> so, the TSA will grow like a cancer until it is the dominant secret
> police organization, dwarfing the power of local police and even the
> FBI.
>
> Sources for this story include:
>
> http://www.kob.com/article/stories/S2116447.shtml
>
> http://www.kob.com/article/stories/S2122102.shtml?cat=504
>
> http://www.prisonplanet.com/tsa-backs-down-from-prom-night-grope.html
>
> Source: NaturalNews.com
>
>
>
>

It's worse than first thought:

TSA launches groping at high school proms, other public places

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FPdUrpDuedY

Regards,
JS

3225. Re: SUPREME COURT gets one thing right!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:36:01 UTC
From: "lab~rat >:-)" <chase@cheeze.net>
Message-ID: <tl3tt6do7nltt61ainhfs5djefgct1lp4s@4ax.com>
Source record: 231152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Thu, 26 May 2011 10:14:55 -0700, John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
puked:

>On 5/26/2011 10:07 AM, lab~rat >:-) wrote:
>
>> ...
>> I'm not confused, it's about recruiting businesses to be the
>> involuntary arm of immigration law enforcement.
>>
>> If the government passed a law allowing business owners to shoot and
>> kill illegal aliens for breaking the law, maybe it would be a bit more
>> popular...
>> --
>> lab~rat>:-)
>> Do you want polite or do you want sincere?
>
>No. You are VERY confused.
>
>Business must NOT use/manufacture/sell/etc. products which are harmful
>to consumers -- American citizens.
>
>Hiring illegal aliens is just a harmful action against American citizens
>-- i.e., consumes resources necessary to the health and well being of
>Americans.
>
>A responsible business should have been observing the safety of
>American, above all else, all along.
>
>This is just making them shoulder their responsibilities, since their
>respect for common morals and ethics fell short ... a shame they must be
>forced to do the right thing.
>
>Regards,
>JS

Gotcha. However, if there were no illegal aliens in the US, IOW, if
the law was enforced and the borders were secured, there would be no
reason to put the onus on businesses.

I realize this is a "chicken-or-the-egg" argument, but the same group
of folks that want to clamp down on businesses and hold them
responsible for illegals is the group that sues states to take the
teeth out of anti-illegal alien laws.

My point is that politicians treat illegal aliens like the third rail
and generally won't do shit to stop them streaming over the border
like cockroaches. They can only be tough against businesses, not
criminals...
--
lab~rat >:-)
Do you want polite or do you want sincere?

3226. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:36:32 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF16BEE941B9hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231153
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in news:irk824$d8u$9@dont-
email.me:

> On 5/25/2011 4:50 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<win.court@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:irk1rg$9kn$6@dont-email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/25/2011 3:59 PM, Scout wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith"<win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:irjnoebmf2@dont-email.me...
>>>>> On 5/25/2011 12:43 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in news:irh49m$id0$3@dont-
>>>>>> email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 1:18 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>> You chose the easy point of my post to reply to.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The point you ignored is that your suggested system - "Let me
put
>>>>>>>> this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7% sales tax, the
>>>>>>>> top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales tax,
>>>>>>>> that way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>> government ..." - is either impossible to implement, or requires
>>>>>>>> a dictatorship. ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Yes, that is a fair system, you simply want to take it literally
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>> say
>>>>>>> it doesn't work. I am not stuck on any particular system to
>>>>>>> implement it with. Any system which can demonstrate that it can
>>>>>>> successfully accomplish the goal, and costing the least, would be
>>>>>>> great.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> THINK for a change, John. How would a system like that have to
>>>>>> work? How would a merchant know whether to charge 7% sales tax or
>>>>>> 42% sales tax
>>>>>> or some amount in between?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Any system only needs to manage that 1% of those with control of
>>>>>>> 42.7% of the financial money pay 42.7% of the sales taxes. And

>>>>>>> that 20% at the top pay 50.3% of the taxes.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And just how do you think it knows which one you are? Or who is in
>>>>>> those brackets? You are looking at Big Brother from 1984 big time.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I simply gave a simplified version of what is to be accomplished.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> No, you showed that you really don't understand what is involved
in
>>>>>> that scheme.
>>>>>> Those
>>>>>>> with any common sense would have realized it was over simplified
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> REally, really oversimplified....so much so that you don't seem to
>>>>>> have any grasp of the basics.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well,
just
>>>>>>> that the water comes from the well ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you
>>>>>> really should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would
>>>>>> completely overwhelm the result.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned
>>>>> ...
>>>>
>>>> So much for sales tax then.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Once again, I really don't care how it is implemented, it just has to
>>> work out to a final flat tax on all the money earned ... make a
>>> dollar, pay the tax on the dollar, make a hundred, pay the tax time a
>>> hundred, make a thousand pay the tax times a thousand ... scalable in
>>> either direction.
>>
>> That is, basically, what my flat proposal is. You, however, have been
>> ranting about making the rich pay a hundred times more than the poor
guy
>> or schemes which know whether you are in the top 1% or top 20% at the
>> time you buy something.
>>
>>> And, has been mentioned, no matter what system is finally chosen,
>>> crooks will ALWAYS attempt to escape paying their fair share ... as
is
>>> happening with the rich elite today ...

>>
>> Nothing you have mentioned will change any of that.
>>
>>
>
> You are entitled to your personal opinions and rants, since we both
> accept that, and since you have offered nothing to back them up ...
> again, plonk ...
>

Yep, I did....you didn't understand them and thanks for plonking me.
Saved me the trouble.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3227. Re: SUPREME COURT gets one thing right!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:41:16 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irm3bq$c03$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/26/2011 10:36 AM, lab~rat >:-) wrote:
> On Thu, 26 May 2011 10:14:55 -0700, John Smith<win.court@gmail.com>
> puked:
>
>> On 5/26/2011 10:07 AM, lab~rat>:-) wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> I'm not confused, it's about recruiting businesses to be the
>>> involuntary arm of immigration law enforcement.
>>>
>>> If the government passed a law allowing business owners to shoot and
>>> kill illegal aliens for breaking the law, maybe it would be a bit more
>>> popular...
>>> --
>>> lab~rat>:-)
>>> Do you want polite or do you want sincere?
>>
>> No. You are VERY confused.
>>
>> Business must NOT use/manufacture/sell/etc. products which are harmful
>> to consumers -- American citizens.
>>
>> Hiring illegal aliens is just a harmful action against American citizens
>> -- i.e., consumes resources necessary to the health and well being of
>> Americans.
>>
>> A responsible business should have been observing the safety of
>> American, above all else, all along.
>>
>> This is just making them shoulder their responsibilities, since their
>> respect for common morals and ethics fell short ... a shame they must be
>> forced to do the right thing.
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Gotcha. However, if there were no illegal aliens in the US, IOW, if
> the law was enforced and the borders were secured, there would be no
> reason to put the onus on businesses.
>
> I realize this is a "chicken-or-the-egg" argument, but the same group
> of folks that want to clamp down on businesses and hold them
> responsible for illegals is the group that sues states to take the

> teeth out of anti-illegal alien laws.
>
> My point is that politicians treat illegal aliens like the third rail
> and generally won't do shit to stop them streaming over the border
> like cockroaches. They can only be tough against businesses, not
> criminals...
> --
> lab~rat>:-)
> Do you want polite or do you want sincere?

Interesting, so you think that real problem is the "enticement" to break the law, and not the breaking of the law?

If a thousand people dropped their wallets, and I found them, it would still be the right thing to return the wallets with all which was in them, to the owners. NOT to remove anything as a "finders fee" and then drop them in a post office box.

As I said, it is a shame we are being forced to force them to do the right thing -- I seem to remember a time when they would have done it, obey the law, without even having to be asked twice.

If you know a way which will "entice" them to obey all laws, let me know, all which has been tried seems to have failed.

However, all that said, the crimes of the federal government, in NOT securing the borders, have been noted and needs to be brought to justice, also ...

Regards,
JS

3228. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:41:16 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF16CBC4ED54hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231155
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irk4of$233$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>
>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of
>>>>>>>>>> who pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If
>>>>>>>>>> you want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes
>>>>>>>>>> to the government then your right. I know of no place that
>>>>>>>>>> compiles that data. ...
>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I
>>>>>>>>> need them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
>>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you.
>>>>>>>>> Which explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ...
>>>>>>>> you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can,
>>>>>>>> from the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>> May 15, 2011
>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the
>>>>>>> check, but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies
>>>>>>> the cause of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization
>>>>>>> that poisons our political process. But to understand that
>>>>>>> problem, we must consider how taxes are applied to the
>>>>>>> production of goods and services.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes
>>>>>>> based on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm
>>>>>>> and equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just
>>>>>>> another cost of doing business in the course of earning his
>>>>>>> living, no different from fuel for his tractor or wages and
>>>>>>> taxes for employees.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and
>>>>>>> taxes, the price they charge the miller must cover their

>>>>>>> expenses and taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and
>>>>>>> savings. Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat.
>>>>>>> All of these costs and taxes were passed on to the miller,
>>>>>>> embedded in the price of wheat.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat
>>>>>>> to the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security
>>>>>>> taxes he withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes,
>>>>>>> were all passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with
>>>>>>> it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those
>>>>>>> previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees,
>>>>>>> hidden in the price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his
>>>>>>> family ate the bread, and, having done so, could not sell it to
>>>>>>> anyone else and pass the taxes along, as the baker and everyone
>>>>>>> else before had done.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with
>>>>>>> the farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they
>>>>>>> withheld from all of their employees. From bread to automobiles
>>>>>>> to brain surgery, the price of everything we buy carries in it
>>>>>>> the hidden taxes of everyone who contributed to the production
>>>>>>> of that product or service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents
>>>>>>> of every dollar we spend for federal taxes alone.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a
>>>>>>> scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and
>>>>>>> unknowing tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to
>>>>>>> keep voters from realizing who really bears the burden of those
>>>>>>> high taxes.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
>>>>>>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually
>>>>>>> paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services.
>>>>>>> It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket,
>>>>>>> or what deductions you receive. These devices change only the
>>>>>>> degree to which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes
>>>>>>> place on your life depends solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm.
>>>>>>> First, it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services
>>>>>>> that are provided by highly taxed individuals and companies,
>>>>>>> like health care, are artificially more expensive than
>>>>>>> necessary, while raw materials and natural resources are
>>>>>>> underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political

>>>>>>> process, encouraging government overspending by politicians who
>>>>>>> exploit the mistaken belief of many voters that government
>>>>>>> spending can be paid for solely by taxing corporations or the
>>>>>>> "rich." All of the exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which
>>>>>>> brings so much ill will to our politics and drives wedges
>>>>>>> between Americans who would be better served by mutual respect
>>>>>>> and compassion - is ultimately the meaningless exploitation of a
>>>>>>> lie.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
>>>>>>> appears progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive.
>>>>>>> Currently, the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay
>>>>>>> no income tax directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes
>>>>>>> added to the hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax burden
>>>>>>> is almost 30 percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through
>>>>>>> an invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He
>>>>>>> is a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>
>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as
>>>>> Mr. B.
>>>>>
>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>>
>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>
>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B
>>>>> gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>
>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the
>>>> rich not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>>
>>>
>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so.
>>> The best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same percentage
>>> without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>

>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>>
>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and
>>> why.
>>
>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>
> Actually, I think if we fixed the income the federal government had to
> work with by eliminating their power to impose or increase taxes, I
> bet the rest would, over time, resolve itself.

Somebody has to be able to adjust tax rates... If not Congress then who?

As law makers have to
> live within their means then priorities would be required and those
> items which were luxuries or not required would keep getting pushed
> further and further towards the short end of the stick.

In a dream world. Unfortunately, in this one, what one set sees and
luxuries, the other side sees as entitlements.

If we, as a
> people, decide that the government simply doesn't have the funds to
> provide the necessary services, then we, as a people, can decide to
> raise our taxes to provide more funding so such necessary services can
> exist at a level we desire.

Look at the hullabaloo over modifying SS and Medicare and tell me with a
straight face that the people will vote for money to provide necessary
services and will not vote for money that aren't. Particularly when that
opinion of what is on what side of the line is all over the place.

> We are, after all, the ones paying for it all, so we should have a
> direct say in how much we will pay.

Yep, but look at the above and you can see why a direct democracy won't
work.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3229. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:45:43 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irm3kl$i1d$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231156
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

<Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
news:07pst6pp4hi1oh1k7pl66oggbbkvgmku5u@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2011 22:52:12 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>> The "burden" to the lowest income is significant compared to what they
>>> make.
>>
>>Really? I've seen no data that shows the relative burden is any less for
>>the
>>wealthy.
>
>
> ya fucking fool
>
> any tax table would show you even if you were too stupid to figure out
> that someone with just a $1 million pays a pittance in (all) taxes
> compared to their income than a burger flipper has left over from what
> it takes to survive.

Well, SOB, maybe there is an advantage to getting ahead and busting ass to
do so. You might no longer have to live paycheck to paycheck on a substance
living condition.

Still doesn't establish that the burden is any less for the wealthy
individual. Unless you think everyone would be living like a burger flipper.
Do you?

3230. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:47:24 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irm3nqior1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231157
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

<Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
news:mapst6p7pdlqt917rol550h6m5lh9jb3im@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2011 22:59:21 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>><Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
>>news:vuert61m666lovc2breipbnpboavs34t93@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 25 May 2011 18:44:10 -0400, "Scout"
>>> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Let's say your burger flipper makes $30,000 and your "wealth class"
>>>>makes
>>>>$1,000,000
>>>>
>>>>The burger flipper (given the numbers above, the ones you snipped) would
>>>>be
>>>>paying all of $900 in taxes. Your "wealth class on the other hand would
>>>>be
>>>>paying $146,400. The effective tax rate, and you love talking about
>>>>effective rates, would have the effective tax rate on the burger flipper
>>>>be
>>>>3%, your wealth class, on the other hand, would have an effective tax
>>>>rate
>>>>of 14.6%
>>>
>>> $900 for a low income in taxes is almost 90% of what they have over
>>> what it takes to live on
>>
>>Let's see about that shall we...
>>
>>Poverty level (ability to survive) is $24,000
>>
>>Which means he's $6,000 above that.
>>
>>Of which he pays $900 in taxes
>>
>>or....15% of what they have over what it takes to live on.
>>
>>So where did you get 90%? Pull it out of your ass?
>

> Because you assumed that a national poverty figure, set by the fucking
> idiots that back the wealth class, is a figure that has validity

Ok, then what number would you like to use?

I don't really care since it's going to be the same for everyone.

> Second, the $900 you're proud of is only the FICA taxes, not the total
> taxation paid by a low income earner. Add to that $900, state, local,
> county, and other federal taxes on food, clothing, shelter, utilities,
> everything else.

Totally missing out on what is being said, aren't you?

3231. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:54:39 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF16F00FA784hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231159
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF0E2518371EWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>
>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of
>>>>>>>>>> who pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If
>>>>>>>>>> you want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes
>>>>>>>>>> to the government then your right. I know of no place that
>>>>>>>>>> compiles that data. ...
>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I
>>>>>>>>> need them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
>>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you.
>>>>>>>>> Which explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ...
>>>>>>>> you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can,

>>>>>>>> from the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>> May 15, 2011
>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the
>>>>>>> check, but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies
>>>>>>> the cause of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization
>>>>>>> that poisons our political process. But to understand that
>>>>>>> problem, we must consider how taxes are applied to the
>>>>>>> production of goods and services.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes
>>>>>>> based on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm
>>>>>>> and equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just
>>>>>>> another cost of doing business in the course of earning his
>>>>>>> living, no different from fuel for his tractor or wages and
>>>>>>> taxes for employees.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and
>>>>>>> taxes, the price they charge the miller must cover their
>>>>>>> expenses and taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and

>>>>>>> savings. Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat.
>>>>>>> All of these costs and taxes were passed on to the miller,
>>>>>>> embedded in the price of wheat.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat
>>>>>>> to the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security
>>>>>>> taxes he withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes,
>>>>>>> were all passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with
>>>>>>> it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those
>>>>>>> previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees,
>>>>>>> hidden in the price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his
>>>>>>> family ate the bread, and, having done so, could not sell it to
>>>>>>> anyone else and pass the taxes along, as the baker and everyone
>>>>>>> else before had done.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with
>>>>>>> the farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they
>>>>>>> withheld from all of their employees. From bread to automobiles
>>>>>>> to brain surgery, the price of everything we buy carries in it
>>>>>>> the hidden taxes of everyone who contributed to the production
>>>>>>> of that product or service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents
>>>>>>> of every dollar we spend for federal taxes alone.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a
>>>>>>> scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and
>>>>>>> unknowing tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to
>>>>>>> keep voters from realizing who really bears the burden of those
>>>>>>> high taxes.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
>>>>>>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually
>>>>>>> paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services.
>>>>>>> It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket,
>>>>>>> or what deductions you receive. These devices change only the
>>>>>>> degree to which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes
>>>>>>> place on your life depends solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm.
>>>>>>> First, it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services
>>>>>>> that are provided by highly taxed individuals and companies,
>>>>>>> like health care, are artificially more expensive than
>>>>>>> necessary, while raw materials and natural resources are
>>>>>>> underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political
>>>>>>> process, encouraging government overspending by politicians who

>>>>>>> exploit the mistaken belief of many voters that government
>>>>>>> spending can be paid for solely by taxing corporations or the
>>>>>>> "rich." All of the exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which
>>>>>>> brings so much ill will to our politics and drives wedges
>>>>>>> between Americans who would be better served by mutual respect
>>>>>>> and compassion - is ultimately the meaningless exploitation of a
>>>>>>> lie.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
>>>>>>> appears progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive.
>>>>>>> Currently, the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay
>>>>>>> no income tax directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes
>>>>>>> added to the hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax burden
>>>>>>> is almost 30 percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through
>>>>>>> an invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He
>>>>>>> is a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>
>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as
>>>>> Mr. B.
>>>>>
>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>>
>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>
>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B
>>>>> gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>
>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the
>>>> rich not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>>
>>>
>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so.
>>> The best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same percentage
>>> without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>
>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.

>
> Indeed and I like it.
>
>>
>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and
>>> why.
>>
>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>
> Yes, but if that question is not answered we will never solve the
> problem.
>

The problem is that it IS an ongoing problem and always will be.
Therfore we need to keep solving it as we go along. There is no magic
one shot elixer to fix it.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3232. Re: SUPREME COURT gets one thing right!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:56:19 UTC
From: "lab~rat >:-)" <chase@cheeze.net>
Message-ID: <r15tt65jvpt0dmi23df0mtrv9g2tnb35e1@4ax.com>
Source record: 231160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Thu, 26 May 2011 10:41:16 -0700, John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
puked:

>However, all that said, the crimes of the federal government, in NOT
>securing the borders, have been noted and needs to be brought to
>justice, also ...

All I'm saying.
--
lab~rat >:-)
Do you want polite or do you want sincere?

3233. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:56:34 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF16F548F88Ehopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231161
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com> wrote in
news:b36ca33f-c9c9-4fe4-bf0a-a75e20d90ac7@q9g2000prg.googlegroups.com:

> On May 25, 12:38 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> "r_c_br...@hushmail.com" <r_c_br...@hushmail.com> wrote
>> innews:c3320493-5
> 3c4-474c-b720-3f6944f4ad00@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 24, 10:45 am, gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> On May 24, 12:07 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >> > On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> > > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> > >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> > >> > > >> ...
>>
>> >> > >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>> >> > >> innuendo you k
>> > eep
>> >> > >> attempting to push?
>>
>> >> > >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>> >> > >> 42% of al
>> > l of
>> >> > >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>> >> > >> paying ha
>> > lf
>> >> > >> of governments costs, until that happens they are NOT paying
>> >> > >> their f
>> > air
>> >> > >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> >> > >> Regards,

>> >> > >> JS
>> >> > > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>
>> >> > > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>> >> > > advocat
> e
>> >> > > i
>> > s
>> >> > > the FairTax.
>>
>> >> > Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7%
>> >> > sales tax
>> > ,
>> >> > the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7% sales
>> >> > tax, that way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>> >> > government ...
>>
>> >> Impossible to implement.
>>
>> > It *might* be possible to implement - in a totalitarian state. The
>> > government would have to always know what your worth (in terms of
>> > wealth) is at all times, and exactly what you purchase throughout
>> > the year, and when.
>>
>> > Yikes.
>>
>> > I suspect that such a system would encourage a black market or two.
>>
>> And a MASSIVE tracking system on the income status of over 300
>> million people. Think BIG BROTHER in real time.
>
> Hence my remark that such a system would require a totalitarian state.

Exactly.
--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3234. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:57:01 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF16F67F43CAhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231162
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

John Smith <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in news:irkog5$28a$1@dont-email.me:

> On 5/25/2011 8:00 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>
>> ...
>> Hence my remark that such a system would require a totalitarian state.
>>
>>>
>>> --
>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>
>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>> Don't complain.
>>
>
> Don't forget, we plonk fools here ...

Not all of them.....you are still here.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3235. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:57:51 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF16F8C7D6A6hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231163
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irkghd$t40$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> <Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
> news:n5frt61atk70l3n1rp8d0qjtdpeebnh7pu@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 25 May 2011 13:10:54 -0700, John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>>>> I don't give a rats arse how you get the water from the well, just
>>>>>> that the water comes from the well ...
>>>>
>>>> If you are whining about the costs and fairness of things, you
>>>> really should care. In this case you are pushing the costs would
>>>> completely overwhelm the result.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>I said everyone needs taxed at an equal rate on every dollar earned
>>>...
>>>
>>
>> Well, aside from you "saying it", there is no validity in your
>> nonsense
>>
>> Anyone who believes that a poor single mother should be taxed the
>> same rate as a Billionaire or CEO raking in $200 Million is a fucking
>> idiot.
>
> So what is your proposal for a fair tax?
>
> Stick it to the rich guy because well, he's rich and worked to get
> where he is?
>
>
>

That is the green eyed monster named Envy.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3236. Re: Radio Taiwan International (RTI) in Spanish on 5950 kHz @ 0612 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 17:59:33 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <21eb16be-e902-4557-8abe-9c1b5e60344e@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231164

On May 26, 8:29 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 26, 3:18 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > Radio Taiwan International (RTI) in Spanish on 5950 kHz
> > @ 0612 UTC on 26 Mayo 2011
>
> > S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 454 and good audio
>
> > Heard in Spanish {English IDs} RTI and Radio Taiwan
> > International, Obama, Taiwan, Chino, etc...
>
> > Note : Radio Taiwan International (RTI) in 'Spanish' from
> > WYFR in Florida [USA] beaming to the Western
Coasthttp://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/rti.htm
> > -source- BCL News .IT
> > .
> > Radio Taiwan International (RTI)
-English-Portal-http://english.rti.org.tw/default.aspx
> > .
> > RTI "English" Service Frequencies & Broadcast
Timeshttp://english.rti.org.tw/Others/Frequencies.aspx
> > .
> > RTI Shortwave Radio Reception Report {QSL}
> > this is an On-Line
Web-Formhttp://english.rti.org.tw/customerservice/ReceptionForm.aspx
> > .
> > RTI Español Frecuenciashttp://spanish.rti.org.tw/Others/Frequencies.aspx
> > Occidente de Norteamérica @ 06:00 - 07:00 UTC on 5950 KHz
> > .
> > EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> > 5950 kHz @ 0600-0700 UTC
> > TWN : Radio Taiwan Int. : S : WNA : /USA-o
> > from Florida in Spanish to Western North America
> > .
> > yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog

> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .

- Do we know the best Taiwan-direct broadcast
- for U.S. reception?

From Taiwan Beamed to the USA : NO.
.
- I rarely hear a good signal from Taiwan
- in English....

Radio Taiwan International "A11" Summer 2011
in 'English' from Taiwan [Time=UTC] :

0100-0200 Daily SeA 11,875 kHz TWN 250KW
~ 6-7 PM PDT

0300-0400 Daily SeA 15,320 kHz TWN 100KW
~ 8-9 PM PDT

1100-1200 Daily SeA 7445 kHz TWN 100KW
~ 4-5 AM PDT

1100-1200 Daily SeA 11,715 kHz TWN 250KW
~ 4-5 AM PDT

1600-1700 Daily CHN,SAs 9435 kHz TWN 100KW
~ 9-10 AM PDT

Note : All these Signals are being Beamed
Away from the USA {North America}
http://www.chinatouristmaps.com/assets/images/city/taiwan-in-world.jpg

RTI uses CBC {Canada} and WYFR {Florida}for
English to the USA {North America}.

RTI use RFI {France} for English to Europe.

* Transmitter Sites in Taiwan [ROC]
7445, 9435; 11,715 11,875 15,320 kHz
http://www.short-wave.info/index.php?station=R.Taiwan+Int&language=English&now=17&now2=29&submit=GO
.
.

3237. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 18:00:33 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF17000FF57Chopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231165
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

joeturn wrote in
news:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d565b0d80@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:

>> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have made
>> > that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
>> > test,while not being over-medicated>
>> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score? I
> think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is average
> for the majority.

Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6' 5",
weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3238. Re: Radio Taiwan International (RTI) in Spanish on 5950 kHz @ 0612 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 18:02:57 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <2e80f492-f2a3-46b9-afed-d6c478831175@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231166

On May 26, 8:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/26/2011 08:29 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
>
>
> > > Do we know the best Taiwan-direct broadcast for U.S. reception? I
> > > rarely hear a good signal from Taiwan in English....
>
> > http://vtuner.com/setupapp/guide/asp/BrowseStations/BrowsePremiumStat...

? Dave does RTI 'QSL' Internet Streaming Audio !
-dave-how-many-qsls-of-net-radio-do-you-have-?-
.

3239. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 18:07:15 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irm4sh$q7a$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231167
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

On 5/26/2011 11:49 AM, jigo wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/26/2011 2:03 AM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> 1st - We Have The Corporate Leader Setting
>>> The Corporate Agenda : Goals& Profits
>>> 2nd - We Have The Corporate Management United
>>> Behind The Corporate Leader
>>> 3rd - We Have The Corporate Workers and Agents
>>> United Behind The Corporate Management Leader
>>> .
>>> .
>>
>> It was never about the system. Both socialism and capitalism can work.
>> Libya is one example our criminal public servants are attacking, it
>> had/has free medical for all, and free education for all, right up to
>> the PhD levels ... it was/is not in massive debt and had, until now,
>> escaped the problems we are all staring at ...
>>
>> It can not be allowed to stand, a glaring jewel in the middle of
>> war/poverty torn Africa and standing as an example to America.
>>
>> While Qaddafi could certainly be a much better head of state, his system
>> WAS benefiting people, I think this is the real danger to our criminals
>> in charge of our government.
>>
>> There is no humanitarian reason we are in Libya. The recent finding that
>> Libya actually has 5x the oil reserves we thought it had is one.
>> Stealing the gold is another, Libya was not in massive debt to the world
>> banks -- this is another.
>>
>> When we are done in Libya, someone, after a bit, should go ask the
>> common person in the street if their lives are better. I'll just bet
>> they will find themselves in deep doo-doo to match ours.
>
> There is no good reason why we should be involved in any of these
> foreign conflicts at all. If it's proven that someone is planning to
> attack us, it would be a justification, but why would anyone attack us
> unless we got involved in their conflict?
>

> And there are damn good reasons why we should keep out of the Libyan and
> other conflicts in that region and all over the world. It costs billions
> of dollars. It leads one side or the other to retaliate against us,
> costing us literally trillions of dollars to protect Americans against
> terrorism.
>
> Why are we involved? A couple of likely reasons: Politicians love power;
> they like interfering in events all over the world; politicians depend
> for money and votes on groups that have a special interest in getting us
> involved in foreign affairs.
>
>

Money, power, drugs and rock n' roll -- it will always be the same
reason, they have them, we want them ... well, our criminal public
servants and their puppet masters do, anyway.

Regards,
JS

3240. Re: SUPREME COURT gets one thing right!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 18:08:34 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irm4v0$q7a$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/26/2011 10:56 AM, lab~rat >:-) wrote:
> On Thu, 26 May 2011 10:41:16 -0700, John Smith<win.court@gmail.com>
> puked:
>
>> However, all that said, the crimes of the federal government, in NOT
>> securing the borders, have been noted and needs to be brought to
>> justice, also ...
>
> All I'm saying.
> --
> lab~rat>:-)
> Do you want polite or do you want sincere?

The revolution is at a "polite state" right now, let's see what happens ...

Regards,
JS

3241. Re: Trump/Corsi vs. the For Mike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 18:09:52 UTC
From: Luke Nichols <luken@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <7IwDp.5384$cs1.3412@newsfe15.iad>
Source record: 231169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irm2qq$c03$1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/26/2011 10:30 AM, Mike wrote:
>
>> ...
>> Mike
>> Louisville, KY
>
> ... plonk ...
>
> Regards,
> JS
>
>

Yeah, you shouldn't post political threads on rec.radio.shortwave.

It's for Shortwave enthusiasts.

Luke

Hey mike. I'm in the market for a good portable shortwave.

I got a CC Crane Co. Portable Pocket Shortwave. I'm not crazy about it.

I use to have a Grundig YB400PE. I loved that shortwave.

Can you find me a YB400PE??

Luke
luken@frontiernet.net

3242. Re: KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 18:21:49 UTC
From: Jack <jack@nospam.invalid>
Message-ID: <4dde9ace$0$20420$a8266bb1@newsreader.readnews.com>
Source record: 231170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Woger Riseman" wrote in message
>> one potato
>> two potato
>> three potato
>> PUNCE
>> five potato
>> six potato
>> marqueer is a DUNCE
>>
>>
> LOL
>
Indeed.

3243. Re: A McDonalds with all whites and NO MEXICANS?!? In California?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 18:29:38 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF174EFBCFF6hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231171
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

John Smith <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in news:irk4u8ppp10@dont-
email.me:

> On 5/25/2011 4:52 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>> John Smith<win.court@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:irk0ie$9kn$2@dont-email.me:
>>
>>> On 5/25/2011 1:22 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>
>>>>> If you make it overseas, sell it overseas ... end of story.
>>>>>
>>>>> If you employ people in communist countries, move there ...
>>>>
>>>> Which has what to do with illegal immigration? Nothing. The cheap
>>>> products (I really typoed that one) and businesses trying to find a
>>>> way to produce those cheap products were the cause of most illegal
>>>> immigration today.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> http://www.infowars.com/smoking-gun-govt-moles-alerted-investigator-
of-
>>> planted-obama-birth-certificate-2-months-before-release/
>>
>> Wow, that really nails down the problem of illegal immigration.
>>
>>
>
> We already have decided the immigration problem, stop it. Mine/fence
> the borders and shoot criminal invaders, where have you been? Return
> any found in the USA, after proper punishments ... etc.

Care to name just where on our border that has happened? Take all the
screens you need.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3244. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 18:49:16 UTC
From: jigo <retired@home.com>
Message-ID: <4dde92bd$0$28677$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 231158
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns

John Smith wrote:
> On 5/26/2011 2:03 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>> ...
>> 1st - We Have The Corporate Leader Setting
>> The Corporate Agenda : Goals& Profits
>> 2nd - We Have The Corporate Management United
>> Behind The Corporate Leader
>> 3rd - We Have The Corporate Workers and Agents
>> United Behind The Corporate Management Leader
>> .
>> .
>
> It was never about the system. Both socialism and capitalism can work.
> Libya is one example our criminal public servants are attacking, it
> had/has free medical for all, and free education for all, right up to
> the PhD levels ... it was/is not in massive debt and had, until now,
> escaped the problems we are all staring at ...
>
> It can not be allowed to stand, a glaring jewel in the middle of
> war/poverty torn Africa and standing as an example to America.
>
> While Qaddafi could certainly be a much better head of state, his system
> WAS benefiting people, I think this is the real danger to our criminals
> in charge of our government.
>
> There is no humanitarian reason we are in Libya. The recent finding that
> Libya actually has 5x the oil reserves we thought it had is one.
> Stealing the gold is another, Libya was not in massive debt to the world
> banks -- this is another.
>
> When we are done in Libya, someone, after a bit, should go ask the
> common person in the street if their lives are better. I'll just bet
> they will find themselves in deep doo-doo to match ours.

There is no good reason why we should be involved in any of these
foreign conflicts at all. If it's proven that someone is planning to
attack us, it would be a justification, but why would anyone attack us
unless we got involved in their conflict?

And there are damn good reasons why we should keep out of the Libyan and other conflicts in that region and all over the world. It costs billions of dollars. It leads one side or the other to retaliate against us, costing us literally trillions of dollars to protect Americans against terrorism.

Why are we involved? A couple of likely reasons: Politicians love power; they like interfering in events all over the world; politicians depend for money and votes on groups that have a special interest in getting us involved in foreign affairs.

3245. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 18:51:16 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF1789A36E46hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231172
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irm3nqior1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> <Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
> news:mapst6p7pdlqt917rol550h6m5lh9jb3im@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 25 May 2011 22:59:21 -0400, "Scout"
>> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>><Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
>>>news:vuert61m666lovc2breipbnpboavs34t93@4ax.com...
>>>> On Wed, 25 May 2011 18:44:10 -0400, "Scout"
>>>> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>Let's say your burger flipper makes $30,000 and your "wealth class"
>>>>>>>makes
>>>>>>>$1,000,000
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The burger flipper (given the numbers above, the ones you snipped)
>>>>>>would be
>>>>>>paying all of $900 in taxes. Your "wealth class on the other hand
>>>>>>would be
>>>>>>paying $146,400. The effective tax rate, and you love talking about
>>>>>>effective rates, would have the effective tax rate on the burger
>>>>>>flipper be
>>>>>>3%, your wealth class, on the other hand, would have an effective
>>>>>>tax rate
>>>>>>of 14.6%
>>>>
>>>> $900 for a low income in taxes is almost 90% of what they have over
>>>> what it takes to live on
>>>
>>>Let's see about that shall we...
>>>
>>>Poverty level (ability to survive) is $24,000
>>>
>>>Which means he's $6,000 above that.
>>>
>>>Of which he pays $900 in taxes

>>>
>>>or....15% of what they have over what it takes to live on.
>>>
>>>So where did you get 90%? Pull it out of your ass?
>>
>> Because you assumed that a national poverty figure, set by the
>> fucking idiots that back the wealth class, is a figure that has
>> validity
>
> Ok, then what number would you like to use?
>
> I don't really care since it's going to be the same for everyone.
>
>
>> Second, the $900 you're proud of is only the FICA taxes, not the
>> total taxation paid by a low income earner. Add to that $900, state,
>> local, county, and other federal taxes on food, clothing, shelter,
>> utilities, everything else.
>
> Totally missing out on what is being said, aren't you?

WOW, I though he was smarter than that, but.......

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3246. Re: Trump/Corsi vs. the fraudulent obama birth certificate -- dynamic duo fights for justice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 18:55:49 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28486c404d8db84098a270@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 231173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

In article <a80be1de-0321-4da7-834a-
6dc829b4af7f@b8g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>, mwbryant@aol.com says...
>
> On May 26, 12:57ï¿œpm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> > http://www.paramuspost.com/article.php/20110525160337406
> >
> > http://www.mediaite.com/online/donald-trump-my-expert-says-obamas-bir...
> >
> > Crimes are like that, they just won't go away ...
> >
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Here are the newsgroups you keep cross-posting your political posts
> to:
>
> rec.radio.shortwave, alt.conspiracy, talk.politics.guns, alt.fan.rush-
> limbaugh, alt.rush-limbaugh
>
> All but rec.radio.shortwave are political forums where your messages
> seem appropriate. RRS, however, is a newsgroup dealing with shortwave
> radio and broadcasting. Please delete rec.radio.shortwave from your
> list of newsgroups where you make so many daily political posts.
>
> Though I can't recall you ever making a truly Shortwave-related post,
> they would certainly be welcome. Do you need a receiver? Let me know
> if you do.
>
> Mike
> Louisville, KY

Johnny KqqK plonked you. For him, that means you made sense and he ran
away. But like the cockroach he is, he will always come back.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

3247. Re: Trump/Corsi vs. the fraudulent obama birth certificate -- dynam...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 19:07:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDEA4D9-10424@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231175

Have you tried a Tiny Tenna? I think the one I have would make a good
fishing lure.
cuhulin, Fish Lures R US

3248. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 19:09:29 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF19A32BB29FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231174
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF1686D148Chopewell@216.196.121.131:

> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF0E21E26B12Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF0986C7911Dhopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF0AAF5845E6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>
>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>
>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>> news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irgufi$l7$7@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo

>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> paying 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> like the top 19% are not paying half of governments
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> advocate
>>>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7%
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sales
>>>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7%
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sales tax,
>>>>>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> government
>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their
>>>>>>>>>>>> fair
>>>>>>>>>>> share
>>>>>>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go
>>>>>>>>>>> through
>>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to
>>>>>>>>>> catch on ... I was wrong.
>>>>>>>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>>>>>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all
>>>>>>>>>> income not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it here
>>>>>>>>>> in this thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is really
>>>>>>>>>> quite simply and quite short so you should have no problem
>>>>>>>>>> understanding it. ;)
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't

>>>>>>>> flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever
>>>>>>>> was purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the
>>>>>>>> real world.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion
>>>>>>> began, or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person B
>>>>>> buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What's more fair than that?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Same product, same taxes paid.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Fair.
>>>>>
>>>>> Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal
>>>>> poverty level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on
>>>>> amount over federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable
>>>>> income paid. Fair.
>>>>>
>>>>> The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about food
>>>>> or necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list
>>>>> exemptions....and the list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and
>>>>> Cher......
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> The real problem is...
>>>>
>>>> First you have to decide how much the government needs to funtion.
>>>
>>> That is true under any taxing scheme.
>>>>
>>>> To do that you have to decide what the government should be doing.
>>>
>>> Same here and that is most of the discussion and difference between
>>> liberals and conservatives.
>>>
>>>> I think rather than discussing camoflaging how the feds fleece the
>>>> taxpayer those questions really need to be answered.
>>>
>>> Yep, but, good luck. Those discussions have been going on for two
>>> hundred years. ;)
>>>
>>>> I am of the opinion that taxes overall hurt the economy by taking
>>>> people's hard earned money. I don't care if you are the bus boy or

>>>> the owner of the chain. You earened it, it's yours.
>>>
>>> However, one does get things from having a government.
>>>
>>>> Overall if the bite is reasonably low than whatever negative effects
>>>> it has are mitigated. But the only really effective way to increase
>>>> government revenues is to have a going, expanding economy. That way
>>>> whatever "protection" money the government extorts from the people
>>>> can increase without increasing the percentage that it takes.
>>>
>>> True.
>>>
>>>> Of course that would require a complete ovrehaul of most federal
>>>> policies and the expulsion of Marxists and enviromentalists.
>>>>
>>>> One would have to stop viewing tax policy as a method of molding
>>>> people's behavior and relegate to the neccessary evil it is.
>>>>
>>>> Frankly I have yet to hear anyone explain to me how we can tax out
>>>> way out of the current crisis wherein the debt equals the GDP and is
>>>> likely to double in 8 years. There is simply no possible way to do
>>>> it without removing so much wealth from the private sector as to
>>>> thorougly tank the economy, which will in turn make the problem
>>>> immeasurably worse.
>>>
>>> To get out of this will require BOTH taxes and spending cuts. Doing
>>> just one or the other won't do it.
>>
>> Well, I disagree on this. The leech class has been feasting on the
>> middle calss for a long time. I think it's time the middle class got a
>> break and the leeches were starved.
>>
>> Given the amount of debt that we are discussing the only things that
>> will work are reducing spending, reducing taxes and regulation so the
>> economy can come back and then the expanding economy will pay it down.
>> Provided the leech class doesn't restart spending.
>
> I don't think we can cut enough and get the folks reelected we want in
> there. Too many people are stuck to too many entitlements for those to
> be drastically cut.....nibbled at and cut over time, yes.....but not all
> at once. Medicare, for example, is forecast by the Medicare Trust folks
> to hit a cost of $931B in the next 10 years. Medicaid will double, and
> SS will go up by 70%.
>
>

But I maintain that further taxation takes money out of the hands of the
producer class and further injures the economy so that higher rates will
return lower revenues.

There is simply no rational way to tax us out of this problem!

Seriously how much more can we afford to take out of the private economy? $1 trillion, $2 trillion? $4 trillion?

The only way to do it is DRASTIC spending reductions and DRASTIC tax cuts so as to allow the producer class to keep it's money and be able to spend it. Unless people are buying things and generating demand it just can't happen. And more and more people will become dependent on the government. More people will be living off of fewer people. There is no possible way that that is a return to prosperity, no matter what the Marxists beleive.

Clearly there are other issues. Offshoring jobs in particular is very toxic. If you move the jobs overseas, then people here don't work. People not working means they can't buy what they need or want. No growth. I know what I'm talking about I'm a developer and the number of jobs going to India and the foreigners being brought in to work cheaper in essentially captive jobs is killing domestic programmers and developers. And it isn't that we are overpriced. Some of the offers I've seen recently have been downright insulting for someone with as much experience as I have.

Also, over regulation takes it's toll. A couple out in Missouri fined 10s of thousands for selling rabbits without a license, Amish being attacked like Waco for selling milk (for God's sake!) to people who apparently know the "risks" and are more than happy to balance that with the benefits, Boeing being told they can't build a plant in North Carolina becuase the UNIONS don't like it? Fuck me! What has this country come to?

Drastic spending cuts aren't just about stopping the hemorrhaging of debt but to kill the federal behemoth which is (delibertately in my opinion) stifling every bit of creativity and entrepreneurship.

Good God, I tried to start a business back in teh 90s, just me and my computer doing development on the side, in my home office. Not only did the fed and state want thier cut the fucking county had thier greedy paws out for a piece of the action. I think I ended up owing more in taxes than I got to keep. What the fuck sense does that make?

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3249. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 19:11:51 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF19A9919489Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231176
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF16CBC4ED54hopewell@216.196.121.131:

> Somebody has to be able to adjust tax rates... If not Congress then who?
>

Why? Why should the government need any more than say 10% of the private
sector, except in case of war maybe, and probably not even then?

Why should the government be entitled to any more than 10% of the private
sector?

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

3250. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 19:14:14 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF19B00F6C0DWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231177
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF16F00FA784hopewell@216.196.121.131:

> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF0E2518371EWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>
>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>
>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of
>>>>>>>>>>>> who pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If
>>>>>>>>>>>> you want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes
>>>>>>>>>>>> to the government then your right. I know of no place that
>>>>>>>>>>>> compiles that data. ...
>>>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I
>>>>>>>>>>> need them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
>>>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you.
>>>>>>>>>> Which explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ...

>>>>>>>>> you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can,
>>>>>>>>> from the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>>> &#65533; May 15, 2011
>>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the
>>>>>>>> check, but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies
>>>>>>>> the cause of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization
>>>>>>>> that poisons our political process. But to understand that
>>>>>>>> problem, we must consider how taxes are applied to the
>>>>>>>> production of goods and services.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes
>>>>>>>> based on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm
>>>>>>>> and equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just
>>>>>>>> another cost of doing business in the course of earning his
>>>>>>>> living, no different from fuel for his tractor or wages and
>>>>>>>> taxes for employees.
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and
>>>>>>>> taxes, the price they charge the miller must cover their
>>>>>>>> expenses and taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and
>>>>>>>> savings. Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat.
>>>>>>>> All of these costs and taxes were passed on to the miller,
>>>>>>>> embedded in the price of wheat.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat
>>>>>>>> to the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security
>>>>>>>> taxes he withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes,
>>>>>>>> were all passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with
>>>>>>>> it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those
>>>>>>>> previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees,
>>>>>>>> hidden in the price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his
>>>>>>>> family ate the bread, and, having done so, could not sell it to
>>>>>>>> anyone else and pass the taxes along, as the baker and everyone
>>>>>>>> else before had done.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with
>>>>>>>> the farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they
>>>>>>>> withheld from all of their employees. From bread to automobiles
>>>>>>>> to brain surgery, the price of everything we buy carries in it
>>>>>>>> the hidden taxes of everyone who contributed to the production
>>>>>>>> of that product or service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents
>>>>>>>> of every dollar we spend for federal taxes alone.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a
>>>>>>>> scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and
>>>>>>>> unknowing tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to
>>>>>>>> keep voters from realizing who really bears the burden of those
>>>>>>>> high taxes.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
>>>>>>>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually
>>>>>>>> paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services.
>>>>>>>> It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket,
>>>>>>>> or what deductions you receive. These devices change only the
>>>>>>>> degree to which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes
>>>>>>>> place on your life depends solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm.
>>>>>>>> First, it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services
>>>>>>>> that are provided by highly taxed individuals and companies,
>>>>>>>> like health care, are artificially more expensive than
>>>>>>>> necessary, while raw materials and natural resources are
>>>>>>>> underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.

>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political
>>>>>>>> process, encouraging government overspending by politicians who
>>>>>>>> exploit the mistaken belief of many voters that government
>>>>>>>> spending can be paid for solely by taxing corporations or the
>>>>>>>> "rich." All of the exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which
>>>>>>>> brings so much ill will to our politics and drives wedges
>>>>>>>> between Americans who would be better served by mutual respect
>>>>>>>> and compassion - is ultimately the meaningless exploitation of a
>>>>>>>> lie.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
>>>>>>>> appears progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive.
>>>>>>>> Currently, the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay
>>>>>>>> no income tax directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes
>>>>>>>> added to the hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax burden
>>>>>>>> is almost 30 percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through
>>>>>>>> an invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He
>>>>>>>> is a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as
>>>>>> Mr. B.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B
>>>>>> gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the
>>>>> rich not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so.
>>>> The best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same percentage

>>>> without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>>
>>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>>
>> Indeed and I like it.
>>
>>>
>>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and
>>>> why.
>>>
>>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>>
>> Yes, but if that question is not answered we will never solve the
>> problem.
>>
>
> The problem is that it IS an ongoing problem and always will be.
> Therfore we need to keep solving it as we go along. There is no magic
> one shot elixer to fix it.
>

Which is why we need high capacity magazines.

Look the future will have to take of itself. All we can do is fix what is wrong now. The problem is to many people will not even publicly acknowledge there is a problem, which in my mind calls into question thier sanity.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3251. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 19:18:05 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-93cd47cf929f@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231178
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 26, 1:05 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@
> > y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
> >> >> > revenue from the income tax.
>
> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>
> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when
> >> > the
> > y
> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>
> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old luxury
> >> tax.
>
> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs that
> > are already built in to every product and service you buy.
>
> Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same revenue source as
> the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue source from
> income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the 'before' costs added
> to the price of purchase.
>

No it doesn’t need to be added. It’s already part of what you are
paying anyway. Here’s a very simplified example:

Product costs $100, broken down as follows:

Under current system
- wholesale = $50
- compliance costs = $23
- sales and other taxes = $27
- Grand total = $100

Under the FairTax
- wholesale = $50
- compliance costs = - $23
- FairTax = $23
- sales and other taxes = $27
- Grand total = $100

> The luxury
>
> > tax would have been a tax on top of that.
>
> And to cover the loss of revenue from the income tax being removed, it is
> also added into that Fair Tax number.
>

No, not added to the FairTax number. The FairTax IS the replacement
to the income tax.

> >> >> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>
> >> >> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income
> >> >> > tax as the method of funding government. If you fully
> >> >> > understand the FairTax
> >> >> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>
> >> >> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales
> >> >> tax from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
> >> >> gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger
> >> >> percentage of their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>
> >> > Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First, people
> >> > pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level.
>
> >> Which means that someone, somewhere needs to know your income.
>
> >> Every household
>
> > No, they just need to know how many people are in your household.
> > That determines the prebate, not one's income.
>
> How do you you receive that prebate? Do you get a check every month?
>

That, or direct deposit to your bank account. The infrastructure is
already set to do this for any number of government programs so
implementation is not difficult. Well, we are talking government here
so….

> >> > receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential
> >> > goods and services.
>
> >> I looked at the prebate schedule. Where in there does income come
> >> into it for that poverty level?

>
> > It doesn't. Nor does it need to. It only needs to figure what the
> > cost of essential goods and services are for a family of X number of
> > people. A family of four that makes $100,000 requires the same
> > essential goods and services as a family of four that makes $50,000.
>
> And how is that prebate received?
>

See above.

> >>From what I see, it is based on number of
> >> adults and number of dependents.
>
> > Correct, that's all that is needed.
>
> >> Second, per my example an item that costs $100 today> still costs
> >> $100
> > under the FairTax.
>
> >> If that's regressive then sign me
>
> >> > up.
> >> > The poor are always going to pay a larger percentage of their
> >> > income on everything. No tax system is going to change that.
> >> > Isn't that what the bulk of this thread is about?
>
> >> Not on a flat tax like I proposed. The difference is slight,
> >> depending on your income, but it is there.
>
> > Not sure I follow. If taxpayer A makes less than taxpayer B, assuming
> > both buy the exact same thing then taxpayer A is always going to pay
> > more of a percentage of their income for buying something.
>
> My flat income tax proposal is on income not goods.
>

And under that system you are taxed on what you earn AND what you
spend. Under the FairTax you are taxed ONLY on what you spend.

> >> >> >> The FairTax is a replacement
>
> >> >> >> > for the income tax.
>
> >> >> >> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income tax.
>
> >> >> > Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax is
> >> >> > better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which
> >> >> > then leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th
> >> >> > amendment in place as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on
> >> >> > about the pitfalls of our current tax system.

>
> >> >> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If properly
> >> >> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty level
> >> >> wages for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE deduction, or
> >> >> exemption if
> >> >> you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a postcard.
>
> >> > Under the FairTax you don't have to worry about deductions or
> >> > exemptions. You don't even have to do your taxes on a postcard
> >> > because there is nothing to do. April 15 would be just another
> >> > beautiful spring day.
>
> >> > Here's the problem with the flat tax, it retains the invasive
> >> > income tax administration apparatus and can easily revert to a
> >> > graduated, convoluted mess, as it has many times over many years.
>
> >> And your fair tax needs to know number of adults in the household
> >> along with number of dependents.
>
> > Correct. Again as it should. That's how the prebate is determined.
>
> And how is that prebate handled? There is really nothing in the proposal
> that indicates that.
>

From the FAQ: All valid Social Security cardholders who are U.S. residents receive a monthly prebate equivalent to the FairTax paid on essential goods and services, also known as the poverty level expenditures. The prebate is paid in advance, in equal installments each month. The size of the prebate is determined by the Department of Health & Human Services' poverty level guideline multiplied by the tax rate. This is a well-accepted, long-used poverty-level calculation that includes food, clothing, shelter, transportation, medical care, etc.

Sent via check or direct deposit.

Oh, and with regard to the first paragraph if you aren't legal you don't get the prebate, but you still pay the tax. Think illegals. Or, how about the drug dealer who pays no income tax at all on his "earnings". The government currently get no, zero, nada, zilch, income tax from him. But, does Joe Criminal buy nice cars, clothes, electronics, houses, etc? Guess what? Now he's paying the FairTax on that. How about the tourist who comes to the US for a pleasure trip? Does the government get any income tax from them? Nope. Do they buy a lot of goodies while here? Yup. Get the picture now?

> >>There is also nothing there that prevents it
> >> from becoming another convoluted mess. Congress can fuck up a
> >> bowling ball.
>

> > Yes, congress can fuck up a bowling ball. In fact, the first
> > implementation of our current tax system was just a handful of
> > progressive tax brackets (several flat taxes if you will),
>
> Prograssive tax brackets do not a flat tax make.
>

No they don't, but my point still stands. Look what has happened to
those brackets since 1913.

> with no
>
> > exemptions, no deductions, etc. And look what happened. There is no
> > reason to believe a flat tax would wind up going back to the
> > convoluted mess we have now.
>
> I think you meant to say "wouldn't". Anyway, there is no reason to
> believe that a Fair Tax wouldn't either.
>

Yes, you are correct. Agreed, but the reasons I laid out make it far
harder to do than the current tax code or even the flat tax which
still would have all the nonsense that goes along with our current
code.

> Plus, you would still have a tax code,
>
> > the IRS, the 16th Amendment, compliance costs, and on and on and on.
> > Under the FairTax the tax code – gone, IRS – gone, 16th Amendment –
> > gone, compliance costs – gone.
>
> > That said, congress can raise the FairTax rate just as it could raise
> > the flat tax rate or can and does raise the income tax rate. The
> > current income tax is effectively hidden.
>
> So are the costs contained in the Fair Tax. I saw no provision for
> showing them.
>

The costs contained in the FariTax are just a replacement for income
taxes. That's the whole point.

> It's just taken every
>
> > paycheck and I bet 99% of workers don't even know how much is being
> > taken out every week. Out of sight out of mind.
>
> That would effectively be the same with the Fair Tax. You would have it
> taken out on every purchase but no indication of what all was in it in
> what amounts.
>

The receipt would have a line item that states “FairTax: 23%” with the applicable dollar amount. Better yet, you only have to look at that line item when you make a purchase. And, you only have to look at that line item when you purchase a new item. Buy a used car? No FairTax. Used bike? No FairTax.

> They just accept
>
> > that government takes it.
>
> Same with your sales tax.
>

Sure, we’re all hostage to what the government shovels on us. But, again, you pay income tax no matter what. You have no choice. With the FairTax you have a choice. And, to the extent that you need to buy necessities of life you get the prebate.

> Purposely designed that way by government.
>
> > The FairTax is highly visible (displayed on your receipt) and there is
> > only one tax rate.
>
> That isn't the problem. Taxpayers DO know what is in their income tax.

I couldn’t disagree more. Go ahead and ask the next person you see that you know how much was withheld from their last paycheck. Bet they don’t know.

> They do not know what portion of that Fair Tax is the replacement for
> income tax, what portion is corporate taxes, what portion is government
> taxes for whatever purpose when Congress changes the percentage of the
> Fair Tax.
>

So what? What they do know is that the FairTax replaces the income tax. They no longer have to file. They no longer have to keep records, see accountants, worry about deductions, exemptions, audits and so on. Instead, all they do is buy a product and that’s it.

> Changing that will be harder for congress to do.
>
> > Why? Because the FairTax affects EVERYBODY. The income tax does
> > not. Right now, almost 50% of workers pay no federal income tax.
>
> The only folks who would pay no federal income tax under my proposal
> would be those who income was below the federally declared poverty line
> for a family of four and EVERYBODY gets that one and only deduction.
>

Fine. You still have in place the 16th Amendment, the IRS, compliance, record keeping, accountants, fear of audits. Then you have people that pay no income taxes, as I already mentioned, such as criminals, tourists, illegals, those paid in cash.

> > It's easy for them to say raise taxes on the top 50% that actually
> > pay.
>
> No, it isn't or Obama would have done it in lieu of extending the Bush
> taxcuts.
>

Have you seen the most recent tax stats? Nearly 50% of wage earners pay nothing in federal income taxes. That’s the highest it has ever been since the implementation of the income tax. This class warfare thing is in all out mode…and it’s working.

> Raising the FairTax means raising it on them too. Good luck to
>
> > any politician trying that.
>
> As does raising the income tax percentages or do you think politicians
> make less than the poverty level? ;)
>

No, but I say again, you still have in place the 16th Amendment, the IRS, compliance, record keeping, accountants, fear of audits. Then you have people that pay no income taxes, as I already mentioned, such as criminals, tourists, illegals, those paid in cash.

> >> In addition, a
>
> >> > large part of the burden of the flat tax -- the business tax --
> >> > will remain hidden from people in the retail price of goods and
> >> > services.
>
> >> This is an interesting point since there are supposedly intelligent
> >> folks in this newsgroup that don't understand that all businesses end
> >> up passing all their costs to the consumer in the price of the
> >> product or service. If they don't, after awhile they go under.
>
> >> > Under a flat tax, individuals would still file an income tax return
> >> > each year. Postcard or not, it's still a return. While this is a
> >> > simple postcard, the record keeping requirement is still there.
> >> > Under the FairTax, individuals never file a tax return again, ever!
>
> >> Federally, that could be true, however, when looking at state and
> >> local taxes, it is bullshit.
>
> > Not could be…would be. There would be no federal filing.
>
> Which isn't done with state and local taxes anyway. They currently get
> used as a deduction on federal income tax, but even though there is no
> federal income tax, they still need to do state taxes. All they have
> saved is entering a number.
>

But, they are still filing federal forms and worrying about deductions. Why bother doing that when all you have to do is… well….nothing! Well, except buy a good or service. And even then you don't have to file anything and no concerns about deductions.

> But, to
>
> > your larger point, the FairTax is a replacement to the federal income
> > tax, not state income taxes.
>
> Which is what I said.
>
> Federal taxes are what is at issue
>
> > here. So, what would you rather do on 4/15? File federal, state and
> > local tax forms; or just a state and local?
>
> When I do my federal taxes, TurboTax, for example, also does my state
> taxes. The extra time for the state tax is about 5 minutes.
>

Thanks for making another case for the FairTax. You said "When you do your federal taxes". How about implement the FairTax and not do them at all? I know I'd rather just have to worry about doing my state and local taxes.

How much did TurboTax cost you? $50, $60 maybe? Wouldn't it have been nice to spend that $$$ on something else rather than complying with the federal tax code?

> >> Under
>
> >> > the flat tax, the payroll tax would be retained and income tax
> >> > withholding would still be with us.
>
> >> Yep.
>
> >> > Under the FairTax, the payroll
> >> > tax, which is a larger and more regressive tax burden for most
> >> > Americans than is the income tax, is repealed.
>
> >> No, actually, it isn't. It is simply placed in the Fair Tax.
>
> > And once the FairTax is implemented none of that is withheld from your
> > paycheck.
>
> My point was that it was still there. You just don't see it or really
> know how much it is.
>

It is still there because the FairTax replaces it. We're not talking about doing away with government collecting revenue. We're talking about the mechanism for how it is collected. This is so much simpler

than the current system or even a one size fits all flat tax.

> With the exception of state and/or local withholding you
>
> > keep 100% of your check. So, the payroll tax that is now effectively
> > incorporated into the FairTax is paid by you only when you buy a new
> > good or service. It's not automatically withheld from your pay. YOU
> > decide when to pay it. Not the government. So, where's the downside
> > to that?
>
> Knwing what is in it and how much each entity is. For example, assume
> your percentage of 23%. Now, certain corporate taxes get changed. Your
> Fair Tax rate has to change to cover that. So now, this year it is 24.5%.
> How does the consumer know which changed.....the income tax portion, the
> corporate portion, the FICA portion, the whatever portion?
>

I've already talked about changing the rate and how easy (or not so)
that would be. Do you really think people care what has changed?
What they care is that an item that costs $100 under the current
system still costs $100 under the new system. And if they buy it
used, they don't even care.

> >> Under the FairTax, what
>
> >> > you earn is what you keep. No more withholding taxes; no more
> >> > income tax.
>
> >> Just more taxes on the point of sale while all taxes from state and
> >> local governments remains intact.
>
> > You are not accounting for the removal of the 23% built in costs that
> > YOU ARE ALREADY PAYING on every good and service that you buy (that
> > government doesn't even get, by the way – just wasted dollars).
>
> Yes, I am and it isn't 23% or the Fair Tax could not be 23% and cover all
> those costs plus the amount currently from income taxes or FICA.
>
> FWIW, all costs of doing business are placed in the price of the product
> or service that is produced. Anyone who doesn't understand that won't
> understand either your Fair Tax or my flat income tax proposal.
>

The 23% does account for it. This tax plan is the most widely
researched tax plan in the history of the planet. Economists and
businessmen smarter than me have examined it inside and out. The 23%
figure is the figure arrived at the make current government receipts
revenue neutral.

> When
>
> > those built in costs go away you are back to the same price.

>
> Not really. You have added additional taxes to that proposal in the form
> on income tax replacement and FICA and federal sales taxes which were
> part of certain purchases.
>

Yeah, really. What has been added replaces the compliance costs that
go away. On average, it’s a wash.

> See my
>
> > previous example.
> >> >> >> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>
> >> >> >> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>
> >> >> >> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many
> >> >> >> adjustments so that it does not become regressive.
>
> >> >> > I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>
> >> snip......
>
> >> >> Same taxpayer......buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123 for
> >> >> them.
>
> >> > Stop right there. That's incorrect. Under the FairTax the $100 of
> >> > groceries will still cost $100. There's no need to even go any
> >> > further with your example.
>
> >> I was speaking of the actual worth of the product. Yes, there are
> >> business taxes, etc.. in there but one cannot generate a new tax
> >> without adding to what is already there. So a product which today
> >> costs $100 plus city and state sales taxes will now cost the
> >> difference between the 23% sales tax and the old taxes on the product
> >> plus city and state sales taxes. What you have done is taken the
> >> taxes previously included the product price and moved them into your
> >> Fair Tax in addition to the hit on that tax replacing federal income
> >> taxes and FICA.
>
> > Nope. The item that costs $100 today will still cost $100. Here's
> > why. The built in compliance costs are, on average, 23%.
>
> Then where did you put the replacement for the income tax? It has to be
> there or the feds are missing a major, major part of their revenue.
>

As I said, the income tax replaces the compliance costs that go away.
If you don’t have an income tax there is no income tax code to comply
with.

> Take that
>
> > away and your $100 now costs $77 (which already include the state and
> > city taxes you mention). Replace those compliance costs with the
> > FairTax and you are back to $100.
>
> See above.
>
> >> >> Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of
> >> >> groceries...pays
> >> >
> >> >> $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger percentage of their
> >> >> incom
> > e
> >> >> o
> >> > n
> >> >> a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we will not pay that tax on
> >> >> groceries....oooops, you just generated an exception.
>
> >> >> > Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any other
> >> >> > questions you might have:
>
> >> >> > 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
> >> >> > particular attention to the FAQ.
>
> >> I have.
>
> > mmmmmmm okay....
>
> >> >> > 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>
> >> Why? If they can't explain it on their website.........
>
> > Boortz and Linder didn't create the web site. They are advocates of
> > the FairTax and have their own writing on this. You can fit a whole
> > lot more into a book than you can a website. You really need to read
> > the book. You will not regret it.
>
> >> >> > 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the Critics"
>
> >> >> > It will all become crystal clear.
>
> >> >> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for
> >> >> years.
> >> >
> >> >> With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the current
> >> >> system. Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the rich
> >> >> as poor poeple would never buy luxury taxed items. How did that
> >> >> work out?
>
> >> > You may be familiar with sales tax schemes, but it's clear you

> >> > aren't familiar with the FairTax. Instead of speculating as you
> >> > have done above why not go visit the site and base your criticisms
> >> > on the plan itself? You will find that many of the things you
> >> > raised above are answered there.
>
> >> Been there, read it.
>
> > Not all of it then because many of the questions you asked that I'm
> > replying to come right from the web site.
>
> >> > Look, I'm with you that a flat tax would be better than the current
> >> > system. Problem is that it, as opposed to something like the
> >> > FairTax
> > ,
> >> > leaves itself open to far more manipulation than the FairTax. The
> >> > ta
> > x
> >> > code itself is evidence of just that.
>
> >> Are you trying to say that Congress cannot fuck with the Fair Tax as
> >> much as they can fuck with a flat tax? I don't think so.
>
> > That's exactly what I'm saying and I explained why above.
>
> LOL!!

Laugh if you will. I see that you won't be convinced. All I know is
we have an opportunity in front of us to repeal the 16th amendment,
the IRS, the tax code and all the regulatory crap that goes with it
(and woudl remain under a flat tax) and replace it with a national
sales tax that you pay when you want to. It's revenue neutral and
it's fair. All I can say is go read Boortz and Linder's book. You
may not change your mind but you will be fully informed.

>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

3252. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 19:20:23 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF19C0BEACC7Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231179
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-93cd47cf929f@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Under the FairTax
> - wholesale = $50
> - compliance costs = - $23
> - FairTax = $23
> - sales and other taxes = $27
> - Grand total = $100
>

You are obviously a Democrat.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3253. Re: Radio Taiwan International (RTI) in Spanish on 5950 kHz @ 0612 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 19:32:00 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f8d34583-ce12-465f-9ddf-1476ba3da6a1@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231180

On May 26, 11:02 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 26, 8:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > On 05/26/2011 08:29 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > > Do we know the best Taiwan-direct broadcast for U.S. reception? I
> > > rarely hear a good signal from Taiwan in English....
>
> >http://vtuner.com/setupapp/guide/asp/BrowseStations/BrowsePremiumStat...
>
> ? Dave does RTI 'QSL' Internet Streaming Audio !
> -dave-how-many-qsls-of-net-radio-do-you-have-?-
> .

dave - Thanks, but if I were interested in getting stations on my PC,
I would probably sell the radios.

RHF - Having said that, I understand that some people are actually
getting "streaming QSLs." By snailmail, no less (shakes head in sad
near-resignation).

3254. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 19:44:12 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a031@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231181
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
> > Under the FairTax
> > - wholesale = $50
> > - compliance costs = - $23
> > - FairTax = $23
> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> > - Grand total = $100
>
> You are obviously a Democrat.
>

Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.

> --
> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
> competence?

3255. Re: More good economic news -- NOT! (Bush's disaster escapes JohnSm...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 19:44:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDEADAA-10446@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231183

Senator Inhofe hints the Born in Kenya! SOB MOFO SCARHEAD USURPER Needs Mental Diagnosis.
http://www.drudgereport.com

Back to work now, building more shelves for my Mountain of junk.But some of it is Real Good Junk.
cuhulin

3256. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 19:46:53 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ee4c4601-f8a6-4127-bb9d-2570ee2ec12a@g12g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231182
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 25, 6:54 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 25, 3:18 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
> >> > s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
> >> >> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
> >> >> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> >> >> >> >> ...
>
> >> >> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
> >> >> >> >> >> innuendo you
> >> >> >> >> keep

> >> >> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>
> >> >> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
> >> >> >> >> >> 42% of all
> >> >> >> >> of
> >> >> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
> >> >> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
> >> >> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
> >> >> >> >> fair
> >> >> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>
> >> >> >> >> >> Regards,
> >> >> >> >> >> JS
>
> >> >> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> >> >> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
> >> >> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>
> >> >> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>
> >> >> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>
> >> >> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>
> >> >> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>
> >> >> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>
> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
> >> > from the income tax.
>
> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>
> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when they
> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
> > decides you owe it on payday.
>
> >> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>
> >> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax as
> >> > the method of funding government. If you fully understand the FairTax
> >> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>
> >> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
> >> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation, gasoline,
> >> etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger percentage of
> >> their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>
> > Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First, people

> > pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level. Every household
> > receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential goods
> > and services.
>
> How exactly do you determine what are "essential goods and services" never
> mind how much such "essential goods and services" a particular household
> requires?
>

The Department of Health & Human Services' poverty level guidelines
tel us that. This is a well-accepted, long-used poverty-level
calculation that includes food, clothing, shelter, transportation,
medical care, etc.

> Let's take an example.
>
> A poor family is an old but well insulated house. High effeciency heating
> system. Pays $200 for heating.
>
> Another poor family in a old, uninsulated and drafty house with an old
> heating system. Pays $450 for heating.
>
> Do you effectively impose a tax on one poor family and/or pay the other for
> non-essential goods and services?
>
> Because what would be essential for one family might be nothing more than a
> luxury for the other.
>
> So, please define for me exactly how you determine the EXACT nature of
> essential goods and services for each household and exactly how much they
> spent on such goods and services.
>
> > Second, per my example an item that costs $100 today
> > still costs $100 under the FairTax. If that's regressive then sign me
> > up.
>
> Hmmm... you impose a tax, and then state you're going to collect nothing in
> taxes....
>
> > The poor are always going to pay a larger percentage of their income
> > on everything. No tax system is going to change that. Isn't that
> > what the bulk of this thread is about?
>
> Not necessarily. Look at some of the living expenses of the wealthy, or
> better yet those who were wealthy that no longer are.

3257. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 20:04:21 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF1A37FEE2A4Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231184
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a031
@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:

> On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>> > Under the FairTax
>> > - wholesale = $50
>> > - compliance costs = - $23
>> > - FairTax = $23
>> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>
> Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
>
>> --
>> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.c
> om/
>> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
muc
> h
>> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
>> competence?
>
>

I refer to your math.

wholesale = $50
compliance costs = - $23
FairTax = $23
sales and other taxes = $27

Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's $123.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3258. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 20:08:31 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF1A4353FCF9Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231185
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:ee4c4601-f8a6-4127-bb9d-2570ee2ec12a@g12g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:

> The Department of Health & Human Services' poverty level guidelines
> tel us that. This is a well-accepted, long-used poverty-level
> calculation that includes food, clothing, shelter, transportation,
> medical care, etc.

And isn't it just remarkable that "poverty level" in the US would be considered middle class in most of the world?

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3259. Re: Radio Taiwan International (RTI) in Spanish on 5950 kHz @ 0612 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 20:29:54 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4ddeb7e7$0$12521$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231186

On 05/26/2011 12:32 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 26, 11:02 am, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>> On May 26, 8:46 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>>
>>> On 05/26/2011 08:29 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>
>>>> Do we know the best Taiwan-direct broadcast for U.S. reception? I
>>>> rarely hear a good signal from Taiwan in English....
>>
>>> http://vtuner.com/setupapp/guide/asp/BrowseStations/BrowsePremiumStat...
>>
>> ? Dave does RTI 'QSL' Internet Streaming Audio !
>> -dave-how-many-qsls-of-net-radio-do-you-have-?-
>> .
>
> dave - Thanks, but if I were interested in getting stations on my PC,
> I would probably sell the radios.
>
> RHF - Having said that, I understand that some people are actually
> getting "streaming QSLs." By snailmail, no less (shakes head in sad
> near-resignation).

I listen to beacons and low baud rate digital for radio sport. I listen to International broadcasters for music and culture. The static doesn't enhance that experience. There are people here who have been listening for 40 years or more, and they still haven't HEARD a word.

3260. Re:Things are the opposite of liberty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 20:31:08 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4ddeb831$0$12521$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231187

On 05/26/2011 11:02 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 26, 8:46 am, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 05/26/2011 08:29 AM, bpnjensen wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> Do we know the best Taiwan-direct broadcast for U.S. reception? I
>>> rarely hear a good signal from Taiwan in English....
>>
>> http://vtuner.com/setupapp/guide/asp/BrowseStations/BrowsePremiumStat...
>
> ? Dave does RTI 'QSL' Internet Streaming Audio !
> -dave-how-many-qsls-of-net-radio-do-you-have-?-
> .

I don't like to collect things.

3261. Re: Alex Jones & "Info Wars"; the #1 Enemy of the People of Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 20:32:03 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4ddeb867$0$12521$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On 05/26/2011 10:20 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 25, 3:42 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> http://www.infowars.com/financial-terrorism-tsa-holds-texas-flights-h...
>>
>> You just can't make up shit like this!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Alex Jones Names #1 Enemy of the People of Texas
> http://www.infowars.com/ex-cia-spook-dewhurst-sabotaged-anti-tsa-bill-for-obama-administ
ration/
> -an-agent-of-the-obama-regime-&-new-world-order-
> .
> WWCR "Info Wars" on 12,160 kHz @ 1600 UTC
> http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> .
> "Info Wars" -host- Alex Jones
> http://www.infowars.com/
> .
> Alex Jones -web-site- "Prison Planet"
> http://www.prisonplanet.com/
> .
> About "Alex Jones" (Radio Host)
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alex_Jones_(radio)
> .
> The Alex Jones Shortwave Radio Show
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alex_Jones_(radio)#The_Alex_Jones_Show
> .
> Alex Jones "Info Wars" on the Shortwave Radio
> Mon-Fri : 11 AM - 2 PM on 12,160 kHz
> [US Central Time -6 UTC] : 1600 - 1900 UTC
> .
> World Wide Christian Radio [WWCR]
> Shortwave Radio Station
> WWCR Location : Nashville, Tennessee, USA
> http://www.wwcr.com/
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq

> http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

3262. Re: ? Hey... Is It True Obama 'Bowed' To The Queen !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 20:33:02 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4ddeb8a3$0$12521$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On 05/26/2011 09:54 AM, RHF wrote:

A gentleman always bows to the lady. Are you some kind of freak?

3263. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 20:46:39 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231190
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a031
> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > Under the FairTax
> >> > - wholesale = $50
> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
> >> > - FairTax = $23
> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> >> > - Grand total = $100
>
> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>
> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
>
> >> --
> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.c
> > om/
> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
> muc
> > h
> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
> >> competence?
>

> I refer to your math.
>
> wholesale = $50
> compliance costs = - $23
> FairTax = $23
> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's $123.
>

You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
you.

> --
> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
> competence?

3264. Re: Trump/Corsi vs. the fraudulent obama birth certificate -- dynamic duo fights for justice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 21:00:11 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irmf0p$3u7$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/26/2011 11:55 AM, BDK wrote:
> In article<a80be1de-0321-4da7-834a-
> 6dc829b4af7f@b8g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>, mwbryant@aol.com says...
>>
>> On May 26, 12:57 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> http://www.paramuspost.com/article.php/20110525160337406
>>>
>>> http://www.mediaite.com/online/donald-trump-my-expert-says-obamas-bir...
>>>
>>> Crimes are like that, they just won't go away ...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> Here are the newsgroups you keep cross-posting your political posts
>> to:
>>
>> rec.radio.shortwave, alt.conspiracy, talk.politics.guns, alt.fan.rush-
>> limbaugh, alt.rush-limbaugh
>>
>> All but rec.radio.shortwave are political forums where your messages
>> seem appropriate. RRS, however, is a newsgroup dealing with shortwave
>> radio and broadcasting. Please delete rec.radio.shortwave from your
>> list of newsgroups where you make so many daily political posts.
>>
>> Though I can't recall you ever making a truly Shortwave-related post,
>> they would certainly be welcome. Do you need a receiver? Let me know
>> if you do.
>>
>> Mike
>> Louisville, KY
>
> Johnny KqqK plonked you. For him, that means you made sense and he ran
> away. But like the cockroach he is, he will always come back.
>

I do as I please, plonk, unplonk, etc.

Pisses you off, that is good ...

Regards,
JS

3265. Re: ? Hey... Is It True Obama 'Bowed' To The Queen !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 21:04:16 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irmf8e$3u7$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/26/2011 1:33 PM, dave wrote:
> On 05/26/2011 09:54 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
> A gentleman always bows to the lady. Are you some kind of freak?

Looked more to me like a negro bowing to his slave owner. Indeed, I am quite sure that is what it was -- others have their silly interpretations of it.

But, in this case, it was exactly what it looked like ...

Regards,
JS

3266. Re: ? Hey... Is It True Obama 'Bowed' To The Queen !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 21:25:46 UTC
From: Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets <RRATR@BurningInHell.org>
Message-ID: <jZ6dnZV3yunJWEPQnZ2dnUVZ_g2dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

"dave" wrote in message
news:4ddeb8a3$0$12521$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com...

On 05/26/2011 09:54 AM, RHF wrote:

>A gentleman always bows to the lady. Are you some kind of freak?

They have a problem with Obama showing respect for some reason.

3267. Re: ? Hey... Is It True Obama 'Bowed' To The Queen !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 21:33:35 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irmgvd$d20$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On 5/26/2011 2:25 PM, Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets wrote:
>
>
> "dave" wrote in message
> news:4ddeb8a3$0$12521$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com...
>
> On 05/26/2011 09:54 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>> A gentleman always bows to the lady. Are you some kind of freak?
>
> They have a problem with Obama showing respect for some reason.

I looked at the pic again, you still have wrong.

That is some old tranny in a wig ... that weird queen outfit thingy is
really creepy! Make you wonder what she has her slaves doing for her
all day long ... doesn't it.

Regards,
JS

3268. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 22:09:59 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF19A4BFBFF0hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231195
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-93cd47cf929f@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:

> On May 26, 1:05 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@
> e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@
>> > y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
>> >> >> > revenue from the income tax.
>>
>> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only
>> >> > when the
>> > y
>> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the
>> >> > governmen
> t
>> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>>
>> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old luxury
>> >> tax.
>>
>> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs
>> > that are already built in to every product and service you buy.
>>
>> Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same revenue
>> source as the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue
>> source from income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the
>> 'before' costs added to the price of purchase.
>>
>
> No it doesn't need to be added.

Of course it does. It is NOT part of that 23% you keep saying is already
paid in product cost or the product taxes, etc. were actually less than

23%. What you have is this:

Product selling price
Product cost
Corporate taxes
Inventory taxes
Excise taxes

Now subtract the bottom three from the product selling price.

Now you have:

Product selling price
Product cost
- Corporate taxes
- Inventory taxes
- Excise taxes

Now add those to a Fair Tax

Corporate taxes
Inventory taxes
Excise taxes

Now you need to add in the tax portion that was covered by federal income
taxes. You now have:

Corporate taxes
Inventory taxes
Excise taxes
The revenue from income taxes
Revenue from FICA

You can't subract 23% from a product, add more stuff to it and add it
back and still have 23%.

It's already part of what you are
> paying anyway. Here's a very simplified example:
>
> Product costs $100, broken down as follows:
>
> Under current system
> - wholesale = $50
> - compliance costs = $23
> - sales and other taxes = $27
> - Grand total = $100
>
> Under the FairTax
> - wholesale = $50
> - compliance costs = - $23
> - FairTax = $23
> - sales and other taxes = $27
> - Grand total = $100

Ooops, forgot the revenue to make up for no income tax and FICA.

>> The luxury
>>
>> > tax would have been a tax on top of that.
>>
>> And to cover the loss of revenue from the income tax being removed,
>> it is also added into that Fair Tax number.
>>
>
> No, not added to the FairTax number. The FairTax IS the replacement
> to the income tax.

Not if the other taxes were already 23%. You can't put ten pounds of
crap in a five pound bag.

>> >> >> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>
>> >> >> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the
>> >> >> > income tax as the method of funding government. If you fully
>> >> >> > understand the FairTax
>> >> >> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>
>> >> >> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that
>> >> >> sales tax from certain items, like food, housing, public
>> >> >> transportation, gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor
>> >> >> paying a much larger percentage of their income on those taxes
>> >> >> than the wealthy.
>>
>> >> > Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First,
>> >> > peopl
> e
>> >> > pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level.
>>
>> >> Which means that someone, somewhere needs to know your income.
>>
>> >> Every household
>>
>> > No, they just need to know how many people are in your household.
>> > That determines the prebate, not one's income.
>>
>> How do you you receive that prebate? Do you get a check every month?
>>
>
> That, or direct deposit to your bank account. The infrastructure is
> already set to do this for any number of government programs so
> implementation is not difficult. Well, we are talking government here
> so.

OK

>> >> > receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential
>> >> > goods and services.
>>
>> >> I looked at the prebate schedule. Where in there does income come
>> >> into it for that poverty level?
>>
>> > It doesn't. Nor does it need to. It only needs to figure what the
>> > cost of essential goods and services are for a family of X number
>> > of people. A family of four that makes $100,000 requires the same
>> > essential goods and services as a family of four that makes
>> > $50,000.
>>
>> And how is that prebate received?
>>
>
> See above.
>
>
>> >>From what I see, it is based on number of
>> >> adults and number of dependents.
>>
>> > Correct, that's all that is needed.
>>
>> >> Second, per my example an item that costs $100 today> still costs
>> >> $100
>> > under the FairTax.
>>
>> >> If that's regressive then sign me
>>
>> >> > up.
>> >> > The poor are always going to pay a larger percentage of their
>> >> > income on everything. No tax system is going to change that.
>> >> > Isn't that what the bulk of this thread is about?
>>
>> >> Not on a flat tax like I proposed. The difference is slight,
>> >> depending on your income, but it is there.
>>
>> > Not sure I follow. If taxpayer A makes less than taxpayer B,
>> > assumin
> g
>> > both buy the exact same thing then taxpayer A is always going to
>> > pay more of a percentage of their income for buying something.
>>
>> My flat income tax proposal is on income not goods.
>>
>
> And under that system you are taxed on what you earn AND what you
> spend. Under the FairTax you are taxed ONLY on what you spend.

Wrong. Under the flat tax system, you are taxed separately on what you earn and what you spend. With Fair Tax, you are taxed on what everyone earns and the product costs but it is all in one tax in lieu of being separate.

>> >> >> >> The FairTax is a replacement
>>
>> >> >> >> > for the income tax.
>>
>> >> >> >> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income
>> >> >> >> tax.
>>
>> >> >> > Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax
>> >> >> > i
> s
>> >> >> > better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which
>> >> >> > then leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th
>> >> >> > amendment in place as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on
>> >> >> > about the pitfalls of our current tax system.
>>
>> >> >> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If
>> >> >> properl
> y
>> >> >> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty
>> >> >> level wages for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE
>> >> >> deduction, or exemption if
>> >> >> you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a postcard.
>>
>> >> > Under the FairTax you don't have to worry about deductions or
>> >> > exemptions. You don't even have to do your taxes on a postcard
>> >> > because there is nothing to do. April 15 would be just another
>> >> > beautiful spring day.
>>
>> >> > Here's the problem with the flat tax, it retains the invasive
>> >> > income tax administration apparatus and can easily revert to a
>> >> > graduated, convoluted mess, as it has many times over many
>> >> > years.
>>
>> >> And your fair tax needs to know number of adults in the household
>> >> along with number of dependents.
>>
>> > Correct. Again as it should. That's how the prebate is determined
> .
>>
>> And how is that prebate handled? There is really nothing in the
>> propos
> al
>> that indicates that.
>>
>

> From the FAQ: All valid Social Security cardholders who are U.S.
> residents receive a monthly prebate equivalent to the FairTax paid on
> essential goods and services, also known as the poverty level
> expenditures. The prebate is paid in advance, in equal installments
> each month.

Read that. I was asking how and you seem to have answered that earlier
when you said it could be in the form of a check or a deposit to one's
account.

The size of the prebate is determined by the Department of
> Health & Human Services' poverty level guideline multiplied by the tax
> rate. This is a well-accepted, long-used poverty-level calculation
> that includes food, clothing, shelter, transportation, medical care,
> etc.

Yes, I know what is in it.

> Sent via check or direct deposit.

You answered that earlier. My point was that the FAQ doesn't say that.
It says you get it but not how.

> Oh, and with regard to the first paragraph if you aren't legal you
> don't get the prebate, but you still pay the tax. Think illegals.

I already figured that out.

> Or, how about the drug dealer who pays no income tax at all on his
> 'earnings'. The government currently get no, zero, nada, zilch,
> income tax from him. But, does Joe Criminal buy nice cars, clothes,
> electronics, houses, etc? Guess what? Now he's paying the FairTax on
> that. How about the tourist who comes to the US for a pleasure trip?
> Does the government get any income tax from them? Nope. Do they buy
> a lot of goodies while here? Yup. Get the picture now?

I already had the picture. I was asking details.

>> >>There is also nothing there that prevents it
>> >> from becoming another convoluted mess. 'Congress can fuck up a
>> >> bowling ball.
>>
>> > Yes, congress can fuck up a bowling ball. 'In fact, the first
>> > implementation of our current tax system was just a handful of
>> > progressive tax brackets (several flat taxes if you will),
>>
>> Prograssive tax brackets do not a flat tax make.
>>
>
> No they don't, but my point still stands. Look what has happened to
> those brackets since 1913.

And with Congress, there is nothing stopping that from happening again in one form or another with the Fair Tax.

>> with no
>>
>> > exemptions, no deductions, etc. And look what happened. There is
> no
>> > reason to believe a flat tax would wind up going back to the
>> > convoluted mess we have now.
>>
>> I think you meant to say "wouldn't". Anyway, there is no reason to
>> believe that a Fair Tax wouldn't either.
>>
>
> Yes, you are correct. Agreed, but the reasons I laid out make it far
> harder to do than the current tax code or even the flat tax which
> still would have all the nonsense that goes along with our current
> code.

Why would it be harder? All Congress has to do is to modify the code.

>> Plus, you would still have a tax code,
>>
>> > the IRS, the 16th Amendment, compliance costs, and on and on and
>> > on. Under the FairTax the tax code gone, IRS gone, 16th
>> > Amendment
>
>> > gone, compliance costs gone.
>>
>> > That said, congress can raise the FairTax rate just as it could
>> > raise the flat tax rate or can and does raise the income tax rate.
>> > The current income tax is effectively hidden.
>>
>> So are the costs contained in the Fair Tax. I saw no provision for
>> showing them.
>>
>
> The costs contained in the FariTax are just a replacement for income
> taxes. That's the whole point.

I don't think you get the whole point. At least not in your examples. You cannot subract a percentage from a cost, add things to it and put it back in place at the same percentage.

>> It's just taken every
>>
>> > paycheck and I bet 99% of workers don't even know how much is being
>> > taken out every week. Out of sight out of mind.
>>
>> That would effectively be the same with the Fair Tax. You would hav
> e it
>> taken out on every purchase but no indication of what all was in it

>> in what amounts.
>>
>
> The receipt would have a line item that states FairTax: 23% with the
> applicable dollar amount. Better yet, you only have to look at that
> line item when you make a purchase. And, you only have to look at
> that line item when you purchase a new item. Buy a used car? No
> FairTax. Used bike? No FairTax.

One reason is that most used stuff is purchased directly from the seller.
There is no one who in the middle to act as a collection point for that
tax. Not a lot of used stuff is taxed on sale in the current market.

>> They just accept
>>
>> > that government takes it.
>>
>> Same with your sales tax.
>>
>
> Sure, we're all hostage to what the government shovels on us. But,
> again, you pay income tax no matter what. You have no choice. With
> the FairTax you have a choice.

Not if you wish to purchase anything in other than the used market.

And, to the extent that you need to
> buy necessities of life you get the prebate.

But still pay the tax on those items at time of purchase.

>> Purposely designed that way by government.
>>
>> > The FairTax is highly visible (displayed on your receipt) and there
>> > is only one tax rate.
>>
>> That isn't the problem. Taxpayers DO know what is in their income
>> tax.
>
>
> I couldn't disagree more. Go ahead and ask the next person you see
> that you know how much was withheld from their last paycheck. Bet
> they don't know.

Bet they do when they fill out their taxes. Those who use CPAs are smart
enough to have a good idea what is in the taxes and those who don't,
wouldn't know anyway.

>> They do not know what portion of that Fair Tax is the replacement for
>> income tax, what portion is corporate taxes, what portion is
>> government taxes for whatever purpose when Congress changes the
>> percentage of the Fair Tax.

>>
>
> So what? What they do know is that the FairTax replaces the income
> tax. They no longer have to file. They no longer have to keep
> records, see accountants, worry about deductions, exemptions, audits
> and so on. Instead, all they do is buy a product and that's it.
>
> It's Changing that will be harder for congress to do.
>>
>> > Why? It's Because the FairTax affects EVERYBODY. It's The income tax does
>> > not. It's Right now, almost 50% of workers pay no federal income tax.
>>
>> The only folks who would pay no federal income tax under my proposal
>> would be those who income was below the federally declared poverty
>> line for a family of four and EVERYBODY gets that one and only
>> deduction.
>>
>
> Fine. You still have in place the 16th Amendment, the IRS,
> compliance, record keeping, accountants, fear of audits. Then you
> have people that pay no income taxes, as I already mentioned, such as
> criminals, tourists, illegals, those paid in cash.

And with the fair tax, you have the used market, the under the table
market and swapping.

>> > It's easy for them to say raise taxes on the top 50% that actually
>> > pay.
>>
>> No, it isn't or Obama would have done it in lieu of extending the
>> Bush taxcuts.
>
> Have you seen the most recent tax stats?

Are you insane? Who do you think has been posting the numbers in here?

Nearly 50% of wage earners
> pay nothing in federal income taxes. That's the highest it has ever
> been since the implementation of the income tax.

The actual percentage, just for your input, is 45%. The bottom 50% pays
just under 3%.

This class warfare
> thing is in all out mode and it's working.

Yep......but you will always have that with Democrats. When they get
into power, they will mess around with your Fair Tax also.

>> It's Raising the FairTax means raising it on them too. It's Good luck to
>>
>> > any politician trying that.

>>
>> As does raising the income tax percentages or do you think
>> politicians make less than the poverty level? ;)
>>
>
> No, but I say again, you still have in place the 16th Amendment, the
> IRS, compliance, record keeping, accountants, fear of audits. Then
> you have people that pay no income taxes, as I already mentioned, such
> as criminals, tourists, illegals, those paid in cash.
>
>
>> >> In addition, a
>>
>> >> > large part of the burden of the flat tax -- the business tax --
>> >> > will remain hidden from people in the retail price of goods and
>> >> > services.
>>
>> >> This is an interesting point since there are supposedly
>> >> intelligent folks in this newsgroup that don't understand that all
>> >> businesses end up passing all their costs to the consumer in the
>> >> price of the product or service. If they don't, after awhile they
>> >> go under.
>>
>> >> > Under a flat tax, individuals would still file an income tax
>> >> > return each year. Postcard or not, it's still a return. While
>> >> > this is a simple postcard, the record keeping requirement is
>> >> > still there. Under the FairTax, individuals never file a tax
>> >> > return again, ever!
>>
>> >> Federally, that could be true, however, when looking at state and
>> >> local taxes, it is bullshit.
>>
>> > Not could be would be. There would be no federal filing.
>>
>> Which isn't done with state and local taxes anyway. They currently
>> get used as a deduction on federal income tax, but even though there
>> is no federal income tax, they still need to do state taxes. All
>> they have saved is entering a number.
>>
>
> But, they are still filing federal forms and worrying about
> deductions. Why bother doing that when all you have to do is
> well..nothing! Well, except buy a good or service. And even then you
> don't have to file anything and no concerns about deductions.
>
>
>> But, to
>>
>> > your larger point, the FairTax is a replacement to the federal

>> > income tax, not state income taxes.
>>
>> Which is what I said.
>>
>> ?Federal taxes are what is at issue
>>
>> > here. ?So, what would you rather do on 4/15? ?File federal, state a
> nd
>> > local tax forms; or just a state and local?
>>
>> When I do my federal taxes, TurboTax, for example, also does my state
>> taxes. ?The extra time for the state tax is about 5 minutes.
>>
>
> Thanks for making another case for the FairTax. You said "When you do
> your federal taxes". How about implement the FairTax and not do them
> at all? I know I'd rather just have to worry about doing my state
> and local taxes.

I wish to control my taxes as much as I can. Don't you?

> How much did TurboTax cost you? $50, $60 maybe? Wouldn?t it have
> been nice to spend that $$$ on something else rather than complying
> with the federal tax code?

I wasn't worried about complying with the federal tax code. I was simply
interested in paying my share of the tax burden, but no more than that.

>> >> ?Under
>>
>> >> > the flat tax, the payroll tax would be retained and income tax
>> >> > withholding would still be with us.
>>
>> >> Yep.
>>
>> >> > Under the FairTax, the payroll
>> >> > tax, which is a larger and more regressive tax burden for most
>> >> > Americans than is the income tax, is repealed.
>>
>> >> No, actually, it isn't. ?It is simply placed in the Fair Tax.
>>
>> > And once the FairTax is implemented none of that is withheld from
>> > your paycheck. ?
>>
>> My point was that it was still there. ?You just don't see it or
>> really know how much it is.
>>
>
> It is still there because the FairTax replaces it. We?re not talking
> about doing away with government collecting revenue. We?re talking

> about the mechanism for how it is collected. This is so much simpler
> than the current system or even a one size fits all flat tax.

Yep, but you need to take a closer look at how you present the figures or
learn more about them. You cannot subtract x from y, add z to x and have
x be the same amount as it was before.

>> With the exception of state and/or local withholding you
>>
>> > keep 100% of your check. &#65533;So, the payroll tax that is now
>> > effectively incorporated into the FairTax is paid by you only when
>> > you buy a new good or service. &#65533;It's not automatically withheld
>> > from your pay. &#65533;Y
> OU
>> > decide when to pay it. &#65533;Not the government. &#65533;So, where's the downsi
> de
>> > to that?
>>
>> Knwing what is in it and how much each entity is. &#65533;For example,
>> assume your percentage of 23%. &#65533;Now, certain corporate taxes get
>> changed. &#65533;Y
> our
>> Fair Tax rate has to change to cover that. So now, this year it is
>> 24.5%.
> &#65533;
>> How does the consumer know which changed.....the income tax portion,
>> the corporate portion, the FICA portion, the whatever portion?
>>
>
> I&#65533;ve already talked about changing the rate and how easy (or not so)
> that would be. Do you really think people care what has changed?

Many will.

> What they care is that an item that costs $100 under the current
> system still costs $100 under the new system.

No, it won't. Stop and think about why.

And if they buy it
> used, they don&#65533;t even care.
>
>
>> >> Under the FairTax, what
>>
>> >> > you earn is what you keep. No more withholding taxes; no more
>> >> > income tax.
>>
>> >> Just more taxes on the point of sale while all taxes from state
>> >> and local governments remains intact.
>>

>> > You are not accounting for the removal of the 23% built in costs
>> > that YOU ARE ALREADY PAYING on every good and service that you buy
>> > (that government doesn't even get, by the way just wasted
>> > dollars).
>>
>> Yes, I am and it isn't 23% or the Fair Tax could not be 23% and cover
>> all those costs plus the amount currently from income taxes or FICA.
>>
>>
>> FWIW, all costs of doing business are placed in the price of the
>> product or service that is produced. Anyone who doesn't understand
>> that won't understand either your Fair Tax or my flat income tax
>> proposal.
>>
>
> The 23% does account for it. This tax plan is the most widely
> researched tax plan in the history of the planet.

The 23% may account for it, but then it couldn't have been 23% when it was first deducted.

Economists and
> businessmen smarter than me have examined it inside and out. The 23%
> figure is the figure arrived at the make current government receipts
> revenue neutral.
>
>
>> When
>>
>> > those built in costs go away you are back to the same price.
>>
>> Not really. You have added additional taxes to that proposal in the
>> fo
> rm
>> on income tax replacement and FICA and federal sales taxes which were
>> part of certain purchases.
>>
>
> Yeah, really. What has been added replaces the compliance costs that
> go away. On average, it's a wash.

Are you trying to tell us that the compliance costs are the same as the entire income tax revenue? That would be interesting since about 45% of that federal revenue is individual income tax, 36% is payroll taxes, 12% is corporate taxes (which you did put into your Fair Tax number), 3% excise taxes and 4% from other.

>> See my
>>
>> > previous example.

>> >> >> >> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>
>> >> >> >> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>
>> >> >> >> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many
>> >> >> >> adjustments so that it does not become regressive.
>>
>> >> >> > I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>>
>> >> snip.....
>>
>> >> >> Same taxpayer.....buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123
>> >> >> for them.
>>
>> >> > Stop right there. That's incorrect. Under the FairTax the $100
> of
>> >> > groceries will still cost $100. There's no need to even go any
>> >> > further with your example.
>>
>> >> I was speaking of the actual worth of the product. Yes, there are
>> >> business taxes, etc.. in there but one cannot generate a new tax
>> >> without adding to what is already there. So a product which today
>> >> costs $100 plus city and state sales taxes will now cost the
>> >> difference between the 23% sales tax and the old taxes on the
>> >> product plus city and state sales taxes. What you have done is
>> >> taken the taxes previously included the product price and moved
>> >> them into your Fair Tax in addition to the hit on that tax
>> >> replacing federal income taxes and FICA.
>>
>> > Nope. The item that costs $100 today will still cost $100. Here's
>> > why. The built in compliance costs are, on average, 23%.
>>
>> Then where did you put the replacement for the income tax? It has to
>> b
> e
>> there or the feds are missing a major, major part of their revenue.
>>
>
> As I said, the income tax replaces the compliance costs that go away.
> If you don't have an income tax there is no income tax code to comply
> with.

And I say your number is wrong. Compliance costs are NOT equal to 45% of the entire federal budget. Now, Social Security, Medicare and Medicaid are about 40% and both are expected to increase in cost over the next ten years. Social Security by 70%. Medicare by 77% and Medicaid by 99%.

Are you trying to say that compliance costs with our current system is equal to SS, Medicare and Medicaid? ;)

>> ﷺTake that
>>
>> > away and your $100 now costs $77 (which already include the state
>> > and city taxes you mention). ﷺReplace those compliance costs with
>> > the FairTax and you are back to $100.
>>
>> See above.
>>
>> >> >> Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of
>> >> >> groceries...pays
>> >> > ﷺ
>> >> >> $123 for them. ﷺWhich one spent the bigger percentage of their
>> >> >> incom
>> > e
>> >> >> o
>> >> > n
>> >> >> a necessity? ﷺOK, let's fix it....we will not pay that tax on
>> >> >> groceries....oooops, you just generated an exception. ﷺ
>>
>> >> >> > Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any
>> >> >> > other questions you might have:
>>
>> >> >> > 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. ﷺPay
>> >> >> > particular attention to the FAQ.
>>
>> >> I have.
>>
>> > mmmmmmm okay....
>>
>> >> >> > 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>>
>> >> Why? ﷺIf they can't explain it on their website.....
>>
>> > Boortz and Linder didn't create the web site. ﷺThey are advocates
>> > of the FairTax and have their own writing on this. ﷺYou can fit a
>> > whole lot more into a book than you can a website. ﷺYou really need
>> > to read the book. ﷺYou will not regret it.
>>
>> >> >> > 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the
>> >> >> > Critics"
>>
>> >> >> > It will all become crystal clear.
>>
>> >> >> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for
>> >> >> years.
>> >> > ﷺ
>> >> >> With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the current
>> >> >> system. Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the
>> >> >> rich as poor poeple would never buy luxury taxed items. ﷺHow
>> >> >> did that work out?

>>
>> >> > You may be familiar with sales tax schemes, but it's clear you
>> >> > aren't familiar with the FairTax. Instead of speculating as you
>> >> > have done above why not go visit the site and base your
>> >> > criticisms on the plan itself? You will find that many of the
>> >> > things you raised above are answered there.
>>
>> >> Been there, read it.
>>
>> > Not all of it then because many of the questions you asked that I'm
>> > replying to come right from the web site.
>>
>> >> > Look, I'm with you that a flat tax would be better than the
>> >> > current system. Problem is that it, as opposed to something
>> >> > like the FairTax
>> > ,
>> >> > leaves itself open to far more manipulation than the FairTax.
>> >> > The ta
>> > x
>> >> > code itself is evidence of just that.
>>
>> >> Are you trying to say that Congress cannot fuck with the Fair Tax
>> >> as much as they can fuck with a flat tax? I don't think so.
>>
>> > That's exactly what I'm saying and I explained why above.
>>
>> LOL!!
>
> Laugh if you will. I see that you won't be convinced.

I simply don't feel that you can subtract product costs with taxes, add
income tax revenue to that, put the product costs back in and have the
same number. If you know how to do it, let me know.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3269. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 22:19:57 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231196
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:

>> wholesale = $50
>> compliance costs = - $23
>> FairTax = $23
>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's $123.
>>
>
> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
> that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
> you.
>

Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are just going to away?

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3270. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 22:23:03 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF19C828857Dhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231197
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:

> On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a031
>> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > Under the FairTax
>> >> > - wholesale = $50
>> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
>> >> > - FairTax = $23
>> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
>>
>> >> --
>> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancai
> n.c
>> > om/
>> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had

>> >> as
>> muc
>> > h
>> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
>> >> much competence?
>>
>> I refer to your math.
>>
>> wholesale = $50
>> compliance costs = - $23
>> FairTax = $23
>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
>> $123.
>>
>
> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
> that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
> you.

Let's try it this way using YOUR figures above.

Originally

Wholesale - $50
Compliance costs - $23
Sales and other taxes - $27

Total product cost $100

Federal income tax revenue is a separate item.

****

Wholesale - %50
Compliance Costs - <$23>
Sales and other taxes - <$27>

Total product cost now - $50

Federal income tax revenue still a separate item.

****

Wholesale - $50
Add sales and other taxes -$27
Add Fair Tax - $23
Add money for loss of income tax revenue - $whatever

Oooops, it now comes to more than the original $100 since that revenue is
not a separate item anymore.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3271. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 22:36:32 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231198
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> joeturn wrote innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d565b0d80@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have made
> >> > that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> >> > test,while not being over-medicated
> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score? I
> > think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is average
> > for the majority.
> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6' 5",
> weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!

3272. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 22:37:26 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF19EF2DD2F8hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231199
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF19A32BB29FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF1686D148Chopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF0E21E26B12Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF0986C7911Dhopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>
>>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF0AAF5845E6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>
>>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>>> news:Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>>
>>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:irgufi$l7$7@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> ...

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo
>>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> paying 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> like the top 19% are not paying half of governments
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> fair share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> advocate
>>>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> 7% sales
>>>>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7%
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sales tax,
>>>>>>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> government
>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.htm
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> l
>>>>>>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay
>>>>>>>>>>>> their fair
>>>>>>>>>>>> share
>>>>>>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>>>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to
>>>>>>>>>>>> go through
>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to
>>>>>>>>>>> catch on ... I was wrong.
>>>>>>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>>>>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all
>>>>>>>>> income not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it
>>>>>>>>> here in this thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is

>>>>>>>>> really quite simply and quite short so you should have no
>>>>>>>>> problem understanding it. ;)
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell
>>>>>>>>> isn't flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on
>>>>>>>>> whatever was purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the
>>>>>>>>> real world.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion
>>>>>>>>> began, or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person B
>>>>>>>> buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> What's more fair than that?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Same product, same taxes paid.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Fair.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal
>>>>>> poverty level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on
>>>>>> amount over federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable
>>>>>> income paid. Fair.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about
>>>>>> food or necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list
>>>>>> exemptions....and the list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and
>>>>>> Cher......
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> The real problem is...
>>>>>
>>>>> First you have to decide how much the government needs to funtion.
>>>>
>>>> That is true under any taxing scheme.
>>>>>
>>>>> To do that you have to decide what the government should be doing.
>>>>
>>>> Same here and that is most of the discussion and difference between
>>>> liberals and conservatives.
>>>>
>>>>> I think rather than discussing camoflaging how the feds fleece the
>>>>> taxpayer those questions really need to be answered.
>>>>
>>>> Yep, but, good luck. Those discussions have been going on for two

>>>> hundred years. ;)
>>>>
>>>>> I am of the opinion that taxes overall hurt the economy by taking
>>>>> people's hard earned money. I don't care if you are the bus boy or
>>>>> the owner of the chain. You earened it, it's yours.
>>>>
>>>> However, one does get things from having a government.
>>>>
>>>>> Overall if the bite is reasonably low than whatever negative
>>>>> effects it has are mitigated. But the only really effective way to
>>>>> increase government revenues is to have a going, expanding
>>>>> economy. That way whatever "protection" money the government
>>>>> extorts from the people can increase without increasing the
>>>>> percentage that it takes.
>>>>
>>>> True.
>>>>
>>>>> Of course that would require a complete ovrehaul of most federal
>>>>> policies and the expulsion of Marxists and enviromentalists.
>>>>>
>>>>> One would have to stop viewing tax policy as a method of molding
>>>>> people's behavior and relegate to the neccessary evil it is.
>>>>>
>>>>> Frankly I have yet to hear anyone explain to me how we can tax out
>>>>> way out of the current crisis wherein the debt equals the GDP and
>>>>> is likely to double in 8 years. There is simply no possible way to
>>>>> do it without removing so much wealth from the private sector as
>>>>> to thorougly tank the economy, which will in turn make the problem
>>>>> immeasurably worse.
>>>>
>>>> To get out of this will require BOTH taxes and spending cuts.
>>>> Doing just one or the other won't do it.
>>>
>>> Well, I disagree on this. The leech class has been feasting on the
>>> middle calss for a long time. I think it's time the middle class got
>>> a break and the leeches were starved.
>>>
>>> Given the amount of debt that we are discussing the only things that
>>> will work are reducing spending, reducing taxes and regulation so
>>> the economy can come back and then the expanding economy will pay it
>>> down. Provided the leech class doesn't restart spending.
>>
>> I don't think we can cut enough and get the folks reelected we want
>> in there. Too many people are stuck to too many entitlements for
>> those to be drastically cut.....nibbled at and cut over time,
>> yes.....but not all at once. Medicare, for example, is forecast by
>> the Medicare Trust folks to hit a cost of $931B in the next 10 years.
>> Medicaid will double, and SS will go up by 70%.
>>
>>

>
> But I maintain that further taxation takes money out of the hands of
> the producer class and further injures the economy so that higher
> rates will return lower revenues.

I agree with that but is that injury more or less than what we already
have. It is like putting a bandage on a wound. It works, it does good,
helps healing but it often hurts when removed.

> There is simply no rational way to tax us out of this problem!

Nor is there a rational way to cut spending far enough to get us out of
this problem. Ergo, the solution needs to combine both.....more taxes
for certain areas and pretty damn heavy cost cutting in certain areas.

> Seriously how much more can we afford to take out of the private
> economy? $1 trillion, $2 trillion? $4 trillion?

Our debt is now equal to our GNP. They both stand at about $14.3T and we
are borrowing $0.40 on the dollar. We can't keep doing that and maintain
our status on borrowing percentages.

> The only way to do it is DRASTIC spending reductions and DRASTIC tax
> cuts so as to allow the producer class to keep it's money and be able
> to spend it.

Excuse me, but with DRASTIC tax cuts, how do you intend to keep programs
alive AND pay down the debt. That is like maxing out your credit cards
and then leaving your job as an engineer to flip burgers for miminum
wage. The revenue needs to keep coming. In fact the more revenue, the
faster we can pay down that debt.

Unless people are buying things and generating demand it
> just can't happen. And more and more people will become dependent on
> the government. More people will be living off of fewer people. There
> is no possible way that that is a return to prosperity, no matter what
> the Marxists beleive.

And there is really no way to simply cut, cut, cut and cut like many
others believe.

> Clearly there are other issues. Offshoring jobs in particular is very
> toxic. If you move the jobs overseas, then people here don't work.

Then the laws need to be changed to fix that. We need to find a way to
tax offshore income rather than just leave it float in the wind. We need
to find ways to make our labor more viable here than in France or China.

> People not working means they can't buy what they need or want. No
> growth. I know what I'm talking about I'm a developer and the number
> of jobs going to India and the foreigners being brought in to work
> cheaper in essentially captive jobs is killing domestic programmers
> and developers.

And I have been in hardware development and quite familiar with
outsourcing on both materials and labor. It is a practice that became
paramount due to competition and our laws.

And it isn't that we are overpriced. Some of the
> offers I've seen recently have been downright insulting for someone
> with as much experience as I have.

Sorry....

> Also, over regulation takes it's toll. A couple out in Missouri fined
> 10s of thousands for selling rabbits without a license, Amish being
> attacked like Waco for selling milk (for God's sake!) to people who
> apparently know the "risks" and are more than happy to balance that
> with the benefits, Boeing being told they can't build a plant in North
> Carolina becuase the UNIONS don't like it? Fuck me! What has this
> country come to?

Regulation needs to make sense.....nuch of what you stated above doesn't.
Yes, I know it is there, but we need to change that however deregulating
everything is not the answer either.

> Drastic spending cuts aren't just about stopping the hemorrhaging of
> debt but to kill the federal behemoth which is (delibertately in my
> opinion) stifling every bit of creativity and entrepreneurship.

Don't worry, it won't. Without a viable private sector, the public one
cannot survive.

> Good God, I tried to start a business back in teh 90s, just me and my
> computer doing development on the side, in my home office. Not only
> did the fed and state want thier cut the fucking county had thier
> greedy paws out for a piece of the action. I think I ended up owing
> more in taxes than I got to keep. What the fuck sense does that make?

Interesting. I owned a computor consulting business and had no problems
like that. I had costs for a business license and they made me a taxing
point for state sales taxes....but that was about it.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3273. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 22:39:02 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF19F38A9D4Dhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231200
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF19A9919489Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF16CBC4ED54hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> Somebody has to be able to adjust tax rates... If not Congress then
>> who?
>>
>
> Why? Why should the government need any more than say 10% of the
> private sector, except in case of war maybe, and probably not even
> then?
>
> Why should the government be entitled to any more than 10% of the
> private sector?
>

Who said it should be? I said somebody has to be able to adjust the tax
rates. What the if the rate needed dropped to 5%?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3274. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 22:40:27 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF19F75C7B26hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231201
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF19B00F6C0DWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF16F00FA784hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF0E2518371EWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>
>>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>
>>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>>
>>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of
>>>>>>>>>>>>> who pays the federal income tax burden in this country.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> If you want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that
>>>>>>>>>>>>> goes to the government then your right. I know of no
>>>>>>>>>>>>> place that compiles that data. ...
>>>>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I
>>>>>>>>>>>> need them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you

>>>>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you.
>>>>>>>>>>> Which explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem
>>>>>>>>>> ... you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can,
>>>>>>>>>> from the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>>>> ? May 15, 2011
>>>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the
>>>>>>>>> check, but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies
>>>>>>>>> the cause of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization
>>>>>>>>> that poisons our political process. But to understand that
>>>>>>>>> problem, we must consider how taxes are applied to the
>>>>>>>>> production of goods and services.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes
>>>>>>>>> based on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm
>>>>>>>>> and equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just
>>>>>>>>> another cost of doing business in the course of earning his

>>>>>>>>> living, no different from fuel for his tractor or wages and
>>>>>>>>> taxes for employees.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and
>>>>>>>>> taxes, the price they charge the miller must cover their
>>>>>>>>> expenses and taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and
>>>>>>>>> savings. Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat.
>>>>>>>>> All of these costs and taxes were passed on to the miller,
>>>>>>>>> embedded in the price of wheat.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat
>>>>>>>>> to the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security
>>>>>>>>> taxes he withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes,
>>>>>>>>> were all passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying
>>>>>>>>> with it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all
>>>>>>>>> those previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their
>>>>>>>>> employees, hidden in the price of that loaf of bread. The
>>>>>>>>> buyer and his family ate the bread, and, having done so, could
>>>>>>>>> not sell it to anyone else and pass the taxes along, as the
>>>>>>>>> baker and everyone else before had done.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with
>>>>>>>>> the farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they
>>>>>>>>> withheld from all of their employees. From bread to
>>>>>>>>> automobiles to brain surgery, the price of everything we buy
>>>>>>>>> carries in it the hidden taxes of everyone who contributed to
>>>>>>>>> the production of that product or service to the tune of, on
>>>>>>>>> average, 23 cents of every dollar we spend for federal taxes
>>>>>>>>> alone.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality,
>>>>>>>>> a scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and
>>>>>>>>> unknowing tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to
>>>>>>>>> keep voters from realizing who really bears the burden of
>>>>>>>>> those high taxes.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income
>>>>>>>>> and business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are
>>>>>>>>> eventually paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods
>>>>>>>>> and services. It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to
>>>>>>>>> which tax bracket, or what deductions you receive. These
>>>>>>>>> devices change only the degree to which you are a tax
>>>>>>>>> collector, but the burden taxes place on your life depends
>>>>>>>>> solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm.

>>>>>>>>> First, it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services
>>>>>>>>> that are provided by highly taxed individuals and companies,
>>>>>>>>> like health care, are artificially more expensive than
>>>>>>>>> necessary, while raw materials and natural resources are
>>>>>>>>> underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political
>>>>>>>>> process, encouraging government overspending by politicians
>>>>>>>>> who exploit the mistaken belief of many voters that government
>>>>>>>>> spending can be paid for solely by taxing corporations or the
>>>>>>>>> "rich." All of the exploitation of envy and demagoguery -
>>>>>>>>> which brings so much ill will to our politics and drives
>>>>>>>>> wedges between Americans who would be better served by mutual
>>>>>>>>> respect and compassion - is ultimately the meaningless
>>>>>>>>> exploitation of a lie.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
>>>>>>>>> appears progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive.
>>>>>>>>> Currently, the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay
>>>>>>>>> no income tax directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes
>>>>>>>>> added to the hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax
>>>>>>>>> burden is almost 30 percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone,
>>>>>>>>> through an invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of
>>>>>>>>> living.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com.
>>>>>>>>> He is a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as
>>>>>>>> Mr. B.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr
>>>>>>>> B gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>

>>>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the
>>>>>> rich not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so.
>>>>> The best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same
>>>>> percentage without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>>>
>>>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>>>
>>> Indeed and I like it.
>>>
>>>>
>>>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and
>>>>> why.
>>>>
>>>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>>>
>>> Yes, but if that question is not answered we will never solve the
>>> problem.
>>>
>>
>> The problem is that it IS an ongoing problem and always will be.
>> Therfore we need to keep solving it as we go along. There is no
>> magic one shot elixer to fix it.
>>
>
> Which is why we need high capacity magazines.

I'd much rather work within the ballot box than the ammo box.

> Look the future will have to take of itself. All we can do is fix what
> is wrong now. The problem is to many people will not even publicly
> acknowledge there is a problem, which in my mind calls into question
> thier sanity.

So your solution is to go shoot them?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3275. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 22:41:16 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF19F9924933hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231202
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF1A4353FCF9Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:ee4c4601-f8a6-4127-bb9d-
> 2570ee2ec12a@g12g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
>> The Department of Health & Human Services' poverty level guidelines
>> tel us that. This is a well-accepted, long-used poverty-level
>> calculation that includes food, clothing, shelter, transportation,
>> medical care, etc.
>
> And isn't it just remarkable that "poverty level" in the US would be
> considered middle class in most of the world?
>

If not the rich.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3276. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 22:46:57 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5fb56cfd-2465-485e-9d17-3a90e3be2533@l26g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231203
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 26, 12:23 am, oldwifetale <oldwifet...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > But enough about *the present*.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > It is writen when they ring in their new Age of Aquarius on December
> > 21,2012
>
> > Its going to be worse than the past!
>
> How can you know?
> Just because "it's written"?
>
> The December 21st date in 2012 is derived from the Mayan calendars
> which do not specifically or even allegorically allude to an "Age of
> Aquarius".
>
> The 'ages' of the zodiac, if consisting of 12 signs, are approximately
> 2160 years long based on the precession of the equinoxes. The
> precession rate is about 1 degree per 72 years. If the beginning of
> the "Age of Aquarius" is 2012, then the "Age of Pisces" would have
> commenced around 48 BCE. It is possible (the timing). Most likely the
> "Age of Aquarius" marks a reversal in the polarity of the 'Great
> Year' (25,920 - 26,000 years), as indicated by the Egyptian Sphinx -
> it would be a point that is about 13,000 years after the "Age of Leo"
> marked the spring equinox.
>
> If the zodiac being considered consists of 13 signs rather than 12,
> then the length of each 'age' would be somewhat shorter, just under
> 2000 years. That is also possible (the timing).
>
> There really is no reason to assume that *Freemasons* or even *Knights
> Templar* ever wrote anything concerning the date of December 21, 2012
> unless you are suggesting pre-Columbian contact, and knowledge (and
> correct interpretation) of the Mayan calendars - since both groups
> actually existed before 1492.
>
> *Or* perhaps you're trying to say that this precise date was non-
> arbitrarily selected as a catastrophic 'end point' by two separate
> groups of people on both sides of the Atlantic ocean, indicating a
> well-hidden awareness of a planetary cycle, and one that was not held

> exclusively by people in either the western or eastern hemisphere.
>
> Is that what you're suggesting?
>
> Sure it is. And in that case, you may be on to something.
>
> (gawd, i'm ornery...)

"It is written,so shall it be done"

The Sunworshipers base all their belief on astrology and mythology!

3277. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 22:50:08 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irmleuanf1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231204
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On 5/26/2011 3:36 PM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>> joeturn wrote innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d565b0d80@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>>>> Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have made
>>>>> that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
>>>>> test,while not being over-medicated>
>>>> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>>
>>> You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score? I
>>> think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
>>> spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is average
>>> for the majority.>
>> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6' 5",
>> weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.
>
> Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!

I am only 6'1", 215 lbs. but the IQ is about the average I score (144) ...

Regards,
JS

3278. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 22:52:49 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <858eef59-1d29-4c61-854c-9eaf6f181905@14g2000yqo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231205
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

On May 26, 11:23 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/26/2011 2:03 AM, RHF wrote:
>
> > ...
> > 1st - We Have The Corporate Leader Setting
> > The Corporate Agenda : Goals& Profits
> > 2nd - We Have The Corporate Management United
> > Behind The Corporate Leader
> > 3rd - We Have The Corporate Workers and Agents
> > United Behind The Corporate Management Leader
> > .
> > .
>
> It was never about the system. Both socialism and capitalism can work.
> Libya is one example our criminal public servants are attacking, it
> had/has free medical for all, and free education for all, right up to
> the PhD levels ... it was/is not in massive debt and had, until now,
> escaped the problems we are all staring at ...
>
> It can not be allowed to stand, a glaring jewel in the middle of
> war/poverty torn Africa and standing as an example to America.
>
> While Qaddafi could certainly be a much better head of state, his system
> WAS benefiting people, I think this is the real danger to our criminals
> in charge of our government.
>
> There is no humanitarian reason we are in Libya. The recent finding
> that Libya actually has 5x the oil reserves we thought it had is one.
> Stealing the gold is another, Libya was not in massive debt to the world
> banks -- this is another.
>
> When we are done in Libya, someone, after a bit, should go ask the
> common person in the street if their lives are better. I'll just bet
> they will find themselves in deep doo-doo to match ours.
>
> Regards,
> JS

But Lybia is one of the 7 nations to fall within the 5 year period
because of the push for the phoney democracy!

http://www.henrymakow.com/democracy_socialism_the_perost.html

3279. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 22:52:57 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF1A1948781Fhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231206
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>
>>> wholesale = $50
>>> compliance costs = - $23
>>> FairTax = $23
>>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>
>>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
> $123.
>>>
>>
>> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
>> that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
>> you.
>>
>
> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are just
> going to away?
>

No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will no longer
be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate numbers and understand
that he cannot subtract 23% from an item's cost, add stuff to it and
still have it be 23% when he puts it back in place. Either his first 23%
is in error or the second one is.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3280. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 22:53:03 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF1C019F10A8Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231207
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF19F75C7B26hopewell@216.196.121.131:

> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF19B00F6C0DWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF16F00FA784hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF0E2518371EWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>
>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>
>>>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>>
>>>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of
>>>>>>>>>>>>> who pays the federal income tax burden in this country.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> If you want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that
>>>>>>>>>>>>> goes to the government then your right. I know of no
>>>>>>>>>>>>> place that compiles that data. ...

>>>>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I
>>>>>>>>>>>> need them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
>>>>>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you.
>>>>>>>>>>>> Which explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem
>>>>>>>>>>> ... you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can,
>>>>>>>>>>> from the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>>>>> &#65533; May 15, 2011
>>>>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the
>>>>>>>>>> check, but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies
>>>>>>>>>> the cause of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization
>>>>>>>>>> that poisons our political process. But to understand that
>>>>>>>>>> problem, we must consider how taxes are applied to the
>>>>>>>>>> production of goods and services.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes

>>>>>>>>>> based on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm
>>>>>>>>>> and equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just
>>>>>>>>>> another cost of doing business in the course of earning his
>>>>>>>>>> living, no different from fuel for his tractor or wages and
>>>>>>>>>> taxes for employees.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and
>>>>>>>>>> taxes, the price they charge the miller must cover their
>>>>>>>>>> expenses and taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and
>>>>>>>>>> savings. Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat.
>>>>>>>>>> All of these costs and taxes were passed on to the miller,
>>>>>>>>>> embedded in the price of wheat.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat
>>>>>>>>>> to the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security
>>>>>>>>>> taxes he withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes,
>>>>>>>>>> were all passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying
>>>>>>>>>> with it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all
>>>>>>>>>> those previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their
>>>>>>>>>> employees, hidden in the price of that loaf of bread. The
>>>>>>>>>> buyer and his family ate the bread, and, having done so, could
>>>>>>>>>> not sell it to anyone else and pass the taxes along, as the
>>>>>>>>>> baker and everyone else before had done.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with
>>>>>>>>>> the farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they
>>>>>>>>>> withheld from all of their employees. From bread to
>>>>>>>>>> automobiles to brain surgery, the price of everything we buy
>>>>>>>>>> carries in it the hidden taxes of everyone who contributed to
>>>>>>>>>> the production of that product or service to the tune of, on
>>>>>>>>>> average, 23 cents of every dollar we spend for federal taxes
>>>>>>>>>> alone.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality,
>>>>>>>>>> a scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and
>>>>>>>>>> unknowing tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to
>>>>>>>>>> keep voters from realizing who really bears the burden of
>>>>>>>>>> those high taxes.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income
>>>>>>>>>> and business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are
>>>>>>>>>> eventually paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods
>>>>>>>>>> and services. It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to
>>>>>>>>>> which tax bracket, or what deductions you receive. These
>>>>>>>>>> devices change only the degree to which you are a tax
>>>>>>>>>> collector, but the burden taxes place on your life depends
>>>>>>>>>> solely on what you spend.

>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm.
>>>>>>>>>> First, it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services
>>>>>>>>>> that are provided by highly taxed individuals and companies,
>>>>>>>>>> like health care, are artificially more expensive than
>>>>>>>>>> necessary, while raw materials and natural resources are
>>>>>>>>>> underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political
>>>>>>>>>> process, encouraging government overspending by politicians
>>>>>>>>>> who exploit the mistaken belief of many voters that government
>>>>>>>>>> spending can be paid for solely by taxing corporations or the
>>>>>>>>>> "rich." All of the exploitation of envy and demagoguery -
>>>>>>>>>> which brings so much ill will to our politics and drives
>>>>>>>>>> wedges between Americans who would be better served by mutual
>>>>>>>>>> respect and compassion - is ultimately the meaningless
>>>>>>>>>> exploitation of a lie.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
>>>>>>>>>> appears progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive.
>>>>>>>>>> Currently, the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay
>>>>>>>>>> no income tax directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes
>>>>>>>>>> added to the hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax
>>>>>>>>>> burden is almost 30 percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone,
>>>>>>>>>> through an invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of
>>>>>>>>>> living.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com.
>>>>>>>>>> He is a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as
>>>>>>>>> Mr. B.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr
>>>>>>>>> B gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the
>>>>>>> rich not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so.
>>>>>> The best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same
>>>>>> percentage without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>>>>
>>>>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>>>>
>>>> Indeed and I like it.
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and
>>>>>> why.
>>>>>
>>>>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>>>>
>>>> Yes, but if that question is not answered we will never solve the
>>>> problem.
>>>>
>>>
>>> The problem is that it IS an ongoing problem and always will be.
>>> Therfore we need to keep solving it as we go along. There is no
>>> magic one shot elixer to fix it.
>>>
>>
>> Which is why we need high capacity magazines.
>
> I'd much rather work within the ballot box than the ammo box.
>
>> Look the future will have to take of itself. All we can do is fix what
>> is wrong now. The problem is to many people will not even publicly
>> acknowledge there is a problem, which in my mind calls into question
>> thier sanity.
>
> So your solution is to go shoot them?
>
>

Couldn't hurt. That or commit them.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3281. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 22:53:51 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF1C03C98089Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231208
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF19F38A9D4Dhopewell@216.196.121.131:

> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF19A9919489Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF16CBC4ED54hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> Somebody has to be able to adjust tax rates... If not Congress then
>>> who?
>>>
>>
>> Why? Why should the government need any more than say 10% of the
>> private sector, except in case of war maybe, and probably not even
>> then?
>>
>> Why should the government be entitled to any more than 10% of the
>> private sector?
>>
>
> Who said it should be? I said somebody has to be able to adjust the tax
> rates. What the if the rate needed dropped to 5%?
>

Fine, Congress can lower the rate but not raise it. Cough-cough. Yeah

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

3282. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 22:54:50 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF1A1E5E57A3hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231209
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

joeturn wrote in
news:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:

> On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>> joeturn wrote
>> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> 565b0d80@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
>> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
>> >> > test,while not being over-medicated>
>> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>>
>> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
>> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
>> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
>> > average for the majority.>
>> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
>> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!

5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3283. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 23:04:35 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <adeb3b37-56e0-4f2e-be80-5b00835387af@f15g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231210
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> joeturn wrote innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> >> joeturn wrote
> >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated
> >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> >> > average for the majority.
> >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.

3284. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 23:06:38 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF1C26812F31Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231211
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF19EF2DD2F8hopewell@216.196.121.131:

>>
>> But I maintain that further taxation takes money out of the hands of
>> the producer class and further injures the economy so that higher
>> rates will return lower revenues.
>
> I agree with that but is that injury more or less than what we already
> have. It is like putting a bandage on a wound. It works, it does good,
> helps healing but it often hurts when removed.

What if the wound never heals because of the treatment?

>
>
>> There is simply no rational way to tax us out of this problem!
>
> Nor is there a rational way to cut spending far enough to get us out of
> this problem. Ergo, the solution needs to combine both.....more taxes
> for certain areas and pretty damn heavy cost cutting in certain areas.

Really? Do you honestly think even 1/3 or spending could stand honest
Constitutional scrutiny. Maybe it's time we stop acting like children and
started acting like there was more to life than government grants.

>
>> Seriously how much more can we afford to take out of the private
>> economy? $1 trillion, $2 trillion? $4 trillion?
>
> Our debt is now equal to our GNP. They both stand at about $14.3T and we
> are borrowing $0.40 on the dollar. We can't keep doing that and maintain
> our status on borrowing percentages.

No, but unless the bleeding stops or slows significantly... Look, I no
longer beleive the bullshit about raising taxes/cutting spending. I became
poltically aware in the 80s with the line of shit the Democrats fed Reagan
and then they reneged. It just is no longer credible. You give them more
money, they'll spend twiec as much on things that hurt the economy.

>
>> The only way to do it is DRASTIC spending reductions and DRASTIC tax
>> cuts so as to allow the producer class to keep it's money and be able
>> to spend it.
>

> Excuse me, but with DRASTIC tax cuts, how do you intend to keep programs
> alive AND pay down the debt. That is like maxing out your credit cards
> and then leaving your job as an engineer to flip burgers for miminum
> wage. The revenue needs to keep coming. In fact the more revenue, the
> faster we can pay down that debt.

What makes you think I want to keep programs alive? I want dependency on
the fed stamped out, exterminated, destroyed, plowed under and the earth
salted

>
> Unless people are buying things and generating demand it
>> just can't happen. And more and more people will become dependent on
>> the government. More people will be living off of fewer people. There
>> is no possible way that that is a return to prosperity, no matter what
>> the Marxists beleive.
>
> And there is really no way to simply cut, cut, cut and cut like many
> others believe.

Yeah, there is. All it takes is balls and a conscience.

>
>> Clearly there are other issues. Offshoring jobs in particular is very
>> toxic. If you move the jobs overseas, then people here don't work.
>
> Then the laws need to be changed to fix that. We need to find a way to
> tax offshore income rather than just leave it float in the wind. We need
> to find ways to make our labor more viable here than in France or China.

Um, by getting rid of all the government programs, taxes, regulations and
special interest bullshit that is currently choling the life of the
economy?

>
>> People not working means they can't buy what they need or want. No
>> growth. I know what I'm talking about I'm a developer and the number
>> of jobs going to India and the foreigners being brought in to work
>> cheaper in essentially captive jobs is killing domestic programmers
>> and developers.
>
> And I have been in hardware development and quite familiar with
> outsourcing on both materials and labor. It is a practice that became
> paramount due to competition and our laws.

"our laws"? Not mine. Marxists that want to control the economy maybe.

>
> And it isn't that we are overpriced. Some of the
>> offers I've seen recently have been downright insulting for someone
>> with as much experience as I have.
>
> Sorry....

>
>> Also, over regulation takes it's toll. A couple out in Missouri fined
>> 10s of thousands for selling rabbits without a license, Amish being
>> attacked like Waco for selling milk (for God's sake!) to people who
>> apparently know the "risks" and are more than happy to balance that
>> with the benefits, Boeing being told they can't build a plant in North
>> Carolina becuase the UNIONS don't like it? Fuck me! What has this
>> country come to?
>
> Regulation needs to make sense.....nuch of what you stated above doesn't.
> Yes, I know it is there, but we need to change that however deregulating
> everything is not the answer either.

OK, let's dump everything and start over. With the caveat that any
regulation MUST conform with the limits imposed by the Constitution.

>
>> Drastic spending cuts aren't just about stopping the hemorrhaging of
>> debt but to kill the federal behemoth which is (delibertately in my
>> opinion) stifling every bit of creativity and entrepreneurship.
>
> Don't worry, it won't. Without a viable private sector, the public one
> cannot survive.

While I don't mind seeing the public sector die...

Unfortuneately I think the parasite may kill the host.

>
>> Good God, I tried to start a business back in teh 90s, just me and my
>> computer doing development on the side, in my home office. Not only
>> did the fed and state want thier cut the fucking county had thier
>> greedy paws out for a piece of the action. I think I ended up owing
>> more in taxes than I got to keep. What the fuck sense does that make?
>
> Interesting. I owned a computor consulting business and had no problems
> like that. I had costs for a business license and they made me a taxing
> point for state sales taxes....but that was about it.

Yeah the county wanted a license fee and piece of the action. I figured
after the feds, state and they got through with me it simply wasn't worth
it. I wasn't busting my ass to keep less than half of what I earned to make
the leechs fat, too.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

3285. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 23:17:51 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <01a31f39-429c-4810-a67a-9f8952fa9987@d28g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231212
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

On May 26, 6:52 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 26, 11:23 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/26/2011 2:03 AM, RHF wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > 1st - We Have The Corporate Leader Setting
> > > The Corporate Agenda : Goals& Profits
> > > 2nd - We Have The Corporate Management United
> > > Behind The Corporate Leader
> > > 3rd - We Have The Corporate Workers and Agents
> > > United Behind The Corporate Management Leader
> > > .
> > > .
>
> > It was never about the system. Both socialism and capitalism can work.
> > Libya is one example our criminal public servants are attacking, it
> > had/has free medical for all, and free education for all, right up to
> > the PhD levels ... it was/is not in massive debt and had, until now,
> > escaped the problems we are all staring at ...
>
> > It can not be allowed to stand, a glaring jewel in the middle of
> > war/poverty torn Africa and standing as an example to America.
>
> > While Qaddafi could certainly be a much better head of state, his system
> > WAS benefiting people, I think this is the real danger to our criminals
> > in charge of our government.
>
> > There is no humanitarian reason we are in Libya. The recent finding
> > that Libya actually has 5x the oil reserves we thought it had is one.
> > Stealing the gold is another, Libya was not in massive debt to the world
> > banks -- this is another.
>
> > When we are done in Libya, someone, after a bit, should go ask the
> > common person in the street if their lives are better. I'll just bet
> > they will find themselves in deep doo-doo to match ours.
>

> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> But Lybia is one of the 7 nations to fall within the 5 year period
> because of the push for the phoney democracy!
>
> http://www.henrymakow.com/democracy_socialism_the_perost.html- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v7cSjwkzka0&feature=related

3286. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 23:36:20 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF1A8EF35556hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231213
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF1C26812F31Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF19EF2DD2F8hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>>>
>>> But I maintain that further taxation takes money out of the hands of
>>> the producer class and further injures the economy so that higher
>>> rates will return lower revenues.
>>
>> I agree with that but is that injury more or less than what we
>> already have. It is like putting a bandage on a wound. It works, it
>> does good, helps healing but it often hurts when removed.
>
> What if the wound never heals because of the treatment?

While true, it doesn't matter if the patient is dead.

>>> There is simply no rational way to tax us out of this problem!
>>
>> Nor is there a rational way to cut spending far enough to get us out
>> of this problem. Ergo, the solution needs to combine both.....more
>> taxes for certain areas and pretty damn heavy cost cutting in certain
>> areas.
>
> Really? Do you honestly think even 1/3 or spending could stand honest
> Constitutional scrutiny. Maybe it's time we stop acting like children
> and started acting like there was more to life than government grants.

Where do you come up with 1/3? SS is 20%, Medicare/Medicaid and other
safety net programs are another 35%, Defense is 20% and the current debt
in 2010 was 6%. That consumes 81% of the budget. That only leaves 19%
for EVERYTHING else and all those costs are climbing.

Of course we can cut back on Defense (which includes Homeland Security)
by taking the National Guard off the border (they are coming off anyway
by next year) and closing down many of our bases overseas, not buying any
more warships, dropping the F-35 and bringing our boys home from Iraq and
Afghanistan.

Obama's minions think they can get $500B out Medicare/Medicaid over the
next 10 years by elminating fraud and waste, but no one is really stating
where that waste and fraud is much less how to remove it. The Dems
aren't going to go for Ryan's plan although they haven't produced one of

their own......and won't until after the 2012 election. They'd rather use Ryan's plan to badmouth the GOP to the voters.

>>> Seriously how much more can we afford to take out of the private
>>> economy? $1 trillion, $2 trillion? $4 trillion?
>>
>> Our debt is now equal to our GNP. They both stand at about $14.3T
>> and we are borrowing $0.40 on the dollar. We can't keep doing that
>> and maintain our status on borrowing percentages.
>
> No, but unless the bleeding stops or slows significantly... Look, I no
> longer beleive the bullshit about raising taxes/cutting spending. I
> became poltically aware in the 80s with the line of shit the Democrats
> fed Reagan and then they reneged. It just is no longer credible. You
> give them more money, they'll spend twiec as much on things that hurt
> the economy.

True, but for now, the Republicans control the House where all spending bills originate. Do you think we can wait until Republicans control all three again? Look what happened last time. It is never really good when the same party controls both Houses and the presidency.

>>> The only way to do it is DRASTIC spending reductions and DRASTIC tax
>>> cuts so as to allow the producer class to keep it's money and be
>>> able to spend it.
>>
>> Excuse me, but with DRASTIC tax cuts, how do you intend to keep
>> programs alive AND pay down the debt. That is like maxing out your
>> credit cards and then leaving your job as an engineer to flip burgers
>> for miminum wage. The revenue needs to keep coming. In fact the
>> more revenue, the faster we can pay down that debt.
>
> What makes you think I want to keep programs alive? I want dependency
> on the fed stamped out, exterminated, destroyed, plowed under and the
> earth salted

You have to keep some of them going. You simply can't put grannie on an ice floe and send her out to sea, no matter how much you'd like to. Yes, there is a lot of folks receiving Medicaid and unemployment and welfare that shouldn't. But shooting everyone on those programs isn't the answer either. I'd love to say that as a people we need to assume responsibility for those who are less fortunate than us, but if that had occurred earlier in our history, we wouldn't have the programs (and their attendant problems) that we do today.

>> Unless people are buying things and generating demand it
>>> just can't happen. And more and more people will become dependent on
>>> the government. More people will be living off of fewer people.
>>> There is no possible way that that is a return to prosperity, no
>>> matter what the Marxists beleive.
>>
>> And there is really no way to simply cut, cut, cut and cut like many

>> others believe.
>
> Yeah, there is. All it takes is balls and a conscience.

Sorry, but when cutting many of the things going on, balls and conscience don't play well together. That human nature. We tend to say, hell, yes, let's cut those programs those worthless assholes are on, but don't you dare touch anything I may need, want or use.

>>> Clearly there are other issues. Offshoring jobs in particular is
>>> very toxic. If you move the jobs overseas, then people here don't
>>> work.
>>
>> Then the laws need to be changed to fix that. We need to find a way
>> to tax offshore income rather than just leave it float in the wind.
>> We need to find ways to make our labor more viable here than in
>> France or China.
>
> Um, by getting rid of all the government programs, taxes, regulations
> and special interest bullshit that is currently choling the life of
> the economy?

That 'special interest bullshit' is part of capitalism. Not in theory but in actuality. As are taxes and regulations.

>>> People not working means they can't buy what they need or want. No
>>> growth. I know what I'm talking about I'm a developer and the number
>>> of jobs going to India and the foreigners being brought in to work
>>> cheaper in essentially captive jobs is killing domestic programmers
>>> and developers.
>>
>> And I have been in hardware development and quite familiar with
>> outsourcing on both materials and labor. It is a practice that
>> became paramount due to competition and our laws.
>
> "our laws"? Not mine. Marxists that want to control the economy maybe.

Our laws. Get your head out of your ass, Ghost. If everone took that approach we would all be shooting each other at dawn. Our problems have come from BOTH sides of the argument and it will take BOTH sides to fix them.

>> And it isn't that we are overpriced. Some of the
>>> offers I've seen recently have been downright insulting for someone
>>> with as much experience as I have.
>>
>> Sorry....
>>
>>> Also, over regulation takes it's toll. A couple out in Missouri
>>> fined 10s of thousands for selling rabbits without a license, Amish
>>> being attacked like Waco for selling milk (for God's sake!) to
>>> people who apparently know the "risks" and are more than happy to

>>> balance that with the benefits, Boeing being told they can't build a
>>> plant in North Carolina becuase the UNIONS don't like it? Fuck me!
>>> What has this country come to?
>>
>> Regulation needs to make sense.....nuch of what you stated above
>> doesn't. Yes, I know it is there, but we need to change that however
>> deregulating everything is not the answer either.
>
> OK, let's dump everything and start over. With the caveat that any
> regulation MUST conform with the limits imposed by the Constitution.

How do you intend to do that? Have Congree declare this to be 1791?

>>> Drastic spending cuts aren't just about stopping the hemorrhaging of
>>> debt but to kill the federal behemoth which is (delibertately in my
>>> opinion) stifling every bit of creativity and entrepreneurship.
>>
>> Don't worry, it won't. Without a viable private sector, the public
>> one cannot survive.
>
> While I don't mind seeing the public sector die...
>
> Unfortuneately I think the parasite may kill the host.

And when that happens the parasite no longer survives because it needs
the host.

>>> Good God, I tried to start a business back in teh 90s, just me and
>>> my computer doing development on the side, in my home office. Not
>>> only did the fed and state want thier cut the fucking county had
>>> thier greedy paws out for a piece of the action. I think I ended up
>>> owing more in taxes than I got to keep. What the fuck sense does
>>> that make?
>>
>> Interesting. I owned a computor consulting business and had no
>> problems like that. I had costs for a business license and they made
>> me a taxing point for state sales taxes....but that was about it.
>
> Yeah the county wanted a license fee and piece of the action. I
> figured after the feds, state and they got through with me it simply
> wasn't worth it. I wasn't busting my ass to keep less than half of
> what I earned to make the leechs fat, too.

Not like that everywhere. When things get overregulated, businesses
move...to other cities, states or countries.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3287. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 23:36:59 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF1A90B794A3hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231214
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF1C03C98089Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF19F38A9D4Dhopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF19A9919489Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF16CBC4ED54hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>
>>>> Somebody has to be able to adjust tax rates... If not Congress
>>>> then who?
>>>>
>>>
>>> Why? Why should the government need any more than say 10% of the
>>> private sector, except in case of war maybe, and probably not even
>>> then?
>>>
>>> Why should the government be entitled to any more than 10% of the
>>> private sector?
>>>
>>
>> Who said it should be? I said somebody has to be able to adjust the
>> tax rates. What the if the rate needed dropped to 5%?
>>
>
> Fine, Congress can lower the rate but not raise it. Cough-cough. Yeah
>

In either case, someone or some entity needs to be able to adjust those
rates.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3288. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 23:39:44 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF1A983187CAhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231215
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF1C019F10A8Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF19F75C7B26hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF19B00F6C0DWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF16F00FA784hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>
>>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF0E2518371EWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>
>>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>>> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture
of

>>>>>>>>>>>>>> who pays the federal income tax burden in this country.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> If you want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> goes to the government then your right. I know of no
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> place that compiles that data. ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> need them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site,
you
>>>>>>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Which explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem
>>>>>>>>>>>> ... you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can,
>>>>>>>>>>>> from the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>>>>>> ? May 15, 2011
>>>>>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the
>>>>>>>>>>> check, but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox
lies
>>>>>>>>>>> the cause of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization
>>>>>>>>>>> that poisons our political process. But to understand that
>>>>>>>>>>> problem, we must consider how taxes are applied to the

>>>>>>>>>>> production of goods and services.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to
market?
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to
be
>>>>>>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes
>>>>>>>>>>> based on his profit from the sale and property tax on his
farm
>>>>>>>>>>> and equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just
>>>>>>>>>>> another cost of doing business in the course of earning his
>>>>>>>>>>> living, no different from fuel for his tractor or wages and
>>>>>>>>>>> taxes for employees.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and
>>>>>>>>>>> taxes, the price they charge the miller must cover their
>>>>>>>>>>> expenses and taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income
and
>>>>>>>>>>> savings. Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat.
>>>>>>>>>>> All of these costs and taxes were passed on to the miller,
>>>>>>>>>>> embedded in the price of wheat.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the
wheat
>>>>>>>>>>> to the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security
>>>>>>>>>>> taxes he withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's
taxes,
>>>>>>>>>>> were all passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying
>>>>>>>>>>> with it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all
>>>>>>>>>>> those previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their
>>>>>>>>>>> employees, hidden in the price of that loaf of bread. The
>>>>>>>>>>> buyer and his family ate the bread, and, having done so,
could
>>>>>>>>>>> not sell it to anyone else and pass the taxes along, as the
>>>>>>>>>>> baker and everyone else before had done.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with
>>>>>>>>>>> the farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they
>>>>>>>>>>> withheld from all of their employees. From bread to
>>>>>>>>>>> automobiles to brain surgery, the price of everything we buy
>>>>>>>>>>> carries in it the hidden taxes of everyone who contributed to
>>>>>>>>>>> the production of that product or service to the tune of, on
>>>>>>>>>>> average, 23 cents of every dollar we spend for federal taxes
>>>>>>>>>>> alone.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality,

>>>>>>>>>>> a scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and
>>>>>>>>>>> unknowing tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to
>>>>>>>>>>> keep voters from realizing who really bears the burden of
>>>>>>>>>>> those high taxes.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income
>>>>>>>>>>> and business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are
>>>>>>>>>>> eventually paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods
>>>>>>>>>>> and services. It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to
>>>>>>>>>>> which tax bracket, or what deductions you receive. These
>>>>>>>>>>> devices change only the degree to which you are a tax
>>>>>>>>>>> collector, but the burden taxes place on your life depends
>>>>>>>>>>> solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm.
>>>>>>>>>>> First, it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services
>>>>>>>>>>> that are provided by highly taxed individuals and companies,
>>>>>>>>>>> like health care, are artificially more expensive than
>>>>>>>>>>> necessary, while raw materials and natural resources are
>>>>>>>>>>> underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political
>>>>>>>>>>> process, encouraging government overspending by politicians
>>>>>>>>>>> who exploit the mistaken belief of many voters that
government
>>>>>>>>>>> spending can be paid for solely by taxing corporations or the
>>>>>>>>>>> "rich." All of the exploitation of envy and demagoguery -
>>>>>>>>>>> which brings so much ill will to our politics and drives
>>>>>>>>>>> wedges between Americans who would be better served by mutual
>>>>>>>>>>> respect and compassion - is ultimately the meaningless
>>>>>>>>>>> exploitation of a lie.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
>>>>>>>>>>> appears progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive.
>>>>>>>>>>> Currently, the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may
pay
>>>>>>>>>>> no income tax directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes
>>>>>>>>>>> added to the hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax
>>>>>>>>>>> burden is almost 30 percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone,
>>>>>>>>>>> through an invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of
>>>>>>>>>>> living.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com.
>>>>>>>>>>> He is a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.

>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as
>>>>>>>>> Mr. B.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B
does.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr
>>>>>>>>> B gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the
>>>>>>>>> rich not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think
so.
>>>>>>>> The best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same
>>>>>>>> percentage without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>>>>>
>>>>> Indeed and I like it.
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and
>>>>>> why.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>>>>>
>>>>> Yes, but if that question is not answered we will never solve the
>>>>> problem.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> The problem is that it IS an ongoing problem and always will be.
>>>> Therfore we need to keep solving it as we go along. There is no
>>>> magic one shot elixer to fix it.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Which is why we need high capacity magazines.
>>

>> I'd much rather work within the ballot box than the ammo box.
>>
>>> Look the future will have to take of itself. All we can do is fix
what
>>> is wrong now. The problem is to many people will not even publicly
>>> acknowledge there is a problem, which in my mind calls into question
>>> thier sanity.
>>
>> So your solution is to go shoot them?
>>
>>
>
> Couldn't hurt. That or commit them.
>

Let's look at it this way for a moment.

I wouldn't trust you with our government and making all the laws and
decisions. Would you trust me with it? Didn't think so. So here we are
at an impasse or having to work together when it is just two of us.
There are over 300 million here. And out of that 300 million would come
millions of ideas.....some good, some bad. None of them quickly settled.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3289. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 23:44:21 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irmokr$v42$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231216
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irkog5$28a$1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/25/2011 8:00 PM, r_c_brown@hushmail.com wrote:
>
>> ...
>> Hence my remark that such a system would require a totalitarian state.
>>
>>>
>>> --
>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>
>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>> Don't complain.
>>
>
> Don't forget, we plonk fools here ...
>
> ... plonk ...

Then why haven't you plonked yourself?

3290. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 23:46:24 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irmoomvoj1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231217
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

"Howard Brazee" <howard@brazee.net> wrote in message
news:0vlst6p2n2783l8ind3jclhc62jfe6lrum@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2011 21:41:21 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>>>Sure it will, since with only one deduction there is nothing complex
>>>>about
>>>>the tax code, and nothing that can be done to help out such individuals
>>>>or
>>>>businesses to evade the tax owed.
>>>
>>> That's where we seem to disagree most strongly. Defining what is a
>>> legitimate expense is not simple.
>>
>>What does "legitimate expense" have to do with anything?
>
> Income taxes are based upon net income, not gross income.

IOW, you're jumping into the middle of a conversation without knowing what
it's about.

Free hint: It's about gross income, so "legitimate expenses" doesn't alter
the taxable amount, only the poverty level deduction is allowed for that
purpose.

So I suggest you go back and find out what it is you missed so that you can
try to have some appearance of knowing what is being discussed.

3291. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 23:47:13 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irmoq8ah1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231218
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

<Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
news:07pst6pp4hi1oh1k7pl66oggbbkvgmku5u@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2011 22:52:12 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>> The "burden" to the lowest income is significant compared to what they
>>> make.
>>
>>Really? I've seen no data that shows the relative burden is any less for
>>the
>>wealthy.
>
>
> ya fucking fool
>
> any tax table would show you even if you were too stupid to figure out
> that someone with just a $1 million pays a pittance in (all) taxes
> compared to their income than a burger flipper has left over from what
> it takes to survive.

Then feel free to cite any legitimate tax table you think will support your
claim.

3292. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 23:55:04 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irmp8u$2v1$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231219
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

<Yoorghis@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
news:ikpst6hd3iuh83ucnk1fal01u44irjqcgo@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2011 23:13:44 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>> Anyone who believes that a poor single mother should be taxed the same
>>> rate as a Billionaire or CEO raking in $200 Million is a fucking
>>> idiot.
>>
>>So what is your proposal for a fair tax?
>
> Not one millionaire ever went broke prior to 1980,

Henry Ford - bankrupt 1903

Strike ONE!

Walt Disney - bankrupt 1921

Strike TWO!

H. J. Heinz - bankrupt 1875

Strike THREE!

Claernce Saunders - bankrupt 1922

Strike FOUR!

Milton Hershy - bankrupt 1880

Strike FIVE!

Ulysses S. Grant - bankrupt 1881

Strike SIX!

I think that's pretty much an out even in Tee Ball.

3293. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-26 23:58:30 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irmpfc$3u0$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231220
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
> On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>> >> > from the income tax.
>>
>> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when they
>> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
>> > decides you owe it on payday.
>>
>> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old luxury tax.
>>
>
> The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs that
> are already built in to every product and service you buy. The luxury
> tax would have been a tax on top of that.
>
>> >> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>
>> >> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax
>> >> > as the method of funding government. If you fully understand the
>> >> > FairTax
>> >> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>
>> >> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
>> >> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
>> >> gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger
>> >> percentage of their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>>
>> > Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First, people
>> > pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level.
>>
>> Which means that someone, somewhere needs to know your income.
>>
>> Every household
>>
>
> No, they just need to know how many people are in your household.

> That determines the prebate, not one's income.
>
>> > receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential goods
>> > and services.
>>
>> I looked at the prebate schedule. Where in there does income come into
>> it for that poverty level?
>
> It doesn't. Nor does it need to. It only needs to figure what the
> cost of essential goods and services are for a family of X number of
> people. A family of four that makes $100,000 requires the same
> essential goods and services as a family of four that makes $50,000.

False assumption.

Any number of variables factor in to what goods and services would be essential and what it would cost for those goods and services.

All you are doing is picking an "average" which would reward some family by paying them for non-essential goods and services and punishing others by failing to reimburse them valid and legitimated costs for essential goods and services.

IOW, overall most people wouldn't balance out, only the small minority right at and around the "average".

3294. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 00:03:22 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irmpog$5ff$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231221
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-93cd47cf929f@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com...
> On May 26, 1:05 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@
>> > y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
>> >> >> > revenue from the income tax.
>>
>> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when
>> >> > the
>> > y
>> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
>> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>>
>> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old luxury
>> >> tax.
>>
>> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs that
>> > are already built in to every product and service you buy.
>>
>> Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same revenue source as
>> the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue source from
>> income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the 'before' costs added
>> to the price of purchase.
>>
>
> No it doesnï¿½t need to be added. Itï¿½s already part of what you are
> paying anyway. Hereï¿½s a very simplified example:
>
> Product costs $100, broken down as follows:
>
> Under current system
> - wholesale = $50
> - compliance costs = $23
> - sales and other taxes = $27
```

> - Grand total = $100
>
> Under the FairTax
> - wholesale = $50
> - compliance costs = - $23
> - FairTax = $23
> - sales and other taxes = $27
> - Grand total = $100

Sorry, but how can you totally eliminate compliance costs, since there would still be costs to complying with the FairTax as well as the sales and other taxes.

As such simply saying it's not going to cost anything to comply with the tax laws is an utterly false assumption.

Indeed since NOTHING else has changed the compliance costs would, at minimum, stay the same, and given that the need to comply with the FairTax would require some expense, the compliance cost would likely increase.

So in reality, what would happen would be more like:

Under the FairTax
- wholesale = $50
- compliance costs = $26
- FairTax = $23
- sales and other taxes = $27
- Grand total = $126

3295. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 00:04:38 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irmpqs$5qa$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231222
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF19C0BEACC7Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
> 93cd47cf929f@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Under the FairTax
>> - wholesale = $50
>> - compliance costs = - $23
>> - FairTax = $23
>> - sales and other taxes = $27
>> - Grand total = $100
>>
>
> You are obviously a Democrat.

Yep, notice nothing else has changed, but according to him, suddenly it will cost NOTHING to comply with the still existing conditions, and will cost nothing additional to comply with the new tax imposed.

As such his numbers are BS.

3296. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 00:06:16 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irmptv$69g$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231223
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com...
> On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a031
>> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > Under the FairTax
>> >> > - wholesale = $50
>> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
>> >> > - FairTax = $23
>> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
>>
>> >> --
>> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.c
>> > om/
>> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
>> muc
>> > h
>> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much

>> >> competence?
>>
>> I refer to your math.
>>
>> wholesale = $50
>> compliance costs = - $23
>> FairTax = $23
>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's $123.
>>
>
> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
> that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
> you.

Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales and other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they will still need to comply and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the additional FairTax imposed?

3297. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 00:06:39 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irmpum$6cl$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231224
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>
>>> wholesale = $50
>>> compliance costs = - $23
>>> FairTax = $23
>>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>
>>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
> $123.
>>>
>>
>> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
>> that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
>> you.
>>
>
> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are just going
> to away?

That seems to be his, utterly unrealistic, assertion.

3298. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 00:12:56 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irmqaf$8bq$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231225
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf

"Joe from Kokomo" <joe@indy.net> wrote in message
news:irlvrfmdm1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/25/2011 7:47 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
>> Cigarette smoking is down, lung cancer is up,
>
> Well, you're wrong again, as usual. From the National Cancer Institute:
>
> "The rates for new cancer cases and deaths for all cancers combined
> continued to decline in the United States..."

Please note "lung cancer" =/= "all cancer"

Try again when you're not trying to compare apples and oranges.

3299. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 00:19:05 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irmqlv$a6b$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231226
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irm064vfg18@dont-email.me...
> On 5/26/2011 9:43 AM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:
>> On 5/25/2011 10:39 PM, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>
>>> You can't do the homework for me, because what I say is true.
>>
>> So, just because you say it (with no proof), it's true? We are supposed
>> to trust the likes of YOU??? Bwahahahahahahaha...
>>
>> How megalomaniacal of you.
>
> Because of the complete failure, of you, to produce any valid, credible
> and meaning data ... you earn the golden plonk award ...
>
> ... plonk ...

So where is your valid, credible and meaning(ful) data?

Are you going to plonk yourself as well?

3300. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 00:19:23 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irmqm9$l3k$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231227
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

On 5/26/2011 3:52 PM, joeturn wrote:

> ...
> But Lybia is one of the 7 nations to fall within the 5 year period
> because of the push for the phoney democracy!
>
> http://www.henrymakow.com/democracy_socialism_the_perost.html

Yes, a genius friend of mine is claiming that there are time travelers coming back from the future and attempting to halt any actions/paths which will avert the coming bad times. His description of them sounds very much like a future version of the shadow government we see in action, behind the curtain, today.

It is interesting to hear him explain it. When I ask him, "Do you really believe this?" He answers, "Tell me a better explanation which would account for it ..."

He says Jamestown, Waco, the middle east, Libya, etc. are all being done on purpose to influence the trend of events -- by time travelers.

We do absolutely agree that Guinness is the best dark beer ...

Regards,
JS

3301. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 00:20:47 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irmqp6apd1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231228
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF1678135203hopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
> news:irk4f8ks1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>>
>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EF0986C7911Dhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF0AAF5845E6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>
>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>
>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>> news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irgufi$l7$7@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo

>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> paying 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> like the top 19% are not paying half of governments
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their fair
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> advocate
>>>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay 7%
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sales
>>>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7%
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sales tax,
>>>>>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> government
>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.html
>>>>>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay their
>>>>>>>>>>>> fair
>>>>>>>>>>> share
>>>>>>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to go
>>>>>>>>>>> through
>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to
>>>>>>>>>> catch on ... I was wrong.
>>>>>>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>>>>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all
>>>>>>>>> income not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it here
>>>>>>>>> in this thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is really
>>>>>>>>> quite simply and quite short so you should have no problem
>>>>>>>>> understanding it. ;)
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell isn't

>>>>>>>> flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on whatever
>>>>>>>> was purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the
>>>>>>>> real world.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion
>>>>>>> began, or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person B
>>>>>> buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What's more fair than that?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Same product, same taxes paid.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Fair.
>>>>>
>>>>> Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal
>>>>> poverty level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on
>>>>> amount over federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable
>>>>> income paid. Fair.
>>>>>
>>>>> The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about food
>>>>> or necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list
>>>>> exemptions....and the list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and
>>>>> Cher......
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> The real problem is...
>>>>
>>>> First you have to decide how much the government needs to funtion.
>>>
>>> That is true under any taxing scheme.
>>>>
>>>> To do that you have to decide what the government should be doing.
>>>
>>> Same here and that is most of the discussion and difference between
>>> liberals and conservatives.
>>>
>>>> I think rather than discussing camoflaging how the feds fleece the
>>>> taxpayer those questions really need to be answered.
>>>
>>> Yep, but, good luck. Those discussions have been going on for two
>>> hundred years. ;)
>>>
>>>> I am of the opinion that taxes overall hurt the economy by taking
>>>> people's hard earned money. I don't care if you are the bus boy or

>>>> the owner of the chain. You earened it, it's yours.
>>>
>>> However, one does get things from having a government.
>>>
>>>> Overall if the bite is reasonably low than whatever negative effects
>>>> it has are mitigated. But the only really effective way to increase
>>>> government revenues is to have a going, expanding economy. That way
>>>> whatever "protection" money the government extorts from the people
>>>> can increase without increasing the percentage that it takes.
>>>
>>> True.
>>>
>>>> Of course that would require a complete ovrehaul of most federal
>>>> policies and the expulsion of Marxists and enviromentalists.
>>>>
>>>> One would have to stop viewing tax policy as a method of molding
>>>> people's behavior and relegate to the neccessary evil it is.
>>>>
>>>> Frankly I have yet to hear anyone explain to me how we can tax out
>>>> way out of the current crisis wherein the debt equals the GDP and is
>>>> likely to double in 8 years. There is simply no possible way to do
>>>> it without removing so much wealth from the private sector as to
>>>> thorougly tank the economy, which will in turn make the problem
>>>> immeasurably worse.
>>>
>>> To get out of this will require BOTH taxes and spending cuts. Doing
>>> just one or the other won't do it.
>>
>> Agreed, but until I see some serious spending cuts and a clear, firm
>> (and preferably Constitutionally mandated) path to control spending
>> and reduce the debt, I would be most reluctant to accept the need for
>> any increase in taxation.
>
> As would I.
>
>> We've been promised spending cuts before in exchange for a tax hike.
>> We got the hike....we didn't get the cuts.
>
> That's why we have elections.

Which, even at best, is closing the barn door after the horse has run off.

3302. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 00:26:53 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irmr4jcec1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231229
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF16CBC4ED54hopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
> news:irk4of$233$1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>>
>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>
>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>
>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of
>>>>>>>>>>>> who pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If
>>>>>>>>>>>> you want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes
>>>>>>>>>>>> to the government then your right. I know of no place that
>>>>>>>>>>>> compiles that data. ...
>>>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I
>>>>>>>>>>> need them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
>>>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you.
>>>>>>>>>> Which explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ...

>>>>>>>>> you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can,
>>>>>>>>> from the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>>> ï¿½ May 15, 2011
>>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the
>>>>>>>> check, but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies
>>>>>>>> the cause of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization
>>>>>>>> that poisons our political process. But to understand that
>>>>>>>> problem, we must consider how taxes are applied to the
>>>>>>>> production of goods and services.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes
>>>>>>>> based on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm
>>>>>>>> and equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just
>>>>>>>> another cost of doing business in the course of earning his
>>>>>>>> living, no different from fuel for his tractor or wages and
>>>>>>>> taxes for employees.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and

>>>>>>>> taxes, the price they charge the miller must cover their
>>>>>>>> expenses and taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and
>>>>>>>> savings. Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat.
>>>>>>>> All of these costs and taxes were passed on to the miller,
>>>>>>>> embedded in the price of wheat.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat
>>>>>>>> to the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security
>>>>>>>> taxes he withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes,
>>>>>>>> were all passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with
>>>>>>>> it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those
>>>>>>>> previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees,
>>>>>>>> hidden in the price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his
>>>>>>>> family ate the bread, and, having done so, could not sell it to
>>>>>>>> anyone else and pass the taxes along, as the baker and everyone
>>>>>>>> else before had done.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with
>>>>>>>> the farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they
>>>>>>>> withheld from all of their employees. From bread to automobiles
>>>>>>>> to brain surgery, the price of everything we buy carries in it
>>>>>>>> the hidden taxes of everyone who contributed to the production
>>>>>>>> of that product or service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents
>>>>>>>> of every dollar we spend for federal taxes alone.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a
>>>>>>>> scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and
>>>>>>>> unknowing tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to
>>>>>>>> keep voters from realizing who really bears the burden of those
>>>>>>>> high taxes.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
>>>>>>>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually
>>>>>>>> paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services.
>>>>>>>> It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket,
>>>>>>>> or what deductions you receive. These devices change only the
>>>>>>>> degree to which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes
>>>>>>>> place on your life depends solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm.
>>>>>>>> First, it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services
>>>>>>>> that are provided by highly taxed individuals and companies,
>>>>>>>> like health care, are artificially more expensive than
>>>>>>>> necessary, while raw materials and natural resources are
>>>>>>>> underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political

>>>>>>>> process, encouraging government overspending by politicians who
>>>>>>>> exploit the mistaken belief of many voters that government
>>>>>>>> spending can be paid for solely by taxing corporations or the
>>>>>>>> "rich." All of the exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which
>>>>>>>> brings so much ill will to our politics and drives wedges
>>>>>>>> between Americans who would be better served by mutual respect
>>>>>>>> and compassion - is ultimately the meaningless exploitation of a
>>>>>>>> lie.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
>>>>>>>> appears progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive.
>>>>>>>> Currently, the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay
>>>>>>>> no income tax directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes
>>>>>>>> added to the hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax burden
>>>>>>>> is almost 30 percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through
>>>>>>>> an invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He
>>>>>>>> is a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as
>>>>>> Mr. B.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B
>>>>>> gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the
>>>>> rich not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so.
>>>> The best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same percentage
>>>> without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>>
>>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.

>>>
>>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and
>>>> why.
>>>
>>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>>
>> Actually, I think if we fixed the income the federal government had to
>> work with by eliminating their power to impose or increase taxes, I
>> bet the rest would, over time, resolve itself.
>
> Somebody has to be able to adjust tax rates... If not Congress then who?

Decrease, by Congress.

Increase, by vote during a general election.

> As law makers have to
>> live within their means then priorities would be required and those
>> items which were luxuries or not required would keep getting pushed
>> further and further towards the short end of the stick.
>
> In a dream world. Unfortunately, in this one, what one set sees and
> luxuries, the other side sees as entitlements.

Yep, I never said they would be eliminated, but priorities BOTH sides can
agree on would slowly get the bulk of the available resources.

>> If we, as a
>> people, decide that the government simply doesn't have the funds to
>> provide the necessary services, then we, as a people, can decide to
>> raise our taxes to provide more funding so such necessary services can
>> exist at a level we desire.
>
> Look at the hullabaloo over modifying SS and Medicare and tell me with a
> straight face that the people will vote for money to provide necessary
> services and will not vote for money that aren't.

Some would. A lot would not.

Would you vote to raise taxes for unneeded services?

> Particularly when that
> opinion of what is on what side of the line is all over the place.

There is that.

>> We are, after all, the ones paying for it all, so we should have a
>> direct say in how much we will pay.
>
> Yep, but look at the above and you can see why a direct democracy won't
> work.

Oh, I don't know. If you could earmark your check for the specific programs
you felt the money should go to.....and undecided funds would be allocated

by Congress to plug any holes.....Hmmm... possible.

3303. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 00:28:12 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irmr72cpl1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231230
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF19F38A9D4Dhopewell@216.196.121.131...
> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF19A9919489Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF16CBC4ED54hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> Somebody has to be able to adjust tax rates... If not Congress then
>>> who?
>>>
>>
>> Why? Why should the government need any more than say 10% of the
>> private sector, except in case of war maybe, and probably not even
>> then?
>>
>> Why should the government be entitled to any more than 10% of the
>> private sector?
>>
>
> Who said it should be? I said somebody has to be able to adjust the tax
> rates. What the if the rate needed dropped to 5%?

Congress could drop it, or it could be brought up before the people as a
referendum during a general election.

Congress can only, but only the People can vote to increase it.

>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

3304. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 00:31:34 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irmrdcdrq1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231231
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF16F00FA784hopewell@216.196.121.131...
> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF0E2518371EWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>
>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>
>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of
>>>>>>>>>>> who pays the federal income tax burden in this country. If
>>>>>>>>>>> you want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that goes
>>>>>>>>>>> to the government then your right. I know of no place that
>>>>>>>>>>> compiles that data. ...
>>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I
>>>>>>>>>> need them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
>>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you.
>>>>>>>>> Which explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem ...
>>>>>>>> you are attempting a circular argument ...

>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can,
>>>>>>>>> from the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>>> ï¿½ May 15, 2011
>>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the
>>>>>>>> check, but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies
>>>>>>>> the cause of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization
>>>>>>>> that poisons our political process. But to understand that
>>>>>>>> problem, we must consider how taxes are applied to the
>>>>>>>> production of goods and services.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes
>>>>>>>> based on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm
>>>>>>>> and equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just
>>>>>>>> another cost of doing business in the course of earning his
>>>>>>>> living, no different from fuel for his tractor or wages and
>>>>>>>> taxes for employees.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and
>>>>>>>> taxes, the price they charge the miller must cover their

>>>>>>>> expenses and taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and
>>>>>>>> savings. Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat.
>>>>>>>> All of these costs and taxes were passed on to the miller,
>>>>>>>> embedded in the price of wheat.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat
>>>>>>>> to the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security
>>>>>>>> taxes he withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes,
>>>>>>>> were all passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying with
>>>>>>>> it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all those
>>>>>>>> previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their employees,
>>>>>>>> hidden in the price of that loaf of bread. The buyer and his
>>>>>>>> family ate the bread, and, having done so, could not sell it to
>>>>>>>> anyone else and pass the taxes along, as the baker and everyone
>>>>>>>> else before had done.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with
>>>>>>>> the farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they
>>>>>>>> withheld from all of their employees. From bread to automobiles
>>>>>>>> to brain surgery, the price of everything we buy carries in it
>>>>>>>> the hidden taxes of everyone who contributed to the production
>>>>>>>> of that product or service to the tune of, on average, 23 cents
>>>>>>>> of every dollar we spend for federal taxes alone.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality, a
>>>>>>>> scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and
>>>>>>>> unknowing tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to
>>>>>>>> keep voters from realizing who really bears the burden of those
>>>>>>>> high taxes.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income and
>>>>>>>> business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are eventually
>>>>>>>> paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods and services.
>>>>>>>> It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to which tax bracket,
>>>>>>>> or what deductions you receive. These devices change only the
>>>>>>>> degree to which you are a tax collector, but the burden taxes
>>>>>>>> place on your life depends solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm.
>>>>>>>> First, it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services
>>>>>>>> that are provided by highly taxed individuals and companies,
>>>>>>>> like health care, are artificially more expensive than
>>>>>>>> necessary, while raw materials and natural resources are
>>>>>>>> underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political
>>>>>>>> process, encouraging government overspending by politicians who

>>>>>>>> exploit the mistaken belief of many voters that government
>>>>>>>> spending can be paid for solely by taxing corporations or the
>>>>>>>> "rich." All of the exploitation of envy and demagoguery - which
>>>>>>>> brings so much ill will to our politics and drives wedges
>>>>>>>> between Americans who would be better served by mutual respect
>>>>>>>> and compassion - is ultimately the meaningless exploitation of a
>>>>>>>> lie.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
>>>>>>>> appears progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive.
>>>>>>>> Currently, the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay
>>>>>>>> no income tax directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes
>>>>>>>> added to the hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax burden
>>>>>>>> is almost 30 percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone, through
>>>>>>>> an invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of living.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com. He
>>>>>>>> is a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as
>>>>>> Mr. B.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr B
>>>>>> gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the
>>>>> rich not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so.
>>>> The best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same percentage
>>>> without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>>
>>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>>

>> Indeed and I like it.
>>
>>>
>>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and
>>>> why.
>>>
>>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>>
>> Yes, but if that question is not answered we will never solve the
>> problem.
>>
>
> The problem is that it IS an ongoing problem and always will be.
> Therfore we need to keep solving it as we go along. There is no magic
> one shot elixer to fix it.

Which is why we need to get the Congress' power to arbitrarily increase taxes and/or borrow money under control.

Spending control will tend to come from that, and if we can tighten the noose until spending, programs, and how it is allocated become if not acceptable at least bearable then we will have made great strides. The first step, IMO, is cutting down the flow of money to them so that the rest MUST be addressed.

3305. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 00:32:52 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irmrfq$e81$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231232
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ee4c4601-f8a6-4127-bb9d-2570ee2ec12a@g12g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
> On May 25, 6:54 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 25, 3:18 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
>> >> > s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>> >> >> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>> >> >> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>> >> >> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> ...

>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>> >> >> >> >> >> innuendo you
>> >> >> >> >> keep
>> >> >> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
>> >> >> >> >> >> 42% of all
>> >> >> >> >> of
>> >> >> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
>> >> >> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
>> >> >> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
>> >> >> >> >> fair
>> >> >> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Regards,
>> >> >> >> >> >> JS
>>
>> >> >> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>
>> >> >> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>> >> >> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>
>> >> >> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>
>> >> >> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>
>> >> >> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>
>> >> >> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>
>> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
>> >> > from the income tax.
>>
>> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when they
>> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
>> > decides you owe it on payday.
>>
>> >> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>
>> >> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax
>> >> > as
>> >> > the method of funding government. If you fully understand the
>> >> > FairTax
>> >> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>

>> >> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
>> >> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
>> >> gasoline,
>> >> etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger percentage of
>> >> their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>>
>> > Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First, people
>> > pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level. Every household
>> > receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential goods
>> > and services.
>>
>> How exactly do you determine what are "essential goods and services"
>> never
>> mind how much such "essential goods and services" a particular household
>> requires?
>>
>
> The Department of Health & Human Servicesï¿½ poverty level guidelines
> tel us that. This is a well-accepted, long-used poverty-level
> calculation that includes food, clothing, shelter, transportation,
> medical care, etc.

Keyword "GUIDELINES"

You do know what a guideline is, right?

3306. Re: What the world thinks of Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 01:22:15 UTC
From: William Clark <clark@nospammatsceng.ohio-state.edu>
Message-ID: <clark-FA4CEA.21221526052011@news.eternal-september.org>
Source record: 231233
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

In article <irk3nnppp2@dont-email.me>,
John Smith <win.court@gmail.com> wrote:

> On 5/25/2011 4:29 PM, William Clark wrote:
> > In article<MPG.2846b4b2b7dc9e8398b172@news.giganews.com>,
> > BAR<screw@you.com> wrote:
> >
> >> In article<619d222b-5016-4aac-a8df-daa990e28c15
> >> @z19g2000yqz.googlegroups.com>, johnb505@gmail.com says...
> >>>
> >>> On May 24, 8:59 am, Moderate<nos...@nomail.com> wrote:
> >>>> W^3<82nd...@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>>>> In article<93vp1bF54...@mid.individual.net>,
> >>>>> "MNMikew"<MNMiik...@aol.com> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>> Obama makes Sara Palin look like Henry Kissinger.
> >>>>
> >>>>> A war criminal?
> >>>>
> >>>> I thought Obama was the war criminal. You know, invading countries in
> >>>> violation of the Constitution and killing civilians.
> >>>
> >>> Gosh, and all this time I thought it was Bush who invaded Iraq and
> >>> Afghanistan.
> >>
> >> Lybia
> >
> > Would that be geographically related to Libya, by any chance?
> >
> > Just curious :-)
>
> http://www.infowars.com/obama-vows-to-continue-bombing-libya/
>
> The criminal attacks on the population of Libya continues ... obama
> expands the war crimes of bush.
>
> Regards,
> JS

Whoosh :-)

3307. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 01:43:33 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <005b791b-4be5-4200-889e-0c97ab7226e3@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231234
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On May 26, 4:50 pm, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/26/2011 3:36 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> >> joeturn wrote
innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d565b0d80@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> >>>>> Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have made
> >>>>> that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> >>>>> test,while not being over-medicated
> >>>> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> >>> You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score? I
> >>> think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> >>> spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is average
> >>> for the majority.
> >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6' 5",
> >> weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> >> --
> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> Don't complain.
>
> > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> I am only 6'1", 215 lbs. but the IQ is about the average I score (144) ...

You forgot the decimal point, Johnny KQQK -- 14.4

3308. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 01:52:57 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6d79d20e-0000-45bb-9300-c8279965fed1@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 26, 8:31 am, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:

> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM, Iarnrod proved:
> > On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
> >> Mighty Iarnrod proved:
> >>> Yes, he would have been
> >> No,
> > > Yes, he would have been.
> No,

Yes, he would have been.

3309. Re: What the world thinks of Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 02:37:16 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irn2oq$o1u$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231236
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On 5/26/2011 6:22 PM, William Clark wrote:
> In article<irk3nn$ppp$2@dont-email.me>,
> John Smith<win.court@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>> On 5/25/2011 4:29 PM, William Clark wrote:
>>> In article<MPG.2846b4b2b7dc9e8398b172@news.giganews.com>,
>>> BAR<screw@you.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> In article<619d222b-5016-4aac-a8df-daa990e28c15
>>>> @z19g2000yqz.googlegroups.com>, johnb505@gmail.com says...
>>>>>
>>>>> On May 24, 8:59 am, Moderate<nos...@nomail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> W^3<82nd...@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>>>>> In article<93vp1bF54...@mid.individual.net>,
>>>>>>> "MNMikew"<MNMiik...@aol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Obama makes Sara Palin look like Henry Kissinger.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> A war criminal?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I thought Obama was the war criminal. You know, invading countries in
>>>>>> violation of the Constitution and killing civilians.
>>>>>
>>>>> Gosh, and all this time I thought it was Bush who invaded Iraq and
>>>>> Afghanistan.
>>>>
>>>> Lybia
>>>
>>> Would that be geographically related to Libya, by any chance?
>>>
>>> Just curious :-)
>>
>> http://www.infowars.com/obama-vows-to-continue-bombing-libya/
>>
>> The criminal attacks on the population of Libya continues ... obama
>> expands the war crimes of bush.
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Whoosh :-)

That is not even the sound of your brain on drugs ...
```

It is the sound of your brain in the toilet, and me pulling the handle.

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

3310. Re: What the world thinks of Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 02:49:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28990-4DDF1154-270@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231239

Ask ME what I think of that Bɒrn in Kenya! MOFO POS SCARHEAD USURPER.
cuhulin

3311. Re: METEOR STORM - Future prediction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 02:51:15 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irn3j1$o1u$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231237
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.conspiracy,alt.atheism,sci.skeptic,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/26/2011 6:11 PM, Odysseus wrote:
> In article
> <52611eb6-0d86-4cdc-b3b2-89e8db40ea9c@c26g2000vbq.googlegroups.com>,
> Father Haskell<fatherhaskell@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
>
>> Radiation just means energy. Light is a form of radiation,
>> as is the rest of the EM spectrum, as is sound.
>
> Sound is not "radiation" as physicists use the term: it's a mechanical
> vibration transmitted through a medium by collisions between the
> constituent molecules. People do speak of sound as "radiating" from a
> source, in the same way that concentric ripples "radiate" from a stone
> tossed into a pond, but these loose usages describe superficial
> appearances rather than the nature of the underlying phenomena.
>
> As a means of energy transport sound would be classified as a type of
> conduction AFAICT, as distinct from radiation or convection.
>

Actually, it is exactly the same mechanism which sound uses to
transverse the liquid air which it uses to transverse the liquid water.

The same waves you see on the surface of the pond transverse the water
below, and the air above. In air, you cannot see them, and the
frequency of the waves is below human hearing.

I mean, grab a book on physics, it is like, DUH!

Sound cannot transverse space, a vacuum. However, radio waves do. Both
sound waves and radio waves need a media to transverse or they cannot
travel a distance. The media in space is the ether (or, aether.)
Einstein called it the "gravitational aether."

A google search on gravitational aether and Einstein will give one much
to digest ...

Regards,
JS

3312. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 02:54:53 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5f094f55-ecc9-40ae-b27e-8d276ff269e7@gh5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231238
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On May 26, 9:43 pm, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 26, 4:50 pm, John Smith wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/26/2011 3:36 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > >> joeturn wrote
innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d565b0d80@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >>>>> Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have made
> > >>>>> that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > >>>>> test,while not being over-medicated
> > >>>> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > >>> You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score? I
> > >>> think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > >>> spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is average
> > >>> for the majority.
> > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6' 5",
> > >> weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > >> --
> > >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > >> If you woke up this morning....
> > >> Don't complain.
>
> > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> > I am only 6'1", 215 lbs. but the IQ is about the average I score (144) ...
>
> You forgot the decimal point, Johnny KQQK -- 14.4- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Negative value of 14 .4 is highly unusual for an IQ level . But in
this newsgroup- you never know . . .

3313. Re: What the world thinks of Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 03:04:48 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9488aeae-d10e-49a0-8797-09801f03824d@l6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231240

On May 26, 10:49 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> Ask ME what I think of that Born in Kenya! MOFO POS SCARHEAD USURPER.
> cuhulin

And may we ask : what exactly does Cuhulin think about that %#@
%&^*>"*^&%$# ?

3314. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 03:15:46 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2848e16aa64a8ece98a272@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 231241
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

In article <irmqm9$l3k$2@dont-email.me>, win.court@gmail.com says...
>
> On 5/26/2011 3:52 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > > But Lybia is one of the 7 nations to fall within the 5 year period
> > > because of the push for the phoney democracy!
> > >
> > > http://www.henrymakow.com/democracy_socialism_the_perost.html
>
> Yes, a genius friend of mine is claiming that there are time travelers
> coming back from the future and attempting to halt any actions/paths
> which will avert the coming bad times. His description of them sounds
> very much like a future version of the shadow government we see in
> action, behind the curtain, today.
>
> It is interesting to hear him explain it. When I ask him, "Do you
> really believe this?" He answers, "Tell me a better explanation which
> would account for it ..."
>
> He says Jamestown, Waco, the middle east, Libya, etc. are all being done
> on purpose to influence the trend of events -- by time travelers.
>
> We do absolutely agree that Guinness is the best dark beer ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

He seems to be slightly more rational than you, Johnny KqqK.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

3315. Re: Trump/Corsi vs. the fraudulent obama birth certificate -- dynamic duo fights for justice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 03:18:46 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2848e220891d979998a274@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 231242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

In article <irmf0p$3u7$1@dont-email.me>, win.court@gmail.com says...
>
> On 5/26/2011 11:55 AM, BDK wrote:
> > In article<a80be1de-0321-4da7-834a-
> > 6dc829b4af7f@b8g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>, mwbryant@aol.com says...
> >>
> >> On May 26, 12:57 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>> http://www.paramuspost.com/article.php/20110525160337406
> >>>
> >>> http://www.mediaite.com/online/donald-trump-my-expert-says-obamas-bir...
> >>>
> >>> Crimes are like that, they just won't go away ...
> >>>
> >>> Regards,
> >>> JS
> >>
> >> Here are the newsgroups you keep cross-posting your political posts
> >> to:
> >>
> >> rec.radio.shortwave, alt.conspiracy, talk.politics.guns, alt.fan.rush-
> >> limbaugh, alt.rush-limbaugh
> >>
> >> All but rec.radio.shortwave are political forums where your messages
> >> seem appropriate. RRS, however, is a newsgroup dealing with shortwave
> >> radio and broadcasting. Please delete rec.radio.shortwave from your
> >> list of newsgroups where you make so many daily political posts.
> >>
> >> Though I can't recall you ever making a truly Shortwave-related post,
> >> they would certainly be welcome. Do you need a receiver? Let me know
> >> if you do.
> >>
> >> Mike
> >> Louisville, KY
> >
> > Johnny KqqK plonked you. For him, that means you made sense and he ran
> > away. But like the cockroach he is, he will always come back.
> >
>
> I do as I please, plonk, unplonk, etc.
>
> Pisses you off, that is good ...
>

> Regards,
> JS

Pisses me off? Whoosh isn't even close to the right term for what is happening over your little pointed head.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAH! You're so idiotic, you don't even know when you're being laughed at and made fun of!

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

3316. Re: METEOR STORM - Future prediction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 03:19:47 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.2848e25bfa7f0eeb98a275@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 231243
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.conspiracy,alt.atheism,sci.skeptic,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <irn3j1$o1u$2@dont-email.me>, win.court@gmail.com says...
>
> On 5/26/2011 6:11 PM, Odysseus wrote:
> > In article
> > <52611eb6-0d86-4cdc-b3b2-89e8db40ea9c@c26g2000vbq.googlegroups.com>,
> > Father Haskell<fatherhaskell@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > <snip>
> >
> >> Radiation just means energy. Light is a form of radiation,
> >> as is the rest of the EM spectrum, as is sound.
> >
> > Sound is not "radiation" as physicists use the term: it's a mechanical
> > vibration transmitted through a medium by collisions between the
> > constituent molecules. People do speak of sound as "radiating" from a
> > source, in the same way that concentric ripples "radiate" from a stone
> > tossed into a pond, but these loose usages describe superficial
> > appearances rather than the nature of the underlying phenomena.
> >
> > As a means of energy transport sound would be classified as a type of
> > conduction AFAICT, as distinct from radiation or convection.
> >
>
> Actually, it is exactly the same mechanism which sound uses to
> transverse the liquid air which it uses to transverse the liquid water.
>
> The same waves you see on the surface of the pond transverse the water
> below, and the air above. In air, you cannot see them, and the
> frequency of the waves is below human hearing.
>
> I mean, grab a book on physics, it is like, DUH!
>
> Sound cannot transverse space, a vacuum. However, radio waves do. Both
> sound waves and radio waves need a media to transverse or they cannot
> travel a distance. The media in space is the ether (or, aether.)
> Einstein called it the "gravitational aether."
>
> A google search on gravitational aether and Einstein will give one much
> to digest ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

You quoting Einstein....

BWHAHAHAHA! The amazing irony.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

3317. Re: What the world thinks of Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 03:24:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DDF1959-11026@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231244

MOFO Born in Kenya! SCARHEAD USURPER POS! owned property under name of
Harrison J Boune
http://www.rense.com
cuhulin

3318. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 03:40:08 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <ee-dnXw2WtepgELQnZ2dnUVZ5oednZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3319. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 03:40:21 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <ee-dnX82WtfcgELQnZ2dnUVZ5ocAAAAA@giganews.com>
Source record: 231246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3320. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 03:40:39 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <ee-dnX42WtfPgELQnZ2dnUVZ5oednZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3321. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still lying about citizenship law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 03:46:56 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <N7-dnR3VDttRg0LQnZ2dnUVZ5qidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3322. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 03:49:59 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <jn7ut6p1bopluo841julujaen2t9kia1i1@4ax.com>
Source record: 231251
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

On Thu, 26 May 2011 15:52:49 -0700 (PDT), joeturn
<joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote:

>But Lybia is one of the 7 nations to fall within the 5 year period
>because of the push for the phoney democracy!

We really can't say that the people in Libya will be better off when
they replace their current leadership. The country is economically
strong and the people have money. In the past the leadership has
done bad things to us and to the Brits, but it has become more
moderate since then. It does have more oil than we thought then.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

3323. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 03:51:00 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <06e798d1-1845-4c57-bae5-5e402d0e3159@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231249
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 26, 5:04 pm, joeturn wrote:
> On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>
>
>
> > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > >> joeturn wrote
> > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated
> > >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > >> > average for the majority.
> > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> > > --
> > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
```

Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.

3324. Re: More good economic news -- NOT! (Bush's disaster escapes JohnSmith)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 03:52:30 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <ku7ut6dk79m468atnnlqs1m3fe7vfq7qhp@4ax.com>
Source record: 231252
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Thu, 26 May 2011 13:22:39 -0600, Yoorghis@Jurgis.net wrote:

>You cannot solve either the deficit or the Debt by "cutting
>waste/Programs)
>
>You MUST raise taxes
>
>PERIOD>

History indicates that the best way to work on this problem is to get
people back to well paying jobs.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

3325. Re: What the world thinks of Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 03:52:58 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <c52e43f6-2a45-42b3-8c90-32512709decb@ct4g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231250

On May 26, 11:24 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> MOFO Born in Kenya! SCARHEAD USURPER POS! owned property under name of
> Harrison J Bounehttp://www.rense.com
> cuhulin

So if all that is true , then BHO is just a bum ? And that house in
Chicago is not his own ?

3326. Re: SUPREME COURT gets one thing right!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 03:56:11 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <f28ut6t5ot6nug1kh8ij48uvln1h73snvt@4ax.com>
Source record: 231254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Thu, 26 May 2011 13:36:01 -0400, "lab~rat >:-)" <chase@cheeze.net>
wrote:

>Gotcha. However, if there were no illegal aliens in the US, IOW, if
>the law was enforced and the borders were secured, there would be no
>reason to put the onus on businesses.

You could say the same thing about imported illegal drugs and drug
users.

>I realize this is a "chicken-or-the-egg" argument, but the same group
>of folks that want to clamp down on businesses and hold them
>responsible for illegals is the group that sues states to take the
>teeth out of anti-illegal alien laws.

A comprehensive policy doesn't do either one process or another. It
looks at them all.

>My point is that politicians treat illegal aliens like the third rail
>and generally won't do shit to stop them streaming over the border
>like cockroaches. They can only be tough against businesses, not
>criminals...

We spend a large fortune on border patrols. Each year we spend more.
That money spent isn't without cost to businesses.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

3327. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 03:56:32 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <705fa17b-cd74-4f24-a70a-5d86bee61d03@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 26, 9:46 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:

> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM, Iarnrod proved:
> > On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
> >> Mighty Iarnrod proved:
> >>> Yes, he would have been
> >> No,
> > > Yes, he would have been.
> No,

Yes, he would have been.

3328. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 04:17:28 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <wtOdnYEa29NouELQnZ2dnUVZ5tWdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3329. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still lying about citizenship law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 04:17:35 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <wtOdnYAa29NhuELQnZ2dnUVZ5tWdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3330. Re: More good economic news -- NOT! (Bush's disaster escapes JohnSmith)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 04:22:42 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <N_KdnbHQre6KukLQnZ2dnVY3goudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231257

"Howard Brazee" wrote in message
news:ku7ut6dk79m468atnnlqs1m3fe7vfq7qhp@4ax.com...

On Thu, 26 May 2011 13:22:39 -0600, Yoorghis@Jurgis.net wrote:

>You cannot solve either the deficit or the Debt by "cutting
>waste/Programs)
>
>You MUST raise taxes
>
>PERIOD>

History indicates that the best way to work on this problem is to get
people back to well paying jobs.

Pretty sure that ship has long since sailed. Our politicians and
corporations have already given away the farm, but kept all the profits from
future crops. The GOP in some states is trying to go one better to destroy
unions so that people just have to take what the employer wants to give
them..

3331. Re: More good economic news -- NOT! (Bush's disaster escapes JohnSm...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 04:37:34 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DDF2A8E-11078@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231258

I HATE Unions!!! You want me to say that again? I HATE Unions!!!!!!!!

http://www.sovereignindependent.com
A Warning to politicians, We Know Who You ARE!, And We Know Where You
LIVE!
cuhulin

3332. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 05:18:09 UTC
From: "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <78b180fe-3596-4f15-85e1-37c9e5ac3520@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231259
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 25, 10:29 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/25/2011 8:00 PM, r_c_br...@hushmail.com wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Hence my remark that such a system would require a totalitarian state.
>
> >> --
> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> Don't complain.
>
> Don't forget, we plonk fools here ...

Clearly, then, you should go plonk yourself.

>
> ... plonk ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

3333. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 05:19:45 UTC
From: "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <9f2d9a4d-072f-4417-8918-adf412e10397@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231260
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 26, 11:51 am, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
innews:irm3nqior1@dont-email.me:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > <Yoorg...@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
> >news:mapst6p7pdlqt917rol550h6m5lh9jb3im@4ax.com...
> >> On Wed, 25 May 2011 22:59:21 -0400, "Scout"
> >> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
> >>><Yoorg...@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
> >>>news:vuert61m666lovc2breipbnpboavs34t93@4ax.com...
> >>>> On Wed, 25 May 2011 18:44:10 -0400, "Scout"
> >>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
> >>>>>Let's say your burger flipper makes $30,000 and your "wealth class"
> >>>>>makes
> >>>>>$1,000,000
>
> >>>>>The burger flipper (given the numbers above, the ones you snipped)
> >>>>>would be
> >>>>>paying all of $900 in taxes. Your "wealth class on the other hand
> >>>>>would be
> >>>>>paying $146,400. The effective tax rate, and you love talking about
> >>>>>effective rates, would have the effective tax rate on the burger
> >>>>>flipper be
> >>>>>3%, your wealth class, on the other hand, would have an effective
> >>>>>tax rate
> >>>>>of 14.6%
>
> >>>> $900 for a low income in taxes is almost 90% of what they have over
> >>>> what it takes to live on
>
> >>>Let's see about that shall we...

>
> >>>Poverty level (ability to survive) is $24,000
>
> >>>Which means he's $6,000 above that.
>
> >>>Of which he pays $900 in taxes
>
> >>>or....15% of what they have over what it takes to live on.
>
> >>>So where did you get 90%? Pull it out of your ass?
>
> >> Because you assumed that a national poverty figure, set by the
> >> fucking idiots that back the wealth class, is a figure that has
> >> validity
>
> > Ok, then what number would you like to use?
>
> > I don't really care since it's going to be the same for everyone.
>
> >> Second, the $900 you're proud of is only the FICA taxes, not the
> >> total taxation paid by a low income earner. Add to that $900, state,
> >> local, county, and other federal taxes on food, clothing, shelter,
> >> utilities, everything else.
>
> > Totally missing out on what is being said, aren't you?
>
> WOW, I though he was smarter than that, but.......

Mr. Roselles is the only person on UseNet to successfully argue
himself *into* a paper bag.

>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

3334. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 06:32:22 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <556c7095-13ec-43bf-ae9c-bae747efeb3e@d28g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231261
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

On May 26, 8:19 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/26/2011 3:52 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > But Lybia is one of the 7 nations to fall within the 5 year period
> > because of the push for the phoney democracy!
>
> >http://www.henrymakow.com/democracy_socialism_the_perost.html
>
> Yes, a genius friend of mine is claiming that there are time travelers
> coming back from the future and attempting to halt any actions/paths
> which will avert the coming bad times. His description of them sounds
> very much like a future version of the shadow government we see in
> action, behind the curtain, today.
>
> It is interesting to hear him explain it. When I ask him, "Do you
> really believe this?" He answers, "Tell me a better explanation which
> would account for it ..."
>
> He says Jamestown, Waco, the middle east, Libya, etc. are all being done
> on purpose to influence the trend of events -- by time travelers.
>
> We do absolutely agree that Guinness is the best dark beer ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Not by time travelers but the CIA.They were testing their brainwashing tecnique in jones town,waco and at the spahn Ranch.Jim Jones,Charles Manson and David Koresh were all victims of project monarch and the CIA stormed the compounds when they were finished with the expiriments.

There is no such thing as Time Travelers.Orwells time machine was a hoax to get the herd in the receptive mode!E=MCsquared. is not relitive to time travel this is some more of Kakus bulshit same as gray matter and blackholes!

The Government put the idiot Einstein in the mix to railroad the scientist into thinking this formula was more important than free energy.So they started testing his theory.

The funny part is is that its not reative to anything. Einstein simply noticed all the mathmatical formulas for energy all had reative components in figuring energy but with out using all the specific criteria you cant make any kind of energy at all. So with that being said you cant travel faster than time nor slower than time. because his theory is not a formula at all it is a simple observation in comparing energy equasions!

Einstien could not pass the first IQ Test designed to test adalesant kids.His IQ has never been published because he was a Government idiot sent to baffle the herd!

3335. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 06:41:13 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1c873654-c66f-4d5a-973c-5396b047ea53@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231262
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

On May 26, 11:49 pm, Howard Brazee <how...@brazee.net> wrote:
> On Thu, 26 May 2011 15:52:49 -0700 (PDT), joeturn
>
> <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >But Lybia is one of the 7 nations to fall within the 5 year period
> >because of the push for the phoney democracy!
>
> We really can't say that the people in Libya will be better off when
> they replace their current leadership. The country is economically
> strong and the people have money. In the past the leadership has
> done bad things to us and to the Brits, but it has become more
> moderate since then. It does have more oil than we thought then.
>
> --
> "In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
> than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
> to the legislature, and not to the executive department."
>
> - James Madison

Things are about to change for the worse for all the people that are
not part of the Merovingin Bloodline.The New World Order will clamp
down on us all and kill off the opressors!

3336. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 06:52:02 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <70648f2e-dadb-4fee-b293-5266d8ef526f@g28g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231263
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On May 26, 11:51 pm, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 26, 5:04 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated
> > > >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > >> > average for the majority.
> > > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> > > --
> > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you

> > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
>
> Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!

http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=36&contentid=3887

Now thats slooooow

3337. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 06:58:28 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f15c59a3-354b-40b9-a872-f06de1a8b7d0@d28g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231264
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 27, 2:52 am, joeturn wrote:
> On May 26, 11:51 pm, Iarnrod wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 5:04 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > >> > test,while not being over-medicated
> > > > >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > > > >> You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > >> I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > >> spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > >> average for the majority.
> > > > Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> > > > --
> > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
```

>
> > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
>
> > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
>
> http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=36&contentid=3887
>
> Now thats slooooow- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

You did see this while you were there?

http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=36&contentid=8337

3338. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 07:31:03 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <jfkut61a09kt6i510mujm8s1m1ahijoaba@4ax.com>
Source record: 231265
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

On Thu, 26 May 2011 23:41:13 -0700 (PDT), joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Things are about to change for the worse for all the people that are
>not part of the Merovingin Bloodline.The New World Order will clamp
>down on us all and kill off the opressors!

Illiterates of the world UNTIE!

Shill #2
--
"Me fail English? That's unpossible!"
Ralph Wiggum

3339. CVC "La Voz" [Chile] on 17,680 kHz > 11,665 kHz @ 1200~0200 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 10:47:05 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <00767466-8ed9-4fa7-97a8-869b6c3ddb36@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231266

On May 19, 6:25 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 5/19/2011 5:31 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> > 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC
> > on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> > A lot of 'RadioOlimpio' {Program} IDs in Spanish
> > and Latin American Place Names by Male Hosts
> > -then- Program Change? with Female Host @ 2145 UTC
>
> > S-Meter : S3~S6 and SIO 444 and good audio
>
> > .
> > .

- I'd rather DX jetliners than listen to
religious radio in a foreign language.

Ah... Dave You Judge Without Listening
-do-not-prejudge-just-listen-just-listen-
-simply-listen-with-an-open-mind-
-do-not-prejudge-just-listen-just-listen-
-simply-listen-with-an-open-heart-

Dave : Yes it is in a Foreign Language 'Spanish'
-but-you-live-in-'california'-a-spanish-name-

CVC "La Voz" is soft sell Christianity : Where
the Christian Message is one integrated part
of the over-all Programing of : News, Sports,
Music and oh yes tailored programs for Men,
Women and Youth with a Christian Theme.

-read- CVC Christian Vision "La Voz"
adds Chile network as partner
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/2006/08/cvc-christian-vision-adds-chile.html

-read- CVC "La Voz" Miama, FL 'Re-Branded'
http://www.missionaryjournalist.net/images/Radio-_International_Christian_Broadcasting_Ministry_Changes_Name.pdf

-check-out- CVC Media and the CVC Global
Shortwave Transmissions 'Map'
http://www.cvcmedia.tv/

Dave : The News is News and News is News
-be-it-in-spanish-

Dave there is more to CVC "La Voz" Then
Your Bias Allow You To Hear.
http://www.cvclavoz.com/

Dave : The Sports is simply Sports
-be-it-soccer-in-spanish-
Dave there is more to CVC "La Voz" Then
Your Bias Allow You To Hear.
http://www.cvclavoz.com/

Dave : The Pop Music is just Music
-be-it-a-song-sung-in-spanish-
Dave there is more to CVC "La Voz" Then
Your Bias Allow You To Hear.
http://www.cvclavoz.com/

Dave : The Message is the Message
-be-it-preached-with-love-in-spanish-
Dave there is more to CVC "La Voz" Then
Your Bias Allow You To Hear.
http://www.cvclavoz.com/

Maybe there is something in 'The Message'
That Will Help You With Your Biases Dave.
-let-us-pray-that-you-can-be-healed-amen-

Dave - Try Listening Not Judging - Amen ~ RHF
.
.
17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC
?Radio Limpio?
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/7b70dea90dfce169
.
CVC "La Voz"-beamed-to- North and South America
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/english/schedule.html
CVC "La Voz" on 17,680 kHz @ 1200~2300 UTC
-frequency-change-to-
CVC "La Voz" on 11,665 kHz @ 2300~0200 UTC
* Off the Air from 0200~1200 UTC
.
CVC "La Voz" -beamed-to- South America {Cone?}
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/english/schedule.html
CVC "La Voz" on 9635 kHz @ 1200~2200 UTC
-frequency-change-to-
CVC "La Voz" on 9780 kHz @ 2200~0200 UTC
* Off the Air from 0200~1200 UTC
.
.

3340. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 11:15:53 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-4e47e0379641@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231267
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
> >> wholesale = $50
> >> compliance costs = - $23
> >> FairTax = $23
> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
> $123.
>
> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
> > you.
>
> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are just going
> to away?
>

Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and the way
market forces work.

> --
> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
> competence?

3341. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 11:17:33 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231268
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>
> >>> wholesale = $50
> >>> compliance costs = - $23
> >>> FairTax = $23
> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
> > $123.
>
> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> >> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
> >> that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
> >> you.
>
> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are just
> > going to away?
>
> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will no longer
> be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate numbers and understand
> that he cannot subtract 23% from an item's cost, add stuff to it and
> still have it be 23% when he puts it back in place. Either his first 23%
> is in error or the second one is.
>

$22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good and
service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will go away as
market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the FairTax. Guys,
this isn't that hard.

> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

3342. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 11:18:14 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <777618f3-e9f9-4b0b-accf-7d67b6c5a793@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231269
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>
> >>> wholesale = $50
> >>> compliance costs = - $23
> >>> FairTax = $23
> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
> > $123.
>
> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> >> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
> >> that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
> >> you.
>
> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are just going
> > to away?
>
> That seems to be his, utterly unrealistic, assertion.

Economist that I trust more than you say otherwise. I know who I put
more stock in.

3343. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 11:25:20 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0b4c0b89-dee7-4140-bed5-58c01a9b8ea9@q32g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231270
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 26, 6:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a031
> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >> >> > Under the FairTax
> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
> >
> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
> >
> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
> >
> >> >> --
> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancai
> > n.c
> >> > om/
> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
> >
> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
> >> >> as

> >> muc
> >> > h
> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
> >> >> much competence?
>
> >> I refer to your math.
>
> >> wholesale = $50
> >> compliance costs = - $23
> >> FairTax = $23
> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
> >> $123.
>
> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
> > you.
>
> Let's try it this way using YOUR figures above.
>
> Originally
>
> Wholesale - $50
> Compliance costs - $23
> Sales and other taxes - $27
>
> Total product cost $100
>
> Federal income tax revenue is a separate item.
>
> ****
>
> Wholesale - %50
> Compliance Costs - <$23>
> Sales and other taxes - <$27>
>
> Total product cost now - $50
>
> Federal income tax revenue still a separate item.
>
> ****
>
> Wholesale - $50
> Add sales and other taxes -$27
> Add Fair Tax - $23
> Add money for loss of income tax revenue - $whatever
>
> Oooops, it now comes to more than the original $100 since that revenue is

> not a separate item anymore.
>

Oops, you are figuring it out incorrectly. Why are you removing sales
and other taxes? By those I am talking about state and local taxes.
So, let's try this again.

Current
- Wholesale: $50
- Add sales and other (local/city) taxes: $27
- Compliance costs: $23
- Total: $100

FairTax
- Wholesale: $50
- Add sales and other (local/city) taxes: $27
- FairTax: $23
- Total: $100

You can review my other posts to account for all the other benefits.

> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

3344. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 11:33:05 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231271
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a031
> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > Under the FairTax
> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>
> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>
> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
>
> >> >> --
> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.c
> >> > om/
> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
> >> muc

> >> > h
> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
> >> >> competence?
>
> >> I refer to your math.
>
> >> wholesale = $50
> >> compliance costs = - $23
> >> FairTax = $23
> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's $123.
>
> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
> > you.
>
> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales and other
> taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they will still need to comply
> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the additional
> FairTax imposed?

You need to understand what compliance costs actually are. They are
the costs associated with complying with the federal income tax on
wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income tax on wages,
i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering, documenting, and filing our
annual income.. If those taxes are gone then just what exactly is
there left to comply with and how does that cost any money?
Compliance costs of the income and payroll tax are like an anchor
holding back economic growth. We have nothing to show for the billions
spent each year on compliance. Hey, if you like having to spend money
on accountant, buy tax programs, spend countless hours figuring out
what you owe, have your income withheld and being taxed on what you
earn rather than what you spend, then there's nothing I can say that
will change your mind. I prefer a better way.

3345. digital ballasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 11:33:28 UTC
From: julia joy <juliaj391@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <feeef4d3-ae5f-48c4-af3b-7c2494eed33c@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231272

Eclipse LED digital ballasts are more efficient, quieter, cooler, and softer on the bulb. Digital ballasts do not have any of the usual transformers, capacitors or igniters; instead these ballasts have electronic circuitry to do the same job, more efficiently. What might take a standard coil/magnetic ballast 680-700 watts to fire a standard 600 watt bulb, may only take a digital ballast only 600-620 watts to fire the same bulb to the same brightness.

http://www.eclipseledcompany.com/Digital_Ballasts.html

3346. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 11:35:05 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8475f659-af52-4562-8e73-4db2c130430c@r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231273
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 26, 8:04 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:Xns9EF19C0BEACC7Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>
> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
> > 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> Under the FairTax
> >> - wholesale = $50
> >> - compliance costs = - $23
> >> - FairTax = $23
> >> - sales and other taxes = $27
> >> - Grand total = $100
>
> > You are obviously a Democrat.
>
> Yep, notice nothing else has changed, but according to him, suddenly it will
> cost NOTHING to comply with the still existing conditions, and will cost
> nothing additional to comply with the new tax imposed.
>
> As such his numbers are BS.

What's to comply with on a sales tax? Really, make your case? As for
the numbers, as I said, economists have looked at this. The research
is out there. I don't care if you summarily dismiss it. But, at
least take an objective look at the plan rather than gut reactions to
it. Of course, if you like the current tax system then god bless you.

3347. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 11:39:29 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3a277b89-cf8d-4f4e-85e8-63504eb89e29@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231274
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 26, 7:58 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
> >> >> > from the income tax.
>
> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>
> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when they
> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>
> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old luxury tax.
>
> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs that
> > are already built in to every product and service you buy. The luxury
> > tax would have been a tax on top of that.
>
> >> >> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>
> >> >> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax
> >> >> > as the method of funding government. If you fully understand the
> >> >> > FairTax
> >> >> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>
> >> >> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
> >> >> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
> >> >> gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger

> >> >> percentage of their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>
> >> > Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First, people
> >> > pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level.
>
> >> Which means that someone, somewhere needs to know your income.
>
> >> Every household
>
> > No, they just need to know how many people are in your household.
> > That determines the prebate, not one's income.
>
> >> > receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential goods
> >> > and services.
>
> >> I looked at the prebate schedule. Where in there does income come into
> >> it for that poverty level?
>
> > It doesn't. Nor does it need to. It only needs to figure what the
> > cost of essential goods and services are for a family of X number of
> > people. A family of four that makes $100,000 requires the same
> > essential goods and services as a family of four that makes $50,000.
>
> False assumption.
>
> Any number of variables factor in to what goods and services would be
> essential and what it would cost for those goods and services.
>
> All you are doing is picking an "average" which would reward some family by
> paying them for non-essential goods and services and punishing others by
> failing to reimburse them valid and legitimated costs for essential goods
> and services.
>
> IOW, overall most people wouldn't balance out, only the small minority right
> at and around the "average".

It's an assumption that is made by the DHH. It applies to
everyobody. No winners or losers (as our current tax code does).

3348. Re: What the world thinks of Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 12:00:13 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <iro3od$r5d$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231275

On 5/26/2011 10:49 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Ask ME what I think of that Born in Kenya! MOFO POS SCARHEAD USURPER.
> cuhulin

OK, I WILL ask you.

The Wall Street Journal, who is not a big fan of Obama, says he was born in the USA.

So, here is the question I'm asking you:

What does Cuhulin know that the WSJ investigative reporters don't?????

3349. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 12:10:58 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dda2cf5d-c930-4bf4-986f-d65aa43a555c@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 26, 10:17 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:

> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM, Iarnrod proved:
> > On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
> >> Mighty Iarnrod proved:
> >>> Yes, he would have been
> >> No,
> > > Yes, he would have been.
> No,

Yes, he would have been.

3350. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 12:13:03 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0c151401-8f4c-46df-a06b-7cb934ffdc84@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231277
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 27, 12:52 am, joeturn wrote:
> On May 26, 11:51 pm,Iarnrod wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 5:04 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated
> > > > >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > > > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > >> > average for the majority.
> > > > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> > > > --
> > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
```

> > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
>
> > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!

WrognTurnJoe, YOU don't tell peopel what happened. YOU tell people
what the kooky voices in your head tell you to say!

Hint: Your claims are physically impossible; the official findings
resolve every question, the FACT that you cannot NAME ONE THING in the
NIST report that is incorrect shows you are an idiot.

3351. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 12:13:48 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <beebd5bc-4e27-4ba6-831f-f37c1daffb24@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231278
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 27, 12:58 am, joeturn wrote:
> On May 27, 2:52 am, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 11:51 pm,Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > > On May 26, 5:04 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> > > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > > >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > > >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated
> > > > > >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > > > > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > > >> > average for the majority.
> > > > > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> > > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> > > > > --
> > > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
```

>
> > > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
>
> > > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
>
> >http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=36&contentid=3887
>
> > Now thats slooooow- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> You did see this while you were there?
>
> http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=36&contentid=8337

I don't read kooklinks unless the guests on Maury aren't stupid enough.

3352. Re: What the world thinks of Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 12:33:14 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3a878985-286c-4328-a572-126703caaf1f@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231279
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 25, 4:35 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/25/2011 4:29 PM, William Clark wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > In article<MPG.2846b4b2b7dc9e8398b...@news.giganews.com>,
> > BAR<sc...@you.com> wrote:
>
> >> In article<619d222b-5016-4aac-a8df-daa990e28c15
> >> @z19g2000yqz.googlegroups.com>, johnb...@gmail.com says...
>
> >>> On May 24, 8:59 am, Moderate<nos...@nomail.com> wrote:
> >>>> W^3<82nd...@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>>>> In article<93vp1bF54...@mid.individual.net>,
> >>>>> "MNMikew"<MNMiik...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >>>>>> Obama makes Sara Palin look like Henry Kissinger.
>
> >>>>> A war criminal?
>
> >>>> I thought Obama was the war criminal. You know, invading countries in
> >>>> violation of the Constitution and killing civilians.
>
> >>> Gosh, and all this time I thought it was Bush who invaded Iraq and
> >>> Afghanistan.
>
> >> Lybia
>
> > Would that be geographically related to Libya, by any chance?
>
> > Just curious :-)
>
> http://www.infowars.com/obama-vows-to-continue-bombing-libya/
>
> The criminal attacks on the population of Libya continues ... obama
> expands the war crimes of bush.
>

> Regards,
> JS

BHO = GWB II

Bush is Obama & Obama is Bush :
War Mongers -aka- Wars-for-Oil

Bush is Obama & Obama is Bush :
War-on-Terror -aka- Crusade Against Islam

and that is how the world sees obama ~ RHF
.
.

3353. "DXing with Cumbre" -host- Marie Lamb -via- WHRI Shortwave Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 12:35:09 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <0d7f3f1b-eccf-43cb-a2b5-3ccff6aac52e@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231280

"DXing with Cumbre" Shortwave Radio Program
-host- Marie Lamb
http://www.cumbredx.net/
Cumbre DX is a Website for Shortwave News,
Interviews, Features etc... plus eMail DX Bulletin
.
New URL & eMail for DXing with Cumbre
"Cumbre DX" : www.cumbredx.net
http://alokeshgupta.blogspot.com/2009/11/new-urle-mail-for-cumbre-dxdxing-with.html
-source- Alokesh Gupta Blog Spot
.
DXing with Cumbre" -via- WHRI Shortwave Radio
.
Sundays on WHRI Shortwave Radio
5920 kHz @ 0330 - 0400 UTC
7385 kHz @ 1000 - 1030 UTC
7385 kHz @ 1330 - 1400 UTC
9825 kHz @ 0400 - 0430 UTC
9930 kHz @ 1930 - 2000 UTC
9840 kHz @ 0200 - 0230 UTC
11,565 kHz @ 0330 - 0400 UTC
.
Saturdays on WHRI Shortwave Radio
5920 kHz @ 0130 - 0200 UTC
7385 kHz @ 1830 - 1930 UTC
9930 kHz @ 1930 - 2000 UTC
.
Week-Days on WHRI Shortwave Radio
5920 kHz @ 0430 - 0500 UTC on
Mondays through Fridays plus Sunday?
.
WHRI : World Harvard Radio International
WHRI is a Shortwave Radio Station from
Cypress Creek, South Carolina -USA-
http://www.whri.com/
.
WHRI Shortwave Radio Program Schedules
http://www.whri.com/Program-Schedules.cfm
.
WHRI Shortwave Radio Frequencies
http://www.whri.com/Frequencies.cfm
.
WHRI Shortwave Radio Reception Report [QSL]
Submit a QSL On-line -using-a-web-form-

http://www.whri.com/Reception-Report.cfm
.
World Harvest Radio International (WHRI)
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WHRI
* WHRI from South Carolina
* WHRA from Maine
* KWHR from Hawaii
.
and now you know cause...
you just read it here :o) ~ RHF
.
.
and yes - you too can hear 'dx cumbre'
on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver & ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3354. Coohoo is proof that Birthers are Racists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 12:52:38 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4ddf9e36$0$12483$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231281

On 05/26/2011 08:52 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 26, 11:24 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>> MOFO Born in Kenya! SCARHEAD USURPER POS! owned property under name of
>> Harrison J Bounehttp://www.rense.com
>> cuhulin
>
> So if all that is true , then BHO is just a bum ? And that house in
> Chicago is not his own ?

3355. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 12:58:00 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231282
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 26, 6:09 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-93cd47cf929f@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > On May 26, 1:05 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@
> > e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@
> >> > y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
> >> >> >> > revenue from the income tax.
>
> >> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>
> >> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only
> >> >> > when the
> >> > y
> >> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the
> >> >> > governmen
> > t
> >> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>
> >> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old luxury
> >> >> tax.
>
> >> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs
> >> > that are already built in to every product and service you buy.
>
> >> Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same revenue
> >> source as the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue
> >> source from income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the
> >> 'before' costs added to the price of purchase.
>
> > No it doesn’t need to be added.
>
> Of course it does. It is NOT part of that 23% you keep saying is already
> paid in product cost or the product taxes, etc. were actually less than

> 23%. What you have is this:
>
> Product selling price
> Product cost
> Corporate taxes
> Inventory taxes
> Excise taxes
>
> Now subtract the bottom three from the product selling price.
>
> Now you have:
>
> Product selling price
> Product cost
> - Corporate taxes
> - Inventory taxes
> - Excise taxes
>
> Now add those to a Fair Tax
>
> Corporate taxes
> Inventory taxes
> Excise taxes
>
> Now you need to add in the tax portion that was covered by federal income
> taxes. You now have:
>
> Corporate taxes
> Inventory taxes
> Excise taxes
> The revenue from income taxes
> Revenue from FICA
>
> You can't subract 23% from a product, add more stuff to it and add it
> back and still have 23%.
>
> It’s already part of what you are
>
> > paying anyway. Here’s a very simplified example:
>
> > Product costs $100, broken down as follows:
>
> > Under current system
> > - wholesale = $50
> > - compliance costs = $23
> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> > - Grand total = $100
>
> > Under the FairTax
> > - wholesale = $50

> > - compliance costs = - $23
> > - FairTax = $23
> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> > - Grand total = $100
>
> Ooops, forgot the revenue to make up for no income tax and FICA.
>

The FairTax replaces federal income taxes including personal, estate, gift, capital gains, alternative minimum, Social Security, Medicare, self-employment, and corporate taxes. The proper tax rate has been carefully worked out; 23 percent does the job of: (1) raising the same amount of federal funds as are raised by the current system, (2) paying the universal rebate. I can't make it any more simple than this. Economists and businesspeople have spent $22M researching this. There is nothing more I can tell you to convince you. You need to read the book and the web site.

> >> The luxury
>
> >> > tax would have been a tax on top of that.
>
> >> And to cover the loss of revenue from the income tax being removed,
> >> it is also added into that Fair Tax number.
>
> > No, not added to the FairTax number. The FairTax IS the replacement
> > to the income tax.
>
> Not if the other taxes were already 23%. You can't put ten pounds of
> crap in a five pound bag.
>

See above.

> >> >> >> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>
> >> >> >> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the
> >> >> >> > income tax as the method of funding government. If you fully
> >> >> >> > understand the FairTax
> >> >> >> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>
> >> >> >> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that
> >> >> >> sales tax from certain items, like food, housing, public
> >> >> >> transportation, gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor
> >> >> >> paying a much larger percentage of their income on those taxes
> >> >> >> than the wealthy.
>
> >> >> > Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First,
> >> >> > peopl
> > e
> >> >> > pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level.

>
> >> >> Which means that someone, somewhere needs to know your income.
>
> >> >> Every household
>
> >> > No, they just need to know how many people are in your household.
> >> > That determines the prebate, not one's income.
>
> >> How do you you receive that prebate? Do you get a check every month?
>
> > That, or direct deposit to your bank account. The infrastructure is
> > already set to do this for any number of government programs so
> > implementation is not difficult. Well, we are talking government here
> > so….
>
> OK
>
> >> >> > receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential
> >> >> > goods and services.
>
> >> >> I looked at the prebate schedule. Where in there does income come
> >> >> into it for that poverty level?
>
> >> > It doesn't. Nor does it need to. It only needs to figure what the
> >> > cost of essential goods and services are for a family of X number
> >> > of people. A family of four that makes $100,000 requires the same
> >> > essential goods and services as a family of four that makes
> >> > $50,000.
>
> >> And how is that prebate received?
>
> > See above.
>
> >> >>From what I see, it is based on number of
> >> >> adults and number of dependents.
>
> >> > Correct, that's all that is needed.
>
> >> >> Second, per my example an item that costs $100 today> still costs
> >> >> $100
> >> > under the FairTax.
>
> >> >> If that's regressive then sign me
>
> >> >> > up.
> >> >> > The poor are always going to pay a larger percentage of their
> >> >> > income on everything. No tax system is going to change that.
> >> >> > Isn't that what the bulk of this thread is about?
>
> >> >> Not on a flat tax like I proposed. The difference is slight,

> >> >> depending on your income, but it is there.
>
> >> > Not sure I follow. If taxpayer A makes less than taxpayer B,
> >> > assumin
> > g
> >> > both buy the exact same thing then taxpayer A is always going to
> >> > pay more of a percentage of their income for buying something.
>
> >> My flat income tax proposal is on income not goods.
>
> > And under that system you are taxed on what you earn AND what you
> > spend. Under the FairTax you are taxed ONLY on what you spend.
>
> Wrong. Under the flat tax system, you are taxed separately on what you
> earn and what you spend. With Fair Tax, you are taxed on what everyone
> earns and the product costs but it is all in one tax in lieu of being
> separate.
>

Uh… okay. Mince words if you want. The reality is under a flat tax
you are still paying twice. And, you are paying 23% in embedded
wasted costs. Under the FairTax you are paying once. What I'm
hearing you say is that you would still rather be taxed two separate
times as well as having your income taken from you when the government
wants it as opposed to when you want to give it to them, continue to
comply with a messy tax code and on and on and on. If that's what you
want then there will never be any convincing you otherwise.

> >> >> >> >> The FairTax is a replacement
>
> >> >> >> >> > for the income tax.
>
> >> >> >> >> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring income
> >> >> >> >> tax.
>
> >> >> >> > Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The FairTax
> >> >> >> > i
> > s
> >> >> >> > better because a flat tax still involves taxing income which
> >> >> >> > then leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the 16th
> >> >> >> > amendment in place as well as the IRS, and I can go on and on
> >> >> >> > about the pitfalls of our current tax system.
>
> >> >> >> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If
> >> >> >> properl
> > y
> >> >> >> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty
> >> >> >> level wages for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE
> >> >> >> deduction, or exemption if
> >> >> >> you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a postcard.
>

> >> >> > Under the FairTax you don't have to worry about deductions or
> >> >> > exemptions. You don't even have to do your taxes on a postcard
> >> >> > because there is nothing to do. April 15 would be just another
> >> >> > beautiful spring day.
>
> >> >> > Here's the problem with the flat tax, it retains the invasive
> >> >> > income tax administration apparatus and can easily revert to a
> >> >> > graduated, convoluted mess, as it has many times over many
> >> >> > years.
>
> >> >> And your fair tax needs to know number of adults in the household
> >> >> along with number of dependents.
>
> >> > Correct. Again as it should. That's how the prebate is determined
> > .
>
> >> And how is that prebate handled? There is really nothing in the
> >> propos
> > al
> >> that indicates that.
>
> > From the FAQ: All valid Social Security cardholders who are U.S.
> > residents receive a monthly prebate equivalent to the FairTax paid on
> > essential goods and services, also known as the poverty level
> > expenditures. The prebate is paid in advance, in equal installments
> > each month.
>
> Read that. I was asking how and you seem to have answered that earlier
> when you said it could be in the form of a check or a deposit to one's
> account.
>
> The size of the prebate is determined by the Department of
>
> > Health & Human Services’ poverty level guideline multiplied by the tax
> > rate. This is a well-accepted, long-used poverty-level calculation
> > that includes food, clothing, shelter, transportation, medical care,
> > etc.
>
> Yes, I know what is in it.
>
> > Sent via check or direct deposit.
>
> You answered that earlier. My point was that the FAQ doesn't say that.
> It says you get it but not how.
>

The web site doesn't, the law does.

The Fair Tax Act of 2005, Part XIX

`SEC. 304. REBATE MECHANISM.
...

`(c) When Rebates Mailed- Rebates shall be mailed on or before the
first business day of the month for which the rebate is being
provided.

`(d) Smartcards and Direct Electronic Deposit Permissible- The Social
Security Administration may provide rebates in the form of smartcards
that carry cash balances in their memory for use in making purchases
at retail establishments or by direct electronic deposit.

So each registered family (or family of one perhaps) will get their
rebate checks in the mail, via direct deposit, or via a smartcard/
debit card account arrangement. I would probably use direct deposit
myself. Paper checks are slow, and I think a separate debit card from
my regular check card is not very convenient (just another card to
have to safeguard and another account to watch).

> > Oh, and with regard to the first paragraph if you aren’t legal you
> > don’t get the prebate, but you still pay the tax. Think illegals.
>
> I already figured that out.
>
> > Or, how about the drug dealer who pays no income tax at all on his
> > “earnings”. The government currently get no, zero, nada, zilch,
> > income tax from him. But, does Joe Criminal buy nice cars, clothes,
> > electronics, houses, etc? Guess what? Now he’s paying the FairTax on
> > that. How about the tourist who comes to the US for a pleasure trip?
> > Does the government get any income tax from them? Nope. Do they buy
> > a lot of goodies while here? Yup. Get the picture now?
>
> I already had the picture. I was asking details.
>
> >> >>There is also nothing there that prevents it
> >> >> from becoming another convoluted mess. Congress can fuck up a
> >> >> bowling ball.
>
> >> > Yes, congress can fuck up a bowling ball. In fact, the first
> >> > implementation of our current tax system was just a handful of
> >> > progressive tax brackets (several flat taxes if you will),
>
> >> Prograssive tax brackets do not a flat tax make.
>
> > No they don’t, but my point still stands. Look what has happened to
> > those brackets since 1913.
>
> And with Congress, there is nothing stopping that from happening again in
> one form or another with the Fair Tax.
>

I agree, but as I described it's much harder to do than with an income
tax.

> >> with no
>
> >> > exemptions, no deductions, etc. And look what happened. There is
> > no
> >> > reason to believe a flat tax would wind up going back to the
> >> > convoluted mess we have now.
>
> >> I think you meant to say "wouldn't". Anyway, there is no reason to
> >> believe that a Fair Tax wouldn't either.
>
> > Yes, you are correct. Agreed, but the reasons I laid out make it far
> > harder to do than the current tax code or even the flat tax which
> > still would have all the nonsense that goes along with our current
> > code.
>
> Why would it be harder? All Congress has to do is to modify the code.
>

Really? That's all they have to do? You make it sound so easy. As I already said everybody…I repeat…everybody pays this tax. No exemptions, no deductions. Everyone pays it. Now, you tell me what politician in his right mind is going to risk election or re-election by raising the rate. If they do they are a one term rep or senator. I'm not saying it's impossible, but it is much harder.

Take the current state of affairs. Obama and the leftwing redistributionists are all in favor of raising taxes on the so called rich. They are at no real risk of trying to do that because a) the "rich" are a small percentage of the voting base that actually pay fed income taxes; and b) their (Obama and the rest of the left) voting base is predominantly a group that pays little to no fed income tax. So, if you are a voter asked to vote for someone who will raise taxes on someone else who the hell are you going to vote for?!?!? Conversely, if that same politician says they are going to raise taxes on YOU who do you think they are going to vote for.

> >> Plus, you would still have a tax code,
>
> >> > the IRS, the 16th Amendment, compliance costs, and on and on and
> >> > on. Under the FairTax the tax code – gone, IRS – gone, 16th
> >> > Amendment
> > –
> >> > gone, compliance costs – gone.
>
> >> > That said, congress can raise the FairTax rate just as it could
> >> > raise the flat tax rate or can and does raise the income tax rate.
> >> > The current income tax is effectively hidden.
>
> >> So are the costs contained in the Fair Tax. I saw no provision for
> >> showing them.
>
> > The costs contained in the FariTax are just a replacement for income

> > taxes. That’s the whole point.
>
> I don't think you get the whole point. At least not in your examples.
> You cannot subract a percentage from a cost, add things to it and put it
> back in place at the same percentage.
>
> >> It's just taken every
>
> >> > paycheck and I bet 99% of workers don't even know how much is being
> >> > taken out every week. Out of sight out of mind.
>
> >> That would effectively be the same with the Fair Tax. You would hav
> > e it
> >> taken out on every purchase but no indication of what all was in it
> >> in what amounts.
>
> > The receipt would have a line item that states “FairTax: 23%” with the
> > applicable dollar amount. Better yet, you only have to look at that
> > line item when you make a purchase. And, you only have to look at
> > that line item when you purchase a new item. Buy a used car? No
> > FairTax. Used bike? No FairTax.
>
> One reason is that most used stuff is purchased directly from the seller.
> There is no one who in the middle to act as a collection point for that
> tax. Not a lot of used stuff is taxed on sale in the current market.
>

Used stuff is not taxed under the FairTax because it has already been
taxed once already. It's unfair to tax it again. The tax collector
for new stuff is the same entity that it is now, the seller.

> >> They just accept
>
> >> > that government takes it.
>
> >> Same with your sales tax.
>
> > Sure, we’re all hostage to what the government shovels on us. But,
> > again, you pay income tax no matter what. You have no choice. With
> > the FairTax you have a choice.
>
> Not if you wish to purchase anything in other than the used market.
>

You have the choice to purchase. It's only then that you pay the
tax. Wouldn't you rather have that choice than no choice to be taxed
on your income? I mean, really, I just don't get the line of
reasoning otherwise.

> And, to the extent that you need to
>

> > buy necessities of life you get the prebate.
>
> But still pay the tax on those items at time of purchase.
>

So? That's the purpose of the prebate. You effectively pay no sales
tax on necessities.

> >> Purposely designed that way by government.
>
> >> > The FairTax is highly visible (displayed on your receipt) and there
> >> > is only one tax rate.
>
> >> That isn't the problem. Taxpayers DO know what is in their income
> >> tax.
> >
>
> > I couldn’t disagree more. Go ahead and ask the next person you see
> > that you know how much was withheld from their last paycheck. Bet
> > they don’t know.
>
> Bet they do when they fill out their taxes. Those who use CPAs are smart
> enough to have a good idea what is in the taxes and those who don't,
> wouldn't know anyway.
>

You just described another reason for the FairTax. Actually two
reasons. First, under the current, or flat, tax you still have to
file. Not so with the FairTax. Then those who use CPA's have to…well…
use CPA's to figure out the tax burden. Wouldn't you rather a) not
have to file anything; and b) spend the money you just spent on a CPA
on something else ore productive…like a good round of golf on April 15
instead of working on your taxes :-)

> >> They do not know what portion of that Fair Tax is the replacement for
> >> income tax, what portion is corporate taxes, what portion is
> >> government taxes for whatever purpose when Congress changes the
> >> percentage of the Fair Tax.
>
> > So what? What they do know is that the FairTax replaces the income
> > tax. They no longer have to file. They no longer have to keep
> > records, see accountants, worry about deductions, exemptions, audits
> > and so on. Instead, all they do is buy a product and that’s it.
>
> > Changing that will be harder for congress to do.
>
> >> > Why? Because the FairTax affects EVERYBODY. The income tax does
> >> > not. Right now, almost 50% of workers pay no federal income tax.
>
> >> The only folks who would pay no federal income tax under my proposal
> >> would be those who income was below the federally declared poverty

> >> line for a family of four and EVERYBODY gets that one and only
> >> deduction.
>
> > Fine. You still have in place the 16th Amendment, the IRS,
> > compliance, record keeping, accountants, fear of audits. Then you
> > have people that pay no income taxes, as I already mentioned, such as
> > criminals, tourists, illegals, those paid in cash.
>
> And with the fair tax, you have the used market, the under the table
> market and swapping.
>

And that's not happening now? How about the criminals who deal in
such underground markets? What are they paying in income taxes now?
But, do they still buy goods and services?

> >> > It's easy for them to say raise taxes on the top 50% that actually
> >> > pay.
>
> >> No, it isn't or Obama would have done it in lieu of extending the
> >> Bush taxcuts.
>
> > Have you seen the most recent tax stats?
>
> Are you insane? Who do you think has been posting the numbers in here?
>

Well, actually, I did in one of my responses to John Smith.

> Nearly 50% of wage earners
>
> > pay nothing in federal income taxes. That’s the highest it has ever
> > been since the implementation of the income tax.
>
> The actual percentage, just for your input, is 45%. The bottom 50% pays
> just under 3%.
>

I know what the percentage is which is why I said "nearly". If you
want to get in a pissing contest over 2.7% that's fine, but my point
still stands and is correct.

> This class warfare
>
> > thing is in all out mode…and it’s working.
>
> Yep......but you will always have that with Democrats. When they get
> into power, they will mess around with your Fair Tax also.
>

As I repeatedly said, let them try it. I recall some dumbass who once
ran for president who said "if elected I will raise your taxes".

How'd that one work out for him?

> >> Raising the FairTax means raising it on them too. Good luck to
>
> >> > any politician trying that.
>
> >> As does raising the income tax percentages or do you think
> >> politicians make less than the poverty level? ;)
>
> > No, but I say again, you still have in place the 16th Amendment, the
> > IRS, compliance, record keeping, accountants, fear of audits. Then
> > you have people that pay no income taxes, as I already mentioned, such
> > as criminals, tourists, illegals, those paid in cash.
>
> >> >> In addition, a
>
> >> >> > large part of the burden of the flat tax -- the business tax --
> >> >> > will remain hidden from people in the retail price of goods and
> >> >> > services.
>
> >> >> This is an interesting point since there are supposedly
> >> >> intelligent folks in this newsgroup that don't understand that all
> >> >> businesses end up passing all their costs to the consumer in the
> >> >> price of the product or service. If they don't, after awhile they
> >> >> go under.
>
> >> >> > Under a flat tax, individuals would still file an income tax
> >> >> > return each year. Postcard or not, it's still a return. While
> >> >> > this is a simple postcard, the record keeping requirement is
> >> >> > still there. Under the FairTax, individuals never file a tax
> >> >> > return again, ever!
>
> >> >> Federally, that could be true, however, when looking at state and
> >> >> local taxes, it is bullshit.
>
> >> > Not could be…would be. There would be no federal filing.
>
> >> Which isn't done with state and local taxes anyway. They currently
> >> get used as a deduction on federal income tax, but even though there
> >> is no federal income tax, they still need to do state taxes. All
> >> they have saved is entering a number.
>
> > But, they are still filing federal forms and worrying about
> > deductions. Why bother doing that when all you have to do is…
> > well….nothing! Well, except buy a good or service. And even then you
> > don’t have to file anything and no concerns about deductions.
>
> >> But, to
>
> >> > your larger point, the FairTax is a replacement to the federal

> >> > income tax, not state income taxes.
>
> >> Which is what I said.
>
> >> Federal taxes are what is at issue
>
> >> > here. So, what would you rather do on 4/15? File federal, state a
> > nd
> >> > local tax forms; or just a state and local?
>
> >> When I do my federal taxes, TurboTax, for example, also does my state
> >> taxes. The extra time for the state tax is about 5 minutes.
>
> > Thanks for making another case for the FairTax. You said "When you do
> > your federal taxes". How about implement the FairTax and not do them
> > at all? I know I'd rather just have to worry about doing my state
> > and local taxes.
>
> I wish to control my taxes as much as I can. Don't you?
>

Do you control the government taking money out of your check every
other week? Do you control the fact that you have to file on 4/15?

As for me here's how I would utilize my control. If I don't want a
good or service I won't buy it. There's my control. That's all I
have to worry about. And, I can do what many people have done and
move to a no income tax state like FL and the like.

> > How much did TurboTax cost you? $50, $60 maybe? Wouldn’t it have
> > been nice to spend that $$$ on something else rather than complying
> > with the federal tax code?
>
> I wasn't worried about complying with the federal tax code. I was simply
> interested in paying my share of the tax burden, but no more than that.
>

Maybe you weren't but many companies are which is a big reason why
there is an embedded 23% cost in every item you buy. Still, the end
effect is still the same. You spent $X on a tax program or CPA. You
can pay your "fair share", whatever that means, when you buy goods and
services. If you aren't sure what fair share is and just want to be
sure then you can do more by buying more goods and services. Not only
do you fund the federal government, but you also help the economy.

> >> >> Under
>
> >> >> > the flat tax, the payroll tax would be retained and income tax
> >> >> > withholding would still be with us.
>
> >> >> Yep.
>

> >> >> > Under the FairTax, the payroll
> >> >> > tax, which is a larger and more regressive tax burden for most
> >> >> > Americans than is the income tax, is repealed.
>
> >> >> No, actually, it isn't. It is simply placed in the Fair Tax.
>
> >> > And once the FairTax is implemented none of that is withheld from
> >> > your paycheck.
>
> >> My point was that it was still there. You just don't see it or
> >> really know how much it is.
>
> > It is still there because the FairTax replaces it. We're not talking
> > about doing away with government collecting revenue. We're talking
> > about the mechanism for how it is collected. This is so much simpler
> > than the current system or even a one size fits all flat tax.
>
> Yep, but you need to take a closer look at how you present the figures or
> learn more about them. You cannot subtract x from y, add z to x and have
> x be the same amount as it was before.
>
> >> With the exception of state and/or local withholding you
>
> >> > keep 100% of your check. So, the payroll tax that is now
> >> > effectively incorporated into the FairTax is paid by you only when
> >> > you buy a new good or service. It's not automatically withheld
> >> > from your pay. Y
> > OU
> >> > decide when to pay it. Not the government. So, where's the downsi
> > de
> >> > to that?
>
> >> Knwing what is in it and how much each entity is. For example,
> >> assume your percentage of 23%. Now, certain corporate taxes get
> >> changed. Y
> > our
> >> Fair Tax rate has to change to cover that. So now, this year it is
> >> 24.5%.
> >
> >> How does the consumer know which changed.....the income tax portion,
> >> the corporate portion, the FICA portion, the whatever portion?
>
> > I've already talked about changing the rate and how easy (or not so)
> > that would be. Do you really think people care what has changed?
>
> Many will.
>

I suspect many more will care that they no longer have to file federal
taxes and keep their entire check (save state and local) while still

paying the exact same for items they buy every day.

> > What they care is that an item that costs $100 under the current
> > system still costs $100 under the new system.
>
> No, it won't. Stop and think about why.
>

I have. That's why I (backed by $22M of research) like the FairTax.

> And if they buy it
>
> > used, they don’t even care.
>
> >> >> Under the FairTax, what
>
> >> >> > you earn is what you keep. No more withholding taxes; no more
> >> >> > income tax.
>
> >> >> Just more taxes on the point of sale while all taxes from state
> >> >> and local governments remains intact.
>
> >> > You are not accounting for the removal of the 23% built in costs
> >> > that YOU ARE ALREADY PAYING on every good and service that you buy
> >> > (that government doesn't even get, by the way – just wasted
> >> > dollars).
>
> >> Yes, I am and it isn't 23% or the Fair Tax could not be 23% and cover
> >> all those costs plus the amount currently from income taxes or FICA.
> >>
>
> >> FWIW, all costs of doing business are placed in the price of the
> >> product or service that is produced. Anyone who doesn't understand
> >> that won't understand either your Fair Tax or my flat income tax
> >> proposal.
>
> > The 23% does account for it. This tax plan is the most widely
> > researched tax plan in the history of the planet.
>
> The 23% may account for it, but then it couldn't have been 23% when it
> was first deducted.
>
> Economists and
>
> > businessmen smarter than me have examined it inside and out. The 23%
> > figure is the figure arrived at the make current government receipts
> > revenue neutral.
>
> >> When
>
> >> > those built in costs go away you are back to the same price.

>
> >> Not really. You have added additional taxes to that proposal in the
> >> fo
> > rm
> >> on income tax replacement and FICA and federal sales taxes which were
> >> part of certain purchases.
>
> > Yeah, really. What has been added replaces the compliance costs that
> > go away. On average, it’s a wash.
>
> Are you trying to tell us that the compliance costs are the same as the
> entire income tax revenue? That would be interesting since about 45% of
> that federal revenue is individual income tax, 36% is payroll taxes, 12%
> is corporate taxes (which you did put into your Fair Tax number), 3%
> excise taxes and 4% from other.
>

I'm just telling you what the research says.

> >> See my
>
> >> > previous example.
> >> >> >> >> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>
> >> >> >> >> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>
> >> >> >> >> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many
> >> >> >> >> adjustments so that it does not become regressive.
>
> >> >> >> > I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is regressive?
>
> >> >> snip......
>
> >> >> >> Same taxpayer......buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays $123
> >> >> >> for them.
>
> >> >> > Stop right there. That's incorrect. Under the FairTax the $100
> > of
> >> >> > groceries will still cost $100. There's no need to even go any
> >> >> > further with your example.
>
> >> >> I was speaking of the actual worth of the product. Yes, there are
> >> >> business taxes, etc.. in there but one cannot generate a new tax
> >> >> without adding to what is already there. So a product which today
> >> >> costs $100 plus city and state sales taxes will now cost the
> >> >> difference between the 23% sales tax and the old taxes on the
> >> >> product plus city and state sales taxes. What you have done is
> >> >> taken the taxes previously included the product price and moved
> >> >> them into your Fair Tax in addition to the hit on that tax
> >> >> replacing federal income taxes and FICA.
>

> >> > Nope. The item that costs $100 today will still cost $100. Here's
> >> > why. The built in compliance costs are, on average, 23%.
>
> >> Then where did you put the replacement for the income tax? It has to
> >> b
> > e
> >> there or the feds are missing a major, major part of their revenue.
>
> > As I said, the income tax replaces the compliance costs that go away.
> > If you don't have an income tax there is no income tax code to comply
> > with.
>
> And I say your number is wrong. Compliance costs are NOT equal to 45% of
> the entire federal budget. Now, Social Security, Medicare and Medicaid
> are about 40% and both are expected to increase in cost over the next ten
> years. Social Security by 70%. Medicare by 77% and Medicaid by 99%.
>
> Are you trying to say that compliance costs with our current system is
> equal to SS, Medicare and Medicaid? ;)
>

Okay, you say the numbers are wrong. Economic research says
otherwise. I can't convince you otherwise. You go for the flat tax
or stay with the current system. Hope April 15 treats you well as you
spend money trying to figure out your tax bill.

> >> Take that
>
> >> > away and your $100 now costs $77 (which already include the state
> >> > and city taxes you mention). Replace those compliance costs with
> >> > the FairTax and you are back to $100.
>
> >> See above.
>
> >> >> Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of
> >> >> groceries...pays
> >> >>
> >> >> $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger percentage of their
> >> >> incom
> >> > e
> >> >> o
> >> > n
> >> >> a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we will not pay that tax on
> >> >> groceries....oooops, you just generated an exception.
>
> >> >> > Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any
> >> >> > other questions you might have:
>
> >> >> > 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back. Pay
> >> >> > particular attention to the FAQ.
>

> >> >> I have.
>
> >> > mmmmmmm okay....
>
> >> >> >> > 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>
> >> >> Why? If they can't explain it on their website..........
>
> >> > Boortz and Linder didn't create the web site. They are advocates
> >> > of the FairTax and have their own writing on this. You can fit a
> >> > whole lot more into a book than you can a website. You really need
> >> > to read the book. You will not regret it.
>
> >> >> >> > 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the
> >> >> >> > Critics"
>
> >> >> >> > It will all become crystal clear.
>
> >> >> >> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around for
> >> >> >> years.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the current
> >> >> >> system. Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to soak the
> >> >> >> rich as poor poeple would never buy luxury taxed items. How
> >> >> >> did that work out?
>
> >> >> > You may be familiar with sales tax schemes, but it's clear you
> >> >> > aren't familiar with the FairTax. Instead of speculating as you
> >> >> > have done above why not go visit the site and base your
> >> >> > criticisms on the plan itself? You will find that many of the
> >> >> > things you raised above are answered there.
>
> >> >> Been there, read it.
>
> >> > Not all of it then because many of the questions you asked that I'm
> >> > replying to come right from the web site.
>
> >> >> > Look, I'm with you that a flat tax would be better than the
> >> >> > current system. Problem is that it, as opposed to something
> >> >> > like the FairTax
> >> > ,
> >> >> > leaves itself open to far more manipulation than the FairTax.
> >> >> > The ta
> >> > x
> >> >> > code itself is evidence of just that.
>
> >> >> Are you trying to say that Congress cannot fuck with the Fair Tax
> >> >> as much as they can fuck with a flat tax? I don't think so.
>
> >> > That's exactly what I'm saying and I explained why above.

>
> >> LOL!!
>
> > Laugh if you will. I see that you won't be convinced.
>
> I simply don't feel that you can subtract product costs with taxes, add
> income tax revenue to that, put the product costs back in and have the
> same number. If you know how to do it, let me know.
>

Fair enough. You're a skeptic and I appreciate that. But, the
research is on the website and in the book. At least you are willing
to debate this reasonably. I really do hope you read the book and
learn about it because there are things there that the web site or I
can't do justice to. As for myself, I am not against a flat tax. I
think it would be better than our current convoluted system. I just
happen to think there is a better system. It will be tough going
because repealing the 16th would be the single most largest transfer
of power from government to the people in the history of this
country. The tax code is nothing but a social engineering and vote
buying tool. That power is not easily given up. Then again, a couple
hundred years ago no one really gave a democratic republic much of a
chance either.

> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

3356. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 13:00:05 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <c0d226e9-3004-49e2-9639-3e69e3cb45ec@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231283
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 26, 8:03 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-93cd47cf929f@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 1:05 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@
> >> > y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
> >> >> >> > revenue from the income tax.
>
> >> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>
> >> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when
> >> >> > the
> >> > y
> >> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
> >> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>
> >> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old luxury
> >> >> tax.
>
> >> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs that
> >> > are already built in to every product and service you buy.
>
> >> Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same revenue source as
> >> the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue source from
> >> income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the 'before' costs added

> >> to the price of purchase.
>
> > No it doesn’t need to be added. It’s already part of what you are
> > paying anyway. Here’s a very simplified example:
>
> > Product costs $100, broken down as follows:
>
> > Under current system
> > - wholesale = $50
> > - compliance costs = $23
> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> > - Grand total = $100
>
> > Under the FairTax
> > - wholesale = $50
> > - compliance costs = - $23
> > - FairTax = $23
> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> > - Grand total = $100
>
> Sorry, but how can you totally eliminate compliance costs, since there would
> still be costs to complying with the FairTax as well as the sales and other
> taxes.
>
> As such simply saying it's not going to cost anything to comply with the tax
> laws is an utterly false assumption.
>
> Indeed since NOTHING else has changed the compliance costs would, at
> minimum, stay the same, and given that the need to comply with the FairTax
> would require some expense, the compliance cost would likely increase.
>
> So in reality, what would happen would be more like:
>
> Under the FairTax
> - wholesale = $50
> - compliance costs = $26
> - FairTax = $23
> - sales and other taxes = $27
> - Grand total = $126

Let me ask you a real simple question. What cost do you incur to pay
a sales tax at the point of purchase?

3357. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 13:01:23 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <262e457f-e4df-4e5c-874e-fca75b2a99b7@v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231284
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 26, 8:32 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:ee4c4601-f8a6-4127-bb9d-2570ee2ec12a@g12g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 25, 6:54 pm, "Scout"
> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >>news:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> > On May 25, 3:18 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> innews:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> >> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
> >> >> > s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> >> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
> >> >> >> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
> >> >> >> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com>

> >> >> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> ...
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
> >> >> >> >> >> >> innuendo you
> >> >> >> >> >> keep
> >> >> >> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not paying
> >> >> >> >> >> >> 42% of all
> >> >> >> >> >> of
> >> >> >> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are not
> >> >> >> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens they
> >> >> >> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
> >> >> >> >> >> fair
> >> >> >> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> Regards,
> >> >> >> >> >> >> JS
>
> >> >> >> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> >> >> >> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
> >> >> >> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>
> >> >> >> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>
> >> >> >> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>
> >> >> >> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>
> >> >> >> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>
> >> >> >> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>
> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its revenue
> >> >> > from the income tax.
>
> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>
> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when they
> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>
> >> >> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>
> >> >> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income tax
> >> >> > as

> >> >> > the method of funding government. If you fully understand the
> >> >> > FairTax
> >> >> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>
> >> >> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales tax
> >> >> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
> >> >> gasoline,
> >> >> etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger percentage of
> >> >> their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>
> >> > Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First, people
> >> > pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level. Every household
> >> > receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential goods
> >> > and services.
>
> >> How exactly do you determine what are "essential goods and services"
> >> never
> >> mind how much such "essential goods and services" a particular household
> >> requires?
>
> > The Department of Health & Human Services' poverty level guidelines
> > tel us that. This is a well-accepted, long-used poverty-level
> > calculation that includes food, clothing, shelter, transportation,
> > medical care, etc.
>
> Keyword "GUIDELINES"
>
> You do know what a guideline is, right?

and your point is????

3358. Re: WWCR "The Power Hour" on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 13:03:00 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d42cbd4c-dcf1-485e-acb2-a479585094d1@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231285

Yep - It That Time Again for "The Power Hour"
-speak-truth-to-power- -speak-power-to-truth-
-the-power-of-truth- -an-inconvenient-truth-
-the-other-half-of-the-news-the-whole-truth-
.
Joyce is talking about Corporate "Mass"
Media /S/L/A/N/T/I/N/G/ -aka- "Propaganda"
* Media Discrediting Tactics
* Media 'Lies'
* Selecting & Promoting 'The Story'
* Not Selecting a 'Story' & Making
a 'Story' a Non-News Item/Issue
* Attacking & Discrediting 'The Messenger'
etc... etc... etc... etc... etc...
.
Joyce interviews Massimo Mazzucco [Film
Maker -wrt- "The New American Century"
http://www.thepowerhour.com/schedule.htm
.
WWCR "The Power Hour" on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC
Week-Days : Monday through Friday [M-F]
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/bd795217b5a884f9
-also-simulcast- 13,845 kHz @ 1200 UTC M-F
-over-night-replay- 4840 kHz @ 0700 UTC M-F
.
.
On May 26, 6:15 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Yes Once Again . . .
> It's Time for "The Power Hour" with Joyce Rileyhttp://www.thepowerhour.com/schedule.htm
>
> 7490 kHz - S-Meter : S8~S9 with SIO 454 and good audio
> 13,845 kHz - S-Meter : S3~S7 with SIO 333 and fair audio
>
> -lead-off-topic- Tornado Preparedness : GET READY !
> via WWCR on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC
>
> The Topic for the 3rd Hour is US "Hurricane Season"
> starts on 1 June 2011 -guest- Adam Lock
> http://www.thepowerhour.com/schedule.htm
> NOTE : Next Week is "Hurricane Preparedness Week"
> .
> WWCR on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC
> "The Power Hour" with Joyce Riley
> http://www.thepowerhour.com/http://www.gcnlive.com/programs/powerHour/

> .
> It's Time for "The Power Hour" @ 1200~1500 UTC
> -via- WWCR Shortwave Radio on 7490 & 13,845 kHz
> http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> Note that 1200~1500 UTC -is- 5~8AM Pacific &
> 6~9AM Mountain & 7~10AM Central & 8~11AM Eastern
> .
> Hello -remember- It's Radio... So Just Listen and Enjoy :o)
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver & ^Antenna^Info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports :
> http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
> http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

3359. Re: What the world thinks of Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 13:50:36 UTC
From: SaPeIsMa <SapeIsMa@Hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <VoKdnbEIouOQMELQnZ2dnUVZ_qAAAAAA@bright.net>
Source record: 231286
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:3a878985-286c-4328-a572-126703caaf1f@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com...
On May 25, 4:35 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/25/2011 4:29 PM, William Clark wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > In article<MPG.2846b4b2b7dc9e8398b...@news.giganews.com>,
> > BAR<sc...@you.com> wrote:
>
> >> In article<619d222b-5016-4aac-a8df-daa990e28c15
> >> @z19g2000yqz.googlegroups.com>, johnb...@gmail.com says...
>
> >>> On May 24, 8:59 am, Moderate<nos...@nomail.com> wrote:
> >>>> W^3<82nd...@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>>>> In article<93vp1bF54...@mid.individual.net>,
> >>>>> "MNMikew"<MNMiik...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >>>>>> Obama makes Sara Palin look like Henry Kissinger.
>
> >>>>> A war criminal?
>
> >>>> I thought Obama was the war criminal. You know, invading countries in
> >>>> violation of the Constitution and killing civilians.
>
> >>> Gosh, and all this time I thought it was Bush who invaded Iraq and
> >>> Afghanistan.
>
> >> Lybia
>
> > Would that be geographically related to Libya, by any chance?
>
> > Just curious :-)
>
> http://www.infowars.com/obama-vows-to-continue-bombing-libya/
>
> The criminal attacks on the population of Libya continues ... obama

> expands the war crimes of bush.
>
> Regards,
> JS
#
BHO = GWB II
#
Bush is Obama & Obama is Bush :
War Mongers -aka- Wars-for-Oil
#
Bush is Obama & Obama is Bush :
War-on-Terror -aka- Crusade Against Islam
#
and that is how the world sees obama ~ RHF
.

Dang...
Whatever happened to that hopey-changey thing from Obambi ?
.

3360. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 14:09:28 UTC
From: SaPeIsMa <SapeIsMa@Hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <gbSdnRNoNf3jLELQnZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@bright.net>
Source record: 231287
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:c0d226e9-3004-49e2-9639-3e69e3cb45ec@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
On May 26, 8:03 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-93cd47cf929f@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 1:05 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@
> >> > y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
> >> >> >> > revenue from the income tax.
>
> >> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>
> >> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when
> >> >> > the
> >> > y
> >> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
> >> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>
> >> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old luxury
> >> >> tax.
>
> >> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs that
> >> > are already built in to every product and service you buy.
>
> >> Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same revenue source

> >> as
> >> the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue source from
> >> income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the 'before' costs
> >> added
> >> to the price of purchase.
>
> > No it doesnï¿½t need to be added. Itï¿½s already part of what you are
> > paying anyway. Hereï¿½s a very simplified example:
>
> > Product costs $100, broken down as follows:
>
> > Under current system
> > - wholesale = $50
> > - compliance costs = $23
> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> > - Grand total = $100
>
> > Under the FairTax
> > - wholesale = $50
> > - compliance costs = - $23
> > - FairTax = $23
> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> > - Grand total = $100
>
> Sorry, but how can you totally eliminate compliance costs, since there
> would
> still be costs to complying with the FairTax as well as the sales and
> other
> taxes.
>
> As such simply saying it's not going to cost anything to comply with the
> tax
> laws is an utterly false assumption.
>
> Indeed since NOTHING else has changed the compliance costs would, at
> minimum, stay the same, and given that the need to comply with the FairTax
> would require some expense, the compliance cost would likely increase.
>
> So in reality, what would happen would be more like:
>
> Under the FairTax
> - wholesale = $50
> - compliance costs = $26
> - FairTax = $23
> - sales and other taxes = $27
> - Grand total = $126
#
Let me ask you a real simple question. What cost do you incur to pay
a sales tax at the point of purchase?

The buyer ?
The buyer is paying for the cost which is built into the sale price
The seller ?
The seller has the cost of managing and reporting the taxes that were
captured at the point of sale.
The government ?
The government has the cost of processing and verifying the reports from
the sellers. As well as running investigations/prosecutions where it's
suspected that the sellers are not reporting/paying all the captured taxes.

3361. Re: What the world thinks of Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 14:13:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DDFB1A3-11252@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231288

Benjamin Netanyahu
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=308213
cuhulin

3362. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 14:31:46 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ef9eff13-5301-4e82-b5c0-66f67997c9d6@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231289
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 27, 10:09 am, "SaPeIsMa" <SapeI...@Hotmail.com> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:c0d226e9-3004-49e2-9639-3e69e3cb45ec@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
> On May 26, 8:03 pm, "Scout"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-93cd47cf929f@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > On May 26, 1:05 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> > >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> > >> innews:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> > >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> > >> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@
> > >> > y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
> > >> >> >> > revenue from the income tax.
>
> > >> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>
> > >> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when
> > >> >> > the
> > >> > y
> > >> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
> > >> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>
> > >> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old luxury
> > >> >> tax.
>
> > >> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs that
> > >> > are already built in to every product and service you buy.
>

> > >> Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same revenue source
> > >> as
> > >> the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue source from
> > >> income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the 'before' costs
> > >> added
> > >> to the price of purchase.
>
> > > No it doesn’t need to be added. It’s already part of what you are
> > > paying anyway. Here’s a very simplified example:
>
> > > Product costs $100, broken down as follows:
>
> > > Under current system
> > > - wholesale = $50
> > > - compliance costs = $23
> > > - sales and other taxes = $27
> > > - Grand total = $100
>
> > > Under the FairTax
> > > - wholesale = $50
> > > - compliance costs = - $23
> > > - FairTax = $23
> > > - sales and other taxes = $27
> > > - Grand total = $100
>
> > Sorry, but how can you totally eliminate compliance costs, since there
> > would
> > still be costs to complying with the FairTax as well as the sales and
> > other
> > taxes.
>
> > As such simply saying it's not going to cost anything to comply with the
> > tax
> > laws is an utterly false assumption.
>
> > Indeed since NOTHING else has changed the compliance costs would, at
> > minimum, stay the same, and given that the need to comply with the FairTax
> > would require some expense, the compliance cost would likely increase.
>
> > So in reality, what would happen would be more like:
>
> > Under the FairTax
> > - wholesale = $50
> > - compliance costs = $26
> > - FairTax = $23
> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> > - Grand total = $126
>
> #
> # Let me ask you a real simple question. What cost do you incur to pay

> # a sales tax at the point of purchase?
>
> The buyer ?
> The buyer is paying for the cost which is built into the sale price

There's no compliance cost to him with paying the sales tax. They
just pay it. Unlike the fed income tax compliance means CPAs,
TurboTax, manuals, etc.

> The seller ?
> The seller has the cost of managing and reporting the taxes that were

Oh, you mean like they already do with state sales taxes? By the way,
merchants and sellers are reimbursed for those costs. But, you knew
that already, didn't you?

> captured at the point of sale.
> The government ?
> The government has the cost of processing and verifying the reports from
> the sellers. As well as running investigations/prosecutions where it's
> suspected that the sellers are not reporting/paying all the captured taxes.

Which they already do.

3363. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 14:51:06 UTC
From: SaPeIsMa <SapeIsMa@Hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_-KdnX4vmIEJJkLQnZ2dnUVZ_jmdnZ2d@bright.net>
Source record: 231291
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ef9eff13-5301-4e82-b5c0-66f67997c9d6@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com...
On May 27, 10:09 am, "SaPeIsMa" <SapeI...@Hotmail.com> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:c0d226e9-3004-49e2-9639-3e69e3cb45ec@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
> On May 26, 8:03 pm, "Scout"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-93cd47cf929f@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > On May 26, 1:05 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> > >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> > >> innews:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> > >> > wrote:
> > >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> > >> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@
> > >> > y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
> > >> >> >> > revenue from the income tax.
>
> > >> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>
> > >> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when
> > >> >> > the
> > >> > y
> > >> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the
> > >> >> > government
> > >> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>
> > >> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old luxury
> > >> >> tax.

> > > > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs
> > > > that
> > > > are already built in to every product and service you buy.
>
> > >> Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same revenue
> > >> source
> > >> as
> > >> the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue source from
> > >> income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the 'before' costs
> > >> added
> > >> to the price of purchase.
>
> > > No it doesnï¿½t need to be added. Itï¿½s already part of what you are
> > > paying anyway. Hereï¿½s a very simplified example:
>
> > > Product costs $100, broken down as follows:
>
> > > Under current system
> > > - wholesale = $50
> > > - compliance costs = $23
> > > - sales and other taxes = $27
> > > - Grand total = $100
>
> > > Under the FairTax
> > > - wholesale = $50
> > > - compliance costs = - $23
> > > - FairTax = $23
> > > - sales and other taxes = $27
> > > - Grand total = $100
>
> > Sorry, but how can you totally eliminate compliance costs, since there
> > would
> > still be costs to complying with the FairTax as well as the sales and
> > other
> > taxes.
>
> > As such simply saying it's not going to cost anything to comply with the
> > tax
> > laws is an utterly false assumption.
>
> > Indeed since NOTHING else has changed the compliance costs would, at
> > minimum, stay the same, and given that the need to comply with the
> > FairTax
> > would require some expense, the compliance cost would likely increase.
>
> > So in reality, what would happen would be more like:
>
> > Under the FairTax
> > - wholesale = $50

> > - compliance costs = $26
> > - FairTax = $23
> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> > - Grand total = $126
>
> #
> # Let me ask you a real simple question. What cost do you incur to pay
> # a sales tax at the point of purchase?
>
> The buyer ?
> The buyer is paying for the cost which is built into the sale price
#
There's no compliance cost to him with paying the sales tax. They
just pay it. Unlike the fed income tax compliance means CPAs,
TurboTax, manuals, etc.

Since the seller has to pass on his costs to the buyer through his pricing,
it's always the buyer/end user who pays for all the costs...

> The seller ?
> The seller has the cost of managing and reporting the taxes that were
> captured at the point of sale.

#
Oh, you mean like they already do with state sales taxes? By the way,
merchants and sellers are reimbursed for those costs. But, you knew
that already, didn't you?

There is still the cost of pushing all that paper around

It's a REAL cost. And it's passed on to the customer through the pricing of
the products sold.

> The government ?
> The government has the cost of processing and verifying the reports from
> the sellers. As well as running investigations/prosecutions where it's
> suspected that the sellers are not reporting/paying all the captured
> taxes.

Which they already do.
Agreed.
The only real benefit of a VAT is that it shifts the taxation process to a
different venue.
Unfortunately governments have a tendency not to give up existing revenue
streams even when an "alternate" one is put in place.

3364. Re: CVC "La Voz" [Chile] on 17,680 kHz > 11,665 kHz @ 1200~0200 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 14:52:53 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <318b3c2c-7749-4267-953e-9807a3a9f31e@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231290

On May 27, 3:47 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 19, 6:25 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > On 5/19/2011 5:31 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> > > 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC
> > > on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> > > A lot of 'RadioOlimpio' {Program} IDs in Spanish
> > > and Latin American Place Names by Male Hosts
> > > -then- Program Change? with Female Host @ 2145 UTC
>
> > > S-Meter : S3~S6 and SIO 444 and good audio
>
> > > .
> > > .
>
> - I'd rather DX jetliners than listen to
> religious radio in a foreign language.
>
> Ah... Dave You Judge Without Listening
> -do-not-prejudge-just-listen-just-listen-
> -simply-listen-with-an-open-mind-
> -do-not-prejudge-just-listen-just-listen-
> -simply-listen-with-an-open-heart-
>
> Dave : Yes it is in a Foreign Language 'Spanish'
> -but-you-live-in-'california'-a-spanish-name-
>
> CVC "La Voz" is soft sell Christianity : Where
> the Christian Message is one integrated part
> of the over-all Programing of : News, Sports,
> Music and oh yes tailored programs for Men,
> Women and Youth with a Christian Theme.

Actually, this is correct. Whenever I've listened to CVC, it's never
been the kind of self-serving fatalistic stuff you get on, for
example, Family Radio or 1Africa or WHRI. It's always been designed
to give young people hope in their future. Try the English language
CVC BCs out of Zambia sometime and see what I mean.

Bruce Jensen

3365. Re: OK So... Who Is Bigger ? : WEWN ? -or- WYFR ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 14:53:53 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <02b0e989-a717-41fd-9563-7dc0a15c0564@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231292

On May 22, 9:05 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 21, 7:20 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 20, 1:08 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 19, 8:29 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > On May 19, 9:25 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > > > On 5/19/2011 5:31 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> > > > > > 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC
> > > > > > on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> > > > > > A lot of 'Radio Olimpio' {Program} IDs in Spanish
> > > > > > and Latin American Place Names by Male Hosts
> > > > > > -then- Program Change? with Female Host @ 2145 UTC
>
> > > > > > S-Meter : S3~S6 and SIO 444 and good audio
>
> > > > > > .
> > > > > > .
>
> > > > > I'd rather DX jetliners than listen to religious radio in a foreign
> > > > > language.
>
> > > > How about WYFR in English ? Don't you ever listen to their
> > > > broadcasts ? Especially now , since according to them : The End Is
> > > > Near ! ! !- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > Okeechobee is going bananas :-)- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> - Actually, Okeechobee might be the biggest
> - SW transmission site left in the US .
> - Something like 14 X100KW !
>

> WYFR Transmitter and Antenna Photographshttp://www.fmarc.net/WYFR.htm
> The 14 Transmitter number is what I read at
> one time and they have 11 Antenna
Arrays.http://transition.fcc.gov/ib/sand/neg/hf_web/WYFR.txt
> -roughly- a Square Mile 640 Acres
> -mostly- using 'Rhombic' Antennashttp://www.tpub.com/neets/book10/42o.htm
> .
> *82 Photos* of WYFR Transmitter Site and
> Shortwave Broadcast Antennas
Arrayshttps://picasaweb.google.com/kwinrich/WYFR#5149450014785168130
> =also=http://www.broadcastengineering.info/viewtopic.php?f=4&t=329
> .
> There are other claims that WEWN/EWTN is
> the largest 'Private' Shortwave Radio
> Broadcaster in the USA.
> -?-now-is-that-true-?-http://transition.fcc.gov/ib/sand/neg/hf_web/WEWN.txt
> Four Transmitters with 30 Antenna Arrays.
> .
> Photos of 'Field Trip' to WEWN Transmitter Sitehttp://www.panoramio.com/photo/5842721
> and Shortwave Antenna Arrayshttp://bellsouthpwp.net/n/4/n4nr/wewn/
> .
> Does "VOA" in Greenville, NC still exist ?http://www.j-hawkins.com/voanc1.shtmlhttp://an
tennablog.lbagroup.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/08/voa-site-b...
>
> Is "VOA" in Greenville, NC still eopperational ?http://www.flickr.com/photos/rocknrun/51
1660521/in/photostream/http://antennablog.lbagroup.com/voa-greenville-fifty-years-of-short
wa...
> .
> 'Proposed' VOA Greenville
Closurehttp://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/2010/02/politicans-and-press-react-t...
> .
> .- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

I thought Greenville still had some transmissions?

3366. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 15:22:04 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DDFC19C-11299@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231293

Damn WETBACKS! EFFIN MEXICANS! Stealing Railroad Tracks/Rails and stuff.(California, they ought to Bury those WETBACKS! UNDER the Railroad Tracks)

More Global Warming out West.
http://www.drudgereport.com

Long ago, people moving West in Wagon Trains, when somebody died, they would bury them under the Wagon Train Tracks.
cuhulin, Wagons HOOOO,,,,

3367. Re: What the world thinks of Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 15:39:32 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DDFC5B4-3643@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231294

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Harrison+J+Bounel

Lets me gits bak tu ah woikin, beeldin mo shelves furr mah junk.
'Building a shed over my ''Tool''.
cuhulin, Shelves R US

3368. Re: What the world thinks of Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 15:54:04 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26134-4DDFC91C-988@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231295

Y'all tighten up every chance you get.
cuhulin

3369. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 16:23:00 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF25F77BED1Chopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231296
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:

> On May 26, 6:09 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-93cd47cf929f@
> v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > On May 26, 1:05 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@
>> > e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@
>> >> > y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
>> >> >> >> > revenue from the income tax.
>>
>> >> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>> >> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only
>> >> >> > when the
>> >> > y
>> >> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the
>> >> >> > governmen
>> > t
>> >> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>>
>> >> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old
>> >> >> luxury tax.
>>
>> >> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs
>> >> > that are already built in to every product and service you buy.
>>
>> >> Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same revenue
>> >> source as the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue
>> >> source from income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the
>> >> 'before' costs added to the price of purchase.

>>
>> > No it doesn't need to be added.
>>
>> Of course it does. It is NOT part of that 23% you keep saying is
>> alrea
> dy
>> paid in product cost or the product taxes, etc. were actually less
>> than 23%. What you have is this:
>>
>> Product selling price
>> Product cost
>> Corporate taxes
>> Inventory taxes
>> Excise taxes
>>
>> Now subtract the bottom three from the product selling price.
>>
>> Now you have:
>>
>> Product selling price
>> Product cost
>> - Corporate taxes
>> - Inventory taxes
>> - Excise taxes
>>
>> Now add those to a Fair Tax
>>
>> Corporate taxes
>> Inventory taxes
>> Excise taxes
>>
>> Now you need to add in the tax portion that was covered by federal
>> income taxes. You now have:
>>
>> Corporate taxes
>> Inventory taxes
>> Excise taxes
>> The revenue from income taxes
>> Revenue from FICA
>>
>> You can't subract 23% from a product, add more stuff to it and add it
>> back and still have 23%.
>>
>> It's already part of what you are
>>
>> > paying anyway. Here's a very simplified example:
>>
>> > Product costs $100, broken down as follows:
>>

>> > Under current system
>> > - • • wholesale = $50
>> > - • • compliance costs = $23
>> > - • • sales and other taxes = $27
>> > - • • Grand total = $100
>>
>> > Under the FairTax
>> > - • • wholesale = $50
>> > - • • compliance costs = - $23
>> > - • • FairTax = $23
>> > - • • sales and other taxes = $27
>> > - • • Grand total = $100
>>
>> Ooops, forgot the revenue to make up for no income tax and FICA.
>>
>
> The FairTax replaces federal income taxes including personal, estate,
> gift, capital gains, alternative minimum, Social Security, Medicare,
> self-employment, and corporate taxes. The proper tax rate has been
> carefully worked out; 23 percent does the job of: (1) raising the same
> amount of federal funds as are raised by the current system, (2)
> paying the universal rebate. I can't make it any more simple than
> this.

And I already know that. Tell me something new like how you subtract 23%
from product costs, add it back in and then add personal income taxes,
estate taxes, gift taxes, capital gains taxes, althernative minimum
taxes, FICA, Medicare Taxes, self employment taxes, corporate taxes and
compliance costs. So how can you end up with the same number?

Either the original 23% you take out is wrong or the 23% you put back in
is wrong.

Economists and businesspeople have spent $22M researching
> this. There is nothing more I can tell you to convince you. You need
> to read the book and the web site.

Me reading the book or the website won't change that what you are saying
doesn't compute.

>> >> • The luxury
>>
>> >> > tax would have been a tax on top of that.
>>
>> >> And to cover the loss of revenue from the income tax being
>> >> removed, it is also added into that Fair Tax number.
>>
>> > No, not added to the FairTax number. •The FairTax IS the
>> > replacement to the income tax.
>>
>> Not if the other taxes were already 23%. •You can't put ten pounds of

>> crap in a five pound bag.
>>
>
> See above.

Not an explanation, not was your previous comment.

>> >> >> >> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>
>> >> >> >> > tax that generates revenue from sales. &#65533;It replaces the
>> >> >> >> > income tax as the method of funding government. &#65533;If you
>> >> >> >> > full
> y
>> >> >> >> > understand the FairTax
>> >> >> >> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>
>> >> >> >> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that
>> >> >> >> sales tax from certain items, like food, housing, public
>> >> >> >> transportation, gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor
>> >> >> >> paying a much larger percentage of their income on those
>> >> >> >> taxes than the wealthy.
>>
>> >> >> > Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. &#65533;First,
>> >> >> > peopl
>> > e
>> >> >> > pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level.
>>
>> >> >> Which means that someone, somewhere needs to know your income.
>>
>> >> >> &#65533;Every household
>>
>> >> > No, they just need to know how many people are in your
>> >> > household. That determines the prebate, not one's income.
>>
>> >> How do you you receive that prebate? &#65533;Do you get a check every
>> >> month
> ?
>>
>> > That, or direct deposit to your bank account. &#65533;The infrastructure
>> > is already set to do this for any number of government programs so
>> > implementation is not difficult. &#65533;Well, we are talking government
>> > her
> e
>> > so&#65533;.
>>
>> OK
>>
>> >> >> > receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on
>> >> >> > essential goods and services.
>>

>> >> >> I looked at the prebate schedule. &#65533;Where in there does income
>> >> >> com
> e
>> >> >> into it for that poverty level? &#65533;
>>
>> >> > It doesn't. &#65533;Nor does it need to. &#65533;It only needs to figure what
> the
>> >> > cost of essential goods and services are for a family of X
>> >> > number of people. &#65533;A family of four that makes $100,000 requires
>> >> > the same essential goods and services as a family of four that
>> >> > makes $50,000.
>>
>> >> And how is that prebate received?
>>
>> > See above.
>>
>> >> >>From what I see, it is based on number of
>> >> >> adults and number of dependents.
>>
>> >> > Correct, that's all that is needed.
>>
>> >> >> &#65533;Second, per my example an item that costs $100 today> still
>> >> >> cost
> s
>> >> >> $100
>> >> > &#65533;under the FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> &#65533; If that's regressive then sign me
>>
>> >> >> > up.
>> >> >> > The poor are always going to pay a larger percentage of their
>> >> >> > income on everything. &#65533;No tax system is going to change that.
>> >> >> > &#65533;Isn't that what the bulk of this thread is about?
>>
>> >> >> Not on a flat tax like I proposed. &#65533;The difference is slight,
>> >> >> depending on your income, but it is there.
>>
>> >> > Not sure I follow. &#65533;If taxpayer A makes less than taxpayer B,
>> >> > assumin
>> > g
>> >> > both buy the exact same thing then taxpayer A is always going to
>> >> > pay more of a percentage of their income for buying something.
>>
>> >> My flat income tax proposal is on income not goods.
>>
>> > And under that system you are taxed on what you earn AND what you
>> > spend. &#65533;Under the FairTax you are taxed ONLY on what you spend.
>>
>> Wrong. &#65533;Under the flat tax system, you are taxed separately on what

>> you earn and what you spend. ?With Fair Tax, you are taxed on what
>> everyone earns and the product costs but it is all in one tax in lieu
>> of being separate.
>>
>
> Uh? okay. Mince words if you want. The reality is under a flat tax
> you are still paying twice. And, you are paying 23% in embedded
> wasted costs. Under the FairTax you are paying once. What I'm
> hearing you say is that you would still rather be taxed two separate
> times as well as having your income taken from you when the government
> wants it as opposed to when you want to give it to them, continue to
> comply with a messy tax code and on and on and on. If that's what you
> want then there will never be any convincing you otherwise.

No, what you are really hearing me say is that you cannot remove 23% from a product cost, add it back in, add a bunch of stuff and end up with the same number. Either you take out a different percentage than 23% or you add in a different percentage than 23%. Which is it? All you have really removed from the cost of the product is compliance costs for the old system. You also added compliance costs for the new Fair Tax and all those other taxes aforementioned. You cannot convine me that all those taxes are the same value as the old compliance costs.

>> >> >> >> >> The FairTax is a replacement
>>
>> >> >> >> >> > for the income tax.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring
>> >> >> >> >> income tax.
>>
>> >> >> >> > Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. ?The
>> >> >> >> > FairTa
> x
>> >> >> >> > ¡
>> > s
>> >> >> >> > better because a flat tax still involves taxing income
>> >> >> >> > which then leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the
>> >> >> >> > 16th amendment in place as well as the IRS, and I can go
>> >> >> >> > on and on about the pitfalls of our current tax system.
>>
>> >> >> >> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. ?If
>> >> >> >> properl
>> > y
>> >> >> >> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty
>> >> >> >> level wages for a family of four. ?Everyone gets that ONE
>> >> >> >> deduction, or exemption if
>> >> >> >> you prefer, and no other. ?You can do your tax on a
>> >> >> >> postcard.
>>
>> >> >> > Under the FairTax you don't have to worry about deductions or

>> >> >> > exemptions. &#65533;You don't even have to do your taxes on a
>> >> >> > postcard because there is nothing to do. &#65533;April 15 would be
>> >> >> > just another beautiful spring day.
>>
>> >> >> > Here's the problem with the flat tax, it retains the invasive
>> >> >> > income tax administration apparatus and can easily revert to
>> >> >> > a graduated, convoluted mess, as it has many times over many
>> >> >> > years.
>>
>> >> >> And your fair tax needs to know number of adults in the
>> >> >> household along with number of dependents. &#65533;
>>
>> >> > Correct. &#65533;Again as it should. &#65533;That's how the prebate is determi
> ned
>> > .
>>
>> >> And how is that prebate handled? &#65533;There is really nothing in the
>> >> propos
>> > al
>> >> that indicates that.
>>
>> > From the FAQ: All valid Social Security cardholders who are U.S.
>> > residents receive a monthly prebate equivalent to the FairTax paid
>> > on essential goods and services, also known as the poverty level
>> > expenditures. The prebate is paid in advance, in equal installments
>> > each month.
>>
>> Read that. &#65533;I was asking how and you seem to have answered that
>> earlier when you said it could be in the form of a check or a deposit
>> to one's account.
>>
>> &#65533;The size of the prebate is determined by the Department of
>>
>> > Health & Human Services&#65533; poverty level guideline multiplied by the
>> > ta
> x
>> > rate. This is a well-accepted, long-used poverty-level calculation
>> > that includes food, clothing, shelter, transportation, medical
>> > care, etc.
>>
>> Yes, I know what is in it.
>>
>> > Sent via check or direct deposit.
>>
>> You answered that earlier. &#65533;My point was that the FAQ doesn't say
>> that.
> &#65533;
>> It says you get it but not how.
>>

>
> The web site doesn't, the law does.

YOu already told me. Why waste time repeating it?

> The Fair Tax Act of 2005, Part XIX
>
> `SEC. 304. REBATE MECHANISM.
> ...
>
> `(c) When Rebates Mailed- Rebates shall be mailed on or before the
> first business day of the month for which the rebate is being
> provided.
>
> `(d) Smartcards and Direct Electronic Deposit Permissible- The Social
> Security Administration may provide rebates in the form of smartcards
> that carry cash balances in their memory for use in making purchases
> at retail establishments or by direct electronic deposit.
>
> So each registered family (or family of one perhaps) will get their
> rebate checks in the mail, via direct deposit, or via a smartcard/
> debit card account arrangement. I would probably use direct deposit
> myself. Paper checks are slow, and I think a separate debit card from
> my regular check card is not very convenient (just another card to
> have to safeguard and another account to watch).
>
>
>> > Oh, and with regard to the first paragraph if you aren't legal you
>> > don't get the prebate, but you still pay the tax. Think illegals.
>>
>> I already figured that out.
>>
>> > Or, how about the drug dealer who pays no income tax at all on his
>> > earnings. The government currently get no, zero, nada, zilch,
>> > income tax from him. But, does Joe Criminal buy nice cars,
>> > clothes, electronics, houses, etc? Guess what? Now he's paying
>> > the FairT
> ax on
>> > that. How about the tourist who comes to the US for a pleasure
>> > trip? Does the government get any income tax from them? Nope. Do
>> > they b
> uy
>> > a lot of goodies while here? Yup. Get the picture now?
>>
>> I already had the picture. I was asking details.
>>
>> >> >>There is also nothing there that prevents it
>> >> >> from becoming another convoluted mess. Congress can fuck up a
>> >> >> bowling ball.
>>

>> >> > Yes, congress can fuck up a bowling ball. In fact, the first
>> >> > implementation of our current tax system was just a handful of
>> >> > progressive tax brackets (several flat taxes if you will),
>>
>> >> Prograssive tax brackets do not a flat tax make.
>>
>> > No they don't, but my point still stands. Look what has happened t
> o
>> > those brackets since 1913.
>>
>> And with Congress, there is nothing stopping that from happening
>> again in one form or another with the Fair Tax.
>>
>
> I agree, but as I described it's much harder to do than with an income
> tax.

Only because you are relying on congressional folks greed. Not a good
thing to count on.

>> >> with no
>>
>> >> > exemptions, no deductions, etc. And look what happened. There
> is
>> > no
>> >> > reason to believe a flat tax would wind up going back to the
>> >> > convoluted mess we have now.
>>
>> >> I think you meant to say "wouldn't". Anyway, there is no reason
>> >> to believe that a Fair Tax wouldn't either.
>>
>> > Yes, you are correct. Agreed, but the reasons I laid out make it
>> > far harder to do than the current tax code or even the flat tax
>> > which still would have all the nonsense that goes along with our
>> > current code.
>>
>> Why would it be harder? All Congress has to do is to modify the
>> code.
>>
>
> Really? That's all they have to do? You make it sound so easy. As I
> already said everybody I repeat everybody pays this tax. No
> exemptions, no deductions. Everyone pays it. Now, you tell me what
> politician in his right mind is going to risk election or re-election
> by raising the rate.

Same ones that are going to have to address entitlements before we go
broke.

If they do they are a one term rep or senator.
> I'm not saying it's impossible, but it is much harder.

Not really......just a bit more open....maybe.

> Take the current state of affairs. Obama and the leftwing
> redistributionists are all in favor of raising taxes on the so called
> rich. They are at no real risk of trying to do that because a) the
> "rich" are a small percentage of the voting base that actually pay fed
> income taxes; and b) their (Obama and the rest of the left) voting
> base is predominantly a group that pays little to no fed income tax.
> So, if you are a voter asked to vote for someone who will raise taxes
> on someone else who the hell are you going to vote for?!?!?
> Conversely, if that same politician says they are going to raise taxes
> on YOU who do you think they are going to vote for.

That's a good point, but in this case, those taxes will be going up or we
will be going broke.

>> >> Plus, you would still have a tax code,
>>
>> >> > the IRS, the 16th Amendment, compliance costs, and on and on and
>> >> > on. Under the FairTax the tax code gone, IRS gone, 16th
>> >> > Amendment
>> > gone, compliance costs gone.
>>
>> >> > That said, congress can raise the FairTax rate just as it could
>> >> > raise the flat tax rate or can and does raise the income tax
>> >> > rate. The current income tax is effectively hidden.
>>
>> >> So are the costs contained in the Fair Tax. I saw no provision
>> >> for showing them.
>>
>> > The costs contained in the FariTax are just a replacement for
>> > income taxes. That's the whole point.
>>
>> I don't think you get the whole point. At least not in your
>> examples.
>
>> You cannot subract a percentage from a cost, add things to it and put
>> it back in place at the same percentage.
>>
>> >> It's just taken every
>>
>> >> > paycheck and I bet 99% of workers don't even know how much is
>> >> > being taken out every week. Out of sight out of mind.
>>
>> >> That would effectively be the same with the Fair Tax. You would
> hav
>> > e it
>> >> taken out on every purchase but no indication of what all was in

>> >> it in what amounts.
>>
>> > The receipt would have a line item that states "FairTax: 23%" with
> the
>> > applicable dollar amount. "Better yet, you only have to look at
>> > that line item when you make a purchase. "And, you only have to
>> > look at that line item when you purchase a new item. "Buy a used
>> > car? "No FairTax. "Used bike? "No FairTax.
>>
>> One reason is that most used stuff is purchased directly from the
>> seller.
> "
>> There is no one who in the middle to act as a collection point for
>> that tax. "Not a lot of used stuff is taxed on sale in the current
>> market.
>>
>
> Used stuff is not taxed under the FairTax because it has already been
> taxed once already. It's unfair to tax it again.

I would bet that it is simply that there is no real way to collect it
since it is not through a retailer.

The tax collector
> for new stuff is the same entity that it is now, the seller.

No, it isn't. There is a difference between a seller (who can your
neighbor in a yard sale) and Sears who is a retailer.

>> >> " They just accept
>>
>> >> > that government takes it.
>>
>> >> Same with your sales tax.
>>
>> > Sure, we"re all hostage to what the government shovels on us. "But,
>> > again, you pay income tax no matter what. "You have no choice. "Wit
> h
>> > the FairTax you have a choice.
>>
>> Not if you wish to purchase anything in other than the used market.
>>
>
> You have the choice to purchase. It's only then that you pay the
> tax. Wouldn't you rather have that choice than no choice to be taxed
> on your income? I mean, really, I just don't get the line of
> reasoning otherwise.

The bottom line is that folks will purchase where they think they get the
best deal. Will the added tax make a difference? Most likely if it is
23%. Hell, people squawk now about a 10% sales tax and your Fair Tax

only replaces the federal portion of that tax....not all of it.

>> ?And, to the extent that you need to
>>
>> > buy necessities of life you get the prebate.
>>
>> But still pay the tax on those items at time of purchase.
>>
>
> So? That's the purpose of the prebate. You effectively pay no sales
> tax on necessities.

You effectively pay no *federal* tax on necessities. You still pay state
and local sales taxes. So now your product will cost:

Product cost
Compliance costs
Profits along the way
State and city taxes
Fair tax.

The first three remain about the same.

>> >> ? Purposely designed that way by government.
>>
>> >> > The FairTax is highly visible (displayed on your receipt) and
>> >> > there is only one tax rate.
>>
>> >> That isn't the problem. ?Taxpayers DO know what is in their income
>> >> tax.
>> > ??
>>
>> > I couldn?t disagree more. ?Go ahead and ask the next person you see
>> > that you know how much was withheld from their last paycheck. ?Bet
>> > they don?t know.
>>
>> Bet they do when they fill out their taxes. ?Those who use CPAs are
>> sma
> rt
>> enough to have a good idea what is in the taxes and those who don't,
>> wouldn't know anyway.
>>
>
> You just described another reason for the FairTax. Actually two
> reasons. First, under the current, or flat, tax you still have to
> file. Not so with the FairTax.

I still have to file state taxes which if I am not doing federal taxes
will have to be done from scratch so state tax preparation will be more
costly and take longer. The IRS still needs to send out all the forms
like 1099s, W2s, etc.. The IRS still needs to track all state and local

taxes although not for federal tax purposes but to establish poverty
level wages, etc.

Then those who use CPA's have
> to�well� use CPA's to figure out the tax burden. Wouldn't you rather
> a) not have to file anything;

Fair Tax still only applies to federal taxes...not all taxes.

and b) spend the money you just spent on
> a CPA on something else ore productive�like a good round of golf on
> April 15 instead of working on your taxes :-)

And go to prison for tax evasion on your state income taxes because you
were in the clubhouse celebrating? ;)

>> >> They do not know what portion of that Fair Tax is the replacement
>> >> for income tax, what portion is corporate taxes, what portion is
>> >> government taxes for whatever purpose when Congress changes the
>> >> percentage of the Fair Tax.
>>
>> > So what? �What they do know is that the FairTax replaces the income
>> > tax. �They no longer have to file. �They no longer have to keep
>> > records, see accountants, worry about deductions, exemptions,
>> > audits and so on. �Instead, all they do is buy a product and that�s
>> > it.
>>
>> > �Changing that will be harder for congress to do.
>>
>> >> > Why? �Because the FairTax affects EVERYBODY. �The income tax doe
> s
>> >> > not. �Right now, almost 50% of workers pay no federal income
>> >> > tax.
>>
>> >> The only folks who would pay no federal income tax under my
>> >> proposal would be those who income was below the federally
>> >> declared poverty line for a family of four and EVERYBODY gets that
>> >> one and only deduction.
>>
>> > Fine. �You still have in place the 16th Amendment, the IRS,
>> > compliance, record keeping, accountants, fear of audits. �Then you
>> > have people that pay no income taxes, as I already mentioned, such
>> > as criminals, tourists, illegals, those paid in cash.
>>
>> And with the fair tax, you have the used market, the under the table
>> market and swapping.
>>
>
> And that's not happening now?

You don't think an open 23% sales tax on goods won't increase that?

How about the criminals who deal in
> such underground markets? What are they paying in income taxes now?
> But, do they still buy goods and services?
>
>
>> >> > It's easy for them to say raise taxes on the top 50% that
>> >> > actually pay.
>>
>> >> No, it isn't or Obama would have done it in lieu of extending the
>> >> Bush taxcuts.
>>
>> > Have you seen the most recent tax stats?
>>
>> Are you insane? Who do you think has been posting the numbers in
>> here?
>>
>
> Well, actually, I did in one of my responses to John Smith.

The tax burdens by AGI and percentage, who owns the wealth and who owns the income came from me.

>> Nearly 50% of wage earners
>>
>> > pay nothing in federal income taxes. That's the highest it has eve
> r
>> > been since the implementation of the income tax.
>>
>> The actual percentage, just for your input, is 45%. The bottom 50%
>> pay
> s
>> just under 3%.
>>
>
> I know what the percentage is which is why I said "nearly". If you
> want to get in a pissing contest over 2.7% that's fine, but my point
> still stands and is correct.
>
>
>> This class warfare
>>
>> > thing is in all out mode and it's working.
>>
>> Yep.....but you will always have that with Democrats. When they
>> get into power, they will mess around with your Fair Tax also.
>>
>
> As I repeatedly said, let them try it. I recall some dumbass who once
> ran for president who said "if elected I will raise your taxes".
> How'd that one work out for him?

If he was a dumbass, I doubt that one comment is what did him in.

>> >> Raising the FairTax means raising it on them too. Good luck to
>>
>> >> > any politician trying that.
>>
>> >> As does raising the income tax percentages or do you think
>> >> politicians make less than the poverty level? ;)
>>
>> > No, but I say again, you still have in place the 16th Amendment,
>> > the IRS, compliance, record keeping, accountants, fear of audits.
>> > Then you have people that pay no income taxes, as I already
>> > mentioned, such as criminals, tourists, illegals, those paid in
>> > cash.
>>
>> >> >> In addition, a
>>
>> >> >> > large part of the burden of the flat tax -- the business tax
>> >> >> > -- will remain hidden from people in the retail price of
>> >> >> > goods and services.
>>
>> >> >> This is an interesting point since there are supposedly
>> >> >> intelligent folks in this newsgroup that don't understand that
>> >> >> all businesses end up passing all their costs to the consumer
>> >> >> in the price of the product or service. If they don't, after
>> >> >> awhile the
> y
>> >> >> go under.
>>
>> >> >> > Under a flat tax, individuals would still file an income tax
>> >> >> > return each year. Postcard or not, it's still a return.
>> >> >> > While this is a simple postcard, the record keeping
>> >> >> > requirement is still there. Under the FairTax, individuals
>> >> >> > never file a tax return again, ever!
>>
>> >> >> Federally, that could be true, however, when looking at state
>> >> >> and local taxes, it is bullshit.
>>
>> >> > Not could be would be. There would be no federal filing.
>>
>> >> Which isn't done with state and local taxes anyway. They
>> >> currently get used as a deduction on federal income tax, but even
>> >> though there is no federal income tax, they still need to do state
>> >> taxes. All they have saved is entering a number.
>>
>> > But, they are still filing federal forms and worrying about
>> > deductions. Why bother doing that when all you have to do is
>> > well.nothing! Well, except buy a good or service. And even the
> n you
>> > don't have to file anything and no concerns about deductions.

>>
>> >> ?But, to
>>
>> >> > your larger point, the FairTax is a replacement to the federal
>> >> > income tax, not state income taxes.
>>
>> >> Which is what I said.
>>
>> >> ?Federal taxes are what is at issue
>>
>> >> > here. ?So, what would you rather do on 4/15? ?File federal, stat
> e a
>> > nd
>> >> > local tax forms; or just a state and local?
>>
>> >> When I do my federal taxes, TurboTax, for example, also does my
>> >> state taxes. ?The extra time for the state tax is about 5 minutes.
>>
>> > Thanks for making another case for the FairTax. ?You said "When you
>> > d
> o
>> > your federal taxes". ?How about implement the FairTax and not do
>> > them at all? ? I know I'd rather just have to worry about doing my
>> > state and local taxes.
>>
>> I wish to control my taxes as much as I can. ?Don't you?
>>
>
> Do you control the government taking money out of your check every
> other week? Do you control the fact that you have to file on 4/15?

I control the amount I pay within the law.

> As for me here's how I would utilize my control. If I don't want a
> good or service I won't buy it. There's my control. That's all I
> have to worry about. And, I can do what many people have done and
> move to a no income tax state like FL and the like.

I lived in Florida for several years. No state income tax shows up in a
few areas and not always to the good.

>> > How much did TurboTax cost you? ?$50, $60 maybe? ?Wouldn?t it hav
> e
>> > been nice to spend that $$$ on something else rather than complying
>> > with the federal tax code?
>>
>> I wasn't worried about complying with the federal tax code. ?I was
>> simply
>> interested in paying my share of the tax burden, but no more than
>> that.

>>
>
> Maybe you weren't but many companies are which is a big reason why
> there is an embedded 23% cost in every item you buy.

Two problems.....one, that hidden cost probably isn't 23% (or if you think it is post your cite) and companies pass on all costs that they have to pay. They cannot do it any other way and still maintain as low a product cost as they can and make a profit. Companies still pay taxes to the letter of the law. What they do is the same thing I do, and, I assume, you do....go through your taxes to ensure that you are complying with the law and not paying anymore than you have to.

Still, the end
> effect is still the same. You spent $X on a tax program or CPA. You
> can pay your "fair share", whatever that means,

That means what the law requires me to pay.

when you buy goods and
> services. If you aren't sure what fair share is and just want to be
> sure then you can do more by buying more goods and services. Not only
> do you fund the federal government, but you also help the economy.

Or I can simply send a check to the IRS. How often have you done that?

>> >> >> Under
>>
>> >> >> > the flat tax, the payroll tax would be retained and income
>> >> >> > tax withholding would still be with us.
>>
>> >> >> Yep.
>>
>> >> >> > Under the FairTax, the payroll
>> >> >> > tax, which is a larger and more regressive tax burden for
>> >> >> > most Americans than is the income tax, is repealed.
>>
>> >> >> No, actually, it isn't. It is simply placed in the Fair Tax.
>>
>> >> > And once the FairTax is implemented none of that is withheld
>> >> > from your paycheck.
>>
>> >> My point was that it was still there. You just don't see it or
>> >> really know how much it is.
>>
>> > It is still there because the FairTax replaces it. We're not talki
> ng
>> > about doing away with government collecting revenue. We're talking
>> > about the mechanism for how it is collected. This is so much
>> > simpler than the current system or even a one size fits all flat
>> > tax.

>>
>> Yep, but you need to take a closer look at how you present the
>> figures or learn more about them. &#65533;You cannot subtract x from y, add
>> z to x and ha
> ve &#65533;
>> x be the same amount as it was before.
>>
>> >> With the exception of state and/or local withholding you
>>
>> >> > keep 100% of your check. &#65533;So, the payroll tax that is now
>> >> > effectively incorporated into the FairTax is paid by you only
>> >> > when you buy a new good or service. &#65533;It's not automatically
>> >> > withheld from your pay. &#65533;Y
>> > OU
>> >> > decide when to pay it. &#65533;Not the government. &#65533;So, where's the dow
> nsi
>> > de
>> >> > to that?
>>
>> >> Knwing what is in it and how much each entity is. &#65533;For example,
>> >> assume your percentage of 23%. &#65533;Now, certain corporate taxes get
>> >> changed. &#65533;Y
>> > our
>> >> Fair Tax rate has to change to cover that. So now, this year it is
>> >> 24.5%.
>> > &#65533;&#65533;
>> >> How does the consumer know which changed.....the income tax
>> >> portion, the corporate portion, the FICA portion, the whatever
>> >> portion?
>>
>> > I&#65533;ve already talked about changing the rate and how easy (or not
>> > so) that would be. &#65533;Do you really think people care what has
>> > changed?
>>
>> Many will.
>>
>
> I suspect many more will care that they no longer have to file federal
> taxes and keep their entire check (save state and local) while still
> paying the exact same for items they buy every day.

No, they won't be paying the exact same for items they buy every day.

>> > What they care is that an item that costs $100 under the current
>> > system still costs $100 under the new system.
>>
>> No, it won't. &#65533;Stop and think about why.
>>
>
> I have. That's why I (backed by $22M of research) like the FairTax.

Then explain it.

>> &#65533; And if they buy it
>>
>> > used, they don&#65533;t even care.
>>
>> >> >> Under the FairTax, what
>>
>> >> >> > you earn is what you keep. No more withholding taxes; no more
>> >> >> > income tax.
>>
>> >> >> Just more taxes on the point of sale while all taxes from state
>> >> >> and local governments remains intact.
>>
>> >> > You are not accounting for the removal of the 23% built in costs
>> >> > that YOU ARE ALREADY PAYING on every good and service that you
>> >> > buy (that government doesn't even get, by the way &#65533; just wasted
>> >> > dollars).
>>
>> >> Yes, I am and it isn't 23% or the Fair Tax could not be 23% and
>> >> cover all those costs plus the amount currently from income taxes
>> >> or FICA. &#65533;
>>
>> >> FWIW, all costs of doing business are placed in the price of the
>> >> product or service that is produced. &#65533;Anyone who doesn't
>> >> understand that won't understand either your Fair Tax or my flat
>> >> income tax proposal.
>>
>> > The 23% does account for it. &#65533;This tax plan is the most widely
>> > researched tax plan in the history of the planet.
>>
>> The 23% may account for it, but then it couldn't have been 23% when
>> it was first deducted.
>>
>> &#65533; Economists and
>>
>> > businessmen smarter than me have examined it inside and out. &#65533;The
>> > 23% figure is the figure arrived at the make current government
>> > receipts revenue neutral.
>>
>> >> &#65533; When
>>
>> >> > those built in costs go away you are back to the same price.
>>
>> >> Not really. &#65533;You have added additional taxes to that proposal in
>> >> the fo
>> > rm
>> >> on income tax replacement and FICA and federal sales taxes which
>> >> were part of certain purchases.
>>

>> > Yeah, really. &#65533;What has been added replaces the compliance costs
>> > that go away. &#65533;On average, it&#65533;s a wash.
>>
>> Are you trying to tell us that the compliance costs are the same as
>> the entire income tax revenue? &#65533;That would be interesting since about
>> 45% o
> f
>> that federal revenue is individual income tax, 36% is payroll taxes,
>> 12% is corporate taxes (which &#65533;you did put into your Fair Tax
>> number), 3% excise taxes and 4% from other.
>>
>
> I'm just telling you what the research says.

You haven't shown research that says you can deduct 23% in costs from a product, add other costs in plus income tax, FICA, etc. and come up with the same number.

You produced a comment that says research has shown that a 23% tax would be revenue neutral, but I havent seen any comments that the costs would remain neutral. That has only come from you in your examples.

>> >> &#65533;See my
>>
>> >> > previous example.
>> >> >> >> >> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many
>> >> >> >> >> adjustments so that it does not become regressive.
>>
>> >> >> >> > I don't think so, I know so. &#65533;Tell me how this is
>> >> >> >> > regressive
> ?
>>
>> >> >> snip.....
>>
>> >> >> >> Same taxpayer.....buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays
>> >> >> >> $123 for them.
>>
>> >> >> > Stop right there. &#65533;That's incorrect. &#65533;Under the FairTax the $
> 100
>> > &#65533;of
>> >> >> > groceries will still cost $100. &#65533;There's no need to even go
>> >> >> > any further with your example.
>>
>> >> >> I was speaking of the actual worth of the product. &#65533;Yes, there
>> >> >> ar
> e

>> >> >> business taxes, etc.. in there but one cannot generate a new
>> >> >> tax without adding to what is already there. So a product
>> >> >> which toda
> y
>> >> >> costs $100 plus city and state sales taxes will now cost the
>> >> >> difference between the 23% sales tax and the old taxes on the
>> >> >> product plus city and state sales taxes. What you have done is
>> >> >> taken the taxes previously included the product price and moved
>> >> >> them into your Fair Tax in addition to the hit on that tax
>> >> >> replacing federal income taxes and FICA.
>>
>> >> > Nope. The item that costs $100 today will still cost $100. Her
> e's
>> >> > why. The built in compliance costs are, on average, 23%.
>>
>> >> Then where did you put the replacement for the income tax? It has
>> >> t
> o
>> >> b
>> > e
>> >> there or the feds are missing a major, major part of their
>> >> revenue.
>>
>> > As I said, the income tax replaces the compliance costs that go
>> > away. If you don't have an income tax there is no income tax code
>> > to comply with.
>>
>> And I say your number is wrong. Compliance costs are NOT equal to
>> 45%
> of
>> the entire federal budget. Now, Social Security, Medicare and
>> Medicaid are about 40% and both are expected to increase in cost over
>> the next ten years. Social Security by 70%. Medicare by 77% and
>> Medicaid by 99%.
>>
>> Are you trying to say that compliance costs with our current system
>> is equal to SS, Medicare and Medicaid? ;)
>>
>
> Okay, you say the numbers are wrong. Economic research says
> otherwise.

Then post that research. The research you have mentioned is that the revenue is neutral. That is NOT the same as product costs yet you keep posting product cost examples to show your point. My point is that one of the two numbers you use is wrong. Either the original 23% (that would be the one I would suspect) or the 23% for the Tax. Since the tax number came from the research by the Fair Tax group and is slated to be revenue neutral, I would assume that that number is probably correct. It is your

example of subtracting out 23%, removing some costs from it, adding a lot more cost into it and putting it back in as 23% that is suspect.

I can't convince you otherwise. You go for the flat tax
> or stay with the current system. Hope April 15 treats you well as you
> spend money trying to figure out your tax bill.
>
>> >> Take that
>>
>> >> > away and your $100 now costs $77 (which already include the
>> >> > state and city taxes you mention). Replace those compliance
>> >> > costs with the FairTax and you are back to $100.
>>
>> >> See above.
>>
>> >> >> >> Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of
>> >> >> >> groceries...pays
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger percentage of
>> >> >> >> their incom
>> >> > e
>> >> >> >> o
>> >> >> > n
>> >> >> >> a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we will not pay that tax
>> >> >> >> on groceries....oooops, you just generated an exception.
>>
>> >> >> >> > Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any
>> >> >> >> > other questions you might have:
>>
>> >> >> >> > 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back.
>> >> >> >> > Pay particular attention to the FAQ.
>>
>> >> >> I have.
>>
>> >> > mmmmmmm okay....
>>
>> >> >> >> > 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>>
>> >> >> Why? If they can't explain it on their website.....
>>
>> >> > Boortz and Linder didn't create the web site. They are
>> >> > advocates of the FairTax and have their own writing on this.
>> >> > You can fit a whole lot more into a book than you can a
>> >> > website. You really nee
> d
>> >> > to read the book. You will not regret it.
>>
>> >> >> >> > 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the
>> >> >> >> > Critics"

>>
>> >> >> >> > It will all become crystal clear.
>>
>> >> >> >> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around
>> >> >> >> for years.
>> >> >> > &#65533;
>> >> >> >> With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the
>> >> >> >> current system. Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to
>> >> >> >> soak the rich as poor poeple would never buy luxury taxed
>> >> >> >> items. &#65533;How did that work out?
>>
>> >> >> > You may be familiar with sales tax schemes, but it's clear
>> >> >> > you aren't familiar with the FairTax. &#65533;Instead of speculating
>> >> >> > as yo
> u
>> >> >> > have done above why not go visit the site and base your
>> >> >> > criticisms on the plan itself? &#65533;You will find that many of
>> >> >> > the things you raised above are answered there.
>>
>> >> >> Been there, read it.
>>
>> >> > Not all of it then because many of the questions you asked that
>> >> > I'm replying to come right from the web site.
>>
>> >> >> > Look, I'm with you that a flat tax would be better than the
>> >> >> > current system. &#65533;Problem is that it, as opposed to something
>> >> >> > like the FairTax
>> >> > ,
>> >> >> > leaves itself open to far more manipulation than the FairTax.
>> >> >> > &#65533;The ta
>> >> > x
>> >> >> > code itself is evidence of just that.
>>
>> >> >> Are you trying to say that Congress cannot fuck with the Fair
>> >> >> Tax as much as they can fuck with a flat tax? &#65533;I don't think
>> >> >> so.
>>
>> >> > That's exactly what I'm saying and I explained why above.
>>
>> >> LOL!!
>>
>> > Laugh if you will. &#65533;I see that you won't be convinced.
>>
>> I simply don't feel that you can subtract product costs with taxes,
>> add income tax revenue to that, put the product costs back in and
>> have the same number. &#65533;If you know how to do it, let me know.
>>
>
> Fair enough. You're a skeptic and I appreciate that. But, the

> research is on the website and in the book.

The research on the website addresses revenue neutrality. It does not address product cost other than to say prices should fall and then wages may rise. It even states that the change will be subject to market forces.....however, in your example, you keep using a constant number that suspiciously just happens to equal the Fair Tax. As I noted before, it is not the 23% Fair Tax number I am questioning it is the number you use to subtract from product cost and try to show the total product cost to be the same as before. That is what I don't believe and have stated several times why.

At least you are willing
> to debate this reasonably. I really do hope you read the book and
> learn about it because there are things there that the web site or I
> can't do justice to. As for myself, I am not against a flat tax. I
> think it would be better than our current convoluted system. I just
> happen to think there is a better system.

There may be.

It will be tough going
> because repealing the 16th would be the single most largest transfer
> of power from government to the people in the history of this
> country.

Excuse me, but if there is no income tax, then the 16th Amendment becomes as useless as tits on a boar hog just like the 3rd Amendment.

The tax code is nothing but a social engineering and vote
> buying tool. That power is not easily given up. Then again, a couple
> hundred years ago no one really gave a democratic republic much of a
> chance either.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

Cremation......for those who think outside the box.

3370. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 16:27:24 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF26036E34Fhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231297
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:0b4c0b89-dee7-4140-bed5-58c01a9b8ea9@q32g2000yqn.googlegroups.com:

> On May 26, 6:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a031
>> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com
>>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > Under the FairTax
>> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
>> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
>> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> --
>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://herman
> cai

>> > n.c
>> >> > om/
>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama
>> >> >> had as
>> >> muc
>> >> > h
>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
>> >> >> much competence?
>>
>> >> I refer to your math.
>>
>> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> >> that's $123.
>>
>> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
>> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
>> > you.
>>
>> Let's try it this way using YOUR figures above.
>>
>> Originally
>>
>> Wholesale - $50
>> Compliance costs - $23
>> Sales and other taxes - $27
>>
>> Total product cost $100
>>
>> Federal income tax revenue is a separate item.
>>
>> ****
>>
>> Wholesale - %50
>> Compliance Costs - <$23>
>> Sales and other taxes - <$27>
>>
>> Total product cost now - $50
>>
>> Federal income tax revenue still a separate item.
>>

>> ◆ ◆****
>>
>> Wholesale - $50
>> Add sales and other taxes -$27
>> Add Fair Tax - $23
>> Add money for loss of income tax revenue - $whatever
>>
>> Oooops, it now comes to more than the original $100 since that
>> revenue is not a separate item anymore.
>>
>
> Oops, you are figuring it out incorrectly. Why are you removing sales
> and other taxes? By those I am talking about state and local taxes.

I didn't remove them. I simply listed them ands showed them back in
place in the next step.

> So, let's try this again.
>
> Current
> - Wholesale: $50
> - Add sales and other (local/city) taxes: $27
> - Compliance costs: $23
> - Total: $100
>
> FairTax
> - Wholesale: $50
> - Add sales and other (local/city) taxes: $27
> - FairTax: $23
> - Total: $100
>
> You can review my other posts to account for all the other benefits.

Are you trying to tell me that compliance costs today equal all personal
income tax and FICA?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3371. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 16:30:06 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.28499b9888b8973598a27d@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 231298
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article <70648f2e-dadb-4fee-b293-
5266d8ef526f@g28g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com
says...
>
> On May 26, 11:51ï¿œpm, Iarnrod wrote:
> > On May 26, 5:04ï¿œpm, joeturn wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 26, 6:54ï¿œpm, RD Sandman wrote:
> >
> > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > > > > On May 26, 2:00ï¿œpm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > > > >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > > >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > > >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated >
> > > > > >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
> >
> > > > > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > > >> > average for the majority. >
> > > > > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
> >
> > > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
> >
> > > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
> >
> > > > > --
> > > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
> >
> > > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -

> >
> > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
> >
> > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
>
> http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=36&contentid=3887
>
> Now thats slooooow

Do you ever go to websites that aren't kooksites, Joe?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

3372. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 16:34:08 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF27FDCC4B44Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231299
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF1A8EF35556hopewell@216.196.121.131:

> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF1C26812F31Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF19EF2DD2F8hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>>>
>>>> But I maintain that further taxation takes money out of the hands of
>>>> the producer class and further injures the economy so that higher
>>>> rates will return lower revenues.
>>>
>>> I agree with that but is that injury more or less than what we
>>> already have. It is like putting a bandage on a wound. It works, it
>>> does good, helps healing but it often hurts when removed.
>>
>> What if the wound never heals because of the treatment?
>
> While true, it doesn't matter if the patient is dead.

Kinda my point.

>
>>>> There is simply no rational way to tax us out of this problem!
>>>
>>> Nor is there a rational way to cut spending far enough to get us out
>>> of this problem. Ergo, the solution needs to combine both.....more
>>> taxes for certain areas and pretty damn heavy cost cutting in certain
>>> areas.
>>
>> Really? Do you honestly think even 1/3 or spending could stand honest
>> Constitutional scrutiny. Maybe it's time we stop acting like children
>> and started acting like there was more to life than government grants.
>
> Where do you come up with 1/3? SS is 20%, Medicare/Medicaid and other
> safety net programs are another 35%, Defense is 20% and the current debt
> in 2010 was 6%. That consumes 81% of the budget. That only leaves 19%
> for EVERYTHING else and all those costs are climbing.

I would love to see all of it up to serious Constitutional scrutiny. I
wonder how much of it Jeffereson and madison would approve of?

>
> Of course we can cut back on Defense (which includes Homeland Security)
> by taking the National Guard off the border (they are coming off anyway
> by next year) and closing down many of our bases overseas, not buying any
> more warships, dropping the F-35 and bringing our boys home from Iraq and
> Afghanistan.
>
> Obama's minions think they can get $500B out Medicare/Medicaid over the
> next 10 years by elminating fraud and waste, but no one is really stating
> where that waste and fraud is much less how to remove it. The Dems
> aren't going to go for Ryan's plan although they haven't produced one of
> their own......and won't until after the 2012 election. They'd rather
> use Ryan's plan to badmouth the GOP to the voters.

That's about all they are good for.

>
>>>> Seriously how much more can we afford to take out of the private
>>>> economy? $1 trillion, $2 trillion? $4 trillion?
>>>
>>> Our debt is now equal to our GNP. They both stand at about $14.3T
>>> and we are borrowing $0.40 on the dollar. We can't keep doing that
>>> and maintain our status on borrowing percentages.
>>
>> No, but unless the bleeding stops or slows significantly... Look, I no
>> longer beleive the bullshit about raising taxes/cutting spending. I
>> became poltically aware in the 80s with the line of shit the Democrats
>> fed Reagan and then they reneged. It just is no longer credible. You
>> give them more money, they'll spend twiec as much on things that hurt
>> the economy.
>
> True, but for now, the Republicans control the House where all spending
> bills originate. Do you think we can wait until Republicans control all
> three again? Look what happened last time. It is never really good when
> the same party controls both Houses and the presidency.

I think if we don't something sooner rather than later it won't matter,
events will overshadow politics.

>
>>>> The only way to do it is DRASTIC spending reductions and DRASTIC tax
>>>> cuts so as to allow the producer class to keep it's money and be
>>>> able to spend it.
>>>
>>> Excuse me, but with DRASTIC tax cuts, how do you intend to keep
>>> programs alive AND pay down the debt. That is like maxing out your
>>> credit cards and then leaving your job as an engineer to flip burgers
>>> for miminum wage. The revenue needs to keep coming. In fact the
>>> more revenue, the faster we can pay down that debt.
>>
>> What makes you think I want to keep programs alive? I want dependency
>> on the fed stamped out, exterminated, destroyed, plowed under and the

>> earth salted
>
> You have to keep some of them going. You simply can't put grannie on an
> ice floe and send her out to sea, no matter how much you'd like to. Yes,
> there is a lot of folks receiving Medicaid and unemployment and welfare
> that shouldn't. But shooting everyone on those programs isn't the answer
> either. I'd love to say that as a people we need to assume
> responsibility for those who are less fortunate than us, but if that had
> occurred earlier in our history, we wouldn't have the programs (and their
> attendant problems) that we do today.

Well I wouldn't put grannie on asn ice flow out to see. I'd like to see more people take responsibility for thier families.

Didn't want to make a big deal of it, but we're moving back to VA and moving in with my mother-in-law. She's been having "issues" lately and my wife wants to be the one to take care of her. Fortuneately she has a big house, not as big as ours here, but in some ways better.

So I'm putting my money where my mouth is.

And it's not that people didn't do the right thing, it's that they let themselvesd be hustled that they weren't needed and Uncle Sugar would do it for them.

There is no reason to continue bad policy because it's been in place for a long time if it appears that the policy is destructive.

>
>>> Unless people are buying things and generating demand it
>>>> just can't happen. And more and more people will become dependent on
>>>> the government. More people will be living off of fewer people.
>>>> There is no possible way that that is a return to prosperity, no
>>>> matter what the Marxists beleive.
>>>
>>> And there is really no way to simply cut, cut, cut and cut like many
>>> others believe.
>>
>> Yeah, there is. All it takes is balls and a conscience.
>
> Sorry, but when cutting many of the things going on, balls and conscience
> don't play well together. That human nature. We tend to say, hell, yes,
> let's cut those programs those worthless assholes are on, but don't you
> dare touch anything I may need, want or use.

Well sinec the Democrats won't even acknowledge that there is a problem, they are sorta begging for a more extreme answer doncha think?

>
>>>> Clearly there are other issues. Offshoring jobs in particular is
>>>> very toxic. If you move the jobs overseas, then people here don't
>>>> work.
>>>

>>> Then the laws need to be changed to fix that. We need to find a way
>>> to tax offshore income rather than just leave it float in the wind.
>>> We need to find ways to make our labor more viable here than in
>>> France or China.
>>
>> Um, by getting rid of all the government programs, taxes, regulations
>> and special interest bullshit that is currently choling the life of
>> the economy?
>
> That 'special interest bullshit' is part of capitalism. Not in theory
> but in actuality. As are taxes and regulations.

Doesn't have to be.

>
>>>> People not working means they can't buy what they need or want. No
>>>> growth. I know what I'm talking about I'm a developer and the number
>>>> of jobs going to India and the foreigners being brought in to work
>>>> cheaper in essentially captive jobs is killing domestic programmers
>>>> and developers.
>>>
>>> And I have been in hardware development and quite familiar with
>>> outsourcing on both materials and labor. It is a practice that
>>> became paramount due to competition and our laws.
>>
>> "our laws"? Not mine. Marxists that want to control the economy maybe.
>
> Our laws. Get your head out of your ass, Ghost. If everone took that
> approach we would all be shooting each other at dawn. Our problems have
> come from BOTH sides of the argument and it will take BOTH sides to fix
> them.

Hey I'm as mad at the republicans for what they've done! Can't we thank
Nixon for ATF and the EPA? I have equal contempt for both parties.
Unfortuneately one has to choose one or the other if one wants to have any
influence, though maybe the TEA party will continue to expand.

>
>>> And it isn't that we are overpriced. Some of the
>>>> offers I've seen recently have been downright insulting for someone
>>>> with as much experience as I have.
>>>
>>> Sorry....
>>>
>>>> Also, over regulation takes it's toll. A couple out in Missouri
>>>> fined 10s of thousands for selling rabbits without a license, Amish
>>>> being attacked like Waco for selling milk (for God's sake!) to
>>>> people who apparently know the "risks" and are more than happy to
>>>> balance that with the benefits, Boeing being told they can't build a
>>>> plant in North Carolina becuase the UNIONS don't like it? Fuck me!
>>>> What has this country come to?

>>>
>>> Regulation needs to make sense.....nuch of what you stated above
>>> doesn't. Yes, I know it is there, but we need to change that however
>>> deregulating everything is not the answer either.
>>
>> OK, let's dump everything and start over. With the caveat that any
>> regulation MUST conform with the limits imposed by the Constitution.
>
> How do you intend to do that? Have Congree declare this to be 1791?

Yep, have a big party on the mall and burn all the laws and regs made since
then. Of course we may need aerial tankers to control the burn. And there
are certain parts of DC that you might let the fire spread to.

>
>>>> Drastic spending cuts aren't just about stopping the hemorrhaging of
>>>> debt but to kill the federal behemoth which is (delibertately in my
>>>> opinion) stifling every bit of creativity and entrepreneurship.
>>>
>>> Don't worry, it won't. Without a viable private sector, the public
>>> one cannot survive.
>>
>> While I don't mind seeing the public sector die...
>>
>> Unfortuneately I think the parasite may kill the host.
>
> And when that happens the parasite no longer survives because it needs
> the host.

Great and then they are both dead. Is that a victory? Or is a lingering
death, debilitated by the sapping of strength preferable?

>
>>>> Good God, I tried to start a business back in teh 90s, just me and
>>>> my computer doing development on the side, in my home office. Not
>>>> only did the fed and state want thier cut the fucking county had
>>>> thier greedy paws out for a piece of the action. I think I ended up
>>>> owing more in taxes than I got to keep. What the fuck sense does
>>>> that make?
>>>
>>> Interesting. I owned a computor consulting business and had no
>>> problems like that. I had costs for a business license and they made
>>> me a taxing point for state sales taxes....but that was about it.
>>
>> Yeah the county wanted a license fee and piece of the action. I
>> figured after the feds, state and they got through with me it simply
>> wasn't worth it. I wasn't busting my ass to keep less than half of
>> what I earned to make the leechs fat, too.
>
> Not like that everywhere. When things get overregulated, businesses
> move...to other cities, states or countries.

To who's benefit? It simply exacerbates the problem.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3373. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 16:35:02 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF280038D81AWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231300
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-4e47e0379641
@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:

> On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
55a606092fd9
> @
>> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
>> $123.
>>
>> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
>> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
>> > you.
>>
>> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are just
goin
> g
>> to away?
>>
>
> Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and the way
> market forces work.
>
>> --
>> Herman Cain for President!   http://hermancain.c
> om/
>> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
muc
> h

>> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
>> competence?
>
>

And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

3374. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 16:36:48 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-4957d24bfebe@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231301
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-4e47e0379641
> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
> 55a606092fd9
> > > @
> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> wholesale = $50
> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> FairTax = $23
> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
> >> $123.
>
> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
> >> > you.
>
> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are just
> goin
> > > g
> >> to away?
>
> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and the way
> > > market forces work.
>
```

> >> --
> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.c
> > om/
> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
> muc
> > h
> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
> >> competence?
>
> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>

Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our current
tax system would fully fund the government.

> --
> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
> competence?

3375. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 16:38:06 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF2808875CACWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231302
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:8475f659-af52-4562-8e73-
4db2c130430c@r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:

> On May 26, 8:04 pm, "Scout"
><me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:Xns9EF19C0BEACC7Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>>
>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> > 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> Under the FairTax
>> >> - wholesale = $50
>> >> - compliance costs = - $23
>> >> - FairTax = $23
>> >> - sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> - Grand total = $100
>>
>> > You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> Yep, notice nothing else has changed, but according to him, suddenly it
w
> ill
>> cost NOTHING to comply with the still existing conditions, and will cost
>> nothing additional to comply with the new tax imposed.
>>
>> As such his numbers are BS.
>
> What's to comply with on a sales tax? Really, make your case? As for
> the numbers, as I said, economists have looked at this. The research
> is out there. I don't care if you summarily dismiss it. But, at
> least take an objective look at the plan rather than gut reactions to
> it. Of course, if you like the current tax system then god bless you.
>

The problem is, I don't beleive for a minute it will stay at whatever rate
is promised.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3376. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 16:41:24 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF262968FB6Ahopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231303
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com:

> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC
> 62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >>> wholesale = $50
>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>> >>> FairTax = $23
>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> >>> that's
>> > $123.
>>
>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> >> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
>> >> that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
>> >> you.
>>
>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
>> > just going to away?
>>
>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will no
>> longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate numbers and
>> understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an item's cost, add stuff
>> to it and still have it be 23% when he puts it back in place. Either
>> his first 2
> 3%

>> is in error or the second one is.
>>
>
> $22 million in research says otherwise.

In the faq, the research shows that a 23% Fair tax would be *revenue*
neutral not cost neutral. It also states that product costs will vary
and will be subject to market forces. Here is the actual statement from
the FAQ as regards those costs:

"Americans who produce goods and earn wages must pay significant tax and
compliance costs under the current federal income tax. These taxes and
costs both reduce after-tax wages and profits and are then passed on to
the consumers of those goods and services in the form of price increases.
When the FairTax removes income, capital gains, payroll, and estate and
gift taxes, the pre-FairTax prices of these goods and services will fall.
The removal of these hidden taxes may also allow wages to rise. Exactly
how much prices will fall and wages will rise depends on market forces.
For example, in a profession with many jobs and too few to fill them,
wages will likely increase more than in fields where there are too many
employees and not enough jobs."

IOW, they are not claiming or showing 23% being taken from the overall
product cost to begin with.

On average, every good and
> service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will go away as
> market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the FairTax. Guys,
> this isn't that hard.

Nothing personal, but it is also YOUR statement, not theirs. They do
compare the 23% in Fair tax to the amount of income tax paid by the
consumer.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3377. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 16:42:32 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF262C786E1Fhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231304
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irmqp6$apd$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF1678135203hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>> news:irk4f8$ks$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9EF0986C7911Dhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF0AAF5845E6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>
>>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>>> news:Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>>
>>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:irgufi$l7$7@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo
>>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> paying 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> like the top 19% are not paying half of governments
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> fair share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> advocate
>>>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> 7% sales
>>>>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7%
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sales tax,
>>>>>>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> government
>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.htm
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> l
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> their fair
>>>>>>>>>>>> share
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>>>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to
>>>>>>>>>>>> go through
>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to
>>>>>>>>>>> catch on ... I was wrong.
>>>>>>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>>>>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all

>>>>>>>>> income not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it
>>>>>>>>> here in this thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is
>>>>>>>>> really quite simply and quite short so you should have no
>>>>>>>>> problem understanding it. ;)
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell
>>>>>>>>> isn't flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on
>>>>>>>>> whatever was purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the
>>>>>>>>> real world.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion
>>>>>>>>> began, or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person B
>>>>>>>> buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> What's more fair than that?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Same product, same taxes paid.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Fair.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal
>>>>>> poverty level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on
>>>>>> amount over federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable
>>>>>> income paid. Fair.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about
>>>>>> food or necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list
>>>>>> exemptions....and the list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and
>>>>>> Cher......
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> The real problem is...
>>>>>
>>>>> First you have to decide how much the government needs to funtion.
>>>>
>>>> That is true under any taxing scheme.
>>>>>
>>>>> To do that you have to decide what the government should be doing.
>>>>
>>>> Same here and that is most of the discussion and difference between
>>>> liberals and conservatives.
>>>>
>>>>> I think rather than discussing camoflaging how the feds fleece the
>>>>> taxpayer those questions really need to be answered.

>>>>
>>>> Yep, but, good luck. Those discussions have been going on for two
>>>> hundred years. ;)
>>>>
>>>>> I am of the opinion that taxes overall hurt the economy by taking
>>>>> people's hard earned money. I don't care if you are the bus boy or
>>>>> the owner of the chain. You earened it, it's yours.
>>>>
>>>> However, one does get things from having a government.
>>>>
>>>>> Overall if the bite is reasonably low than whatever negative
>>>>> effects it has are mitigated. But the only really effective way to
>>>>> increase government revenues is to have a going, expanding
>>>>> economy. That way whatever "protection" money the government
>>>>> extorts from the people can increase without increasing the
>>>>> percentage that it takes.
>>>>
>>>> True.
>>>>
>>>>> Of course that would require a complete ovrehaul of most federal
>>>>> policies and the expulsion of Marxists and enviromentalists.
>>>>>
>>>>> One would have to stop viewing tax policy as a method of molding
>>>>> people's behavior and relegate to the neccessary evil it is.
>>>>>
>>>>> Frankly I have yet to hear anyone explain to me how we can tax out
>>>>> way out of the current crisis wherein the debt equals the GDP and
>>>>> is likely to double in 8 years. There is simply no possible way to
>>>>> do it without removing so much wealth from the private sector as
>>>>> to thorougly tank the economy, which will in turn make the problem
>>>>> immeasurably worse.
>>>>
>>>> To get out of this will require BOTH taxes and spending cuts.
>>>> Doing just one or the other won't do it.
>>>
>>> Agreed, but until I see some serious spending cuts and a clear, firm
>>> (and preferably Constitutionally mandated) path to control spending
>>> and reduce the debt, I would be most reluctant to accept the need
>>> for any increase in taxation.
>>
>> As would I.
>>
>>> We've been promised spending cuts before in exchange for a tax hike.
>>> We got the hike....we didn't get the cuts.
>>
>> That's why we have elections.
>
> Which, even at best, is closing the barn door after the horse has run
> off.

That's true but it is often rather difficult to know how someone will vote.....see Souter.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3378. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 16:45:50 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF281D7E4265Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231305
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:ef9eff13-5301-4e82-b5c0-66f67997c9d6
@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:

> On May 27, 10:09 am, "SaPeIsMa" <SapeI...@Hotmail.com> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:c0d226e9-3004-49e2-9639-
3e69e3cb45ec@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
>> On May 26, 8:03 pm, "Scout"
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
93cd47cf929f@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> > > On May 26, 1:05 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
wrote:
>> > >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> > >> innews:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311
@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups
> .com:
>>
>> > >> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
wro
> te:
>> > >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> > >> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@
>> > >> > y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > >> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
>> > >> >> >> > revenue from the income tax.
>>
>> > >> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>> > >> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only
whe

> n
>> > >> >> > the
>> > >> > y
>> > >> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the
governm
> ent
>> > >> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>>
>> > >> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old
luxur
> y
>> > >> >> tax.
>>
>> > >> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs
t
> hat
>> > >> > are already built in to every product and service you buy.
>>
>> > >> Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same revenue
sour
> ce
>> > >> as
>> > >> the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue source from
>> > >> income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the 'before' costs
>> > >> added
>> > >> to the price of purchase.
>>
>> > > No it doesn't need to be added. It's already part of what you are
>> > > paying anyway. Here's a very simplified example:
>>
>> > > Product costs $100, broken down as follows:
>>
>> > > Under current system
>> > > - wholesale = $50
>> > > - compliance costs = $23
>> > > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> > > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> > > Under the FairTax
>> > > - wholesale = $50
>> > > - compliance costs = - $23
>> > > - FairTax = $23
>> > > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> > > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> > Sorry, but how can you totally eliminate compliance costs, since there
>> > would
>> > still be costs to complying with the FairTax as well as the sales and
>> > other

>> > taxes.
>>
>> > As such simply saying it's not going to cost anything to comply with
th
> e
>> > tax
>> > laws is an utterly false assumption.
>>
>> > Indeed since NOTHING else has changed the compliance costs would, at
>> > minimum, stay the same, and given that the need to comply with the
Fair
> Tax
>> > would require some expense, the compliance cost would likely increase.
>>
>> > So in reality, what would happen would be more like:
>>
>> > Under the FairTax
>> > - wholesale = $50
>> > - compliance costs = $26
>> > - FairTax = $23
>> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> > - Grand total = $126
>>
>> #
>> # Let me ask you a real simple question. What cost do you incur to pay
>> # a sales tax at the point of purchase?
>>
>> The buyer ?
>> The buyer is paying for the cost which is built into the sale pri
> ce
>
> There's no compliance cost to him with paying the sales tax. They
> just pay it. Unlike the fed income tax compliance means CPAs,
> TurboTax, manuals, etc.
>
>> The seller ?
>> The seller has the cost of managing and reporting the taxes that
> were
>
> Oh, you mean like they already do with state sales taxes? By the way,
> merchants and sellers are reimbursed for those costs. But, you knew
> that already, didn't you?

Which is never enough.

>
>> captured at the point of sale.
>> The government ?
>> The government has the cost of processing and verifying the repor
> ts from

>> the sellers. As well as running investigations/prosecutions where it's
>> suspected that the sellers are not reporting/paying ﷺall the captured t
> axes.
>
> Which they already do.
>

Perhaps you can tell us, without crawling up the ass of the merchants the feds could verify exactly what they sold.

Without an audit how will they know what sales were? How do you know that merchant x who claims $1,000,000 in sales and collected $230,000 in sales tax didn't actually collect say $1,500,000 And simple pocket the $115,000 in sales tax?

None of which addresses my questions

What should the government really be doing?
What are government's real cost, how much do we need to pay?

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3379. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 16:49:01 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF28262A201BWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231306
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
4957d24bfebe@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:

> On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
4e47e0379641
>> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>> 55a606092fd9
>> > @
>> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
that's
>> >> $123.
>>
>> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
>> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
>> >> > you.
>>
>> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are just
>> goin
>> > g

>> >> to away?
>>
>> > Yes I do. ❍As do the economists that examined the plan and the way
>> > market forces work.
>>
>> >> --
>> >> Herman Cain for President! ❍ ❍ ❍ ❍ ❍ ❍ ❍http://hermancai
> n.c
>> > om/
>> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
>> muc
>> > h
>> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
>> >> competence?
>>
>> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>
>
> Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our current
> tax system would fully fund the government.
>
>> --
>> Herman Cain for President! ❍ ❍ ❍ ❍ ❍ ❍ ❍http://hermancain.c
> om/
>> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
muc
> h
>> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
>> competence?
>
>

Exactly my point.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

3380. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 16:50:04 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF2640EB8D44hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231307
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irmr4jcec1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF16CBC4ED54hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>> news:irk4of$233$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>
>>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>>
>>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> who pays the federal income tax burden in this country.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> If you want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> goes to the government then your right. I know of no
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> place that compiles that data. ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I

>>>>>>>>>>> need them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
>>>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you.
>>>>>>>>>> Which explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem
>>>>>>>>> ... you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can,
>>>>>>>>> from the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>>> ? May 15, 2011
>>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the
>>>>>>>> check, but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies
>>>>>>>> the cause of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization
>>>>>>>> that poisons our political process. But to understand that
>>>>>>>> problem, we must consider how taxes are applied to the
>>>>>>>> production of goods and services.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes
>>>>>>>> based on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm

>>>>>>>>> and equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just
>>>>>>>>> another cost of doing business in the course of earning his
>>>>>>>>> living, no different from fuel for his tractor or wages and
>>>>>>>>> taxes for employees.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and
>>>>>>>>> taxes, the price they charge the miller must cover their
>>>>>>>>> expenses and taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and
>>>>>>>>> savings. Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat.
>>>>>>>>> All of these costs and taxes were passed on to the miller,
>>>>>>>>> embedded in the price of wheat.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat
>>>>>>>>> to the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security
>>>>>>>>> taxes he withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes,
>>>>>>>>> were all passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying
>>>>>>>>> with it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all
>>>>>>>>> those previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their
>>>>>>>>> employees, hidden in the price of that loaf of bread. The
>>>>>>>>> buyer and his family ate the bread, and, having done so, could
>>>>>>>>> not sell it to anyone else and pass the taxes along, as the
>>>>>>>>> baker and everyone else before had done.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with
>>>>>>>>> the farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they
>>>>>>>>> withheld from all of their employees. From bread to
>>>>>>>>> automobiles to brain surgery, the price of everything we buy
>>>>>>>>> carries in it the hidden taxes of everyone who contributed to
>>>>>>>>> the production of that product or service to the tune of, on
>>>>>>>>> average, 23 cents of every dollar we spend for federal taxes
>>>>>>>>> alone.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality,
>>>>>>>>> a scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and
>>>>>>>>> unknowing tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to
>>>>>>>>> keep voters from realizing who really bears the burden of
>>>>>>>>> those high taxes.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income
>>>>>>>>> and business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are
>>>>>>>>> eventually paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods
>>>>>>>>> and services. It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to
>>>>>>>>> which tax bracket, or what deductions you receive. These
>>>>>>>>> devices change only the degree to which you are a tax
>>>>>>>>> collector, but the burden taxes place on your life depends
>>>>>>>>> solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm.
>>>>>>>>> First, it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services
>>>>>>>>> that are provided by highly taxed individuals and companies,
>>>>>>>>> like health care, are artificially more expensive than
>>>>>>>>> necessary, while raw materials and natural resources are
>>>>>>>>> underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political
>>>>>>>>> process, encouraging government overspending by politicians
>>>>>>>>> who exploit the mistaken belief of many voters that government
>>>>>>>>> spending can be paid for solely by taxing corporations or the
>>>>>>>>> "rich." All of the exploitation of envy and demagoguery -
>>>>>>>>> which brings so much ill will to our politics and drives
>>>>>>>>> wedges between Americans who would be better served by mutual
>>>>>>>>> respect and compassion - is ultimately the meaningless
>>>>>>>>> exploitation of a lie.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
>>>>>>>>> appears progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive.
>>>>>>>>> Currently, the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay
>>>>>>>>> no income tax directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes
>>>>>>>>> added to the hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax
>>>>>>>>> burden is almost 30 percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone,
>>>>>>>>> through an invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of
>>>>>>>>> living.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com.
>>>>>>>>> He is a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as
>>>>>>>> Mr. B.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr
>>>>>>>> B gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the
>>>>>> rich not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so.
>>>>> The best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same
>>>>> percentage without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>>>
>>>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>>>>
>>>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and
>>>>> why.
>>>>
>>>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>>>
>>> Actually, I think if we fixed the income the federal government had
>>> to work with by eliminating their power to impose or increase taxes,
>>> I bet the rest would, over time, resolve itself.
>>
>> Somebody has to be able to adjust tax rates... If not Congress then
>> who?
>
> Decrease, by Congress.
>
> Increase, by vote during a general election.

I think one can do the same thing with spending proposals as we would
like to see in regulatory proposals.

A. What problem is being fixed or addressed?
B. What measurement system is going to be used or put in place to ensure
that result is occurring?
C. How long will that measurement be given to show results.
D. If measurement shows no gain or the law doesn't work, law will
automatically sunset after stated period of time.

That should apply to all legislation.

>> As law makers have to
>>> live within their means then priorities would be required and those
>>> items which were luxuries or not required would keep getting pushed
>>> further and further towards the short end of the stick.
>>
>> In a dream world. Unfortunately, in this one, what one set sees and
>> luxuries, the other side sees as entitlements.
>
> Yep, I never said they would be eliminated, but priorities BOTH sides
> can agree on would slowly get the bulk of the available resources.

Yes. We need to cut down on pure partisanship from both sides.

>>> If we, as a
>>> people, decide that the government simply doesn't have the funds to
>>> provide the necessary services, then we, as a people, can decide to
>>> raise our taxes to provide more funding so such necessary services
>>> can exist at a level we desire.
>>
>> Look at the hullabaloo over modifying SS and Medicare and tell me
>> with a straight face that the people will vote for money to provide
>> necessary services and will not vote for money that aren't.
>
> Some would. A lot would not.
>
> Would you vote to raise taxes for unneeded services?

Not unless it could be shown that the service is actually needed and what
the measurement system is for monitoring success or failure.

>> Particularly when that
>> opinion of what is on what side of the line is all over the place.
>
> There is that.
>
>>> We are, after all, the ones paying for it all, so we should have a
>>> direct say in how much we will pay.
>>
>> Yep, but look at the above and you can see why a direct democracy
>> won't work.
>
> Oh, I don't know. If you could earmark your check for the specific
> programs you felt the money should go to.....and undecided funds would
> be allocated by Congress to plug any holes.....Hmmm... possible.

That would be possible. From what I understand, you can send checks to
the IRS to be directed to specific uses.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3381. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 16:53:19 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF2649B82B20hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231308
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irmrdcdrq1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF16F00FA784hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF0E2518371EWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>
>>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>
>>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>>
>>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of
>>>>>>>>>>>>> who pays the federal income tax burden in this country.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> If you want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that
>>>>>>>>>>>>> goes to the government then your right. I know of no
>>>>>>>>>>>>> place that compiles that data. ...
>>>>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I
>>>>>>>>>>>> need them pointed out to me.

>>>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
>>>>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you.
>>>>>>>>>>> Which explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem
>>>>>>>>>> ... you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can,
>>>>>>>>>> from the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>>>> ? May 15, 2011
>>>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the
>>>>>>>>> check, but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies
>>>>>>>>> the cause of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization
>>>>>>>>> that poisons our political process. But to understand that
>>>>>>>>> problem, we must consider how taxes are applied to the
>>>>>>>>> production of goods and services.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes
>>>>>>>>> based on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm
>>>>>>>>> and equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just

>>>>>>>>> another cost of doing business in the course of earning his
>>>>>>>>> living, no different from fuel for his tractor or wages and
>>>>>>>>> taxes for employees.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and
>>>>>>>>> taxes, the price they charge the miller must cover their
>>>>>>>>> expenses and taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and
>>>>>>>>> savings. Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat.
>>>>>>>>> All of these costs and taxes were passed on to the miller,
>>>>>>>>> embedded in the price of wheat.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat
>>>>>>>>> to the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security
>>>>>>>>> taxes he withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes,
>>>>>>>>> were all passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying
>>>>>>>>> with it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all
>>>>>>>>> those previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their
>>>>>>>>> employees, hidden in the price of that loaf of bread. The
>>>>>>>>> buyer and his family ate the bread, and, having done so, could
>>>>>>>>> not sell it to anyone else and pass the taxes along, as the
>>>>>>>>> baker and everyone else before had done.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with
>>>>>>>>> the farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they
>>>>>>>>> withheld from all of their employees. From bread to
>>>>>>>>> automobiles to brain surgery, the price of everything we buy
>>>>>>>>> carries in it the hidden taxes of everyone who contributed to
>>>>>>>>> the production of that product or service to the tune of, on
>>>>>>>>> average, 23 cents of every dollar we spend for federal taxes
>>>>>>>>> alone.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality,
>>>>>>>>> a scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and
>>>>>>>>> unknowing tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to
>>>>>>>>> keep voters from realizing who really bears the burden of
>>>>>>>>> those high taxes.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income
>>>>>>>>> and business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are
>>>>>>>>> eventually paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods
>>>>>>>>> and services. It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to
>>>>>>>>> which tax bracket, or what deductions you receive. These
>>>>>>>>> devices change only the degree to which you are a tax
>>>>>>>>> collector, but the burden taxes place on your life depends
>>>>>>>>> solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the

>>>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm.
>>>>>>>>> First, it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services
>>>>>>>>> that are provided by highly taxed individuals and companies,
>>>>>>>>> like health care, are artificially more expensive than
>>>>>>>>> necessary, while raw materials and natural resources are
>>>>>>>>> underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political
>>>>>>>>> process, encouraging government overspending by politicians
>>>>>>>>> who exploit the mistaken belief of many voters that government
>>>>>>>>> spending can be paid for solely by taxing corporations or the
>>>>>>>>> "rich." All of the exploitation of envy and demagoguery -
>>>>>>>>> which brings so much ill will to our politics and drives
>>>>>>>>> wedges between Americans who would be better served by mutual
>>>>>>>>> respect and compassion - is ultimately the meaningless
>>>>>>>>> exploitation of a lie.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
>>>>>>>>> appears progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive.
>>>>>>>>> Currently, the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay
>>>>>>>>> no income tax directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes
>>>>>>>>> added to the hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax
>>>>>>>>> burden is almost 30 percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone,
>>>>>>>>> through an invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of
>>>>>>>>> living.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com.
>>>>>>>>> He is a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as
>>>>>>>> Mr. B.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr
>>>>>>>> B gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>
>>>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the
>>>>>> rich not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so.
>>>>> The best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same
>>>>> percentage without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>>>
>>>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>>>
>>> Indeed and I like it.
>>>
>>>>
>>>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and
>>>>> why.
>>>>
>>>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>>>
>>> Yes, but if that question is not answered we will never solve the
>>> problem.
>>>
>>
>> The problem is that it IS an ongoing problem and always will be.
>> Therfore we need to keep solving it as we go along. There is no
>> magic one shot elixer to fix it.
>
> Which is why we need to get the Congress' power to arbitrarily
> increase taxes and/or borrow money under control.
>
> Spending control will tend to come from that, and if we can tighten
> the noose until spending, programs, and how it is allocated become if
> not acceptable at least bearable then we will have made great strides.
> The first step, IMO, is cutting down the flow of money to them so that
> the rest MUST be addressed.
>
>
>

I agree that one of the major problems is that any extra monies never go
to paying down the debt but to some new entitlement or enrichment of an
old one that arises simply because there is some extra money. It is like
a family that has maxed out credit cards finding a hundred dollar bill
and going to the movies with it rather than sending it to Visa or
Mastercard.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3382. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 16:54:25 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF264CBA8D7Ahopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231309
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com> wrote in
news:9f2d9a4d-072f-4417-8918-adf412e10397@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com:

> On May 26, 11:51 am, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>> innews:irm3nq$i
> or$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > <Yoorg...@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
>> >news:mapst6p7pdlqt917rol550h6m5lh9jb3im@4ax.com...
>> >> On Wed, 25 May 2011 22:59:21 -0400, "Scout"
>> >> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>
>> >>><Yoorg...@Jurgis.net> wrote in message
>> >>>news:vuert61m666lovc2breipbnpboavs34t93@4ax.com...
>> >>>> On Wed, 25 May 2011 18:44:10 -0400, "Scout"
>> >>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>
>> >>>>>Let's say your burger flipper makes $30,000 and your "wealth
>> >>>>>class" makes
>> >>>>>$1,000,000
>>
>> >>>>>The burger flipper (given the numbers above, the ones you
>> >>>>>snipped) would be
>> >>>>>paying all of $900 in taxes. Your "wealth class on the other
>> >>>>>hand would be
>> >>>>>paying $146,400. The effective tax rate, and you love talking
>> >>>>>about effective rates, would have the effective tax rate on the
>> >>>>>burger flipper be
>> >>>>>3%, your wealth class, on the other hand, would have an
>> >>>>>effective tax rate
>> >>>>>of 14.6%
>>

>> >>>> $900 for a low income in taxes is almost 90% of what they have
>> >>>> over what it takes to live on
>>
>> >>>Let's see about that shall we...
>>
>> >>>Poverty level (ability to survive) is $24,000
>>
>> >>>Which means he's $6,000 above that.
>>
>> >>>Of which he pays $900 in taxes
>>
>> >>>or....15% of what they have over what it takes to live on.
>>
>> >>>So where did you get 90%? Pull it out of your ass?
>>
>> >> Because you assumed that a national poverty figure, set by the
>> >> fucking idiots that back the wealth class, is a figure that has
>> >> validity
>>
>> > Ok, then what number would you like to use?
>>
>> > I don't really care since it's going to be the same for everyone.
>>
>> >> Second, the $900 you're proud of is only the FICA taxes, not the
>> >> total taxation paid by a low income earner. Add to that $900,
>> >> state
> ,
>> >> local, county, and other federal taxes on food, clothing, shelter,
>> >> utilities, everything else.
>>
>> > Totally missing out on what is being said, aren't you?
>>
>> WOW, I though he was smarter than that, but.....
>
> Mr. Roselles is the only person on UseNet to successfully argue
> himself *into* a paper bag.

;)

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

Cremation......for those who think outside the box.

3383. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 16:57:33 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF2655388616hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231310
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:

> On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com.
>> ..
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
> 031
>> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > Under the FairTax
>> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
>> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
>> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> --
>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://herman

> cain.c
>> >> > om/
>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama
>> >> >> had
> as
>> >> muc
>> >> > h
>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
>> >> >> muc
> h
>> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> I refer to your math.
>>
>> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> >> that's $1
> 23.
>>
>> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
>> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
>> > you.
>>
>> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales and
>> other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they will still
>> need to comp
> ly
>> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the
>> additional FairTax imposed?
>
> You need to understand what compliance costs actually are. They are
> the costs associated with complying with the federal income tax on
> wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income tax on wages,
> i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering, documenting, and filing our
> annual income.. If those taxes are gone then just what exactly is
> there left to comply with and how does that cost any money?

There are also state compliance costs associated with state income taxes for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals, etc.. Fair tax does nothing about them. In addition you also have some compliance taxes for the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.

> Compliance costs of the income and payroll tax are like an anchor
> holding back economic growth. We have nothing to show for the billions
> spent each year on compliance. Hey, if you like having to spend money
> on accountant, buy tax programs, spend countless hours figuring out
> what you owe, have your income withheld and being taxed on what you
> earn rather than what you spend, then there's nothing I can say that
> will change your mind. I prefer a better way.
>

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3384. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still lying about citizenship law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 17:06:56 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <dfWdnXPt-5-sR0LQnZ2dnUVZ5uSdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3385. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 17:07:04 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <dfWdnXLt-5-kR0LQnZ2dnUVZ5uSdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3386. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 17:10:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDFDAEF-10866@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231314

Gasoline is $3.37 purr gallon at the Kroger food store gas pumps in
Byram,Missy Sippy.How much is gas where you are?
http://www.WLBT.com
and click on Gas Prices.

Bit, everybody knows there is no WLBT 3 tee vee station enema backwater
hick Jackson,Missy Sippy
cuhulin

3387. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 17:22:29 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <a55df435-ec3a-4cbd-96bd-a6a7c5e8166a@v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231313
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 27, 12:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> > On May 26, 6:09 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-93cd47cf929f@
> > v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 26, 1:05 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> innews:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@
> >> > e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@
> >> >> > y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
> >> >> >> >> > revenue from the income tax.
>
> >> >> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>
> >> >> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only
> >> >> >> > when the
> >> >> > y
> >> >> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the
> >> >> >> > governmen
> >> > t
> >> >> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>
> >> >> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old
> >> >> >> luxury tax.
>
> >> >> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs
> >> >> > that are already built in to every product and service you buy.
>
> >> >> Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same revenue
> >> >> source as the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue
> >> >> source from income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the

> >> >> 'before' costs added to the price of purchase.
>
> >> > No it doesn’t need to be added.
>
> >> Of course it does. It is NOT part of that 23% you keep saying is
> >> alrea
> > dy
> >> paid in product cost or the product taxes, etc. were actually less
> >> than 23%. What you have is this:
>
> >> Product selling price
> >> Product cost
> >> Corporate taxes
> >> Inventory taxes
> >> Excise taxes
>
> >> Now subtract the bottom three from the product selling price.
>
> >> Now you have:
>
> >> Product selling price
> >> Product cost
> >> - Corporate taxes
> >> - Inventory taxes
> >> - Excise taxes
>
> >> Now add those to a Fair Tax
>
> >> Corporate taxes
> >> Inventory taxes
> >> Excise taxes
>
> >> Now you need to add in the tax portion that was covered by federal
> >> income taxes. You now have:
>
> >> Corporate taxes
> >> Inventory taxes
> >> Excise taxes
> >> The revenue from income taxes
> >> Revenue from FICA
>
> >> You can't subract 23% from a product, add more stuff to it and add it
> >> back and still have 23%.
>
> >> It’s already part of what you are
>
> >> > paying anyway. Here’s a very simplified example:
>
> >> > Product costs $100, broken down as follows:
>

> >> > Under current system
> >> > - wholesale = $50
> >> > - compliance costs = $23
> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> >> > - Grand total = $100
>
> >> > Under the FairTax
> >> > - wholesale = $50
> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
> >> > - FairTax = $23
> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> >> > - Grand total = $100
>
> >> Ooops, forgot the revenue to make up for no income tax and FICA.
>
> > The FairTax replaces federal income taxes including personal, estate,
> > gift, capital gains, alternative minimum, Social Security, Medicare,
> > self-employment, and corporate taxes. The proper tax rate has been
> > carefully worked out; 23 percent does the job of: (1) raising the same
> > amount of federal funds as are raised by the current system, (2)
> > paying the universal rebate. I can't make it any more simple than
> > this.
>
> And I already know that. Tell me something new like how you subtract 23%
> from product costs, add it back in and then add personal income taxes,
> estate taxes, gift taxes, capital gains taxes, althernative minimum
> taxes, FICA, Medicare Taxes, self employment taxes, corporate taxes and
> compliance costs. So how can you end up with the same number?
>
> Either the original 23% you take out is wrong or the 23% you put back in
> is wrong.
>

Start here: http://www.fairtax.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_BHI_0607
Then go here: http://www.fairtax.org/PDF/Tax%20Notes%20article%20on%20FT%20rate.pdf

> Economists and businesspeople have spent $22M researching
>
> > this. There is nothing more I can tell you to convince you. You need
> > to read the book and the web site.
>
> Me reading the book or the website won't change that what you are saying
> doesn't compute.
>

Start here: http://www.fairtax.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_BHI_0607
Then go here: http://www.fairtax.org/PDF/Tax%20Notes%20article%20on%20FT%20rate.pdf

> >> >> The luxury
>
> >> >> > tax would have been a tax on top of that.

>
> >> >> And to cover the loss of revenue from the income tax being
> >> >> removed, it is also added into that Fair Tax number.
>
> >> > No, not added to the FairTax number. The FairTax IS the
> >> > replacement to the income tax.
>
> >> Not if the other taxes were already 23%. You can't put ten pounds of
> >> crap in a five pound bag.
>
> > See above.
>
> Not an explanation, not was your previous comment.
>

Start here: http://www.fairtax.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_BHI_0607
Then go here: http://www.fairtax.org/PDF/Tax%20Notes%20article%20on%20FT%20rate.pdf

> >> >> >> >> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>
> >> >> >> >> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the
> >> >> >> >> > income tax as the method of funding government. If you
> >> >> >> >> > full
> > y
> >> >> >> >> > understand the FairTax
> >> >> >> >> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>
> >> >> >> >> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that
> >> >> >> >> sales tax from certain items, like food, housing, public
> >> >> >> >> transportation, gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor
> >> >> >> >> paying a much larger percentage of their income on those
> >> >> >> >> taxes than the wealthy.
>
> >> >> >> > Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First,
> >> >> >> > peopl
> >> > e
> >> >> >> > pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level.
>
> >> >> >> Which means that someone, somewhere needs to know your income.
>
> >> >> >> Every household
>
> >> >> > No, they just need to know how many people are in your
> >> >> > household. That determines the prebate, not one's income.
>
> >> >> How do you you receive that prebate? Do you get a check every
> >> >> month
> > ?
>
> >> > That, or direct deposit to your bank account. The infrastructure
> >> > is already set to do this for any number of government programs so

> >> > implementation is not difficult. Well, we are talking government
> >> > her
> > e
> >> > so….
>
> >> OK
>
> >> >> >> > receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on
> >> >> >> > essential goods and services.
>
> >> >> >> I looked at the prebate schedule. Where in there does income
> >> >> >> com
> > e
> >> >> >> into it for that poverty level?
>
> >> >> > It doesn't. Nor does it need to. It only needs to figure what
> > the
> >> >> > cost of essential goods and services are for a family of X
> >> >> > number of people. A family of four that makes $100,000 requires
> >> >> > the same essential goods and services as a family of four that
> >> >> > makes $50,000.
>
> >> >> And how is that prebate received?
>
> >> > See above.
>
> >> >> >>From what I see, it is based on number of
> >> >> >> adults and number of dependents.
>
> >> >> > Correct, that's all that is needed.
>
> >> >> >> Second, per my example an item that costs $100 today> still
> >> >> >> cost
> > s
> >> >> >> $100
> >> >> > under the FairTax.
>
> >> >> >> If that's regressive then sign me
>
> >> >> >> > up.
> >> >> >> > The poor are always going to pay a larger percentage of their
> >> >> >> > income on everything. No tax system is going to change that.
> >> >> >> > Isn't that what the bulk of this thread is about?
>
> >> >> >> Not on a flat tax like I proposed. The difference is slight,
> >> >> >> depending on your income, but it is there.
>
> >> >> > Not sure I follow. If taxpayer A makes less than taxpayer B,
> >> >> > assumin
> >> > g

> >> >> > both buy the exact same thing then taxpayer A is always going to
> >> >> > pay more of a percentage of their income for buying something.
>
> >> >> My flat income tax proposal is on income not goods.
>
> >> > And under that system you are taxed on what you earn AND what you
> >> > spend. Under the FairTax you are taxed ONLY on what you spend.
>
> >> Wrong. Under the flat tax system, you are taxed separately on what
> >> you earn and what you spend. With Fair Tax, you are taxed on what
> >> everyone earns and the product costs but it is all in one tax in lieu
> >> of being separate.
>
> > Uh… okay. Mince words if you want. The reality is under a flat tax
> > you are still paying twice. And, you are paying 23% in embedded
> > wasted costs. Under the FairTax you are paying once. What I'm
> > hearing you say is that you would still rather be taxed two separate
> > times as well as having your income taken from you when the government
> > wants it as opposed to when you want to give it to them, continue to
> > comply with a messy tax code and on and on and on. If that's what you
> > want then there will never be any convincing you otherwise.
>
> No, what you are really hearing me say is that you cannot remove 23% from
> a product cost, add it back in, add a bunch of stuff and end up with the
> same number. Either you take out a different percentage than 23% or you
> add in a different percentage than 23%. Which is it? All you have
> really removed from the cost of the product is compliance costs for the
> old system. You also added compliance costs for the new Fair Tax and all
> those other taxes aforementioned. You cannot convine me that all those
> taxes are the same value as the old compliance costs.
>

Start here: http://www.fairtax.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_BHI_0607
Then go here: http://www.fairtax.org/PDF/Tax%20Notes%20article%20on%20FT%20rate.pdf

> >> >> >> >> >> The FairTax is a replacement
>
> >> >> >> >> >> > for the income tax.
>
> >> >> >> >> >> Yes....and a flat tax is another method of figuring
> >> >> >> >> >> income tax.
>
> >> >> >> >> > Yeah....and they both accomplish the same thing. The
> >> >> >> >> > FairTa
> > x
> >> >> >> >> > i
> >> > s
> >> >> >> >> > better because a flat tax still involves taxing income
> >> >> >> >> > which then leads to exemptions, deductions, and keeps the
> >> >> >> >> > 16th amendment in place as well as the IRS, and I can go
> >> >> >> >> > on and on about the pitfalls of our current tax system.

>
> >> >> >> >> A flat tax on income replaces the current tax system. If
> >> >> >> >> properl
> >> > y
> >> >> >> >> administered it only has ONE deduction and that is poverty
> >> >> >> >> level wages for a family of four. Everyone gets that ONE
> >> >> >> >> deduction, or exemption if
> >> >> >> >> you prefer, and no other. You can do your tax on a
> >> >> >> >> postcard.
>
> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax you don't have to worry about deductions or
> >> >> >> > exemptions. You don't even have to do your taxes on a
> >> >> >> > postcard because there is nothing to do. April 15 would be
> >> >> >> > just another beautiful spring day.
>
> >> >> >> > Here's the problem with the flat tax, it retains the invasive
> >> >> >> > income tax administration apparatus and can easily revert to
> >> >> >> > a graduated, convoluted mess, as it has many times over many
> >> >> >> > years.
>
> >> >> >> And your fair tax needs to know number of adults in the
> >> >> >> household along with number of dependents.
>
> >> >> > Correct. Again as it should. That's how the prebate is determi
> > ned
> >> > .
>
> >> >> And how is that prebate handled? There is really nothing in the
> >> >> propos
> >> > al
> >> >> that indicates that.
>
> >> > From the FAQ: All valid Social Security cardholders who are U.S.
> >> > residents receive a monthly prebate equivalent to the FairTax paid
> >> > on essential goods and services, also known as the poverty level
> >> > expenditures. The prebate is paid in advance, in equal installments
> >> > each month.
>
> >> Read that. I was asking how and you seem to have answered that
> >> earlier when you said it could be in the form of a check or a deposit
> >> to one's account.
>
> >> The size of the prebate is determined by the Department of
>
> >> > Health & Human Services’ poverty level guideline multiplied by the
> >> > ta
> > x
> >> > rate. This is a well-accepted, long-used poverty-level calculation
> >> > that includes food, clothing, shelter, transportation, medical
> >> > care, etc.

>
> >> Yes, I know what is in it.
>
> >> > Sent via check or direct deposit.
>
> >> You answered that earlier. My point was that the FAQ doesn't say
> >> that.
> >
> >> It says you get it but not how.
>
> > The web site doesn't, the law does.
>
> YOu already told me. Why waste time repeating it?

Uh...because you asked....

>
> > The Fair Tax Act of 2005, Part XIX
>
> > `SEC. 304. REBATE MECHANISM.
> > ...
>
> > `(c) When Rebates Mailed- Rebates shall be mailed on or before the
> > first business day of the month for which the rebate is being
> > provided.
>
> > `(d) Smartcards and Direct Electronic Deposit Permissible- The Social
> > Security Administration may provide rebates in the form of smartcards
> > that carry cash balances in their memory for use in making purchases
> > at retail establishments or by direct electronic deposit.
>
> > So each registered family (or family of one perhaps) will get their
> > rebate checks in the mail, via direct deposit, or via a smartcard/
> > debit card account arrangement. I would probably use direct deposit
> > myself. Paper checks are slow, and I think a separate debit card from
> > my regular check card is not very convenient (just another card to
> > have to safeguard and another account to watch).
>
> >> > Oh, and with regard to the first paragraph if you aren’t legal you
> >> > don’t get the prebate, but you still pay the tax. Think illegals.
>
> >> I already figured that out.
>
> >> > Or, how about the drug dealer who pays no income tax at all on his
> >> > “earnings”. The government currently get no, zero, nada, zilch,
> >> > income tax from him. But, does Joe Criminal buy nice cars,
> >> > clothes, electronics, houses, etc? Guess what? Now he’s paying
> >> > the FairT
> > ax on
> >> > that. How about the tourist who comes to the US for a pleasure
> >> > trip? Does the government get any income tax from them? Nope. Do

> >> > they b
> > uy
> >> > a lot of goodies while here? Yup. Get the picture now?
>
> >> I already had the picture. I was asking details.
>
> >> >> >>There is also nothing there that prevents it
> >> >> >> from becoming another convoluted mess. Congress can fuck up a
> >> >> >> bowling ball.
>
> >> >> > Yes, congress can fuck up a bowling ball. In fact, the first
> >> >> > implementation of our current tax system was just a handful of
> >> >> > progressive tax brackets (several flat taxes if you will),
>
> >> >> Prograssive tax brackets do not a flat tax make.
>
> >> > No they don’t, but my point still stands. Look what has happened t
> > o
> >> > those brackets since 1913.
>
> >> And with Congress, there is nothing stopping that from happening
> >> again in one form or another with the Fair Tax.
>
> > I agree, but as I described it's much harder to do than with an income
> > tax.
>
> Only because you are relying on congressional folks greed. Not a good
> thing to count on.
>

Oh really?!?!? ROTFLMAO!!!!

> >> >> with no
>
> >> >> > exemptions, no deductions, etc. And look what happened. There
> > is
> >> > no
> >> >> > reason to believe a flat tax would wind up going back to the
> >> >> > convoluted mess we have now.
>
> >> >> I think you meant to say "wouldn't". Anyway, there is no reason
> >> >> to believe that a Fair Tax wouldn't either.
>
> >> > Yes, you are correct. Agreed, but the reasons I laid out make it
> >> > far harder to do than the current tax code or even the flat tax
> >> > which still would have all the nonsense that goes along with our
> >> > current code.
>
> >> Why would it be harder? All Congress has to do is to modify the
> >> code.
>

> > Really? That's all they have to do? You make it sound so easy. As I
> > already said everybody…I repeat…everybody pays this tax. No
> > exemptions, no deductions. Everyone pays it. Now, you tell me what
> > politician in his right mind is going to risk election or re-election
> > by raising the rate.
>
> Same ones that are going to have to address entitlements before we go
> broke.

Have you been watching the news lately? Hows that all working out for
say, Ryan? Meanwhile, Obama and his merry band of socialists are
calling for tax increases on the rich. That, although not workable,
is going over far better than the Ryan plan - which I happen to agree
with.

>
> If they do they are a one term rep or senator.
>
> > I'm not saying it's impossible, but it is much harder.
>
> Not really......just a bit more open....maybe.
>
> > Take the current state of affairs. Obama and the leftwing
> > redistributionists are all in favor of raising taxes on the so called
> > rich. They are at no real risk of trying to do that because a) the
> > "rich" are a small percentage of the voting base that actually pay fed
> > income taxes; and b) their (Obama and the rest of the left) voting
> > base is predominantly a group that pays little to no fed income tax.
> > So, if you are a voter asked to vote for someone who will raise taxes
> > on someone else who the hell are you going to vote for?!?!?
> > Conversely, if that same politician says they are going to raise taxes
> > on YOU who do you think they are going to vote for.
>
> That's a good point, but in this case, those taxes will be going up or we
> will be going broke.
>
> >> >> Plus, you would still have a tax code,
>
> >> >> > the IRS, the 16th Amendment, compliance costs, and on and on and
> >> >> > on. Under the FairTax the tax code – gone, IRS – gone, 16th
> >> >> > Amendment
> >> > –
> >> >> > gone, compliance costs – gone.
>
> >> >> > That said, congress can raise the FairTax rate just as it could
> >> >> > raise the flat tax rate or can and does raise the income tax
> >> >> > rate. The current income tax is effectively hidden.
>
> >> >> So are the costs contained in the Fair Tax. I saw no provision
> >> >> for showing them.
>

> >> > The costs contained in the FariTax are just a replacement for
> >> > income taxes. That’s the whole point.
>
> >> I don't think you get the whole point. At least not in your
> >> examples.
> >
> >> You cannot subract a percentage from a cost, add things to it and put
> >> it back in place at the same percentage.
>
> >> >> It's just taken every
>
> >> >> > paycheck and I bet 99% of workers don't even know how much is
> >> >> > being taken out every week. Out of sight out of mind.
>
> >> >> That would effectively be the same with the Fair Tax. You would
> > hav
> >> > e it
> >> >> taken out on every purchase but no indication of what all was in
> >> >> it in what amounts.
>
> >> > The receipt would have a line item that states “FairTax: 23%” with
> > the
> >> > applicable dollar amount. Better yet, you only have to look at
> >> > that line item when you make a purchase. And, you only have to
> >> > look at that line item when you purchase a new item. Buy a used
> >> > car? No FairTax. Used bike? No FairTax.
>
> >> One reason is that most used stuff is purchased directly from the
> >> seller.
> >
> >> There is no one who in the middle to act as a collection point for
> >> that tax. Not a lot of used stuff is taxed on sale in the current
> >> market.
>
> > Used stuff is not taxed under the FairTax because it has already been
> > taxed once already. It's unfair to tax it again.
>
> I would bet that it is simply that there is no real way to collect it
> since it is not through a retailer.
>

Perhaps. But, the analysis doesn't even get to that point. The
reason has to do with inherent unfairness of taxing that which has
already been taxed.

> The tax collector
>
> > for new stuff is the same entity that it is now, the seller.
>
> No, it isn't. There is a difference between a seller (who can your
> neighbor in a yard sale) and Sears who is a retailer.

>

So, the seller of a new good or service is not a seller???

> >> >> They just accept
>
> >> >> > that government takes it.
>
> >> >> Same with your sales tax.
>
> >> > Sure, we’re all hostage to what the government shovels on us. But,
> >> > again, you pay income tax no matter what. You have no choice. Wit
> > h
> >> > the FairTax you have a choice.
>
> >> Not if you wish to purchase anything in other than the used market.
>
> > You have the choice to purchase. It's only then that you pay the
> > tax. Wouldn't you rather have that choice than no choice to be taxed
> > on your income? I mean, really, I just don't get the line of
> > reasoning otherwise.
>
> The bottom line is that folks will purchase where they think they get the
> best deal. Will the added tax make a difference? Most likely if it is
> 23%. Hell, people squawk now about a 10% sales tax and your Fair Tax
> only replaces the federal portion of that tax....not all of it.
>

Start here: http://www.fairtax.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_BHI_0607
Then go here: http://www.fairtax.org/PDF/Tax%20Notes%20article%20on%20FT%20rate.pdf

> >> And, to the extent that you need to
>
> >> > buy necessities of life you get the prebate.
>
> >> But still pay the tax on those items at time of purchase.
>
> > So? That's the purpose of the prebate. You effectively pay no sales
> > tax on necessities.
>
> You effectively pay no *federal* tax on necessities. You still pay state
> and local sales taxes. So now your product will cost:
>
> Product cost
> Compliance costs
> Profits along the way
> State and city taxes
> Fair tax.
>

Wrong. It will be
> Product cost

> Profits along the way
> State and city taxes
> Fair tax.

> The first three remain about the same.
>
> >> >> Purposely designed that way by government.
>
> >> >> > The FairTax is highly visible (displayed on your receipt) and
> >> >> > there is only one tax rate.
>
> >> >> That isn't the problem. Taxpayers DO know what is in their income
> >> >> tax.
> >> >
>
> >> > I couldn’t disagree more. Go ahead and ask the next person you see
> >> > that you know how much was withheld from their last paycheck. Bet
> >> > they don’t know.
>
> >> Bet they do when they fill out their taxes. Those who use CPAs are
> >> sma
> > rt
> >> enough to have a good idea what is in the taxes and those who don't,
> >> wouldn't know anyway.
>
> > You just described another reason for the FairTax. Actually two
> > reasons. First, under the current, or flat, tax you still have to
> > file. Not so with the FairTax.
>
> I still have to file state taxes which if I am not doing federal taxes
> will have to be done from scratch so state tax preparation will be more
> costly and take longer. The IRS still needs to send out all the forms
> like 1099s, W2s, etc.. The IRS still needs to track all state and local
> taxes although not for federal tax purposes but to establish poverty
> level wages, etc.
>

So, you're saying it's more expensive to do state tax alone as opposed
to state and federal taxes? Taxes will not take longer because the
current state of exemptions, deductions, etc. are taken into account
at the federal level, not the state. If you don't have a federal
income tax you don't have all the forms and administration that goes
with it.

> Then those who use CPA's have
>
> > to…well… use CPA's to figure out the tax burden. Wouldn't you rather
> > a) not have to file anything;
>
> Fair Tax still only applies to federal taxes...not all taxes.
>

> and b) spend the money you just spent on
>
> > a CPA on something else ore productive…like a good round of golf on
> > April 15 instead of working on your taxes :-)
>
> And go to prison for tax evasion on your state income taxes because you
> were in the clubhouse celebrating? ;)
>

What evasion are you talking about? The FairTax makes evasion
harder. Right now, the only person that can evade income taxes is you
by filing a false claim or the like. To evade the FairTax the seller
has to help you do that. You think they are going to risk their butts
to save you? Yeah....right.

> >> >> They do not know what portion of that Fair Tax is the replacement
> >> >> for income tax, what portion is corporate taxes, what portion is
> >> >> government taxes for whatever purpose when Congress changes the
> >> >> percentage of the Fair Tax.
>
> >> > So what? What they do know is that the FairTax replaces the income
> >> > tax. They no longer have to file. They no longer have to keep
> >> > records, see accountants, worry about deductions, exemptions,
> >> > audits and so on. Instead, all they do is buy a product and that’s
> >> > it.
>
> >> > Changing that will be harder for congress to do.
>
> >> >> > Why? Because the FairTax affects EVERYBODY. The income tax doe
> > s
> >> >> > not. Right now, almost 50% of workers pay no federal income
> >> >> > tax.
>
> >> >> The only folks who would pay no federal income tax under my
> >> >> proposal would be those who income was below the federally
> >> >> declared poverty line for a family of four and EVERYBODY gets that
> >> >> one and only deduction.
>
> >> > Fine. You still have in place the 16th Amendment, the IRS,
> >> > compliance, record keeping, accountants, fear of audits. Then you
> >> > have people that pay no income taxes, as I already mentioned, such
> >> > as criminals, tourists, illegals, those paid in cash.
>
> >> And with the fair tax, you have the used market, the under the table
> >> market and swapping.
>
> > And that's not happening now?
>
> You don't think an open 23% sales tax on goods won't increase that?
>
> How about the criminals who deal in

>
> > such underground markets? What are they paying in income taxes now?
> > But, do they still buy goods and services?
>
> >> >> > It's easy for them to say raise taxes on the top 50% that
> >> >> > actually pay.
>
> >> >> No, it isn't or Obama would have done it in lieu of extending the
> >> >> Bush taxcuts.
>
> >> > Have you seen the most recent tax stats?
>
> >> Are you insane? Who do you think has been posting the numbers in
> >> here?
>
> > Well, actually, I did in one of my responses to John Smith.
>
> The tax burdens by AGI and percentage, who owns the wealth and who owns
> the income came from me.
>
> >> Nearly 50% of wage earners
>
> >> > pay nothing in federal income taxes. That’s the highest it has eve
> > r
> >> > been since the implementation of the income tax.
>
> >> The actual percentage, just for your input, is 45%. The bottom 50%
> >> pay
> > s
> >> just under 3%.
>
> > I know what the percentage is which is why I said "nearly". If you
> > want to get in a pissing contest over 2.7% that's fine, but my point
> > still stands and is correct.
>
> >> This class warfare
>
> >> > thing is in all out mode…and it’s working.
>
> >> Yep......but you will always have that with Democrats. When they
> >> get into power, they will mess around with your Fair Tax also.
>
> > As I repeatedly said, let them try it. I recall some dumbass who once
> > ran for president who said "if elected I will raise your taxes".
> > How'd that one work out for him?
>
> If he was a dumbass, I doubt that one comment is what did him in.
>

That one comment is widely attributed as the primary reason for his
defeat.

> >> >> Raising the FairTax means raising it on them too. Good luck to
>
> >> >> > any politician trying that.
>
> >> >> As does raising the income tax percentages or do you think
> >> >> politicians make less than the poverty level? ;)
>
> >> > No, but I say again, you still have in place the 16th Amendment,
> >> > the IRS, compliance, record keeping, accountants, fear of audits.
> >> > Then you have people that pay no income taxes, as I already
> >> > mentioned, such as criminals, tourists, illegals, those paid in
> >> > cash.
>
> >> >> >> In addition, a
>
> >> >> >> > large part of the burden of the flat tax -- the business tax
> >> >> >> > -- will remain hidden from people in the retail price of
> >> >> >> > goods and services.
>
> >> >> >> This is an interesting point since there are supposedly
> >> >> >> intelligent folks in this newsgroup that don't understand that
> >> >> >> all businesses end up passing all their costs to the consumer
> >> >> >> in the price of the product or service. If they don't, after
> >> >> >> awhile the
> > y
> >> >> >> go under.
>
> >> >> >> > Under a flat tax, individuals would still file an income tax
> >> >> >> > return each year. Postcard or not, it's still a return.
> >> >> >> > While this is a simple postcard, the record keeping
> >> >> >> > requirement is still there. Under the FairTax, individuals
> >> >> >> > never file a tax return again, ever!
>
> >> >> >> Federally, that could be true, however, when looking at state
> >> >> >> and local taxes, it is bullshit.
>
> >> >> > Not could be…would be. There would be no federal filing.
>
> >> >> Which isn't done with state and local taxes anyway. They
> >> >> currently get used as a deduction on federal income tax, but even
> >> >> though there is no federal income tax, they still need to do state
> >> >> taxes. All they have saved is entering a number.
>
> >> > But, they are still filing federal forms and worrying about
> >> > deductions. Why bother doing that when all you have to do is…
> >> > well….nothing! Well, except buy a good or service. And even the
> > n you
> >> > don’t have to file anything and no concerns about deductions.

>
> >> >> But, to
>
> >> >> > your larger point, the FairTax is a replacement to the federal
> >> >> > income tax, not state income taxes.
>
> >> >> Which is what I said.
>
> >> >> Federal taxes are what is at issue
>
> >> >> > here. So, what would you rather do on 4/15? File federal, stat
> > e a
> >> > nd
> >> >> > local tax forms; or just a state and local?
>
> >> >> When I do my federal taxes, TurboTax, for example, also does my
> >> >> state taxes. The extra time for the state tax is about 5 minutes.
>
> >> > Thanks for making another case for the FairTax. You said "When you
> >> > d
> > o
> >> > your federal taxes". How about implement the FairTax and not do
> >> > them at all? I know I'd rather just have to worry about doing my
> >> > state and local taxes.
>
> >> I wish to control my taxes as much as I can. Don't you?
>
> > Do you control the government taking money out of your check every
> > other week? Do you control the fact that you have to file on 4/15?
>
> I control the amount I pay within the law.
>

I could control the amount by what I spend.

> > As for me here's how I would utilize my control. If I don't want a
> > good or service I won't buy it. There's my control. That's all I
> > have to worry about. And, I can do what many people have done and
> > move to a no income tax state like FL and the like.
>
> I lived in Florida for several years. No state income tax shows up in a
> few areas and not always to the good.
>

Meanwhile how are income tax states like CA, NY, IL, etc.? making out?

> >> > How much did TurboTax cost you? $50, $60 maybe? Wouldn’t it hav
> > e
> >> > been nice to spend that $$$ on something else rather than complying
> >> > with the federal tax code?
>

> >> I wasn't worried about complying with the federal tax code. I was
> >> simply
> >> interested in paying my share of the tax burden, but no more than
> >> that.
>
> > Maybe you weren't but many companies are which is a big reason why
> > there is an embedded 23% cost in every item you buy.
>
> Two problems.....one, that hidden cost probably isn't 23% (or if you
> think it is post your cite) and companies pass on all costs that they
> have to pay. They cannot do it any other way and still maintain as low a
> product cost as they can and make a profit. Companies still pay taxes to
> the letter of the law. What they do is the same thing I do, and, I
> assume, you do....go through your taxes to ensure that you are complying
> with the law and not paying anymore than you have to.
>

Start here: http://www.fairtax.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_BHI_0607
Then go here: http://www.fairtax.org/PDF/Tax%20Notes%20article%20on%20FT%20rate.pdf

> Still, the end
>
> > effect is still the same. You spent $X on a tax program or CPA. You
> > can pay your "fair share", whatever that means,
>
> That means what the law requires me to pay.
>
> when you buy goods and
>
> > services. If you aren't sure what fair share is and just want to be
> > sure then you can do more by buying more goods and services. Not only
> > do you fund the federal government, but you also help the economy.
>
> Or I can simply send a check to the IRS. How often have you done that?
>

Every year...after I spend hours keeping records of donation slips,
1099's, expenses, slogging through turbotax (which I had to buy),
deductions, exemptions, etc. All that when I could have simply
avoided it by doing what I do every day anyway, which is go shopping.

> >> >> >> Under
>
> >> >> >> > the flat tax, the payroll tax would be retained and income
> >> >> >> > tax withholding would still be with us.
>
> >> >> >> Yep.
>
> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax, the payroll
> >> >> >> > tax, which is a larger and more regressive tax burden for
> >> >> >> > most Americans than is the income tax, is repealed.

>
> >> >> >> No, actually, it isn't. It is simply placed in the Fair Tax.
>
> >> >> > And once the FairTax is implemented none of that is withheld
> >> >> > from your paycheck.
>
> >> >> My point was that it was still there. You just don't see it or
> >> >> really know how much it is.
>
> >> > It is still there because the FairTax replaces it. We’re not talki
> > ng
> >> > about doing away with government collecting revenue. We’re talking
> >> > about the mechanism for how it is collected. This is so much
> >> > simpler than the current system or even a one size fits all flat
> >> > tax.
>
> >> Yep, but you need to take a closer look at how you present the
> >> figures or learn more about them. You cannot subtract x from y, add
> >> z to x and ha
> > ve
> >> x be the same amount as it was before.
>
> >> >> With the exception of state and/or local withholding you
>
> >> >> > keep 100% of your check. So, the payroll tax that is now
> >> >> > effectively incorporated into the FairTax is paid by you only
> >> >> > when you buy a new good or service. It's not automatically
> >> >> > withheld from your pay. Y
> >> > OU
> >> >> > decide when to pay it. Not the government. So, where's the dow
> > nsi
> >> > de
> >> >> > to that?
>
> >> >> Knwing what is in it and how much each entity is. For example,
> >> >> assume your percentage of 23%. Now, certain corporate taxes get
> >> >> changed. Y
> >> > our
> >> >> Fair Tax rate has to change to cover that. So now, this year it is
> >> >> 24.5%.
> >> >
> >> >> How does the consumer know which changed.....the income tax
> >> >> portion, the corporate portion, the FICA portion, the whatever
> >> >> portion?
>
> >> > I’ve already talked about changing the rate and how easy (or not
> >> > so) that would be. Do you really think people care what has
> >> > changed?
>
> >> Many will.

>
> > I suspect many more will care that they no longer have to file federal
> > taxes and keep their entire check (save state and local) while still
> > paying the exact same for items they buy every day.
>
> No, they won't be paying the exact same for items they buy every day.
>

Start here: http://www.fairtax.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_BHI_0607
Then go here: http://www.fairtax.org/PDF/Tax%20Notes%20article%20on%20FT%20rate.pdf

> >> > What they care is that an item that costs $100 under the current
> >> > system still costs $100 under the new system.
>
> >> No, it won't. Stop and think about why.
>
> > I have. That's why I (backed by $22M of research) like the FairTax.
>
> Then explain it.
>

Start here: http://www.fairtax.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_BHI_0607
Then go here: http://www.fairtax.org/PDF/Tax%20Notes%20article%20on%20FT%20rate.pdf

> >> And if they buy it
>
> >> > used, they don’t even care.
>
> >> >> >> Under the FairTax, what
>
> >> >> >> > you earn is what you keep. No more withholding taxes; no more
> >> >> >> > income tax.
>
> >> >> >> Just more taxes on the point of sale while all taxes from state
> >> >> >> and local governments remains intact.
>
> >> >> > You are not accounting for the removal of the 23% built in costs
> >> >> > that YOU ARE ALREADY PAYING on every good and service that you
> >> >> > buy (that government doesn't even get, by the way – just wasted
> >> >> > dollars).
>
> >> >> Yes, I am and it isn't 23% or the Fair Tax could not be 23% and
> >> >> cover all those costs plus the amount currently from income taxes
> >> >> or FICA.
>
> >> >> FWIW, all costs of doing business are placed in the price of the
> >> >> product or service that is produced. Anyone who doesn't
> >> >> understand that won't understand either your Fair Tax or my flat
> >> >> income tax proposal.
>
> >> > The 23% does account for it. This tax plan is the most widely

> >> > researched tax plan in the history of the planet.
>
> >> The 23% may account for it, but then it couldn't have been 23% when
> >> it was first deducted.
>
> >> Economists and
>
> >> > businessmen smarter than me have examined it inside and out. The
> >> > 23% figure is the figure arrived at the make current government
> >> > receipts revenue neutral.
>
> >> >> When
>
> >> >> > those built in costs go away you are back to the same price.
>
> >> >> Not really. You have added additional taxes to that proposal in
> >> >> the fo
> >> > rm
> >> >> on income tax replacement and FICA and federal sales taxes which
> >> >> were part of certain purchases.
>
> >> > Yeah, really. What has been added replaces the compliance costs
> >> > that go away. On average, it’s a wash.
>
> >> Are you trying to tell us that the compliance costs are the same as
> >> the entire income tax revenue? That would be interesting since about
> >> 45% o
> > f
> >> that federal revenue is individual income tax, 36% is payroll taxes,
> >> 12% is corporate taxes (which you did put into your Fair Tax
> >> number), 3% excise taxes and 4% from other.
>
> > I'm just telling you what the research says.
>
> You haven't shown research that says you can deduct 23% in costs from a
> product, add other costs in plus income tax, FICA, etc. and come up with
> the same number.
>
> You produced a comment that says research has shown that a 23% tax would
> be revenue neutral, but I havent seen any comments that the costs would
> remain neutral. That has only come from you in your examples.
>

Start here: http://www.fairtax.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_BHI_0607
Then go here: http://www.fairtax.org/PDF/Tax%20Notes%20article%20on%20FT%20rate.pdf

> >> >> See my
>
> >> >> > previous example.
> >> >> >> >> It uses a flat 23% as the revenue generator.
>

> >> >> >> >> >> > Call it what you will, the FairTax is a winner.
>
> >> >> >> >> >> You may think so. I don't. I think it needs too many
> >> >> >> >> >> adjustments so that it does not become regressive.
>
> >> >> >> >> > I don't think so, I know so. Tell me how this is
> >> >> >> >> > regressive
> > ?
>
> >> >> >> snip......
>
> >> >> >> >> Same taxpayer......buys $100 worth of groceries.....pays
> >> >> >> >> $123 for them.
>
> >> >> >> > Stop right there. That's incorrect. Under the FairTax the $
> > 100
> >> > of
> >> >> >> > groceries will still cost $100. There's no need to even go
> >> >> >> > any further with your example.
>
> >> >> >> I was speaking of the actual worth of the product. Yes, there
> >> >> >> ar
> > e
> >> >> >> business taxes, etc.. in there but one cannot generate a new
> >> >> >> tax without adding to what is already there. So a product
> >> >> >> which toda
> > y
> >> >> >> costs $100 plus city and state sales taxes will now cost the
> >> >> >> difference between the 23% sales tax and the old taxes on the
> >> >> >> product plus city and state sales taxes. What you have done is
> >> >> >> taken the taxes previously included the product price and moved
> >> >> >> them into your Fair Tax in addition to the hit on that tax
> >> >> >> replacing federal income taxes and FICA.
>
> >> >> > Nope. The item that costs $100 today will still cost $100. Her
> > e's
> >> >> > why. The built in compliance costs are, on average, 23%.
>
> >> >> Then where did you put the replacement for the income tax? It has
> >> >> t
> > o
> >> >> b
> >> > e
> >> >> there or the feds are missing a major, major part of their
> >> >> revenue.
>
> >> > As I said, the income tax replaces the compliance costs that go
> >> > away. If you don’t have an income tax there is no income tax code
> >> > to comply with.
>

> >> And I say your number is wrong. Compliance costs are NOT equal to
> >> 45%
> > of
> >> the entire federal budget. Now, Social Security, Medicare and
> >> Medicaid are about 40% and both are expected to increase in cost over
> >> the next ten years. Social Security by 70%. Medicare by 77% and
> >> Medicaid by 99%.
>
> >> Are you trying to say that compliance costs with our current system
> >> is equal to SS, Medicare and Medicaid? ;)
>
> > Okay, you say the numbers are wrong. Economic research says
> > otherwise.
>
> Then post that research. The research you have mentioned is that the
> revenue is neutral. That is NOT the same as product costs yet you keep
> posting product cost examples to show your point. My point is that one
> of the two numbers you use is wrong. Either the original 23% (that would
> be the one I would suspect) or the 23% for the Tax. Since the tax number
> came from the research by the Fair Tax group and is slated to be revenue
> neutral, I would assume that that number is probably correct. It is your
> example of subtracting out 23%, removing some costs from it, adding a lot
> more cost into it and putting it back in as 23% that is suspect.
>

Start here: http://www.fairtax.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_BHI_0607
Then go here: http://www.fairtax.org/PDF/Tax%20Notes%20article%20on%20FT%20rate.pdf

> I can't convince you otherwise. You go for the flat tax
>
> > or stay with the current system. Hope April 15 treats you well as you
> > spend money trying to figure out your tax bill.
>
> >> >> Take that
>
> >> >> > away and your $100 now costs $77 (which already include the
> >> >> > state and city taxes you mention). Replace those compliance
> >> >> > costs with the FairTax and you are back to $100.
>
> >> >> See above.
>
> >> >> >> >> Rich guy, he eats the same, so he buys a $100 worth of
> >> >> >> >> groceries...pays
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> $123 for them. Which one spent the bigger percentage of
> >> >> >> >> their incom
> >> >> > e
> >> >> >> >> o
> >> >> >> > n
> >> >> >> >> a necessity? OK, let's fix it....we will not pay that tax
> >> >> >> >> on groceries....oooops, you just generated an exception.

>
> >> >> >> >> > Three suggestions for you to find out why as well as any
> >> >> >> >> > other questions you might have:
>
> >> >> >> >> > 1) go visit fairtax.org and read it from front to back.
> >> >> >> >> > Pay particular attention to the FAQ.
>
> >> >> >> I have.
>
> >> >> > mmmmmmm okay....
>
> >> >> >> >> > 2) Buy and read "The FairTax Book" by Linder and Boortz.
>
> >> >> >> Why? If they can't explain it on their website..........
>
> >> >> > Boortz and Linder didn't create the web site. They are
> >> >> > advocates of the FairTax and have their own writing on this.
> >> >> > You can fit a whole lot more into a book than you can a
> >> >> > website. You really nee
> > d
> >> >> > to read the book. You will not regret it.
>
> >> >> >> >> > 3) Then buy and read "FairTax:The Truth: Answering the
> >> >> >> >> > Critics"
>
> >> >> >> >> > It will all become crystal clear.
>
> >> >> >> >> I am familiar with sales tax schemes, they have been around
> >> >> >> >> for years.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> With exemptions, they become just as convoluted as the
> >> >> >> >> current system. Excise luxury taxes were another attempt to
> >> >> >> >> soak the rich as poor poeple would never buy luxury taxed
> >> >> >> >> items. How did that work out?
>
> >> >> >> > You may be familiar with sales tax schemes, but it's clear
> >> >> >> > you aren't familiar with the FairTax. Instead of speculating
> >> >> >> > as yo
> > u
> >> >> >> > have done above why not go visit the site and base your
> >> >> >> > criticisms on the plan itself? You will find that many of
> >> >> >> > the things you raised above are answered there.
>
> >> >> >> Been there, read it.
>
> >> >> > Not all of it then because many of the questions you asked that
> >> >> > I'm replying to come right from the web site.
>
> >> >> >> > Look, I'm with you that a flat tax would be better than the
> >> >> >> > current system. Problem is that it, as opposed to something

> >> >> >> > like the FairTax
> >> >> > ,
> >> >> >> > leaves itself open to far more manipulation than the FairTax.
> >> >> >> > The ta
> >> >> > x
> >> >> >> > code itself is evidence of just that.
>
> >> >> >> Are you trying to say that Congress cannot fuck with the Fair
> >> >> >> Tax as much as they can fuck with a flat tax? I don't think
> >> >> >> so.
>
> >> >> > That's exactly what I'm saying and I explained why above.
>
> >> >> LOL!!
>
> >> > Laugh if you will. I see that you won't be convinced.
>
> >> I simply don't feel that you can subtract product costs with taxes,
> >> add income tax revenue to that, put the product costs back in and
> >> have the same number. If you know how to do it, let me know.
>
> > Fair enough. You're a skeptic and I appreciate that. But, the
> > research is on the website and in the book.
>
> The research on the website addresses revenue neutrality. It does not
> address product cost other than to say prices should fall and then wages
> may rise. It even states that the change will be subject to market
> forces.....however, in your example, you keep using a constant number
> that suspiciously just happens to equal the Fair Tax. As I noted before,
> it is not the 23% Fair Tax number I am questioning it is the number you
> use to subtract from product cost and try to show the total product cost
> to be the same as before. That is what I don't believe and have stated
> several times why.
>

Start here: http://www.fairtax.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_BHI_0607
Then go here: http://www.fairtax.org/PDF/Tax%20Notes%20article%20on%20FT%20rate.pdf

> At least you are willing
>
> > to debate this reasonably. I really do hope you read the book and
> > learn about it because there are things there that the web site or I
> > can't do justice to. As for myself, I am not against a flat tax. I
> > think it would be better than our current convoluted system. I just
> > happen to think there is a better system.
>
> There may be.
>
> It will be tough going
>
> > because repealing the 16th would be the single most largest transfer

> > of power from government to the people in the history of this
> > country.
>
> Excuse me, but if there is no income tax, then the 16th Amendment becomes
> as useless as tits on a boar hog just like the 3rd Amendment.
>

But, how do you get to no income tax? It doesn't mysteriously go away
just because the FairTax has been implemented. It has to be
repealed. Otherwise, you have the fairtax and the income tax.

> The tax code is nothing but a social engineering and vote
>
> > buying tool. That power is not easily given up. Then again, a couple
> > hundred years ago no one really gave a democratic republic much of a
> > chance either.
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> Cremation......for those who think outside the box.

3388. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 17:27:42 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2bd8c587-d08d-465c-9e0a-ec529c2d92ba@r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231315
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 27, 12:57 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >>news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com.
> >> ..
>
> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
> > > 031
> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
> >> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
> >> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
> >> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> >> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>
> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>
> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
>
> >> >> >> --

> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://herman
> > cain.c
> >> >> > om/
> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama
> >> >> >> had
> > as
> >> >> muc
> >> >> > h
> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
> >> >> >> muc
> > h
> >> >> >> competence?
>
> >> >> I refer to your math.
>
> >> >> wholesale = $50
> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> FairTax = $23
> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
> >> >> that's $1
> > 23.
>
> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
> >> > you.
>
> >> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales and
> >> other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they will still
> >> need to comp
> > ly
> >> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the
> >> additional FairTax imposed?
>
> > You need to understand what compliance costs actually are. They are
> > the costs associated with complying with the federal income tax on
> > wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income tax on wages,
> > i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering, documenting, and filing our
> > annual income.. If those taxes are gone then just what exactly is
> > there left to comply with and how does that cost any money?
>
> There are also state compliance costs associated with state income taxes
> for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals, etc.. Fair tax does
> nothing about them. In addition you also have some compliance taxes for
> the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.

>

Because that has to do with state taxes. The FairTax is about federal
taxation.

> > Compliance costs of the income and payroll tax are like an anchor
> > holding back economic growth. We have nothing to show for the billions
> > spent each year on compliance. Hey, if you like having to spend money
> > on accountant, buy tax programs, spend countless hours figuring out
> > what you owe, have your income withheld and being taxed on what you
> > earn rather than what you spend, then there's nothing I can say that
> > will change your mind. I prefer a better way.
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

3389. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 17:28:13 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <55e1db13-0422-429a-9ad9-fffdaaf198cc@y12g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231316
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 27, 12:38 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:8475f659-af52-4562-8e73-
> 4db2c1304...@r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 8:04 pm, "Scout"
> ><me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> "Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> >>news:Xns9EF19C0BEACC7Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>
> >> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
> >> > 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> Under the FairTax
> >> >> - wholesale = $50
> >> >> - compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> - FairTax = $23
> >> >> - sales and other taxes = $27
> >> >> - Grand total = $100
>
> >> > You are obviously a Democrat.
>
> >> Yep, notice nothing else has changed, but according to him, suddenly it
> w
> > ill
> >> cost NOTHING to comply with the still existing conditions, and will cost
> >> nothing additional to comply with the new tax imposed.
>
> >> As such his numbers are BS.
>
> > What's to comply with on a sales tax? Really, make your case? As for
> > the numbers, as I said, economists have looked at this. The research

> > is out there. I don't care if you summarily dismiss it. But, at
> > least take an objective look at the plan rather than gut reactions to
> > it. Of course, if you like the current tax system then god bless you.
>
> The problem is, I don't beleive for a minute it will stay at whatever rate
> is promised.
>

Then, there's nothing left to talk about with you, is there?

> --
> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
> competence?

3390. The Long Slow Death of the VOA : RIP "VOA" RIP - Amen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 17:30:26 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <6f297da2-3b52-47a8-beb7-a2566fb1506b@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231317

On May 27, 7:53 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 22, 9:05 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 21, 7:20 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > On May 20, 1:08 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 19, 8:29 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 19, 9:25 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On 5/19/2011 5:31 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> > > > > > > 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC
> > > > > > > on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> > > > > > > A lot of 'Radio Olimpio' {Program} IDs in Spanish
> > > > > > > and Latin American Place Names by Male Hosts
> > > > > > > -then- Program Change? with Female Host @ 2145 UTC
>
> > > > > > > S-Meter : S3~S6 and SIO 444 and good audio
>
> > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > .
>
> > > > > > I'd rather DX jetliners than listen to religious radio in a foreign
> > > > > > language.
>
> > > > > How about WYFR in English ? Don't you ever listen to their
> > > > > broadcasts ? Especially now , since according to them : The End Is
> > > > > Near ! ! !- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > Okeechobee is going bananas :-)- Hide quoted text -
>

> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > - Actually, Okeechobee might be the biggest
> > - SW transmission site left in the US .
> > - Something like 14 X100KW !
>
> > WYFR Transmitter and Antenna Photographshttp://www.fmarc.net/WYFR.htm
> > The 14 Transmitter number is what I read at
> > one time and they have 11 Antenna
Arrays.http://transition.fcc.gov/ib/sand/neg/hf_web/WYFR.txt
> > -roughly- a Square Mile 640 Acres
> > -mostly- using 'Rhombic' Antennashttp://www.tpub.com/neets/book10/42o.htm
> > .
> > *82 Photos* of WYFR Transmitter Site and
> > Shortwave Broadcast Antennas
Arrayshttps://picasaweb.google.com/kwinrich/WYFR#5149450014785168130
> > =also=http://www.broadcastengineering.info/viewtopic.php?f=4&t=329
> > .
> > There are other claims that WEWN/EWTN is
> > the largest 'Private' Shortwave Radio
> > Broadcaster in the USA.
> > -?-now-is-that-true-?-http://transition.fcc.gov/ib/sand/neg/hf_web/WEWN.txt
> > Four Transmitters with 30 Antenna Arrays.
> > .
> > Photos of 'Field Trip' to WEWN Transmitter Sitehttp://www.panoramio.com/photo/5842721
> > and Shortwave Antenna Arrayshttp://bellsouthpwp.net/n/4/n4nr/wewn/
> > .
> > Does "VOA" in Greenville, NC still exist
?http://www.j-hawkins.com/voanc1.shtmlhttp://antennablog.lbagroup.com/......
>
> > Is "VOA" in Greenville, NC still eopperational
?http://www.flickr.com/photos/rocknrun/511660521/in/photostream/http:/......
> > .
> > 'Proposed' VOA Greenville
Closurehttp://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/2010/02/politicans-and-press-react-t...
> > .
> > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -

- I thought Greenville still had some transmissions?

Greenville, NC had three VOA Sites and IIRC
two of the VOA Sites are now Closed.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greenville,_North_Carolina#Voice_of_America.2FIBB

There is talk of Closing the last VOA Site; as
not needed; since the Cold War is long over.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voice_of_America

The Long Slow Death of the VOA :
VOA at Bethany, OH is closed.
VOA at Delano, CA is closed.
VOA at Dixon, CA is closed.
VOA at Greenville, NC is 2/3rds closed.
VOA in Hawaii is closed.
VOA in Okinawa is closed.
VOA in Costa Rica is closed.
VOA in Belize is closed.
VOA in Liberia is closed.

and... the USA is getting out of Winning
the Hearts and Minds of the Citizens of
The World. -while-
China is On-the-Rise.
Russia is Resurging.
Venezuela is On-the-Rise.

and... the Voice of America is now only
a faint distant whisper . . .
http://www.intervalsignals.net/sounds/usa-z-voa_greenville_rvd_c1965.mp3
.
RIP VOA RIP - amen ~ RHF
.
.

3391. Re: What the world thinks of Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 17:37:34 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irongv$vi4$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231318

On 5/26/2011 7:49 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Ask ME what I think of that Born in Kenya! MOFO POS SCARHEAD USURPER.
> cuhulin
>

HEY!

You fearless leader is only our servant boy.

Distressing part is, he seems to be the queens too. The way he goes around groveling before her is rather disgusting! Who knew he was a tranny worshiper when they voted for him?

Regards,
JS

3392. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 17:42:01 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DDFE269-10895@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231325

We gots the Blessing of the Fleet, Jackson Yacht Club, next Monday from
12:00 PM till 2:00 PM.Sister Dorothea will be there to do the
Blessing.Commodore Jimmy Young and Stephanie Bell-Flint on the tee
station that doesn't exist (http://www.WLBT.com) tellin us all
abouts it.
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Blessing+of+the+Fleet+Ross+Barnett+Resevoir
cuhulin

3393. Re: More good economic news -- NOT! (Bush's disaster escapes JohnSmith)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 17:43:05 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ironrb$vi4$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231319
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/26/2011 8:52 PM, Howard Brazee wrote:
> On Thu, 26 May 2011 13:22:39 -0600, Yoorghis@Jurgis.net wrote:
>
>> You cannot solve either the deficit or the Debt by "cutting
>> waste/Programs)
>>
>> You MUST raise taxes
>>
>> PERIOD>
>
> History indicates that the best way to work on this problem is to get
> people back to well paying jobs.
>

While china has growing employment, it doesn't have good paying jobs,
not health care ... so, the unemployed might just as well stay here.

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

3394. Re: What the world thinks of Obama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 17:44:26 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23872-4DDFE2FA-933@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231324

If you are a dude and you are getting too fat, that's called,,, Building a shed over your ''Tool''.
cuhulin

3395. Re: More good economic news -- NOT! (Bush's disaster escapes JohnSmith)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 17:45:50 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iroo0f$vi4$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231320

On 5/26/2011 9:22 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:

> ...
> Pretty sure that ship has long since sailed. Our politicians and
> corporations have already given away the farm, but kept all the profits
> from future crops. The GOP in some states is trying to go one better to
> destroy unions so that people just have to take what the employer wants
> to give them..
>
>

Amen.

The future looks like the past, indebted servitude, 3rd world status citizens, high death/disease rate from inability to access proper medical, poor retirement in old age, no rights under the way being paved by the patriot act, etc.

It is enough to make one fear it is too late -- I think that is the plan.

Regards,
JS

3396. Re: Trump/Corsi vs. the fraudulent obama birth certificate -- dynamic duo fights for justice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 17:47:31 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iroo3k$vi4$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/26/2011 8:18 PM, BDK wrote:

>> ...
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Pisses me off? Whoosh isn't even close to the right term for what is
> happening over your little pointed head.
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAH! You're so idiotic, you don't even know when you're
> being laughed at and made fun of!
>

Take a good look at yourself, now look at the laughing ... you think I worry that?

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

3397. Re: METEOR STORM - Future prediction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 17:49:40 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iroo7l$vi4$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231322
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,alt.conspiracy,alt.atheism,sci.skeptic,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/26/2011 8:19 PM, BDK wrote:
> In article<irn3j1$o1u$2@dont-email.me>, win.court@gmail.com says...
>>
>> On 5/26/2011 6:11 PM, Odysseus wrote:
>>> In article
>>> <52611eb6-0d86-4cdc-b3b2-89e8db40ea9c@c26g2000vbq.googlegroups.com>,
>>> Father Haskell<fatherhaskell@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>
>>>> Radiation just means energy. Light is a form of radiation,
>>>> as is the rest of the EM spectrum, as is sound.
>>>
>>> Sound is not "radiation" as physicists use the term: it's a mechanical
>>> vibration transmitted through a medium by collisions between the
>>> constituent molecules. People do speak of sound as "radiating" from a
>>> source, in the same way that concentric ripples "radiate" from a stone
>>> tossed into a pond, but these loose usages describe superficial
>>> appearances rather than the nature of the underlying phenomena.
>>>
>>> As a means of energy transport sound would be classified as a type of
>>> conduction AFAICT, as distinct from radiation or convection.
>>>
>>
>> Actually, it is exactly the same mechanism which sound uses to
>> transverse the liquid air which it uses to transverse the liquid water.
>>
>> The same waves you see on the surface of the pond transverse the water
>> below, and the air above. In air, you cannot see them, and the
>> frequency of the waves is below human hearing.
>>
>> I mean, grab a book on physics, it is like, DUH!
>>
>> Sound cannot transverse space, a vacuum. However, radio waves do. Both
>> sound waves and radio waves need a media to transverse or they cannot
>> travel a distance. The media in space is the ether (or, aether.)
>> Einstein called it the "gravitational aether."
>>
>> A google search on gravitational aether and Einstein will give one much
>> to digest ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS

>
> You quoting Einstein....
>
> BWHAHAHAHA! The amazing irony.
>

Well, while you can't, you could at least begin reading something to
remove your ignorance. So far, only your ignorance is noticeable ...

Regards,
JS

3398. Re: digital ballasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 17:53:52 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iroofg$vi4$6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231323

On 5/27/2011 4:33 AM, julia joy wrote:
> Eclipse LED digital ballasts are more efficient, quieter, cooler, and
> softer on the bulb. Digital ballasts do not have any of the usual
> transformers, capacitors or igniters; instead these ballasts have
> electronic circuitry to do the same job, more efficiently. What might
> take a standard coil/magnetic ballast 680-700 watts to fire a standard
> 600 watt bulb, may only take a digital ballast only 600-620 watts to
> fire the same bulb to the same brightness.
>
>
> http://www.eclipseledcompany.com/Digital_Ballasts.html

WOW? Not you, but what idiot decided to use "digital" to describe an
electronic ballast.

Digital computers deal with numbers. Digital systems deal with binary
numbers (perhaps, soon "trinary" numbers as the 3-state electronics
become available.)

There is nothing digital about fluorescent ballasts, except the name.

Regards,
JS

3399. Re: Negroes loot MN liquor store after tornado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 18:10:11 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irope3$vi4$7@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231326
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,soc.culture.usa,rec.autos.driving,talk.politics.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/27/2011 11:03 AM, Bill Steele wrote:

> ...
> IOW, black crime is proportional to black population density. What a
> startling discovery!

http://answers.yahoo.com/question/index?qid=20090821194028AAulyQo

http://racismaintover.blogspot.com/2005/10/disproportionate-crime-rate.html

http://www.vdare.com/sailer/050213_mapping.htm

Wow, how unique, attempting disinformation in the form of a fraudulent question. You must have learned that from our governments office of disinformation ...

The real question, point blank, is, "Why are Negros natural born criminals? Or, at least appear to be, in America?"

Regards,
JS

3400. Re: The Long Slow Death of the VOA : RIP "VOA" RIP - Amen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 18:45:41 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <33357b2e-1d7c-499b-b327-556c88db11b0@e17g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231327

On May 27, 10:30 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 27, 7:53 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 22, 9:05 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 21, 7:20 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > On May 20, 1:08 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 19, 8:29 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 19, 9:25 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > On 5/19/2011 5:31 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC
> > > > > > > > on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> > > > > > > > A lot of 'Radio Olimpio' {Program} IDs in Spanish
> > > > > > > > and Latin American Place Names by Male Hosts
> > > > > > > > -then- Program Change? with Female Host @ 2145 UTC
>
> > > > > > > > S-Meter : S3~S6 and SIO 444 and good audio
>
> > > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > > .
>
> > > > > > > I'd rather DX jetliners than listen to religious radio in a foreign
> > > > > > > language.
>
> > > > > > How about WYFR in English ? Don't you ever listen to their
> > > > > > broadcasts ? Especially now , since according to them : The End Is
> > > > > > Near ! ! !- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>

> > > > > Okeechobee is going bananas :-)- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > - Actually, Okeechobee might be the biggest
> > > - SW transmission site left in the US .
> > > - Something like 14 X100KW !
>
> > > WYFR Transmitter and Antenna Photographshttp://www.fmarc.net/WYFR.htm
> > > The 14 Transmitter number is what I read at
> > > one time and they have 11 Antenna
Arrays.http://transition.fcc.gov/ib/sand/neg/hf_web/WYFR.txt
> > > -roughly- a Square Mile 640 Acres
> > > -mostly- using 'Rhombic' Antennashttp://www.tpub.com/neets/book10/42o.htm
> > > .
> > > *82 Photos* of WYFR Transmitter Site and
> > > Shortwave Broadcast Antennas
Arrayshttps://picasaweb.google.com/kwinrich/WYFR#5149450014785168130
> > > =also=http://www.broadcastengineering.info/viewtopic.php?f=4&t=329
> > > .
> > > There are other claims that WEWN/EWTN is
> > > the largest 'Private' Shortwave Radio
> > > Broadcaster in the USA.
> > > -?-now-is-that-true-?-http://transition.fcc.gov/ib/sand/neg/hf_web/WEWN.txt
> > > Four Transmitters with 30 Antenna Arrays.
> > > .
> > > Photos of 'Field Trip' to WEWN Transmitter
Sitehttp://www.panoramio.com/photo/5842721
> > > and Shortwave Antenna Arrayshttp://bellsouthpwp.net/n/4/n4nr/wewn/
> > > .
> > > Does "VOA" in Greenville, NC still exist
?http://www.j-hawkins.com/voanc1.shtmlhttp://antennablog.lbagroup.com/......
>
> > > Is "VOA" in Greenville, NC still eopperational
?http://www.flickr.com/photos/rocknrun/511660521/in/photostream/http:/......
> > > .
> > > 'Proposed' VOA Greenville
Closurehttp://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/2010/02/politicans-and-press-react-t...
> > > .
> > > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> - I thought Greenville still had some transmissions?
>
> Greenville, NC had three VOA Sites and IIRC
> two of the VOA Sites are now
Closed.http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greenville,_North_Carolina#Voice_of_Amer...
>
> There is talk of Closing the last VOA Site; as

> not needed; since the Cold War is long
over.http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voice_of_America
>
> The Long Slow Death of the VOA :
> VOA at Bethany, OH is closed.
> VOA at Delano, CA is closed.
> VOA at Dixon, CA is closed.
> VOA at Greenville, NC is 2/3rds closed.
> VOA in Hawaii is closed.
> VOA in Okinawa is closed.
> VOA in Costa Rica is closed.
> VOA in Belize is closed.
> VOA in Liberia is closed.
>
> and... the USA is getting out of Winning
> the Hearts and Minds of the Citizens of
> The World. -while-
> China is On-the-Rise.
> Russia is Resurging.
> Venezuela is On-the-Rise.
>
> and... the Voice of America is now only
> a faint distant whisper . .
.http://www.intervalsignals.net/sounds/usa-z-voa_greenville_rvd_c1965.mp3
> .
> RIP VOA RIP - amen ~ RHF
> .
> .

Go to the VOA web-site and take a Minute
to look around; and You do not see the
words : Shortwave or Frequency
http://www.voanews.com/
.
Next 'Search' the VOA web-site for :
"Shortwave" or "Frequency"
-results- Zero Results that are related to
Shortwave Radio or Shortwave Frequencies.
http://www.voanews.com/
VOA Is Kill It's Shortwave Legacy
.
VOA has plenty of 'Web Features' :
http://www.voanews.com/
Special Reports
Photo Galleries
Going Green
Money In Motion
Now You Know
Off the Beaten Path
The Link

BUT NO SHORTWAVE !
{How/Where to Listen via Radio}
.
VOA has plenty of 'Interactive' :
http://www.voanews.com/
YouTube
Facebook
Twitter
BUT NO SHORTWAVE !
{How/Where to Listen via Radio}
.
VOA has plenty of 'Web Services' :
http://www.voanews.com/
Podcasts
RSS
Mobile
Newsletter
Webcasts
BUT NO SHORTWAVE !
{How/Where to Listen via Radio}
.
VOA has plenty of 'Links' :
About the US
Editorials
RFE/RL
RFA
Pronunciation Guide
BUT NO SHORTWAVE !
{How/Where to Listen via Radio}
.
WOW ! : You have to Leave the VOA web-site
and go to {by-accident} the "Inside VOA"
http://www.insidevoa.com/
web-site to find "VOA Programs and Radio
Frequencies"
http://www.insidevoa.com/about/frequencies/
Leading to : VOA Broadcast Frequency Schedules
http://www.voanews.com/english/programs/frequencies/
.
WHY ? : Now Why Was That Not A 'Choice' for
any of the above Main VOA-News web-site :
Web Features
Interactive
Web Services
Links
.
D'OH ! : VOA and VOA-News Are You Still 'In'
the Shortwave Radio Broadcasting Business ?
THEN WHY HIDE IT -Hint- Feature It On Your
Main VOA-News web-site's main-web-page.

http://www.voanews.com/
-don't-hide-shortwave-like-it-don't-exist-
.
ok 'voa' & 'voa-news' : end of rant ~ RHF
.
.

3401. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 19:02:13 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF27A75FFB2Dhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231328
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:a55df435-ec3a-4cbd-96bd-a6a7c5e8166a@v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.com:

> On May 27, 12:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@
> l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>

Let's get rid of a lot crap and get down to the chase and the point I am trying to make.

You keep stating that there will be 23% of the product cost removed when Fair Tax is implemented, therefore the 23% of the Fair Tax will simply bring the product back to the same price. You say that research has shown that.

Now, let's look at the FAQ. It says that the Fair Tax will be *revenue* neutral. It does NOT say it will be *cost* neutral. That is YOUR claim, not theirs. Revenue neutral means that the amount of tax revenue produced by the Fair Tax will be the same as current practice provide. Cost neutral would indicate that cost of the product to the public would not change. A statement that they do not make.

Here is what the FAIR Tax FAQ says:

"Does the FairTax rate need to be much higher to be revenue neutral?

The proper tax rate has been carefully worked out; 23 percent does the job of: (1) raising the same amount of federal funds as are raised by the current system, (2) paying the universal rebate, and (3) paying the collection fees to retailers and state governments. Unlike some other proposals, this rate has been independently confirmed by several different, nonpartisan institutions across the country. Detailed calculations are available from FairTax.org. "

Note that it says, "..revenue neutral."

Let's try another spot:

"How does the FairTax affect wages and prices?

Americans who produce goods and earn wages must pay significant tax and compliance costs under the current federal income tax. These taxes and costs both reduce after-tax wages and profits and are then passed on to the consumers of those goods and services in the form of price increases.

When the FairTax removes income, capital gains, payroll, and estate and gift taxes, the pre-FairTax prices of these goods and services will fall. The removal of these hidden taxes may also allow wages to rise. Exactly how much prices will fall and wages will rise depends on market forces. For example, in a profession with many jobs and too few to fill them, wages will likely increase more than in fields where there are too many employees and not enough jobs."

Note again that it does not name a percentage for any cost reduction. It says it will vary depending on market forces.

Here is another spot:

"
Since the FairTax plan is *revenue neutral*, the same amount of resources is extracted from the economy as is extracted under current law. These funds are, however, extracted in a less economically damaging way. Every known economic projection shows the economy doing better, often much better, under the FairTax.
Because the economy grows, is more efficient and more productive, that means investment, wages, and consumption are higher than they are under the income tax."

Again, it says "revenue neutral" not cost neutral. The research that they claim the 23% number on is for revenue neutrality. That number has nothing to do with product prices or costs.

IOW, there is nothing in the fact that says that prices will remain the same although you kept claiming it in your examples. The fact is that the overall cost of the product, including the Fair Tax may be quite different than it was before. It may be lower or it may be higher. The FAQ makes no claims in either direction.

This is what I meant when I was talking about your price comparisons when I said one of the numbers had to be wrong. You cannot take 23% away from an item, add more stuff to it and put it back and have the item retain the same cost. Even the research that you cited says "revenue neutral" meaning it produces the same amount of revenue to the federal government as the various taxes did prior to the Fair Tax.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3402. Re: The Long Slow Death of the VOA : RIP "VOA" RIP - Amen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 19:19:31 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d9542970-b3ce-40bc-925d-3efac35b34a0@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231329

```
On May 27, 11:45 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 27, 10:30 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 7:53 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 22, 9:05 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > > On May 21, 7:20 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 20, 1:08 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 19, 8:29 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > > On May 19, 9:25 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > On 5/19/2011 5:31 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC
> > > > > > > > > on 19 Mayo 2011
>
> > > > > > > > > A lot of 'Radio Olimpio' {Program} IDs in Spanish
> > > > > > > > > and Latin American Place Names by Male Hosts
> > > > > > > > > -then- Program Change? with Female Host @ 2145 UTC
>
> > > > > > > > > S-Meter : S3~S6 and SIO 444 and good audio
>
> > > > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > > > .
>
> > > > > > > > I'd rather DX jetliners than listen to religious radio in a foreign
> > > > > > > > language.
>
> > > > > > > How about WYFR in English ? Don't you ever listen to their
> > > > > > > broadcasts ? Especially now , since according to them : The End Is
> > > > > > > Near ! ! !- Hide quoted text -
>
```

> > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > Okeechobee is going bananas :-)- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > - Actually, Okeechobee might be the biggest
> > > > - SW transmission site left in the US .
> > > > - Something like 14 X100KW !
>
> > > > WYFR Transmitter and Antenna Photographshttp://www.fmarc.net/WYFR.htm
> > > > The 14 Transmitter number is what I read at
> > > > one time and they have 11 Antenna
Arrays.http://transition.fcc.gov/ib/sand/neg/hf_web/WYFR.txt
> > > > -roughly- a Square Mile 640 Acres
> > > > -mostly- using 'Rhombic' Antennashttp://www.tpub.com/neets/book10/42o.htm
> > > > .
> > > > *82 Photos* of WYFR Transmitter Site and
> > > > Shortwave Broadcast Antennas
Arrayshttps://picasaweb.google.com/kwinrich/WYFR#5149450014785168130
> > > > =also=http://www.broadcastengineering.info/viewtopic.php?f=4&t=329
> > > > .
> > > > There are other claims that WEWN/EWTN is
> > > > the largest 'Private' Shortwave Radio
> > > > Broadcaster in the USA.
> > > > -?-now-is-that-true-?-http://transition.fcc.gov/ib/sand/neg/hf_web/WEWN.txt
> > > > Four Transmitters with 30 Antenna Arrays.
> > > > .
> > > > Photos of 'Field Trip' to WEWN Transmitter
Sitehttp://www.panoramio.com/photo/5842721
> > > > and Shortwave Antenna Arrayshttp://bellsouthpwp.net/n/4/n4nr/wewn/
> > > > .
> > > > Does "VOA" in Greenville, NC still exist
?http://www.j-hawkins.com/voanc1.shtmlhttp://antennablog.lbagroup.com/......
>
> > > > Is "VOA" in Greenville, NC still eopperational
?http://www.flickr.com/photos/rocknrun/511660521/in/photostream/http:/......
> > > > .
> > > > 'Proposed' VOA Greenville
Closurehttp://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/2010/02/politicans-and-press-react-t...
> > > > .
> > > > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > - I thought Greenville still had some transmissions?
>
> > Greenville, NC had three VOA Sites and IIRC
> > two of the VOA Sites are now
Closed.http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greenville,_North_Carolina#Voice_of_Amer...

>
> > There is talk of Closing the last VOA Site; as
> > not needed; since the Cold War is long
over.http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voice_of_America
>
> > The Long Slow Death of the VOA :
> > VOA at Bethany, OH is closed.
> > VOA at Delano, CA is closed.
> > VOA at Dixon, CA is closed.
> > VOA at Greenville, NC is 2/3rds closed.
> > VOA in Hawaii is closed.
> > VOA in Okinawa is closed.
> > VOA in Costa Rica is closed.
> > VOA in Belize is closed.
> > VOA in Liberia is closed.
>
> > and... the USA is getting out of Winning
> > the Hearts and Minds of the Citizens of
> > The World. -while-
> > China is On-the-Rise.
> > Russia is Resurging.
> > Venezuela is On-the-Rise.
>
> > and... the Voice of America is now only
> > a faint distant whisper . .
.http://www.intervalsignals.net/sounds/usa-z-voa_greenville_rvd_c1965.mp3
> > .
> > RIP VOA RIP - amen ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
>
> Go to the VOA web-site and take a Minute
> to look around; and You do not see the
> words : Shortwave or Frequencyhttp://www.voanews.com/
> .
> Next 'Search' the VOA web-site for :
> "Shortwave" or "Frequency"
> -results- Zero Results that are related to
> Shortwave Radio or Shortwave Frequencies.http://www.voanews.com/
> VOA Is Kill It's Shortwave Legacy
> .
> VOA has plenty of 'Web Features' :http://www.voanews.com/
> Special Reports
> Photo Galleries
> Going Green
> Money In Motion
> Now You Know
> Off the Beaten Path
> The Link
> *BUT* NO SHORTWAVE !

> {How/Where to Listen via Radio}
> .
> VOA has plenty of 'Interactive' :http://www.voanews.com/
> YouTube
> Facebook
> Twitter
> *BUT* NO SHORTWAVE !
> {How/Where to Listen via Radio}
> .
> VOA has plenty of 'Web Services' :http://www.voanews.com/
> Podcasts
> RSS
> Mobile
> Newsletter
> Webcasts
> *BUT* NO SHORTWAVE !
> {How/Where to Listen via Radio}
> .
> VOA has plenty of 'Links' :
> About the US
> Editorials
> RFE/RL
> RFA
> Pronunciation Guide
> *BUT* NO SHORTWAVE !
> {How/Where to Listen via Radio}
> .
> WOW ! : You have to Leave the VOA web-site
> and go to {by-accident} the "Inside VOA"http://www.insidevoa.com/
> web-site to find "VOA Programs and Radio
> Frequencies"http://www.insidevoa.com/about/frequencies/
> Leading to : VOA Broadcast Frequency
Scheduleshttp://www.voanews.com/english/programs/frequencies/
> .
> WHY ? : Now Why Was That Not A 'Choice' for
> any of the above Main VOA-News web-site :
> Web Features
> Interactive
> Web Services
> Links
> .
> D'OH ! : VOA and VOA-News Are You Still 'In'
> the Shortwave Radio Broadcasting Business ?
> THEN WHY HIDE IT -Hint- Feature It On Your
> Main VOA-News web-site's main-web-page.http://www.voanews.com/
> -don't-hide-shortwave-like-it-don't-exist-
> .
> ok 'voa' & 'voa-news' : end of rant ~ RHF
> .
> .

For Americans wanting to hear the VOA
in English from Greenville, NC -USA-
-this-may-be-the-last-chance-to...-

7465 kHz @ 0130-0200 UTC

9820 kHz @ 0130-0200 UTC

-just-two-left-in-english-from-the-usa-

Note : 0130-0200 UTC -that's-
EDT 9:30 PM - 10:00 PM
CDT 8:30 PM - 9:00 PM
MDT 7:30 PM - 8:00 PM
PDT 6:30 PM - 7:00 PM
.
~ RHF
.
.

3403. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 19:31:19 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF27F655E10Dhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231330
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF27FDCC4B44Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF1A8EF35556hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF1C26812F31Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF19EF2DD2F8hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> But I maintain that further taxation takes money out of the hands
>>>>> of the producer class and further injures the economy so that
>>>>> higher rates will return lower revenues.
>>>>
>>>> I agree with that but is that injury more or less than what we
>>>> already have. It is like putting a bandage on a wound. It works,
>>>> it does good, helps healing but it often hurts when removed.
>>>
>>> What if the wound never heals because of the treatment?
>>
>> While true, it doesn't matter if the patient is dead.
>
> Kinda my point.

And mine is that we will have no real fix to the situation unless we
address BOTH sides of it. That would be revenues and costs.

>>>>> There is simply no rational way to tax us out of this problem!
>>>>
>>>> Nor is there a rational way to cut spending far enough to get us
>>>> out of this problem. Ergo, the solution needs to combine
>>>> both.....more taxes for certain areas and pretty damn heavy cost
>>>> cutting in certain areas.
>>>
>>> Really? Do you honestly think even 1/3 or spending could stand
>>> honest Constitutional scrutiny. Maybe it's time we stop acting like
>>> children and started acting like there was more to life than
>>> government grants.
>>
>> Where do you come up with 1/3? SS is 20%, Medicare/Medicaid and
>> other safety net programs are another 35%, Defense is 20% and the

>> current debt in 2010 was 6%. That consumes 81% of the budget. That
>> only leaves 19% for EVERYTHING else and all those costs are climbing.
>>
>
> I would love to see all of it up to serious Constitutional scrutiny. I
> wonder how much of it Jeffereson and madison would approve of?

I am sure that it will over the next many months.

The General Welfare clause was a point of contention between Madison and Hamilton. Madison's view in Federalist 41 was that it was seen simply as shorthand for the enumerated powers listed in ArtI(8). Jefferson agreed with Madison but Hamilton disagreed. Hamilton felt that it was an independent power. The Supreme Court sided with Hamilton in 1936 They said that Congress had the power to spend tax moneys "for public purposes....not limited by the direct grants of legislative power found in the Constitution. (Butler v US, 297 US 1 - 1936).

That decision permitted the Court to sustain the Social Security Act, a federal law that provides for the general welfare but does not fit within the enumerated powers. (Steward Machine Co v Davis, 301 US 548 - 1937).

Unfortunately, it also gave a backdoor to taxing to uphold an annual federal tax on firearms dealers. "We are not free to speculate as to the motives which moved Congress to impose it, or as to the extent to which it may operate to restrict the activities taxed.....[S}ince it operates as a tax, it is withing the national taxing power." (Sonzinsky v US, 300 US 506 - 1937)

>> Of course we can cut back on Defense (which includes Homeland
>> Security) by taking the National Guard off the border (they are
>> coming off anyway by next year) and closing down many of our bases
>> overseas, not buying any more warships, dropping the F-35 and
>> bringing our boys home from Iraq and Afghanistan.
>>
>> Obama's minions think they can get $500B out Medicare/Medicaid over
>> the next 10 years by elminating fraud and waste, but no one is really
>> stating where that waste and fraud is much less how to remove it.
>> The Dems aren't going to go for Ryan's plan although they haven't
>> produced one of their own.....and won't until after the 2012
>> election. They'd rather use Ryan's plan to badmouth the GOP to the
>> voters.
>
> That's about all they are good for.
>
>>
>>>>> Seriously how much more can we afford to take out of the private
>>>>> economy? $1 trillion, $2 trillion? $4 trillion?
>>>>
>>>> Our debt is now equal to our GNP. They both stand at about $14.3T
>>>> and we are borrowing $0.40 on the dollar. We can't keep doing that
>>>> and maintain our status on borrowing percentages.

>>>
>>> No, but unless the bleeding stops or slows significantly... Look, I
>>> no longer beleive the bullshit about raising taxes/cutting spending.
>>> I became poltically aware in the 80s with the line of shit the
>>> Democrats fed Reagan and then they reneged. It just is no longer
>>> credible. You give them more money, they'll spend twiec as much on
>>> things that hurt the economy.
>>
>> True, but for now, the Republicans control the House where all
>> spending bills originate. Do you think we can wait until Republicans
>> control all three again? Look what happened last time. It is never
>> really good when the same party controls both Houses and the
>> presidency.
>
> I think if we don't something sooner rather than later it won't
> matter, events will overshadow politics.

Yep, and then both sides will have no choice but to come closer together.

>>>>> The only way to do it is DRASTIC spending reductions and DRASTIC
>>>>> tax cuts so as to allow the producer class to keep it's money and
>>>>> be able to spend it.
>>>>
>>>> Excuse me, but with DRASTIC tax cuts, how do you intend to keep
>>>> programs alive AND pay down the debt. That is like maxing out your
>>>> credit cards and then leaving your job as an engineer to flip
>>>> burgers for miminum wage. The revenue needs to keep coming. In
>>>> fact the more revenue, the faster we can pay down that debt.
>>>
>>> What makes you think I want to keep programs alive? I want
>>> dependency on the fed stamped out, exterminated, destroyed, plowed
>>> under and the earth salted
>>
>> You have to keep some of them going. You simply can't put grannie on
>> an ice floe and send her out to sea, no matter how much you'd like
>> to. Yes, there is a lot of folks receiving Medicaid and unemployment
>> and welfare that shouldn't. But shooting everyone on those programs
>> isn't the answer either. I'd love to say that as a people we need to
>> assume responsibility for those who are less fortunate than us, but
>> if that had occurred earlier in our history, we wouldn't have the
>> programs (and their attendant problems) that we do today.
>
> Well I wouldn't put grannie on asn ice flow out to see. I'd like to
> see more people take responsibility for thier families.

As would I and I do including my general family. I assume you do also.

> Didn't want to make a big deal of it, but we're moving back to VA and
> moving in with my mother-in-law. She's been having "issues" lately and
> my wife wants to be the one to take care of her. Fortuneately she has
> a big house, not as big as ours here, but in some ways better.

>
> So I'm putting my money where my mouth is.

Good.

> And it's not that people didn't do the right thing, it's that they let
> themselvesd be hustled that they weren't needed and Uncle Sugar would
> do it for them.

Hmmmm, I think there was some of both. Those who still helped their
families and those who relied on the government to do so.

> There is no reason to continue bad policy because it's been in place
> for a long time if it appears that the policy is destructive.

That is part of it, isn't it. Deciding just what is destructive and what
isn't. That is part of the distance between liberals and conservatives.

>>>> Unless people are buying things and generating demand it
>>>>> just can't happen. And more and more people will become dependent
>>>>> on the government. More people will be living off of fewer people.
>>>>> There is no possible way that that is a return to prosperity, no
>>>>> matter what the Marxists beleive.
>>>>
>>>> And there is really no way to simply cut, cut, cut and cut like
>>>> many others believe.
>>>
>>> Yeah, there is. All it takes is balls and a conscience.
>>
>> Sorry, but when cutting many of the things going on, balls and
>> conscience don't play well together. That human nature. We tend to
>> say, hell, yes, let's cut those programs those worthless assholes are
>> on, but don't you dare touch anything I may need, want or use.
>
> Well sinec the Democrats won't even acknowledge that there is a
> problem, they are sorta begging for a more extreme answer doncha
> think?

It'll come to them.

>>>>> Clearly there are other issues. Offshoring jobs in particular is
>>>>> very toxic. If you move the jobs overseas, then people here don't
>>>>> work.
>>>>
>>>> Then the laws need to be changed to fix that. We need to find a
>>>> way to tax offshore income rather than just leave it float in the
>>>> wind. We need to find ways to make our labor more viable here than
>>>> in France or China.
>>>
>>> Um, by getting rid of all the government programs, taxes,
>>> regulations and special interest bullshit that is currently choling
>>> the life of the economy?
>>

>> That 'special interest bullshit' is part of capitalism. Not in
>> theory but in actuality. As are taxes and regulations.
>
> Doesn't have to be.

Interesting but I think that both taxes and regulation are necessary.
The problem is applying them where they do the most good so we don't need
so much of them.

>>>>> People not working means they can't buy what they need or want. No
>>>>> growth. I know what I'm talking about I'm a developer and the
>>>>> number of jobs going to India and the foreigners being brought in
>>>>> to work cheaper in essentially captive jobs is killing domestic
>>>>> programmers and developers.
>>>>
>>>> And I have been in hardware development and quite familiar with
>>>> outsourcing on both materials and labor. It is a practice that
>>>> became paramount due to competition and our laws.
>>>
>>> "our laws"? Not mine. Marxists that want to control the economy
>>> maybe.
>>
>> Our laws. Get your head out of your ass, Ghost. If everone took
>> that approach we would all be shooting each other at dawn. Our
>> problems have come from BOTH sides of the argument and it will take
>> BOTH sides to fix them.
>
> Hey I'm as mad at the republicans for what they've done! Can't we
> thank Nixon for ATF and the EPA? I have equal contempt for both
> parties. Unfortuneately one has to choose one or the other if one
> wants to have any influence, though maybe the TEA party will continue
> to expand.

More than likely they will expand government also, although in areas of
their interest. Power breeds power.

>>>> And it isn't that we are overpriced. Some of the
>>>>> offers I've seen recently have been downright insulting for
>>>>> someone with as much experience as I have.
>>>>
>>>> Sorry....
>>>>
>>>>> Also, over regulation takes it's toll. A couple out in Missouri
>>>>> fined 10s of thousands for selling rabbits without a license,
>>>>> Amish being attacked like Waco for selling milk (for God's sake!)
>>>>> to people who apparently know the "risks" and are more than happy
>>>>> to balance that with the benefits, Boeing being told they can't
>>>>> build a plant in North Carolina becuase the UNIONS don't like it?
>>>>> Fuck me! What has this country come to?
>>>>
>>>> Regulation needs to make sense.....nuch of what you stated above

>>>> doesn't. Yes, I know it is there, but we need to change that
>>>> however deregulating everything is not the answer either.
>>>
>>> OK, let's dump everything and start over. With the caveat that any
>>> regulation MUST conform with the limits imposed by the Constitution.
>>
>> How do you intend to do that? Have Congree declare this to be 1791?
>
> Yep, have a big party on the mall and burn all the laws and regs made
> since then. Of course we may need aerial tankers to control the burn.
> And there are certain parts of DC that you might let the fire spread
> to.

Hmmmmm, rather drastic. How does one care for people injured by planes
falling out of the sky since there are no traffic controllers and it is a
crowded airspace? ;)

>>>>> Drastic spending cuts aren't just about stopping the hemorrhaging
>>>>> of debt but to kill the federal behemoth which is (delibertately
>>>>> in my opinion) stifling every bit of creativity and
>>>>> entrepreneurship.
>>>>
>>>> Don't worry, it won't. Without a viable private sector, the public
>>>> one cannot survive.
>>>
>>> While I don't mind seeing the public sector die...
>>>
>>> Unfortuneately I think the parasite may kill the host.
>>
>> And when that happens the parasite no longer survives because it
>> needs the host.
>
> Great and then they are both dead. Is that a victory? Or is a
> lingering death, debilitated by the sapping of strength preferable?

Even parasites know the bottom line....why do you think they always look
for new hosts?

>>>>> Good God, I tried to start a business back in teh 90s, just me and
>>>>> my computer doing development on the side, in my home office. Not
>>>>> only did the fed and state want thier cut the fucking county had
>>>>> thier greedy paws out for a piece of the action. I think I ended
>>>>> up owing more in taxes than I got to keep. What the fuck sense
>>>>> does that make?
>>>>
>>>> Interesting. I owned a computor consulting business and had no
>>>> problems like that. I had costs for a business license and they
>>>> made me a taxing point for state sales taxes....but that was about
>>>> it.
>>>
>>> Yeah the county wanted a license fee and piece of the action. I

>>> figured after the feds, state and they got through with me it simply
>>> wasn't worth it. I wasn't busting my ass to keep less than half of
>>> what I earned to make the leechs fat, too.
>>
>> Not like that everywhere. When things get overregulated, businesses
>> move...to other cities, states or countries.
>
> To who's benefit? It simply exacerbates the problem.

Only if the over regulation follows the business.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3404. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 19:32:46 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF27FA3E2141hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231331
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:55e1db13-0422-429a-9ad9-fffdaaf198cc@y12g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:

> On May 27, 12:38 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:8475f659-af52-4562-8e73-
>> 4db2c1304...@r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 26, 8:04 pm, "Scout"
>> ><me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> "Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >>news:Xns9EF19C0BEACC7Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>>
>> >> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> >> > 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> Under the FairTax
>> >> >> - wholesale = $50
>> >> >> - compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> - FairTax = $23
>> >> >> - sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> >> - Grand total = $100
>>
>> >> > You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> >> Yep, notice nothing else has changed, but according to him,
>> >> suddenly i
> t
>> w
>> > ill
>> >> cost NOTHING to comply with the still existing conditions, and
>> >> will co

> st
>> >> nothing additional to comply with the new tax imposed.
>>
>> >> As such his numbers are BS.
>>
>> > What's to comply with on a sales tax? Really, make your case? As
> for
>> > the numbers, as I said, economists have looked at this. The
>> > research is out there. I don't care if you summarily dismiss it.
>> > But, at least take an objective look at the plan rather than gut
>> > reactions to it. Of course, if you like the current tax system
>> > then god bless you
> .
>>
>> The problem is, I don't beleive for a minute it will stay at whatever
>> rat
> e
>> is promised.
>>
>
> Then, there's nothing left to talk about with you, is there?

Unless you have a way to show that he is wrong........

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3405. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 19:35:26 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF280177D86hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231332
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:2bd8c587-d08d-465c-9e0a-ec529c2d92ba@r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:

> On May 27, 12:57 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@
> 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
>> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >>news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.co
>> >>m.
>> >> ..
>>
>> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
>> > 031
>> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
>> >> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> >> >> > - Grand total = $100

>>
>> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> >> --
>> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦http://her
> man
>> > cain.c
>> >> >> > om/
>> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
>> >> >> >> Obama had
>> > as
>> >> >> muc
>> >> >> > h
>> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>> >> >> >> THAT muc
>> > h
>> >> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> >> I refer to your math.
>>
>> >> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> >> >> that's $1
>> > 23.
>>
>> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> >> > comprehension. ♦Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
>> >> > $23) that you didn't get? ♦I guess the example wasn't simple
>> >> > enough for you.
>>
>> >> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales and
>> >> other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they will still
>> >> need to comp
>> > ly
>> >> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the
>> >> additional FairTax imposed?
>>
>> > You need to understand what compliance costs actually are. ♦They
>> > are the costs associated with complying with the federal income tax
>> > on wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income tax on

>> > wages, i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering, documenting, and
>> > filing our annual income.. If those taxes are gone then just what
>> > exactly is there left to comply with and how does that cost any
>> > money?
>>
>> There are also state compliance costs associated with state income
>> taxes for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals, etc.. Fair
>> tax does nothing about them. In addition you also have some
>> compliance taxes fo
> r
>> the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.
>>
>
> Because that has to do with state taxes. The FairTax is about federal
> taxation.

The point is that those costs are still there. They were somewhat
amortized when combined with federal taxation but even if that goes away,
the mechanisms of collecting those taxes don't.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3406. Re: digital ballasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 20:55:37 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <ZaSdnXx1IYVNkn3QnZ2dnVY3goSdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231333

"John Smith" wrote in message news:iroofg$vi4$6@dont-email.me...

On 5/27/2011 4:33 AM, julia joy wrote:
> Eclipse LED digital ballasts are more efficient, quieter, cooler, and
> softer on the bulb. Digital ballasts do not have any of the usual
> transformers, capacitors or igniters; instead these ballasts have
> electronic circuitry to do the same job, more efficiently. What might
> take a standard coil/magnetic ballast 680-700 watts to fire a standard
> 600 watt bulb, may only take a digital ballast only 600-620 watts to
> fire the same bulb to the same brightness.
>
>
> http://www.eclipseledcompany.com/Digital_Ballasts.html

WOW? Not you, but what idiot decided to use "digital" to describe an
electronic ballast.

Digital computers deal with numbers. Digital systems deal with binary
numbers (perhaps, soon "trinary" numbers as the 3-state electronics
become available.)

There is nothing digital about fluorescent ballasts, except the name.

Regards,
JS

That's OK... the shill isn't talking about fluorescent ballasts, they're
talking about HID ballasts (mercury vapor, high pressure sodium, metal
halide). Digital technology could be used for these by using "steppers" to
ramp the voltage up and down based upon current detection.

3407. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 21:13:16 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <135296b0-c10e-40b6-bb7a-29e0f6995f2d@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231334
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 27, 3:02 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:a55df435-ec3a-4cbd-96bd-a6a7c5e8166a@v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.com:
>
> > On May 27, 12:23ÿpm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@
> > > l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> Let's get rid of a lot crap and get down to the chase and the point I am
> trying to make.
>
> You keep stating that there will be 23% of the product cost removed when
> Fair Tax is implemented, therefore the 23% of the Fair Tax will simply
> bring the product back to the same price. You say that research has
> shown that.
>
> Now, let's look at the FAQ. It says that the Fair Tax will be *revenue*
> neutral. It does NOT say it will be *cost* neutral. That is YOUR claim,
> not theirs. Revenue neutral means that the amount of tax revenue produced
> by the Fair Tax will be the same as current practice provide. Cost
> neutral would indicate that cost of the product to the public would not
> change. A statement that they do not make.
>
> Here is what the FAIR Tax FAQ says:
>
> "Does the FairTax rate need to be much higher to be revenue neutral?
>
> The proper tax rate has been carefully worked out; 23 percent does the
> job of: (1) raising the same amount of federal funds as are raised by the
> current system, (2) paying the universal rebate, and (3) paying the
> collection fees to retailers and state governments. Unlike some other
> proposals, this rate has been independently confirmed by several
> different, nonpartisan institutions across the country. Detailed
> calculations are available from FairTax.org. "
>
> Note that it says, "..revenue neutral."
>
> Let's try another spot:
>
> "How does the FairTax affect wages and prices?
>
> Americans who produce goods and earn wages must pay significant tax and

> compliance costs under the current federal income tax. These taxes and
> costs both reduce after-tax wages and profits and are then passed on to
> the consumers of those goods and services in the form of price increases.
> When the FairTax removes income, capital gains, payroll, and estate and
> gift taxes, the pre-FairTax prices of these goods and services will fall.
> The removal of these hidden taxes may also allow wages to rise. Exactly
> how much prices will fall and wages will rise depends on market forces.
> For example, in a profession with many jobs and too few to fill them,
> wages will likely increase more than in fields where there are too many
> employees and not enough jobs."
>
> Note again that it does not name a percentage for any cost reduction. It
> says it will vary depending on market forces.
>
> Here is another spot:
>
> "
> Since the FairTax plan is *revenue neutral*, the same amount of resources
> is extracted from the economy as is extracted under current law. These
> funds are, however, extracted in a less economically damaging way. Every
> known economic projection shows the economy doing better, often much
> better, under the FairTax.
> Because the economy grows, is more efficient and more productive, that
> means investment, wages, and consumption are higher than they are under
> the income tax."
>
> Again, it says "revenue neutral" not cost neutral. The research that they
> claim the 23% number on is for revenue neutrality. That number has
> nothing to do with product prices or costs.
>

Yes it does. The 23% is the embedded compliance "costs". I'll try
this one last time. 23% of every item you buy is composed embedded
costs passed on in the price of the product associated with compliance
of paying federal income and payroll taxes, including personal, gift,
estate, capital gains, alternative minimum, Social Security/Medicare,
self-employment, and corporate taxes. Those are "costs". When the
FairTax is implemented those costs go away. I won't re-hash why.
But, those costs go away and are replaced by the FairTax. In it's
simplest terms it removes 23% of embedded compliance costs, then turns
right around and adds 23% tax. Thus, the cost if the item is the
same. I can't make it any more simple then that. If you can't figure
that out then maybe you need to stay with the current tax system.

> IOW, there is nothing in the fact that says that prices will remain the
> same although you kept claiming it in your examples. The fact is that
> the overall cost of the product, including the Fair Tax may be quite
> different than it was before. It may be lower or it may be higher. The
> FAQ makes no claims in either direction.
>
> This is what I meant when I was talking about your price comparisons when

> I said one of the numbers had to be wrong. You cannot take 23% away from
> an item, add more stuff to it and put it back and have the item retain
> the same cost. Even the research that you cited says "revenue neutral"
> meaning it produces the same amount of revenue to the federal government
> as the various taxes did prior to the Fair Tax.
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

3408. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 21:14:15 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8ce02ba4-e0fa-4501-94f1-87e144248f44@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231335
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 27, 3:35 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:2bd8c587-d08d-465c-9e0a-ec529c2d92ba@r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 12:57 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@
> > 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >> >>news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.co
> >> >>m.
> >> >> ..
>
> >> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost
> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
> >> > 031
> >> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> >> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
> >> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
> >> >> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
> >> >> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
> >> >> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27

> >> >> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>
> >> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>
> >> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
>
> >> >> >> >> --
> >> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://her
> > man
> >> > cain.c
> >> >> >> > om/
> >> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> >> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
> >> >> >> >> Obama had
> >> > as
> >> >> >> muc
> >> >> >> > h
> >> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
> >> >> >> >> THAT muc
> >> > h
> >> >> >> >> competence?
>
> >> >> >> I refer to your math.
>
> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
> >> >> >> that's $1
> >> > 23.
>
> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
> >> >> > $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
> >> >> > enough for you.
>
> >> >> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales and
> >> >> other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they will still
> >> >> need to comp
> >> > ly
> >> >> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the
> >> >> additional FairTax imposed?
>
> >> > You need to understand what compliance costs actually are. They
> >> > are the costs associated with complying with the federal income tax
> >> > on wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income tax on

> >> > wages, i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering, documenting, and
> >> > filing our annual income.. If those taxes are gone then just what
> >> > exactly is there left to comply with and how does that cost any
> >> > money?
>
> >> There are also state compliance costs associated with state income
> >> taxes for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals, etc.. Fair
> >> tax does nothing about them. In addition you also have some
> >> compliance taxes fo
> > r
> >> the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.
>
> > Because that has to do with state taxes. The FairTax is about federal
> > taxation.
>
> The point is that those costs are still there. They were somewhat
> amortized when combined with federal taxation but even if that goes away,
> the mechanisms of collecting those taxes don't.
>

The costs of production are there. The costs of compliance are no
longer there.

> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

3409. Re: digital ballasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 21:20:54 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irp4jm$rm8$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231336

On 5/27/2011 1:55 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:

> ...
> That's OK... the shill isn't talking about fluorescent ballasts, they're
> talking about HID ballasts (mercury vapor, high pressure sodium, metal
> halide). Digital technology could be used for these by using "steppers"
> to ramp the voltage up and down based upon current detection.
>
>

While you are technically correct, about a LED being mentioned in the original post, the difference between sodium/mercury-vapor and fluorescent BALLAST is minimal, to an engineer.

Voltage step-up/step-down, or even wave shape has nothing to do with "digital."

While not absolutely necessary, a digital wave shape will be of a square wave, however ramp and even sine can be handled with processing. And, a simple flip-flop circuit can generate a square wave, process this though a current regulator, then a voltage regulator and you, basically, have your "digital ballast", but it has nothing to do with anything truly "digital."

The name "digital" is more of a marketing scam than anything else it its' use in describing the ballast, kinda like "solid state" (a pencil is solid state!), "state of the art" ("new" is simply relative), "space-age" (products developed after successful space flight), etc.

1) of or relating to the fingers or toes <digital dexterity>

2) done with a finger <a digital rectal examination>

3) of, relating to, or using calculation by numerical methods or by discrete units

4) of, relating to, or being data in the form of especially binary digits <digital images> <a digital readout>; especially : of, relating to, or employing digital communications signals <a digital broadcast> ï¿½ compare analog 2

5) providing a readout in numerical digits <a digital voltmeter>

6) relating to an audio recording method in which sound waves are represented digitally (as on magnetic tape) so that in the recording wow and flutter are eliminated and background noise is reduced

7) electronic <digital devices>; also : characterized by electronic and
especially computerized technology <the digital age>

... by Merriam-Webster.

And, my hand is digital!!! ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

3410. Re: Alex Jones "Info Wars" Names #1 Enemy of the People of Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 21:29:11 UTC
From: Bubba <Bub@ba>
Message-ID: <6Y57ZSF840691.228599537@reece.net.au>
Source record: 231347
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.news-media,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.religion.christian,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 26 May 2011, RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:

>On May 25, 3:42 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> http://www.infowars.com/financial-terrorism-tsa-holds-texas-flights-h...
>>
>> You just can't make up shit like this!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
>Alex Jones Names #1 Enemy of the People of Texas
>http://www.infowars.com/ex-cia-spook-dewhurst-sabotaged-anti-tsa-bill-for-obama-administration/
>-an-agent-of-the-obama-regime-&-new-world-order-
> .
>WWCR "Info Wars" on 12,160 kHz @ 1600 UTC
>http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> .
>"Info Wars" -host- Alex Jones
>http://www.infowars.com/
> .
>Alex Jones -web-site- "Prison Planet"
>http://www.prisonplanet.com/
> .
>About "Alex Jones" (Radio Host)
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alex_Jones_(radio)
> .
>The Alex Jones Shortwave Radio Show
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alex_Jones_(radio)#The_Alex_Jones_Show
> .
>Alex Jones "Info Wars" on the Shortwave Radio
>Mon-Fri : 11 AM - 2 PM on 12,160 kHz
>[US Central Time -6 UTC] : 1600 - 1900 UTC
> .
>World Wide Christian Radio [WWCR]
>Shortwave Radio Station
>WWCR Location : Nashville, Tennessee, USA
>http://www.wwcr.com/
> .
>yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
>Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
>Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> .
>Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq

>http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
>- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

In Jones' favor, he does have the gritty voice and imposing demeanor ideal for propagandist talk radio. Perhaps someday he will realize that only global nuclear war will ever solve the problem, because the problem is "human" nature. "Humans" are the spawn of evil itself. Unless the genetic engineers can figure out a way to splice the "evil gene" completely out of the human genome, and cause all evil "humans" to go extinct without dragging the newly engineered non-evil humans down with them, then there is no other way to solve the problem but to obliterate the earth and destroy all life on land, air, and sea.

One other distinct possibility is that the year 2012 really will bring the end of time. Hard to know if it will, but the world does look like it is headed off a cliff. It's almost June 2011. Is it possible the this world has about a year and a half before it's all over? That's not long to wait. The big difference is that this "2012" prediction was made many thousands of years ago by astronomers with an amazing comprehension of the subject matter -- no religious fanatics or totally incompetent doomsday cult clowns like "Harold Camping" or "Jim Jones" to muddy the waters with their delusional twaddle.

--
Bub

3411. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 21:44:39 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF295FFD20D0hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231337
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:135296b0-c10e-40b6-bb7a-29e0f6995f2d@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:

> On May 27, 3:02 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:a55df435-ec3a-4cbd-96bd-a6a7c5e8166a@
> v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > On May 27, 12:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> > wrote
>:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@
>> > l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> Let's get rid of a lot crap and get down to the chase and the point I
>> am trying to make.
>>
>> You keep stating that there will be 23% of the product cost removed
>> when Fair Tax is implemented, therefore the 23% of the Fair Tax will
>> simply bring the product back to the same price. You say that
>> research has shown that.
>>
>> Now, let's look at the FAQ. It says that the Fair Tax will be
>> *revenue
> *
>> neutral. It does NOT say it will be *cost* neutral. That is YOUR cl
> aim,
>> not theirs. Revenue neutral means that the amount of tax revenue
>> produced by the Fair Tax will be the same as current practice
>> provide. Cost neutral would indicate that cost of the product to the
>> public would not change. A statement that they do not make.
>>
>> Here is what the FAIR Tax FAQ says:
>>
>> "Does the FairTax rate need to be much higher to be revenue neutral?
>>
>> The proper tax rate has been carefully worked out; 23 percent does
>> the job of: (1) raising the same amount of federal funds as are
>> raised by the current system, (2) paying the universal rebate, and
>> (3) paying the collection fees to retailers and state governments.
>> Unlike some other proposals, this rate has been independently
>> confirmed by several different, nonpartisan institutions across the

>> country. Detailed calculations are available from FairTax.org. "
>>
>> Note that it says, "..revenue neutral."
>>
>> Let's try another spot:
>>
>> "How does the FairTax affect wages and prices?
>>
>> Americans who produce goods and earn wages must pay significant tax
>> and compliance costs under the current federal income tax. These
>> taxes and costs both reduce after-tax wages and profits and are then
>> passed on to the consumers of those goods and services in the form of
>> price increases. When the FairTax removes income, capital gains,
>> payroll, and estate and gift taxes, the pre-FairTax prices of these
>> goods and services will fall. The removal of these hidden taxes may
>> also allow wages to rise. Exactly how much prices will fall and wages
>> will rise depends on market forces. For example, in a profession with
>> many jobs and too few to fill them, wages will likely increase more
>> than in fields where there are too many employees and not enough
>> jobs."
>>
>> Note again that it does not name a percentage for any cost reduction.
>> &#65533;
> It
>> says it will vary depending on market forces.
>>
>> Here is another spot:
>>
>> "
>> Since the FairTax plan is *revenue neutral*, the same amount of
>> resources is extracted from the economy as is extracted under current
>> law. These funds are, however, extracted in a less economically
>> damaging way. Every known economic projection shows the economy doing
>> better, often much better, under the FairTax.
>> Because the economy grows, is more efficient and more productive,
>> that means investment, wages, and consumption are higher than they
>> are under the income tax."
>>
>> Again, it says "revenue neutral" not cost neutral. The research that
>> they claim the 23% number on is for revenue neutrality. That number
>> has nothing to do with product prices or costs.
>>
>
> Yes it does. The 23% is the embedded compliance "costs".

And where is this noted other than by you? It is NOT in the FAQ.

I'll try
> this one last time.

Go for it.

23% of every item you buy is composed embedded
> costs passed on in the price of the product associated with compliance
> of paying federal income and payroll taxes, including personal, gift,
> estate, capital gains, alternative minimum, Social Security/Medicare,
> self-employment, and corporate taxes. Those are "costs". When the
> FairTax is implemented those costs go away. I won't re-hash why.

I am not asking why. I am asking where are you pulling that number from?

> But, those costs go away and are replaced by the FairTax. In it's
> simplest terms it removes 23% of embedded compliance costs, then turns
> right around and adds 23% tax.

The adding of 23% I got since that is the percentage of the Fair Tax. I
am not questioning that. I am questioning where you got the costs of
compliance and that other stuff adding up to 23% of the product cost. It
isn't in the FAQ as far as I have seen.

Thus, the cost if the item is the
> same. I can't make it any more simple then that. If you can't figure
> that out then maybe you need to stay with the current tax system.

Or you need to explain where you are getting that particular number from.
You have yet to do that.

>> IOW, there is nothing in the fact that says that prices will remain
>> the same although you kept claiming it in your examples. The fact is
>> that the overall cost of the product, including the Fair Tax may be
>> quite different than it was before. It may be lower or it may be
>> higher.
> The
>> FAQ makes no claims in either direction.
>>
>> This is what I meant when I was talking about your price comparisons
>> when I said one of the numbers had to be wrong. You cannot take 23%
>> away fr
> om
>> an item, add more stuff to it and put it back and have the item
>> retain the same cost. Even the research that you cited says "revenue
>> neutral" meaning it produces the same amount of revenue to the
>> federal government as the various taxes did prior to the Fair Tax.

Bottom line seems to be that either you don't understand what I am asking
or you can't say where you got the number from either. Again, it is not
the 23% for the Fair tax, I am asking about. It is your reference to all
those costs totaling 23% *BEFORE* taking them away from the overall cost
of the product. Those are existing costs you keep totaling to 23% and
have nothing to do with the Fair Tax percentage.

If you need further explanation of what I am asking.....

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3412. Radio Habana Cuba [RHC] on 12,020 kHz @ 0110 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 21:46:07 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <78d6e80b-8e70-4199-b750-d52ba12eb7b7@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231338

Radio Habana Cuba [RHC] on 12,020 kHz @ 0110 UTC
on 27 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 545 and Good Audio
-also- 12,040 kHz at the same time
S-Meter : S5~S8 with SIO 444 and good audio
.
Heard RHC ID @ 0110 UTC and Arnie Coro in
Spanish @ 0112 UTC with RHC ID @ 0115
.
Radio Habana Cuba [RHC]
http://www.radiohc.cu/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Havana_Cuba
.
Radio Habana Cuba [RHC] Frequencies
http://www.radiohc.cu/index.php/de-interes/frecuencias.html
-source- BCL News .It -for- RHC Schedule
http://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/habana.htm
Transmissiones en Ingles -to- San Francisco
6010 kHz @ 0500-0700 UTC
.
-about- Arnie Coro
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arnie_Coro
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
12020 kHz @ 2100-0500 UTC
CUB : Radio Habana Cuba : S : SAm {Chile}
from Cuba in Spanish to South America
12040 kHz @ 2100-0500 UTC
CUB : Radio Habana Cuba : S : CAm
from Cuba in Spanish to Central America
.
Prior Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info :
* RHC "Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro
on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/05c00c521426b2a7
* Radio Habana Cuba [RHC] on 17,560 kHz
@ 2139 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/c9a60cdcd36ee497

yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
.

Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

On May 17, 8:06 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> RHC"Breakthrough" with Arnie Coro on 6010 kHz @ 0651 UTC
> on 15 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : +20dB to +40dB with SIO 555 and Very Good Audio
> .
> "Breakthrough" with Arnie CoroRHCScience Editor
> "Breakthrough" is theRHCScience 'Tech' Program
> in English with host Arnie Corohttp://www.radiohc.org/Distributions/Dxers/bk00-1203.html
> * Solar Powered Rural Water Pump not using Batteries
> * Tri-Power Light Houses Solar + Wind + Diesel Electric
> * Solar Power for Rural Schools
> -followed-by-
> Song @ 0656 "Take It To The Top" Cuba/Spanish Music
> -followed-by-RHCMusic ID @ 0700 [TOH] with Esperanto
Languagehttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Esperanto
> .
> Radio Habana Cuba
[RHC]http://www.radiohc.cu/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Havana_Cuba
> .
> Radio Habana Cuba [RHC]
Frequencieshttp://www.radiohc.cu/index.php/de-interes/frecuencias.html
> -source- BCL News .It -for-RHCSchedulehttp://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/habana.htm
> Transmissiones en Ingles -to- San Francisco
> 6010 kHz @ 0500-0700 UTC
> Transmissiones en Esperanto -to- San Francisco
> 6010 kHz @ 0700-0730 UTC
> .
> -about- Arnie Corohttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arnie_Coro
> .
> EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> 6010 kHz : 0500-0700 UTC
> CUB : Radio Habana Cuba : E : WNA {English}
> 6010kHz : 0700-0730 UTC : Su {Sunday}
> CUB : Radio Habana Cuba : EO : WNA {Esperanto}
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .

> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

3413. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 21:46:36 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF2965493BA4hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231339
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:8ce02ba4-e0fa-4501-94f1-87e144248f44@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:

> On May 27, 3:35 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:2bd8c587-d08d-465c-9e0a-ec529c2d92ba@
> r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 27, 12:57 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> > wrote
>:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@
>> > 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
>> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >> >>news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups
>> >> >>.co m.
>> >> >> ..
>>
>> >> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
>> >> > 031
>> >> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> >> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> >> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:

>>
>> >> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
>> >> >> >> >> > - ? ? wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> >> > - ? ? compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> >> > - ? ? FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> >> > - ? ? sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> >> >> >> > - ? ? Grand total = $100
>>
>> >> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> >> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the
>> >> >> >> > FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> --
>> >> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! ? ? ? ? ? ? ?http://
> her
>> > man
>> >> > cain.c
>> >> >> >> > om/
>> >> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like
>> >> >> >> >> cancer)
>>
>> >> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
>> >> >> >> >> Obama had
>> >> > as
>> >> >> >> muc
>> >> >> >> > h
>> >> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't
>> >> >> >> >> have THAT muc
>> >> > h
>> >> >> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> >> >> I refer to your math.
>>
>> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> >> >> >> that's $1
>> >> > 23.
>>
>> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>> >> >> > reading comprehension. ?Was there something about "- $23"
>> >> >> > (read minus $23) that you didn't get? ?I guess the example
>> >> >> > wasn't simple enough for you.
>>

>> >> >> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales
>> >> >> and other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they
>> >> >> will still need to comp
>> >> > ly
>> >> >> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the
>> >> >> additional FairTax imposed?
>>
>> >> > You need to understand what compliance costs actually are. They
>> >> > are the costs associated with complying with the federal income
>> >> > tax on wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income tax
>> >> > on wages, i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering, documenting, and
>> >> > filing our annual income.. If those taxes are gone then just
>> >> > what exactly is there left to comply with and how does that cost
>> >> > any money?
>>
>> >> There are also state compliance costs associated with state income
>> >> taxes for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals, etc..
>> >> Fair tax does nothing about them. In addition you also have some
>> >> compliance taxes fo
>> > r
>> >> the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.
>>
>> > Because that has to do with state taxes. The FairTax is about
>> > federa
> l
>> > taxation.
>>
>> The point is that those costs are still there. They were somewhat
>> amortized when combined with federal taxation but even if that goes
>> away, the mechanisms of collecting those taxes don't.
>>
>
> The costs of production are there. The costs of compliance are no
> longer there.

They are for state and local reasons. The only thing that went away was
the federal requirement. Some of those are also state costs and they
have to remain in place along with the compliance costs that they incur.
That hasn't changed.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3414. Re: digital ballasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 21:48:04 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <73cbcfc0-0b50-49e5-b0c0-e90c745a273c@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231340

On May 27, 2:20 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/27/2011 1:55 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
> > ...
> > That's OK... the shill isn't talking about fluorescent ballasts, they're
> > talking about HID ballasts (mercury vapor, high pressure sodium, metal
> > halide). Digital technology could be used for these by using "steppers"
> > to ramp the voltage up and down based upon current detection.
>
> While you are technically correct, about a LED being mentioned in the
> original post, the difference between sodium/mercury-vapor and
> fluorescent BALLAST is minimal, to an engineer.
>
> Voltage step-up/step-down, or even wave shape has nothing to do with
> "digital."
>
> While not absolutely necessary, a digital wave shape will be of a square
> wave, however ramp and even sine can be handled with processing. And, a
> simple flip-flop circuit can generate a square wave, process this though
> a current regulator, then a voltage regulator and you, basically, have
> your "digital ballast", but it has nothing to do with anything truly
> "digital."
>
> The name "digital" is more of a marketing scam than anything else it
> its' use in describing the ballast, kinda like "solid state" (a pencil
> is solid state!), "state of the art" ("new" is simply relative),
> "space-age" (products developed after successful space flight), etc.
>
> 1) of or relating to the fingers or toes <digital dexterity>
>
> 2) done with a finger <a digital rectal examination>
>
> 3) of, relating to, or using calculation by numerical methods or by
> discrete units
>
> 4) of, relating to, or being data in the form of especially binary
> digits <digital images> <a digital readout>; especially : of, relating
> to, or employing digital communications signals <a digital broadcast>
> compare analog 2
>
> 5) providing a readout in numerical digits <a digital voltmeter>
>
> 6) relating to an audio recording method in which sound waves are
> represented digitally (as on magnetic tape) so that in the recording wow

> and flutter are eliminated and background noise is reduced
>
> 7) electronic <digital devices>; also : characterized by electronic and
> especially computerized technology <the digital age>
>
> ... by Merriam-Webster.
>
- And, my hand is digital!!! ROFLOL

To Five Place Accuracy ;;-}} ~ RHF
.
>
> Regards,
> JS

3415. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 21:53:06 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp6ggfkq1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231341
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

<r_c_brown@hushmail.com> wrote in message
news:78b180fe-3596-4f15-85e1-37c9e5ac3520@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
> On May 25, 10:29 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/25/2011 8:00 PM, r_c_br...@hushmail.com wrote:
>>
>> > ...
>> > Hence my remark that such a system would require a totalitarian state.
>>
>> >> --
>> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> >> If you woke up this morning....
>> >> Don't complain.
>>
>> Don't forget, we plonk fools here ...
>
> Clearly, then, you should go plonk yourself.

3 people who all said basically the same thing. Seems John should buy a
clue.

3416. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 21:55:55 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp6lpgls1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231342
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-4e47e0379641@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com...
> On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
>> $123.
>>
>> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
>> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
>> > you.
>>
>> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are just
>> going
>> to away?
>>
>
> Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and the way
> market forces work.

Cite, that compliance costs will drop to zero despite no changes in the need
for compliance, plus the addition of the need to comply with a new tax.

3417. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 21:57:33 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231343
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com...
> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >>> wholesale = $50
>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>> >>> FairTax = $23
>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
>> > $123.
>>
>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> >> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
>> >> that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
>> >> you.
>>
>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are just
>> > going to away?
>>
>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will no longer
>> be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate numbers and understand
>> that he cannot subtract 23% from an item's cost, add stuff to it and
>> still have it be 23% when he puts it back in place. Either his first 23%
>> is in error or the second one is.
>>
>
> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good and
> service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will go away as

> market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the FairTax. Guys,
> this isn't that hard.

Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?

Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to be
eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?

3418. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 21:58:45 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp6r4hha1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231344
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:777618f3-e9f9-4b0b-accf-7d67b6c5a793@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
> On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >>> wholesale = $50
>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>> >>> FairTax = $23
>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
>> > $123.
>>
>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> >> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
>> >> that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
>> >> you.
>>
>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are just
>> > going
>> > to away?
>>
>> That seems to be his, utterly unrealistic, assertion.
>
> Economist that I trust more than you say otherwise. I know who I put
> more stock in.

Cite them.

3419. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:01:24 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp702ipe1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231346
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:0b4c0b89-dee7-4140-bed5-58c01a9b8ea9@q32g2000yqn.googlegroups.com...
> On May 26, 6:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a031
>> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > Under the FairTax
>> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
>> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
>> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> --
>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancai
>> > n.c
>> >> > om/
>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>

>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
>> >> >> as
>> >> muc
>> >> > h
>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
>> >> >> much competence?
>>
>> >> I refer to your math.
>>
>> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
>> >> $123.
>>
>> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
>> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
>> > you.
>>
>> Let's try it this way using YOUR figures above.
>>
>> Originally
>>
>> Wholesale - $50
>> Compliance costs - $23
>> Sales and other taxes - $27
>>
>> Total product cost $100
>>
>> Federal income tax revenue is a separate item.
>>
>> ****
>>
>> Wholesale - %50
>> Compliance Costs - <$23>
>> Sales and other taxes - <$27>
>>
>> Total product cost now - $50
>>
>> Federal income tax revenue still a separate item.
>>
>> ****
>>
>> Wholesale - $50
>> Add sales and other taxes -$27
>> Add Fair Tax - $23
>> Add money for loss of income tax revenue - $whatever

>>
>> Oooops, it now comes to more than the original $100 since that revenue is
>> not a separate item anymore.
>>
>
> Oops, you are figuring it out incorrectly. Why are you removing sales
> and other taxes? By those I am talking about state and local taxes.
> So, let's try this again.
>
> Current
> - Wholesale: $50
> - Add sales and other (local/city) taxes: $27
> - Compliance costs: $23
> - Total: $100
>
> FairTax
> - Wholesale: $50
> - Add sales and other (local/city) taxes: $27
> - FairTax: $23
> - Total: $100
>
> You can review my other posts to account for all the other benefits.

Excuse me, but how can you arbitrarily eliminate compliance costs?

You don't think that they will STILL need to comply with the sales tax laws
and other local/city laws for taxation?

Nevermind costs for workman's comp, unemployeement insurance, EPA
requirements, OSHA requirements, etc, etc, etc

How exactly do you just *poof* magically disappear all these costs?

3420. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:01:26 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irp6vl$c50$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231345
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:

> ...
> What is wrong with this country?

There are only two possible answers I can think of:

1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.

-- or --

2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government criminal
element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain absolute
police state control over all American citizens.

But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang either the
imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!

Regards,
JS

3421. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:02:37 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <844580b9-6fed-4583-b094-e3a7813bab37@14g2000yqo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231348
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 27, 5:44 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:135296b0-c10e-40b6-bb7a-29e0f6995f2d@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 3:02 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:a55df435-ec3a-4cbd-96bd-a6a7c5e8166a@
> > > v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 27, 12:23ÿpm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> > wrote
> >:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> innews:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@
> >> > l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> Let's get rid of a lot crap and get down to the chase and the point I
> >> am trying to make.
>
> >> You keep stating that there will be 23% of the product cost removed
> >> when Fair Tax is implemented, therefore the 23% of the Fair Tax will
> >> simply bring the product back to the same price. You say that
> >> research has shown that.
>
> >> Now, let's look at the FAQ. It says that the Fair Tax will be
> >> *revenue
> > *
> >> neutral. It does NOT say it will be *cost* neutral. That is YOUR cl
> > aim,
> >> not theirs. Revenue neutral means that the amount of tax revenue
> >> produced by the Fair Tax will be the same as current practice
> >> provide. Cost neutral would indicate that cost of the product to the
> >> public would not change. A statement that they do not make.
>
> >> Here is what the FAIR Tax FAQ says:

>
> >> "Does the FairTax rate need to be much higher to be revenue neutral?
>
> >> The proper tax rate has been carefully worked out; 23 percent does
> >> the job of: (1) raising the same amount of federal funds as are
> >> raised by the current system, (2) paying the universal rebate, and
> >> (3) paying the collection fees to retailers and state governments.
> >> Unlike some other proposals, this rate has been independently
> >> confirmed by several different, nonpartisan institutions across the
> >> country. Detailed calculations are available from FairTax.org. "
>
> >> Note that it says, "..revenue neutral."
>
> >> Let's try another spot:
>
> >> "How does the FairTax affect wages and prices?
>
> >> Americans who produce goods and earn wages must pay significant tax
> >> and compliance costs under the current federal income tax. These
> >> taxes and costs both reduce after-tax wages and profits and are then
> >> passed on to the consumers of those goods and services in the form of
> >> price increases. When the FairTax removes income, capital gains,
> >> payroll, and estate and gift taxes, the pre-FairTax prices of these
> >> goods and services will fall. The removal of these hidden taxes may
> >> also allow wages to rise. Exactly how much prices will fall and wages
> >> will rise depends on market forces. For example, in a profession with
> >> many jobs and too few to fill them, wages will likely increase more
> >> than in fields where there are too many employees and not enough
> >> jobs."
>
> >> Note again that it does not name a percentage for any cost reduction.
> >>
> > It
> >> says it will vary depending on market forces.
>
> >> Here is another spot:
>
> >> "
> >> Since the FairTax plan is *revenue neutral*, the same amount of
> >> resources is extracted from the economy as is extracted under current
> >> law. These funds are, however, extracted in a less economically
> >> damaging way. Every known economic projection shows the economy doing
> >> better, often much better, under the FairTax.
> >> Because the economy grows, is more efficient and more productive,
> >> that means investment, wages, and consumption are higher than they
> >> are under the income tax."
>
> >> Again, it says "revenue neutral" not cost neutral. The research that
> >> they claim the 23% number on is for revenue neutrality. That number
> >> has nothing to do with product prices or costs.

>
> > Yes it does. The 23% is the embedded compliance "costs".
>
> And where is this noted other than by you? It is NOT in the FAQ.
>
> I'll try
>
> > this one last time.
>
> Go for it.
>
> 23% of every item you buy is composed embedded
>
> > costs passed on in the price of the product associated with compliance
> > of paying federal income and payroll taxes, including personal, gift,
> > estate, capital gains, alternative minimum, Social Security/Medicare,
> > self-employment, and corporate taxes. Those are "costs". When the
> > FairTax is implemented those costs go away. I won't re-hash why.
>
> I am not asking why. I am asking where are you pulling that number from?
>

See the two links that I already sent you in another post.

> > But, those costs go away and are replaced by the FairTax. In it's
> > simplest terms it removes 23% of embedded compliance costs, then turns
> > right around and adds 23% tax.
>
> The adding of 23% I got since that is the percentage of the Fair Tax. I
> am not questioning that. I am questioning where you got the costs of
> compliance and that other stuff adding up to 23% of the product cost. It
> isn't in the FAQ as far as I have seen.
>
> Thus, the cost if the item is the
>
> > same. I can't make it any more simple then that. If you can't figure
> > that out then maybe you need to stay with the current tax system.
>
> Or you need to explain where you are getting that particular number from.
> You have yet to do that.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >> IOW, there is nothing in the fact that says that prices will remain
> >> the same although you kept claiming it in your examples. The fact is

> >> that the overall cost of the product, including the Fair Tax may be
> >> quite different than it was before. It may be lower or it may be
> >> higher.
> > The
> >> FAQ makes no claims in either direction.
>
> >> This is what I meant when I was talking about your price comparisons
> >> when I said one of the numbers had to be wrong. You cannot take 23%
> >> away fr
> > om
> >> an item, add more stuff to it and put it back and have the item
> >> retain the same cost. Even the research that you cited says "revenue
> >> neutral" meaning it produces the same amount of revenue to the
> >> federal government as the various taxes did prior to the Fair Tax.
>
> Bottom line seems to be that either you don't understand what I am asking
> or you can't say where you got the number from either. Again, it is not
> the 23% for the Fair tax, I am asking about. It is your reference to all
> those costs totaling 23% *BEFORE* taking them away from the overall cost
> of the product. Those are existing costs you keep totaling to 23% and
> have nothing to do with the Fair Tax percentage.
>
> If you need further explanation of what I am asking.......
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

3422. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:03:47 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <e2a7cd6b-fafe-4643-97fb-5ca2c8b65bdb@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231349
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 27, 5:46 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:8ce02ba4-e0fa-4501-94f1-87e144248f44@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 3:35 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:2bd8c587-d08d-465c-9e0a-ec529c2d92ba@
> > > r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 27, 12:57 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> > wrote
> >:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> innews:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@
> >> > 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
> >> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> >> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >> >> >>news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups
> >> >> >>.co m.
> >> >> >> ..
>
> >> >> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost
> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
> >> >> > 031
> >> >> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
> >> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> >> >> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-

> >> >> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
> >> >> >> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
> >> >> >> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> >> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
> >> >> >> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> >> >> >> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>
> >> >> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>
> >> >> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the
> >> >> >> >> > FairTax.
>
> >> >> >> >> >> --
> >> >> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://
> > her
> >> > man
> >> >> > cain.c
> >> >> >> >> > om/
> >> >> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> >> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like
> >> >> >> >> >> cancer)
>
> >> >> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
> >> >> >> >> >> Obama had
> >> >> > as
> >> >> >> >> muc
> >> >> >> >> > h
> >> >> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't
> >> >> >> >> >> have THAT muc
> >> >> > h
> >> >> >> >> >> competence?
>
> >> >> >> >> I refer to your math.
>
> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
> >> >> >> >> that's $1
> >> >> > 23.
>
> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
> >> >> >> > reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
> >> >> >> > (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
> >> >> >> > wasn't simple enough for you.
>

> >> >> >> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales
> >> >> >> and other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they
> >> >> >> will still need to comp
> >> >> > ly
> >> >> >> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the
> >> >> >> additional FairTax imposed?
>
> >> >> > You need to understand what compliance costs actually are. They
> >> >> > are the costs associated with complying with the federal income
> >> >> > tax on wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income tax
> >> >> > on wages, i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering, documenting, and
> >> >> > filing our annual income.. If those taxes are gone then just
> >> >> > what exactly is there left to comply with and how does that cost
> >> >> > any money?
>
> >> >> There are also state compliance costs associated with state income
> >> >> taxes for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals, etc..
> >> >> Fair tax does nothing about them. In addition you also have some
> >> >> compliance taxes fo
> >> > r
> >> >> the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.
>
> >> > Because that has to do with state taxes. The FairTax is about
> >> > federa
> > l
> >> > taxation.
>
> >> The point is that those costs are still there. They were somewhat
> >> amortized when combined with federal taxation but even if that goes
> >> away, the mechanisms of collecting those taxes don't.
>
> > The costs of production are there. The costs of compliance are no
> > longer there.
>
> They are for state and local reasons. The only thing that went away was
> the federal requirement. Some of those are also state costs and they
> have to remain in place along with the compliance costs that they incur.
> That hasn't changed.
>

And once again, the fairtax replaces embedded costs of complying with
FEDERAL tax laws.

> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

3423. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:05:14 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ad8de305-eb25-4add-859a-89e188607105@f15g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231350
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 27, 5:58 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:777618f3-e9f9-4b0b-accf-7d67b6c5a793@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> "Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> >>news:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
> >
> >> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
> >> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >> >>> wholesale = $50
> >> >>> compliance costs = - $23
> >> >>> FairTax = $23
> >> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
> >
> >> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
> >> > $123.
> >
> >> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> >> >> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
> >> >> that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
> >> >> you.
> >
> >> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are just
> >> > going
> >> > to away?
> >
> >> That seems to be his, utterly unrealistic, assertion.
> >

> > Economist that I trust more than you say otherwise. I know who I put
> > more stock in.
>
> Cite them.

fairtax.org. go read under the "research" link.

3424. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:09:06 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp7eg$lh7$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231351
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
> On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a031
>> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > Under the FairTax
>> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
>> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
>> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> --
>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.c
>> >> > om/
>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

>>
>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
>> >> >> as
>> >> muc
>> >> > h
>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
>> >> >> much
>> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> I refer to your math.
>>
>> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
>> >> $123.
>>
>> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
>> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
>> > you.
>>
>> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales and other
>> taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they will still need to
>> comply
>> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the additional
>> FairTax imposed?
>
> You need to understand what compliance costs actually are.

Ok, this should be fun.

> They are
> the costs associated with complying with the federal income tax on
> wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income tax on wages,
> i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering, documenting, and filing our
> annual income..

Plus workman's comp regulations, EPA regulations, OSHA regulations,
Unemployment insurance regulations, Fire regulations, Building regulations,
parking regulations, etc, etc, etc,

handling income tax, is but a SMALL part of this process.

Further, you have ignored the costs associated with handling the FairTax.
You don't think that's going to cost something?

> If those taxes are gone then just what exactly is
> there left to comply with and how does that cost any money?

See above.

Clearly YOU don't understand what is in those compliance costs. You simply assume it's all federal tax related, and you assume that replacing those federal taxes with another federal tax would eliminate all costs to comply with federal tax requirements.

3425. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:11:34 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp7j4$me5$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231352
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:8ce02ba4-e0fa-4501-94f1-87e144248f44@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 3:35 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:2bd8c587-d08d-465c-9e0a-ec529c2d92ba@r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 27, 12:57 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@
>> > 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
>> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >> >>news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.co
>> >> >>m.
>> >> >> ..
>>
>> >> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
>> >> > 031
>> >> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> >> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> >> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
>> >> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23

>> >> >> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> >> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> >> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> >> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> --
>> >> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://her
>> > man
>> >> > cain.c
>> >> >> >> > om/
>> >> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
>> >> >> >> >> Obama had
>> >> > as
>> >> >> >> muc
>> >> >> >> > h
>> >> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>> >> >> >> >> THAT muc
>> >> > h
>> >> >> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> >> >> I refer to your math.
>>
>> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> >> >> >> that's $1
>> >> > 23.
>>
>> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
>> >> >> > $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
>> >> >> > enough for you.
>>
>> >> >> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales and
>> >> >> other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they will still
>> >> >> need to comp
>> >> > ly
>> >> >> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the
>> >> >> additional FairTax imposed?
>>
>> >> > You need to understand what compliance costs actually are. They

>> >> > are the costs associated with complying with the federal income tax
>> >> > on wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income tax on
>> >> > wages, i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering, documenting, and
>> >> > filing our annual income.. If those taxes are gone then just what
>> >> > exactly is there left to comply with and how does that cost any
>> >> > money?
>>
>> >> There are also state compliance costs associated with state income
>> >> taxes for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals, etc.. Fair
>> >> tax does nothing about them. In addition you also have some
>> >> compliance taxes fo
>> > r
>> >> the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.
>>
>> > Because that has to do with state taxes. The FairTax is about federal
>> > taxation.
>>
>> The point is that those costs are still there. They were somewhat
>> amortized when combined with federal taxation but even if that goes away,
>> the mechanisms of collecting those taxes don't.
>>
>
> The costs of production are there. The costs of compliance are no
> longer there.

EPA isn't going to be there anymore?

OSHA isn't going to be there anymore?

Workman's Comp isn't going to be there anymore?

Unemployment Benefits aren't going to be there anymore?

The need to comply with state/local tax requirements aren't going to be
there anymore?

You just can't wave your magic wand and claim all these things are going
away when they aren't.

3426. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:12:34 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp7l1$mr4$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231353
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:8475f659-af52-4562-8e73-4db2c130430c@r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
> On May 26, 8:04 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:Xns9EF19C0BEACC7Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>>
>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> > 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> Under the FairTax
>> >> - wholesale = $50
>> >> - compliance costs = - $23
>> >> - FairTax = $23
>> >> - sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> - Grand total = $100
>>
>> > You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> Yep, notice nothing else has changed, but according to him, suddenly it
>> will
>> cost NOTHING to comply with the still existing conditions, and will cost
>> nothing additional to comply with the new tax imposed.
>>
>> As such his numbers are BS.
>
> What's to comply with on a sales tax?

Well, it's not free.

> Really, make your case?

After you. I'm still waiting for you to make your case. Once you have done
so, then I will make my case for why yours is crap, assuming it is.

3427. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:15:16 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp7q2nsr1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231354
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:3a277b89-cf8d-4f4e-85e8-63504eb89e29@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com...
> On May 26, 7:58 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
>> >> >> > revenue
>> >> >> > from the income tax.
>>
>> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when
>> >> > they
>> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
>> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>>
>> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old luxury
>> >> tax.
>>
>> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs that
>> > are already built in to every product and service you buy. The luxury
>> > tax would have been a tax on top of that.
>>
>> >> >> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>
>> >> >> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income
>> >> >> > tax
>> >> >> > as the method of funding government. If you fully understand the

>> >> >> > FairTax
>> >> >> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>
>> >> >> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales
>> >> >> tax
>> >> >> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
>> >> >> gasoline, etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger
>> >> >> percentage of their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>>
>> >> > Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First, people
>> >> > pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level.
>>
>> >> Which means that someone, somewhere needs to know your income.
>>
>> >> Every household
>>
>> > No, they just need to know how many people are in your household.
>> > That determines the prebate, not one's income.
>>
>> >> > receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential
>> >> > goods
>> >> > and services.
>>
>> >> I looked at the prebate schedule. Where in there does income come
>> >> into
>> >> it for that poverty level?
>>
>> > It doesn't. Nor does it need to. It only needs to figure what the
>> > cost of essential goods and services are for a family of X number of
>> > people. A family of four that makes $100,000 requires the same
>> > essential goods and services as a family of four that makes $50,000.
>>
>> False assumption.
>>
>> Any number of variables factor in to what goods and services would be
>> essential and what it would cost for those goods and services.
>>
>> All you are doing is picking an "average" which would reward some family
>> by
>> paying them for non-essential goods and services and punishing others by
>> failing to reimburse them valid and legitimated costs for essential goods
>> and services.
>>
>> IOW, overall most people wouldn't balance out, only the small minority
>> right
>> at and around the "average".
>
> It's an assumption that is made by the DHH. It applies to
> everyobody. No winners or losers (as our current tax code does).

Actually, there are winners and losers. Those above the average will be one, and those below the other.

See, unless the compensation EXACTLY equals their specific needs and expenses for essential goods and services then they will either win or lose depending on which side of the "average" they fall on.

Seems to me that when challenged on your assumptions, your argument always seems to boil down to "Does not" usually with a pout.

3428. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:17:45 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp7unonn1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231355
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com...
> On May 26, 6:09 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-93cd47cf929f@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > On May 26, 1:05 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@
>> > e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@
>> >> > y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
>> >> >> >> > revenue from the income tax.
>>
>> >> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>> >> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only
>> >> >> > when the
>> >> > y
>> >> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the
>> >> >> > governmen
>> > t
>> >> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>>
>> >> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old luxury
>> >> >> tax.
>>
>> >> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs
>> >> > that are already built in to every product and service you buy.
>>
>> >> Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same revenue
>> >> source as the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue
>> >> source from income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the
>> >> 'before' costs added to the price of purchase.
>>
>> > No it doesnï¿½t need to be added.
>>
```

>> Of course it does. It is NOT part of that 23% you keep saying is already
>> paid in product cost or the product taxes, etc. were actually less than
>> 23%. What you have is this:
>>
>> Product selling price
>> Product cost
>> Corporate taxes
>> Inventory taxes
>> Excise taxes
>>
>> Now subtract the bottom three from the product selling price.
>>
>> Now you have:
>>
>> Product selling price
>> Product cost
>> - Corporate taxes
>> - Inventory taxes
>> - Excise taxes
>>
>> Now add those to a Fair Tax
>>
>> Corporate taxes
>> Inventory taxes
>> Excise taxes
>>
>> Now you need to add in the tax portion that was covered by federal income
>> taxes. You now have:
>>
>> Corporate taxes
>> Inventory taxes
>> Excise taxes
>> The revenue from income taxes
>> Revenue from FICA
>>
>> You can't subract 23% from a product, add more stuff to it and add it
>> back and still have 23%.
>>
>> Itï¿½s already part of what you are
>>
>> > paying anyway. Hereï¿½s a very simplified example:
>>
>> > Product costs $100, broken down as follows:
>>
>> > Under current system
>> > - wholesale = $50
>> > - compliance costs = $23
>> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> > - Grand total = $100
>>

>> > Under the FairTax
>> > - wholesale = $50
>> > - compliance costs = - $23
>> > - FairTax = $23
>> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> Ooops, forgot the revenue to make up for no income tax and FICA.
>>
>
> The FairTax replaces federal income taxes including personal, estate,
> gift, capital gains, alternative minimum, Social Security, Medicare,
> self-employment, and corporate taxes. The proper tax rate has been
> carefully worked out; 23 percent does the job of: (1) raising the same
> amount of federal funds as are raised by the current system, (2)
> paying the universal rebate. I can't make it any more simple than
> this. Economists and businesspeople have spent $22M researching
> this. There is nothing more I can tell you to convince you. You need
> to read the book and the web site.
> wasted costs. Under the FairTax you are paying once. What I'm
> hearing you say is that you would still rather be taxed two separate
> times as well as having your income taken from you when the government
> wants it as opposed to when you want to give it to them, continue to
> comply with a messy tax code and on and on and on. If that's what you
> want then there will never be any convincing you otherwise.

Nice assertion, to bad you can't prove it.

Even the simplistic examples you're produced show flaws in the system that
you just ignore and/or gloss over.

3429. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:20:08 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp836pkd1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231356
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:135296b0-c10e-40b6-bb7a-29e0f6995f2d@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 3:02 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:a55df435-ec3a-4cbd-96bd-a6a7c5e8166a@v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > On May 27, 12:23ï¿½pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@
>> > l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> Let's get rid of a lot crap and get down to the chase and the point I am
>> trying to make.
>>
>> You keep stating that there will be 23% of the product cost removed when
>> Fair Tax is implemented, therefore the 23% of the Fair Tax will simply
>> bring the product back to the same price. You say that research has
>> shown that.
>>
>> Now, let's look at the FAQ. It says that the Fair Tax will be *revenue*
>> neutral. It does NOT say it will be *cost* neutral. That is YOUR claim,
>> not theirs. Revenue neutral means that the amount of tax revenue produced
>> by the Fair Tax will be the same as current practice provide. Cost
>> neutral would indicate that cost of the product to the public would not
>> change. A statement that they do not make.
>>
>> Here is what the FAIR Tax FAQ says:
>>
>> "Does the FairTax rate need to be much higher to be revenue neutral?
>>
>> The proper tax rate has been carefully worked out; 23 percent does the
>> job of: (1) raising the same amount of federal funds as are raised by the
>> current system, (2) paying the universal rebate, and (3) paying the
>> collection fees to retailers and state governments. Unlike some other
>> proposals, this rate has been independently confirmed by several
>> different, nonpartisan institutions across the country. Detailed
>> calculations are available from FairTax.org. "
>>
>> Note that it says, "..revenue neutral."
>>
>> Let's try another spot:
>>
>> "How does the FairTax affect wages and prices?

>>
>> Americans who produce goods and earn wages must pay significant tax and
>> compliance costs under the current federal income tax. These taxes and
>> costs both reduce after-tax wages and profits and are then passed on to
>> the consumers of those goods and services in the form of price increases.
>> When the FairTax removes income, capital gains, payroll, and estate and
>> gift taxes, the pre-FairTax prices of these goods and services will fall.
>> The removal of these hidden taxes may also allow wages to rise. Exactly
>> how much prices will fall and wages will rise depends on market forces.
>> For example, in a profession with many jobs and too few to fill them,
>> wages will likely increase more than in fields where there are too many
>> employees and not enough jobs."
>>
>> Note again that it does not name a percentage for any cost reduction. It
>> says it will vary depending on market forces.
>>
>> Here is another spot:
>>
>> "
>> Since the FairTax plan is *revenue neutral*, the same amount of resources
>> is extracted from the economy as is extracted under current law. These
>> funds are, however, extracted in a less economically damaging way. Every
>> known economic projection shows the economy doing better, often much
>> better, under the FairTax.
>> Because the economy grows, is more efficient and more productive, that
>> means investment, wages, and consumption are higher than they are under
>> the income tax."
>>
>> Again, it says "revenue neutral" not cost neutral. The research that they
>> claim the 23% number on is for revenue neutrality. That number has
>> nothing to do with product prices or costs.
>>
>
> Yes it does. The 23% is the embedded compliance "costs".

Cite where they specifically state that.

3430. New GE Reveal 'Hybrid' CFL Bulbs in Traditional A19 'Glass' Shape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:21:57 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <e22f6023-81a3-4418-9b29-a581e78372f0@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231357

On May 27, 2:48 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 27, 2:20 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/27/2011 1:55 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > That's OK... the shill isn't talking about fluorescent ballasts, they're
> > > talking about HID ballasts (mercury vapor, high pressure sodium, metal
> > > halide). Digital technology could be used for these by using "steppers"
> > > to ramp the voltage up and down based upon current detection.
>
> > While you are technically correct, about a LED being mentioned in the
> > original post, the difference between sodium/mercury-vapor and
> > fluorescent BALLAST is minimal, to an engineer.
>
> > Voltage step-up/step-down, or even wave shape has nothing to do with
> > "digital."
>
> > While not absolutely necessary, a digital wave shape will be of a square
> > wave, however ramp and even sine can be handled with processing. And, a
> > simple flip-flop circuit can generate a square wave, process this though
> > a current regulator, then a voltage regulator and you, basically, have
> > your "digital ballast", but it has nothing to do with anything truly
> > "digital."
>
> > The name "digital" is more of a marketing scam than anything else it
> > its' use in describing the ballast, kinda like "solid state" (a pencil
> > is solid state!), "state of the art" ("new" is simply relative),
> > "space-age" (products developed after successful space flight), etc.
>
> > 1) of or relating to the fingers or toes <digital dexterity>
>
> > 2) done with a finger <a digital rectal examination>
>
> > 3) of, relating to, or using calculation by numerical methods or by
> > discrete units
>
> > 4) of, relating to, or being data in the form of especially binary

> > digits <digital images> <a digital readout>; especially : of, relating
> > to, or employing digital communications signals <a digital broadcast>
> > compare analog 2
>
> > 5) providing a readout in numerical digits <a digital voltmeter>
>
> > 6) relating to an audio recording method in which sound waves are
> > represented digitally (as on magnetic tape) so that in the recording wow
> > and flutter are eliminated and background noise is reduced
>
> > 7) electronic <digital devices>; also : characterized by electronic and
> > especially computerized technology <the digital age>
>
> > ... by Merriam-Webster.
>
> - And, my hand is digital!!! ROFLOL
>
> To Five Place Accuracy ;;-}} ~ RHF
> .
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > Regards,
> > JS

Saw some 'new' GE Reveal CFL Light Bulbs
at Lowes with an Outer Glass Cover
-maybe-
The Best of Both Worlds :o) ~ RHF
http://www.elightbulbs.com/lighting-blog/GE-Reveals-New-Reveal-Compact-Fluorescent-Light-Bulb

New GE Reveal 'Hybrid' CFL Bulbs
in Traditional Glass A19 Shape
http://www.thelightingresource.net/ge-introduces-reveal-cfl-bulb-offers-consumers-familiar-incandescent-shape-energysaving-benefits/

Should you break the 'inner' CFL Tube
the 'Outer' Glass Envelop saves on the
Clean-Up Problems -great-idea-!-
.
-better-yet-
http://pressroom.geconsumerproducts.com/pr/ge/artwork/9/9/6/7/3/199673/REVEAL_HYBRID_A-c.jpg
Three Bulbs in One: GE’s Hybrid Halogen-CFL

with Incandescent Shape Arrives in April 2011
http://pressroom.geconsumerproducts.com/pr/ge/three-bulbs-in-one-ge-s-hybrid-199673.aspx
-and-
http://www.genewscenter.com/Press-Releases/Three-Bulbs-in-One-GE-s-Hybrid-Halogen-CFL-with
-Incandescent-Shape-Arrives-in-April-2f9c.aspx
-question-
GE Hybrid CFL vs. ELS LED Lamp- Who's Better?
http://www.elsledtech.com/admarketing/april2011newsletter.html
.
~ RHF
.
.

3431. Re: Alex Jones "Info Wars" Names #1 Enemy of the People of Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:24:27 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <117056e7-15ce-4a36-a6f9-94378ffaaa3b@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231358

On May 27, 2:29 pm, Bubba <Bub@ba> wrote:
> On Thu, 26 May 2011, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >On May 25, 3:42 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>http://www.infowars.com/financial-terrorism-tsa-holds-texas-flights-h...
>
> >> You just can't make up shit like this!
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> >Alex Jones Names #1 Enemy of the People of Texas
> >http://www.infowars.com/ex-cia-spook-dewhurst-sabotaged-anti-tsa-bill...
> >-an-agent-of-the-obama-regime-&-new-world-order-
> > .
> >WWCR "Info Wars" on 12,160 kHz @ 1600 UTC
> >http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> > .
> >"Info Wars" -host- Alex Jones
> >http://www.infowars.com/
> > .
> >Alex Jones -web-site- "Prison Planet"
> >http://www.prisonplanet.com/
> > .
> >About "Alex Jones" (Radio Host)
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alex_Jones_(radio)
> > .
> >The Alex Jones Shortwave Radio Show
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alex_Jones_(radio)#The_Alex_Jones_Show
> > .
> >Alex Jones "Info Wars" on the Shortwave Radio
> >Mon-Fri : 11 AM - 2 PM on 12,160 kHz
> >[US Central Time -6 UTC] : 1600 - 1900 UTC
> > .
> >World Wide Christian Radio [WWCR]
> >Shortwave Radio Station
> >WWCR Location : Nashville, Tennessee, USA
> >http://www.wwcr.com/
> > .
> >yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> >Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> >Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> > .
> >Shortwave Listener QSL Reports :http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
> >http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports

> > .
> >- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .
>
> In Jones' favor, he does have the gritty voice and imposing demeanor ideal for
> propagandist talk radio. Perhaps someday he will realize that only global
> nuclear war will ever solve the problem, because the problem is "human" nature.
> "Humans" are the spawn of evil itself. Unless the genetic engineers can figure
> out a way to splice the "evil gene" completely out of the human genome, and cause
> all evil "humans" to go extinct without dragging the newly engineered non-evil
> humans down with them, then there is no other way to solve the problem but to
> obliterate the earth and destroy all life on land, air, and sea.
>
> One other distinct possibility is that the year 2012 really will bring the end
> of time. Hard to know if it will, but the world does look like it is headed off
> a cliff. It's almost June 2011. Is it possible the this world has about a year
> and a half before it's all over? That's not long to wait. The big difference
> is that this "2012" prediction was made many thousands of years ago by
> astronomers with an amazing comprehension of the subject matter -- no religious
> fanatics or totally incompetent doomsday cult clowns like "Harold Camping" or
> "Jim Jones" to muddy the waters with their delusional twaddle.
>
> --
> Bub- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

I'll bet you my life's savings that the world won't end in 2012,
despite what the ancient "astronomers" say. No matter what, I cannot
lose! :-D

3432. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:26:54 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp8fs$rr6$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231359
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:c0d226e9-3004-49e2-9639-3e69e3cb45ec@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
> On May 26, 8:03 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-93cd47cf929f@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 26, 1:05 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@
>> >> > y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
>> >> >> >> > revenue from the income tax.
>>
>> >> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>> >> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when
>> >> >> > the
>> >> > y
>> >> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the
>> >> >> > government
>> >> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>>
>> >> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old luxury
>> >> >> tax.
>>
>> >> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs
>> >> > that

>> >> > are already built in to every product and service you buy.
>>
>> >> Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same revenue source
>> >> as
>> >> the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue source from
>> >> income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the 'before' costs
>> >> added
>> >> to the price of purchase.
>>
>> > No it doesnï¿½t need to be added. Itï¿½s already part of what you are
>> > paying anyway. Hereï¿½s a very simplified example:
>>
>> > Product costs $100, broken down as follows:
>>
>> > Under current system
>> > - wholesale = $50
>> > - compliance costs = $23
>> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> > Under the FairTax
>> > - wholesale = $50
>> > - compliance costs = - $23
>> > - FairTax = $23
>> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> Sorry, but how can you totally eliminate compliance costs, since there
>> would
>> still be costs to complying with the FairTax as well as the sales and
>> other
>> taxes.
>>
>> As such simply saying it's not going to cost anything to comply with the
>> tax
>> laws is an utterly false assumption.
>>
>> Indeed since NOTHING else has changed the compliance costs would, at
>> minimum, stay the same, and given that the need to comply with the
>> FairTax
>> would require some expense, the compliance cost would likely increase.
>>
>> So in reality, what would happen would be more like:
>>
>> Under the FairTax
>> - wholesale = $50
>> - compliance costs = $26
>> - FairTax = $23
>> - sales and other taxes = $27
>> - Grand total = $126

>
> Let me ask you a real simple question. What cost do you incur to pay
> a sales tax at the point of purchase?

Well let's see, I would have to come up with a price of the cumulative total of all items for which sales tax is required. Which means checking the results from multiple registers, documenting those on government forms, calculating the specific taxes due federal, state and/or local governments, then making payment for the sales tax due to the federal, state and local governments.

So is it without cost?

Hardly.

Will that go away simply because I collect a larger federal sales tax instead of a smaller sales tax?

Nope, will still require all the same overhead, the only difference would be the amount I had to sent in to the federal government.

So, now let me ask you a question, why do you assume compliance costs will go to zero, and can you give me a specific cite that proves this will occur?

3433. Re: New GE Reveal 'Hybrid' CFL Bulbs in Traditional A19 'Glass' Shape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:27:37 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6a4c57b1-f3b1-424d-8008-b9571e50a07f@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231360

On May 27, 3:21 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 27, 2:48 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 2:20 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > On 5/27/2011 1:55 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
> > > > ...
> > > > That's OK... the shill isn't talking about fluorescent ballasts, they're
> > > > talking about HID ballasts (mercury vapor, high pressure sodium, metal
> > > > halide). Digital technology could be used for these by using "steppers"
> > > > to ramp the voltage up and down based upon current detection.
>
> > > While you are technically correct, about a LED being mentioned in the
> > > original post, the difference between sodium/mercury-vapor and
> > > fluorescent BALLAST is minimal, to an engineer.
>
> > > Voltage step-up/step-down, or even wave shape has nothing to do with
> > > "digital."
>
> > > While not absolutely necessary, a digital wave shape will be of a square
> > > wave, however ramp and even sine can be handled with processing. And, a
> > > simple flip-flop circuit can generate a square wave, process this though
> > > a current regulator, then a voltage regulator and you, basically, have
> > > your "digital ballast", but it has nothing to do with anything truly
> > > "digital."
>
> > > The name "digital" is more of a marketing scam than anything else it
> > > its' use in describing the ballast, kinda like "solid state" (a pencil
> > > is solid state!), "state of the art" ("new" is simply relative),
> > > "space-age" (products developed after successful space flight), etc.
>
> > > 1) of or relating to the fingers or toes <digital dexterity>
>
> > > 2) done with a finger <a digital rectal examination>
>
> > > 3) of, relating to, or using calculation by numerical methods or by
> > > discrete units
>
> > > 4) of, relating to, or being data in the form of especially binary

> > > digits <digital images> <a digital readout>; especially : of, relating
> > > to, or employing digital communications signals <a digital broadcast>
> > > compare analog 2
>
> > > 5) providing a readout in numerical digits <a digital voltmeter>
>
> > > 6) relating to an audio recording method in which sound waves are
> > > represented digitally (as on magnetic tape) so that in the recording wow
> > > and flutter are eliminated and background noise is reduced
>
> > > 7) electronic <digital devices>; also : characterized by electronic and
> > > especially computerized technology <the digital age>
>
> > > ... by Merriam-Webster.
>
> > - And, my hand is digital!!! ROFLOL
>
> > To Five Place Accuracy ;;-}} ~ RHF
> > .
>
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
>
> Saw some 'new' GE Reveal CFL Light Bulbs
> at Lowes with an Outer Glass Cover
> -maybe-
> The Best of Both Worlds :o) ~
RHFhttp://www.elightbulbs.com/lighting-blog/GE-Reveals-New-Reveal-Compac...
>
> New GE Reveal 'Hybrid' CFL Bulbs
> in Traditional Glass A19
Shapehttp://www.thelightingresource.net/ge-introduces-reveal-cfl-bulb-offe...
>
> Should you break the 'inner' CFL Tube
> the 'Outer' Glass Envelop saves on the
> Clean-Up Problems -great-idea-!-
> .
> -better-yet-http://pressroom.geconsumerproducts.com/pr/ge/artwork/9/9/6/7/3/19967...
> Three Bulbs in One: GE’s Hybrid Halogen-CFL
> with Incandescent Shape Arrives in April
2011http://pressroom.geconsumerproducts.com/pr/ge/three-bulbs-in-one-ge-s...
> -and-http://www.genewscenter.com/Press-Releases/Three-Bulbs-in-One-GE-s-Hy...
> -question-
> GE Hybrid CFL vs. ELS LED Lamp- Who's
Better?http://www.elsledtech.com/admarketing/april2011newsletter.html
> .
> ~ RHF
> .
> .- Hide quoted text -
>

> - Show quoted text -

Is the outer shell glass, or something more durable, like a polymer?
With glass, if the inside gets broken, there's a pretty good chance
the outside cover would also be broken by the same trauma.

3434. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:28:55 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp8jmses1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231361
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ef9eff13-5301-4e82-b5c0-66f67997c9d6@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 10:09 am, "SaPeIsMa" <SapeI...@Hotmail.com> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:c0d226e9-3004-49e2-9639-3e69e3cb45ec@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
>> On May 26, 8:03 pm, "Scout"
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-93cd47cf929f@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> > > On May 26, 1:05 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> > >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> > >> innews:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > >> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> > >> > wrote:
>> > >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> > >> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@
>> > >> > y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > >> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
>> > >> >> >> > revenue from the income tax.
>>
>> > >> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>> > >> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only
>> > >> >> > when
>> > >> >> > the
>> > >> > y
>> > >> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the
>> > >> >> > government
>> > >> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>>
>> > >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old

>> > >> >> luxury
>> > >> >> tax.
>>
>> > >> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs
>> > >> > that
>> > >> > are already built in to every product and service you buy.
>>
>> > >> Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same revenue
>> > >> source
>> > >> as
>> > >> the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue source from
>> > >> income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the 'before' costs
>> > >> added
>> > >> to the price of purchase.
>>
>> > > No it doesnï¿½t need to be added. Itï¿½s already part of what you are
>> > > paying anyway. Hereï¿½s a very simplified example:
>>
>> > > Product costs $100, broken down as follows:
>>
>> > > Under current system
>> > > - wholesale = $50
>> > > - compliance costs = $23
>> > > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> > > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> > > Under the FairTax
>> > > - wholesale = $50
>> > > - compliance costs = - $23
>> > > - FairTax = $23
>> > > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> > > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> > Sorry, but how can you totally eliminate compliance costs, since there
>> > would
>> > still be costs to complying with the FairTax as well as the sales and
>> > other
>> > taxes.
>>
>> > As such simply saying it's not going to cost anything to comply with
>> > the
>> > tax
>> > laws is an utterly false assumption.
>>
>> > Indeed since NOTHING else has changed the compliance costs would, at
>> > minimum, stay the same, and given that the need to comply with the
>> > FairTax
>> > would require some expense, the compliance cost would likely increase.
>>
>> > So in reality, what would happen would be more like:

>>
>> > Under the FairTax
>> > - wholesale = $50
>> > - compliance costs = $26
>> > - FairTax = $23
>> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> > - Grand total = $126
>>
>> #
>> # Let me ask you a real simple question. What cost do you incur to pay
>> # a sales tax at the point of purchase?
>>
>> The buyer ?
>> The buyer is paying for the cost which is built into the sale price
>
> There's no compliance cost to him with paying the sales tax.

Sure there is since he pays ALL the compliance costs, even those of the
store.

> They
> just pay it. Unlike the fed income tax compliance means CPAs,
> TurboTax, manuals, etc.

It's an hidden cost, just like there are hidden taxes, but in the end the
consumer pays for it all.

3435. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:38:14 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp954vmn1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231362
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF281D7E4265Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:ef9eff13-5301-4e82-b5c0-66f67997c9d6
> @v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>
>> On May 27, 10:09 am, "SaPeIsMa" <SapeI...@Hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>
>>> news:c0d226e9-3004-49e2-9639-
> 3e69e3cb45ec@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
>>> On May 26, 8:03 pm, "Scout"
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>
>>> >news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
> 93cd47cf929f@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> > > On May 26, 1:05 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> wrote:
>>> > >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> > >> innews:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311
> @e35g2000yqc.googlegroups
>> .com:
>>>
>>> > >> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> wro
>> te:
>>> > >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> > >> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@
>>> > >> > y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> > >> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
>>> > >> >> >> > revenue from the income tax.
>>>
>>> > >> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>>

>>> > >> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only
> whe
>> n
>>> > >> >> > the
>>> > >> > y
>>> > >> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the
> governm
>> ent
>>> > >> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>>>
>>> > >> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old
> luxur
>> y
>>> > >> >> tax.
>>>
>>> > >> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance costs
> t
>> hat
>>> > >> > are already built in to every product and service you buy.
>>>
>>> > >> Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same revenue
> sour
>> ce
>>> > >> as
>>> > >> the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue source from
>>> > >> income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the 'before' costs
>>> > >> added
>>> > >> to the price of purchase.
>>>
>>> > > No it doesn't need to be added. It's already part of what you are
>>> > > paying anyway. Here's a very simplified example:
>>>
>>> > > Product costs $100, broken down as follows:
>>>
>>> > > Under current system
>>> > > - wholesale = $50
>>> > > - compliance costs = $23
>>> > > - sales and other taxes = $27
>>> > > - Grand total = $100
>>>
>>> > > Under the FairTax
>>> > > - wholesale = $50
>>> > > - compliance costs = - $23
>>> > > - FairTax = $23
>>> > > - sales and other taxes = $27
>>> > > - Grand total = $100
>>>
>>> > Sorry, but how can you totally eliminate compliance costs, since there
>>> > would
>>> > still be costs to complying with the FairTax as well as the sales and

>>> > other
>>> > taxes.
>>>
>>> > As such simply saying it's not going to cost anything to comply with
> th
>> e
>>> > tax
>>> > laws is an utterly false assumption.
>>>
>>> > Indeed since NOTHING else has changed the compliance costs would, at
>>> > minimum, stay the same, and given that the need to comply with the
> Fair
>> Tax
>>> > would require some expense, the compliance cost would likely increase.
>>>
>>> > So in reality, what would happen would be more like:
>>>
>>> > Under the FairTax
>>> > - wholesale = $50
>>> > - compliance costs = $26
>>> > - FairTax = $23
>>> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>>> > - Grand total = $126
>>>
>>> #
>>> # Let me ask you a real simple question. What cost do you incur to pay
>>> # a sales tax at the point of purchase?
>>>
>>> The buyer ?
>>> The buyer is paying for the cost which is built into the sale pri
>> ce
>>
>> There's no compliance cost to him with paying the sales tax. They
>> just pay it. Unlike the fed income tax compliance means CPAs,
>> TurboTax, manuals, etc.
>>
>>> The seller ?
>>> The seller has the cost of managing and reporting the taxes that
>> were
>>
>> Oh, you mean like they already do with state sales taxes? By the way,
>> merchants and sellers are reimbursed for those costs. But, you knew
>> that already, didn't you?
>
> Which is never enough.

Even if so, doesn't alter anything. That they pay the collection costs via
the tax collected doesn't alter ANYTHING.

Since that cost is simply buried in the tax in the form of a higher tax
rate.

Effective tax rate - 20%
compliance costs for the retailer - 3%
Fairtax = 23%

or we can not pay the retailed for his costs

Tax rate - 20%
Retailers compliance cost - 3%
Tax and costs passed on to the consumer - 23%

Either way, the government collects the same revenue, and the costs of compliance are still passed on to the consumer.
The ONLY difference is where that cost is added in to the final price.

Indeed, I would tend to think the government model (first) would incur a higher final cost since there would be yet more forms to fillout & process, more people to handle those forms and more transfers of money back and forth. All of which means MORE expense, not less.

3436. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:39:40 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp97q$d7$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231363
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:262e457f-e4df-4e5c-874e-fca75b2a99b7@v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.com...
> On May 26, 8:32 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:ee4c4601-f8a6-4127-bb9d-2570ee2ec12a@g12g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 25, 6:54 pm, "Scout"
>> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>
>> >> >>news:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >> >> > On May 25, 3:18 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> >> innews:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >> > On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> >> >> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
>> >> >> >> > s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> >> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> >> >> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>> >> >> >> >> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman
>> >> >> >> >> >> > <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in

>> >> >> >> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>> >> >> >> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> ...
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> innuendo you
>> >> >> >> >> >> keep
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> paying
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> 42% of all
>> >> >> >> >> >> of
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> not
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> they
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
>> >> >> >> >> >> fair
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> Regards,
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> JS
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>> >> >> >> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>>
>> >> >> >> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>
>> >> >> >> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>
>> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
>> >> >> > revenue
>> >> >> > from the income tax.
>>
>> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>

>> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when
>> >> > they
>> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
>> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>>
>> >> >> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>
>> >> >> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income
>> >> >> > tax
>> >> >> > as
>> >> >> > the method of funding government. If you fully understand the
>> >> >> > FairTax
>> >> >> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>
>> >> >> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales
>> >> >> tax
>> >> >> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
>> >> >> gasoline,
>> >> >> etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger percentage
>> >> >> of
>> >> >> their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>>
>> >> > Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First, people
>> >> > pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level. Every household
>> >> > receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential
>> >> > goods
>> >> > and services.
>>
>> >> How exactly do you determine what are "essential goods and services"
>> >> never
>> >> mind how much such "essential goods and services" a particular
>> >> household
>> >> requires?
>>
>> > The Department of Health & Human Servicesï¿½ poverty level guidelines
>> > tel us that. This is a well-accepted, long-used poverty-level
>> > calculation that includes food, clothing, shelter, transportation,
>> > medical care, etc.
>>
>> Keyword "GUIDELINES"
>>
>> You do know what a guideline is, right?
>
> and your point is????

You're a dumbass, who doesn't realize, even with a clear clue, that some people will be paid for non-essential goods and services, while other people will be stiffed for the essential goods and services they require.

3437. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:40:45 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp99stl1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231364
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF262C786E1Fhopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
> news:irmqp6apd1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>>
>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EF1678135203hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>> news:irk4f8ks1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>>> news:Xns9EF0986C7911Dhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:Xns9EF0AAF5845E6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>>
>>>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>>>> news:Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>> news:irgufi$l7$7@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo
>>>>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> paying 42% of all of governments costs, and sure looks
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> like the top 19% are not paying half of governments
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> costs, until that happens they are NOT paying their
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> fair share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> advocate
>>>>>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and pay
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> 7% sales
>>>>>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7%
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sales tax,
>>>>>>>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> government
>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.htm
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> l
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> their fair
>>>>>>>>>>>>> share
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have to
>>>>>>>>>>>>> go through
>>>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to
>>>>>>>>>>>>> catch on ... I was wrong.

>>>>>>>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>>>>>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all
>>>>>>>>>> income not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it
>>>>>>>>>> here in this thread. Did you take the time to read it? It is
>>>>>>>>>> really quite simply and quite short so you should have no
>>>>>>>>>> problem understanding it. ;)
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell
>>>>>>>>>> isn't flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage on
>>>>>>>>>> whatever was purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the
>>>>>>>>>> real world.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion
>>>>>>>>> began, or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person B
>>>>>>>> buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> What's more fair than that?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Same product, same taxes paid.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Fair.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal
>>>>>>> poverty level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on
>>>>>>> amount over federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable
>>>>>>> income paid. Fair.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about
>>>>>>> food or necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list
>>>>>>> exemptions....and the list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and
>>>>>>> Cher......
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The real problem is...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> First you have to decide how much the government needs to funtion.
>>>>>
>>>>> That is true under any taxing scheme.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> To do that you have to decide what the government should be doing.
>>>>>
>>>>> Same here and that is most of the discussion and difference between
>>>>> liberals and conservatives.
>>>>>

>>>>>> I think rather than discussing camoflaging how the feds fleece the
>>>>>> taxpayer those questions really need to be answered.
>>>>>
>>>>> Yep, but, good luck. Those discussions have been going on for two
>>>>> hundred years. ;)
>>>>>
>>>>>> I am of the opinion that taxes overall hurt the economy by taking
>>>>>> people's hard earned money. I don't care if you are the bus boy or
>>>>>> the owner of the chain. You earened it, it's yours.
>>>>>
>>>>> However, one does get things from having a government.
>>>>>
>>>>>> Overall if the bite is reasonably low than whatever negative
>>>>>> effects it has are mitigated. But the only really effective way to
>>>>>> increase government revenues is to have a going, expanding
>>>>>> economy. That way whatever "protection" money the government
>>>>>> extorts from the people can increase without increasing the
>>>>>> percentage that it takes.
>>>>>
>>>>> True.
>>>>>
>>>>>> Of course that would require a complete ovrehaul of most federal
>>>>>> policies and the expulsion of Marxists and enviromentalists.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> One would have to stop viewing tax policy as a method of molding
>>>>>> people's behavior and relegate to the neccessary evil it is.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Frankly I have yet to hear anyone explain to me how we can tax out
>>>>>> way out of the current crisis wherein the debt equals the GDP and
>>>>>> is likely to double in 8 years. There is simply no possible way to
>>>>>> do it without removing so much wealth from the private sector as
>>>>>> to thorougly tank the economy, which will in turn make the problem
>>>>>> immeasurably worse.
>>>>>
>>>>> To get out of this will require BOTH taxes and spending cuts.
>>>>> Doing just one or the other won't do it.
>>>>
>>>> Agreed, but until I see some serious spending cuts and a clear, firm
>>>> (and preferably Constitutionally mandated) path to control spending
>>>> and reduce the debt, I would be most reluctant to accept the need
>>>> for any increase in taxation.
>>>
>>> As would I.
>>>
>>>> We've been promised spending cuts before in exchange for a tax hike.
>>>> We got the hike....we didn't get the cuts.
>>>
>>> That's why we have elections.
>>
>> Which, even at best, is closing the barn door after the horse has run

>> off.
>
> That's true but it is often rather difficult to know how someone will
> vote.....see Souter.

True, which is why I feel some of that authority should be withdrawn from
Congress and put back into our hands.

3438. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:42:32 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irp9d7$1fp$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231365
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF2640EB8D44hopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
> news:irmr4jcec1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>>
>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EF16CBC4ED54hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>> news:irk4of$233$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>>> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>>
>>>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture of
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> who pays the federal income tax burden in this country.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> If you want to talk about all taxes and all revenue that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> goes to the government then your right. I know of no

>>>>>>>>>>>>>> place that compiles that data. ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here, I
>>>>>>>>>>>>> need them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site, you
>>>>>>>>>>>> have no hope of understanding any data presented to you.
>>>>>>>>>>>> Which explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem
>>>>>>>>>>> ... you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can,
>>>>>>>>>>> from the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>>>>> ï¿½ May 15, 2011
>>>>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the
>>>>>>>>>> check, but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox lies
>>>>>>>>>> the cause of much of the bitter partisanship and polarization
>>>>>>>>>> that poisons our political process. But to understand that
>>>>>>>>>> problem, we must consider how taxes are applied to the
>>>>>>>>>> production of goods and services.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to market?
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to be
>>>>>>>>>> made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income taxes

>>>>>>>>>> based on his profit from the sale and property tax on his farm
>>>>>>>>>> and equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of view, just
>>>>>>>>>> another cost of doing business in the course of earning his
>>>>>>>>>> living, no different from fuel for his tractor or wages and
>>>>>>>>>> taxes for employees.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and
>>>>>>>>>> taxes, the price they charge the miller must cover their
>>>>>>>>>> expenses and taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income and
>>>>>>>>>> savings. Otherwise, there would be no point in growing wheat.
>>>>>>>>>> All of these costs and taxes were passed on to the miller,
>>>>>>>>>> embedded in the price of wheat.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the wheat
>>>>>>>>>> to the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social Security
>>>>>>>>>> taxes he withheld from his employees, plus the farmer's taxes,
>>>>>>>>>> were all passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying
>>>>>>>>>> with it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all
>>>>>>>>>> those previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their
>>>>>>>>>> employees, hidden in the price of that loaf of bread. The
>>>>>>>>>> buyer and his family ate the bread, and, having done so, could
>>>>>>>>>> not sell it to anyone else and pass the taxes along, as the
>>>>>>>>>> baker and everyone else before had done.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along with
>>>>>>>>>> the farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes they
>>>>>>>>>> withheld from all of their employees. From bread to
>>>>>>>>>> automobiles to brain surgery, the price of everything we buy
>>>>>>>>>> carries in it the hidden taxes of everyone who contributed to
>>>>>>>>>> the production of that product or service to the tune of, on
>>>>>>>>>> average, 23 cents of every dollar we spend for federal taxes
>>>>>>>>>> alone.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in reality,
>>>>>>>>>> a scheme to draft businesses and individuals as unpaid and
>>>>>>>>>> unknowing tax collectors to gather a hidden sales tax and to
>>>>>>>>>> keep voters from realizing who really bears the burden of
>>>>>>>>>> those high taxes.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income
>>>>>>>>>> and business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are
>>>>>>>>>> eventually paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of goods
>>>>>>>>>> and services. It doesn't matter what tax rate is applied to
>>>>>>>>>> which tax bracket, or what deductions you receive. These
>>>>>>>>>> devices change only the degree to which you are a tax
>>>>>>>>>> collector, but the burden taxes place on your life depends
>>>>>>>>>> solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm.
>>>>>>>>>> First, it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and services
>>>>>>>>>> that are provided by highly taxed individuals and companies,
>>>>>>>>>> like health care, are artificially more expensive than
>>>>>>>>>> necessary, while raw materials and natural resources are
>>>>>>>>>> underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political
>>>>>>>>>> process, encouraging government overspending by politicians
>>>>>>>>>> who exploit the mistaken belief of many voters that government
>>>>>>>>>> spending can be paid for solely by taxing corporations or the
>>>>>>>>>> "rich." All of the exploitation of envy and demagoguery -
>>>>>>>>>> which brings so much ill will to our politics and drives
>>>>>>>>>> wedges between Americans who would be better served by mutual
>>>>>>>>>> respect and compassion - is ultimately the meaningless
>>>>>>>>>> exploitation of a lie.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
>>>>>>>>>> appears progressive, but the reality is extremely regressive.
>>>>>>>>>> Currently, the lower income 45 percent of wage earners may pay
>>>>>>>>>> no income tax directly, but in reality, with their FICA taxes
>>>>>>>>>> added to the hidden embedded tax, their true federal tax
>>>>>>>>>> burden is almost 30 percent of their meager income.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone,
>>>>>>>>>> through an invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of
>>>>>>>>>> living.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com.
>>>>>>>>>> He is a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax as
>>>>>>>> Mr. B.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B does.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then Mr
>>>>>>>> B gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is the
>>>>>>> rich not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think so.
>>>>>> The best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same
>>>>>> percentage without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>>>>
>>>>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>>>>>
>>>>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and
>>>>>> why.
>>>>>
>>>>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>>>>
>>>> Actually, I think if we fixed the income the federal government had
>>>> to work with by eliminating their power to impose or increase taxes,
>>>> I bet the rest would, over time, resolve itself.
>>>
>>> Somebody has to be able to adjust tax rates... If not Congress then
>>> who?
>>
>> Decrease, by Congress.
>>
>> Increase, by vote during a general election.
>
> I think one can do the same thing with spending proposals as we would
> like to see in regulatory proposals.
>
> A. What problem is being fixed or addressed?
> B. What measurement system is going to be used or put in place to ensure
> that result is occurring?
> C. How long will that measurement be given to show results.
> D. If measurement shows no gain or the law doesn't work, law will
> automatically sunset after stated period of time.
>
> That should apply to all legislation.

Maybe, but nothing there would prevent overspending, even massive
overspending as long as they can show some sort of results.

True, results are good, but there is a limit to how much we can afford no
matter how much a lot of it might benefit people.

3439. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 22:58:32 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irpab6$6t5$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231366
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:e2a7cd6b-fafe-4643-97fb-5ca2c8b65bdb@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 5:46 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:8ce02ba4-e0fa-4501-94f1-87e144248f44@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 27, 3:35 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:2bd8c587-d08d-465c-9e0a-ec529c2d92ba@
>> > r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 27, 12:57 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> > wrote
>> >:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> innews:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@
>> >> > 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
>> >> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> >> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >> >> >>news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups
>> >> >> >>.co m.
>> >> >> >> ..
>>
>> >> >> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
>> >> >> > 031
>> >> >> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> >> >> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> >> >> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
>> >> >> >> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> >> >> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the
>> >> >> >> >> > FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> --
>> >> >> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://
>> > her
>> >> > man
>> >> >> > cain.c
>> >> >> >> >> > om/
>> >> >> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like
>> >> >> >> >> >> cancer)
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
>> >> >> >> >> >> Obama had
>> >> >> > as
>> >> >> >> >> muc
>> >> >> >> >> > h
>> >> >> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't
>> >> >> >> >> >> have THAT muc
>> >> >> > h
>> >> >> >> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> >> >> >> I refer to your math.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> >> >> >> >> that's $1
>> >> >> > 23.
>>
>> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>> >> >> >> > reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>> >> >> >> > (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example

>> >> >> >> > wasn't simple enough for you.
>>
>> >> >> >> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales
>> >> >> >> and other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they
>> >> >> >> will still need to comp
>> >> >> > ly
>> >> >> >> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the
>> >> >> >> additional FairTax imposed?
>>
>> >> >> > You need to understand what compliance costs actually are. They
>> >> >> > are the costs associated with complying with the federal income
>> >> >> > tax on wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income tax
>> >> >> > on wages, i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering, documenting, and
>> >> >> > filing our annual income.. If those taxes are gone then just
>> >> >> > what exactly is there left to comply with and how does that cost
>> >> >> > any money?
>>
>> >> >> There are also state compliance costs associated with state income
>> >> >> taxes for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals, etc..
>> >> >> Fair tax does nothing about them. In addition you also have some
>> >> >> compliance taxes fo
>> >> > r
>> >> >> the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.
>>
>> >> > Because that has to do with state taxes. The FairTax is about
>> >> > federa
>> > l
>> >> > taxation.
>>
>> >> The point is that those costs are still there. They were somewhat
>> >> amortized when combined with federal taxation but even if that goes
>> >> away, the mechanisms of collecting those taxes don't.
>>
>> > The costs of production are there. The costs of compliance are no
>> > longer there.
>>
>> They are for state and local reasons. The only thing that went away was
>> the federal requirement. Some of those are also state costs and they
>> have to remain in place along with the compliance costs that they incur.
>> That hasn't changed.
>>
>
> And once again, the fairtax replaces embedded costs of complying with
> FEDERAL tax laws.

And where exactly does it say it will do exactly that?

3440. Rádio Nacional da Amazônia on 11,780 kHz @ 0019 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 23:01:46 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <4b14d398-ce84-4b29-9d57-94a5091fe5fa@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231367

Rádio Nacional da Amazônia on 11,780 kHz @ 0019 UTC
on 27 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 544 and good audio

Heard the Portuguese Language and Brazilian
sounding Music with Rádio Nacional da Amazônia
and Rádio Nacional do Brasil IDs @ 0019 & 0104 UTC
.
Rádio Nacional da Amazônia
http://www.ebc.com.br/canais-ebc/radios/radio-nacional-da-amaz%C3%B4nia-oc-11780-khz-e-6180khz
.
OC = Ondas Curtas {Shortwave}
6180 kHz and 11,780 kHz
.
Radioagência Nacional
http://radioagencianacional.ebc.com.br/
http://www.ebc.com.br/
.
Rádio Nacional Brazian Broadcasters
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/R%C3%A1dio_Nacional
.
Rádio Nacional (Radiobrás)
http://pt.wikipedia.org/wiki/R%C3%A1dio_Nacional_%28Radiobr%C3%A1s%29
.
Rádio Nacional do Brasil Frequencies
http://www.short-wave.info/index.php?station=RN+da+Amazonia&language=&now=04&now2=19&submit=GO
-source- Short-Wave .Info
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3441. Re: Alex Jones "Info Wars" Names #1 Enemy of the People of Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 23:05:14 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <dpetermaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <irpanb$97k$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231368

On 5/27/11 17:24 , bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 27, 2:29 pm, Bubba<Bub@ba> wrote:
>> On Thu, 26 May 2011, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>> On May 25, 3:42 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> http://www.infowars.com/financial-terrorism-tsa-holds-texas-flights-h...
>>
>>>> You just can't make up shit like this!
>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>
>>> Alex Jones Names #1 Enemy of the People of Texas
>>> http://www.infowars.com/ex-cia-spook-dewhurst-sabotaged-anti-tsa-bill...
>>> -an-agent-of-the-obama-regime-&-new-world-order-
>>> .
>>> WWCR "Info Wars" on 12,160 kHz @ 1600 UTC
>>> http://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
>>> .
>>> "Info Wars" -host- Alex Jones
>>> http://www.infowars.com/
>>> .
>>> Alex Jones -web-site- "Prison Planet"
>>> http://www.prisonplanet.com/
>>> .
>>> About "Alex Jones" (Radio Host)
>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alex_Jones_(radio)
>>> .
>>> The Alex Jones Shortwave Radio Show
>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alex_Jones_(radio)#The_Alex_Jones_Show
>>> .
>>> Alex Jones "Info Wars" on the Shortwave Radio
>>> Mon-Fri : 11 AM - 2 PM on 12,160 kHz
>>> [US Central Time -6 UTC] : 1600 - 1900 UTC
>>> .
>>> World Wide Christian Radio [WWCR]
>>> Shortwave Radio Station
>>> WWCR Location : Nashville, Tennessee, USA
>>> http://www.wwcr.com/
>>> .
>>> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
>>> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
>>> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
>>> .
>>> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports :http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq

>>> http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
>>> .
>>> - = 2FN6XOG = -& - = 2D9QLQ = -
>>> .
>>> .
>>
>> In Jones' favor, he does have the gritty voice and imposing demeanor ideal for
>> propagandist talk radio. Perhaps someday he will realize that only global
>> nuclear war will ever solve the problem, because the problem is "human" nature.
>> "Humans" are the spawn of evil itself. Unless the genetic engineers can figure
>> out a way to splice the "evil gene" completely out of the human genome, and cause
>> all evil "humans" to go extinct without dragging the newly engineered non-evil
>> humans down with them, then there is no other way to solve the problem but to
>> obliterate the earth and destroy all life on land, air, and sea.
>>
>> One other distinct possibility is that the year 2012 really will bring the end
>> of time. Hard to know if it will, but the world does look like it is headed off
>> a cliff. It's almost June 2011. Is it possible the this world has about a year
>> and a half before it's all over? That's not long to wait. The big difference
>> is that this "2012" prediction was made many thousands of years ago by
>> astronomers with an amazing comprehension of the subject matter -- no religious
>> fanatics or totally incompetent doomsday cult clowns like "Harold Camping" or
>> "Jim Jones" to muddy the waters with their delusional twaddle.
>>
>> --
>> Bub- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> I'll bet you my life's savings that the world won't end in 2012,
> despite what the ancient "astronomers" say. No matter what, I cannot
> lose! :-D

We'll all be here come election day.

I had this conversation with someone at the FBO at the Lansing
airport. She was going on at how all these forces come to alignment
as the earth passes through the galactic disc, to the southern side
of the galaxy, which is supposed to take place mid 2012.

Truth is, that all the forces that will be at play at that moment
are currently at play, with the only differences being the angles at
which they affect the Earth. Right now, that angle is so small as to
be insignificant. The idea that these natural forces will spike to a
level capable of ripping the Earth to gravel at the moment of
alignment is absurd.

Still, there are those who still don't understand why we're still
here after the last planetary alignment.

These persons are easy pray for the unscrupulous.

3442. Re: New GE Reveal 'Hybrid' CFL Bulbs in Traditional A19 'Glass' Shape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 23:08:18 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <211885d4-13d4-4fbe-b5e4-f032f0b9ebae@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231369

On May 27, 3:27 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 27, 3:21 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 2:48 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > On May 27, 2:20 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On 5/27/2011 1:55 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
> > > > > ...
> > > > > That's OK... the shill isn't talking about fluorescent ballasts, they're
> > > > > talking about HID ballasts (mercury vapor, high pressure sodium, metal
> > > > > halide). Digital technology could be used for these by using "steppers"
> > > > > to ramp the voltage up and down based upon current detection.
>
> > > > While you are technically correct, about a LED being mentioned in the
> > > > original post, the difference between sodium/mercury-vapor and
> > > > fluorescent BALLAST is minimal, to an engineer.
>
> > > > Voltage step-up/step-down, or even wave shape has nothing to do with
> > > > "digital."
>
> > > > While not absolutely necessary, a digital wave shape will be of a square
> > > > wave, however ramp and even sine can be handled with processing. And, a
> > > > simple flip-flop circuit can generate a square wave, process this though
> > > > a current regulator, then a voltage regulator and you, basically, have
> > > > your "digital ballast", but it has nothing to do with anything truly
> > > > "digital."
>
> > > > The name "digital" is more of a marketing scam than anything else it
> > > > its' use in describing the ballast, kinda like "solid state" (a pencil
> > > > is solid state!), "state of the art" ("new" is simply relative),
> > > > "space-age" (products developed after successful space flight), etc.
>
> > > > 1) of or relating to the fingers or toes <digital dexterity>
>

> > > > 2) done with a finger <a digital rectal examination>
>
> > > > 3) of, relating to, or using calculation by numerical methods or by
> > > > discrete units
>
> > > > 4) of, relating to, or being data in the form of especially binary
> > > > digits <digital images> <a digital readout>; especially : of, relating
> > > > to, or employing digital communications signals <a digital broadcast>
> > > > compare analog 2
>
> > > > 5) providing a readout in numerical digits <a digital voltmeter>
>
> > > > 6) relating to an audio recording method in which sound waves are
> > > > represented digitally (as on magnetic tape) so that in the recording wow
> > > > and flutter are eliminated and background noise is reduced
>
> > > > 7) electronic <digital devices>; also : characterized by electronic and
> > > > especially computerized technology <the digital age>
>
> > > > ... by Merriam-Webster.
>
> > > - And, my hand is digital!!! ROFLOL
>
> > > To Five Place Accuracy ;;-}} ~ RHF
> > > .
>
> > > > Regards,
> > > > JS
>
> > Saw some 'new' GE Reveal CFL Light Bulbs
> > at Lowes with an Outer Glass Cover
> > -maybe-
> > The Best of Both Worlds :o) ~
RHFhttp://www.elightbulbs.com/lighting-blog/GE-Reveals-New-Reveal-Compac...
>
> > New GE Reveal 'Hybrid' CFL Bulbs
> > in Traditional Glass A19
Shapehttp://www.thelightingresource.net/ge-introduces-reveal-cfl-bulb-offe...
>
> > Should you break the 'inner' CFL Tube
> > the 'Outer' Glass Envelop saves on the
> > Clean-Up Problems -great-idea-!-
> > .
> > -better-yet-http://pressroom.geconsumerproducts.com/pr/ge/artwork/9/9/6/7/3/19967...
> > Three Bulbs in One: GE’s Hybrid Halogen-CFL
> > with Incandescent Shape Arrives in April
2011http://pressroom.geconsumerproducts.com/pr/ge/three-bulbs-in-one-ge-s...
> > -and-http://www.genewscenter.com/Press-Releases/Three-Bulbs-in-One-GE-s-Hy...
> > -question-
> > GE Hybrid CFL vs. ELS LED Lamp- Who's

Better?http://www.elsledtech.com/admarketing/april2011newsletter.html
> > .
> > ~ RHF
> > .
> > .- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Is the outer shell glass, or something more durable, like a polymer?
> With glass, if the inside gets broken, there's a pretty good chance
> the outside cover would also be broken by the same trauma.

It is Glass -but- not 'thin' Light Bulb Glass
It is Thicker and More Durable like the Glass
on a Halogen Bulb
.

3443. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 23:09:24 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irpavi$ak0$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231370
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ad8de305-eb25-4add-859a-89e188607105@f15g2000yqe.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 5:58 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:777618f3-e9f9-4b0b-accf-7d67b6c5a793@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
>> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> "Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >>
>> >> >>news:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>> >>
>> >> >> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>> >> >> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>> >>
>> >> >> >>> wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >>> FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>> >>
>> >> >> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> >> >> >>> that's
>> >> >> > $123.
>> >>
>> >> >> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> >> >> >> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
>> >> >> >> that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
>> >> >> >> you.
>> >>
>> >> >> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are just
>> >> >> > going
>> >> >> > to away?

>>
>> >> That seems to be his, utterly unrealistic, assertion.
>>
>> > Economist that I trust more than you say otherwise. I know who I put
>> > more stock in.
>>
>> Cite them.
>
> fairtax.org. go read under the "research" link.

I see links for:

About us
Contact
My Account
Logoout
About the Fairtax
News & Commentary
Grassroots
Take Action
Fairtax Caculator - Try it Now
Facebook signup
News & Calender links
Backup - The Basics
Ways and Means Committee Testimony
Fairtax Gear
facebook
twittter
Youtube
Taxavist
Fairtax Nation!
Political Support
Economic Support
Fairtax Books
Search national network
Find local leaders
Home

Don't see any "research" link

So, next time you post a cite, I expect a workable link that take me directly to the information you claim exists because clearly your claims about what I will find and where is incomplete, inadequate, and/or non-existent.

Nor should I have to hunt around looking for your data. Your link should take me directly to it.

3444. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 23:10:43 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irpb21$b3p$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231371
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:844580b9-6fed-4583-b094-e3a7813bab37@14g2000yqo.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 5:44 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:135296b0-c10e-40b6-bb7a-29e0f6995f2d@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 27, 3:02 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:a55df435-ec3a-4cbd-96bd-a6a7c5e8166a@
>> > v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 27, 12:23ï¿½pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> > wrote
>> >:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> innews:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@
>> >> > l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> Let's get rid of a lot crap and get down to the chase and the point I
>> >> am trying to make.
>>
>> >> You keep stating that there will be 23% of the product cost removed
>> >> when Fair Tax is implemented, therefore the 23% of the Fair Tax will
>> >> simply bring the product back to the same price. You say that
>> >> research has shown that.
>>
>> >> Now, let's look at the FAQ. It says that the Fair Tax will be
>> >> *revenue
>> > *
>> >> neutral. It does NOT say it will be *cost* neutral. That is YOUR cl
>> > aim,
>> >> not theirs. Revenue neutral means that the amount of tax revenue
>> >> produced by the Fair Tax will be the same as current practice
>> >> provide. Cost neutral would indicate that cost of the product to the
>> >> public would not change. A statement that they do not make.

>>
>> >> Here is what the FAIR Tax FAQ says:
>>
>> >> "Does the FairTax rate need to be much higher to be revenue neutral?
>>
>> >> The proper tax rate has been carefully worked out; 23 percent does
>> >> the job of: (1) raising the same amount of federal funds as are
>> >> raised by the current system, (2) paying the universal rebate, and
>> >> (3) paying the collection fees to retailers and state governments.
>> >> Unlike some other proposals, this rate has been independently
>> >> confirmed by several different, nonpartisan institutions across the
>> >> country. Detailed calculations are available from FairTax.org. "
>>
>> >> Note that it says, "..revenue neutral."
>>
>> >> Let's try another spot:
>>
>> >> "How does the FairTax affect wages and prices?
>>
>> >> Americans who produce goods and earn wages must pay significant tax
>> >> and compliance costs under the current federal income tax. These
>> >> taxes and costs both reduce after-tax wages and profits and are then
>> >> passed on to the consumers of those goods and services in the form of
>> >> price increases. When the FairTax removes income, capital gains,
>> >> payroll, and estate and gift taxes, the pre-FairTax prices of these
>> >> goods and services will fall. The removal of these hidden taxes may
>> >> also allow wages to rise. Exactly how much prices will fall and wages
>> >> will rise depends on market forces. For example, in a profession with
>> >> many jobs and too few to fill them, wages will likely increase more
>> >> than in fields where there are too many employees and not enough
>> >> jobs."
>>
>> >> Note again that it does not name a percentage for any cost reduction.
>> >>
>> > It
>> >> says it will vary depending on market forces.
>>
>> >> Here is another spot:
>>
>> >> "
>> >> Since the FairTax plan is *revenue neutral*, the same amount of
>> >> resources is extracted from the economy as is extracted under current
>> >> law. These funds are, however, extracted in a less economically
>> >> damaging way. Every known economic projection shows the economy doing
>> >> better, often much better, under the FairTax.
>> >> Because the economy grows, is more efficient and more productive,
>> >> that means investment, wages, and consumption are higher than they
>> >> are under the income tax."
>>
>> >> Again, it says "revenue neutral" not cost neutral. The research that

>> >> they claim the 23% number on is for revenue neutrality. That number
>> >> has nothing to do with product prices or costs.
>>
>> > Yes it does. The 23% is the embedded compliance "costs".
>>
>> And where is this noted other than by you? It is NOT in the FAQ.
>>
>> I'll try
>>
>> > this one last time.
>>
>> Go for it.
>>
>> 23% of every item you buy is composed embedded
>>
>> > costs passed on in the price of the product associated with compliance
>> > of paying federal income and payroll taxes, including personal, gift,
>> > estate, capital gains, alternative minimum, Social Security/Medicare,
>> > self-employment, and corporate taxes. Those are "costs". When the
>> > FairTax is implemented those costs go away. I won't re-hash why.
>>
>> I am not asking why. I am asking where are you pulling that number from?
>>
>
> See the two links that I already sent you in another post.

So where exactly in the information presented by either of those links would
he find that information?

Care to quote for me the relevant sections that you assertion would address
his question?

3445. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 23:11:57 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF2A4CD3419Ahopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231372
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irp99stl1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF262C786E1Fhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>> news:irmqp6apd1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9EF1678135203hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>> news:irk4f8ks1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:Xns9EF0986C7911Dhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>> news:Xns9EF0AAF5845E6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgufi$l7$7@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo
>>>>>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> paying 42% of all of governments costs, and sure
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> looks like the top 19% are not paying half of
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> governments costs, until that happens they are NOT
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I advocate
>>>>>>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> pay 7% sales
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7%
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sales tax,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> government
>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.h
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> tm l
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> their fair
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> share
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> to go through
>>>>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?

>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to
>>>>>>>>>>>>> catch on ... I was wrong.
>>>>>>>>>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>>>>>>>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all
>>>>>>>>>>>> income not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it
>>>>>>>>>>>> here in this thread. Did you take the time to read it? It
>>>>>>>>>>>> is really quite simply and quite short so you should have no
>>>>>>>>>>>> problem understanding it. ;)
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell
>>>>>>>>>>>> isn't flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage
>>>>>>>>>>>> on whatever was purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the
>>>>>>>>>>>> real world.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion
>>>>>>>>>>> began, or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person
>>>>>>>>>> B buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> What's more fair than that?
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Same product, same taxes paid.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Fair.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal
>>>>>>>>> poverty level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on
>>>>>>>>> amount over federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable
>>>>>>>>> income paid. Fair.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about
>>>>>>>>> food or necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list
>>>>>>>>> exemptions....and the list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and
>>>>>>>>> Cher......
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The real problem is...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> First you have to decide how much the government needs to
>>>>>>>> funtion.
>>>>>>

>>>>>> That is true under any taxing scheme.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> To do that you have to decide what the government should be
>>>>>>> doing.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Same here and that is most of the discussion and difference
>>>>>> between liberals and conservatives.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I think rather than discussing camoflaging how the feds fleece
>>>>>>> the taxpayer those questions really need to be answered.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Yep, but, good luck. Those discussions have been going on for
>>>>>> two hundred years. ;)
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I am of the opinion that taxes overall hurt the economy by
>>>>>>> taking people's hard earned money. I don't care if you are the
>>>>>>> bus boy or the owner of the chain. You earened it, it's yours.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> However, one does get things from having a government.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Overall if the bite is reasonably low than whatever negative
>>>>>>> effects it has are mitigated. But the only really effective way
>>>>>>> to increase government revenues is to have a going, expanding
>>>>>>> economy. That way whatever "protection" money the government
>>>>>>> extorts from the people can increase without increasing the
>>>>>>> percentage that it takes.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> True.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Of course that would require a complete ovrehaul of most federal
>>>>>>> policies and the expulsion of Marxists and enviromentalists.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> One would have to stop viewing tax policy as a method of molding
>>>>>>> people's behavior and relegate to the neccessary evil it is.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Frankly I have yet to hear anyone explain to me how we can tax
>>>>>>> out way out of the current crisis wherein the debt equals the
>>>>>>> GDP and is likely to double in 8 years. There is simply no
>>>>>>> possible way to do it without removing so much wealth from the
>>>>>>> private sector as to thorougly tank the economy, which will in
>>>>>>> turn make the problem immeasurably worse.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> To get out of this will require BOTH taxes and spending cuts.
>>>>>> Doing just one or the other won't do it.
>>>>>
>>>>> Agreed, but until I see some serious spending cuts and a clear,
>>>>> firm (and preferably Constitutionally mandated) path to control
>>>>> spending and reduce the debt, I would be most reluctant to accept
>>>>> the need for any increase in taxation.
>>>>

>>>> As would I.
>>>>
>>>>> We've been promised spending cuts before in exchange for a tax
>>>>> hike. We got the hike....we didn't get the cuts.
>>>>
>>>> That's why we have elections.
>>>
>>> Which, even at best, is closing the barn door after the horse has
>>> run off.
>>
>> That's true but it is often rather difficult to know how someone will
>> vote.....see Souter.
>
> True, which is why I feel some of that authority should be withdrawn
> from Congress and put back into our hands.
>
>

You mean other than November.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3446. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 23:14:05 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF2A5296BD6Fhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231373
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irp9d7$1fp$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF2640EB8D44hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>> news:irmr4jcec1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9EF16CBC4ED54hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>> news:irk4of$233$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:Xns9EF098AFA566Ahopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>> news:Xns9EF0AB6C4A0FAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:Xns9EF080649F7E7hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:irh8d7$mp7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>> news:irh10k$l7$15@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:21 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgum4$l7$9@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:40 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsml$l7$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:47 AM, gfn wrote:

>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure it is. It gives a clear, concise and true picture
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of who pays the federal income tax burden in this
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> country. If you want to talk about all taxes and all
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> revenue that goes to the government then your right. I
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> know of no place that compiles that data. ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> OK. Then, please cut and paste the relevant parts here,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I need them pointed out to me.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> If you can't understand the date presented at that site,
>>>>>>>>>>>>> you have no hope of understanding any data presented to
>>>>>>>>>>>>> you. Which explains some of your ideas.....
>>>>>>>>>>>> If it is so simple, as you pretend, it would be no problem
>>>>>>>>>>>> ... you are attempting a circular argument ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Just post something which proves your point ... if you can,
>>>>>>>>>>>> from the site you are claiming explains it openly ... DUH!
>>>>>>>>>>>> I didn't make that claim, however, here is the data:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> 2008
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$380,354 Percentage 38.02
>>>>>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$159,619 Percentage 58.72
>>>>>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,799 Percentage 69.94
>>>>>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 67,280 Percentage 86.34
>>>>>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 33,048 Percentage 97.30
>>>>>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 33,048 Percentage 2.70
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> 2007
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Top 1% AGI>$410,096 Percentage 40.42
>>>>>>>>>>>> Top 5% AGI>$160,041 Percentage 60.63
>>>>>>>>>>>> Top 10% AGI>$113,018 Percentage 71.22
>>>>>>>>>>>> Top 25% AGI>$ 66,532 Percentage 86.59
>>>>>>>>>>>> Top 50% AGI>$ 32,879 Percentage 97.11
>>>>>>>>>>>> Bottom 50% AGI<$ 32,879 Percentage 2.89
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Here is the site:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> http://ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> The Virginian-Pilot
>>>>>>>>>>>> &#65533; May 15, 2011
>>>>>>>>>>>> By Don Tabor
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Who really pays the baker's taxes? The baker may write the
>>>>>>>>>>>> check, but he does not bear the cost, and in that paradox
>>>>>>>>>>>> lies the cause of much of the bitter partisanship and
>>>>>>>>>>>> polarization that poisons our political process. But to
>>>>>>>>>>>> understand that problem, we must consider how taxes are

>>>>>>>>>>> applied to the production of goods and services.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> So, how does the loaf of bread the baker sells come to
>>>>>>>>>>> market?
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> A farmer grew and harvested wheat for sale to the miller to
>>>>>>>>>>> be made into flour for the baker. The farmer paid income
>>>>>>>>>>> taxes based on his profit from the sale and property tax on
>>>>>>>>>>> his farm and equipment. Those taxes were, from his point of
>>>>>>>>>>> view, just another cost of doing business in the course of
>>>>>>>>>>> earning his living, no different from fuel for his tractor
>>>>>>>>>>> or wages and taxes for employees.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Since every other farmer had roughly the same expenses and
>>>>>>>>>>> taxes, the price they charge the miller must cover their
>>>>>>>>>>> expenses and taxes, plus their after-tax disposable income
>>>>>>>>>>> and savings. Otherwise, there would be no point in growing
>>>>>>>>>>> wheat. All of these costs and taxes were passed on to the
>>>>>>>>>>> miller, embedded in the price of wheat.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Likewise, when the miller sold the flour ground from the
>>>>>>>>>>> wheat to the baker, his taxes, plus the income and Social
>>>>>>>>>>> Security taxes he withheld from his employees, plus the
>>>>>>>>>>> farmer's taxes, were all passed on to the baker.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> The baker then sold his bread made from the flour, carrying
>>>>>>>>>>> with it his own taxes plus those of his employees, plus all
>>>>>>>>>>> those previous taxes from the farmer, miller and their
>>>>>>>>>>> employees, hidden in the price of that loaf of bread. The
>>>>>>>>>>> buyer and his family ate the bread, and, having done so,
>>>>>>>>>>> could not sell it to anyone else and pass the taxes along,
>>>>>>>>>>> as the baker and everyone else before had done.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> So, it is the consumer who paid the baker's taxes, along
>>>>>>>>>>> with the farmer's taxes, the miller's taxes and the taxes
>>>>>>>>>>> they withheld from all of their employees. From bread to
>>>>>>>>>>> automobiles to brain surgery, the price of everything we buy
>>>>>>>>>>> carries in it the hidden taxes of everyone who contributed
>>>>>>>>>>> to the production of that product or service to the tune of,
>>>>>>>>>>> on average, 23 cents of every dollar we spend for federal
>>>>>>>>>>> taxes alone.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Our complex, pervasive and expensive tax code is, in
>>>>>>>>>>> reality, a scheme to draft businesses and individuals as
>>>>>>>>>>> unpaid and unknowing tax collectors to gather a hidden sales
>>>>>>>>>>> tax and to keep voters from realizing who really bears the
>>>>>>>>>>> burden of those high taxes.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> There is no way around this central reality that all income

>>>>>>>>>>> and business taxes are a deception and that all taxes are
>>>>>>>>>>> eventually paid by the consumer, hidden in the price of
>>>>>>>>>>> goods and services. It doesn't matter what tax rate is
>>>>>>>>>>> applied to which tax bracket, or what deductions you
>>>>>>>>>>> receive. These devices change only the degree to which you
>>>>>>>>>>> are a tax collector, but the burden taxes place on your life
>>>>>>>>>>> depends solely on what you spend.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Paying this hidden consumption tax is unavoidable, but the
>>>>>>>>>>> illusion of income-based taxing does a great deal of harm.
>>>>>>>>>>> First, it distorts our economic decisions. Goods and
>>>>>>>>>>> services that are provided by highly taxed individuals and
>>>>>>>>>>> companies, like health care, are artificially more expensive
>>>>>>>>>>> than necessary, while raw materials and natural resources
>>>>>>>>>>> are underpriced, leading to overconsumption and waste.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> But even worse, these hidden taxes distort the political
>>>>>>>>>>> process, encouraging government overspending by politicians
>>>>>>>>>>> who exploit the mistaken belief of many voters that
>>>>>>>>>>> government spending can be paid for solely by taxing
>>>>>>>>>>> corporations or the "rich." All of the exploitation of envy
>>>>>>>>>>> and demagoguery - which brings so much ill will to our
>>>>>>>>>>> politics and drives wedges between Americans who would be
>>>>>>>>>>> better served by mutual respect and compassion - is
>>>>>>>>>>> ultimately the meaningless exploitation of a lie.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Our income tax system, with its escalating marginal rates,
>>>>>>>>>>> appears progressive, but the reality is extremely
>>>>>>>>>>> regressive. Currently, the lower income 45 percent of wage
>>>>>>>>>>> earners may pay no income tax directly, but in reality, with
>>>>>>>>>>> their FICA taxes added to the hidden embedded tax, their
>>>>>>>>>>> true federal tax burden is almost 30 percent of their meager
>>>>>>>>>>> income.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Voters might well choose differently were they aware that
>>>>>>>>>>> government spending is ultimately paid for by everyone,
>>>>>>>>>>> through an invisible sales tax disguised as a high cost of
>>>>>>>>>>> living.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Guest columnist Don Tabor of Chesapeake is a grandfather,
>>>>>>>>>>> Libertarian activist and proprietor of TidewaterLiberty.com.
>>>>>>>>>>> He is a dentist in Norfolk and Hampton.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> A flat tax, and NO OTHER TAXES! PERIOD!
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Agreed. A flat tax. Mr A buys a product he pays the same tax
>>>>>>>>>> as Mr. B.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Mr. A pays the same rate of taxes on his income that Mr. B

>>>>>>>>> does.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> No exceptions, no exclusions, except those which apply to ALL.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> If you're going to exempt Mr. A housing, food, medical, then
>>>>>>>>> Mr B gets the exact same exemptions.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Otherwise, it's not a flat tax.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> And it won't fix the problem he is whining about....which is
>>>>>>>>> the rich not paying a hundred times what the poor do.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> And truthfully you never will. It is childish whining to think
>>>>>>>> so. The best you can hope for is that everyone pays the same
>>>>>>>> percentage without a plethora of deductions and weasel outs.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Which is what my flat tax proposal does.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> AFter, of course, you tell me exactly how much the guv needs and
>>>>>>> why.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> GG, somehow I doubt that decision is up to you.
>>>>>
>>>>> Actually, I think if we fixed the income the federal government
>>>>> had to work with by eliminating their power to impose or increase
>>>>> taxes, I bet the rest would, over time, resolve itself.
>>>>
>>>> Somebody has to be able to adjust tax rates... If not Congress
>>>> then who?
>>>
>>> Decrease, by Congress.
>>>
>>> Increase, by vote during a general election.
>>
>> I think one can do the same thing with spending proposals as we would
>> like to see in regulatory proposals.
>>
>> A. What problem is being fixed or addressed?
>> B. What measurement system is going to be used or put in place to
>> ensure that result is occurring?
>> C. How long will that measurement be given to show results.
>> D. If measurement shows no gain or the law doesn't work, law will
>> automatically sunset after stated period of time.
>>
>> That should apply to all legislation.

>
> Maybe, but nothing there would prevent overspending, even massive
> overspending as long as they can show some sort of results.
>
> True, results are good, but there is a limit to how much we can afford
> no matter how much a lot of it might benefit people.

I agree but see that as a different problem. It is here and now that
what you want must occur. My thing above is for now on.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3447. Re: Trump/Corsi vs. the fraudulent obama birth certificate -- dynamic duo fights for justice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 23:16:14 UTC
From: Bob S <kerrykrisMYHAT@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irpbc3cnn1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On 5/26/2011 11:18 PM, BDK wrote:
> In article<irmf0p$3u7$1@dont-email.me>, win.court@gmail.com says...
>>
>> On 5/26/2011 11:55 AM, BDK wrote:
>>> In article<a80be1de-0321-4da7-834a-
>>> 6dc829b4af7f@b8g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>, mwbryant@aol.com says...
>>>>
>>>> On May 26, 12:57 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> http://www.paramuspost.com/article.php/20110525160337406
>>>>>
>>>>> http://www.mediaite.com/online/donald-trump-my-expert-says-obamas-bir...
>>>>>
>>>>> Crimes are like that, they just won't go away ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>>>
>>>> Here are the newsgroups you keep cross-posting your political posts
>>>> to:
>>>>
>>>> rec.radio.shortwave, alt.conspiracy, talk.politics.guns, alt.fan.rush-
>>>> limbaugh, alt.rush-limbaugh
>>>>
>>>> All but rec.radio.shortwave are political forums where your messages
>>>> seem appropriate. RRS, however, is a newsgroup dealing with shortwave
>>>> radio and broadcasting. Please delete rec.radio.shortwave from your
>>>> list of newsgroups where you make so many daily political posts.
>>>>
>>>> Though I can't recall you ever making a truly Shortwave-related post,
>>>> they would certainly be welcome. Do you need a receiver? Let me know
>>>> if you do.
>>>>
>>>> Mike
>>>> Louisville, KY
>>>
>>> Johnny KqqK plonked you. For him, that means you made sense and he ran
>>> away. But like the cockroach he is, he will always come back.
>>>
>>
>> I do as I please, plonk, unplonk, etc.
>>
>> Pisses you off, that is good ...
```

>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Pisses me off? Whoosh isn't even close to the right term for what is
> happening over your little pointed head.
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAH! You're so idiotic, you don't even know when you're
> being laughed at and made fun of!
>

Can you imagine living with him? His wife and kid (s) must really be
fucked up.

--
For email reply remove MYHAT

3448. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 23:17:39 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF2A5C4BB328hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231375
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:e2a7cd6b-fafe-4643-97fb-5ca2c8b65bdb@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:

> On May 27, 5:46 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:8ce02ba4-e0fa-4501-94f1-87e144248f44@
> e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 27, 3:35 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:2bd8c587-d08d-465c-9e0a-ec529c2d92ba@
>> > r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 27, 12:57 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> > wrote
>> >>:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> innews:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@
>> >> > 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
>> >> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> >> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >> >> >>news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegro
>> >> >> >>ups .co m.
>> >> >> >> ..
>>
>> >> >> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
>> >> >> > 031
>> >> >> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:

>>
>> >> >> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> >> >> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> >> >> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
>> >> >> >> >> >> > - • • wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> >> >> > - • • compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> >> >> > - • • FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> >> >> > - • • sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> >> >> >> >> > - • • Grand total = $100
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the
>> >> >> >> >> > FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> --
>> >> >> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! • • • • • • •http
>://
>> > her
>> >> > man
>> >> >> > cain.c
>> >> >> >> > om/
>> >> >> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like
>> >> >> >> >> >> cancer)
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish
>> >> >> >> >> >> that Obama had
>> >> >> > as
>> >> >> >> >> muc
>> >> >> >> >> > h
>> >> >> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't
>> >> >> >> >> >> have THAT muc
>> >> >> > h
>> >> >> >> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> >> >> >> I refer to your math.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>> >> >> >> >> decree that's $1

>> >> >> > 23.
>>
>> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>> >> >> >> > reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>> >> >> >> > (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
>> >> >> >> > wasn't simple enough for you.
>>
>> >> >> >> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with
>> >> >> >> sales and other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when
>> >> >> >> they will still need to comp
>> >> >> > ly
>> >> >> >> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the
>> >> >> >> additional FairTax imposed?
>>
>> >> >> > You need to understand what compliance costs actually are.
>> >> >> > The
> y
>> >> >> > are the costs associated with complying with the federal
>> >> >> > income tax on wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal
>> >> >> > income tax on wages, i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering,
>> >> >> > documenting, and filing our annual income.. If those taxes
>> >> >> > are gone then just what exactly is there left to comply with
>> >> >> > and how does that cost any money?
>>
>> >> >> There are also state compliance costs associated with state
>> >> >> income taxes for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals,
>> >> >> etc.. Fair tax does nothing about them. In addition you also
>> >> >> have s
> ome
>> >> >> compliance taxes fo
>> >> > r
>> >> >> the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.
>>
>> >> > Because that has to do with state taxes. The FairTax is about
>> >> > federa
>> > l
>> >> > taxation.
>>
>> >> The point is that those costs are still there. They were somewhat
>> >> amortized when combined with federal taxation but even if that
>> >> goes away, the mechanisms of collecting those taxes don't.
>>
>> > The costs of production are there. The costs of compliance are no
>> > longer there.
>>
>> They are for state and local reasons. The only thing that went away
>> wa
> s
>> the federal requirement. Some of those are also state costs and they

>> have to remain in place along with the compliance costs that they
>> incur.
>
>> That hasn't changed.
>>
>
> And once again, the fairtax replaces embedded costs of complying with
> FEDERAL tax laws.

I know that....however the mechanism for complying with those federal laws is also used in some cases for state laws. Since those are not addressed by the Fair Tax, they need to remain in place. When that occurs, that cost cannot be removed.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3449. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 23:19:57 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF2A6282ABCEhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231376
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irpab6$6t5$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:e2a7cd6b-fafe-4643-97fb-5ca2c8b65bdb@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com.
> ..
>> On May 27, 5:46 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> innews:8ce02ba4-e0fa-4501-94f1-87e144248f44@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.
>>> com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On May 27, 3:35 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> > wrote:
>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> >> innews:2bd8c587-d08d-465c-9e0a-ec529c2d92ba@
>>> > r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> > On May 27, 12:57 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> >> > wrote
>>> >>:
>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> >> >> innews:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@
>>> >> > 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> >> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
>>> >> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>> >> >> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>
>>> >> >> >>news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegr
>>> >> >> >>oups .co m.
>>> >> >> >> ..
>>>
>>> >> >> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost

>>> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> >> >> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
>>> >> >> > 031
>>> >> >> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
>>> >> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> >> >> >> >> >> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>>> >> >> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
>>> >> >> >> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
>>> >> >> >> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
>>> >> >> >> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
>>> >> >> >> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>>> >> >> >> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the
>>> >> >> >> >> > FairTax.
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> >> --
>>> >> >> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://
>>> > her
>>> >> > man
>>> >> >> > cain.c
>>> >> >> >> >> > om/
>>> >> >> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>> >> >> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like
>>> >> >> >> >> >> cancer)
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish
>>> >> >> >> >> >> that Obama had
>>> >> >> > as
>>> >> >> >> >> muc
>>> >> >> >> >> > h
>>> >> >> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't
>>> >> >> >> >> >> have THAT muc
>>> >> >> > h
>>> >> >> >> >> >> competence?
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> I refer to your math.
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>>> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>>> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>>> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27

>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>> >> >> >> >> decree that's $1
>>> >> >> > 23.
>>>
>>> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>> >> >> >> > reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>>> >> >> >> > (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the
>>> >> >> >> > example wasn't simple enough for you.
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with
>>> >> >> >> sales and other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero,
>>> >> >> >> when they will still need to comp
>>> >> >> > ly
>>> >> >> >> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the
>>> >> >> >> additional FairTax imposed?
>>>
>>> >> >> > You need to understand what compliance costs actually are.
>>> >> >> > They are the costs associated with complying with the
>>> >> >> > federal income tax on wages, regressive payroll taxes , the
>>> >> >> > federal income tax on wages, i.e. measuring, tracking,
>>> >> >> > sheltering, documenting, and filing our annual income.. If
>>> >> >> > those taxes are gone then just what exactly is there left to
>>> >> >> > comply with and how does that cost any money?
>>>
>>> >> >> There are also state compliance costs associated with state
>>> >> >> income taxes for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals,
>>> >> >> etc..
>>> >> >> Fair tax does nothing about them. In addition you also have
>>> >> >> some
>>> >> >> compliance taxes fo
>>> >> > r
>>> >> >> the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.
>>>
>>> >> > Because that has to do with state taxes. The FairTax is about
>>> >> > federa
>>> > l
>>> >> > taxation.
>>>
>>> >> The point is that those costs are still there. They were
>>> >> somewhat amortized when combined with federal taxation but even
>>> >> if that goes away, the mechanisms of collecting those taxes
>>> >> don't.
>>>
>>> > The costs of production are there. The costs of compliance are no
>>> > longer there.
>>>
>>> They are for state and local reasons. The only thing that went away
>>> was the federal requirement. Some of those are also state costs and
>>> they have to remain in place along with the compliance costs that

>>> they incur. That hasn't changed.
>>>
>>
>> And once again, the fairtax replaces embedded costs of complying with
>> FEDERAL tax laws.
>
> And where exactly does it say it will do exactly that?
>
>
>

That part I agree with that the Fair Tax only addresses federal concerns. However, if that compliance mechanism for federal taxes and costs is also used for state taxes and costs, it and its accompanying costs cannot be removed.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3450. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 23:20:35 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF2A6439F7C7hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231377
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irp6ggfkq1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> <r_c_brown@hushmail.com> wrote in message
> news:78b180fe-3596-4f15-85e1-37c9e5ac3520@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com.
> ..
>> On May 25, 10:29 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/25/2011 8:00 PM, r_c_br...@hushmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>> > ...
>>> > Hence my remark that such a system would require a totalitarian
>>> > state.
>>>
>>> >> --
>>> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>
>>> >> If you woke up this morning....
>>> >> Don't complain.
>>>
>>> Don't forget, we plonk fools here ...
>>
>> Clearly, then, you should go plonk yourself.
>
> 3 people who all said basically the same thing. Seems John should buy
> a clue.

Someone may need to remind him that it is larger than a vowel.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3451. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 23:28:36 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF2A7A00CE1Bhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231378
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com..
> .
>> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >>> wholesale = $50
>>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>>> >>> FairTax = $23
>>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>
>>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>>> >>> that's
>>> > $123.
>>>
>>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>>> >> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
>>> >> $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
>>> >> enough for you.
>>>
>>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
>>> > just going to away?
>>>
>>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will no
>>> longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate numbers and

>>> understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an item's cost, add
>>> stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he puts it back in place.
>>> Either his first 23% is in error or the second one is.
>>>
>>
>> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good and
>> service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will go away
>> as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the FairTax.
>> Guys, this isn't that hard.
>
> Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>
> Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to be
> eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?

And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of the
product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed equal the
new costs put in when the new costs include all that federal tax revenue
that is currently being gathered.

Personal income tax - 45%
Payroll Taxes - 36%
Corporate income tax - 12%
Excise taxes - 3%
Other - 4%

http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr

Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't go
away.

I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to gather all
that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the cost for that
stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is the only way to add
23% tax and come up with the original cost for the product.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3452. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 23:40:55 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF2A9B68C429hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231379
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:ad8de305-eb25-4add-859a-89e188607105@f15g2000yqe.googlegroups.com:

> On May 27, 5:58 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:777618f3-e9f9-4b0b-accf-7d67b6c5a793@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com
>> ...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
>> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> "Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >>news:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>>
>> >> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>> >> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >>> wholesale = $50
>> >> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >>> FairTax = $23
>> >> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> >> >>> that'
> s
>> >> > $123.
>>
>> >> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>> >> >> reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read
>> >> >> minus $23
>)
>> >> >> that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough

>> >> >> fo
> r
>> >> >> you.
>>
>> >> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
>> >> > just going
>> >> > to away?
>>
>> >> That seems to be his, utterly unrealistic, assertion.
>>
>> > Economist that I trust more than you say otherwise. I know who I
>> > put more stock in.
>>
>> Cite them.
>
> fairtax.org. go read under the "research" link.
>

I went. Now which particular papers did you get that 23% of *product cost* from? The only references I find to that 23% tax is for *revenue* neutrality, which is the amount of taxes the federal government gets through all those taxes.....not product cost. The closest cost to 23% I see is the 22% cost for just tax compliances which are the costs of collecting all those taxes including FICA and personal income tax, not the product cost. Some of which will not be going away will not be going away as the Fair Tax has its compliance costs also so that they have to be included in the Fair Tax rate.

Perhaps we are misunderstanding each other. It seems we are addressing two different things instead of being on the same page.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3453. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 23:47:41 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF2AADBFBCC8hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231380
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irpavi$ak0$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ad8de305-eb25-4add-859a-89e188607105@f15g2000yqe.googlegroups.com.
> ..
>> On May 27, 5:58 pm, "Scout"
>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>
>>> news:777618f3-e9f9-4b0b-accf-7d67b6c5a793@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.co
>>> m...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
>>> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>> >> "Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> >> message
>>>
>>> >>news:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>>>
>>> >> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> >> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>>> >> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> >>> wholesale = $50
>>> >> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>>> >> >>> FairTax = $23
>>> >> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>
>>> >> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>>> >> >>> that's
>>> >> > $123.
>>>

>>> >> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>> >> >> reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>>> >> >> (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
>>> >> >> wasn't simple enough for you.
>>>
>>> >> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
>>> >> > just going
>>> >> > to away?
>>>
>>> >> That seems to be his, utterly unrealistic, assertion.
>>>
>>> > Economist that I trust more than you say otherwise. I know who I
>>> > put more stock in.
>>>
>>> Cite them.
>>
>> fairtax.org. go read under the "research" link.
>
> I see links for:
>
> About us
> Contact
> My Account
> Logoout
> About the Fairtax
> News & Commentary
> Grassroots
> Take Action
> Fairtax Caculator - Try it Now
> Facebook signup
> News & Calender links
> Backup - The Basics
> Ways and Means Committee Testimony
> Fairtax Gear
> facebook
> twittter
> Youtube
> Taxavist
> Fairtax Nation!
> Political Support
> Economic Support
> Fairtax Books
> Search national network
> Find local leaders
> Home
>
> Don't see any "research" link
>
> So, next time you post a cite, I expect a workable link that take me
> directly to the information you claim exists because clearly your

> claims about what I will find and where is incomplete, inadequate,
> and/or non-existent.
>
> Nor should I have to hunt around looking for your data. Your link
> should take me directly to it.
>
>
>

I found the papers by clicking on "About the Fair Tax". That takes you to a page where research is listed on the left hand side. Clicking on "Research Papers" takes you to a page where the there are headings listed. Click on the heading marked, "Taxes and Tax Reform". That will give you another page where the papers are listed by subject.

Yews, he should have given you a link to get there. Since he didn't, I will. Here it is:

http://tinyurl.com/3nr4da6

That should be the link he should have given you. Then he should have stated which of those papers he got his data from.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3454. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 23:51:23 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF2AB7C81F2Bhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231381
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irp836pkd1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:135296b0-c10e-40b6-bb7a-29e0f6995f2d@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com..
> .
>> On May 27, 3:02 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> innews:a55df435-ec3a-4cbd-96bd-a6a7c5e8166a@v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.
>>> com:
>>>
>>> > On May 27, 12:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> > wrote:
>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> >> innews:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@
>>> > l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> Let's get rid of a lot crap and get down to the chase and the point
>>> I am trying to make.
>>>
>>> You keep stating that there will be 23% of the product cost removed
>>> when Fair Tax is implemented, therefore the 23% of the Fair Tax will
>>> simply bring the product back to the same price. You say that
>>> research has shown that.
>>>
>>> Now, let's look at the FAQ. It says that the Fair Tax will be
>>> *revenue* neutral. It does NOT say it will be *cost* neutral. That
>>> is YOUR claim, not theirs. Revenue neutral means that the amount of
>>> tax revenue produced by the Fair Tax will be the same as current
>>> practice provide. Cost neutral would indicate that cost of the
>>> product to the public would not change. A statement that they do
>>> not make.
>>>
>>> Here is what the FAIR Tax FAQ says:
>>>
>>> "Does the FairTax rate need to be much higher to be revenue neutral?
>>>
>>> The proper tax rate has been carefully worked out; 23 percent does
>>> the job of: (1) raising the same amount of federal funds as are
>>> raised by the current system, (2) paying the universal rebate, and
>>> (3) paying the collection fees to retailers and state governments.

>>> Unlike some other proposals, this rate has been independently
>>> confirmed by several different, nonpartisan institutions across the
>>> country. Detailed calculations are available from FairTax.org. "
>>>
>>> Note that it says, "..revenue neutral."
>>>
>>> Let's try another spot:
>>>
>>> "How does the FairTax affect wages and prices?
>>>
>>> Americans who produce goods and earn wages must pay significant tax
>>> and compliance costs under the current federal income tax. These
>>> taxes and costs both reduce after-tax wages and profits and are then
>>> passed on to the consumers of those goods and services in the form
>>> of price increases. When the FairTax removes income, capital gains,
>>> payroll, and estate and gift taxes, the pre-FairTax prices of these
>>> goods and services will fall. The removal of these hidden taxes may
>>> also allow wages to rise. Exactly how much prices will fall and
>>> wages will rise depends on market forces. For example, in a
>>> profession with many jobs and too few to fill them, wages will
>>> likely increase more than in fields where there are too many
>>> employees and not enough jobs."
>>>
>>> Note again that it does not name a percentage for any cost
>>> reduction. It says it will vary depending on market forces.
>>>
>>> Here is another spot:
>>>
>>> "
>>> Since the FairTax plan is *revenue neutral*, the same amount of
>>> resources is extracted from the economy as is extracted under
>>> current law. These funds are, however, extracted in a less
>>> economically damaging way. Every known economic projection shows the
>>> economy doing better, often much better, under the FairTax.
>>> Because the economy grows, is more efficient and more productive,
>>> that means investment, wages, and consumption are higher than they
>>> are under the income tax."
>>>
>>> Again, it says "revenue neutral" not cost neutral. The research that
>>> they claim the 23% number on is for revenue neutrality. That number
>>> has nothing to do with product prices or costs.
>>>
>>
>> Yes it does. The 23% is the embedded compliance "costs".
>
> Cite where they specifically state that.
>
>
>

That is the same thing I have been asking him for. I know how the 23% figure for the Fair Tax got calculated, I just want to know where that 23% figure he claims is in the cost of all products is worked out. Where did THAT number come from. Methinks he is misreading something..

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3455. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-27 23:53:47 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF2ABE507158hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231382
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irp954vmn1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF281D7E4265Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:ef9eff13-5301-4e82-b5c0-66f67997c9d6
>> @v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> On May 27, 10:09 am, "SaPeIsMa" <SapeI...@Hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>
>>>> news:c0d226e9-3004-49e2-9639-
>> 3e69e3cb45ec@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
>>>> On May 26, 8:03 pm, "Scout"
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>
>>>> >news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> 93cd47cf929f@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>> > > On May 26, 1:05 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> wrote:
>>>> > >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>> > >> innews:6b95e91a-138f-49b0-a7bd-e8e44a57e311
>> @e35g2000yqc.googlegroups
>>> .com:
>>>>
>>>> > >> > On May 25, 5:42 pm, RD Sandman
>>>> > >> > <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> wro
>>> te:
>>>> > >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>>> > >> >> innews:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@

>>>> > >> > y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> > >> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving
>>>> > >> >> >> > its revenue from the income tax.
>>>>
>>>> > >> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>>>
>>>> > >> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it
>>>> > >> >> > only
>> whe
>>> n
>>>> > >> >> > the
>>>> > >> > y
>>>> > >> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the
>> governm
>>> ent
>>>> > >> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>>>>
>>>> > >> >> It looks like they are trying to mix sales tax with the old
>> luxur
>>> y
>>>> > >> >> tax.
>>>>
>>>> > >> > The FairTax is effectively a replacement of the compliance
>>>> > >> > costs
>> t
>>> hat
>>>> > >> > are already built in to every product and service you buy.
>>>>
>>>> > >> Not quite since those compliance costs are not the same
>>>> > >> revenue
>> sour
>>> ce
>>>> > >> as
>>>> > >> the income tax. For your Fair Tax to work, that revenue source
>>>> > >> from income needs to be added.....so it isn't simply the
>>>> > >> 'before' costs added
>>>> > >> to the price of purchase.
>>>>
>>>> > > No it doesn't need to be added. It's already part of what you
>>>> > > are paying anyway. Here's a very simplified example:
>>>>
>>>> > > Product costs $100, broken down as follows:
>>>>
>>>> > > Under current system
>>>> > > - wholesale = $50
>>>> > > - compliance costs = $23
>>>> > > - sales and other taxes = $27
>>>> > > - Grand total = $100
>>>>

>>>> > > Under the FairTax
>>>> > > - wholesale = $50
>>>> > > - compliance costs = - $23
>>>> > > - FairTax = $23
>>>> > > - sales and other taxes = $27
>>>> > > - Grand total = $100
>>>>
>>>> > Sorry, but how can you totally eliminate compliance costs, since
>>>> > there would
>>>> > still be costs to complying with the FairTax as well as the sales
>>>> > and other
>>>> > taxes.
>>>>
>>>> > As such simply saying it's not going to cost anything to comply
>>>> > with
>> th
>>> e
>>>> > tax
>>>> > laws is an utterly false assumption.
>>>>
>>>> > Indeed since NOTHING else has changed the compliance costs would,
>>>> > at minimum, stay the same, and given that the need to comply with
>>>> > the
>> Fair
>>> Tax
>>>> > would require some expense, the compliance cost would likely
>>>> > increase.
>>>>
>>>> > So in reality, what would happen would be more like:
>>>>
>>>> > Under the FairTax
>>>> > - wholesale = $50
>>>> > - compliance costs = $26
>>>> > - FairTax = $23
>>>> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>>>> > - Grand total = $126
>>>>
>>>> #
>>>> # Let me ask you a real simple question. What cost do you incur to
>>>> pay # a sales tax at the point of purchase?
>>>>
>>>> The buyer ?
>>>> The buyer is paying for the cost which is built into the sale
>>>> pri
>>> ce
>>>
>>> There's no compliance cost to him with paying the sales tax. They
>>> just pay it. Unlike the fed income tax compliance means CPAs,
>>> TurboTax, manuals, etc.
>>>

>>>> The seller ?
>>>> The seller has the cost of managing and reporting the taxes
>>>> that
>>> were
>>>
>>> Oh, you mean like they already do with state sales taxes? By the
>>> way, merchants and sellers are reimbursed for those costs. But, you
>>> knew that already, didn't you?
>>
>> Which is never enough.
>
> Even if so, doesn't alter anything. That they pay the collection costs
> via the tax collected doesn't alter ANYTHING.
>
> Since that cost is simply buried in the tax in the form of a higher
> tax rate.
>
> Effective tax rate - 20%
> compliance costs for the retailer - 3%
> Fairtax = 23%
>
> or we can not pay the retailed for his costs
>
> Tax rate - 20%
> Retailers compliance cost - 3%
> Tax and costs passed on to the consumer - 23%
>
> Either way, the government collects the same revenue, and the costs of
> compliance are still passed on to the consumer.
> The ONLY difference is where that cost is added in to the final price.
>
> Indeed, I would tend to think the government model (first) would incur
> a higher final cost since there would be yet more forms to fillout &
> process, more people to handle those forms and more transfers of money
> back and forth. All of which means MORE expense, not less.
>
>

Don't forget that Fair tax also includes your FICA taxes, personal income
taxes, etc...

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3456. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 00:16:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DE03EE1-3702@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231383

Goodbye, New York State residents are rushing for the exits
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=308249
cuhulin

3457. Got a VIP tour and a free reel-to-reel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 00:40:01 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de04401$0$5207$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231384

KCLU-FM is a first class radio operation. They just moved into new studios. They are very nice people.

3458. Re: New GE Reveal 'Hybrid' CFL Bulbs in Traditional A19 'Glass' Shape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 00:40:57 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de04439$0$5207$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231385

On 05/27/2011 04:08 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 27, 3:27 pm, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>
>> Is the outer shell glass, or something more durable, like a polymer?
>> With glass, if the inside gets broken, there's a pretty good chance
>> the outside cover would also be broken by the same trauma.
>
> It is Glass -but- not 'thin' Light Bulb Glass
> It is Thicker and More Durable like the Glass
> on a Halogen Bulb
> .

Halogens have quartz envelopes don't they?

3459. HOT SEXY MASALA VIDEOS AND LOVELY PHOTOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 01:18:15 UTC
From: LPWS <3ghfhfffgg@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9c2728e5-ccb9-4fb4-a51e-3fa7310af286@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231386

HOT VIDEOS

massage hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/massage-hot-videos.html
romantic videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/romantic-videos.html
hollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot-kiss.html
hollywood hot
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/hollywood-hot.html
bollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-kiss.html
bollywood hot videos
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/bollywood-hot-videos.html
tollywood hot kiss
http://hotvideosonlyx.blogspot.com/2010/09/tollywood-hot-kiss.html

ALL INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

java interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/java-interview-questions.html
oracle interview questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/oracle-interview-questions.html
hardware interview questions 4
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/hardware-interview-questions.html
SQL Server Interview Questions
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/sql-server-interview-questions.html
dotnet questions 3
http://allinterviewsquestions.blogspot.com/2009/02/dotnet-questions-3.html

3460. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 02:29:29 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irpmmn$5mb$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231387
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF2A4CD3419Ahopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
> news:irp99stl1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>>
>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EF262C786E1Fhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>> news:irmqp6apd1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>>> news:Xns9EF1678135203hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>> news:irk4f8ks1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:Xns9EF0986C7911Dhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>>>>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> news:Xns9EF0AAF5845E6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>>>>>>> news:Xns9EF07F01AC71Ehopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> news:irh7td$j4r$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> "John Smith" <bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>>> news:irh27j$4pp$1@dont-email.me...
>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 12:05 PM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgufi$l7$7@dont- email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 11:36 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgsdu$b0g$2@dont- email.me:

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 10:24 AM, RD Sandman wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> news:irgl42$5ho$3@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 9:02 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> innuendo
>>>>>>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> keep attempting to push?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> paying 42% of all of governments costs, and sure
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> looks like the top 19% are not paying half of
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> governments costs, until that happens they are NOT
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> paying their fair share ... a flat tax can fix that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I advocate
>>>>>>>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the FairTax.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Let me put this more bluntly. If I buy and item and
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> pay 7% sales
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> tax,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the top one percent should buy an item and pay a 42.7%
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> sales tax,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> way they will be contributing their fair share to run
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> government
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://sociology.ucsc.edu/whorulesamerica/power/wealth.h
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> tm l
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> And how do you know that at the time of purchase?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> You set up a system which handles it ... where they pay
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> their fair
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> share
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> of the cost of government.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> IOW, when buying a pack of gum at a Stop-N-Rob, you have
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> to go through

>>>>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>>>>> check on your income so they know how much tax to charge?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> C'mon, even you can't be that stupid.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> The flat tax, the flat tax, I thought you would be able to
>>>>>>>>>>>>> catch on ... I was wrong.
>>>>>>>>>>>> A flat tax is on income. It replaces the current method of
>>>>>>>>>>>> calculating income tax by applying the same tax rate to all
>>>>>>>>>>>> income not just wages and salaries. I gave an example of it
>>>>>>>>>>>> here in this thread. Did you take the time to read it? It
>>>>>>>>>>>> is really quite simply and quite short so you should have no
>>>>>>>>>>>> problem understanding it. ;)
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> What you proposed above is a sales tax and it sure as hell
>>>>>>>>>>>> isn't flat. A flat sales tax would be the same percentage
>>>>>>>>>>>> on whatever was purchased and no matter who purchased it.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> You need to learn a bit more before you venture out into the
>>>>>>>>>>>> real world.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Everyone paying their fair share, this is how the discussion
>>>>>>>>>>>> began, or, basically, everyone being equally taxed.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Let's see person A buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes. Person
>>>>>>>>>>> B buys product Z and pays 7% in taxes
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> What's more fair than that?
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Same product, same taxes paid.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Fair.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Or a person earns $50K and is taxed 15% on amount over federal
>>>>>>>>>> poverty level. Another person earns $500K and is taxed 15% on
>>>>>>>>>> amount over federal poverty level. Same percentage on taxable
>>>>>>>>>> income paid. Fair.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> The big problem with sales taxes is what is taxed. How about
>>>>>>>>>> food or necessities? Food stamps? Now you begin to list
>>>>>>>>>> exemptions....and the list goes on......Thanks, Sonny and
>>>>>>>>>> Cher......
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The real problem is...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> First you have to decide how much the government needs to

>>>>>>>> funtion.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> That is true under any taxing scheme.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> To do that you have to decide what the government should be
>>>>>>>> doing.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Same here and that is most of the discussion and difference
>>>>>>> between liberals and conservatives.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I think rather than discussing camoflaging how the feds fleece
>>>>>>>> the taxpayer those questions really need to be answered.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Yep, but, good luck. Those discussions have been going on for
>>>>>>> two hundred years. ;)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I am of the opinion that taxes overall hurt the economy by
>>>>>>>> taking people's hard earned money. I don't care if you are the
>>>>>>>> bus boy or the owner of the chain. You earened it, it's yours.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> However, one does get things from having a government.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Overall if the bite is reasonably low than whatever negative
>>>>>>>> effects it has are mitigated. But the only really effective way
>>>>>>>> to increase government revenues is to have a going, expanding
>>>>>>>> economy. That way whatever "protection" money the government
>>>>>>>> extorts from the people can increase without increasing the
>>>>>>>> percentage that it takes.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> True.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Of course that would require a complete ovrehaul of most federal
>>>>>>>> policies and the expulsion of Marxists and enviromentalists.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> One would have to stop viewing tax policy as a method of molding
>>>>>>>> people's behavior and relegate to the neccessary evil it is.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Frankly I have yet to hear anyone explain to me how we can tax
>>>>>>>> out way out of the current crisis wherein the debt equals the
>>>>>>>> GDP and is likely to double in 8 years. There is simply no
>>>>>>>> possible way to do it without removing so much wealth from the
>>>>>>>> private sector as to thorougly tank the economy, which will in
>>>>>>>> turn make the problem immeasurably worse.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> To get out of this will require BOTH taxes and spending cuts.
>>>>>>> Doing just one or the other won't do it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Agreed, but until I see some serious spending cuts and a clear,
>>>>>> firm (and preferably Constitutionally mandated) path to control
>>>>>> spending and reduce the debt, I would be most reluctant to accept

>>>>>> the need for any increase in taxation.
>>>>>
>>>>> As would I.
>>>>>
>>>>>> We've been promised spending cuts before in exchange for a tax
>>>>>> hike. We got the hike....we didn't get the cuts.
>>>>>
>>>>> That's why we have elections.
>>>>
>>>> Which, even at best, is closing the barn door after the horse has
>>>> run off.
>>>
>>> That's true but it is often rather difficult to know how someone will
>>> vote.....see Souter.
>>
>> True, which is why I feel some of that authority should be withdrawn
>> from Congress and put back into our hands.
>>
>>
>
> You mean other than November.

Yes.

3461. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 02:32:40 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irpmsm$6i3$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231388
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF2AADBFBCC8hopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
> news:irpavi$ak0$1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>>
>> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:ad8de305-eb25-4add-859a-89e188607105@f15g2000yqe.googlegroups.com.
>> ..
>>> On May 27, 5:58 pm, "Scout"
>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>
>>>> news:777618f3-e9f9-4b0b-accf-7d67b6c5a793@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.co
>>>> m...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
>>>> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>> >> "Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>> >> message
>>>>
>>>> >>news:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>>>>
>>>> >> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> >> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>>>> >> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> >> >>> wholesale = $50
>>>> >> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>>>> >> >>> FairTax = $23
>>>> >> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>>
>>>> >> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>>>> >> >>> that's

>>>> >> > $123.
>>>>
>>>> >> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>>> >> >> reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>>>> >> >> (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
>>>> >> >> wasn't simple enough for you.
>>>>
>>>> >> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
>>>> >> > just going
>>>> >> > to away?
>>>>
>>>> >> That seems to be his, utterly unrealistic, assertion.
>>>>
>>>> > Economist that I trust more than you say otherwise. I know who I
>>>> > put more stock in.
>>>>
>>>> Cite them.
>>>
>>> fairtax.org. go read under the "research" link.
>>
>> I see links for:
>>
>> About us
>> Contact
>> My Account
>> Logoout
>> About the Fairtax
>> News & Commentary
>> Grassroots
>> Take Action
>> Fairtax Caculator - Try it Now
>> Facebook signup
>> News & Calender links
>> Backup - The Basics
>> Ways and Means Committee Testimony
>> Fairtax Gear
>> facebook
>> twittter
>> Youtube
>> Taxavist
>> Fairtax Nation!
>> Political Support
>> Economic Support
>> Fairtax Books
>> Search national network
>> Find local leaders
>> Home
>>
>> Don't see any "research" link
>>

>> So, next time you post a cite, I expect a workable link that take me
>> directly to the information you claim exists because clearly your
>> claims about what I will find and where is incomplete, inadequate,
>> and/or non-existent.
>>
>> Nor should I have to hunt around looking for your data. Your link
>> should take me directly to it.
>>
>>
>>
>
> I found the papers by clicking on "About the Fair Tax". That takes you to
> a page where research is listed on the left hand side. Clicking on
> "Research Papers" takes you to a page where the there are headings
> listed. Click on the heading marked, "Taxes and Tax Reform". That will
> give you another page where the papers are listed by subject.
>
> Yews, he should have given you a link to get there. Since he didn't, I
> will. Here it is:
>
> http://tinyurl.com/3nr4da6
>
> That should be the link he should have given you. Then he should have
> stated which of those papers he got his data from.

Yep, and just because you pull some bit or piece someone said elsewhere doesn't mean they agree and/or support your claims in the product you put together using that bit or piece.

Nor am I going to dig around trying to find out whether this is the case or not, it's up to him to show me that what he claims is true. It's not my job to figure out if it is.

3462. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 02:34:53 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7a1e7a53-0cd7-499d-baf6-8667ef73247e@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231389
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

On May 27, 3:31 am, Government Shill #2 <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Thu, 26 May 2011 23:41:13 -0700 (PDT), joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >Things are about to change for the worse for all the people that are
> >not part of the Merovingin Bloodline.The New World Order will clamp
> >down on us all and kill off the opressors!
>
> Illiterates of the world UNTIE!
>
> Shill #2
> --
> "Me fail English? That's unpossible!"
> Ralph Wiggum

Shit #2 tell us how Tesla argued with Einstiens ELECTRON saying it was
a political ploy to discourage the study of the Ether.Which is the
electromotive force/electro magnetic field that surronds every atom.

3463. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 02:51:26 UTC
From: Government Shill #2 <gov.shill@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qjo0u6t0b15ptlsbbfr71etfq18m467bq8@4ax.com>
Source record: 231390
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

On Fri, 27 May 2011 19:34:53 -0700 (PDT), joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Shit #2 tell us how Tesla argued with Einstiens ELECTRON saying it was
>a political ploy to discourage the study of the Ether.Which is the
>electromotive force/electro magnetic field that surronds every atom.

surronds?

Illiterates of the world UNTIE!

I'm sorry, I can't understand what you wrote, let alone tell you something about
"Einstiens ELECTRON".

Please write in English. I don't read Babbleloonian.

Shill #2
--
Pfft...English! Who needs that? I'm never going to England.
Homer J. Simpson

3464. Re: New GE Reveal 'Hybrid' CFL Bulbs in Traditional A19 'Glass' Shape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 04:35:21 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d0e2018b-232a-4c7f-8248-2b69eec3a9eb@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231391

On May 27, 5:40 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/27/2011 04:08 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> > On May 27, 3:27 pm, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >> Is the outer shell glass, or something more durable, like a polymer?
> >> With glass, if the inside gets broken, there's a pretty good chance
> >> the outside cover would also be broken by the same trauma.
>
> > It is Glass -but- not 'thin' Light Bulb Glass
> > It is Thicker and More Durable like the Glass
> > on a Halogen Bulb
> > .
>
> Halogens have quartz envelopes don't they?

Dave read the Links.
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ccc90a344a0ff615

The 'process' seems to be that the Start-Phase
is a small Halogen Igniter placed in the center
of the larger CFL Spiral Tube [Empty Hollow] :
After the Instant-On Warm-Up the Second-Phase
CFL takes over for long-term Low Power Light
but Quality Light Output.

Most CFLs 'Fail' due to their Electronics :
Not the CFL Tube itself : This may be a way
to reduce these Failures and Improve the
over-all Service Life of CFLs.

interesting dual 'hybrid' technology ~ RHF
.
.
Globe 2-in-1 CFL Bulb and LED Night Light
Have a couple of these in-use
CFL when you need it 'Bright' and
LED Night-Lite when at night
http://www.lowes.com/pd_161953-53058-0061601_0__?
1-Each : Works Great in a Dark Hall/Passage
in the middle of the House
2-Each : Works great on an Up-Stairs Landing
at the Top of the Stairs

again... interesting dual 'hybrid' technology ~ RHF
.

.

3465. Re: New GE Reveal 'Hybrid' CFL Bulbs in Traditional A19 'Glass' Shape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 05:04:16 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irpvofbdf1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231392

On 5/27/2011 3:27 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 27, 3:21 pm, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>> On May 27, 2:48 pm, RHF<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 27, 2:20 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> On 5/27/2011 1:55 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>>
>>>>> ...
>>>>> That's OK... the shill isn't talking about fluorescent ballasts, they're
>>>>> talking about HID ballasts (mercury vapor, high pressure sodium, metal
>>>>> halide). Digital technology could be used for these by using "steppers"
>>>>> to ramp the voltage up and down based upon current detection.
>>
>>>> While you are technically correct, about a LED being mentioned in the
>>>> original post, the difference between sodium/mercury-vapor and
>>>> fluorescent BALLAST is minimal, to an engineer.
>>
>>>> Voltage step-up/step-down, or even wave shape has nothing to do with
>>>> "digital."
>>
>>>> While not absolutely necessary, a digital wave shape will be of a square
>>>> wave, however ramp and even sine can be handled with processing. And, a
>>>> simple flip-flop circuit can generate a square wave, process this though
>>>> a current regulator, then a voltage regulator and you, basically, have
>>>> your "digital ballast", but it has nothing to do with anything truly
>>>> "digital."
>>
>>>> The name "digital" is more of a marketing scam than anything else it
>>>> its' use in describing the ballast, kinda like "solid state" (a pencil
>>>> is solid state!), "state of the art" ("new" is simply relative),
>>>> "space-age" (products developed after successful space flight), etc.
>>
>>>> 1) of or relating to the fingers or toes<digital dexterity>
>>
>>>> 2) done with a finger<a digital rectal examination>
>>
>>>> 3) of, relating to, or using calculation by numerical methods or by
>>>> discrete units
>>

>>>> 4) of, relating to, or being data in the form of especially binary
>>>> digits<digital images> <a digital readout>; especially : of, relating
>>>> to, or employing digital communications signals<a digital broadcast>
>>>> compare analog 2
>>
>>>> 5) providing a readout in numerical digits<a digital voltmeter>
>>
>>>> 6) relating to an audio recording method in which sound waves are
>>>> represented digitally (as on magnetic tape) so that in the recording wow
>>>> and flutter are eliminated and background noise is reduced
>>
>>>> 7) electronic<digital devices>; also : characterized by electronic and
>>>> especially computerized technology<the digital age>
>>
>>>> ... by Merriam-Webster.
>>
>>> - And, my hand is digital!!! ROFLOL
>>
>>> To Five Place Accuracy ;;-}} ~ RHF
>>> .
>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>
>> Saw some 'new' GE Reveal CFL Light Bulbs
>> at Lowes with an Outer Glass Cover
>> -maybe-
>> The Best of Both Worlds :o) ~
RHFhttp://www.elightbulbs.com/lighting-blog/GE-Reveals-New-Reveal-Compac...
>>
>> New GE Reveal 'Hybrid' CFL Bulbs
>> in Traditional Glass A19
Shapehttp://www.thelightingresource.net/ge-introduces-reveal-cfl-bulb-offe...
>>
>> Should you break the 'inner' CFL Tube
>> the 'Outer' Glass Envelop saves on the
>> Clean-Up Problems -great-idea-!-
>> .
>> -better-yet-http://pressroom.geconsumerproducts.com/pr/ge/artwork/9/9/6/7/3/19967...
>> Three Bulbs in One: GEï¿½s Hybrid Halogen-CFL
>> with Incandescent Shape Arrives in April
2011http://pressroom.geconsumerproducts.com/pr/ge/three-bulbs-in-one-ge-s...
>> -and-http://www.genewscenter.com/Press-Releases/Three-Bulbs-in-One-GE-s-Hy...
>> -question-
>> GE Hybrid CFL vs. ELS LED Lamp- Who's
Better?http://www.elsledtech.com/admarketing/april2011newsletter.html
>> .
>> ~ RHF
>> .
>> .- Hide quoted text -

>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> Is the outer shell glass, or something more durable, like a polymer?
> With glass, if the inside gets broken, there's a pretty good chance
> the outside cover would also be broken by the same trauma.

I run UV sterilizers on all my aquariums, to kill organisms in the water, they have quartz sleeves to keep the water from the UV lamp (quartz has very high transmission efficiency to UV rays), inside the housing.

Trust me, while the quartz does seem tougher than the glass bulb, they break, in my opinion, too easily -- I have broken two over 5 years ...

Decades ago, in high school, I remember a physics instructor with a special type of pyrex glass tube, he drove an eight penny nail into a board with it -- it was a hollow tube and quite shocking to watch ... the tube did not even chip.

I have often wondered why I have not seen this glass, more, in different uses -- the only other time I have seen this glass was when working for lawrence livermore labs -- glass viewing ports, where explosive experiments were carried on behind them.

During the 1970s the coors beer bottles used to be able to withstand a surprising amount of abuse without breaking, I don't know if they still possess that quality or not ... often, when tossed out of a moving car they would just bounce, skip and slide along a highway without shattering/breaking.

Regards,
JS

3466. Re: Trump/Corsi vs. the fraudulent obama birth certificate -- dynamic duo fights for justice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 05:09:12 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irq01nbdf2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/27/2011 4:16 PM, Bob S wrote:

>> ...
>> Pisses me off? Whoosh isn't even close to the right term for what is
>> happening over your little pointed head.
>>
>> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAH! You're so idiotic, you don't even know when you're
>> being laughed at and made fun of!
>>
>
> Can you imagine living with him? His wife and kid (s) must really be
> fucked up.
>

I don't know, his text sounds as if he takes himself awfully seriously.

I think he expects others to do so, strange ... good thing he seems
oblivious to the truth ...

Regards,
JS

3467. Re: New GE Reveal 'Hybrid' CFL Bulbs in Traditional A19 'Glass' Shape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 05:40:41 UTC
From: Rob <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <irq1sq06ar@news5.newsguy.com>
Source record: 231394

On Fri, 27 May 2011 15:21:57 -0700 (PDT), RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

> Saw some 'new' GE Reveal CFL Light Bulbs
> at Lowes with an Outer Glass Cover
> -maybe-
> The Best of Both Worlds :o) ~ RHF
> http://www.elightbulbs.com/lighting-blog/GE-Reveals-New-Reveal-Compact-Fluorescent-Light
-Bulb

Our landlord had CFL bulbs installed a year ago in all apartments. They
lasted about 6 months before they dimmed too much or just stopped working.
CFL is a waste of consumer money.

3468. Radio Mauritanie right now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 05:58:13 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <75de4e9a-0d84-469a-9571-d9481220770a@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231395

Good news! MAURITANIA, Radio Mauritanie, 7245 kHz, near start at 0555 UTC, 28 May 2011, excellent signal 45454, Q'uran reading by male in Arabic (Jensen, CA)

3469. Re: Radio Mauritanie right now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 06:26:24 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <f764fb6b-f515-400f-883e-6669b8fc507d@e26g2000vbz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231396

On May 28, 1:58 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Good news! MAURITANIA, Radio Mauritanie, 7245 kHz, near start at 0555
> UTC, 28 May 2011, excellent signal 45454, Q'uran reading by male in
> Arabic (Jensen, CA)

Very weak signal over here at 0630 UTC . Some kind of prayer or Holy
Book reading . Definitily Arabic language .

3470. Re: New GE Reveal 'Hybrid' CFL Bulbs in Traditional A19 'Glass' Shape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 07:55:00 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <41168d3b-5c25-4756-80f2-f19a432d6457@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231397

On May 27, 10:40 pm, Rob <m...@privacy.net> wrote:
> On Fri, 27 May 2011 15:21:57 -0700 (PDT), RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> > Saw some 'new' GE Reveal CFL Light Bulbs
> > at Lowes with an Outer Glass Cover
> > -maybe-
> > The Best of Both Worlds :o) ~ RHF
> >http://www.elightbulbs.com/lighting-blog/GE-Reveals-New-Reveal-Compac...
>
- Our landlord had CFL bulbs installed a
- year ago in all apartments. They lasted
- about 6 months before they dimmed too
- much or just stopped working.
- CFL is a waste of consumer money.

Rob : Time Is Money {Labor Costs}

Six Months of 24/7 Operation is 4000+ Hours
The Average old 100W Incandescent Light Bulb
last ~1000 Hours -do-the-math-

One Trip Up-the-Ladder 1-Hours Labor
-or- Four Trips Up-the-Ladder 4-Hours Labor
To change all the Light Bulbs in an Apartment
every 6-Months. -count-the-labor-savings-

CFLs are 99 Cents at the local Market : 60W
& 75W & 100W CFL Bulbs for a 'Buck' :o)

The local Dollar-Tree Store has 3-Way 30-70-100
Watt old Incandescent Light Bulbs for $1 a 'Buck'.

The Kitchen's Mushroom* CFLs are 'On' 12-Hours
per Day and Last about a Year. There are 18
CFL's in 2-Arrays of 9-Each {2-Switched Circuits}
The Arrays are at 10-Feet and require a 9-Foot
Step-Ladder to get at them. It's 'nice' to have
to do that once-a-year.

* 30W Sun-Light 'Mushroom' CFL Bulb with 135W
Light Output
http://www.lightsofamerica.com/Products/2830S.aspx
=equals= 1/3 the Power Used and 1/3 more Light
Output -that's- Win! Win! :o) ~ RHF
.

.

3471. Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV] on 17,705 kHz @ 2000 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 08:36:48 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <8cacfaa2-b1ee-470b-bb41-188062eb53d7@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231398

Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV] on 17,705 kHz @ 2000 UTC
-via- Cuba on 27 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S1~S5 with SIO 434
with fair to good audio

Program in Spanish with Canal Internacional
and Radio Nacional de Venezuela IDs @ 2010,
2021, & 2022 UTC
.
Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV]
http://www.rnv.gob.ve/
.
Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV] "English Portal"
http://www.rnv.gov.ve/noticias/index.php?act=SF&f=31
.
RNV Canal Internacional Horas y Frecuencias
http://www.rnv.gob.ve/noticias/index.php?pg=internacional
-rnv-onda-corta-map-
http://www.rnv.gov.ve/noticias/uploads/ondacorta1.jpg
RNV on 17,705 kHz is beamed to Rio de Janeiro, BR
-which-is-the direct-opposite-to-me-
.
The Short-Wave.Info -website- Lists for
Radio Nacional de Venezuela[RNV]
Frequencies and Times
http://www.short-wave.info/index.php?station=R.Nacional+de+Venezuela
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
17705 kHz @ 2000-2100 UTC
15,250 @ 2300-2400 {English & Spanish}
VEN : R. Nacional Venezuela : S : NAm : /CUB
-via- Cuba -in- Spanish -to- North American
-note- If you receive RHC from Cuba good :
Then you will hear RNV good from Cuba too.
.
Prior "RNV" Shortwave Radio Reception Reports :
* Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2348 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/6ba8d6e5b5ee22be
* Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 11,670 kHz @ 2210 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/1bf708dfa4344ee2
* Radio Nacional de Venezuela on 15,250 kHz @ 2321 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/67e0848d4ee40ead
.

yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3472. CVC 'La Voz' on 17,680 kHz @ 2025 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 08:53:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <a3232008-851d-41a3-9ec4-ebfe17f60c39@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231399

CVC 'La Voz' on 17,680 kHz @ 2025 UTC
on 27 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S1~S5 and SIO 535 and good audio
with some deep fades

In Spanish with Music {English} and Chile
and CVC 'La Voz' IDs
.
CVC 'La Voz' Español {Spanish}
CVC Chile - Onda Corta {Shortwave}
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/
-english-web-page-
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/english/ing.html
.
Onda Corta [Shortwave] de Calera de Tango, Chile, SA
Transmisiones de CVC 'La Voz' Español
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/horario.html
"A11" Horario y Frecuencias
-english-web-page-
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/english/schedule.html
.
CVC 'La Voz'[Chile]
Shortwave Radio Transmitters and Antennas
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/infotecnico.html
-english-web-page-
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/english/techinfo.html
.
Contacto/Contact : CVC 'La Voz'[Chile]
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/contacto.html
-english-web-page-
http://www.cvclavoz.cl/english/contact.html
.
HELLO -shout-out-to- CVC 'La Voz'[Chile]
What is lacking in your [http://www.cvclavoz.cl/]
Website is a Radio Program Schedule {Times} webpage
-and/or- a basic Program List and Links-To webpage.
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
17680 kHz @ 1200 - 2300 UTC
CHL : CVC Voz Cristiana : S : SAm
-from- Chile -in- Spanish -to- South America
.
Prior "RCVC" Shortwave Radio Reception Reports :

* 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 2135 UTC
?Radio Olimpio?
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/5349d826624767ea
* CVC "La Voz" [Chile] on 17,680 kHz > 11,665 kHz
@ 1200~0200 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/851a6046d501cd2b
* 17,680 kHz 'La Voz Cristiana' [CVC] @ 20:20 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/1dc5e3ebc898a962
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3473. Radio Vaticana on 7305 kHz @ 0330 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 09:47:27 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d8d81d03-5b41-4024-9bac-8d916c70abbc@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231400

Radio Vaticana on 7305 kHz @ 0330 UTC
on 28 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : Solid S9 with SIO 545 and Good Audio

In Spanish with several Canal Internacional IDs
with Radio Vaticana ID -via- Sackville, NB -Canada-
-so- Figure that it is 'beamed' down South-West
across the USA into Mexico.
.
Radio Vaticana -main-portal-
http://www.radiovaticana.org/index.html
.
Radio Vaticana -english-we-pages-
http://www.radiovaticana.org/en1/index.asp
.
Radio Vaticana to the Americas "Schedules"
http://www.radiovaticana.org/en1/sched_ame.asp
-also- BCL News .IT Radio for Radio Vaticana
http://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/vaticano.htm
-and- Short-Wave .Info for Radio Vaticana
http://www.short-wave.info/index.php?station=Vatican+Radio
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
7305 kHz @ 0320 - 0400 UTC
CVA : Radio Vaticana : S : NAm : /CAN
-via- Canada* -in- Spanish to North America
* Sackville, NB -Canada-
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3474. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 10:00:11 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231401
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>
> > ...
> > What is wrong with this country?
>
> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>
> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>
> -- or --
>
> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government criminal
> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain absolute
> police state control over all American citizens.
>
> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang either the
> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>
> Regards,
> JS

JS -please- This is not simply the
"Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"

-it's- Democrats Reveal New & Improved Plan :
More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
-the-'more'-'more'-plan-
* Democrats Promise You More New & Improved
Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
* Democrats Promise You More New & Improved
Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}

-remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
Tax You More & Spend More of Your Tax Money
-we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-

and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
-vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
.
.

3475. WTWW on 5755 kHz @ 0800 UTC "Science Hour" -host-by- James McCanney

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 10:52:24 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <f132169f-f339-420d-9087-4512792de501@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231402

WTWW on 5755 kHz @ 0800 UTC "Science Hour"
-host- James McCanney on 28 Mayo 2011
-also- heard part of it @ 0340 UTC

S-Meter : S9 ~ +10dB with SIO 555
and Very Good Audio

McCanney was talking about a wide range of
Topics ?rambling? tonight : Bad {Summer}
Crop Year ahead; Non-Solar System Planets
Radiation from Japan; Weather Statistics
.
"The James McCanney Science Hour
-science- At the Crossroads"
http://www.jmccanneyscience.com/
.
'Science Hour' Weekly Radio Show Archives
http://www.jmccanneyscience.com/WeeklyRadioShowArchivesSubPage.HTM
.
The "Science Hour" -host-by- James McCanney
can be heard via :
* WWCR @ 2300 UTC ~ 7E/6/C/5M/4P:DT[PM]
each Thursday Night on 9350 kHz
* WTWW @ 0300 UTC ~ 11E/10C/9M/7P:DT[PM]
each Friday Night on 5755 kHz
* WTWW @ 0800 UTC ~ 4E/3C/2M/1P:DT[AM]
each Saturday Morning on 5755 kHz
.
WTWW : "We Transmit World-Wide"
http://wtww.us/
5755 kHz @ 7 PM to 8 AM CDT (0000 - 1300 UTC)
9480 kHz 8 AM to 7 PM CDT (1300 - 0000 UTC)
http://www.sfawbn.org/index.html
.
WTWW Shortwave Radio Program Schedule
http://www.sfawbn.org/schedule.html
.
WTWW Lebanon, Tennessee -USA-
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WTWW
.
Prior "McCanney Science Hour" Shortwave
Radio Reception Reports [SWR3] :
* WTWW on 5755 kHz "Science Hour"

-host- James McCanney @ 0800 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/aba3f8eb4decefe0
* WTWW on 5755 kHz @ 1015 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/d23fb0ed42306654
* WWCR "James McCanney Science Hour"
on 5890 kHz @ 09:20 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/95dcf1acafd168cd
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^ Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3476. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 11:40:30 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231403
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com..
> > .
> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>
> >>> >> wholesale = $50
> >>> >> compliance costs = - $23
> >>> >> FairTax = $23
> >>> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >>> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
> >>> >> that's
> >>> > $123.
>
> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> >> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
> >> $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
> >> enough for you.
>
> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
> >>> > just going to away?
>
> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will no

> >>> longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate numbers and
> >>> understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an item's cost, add
> >>> stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he puts it back in place.
> >>> Either his first 23% is in error or the second one is.
>
> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good and
> >> service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will go away
> >> as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the FairTax.
> >> Guys, this isn't that hard.
>
> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>
> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to be
> > eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>
> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of the
> product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed equal the
> new costs put in when the new costs include all that federal tax revenue
> that is currently being gathered.
>
> Personal income tax - 45%
> Payroll Taxes - 36%
> Corporate income tax - 12%
> Excise taxes - 3%
> Other - 4%
>
> http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>
> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't go
> away.
>
> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to gather all
> that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the cost for that
> stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is the only way to add
> 23% tax and come up with the original cost for the product.
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

Go to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the section
headed "Theories of retail pricing".

3477. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 11:45:18 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9e5e2f2b-90cd-4f36-b379-d0ac0a1314b3@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231404
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 27, 6:58 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:e2a7cd6b-fafe-4643-97fb-5ca2c8b65bdb@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 5:46 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:8ce02ba4-e0fa-4501-94f1-87e144248f44@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 27, 3:35 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> innews:2bd8c587-d08d-465c-9e0a-ec529c2d92ba@
> >> > r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > On May 27, 12:57 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >> > wrote
> >> >:
> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> >> innews:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@
> >> >> > 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
> >> >> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >> >> >> >>news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups
> >> >> >> >>.co m.
> >> >> >> >> ..
>
> >> >> >> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost
> >> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
> >> >> >> > 031

> >> >> >> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
> >> >> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> >> >> >> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
> >> >> >> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>
> >> >> >> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the
> >> >> >> >> >> > FairTax.
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> --
> >> >> >> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://
> >> > her
> >> >> > man
> >> >> >> > cain.c
> >> >> >> >> >> > om/
> >> >> >> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> >> >> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like
> >> >> >> >> >> >> cancer)
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
> >> >> >> >> >> >> Obama had
> >> >> >> > as
> >> >> >> >> muc
> >> >> >> >> > h
> >> >> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't
> >> >> >> >> >> have THAT muc
> >> >> >> > h
> >> >> >> >> >> competence?
>
> >> >> >> >> I refer to your math.
>
> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
> >> >> >> >> that's $1
> >> >> >> > 23.

>
> >> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
> >> >> >> >> > reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
> >> >> >> >> > (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
> >> >> >> >> > wasn't simple enough for you.
>
> >> >> >> >> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales
> >> >> >> >> and other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they
> >> >> >> >> will still need to comp
> >> >> >> > ly
> >> >> >> >> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the
> >> >> >> >> additional FairTax imposed?
>
> >> >> >> > You need to understand what compliance costs actually are. They
> >> >> >> > are the costs associated with complying with the federal income
> >> >> >> > tax on wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income tax
> >> >> >> > on wages, i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering, documenting, and
> >> >> >> > filing our annual income.. If those taxes are gone then just
> >> >> >> > what exactly is there left to comply with and how does that cost
> >> >> >> > any money?
>
> >> >> >> There are also state compliance costs associated with state income
> >> >> >> taxes for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals, etc..
> >> >> >> Fair tax does nothing about them. In addition you also have some
> >> >> >> compliance taxes fo
> >> >> > r
> >> >> >> the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.
>
> >> >> > Because that has to do with state taxes. The FairTax is about
> >> >> > federa
> >> > l
> >> >> > taxation.
>
> >> >> The point is that those costs are still there. They were somewhat
> >> >> amortized when combined with federal taxation but even if that goes
> >> >> away, the mechanisms of collecting those taxes don't.
>
> >> > The costs of production are there. The costs of compliance are no
> >> > longer there.
>
> >> They are for state and local reasons. The only thing that went away was
> >> the federal requirement. Some of those are also state costs and they
> >> have to remain in place along with the compliance costs that they incur.
> >> That hasn't changed.
>
> > And once again, the fairtax replaces embedded costs of complying with
> > FEDERAL tax laws.
>
> And where exactly does it say it will do exactly that?

http://www.fairtax.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_faq_answers#2

3478. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 11:48:10 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7530938f-1691-4edc-bdb9-090429fb1f08@j23g2000yqc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231405
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On May 27, 6:11 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:8ce02ba4-e0fa-4501-94f1-87e144248f44@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 3:35 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:2bd8c587-d08d-465c-9e0a-ec529c2d92ba@r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 27, 12:57 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> innews:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@
> >> > 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
> >> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> >> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >> >> >>news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.co
> >> >> >>m.
> >> >> >> ..
>
> >> >> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost
> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
> >> >> > 031
> >> >> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
> >> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> >> >> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
> >> >> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
```

>
> >> >> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
> >> >> >> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
> >> >> >> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> >> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
> >> >> >> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> >> >> >> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>
> >> >> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>
> >> >> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
>
> >> >> >> >> >> --
> >> >> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://her
> >> > man
> >> >> > cain.c
> >> >> >> >> > om/
> >> >> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> >> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> >> >> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
> >> >> >> >> >> Obama had
> >> >> > as
> >> >> >> >> muc
> >> >> >> >> > h
> >> >> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
> >> >> >> >> >> THAT muc
> >> >> > h
> >> >> >> >> >> competence?
>
> >> >> >> >> I refer to your math.
>
> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
> >> >> >> >> that's $1
> >> >> > 23.
>
> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
> >> >> >> > $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
> >> >> >> > enough for you.
>
> >> >> >> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales and
> >> >> >> other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they will still
> >> >> >> need to comp
> >> >> > ly

> >> >> >> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the
> >> >> >> additional FairTax imposed?
>
> >> >> > You need to understand what compliance costs actually are. They
> >> >> > are the costs associated with complying with the federal income tax
> >> >> > on wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income tax on
> >> >> > wages, i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering, documenting, and
> >> >> > filing our annual income.. If those taxes are gone then just what
> >> >> > exactly is there left to comply with and how does that cost any
> >> >> > money?
>
> >> >> There are also state compliance costs associated with state income
> >> >> taxes for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals, etc.. Fair
> >> >> tax does nothing about them. In addition you also have some
> >> >> compliance taxes fo
> >> > r
> >> >> the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.
>
> >> > Because that has to do with state taxes. The FairTax is about federal
> >> > taxation.
>
> >> The point is that those costs are still there. They were somewhat
> >> amortized when combined with federal taxation but even if that goes away,
> >> the mechanisms of collecting those taxes don't.
>
> > The costs of production are there. The costs of compliance are no
> > longer there.
>
> EPA isn't going to be there anymore?
>
> OSHA isn't going to be there anymore?
>
> Workman's Comp isn't going to be there anymore?
>
> Unemployment Benefits aren't going to be there anymore?
>
> The need to comply with state/local tax requirements aren't going to be
> there anymore?
>
> You just can't wave your magic wand and claim all these things are going
> away when they aren't.

With all due respect, what the hell are you talking about? I never
claimed these things would go away. Go read the fairtax site so you
can come in to this discussion with at least a tacit understanding of
it.

3479. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 11:50:28 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <203f1def-415f-4cf7-be08-a5abba747f35@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231406
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 27, 7:51 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
innews:irp836pkd1@dont-email.me:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:135296b0-c10e-40b6-bb7a-29e0f6995f2d@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com..
> > .
> >> On May 27, 3:02 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >>> innews:a55df435-ec3a-4cbd-96bd-a6a7c5e8166a@v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.
> >>> com:
>
> >>> > On May 27, 12:23ÿpm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >>> > wrote:
> >>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >>> >> innews:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@
> >>> > l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >>> Let's get rid of a lot crap and get down to the chase and the point
> >>> I am trying to make.
>
> >>> You keep stating that there will be 23% of the product cost removed
> >>> when Fair Tax is implemented, therefore the 23% of the Fair Tax will
> >>> simply bring the product back to the same price. You say that
> >>> research has shown that.
>
> >>> Now, let's look at the FAQ. It says that the Fair Tax will be
> >>> *revenue* neutral. It does NOT say it will be *cost* neutral. That
> >>> is YOUR claim, not theirs. Revenue neutral means that the amount of
> >>> tax revenue produced by the Fair Tax will be the same as current
> >>> practice provide. Cost neutral would indicate that cost of the
> >>> product to the public would not change. A statement that they do
> >>> not make.

>
> >>> Here is what the FAIR Tax FAQ says:
>
> >>> "Does the FairTax rate need to be much higher to be revenue neutral?
>
> >>> The proper tax rate has been carefully worked out; 23 percent does
> >>> the job of: (1) raising the same amount of federal funds as are
> >>> raised by the current system, (2) paying the universal rebate, and
> >>> (3) paying the collection fees to retailers and state governments.
> >>> Unlike some other proposals, this rate has been independently
> >>> confirmed by several different, nonpartisan institutions across the
> >>> country. Detailed calculations are available from FairTax.org. "
>
> >>> Note that it says, "..revenue neutral."
>
> >>> Let's try another spot:
>
> >>> "How does the FairTax affect wages and prices?
>
> >>> Americans who produce goods and earn wages must pay significant tax
> >>> and compliance costs under the current federal income tax. These
> >>> taxes and costs both reduce after-tax wages and profits and are then
> >>> passed on to the consumers of those goods and services in the form
> >>> of price increases. When the FairTax removes income, capital gains,
> >>> payroll, and estate and gift taxes, the pre-FairTax prices of these
> >>> goods and services will fall. The removal of these hidden taxes may
> >>> also allow wages to rise. Exactly how much prices will fall and
> >>> wages will rise depends on market forces. For example, in a
> >>> profession with many jobs and too few to fill them, wages will
> >>> likely increase more than in fields where there are too many
> >>> employees and not enough jobs."
>
> >>> Note again that it does not name a percentage for any cost
> >>> reduction. It says it will vary depending on market forces.
>
> >>> Here is another spot:
>
> >>> "
> >>> Since the FairTax plan is *revenue neutral*, the same amount of
> >>> resources is extracted from the economy as is extracted under
> >>> current law. These funds are, however, extracted in a less
> >>> economically damaging way. Every known economic projection shows the
> >>> economy doing better, often much better, under the FairTax.
> >>> Because the economy grows, is more efficient and more productive,
> >>> that means investment, wages, and consumption are higher than they
> >>> are under the income tax."
>
> >>> Again, it says "revenue neutral" not cost neutral. The research that
> >>> they claim the 23% number on is for revenue neutrality. That number
> >>> has nothing to do with product prices or costs.

>
> >> Yes it does. The 23% is the embedded compliance "costs".
>
> > Cite where they specifically state that.
>
> That is the same thing I have been asking him for. I know how the 23%
> figure for the Fair Tax got calculated, I just want to know where that
> 23% figure he claims is in the cost of all products is worked out. Where
> did THAT number come from. Methinks he is misreading something..
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax#refJorgenson1998 Scroll down to
"Theories of retail pricing".

3480. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 11:51:57 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <614d2efc-76b4-4a6c-bd64-da11d244e0a0@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231407
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 27, 6:39 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:262e457f-e4df-4e5c-874e-fca75b2a99b7@v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 8:32 pm, "Scout"
> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >>news:ee4c4601-f8a6-4127-bb9d-2570ee2ec12a@g12g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> > On May 25, 6:54 pm, "Scout"
> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >> >>news:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> >> > On May 25, 3:18 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> >> innews:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> > On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> >> >> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
> >> >> >> > s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> > > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >> >> > > wrote:
> >> >> >> > >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> >> > >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
> >> >> >> > > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>

> >> >> >> >> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman
> >> >> >> >> >> > <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> >> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
> >> >> >> >> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> ...
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of that
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> innuendo you
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> keep
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> paying
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> 42% of all
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> of
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19% are
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> not
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> they
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> fair
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> Regards,
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> JS
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one I
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>
> >> >> >> >> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>
> >> >> >> >> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax is.
>
> >> >> >> >> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>
> >> >> >> >> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>
> >> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
> >> >> >> > revenue

> >> >> >> > from the income tax.
>
> >> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>
> >> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when
> >> >> > they
> >> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the government
> >> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>
> >> >> >> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>
> >> >> >> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income
> >> >> >> > tax
> >> >> >> > as
> >> >> >> > the method of funding government. If you fully understand the
> >> >> >> > FairTax
> >> >> >> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>
> >> >> >> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that sales
> >> >> >> tax
> >> >> >> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
> >> >> >> gasoline,
> >> >> >> etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger percentage
> >> >> >> of
> >> >> >> their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>
> >> >> > Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First, people
> >> >> > pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level. Every household
> >> >> > receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential
> >> >> > goods
> >> >> > and services.
>
> >> >> How exactly do you determine what are "essential goods and services"
> >> >> never
> >> >> mind how much such "essential goods and services" a particular
> >> >> household
> >> >> requires?
>
> >> > The Department of Health & Human Services’ poverty level guidelines
> >> > tel us that. This is a well-accepted, long-used poverty-level
> >> > calculation that includes food, clothing, shelter, transportation,
> >> > medical care, etc.
>
> >> Keyword "GUIDELINES"
>
> >> You do know what a guideline is, right?
>
> > and your point is????
>
> You're a dumbass, who doesn't realize, even with a clear clue, that some

> people will be paid for non-essential goods and services, while other people
> will be stiffed for the essential goods and services they require.

Then you must not agree with the DHH

3481. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 11:56:22 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <e4fa8692-ee4a-4651-a8fa-2722e5f87daa@x6g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231408
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On May 27, 6:01 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:0b4c0b89-dee7-4140-bed5-58c01a9b8ea9@q32g2000yqn.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 6:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a031
> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
> >> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
> >> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
> >> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> >> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>
> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>
> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
>
> >> >> >> --
> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancai
> >> > n.c
```

> >> >> > om/
> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
> >> >> >> as
> >> >> muc
> >> >> > h
> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
> >> >> >> much competence?
>
> >> >> I refer to your math.
>
> >> >> wholesale = $50
> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> FairTax = $23
> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
> >> >> $123.
>
> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
> >> > you.
>
> >> Let's try it this way using YOUR figures above.
>
> >> Originally
>
> >> Wholesale - $50
> >> Compliance costs - $23
> >> Sales and other taxes - $27
>
> >> Total product cost $100
>
> >> Federal income tax revenue is a separate item.
>
> >> ****
>
> >> Wholesale - %50
> >> Compliance Costs - <$23>
> >> Sales and other taxes - <$27>
>
> >> Total product cost now - $50
>
> >> Federal income tax revenue still a separate item.
>
> >> ****
>

> >> Wholesale - $50
> >> Add sales and other taxes -$27
> >> Add Fair Tax - $23
> >> Add money for loss of income tax revenue - $whatever
>
> >> Oooops, it now comes to more than the original $100 since that revenue is
> >> not a separate item anymore.
>
> > Oops, you are figuring it out incorrectly. Why are you removing sales
> > and other taxes? By those I am talking about state and local taxes.
> > So, let's try this again.
>
> > Current
> > - Wholesale: $50
> > - Add sales and other (local/city) taxes: $27
> > - Compliance costs: $23
> > - Total: $100
>
> > FairTax
> > - Wholesale: $50
> > - Add sales and other (local/city) taxes: $27
> > - FairTax: $23
> > - Total: $100
>
> > You can review my other posts to account for all the other benefits.
>
> Excuse me, but how can you arbitrarily eliminate compliance costs?
>

You don't arbitraritly eliminate them. Look at it in its simplest
terms. If you don't have an income tax do you then have to comply
with paying income tax?

> You don't think that they will STILL need to comply with the sales tax laws
> and other local/city laws for taxation?
>

Sure. That's at the state and local level. The fairtax deals with
compliance of FEDERAL taxes. Don't even bring state and local into
this.

> Nevermind costs for workman's comp, unemployeement insurance, EPA
> requirements, OSHA requirements, etc, etc, etc
>

Good, I will never mind them because they have no bearing on the
FairTax.

> How exactly do you just *poof* magically disappear all these costs?

3482. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 12:02:06 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231409
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
> > 4e47e0379641
> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
> >> 55a606092fd9
> >> > @
> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
> >
> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
> > that's
> >> >> $123.
> >
> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
> >> >> > you.
> >
> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are just

> >> goin
> >> > g
> >> >> to away?
>
> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and the way
> >> > market forces work.
>
> >> >> --
> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancai
> > n.c
> >> > om/
> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
> >> muc
> >> > h
> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
> >> >> competence?
>
> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>
> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our current
> > tax system would fully fund the government.
>
> >> --
> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.c
> > om/
> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
> muc
> > h
> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
> >> competence?
>
> Exactly my point.
>

But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that currently
falls well short of funding the federal government?

I see you support Herman Cain. You do realize he supports the FairTax
don't you? I suspect a businessman like him has many of the same
questions all of you have been pelting me with. And I bet he
understand what compliance costs are and how they affect business.
And through all that he supports the FairTax. You still going to vote
vote for him? I trust him far more than I do the likes of socialists
like Obama. Cain will be getting my vote.

> --
> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
> competence?

3483. People Loot MN Liquor Store After Tornado : Due To Alcohol {Drug} Addiction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 12:27:54 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <f20194b3-40a2-4258-8627-44623289daf1@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231410
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,soc.culture.usa,rec.autos.driving,talk.politics.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 27, 11:10 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/27/2011 11:03 AM, Bill Steele wrote:
>
> > ...
> > > IOW, black crime is proportional to black population density. What a
> > > startling discovery!
>
> http://answers.yahoo.com/question/index?qid=20090821194028AAulyQo
>
> http://racismaintover.blogspot.com/2005/10/disproportionate-crime-rat...
>
> http://www.vdare.com/sailer/050213_mapping.htm
>
> Wow, how unique, attempting disinformation in the form of a fraudulent
> question. You must have learned that from our governments office of
> disinformation ...

- The real question, point blank, is,

JS - Your 'Point' Sure Is "Blank"
.
- "Why are Negros natural born criminals?

JS - At Birth They Are Not : So They Ain't [.]

However, Social-Economic Conditions May Shape Them
Into Criminals -but- That Ain't 'Natural Born'.

- Or, at least appear to be, in America?"
-
- Regards,
- JS

So "JS" - You 'Appear' To Be Social-Economic
Conditioned To Think "SO" By The Great White
Liberal Media.
-feed-on-that-media-stereotype-yum-yum-yum-

The Haves and Have-Nots of a Society are Both
the 'Products' of "That" Society ~ RHF
.
-wrt- Negroes loot MN liquor store after tornado
-the-social-converse-could-be-stated-
Rich {White/Korean/Arab} Liquor Store Owners

{Alcohol is a Drug : Sellers of Drugs}
Exploiting Poor Whites and Minorities by
Placing Liquor Stores in their Communities.
-importing-drugs-&-exporting-capital-
=Growing=Rich=Off=The=Addiction=Of=The=Poor=
.
ALCOHOL Is A Legal Harmful and Dangerous
"Drug" That Society 'Permits' {Taxes} :
* Alcohol = Higher Traffic Deaths
* Alcohol = Alcoholism = Addiction & Health Issues
* Alcohol = Alcoholism = Sickness & Death
* Alcohol = Lost Work Days & Lower Productivity
* Alcohol = On-the-Job Injuries & Deaths
* Alcohol = Spousal & Family Abuse
* Alcohol = Criminal Acts Against People & Property
* Alcohol = Sex Abuse & Sex Crimes
* Alcohol = Birth Defects & Learning Disabilities
-conclusion- JUST SAY 'NO' TO ALCOHOL ABUSE
-and- JUST SAY 'HELL NO' TO MARIJUANA USE
-drug-abuse-is-drug-abuse-:-don't-use-
-drug-abuse-is-drug-abuse-:-don't-abuse-
-just-say-no-to-drugs- -just-say-no-to-drugs-
-just-say-no- -just-say-no- -just-say-no-

and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
.
.
-or- People Loot MN Liquor Store After Tornado
It May Have Been A "Medical Need" : Due To Alcohol
{Drug} Addiction -that-is-something-to-think-about-
.
.

3484. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 13:04:49 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irqrtj$s4c$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231411
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com..
>> > .
>> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >>> >>> wholesale = $50
>> >>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
>> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> >>> >>> that's
>> >>> > $123.
>>
>> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> >>> >> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
>> >>> >> $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
>> >>> >> enough for you.
>>
>> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
>> >>> > just going to away?

>>
>> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will no
>> >>> longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate numbers and
>> >>> understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an item's cost, add
>> >>> stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he puts it back in place.
>> >>> Either his first 23% is in error or the second one is.
>>
>> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good and
>> >> service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will go away
>> >> as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the FairTax.
>> >> Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>
>> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>>
>> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to be
>> > eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>
>> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of the
>> product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed equal the
>> new costs put in when the new costs include all that federal tax revenue
>> that is currently being gathered.
>>
>> Personal income tax - 45%
>> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>> Corporate income tax - 12%
>> Excise taxes - 3%
>> Other - 4%
>>
>> http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>>
>> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't go
>> away.
>>
>> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to gather all
>> that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the cost for that
>> stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is the only way to add
>> 23% tax and come up with the original cost for the product.
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.
>
> Go to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the section
> headed "Theories of retail pricing".

Wrongly titled the "Fair" tax should be labeled the "Unfair" tax.
Totally regressive it excuses the wealthiest among us.

3485. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 13:07:30 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irqs2k$t2n$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231412
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>> 4e47e0379641
>> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>> >> 55a606092fd9
>> >> > @
>> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> that's
>> >> >> $123.
>>
>> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
>> >> >> > $23)
>> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough

>> >> >> > for
>> >> >> > you.
>>
>> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
>> >> >> just
>> >> goin
>> >> > g
>> >> >> to away?
>>
>> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and the way
>> >> > market forces work.
>>
>> >> >> --
>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancai
>> > n.c
>> >> > om/
>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
>> >> >> as
>> >> muc
>> >> > h
>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
>> >> >> much
>> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>
>> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our current
>> > tax system would fully fund the government.
>>
>> >> --
>> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.c
>> > om/
>> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
>> muc
>> > h
>> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
>> >> competence?
>>
>> Exactly my point.
>>
>
> But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that currently
> falls well short of funding the federal government?
>

> I see you support Herman Cain. You do realize he supports the FairTax
> don't you? I suspect a businessman like him has many of the same
> questions all of you have been pelting me with. And I bet he
> understand what compliance costs are and how they affect business.
> And through all that he supports the FairTax. You still going to vote
> vote for him? I trust him far more than I do the likes of socialists
> like Obama. Cain will be getting my vote.
>
>> --
>> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
>> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
>> much
>> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
>> competence?
>

The "Fair" tax, twisting the meaning of fair, is a sales tax and is
therefore inherently regressive

3486. Re: New GE Reveal 'Hybrid' CFL Bulbs in Traditional A19 'Glass' Shape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 13:40:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DE0FB59-11776@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231413

It (''IT'') would/will get to where those High Price light bulbs are a
Commodity.Bulb Snatching/Bulb Snatchers would/will be back in Vogue
again.
Do you follow me?
cuhulin

3487. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:02:21 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irqv9fgeo1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231414
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>>
>> > ...
>> > What is wrong with this country?
>>
>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>>
>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>>
>> -- or --
>>
>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government criminal
>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain absolute
>> police state control over all American citizens.
>>
>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang either the
>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> JS -please- This is not simply the
> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>
> -it's- Democrats Reveal New & Improved Plan :
> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
> * Democrats Promise You More New & Improved
> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
> * Democrats Promise You More New & Improved
> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>
> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
> Tax You More & Spend More of Your Tax Money
> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>
> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
> .

> .

Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation

1. We need the bush,jr tax. This tax remains in force until bush,jr's unfunded wars are paid for.
2. We need to rescind the bush, jr tax cuts that have crippled our government.
3. We need to tax dividends, interest, and capital gains at the same rate as money earned by working.

3488. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:13:46 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF3681022104Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231415
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com:

> On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>> 4e47e0379641
>> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com
>>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>> >> 55a606092fd9
>> >> > @
>> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> that's
>> >> >> $123.
>>
>> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $

> 23)
>> >> >> > that you didn't get? ❍I guess the example wasn't simple enough
> for
>> >> >> > you.
>>
>> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
jus
> t
>> >> goin
>> >> > g
>> >> >> to away?
>>
>> >> > Yes I do. ❍As do the economists that examined the plan and the way
>> >> > market forces work.
>>
>> >> >> --
>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! ❍ ❍ ❍ ❍ ❍ ❍ ❍http://herman
> cai
>> > n.c
>> >> > om/
>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
> as
>> >> muc
>> >> > h
>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
muc
> h
>> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>
>> > Of course not. ❍They've told us over and over again how our current
>> > tax system would fully fund the government.
>>
>> >> --
>> >> Herman Cain for President! ❍ ❍ ❍ ❍ ❍ ❍ ❍http://hermancai
> n.c
>> > om/
>> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
>> muc
>> > h
>> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
>> >> competence?

>>
>> Exactly my point.
>>
>
> But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that currently
> falls well short of funding the federal government?

You think that is my primary concern? if the government is not spending correctly then yes I want to starve it.

Everyone want to talk about taxes. How about we talk about excess, not Constitutional spending. How about we talk about what they should be doing and how much it should cost and then think about a tax system to support THAT amount, not create another tax system to raise the same amount of money and continue spending at the same rate.

> I see you support Herman Cain. You do realize he supports the FairTax
> don't you? I suspect a businessman like him has many of the same
> questions all of you have been pelting me with. And I bet he
> understand what compliance costs are and how they affect business.
> And through all that he supports the FairTax. You still going to vote
> vote for him? I trust him far more than I do the likes of socialists
> like Obama. Cain will be getting my vote.

I rarely if ever agree with any candidate 100%. However I do need to support one with my vote, I am not a believer in the idea that not voting "sends a message". If you don't vote you have effectively voted for the winner. I do not care for any of the old school Republicans and I despise Obama so i have to pick someone. Honestly, I might even support Ron Paul except that he is a little bit to blind to the importance of the military and that disengaging the way he wants to might be a little dangerous.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3489. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:13:56 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irqvvpkec1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231416
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:irqv9f$geo$1@dont-email.me...
>
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>>>
>>> > ...
>>> > What is wrong with this country?
>>>
>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>>>
>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>>>
>>> -- or --
>>>
>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government criminal
>>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain absolute
>>> police state control over all American citizens.
>>>
>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang either the
>>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> JS -please- This is not simply the
>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>>
>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New & Improved Plan :
>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
>> * Democrats Promise You More New & Improved
>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
>> * Democrats Promise You More New & Improved
>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>>
>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
>> Tax You More & Spend More of Your Tax Money
>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>>
```

>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
>> .
>> .
>
> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation

What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.

3490. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:15:06 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irr01p$ks8$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231417
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com..
>> > .
>> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >>> >>> wholesale = $50
>> >>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
>> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> >>> >>> that's
>> >>> > $123.
>>
>> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> >>> >> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
>> >>> >> $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
>> >>> >> enough for you.
>>
>> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
>> >>> > just going to away?

>>
>> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will no
>> >>> longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate numbers and
>> >>> understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an item's cost, add
>> >>> stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he puts it back in place.
>> >>> Either his first 23% is in error or the second one is.
>>
>> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good and
>> >> service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will go away
>> >> as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the FairTax.
>> >> Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>
>> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>>
>> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to be
>> > eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>
>> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of the
>> product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed equal the
>> new costs put in when the new costs include all that federal tax revenue
>> that is currently being gathered.
>>
>> Personal income tax - 45%
>> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>> Corporate income tax - 12%
>> Excise taxes - 3%
>> Other - 4%
>>
>> http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>>
>> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't go
>> away.
>>
>> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to gather all
>> that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the cost for that
>> stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is the only way to add
>> 23% tax and come up with the original cost for the product.
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.
>
> Go to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the section
> headed "Theories of retail pricing".

Sorry, but show me in Fairtax.org

In wiki anyone can claim anything about anyone. If your claims have merit
then the organization itself will have said it.

3491. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:17:26 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26133-4DE103F6-3781@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231423

More taxes, so you can fill up that gas tank.Read that article at,
http://www.libertypost.org
cuhulin

3492. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:17:32 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irr06clkf1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231418
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:9e5e2f2b-90cd-4f36-b379-d0ac0a1314b3@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 6:58 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:e2a7cd6b-fafe-4643-97fb-5ca2c8b65bdb@n10g2000yqf.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 27, 5:46 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:8ce02ba4-e0fa-4501-94f1-87e144248f44@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 27, 3:35 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> innews:2bd8c587-d08d-465c-9e0a-ec529c2d92ba@
>> >> > r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > On May 27, 12:57 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> >> > wrote
>> >> >>:
>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> >> innews:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@
>> >> >> > 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
>> >> >> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >> >> >> >>news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups
>> >> >> >> >>.co m.
>> >> >> >> >> ..
>>
>> >> >> >> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
>> >> >> >> > 031
>> >> >> >> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the
>> >> >> >> >> >> > FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> --
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://
>> >> > her
>> >> >> > man
>> >> >> >> > cain.c
>> >> >> >> >> >> > om/
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> cancer)
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> that
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> Obama had
>> >> >> >> > as
>> >> >> >> >> >> muc
>> >> >> >> >> >> > h
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> have THAT muc
>> >> >> >> > h
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> I refer to your math.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27

>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>> >> >> >> >> >> decree
>> >> >> >> >> >> that's $1
>> >> >> >> > 23.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>> >> >> >> >> > reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>> >> >> >> >> > (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
>> >> >> >> >> > wasn't simple enough for you.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales
>> >> >> >> >> and other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they
>> >> >> >> >> will still need to comp
>> >> >> >> > ly
>> >> >> >> >> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the
>> >> >> >> >> additional FairTax imposed?
>>
>> >> >> >> > You need to understand what compliance costs actually are.
>> >> >> >> > They
>> >> >> >> > are the costs associated with complying with the federal
>> >> >> >> > income
>> >> >> >> > tax on wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income
>> >> >> >> > tax
>> >> >> >> > on wages, i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering, documenting,
>> >> >> >> > and
>> >> >> >> > filing our annual income.. If those taxes are gone then just
>> >> >> >> > what exactly is there left to comply with and how does that
>> >> >> >> > cost
>> >> >> >> > any money?
>>
>> >> >> >> There are also state compliance costs associated with state
>> >> >> >> income
>> >> >> >> taxes for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals, etc..
>> >> >> >> Fair tax does nothing about them. In addition you also have
>> >> >> >> some
>> >> >> >> compliance taxes fo
>> >> >> > r
>> >> >> >> the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.
>>
>> >> >> > Because that has to do with state taxes. The FairTax is about
>> >> >> > federa
>> >> > l
>> >> >> > taxation.
>>
>> >> >> The point is that those costs are still there. They were somewhat
>> >> >> amortized when combined with federal taxation but even if that goes
>> >> >> away, the mechanisms of collecting those taxes don't.
>>
>> >> > The costs of production are there. The costs of compliance are no

>> >> > longer there.
>>
>> >> They are for state and local reasons. The only thing that went away
>> >> was
>> >> the federal requirement. Some of those are also state costs and they
>> >> have to remain in place along with the compliance costs that they
>> >> incur.
>> >> That hasn't changed.
>>
>> > And once again, the fairtax replaces embedded costs of complying with
>> > FEDERAL tax laws.
>>
>> And where exactly does it say it will do exactly that?
>
> http://www.fairtax.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_faq_answers#2

And where exactly does it say it will do that?

3493. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:19:20 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irr09o$m5i$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231419
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:7530938f-1691-4edc-bdb9-090429fb1f08@j23g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 6:11 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:8ce02ba4-e0fa-4501-94f1-87e144248f44@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 27, 3:35 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:2bd8c587-d08d-465c-9e0a-ec529c2d92ba@r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 27, 12:57 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> innews:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@
>> >> > 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
>> >> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> >> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >> >> >>news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.co
>> >> >> >>m.
>> >> >> >> ..
>>
>> >> >> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
>> >> >> > 031
>> >> >> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> >> >> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> >> >> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
>> >> >> >> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> >> >> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the
>> >> >> >> >> > FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> --
>> >> >> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://her
>> >> > man
>> >> >> > cain.c
>> >> >> >> >> > om/
>> >> >> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
>> >> >> >> >> >> Obama had
>> >> >> > as
>> >> >> >> >> muc
>> >> >> >> >> > h
>> >> >> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>> >> >> >> >> >> THAT muc
>> >> >> > h
>> >> >> >> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> >> >> >> I refer to your math.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> >> >> >> >> that's $1
>> >> >> > 23.
>>
>> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>> >> >> >> > reading
>> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
>> >> >> >> > $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
>> >> >> >> > enough for you.

>>
>> >> >> >> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales
>> >> >> >> and
>> >> >> >> other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they will
>> >> >> >> still
>> >> >> >> need to comp
>> >> >> > ly
>> >> >> >> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the
>> >> >> >> additional FairTax imposed?
>>
>> >> >> > You need to understand what compliance costs actually are. They
>> >> >> > are the costs associated with complying with the federal income
>> >> >> > tax
>> >> >> > on wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income tax on
>> >> >> > wages, i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering, documenting, and
>> >> >> > filing our annual income.. If those taxes are gone then just
>> >> >> > what
>> >> >> > exactly is there left to comply with and how does that cost any
>> >> >> > money?
>>
>> >> >> There are also state compliance costs associated with state income
>> >> >> taxes for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals, etc.. Fair
>> >> >> tax does nothing about them. In addition you also have some
>> >> >> compliance taxes fo
>> >> > r
>> >> >> the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.
>>
>> >> > Because that has to do with state taxes. The FairTax is about
>> >> > federal
>> >> > taxation.
>>
>> >> The point is that those costs are still there. They were somewhat
>> >> amortized when combined with federal taxation but even if that goes
>> >> away,
>> >> the mechanisms of collecting those taxes don't.
>>
>> > The costs of production are there. The costs of compliance are no
>> > longer there.
>>
>> EPA isn't going to be there anymore?
>>
>> OSHA isn't going to be there anymore?
>>
>> Workman's Comp isn't going to be there anymore?
>>
>> Unemployment Benefits aren't going to be there anymore?
>>
>> The need to comply with state/local tax requirements aren't going to be
>> there anymore?
>>

>> You just can't wave your magic wand and claim all these things are going
>> away when they aren't.
>
> With all due respect, what the hell are you talking about? I never
> claimed these things would go away. Go read the fairtax site so you
> can come in to this discussion with at least a tacit understanding of
> it.

Sorry, but it's your job to support your claims and to present any specific information needed to that end. It's not my job to good read up on a topic you wish to discuss simply because you can't be bothered to present and support your views.

3494. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:21:26 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irr0dl$n1t$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231420
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:203f1def-415f-4cf7-be08-a5abba747f35@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 7:51 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>> innews:irp836pkd1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >news:135296b0-c10e-40b6-bb7a-29e0f6995f2d@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com..
>> > .
>> >> On May 27, 3:02 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >>> innews:a55df435-ec3a-4cbd-96bd-a6a7c5e8166a@v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.
>> >>> com:
>> >>
>> >>> > On May 27, 12:23ï¿½pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >>> > wrote:
>> >>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >>> >> innews:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@
>> >>> > l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>> >>
>> >>> Let's get rid of a lot crap and get down to the chase and the point
>> >>> I am trying to make.
>> >>
>> >>> You keep stating that there will be 23% of the product cost removed
>> >>> when Fair Tax is implemented, therefore the 23% of the Fair Tax will
>> >>> simply bring the product back to the same price. You say that
>> >>> research has shown that.
>> >>
>> >>> Now, let's look at the FAQ. It says that the Fair Tax will be
>> >>> *revenue* neutral. It does NOT say it will be *cost* neutral. That
>> >>> is YOUR claim, not theirs. Revenue neutral means that the amount of
>> >>> tax revenue produced by the Fair Tax will be the same as current
>> >>> practice provide. Cost neutral would indicate that cost of the

>> >>> product to the public would not change. A statement that they do
>> >>> not make.
>>
>> >>> Here is what the FAIR Tax FAQ says:
>>
>> >>> "Does the FairTax rate need to be much higher to be revenue neutral?
>>
>> >>> The proper tax rate has been carefully worked out; 23 percent does
>> >>> the job of: (1) raising the same amount of federal funds as are
>> >>> raised by the current system, (2) paying the universal rebate, and
>> >>> (3) paying the collection fees to retailers and state governments.
>> >>> Unlike some other proposals, this rate has been independently
>> >>> confirmed by several different, nonpartisan institutions across the
>> >>> country. Detailed calculations are available from FairTax.org. "
>>
>> >>> Note that it says, "..revenue neutral."
>>
>> >>> Let's try another spot:
>>
>> >>> "How does the FairTax affect wages and prices?
>>
>> >>> Americans who produce goods and earn wages must pay significant tax
>> >>> and compliance costs under the current federal income tax. These
>> >>> taxes and costs both reduce after-tax wages and profits and are then
>> >>> passed on to the consumers of those goods and services in the form
>> >>> of price increases. When the FairTax removes income, capital gains,
>> >>> payroll, and estate and gift taxes, the pre-FairTax prices of these
>> >>> goods and services will fall. The removal of these hidden taxes may
>> >>> also allow wages to rise. Exactly how much prices will fall and
>> >>> wages will rise depends on market forces. For example, in a
>> >>> profession with many jobs and too few to fill them, wages will
>> >>> likely increase more than in fields where there are too many
>> >>> employees and not enough jobs."
>>
>> >>> Note again that it does not name a percentage for any cost
>> >>> reduction. It says it will vary depending on market forces.
>>
>> >>> Here is another spot:
>>
>> >>> "
>> >>> Since the FairTax plan is *revenue neutral*, the same amount of
>> >>> resources is extracted from the economy as is extracted under
>> >>> current law. These funds are, however, extracted in a less
>> >>> economically damaging way. Every known economic projection shows the
>> >>> economy doing better, often much better, under the FairTax.
>> >>> Because the economy grows, is more efficient and more productive,
>> >>> that means investment, wages, and consumption are higher than they
>> >>> are under the income tax."
>>
>> >>> Again, it says "revenue neutral" not cost neutral. The research that

>> >>> they claim the 23% number on is for revenue neutrality. That number
>> >>> has nothing to do with product prices or costs.
>>
>> >> Yes it does. The 23% is the embedded compliance "costs".
>>
>> > Cite where they specifically state that.
>>
>> That is the same thing I have been asking him for. I know how the 23%
>> figure for the Fair Tax got calculated, I just want to know where that
>> 23% figure he claims is in the cost of all products is worked out. Where
>> did THAT number come from. Methinks he is misreading something..
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.
>
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax#refJorgenson1998 Scroll down to
> "Theories of retail pricing".

Keywords - "theory" "could" "22%" "assumes" "suggest"

Seems pretty wishy-washy to me.

3495. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:22:29 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irr0flnei1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231421
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:614d2efc-76b4-4a6c-bd64-da11d244e0a0@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 6:39 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:262e457f-e4df-4e5c-874e-fca75b2a99b7@v10g2000yqn.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 26, 8:32 pm, "Scout"
>> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>
>> >> >>news:ee4c4601-f8a6-4127-bb9d-2570ee2ec12a@g12g2000yqd.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >> >> > On May 25, 6:54 pm, "Scout"
>> >> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> >> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >>news:7c91830c-c968-4f08-9c9e-77bc0350d428@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> > On May 25, 3:18 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> >> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> >> >> >> innews:1394cfb3-097e-43d7-aadb-cb2073e3394e@m10g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > On May 24, 3:00 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> innews:fafaebf4-7788-4906-a699-839c2c5dac6b@
>> >> >> >> >> >> > s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > On May 24, 2:34 pm, RD Sandman
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote

>> >> >> >> >> >> innews:5111f00d-80ed-4513-9bae-c9a63b5cdb40@
>> >> >> >> >> > x3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > On May 24, 1:23 pm, RD Sandman
>> >> >> >> >> >> > <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> news:75946acf-fb50-4a71-9677-e0b1afec14b0
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> @w19g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > On May 24, 11:24 am, John Smith
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > <bit_buc...@gmail.com>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 5/24/2011 8:20 AM, gfn wrote:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> ...
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> Where are some credible souces to back up any of
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> that
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> innuendo you
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> keep
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> attempting to push?
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> Truth is, sure looks like the wealthiest 1% are not
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> paying
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> 42% of all
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> of
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> governments costs, and sure looks like the top 19%
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> are
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> not
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> paying half of governments costs, until that happens
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> they
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> are NOT paying their
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> fair
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> share ... a flat tax can fix that ...
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> Regards,
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> JS
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > I already said the tax data is at irs.gov
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > Now, as for a flat tax I agree with you 100%. The one
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > I
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > advocate is the FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> That is not a flat tax, it is a sales tax.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > It's a sales tax but it is flat. It's a flat 23%.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> You had better spend some time learning what a flat tax

>> >> >> >> >> >> is.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> > I'm perfectly familiar with a flat tax.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> Not sure about that since it has nothing to do with sales.
>>
>> >> >> >> > Sure I do. The "flat tax" has the government deriving its
>> >> >> >> > revenue
>> >> >> >> > from the income tax.
>>
>> >> >> >> Yep....at a flat rate for everybody.
>>
>> >> >> > As does the FairTax. Best part is the consumer pays it only when
>> >> >> > they
>> >> >> > buy something. They decide when to pay it, not when the
>> >> >> > government
>> >> >> > decides you owe it on payday.
>>
>> >> >> >> The FairTax is related because it is a flat sales
>>
>> >> >> >> > tax that generates revenue from sales. It replaces the income
>> >> >> >> > tax
>> >> >> >> > as
>> >> >> >> > the method of funding government. If you fully understand the
>> >> >> >> > FairTax
>> >> >> >> > you will see exactly where I am coming from.
>>
>> >> >> >> Then to keep it from becoming regressive you must drop that
>> >> >> >> sales
>> >> >> >> tax
>> >> >> >> from certain items, like food, housing, public transportation,
>> >> >> >> gasoline,
>> >> >> >> etc.. or you end up with the poor paying a much larger
>> >> >> >> percentage
>> >> >> >> of
>> >> >> >> their income on those taxes than the wealthy.
>>
>> >> >> > Nope, There are two reasons why it's not regressive. First,
>> >> >> > people
>> >> >> > pay no net FairTax at all up to the poverty level. Every
>> >> >> > household
>> >> >> > receives a rebate that is equal to the FairTax paid on essential
>> >> >> > goods
>> >> >> > and services.
>>
>> >> >> How exactly do you determine what are "essential goods and
>> >> >> services"
>> >> >> never
>> >> >> mind how much such "essential goods and services" a particular
>> >> >> household

>> >> >> requires?
>>
>> >> > The Department of Health & Human Servicesï¿½ poverty level guidelines
>> >> > tel us that. This is a well-accepted, long-used poverty-level
>> >> > calculation that includes food, clothing, shelter, transportation,
>> >> > medical care, etc.
>>
>> >> Keyword "GUIDELINES"
>>
>> >> You do know what a guideline is, right?
>>
>> > and your point is????
>>
>> You're a dumbass, who doesn't realize, even with a clear clue, that some
>> people will be paid for non-essential goods and services, while other
>> people
>> will be stiffed for the essential goods and services they require.
>
> Then you must not agree with the DHH

Don't know if I do or don't nor does it matter in the least. What is clear
you can't refute or address the point I presented.

3496. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:24:02 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ed4f83c7-1aba-4015-a670-f87b57f8a257@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231422
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 28, 10:15 am, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
> >> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>
> >> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com..
> >> > .
> >> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
> >> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
> >> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
> >> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >>> >>> wholesale = $50
> >> >>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
> >> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
> >> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
> >> >>> >>> that's
> >> >>> > $123.
>
> >> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> >> >>> >> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
> >> >>> >> $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
> >> >>> >> enough for you.
>

> >> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
> >> >>> > just going to away?
>
> >> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will no
> >> >>> longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate numbers and
> >> >>> understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an item's cost, add
> >> >>> stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he puts it back in place.
> >> >>> Either his first 23% is in error or the second one is.
>
> >> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good and
> >> >> service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will go away
> >> >> as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the FairTax.
> >> >> Guys, this isn't that hard.
>
> >> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>
> >> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to be
> >> > eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>
> >> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of the
> >> product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed equal the
> >> new costs put in when the new costs include all that federal tax revenue
> >> that is currently being gathered.
>
> >> Personal income tax - 45%
> >> Payroll Taxes - 36%
> >> Corporate income tax - 12%
> >> Excise taxes - 3%
> >> Other - 4%
>
> >>http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>
> >> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't go
> >> away.
>
> >> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to gather all
> >> that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the cost for that
> >> stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is the only way to add
> >> 23% tax and come up with the original cost for the product.
>
> >> --
> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> Don't complain.
>
> > Go tohttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the section
> > headed "Theories of retail pricing".
>
> Sorry, but show me in Fairtax.org

>
> In wiki anyone can claim anything about anyone. If your claims have merit
> then the organization itself will have said it.

The fairtax site references the study (http://www.fairtax.org/site/
Search?q=jorgenson
+22%25&btnG=Search&output=xml_no_dtd&client=convio_frontend&num=10&proxystylesheet=convio_
frontend&oe=ISO-8859-1&ie=ISO-8859-1&sort=date
%3AD%3AL%3Ad1&entqr=3&ud=1&site=afft)

The wiki site cites the study with a link to the paper. just link to
it.

3497. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:25:54 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9bc6e385-9ac6-4aac-a3c4-d7b80021e753@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231424
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On May 28, 10:13 am, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@
> 16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
> >> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
> >> 4e47e0379641
> >> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com
>
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
> >> >> 55a606092fd9
> >> >> > @
> >> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
> >> that's
> >> >> >> $123.
>
```

> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $
> > 23)
> >> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough
> > for
> >> >> >> > you.
>
> >> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
> jus
> > t
> >> >> goin
> >> >> > g
> >> >> >> to away?
>
> >> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and the way
> >> >> > market forces work.
>
> >> >> >> --
> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://herman
> > cai
> >> > n.c
> >> >> > om/
> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
> > as
> >> >> muc
> >> >> > h
> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
> muc
> > h
> >> >> >> competence?
>
> >> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>
> >> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our current
> >> > tax system would fully fund the government.
>
> >> >> --
> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancai
> > n.c
> >> > om/
> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
> >> muc
> >> > h
> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much

> >> >> competence?
>
> >> Exactly my point.
>
> > But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that currently
> > falls well short of funding the federal government?
>
> You think that is my primary concern? if the government is not spending
> correctly then yes I want to starve it.
>
> Everyone want to talk about taxes. How about we talk about excess, not
> Constitutional spending. How about we talk about what they should be doing
> and how much it should cost and then think about a tax system to support
> THAT amount, not create another tax system to raise the same amount of
> money and continue spending at the same rate.
>

I absolutely agree with you 100%. What I am discussing is merely tax
reform, not spending reform. The fairtax is meant only to replace the
current tax structure. Spending is a whole new ball of wax. And,
it's there too that I also agree with Herman Cain. Dude, I'm on your
side on that.

> > I see you support Herman Cain. You do realize he supports the FairTax
> > don't you? I suspect a businessman like him has many of the same
> > questions all of you have been pelting me with. And I bet he
> > understand what compliance costs are and how they affect business.
> > And through all that he supports the FairTax. You still going to vote
> > vote for him? I trust him far more than I do the likes of socialists
> > like Obama. Cain will be getting my vote.
>
> I rarely if ever agree with any candidate 100%. However I do need to
> support one with my vote, I am not a believer in the idea that not voting
> "sends a message". If you don't vote you have effectively voted for the
> winner. I do not care for any of the old school Republicans and I despise
> Obama so i have to pick someone. Honestly, I might even support Ron Paul
> except that he is a little bit to blind to the importance of the military
> and that disengaging the way he wants to might be a little dangerous.
>
> --
> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
> competence?

3498. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:26:33 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irr0n9$p0r$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231425
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:e4fa8692-ee4a-4651-a8fa-2722e5f87daa@x6g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 6:01 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:0b4c0b89-dee7-4140-bed5-58c01a9b8ea9@q32g2000yqn.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 26, 6:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a031
>> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
>> >> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>>
>> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the FairTax.
>>

>> >> >> >> --
>> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancai
>> >> > n.c
>> >> >> > om/
>> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama
>> >> >> >> had
>> >> >> >> as
>> >> >> muc
>> >> >> > h
>> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
>> >> >> >> much competence?
>>
>> >> >> I refer to your math.
>>
>> >> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree that's
>> >> >> $123.
>>
>> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus $23)
>> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough for
>> >> > you.
>>
>> >> Let's try it this way using YOUR figures above.
>>
>> >> Originally
>>
>> >> Wholesale - $50
>> >> Compliance costs - $23
>> >> Sales and other taxes - $27
>>
>> >> Total product cost $100
>>
>> >> Federal income tax revenue is a separate item.
>>
>> >> ****
>>
>> >> Wholesale - %50
>> >> Compliance Costs - <$23>
>> >> Sales and other taxes - <$27>
>>
>> >> Total product cost now - $50
>>

>> >> Federal income tax revenue still a separate item.
>>
>> >> ****
>>
>> >> Wholesale - $50
>> >> Add sales and other taxes -$27
>> >> Add Fair Tax - $23
>> >> Add money for loss of income tax revenue - $whatever
>>
>> >> Oooops, it now comes to more than the original $100 since that revenue
>> >> is
>> >> not a separate item anymore.
>>
>> > Oops, you are figuring it out incorrectly. Why are you removing sales
>> > and other taxes? By those I am talking about state and local taxes.
>> > So, let's try this again.
>>
>> > Current
>> > - Wholesale: $50
>> > - Add sales and other (local/city) taxes: $27
>> > - Compliance costs: $23
>> > - Total: $100
>>
>> > FairTax
>> > - Wholesale: $50
>> > - Add sales and other (local/city) taxes: $27
>> > - FairTax: $23
>> > - Total: $100
>>
>> > You can review my other posts to account for all the other benefits.
>>
>> Excuse me, but how can you arbitrarily eliminate compliance costs?
>>
>
> You don't arbitraritly eliminate them. Look at it in its simplest
> terms. If you don't have an income tax do you then have to comply
> with paying income tax?

Yes, because most states have income tax and as such the bulk of those
compliance costs will still be incurred whether there is money to be sent to
the federal government or not.

>> You don't think that they will STILL need to comply with the sales tax
>> laws
>> and other local/city laws for taxation?
>>
>
> Sure. That's at the state and local level. The fairtax deals with
> compliance of FEDERAL taxes. Don't even bring state and local into
> this.

Ah, but the compliance with them is largely based on the work needed for
federal compliance, so eliminating the federal compliance doesn't eliminate
the expense as you suggest but simply transfers the expense.

>> Nevermind costs for workman's comp, unemployeement insurance, EPA
>> requirements, OSHA requirements, etc, etc, etc
>>
>
> Good, I will never mind them because they have no bearing on the
> FairTax.

Based on what?

Because you say they aren't?

3499. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:28:26 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irr0qpplt1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231427
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>> 4e47e0379641
>> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>> >> 55a606092fd9
>> >> > @
>> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> that's
>> >> >> $123.
>>
>> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
>> >> >> > $23)
>> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough

>> >> >> > for
>> >> >> > you.
>>
>> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
>> >> >> just
>> >> goin
>> >> > g
>> >> >> to away?
>>
>> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and the way
>> >> > market forces work.
>>
>> >> >> --
>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancai
>> > n.c
>> >> > om/
>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
>> >> >> as
>> >> muc
>> >> > h
>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
>> >> >> much
>> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>
>> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our current
>> > tax system would fully fund the government.
>>
>> >> --
>> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.c
>> > om/
>> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
>> muc
>> > h
>> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
>> >> competence?
>>
>> Exactly my point.
>>
>
> But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that currently
> falls well short of funding the federal government?

Given the tax and spend attitude, NO amount of taxation will feed the beast. Even under Clinton will tax increases and a massive increase in revenue due to a booming economy, the federal government still managed to invent new and wonderful ways to spend absolutely everything it got and still needed to borrow even more.

The problem is a lack of control on spending, not on the level of taxation.

3500. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:28:34 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <67c7ddb9-82e0-4afd-b9d1-94f5f3e933da@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231426
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On May 28, 10:19 am, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:7530938f-1691-4edc-bdb9-090429fb1f08@j23g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 6:11 pm, "Scout"
> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >>news:8ce02ba4-e0fa-4501-94f1-87e144248f44@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> > On May 27, 3:35 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> innews:2bd8c587-d08d-465c-9e0a-ec529c2d92ba@r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > On May 27, 12:57 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> >> innews:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@
> >> >> > 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
> >> >> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >> >> >> >>news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.co
> >> >> >> >>m.
> >> >> >> >> ..
>
> >> >> >> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost
> >> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
> >> >> >> > 031
```

> >> >> >> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
> >> >> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> >> >> >> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
> >> >> >> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>
> >> >> >> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the
> >> >> >> >> >> > FairTax.
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> --
> >> >> >> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://her
> >> >> > man
> >> >> >> > cain.c
> >> >> >> >> >> > om/
> >> >> >> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> >> >> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
> >> >> >> >> >> >> Obama had
> >> >> >> > as
> >> >> >> >> >> muc
> >> >> >> >> >> > h
> >> >> >> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
> >> >> >> >> >> >> THAT muc
> >> >> >> > h
> >> >> >> >> >> >> competence?
>
> >> >> >> >> >> I refer to your math.
>
> >> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
> >> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
> >> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
> >> >> >> >> >> that's $1
> >> >> >> > 23.
>
> >> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your

> >> >> >> >> > reading
> >> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
> >> >> >> >> > $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
> >> >> >> >> > enough for you.
>
> >> >> >> >> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales
> >> >> >> >> and
> >> >> >> >> other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they will
> >> >> >> >> still
> >> >> >> >> need to comp
> >> >> >> > ly
> >> >> >> >> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the
> >> >> >> >> additional FairTax imposed?
>
> >> >> >> > You need to understand what compliance costs actually are. They
> >> >> >> > are the costs associated with complying with the federal income
> >> >> >> > tax
> >> >> >> > on wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income tax on
> >> >> >> > wages, i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering, documenting, and
> >> >> >> > filing our annual income.. If those taxes are gone then just
> >> >> >> > what
> >> >> >> > exactly is there left to comply with and how does that cost any
> >> >> >> > money?
>
> >> >> >> There are also state compliance costs associated with state income
> >> >> >> taxes for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals, etc.. Fair
> >> >> >> tax does nothing about them. In addition you also have some
> >> >> >> compliance taxes fo
> >> >> > r
> >> >> >> the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.
>
> >> >> > Because that has to do with state taxes. The FairTax is about
> >> >> > federal
> >> >> > taxation.
>
> >> >> The point is that those costs are still there. They were somewhat
> >> >> amortized when combined with federal taxation but even if that goes
> >> >> away,
> >> >> the mechanisms of collecting those taxes don't.
>
> >> > The costs of production are there. The costs of compliance are no
> >> > longer there.
>
> >> EPA isn't going to be there anymore?
>
> >> OSHA isn't going to be there anymore?
>
> >> Workman's Comp isn't going to be there anymore?
>
> >> Unemployment Benefits aren't going to be there anymore?

>
> >> The need to comply with state/local tax requirements aren't going to be
> >> there anymore?
>
> >> You just can't wave your magic wand and claim all these things are going
> >> away when they aren't.
>
> > With all due respect, what the hell are you talking about? I never
> > claimed these things would go away. Go read the fairtax site so you
> > can come in to this discussion with at least a tacit understanding of
> > it.
>
> Sorry, but it's your job to support your claims and to present any specific
> information needed to that end. It's not my job to good read up on a topic
> you wish to discuss simply because you can't be bothered to present and
> support your views.

Look, I said repeatedly that the fairtax replaces federal income taxes
including personal, estate, gift, capital gains, alternative minimum,
Social Security, Medicare, self-employment, and corporate taxes and
the compliance costs associated with them. You come out of left field
with this EPA and OSHA nonsense. It has no connection. It's like
trying to prove a negative.

3501. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:29:23 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irr0sipuf1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231428
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:irqrtj$s4c$1@dont-email.me...
>
> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>> On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>>> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com..
>>> > .
>>> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>>> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>
>>> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>>> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >>> >>> wholesale = $50
>>> >>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>>> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
>>> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>
>>> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>>> >>> >>> that's
>>> >>> > $123.
>>>
>>> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
>>> >>> >> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
>>> >>> >> $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
>>> >>> >> enough for you.

>>>
>>> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
>>> >>> > just going to away?
>>>
>>> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will no
>>> >>> longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate numbers and
>>> >>> understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an item's cost, add
>>> >>> stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he puts it back in place.
>>> >>> Either his first 23% is in error or the second one is.
>>>
>>> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good and
>>> >> service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will go away
>>> >> as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the FairTax.
>>> >> Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>>
>>> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>>>
>>> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to be
>>> > eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>>
>>> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of the
>>> product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed equal the
>>> new costs put in when the new costs include all that federal tax revenue
>>> that is currently being gathered.
>>>
>>> Personal income tax - 45%
>>> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>>> Corporate income tax - 12%
>>> Excise taxes - 3%
>>> Other - 4%
>>>
>>> http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>>>
>>> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't go
>>> away.
>>>
>>> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to gather
>>> all
>>> that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the cost for that
>>> stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is the only way to add
>>> 23% tax and come up with the original cost for the product.
>>>
>>> --
>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>
>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>> Don't complain.
>>
>> Go to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the section
>> headed "Theories of retail pricing".

>
> Wrongly titled the "Fair" tax should be labeled the "Unfair" tax.
> Totally regressive it excuses the wealthiest among us.

Sid isn't happy unless it's getting stuck to the wealthy to support his poor ass.

3502. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:35:32 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF36BC12FF6CWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231429
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On 28 May 2011, you wrote in talk.politics.guns:

> I absolutely agree with you 100%. What I am discussing is merely tax
> reform, not spending reform. The fairtax is meant only to replace the
> current tax structure. Spending is a whole new ball of wax. And,
> it's there too that I also agree with Herman Cain. Dude, I'm on your
> side on that.
>

Well, fine lets figure out what the government needs to spend on and then create a system that provides that amount. As much as I dislike it, changing the system makes little sense if the end result is the same and you still need "prebates" for it to work. Changing over the tax system, at least for this government, would be an epic clusterfuck anyway.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3503. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:51:29 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irr25j$2h7$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231430
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:9bc6e385-9ac6-4aac-a3c4-d7b80021e753@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com...
> On May 28, 10:13 am, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@
>> 16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>> >> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>> >> 4e47e0379641
>> >> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com
>>
>> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>> >> >> 55a606092fd9
>> >> >> > @
>> >> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>

>> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> >> that's
>> >> >> >> $123.
>>
>> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>> >> >> >> > reading
>> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
>> >> >> >> > $
>> > 23)
>> >> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough
>> > for
>> >> >> >> > you.
>>
>> >> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
>> jus
>> > t
>> >> >> goin
>> >> >> > g
>> >> >> >> to away?
>>
>> >> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and the
>> >> >> > way
>> >> >> > market forces work.
>>
>> >> >> >> --
>> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://herman
>> > cai
>> >> > n.c
>> >> >> > om/
>> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama
>> >> >> >> had
>> > as
>> >> >> muc
>> >> >> > h
>> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
>> muc
>> > h
>> >> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>
>> >> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our current
>> >> > tax system would fully fund the government.
>>
>> >> >> --
>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancai
>> > n.c

>> >> > om/
>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
>> >> >> as
>> >> muc
>> >> > h
>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
>> >> >> much
>> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> Exactly my point.
>>
>> > But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that currently
>> > falls well short of funding the federal government?
>>
>> You think that is my primary concern? if the government is not spending
>> correctly then yes I want to starve it.
>>
>> Everyone want to talk about taxes. How about we talk about excess, not
>> Constitutional spending. How about we talk about what they should be
>> doing
>> and how much it should cost and then think about a tax system to support
>> THAT amount, not create another tax system to raise the same amount of
>> money and continue spending at the same rate.
>>
>
> I absolutely agree with you 100%. What I am discussing is merely tax
> reform, not spending reform. The fairtax is meant only to replace the
> current tax structure. Spending is a whole new ball of wax. And,
> it's there too that I also agree with Herman Cain. Dude, I'm on your
> side on that.
>
>> > I see you support Herman Cain. You do realize he supports the FairTax
>> > don't you? I suspect a businessman like him has many of the same
>> > questions all of you have been pelting me with. And I bet he
>> > understand what compliance costs are and how they affect business.
>> > And through all that he supports the FairTax. You still going to vote
>> > vote for him? I trust him far more than I do the likes of socialists
>> > like Obama. Cain will be getting my vote.
>>
>> I rarely if ever agree with any candidate 100%. However I do need to
>> support one with my vote, I am not a believer in the idea that not voting
>> "sends a message". If you don't vote you have effectively voted for the
>> winner. I do not care for any of the old school Republicans and I despise
>> Obama so i have to pick someone. Honestly, I might even support Ron Paul
>> except that he is a little bit to blind to the importance of the military
>> and that disengaging the way he wants to might be a little dangerous.
>>

>> --
>> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
>> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
>> much
>> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
>> competence?
>

The "Fair" tax is inherently unfair.

High earners are shield from the tax by the money they DON'T spend

3504. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:54:16 UTC
From: "Deep Dudu"
Message-ID: <o132u6tlasvffb8kchku23po59ok53cafc@4ax.com>
Source record: 231431
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Sat, 28 May 2011 10:13:56 -0400, "Scout"
<me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:

>
>
>"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>news:irqv9fgeo1@dont-email.me...
>>
>> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>> news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
>>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>>>>
>>>> > ...
>>>> > What is wrong with this country?
>>>>
>>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>>>>
>>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
>>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>>>>
>>>> -- or --
>>>>
>>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government criminal
>>>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain absolute
>>>> police state control over all American citizens.
>>>>
>>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang either the
>>>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>>
>>> JS -please- This is not simply the
>>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>>>
>>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New & Improved Plan :
>>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
>>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
>>> * Democrats Promise You More New & Improved
>>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
>>> * Democrats Promise You More New & Improved
>>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}

>>>
>>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
>>> Tax You More & Spend More of Your Tax Money
>>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>>>
>>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
>>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
>>> .
>>> .
>>
>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>
>What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>

Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy. Taxing the hell out of them and putting the money directly into the economy will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all tax loopholes and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains exactlyt he same as wages.

3505. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:55:12 UTC
From: gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <c373b161-64c5-4059-8812-505c1c48b2f6@16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231432
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 28, 10:28 am, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
> >> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
> >> 4e47e0379641
> >> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
> >> >> 55a606092fd9
> >> >> > @
> >> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
> >> that's
> >> >> >> $123.
>
> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your reading
> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus

> >> >> >> > $23)
> >> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough
> >> >> >> > for
> >> >> >> > you.
>
> >> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
> >> >> >> just
> >> >> goin
> >> >> > g
> >> >> >> to away?
>
> >> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and the way
> >> >> > market forces work.
>
> >> >> >> --
> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancai
> >> > n.c
> >> >> > om/
> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
> >> >> >> as
> >> >> muc
> >> >> > h
> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
> >> >> >> much
> >> >> >> competence?
>
> >> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>
> >> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our current
> >> > tax system would fully fund the government.
>
> >> >> --
> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.c
> >> > om/
> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
> >> muc
> >> > h
> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
> >> >> competence?
>
> >> Exactly my point.
>
> > But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that currently
> > falls well short of funding the federal government?

>
> Given the tax and spend attitude, NO amount of taxation will feed the beast.
> Even under Clinton will tax increases and a massive increase in revenue due
> to a booming economy, the federal government still managed to invent new and
> wonderful ways to spend absolutely everything it got and still needed to
> borrow even more.
>
> The problem is a lack of control on spending, not on the level of taxation.

Exactly. That's why something like the FT is revenue neutral. It's a
mechanism to maintain current levels of tax revenue. Controlling
spending is a completely different issue.

3506. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:57:05 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF36F680423FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231433
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:c373b161-64c5-4059-8812-505c1c48b2f6@16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com:

> On May 28, 10:28 am, "Scout"
><me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>> >> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
@yahoo.com>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>> >> 4e47e0379641
>> >> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
@yahoo.co
> m>
>> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>> >> >> 55a606092fd9
>> >> >> > @
>> >> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>

>> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> >> that's
>> >> >> >> $123.
>>
>> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
readi
> ng
>> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minu
> s
>> >> >> >> > $23)
>> >> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enou
> gh
>> >> >> >> > for
>> >> >> >> > you.
>>
>> >> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
>> >> >> >> just
>> >> >> goin
>> >> >> > g
>> >> >> >> to away?
>>
>> >> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and the
> way
>> >> >> > market forces work.
>>
>> >> >> >> --
>> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! [illegible] http://her
> mancai
>> >> > n.c
>> >> >> > om/
>> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama
h
> ad
>> >> >> >> as
>> >> >> muc
>> >> >> > h
>> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
>> >> >> >> much
>> >> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>
>> >> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our curren
> t
>> >> > tax system would fully fund the government.
>>

>> >> >> --
>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]http://herman
> cain.c
>> >> > om/
>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
> as
>> >> muc
>> >> > h
>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
muc
> h
>> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> Exactly my point.
>>
>> > But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that currently
>> > falls well short of funding the federal government?
>>
>> Given the tax and spend attitude, NO amount of taxation will feed the
bea
> st.
>> Even under Clinton will tax increases and a massive increase in revenue
d
> ue
>> to a booming economy, the federal government still managed to invent new
> and
>> wonderful ways to spend absolutely everything it got and still needed to
>> borrow even more.
>>
>> The problem is a lack of control on spending, not on the level of
taxatio
> n.
>
> Exactly. That's why something like the FT is revenue neutral. It's a
> mechanism to maintain current levels of tax revenue. Controlling
> spending is a completely different issue.
>

And it's pointless going through the exercise of changing the collection
method if spending doesn't change.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3507. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 14:59:56 UTC
From: "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <a329fc1d-b6b6-4952-a595-c920900d2a40@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231434
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 27, 2:53 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> <r_c_br...@hushmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:78b180fe-3596-4f15-85e1-37c9e5ac3520@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>
> > On May 25, 10:29 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/25/2011 8:00 PM, r_c_br...@hushmail.com wrote:
>
> >> > ...
> >> > Hence my remark that such a system would require a totalitarian state.
>
> >> >> --
> >> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> >> Don't complain.
>
> >> Don't forget, we plonk fools here ...
>
> > Clearly, then, you should go plonk yourself.
>
> 3 people who all said basically the same thing. Seems John should buy a
> clue.

Yeah, sorry about the redundancy; I should have read through the rest
of the thread before responding.

3508. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 15:19:56 UTC
From: JohnJohnsn <TopCop1988@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <518c29d0-be73-446a-9a2a-aeda9c5b4a11@f11g2000vbx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231435
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 28, 9:02 am, "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
>
>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>>>
>>>> What is wrong with this country?
>
>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>
>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>
>>> -- or --
>
>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government criminal
>>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain absolute
>>> police state control over all American citizens.
>
>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang either the
>>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>
>> JS -please- This is not simply the
>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>
>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New & Improved Plan :
>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
>> * Democrats Promise You More New & Improved
>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
>> * Democrats Promise You More New & Improved
>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>
>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
>> Tax You More & Spend More of Your Tax Money
>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-

Government & the Great Lie
"I'm from the government, and I'm here to help you."

>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-

> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>
> 1. We need the bush,jr tax. This tax remains in force until
> bush,jr's unfunded wars are paid for.

What about Obama's "unfunded Libya war"?

> 2. We need to rescind the bush, jr tax cuts that have crippled
> our government.

Eleventh Law of Leftist Debate:
It's Bush's fault.

> 3. We need to tax dividends, interest, and capital gains at the
> same rate as money earned by working.

In the United States, individuals and corporations pay income tax on the net total of all their capital gains just as they do on other sorts of income. Capital gains are generally taxed at a preferential rate in comparison to ordinary income
(26 U.S.C. §1(h)).

Capital Gains Taxation in the United States from 2003 forward
Tax Rates:
2003-2012
Ordinary Income
Tax Rate: 35%
Short-term Capital
Gains Tax Rate: 35%
Long-term Capital
Gains Tax Rate: 15%

2013 –
Ordinary Income
Tax Rate: 39.6%
Short-term Capital
Gains Tax Rate: 39.6%
Long-term Capital
Gains Tax Rate: 20%

The reduced 15% tax rate on qualified dividends and long term capital gains, previously scheduled to expire in 2008, was extended through 2010 as a result of the Tax Reconciliation Act signed into law by President George W. Bush on May 17, 2006. This was extended through 2012 by President Barack Obama on Dec 17, 2010.

[Guess who was in power in the Congress _/and/_ the Presidency when the extension was passed in 2010: that's right; the DEMOCRATS!]

The United States is UNLIKE OTHER COUNTRIES in that its citizens are subject to U.S. tax regardless of where in the world they reside. U.S. citizens therefore find it difficult to take advantage of personal tax havens. U.S. law requires reporting of income from those accounts and failure to do so constitutes tax evasion. [EMPHASIS added]

-http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Capital_gains_tax_in_the_United_States

3509. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 15:31:16 UTC
From: Peter Franks <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <irr4g4fvl1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231436
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/28/2011 7:54 AM, Deep Dudu wrote:
> On Sat, 28 May 2011 10:13:56 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> "Sid9"<sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>> news:irqv9fgeo1@dont-email.me...
>>>
>>> "RHF"<rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>>> news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
>>>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> What is wrong with this country?
>>>>>
>>>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>>>>>
>>>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
>>>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>>>>>
>>>>> -- or --
>>>>>
>>>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government criminal
>>>>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain absolute
>>>>> police state control over all American citizens.
>>>>>
>>>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang either the
>>>>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>>>
>>>> JS -please- This is not simply the
>>>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>>>>
>>>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New& Improved Plan :
>>>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
>>>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
>>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
>>>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
>>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved

>>>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>>>>
>>>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
>>>> Tax You More& Spend More of Your Tax Money
>>>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>>>>
>>>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
>>>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
>>>> .
>>>> .
>>>
>>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>>
>> What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>>
>
> Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy. Taxing
> the hell out of them and putting the money directly into the economy
> will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all tax loopholes
> and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains exactlyt he same as
> wages.

Why should the wealthy pay more than their fair share?

3510. Re: New GE Reveal 'Hybrid' CFL Bulbs in Traditional A19 'Glass' Shape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 15:34:59 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irr4n2hdh1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231437

On 5/28/2011 6:40 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> It (''IT'') would/will get to where those High Price light bulbs are a
> Commodity.Bulb Snatching/Bulb Snatchers would/will be back in Vogue
> again.
> Do you follow me?
> cuhulin
>

Bulb snatchers? Really?

Gwad, they sound near as disgusting as body snatchers!

Regards,
JS

3511. Re: People Loot MN Liquor Store After Tornado : Due To Alcohol {Drug} Addiction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 15:38:53 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irr4uchdh2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231438
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,soc.culture.usa,rec.autos.driving,talk.politics.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On 5/28/2011 5:27 AM, RHF wrote:

http://answers.yahoo.com/question/index?qid=20090821194028AAulyQo

http://racismaintover.blogspot.com/2005/10/disproportionate-crime-rat...

http://www.vdare.com/sailer/050213_mapping.htm

>> ...
>
> JS - At Birth They Are Not : So They Ain't [.]
>
> However, Social-Economic Conditions May Shape Them
> Into Criminals -but- That Ain't 'Natural Born'.
>
> - Or, at least appear to be, in America?"
> -
> - Regards,
> - JS
>
> So "JS" - You 'Appear' To Be Social-Economic
> Conditioned To Think "SO" By The Great White
> Liberal Media.
> -feed-on-that-media-stereotype-yum-yum-yum-
>

I said what I meant, and meant what I said ...

I am not biased one way or another, but simply presented the facts, as I have found them to be.

If you have data which proves it false, I'd say it is time to post it ... else, accept things for what they are.

Regards,
JS

3512. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 15:40:50 UTC
From: "Deep Dudu"
Message-ID: <2p52u61cmm5qf0ts6t4llqgp2arkf4f0hl@4ax.com>
Source record: 231439
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Sat, 28 May 2011 08:31:16 -0700, Peter Franks <none@none.com>
wrote:

>
>>>>
>>>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>>>
>>> What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>>>
>>
>> Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy. Taxing
>> the hell out of them and putting the money directly into the economy
>> will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all tax loopholes
>> and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains exactlyt he same as
>> wages.
>
>Why should the wealthy pay more than their fair share?

They aren't. Notice what it was for years after WWII when our economy
was booming. Now look where it is. Does it seem to you that cutting
taxes on the wealthy has stimulated the economy? Find someone to
explain the numbers and squiggly lines to you.

3513. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 15:43:41 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irr57chdh3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231440
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/28/2011 3:00 AM, RHF wrote:

> ...
> JS -please- This is not simply the
> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
> ...

As I have stated, and many times before this:

democans = republicrats.

If anyone thinks,that the change of party means any anything they are morons. Some shadow government is in control, it is with them the true battle will begin.

While trashing, dumping, ridding ourselves of their puppets is good, we need to hang the puppet masters along side of their puppets ...

Regards,
JS

3514. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 15:44:54 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irr59o$ls6$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231441
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

"John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:irr57chdh3@dont-email.me...
> On 5/28/2011 3:00 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>> ...
>> JS -please- This is not simply the
>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>> ...
>
> As I have stated, and many times before this:
>
> democans = republicrats.
>
> If anyone thinks,that the change of party means any anything they are
> morons. Some shadow government is in control, it is with them the true
> battle will begin.
>
> While trashing, dumping, ridding ourselves of their puppets is good, we
> need to hang the puppet masters along side of their puppets ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Another apologist for what the Republicans have done to our country!

3515. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 15:46:37 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irr5cshdh4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231442
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/28/2011 7:54 AM, Deep Dudu wrote:
> On Sat, 28 May 2011 10:13:56 -0400, "Scout"
> <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> "Sid9"<sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>> news:irqv9f$geo$1@dont-email.me...
>>>
>>> "RHF"<rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>>> news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
>>>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>> What is wrong with this country?
>>>>>
>>>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>>>>>
>>>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
>>>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>>>>>
>>>>> -- or --
>>>>>
>>>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government criminal
>>>>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain absolute
>>>>> police state control over all American citizens.
>>>>>
>>>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang either the
>>>>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>>>
>>>> JS -please- This is not simply the
>>>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>>>>
>>>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New& Improved Plan :
>>>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
>>>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
>>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
>>>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
>>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
```

>>>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>>>>
>>>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
>>>> Tax You More& Spend More of Your Tax Money
>>>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>>>>
>>>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
>>>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
>>>> .
>>>> .
>>>
>>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>>
>> What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>>
>
> Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy. Taxing
> the hell out of them and putting the money directly into the economy
> will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all tax loopholes
> and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains exactlyt he same as
> wages.

Allowing the vast majority of wealth to stand idle in the rich elites'
bank accounts drawing interest, or invested to generate them large
profits which they keep score of -- like some sort of sick game, has
never raised the standard of living nor produced a country you would
like to live in ...

Regards,
JS

3516. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 15:48:33 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irr5gghdh5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231443
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/28/2011 8:40 AM, Deep Dudu wrote:

>> ...
>> Why should the wealthy pay more than their fair share?
>
> They aren't. Notice what it was for years after WWII when our economy
> was booming. Now look where it is. Does it seem to you that cutting
> taxes on the wealthy has stimulated the economy? Find someone to
> explain the numbers and squiggly lines to you.

Exactly.

The rest of us are are going to need "welfare" just to afford security
guards so we are not killed for what we have!

Starving ugly masses get mean, yanno'?

Regards,
JS

3517. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 15:50:34 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irr5k9hdh6@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231444
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

```
On 5/28/2011 8:44 AM, Sid9 wrote:
>
> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:irr57c$hdh$3@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/28/2011 3:00 AM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> JS -please- This is not simply the
>>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>>> ...
>>
>> As I have stated, and many times before this:
>>
>> democans = republicrats.
>>
>> If anyone thinks,that the change of party means any anything they are
>> morons. Some shadow government is in control, it is with them the true
>> battle will begin.
>>
>> While trashing, dumping, ridding ourselves of their puppets is good,
>> we need to hang the puppet masters along side of their puppets ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Another apologist for what the Republicans have done to our country!

Again, democans = republicrats.

At this time, there is NO difference between parties. They are both
composed of criminals having their strings pulled by rich elite puppet
masters.

Regards,
JS
```

3518. Re: People Loot MN Liquor Store After Tornado : Due To Alcohol {Drug} Addiction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 15:55:01 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <d45312c0-4f40-4a30-a268-14a42076d44a@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231445
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,soc.culture.usa,rec.autos.driving,talk.politics.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 28, 8:38 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/28/2011 5:27 AM, RHF wrote:
>
> http://answers.yahoo.com/question/index?qid=20090821194028AAulyQo
>
> http://racismaintover.blogspot.com/2005/10/disproportionate-crime-rat...
>
> http://www.vdare.com/sailer/050213_mapping.htm
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >> ...
>
> > > JS - At Birth They Are Not : So They Ain't [.]
>
> > > However, Social-Economic Conditions May Shape Them
> > > Into Criminals -but- That Ain't 'Natural Born'.
>
> > > - Or, at least appear to be, in America?"
> > > -
> > > - Regards,
> > > - JS
>
> > > So "JS" - You 'Appear' To Be Social-Economic
> > > Conditioned To Think "SO" By The Great White
> > > Liberal Media.
> > > -feed-on-that-media-stereotype-yum-yum-yum-
>
> I said what I meant, and meant what I said ...
>
> I am not biased one way or another, but simply presented the facts, as I
> have found them to be.
>
> If you have data which proves it false, I'd say it is time to post it
> ... else, accept things for what they are.
>

> Regards,
> JS

John Smith -wrote-
"Why are Negros natural born criminals?

JS - At Birth They Are Not : So They Ain't [.]

However, Social-Economic Conditions May Shape Them
Into Criminals -but- That Ain't 'Natural Born'.

JS - NO DATA REQUIRED : JUST A SIMPLE FACT [.]
.
.

3519. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 15:59:51 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <a8e32895-64e1-424e-bc30-ef48f0c81c7b@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231446
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 28, 8:31 am, Peter Franks <n...@none.com> wrote:
> On 5/28/2011 7:54 AM, Deep Dudu wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Sat, 28 May 2011 10:13:56 -0400, "Scout"
> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
> >> "Sid9"<sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> >>news:irqv9fgeo1@dont-email.me...
>
> >>> "RHF"<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> >>>news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
> >>>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>
> >>>>>> ...
> >>>>>> What is wrong with this country?
>
> >>>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>
> >>>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
> >>>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>
> >>>>> -- or --
>
> >>>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government criminal
> >>>>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain absolute
> >>>>> police state control over all American citizens.
>
> >>>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang either the
> >>>>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>
> >>>>> Regards,
> >>>>> JS
>
> >>>> JS -please- This is not simply the

> >>>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>
> >>>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New& Improved Plan :
> >>>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
> >>>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
> >>>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
> >>>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>
> >>>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
> >>>> Tax You More& Spend More of Your Tax Money
> >>>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>
> >>>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
> >>>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
> >>>> .
> >>>> .
>
> >>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>
> >> What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>
> > Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy. Taxing
> > the hell out of them and putting the money directly into the economy
> > will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all tax loopholes
> > and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains exactlyt he same as
> > wages.

- Why should the wealthy pay more than their fair share?

We Are From The Government {We Are Backed
by Arms} and We Are Here To Help :o) ~ RHF
-for-every-irs-agent-there-are-10-soldiers-
.

3520. Re: New GE Reveal 'Hybrid' CFL Bulbs in Traditional A19 'Glass' Shape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:05:43 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <688b43c9-c0ce-43e5-877e-d5e3bc891df4@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231447

On May 28, 8:34 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/28/2011 6:40 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > It (''IT'') would/will get to where those High Price light bulbs are a
> > Commodity.Bulb Snatching/Bulb Snatchers would/will be back in Vogue
> > again.
> > Do you follow me?
> > cuhulin

- Bulb snatchers? Really?

Commonly referred to as TSA Agents
-please-don't-squeeze-the-bulbs-
.
> Gwad, they sound near as disgusting as body snatchers!
>
> Regards,
> JS

3521. WTWW on 5755 kHz @ 0800 UTC "McCanney Science Hour"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:08:22 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <56d4fe21-36ca-46e3-8623-65f5494018f6@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231448

On Apr 16, 3:34 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> WTWW "Science Hour" -host- James McCanney
> on 5755 kHz @ 0800 UTC on 16 April 2011
>
> S-Meter : S9 +20 dB and SIO 555 with Excellent Audio
>
> Some may say that it should be called the WEIRD
> 'Science Hour' -but- It can be entertaining . . .
>
> Program got-off the scheduled topic the "Comet Elenin"
> and onto the "Kolbrin" Manuscript and his book :
> "Atlantis to Tesla - The Kolbrin
Connection"http://www.jmccanneyscience.com/AtlantistoTeslaCoversandTableofConten...
> .
> About the Comet called
"Elenin"http://news.discovery.com/space/will-earthbound-comet-fulfill-2012-pr...
> -aka- Comet C/2010 X1 (Elenin)http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Comet_Elenin
> .
> WTWW : We Transmit World-Widehttp://wtww.us/
> 5755 kHz @ 7 PM Central (0000 UTC) to 8 AM (1300 UTC)
> 9480 kHz 8 AM Central (1300 UTC) to 7 PM (0000 UTC)http://www.sfawbn.org/index.html
> .
> WTWW Lebanon, Tennessee ID @ 0900 UTChttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WTWW
> .
> yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

WTWW on 5755 kHz @ 0800 UTC "Science Hour"
-host-by- James McCanney
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/735eaf6ef23ffccd
.
.

3522. Re: New GE Reveal 'Hybrid' CFL Bulbs in Traditional A19 'Glass' Shape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:09:10 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irr6n5hdh8@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231449

On 5/28/2011 9:05 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 28, 8:34 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/28/2011 6:40 AM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>> It (''IT'') would/will get to where those High Price light bulbs are a
>>> Commodity.Bulb Snatching/Bulb Snatchers would/will be back in Vogue
>>> again.
>>> Do you follow me?
>>> cuhulin
>
> - Bulb snatchers? Really?
>
> Commonly referred to as TSA Agents
> -please-don't-squeeze-the-bulbs-
> .
>> Gwad, they sound near as disgusting as body snatchers!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>

And, then, there is the story about the "snatch snatchers!"

Just don't let 'em around your wife or daughters!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

3523. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:19:13 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF35ED33D497hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231450
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:

> On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>> innews:irp6os$h
> 5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com
>> >..
>> > .
>> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >>> >>> wholesale = $50
>> >>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
>> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> >>> >>> that's
>> >>> > $123.
>>
>> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>> >>> >> reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>> >>> >> (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example

>> >>> >> wasn't simple enough for you.
>>
>> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
>> >>> > just going to away?
>>
>> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will no
>> >>> longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate numbers
>> >>> and understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an item's cost,
>> >>> add stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he puts it back in
>> >>> place. Either his first 23% is in error or the second one is.
>>
>> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good
>> >> and service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will go
>> >> away as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the
>> >> FairTax. Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>
>> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>>
>> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to be
>> > eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>
>> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of the
>> product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed equal
>> the new costs put in when the new costs include all that federal tax
>> revenue that is currently being gathered.
>>
>> Personal income tax - 45%
>> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>> Corporate income tax - 12%
>> Excise taxes - 3%
>> Other - 4%
>>
>> http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>>
>> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't
>> go away.
>>
>> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to gather
>> all that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the cost for
>> that stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is the only
>> way to ad
> d
>> 23% tax and come up with the original cost for the product.
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.

>
> Go to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the section
> headed "Theories of retail pricing".
>

YOu mean like this specific statement:

"Based on a study conducted by Dale Jorgenson, proponents state that production cost of domestic goods and services could decrease by approximately 22% on average after embedded tax costs are removed, leaving the sale nearly the same after taxes. The study concludes that producer prices would drop between 15% and 26% (depending on the type of good/service).[79] Jorgenson's research included all income and payroll taxes in the embedded tax estimation, which assumes employee take-home pay (net income) remains unchanged from pre-FairTax levels.[4][80] Price and wage changes after the FairTax would largely depend on the response of the Federal Reserve monetary authorities.[27][37][81] Non-accommodation of the money supply would suggest retail prices and take home pay stay the same—embedded taxes are replaced by the FairTax. Full accommodation would suggest prices and incomes rise by the exclusive rate (i.e. 30%)—embedded taxes become windfall gains. Partial accommodation would suggest a varying degree in-between.[27][81]"

Jorgenson is the author of a study that makes the above claim. He is also a proponent of his own form of taxing which is to tax *income* at different rates depending on whether they are work based or property based.

Now, let's look at the statement. The statement concludes that production costs would drop by an average of 22%. That *could* produce a *product cost* drop of 15 to 26% depending on the type of good or service. This is much more in line with what I have been saying. I asked you where you got your percentage from on product cost to purchase. After all, that is where the consumer comes in. That is not shown here nor is it shown in the Fair Tax FAQ.

This cost reduction shown here is on the actual product cost not the product's selling price. In the stream between the product cost and the selling price are factors like inventory taxes, state taxes, shipping and distribution costs, profit, etc.. That means that the selling price (which you use in your example) will not see a 23% drop. Even the FAQ that you kept referring me to only shows 23% as a factor in the Fair Tax to make the *revenue* to the federal government neutral.

So to make your example correct, you should say that removing these federal charges like corporate taxes on the product cost could make the selling price of the product drop although some of those costs will remain as the Fair Tax only addresses the federal portions. Adding in the Fair Tax at point of sale, keeps federal revenue neutral as regards federal taxes (including personal income tax revenue, FICA, corporate income taxes, etc.) It would give you a price not much higher than it was but you would have no federal income taxes, no corporate income taxes,

and no FICA to pay. Those costs to you have been moved to the Fair Tax
which is applied at the point of sale.

Now are we on the same page?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3524. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:22:55 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF35F73D30F5hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231451
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irqrtj$s4c$1@dont-email.me:

>
> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com.
> ..
>> On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>>> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.co
>>> >m..
>>> > .
>>> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> >> wrote:
>>> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>>> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>
>>> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>>> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >>> >>> wholesale = $50
>>> >>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>>> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
>>> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>
>>> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>>> >>> >>> that's
>>> >>> > $123.
>>>
>>> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your

>>> >>> >> reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>>> >>> >> (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
>>> >>> >> wasn't simple enough for you.
>>>
>>> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
>>> >>> > are just going to away?
>>>
>>> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will
>>> >>> no longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate
>>> >>> numbers and understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an
>>> >>> item's cost, add stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he
>>> >>> puts it back in place. Either his first 23% is in error or the
>>> >>> second one is.
>>>
>>> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good
>>> >> and service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will
>>> >> go away as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the
>>> >> FairTax. Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>>
>>> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>>>
>>> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to
>>> > be eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>>
>>> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of the
>>> product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed equal
>>> the new costs put in when the new costs include all that federal tax
>>> revenue that is currently being gathered.
>>>
>>> Personal income tax - 45%
>>> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>>> Corporate income tax - 12%
>>> Excise taxes - 3%
>>> Other - 4%
>>>
>>> http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>>>
>>> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't
>>> go away.
>>>
>>> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to
>>> gather all that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the
>>> cost for that stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is
>>> the only way to add 23% tax and come up with the original cost for
>>> the product.
>>>
>>> --
>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>
>>> If you woke up this morning....

>>> Don't complain.
>>
>> Go to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the
>> section headed "Theories of retail pricing".
>
> Wrongly titled the "Fair" tax should be labeled the "Unfair" tax.
> Totally regressive it excuses the wealthiest among us.
>
>

Actually, it evens some stuff out. As he has noted, it is a sales tax applied to purchases. The wealthy tend to purchase more items and ones with a higher cost than poor folk do. After all, how many poor folk purchase a 50' yacht from Broward Marine or Eggf Harbor? The tax is based on the selling price of an item and is the same on all items.

Ergo, those who buy more items or more expensive ones will pay more tax.

Don't let your money envy and class jealousy blind you, Sid.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3525. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:23:27 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF35F8B064FEhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231452
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irr0sipuf1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:irqrtj$s4c$1@dont-email.me...
>>
>> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com
>> ...
>>> On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> wrote:
>>>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>>>> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.c
>>>> >om..
>>>> > .
>>>> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>> >> wrote:
>>>> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>>>> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>
>>>> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>>>> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> >>> >>> wholesale = $50
>>>> >>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>>>> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
>>>> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27

>>>>
>>>> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>>> >>> >>> decree that's
>>>> >>> > $123.
>>>>
>>>> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>>> >>> >> reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>>>> >>> >> (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
>>>> >>> >> wasn't simple enough for you.
>>>>
>>>> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
>>>> >>> > are just going to away?
>>>>
>>>> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will
>>>> >>> no longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate
>>>> >>> numbers and understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an
>>>> >>> item's cost, add stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he
>>>> >>> puts it back in place. Either his first 23% is in error or the
>>>> >>> second one is.
>>>>
>>>> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good
>>>> >> and service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will
>>>> >> go away as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the
>>>> >> FairTax. Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>>>
>>>> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>>>>
>>>> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to
>>>> > be eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>>>
>>>> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of
>>>> the product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed
>>>> equal the new costs put in when the new costs include all that
>>>> federal tax revenue that is currently being gathered.
>>>>
>>>> Personal income tax - 45%
>>>> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>>>> Corporate income tax - 12%
>>>> Excise taxes - 3%
>>>> Other - 4%
>>>>
>>>> http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>>>>
>>>> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't
>>>> go away.
>>>>
>>>> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to
>>>> gather all
>>>> that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the cost for
>>>> that stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is the only

>>>> way to add 23% tax and come up with the original cost for the
>>>> product.
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>>
>>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>>> Don't complain.
>>>
>>> Go to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the
>>> section headed "Theories of retail pricing".
>>
>> Wrongly titled the "Fair" tax should be labeled the "Unfair" tax.
>> Totally regressive it excuses the wealthiest among us.
>
> Sid isn't happy unless it's getting stuck to the wealthy to support
> his poor ass.
>
>
>

Begorra, methinks you figgered it out.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3526. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:26:42 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF360183C54Chopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231453
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irr01p$ks8$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com.
> ..
>> On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>>> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.co
>>> >m..
>>> > .
>>> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> >> wrote:
>>> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>>> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>
>>> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>>> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >>> >>> wholesale = $50
>>> >>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>>> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
>>> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>
>>> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>>> >>> >>> that's
>>> >>> > $123.

>>>
>>> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>> >>> >> reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>>> >>> >> (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
>>> >>> >> wasn't simple enough for you.
>>>
>>> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
>>> >>> > are just going to away?
>>>
>>> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will
>>> >>> no longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate
>>> >>> numbers and understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an
>>> >>> item's cost, add stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he
>>> >>> puts it back in place. Either his first 23% is in error or the
>>> >>> second one is.
>>>
>>> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good
>>> >> and service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will
>>> >> go away as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the
>>> >> FairTax. Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>>
>>> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>>>
>>> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to
>>> > be eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>>
>>> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of the
>>> product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed equal
>>> the new costs put in when the new costs include all that federal tax
>>> revenue that is currently being gathered.
>>>
>>> Personal income tax - 45%
>>> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>>> Corporate income tax - 12%
>>> Excise taxes - 3%
>>> Other - 4%
>>>
>>> http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>>>
>>> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't
>>> go away.
>>>
>>> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to
>>> gather all that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the
>>> cost for that stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is
>>> the only way to add 23% tax and come up with the original cost for
>>> the product.
>>>
>>> --
>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

>>>
>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>> Don't complain.
>>
>> Go to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the
>> section headed "Theories of retail pricing".
>
> Sorry, but show me in Fairtax.org
>
> In wiki anyone can claim anything about anyone. If your claims have
> merit then the organization itself will have said it.
>
>
>

And they don't. They state that lower product costs could lower the price of those products but do not define it. A figure close to what he claims is in some of the accompanying stuff, particularly in wiki. The number they use is an average of around 22% and it is applied to actual product cost, not selling price which is where Fair Tax is applied. Therefore even though the percentages are close, they are applied to different amounts so the result is different not the same as he had claimed.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3527. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:35:49 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF361A3B84CChopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231454
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:203f1def-415f-4cf7-be08-a5abba747f35@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:

> On May 27, 7:51 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>> innews:irp836$p
> kd$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >news:135296b0-c10e-40b6-bb7a-29e0f6995f2d@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com
>> >..
>> > .
>> >> On May 27, 3:02 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >>> innews:a55df435-ec3a-4cbd-96bd-a6a7c5e8166a@v10g2000yqn.googlegrou
>> >>> ps. com:
>>
>> >>> > On May 27, 12:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >>> > wrote:
>> >>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >>> >> innews:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@
>> >>> > l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >>> Let's get rid of a lot crap and get down to the chase and the
>> >>> point I am trying to make.
>>
>> >>> You keep stating that there will be 23% of the product cost
>> >>> removed when Fair Tax is implemented, therefore the 23% of the
>> >>> Fair Tax will simply bring the product back to the same price.
>> >>> You say that research has shown that.
>>
>> >>> Now, let's look at the FAQ. It says that the Fair Tax will be

>> >>> *revenue* neutral. ?It does NOT say it will be *cost* neutral. ?T
> hat
>> >>> is YOUR claim, not theirs. Revenue neutral means that the amount
>> >>> of tax revenue produced by the Fair Tax will be the same as
>> >>> current practice provide. ?Cost neutral would indicate that cost
>> >>> of the product to the public would not change. ?A statement that
>> >>> they do not make.
>>
>> >>> Here is what the FAIR Tax FAQ says:
>>
>> >>> "Does the FairTax rate need to be much higher to be revenue
>> >>> neutral?
>>
>> >>> The proper tax rate has been carefully worked out; 23 percent
>> >>> does the job of: (1) raising the same amount of federal funds as
>> >>> are raised by the current system, (2) paying the universal
>> >>> rebate, and (3) paying the collection fees to retailers and state
>> >>> governments. Unlike some other proposals, this rate has been
>> >>> independently confirmed by several different, nonpartisan
>> >>> institutions across the country. Detailed calculations are
>> >>> available from FairTax.org. "
>>
>> >>> Note that it says, "..revenue neutral."
>>
>> >>> Let's try another spot:
>>
>> >>> "How does the FairTax affect wages and prices?
>>
>> >>> Americans who produce goods and earn wages must pay significant
>> >>> tax and compliance costs under the current federal income tax.
>> >>> These taxes and costs both reduce after-tax wages and profits and
>> >>> are then passed on to the consumers of those goods and services
>> >>> in the form of price increases. When the FairTax removes income,
>> >>> capital gains, payroll, and estate and gift taxes, the
>> >>> pre-FairTax prices of these goods and services will fall. The
>> >>> removal of these hidden taxes may also allow wages to rise.
>> >>> Exactly how much prices will fall and wages will rise depends on
>> >>> market forces. For example, in a profession with many jobs and
>> >>> too few to fill them, wages will likely increase more than in
>> >>> fields where there are too many employees and not enough jobs."
>>
>> >>> Note again that it does not name a percentage for any cost
>> >>> reduction. ?It says it will vary depending on market forces.
>>
>> >>> Here is another spot:
>>
>> >>> "
>> >>> Since the FairTax plan is *revenue neutral*, the same amount of
>> >>> resources is extracted from the economy as is extracted under

>> >>> current law. These funds are, however, extracted in a less
>> >>> economically damaging way. Every known economic projection shows
>> >>> the economy doing better, often much better, under the FairTax.
>> >>> Because the economy grows, is more efficient and more productive,
>> >>> that means investment, wages, and consumption are higher than
>> >>> they are under the income tax."
>>
>> >>> Again, it says "revenue neutral" not cost neutral. The research
>> >>> that they claim the 23% number on is for revenue neutrality. That
>> >>> number has nothing to do with product prices or costs.
>>
>> >> Yes it does. The 23% is the embedded compliance "costs".
>>
>> > Cite where they specifically state that.
>>
>> That is the same thing I have been asking him for. I know how the
>> 23% figure for the Fair Tax got calculated, I just want to know where
>> that 23% figure he claims is in the cost of all products is worked
>> out. Whe
> re
>> did THAT number come from. Methinks he is misreading something..
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.
>
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax#refJorgenson1998 Scroll down to
> "Theories of retail pricing".
>

That percentage is an average of 22% and it is on actual product cost not
the product cost or selling price which is where the Fair Tax is applied.
The percentages could be *exactly* the same (they aren't) but if they are
not applied to the same prices or costs, the answers will be different.

The assumption in your reference is that the reduction in actual product
cost could (not would) reduce that product cost by some amount (a range
starting at 15% is given). Since the Fair Tax is applied to *selling*
price and not product cost and since it only addresses federal costs (and
not state taxes, some other taxes like inventory or product markup for
profit margin) the actual selling price will be quite different from
product cost. It is not a wash as you try to indicate.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3528. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:37:00 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF361D752EBDhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231455
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irr0dl$n1t$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:203f1def-415f-4cf7-be08-a5abba747f35@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com..
> .
>> On May 27, 7:51 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>>> innews:irp836pkd1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >news:135296b0-c10e-40b6-bb7a-29e0f6995f2d@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.co
>>> >m..
>>> > .
>>> >> On May 27, 3:02 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> >> wrote:
>>> >>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> >>> innews:a55df435-ec3a-4cbd-96bd-a6a7c5e8166a@v10g2000yqn.googlegro
>>> >>> ups. com:
>>>
>>> >>> > On May 27, 12:23 pm, RD Sandman
>>> >>> > <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>> >>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>>> >>> >> innews:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@
>>> >>> > l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >>> Let's get rid of a lot crap and get down to the chase and the
>>> >>> point I am trying to make.
>>>
>>> >>> You keep stating that there will be 23% of the product cost
>>> >>> removed when Fair Tax is implemented, therefore the 23% of the

>>> >>> Fair Tax will simply bring the product back to the same price.
>>> >>> You say that research has shown that.
>>>
>>> >>> Now, let's look at the FAQ. It says that the Fair Tax will be
>>> >>> *revenue* neutral. It does NOT say it will be *cost* neutral.
>>> >>> That is YOUR claim, not theirs. Revenue neutral means that the
>>> >>> amount of tax revenue produced by the Fair Tax will be the same
>>> >>> as current practice provide. Cost neutral would indicate that
>>> >>> cost of the product to the public would not change. A statement
>>> >>> that they do not make.
>>>
>>> >>> Here is what the FAIR Tax FAQ says:
>>>
>>> >>> "Does the FairTax rate need to be much higher to be revenue
>>> >>> neutral?
>>>
>>> >>> The proper tax rate has been carefully worked out; 23 percent
>>> >>> does the job of: (1) raising the same amount of federal funds as
>>> >>> are raised by the current system, (2) paying the universal
>>> >>> rebate, and (3) paying the collection fees to retailers and
>>> >>> state governments. Unlike some other proposals, this rate has
>>> >>> been independently confirmed by several different, nonpartisan
>>> >>> institutions across the country. Detailed calculations are
>>> >>> available from FairTax.org. "
>>>
>>> >>> Note that it says, "..revenue neutral."
>>>
>>> >>> Let's try another spot:
>>>
>>> >>> "How does the FairTax affect wages and prices?
>>>
>>> >>> Americans who produce goods and earn wages must pay significant
>>> >>> tax and compliance costs under the current federal income tax.
>>> >>> These taxes and costs both reduce after-tax wages and profits
>>> >>> and are then passed on to the consumers of those goods and
>>> >>> services in the form of price increases. When the FairTax
>>> >>> removes income, capital gains, payroll, and estate and gift
>>> >>> taxes, the pre-FairTax prices of these goods and services will
>>> >>> fall. The removal of these hidden taxes may also allow wages to
>>> >>> rise. Exactly how much prices will fall and wages will rise
>>> >>> depends on market forces. For example, in a profession with many
>>> >>> jobs and too few to fill them, wages will likely increase more
>>> >>> than in fields where there are too many employees and not enough
>>> >>> jobs."
>>>
>>> >>> Note again that it does not name a percentage for any cost
>>> >>> reduction. It says it will vary depending on market forces.
>>>
>>> >>> Here is another spot:

>>>
>>> >>> "
>>> >>> Since the FairTax plan is *revenue neutral*, the same amount of
>>> >>> resources is extracted from the economy as is extracted under
>>> >>> current law. These funds are, however, extracted in a less
>>> >>> economically damaging way. Every known economic projection shows
>>> >>> the economy doing better, often much better, under the FairTax.
>>> >>> Because the economy grows, is more efficient and more
>>> >>> productive, that means investment, wages, and consumption are
>>> >>> higher than they are under the income tax."
>>>
>>> >>> Again, it says "revenue neutral" not cost neutral. The research
>>> >>> that they claim the 23% number on is for revenue neutrality.
>>> >>> That number has nothing to do with product prices or costs.
>>>
>>> >> Yes it does. The 23% is the embedded compliance "costs".
>>>
>>> > Cite where they specifically state that.
>>>
>>> That is the same thing I have been asking him for. I know how the
>>> 23% figure for the Fair Tax got calculated, I just want to know
>>> where that 23% figure he claims is in the cost of all products is
>>> worked out. Where did THAT number come from. Methinks he is
>>> misreading something..
>>>
>>> --
>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>
>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>> Don't complain.
>>
>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax#refJorgenson1998 Scroll down to
>> "Theories of retail pricing".
>
> Keywords - "theory" "could" "22%" "assumes" "suggest"
>
> Seems pretty wishy-washy to me.
>
>
>

Plus the author of that study prefers his own plan for income taxes by
basing the rates on whether that income is work based or property based.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3529. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:43:09 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irr8mvbmh1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231456
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:a8e32895-64e1-424e-bc30-ef48f0c81c7b@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com...
> On May 28, 8:31 am, Peter Franks <n...@none.com> wrote:
>> On 5/28/2011 7:54 AM, Deep Dudu wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On Sat, 28 May 2011 10:13:56 -0400, "Scout"
>> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>
>> >> "Sid9"<sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>> >>news:irqv9fgeo1@dont-email.me...
>>
>> >>> "RHF"<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>> >>>news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
>> >>>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >>>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>>
>> >>>>> ...
>> >>>>> What is wrong with this country?
>>
>> >>>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>>
>> >>>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
>> >>>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>>
>> >>>>> -- or --
>>
>> >>>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government
>> >>>>> criminal
>> >>>>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain absolute
>> >>>>> police state control over all American citizens.
>>
>> >>>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang either
>> >>>>> the
>> >>>>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>>

>> >>>>> Regards,
>> >>>>> JS
>>
>> >>>> JS -please- This is not simply the
>> >>>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>>
>> >>>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New& Improved Plan :
>> >>>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
>> >>>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
>> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
>> >>>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
>> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
>> >>>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>>
>> >>>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
>> >>>> Tax You More& Spend More of Your Tax Money
>> >>>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>>
>> >>>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
>> >>>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
>> >>>> .
>> >>>> .
>>
>> >>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>>
>> >> What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>>
>> > Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy. Taxing
>> > the hell out of them and putting the money directly into the economy
>> > will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all tax loopholes
>> > and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains exactlyt he same as
>> > wages.
>
> - Why should the wealthy pay more than their fair share?
>
> We Are From The Government {We Are Backed
> by Arms} and We Are Here To Help :o) ~ RHF
> -for-every-irs-agent-there-are-10-soldiers-
> .

The wealthy don't pay their fair share.
That's the problem.
Wealth is not taxed.
Interest is taxed at only 10% whereas, if your work for your money it can be
taxed as high as 33%
Raising their taxes will have them paying their fair share of the cost of
government

3530. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:44:28 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF3631B44F63hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231457
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
news:67c7ddb9-82e0-4afd-b9d1-94f5f3e933da@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com:

> On May 28, 10:19 am, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:7530938f-1691-4edc-bdb9-090429fb1f08@j23g2000yqc.googlegroups.com
>> ...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 27, 6:11 pm, "Scout"
>> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >>news:8ce02ba4-e0fa-4501-94f1-87e144248f44@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.c
>> >>om.
> ..
>>
>> >> > On May 27, 3:35 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> > wrote
>:
>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> innews:2bd8c587-d08d-465c-9e0a-ec529c2d92ba@r20g2000yqd.googlegr
>> >> >> oup
> s.com:
>>
>> >> >> > On May 27, 12:57 pm, RD Sandman
>> >> >> > <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> >> innews:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@
>> >> >> > 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
>> >> >> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message

>>
>> >> >> >> >>news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.google
>> >> >> >> >>gro
> ups.co
>> >> >> >> >>m.
>> >> >> >> >> ..
>>
>> >> >> >> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
>> >> >> >> > 031
>> >> >> >> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
>> >> >> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - • • wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - • • compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - • • FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - • • sales and other taxes = $27
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > - • • Grand total = $100
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the
>> >> >> >> >> >> > FairTax.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> --
>> >> >> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! • • • • • • •h
> ttp://her
>> >> >> > man
>> >> >> >> > cain.c
>> >> >> >> >> > om/
>> >> >> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>> >> >> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like
>> >> >> >> >> >> canc
> er)
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish
>> >> >> >> >> >> tha
> t
>> >> >> >> >> >> Obama had
>> >> >> >> > as
>> >> >> >> >> >> muc

>> >> >> >> >> >> > h
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> doesn't h
> ave
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> THAT muc
>> >> >> >> > h
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> competence?
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> I refer to your math.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>> >> >> >> >> >> decr
> ee
>> >> >> >> >> >> that's $1
>> >> >> >> > 23.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to
>> >> >> >> >> > your reading
>> >> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read
>> >> >> >> >> > m
> inus
>> >> >> >> >> > $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't
>> >> >> >> >> > sim
> ple
>> >> >> >> >> > enough for you.
>>
>> >> >> >> >> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with
>> >> >> >> >> sales and
>> >> >> >> >> other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they
>> >> >> >> >> will still
>> >> >> >> >> need to comp
>> >> >> >> > ly
>> >> >> >> >> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with
>> >> >> >> >> the additional FairTax imposed?
>>
>> >> >> >> > You need to understand what compliance costs actually are.
>> >> >> >> >
> They
>> >> >> >> > are the costs associated with complying with the federal
>> >> >> >> > incom
> e
>> >> >> >> > tax
>> >> >> >> > on wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income
>> >> >> >> > tax on wages, i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering,

>> >> >> >> > documenting, and filing our annual income.. &#65533;If those
>> >> >> >> > taxes are gone then jus
> t
>> >> >> >> > what
>> >> >> >> > exactly is there left to comply with and how does that
>> >> >> >> > cost an
> y
>> >> >> >> > money?
>>
>> >> >> >> There are also state compliance costs associated with state
>> >> >> >> inco
> me
>> >> >> >> taxes for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals,
>> >> >> >> etc..
> &#65533;Fair
>> >> >> >> tax does nothing about them. &#65533;In addition you also have some
>> >> >> >> compliance taxes fo
>> >> >> > r
>> >> >> >> the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.
>>
>> >> >> > Because that has to do with state taxes. &#65533;The FairTax is
>> >> >> > about federal
>> >> >> > taxation.
>>
>> >> >> The point is that those costs are still there. &#65533;They were
>> >> >> somewha
> t
>> >> >> amortized when combined with federal taxation but even if that
>> >> >> goes away,
>> >> >> the mechanisms of collecting those taxes don't.
>>
>> >> > The costs of production are there. &#65533;The costs of compliance are
>> >> > no longer there.
>>
>> >> EPA isn't going to be there anymore?
>>
>> >> OSHA isn't going to be there anymore?
>>
>> >> Workman's Comp isn't going to be there anymore?
>>
>> >> Unemployment Benefits aren't going to be there anymore?
>>
>> >> The need to comply with state/local tax requirements aren't going
>> >> to b
> e
>> >> there anymore?
>>
>> >> You just can't wave your magic wand and claim all these things are
>> >> goi

> ng
>> >> away when they aren't.
>>
>> > With all due respect, what the hell are you talking about? I never
>> > claimed these things would go away. Go read the fairtax site so
>> > you can come in to this discussion with at least a tacit
>> > understanding of it.
>>
>> Sorry, but it's your job to support your claims and to present any
>> specif
> ic
>> information needed to that end. It's not my job to good read up on a
>> topi
> c
>> you wish to discuss simply because you can't be bothered to present
>> and support your views.
>
> Look, I said repeatedly that the fairtax replaces federal income taxes
> including personal, estate, gift, capital gains, alternative minimum,
> Social Security, Medicare, self-employment, and corporate taxes and
> the compliance costs associated with them. You come out of left field
> with this EPA and OSHA nonsense. It has no connection. It's like
> trying to prove a negative.
>
>

In a way, yes. In another, no. Those are business costs associated with producing and selling a product. They are present and applied *after* the point where your cost saving on the actual product are taken, however they are are costs that businesses have and will pass on to the consumer. The only things the Fair Tax resolves are *federal* corporate costs due to taxation and are applied to the product cost to the manufacturer. Fair Tax does not address an corporate state taxes, distributions costs, profit, etc. Therefore the selling price of the product is far different from the product cost at the manufacturer's site. That is why the Fair Tax site does *NOT* claim any percentage reduction in the manufacturer's product cost but states that it could.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3531. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:45:02 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <15f4fb0b-48f3-4f9a-968d-4b582c8d2fc0@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231458
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 20, 4:19 pm, * US * wrote:

> That's quite an error:
> "the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
> Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"
> http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-v...
> It's a study in treachery.

It's a study in stupidity by you, kook. I guess you're too stupid to
see the error that the blogger made. Hint: He's looking at the wrong
building. Blatant and obvious error by yet another 9/11 kooker!!

You sure did not earn your money tonight from your hero Cheeeeeney,
you traitor rightard bushkultie.

3532. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:46:50 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF3638253179hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231459
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irqs2k$t2n$1@dont-email.me:

>
> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com..
> .
>> On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>>> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost
>>> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>>> 4e47e0379641
>>> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost
>>> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>>> >> 55a606092fd9
>>> >> > @
>>> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>>> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>>> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>>> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>> >> >> >> decree
>>> that's
>>> >> >> $123.

>>>
>>> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>> >> >> > reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>>> >> >> > (read minus $23)
>>> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
>>> >> >> > enough for
>>> >> >> > you.
>>>
>>> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
>>> >> >> are just
>>> >> goin
>>> >> > g
>>> >> >> to away?
>>>
>>> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and the
>>> >> > way market forces work.
>>>
>>> >> >> --
>>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancai
>>> > n.c
>>> >> > om/
>>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>
>>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama
>>> >> >> had as
>>> >> muc
>>> >> > h
>>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>> >> >> THAT much
>>> >> >> competence?
>>>
>>> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>>
>>> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our
>>> > current tax system would fully fund the government.
>>>
>>> >> --
>>> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.c
>>> > om/
>>> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>
>>> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama
>>> >> had as
>>> muc
>>> > h
>>> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
>>> >> much competence?
>>>

>>> Exactly my point.
>>>
>>
>> But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that currently
>> falls well short of funding the federal government?
>>
>> I see you support Herman Cain. You do realize he supports the
>> FairTax don't you? I suspect a businessman like him has many of the
>> same questions all of you have been pelting me with. And I bet he
>> understand what compliance costs are and how they affect business.
>> And through all that he supports the FairTax. You still going to
>> vote vote for him? I trust him far more than I do the likes of
>> socialists like Obama. Cain will be getting my vote.
>>
>>> --
>>> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
>>> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>
>>> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
>>> as much
>>> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
>>> competence?
>>
>
> The "Fair" tax, twisting the meaning of fair, is a sales tax and is
> therefore inherently regressive
>
>

There is compensation for that, Sid.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3533. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:47:27 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irr8v1$d51$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231460
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF35F73D30F5hopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irqrtj$s4c$1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com.
>> ..
>>> On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>>>> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.co
>>>> >m..
>>>> > .
>>>> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>> >> wrote:
>>>> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>>>> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>
>>>> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>>>> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> >>> >>> wholesale = $50
>>>> >>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>>>> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
>>>> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>>
>>>> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>>>> >>> >>> that's
>>>> >>> > $123.

>>>>
>>>> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>>> >>> >> reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>>>> >>> >> (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
>>>> >>> >> wasn't simple enough for you.
>>>>
>>>> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
>>>> >>> > are just going to away?
>>>>
>>>> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will
>>>> >>> no longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate
>>>> >>> numbers and understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an
>>>> >>> item's cost, add stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he
>>>> >>> puts it back in place. Either his first 23% is in error or the
>>>> >>> second one is.
>>>>
>>>> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good
>>>> >> and service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will
>>>> >> go away as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the
>>>> >> FairTax. Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>>>
>>>> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>>>>
>>>> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to
>>>> > be eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>>>
>>>> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of the
>>>> product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed equal
>>>> the new costs put in when the new costs include all that federal tax
>>>> revenue that is currently being gathered.
>>>>
>>>> Personal income tax - 45%
>>>> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>>>> Corporate income tax - 12%
>>>> Excise taxes - 3%
>>>> Other - 4%
>>>>
>>>> http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>>>>
>>>> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't
>>>> go away.
>>>>
>>>> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to
>>>> gather all that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the
>>>> cost for that stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is
>>>> the only way to add 23% tax and come up with the original cost for
>>>> the product.
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

>>>>
>>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>>> Don't complain.
>>>
>>> Go to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the
>>> section headed "Theories of retail pricing".
>>
>> Wrongly titled the "Fair" tax should be labeled the "Unfair" tax.
>> Totally regressive it excuses the wealthiest among us.
>>
>>
>
>
> Actually, it evens some stuff out. As he has noted, it is a sales tax
> applied to purchases. The wealthy tend to purchase more items and ones
> with a higher cost than poor folk do. After all, how many poor folk
> purchase a 50' yacht from Broward Marine or Eggf Harbor? The tax is
> based on the selling price of an item and is the same on all items.
>
> Ergo, those who buy more items or more expensive ones will pay more tax.
>
> Don't let your money envy and class jealousy blind you, Sid.
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

If a wealthy person makes $20 million dollars only that small portion he/she spends is taxed.
The unspent portion remains untaxed.
People who work at jobs are not granted such privileges
The "Fair" tax is inherently UNFAIR...it's a sales tax and is inherently regressive.

We have a good system that has been picked to pieces over the years.

The income to works.

It needs to have much of its giveaways removed.

3534. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:48:45 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF363D541A69hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231461
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irr25j$2h7$1@dont-email.me:

>
> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:9bc6e385-9ac6-4aac-a3c4-d7b80021e753@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com.
> ..
>> On May 28, 10:13 am, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@
>>> 16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost
>>> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>>> >> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost
>>> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>>> >> 4e47e0379641
>>> >> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost
>>> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com
>>>
>>> >> >> > wrote:
>>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> >> >> >> news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>>> >> >> 55a606092fd9
>>> >> >> > @
>>> >> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>

>>> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>>> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>>> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>>> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>> >> >> >> >> decree
>>> >> that's
>>> >> >> >> $123.
>>>
>>> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>> >> >> >> > reading
>>> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read
>>> >> >> >> > minus $
>>> > 23)
>>> >> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
>>> >> >> >> > enough
>>> > for
>>> >> >> >> > you.
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
>>> >> >> >> are
>>> jus
>>> > t
>>> >> >> goin
>>> >> >> > g
>>> >> >> >> to away?
>>>
>>> >> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and
>>> >> >> > the way
>>> >> >> > market forces work.
>>>
>>> >> >> >> --
>>> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://herman
>>> > cai
>>> >> > n.c
>>> >> >> > om/
>>> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
>>> >> >> >> Obama had
>>> > as
>>> >> >> muc
>>> >> >> > h
>>> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>> >> >> >> THAT
>>> muc
>>> > h
>>> >> >> >> competence?

>>>
>>> >> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>>
>>> >> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our
>>> >> > current tax system would fully fund the government.
>>>
>>> >> >> --
>>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancai
>>> > n.c
>>> >> > om/
>>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>
>>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama
>>> >> >> had as
>>> >> muc
>>> >> > h
>>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>> >> >> THAT much
>>> >> >> competence?
>>>
>>> >> Exactly my point.
>>>
>>> > But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that
>>> > currently falls well short of funding the federal government?
>>>
>>> You think that is my primary concern? if the government is not
>>> spending correctly then yes I want to starve it.
>>>
>>> Everyone want to talk about taxes. How about we talk about excess,
>>> not Constitutional spending. How about we talk about what they
>>> should be doing
>>> and how much it should cost and then think about a tax system to
>>> support THAT amount, not create another tax system to raise the same
>>> amount of money and continue spending at the same rate.
>>>
>>
>> I absolutely agree with you 100%. What I am discussing is merely tax
>> reform, not spending reform. The fairtax is meant only to replace
>> the current tax structure. Spending is a whole new ball of wax.
>> And, it's there too that I also agree with Herman Cain. Dude, I'm on
>> your side on that.
>>
>>> > I see you support Herman Cain. You do realize he supports the
>>> > FairTax don't you? I suspect a businessman like him has many of
>>> > the same questions all of you have been pelting me with. And I
>>> > bet he understand what compliance costs are and how they affect
>>> > business. And through all that he supports the FairTax. You still
>>> > going to vote vote for him? I trust him far more than I do the
>>> > likes of socialists like Obama. Cain will be getting my vote.

>>>
>>> I rarely if ever agree with any candidate 100%. However I do need to
>>> support one with my vote, I am not a believer in the idea that not
>>> voting "sends a message". If you don't vote you have effectively
>>> voted for the winner. I do not care for any of the old school
>>> Republicans and I despise Obama so i have to pick someone. Honestly,
>>> I might even support Ron Paul except that he is a little bit to
>>> blind to the importance of the military and that disengaging the way
>>> he wants to might be a little dangerous.
>>>
>>> --
>>> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
>>> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>
>>> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
>>> as much
>>> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
>>> competence?
>>
>
> The "Fair" tax is inherently unfair.
>
> High earners are shield from the tax by the money they DON'T spend

So are the poor or do you think that the Good Fairy shows up at night and
robs their piggy bank or goes through their wallets?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3535. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:50:11 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF364133B528hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231462
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF36F680423FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:c373b161-64c5-4059-8812-505c1c48b2f6@
> 16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com:
>
>> On May 28, 10:28 am, "Scout"
>><me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>
>>> news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com
>>> ...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost
>>> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>>> >> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
> @yahoo.com>
>>> >> > wrote:
>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>>> >> 4e47e0379641
>>> >> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
> @yahoo.co
>> m>
>>> >> >> > wrote:
>>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> >> >> >> news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>>> >> >> 55a606092fd9
>>> >> >> > @
>>> >> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:

>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>>> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>>> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>>> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>> >> >> >> >> decree
>>> >> that's
>>> >> >> >> $123.
>>>
>>> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
> readi
>> ng
>>> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read
>>> >> >> >> > minu
>> s
>>> >> >> >> > $23)
>>> >> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
>>> >> >> >> > enou
>> gh
>>> >> >> >> > for
>>> >> >> >> > you.
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
>>> >> >> >> are just
>>> >> >> goin
>>> >> >> > g
>>> >> >> >> to away?
>>>
>>> >> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and
>>> >> >> > the
>> way
>>> >> >> > market forces work.
>>>
>>> >> >> >> --
>>> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://her
>> mancai
>>> >> > n.c
>>> >> >> > om/
>>> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
>>> >> >> >> Obama
> h
>> ad
>>> >> >> >> as
>>> >> >> muc

>>> >> >> > h
>>> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>> >> >> >> THAT much
>>> >> >> >> competence?
>>>
>>> >> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>>
>>> >> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our
>>> >> > curren
>> t
>>> >> > tax system would fully fund the government.
>>>
>>> >> >> --
>>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! [illegible] http://herman
>> cain.c
>>> >> > om/
>>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>
>>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama
>>> >> >> had
>> as
>>> >> muc
>>> >> > h
>>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>> >> >> THAT
> muc
>> h
>>> >> >> competence?
>>>
>>> >> Exactly my point.
>>>
>>> > But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that
>>> > currently falls well short of funding the federal government?
>>>
>>> Given the tax and spend attitude, NO amount of taxation will feed
>>> the
> bea
>> st.
>>> Even under Clinton will tax increases and a massive increase in
>>> revenue
> d
>> ue
>>> to a booming economy, the federal government still managed to invent
>>> new
>> and
>>> wonderful ways to spend absolutely everything it got and still
>>> needed to borrow even more.
>>>

>>> The problem is a lack of control on spending, not on the level of
> taxatio
>> n.
>>
>> Exactly. That's why something like the FT is revenue neutral. It's
>> a mechanism to maintain current levels of tax revenue. Controlling
>> spending is a completely different issue.
>>
>
> And it's pointless going through the exercise of changing the
> collection method if spending doesn't change.
>

While true, as he pointed out, that is a different issue.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3536. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:50:51 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77f2e792-381b-4cc8-a89e-02cc50087acc@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 27, 11:07 am, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:

> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM, Iarnrod proved:
> > On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
> >> Mighty Iarnrod proved:
> >>> Yes, he would have been
> >> No,
> > > Yes, he would have been.
> No,

Yes, he would have been.

3537. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:51:38 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irr96s$ej1$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231464
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF35F8B064FEhopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
> news:irr0sipuf1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>>
>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>> news:irqrtj$s4c$1@dont-email.me...
>>>
>>> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com
>>> ...
>>>> On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>>>>> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.c
>>>>> >om..
>>>>> > .
>>>>> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>> >> wrote:
>>>>> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>>>>> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>>>>> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> >>> wholesale = $50
>>>>> >>> >>> compliance costs = - $23

>>>>> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
>>>>> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>>>> >>> >>> decree that's
>>>>> >>> > $123.
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>>>> >>> >> reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>>>>> >>> >> (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
>>>>> >>> >> wasn't simple enough for you.
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
>>>>> >>> > are just going to away?
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will
>>>>> >>> no longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate
>>>>> >>> numbers and understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an
>>>>> >>> item's cost, add stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he
>>>>> >>> puts it back in place. Either his first 23% is in error or the
>>>>> >>> second one is.
>>>>>
>>>>> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good
>>>>> >> and service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will
>>>>> >> go away as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the
>>>>> >> FairTax. Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>>>>
>>>>> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>>>>>
>>>>> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to
>>>>> > be eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>>>>
>>>>> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of
>>>>> the product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed
>>>>> equal the new costs put in when the new costs include all that
>>>>> federal tax revenue that is currently being gathered.
>>>>>
>>>>> Personal income tax - 45%
>>>>> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>>>>> Corporate income tax - 12%
>>>>> Excise taxes - 3%
>>>>> Other - 4%
>>>>>
>>>>> http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>>>>>
>>>>> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't
>>>>> go away.
>>>>>
>>>>> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to
>>>>> gather all

>>>>> that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the cost for
>>>>> that stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is the only
>>>>> way to add 23% tax and come up with the original cost for the
>>>>> product.
>>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>>>
>>>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>>>> Don't complain.
>>>>
>>>> Go to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the
>>>> section headed "Theories of retail pricing".
>>>
>>> Wrongly titled the "Fair" tax should be labeled the "Unfair" tax.
>>> Totally regressive it excuses the wealthiest among us.
>>
>> Sid isn't happy unless it's getting stuck to the wealthy to support
>> his poor ass.
>>
>>
>>
>
> Begorra, methinks you figgered it out.
>

.
.
I'm not poor.

I ride the tax gravy train same as you do.

10% tax on interest?

While those who work may pay up to 33% marginal tax.

Have you people no clue?

I suspect none of your have ever done a 1040.

3538. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 16:56:04 UTC
From: Sid9 <sid9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <irr9f6$g9r$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231465
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF363D541A69hopewell@216.196.121.131...
> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irr25j$2h7$1@dont-email.me:
>
>>
>> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:9bc6e385-9ac6-4aac-a3c4-d7b80021e753@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com.
>> ..
>>> On May 28, 10:13 am, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@
>>>> 16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost
>>>> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>>>> >> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>>> >>
>>>> >> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost
>>>> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>>>> >> 4e47e0379641
>>>> >> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>>> >>
>>>> >> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost
>>>> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com
>>>> >>
>>>> >> >> > wrote:
>>>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> >> >> >> news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>>>> >> >> 55a606092fd9
>>>> >> >> > @

>>>> >> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>>>> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>>>> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>>>> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>>> >> >> >> >> decree
>>>> >> that's
>>>> >> >> >> $123.
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>>> >> >> >> > reading
>>>> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read
>>>> >> >> >> > minus $
>>>> > 23)
>>>> >> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
>>>> >> >> >> > enough
>>>> > for
>>>> >> >> >> > you.
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
>>>> >> >> >> are
>>>> jus
>>>> > t
>>>> >> >> goin
>>>> >> >> > g
>>>> >> >> >> to away?
>>>>
>>>> >> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and
>>>> >> >> > the way
>>>> >> >> > market forces work.
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> --
>>>> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://herman
>>>> > cai
>>>> >> > n.c
>>>> >> >> > om/
>>>> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
>>>> >> >> >> Obama had
>>>> > as
>>>> >> >> muc
>>>> >> >> > h
>>>> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>>> >> >> >> THAT
>>>> muc

>>>> > h
>>>> >> >> >> competence?
>>>>
>>>> >> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>>>
>>>> >> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our
>>>> >> > current tax system would fully fund the government.
>>>>
>>>> >> >> --
>>>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancai
>>>> > n.c
>>>> >> > om/
>>>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>>
>>>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama
>>>> >> >> had as
>>>> >> muc
>>>> >> > h
>>>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>>> >> >> THAT much
>>>> >> >> competence?
>>>>
>>>> >> Exactly my point.
>>>>
>>>> > But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that
>>>> > currently falls well short of funding the federal government?
>>>>
>>>> You think that is my primary concern? if the government is not
>>>> spending correctly then yes I want to starve it.
>>>>
>>>> Everyone want to talk about taxes. How about we talk about excess,
>>>> not Constitutional spending. How about we talk about what they
>>>> should be doing
>>>> and how much it should cost and then think about a tax system to
>>>> support THAT amount, not create another tax system to raise the same
>>>> amount of money and continue spending at the same rate.
>>>>
>>>
>>> I absolutely agree with you 100%. What I am discussing is merely tax
>>> reform, not spending reform. The fairtax is meant only to replace
>>> the current tax structure. Spending is a whole new ball of wax.
>>> And, it's there too that I also agree with Herman Cain. Dude, I'm on
>>> your side on that.
>>>
>>>> > I see you support Herman Cain. You do realize he supports the
>>>> > FairTax don't you? I suspect a businessman like him has many of
>>>> > the same questions all of you have been pelting me with. And I
>>>> > bet he understand what compliance costs are and how they affect
>>>> > business. And through all that he supports the FairTax. You still

>>>> > going to vote vote for him? I trust him far more than I do the
>>>> > likes of socialists like Obama. Cain will be getting my vote.
>>>>
>>>> I rarely if ever agree with any candidate 100%. However I do need to
>>>> support one with my vote, I am not a believer in the idea that not
>>>> voting "sends a message". If you don't vote you have effectively
>>>> voted for the winner. I do not care for any of the old school
>>>> Republicans and I despise Obama so i have to pick someone. Honestly,
>>>> I might even support Ron Paul except that he is a little bit to
>>>> blind to the importance of the military and that disengaging the way
>>>> he wants to might be a little dangerous.
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
>>>> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>>
>>>> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
>>>> as much
>>>> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
>>>> competence?
>>>
>>
>> The "Fair" tax is inherently unfair.
>>
>> High earners are shield from the tax by the money they DON'T spend
>
>
> So are the poor or do you think that the Good Fairy shows up at night and
> robs their piggy bank or goes through their wallets?
>
.
.
The poor are another subject.

The middle class earner who just gets by and cannot save is taxed more
heavily than a person making millions, who cannot possible spend all he/she
earns.

The unspent portion is untaxed in your inherently unfair sales
tax...Cleverly labeled a "Fair" tax it is UNFAIR.

3539. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 17:00:00 UTC
From: Benj <bjacoby@iwaynet.net>
Message-ID: <cae78c18-b3ec-455f-bfd4-56b44223607d@g16g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231466
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 28, 12:43 pm, "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote:

> The wealthy don't pay their fair share.

This is true, but you haven't a clue why it's true! You just buy the
standard saw that if there is a pile of money wsomewhere is OUGTH to
be stolen.

> That's the problem.

No. The problem is two fold. 1. taxation is not fairly done. 2. The
fox is running the hen house when it comes to spending taxes.

> Wealth is not taxed.

So your idea is that one must pay a yearly fee for the privilege of
keeping what you actually worked to earn. If you don't pay your "rent"
on your propery, it gets taken (stolen) from you. (See first rule)

> Interest is taxed at only 10% whereas, if your work for your money it can be
> taxed as high as 33%

There are two ideas here. One is that taxes should be fair. Say a
fixed percentage of income, sales price etc.. The other is that tax
rates can be manipulated to do social engineering. One can tax "needy"
people less and "undesirable" people more to induce behavior in
various directions. The problem is once again fairness. Who is setting
the rules? Usually "needy" means the friends of those making rules
and "undesirables" means enemies of those setting rules!

> Raising their taxes will have them paying their fair share of the cost of
> government

Why just raise taxes? Why not kill them all and take everything they
own to pay off the national debt? We are all pretty much getting away
from the rule of law anyway. I'm sure all the "poor" will be for this
plan.

3540. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 17:18:21 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF368D991770hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231467
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irqv9fgeo1@dont-email.me:

>
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com.
> ..
>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>>>
>>> > ...
>>> > What is wrong with this country?
>>>
>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>>>
>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>>>
>>> -- or --
>>>
>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government
>>> criminal element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain
>>> absolute police state control over all American citizens.
>>>
>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang either
>>> the imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> JS -please- This is not simply the
>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>>
>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New & Improved Plan :
>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
>> * Democrats Promise You More New & Improved
>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
>> * Democrats Promise You More New & Improved
>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>>
>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
>> Tax You More & Spend More of Your Tax Money
>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-

>>
>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
>> .
>> .
>
> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>
> 1. We need the bush,jr tax. This tax remains in force until bush,jr's
> unfunded wars are paid for.
> 2. We need to rescind the bush, jr tax cuts that have crippled our
> government.

You really need to read what you post. Number 1 and 2 say just the
opposite things. ;)

> 3. We need to tax dividends, interest, and capital gains at the same
> rate as money earned by working.
>
>

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3541. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 17:19:55 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF3691DA5419hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231468
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Deep Dudu wrote in news:2p52u61cmm5qf0ts6t4llqgp2arkf4f0hl@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 28 May 2011 08:31:16 -0700, Peter Franks <none@none.com>
> wrote:

>>Why should the wealthy pay more than their fair share?
>
> They aren't. Notice what it was for years after WWII when our economy
> was booming.

Do you think that was all due to taxes on the wealthy?

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3542. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 17:22:02 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF36979E5270hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231469
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irr59o$ls6$1@dont-email.me:

>
> "John Smith" <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:irr57chdh3@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/28/2011 3:00 AM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> JS -please- This is not simply the
>>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>>> ...
>>
>> As I have stated, and many times before this:
>>
>> democans = republicrats.
>>
>> If anyone thinks,that the change of party means any anything they are
>> morons. Some shadow government is in control, it is with them the
true
>> battle will begin.
>>
>> While trashing, dumping, ridding ourselves of their puppets is good,
we
>> need to hang the puppet masters along side of their puppets ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Another apologist for what the Republicans have done to our country!

You shouldn't bad mouth him, Sid. On taxing the wealthy he is on the
same side as you and Dudu. He is one of yours. ;)

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3543. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 17:34:03 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irrbmamao1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231470
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/28/2011 10:00 AM, Benj wrote:

> ...
> This is true, but you haven't a clue why it's true! You just buy the
> standard saw that if there is a pile of money wsomewhere is OUGTH to
> be stolen.
> ...
> No. The problem is two fold. 1. taxation is not fairly done. 2. The
> fox is running the hen house when it comes to spending taxes.
> ...
> So your idea is that one must pay a yearly fee for the privilege of
> keeping what you actually worked to earn. If you don't pay your "rent"
> on your propery, it gets taken (stolen) from you. (See first rule)
>> ...
> There are two ideas here. One is that taxes should be fair. Say a
> fixed percentage of income, sales price etc.. The other is that tax
> rates can be manipulated to do social engineering. One can tax "needy"
> people less and "undesirable" people more to induce behavior in
> various directions. The problem is once again fairness. Who is setting
> the rules? Usually "needy" means the friends of those making rules
> and "undesirables" means enemies of those setting rules!
> ...
> Why just raise taxes? Why not kill them all and take everything they
> own to pay off the national debt? We are all pretty much getting away
> from the rule of law anyway. I'm sure all the "poor" will be for this
> plan.

Your text reminded me back to a time when I had first retired, gotten bored, and the wife and I began donating time at a local Catholic Social Services Center.

I worked as security, in the kitchen, cleaning the grounds, the "homeless library", etc., the wife the same, anywhere where we were needed in the center. I began to see "the homeless" more as "people" than I ever had in life ... but ...

After about a month, one of the priests came and took me and the wife to his office, he counseled us about our "attitudes" towards the homeless. I can see now, it didn't work, we didn't get it. In fact, at the time, I didn't even realize that I didn't get it, and thanked him for the "pep talk."

So, one day, the priest asked me if I would go along with a homeless man and his girlfriend and help them collect recyclables, this struck me as odd, but still, I consented, I had great respect for the priest ... the

wife went to her normal chores at the center.

During the day we collected cans, plastic, metals, bottles, etc. from various dumpsters. They even found clothing they kept.

To make a long story short, at the end of that day, I was dead tired. When we turned in his "findings" he got a bit less than $50.00 dollars. It had taken us over 8 hrs. and was some of the hardest work I had ever done. My feet hurt, my back hurt, etc.

I finally "got" what the priest had been trying to tell me, it is harder to be homeless than to hold down a tough job. They don't choose to be homeless ...

Now, I am sure that some just "don't work" or are "lazy", but I will never have the same opinions I had before I had the opportunity to see the problem directly ... to see "the problem" first hand, up close and personal.

Now I see the difference between the "rich" and "the poor" as being a bit less significant ... if you doubt anything I have said here, help a homeless person out for a day ... be prepared to have your opinions changed!

Now, I certainly would NOT want to ever repeat that day, but oddly enough, I am grateful for the experience! The priest comes by now and then, and we have dinner together.

Regards,
JS

3544. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 18:03:34 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF38F062E47Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231471
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF364133B528hopewell@216.196.121.131:

> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF36F680423FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:c373b161-64c5-4059-8812-505c1c48b2f6@
>> 16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> On May 28, 10:28 am, "Scout"
>>><me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>
>>>> news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com
>>>> ...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost
>>>> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>>>> >> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> >> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
>> @yahoo.com>
>>>> >> > wrote:
>>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>>>> >> 4e47e0379641
>>>> >> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> >> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
>> @yahoo.co
>>> m>
>>>> >> >> > wrote:
>>>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> >> >> >> news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-

>>>> >> >> 55a606092fd9
>>>> >> >> > @
>>>> >> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>>>> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>>>> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>>>> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>>> >> >> >> >> decree
>>>> >> that's
>>>> >> >> >> >> $123.
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>> readi
>>> ng
>>>> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read
>>>> >> >> >> > minu
>>> s
>>>> >> >> >> > $23)
>>>> >> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
>>>> >> >> >> > enou
>>> gh
>>>> >> >> >> > for
>>>> >> >> >> > you.
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
>>>> >> >> >> are just
>>>> >> >> goin
>>>> >> >> > g
>>>> >> >> >> to away?
>>>>
>>>> >> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and
>>>> >> >> > the
>>> way
>>>> >> >> > market forces work.
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> --
>>>> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://her
>>> mancai
>>>> >> > n.c
>>>> >> >> > om/
>>>> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
>>>> >> >> >> Obama
>> h

>>> ad
>>>> >> >> >> as
>>>> >> >> muc
>>>> >> >> > h
>>>> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>>> >> >> >> THAT much
>>>> >> >> >> competence?
>>>>
>>>> >> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>>>
>>>> >> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our
>>>> >> > curren
>>> t
>>>> >> > tax system would fully fund the government.
>>>>
>>>> >> >> --
>>>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! [illegible] http://herman
>>> cain.c
>>>> >> > om/
>>>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>>
>>>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama
>>>> >> >> had
>>> as
>>>> >> muc
>>>> >> > h
>>>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>>> >> >> THAT
>> muc
>>> h
>>>> >> >> competence?
>>>>
>>>> >> Exactly my point.
>>>>
>>>> > But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that
>>>> > currently falls well short of funding the federal government?
>>>>
>>>> Given the tax and spend attitude, NO amount of taxation will feed
>>>> the
>> bea
>>> st.
>>>> Even under Clinton will tax increases and a massive increase in
>>>> revenue
>> d
>>> ue
>>>> to a booming economy, the federal government still managed to invent
>>>> new
>>> and

>>>> wonderful ways to spend absolutely everything it got and still
>>>> needed to borrow even more.
>>>>
>>>> The problem is a lack of control on spending, not on the level of
>> taxatio
>>> n.
>>>
>>> Exactly. That's why something like the FT is revenue neutral. It's
>>> a mechanism to maintain current levels of tax revenue. Controlling
>>> spending is a completely different issue.
>>>
>>
>> And it's pointless going through the exercise of changing the
>> collection method if spending doesn't change.
>>
>
> While true, as he pointed out, that is a different issue.
>

And while it is a different issue, it is arguably the more important one.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

3545. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 18:04:20 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF38F275F895Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231472
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irqs2k$t2n$1@dont-email.me:

>
> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com...
>> On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>>> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>>> > wrote:
>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>>> 4e47e0379641
>>> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
@yahoo.com>
>>> >> > wrote:
>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>>> >> 55a606092fd9
>>> >> > @
>>> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>>> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>>> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>>> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>>> that's
>>> >> >> $123.
>>>
```

>>> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
reading
>>> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
>>> >> >> > $23)
>>> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enough
>>> >> >> > for
>>> >> >> > you.
>>>
>>> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
>>> >> >> just
>>> >> goin
>>> >> > g
>>> >> >> to away?
>>>
>>> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and the way
>>> >> > market forces work.
>>>
>>> >> >> --
>>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancai
>>> > n.c
>>> >> > om/
>>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>
>>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama
had
>>> >> >> as
>>> >> muc
>>> >> > h
>>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
>>> >> >> much
>>> >> >> competence?
>>>
>>> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>>
>>> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our current
>>> > tax system would fully fund the government.
>>>
>>> >> --
>>> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.c
>>> > om/
>>> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>
>>> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
as
>>> muc
>>> > h
>>> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
>>> >> competence?

>>>
>>> Exactly my point.
>>>
>>
>> But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that currently
>> falls well short of funding the federal government?
>>
>> I see you support Herman Cain. You do realize he supports the FairTax
>> don't you? I suspect a businessman like him has many of the same
>> questions all of you have been pelting me with. And I bet he
>> understand what compliance costs are and how they affect business.
>> And through all that he supports the FairTax. You still going to vote
>> vote for him? I trust him far more than I do the likes of socialists
>> like Obama. Cain will be getting my vote.
>>
>>> --
>>> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
>>> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>
>>> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as
>>> much
>>> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
>>> competence?
>>
>
> The "Fair" tax, twisting the meaning of fair, is a sales tax and is
> therefore inherently regressive
>
>

So are Democrats but we tolerate them. For now.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

3546. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 18:24:32 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF3741238B18hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231473
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irr8mvbmh1@dont-email.me:

>
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:a8e32895-64e1-424e-bc30-ef48f0c81c7b@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com.
> ..
>> On May 28, 8:31 am, Peter Franks <n...@none.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/28/2011 7:54 AM, Deep Dudu wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On Sat, 28 May 2011 10:13:56 -0400, "Scout"
>>> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>
>>> >> "Sid9"<sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>> >>news:irqv9fgeo1@dont-email.me...
>>>
>>> >>> "RHF"<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>>> >>>news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups
>>> >>>.com...
>>> >>>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> >>>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>>>
>>> >>>>> ...
>>> >>>>> What is wrong with this country?
>>>
>>> >>>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>>>
>>> >>>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
>>> >>>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>>>
>>> >>>>> -- or --
>>>
>>> >>>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government
>>> >>>>> criminal
>>> >>>>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain
>>> >>>>> absolute police state control over all American citizens.

>>>
>>> >>>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang
>>> >>>>> either the
>>> >>>>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>>>
>>> >>>>> Regards,
>>> >>>>> JS
>>>
>>> >>>> JS -please- This is not simply the
>>> >>>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>>>
>>> >>>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New& Improved Plan :
>>> >>>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
>>> >>>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
>>> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
>>> >>>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
>>> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
>>> >>>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>>>
>>> >>>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
>>> >>>> Tax You More& Spend More of Your Tax Money
>>> >>>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>>>
>>> >>>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
>>> >>>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
>>> >>>> .
>>> >>>> .
>>>
>>> >>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>>>
>>> >> What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>>>
>>> > Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy.
>>> > Taxing the hell out of them and putting the money directly into
>>> > the economy will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all
>>> > tax loopholes and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains
>>> > exactlyt he same as wages.
>>
>> - Why should the wealthy pay more than their fair share?
>>
>> We Are From The Government {We Are Backed
>> by Arms} and We Are Here To Help :o) ~ RHF
>> -for-every-irs-agent-there-are-10-soldiers-
>> .
>
> The wealthy don't pay their fair share.

True, they pay more than that. It is the bottom 50% that don't pay their
share.....they are the takers.

> That's the problem.

Yep.

> Wealth is not taxed.

And shouldn't be....otherwise you would be taxing the same monies over
and over.

> Interest is taxed at only 10% whereas, if your work for your money it
> can be taxed as high as 33%

None of the poor are in that bracket, mon ami.

> Raising their taxes will have them paying their fair share of the cost
> of government

There is no cost of government in wealth. Only in providing the
atmosphere to earn it.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3547. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 18:26:49 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF37475E3C98hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231474
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

John Smith <win.court@gmail.com> wrote in
news:irrbmamao1@dont-email.me:

> On 5/28/2011 10:00 AM, Benj wrote:
>
>> ...
>> This is true, but you haven't a clue why it's true! You just buy the
>> standard saw that if there is a pile of money wsomewhere is OUGTH to
>> be stolen.
>> ...
>> No. The problem is two fold. 1. taxation is not fairly done. 2. The
>> fox is running the hen house when it comes to spending taxes.
>> ...
>> So your idea is that one must pay a yearly fee for the privilege of
>> keeping what you actually worked to earn. If you don't pay your
>> "rent" on your propery, it gets taken (stolen) from you. (See first
>> rule)
> >> ...
>> There are two ideas here. One is that taxes should be fair. Say a
>> fixed percentage of income, sales price etc.. The other is that tax
>> rates can be manipulated to do social engineering. One can tax
>> "needy" people less and "undesirable" people more to induce behavior
>> in various directions. The problem is once again fairness. Who is
>> setting the rules? Usually "needy" means the friends of those making
>> rules and "undesirables" means enemies of those setting rules!
>> ...
>> Why just raise taxes? Why not kill them all and take everything they
>> own to pay off the national debt? We are all pretty much getting
>> away from the rule of law anyway. I'm sure all the "poor" will be for
>> this plan.
>
> Your text reminded me back to a time when I had first retired, gotten
> bored, and the wife and I began donating time at a local Catholic
> Social Services Center.
>
> I worked as security, in the kitchen, cleaning the grounds, the
> "homeless library", etc., the wife the same, anywhere where we were
> needed in the center. I began to see "the homeless" more as "people"
> than I ever had in life ... but ...
>
> After about a month, one of the priests came and took me and the wife
> to his office, he counseled us about our "attitudes" towards the
> homeless.

> I can see now, it didn't work, we didn't get it. In fact, at the
> time, I didn't even realize that I didn't get it, and thanked him for
> the "pep talk."
>
> So, one day, the priest asked me if I would go along with a homeless
> man and his girlfriend and help them collect recyclables, this struck
> me as odd, but still, I consented, I had great respect for the priest
> ... the wife went to her normal chores at the center.
>
> During the day we collected cans, plastic, metals, bottles, etc. from
> various dumpsters. They even found clothing they kept.
>
> To make a long story short, at the end of that day, I was dead tired.
> When we turned in his "findings" he got a bit less than $50.00
> dollars.
> It had taken us over 8 hrs. and was some of the hardest work I had
> ever done. My feet hurt, my back hurt, etc.
>
> I finally "got" what the priest had been trying to tell me, it is
> harder to be homeless than to hold down a tough job. They don't
> choose to be homeless ...
>
> Now, I am sure that some just "don't work" or are "lazy", but I will
> never have the same opinions I had before I had the opportunity to see
> the problem directly ... to see "the problem" first hand, up close and
> personal.
>
> Now I see the difference between the "rich" and "the poor" as being a
> bit less significant ... if you doubt anything I have said here, help
> a homeless person out for a day ... be prepared to have your opinions
> changed!
>
> Now, I certainly would NOT want to ever repeat that day, but oddly
> enough, I am grateful for the experience! The priest comes by now and
> then, and we have dinner together.

Congratulations....this is one of the first posts I have seen from you
that made sense and wasn't mostly babble.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3548. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 18:32:37 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF37571A6D3Chopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231475
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irr8v1$d51$1@dont-email.me:

>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF35F73D30F5hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in
>> news:irqrtj$s4c$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.co
>>> m. ..
>>>> On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>>>>> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.
>>>>> >co m..
>>>>> > .
>>>>> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>> >> wrote:
>>>>> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>>>>> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>>>>> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> >>> wholesale = $50
>>>>> >>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>>>>> >>> >>> FairTax = $23

>>>>> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>>>> >>> >>> decree that's
>>>>> >>> > $123.
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>>>> >>> >> reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>>>>> >>> >> (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
>>>>> >>> >> wasn't simple enough for you.
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
>>>>> >>> > are just going to away?
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will
>>>>> >>> no longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate
>>>>> >>> numbers and understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an
>>>>> >>> item's cost, add stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he
>>>>> >>> puts it back in place. Either his first 23% is in error or the
>>>>> >>> second one is.
>>>>>
>>>>> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good
>>>>> >> and service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will
>>>>> >> go away as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by
>>>>> >> the FairTax. Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>>>>
>>>>> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>>>>>
>>>>> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to
>>>>> > be eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>>>>
>>>>> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of
>>>>> the product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed
>>>>> equal the new costs put in when the new costs include all that
>>>>> federal tax revenue that is currently being gathered.
>>>>>
>>>>> Personal income tax - 45%
>>>>> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>>>>> Corporate income tax - 12%
>>>>> Excise taxes - 3%
>>>>> Other - 4%
>>>>>
>>>>> http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>>>>>
>>>>> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they
>>>>> didn't go away.
>>>>>
>>>>> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to
>>>>> gather all that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that
>>>>> the cost for that stuff was 23% of the original product cost.

>>>>> That is the only way to add 23% tax and come up with the original
>>>>> cost for the product.
>>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>>>
>>>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>>>> Don't complain.
>>>>
>>>> Go to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the
>>>> section headed "Theories of retail pricing".
>>>
>>> Wrongly titled the "Fair" tax should be labeled the "Unfair" tax.
>>> Totally regressive it excuses the wealthiest among us.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> Actually, it evens some stuff out. As he has noted, it is a sales
>> tax applied to purchases. The wealthy tend to purchase more items
>> and ones with a higher cost than poor folk do. After all, how many
>> poor folk purchase a 50' yacht from Broward Marine or Eggf Harbor?
>> The tax is based on the selling price of an item and is the same on
>> all items.
>>
>> Ergo, those who buy more items or more expensive ones will pay more
>> tax.
>>
>> Don't let your money envy and class jealousy blind you, Sid.
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.
>
> If a wealthy person makes $20 million dollars only that small portion
> he/she spends is taxed.

Not with my tax proposal but under the Fair Tax, yes.

> The unspent portion remains untaxed.
> People who work at jobs are not granted such privileges
> The "Fair" tax is inherently UNFAIR...it's a sales tax and is
> inherently regressive.

There is a method in that Fair Tax for taking the regression out of it
just like there is in my flat income proposal. You need to read a little
further than just the first sentence.

> We have a good system that has been picked to pieces over the years.

We have a system that contains knee jerks, adjustments, social
engineering, project financing, political party programs and all sorts of
other things. It requires thousands of pages of rules and even the IRS
can't keep coming up with the same answer to the same questions.

> The income to works.
>
> It needs to have much of its giveaways removed.

Oh, Sid, it doesn't address one of your hot buttons either......wealth.
;)

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3549. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be other than an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND IARNROD WHO * HATES US * WADDLE WHIMPERING AWAY FROM THE PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS OF THE NIST REPORT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 18:32:48 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <8rf2u6dm2nuochn53t3ncvno0kd60rus2d@4ax.com>
Source record: 231476
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

The bushkultie doesn't know much, and doesn't know the difference, either:

"UPS and Computers

The letters stand for ï¿½uninterruptible power supplyï¿½ ï¿½ batteries ï¿½ not United Postal
Serviceï¿½ which NIST actually confused ï¿½ and confuses! See this letter from NIST to the Enrico Manieri:"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

That's quite an error, too.

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

It's a study in treachery.

They're all too weak to compete honestly/fairly.

The Bush crime family of treacherous wimps has
never been on the same side as the USA.

ObL couldn't affect the USA's air defense system.

Cheney did.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-leader-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-leader-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of

American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the

Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its

indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues,
"When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

This remains undisputed.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.

Bush and Cheney are fascists, traitors, and war criminals,
and electronic 'voting' will keep putting such into office.

If it's electronic, it's no election.

3550. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 18:35:14 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF375E2A47E0hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231477
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irr96s$ej1$1@dont-email.me:

>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF35F8B064FEhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>> news:irr0sipuf1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>> news:irqrtj$s4c$1@dont-email.me...
>>>>
>>>> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1
@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com
>>>> ...
>>>>> On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>>>>>> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346
@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.c
>>>>>> >om..
>>>>>> > .
>>>>>> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>>> >> wrote:
>>>>>> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>>>>>> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in

>>>>>> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>>>>>> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >>> >>> wholesale = $50
>>>>>> >>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>>>>>> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
>>>>>> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>>>>> >>> >>> decree that's
>>>>>> >>> > $123.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>>>>> >>> >> reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>>>>>> >>> >> (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
>>>>>> >>> >> wasn't simple enough for you.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
>>>>>> >>> > are just going to away?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will
>>>>>> >>> no longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate
>>>>>> >>> numbers and understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an
>>>>>> >>> item's cost, add stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he
>>>>>> >>> puts it back in place. Either his first 23% is in error or the
>>>>>> >>> second one is.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good
>>>>>> >> and service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will
>>>>>> >> go away as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by
the
>>>>>> >> FairTax. Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to
>>>>>> > be eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of
>>>>>> the product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed
>>>>>> equal the new costs put in when the new costs include all that
>>>>>> federal tax revenue that is currently being gathered.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Personal income tax - 45%
>>>>>> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>>>>>> Corporate income tax - 12%
>>>>>> Excise taxes - 3%
>>>>>> Other - 4%
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr

>>>>>>
>>>>>> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they
didn't
>>>>>> go away.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to
>>>>>> gather all
>>>>>> that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the cost for
>>>>>> that stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is the only
>>>>>> way to add 23% tax and come up with the original cost for the
>>>>>> product.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> --
>>>>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>>>>> Don't complain.
>>>>>
>>>>> Go to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the
>>>>> section headed "Theories of retail pricing".
>>>>
>>>> Wrongly titled the "Fair" tax should be labeled the "Unfair" tax.
>>>> Totally regressive it excuses the wealthiest among us.
>>>
>>> Sid isn't happy unless it's getting stuck to the wealthy to support
>>> his poor ass.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Begorra, methinks you figgered it out.
>>
>
> .
> .
> I'm not poor.

OK.....depends a lot on your definition but OK.

> I ride the tax gravy train same as you do.

Hmmmm, what gravy train. I worked and earned all my dollars. However, I
didn't whine about the dollars other people earned.

> 10% tax on interest?
>
> While those who work may pay up to 33% marginal tax.

Care to show your work?

> Have you people no clue?

Or, just possibly, you don't.

> I suspect none of your have ever done a 1040.

Interesting......I do mine every year and have done them since 1951.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3551. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 18:37:30 UTC
From: "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <f4d3223a-2d57-46c2-8956-4680dfded40d@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231479
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 28, 7:28 am, gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On May 28, 10:19 am, "Scout"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> >news:7530938f-1691-4edc-bdb9-090429fb1f08@j23g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > On May 27, 6:11 pm, "Scout"
> > > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> > >>news:8ce02ba4-e0fa-4501-94f1-87e144248f44@e35g2000yqc.googlegroups.com...
>
> > >> > On May 27, 3:35 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> > >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> > >> >> innews:2bd8c587-d08d-465c-9e0a-ec529c2d92ba@r20g2000yqd.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >> >> > On May 27, 12:57 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> > >> >> > wrote:
> > >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> > >> >> >> innews:32f5e241-6e60-4d14-bfa8-cae2d3698f3e@
> > >> >> > 24g2000yqk.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >> >> >> > On May 26, 8:06 pm, "Scout"
> > >> >> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> > >> >> >> >> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
> > >> >> >> >>news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.co
> > >> >> >> >> >m.
> > >> >> >> >> ..
>
> > >> >> >> >> > On May 26, 4:04 pm, Gray Ghost
> > >> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in

> > >> >> >> >> >> news:cd664af5-c0a8-4200-a50e-2cdb60b5a
> > >> >> >> > 031
> > >> >> >> >> >> @w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> > On May 26, 3:20 pm, Gray Ghost
> > >> >> >> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> news:5e36036b-9c38-4449-8578-
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> 93cd47cf9...@v8g2000yqb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> > Under the FairTax
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> > - wholesale = $50
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> > - compliance costs = - $23
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> > - FairTax = $23
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> > - sales and other taxes = $27
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> > - Grand total = $100
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> You are obviously a Democrat.
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> > Then Herman Cain must be too because he supports the
> > >> >> >> >> >> > FairTax.
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> --
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://her
> > >> >> > man
> > >> >> >> > cain.c
> > >> >> >> >> >> > om/
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> Obama had
> > >> >> >> > as
> > >> >> >> >> muc
> > >> >> >> >> >> > h
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> THAT muc
> > >> >> >> > h
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> competence?
>
> > >> >> >> >> I refer to your math.
>
> > >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
> > >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
> > >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
> > >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> > >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
> > >> >> >> >> that's $1
> > >> >> >> > 23.

>
> > >> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
> > >> >> >> >> > reading
> > >> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
> > >> >> >> >> > $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
> > >> >> >> >> > enough for you.
>
> > >> >> >> >> Yep, and HOW exactly do you assume that compliance with sales
> > >> >> >> >> and
> > >> >> >> >> other taxes will suddenly be reduced to zero, when they will
> > >> >> >> >> still
> > >> >> >> >> need to comp
> > >> >> >> > ly
> > >> >> >> >> and that it will cost absolutely NOTHING to comply with the
> > >> >> >> >> additional FairTax imposed?
>
> > >> >> >> > You need to understand what compliance costs actually are. They
> > >> >> >> > are the costs associated with complying with the federal income
> > >> >> >> > tax
> > >> >> >> > on wages, regressive payroll taxes , the federal income tax on
> > >> >> >> > wages, i.e. measuring, tracking, sheltering, documenting, and
> > >> >> >> > filing our annual income.. If those taxes are gone then just
> > >> >> >> > what
> > >> >> >> > exactly is there left to comply with and how does that cost any
> > >> >> >> > money?
>
> > >> >> >> There are also state compliance costs associated with state income
> > >> >> >> taxes for employees, inventory taxes, license renewals, etc.. Fair
> > >> >> >> tax does nothing about them. In addition you also have some
> > >> >> >> compliance taxes fo
> > >> >> > r
> > >> >> >> the operation of the Fair Tax....someone has to pay it.
>
> > >> >> > Because that has to do with state taxes. The FairTax is about
> > >> >> > federal
> > >> >> > taxation.
>
> > >> >> The point is that those costs are still there. They were somewhat
> > >> >> amortized when combined with federal taxation but even if that goes
> > >> >> away,
> > >> >> the mechanisms of collecting those taxes don't.
>
> > >> > The costs of production are there. The costs of compliance are no
> > >> > longer there.
>
> > >> EPA isn't going to be there anymore?
>
> > >> OSHA isn't going to be there anymore?
>
> > >> Workman's Comp isn't going to be there anymore?

>
> > >> Unemployment Benefits aren't going to be there anymore?
>
> > >> The need to comply with state/local tax requirements aren't going to be
> > >> there anymore?
>
> > >> You just can't wave your magic wand and claim all these things are going
> > >> away when they aren't.
>
> > > With all due respect, what the hell are you talking about? I never
> > > claimed these things would go away. Go read the fairtax site so you
> > > can come in to this discussion with at least a tacit understanding of
> > > it.
>
> > Sorry, but it's your job to support your claims and to present any specific
> > information needed to that end. It's not my job to good read up on a topic
> > you wish to discuss simply because you can't be bothered to present and
> > support your views.
>
> Look, I said repeatedly that the fairtax replaces federal income taxes
> including personal, estate, gift, capital gains, alternative minimum,
> Social Security, Medicare, self-employment, and corporate taxes and
> the compliance costs associated with them. You come out of left field
> with this EPA and OSHA nonsense. It has no connection. It's like
> trying to prove a negative.

I agree to a point, but not all compliance costs will be eliminated.

Take Social Security, for example. While the tax collection aspect
will be eliminated for employers, the requirement to report income to
the Federal Government will not, since SS benefits are dependent on
that information.

State income taxes have been mentioned in this thread, and before you
say it, I know the Fair Tax deals with Federal taxes only. However,
State income taxes rely on the Federal income tax framework, so
there's bound to be some slop-over in compliance costs there. Perhaps
this has been taken into consideration.

Also, as has been mentioned, administration (collection, reporting,
and so on) of sales tax by business entities constitute a compliance
cost. (If you have ever had to administer sales tax for a State,
you'll know what I mean.) Perhaps this also has been taken into
consideration.

3552. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 18:38:30 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de140c7$0$12495$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231478

On 05/27/2011 09:35 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 27, 5:40 pm, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>> On 05/27/2011 04:08 PM, RHF wrote:
>>
>>> On May 27, 3:27 pm, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> Is the outer shell glass, or something more durable, like a polymer?
>>>> With glass, if the inside gets broken, there's a pretty good chance
>>>> the outside cover would also be broken by the same trauma.
>>
>>> It is Glass -but- not 'thin' Light Bulb Glass
>>> It is Thicker and More Durable like the Glass
>>> on a Halogen Bulb
>>> .
>>
>> Halogens have quartz envelopes don't they?
>
> Dave read the Links.
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ccc90a344a0ff615
>
> The 'process' seems to be that the Start-Phase
> is a small Halogen Igniter placed in the center
> of the larger CFL Spiral Tube [Empty Hollow] :
> After the Instant-On Warm-Up the Second-Phase
> CFL takes over for long-term Low Power Light
> but Quality Light Output.
>
> Most CFLs 'Fail' due to their Electronics :
> Not the CFL Tube itself : This may be a way
> to reduce these Failures and Improve the
> over-all Service Life of CFLs.
>
> interesting dual 'hybrid' technology ~ RHF
> .
> .
> Globe 2-in-1 CFL Bulb and LED Night Light
> Have a couple of these in-use
> CFL when you need it 'Bright' and
> LED Night-Lite when at night
> http://www.lowes.com/pd_161953-53058-0061601_0__?
> 1-Each : Works Great in a Dark Hall/Passage
> in the middle of the House
> 2-Each : Works great on an Up-Stairs Landing
> at the Top of the Stairs

>
> again... interesting dual 'hybrid' technology ~ RHF
> .
> .
>

3553. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 18:39:38 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF376A1B5866hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231480
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irr9f6$g9r$1@dont-email.me:

>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF363D541A69hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in
>> news:irr25j$2h7$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:9bc6e385-9ac6-4aac-a3c4-d7b80021e753@p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.co
>>> m. ..
>>>> On May 28, 10:13 am, Gray Ghost
>>>> <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@
>>>>> 16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> > On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost
>>>>> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>>>>> >> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost
>>>>> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>> >> >> news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>>>>> >> 4e47e0379641
>>>>> >> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost
>>>>> >> >> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com
>>>>> >>

>>>>> >> >> > wrote:
>>>>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>> >> >> >> news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>>>>> >> >> 55a606092fd9
>>>>> >> >> > @
>>>>> >> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>>>>> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>>>>> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>>>>> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>>>> >> >> >> decree
>>>>> >> that's
>>>>> >> >> >> $123.
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to
>>>>> >> >> > your reading
>>>>> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read
>>>>> >> >> > minus $
>>>>> > 23)
>>>>> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
>>>>> >> >> > enough
>>>>> > for
>>>>> >> >> > you.
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance
>>>>> >> >> costs are
>>>>> >> jus
>>>>> > t
>>>>> >> >> goin
>>>>> >> >> > g
>>>>> >> >> to away?
>>>>>
>>>>> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and
>>>>> >> > the way
>>>>> >> > market forces work.
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> --
>>>>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://herman
>>>>> > cai
>>>>> >> > n.c
>>>>> >> >> > om/
>>>>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like
>>>>> >> >> cancer)
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
>>>>> >> >> Obama had

>>>>> > as
>>>>> >> >> muc
>>>>> >> >> > h
>>>>> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't
>>>>> >> >> >> have THAT
>>>>> muc
>>>>> > h
>>>>> >> >> >> competence?
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>>>>
>>>>> >> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our
>>>>> >> > current tax system would fully fund the government.
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> --
>>>>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancai
>>>>> > n.c
>>>>> >> > om/
>>>>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
>>>>> >> >> Obama had as
>>>>> >> muc
>>>>> >> > h
>>>>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>>>> >> >> THAT much
>>>>> >> >> competence?
>>>>>
>>>>> >> Exactly my point.
>>>>>
>>>>> > But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that
>>>>> > currently falls well short of funding the federal government?
>>>>>
>>>>> You think that is my primary concern? if the government is not
>>>>> spending correctly then yes I want to starve it.
>>>>>
>>>>> Everyone want to talk about taxes. How about we talk about excess,
>>>>> not Constitutional spending. How about we talk about what they
>>>>> should be doing
>>>>> and how much it should cost and then think about a tax system to
>>>>> support THAT amount, not create another tax system to raise the
>>>>> same amount of money and continue spending at the same rate.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I absolutely agree with you 100%. What I am discussing is merely
>>>> tax reform, not spending reform. The fairtax is meant only to
>>>> replace the current tax structure. Spending is a whole new ball of
>>>> wax. And, it's there too that I also agree with Herman Cain. Dude,
>>>> I'm on your side on that.

>>>>
>>>>> > I see you support Herman Cain. You do realize he supports the
>>>>> > FairTax don't you? I suspect a businessman like him has many of
>>>>> > the same questions all of you have been pelting me with. And I
>>>>> > bet he understand what compliance costs are and how they affect
>>>>> > business. And through all that he supports the FairTax. You
>>>>> > still going to vote vote for him? I trust him far more than I
>>>>> > do the likes of socialists like Obama. Cain will be getting my
>>>>> > vote.
>>>>>
>>>>> I rarely if ever agree with any candidate 100%. However I do need
>>>>> to support one with my vote, I am not a believer in the idea that
>>>>> not voting "sends a message". If you don't vote you have
>>>>> effectively voted for the winner. I do not care for any of the old
>>>>> school Republicans and I despise Obama so i have to pick someone.
>>>>> Honestly, I might even support Ron Paul except that he is a little
>>>>> bit to blind to the importance of the military and that
>>>>> disengaging the way he wants to might be a little dangerous.
>>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
>>>>> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>>> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>>>
>>>>> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
>>>>> as much
>>>>> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
>>>>> much competence?
>>>>
>>>
>>> The "Fair" tax is inherently unfair.
>>>
>>> High earners are shield from the tax by the money they DON'T spend
>>
>>
>> So are the poor or do you think that the Good Fairy shows up at night
>> and robs their piggy bank or goes through their wallets?
>>
> .
> .
> The poor are another subject.

No, they really aren't. After all, if it wasn't for the poor, how on
earth would you define the rich?

> The middle class earner who just gets by and cannot save is taxed more
> heavily than a person making millions, who cannot possible spend all
> he/she earns.

Care to show your work.......Yes, he pays a bigger share of his earnings
in taxes, but that is not due to tax rates.

> The unspent portion is untaxed in your inherently unfair sales
> tax..

Excuse me, but I am not proposing a sales tax nor am I supporting one.

> Cleverly labeled a "Fair" tax it is UNFAIR.

That is the name that those who propose it put on it.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3554. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 18:40:22 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF39543D6FFAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231481
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF37571A6D3Chopewell@216.196.121.131:

> There is a method in that Fair Tax for taking the regression out of it
> just like there is in my flat income proposal. You need to read a little
> further than just the first sentence.
>

FWIW at least the flat tax could be adminstratively simpl, still to much personal information turned over to the fed, face it they will still "have" to know what you made so they can tell if youlied on your postcard.

But the clusterfuck involved in the VAT, adminstering it with the exceptions and "prebates" for low earners is just another giant government program waiting to spin out of control. And you'd still have to declare income to know if you qualified for the "prebates". never mind the sliding scale bullshit.

If i had to choose I would go with the flat tax as being more honest and open. With no real deductions the tax preparer leechs would have to get productive work, the IRS could be reduced considerably and then we would really debate how much the government "needs" and why. Now everyone scrambles for and whines about deductions, perfect for the politicians as an evasion for the real question.

We're to busy arguing about HOW we're being bled then why.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3555. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 18:40:43 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF376D1419F4hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231482
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF38F062E47Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF364133B528hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF36F680423FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> news:c373b161-64c5-4059-8812-505c1c48b2f6@
>>> 16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>> On May 28, 10:28 am, "Scout"
>>>><me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>
>>>>> news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@
16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com
>>>>> ...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> > On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost
>>>>> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>>>>> >> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
>>> @yahoo.com>
>>>>> >> > wrote:
>>>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>>>>> >> 4e47e0379641
>>>>> >> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>>>> >> >>
>>>>> >> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
>>> @yahoo.co

>>>> m>
>>>>> >> >> > wrote:
>>>>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>> >> >> >> news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>>>>> >> >> 55a606092fd9
>>>>> >> >> > @
>>>>> >> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>>>>> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>>>>> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>>>>> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>>>> >> >> >> >> decree
>>>>> >> that's
>>>>> >> >> >> $123.
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>> readi
>>>> ng
>>>>> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read
>>>>> >> >> >> > minu
>>>> s
>>>>> >> >> >> > $23)
>>>>> >> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
>>>>> >> >> >> > enou
>>>> gh
>>>>> >> >> >> > for
>>>>> >> >> >> > you.
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance
costs
>>>>> >> >> >> are just
>>>>> >> >> >> goin
>>>>> >> >> > g
>>>>> >> >> >> to away?
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and
>>>>> >> >> > the
>>>> way
>>>>> >> >> > market forces work.
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> >> --
>>>>> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://her
>>>> mancai
>>>>> >> > n.c
>>>>> >> >> > om/
>>>>> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!

>>>>> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
>>>>> >> >> >> Obama
>>> h
>>>> ad
>>>>> >> >> >> as
>>>>> >> >> muc
>>>>> >> >> > h
>>>>> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>>>> >> >> >> THAT much
>>>>> >> >> >> competence?
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>>>>
>>>>> >> > Of course not. ♦They've told us over and over again how our
>>>>> >> > curren
>>>> t
>>>>> >> > tax system would fully fund the government.
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> --
>>>>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦ ♦http://herman
>>>> cain.c
>>>>> >> > om/
>>>>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>>>
>>>>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
Obama
>>>>> >> >> had
>>>> as
>>>>> >> muc
>>>>> >> > h
>>>>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>>>> >> >> THAT
>>> muc
>>>> h
>>>>> >> >> competence?
>>>>>
>>>>> >> Exactly my point.
>>>>>
>>>>> > But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that
>>>>> > currently falls well short of funding the federal government?
>>>>>
>>>>> Given the tax and spend attitude, NO amount of taxation will feed
>>>>> the
>>> bea
>>>> st.
>>>>> Even under Clinton will tax increases and a massive increase in

>>>>> revenue
>>> d
>>>> ue
>>>>> to a booming economy, the federal government still managed to
invent
>>>>> new
>>>> and
>>>>> wonderful ways to spend absolutely everything it got and still
>>>>> needed to borrow even more.
>>>>>
>>>>> The problem is a lack of control on spending, not on the level of
>>> taxatio
>>>> n.
>>>>
>>>> Exactly. That's why something like the FT is revenue neutral. It's
>>>> a mechanism to maintain current levels of tax revenue. Controlling
>>>> spending is a completely different issue.
>>>>
>>>
>>> And it's pointless going through the exercise of changing the
>>> collection method if spending doesn't change.
>>>
>>
>> While true, as he pointed out, that is a different issue.
>>
>
> And while it is a different issue, it is arguably the more important
one.
>

Yep, but both need to be addressed. One cannot simply wave a magic wand
and have all the perceived ills magically disappear.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3556. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 18:44:38 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF395FCEA621Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231483
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
news:Xns9EF376D1419F4hopewell@216.196.121.131:

> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF38F062E47Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF364133B528hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>
>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF36F680423FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>
>>>> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> news:c373b161-64c5-4059-8812-505c1c48b2f6@
>>>> 16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>>> On May 28, 10:28 am, "Scout"
>>>>><me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>>>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>
>>>>>> news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@
> 16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com
>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost
>>>>>> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>>>>>> >> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
>>>> @yahoo.com>
>>>>>> >> > wrote:
>>>>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>>>>>> >> 4e47e0379641
>>>>>> >> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:

>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
>>>> @yahoo.co
>>>>> m>
>>>>>> >> >> > wrote:
>>>>>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> >> >> >> news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>>>>>> >> >> 55a606092fd9
>>>>>> >> >> > @
>>>>>> >> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>>>>>> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>>>>>> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>>>>>> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>>>>> >> >> >> >> decree
>>>>>> >> that's
>>>>>> >> >> >> $123.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>>> readi
>>>>> ng
>>>>>> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read
>>>>>> >> >> >> > minu
>>>>> s
>>>>>> >> >> >> > $23)
>>>>>> >> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
>>>>>> >> >> >> > enou
>>>>> gh
>>>>>> >> >> >> > for
>>>>>> >> >> >> > you.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance
> costs
>>>>>> >> >> >> are just
>>>>>> >> >> goin
>>>>>> >> >> > g
>>>>>> >> >> >> to away?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and
>>>>>> >> >> > the
>>>>> way
>>>>>> >> >> > market forces work.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> --
>>>>>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! [illegible] http://her
>>>>> mancai

>>>>>> >> > n.c
>>>>>> >> >> > om/
>>>>>> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>>>> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
>>>>>> >> >> >> Obama
>>>> h
>>>>> ad
>>>>>> >> >> >> as
>>>>>> >> >> muc
>>>>>> >> >> > h
>>>>>> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>>>>> >> >> >> THAT much
>>>>>> >> >> >> competence?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our
>>>>>> >> > curren
>>>>> t
>>>>>> >> > tax system would fully fund the government.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> --
>>>>>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! [illegible] http://herman
>>>>> cain.c
>>>>>> >> > om/
>>>>>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>>>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
> Obama
>>>>>> >> >> had
>>>>> as
>>>>>> >> muc
>>>>>> >> > h
>>>>>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>>>>> >> >> THAT
>>>> muc
>>>>> h
>>>>>> >> >> competence?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> Exactly my point.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that
>>>>>> > currently falls well short of funding the federal government?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Given the tax and spend attitude, NO amount of taxation will feed
>>>>>> the

>>>> bea
>>>>> st.
>>>>>> Even under Clinton will tax increases and a massive increase in
>>>>>> revenue
>>>> d
>>>>> ue
>>>>>> to a booming economy, the federal government still managed to
> invent
>>>>>> new
>>>>> and
>>>>>> wonderful ways to spend absolutely everything it got and still
>>>>>> needed to borrow even more.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The problem is a lack of control on spending, not on the level of
>>>> taxatio
>>>>> n.
>>>>>
>>>>> Exactly. That's why something like the FT is revenue neutral. It's
>>>>> a mechanism to maintain current levels of tax revenue. Controlling
>>>>> spending is a completely different issue.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And it's pointless going through the exercise of changing the
>>>> collection method if spending doesn't change.
>>>>
>>>
>>> While true, as he pointed out, that is a different issue.
>>>
>>
>> And while it is a different issue, it is arguably the more important
> one.
>>
>
> Yep, but both need to be addressed. One cannot simply wave a magic wand
> and have all the perceived ills magically disappear.
>

Er, um, mumble, no I won't say it.

OK, how about if we beat the politicians with it rather than wave it?

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

3557. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 18:55:15 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF37948163D6hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231484
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF39543D6FFAWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF37571A6D3Chopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> There is a method in that Fair Tax for taking the regression out of
>> it just like there is in my flat income proposal. You need to read a
>> little further than just the first sentence.
>>
>
> FWIW at least the flat tax could be adminstratively simpl, still to
> much personal information turned over to the fed, face it they will
> still "have" to know what you made so they can tell if youlied on your
> postcard.

They already know based on information sent in and added to your W2 or
your 1099.

> But the clusterfuck involved in the VAT, adminstering it with the
> exceptions and "prebates" for low earners is just another giant
> government program waiting to spin out of control.

Although that is the only deduction or exception to it. .....currently.
There is no tax program that Congress can't fuck up over time.

> And you'd still
> have to declare income to know if you qualified for the "prebates".
> never mind the sliding scale bullshit.

No, it isn't based on income. It is based on number of adults, one or
two, in the home (think parents) and the number of dependents (think kids
or grandparents, etc..)

> If i had to choose I would go with the flat tax as being more honest
> and open.

As would I. None of it is hidden from view whereas with the Fair Tax,
you really have no way of knowing just what is in it.

> With no real deductions the tax preparer leechs would have
> to get productive work, the IRS could be reduced considerably

Field offices and all those folks could simply go away and find
meaningful work somewhere.

> and then
> we vould really debate how much the government "needs" and why. Now
> everyone scrambles for and whines about deductions, perfect for the
> politicians as an evasion for the real question.

Yep.

> We're to busy arguing about HOW we're being bled then why.

Then that would be the next problem to work on.......over spending.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3558. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 18:56:58 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF3799202356hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231485
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF395FCEA621Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
> news:Xns9EF376D1419F4hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns9EF38F062E47Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>>> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns9EF364133B528hopewell@216.196.121.131:
>>>
>>>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>> news:Xns9EF36F680423FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>
>>>>> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:c373b161-64c5-4059-8812-505c1c48b2f6@
>>>>> 16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On May 28, 10:28 am, "Scout"
>>>>>><me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>>>>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@
>> 16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com
>>>>>>> ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> > On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost
>>>>>>> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>>>>>>> >> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
>>>>> @yahoo.com>
>>>>>>> >> > wrote:

>>>>>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> >> >> news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>>>>>>> >> 4e47e0379641
>>>>>>> >> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
>>>>> @yahoo.co
>>>>>> m>
>>>>>>> >> >> > wrote:
>>>>>>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> >> >> >> news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>>>>>>> >> >> 55a606092fd9
>>>>>>> >> >> > @
>>>>>>> >> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>>>>>>> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>>>>>>> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>>>>>>> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by
>>>>>>> >> >> >> >> Congressionl decree
>>>>>>> >> that's
>>>>>>> >> >> >> $123.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to
>>>>>>> >> >> > your
>>>>> readi
>>>>>> ng
>>>>>>> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>>>>>>> >> >> > (read minu
>>>>>> s
>>>>>>> >> >> > $23)
>>>>>>> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't
>>>>>>> >> >> > simple enou
>>>>>> gh
>>>>>>> >> >> > for
>>>>>>> >> >> > you.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance
>> costs
>>>>>>> >> >> are just
>>>>>>> >> >> goin
>>>>>>> >> >> > g
>>>>>>> >> >> to away?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan
>>>>>>> >> >> > and the
>>>>>> way

>>>>>>> >> >> > market forces work.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> >> --
>>>>>>> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! ? ? ? ? ? ? ?http://her
>>>>>> mancai
>>>>>>> >> > n.c
>>>>>>> >> >> > om/
>>>>>>> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>>>>> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like
>>>>>>> >> >> >> cancer)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish
>>>>>>> >> >> >> that Obama
>>>>> h
>>>>>> ad
>>>>>>> >> >> >> as
>>>>>>> >> >> muc
>>>>>>> >> >> > h
>>>>>>> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't
>>>>>>> >> >> >> have THAT much
>>>>>>> >> >> >> competence?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> > Of course not. ?They've told us over and over again how our
>>>>>>> >> > curren
>>>>>> t
>>>>>>> >> > tax system would fully fund the government.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> --
>>>>>>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! ? ? ? ? ? ? ?http://herman
>>>>>> cain.c
>>>>>>> >> > om/
>>>>>>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>>>>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
>> Obama
>>>>>>> >> >> had
>>>>>> as
>>>>>>> >> muc
>>>>>>> >> > h
>>>>>>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>>>>>> >> >> THAT
>>>>> muc
>>>>>> h
>>>>>>> >> >> competence?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> Exactly my point.

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> > But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that
>>>>>>> > currently falls well short of funding the federal government?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Given the tax and spend attitude, NO amount of taxation will
>>>>>>> feed the
>>>>> bea
>>>>>> st.
>>>>>>> Even under Clinton will tax increases and a massive increase in
>>>>>>> revenue
>>>>> d
>>>>>> ue
>>>>>>> to a booming economy, the federal government still managed to
>> invent
>>>>>>> new
>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> wonderful ways to spend absolutely everything it got and still
>>>>>>> needed to borrow even more.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The problem is a lack of control on spending, not on the level
>>>>>>> of
>>>>> taxatio
>>>>>> n.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Exactly. That's why something like the FT is revenue neutral.
>>>>>> It's a mechanism to maintain current levels of tax revenue.
>>>>>> Controlling spending is a completely different issue.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> And it's pointless going through the exercise of changing the
>>>>> collection method if spending doesn't change.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> While true, as he pointed out, that is a different issue.
>>>>
>>>
>>> And while it is a different issue, it is arguably the more important
>> one.
>>>
>>
>> Yep, but both need to be addressed. One cannot simply wave a magic
>> wand and have all the perceived ills magically disappear.
>>
>
> Er, um, mumble, no I won't say it.
>
> OK, how about if we beat the politicians with it rather than wave it?
>

That'd work and if he or she didn't pay attention, there is always
November.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3559. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 19:01:46 UTC
From: "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <4d3ddadb-5cfe-4b85-a12e-518251378741@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231486
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
On May 28, 9:35 am, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
innews:203f1def-415f-4cf7-be08-a5abba747f35@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 7:51 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
> >> innews:irp836$p
> > > k...@dont-email.me:
>
> >> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:135296b0-c10e-40b6-bb7a-29e0f6995f2d@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com
> >> >..
> >> > .
> >> >> On May 27, 3:02 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >> wrote:
> >> >>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >>> innews:a55df435-ec3a-4cbd-96bd-a6a7c5e8166a@v10g2000yqn.googlegrou
> >> >>> ps. com:
>
> >> >>> > On May 27, 12:23 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >>> > wrote:
> >> >>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >>> >> innews:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@
> >> >>> > l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >>> Let's get rid of a lot crap and get down to the chase and the
> >> >>> point I am trying to make.
>
> >> >>> You keep stating that there will be 23% of the product cost
> >> >>> removed when Fair Tax is implemented, therefore the 23% of the
> >> >>> Fair Tax will simply bring the product back to the same price.
> >> >>> You say that research has shown that.
>
> >> >>> Now, let's look at the FAQ. It says that the Fair Tax will be
> >> >>> *revenue* neutral. It does NOT say it will be *cost* neutral. T
```

> > hat
> >> >>> is YOUR claim, not theirs. Revenue neutral means that the amount
> >> >>> of tax revenue produced by the Fair Tax will be the same as
> >> >>> current practice provide. Cost neutral would indicate that cost
> >> >>> of the product to the public would not change. A statement that
> >> >>> they do not make.
>
> >> >>> Here is what the FAIR Tax FAQ says:
>
> >> >>> "Does the FairTax rate need to be much higher to be revenue
> >> >>> neutral?
>
> >> >>> The proper tax rate has been carefully worked out; 23 percent
> >> >>> does the job of: (1) raising the same amount of federal funds as
> >> >>> are raised by the current system, (2) paying the universal
> >> >>> rebate, and (3) paying the collection fees to retailers and state
> >> >>> governments. Unlike some other proposals, this rate has been
> >> >>> independently confirmed by several different, nonpartisan
> >> >>> institutions across the country. Detailed calculations are
> >> >>> available from FairTax.org. "
>
> >> >>> Note that it says, "..revenue neutral."
>
> >> >>> Let's try another spot:
>
> >> >>> "How does the FairTax affect wages and prices?
>
> >> >>> Americans who produce goods and earn wages must pay significant
> >> >>> tax and compliance costs under the current federal income tax.
> >> >>> These taxes and costs both reduce after-tax wages and profits and
> >> >>> are then passed on to the consumers of those goods and services
> >> >>> in the form of price increases. When the FairTax removes income,
> >> >>> capital gains, payroll, and estate and gift taxes, the
> >> >>> pre-FairTax prices of these goods and services will fall. The
> >> >>> removal of these hidden taxes may also allow wages to rise.
> >> >>> Exactly how much prices will fall and wages will rise depends on
> >> >>> market forces. For example, in a profession with many jobs and
> >> >>> too few to fill them, wages will likely increase more than in
> >> >>> fields where there are too many employees and not enough jobs."
>
> >> >>> Note again that it does not name a percentage for any cost
> >> >>> reduction. It says it will vary depending on market forces.
>
> >> >>> Here is another spot:
>
> >> >>> "
> >> >>> Since the FairTax plan is *revenue neutral*, the same amount of
> >> >>> resources is extracted from the economy as is extracted under
> >> >>> current law. These funds are, however, extracted in a less
> >> >>> economically damaging way. Every known economic projection shows

> >> >>> the economy doing better, often much better, under the FairTax.
> >> >>> Because the economy grows, is more efficient and more productive,
> >> >>> that means investment, wages, and consumption are higher than
> >> >>> they are under the income tax."
>
> >> >>> Again, it says "revenue neutral" not cost neutral. The research
> >> >>> that they claim the 23% number on is for revenue neutrality. That
> >> >>> number has nothing to do with product prices or costs.
>
> >> >> Yes it does. The 23% is the embedded compliance "costs".
>
> >> > Cite where they specifically state that.
>
> >> That is the same thing I have been asking him for. I know how the
> >> 23% figure for the Fair Tax got calculated, I just want to know where
> >> that 23% figure he claims is in the cost of all products is worked
> >> out. Whe
> > re
> >> did THAT number come from. Methinks he is misreading something..
>
> >> --
> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> Don't complain.
>
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax#refJorgenson1998 Scroll down to
> > "Theories of retail pricing".
>
> That percentage is an average of 22% and it is on actual product cost not
> the product cost or selling price which is where the Fair Tax is applied.
> The percentages could be *exactly* the same (they aren't) but if they are
> not applied to the same prices or costs, the answers will be different.
>
> The assumption in your reference is that the reduction in actual product
> cost could (not would) reduce that product cost by some amount (a range
> starting at 15% is given). Since the Fair Tax is applied to *selling*
> price and not product cost and since it only addresses federal costs (and
> not state taxes, some other taxes like inventory or product markup for
> profit margin) the actual selling price will be quite different from
> product cost. It is not a wash as you try to indicate.

Let me see if I understand this. Take a widget that sells for $100,
and costs $90 to make ($10 profit). If the cost is reduced by 22%
(the compliance costs), the cost to produce is now about $70. If you
assume that the producer will keep the profit at $10, the selling
price plus the 23% Fair Tax is a little over $98.

The profit assumption is debatable. If the widget produce say to
himself, "Self, I use to sell this junk for $100 a pop, and make $10.
Now I can sell it for $90, and make $20! Sells for less, I make more

- I like it." Then he sets the selling price at $90. With the 23%
Fair Tax, the price is almost $111.

Is this what you mean, or am I off base?

>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

3560. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 19:09:52 UTC
From: "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <d9ba9e22-7555-4ee8-ac26-2e09fb032681@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231487
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 28, 11:40 am, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote
innews:Xns9EF37571A6D3Chopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
> > There is a method in that Fair Tax for taking the regression out of it
> > just like there is in my flat income proposal. You need to read a little
> > further than just the first sentence.
>
> FWIW at least the flat tax could be adminstratively simpl, still to much
> personal information turned over to the fed, face it they will still
> "have" to know what you made so they can tell if youlied on your postcard.
>
> But the clusterfuck involved in the VAT, adminstering it with the
> exceptions and "prebates" for low earners is just another giant government
> program waiting to spin out of control. And you'd still have to declare
> income to know if you qualified for the "prebates". never mind the sliding
> scale bullshit.
>
> If i had to choose I would go with the flat tax as being more honest and
> open. With no real deductions the tax preparer leechs would have to get
> productive work, the IRS could be reduced considerably and then we vould
> really debate how much the government "needs" and why. Now everyone
> scrambles for and whines about deductions, perfect for the politicians as
> an evasion for the real question.
>
> We're to busy arguing about HOW we're being bled then why.
>
> --
> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
> competence?

3561. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 19:14:52 UTC
From: "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <b9646397-fd59-4d5a-a22c-9dee7b5a317a@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231488
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 28, 11:40 am, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote
innews:Xns9EF37571A6D3Chopewell@216.196.121.131:
>
> > There is a method in that Fair Tax for taking the regression out of it
> > just like there is in my flat income proposal. You need to read a little
> > further than just the first sentence.
>

Let me try that again, this time with an actual reply. :-(

> FWIW at least the flat tax could be adminstratively simpl, still to much
> personal information turned over to the fed, face it they will still
> "have" to know what you made so they can tell if youlied on your postcard.
>

They need that information anyway for Social Security benefit
calculations.

> But the clusterfuck involved in the VAT, adminstering it with the
> exceptions and "prebates" for low earners is just another giant government
> program waiting to spin out of control. And you'd still have to declare
> income to know if you qualified for the "prebates". never mind the sliding
> scale bullshit.
>

I think the Fair Tax proposal that is in this debate bases its
"prebates" on family size.

> If i had to choose I would go with the flat tax as being more honest and
> open. With no real deductions the tax preparer leechs would have to get
> productive work, the IRS could be reduced considerably and then we vould
> really debate how much the government "needs" and why. Now everyone
> scrambles for and whines about deductions, perfect for the politicians as
> an evasion for the real question.
>

It would certainly simplify tax returns ... until Congress starts to
mess with it. Which it will.

> We're to busy arguing about HOW we're being bled then why.
>

Nailed it!

> --
> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
> competence?

3562. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 19:22:07 UTC
From: "Deep Dudu"
Message-ID: <coi2u61hg9t0mp15o0m5g0ita73s9lgkn9@4ax.com>
Source record: 231489
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Sat, 28 May 2011 12:19:55 -0500, RD Sandman
<rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:

>Deep Dudu wrote in news:2p52u61cmm5qf0ts6t4llqgp2arkf4f0hl@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sat, 28 May 2011 08:31:16 -0700, Peter Franks <none@none.com>
>> wrote:
>
>>>Why should the wealthy pay more than their fair share?
>>
>> They aren't. Notice what it was for years after WWII when our economy
>> was booming.
>
>Do you think that was all due to taxes on the wealthy?

Yes. Tax the hell out of the wealthy an invest directly in the
infrastructure then you stimulate the economy. Cutting taxes on the
wealth to the ridiculously low rate it is now NEVER results in
stimulating the economy.

3563. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 19:23:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DE14BA7-11970@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231491

You know how Tarzan got that yell he had? He was swinging through the
trees and he got his ''bulbs'' caught on a vine.
Sheet, I would yell too!
cuhulin

3564. Monica Pignotti: Adulteress and Bringer of Bad Luck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 19:25:31 UTC
From: Sandau Chiropractic Services <smilax_sandau@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e0b5bff8-0f4a-471d-91fd-f5838f497e67@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231490
Cross-posted to: soc.culture.german,alt.religion.scientology,alt.conspiracy,soc.culture.usa,rec.radio.shortwave

Monica Pignotti is cursed.

Look at what happens to people who support her:

Eldon Braun: died.

Rob Clark: penis destroyed by a dominatrix.

Bruce Thyer: divorced.

Larry Sarner: discredited as a failed inventor.

Linda Rosa: bankrupt.

Emily Rosa: sexually abused by Penn Jillette.

Jean Mercer: fired from Psychology Today.

Amy Scobee: outed as a lesbian.

Michael Anestis: caught in prostitution sting.

Nancy Many: her book was banned in many US states and dropped from Amazon.

Eileen Gambrill: her research grant was cancelled.

Sally Satel: AEI is receiving demands for her dismissal.

Academic institutions have correctly seen that Monica Pignotti is, at best, a fringe figure. She can remain there, on the lunatic fringe, for as long as she likes. She is permanently barred from entering mainstream academia.

Institutions fear unfavorable publicity due to her antics. They are also wary of complaints from students about poor teaching, and the faculty of several leading schools of social work have voiced concerns about Pignotti’s legendary sexual misconduct.

3565. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 19:30:03 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF37F2E64F47hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231492
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com> wrote in
news:4d3ddadb-5cfe-4b85-a12e-518251378741@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com:

> On May 28, 9:35 am, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> innews:203f1def-415f-4cf7-be08-a5abba747f35@
> s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 27, 7:51 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> > wrote:
>> >> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>> >> innews:irp836$p
>> > k...@dont-email.me:
>>
>> >> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:135296b0-c10e-40b6-bb7a-29e0f6995f2d@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.
>> >> >com ..
>> >> > .
>> >> >> On May 27, 3:02 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> >> wrote:
>> >> >>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >>> innews:a55df435-ec3a-4cbd-96bd-a6a7c5e8166a@v10g2000yqn.googleg
>> >> >>> rou ps. com:
>>
>> >> >>> > On May 27, 12:23 pm, RD Sandman
>> >> >>> > <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> >>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >>> >> innews:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@
>> >> >>> > l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >>> Let's get rid of a lot crap and get down to the chase and the
>> >> >>> point I am trying to make.
>>
>> >> >>> You keep stating that there will be 23% of the product cost
>> >> >>> removed when Fair Tax is implemented, therefore the 23% of the

>> >> >>> Fair Tax will simply bring the product back to the same price.
>> >> >>> You say that research has shown that.
>>
>> >> >>> Now, let's look at the FAQ. It says that the Fair Tax will be
>> >> >>> *revenue* neutral. It does NOT say it will be *cost* neutral.
>> >> >>> T
>> > hat
>> >> >>> is YOUR claim, not theirs. Revenue neutral means that the
>> >> >>> amount of tax revenue produced by the Fair Tax will be the
>> >> >>> same as current practice provide. Cost neutral would indicate
>> >> >>> that cost of the product to the public would not change. A
>> >> >>> statement that they do not make.
>>
>> >> >>> Here is what the FAIR Tax FAQ says:
>>
>> >> >>> "Does the FairTax rate need to be much higher to be revenue
>> >> >>> neutral?
>>
>> >> >>> The proper tax rate has been carefully worked out; 23 percent
>> >> >>> does the job of: (1) raising the same amount of federal funds
>> >> >>> as are raised by the current system, (2) paying the universal
>> >> >>> rebate, and (3) paying the collection fees to retailers and
>> >> >>> state governments. Unlike some other proposals, this rate has
>> >> >>> been independently confirmed by several different, nonpartisan
>> >> >>> institutions across the country. Detailed calculations are
>> >> >>> available from FairTax.org. "
>>
>> >> >>> Note that it says, "..revenue neutral."
>>
>> >> >>> Let's try another spot:
>>
>> >> >>> "How does the FairTax affect wages and prices?
>>
>> >> >>> Americans who produce goods and earn wages must pay
>> >> >>> significant tax and compliance costs under the current federal
>> >> >>> income tax. These taxes and costs both reduce after-tax wages
>> >> >>> and profits and are then passed on to the consumers of those
>> >> >>> goods and services in the form of price increases. When the
>> >> >>> FairTax removes income, capital gains, payroll, and estate and
>> >> >>> gift taxes, the pre-FairTax prices of these goods and services
>> >> >>> will fall. The removal of these hidden taxes may also allow
>> >> >>> wages to rise. Exactly how much prices will fall and wages
>> >> >>> will rise depends on market forces. For example, in a
>> >> >>> profession with many jobs and too few to fill them, wages will
>> >> >>> likely increase more than in fields where there are too many
>> >> >>> employees and not enough jobs."
>>
>> >> >>> Note again that it does not name a percentage for any cost
>> >> >>> reduction. It says it will vary depending on market forces.

>>
>> >> >>> Here is another spot:
>>
>> >> >>> "
>> >> >>> Since the FairTax plan is *revenue neutral*, the same amount
>> >> >>> of resources is extracted from the economy as is extracted
>> >> >>> under current law. These funds are, however, extracted in a
>> >> >>> less economically damaging way. Every known economic
>> >> >>> projection shows the economy doing better, often much better,
>> >> >>> under the FairTax. Because the economy grows, is more
>> >> >>> efficient and more productive, that means investment, wages,
>> >> >>> and consumption are higher than they are under the income
>> >> >>> tax."
>>
>> >> >>> Again, it says "revenue neutral" not cost neutral. The
>> >> >>> research that they claim the 23% number on is for revenue
>> >> >>> neutrality. That number has nothing to do with product prices
>> >> >>> or costs.
>>
>> >> >> Yes it does. The 23% is the embedded compliance "costs".
>>
>> >> > Cite where they specifically state that.
>>
>> >> That is the same thing I have been asking him for. I know how the
>> >> 23% figure for the Fair Tax got calculated, I just want to know
>> >> where that 23% figure he claims is in the cost of all products is
>> >> worked out. Whe
>> > re
>> >> did THAT number come from. Methinks he is misreading something..
>>
>> >> --
>> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> >> If you woke up this morning....
>> >> Don't complain.
>>
>> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax#refJorgenson1998 Scroll down to
>> > "Theories of retail pricing".
>>
>> That percentage is an average of 22% and it is on actual product cost
>> not the product cost or selling price which is where the Fair Tax is
>> applied.
>
>> The percentages could be *exactly* the same (they aren't) but if they
>> are not applied to the same prices or costs, the answers will be
>> different.
>>
>> The assumption in your reference is that the reduction in actual
>> product cost could (not would) reduce that product cost by some

>> amount (a range
> &#65533;
>> starting at 15% is given). &#65533;Since the Fair Tax is applied to
>> *selling* price and not product cost and since it only addresses
>> federal costs (and not state taxes, some other taxes like inventory
>> or product markup for profit margin) the actual selling price will be
>> quite different from product cost. &#65533;It is not a wash as you try to
>> indicate.
>
> Let me see if I understand this. Take a widget that sells for $100,
> and costs $90 to make ($10 profit). If the cost is reduced by 22%
> (the compliance costs), the cost to produce is now about $70. If you
> assume that the producer will keep the profit at $10, the selling
> price plus the 23% Fair Tax is a little over $98.

Not quite. Assume a widget that sells for $100 and costs the retailer $90. That $90 is the cost to him. That is not the cost to make the product since it also contains federal charges for corporate income tax, shipping and distribution from the manufacturer, inventory taxes on the federal level for the manufacturer and any other federal costs that the manufacturer pays, plus the manufacturer's profit. Remove those and you have the true product cost which the Fair tax is claiming to do. They state (in a study) that those costs average around 22% of the *product* cost, not the selling price which includes all the retailer's costs and his profit. The Fair Tax is applied to the selling price not the product cost so those two figures come from different areas.

To make it short.....the cost reductions that the Fair Tax *removes* are the federal costs from the actual product cost not the overall one to the retailer and not the selling price. It does not address any state or distribution costs even though those are product costs paid by the retailer in his price from the manufacturer. Assume that those federal costs add up to say 15%. That is 15% of the cost at the manufacturer which could be say $75 leaving $15 for shipping, distribution and state costs. Now the Fair Tax is applied to the *selling* price of the product. We can assume (yeah) that the amount removed from the true product cost is $75 x 15% in this case or $11.25. Meaning that all else remaining the same, the cost to the retailer is $78.75. Add in his $10 profit and the selling price becomes $88.75. Lookin' good, eh? Now, lets add the Fair Tax.... $88.75 + 23% = $109.16 becomes the new selling price. Do like most retailers will and round up and the product on the dealer's shelf is now $110.

Of course this doesn't include any lift from not having to do income tax....for the feds, you still have to do state.

> The profit assumption is debatable. If the widget produce say to
> himself, "Self, I use to sell this junk for $100 a pop, and make $10.
> Now I can sell it for $90, and make $20! Sells for less, I make more
> - I like it." Then he sets the selling price at $90. With the 23%
> Fair Tax, the price is almost $111.

;)

> Is this what you mean, or am I off base?

The point is that it is not a wash. You cannot remove some costs from a product, put many of them back in, add the federal revenue needed for income tax, FICA, etc and still come up with the same number.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3566. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 19:35:29 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF3801A19E23hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231493
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Deep Dudu wrote in news:coi2u61hg9t0mp15o0m5g0ita73s9lgkn9@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 28 May 2011 12:19:55 -0500, RD Sandman
> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
>>Deep Dudu wrote in news:2p52u61cmm5qf0ts6t4llqgp2arkf4f0hl@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Sat, 28 May 2011 08:31:16 -0700, Peter Franks <none@none.com>
>>> wrote:
>>
>>>>Why should the wealthy pay more than their fair share?
>>>
>>> They aren't. Notice what it was for years after WWII when our
economy
>>> was booming.
>>
>>Do you think that was all due to taxes on the wealthy?
>
> Yes. Tax the hell out of the wealthy an invest directly in the
> infrastructure then you stimulate the economy.
>

IOw, you feel that if the wealthy had not been taxed at such a high rate, the post war boom would have never happened? It is all due to that high tax rate and no euphoria from winning a war, not any plants already built and ramped up and ready to go, nor a public looking for new cars, for example, since it hadn't had any since 1942, no more need for victory gardens, no rationing of nylons? ;)

> Cutting taxes on the
> wealth to the ridiculously low rate it is now NEVER results in
> stimulating the economy.

I am not one claiming that it has.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3567. (OT) Memorial Day.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 19:49:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DE151D1-11981@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231494

Memorial Day History by Brownielocks.
http://www.brownielocks.com/memorialday.html

Happy Memorial Day, y'all.
cuhulin

3568. Re: Whistleblower Monica Pignotti Targeted with Anonymous Smear Campaign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 19:50:37 UTC
From: Monica Pignotti <monica.pignotti.phd@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6f7686b2-c14b-48d7-b6a9-8fb6d08feaa0@l6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231495
Cross-posted to: soc.culture.german,alt.religion.scientology,alt.conspiracy,soc.culture.usa,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 28, 3:25 pm, Sandau Chiropractic Services
<smilax_san...@yahoo.com> wrote:

[lies snipped]

Here is what is really going on:

http://site.monicapignotti.com

http://phtherapies.wordpress.com

3569. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 19:54:55 UTC
From: "Deep Dudu"
Message-ID: <1ok2u6d621rma5r0u4aohbi6cl73jd85dj@4ax.com>
Source record: 231496
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On Sat, 28 May 2011 14:35:29 -0500, RD Sandman
<rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:

>Deep Dudu wrote in news:coi2u61hg9t0mp15o0m5g0ita73s9lgkn9@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sat, 28 May 2011 12:19:55 -0500, RD Sandman
>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>
>>>Deep Dudu wrote in news:2p52u61cmm5qf0ts6t4llqgp2arkf4f0hl@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Sat, 28 May 2011 08:31:16 -0700, Peter Franks <none@none.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Why should the wealthy pay more than their fair share?
>>>>
>>>> They aren't. Notice what it was for years after WWII when our
>economy
>>>> was booming.
>>>
>>>Do you think that was all due to taxes on the wealthy?
>>
>> Yes. Tax the hell out of the wealthy an invest directly in the
>> infrastructure then you stimulate the economy.
>>
>
>IOw, you feel that if the wealthy had not been taxed at such a high rate,
>the post war boom would have never happened? It is all due to that high
>tax rate and no euphoria from winning a war, not any plants already built
>and ramped up and ready to go, nor a public looking for new cars, for
>example, since it hadn't had any since 1942, no more need for victory
>gardens, no rationing of nylons? ;)

http://econlog.econlib.org/archives/2010/04/us_government_d.html

>
>> Cutting taxes on the
>> wealth to the ridiculously low rate it is now NEVER results in
>> stimulating the economy.
>
>I am not one claiming that it has.

3570. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 20:33:23 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF389EB443FEhopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231497
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Deep Dudu wrote in news:1ok2u6d621rma5r0u4aohbi6cl73jd85dj@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 28 May 2011 14:35:29 -0500, RD Sandman
> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>
>>Deep Dudu wrote in news:coi2u61hg9t0mp15o0m5g0ita73s9lgkn9@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Sat, 28 May 2011 12:19:55 -0500, RD Sandman
>>> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Deep Dudu wrote in news:2p52u61cmm5qf0ts6t4llqgp2arkf4f0hl@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>>> On Sat, 28 May 2011 08:31:16 -0700, Peter Franks <none@none.com>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Why should the wealthy pay more than their fair share?
>>>>>
>>>>> They aren't. Notice what it was for years after WWII when our
>>economy
>>>>> was booming.
>>>>
>>>>Do you think that was all due to taxes on the wealthy?
>>>
>>> Yes. Tax the hell out of the wealthy an invest directly in the
>>> infrastructure then you stimulate the economy.
>>>
>>
>>IOw, you feel that if the wealthy had not been taxed at such a high
>>rate, the post war boom would have never happened? It is all due to
>>that high tax rate and no euphoria from winning a war, not any plants
>>already built and ramped up and ready to go, nor a public looking for
>>new cars, for example, since it hadn't had any since 1942, no more
>>need for victory gardens, no rationing of nylons? ;)
>
> http://econlog.econlib.org/archives/2010/04/us_government_d.html

Which has little to do with what I asked you.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3571. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 20:38:30 UTC
From: "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <d35553f5-4e0a-465b-8002-cc10717f383c@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231498
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 28, 12:30 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> "r_c_br...@hushmail.com" <r_c_br...@hushmail.com> wrote
innews:4d3ddadb-5cfe-4b85-a12e-518251378741@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 28, 9:35 am, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:203f1def-415f-4cf7-be08-a5abba747f35@
> > s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 27, 7:51 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
> >> >> innews:irp836$p
> >> > k...@dont-email.me:
>
> >> >> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >news:135296b0-c10e-40b6-bb7a-29e0f6995f2d@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.
> >> >> >com ..
> >> >> > .
> >> >> >> On May 27, 3:02 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> >> >> wrote:
> >> >> >>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> >>> innews:a55df435-ec3a-4cbd-96bd-a6a7c5e8166a@v10g2000yqn.googleg
> >> >> >>> rou ps. com:
>
> >> >> >>> > On May 27, 12:23 pm, RD Sandman
> >> >> >>> > <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> >> >>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> >>> >> innews:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@
> >> >> >>> > l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> >> >>> Let's get rid of a lot crap and get down to the chase and the
> >> >> >>> point I am trying to make.
>
> >> >> >>> You keep stating that there will be 23% of the product cost

> >> >> >>> removed when Fair Tax is implemented, therefore the 23% of the
> >> >> >>> Fair Tax will simply bring the product back to the same price.
> >> >> >>> You say that research has shown that.
>
> >> >> >>> Now, let's look at the FAQ. It says that the Fair Tax will be
> >> >> >>> *revenue* neutral. It does NOT say it will be *cost* neutral.
> >> >> >>> T
> >> > hat
> >> >> >>> is YOUR claim, not theirs. Revenue neutral means that the
> >> >> >>> amount of tax revenue produced by the Fair Tax will be the
> >> >> >>> same as current practice provide. Cost neutral would indicate
> >> >> >>> that cost of the product to the public would not change. A
> >> >> >>> statement that they do not make.
>
> >> >> >>> Here is what the FAIR Tax FAQ says:
>
> >> >> >>> "Does the FairTax rate need to be much higher to be revenue
> >> >> >>> neutral?
>
> >> >> >>> The proper tax rate has been carefully worked out; 23 percent
> >> >> >>> does the job of: (1) raising the same amount of federal funds
> >> >> >>> as are raised by the current system, (2) paying the universal
> >> >> >>> rebate, and (3) paying the collection fees to retailers and
> >> >> >>> state governments. Unlike some other proposals, this rate has
> >> >> >>> been independently confirmed by several different, nonpartisan
> >> >> >>> institutions across the country. Detailed calculations are
> >> >> >>> available from FairTax.org. "
>
> >> >> >>> Note that it says, "..revenue neutral."
>
> >> >> >>> Let's try another spot:
>
> >> >> >>> "How does the FairTax affect wages and prices?
>
> >> >> >>> Americans who produce goods and earn wages must pay
> >> >> >>> significant tax and compliance costs under the current federal
> >> >> >>> income tax. These taxes and costs both reduce after-tax wages
> >> >> >>> and profits and are then passed on to the consumers of those
> >> >> >>> goods and services in the form of price increases. When the
> >> >> >>> FairTax removes income, capital gains, payroll, and estate and
> >> >> >>> gift taxes, the pre-FairTax prices of these goods and services
> >> >> >>> will fall. The removal of these hidden taxes may also allow
> >> >> >>> wages to rise. Exactly how much prices will fall and wages
> >> >> >>> will rise depends on market forces. For example, in a
> >> >> >>> profession with many jobs and too few to fill them, wages will
> >> >> >>> likely increase more than in fields where there are too many
> >> >> >>> employees and not enough jobs."
>
> >> >> >>> Note again that it does not name a percentage for any cost
> >> >> >>> reduction. It says it will vary depending on market forces.

>
> >> >> >>> Here is another spot:
>
> >> >> >>> "
> >> >> >>> Since the FairTax plan is *revenue neutral*, the same amount
> >> >> >>> of resources is extracted from the economy as is extracted
> >> >> >>> under current law. These funds are, however, extracted in a
> >> >> >>> less economically damaging way. Every known economic
> >> >> >>> projection shows the economy doing better, often much better,
> >> >> >>> under the FairTax. Because the economy grows, is more
> >> >> >>> efficient and more productive, that means investment, wages,
> >> >> >>> and consumption are higher than they are under the income
> >> >> >>> tax."
>
> >> >> >>> Again, it says "revenue neutral" not cost neutral. The
> >> >> >>> research that they claim the 23% number on is for revenue
> >> >> >>> neutrality. That number has nothing to do with product prices
> >> >> >>> or costs.
>
> >> >> >> Yes it does. The 23% is the embedded compliance "costs".
>
> >> >> > Cite where they specifically state that.
>
> >> >> That is the same thing I have been asking him for. I know how the
> >> >> 23% figure for the Fair Tax got calculated, I just want to know
> >> >> where that 23% figure he claims is in the cost of all products is
> >> >> worked out. Whe
> >> > re
> >> >> did THAT number come from. Methinks he is misreading something..
>
> >> >> --
> >> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> >> Don't complain.
>
> >> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax#refJorgenson1998 Scroll down to
> >> > "Theories of retail pricing".
>
> >> That percentage is an average of 22% and it is on actual product cost
> >> not the product cost or selling price which is where the Fair Tax is
> >> applied.
> >
> >> The percentages could be *exactly* the same (they aren't) but if they
> >> are not applied to the same prices or costs, the answers will be
> >> different.
>
> >> The assumption in your reference is that the reduction in actual
> >> product cost could (not would) reduce that product cost by some
> >> amount (a range

> >
> >> starting at 15% is given). Since the Fair Tax is applied to
> >> *selling* price and not product cost and since it only addresses
> >> federal costs (and not state taxes, some other taxes like inventory
> >> or product markup for profit margin) the actual selling price will be
> >> quite different from product cost. It is not a wash as you try to
> >> indicate.
>
> > Let me see if I understand this. Take a widget that sells for $100,
> > and costs $90 to make ($10 profit). If the cost is reduced by 22%
> > (the compliance costs), the cost to produce is now about $70. If you
> > assume that the producer will keep the profit at $10, the selling
> > price plus the 23% Fair Tax is a little over $98.
>
> Not quite. Assume a widget that sells for $100 and costs the retailer
> $90. That $90 is the cost to him. That is not the cost to make the
> product since it also contains federal charges for corporate income tax,
> shipping and distribution from the manufacturer, inventory taxes on the
> federal level for the manufacturer and any other federal costs that the
> manufacturer pays, plus the manufacturer's profit. Remove those and you
> have the true product cost which the Fair tax is claiming to do. They
> state (in a study) that those costs average around 22% of the *product*
> cost, not the selling price which includes all the retailer's costs and
> his profit. The Fair Tax is applied to the selling price not the product
> cost so those two figures come from different areas.
>
> To make it short.....the cost reductions that the Fair Tax *removes* are
> the federal costs from the actual product cost not the overall one to the
> retailer and not the selling price. It does not address any state or
> distribution costs even though those are product costs paid by the
> retailer in his price from the manufacturer. Assume that those federal
> costs add up to say 15%. That is 15% of the cost at the manufacturer
> which could be say $75 leaving $15 for shipping, distribution and state
> costs. Now the Fair Tax is applied to the *selling* price of the
> product. We can assume (yeah) that the amount removed from the true
> product cost is $75 x 15% in this case or $11.25. Meaning that all else
> remaining the same, the cost to the retailer is $78.75. Add in his $10
> profit and the selling price becomes $88.75. Lookin' good, eh? Now,
> lets add the Fair Tax.... $88.75 + 23% = $109.16 becomes the new selling
> price. Do like most retailers will and round up and the product on the
> dealer's shelf is now $110.

So, you are assuming that the wholesaler's cost is the cost to which
the 22% (average) compliance reduction should be applied, rather than
the retailer's cost, yes?

Why wouldn't the cost reduction assumption be made earlier in the food
chain, say with the guys who sell the raw materials to the place that
screws together the widgets?

>
> Of course this doesn't include any lift from not having to do income
> tax....for the feds, you still have to do state.
>

Unless the States follow Uncle Sam's lead and implement their own Fair
Tax laws.

> > The profit assumption is debatable. If the widget produce say to
> > himself, "Self, I use to sell this junk for $100 a pop, and make $10.
> > Now I can sell it for $90, and make $20! Sells for less, I make more
> > - I like it." Then he sets the selling price at $90. With the 23%
> > Fair Tax, the price is almost $111.
>
> ;)
>
> > Is this what you mean, or am I off base?
>
> The point is that it is not a wash.

Yep, I got that. Eventually. ;-)

> You cannot remove some costs from a
> product, put many of them back in, add the federal revenue needed for
> income tax, FICA, etc and still come up with the same number.
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.

3572. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 20:54:03 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF38D6BCDFB4hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231499
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com> wrote in
news:d35553f5-4e0a-465b-8002-cc10717f383c@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com:

> On May 28, 12:30 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> "r_c_br...@hushmail.com" <r_c_br...@hushmail.com> wrote
>> innews:4d3ddadb-5
> cfe-4b85-a12e-518251378741@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 28, 9:35 am, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> > wrote:
>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:203f1def-415f-4cf7-be08-a5abba747f35@
>> > s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> > On May 27, 7:51 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>> >> >> innews:irp836$p
>> >> > k...@dont-email.me:
>>
>> >> >> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> >news:135296b0-c10e-40b6-bb7a-29e0f6995f2d@d1g2000yqm.googlegrou
>> >> >> >ps. com ..
>> >> >> > .
>> >> >> >> On May 27, 3:02 pm, RD Sandman
>> >> >> >> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> >> >>> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> >>> innews:a55df435-ec3a-4cbd-96bd-a6a7c5e8166a@v10g2000yqn.goog
>> >> >> >>> leg rou ps. com:
>>
>> >> >> >>> > On May 27, 12:23 pm, RD Sandman
>> >> >> >>> > <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> >> >>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> >> >>> >> innews:bf37633e-1273-4515-a00a-0a9570f6b140@
>> >> >> >>> > l18g2000yql.googlegroups.com:

>>
>> >> >> >>> Let's get rid of a lot crap and get down to the chase and
>> >> >> >>> the point I am trying to make.
>>
>> >> >> >>> You keep stating that there will be 23% of the product cost
>> >> >> >>> removed when Fair Tax is implemented, therefore the 23% of
>> >> >> >>> the Fair Tax will simply bring the product back to the same
>> >> >> >>> price. You say that research has shown that.
>>
>> >> >> >>> Now, let's look at the FAQ. It says that the Fair Tax will
>> >> >> >>> be *revenue* neutral. It does NOT say it will be *cost*
>> >> >> >>> neutral. T
>> >> > hat
>> >> >> >>> is YOUR claim, not theirs. Revenue neutral means that the
>> >> >> >>> amount of tax revenue produced by the Fair Tax will be the
>> >> >> >>> same as current practice provide. Cost neutral would
>> >> >> >>> indicate that cost of the product to the public would not
>> >> >> >>> change. A statement that they do not make.
>>
>> >> >> >>> Here is what the FAIR Tax FAQ says:
>>
>> >> >> >>> "Does the FairTax rate need to be much higher to be revenue
>> >> >> >>> neutral?
>>
>> >> >> >>> The proper tax rate has been carefully worked out; 23
>> >> >> >>> percent does the job of: (1) raising the same amount of
>> >> >> >>> federal funds as are raised by the current system, (2)
>> >> >> >>> paying the universal rebate, and (3) paying the collection
>> >> >> >>> fees to retailers and state governments. Unlike some other
>> >> >> >>> proposals, this rate has been independently confirmed by
>> >> >> >>> several different, nonpartisan institutions across the
>> >> >> >>> country. Detailed calculations are available from
>> >> >> >>> FairTax.org. "
>>
>> >> >> >>> Note that it says, "..revenue neutral."
>>
>> >> >> >>> Let's try another spot:
>>
>> >> >> >>> "How does the FairTax affect wages and prices?
>>
>> >> >> >>> Americans who produce goods and earn wages must pay
>> >> >> >>> significant tax and compliance costs under the current
>> >> >> >>> federal income tax. These taxes and costs both reduce
>> >> >> >>> after-tax wages and profits and are then passed on to the
>> >> >> >>> consumers of those goods and services in the form of price
>> >> >> >>> increases. When the FairTax removes income, capital gains,
>> >> >> >>> payroll, and estate and gift taxes, the pre-FairTax prices
>> >> >> >>> of these goods and services will fall. The removal of these
>> >> >> >>> hidden taxes may also allow wages to rise. Exactly how much

>> >> >> >>> prices will fall and wages will rise depends on market
>> >> >> >>> forces. For example, in a profession with many jobs and too
>> >> >> >>> few to fill them, wages will likely increase more than in
>> >> >> >>> fields where there are too many employees and not enough
>> >> >> >>> jobs."
>>
>> >> >> >>> Note again that it does not name a percentage for any cost
>> >> >> >>> reduction. It says it will vary depending on market forces.
>>
>> >> >> >>> Here is another spot:
>>
>> >> >> >>> "
>> >> >> >>> Since the FairTax plan is *revenue neutral*, the same
>> >> >> >>> amount of resources is extracted from the economy as is
>> >> >> >>> extracted under current law. These funds are, however,
>> >> >> >>> extracted in a less economically damaging way. Every known
>> >> >> >>> economic projection shows the economy doing better, often
>> >> >> >>> much better, under the FairTax. Because the economy grows,
>> >> >> >>> is more efficient and more productive, that means
>> >> >> >>> investment, wages, and consumption are higher than they are
>> >> >> >>> under the income tax."
>>
>> >> >> >>> Again, it says "revenue neutral" not cost neutral. The
>> >> >> >>> research that they claim the 23% number on is for revenue
>> >> >> >>> neutrality. That number has nothing to do with product
>> >> >> >>> prices or costs.
>>
>> >> >> >> Yes it does. The 23% is the embedded compliance "costs".
>>
>> >> >> > Cite where they specifically state that.
>>
>> >> >> That is the same thing I have been asking him for. I know how
>> >> >> the 23% figure for the Fair Tax got calculated, I just want to
>> >> >> know where that 23% figure he claims is in the cost of all
>> >> >> products is worked out. Whe
>> >> > re
>> >> >> did THAT number come from. Methinks he is misreading
>> >> >> something..
>>
>> >> >> --
>> >> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> >> >> If you woke up this morning....
>> >> >> Don't complain.
>>
>> >> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax#refJorgenson1998 Scroll down
>> >> >t
> o
>> >> > "Theories of retail pricing".

>>
>> >> That percentage is an average of 22% and it is on actual product
>> >> cost not the product cost or selling price which is where the Fair
>> >> Tax is applied.
>> > ��
>> >> The percentages could be *exactly* the same (they aren't) but if
>> >> they are not applied to the same prices or costs, the answers will
>> >> be different.
>>
>> >> The assumption in your reference is that the reduction in actual
>> >> product cost could (not would) reduce that product cost by some
>> >> amount (a range
>> > ?
>> >> starting at 15% is given). ?Since the Fair Tax is applied to
>> >> *selling* price and not product cost and since it only addresses
>> >> federal costs (and not state taxes, some other taxes like
>> >> inventory or product markup for profit margin) the actual selling
>> >> price will be quite different from product cost. ?It is not a wash
>> >> as you try to indicate.
>>
>> > Let me see if I understand this. ?Take a widget that sells for
>> > $100, and costs $90 to make ($10 profit). ?If the cost is reduced
>> > by 22% (the compliance costs), the cost to produce is now about
>> > $70. ?If you assume that the producer will keep the profit at $10,
>> > the selling price plus the 23% Fair Tax is a little over $98.
>>
>> Not quite. ?Assume a widget that sells for $100 and costs the
>> retailer $90. ?That $90 is the cost to him. ?That is not the cost to
>> make the product since it also contains federal charges for corporate
>> income tax, shipping and distribution from the manufacturer,
>> inventory taxes on the federal level for the manufacturer and any
>> other federal costs that the manufacturer pays, plus the
>> manufacturer's profit. ?Remove those and yo
> u
>> have the true product cost which the Fair tax is claiming to do.
>> ?They state (in a study) that those costs average around 22% of the
>> *product* cost, not the selling price which includes all the
>> retailer's costs and his profit. ?The Fair Tax is applied to the
>> selling price not the produ
> ct
>> cost so those two figures come from different areas.
>>
>> To make it short.....the cost reductions that the Fair Tax *removes*
>> are the federal costs from the actual product cost not the overall
>> one to the retailer and not the selling price. ?It does not address
>> any state or distribution costs even though those are product costs
>> paid by the retailer in his price from the manufacturer. ?Assume that
>> those federal costs add up to say 15%. ?That is 15% of the cost at
>> the manufacturer which could ?be say $75 leaving $15 for shipping,

>> distribution and stat
> e
>> costs. ?Now the Fair Tax is applied to the *selling* price of the
>> product. ?We can assume (yeah) that the amount removed from the true
>> product cost is $75 x 15% in this case or $11.25. ?Meaning that all
>> els
> e
>> remaining the same, the cost to the retailer is $78.75. ?Add in his
>> $10 profit and the selling price becomes $88.75. ?Lookin' good, eh?
>> ?Now, lets add the Fair Tax.... ?$88.75 + 23% = $109.16 becomes the
>> new sel
> ling
>> price. ?Do like most retailers will and round up and the product on
>> the dealer's shelf is now $110.
>
> So, you are assuming that the wholesaler's cost is the cost to which
> the 22% (average) compliance reduction should be applied, rather than
> the retailer's cost, yes?

Yep. It has to be to remove compliance costs, corporate taxes, etc. on the product. It can't be based on selling price which includes a lot of other things that Fair Tax doesn't address. Fair Tax is applied to the retail *selling* price while the reductions are applied to the product cost at the manufacturer and include nothing added by the state or shipping and distribution or costs to the retailer. They are two different numbers. That is why gfn's example doesn't work.

> Why wouldn't the cost reduction assumption be made earlier in the food
> chain, say with the guys who sell the raw materials to the place that
> screws together the widgets?

It is made there also. There are compliance costs (which seem to be defined as all costs to the product at the manufacturer other than state charges and would include corporate taxes) deducted from the various stages of the product from being born to being shipped to the retailer.

>> Of course this doesn't include any lift from not having to do income
>> tax....for the feds, you still have to do state.
>>
>
> Unless the States follow Uncle Sam's lead and implement their own Fair
> Tax laws.

Yes. The mechanism used for a lot of the federal stuff has to remain in place, however, for those states who don't do that.

>> > The profit assumption is debatable. ?If the widget produce say to
>> > himself, "Self, I use to sell this junk for $100 a pop, and make
>> > $10. Now I can sell it for $90, and make $20! ?Sells for less, I
>> > make more - I like it." ?Then he sets the selling price at $90.
>> > ?With the 23% Fair Tax, the price is almost $111.

>>
>> ;)
>>
>> > Is this what you mean, or am I off base?
>>
>> The point is that it is not a wash. &#65533;
>
> Yep, I got that. Eventually. ;-)

I hope gfn did. ;)

>> You cannot remove some costs from a
>> product, put many of them back in, add the federal revenue needed for
>> income tax, FICA, etc and still come up with the same number.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3573. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 20:56:46 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irrnit$9o9$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231500
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:irr8mvbmh1@dont-email.me...
>
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:a8e32895-64e1-424e-bc30-ef48f0c81c7b@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com...
>> On May 28, 8:31 am, Peter Franks <n...@none.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/28/2011 7:54 AM, Deep Dudu wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On Sat, 28 May 2011 10:13:56 -0400, "Scout"
>>> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>
>>> >> "Sid9"<sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>> >>news:irqv9fgeo1@dont-email.me...
>>>
>>> >>> "RHF"<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>>> >>>news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
>>> >>>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> >>>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>>>
>>> >>>>> ...
>>> >>>>> What is wrong with this country?
>>>
>>> >>>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>>>
>>> >>>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
>>> >>>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>>>
>>> >>>>> -- or --
>>>
>>> >>>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government
>>> >>>>> criminal
>>> >>>>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain
>>> >>>>> absolute
>>> >>>>> police state control over all American citizens.
>>>

>>> >>>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang
>>> >>>>> either the
>>> >>>>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>>>
>>> >>>>> Regards,
>>> >>>>> JS
>>>
>>> >>>> JS -please- This is not simply the
>>> >>>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>>>
>>> >>>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New& Improved Plan :
>>> >>>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
>>> >>>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
>>> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
>>> >>>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
>>> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
>>> >>>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>>>
>>> >>>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
>>> >>>> Tax You More& Spend More of Your Tax Money
>>> >>>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>>>
>>> >>>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
>>> >>>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
>>> >>>> .
>>> >>>> .
>>>
>>> >>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>>>
>>> >> What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>>>
>>> > Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy. Taxing
>>> > the hell out of them and putting the money directly into the economy
>>> > will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all tax loopholes
>>> > and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains exactlyt he same as
>>> > wages.
>>
>> - Why should the wealthy pay more than their fair share?
>>
>> We Are From The Government {We Are Backed
>> by Arms} and We Are Here To Help :o) ~ RHF
>> -for-every-irs-agent-there-are-10-soldiers-
>> .
>
> The wealthy don't pay their fair share.

Ok, let's see your proof.

----> Insert proof here

3574. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 21:00:31 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irrnpu$b76$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231501
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ed4f83c7-1aba-4015-a670-f87b57f8a257@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com...
> On May 28, 10:15 am, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> >> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>> >> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>> >> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com..
>> >> > .
>> >> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >> >> wrote:
>> >> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>> >> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>> >> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>> >> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >> >>> >> wholesale = $50
>> >> >>> >> compliance costs = - $23
>> >> >>> >> FairTax = $23
>> >> >>> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >> >>> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>> >> >>> >> that's
>> >> >>> > $123.
>>
>> >> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>> >> >>> >> reading

>> >> >>> >> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minus
>> >> >>> >> $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
>> >> >>> >> enough for you.
>>
>> >> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
>> >> >>> > just going to away?
>>
>> >> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will no
>> >> >>> longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate numbers and
>> >> >>> understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an item's cost, add
>> >> >>> stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he puts it back in
>> >> >>> place.
>> >> >>> Either his first 23% is in error or the second one is.
>>
>> >> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good and
>> >> >> service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will go away
>> >> >> as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the FairTax.
>> >> >> Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>
>> >> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>>
>> >> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to be
>> >> > eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>
>> >> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of the
>> >> product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed equal the
>> >> new costs put in when the new costs include all that federal tax
>> >> revenue
>> >> that is currently being gathered.
>>
>> >> Personal income tax - 45%
>> >> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>> >> Corporate income tax - 12%
>> >> Excise taxes - 3%
>> >> Other - 4%
>>
>> >>http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>>
>> >> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't go
>> >> away.
>>
>> >> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to gather
>> >> all
>> >> that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the cost for that
>> >> stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is the only way to
>> >> add
>> >> 23% tax and come up with the original cost for the product.
>>
>> >> --
>> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

>>
>> >> If you woke up this morning....
>> >> Don't complain.
>>
>> > Go tohttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the section
>> > headed "Theories of retail pricing".
>>
>> Sorry, but show me in Fairtax.org
>>
>> In wiki anyone can claim anything about anyone. If your claims have merit
>> then the organization itself will have said it.
>
> The fairtax site references the study (http://www.fairtax.org/site/
> Search?q=jorgenson
> +22%25&btnG=Search&output=xml_no_dtd&client=convio_frontend&num=10&proxystylesheet=convi
o_frontend&oe=ISO-8859-1&ie=ISO-8859-1&sort=date
> %3AD%3AL%3Ad1&entqr=3&ud=1&site=afft)
>
> The wiki site cites the study with a link to the paper. just link to
> it.

And you consider the above mess to be a useable link?

3575. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 21:02:45 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF38EE57CC87hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231502
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irrnpu$b76$1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ed4f83c7-1aba-4015-a670-f87b57f8a257@s2g2000yql.googlegroups.com..
> .
>> On May 28, 10:15 am, "Scout"
>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>
>>> news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.co
>>> m...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> > wrote:
>>> >> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>>> >> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>> >> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups
>>> >> >.com..
>>> >> > .
>>> >> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>> >> >> wrote:
>>> >> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>>> >> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>
>>> >> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>> >> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>>> >> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> >>> >> wholesale = $50
>>> >> >>> >> compliance costs = - $23

>>> >> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
>>> >> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>
>>> >> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>> >> >>> >>> decree that's
>>> >> >>> > $123.
>>>
>>> >> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>> >> >>> >> reading
>>> >> >>> >> comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read
>>> >> >>> >> minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
>>> >> >>> >> wasn't simple enough for you.
>>>
>>> >> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
>>> >> >>> > are just going to away?
>>>
>>> >> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they
>>> >> >>> will no longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more
>>> >> >>> accurate numbers and understand that he cannot subtract 23%
>>> >> >>> from an item's cost, add stuff to it and still have it be 23%
>>> >> >>> when he puts it back in place.
>>> >> >>> Either his first 23% is in error or the second one is.
>>>
>>> >> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every
>>> >> >> good and service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs.
>>> >> >> Those will go away as market forces take hold. That 23% is
>>> >> >> replaced by the FairTax. Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>>
>>> >> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>>>
>>> >> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going
>>> >> > to be eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>>
>>> >> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of
>>> >> the product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed
>>> >> equal the new costs put in when the new costs include all that
>>> >> federal tax revenue
>>> >> that is currently being gathered.
>>>
>>> >> Personal income tax - 45%
>>> >> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>>> >> Corporate income tax - 12%
>>> >> Excise taxes - 3%
>>> >> Other - 4%
>>>
>>> >>http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>>>
>>> >> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they
>>> >> didn't go away.
>>>

>>> >> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to
>>> >> gather all
>>> >> that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the cost for
>>> >> that stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is the
>>> >> only way to add
>>> >> 23% tax and come up with the original cost for the product.
>>>
>>> >> --
>>> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>
>>> >> If you woke up this morning....
>>> >> Don't complain.
>>>
>>> > Go tohttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the
>>> > section headed "Theories of retail pricing".
>>>
>>> Sorry, but show me in Fairtax.org
>>>
>>> In wiki anyone can claim anything about anyone. If your claims have
>>> merit then the organization itself will have said it.
>>
>> The fairtax site references the study (http://www.fairtax.org/site/
>> Search?q=jorgenson
>> +22%25&btnG=Search&output=xml_no_dtd&client=convio_frontend&num=10&pro
>> xystylesheet=convio_frontend&oe=ISO-8859-1&ie=ISO-8859-1&sort=date
>> %3AD%3AL%3Ad1&entqr=3&ud=1&site=afft)
>>
>> The wiki site cites the study with a link to the paper. just link to
>> it.
>
> And you consider the above mess to be a useable link?
>
>
>

I don't think he is aware of or knows how to use TinyUrl. There is a
plug-in for it on FireFox and, I would assume, one for Explorer.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3576. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 21:07:03 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irro66dku1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231503
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9EF36F680423FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in news:c373b161-64c5-4059-8812-505c1c48b2f6@
> 16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com:
>
>> On May 28, 10:28 am, "Scout"
>><me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>
>>> news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com>
>>> > wrote:
>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>>> >> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
> @yahoo.com>
>>> >> > wrote:
>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>>> >> 4e47e0379641
>>> >> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
> @yahoo.co
>> m>
>>> >> >> > wrote:
>>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>>> >> >> 55a606092fd9
>>> >> >> > @
>>> >> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>>> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>>> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23

>>> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>
>>> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>>> >> that's
>>> >> >> >> $123.
>>>
>>> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
> readi
>> ng
>>> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read minu
>> s
>>> >> >> >> > $23)
>>> >> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple enou
>> gh
>>> >> >> >> > for
>>> >> >> >> > you.
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs are
>>> >> >> >> just
>>> >> >> goin
>>> >> >> > g
>>> >> >> >> to away?
>>>
>>> >> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and the
>> way
>>> >> >> > market forces work.
>>>
>>> >> >> >> --
>>> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://her
>> mancai
>>> >> > n.c
>>> >> >> > om/
>>> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>
>>> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama
> h
>> ad
>>> >> >> >> as
>>> >> >> muc
>>> >> >> > h
>>> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
>>> >> >> >> much
>>> >> >> >> competence?
>>>
>>> >> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>>
>>> >> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our curren
>> t
>>> >> > tax system would fully fund the government.

>>>
>>> >> >> --
>>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://herman
>> cain.c
>>> >> > om/
>>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>
>>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had
>> as
>>> >> muc
>>> >> > h
>>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT
> muc
>> h
>>> >> >> competence?
>>>
>>> >> Exactly my point.
>>>
>>> > But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that currently
>>> > falls well short of funding the federal government?
>>>
>>> Given the tax and spend attitude, NO amount of taxation will feed the
> bea
>> st.
>>> Even under Clinton will tax increases and a massive increase in revenue
> d
>> ue
>>> to a booming economy, the federal government still managed to invent new
>> and
>>> wonderful ways to spend absolutely everything it got and still needed to
>>> borrow even more.
>>>
>>> The problem is a lack of control on spending, not on the level of
> taxatio
>> n.
>>
>> Exactly. That's why something like the FT is revenue neutral. It's a
>> mechanism to maintain current levels of tax revenue. Controlling
>> spending is a completely different issue.
>>
>
> And it's pointless going through the exercise of changing the collection
> method if spending doesn't change.

Further, let's assume for a moment that there is a net "savings" for people under the new tax structure.....wouldn't that seem to be a justification for the government to simply raise the tax rate so as to maintain current spending levels with smaller deficits, thus negating anything that his plan might gain?

3577. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 21:09:27 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irroameji1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231504
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:irr96s$ej1$1@dont-email.me...
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF35F8B064FEhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>> news:irr0si$puf$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>> news:irqrtj$s4c$1@dont-email.me...
>>>>
>>>> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com
>>>> ...
>>>>> On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>>>>>> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.c
>>>>>> >om..
>>>>>> > .
>>>>>> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>>> >> wrote:
>>>>>> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>>>>>> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>>>>>> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
```

>>>>>>
>>>>>> >>> >>> wholesale = $50
>>>>>> >>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>>>>>> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
>>>>>> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>>>>> >>> >>> decree that's
>>>>>> >>> > $123.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>>>>> >>> >> reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>>>>>> >>> >> (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
>>>>>> >>> >> wasn't simple enough for you.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
>>>>>> >>> > are just going to away?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will
>>>>>> >>> no longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate
>>>>>> >>> numbers and understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an
>>>>>> >>> item's cost, add stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he
>>>>>> >>> puts it back in place. Either his first 23% is in error or the
>>>>>> >>> second one is.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good
>>>>>> >> and service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will
>>>>>> >> go away as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the
>>>>>> >> FairTax. Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to
>>>>>> > be eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of
>>>>>> the product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed
>>>>>> equal the new costs put in when the new costs include all that
>>>>>> federal tax revenue that is currently being gathered.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Personal income tax - 45%
>>>>>> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>>>>>> Corporate income tax - 12%
>>>>>> Excise taxes - 3%
>>>>>> Other - 4%
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't
>>>>>> go away.

>>>>>>
>>>>>> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to
>>>>>> gather all
>>>>>> that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the cost for
>>>>>> that stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is the only
>>>>>> way to add 23% tax and come up with the original cost for the
>>>>>> product.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> --
>>>>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>>>>> Don't complain.
>>>>>
>>>>> Go to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the
>>>>> section headed "Theories of retail pricing".
>>>>
>>>> Wrongly titled the "Fair" tax should be labeled the "Unfair" tax.
>>>> Totally regressive it excuses the wealthiest among us.
>>>
>>> Sid isn't happy unless it's getting stuck to the wealthy to support
>>> his poor ass.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Begorra, methinks you figgered it out.
>>
>
> .
> .
> I'm not poor.
>
> I ride the tax gravy train same as you do.

Hmmmmm...

So why aren't you sending more of your money in?

I mean according to your assertions you're not paying enough in taxes, so why don't you make a check out to the IRS for the extra that you think you deserve to pay?

Are you telling us you're a hypocrite who refuses to pay as much in taxes as he says he should?

3578. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 21:10:49 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irrod8$f3t$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231505
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

<r_c_brown@hushmail.com> wrote in message
news:a329fc1d-b6b6-4952-a595-c920900d2a40@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com...
> On May 27, 2:53 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> <r_c_br...@hushmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> news:78b180fe-3596-4f15-85e1-37c9e5ac3520@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> > On May 25, 10:29 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> On 5/25/2011 8:00 PM, r_c_br...@hushmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >> > ...
>> >> > Hence my remark that such a system would require a totalitarian
>> >> > state.
>>
>> >> >> --
>> >> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> >> >> If you woke up this morning....
>> >> >> Don't complain.
>>
>> >> Don't forget, we plonk fools here ...
>>
>> > Clearly, then, you should go plonk yourself.
>>
>> 3 people who all said basically the same thing. Seems John should buy a
>> clue.
>
> Yeah, sorry about the redundancy; I should have read through the rest
> of the thread before responding.

Not worried about the redundancy, but simply suggesting to John when that
many people independently tell him the same thing....he might want to buy a
clue about how people view him.

3579. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 21:14:42 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irrokhgei1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231506
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
"Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:irr8v1$d51$1@dont-email.me...
>
> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF35F73D30F5hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in news:irqrtj$s4c$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>>>
>>> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com.
>>> ..
>>>> On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>>>>> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.co
>>>>> >m..
>>>>> > .
>>>>> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>> >> wrote:
>>>>> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>>>>> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>>>>> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> >>> wholesale = $50
>>>>> >>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>>>>> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
>>>>> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>>>
```

>>>>> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
>>>>> >>> >>> that's
>>>>> >>> > $123.
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>>>> >>> >> reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>>>>> >>> >> (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
>>>>> >>> >> wasn't simple enough for you.
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
>>>>> >>> > are just going to away?
>>>>>
>>>>> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will
>>>>> >>> no longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate
>>>>> >>> numbers and understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an
>>>>> >>> item's cost, add stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he
>>>>> >>> puts it back in place. Either his first 23% is in error or the
>>>>> >>> second one is.
>>>>>
>>>>> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good
>>>>> >> and service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will
>>>>> >> go away as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the
>>>>> >> FairTax. Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>>>>
>>>>> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>>>>>
>>>>> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to
>>>>> > be eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>>>>
>>>>> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of the
>>>>> product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed equal
>>>>> the new costs put in when the new costs include all that federal tax
>>>>> revenue that is currently being gathered.
>>>>>
>>>>> Personal income tax - 45%
>>>>> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>>>>> Corporate income tax - 12%
>>>>> Excise taxes - 3%
>>>>> Other - 4%
>>>>>
>>>>> http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>>>>>
>>>>> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't
>>>>> go away.
>>>>>
>>>>> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to
>>>>> gather all that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the
>>>>> cost for that stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is
>>>>> the only way to add 23% tax and come up with the original cost for
>>>>> the product.

>>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>>>
>>>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>>>> Don't complain.
>>>>
>>>> Go to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the
>>>> section headed "Theories of retail pricing".
>>>
>>> Wrongly titled the "Fair" tax should be labeled the "Unfair" tax.
>>> Totally regressive it excuses the wealthiest among us.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> Actually, it evens some stuff out. As he has noted, it is a sales tax
>> applied to purchases. The wealthy tend to purchase more items and ones
>> with a higher cost than poor folk do. After all, how many poor folk
>> purchase a 50' yacht from Broward Marine or Eggf Harbor? The tax is
>> based on the selling price of an item and is the same on all items.
>>
>> Ergo, those who buy more items or more expensive ones will pay more tax.
>>
>> Don't let your money envy and class jealousy blind you, Sid.
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.
>
> If a wealthy person makes $20 million dollars only that small portion
> he/she spends is taxed.
> The unspent portion remains untaxed.
> People who work at jobs are not granted such privileges
> The "Fair" tax is inherently UNFAIR...it's a sales tax and is inherently
> regressive.

So let's see. You're unhappy when a larger portion of the wealth class income is taxed than the working individual, and you're unhappy when it's a sales tax. So what sort of tax would you consider fair? Something that sticks it to the rich guy because he's rich and you're not?

3580. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 21:34:04 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF39434E7380hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231507
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irro66dku1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "Gray Ghost" <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF36F680423FWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>> gfn <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:c373b161-64c5-4059-8812-505c1c48b2f6@
>> 16g2000yqy.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> On May 28, 10:28 am, "Scout"
>>><me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>
>>>> news:f8953f5a-0de2-4b9c-96d7-135587d983c5@16g2000yqy.googlegroups.co
>>>> m...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > On May 27, 12:49 pm, Gray Ghost
>>>> > <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:a3818cb8-5698-4e24-8be3-
>>>> >> 4957d24bf...@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>>> >>
>>>> >> > On May 27, 12:35 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
>> @yahoo.com>
>>>> >> > wrote:
>>>> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in news:9cf9a67a-cb3c-4cd1-a678-
>>>> >> 4e47e0379641
>>>> >> >> @p13g2000yqh.googlegroups.com:
>>>> >>
>>>> >> >> > On May 26, 6:19 pm, Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...
>> @yahoo.co
>>> m>
>>>> >> >> > wrote:
>>>> >> >> >> gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in

>>>> >> >> >> news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-
>>>> >> >> 55a606092fd9
>>>> >> >> > @
>>>> >> >> >> 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> >> wholesale = $50
>>>> >> >> >> >> compliance costs = - $23
>>>> >> >> >> >> FairTax = $23
>>>> >> >> >> >> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> >> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>>> >> >> >> >> decree
>>>> >> that's
>>>> >> >> >> $123.
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> > You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>> readi
>>> ng
>>>> >> >> >> > comprehension. Was there something about "- $23" (read
>>>> >> >> >> > minu
>>> s
>>>> >> >> >> > $23)
>>>> >> >> >> > that you didn't get? I guess the example wasn't simple
>>>> >> >> >> > enou
>>> gh
>>>> >> >> >> > for
>>>> >> >> >> > you.
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance
>>>> >> >> >> costs are just
>>>> >> >> goin
>>>> >> >> > g
>>>> >> >> >> to away?
>>>>
>>>> >> >> > Yes I do. As do the economists that examined the plan and
>>>> >> >> > the
>>> way
>>>> >> >> > market forces work.
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> --
>>>> >> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://her
>>> mancai
>>>> >> > n.c
>>>> >> >> > om/
>>>> >> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>> >> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>>
>>>> >> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
>>>> >> >> >> Obama
>> h

>>> ad
>>>> >> >> >> as
>>>> >> >> muc
>>>> >> >> > h
>>>> >> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>>> >> >> >> THAT much
>>>> >> >> >> competence?
>>>>
>>>> >> >> And economists are never mistaken, cough-cough, hack-hack.
>>>>
>>>> >> > Of course not. They've told us over and over again how our
>>>> >> > curren
>>> t
>>>> >> > tax system would fully fund the government.
>>>>
>>>> >> >> --
>>>> >> >> Herman Cain for President! http://herman
>>> cain.c
>>>> >> > om/
>>>> >> >> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>> >> >> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>>
>>>> >> >> Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that
>>>> >> >> Obama had
>>> as
>>>> >> muc
>>>> >> > h
>>>> >> >> ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have
>>>> >> >> THAT
>> muc
>>> h
>>>> >> >> competence?
>>>>
>>>> >> Exactly my point.
>>>>
>>>> > But you are perfectly fine with maintaining a system that
>>>> > currently falls well short of funding the federal government?
>>>>
>>>> Given the tax and spend attitude, NO amount of taxation will feed
>>>> the
>> bea
>>> st.
>>>> Even under Clinton will tax increases and a massive increase in
>>>> revenue
>> d
>>> ue
>>>> to a booming economy, the federal government still managed to
>>>> invent new
>>> and
>>>> wonderful ways to spend absolutely everything it got and still

>>>> needed to borrow even more.
>>>>
>>>> The problem is a lack of control on spending, not on the level of
>> taxatio
>>> n.
>>>
>>> Exactly. That's why something like the FT is revenue neutral. It's
>>> a mechanism to maintain current levels of tax revenue. Controlling
>>> spending is a completely different issue.
>>>
>>
>> And it's pointless going through the exercise of changing the
>> collection method if spending doesn't change.
>
> Further, let's assume for a moment that there is a net "savings" for
> people under the new tax structure.....wouldn't that seem to be a
> justification for the government to simply raise the tax rate so as to
> maintain current spending levels with smaller deficits, thus negating
> anything that his plan might gain?
>
>
>

One reason why simply adding taxes doesn't fix anything. It really never gets applied to current programs and their overruns.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3581. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 21:34:47 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF39453B458Ahopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231508
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

"Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
news:irroameji1@dont-email.me:

>
>
> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:irr96s$ej1$1@dont-email.me...
>>
>> "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EF35F8B064FEhopewell@216.196.121.131...
>>> "Scout" <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote in
>>> news:irr0sipuf1@dont-email.me:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:irqrtj$s4c$1@dont-email.me...
>>>>>
>>>>> "gfn" <gfnfny@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.
>>>>> com ...
>>>>>> On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>>>>>>> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroup
>>>>>>> >s.c om..
>>>>>>> > .
>>>>>>> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman
>>>>>>> >> <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>>>>>>> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote

>>>>>>> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>>>>>>> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >>> >>> wholesale = $50
>>>>>>> >>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>>>>>>> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
>>>>>>> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>>>>>>> >>> >>> decree that's
>>>>>>> >>> > $123.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>>>>>>> >>> >> reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>>>>>>> >>> >> (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the
>>>>>>> >>> >> example wasn't simple enough for you.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance
>>>>>>> >>> > costs are just going to away?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they
>>>>>>> >>> will no longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more
>>>>>>> >>> accurate numbers and understand that he cannot subtract 23%
>>>>>>> >>> from an item's cost, add stuff to it and still have it be
>>>>>>> >>> 23% when he puts it back in place. Either his first 23% is
>>>>>>> >>> in error or the second one is.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every
>>>>>>> >> good and service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs.
>>>>>>> >> Those will go away as market forces take hold. That 23% is
>>>>>>> >> replaced by the FairTax. Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go
>>>>>>> > away?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going
>>>>>>> > to be eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of
>>>>>>> the product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed
>>>>>>> equal the new costs put in when the new costs include all that
>>>>>>> federal tax revenue that is currently being gathered.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Personal income tax - 45%
>>>>>>> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>>>>>>> Corporate income tax - 12%
>>>>>>> Excise taxes - 3%

>>>>>>> Other - 4%
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they
>>>>>>> didn't go away.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to
>>>>>>> gather all
>>>>>>> that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the cost
>>>>>>> for that stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is
>>>>>>> the only way to add 23% tax and come up with the original cost
>>>>>>> for the product.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If you woke up this morning....
>>>>>>> Don't complain.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Go to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the
>>>>>> section headed "Theories of retail pricing".
>>>>>
>>>>> Wrongly titled the "Fair" tax should be labeled the "Unfair" tax.
>>>>> Totally regressive it excuses the wealthiest among us.
>>>>
>>>> Sid isn't happy unless it's getting stuck to the wealthy to support
>>>> his poor ass.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Begorra, methinks you figgered it out.
>>>
>>
>> .
>> .
>> I'm not poor.
>>
>> I ride the tax gravy train same as you do.
>
> Hmmmmm...
>
> So why aren't you sending more of your money in?
>
> I mean according to your assertions you're not paying enough in taxes,
> so why don't you make a check out to the IRS for the extra that you
> think you deserve to pay?
>
> Are you telling us you're a hypocrite who refuses to pay as much in

> taxes as he says he should?

Seems most of them are. ;)

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3582. Flat-Tax+Plus2© : Figuring Just Who Should Pay For All of This -hint- Everyone !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 22:05:51 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <25663284-6811-4942-a24b-f70db3ee453f@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy

On May 28, 9:47 am, "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote:
.
-4-levels-of-snip-&-trim-
.
- If a wealthy person makes $20 million dollars
- only that small portion he/she spends is taxed.
- The unspent portion remains untaxed.

Yes That It Does.
.
- People who work at jobs are not granted such privileges

Excessive Disposable {Un-Used} Income
{Wealth Begetting Wealth}
.
- The "Fair" tax is inherently UNFAIR...
- it's a sales tax and is inherently regressive.

Yes By Itself : It' Ain't Fair [.]
.
- We have a good system that has been picked
- to pieces over the years.

Yes It Has.
.
- The income to works.

Nah It Don't ! -&- Can't Be Fixed [.]
.
- It needs to have much of its giveaways removed.

It Needs A Re-Start : An Equitable-for-All New System.
.
.
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System
{Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board}
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531

1st - Flat Sales Tax of XX% {17%}
-result- 90% of the People Pay NO Income Taxes
and NO Corporate Taxes : Simply a "Pay As You Go"
Consumer Sales Tax

2nd - Flat Corporate Profits* Tax of XX% {17%}
* On Corporate Profits Above $250K
-result- Ensures Corporations Pay Their Fair
{Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society

3rd - Flat Income* Tax of XX% {17%}
* On Individual {Single} Incomes Above $250K
-result- Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their
Fair {Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society

yes - it is that simple ~ RHF
-fair-is-fair-&-equal-is-equal-
.
.
Doing-the-Math : Who Can Pay & Who Should Pay
A Gross Excess Income [Flat] Tax &
Who Should Simply Pay-As-They-Go
Via A National Sales [Flat] Tax
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8a40826bb31ec5a9
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/a39dab4256ca7f1c
.
It Is Better To Be Flat Taxed Plus :
Than Flat Broke Period ! {Tax Equality}
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/92d80ae81ce3307d
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4a5a66d06fc386ee
.
Ensuring 'Low-Income Working Taxpayers Pay Nothing
and Get Money Back :o) -wrt- The "EITC" the USA
Has In-Fact a De-Facto Negative Income Tax !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3e6e5c3a4919b3fb
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3fa31b72614cbcbf
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/341b578f31bc9e41
.
Why We Need an Alternative Minimum Tax for G.E.
= 'GE' A "Bad" Corporate Citizen !
-g.-e.-a-corporate-friend-of-obama-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c1a6dcc43a6a1d73
.
Ensuring 'The Rich' Have True Tax Equality
-by-a- Flat Tax PLUS National Tax System
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/09f108a002d90e1c
.
Taxed-Into-Poverty-R-Us : 2011 The 1st Year
of Obama-Tax-Slavery©
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f474632d74cc3373
.
The Pending 2011 Obama+Pelosi+Reid Income Tax
Increase the Largest Tax Increase in the History
of the USA !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9ac8b68e4e5d538a
.
Over-Taxed-R-Us : Most Voters Want Fair

and Equitable Taxes and Less Government
Spending and Debt
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3ed13078238d3f69
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/581688d9ba14b85b
.
.
OMG/OMA Let Us Pray . . .
This Has Been A 'Teachable Moment' ~ RHF©***
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teachable_moment
.
*** © This is another Copy-Righted 'Brain Fart' of RHF
-wrt- 'Brain Fart' -yeah- This Idea(s) Stinks : Pew! [P!U!]
.
Flat-Tax+Plus2© : Figuring Just Who Should Pay
For All of This -hint- Everyone !
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/da83e5f409b9bb40
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© : Truly Fair & Equitable Tax Plan
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
1st - 90% Pay as They Spend {Un-Burden by Tax Paperwork}
-aka- Pay as they Live Naturally
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
2nd - Flat Corporate Profits Tax
Ensures Corporations Pay Their Fair {Equal} Share
of the Cost of American Society
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
3rd - Flat Income for the Rich/Wealthy
Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their Fair {Equal}
Share of the Cost of American Society
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
That's a Flat-Tax+Plus2© a Truly Fair and
Equitable Tax Plan for a Healthy and Strong

America With Fairness and Tax Equality For All.
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
Flat-Tax+Plus2© Can't have The One [1st]
Without the "+Plus2" 2nd and 3rd :
That is Why it is called the Flat-Tax+Plus2© :
Truly Fair and Equitable Tax Plan
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
.

3583. The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System : Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 22:13:03 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <0c24cac7-586a-4d04-8742-1bc94683c02b@o10g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy

On May 28, 2:14 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>
> news:irr8v1$d51$1@dont-email.me...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
> >news:Xns9EF35F73D30F5hopewell@216.196.121.131...
> >> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote innews:irqrtj$s4c$1@dont-email.me:
>
> >>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com.
> >>> ..
> >>>> On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >>>>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
> >>>>> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>
> >>>>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.co
> >>>>> >m..
> >>>>> > .
> >>>>> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >>>>> >> wrote:
> >>>>> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
> >>>>> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>
> >>>>> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
> >>>>> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
> >>>>> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:
>
> >>>>> >>> >> wholesale = $50
> >>>>> >>> >> compliance costs = - $23

> >>>>> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
> >>>>> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>
> >>>>> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl decree
> >>>>> >>> >>> that's
> >>>>> >>> > $123.
>
> >>>>> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
> >>>>> >>> >> reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
> >>>>> >>> >> (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
> >>>>> >>> >> wasn't simple enough for you.
>
> >>>>> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
> >>>>> >>> > are just going to away?
>
> >>>>> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will
> >>>>> >>> no longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate
> >>>>> >>> numbers and understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an
> >>>>> >>> item's cost, add stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he
> >>>>> >>> puts it back in place. Either his first 23% is in error or the
> >>>>> >>> second one is.
>
> >>>>> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good
> >>>>> >> and service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will
> >>>>> >> go away as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the
> >>>>> >> FairTax. Guys, this isn't that hard.
>
> >>>>> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>
> >>>>> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to
> >>>>> > be eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>
> >>>>> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of the
> >>>>> product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed equal
> >>>>> the new costs put in when the new costs include all that federal tax
> >>>>> revenue that is currently being gathered.
>
> >>>>> Personal income tax - 45%
> >>>>> Payroll Taxes - 36%
> >>>>> Corporate income tax - 12%
> >>>>> Excise taxes - 3%
> >>>>> Other - 4%
>
> >>>>>http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr
>
> >>>>> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't
> >>>>> go away.
>
> >>>>> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to
> >>>>> gather all that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the

> >>>>> cost for that stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is
> >>>>> the only way to add 23% tax and come up with the original cost for
> >>>>> the product.
>
> >>>>> --
> >>>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >>>>> If you woke up this morning....
> >>>>> Don't complain.
>
> >>>> Go tohttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the
> >>>> section headed "Theories of retail pricing".
>
> >>> Wrongly titled the "Fair" tax should be labeled the "Unfair" tax.
> >>> Totally regressive it excuses the wealthiest among us.
>
> >> Actually, it evens some stuff out. As he has noted, it is a sales tax
> >> applied to purchases. The wealthy tend to purchase more items and ones
> >> with a higher cost than poor folk do. After all, how many poor folk
> >> purchase a 50' yacht from Broward Marine or Eggf Harbor? The tax is
> >> based on the selling price of an item and is the same on all items.
>
> >> Ergo, those who buy more items or more expensive ones will pay more tax.
>
> >> Don't let your money envy and class jealousy blind you, Sid.
>
> >> --
> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> Don't complain.
>
> > If a wealthy person makes $20 million dollars only that small portion
> > he/she spends is taxed.
> > The unspent portion remains untaxed.
> > People who work at jobs are not granted such privileges
> > The "Fair" tax is inherently UNFAIR...it's a sales tax and is inherently
> > regressive.
>
- So let's see.
- You're unhappy when a larger portion of
- the wealth class income is taxed than the
- working individual,
- and you're unhappy when it's a sales tax.
- So what sort of tax would you consider fair?

The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System

.

- Something that sticks it to the rich guy
- because he's rich and you're not?

NAH - Ensuring Tax Equality For All :
Tax Equality OF The People !
Tax Equality BY The People !
Tax Equality FOR The People !
That's The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System
{Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board}
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531

1st - Flat Sales Tax of XX% {17%}
-result- 90% of the People Pay NO Income Taxes
and NO Corporate Taxes : Simply a "Pay As You Go"
Consumer Sales Tax

2nd - Flat Corporate Profits* Tax of XX% {17%}
* On Corporate Profits Above $250K
-result- Ensures Corporations Pay Their Fair
{Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society

3rd - Flat Income* Tax of XX% {17%}
* On Individual {Single} Incomes Above $250K
-result- Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their
Fair {Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society

yes - it is that simple ~ RHF
-fair-is-fair-&-equal-is-equal-
.
.
Doing-the-Math : Who Can Pay & Who Should Pay
A Gross Excess Income [Flat] Tax &
Who Should Simply Pay-As-They-Go
Via A National Sales [Flat] Tax
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8a40826bb31ec5a9
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/a39dab4256ca7f1c
.
It Is Better To Be Flat Taxed Plus :
Than Flat Broke Period ! {Tax Equality}
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/92d80ae81ce3307d
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4a5a66d06fc386ee
.
Ensuring 'Low-Income Working Taxpayers Pay Nothing
and Get Money Back :o) -wrt- The "EITC" the USA
Has In-Fact a De-Facto Negative Income Tax !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3e6e5c3a4919b3fb
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3fa31b72614cbcbf
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/341b578f31bc9e41
.
Why We Need an Alternative Minimum Tax for G.E.
= 'GE' A "Bad" Corporate Citizen !
-g.-e.-a-corporate-friend-of-obama-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c1a6dcc43a6a1d73
.
Ensuring 'The Rich' Have True Tax Equality

-by-a- Flat Tax PLUS National Tax System
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/09f108a002d90e1c
.
Taxed-Into-Poverty-R-Us : 2011 The 1st Year
of Obama-Tax-Slavery©
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f474632d74cc3373
.
The Pending 2011 Obama+Pelosi+Reid Income Tax
Increase the Largest Tax Increase in the History
of the USA !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9ac8b68e4e5d538a
.
Over-Taxed-R-Us : Most Voters Want Fair
and Equitable Taxes and Less Government
Spending and Debt
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3ed13078238d3f69
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/581688d9ba14b85b
.
.
OMG/OMA Let Us Pray . . .
This Has Been A 'Teachable Moment' ~ RHF©***
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teachable_moment
.
*** © This is another Copy-Righted 'Brain Fart' of RHF
-wrt- 'Brain Fart' -yeah- This Idea(s) Stinks : Pew! [P!U!]
.
Flat-Tax+Plus2© : Figuring Just Who Should Pay
For All of This -hint- Everyone !
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/da83e5f409b9bb40
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© : Truly Fair & Equitable Tax Plan
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
1st - 90% Pay as They Spend {Un-Burden by Tax Paperwork}
-aka- Pay as they Live Naturally
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
2nd - Flat Corporate Profits Tax
Ensures Corporations Pay Their Fair {Equal} Share
of the Cost of American Society
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-

Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
3rd - Flat Income for the Rich/Wealthy
Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their Fair {Equal}
Share of the Cost of American Society
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
That's a Flat-Tax+Plus2© a Truly Fair and
Equitable Tax Plan for a Healthy and Strong
America With Fairness and Tax Equality For All.
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
Flat-Tax+Plus2© Can't have The One [1st]
Without the "+Plus2" 2nd and 3rd :
That is Why it is called the Flat-Tax+Plus2© :
Truly Fair and Equitable Tax Plan
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
.

3584. Re: Monica Pignotti: Adulteress and Bringer of Bad Luck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 22:18:38 UTC
From: Frank <fmerriman@nycny.net>
Message-ID: <c1396e52-83a8-4b0d-83a4-807b7b04044e@p23g2000vbl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231511
Cross-posted to: soc.culture.german,alt.religion.scientology,alt.conspiracy,soc.culture.usa,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 28, 7:25 pm, Sandau Chiropractic Services
<smilax_san...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Monica Pignotti is cursed.
>
> Look at what happens to people who support her:
>

> Amy Scobee: outed as a lesbian.

Oh, grow up :-)

3585. Ensuring Tax Equality For All : The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 22:25:11 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <e756471b-5eb2-4478-b466-cd8134ce5bdd@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 27, 2:13 pm, gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote:
- Yes it does.
- The 23% is the embedded compliance "costs".
- I'll try this one last time.
- 23% of every item you buy is composed
- embedded costs passed on in the price of
- the product associated with compliance of
- paying federal income and payroll taxes,
- including personal, gift, estate, capital
- gains, alternative minimum, Social Security/
- Medicare, self-employment, and corporate taxes.
- Those are "costs".
- When the FairTax is implemented those costs
- go away.
- I won't re-hash why.
- But, those costs go away and are replaced
- by the FairTax.
- In it's simplest terms it removes 23% of
- embedded compliance costs, then turns
- right around and adds 23% tax.
- Thus, the cost if the item is the same.
- I can't make it any more simple then that.
- If you can't figure that out then maybe you
- need to stay with the current tax system.

1st - The So Called Fair-Tax By Itself Ain't Fair
-that's-the-honest-truth- -that's-the-honest-truth-

2nd - The So Called Fair-Tax By Itself Ain't Fair
-that's-the-honest-truth- -that's-the-honest-truth-

3rd - The So Called Fair-Tax By Itself Ain't Fair
-that's-the-honest-truth- -that's-the-honest-truth-
.
WHAT WILL WORK -is- Ensuring Tax Equality For All :
Tax Equality OF The People !
Tax Equality BY The People !
Tax Equality FOR The People !
That's The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System
{Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board}
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531

1st - Flat Sales Tax of XX% {17%}
-result- 90% of the People Pay NO Income Taxes

and NO Corporate Taxes : Simply a "Pay As You Go"
Consumer Sales Tax

2nd - Flat Corporate Profits* Tax of XX% {17%}
* On Corporate Profits Above $250K
-result- Ensures Corporations Pay Their Fair
{Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society

3rd - Flat Income* Tax of XX% {17%}
* On Individual {Single} Incomes Above $250K
-result- Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their
Fair {Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society

yes - it is that simple ~ RHF
-fair-is-fair-&-equal-is-equal-
.
.
Doing-the-Math : Who Can Pay & Who Should Pay
A Gross Excess Income [Flat] Tax &
Who Should Simply Pay-As-They-Go
Via A National Sales [Flat] Tax
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8a40826bb31ec5a9
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/a39dab4256ca7f1c
.
It Is Better To Be Flat Taxed Plus :
Than Flat Broke Period ! {Tax Equality}
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/92d80ae81ce3307d
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4a5a66d06fc386ee
.
Ensuring 'Low-Income Working Taxpayers Pay Nothing
and Get Money Back :o) -wrt- The "EITC" the USA
Has In-Fact a De-Facto Negative Income Tax !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3e6e5c3a4919b3fb
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3fa31b72614cbcbf
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/341b578f31bc9e41
.
Why We Need an Alternative Minimum Tax for G.E.
= 'GE' A "Bad" Corporate Citizen !
-g.-e.-a-corporate-friend-of-obama-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c1a6dcc43a6a1d73
.
Ensuring 'The Rich' Have True Tax Equality
-by-a- Flat Tax PLUS National Tax System
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/09f108a002d90e1c
.
Taxed-Into-Poverty-R-Us : 2011 The 1st Year
of Obama-Tax-Slavery©
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f474632d74cc3373
.
The Pending 2011 Obama+Pelosi+Reid Income Tax
Increase the Largest Tax Increase in the History

of the USA !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9ac8b68e4e5d538a
.
Over-Taxed-R-Us : Most Voters Want Fair
and Equitable Taxes and Less Government
Spending and Debt
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3ed13078238d3f69
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/581688d9ba14b85b
.
.
OMG/OMA Let Us Pray . . .
This Has Been A 'Teachable Moment' ~ RHF©***
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teachable_moment
.
*** © This is another Copy-Righted 'Brain Fart' of RHF
-wrt- 'Brain Fart' -yeah- This Idea(s) Stinks : Pew! [P!U!]
.
Flat-Tax+Plus2© : Figuring Just Who Should Pay
For All of This -hint- Everyone !
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/da83e5f409b9bb40
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© : Truly Fair & Equitable Tax Plan
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
1st - 90% Pay as They Spend {Un-Burden by Tax Paperwork}
-aka- Pay as they Live Naturally
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
2nd - Flat Corporate Profits Tax
Ensures Corporations Pay Their Fair {Equal} Share
of the Cost of American Society
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
3rd - Flat Income for the Rich/Wealthy
Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their Fair {Equal}
Share of the Cost of American Society
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century

Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
That's a Flat-Tax+Plus2© a Truly Fair and
Equitable Tax Plan for a Healthy and Strong
America With Fairness and Tax Equality For All.
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
Flat-Tax+Plus2© Can't have The One [1st]
Without the "+Plus2" 2nd and 3rd :
That is Why it is called the Flat-Tax+Plus2© :
Truly Fair and Equitable Tax Plan
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
.

3586. Latest Liberty Net via WB4AIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 22:35:22 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Message-ID: <201105282235.UTC.irrtbb$u7a$1@tioat.net>
Source record: 231513

Liberty Net: May 21, 2011

http://3950.net/2011/05/liberty-net-may-21-2011/

by Kevin Strom, WB4AIO

ROLLING THUNDERSTORM static that never seemed to stop inhibited early check-ins on this weekï¿½s Liberty Net, but the noise eventually subsided ï¿½ and the conversation and exchange of information accelerated ï¿½ as the evening progressed. When high noise conditions prevail, the extra few dB from an amplifier and a high, efficient antenna really make a difference.

Here are just a few of the topics discussed and debated this time on amateur radioï¿½s most exciting net:

ï¿½ Obama has brazenly ignored the War Powers Act ï¿½ the very law he invoked to legitimize his invasion of Libya. And now that the 60-day deadline for getting Congressional approval has passed, that invasion is illegal by any standards. (13 minutes)

ï¿½ Dominique Strauss-Kahnï¿½s rape and sodomy charges may be the least of his worries: Apparently the Black African woman he allegedly raped lives in an apartment set aside exclusively for tenants who are infected with HIV. (21 minutes)

ï¿½ Mirroring what happened to Helen Thomas, more and more individual journalists are being forbidden to ask questions at administration press conferences, and some regime officials no longer allow questions from anyone. (51 minutes)

ï¿½ Why did the Columbus, Ohio police mace elderly Americans who were peacefully protesting J.P. Morgan Chaseï¿½s rape of Americaï¿½s financial system? (1 hour 12 minutes)

ï¿½ All over the world, cash-strapped governments have started to tax (that is, seize) private pension funds that were long promised to be tax free, in order to fund entitlement programs for the underclass and bailouts for the overclass. This means that all your funds could be gone by the time you retire. (1 hour 55 minutes)

ï¿½ Congress has already passed pretended laws ï¿½ which go into effect in 2012 ï¿½ requiring that all your transactions involving $600 or more be reported to the regime in Washington. Whatever happened to the concept of financial privacy? (2 hours 3 minutes)

ï¿½ China is now the number one buyer of gold in the world ï¿½ getting rid of its paper dollars faster than ever before; a fact which

should send a powerful message to Americans. (2 hours 36 minutes)

ï¿½ The regime, by shamelessly raiding Social Security funds to buy missiles and buy votes from the largely-worthless underclass, is flagrantly violating its own laws. America has degenerated to the point at which laws are now _only_ enforced selectively ï¿½ that is, to benefit the regime in some way, or to silence its critics. (3 hours 6 minutes)

In addition to checking in and experiencing the Liberty Net over the air, you can log on Saturday evenings beginning at 10PM Eastern for live audio feeds of the Net (sometimes from several stations simultaneously) and live text chat too at http://stickam.com/thelibertynet via N2SAG.

All our thanks go to N2SAG and N2IRJ and especially W1WCR for their hard work which makes the Liberty Net and its Internet adjuncts possible. Remember, you can download the mp3 recordings of the net right here at http://3950.net and listen in your gym, or on your way to work, or anywhere you choose.

http://3950.net/2011/05/liberty-net-may-21-2011/

--
http://nationalvanguard.org/
http://kevinalfredstrom.com/

3587. Leveling The Wealth Creation Field : The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 22:51:51 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <8f0a27e6-85d0-4b58-bf1f-58f252226513@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns

On May 28, 7:02 am, "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>
> news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>
> >> > ...
> >> > What is wrong with this country?
>
> >> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>
> >> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
> >> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>
> >> -- or --
>
> >> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government criminal
> >> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain absolute
> >> police state control over all American citizens.
>
> >> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang either the
> >> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > JS -please- This is not simply the
> > "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>
> > -it's- Democrats Reveal New & Improved Plan :
> > More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
> > -the-'more'-'more'-plan-

> > * Democrats Promise You More New & Improved
> > Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
> > * Democrats Promise You More New & Improved
> > Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>
> > -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
> > Tax You More & Spend More of Your Tax Money
> > -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>
> > and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
> > -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
> > .
> > .
>
> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>
> 1. We need the bush,jr tax. This tax remains in force until bush,jr's
> unfunded wars are paid for.
> 2. We need to rescind the bush, jr tax cuts that have crippled our
> government.
- 3. We need to tax dividends, interest, and
- capital gains at the same rate as money
- earned by working.

Yes-&-No -or- Maybe So...

The Idea to give Favorable Tax Treatment
to Dividends Interest and Capital Gains :
Is To Encourage Investment and Economic
{Business} Development and Job Creation :
THAT'S A GOOD THING FOR THE INVESTOR; THE
BUSINESS; THE EMPLOYED; AND THE GOVERNMENT
-aka- SOCIETY AS A WHOLE :o)

BUT For Society As A Whole {Everyone Equally}
How Do We As A Society Ensure Diverse Wealth
Creation For All Citizens {Members-of-Society}

Leveling The Wealth Creation Field : Take The
Average Annual Salary of a Working Man/Women
-example- $50K

Now Give 'Favorable' Tax Treatment to the First
$50K of Dividends, Interest and Capital Gains,
Corporate {Partnership} Income Earned by
Individuals Yearly -and- Tax the Rest as Ordinary
Income Just Like The Working Man/Woman Pays
-that's-tax-equity-that's-fair-that's-just-
Encourage Savings By All
Encourage Thrift By All
Encourage Investment By All
Encourage Wealth Creation By All

Ensure Wealth Creation For All : Enrich All
.
.
WHAT WILL WORK -is- Ensuring Tax Equality For All :
Tax Equality OF The People !
Tax Equality BY The People !
Tax Equality FOR The People !
That's The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System
{Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board}
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531

1st - Flat Sales Tax of XX% {17%}
-result- 90% of the People Pay NO Income Taxes
and NO Corporate Taxes : Simply a "Pay As You Go"
Consumer Sales Tax

2nd - Flat Corporate Profits* Tax of XX% {17%}
* On Corporate Profits Above $250K
-result- Ensures Corporations Pay Their Fair
{Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society

3rd - Flat Income* Tax of XX% {17%}
* On Individual {Single} Incomes Above $250K
-result- Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their
Fair {Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society

yes - it is that simple ~ RHF
-fair-is-fair-&-equal-is-equal-
.
.
Doing-the-Math : Who Can Pay & Who Should Pay
A Gross Excess Income [Flat] Tax &
Who Should Simply Pay-As-They-Go
Via A National Sales [Flat] Tax
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8a40826bb31ec5a9
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/a39dab4256ca7f1c
.
It Is Better To Be Flat Taxed Plus :
Than Flat Broke Period ! {Tax Equality}
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/92d80ae81ce3307d
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4a5a66d06fc386ee
.
Ensuring 'Low-Income Working Taxpayers Pay Nothing
and Get Money Back :o) -wrt- The "EITC" the USA
Has In-Fact a De-Facto Negative Income Tax !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3e6e5c3a4919b3fb
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3fa31b72614cbcbf
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/341b578f31bc9e41
.
Why We Need an Alternative Minimum Tax for G.E.
= 'GE' A "Bad" Corporate Citizen !

-g.-e.-a-corporate-friend-of-obama-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c1a6dcc43a6a1d73
.
Ensuring 'The Rich' Have True Tax Equality
-by-a- Flat Tax PLUS National Tax System
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/09f108a002d90e1c
.
Taxed-Into-Poverty-R-Us : 2011 The 1st Year
of Obama-Tax-Slavery©
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f474632d74cc3373
.
The Pending 2011 Obama+Pelosi+Reid Income Tax
Increase the Largest Tax Increase in the History
of the USA !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9ac8b68e4e5d538a
.
Over-Taxed-R-Us : Most Voters Want Fair
and Equitable Taxes and Less Government
Spending and Debt
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3ed13078238d3f69
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/581688d9ba14b85b
.
.
OMG/OMA Let Us Pray . . .
This Has Been A 'Teachable Moment' ~ RHF©***
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teachable_moment
.
*** © This is another Copy-Righted 'Brain Fart' of RHF
-wrt- 'Brain Fart' -yeah- This Idea(s) Stinks : Pew! [P!U!]
.
Flat-Tax+Plus2© : Figuring Just Who Should Pay
For All of This -hint- Everyone !
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/da83e5f409b9bb40
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© : Truly Fair & Equitable Tax Plan
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
1st - 90% Pay as They Spend {Un-Burden by Tax Paperwork}
-aka- Pay as they Live Naturally
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
2nd - Flat Corporate Profits Tax

Ensures Corporations Pay Their Fair {Equal} Share
of the Cost of American Society
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
3rd - Flat Income for the Rich/Wealthy
Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their Fair {Equal}
Share of the Cost of American Society
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
That's a Flat-Tax+Plus2© a Truly Fair and
Equitable Tax Plan for a Healthy and Strong
America With Fairness and Tax Equality For All.
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
Flat-Tax+Plus2© Can't have The One [1st]
Without the "+Plus2" 2nd and 3rd :
That is Why it is called the Flat-Tax+Plus2© :
Truly Fair and Equitable Tax Plan
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
-fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
Building a Better America for the 21st Century
Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2© = Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
.

3588. Re: (OT) Memorial Day.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 22:58:47 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <c1cd261b-bd1f-4c49-9d73-6e96d8449b92@e17g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231515

On May 28, 12:49 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:

- Memorial Day History by Brownie locks.
- http://www.brownielocks.com/memorialday.html
-
- Happy Memorial Day, y'all.
- cuhulin

Memorial Day : Let Us Remember Those Who Served
and Those Who Give of Themselves -and- Let Us
Give 'Thanks' For All Those Who Proceeded Us
Both In and Out of Uniform -and-we-all-say-
amen, Amen. and AMEN ! ~ RHF
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Memorial_Day
.
.

3589. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 23:03:17 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <5c0f9d96-8b6c-4963-9057-a4dd3d4c9802@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231516

On May 28, 11:38 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/27/2011 09:35 PM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 5:40 pm, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> >> On 05/27/2011 04:08 PM, RHF wrote:
>
> >>> On May 27, 3:27 pm, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >>>> Is the outer shell glass, or something more durable, like a polymer?
> >>>> With glass, if the inside gets broken, there's a pretty good chance
> >>>> the outside cover would also be broken by the same trauma.
>
> >>> It is Glass -but- not 'thin' Light Bulb Glass
> >>> It is Thicker and More Durable like the Glass
> >>> on a Halogen Bulb
> >>> .
>
> >> Halogens have quartz envelopes don't they?
>
> > Dave read the Links.
> >http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/ccc90a344a0ff615
>
> > The 'process' seems to be that the Start-Phase
> > is a small Halogen Igniter placed in the center
> > of the larger CFL Spiral Tube [Empty Hollow] :
> > After the Instant-On Warm-Up the Second-Phase
> > CFL takes over for long-term Low Power Light
> > but Quality Light Output.
>
> > Most CFLs 'Fail' due to their Electronics :
> > Not the CFL Tube itself : This may be a way
> > to reduce these Failures and Improve the
> > over-all Service Life of CFLs.
>
> > interesting dual 'hybrid' technology ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
> > Globe 2-in-1 CFL Bulb and LED Night Light

> > Have a couple of these in-use
> > CFL when you need it 'Bright' and
> > LED Night-Lite when at night
> >http://www.lowes.com/pd_161953-53058-0061601_0__?
> > 1-Each : Works Great in a Dark Hall/Passage
> > in the middle of the House
> > 2-Each : Works great on an Up-Stairs Landing
> > at the Top of the Stairs
>
> > again... interesting dual 'hybrid' technology ~ RHF
> > .
> > .

Dave : Asked-&-Answered ~ RHF
.
-you-can-lead-the-dave-to-the-links...-
-but-you-can-not-force-the-dave-to-read-them-
.
-be-you-dave- -dave-you-be- -dave-on-!-
.
.

3590. Re: Ensuring Tax Equality For All : The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equalit...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 23:06:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DE17FDD-3900@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231517

Missouriah River will be flooding purt soon.Snow melt water and rain
water.
http://www.rense.com
cuhulin

3591. WTWW on 5755 kHz "McCanney Science Hour" @ 0800 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 23:11:15 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <673c7e61-9634-402e-af82-5ee94bab1a2b@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231518

WTWW on 5755 kHz "Science Hour" @ 0800 UTC
-host- James McCanney
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/aba3f8eb4decefe0
.
.
On May 18, 5:00 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> WTWW on 5755 kHz @ 1015 UTC
> on 7 April 2011
>
> Pastor Peters interviewing James McCanney the
> host of the "Science Hour" -imho- There was an
> 'interesting' dynamic between the two...
>
> S-Meter : S9 ~ +10 dB and SIO 555 with Very Good Audio
>
> WTWW is the Shortwave Radio Station for the
> Scripture For America Radio Network [SFARN]http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WTWWhttp://en.wi
kipedia.org/wiki/Scriptures_for_America
> -note- The Interview Ended @ 1058 UTC
> .
> WTWW : We Transmit World-Widehttp://wtww.us/
> 5755 kHz @ 7 PM Central (0000 UTC) to 8 AM (1300 UTC)
> 9480 kHz 8 AM Central (1300 UTC) to 7 PM (0000 UTC)http://www.sfawbn.org/index.html
> .
> WTWW Lebanon, Tennessee ID @ 0900 UTChttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WTWW
> .
> FWIW : WWCR Shortwave Radio Station has
> James McCanney and the "Science Hour" on
> Thursdays Nights on 9350 kHz @ 2300
UTChttp://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> .
> yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .
> WTWW on 5755 kHz @ 0800 UTC
> The "Science Hour" -host- James
McCanneyhttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/aba...

> .
> .
> On Apr 16, 3:34 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > WTWW"Science Hour" -host- James McCanney
> > on 5755 kHz @ 0800 UTC on 16 April 2011
>
> > S-Meter : S9 +20 dB and SIO 555 with Excellent Audio
>
> > Some may say that it should be called the WEIRD
> > 'Science Hour' -but- It can be entertaining . . .
>
> > Program got-off the scheduled topic the "Comet Elenin"
> > and onto the "Kolbrin" Manuscript and his book :
> > "Atlantis to Tesla - The Kolbrin
Connection"http://www.jmccanneyscience.com/AtlantistoTeslaCoversandTableofConten...
> > .
> > About the Comet called
"Elenin"http://news.discovery.com/space/will-earthbound-comet-fulfill-2012-pr...
> > -aka- Comet C/2010 X1 (Elenin)http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Comet_Elenin
> > .WTWW: We Transmit World-Widehttp://wtww.us/
> > 5755 kHz @ 7 PM Central (0000 UTC) to 8 AM (1300 UTC)
> > 9480 kHz 8 AM Central (1300 UTC) to 7 PM (0000 UTC)http://www.sfawbn.org/index.html
> > .WTWWLebanon, Tennessee ID @ 0900 UTChttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WTWW
> > .
> > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~RHF
> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .

3592. Re: Ensuring Tax Equality For All : The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equalit...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 23:20:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DE18323-12066@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231520

Summer is here early.Currently, 98 degrees inside my flower box on
doggy's front porch.Bit, doggy doesn't care anything about that front
porch.She is my couch buddy.I don't sit on my front porch either.Big red
cedar wood bench seat on my front porch, I only use it for laying stuff
on there when I get back from the stores while I am unlocking my front
door.
cuhulin, Porch Sitters Aren't US

3593. Re: WTWW on 5755 kHz "McCanney Science Hour" @ 0800 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-28 23:26:56 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <119e8146-c36b-43f5-872c-f56f1eec08fd@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231519

On May 28, 4:11 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:

- WTWW on 5755 kHz "Science Hour" @ 0800 UTC
- -host- James McCanney
- http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/aba...
.
-sorry-wrong-link-for- 28 Mayo 2011
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/735eaf6ef23ffccd
- .
- .
> On May 18, 5:00 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > WTWW on 5755 kHz @ 1015 UTC
> > on 7 April 2011
>
> > Pastor Peters interviewing James McCanney the
> > host of the "Science Hour" -imho- There was an
> > 'interesting' dynamic between the two...
>
> > S-Meter : S9 ~ +10 dB and SIO 555 with Very Good Audio
>
> > WTWW is the Shortwave Radio Station for the
> > Scripture For America Radio Network
[SFARN]http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WTWWhttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scriptu...
> > -note- The Interview Ended @ 1058 UTC
> > .
> > WTWW : We Transmit World-Widehttp://wtww.us/
> > 5755 kHz @ 7 PM Central (0000 UTC) to 8 AM (1300 UTC)
> > 9480 kHz 8 AM Central (1300 UTC) to 7 PM (0000 UTC)http://www.sfawbn.org/index.html
> > .
> > WTWW Lebanon, Tennessee ID @ 0900 UTChttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WTWW
> > .
> > FWIW : WWCR Shortwave Radio Station has
> > James McCanney and the "Science Hour" on
> > Thursdays Nights on 9350 kHz @ 2300
UTChttp://www.wwcr.com/program-guides/WWCR_Program_Guide.pdf
> > .
> > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF

> > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > .
> > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > .
> > .
> > WTWW on 5755 kHz @ 0800 UTC
> > The "Science Hour" -host- James
McCanneyhttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/aba...
> > .
> > .
> > On Apr 16, 3:34 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > > WTWW"Science Hour" -host- James McCanney
> > > on 5755 kHz @ 0800 UTC on 16 April 2011
>
> > > S-Meter : S9 +20 dB and SIO 555 with Excellent Audio
>
> > > Some may say that it should be called the WEIRD
> > > 'Science Hour' -but- It can be entertaining . . .
>
> > > Program got-off the scheduled topic the "Comet Elenin"
> > > and onto the "Kolbrin" Manuscript and his book :
> > > "Atlantis to Tesla - The Kolbrin
Connection"http://www.jmccanneyscience.com/AtlantistoTeslaCoversandTableofConten...
> > > .
> > > About the Comet called
"Elenin"http://news.discovery.com/space/will-earthbound-comet-fulfill-2012-pr...
> > > -aka- Comet C/2010 X1 (Elenin)http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Comet_Elenin
> > > .WTWW: We Transmit World-Widehttp://wtww.us/
> > > 5755 kHz @ 7 PM Central (0000 UTC) to 8 AM (1300 UTC)
> > > 9480 kHz 8 AM Central (1300 UTC) to 7 PM (0000 UTC)http://www.sfawbn.org/index.html
> > > .WTWWLebanon, Tennessee ID @ 0900 UTChttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WTWW
> > > .
> > > yes - i heard hear it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~RHF
> > > Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> > > Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver
> > > .
> > > Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listen...
> > > .
> > > - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> > > .
> > > .

3594. Re: I seldom even scroll down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 00:09:44 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de18e6b$0$12515$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231521

On 05/28/2011 04:03 PM, RHF wrote:

>
> Dave : Asked-&-Answered ~ RHF
> .
> -you-can-lead-the-dave-to-the-links...-
> -but-you-can-not-force-the-dave-to-read-them-
> .
> -be-you-dave- -dave-you-be- -dave-on-!-
> .
> .

3595. WTWW on 9480 kHz @ 2300 UTC "Gods Home Healthcare Plan"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 00:10:10 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1bdcd35c-b430-48a7-a7b5-d6aabc6a92df@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231523

On May 28, 4:26 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 28, 4:11 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> - WTWW on 5755 kHz "Science Hour" @ 0800 UTC
> - -host- James McCanney
> -http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/aba...
> .
> -sorry-wrong-link-for- 28 Mayo
2011http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/735...
> - .
> - .
>

WTWW on 9480 kHz @ 2300 UTC "Gods Home Healthcare Plan"
-hosts- Nancy-&-Nancy on 28 Mayo 2011

S-Meter : S5 ~ S9 with SIO 444 and good audio

Nancy-&-Nancy about Health Issues and what the Bible
says about Health; a Healthy Life and Healthy Living
-featuring- Natural 'Homeopathic' Health and Scripture
http://www.biblehealth.com/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Homeopathy
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Natural_medicine
Talking about Coconut Oil : Is It Good-or-Bad ?
-song- Doctor Jesus Make Me Better
@ 0000 UTC "Scripture For America World-Wide
Broadcasting {Radio} Network"
http://www.sfawbn.org/schedule.html
-followed-by- "Radio Ranch Wranglers" {Coffee-Time}
Country/Western/Cowboy Music-Singing Program
.
"Gods Home Healthcare Plan" -host-by- Nancy-&-Nancy
can be heard on WTWW Shortwave Radio :
* WTWW on 5755 kHz @ 0000 UTC Wednesdays
~ 5P/6M/7C/8E PMs : DT
* WTWW on 9480 kHz @ 2300 UTC Saturdays
~ 4P/5M/6C/7E PMs : DT
* WTWW on 9480 kHz @ 1700 UTC Mondays
~ 10P/11M/12{N}C AMs & 1E PM : DT
.
WTWW : "We Transmit World-Wide"
http://wtww.us/
5755 kHz @ 0000 - 1300 UTC
9480 kHz @ 1300 - 0000 UTC

http://www.sfawbn.org/index.html
.
WTWW Shortwave Radio Program Schedule
http://www.sfawbn.org/schedule.html
.
Shortwave Radio Station "WTWW"
-from- Lebanon, Tennessee -USA-
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WTWW
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^ Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3596. Re: I think it's a couple of yodelers spliced together

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 00:10:42 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de18ea6$0$12515$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231522

On 05/28/2011 12:23 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> You know how Tarzan got that yell he had? He was swinging through the
> trees and he got his ''bulbs'' caught on a vine.
> Sheet, I would yell too!
> cuhulin
>

3597. Earthquakes in Big Bear preceded a couple of big ones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 00:15:14 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de18fb6$0$12459$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231524

http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/shakemap/sc/shake/14992276/

3598. Re: WTWW on 5755 kHz "McCanney Science Hour" @ 0800 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 00:17:27 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de1903a$0$12459$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231525

On 05/28/2011 04:11 PM, RHF wrote:
> James McCanney

This guy scares people then sells them a cure.

http://www.jmccanneyscience.com/

3599. Re: Wait until this unstable impulse hits your warm moist air

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 00:18:07 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de19063$0$12459$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231526

On 05/28/2011 04:20 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Summer is here early.Currently, 98 degrees inside my flower box on
> doggy's front porch.Bit, doggy doesn't care anything about that front
> porch.She is my couch buddy.I don't sit on my front porch either.Big red
> cedar wood bench seat on my front porch, I only use it for laying stuff
> on there when I get back from the stores while I am unlocking my front
> door.
> cuhulin, Porch Sitters Aren't US
>

3600. Re: Earthquakes in Big Bear preceded a couple of big ones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 00:24:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <f75f0ddf-d901-4585-93eb-80c46ddea054@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231527

```
On May 28, 5:15 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
.
- http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/shakemap/sc/shake/14992276/
.
Bigger !
http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/dyfi/events/nn/00338685/us/index.html
-and-
http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/recenteqscanv/FaultMaps/119-38.html
.
Closer to Me
http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/dyfi/events/nc/71574260/us/index.html
.
California-Nevada Fault Maps
http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/recenteqscanv/
450 Earthquakes
.
.
```

3601. Re: I seldom even scroll down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 00:32:22 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <62e94ef0-fdb2-4996-9c82-a9297ce62623@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231528

On May 28, 5:09 pm, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/28/2011 04:03 PM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > Dave : Asked-&-Answered ~ RHF
> > .
> > -you-can-lead-the-dave-to-the-links...-
> > -but-you-can-not-force-the-dave-to-read-them-
> > .
> > -be-you-dave- -dave-you-be- -dave-on-!-
> > .
> > .

Dave - I May Post Them : You* Are Not
Required To Read Them.
-to-scroll-or-not-to-scroll...-
It's Not A Question : It's An Action !
* Or Anyone Else

Dave - Whether Your Read Them or Not :
I Have Already Posted Them ! :o) ~ RHF
'i'-do-what-'i'-do-:-me-myself-and-'i'-
.
.

3602. I Never click on/read democrap and libturd Sheet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 00:35:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DE194C9-12084@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231529

Bliss' No Right Clicking.
cuhulin

3603. Re: I seldom even scroll down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 00:40:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28987-4DE19606-1098@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231530

How is Lindsay Lohan going to behave when she gets out of the klink in four months?

We gots Thirty Seconds Over Tokyo on TCM right now.

In Billings, Sir, the main street is Minnesota Avenue ~ Robert Walker.
cuhulin

3604. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still lying about citizenship law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 01:17:23 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <T8Sdnd2YZKkzA3zQnZ2dnUVZ5oUAAAAA@giganews.com>
Source record: 231531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3605. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 01:17:29 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <T8SdndyYZKkpA3zQnZ2dnUVZ5oWdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3606. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 01:17:36 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <T8Sdnd-YZKkhA3zQnZ2dnUVZ5oUAAAAA@giganews.com>
Source record: 231533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3607. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 01:22:38 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF3D976C92F5Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231534
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Deep Dudu wrote in news:coi2u61hg9t0mp15o0m5g0ita73s9lgkn9@4ax.com:

> Cutting taxes on the
> wealth to the ridiculously low rate it is now NEVER results in
> stimulating the economy.
>

Yeah, but killing off progressives and socialists is bound to be a boom.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3608. I miss out on Earthquakes over here.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 01:56:26 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DE1A7CA-12129@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231536

Bit, we gots floods and tornados and hurricanes.I wish I could miss out
on those too.
cuhulin

3609. You looking for work? Or You looking for worker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 01:57:17 UTC
From: ram kumar <freeworldwin94@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <804238c2-14fc-42bf-bc54-c737ae6fa7f7@z13g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231535

You looking for work? Or You looking for worker?
both are available here
PART TIME JOBS,No Experience Necessary,Simple Work,
Excellent Rates of Pay,STEP by STEP INTRODUTION,
FREE NO INVESTMENT
EARN 200 DOLLARS PER DAY
http://freelancertodayget.blogspot.com/
$$$$$$ ALL THE BEST $$$$$$

3610. Re: Radio Mauritanie right now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 02:18:34 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <df540c6b-c5e7-4d21-9d4e-aa37c8fd0915@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231537

On May 27, 11:26 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 28, 1:58 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > Good news! MAURITANIA, Radio Mauritanie, 7245 kHz, near start at 0555
> > UTC, 28 May 2011, excellent signal 45454, Q'uran reading by male in
> > Arabic (Jensen, CA)
>
> Very weak signal over here at 0630 UTC . Some kind of prayer or Holy
> Book reading . Definitily Arabic language .

Sorry about that - it was a kickass signal hereabouts in California.
At 06:30, they were doing some kind of an interview in Arabic between
the studio host and what sounded like an older fellow.

3611. Re: Financial wealth, or JUST WHO SHOULD PAY FOR ALL OF THIS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 02:41:42 UTC
From: "r_c_brown@hushmail.com" <r_c_brown@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <a0586d92-7df1-4e14-bf4b-a1afd6b9a6c2@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231538
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

On May 28, 2:10 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> <r_c_br...@hushmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:a329fc1d-b6b6-4952-a595-c920900d2a40@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 2:53 pm, "Scout"
> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> <r_c_br...@hushmail.com> wrote in message
> >
> >>news:78b180fe-3596-4f15-85e1-37c9e5ac3520@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >> > On May 25, 10:29 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> On 5/25/2011 8:00 PM, r_c_br...@hushmail.com wrote:
> >
> >> >> > ...
> >> >> > Hence my remark that such a system would require a totalitarian
> >> >> > state.
> >
> >> >> >> --
> >> >> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
> >
> >> >> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> >> >> Don't complain.
> >
> >> >> Don't forget, we plonk fools here ...
> >
> >> > Clearly, then, you should go plonk yourself.
> >
> >> 3 people who all said basically the same thing. Seems John should buy a
> >> clue.
> >
> > Yeah, sorry about the redundancy; I should have read through the rest
> > of the thread before responding.
>

> Not worried about the redundancy, but simply suggesting to John when that
> many people independently tell him the same thing....he might want to buy a
> clue about how people view him.

Mr. Smith appears to be the sort who cannot admit a mistake. While this appears to be a common trait on UseNet, he took it to an interesting level: when it was pointed out that his variable sales tax proposal (7% for the common smuck, 47% for rich folks) was unworkable outside of a totalitarian state, he questioned the intelligence of those that questioned his assertions, and wondered why they didn't know he was talking about a flat tax. What?

In other words, unless you look good in blue, don't hold your breath waiting for Mr. Smith to become clued in.

3612. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 02:54:33 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irschb$nu4$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231539

On 5/28/2011 12:23 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> You know how Tarzan got that yell he had? He was swinging through the
> trees and he got his ''bulbs'' caught on a vine.
> Sheet, I would yell too!
> cuhulin
>

How come you suddenly have a bunch of homosexuals waiting for your next
post?

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

3613. Re: The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System : Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 03:01:57 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <irscvjrmg1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:0c24cac7-586a-4d04-8742-1bc94683c02b@o10g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
> On May 28, 2:14 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>
>> news:irr8v1$d51$1@dont-email.me...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > "RD Sandman" <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote in message
>> >news:Xns9EF35F73D30F5hopewell@216.196.121.131...
>> >> "Sid9" <sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote innews:irqrtj$s4c$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>> >>> "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>>news:700a7013-bbee-4ef0-96dd-5124301ed8d1@k16g2000yqm.googlegroups.com.
>> >>> ..
>> >>>> On May 27, 7:28 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >>>> wrote:
>> >>>>> "Scout" <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote
>> >>>>> innews:irp6os$h5u$1@dont-email.me:
>>
>> >>>>> > "gfn" <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>>>> >news:528566bb-783a-4ea4-bf4b-51a534035346@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.co
>> >>>>> >m..
>> >>>>> > .
>> >>>>> >> On May 26, 6:52 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> >>>>> >> wrote:
>> >>>>> >>> Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgro...@yahoo.com> wrote
>> >>>>> >>> innews:Xns9EF1BA7DC62EBWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:
>>
>> >>>>> >>> > gfn <gfn...@gmail.com> wrote in
>> >>>>> >>> > news:f287e735-90d5-42c1-a14d-55a606092fd9@
>> >>>>> >>> > 28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com:

>>
>> >>>>> >>> >>> wholesale = $50
>> >>>>> >>> >>> compliance costs = - $23
>> >>>>> >>> >>> FairTax = $23
>> >>>>> >>> >>> sales and other taxes = $27
>>
>> >>>>> >>> >>> Unless they changed the rules of math by Congressionl
>> >>>>> >>> >>> decree
>> >>>>> >>> >>> that's
>> >>>>> >>> > $123.
>>
>> >>>>> >>> >> You can refer to my math, in return I will refer to your
>> >>>>> >>> >> reading comprehension. Was there something about "- $23"
>> >>>>> >>> >> (read minus $23) that you didn't get? I guess the example
>> >>>>> >>> >> wasn't simple enough for you.
>>
>> >>>>> >>> > Didn't see any minuses in there. You think compliance costs
>> >>>>> >>> > are just going to away?
>>
>> >>>>> >>> No, he thinks that the fair tax will replace them as they will
>> >>>>> >>> no longer be needed. He mainly needs to use more accurate
>> >>>>> >>> numbers and understand that he cannot subtract 23% from an
>> >>>>> >>> item's cost, add stuff to it and still have it be 23% when he
>> >>>>> >>> puts it back in place. Either his first 23% is in error or the
>> >>>>> >>> second one is.
>>
>> >>>>> >> $22 million in research says otherwise. On average, every good
>> >>>>> >> and service you buy contains 23% in embedded costs. Those will
>> >>>>> >> go away as market forces take hold. That 23% is replaced by the
>> >>>>> >> FairTax. Guys, this isn't that hard.
>>
>> >>>>> > Ok, HOW exactly are these embedded costs going to just go away?
>>
>> >>>>> > Do you think cost of compliance with EPA regulations is going to
>> >>>>> > be eliminated simply because you add yet another tax?
>>
>> >>>>> And where is the information that those costs total up to 23% of
>> >>>>> the
>> >>>>> product cost. Tis awfully suspicious that the costs removed equal
>> >>>>> the new costs put in when the new costs include all that federal
>> >>>>> tax
>> >>>>> revenue that is currently being gathered.
>>
>> >>>>> Personal income tax - 45%
>> >>>>> Payroll Taxes - 36%
>> >>>>> Corporate income tax - 12%
>> >>>>> Excise taxes - 3%
>> >>>>> Other - 4%
>>
>> >>>>>http://tinyurl.com/6sdrrr

>>
>> >>>>> Some of those costs were already in the product cost so they didn't
>> >>>>> go away.
>>
>> >>>>> I have no problem with the Fair Tax being calculated at 23% to
>> >>>>> gather all that stuff in. The problem I have is the claim that the
>> >>>>> cost for that stuff was 23% of the original product cost. That is
>> >>>>> the only way to add 23% tax and come up with the original cost for
>> >>>>> the product.
>>
>> >>>>> --
>> >>>>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> >>>>> If you woke up this morning....
>> >>>>> Don't complain.
>>
>> >>>> Go tohttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FairTax. Specifically, the
>> >>>> section headed "Theories of retail pricing".
>>
>> >>> Wrongly titled the "Fair" tax should be labeled the "Unfair" tax.
>> >>> Totally regressive it excuses the wealthiest among us.
>>
>> >> Actually, it evens some stuff out. As he has noted, it is a sales tax
>> >> applied to purchases. The wealthy tend to purchase more items and
>> >> ones
>> >> with a higher cost than poor folk do. After all, how many poor folk
>> >> purchase a 50' yacht from Broward Marine or Eggf Harbor? The tax is
>> >> based on the selling price of an item and is the same on all items.
>>
>> >> Ergo, those who buy more items or more expensive ones will pay more
>> >> tax.
>>
>> >> Don't let your money envy and class jealousy blind you, Sid.
>>
>> >> --
>> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> >> If you woke up this morning....
>> >> Don't complain.
>>
>> > If a wealthy person makes $20 million dollars only that small portion
>> > he/she spends is taxed.
>> > The unspent portion remains untaxed.
>> > People who work at jobs are not granted such privileges
>> > The "Fair" tax is inherently UNFAIR...it's a sales tax and is
>> > inherently
>> > regressive.
>>
> - So let's see.
> - You're unhappy when a larger portion of

> - the wealth class income is taxed than the
> - working individual,
> - and you're unhappy when it's a sales tax.
> - So what sort of tax would you consider fair?
>
> The Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ Tax Equality System
> .
> - Something that sticks it to the rich guy
> - because he's rich and you're not?
>
> NAH - Ensuring Tax Equality For All :
> Tax Equality OF The People !
> Tax Equality BY The People !
> Tax Equality FOR The People !
> That's The Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ Tax Equality System
> {Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board}
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
>
> 1st - Flat Sales Tax of XX% {17%}
> -result- 90% of the People Pay NO Income Taxes
> and NO Corporate Taxes : Simply a "Pay As You Go"
> Consumer Sales Tax
>
> 2nd - Flat Corporate Profits* Tax of XX% {17%}
> * On Corporate Profits Above $250K
> -result- Ensures Corporations Pay Their Fair
> {Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society
>
> 3rd - Flat Income* Tax of XX% {17%}
> * On Individual {Single} Incomes Above $250K
> -result- Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their
> Fair {Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society
>
> yes - it is that simple ~ RHF
> -fair-is-fair-&-equal-is-equal-
> .
> .
> Doing-the-Math : Who Can Pay & Who Should Pay
> A Gross Excess Income [Flat] Tax &
> Who Should Simply Pay-As-They-Go
> Via A National Sales [Flat] Tax
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8a40826bb31ec5a9
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/a39dab4256ca7f1c
> .
> It Is Better To Be Flat Taxed Plus :
> Than Flat Broke Period ! {Tax Equality}
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/92d80ae81ce3307d
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4a5a66d06fc386ee
> .
> Ensuring 'Low-Income Working Taxpayers Pay Nothing

> and Get Money Back :o) -wrt- The "EITC" the USA
> Has In-Fact a De-Facto Negative Income Tax !
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3e6e5c3a4919b3fb
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3fa31b72614cbcbf
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/341b578f31bc9e41
> .
> Why We Need an Alternative Minimum Tax for G.E.
> = 'GE' A "Bad" Corporate Citizen !
> -g.-e.-a-corporate-friend-of-obama-
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/c1a6dcc43a6a1d73
> .
> Ensuring 'The Rich' Have True Tax Equality
> -by-a- Flat Tax PLUS National Tax System
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/09f108a002d90e1c
> .
> Taxed-Into-Poverty-R-Us : 2011 The 1st Year
> of Obama-Tax-Slaveryï¿½
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f474632d74cc3373
> .
> The Pending 2011 Obama+Pelosi+Reid Income Tax
> Increase the Largest Tax Increase in the History
> of the USA !
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9ac8b68e4e5d538a
> .
> Over-Taxed-R-Us : Most Voters Want Fair
> and Equitable Taxes and Less Government
> Spending and Debt
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/3ed13078238d3f69
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/581688d9ba14b85b
> .
> .
> *OMG/OMA* Let Us Pray . . .
> This Has Been A 'Teachable Moment' ~ RHFï¿½***
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teachable_moment
> .
> *** ï¿½ This is another Copy-Righted 'Brain Fart' of RHF
> -wrt- 'Brain Fart' -yeah- This Idea(s) Stinks : Pew! [P!U!]
> .
> Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ : Figuring Just Who Should Pay
> For All of This -hint- Everyone !
> Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ = Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ = Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/da83e5f409b9bb40
> Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ = Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ = Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½
> .
> The Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ : Truly Fair & Equitable Tax Plan
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
> -fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
> Building a Better America for the 21st Century
> Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ = Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ = Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½
> .

> 1st - 90% Pay as They Spend {Un-Burden by Tax Paperwork}
> -aka- Pay as they Live Naturally
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
> -fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
> Building a Better America for the 21st Century
> Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ = Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ = Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½
> .
> 2nd - Flat Corporate Profits Tax
> Ensures Corporations Pay Their Fair {Equal} Share
> of the Cost of American Society
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.news-media/msg/b86bda382aec7531
> -fairness-tax-equality-for-all-
> Building a Better America for the 21st Century
> Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ = Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ = Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½
> .
> 3rd - Flat Income for the Rich/Wealthy
> Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their Fair {Equal}
> Share of the Cost of American Society

So let me check you on this. The first 2 everyone pays, but somehow you think the high income earners somehow don't pay "Their Fair (Equal) Share of the Cost of American Society"

Why is that exactly?

What is far about taxing high income people above and beyond what is charged to everyone else?

Is there some special service that High Income Earners receives that justifies and extra tax just for them?

What is that extra service provided to them, and only them, by the government?

3614. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 03:15:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DE1BA47-12176@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231541

I want to get that new fangled stem cell treatment to make my boobs grow real BIG.I am not a homo though.

When I was at the Goodwill store this morning I told Pam to find me some sexy looking wimmins clothes for me to wear in my house.She said if she finds any, do I want her to sling them on my porch.I told her that would be OK.
cuhulin

3615. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 03:47:11 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irsfk1$6us$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231542

On 5/28/2011 8:15 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I want to get that new fangled stem cell treatment to make my boobs grow
> real BIG.I am not a homo though.
>
> When I was at the Goodwill store this morning I told Pam to find me some
> sexy looking wimmins clothes for me to wear in my house.She said if she
> finds any, do I want her to sling them on my porch.I told her that would
> be OK.
> cuhulin
>

ROFLOL!

... but ...

EWWWWWWWWWWWWWW!

Regards,
JS

3616. Re: Radio Mauritanie right now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 04:41:09 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <e419cbd3-918b-460f-9ba2-2413dc1ceb51@t16g2000vbi.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231543

On May 28, 10:18 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 27, 11:26 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > On May 28, 1:58 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > Good news! MAURITANIA, Radio Mauritanie, 7245 kHz, near start at 0555
> > > UTC, 28 May 2011, excellent signal 45454, Q'uran reading by male in
> > > Arabic (Jensen, CA)
>
> > Very weak signal over here at 0630 UTC . Some kind of prayer or Holy
> > Book reading . Definitily Arabic language .
>
> Sorry about that - it was a kickass signal hereabouts in California.
> At 06:30, they were doing some kind of an interview in Arabic between
> the studio host and what sounded like an older fellow.

Probably Q'uran q&a . Not on the same frequency today . Could that
have been BSKSA ? Don't know .The 40meter band is waking up slowly .
Several anemic signals are heard at the moment (0430UTC) . . .

3617. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 04:43:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DE1CED6-3664@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231544

There is another one that has been outlawed in America.It is called Polypropylene breast implants.But it keeps on growing and growing and growing,,,,

BIGGUNS! ~ Al Bundy http://www.bundyology.com
cuhulin

3618. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 07:16:08 UTC
From: "skepticl1@aol.com" <skepticl1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <c6803ed2-467a-45f3-94bd-417b570b591a@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231545
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 26, 3:46 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 26, 12:23 am, oldwifetale <oldwifet...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > > > But enough about *the present*.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > It is writen when they ring in their new Age of Aquarius on December
> > > 21,2012
>
> > > Its going to be worse than the past!
>
> > How can you know?
> > Just because "it's written"?
>
> > The December 21st date in 2012 is derived from the Mayan calendars
> > which do not specifically or even allegorically allude to an "Age of
> > Aquarius".
>
> > The 'ages' of the zodiac, if consisting of 12 signs, are approximately
> > 2160 years long based on the precession of the equinoxes. The
> > precession rate is about 1 degree per 72 years. If the beginning of
> > the "Age of Aquarius" is 2012, then the "Age of Pisces" would have
> > commenced around 48 BCE. It is possible (the timing). Most likely the
> > "Age of Aquarius" marks a reversal in the polarity of the 'Great
> > Year' (25,920 - 26,000 years), as indicated by the Egyptian Sphinx -
> > it would be a point that is about 13,000 years after the "Age of Leo"
> > marked the spring equinox.
>
> > If the zodiac being considered consists of 13 signs rather than 12,
> > then the length of each 'age' would be somewhat shorter, just under
> > 2000 years. That is also possible (the timing).
>
> > There really is no reason to assume that *Freemasons* or even *Knights
> > Templar* ever wrote anything concerning the date of December 21, 2012
> > unless you are suggesting pre-Columbian contact, and knowledge (and
> > correct interpretation) of the Mayan calendars - since both groups

> > actually existed before 1492.
>
> > *Or* perhaps you're trying to say that this precise date was non-
> > arbitrarily selected as a catastrophic 'end point' by two separate
> > groups of people on both sides of the Atlantic ocean, indicating a
> > well-hidden awareness of a planetary cycle, and one that was not held
> > exclusively by people in either the western or eastern hemisphere.
>
> > Is that what you're suggesting?
>
> > Sure it is. And in that case, you may be on to something.
>
> > (gawd, i'm ornery...)
>
> "It is written,so shall it be done"
>
> The Sunworshipers base all their belief on astrology and mythology!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the
United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the
Middle East

3619. Re: Radio Mauritanie right now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 07:28:16 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <713aed40-ee2a-4940-9531-0d982259450d@u26g2000vby.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231546

On May 28, 10:18 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 27, 11:26 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > On May 28, 1:58 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > Good news! MAURITANIA, Radio Mauritanie, 7245 kHz, near start at 0555
> > > UTC, 28 May 2011, excellent signal 45454, Q'uran reading by male in
> > > Arabic (Jensen, CA)
>
> > Very weak signal over here at 0630 UTC . Some kind of prayer or Holy
> > Book reading . Definitily Arabic language .
>
> Sorry about that - it was a kickass signal hereabouts in California.
> At 06:30, they were doing some kind of an interview in Arabic between
> the studio host and what sounded like an older fellow.

Suddenly came alive at 0655 UTC . No more religious programs so far .
Signal is fading in and out wildly . Heard some music as well !

3620. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 07:33:52 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irsst2$v6c$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231547
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/29/2011 12:16 AM, skepticl1@aol.com wrote:
> On May 26, 3:46 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 26, 12:23 am, oldwifetale<oldwifet...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>>>> But enough about *the present*.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>>> It is writen when they ring in their new Age of Aquarius on December
>>>> 21,2012
>>
>>>> Its going to be worse than the past!
>>
>>> How can you know?
>>> Just because "it's written"?
>>
>>> The December 21st date in 2012 is derived from the Mayan calendars
>>> which do not specifically or even allegorically allude to an "Age of
>>> Aquarius".
>>
>>> The 'ages' of the zodiac, if consisting of 12 signs, are approximately
>>> 2160 years long based on the precession of the equinoxes. The
>>> precession rate is about 1 degree per 72 years. If the beginning of
>>> the "Age of Aquarius" is 2012, then the "Age of Pisces" would have
>>> commenced around 48 BCE. It is possible (the timing). Most likely the
>>> "Age of Aquarius" marks a reversal in the polarity of the 'Great
>>> Year' (25,920 - 26,000 years), as indicated by the Egyptian Sphinx -
>>> it would be a point that is about 13,000 years after the "Age of Leo"
>>> marked the spring equinox.
>>
>>> If the zodiac being considered consists of 13 signs rather than 12,
>>> then the length of each 'age' would be somewhat shorter, just under
>>> 2000 years. That is also possible (the timing).
>>
>>> There really is no reason to assume that *Freemasons* or even *Knights
>>> Templar* ever wrote anything concerning the date of December 21, 2012
>>> unless you are suggesting pre-Columbian contact, and knowledge (and
```

>>> correct interpretation) of the Mayan calendars - since both groups
>>> actually existed before 1492.
>>
>>> *Or* perhaps you're trying to say that this precise date was non-
>>> arbitrarily selected as a catastrophic 'end point' by two separate
>>> groups of people on both sides of the Atlantic ocean, indicating a
>>> well-hidden awareness of a planetary cycle, and one that was not held
>>> exclusively by people in either the western or eastern hemisphere.
>>
>>> Is that what you're suggesting?
>>
>>> Sure it is. And in that case, you may be on to something.
>>
>>> (gawd, i'm ornery...)
>>
>> "It is written,so shall it be done"
>>
>> The Sunworshipers base all their belief on astrology and mythology!- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the
> United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the
> Middle East

Well, recently it was found that Libya having 5 times the oil reserves
previously thought helps spark the interest of the shadow government.

The fact that Libya is solvent and not under tons of debt is one more
incentive.

The fact that Libya has considerable gold reserves it but another incentive.

The fact that Libya provide free medical care/drugs and education up to
the PhD levels is another incentive, the shadow government does not like
its' slaves expecting the same ...

Etc., etc., etc.

Regards,
JS

3621. Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 07:46:35 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irstks$36h$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"

http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-birth-certificate

Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it is
just the same old nine-layered fraud!

I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of the
people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)

Regards,
JS

3622. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 07:51:13 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irsttj$54a$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/29/2011 12:46 AM, John Smith wrote:
> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>
> http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-birth-certificate
>
>
> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it is
> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>
> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of the
> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>
> Regards,
> JS

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3g30VCl_cgk

Regards,
JS

3623. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 08:44:48 UTC
From: "skepticl1@aol.com" <skepticl1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <0ffd1482-38ee-412b-88e6-db07f387d9ea@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231550
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 29, 12:33 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/29/2011 12:16 AM, skepti...@aol.com wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 3:46 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> On May 26, 12:23 am, oldwifetale<oldwifet...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >>>>> But enough about *the present*.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >>>>> - Show quoted text -
>
> >>>> It is writen when they ring in their new Age of Aquarius on December
> >>>> 21,2012
>
> >>>> Its going to be worse than the past!
>
> >>> How can you know?
> >>> Just because "it's written"?
>
> >>> The December 21st date in 2012 is derived from the Mayan calendars
> >>> which do not specifically or even allegorically allude to an "Age of
> >>> Aquarius".
>
> >>> The 'ages' of the zodiac, if consisting of 12 signs, are approximately
> >>> 2160 years long based on the precession of the equinoxes. The
> >>> precession rate is about 1 degree per 72 years. If the beginning of
> >>> the "Age of Aquarius" is 2012, then the "Age of Pisces" would have
> >>> commenced around 48 BCE. It is possible (the timing). Most likely the
> >>> "Age of Aquarius" marks a reversal in the polarity of the 'Great
> >>> Year' (25,920 - 26,000 years), as indicated by the Egyptian Sphinx -
> >>> it would be a point that is about 13,000 years after the "Age of Leo"
> >>> marked the spring equinox.
>
> >>> If the zodiac being considered consists of 13 signs rather than 12,
> >>> then the length of each 'age' would be somewhat shorter, just under
> >>> 2000 years. That is also possible (the timing).
>
> >>> There really is no reason to assume that *Freemasons* or even *Knights

> >>> Templar* ever wrote anything concerning the date of December 21, 2012
> >>> unless you are suggesting pre-Columbian contact, and knowledge (and
> >>> correct interpretation) of the Mayan calendars - since both groups
> >>> actually existed before 1492.
>
> >>> *Or* perhaps you're trying to say that this precise date was non-
> >>> arbitrarily selected as a catastrophic 'end point' by two separate
> >>> groups of people on both sides of the Atlantic ocean, indicating a
> >>> well-hidden awareness of a planetary cycle, and one that was not held
> >>> exclusively by people in either the western or eastern hemisphere.
>
> >>> Is that what you're suggesting?
>
> >>> Sure it is. And in that case, you may be on to something.
>
> >>> (gawd, i'm ornery...)
>
> >> "It is written,so shall it be done"
>
> >> The Sunworshipers base all their belief on astrology and mythology!- Hide quoted text
-
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the
> > United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the
> > Middle East
>
> Well, recently it was found that Libya having 5 times the oil reserves
> previously thought helps spark the interest of the shadow government.
>
> The fact that Libya is solvent and not under tons of debt is one more
> incentive.
>
> The fact that Libya has considerable gold reserves it but another incentive.
>
> The fact that Libya provide free medical care/drugs and education up to
> the PhD levels is another incentive, the shadow government does not like
> its' slaves expecting the same ...
>
> Etc., etc., etc.
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Yes, Khadaffi is aligned with other parts of Africa, and he had
supported the Palestinian cause, his country does have a social net,
and if the neo-liberals of US and the Nato get control of Lybia, the

social net may be erased. Als Khadaffi did not do the Lockerbie jet liner bombing. That was done by the CIA and European intelligence agencies. Just like with Malosovic, the corrupt international court at the Hague couldn't convict him, so NATO and the US just poisoned him to death in prison. Welsey Clark told Amy Goodman on Democracy Now! in 2003, that the US was going to attack these countries, Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan, Lybia, Somalia, and two others I can't remember off the top of my head. Since then they have all been attacked, with some sort of invented pretexts like using B-52 for humanitarian purposes.

3624. Re: The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System : Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 12:39:06 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <b85b2c14-aa6d-4081-b984-8843437b00d0@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.conspiracy

On May 28, 8:01 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
.
Scott's -reply-to-
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System :
Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/8d0b40efe8b9d7b5
.
RHF's -original-post-
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System :
Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/24965a8c3ae93a6e
* Figuring Just Who Should Pay For All of This
-hint- Everyone !
* Truly Fair & Equitable Tax Plan for All
* 90% Pay as they Live Naturally [No Tax Paperwork]
* Flat Corporate Profits Tax that Ensures Corporations
Pay Their Fair {Equal} Share of the Cost of American
Society
* Flat Income Tax for the Top 10% Rich/Wealthy that
Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their Fair {Equal}
Share of the Cost of American Society
* That's a Flat-Tax+Plus2© a Truly Fair and
Equitable Tax Plan for a Healthy and Strong
America With Fairness and Tax Equality For All.
"Building a Better America for the 21st Century"
.
- So let me check you on this.
- The first 2 everyone pays, but somehow you think
- the high income earners somehow don't pay
- "Their Fair (Equal) Share of the Cost of American Society"

They Don't Now -and- Under a simple 'Fair-Tax'
[Flat-Sales-Tax] System They Don't Either [.]
.
- Why is that exactly?

It Is What it Is [.]
* The average Working Man {Family} Pays-to-Live : Spends
~90% of their Income/Earnings on Daily Living Expenses;
and has little 'Disposable-Income'
-they-can-not-afford-to-pay-any-more-taxes-
* The High Income Earner {Family} Pays-to-Live : Spends

~80% of their Income/Earnings on Daily Living Expenses;
and has more 'Disposable-Income'
-they-could-afford-to-pay-some-more-taxes-
* The Rich-Wealth {Family} Pays-to-Live : Spends ~40% of
their Income/Earnings on Daily Living Expenses; and has
Greatly Excessive 'Disposable-Income'
=They=Can=Afford=To=Pay=More=Taxes=&=Should=
.
- What is fair about taxing high income people above
- and beyond what is charged to everyone else?

Simply Paying Back To {Into} The Society : That Which
You Have Received Greatly From It -reciprocity-
.
- Is there some special service that High Income
- Earners receives

The Society Allows/Affords Them Their Wealth

- that justifies and extra tax just for them?

It's Not an Extra Tax It Is Just The Continuation
of The Flat-Tax in a Different Form : XX% =s XX%
-be-it-sales-income-or-corporate-
.
- What is that extra service provided to them,
- and only them,

Their Riches/Wealth Property 'Status' [.]
-disposable-income- -disposable-income-
To Them Far Greater Than Others In The Society.
.
- by the government?

No By The "Society" ! : NOT the 'government' :
The 'government' is simply the Agent of the "Society".

Riches and Wealth Way Beyond the average Measure
of the Vast Majority of Members of the Society :
{The Extra Benefits of Living in this Society.}
From Those Who Have Benefited Greatly {More} :
Much Is Expected [Taxed] That's The Standard
Rational For A Graduated Income Tax System.
.
Actually All Pay A Sales Tax As They Live Their Lives.
-there-are-taxed-equally-based-on-their-spending-
.
But beyond the basic needs and costs of daily living;
providing for yourself; providing for your family; this
spending has a rational limit based on your income.
-spending-with-in-your-means-
.

1st - For the Lower 85% of the People :
The average Working Man {Family} might make $50K~$100K
a year and pay $10K~$20K in a Flat-Sales-Tax System as
they Spend-to-Live* and have around $5K~$10K of what
is called "Disposable Income" {Wild Money}
.
Note : That a Flat-Sales-Tax System functions on your
Natural Everyday Spending; and the fact that you are
Taxed Daily as you go about Living : Spend-to-Live Taxing
.
2nd - For the Upper 10% of the People :
The High Income Earner {Family} might make $150K~$300K
a year and pay $25K~$50K in a Flat-Sales-Tax System as
they Spend-to-Live* and have around $30K~$60K of what
is called "Disposable Income" {Wild Money}
.
3rd - For the Top 5% of the People :
The Rich-Wealth {Family} might make $500K~$1M and more...
a year and pay $70~$140K in a Flat-Sales-Tax System as
they Spend-to-Live* and have around $150K~$300K of what
is called "Disposable Income" {Wild Money}
.
SO Here is where the Flat Income Tax comes in :
All Income beyond $250K is Taxed at the =SAME=
Sales Tax Rate XX% [20%] that Everyone Pays
-ex- $500K Income - $250K = $250K x 20% = $50K Flat
Income Tax -result- "Disposable Income" is reduced
from $150K to $100K -note-that's-more-money-to-spend-
than-the-average-man-{family}-earns-yearly-
-ex- $1M Income - $250K = $750K x 20% = $150K Flat
Income Tax -result- "Disposable Income" is reduced
from $300K to $150K -note-that's-more-money-to-spend-
than-the-average-man-{family}-earns-yearly-
-ex- $10M Income - $250K = $9.75M x 20% = $1.95M Flat
Income Tax -result- "Disposable Income" is reduced
from $3.M to $1M -note-that's-way- more-money-to-
-spend-than-the-average-man-{family}-earns-yearly-
.
The Object of the Flat Income Tax of Grossly Excessive
Earnings of the Rich and Wealth is to Reduce Their
Disposable Income and Not Cut-In-To or Reduce the
Ability to Live Comfortably on the Vast Majority
of Their Income/Earnings/Wealth.
.
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System :
Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/24965a8c3ae93a6e
* Figuring Just Who Should Pay For All of This
-hint- Everyone !
* Truly Fair & Equitable Tax Plan for All

* 90% Pay as they Live Naturally [No Tax Paperwork]
* Flat Corporate Profits Tax that Ensures Corporations
Pay Their Fair {Equal} Share of the Cost of American
Society
* Flat Income Tax for the Top 10% Rich/Wealthy that
Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their Fair {Equal}
Share of the Cost of American Society
* That's a Flat-Tax+Plus2© a Truly Fair and
Equitable Tax Plan for a Healthy and Strong
America With Fairness and Tax Equality For All.
"Building a Better America for the 21st Century"
.
.
OMG/OMA Let Us Pray . . .
This Has Been A 'Teachable Moment' ~ RHF©***
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teachable_moment
.
*** © This is another Copy-Righted 'Brain Fart' of RHF
-wrt- 'Brain Fart' -yeah- This Idea(s) Stinks : Pew! [P!U!]
.
.

3625. Re: Earthquakes in Big Bear preceded a couple of big ones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 12:39:17 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de23e13$0$12494$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231551

On 05/28/2011 05:24 PM, RHF wrote:
> On May 28, 5:15 pm, dave<d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> .
> - http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/shakemap/sc/shake/14992276/
> .
> Bigger !
> http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/dyfi/events/nn/00338685/us/index.html
> -and-
> http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/recenteqscanv/FaultMaps/119-38.html
> .
> Closer to Me
> http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/dyfi/events/nc/71574260/us/index.html
> .
> California-Nevada Fault Maps
> http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/recenteqscanv/
> 450 Earthquakes
> .
> .

http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/dyfi/events/ci/14992276/us/index.html

135 responses in 29 zip codes

---

http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/dyfi/events/nn/00338685/us/index.html

21 responses in 9 zip codes

3626. Re: Bombing the enemy capital was the easy part

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 12:40:30 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de23e5b$0$12494$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231552

On 05/28/2011 05:40 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> How is Lindsay Lohan going to behave when she gets out of the klink in
> four months?
>
> We gots Thirty Seconds Over Tokyo on TCM right now.
>
> In Billings, Sir, the main street is Minnesota Avenue ~ Robert Walker.
> cuhulin
>

3627. Re: You'll have crazy wind fed wildfires too, real soon.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 12:42:44 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de23ee1$0$12494$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231554

On 05/28/2011 06:56 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Bit, we gots floods and tornados and hurricanes.I wish I could miss out
> on those too.
> cuhulin
>

We get all that shit here, plus fires and earthquakes. The hurricanes
are depleted, but we get their instability and squalling.

3628. Re: Radio Mauritanie right now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 12:43:45 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de23f1f$0$12494$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231555

On 05/28/2011 07:18 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
> On May 27, 11:26 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>> On May 28, 1:58 am, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Good news! MAURITANIA, Radio Mauritanie, 7245 kHz, near start at 0555
>>> UTC, 28 May 2011, excellent signal 45454, Q'uran reading by male in
>>> Arabic (Jensen, CA)
>>
>> Very weak signal over here at 0630 UTC . Some kind of prayer or Holy
>> Book reading . Definitily Arabic language .
>
> Sorry about that - it was a kickass signal hereabouts in California.
> At 06:30, they were doing some kind of an interview in Arabic between
> the studio host and what sounded like an older fellow.

Older than the host? Older than you? Older than he was five minutes ago?

3629. Re: Not that there's anything wrong with that!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 12:44:25 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de23f47$0$12494$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231556

On 05/28/2011 08:15 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I want to get that new fangled stem cell treatment to make my boobs grow
> real BIG.I am not a homo though.
>
> When I was at the Goodwill store this morning I told Pam to find me some
> sexy looking wimmins clothes for me to wear in my house.She said if she
> finds any, do I want her to sling them on my porch.I told her that would
> be OK.
> cuhulin
>

3630. Re: Libertarian Socialist who votes Green

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 12:45:03 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de23f6c$0$12494$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231557

On 05/28/2011 09:37 PM, AzzMazta wrote:
> On Sat, 28 May 2011 19:35:21 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>
>> Bliss' No Right Clicking.
>> cuhulin
>
> I voted for one "conservative" Democrap once and it'll be the last Democrap
> I'll vote for.
>

3631. Re: Does that rhyme with Britney?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 12:45:33 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de23f8a$0$12494$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231558

```
On 05/28/2011 09:41 PM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 28, 10:18 pm, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 27, 11:26 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>> On May 28, 1:58 am, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> Good news! MAURITANIA, Radio Mauritanie, 7245 kHz, near start at 0555
>>>> UTC, 28 May 2011, excellent signal 45454, Q'uran reading by male in
>>>> Arabic (Jensen, CA)
>>
>>> Very weak signal over here at 0630 UTC . Some kind of prayer or Holy
>>> Book reading . Definitily Arabic language .
>>
>> Sorry about that - it was a kickass signal hereabouts in California.
>> At 06:30, they were doing some kind of an interview in Arabic between
>> the studio host and what sounded like an older fellow.
>
> Probably Q'uran q&a . Not on the same frequency today . Could that
> have been BSKSA ? Don't know .The 40meter band is waking up slowly .
> Several anemic signals are heard at the moment (0430UTC) . . .
```

3632. Re: Radio Mauritanie right now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 12:46:47 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de23fd4$0$12494$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231559

On 05/29/2011 12:28 AM, arthrnyork@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 28, 10:18 pm, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 27, 11:26 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>> On May 28, 1:58 am, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> Good news! MAURITANIA, Radio Mauritanie, 7245 kHz, near start at 0555
>>>> UTC, 28 May 2011, excellent signal 45454, Q'uran reading by male in
>>>> Arabic (Jensen, CA)
>>
>>> Very weak signal over here at 0630 UTC . Some kind of prayer or Holy
>>> Book reading . Definitily Arabic language .
>>
>> Sorry about that - it was a kickass signal hereabouts in California.
>> At 06:30, they were doing some kind of an interview in Arabic between
>> the studio host and what sounded like an older fellow.
>
> Suddenly came alive at 0655 UTC . No more religious programs so far .
> Signal is fading in and out wildly . Heard some music as well !

Wildly as in polar warbling? Does it fade completely. Is there selective
fading. Does ECSS or sync detection fix it?

3633. OT: Larry, that flood is getting close!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 12:53:02 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de2414c$0$12469$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231560

http://www.srh.noaa.gov/jan/

3634. Obama's War on Islam-Muslims ! -&- Obama's War for Oil !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 13:00:05 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <0dad620b-31f1-4c28-bd24-1c8770fa5e22@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231561
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 29, 12:16 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 26, 3:46 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 12:23 am, oldwifetale <oldwifet...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > But enough about *the present*.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > It is writen when they ring in their new Age of Aquarius on December
> > > > > 21,2012
>
> > > > > Its going to be worse than the past!
>
> > > > How can you know?
> > > > Just because "it's written"?
>
> > > > The December 21st date in 2012 is derived from the Mayan calendars
> > > > which do not specifically or even allegorically allude to an "Age of
> > > > Aquarius".
>
> > > > The 'ages' of the zodiac, if consisting of 12 signs, are approximately
> > > > 2160 years long based on the precession of the equinoxes. The
> > > > precession rate is about 1 degree per 72 years. If the beginning of
> > > > the "Age of Aquarius" is 2012, then the "Age of Pisces" would have
> > > > commenced around 48 BCE. It is possible (the timing). Most likely the
> > > > "Age of Aquarius" marks a reversal in the polarity of the 'Great
> > > > Year' (25,920 - 26,000 years), as indicated by the Egyptian Sphinx -
> > > > it would be a point that is about 13,000 years after the "Age of Leo"
> > > > marked the spring equinox.
>
> > > > If the zodiac being considered consists of 13 signs rather than 12,
> > > > then the length of each 'age' would be somewhat shorter, just under
> > > > 2000 years. That is also possible (the timing).
>

> > > There really is no reason to assume that *Freemasons* or even *Knights
> > > Templar* ever wrote anything concerning the date of December 21, 2012
> > > unless you are suggesting pre-Columbian contact, and knowledge (and
> > > correct interpretation) of the Mayan calendars - since both groups
> > > actually existed before 1492.
>
> > > *Or* perhaps you're trying to say that this precise date was non-
> > > arbitrarily selected as a catastrophic 'end point' by two separate
> > > groups of people on both sides of the Atlantic ocean, indicating a
> > > well-hidden awareness of a planetary cycle, and one that was not held
> > > exclusively by people in either the western or eastern hemisphere.
>
> > > Is that what you're suggesting?
>
> > > Sure it is. And in that case, you may be on to something.
>
> > > (gawd, i'm ornery...)
>
> > "It is written,so shall it be done"
>
> > The Sunworshipers base all their belief on astrology and mythology!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -

- Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO:
- Millions are Dying because the United Snakes
- and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People
- of the Middle East

That's Obama's War on Islam-Muslims !
-obama-the-great-slayer-of-bin-laden-
-obama-killer-of-innocent-muslims-
-obama-the-great-western-invader-
-obama-killer-of-innocent-islamic-women-
-obama-the-great-christian-crusader-
-obama-killer-of-innocent-muslim-children-
.
That's Obama's War for Oil !
-obama-the-war-monger-
-obama-the-great-western-invader-
-obama-the-great-christian-crusader-
-obama's-war-for-oil-it's-corrupt-&-evil-
.
Obama is Bush II =equals= Bush is Obama Too !
-bush-evil-&-corrupt-=-corrupt-&-evil-obama-
.
Join 'The Cult of The-Obama' :
Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f9249bfca2
.
.

3635. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 13:06:16 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <6384d416-fadf-46cb-878c-909f15791c92@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231562

On May 28, 8:15 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:

- I want to get that new fangled stem cell
- treatment to make my boobs grow real BIG.

Some want to be In-the-Closet.

-some-want-to-be-'on-the-down-low'-

Cuhulin -it-appears...- You Want To Be
BIG-And-Out-Standing ! ;;-}} ~ RHF
.
.
> I am not a homo though.
>
> When I was at the Goodwill store this morning I told Pam to find me some
> sexy looking wimmins clothes for me to wear in my house.She said if she
> finds any, do I want her to sling them on my porch.I told her that would
> be OK.
> cuhulin

3636. Dave's False Claims of Being a "Libertarian" -however-may-be- Dave Is Just a Socialist Who Votes Green !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 13:24:40 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <eb77d7cb-ceb8-4202-b500-e4c07c178ddf@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231563

On May 29, 5:45 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/28/2011 09:37 PM, AzzMazta wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Sat, 28 May 2011 19:35:21 -0500, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> >> Bliss' No Right Clicking.
> >> cuhulin
>
> > I voted for one "conservative" Democrap once and it'll be the last Democrap
> > I'll vote for.

Dave -wrt- "Libertarian Socialist who votes Green"

YOU AIN'T ONE [LIBERTARIAN] AS YOUR POSTS
HERE 'RRS' HAVE PROVEN TIME AND TIME AGAIN
.
* Dave : Just another typical example [Post]
of how you constantly prove your are NO
Libertarian of any Strip
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/904a046dc39ff178
-dave-more-intolerance- -dave-more--hate-
* Dave, Dave, Dave : Once Again You Prove
That You Are NO Libertarian of any Stripe
-but- an Intolerant Elitist* With Uber
Liberal-Fascist Tendencies to Rain Nuclear
Death on China and Innocent Chinese
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/ebdb61838b...
* Whoops! Once Again 'Special-Dave' Proves
He Is NO Libertarian of any Stripe...
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/eb4b647df5e16654
* Dave the Hypocrisy of Calling Yourself a
"Libertarian" : Please Don't Give Real
'Libertarians' a Bad Name
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/442a559f6742f674
* Dave you are NO Libertarian of any Stripe
-but- an Intolerant Elitist with Inherited
Liberal-Fascist Tendencies.

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/7004807d2de289a8
.
Wishing You A Long Life 'Dave' !
-but- You Ain't No 'Libertarian' [.]
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/361b3f844229ccb3
Dave Please Don't Give Real 'Libertarians'
a Bad Name by claiming to be one...
.
Dave Another Example [Post] of How You
Constantly Prove You Are NO Libertarian
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/904a046dc39ff178
.
Dave Once Again You Prove That You Are
NO Libertarian of any Stripe {Kind}
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.fan.rush-limbaugh/msg/ebdb61838bf58144
.
.
Blessed by God {Allah} with Life and Progressive
by Nature through Living ~ RHF
[Just an Old Retired Blue Collar Union Member :
Dosvedanya Tovarisch]
.
.

3637. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 14:57:07 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irtms5$h8n$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231564

On 5/29/2011 6:06 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 28, 8:15 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> - I want to get that new fangled stem cell
> - treatment to make my boobs grow real BIG.
>
> Some want to be In-the-Closet.
>
> -some-want-to-be-'on-the-down-low'-
>
> Cuhulin -it-appears...- You Want To Be
> BIG-And-Out-Standing ! ;;-}} ~ RHF
> .
> .
>> I am not a homo though.
>>
>> When I was at the Goodwill store this morning I told Pam to find me some
>> sexy looking wimmins clothes for me to wear in my house.She said if she
>> finds any, do I want her to sling them on my porch.I told her that would
>> be OK.
>> cuhulin
>

Probably just that embarrassing condition known as "man boobs."

In cuhulins' case, I don't think they were caused by marijuana use, but
then, who knows -- maybe he likes to smoking while sitting around in
"something sexy and comfortable!"

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

3638. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 14:57:40 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <af82d3d9-b910-400b-9ec3-f7571e2b3a14@w36g2000vbi.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231565
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

On May 27, 10:51 pm, Government Shill #2 <gov.sh...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Fri, 27 May 2011 19:34:53 -0700 (PDT), joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >Shit #2 tell us how Tesla argued with Einstiens ELECTRON saying it was
> >a political ploy to discourage the study of the Ether.Which is the
> >electromotive force/electro magnetic field that surronds every atom.
>
> surronds?
>
> Illiterates of the world UNTIE!
>
> I'm sorry, I can't understand what you wrote, let alone tell you something about
> "Einstiens ELECTRON".

I'm sorry I gave you more credit than you deserved.My bad I knew you
could not understand anything but spelling!
>
> Please write in English. I don't read Babbleloonian.
>
> Shill #2
> --
> Pfft...English! Who needs that? I'm never going to England.
> Homer J. Simpson

3639. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 15:03:05 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irtn7a$h8n$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231566
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/29/2011 1:44 AM, skepticl1@aol.com wrote:

>> ...
> top of my head. Since then they have all been attacked, with some sort
> of invented pretexts like using B-52 for humanitarian purposes.

I think you might have it there. We are using b-52s and bombs to
counter Qaddafis' use of humanitarian free medical care and free educations!

He should have armed his countries people before splitting, our military
(far too much involvement by private companies!) would finally be seen
as the mass murders they are.

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

3640. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying beca...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 15:18:50 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DE263DA-3765@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231567

Chinese steel companies coming to America.
http://www.libertypost.org
cuhulin

3641. Re: OT: Larry, that flood is getting close!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 15:21:39 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DE26483-3766@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231571

Missy Sippy River flood waters are subsiding, doggy said the flood
waters level is going down,,, doggy is always Right.
cuhulin

3642. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 15:25:50 UTC
From: Ron <roneal1554@att.net>
Message-ID: <67182a6c-4559-406d-a9eb-f9ff91f90cb6@l26g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>
> http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-...
>
> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it is
> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>
> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of the
> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>
> Regards,
> JS
.
.
John....John........JOHN!

The horse is dead.

3643. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying beca...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 15:25:53 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irtoi1$t3a$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231569

On 5/29/2011 8:18 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Chinese steel companies coming to America.
> http://www.libertypost.org
> cuhulin
>

Great!

I can already say, "SEND THOSE GOOKS HOME!"

Well, if they got any pretty women, we'll keep those ... :-)

Regards,
JS

3644. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 15:28:13 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irtome$t3a$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
> On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>>
>> http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-...
>>
>> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it is
>> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>>
>> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of the
>> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> .
> .
> John....John........JOHN!
>
> The horse is dead.

The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
fraudulent 9 layered document!

Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!

Regards,
JS

3645. Look Out peeps, Missouriah River!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 15:33:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DE2674D-12114@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231574

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=National+Weather+Service+Missouri+River

Raise Yo Headbone and Hold it High, Mighty MO is passing by!
cuhulin

3646. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 15:36:29 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irtp5t$t3a$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
> On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>>
>> http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-...
>>
>> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it is
>> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>>
>> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of the
>> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> .
> .
> John....John........JOHN!
>
> The horse is dead.

The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
fraudulent 9 layered document!

Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
... now, some people might not think it is counterfeiting, if the
president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!

Regards,
JS

3647. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 15:36:41 UTC
From: Ron <roneal1554@att.net>
Message-ID: <d5942bd7-8b41-43ba-b5a9-df352029205a@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>
> >>http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-...
>
> >> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it is
> >> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>
> >> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of the
> >> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > .
> > .
> > John....John........JOHN!
>
> > The horse is dead.
>
> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
> fraudulent 9 layered document!
>
> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
> ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
>
> Regards,
> JS
.
.
John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?

Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did Congress pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?

Huh?

3648. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 15:41:41 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irtpfl$t3a$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/29/2011 8:36 AM, Ron wrote:
> On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>>
>>>> http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-...
>>
>>>> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it is
>>>> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>>
>>>> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of the
>>>> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>> .
>>> .
>>> John....John........JOHN!
>>
>>> The horse is dead.
>>
>> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
>> fraudulent 9 layered document!
>>
>> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
>> ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
>> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> .
> .
> John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two

> Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
> Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
> Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did Congress
> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>
> Huh?

Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack box, why no
one voted for him ...

First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never lived together
in Hawaii. (There is no marriage certificate for obama sr and Ann.)

Second, the address associated with the announcement is the grandparents.

... and ...

http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=104678

Regards,
JS

3649. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 15:43:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23871-4DE269AD-3767@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231576

Bliss' No Right Clicking website doesn't seem to be on the internet anymore.I read it years ago.It was a website about computers.Including the difference between cheap parts computers and high quality parts computers.BIG Differences too.
cuhulin

3650. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 16:09:48 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284c39da34db4eaf98a287@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 231577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

In article <67182a6c-4559-406d-a9eb-f9ff91f90cb6
@l26g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, roneal1554@att.net says...
>
> On May 29, 2:46ï¿œam, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> > The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! ï¿œIT'S REAL!"
> >
> > http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-...
> >
> > Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it is
> > just the same old nine-layered fraud!
> >
> > I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of the
> > people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
> >
> > Regards,
> > JS
> .
> .
> John....John.....JOHN!
>
> The horse is dead.

The horse was stillborn, dead on arrival, now it so stinking rotten,
it's falling apart, and even the scavengers won't touch it, only insects
and the insect brained will even go near it.

Johhny KooK, on the other hand, is rolling in it, wanting to smell just
like it.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

3651. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 16:12:48 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284c3a8d429e341598a288@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 231578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

In article <irtp5t$t3a$4@dont-email.me>, win.court@gmail.com says...
>
> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
> > On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
> >>
> >> http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-...
> >>
> >> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it is
> >> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
> >>
> >> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of the
> >> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
> >>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > .
> > .
> > John....John........JOHN!
> >
> > The horse is dead.
>
> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
> fraudulent 9 layered document!
>
> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
> ... now, some people might not think it is counterfeiting, if the
> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
>
> Regards,
> JS

BWHAHAHAHA! You birthtards are so pitiful, it's funny, but kind of sad
(For the people living with or near you), in a way.

But mostly, you're just funny, without intending to be. And that's the
best kind of funny.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

3652. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 16:15:47 UTC
From: MANFRED the heat seeking OBOE <ExPresident@au.revoir.gov>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF45E3A1FD94ExPresidentaurevoirg@69.16.185.250>
Source record: 231579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Ron
> The horse is dead.

And
because Good Men
did Absolutely Nothing, So is our future...

http://www.strangepolitics.com/images/content/160688.jpg
Welcome to HELLWORLD... Apply within...

http://www.libertylive.org/Uploads/The%20Debt%20Star.jpg

DEBTSTAR:: This is NOT the HOPE you have been Searching for.

```
hdddddd
```

```
ddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddddhhddhhhhhhhddddddddddddddddddddddddddhd`
::::://///:::://///:/:::/+/////////:////://///:::/::::///:::::::::::::-----.
/dyyds -hhyyho` -yhyhd/ om` -md` -N- `N/ odyhd+ .Nmy `mmh
yNo///`.No .Ns.No -: sN` `mydy :M: `M+ mdo:/: .MyM.+Nhd
`/oydm-:M: mh-M/ `` oN` yN/+M+ :M: `M+ ./oymd..M/mymsyd
`dh:-+N/ ym+-:ym- sm+/+my oN`+No++sN-:Mo///-`M+.my::sN-.M/+MM.yd
`:+o+. -+o+:` `/+/. -/`/: /:`+++++: +. `/oo/. `+.`+/ :/
```

3653. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 16:18:08 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irtrk1$g3c$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231580

On 5/29/2011 8:43 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Bliss' No Right Clicking website doesn't seem to be on the internet
> anymore.I read it years ago.It was a website about computers.Including
> the difference between cheap parts computers and high quality parts
> computers.BIG Differences too.
> cuhulin
>

The major differences I have found, you can have about a dozen cheaply
built computers for the same cost as one big expensive computer.

But then, I have a closet in the basement full of motherboards, towers,
desktops, video cards, LCD monitors, etc.

All picked up very cheaply at flea markets, yard sales, etc.

Just don't follow the advice of a salesman!

Regards,
JS

3654. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 16:27:56 UTC
From: Ron <roneal1554@att.net>
Message-ID: <0f1415e2-7303-4c7d-811f-bcea7ad07bdf@em7g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 29, 10:41 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/29/2011 8:36 AM, Ron wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
> >
> >>> On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
> >
> >>>>http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-...
> >
> >>>> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it is
> >>>> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
> >
> >>>> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of the
> >>>> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
> >
> >>>> Regards,
> >>>> JS
> >>> .
> >>> .
> >>> John....John........JOHN!
> >
> >>> The horse is dead.
> >
> >> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
> >> fraudulent 9 layered document!
> >
> >> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
> >> ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
> >> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
> >
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > .

> > .
> > John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
> > Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
> > Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
> > Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did Congress
> > pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>
> > Huh?
>
> Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack box, why no
> one voted for him ...
>
> First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never lived together
> in Hawaii. (There is no marriage certificate for obama sr and Ann.)
>
> Second, the address associated with the announcement is the grandparents.
>
> ... and ...
>
> http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=104678
>
> Regards,
> JS
.
.
Re: Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack box,
why no one voted for him .

McCain didn't do the investigation. It was conducted by members of
his staff.

Re: First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never lived
together in Hawaii

Even if true, how does that disprove Hawaii as Obama's place of birth?

Re: Second, the address associated with the announcement is the
grandparents.

Once again, the same question. Even if true, how does that disprove
the place of birth?

3655. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 16:42:20 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF462BB9CCE3hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231582
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns9EF3D976C92F5Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142:

> Deep Dudu wrote in news:coi2u61hg9t0mp15o0m5g0ita73s9lgkn9@4ax.com:
>
>> Cutting taxes on the
>> wealth to the ridiculously low rate it is now NEVER results in
>> stimulating the economy.
>>
>
> Yeah, but killing off progressives and socialists is bound to be a boom.
>

In more ways than one.

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3656. Radio Católica Mundial [WEWN-EWTN] on 7555 kHz @ 0956 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 16:47:46 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <acbcb56c-e113-4642-8235-0d5123ea5dff@l14g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231583

Radio Católica Mundial [WEWN-EWTN] on 7555 kHz @ 0956 UTC
on 29 Mayo 2011 "Global Catholic Radio"

S-Meter S3~S9 with SIO 545 and good audio

Heard Spanish with Frequency info in 'Mil-Jertz'
-followed-by- Gregorian 'Chant' @ 0957 UTC
with several "Radio Católica Mundial" IDs
and location Miami, FL and Address @ 1000 UTC
.
Radio Católica Mundial is the Spanish Ministry
of WEWN-EWTN on the Shortwave Radio [Onda Corta]
http://www.ewtn.com/spanish/radio.asp
Along with 'local' Spanish AM & FM Radio
Stations too.
http://www.ewtn.com/spanish/radio_informacion.asp
.
Radio Católica Mundial Guía de Programación
http://www.ewtn.com/radio/sp_radio_sched.asp
.
Radio Católica Mundial Transmitimos en Español
http://www.ewtn.com/spanish/Frequencias_radio.asp
WEWN : Horas y Frecuencias
http://www.ewtn.com/radio/freq.htm
5810 kHz; 7555 kHz; 11,550 kHz;
11,870 kHz; 12,050 kHz; and 13,830 kHz
Radio Católica Mundial Broadcasting-To
{Aimed-At} Mexico & Central America
-and- South America & Caribbean
.
"WEWN" [EWTN] -English-Broadcast-Info-
"WEWN" [EWTN] "Global Catholic Radio"
http://www.ewtn.com/radio/index.asp
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WEWN
Irondale {Vandiver}, Alabama, -USA-
-near- Birmingham, AL
.
"WEWN" [EWTN] 'Global' Catholic Radio
Shortwave Radio Program Broadcast Schedule
http://www.ewtn.com/radio/schedule.htm
.
"WEWN" [EWTN] 'Global' Catholic Radio
Shortwave Radio Times & Frequency Guide
http://www.ewtn.com/radio/freq.htm
.

Photos of 'Field Trip' to WEWN Transmitter Site
http://www.panoramio.com/photo/5842721
and Shortwave Antenna Arrays
http://bellsouthpwp.net/n/4/n4nr/wewn/
.
"WEWN" is part of the Eternal Word Television
Network (EWTN) -:- http://www.ewtn.com/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eternal_Word_Television_Network
.
WEWN [EWTN] "A11" Spring-Summer 2011
http://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/wewn.htm
-source- BCL News .IT

.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.
WEWN [EWTN] "Defending Life" on 15,610 kHz @ 2245 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/b072661fad4e56de
.
.

3657. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 17:05:05 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irtuc2$34m$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/29/2011 9:27 AM, Ron wrote:
> On May 29, 10:41 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/29/2011 8:36 AM, Ron wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>>
>>>>>> http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-...
>>
>>>>>> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it is
>>>>>> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>>
>>>>>> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of the
>>>>>> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>>
>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>> JS
>>>>> .
>>>>> .
>>>>> John....John........JOHN!
>>
>>>>> The horse is dead.
>>
>>>> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
>>>> fraudulent 9 layered document!
>>
>>>> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
>>>> ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
>>>> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS

>>> .
>>> .
>>> John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
>>> Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
>>> Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
>>> Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did Congress
>>> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>>
>>> Huh?
>>
>> Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack box, why no
>> one voted for him ...
>>
>> First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never lived together
>> in Hawaii. (There is no marriage certificate for obama sr and Ann.)
>>
>> Second, the address associated with the announcement is the grandparents.
>>
>> ... and ...
>>
>> http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=104678
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> .
> .
> Re: Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack box,
> why no one voted for him .
>
> McCain didn't do the investigation. It was conducted by members of
> his staff.
>
> Re: First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never lived
> together in Hawaii
>
> Even if true, how does that disprove Hawaii as Obama's place of birth?
>
> Re: Second, the address associated with the announcement is the
> grandparents.
>
> Once again, the same question. Even if true, how does that disprove
> the place of birth?
>
>

You are the one claiming that an announcement in a newspaper is some
type of legal and binding document which would risk prosecution, fine or
punishment if "doctored."

I am the one pointing out that an announcement in a newspaper means
nothing ...

Regards,
JS

3658. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 17:19:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DE2800D-12473@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231585

I think Bliss' No Right Clicking linked to the Computer Shopper magazine/website.It back around 1999 or 2000 when I first read Bliss' No Right Clicking.Years ago a guy at the Goowill store told me his wife bought a new Dell (Dude, you are getting a Dell!) desktop computer.Four months later the motherboard was leaking crap.I told him I think the capacitors were leaking.

Dude, Don't get a Dell!!!
cuhulin

3659. RNZI 'White Noise' [DRM] on 7435~7445 kHz @ 0935 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 17:24:38 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <14d026f8-e063-44f0-8bde-e62794365979@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231586

On May 25, 6:28 pm, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> DRM 'White Noise 17750~17760 kHz @ 2110 UTC
> on 25 Mayo 2011 : Centered on 17,755 kHz
>
> S-Meter : Solid S9+10dB with SIO 505
> and Very High Quality 'White Noise'
> The Sound of Beautiful Noise around the Mondiale !
>
> Short-Wave .Info Lists 17755 kHz as TDPhttp://www.short-wave.info/?freq=17755
> TDP "The Disco Palace" in DRM @ 2000-2100 UTC
> Days : 1234567 [7-Days a Week] in English (Digital)
> 100 KW and AZM 311*
> GUF Montsinery location 05N00 x 053W00 : TDPR all
> .
> New TDP Transmissions in DRM from Montsinery, Frn-Gui
> towards East Coast of
USAhttp://alokeshgupta.blogspot.com/2010/02/new-tdp-transmissions-from-m...
> -source- Alokesh Gupta Blog Spot
.Comhttp://bclnews.blogspot.com/2010/02/new-tdp-transmissions-from-montsi...
> -source- BCL News Blog Spot .Com
> .
> TDP "The Disco Palace" in DRMhttp://www.drmrx.org/forum/showthread.php?t=2297
> .
> Montsinéry in French Guianahttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Montsin%C3%A9ry-Tonnegrande
> .
> DRM = Digital Radio
Mondialehttp://www.drm.org/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Digital_Radio_Mondiale
> .
> DRM 'White Noise' Broadcast Schedulehttp://www.drm.org/broadcast_schedule
> .
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .
> .

RNZI 'White Noise' [DRM] on 7435~7445 kHz @ 0935 UTC
on 29 Mayo 2011 : Centered on 7440 kHz

S-Meter : Solid S9+10dB with SIO 505
and Very High Quality 'White Noise'
-yes- The Sound of Beautiful Noise
from around the Mondiale !
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
7440kHz @ 0759-1058 UTC
NZL : RNZI DIGITAL : E : Oc
-from- New Zealand -in- English -to- Oceania
-but- All I Hear Is 'White Noise'
.
Radio New Zealand International [RNZI]
http://www.rnzi.com/index.php
.
Radio New Zealand International [RNZI]
Frequency & Time Schedule
http://www.rnzi.com/pages/listen.php
.
Radio New Zealand International [RNZI]
Radio Broadcast Programme Schedule
http://www.rnzi.com/pages/schedules.php
.
Radio New Zealand International [RNZI]
Featured {Major} Radio Programmes
http://www.rnzi.com/pages/features.php
.
DRM = Digital Radio Mondiale
http://www.drm.org/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Digital_Radio_Mondiale
.
DRM 'White Noise' Broadcast Schedule
http://www.drm.org/broadcast_schedule
.
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.
DRM 'White Noise' 17750~17760 kHz @ 2110 UTC
-source- TDP Transmissions in DRM from
Montsinery, French-Guiana to the East
Coast of USA
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/80981878ee6fe896
.

.

3660. Re: Radio New Zealand International [RNZI] on 15,720 kHz @ 0035 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 17:28:02 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <63740d26-2464-4163-b99f-a46bfb6429be@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231587

On May 21, 2:53 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Radio New Zealand International [RNZI] on 15,720 kHz @ 0035 UTC
> on 21 Mayo 2011
>
> S-Meter : S7~S9 with SIO 555 and Very Good Audio
>
> RNZI Topics Heard : Latest Disco Club Music;
> Canberra NZ; Kiwis; Graveyard Headstone
> Restoration from Christchurch Earthquake;
> NZ Nation ID @ 0043 UTC
> Story on Cuban Coffee Prices and Rationing @ 0050 UTC
> TOH ....._ {Tone} and RNZI Auckland ID @ 0100 UTC
> .
> Radio New Zealand International [RNZI]
> Shortwave Radio Schedule Frequency and Timehttp://www.rnzi.com/pages/listen.php
> .
> "A11" Radio New Zealand International [RNZI]http://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/rnzi.htm
> 6170 kHz; 7440 kHz; 9615 kHz; 9655 kHz;
> 11,725 kHz; and 15,720 kHz
> .
> EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :http://www.eibi.de.vu/
> 15720 kHz @ 2151-0458 UTC
> NZL : Radio New Zealand Int. : E : Oc
> from New Zealand in English to
Oceaniahttp://www.zonu.com/images/0X0/2009-09-17-6/East-Asia-and-Oceania-Pol...
> .
> About/Info : Radio New Zealand International [RNZI]http://www.rnzi.com/index.phphttp://w
ww.rnzi.com/pages/about.phphttp://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_New_Zealand_International
> .
> RNZI Shortwave Antennas and Transmittershttp://www.rnzi.com/pages/technical.php
> .
> RNZI Shortwave Radio Listener Reports [QSLs]
> -qsl-info-http://www.rnzi.com/pages/qsl.php
> -web-qsl-form-http://www.rnzi.com/pages/qsl_web.php
> .
> yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
> Twain Harte, CA -USA- :http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
> Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
> .
> Shortwave Listener QSL Reports
:http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlqhttp://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
> .
> - = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
> .

> *Prior* Shortwave Radio Reception Report & Info :
> Radio New Zealand International [RNZI]
> on 6170 kHz @ 0805
UTChttp://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/01a...
> .
> .

RNZI 'White Noise' [DRM] on 7435~7445 kHz @ 0935 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/fc3c3bc04f6a4add
.
.

3661. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 17:28:06 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irtvn6btu1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231588

On 5/29/2011 10:19 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I think Bliss' No Right Clicking linked to the Computer Shopper
> magazine/website.It back around 1999 or 2000 when I first read Bliss' No
> Right Clicking.Years ago a guy at the Goowill store told me his wife
> bought a new Dell (Dude, you are getting a Dell!) desktop computer.Four
> months later the motherboard was leaking crap.I told him I think the
> capacitors were leaking.
>
> Dude, Don't get a Dell!!!
> cuhulin
>

I am not into name brand computers. I like generic cases -- towers, but
have desktops.

Prefer NVIDIA video cards, SATA hard drives, 550W+ power supplies, etc.

DELL, HP, COMPAQ, etc. are all junk, ACER is OK, in laptops.

I am still waiting for a generic laptop case and components where you
can finally build a custom laptop!

Regards,
JS

3662. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 17:36:24 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF48A6B9A71AWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Ron <roneal1554@att.net> wrote in news:d5942bd7-8b41-43ba-b5a9-df352029205a@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:

> On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>>
>> >>http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-..
> .
>>
>> >> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it is
>> >> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>>
>> >> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of the
>> >> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>>
>> >> Regards,
>> >> JS
>> > .
>> > .
>> > John....John.....JOHN!
>>
>> > The horse is dead.
>>
>> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
>> fraudulent 9 layered document!
>>
>> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
>> ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
>> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
>>
>> Regards,

>> JS
> .
> .
> John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
> Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
> Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
> Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did Congress
> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>
> Huh?
>

Um, Abercrombie saud back not to long ago that they couldn't find the
original. Then auddenly SHAZAAM!! Obama has a fresh copy of a document (not
stamped of emossed BTW) that the governor could not find.

Yeah, I find that suspicious. But then I have functioning brain cells and
don't depend on lies and deception as a viable political strategy.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

3663. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 17:37:35 UTC
From: Peter Franks <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <iru08v$f60$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231590
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/28/2011 8:40 AM, Deep Dudu wrote:
> On Sat, 28 May 2011 08:31:16 -0700, Peter Franks<none@none.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>>>>>
>>>>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>>>>
>>>> What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy. Taxing
>>> the hell out of them and putting the money directly into the economy
>>> will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all tax loopholes
>>> and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains exactlyt he same as
>>> wages.
>>
>> Why should the wealthy pay more than their fair share?
>
> They aren't. Notice what it was for years after WWII when our economy
> was booming. Now look where it is. Does it seem to you that cutting
> taxes on the wealthy has stimulated the economy? Find someone to
> explain the numbers and squiggly lines to you.

That doesn't explain anything. How about addressing the question:

Why should the wealthy pay more than their fair share?

3664. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 17:37:59 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF48AAFCA5F8Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Ron <roneal1554@att.net> wrote in news:d5942bd7-8b41-43ba-b5a9-df352029205a@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:

> Why did Congress
> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>

Why didn't Congress pass an FY2011 BUDGET ya moron.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3665. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 17:40:09 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF48B0E489C6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Ron <roneal1554@att.net> wrote in news:0f1415e2-7303-4c7d-811f-bcea7ad07bdf@em7g2000vbb.googlegroups.com:

> Re: Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack box,
> why no one voted for him .

Wjhat was your average at Anapolis?

Which fighter jet did you fly off an aircraft carrier into combat?

I don't like John McCain's politics but he is not brain dead.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3666. WORLD BEST HOT PICS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 17:52:28 UTC
From: SAILAJA LOVES U <samantha9030@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <a679a96f-3ab0-4d5d-8c74-60b7d460d0aa@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231593

FOR GOOD STUDY MATERIAL
http://studymaterialforu.blogspot.com

KATRINA KAIF IN BEAUTIFUL RED DRESS
http://southactresstou.blogspot.com/2011/05/katrina-kaif_22.html
GOOD LOOKING DEEPIKA PADUKONE
http://southactresstou.blogspot.com/2011/05/deepika-padukone_22.html
AISHWARYA RAI UNBELIVABLE PHOTO
http://southactresstou.blogspot.com/2011/05/aishwarya-rai.html
ANUSKHA IN A BEAUTIFUL SAREE
http://southactresstou.blogspot.com/2011/05/anushka-shetty.html
SEXY TAPSEE IN VEERA
http://southactresstou.blogspot.com/2011/05/tapsee.html
KAJAL IN BEAUTIFUL BLACK SAREE
http://southactresstou.blogspot.com/2011/05/kajal-agarwal.html
SNEHA SPICY PHOTOS
http://southactresstou.blogspot.com/2011/05/sneha.html
NAMITHA HOT PHOTS
http://southactresstou.blogspot.com/2011/05/namitha.html

W

3667. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 18:53:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23872-4DE29632-1039@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231594

Home built computers are better because they are not preloaded with a
bunch of useless sheet, you can have exactly what you want.
Check out,
http://www.mini-itx.com
if you want ideas how to build something Custom.
I want a hollow plastic doll that looks like Jayne Mansfield or Marilynn
Monroe, stuff it with computer parts for a computer.
cuhulin

3668. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 19:10:12 UTC
From: Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets <RRATR@BurningInHell.org>
Message-ID: <h9adnUgaYoaNB3_QnZ2dnUVZ_uOdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Gray Ghost" wrote in message
news:Xns9EF48A6B9A71AWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...

Ron <roneal1554@att.net> wrote in news:d5942bd7-8b41-43ba-b5a9-
df352029205a@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:

> On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>>
>> >>http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-
form-..
> .
>>
>> >> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it is
>> >> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>>
>> >> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of the
>> >> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>>
>> >> Regards,
>> >> JS
>> > .
>> > .
>> > John....John........JOHN!
>>
>> > The horse is dead.
>>
>> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
>> fraudulent 9 layered document!
>>
>> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
>> ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
>> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!

>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> .
> .
> John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
> Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
> Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
> Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did Congress
> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>
> Huh?
>

>Um, Abercrombie saud back not to long ago that they couldn't find the
>original. Then auddenly SHAZAAM!! Obama has a fresh copy of a document (not
>stamped of emossed BTW) that the governor could not find.

>Yeah, I find that suspicious. But then I have functioning brain cells and
>don't depend on lies and deception as a viable political strategy.

>--
>Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
>If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=daISg1Hc0ps

3669. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 19:11:01 UTC
From: Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets <RRATR@BurningInHell.org>
Message-ID: <MJqdnSFLZcvcB3_QnZ2dnUVZ_rqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Gray Ghost" wrote in message
news:Xns9EF48AAFCA5F8Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...

Ron <roneal1554@att.net> wrote in news:d5942bd7-8b41-43ba-b5a9-
df352029205a@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:

> Why did Congress
> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>

>Why didn't Congress pass an FY2011 BUDGET ya moron.

>--
>Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
>If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=daISg1Hc0ps

3670. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 20:16:15 UTC
From: John Deacon <Queen@bass.gov>
Message-ID: <4de2a98f$0$9080$607ed4bc@cv.net>
Source record: 231597
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

Peter Franks wrote:

> On 5/28/2011 8:40 AM, Deep Dudu wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 28 May 2011 08:31:16 -0700, Peter Franks<none@none.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy. Taxing
>>>> the hell out of them and putting the money directly into the economy
>>>> will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all tax loopholes
>>>> and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains exactlyt he same as
>>>> wages.
>>>
>>>
>>> Why should the wealthy pay more than their fair share?
>>
>>
>> They aren't. Notice what it was for years after WWII when our economy
>> was booming. Now look where it is. Does it seem to you that cutting
>> taxes on the wealthy has stimulated the economy? Find someone to
>> explain the numbers and squiggly lines to you.
>
>
> That doesn't explain anything. How about addressing the question:

DooDoo does NOT address guestions, once guestioned about his answers.
He's still wondering if he can get a refund on the globe he bought on
Ebay, where it showed France is closer to Libya then anyone else.

Maybe the ink was still runny when it sat in the UPS truck?

3671. Re: Radio Mauritanie right now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 20:29:48 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f5ecbb24-6717-42e4-af2b-ff1672aa54d5@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231598

On May 29, 5:43 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/28/2011 07:18 PM, bpnjensen wrote:
>
> > On May 27, 11:26 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> >> On May 28, 1:58 am, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >>> Good news! MAURITANIA, Radio Mauritanie, 7245 kHz, near start at 0555
> >>> UTC, 28 May 2011, excellent signal 45454, Q'uran reading by male in
> >>> Arabic (Jensen, CA)
>
> >> Very weak signal over here at 0630 UTC . Some kind of prayer or Holy
> >> Book reading . Definitily Arabic language .
>
> > Sorry about that - it was a kickass signal hereabouts in California.
> > At 06:30, they were doing some kind of an interview in Arabic between
> > the studio host and what sounded like an older fellow.
>
> Older than the host? Older than you? Older than he was five minutes ago?

LOL! Like a guy whose voice has been around a LONG time and used
heavily during most of it.

3672. Re: Radio Mauritanie right now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 20:34:01 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6bc850ec-139a-426d-bf2c-99409feb9226@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231599

On May 28, 9:41 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 28, 10:18 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > On May 27, 11:26 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > On May 28, 1:58 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > Good news! MAURITANIA, Radio Mauritanie, 7245 kHz, near start at 0555
> > > > UTC, 28 May 2011, excellent signal 45454, Q'uran reading by male in
> > > > Arabic (Jensen, CA)
>
> > > Very weak signal over here at 0630 UTC . Some kind of prayer or Holy
> > > Book reading . Definitily Arabic language .
>
> > Sorry about that - it was a kickass signal hereabouts in California.
> > At 06:30, they were doing some kind of an interview in Arabic between
> > the studio host and what sounded like an older fellow.
>
> Probably Q'uran q&a . Not on the same frequency today . Could that
> have been BSKSA ? Don't know .The 40meter band is waking up slowly .
> Several anemic signals are heard at the moment (0430UTC) . . .

BSKSA is not shown on the A11 sked for this freq at all. Unless it is
either VOIRI at an unscheduled time or the Voice of Tajik with a
suddenly spectacular new & improved signal, I'm going with Mauritania.

3673. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 21:53:33 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2b8c89fb-ef85-4e70-919e-d39f03c70e9f@l6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231600
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 27, 12:30 pm, BDK wrote:
> In article <70648f2e-dadb-4fee-b293-
> 5266d8ef5...@g28g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> says...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 11:51 pm, Iarnrod wrote:
> > > On May 26, 5:04 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> > > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > > >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > > >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated
> > > > > >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > > > > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > > >> > average for the majority.
> > > > > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > > > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> > > > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
```

>
> > > > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
>
> > > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
>
> >http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=36&contentid=3887
>
> > Now thats slooooow
>
> Do you ever go to websites that aren't kooksites, Joe?
>
> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

I find facts where they lay even kooksites have a fair amount of truth
in them.

Your problem is your in time-out and are not allowed collect
anyevidence that sheds light on your governments conspiracy to start
wars in other countrys and allow them to bring in THe New World Order
even if they commit genocide on their own citizens.

The trouble in Somalia,Lybia,Pakastan,Iraq,Afganistan,ect...were all
CIA plots to bring about One World Government.It's Sunworship at its
finest.Did you know that Italy is now rebuilding the Parthenon to
resurrect the goddess Athena?

3674. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 22:17:30 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284c749ff82419a598a28e@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 231601

In article <irtvn6btu1@dont-email.me>, win.court@gmail.com says...
>
> On 5/29/2011 10:19 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> > I think Bliss' No Right Clicking linked to the Computer Shopper
> > magazine/website.It back around 1999 or 2000 when I first read Bliss' No
> > Right Clicking.Years ago a guy at the Goowill store told me his wife
> > bought a new Dell (Dude, you are getting a Dell!) desktop computer.Four
> > months later the motherboard was leaking crap.I told him I think the
> > capacitors were leaking.
> >
> > Dude, Don't get a Dell!!!
> > cuhulin
> >
>
> I am not into name brand computers. I like generic cases -- towers, but
> have desktops.
>
> Prefer NVIDIA video cards, SATA hard drives, 550W+ power supplies, etc.
>
> DELL, HP, COMPAQ, etc. are all junk, ACER is OK, in laptops.
>
> I am still waiting for a generic laptop case and components where you
> can finally build a custom laptop!
>
> Regards,
> JS

LOL, you have no idea how funny the above post is. As usual. You are suddenly making more sense than you have been, at least in non-birthtardic posts. What happened?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

3675. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 22:19:29 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f88caf93-b238-433d-8fa1-2dd24b23fdfe@z37g2000vbl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231602
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 29, 4:44 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 29, 12:33 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/29/2011 12:16 AM, skepti...@aol.com wrote:
>
> > > On May 26, 3:46 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >> On May 26, 12:23 am, oldwifetale<oldwifet...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > >>>>> But enough about *the present*.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > >>>>> - Show quoted text -
>
> > >>>> It is writen when they ring in their new Age of Aquarius on December
> > >>>> 21,2012
>
> > >>>> Its going to be worse than the past!
>
> > >>> How can you know?
> > >>> Just because "it's written"?
>
> > >>> The December 21st date in 2012 is derived from the Mayan calendars
> > >>> which do not specifically or even allegorically allude to an "Age of
> > >>> Aquarius".
>
> > >>> The 'ages' of the zodiac, if consisting of 12 signs, are approximately
> > >>> 2160 years long based on the precession of the equinoxes. The
> > >>> precession rate is about 1 degree per 72 years. If the beginning of
> > >>> the "Age of Aquarius" is 2012, then the "Age of Pisces" would have
> > >>> commenced around 48 BCE. It is possible (the timing). Most likely the
> > >>> "Age of Aquarius" marks a reversal in the polarity of the 'Great
> > >>> Year' (25,920 - 26,000 years), as indicated by the Egyptian Sphinx -
> > >>> it would be a point that is about 13,000 years after the "Age of Leo"
> > >>> marked the spring equinox.
>
> > >>> If the zodiac being considered consists of 13 signs rather than 12,
> > >>> then the length of each 'age' would be somewhat shorter, just under
> > >>> 2000 years. That is also possible (the timing).

>
> > >>> There really is no reason to assume that *Freemasons* or even *Knights
> > >>> Templar* ever wrote anything concerning the date of December 21, 2012
> > >>> unless you are suggesting pre-Columbian contact, and knowledge (and
> > >>> correct interpretation) of the Mayan calendars - since both groups
> > >>> actually existed before 1492.
>
> > >>> *Or* perhaps you're trying to say that this precise date was non-
> > >>> arbitrarily selected as a catastrophic 'end point' by two separate
> > >>> groups of people on both sides of the Atlantic ocean, indicating a
> > >>> well-hidden awareness of a planetary cycle, and one that was not held
> > >>> exclusively by people in either the western or eastern hemisphere.
>
> > >>> Is that what you're suggesting?
>
> > >>> Sure it is. And in that case, you may be on to something.
>
> > >>> (gawd, i'm ornery...)
>
> > >> "It is written,so shall it be done"
>
> > >> The Sunworshipers base all their belief on astrology and mythology!- Hide quoted
text -
>
> > >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the
> > > United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the
> > > Middle East
>
> > Well, recently it was found that Libya having 5 times the oil reserves
> > previously thought helps spark the interest of the shadow government.
>
> > The fact that Libya is solvent and not under tons of debt is one more
> > incentive.
>
> > The fact that Libya has considerable gold reserves it but another incentive.
>
> > The fact that Libya provide free medical care/drugs and education up to
> > the PhD levels is another incentive, the shadow government does not like
> > its' slaves expecting the same ...
>
> > Etc., etc., etc.
>
> > Regards,
> > JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Yes, Khadaffi is aligned with other parts of Africa, and he had

> supported the Palestinian cause, his country does have a social net,
> and if the neo-liberals of US and the Nato get control of Lybia, the
> social net may be erased. Als Khadaffi did not do the Lockerbie jet
> liner bombing. That was done by the CIA and European intelligence
> agencies. Just like with Malosovic, the corrupt international court at
> the Hague couldn't convict him, so NATO and the US just poisoned him
> to death in prison. Welsey Clark told Amy Goodman on Democracy Now! in
> 2003, that the US was going to attack these countries, Iraq, Iran,
> Afghanistan, Lybia, Somalia, and two others I can't remember off the
> top of my head. Since then they have all been attacked, with some sort
> of invented pretexts like using B-52 for humanitarian purposes.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

This might refresh your memory of who the other 2 countries were!

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SXS3vW47mOE

3676. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 22:25:03 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284c91cde922357298a290@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 231603
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article <2b8c89fb-ef85-4e70-919e-
d39f03c70e9f@l6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 27, 12:30ï¿œpm, BDK wrote:
> > In article <70648f2e-dadb-4fee-b293-
> > 5266d8ef5...@g28g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> > says...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > On May 26, 11:51ï¿œpm, Iarnrod wrote:
> > > > > On May 26, 5:04ï¿œpm, joeturn wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > On May 26, 6:54ï¿œpm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > On May 26, 2:00ï¿œpm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > > > > > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > > > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
> > >
> > > > > > > > >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > > > > > >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > > > > > >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated >
> > > > > > > > >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
> > >
> > > > > > > > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > > > > > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > > > > > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > > > > > >> > average for the majority. >
> > > > > > > > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > > > > > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
> > >
> > > > > > > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?

> >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
> >
> > > > > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > > > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
> >
> > > > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
> >
> > >http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=36&contentid=3887
> >
> > > Now thats slooooow
> >
> > Do you ever go to websites that aren't kooksites, Joe?
> >
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> I find facts where they lay even kooksites have a fair amount of truth
> in them.

No, kooksites have a small amount of truth in them, enough to suck in
the gullible, such as yourself.

>
> Your problem is your in time-out and are not allowed collect
> anyevidence that sheds light on your governments conspiracy to start
> wars in other countrys and allow them to bring in THe New World Order
> even if they commit genocide on their own citizens.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! Is that supposed to make sense? So when will you post
any evidence to back up your claims? Kooksites and insane ravings aren't
proof.

>
> The trouble in Somalia,Lybia,Pakastan,Iraq,Afganistan,ect...were all
> CIA plots to bring about One World Government.It's Sunworship at its

> finest.Did you know that Italy is now rebuilding the Parthenon to
> resurrect the goddess Athena?

I doubt that's why they are doing it, if they are rebuilding it. I'm
sure you read it on a kooksite someplace, right?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

3677. Re: Obama's War on Islam-Muslims ! -&- Obama's War for Oil !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 22:33:34 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <28cf6d6f-b57f-4610-b1b5-1724316538e1@28g2000yqu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231604
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave

On May 29, 9:00 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 29, 12:16 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 3:46 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 26, 12:23 am, oldwifetale <oldwifet...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > But enough about *the present*.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > It is writen when they ring in their new Age of Aquarius on December
> > > > > > 21,2012
>
> > > > > > Its going to be worse than the past!
>
> > > > > How can you know?
> > > > > Just because "it's written"?
>
> > > > > The December 21st date in 2012 is derived from the Mayan calendars
> > > > > which do not specifically or even allegorically allude to an "Age of
> > > > > Aquarius".
>
> > > > > The 'ages' of the zodiac, if consisting of 12 signs, are approximately
> > > > > 2160 years long based on the precession of the equinoxes. The
> > > > > precession rate is about 1 degree per 72 years. If the beginning of
> > > > > the "Age of Aquarius" is 2012, then the "Age of Pisces" would have
> > > > > commenced around 48 BCE. It is possible (the timing). Most likely the
> > > > > "Age of Aquarius" marks a reversal in the polarity of the 'Great
> > > > > Year' (25,920 - 26,000 years), as indicated by the Egyptian Sphinx -
> > > > > it would be a point that is about 13,000 years after the "Age of Leo"
> > > > > marked the spring equinox.
>
> > > > > If the zodiac being considered consists of 13 signs rather than 12,
> > > > > then the length of each 'age' would be somewhat shorter, just under
> > > > > 2000 years. That is also possible (the timing).
>
> > > > > There really is no reason to assume that *Freemasons* or even *Knights
> > > > > Templar* ever wrote anything concerning the date of December 21, 2012

> > > > unless you are suggesting pre-Columbian contact, and knowledge (and
> > > > correct interpretation) of the Mayan calendars - since both groups
> > > > actually existed before 1492.
>
> > > > *Or* perhaps you're trying to say that this precise date was non-
> > > > arbitrarily selected as a catastrophic 'end point' by two separate
> > > > groups of people on both sides of the Atlantic ocean, indicating a
> > > > well-hidden awareness of a planetary cycle, and one that was not held
> > > > exclusively by people in either the western or eastern hemisphere.
>
> > > > Is that what you're suggesting?
>
> > > > Sure it is. And in that case, you may be on to something.
>
> > > > (gawd, i'm ornery...)
>
> > > "It is written,so shall it be done"
>
> > > The Sunworshipers base all their belief on astrology and mythology!- Hide quoted text
-
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> - Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO:
> - Millions are Dying because the United Snakes
> - and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People
> - of the Middle East
>
> That's Obama's War on Islam-Muslims !
> -obama-the-great-slayer-of-bin-laden-
> -obama-killer-of-innocent-muslims-
> -obama-the-great-western-invader-
> -obama-killer-of-innocent-islamic-women-
> -obama-the-great-christian-crusader-
> -obama-killer-of-innocent-muslim-children-
> .
> That's Obama's War for Oil !
> -obama-the-war-monger-
> -obama-the-great-western-invader-
> -obama-the-great-christian-crusader-
> -obama's-war-for-oil-it's-corrupt-&-evil-
> .
> Obama is Bush II =equals= Bush is Obama Too !
> -bush-evil-&-corrupt-=-corrupt-&-evil-obama-
> .
> Join 'The Cult of The-Obama' :
> Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012
!http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f924...
> .
> .- Hide quoted text -

>
> - Show quoted text -

Our fore fathers were all puppets for the illuminati/jesuits/the sun worshippers are the puppeteers and all that will follow Barry Little,will also be a puppet on the agenda to bring about One World Government,it will be National Socilism under the guise of Democracy.

Democracy is said to be a government controled by the people. This is a farce,We the Sheeple are not in control of our government,we are meerly fooled into thinking we are being told the majority won and this is accepted by the slaves as the reason things are the way they are today,yet there is no real democracy its a sham and the brainwashed citizens being controlled by Hitlers Flouride,Chemtrails and emfs from HAARP are soo dumbed down they cant realize the reality!

3678. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 22:40:54 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bd493815-183b-4fd9-84f9-0f440891ac16@q32g2000yqn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231605
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

> Yes, Khadaffi is aligned with other parts of Africa, and he had
> supported the Palestinian cause, his country does have a social net,
> and if the neo-liberals of US and the Nato get control of Lybia, the
> social net may be erased. Als Khadaffi did not do the Lockerbie jet
> liner bombing. That was done by the CIA and European intelligence
> agencies. Just like with Malosovic, the corrupt international court at
> the Hague couldn't convict him, so NATO and the US just poisoned him
> to death in prison. Welsey Clark told Amy Goodman on Democracy Now! in
> 2003, that the US was going to attack these countries, Iraq, Iran,
> Afghanistan, Lybia, Somalia, and two others I can't remember off the
> top of my head. Since then they have all been attacked, with some sort
> of invented pretexts like using B-52 for humanitarian purposes.- Hide quoted text -

> - Show quoted text -

This might refresh your memory of who the other 2 countries were!

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SXS3vW47mOE

Reply Reply to author Forward
Cancel

Send Discard

From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
To:
Cc:
Followup To:
Add Cc | Add Followup-to | Edit Subject

Subject:

joeturn View profile
More options May 29, 6:33 pm

Newsgroups: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers, alt.atheism,
alt.conspiracy, alt.native, rec.radio.shortwave
From: joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com>
Date: Sun, 29 May 2011 15:33:34 -0700 (PDT)
Local: Sun, May 29 2011 6:33 pm
Subject: Re: Obama's War on Islam-Muslims ! -&- Obama's War for Oil !
Reply | Reply to author | Forward | Print | Individual message | Show
original | Remove | Report this message | Find messages by this

author
On May 29, 9:00 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> On May 29, 12:16 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:

> > On May 26, 3:46 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> > > On May 26, 12:23 am, oldwifetale <oldwifet...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> > > > > > But enough about *the present*.- Hide quoted text -

> > > > > > - Show quoted text -

> > > > > It is writen when they ring in their new Age of Aquarius on December
> > > > > 21,2012

> > > > > Its going to be worse than the past!

> > > > How can you know?
> > > > Just because "it's written"?

> > > > The December 21st date in 2012 is derived from the Mayan calendars
> > > > which do not specifically or even allegorically allude to an "Age of
> > > > Aquarius".

> > > > The 'ages' of the zodiac, if consisting of 12 signs, are approximately
> > > > 2160 years long based on the precession of the equinoxes. The
> > > > precession rate is about 1 degree per 72 years. If the beginning of
> > > > the "Age of Aquarius" is 2012, then the "Age of Pisces" would have
> > > > commenced around 48 BCE. It is possible (the timing). Most likely the
> > > > "Age of Aquarius" marks a reversal in the polarity of the 'Great
> > > > Year' (25,920 - 26,000 years), as indicated by the Egyptian Sphinx -
> > > > it would be a point that is about 13,000 years after the "Age of Leo"
> > > > marked the spring equinox.

> > > > If the zodiac being considered consists of 13 signs rather than 12,
> > > > then the length of each 'age' would be somewhat shorter, just under
> > > > 2000 years. That is also possible (the timing).

> > > > There really is no reason to assume that *Freemasons* or even *Knights
> > > > Templar* ever wrote anything concerning the date of December 21, 2012
> > > > unless you are suggesting pre-Columbian contact, and knowledge (and
> > > > correct interpretation) of the Mayan calendars - since both groups
> > > > actually existed before 1492.

> > > > *Or* perhaps you're trying to say that this precise date was non-
> > > > arbitrarily selected as a catastrophic 'end point' by two separate
> > > > groups of people on both sides of the Atlantic ocean, indicating a
> > > > well-hidden awareness of a planetary cycle, and one that was not held
> > > > exclusively by people in either the western or eastern hemisphere.

> > > > Is that what you're suggesting?

> > > > Sure it is. And in that case, you may be on to something.

> > > > (gawd, i'm ornery...)

> > > "It is written,so shall it be done"

> > > The Sunworshipers base all their belief on astrology and mythology!- Hide quoted text
-

> > > - Show quoted text -

> - Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO:
> - Millions are Dying because the United Snakes
> - and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People
> - of the Middle East

> That's Obama's War on Islam-Muslims !
> -obama-the-great-slayer-of-bin-laden-
> -obama-killer-of-innocent-muslims-
> -obama-the-great-western-invader-
> -obama-killer-of-innocent-islamic-women-
> -obama-the-great-christian-crusader-
> -obama-killer-of-innocent-muslim-children-
> .
> That's Obama's War for Oil !
> -obama-the-war-monger-
> -obama-the-great-western-invader-
> -obama-the-great-christian-crusader-
> -obama's-war-for-oil-it's-corrupt-&-evil-
> .
> Obama is Bush II =equals= Bush is Obama Too !
> -bush-evil-&-corrupt-=-corrupt-&-evil-obama-
> .
> Join 'The Cult of The-Obama' :
> Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012
!http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f924...
> .
> .- Hide quoted text -

> - Show quoted text -

Our fore fathers were all puppets for the illuminati/jesuits/the sun worshippers are the puppeteers and all that will follow Barry Little,will also be a puppet on the agenda to bring about One World Government,it will be National Socilism under the guise of Democracy.

Democracy is said to be a government controled by the people. This is a farce,We the Sheeple are not in control of our government,we are meerly fooled into thinking we are being told the majority won and this is accepted by the slaves as the reason things are the way they are today,yet there is no real democracy its a sham and the brainwashed citizens being controlled by Hitlers Flouride,Chemtrails and emfs from HAARP are soo dumbed down they cant realize the reality!

3679. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 22:54:17 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iruiqp$40u$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231606
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/29/2011 3:40 PM, joeturn wrote:

> ...
> Our fore fathers were all puppets for the illuminati/jesuits/the sun
> worshippers are the puppeteers and all that will follow Barry
> Little,will also be a puppet on the agenda to bring about One World
> Government,it will be National Socilism under the guise of Democracy.
>
> Democracy is said to be a government controled by the people. This is
> a farce,We the Sheeple are not in control of our government,we are
> meerly fooled into thinking we are being told the majority won and
> this is accepted by the slaves as the reason things are the way they
> are today,yet there is no real democracy its a sham and the
> brainwashed citizens being controlled by Hitlers Flouride,Chemtrails
> and emfs from HAARP are soo dumbed down they cant realize the
> reality!

This is all against the Constitution.

The crooked public servants who are serving a shadow government, the only problem is, they are breaking the law.

It makes no difference who wrote the laws we are willing to live by, the problem is the crooks breaking the laws -- that has always been a problem.

Regards,
JS

3680. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 22:56:09 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iruiua$40u$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231607

On 5/29/2011 11:53 AM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Home built computers are better because they are not preloaded with a
> bunch of useless sheet, you can have exactly what you want.
> Check out,
> http://www.mini-itx.com
> if you want ideas how to build something Custom.
> I want a hollow plastic doll that looks like Jayne Mansfield or Marilynn
> Monroe, stuff it with computer parts for a computer.
> cuhulin
>

Would you dress her with the clothes your friend Pam gave you? :-)

Regards,
JS

3681. Re: Latest Liberty Net via WB4AIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 23:05:34 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irujfv$40u$5@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231608

On 5/28/2011 3:35 PM, Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:

> ...
> ï¿½ Dominique Strauss-Kahnï¿½s rape and sodomy charges may be the least of
> his worries: Apparently the Black African woman he allegedly raped lives
> in an apartment set aside exclusively for tenants who are infected with
> HIV. (21 minutes)
> ...

Consider the opportunities this woman has to expose him to aids!

Spit in his food, place blood and/or body fluids in/on sensitive items,
drink, food, etc.

I am afraid I will never look at a maid in the same light I have, in the
past ...

THANKS! Waking up to the dangers is always a bit disconcerting!

Regards,
JS

3682. Gitcher head out of your ass.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 23:29:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28985-4DE2D6F3-12657@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231609

If y'all cats don't care to read the links I post, that's your Loss.You just keeps on being you with your head buried in the sand.And, You just be Happy.

Landmark Case Could Stymie Legal System
http://www.rense.com
cuhulin

3683. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-29 23:57:26 UTC
From: "skepticl1@aol.com" <skepticl1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <8e8b6fd9-20f1-4d78-a3fe-c03032f2fba0@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231610
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 29, 3:54 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/29/2011 3:40 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Our fore fathers were all puppets for the illuminati/jesuits/the sun
> > worshippers are the puppeteers and all that will follow Barry
> > Little,will also be a puppet on the agenda to bring about One World
> > Government,it will be National Socilism under the guise of Democracy.
>
> > Democracy is said to be a government controled by the people. This is
> > a farce,We the Sheeple are not in control of our government,we are
> > meerly fooled into thinking we are being told the majority won and
> > this is accepted by the slaves as the reason things are the way they
> > are today,yet there is no real democracy its a sham and the
> > brainwashed citizens being controlled by Hitlers Flouride,Chemtrails
> > and emfs from HAARP are soo dumbed down they cant realize the
> > reality!
>
> This is all against the Constitution.
>
> The crooked public servants who are serving a shadow government, the
> only problem is, they are breaking the law.
>
> It makes no difference who wrote the laws we are willing to live by, the
> problem is the crooks breaking the laws -- that has always been a problem.
>
> Regards,
> JS

The problem is the system and the US Constitution itself, not just how
it is interpreted. The US Constitution was written by slave owners and
is an exploiter's vision of how to run things. We have much better
ideas since 1776, we have the Communist Manifesto.

3684. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 00:09:53 UTC
From: John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <irun8i$tp9$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231611
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/29/2011 4:57 PM, skepticl1@aol.com wrote:
> On May 29, 3:54 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/29/2011 3:40 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Our fore fathers were all puppets for the illuminati/jesuits/the sun
>>> worshippers are the puppeteers and all that will follow Barry
>>> Little,will also be a puppet on the agenda to bring about One World
>>> Government,it will be National Socilism under the guise of Democracy.
>>
>>> Democracy is said to be a government controled by the people. This is
>>> a farce,We the Sheeple are not in control of our government,we are
>>> meerly fooled into thinking we are being told the majority won and
>>> this is accepted by the slaves as the reason things are the way they
>>> are today,yet there is no real democracy its a sham and the
>>> brainwashed citizens being controlled by Hitlers Flouride,Chemtrails
>>> and emfs from HAARP are soo dumbed down they cant realize the
>>> reality!
>>
>> This is all against the Constitution.
>>
>> The crooked public servants who are serving a shadow government, the
>> only problem is, they are breaking the law.
>>
>> It makes no difference who wrote the laws we are willing to live by, the
>> problem is the crooks breaking the laws -- that has always been a problem.
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> The problem is the system and the US Constitution itself, not just how
> it is interpreted. The US Constitution was written by slave owners and
> is an exploiter's vision of how to run things. We have much better
> ideas since 1776, we have the Communist Manifesto.

I find no problem with the Constitution, we are given all our rights and
only relinquish a few to have an orderly society and be good neighbors
to each other.

The problem is if laws are "added" to the Constitution, or laws are
ignored by our criminal public servants ... this is no fault of the
```

Constitution, it is the fault of not taking the criminals to task, DUH!

Regards,
JS

3685. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 00:47:51 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <de9a1bd7-648c-4b5e-b08b-78918a9ebcca@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231612
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 29, 3:42 pm, joeturn wrote:
> On May 27, 8:13 am,Iarnrod wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 12:52 am, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > On May 26, 11:51 pm,Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > > > On May 26, 5:04 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> > > > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > > > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > > > >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > > > >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated
> > > > > > >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > > > > > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > > > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > > > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > > > >> > average for the majority.
> > > > > > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > > > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > > > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> > > > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> > > > > --
> > > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
```

>
> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
>
> > > > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
>
> > WrognTurnJoe, YOU don't tell peopel what happened. YOU tell people
> > what the kooky voices in your head tell you to say!
>
> > Hint: Your claims are physically impossible; the official findings
> > resolve every question, the FACT that you cannot NAME ONE THING in the
> > NIST report that is incorrect shows you are an idiot.
>
> So you name one thing that was not correct in the NIST report

HEY WrongTurnJoe, you MORON: NOTHING is incorrect in the NIST report.
Your continuing inability to NAME ONE THING proves that.

> and
> claim your not the IDIOT.Failure to do soo after you have been shown
> several inconsistances in the NIST report

You HAVE NOT NAMED ONE THING that is inconsistent in the NIST report.
Not a one.

> puts your intelect in
> jeopardy.Fact is is that its by far harder to find just one thing that
> is not a lie in the NIST report!

Then NAME ONE, moron. YOU CAN'T AND YOU WON'T!!

BWAHAAAAAHAHAHAAHAHA!!

> You have 30 seconds to prove your not the idiot, GO!

Done and done. Your constant illiteracy and lack of reasoning skills
and your ignorance of basic physics exposes you.

3686. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 00:50:42 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <708ccaeb-1c72-4235-8ea0-4a62268eccc9@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 28, 7:17 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:

> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM, Iarnrod proved:
> > On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
> >> Mighty Iarnrod proved:
> >>> Yes, he would have been
> >> No,
> > > Yes, he would have been.
> No,

Yes, he would have been.

3687. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 01:57:59 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF4DF75866ACWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets" <RRATR@BurningInHell.org> wrote in
news:h9adnUgaYoaNB3_QnZ2dnUVZ_uOdnZ2d@giganews.com:

>
>
> "Gray Ghost" wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF48A6B9A71AWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>
> Ron <roneal1554@att.net> wrote in news:d5942bd7-8b41-43ba-b5a9-
> df352029205a@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
>> On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> >> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>>>
>>> >>http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-
> form-..
>> .
>>>
>>> >> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it
is
>>> >> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>>>
>>> >> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of
the
>>> >> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>>>
>>> >> Regards,
>>> >> JS
>>> > .
>>> > .
>>> > John....John........JOHN!
>>>

>>> > The horse is dead.
>>>
>>> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
>>> fraudulent 9 layered document!
>>>
>>> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
>>> ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
>>> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>> .
>> .
>> John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
>> Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
>> Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
>> Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did Congress
>> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>>
>> Huh?
>>
>
>>Um, Abercrombie saud back not to long ago that they couldn't find the
>>original. Then auddenly SHAZAAM!! Obama has a fresh copy of a document
(not
>>stamped of emossed BTW) that the governor could not find.
>
>>Yeah, I find that suspicious. But then I have functioning brain cells and
>>don't depend on lies and deception as a viable political strategy.
>
>>--
>>Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
>>If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=daISg1Hc0ps
>
>
>

And? Your point is what? Go ahead and snuff your seed. You'll be doing
society a favor.

Why is it that you pimple heads can't or won't answer fairly straight
forward questions?

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3688. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 02:00:02 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF4DFCEC368CWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets" <RRATR@BurningInHell.org> wrote in
news:MJqdnSFLZcvcB3_QnZ2dnUVZ_rqdnZ2d@giganews.com:

>
>
> "Gray Ghost" wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF48AAFCA5F8Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>
> Ron <roneal1554@att.net> wrote in news:d5942bd7-8b41-43ba-b5a9-
> df352029205a@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Why did Congress
>> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>>
>
>>Why didn't Congress pass an FY2011 BUDGET ya moron.
>
>>--
>>Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
>>If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=daISg1Hc0ps
>
>

Nope. Didn't see anything there about the FY2011 budget or President JugEars
mendicare plan. Would you care to try again?

Why didn't Congress pass an FY2011 BUDGET ya moron.

Where is JugEars plan to save Medicare?

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

3689. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 02:52:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DE30652-12295@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231616

How the Internet Really Began
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=308321
cuhulin

3690. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 03:00:11 UTC
From: Howard Brazee <howard@brazee.net>
Message-ID: <g026u6degf9ghurmr06av99v9hv6p2kvos@4ax.com>
Source record: 231617
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On Sun, 29 May 2011 15:54:17 -0700, John Smith <win.court@gmail.com>
wrote:

>The crooked public servants who are serving a shadow government, the
>only problem is, they are breaking the law

You have a funny idea of "the only problem" for what you are accusing.

--
"In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
to the legislature, and not to the executive department."

- James Madison

3691. Re: Radio Mauritanie right now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 03:19:46 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1b3bb002-e8f6-4bd1-8713-18652a28e4d0@dr5g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231618

On May 29, 8:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/29/2011 12:28 AM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 28, 10:18 pm, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> On May 27, 11:26 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> >>> On May 28, 1:58 am, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >>>> Good news! MAURITANIA, Radio Mauritanie, 7245 kHz, near start at 0555
> >>>> UTC, 28 May 2011, excellent signal 45454, Q'uran reading by male in
> >>>> Arabic (Jensen, CA)
>
> >>> Very weak signal over here at 0630 UTC . Some kind of prayer or Holy
> >>> Book reading . Definitily Arabic language .
>
> >> Sorry about that - it was a kickass signal hereabouts in California.
> >> At 06:30, they were doing some kind of an interview in Arabic between
> >> the studio host and what sounded like an older fellow.
>
> > Suddenly came alive at 0655 UTC . No more religious programs so far .
> > Signal is fading in and out wildly . Heard some music as well !
>
> Wildly as in polar warbling? Does it fade completely. Is there selective
> fading. Does ECSS or sync detection fix it?- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Deep fades , occasional total fadeout . Didn't try sync detector --
will turn it on next time this broadcast is on the air .

3692. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying beca...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 03:25:14 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DE30E1A-12320@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231619

REBELLION IN AMERICA!
Incensed Voters 'Repudiate' Washington's leadership.
http://www.wnd.com
http://www.worldnetdaily.com

Leadership? What Leadership???
cuhulin

3693. Radio República on 9490 kHz @ 0050 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 03:45:56 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <6b538b1b-4bb6-4cd1-959f-a852e191f628@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231620

Radio República on 9490 kHz @ 0050 UTC
on 29 Mayo 2011 and the 30th too

30th - Heard Spanish and Music with Singing
in Spanish Celia Cruz @ 0050 UTC
Shortwave {Onda Corta} Frequency Information
@ 0055 UTC and TOH @ 0100 UTC Cuba Libertad;
Esta Es Radio República
S-Meter : S9 ~ +10dB with SIO 545 and Very Good Audio
.
29th - Heard Spanish and Music/Singing in
Spanish @ 0150 UTC and Radio República ID
@ 0156 UTC along with Hialeah, FL address
then Off-the-Air @ 0159 UTC
S-Meter : S8 ~ S9 with SIO 545 and good audio
.
Shortwave {Onda Corta} Frequency Information
@ 0055 UTC and TOH @ 0100 UTC Cuba Libertad;
Esta Es Radio República
.
Radio República : Inicio {Home-Page]
http://www.radiorepublica.org/
.
Radio República : Horarios y Programas [EDT]
http://www.radiorepublica.org/Radio_Republica/Lunes.html
7 PM - 12AM [Midnight] EDT :: 2300 - 0400 UTC
.
Radio República : Programas {Program Info}
http://www.radiorepublica.org/Radio_Republica/Programas.html
.
Radio República on 9490 kHz
@ 0100- 0300 UTC in Spanish
http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/search/label/Radio%20Republica
-source- Monitoring Times Shortwave Central Blob Spot
.
Radio República -Info- {Spanish broadcast to Cuba}
http://www.clandestineradio.com/intel/station.php?id=50&stn=742
-source- Clandestine Radio .Com
* Transmitter : ?WRMI? in Miami, Florida
* Organization : Directorio Democratico Cubano
Hialeah, Florida
.
Radio República
http://www.schoechi.de/cl-cub.html#R%20Rep%C3%BAblica

-source- QSL Information Pages
http://www.schoechi.de/
* Clandestine Radio Stations broadcasting to Cuba
.
Clandestine Broadcasts : Target Cuba : Radio República
http://www.wrth.com/files/WRTH2011IntRadioSuppl1_B10SchedulesUpdate.pdf
-source- WRTH : 2011 Winter Schedule Up-Dates
5954 kHz @ 2100-1000 UTC -Daily- ?TX?
9490 kHz @ 0000-0300 UTC TWTFS Sackville, NB
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
Radio República Clandestine to Cuba "A11"
* 5954 kHz @ 2100-1000 UTC
CLA : Radio República : S : CUB : /CTR
* 5954.2 kHz @ 2100-0900 UTC
CLA : Radio República : S : CUB : /CTR-g
* 9965 kHz @ 0900-2100 UTC
CLA : Radio República : S : CUB : /CTR-g
* 9965 kHz @ 1100-2100 UTC
CLA : Radio República : S : CUB
.
-parent-organization-of- Radio República
Directorio Democratico Cubano -spanish-
Cuban Democratic Directorate -english-
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cuban_Democratic_Directorate_%28Directorio%29
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3694. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 03:58:35 UTC
From: Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets <RRATR@BurningInHell.org>
Message-ID: <_8GdnbhJMYR0iH7QnZ2dnUVZ_gqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Gray Ghost" wrote in message
news:Xns9EF4DF75866ACWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...

"Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets" <RRATR@BurningInHell.org> wrote in
news:h9adnUgaYoaNB3_QnZ2dnUVZ_uOdnZ2d@giganews.com:

>
>
> "Gray Ghost" wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF48A6B9A71AWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>
> Ron <roneal1554@att.net> wrote in news:d5942bd7-8b41-43ba-b5a9-
> df352029205a@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>
>> On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> > On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> >> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>>>
>>> >>http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-
> form-..
>> .
>>>
>>> >> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it
is
>>> >> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>>>
>>> >> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of
the
>>> >> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>>>
>>> >> Regards,
>>> >> JS
>>> > .
>>> > .

>>> > John....John........JOHN!
>>>
>>> > The horse is dead.
>>>
>>> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
>>> fraudulent 9 layered document!
>>>
>>> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
>>> ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
>>> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>> .
>> .
>> John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
>> Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
>> Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
>> Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did Congress
>> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>>
>> Huh?
>>
>
>>Um, Abercrombie saud back not to long ago that they couldn't find the
>>original. Then auddenly SHAZAAM!! Obama has a fresh copy of a document
(not
>>stamped of emossed BTW) that the governor could not find.
>
>>Yeah, I find that suspicious. But then I have functioning brain cells and
>>don't depend on lies and deception as a viable political strategy.
>
>>--
>>Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
>>If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=daISg1Hc0ps
>
>
>

>And? Your point is what? Go ahead and snuff your seed. You'll be doing
>society a favor.

That is who you support for president? Obama wouldn't even need to campaign.
All he'd need to do is run that awful racist commercial from Cain. Damn
that's bad.

3695. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still lying about citizenship law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 04:14:46 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <AuSdna-vILRMhH7QnZ2dnUVZ5oqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3696. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 04:14:54 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <AuSdna6vILREhH7QnZ2dnUVZ5oqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3697. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 04:21:27 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b2ce7a76-c157-41ad-ba96-5efaf2031eae@d26g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 29, 10:14 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:

> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM, Iarnrod proved:
> > On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
> >> Mighty Iarnrod proved:
> >>> Yes, he would have been
> >> No,
> > > Yes, he would have been.
> No,

Yes, he would have been.

3698. REE 'White Noise' [DRM] on 9625~9635 kHz @ 0045 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 04:35:58 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7ebb7b1d-dd78-4f7b-9168-17d58caa9070@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231625

REE 'White Noise' [DRM] on 9625~9635 kHz @ 0045 UTC
on 30 Mayo 2011 : Centered on 9630 kHz

S-Meter : Solid +10dB with SIO 505
and Very High Quality 'White Noise'
-yes- The Sound of Beautiful Noise
from around the Mondiale !
.
EiBi Shortwave Broadcasting Schedule List Shows :
http://www.eibi.de.vu/
9630 kHz @ 0000-0200 UTC
E : REE DIGITAL : S : NAm
-from-Spain -in- Spanish -to- North America
-but- All I Hear Is 'White Noise'
.
Radio Exterior de Espana "A11" Schedule
http://www.bclnews.it/a11schedules/ree.htm
-source- BCL New .IT
.
Radio Exterior de Espana "Spanish" Schedule
http://www.short-wave.info/index.php?station=R.Exterior+de+Espana&language=Spanish
-source- Short-Wave .Info
Shows 9630 kHz @ 2345-0200 UTC [DRM]
?-from-? Cariari de Pococi, Costa Rica [C.A.]
-note- 9630 kHz @ 0200-0600 UTC Non-DRM
.
Radio Exterior de Espana (REE)
http://www.rtve.es/radio/radio-exterior/
.
Radio Exterior de Espana (REE) Frequencies & Times
http://www.rtve.es/contenidos/documentos/frecuencias032011.pdf
.
DRM = Digital Radio Mondiale
http://www.drm.org/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Digital_Radio_Mondiale
.
DRM 'White Noise' Broadcast Schedule
http://www.drm.org/broadcast_schedule
.
yes - i heard it on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq

http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.
RNZI 'White Noise' [DRM] on 7435~7445 kHz @ 0935 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/fc3c3bc04f6a4add
.
TDP 'White Noise' [DRM] on 17750~17760 kHz @ 2110 UTC
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/80981878ee6fe896
.
.

3699. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 04:51:55 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF58CEBAC38Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets" <RRATR@BurningInHell.org> wrote in
news:_8GdnbhJMYR0iH7QnZ2dnUVZ_gqdnZ2d@giganews.com:

>
>
> "Gray Ghost" wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF4DF75866ACWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>
> "Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets" <RRATR@BurningInHell.org> wrote in
> news:h9adnUgaYoaNB3_QnZ2dnUVZ_uOdnZ2d@giganews.com:
>
>>
>>
>> "Gray Ghost" wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EF48A6B9A71AWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>>
>> Ron <roneal1554@att.net> wrote in news:d5942bd7-8b41-43ba-b5a9-
>> df352029205a@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> >> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>>>>
>>>> >>http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-
>> form-..
>>> .
>>>>
>>>> >> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it
> is
>>>> >> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>>>>
>>>> >> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of
> the

>>>> >> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>>>>
>>>> >> Regards,
>>>> >> JS
>>>> > .
>>>> > .
>>>> > John....John........JOHN!
>>>>
>>>> > The horse is dead.
>>>>
>>>> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
>>>> fraudulent 9 layered document!
>>>>
>>>> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
>>>> ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
>>>> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>> .
>>> .
>>> John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
>>> Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
>>> Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
>>> Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did Congress
>>> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>>>
>>> Huh?
>>>
>>
>>>Um, Abercrombie saud back not to long ago that they couldn't find the
>>>original. Then auddenly SHAZAAM!! Obama has a fresh copy of a document
> (not
>>>stamped of emossed BTW) that the governor could not find.
>>
>>>Yeah, I find that suspicious. But then I have functioning brain cells
>>>and don't depend on lies and deception as a viable political strategy.
>>
>>>--
>>>Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
>>>If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=daISg1Hc0ps
>>
>>
>>
>
>>And? Your point is what? Go ahead and snuff your seed. You'll be doing
>>society a favor.

>
> That is who you support for president? Obama wouldn't even need to
> campaign. All he'd need to do is run that awful racist commercial from
> Cain. Damn that's bad.
>
>

You "think" that commercial is racist? BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAH! 2012 is going to be easier than I thought. I'm pretty sure america is gonna be fed up with the "racist" line of bullshit.

Jugears is a failure, irrespective of the amount of melatonin he has.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3700. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 04:58:06 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <irv84uciq1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On 5/29/2011 9:51 PM, Gray Ghost wrote:
> "Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets"<RRATR@BurningInHell.org> wrote in
> news:_8GdnbhJMYR0iH7QnZ2dnUVZ_gqdnZ2d@giganews.com:
>
>>
>>
>> "Gray Ghost" wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EF4DF75866ACWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>>
>> "Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets"<RRATR@BurningInHell.org> wrote in
>> news:h9adnUgaYoaNB3_QnZ2dnUVZ_uOdnZ2d@giganews.com:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Gray Ghost" wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9EF48A6B9A71AWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>>>
>>> Ron<roneal1554@att.net> wrote in news:d5942bd7-8b41-43ba-b5a9-
>>> df352029205a@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>> On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>>>>>
>>>>>>> http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-
>>> form-..
>>>> .
>>>>>
>>>>>>> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it
>> is
>>>>>>> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>>>>>
>>>>>>> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of
```

>> the
>>>>>>> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>>>>>
>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>> .
>>>>>> .
>>>>>> John....John........JOHN!
>>>>>
>>>>>> The horse is dead.
>>>>>
>>>>> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
>>>>> fraudulent 9 layered document!
>>>>>
>>>>> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
>>>>> ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
>>>>> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>>> .
>>>> .
>>>> John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
>>>> Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
>>>> Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
>>>> Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did Congress
>>>> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>>>>
>>>> Huh?
>>>>
>>>
>>>> Um, Abercrombie saud back not to long ago that they couldn't find the
>>>> original. Then auddenly SHAZAAM!! Obama has a fresh copy of a document
>> (not
>>>> stamped of emossed BTW) that the governor could not find.
>>>
>>>> Yeah, I find that suspicious. But then I have functioning brain cells
>>>> and don't depend on lies and deception as a viable political strategy.
>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
>>>> If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>> He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>>
>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=daISg1Hc0ps
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>> And? Your point is what? Go ahead and snuff your seed. You'll be doing

>>> society a favor.
>>
>> That is who you support for president? Obama wouldn't even need to
>> campaign. All he'd need to do is run that awful racist commercial from
>> Cain. Damn that's bad.
>>
>>
>
> You "think" that commercial is racist? BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAH! 2012 is going to
> be easier than I thought. I'm pretty sure america is gonna be fed up with
> the "racist" line of bullshit.
>
> Jugears is a failure, irrespective of the amount of melatonin he has.
>

Actually, there is some scuttle butt about the school system being
mandated to teach homosexuality and other perversions are OK ... may be
awhile before people have had enough to rebel against the "BULLSHIT!"

Regards,
JS

3701. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still lying about citizenship law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 05:02:20 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <nd6dnYbnnppkuX7QnZ2dnUVZ5s-dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3702. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 05:02:35 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <nd6dnYDnnpqVuH7QnZ2dnUVZ5s-dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3703. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 05:15:00 UTC
From: "skepticl1@aol.com" <skepticl1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <f6195209-5d09-4a7c-a716-c0848501de0b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231630
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 29, 8:00 pm, Howard Brazee <how...@brazee.net> wrote:
> On Sun, 29 May 2011 15:54:17 -0700, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >The crooked public servants who are serving a shadow government, the
> >only problem is, they are breaking the law
>
> You have a funny idea of "the only problem" for what you are accusing.
>
> --
> "In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
> than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
> to the legislature, and not to the executive department."
>
> - James Madison

COMMUNISM AND
JEFFERSONIAN DEMOCRACY
Copyright © 2008 Bob Avakian

American Democracy: “They Kill People
for Saying What You’re Saying”
To begin—and to immediately touch on the basic reality of how this country is ruled and what its democracy actually amounts to—I want to recount a story I have told several times, because, in a simple and straightforward way, it concentrates so much of importance. Back in 1979, I went on a speaking tour in many of the major cities in the U.S., and in connection with that I made a number of media appearances. At one point I did a taped TV program, on which I was interviewed by several Black journalists in Cleveland. Right after the taping of this program, during which I had laid out clearly my revolutionary viewpoint, the woman who was moderating the program turned to me and said, very matter-of-factly: “My, you’re awfully brave.” Well, this sort of took me aback, so I asked her: “Why do you say that?” And she replied, in the same matter-of-fact tone: “You know, they kill people for saying what you’re saying.”
As I have pointed out a number of times in telling this story, what is very significant about this exchange, and her comments in particular, is that she didn’t even say: “You know, they kill people for trying to do what you’re talking about.” She said simply: they kill people for saying what you’re saying. In this, she was cutting right to the

quick, and in fact getting right to the essence of “American democracy.”

And this was not just an odd comment from this particular Black journalist. It is not at all uncommon to hear comments of this kind from Black people and others who have had experience with the brutality and murder commonly carried out by the police, especially in the inner cities across America—or people who, in any case, have some sense of the actual history of this country and in particular the way it has dealt with those who are regarded, by the powers-that-be, as a significant threat, of one kind or another, to their rule. Among many such people there is, if not a profound scientific understanding, nevertheless a basic sense of the real nature of how things are run in this country—of the real relationship between the people who actually rule this society (however people think of that) and the people over whom they rule in running the society (however people understand that).

This is the reason I feel it is important to recount this story frequently—not only because that journalist’s comment hit me very sharply at the time and struck me as very incisive, but because it does capture in a very concentrated way some essential things which far too many people, including many formally educated people, are actually ignorant of—or choose to ignore.

Another anecdote from “everyday life” also brings out this same basic point rather sharply, and unexpectedly. Recently, there was a story on ESPN/The Magazine online, by Scoop Jackson, a Black writer whose material has also appeared in publications such as Slam magazine. This article for ESPN/The Magazine was about Etan Thomas, a professional basketball player on the Washington Wizards (they can’t be called the Washington Bullets anymore—can’t have bullets in Washington other than those directed by the government). [Laughter] Etan Thomas is what they call a “role player” for the Wizards—he comes off the bench, scores a few points a game—he has talent but he’s not a prominent player. And he is someone who, unfortunately, is kind of a rarity these days in professional sports in the U.S.: a progressive guy who is outspoken with his views. He has spoken at some of the anti-war rallies; he’s written poetry condemning the Bush administration and politicians in general and speaking to the glaring contradiction between the way that they talk about things in society and the way things actually are. He has spoken about wanting to drag these politicians down to the inner cities and force them to see what really goes on there, what people there are put through. So, this article by Scoop Jackson is mainly about Etan Thomas’s politics, but in the middle of this article Jackson comments that if Thomas averaged 30 points a game, he might be dead.

Now, to be clear, Jackson doesn’t mean that it’s dangerous to score 30 points a game, in the sense that the effort, the exertion involved in this, might kill him. No, the clear implication is that if Etan Thomas were a prominent player on the level of a Michael Jordan, capturing that kind of media attention and the imagination of masses of people—and if, from that position, he were saying the things he’s saying—he

would probably be assassinated by the powers--that-be. Thomas is not calling for revolution, but he is indicting certain outrages of the system—and if he were doing that as a really prominent player, they might very well kill him, because it's too dangerous to have someone with that level of prominence saying even the kinds of things that Thomas is saying.

These "slices of life" do capture something very essential, and provide a kind of backdrop for a fuller discussion of the much-vaunted "American freedom and democracy," of the system that rules and shapes this society, and of the need for a radically different society and world.

"Jeffersonian Democracy":
Ideals, Illusions, and Reality

As the title suggests, much of this discussion will unfold in relation to the ideas of Thomas Jefferson and the ideal of "Jeffersonian democracy," and the contrast between that and the viewpoint and program of communism—which represents, in reality, a far more liberating vision of human freedom.

Jefferson, and his political philosophy, stand in a real sense as an emblem of what is in fact bourgeois democracy—and in reality bourgeois dictatorship—in the history of the United States of America. And, as Isaac Kramnick and R. Laurence Moore point out, while "America's historical memory" of Jefferson "has been rooted in distinct features of his protean career," for many people Jefferson "remains the spokesman of a radical and popular democracy never achieved in America."1 In other words, many people—and many progressive people, in particular—who acknowledge that historically there have been, and today there still remain, what they regard as serious "flaws" in the way democracy is actually practiced in the U.S., nevertheless cling to the notion that somehow if this system could actually be made to live up to its ideals, then it would indeed be the best of all possible systems, not only in conception but in reality. And many of these people cling to Jefferson as the personification of, as Kramnick and Moore put it, "a radical and popular democracy" which has "never [been] achieved in America," but which they long to see fulfilled.

To put this in other—more blunt, and scientific—terms, Jefferson stands as a personification and a concentration of many of the illusions of people in the middle strata in particular, and more specifically many in the intelligentsia, who have not ruptured with, and in fact stubbornly cleave to, a bourgeois-democratic view of the world. And not only is this the case broadly in society, but it has even been true, believe it or not, in the history of the communist movement in this country. We have the phenomenon—which is both astounding and disgraceful, if you're coming at things from a genuinely communist perspective—of the old Communist Party, USA upholding Jefferson as a model. Even at the height of 1960s radicalism, if you went around the country looking for the CP, where could you find them? In their Jefferson Bookstores! This is a glaring example of how people who claim to be opposed to capitalism and the imperialism of the U.S.—and even some who claim to be communists—have

wrapped themselves in the mantle of bourgeois democracy, particularly as that is personified by Thomas Jefferson. Back in the day, it used to be quite a lot of fun to get into a discussion with CP people about why they had Jefferson Bookstores. Besides the obvious fact that Jefferson was a slaveholder, there was the more general fact that Jefferson is a representative of the system that the CP claimed, at least, to be working to get rid of. And that's just the point: You cannot get rid of this system if you proceed on the basis of upholding and extolling one of the main representatives of that very system, someone who is indeed emblematic of what that system is all about. And, in reality, you cannot get rid of the egregious outrages that many do recognize are committed by the government of the United States, unless you get rid of the whole system of which these egregious outrages are a concentrated expression and of which this government—and in particular its executive power and armed forces—are an instrument and enforcer. You cannot change all this while at the same time clinging to the ideas and ideals that characterize this system and dominate this society—ideas and ideals of which Thomas Jefferson is, in fact, a fitting representative.

Jefferson's ideal of a good and just society—
and the reality of slavery

Let's get into this further by examining Jefferson's notion of an agrarian model as the concentration of the good, just and virtuous society.2 This vision of Jefferson's involved a number of rather sharp ironies, which it is worth exploring.

The first irony: Jefferson extolled the yeoman, that is, the small independent landowning farmer, as the emblem—and the existence of many such farmers as the basis—for the best form of government and of a virtuous society. To cite Kramnick and Moore once again: "For Jefferson the moral possibilities of democracy depended on keeping America an agricultural nation. That is, he did not think that democracy and the morality necessary to sustain democracy could flourish under social conditions that destroyed the economic independence of individuals." (The Godless Constitution, p. 152) Yet Jefferson consistently acted in the interests of the aristocratic large landowning and slaveholding class in the southern United States, in opposition to the interests of small farmers—and, of course, this was also in opposition to the interests of that group of individuals who most glaringly did not have independence economically, or in any other way: the slaves, who did not actually count as individuals in the eyes of the slaveholders.

In reality, Jefferson's agrarian society turned out to be a society based on slavery and ruled by slaveowners.

One striking example that a number of people have pointed to in this regard is the Louisiana Purchase (the purchase by the United States government of the Louisiana Territory from the French in 1803). Having suffered significant military setbacks—and dramatically so in the attempt to put down the armed rebellion of slaves in Haiti which had been initiated under the leadership of Toussaint L'Ouverture—Napoleon Bonaparte, ruler of France, reckoned that he couldn't easily hold on

to this territory in the Americas, and so Jefferson, then President of the United States, stepped in to quickly grab up this territory. In this he acted primarily in the interests of the slaveowners and in order to spread the slaveowning system into the new territories acquired through this act—not to develop an agrarian society based on a multitude of small farmers. This is just one example of many that could be cited which clearly illustrate that Jefferson consistently acted in the interests of the slaveowning class—in conflict with the interests not only of the slaves but also of the yeoman in the South, as well as the rising capitalist class centered in the North.

The whole southern way of life depended on slavery—that was its fundamental economic basis. Even small landowners who didn't own slaves strove to get into a position to own some. And, with regard to Jefferson himself, not only his economic status but also his political fortunes, including his election to the presidency, depended on slavery, and in particular the "three-fifths" provision in the Constitution of the United States—the so-called "three-fifths compromise," which established that, for the purposes of taxation but also of voting and representation in the government, each slave would be counted as three-fifths of a human being. As many northerners pointed out at the time, coming from various positions and with various motives, this "compromise" essentially allowed the southern states, where slaves were counted as property, to accumulate greater representation in the national government, because of the multiplication of this "human property." In other words, northerners who owned property—for example, farms or factories—did not get to count each factory or farm as part of a formula for determining how much representation a northern state would have in the national government (in the House of Representatives, in particular), but the slave states got to count three-fifths of all the slaves, at any given time, in terms of this representation. This tilted things toward the southern states, in terms of the national political structure, from the beginning of the country. In fact, this was something that the southern states insisted upon as a condition of their joining with the northern states to form the United States of America, as a country with a single national government. Even those in the North who, on the basis of moral conviction and/or economic interest, were opposed to slavery, ended up capitulating to this demand, because forming this new country was more important to them—was understood by them to be more essential to their interests—than abolishing slavery. Thus, while this "three-fifths" provision in the Constitution was a compromise, this compromise gave a certain disproportionate power to the South, to the class of southern slaveowners; and this enabled them, up until the Civil War nearly 100 years later, to block and counter steps that would have gone in the direction of abolishing slavery.

It is sometimes claimed that Jefferson was actually opposed to slavery and wanted to see an end to it. And you can find statements by Jefferson where he says that slavery is in fact a blight and that it will have negative consequences for some time to come. There have also been misinterpretations of what Jefferson wrote about slavery. To take

one important example, there are passages he wrote in drafts of the Declaration of Independence—some of which did not, but some of which did, make it into the final version of that Declaration—where the King of England and the British government were strongly condemned for supposedly imposing the slave trade on the United States. Now, there were, in fact, ways in which Jefferson and the slave-owning class in Virginia generally were opposed to aspects of the international slave trade, even while they themselves were involved in selling slaves to other states and to slaveowners in other territories. In this, the essential motivation of these Virginia slaveowners was that they didn't want the price of a slave being driven down, since they themselves had become major sellers of slaves within America itself. This is, fundamentally, the reason that they were opposed to the continuation—once they did oppose it—of the international slave trade. They viewed this above all in terms of property, and supply and demand in relation to selling this particular kind of property—human beings. So, here again, Jefferson acted in the interests of the slave-owning class, and his "agrarian society" turned out to be a slaveowning plantation system—not a society of small independent yeomen.

This is of course related to, and in an overall sense part of, the larger contradiction between Jefferson's lofty sounding statements in the Declaration of Independence about the equality of all men (note: all men) and their "inalienable rights" and, on the other hand, the glaring fact that Jefferson not only owned slaves himself but consistently acted on behalf of the class of slaveowners and the institution of slavery, even while voicing certain moral qualms about slavery and musings about its long-term consequences for the new American republic.

Slavery, White Supremacy, and Democracy in America

Historian Edmund S. Morgan in American Slavery, American Freedom, which is cited in David Brion Davis's book Inhuman Bondage, argues that for Jefferson and other Virginia slaveowners, such as George Washington ("father of our country") and James Madison (who was the principal author of the U.S. Constitution and who himself became president of the United States), there was a certain kind of unity—a unity of opposites, as we communists would say—between how they viewed whites, and on the other hand, Black Africans, mulattoes, and Indians. Here I am going to quote from Morgan and provide some commentary on what he says, to highlight the essential points.

Morgan points out: "Racism thus absorbed in Virginia the fear and contempt that men in England, whether Whig or Tory, monarchist or republican, felt for the inarticulate lower classes" of their own "race." What Morgan is getting at is that in Europe, on the part of the "liberals" as well as the "conservatives" (the Whigs and the Tories), there was an open contempt, especially among the upper, ruling classes and their political representatives, for the "ignorant rabble" of the lower classes, while in the United States this did not find exactly the same expression because a lot of this contempt was, to so speak, deflected and directed toward the masses of Black people—

who were overwhelmingly enslaved, especially in the South—and toward mulattoes and Indians.

Morgan continues—and this is very significant in terms of the whole development of bourgeois democracy in the U.S.: “Racism made it possible for white Virginians to develop a devotion to equality”—equality for whites, we should underline—“that English republicans had declared to be the soul of liberty.” And Morgan points out that one of the things that made this possible was the fact that, in Virginia, “There were too few free poor on hand to matter.” In other words, because of racism and viewing Africans, mulattoes, and Indians as lesser beings not really deserving of freedom, white Virginians could, without feeling an acute contradiction, articulate, as Jefferson did in the Declaration of Independence, lofty principles about the equality and inalienable rights of all people. They were speaking about white people—and more specifically white men—while explicitly excluding these other groups of people—most especially the people of African origin whom they enslaved. The one went together with the other: the inclusion of some and the exclusion of others, the notion of equality among white people (though this too was not a reality) and the subjugation and enslavement of Black people, mulattoes, and Indians. Here is the paradox and the irony, here is a profound contradiction, built into the United States of America from its very beginning: These Virginians, whose ideas have exerted a very great influence on the conception of freedom in this country—and the embodiment of this in founding documents of this country—represented the interests of the slaveowning class among whites, yet they could declare that they were speaking in universal terms about freedom for all people. They could proclaim a republic, in opposition to a monarchy, they could extol the principles of a government consisting of representatives chosen by the people, and the freedoms associated with republicanism—and they could believe in this—even while practicing and defending slavery, as well as other forms of exploitation and oppression.

As Morgan puts it: “by lumping Indians, mulattoes and Negroes in a single pariah class”—that is, by putting them in a category of beings who are not really to be considered human and not to be afforded the rights and freedoms that human beings should have—“Virginians had paved the way for a similar lumping of small and large planters in a single master class.” Here again we see the dialectical (contradictory) unity between the exclusion of one part of society, and the notion of the unity of the others—identified as white people—even with the class divisions among them.

Morgan points to a very profound conclusion: “Racism became an essential, if unacknowledged, ingredient of the republican ideology that enabled Virginians”—like Washington and Madison, as well as Jefferson—“to lead the nation.”3

This speaks to a very significant particularity, or peculiarity, of bourgeois society and the principles of bourgeois democracy as they developed from the very beginning of, and have evolved historically in, the United States. As David Brion Davis points out, Morgan argues

that racial slavery enabled Virginia's slaveowning planter class to coopt the poorer whites and thus perpetuate a highly exploitative and unequal society under the banner of republican liberty. As Davis puts it: "Virginia's slavery and racism became, paradoxically, the social and ideological basis for America's dedication to freedom and equality." (Inhuman Bondage, p. 135)

This is very important to understand, not only in terms of the founding of this country, but in terms of its implications and its consequences throughout the history of the country, down to today. The republican ideology and notions of freedom that have characterized the way in which the United States has been conceived and ruled have indeed included, as fundamental elements, racism and the oppression of Black people, and other "people of color": the exclusion of these groups—overtly and explicitly, or at least in reality and in practice—from the prevailing notion and application of freedom, and their subjugation from the very beginning and in the essential functioning of the country. And there has been a definite tendency for this to contribute in various ways to blunting the overall class conflicts in American society and the class consciousness of the proletarians—among the whites in particular, but also in a different way among Black people and other oppressed nationalities.

All this relates to the first irony I mentioned: Jefferson talked about a yeoman-based agrarian society as the model society, but actually, in opposition to that, he consistently upheld and fought for the interests of the slaveowning class; and the agrarian society that in reality he was an embodiment of, and a spokesman for, was a slave-owning plantation system.

Bourgeois Democracy, Bourgeois Elitism

The second irony is that, while Jefferson extolled the yeoman and the notion of a yeoman-based society, he firmly believed that such yeomen had to be led and headed by members of more elite strata, intellectually and economically—of which Jefferson himself was a representative. And here is an irony within this irony, so to speak: With regard to "Jeffersonian democrats"—this applies to bourgeois-democrats more generally, but in particular to those who uphold and extol Jefferson and his ideas and ideals as the model of a great society, even if it has yet to be fully achieved—many of them are among those who are very quick to attack communists, and in particular Lenin and his work What Is To Be Done?, for alleged elitism! How often have we heard them say things like: "Communists like Lenin think that the masses are too stupid to know what's good for them! They think these masses have to have elite intellectuals ordering them about and telling them what's good for them, since they're too stupid to know what they really want and need—that's what the communist view is, that's what Lenin was arguing in What Is To Be Done?"

Here, I don't have time to go into all the ways in which that is a gross distortion of what Lenin was actually arguing in What Is To Be Done?. But the fact is that the essence of what he's arguing there is the opposite of these accusations: He is insisting on both the ability and the necessity for the masses to understand the basic dynamics of

objective reality, and of human society in particular, in order to consciously struggle to transform society, to make revolution with the final aim of bringing a communist world into being. He is emphasizing that this is the only way that such a radical transformation of society can actually come about. And, yes, he is insisting that the masses need a vanguard to lead them in this struggle—a vanguard whose purpose is precisely to enable the masses themselves to make revolution, and not to substitute for them (or attempt to substitute for them) in doing that.

So here's "the irony within the irony": Many of these Jeffersonian (bourgeois) democrats never tire of hurling the charge of "elitism" against communism, and against Lenin in particular, and yet their hero and model Thomas Jefferson was himself a firm believer in the idea that the common people needed an economic and intellectual elite to guide them to the virtuous society. This is the logic Jefferson would have followed, if he had actually tried to bring such a society into being—which he did not.

Commodities, Polarization,
Inequality, and Exploitation

And the third irony: If Jefferson's yeoman-based society had in fact been realized—and there are many reasons why it could not have been, but if it had been realized—before very long it would have given rise to and been supplanted by polarization and the emergence of elites ruling over the "common people." If you envision a society consisting of a large number of farmers, each holding a small amount of land and farming independently on that land, well first of all, there are many "natural conditions," if you will, that will differ among these landholdings—different conditions of the soil, the topography, and other environmental and geological factors—which will favor some over others.

Take Virginia itself, for example. I pointed to this in the "Revolution" talk:4 Why is there a West Virginia? The basic reason is that the territory of this state—which, before the Civil War, was the western part of Virginia—has a very different terrain than most of the rest of Virginia: this western area is very hilly and rocky, it has a lot of coal, but it is not so favorable for small farming or for farming in general (there is some small farming, but it's not nearly as favorable for farming as some of the other parts of Virginia, and other parts of the South, which have a much richer soil). That is the underlying reason why, at the time of the Civil War, this western part of the state broke away from Virginia and the Confederacy: the economic conditions and interests of people there were, in significant ways, different.

There is also the very important question of how different parcels of land are situated in relation to water, and other factors which confer an advantage (or disadvantage) to those owning the land. These differences, and their effects and consequences in terms of farm output and related factors, would assert themselves, even if you started out with everybody having approximately the same size farm, with many small farmers independently carrying out family farming—with

all the patriarchy and male supremacy that goes along with that, let us not forget. You would have had inequalities within these families and family farms, and there would have been the developing polarization and inequalities between the different farmers, even if you just took a region of the country like the South, to say nothing of the fact that you had farmlands opening further to the West, you had farms of a different kind in the northeast of the country at its beginning, plus you had agriculture in other countries and world trade, which would have penetrated into all this and would have reacted upon and influenced the polarization already developing within agrarian-based society in the U.S. And let's imagine that somehow the government said: "OK, we will implement the 'Jeffersonian model': everybody has to be a small farmer—or, if everybody doesn't have to be a small farmer, at least the base of the whole economy and the whole society has to be small farmers—and if anybody starts getting much bigger than anybody else, in terms of landholdings, we'll take part of their land away and give it to others, so there will once again be more equal distribution of small farmland throughout the country." Well, eventually you would have had wars, armed conflicts, over that, because those whom you were hindering in that way (those whose land you were taking away in order to "equalize" things) would have resisted, and if you kept doing this they would have rebelled and taken to arms.

And then, again, there is the whole world market and its influence on all this. At the time of the founding of the U.S., if you look at the sale of the southern cotton and tobacco and other products, such as sugar, where were they going? To a large degree, it was to the world market, to Europe and other places. In order to maintain a situation of more or less equal landholdings, you would have had to stop everybody from producing for the world market, because if they produced for the world market, inequality would have been fostered and reinforced: Some farmers would have done better than others, would have found a more favorable market at any given time for whatever product these farmers were growing. And that would have reacted upon and intensified the polarization that was already developing. It would have been necessary to step in with the government and militarily shut off the country from the world market.

In short, this would have been totally impractical and unrealizable. Even if you started out on that basis—of many farmers with more or less equal size landholdings—you couldn't maintain it. This is fundamentally because all this would be—and in the actual history of the U.S. everything has been—within the overall context of commodity production and exchange. And there are two things to single out about that here: One, as what I have already sketched out illustrates, commodity production and exchange inevitably lead to inequalities, to polarization. The general operation of the commodity system means that there will be inequality; it means that some fare better in competition than others; it means that polarization develops. And what goes along with that—the second thing to emphasize here—is that labor power itself (the ability to work, in general) will become a

commodity. You see this happening even today: Many farmers are no longer able to make it as farmers (or by farming alone); they are compelled to hire themselves out to others who are doing better (to other farmers, or to people running other businesses). In an agrarian society—and in particular one that is operating within an overall framework of capitalist commodity production and exchange—more and more people will be reduced to the position of being wage workers, having to sell their ability to work, their labor power, in order to live. You will also get that polarization—of capitalist and wage worker—along with the great unevenness that will continue to develop even among the class of landowners, broadly speaking. And when you add in the world market, once again, all this becomes much further accentuated.

So, along with the glaring contradiction between Jefferson's proclamations about how "all men are created equal" and endowed with certain "inalienable rights," on the one hand, and, on the other hand, not only the fact of his being a slaveowner but his repeated actions, including as president, on behalf of the whole slaveowning class, these other ironies (or contradictions—ironies are contradictions) that I have pinpointed and spoken to here are in turn an expression of the fundamental nature of the society in which Jefferson lived and functioned and of which he does stand as a legitimate champion: a system rooted in relations of exploitation and oppression.5

In sum on this point: In looking at what Jefferson wrote (in his "Notes on Virginia" and elsewhere) about the model of a good and virtuous society being one based on a multitude of yeoman farmers, and the conflict between this and the kind of society he actually upheld and fought for, we can extract from this some profound lessons about the nature of modern republican government as a bourgeois democracy—and about the nature of bourgeois democracy itself in general, as a form of class rule and domination, a dictatorship of the bourgeois class—as well as, more particularly, the specific expressions this has taken in the history of the United States, with the peculiar institution of slavery for more than a hundred years leading into the founding of this country, and for nearly a hundred more years after its founding.

The "Grand Narrative" About This Country—and the Brutal Reality Underneath This

There is a semi-official narrative about the history and the "greatness" of America, which says that this greatness of America lies in the freedom and ingenuity of its people, and above all in a system that gives encouragement and reward to these qualities. Now, in opposition to this semi-official narrative about the greatness of America, the reality is that—to return to one fundamental aspect of all this—slavery has been an indispensable part of the foundation for the "freedom and prosperity" of the USA. The combination of freedom and prosperity is, as we know, still today, and in some ways today more than ever, proclaimed as the unique quality and the special destiny and mission of the United States and its role in the world. And this stands in stark contradiction to the fact that without

slavery, none of this—not even the bourgeois-democratic freedoms, let alone the prosperity—would have been possible, not only in the southern United States but in the North as well, in the country as a whole and in its development and emergence as a world economic and military power.

Obviously, the way in which agriculture in the South developed was directly related to, indeed founded on, the system of slavery. But, beyond that, the way in which the U.S. related to the world market, and built up its prosperity and economic base in that way, was to a very large degree dependent on slave-based production. The interchange between the development of manufacture in the North and the development of agriculture in the South, for example—even when, before the Civil War, that interchange went to a large degree through the world market and through England in particular, where for example cotton would be sold to the textile mills in England and other products would be sold from England to the northern manufacturers in the U.S.—even that would not have happened in the way it did, on the kind of scale it did and with the prosperity that it led to, without slavery. Of course, this process—where, for example, cotton from the southern U.S. was to a large degree sold to England, rather than to New England—contributed over time to sharpening the contradiction between the slave system in the South and the developing capitalist system in the North of the U.S. But the point to emphasize here is that, in an overall and fundamental sense, the slave-grown products of the southern U.S. constituted a major factor in the development of the U.S. economy, in the North as well as the South. And the development of that economy, in turn, has been the essential underlying basis for the massive military machinery which is the ultimate enforcer of the role of the U.S. as a major world power.

In short: There would be no United States as we now know it today without slavery. That is a simple and basic truth.

Now, of course, slavery was not the only factor that played a significant part in the emergence of the U.S. as a world power, whose economic strength underlies its massive military force. A major historical factor in all this was the theft of land, on a massive scale, from Mexico as well as from native peoples. But, in turn, much of that conquest of land was, for a long period of time up until the Civil War, largely to expand the slave system. “Remember the Alamo,” we are always reminded. Well, many of the “heroes” of the Alamo were slave traders and slave chasers. Forget all that stuff about the Davy Crocketts as great heroes, which many of us were fed as kids—these were slave chasers and slave enforcers, and adventurers who, to a large degree, were aiming to expand the slave system. More fundamentally, whatever the particular role of individuals, the war with Mexico over Texas was, on the part of the United States, most essentially motivated by the drive to spread the slave system. And expanding the slave system was a major aim of the overall war with Mexico, although that war also led to the westward expansion of the developing capitalist system centered in the northern United States (those two systems, those two modes of production, capitalism and

slavery, were in competition with each other over which would dominate in the new territory conquered by the United States; this was part of the mounting conflict between them, which then erupted into the Civil War in the 1860s).

Now, if some things had turned out differently, a different United States, or something entirely different altogether, might have evolved in the same territory that now exists as the United States of America (or roughly the same territory), but the United States that did evolve could not have evolved, and would not have reached the position it has, if it were not for the existence and role of slavery in all this. So whenever you hear talk about “freedom” in this country, keep that in mind. Think back to the meaning of the statements by Edmund Morgan which were discussed earlier. Even when we’re talking about actual bourgeois-democratic rights—and let us be clear that this is what we’re talking about, rights within the overall framework of political domination, dictatorship, by the capitalist (bourgeois) class which in fact rules this country—you always have to understand this in dialectical relation with slavery, in the very foundation and in terms of the historical development of the country.

http://revcom.us/Comm_JeffDem/Jeffersonian_Democracy.html

3704. Re: Radio Mauritanie right now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 06:27:45 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c0e88813-0ff3-4432-8242-8262c45793ba@k3g2000prl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231631

On May 29, 8:19 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 29, 8:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 05/29/2011 12:28 AM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > On May 28, 10:18 pm, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >> On May 27, 11:26 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > >>> On May 28, 1:58 am, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > >>>> Good news! MAURITANIA, Radio Mauritanie, 7245 kHz, near start at 0555
> > >>>> UTC, 28 May 2011, excellent signal 45454, Q'uran reading by male in
> > >>>> Arabic (Jensen, CA)
>
> > >>> Very weak signal over here at 0630 UTC . Some kind of prayer or Holy
> > >>> Book reading . Definitily Arabic language .
>
> > >> Sorry about that - it was a kickass signal hereabouts in California.
> > >> At 06:30, they were doing some kind of an interview in Arabic between
> > >> the studio host and what sounded like an older fellow.
>
> > > > Suddenly came alive at 0655 UTC . No more religious programs so far .
> > > > Signal is fading in and out wildly . Heard some music as well !
>
> > Wildly as in polar warbling? Does it fade completely. Is there selective
> > fading. Does ECSS or sync detection fix it?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Deep fades , occasional total fadeout . Didn't try sync detector --
> will turn it on next time this broadcast is on the air .

Right now, 0624z, May 30, 2011, hearing Mauritanie again on 7245, not
as good as two days ago (now SINPO = 35343), but still pretty good
copy of Arabic Q'uran or chanting. Noise a bit higher, Fading =
gentle fluttering. FWIW, heard VO Tanzania Zanzibar earlier at 0300z,

6015, SINPO 14331 improving slightly as the hour progressed (owing, I reckon, to the mutual grayline passage).

Bruce Jensen

3705. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 07:42:56 UTC
From: Ron <roneal1554@att.net>
Message-ID: <19111325-b813-46cb-96af-ce8fca9637bb@gc3g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 29, 12:05 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/29/2011 9:27 AM, Ron wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 29, 10:41 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/29/2011 8:36 AM, Ron wrote:
>
> >>> On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
>
> >>>>> On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>>> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>
> >>>>>> http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-...
>
> >>>>>> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it is
> >>>>>> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>
> >>>>>> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of the
> >>>>>> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>
> >>>>>> Regards,
> >>>>>> JS
> >>>>> .
> >>>>> .
> >>>>> John....John........JOHN!
>
> >>>>> The horse is dead.
>
> >>>> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
> >>>> fraudulent 9 layered document!
>
> >>>> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
> >>>> ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
> >>>> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
>

> >>>> Regards,
> >>>> JS
> >>> .
> >>> .
> >>> John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
> >>> Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
> >>> Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
> >>> Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did Congress
> >>> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>
> >>> Huh?
>
> >> Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack box, why no
> >> one voted for him ...
>
> >> First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never lived together
> >> in Hawaii. (There is no marriage certificate for obama sr and Ann.)
>
> >> Second, the address associated with the announcement is the grandparents.
>
> >> ... and ...
>
> >>http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=104678
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > .
> > .
> > Re: Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack box,
> > why no one voted for him .
>
> > McCain didn't do the investigation. It was conducted by members of
> > his staff.
>
> > Re: First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never lived
> > together in Hawaii
>
> > Even if true, how does that disprove Hawaii as Obama's place of birth?
>
> > Re: Second, the address associated with the announcement is the
> > grandparents.
>
> > Once again, the same question. Even if true, how does that disprove
> > the place of birth?
>
> You are the one claiming that an announcement in a newspaper is some
> type of legal and binding document which would risk prosecution, fine or
> punishment if "doctored."
>
> I am the one pointing out that an announcement in a newspaper means

> nothing ...
>
> Regards,
> JS
.
.
No, no, John-boy. I asked you to explain how the birth announcements were printed in two newspapers.

How did Obama's mom do it, and show us proof that she did do it that way.

3706. Re: Radio Mauritanie right now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 07:43:39 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <517beb88-b2e9-4727-a41c-fe728ff487e1@f9g2000vbz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231633

On May 30, 2:27 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 29, 8:19 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 29, 8:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > On 05/29/2011 12:28 AM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > On May 28, 10:18 pm, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > >> On May 27, 11:26 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > >>> On May 28, 1:58 am, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > >>>> Good news! MAURITANIA, Radio Mauritanie, 7245 kHz, near start at 0555
> > > >>>> UTC, 28 May 2011, excellent signal 45454, Q'uran reading by male in
> > > >>>> Arabic (Jensen, CA)
>
> > > >>> Very weak signal over here at 0630 UTC . Some kind of prayer or Holy
> > > >>> Book reading . Definitily Arabic language .
>
> > > >> Sorry about that - it was a kickass signal hereabouts in California.
> > > >> At 06:30, they were doing some kind of an interview in Arabic between
> > > >> the studio host and what sounded like an older fellow.
>
> > > > > Suddenly came alive at 0655 UTC . No more religious programs so far .
> > > > > Signal is fading in and out wildly . Heard some music as well !
>
> > > > Wildly as in polar warbling? Does it fade completely. Is there selective
> > > > fading. Does ECSS or sync detection fix it?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > Deep fades , occasional total fadeout . Didn't try sync detector --
> > will turn it on next time this broadcast is on the air .
>
> Right now, 0624z, May 30, 2011, hearing Mauritanie again on 7245, not
> as good as two days ago (now SINPO = 35343), but still pretty good
> copy of Arabic Q'uran or chanting. Noise a bit higher, Fading =
> gentle fluttering. FWIW, heard VO Tanzania Zanzibar earlier at 0300z,
> 6015, SINPO 14331 improving slightly as the hour progressed (owing, I
> reckon, to the mutual grayline passage).

>
> Bruce Jensen- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

7245 KHz is barely audible today . Heard some voices and little
of music around 0630 UTC and then it slowly started to disappear .
Completely . Tried both sync detector,ECSS -- no use . BTW ,BSKSA
supposedly uses 7240 KHZ .

3707. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 08:35:12 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <irvks2$a6d$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/30/2011 12:42 AM, Ron wrote:
> On May 29, 12:05 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 5/29/2011 9:27 AM, Ron wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 29, 10:41 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/29/2011 8:36 AM, Ron wrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
>>
>>>>>>> On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>>
>>>>>>>> http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-...
>>
>>>>>>>> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it is
>>>>>>>> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>>
>>>>>>>> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of the
>>>>>>>> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>>
>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>> .
>>>>>>> .
>>>>>>> John....John........JOHN!
>>
>>>>>>> The horse is dead.
>>
>>>>>> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
>>>>>> fraudulent 9 layered document!
>>
>>>>>> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
>>>>>> ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
>>>>>> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!

>>
>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>> JS
>>>>> .
>>>>> .
>>>>> John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
>>>>> Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
>>>>> Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
>>>>> Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did Congress
>>>>> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>>
>>>>> Huh?
>>
>>>> Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack box, why no
>>>> one voted for him ...
>>
>>>> First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never lived together
>>>> in Hawaii. (There is no marriage certificate for obama sr and Ann.)
>>
>>>> Second, the address associated with the announcement is the grandparents.
>>
>>>> ... and ...
>>
>>>> http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=104678
>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>> .
>>> .
>>> Re: Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack box,
>>> why no one voted for him .
>>
>>> McCain didn't do the investigation. It was conducted by members of
>>> his staff.
>>
>>> Re: First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never lived
>>> together in Hawaii
>>
>>> Even if true, how does that disprove Hawaii as Obama's place of birth?
>>
>>> Re: Second, the address associated with the announcement is the
>>> grandparents.
>>
>>> Once again, the same question. Even if true, how does that disprove
>>> the place of birth?
>>
>> You are the one claiming that an announcement in a newspaper is some
>> type of legal and binding document which would risk prosecution, fine or
>> punishment if "doctored."
>>

>> I am the one pointing out that an announcement in a newspaper means
>> nothing ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
> .
> .
> No, no, John-boy. I asked you to explain how the birth announcements
> were printed in two newspapers.
>
> How did Obama's mom do it, and show us proof that she did do it that
> way.
>

Nothing about the newspaper is worthy of any consideration, not by sane men, and certainly not by a court of law.

"Similarly, the newspaper announcements of Obama's birth do not prove he was born in Hawaii, since they could have been triggered by the grandparents registering the birth as Hawaiian, even if the baby was born elsewhere."

http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.printable&pageId=252833

If you are attempting to prove something with an announcement in a newspapers, from the 1960's, it is a sign you have and will lose whatever arguments you are attempting ...

Why would you not argue your case with valid documents, evidence and proofs?

You really need something concrete ... I don't think anyone is going to give much validity to newspapers, one way or another ...

Regards,
JS

3708. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 09:22:23 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <755d9c57-4604-4e10-82ae-00293a8a7c60@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231635
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 29, 6:54 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/29/2011 3:40 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Our fore fathers were all puppets for the illuminati/jesuits/the sun
> > worshippers are the puppeteers and all that will follow Barry
> > Little,will also be a puppet on the agenda to bring about One World
> > Government,it will be National Socilism under the guise of Democracy.
>
> > Democracy is said to be a government controled by the people. This is
> > a farce,We the Sheeple are not in control of our government,we are
> > meerly fooled into thinking we are being told the majority won and
> > this is accepted by the slaves as the reason things are the way they
> > are today,yet there is no real democracy its a sham and the
> > brainwashed citizens being controlled by Hitlers Flouride,Chemtrails
> > and emfs from HAARP are soo dumbed down they cant realize the
> > reality!
>
> This is all against the Constitution.
>
> The crooked public servants who are serving a shadow government, the
> only problem is, they are breaking the law.
>
> It makes no difference who wrote the laws we are willing to live by, the
> problem is the crooks breaking the laws -- that has always been a problem.
>
> Regards,
> JS

The Constitution went out the window in 1935.when Americas bankruptcy
was declared legal.All previous law cases were never again to be used
as a refference to the new sepreme law of the land.Everything has been
rattified not to use the original constitution as a legitament
document!

The Slave owners Constitution has be replaced with National Socialism
guidelines,to bring about One World Order/government.

3709. Re: Winning to lose!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 09:25:30 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <irvnqa$p4r$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231636
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/30/2011 2:22 AM, joeturn wrote:

> ...
> The Constitution went out the window in 1935.when Americas bankruptcy
> was declared legal.All previous law cases were never again to be used
> as a refference to the new sepreme law of the land.Everything has been
> rattified not to use the original constitution as a legitament
> document!
>
> The Slave owners Constitution has be replaced with National Socialism
> guidelines,to bring about One World Order/government.

Yeah, that is going to happen too ... over a bunch of dead patriots bodies!

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

3710. Re: Winning to lose!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 09:31:58 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e18191c7-e466-45db-b1b3-80e02a509d7d@dn9g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231637
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 30, 5:25 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/30/2011 2:22 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > The Constitution went out the window in 1935.when Americas bankruptcy
> > was declared legal.All previous law cases were never again to be used
> > as a refference to the new sepreme law of the land.Everything has been
> > rattified not to use the original constitution as a legitament
> > document!
>
> > The Slave owners Constitution has be replaced with National Socialism
> > guidelines,to bring about One World Order/government.
>
> Yeah, that is going to happen too ... over a bunch of dead patriots bodies!
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS

One of our fore fathers made sure of it.Read US.INC.goes to geneva in
1930.

Roosevelt destroied the original constituion!

http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html

3711. Re: Winning to lose!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 09:38:20 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6dbbadaa-41ef-4c34-aa74-bc6c252407a6@l26g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231638
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 30, 5:25 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/30/2011 2:22 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > The Constitution went out the window in 1935.when Americas bankruptcy
> > was declared legal.All previous law cases were never again to be used
> > as a refference to the new sepreme law of the land.Everything has been
> > rattified not to use the original constitution as a legitament
> > document!
>
> > The Slave owners Constitution has be replaced with National Socialism
> > guidelines,to bring about One World Order/government.
>
> Yeah, that is going to happen too ... over a bunch of dead patriots bodies!
>
> ROFLOL
>
> Regards,
> JS

It was Roosevelts job to destroy the original Constitution.They put
him in office to make sure it took place as planned!

Scroll down and read:
US.INC.GOES TO GENEVA 1930's

http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html

3712. Re: Winning to lose!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 09:43:13 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <10b53651-f1ac-4d2b-b288-a3676ed81bf3@cu4g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231639
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 30, 5:38 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 30, 5:25 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/30/2011 2:22 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > The Constitution went out the window in 1935.when Americas bankruptcy
> > > was declared legal.All previous law cases were never again to be used
> > > as a refference to the new sepreme law of the land.Everything has been
> > > rattified not to use the original constitution as a legitament
> > > document!
>
> > > The Slave owners Constitution has be replaced with National Socialism
> > > guidelines,to bring about One World Order/government.
>
> > Yeah, that is going to happen too ... over a bunch of dead patriots bodies!
>
> > ROFLOL
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> It was Roosevelts job to destroy the original Constitution.They put
> him in office to make sure it took place as planned!
>
> Scroll down and read:
> US.INC.GOES TO GENEVA 1930's
>
> http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Erie vs. Thompkins sealed our fate!

3713. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 09:50:03 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ee50f448-4f90-4e08-bd5f-6fd8878be5a9@j28g2000vbp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231640
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 30, 1:15 am, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 29, 8:00 pm, Howard Brazee <how...@brazee.net> wrote:
>
> > On Sun, 29 May 2011 15:54:17 -0700, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com>
> > wrote:
>
> > >The crooked public servants who are serving a shadow government, the
> > >only problem is, they are breaking the law
>
> > You have a funny idea of "the only problem" for what you are accusing.
>
> > --
> > "In no part of the constitution is more wisdom to be found,
> > than in the clause which confides the question of war or peace
> > to the legislature, and not to the executive department."
>
> > - James Madison
>
> COMMUNISM AND
> JEFFERSONIAN DEMOCRACY
> Copyright © 2008 Bob Avakian
>
> American Democracy: “They Kill People
> for Saying What You’re Saying”
> To begin—and to immediately touch on the basic reality of how this
> country is ruled and what its democracy actually amounts to—I want to
> recount a story I have told several times, because, in a simple and
> straightforward way, it concentrates so much of importance. Back in
> 1979, I went on a speaking tour in many of the major cities in the
> U.S., and in connection with that I made a number of media
> appearances. At one point I did a taped TV program, on which I was
> interviewed by several Black journalists in Cleveland. Right after the
> taping of this program, during which I had laid out clearly my
> revolutionary viewpoint, the woman who was moderating the program
> turned to me and said, very matter-of-factly: “My, you’re awfully
> brave.” Well, this sort of took me aback, so I asked her: “Why do you
> say that?” And she replied, in the same matter-of-fact tone: “You
> know, they kill people for saying what you’re saying.”
> As I have pointed out a number of times in telling this story, what is
> very significant about this exchange, and her comments in particular,
> is that she didn’t even say: “You know, they kill people for trying to

> do what you're talking about." She said simply: they kill people for
> saying what you're saying. In this, she was cutting right to the
> quick, and in fact getting right to the essence of "American
> democracy."
> And this was not just an odd comment from this particular Black
> journalist. It is not at all uncommon to hear comments of this kind
> from Black people and others who have had experience with the
> brutality and murder commonly carried out by the police, especially in
> the inner cities across America—or people who, in any case, have some
> sense of the actual history of this country and in particular the way
> it has dealt with those who are regarded, by the powers-that-be, as a
> significant threat, of one kind or another, to their rule. Among many
> such people there is, if not a profound scientific understanding,
> nevertheless a basic sense of the real nature of how things are run in
> this country—of the real relationship between the people who actually
> rule this society (however people think of that) and the people over
> whom they rule in running the society (however people understand
> that).
> This is the reason I feel it is important to recount this story
> frequently—not only because that journalist's comment hit me very
> sharply at the time and struck me as very incisive, but because it
> does capture in a very concentrated way some essential things which
> far too many people, including many formally educated people, are
> actually ignorant of—or choose to ignore.
> Another anecdote from "everyday life" also brings out this same basic
> point rather sharply, and unexpectedly. Recently, there was a story on
> ESPN/The Magazine online, by Scoop Jackson, a Black writer whose
> material has also appeared in publications such as Slam magazine. This
> article for ESPN/The Magazine was about Etan Thomas, a professional
> basketball player on the Washington Wizards (they can't be called the
> Washington Bullets anymore—can't have bullets in Washington other than
> those directed by the government). [Laughter] Etan Thomas is what they
> call a "role player" for the Wizards—he comes off the bench, scores a
> few points a game—he has talent but he's not a prominent player. And
> he is someone who, unfortunately, is kind of a rarity these days in
> professional sports in the U.S.: a progressive guy who is outspoken
> with his views. He has spoken at some of the anti-war rallies; he's
> written poetry condemning the Bush administration and politicians in
> general and speaking to the glaring contradiction between the way that
> they talk about things in society and the way things actually are. He
> has spoken about wanting to drag these politicians down to the inner
> cities and force them to see what really goes on there, what people
> there are put through. So, this article by Scoop Jackson is mainly
> about Etan Thomas's politics, but in the middle of this article
> Jackson comments that if Thomas averaged 30 points a game, he might be
> dead.
> Now, to be clear, Jackson doesn't mean that it's dangerous to score 30
> points a game, in the sense that the effort, the exertion involved in
> this, might kill him. No, the clear implication is that if Etan Thomas
> were a prominent player on the level of a Michael Jordan, capturing

> that kind of media attention and the imagination of masses of people—
> and if, from that position, he were saying the things he's saying—he
> would probably be assassinated by the powers--that-be. Thomas is not
> calling for revolution, but he is indicting certain outrages of the
> system—and if he were doing that as a really prominent player, they
> might very well kill him, because it's too dangerous to have someone
> with that level of prominence saying even the kinds of things that
> Thomas is saying.
> These "slices of life" do capture something very essential, and
> provide a kind of backdrop for a fuller discussion of the much-vaunted
> "American freedom and democracy," of the system that rules and shapes
> this society, and of the need for a radically different society and
> world.
> "Jeffersonian Democracy":
> Ideals, Illusions, and Reality
> As the title suggests, much of this discussion will unfold in relation
> to the ideas of Thomas Jefferson and the ideal of "Jeffersonian
> democracy," and the contrast between that and the viewpoint and
> program of communism—which represents, in reality, a far more
> liberating vision of human freedom.
> Jefferson, and his political philosophy, stand in a real sense as an
> emblem of what is in fact bourgeois democracy—and in reality bourgeois
> dictatorship—in the history of the United States of America. And, as
> Isaac Kramnick and R. Laurence Moore point out, while "America's
> historical memory" of Jefferson "has been rooted in distinct features
> of his protean career," for many people Jefferson "remains the
> spokesman of a radical and popular democracy never achieved in
> America."1 In other words, many people—and many progressive people, in
> particular—who acknowledge that historically there have been, and
> today there still remain, what they regard as serious "flaws" in the
> way democracy is actually practiced in the U.S., nevertheless cling to
> the notion that somehow if this system could actually be made to live
> up to its ideals, then it would indeed be the best of all possible
> systems, not only in conception but in reality. And many of these
> people cling to Jefferson as the personification of, as Kramnick and
> Moore put it, "a radical and popular democracy" which has "never
> [been] achieved in America," but which they long to see fulfilled.
> To put this in other—more blunt, and scientific—terms, Jefferson
> stands as a personification and a concentration of many of the
> illusions of people in the middle strata in particular, and more
> specifically many in the intelligentsia, who have not ruptured with,
> and in fact stubbornly cleave to, a bourgeois-democratic view of the
> world. And not only is this the case broadly in society, but it has
> even been true, believe it or not, in the history of the communist
> movement in this country. We have the phenomenon—which is both
> astounding and disgraceful, if you're coming at things from a
> genuinely communist perspective—of the old Communist Party, USA
> upholding Jefferson as a model. Even at the height of 1960s
> radicalism, if you went around the country looking for the CP, where
> could you find them? In their Jefferson Bookstores! This is a glaring

> example of how people who claim to be opposed to capitalism and the
> imperialism of the U.S.—and even some who claim to be communists—have
> wrapped themselves in the mantle of bourgeois democracy, particularly
> as that is personified by Thomas Jefferson. Back in the day, it used
> to be quite a lot of fun to get into a discussion with CP people about
> why they had Jefferson Bookstores. Besides the obvious fact that
> Jefferson was a slaveholder, there was the more general fact that
> Jefferson is a representative of the system that the CP claimed, at
> least, to be working to get rid of. And that's just the point: You
> cannot get rid of this system if you proceed on the basis of upholding
> and extolling one of the main representatives of that very system,
> someone who is indeed emblematic of what that system is all about.
> And, in reality, you cannot get rid of the egregious outrages that
> many do recognize are committed by the government of the United
> States, unless you get rid of the whole system of which these
> egregious outrages are a concentrated expression and of which this
> government—and in particular its executive power and armed forces—are
> an instrument and enforcer. You cannot change all this while at the
> same time clinging to the ideas and ideals that characterize this
> system and dominate this society—ideas and ideals of which Thomas
> Jefferson is, in fact, a fitting representative.
> Jefferson's ideal of a good and just society—
> and the reality of slavery
> Let's get into this further by examining Jefferson's notion of an
> agrarian model as the concentration of the good, just and virtuous
> society.2 This vision of Jefferson's involved a number ...
>
> read more »

I'll just post it here for the no clickers!

Declaration of Independence - 1776
Articles of Confederation - 1777
The Constitution for the United States, Its Sources and Its
Application
Undermining The Constitution - A History of Lawless Government
Our Enemy, The State
It Is Our Choice Who We Will Serve!
Senate Report 93-549, War and Emergency Powers Acts
Who Is Running America?

The Bankruptcy of America, the Corporate United States,
and the New World Order

From Archive Sources

Who is running America? Have you ever asked that question?
Under the doctrine of Parens Patriae, "Government As Parent", as a
result of the manipulated bankruptcy of the United States of America
in 1930, ALL the assets of the American people, their person, and of

our country itself are held by the Depository Trust Corporation at 55 Water Street, NY, NY, secured by UCC Commercial Liens, which are then monetized as "debt money" by the Federal Reserve. It may interest you to know that under the umbrella of the Depository Trust Corporation lies the CEDE Corporation, the Federal Reserve Corporation, the American Bar Association, the legal arm of the banking interests, and the Internal Revenue Service, the system's collection agency.

Now you know who is running America!
You might want to take exception to the name on the marquee at the entrance to 55 Water Street.

??? . . . "Tower of Power" . . . ???
Another thing to think about -- who owns the media and the news you are fed???
Guess Who??? An Independent Press??? Ha!!!

Did you ever hear of the Independent Treasury Act of 1920? No, you say.... Hmmmmmmm....?

The Independent Treasury Act of 1920 suspended the de jure (meaning "by right of legal establishment") Treasury Department of the United States government. Our Congress turned the treasury department over to a private corporation, which when seen in its true light, is a fascist monopolistic cartel, the Federal Reserve and their agents. The bulk of the ownership of the Federal Reserve System, a very well kept secret from the American Citizen, is held by these banking interests, and NONE is held by the United States Treasury:

Rothschild Bank of London
Rothschild Bank of Berlin
Warburg Bank of Hamburg
Warburg Bank of Amsterdam
Lazard Brothers of Paris
Israel Moses Seif Banks of Italy
Chase Manhattan Bank of New York
Goldman, Sachs of New York
Lehman Brothers of New York
Kuhn Loeb Bank of New York
The Federal Reserve is at the root of most of our present statutory regulations, "laws", in the control and regulation of virtually all aspects of human activity in the United States, through successively socialistic constructions laid upon the Commerce clause of the Constitution. Basically, the Federal Reserve is the "STATE" of the United States.

See "Our Enemy, The STATE" by Albert J. Nock - 1935, his Classic Critique Distinguishing "Government" from the "STATE."

See Also Charts in Text Format of Interlocking Directorships and Family Linkages taken from "Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of

Corporate and Banking Influence. Staff Report, Committee on Banking, Currency and Housing, House of Representatives, 94th Congress, 2nd Session, August 1976."

See Also Secrets of the Federal Reserve by Eustace Mullins.

Thomas Jefferson once said:

"I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies . . . If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks and corporations that will grow up around [the banks] . . . will deprive the people of all property until their children wake-up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered . . . The issuing power should be taken from the banks and restored to the people, to whom it properly belongs." -- Thomas Jefferson -- The Debate Over The Recharter Of The Bank Bill, (1809)

Jefferson's prophesy has come true.

How did this happen? ......Hmmmmm..... Well, that is going to take a while to explain.
All our law is private law, written by The National Law Institute, Law Professors, and the Bar Association, the Agents of Foreign Banking interests. They have come to this position of writing the law by fraudulently deleting the "Titles of Nobility and Honour" Thirteenth Amendment from the Constitution for the United States, creating an oligarchy of Lawyers and Bankers controlling all three branches of our government. Most of our law comes directly through the Hague or the U.N. Almost all U.N. treaties have been codified into the U.S. codes. That's where all our educational programs originate. The U.N. controls our education system.

The Federal Register Act was created by Pres. Roosevelt in 1935. Title 3 sec. 301 et seq. by Executive Order. He gave himself the power to create federal agencies and appoint a head of the agency. He then re-delegated his authority to make law (statutory regulations) to those agency heads. One big problem there, the president has no constitutional authority to make law. Under the Constitution re-delegation of delegated authority is a felony breach.

The president then gave the agencies the authority to tax. We now have government by appointment running this country. This is the shadow government sometimes spoken about, but never referred to as government by appointment. This type of government represents taxation without representation.

Perhaps this is why some people believe the Constitution was suspended. It wasn't suspended, it was buried in bureaucratic red tape.

Now, it is an historical fact that with the Declaration of Independence, to provide a united effort during and after the War for Independence, the Colonies as independent nations joined together under the Articles of Confederation, and as Independent Sovereign States drew up constitutions which formed governments to serve the people of each former colony. The Articles of Confederation, after a period of 8 years, were determined to have several flaws. The Congress of delegates called a Convention in 1787 to correct the flaws. The Convention, instead of modifying the Articles of Confederation as directed, in secret sessions took it upon themselves to write an entirely new Constitution, which when ratified by the State Conventions of the Freemen of the Individual States, created the Federal government to serve them in those areas where the States operating individually could not effectively serve. In this new Constitution the people and the States delegated to the Federal government certain responsibilities, reserving all rights not so enumerated to the States and to the People in the Tenth Amendment to the Constitution. As a consequence, the responsibility of the State became one of protecting the people from the tyranny of federal government, to insure that the federal government did not reach beyond the bounds of the Constitution. This worked fairly effectively, until 1933 when Roosevelt assumed office.

The Conference of Chief Justices, Conference of State Court Administrators, the National Associations of Attorney Generals, Secretaries of State and State Auditors, State Purchasing Offices, Lieutenant Governors, and State Legislators, and the Governors of the 50 states comprise the membership of the Council of State Governments. The Council of State Governments is located at 676 N. ST. Clair, Chicago, Illinois 60611.

The Council of State Governments has now been absorbed into the National Conference on Uniform State Laws run by the Bar Association.

The movement for uniform state laws dates back more than a century. The Alabama State Bar called for uniformity as early as 1881, but it was nearly a decade later, at the 12th annual meeting of the ABA in 1889, that the legal community made its formal motion to work for uniformity in the then 44 state union. New York was the first state to move, appointing three commissioners in 1890. Other states soon heeded the call: Delaware, Georgia, Massachusetts, Michigan, New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania attended the first Conference in Saratoga Springs, New York, in 1892. The commissioners wasted no time. They urged adoption of three acts and proposed raising the marrying age to 18 for males and 16 for females. They also adopted a table of weights and measures, noting that with the exception of wheat, legal weights of a bushel varied in all the states.

By the turn of the century, 33 states and two territories had appointed commissioners on uniform laws. In 1910, only Nevada and the Territory of Alaska still had not; they came aboard in 1912.

100 YEARS OF UNIFORM LAWS
An Abridged Chronology
1890 - New York state legislature passes first state act authorizing governor to appoint three commissioners. The American Bar Association (ABA)recommends that other states follow New York's lead.

1891 - Connecticut's Lyman D. Brewster named to chair newly-created ABA committee on uniform law. Pennsylvania, Michigan, Massachusetts, New Jersey and Delaware appoint commissioners.

1892 - First conference held in Saratoga Springs New York. Above states plus Georgia attend formal meeting.

1893 - Committees appointed on such subjects as wills, marriage and divorce, commercial law, descent and distribution.

1895 - Conference requests committee on commercial law be formed. Drafts, Negotiable Instrument Law, precursor to Article 3 of Uniform Commercial Code.

1896 - Negotiable Instrument Law approved by Conference. First time that a uniform act is adopted in every state and the District of Columbia.

1897 - For the first time, Commissioners urged to work toward enactment of uniform legislation in their states.

1898/1899 - Sessions devoted to the consideration of proposed divorce legislation.

1899 - At the end of the 1890s, 33 of the existing 45 states and two territories had appointed uniform law commissioners and eight uniform acts had been drafted, each enacted in at least one state. All these acts were subsequently superseded or declared obsolete.

1900 - Uniform Divorce Procedure Act adopted. Louis B. Brandeis begins five years of service as member of Massachusetts commission.

1901 - Woodrow Wilson begins tenure (until 1908) as commissioner from New Jersey.

1903 - ABA makes first appropriation in support of work of Conference. James Barr Ames of Harvard Law School commissioned to draft the Uniform Partnership Act.

1905 - Samuel W. Pennypacker, Pennsylvania Governor, invites other governors to send delegation to a national divorce conference--meets twice in 1906; three acts endorsed.

1906 - First roll call by states as Uniform Warehouse Receipts Act is approved. Legal scholar Roscoe Pound serves for one year as a commissioner from Nebraska.

1907 - Uniform Desertion Act and Non-Support Act and Uniform Marriage Act authorized. Act Regulating Annulment of Marriage of Divorce adopted. Also, Act Providing for the Return of Marriage Statistics, Act Providing for the Return of Divorce Statistics.

1908 - Work begins on Uniform Corporation Act.

1910 - Twenty uniform acts approved in decade of the teens. The Uniform Partnership Act, begun in 1906, was completed by William Draper Lewis, Dean of the University of Pennsylvania Law School.

1911 - Uniform Marriage and Marriage License Act and Uniform Child Labor Act approved.

1912 - Uniform Marriage Evasion Act adopted. Woodrow Wilson, commissioner from New Jersey from 1901 to 1908 elected U.S. President in a landslide.

1914 - Uniform Partnership Act completed. Will be adopted by all the states. Also Foreign Acknowledgement Act, Cold Storage Act, Workmens's Compensation Act.

1915 - Name changed to National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws. Constitution and by-laws completely revised. Each act now must be considered section by section during at least two annual meetings.

1916 - Uniform Limited Partnership Act as well as Extradition of Persons of Unsound Minds Act approved, also Land Registration Act.

1917 - Uniform Flag Act approved.

1918 - Uniform Fraudulent Conveyance Act approved.

1920 - Certain Acts withdrawn; others declared obsolete. After pruning, 26 acts remain as recommended for passage in state legislatures.

1930 - During the 30s, Conference adopts 31 acts.

1935 - Conference entered into agreement with American Law Institute for cooperative drafting of acts in area of common interest.

1936 - After revisions, withdrawals and acts declared obsolete, 53 uniform acts remained as recommended for approval.

On April 25, 1938, the Supreme Court overturned the standing precedents of the prior 150 years concerning "COMMON LAW" in the federal government.

"THERE IS NO FEDERAL COMMON LAW, AND CONGRESS HAS NO POWER TO DECLARE SUBSTANTIVE RULES OF COMMON LAW applicable IN A STATE, WHETHER they be LOCAL or GENERAL in their nature, be they COMMERCIAL LAW or a part of LAW OF TORTS." (See: ERIE RAILROAD CO. vs. THOMPKINS, 304 U.S. 64, 82 L. Ed. 1188)

The Common Law is the fountain source of Substantive and Remedial Rights, if not our very Liberties. The members and associates of the Bar thereafter formed committees, granted themselves special privileges, immunities and franchises, and held meetings concerning the Judicial procedures, and further, to amend laws "to conform to a trend of judicial decisions or to accomplish similar objectives", including hodgepodging the jurisdictions of Law and Equity together, which is known today as "One Form of Action." [See: Constitution and By Laws, Article 3, Section 3.3(c), 1990-91 Reference Book, see also Colorado Methods of Practice, West Publishing, Vol. 4, pages 2-3, Authors Comments.]

1939 - ABA gets more involved in approval of uniform law products. Thirty-nine acts are presented to the Board of Governors of the ABA for consideration and approval. During the same year, all acts on aeronautics and motor vehicles are eliminated as well as the Land Registration Act, Child Labor Act of 1930, Uniform Divorce Jurisdiction Act, Firearms Act, Marriage Act and more. Six acts are reclassified as Model acts.

1940 - At start of decade, after deletions, etc., 53 acts out of 93 which had been approved since the group's founding remain on the books. Drafting committee for the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) approved.

1941 - Speaking of the Commercial Code project, the Conference president states: "....this is the most important and the most far reaching project on which the conference has ever embarked." It would take the major part of the next 10 tear period to complete.

1942 - UCC effort begins in earnest with completion of work on the revised Uniform Sales Act.

1943 - Members of the conference participate in drafting committee in Washington, D.C. to work on legislation which the government might desire in connection with the war effort. No new acts.

1944 - Conference receives $150,000 grant from the Falk Foundation of Pittsburgh to support work on the UCC.

1945 - No annual meeting for the first time due to difficulties of civilian transport during the war.

1946 - Falk Foundation increases its support of the UCC with an additional $100,000.

1947 - Uniform Law Conference (ULC) and American Law Institute join in partnership to put all the components together for the UCC. Uniform Divorce Recognition Act approved.

1950 - Approval of the Uniform Marriage License Application Act, Uniform Adoption Act and the Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act (URESA). The latter has been one of the most successful ULC products.

1951 - On May 18, during a joint meeting with the American Law Institute in Washington, D.C., the UCC was approved. Later that year the ABA formally approved the code as well. Considered the outstanding accomplishment of the Conference, the Code remains the ULC's signature product.

One of the Uniform Laws drafted by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws and the American Law Institute governing commercial transactions (including sales and leasing of goods, transfer of funds, commercial paper, bank deposits and collections, letters of credit, bulk transfers, warehouse receipts, bills of lading, investment securities, and secured transactions), The Uniform Commercial Code (UCC), has been adopted in whole or substantially by all states. (See: Blacks Law, 6th Ed. pg. 1531) In essence, all court decisions are based on commercial law or business law and has criminal penalties associated with it. Rather than openly calling this new law Admiralty/Maritime Jurisdiction, it is called Statutory Jurisdiction.

America as a bankrupt nation is owned completely by its creditors.

The creditors own the Congress, they own the Executive, they own the Judiciary and they own all the State governments. Do you have a Birth Certificate? They own you too.

1952 - Uniform Rules of Criminal Procedure approved---first venture of the Conference into this area of the law.

1953 - Pennsylvania the first state to enact the UCC. Uniform Rules of Evidence adopted.

1954 - Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act approved.

1956 - Gift to Minors Act approved. Will be adopted in every state. For the first time, ULC enters the field of international law.

1957 - Massachusetts becomes second state to enact the UCC, after revisions by the Editorial Board.

1958 - Uniform Securities Act approved.

1960 - Uniform Paternity Act passed. by 1960, UCC enacted in Kentucky, Connecticut, New Hampshire and Rhode Island.

1961 - Permanent Editorial Board on the UCC formed---8 more states pass UCC. Constitution amended to provide that all members of Conference must be members of the bar.

1962 - Four more states adopt UCC, including New York. Probate Code project approved.

1963 - Third comprehensive law project approved, on retail installment sales, consumer credit, small loans and usury. Eleven more UCC states. William H. Renquist begins term as commissioner from Arizona; serves until 1968.

1964 - Special Committee of Uniform Divorce and Marriage laws recommends that a study of divorce law be authorized and that funds be sought. One more UCC state.

1965 - Divorce and Marriage Law committee instructed to commence drafting if funds can be obtained for the project. Thirteen more UCC states.

1966 - Five more UCC states.

1968 - Much of annual meeting devoted to the Uniform Consumer Credit Code and the Uniform Probate Code ---two projects nearing completion. By 1968, 49 states, the District of Columbia and U.S. Virgin Islands have enacted the UCC---only exception being Louisiana. A big year. Other developments in 1968: the Consumer Credit Code is approved as well as revisions to the Anatomical Gift Act, Child Custody Jurisdiction Act and revisions to URESA.

1969 - Probate Code approved. Preliminary analysis of the uniform marriage and divorce legislation distributed.

1970 - Controlled Substances Act and Uniform Marriage and Divorce Act approved.

1971 - Uniform Alcoholism and Intoxication Act approved.

1972 - Uniform Residential Landlord and Tenant Act, Disposition of Community Property Rights At Death Act and UMVARA, the Uniform Motor Vehicle Accident Reparations Act approved.

1973 - Uniform Parentage Act supersedes Paternity Act. Uniform Crime Victims Reparations Act approved.

1974 - Conference approves Rules of Criminal Procedure and Eminent Domain Code. Louisiana, the only state not to adopt the Uniform Commercial Code due to difficulties in reconciling its provisions with those of the Civil Code, adopts Articles 1,3,4,5,7, and 8.

1975 - Uniform Land Transactions Act approved.

1976 - Major revision of the Uniform Partnership Act approved; also Uniform Simplification of Land Transfers and Uniform Class Action Acts.

1978 - Uniform Brain Death and Uniform Federal Lien Registration Act approved.

1979 - Uniform Trade Secrets and Durable Power of Attorney acts among those approved.

1980 - Determination of Death Act supersedes 1978 Brain Death Act. Uniform Planned Community Act, Model Real Estate Time-Share Act and Model Periodic Payment of Judgments Act also adopted.

1981 - Two important updated acts approved: new Model State Administration Procedure and Unclaimed Property Acts. Also two new

acts: the Model Real Estate Cooperative Act and the Uniform Conservation Easement Act.

1982 - Uniform Condominium and Planned Community Acts and Model Real Estate Cooperative Act combined into the Uniform Common Interest Ownership act.

The enumerated, specified, and distinct Jurisdictions established by the ordained Constitution (1789), Article III, Section 2, and under the Bill of Rights (1791), Amendment VII, were further hodgepodged and fundamentally changed in 1982 to include Admiralty Jurisdiction, which was once again brought inland. This was the FUNDAMENTAL CHANGE necessary to effect unification of CIVIL and ADMIRALTY PROCEDURE. Just as 1938 Rules ABOLISHED THE DISTINCTION between Actions At Law and Suits in Equity, this CHANGE WOULD ABOLISH THE DISTINCTION between CIVIL ACTIONS and SUITS IN ADMIRALTY." (See: Federal Rules of Procedure, 1982 Ed., pg. 17. Also see Federalist Papers, No. 83, Declaration Of Resolves Of The First Continental Congress, Oct. 14th, 1774, Declaration Of Cause And Necessity Of Taking Up Arms, July 16, 1775, Declaration Of Independence, July 4, 1776, Bennet vs. Butterworth, 52 U.S. 669)

1983 - Uniform Marital Property Act and Uniform Premarital agreement Act approved. Uniform Transfers to Minors Act replaces the uniformly enacted Uniform Gifts to Minors Act.

1984 - Uniform Statutory Will Act approved; new Uniform fraudulent Transfer Act supersedes Fraudulent Conveyance Act of 1918.

1985 - Uniform Health-Care Information Act, Uniform Land Security Interest act, Uniform Personal Property Leasing Act and Uniform Rights of the Terminally Ill Act approved.

1986 - New drafting effort to revise Articles 3 and 4 of the UCC and draft new provisions begins.

1987 - Approval of the revised Uniform Anatomical Gift Act approved as well as new Uniform Custodial Trust Act, Uniform Construction Lien Act and Uniform Franchise and Business Opportunities Act. Also revision of Rules of Criminal Procedure.

1988 - Final approval of amendments to the Uniform Securities Act and amendments to Article 6 of the UCC dealing with bulk sales. Conference also approves Uniform Statutory Form Power of Attorney Act and Uniform Punitive and Unknown Fathers Act and takes on the controversial issue of surrogate mother contracts with Uniform Status of Children of Assisted Conception Act.

1989 - Article 4A of the UCC, dealing with electronic funds transfers, approved. Also approved: amendments to the Rights of the Terminally Ill Act, authorizing withdrawal of life support by a surrogate decision maker; the Uniform Pretrial Detention Act, confining violent criminals before trial; the Uniform Non-probate Transfers on Death Act

and amendments to Article VI of the Uniform Probate Code.

1990 - Major revision of 1970 Uniform Controlled Substances Act-- the law in 46 jurisdictions-- approved. Substantial revision of UCC Article 3 also approved, as well as an updated Article II of the Uniform Probate Code, to keep pace with current thinking on marital property.

This private corruption of the law has occurred despite the Constitutional responsibility conferred on Congress by Article I, Section 8 of the Federal Constitution which states that it is Congress that "makes all Laws."

What does that have to do with anything? Uniform Laws seem to be a good Idea.

Well now, that is a good question. Let us continue.....

An Expose On The Legal Fraud Perpetrated On All Americans
THE COURTS RECOGNIZE ONLY TWO CLASSES OF PEOPLE IN THE UNITED STATES TODAY: DEBTORS AND CREDITORS

The concept of DEBTORS and CREDITORS is very important to understand.

Every legal action where you are brought before the court: e.g. traffic ticket, property dispute or permits, income tax, credit cards, bank loans or anything else government might dream up to charge you where you find yourself in front of a court. It is an equity court, administrating commercial law having a debtor-creditor law as the controlling law. Today, we have an equity court but not an equity court as defined by the Constitution of the United States or any other legal documents before 1938.

All the courts of this once great land have been changed starting with the Supreme Court decision of 1938 in ERIE V. THOMPKINS. I'll give you background which led to this decision. There is a terrible FRAUD being perpetrated on all Americans. Please understand that this fraud is a 24 hour, 7 days a week, year after year continuous fraud. This fraud is constantly upon you all your life. It doesn't just happen once in a while. This fraud is perpetually and incessantly upon you and your family.

U.S. INC. GOES TO GENEVA 1930's
In order for you to understand just how this fraud works, you need to know the history of its inception.

It goes like this: From 1928 -1932 there were five years of Geneva conventions. The nations of the world met in Geneva Switzerland for 5 continuous years in order to set up what would be the policy of all the participating countries. During the year of 1930 the U.S., Great Britain, France, Germany, Italy, Spain, Portugal etc. all declared bankruptcy. If you try to look up the 1930 minutes, you will not find them because they don't publish this particular volume. If you try to

find the 1930 volume which contains the minutes of what happened, you will probably not find it. This volume has been pulled out of circulation or is hidden in the library and is very hard to find. This volume contains the evidence of the bankruptcy.

Going into 1932, they stopped meeting in Geneva. In 1932 Franklin Roosevelt came into power as President of the United States. Roosevelt's job was to put into place and administer the bankruptcy that had been declared two years earlier. The corporate government needed a key Supreme Court decision. The corporate United States government had to have a legal case on the books to set the stage for recognizing, implementing and supporting the bankruptcy. Now. this doesn't mean the bankruptcy wasn't implemented before 1938 with the Erie vs. Thompkins decision. The bankruptcy started in 1930-1931. The bankruptcy definitely started when Roosevelt came into office. He was sworn in during the month of January 1933. He started right away in the bankruptcy with what is known as 'The Banking Holiday," and proceeded in pulling the gold coin out of circulation. That was the beginning of the corporate United States Public Policy for bankruptcy.. Executive Orders 6073, 6102, 6111 & Executive Order 6260 "Trading With The Enemy Act."

ROOSEVELT STACKS SUPREME COURT
It is a known historical fact that during 1933 and 1937 - 1938, there was a big fight between Roosevelt and the Supreme Court Justices. Roosevelt tried to stack the Supreme court with a bunch of his pals. Roosevelt tried to enlarge the number of justices and he tried to change the slant of the justices. The corporate United States had to have one Supreme Court case which would support their bankruptcy problem.

There was resistance to Roosevelt's court stacking efforts. Some of the justices tried to warn us that Roosevelt was tampering with the law and with the courts. Roosevelt was trying to see to it that prior decisions of the court were overturned. He was trying to bring in a new order, a new procedure for the law of the land. See also The UCC Connection

THE CORPORATE UNITED STATES GOES BANKRUPT
A bankruptcy case was needed on the books to legitimize the fact that the corporate U.S. had already declared bankruptcy! This bankruptcy was effectuated by compact that the corporate several states had with the corporate government (Corporate Capitol of the several corporate states). This compact tied the corporate several states to corporate Washington D.C, (the headquarters of the corporation called "The United States").

Since the United States Corporation, having established its headquarters within the District of Columbia, declared itself to be in the state of bankruptcy, it automatically declared bankruptcy for all its subsidiaries who were effectively connected corporate members (who happened to be the corporate state governments of the Union). The

corporate state governments didn't have to vote on the bankruptcy. The bankruptcy automatically became effective by reason of the Compact/ Agreement between each of the corporate state governments and THE MOTHER CORPORATION. (Note: the liberty of using the term "Mother Corporation" to communicate the interconnected power of the corporate Federal government relative to her associated corporate States has been taken.

It is Historical knowledge that the original Union States created the Federal Government, however, for all practical purposes, the Federal government has taken control of her "Creators", the States.) She has become a beast out of control for power. She has for her trade names the following: "United States", "U.S.", "U.S.A.", "United States of America", Washington D.C., District of Columbia, Feds. and Federal Government. She has her own U.S. Army, Navy, Air Force, Marines, Parks, Post Office etc. etc. etc. Because she is claiming to be bankrupt, she freely gives her land, her personnel, and the money she steals from the Americans via the IRS. and her state corporations, to the United Nations and the International Bankers as payment for her debt. The UN and the International Bankers use this money and services for various world wide projects, including war.

War is an extremely lucrative business for the bankers of the New World Order. Loans for destruction. Loans for re-construction. Loans for controlling people in her new world order.

THE U.S. INC. DECLARES BANKRUPTCY
The corporate U.S. then, is the head corporate member, who met at Geneva to decide for all its corporate body members. The corporate representatives of the corporate several states were in attendance. If the states had their own power to declare bankruptcy regardless of whether Washington D.C. declared bankruptcy or not, then the several states would have been represented at Geneva. The several states of America were not represented. Consequently, whatever Washington D.C. agreed to at Geneva was passed on automatically, via compact to the several corporate states as a group, association, corporation or as a club member; they all agreed and declared bankruptcy as one government corporate group in 1930. The several states only needed a representative at Geneva by way of the U.S. in Washington D.C. The delegates of the corporate United States attended the meetings and spoke for the several corporate states as well as for the Federal Corporate Government. And, presto, BANKRUPTCY was declared for all!

From 1930 to 1938 the states could not enact any law or decide any case that would go against the Federal Government. The case had to come down from the Federal level so that the states could then rely on the Federal decision and use this decision within the states as justification for the bankruptcy process within the states.

UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE EMERGES AS LAW OF THE LAND
Ah, Ha, are you beginning to get the picture?
By 1938 the corporate Federal Government had the true bankruptcy case

they had been looking for. Now, the bankruptcy that had been declared back in 1930 could be upheld and administered. That's why the Supreme Court had to be stacked and made corrupt from within. The new players on the Supreme Court fully understood that they had to destroy all other case law that had been established prior to 1938. The Federal Government had to have a case to destroy all precedent, all appearance, and even the statute of law itself. That is, the Statutes at large had to be perverted. They finally got their case in Erie vs. Thompkins. It was right after that case that the American Law Institute and the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws listed right in the front of the Uniform Commercial Code, began creating the Uniform Commercial Code that is on our backs today. Let us quote directly from the preface of the Official Text of the Uniform Commercial Code 12th Edition:

"The Code was originally approved by its sponsors and the American Bar Association in 1952, and was revised in 1958 to incorporate a number of changes that had been recommended by the New York Law Revision Commission and other agencies. Subsequent amendments that were deemed desirable in light of experience under the Code were approved by the Permanent Editorial Board in 1962 and 1966"

The above named groups and associations of private lawyers got together and started working on the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC). It was somewhere between 1938 and 1940, I don't recall, but by the early 40's and during the war, this committee was working to form the UCC and getting it ready to go on the market. The UCC is the Law Merchant's code for the administration of the bankruptcy. The UCC is now the law of the land as far as the courts are concerned. This Legal Committee of lawyers put everything: Negotiable Instruments, Security, Sales, Contracts, and the whole mess under the UCC. That's where the "Uniform" word comes from. It means it was uniform from state to state as well as being uniform with the District of Columbia.

It doesn't mean you didn't have the uniform instrument laws on the books before this time. It means the laws were not uniform from state to state. By the middle 1960's, every state had passed the UCC into law. The states had no choice but to adopt newly formed Uniform Commercial Code as the Law of the Land. The states fully understood they had to administrate Bankruptcy. Washington D.C. adopted the Uniform Commercial Code in 1963, just six weeks after President John F. Kennedy was killed.

YOUR LAWYER'S SECRET OATH???
What was the effect and the significance of Erie vs. Thompkins case decision of 1938? The significance is that since the Erie Decision, no cases are allowed to be cited that are prior to 1938. There can be no mixing of the old law with the new law. The lawyers, who are members of the American Bar Association, were and are currently under and controlled by the Lawyer's guild of Great Britain, created, formed, and implemented the new bankruptcy law. The American Bar Association

is a franchise of the Lawyer's Guild of Great Britain.

Since the Erie vs. Thompkins case was decided, the practice of law in this country was never again to be the same. It has been reported, that every lawyer in existence, and every lawyer coming up has to take a "secret" oath to support bankruptcy. As Officers of the Court they have sworn to uphold the law as it exists, and as they have been taught. In so doing, not only do the lawyers promise to support the bankruptcy, but the lawyers and judges promise never to reveal who the true creditor/party is in the bankruptcy proceedings (if, indeed, many of them are even aware or know). In court, there is never identification and appearance of the true character and principle of the proceedings. If there is no appearance of the true party to the action, then there is no way the defendant is able to know the TRUE NATURE AND CAUSE OF THE ACTION. You are never told the true NATURE AND CAUSE OF WHY YOU ARE IN FRONT OF THEIR COURT. The court is forbidden to tell you that information.

That's why, if you question the true nature and cause, the judge will tell you "It's not my job to tell you. You are not retaining me as an attorney and I can't give you legal advice from the bench. I suggest you hire a lawyer."

HIRE A LAWYER?
The problem here is, if you hire a lawyer who is pledged not to reveal the true nature and the cause, how will you ever find out the nature and the cause? YOU WON'T! If the true nature and the cause of the action against you is revealed, it will expose the real creditor from whom this action and cause came. In other words, they will have to name the TRUE creditor. The true creditor will have to state the nature and the cause. The true creditor will have to say "It's a bankruptcy proceeding." The true creditor will have to say, "I'm the creditor and he's the debtor."

That declaration would open the door for you to question "Who the hell are you? How did you get attached to my back and by what vehicle did I promise to become a debtor to you?" In this country, the courts on every level, from the justice of the peace level all the way up..... even into the International law arena, (called the World Court), are administrating the bankruptcy and are pledged not to reveal who the true creditors really are and how you personally became pledged as a party or participant to the corporate United States debt. What would really kill these people off, would be to compel the International Bankers to send a lawyer into the courtroom and present himself as the attorney for THE TRUE CREDITOR, THE INTERNATIONAL BANKERS. THEN, HAVE THE ATTORNEY PUT INTO THE RECORD THE TRUE NATURE AND CAUSE OF THE PROCEEDING AGAINST YOU ON THAT PARTICULAR DAY.

The International Bankers told these various countries that they were now in a state of bankruptcy. The countries had been taken over by the creditor/bankers. And there was no choice, but for all these participating countries to declare bankruptcy. If they didn't agree to

declare bankruptcy, the bankers threatened to collapse the economies and thereby put the countries back into the depression like the one from which they were just emerging. The bankers made an offer they couldn't refuse. To review and elaborate: In 1930 there was a world wide depression.

The Bankers said, "Look. You can do it either of two ways. The easy way or the hard way." "You just accept the bankruptcy and we'll let you out of the depression. If you don't, you're on your own." So all the countries involved agreed, because they realized that the International bankers had them by the throat. The countries therefore agreed that over a period of several years that they would pass statutes and legislation for the implementation of the bankruptcy in favor of the international bankers.

Now, it would probably be correct to say that the key bankers were the Rothschild's and their agents by way of Rockefeller, by way of the Federal Reserve Bank. Who the bankers were is immaterial. The fact remains that there was an International bankruptcy, and an International conspiracy to cover it up. There was a banking creditor who made the offer; the countries accepted the offer in order to enable the representative countries to continue without revolution and to allow the politicians to remain comfortably in place. Under a delusion of solvency the countries were allowed to continue to operate as though they were solvent; while in fact, the representative countries were bankrupt.

THE SNARE
The bankruptcy scheme was/is an extremely clever and diabolical plan. How did they possibly pull this scheme off in the area of real estate? The bankers did it with real estate, the same way they did it in the area of Federal Income Taxes. These Foreign bankers simply and deceptively devised ways and means to con you into declaring yourself as a "CITIZEN" or a "RESIDENT" of the corporate U.S. Remember the corporate United States is Bankrupt per agreement and public policy. After you have been tricked into claiming you are one of their corporate United States Citizens, you are given a social security number which ties you to certain meager "benefits" and "privileges."Then, the bankers con your employer to function as an unpaid tax collector to con you into filling out their W-4 intangible property gift forms and 1040 voluntary agreements.

These slick paper agreements establish your "voluntary" indebtedness to the banker creditor. If at any time you decide to balk at this scheme because you don't like it, the real creditor never has to make an appearance in court to list the true nature and cause of the action which is being brought against you. You end up dealing with an agency. The agency can conveniently grant itself immunity from prosecution because all it is doing (without your knowledge, of course) is administrating the bankruptcy to which the government agreed to per the Geneva meetings.

The court system never lets you put the original creditor on the courtroom stand, so you can ask him how he got attached to your back. The system is set up in such a way that the true creditor is protected and never has to make an appearance and never has to answer any of your questions or produce documents. Therefore, the true creditor never has to produce the law that gives him the right to pledge you (your body and labor) into indebtedness (bondage/servitude).

Why? Because the Geneva agreement in 1930 was done by treaty. The bankruptcy was not done by legislation. The agreement came first; signed in secrecy, THEN Congress began to pass legislation to fulfill the bankruptcy obligation required by the treaty. Legislation being passed by Congress was henceforth and is thereby bankruptcy legislation. When cases came before the courts, the courts could make decisions based on the new controlling law of bankruptcy. It had nothing to do with Constitutional rights. Now, any case brought in is under the new bankruptcy law and is not considered as a true constitutional case. It is now a bankrupty case as distinct from, but cleverly disguised as a constitutional case.

THE FRAUD
The members of the Supreme Court, of course, realized what was happening to them and the system of law. The court was being asked to perform in a creditor, debtor bankrupt proceeding to the benefit of the banker creditors. The members of the Supreme Court said, "NO. We will not give you a bankrupt proceeding decision that you can then enforce against everybody; a decision not only effecting corporate Washington D.C. but also having effect within the corporate state governments."

This, by the way, is fraud. It wouldn't be fraud if the government of corporate Washington D.C. and the government of the several corporate states declared bankruptcy then let the people know about the bankruptcy. (Notice: when I say corporate "government" I don't mean you and me. You and I are not the corporate government. The corporate government is the corporate capital of the corporate state. The government is a neutral government zone known as the corporate capital of the corporate state. The government is where the corporate state is. It is corporate headquarters. Just like corporate Washington D.C. is the seat of the corporate Federal Government. The capital of the corporate state is the seat of the corporate state government. If the corporate Federal Government and her subsidiary corporate state governments want to join forces and declare bankruptcy that's not fraud. This is their corporate business.

However, it is fraud when those two corporate entities declare bankruptcy but do not disclose to you, me, and every other American, that they have so declared bankruptcy.

Further they have not and do not disclose that their intention is to get you and every other American in this country to pledge to pay off their corporate debt to their corporate creditors. The corporate bankruptcy is the corporate state and federal responsibility, not the

responsibility of Americans, The People.

U.S. INC. IS DISTINCT AND SEPARATE FROM PRIVATE AMERICANS
"We the People" who created and signed the contract/compact/agreement/ charter of, by, and for the Constitutional Corporation (U.S.) using the trade name of the "United States of America," is a corporate entity (legal fiction) which is DISTINCT AND SEPARATE from Americans or the unenfranchised people of America. The private natural American people did not create the corporation of the United States. The United States Inc. did not create the private natural American people. America and Americans were in existence prior to the creation of the United States Corporation. The United States Corporation has located its U.S. headquarters in Washington D.C.

Virginia State (state territory) gave land to the newly formed United States Corporation. Notice here, we have a state giving something of value (land) to the United States. The United Stales Corporation agreed in the Constitutional contract, to protect the States. Instead, because of their bankruptcy (Corporate U.S. Bankruptcy) this particular U.S. corporation has enslaved the States and the people by deception and at the will of their foreign bankers with whom they have been doing business. Our forefathers gave their lives and property to prevent enslavement.

Today, we are again enslaved. Private natural American people have been tricked, deceived, and set-up to carry the U.S. Inc. perpetual corporate debt under bankruptcy laws. Every time Americans appear in court, the corporate U.S. bankruptcy is being administrated against them without their knowledge and lawful consent. That is FRAUD.

All corporate bankruptcy administration is done by "Public Policy" of by and for the Mother Corporation (U.S. Inc.).

THE MOTHER CORPORATION'S "PUBLIC POLICY"
The corporate bankruptcy is carried out under the corporate public policy of the corporate Federal Government in corporate Washington D.C. The states use state public policy to carry out Federal public policy of Washington D.C. Public policy and only public policy is being administered against you in the corporate courts today. The public policy that is dictated by all the courts, from the smallest to the most powerful courts in the world, is public policy. This is why I said, in another tape that the Russian people would be enslaved into indebtedness. What will happen is that it will become public policy in Russia to have the people go into joint corporate debt. The Russians will be forced to promise to pay those debts. They will be forced to pay off on those corporate debts. Corporate public policy is the crux of the whole bankruptcy implementation. Corporate public policy is forever a Corporate public policy and the laws that have passed since 1938 are all corporate public policy laws dealing only with corporate public policy. Understand that U.S. corporate public policy is not an American public policy. The public policy is OF, (belonging to) the United States corporation. This U.S. corporate bankruptcy public

policy is not OF (belonging to) America, the Republic.

The Erie vs. Thompkins 1938 case was a decision based upon public policy. All decisions at any level since 1938, have been public policy decisions. All statutes, rules, regulations, and procedures that have been passed, whether civil or criminal, whether it is Federal or State, have all been passed to implement the public policy of bankruptcy. Since 1933, when FDR came into office, he brought in public policy. He established that it was the public policy of the overnment to call in all the gold. It was the public policy of the government to declare a banking holiday. It was the public policy of the Government in Washington D.C., (the Federal Government) to give out government assistance. Public policy operates the same within the states. All Federal court decisions can only be handed down if the states support Federal public policy. The state legal system must be compatible with the Federal legal system.

THE MONKEY-WRENCH
This is why, when people like us go to court without being represented by a lawyer, we throw a monkey-wrench into their corporate administrative proceedings. Why? Because all public policy corporate lawyers are pledged to up-hold public policy, which is the corporate U.S. administration of their corporate bankruptcy. That's why you'll find stamped on many if not all our briefs, "THIS CASE IS NOT TO BE CITED IN ANY OTHER CASE AND IS NOT TO BE REPORTED IN ANY COURTS." The reason for this notation is that when we go in to defend ourselves or file a claim we are not supporting the corporate bankruptcy administration and procedure. The arguments we put forth predate 1938.

We come in with Constitutional law etc. All these early cases support our rights not to be in bankruptcy. However, the corporate court, lawyers, and judges have promised to give no judicial recognition of any case before 1938.

THE INTERNATIONAL BANKERS'
CORPORATE PLANTATION
U.S.A. STYLE
Before 1938, the law was not a public policy law. All these old cases were not public law deciding cases. Today, the cases are all decided under corporate public policy. The public policy exists in order to administer the bankruptcy for the benefit of the banker creditors and to protect the banker creditor.

Corporate public policy can allow the creditor to say to the corporate legislatures, "I want a law passed requiring my debtors to wear seat belts. Why? Because I want to be able to milk my debtors for the longest period possible."

It doesn't behoove the creditor to allow all of his labor producing debtors die at an average age 30 years. What would happen to the bankers' lending, interest, penalties, increase, repayment etc., on

the entire funding and lending process if the average American life span was only 30 years? Why, the bankers would have to have 2 1/2 times the current consumer population to equal their current take. The bankers would need (instead of 250 million Americans) 600 million or even more. Maybe the bankers would need 2 Billion Americans because the individual can't contract for debt until he/she is 18 or 21 years of age. Therefore, if the average life span is only a 30 year period, the creditor could collect on the debt for only 12 years.

Now, if the bankers can just get people to live an average of 70 years) you are talking a whopping 50 years of indebtedness for which they contract and for which they are forced to pay back with usury/ interest. With this situation, the banker creditor can now float loans worth 50 years of potential indebtedness and its payoff with interest in the name of the people, as opposed to 9 to 12 years.

The creditors and their property and their people are well taken care of. The creditor doesn't want the population to decrease per se, unless, it is convenient for the debtor to run up debts in another's name and then liquidate that debtor or that group of debtor people. For example let's consider the AIDS problem today among the black people. What better group to inject AIDS into than the black people?

Read the Strecker Memorandum on AIDS and the World Health Organization connection. This documents their tainted vaccination program in Africa and elsewhere. Why not kill them off? Don't you understand that the blacks as a whole have absorbed all the debt that they can? The blacks have reached the maximum of the debt that they can carry. In fact, they have gone over their limit to pay back. They are now heavily into welfare, public housing, medicaid, medicare, food stamps etc.. Now, the situation is that instead of paying off the creditor, they have become a drain on the creditor. The creditor must now pay them to live and take care of them. What creditor in his right mind wants to spend money on a bunch of people from whom he can't collect any revenue?

The corporate public policy of the corporate United States and the states and the county and of the cities are that YOU must take care of these people. You must provide them with welfare etc. Why? Because when you, as a member of the corporate body politic allow laws to be passed which says the minorities must be taken care of, then the corporate legislature can say the public policy is that the people want these people taken care of. Therefore, when given the chance, the legislature can say the public policy is that the people want these blacks and poor whites to be taken care of and given a chance, therefore, we must raise taxes to fund all these benefits, privileges and opportunities.

This is what these people need to make them socially, politically, and economically equal with everyone else. The legislatures have passed all kinds of statutes providing for huge indebtedness and they float the indebtedness off your backs because you have never gone into court to challenge them by telling them it is not your public policy to

assume the debts of other people. On the contrary, all the court decisions coming put, indicate it is the corporate public policy and it is your willingness to support the corporate public policy to pay off these debts.

Remember, "public" means of and for the corporate Government. It does not mean of and for private people. "Public" means corporate government. It is corporate government policy. When they talk about public debt, they are talking about corporate government debt and your presumed pledge against this corporate created debt.

THE REAL ESTATE SNARE
How do they work this scheme in the area of real estate? These banker creeps have made an agreement that it is corporate public policy, that all land (property) be pledged to the creditor to satisfy the debt of the bankruptcy, which the creditor claims under bankruptcy. They get away with this the same way they get away with any other case that is brought before the court, whether it is a traffic ticket, IRS, or whatever.

Here is how it works. You have signed instruments giving information and jurisdiction to the bankers through their agents. The instruments (forms) you signed include, but are not limited to the following: social security registration, use of the social security number, IRS forms, driver license, traffic citation, jury duty, voter registration, using their address, zip code, U.S. postal service, a deed, a mortgage application, etc. etc. The bankers then use that instrument (document) under the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) as a contract/agreement. These documents are considered promissory contract where you promise to perform. This scheme involves you, without you ever becoming directly in contact or in contract with the true creditor. What's more, you are never informed as to whom that true creditor is and it is never divulged to you the true nature and the true cause of the paperwork that you are filling out.

If you will examine your real estate deed, you will find that you promised to pay taxes to the corporate government. On property you originally acquired through a mortgage, you will notice that the bank never promised to pay taxes. You did. The corporate government at all levels never promised to pay taxes to the creditor. You did.

In tax and collection problems relating to real estate being enforced against you, you will notice that there is no mention in the mortgage or the deed stating the true nature and cause of the action. Since you have made the promise to perform, you get a bill every year for property taxes. You don't realize that the only way they can bill you for taxes is through your own stupidity of agreeing to pay the tax. You volunteered. They took advantage of you, conning you to promise to pay properly taxes. When they send you their bill, they are coming against you for the collection of the promise you made to the creditor.

Now the creditor on the paperwork appears that it is the local bank. The bank has loaned you credit. The bank hasn't loaned you anything. It is not their credit to loan. This is why the bank can't loan credit. There is a credit involved, but not the bank's credit. It is the credit of the International Bankers. The International bankers are making you the loan based upon their operation of bankruptcy claim which they presume to have against you personally as well as your property. Now, let's say you get a tax bill and you decide "I'm not going to pay it." You will find that the courts and the lawyers and the county agencies are set up to protect the true creditor simply by not identifying the creditor. By not being identified as the true creditor, the international banker can make you a credit loan that has no value in reality.

In the case of real property, he claims to loan you the use of your own property for which you pay a tax as rent. He is allowed to do this because you are presumed by statutory law and the banker to be in bankruptcy. This fraud is not revealed because he does not have to make an appearance in court to present and defend his claim. His name is not mentioned in the case.

Let's say you are not aware of your remedies provided for you within the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC). The UCC provides or allows you to dishonor the county's presentment of the tax bill. You don't pay your tax bill. You, therefore, just sit on it and don't do or say anything. A couple of years go by and all of a sudden you are being sent letters to pay up what is owed or else in a certain period of time, your property will be taken from you and put up for tax sale.

Now here is what is interesting..... If you don't pay your tax bill and they contact you asking you to pay it and you don't do it, they will declare that you are in default. It is based on that default, as provided for in the UCC, that they sell your property for the tax (rent).

However, the county never goes into court to put into the record the identification of the real creditor. And the county does not state the true nature and cause of the action against you (bankruptcy action disguised as a tax action). Why? Because, under bankruptcy implementation, they have developed a legal procedure which is based upon your promise to pay. This procedure provides that they don't have to come to the court to get a court order authorizing the sale of your property. Therefore, the real creditor never makes an appearance in court.

The reality is, you are denied any possibility of appearing in court to exercise your right to challenge the creditor. To ask if he became the creditor under "public policy." To ask if it is under "public policy", just what is the "public policy?" And how did you (as an international banker) become "creditor" to me and everyone else in this country (American people). They don't want you to ask the real creditor (the International Bankers), to produce the documents upon which your personal debt is established. If they were forced to go

into court, they would have to produce the deed or mortgage showing you knowingly, willingly, and voluntarily promised to pay the corporate public debt. You did not knowingly, willingly, and voluntarily promise to pay any U.S. Corporate Bankruptcy obligation made in the 1930's.

This would, of course, expose their racket. The fact is, that, there was absolutely no debt connected to you until you agreed to it through their deception and fraud. The deception in a broader sense, permeates the education system and the news media, etc., to sell you on the idea that you are a statutory "U.S. citizen" and "resident of the United States." (INCORPORATED).

YOUR SIGNATURE IS YOUR MOST VALUABLE PROPERTY
Your property is pledged for the rest of your life upon your signature and your promise to perform is pledged into perpetual debt. The bankers don't even bother to go to court They leave it up to the agencies to administer the agency corporate public policy. It is the public policy of that agency to bill you on your promise to perform. If you don't pay, they follow up on the public policy on notice of default and give you one more chance to pay. Then they proceed to sell the property at a tax auction. They never go to court or appear in court to back up their claim against you. Did any of your government licensed and controlled teachers ever stress that your signature is your most valuable personal property? Did your government teachers ever tell you that any time you sign any document, you should sign it "without prejudice," or with "All Rights Reserved" above your signature. This means you are reserving your God given unalienable rights which cannot be transferred and all other rights for which your forefathers died.

The Corporate U.S.. Government provides, or at best pretends to provide for this reservation of rights under the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) 1-207 and 1-103. You need more information in this area. It is not in the best interest of the United States Corporate "PUBLIC" schools to teach you about their bankruptcy proceedings and how they have set the snare to Compel you into paying their debt. The Corporate "PUBLIC" schools are strictly designed for their Corporate citizen/ subjects. That is. the Corporate U.S.. Public School citizens.

Notice all the emphases on being a "good" Citizen. Basically all their teachers and their students are trained to produce labor and material in exchange for valueless green paper called "money." It is not money, it functions "AS" money. Lawful money must be backed by something of value. Bankers take your labor, services, and material (homes, cars, farms, etc.) in exchange for their valueless corporate paper. This paper is backed only by the "full faith and Confidence of the United States Government" THE MOTHER CORPORATION.

I do not have faith or confidence in the U.S. BANKRUPT CORPORATE GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRATORS WHO HAVE PERVERTED THEIR Constitutional CHARTER, enslaving the sovereign American people into their bankruptcy

obligations. Their fraudulent money laundering process promotes your payment on the corporate government's bankruptcy debt. This debt is mathematically impossible to pay Off. You and your family are in continual financial bondage to the international bankers. They love it so!

Black's Law Dictionary 1990, defines "Money Changers" as: .....business of a banker... today handled by the international departments of banks." Let me think for a moment, what did Christ do to the Money Changers." Oh, Yes, he severely interfered with their activity. Three days later he was crucified. Lincoln was killed for interfering with the money changers. Kennedy was slaughtered for interfering with the money changers.

Let's return to the subject of your property, and the tax sale for not paying property taxes. In this situation under a standard deed (not common law deed) you are actually in default. Not because you understand the default or you like being in default, you just are in default of the tax payment. So they put your property up for sale. At the tax sale, Joe Doe, average American, bids on your property and gets it. Now, there is a procedure he must go through step by step to establish. He is required to give you another chance. You have six months and a day to pay off the default. If, at this time, you pay off the amount the county says you owe, plus penalties, interest, fines, etc., then your property is taken off default status and it is yours to continue to pay taxes on the next year.

THE COVER-UP
There was a deal struck that, if any person who doesn't have a lawyer to bring a case before the courts, and this person proves the fraud, and speaks the truth about the fraud, the courts are compelled to not allow the case to be cited or published anywhere. The courts cannot afford to have the case freely available in the public archives. This would be evidence of the fraud. That is why you can't hire an attorney. An attorney is compelled to uphold the fraud.

"TRUST ME"
"I'm Here To Help You."
"I Have The Governments Permission To Practice Law."
"I'm A Member of the Bar."
The attorney is there for one reason. That reason is to make sure the bankruptcy scam (established by the corporate public policy of the corporate Federal Government) is upheld. The lawyer's will cite no cases for you that will go against the bankruptcy in corporate public policy. Whatever the lawyers do for you is a bunch of Bull Shit. The lawyers have to support the bankruptcy and public policy even at your expense. The lawyers can't go against the corporate Federal Government statutes implementing, protecting and administrating the bankruptcy.

For all cases cited, those in the US Code or the state annotated code or any other source, you may be sure that they are only those selected cases that support the public policy of bankruptcy. The legal system

has to work that way. After the last 30-40-50-60 years of cases after cases having been decided based upon upholding the bankruptcy, how could the legal system possibly allow someone to come into court and put in the record substantial information and argument to prove the fraud?

BLOOD IN THE STREETS?
Can you imagine how damaging it would be, if they allowed your case to be cited in another case, or if they allowed the public to examine a copy of your brief that exposes evidence of the fraud? This exposure would render null and void everything for which they have worked so hard. Wouldn't this exposure make the people mad? Wouldn't this exposure mean there would be blood running in the streets? Especially the cities where the poor people have been really taken by this diabolical system. What they are concerned about is that the case never be cited. That goes against the bankruptcy for fear of exposing the bankruptcy and the people will then pick up their guns and shoot the SOB's.

ATTENTION: LAW STUDENT!
You said you wanted to be a lawyer. Well, I hope you've read this carefully, because here is the legal system you're headed to serve, and serve you will. You say you wanted to be a lawyer so you can find out what oath they're taking, in "secret", behind closed doors in solemn preparation for the "business of the court" as judges and lawyers.

Now you know the oath. The oath is simply to uphold the bankruptcy. If you want to be a lawyer and want to make a living as a lawyer, be careful. They will weed you out at the beginning if you don't bring in your paperwork under the bankruptcy procedures. If you try to defend your clients and try to help your clients they will get rid of you. They will pull your license. So you spent all that money and time going to school under the guise of helping people and you're wasting your time. Without a license you can't go into a courtroom. I would think about this if I were you.

THE LAWYERS GUILD CONNECTION
Here is what happens. The American Bar Association is a franchise of the Lawyers Guild of Great Britain. The American Bar Association is not connected primarily with what happens in any case on the local level. However, when a case leaves the local level, by that is meant, the state court, city court or the justice of the peace, or even the federal court; and goes to the appeal's court, it would appear that the American Bar Association takes notice of the case. It would seem that the American Bar Association must have an agreement that any action brought on appeal, must be reviewed by the American Bar Association. If this is true, it would make sense. How else would the American Bar Association, a branch of the Lawyers Guild of Great Britain, which is the legal arm of the Rothschild's Dynasty, be able to monitor and administer the corporate bankruptcy. It would appear

that the American Bar Association would be compelled to review all appeal cases and to make certain any case brought under common law or the constitutional law that would expose the bankruptcy, would be immediately stamped on the back that "this case is not to be cited or published." I believe that this is the stamp origin and purpose of the stamp message in such cases. The justice department may be able to do that in Washington D.C.. I can't see where any judge or lawyer could have the authority to stamp or label the case as one not to be cited for future cases. I think that is an official stamp from the American Bar Association.

THE BANKRUPTCY ACCOUNTING SYSTEM
Now, Mr/Ms. Law Student, if you're still attending classes and you have a good professor, ask him/her about just where the stamp comes from that you've seen on many cases. Just who put it on the paperwork and just who authorized the citation restriction. Just who is tampering with the law. There is one thing certain the creditor and or his agents are watching these cases very carefully. The creditor and his agents must balance their books. When you think of the IRS, be aware that the IRS is an agent of the creditor, the corporate International Bankers. This is just one of the Bankers' state side agencies. The General Accounting Office (GAO) is another agency they use for this country.

This is where all the accounting goes on to keep track of the debt. All the states have to send reports to Washington D.C. Washington D.C. has to send reports to the (GAO). Take a look at your state Comptroller's Annual Report to the Governor of your state. I found it in the library located in the city of the corporate state capital. Look under "Trust Fund" for each state sub-corporation like the state courts, IRS, Banks, Education, etc. you will be amazed at the amount of money being pumped into the Trust Fund from the various Corporate State Departmental Revenues (all revenue is referred to as taxes: fines, fees, licenses, etc.). There are millions and billions of your hard earned worthless federal reserve notes, "dollars", being held in "trust."This money is being siphoned off into the coffers of the International Bankers while the corporate government officials are hounding you for more and more tax dollars.

All this accounting system is NOT so the people will know what is going on. The accounting reports are for the bankers and creditors to keep tabs on just where their collections are coming from. The bankers want to know if the bankruptcy debt payments are coming in and just how much and from what sources. This accounting is the purpose behind M1, M2, M3, M4. and M5. All this accounting is closely monitored. Maybe every day, but at least once a week. These M's are the reports of the amounts of money in circulation. The amount of debt out there, and the amount of credit out there. The floating of debt in the form of bonds. There are five different categories. This system had to come into existence in order for the creditors to be on top of the bankruptcy at all times. This system allows the creditors to figure

out and know exactly what is going on in their domain.

It all makes sense. Don't the bankers hire bill collectors? Creditors hire bill collectors to snoop around do see why you're not paying. They want do know how much you are going to pay so they can figure out how much will be coming in. How much they will collect. They want to know who will pay and who won't.

THE WHOLE SYSTEM IS NOTHING BUT CREDIT AND DEBT.
THE WORLD CREDIT UNION

Here is what is going to very quickly happen internationally. All of the governments around the world are going to unite. They will create one big giant credit union for collecting the debt for the International Bankers. We have allowed ourselves do get into this very sad situation, but THAT IS THE WAY IT IS.

The ultimate result of shielding men from the effects of folly is to fill the world with fools. -- "State Tamperings with Money Banks" -- Herbert Spencer (1820-1903)

WELCOME TO YOUR
NEW WORLD ORDER
This Page on the Web started Dec 7, 1996,
The 55th Anniversary of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor,
which precipitated the entry of the United States into World War 2.

IN MEMORIAM
Of the many Sovereign Citizens in all wars
Who believed they gave their Oath and their Lives to Defend
The Constitution for the United States against all Enemies,
Both Foreign and Domestic,
In the Preservation of Liberty and Freedom and Justice for All.

Reproduction of all or any parts of the above text may be used for general information.

3714. Re: Don't read the Constitution while smoking dope!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 10:15:21 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <irvqnq$a81$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231641
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/30/2011 2:31 AM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 30, 5:25 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/30/2011 2:22 AM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> The Constitution went out the window in 1935.when Americas bankruptcy
>>> was declared legal.All previous law cases were never again to be used
>>> as a refference to the new sepreme law of the land.Everything has been
>>> rattified not to use the original constitution as a legitament
>>> document!
>>
>>> The Slave owners Constitution has be replaced with National Socialism
>>> guidelines,to bring about One World Order/government.
>>
>> Yeah, that is going to happen too ... over a bunch of dead patriots bodies!
>>
>> ROFLOL
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> One of our fore fathers made sure of it.Read US.INC.goes to geneva in
> 1930.
>
> Roosevelt destroied the original constituion!
>
> http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html

I hate to bring this to your attention, but no amendments have been made
to the Constitution in recent times to have nullified it ...

What have you been smoking?

Regards,
JS

3715. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 10:15:28 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ecb5d60f-3aea-4778-ba4c-0887891156d8@n10g2000vby.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231642
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

Soo now you see our Constitution is not worth the paper it was written
on.
It was replaced with the "Universal Commercial Code" this is now the
supreme law of the land.

3716. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 10:17:28 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <irvqrp$a81$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231643
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/30/2011 3:15 AM, joeturn wrote:
> Soo now you see our Constitution is not worth the paper it was written
> on.
> It was replaced with the "Universal Commercial Code" this is now the
> supreme law of the land.

If it violates the Constitution, it is unconstitutional ...

You need to realize that criminals can say anything, do anything, but,
mostly, it will just be criminal ...

Regards,
JS
```

3717. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 10:28:16 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2ca06a04-a66c-4ba3-8c03-eaa7be3ca0ac@c26g2000vbq.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231644
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 29, 8:47 pm, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 29, 3:42 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 8:13 am,Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > > On May 27, 12:52 am, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > On May 26, 11:51 pm,Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 26, 5:04 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> > > > > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > > > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > > > > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > > >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > > > > >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > > > > >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated
> > > > > > > >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > > > > > > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > > > > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > > > > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > > > > >> > average for the majority.
> > > > > > > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > > > > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > > > > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> > > > > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> > > > > > --
```

> > > > > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > > > > > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > > > > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > > > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > > > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > > > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > > > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
>
> > > > > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
>
> > > WrognTurnJoe, YOU don't tell peopel what happened. YOU tell people
> > > what the kooky voices in your head tell you to say!
>
> > > Hint: Your claims are physically impossible; the official findings
> > > resolve every question, the FACT that you cannot NAME ONE THING in the
> > > NIST report that is incorrect shows you are an idiot.
>
> > So you name one thing that was not correct in the NIST report
>
> HEY WrongTurnJoe, you MORON: NOTHING is incorrect in the NIST report.
> Your continuing inability to NAME ONE THING proves that.
>
> > and
> > claim your not the IDIOT.Failure to do soo after you have been shown
> > several inconsistances in the NIST report
>
> You HAVE NOT NAMED ONE THING that is inconsistent in the NIST report.
> Not a one.
>
> > puts your intelect in
> > jeopardy.Fact is is that its by far harder to find just one thing that
> > is not a lie in the NIST report!
>
> Then NAME ONE, moron. YOU CAN'T AND YOU WON'T!!
>
> BWAHAAAAAHAHAHAAHAHA!!
>
> > You have 30 seconds to prove your not the idiot, GO!
>
> Done and done. Your constant illiteracy and lack of reasoning skills
> and your ignorance of basic physics exposes you.- Hide quoted text -

>
> - Show quoted text -

Basic Physics you say? The word false is represented by the letter F
and the letter f is sometimes replaced with PH. Soo most certainly the
use or study of Falseycs cant be a determining factor. In the degre
PHd means one that has been fully indoctronated in False Doctoring.
Limprod you must have a 33rd degree PHd.

3718. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 11:11:38 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a2614e80-971e-4d67-927c-f1e008e3d730@c1g2000yqe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231645
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 29, 8:47 pm, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 29, 3:42 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 8:13 am,Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > > On May 27, 12:52 am, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > On May 26, 11:51 pm,Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 26, 5:04 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> > > > > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > > > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > > > > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > > >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > > > > >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > > > > >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated
> > > > > > > >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > > > > > > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > > > > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > > > > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > > > > >> > average for the majority.
> > > > > > > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > > > > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > > > > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> > > > > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> > > > > > --
```

> > > > > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > > > > > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > > > > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > > > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > > > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > > > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > > > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
>
> > > > > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
>
> > > WrognTurnJoe, YOU don't tell peopel what happened. YOU tell people
> > > what the kooky voices in your head tell you to say!
>
> > > Hint: Your claims are physically impossible; the official findings
> > > resolve every question, the FACT that you cannot NAME ONE THING in the
> > > NIST report that is incorrect shows you are an idiot.
>
> > So you name one thing that was not correct in the NIST report
>
> HEY WrongTurnJoe, you MORON: NOTHING is incorrect in the NIST report.
> Your continuing inability to NAME ONE THING proves that.
>
> > and
> > claim your not the IDIOT.Failure to do soo after you have been shown
> > several inconsistances in the NIST report
>
> You HAVE NOT NAMED ONE THING that is inconsistent in the NIST report.
> Not a one.
>
> > puts your intelect in
> > jeopardy.Fact is is that its by far harder to find just one thing that
> > is not a lie in the NIST report!
>
> Then NAME ONE, moron. YOU CAN'T AND YOU WON'T!!
>
> BWAHAAAAAHAHAHAAHAHA!!
>
> > You have 30 seconds to prove your not the idiot, GO!
>
> Done and done. Your constant illiteracy and lack of reasoning skills
> and your ignorance of basic physics exposes you.- Hide quoted text -

>
> - Show quoted text -

You felled miserably you did not post a single thing that NIST got right.But we all have felled trying to find anything in the NIST REPORT that was not fiction,so,its like trying to find a needle in a haystack.Even though you could not proove yourself not to be an IDIOT it was an impossible task for you!

3719. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 12:34:36 UTC
From: Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets <RRATR@BurningInHell.org>
Message-ID: <VNadnW_GYbtFE37QnZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Gray Ghost" wrote in message
news:Xns9EF58CEBAC38Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...

"Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets" <RRATR@BurningInHell.org> wrote in
news:_8GdnbhJMYR0iH7QnZ2dnUVZ_gqdnZ2d@giganews.com:

>
>
> "Gray Ghost" wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF4DF75866ACWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>
> "Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets" <RRATR@BurningInHell.org> wrote in
> news:h9adnUgaYoaNB3_QnZ2dnUVZ_uOdnZ2d@giganews.com:
>
>>
>>
>> "Gray Ghost" wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EF48A6B9A71AWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>>
>> Ron <roneal1554@att.net> wrote in news:d5942bd7-8b41-43ba-b5a9-
>> df352029205a@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> >> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>>>>
>>>> >>http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-
>> form-..
>>> .
>>>>
>>>> >> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it
> is
>>>> >> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>>>>

>>>> >> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of
> the
>>>> >> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>>>>
>>>> >> Regards,
>>>> >> JS
>>>> > .
>>>> > .
>>>> > John....John.....JOHN!
>>>>
>>>> > The horse is dead.
>>>>
>>>> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
>>>> fraudulent 9 layered document!
>>>>
>>>> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
>>>> ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
>>>> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>> .
>>> .
>>> John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
>>> Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
>>> Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
>>> Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did Congress
>>> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>>>
>>> Huh?
>>>
>>
>>>Um, Abercrombie saud back not to long ago that they couldn't find the
>>>original. Then auddenly SHAZAAM!! Obama has a fresh copy of a document
> (not
>>>stamped of emossed BTW) that the governor could not find.
>>
>>>Yeah, I find that suspicious. But then I have functioning brain cells
>>>and don't depend on lies and deception as a viable political strategy.
>>
>>>--
>>>Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
>>>If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)
>>
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=daISg1Hc0ps
>>
>>
>>
>

>>And? Your point is what? Go ahead and snuff your seed. You'll be doing
>>society a favor.
>
> That is who you support for president? Obama wouldn't even need to
> campaign. All he'd need to do is run that awful racist commercial from
> Cain. Damn that's bad.
>
>

>You "think" that commercial is racist? BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAH! 2012 is going to
>be easier than I thought. I'm pretty sure america is gonna be fed up with
>the "racist" line of bullshit.

>Jugears is a failure, irrespective of the amount of melatonin he has.

You don't see racism. It uses just about every negative black stereotype
there is. That awful voiceover guy is supposed to be portraying an African
American. They couldn't find a real black guy to deliver the awful lines.
What's worse is that it's Herman that delivers the worse of it.

3720. Re: Some idiots believe the internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 12:51:38 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de39276$0$12499$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231647

```
On 05/29/2011 07:52 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> How the Internet Really Began
> http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=308321
> cuhulin
>
```

3721. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 12:54:46 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de39333$0$12450$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231648

On 05/29/2011 03:17 PM, BDK wrote:
> In article<irtvn6btu1@dont-email.me>, win.court@gmail.com says...
>>

>>
>> I am still waiting for a generic laptop case and components where you
>> can finally build a custom laptop!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> LOL, you have no idea how funny the above post is. As usual. You are
> suddenly making more sense than you have been, at least in non-
> birthtardic posts. What happened?
>
http://www.mini-itx.com/projects/itx-laptop/

3722. Shortwave Radio Reception Reports for 21~28 Mayo 2011 -by- RHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 13:01:44 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <024cb215-0881-451f-834f-c38adf9807e1@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231649

Shortwave Radio Reception Reports for 21~28 Mayo 2011
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/6e8054b8bd5a5e54
-by- RHF -posted-to-google-group-
"Shortwave Listener QSL Reports"
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
WTWW on 9480 kHz @ 2300 UTC
"Gods Home Healthcare Plan"
.
Radio Vaticana on 7305 kHz @ 0330 UTC
.
CVC 'La Voz' on 17,680 kHz @ 2025 UTC
.
Radio Nacional de Venezuela [RNV]
on 17,705 kHz @ 2000 UTC
.
Rádio Nacional da Amazônia on 11,780 kHz @ 0019 UTC
.
Radio Habana Cuba [RHC] on 12,020 kHz @ 0110 UTC
.
Voice of America [VOA] Shortwave Radio
Broadcast Schedule [A11]
.
DXing with Cumbre -host- Marie Lamb
-via- WHRI Shortwave Radio
.
WWCR "The Power Hour" on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC
.
BBC World Service on 5875; 6195; 9740;
11,750; kHz @ 1200 UTC Hour
.
REE in Spanish via Costa Rica
on 5965 kHz @ 0630 UTC
.
Radio Taiwan International (RTI) in Spanish
on 5950 kHz @ 0612 UTC
.
"New York Radio" Volmet on 3485 kHz [USB] @ 0717 UTC
.
ELF {Earth} Radio & Receivers + Antennas & Probes
.
WWRB on 5050 kHz @ 0250 UTC
.
WWCR "Women to Women Radio" on 15,825 @ 2045 UTC

.
Radio Australia via CKZU 'CBC Overnight'
on 6160 kHz @ 1125 UTC
.
CRI on 5955 kHz @ 1102 UTC
.
Radio Fly [PNG] on 5960 kHz @ 1033 UTC
.
WLO {ShipCom} on 4396 kHz [USB] @ 0815 UTC
.
Aviation and Marine Utility [UTES]
on the Shortwave [HF] Bands
.
Radio Rossii on 5930 & 5940 kHz @ 1220 UTC
.
WWRB on 15,795 kHz @ 1709 UTC with the
Overcomer Ministry and Brother Stair
.
So... You Want To Build a Better Shortwave
Radio Listening [SWL] Antenna
.
.
Prior Weeks 14~21 Mayo 2011
Shortwave Radio Reception Reports
http://groups.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports/msg/d1680d0a284212a5
.
.
yes - i heard them all on the short-wavy ray-di-oh ~ RHF
Twain Harte, CA -USA- : http://tinyurl.com/2fn6xog
Grundig Satellit 800-M Receiver + ^Antenna^Info^
.
Shortwave Listener QSL Reports : http://tinyurl.com/2d9qlq
http://www.google.com/group/shortwave-listener-qsl-reports
.
- = 2FN6XOG = - & - = 2D9QLQ = -
.
.

3723. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 13:57:18 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <bcbfff71-3a14-4f28-8afe-5f97ead3c427@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231650
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 28, 8:31 am, Peter Franks <n...@none.com> wrote:
> On 5/28/2011 7:54 AM, Deep Dudu wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On Sat, 28 May 2011 10:13:56 -0400, "Scout"
> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
> >> "Sid9"<sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> >>news:irqv9fgeo1@dont-email.me...
>
> >>> "RHF"<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> >>>news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
> >>>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>
> >>>>> ...
> >>>>> What is wrong with this country?
>
> >>>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>
> >>>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
> >>>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>
> >>>>> -- or --
>
> >>>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government criminal
> >>>>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain absolute
> >>>>> police state control over all American citizens.
>
> >>>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang either the
> >>>>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>
> >>>>> Regards,
> >>>>> JS
>
> >>>> JS -please- This is not simply the

> >>>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>
> >>>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New& Improved Plan :
> >>>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
> >>>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
> >>>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
> >>>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>
> >>>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
> >>>> Tax You More& Spend More of Your Tax Money
> >>>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>
> >>>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
> >>>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
> >>>> .
> >>>> .
>
> >>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>
> >> What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>
> > Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy. Taxing
> > the hell out of them and putting the money directly into the economy
> > will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all tax loopholes
> > and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains exactlyt he same as
> > wages.
>
- Why should the wealthy pay more than
- their fair share?

? Define "Their Fair Share" !
.
Who Benefits By Our Society ?
-and- What Is Their Reward ?
Beyond The Ability to Live a Reasonable
Life Food, Cloth and House Their Family.
-four-examples-
.
1st -Taxing the $5K 'Disposable Income' @ 20%
of the average Working Man {Family} :
Leaves them with just $4K.
.
2nd - Taxing the $25K 'Disposable Income'
@ 20% of the High Income Earner {Family} :
Leaves them with just $20K.
.
3rd -Taxing the $250K 'Disposable Income'
@ 20% of the Very High Income Earner {Family} :

Leaves them with just $200K; and that is
Four-Times [4X] the Gross Income of the
average Working Man {Family}.
.
4rd - Taxing the $2.5M 'Disposable Income'
@ 20% of the Rich and Wealth {Family} :
Leaves them with just $2.0M; and that is
Forty-Times [40X] the Gross Income of the
average Working Man {Family}; and this
Ensures High Income Earners Pay* Their Fair
{Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society.
* Simply Paying Back To {Into} The Society :
That Which You Have Received Greatly From It
-paying-back-social-responsibility-reciprocity-
.
Where a Flat Sales Tax is XX% [20%]
-that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
Plus a High Threshold Income Tax is XX% [20%]
Ensures That The Rich-Wealthy Pay Their Fair
Share To Support and Maintain Our Society.
-that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
Plus a Corporate Earnings Tax is XX% [20%]
Ensures That Corporations Pay Their Fair
Share To Support and Maintain Our Society.
-that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
NOTE : The US Federal Government is simply
the AGENT of Our American Society {Economy}
-remember- The US Federal Government is NOT
Our American Society [.]
.
Leveling The Wealth Creation Field :
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/90cdf4c9ea549075
Ensuring Tax Equality For All :
Tax Equality OF The People !
-that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2©
Tax Equality BY The People !
-that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2©
Tax Equality FOR The People !
-that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
Flat-Tax+Plus2© : Figuring Just Who...
Should Pay For All of This -hint- Everyone !
http://groups.google.com/group/talk.politics.guns/msg/1533d01085361532
.
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System :
Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board :

http://groups.google.com/group/talk.politics.guns/msg/24965a8c3ae93a6e
Sales = Income = Corporate [All Taxed Equally]
.
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System :
Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board :
http://groups.google.com/group/talk.politics.guns/msg/2849dcfdd42684ca
* Figuring Just Who Should Pay For All of This
-hint- Everyone !
* Truly Fair & Equitable Tax Plan for All
* 90% Pay as they Live Naturally [No Tax Paperwork]
* Flat Corporate Profits Tax that Ensures Corporations
Pay Their Fair {Equal} Share of the Cost of American
Society
* Flat Income Tax for the Top 10% Rich/Wealthy that
Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their Fair {Equal}
Share of the Cost of American Society
* That's a Flat-Tax+Plus2© a Truly Fair and
Equitable Tax Plan for a Healthy and Strong
America With Fairness and Tax Equality For All.
"Building a Better America for the 21st Century"
.
.
OMG/OMA Let Us Pray . . .
This Has Been A 'Teachable Moment' ~ RHF©***
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teachable_moment
.
*** © This is another Copy-Righted 'Brain Fart' of RHF
-wrt- 'Brain Fart' -yeah- This Idea(s) Stinks : Pew! [P!U!]
.
.

3724. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 13:59:23 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <06d0913a-47f9-494a-b3e8-19d52c74378d@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231651
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 29, 10:37 am, Peter Franks <n...@none.com> wrote:
- That doesn't explain anything.
- How about addressing the question:
-
- Why should the wealthy pay more than
- their fair share?

? Define "Their Fair Share" !
.
Who Benefits By Our Society ?
-and- What Is Their Reward ?
Beyond The Ability to Live a Reasonable
Life Food, Cloth and House Their Family.
-four-examples-
.
1st -Taxing the $5K 'Disposable Income' @ 20%
of the average Working Man {Family} :
Leaves them with just $4K.
.
2nd - Taxing the $25K 'Disposable Income'
@ 20% of the High Income Earner {Family} :
Leaves them with just $20K.
.
3rd -Taxing the $250K 'Disposable Income'
@ 20% of the Very High Income Earner {Family} :
Leaves them with just $200K; and that is
Four-Times [4X] the Gross Income of the
average Working Man {Family}.
.
4rd - Taxing the $2.5M 'Disposable Income'
@ 20% of the Rich and Wealth {Family} :
Leaves them with just $2.0M; and that is
Forty-Times [40X] the Gross Income of the
average Working Man {Family}; and this
Ensures High Income Earners Pay* Their Fair
{Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society.
* Simply Paying Back To {Into} The Society :
That Which You Have Received Greatly From It
-paying-back-social-responsibility-reciprocity-
.
Where a Flat Sales Tax is XX% [20%]
-that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.

Plus a High Threshold Income Tax is XX% [20%]
Ensures That The Rich-Wealthy Pay Their Fair
Share To Support and Maintain Our Society.
-that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
Plus a Corporate Earnings Tax is XX% [20%]
Ensures That Corporations Pay Their Fair
Share To Support and Maintain Our Society.
-that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
NOTE : The US Federal Government is simply
the AGENT of Our American Society {Economy}
-remember- The US Federal Government is NOT
Our American Society [.]
.
Leveling The Wealth Creation Field :
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/90cdf4c9ea549075
Ensuring Tax Equality For All :
Tax Equality OF The People !
-that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2©
Tax Equality BY The People !
-that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2©
Tax Equality FOR The People !
-that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2©
.
Flat-Tax+Plus2© : Figuring Just Who...
Should Pay For All of This -hint- Everyone !
http://groups.google.com/group/talk.politics.guns/msg/1533d01085361532
.
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System :
Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board :
http://groups.google.com/group/talk.politics.guns/msg/24965a8c3ae93a6e
Sales = Income = Corporate [All Taxed Equally]
.
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System :
Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board :
http://groups.google.com/group/talk.politics.guns/msg/2849dcfdd42684ca
* Figuring Just Who Should Pay For All of This
-hint- Everyone !
* Truly Fair & Equitable Tax Plan for All
* 90% Pay as they Live Naturally [No Tax Paperwork]
* Flat Corporate Profits Tax that Ensures Corporations
Pay Their Fair {Equal} Share of the Cost of American
Society
* Flat Income Tax for the Top 10% Rich/Wealthy that
Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their Fair {Equal}
Share of the Cost of American Society
* That's a Flat-Tax+Plus2© a Truly Fair and
Equitable Tax Plan for a Healthy and Strong

America With Fairness and Tax Equality For All.
"Building a Better America for the 21st Century"
.
.
OMG/OMA Let Us Pray . . .
This Has Been A 'Teachable Moment' ~ RHF©***
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teachable_moment
.
*** © This is another Copy-Righted 'Brain Fart' of RHF
-wrt- 'Brain Fart' -yeah- This Idea(s) Stinks : Pew! [P!U!]
.
.

3725. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 14:11:15 UTC
From: SaPeIsMa <SapeIsMa@Hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <75Wdnb2_1PsRO37QnZ2dnUVZ_s0AAAAA@bright.net>
Source record: 231653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Ron" <roneal1554@att.net> wrote in message
news:19111325-b813-46cb-96af-ce8fca9637bb@gc3g2000vbb.googlegroups.com...
On May 29, 12:05 pm, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/29/2011 9:27 AM, Ron wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 29, 10:41 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/29/2011 8:36 AM, Ron wrote:
>
> >>> On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
>
> >>>>> On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>>> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>
> >>>>>> http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-...
>
> >>>>>> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it
> >>>>>> is
> >>>>>> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>
> >>>>>> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of
> >>>>>> the
> >>>>>> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>
> >>>>>> Regards,
> >>>>>> JS
> >>>>> .
> >>>>> .
> >>>>> John....John........JOHN!
>
> >>>>> The horse is dead.
>
> >>>> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
> >>>> fraudulent 9 layered document!
>

> >>>> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
> >>>> ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
> >>>> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
>
> >>>> Regards,
> >>>> JS
> >>> .
> >>> .
> >>> John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
> >>> Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
> >>> Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
> >>> Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did Congress
> >>> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>
> >>> Huh?
>
> >> Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack box, why no
> >> one voted for him ...
>
> >> First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never lived
> >> together
> >> in Hawaii. (There is no marriage certificate for obama sr and Ann.)
>
> >> Second, the address associated with the announcement is the
> >> grandparents.
>
> >> ... and ...
>
> >>http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=104678
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > .
> > .
> > Re: Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack box,
> > why no one voted for him .
>
> > McCain didn't do the investigation. It was conducted by members of
> > his staff.
>
> > Re: First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never lived
> > together in Hawaii
>
> > Even if true, how does that disprove Hawaii as Obama's place of birth?
>
> > Re: Second, the address associated with the announcement is the
> > grandparents.
>
> > Once again, the same question. Even if true, how does that disprove
> > the place of birth?

>
> You are the one claiming that an announcement in a newspaper is some
> type of legal and binding document which would risk prosecution, fine or
> punishment if "doctored."
>
> I am the one pointing out that an announcement in a newspaper means
> nothing ...
>
> Regards,
> JS
.
.
No, no, John-boy. I asked you to explain how the birth announcements
were printed in two newspapers.
#
How did Obama's mom do it, and show us proof that she did do it that
way.

Since YOU are the one claiming it's some evidence, you first have to
demonstrate HOW that evidence came to be.
Can you demonstrate how Obama's mom had the announcements placed ?
We'll wait for YOUR evidence that she "did do it that way"..

3726. Re: Some idiots believe the internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 14:14:54 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <8e1a652e-a15a-4f54-bc4b-698fec3a364a@y27g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231652

On May 30, 5:51 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/29/2011 07:52 PM, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > How the Internet Really Began
> >http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=308321
> > cuhulin

Dave -wrt- Your New Subject-Line :
"Some idiots believe the internet"

Some Do... Some Don't... -so-what- Who's Next !

...and... As Your Post Proves {Affirms} :
Some Idiots Post To/On The Internet !

oops - what does that make me too ;;-}} ~ RHF
.
.

3727. Re: I rarely (read: never) follow the links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 14:24:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DE3A895-12982@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231654

I likes that Underwood No.5 Typewriter computer featured at mini-itx.com
I have three old Typewriters which look just like that old Underwood
No.5 Typewriter.I orts to rig one of them up.
cuhulin, the Typewriter

3728. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 15:21:22 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0cljqat1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/30/2011 7:11 AM, SaPeIsMa wrote:

> ...
> Since YOU are the one claiming it's some evidence, you first have to
> demonstrate HOW that evidence came to be.
> Can you demonstrate how Obama's mom had the announcements placed ?
> We'll wait for YOUR evidence that she "did do it that way"..
>

That might be rather hard for you to prove, since the grandparents
address is used one would suspect it was the grandparents of Anns'.

Regards,
JS

3729. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 15:23:23 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0cpcqat2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/30/2011 5:34 AM, Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets wrote:

> ...
> You don't see racism. It uses just about every negative black stereotype
> there is. That awful voiceover guy is supposed to be portraying an
> African American. They couldn't find a real black guy to deliver the
> awful lines. What's worse is that it's Herman that delivers the worse of
> it.
>
>

Piss on "racism."

If you are so ashamed of your race that you get upset every time someone
tells you, you are a negro, PISS ON YA'!

Gesus, talk about stoopid people!

Regards,
JS

3730. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 15:35:05 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0dfaqat3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/30/2011 7:11 AM, SaPeIsMa wrote:

> ...
> .
> # No, no, John-boy. I asked you to explain how the birth announcements
> # were printed in two newspapers.
> #
> # How did Obama's mom do it, and show us proof that she did do it that
> # way.
>
>
> Since YOU are the one claiming it's some evidence, you first have to
> demonstrate HOW that evidence came to be.
> Can you demonstrate how Obama's mom had the announcements placed ?
> We'll wait for YOUR evidence that she "did do it that way"..
>
>
>

Who is Eligible to Apply for an Amended Certificate of Birth?

As provided by law (HRS ï¿½ï¿½338-17.7, 338-20.5), the following persons may apply for an amended certificate of birth:

A person born in the State of Hawaii who already has a birth certificate filed with the Department of Health and

has become legally adopted, or
has undergone a sex change operation, or
a legal determination of the nonexistence of a parent and child relationship for a person identified as a parent on the birth certificate on file has been made, or
previously recorded information in relation to the personï¿½s surname and/or the fatherï¿½s personal particulars has been altered pursuant to law.

A person born in a foreign country who has been legally adopted in the State of Hawaii.

How to Apply for an Amended Certificate of Birth

For a person born in the State of Hawaii who already has a birth certificate filed with the Department of Health and has become legally adopted.

http://hawaii.gov/health/vital-records/vital-records/newbirthcert.html

Wait until hell freezes over, Ann left the kid to be raised with the grandparents. It was the grandparents address used in the birth announcements ...

Regards,
JS

3731. Re: Constitutional Originalism not a feature of the Founding Fathers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 15:39:38 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0dnrqat4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231658
Cross-posted to:
alt.conspiracy,alt.politics.usa,alt.religion.christian.east-orthodox,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.christian.bible,rec.sport.golf

On 5/30/2011 6:23 AM, infowolf1 wrote:
> Why the Right-Wing's Approach to Reading the Constitution Is
> Destroying This Country
>
>
> That idea that judges should interpret the Constitution by discovering
> the original intent or meaning of the text ignores the history of this
> country's founding.
> May 27, 2011 |
> ...

The said what they meant, and meant what they said, the forefathers.
First they said it with pen and paper, then they said it with gun and
revolution.

When finished, they left us the command to do it again, if ever necessary.

Things are quite clear and simple on this.

Regards,
JS

3732. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 15:42:06 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284d84d6c97f510b98a292@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 231659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

In article <irvks2$a6d$1@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 5/30/2011 12:42 AM, Ron wrote:
> > On May 29, 12:05 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/29/2011 9:27 AM, Ron wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>> On May 29, 10:41 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>> On 5/29/2011 8:36 AM, Ron wrote:
> >>
> >>>>> On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>>> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
> >>
> >>>>>>> On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
> >>
> >>>>>>>> http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-...
> >>
> >>>>>>>> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it is
> >>>>>>>> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
> >>
> >>>>>>>> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of the
> >>>>>>>> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
> >>
> >>>>>>>> Regards,
> >>>>>>>> JS
> >>>>>>> .
> >>>>>>> .
> >>>>>>> John....John........JOHN!
> >>
> >>>>>>> The horse is dead.
> >>
> >>>>>> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
> >>>>>> fraudulent 9 layered document!
> >>
> >>>>>> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime

> >>>>>> ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
> >>>>>> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
> >>
> >>>>>> Regards,
> >>>>>> JS
> >>>>> .
> >>>>> .
> >>>>> John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
> >>>>> Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
> >>>>> Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
> >>>>> Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did Congress
> >>>>> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
> >>
> >>>>> Huh?
> >>
> >>>> Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack box, why no
> >>>> one voted for him ...
> >>
> >>>> First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never lived together
> >>>> in Hawaii. (There is no marriage certificate for obama sr and Ann.)
> >>
> >>>> Second, the address associated with the announcement is the grandparents.
> >>
> >>>> ... and ...
> >>
> >>>> http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=104678
> >>
> >>>> Regards,
> >>>> JS
> >>> .
> >>> .
> >>> Re: Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack box,
> >>> why no one voted for him .
> >>
> >>> McCain didn't do the investigation. It was conducted by members of
> >>> his staff.
> >>
> >>> Re: First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never lived
> >>> together in Hawaii
> >>
> >>> Even if true, how does that disprove Hawaii as Obama's place of birth?
> >>
> >>> Re: Second, the address associated with the announcement is the
> >>> grandparents.
> >>
> >>> Once again, the same question. Even if true, how does that disprove
> >>> the place of birth?
> >>
> >> You are the one claiming that an announcement in a newspaper is some
> >> type of legal and binding document which would risk prosecution, fine or

> >> punishment if "doctored."
> >>
> >> I am the one pointing out that an announcement in a newspaper means
> >> nothing ...
> >>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
> > .
> > .
> > No, no, John-boy. I asked you to explain how the birth announcements
> > were printed in two newspapers.
> >
> > How did Obama's mom do it, and show us proof that she did do it that
> > way.
> >
>
> Nothing about the newspaper is worthy of any consideration, not by sane
> men, and certainly not by a court of law.
>
> "Similarly, the newspaper announcements of Obama's birth do not prove he
> was born in Hawaii, since they could have been triggered by the
> grandparents registering the birth as Hawaiian, even if the baby was
> born elsewhere."
>
> http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.printable&pageId=252833
>
> If you are attempting to prove something with an announcement in a
> newspapers, from the 1960's, it is a sign you have and will lose
> whatever arguments you are attempting ...
>
> Why would you not argue your case with valid documents, evidence and proofs?
>
> You really need something concrete ... I don't think anyone is going to
> give much validity to newspapers, one way or another ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

Every time you post something about the BC thing, you prove how clueless
you are. Youreally don't understand how anything really works, do you,
Johnny KooK?

The birth announcements come from the hospital, you idiot.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

3733. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 15:46:46 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284d85f586c4155098a293@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 231660
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article <2ca06a04-a66c-4ba3-8c03-
eaa7be3ca0ac@c26g2000vbq.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com
says...
>
> On May 29, 8:47ï¿œpm, Iarnrod wrote:
> > On May 29, 3:42ï¿œpm, joeturn wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 27, 8:13ï¿œam,Iarnrod wrote:
> >
> > > > On May 27, 12:52ï¿œam, joeturn wrote:
> >
> > > > > On May 26, 11:51ï¿œpm,Iarnrod wrote:
> >
> > > > > > On May 26, 5:04ï¿œpm, joeturn wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > On May 26, 6:54ï¿œpm, RD Sandman wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > > > > > > > On May 26, 2:00ï¿œpm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > > > > > > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > > > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > > > > > > > >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > > > > > > >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > > > > > > >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated >
> > > > > > > > > >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > > > > > > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > > > > > > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > > > > > > >> > average for the majority. >
> > > > > > > > > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > > > > > > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
> >
> > > > > > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!

> >
> > > > > > > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
> >
> > > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
> >
> > > > > > > > > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > > > > > > > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > > > > > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > > > > > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > > > > > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > > > > > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
> >
> > > > > > > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
> >
> > > > > WrognTurnJoe, YOU don't tell peopel what happened. YOU tell people
> > > > > what the kooky voices in your head tell you to say!
> >
> > > > > Hint: Your claims are physically impossible; the official findings
> > > > > resolve every question, the FACT that you cannot NAME ONE THING in the
> > > > > NIST report that is incorrect shows you are an idiot.
> >
> > > So you name one thing that was not correct in the NIST report
> >
> > HEY WrongTurnJoe, you MORON: NOTHING is incorrect in the NIST report.
> > Your continuing inability to NAME ONE THING proves that.
> >
> > > ï¿œand
> > > claim your not the IDIOT.Failure to do soo after you have been shown
> > > several inconsistances in the NIST report
> >
> > You HAVE NOT NAMED ONE THING that is inconsistent in the NIST report.
> > Not a one.
> >
> > > puts your intelect in
> > > jeopardy.Fact is is that its by far harder to find just one thing that
> > > is not a lie in the NIST report!
> >
> > Then NAME ONE, moron. YOU CAN'T AND YOU WON'T!!
> >
> > BWAHAAAAAHAHAHAAHAHA!!
> >

> > > You have 30 seconds to prove your not the idiot, GO!
> >
> > Done and done. Your constant illiteracy and lack of reasoning skills
> > and your ignorance of basic physics exposes you.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Basic Physics you say? The word false is represented by the letter F
> and the letter f is sometimes replaced with PH. Soo most certainly the
> use or study of Falseycs cant be a determining factor. In the degre
> PHd means one that has been fully indoctronated in False Doctoring.
> Limprod you must have a 33rd degree PHd.

Wow WrongTurnJoe, that one was especially insane. Too many meds, or none
at all?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

3734. DUMB BITCH NEEDS TO GO!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 15:49:34 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0eae$6uc$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf

http://www.infowars.com/dnc-chair-illegal-immigration-is-not-a-crime/

Regards,
JS

3735. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 15:51:03 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0ed7$6uc$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/30/2011 8:42 AM, BDK wrote:

> ...
> Every time you post something about the BC thing, you prove how clueless
> you are. Youreally don't understand how anything really works, do you,
> Johnny KooK?
>
> The birth announcements come from the hospital, you idiot.
>

There are NO RECORDS FROM THE HOSPITAL proving that obama was born at
either one ...

The announcements came from the grandparents ...

Regards,
JS

3736. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 15:56:54 UTC
From: David Hartung <david@hotmai*l.com>
Message-ID: <rtOdnd1Lvdrbl37QnZ2dnUVZ_uydnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 05/30/2011 10:51 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/30/2011 8:42 AM, BDK wrote:
>
>> ...
>> Every time you post something about the BC thing, you prove how clueless
>> you are. Youreally don't understand how anything really works, do you,
>> Johnny KooK?
>>
>> The birth announcements come from the hospital, you idiot.
>>
>
> There are NO RECORDS FROM THE HOSPITAL proving that obama was born at
> either one ...
>
> The announcements came from the grandparents ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

For the claim that Obama was born outside the USA to have any validity,
you would have to show that hos mother had been outside the USA the day
he was born. Thus far, that hasn't been done.

IOW, stop beating this dead horse and instead focus on Obama's
demonstrated incompetence.

3737. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 16:02:02 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0f1r$6uc$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/30/2011 8:56 AM, David Hartung wrote:

> ...
> For the claim that Obama was born outside the USA to have any validity,
> you would have to show that hos mother had been outside the USA the day
> he was born. Thus far, that hasn't been done.
>
> IOW, stop beating this dead horse and instead focus on Obama's
> demonstrated incompetence.

We shouldn't have to prove anything.

To get the job of president, he was supposed to prove his eligibility,
so far, he has NOT done that.

He needs to come up with a valid birth certificate which is not forged,
and heads need to roll of those who allowed him illegal entry to the
public servant office.

... end of story ...

Regards,
JS

3738. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 16:16:17 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <is0ft3$il5$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231665
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:bcbfff71-3a14-4f28-8afe-5f97ead3c427@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com...
> On May 28, 8:31 am, Peter Franks <n...@none.com> wrote:
>> On 5/28/2011 7:54 AM, Deep Dudu wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On Sat, 28 May 2011 10:13:56 -0400, "Scout"
>> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>
>> >> "Sid9"<sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>> >>news:irqv9fgeo1@dont-email.me...
>>
>> >>> "RHF"<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>> >>>news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
>> >>>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >>>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>>
>> >>>>> ...
>> >>>>> What is wrong with this country?
>>
>> >>>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>>
>> >>>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
>> >>>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>>
>> >>>>> -- or --
>>
>> >>>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government
>> >>>>> criminal
>> >>>>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain absolute
>> >>>>> police state control over all American citizens.
>>
>> >>>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang either
>> >>>>> the
>> >>>>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>>

>> >>>>> Regards,
>> >>>>> JS
>>
>> >>>> JS -please- This is not simply the
>> >>>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>>
>> >>>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New& Improved Plan :
>> >>>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
>> >>>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
>> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
>> >>>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
>> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
>> >>>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>>
>> >>>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
>> >>>> Tax You More& Spend More of Your Tax Money
>> >>>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>>
>> >>>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
>> >>>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
>> >>>> .
>> >>>> .
>>
>> >>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>>
>> >> What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>>
>> > Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy. Taxing
>> > the hell out of them and putting the money directly into the economy
>> > will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all tax loopholes
>> > and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains exactlyt he same as
>> > wages.
>>
> - Why should the wealthy pay more than
> - their fair share?
>
> ? Define "Their Fair Share" !
> .
> Who Benefits By Our Society ?
> -and- What Is Their Reward ?
> Beyond The Ability to Live a Reasonable
> Life Food, Cloth and House Their Family.
> -four-examples-
> .
> 1st -Taxing the $5K 'Disposable Income' @ 20%
> of the average Working Man {Family} :
> Leaves them with just $4K.
> .
> 2nd - Taxing the $25K 'Disposable Income'
> @ 20% of the High Income Earner {Family} :

> Leaves them with just $20K.
> .
> 3rd -Taxing the $250K 'Disposable Income'
> @ 20% of the Very High Income Earner {Family} :
> Leaves them with just $200K; and that is
> Four-Times [4X] the Gross Income of the
> average Working Man {Family}.
> .
> 4rd - Taxing the $2.5M 'Disposable Income'
> @ 20% of the Rich and Wealth {Family} :
> Leaves them with just $2.0M; and that is
> Forty-Times [40X] the Gross Income of the
> average Working Man {Family}; and this
> Ensures High Income Earners Pay* Their Fair
> {Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society.
> * Simply Paying Back To {Into} The Society :
> That Which You Have Received Greatly From It
> -paying-back-social-responsibility-reciprocity-

<snip>

Seems to me you're simply bitching because there is an advantage to busting ass and getting ahead. Because while they end up with X times more than case number one, they also paid X times more. Seems your gripe is that they still have more disposable income left over and somehow you don't see that as fair.

So tell us, why should they be forced to pay more? Because you think it's fair that we sock it to the rich?

3739. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 16:55:50 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284d961d23c2ae7498a294@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 231666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

In article <is0ed7$6uc$2@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>
> On 5/30/2011 8:42 AM, BDK wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Every time you post something about the BC thing, you prove how clueless
> > you are. Youreally don't understand how anything really works, do you,
> > Johnny KooK?
> >
> > The birth announcements come from the hospital, you idiot.
> >
>
> There are NO RECORDS FROM THE HOSPITAL proving that obama was born at
> either one ...
>
> The announcements came from the grandparents ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

BWHAHAHAHA! You really need to stop reading the birther kookpages. But
if you got sane all of a sudden, you wouldn't be Johnny KooK anymore,
and that wouldn't be any fun.

Please keep the insanity coming. It's hilarious.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

3740. Re: Constitutional Originalism not a feature of the Founding Fathers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 16:59:05 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0icp$22i$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231667
Cross-posted to:
alt.conspiracy,alt.politics.usa,alt.religion.christian.east-orthodox,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns

On 5/30/2011 9:51 AM, Luke Nichols wrote:

> ...
> I'm afraid America is going the way of the Roman Empire.
>
> Luke
> http://www.ashesaid.com
> The only website you need to read this year!
>
>

Look around you, only 5 to 7 "Americans" are even worth bothering with,
the rest mindless slugs, cowards, perverts and homosexuals, etc.

I'd imagine about the same percentage were the ones who gave us the
Constitution and a high standard of living, in the first place.

It ain't over, until it's over ... these are the times when real heroes
are created. Brave men live for the times we enter.

Regards,
JS

3741. Re: Constitutional Originalism not a feature of the Founding Fathers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 17:05:10 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0io5$22i$4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231668
Cross-posted to:
alt.conspiracy,alt.politics.usa,alt.religion.christian.east-orthodox,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns

On 5/30/2011 9:51 AM, Luke Nichols wrote:
> "John Smith"<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in message
> news:is0dnrqat4@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/30/2011 6:23 AM, infowolf1 wrote:
>>> Why the Right-Wing's Approach to Reading the Constitution Is
>>> Destroying This Country
>>>
>>>
>>> That idea that judges should interpret the Constitution by discovering
>>> the original intent or meaning of the text ignores the history of this
>>> country's founding.
>>> May 27, 2011 |
>>> ...
>>
>> The said what they meant, and meant what they said, the forefathers. First
>> they said it with pen and paper, then they said it with gun and
>> revolution.
>>
>> When finished, they left us the command to do it again, if ever necessary.
>>
>> Things are quite clear and simple on this.
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>>
>
> I'm afraid America is going the way of the Roman Empire.
>
> Luke
> http://www.ashesaid.com
> The only website you need to read this year!
>
>

Look around you, only 5 to 7 out of a 100 (5%-7%) of "Americans" are
even worth bothering with, the rest mindless slugs, cowards, perverts
and homosexuals, etc.

I'd imagine about the same percentage were the ones who gave us the
Constitution and a high standard of living, in the first place.

It ain't over, until it's over ... these are the times when real heroes
are created. Brave men live for the times we enter.

Regards,
JS

3742. Re: Some idiots believe the internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 17:07:13 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23870-4DE3CEC1-12617@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231669

JT, on his Super Talk Missy Sippy radio talk show was just now talking to Dr.Russell Blaylock,MD.Dr.Russell Blacklock mentioned sugar made from Orange peels.

I say it is Good stuff.

http://www.russellblaylockmd.com
http://www.blaylockreport.com
http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Sugar+made+from+Orange+peels

Of course I realize some of y'all won't check it out, Ergo some of y'all don't care nuttin bouts y'all's Health.

We gots Kelly's Heroes on the TCM channel right now.
All my burning bridges are falling behind me,,,,,,,,,,
cuhulin

3743. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 17:10:27 UTC
From: David Hartung <david@hotmai*l.com>
Message-ID: <K9WdnTpBR7kZUn7QnZ2dnUVZ_uadnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 05/30/2011 11:02 AM, John Smith wrote:
> On 5/30/2011 8:56 AM, David Hartung wrote:
>
>> ...
>> For the claim that Obama was born outside the USA to have any validity,
>> you would have to show that hos mother had been outside the USA the day
>> he was born. Thus far, that hasn't been done.
>>
>> IOW, stop beating this dead horse and instead focus on Obama's
>> demonstrated incompetence.
>
> We shouldn't have to prove anything.
>
> To get the job of president, he was supposed to prove his eligibility,
> so far, he has NOT done that.
>
> He needs to come up with a valid birth certificate which is not forged,
> and heads need to roll of those who allowed him illegal entry to the
> public servant office.

What statute requires this?

3744. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 17:16:10 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0jcq$72t$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/30/2011 10:10 AM, David Hartung wrote:
> On 05/30/2011 11:02 AM, John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/30/2011 8:56 AM, David Hartung wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> For the claim that Obama was born outside the USA to have any validity,
>>> you would have to show that hos mother had been outside the USA the day
>>> he was born. Thus far, that hasn't been done.
>>>
>>> IOW, stop beating this dead horse and instead focus on Obama's
>>> demonstrated incompetence.
>>
>> We shouldn't have to prove anything.
>>
>> To get the job of president, he was supposed to prove his eligibility,
>> so far, he has NOT done that.
>>
>> He needs to come up with a valid birth certificate which is not forged,
>> and heads need to roll of those who allowed him illegal entry to the
>> public servant office.
>
> What statute requires this?

What other way can he prove he wasn't born in Kenya, or Mars, for that
matter?

I had to show mine just to get a stupid drives license!

It seems things out of a cracker jack box just won't serve as proof ...
but, explaining that to idiots is hopeless, as proven countless times here.

Regards,
JS

3745. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 17:17:05 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <RdydnVlxPrK7TH7QnZ2dnUVZ5gadnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231672
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/7/2011 9:33 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 7, 3:55 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/7/2011 11:54 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 6, 4:39 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/6/2011 2:02 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 6, 9:32 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>>>> On May 5, 11:56 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>>> On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
>>>>>>>>>>> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
>>>>>>>>>>>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
>>>>>>>>>>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
>>>>>>>>>>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
>>>>>>>>>>>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
>>>>>>>>>>>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>>

>>>>>>>>>>> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> This should be a good one..
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted
text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
>>>>>>>>>> tax this is British tarriffs
>>
>>>>>>>>> You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.
>>
>>>>>>>> I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British
>>>>>>>> dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!
>>
>>>>>>> OK.
>>
>>>>>>> http://www.ushistory.org/DECLARATION/document/images/declarationscanb...
>>
>>>>>> That doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>>>> It proves everything,
>>
>>>> It doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>> "Boy!"
>>
>> Correct.
>
>> You're a snarky boy, wrong about everything.
>
> I LOVE the smell of irony

You're a snarky boy. You're male - nominally - and due to your
immaturity, you're a boy, and due to your snarky, wet-behind-the-ears
juvenile demeanor, you're a snarky boy - live with it, boy.

3746. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 17:17:50 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0jfu$72t$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/30/2011 9:55 AM, BDK wrote:

> ...
> BWHAHAHAHA! You really need to stop reading the birther kookpages. But
> if you got sane all of a sudden, you wouldn't be Johnny KooK anymore,
> and that wouldn't be any fun.
>
> Please keep the insanity coming. It's hilarious.
>

You need to stop arguing against proven facts ... or not ...

ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

3747. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 17:21:46 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0jna$72t$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231674
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns

On 5/30/2011 10:17 AM, George Plimpton wrote:

> ...
> You're a snarky boy. You're male - nominally - and due to your
> immaturity, you're a boy, and due to your snarky, wet-behind-the-ears
> juvenile demeanor, you're a snarky boy - live with it, boy.

A nine-layered, forged birth certificate, is a bit "snarky!"

ROFLOL

The president has been accused of the crime of forgery. There are
multiple pages, quoting multiple experts, showing the birth certificate
is forged.

What can obama do about it? Sue?

NO! He can't do a damn thing, the truth still can't be prosecuted, yet,
in America!

ROFLOL

obama, YOU ARE A FORGER!

Regards,
JS

3748. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 17:39:44 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF58AFC0A2C6Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets" <RRATR@BurningInHell.org> wrote in
news:VNadnW_GYbtFE37QnZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@giganews.com:

>
>
> "Gray Ghost" wrote in message
> news:Xns9EF58CEBAC38Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>
> "Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets" <RRATR@BurningInHell.org> wrote in
> news:_8GdnbhJMYR0iH7QnZ2dnUVZ_gqdnZ2d@giganews.com:
>
>>
>>
>> "Gray Ghost" wrote in message
>> news:Xns9EF4DF75866ACWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>>
>> "Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets" <RRATR@BurningInHell.org> wrote in
>> news:h9adnUgaYoaNB3_QnZ2dnUVZ_uOdnZ2d@giganews.com:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Gray Ghost" wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9EF48A6B9A71AWereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142...
>>>
>>> Ron <roneal1554@att.net> wrote in news:d5942bd7-8b41-43ba-b5a9-
>>> df352029205a@s9g2000yqm.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>> On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith <win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> > On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> >> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>>>>>
>>>>> >>http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-
>>> form-..

>>>> .
>>>>>
>>>>> >> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say, at it
>> is
>>>>> >> just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>>>>>
>>>>> >> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority of
>> the
>>>>> >> people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>>>>>
>>>>> >> Regards,
>>>>> >> JS
>>>>> > .
>>>>> > .
>>>>> > John....John........JOHN!
>>>>>
>>>>> > The horse is dead.
>>>>>
>>>>> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for the
>>>>> fraudulent 9 layered document!
>>>>>
>>>>> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your crime
>>>>> ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting if the
>>>>> president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>>> .
>>>> .
>>>> John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
>>>> Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
>>>> Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
>>>> Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did Congress
>>>> pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's birthplace?
>>>>
>>>> Huh?
>>>>
>>>
>>>>Um, Abercrombie saud back not to long ago that they couldn't find the
>>>>original. Then auddenly SHAZAAM!! Obama has a fresh copy of a document
>> (not
>>>>stamped of emossed BTW) that the governor could not find.
>>>
>>>>Yeah, I find that suspicious. But then I have functioning brain cells
>>>>and don't depend on lies and deception as a viable political strategy.
>>>
>>>>--
>>>>Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
>>>>If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
>>>>He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

>>>
>>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=daISg1Hc0ps
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>>And? Your point is what? Go ahead and snuff your seed. You'll be doing
>>>society a favor.
>>
>> That is who you support for president? Obama wouldn't even need to
>> campaign. All he'd need to do is run that awful racist commercial from
>> Cain. Damn that's bad.
>>
>>
>
>>You "think" that commercial is racist? BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAH! 2012 is going to
>>be easier than I thought. I'm pretty sure america is gonna be fed up with
>>the "racist" line of bullshit.
>
>>Jugears is a failure, irrespective of the amount of melatonin he has.
>
> You don't see racism. It uses just about every negative black stereotype
> there is. That awful voiceover guy is supposed to be portraying an
African
> American. They couldn't find a real black guy to deliver the awful lines.
> What's worse is that it's Herman that delivers the worse of it.
>
>
>

Really, I thought he sounded white.

But why are discussing a nonexistent racial issue instead of the fact that President JugEars and the Keystone Congress DIDN'T pass a budget for FY2011?

I mean are they not Constitutinally mandated to do so?

And given the fiscal problems facing the country doesn't that strike you as irresponsible beyond words?

Just because your token darkie is a failure doesn't mean all African Americans are.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much competence?

3749. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 17:40:18 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF58B14D5CD1Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in news:is0cpcqat2@dont-email.me:

> On 5/30/2011 5:34 AM, Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets wrote:
>
>> ...
>> You don't see racism. It uses just about every negative black stereotype
>> there is. That awful voiceover guy is supposed to be portraying an
>> African American. They couldn't find a real black guy to deliver the
>> awful lines. What's worse is that it's Herman that delivers the worse of
>> it.
>>
>>
>
> Piss on "racism."
>
> If you are so ashamed of your race that you get upset every time someone
> tells you, you are a negro, PISS ON YA'!
>
> Gesus, talk about stoopid people!
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

You really are a cockroach.

Do us all a favor and drink and drive.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

3750. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 17:54:18 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0lkaovd1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/30/2011 10:40 AM, Gray Ghost wrote:

> ...
> You really are a cockroach.
>
> Do us all a favor and drink and drive.
>

Hey, if someone convinced me that I was stuck where I am because of my race, that would be on me.

If every time someone called me "cracker", "white boy", "honky", "caucasian", etc., that would be my mental problem, NOT theirs!

Just sayin' the truth ... be proud of your race, or be ashamed, but don't get angry at others for your decision!

Regards,
JS

3751. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 17:55:59 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0lneovd3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/30/2011 10:40 AM, Gray Ghost wrote:
> John Smith<bit_bucket@gmx.com> wrote in news:is0cpcqat2@dont-email.me:
>
>> On 5/30/2011 5:34 AM, Ronnie Raygun And The Rayonets wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> You don't see racism. It uses just about every negative black stereotype
>>> there is. That awful voiceover guy is supposed to be portraying an
>>> African American. They couldn't find a real black guy to deliver the
>>> awful lines. What's worse is that it's Herman that delivers the worse of
>>> it.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Piss on "racism."
>>
>> If you are so ashamed of your race that you get upset every time someone
>> tells you, you are a negro, PISS ON YA'!
>>
>> Gesus, talk about stoopid people!
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>>
>
> You really are a cockroach.
>
> Do us all a favor and drink and drive.
>

Hey, if someone convinced me that I was stuck where I am because of my
race, that would be on me.

If every time someone called me "cracker", "white boy", "honky",
"caucasian", etc., that would be my mental problem, NOT theirs!

Just sayin' the truth ... be proud of your race, or be ashamed, but
don't get angry at others for your decision!

Now, what is YOUR PROBLEM?

Regards,
JS

3752. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 18:07:44 UTC
From: Gray Ghost <grey_ghost471-newsgroups@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF58FBB4F927Wereofftoseethewizrd@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 231679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in
news:MPG.284d84d6c97f510b98a292@news.giganews.com:

> In article <irvks2$a6d$1@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>>
>> On 5/30/2011 12:42 AM, Ron wrote:
>> > On May 29, 12:05 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> On 5/29/2011 9:27 AM, Ron wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>> On May 29, 10:41 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >>>> On 5/29/2011 8:36 AM, Ron wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>> On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >>>>> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>>> On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com>
>> >>>>> wrote:
>> >>>>>> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>> >>
>> >>>>>> http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long
>> >>>>>> -form-...
>> >>
>> >>>>>> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say,
>> >>>>>> at it is just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>> >>
>> >>>>>> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority
>> >>>>>> of the people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>> >>
>> >>>>>> Regards,
>> >>>>>> JS
>> >>>>> .
>> >>>>> .
>> >>>>> John....John........JOHN!
>> >>
>> >>>>> The horse is dead.

>> >>
>> >>>>>> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for
>> >>>>>> the fraudulent 9 layered document!
>> >>
>> >>>>>> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your
>> >>>>>> crime ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting
>> >>>>>> if the president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
>> >>
>> >>>>>> Regards,
>> >>>>>> JS
>> >>>>> .
>> >>>>> .
>> >>>>> John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
>> >>>>> Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
>> >>>>> Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
>> >>>>> Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did
>> >>>>> Congress pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's
>> >>>>> birthplace?
>> >>
>> >>>>> Huh?
>> >>
>> >>>> Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack box,
>> >>>> why no one voted for him ...
>> >>
>> >>>> First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never lived
>> >>>> together in Hawaii. (There is no marriage certificate for obama sr
>> >>>> and Ann.)
>> >>
>> >>>> Second, the address associated with the announcement is the
>> >>>> grandparents.
>> >>
>> >>>> ... and ...
>> >>
>> >>>> http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=104678
>> >>
>> >>>> Regards,
>> >>>> JS
>> >>> .
>> >>> .
>> >>> Re: Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack
>> >>> box, why no one voted for him .
>> >>
>> >>> McCain didn't do the investigation. It was conducted by members of
>> >>> his staff.
>> >>
>> >>> Re: First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never
>> >>> lived together in Hawaii
>> >>
>> >>> Even if true, how does that disprove Hawaii as Obama's place of
>> >>> birth?

>> >>
>> >>> Re: Second, the address associated with the announcement is the
>> >>> grandparents.
>> >>
>> >>> Once again, the same question. Even if true, how does that
>> >>> disprove the place of birth?
>> >>
>> >> You are the one claiming that an announcement in a newspaper is some
>> >> type of legal and binding document which would risk prosecution,
>> >> fine or punishment if "doctored."
>> >>
>> >> I am the one pointing out that an announcement in a newspaper means
>> >> nothing ...
>> >>
>> >> Regards,
>> >> JS
>> > .
>> > .
>> > No, no, John-boy. I asked you to explain how the birth announcements
>> > were printed in two newspapers.
>> >
>> > How did Obama's mom do it, and show us proof that she did do it that
>> > way.
>> >
>>
>> Nothing about the newspaper is worthy of any consideration, not by sane
>> men, and certainly not by a court of law.
>>
>> "Similarly, the newspaper announcements of Obama's birth do not prove
>> he was born in Hawaii, since they could have been triggered by the
>> grandparents registering the birth as Hawaiian, even if the baby was
>> born elsewhere."
>>
>> http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.printable&pageId=252833
>>
>> If you are attempting to prove something with an announcement in a
>> newspapers, from the 1960's, it is a sign you have and will lose
>> whatever arguments you are attempting ...
>>
>> Why would you not argue your case with valid documents, evidence and
>> proofs?
>>
>> You really need something concrete ... I don't think anyone is going to
>> give much validity to newspapers, one way or another ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Every time you post something about the BC thing, you prove how clueless
> you are. Youreally don't understand how anything really works, do you,

> Johnny KooK?
>
> The birth announcements come from the hospital, you idiot.
>

And no one has proved those photocopied newspaper images are real yet
either.

The word is "Provenance". Have someone literate look it up for you.

--
Herman Cain for President! http://hermancain.com/
If you don't support him you are a Racist!!
He beat Cancer. He'll beat Obama (who is just like cancer)

Remember Desert One, Carter 0? Ain't it sad to wish that Obama had as much
ambition but being glad he doesn't knowing he doesn't have THAT much
competence?

3753. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 18:11:31 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0mkiovd4@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

```
On 5/30/2011 11:07 AM, Gray Ghost wrote:
> BDK<Control@Worldcontrol.com> wrote in
> news:MPG.284d84d6c97f510b98a292@news.giganews.com:
>
>> In article<irvks2$a6d$1@dont-email.me>, bit_bucket@gmx.com says...
>>>
>>> On 5/30/2011 12:42 AM, Ron wrote:
>>>> On May 29, 12:05 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> On 5/29/2011 9:27 AM, Ron wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> On May 29, 10:41 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> On 5/29/2011 8:36 AM, Ron wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On May 29, 10:28 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> On 5/29/2011 8:25 AM, Ron wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On May 29, 2:46 am, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> The whitehouse goes about screaming, "IT'S REAL! IT'S REAL!"
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long
>>>>>>>>>>> -form-...
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Then you look at what the experts, who have examined it say,
>>>>>>>>>>> at it is just the same old nine-layered fraud!
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> I guess this is all they have left, the hope that the majority
>>>>>>>>>>> of the people are too stupid to realize the crime(s.)
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>>> .
>>>>>>>>>> .
>>>>>>>>>> John....John........JOHN!
>>>>>
```

>>>>>>>>>> The horse is dead.
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> The horse will be dead when obama stands in court to answer for
>>>>>>>>> the fraudulent 9 layered document!
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Kinda like counterfeiting, it ain't over until you pay for your
>>>>>>>>> crime ... now, some people might not thing it is counterfeiting
>>>>>>>>> if the president does it (what nixon said), BUT IT IS!
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>>>> .
>>>>>>>>> .
>>>>>>>>> John I've asked you before, but you don't answer. How did two
>>>>>>>>> Hawaiian newspapers get the Obama birth announcement?
>>>>>>>>> Why didn't John McCain's staff find any discrepancies? Why did a
>>>>>>>>> Republican governor of Hawaii affirm the US birth? Why did
>>>>>>>>> Congress pass a resolution proclaiming Hawaii as Obama's
>>>>>>>>> birthplace?
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Huh?
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack box,
>>>>>>>> why no one voted for him ...
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never lived
>>>>>>>> together in Hawaii. (There is no marriage certificate for obama sr
>>>>>>>> and Ann.)
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Second, the address associated with the announcement is the
>>>>>>>> grandparents.
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> ... and ...
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=104678
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Regards,
>>>>>>>> JS
>>>>>>> .
>>>>>>> .
>>>>>>> Re: Brain dead mccain couldn't find the prize in a cracker jack
>>>>>>> box, why no one voted for him .
>>>>>
>>>>>>> McCain didn't do the investigation. It was conducted by members of
>>>>>>> his staff.
>>>>>
>>>>>>> Re: First, Ann and obama senior were never married, and never
>>>>>>> lived together in Hawaii
>>>>>
>>>>>>> Even if true, how does that disprove Hawaii as Obama's place of

>>>>>> birth?
>>>>>
>>>>>> Re: Second, the address associated with the announcement is the
>>>>>> grandparents.
>>>>>
>>>>>> Once again, the same question. Even if true, how does that
>>>>>> disprove the place of birth?
>>>>>
>>>>> You are the one claiming that an announcement in a newspaper is some
>>>>> type of legal and binding document which would risk prosecution,
>>>>> fine or punishment if "doctored."
>>>>>
>>>>> I am the one pointing out that an announcement in a newspaper means
>>>>> nothing ...
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> JS
>>>> .
>>>> .
>>>> No, no, John-boy. I asked you to explain how the birth announcements
>>>> were printed in two newspapers.
>>>>
>>>> How did Obama's mom do it, and show us proof that she did do it that
>>>> way.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Nothing about the newspaper is worthy of any consideration, not by sane
>>> men, and certainly not by a court of law.
>>>
>>> "Similarly, the newspaper announcements of Obama's birth do not prove
>>> he was born in Hawaii, since they could have been triggered by the
>>> grandparents registering the birth as Hawaiian, even if the baby was
>>> born elsewhere."
>>>
>>> http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.printable&pageId=252833
>>>
>>> If you are attempting to prove something with an announcement in a
>>> newspapers, from the 1960's, it is a sign you have and will lose
>>> whatever arguments you are attempting ...
>>>
>>> Why would you not argue your case with valid documents, evidence and
>>> proofs?
>>>
>>> You really need something concrete ... I don't think anyone is going to
>>> give much validity to newspapers, one way or another ...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> Every time you post something about the BC thing, you prove how clueless

>> you are. Youreally don't understand how anything really works, do you,
>> Johnny KooK?
>>
>> The birth announcements come from the hospital, you idiot.
>>
>
> And no one has proved those photocopied newspaper images are real yet
> either.
>
> The word is "Provenance". Have someone literate look it up for you.
>

Well, they have proved the ones obama posted on the whitehouse website
are forgery!

obama IS A FORGER!

Why he should be special is criminal in nature and intent. He needs to
be prosecuted for posting forged documents which he plans to profit from
-- or else they need to turn a hell of a lot of forgers out of our prisons!

Regards,
JS

3754. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 18:17:23 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <is0mvj$2sv$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/30/2011 11:35 AM, John Smith wrote:

> It was the grandparents address used in the birth
> announcements ...

"It was the grandparents address used in the birth announcements ..."

So she used her grandparents address. You were expecting an 18 year old
to have her own house?

Another strikeout for you, Johnny Kooktard!

3755. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 18:37:35 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0o5e$61q$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/30/2011 11:17 AM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:
> On 5/30/2011 11:35 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
>> It was the grandparents address used in the birth
>> announcements ...
>
> "It was the grandparents address used in the birth announcements ..."
>
> So she used her grandparents address. You were expecting an 18 year old
> to have her own house?
>
> Another strikeout for you, Johnny Kooktard!

Not everyone lives in their moms' basement like you, and expects
everyone else to do the same. Yeah, I pretty much find it shocking to
be living with grandparents when you are 18, but a sign of the times, I
guess ... I mean, YOU ARE AN ADULT for gawds sake!

I had a two bedroom apartment and pretty much lived there when I was 17
and attending college ... my mom always said I just stopped by her house
to eat and borrow tools from my father.

Times have not always been so bad you had to live with your parents
until your old age! ROFLOL

Regards,
JS

3756. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 18:52:36 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ab361d67-a145-41b8-abb8-6f0ffcb7cdda@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231683
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On May 30, 9:16 am, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>
> news:bcbfff71-3a14-4f28-8afe-5f97ead3c427@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 28, 8:31 am, Peter Franks <n...@none.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/28/2011 7:54 AM, Deep Dudu wrote:
>
> >> > On Sat, 28 May 2011 10:13:56 -0400, "Scout"
> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
> >> >> "Sid9"<sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> >> >>news:irqv9f$geo$1@dont-email.me...
>
> >> >>> "RHF"<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> >> >>>news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
> >> >>>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>
> >> >>>>> ...
> >> >>>>> What is wrong with this country?
>
> >> >>>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>
> >> >>>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
> >> >>>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>
> >> >>>>> -- or --
>
> >> >>>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government
> >> >>>>> criminal
> >> >>>>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain absolute
> >> >>>>> police state control over all American citizens.
>
```

> >> >>>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang either
> >> >>>>> the
> >> >>>>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>
> >> >>>>> Regards,
> >> >>>>> JS
>
> >> >>>> JS -please- This is not simply the
> >> >>>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>
> >> >>>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New& Improved Plan :
> >> >>>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
> >> >>>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
> >> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
> >> >>>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
> >> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
> >> >>>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>
> >> >>>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
> >> >>>> Tax You More& Spend More of Your Tax Money
> >> >>>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>
> >> >>>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
> >> >>>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
> >> >>>> .
> >> >>>> .
>
> >> >>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>
> >> >> What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>
> >> > Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy. Taxing
> >> > the hell out of them and putting the money directly into the economy
> >> > will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all tax loopholes
> >> > and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains exactlyt he same as
> >> > wages.
>
> > - Why should the wealthy pay more than
> > - their fair share?
>
> > ? Define "Their Fair Share" !
> > .
> > Who Benefits By Our Society ?
> > -and- What Is Their Reward ?
> > Beyond The Ability to Live a Reasonable
> > Life Food, Cloth and House Their Family.
> > -four-examples-
> > .
> > 1st -Taxing the $5K 'Disposable Income' @ 20%
> > of the average Working Man {Family} :

> > Leaves them with just $4K.
> > .
> > 2nd - Taxing the $25K 'Disposable Income'
> > @ 20% of the High Income Earner {Family} :
> > Leaves them with just $20K.
> > .
> > 3rd -Taxing the $250K 'Disposable Income'
> > @ 20% of the Very High Income Earner {Family} :
> > Leaves them with just $200K; and that is
> > Four-Times [4X] the Gross Income of the
> > average Working Man {Family}.
> > .
> > 4rd - Taxing the $2.5M 'Disposable Income'
> > @ 20% of the Rich and Wealth {Family} :
> > Leaves them with just $2.0M; and that is
> > Forty-Times [40X] the Gross Income of the
> > average Working Man {Family}; and this
> > Ensures High Income Earners Pay* Their Fair
> > {Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society.
> > * Simply Paying Back To {Into} The Society :
> > That Which You Have Received Greatly From It
> > -paying-back-social-responsibility-reciprocity-
>
> <snip>
>
- Seems to me you're simply bitching because
- there is an advantage to busting ass and
- getting ahead. Because while they end up
- with X times more than case number one,
- they also paid X times more.

No #1's Sale Tax is XX% and #3's Income Tax is XX%
XX% = XX% They just Continue to Pay Their Fair Share
Beyond the Cost of Buying a Basic Living Through
Daily Consumption.
.
- Seems your gripe is that they still have
- more disposable income left over and somehow
- you don't see that as fair.

? What More Fair ?
Taking $5K from the Disposable Income
of the average Working Man {Family}
and Leaving Them With NOTHING !
-or-
Taking $50K from the Disposable Income
of the Very High Income Earner {Family}
and Leaving Them With $200K which is
Four-Times [4X] the Gross Income of the
average Working Man {Family} !
-or-

Taking $500K from the Disposable Income
of the Rich and Wealth {Family} and
Leaving Them With $2M which is Forty-Times
[40X] the Gross Income of the average
Working Man {Family} !
-and-once-again-
This Ensures High Income Earners Pay* Their Fair
{Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society.
* Simply Paying Back To {Into} The Society :
That Which You Have Received Greatly From It
-paying-back-social-responsibility-reciprocity-
.
- So tell us, why should they be forced
- to pay more?

To Ensure High Income Earners Pay* Their Fair
{Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society.
* Simply Paying Back To {Into} The Society :
That Which You Have Received Greatly From It
-paying-back-social-responsibility-reciprocity-
.
- Because you think it's fair that we sock
- it to the rich?

Not Interested in SOCKING-IT-TO Anyone [.]

Equal Taxes : Sales = Income = Corporate
-leveling-the-tax-taxing-playing-field-

Tax the Poor and average Working Man {Family}
with an XX% Flat Sales Tax on Consumption.

Tax the High Income Earner and Rich-Wealth
with an XX% Flat Income* Tax on Excessive
Income Greater Than Five-Times the average
Working Man {Family}.

* Income is Income : Wages, Salary, Commissions,
Interest, Dividends, Bonuses, Stock Options,
Deferred Compensation, Other Benefits, etc...

Tax Corporations* with an XX% Flat Corporate
Gross Earnings Tax on Income Greater Than
Five-Times the average Working Man {Family}.

* Corporations & Sole Proprietorships &
Partnerships & Trusts = All Forms of Business
Economic Enterprises

How is the simple 'Continuation' of the Same
Tax Level Sales XX% to Income Tax XX% For the
Income in Excess of Five-Times average Working
Man {Family} Socking It To Anyone ?

Average Working Man {Family} Income $50K :
Means the First $250K Has No Income Tax.
Only Income in Excess of $250K is Taxed at
the very same {equal} Rate XX% as the Sales
Tax XX% -result- simply the 'Continuation'
of the Same Tax Level beyond the Taxing of
the Normal Consumption to Live that the Sales
Tax Taxes. -yes-it-is-that-simple-

OK -if- In Fact They Bust Their Ass :
They Can Keep It :o)
BUT -then- No Inherited Wealth :
A Fair Start =TO=- A Fair Share [.]
-oops-another-inconvenient-truth-
The Vast Majority of the Rich & Wealth
Did NOT Earn Their Wealth : They Simply
Inherited Mega-Money, Property, Status
and 'Connections'. -paris-hilton-

...so... Add One More "Equality" Tax an
XX% Flat Inheritance Tax on Estates Valued
Greater Than Five-Times the average Working
Man {Family}. -after-all-fair-is-fair-
.
-again- ? Define "Their Fair Share" !

Equal : Sales = Income = Corporate Taxes
-leveling-the-tax-taxing-playing-field-
Raise the Percentage For One and All
-or- Lower the Percentage For One and All :
To Achieve The Level of Support That Society
Needs -no-loop-holes-:-no-exclusions-
Balance So That Rich or Poor or Corporate
All Pay The Same From The First Dollar To
The Last Dollar =Equal=For=All=
-what-could-be-more-fair,-equitable-&-just-
.
Flat-Tax+Plus2© Flat-Tax+Plus2© Flat-Tax+Plus2©
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/96e43dec055fe598
.
.

3757. Jerome Corsi keeps exposing birth certificate fraud ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 19:36:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0rjg$31u$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

THIS WILL BLOW YOUR MIND:

http://www.scribd.com/doc/55642721/News-Release-Legal-proof-that-President-Obama%E2%80%99s-Certificate-of-Live-Birth-is-a-forgery

Regards,
JS

3758. Re: Jerome Corsi keeps exposing birth certificate fraud ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 19:41:16 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0rsr$31u$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/30/2011 12:36 PM, John Smith wrote:
>
>
> THIS WILL BLOW YOUR MIND:
>
> http://www.scribd.com/doc/55642721/News-Release-Legal-proof-that-President-Obama%E2%80%99s-Certificate-of-Live-Birth-is-a-forgery
>
>
> Regards,
> JS
>

The above link seems to be incomplete, something weird happened, I guess, here is another link to the complete document:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/55594183

Regards,
JS

3759. OBAMA: Kenyan birth records criminally altered, COVERUP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 19:46:48 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0s76$31u$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EYW8KSsP1Ag

Regards,
JS

3760. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Correct All the Time, and Blimpton Agrees...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 20:00:43 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <923e6db2-51ab-4a19-8ff6-3c74cc949c8e@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231687
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 30, 11:17 am, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:

> On 5/9/2011 5:00 AM,Iarnrod wrote:

> > On May 8, 10:45 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> On 5/8/2011 9:42 PM, k...@kymhorsell.com wrote:

> >>> In sci.skeptic George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >>>> On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM,Iarnrod wrote:
> >>>>> On May 8, 10:22 am, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >>>>>> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
> >>>>>> woman, gets everything wrong.
> >>>>> I of course have never been wrong.
> >>>> Always.
> >>>> Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're
> >>>> (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's
> >>>> with the fucking lame charade, boy?

> >>> Mother fixation.

> >> It's some kind of psychological abnormality. I don't know if it's
> >> treatable or not.

> > Well,

> Well, why don't you fuck off, boy?

I am having too much fun shitting down your throat, rightard. Why
would you want to deprive me of my daily fun kicking around you
rightards? Do you hate America?

3761. Re: Sorry ... Blimpton lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 20:06:02 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <59995b32-7d20-4caf-9351-0f5f566aadd7@o10g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

On May 29, 11:02 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> pooped his pants:

> On 5/22/2011 11:31 AM, Iarnrod proved:
> > On May 21, 4:02 pm, George Blimpton<geo...@si.not> foamed in impotent kooker rage:
> >> Mighty Iarnrod proved:
> >>> Yes, he would have been
> >> No,
> > > Yes, he would have been.
> No,

Yes, he would have been.

3762. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 20:08:00 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e78d2a3a-6afc-4775-a5ed-7c0705562228@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231689
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 30, 4:28 am, joeturn wrote:
> On May 29, 8:47 pm,Iarnrod wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 29, 3:42 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > On May 27, 8:13 am,Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > > > On May 27, 12:52 am, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 26, 11:51 pm,Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 26, 5:04 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > > > > On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > > > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > > > > > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > > > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > > > >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > > > > > >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > > > > > >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated
> > > > > > > > >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > > > > > > > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > > > > > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > > > > > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > > > > > >> > average for the majority.
> > > > > > > > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > > > > > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > > > > > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> > > > > > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> > > > > > > --
```

> > > > > > > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > > > > > > > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > > > > > > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > > > > > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > > > > > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > > > > > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > > > > > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
>
> > > > > > > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
>
> > > > > WrognTurnJoe, YOU don't tell peopel what happened. YOU tell people
> > > > > what the kooky voices in your head tell you to say!
>
> > > > > Hint: Your claims are physically impossible; the official findings
> > > > > resolve every question, the FACT that you cannot NAME ONE THING in the
> > > > > NIST report that is incorrect shows you are an idiot.
>
> > > So you name one thing that was not correct in the NIST report
>
> > HEY WrongTurnJoe, you MORON: NOTHING is incorrect in the NIST report.
> > Your continuing inability to NAME ONE THING proves that.
>
> > > and
> > > claim your not the IDIOT.Failure to do soo after you have been shown
> > > several inconsistances in the NIST report
>
> > You HAVE NOT NAMED ONE THING that is inconsistent in the NIST report.
> > Not a one.
>
> > > puts your intelect in
> > > jeopardy.Fact is is that its by far harder to find just one thing that
> > > is not a lie in the NIST report!
>
> > Then NAME ONE, moron. YOU CAN'T AND YOU WON'T!!
>
> > BWAHAAAAAHAHAHAAHAHA!!
>
> > > You have 30 seconds to prove your not the idiot, GO!
>
> > Done and done. Your constant illiteracy and lack of reasoning skills
> > and your ignorance of basic physics exposes you.- Hide quoted text -

>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Basic Physics you say?

Yes, idiot, you FAIL to understand basic physics.

Your claims violate the laws of physics. They are physically
impossible. Not only did they not happen, they could not possibly have
happened. This is not in dispute.

3763. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 20:10:27 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5c0f73fe-f2a7-4ad8-9f51-1366ce18815f@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231690
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 30, 5:11 am, joeturn wrote:
> On May 29, 8:47 pm,Iarnrod wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 29, 3:42 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > On May 27, 8:13 am,Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > > > On May 27, 12:52 am, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 26, 11:51 pm,Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 26, 5:04 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > > > > On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > > > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > > > > > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > > > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > > > >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > > > > > >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > > > > > >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated
> > > > > > > > >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > > > > > > > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > > > > > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > > > > > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > > > > > >> > average for the majority.
> > > > > > > > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > > > > > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > > > > > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> > > > > > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> > > > > > > --
```

> > > > > > > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > > > > > > > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > > > > > > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > > > > > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > > > > > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > > > > > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > > > > > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
>
> > > > > > > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
>
> > > > > WrognTurnJoe, YOU don't tell peopel what happened. YOU tell people
> > > > > what the kooky voices in your head tell you to say!
>
> > > > > Hint: Your claims are physically impossible; the official findings
> > > > > resolve every question, the FACT that you cannot NAME ONE THING in the
> > > > > NIST report that is incorrect shows you are an idiot.
>
> > > So you name one thing that was not correct in the NIST report
>
> > HEY WrongTurnJoe, you MORON: NOTHING is incorrect in the NIST report.
> > Your continuing inability to NAME ONE THING proves that.
>
> > > and
> > > claim your not the IDIOT.Failure to do soo after you have been shown
> > > several inconsistances in the NIST report
>
> > You HAVE NOT NAMED ONE THING that is inconsistent in the NIST report.
> > Not a one.
>
> > > puts your intelect in
> > > jeopardy.Fact is is that its by far harder to find just one thing that
> > > is not a lie in the NIST report!
>
> > Then NAME ONE, moron. YOU CAN'T AND YOU WON'T!!
>
> > BWAHAAAAAHAHAHAAHAHA!!
>
> > > You have 30 seconds to prove your not the idiot, GO!
>
> > Done and done. Your constant illiteracy and lack of reasoning skills
> > and your ignorance of basic physics exposes you.- Hide quoted text -

>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> You felled miserably you did not post a single thing that NIST got
> right.

You are ignorant and stupid, WrongTurnJoe. EVERYTHING in NIST is entirely correct. YOU are the one who was tasked with NAMING ONE THING in NIST that you think is incorrect and YOU have failed. It remains standing unchallenged as the truth. Your continued complete inability to NAME ONE THING only serves to underscore how correct its every detail is.

> But we all have felled trying to find anything in the NIST
> REPORT that was not fiction,

Why have you not yet been capable of NAMING ONE, WrongTurnJoe?

> so,its like trying to find a needle in a
> haystack.Even though you could not proove yourself not to be an IDIOT
> it was an impossible task for you!

Projection

All my claims are proven TRUE while yours are proven false. How do you respond? With more idiocy and running away.

3764. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 20:14:44 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b6250a78-bcdc-4e93-b8d3-b0639bcb499f@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231691
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 28, 12:32 pm, * US * wrote:

> That's quite an error:
> "the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
> Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"
> http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-v...
> It's a study in treachery.

It's a study in stupidity by you, kook. I guess you're too stupid to
see the error that the blogger made. Hint: He's looking at the wrong
building. Blatant and obvious error by yet another 9/11 kooker!!

You sure did not earn your money tonight from your hero Cheeeeeney,
you traitor rightard bushkultie.

3765. Re: OBAMA: Hawaii birth records positively prove he is a natural born citizen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 20:18:11 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <177dcc02-336b-4d3f-9039-05097ebff3f9@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf

On May 30, 1:46 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EYW8KSsP1Ag
>
> Regards,
> JS

Alex Jones is a proven liar. There is nothing he has yet said that
actually is true. Sorta like you, Johnny KQQK!!

Hint: Hawaii has proven Obama was born there.

3766. Re: Jerome Corsi keeps exposing himself as a fraud ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 20:19:10 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <24a23708-c292-42ec-a012-2a691e2a5005@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.guns

On May 30, 1:36 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> THIS WILL BLOW YOUR MIND:

Sounds like you've been blowing Corsi!

BWAHAHHAHAHAHAA!

Hint; Corsi has not yet uttered one true thing. Nor have you.

3767. Re: Radio Mauritanie right now! - sound recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 20:24:14 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d129aeaf-41ca-4923-aa56-e51ff9f70b79@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231694

On May 30, 12:43 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
> On May 30, 2:27 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 29, 8:19 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > On May 29, 8:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > > On 05/29/2011 12:28 AM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 28, 10:18 pm, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > >> On May 27, 11:26 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > >>> On May 28, 1:58 am, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > >>>> Good news! MAURITANIA, Radio Mauritanie, 7245 kHz, near start at 0555
> > > > >>>> UTC, 28 May 2011, excellent signal 45454, Q'uran reading by male in
> > > > >>>> Arabic (Jensen, CA)
>
> > > > >>> Very weak signal over here at 0630 UTC . Some kind of prayer or Holy
> > > > >>> Book reading . Definitily Arabic language .
>
> > > > >> Sorry about that - it was a kickass signal hereabouts in California.
> > > > >> At 06:30, they were doing some kind of an interview in Arabic between
> > > > >> the studio host and what sounded like an older fellow.
>
> > > > > Suddenly came alive at 0655 UTC . No more religious programs so far .
> > > > > Signal is fading in and out wildly . Heard some music as well !
>
> > > > Wildly as in polar warbling? Does it fade completely. Is there selective
> > > > fading. Does ECSS or sync detection fix it?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > Deep fades , occasional total fadeout . Didn't try sync detector --
> > > will turn it on next time this broadcast is on the air .
>

> > Right now, 0624z, May 30, 2011, hearing Mauritanie again on 7245, not
> > as good as two days ago (now SINPO = 35343), but still pretty good
> > copy of Arabic Q'uran or chanting. Noise a bit higher, Fading =
> > gentle fluttering. FWIW, heard VO Tanzania Zanzibar earlier at 0300z,
> > 6015, SINPO 14331 improving slightly as the hour progressed (owing, I
> > reckon, to the mutual grayline passage).
>
> > Bruce Jensen- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> 7245 KHz is barely audible today . Heard some voices and little
> of music around 0630 UTC and then it slowly started to disappear .
> Completely . Tried both sync detector,ECSS -- no use . BTW ,BSKSA
> supposedly uses 7240 KHZ .

OK, Tnx on the BSKSA 7240...

Here is a recording of the 7245 kHz station beginning at 0626 UTC on
May 28, 2011. At 01:07 minutes on the recording, a station ID is
heard with the words "Mauritania Nouakchott" clearly stated.

http://soundcloud.com/bpnjensen/mauritania-rm-7245-khz-28-may

Needless to say, going for a QSL with this one. Faint hopes, but
gotta try.

Bruce Jensen

3768. Re: OBAMA: Hawaii birth records positively prove he is a natural born citizen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 20:25:22 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is0ufhobq1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf

On 5/30/2011 1:18 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 30, 1:46 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EYW8KSsP1Ag
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> Alex Jones is a proven liar. There is nothing he has yet said that
> actually is true. Sorta like you, Johnny KQQK!!
>
> Hint: Hawaii has proven Obama was born there.

You are the only proven liar here. But yes, you have proved that
repetitivly with almost every post you have drafted!

NICE JOB! WELL DONE!

ROFLOL

... sad, but worth a laugh.

Regards,
JS

3769. Re: Don't read the Constitution while smoking dope!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 20:48:39 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b1d77006-6001-4cd3-85ac-5c48d1f8489b@k15g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231696
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 30, 6:15 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/30/2011 2:31 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 30, 5:25 am, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/30/2011 2:22 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >>> ...
> >>> The Constitution went out the window in 1935.when Americas bankruptcy
> >>> was declared legal.All previous law cases were never again to be used
> >>> as a refference to the new sepreme law of the land.Everything has been
> >>> rattified not to use the original constitution as a legitament
> >>> document!
>
> >>> The Slave owners Constitution has be replaced with National Socialism
> >>> guidelines,to bring about One World Order/government.
>
> >> Yeah, that is going to happen too ... over a bunch of dead patriots bodies!
>
> >> ROFLOL
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > One of our fore fathers made sure of it.Read US.INC.goes to geneva in
> > 1930.
>
> > Roosevelt destroied the original constituion!
>
> >http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html
>
> I hate to bring this to your attention, but no amendments have been made
> to the Constitution in recent times to have nullified it ...
>
> What have you been smoking?
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Same as you we are both smoking the UCC but you cant see it.Our old constitution does not apply to anyone that is a citizen of the USA.We are simply worker bees to pay off the USA Bankrutcy debt.Our Solvern Queen Mom owns the USA and calls all the shots and she does not apply the constitution she goes by the UCC.

You forgot the creature from Jekyll Island.Ga.

You are living in a bubble John Smith you concentrate on small issues such as politics when you should be looking at the big picture. At least you dont have a grammar hangup that overides your thought prossess but it is some else that causes you not to be able to grasp reality.

3770. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 20:49:31 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <is0vtd$38d$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:ab361d67-a145-41b8-abb8-6f0ffcb7cdda@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com...
> On May 30, 9:16 am, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "RHF" <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>>
>> news:bcbfff71-3a14-4f28-8afe-5f97ead3c427@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 28, 8:31 am, Peter Franks <n...@none.com> wrote:
>> >> On 5/28/2011 7:54 AM, Deep Dudu wrote:
>>
>> >> > On Sat, 28 May 2011 10:13:56 -0400, "Scout"
>> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>>
>> >> >> "Sid9"<sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>> >> >>news:irqv9fgeo1@dont-email.me...
>>
>> >> >>> "RHF"<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>> >> >>>news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >>>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> >>>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>>
>> >> >>>>> ...
>> >> >>>>> What is wrong with this country?
>>
>> >> >>>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>>
>> >> >>>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
>> >> >>>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>>
>> >> >>>>> -- or --
>>
>> >> >>>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government
>> >> >>>>> criminal
>> >> >>>>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain

>> >> >>>>> absolute
>> >> >>>>> police state control over all American citizens.
>>
>> >> >>>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang
>> >> >>>>> either
>> >> >>>>> the
>> >> >>>>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>>
>> >> >>>>> Regards,
>> >> >>>>> JS
>>
>> >> >>>> JS -please- This is not simply the
>> >> >>>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>>
>> >> >>>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New& Improved Plan :
>> >> >>>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
>> >> >>>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
>> >> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
>> >> >>>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
>> >> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
>> >> >>>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>>
>> >> >>>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
>> >> >>>> Tax You More& Spend More of Your Tax Money
>> >> >>>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>>
>> >> >>>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
>> >> >>>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
>> >> >>>> .
>> >> >>>> .
>>
>> >> >>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>>
>> >> >> What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>>
>> >> > Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy.
>> >> > Taxing
>> >> > the hell out of them and putting the money directly into the economy
>> >> > will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all tax loopholes
>> >> > and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains exactlyt he same as
>> >> > wages.
>>
>> > - Why should the wealthy pay more than
>> > - their fair share?
>>
>> > ? Define "Their Fair Share" !
>> > .
>> > Who Benefits By Our Society ?
>> > -and- What Is Their Reward ?
>> > Beyond The Ability to Live a Reasonable

>> > Life Food, Cloth and House Their Family.
>> > -four-examples-
>> > .
>> > 1st -Taxing the $5K 'Disposable Income' @ 20%
>> > of the average Working Man {Family} :
>> > Leaves them with just $4K.
>> > .
>> > 2nd - Taxing the $25K 'Disposable Income'
>> > @ 20% of the High Income Earner {Family} :
>> > Leaves them with just $20K.
>> > .
>> > 3rd -Taxing the $250K 'Disposable Income'
>> > @ 20% of the Very High Income Earner {Family} :
>> > Leaves them with just $200K; and that is
>> > Four-Times [4X] the Gross Income of the
>> > average Working Man {Family}.
>> > .
>> > 4rd - Taxing the $2.5M 'Disposable Income'
>> > @ 20% of the Rich and Wealth {Family} :
>> > Leaves them with just $2.0M; and that is
>> > Forty-Times [40X] the Gross Income of the
>> > average Working Man {Family}; and this
>> > Ensures High Income Earners Pay* Their Fair
>> > {Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society.
>> > * Simply Paying Back To {Into} The Society :
>> > That Which You Have Received Greatly From It
>> > -paying-back-social-responsibility-reciprocity-
>>
>> <snip>
>>
> - Seems to me you're simply bitching because
> - there is an advantage to busting ass and
> - getting ahead. Because while they end up
> - with X times more than case number one,
> - they also paid X times more.
>
> No #1's Sale Tax is XX% and #3's Income Tax is XX%
> XX% = XX% They just Continue to Pay Their Fair Share
> Beyond the Cost of Buying a Basic Living Through
> Daily Consumption.

We're discussion the income tax proposal right now, do try to keep up.

> - Seems your gripe is that they still have
> - more disposable income left over and somehow
> - you don't see that as fair.
>
> ? What More Fair ?
> Taking $5K from the Disposable Income
> of the average Working Man {Family}
> and Leaving Them With NOTHING !

Your own numbers above indicate they still have $4k in disposable income.

Are you now revising your numbers without telling people?

Tell me you aren't this dishonest?

3771. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 20:53:30 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c6eb64c7-125e-4a87-a86d-1761433fb1c0@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231698
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 30, 6:17 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/30/2011 3:15 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > Soo now you see our Constitution is not worth the paper it was written
> > on.
> > It was replaced with the "Universal Commercial Code" this is now the
> > supreme law of the land.
>
> If it violates the Constitution, it is unconstitutional ...
>
> You need to realize that criminals can say anything, do anything, but,
> mostly, it will just be criminal ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

The only thing that is constitutional is a daily shit,your belief in
freedom and Democracy is costing millions to die John Smith.

"Freedoms just another word for nothing left to loose"
Joan Baez

3772. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 21:03:58 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <bfSdncJrV53Bm3nQnZ2dnUVZ5gydnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231699
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/7/2011 9:33 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 7, 3:55 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/7/2011 11:54 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 6, 4:39 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/6/2011 2:02 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 6, 9:32 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>>>> On May 5, 11:56 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>>> On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
>>>>>>>>>>> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
>>>>>>>>>>>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
>>>>>>>>>>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
>>>>>>>>>>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
>>>>>>>>>>>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
>>>>>>>>>>>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>>

>>>>>>>>>>> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> This should be a good one..
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted
text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
>>>>>>>>>> tax this is British tarriffs
>>
>>>>>>>>> You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.
>>
>>>>>>>> I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British
>>>>>>>> dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!
>>
>>>>>>> OK.
>>
>>>>>>> http://www.ushistory.org/DECLARATION/document/images/declarationscanb...
>>
>>>>>> That doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>>>> It proves everything,
>>
>>>> It doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>> "Boy!"
>>
>> Correct.
>
>> You're a snarky boy, wrong about everything.
>
> I LOVE the smell of irony

You're a snarky boy. You're male - nominally - and due to your
immaturity, you're a boy, and due to your snarky, wet-behind-the-ears
juvenile demeanor, you're a snarky boy - live with it, boy.

3773. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 21:05:06 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <KaqdnZI6RqE9m3nQnZ2dnUVZ5sUAAAAA@giganews.com>
Source record: 231700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3774. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 21:11:21 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6236c7a9-127d-463b-8651-281c902a2b15@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231701
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 30, 6:17 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/30/2011 3:15 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > Soo now you see our Constitution is not worth the paper it was written
> > on.
> > It was replaced with the "Universal Commercial Code" this is now the
> > supreme law of the land.
>
> If it violates the Constitution, it is unconstitutional ...
>
> You need to realize that criminals can say anything, do anything, but,
> mostly, it will just be criminal ...
>
> Regards,
> JS

The only thing that is constitutional is a daily shit,your belief in
freedom and Democracy is costing millions to die John Smith.

"Freedoms just another word for nothing left to lose"
Janis Joplin

3775. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 21:14:51 UTC
From: SaPeIsMa <SapeIsMa@Hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u9GdnSsd-_f0lHnQnZ2dnUVZ_okAAAAA@bright.net>
Source record: 231702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"David Hartung" <david@hotmai*l.com> wrote in message
news:rtOdnd1LvdrbI37QnZ2dnUVZ_uydnZ2d@giganews.com...
> On 05/30/2011 10:51 AM, John Smith wrote:
>> On 5/30/2011 8:42 AM, BDK wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Every time you post something about the BC thing, you prove how clueless
>>> you are. Youreally don't understand how anything really works, do you,
>>> Johnny KooK?
>>>
>>> The birth announcements come from the hospital, you idiot.
>>>
>>
>> There are NO RECORDS FROM THE HOSPITAL proving that obama was born at
>> either one ...
>>
>> The announcements came from the grandparents ...
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> For the claim that Obama was born outside the USA to have any validity,
> you would have to show that hos mother had been outside the USA the day he
> was born. Thus far, that hasn't been done.
>
> IOW, stop beating this dead horse and instead focus on Obama's
> demonstrated incompetence.

No one has demonstrated where his mother was ANYWHERE at the time
Why don't you provide evidence to suggest the mother was in Hawaii.
An birth notices in newspapers are not good enough, since anyone can buy
such birth notices for a few bucks.

3776. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 21:17:15 UTC
From: SaPeIsMa <SapeIsMa@Hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SICdnQ8cX63Al3nQnZ2dnUVZ_jmdnZ2d@bright.net>
Source record: 231704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Joe from Kokomo" <joe@indy.net> wrote in message
news:is0mvj$2sv$1@dont-email.me...
> On 5/30/2011 11:35 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
>> It was the grandparents address used in the birth
>> announcements ...
>
> "It was the grandparents address used in the birth announcements ..."
>
> So she used her grandparents address. You were expecting an 18 year old to
> have her own house?
>
> Another strikeout for you, Johnny Kooktard!

But that is not any evidence that she actually LIVED at her parent's house
at the time.

3777. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 21:19:25 UTC
From: Peter Franks <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <is11kt$a3m$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231703
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/30/2011 6:59 AM, RHF wrote:
> On May 29, 10:37 am, Peter Franks<n...@none.com> wrote:
> - That doesn't explain anything.
> - How about addressing the question:
> -
> - Why should the wealthy pay more than
> - their fair share?
>
> ? Define "Their Fair Share" !
> .
> Who Benefits By Our Society ?
> -and- What Is Their Reward ?
> Beyond The Ability to Live a Reasonable
> Life Food, Cloth and House Their Family.
> -four-examples-
> .
> 1st -Taxing the $5K 'Disposable Income' @ 20%
> of the average Working Man {Family} :
> Leaves them with just $4K.
> .
> 2nd - Taxing the $25K 'Disposable Income'
> @ 20% of the High Income Earner {Family} :
> Leaves them with just $20K.
> .
> 3rd -Taxing the $250K 'Disposable Income'
> @ 20% of the Very High Income Earner {Family} :
> Leaves them with just $200K; and that is
> Four-Times [4X] the Gross Income of the
> average Working Man {Family}.
> .
> 4rd - Taxing the $2.5M 'Disposable Income'
> @ 20% of the Rich and Wealth {Family} :
> Leaves them with just $2.0M; and that is
> Forty-Times [40X] the Gross Income of the
> average Working Man {Family}; and this
> Ensures High Income Earners Pay* Their Fair
> {Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society.
> * Simply Paying Back To {Into} The Society :
> That Which You Have Received Greatly From It
> -paying-back-social-responsibility-reciprocity-
> .
> Where a Flat Sales Tax is XX% [20%]
> -that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½

> .
> Plus a High Threshold Income Tax is XX% [20%]
> Ensures That The Rich-Wealthy Pay Their Fair
> Share To Support and Maintain Our Society.
> -that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½
> .
> Plus a Corporate Earnings Tax is XX% [20%]
> Ensures That Corporations Pay Their Fair
> Share To Support and Maintain Our Society.
> -that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½
> .
> NOTE : The US Federal Government is simply
> the AGENT of Our American Society {Economy}
> -remember- The US Federal Government is NOT
> Our American Society [.]
> .
> Leveling The Wealth Creation Field :
> The Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ Tax Equality System
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/90cdf4c9ea549075
> Ensuring Tax Equality For All :
> Tax Equality OF The People !
> -that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½
> Tax Equality BY The People !
> -that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½
> Tax Equality FOR The People !
> -that's- Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½
> .
> Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ : Figuring Just Who...
> Should Pay For All of This -hint- Everyone !
> http://groups.google.com/group/talk.politics.guns/msg/1533d01085361532
> .
> The Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ Tax Equality System :
> Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board :
> http://groups.google.com/group/talk.politics.guns/msg/24965a8c3ae93a6e
> Sales = Income = Corporate [All Taxed Equally]
> .
> The Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ Tax Equality System :
> Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board :
> http://groups.google.com/group/talk.politics.guns/msg/2849dcfdd42684ca
> * Figuring Just Who Should Pay For All of This
> -hint- Everyone !
> * Truly Fair& Equitable Tax Plan for All
> * 90% Pay as they Live Naturally [No Tax Paperwork]
> * Flat Corporate Profits Tax that Ensures Corporations
> Pay Their Fair {Equal} Share of the Cost of American
> Society
> * Flat Income Tax for the Top 10% Rich/Wealthy that
> Ensures High Income Earners Pay Their Fair {Equal}
> Share of the Cost of American Society
> * That's a Flat-Tax+Plus2ï¿½ a Truly Fair and

> Equitable Tax Plan for a Healthy and Strong
> America With Fairness and Tax Equality For All.
> "Building a Better America for the 21st Century"
> .
> .
> *OMG/OMA* Let Us Pray . . .
> This Has Been A 'Teachable Moment' ~ RHFï¿½***
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teachable_moment
> .
> *** ï¿½ This is another Copy-Righted 'Brain Fart' of RHF
> -wrt- 'Brain Fart' -yeah- This Idea(s) Stinks : Pew! [P!U!]
> .
> .

Your writing is very strange and borders on the incomprehensible.
Perhaps that is your intent...

Regarding what I presume is one of your responses:

"? Define "Their Fair Share" !"

Fair share would be defined as: proportionally equal.

3778. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 21:25:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28986-4DE40B2F-4264@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231706

Damn politicians!!!, DAMN THEM ALL TO HELL!!! IF THEIR LIPS ARE MOVING,
THEY ARE LIEING!!!!!!!!!!!!
cuhulin

3779. Re: Winning to lose!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 21:29:33 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c39d9f09-2df1-4090-8155-81e45de96690@r27g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231705
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 30, 5:43 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 30, 5:38 am, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 30, 5:25 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > > On 5/30/2011 2:22 AM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > ...
> > > > The Constitution went out the window in 1935.when Americas bankruptcy
> > > > was declared legal.All previous law cases were never again to be used
> > > > as a refference to the new sepreme law of the land.Everything has been
> > > > rattified not to use the original constitution as a legitament
> > > > document!
>
> > > > The Slave owners Constitution has be replaced with National Socialism
> > > > guidelines,to bring about One World Order/government.
>
> > > Yeah, that is going to happen too ... over a bunch of dead patriots bodies!
>
> > > ROFLOL
>
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
>
> > It was Roosevelts job to destroy the original Constitution.They put
> > him in office to make sure it took place as planned!
>
> > Scroll down and read:
> > US.INC.GOES TO GENEVA 1930's
>
> >http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html-Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
Erie vs. Thompkins sealed our fate!

Hince the word "railroaded" became public knowlege of the adverse
affect on the US CONSTITUTION.

3780. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 21:41:45 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <411caa27-150e-4b66-80fc-b1c6fe0f7909@ct4g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231707
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 29, 6:25 pm, BDK wrote:
> In article <2b8c89fb-ef85-4e70-919e-
> d39f03c70...@l6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 27, 12:30 pm, BDK wrote:
> > > In article <70648f2e-dadb-4fee-b293-
> > > 5266d8ef5...@g28g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> > > says...
>
> > > > On May 26, 11:51 pm, Iarnrod wrote:
> > > > > On May 26, 5:04 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> > > > > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > > > > > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > > > >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > > > > > >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > > > > > >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated
> > > > > > > > >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > > > > > > > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > > > > > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > > > > > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > > > > > >> > average for the majority.
> > > > > > > > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > > > > > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > > > > > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
```

> > > > > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > > > > > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > > > > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > > > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > > > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > > > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > > > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
>
> > > > > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
>
> > > >http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=36&contentid=3887
>
> > > > Now thats slooooow
>
> > > Do you ever go to websites that aren't kooksites, Joe?
>
> > > --
> > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > I find facts where they lay even kooksites have a fair amount of truth
> > in them.
>
> No, kooksites have a small amount of truth in them, enough to suck in
> the gullible, such as yourself.
>
>
>
> > Your problem is your in time-out and are not allowed collect
> > anyevidence that sheds light on your governments conspiracy to start
> > wars in other countrys and allow them to bring in THe New World Order
> > even if they commit genocide on their own citizens.
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! Is that supposed to make sense? So when will you post
> any evidence to back up your claims? Kooksites and insane ravings aren't
> proof.
>

>
>
> > The trouble in Somalia,Lybia,Pakastan,Iraq,Afganistan,ect...were all
> > CIA plots to bring about One World Government.It's Sunworship at its
> > finest.Did you know that Italy is now rebuilding the Parthenon to
> > resurrect the goddess Athena?
>
> I doubt that's why they are doing it, if they are rebuilding it. I'm
> sure you read it on a kooksite someplace, right?
>
> --
> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Your right this time I saw it on the kooksite History Channel

3781. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 21:46:44 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d2f2b361-d9c6-482f-8380-e86fc94c9916@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231708
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 30, 4:10 pm, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 30, 5:11 am, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 29, 8:47 pm,Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > > On May 29, 3:42 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > On May 27, 8:13 am,Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > > > > On May 27, 12:52 am, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 26, 11:51 pm,Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > > > > > > On May 26, 5:04 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > > > > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > joeturn wrote
> > > > > > > > > > > innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > > > > > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > >
```

>
> > > > > > > > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> > > > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > > > > > > > > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > > > > > > > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > > > > > > > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > > > > > > > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > > > > > > > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > > > > > > > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
>
> > > > > > > > > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > > > > You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
>
> > > > > > > WrognTurnJoe, YOU don't tell peopel what happened. YOU tell people
> > > > > > > what the kooky voices in your head tell you to say!
>
> > > > > > > Hint: Your claims are physically impossible; the official findings
> > > > > > > resolve every question, the FACT that you cannot NAME ONE THING in the
> > > > > > > NIST report that is incorrect shows you are an idiot.
>
> > > > > So you name one thing that was not correct in the NIST report
>
> > > HEY WrongTurnJoe, you MORON: NOTHING is incorrect in the NIST report.
> > > Your continuing inability to NAME ONE THING proves that.
>
> > > > and
> > > > claim your not the IDIOT.Failure to do soo after you have been shown
> > > > several inconsistances in the NIST report
>
> > > You HAVE NOT NAMED ONE THING that is inconsistent in the NIST report.
> > > Not a one.
>
> > > > puts your intelect in
> > > > jeopardy.Fact is is that its by far harder to find just one thing that
> > > > is not a lie in the NIST report!
>
> > > Then NAME ONE, moron. YOU CAN'T AND YOU WON'T!!
>
> > > BWAHAAAAAHAHAHAAHAHA!!
>

> > > > You have 30 seconds to prove your not the idiot, GO!
>
> > > Done and done. Your constant illiteracy and lack of reasoning skills
> > > and your ignorance of basic physics exposes you.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > You felled miserably you did not post a single thing that NIST got
> > right.
>
> You are ignorant and stupid, WrongTurnJoe. EVERYTHING in NIST is
> entirely correct. YOU are the one who was tasked with NAMING ONE THING
> in NIST that you think is incorrect and YOU have failed. It remains
> standing unchallenged as the truth. Your continued complete inability
> to NAME ONE THING only serves to underscore how correct its every
> detail is.
>
> > But we all have felled trying to find anything in the NIST
> > REPORT that was not fiction,
>
> Why have you not yet been capable of NAMING ONE, WrongTurnJoe?
>
> > so,its like trying to find a needle in a
> > haystack.Even though you could not proove yourself not to be an IDIOT
> > it was an impossible task for you!
>
> Projection
>
> All my claims are proven TRUE while yours are proven false. How do you
> respond? With more idiocy and running away.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Its hard to hide from you limprod you have the hotts for me and I cant
get away from your stalking me! I wont allow you to catch me till you
promise to be gentle.

3782. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 22:06:49 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b3a73cf1-8ae5-4bfb-9c10-6cf9b9ebefa7@u26g2000vby.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231709
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

```
On May 23, 7:46 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote
innews:56dbdfd0-9478-4ffd-8f98-20a379faa40c@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 23, 3:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> innews:ireb6k$p5j$1@dont-email.me
> >>:
>
> >> > On 5/22/2011 2:16 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >> >> On May 22, 4:47 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >>> On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
>
> >> >>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> >>>> "Iarnrod"<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> >>>>news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegroups
> >> >>>>.c
> >> >>>> om...
> >> >>>>> On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >>>>>> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
> >> >>>>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> >>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >>>>>>>news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
> >> >>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
> >> >>>>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >>>>>>>>>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> >> >>>>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> >> >>>>>>>>>> ...
> >> >>>>>>>>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should
> >> >>>>>>>>>> accept your claims over photographic evidence is noted.
> >> >>>>>>>>>> Your denial of photographic
> >> >>>>>>>>>> evidence is noted.
> >> >>>>>>>>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't
> >> >>>>>>>>>> match your claims.
> >> >>>>>>>>>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain until
> >> >>>>>>>>>> you deal with
> >> >>>>>>>>>> it ...
> >> >>>>>>>>> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance
> >> >>>>>>>>> and that you
```

> >> >>>>>>>>> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't be
> >> >>>>>>>>> talking to
> >> >>>>>>>>> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity even
> >> >>>>>>>>> further.
> >> >>>>>>>>>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I do
> >> >>>>>>>>>> is repeat the
> >> >>>>>>>>>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
> >> >>>>>>>>> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot that
> >> >>>>>>>>> is so ignorant
> >> >>>>>>>>> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the process
> >> >>>>>>>>> of falling
> >> >>>>>>>>> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his
> >> >>>>>>>>> experts might not
> >> >>>>>>>>> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough to
> >> >>>>>>>>> buy their Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
> >> >>>>>>>>> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by
> >> >>>>>>>>> your own admission.
> >> >>>>>>>>> Which means you're really not the right person to be trying
> >> >>>>>>>>> to talk about
> >> >>>>>>>>> this stuff then are you?
> >> >>>>>>>>> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since maybe
> >> >>>>>>>>> they might
> >> >>>>>>>>> actually know what they're talking about rather than simply
> >> >>>>>>>>> mouthing the
> >> >>>>>>>>> claims of others that they don't understand, can't support,
> >> >>>>>>>>> and can't
> >> >>>>>>>>> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
> >> >>>>>>>>> So now that we've established you as an ignorant mouthpiece
> >> >>>>>>>>> by your own
> >> >>>>>>>>> admission, is there any further reason anyone should listen
> >> >>>>>>>>> to you for
> >> >>>>>>>>> even a second?
> >> >>>>>>>>> I think your post is more about you than me, why don't you
> >> >>>>>>>>> ask others if
> >> >>>>>>>>> they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are, or
> >> >>>>>>>>> they are fooled
> >> >>>>>>>>> into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis,
> >> >>>>>>>>> facts, etc.?
> >> >>>>>>> IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.- Hide
> >> >>>>>>> quoted text -
> >> >>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
> >> >>>>>> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the
> >> >>>>>> pentagram.
> >> >>>>> What missile attack, insane nut job?
> >> >>>> Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert" analysis
> >> >>>> of the frames from the Pentagon surveillance video showing the
> >> >>>> plane crashing into the side of the Pentagon.
> >> >>>> Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even
> >> >>>> consider is that even on the video the SCALE of the image would
> >> >>>> clearly preclude a missile given that it's at least 2 stories in

> >> >>>> height as compared to the 5 stories of the Pentagon.
> >> >>>> But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a missile, and
> >> >>>> that's good enough "proof" for Joe.
> >> >>>>>> Which
> >> >>>>>> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
> >> >>>>> When did missiles hit it?
> >> >>>> I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
> >> >>>>>> Three missiles are were
> >> >>>>>> recorded in the same FBI release,
> >> >>>>> Show us, insnae nut job.
> >> >>>> Yea, one "missile" per frame.<snicker>
> >> >>>>>> Well the remnates of the first one is
> >> >>>>>> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can see
> >> >>>>>> the next two in motion!
> >> >>>>> Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
> >> >>>> "It is too late for that"
> >> >>>> Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
> >> >>>> - Show quoted text -
> >> >>> But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will only
> >> >>> contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>
> >> >>> Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket plume!-
> >> >>> Hide quoted text -
>
> >> >>> - Show quoted text -
> >> >> PS
>
> >> >> I did not pay any attention to what the guy in the middle link
> >> >> says I just saw the missile on my own look at it before the red
> >> >> box is drawn!
>
> >> >> Then in the top link you can see it over and over again,There is
> >> >> no plane just a missile launched from withing the fenced in
> >> >> constrution area.
>
> >> >> What kind of military vehicle is that at mark 7:03 in the video
> >> >> below a flatbed firetruck? I recall seeing it parked beside the
> >> >> construction gererater dont you?
>
> >> >>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SjcoPEGRXjo&feature=player_embedded
>
> >> > There is some reason the tape of what actually happened at the
> >> > pentacon has NOT been released, even yet, today ...
>
> >> Yes, it has. There are videos, tours of the damage, stories from the
> >> survivors......what else do you want?
>
> >> --
> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>

> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > I too am a survivor of 911 I was not there at the time like most
> > government paid stooges.But I can tell you the cartoon they put on for
> > the TV audience was nothing like realy being there! The area was roped
> > off and most of the props were put into the staged area before the
> > hologramed air craft was projected!
>
> > They even put the JT8MD engine on the sidewalk and covered it up with
> > a tarp so it would go unnoticed till after the hologram!
>
> Wow!! Now I know where those berries disappeared to. Did you have them
> with milk or simply eat them raw?
>
> --
> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> If you woke up this morning....
> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

They were not berrys RD they were coffee beans.They ground them up in the cat shit soo they could charge you more for them. You gulped them down with every sip and I'll bet you smilled and said eye instead of saying this taste and smells exactly like cat shit!

You taste for shit is appaling,but to each his own!

3783. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 22:15:39 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is14u9sau1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/30/2011 2:14 PM, SaPeIsMa wrote:

>
> ...
> No one has demonstrated where his mother was ANYWHERE at the time
> Why don't you provide evidence to suggest the mother was in Hawaii.
> An birth notices in newspapers are not good enough, since anyone can buy
> such birth notices for a few bucks.
>

Oh, much worse than that, this extracted from Jerome Corsi -- "Where's the Birth Certificate?: The Case that Barack Obama is not Eligible to be President" (partial, pages 73, 74):

"The Hawaiian law in effect at the time of Obamaï¿½s birth was the Revised Law of the Territory of Hawaii, 1955.
Under Chapter 57, ï¿½Vital Statistics,ï¿½ Section 9(a) opens the door for a family to make a false claim and obtain a Hawaii birth certificate for a child born outside the state. The relevant section of the statute provides that if neither parent of the newborn child is available to prepare a birth certificate, ï¿½the local registrar shall secure the necessary information from any person having knowledge of the birth and prepare and file the certificate.ï¿½
Clearly, Obamaï¿½s maternal grandparents could have presented themselves to the local registrar to obtain a birth certificate for Barack Hussein Obama, Jr., even if the child were born outside Hawaii.
Furthermore, Section 57ï¿½9(b) allows the filing of a birth certificate on which the required information is simply missing, to be filled in later with a ï¿½supplementary report.ï¿½ When a supplementary report is filed to complete an earlier filed Hawaiian birth certificate, the resulting new document was not characterized or recorded as either ï¿½delayedï¿½ or ï¿½altered.ï¿½
This provision gave exceptionally wide latitude for a family to record a birth as a ï¿½Hawaiian birthï¿½ and to receive a Hawaii birth certificate.
Hawaii Territorial Law Chapter 57 makes no provision for the local registrar to investigate or otherwise corroborate information a family provides to obtain a Hawaiian birth certificate on a newborn baby.
Section 57ï¿½8 specifies that ï¿½a certificate of every birth shall be filed with the local registrar of the district in which the birth occurred, by the physician, midwife or other legally authorized person in attendance at the birth; or if not so attended, by one of the parents.ï¿½ Then, as we saw above, Section 57ï¿½9(a) specified that if the parents were not available, anyone having knowledge of the birth could register it as a Hawaiian birth with the local registrar.

A review of the 1955 Hawaii Territorial Law leaves no doubt that
a Hawaiian birth certificate was designed to be easily available to any
Hawaiian family that wanted to claim a child had been born to the
family in Hawaii, whether or not that was the case. If the family did
not get around to filing for a birth certificate immediately, Section
57ï¿½19 provided that the family could file for a delayed certificate up
to one year after the birth. Similarly, if the initial information filed
needed to be changed, Section 57ï¿½19 provided that the certificate could
be altered up to one year after the baby was born.
A key point is that Hawaiiï¿½s official birth certification system grew
from an era when many babies were born at home. Thus, Hawaiiï¿½s official
birth certification process functioned by accepting what amounted to the
familyï¿½s word."

Regards,
JS

3784. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 22:23:04 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is15c6sau2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/30/2011 2:17 PM, SaPeIsMa wrote:
> "Joe from Kokomo" <joe@indy.net> wrote in message
> news:is0mvj$2sv$1@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/30/2011 11:35 AM, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> It was the grandparents address used in the birth
>>> announcements ...
>>
>> "It was the grandparents address used in the birth announcements ..."
>>
>> So she used her grandparents address. You were expecting an 18 year
>> old to have her own house?
>>
>> Another strikeout for you, Johnny Kooktard!
>
>
> But that is not any evidence that she actually LIVED at her parent's
> house at the time.
>
>

The newspaper announcements are worthless, only morons would attempt to use them as an "proof", of anything!

FROM, Jerome Corsi -- "Where's the Birth Certificate?: The Case that Barack Obama is not Eligible to be President" (page 75):

"But the birth announcements published in the Hawaii newspapers prove only that Obamaï¿½s birth was registered in Hawaii, not that he was actually born there. As we saw earlier, the Hawaii DOH would have accepted a statement from the family that the baby was born in Hawaii without demanding any proof. Hawaii vital statistics records demonstrate there were forty-two unattended births at home in Hawaii in 1961."

Anyone attempting to use the newspaper as proof has already lost the argument and has nothing better -- indeed, they have nothing at all!

Regards,
JS

3785. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 22:23:56 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is15dqsau3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231712

On 5/30/2011 2:25 PM, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Damn politicians!!!, DAMN THEM ALL TO HELL!!! IF THEIR LIPS ARE MOVING,
> THEY ARE LIEING!!!!!!!!!!!!
> cuhulin
>

Yep!

But then, you can say the same about republicrats and be just as correct ...

Regards,
JS

3786. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 22:42:22 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is16gdsav1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,talk.politics.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On 5/30/2011 2:05 PM, George Plimpton wrote:

> ...
>> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>>
>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>>
>>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which
>>>>> he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the
>>>>> Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III,
>>>>> Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>>
>>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State
>>>>> at birth:
>>>>>
>>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth,
>>>>> which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical
>>>>> presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have
>>>>> been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and
>>>>> never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>>> Yes, he woul
>>>
>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which
>>> he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the
>>> Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III,
>>> Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>
>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at
>>> birth:
>>>
>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
```

>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>
>>>
>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth,
>>> which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical
>>> presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been
>>> born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never
>>> naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>
>> Yes, he
>
> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he
> very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration
> and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>
> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>
> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>
>
> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which
> means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence
> requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a
> citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never
> naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

And, from

"Why Obama Is Not a Natural-born Citizen Under
the 14th Amendment.

From, Jerome Corsi -- "Where's the Birth Certificate?: The Case that
Barack Obama is not Eligible to be President" (Pgs. 50, 51)

Supporters of illegal immigration use the 14th Amendment to argue that
children of illegal aliens are automatically American citizens if they
are born in the United States. Creating what is today known as
ï¿½birthright citizenship,ï¿½ the argument relies on an interpretation of
the 14th Amendment never intended or contemplated by its authors.
The controversial interpretation demands an exclusive focus on the

first clause of the first sentence, but excluding the second clause, as if the sentence read: ï¿½All persons born or naturalized in the United States ï¿½ are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside.ï¿½
Advocates for illegal immigrants intentionally ignore the qualifying clause, ï¿½and subject to the jurisdiction thereof.ï¿½
Read correctly, the amendmentï¿½s first sentence stipulates two conditions for birth citizenship: (1) the person must be born in the United States, and (2) he must also be subject to the jurisdiction of the United States at the time he is born. Read incorrectly, the sentence assumes the person is under the jurisdiction of the United States just because he is born in the United States.
To understand the importance of this distinction, recall that the authors of the 14th Amendment intended that a child of foreign diplomats born in the United States would not automatically be considered a U.S. citizen.
Why not? Because the parents were understood to bear their allegiance to the nation they were representing as diplomats while living in the United States. In other words, the authors of the 14th Amendment presumed a child born in the United States would have the citizenship of the parents. A child born in the United States to foreign nationals would be considered a citizen of the foreign nation to which the parents bore allegiance.
The author of the citizenship language of the 14th Amendment, Michigan Senator Jacob M. Howard, arose in the Senate during the 1866 debate to clarify: ï¿½This amendment which I have offered is simply declaratory of what I regard as the law of the land already, that every person born within the limits of the United States, and subject to their jurisdiction, is by virtue of natural law and national law a citizen of the United States.
This will not, of course, include persons born in the United States whoare foreigners, aliens, who belong to the families of ambassadors or foreign ministers accredited to the Government of the United States, but will include every other class of persons.ï¿½87
The records of the 1866 congressional debates make clear that Senator Howardï¿½s views were shared by Illinois Senator Lyman Trumbull, who, like Howard, is considered a primary framer of the 14th Amendment. Both senators agreed that the ï¿½subject to the jurisdictionï¿½ clause was crafted to mean ï¿½sole and completeï¿½ U.S. jurisdiction, excluding anyone subject to the jurisdiction of a foreign power."

Since the father, claimed by obama jr., was NOT a citizen, we have a real and important problem!

Regards,
JS

3787. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 22:45:18 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is16lssav2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231714
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy

On 5/30/2011 1:53 PM, joeturn wrote:

> ...
> The only thing that is constitutional is a daily shit,your belief in
> freedom and Democracy is costing millions to die John Smith.
>
> "Freedoms just another word for nothing left to loose"
> Joan Baez

You are simply taking a treasonous stand against the Constitution and
the American citizens who live by the ONLY legal and applicable laws of
the land ... that is pretty much a product of our failed school system ...

Regards,
JS

3788. Re: Granted, some criminals ignore the Constitution, many are even public servants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 22:47:31 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is16q1sav3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231715
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/30/2011 1:48 PM, joeturn wrote:

> ...
> Same as you we are both smoking the UCC but you cant see it.Our old
> constitution does not apply to anyone that is a citizen of the USA.We
> are simply worker bees to pay off the USA Bankrutcy debt.Our Solvern
> Queen Mom owns the USA and calls all the shots and she does not apply
> the constitution she goes by the UCC.
>
> You forgot the creature from Jekyll Island.Ga.
>
> You are living in a bubble John Smith you concentrate on small issues
> such as politics when you should be looking at the big picture. At
> least you dont have a grammar hangup that overides your thought
> prossess but it is some else that causes you not to be able to grasp
> reality.

I am simply no reason for you to deny and ignore Constitutional law, the
only legal law of the land ...

I am afraid your reasons will have to stand on their own.

Regards,
JS

3789. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 22:51:35 UTC
From: David Hartung <david@hotmai*l.com>
Message-ID: <deudnanMooDqgnnQnZ2dnUVZ_oGdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 05/30/2011 04:14 PM, SaPeIsMa wrote:
> "David Hartung" <david@hotmai*l.com> wrote in message
> news:rtOdnd1LvdrbI37QnZ2dnUVZ_uydnZ2d@giganews.com...
>> On 05/30/2011 10:51 AM, John Smith wrote:
>>> On 5/30/2011 8:42 AM, BDK wrote:
>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Every time you post something about the BC thing, you prove how
>>>> clueless
>>>> you are. Youreally don't understand how anything really works, do you,
>>>> Johnny KooK?
>>>>
>>>> The birth announcements come from the hospital, you idiot.
>>>>
>>>
>>> There are NO RECORDS FROM THE HOSPITAL proving that obama was born at
>>> either one ...
>>>
>>> The announcements came from the grandparents ...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> JS
>>
>> For the claim that Obama was born outside the USA to have any
>> validity, you would have to show that hos mother had been outside the
>> USA the day he was born. Thus far, that hasn't been done.
>>
>> IOW, stop beating this dead horse and instead focus on Obama's
>> demonstrated incompetence.
>
>
>
> No one has demonstrated where his mother was ANYWHERE at the time
> Why don't you provide evidence to suggest the mother was in Hawaii.
> An birth notices in newspapers are not good enough, since anyone can buy
> such birth notices for a few bucks.

You just do not get it. I have no need to prove anything. It is you who
is trying to claim the president has lied about this.

3790. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 22:58:23 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is17ef$h1n$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/30/2011 3:51 PM, David Hartung wrote:

> ...
> You just do not get it. I have no need to prove anything. It is you who
> is trying to claim the president has lied about this.
>

Using that logic, it will make it more difficult to prove that people
claiming they are being visited by aliens are nuts.

However, at least at this time, I think mostly nuts, as I do you ...

Regards,
JS

3791. Re: Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 23:07:45 UTC
From: SaPeIsMa <SapeIsMa@Hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pfqdnZH2fJiPuXnQnZ2dnUVZ_u2dnZ2d@bright.net>
Source record: 231718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"David Hartung" <david@hotmai*l.com> wrote in message
news:deudnanMooDqgnnQnZ2dnUVZ_oGdnZ2d@giganews.com...
> On 05/30/2011 04:14 PM, SaPeIsMa wrote:
>> "David Hartung" <david@hotmai*l.com> wrote in message
>> news:rtOdnd1LvdrbI37QnZ2dnUVZ_uydnZ2d@giganews.com...
>>> On 05/30/2011 10:51 AM, John Smith wrote:
>>>> On 5/30/2011 8:42 AM, BDK wrote:
>>>>
>>>> ...
>>>> Every time you post something about the BC thing, you prove how
>>>> clueless
>>>> you are. Youreally don't understand how anything really works, do you,
>>>> Johnny KooK?
>>>>
>>>> The birth announcements come from the hospital, you idiot.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> There are NO RECORDS FROM THE HOSPITAL proving that obama was born at
>>>> either one ...
>>>>
>>>> The announcements came from the grandparents ...
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> JS
>>>
>>> For the claim that Obama was born outside the USA to have any
>>> validity, you would have to show that hos mother had been outside the
>>> USA the day he was born. Thus far, that hasn't been done.
>>>
>>> IOW, stop beating this dead horse and instead focus on Obama's
>>> demonstrated incompetence.
>>
>>
>>
>> No one has demonstrated where his mother was ANYWHERE at the time
>> Why don't you provide evidence to suggest the mother was in Hawaii.
>> An birth notices in newspapers are not good enough, since anyone can buy
>> such birth notices for a few bucks.
>
> You just do not get it. I have no need to prove anything. It is you who is
> trying to claim the president has lied about this.
>

Except for the fact that I have made NO CLAIMS about Obama's birth EVER, you
may have a point.
Too bad for you that I'm not bound by your projections.

3792. Re: Granted, some criminals ignore the Constitution, many are even public servants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-30 23:51:04 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <872798ae-e7b8-4404-bf9c-a852999f960c@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231719
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 30, 6:47 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/30/2011 1:48 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > ...
> > Same as you we are both smoking the UCC but you cant see it.Our old
> > constitution does not apply to anyone that is a citizen of the USA.We
> > are simply worker bees to pay off the USA Bankrutcy debt.Our Solvern
> > Queen Mom owns the USA and calls all the shots and she does not apply
> > the constitution she goes by the UCC.
>
> > You forgot the creature from Jekyll Island.Ga.
>
> > You are living in a bubble John Smith you concentrate on small issues
> > such as politics when you should be looking at the big picture. At
> > least you dont have a grammar hangup that overides your thought
> > prossess but it is some else that causes you not to be able to grasp
> > reality.
>
> I am simply no reason for you to deny and ignore Constitutional law, the
> only legal law of the land ...
>
> I am afraid your reasons will have to stand on their own.
>
> Regards,
> JS

WAKE UP JOHN! smell the coffee its a litterbox!

http://www.freedom-school.com/ucc-and-you.pdf

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread555233/pg1

3793. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 00:05:22 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-4DE430C2-13159@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231720

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Blueprint+to+unwedge+in+2012+revealed

I says to my little couch buddy doggy, I says,,, What's up wichoo? She starts howling and jumps down on the floor and pacing back and forth with more howling.

What's up wichoo? I think it's the wichoo that gets on her nerves.
cuhulin

3794. Re: Granted, some criminals ignore the Constitution, many are even public servants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 02:37:17 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is1k8t$i1g$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231721
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

```
On 5/30/2011 4:51 PM, joeturn wrote:
> On May 30, 6:47 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>> On 5/30/2011 1:48 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>> ...
>>> Same as you we are both smoking the UCC but you cant see it.Our old
>>> constitution does not apply to anyone that is a citizen of the USA.We
>>> are simply worker bees to pay off the USA Bankrutcy debt.Our Solvern
>>> Queen Mom owns the USA and calls all the shots and she does not apply
>>> the constitution she goes by the UCC.
>>
>>> You forgot the creature from Jekyll Island.Ga.
>>
>>> You are living in a bubble John Smith you concentrate on small issues
>>> such as politics when you should be looking at the big picture. At
>>> least you dont have a grammar hangup that overides your thought
>>> prossess but it is some else that causes you not to be able to grasp
>>> reality.
>>
>> I am simply no reason for you to deny and ignore Constitutional law, the
>> only legal law of the land ...
>>
>> I am afraid your reasons will have to stand on their own.
>>
>> Regards,
>> JS
>
> WAKE UP JOHN! smell the coffee its a litterbox!
>
> http://www.freedom-school.com/ucc-and-you.pdf
>
> http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread555233/pg1
>

You seem to feel that because the criminals do not obey the constitution
there is now no reason for anyone else to.

I don't, we simply imprison and hang the criminals and return the law to
the land.

This is the TRUE reason the forefathers gave us weapons for!

I think Jefferson said it best:
```

"The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with the blood of patriots and tyrants. It is itï¿½s natural manure."

It is no different than any other war, in this case it is just more personal and involves domestic enemies, as opposed to foreign.

It amazes me, back when in school in the 60's, this was standard fare and you were taught to protect your country -- because if you lose it, you don't have a country to live in ... I guess the school system has failed many, again ...

Regards,
JS

3795. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Correct All the Time, and Blimpton Agrees...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 03:26:48 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8d00fc09-f09e-4e84-981d-0f5c193c7b2e@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231722
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 30, 3:03 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:

> On 5/9/2011 5:00 AM,Iarnrod wrote:
> > On May 8, 10:45 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> On 5/8/2011 9:42 PM, k...@kymhorsell.com wrote:
> >>> In sci.skeptic George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >>>> On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM,Iarnrod wrote:
> >>>>> On May 8, 10:22 am, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >>>>>> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
> >>>>>> woman, gets everything wrong.
> >>>>> I of course have never been wrong.
> >>>> Always.
> >>>> Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're
> >>>> (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's
> >>>> with the fucking lame charade, boy?
> >>> Mother fixation.
> >> It's some kind of psychological abnormality. I don't know if it's
> >> treatable or not.
> > Well,
> Well, why don't you fuck off, boy?

I am having too much fun shitting down your throat, rightard. Why
would you want to deprive me of my daily fun kicking around you
rightards? Do you hate America?

3796. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 03:28:59 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0c1e091e-7ead-4ba2-befb-b4585b400532@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231723
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 30, 3:46 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Its hard to hide from you limprod you have the hotts for me and I cant
> get away from your stalking me! I wont allow you to catch me till you
> promise to be gentle.

Catch you? Where, in your rubber cell? Sorry but the nice men in the
white coats beat me to it a long time ago, WrongTurnJoe.

Hey, when will you even bother to TRY to NAME ONE THING that is wrong
in the NIST report? By now it's painfully embarrassing to you that
you've been unable to come up with even one error in the official
findings.

<chuckle>

3797. Re: OBAMA: Hawaii birth records positively prove he is a natural born citizen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 03:31:07 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ad7fab2c-43f7-43d2-b865-228a546150a7@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf

On May 30, 2:25 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/30/2011 1:18 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>
> > On May 30, 1:46 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EYW8KSsP1Ag
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > Alex Jones is a proven liar. There is nothing he has yet said that
> > actually is true. Sorta like you, Johnny KQQK!!
>
> > Hint: Hawaii has proven Obama was born there.
>
> You are the only proven liar here.

That is of course a lie, but we're not surprised since everything you have said is a lie, as I proved beyond question.

Hey Johnny KQQK, tell ya what, howzabout you just go and try to NAME ONE THING I have said that you "think" was a lie!!

We all know what comes next! The Johnny KQQK Tap Dance! DANCE for us, Johnny!

3798. Re: OBAMA: Hawaii birth records positively prove he is a natural born citizen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 03:32:01 UTC
From: "Criminal Drivers Murder 35,000 Americans a Year" <xeton2001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <721c5b5c-9435-4a63-8f3a-3d970aa176f0@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf

On May 30, 2:18 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 30, 1:46 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EYW8KSsP1Ag
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Alex Jones is a proven liar. There is nothing he has yet said that
> actually is true. Sorta like you, Johnny KQQK!!
>
> Hint: Hawaii has proven Obama was born there.

HAHAHA. The birth certificate was exposed as a fake weeks ago. Not
that anything will be done about it. If bush can get away with lying
us into holocausting iraq and murdering a million innocent civilians
then i'm sure no govt official will do anything to bammer over his
birth lie.

3799. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 03:56:30 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <66mdnRZUWvCB-nnQnZ2dnUVZ5sKdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231726
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/7/2011 9:33 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 7, 3:55 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/7/2011 11:54 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 6, 4:39 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/6/2011 2:02 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 6, 9:32 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>>>> On May 5, 11:56 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>>> On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
>>>>>>>>>>> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
>>>>>>>>>>>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
>>>>>>>>>>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
>>>>>>>>>>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
>>>>>>>>>>>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
>>>>>>>>>>>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>>

>>>>>>>>>>> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> This should be a good one..
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted
text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
>>>>>>>>>> tax this is British tarriffs
>>
>>>>>>>>> You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.
>>
>>>>>>>> I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British
>>>>>>>> dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!
>>
>>>>>>> OK.
>>
>>>>>>> http://www.ushistory.org/DECLARATION/document/images/declarationscanb...
>>
>>>>>> That doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>>>> It proves everything,
>>
>>>> It doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>> "Boy!"
>>
>> Correct.
>
>> You're a snarky boy, wrong about everything.
>
> I LOVE the smell of irony

You're a snarky boy. You're male - nominally - and due to your
immaturity, you're a boy, and due to your snarky, wet-behind-the-ears
juvenile demeanor, you're a snarky boy - live with it, boy.

3800. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 03:56:41 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <66mdnRBUWvC6-nnQnZ2dnUVZ5sKdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3801. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 03:56:48 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <66mdnRNUWvCz-nnQnZ2dnUVZ5sIAAAAA@giganews.com>
Source record: 231728
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/7/2011 9:33 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 7, 3:55 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/7/2011 11:54 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 6, 4:39 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/6/2011 2:02 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 6, 9:32 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>>>> On May 5, 11:56 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>>> On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
>>>>>>>>>>> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
>>>>>>>>>>>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
>>>>>>>>>>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
>>>>>>>>>>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
>>>>>>>>>>>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
>>>>>>>>>>>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>>

>>>>>>>>>>> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> This should be a good one..
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted
text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
>>>>>>>>>> tax this is British tarriffs
>>
>>>>>>>>> You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.
>>
>>>>>>>> I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British
>>>>>>>> dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!
>>
>>>>>>> OK.
>>
>>>>>>> http://www.ushistory.org/DECLARATION/document/images/declarationscanb...
>>
>>>>>> That doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>>>> It proves everything,
>>
>>>> It doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>> "Boy!"
>>
>> Correct.
>
>> You're a snarky boy, wrong about everything.
>
> I LOVE the smell of irony

You're a snarky boy. You're male - nominally - and due to your
immaturity, you're a boy, and due to your snarky, wet-behind-the-ears
juvenile demeanor, you're a snarky boy - live with it, boy.

3802. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 03:56:59 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <66mdnQ1UWvCk-nnQnZ2dnUVZ5sIAAAAA@giganews.com>
Source record: 231729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3803. Re: Radio Mauritanie right now! - sound recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 04:05:26 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <545dc6dc-b5a6-4403-bc7d-1f6ed4f9546a@e26g2000vbz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231730

```
On May 30, 4:24 pm, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 30, 12:43 am, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 30, 2:27 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 29, 8:19 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > On May 29, 8:46 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
>
> > > > > On 05/29/2011 12:28 AM, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > > On May 28, 10:18 pm, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > >> On May 27, 11:26 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > > > >>> On May 28, 1:58 am, bpnjensen<bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > > > >>>> Good news! MAURITANIA, Radio Mauritanie, 7245 kHz, near start at 0555
> > > > > >>>> UTC, 28 May 2011, excellent signal 45454, Q'uran reading by male in
> > > > > >>>> Arabic (Jensen, CA)
>
> > > > > >>> Very weak signal over here at 0630 UTC . Some kind of prayer or Holy
> > > > > >>> Book reading . Definitily Arabic language .
>
> > > > > >> Sorry about that - it was a kickass signal hereabouts in California.
> > > > > >> At 06:30, they were doing some kind of an interview in Arabic between
> > > > > >> the studio host and what sounded like an older fellow.
>
> > > > > > Suddenly came alive at 0655 UTC . No more religious programs so far .
> > > > > > Signal is fading in and out wildly . Heard some music as well !
>
> > > > > Wildly as in polar warbling? Does it fade completely. Is there selective
> > > > > fading. Does ECSS or sync detection fix it?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > Deep fades , occasional total fadeout . Didn't try sync detector --
> > > > will turn it on next time this broadcast is on the air .
>
> > > Right now, 0624z, May 30, 2011, hearing Mauritanie again on 7245, not
> > > as good as two days ago (now SINPO = 35343), but still pretty good
```

> > > copy of Arabic Q'uran or chanting. Noise a bit higher, Fading =
> > > gentle fluttering. FWIW, heard VO Tanzania Zanzibar earlier at 0300z,
> > > 6015, SINPO 14331 improving slightly as the hour progressed (owing, I
> > > reckon, to the mutual grayline passage).
>
> > > Bruce Jensen- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > 7245 KHz is barely audible today . Heard some voices and little
> > of music around 0630 UTC and then it slowly started to disappear .
> > Completely . Tried both sync detector,ECSS -- no use . BTW ,BSKSA
> > supposedly uses 7240 KHZ .
>
> OK, Tnx on the BSKSA 7240...
>
> Here is a recording of the 7245 kHz station beginning at 0626 UTC on
> May 28, 2011. At 01:07 minutes on the recording, a station ID is
> heard with the words "Mauritania Nouakchott" clearly stated.
>
> http://soundcloud.com/bpnjensen/mauritania-rm-7245-khz-28-may
>
> Needless to say, going for a QSL with this one. Faint hopes, but
> gotta try.
>
> Bruce Jensen- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Wow ! Nice recording . Which radio /antenna/auxiliary equipment did
you use for that ?

3804. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 04:11:56 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <5c14e367-6359-4a6e-b2c8-ec3a7a4d4031@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 30, 1:49 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>
> news:ab361d67-a145-41b8-abb8-6f0ffcb7cdda@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 30, 9:16 am, "Scout"
> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> "RHF" <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>
> >>news:bcbfff71-3a14-4f28-8afe-5f97ead3c427@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> > On May 28, 8:31 am, Peter Franks <n...@none.com> wrote:
> >> >> On 5/28/2011 7:54 AM, Deep Dudu wrote:
>
> >> >> > On Sat, 28 May 2011 10:13:56 -0400, "Scout"
> >> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
> >> >> >> "Sid9"<sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> >> >> >>news:irqv9fgeo1@dont-email.me...
>
> >> >> >>> "RHF"<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> >> >> >>>news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >>>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> >>>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>
> >> >> >>>>> ...
> >> >> >>>>> What is wrong with this country?
>
> >> >> >>>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>
> >> >> >>>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are honestly
> >> >> >>>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>
> >> >> >>>>> -- or --

>
> >> >> >>>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow government
> >> >> >>>>> criminal
> >> >> >>>>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain
> >> >> >>>>> absolute
> >> >> >>>>> police state control over all American citizens.
>
> >> >> >>>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang
> >> >> >>>>> either
> >> >> >>>>> the
> >> >> >>>>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>
> >> >> >>>>> Regards,
> >> >> >>>>> JS
>
> >> >> >>>> JS -please- This is not simply the
> >> >> >>>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>
> >> >> >>>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New& Improved Plan :
> >> >> >>>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
> >> >> >>>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
> >> >> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
> >> >> >>>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
> >> >> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
> >> >> >>>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>
> >> >> >>>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
> >> >> >>>> Tax You More& Spend More of Your Tax Money
> >> >> >>>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>
> >> >> >>>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
> >> >> >>>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
> >> >> >>>> .
> >> >> >>>> .
>
> >> >> >>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>
> >> >> >> What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>
> >> >> > Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy.
> >> >> > Taxing
> >> >> > the hell out of them and putting the money directly into the economy
> >> >> > will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all tax loopholes
> >> >> > and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains exactlyt he same as
> >> >> > wages.
>
> >> > - Why should the wealthy pay more than
> >> > - their fair share?
>
> >> > ? Define "Their Fair Share" !

> >> > .
> >> > Who Benefits By Our Society ?
> >> > -and- What Is Their Reward ?
> >> > Beyond The Ability to Live a Reasonable
> >> > Life Food, Cloth and House Their Family.
> >> > -four-examples-
> >> > .
> >> > 1st -Taxing the $5K 'Disposable Income' @ 20%
> >> > of the average Working Man {Family} :
> >> > Leaves them with just $4K.
> >> > .
> >> > 2nd - Taxing the $25K 'Disposable Income'
> >> > @ 20% of the High Income Earner {Family} :
> >> > Leaves them with just $20K.
> >> > .
> >> > 3rd -Taxing the $250K 'Disposable Income'
> >> > @ 20% of the Very High Income Earner {Family} :
> >> > Leaves them with just $200K; and that is
> >> > Four-Times [4X] the Gross Income of the
> >> > average Working Man {Family}.
> >> > .
> >> > 4rd - Taxing the $2.5M 'Disposable Income'
> >> > @ 20% of the Rich and Wealth {Family} :
> >> > Leaves them with just $2.0M; and that is
> >> > Forty-Times [40X] the Gross Income of the
> >> > average Working Man {Family}; and this
> >> > Ensures High Income Earners Pay* Their Fair
> >> > {Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society.
> >> > * Simply Paying Back To {Into} The Society :
> >> > That Which You Have Received Greatly From It
> >> > -paying-back-social-responsibility-reciprocity-
>
> >> <snip>
>
> > - Seems to me you're simply bitching because
> > - there is an advantage to busting ass and
> > - getting ahead. Because while they end up
> > - with X times more than case number one,
> > - they also paid X times more.
>
> > No #1's Sale Tax is XX% and #3's Income Tax is XX%
> > XX% = XX% They just Continue to Pay Their Fair Share
> > Beyond the Cost of Buying a Basic Living Through
> > Daily Consumption.
>
> We're discussion the income tax proposal right now, do try to keep up.
>
> > - Seems your gripe is that they still have
> > - more disposable income left over and somehow
> > - you don't see that as fair.

>
> > ? What More Fair ?
> > Taking $5K from the Disposable Income
> > of the average Working Man {Family}
> > and Leaving Them With NOTHING !
>
> Your own numbers above indicate they still have $4k in disposable income.
>
> Are you now revising your numbers without telling people?
>
> Tell me you aren't this dishonest?

Read & Re-Read -try-to-understand-
? Define "Their Fair Share" !
.
Who Benefits By Our Society ?
-and- What Is Their Reward ?
Beyond The Ability to Live a Reasonable
Life Food, Cloth and House Their Family.
-four-examples-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/96e43dec055fe598
First is 20% Tax of $1K on $5K and
Leaving $4K.
-a-little-injustice-to-the-common-man-

? What More Fair ?
Taking $5K from the Disposable Income
of the average Working Man {Family}
and Leaving Them With NOTHING !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e100261343df6fc5
Second is 100% Tax of $5K on $5K and
Leaving $0K [Nothing].
-that's-a-great-injustice-to-the-common-man-
.
-or-
.
Taking $500K from the Disposable Income
of the Rich and Wealth {Family} and
Leaving Them With $2M which is Forty-Times
[40X] the Gross Income of the average
Working Man {Family} !
-and-once-again-
This Ensures High Income Earners Pay* Their Fair
{Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society.
* Simply Paying Back To {Into} The Society :
That Which You Have Received Greatly From It
-paying-back-social-responsibility-reciprocity-
.
Even CRI Radio just had a follow-up Program
on Up-Dating Their Income Tax System and
Equalizing Corporate Taxes. ~ RHF

=Equality=Justice=The=Greater=Good=Fairness=
.
.

3805. Re: OBAMA: Hawaii birth records positively prove he is a natural born citizen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 04:58:48 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <newsgroups@fullspectrumradio.org>
Message-ID: <g_qdnXoVJ68W6HnQnZ2dnVY3goydnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf

"Criminal Drivers Murder 35,000 Americans a Year" wrote in message
news:721c5b5c-9435-4a63-8f3a-3d970aa176f0@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...

On May 30, 2:18 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 30, 1:46 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EYW8KSsP1Ag
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> Alex Jones is a proven liar. There is nothing he has yet said that
> actually is true. Sorta like you, Johnny KQQK!!
>
> Hint: Hawaii has proven Obama was born there.

HAHAHA. The birth certificate was exposed as a fake weeks ago. Not
that anything will be done about it.

Hint: If the state of Hawaii (or any other state, for that matter) produced
it, in no matter WHAT form, it cannot, by definition, be a fake. If the
government produced it, it is a legal document in a court of law.

3806. Re: OBAMA: Hawaii birth records positively prove he is a natural born citizen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 05:44:51 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is1v8i$28i$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/30/2011 9:58 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
> "Criminal Drivers Murder 35,000 Americans a Year" wrote in message
> news:721c5b5c-9435-4a63-8f3a-3d970aa176f0@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
>
> On May 30, 2:18 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 30, 1:46 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EYW8KSsP1Ag
>>
>> > Regards,
>> > JS
>>
>> Alex Jones is a proven liar. There is nothing he has yet said that
>> actually is true. Sorta like you, Johnny KQQK!!
>>
>> Hint: Hawaii has proven Obama was born there.
>
> HAHAHA. The birth certificate was exposed as a fake weeks ago. Not
> that anything will be done about it.
>
>
> ---
>
> ---
>
>
> Hint: If the state of Hawaii (or any other state, for that matter)
> produced it, in no matter WHAT form, it cannot, by definition, be a
> fake. If the government produced it, it is a legal document in a court
> of law.

I believe that is what nixon tried to pull, "If the president does it,
it isn't a crime!" (paraphrased) Or, stated differently, a president
can NOT do a burglary, or conspire to do a burglary! BS!

Criminal public servants MUST obey the law, the law applies to all,
evenly (well, it is supposed to!)

If obama is using a document which is falsified and fraudulent, and
using it for personal gain, it is a CRIME OF HIGH TREASON. The fact
that it would be an official who is abusing the publics' trust only
makes it more of a horror, and deserving of a greater punishment!

Fraud is fraud -- even if nixon himself were to do it.

Lawyers may argue this, but the ones which argue the above as fact will surely win ...

Regards,
JS

3807. Re: OBAMA: Hawaii birth records positively prove he is a natural born citizen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 05:53:40 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284e4c708eb866a698a29b@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 231734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf

```
In article <721c5b5c-9435-4a63-8f3a-3d970aa176f0
@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com>, xeton2001@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 30, 2:18ï¿œpm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > On May 30, 1:46ï¿œpm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >
> > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EYW8KSsP1Ag
> >
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
> >
> > Alex Jones is a proven liar. There is nothing he has yet said that
> > actually is true. Sorta like you, Johnny KQQK!!
> >
> > Hint: Hawaii has proven Obama was born there.
>
> HAHAHA. The birth certificate was exposed as a fake weeks ago. Not
> that anything will be done about it. If bush can get away with lying
> us into holocausting iraq and murdering a million innocent civilians
> then i'm sure no govt official will do anything to bammer over his
> birth lie.

Same old insane Speedy.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
```

3808. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 06:04:23 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284e4ef462c54d2e98a2a1@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 231735
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
In article <411caa27-150e-4b66-80fc-b1c6fe0f7909
@ct4g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 29, 6:25ï¿œpm, BDK wrote:
> > In article <2b8c89fb-ef85-4e70-919e-
> > d39f03c70...@l6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 27, 12:30ï¿œpm, BDK wrote:
> > > > In article <70648f2e-dadb-4fee-b293-
> > > > 5266d8ef5...@g28g2000yqa.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com
> > > > says...
> > >
> > > > > On May 26, 11:51ï¿œpm, Iarnrod wrote:
> > > > > > On May 26, 5:04ï¿œpm, joeturn wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > On May 26, 6:54ï¿œpm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > > > > > > > On May 26, 2:00ï¿œpm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > > > > > > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > > > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > > > > > > > >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > > > > > > >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > > > > > > >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated >
> > > > > > > > > >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > > > > > > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > > > > > > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > > > > > > >> > average for the majority. >
> > > > > > > > > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > > > > > > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
```

> >
> > > > > > > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
> >
> > > > > > > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
> >
> > > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
> >
> > > > > > > > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > > > > > > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > > > > > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > > > > > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > > > > > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > > > > > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
> >
> > > > > > > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
> >
> > > > > >http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=36&contentid=3887
> >
> > > > > Now thats slooooow
> >
> > > > Do you ever go to websites that aren't kooksites, Joe?
> >
> > > > --
> > > > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text
-
> >
> > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > I find facts where they lay even kooksites have a fair amount of truth
> > > in them.
> >
> > No, kooksites have a small amount of truth in them, enough to suck in
> > the gullible, such as yourself.
> >
> >
> >
> > > Your problem is your in time-out and are not allowed collect
> > > anyevidence that sheds light on your governments conspiracy to start
> > > wars in other countrys and allow them to bring in THe New World Order
> > > even if they commit genocide on their own citizens.
> >

> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! Is that supposed to make sense? So when will you post
> > any evidence to back up your claims? Kooksites and insane ravings aren't
> > proof.
> >
> >
> >
> > > The trouble in Somalia,Lybia,Pakastan,Iraq,Afganistan,ect...were all
> > > CIA plots to bring about One World Government.It's Sunworship at its
> > > finest.Did you know that Italy is now rebuilding the Parthenon to
> > > resurrect the goddess Athena?
> >
> > I doubt that's why they are doing it, if they are rebuilding it. I'm
> > sure you read it on a kooksite someplace, right?
> >
> > --
> > BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Your right this time I saw it on the kooksite History Channel

Sure you did Joe. Did you really hear them say they wanted to resurrect
Athena, or did the voices tell you that?

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

3809. Re: OBAMA: Hawaii birth records positively prove he is a natural born citizen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 06:22:34 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is21f9$9ra$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/30/2011 9:58 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:

> ...
> HAHAHA. The birth certificate was exposed as a fake weeks ago. Not
> that anything will be done about it.
>
>
> ---
>
> ---
>
>
> Hint: If the state of Hawaii (or any other state, for that matter)
> produced it, in no matter WHAT form, it cannot, by definition, be a
> fake. If the government produced it, it is a legal document in a court
> of law.

Kenya, itself, is showing quite a bit of interest in the obama crimes:

http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=303053

This criminal president is becoming a MAJOR liability, he is open to
blackmail on so many fronts. I am hoping there are very capable people
in the CIA and FBI which realize this and they have the whitehouse,
obama, his family, his friends, his contacts, etc. under heavy surveillance!

This is the most shocking display of improper actions of a president in
my lifetime, indeed, the most shocking I have ever heard of to date ...

BTW, Jerome Corsis' book is GREAT!

Regards,
JS

3810. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 07:18:48 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <589fafdc-d7c0-48dc-9d2f-cfdb4fb441f2@c41g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231737
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 27, 2:52 am, joeturn wrote:
> On May 26, 11:51 pm, Iarnrod wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 5:04 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > >> > test,while not being over-medicated
> > > > >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > > > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > >> > average for the majority.
> > > > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> > > > --
> > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
```

>
> > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
>
> > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
>
> http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=36&contentid=3887
>
> Now thats slooooow- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Limprod here is you another puzzle to solve!

The Case against Casey:

The whole Anthony family were devout sunworshippers.George and Cindy Anthony the Grandparents of Caylee Anthony,carried her to the Bohemian Grove for their Creamation of Care Ceremony.They used their grandchild as a sacrificial lamb for the festivities!

The whole family has concocted a story to explain the childs disapearance using Casey Anthony as their scapegoat.

This one is going to be interesting,as they are all devout sunworshippers,getting them all off,will make the Ramsey trail pale in comparison!

All they returned home with from their vacation at the Bohemian Grove was a bag of bones!

3811. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 07:28:07 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <85a6f078-e9d6-440d-99de-fe7b840481d1@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231738
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On May 31, 3:18 am, joeturn wrote:
> On May 27, 2:52 am, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 11:51 pm, Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > > On May 26, 5:04 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> > > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > > > > joeturn wrote
> > > > > > > innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > > > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > > > > > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > > > > > test,while not being over-medicated
> > > > > > > And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > > > > > > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > > > > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > > > > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > > > > average for the majority.
> > > > > > Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > > > 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> > > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> > > > > --
> > > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > > > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -

>
> > > > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
>
> > > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
>
> >http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=36&contentid=3887
>
> > Now thats slooooow- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Limprod here is you another puzzle to solve!
>
> The Case against Casey:
>
> The whole Anthony family were devout sunworshippers.George and Cindy
> Anthony the Grandparents of Caylee Anthony,carried her to the Bohemian
> Grove for their Creamation of Care Ceremony.They used their grandchild
> as a sacrificial lamb for the festivities!
>
> The whole family has concocted a story to explain the childs
> disapearance using Casey Anthony as their scapegoat.
>
> This one is going to be interesting,as they are all devout
> sunworshippers,getting them all off,will make the Ramsey trail pale in
> comparison!
>
> All they returned home with from their vacation at the Bohemian Grove
> was a bag of bones!- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

spell check shit #2 TIA :

I think TRAIL should be spelled TRIAL but who knows but you?

3812. Re: Granted, some criminals ignore the Constitution, many are even public servants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 07:45:57 UTC
From: joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <71646942-b130-499d-89fa-73085772e1ae@bl1g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231739
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 30, 10:37 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/30/2011 4:51 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 30, 6:47 pm, John Smith<bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> >> On 5/30/2011 1:48 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> >>> ...
> >>> Same as you we are both smoking the UCC but you cant see it.Our old
> >>> constitution does not apply to anyone that is a citizen of the USA.We
> >>> are simply worker bees to pay off the USA Bankrutcy debt.Our Solvern
> >>> Queen Mom owns the USA and calls all the shots and she does not apply
> >>> the constitution she goes by the UCC.
>
> >>> You forgot the creature from Jekyll Island.Ga.
>
> >>> You are living in a bubble John Smith you concentrate on small issues
> >>> such as politics when you should be looking at the big picture. At
> >>> least you dont have a grammar hangup that overides your thought
> >>> prossess but it is some else that causes you not to be able to grasp
> >>> reality.
>
> >> I am simply no reason for you to deny and ignore Constitutional law, the
> >> only legal law of the land ...
>
> >> I am afraid your reasons will have to stand on their own.
>
> >> Regards,
> >> JS
>
> > WAKE UP JOHN! smell the coffee its a litterbox!
>
> >http://www.freedom-school.com/ucc-and-you.pdf
>
> >http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread555233/pg1
>
> You seem to feel that because the criminals do not obey the constitution
> there is now no reason for anyone else to.
>

> I don't, we simply imprison and hang the criminals and return the law to
> the land.
>
> This is the TRUE reason the forefathers gave us weapons for!
>
> I think Jefferson said it best:
>
> "The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with the blood
> of patriots and tyrants. It is it’s natural manure."
>
> It is no different than any other war, in this case it is just more
> personal and involves domestic enemies, as opposed to foreign.
>
> It amazes me, back when in school in the 60's, this was standard fare
> and you were taught to protect your country -- because if you lose it,
> you don't have a country to live in ... I guess the school system has
> failed many, again ...
>
> Regards,
> JS- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

See what I mean John you were taught to protect your country from an invisable foe.The only countrys that need to learn to defend themselves are people that are afraid the USA will attack them.Soo far every World War has had the USA at the helm,they financed Hitlers Regiem,then sneaked him out of harms way when the USA joined forces against him.These madd Nazi Scientist are still in command of the government of the USA this is their 4th Reich

3813. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 08:02:29 UTC
From: BDK <Control@Worldcontrol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.284e6aa11fc3373898a2a3@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 231740
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article <85a6f078-e9d6-440d-99de-fe7b840481d1
@w21g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2000@yahoo.com says...
>
> On May 31, 3:18ï¿œam, joeturn wrote:
> > On May 27, 2:52ï¿œam, joeturn wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > On May 26, 11:51ï¿œpm, Iarnrod wrote:
> >
> > > > On May 26, 5:04ï¿œpm, joeturn wrote:
> >
> > > > > On May 26, 6:54ï¿œpm, RD Sandman wrote:
> >
> > > > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > > > > > On May 26, 2:00ï¿œpm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > > > > > joeturn wrote
> > > > > > > > innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > > > > > > > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > > > > > > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > > > > > > test,while not being over-medicated >
> > > > > > > > And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
> >
> > > > > > > > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > > > > > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > > > > > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > > > > > average for the majority. >
> > > > > > > Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > > > > 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
> >
> > > > > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
> >
> > > > > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
> >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

> >
> > > > > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > > > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
> >
> > > > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > > You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
> >
> > >http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=36&contentid=3887
> >
> > > Now thats slooooow- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > > - Show quoted text -
> >
> > Limprod here is you another puzzle to solve!
> >
> > The Case against Casey:
> >
> > The whole Anthony family were devout sunworshippers.George and Cindy
> > Anthony the Grandparents of Caylee Anthony,carried her to the Bohemian
> > Grove for their Creamation of Care Ceremony.They used their grandchild
> > as a sacrificial lamb for the festivities!
> >
> > The whole family has concocted a story to explain the childs
> > disapearance using Casey Anthony as their scapegoat.
> >
> > This one is going to be interesting,as they are all devout
> > sunworshippers,getting them all off,will make the Ramsey trail pale in
> > comparison!
> >
> > All they returned home with from their vacation at the Bohemian Grove
> > was a bag of bones!- Hide quoted text -
> >
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> spell check shit #2 TIA :
>
> I think TRAIL should be spelled TRIAL but who knows but you?

Insanity spelled correctly is still insanity.

--
BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!

3814. Re: OBAMA: Hawaii birth records positively prove he is a natural born citizen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 11:06:59 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <is2i4j$7su$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On 5/31/2011 2:22 AM, John Smith wrote:

> This [birther humbug] is the most shocking display of improper
> actions of a president in my lifetime, indeed, the most shocking I
> have ever heard of to date ...

More shocking than starting TWO bogus, fake, fraudulent wars, overall costing tens of thousands of lives and a TRILLION dollars? That's not an "improper action of a president"?

You have a strange sense of values -- but then, we already knew that.

> BTW, Jerome Corsis' book is GREAT!

Yes, Corsi has proven two things...

1) Birds of a feather (kooktards), flock together.

2) A fool and his money are soon parted.

3815. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be other than an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND IARNROD WHO * HATES US * WADDLE WHIMPERING AWAY FROM THE PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS OF THE NIST REPORT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 11:58:07 UTC
From: "* US *"
Message-ID: <1tl9u6d7dhcm7sfn3vejne4nmrk9c3fv4b@4ax.com>
Source record: 231742
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

The bushkultie doesn't know much, and doesn't know the difference, either:

"UPS and Computers

The letters stand for ï¿½uninterruptible power supplyï¿½ ï¿½ batteries ï¿½ not United Postal
Serviceï¿½ which NIST actually confused ï¿½ and confuses! See this letter from NIST to the Enrico Manieri:"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

That's quite an error, too.

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

It's a study in treachery.

They're all too weak to compete honestly/fairly.

The Bush crime family of treacherous wimps has
never been on the same side as the USA.

ObL couldn't affect the USA's air defense system.

Cheney did.

""The U.S. government has consistently blamed me for being behind
every occasion its enemies attack it.

"I would like to assure the world that I did not plan the recent attacks,
which seems to have been planned by people for personal reasons,"
bin Laden's statement said."

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-leader-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

Yes, the bushkultie is so stupid as to believe faked videos and
disregard direct statements to authentic news organizations.

Can't expect a bushkultie to understand geometry, either:

"the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"

http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-volumes/

Notice that the bushkultie must ignore this fact, too.

On Wed, 11 May 2011 19:55:30 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>...admitted anal-sex-loving homosexual bushkultie
>shill ... Hates US ... foamed... impotent kooker rage:
>...have to run away ... can't name one...

You do have problems.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>...cannot NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

So what's your excuse for your inability to insist on a valid
trial for the most horrific crime of this millenium to date?

>kooker...

That is your best excuse.

>...Hates US

Of course you hate America when you insist that those
who murder Americans should not be prosecuted
according to the rule of law.

Notice that you can't name one thing that would be
admissible evidence against the scapegoat chosen
by your fascist masters.

>... run away again without NAMING ONE THING ...
>
>As always.
>
>Still.

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

>Not one ...

Of course you can't name one item of admissible evidence
that would exculpate your neocon idols, bushkultie.

The bushkultie has no admissible evidence, and doesn't even know what it is.

On Mon, 9 May 2011 05:03:39 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass"
<iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... admitted
>doing 9/11...

Had you any evidence for that which would stand up in court?

<cricket.wav>

>... run away again ...

You always do.

The bushkultie doesn't believe in actual admissible evidence.

The bushworshipper is so uncivilized as to prefer
assassinations to trials.

It's not difficult to fool a bushkultie.

The bushworshipper has been tricked repeatedly.

The bushkultie is pitied, while a useful example.

The bushkultie is a mere dupe.

The bushworshipper was fooled easily by faked videos.

Others could see the discrepancies quite clearly.

The real perps were 'incompetent' all the way to their
offshore accounts.

The claim of "incompetence" is ludicrous.

"...incompetence cannot explain the suppression of efforts to prevent the attacks."

http://www.oilempire.us/911.html

Nobody had the means/motive/opportunity of Bush and Cheney.

On Sun, 10 Apr 2011 06:51:25 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO...

Normal healthy people don't find anything about 9/11 to be amusing.

>... one stupid wife beating child raping idiot. SO
>fucking stupid..... sack of ignorance, hate and
>stupidity.

It's not about you.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 21:45:17 -0700 (PDT), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... I'lll ...[sic] cunts haven't a clue...fuck ...

You do have problems.

On Sat, 9 Apr 2011 18:10:15 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Saturday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... hero Bush ...

You do have problems.

The precedent of allowing/aiding/abetting attacks to
'justify' wars and war crimes is rather apparent:

On Fri, 8 Apr 2011 17:52:50 -0700 (PDT), all alone on another Friday night, "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... anal-sex-craving ...

You do have problems.

9/11 was an inside job.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2011 19:42:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE ...

Of course you can't name even one person who gained more
from 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

No one had the means, motive, or opportunity for 9/11
that Bush and Cheney had.

On Wed, 23 Mar 2011 05:33:29 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... avoid dealing with the
>reality ...

Of course you do.

You don't have one contradictory fact.

All this remains undisputed, of course:

http://www.911sharethetruth.com/links.htm

On Mon, 21 Mar 2011 18:43:21 -0700 (PDT), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... inability...

You do have problems. That was already obvious.

The bushkultie has to pretend this never happened, too:

"Osama bin Laden, the man the United States considers the prime suspect
in last week's terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, denied any role"

http://articles.cnn.com/2001-09-16/us/inv.binladen.denial_1_bin-laden-taliban-supreme-leader-mullah-mohammed-omar?_s=PM:US

The bushkultie hasn't contacted CNN to demand a retraction.

See also:

Huge problems with the NIST report:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/official/nist/index.html
http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/index_0.98.html

See also:

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15970.htm

And:

http://www.patriotsaints.com/News/911/Conspiracy/NIST_911_Cover-Up/

Notice that the bushworshipper is forced to
pretend that this isn't public knowledge.

No one is there to tell the bushkultie that Bush
and Cheney aren't really nice guys.

Too bad the bushkultie is so isolated from reality.

The bushkultie sits all alone, all weekend, with
nothing to do but attempt, feebly, to defend
traitors and war criminals.

All alone without anyone else willing to spend any time with the poor ugly old hag, On Friday night, 11 Mar 2011 20:23:00 -0800 (PST), the anal-sex-craving rightarded <iarnrod@yahoo.com> here Bush Hates US foamed in impotent kooker rage:

>... inability to NAME ONE...

Of course you can't name one person with more means, motive, or opportunity
for 9/11 than Bush and Cheney.

On Fri, 11 Mar 2011 05:20:30 -0800 (PST), Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com> wrote:

>... anal-sex-craving rightarded... impotent kooker...must not be thinking...

You do have problems. It's not about you, though.

On Wed, 9 Mar 2011 06:10:59 -0800 (PST), "Craves Fascist Iarnrod Up Gaping Ass" <iarnrod@yahoo.com> failed, flailed and foamed in impotent bushkultie kooker rage:

>... very amusing, I ....

You're a laughingstock, though also pitied.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 20:07:11 -0500, "Jeffrey Hamilton" <bberesford@cogeco.ca> failed:

>...my_ killfile !!!

You imagine anyone cares?

How absurd.

Meanwhile:

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 13:51:36 -0800 (PST), Schiffner <stevenkeith2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>... adn ... scared ...
>... still a coward and a needle dicked liar.

It's not about you, though.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2011 17:59:49 -0800 (PST), Matt Wiser <mattwiser_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...never thought ...

You seem unable to do that.

Here - learn some real history:

http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v11/v11p431_Lutton.html
http://www.aim.org/aim-report/aim-report-bush-must-rectify-pearl-harbor-smear/
http://www.cnrs-scrn.org/northern_mariner/vol11/nm_11_2_1to17.pdf

"If a group of people made a public statement saying that having a new Pearl Harbor would be the only way the public would support their agenda, and these same people were in positions that would allow them to conduct, permit or enable such an event when that event actually took place...would they deserve your suspicion? Well this is EXACTLY what happened. The very people with established motives for conducting an event like the one that took place on 9/11/2001 were responsible for national security when we had that most unlikely, unrealistic and implausible complete catastrophic failure of every single defense procedure in operation."

http://www.tvnewslies.org/html/smoking_gun.html

The Japanese weren't permitted to surrender when they were ready to do so.

That was in order to intimidate the Soviets via the gratuitous use of the bomb.

It helps to understand the real situation, including that of Pearl Harbor.

The US goaded Japan into attacking, and then let the attack occur despite warnings of it, indeed even made itself more vulnerable to that attack than it should have. The US government at that time wanted to gain public support for war, and 'improve trade' in the east. They accomplished that, but threw in the added threat to everybody else by escalating to the use of nuclear bombs on civilians, when many who knew a great deal about the situation considered that excessive.

"The duty officer at U.S. Asiatic Fleet headquarters in Manila first received word of the Pearl Harbor attack at 0230 on 8 December 1941, but a full hour passed before Brig. Gen. Richard K. Sutherland, MacArthur's chief of staff, heard the news from commercial broadcasts. He immediately notified MacArthur and all commanders that a state of war now existed with Japan. MacArthur ordered his troops to battle stations.

Despite this warning, when the Japanese pilots of the 11th Air Fleet attacked Clark Field nine hours later, they caught two squadrons of B-17s lined up on the field and a number of

American fighters just preparing to take off. The first wave of twenty-seven Japanese twin-engine bombers achieved complete tactical surprise and destroyed most of the American heavy bombers. A second bomber strike followed while Zero fighters strafed the field. Only three P-40s managed to take off. A simultaneous attack on Iba Field in northwest Luzon was also successful: all but two of the 3d Squadron's P-40s were destroyed. The Far East Air Force lost fully half its planes the first day of the war. ..."

http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/brochures/pi/pi.htm

Were you unaware that Japan was *provoked* into that attack? The US froze Japanese assets (treaty violation) and embargoed their oil. Roosevelt was tired of supplying only materials to the war, and he saw Japan as the easiest way in, via an attack on US soil.

Why did Roosevelt order the pacific fleet to relocate from the west coast to Hawaii in the summer of 1940? Why was the commander, Admiral Richardson, removed after complaining that Pearl Harbor didn't offer sufficient air or topedo defense?

Didn't Roosevelt receive a memo in the fall of 1940, from a Navy analyst, describing eight ways to force Japan to attack the US (one of them an oil embargo), and all of them eventually implemented? Didn't Roosevelt's advisor Ickes tell him that an oil embargo would be an "easy" way to get into the war, the day after Hitler attacked Russia in the summer of 1941?

"President Franklin D. Roosevelt wanted to enter the war in Europe, especially after the fall of France (June 1940). In this desire he was supported by the old elite ... After meeting FDR at the Atlantic Conference (August 14, 1941) Churchill noted the "astonishing depth of Roosevelt's intense desire for war." But there was a problem: the President could not overcome the resistance to
"Europe's war" felt by most Americans and their elected representatives.

The mood of the country was a problem, and Roosevelt therefore resorted to subterfuge. He systematically and deliberately provoked the Japanese into attacking the United States. His real target was Hitler: Roosevelt expected the German dictator to abide by the Tripartite Pact and declare war on America, and hoped that Hitler's decision would be facilitated by a display of America's apparent vulnerability. Accordingly, even though Roosevelt was well aware of the impending attack on Pearl Harbor ..."

http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3a3522a943db.html

The US Army Signal Intelligence Service had cracked the Japanese codes before December of 1941. Although a British obsession with secrecy allowed the US to claim it had first deciphered JN25, it was actually done first by the British and Australians.

"The "true heroes" of the Allied codebreaking effort, Smith says, were Eric Nave, an Australian officer attached to the Royal Navy, and John Tiltman, a British cryptographer. Although the Americans claimed that they broke JN25, the Japanese navy's operational code which contributed to the destruction of the Japanese carrier fleet at Midway, it was the work of Tiltman -- only a few weeks after it came into use in 1939."

http://www.fpp.co.uk/online/00/09/Codebreaking2.html

"In 1979 the NSA released 2,413 JN-25 orders of the 26,581 intercepted by US between Sept 1 and Dec 4, 1941. The NSA says "We know now that they contained important details concerning the existence, organization, objective, and even the whereabouts of the

Pearl Harbor Strike Force." (Parker p 21) Of the over thousand radio messages sent by Tokyo to the attack fleet, only 20 are in the National Archives. All messages to the attack fleet were sent several times, at least one message was sent every odd hour of the day and each had a special serial number. Starting in early November 1941 when the attack fleet assembled and started receiving radio messages, OP-20-G stayed open 24 hours a day and the "First Team" of codebreakers worked on JN-25. In November and early December 1941, OP-20-G spent 85 percent of its effort reading Japanese Navy traffic, 12 percent on Japanese diplomatic traffic and 3 percent on German naval codes. FDR was personally briefed twice a day on JN-25 traffic by his aide, Captain John Beardell, and demanded to see the original raw messages in English. The US Government refuses to identify or declassify any pre-Dec 7, 1941 decrypts of JN-25 on the basis of national security, a half-century after the war."

http://home.earthlink.net/~nbrass1/3enigma.htm

Many people disagreed with the nuclear bombings of Japan:

"...in [July] 1945... Secretary of War Stimson, visiting my headquarters in Germany, informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act. ...the Secretary, upon giving me the news of the successful bomb test in New Mexico, and of the plan for using it, asked for my reaction, apparently expecting a vigorous assent.

"During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'. The Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude..." - Dwight Eisenhower, Mandate For Change, pg. 380

In a Newsweek interview, Eisenhower again recalled the meeting with Stimson:

"...the Japanese were ready to surrender and it wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing."
- Ike on Ike, Newsweek, 11/11/63

(Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman)
"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons.

"The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." - William Leahy, I Was There, pg. 441.

On August 8, 1945, after the atomic bombing of Hiroshima, Hoover wrote to Army and Navy Journal publisher Colonel John Callan O'Laughlin, "The use of the atomic bomb, with its

indiscriminate killing of women and children, revolts my soul."
quoted from Gar Alperovitz, The Decision to Use the Atomic Bomb, pg. 635.

MacArthur biographer William Manchester has described MacArthur's reaction to the issuance by the Allies of the Potsdam Proclamation to Japan: "...the Potsdam declaration in July, demand[ed] that Japan surrender unconditionally or face 'prompt and utter destruction.' MacArthur was appalled. He knew that the Japanese would never renounce their emperor, and that without him an orderly transition to peace would be impossible anyhow, because his people would never submit to Allied occupation unless he ordered it. Ironically, when the surrender did come, it was conditional, and the condition was a continuation of the imperial reign. Had the General's advice been followed, the resort to atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been unnecessary." William Manchester, American Caesar: Douglas MacArthur 1880-1964, pg. 512.

Norman Cousins was a consultant to General MacArthur during the American occupation of Japan. Cousins writes of his conversations with MacArthur,
"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed." He continues,
"When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor."
Norman Cousins, The Pathology of Power, pg. 65, 70-71.

A panel set up by President Truman to study the Pacific war issued a report, the United States Strategic Bombing Survey, in July 1946, which declared,
"Based on a detailed investigation of all the facts, and supported by the testimony of the surviving Japanese leaders involved, it is the Survey's opinion that certainly prior to 31 December 1945, Japan would have surrendered even if atomic bombs had not been dropped, even if Russia had not entered the war, and even if no invasion had been planned or contemplated."

www.doug-long.com

http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j080801.html

By 1945, Japan's entire military and industrial machine was grinding to a halt as the resources needed to wage war were all but eradicated. The navy and air force had been destroyed ship by ship, plane by plane, with no possibility of replacement. When, in the spring of 1945, the island nation's lifeline to oil was severed, the war was over except for the fighting. By June, Gen. Curtis LeMay, in charge of the air attacks, was complaining that after months of terrible firebombing, there was nothing left of Japanese cities for his bombers but "garbage can targets". By July, U.S. planes could fly over Japan without resistance and bomb as much and as long as they pleased. Japan could no longer defend itself.{6} After the war, the world learned what U.S. leaders had known by early 1945: Japan was militarily defeated long before Hiroshima. It had been trying for months, if not for years, to surrender; and the U.S. had consistently rebuffed these overtures. A May 5 cable, intercepted and decoded by the U.S., dispelled any possible doubt that the Japanese were eager to sue for peace. Sent to Berlin by the German ambassador in Tokyo, after he talked to a ranking Japanese naval officer, it read:

Since the situation is clearly recognized to be hopeless, large sections of the Japanese armed forces would not regard with disfavor an American request for capitulation even if the terms were hard...

As far as is known, Washington did nothing to pursue this opening. Later that month, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson almost capriciously dismissed three separate high-level recommendations from within the Roosevelt administration to activate peace negotiations. The proposals advocated signaling Japan that the U.S. was willing to consider the all-important retention of the emperor system; i.e., the U.S. would not insist upon "unconditional surrender"...

According to Manhattan Project scientist Leo Szilard, Secretary of State Byrnes had said that the bomb's biggest benefit was not its effect on Japan but its power to "make Russia more manageable in Europe"...

http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Blum/Slaughter_WBlum.html

http://www.socialistworker.org/377APages/377A_04_PearlHarbor.shtml

Of course, this was also the time in history when Prescott Bush, grandfather of the POTUS, was making a fortune in banking for the Nazis.

The truth is that the Bush regime deals terror. Wasn't the first Bush president involved in Iraqgate and Iran-Contra?

Anyone recall that the guy who shot Reagan was a Bush family friend?

Will anyone complain when anything branded a 'conspiracy theory' by the Bushites leads to arrests and asset forfeitures, without due process, of United States citizens?

The Bush regime benefits directly and substantially from that [9/11] attack, via entities such as the Carlyle Group.

'Born-again' Bush called it a 'crusade' against 'Islam', a 'war' against 'terrorism', as he let the postal workers die of anthrax.

Then why the hysteria against 'Islam' and not against 'Christianity' for the likes of the abortion-clinic bombers and the KKK?

Isn't ['Dubya'] the one who approves of groups associated with the KKK?

So US war machine propaganda means that the US military is terrorist. That's no surprise to folks in Nicaragua, and so many other places with similar histories ...

If only a true superpower would just win over populations by letting them farm their farms and work their trades and build schools and hospitals and medicine factories and roads, instead of destroying helpless innocent people in droves until there is no one with the strength left to complain.

The illegal invasion of Iraq is also a war crime of massive proportions.

"Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth
about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would
be chased down in the streets and lynched."
-George H. W. "Poppy" Bush, spoken in an interview with
Sarah McClendon, June 1992

http://chicago.indymedia.org/newswire/display/61956/index.php

On Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:41 -0700 (PDT), Matt Wiser <mattwiser...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>...conspiro-nuts ...

You believe a guy on dialysis in a cave
in Afghanistan got together with a few
guys and thwarted the US air defense
system, don't you.

""The CIA owns everyone of any significance in the major media."
~ Former CIA Director William Colby who died shortly after speaking
the quote in a freak canoe accident"

http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread142784/pg1

"d = ï¿½gtï¿½ (where g = 32.2 ft/secï¿½ or 9.8 metres/sï¿½)"

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911introduction.html#INTRODUCTION

http://mindprod.com/politics/bush911insidejob.html

"Our analysis indicated the biggest problem would be the fact that all the fuel (from the airplane) would dump into the building. There would be a horrendous fire. A lot of people would be killed, ... The building structure would still be there."

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/design.html

"An editorial in the respected trade magazine Fire Engineering states that there is good reason to believe that the "official investigation," blessed by FEMA, into the WTC collapse is a "half-baked farce" that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests are clearly not full disclosure. "Respected members of the fire protection engineering community are beginning to raise red flags, and a resonating theory has emerged: The structural damage from the planes and the explosive ignition of jet fuel in themselves were not enough to bring down the towers." [Fire Engineering, 1/02]"

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

"Leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts believe the investigation into the collapse of the WTC is "inadequate.ï¿½ They note that the current team of 20 or so investigators has inadequate financial and staff support, has been prevented from interviewing witnesses and from examining the disaster site. They couldnï¿½t even get detailed blueprints of the World Trade Center. The decision to rapidly recycle the steel beams from the WTC means definitive answers may never be known."

http://www.wanttoknow.info/9-11cover-up10pg

All undisputed ...

Still.

Of course.

And:

"According to Gert-Jan Knoops, a Dutch-based international law specialist,
bin Laden should have been arrested and extradited to the United States
and then put on trial."

http://www.ibtimes.com/articles/142448/20110507/osama-bin-laden-killing-legal-violate-international-law-experts-lawyers-divided.htm

See also:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/may/06/osama-bin-laden-death-assassination

http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/geoffrey-robertson-why-its-absurd-to-claim-that-justice-has-been-done-2278041.html

http://www.infowars.com/poll-reveals-most-mistrust-bin-laden-assassination-story/

http://www.dangerousminds.net/comments/noam_chomsky_my_reaction_to_osama_bin_ladens_death/

This remains undisputed.

Not one contradictory fact has been presented.

The bushkultie isn't permitted to consider the facts.

Bush and Cheney are fascists, traitors, and war criminals,
and electronic 'voting' will keep putting such into office.

If it's electronic, it's no election.

Make a note of that.

3816. Re: As Usual 'Larnrod' Gets It Correct All the Time, and Blimpton Agrees...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 12:17:03 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b90fe56d-790a-445f-8c4a-9e679e21b31e@f31g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231743
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On May 30, 9:56 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> On 5/9/2011 5:00 AM,Iarnrod wrote:
> > On May 8, 10:45 pm, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >> On 5/8/2011 9:42 PM, k...@kymhorsell.com wrote:
> >>> In sci.skeptic George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >>>> On 5/8/2011 8:39 PM,Iarnrod wrote:
> >>>>> On May 8, 10:22 am, George Blimpton <geo...@si.not> wrote:
> >>>>>> The snarky boy,who bizarrely likes to pretend he's a 60+ year old
> >>>>>> woman, gets everything wrong.
> >>>>> I of course have never been wrong.
> >>>> Always.
> >>>> Why do you pretend to be a 60+ year old woman? You're not. You're
> >>>> (nominally) a male, in your 20s or 30s. I know. We all know. What's
> >>>> with the fucking lame charade, boy?
> >>> Mother fixation.
> >> It's some kind of psychological abnormality. I don't know if it's
> >> treatable or not.
> > Well,
> Well, why don't you fuck off, boy?

I am having too much fun shitting down your throat, rightard. Why
would you want to deprive me of my daily fun kicking around you
rightards? Do you hate America?

3817. Re: OBAMA: Hawaii birth records positively prove he is a natural born citizen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 12:18:54 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e301d8ae-3723-45dc-bd86-6c5d0f3d7307@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf

On May 30, 9:32 pm, "Criminal Drivers Murder 35,000 Americans a Year"
<xeton2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 30, 2:18 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > On May 30, 1:46 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EYW8KSsP1Ag
>
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
>
> > Alex Jones is a proven liar. There is nothing he has yet said that
> > actually is true. Sorta like you, Johnny KQQK!!
>
> > Hint: Hawaii has proven Obama was born there.
>
> HAHAHA. The birth certificate was exposed as a fake weeks ago.

Sorry but you're mistaken, insane nut job. It was your claims that
were exposed as fake... years ago!

<chuckle>

3818. Re: OBAMA: Hawaii birth records positively prove he is a natural born citizen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 12:20:56 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <38e18fd3-6f9e-4553-809a-7ac55dbbb39b@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf

On May 30, 11:44 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/30/2011 9:58 PM, Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > "Criminal Drivers Murder 35,000 Americans a Year" wrote in message
> >news:721c5b5c-9435-4a63-8f3a-3d970aa176f0@y19g2000yqk.googlegroups.com...
>
> > On May 30, 2:18 pm,Iarnrod<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> On May 30, 1:46 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> >> >http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EYW8KSsP1Ag
>
> >> > Regards,
> >> > JS
>
> >> Alex Jones is a proven liar. There is nothing he has yet said that
> >> actually is true. Sorta like you, Johnny KQQK!!
>
> >> Hint: Hawaii has proven Obama was born there.
>
> > HAHAHA. The birth certificate was exposed as a fake weeks ago. Not
> > that anything will be done about it.
>
> > ----------
>
> > ----------
>
> > Hint: If the state of Hawaii (or any other state, for that matter)
> > produced it, in no matter WHAT form, it cannot, by definition, be a
> > fake. If the government produced it, it is a legal document in a court
> > of law.
>
> I believe that is what nixon tried to pull,

I believe you're still completely full of shit, Johnny KQQK.

> If obama is using a document which is falsified and fraudulent, and
> using it for personal gain, it is a CRIME OF HIGH TREASON.

He isn't. Hawaii issued it and the Constitution REQUIRES you to accept
it and STFU.

3819. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 12:23:42 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <60a1954c-56b8-4c5a-84d8-8705351e14a4@35g2000prp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231746
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
On May 31, 1:18 am, joeturn wrote:
> On May 27, 2:52 am, joeturn wrote:
>
>
>
> > On May 26, 11:51 pm,Iarnrod wrote:
>
> > > On May 26, 5:04 pm, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > On May 26, 6:54 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
>
> > > > > joeturn wrote
innews:35e01922-ae9b-4b89-9b3d-1332368adbcb@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > > On May 26, 2:00 pm, RD Sandman wrote:
> > > > > >> joeturn wrote
> > > > > >> innews:19a9de4c-4b15-4cd9-9890-604d
> > > > > > > 565b0...@n11g2000yqf.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > > >> >> > Actually I'm complaining just like you,Just think I could have
> > > > > >> >> > made that extra 100 pionts making it say 245 if I had taken the
> > > > > >> >> > test,while not being over-medicated
> > > > > >> >> And it would be even more bullshit than your claim of 145.
>
> > > > > >> > You could not excess my link or are you ashamed to post your score?
> > > > > >> > I think my IQ is higher than your bowling score! Even if it was a
> > > > > >> > spelling test you could not get any higher than 100 which is
> > > > > >> > average for the majority.
> > > > > >> Whatever.....don't you understand that on the internet everyone is 6'
> > > > > >> 5", weighs 245lbs and has an IQ over 145?
>
> > > > > > > Soo post your link so we can tell how tall you are!
>
> > > > > > 5' 9" or would you prefer 6' 5"?
>
> > > > > --
> > > > > Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> > > > If you woke up this morning....
> > > > Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > > - Show quoted text -
```

>
> > > > No that would be allowing your word to be a reliable source.Surely you
> > > > can out score me Im a kook and mentally chalenged with an altered
> > > > state of mind. Let your mommy read it for you,you can just take a wild
> > > > guess if she gets stumped on a hard question.Its multiple choice and
> > > > you should be able to guess your way into the limelight!.
>
> > > Stop sniffing glue, kooktard.- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > You have not solved this crime after I told you what happened!
>
> >http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=36&contentid=3887
>
> > Now thats slooooow- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Limprod here is you another puzzle to solve!

Sorry, WrongTurnJoe, YOU are not the one presenting challenges here,
I am. WHERE IS YOUR PROOF? Why can you not NAME ONE THING in the
NIST report that is incorrect? WHY do you keep running away when asked
to STATE A CLAIM?

Why?

We know why.

As your utter failure to NAME ONE THING clearly demonstrates, there is
nothing incorrect in the NIST report.

3820. Obama The Great War Monger ! -&- Obama The Great Crusader Against Islam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 12:24:38 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <7457de60-be9e-46bd-bd9c-98a44bd3db3b@r35g2000prj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 31, 4:06 am, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net> wrote:
> On 5/31/2011 2:22 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
> > > This [birther humbug] is the most shocking display of improper
> > > actions of a president in my lifetime, indeed, the most shocking I
> > > have ever heard of to date ...
>
> More shocking than starting TWO bogus, fake, fraudulent wars, overall
> costing tens of thousands of lives and a TRILLION dollars? That's not an
> "improper action of a president"?
>
> You have a strange sense of values -- but then, we already knew that.
>
> > > BTW, Jerome Corsis' book is GREAT!
>
> Yes, Corsi has proven two things...
>
> 1) Birds of a feather (kooktards), flock together.
>
> 2) A fool and his money are soon parted.

Joe from Kokomo ...Wondering If...
? Libya is Obama's War For Oil !

Plus Obama Still Has Tens of Thousands
of US Troops Still in Iraq
-a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil-

BIG PLUS Obama has Escalated War in Afghanistan
-a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil-

And... Now Obama is Making War on Libya
-a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil-
.
Damn Damn Damn : Prez "BO"s {Obama's} a Mega
{Multi} War Monger : Impeach Imperialist Leader Now !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/84d39da95108df8d
.
Prez "BO" {Obama}... Blood Dripping From One Hand
and Oil Dripping From The Other . . .
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/58135dd78056af49
Obama The War Monger
Obama's Wars For Oil

Obama's War On Islam
.
Obama's War on Islam-Muslims !
-&- Obama's War for Oil !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6d7fc9091dd13ebb
.
That's Obama's War on Islam-Muslims !
-obama-the-great-slayer-of-bin-laden-
-obama-killer-of-innocent-muslims-
-obama-the-great-western-invader-
-obama-killer-of-innocent-islamic-women-
-obama-the-great-christian-crusader-
-obama-killer-of-innocent-muslim-children-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6d7fc9091dd13ebb
.
That's Obama's War for Oil !
-obama-the-war-monger-
-obama-the-great-western-invader-
-obama-the-great-christian-crusader-
-obama's-war-for-oil-it's-corrupt-&-evil-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6d7fc9091dd13ebb
.
Obama is Bush II =equals= Bush is Obama Too !
-bush-evil-&-corrupt-=-corrupt-&-evil-obama-
.
Join 'The Cult of The-Obama' :
Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f9249bfca2
.
.

3821. Re: 9/11 was proven physically impossible to be an inside job. COME SEE ANAL-SEX FIEND * HATES US * DANCE AWAY FROM HIS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE CLAIMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 12:29:22 UTC
From: Iarnrod <iarnrod@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d54306ce-f3ea-49ed-8722-7a84e2aab554@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231748
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,talk.politics.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 31, 5:58 am, * Hates US * was busy having anal sex and
therefore foamed in impotent kooker rage:

> That's quite an error:
> "the angle was nearly perpendicular, between zero and four degrees.
> Somehow, NIST and their associates missed by ten full degrees"
> http://911conspiracy.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/nist-ara-error-speaks-v...
> It's a study in treachery.

It's a study in stupidity by you, kook. I guess you're too stupid to
see the error that the blogger made. Hint: He's looking at the wrong
building. Blatant and obvious error by yet another 9/11 kooker!!

You sure did not earn your money tonight from your hero Cheeeeeney,
you traitor rightard bushkultie.

3822. The World Today -news- Obama Keeps Exposing Himself As A Bloody War Monger !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 12:41:40 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <6ebaed57-ac81-47d5-b295-2f67ecb8d7b1@d19g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns

On May 30, 1:19 pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 30, 1:36 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > THIS WILL BLOW YOUR MIND:
>
> Sounds like you've been blowing Corsi!
>
> BWAHAHHAHAHAHAA!
>
> Hint; Corsi has not yet uttered one true thing. Nor have you.
.
.
The World Today -news- Obama Keeps Exposing
Himself As A Bloody War Monger !

Iarnrod ...Wondering If...
? Libya is Obama's New War For Oil !

Plus Obama Still Has Tens of Thousands
of US Troops Still in Iraq
-a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil-

BIG PLUS Obama has Escalated War in Afghanistan
-a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil-

And... Now Obama is Making War on Libya
-a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil-
.
Damn Damn Damn : Prez "BO"s {Obama's} a Mega
{Multi} War Monger : Impeach Imperialist Leader Now !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/84d39da95108df8d
.
Prez "BO" {Obama}... Blood Dripping From One Hand
and Oil Dripping From The Other . . .
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/58135dd78056af49
Obama The War Monger
Obama's Wars For Oil
Obama's War On Islam
.
Obama's War on Islam-Muslims !
-&- Obama's War for Oil !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6d7fc9091dd13ebb
.

That's Obama's War on Islam-Muslims !
-obama-the-great-slayer-of-bin-laden-
-obama-killer-of-innocent-muslims-
-obama-the-great-western-invader-
-obama-killer-of-innocent-islamic-women-
-obama-the-great-christian-crusader-
-obama-killer-of-innocent-muslim-children-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6d7fc9091dd13ebb
.
That's Obama's War for Oil !
-obama-the-war-monger-
-obama-the-great-western-invader-
-obama-the-great-christian-crusader-
-obama's-war-for-oil-it's-corrupt-&-evil-
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6d7fc9091dd13ebb
.
Obama is Bush II =equals= Bush is Obama Too !
-bush-evil-&-corrupt-=-corrupt-&-evil-obama-
.
Join 'The Cult of The-Obama' :
Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f9249bfca2
.
Obama The Great War Monger !
-&- Obama The Great Crusader Against Islam
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6f85b8bb928f0be6
? How Many Innocents Must Die To Satisfy
Obama's Thirst for Blood and Oil !
.
.

3823. Re: Obama The Great War Monger ! -&- Obama The Great Crusader Against Islam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 13:12:21 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1004d704-05d2-49c2-9ae7-589ff80b801b@17g2000prr.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On May 31, 5:24 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> On May 31, 4:06 am, Joe from Kokomo <j...@indy.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/31/2011 2:22 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
> > > This [birther humbug] is the most shocking display of improper
> > > actions of a president in my lifetime, indeed, the most shocking I
> > > have ever heard of to date ...
>
> > More shocking than starting TWO bogus, fake, fraudulent wars, overall
> > costing tens of thousands of lives and a TRILLION dollars? That's not an
> > "improper action of a president"?
>
> > You have a strange sense of values -- but then, we already knew that.
>
> > > BTW, Jerome Corsis' book is GREAT!
>
> > Yes, Corsi has proven two things...
>
> > 1) Birds of a feather (kooktards), flock together.
>
> > 2) A fool and his money are soon parted.
>
> Joe from Kokomo ...Wondering If...
> ? Libya is Obama's War For Oil !
>
> Plus Obama Still Has Tens of Thousands
> of US Troops Still in Iraq
> -a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil-
>
> BIG PLUS Obama has Escalated War in Afghanistan
> -a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil-
>
> And... Now Obama is Making War on Libya

> -a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil-
> .
> Damn Damn Damn : Prez "BO"s {Obama's} a Mega
> {Multi} War Monger : Impeach Imperialist Leader Now
!http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/84d39da95108df8d
> .
> Prez "BO" {Obama}... Blood Dripping From One Hand
> and Oil Dripping From The Other . .
.http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/58135dd78056af49
> Obama The War Monger
> Obama's Wars For Oil
> Obama's War On Islam
> .
> Obama's War on Islam-Muslims !
> -&- Obama's War for Oil
!http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6d7fc9091dd13ebb
> .
> That's Obama's War on Islam-Muslims !
> -obama-the-great-slayer-of-bin-laden-
> -obama-killer-of-innocent-muslims-
> -obama-the-great-western-invader-
> -obama-killer-of-innocent-islamic-women-
> -obama-the-great-christian-crusader-
> -obama-killer-of-innocent-muslim-children-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.short
wave/msg/6d7fc9091dd13ebb
> .
> That's Obama's War for Oil !
> -obama-the-war-monger-
> -obama-the-great-western-invader-
> -obama-the-great-christian-crusader-
> -obama's-war-for-oil-it's-corrupt-&-evil-http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortw
ave/msg/6d7fc9091dd13ebb
> .
> Obama is Bush II =equals= Bush is Obama Too !
> -bush-evil-&-corrupt-=-corrupt-&-evil-obama-
> .
> Join 'The Cult of The-Obama' :
> Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012
!http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f924...
> .
> .
.
.
Clinton Denys... that the Obama-Regime Used
Cluster Bombs against Misrata, Libya
-and- Claims Gaddafi Did It !
http://news.smh.com.au/breaking-news-world/libya-denies-using-cluster-bombs-as-blasts-rock
-misrata-20110416-1digj.html
.
? Can We Believe Clinton . . .

.
? Can We Believe Obama . . .
.
! The Obama/NATO Libya War for Oil Continues . . .
http://www.aim.org/aim-column/obama%E2%80%99s-war-in-libya-is-illegal-and-unconstitutional
/
.
! The Obama Regime Libya Cover-Up Grows . . .
http://www.aim.org/aim-column/obama%E2%80%99s-war-in-libya-is-illegal-and-unconstitutional
/
.
! The Obama-Regime No War Powers Act Compliance . . .
http://www.aim.org/aim-column/obama%E2%80%99s-war-in-libya-is-illegal-and-unconstitutional
/
.
! The Obama-Regime Illegal War in Libya . . .
http://www.aim.org/aim-column/obama%E2%80%99s-war-in-libya-is-illegal-and-unconstitutional
/
.
Dennis Kucinich -says- Impeach Obama
for Illegal Libya War !
http://www.fireandreamitchell.com/2011/03/20/progressive-hero-dennis-kucinich-wants-to-imp
each-obama-over-libya-war/
.
Ralph Nader -says- Impeach Obama
for Illegal Libya War !
http://www.newsmax.com/InsideCover/RalphNader-BarackObama-Impeach-WarCrimes/2011/03/21/id/
390252
.
Ron Paul -says- Impeach Obama
for Illegal Libya War !
http://www.economiccollapse.net/ron-paul-obamas-libyan-war-unconstitutional
.
Obama's "Imperialist" {Illegal} War for Oil in Libya
http://open.salon.com/blog/varlet1/2011/03/21/barack_obama_-_imperialist_war_criminal_atta
cks_libya
.
The World Today -news- Obama Keeps Exposing
Himself As A Bloody War Monger !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e4e6a984b01430f1
.
Obama The Great War Monger !
-&- Obama The Great Crusader Against Islam
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6f85b8bb928f0be6
.
Join 'The Cult of The-Obama' :
Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f9249bfca2
.
.

3824. Re: Granted, some criminals ignore the Constitution, many are even public servants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 13:16:25 UTC
From: twofeathers <miketwofeathers@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8cc6e1b2-ff0d-4f60-8cdc-995ddd404dab@x38g2000pri.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231751
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 30, 5:51 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 30, 6:47 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On 5/30/2011 1:48 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > ...
> > > Same as you we are both smoking the UCC but you cant see it.Our old
> > > constitution does not apply to anyone that is a citizen of the USA.We
> > > are simply worker bees to pay off the USA Bankrutcy debt.Our Solvern
> > > Queen Mom owns the USA and calls all the shots and she does not apply
> > > the constitution she goes by the UCC.
>
> > > You forgot the creature from Jekyll Island.Ga.
>
> > > You are living in a bubble John Smith you concentrate on small issues
> > > such as politics when you should be looking at the big picture. At
> > > least you dont have a grammar hangup that overides your thought
> > > prossess but it is some else that causes you not to be able to grasp
> > > reality.
>
> > I am simply no reason for you to deny and ignore Constitutional law, the
> > only legal law of the land ...
>
> > I am afraid your reasons will have to stand on their own.
>
> > Regards,
> > JS
>
> WAKE UP JOHN! smell the coffee its a litterbox!

damn thats a waste of good coffee don't ya think?

3825. Re: The World Today -news- Obama Keeps Exposing Himself As A Bloody War Monger !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 13:17:06 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <fgq9u6d3r64dota2lvs341lt1evtd06d7l@4ax.com>
Source record: 231752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.religion.christian,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.news-media

On Tue, 31 May 2011 05:41:40 -0700 (PDT), RHF
<rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:

>On May 30, 1:19ï¿½pm, Iarnrod <iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On May 30, 1:36ï¿½pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>>
>> > THIS WILL BLOW YOUR MIND:
>>
>> Sounds like you've been blowing Corsi!
>>
>> BWAHAHHAHAHAHAA!
>>
>> Hint; Corsi has not yet uttered one true thing. Nor have you.
> .
> .
>The World Today -news- Obama Keeps Exposing
>Himself As A Bloody War Monger !
>
>Iarnrod ...Wondering If...
>? Libya is Obama's New War For Oil !
>
>Plus Obama Still Has Tens of Thousands
>of US Troops Still in Iraq
>-a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil-
>
>BIG PLUS Obama has Escalated War in Afghanistan
>-a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil-
>
>And... Now Obama is Making War on Libya
>-a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil--a-war-for-oil-
> .
>Damn Damn Damn : Prez "BO"s {Obama's} a Mega
>{Multi} War Monger : Impeach Imperialist Leader Now !
>http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/84d39da95108df8d
> .
>Prez "BO" {Obama}... Blood Dripping From One Hand
>and Oil Dripping From The Other . . .
>http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/58135dd78056af49
>Obama The War Monger
>Obama's Wars For Oil
>Obama's War On Islam
> .

>Obama's War on Islam-Muslims !
>-&- Obama's War for Oil !
>http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6d7fc9091dd13ebb
> .
>That's Obama's War on Islam-Muslims !
>-obama-the-great-slayer-of-bin-laden-
>-obama-killer-of-innocent-muslims-
>-obama-the-great-western-invader-
>-obama-killer-of-innocent-islamic-women-
>-obama-the-great-christian-crusader-
>-obama-killer-of-innocent-muslim-children-
>http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6d7fc9091dd13ebb
> .
>That's Obama's War for Oil !
>-obama-the-war-monger-
>-obama-the-great-western-invader-
>-obama-the-great-christian-crusader-
>-obama's-war-for-oil-it's-corrupt-&-evil-
>http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6d7fc9091dd13ebb
> .
>Obama is Bush II =equals= Bush is Obama Too !
>-bush-evil-&-corrupt-=-corrupt-&-evil-obama-
> .
>Join 'The Cult of The-Obama' :
>Re-Elect Obama bi-Denï¿½ in 2012 !
>http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f9249bfca2
> .
>Obama The Great War Monger !
>-&- Obama The Great Crusader Against Islam
>http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6f85b8bb928f0be6
>? How Many Innocents Must Die To Satisfy
>Obama's Thirst for Blood and Oil !
> .
> .
.
.
Clinton Denys... that the Obama-Regime Used
Cluster Bombs against Misrata, Libya
-and- Claims Gaddafi Did It !
http://news.smh.com.au/breaking-news-world/libya-denies-using-cluster-bombs-as-blasts-rock
-misrata-20110416-1digj.html
.
? Can We Believe Clinton . . .
.
? Can We Believe Obama . . .
.
! The Obama/NATO Libya War for Oil Continues . . .
http://www.aim.org/aim-column/obama%E2%80%99s-war-in-libya-is-illegal-and-unconstitutional
/
.

! The Obama Regime Libya Cover-Up Grows . . .
http://www.aim.org/aim-column/obama%E2%80%99s-war-in-libya-is-illegal-and-unconstitutional/
.
! The Obama-Regime No War Powers Act Compliance . . .
http://www.aim.org/aim-column/obama%E2%80%99s-war-in-libya-is-illegal-and-unconstitutional/
.
! The Obama-Regime Illegal War in Libya . . .
http://www.aim.org/aim-column/obama%E2%80%99s-war-in-libya-is-illegal-and-unconstitutional/
.
Dennis Kucinich -says- Impeach Obama
for Illegal Libya War !
http://www.fireandreamitchell.com/2011/03/20/progressive-hero-dennis-kucinich-wants-to-impeach-obama-over-libya-war/
.
Ralph Nader -says- Impeach Obama
for Illegal Libya War !
http://www.newsmax.com/InsideCover/RalphNader-BarackObama-Impeach-WarCrimes/2011/03/21/id/390252
.
Ron Paul -says- Impeach Obama
for Illegal Libya War !
http://www.economiccollapse.net/ron-paul-obamas-libyan-war-unconstitutional
.
Obama's "Imperialist" {Illegal} War for Oil in Libya
http://open.salon.com/blog/varlet1/2011/03/21/barack_obama_-_imperialist_war_criminal_attacks_libya
.
The World Today -news- Obama Keeps Exposing
Himself As A Bloody War Monger !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e4e6a984b01430f1
.
Obama The Great War Monger !
-&- Obama The Great Crusader Against Islam
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6f85b8bb928f0be6
.
Join 'The Cult of The-Obama' :
Re-Elect Obama bi-Denï¿½ in 2012 !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f9249bfca2
.
.

3826. Re: The World Today -news- Obama Keeps Exposing Himself As A Bloody...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 13:39:49 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1500-4DE4EFA5-297@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231753

Bill Nye the IDIOT guy.
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=308371

Tornados happen almost anywhere.About eight or nine sumpin years ago a tornado hit Bognor Regis,England.That married Irish woman wayyyyyy over yonder across the big pond lives in Bognor Regis.

Effing IDIOTS like Bill Nye the IDIOT guy brainwashing people.He must be either a democrap or a libturd!
cuhulin

3827. Re: OBAMA: Hawaii birth records positively prove he is a natural born citizen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 13:49:14 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is2rkr$3qu$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.sport.golf

On 5/31/2011 4:06 AM, Joe from Kokomo wrote:
> On 5/31/2011 2:22 AM, John Smith wrote:
>
>> This [birther humbug] is the most shocking display of improper
>> actions of a president in my lifetime, indeed, the most shocking I
>> have ever heard of to date ...
>
> More shocking than starting TWO bogus, fake, fraudulent wars, overall
> costing tens of thousands of lives and a TRILLION dollars? That's not an
> "improper action of a president"?
>
> You have a strange sense of values -- but then, we already knew that.
>
>> BTW, Jerome Corsis' book is GREAT!
>
> Yes, Corsi has proven two things...
>
> 1) Birds of a feather (kooktards), flock together.
>
> 2) A fool and his money are soon parted.

What?

Your Kona Bud and Mendocino Whammy are a better buy?

ROFLOL

I'd rather read ...

Regards,
JS

3828. Re: Granted, some criminals ignore the Constitution, many are even public servants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 13:55:18 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is2s07$3qu$2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231755
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,rec.sport.golf,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

On 5/31/2011 12:45 AM, joeturn wrote:

> ...
> See what I mean John you were taught to protect your country from an
> invisable foe.The only countrys that need to learn to defend
> themselves are people that are afraid the USA will attack them.Soo far
> every World War has had the USA at the helm,they financed Hitlers
> Regiem,then sneaked him out of harms way when the USA joined forces
> against him.These madd Nazi Scientist are still in command of the
> government of the USA this is their 4th Reich

Some do claim, the THC in the smoke burns their eyes, from good bud ...

Maybe Corsi will release an audio version of his book:

"Where's the Birth Certificate?: The Case that Barack Obama is not
Eligible to be President"

Regards,
JS

3829. Re: Obama, not Osama is threat number 1 to Global Security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 14:01:26 UTC
From: John Smith <bit_bucket@gmx.com>
Message-ID: <is2sbm$3qu$3@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231756
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On 5/31/2011 5:50 AM, raven1 wrote:
> On Sun, 29 May 2011 01:58:41 -0700 (PDT), "skepticl1@aol.com"
> <skepticl1@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> Just sayin'
>
> Osama's no longer a threat to anyone. Do try to keep up.

I wouldn't bet on that.

If the need becomes great enough, they may find a way to resurrect him!

A "Terrorist Prophet" who has "risen from the dead" might be big enough
for them to get some more mileage out of ...

Regards,
JS

3830. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 14:12:08 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <R_OdnRx-8craannQnZ2dnUVZ5v-dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231757
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/7/2011 9:33 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 7, 3:55 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/7/2011 11:54 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 6, 4:39 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/6/2011 2:02 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 6, 9:32 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>>>> On May 5, 11:56 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>>> On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
>>>>>>>>>>> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
>>>>>>>>>>>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
>>>>>>>>>>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
>>>>>>>>>>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
>>>>>>>>>>>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
>>>>>>>>>>>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>>

>>>>>>>>>>> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> This should be a good one..
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted
text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
>>>>>>>>>> tax this is British tarriffs
>>
>>>>>>>>> You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.
>>
>>>>>>>> I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British
>>>>>>>> dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!
>>
>>>>>>> OK.
>>
>>>>>>> http://www.ushistory.org/DECLARATION/document/images/declarationscanb...
>>
>>>>>> That doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>>>> It proves everything,
>>
>>>> It doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>> "Boy!"
>>
>> Correct.
>
>> You're a snarky boy, wrong about everything.
>
> I LOVE the smell of irony

You're a snarky boy. You're male - nominally - and due to your
immaturity, you're a boy, and due to your snarky, wet-behind-the-ears
juvenile demeanor, you're a snarky boy - live with it, boy.

3831. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 14:12:35 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <R_OdnR5-8cr_annQnZ2dnUVZ5v-dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3832. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 14:12:43 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <R_OdnRI-8cr3annQnZ2dnUVZ5v8AAAAA@giganews.com>
Source record: 231759
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/7/2011 9:33 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 7, 3:55 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/7/2011 11:54 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 6, 4:39 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/6/2011 2:02 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 6, 9:32 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>>>> On May 5, 11:56 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>>> On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
>>>>>>>>>>> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
>>>>>>>>>>>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
>>>>>>>>>>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
>>>>>>>>>>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
>>>>>>>>>>>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
>>>>>>>>>>>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>>

>>>>>>>>>>> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> This should be a good one..
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted
text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
>>>>>>>>>> tax this is British tarriffs
>>
>>>>>>>>> You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.
>>
>>>>>>>> I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British
>>>>>>>> dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!
>>
>>>>>>> OK.
>>
>>>>>>> http://www.ushistory.org/DECLARATION/document/images/declarationscanb...
>>
>>>>>> That doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>>>> It proves everything,
>>
>>>> It doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>> "Boy!"
>>
>> Correct.
>
>> You're a snarky boy, wrong about everything.
>
> I LOVE the smell of irony

You're a snarky boy. You're male - nominally - and due to your
immaturity, you're a boy, and due to your snarky, wet-behind-the-ears
juvenile demeanor, you're a snarky boy - live with it, boy.

3833. Monica Pignotti Shaves Pippin Whitaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 14:35:06 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <8bb7f232881eea287f2d4b6044591770@dizum.com>
Source record: 231760

Monica Pignotti, in 2009, was dismissive of comparisons to Pippin Whitaker.

It is almost certain that Pignotti would advance some fantastic story as to why Whitaker has a faculty position and she does not.

Pignotti, of all people, should understand the proper application of Occam's Razor.

Monica Pignotti does not understand the academic hiring process.

Academic institutions are shocked at the amount of material she has posted about herself to the Internet. They are also concerned that she spends so much time participating in debates about Scientology, which she claims to have left decades ago.

Pignotti might do well to compare her career to that of Pippin Whitaker, who joined the faculty of the University of South Carolina in 2010:

http://www.cosw.sc.edu/news/470-dr-pippin-whitaker-to-join-faculty

Unlike Pignotti, Whitaker has not made thousands of postings to the Internet about herself.

In addition, she does not appear to have questionable associations with the likes of:

Larry Sarner, who conned lenders and investors with non-working voting machines; Whitaker also had the prudence not to join a "child torture fetish" group operated by Sarner

Scientology, an unscientific cult with teachings about the nuclear bombardment of Hawaii

Thought Field Therapy, an unscientific treatment that cures diseases by tapping based on telephonic diagnosis.

Rob Clark, known for having made bomb threats against churches and weather stations

Jean Mercer, removed from an editorial position at Psychology Today due to defamation

Eldon Braun, who fled to France to avoid the consequences of defeat in court for copyright infringement and allegations of child abuse

Charly D. Miller, who was fired from a position as an ambulance driver for drinking on the job

3834. Re: WWCR "The Power Hour" on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC -topic- Just Say 'No' to CFL Bulbs !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 14:53:22 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <feced4aa-a132-4d7b-9c30-5cab9772973a@o10g2000prn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231761

Yep - It That Time Again for "The Power Hour"
-speak-truth-to-power- -speak-power-to-truth-
-the-power-of-truth- -an-inconvenient-truth-
-the-other-half-of-the-news-the-whole-truth-
.
Joyce is talking about the Eco-Fraud behind
the new CFL Light Bulbs with Rosalind Peterson
http://www.newswithviews.com/Peterson/rosalind1.htm
.
Plus Geo-Engineering attacking USA Agriculture;
The Environment and Us Too...
http://www.agriculturedefensecoalition.org/?q=geoengineering
.
Plus the US Navy's new Testing "Warfare" Program
http://agriculturedefensecoalition.org/?q=us-navy
.
-about- Rosalind Peterson
http://www.agriculturedefensecoalition.org/?q=about-rosalind-peterson
.
Wake-Up to the Misinformation [Propaganda] of
the Corporate "Mass" Media Industrial-Complex
\N\E\W\S\ - / S / L / A / N / T / I / N / G /
of 'Agenda' News and Information
-how- -why- -truth-not-lies- -why- -how-
Listen to "The Power Hour" on the Shortwave
Hear The Other Half of the Truth
Hear the News Not Covered by the Mass Media
-it's-radio-so-just-listen-and-learn-
.
Joyce interviews Rosalind Peterson -writer-
http://www.thepowerhour.com/schedule.htm
* Geo-Engineering : What is Solar Radiation
Management & Why Is It Important
* Just Say 'No' to Smart Meters
* Just Say 'No' to CFL Bulbs
.
WWCR "The Power Hour" on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC
Week-Days : Monday through Friday [M-F]
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/bd795217b5a884f9
-also-simulcast- 13,845 kHz @ 1200 UTC M-F
-over-night-replay- 4840 kHz @ 0700 UTC M-F
.
.

3835. Re: NIST Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 15:09:19 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <094d6749-fe2d-4132-b299-4678e53b3f8b@s16g2000prf.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231762

On May 31, 3:24 am, Bob Dobbs <chupaca...@operamail.com> wrote:
> If you use [WWV] [WWVH] [WWVB]
> and who doesn't, how about letting them know.http://bit.ly/jP7dqM
>

Bob, This link is broken - I already took the survey, I hope others
did as well.

3836. ? Obama-Regime Planing a False Flag Attack on the Sears Towers in Chicago...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 15:11:22 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <39f7b708-ae8a-4a09-9bd9-ba92e8a824bd@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231763

On May 31, 7:53 am, RHF <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Yep - It That Time Again for "The Power Hour"
> -speak-truth-to-power- -speak-power-to-truth-
> -the-power-of-truth- -an-inconvenient-truth-
> -the-other-half-of-the-news-the-whole-truth-
> .
> Joyce is talking about the Eco-Fraud behind
> the new CFL Light Bulbs with Rosalind
Petersonhttp://www.newswithviews.com/Peterson/rosalind1.htm
> .
> Plus Geo-Engineering attacking USA Agriculture;
> The Environment and Us
Too...http://www.agriculturedefensecoalition.org/?q=geoengineering
> .
> Plus the US Navy's new Testing "Warfare"
Programhttp://agriculturedefensecoalition.org/?q=us-navy
> .
> -about- Rosalind
Petersonhttp://www.agriculturedefensecoalition.org/?q=about-rosalind-peterson
> .
> Wake-Up to the Misinformation [Propaganda] of
> the Corporate "Mass" Media Industrial-Complex
> \N\E\W\S\ - / S / L / A / N / T / I / N / G /
> of 'Agenda' News and Information
> -how- -why- -truth-not-lies- -why- -how-
> Listen to "The Power Hour" on the Shortwave
> Hear The Other Half of the Truth
> Hear the News Not Covered by the Mass Media
> -it's-radio-so-just-listen-and-learn-
> .
> Joyce interviews Rosalind Peterson -writer-http://www.thepowerhour.com/schedule.htm
> * Geo-Engineering : What is Solar Radiation
> Management & Why Is It Important
> * Just Say 'No' to Smart Meters
> * Just Say 'No' to CFL Bulbs
> .
> WWCR "The Power Hour" on 7490 kHz @ 1200 UTC
> Week-Days : Monday through Friday
[M-F]http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/bd795217b5a884f9
> -also-simulcast- 13,845 kHz @ 1200 UTC M-F
> -over-night-replay- 4840 kHz @ 0700 UTC M-F
> .
> .

-follow-up-note-
Also mentioned on 'The Power Hour' today :
Obama-Regime Planing a False Flag Attack
on the Sears Towers in Chicago, IL : To
Ensure Obama's Re-Election and so that the
Obama-Regime can Start More 'Wars-For-Oil'
in the Middle-East
http://www.opednews.com/Diary/Will-There-Be-A-New-False-by-Saman-Mohammadi-110529-318.html
.
.

3837. Re: Radio Mauritanie right now! - sound recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 15:17:32 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <384921c5-d1a1-4691-98bb-19d6f844f975@r33g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231764

On May 30, 9:05 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:

> > Here is a recording of the 7245 kHz station beginning at 0626 UTC on
> > May 28, 2011. At 01:07 minutes on the recording, a station ID is
> > heard with the words "Mauritania Nouakchott" clearly stated.
>
> >http://soundcloud.com/bpnjensen/mauritania-rm-7245-khz-28-may
>
> > Needless to say, going for a QSL with this one. Faint hopes, but
> > gotta try.
>
> > Bruce Jensen- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -
>
> Wow ! Nice recording . Which radio /antenna/auxiliary equipment did
> you use for that ?-

Thanks! It was my usual Icom R75, and two antennas - an Alpha Delta DX Ultra + a 65-foot horz/30 foot vert inverted L, phased through a MFJ-1026 noise canceller. Believe me, the MFJ makes a difference - it chopped the noise way down. One of these days I will put up a before/after comparison of the MFJ unit. It'll make a believer out of anyone.

To record, I used a simple but better-than-average mono cassette tape recorder (using a standard bias Maxell tape) patched directly to the tape output on the Icom, and then I transferred the 6-minute segment to the PC (dividing the mono signal into "stereo" with the patchcord) using whatever software Microsoft provides to record audio. One of these days, I will actually have a PC next to the radio, but for now it's a two-step process.

Bruce Jensen

3838. Re: NIST Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 15:38:23 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3f33ec34-2075-4aa3-b6e8-ef8d1ac1975c@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231766

On May 31, 8:09 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 31, 3:24 am, Bob Dobbs <chupaca...@operamail.com> wrote:
>
> > If you use [WWV] [WWVH] [WWVB]
> > and who doesn't, how about letting them know.http://bit.ly/jP7dqM
>
> Bob, This link is broken - I already took the survey, I hope others
> did as well.

Survey for WWV/WWVH [NIST] Time and Frequency Services
.
http://www.tf.nist.gov/survey
.
-links-to-
http://www.surveymethods.com/Preview.aspx?DAC4D0DD8E948D9F9BD0D6DDD6988B8DDE9B8A9F99E0DB84D99F8E8ADD91888C&DO_NOT_COPY_THIS_LINK#
.
Search-on : nist+time+frequency+survey
.
Uer Survey Announcement on WWV/WWVH
for NIST Time and Frequency Stations
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wZBX8qL4gOA
.
.
i did my survey - did you ? ~ RHF
.
http://www.time.gov/timezone.cgi?UTC/s/0/java
.
.

3839. Re: NIST Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 15:39:25 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de50b4b$0$12467$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231765

On 05/31/2011 03:24 AM, Bob Dobbs wrote:
> If you use [WWV] [WWVH] [WWVB]
> and who doesn't, how about letting them know.
> http://bit.ly/jP7dqM
>

How about us taxpayers? Why support WWV and GPS?

3840. Re: If Obama behaved like Republicans...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 15:40:45 UTC
From: dave <dave@dave.dave>
Message-ID: <4de50b9b$0$12467$bd467cd0@news.dslextreme.com>
Source record: 231767

On 05/31/2011 08:11 AM, RHF wrote:

>
> -follow-up-note-
> Also mentioned on 'The Power Hour' today :
> Obama-Regime Planing a False Flag Attack
> on the Sears Towers in Chicago, IL : To
> Ensure Obama's Re-Election and so that the
> Obama-Regime can Start More 'Wars-For-Oil'
> in the Middle-East
>
http://www.opednews.com/Diary/Will-There-Be-A-New-False-by-Saman-Mohammadi-110529-318.html
> .
> .

3841. Re: If Obama behaved like Republicans...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 15:48:03 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <b1f81ea8-2d60-4c98-ae36-e0715a0d97dc@q12g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231768

On May 31, 8:40 am, dave <d...@dave.dave> wrote:
> On 05/31/2011 08:11 AM, RHF wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > > -follow-up-note-
> > > Also mentioned on 'The Power Hour' today :
> > > Obama-Regime Planing a False Flag Attack
> > > on the Sears Towers in Chicago, IL : To
> > > Ensure Obama's Re-Election and so that the
> > > Obama-Regime can Start More 'Wars-For-Oil'
> > > in the Middle-East
> > >http://www.opednews.com/Diary/Will-There-Be-A-New-False-by-Saman-Moha...
> > > .
> > > .

dave, Dave. DAVE !
.
Obama is Bush II =equals= Bush is Obama Too !
=Bush=Evil=&=Corrupt=s=Corrupt=&=Evil-Obama=
.
? Obama-Regime Planing a False Flag Attack
on the Sears Towers in Chicago...
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4fdfe518ff642d0b
.
Join 'The Cult of The-Obama' :
Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f9249bfca2
.
Obama The Great War Monger !
-&- Obama The Great Crusader Against Islam
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6f85b8bb928f0be6
? How Many Innocents Must Die To Satisfy
Obama's Thirst for Blood and Oil !
.
The World Today -news- Obama Keeps Exposing
Himself As A Bloody War Monger !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e4e6a984b01430f1

.
Obama is Bush II =equals= Bush is Obama Too !
=Bush=Evil=&=Corrupt=s=Corrupt=&=Evil-Obama=
.
.

3842. Re: Monica Pignotti Shaves Pippin Whitaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 15:53:34 UTC
From: Keith <kilowattradio@use-reply-to.invalid>
Message-ID: <is32tv0q7n@news3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 231769

On Tue, 31 May 2011 16:35:06 +0200 (CEST), Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
wrote:

> Monica Pignotti, in 2009, was dismissive of comparisons to Pippin Whitaker.

No one in RRS gives a damn.
--
Best Regards, Keith
|--|
http://home.comcast.net/~kilowattradio/

3843. Obama's "Holy War" on Islam-Muslims : Obama Is The # 1 Threat To Global Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 15:55:55 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <87e6ec38-3cac-46e1-acaf-19e42876a29a@s41g2000prb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.atheism,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.art-bell,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh

```
On May 31, 7:01 am, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/31/2011 5:50 AM, raven1 wrote:
>
> > On Sun, 29 May 2011 01:58:41 -0700 (PDT), "skepti...@aol.com"
> > <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >> Just sayin'
>
> > Osama's no longer a threat to anyone. Do try to keep up.
>
> I wouldn't bet on that.
>
> If the need becomes great enough, they may find a way to resurrect him!
>
> A "Terrorist Prophet" who has "risen from the dead" might be big enough
> for them to get some more mileage out of ...
>
> Regards,
> JS
.
Up to 20 January 2009 George 'W' Bush was
the # 1 Threat To Global Peace
-note- 'W' for War Monger
.
Since 20 January 2009 Barack 'H' Obama
is the # 1 Threat To Global Peace
-note- 'H' for "Holy War" Monger
.
That's Obama's "Holy War" on Islam-Muslims !
-obama-the-great-slayer-of-bin-laden-
-obama-killer-of-innocent-muslims-
-obama-the-great-western-invader-
-obama-killer-of-innocent-islamic-women-
-obama-the-great-christian-crusader-
-obama-killer-of-innocent-muslim-children-
Obama Is The # 1 Threat To Global Peace
.
Obama is Bush II =equals= Bush is Obama Too !
=Bush=Evil=&=Corrupt=s=Corrupt=&=Evil-Obama=
.
? Obama-Regime Planing a False Flag Attack
on the Sears Towers in Chicago...
```

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4fdfe518ff642d0b
.
Join 'The Cult of The-Obama' :
Re-Elect Obama bi-Den© in 2012 !
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.politics.liberalism/msg/d8e026f9249bfca2
.
Obama The Great War Monger !
-&- Obama The Great Crusader Against Islam
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/6f85b8bb928f0be6
? How Many Innocents Must Die To Satisfy
Obama's Thirst for Blood and Oil !
.
The World Today -news- Obama Keeps Exposing
Himself As A Bloody War Monger !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e4e6a984b01430f1
.
Obama is Bush II =equals= Bush is Obama Too !
=Bush=Evil=&=Corrupt=s=Corrupt=&=Evil-Obama=
.
.

3844. Re: Obama's "Holy War" on Islam-Muslims : Obama Is The # 1 Threat T...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 16:33:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24385-4DE51850-330@storefull-3172.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231771

Pee Perfume: What kind of Fragrance do you wear?
http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=308361

That married Irish woman wayyyyyy over yonder across the big pond, she likes Elephant musk scented perfume.I forget the exact name of it.

Cut the heads off of fifty wooden matches, get a glass jar with a tight metal screw on lid, half a cup of Ammonia and the match heads in the Ammonia.Let that stuff sit for a week.Get a toy squirt gun, have Fun!
Pheeeeeeyewwwww,,,,,,
It's Luverly.
cuhulin

3845. Re: NIST Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 17:11:41 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe@indy.net>
Message-ID: <is37gdodd2@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231772

> On 05/31/2011 03:24 AM, Bob Dobbs wrote:
>> If you use [WWV] [WWVH] [WWVB]
>> and who doesn't, how about letting them know.

On 5/31/2011 11:39 AM, dave wrote:

> How about us taxpayers? Why support WWV and GPS?

Why support GPS?

Probably because there are -millions- of civilians (taxpayers) that use it...hikers, pilots, mariners and did I mention -millions- of people driving their cars wanting to find their way over the river and through the woods to grandma's house with their GPS.

3846. Latin American SW Logs Page Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 17:19:04 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4DE52308.170FD6BE@milestones.com>
Source record: 231773

The Latin American SW Logs page has been updated as of 31 May:

http://www.mcdxt.it/LASWLOGS.html

dxAce
Michigan
USA

Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
70' and 200' wires
GE SR I (2) and II

239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the NASWA Country List.

And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of Technology & Design (Backwater U.).

They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.

3847. Re: Latin American SW Logs Page Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 17:47:10 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <20632d8b-e5f3-4643-a8b1-befc0f03387a@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231774

On May 31, 10:19 am, dxAce <dx...@milestones.com> wrote:
> The Latin American SW Logs page has been updated as of 31 May:
>
> http://www.mcdxt.it/LASWLOGS.html
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
> Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B
> 70' and 200' wires
> GE SR I (2) and II
>
> 239 countries heard and 225 QSL'd (veried, for those in Glendale) using the
> NASWA Country List.
>
> And, as always, don't let your children attend the Sullivan College of
> Technology & Design (Backwater U.).
>
> They've hired at least one dufus who once claimed to have a PhD and lied about
> being arrested, and who knows, there may be more dufi there.

TY, Sir! A Happy Memorial Day to you.

Bruce Jensen

3848. Address of Record vs Address of Residence was Outrageous lies from the whitehouse!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 17:48:30 UTC
From: pyotr filipivich <phamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <84aau6tds8qsh57gs2lkplh5qlk9u0o20p@4ax.com>
Source record: 231775
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy

```
[Default] Let the Record show that "SaPeIsMa" <SapeIsMa@Hotmail.com>
on or about Mon, 30 May 2011 16:17:15 -0500 did write, type or
otherwise cause to appear in talk.politics.guns the following:
>"Joe from Kokomo" <joe@indy.net> wrote in message
>news:is0mvj$2sv$1@dont-email.me...
>> On 5/30/2011 11:35 AM, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> It was the grandparents address used in the birth
>>> announcements ...
>>
>> "It was the grandparents address used in the birth announcements ..."
>>
>> So she used her grandparents address. You were expecting an 18 year old to
>> have her own house?
>>
>> Another strikeout for you, Johnny Kooktard!
>
>
>But that is not any evidence that she actually LIVED at her parent's house
>at the time.

Fark, As far as the University knew, I lived in Salem (Or) for
sixteen years after I graduated. Never mind that I was physically in
other towns or states). That was the address of record, a stable
address I could use.

tschus
pyotr

>
--
pyotr filipivich
"Quemadmoeum gladuis neminem occidit, occidentis telum est. "
Lucius Annaeus Seneca, circa 45 AD
(A sword is never a killer, it is a tool in the killer's hands.)
```

3849. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 17:53:10 UTC
From: RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast>
Message-ID: <Xns9EF66EBE824A7hopewell@216.196.121.131>
Source record: 231776
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

joeturn <joeturn2000@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:b3a73cf1-8ae5-4bfb-9c10-6cf9b9ebefa7@u26g2000vby.googlegroups.com:

> On May 23, 7:46 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
>> joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote
>> innews:56dbdfd0-9478-4ffd-8f98-20a3
> 79faa40c@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 23, 3:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
>> > wrote:
>> >> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote
>> >> innews:ireb6k$p5j$1@dont-email.me
>> >>:
>>
>> >> > On 5/22/2011 2:16 PM, joeturn wrote:
>> >> >> On May 22, 4:47 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >>> On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
>>
>> >> >>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> >>>> "larnrod"<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >> >>>>news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegro
>> >> >>>>ups .c
>> >> >>>> om...
>> >> >>>>> On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >>>>>> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
>> >> >>>>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> >>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >>>>>>>news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
>> >> >>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
>> >> >>>>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >>>>>>>>>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
>> >> >>>>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
>> >> >>>>>>>>>> ...
>> >> >>>>>>>>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should
>> >> >>>>>>>>>> accept your claims over photographic evidence is
>> >> >>>>>>>>>> noted. Your denial of photographic
>> >> >>>>>>>>>> evidence is noted.
>> >> >>>>>>>>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't
>> >> >>>>>>>>>> match your claims.

>> >> >>>>>>>>>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain
>> >> >>>>>>>>>> until you deal with
>> >> >>>>>>>>>> it ...
>> >> >>>>>>>>> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance
>> >> >>>>>>>>> and that you
>> >> >>>>>>>>> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't
>> >> >>>>>>>>> be talking to
>> >> >>>>>>>>> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity
>> >> >>>>>>>>> even further.
>> >> >>>>>>>>>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. &#65533;All I
>> >> >>>>>>>>>> do is repeat the
>> >> >>>>>>>>>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
>> >> >>>>>>>>> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot
>> >> >>>>>>>>> that is so ignorant
>> >> >>>>>>>>> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the
>> >> >>>>>>>>> process of falling
>> >> >>>>>>>>> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his
>> >> >>>>>>>>> experts might not
>> >> >>>>>>>>> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough
>> >> >>>>>>>>> to buy their Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
>> >> >>>>>>>>> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by
>> >> >>>>>>>>> your own admission.
>> >> >>>>>>>>> Which means you're really not the right person to be
>> >> >>>>>>>>> trying to talk about
>> >> >>>>>>>>> this stuff then are you?
>> >> >>>>>>>>> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since
>> >> >>>>>>>>> maybe they might
>> >> >>>>>>>>> actually know what they're talking about rather than
>> >> >>>>>>>>> simply mouthing the
>> >> >>>>>>>>> claims of others that they don't understand, can't
>> >> >>>>>>>>> support, and can't
>> >> >>>>>>>>> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
>> >> >>>>>>>>> So now that we've established you as an ignorant
>> >> >>>>>>>>> mouthpiece by your own
>> >> >>>>>>>>> admission, is there any further reason anyone should
>> >> >>>>>>>>> listen to you for
>> >> >>>>>>>>> even a second?
>> >> >>>>>>>>> I think your post is more about you than me, why don't
>> >> >>>>>>>>> you ask others if
>> >> >>>>>>>>> they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are,
>> >> >>>>>>>>> or they are fooled
>> >> >>>>>>>>> into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis,
>> >> >>>>>>>>> facts, etc.?
>> >> >>>>>>>> IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.-
>> >> >>>>>>>> Hide quoted text -
>> >> >>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>> >> >>>>>>> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the
>> >> >>>>>>> pentagram.
>> >> >>>>> What missile attack, insane nut job?

>> >> >>>> Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert"
>> >> >>>> analysis of the frames from the Pentagon surveillance video
>> >> >>>> showing the plane crashing into the side of the Pentagon.
>> >> >>>> Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even
>> >> >>>> consider is that even on the video the SCALE of the image
>> >> >>>> would clearly preclude a missile given that it's at least 2
>> >> >>>> stories in height as compared to the 5 stories of the
>> >> >>>> Pentagon. But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a
>> >> >>>> missile, and that's good enough "proof" for Joe.
>> >> >>>>>> Which
>> >> >>>>>> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
>> >> >>>>> When did missiles hit it?
>> >> >>>> I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
>> >> >>>>>> Three missiles are were
>> >> >>>>>> recorded in the same FBI release,
>> >> >>>>> Show us, insnae nut job.
>> >> >>>> Yea, one "missile" per frame.<snicker>
>> >> >>>>>> Well the remnates of the first one is
>> >> >>>>>> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can
>> >> >>>>>> see the next two in motion!
>> >> >>>>> Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
>> >> >>>> "It is too late for that"
>> >> >>>> Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
>> >> >>>> - Show quoted text -
>> >> >>> But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will
>> >> >>> only contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>>
>> >> >>> Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket
>> >> >>> plume!- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> >> >>> - Show quoted text -
>> >> >> PS
>>
>> >> >> I did not pay any attention to what the guy in the middle link
>> >> >> says I just saw the missile on my own look at it before the red
>> >> >> box is drawn!
>>
>> >> >> Then in the top link you can see it over and over again,There
>> >> >> is no plane just a missile launched from withing the fenced in
>> >> >> constrution area.
>>
>> >> >> What kind of military vehicle is that at mark 7:03 in the video
>> >> >> below a flatbed firetruck? I recall seeing it parked beside the
>> >> >> construction gererater dont you?
>>
>> >> >>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SjcoPEGRXjo&feature=player_embedd
> ed
>>
>> >> > There is some reason the tape of what actually happened at the

>> >> > pentacon has NOT been released, even yet, today ...
>>
>> >> Yes, it has. There are videos, tours of the damage, stories from
>> >> th
> e
>> >> survivors.....what else do you want?
>>
>> >> --
>> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> >> If you woke up this morning....
>> >> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> >> - Show quoted text -
>>
>> > I too am a survivor of 911 I was not there at the time like most
>> > government paid stooges.But I can tell you the cartoon they put on
>> > for the TV audience was nothing like realy being there! The area
>> > was roped off and most of the props were put into the staged area
>> > before the holograme air craft was projected!
>>
>> > They even put the JT8MD engine on the sidewalk and covered it up
>> > with a tarp so it would go unnoticed till after the hologram!
>>
>> Wow!! Now I know where those berries disappeared to. Did you have
>> them with milk or simply eat them raw?
>>
>> --
>> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>>
>> If you woke up this morning....
>> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>>
>> - Show quoted text -
>
> They were not berrys RD they were coffee beans.They ground them up in
> the cat shit soo they could charge you more for them. You gulped them
> down with every sip and I'll bet you smilled and said eye instead of
> saying this taste and smells exactly like cat shit!
>
> You taste for shit is appaling,but to each his own!
>

My taste for shit is so acute, I even post to you. ;)

--
Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)

If you woke up this morning....
Don't complain.

3850. Born in Kenya! SCARHEAD MOFO has Mental Disorder!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 19:02:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1500-4DE53B3F-483@storefull-3171.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231777

http://www.rense.com
and
http://www.libertypost.org
cuhulin

3851. Re: NIST Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 19:26:21 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d37abb35-1ef7-49ca-8fb6-8840d1a5b821@j13g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231778

On May 31, 12:02 pm, Bob Dobbs <chupaca...@operamail.com> wrote:
> RHF wrote:
>
> >i did my survey - did you ? ~ RHF
>
> Yes and the link I posted was valid at the time it was posted
> even if it isn't today.
> --
>
> http://bit.ly/g2PCII

Fair enough. Links come and go.

3852. Re: Granted, some criminals ignore the Constitution, many are even public servants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 19:28:20 UTC
From: "skepticl1@aol.com" <skepticl1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <c493dc16-0a8b-4b7c-8f3d-966434d97fdd@v11g2000prk.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231779
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 31, 6:16 am, twofeathers <miketwofeath...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On May 30, 5:51 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 30, 6:47 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > > On 5/30/2011 1:48 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > ...
> > > > Same as you we are both smoking the UCC but you cant see it.Our old
> > > > constitution does not apply to anyone that is a citizen of the USA.We
> > > > are simply worker bees to pay off the USA Bankrutcy debt.Our Solvern
> > > > Queen Mom owns the USA and calls all the shots and she does not apply
> > > > the constitution she goes by the UCC.
>
> > > > You forgot the creature from Jekyll Island.Ga.
>
> > > > You are living in a bubble John Smith you concentrate on small issues
> > > > such as politics when you should be looking at the big picture. At
> > > > least you dont have a grammar hangup that overides your thought
> > > > prossess but it is some else that causes you not to be able to grasp
> > > > reality.
>
> > > I am simply no reason for you to deny and ignore Constitutional law, the
> > > only legal law of the land ...
>
> > > I am afraid your reasons will have to stand on their own.
>
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
>
> > WAKE UP JOHN! smell the coffee its a litterbox!
>
> damn thats a waste of good coffee don't ya think?- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the
United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the

Middle East

3853. Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 19:29:10 UTC
From: "skepticl1@aol.com" <skepticl1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <101ecd8a-e791-4cd9-996f-cc44b5037955@34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231780
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 31, 6:16 am, twofeathers <miketwofeath...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On May 30, 5:51 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 30, 6:47 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > > On 5/30/2011 1:48 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > ...
> > > > Same as you we are both smoking the UCC but you cant see it.Our old
> > > > constitution does not apply to anyone that is a citizen of the USA.We
> > > > are simply worker bees to pay off the USA Bankrutcy debt.Our Solvern
> > > > Queen Mom owns the USA and calls all the shots and she does not apply
> > > > the constitution she goes by the UCC.
>
> > > > You forgot the creature from Jekyll Island.Ga.
>
> > > > You are living in a bubble John Smith you concentrate on small issues
> > > > such as politics when you should be looking at the big picture. At
> > > > least you dont have a grammar hangup that overides your thought
> > > > prossess but it is some else that causes you not to be able to grasp
> > > > reality.
>
> > > I am simply no reason for you to deny and ignore Constitutional law, the
> > > only legal law of the land ...
>
> > > I am afraid your reasons will have to stand on their own.
>
> > > Regards,
> > > JS
>
> > WAKE UP JOHN! smell the coffee its a litterbox!
>
> damn thats a waste of good coffee don't ya think?- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

The American dream, you have to be asleep to believe it!

3854. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 21:27:55 UTC
From: Scout <me4guns@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <is3mgs$633$1@dont-email.me>
Source record: 231781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:5c14e367-6359-4a6e-b2c8-ec3a7a4d4031@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com...
> On May 30, 1:49 pm, "Scout"
> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> "RHF" <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>>
>> news:ab361d67-a145-41b8-abb8-6f0ffcb7cdda@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > On May 30, 9:16 am, "Scout"
>> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >> "RHF" <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>> >>
>> >> >>news:bcbfff71-3a14-4f28-8afe-5f97ead3c427@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >> >> > On May 28, 8:31 am, Peter Franks <n...@none.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 5/28/2011 7:54 AM, Deep Dudu wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> >> > On Sat, 28 May 2011 10:13:56 -0400, "Scout"
>> >> >> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> >> >> "Sid9"<sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>> >> >> >> >> >>news:irqv9fgeo1@dont-email.me...
>> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >>> "RHF"<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>> >> >> >> >> >>>news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >> >> >> >>>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >>>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >>>>>> ...
>> >> >> >> >> >>>>>> What is wrong with this country?
>> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >>>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >>>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are
>> >> >> >> >> >>>>> honestly

>> >> >> >>>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>>
>> >> >> >>>>> -- or --
>>
>> >> >> >>>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow
>> >> >> >>>>> government
>> >> >> >>>>> criminal
>> >> >> >>>>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain
>> >> >> >>>>> absolute
>> >> >> >>>>> police state control over all American citizens.
>>
>> >> >> >>>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang
>> >> >> >>>>> either
>> >> >> >>>>> the
>> >> >> >>>>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>>
>> >> >> >>>>> Regards,
>> >> >> >>>>> JS
>>
>> >> >> >>>> JS -please- This is not simply the
>> >> >> >>>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>>
>> >> >> >>>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New& Improved Plan :
>> >> >> >>>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
>> >> >> >>>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
>> >> >> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
>> >> >> >>>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
>> >> >> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
>> >> >> >>>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>>
>> >> >> >>>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
>> >> >> >>>> Tax You More& Spend More of Your Tax Money
>> >> >> >>>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>>
>> >> >> >>>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
>> >> >> >>>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
>> >> >> >>>> .
>> >> >> >>>> .
>>
>> >> >> >>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>>
>> >> >> >> What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>>
>> >> >> > Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy.
>> >> >> > Taxing
>> >> >> > the hell out of them and putting the money directly into the
>> >> >> > economy
>> >> >> > will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all tax
>> >> >> > loopholes
>> >> >> > and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains exactlyt he same as

>> >> >> > wages.
>>
>> >> > - Why should the wealthy pay more than
>> >> > - their fair share?
>>
>> >> > ? Define "Their Fair Share" !
>> >> > .
>> >> > Who Benefits By Our Society ?
>> >> > -and- What Is Their Reward ?
>> >> > Beyond The Ability to Live a Reasonable
>> >> > Life Food, Cloth and House Their Family.
>> >> > -four-examples-
>> >> > .
>> >> > 1st -Taxing the $5K 'Disposable Income' @ 20%
>> >> > of the average Working Man {Family} :
>> >> > Leaves them with just $4K.
>> >> > .
>> >> > 2nd - Taxing the $25K 'Disposable Income'
>> >> > @ 20% of the High Income Earner {Family} :
>> >> > Leaves them with just $20K.
>> >> > .
>> >> > 3rd -Taxing the $250K 'Disposable Income'
>> >> > @ 20% of the Very High Income Earner {Family} :
>> >> > Leaves them with just $200K; and that is
>> >> > Four-Times [4X] the Gross Income of the
>> >> > average Working Man {Family}.
>> >> > .
>> >> > 4rd - Taxing the $2.5M 'Disposable Income'
>> >> > @ 20% of the Rich and Wealth {Family} :
>> >> > Leaves them with just $2.0M; and that is
>> >> > Forty-Times [40X] the Gross Income of the
>> >> > average Working Man {Family}; and this
>> >> > Ensures High Income Earners Pay* Their Fair
>> >> > {Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society.
>> >> > * Simply Paying Back To {Into} The Society :
>> >> > That Which You Have Received Greatly From It
>> >> > -paying-back-social-responsibility-reciprocity-
>>
>> >> <snip>
>>
>> > - Seems to me you're simply bitching because
>> > - there is an advantage to busting ass and
>> > - getting ahead. Because while they end up
>> > - with X times more than case number one,
>> > - they also paid X times more.
>>
>> > No #1's Sale Tax is XX% and #3's Income Tax is XX%
>> > XX% = XX% They just Continue to Pay Their Fair Share
>> > Beyond the Cost of Buying a Basic Living Through
>> > Daily Consumption.

>>
>> We're discussion the income tax proposal right now, do try to keep up.
>>
>> > - Seems your gripe is that they still have
>> > - more disposable income left over and somehow
>> > - you don't see that as fair.
>>
>> > ? What More Fair ?
>> > Taking $5K from the Disposable Income
>> > of the average Working Man {Family}
>> > and Leaving Them With NOTHING !
>>
>> Your own numbers above indicate they still have $4k in disposable income.
>>
>> Are you now revising your numbers without telling people?
>>
>> Tell me you aren't this dishonest?
>
> Read & Re-Read -try-to-understand-
> ? Define "Their Fair Share" !

I see, you're a parrot.

Here's a cracker, now go away.

<plonk>

3855. Re: Obama's "Holy War" on Islam-Muslims : Obama Is The # 1 Threat T...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 21:56:43 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26470-4DE5641B-568@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231782

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Get+Out+of+Dodge:
Update+May+29+2011
cuhulin

3856. Re: NIST Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 22:06:00 UTC
From: Al Fansome <al_fansome@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pomdncGGa9Gg-3jQnZ2dnUVZ_oqdnZ2d@supernews.com>
Source record: 231783

```
On 5/31/2011 6:24 AM, Bob Dobbs wrote:
> If you use [WWV] [WWVH] [WWVB]
> and who doesn't, how about letting them know.
> http://bit.ly/jP7dqM
>
This link seems to be broken.
```

3857. Re: Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 22:12:01 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26471-4DE567B1-210@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231784

oooooo,,, Backyard Burgers on North State Street is on fire.Mary Wieden, riding around in WLBT 3's Skycopter 3 (the tee vee station that doesn't exist) showed us a live video of Backyard Burgers.Looks like the aircondioning thangy on the roof top is what is on fire.

80 million dollars to be spent for adding an extra lane to I-55 South, between McDowell Road and the town of Terry in South Jackson.80 million dollars of Missy Sippy dollars, that is.
cuhulin

3858. Re: NIST Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 22:24:49 UTC
From: Al Fansome <al_fansome@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5fydnXGmLs4593jQnZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@supernews.com>
Source record: 231785

```
On 5/31/2011 6:06 PM, Al Fansome wrote:
> On 5/31/2011 6:24 AM, Bob Dobbs wrote:
>> If you use [WWV] [WWVH] [WWVB]
>> and who doesn't, how about letting them know.
>> http://bit.ly/jP7dqM
>>
> This link seems to be broken.
Try this one: http://www.tf.nist.gov/survey
```

3859. The Flat-Tax+Plus2© : Across The Board US Tax Equality for the 21st Century

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 22:45:42 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <9d35968e-65e5-4b5f-b922-f783e1318b01@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 31, 2:27 pm, "Scout"
<me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>
> news:5c14e367-6359-4a6e-b2c8-ec3a7a4d4031@q14g2000prh.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 30, 1:49 pm, "Scout"
> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> "RHF" <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>
> >>news:ab361d67-a145-41b8-abb8-6f0ffcb7cdda@18g2000prd.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> > On May 30, 9:16 am, "Scout"
> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> >> "RHF" <rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>
> >> >>news:bcbfff71-3a14-4f28-8afe-5f97ead3c427@22g2000prx.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> >> > On May 28, 8:31 am, Peter Franks <n...@none.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> On 5/28/2011 7:54 AM, Deep Dudu wrote:
>
> >> >> >> > On Sat, 28 May 2011 10:13:56 -0400, "Scout"
> >> >> >> > <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
>
> >> >> >> >> "Sid9"<sid9@ bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> >> >> >> >>news:irqv9fgeo1@dont-email.me...
>
> >> >> >> >>> "RHF"<rhf-newsgro...@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> >> >> >> >>>news:6684194d-4ffb-4ee8-8927-9171866c8c6b@z15g2000prn.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >> >>>> On May 27, 3:01 pm, John Smith<win.co...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >>>>> On 5/27/2011 2:53 PM, Peter Franks wrote:
>
> >> >> >> >>>>> ...
> >> >> >> >>>>> What is wrong with this country?

>
> >> >> >> >>>>> There are only two possible answers I can think of:
>
> >> >> >> >>>>> 1) The worst imbecilic-idiot-morons in government are
> >> >> >> >>>>> honestly
> >> >> >> >>>>> attempting correct fixes and a return to a decent America.
>
> >> >> >> >>>>> -- or --
>
> >> >> >> >>>>> 2) The government is tightly controlled by a shadow
> >> >> >> >>>>> government
> >> >> >> >>>>> criminal
> >> >> >> >>>>> element and this is exactly their plan, to weaken and gain
> >> >> >> >>>>> absolute
> >> >> >> >>>>> police state control over all American citizens.
>
> >> >> >> >>>>> But then, the solution to both is the same, imprison or hang
> >> >> >> >>>>> either
> >> >> >> >>>>> the
> >> >> >> >>>>> imbecilic-idiot-morons or imprison the crooks!
>
> >> >> >> >>>>> Regards,
> >> >> >> >>>>> JS
>
> >> >> >> >>>> JS -please- This is not simply the
> >> >> >> >>>> "Democrat reveal plan. Tax more spend more"
>
> >> >> >> >>>> -it's- Democrats Reveal New& Improved Plan :
> >> >> >> >>>> More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
> >> >> >> >>>> -the-'more'-'more'-plan-
> >> >> >> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
> >> >> >> >>>> Taxes {We Are The Wiser Taxers}
> >> >> >> >>>> * Democrats Promise You More New& Improved
> >> >> >> >>>> Spending {We Are The Wiser Spenders}
>
> >> >> >> >>>> -remember- You Can Trust Us 'Democrats' To
> >> >> >> >>>> Tax You More& Spend More of Your Tax Money
> >> >> >> >>>> -we-have-a-proven-history-of-doing-just-that-
>
> >> >> >> >>>> and that is the way 'i' see it ~ RHF
> >> >> >> >>>> -vote-yes-on-no- -check-none-of-the-above-
> >> >> >> >>>> .
> >> >> >> >>>> .
>
> >> >> >> >>> Yes we need some taxes, America being a low tax nation
>
> >> >> >> >> What a great idea, then we could be more like Italy and Turkey.
>
> >> >> >> > Cutting taxes on the wealthy has NEVER stimulated the economy.
> >> >> >> > Taxing

> >> >> >> > the hell out of them and putting the money directly into the
> >> >> >> > economy
> >> >> >> > will. We should go to a top rate of 98% and cut all tax
> >> >> >> > loopholes
> >> >> >> > and tax interest, dividend, and capital gains exactlyt he same as
> >> >> >> > wages.
>
> >> >> > - Why should the wealthy pay more than
> >> >> > - their fair share?
>
> >> >> > ? Define "Their Fair Share" !
> >> >> > .
> >> >> > Who Benefits By Our Society ?
> >> >> > -and- What Is Their Reward ?
> >> >> > Beyond The Ability to Live a Reasonable
> >> >> > Life Food, Cloth and House Their Family.
> >> >> > -four-examples-
> >> >> > .
> >> >> > 1st -Taxing the $5K 'Disposable Income' @ 20%
> >> >> > of the average Working Man {Family} :
> >> >> > Leaves them with just $4K.
> >> >> > .
> >> >> > 2nd - Taxing the $25K 'Disposable Income'
> >> >> > @ 20% of the High Income Earner {Family} :
> >> >> > Leaves them with just $20K.
> >> >> > .
> >> >> > 3rd -Taxing the $250K 'Disposable Income'
> >> >> > @ 20% of the Very High Income Earner {Family} :
> >> >> > Leaves them with just $200K; and that is
> >> >> > Four-Times [4X] the Gross Income of the
> >> >> > average Working Man {Family}.
> >> >> > .
> >> >> > 4rd - Taxing the $2.5M 'Disposable Income'
> >> >> > @ 20% of the Rich and Wealth {Family} :
> >> >> > Leaves them with just $2.0M; and that is
> >> >> > Forty-Times [40X] the Gross Income of the
> >> >> > average Working Man {Family}; and this
> >> >> > Ensures High Income Earners Pay* Their Fair
> >> >> > {Equal} Share of the Cost of American Society.
> >> >> > * Simply Paying Back To {Into} The Society :
> >> >> > That Which You Have Received Greatly From It
> >> >> > -paying-back-social-responsibility-reciprocity-
>
> >> >> <snip>
>
> >> > - Seems to me you're simply bitching because
> >> > - there is an advantage to busting ass and
> >> > - getting ahead. Because while they end up
> >> > - with X times more than case number one,
> >> > - they also paid X times more.

>
> >> > No #1's Sale Tax is XX% and #3's Income Tax is XX%
> >> > XX% = XX% They just Continue to Pay Their Fair Share
> >> > Beyond the Cost of Buying a Basic Living Through
> >> > Daily Consumption.
>
> >> We're discussion the income tax proposal right now, do try to keep up.
>
> >> > - Seems your gripe is that they still have
> >> > - more disposable income left over and somehow
> >> > - you don't see that as fair.
>
> >> > ? What More Fair ?
> >> > Taking $5K from the Disposable Income
> >> > of the average Working Man {Family}
> >> > and Leaving Them With NOTHING !
>
> >> Your own numbers above indicate they still have $4k in disposable income.
>
> >> Are you now revising your numbers without telling people?
>
> >> Tell me you aren't this dishonest?
>
- - Read & Re-Read -try-to-understand-
- - ? Define "Their Fair Share" !

- I see, you're a parrot.
-
- Here's a cracker, now go away.
-
- <plonk>

Scout,
Can I Get Some Cheese With Those Crackers :o)
-smiling- -smiling- -smiling- -smiling- :o))
-p/s- Scout -be-prepared- To Be Taxed Fairly !
.
Democrats Reveal New & Improved Plan :
More New Taxes and More Improved Spending !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/acaf44e669b04ba1
-polly-want-some-fair-taxes-smile-polly-smile-
.
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© The Flat-Tax+Plus2©
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/96e43dec055fe598
Across The Board US Tax Equality for the 21st Century
.
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© The Flat-Tax+Plus2©
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/e100261343df6fc5
Across The Board US Tax Equality for the 21st Century
.
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© The Flat-Tax+Plus2©

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/92939f81002fe79f
Across The Board US Tax Equality for the 21st Century
.
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/90cdf4c9ea549075
Leveling The Wealth Creation Field
.
Flat-Tax+Plus2© : Tax Equality System
http://groups.google.com/group/talk.politics.guns/msg/1533d01085361532
Figuring Just Who... Should Pay For All of This
-hint- Everyone !
.
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System
http://groups.google.com/group/talk.politics.guns/msg/24965a8c3ae93a6e
Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board :
Sales = Income = Corporate = Estate
[All Taxed Equally Across The Board : Fairness]
.
The Flat-Tax+Plus2© Tax Equality System
http://groups.google.com/group/talk.politics.guns/msg/2849dcfdd42684ca
Balanced 'Equal' Taxes Across-the-Board
.
.
OMG/OMA Let Us Pray . . .
This Has Been A 'Teachable Moment' ~ RHF©***
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teachable_moment
.
*** © This is another Copy-Righted 'Brain Fart' of RHF
-wrt- 'Brain Fart' -yeah- This Idea(s) Stinks : Pew! [P!U!]
.
.

3860. Re: NIST Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 22:48:49 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ef5d8f0f-82c0-4136-86fa-0e4d97826699@l2g2000prg.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231787

On May 31, 3:24 pm, Al Fansome <al_fans...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> On 5/31/2011 6:06 PM, Al Fansome wrote:> On 5/31/2011 6:24 AM, Bob Dobbs wrote:
> >> If you use [WWV] [WWVH] [WWVB]
> >> and who doesn't, how about letting them know.
> >>http://bit.ly/jP7dqM

- - This link seems to be broken.

- Try this one : http://www.tf.nist.gov/survey

"AF" - Been There !

http://www.tf.nist.gov/survey

Done That ! :o_ ~ RHF
.
.

3861. Re: Obama's "Holy War" on Islam-Muslims : Obama Is The # 1 Threat T...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 23:02:55 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ba84ec59-701e-4858-90a5-4d6e7e613e4e@f15g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231788

On May 31, 2:56 pm, cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=Get+Out+of+Dodge:
> Update+May+29+2011
> cuhulin

Cuhulin,

? Obama-Regime Planing a False Flag Attack
on the Sears Towers in Chicago...
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4fdfe518ff642d0b
.
-read-
? Will There Be A New False Flag Attack
In Chicago's Sears Tower !
Source Gerald Celente w
http://www.opednews.com/Diary/Will-There-Be-A-New-False-by-Saman-Mohammadi-110529-318.html
.
.

3862. Re: Asia is NOT attacking The USA and NATO: Millions are Dying because the United Snakes and Europe are Attacking the Innocent People of the Middle East

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 23:04:32 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1074a649-5a0e-4727-8916-8ff8b69b0d98@h12g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231789
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.football.pro.sf-49ers,alt.atheism,alt.native,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 31, 12:29 pm, "skepti...@aol.com" <skepti...@aol.com> wrote:
> On May 31, 6:16 am, twofeathers <miketwofeath...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 30, 5:51 pm, joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > On May 30, 6:47 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
>
> > > > On 5/30/2011 1:48 PM, joeturn wrote:
>
> > > > > ...
> > > > > Same as you we are both smoking the UCC but you cant see it.Our old
> > > > > constitution does not apply to anyone that is a citizen of the USA.We
> > > > > are simply worker bees to pay off the USA Bankrutcy debt.Our Solvern
> > > > > Queen Mom owns the USA and calls all the shots and she does not apply
> > > > > the constitution she goes by the UCC.
>
> > > > > You forgot the creature from Jekyll Island.Ga.
>
> > > > > You are living in a bubble John Smith you concentrate on small issues
> > > > > such as politics when you should be looking at the big picture. At
> > > > > least you dont have a grammar hangup that overides your thought
> > > > > prossess but it is some else that causes you not to be able to grasp
> > > > > reality.
>
> > > > I am simply no reason for you to deny and ignore Constitutional law, the
> > > > only legal law of the land ...
>
> > > > I am afraid your reasons will have to stand on their own.
>
> > > > Regards,
> > > > JS
>
> > > WAKE UP JOHN! smell the coffee its a litterbox!

>
> > damn thats a waste of good coffee don't ya think?- Hide quoted text -
>
> > - Show quoted text -

- The American dream, you have to be asleep to believe it!

The America Dream ...or... Nightmare . . .

? Obama-Regime Planing a False Flag Attack
on the Sears Towers in Chicago...
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4fdfe518ff642d0b
.
-read-
? Will There Be A New False Flag Attack
In Chicago's Sears Tower !
Source Gerald Celente w
http://www.opednews.com/Diary/Will-There-Be-A-New-False-by-Saman-Mohammadi-110529-318.html
.
.

3863. Re: 9/11 debris fills basement levels and is forced to flow debris outward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 23:06:24 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <29acb5b7-8b44-4cb6-8dc1-407a768470b9@z7g2000prh.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231790
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh,talk.politics.guns,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.sport.golf

On May 31, 10:53 am, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote
innews:b3a73cf1-8ae5-4bfb-9c10-6cf9b9ebefa7@u26g2000vby.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > On May 23, 7:46 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net> wrote:
> >> joeturn <joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote
> >> innews:56dbdfd0-9478-4ffd-8f98-20a3
> > > 79faa...@x10g2000yqj.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> > On May 23, 3:34 pm, RD Sandman <rdsandman@comcast[remove].net>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> John Smith <bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote
> >> >> innews:ireb6k$p5j$1@dont-email.me
> >> >:
>
> >> >> > On 5/22/2011 2:16 PM, joeturn wrote:
> >> >> >> On May 22, 4:47 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> >>> On May 21, 4:37 pm, "Scout"
>
> >> >> >>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> >> >>>> "Iarnrod"<iarn...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >>>>news:5f77b2fe-01b3-4633-b96d-32922ab854ed@f31g2000pri.googlegro
> >> >> >>>>ups .c
> >> >> >>>> om...
> >> >> >>>>> On May 20, 11:53 pm, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> >>>>>> On May 20, 8:53 pm, "Scout"
> >> >> >>>>>> <me4g...@verizon.removeme.this2.nospam.net> wrote:
> >> >> >>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >>>>>>>news:ir702o$hr5$7@dont-email.me...
> >> >> >>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 4:44 PM, Scout wrote:
> >> >> >>>>>>>> "John Smith"<bit_buc...@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >>>>>>>>news:ir6rep$o0a$4@dont-email.me...
> >> >> >>>>>>>> On 5/20/2011 3:34 PM, Scout wrote:
> >> >> >>>>>>>> ...
> >> >> >>>>>>>> Evasion noted. Your inability to explain why we should

> >> >> >>>>>>>>>>> accept your claims over photographic evidence is
> >> >> >>>>>>>>>>> noted. Your denial of photographic
> >> >> >>>>>>>>>>> evidence is noted.
> >> >> >>>>>>>>>>> Seems all you can do is lie about the facts that don't
> >> >> >>>>>>>>>>> match your claims.
> >> >> >>>>>>>>>> There is no evading your ignorance, it will remain
> >> >> >>>>>>>>>> until you deal with
> >> >> >>>>>>>>>> it ...
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> Nice to hear you admit you have a problem with ignorance
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> and that you
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> need to do something about it, but really you shouldn't
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> be talking to
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> yourself in public, makes people question your sanity
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> even further.
> >> >> >>>>>>>>>> You simply need avail yourself of the experts. All I
> >> >> >>>>>>>>>> do is repeat the
> >> >> >>>>>>>>>> facts, evidence and proof of the experts.
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> So let's see, by your own admission you are a parrot
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> that is so ignorant
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> that he can't look at a photo of a building in the
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> process of falling
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> outside of it's footprint and still can't determine his
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> experts might not
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> be the experts they claim and that you're stupid enough
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> to buy their Bullshit hook, line and sinker.
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> Ok, I accept you don't know what you're talking about by
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> your own admission.
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> Which means you're really not the right person to be
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> trying to talk about
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> this stuff then are you?
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> Maybe you should tell your experts to come here since
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> maybe they might
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> actually know what they're talking about rather than
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> simply mouthing the
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> claims of others that they don't understand, can't
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> support, and can't
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> even recognize as being clearly wrong?
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> So now that we've established you as an ignorant
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> mouthpiece by your own
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> admission, is there any further reason anyone should
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> listen to you for
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> even a second?
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> I think your post is more about you than me, why don't
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> you ask others if
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> they can see you for the worthless attack slob you are,
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> or they are fooled
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> into thinking you are offering valid debate, analysis,
> >> >> >>>>>>>>> facts, etc.?
> >> >> >>>>>>> IOW, you can't refute anything I have said about you.-
> >> >> >>>>>>> Hide quoted text -

> >> >> >>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
> >> >> >>>>>> Scot is completely ignoring the missile attack on the
> >> >> >>>>>> pentagram.
> >> >> >>>>> What missile attack, insane nut job?
> >> >> >>>> Oh, that comes from the nutjob who posted his "expert"
> >> >> >>>> analysis of the frames from the Pentagon surveillance video
> >> >> >>>> showing the plane crashing into the side of the Pentagon.
> >> >> >>>> Of course, what both this "expert" and joe failed to even
> >> >> >>>> consider is that even on the video the SCALE of the image
> >> >> >>>> would clearly preclude a missile given that it's at least 2
> >> >> >>>> stories in height as compared to the 5 stories of the
> >> >> >>>> Pentagon. But the voice over from the "expert" says it was a
> >> >> >>>> missile, and that's good enough "proof" for Joe.
> >> >> >>>>>> Which
> >> >> >>>>>> way did it fall Scot after the missiles hit it?
> >> >> >>>>> When did missiles hit it?
> >> >> >>>> I wasn't even aware the Pentagon fell over.
> >> >> >>>>>> Three missiles are were
> >> >> >>>>>> recorded in the same FBI release,
> >> >> >>>>> Show us, insnae nut job.
> >> >> >>>> Yea, one "missile" per frame.<snicker>
> >> >> >>>>>> Well the remnates of the first one is
> >> >> >>>>>> just the solid rocket fuel plume after the hit,but you can
> >> >> >>>>>> see the next two in motion!
> >> >> >>>>> Stop sniffing glue, WrongTurnJoe.
> >> >> >>>> "It is too late for that"
> >> >> >>>> Chun in Remo Williams.- Hide quoted text -
> >> >> >>>> - Show quoted text -
> >> >> >>> But your plane still wont fit in that little red box it will
> >> >> >>> only contain an object no larger than 16 feet!
>
> >> >> >>> Everyone can see the missile in front of the solid rocket
> >> >> >>> plume!- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> >> >>> - Show quoted text -
> >> >> >> PS
>
> >> >> >> I did not pay any attention to what the guy in the middle link
> >> >> >> says I just saw the missile on my own look at it before the red
> >> >> >> box is drawn!
>
> >> >> >> Then in the top link you can see it over and over again,There
> >> >> >> is no plane just a missile launched from withing the fenced in
> >> >> >> constrution area.
>
> >> >> >> What kind of military vehicle is that at mark 7:03 in the video
> >> >> >> below a flatbed firetruck? I recall seeing it parked beside the
> >> >> >> construction gererater dont you?
>
> >> >> >>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SjcoPEGRXjo&feature=player_embedd

> > ed
>
> >> >> > There is some reason the tape of what actually happened at the
> >> >> > pentacon has NOT been released, even yet, today ...
>
> >> >> Yes, it has. There are videos, tours of the damage, stories from
> >> >> th
> > e
> >> >> survivors......what else do you want?
>
> >> >> --
> >> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> >> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> >> > I too am a survivor of 911 I was not there at the time like most
> >> > government paid stooges.But I can tell you the cartoon they put on
> >> > for the TV audience was nothing like realy being there! The area
> >> > was roped off and most of the props were put into the staged area
> >> > before the holograмed air craft was projected!
>
> >> > They even put the JT8MD engine on the sidewalk and covered it up
> >> > with a tarp so it would go unnoticed till after the hologram!
>
> >> Wow!! Now I know where those berries disappeared to. Did you have
> >> them with milk or simply eat them raw?
>
> >> --
> >> Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
>
> >> If you woke up this morning....
> >> Don't complain.- Hide quoted text -
>
> >> - Show quoted text -
>
> > They were not berrys RD they were coffee beans.They ground them up in
> > the cat shit soo they could charge you more for them. You gulped them
> > down with every sip and I'll bet you smilled and said eye instead of
> > saying this taste and smells exactly like cat shit!
>
> > You taste for shit is appaling,but to each his own!

- My taste for shit is so acute, I even post to you. ;)
-
- --
- Sleep well tonight....RD (The Sandman)
-

- If you woke up this morning....
- Don't complain.

awaken,,, Awaken... AWAKEN ! ! !

? Obama-Regime Planing a False Flag Attack
on the Sears Towers in Chicago...
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4fdfe518ff642d0b
.
-read-
? Will There Be A New False Flag Attack
In Chicago's Sears Tower !
Source Gerald Celente w
http://www.opednews.com/Diary/Will-There-Be-A-New-False-by-Saman-Mohammadi-110529-318.html
.
.

3864. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 23:13:25 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <35f539f1-2e14-48ae-9564-e4c91f957ddf@h36g2000pro.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.rush-limbaugh

On May 30, 3:42 pm, John Smith <bit_buc...@gmx.com> wrote:
> On 5/30/2011 2:05 PM, George Plimpton wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > ...
> >> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
> >>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
> >>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
> >>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
> >>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>
> >>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which
> >>>>> he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the
> >>>>> Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III,
> >>>>> Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>
> >>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State
> >>>>> at birth:
>
> >>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
> >>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
> >>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
> >>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
> >>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
> >>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
> >>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>
> >>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth,
> >>>>> which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical
> >>>>> presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have
> >>>>> been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and
> >>>>> never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
> >>>> Yes, he woul
>
> >>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which

> >>> he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the
> >>> Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III,
> >>> Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>
> >>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at
> >>> birth:
>
> >>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
> >>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
> >>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
> >>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
> >>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
> >>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
> >>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>
> >>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth,
> >>> which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical
> >>> presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been
> >>> born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never
> >>> naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> >> Yes, he
>
> > No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he
> > very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration
> > and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>
> > The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>
> > (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
> > States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
> > an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
> > prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
> > the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
> > periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
> > which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>
> > Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which
> > means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence
> > requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a
> > citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never
> > naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> And, from
>
> "Why Obama Is Not a Natural-born Citizen Under
> the 14th Amendment.
>
> From, Jerome Corsi -- "Where's the Birth Certificate?: The Case that
> Barack Obama is not Eligible to be President" (Pgs. 50, 51)

>
> Supporters of illegal immigration use the 14th Amendment to argue that
> children of illegal aliens are automatically American citizens if they
> are born in the United States. Creating what is today known as
> “birthright citizenship,” the argument relies on an interpretation of
> the 14th Amendment never intended or contemplated by its authors.
> The controversial interpretation demands an exclusive focus on the
> first clause of the first sentence, but excluding the second clause, as
> if the sentence read: “All persons born or naturalized in the United
> States … are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they
> reside.”
> Advocates for illegal immigrants intentionally ignore the qualifying
> clause, “and subject to the jurisdiction thereof.”
> Read correctly, the amendment’s first sentence stipulates two conditions
> for birth citizenship: (1) the person must be born in the United States,
> and (2) he must also be subject to the jurisdiction of the United States
> at the time he is born. Read incorrectly, the sentence assumes the
> person is under the jurisdiction of the United States just because he is
> born in the United States.
> To understand the importance of this distinction, recall that the
> authors of the 14th Amendment intended that a child of foreign diplomats
> born in the United States would not automatically be considered a U.S.
> citizen.
> Why not? Because the parents were understood to bear their allegiance to
> the nation they were representing as diplomats while living in the
> United States. In other words, the authors of the 14th Amendment
> presumed a child born in the United States would have the citizenship of
> the parents. A child born in the United States to foreign nationals
> would be considered a citizen of the foreign nation to which the parents
> bore allegiance.
> The author of the citizenship language of the 14th Amendment,
> Michigan Senator Jacob M. Howard, arose in the Senate during the 1866
> debate to clarify: “This amendment which I have offered is simply
> declaratory of what I regard as the law of the land already, that every
> person born within the limits of the United States, and subject to their
> jurisdiction, is by virtue of natural law and national law a citizen of
> the United States.
> This will not, of course, include persons born in the United States
> whoare foreigners, aliens, who belong to the families of ambassadors or
> foreign ministers accredited to the Government of the United States, but
> will include every other class of persons.”87
> The records of the 1866 congressional debates make clear that Senator
> Howard’s views were shared by Illinois Senator Lyman Trumbull, who,
> like Howard, is considered a primary framer of the 14th Amendment.
> Both senators agreed that the “subject to the jurisdiction” clause was
> crafted to mean “sole and complete” U.S. jurisdiction, excluding anyone
> subject to the jurisdiction of a foreign power."
>
> Since the father, claimed by obama jr., was NOT a citizen, we have a
> real and important problem!

>
> Regards,
> JS

The Next Great Obama Revelation . . .
-obama-truth- -obama-truth- -obama-truth-

? Obama-Regime Planing a False Flag Attack
on the Sears Towers in Chicago...
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/4fdfe518ff642d0b
.
-read-
? Will There Be A New False Flag Attack
In Chicago's Sears Tower !
Source Gerald Celente w
http://www.opednews.com/Diary/Will-There-Be-A-New-False-by-Saman-Mohammadi-110529-318.html
.
.

3865. Re: Obama's "Holy War" on Islam-Muslims : Obama Is The # 1 Threat T...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 23:19:52 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26471-4DE57798-228@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231792

Sears is mulling (mulling) moving out of Chicago, possibly out of Illinois.So is Caterpillar mulling.

Caterpillar company, move to Missy Sippy, those HIGH Ass Illinois Taxes are eating y'all up.

Suitcase Nukes, False Flag? If that happens in America, I will BLAME it on fed govt.And I would be Right too! It is damn near Impossible for rogue Countries to get their mitts on suitcase nukes.
cuhulin, Right R ME

3866. Re: The Flat-Tax+Plus2© : Across The Board US Tax Equality for the ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 23:22:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26473-4DE5783C-10@storefull-3173.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 231793

I have some cheese and crackers.Good stuff.
cuhulin

3867. Re: Radio Mauritanie right now! - sound recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 23:36:40 UTC
From: arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2482fb71-ab79-4871-9a2a-11dad3a6640a@p6g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 231794

On May 31, 11:17 am, bpnjensen <bpnjen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> On May 30, 9:05 pm, arthrny...@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > > Here is a recording of the 7245 kHz station beginning at 0626 UTC on
> > > May 28, 2011. At 01:07 minutes on the recording, a station ID is
> > > heard with the words "Mauritania Nouakchott" clearly stated.
>
> > >http://soundcloud.com/bpnjensen/mauritania-rm-7245-khz-28-may
>
> > > Needless to say, going for a QSL with this one. Faint hopes, but
> > > gotta try.
>
> > > Bruce Jensen- Hide quoted text -
>
> > > - Show quoted text -
>
> > Wow ! Nice recording . Which radio /antenna/auxiliary equipment did
> > you use for that ?-
>
> Thanks! It was my usual Icom R75, and two antennas - an Alpha Delta
> DX Ultra + a 65-foot horz/30 foot vert inverted L, phased through a
> MFJ-1026 noise canceller. Believe me, the MFJ makes a difference - it
> chopped the noise way down. One of these days I will put up a before/
> after comparison of the MFJ unit. It'll make a believer out of
> anyone.
>
> To record, I used a simple but better-than-average mono cassette tape
> recorder (using a standard bias Maxell tape) patched directly to the
> tape output on the Icom, and then I transferred the 6-minute segment
> to the PC (dividing the mono signal into "stereo" with the patchcord)
> using whatever software Microsoft provides to record audio. One of
> these days, I will actually have a PC next to the radio, but for now
> it's a two-step process.
>
> Bruce Jensen

MFJ 1026 is a very good unit , I guess . Will have to look into it's
circuitry ; maybe I'll gather some loose parts and try building
something similar .

3868. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 23:42:52 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <s8qdnVIkfpGC4HjQnZ2dnUVZ5vidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231795
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/7/2011 9:33 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 7, 3:55 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/7/2011 11:54 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 6, 4:39 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/6/2011 2:02 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 6, 9:32 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>>>> On May 5, 11:56 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>>> On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
>>>>>>>>>>> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
>>>>>>>>>>>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
>>>>>>>>>>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
>>>>>>>>>>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
>>>>>>>>>>>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
>>>>>>>>>>>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>>

>>>>>>>>>>> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> This should be a good one..
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted
text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
>>>>>>>>>> tax this is British tarriffs
>>
>>>>>>>>> You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.
>>
>>>>>>>> I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British
>>>>>>>> dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!
>>
>>>>>>> OK.
>>
>>>>>>> http://www.ushistory.org/DECLARATION/document/images/declarationscanb...
>>
>>>>>> That doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>>>> It proves everything,
>>
>>>> It doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>> "Boy!"
>>
>> Correct.
>
>> You're a snarky boy, wrong about everything.
>
> I LOVE the smell of irony

You're a snarky boy. You're male - nominally - and due to your
immaturity, you're a boy, and due to your snarky, wet-behind-the-ears
juvenile demeanor, you're a snarky boy - live with it, boy.

3869. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 23:43:34 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <s8qdnUwkfpHX4HjQnZ2dnUVZ5vidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3870. Re: Sorry ... the tard boy irentard is still, and always, wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 23:44:02 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <s8qdnU8kfpH74HjQnZ2dnUVZ5vidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.conspiracy,rec.sport.golf,alt.california

tard boy shit in his own short panties again:

> George Plimpton righteously slapped the tard boy around:
>
>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>> George Plimpton dunked the tard boy's head in the shitter:
>>>> tard boy shit in his own short panties again:
>>>>> Yes, he would have been
>>>>
>>>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>>>
>>>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>>>
>>>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>>>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>>>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>>>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>>>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>>>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>>>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>>> Yes, he woul
>>
>> No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):
>>
>> The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:
>>
>> (7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United
>> States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is
>> an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who,
>> prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in
>> the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or
>> periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of
>> which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

>>
>>
>> Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.
>
> Yes, he

No, he would not have been a citizen had he been born abroad (which he very well may have been.) The McCarran Walter Act, aka the Immigration and Naturalization Act of 1952, specified in Title III, Ch. 1, Sec. 301(a):

The following shall be citizens and nationals of the United State at birth:

(7) a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than ten years, at least five of which were after attaining the age of fourteen years

Hussein's one citizen parent was only 18 at the time of his birth, which means she arithmetically could not have met the physical presence requirement. Had he been born abroad, he would not have been born a citizen. As he may very well have been born abroad, and never naturalized, then he may not be a citizen at all.

3871. Re: RANK FORGERY -- obama birth certificate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2011-05-31 23:44:57 UTC
From: George Plimpton <george@si.not>
Message-ID: <s8qdnUgkfpEA4HjQnZ2dnUVZ5vidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 231798
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.golf,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.california,sci.skeptic

On 5/7/2011 9:33 PM, Iarnrod wrote:
> On May 7, 3:55 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>> On 5/7/2011 11:54 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On May 6, 4:39 pm, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>> On 5/6/2011 2:02 PM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>> On May 6, 9:32 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>>>>> On 5/6/2011 8:06 AM,Iarnrodwrote:
>>
>>>>>>> On May 5, 11:56 am, joeturn<joeturn2...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On May 5, 12:49 am, George Plimpton<geo...@si.not> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>> On 5/4/2011 9:32 PM, joeturn wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>>>> On May 4, 3:22 pm, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> In article<7164e332-dfa2-471b-ad87-5e4b98a59956@
>>>>>>>>>>> 34g2000pru.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On May 3, 1:46 am, BDK<Cont...@Worldcontrol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> In article<093b7deb-3873-4fdf-9cbc-
>>>>>>>>>>>>> 5ed91ab75...@d1g2000yqm.googlegroups.com>, joeturn2...@yahoo.com says...
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> If he had a legal birth certifricate he would not have to use bogus
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers .
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Social Security Numbers are issued by Our Solvern Great Britain and a
>>>>>>>>>>>>> British Subject cant get one.Only citizns of the United States are
>>>>>>>>>>>>> held responsible for their bankruptcy debt to Great Briain.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> You understand how SS numbers are issued about as well as you understand
>>>>>>>>>>>>> anything else....you don't. It's all just bullshit in, and insanity out.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Sure I do they are given by Britain and British Subjects are assigned
>>>>>>>>>>>> temporary numbers only good for six months!
>>

>>>>>>>>>>> WTF? What does "Britain" have to do with SS numbers?
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> This should be a good one..
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>>>>> BDK- Top of the government shill heap for over 10 years running!- Hide quoted
text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>>>>>>>>>> They collect taxes from citizens of the United States called income
>>>>>>>>>> tax this is British tarriffs
>>
>>>>>>>>> You don't know your ass from your face. Shut up.
>>
>>>>>>>> I think my ass would make you a Sunday face. Now you prove the British
>>>>>>>> dont own the USA and that the IRS is not their collection agency!
>>
>>>>>>> OK.
>>
>>>>>>> http://www.ushistory.org/DECLARATION/document/images/declarationscanb...
>>
>>>>>> That doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>>>> It proves everything,
>>
>>>> It doesn't "prove" anything, boy.
>>
>>> "Boy!"
>>
>> Correct.
>
>> You're a snarky boy, wrong about everything.
>
> I LOVE the smell of irony

You're a snarky boy. You're male - nominally - and due to your
immaturity, you're a boy, and due to your snarky, wet-behind-the-ears
juvenile demeanor, you're a snarky boy - live with it, boy.